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JOURNAL, Vol. VIII., No. 29, 1884:—

Pages 231, 333, 335, 337, 339, 341, 343—head-line should read “Threshing-floor Language.”

Pages 393, 395, 397, in head-line, for “Balangoqá” read “Bogawantaláwa.”
ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY,

(CEYLON BRANCH.)

SIṆHALESE BIRD-LORE.

BY W. KNIGHT JAMES, F.R.G.S., F.R. HIST. S.

(Read 23rd October, 1883.)

Amongst a simple rural population, such as the SiṆhalese villagers and cultivators are, one would naturally expect to find that the wild animals with which they were familiar should give rise to various superstitious stories and sayings. More especially would this be the case with those which they regarded particularly as either their friends or foes, or whose peculiar habits and characteristics came most frequently under their observation. This tendency amongst the SiṆhalese has been still further increased by their belief in the doctrine of transmigration, and in devil-worship and propitiation. The feathered-race has come in for at least its fair share of legend and superstition. In this Paper it has been my object to put together such jottings of SiṆhalese bird-lore as I believe have not for the most been previously known.

The Magpie Robin (Copsychus saularis), SiṆ. (Low-country) Pol-kichchá, "the cocoanut-bird;" (Kandyan) Pahan-kichchá, "the dawn-bird." Although the song of this bird in early morning and the evening is clear and sweet, it unfortunately has another less melodious one during the day, which is thought to resemble the ominous word "miyachchi," "dead." This appears to have established it as a bird of ill-omen, and by the country people...
it is regarded frequently with a kind of horror. Its voice is said to announce bad news. It is believed to be an incarnation of the demon Huniyan-yaká, and to bring with it misfortune to the healthy, and death to the sick. It is not an unusual thing for the villager to pelt it with stones away from the neighbourhood of his house and garden, and it is the greatest misfortune if it should build its nest within the precincts of the cottage.

The Black Robin (Thamnobia fulicata), Sinp. Kalu poh-hohchá. The body of this bird is used as a charm in the incarnation of Maha-Sóhon-bandhana (the binding of Mahá-Sóhona (the vampire demon), and of Kalu-kumára-anduna (the producing of desire). The preparation is as follows: A king-cocoanut is taken and a hole made in it; the water is then emptied out, and the dead body of the little bird is placed therein. It is then buried in the earth, where it remains for three months, after which it is disinterred and the putrid pulp and body of the bird are placed together in a chatty and taken to the burial ground, where a fire is made, and the oil extracted with the greatest care, lest the demon, in order to avert the charm, should spill it. Very often, it is said, that notwithstanding every precaution, the yaká manages to overturn the vessel. In order that, if such is the case, some portion of the oil may be recovered, leaves are placed round the fire to catch the precious particles.

The Indian Koel (Eudynamys honorata), Sinp. Kohá, from its note. This bird was called by the Elu poets Paraputu (lit. ‘that which is nourished by others’), from the habit it has in common with other cuckoos of laying its eggs in the nests of other birds. Its loud, though not unmusical, voice appears to have excited their admiration. The Sinhalese say that although this bird is too lazy to build its own nest and bring up its young, it wishes to have its progeny when the trouble of rearing them is over, and they imagine its peculiar cry to be “daruvá-ko? daruvá-ko?” “Where is my child? Where is my child?”

The King-Crow, or Drongo (Buchanga Leucopygialis), Sinp. (some districts) Karuđu-panikkiyá, “the crow’s barber;” (in others) Kaputu-béná, “the crow’s nephew.” This little
bird may be seen continually harassing and "bullying" the crows, frequently snatching a feather from the crow's head. In districts where the first name is used it is said that in a previous birth the drongo was a barber, and the crow a customer who failed to "pay up," and that as a punishment for his dishonesty the former was permitted to continue "duelling" him in his future state. In the districts where the bird is known by the name Kapuṭu-bēnā it is said that the drongo is such a cunning fellow that even his crafty uncle, the crow, was never a match for him. Once upon a time the drongo challenged the crow as to which of them could fly the higher, and the challenge was accepted, on the condition that each should carry a certain-sized bag full of whatever material they liked, and that the winner should, as his reward, be at liberty to knock the loser on the head. The crow in his craftiness selected cotton as the material with which to fill his bag, but the drongo, after giving a knowing glance at the weather, filled his bag with salt, much to the surprise of the crow. They had not soared far before it commenced to rain, and consequently as the crow's load got heavier the drongo's got lighter, and before long he had nothing to carry but the bag. It is needless to say that he won, and is making use of the privilege he gained by continually tapping his uncle on the head.

