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THOUGH the ten chapters which are comprised in this volume have been arranged in chronological order, they by no means represent a continuous historical series. They rather form a collection of historical essays concerning a few of those monuments which interested me particularly among the rich treasures of Moslem art to be found in Cairo. I had begun to collect the materials for them before special war circumstances induced me to write *Rambles in Cairo* for the benefit of the soldiers stationed here, and, in that work, I purposely avoided a detailed mention of these mosques, hoping that I might yet carry out my previous intention. In the meanwhile, I utilised some of the materials for a few articles in the Cairo *Sphinx*, which will be found to be practically embodied in the present volume.

As this is merely intended for ordinary readers and not for specialists, I have thought it better to abstain from too many notes of reference, vii
especially as I cannot claim to have discovered any little-known Arab sources, but have practically confined myself to Maqrīzī and Ibn Iyās, whilst I have been glad to make use of the works of Western writers, such as Marcel, Van Berchem, Franz, Herz, Lane-Poole, Margoliouth, Creswell, and others. I have also to thank the last-named for nearly all the photographs which serve to illustrate this book. The chronological table appended is taken from that of Rambles in Cairo, but the latter appeared before Captain Creswell’s Brief Chronology of the Muhammadan Monuments in Egypt, and, therefore, contained errors which had, until then, been accepted and which have now been corrected.

Cairo, 1920.
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CHAPTER I

THE MOSQUE OF ES SÄLEH TALÄYEH

MUCH interest is attached to this building by the fact that it was practically the last Fatimite monument erected in Cairo, in the year 1160, just as the dynasty was about to fall. It was already much enfeebled; one child khalife succeeded the other, ruling in name only, as did the last Merovingian kings, whilst a powerful wazîr, like the Frank Mayor of the Palace, was the actual autocrat whose hands wielded royal authority.

The Wazîr Talâyeh ibn Ruzzîq, founder of this mosque, when he came into power, called himself El Malek es Sâleh, thus assuming a royal title, as did the Ayubite and Mameluke Sultans who came after him. According to Maqrîzy, he was a man not only of a strong character, but of a remarkable intellect; himself a poet and the author of a religious tract concerning the Shiite faith which he professed, he used to hold at his palace gatherings of literary men who came from all parts to honour him and hear
him repeat his poems; his liberality and hospitality were proverbial. His history is a very romantic one: a very pious and fervent Shiite already in his youth, he journeyed to Mesopotamia in order to visit the shrine of the Imâm Aly b. Abu Tâleb, in company with several other pilgrims. The imâm of the shrine was at that time a certain Ibn Ma’sûn, who gave hospitality to the pilgrims; in the night, Ibn Abu Tâleb appeared to Ibn Ma’sûn in a vision and said to him: “Among the pilgrims whom thou hast entertained, one man, whose name is Talâyeh ibn Ruzzîq, is under my protection; tell him to go to Egypt, of which he will become Governor.” In the morning the imâm sent to ask if any of the pilgrims bore this name, desiring that he should come to speak with him. Talâyeh came forward and he told him of the dream he had had concerning him. Acting upon this prophecy the son of Ruzzîq went to Egypt where he was eventually made Governor of Ushmunein.

Egypt at that time (1149) was in great danger from the Franks of Syria who had gradually come nearer the frontier and who had just taken the town of Ascalon, while Norman ships from Sicily, landing near the town of Ténis on the
Mosque of Sâlêh Talâyeh. General view (in 1918).
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Menzâleb Lake, had pillaged the town and retired, carrying away many captives and valuable goods. The reigning Khalife, Ez Zâher b'amr Illah, was a debauched youth whose vices were the indirect cause of his death; he was murdered, as well as his two brothers, by his own Wazîr, Abbas, amidst an appalling scene of horror. Abbas, by the same opportunity, seized most of the riches which the royal palace contained, but did not attempt to appropriate the crown. He fetched the murdered Ez Zâher's baby son, El Fâiz, and brought him on his shoulder upon the scene of carnage; the unhappy child was so terrified that he fell into an epileptic fit and afterwards remained subject to similar attacks until the end of his short life. The revolution was not well received by the negro Guard of the late Khalife nor by the women of the Court, and the latter sent an urgent appeal to Ushmunein, begging Talâyeh to come to the rescue; they went so far as to send him locks of their hair, "the strongest possible sign of entreaty in a Muslima,"¹ says Lane-Poole, who borrows from Osâma, an eye-witness, a most dramatic account of this tragedy.

Talâyeh made a triumphant entry into Cairo,

¹History of Egypt in the Middle Ages, Methuen, 1914, p. 173.
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carrying a lance decorated by ladies' tresses and followed by a numerous army of partisans, which increased as he progressed. Abbas fled to Syria, where he came to a tragic end at the hands of the Franks, and Ibn Ruzzîq, donning the robes of the wazîrate, installed himself in his place. He had the murdered Khalife interred in the royal mausoleum, ordered the execution of guilty persons and proceeded to reorganise the realm. The little Khalife's tender years left the Wazîr an absolutely free hand, and historians agree in acknowledging that his rule was a wise and beneficent one. When El Fâîz died in 1160, at the age of eleven, the Wazîr sought to give him a successor, and an aged prince was presented to him as being the nearest relative of the deceased. He was about to appoint him when one of his confidants whispered in his ear: "Thy predecessor was wiser when he chose as Khalife a boy of five years." Struck by this remark, Talâyeh rejected the old man who had been suggested to him, chose a boy named 'Abdallah, a grandson of the Khalife El Hâfez le-dîn Illah, and had him proclaimed under the name of El 'Aded le-dîn Illah. He gave the new Khalife his daughter in marriage, with a superb dowry,
Mosque of Sâleh Talâyeh. Detail of ornament.
and, thus having secured a royal figure-head who owed absolutely everything to him, he resumed the rôle of ruler de facto, which he so ably filled.

However, his stern and perhaps contemptuous rule had made for him many enemies, and he was assassinated in the following year. Maqrîzî relates the story of his death in one of his delightfully realistic pages, which help to form an idea of the manners and customs of the time. He says that Talâyeh, who was about to start for his daily ride to the palace, remembered that the day was the anniversary of the assassination of the Imâm ‘Aly; he therefore ordered a waterskin to be brought for his ablutions, put on the special costume of the Imâmîya sect, and performed the long prayer, prostrating himself one hundred and twenty times. After this, as he went out to mount his horse, probably feeling somewhat giddy from the exertion, he lost his balance and fell, dropping his turban, which became unrolled. He sat down in the vestibule and a certain Ibn ed Deif was sent for, who received a large salary for making up the turbans of Khalifes and Wazîrs.

As Ibn ed Deif began his work, one of his assistants respectfully suggested to the Wazîr that this accident was a warning and that it
would be more prudent to give up riding out on that day. Ibn Ruzzîq replied that superstition was of the Devil and that nothing would keep him from his ride. He then rode to the Khalife's palace and was fatally stabbed as he entered it.

He did not die at once and, the Khalife having hastened to his side, he asked him to punish the supposed instigator of the crime, an aunt of El 'Aded. This princess was executed then and there, before the dying man's eyes; some historians seem to hint that she was a scapegoat and that the Khalife himself had a hand in the matter. Ibn Ruzzîq also had time to see his son, named Ruzzîq, as Talâyeh's father had been, appointed Wazîr in his place, and to express his regrets, firstly, that he had not conquered Jerusalem and exterminated the Franks; secondly, that he had appointed Shawâr as Governor of Upper Egypt (and, indeed, Shawâr lived to depose and murder Ruzzîq ibn Talâyeh, and was instrumental in bringing about the fall of the Fatimite Dynasty and the re-establishment of the Sunnite instead of the Shiite doctrines); and thirdly, that he had built his mosque close to the city gates, which caused it to be utilised in military operations.
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His purpose in building it had been to provide a shrine for the remains of the martyr Hussein, so deeply revered by the Shiites, which lay buried at Ascalon. Seeing the Franks coming nearer to that town, Es Sâleb had these remains transferred to Cairo. However, when his mosque was finished, the young Khalife El Fâiz objected to the relics of the saint being placed there, declaring that only in a Khalife’s palace could they be suitably interred. Talâyeh, who was, no doubt, powerful enough to have his own way, deferred to the wishes of the epileptic child—who died within a few months—and the Meshhed or sanctuary called El Hassanein was built near the palace, on the site of the present mosque of Sayedna Hussein, to receive the holy relics. Meanwhile, he completed his mosque and provided it with a cistern fed by a water wheel, which pumped up the Nile water from the Khalig; it was not preached in until the reign of El Muezz Aybek, a hundred years later.

In 1302, the great earthquake which worked such havoc in Cairo monuments, did not spare

¹ A relic of the mausoleum at Ascalon in which the head of Hussein had been enshrined still exists in the Haram mosque at Hebron, in the form of a magnificent minbar (pulpit) of geometrical woodwork. This minbar was made for the mosque at Ascalon by order of Badr el Gamâly and is dated 494 A.H.
the Fatimite mosque; a popular legend attributes
the damage to the monument’s own indignation
at being deprived of the honour of housing the
holy martyr’s remains, but gives no explanation
of the falling in of other mosques. Whilst the
Emir Selâr undertook to repair El Azhar and
Beybars el Gâshenkir the mosque of El Hâkim,
Seif ed Dîn Bektimur, Gûkandar or polo-master
at the court of Mohammed en Nâsser, took
charge of Sâleb Talâyeh’s. He endowed it with
a very beautiful pulpit and took care to record
by an inscription that he had paid for it out
of his own pocket and in order to make him-
self agreeable to God. Like the older minbar at
Qûs¹ and that which Lâgin placed in the mosque
of Ibn Tûlûn, it is made up of small geometrical
panels, delicately carved, and is without the side
door which is to be found in later pulpits and
which somewhat breaks up the design.

The keel-shaped stilted arches, of the kind
commonly called Fatimite or Persian, are decorated
with very fine stucco inscriptions in late Kufic.

¹ Qûs, a town in the province of Mînya, Upper Egypt, of
which Sâleb Talâyeh was at one time Governor. An ancient
mosque, many times restored, in the centre of the town,
contains a very fine minbar, bearing the date 550 and the
name of El Malek es Sâleb. It is a masterpiece of wood-
work in the Ayyubite style.
Stucco window from Mosque of Sâleh Talâykh now in Arab Museum, Cairo.
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This script, sometimes called Karmatic (though without much justification), is here seen in its most ornamental form, and is the more interesting that the Naskhy form of Arabic writing was introduced from Syria by Saladin in the next few years and found its place in every monument later in date than Talâyeh's mosque. The arches are connected with each other by wooden beams, as is usually the case, and this detail, which to my mind often disfigures an arcade, becomes in this case an additional ornament, the wood being covered with delicate carving. The centre arch is broader than the others, a nave effect being produced. The outer wall was pierced by beautiful open-work stucco windows, of which important traces remain in the south-east wall; the finest specimen was removed to the Arab museum, and I have been able to obtain a photograph of it through the kindness of the Curator, Aly Bey Bahgat. The general plan of the mosque was evidently the usual one, with a central court or sahn surrounded by four porticoes, three of which are now destroyed.

The mosque has until lately been crowded and suffocated with hovels which have invaded the interior even; the Comité de Conservation des
Monuments Arabes has now begun clearing these away with the result that a most interesting discovery was made, viz: that the monument originally stood on a basement of vaulted shops and that access to it was obtained by a flight of stone steps leading up from the street to the main entrance. The level of the street had risen so much that this very effective feature had entirely disappeared. Apparently it must have existed, though equally unsuspected, in other mosques, for excavations round the mosque of Serghatmish, below that of Ibn Tûlûn, led to a similar discovery—that of very steep stone steps leading up to the base of the characteristic minaret of the latter.

It is probable that a great deal more original work will be found in the course of cleaning Es Sâleb's monument; already two doors with scalloped edges have emerged, one of which can be seen in the photograph. A fine band of historical inscription which ran round the north and west façades and of which Professor Van Berchem could only read a part, the rest being hidden, will now appear in its entirety, perhaps even continuing on the south side. The minaret has been many times restored, and the upper part of it, from the gallery, is Turkish and quite recent.
CHAPTER II

THE COLLEGE OF SULTAN ES SÄLEH NEGMA ED DIN AYÜB

VISITORS in Cairo who are interested in Arab art and Mameluke architecture seldom fail to become acquainted with the principal monuments in the Sûq en Nahassîn; i.e. the tomb of Qalaûn and the college of Barqûq; they are not difficult to find, and their vicinity to the entrance of the Khan Khalîly gives them an additional chance against being overlooked. The madrassa or college of Es Sâleh Negm ed Din Ayûb, however, suffered so much from neglect before it came under the supervision of the Comité de Conservation des Monuments Arabes that there is not much left of it to interest anyone who has not made a special study of the subject.

Though intended to form an organic whole, it consisted in reality of two colleges: the south madrassa and the north madrassa; and a corridor divided the two, as is the case with the mosque
and mausoleum of Qalaûn, on the other side of the road.

The principal door, surmounted by a handsome minaret, formed the entrance of this corridor, and the two are the least damaged part of the structure; under the minaret, a portion remains of a rich wooden ceiling in octagonal caissons, not unlike the remains still to be found in the mosque of En Nâsser on the citadel and in the ruined palace of Beshtâk, in this very neighbourhood.

Absolutely nothing remains of the south madrasa, save the lower part of the outer wall, against which houses and shops have amassed themselves, and now form part of the Shoe Bazaar. Of the north college there remains the large waggon vault of the west liwàn, still used for worship, although uncared for, with that strange mixture of piety and indifference which is characteristic of Cairo Moslems. A very inadequate ablution fountain has been cleared for use in the centre of a yard almost blocked by debris and refuse of all sorts, and, across this heaped-up space, ruined portions of the east liwân can be seen: the springing of the great arch and three mihrâbs (prayer-niches) now devoid of any ornament.

Outside, the façade of the madrassa is still visible
College of Saleh Negm ed Din Ayb. Ornament of north-west Facade.
and very interesting to students on account of the link which it forms in the history of architecture between Fatimite monuments such as the charming little mosque of El Aqmar and the great buildings of the fourteenth century. Some beautiful decorative motifs still adorn that part of the façade which touches the porch; the other extremity, where it joins the Sultan’s mausoleum, built some ten years later, is hidden by a very graceful Turkish monument, the sebil kuttâb, erected by Khosrow Pasha, who also superintended the building of the pretty little Turkish mosque on the Citadel, usually called Sîdi Sariya.

Though there is so little architecture left of the once grandiose college mosque of Sâleb Negm ed Dîn, the history of its founder and of his celebrated spouse, Queen Shagarat ed Durr, is one of the most interesting in the mediæval chronicles of Egypt. A son of the great El Kâmel (and perhaps a grandson of Saladin, for El Kâmel had married Saladin’s only daughter, Mûnissa, though I do not know whether she was Es Sâleb’s mother), so well known in European history by his wars and negotiations with the Crusaders, Sâleb’s youth was entirely overshadowed by the struggle between Moslems and Christians; at the age
of fifteen, he was handed over to the Franks by his father as a hostage, in exchange for the Pope’s legate, to guarantee the execution of the treaty according to which Damietta was evacuated by the Crusaders. El Kâmel’s death left him in possession of some parts of Mesopotamia, whilst his elder brother, El ‘Adel II, was master of Egypt, and the Emir Yûnis, who assumed the royal title of “El Guâd” became prince of Syria. Negm ed Dîn immediately formed the intention of supplanting his brother, and, as a preliminary manœuvre began by persuading Yûnis to exchange his Syrian principality for Es Sâleb’s Mesopotamian inheritance, the object of the latter being to find himself sufficiently near to entertain some intrigues in Egypt. In this he succeeded so fully that, when El ‘Adel marched against him in 1237, it was to find himself surrounded with traitors who arrested and deposed him, and then called upon Sâleb to come and take possession of the throne. Whatever promises the new Sultan may have made to the treacherous Emirs, he certainly did not keep them, for, no sooner was he firmly established on the throne than he had every one of them arrested and deprived of his rank and power.
He created on this occasion an entirely new corps of Mamelukes or white slaves, strong, handsome young men from the territory of Kipchak, and gave this new bodyguard the name of halqa or “belt”; he did not lodge them near his predecessor’s palace on the Citadel, but built for them a castle or barracks on the island of Roda; hence the name of Baharites (from the river) given to these Mamelukes.

The Emir Yûnis, who seems to have been innocent of any treachery towards either of the Ayûbite princes, was deprived by Sâleb of the Mesopotamian provinces which he had been persuaded to accept, and forbidden access to Egypt. The unhappy man sought refuge with the Franks at Acca; the latter, after charging him with a heavy price for their protection, sold him to Ismail, Prince of Damascus, who put him to death.

Further negotiations ensued between the Crusaders and the Prince of Damascus, and a coalition was formed between them and other members of Negm ed Din’s family which would probably have overcome the latter if he had not called in to his aid a nomad horde from Inner Asia, the
Kharizmians, who turned the balance in his favour. With their assistance he conquered Ghazza, Jerusalem, which had been handed over to the Franks by their Syrian allies, and all the fortified places on the seashore; he sent many prisoners to Cairo and a collection of Christian heads with which to decorate the gates of the city. This victory, though great, was not decisive, and three years later, in 1247, Sâleh was at Damascus at the head of his troops, when he was recalled to Cairo by the news that the French, under St. Louis, had undertaken the ninth Crusade and landed in Egypt. The Sultan, very ill at the time, was unable to ride; he had to be carried all the way from Damascus to Cairo in a litter. He seems to have been suffering from tuberculosis and from a malignant ulcer on one leg, and he bore the wearying pain which this must have caused with admirable fortitude. A weaker man would have remained in his capital and sent another commander to lead an army against the invaders, but he had himself carried to a camp on the Ashmûn canal, from which he organised the defence. A renowned tribe of Bedawin, the Beni Kanâneh, were chosen to garrison Damietta, and an advanced guard, under
College of Sâleb Negm ed Din Ayûb. Minaret, south-east side.
the Emir Fakhr ed Dîn, waited on the shore. This advanced guard must have presented a very fine appearance, for the French chronicler Joinville, whose account of the ninth Crusade makes such fascinating reading, declares that "we found the Soldan's whole army on the shore; they were very fine people to look at, for the Soldan wore a golden armour on which the sun shone resplendently."

Joinville evidently took the Emir Fakhr ed Dîn for the Sultan, who was lying ill in his tent near the Ashmûn Canal; the Mameluke historian, Gamal ed Dîn Abul Mohâssen, writes that "extreme insubordination prevailed in the army on account of the king's illness and nobody could control the soldiers." Both Joinville and Abul Mohâssen agree that the Saracens offered little or no resistance and turned tail after a very few moments. Fakhr ed Dîn led his army back in the night to the Sultan's camp, making no attempt to defend Damietta, in fact passing the town without stopping; the Beni Kenâneh, seeing themselves abandoned, were seized with panic and fled, followed by the inhabitants of Damietta, who had only too much cause to remember a former invasion of the town by the Crusaders.
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St. Louis and his army entered the town without striking a blow, taking possession of all that Sâleh had gathered there to prepare the town for a protracted siege.

It was a terrible disappointment for the dying Sultan, and he hastened to visit it on the white-livered garrison, after having salved his conscience by obtaining from the Sheykhs, who were his advisers in religious law, a unanimous opinion that a soldier who deserted his post deserved to lose his life. Every one of the Beni Kenâneh chiefs was hanged, and Maqrîzy even relates that one of them, who had with him a beloved son, was not allowed to die first but forced to witness the boy’s execution.

Negm ed Dîn did not live more than a few months after that, but succumbed to the illness which had caused him so much suffering. Shortly before his death he desired to see every man who considered that he had some grievance against him and gave orders that the wrongs of each be redressed. He died at the age of forty, in November, 1247, under the walls of El Mansûra, where he had encamped after the Damietta disaster. This city had been built by his father, El Kâmêl, and he himself had endowed it with a mosque of which nothing now remains.
TOMB OF SĀLEH NEGM ED DĪN AYÜB. GENERAL VIEW (IN 1918).
CHAPTER III
THE TOMB OF SULTAN ES SÂLEH NEGM ED DİN AYÜB

The death of Sultan Negm ed Dîn left his army, and, in fact, the whole of Egypt, in the greatest danger, and it is probable that St. Louis and his Crusaders would have conquered the country with little difficulty if they had realised their opportunity and pushed their advantage farther instead of lingering foolishly and only reaching El Mansûra two whole months after their victory at Damietta.

Though the Franks did not know it, Sâleh’s turbulent Mamelukes were without a leader; he had named no successor, and his natural heir, his son Turân Shâh, was at that time in the far distant town of Hisn Kayfa, on the River Tigris; his second son, Khâlil, was only a baby. However, the Emirs themselves were as ignorant as the enemy of the true state of things. Although Es Sâleh had left no son on the spot to rule over them, he had left a widow, Princess Shagarat\(^1\) ed

\(^1\) See A. de Mérionec, Chagaratt-Ouddour, in the Bulletin de l’Institut Egyptien, 1888.
Durr (tree, or spray of pearls), and she, by her amazing intelligence and strength of will, saved the situation. Assisted by the Emir Fakhr ed Din, who commanded the army, the eunuch Gamal ed Din Mohsen, and a slave called Suheyl, she decided to keep the Sultan’s death a secret until the arrival of Turân Shâh, to whom she had hastened to send a messenger. It was no new thing for her to wield sovereign authority; her husband, who had the greatest confidence in her judgment, referred to her in everything, and she had been left in Cairo as Regent during most of his campaigns.

It is not the least attractive point in the character of this extraordinary woman that she was always perfectly content with the actual power she possessed, and seemed to have no desire for the appearance of it. Originally a slave of Turcoman origin, like Sâleb’s halqa or body-guard of Mamelukes, her singular beauty and intelligence had induced the Sultan to marry her, and she had given him one son, little Khalîl. She had followed her husband on this campaign, probably on account of his severe illness, and it is obvious that she had been holding the reins of authority for some time before his death.
According to Maqrîzî, largely quoted by the modern European historians who have yielded to the desire to repeat this romantic tale, Shagarat ed Durr had the body of the Sultan secretly washed, probably embalmed, and placed in a coffin, and she herself, with her little boy and a few trusty retainers, sailed up the Nile with it in a small boat and laid it in a vault of the Castle of Rûda. It remained there until the year 1250, when she transferred it to the mausoleum, which she built for him next to his madrassa in the Suq el Nahassîn.

In the meanwhile, she concealed his death very successfully; she called an assembly of the principal Emirs, and announced to them that the Sultan was very seriously ill and wished to delegate his authority to his son on his return, with the assistance of the Emir Fâghr ed Dîn as Atabek, i.e. Generalissimo and Viceroy. The Emirs willingly swore an oath of fealty, as did the Governor of Cairo and all persons in authority; decrees were promulgated as emanating from the sick Sultan and bearing his signature, a clever forgery by Suheyl; the slave, who also signed all the current correspondence dictated by the princess herself. Food was carefully prepared
for the royal patient, and taken to his tent; people who wished to see him were asked to postpone their visit for a few days, when he would no doubt be better able to receive them. Not content with managing the ordinary affairs of the State, Shagarat ed Durr seems even to have directed the military operations, and it was under her guidance that the Emir Fakhr ed Din called up reinforcements and the fleet from Cairo, so that when the Franks reached the north-east bank of the Ashmûn Canal, a large Moslem force was waiting for them on the other side.

For a few days the two armies, separated by the narrow waterway, limited themselves to skirmishes, a few prisoners being made every day. But, on the 9th February, 1249, a Bedawy traitor revealed a ford to the Crusaders who crossed the canal so rapidly that the Moslems, taken unawares, were unable to oppose them. If the Franks had kept together and made the attack in force, the battle would have been theirs, and Egypt with it; but Count Robert of Artois, St. Louis’ younger brother, who commanded the second column, refused to keep his place or to wait for the rest and dashed on heedlessly right through the Egyptian camp. The
Moslems were surprised in their own tents. Fakhr ed Dîn, who was enjoying a bath at the time, hastened to mount his horse, but was killed in the battle, and many of the Egyptian foot-soldiers disbanded and fled. Sâleh’s highly trained *halqa* of mamelukes, however, rallied after the first shock, and, led by the Emir Beybars el Bundoqdâry, who later became Sultan, charged at full gallop on the attacking Franks and broke their columns; a furious battle ensued, in which fifteen hundred Crusaders lost their lives and which only ceased when the night came.

Everything went badly for the French after that: their army was practically surrounded by enemies, and misfortune followed upon misfortune. The place reeked with dead bodies; St. Louis had hired a hundred natives to clear them out, and the work lasted a whole week; the labourers threw the bodies of Moslems into the Nile, and buried Christian corpses in one huge pit.

Presently an epidemic fell upon the army, already ravaged by scurvy caused by eating corpse-fed fish during Lent.

Joinville, always picturesque in his descriptions, tells us how, being himself ill in bed, his
chaplain was celebrating a private Mass for him when the poor priest was seized with an attack of the malady and looked as if about to faint. The seneschal rose from his bed and went to support him, and thus he finished his Mass, "but he never chanted again, for he died."

In the Moslem camp, circumstances had changed owing to the arrival of Turân Shâh, who was met by several emirs, his father's death now being officially announced. His stepmother gracefully handed to him the authority that she had wielded with so much success, and the Mamelukes acknowledged him without any difficulty. He seems, however, to have been selfish and violent, and after a very few days, he became hated of the Emirs, who regretted the rule of their master's capable and ingratiating widow. Turân Shâh was, nevertheless, an efficient general, and, after further operations, the French were completely defeated and the King made prisoner, as well as his principal knights.

A house still exists at Mansûra which is said by legend to have been the prison of the saintly monarch. Turân Shâh afterwards brought his prisoners with him to Fâraskûr, on the Nile, and negotiations began, in the course of which the
amount of St. Louis’ ransom was fixed. But Turân Shâh’s violence and debaucheries had finally disgusted the Mamelukes, and he sealed his own fate by his Insolence to his stepmother, whom he accused of having squandered the treasury of the State. Shagarat ed Durr, rightly indignant, complained to the Emirs, who immediately decided to slaughter him.

His murder was attended by horrible circumstances, and the chroniclers gloat over the gruesome details of the wretched young man’s death. Beybars el Bundoqdâry, the gallant Emir who had led the victorious charge of the Mamelukes at El Mansûra, dealt him the first blow as he was swimming in the Nile, where he had tried to escape from his enemies.

The devout King of France, a prisoner in a wooden tower overhanging the river, witnessed the whole tragedy, and must have felt thoroughly nauseated when one of the murderers, the Emir Fâres Aqtây, burst into the room where he was sitting and offered him the bleeding heart of the victim. It has been said that the Mamelukes, rather than choose a Sultan from among themselves, invited St. Louis to occupy the empty throne and that he refused it, but this seems
a very unlikely story, and as Marcel, who repeats it, does not quote his authority, I have no means of investigating the matter.

The course that they adopted was nearly as astonishing; they decided to enthrone a woman, an almost unparalleled episode in Moslem history, and Shagarat ed Durr, who had ruled them so cleverly and wisely in fact, was asked to assume the royal authority also in name. They were even so desirous to please her that they chose as atabek, or viceroy, one of themselves, the Emir Aybek Ezz ed Din, who was known to be her lover. Marcel goes so far as to state that she loved him before the death of Es Sâleh. We do

1 A Moslem Princess, of Tartar origin, Balqish Jehan Raziya, reigned in Delhi in the same century (1236–1239). A daughter of the conqueror Shams ed Din, she was chosen as his heir by her father, who pleaded her courage, intelligence, and literary attainments to justify his choice. One of her brothers, Firuz Shâh, happened to be in Delhi when Shams ed Din died, and seized upon the throne, but was soon deposed by the emirs, who were disgusted by his cowardly conduct, and, remembering her father's wish, proclaimed Raziya as Sultana. She used to don male attire and to ride in person at the head of her troops. In 1239 she was vanquished and assassinated by another brother, Moezz ed Din Bahram Shâh. (See E. Blochet: Histoire des Sultans, Mamelouks, de Moufazzal ibn Abil Fazâl, Patrologia Orientalis, Vol. XII.)
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not know whether her little son, Khalîl, was still living at the time; no mention is made of him afterwards, and he probably died in his infancy; but it is to be supposed that that was only after the Queen had struck some coins (one of them is still at the British Museum), on which she styles herself Mother of Khalîl, the Victorious King, an epithet given to sovereigns in their lifetime only. Although the Mamelukes made much of their new “Sultan,” and her name was mentioned at the Friday prayers in all the mosques, Shagarat ed Durr seems to have taken her exalted position very calmly and to have applied herself diligently to her duties. First of all, she hastened to complete the negotiations with the Crusaders, by which a ransom was paid for the King of France, Damietta handed over to the Moslems, and the remaining prisoners set free. She also pushed forward the building of the mausoleum of her late husband, which monument forms the subject of this chapter.

It stands at the north end of Es Sâlehh’s college, and Maqrîzy relates that the hall reserved for the Sheykh of the Malakites was pulled down in order to make sufficient room for it. Much more of it remains than of the adjoining college,
SOME CAIRO MOSQUES

and it is a very interesting and attractive little monument.

The upper part of the porch is gone, and the vestibule has been rebuilt, but the funeral chamber still shows some notable features and the dome is intact; there even remains a good deal of the original pierced plaster windows, with a few fragments of glass. The mihrāb (prayer-niche) is unfortunately denuded of its decoration, but it is still flanked by two columns of which the remarkable marble always excites curiosity. It is a breccia, or compound of a variety of minerals, granite, green slate, verde antico marbles, welded together by a natural process, with a beautiful polished surface. Two other columns, exactly like these, frame the wonderful stucco mihrāb of Mohammed en Nâsser’s madrassa on the opposite side of the street, built fifty years later.

A well-known geological expert tells me that this is the kind of marble that is found in the quarries of Wâdy el Hamamât, in Upper Egypt, and their similarity leads me to think that they must have a common origin. Perhaps they all four came from the great Fatimite palace on the site of which Es Sâleb’s college was built; the Fatimites, not having so many Mediterranean
communications as did their successors, who imported marble columns and other materials from Syria and from the Greek islands, probably made more use of Egyptian products; the name of Emerald Palace, given to one of their royal dwellings—now entirely disappeared—would suggest that the emerald mines were made to contribute to its embellishment, and Wâdy el Hamamât is on the way to those mines.

The Sultan’s cenotaph is cased in beautiful carved wood in Ayubite style, each small panel bearing a charming *motif* in strong relief, and the encircling inscription standing boldly out against an arabesque background.
CHAPTER IV

THE TOMB OF QUEEN SHAGARAT ED DURR

THOUGH the widow of Sultan Sâleh Negm ed Din Ayùb seems in no wise to have had her beautiful head turned by her elevation to the throne after the murder of her brutal stepson, Tûrân Shâh, she nevertheless took steps to justify and consolidate her position. She assumed on official documents, inscriptions and coins, the name of Umm Khalîl, to which she was entitled, having borne a son to the late Sultan, followed by the adjectives Sâlehiya, an allusion to her having belonged to Sâleh, and Mostassemiya, the latter intended as a delicate flattery to the Abbasside khalife at Baghdad, Mostassem b’Illah.