The House Sparrow (Passer domesticus), Sin. Gē-kurullā, "the house-bird." A Sinhalese legend accounts for the black patch on the male bird's throat by recounting how a house took fire, underneath the eves of which a pair of these birds had built their nest, and hatched their young. The hen flew away, but the cock battled bravely through the flames to rescue its young ones. In doing so he scorched his throat, the mark whereof still remains to testify to his bravery and paternal love. The building and breeding of the sparrow in the precincts of a house is considered an extremely good omen, and in order to make these birds build, chatties are frequently hung on the walls. If a sparrow should make its nest and rear its young in the building, it is believed that the next child born to the owner will be a boy. Sparrow's eggs broken and accompanied by incantation are used as a charm to stop objectionable tom-tom beating,
by causing the collapse of the instrument; and the shell, reduced to powder, placed on a betel leaf and mixed with some other ingredients, is said to be a love philtre.

The Paradise Fly-catcher (Terpsiphore Paradisi), Siñ. Gini-horá, "the fire thief"; Kapu or Redi-horá, "the cotton thief." The male of this bird, probably about the middle of the second year, changes its colour from red to white, and frequently the tail feathers elongate, sometimes before and sometimes after this change. There is a Sinhalese legend that these birds are transmigrated representatives of human beings, who were dishonest, and the red or white of the bird bears some resemblance to the colour of the articles which were stolen. The names have however no doubt been given because of the appearance which the bird has of carrying away a piece of cotton or a small fire brand when flying through the air.

The Spotted Dove (Turtur Suratensis), Siñ. Kobeyiyá. The mournful note of this dove has attracted attention, and is accounted for by the following story. A woman put some kehella berries in the sun to dry, and as she had to go and gather firewood she told her little boy to be sure to watch them carefully. As they got dry, they stuck to the ground and could scarcely be seen. On returning she could not see the berries, and thinking that he had eaten them, she struck her child such a blow that it killed him. Immediately afterwards she saw that the berries were still where she had left them. In her remorse she killed herself and was turned into a dove. She now goes through the world mourning for her child, and crying "pubbaru puté pú pú," "Oh! (my) young son!"

The Common Babbler (Malacoecus striatus), Siñ. Demalichchá, "Tamil bird." The name Demalichchá or Tamil bird was probably given to it from the fancied resemblance of a group of these babblers to a crowd of noisy Tamils.

The Parrot or Paroquet (Palaearnis eupatrius, P. torquatus, and P. calthropae), Siñ. Labu, Réna, and Alu Giraná. In two of his 550 births Buddha is said to have been born as a parrot. The Sinhalese attribute the power
of parrots to speak to the honour conferred by Buddha on
the family. A common saying is:

 inglés মদাত রিশ্ত দিচ্যদ তে বলব বলবানে.
Balalā nīp gēnāma giravāgē dha-aṭa bāsēma inarayi.
"When the cat mews all the parrot's eighteen languages
come to an end."

Again:

ঁদারনাম রাণাবে তে নেলি রিশ্ন দিচ্যদ যন্ত.
Ratranin rankudurva tenuvat girav laginnē nanāntarē.
"Though the cage is made of gold the parrot will (prefer
to) roost in the forest."

গীরবে গুনে নেহে.
Giravā wāgē gune nehe.
"As ungrateful as a parrot." (Alluding to the fact that,
no matter how kind one has been to it, it will fly away
at the first opportunity.)

মু গীরবে নেহে.
Mū giravā wageya.
"This fellow is like a parrot." (Meaning either that he
is a chatterer or that he is merely an imitator.)

THE RED-WATTLED LAP-WING (Lobivanellus Indicus), Siṃ.
Kirālā. This bird is the type of watchfulness and faithfulness
to its offspring. At all hours of the day and night
when its nest is approached it rises with its shrill cry. In
some districts there is a superstition that the eggs of this
bird, eaten raw, will drive away sleep and induce watchfulness.
There is a belief among the Siṃhalese that this bird
lies on its back on its nest with its legs upwards for fear the
sky should fall and crush its eggs. The same belief is
mentioned by Jerdon as being current in Southern India.

In the Mahavaga of the Vinaya-piṭaka and in the
Gihī-viniya or Singālovādi Sūtram we find the following
stanza:

ঁবী কেলা নিচ্চিতানে.
ব্যালো বীলন দিচ্যদ কলিনে.
গীরবে নেহে দিচ্যদ যন্ত.
বীলন নেহে দিচ্যদ নানান-নামে.
"The very pious and revered priests are those who observe the rites (of religion as assiduously) as the kiralá guards her eggs, the samara deer its tail, the father his only son, and a man who is blind in one eye the other."

In the Kusa-játaka of Alagiyawanna a similar stanza occurs:

```
Cūra nāma dīnā
dāra naśātātātātātātā
dhāte vādā vādā
dhāte vādā vādā

Rakina tāma bijiwal
Kirala semareka ḫemakal
Poho davasa atasil
Rakiniti pirisiduwā pānsil.
```

"(She) having become pure in mind and body, observes on pōya days the eight rites and every day the five rites (as faithfully as) the kiralá (guards) her eggs and the samara deer his tail."

The Crow (Corvus splendens, or C. culminatus), Sinh. Kaputá or Kakká, from its cry Kāthá (kāt = "everybody," and kā = "eat"), becomes by sandhi Kakká. Therefore the crow is popularly said to say Kakká: "(I) eat (the flesh of) everybody (but nobody eats mine)."

A common saying is:

```
Prayogakārayagē belma kākkagē belma vāgē.
```

"A cunning man's look is like that of a crow."

Another:

```
Maraḥkalayat kākkat neti tenak nehe.
```

"There is no place where the Moorman and the crow are not to be found."

And again:

```
Irāṭudunu keliya narakeyikiyā kākkat kiniā.
```

"The crow even said: 'It is bad to play with bows and arrows.'"
There is a saying concerning persons who have been treated ungratefully:

काक्काता नामाते दुन्नवादुः।

"As they gave lodgings to the crow." (Referring to the Jātaka story of the peacocks who gave shelter to a crow, and he in return for their hospitality showed a Fowler the way to their roost.)

The greedy and insatiable appetite of the crow is such that in the Kapuṭu-jātaka it is said:

रात्रियेतुनयमिनेरिक्षेतयामेकामृत्तिहैवेति।

"In each of the three watches of a night they faint (for want of food)."

गितेलगेल्लुपाहाकादायक्गिलुकालातुपतिनिुरानवायेयिभादापिरेय।

"When a rag dipped in ghee is swallowed (his) desire will be satisfied and he will be full."

The Domestic Fowl, Siṃ. Kukulā "the cock," Kikili "the hen."

A common saying is:

कुकुलाचादनाकोतानेगितापन।

"Rise when the cock crows."

There is an opinion among the people that at some seasons the cock crows 7½ hours (peyas) before dawn, and at others 5 hours before. A white cock is looked upon as a lucky bird, and likely to bring luck if kept and reared in a house. Another belief is that if a white cock is kept in a cocoanut garden the trees will not be attacked by the kuruminiya or cocoanut-beetle.

When a man starts on a journey, if a cock crows it is considered a good omen.

A talkative woman is often compared to a cackling hen.

The Pond Heron (Ardeola Grayi), Siṃ. Kokā.

The Night Heron (Nycticorax Griscus), Siṃ. Kana-kokā.