It was important that she should conciliate him; for, according to custom, the rulers of Egypt and other Moslem countries had to receive the sanction of Islam’s spiritual head before their rule was considered as being
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legitimate, and one of the first acts of the Emirs, when they placed their queen upon the Sultan's throne, was to send dispatches to the Khalife, asking for his blessing. Mostassem b'Illah, however, indignantly refused to countenance this feminist innovation, and replied to the Emirs' letter in the following scathing terms: "Since no man among you is worthy of being Sultan I will come in person and bring you one. Know you not that the prophet—may he be exalted—has said: 'Woe unto nations governed by woman?"

On receipt of this epistle, Shagarat ed Durr, much too wise to manifest any rebellious feeling, abdicated in favour of the Regent, Aybek, who was proclaimed in great pomp, under the title of El Malek el Moezz. Her partisans among the Emirs, however, caused him to marry her solemnly, and the business of the State continued as before, for Shagarat ed Durr's new husband was quite content to leave the reins of administration in her hands while he enjoyed the honours and prerogatives of a reigning Sultan. His kingship, however, was not accepted so unanimously by the Emirs as had been that of his consort, and the Sâlehy mamelukes (i.e. those who
had belonged to Sultan Sâleh) compelled him to share the throne with a child of eight, named Mûssa Muzaffar ed Dîn, a great-grandson of El Kâmêl, whom they brought from the Yemen for that purpose and who was crowned under the name of El Malek el Ashraf. As time went on, however, the position of El Moezz became stronger; he rid himself by assassination of his most powerful rival, the Emir Fâres ed Dîn Aqtay; his own personal bravery and capable generalship, displayed in Syrian wars, earned him the devotion of a considerable party of Mamelukes, and, finding the royal descent of his young partner no longer necessary to support his own rule, he deposed the poor little boy and shut him up in a prison, where he died.

He neglected, however, to cultivate the goodwill of the clever woman whom he had married, and to whom he owed his present exalted position. Shagarat ed Durr, so philosophically indifferent to the visible apparel of power which she had twice gracefully surrendered, first to her unworthy stepson, Tûrân Shâh, and then into Aybek’s own hands, seems to have been a prey to fierce jealousy where her wifely prerogatives were concerned; whether from a sensitive regret
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of her waning beauty or whether from a fear of losing influence, it is impossible to say. She had already caused Aybek to divorce the mother of his only son, Aly, a boy of fifteen, and generally opposed the idea of political alliances by marriages with foreign princesses. Aybek by this time was tired of her domination over him and was thinking of having her assassinated, having moreover been told by a Court astrologer that he would die by the hand of a woman. Maqrizi's account of the way in which she discovered that her husband was intriguing against her is very picturesque. According to him, Aybek, who was away at Umm el Bârid, sent to the Citadel a group of Baharite mamelukes, whom he had arrested and who were to be imprisoned there.

As these men were standing waiting under the closed balcony where the Queen often sat, one of them, named Idekin, who had held a charge at Court, and was acquainted with her habits, guessed that she was there; he bowed his head (his hands were probably tied) and said in the Turkish language, which was her mother tongue as well as his own: "I am the Mameluke Idekin, the bashmakdar; by Allah, Princess, we are quite ignorant of the cause of our arrest.
SOME CAIRO MOSQUES

Still, when El Moezz sent to ask for the hand of the Princess of Mausul, we expressed our disapproval on your account. For we owe everything to your kindness and that of your late husband. El Moezz, vexed with our reproaches, has conceived hatred against us and treated us as you perceive."

Shagarat ed Durr signed to him, with her handkerchief, that she had heard his words, and later, when they were all together in the prison, Idekin said to his companions: "El Moezz has imprisoned us, but we have prepared his death."

From Shagarat ed Durr’s action after this episode, we may conclude that this was the first news she had of her husband’s intention to marry the princess of Mausul, for her jealous fury caused this hitherto prudent and diplomatic woman to commit herself irreparably. She wrote to one of Aybek’s Syrian enemies, El Malek en Nâsser Yûssef: "I intend, after putting El Moezz to death, to marry you and place you in possession of the throne of Egypt."

En Nâsser thought this was some deeply laid trap and made no answer, but informed Aybek’s intended father-in-law, Prince Lulu, of Mausul,
who warned him to beware of Shagarat ed Durr, as she was intriguing with El Malek en Nâsser. A violent quarrel ensued between husband and wife, followed by Aybek’s departure from the palace at the Citadel for the pleasure-house or belvedere of El Lûq, which had been erected near the polo ground or midân, and where he often stayed. After a few days, however, he received a messenger from the Queen bearing oaths of love and submission, and he allowed himself to be persuaded.

He left the polo ground of Lûq late in the afternoon, apparently having been playing, and, reaching the Citadel towards nightfall, repaired at once to the bath. As he entered the bath-hall he was seized by five assassins whom his wife had placed there to await him. She evidently was hiding near by, for when the unfortunate man called her loudly to his assistance, she appeared, and, her anger melting, ordered the murderers to desist; it was too late, however, and one of them, called Mohsen, said to her: “If we spare him now, he will spare neither you nor us.”

Faced with the possible consequences of her crime, Shagarat ed Durr seems to have tried to avert them. She sent one of Aybek’s fingers,
with the ring still on it, to the Great Emir, Ezz ed Din el Haleby, with a message offering him the throne, but, as Maqrizy writes, "he did not dare to take so bold a step." She ordered it to be published that the Sultan had died suddenly during the night, and some professional weeping women were brought into the palace, but El Moezz's own Mamelukes refused to believe this story, seized some slave women, and extracted the truth from them by means of torture. Thereupon they arrested the Queen and would have slain her but for the interference of the Sâlehy Mamelukes, her former companions, who, however, could not prevent her being imprisoned in the Red Tower. Seeing herself thus fallen into the hands of her enemies, she destroyed all she could of her pearls and other jewels, by pounding them in a mortar.

The Moezzy Mamelukes placed Prince 'Aly on the throne, and his mother, whom Shagarat ed Durr had caused Aybek to divorce and who was living in retirement, came back to the palace in great pomp. The young Sultan handed her former rival to her to do what she liked with. After striking the deposed Queen and insulting her, she had her stripped by her women and
beaten to death with wooden clogs such as are still worn in women's baths.

The dead body was flung over the walls of the citadel a prey to pariah dogs; as Lane-Poole remarks, the end of this woman, who had saved Egypt from the Franks, was like that of Jezebel.  

After a few days her remains were picked up in a basket and buried in a small mausoleum which she had built for herself during her short undivided reign (1250), near the shrine of Sitta Nefissa.

Though this curious little chapel was neglected for centuries, it is now being carefully cleared, and the common mosque which had been built against it is being pulled down to be replaced by a more artistic monument in appropriate style. The work of clearing has brought to light some very interesting and unusual ornamental devices on the south and east façades of the chapel; the dome has a very archaic outline, only to be met with in one or two specimens of Ayubite domes in Cairo. Inside there is some beautiful plaster work with Kufic inscriptions from the Qurân over the mihrâb and three shallower niches, one at least

1 History of Egypt in the Middle Ages. Methuen, 1914.
of which must have been a door originally. The mihrāb is lined in its upper part with rich Byzantine mosaic in gold and dark colours, and constitutes the oldest example in Cairo of that kind of decoration; the others, i.e. in the mosques of Qalaūn, Ibn Tūlūn, Taibars and Aqbogha, all dating from the fourteenth century.

The remains of the murdered Queen seem to have been placed by her enemies, not in the central vault prepared by herself, but under one of the niches; the centre was afterwards used for one of the Abbasside khalifes.

Some damage seems also to have been done purposely to the little edifice, inscriptions erased, etc., evidently in hatred of the defunct.

After a long lapse of years traces still remain in Egypt of the short but efficient rule of this peerless “Queen of the Moslems.” The mahmāl or palanquin which accompanies the sacred kiswa to Mecca every year is said to be a prototype of one in which she accomplished the holy pilgrimage. In the chronicles of the Citadel, we find references to a kind of nightly military concert, called the nauba of the Princess, which the learned French archaeologist, Casanova, understands to have been instituted by the mother.
of Khalîl, a special musical instrument being used which bore the name of *khalîliya*.

A certain seat in the Hall of Columns was called the Princess' *mastaba*, and apparently it was there that she sat, behind a curtain, and held levees in connection with the affairs of the kingdom, even after she had surrendered the throne to her second husband and contented herself with reigning under his name instead of her own.
CHAPTER V

THE TOMB OF THE UMMAYAD SHEYKH
ZEIN ED DİN YÛSSEF

SAVE for a charming legend, very little but
his name and the date of his death
(A.H. 697 A.D. 1297) is known about the
founder of this beautiful tomb, but his genealogy
is given by his funeral inscription, and that is
sufficiently suggestive to enable one's imagination
to form a fancy picture of this holy man.

A Sûfy, as M. van Berchem can tell us from the
titles and qualifications of himself and his ancestors,
he belonged to the Prophet's own tribe of the
Qurâishy and, moreover, was a descendant of the
Ummayad khalifes, but it is evident that, far
from trying to gather any advantage from his
royal pedigree, he lived a quiet and saintly life,
thinking more of the joys of Paradise than of
political preferment in this world.

This family, descended from Ummaya, a notable
Qurâishy and a relative of the Prophet, produced
no fewer than fourteen khalifes, between the time when the ambitious Mu'awīya was elected in the place of the murdered 'Aly (A.D. 661) and the massacre of almost the entire family by Es Saffā (the butcher), first Abbasside khalife, in 750. One of these khalifes, El Walid, is looked upon as the builder of the great mosque at Damascus, built upon the site of a ruined Byzantine church of which some material, such as columns, etc., were again used for the Moslem edifice.

When the descendants of the Prophet's uncle, 'Abbas, overthrew the Ummayad khalife Marwân, they attempted to exterminate the whole family and very nearly succeeded. According to historians, only two escaped. One, to a remote corner of Arabia, where his descendants were acknowledged as khalifes until the sixteenth century; our holy Sheykh may have descended from him. The other, named 'Abd er Rahman, had a brilliant destiny.

"Most of his relations were exterminated by the ruthless 'Abbassides; they were hunted down in all parts of the world and slain without mercy. 'Abd er Rahman fled like the rest, but with better fortune, for he reached the banks
of the Euphrates in safety. One day, as he sat in his tent watching his little boy playing outside, the child ran to him in a fright, and, going out to discover the cause, ‘Abd er Rahman saw the village in confusion and the black standards of the ‘Abbassides on the horizon. Hastily seizing up his child, the young prince rushed out of the village and reached the river. Here the enemy almost came up with them and called out that they need have no fear, for no injury would be done to them. A young brother who had accompanied him, and who was exhausted with swimming, turned back, and his head was immediately severed from his body; but ‘Abd er Rahman held on until he reached the other side, bearing his child and followed by his servant Bedr. Once more on firm earth they journeyed night and day until they reached Africa, where the rest of his family joined them and the sole survivor of the Ummayad princes had leisure to think of his future. . . . His first thoughts turned to Africa, for he clearly perceived that the success of the ‘Abbassides had left him no chance in the East! But after five years of wandering about the Barbary coast, he realised that the . . . Berbers in the West would not
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willingly surrender their newly-won independence for the empty glory of being ruled by an Ummayad. His glance was therefore directed towards Andalusia . . . he sailed for Spain in September, 755. The coming of the survivor of the Ummayads was like a page of romance, like the arrival of the Young Pretender in Scotland in 1745. The news spread like a conflagration through the land; the old adherents of the royal family hurried to pay him homage; the descendants of the Ummayah freedmen put themselves under his orders. . . Before the year was out he was master of all the Mohammedan part of Spain and the dynasty of the Ummayads of Cordova, destined to endure for nearly three centuries, was established.”

Very different from that of his illustrious kinsman was the life of Sheykh Zein ed Din; the inscriptions in his mausoleum, which reveal his royal genealogy, end by an invocation supposed by Professor van Berchem to be a quotation from the holy man’s dying words: “My sins are too numerous to be counted, but Thy forgiveness, O my Lord, is immense. What are my sins
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and why should I fear them since Thou art my God? . . ."

M. Patricolo, the head architect of the Comité de Conservation des Monuments Arabes, who has kindly allowed me to see notes, not yet published, on this interesting mausoleum, quotes the following legend from Es Suyûty's 'Kawkab es Sayyara :

"One day that Zein ed Dîn was travelling in a far country, he found himself much incommode by thirst and, on looking round, perceived a water bottle hanging in a window and fanned by the breeze. He therefore sat himself down to watch until some one should come out of the house whom he could ask for water, and, being tired out, he slept and had a dream. He saw a beautiful houri coming towards him. Seized with admiration for her perfect form, he asked who was her possessor and she answered: 'I belong to him who has enough self-control to abstain from taking water from the water-bottle.' He assured her that the desire to do so had gone from him, and the houri thereupon struck the bottle with her sleeve and broke it. The good Sheykh, awakened by the shock, thanked God who had vouchsafed to quench his thirst by the sight of
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a lovely houri instead of a cupful of cold water. After that dream Zein ed Din was given the name of ‘the Houris Friend.’"

The author speaks with much respect of the fervent piety of the Sheykh, who seems to have travelled a great deal, and to have been, in fact, a sort of missionary. His grandfather, the Sheykh Udây—a Sûfy like himself—appears also to have had a great renown for sanctity, and his name, slightly disfigured, has been given by popular tradition to the tomb, long known as "Sidi Ulây." The mausoleum is to be found on the right hand or west side of the tramline leading from the Midan er Rumeyla to the Mosque of the Imam Shâfey; it stands on a much lower level than the road, and an iron paling has been put up to protect it. A remarkable feature, which always excites curiosity, is that a monumental porch, on a line with the entrance of the mausoleum, stands alone, like a triumphal arch, disconnected from any building. M. Patricolo explains that this porch is all that remains of a zawīya, or chapel, which was built against the mausoleum forty years later on the poorest foundations; he adds that the survival of the porch was nothing less than miraculous.
Zein ed Dîn's own madrassa consists of a sahn with four liwâns; the domed tomb-chamber adjoins it in the south-west corner. Around the four liwâns runs one of the most beautiful stucco inscriptions in Cairo; it has been carefully cleansed from the accumulated dust of centuries by a miraculously skilful old Egyptian artisan whose work in other monuments I have often had occasion to admire. The same charming lace work is to be seen in the mihrâb, and it is not surprising to hear that photographs of the detail are being used as models by the lace workers of H.H. Sultan Fouad's School of Feminine Industries in Alexandria.

On entering the exquisite dome, the unprepared visitor is shocked to see traces of destruction by fire, and the feelings of regret and indignation become all the greater on hearing the explanation. Before the year 1907, this monument was not included in the list of those which are in the hands of the Ministry of Waqfs, but was supposed to be kept up by a private endowment, and the man who was in charge of it, an ignorant brute, found the supervision of the Comité extremely irksome. Thinking to rid himself of it once and for all, he deliberately set fire to the building! . . .
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The chapel formerly contained a specimen of the rare and incomparable Ayubite wood-carving, a *tabût* or wooden cenotaph in the style of that of the Imâm Shâfey. A description of it, with a copy of the inscription, had fortunately been recorded by Yûssef Effendi Ahmed, the learned epigraphist of the Comité, but that only increases our sense of loss.