The cry of the night heron is said to be an ill-omen and
when its cry is heard as it flies over a house is said to announce death to one of the inmates.

There is a Sinhalese saying—

Kanakokágé suda penenné iğillenakotayi.

"You only see the white (beauty) of the hanakoko when it is on the wing," (i.e., for a person to be seen to advantage he must put forth his powers.)

Kokáta ekawarayak nam kekita hatwarárayak eti.

"For every one chance that the male heron has, the female has seven." (Alluding to the supposed cunning of women.)

Kokitawarárayak nam tittayátat wárayak eti.

"If the heron has a chance, so has the fish." This probably has reference to the crab story in the Hitopadésa.

The Brown Fish Owl (Ketupa Ceylonensis), Sin. Bakamúna.—This bird is, if discovered in the day time, frequently subject to the attacks of mobs of crows. The story is told that once the Bakamúna was proposed as the king of birds, but the crow addressed his assembled brethren and said, "Our other kings have punished only those who were guilty of some offence, but if you accept the Bakamúna as our king the continual sight of his ugly face will be a terrible punishment which will affect equally the innocent and the guilty."

The ashes of the feathers of the Bakamúna mixed with the ashes of a human skull and beeswax is formed into an ointment which is used by Vedarálas for the cure of sores.

Owls.—The screeching of an owl near a house is looked upon as an ill-omen. If the villager possesses a door key he places it beneath the ashes of the fire, hoping that he will by that means counteract its ill-effects. The bark of the tree taken off whilst the owl is on the tree, but before it has screamed thrice, is considered a valuable charm. Its value arises most probably from the fact that it is next to impossible to cut the bark from the tree without frightening the bird away.
I add two common Sinhalese bird legends.

1.—The Wood-pecker’s Tapping.—Once upon a time there was a Korovaká (water-fowl) who sold arecanuts. One day he went to his uncle’s at Velikilla in order to obtain a supply. He gathered a large number and packed them in bags. The Korovaká then asked some geese to carry his bags to the waterside, and there he embarked with them in the Kérmála’s (wood-pecker) boat. As the boat was overloaded it was before long capsized, and both boat and arecanuts lost. When the two birds reached the shore the water-fowl abused the wood-pecker for taking his property on such a good-for-nothing old boat. “But what,” said the wood-pecker, “is your loss to mine? there are plenty more arecanuts, but where shall I get another boat?” Still the wood-pecker is wandering about tapping the trunks of trees and trying to find wood to make another boat. The water hen is still walking by the waterside crying “Kapparakátu puvek, puvek!” (“a vessel full of arecanuts”). That the geese deformed their necks in carrying the heavy bags of nuts, any one may see by looking at them.

2.—A Story of Borrowed Plumes.—Long long ago the Swan-king had a beautiful daughter, and when the time arrived for her marriage he invited all the birds to his house in order that he might choose a husband for her. Now, at this time the Peacock was a very sombre-looking bird, but the Pitta was exceedingly beautiful. The Peacock therefore went to his friend the Pitta and told him that he was about to solicit the hand of the Swan-king’s daughter, and entreated the loan of his beautiful feathers. The Pitta consented willingly, but the Peacock was so vain of his plumes, and strutted about in such an absurd fashion before the Swan-king’s house, that he was turned out of the assembly. The Pitta requested the return of his feathers, but the peacock said: “Everybody knows that this is my dress;” and the poor bird, unable to obtain justice, has since been wandering about the jungle crying “Mát kiyam, Mát kiyam,” (“I shall complain—I shall complain”), and he will do so, it is said, until Mayitri-Buddha comes, who will doubtless strip the peacock of his dishonestly obtained finery.
NOTE.

The Ełu poets, following a Sanskrit model, frequently wrote their poems in the form of sandésas or messages, which they poetically imagined to entrust to some particular bird to deliver. The following are the best known of the Siňhalese Bird sandésas.

1. Mayura-sandésa, "the Peacock's message." Author not known. From Gangasrípura (Gampola) to the temple of Vishņu at Devinuwara (Dondra).

2. Selatihini-sandésa, "the Mina's message." By Sří Ráhula of Toţagramuwa. From Jayawardhanapura (Kótté) to Kēlaniya, praying Vibhisana, the presiding deity of Kēlaniya, to give a son to Princess Ulakuda, the daughter of King Parákrama Bāhu of Kótté.

3. Paravi-sandésa, "the Pigeon's message." By Sří Ráhula of Toţagramuwa. From Kótté to Devundara, asking Vishņu, the presiding deity of Devundara, to bless the army of King Parákrama Bāhu's brother, who was then the Governor of Jaffna.

4. Kovul-sandésa, "the Koel's message." By Irugalkula Parivenádhipati, a priest of Mulgirigala, asking a blessing from Vishņu, deity presiding over the temple at Devundara, upon the Prince Sapumal, the son of Parákrama Bāhu, who was then conducting a war at Jaffna against A'ryachakravarti, the King of Karnátā.

5. Tisara-sandésa, "the Swan's message" (two.) One supposed to have been written by priest Vídágama of Rayigam Kóralé, author of many other poetical works of great merit. The other, whose author is not known, is characterized by correctness of versification and great elegance of style.


8. *Diyasevel-sandēsa*, "the Water-cock’s message."
By Samarajivali. Message to Vishṇu from Tāṅgalla.

The poems are all written after the same fashion, and commence with a poetic description and eulogy of the bird. The following is the Introduction to Tōtagamuwa’s *Paravi-sandēsa*, to which I append a literal translation:—

"Live long! Great and noble pigeon! Thou, who art like unto a conch with coral leaves produced from the milky ocean, in consequence of thy white colour and deep red feet! O my friend! Thou adornest thy friend as early spring adorns a tree. When thou wast slowly moving in the sky and on a very gentle breeze, did not the people seize thee, taking thee for a full-blown white lily dropt from their hands? Did they not approach thee under the belief that thou wast a lotus-bud fallen from the celestial river? Having seen thee coming from the pure residence of Buddha, did not the people make offerings to thee, taking thee for the condensed white rays of Buddha? Did not goddesses kiss thee with delight, mistaking thee for a flower coming down from Nadunuyana Garden of India? Hast thou arrived without accident in thy aërial journey? Noble friend, to us thy sight is bliss."

I add Macready’s beautiful translation of the best of the Sinhalese sandēsas, viz., Tōtagamuwa’s *Selalihini-sandēsa*:—

1.

"Oh! mayst thou live long with thy kin, beloved Selalihiniya, thou who wisdom hast
Like thoughts of statesmen: thou who ravishest
With thy sweet strains of soft auspicious notes!"
2.

"Golden are these limbs, like pollen golden
Of full-blown flowers: yellow thy fair beak
As champac buds: thy comely feathers blue
As petals of blue-lotus: wherefore, when,
Like an image flower-wrought, thou comest
Through the sky: have not young goddesses
Placed thee among their long black locks? or bees
In lotus dwelling oft encircled thee?
Have not the wood-nymphs thee their ear-drop made?
Hast not met hindrance on thy way, my love?
Where thy affection rests it never leaves
That spot, but there it grows: then wherefore seek
We other joys! Enough thy sight for us."

3.

"Blessed by the sight of thee, in whom abide
Endearing virtues, like the spotless moon,
Whose shining limbs are delicately formed
As the white water-lily, and whose voice
Is sweet, sweet as the wishing gem, that gives
Both joy and wealth: by sight of thee assured
We know that we have deeds of merit done."
THE PaNikkANS OF MuCHALAI.

By G. M. Fowler, Esq., C.C.S.

(Read 23rd October, 1883.)

I have been unable to ascertain when or by whom this mode of catching elephants was introduced. There is one family, the members of which boast that they are the lineal descendants of the first Panikkkan, but I fancy the genealogy is apocryphal. Whoever he was, the first sailor needed a "heart of oak" scarcely less than he.