A fine wooden frieze, perhaps painted, was also completely burnt, and much damage was done to the coloured glass windows, stucco decorations and marble mosaics. Fortunately the dome itself is intact, and the accompanying photograph gives some idea of its graceful proportions and the superb inscription which encircles its base. The interior of it is much more highly decorated than is usual.¹

A large rose in the centre forms the starting point of rays in relief, separated from each other by deep angular grooves. These ribs may be an imitation in brickwork of earlier or contemporaneous wooden domes, such as that of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre—as completed by Modestus

¹ A picture of it, wrongly labelled “Dome of the chapel of the Imâm Shâfey,” is to be found in M. Saladin’s *Architecture* (Manuel de l’Art Musulman), p. 101.
and afterwards destroyed by Chosroes—that of the Qubbet es Sakhra at Jerusalem (built by Abd el Malek in 691) the original dome of the Imâm Shâfey’s mausoleum, afterwards renewed by Qaïtbaï, and later, that of the Mosque of Mohammed en Nâssem at the Citadel (735 A.D.) and that of the hanâfiya in Sultan Hassan’s mosque.

The whole surface, the frames of the twenty windows, the three courses of stalactites, etc., all is decorated in the most finished and intricate geometrical designs from which curves are practically absent, intermixed with Coranic inscriptions; the general effect is at the same time subdued and wonderfully rich.

While deploring the barbarous and idiotic damage done, we cannot be too thankful that so much remains of this little work of art, and much credit is due to the Comité’s workmen for the admirable way in which the repairs have been carried out.
CHAPTER VI

THE KHANQA OF SULTAN BEYBARS EL GÂSHENKIR

The old chroniclers to whom we owe all we know of the history of the Middle Ages in Egypt abound in striking human details which make their descriptions delightfully real. It is true that many of them are only known to a restricted circle of readers on account of the difficulties of the Arabic language, but Maqrîzy, perhaps the most graphic of them all, has been in part translated and much pleasure can be derived from reading him under the guise of Quatremère's Histoire des Sultans Mamelouks. His account of the court of Cairo during the second reign of Mohammed en Nâsser (1298–1308) contains many horrible stories of murder and torture, but it is only when we turn to equally blood-curdling tales of what was going on in France under Philippe le Bel and in England under Edward I, that we realise the necessity of making allowances for mediæval darkness,
so modern does Saracen civilisation appear to us as pictured by its historians.

Among the many lifelike figures taking part in the dramatic events of that time which are presented to us by Maqrizi, and afterwards by Ibn Iyâs, who takes up the thread of the narrative, the two great emirs, Selâr the Viceroy and Beybars el Gâshenkir (i.e. Taster, afterwards Ostadâr or Master of the Royal Household), hold the first rank.

We are even given a description of their personal appearance: Selâr, of Tartar origin, dark-skinned, with black piercing eyes, a tuft of beard on his chin, short and somewhat heavily built; Beybars, a Circassian, fair-skinned and blue-eyed, tall and graceful, “a worthy Sultan” says the Arab writer, after enumerating many cruelties and acts of treachery. They were both promoted to high places on the very day when the young Mohammed returned from exile.

He was only fourteen at the time (A.D. 1298), and it is perhaps not surprising that he should have been kept from exercising any real authority. Beybars and Selâr directed everything, not only at first, when the young Sultan was little more than a child, but later, after he had victoriously
commanded troops in Syrian wars. They kept
him in such subjection and had so little considera-
tion for his personal comfort that he could not
even procure delicacies for his own table, a fact
which seems to have rankled bitterly in his mind.

Both men appear to have been cruel and
unscrupulous, and we find on many pages stories
of tortured slaves and wholesale executions.
Beybars showed "very laudable zeal and firm
resolution" in carrying out edicts against Jews
and Christians (1301), according to which they
were to wear coloured turbans and, in the baths,
a bell hanging round their necks; to abstain from
riding horses, carrying arms, walking in the centre
of the road, possessing Moslem slaves, marrying
Moslem women, etc. etc.

These edicts were enforced throughout Egypt
and Syria, save in the towns of Karak and Shubak,
where the great majority of the population were
Christians. Beybars also abolished a Christian
feast, called the Martyr's feast, which used to
take place at Shubak every year, and, though his
decision caused much sorrow among the Chris-
tians, it is impossible not to applaud it, if we are
to believe Maqrîzy's description of the orgies
to which it gave occasion. It is evident that
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disreputable scenes were but too frequent at that time, for, speaking of the rejoicings which took place in Cairo to celebrate the Sultan’s return after a victorious campaign against the Mongols, Maqrîzy avers that: “scenes of profligacy and drunkenness were carried to a point past description.”

These unholy revels were interrupted very dramatically by one of the most terrible earthquakes on record (1302). To quote Lane-Poole’s (abridged) rendering:

“The oscillation, the cracking of walls, the fall of houses and mosques, caused a frantic panic. Women rushed about unveiled and gave birth to premature infants. Men saw their houses crumbling to the ground and everything they possessed lost; or, flying in amazement, left their homes to be rifled by thieves. The Nile threw its boats a bow-shot on the land. The population encamped outside the city, trembling for the fall of the heavens and the end of the world. The earthquake was felt all through Egypt, and injured Alexandria as well as Qûs; Damascus and Akka experienced the shock. Cairo, after the earthquake, looked like a city that had been wrecked by a conquering army.”
Khanqa of Beybars el Gâshenkir. Minaret.
THE KHANQA OF BEYBARS EL GÂSHENKIR

The great mosques suffered severe damage, and the principal Emirs vied with each other in restoring them at their own expense. Beybars undertook the restoration of the Fatimite mosque of El Hâkim; we are told that he visited it immediately after the earthquake and showed much concern at the destruction which had taken place.

His restorations have been recorded elsewhere;¹ it is interesting to note the similarity of the new summits which he placed on El Hâkim's minarets and that of his own khanqa or convent, in the Gamaliya Street. A few minarets of this design still remain in Cairo and they all date from the same period, one of the most interesting being that of the tomb mosque of the Emirs Selâr and Sangar el Gawly, described in the next chapter. Beybar's khanqa was the second monastery built in Cairo and is now the oldest, the first—founded by Saladin—having disappeared. This one was saved from utter ruin by the care of the Comité de Conservation des Monuments de l'Art Arabe, about twenty-five years ago. It was intended for Sûfy monks, and their cells took up a good deal of the space.

¹ See Rambles in Cairo, p. 19.
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Beybars endowed the foundation with an unalienable *waqf* in favour of the Sūfy community, of whom no fewer than four hundred religious were to be accommodated.

His own tomb was under an adjoining cupola; it is, in my opinion, the most impressively beautiful of the domed mausoleums in Cairo. Perhaps the way in which the light falls from above on the solitary marble cenotaph and makes it stand out amid the darkness of the funeral chamber is really the explanation of this almost supernatural beauty; I sincerely hope that no side windows will be cleared in order to bring in more light. But, apart from any theatrical lighting effects, the chapel presents some very fine features; the marble facings and mosaics are unusually bold in design and the dome rests on a very perfect system of stalactites framing pierced plaster windows of a remarkably delicate tracery.

The porch of the monument is unlike almost any other in Cairo, though similar torus mouldings framing a rounded arch are to be found in many Crusaders' buildings in Syria. The inscription offers a special historical interest which is explained by the end of the Gâshenkir's story.
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In the year 1307, the young Sultan, sick to death of the fetters in which he was kept, announced his intention of accompanying the holy pilgrimage with his family. He imparted this design to Beybars and Selâr, who approved the idea, as also did Beybars’ numerous partisans, for reasons of their own. All the Emirs hastened to offer magnificent presents for the journey, and letters were sent to the various halting places on the way, ordering all preparations to be made.

As the Prince, escorted by his suite, left Cairo, he was accompanied by weeping crowds who followed him as far as Birket el Hag. Beybars and Selâr did likewise, but their pride and arrogance had reached such a point that they bade farewell to the Sultan without dismounting from their horses, after which they turned back towards Cairo.

The Sultan with his suite arrived as far as Karak, where the Governor, the Emir Akûsh, gave him the best reception he could. En Nâsser settled down comfortably in this very strongly fortified town and then announced to the Emirs who had accompanied him that he intended to remain quietly there and give up his throne, "which," he added, "Beybars el Gâshenkir has
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already usurped.” He then exchanged somewhat bitter letters with Beybars and Selâr, who, though violently jealous of each other, were united in wishing him to confirm his abdication. Selâr, recognising that Beybars’ adherents were more numerous than his own, proposed his rival as Sultan, and, after some show of reluctance, the latter accepted, Selâr himself remaining Viceroy as before. . . .

But the Gâshenkir was not destined to remain long on the throne; he was hated by the people, who clung to the son of Qalaûn, hoping he might return to them. Some popular poet having composed a comic and slightly disrespectful topical song with a play on the new Sultan’s name, he flew into a violent rage and had about three hundred persons arrested for singing this song, and their tongues cut out. He also arrested and imprisoned several Emirs on the charge of writing conspiring letters to the late Sultan at Karak. Meanwhile, Mohammed began to regret having left his people to such a man and to intrigue to recover his throne. Soon a general revolt arose in Syria, and Beybars found he must defend his position. Aided by Selâr, who remained faithful to him, he attempted to organise resistance,
but defections met him at every step, and the Emirs advised him to write to En Nâsser and to solicit from him a post in some distant province. Beybars, "boiling with rage," followed this counsel and abdicated, sending two Emirs with a letter to Mohammed en Nâsser. He took with him most of the royal treasure and three squadrons of cavalry, and prepared to leave the town. So much was he hated of the people that they assembled at the city gate when they heard of his impending departure and tried to stone him; he only saved his life by flinging money among them.

He fled towards Assuân. As he reached the neighbourhood of Akhmîm he was rejoined by two Emirs, sent by the Sultan, who succeeded by wiles in detaching from him the mamelukes who had accompanied him, and also in taking from him the treasure and the fine horses which he had appropriated. They then ordered him to retire to Karak, promising to send his children to join him, and, although he obeyed all these orders, another envoy from En Nâsser arrested him near Suez and brought him back by night to Cairo, where he was imprisoned in the Citadel. Mohammed himself went into his prison when the
morning came, and, after reproaching him bitterly, had him strangled in his presence. When he was dead, the Sultan sent to inform his wife and ordered that he be buried in the cemetery. This was done, but, a short time later, some of the Emirs obtained from the Sultan permission to bury him in his own khanqa.

In his bitter hatred against the usurper, En Nâsser ordered his royal title “El Muzaffar” to be hammered out of the inscription which runs along the beautiful and unique porch of the edifice.

After a few years (1326), the monastery, which had been closed at the fall of its founder, was re-opened by En Nâsser and a great many Sûfy monks were harboured therein.
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CHAPTER VII

THE TOMBS OF SANGAR EL GAWLY AND SELÂR

In the midst of the turmoil of foreign wars, private quarrels and wholesale executions which make up the history of Mohammed En Nâsser's second reign, the Mosque el Gawliya stands as a record of a long and faithful human friendship. The twin domes of the two Emirs buried there and its unusual position on the side of a hill make its outlines quite different from any other, and it is full of special interest for the architect as well as the historian.

The Emir Selâr, whose remains occupy the tomb lying under the higher of the two domes, was the man whose history is closely interwoven with that of Beybars el Gâshenkir and who has therefore been the subject of various references in the preceding chapter.

Historians frequently draw a parallel between the two men and, even apart from the pleasant picture offered by his friendship with Sangar el
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Gawly, Selâr's seems to have been, on the whole, a less unsympathetic personality than his rival's. He was of Tartar blood and had amassed, by uncertain and somewhat doubtful means, an enormous private fortune, which enabled him to satisfy his tastes for barbaric splendour and which makes the manner of his death all the more pathetic.

Such was his taste for dress that he originated fashions in clothes, and Ibn Iyâs, writing in the sixteenth century, averred that a certain kind of vest was still called *silariya* after him. He also seems to have had a vast hareem, for the same chronicler states that he was rich in children beyond counting; one of his daughters, being given in marriage to a nephew of the Sultan, a grandson of Qalaûn, received a dowry of one hundred and sixty thousand gold dinars.

Though so wealthy, he was no miser, but gave much away in charities and was very much preferred to Beybars by the people; En Nâsser himself hated them both equally, neither of them having apparently troubled to try to obtain his goodwill. Selâr, however, was jealous enough of outside influence over the young Sultan for, having ascertained that the Wazîr Esh Sheykhy—
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an upstart whose promotion had taken place against Selâr's wishes—had been advising En Nâsser to shake off his tutelage, he had him cruelly put to death. He and his devoted friend, Sangar el Gawly, procured a clever Copt who trumped up a charge of embezzlement against the unhappy wazîr. Beybars, approached by some of his own relations, who were friends of Esh Sheykhy, interceded in his favour, but seeing that Selâr was bent on his destruction, went away on the pilgrimage for the second time. Immediately after his departure, Selâr had the wretched wazîr flogged until he died under the whip.

Other actions recorded by Maqrîzy show Selâr in a more favourable light. On the occasion of his pilgrimage to Mecca, it is said that he performed many honourable acts in the province of the Hedjâz. For instance, he had a list drawn up of the pilgrims who were in retirement at Mecca and paid off all the debts they had incurred; he distributed among the poor the whole cargo of several ships which he had equipped and sent to Jeddah, and he treated the poor of Medina with equal munificence. At the same time, some nomad Arabs having robbed pilgrims of their
camels, he pursued the robbers, made fifty of them prisoners and had their hands and feet cut off.

Among other pious works, Selâr’s share of the rebuilding of mosques after the great earthquake was an important one; he restored both the ancient mosque of ‘Amr ibn el Aâs at Fostât and the holy university of El Azhar.

According to Maqrîzy, it was in the year 1307 that dissensions began to occur between Beybars and Selâr, and it was the latter’s affection for Sangar el Gawly which was the initial cause, for, Sangar having had a violent dispute with a protégé of Beybars, each of the two great Emirs sided with his own partisan and personal animosity soon arose between them. Selâr seems to have done his best to smooth matters over; he persuaded El Gawly to wait upon Beybars and to endeavour to appease him with soft words, but when this failed, and Beybars only received Sangar with insults and vituperation, Selâr was deeply offended. He and Beybars had been in the habit of riding out together every day, but this was now discontinued and each went out separately, accompanied by his adherents. “Every one,” says Maqrîzy, “was expecting trouble.”
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However, Selâr made one more effort to conciliate Beybars, reminding him that he and Sangar el Gawly were such close friends that each had chosen the other to care for his children should he predecease him. Beybars would hear nothing and declared that if Sangar could not repay the moneys that he was falsely accused of appropriating, he would have him die the same death as Esh Sheykhy. Sangar proceeded to sell his possessions—horses, clothes, furniture, etc., near the Qulla gate, and many Emirs, professing to be grieved at his misfortune and really desirous of obtaining the powerful Selâr’s favour, bought these at prices far above their real value, intending to return them to the owner when the latter would be reinstated in Beybars’ good graces.

Things remained tense for some time; Beybars and Selâr did not speak to each other and most of the Mameluke Emirs wore hidden weapons under their clothing in case of a sudden outbreak of hostilities.

At last Beybars relented, up to a certain point. El Gawly was released, but exiled to Syria, where he was given a military post. A great reconciliation took place after his departure between Beybars and Selâr, and they were brought
nearer to each other by the discovery of a plot prepared by the Sultan to get rid of them both. It was Selâr, however, who brought it to nought by exercising wonderful diplomacy and adroitness, to that extent that peace was apparently restored between the Sultan and the two Emirs, another man being sacrificed and disowned by both parties.

When Mohammed took refuge in Karak by a trick and sent letters of abdication to Cairo, Selâr, seeing that Beybars’ Mameluke partisans were in greater number than his own, made a virtue of necessity and urged the election of his rival to the Sultanate. And it must be added that, when the tide turned, and it seemed that the son of Qalaûn would be restored to the throne, Selâr remained faithful to Beybars, until the latter fled towards Assuân, as related in the last chapter. Then Selâr’s prudence overruled his loyalty to one who had ever been a rival rather than a friend, and he took measures intended to secure Mohammed’s good-will. He sealed up the Treasure House, liberated the Emirs whom Beybars had imprisoned in the Citadel, and wrote a letter of submission to En Nâsser, whose name he ordered to be mentioned in the Friday
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services, as was the custom for the reigning Sultan. As Mohammed en Nâsser approached Cairo, he was met by Selâr, accompanied by a large party of Emirs; all kissed the earth before him.

When the son of Qalaûn’s third accession to the throne was celebrated, Selâr took the opportunity to ask to be relieved of the Viceroyalty which he had held for eleven years and to be allowed to retire to Shubak. Mohammed, with the duplicity which characterised him and which he had perhaps acquired through years of repression and restraint, accorded this with a gracious show of reluctance and presented his late Viceroy with travelling robes, whereupon Selâr departed.

Shortly afterwards, however, some intrigues were discovered in which a brother of Selâr was concerned, and the Sultan sent a letter to Selâr, inviting him to come to Cairo and prove his innocence. As the prudent Emir preferred to remain where he was, Mohammed then sent his friend, Sangar el Gawly, whom he appears to have persuaded that it would be to Selâr’s advantage to return. His coming reassured the latter, who consented to accompany him, but,
when the two with their suite came to the gates of Cairo, Selâr was arrested and thrown into the Citadel prison. In his disappointment and indignation, he refused with angry words some food that the Sultan had sent him, and the latter therefore ordered that nothing else should be given him. After some days had elapsed, the wretched man tried to eat his boots in his prison and, this being reported to the Sultan, Mohammed relented and sent him some food with a message of forgiveness. But it was too late; the prisoner rose to his feet on hearing the good news, only to fall down, dead.

I do not know what Sangar's feelings may have been when he found that he had been made an instrument in betraying Selâr: at any rate, he had him suitably buried in his own madrassa, where the two friends had prepared their tombs next to each other. If, as seems likely, Sangar supervised the completion of the edifice, it is rather touching to notice that his friend's mausoleum is far more elaborately adorned than his own and, in fact, forms the most important portion of the whole building. The Gawliya, as it was called by Arab writers, is one of the most interesting mosques in Cairo and quite unique
in style; it is built against the rock on which Ibn Tūlūn’s army quarters once stood, and the architect has very cleverly taken advantage of the unusual site. The north façade, with its twin domes and characteristic minaret, is extremely striking. Modern steps lead to the entrance vestibule, a vaulted chamber partly cut out of the rock and suggestive rather of a mediæval fortress than a mosque. To reach the prayer-hall and the tombs another staircase has to be ascended, a most picturesque flight of stone steps under a massive vault, only lighted above by an opening in the roof.

At the top of the stairs, a square landing has three doors, that at the bottom of the minaret, one opening into the sanctuary, and the third leading into the corridor, again solidly vaulted, at the end of which is a small dome above an obscure Sheykh’s tomb. On the left, or south side, this corridor is lighted by large bays, screened with the most wonderful carved stone-work in Cairo; delicate open-work arabesques about an inch thick, in a different design for each bay. These alone would justify a visit to this little-known monument.

On the right of the passage, one door opens
into the tomb-chamber of Selâr, and another into that of Sangar; there are also doors of communication between the two. They are very like each other in the general plan and harmony of their proportions, but the decoration of that of Selâr is much more elaborate. Large windows look over the street below, but it is better to keep them closed so as to enjoy the subdued and melancholy light thrown by the charming glass windows of the dome; these qamarîyât retain the original glass, with an attractive design of a chalice in moonlight blue, and tone very harmoniously with the general soft and rather cold effect.

There is nothing interesting in the Sanctuary, which has had to be partly rebuilt, save its very uncommon plan. The covered sahn shows remains of a fine inscription and some odd little square windows in carved stone-work, similar, on a much smaller scale, to that of the bays in the corridor.

In the yard, full of rubbish and débris, which can be entered through a side door from the corridor, a magnificent stucco inscription runs along a wall; it is Quranic, as are also the beautiful inscriptions on the drums of the two
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domes, sadly damaged, unfortunately, and the inscriptions on the minaret. The latter, with its square base and octagonal upper story, is not unlike that of Qalaûn; it is crowned by a kind of ribbed bonnet like others of the same period, approximately, for instance, that of Beybars el Gâshenkir, the restorations to those of El Hâkim, etc. etc.

Sangar lived for many years after burying his unhappy friend and was for a long time Governor of Palestine. He built a number of monuments at Ghazza and Hebron, of which a few remains still exist.
CHAPTER VIII

THE EPOCH OF SULTAN QÂITBÂY

The name of El-Malek el-Ashraf Abul Nasr Qâitbây has become identified with that of a whole epoch to which Cairo owes a great number of graceful monuments. Built either by the Sultan himself or by the rich Emirs of his court who wished to imitate him whilst glorifying themselves, these monuments, of which the mausoleum in the eastern cemetery is the prototype, are fairly homogeneous in style, and that style has accordingly become known by the name of Qâitbây: their number and charm certainly bear witness to the Mameluke Sultan’s refined taste and energetic enterprise.

1 See, for a detailed description of this masterpiece, “Die Grab-Moschee des Sultans Kaid Bey” by Franz Pasha, in Die Baukunst.

It is also described in most books dealing with Cairo architecture, such as Lane-Poole’s Cairo (Mediæval Towns); Gayet’s Art Arabe; Margoliouth’s Cairo, Jerusalem, and Damascus; Saladin’s Architecture Musulmane, etc. etc.
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Gayet, whose imaginative *Art Arabe* contains such attractive descriptions, tainted, alas, by so many inaccuracies, fancies that an approaching decadence is perceptible in the architecture of the fifteenth century. He sees in it "the frail languor and subtle delicacy of that which has received its death-blow."

After a very detailed account of the chief points to be observed in the exterior and interior aspects of a fifteenth century mosque built by a Circassian or *Bordjite* mameluke as compared to one dating from the so-called *Baharite* dynasty (thirteenth to fourteenth centuries), Gayet thus speaks of the minaret and the dome.

"The minaret and the dome were particularly adapted to personify the spirit of the times and, on them, the builder’s talent became chiefly concentrated. Already under Qalaûn and Beybars, the minaret began to soar in order to follow the aspirations of the soul: the Bordjites raised it still higher to enable it to support psychic hallucinations. . . .

But, accustomed as they were to handle polygons, they had again to resort to polygony in order to conquer difficulties. The minarets
of Qalaûn and Sultan Hassan had had square towers of which each story was narrower than the last, and each story was surrounded by a corbelled balcony. The Bordjite builders inscribed within the square of the first tower a second octagonal tower, and again, within that, a round shaft, crowning the whole by a baldaquin-shaped lantern. And, in order to emphasise the upward fling, they suppressed the terrace which separated the first from the second tower. ... Thus the minaret becomes more slender as it soars ... it is adorned with chevrons and garlands ... the dome of the lantern is now a bronze cupola decorated with arabesques against which metal poles carry lamps which are lighted on festal evenings. ... The dome of the tomb becomes covered with interlacing arabesques ... it would seem draped in lace richly wrought. ... In short, the Bordjite period has merely refined the conceptions left to it by the preceding period: it has created nothing new. ... It has had but one object: extreme grace, and in that we may say that it has been perfectly successful."

Very little attention is usually accorded to the
life and reign of the great inspirer of these architectural masterpieces, and yet the story of his reign is very interesting, particularly from the point of view of foreign history.

Those epochs in which the history of the Sultans of Egypt happens to touch that of Europe help us to conceive its chronology and to escape from a tendency to look upon it as a series of Oriental fairy tales, disconnected from our own civilisation. And, in effect, Qâitbây was a contemporary of one of the most critical convulsions in European history, Sultan Gâqmaq, who gave him his freedom, having died in 1453, the year in which Constantinople was taken by Sultan Mohammed II. This event is unanimously considered as marking the end of the Middle Ages, and it certainly presaged for Egypt the approaching end of the artistic period which flourished under the rule of her warlike Mameluke sovereigns.

Moreover, the chronicles of the fifteenth century, the Italian *quattro cento*, abound in dramatic episodes and picturesque characters, and it came about that the destiny of two of these romantic figures, Prince Djem and Queen Catherine Cornaro, crossed that of Sultan Qâitbây. The
fate of the first mentioned was a tragic one and Lane-Poole who recounts his sad tale, justly remarks that it throws a lurid light on the honour and chivalry of the Christian knights, princes and popes of the time. But, before bringing the unhappy prince before my readers, it is necessary to go back to the history of the Circassian mameluke, Qâïtbây.

He was already fifty-five and grey-headed when he was placed, protesting, on the throne of Egypt, but the Arab historians retrace his previous career. He seems to have been brought to Egypt in his youth by a slave trader named Mahmûd, who sold him and several others for fifty gold dinars each, to Sultan El-Malek El-Ashraf Barsbây. Barsbây’s successor, Seyf ed-Din Gâqmaq, freed him, presented him with horses and robes of honour, and promoted him to the rank of Gânḍân (master of the wardrobe), afterwards of Khasky (page), then of First Dawadân escritoire (bearer). The several short-reigned Sultans who succeeded Gâqmaq, viz. El Ṭâleb el Mansour Fâkhr ed Din Othmân, El Malek el Ashraf Abul Nasr Inâl, El Malek el Muayyad Shehab ed Din Ahmed, El Malek ez Zâher Seif ed Din

\(^1\) *Turkey, “Story of the Nations”* Series, p. 1404.
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Khoshqadam, El Malek ez Zâher Abu Said Timurbughâ, continued to load him with favours, and, the last mentioned having been deposed after a revolution to which Qâitbây was not altogether a stranger, the latter was chosen by the Emirs to take his place.

It must be added that he treated the deposed Sultan, a scholarly man of his own age who had been his friend, and had made him Atabek or Generalissimo, with much honour and consideration, and enabled him to live comfortably and in perfect freedom at Damietta. Timurbughâ had only accepted the Sultanate with much repugnance, and there is no reason to believe that he regretted his deposition.

After Qâitbây had reigned in peace for six years, during which he indulged in his passion for building,—his beautiful mausoleum in the eastern cemetery dating from that time,—he was forced to turn his attention to the wars which afterwards filled so many years of his reign. Mohammed II had fought and defeated Uzûn Hassan, the Turcoman ruler of Persia, who was the ally and so-called vassal of Egypt, and it was obvious that Ibn Othmân, as the Arab chroniclers call the successive Turkish
Sultans, would look upon Egypt next with covetous eyes. Qâitbây therefore busied himself with the protection of his Syrian frontiers, garrisoning them with his best troops and consolidating the fortifications; his characteristic cartouche (see Pl.) is to be found on the ancient walls of remote Syrian cities, at Birejik, for instance, where it is seen decorating the walls of the citadel. The south-east gateway bears an inscription recording the Sultan’s works of restoration, and the repairs he caused to be made to the walls are quite visible. Much of this work of consolidation is also to be seen at Aleppo.  

Fearing perhaps for his own person in spite of all these precautions, Qâitbây attempted to abdicate, invoking his age and desire for rest, but the Emirs refused to allow him to do so and insisted on his remaining their liege lord. He consented against his will, at the very moment when Mohammed II was preparing to invade Syria, an intention frustrated by his own death in A.H. 885. It is then that Prince Djem first appears upon the scene. Known as Zizim by European historians, his name was really Djem-  

1 See van Berchem, Inschrifte aus Syrien, in Beiträge zur Assyriologie, VII, i.
shîd, and he was a son of Mohammed II, from whom, according to Lane-Poole, he had inherited a vigorous and ambitious disposition and also marked intellectual gifts. His brother Bayazîd (Bajazet) having been first to hear of their father's death, hastened to Constantinople and, bribing the janissaries, seized the throne for himself. War ensued between the two brothers, and Qâitbây, reassured, left the frontiers of Syria and returned to Cairo. It would seem that he had had previous relations with Prince Djem, for the latter, having been defeated by his brother in the battle of Yeni Sheher, fled to Egypt with his wife and children and begged for refuge. Sultan Qâitbây not only received him but furnished him with the means of a fresh attack upon his brother, this time in Qaramania. Beaten once more and reduced to flight, Prince Djem placed himself under the protection of the Knights of Rhodes, of whom the Grand Master was at that time Cardinal Pierre d'Aubusson.

From this moment, the unhappy Turkish Prince became the object and the victim of infamous intrigues and shameful calculations. Lane-Poole has made the history of Djem's thirteen years' captivity the subject of one of the
most interesting chapters of his *Turkey*,¹ and points out the disgraceful part played in the affair by the sovereigns and princes of his time. Even his former protector, Qâitbây, ultimately abandoned him to his fate in his efforts to induce Bayazîd to forget the part which he had taken in the conflict between the two brothers.

As soon as he had Djem in his power, d’Aubusson made him sign a secret treaty promising great privileges for the Knights of St. John should he reach the Ottoman throne; at the same time, he opened negotiations with Bayazîd. The Sultan desired to become reconciled with his brother, but the latter refused all offers, and Bayazîd then agreed to pay forty-five thousand ducats annually to the Order as long as they could detain Djem. The ill-fated prisoner, who, not having yet understood that the possession of his person had become a valuable asset, still believed himself to be the guest of his gaolers, was taken to France. During his sojourn in one of the commanderies where he was detained by the Knights of St. John, he loved a beautiful girl who returned his affections. The thought of the mother of his children, whom

¹ “Story of the Nations” Series.
he had left in Egypt, was probably no burden on
the Moslem’s conscience. The Princess, on the
other hand, was making strenuous efforts to
ransom her husband, and the disloyal Grand-
Master was infamous enough to accept twenty
thousand ducats from her without releasing the
captive.

For thirteen long years he remained imprisoned
in Europe; during that time the hope was held
out to him that he might obtain his father’s
throne through the assistance of Mathias Corvinus,
the Hungarian King who had proved himself the
bulwark of Europe against the Ottomans. Fer-
dinand of Naples, Charles VIII of France, and
Pope Innocent VIII were each to have a part in
this. The Pontiff, however, having succeeded
in obtaining the custody of the Ottoman Prince,
demanded from Bayazîd the annual sum of
forty thousand ducats to make this secure.
His successor, the notorious Alexander Borgia,
evidently thought this arrangement unsatisfactory
and offered the Sultan to rid him altogether from
an inconvenient Pretender for the total sum of
three hundred thousand ducats.

At that moment, Charles VIII, having invaded
Italy, dictated to Alexander VI the terms of a
treaty which included, among other things, the surrender of the princely hostage into the French King’s hands. The treacherous Borgia executed this clause but earned at the same time the premium promised by the Sultan. Djem was handed over to the French in a dying condition, having previously been poisoned, by means, it is said, of a barber’s razor.

Turkish literature is the richer by several poems composed by our melancholy hero. Lane-Poole quotes one or two from E. J. N. Gibb’s *Ottoman Poems*. Truly his chequered life, its medley of ambition, love, captivity and death, provided him with sufficient subjects on which to exercise the poetic talent with which Nature had gifted him.

During the years which followed the final defeat of Prince Djem, war raged continually between Bayazid and Qâıt)bây, the advantage resting sometimes with one, sometimes with the other. In the written accounts of these battles we frequently come across the names of Mameluke Emirs who not only distinguished themselves as soldiers or diplomats, but also, following their sovereign’s example, enriched the city of Cairo with exquisite monuments.
Mosque of Ezbek el Yussef. Sebil Façade.
THE EPOCH OF SULTAN QÂITBÂY

The most important of them all, the Emir Uzbek, General-in-Chief of Qâitbây, was several times victorious over the Turks and accorded triumphal honours when he returned bringing distinguished prisoners. On one of those occasions he built a splendid mosque, giving to a whole quarter of Cairo a name which it still bears, though the mosque itself has disappeared. That neighbourhood was entirely transformed by the Emir Uzbek, who dug in it a lake, easily filled by the waters of the Nile and quickly surrounded by sumptuous dwellings; his mosque, the Uzbekiya, stood, approximately, where the Opera House now is; it was demolished in 1869 by Ismail Pasha. According to contemporaries, it must have been very fine. No traces of it are left, save some bronze bands with inscriptions, probably from the doors, and preserved in the Arab Museum. It is also very probable that the lovely house, built by M. de St. Maurice, which is now used for the French Agency, was enriched by some of the materials of Uzbek's mosque.