The equipment of a Panikkkan is simple in the extreme, and would put to shame an English sportsman who sets out in pursuit of the same game with half a dozen elaborate rifles. It consists merely of a rope of raw hide, about 25 feet long, with a large knot at one end and a slip noose at the other. Besides these ropes, a number of ordinary ropes are carried by the attendants, which are used to tie the elephant after he has been noosed.

The hide rope will stand a great strain, but as might be expected, frequently snaps, and sometimes an elephant will break a dozen ropes before he is safely tied up.

The title "Panikkkan" is properly applied only to those men who have succeeded in putting the first noose on an elephant which has been ultimately secured.

Each party of elephant catchers consists of four or five Panikkkans and about twenty assistants and coolies who are learning the art, and who carry spare ropes. When the party has succeeded in approaching a herd of elephants without disturbing them, the Panikkkans go on in advance, and when sufficiently close alarm the elephants by shouting, and as the herd takes to flight, each singles out an elephant, and endeavours to slip the noose over its hind leg as it runs. As soon as the Panikkkan has succeeded in getting the noose round its leg, he slips the bight of the rope round a
tree, and makes it fast by passing the knot through the loop. This is done with marvellous dexterity, and the elephant is brought up short with a tremendous jerk, which either snaps the rope, or sends him on to his head; the assistants then come up, and in a very short time the elephant is made fast with a rope round each leg, and another round his neck.

If the first rope breaks, another is handed to the Panikkan, and he pursues the elephant until it is noosed, or escapes into thick jungle where it is impossible for the Panikkans to keep up with it.

Sometimes an elephant is savage, and charges instead of taking to flight with the rest of the herd. In this case it is not unusual for one man to stop and take off its attention from the rest, by inducing it to charge him, while he dodges behind trees, and in this way leads it away, while the other trappers pursue the herd. When it is at a safe distance he easily gives it the slip, and joins his companions.

The Panikkans are a very fine set of men, physically tall, well built, and muscular, but they are very ignorant and inconceivably superstitious. The forests are, to them, peopled with demons of every kind; every animal, tree, or rock has its attendant devil, who is dangerous if provoked. This belief is turned to profitable account by certain individuals called "Annávis," who are magicians, and who undertake for a consideration to propitiate the demons who are in charge of elephants.

Every party that starts for the jungle is accompanied by one of these imposters, and in spite of the repeated failure of his predictions, their faith in him remains unshaken. The Annávis are of course fertile in excuses, and there is generally a saving clause attached to each of their predictions.

Before starting for the jungle, the Annávi collects from the members of his party a coconut, a quart of raw rice, a large kuddán or cake of jaggery, half a quart of rice flour, and a white cock. These things are heaped together, the Annávi says prayers over them, and they are then packed up for subsequent use when an elephant shall have been caught. Besides these things others are required to
propitiate the demons who accompany each elephant. The
demons are five in number, and are called Káli, Karuppan
Kádéri, Kancha Vírapattiran, Narasi̱pta Vayíravan.
(I have not been able to find out any particulars as to
these demons, and do not know whether they are recognised
in Hindú mythology. Káli is not, as far as I can ascertain,
identical with the goddess of the same name. The Pañikkans are all Muhammadans, as far as they have any
religion at all.)
The sacrifice to these demons consists of a cocoanut,
some sandalwood dust, benzoin, three arecanuts, and three
betel leaves. These are placed in a heap, and the Annávi
offers them to the demons, reciting certain prayers or
charms over them. A circle is then formed with a piece of
jungle creeper called pirandaik-kodi, and the Pañikkans
and their men take their stand within the circle.
The Annávi breaks the cocoanut, and the result of the
expedition is foretold by the position in which the two
halves rest on the ground. If the nut breaks into two
equal halves, and rest with their convex sides on the
ground, a tusker will be caught; if one half has the convex
side on to ground, and the other the concave, a female will
be caught; and so on. When these ceremonies are over,
the party is ready to start, but, in spite of all precautions,
the expedition may prove a failure through the machinations
of enemies.
It seems that formerly the Annávis alone were able to
work charms and counter-charms, but now-a-days they say
that any one—man, woman, or child—can frustrate all the
efforts of Pañikkans and Annávis combined. Owing to this,
a Pañikan will not even punish his child for juvenile offences
when he is about to start for the jungle. This change is
easily accounted for. Before elephant-catching was stopped
by Government, the Pañikkans were so expert that the
Annávi was rarely called on to account for failure, but
during the years that elapsed before the restriction was
removed, the Pañikkans lost their skill, and during the last
two or three years the Annávis have been hard put to it to
find excuses for their erroneous prognostications. It was
obviously easy for them to lay the blame on counter-charms,
the old device of the baffled wizard. I have no doubt that as the Panikkans regain their skill, the Annávies will regain their power.