It seems that this Emir's tastes for building coincided with those of the Sultan, for his name is mentioned as Director of Works, notably in the description of the construction of the arches...
of the Giza Embankment. He must not be confused with his namesake, the Emir Uzbek el Youssefy, who, in 1495, built in the Sharia Es Saliba, a graceful mosque of which the plan is cleverly adapted to a very irregular piece of ground. The minaret contains a double staircase. The interior is quite characteristic of what is known as the Qaitbây style; unfortunately the carved surfaces have been disfigured by red paint, probably in Turkish times.

The mosque known under the name of Abû Horiba\(^1\) was also built by one of Qaitbây's generals, the Emir Qichmas el Ishaky. Of General Yashbak el Mahdy, one of the most important in this reign, we have the dome called el Fadawîya, near el Abbassîya, in the old Husseinîya quarter. It is an isolated mausoleum, consisting of a cube from which the dome rises without any transition; the result of this is that the exterior lacks grace, in spite of the harmonious outline of the cupola itself. Inside, the incomparable decoration which lines the interior of the dome and the pendentives sufficiently accounts, even in the absence of the marble mosaic panelling which has now disappeared

\(^1\) See Rambles in Cairo, p. 75.
from the walls and from the mihrāb for the great reputation of beauty which made a visit to this monument a favourable excursion for the last mameluke Sultans and the Turkish Pashas who followed. The Emir Yashbak died before it was completed and his Sovereign in person saw to the completion of the edifice (1481). Yashbak was the possessor of the now ruined palace which stands near the mosque of Sultan Hassan, and traces can still be seen of a large heraldic cartouche containing the dawadâr’s writing-box, considered as a hieroglyphic sign until Abdel Hamid Bey Mustapha’s convincing demonstration.¹ A cousin of General Yashbak, the Emir Gânem el Bahlawân, built in the Serugîya a handsome mosque (1478), which was restored and described by Herz Pasha; the Comité de Conservation des Monuments Arabes has published this description, with good photographic illustrations.

The Emir Mamây, whom Qâitbây sent as an ambassador to Sultan Bayazîd, and who, though an envoy, was imprisoned by the latter, was the owner of the fine palace known as the Beit el Qâdy, not far from the Khan Khalîly. Only the maq‘ad of that palace remains, a loggia facing

¹ See Burlington Magazine, December 1919.
north, framed in slender arcades. This architectural feature is to be found in Qâıtây's own houses and was afterwards repeated in the palaces and private houses of the Turkish period, probably because of its suitability to the climate of Egypt and the north breeze, almost constant in the summer, which brings a delicious coolness in the evening.

Next to the Sultan's mausoleum, the most beautiful monument of the Qâıtây period is, to my mind, the small madrassa of the learned Abu Bekr ibn Muzhir el Ansâry, chief of the Chancellery. Evidently a rich man, this Emir also built a madrassa at Jerusalem. It is, unfortunately, in a very dilapidated condition, owing to neglect and to the damp climate of Palestine, but a great deal still remains. The interior offers several commodious rooms and some cells for students, and, but for some details of the façade, it presents very little similarity with Abu Bekr's Cairo building. It is even doubtful whether he ever saw it, for he is said to have been seized by his last illness, A.H. 893, when on his way to Jerusalem to visit his madrassa, which had been completed in 885 (A.D. 1497). The Cairo madrassa was finished a year earlier. The
exterior is plain, save for the two fine doors and minaret, but the extreme skill of the architect becomes apparent when the difficulty of the site is observed. It is an angle of an ancient street bearing the name of El Hâkim’s Wazîr, Birgwân, and the orientation was not favourable. However, these very difficulties have been turned to good account; for instance, a most effective view of the interior is obtained from the vestibule owing to the diagonal position of a window opening into it.

The street is very narrow at present, but many houses are being cleared away and a better general view will soon be afforded. The interior resembles no other, save that of the mosque of Aslam el Bahây (745–1344), in this respect, that the arches of two of the liwâns are supported by columns. In this case, however, they are the two principal liwâns, whilst, in the fourteenth century mosque, the sanctuary is framed by a broad single arch and the triple arch on two columns is reserved for the two side liwâns.

The interior decoration is delicate and costly, and has been repaired with great taste by Herz Pasha (1883–97), the original designs being preserved even in the case of the pierced plaster windows of which the glass had practically dis-
appeared. As nothing remained of the lantern (or perhaps dome), which covered the sahn, Herz replaced it by a flat covering which does not interfere with the general exterior outline of the monument; the closed interior gains in religious feeling from having no open court. The marble pavement, also very badly damaged, was repaired on its own lines. The woodwork, among the very best in Cairo, suffered comparatively less, much of it being intact. The splendid bronze door had been despoiled of its inscriptions by thieves, who had also taken away a large portion of the metal polygonal surface decoration, but enough remained to reconstruct the design, and this has been very skilfully done.

The graceful arabesques over the windows on each side of the mihrāb are executed in a peculiar method, a black or red composition being inserted in grooves hollowed out of white marble. This technique appears in Mameluke work for the first time in the fifteenth century, but was used by the ancient Egyptians of the eighteenth dynasty. It is very effectively employed in mosques of the late Circassian and early Turkish period, such as those of El Ghûry, Khâirbek, Sidi Sarîya, etc. etc.
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MADRASSA OF SULTAN QA'ITBAY AT QALA'AT EL KARSH. DETAIL OF INTERIOR.
Another beautiful madrassa, this one due to the Sultan himself (1475), is as difficult of access as that of Abu Bekr. It is situated on the height known as Qalaât el Kabsh, and the best way to reach it is to pass through the mosque of Sangar el Gawly. The exterior is less harmonious than that of the mausoleum; not being a tomb, it is deprived of the special charm imparted by a dome, and the minaret is somewhat lacking in height, perhaps on account of the high and exposed ground on which the building is situated. Nevertheless that minaret is interesting because of its rare form; the lower of the two balconies rests on a sort of cornice instead of the usual stalactites and is placed much nearer the base than is the general custom; at the same time, the roof of the mosque being particularly lofty, the relative proportions of the building and its minaret seem peculiar and abnormal.

The two doorways face north and south. The north portal, in trefoil shape, is placed close to the angle on which the minaret stands; the upper lobe of the trefoil is decorated in a very characteristic manner, with a straight-lined design which I have noticed on many Qâитbây monuments and on no others: it was interesting to find it again
on some panels of the Sultan’s madrassa in Jerusalem.

The interior of the Qalaât el Kabsh madrassa has unfortunately been much neglected, in fact the whole building looks as if threatened by imminent collapse, and it is surprising to read, in the Comptes-rendus of the Comité, that large sums have been spent for its consolidation. No attempt has been made to restore its former estate, and it is particularly badly kept by the attendant in charge. However, it is easy to see that it must have been strikingly beautiful; like the mausoleum, it is built on the “modified” cruciform plan, a narrow pointed arch forming each side liwân. The two principal arches show a decided return, again like those of the mausoleum, but they do not look so broad in proportion, owing to the greater height of the walls.

The archivolts of all four arches consist of alternate plain red and richly carved white stone voussoirs; the same scheme of decoration is used throughout the interior and is extremely pleasing, especially in the treatment of the mihrâb.

Remains still exist of fine ceilings, and the minbar is of marquetry inlaid with ivory and ebony. The dikka forms an inner balcony in
the north liwân, an attractive feature which is also to be found in Abu Bekr’s madrassa.

A drinking trough for horses and cattle stands near the south entrance of the madrassa; it is not in a better state of preservation than that near the mausoleum, which it greatly resembles. A third drinking trough, near El Azhar, is in much better condition; it is perhaps later in date. Like the neighbouring wakâla and sebil, it is adorned with exquisite details.

Two other large waqâlas, or khâns, were built in Cairo by Qâitbây, and a good deal remains of that which stands in the vicinity of Bab en Nasr. Qâitbây built several of these khâns in Syria, and some are mentioned in Lanzone’s interesting Viaggio in Palestina e Soria da Kaid Bai.¹

It was only in 1490 that peace was concluded between the Turks and Egypt, and Qâitbây took advantage of it to secure the continuation of revenue which he sorely needed to face the enormous expenses caused by his passion for

¹ A XVth century Arab text, edited and published with an Italian preface and notes, Turin, 1878. A French translation, by the present writer, will shortly be published, by the Institut Français d’Archéologie Orientale in Cairo.
architecture. This useful annual sum, the exact amount of which is uncertain, came from Cyprus; the island, ceded to the Lusignan family by Richard Cœur de Lion in 1192, had been reduced to vassalage by Sultan Barsbây in 1426, and paid a yearly tribute to Egypt.

King John II of Lusignan was succeeded by his son John III, married to Princess Helena Paleologue, who had acquired a powerful influence over her husband though she had borne him but one daughter, Princess Charlotte. By a Greek woman from Patras the king also had a natural son, James, whom his wily stepmother had forced to become a priest and who was now Archbishop of Nicosia. Among the young prelate’s friends was an exiled patrician from the powerful Republic of Venice, Andrea Cornaro, a member of a ducal house who had settled in Cyprus, where his family possessed land-property, and was intriguing to obtain his pardon. The clever Venetian persuaded the illegitimate Prince to claim his rights against his step-sister, married to a foreigner, Prince Louis of Savoy, and to leave the Church in order to marry and continue the dynasty. He also spoke to him of his own niece, beautiful Caterina Cornaro, who,
should she become his Queen, would no doubt secure an alliance with Venice. He succeeded so well that James fell in love with Caterina at the mere sight of her portrait and announced his claim to the throne of his father. It was obvious that Cornaro had thus found means of coming again into favour with his fellow-citizens, for it was the Venetian Ambassador who protected James in his flight to Rhodes when his stepmother attempted to have him assassinated, and Caterina, his fiancée, was solemnly adopted by Venice and declared Daughter of the Republic. The death of John III (1458), immediately after that of Queen Helena, hurried the course of events; James went to Egypt and succeeded in obtaining assistance from Sultan Khoshqadam by increasing the annual tribute, and especially by acquiring the aid of the Ottomans against his sister and her husband, Louis of Savoy, who had no allies but the Republic of Genoa and the Knights of Rhodes. He returned to Cyprus with a Venetian squadron and married Caterina Cornaro by proxy in 1469.

His reign lasted but three years; he died in 1472, perhaps poisoned, leaving his wife pregnant and under the official protection of Venice;
when the child, a boy, was born he was given Venetian sponsors at his baptism. Every action of the widowed Queen was dictated to her by the Republic, through the intermediary of her uncle Andrea. It seems that the Cypriots did not appreciate this foreign yoke, for a conspiracy burst out in 1473, Cornaro was assassinated, and the Queen and baby King imprisoned. They were released and replaced on the throne by Venetian forces, but the child died in 1475. James had left some illegitimate children and provided in his will that they should come next in order of succession, but Venice had had them all, with his mother, taken to Padua, where they died. Caterina continued to reign alone; no doubt she realised that her power was solely upheld by the Republic, impatiently awaiting for her death to seize the coveted prey. In 1488, the Republic having ascertained that she was contemplating a second marriage with a Prince of the House of Naples, her brother Giorgio was sent to her with orders to impose abdication upon the Queen; she resisted until 1489, then gave way and let herself be taken to Venice, where she was confined, with every luxury and a hypocritical show of respect, in the castle of Asolo, where she died at the age of
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fifty-six. Cypriot chronicles imply that she was beautiful, but we have no trustworthy portrait of Caterina; M. André Maurel, whose *Quinze Jours à Venise* led me to search into the sad history of this noble lady, proves that the two so-called portraits of her, by Titian and Giorgione, cannot be authentic since both these painters were but twelve years old when she returned to Venice after her unhappy reign.

The Republic, though having thus seized upon the Lusignan’s kingdom, was unable to shake off the suzerainty of Egypt. In 1490, Sultan Qâitbây concluded peace with the Turk; being consequently unhampered in his movements, he then turned towards Cyprus, and, by threatening to invade the island, easily obtained the continuation of the annual tribute. Interesting letters have been preserved in which Sultan Qâitbây acknowledges, first, in 1476, Catherine’s royalty, and secondly, in 1490, the domination of Venice over the island of Cyprus, in each case accompanied by rich presents, spices, sugar, pieces of silk, robes of honour, and plates and bowls of porcelain; the letter to the widowed

---

1 Italian translations of these are to be found in Mas Latrie’s *Histoire de Chypre*, Vol. III, p. 405.
queen is very lordly and condescending in tone, and graciously accepts her excuses for having omitted for two years to pay the tribute, owing to the revolution during which her husband and her uncle had died.

If the means used by Qâitbây to enforce an annual subsidy from the Venetian Republic were fully justified, the same cannot be said of many cases in which historians detail the cruelty and injustice of his exactions. He neglected no opportunity or pretext to seize upon the heritage of any rich man who happened to die; he burdened the fellahîn with taxes and went so far as to torture people in order to obtain money from them to enable him to gratify his mania for building. The history of Zein ed Din Yehia gives an example of his rapacious cruelty.

An Armenian by birth, he filled for many years the post of ostadâr or major-domo, and Ibn Iyâs relates that he was repeatedly imprisoned or tortured under accusations of embezzlement of funds. He had certainly become a very rich man and, during the reign of Sultan Gaqmaq, his protector, he built three fine mosques. One of those mosques (A.D. 1444) is to be found in Bein
el Nehdein and was restored by the Comité. The repairs were begun in 1884, when very few monuments had yet received any attention, but the work was interrupted again and again for lack of funds. At last, in 1897, the restoration was finished, at a total cost of two thousand nine hundred and eleven pounds. The mosque is small and planned after the later Circassian style, with that peculiarity that the little school (kuttâb) is placed, not above a fountain as usual, but above the tomb, which is therefore without a dome.

Like the later mosque of Qichmâs el Ishâky, this monument is very effectively situated on a triangular piece of ground between two diverging streets, the minaret placed well forward and two charming mushrabiya balconies lend grace to the east façade. The panelled pulpit bears on its door frame the escritoire blason, placed symmetrically from left to right and then from right to left.

Zein ed Dîn’s second mosque, at Bulâq, dates from the year 1449, and is often called the Mehkemeh, or Tribunal. It is now being extensively repaired. Like the third monument, by the same builder, situated in the Habbanîya, it
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presents an open sahn and a colonnade. The last mentioned building bears no date, but, on architectural grounds, Captain Creswell\(^1\) places it later than the two others. The same authority attributes to Zein ed Dîn the small ribât in the Sharia Bein es Sûreîn, known as the mausoleum of Sheykh Abu Tâleb (No. 141 on plan).

Zein ed Dîn and Qâïtbây had formerly had violent quarrels and, after the latter had become Sultan, he was cowardly enough to take advantage of his position in order to avenge himself cruelly upon his former enemy. In 1469, he had the old man (Zein ed Dîn was then over eighty) arrested in his house and imprisoned in the Citadel; he then sent for him and, after overwhelming him with insults, had him flogged in his presence until the victim fainted. The next and following days the same scene was repeated; finally the unfortunate octogenarian died in his prison. The fact was reported to the Sultan, who refused to believe it until he had seen the corpse. He then laid hands on the wealth which had excited his cupidity.

This ferocious picture goes very badly with contemporary descriptions of Qâïtbây's piety

\(^1\) *Brief Chronology*, p. 131.
SEBIL-KUTTĀB OF SULTAN QUṬĪBAY.
According to several legends, holy men had had dreams foretelling his greatness which he, in his modesty, forbade them to repeat. Ibn Iyās, speaking of his piety, relates a pretty episode: the Sultan, returning from a ride, accompanied by several Emirs, met the coffin of a poor, foreign woman, being carried to the cemetery; no one followed the humble bier, the men who carried it were alone. Alighting from his horse, and ordering his Emirs to do likewise, Qâitbây followed the coffin for some distance, then himself performed, in the street, the prayer for the dead.

But his piety was chiefly manifested by the large number of pious buildings that he erected. He built several sebîls, or fountains for the poor, in Cairo, and, in Jerusalem, a very characteristic one in the sacred precincts of the Dome of the Rock.¹ The charming little cupola, draped with carved arabesques, is unlike any of the many domes in the Holy City, though very familiar to eyes accustomed to Mameluke architecture in Cairo. The engaged columns in the western angles are also very suggestive of the Qâitbây style, though I do not remember seeing any exactly like them with their alternate courses

¹ See Frontispiece.
SOME CAIRO MOSQUES

of plain and carved stone fitted in zigzag. Qâitbây restored many mosques, including the most holy, such as El Azhar,¹ the Mosque of Amr, the Mausoleum of the Imâm Shâfey, etc. etc. At Mecca, he built houses for poor pilgrims and a madrassa; he is also said to have built schools at Ghazza and Damietta, both now disappeared, whilst the one at Jerusalem preserves a magnificent porch, and many interesting stone panels carved in the same designs as those that are to be found in his Cairo buildings. This proves how much of this special style is due to the individual taste of the sovereign who must have imposed it upon the craftsmen whom he employed.

In Alexandria, his name has remained attached to the fort and mosque which he built on what the most reliable authorities consider to have been the site of the ancient Pharos.

Among the religious monuments which he erected in Cairo, Ibn Iyâs mentions "his beautiful mosque outside Bab el Qarâfa," of which practically nothing remains; he also speaks of his mosque at Rôda, built on the site of an older one and of which some parts still date from his time, and of another, "Sheykh Sultan Shâh."

¹ See Rambles in Cairo, p. 13.
The last mentioned, situated in the Sharia Gheit el Edda, leading to Bab el Khalq, and seldom visited, has now a modern façade, but the curious octagonal columns carved with arabesques and the inner East façade, showing the Sultan's cartouches, are still to be seen.

Qâitbây died in A.D. 1496 (A.H. 901), at the age of eighty. The day before his death, the Mamelukes, seeing he could not recover, forced the almost unconscious patient to abdicate; they were then in the midst of one of the crises of quarrels and fighting which occurred periodically within that turbulent corps. Qâitbây's son Mohammed, a worthless young man, was enthroned in his place.

Ibn Iyâs describes the dead Sultan as being a tall and powerful man, his face square rather than oval and highly coloured. It seems surprising that, with such a striking physique, he should have been able to disguise himself as a Maghraby (Moor), which he is said to have done, and to wander in the streets and in the mosque of El-Azhar in order to listen to conversations and to hear what was being said of him. It may be that his incognito was not so complete as it pleased him to believe.
SOME CAIRO MOSQUES

He left the kingdom impoverished by continual wars, a terrible plague (1492), and the enormous building expenses owing to which he earned the artistic reputation which has clung to his name.
Mosque of Khāirbek. North-west Façade.
CHAPTER IX

THE MOSQUE OF KHĀIRBEK

Next to the Mosque of Aqsunqur, the tourists' "Blue Mosque," stands the Mosque of Khāirbek, a monument of which the outer aspect is exceptionally pleasing to the eye. This is partly due to the fact that the street façade, instead of presenting a long unbroken line, is flanked on either side by a bold projecting wing, consisting of a sebîl at the north end and a mausoleum at the other. The latter is covered by a beautiful stone dome carved in delicate lace-like arabesques; it is a great pity that the Comité has not yet seen its way to straighten the iron finial which is leaning over rather badly; a small detail like that is sufficient to spoil the pleasure of gazing at the whole edifice. The minaret, which, like many others, has lost its upper part, but which in this case has been left in its uncrowned beauty, is one of the finest in Cairo, its proportions being unusually harmonious and successful in conveying an
impression of solidity. This effect is the more remarkable in that the minaret, instead of standing on a solid base, as is the general rule, is built over a romantic little vaulted chamber, at the angle of the mausoleum and the west façade, in the Tabbâna Street. The entrance portal, a graceful archway in the style of El-Ghûry, does not open immediately into the sanctuary of the mosque, but into a yard full of ruins; on the right, a flight of stone steps leads into the madrassa. I have brought several artistic and intelligent visitors, who have made no special study of Moslem architecture, to see this mosque, which is not one of the most celebrated, and each of them has remarked on the beauty of the interior. It does not impress me in the same way, but rather gives me a sensation of uneasy, enigmatical attraction, due perhaps to the fact that the construction is abnormal and unexpected, a departure from the usual lines of the fifteenth century mosques. At the same time it does not follow the natural process of transition that might have been expected at a moment when there was a distinct evolution in architectural style; the differences which it presents are so marked as to seem intentional, a kind of snobbish flattery
Mosque of Khārībek. Porch.
of the new conquerors. It may be that the unpleasant personality of the Emir Khâirbek, revealed by the interesting chronicle of Ibn Iyâs, reflects upon the mosque which he founded, and that the judgment of those who know nothing about him is fairer to the monument; thus it is easier to criticise a book impartially if the foibles or vices of the writer are unknown. Some people are so sensitive to architecture that certain buildings seem to them to exhale a perceptible good or evil psychic influence; it would be curious to know what effect the Mosque of Khâirbek has on such.

The group of monuments of which it forms part was not built at one and the same time, and, for that reason, lacks the satisfying homogeneity which generally characterises the rapidly built Mameluke monuments. The mausoleum is dated by an inscription (908–1502), and Aly Pasha Moubarak, who had access to the waqf documents concerning the religious buildings of Cairo, gives for the mosque the date of A.H. 927. The courtyard also contains the ruins of a large palace which, being connected with the mausoleum by an arch, is supposed to have belonged to the same Emir. If this supposition
is correct, as seems very probable, Captain Creswell’s\textsuperscript{1} historical reasons for dating this palace about A.H. 906 appear to be well founded. The interior appearance of the mausoleum agrees very well with the date given by the inscription; the style is that of the late fifteenth century mosques, and many details recall the two mosques of Sultan El Ghûry, in the Ghûrîya.

It is brightly lighted and, to my mind, carries no suggestion of supernatural horrors, but it is said that, for many years after Khâirbek’s death, the place was supposed to be haunted, and the voice of the dead oppressor of the poor was heard every night groaning and imploring the pardon of Allah for his wickedness. The funeral chamber is entered by a fine doorway in the south-east corner of the sanctuary; next to it is a small door leading into the vaulted chamber which supports the minaret, and the irregularity of those two unequal doorways under one arch is one of the uncomfortable features of the interior of the building. The whole madrassa, built during the time when Khâirbek represented in Cairo the Turkish Sultan, is quite different from a Mameluke

\textsuperscript{1} Brief Chronology of Muhammadian Monuments in Egypt, p. 151.
Mosque of Khâirbek. Interior.
mosque and very singular. The sahn, instead of presenting an open centre or a flat wooden roofing surmounted by a lantern, is covered over by cross-vaults interrupted in the centre by a small octagonal opening forming a lantern or skylight. This feature, until that date practically unknown in Cairo, is frequently to be found in Palestine and North Syria, carried out in one kind of stone. In this case the colour effect is much better than would appear from the photograph, the stone used being in alternate fawn and reddish courses, only contrasting just enough to form an agreeable relief. The decoration is sober and restrained; a graceful naskhy inscription in black letters inlaid in white marble forms a frieze above a simple facing of marble mosaic. A good dikka of woodwork fills the vaulted bay which faces the mihrâb. The orientation of this not being quite correct, the Sheykhis have here rectified it by placing in it a small water-colour painting of a mihrâb.

The worldly fortune which attended the founder could not have been less merited, and the record of his life, which is to be extracted from the chronicles of Ibn Iyâs, forms a series of treacherous, cruel, and avaricious dealings.
SOME CAIRO MOSQUES

Unfortunately the MSS. from which the Bulaq edition has been printed, alone available here, is not complete, the greater part of the reign of El-Ghûry being missing, and it is probable that many interesting details relating to Khâîrbek’s early life and betrayal of Egypt are to be found in the Paris MSS., quoted by van Berchem and Casanova. A summary of his life in a few lines, a sort of obituary notice added by Ibn Iyâs to the narrative of his death, and one or two allusions to the part he played at the battle of Marg Dâbek, are all we have here to go upon. According to that summary, Khâîrbek was the son of the Emir Bilbây, a Circassian, and originally belonged to Qâîtbây’s corps of Mamelukes. Not only he but several of his brothers attained a high rank at Court; one of them even became Vice-Roy of Syria under Sultan el Ghûry. Khâîrbek’s own career included a mission to Constantinople as ambassador, in the time of Sultan Mohammed, son of Qâîtbây, and it may be that his Turkish inclinations date from that time. He was made Governor of Aleppo in A.H. 910 and remained in that post until Sultan Selim Shâh defeated El Ghûry at Marg Dâbek, a victory which practically decided the conquest of Egypt.
THE MOSQUE OF KHÄIRBEK

Khâirbek commanded the left wing of the Egyptian Army, and another Mameluke Emir, Ganbardy El-Ghazzâly, the right. The Turks were provided with artillery, new to the Mameluke troops, and it is said to have terrified them utterly, but there seems no doubt that Khâirbek, and perhaps Ghazzâly also, had been bought by Turkish gold. The two wings went over to the enemy in the midst of the battle, leaving the brave but aged Sultan to be trampled to death under the feet of the fleeing horses of the centre, which he himself commanded. Selim did not forget what he owed to Khâirbek but loaded him with honours; it would seem, however, that at one time the treacherous Emir’s allegiance to the Turk wavered, for Ibn Iyâs tells us that, at that time, he entertained in what we will decorously call his heart (batnôh) feelings of disloyalty towards the Sultan. Such feelings, however, were not in his interest, and he suppressed them so successfully that, in A.H. 923, he became Governor of Cairo, with the title of Pasha. He was the first of that long list of Viceroys delegated by the Ottomans to rule over Cairo, whose power, though almost unlimited, was so transitory that an official actually existed (the
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ôda bachy) whose sole duty consisted in carrying to the Pasha the news of his dismissal. Khâirbek, however, was never dismissed, but died in office.

On the occasion of his accession to the seat of Governor, he made what was evidently considered a suitable match by taking to wife the widow of a previous Mameluke Sultan, the Princess Masrbây, who had been the wife of Ez-Zâher Qansûh. She was duly installed in the Citadel where the new Governor had taken up his residence. A good deal of scandal seems to have been caused by the fact that the wedding took place in the month of Ramadân, and was attended by a number of ladies who rode up on donkeys. The marriage did not prove a happy one, for the bride at all events, for, two years later, in A.H. 925, we find that all Cairo was talking because, for some unknown reason, the Governor had beaten his wife until she nearly died.

Many other acts of cruelty are recorded of him; Ibn Iyâs says that more than ten thousand persons were put to death by his orders. He had a violent temper, and delighted in condemning slaves to torture and death for trifling offences; a great many of his victims are mentioned by name and details of their executions are given.
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Absolutely unscrupulous where money was concerned, he took advantage of his situation to despoil the fellahs and, by the time he died, had a huge fortune, in spite of the fact that Egypt was greatly impoverished by recent wars and the wholesale plunder by the Turks, while she had of late years lost the lucrative Indian trade through the adoption of the Cape sea-route. The Circassian Mamelukes, Khâirbek’s former comrades, were frequently kept waiting for months for the arrears of their pay, and compensated themselves by looting and pillaging houses and shops. His death was ardently desired by the oppressed Egyptians, and, when the dome of Mohammed el Nâsser’s iwân on the Citadel fell in A.H. 928\(^1\) it was considered an omen of evil for the Governor, and secretly rejoiced over by the people for that reason. He died in the same year of erysipelas, after a painful illness of several days which, says the chronicler, were the happiest the poor had known since he had been in power. Remorse seems in fact to have come to the dying man, who tried to propitiate the Avenger by all means in his power. He ordered hundreds of captives to be liberated and slaves to be freed; money and food were distributed among the poor. He was buried
in his mausoleum where it seems that one of his brothers, the Emir Ganbalât, had already been interred in the year 908 of the Hegira.

Another mausoleum bearing the name of Khâirbek is to be found in Aleppo, probably built as a precaution in case he should die during his governorate. It is of stone, and very simple in style, comprising two small domes and the ruined remains of a third. Above, the naskhy inscription and the round cartouches containing the blason of the founder are reminiscent of the ornamentation of contemporaneous buildings in Cairo. The blason is very full and includes the dawadâr’s escritoire engraved across the cupbearer’s chalice, flanked with two mouth horns, a second cup below and a lozenge above. It is also to be found on other buildings in Aleppo, the Khân ez Zeit and the Khan es Sabûn, no doubt built under Khâirbek’s rule.
Mosque of Malika Safiya. Porch and Steps.
VISITORS to Cairo mosques are so accustomed to enter them by stepping over a low threshold or, at the most, mounting a few steps, that it is quite startling to have to climb an imposing flight of steps in order to reach the door of this mosque. The effect is very picturesque, especially as the steps are disposed in a semi-circle, forming a kind of artificial hill on which the monument is enthroned, and its unique appearance often leads to curiosity respecting its history, which is a remarkable one. For this is one of the few mosques in Cairo which bear a woman's name, though Queen Saffiya does not seem to have concerned herself with the foundation of the edifice, but to have laid hands on it after one of her officials had built it.

The career of this woman was a highly romantic one. She belonged to the noble Venetian family of Baffo, and her father was Governor of the island of Corfu. Having sailed from Venice...
one day in the year 1575, with a large party of other ladies, in order to visit her father, her ship was captured by pirates, who were so struck by her extraordinary beauty that they reserved her for the harem of Sultan Murad III. The latter, a weak, frivolous, but kindly prince, conceived for her a violent passion, with which she continued to inspire him until his death, and from the first moment her influence over him became paramount in spite of the desperate efforts of her rivals. According to the Turkish historians Abu Faruq and Ahmed Râseem, kindly consulted for me by Ahmed Pasha Zaki, it was under the reign of Selim II, father of Murad, that women began to wield a power hitherto confined to men only, and, before the advent of Safiya, the Sultan was entirely ruled over by his mother, a Jewess named Nur-Banû, and his sister, Princess Asma, married to Sokolli Pasha. The two women saw with much disfavour the growing power of the new arrival, who had immediately been made Sultana Khasski, or Favourite, and they stooped to every means to counteract it, seeking the most beautiful slaves they could find in the hope of diverting Murad’s love from the Venetian. On two occasions he was
temporarily attracted, firstly, by a certain *calfa*, named Razīya, who had acquired some influence over him by telling his fortune when he was Crown Prince and, secondly, by a brilliantly clever Hungarian dancer, but only to return to Safīya more ardently than ever. Nur-Banū feigned to believe that this was due to sorcery, and caused several of the favourite’s slaves to be executed, but she never succeeded in defeating her beautiful daughter-in-law, and died in impotent despair. She became reconciled to Safīya on her death-bed, and advised her to secure the services of her own freed-woman, Djanfīda, who carried great weight both in the Palace and outside, and who, as Governess of the hareem, undertook to train slave girls for the master’s favour. Safīya, strong in her position, which had become more assured by her having given a first-born son to the Sultan, seems to have left the management of the hareem to Djanfīda, but to have reserved the affairs of the State for herself. She lost no opportunity of serving either her country or her countrymen, and her name appears in several negotiations between the Porte and Venice.¹

¹ An interesting episode illustrates Safīya’s importance as well as her inclinations: in 1585, the French ambassador,
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When Murad died (1595), leaving no fewer than twenty sons, Safiya's son Mohammed ascended the throne, and we cannot absolve the powerful Queen-Mother from having assented to the murder of the nineteen others—a barbarous custom which had obtained at the Turkish court since the reign of Mohammed I. One of those unfortunate youths, Prince Mustapha, was a poet, and wept over himself in pathetic verses, written in prevision of his own death when he heard of that of his father.