There are endless charms employed to bring bad luck to the Panikkans. Most of these are common everywhere among the natives, such as tying up a coin in a piece of rag and placing it in the roof of the person whom it is desired to injure, but it is believed that each of these charms works in a different way; the above charm, for instance, does not prevent the Panikkan from seeing elephants, but from catching them. But if one watches the path by which the Panikkan goes to the jungle, and then carefully effaces his foot-prints with a piece of pirandaik-kodi, the unfortunate Panikkan will wander about the jungle without ever seeing an elephant at all. Another more elaborate and particularly spiteful charm is to invoke the aid of the aforesaid five devils, Káli, Karuppan, &c., An offering is made to them of seven arecanuts, seven betel leaves, camphor, benzoin, roasted paddy, and unleavened bread made of grass seeds. The inevitable cock, (in this case a black one,) is sacrificed, and mantirans or charms are said over it near the path by which the party went to the jungle. This charm does not prevent the capture of an elephant, but after it is caught it will inevitably die of a peculiar disease, bleeding at the mouth and trunk.

In order to avoid these hostile charms, the Panikkans are careful to keep secret the time when they intend to start, and when they do start they set out in the opposite direction to that which they intend really to follow. I have known an instance where the Panikkans packed all their ropes, &c., into bags and set out with tavalams of bullocks, pretending that they were carrying paddy; in this case they got safely into the jungle, and captured two elephants in a very short time,—no doubt the knowledge that no one had attempted to interfere with them had much to do with their success; but any lingering doubts they may have had on the subject of magic must have been for ever dispelled.

After an elephant has been captured, further ceremonies are necessary. The white cock, provided at starting, now meets his fate, and goes into the chatty with the rest. But
if the coconut proves rotten, or if the jaggery is bad, the elephant that has been caught will become blind.

It is a very common thing for newly-caught elephants to become blind, owing to want of sleep, and the unaccustomed glare of the sun; so the Annávi in whose charge the coconut and other things are, has here a grand opportunity of establishing his reputation as a prophet.

The Annávi’s share of the proceeds is, as a rule, 12½ per cent. of the value of the elephant, besides Rs. 10 as fee for his charms and ceremonies. Twenty per cent. is given to the Panikkkan who first nosed the elephant, and the balance is divided equally among the rest of the party, the Annávi getting his share in addition to the 12½ per cent. already received. Thus, if the elephant is sold for Rs. 300, the Annávi’s total share will amount to Rs. 57, supposing twenty men form the party.*

---

* Further interesting particulars of these Elephant-catchers appear in an account communicated through Mr. G. P. Sanderson, Superintendent, Indian Government Kheddahs, to the "Pioneer" on September 15th, 1881, and copied into the "Ceylon Observer."—Hon. Secy.
NOTES ON ANCIENT SIṆHALESE INSCRIPTIONS.*

BY DR. E. MÜLLER.

1.—INSCRIPTION OF ABHAYAWAWEWA.

(Report on Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon (XXV., 1881, No. 3) and Ind. Ant. Vol. VIII., p. 223).

dasanawawanne:—"the 19th." The termination wanne stands for Skt. guna. Cf. tunwanne (Wadurag’s inscription at Polonnaruwa, Anc. Inscr. No. 119, A 4); pasaloswanne (Mahākalattaewa No. 110); later, naeni; in modern Sinhalese, weni. Comp. Childers’ Notes, II., 4.