With all his faults, Murad had one noble inclination—a passion for building; he erected fortifications against the Persians, and founded mosques at Adrianople, Cyprus, Magnesia, etc. Queen Safiya emulated her husband in this; she built a mosque at Scutari, and a cloister for the Mawlawiya dervishes besides the palace known as Daoud Pasha, which is situated on a height and which she intended for a refuge in case of a rising of the people. She had become possessed of an enormous fortune, and occasionally defrayed Germigny, asked for the assistance of an Ottoman fleet against Philip II, and Queen Catherine of Medici wrote on the subject an autograph letter to the Sultana, who communicated it to the Venetian ambassador.
the pay of some of the troops or other war expenses from her privy purse; but it was chiefly by gifts of beautiful slaves that she preserved her power over her son. According to Von Hammer, her influence was corrupt and baneful and she was partly responsible for the deplorable mistakes made by the Government of Mohammed III. When he died in 1603, his son and successor, Ahmed I, who was only fourteen years of age, refused to accede to the fratricidal custom of his predecessors and allowed his brother, Mustafa, to survive. His grandmother Safiya, or Baffa, as she was frequently called, formerly all-powerful, first as Sultana Khassaki, under Murad III, and then as Sultana Valida (dowager) under Mohammed III, was sent to the Old Seraglio, where she lived in obscurity for fourteen more years. Her whole suite of slave girls, eunuchs, etc., followed her, with the exception of her major-domo, who was executed. She died in 1618, in the first year of Othman II's short reign.

Some curious documents have been preserved in the waqf archives, relating to a trial and judgment pronounced at Stamboul in 1594,

1 History of the Ottoman Empire.
which throw an interesting light on the judicial customs of the time, and which constitute a biography of the Mosque of Malika Safiya in Cairo, if one may use such a term in speaking of a stone and brick entity.

According to these, the mosque was built by a eunuch named Othmân ibn Abdullah, who endowed it with the revenue from a very large property. Another eunuch, named 'Abd er Razâq, evidently Queen Safiya’s agent, alleged that Othmân, being her slave, had no right to found a mosque or to dispose of any landed property, and claimed, on the Queen’s behalf, possession of the property which had been appropriated to it. This would seem to have happened after the founder’s death, for the steward in charge of the waqf, Daoud Agha, swore that Othmân Agha had been freed by the Queen before he died, and that, moreover, he had acted on her behalf and with her consent. 'Abd er Razâq having denied these allegations, Daoud Agha demanded that the Queen herself be called as a witness. The Qâdy deferred to his request and sent two deputies to the Palace to receive the Queen’s oath. On the strength of it he then gave judgment in her favour, annulling the
waqfīya and dismissing Daoud from his post. The Queen thereupon renewed the waqf and appointed 'Abd er Razâq as steward or agent of the property. As a sequel to this well documented case, we find an inscription over the entrance door leading from the sahn into the sanctuary, which runs as follows:

“This blessed Mosque was founded by” (here follows a long string of titles) “the mother of our late Lord Sultan Mohammed Khan ... by the hand of our Lord Ismail Agha, legal steward of the aforesaid waqf.

“This inscription was completed on the 27th Moharram of the year 1019 (A.D. 1610) of the Hegira.”

This leads one to suppose that, several years after the building of the mosque, Queen Safiyya, still disposing of great riches although her son had now died, had an inscription placed in Othmân Agha’s monument, attributing the foundation of it to herself. Even the honour of supervising the building is denied to Othmân, but given to a certain eunuch, Ismail Agha, who has not hitherto appeared in the story, but who is here markedly called the “legal” steward of the aforesaid waqf. The date of building is carefully
left unmentioned, only that of the completion of the inscription being quoted.

The whole thing has an air of duplicity which does more credit to Safiyya’s cleverness than to her honesty.

There are other points of interest in the documents relating to the Mosque of Malika Safiyya, which have been communicated to me through the kindness of Signor A. Patricolo. One of these points, illustrating the literary preoccupations of Moslem men of business, is that the *waqfiyya* in question is entirely written in rhymed verse. In order to conform with the exigencies of this, the name of 'Abd el Razâq’s father, which would in the ordinary way be assumed to be 'Abdallah, as was the custom where eunuchs were concerned, is sometimes given as 'Abd el Halîm, and sometimes 'Abd el Hannân, according to the rhyme required, both these names having practically the same meaning as 'Abdallah.

Another point is the extent of the *waqf*, constituted first by Othmân Agha, and then confirmed by the Queen. It included a four hundred feddan village in the Manût province and an estate in the Bulaq road, comprising seventeen
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storehouses, one café, thirty-two shops, fifteen tenement-rooms, one stable, five wells, two tanneries and one slaughter-house. Here is also a list of the officials and attendants appointed at high wages for the services of the mosque: two preachers, two imâms, four muezzins, two time-keepers, ten Quran-readers, two singers "with fine voices," three readers of special passages in the Quran, two cleaners, one librarian, one leader of prayer, two lamp-lighters, two carpet beaters, two attendants for the ablution court, four gardeners for the garden which then existed in front of the mosque, and lastly, one practical workman for small repairs to the building.

The monument itself is quite worthy of attention; it is entirely Turkish in style, without the numerous Mameluke details of structure and ornament that are to be found in several other mosques built in Cairo since the Turkish conquest, such as Sidi Sarîya, El Bordeiny and Abu Dhahab.

It stands quite clear from other buildings, a feature which, according to Captain Creswell, distinguishes mosques, properly so called, from madrassas or college-mosques. The latter are usually dominated in plan by the line of the
street to which they conform externally, whatever the orientation of their interior may be, and often have one façade only. It is preceded by a square court-yard to which access may be obtained, at present, by two doors, each reached by a flight of steps in the centre of the south and west faces of the courtyard; there is also a third door on the north side to which there is now no staircase. The cloister which runs all round the fore-court has three arches to each side, springing from columns, and it is vaulted by a series of small domes on Byzantine pendentives\(^1\) of a type unknown in Egypt before the Turkish conquest, the dome on the centre of each side being oblong in plan.

One small dome, however, stands up entirely distinct from the others, the outline of it being much more like the usual Mameluke form; it covers a small square room by the north-west corner of the sanctuary, approached by a narrow, screened gallery, which lines the west wall at a lower level than the circular gallery which runs

\(^1\) Domes on continuous sphere pendentives (i.e., where dome and pendentive are struck from the same centre) appear in Fatimite times. Here, however, the dome rises with a steeper curvature than the pendentive.
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around the dome. The room was very probably intended for ladies, for a mushrabîya window looks out from it into the sanctuary.

A fine stalactite doorway leads into the sanctuary over which lies the great dome, resting on six pointed arches. It is surrounded by smaller arches, of which the pendentives are cleverly elongated or contracted to fit the irregular rectangle they are required to fill.

The main dome is of brick and is pierced with a number of small circular openings in addition to a row of windows round the base. At the level of the latter, a narrow gallery rests on projecting wooden beams. The mihrâb stands at the back of a square annexe built out in the centre of the east side and roofed with a dome. The dikka, or wooden balcony, is reached by a staircase arranged in the thickness of the wall, a common feature in Turkish mosques.

The beautiful minbar is also characteristically Turkish; it is entirely carved in white marble, even to the door, and presents an open-work, geometrical design which is exactly the same as that of the unique wooden trellis in Barqûq's desert mausoleum. M. Saladin mentions that this particular form of ornament is to be found
executed in marble in some Constantinople mosques, and gives a photograph\(^1\) of the *minbar* of the Sulimanîya Mosque in which it is plainly visible. He goes on to say that it is to be found "in the Mosque of Sitta Nafîssa in Cairo"; this is evidently owing to a confusion with the subject of the present chapter, for the *minbar* of Sitta Nafîssa, like the whole of that mosque, is modern and devoid of particular interest.

\(^1\) Page 514.
CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF THE PRINCIPAL
MOSLEM MONUMENTS OF CAIRO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.H.</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>NO. ON PLAN.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>Nilometer</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>Mosque of Amr ibn el Aás</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254–63</td>
<td>869–76</td>
<td>Aqueduct of Ibn Tulun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263–5</td>
<td>876–9</td>
<td>Mosque of Ibn Tulun</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FATIMITE MONUMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>358–60</td>
<td>969–71</td>
<td>Bab Qady-Askar</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359–61</td>
<td>970–2</td>
<td>Mosque of El Azhar</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380–403</td>
<td>990–1012</td>
<td>Mosque of El Hakim</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>478</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>Mosque of El Guyushy</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>Bab en Nasr</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>Bab el Futuh</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>484</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>Bab ez Zuweila</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>519</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>Mosque of El Aqmar</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>527</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>Mashhad of Sayeda Ruqiya</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>Mosque of Es Saleh Talayeh</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The plan published by the Comité de Conservation offers a number for each monument classed and registered; I have reproduced these numbers in the plan published with Rambles in Cairo. They are also quoted in every instance in Captain Creswell’s Brief Chronology. Each monument bears a green enamel label with its number in white Arabic characters.
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#### AYUBITE MONUMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.H.</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. on Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>522–89</td>
<td>1176–93</td>
<td>Burg ez Zafar</td>
<td></td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572, etc.</td>
<td>1176, etc.</td>
<td>Citadel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>1211</td>
<td>Mausoleum of the Imâm Shâfey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639–41</td>
<td>1242–4</td>
<td>College of Negm et Dîn Ayûb</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>640</td>
<td>1242–3</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Abbasside Khalifes</td>
<td></td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647–8</td>
<td>1249–50</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Negm ed Din Ayûb</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### BAHARITE MONUMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. on Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Shagarat ed Durr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665</td>
<td>1266–7</td>
<td>Bridge of Abul Munagga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665–7</td>
<td>1266–9</td>
<td>Mosque of Ez Zâher Beybars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>Muristân of Qalaûn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>683–4</td>
<td>1284–5</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Qalaûn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>Madrassa of Qalaûn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>695–703</td>
<td>1295–6 = Madrassa of Mohammed en Nasser</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1303–4</td>
<td>1298</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Zein ed Dîn Yûsuf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>1303</td>
<td>Madrassa of Sangar el Gawly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706–9</td>
<td>1306–9</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Beybars el Gâshenkir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>1311</td>
<td>Aqueduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>Tomb of Hassan Sadaqa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.H.</td>
<td>A.D.</td>
<td>No. on Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718-35</td>
<td>1318-35</td>
<td>Mosque of Moh. en Nâsser (Citadel)</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>1329-30</td>
<td>Mosque of Almâs</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730</td>
<td>1329-30</td>
<td>Mosque of Qûsûn</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720-42</td>
<td>1320-41</td>
<td>Okâla of Qûsûn</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Qûsûn</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>Mosque of Beshtâk</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 738</td>
<td>c. 1337</td>
<td>Palace of Yushbak</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738-40</td>
<td>1337-9</td>
<td>Palace of Beshtâk</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>739-40</td>
<td>1339-40</td>
<td>Mosque of el Mardâny</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740</td>
<td>1339-40</td>
<td>Mosque of Hadaq Miska</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>742</td>
<td>1341</td>
<td>Baths of Beshtâk</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744-6</td>
<td>1344-5</td>
<td>Mosque of Aslam el Bahây</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747-8</td>
<td>1346-7</td>
<td>Mosque of Aqsunqur</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>749</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Princess Toghây</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>Mosque of Sheikhû</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>756</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Sheikhû</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>Madrassa of Serghatmish</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>757-64</td>
<td>1356-63</td>
<td>Mosque of Sultan Hassan</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>761-2</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Princess Tatar</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>765</td>
<td>1363-4</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Princess Tulbiya</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770</td>
<td>1368-9</td>
<td>Mosque of Sultan Shâbabân</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>Mosque of Algây et Yusseyf</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Circassian Monuments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>No. on Plan.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>783</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Yûnis et Dawadar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Aytmish el Nagâshy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.H.</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. On Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>786–8</td>
<td>1384–6</td>
<td>Madrassa of Barqûq</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>797</td>
<td>1395</td>
<td>Madrassa of Mahmûd el Kurdy</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>Mosque of Gamal ed Dîn Yusuf</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>812</td>
<td>1409</td>
<td>Madrassa of Farag</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>809–13</td>
<td>1400–10</td>
<td>Tomb of Barqûq</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>814</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>Tomb of El 'Ainy</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818–23</td>
<td>1415–20</td>
<td>Mosque of El Muayyad</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>822–3</td>
<td>1419–20</td>
<td>Muristân of El Muayyad</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>823</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>Baths of El Muayyad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>826–7</td>
<td>1423–4</td>
<td>Madrassa of Barsbây</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>833</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Mosque of Gohar el Lala</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>835</td>
<td>1432</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Barsbây</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1430–40</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Umm el Ashraf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>841</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>Mosque of Barsbây at Khanqa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>844</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>Mosque of Taghry Bardy</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>848</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>Mosque of Yehia Zein ed Dîn</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>852–3</td>
<td>1448–9</td>
<td>Mosque of Yehia Zein ed Dîn</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>853–7</td>
<td>1449–53</td>
<td>Mosque of Yehia Zein ed Dîn</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>854–60</td>
<td>1450–56</td>
<td>Convent of Inâl</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 860</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Barsbây</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877–9</td>
<td>1472–4</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Qâibtbây</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>1475</td>
<td>Madrassa of Qâibtbây</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>882</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>Okala of Qâibtbây (el Azhar)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>Madrassa of Gânem el Bahlawân</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884</td>
<td>1479–80</td>
<td>Madrassa of Abu Bakr b. Muzhir</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>885</td>
<td>1480–1</td>
<td>Okala of Qâibtbây (Bâb en Nasr)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### CHRONOLOGICAL LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.H.</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>NO. ON PLAN.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>885–6</td>
<td>1480–1</td>
<td>Mosque of Qishmâs el Ishaky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884–6</td>
<td>1479–81</td>
<td>Mausoleum el Fâdawîya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1490</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mosque of Abul ‘Ila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>1494–5</td>
<td>Madrassa of El Yûsufiy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>908</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>Mausoleum of Khâirbek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>908</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>Mosque of Emir Akhôr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>908–9</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>Mosque of El Ghûry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>908–10</td>
<td>1503–4</td>
<td>Mausoleum of El Ghûry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>906–22</td>
<td>1501–16</td>
<td>Gates of Khan Khalîl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>906–22</td>
<td>1501–16</td>
<td>Okâla of El Ghûry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TURKISH MONUMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A.H.</th>
<th>A.D.</th>
<th>NO. ON PLAN.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>Mosque of “Sidi Sarîya”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>945</td>
<td>1538</td>
<td>Mosque of Shâhin Agha el Khalûâaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>975</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>Mosque el Mahmudiya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>975</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>Mosque of Sinân Pasha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>Mosque of Malika Safiya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041</td>
<td>1631</td>
<td>House el Giridlîya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>House of Gamal ed Din</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1157</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>Sabil kuttahf of Abder Rahman Katkhoda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>Mosque of Mohammed Abu Dhabah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1193</td>
<td>1779</td>
<td>Palace of Musaffer Khân</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>Mosque of Ahmed el Bordainy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1327</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Mosque of er Rifay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1336</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Mosque of Abul ‘Fath (Abdine)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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