Maendindinne:—old Majimodini (Habarane No. 67, 10) = "March—April;" later maendinīyae (Parākrama-bāhu’s inscription at Polonnaruwa, No. 137, 37); and present maendina.

Bayawawawen:—for Abhayawawe. The first a is dropped as in bisow and several other instances. The modern Tamil name derived from this is Bassawakulam.

Māwal:—most probably from Mahāwila, "the great tank," with change of i to a. At present there is a place called Māvīl in the Eastern Province.

karwanukot:—the last part = kritvā; whilst karwanu stands for karuvanu, an acc. pl. of karuna = kāraka. Comp. Ambasthala (121) A 47, Wandarūpa (153) 11.

* In the MS. of these Notes, Dr. Müller’s transliteration differs from the system adopted by the Ceylon Government (Minute, November 16th, 1869) and followed usually in the C. A. S. Journals, in that he employs the circumflex instead of the acute accent on long vowels, as ā for ā, ā;

also, a for ō, ō;
c for ch, ġ;
ς for ς, ς;
m for ẓ.

Owing, however, to the want of the necessary type, the acute accent is here retained, oe is used for e, and y for m.
wat: — general formative (originally gen.) of the plural.

nadâleyin: — composed from nadâla, past participle of nadaránavâ = avadhâreti, and keyin = hetunâ.

kanae: — may be derived either from skhanna, “embankment” (as in Habarane, 2), or more probably stands for koña, “corner.”

pahanâk: — for pâshâna “stone.” This is one of the first instances of the so-called indefinite declension in ak.

hinnavâ: — gerund of the causative of hinnâvâ, modern innavâ. — j sud.

mas = matsya; modern málu.

maerú: — gerund from maranavâ. Comp. naengú and lasengú in the inscription of Ambasthala. The termination of this form seems to have been i and ú, but sometimes also á, as it is at present — e. g. palá and tabú (Amb. A 57.) The change of a to ae in maerú and naengú makes it probable that originally there was an i or y in the termination.

kenekun: — Comp. Childers’ Notes II., 12.

raekae: — infinitive of rakinavâ; and genae of genanavâ. These infinitives were very common in the 10th and 11th centuries, as can be seen in the inscription at Ambasthala (No. 121).

paetwu: — the same form as maerú, with u shortened. About the meaning, I am not quite certain.

ladâ = Skt. labdhvâ. Forms of this verb are very frequent in inscriptions, e. g. ladi = labdha (Mahâk. 110, B); ladi = aladdhi (Mahâk. D); ladu (Galpota, 148, A 3); ladin (Galpota, A 7); and laddan (Wewelkaetiya, 122, 24; Kon-gollaeawa, 112 C.)

atin: — most probably from hasta or anta; but the form is difficult to explain. The termination belongs to the ablative. Comp. Minneri (123) A 47.

hanâk: — a measure; perhaps = suvarna. The word occurs in Parâkramabâhu’s inscription (137) 21 and 31 in the form hanekhi and hanki, in connection with kamaṭa yedi; but I believe this is rather a derivative of Skt. ḍana “pace,” Pâli sanikam, and modern Sinhalese haniki.
māḍae biyā:—?
karawāre (B 5):—read karavanu, and comp. above karavanu.

kasana: = Pāli kasaṇa or kasaṇa "sin."
kevul: = kainurta, Pāli kevaṭṭa, Māgadhi kevaṭa, (Cunningham, Corpus Inscriptionum, p. 42.) The cerebral ī seems to be the original.

2.—Kasappā’s Inscription at Mihintalā (115).

weherin:—abl. sing. The terminations of the instrumental in in or gen are also used for the ablative in inscriptions of the 10th or 11th centuries: comp. Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 10. In the oldest inscriptions the ablative is formed by the affix da = Skt. tas e. g., padana galida (Tissamattharāma, 67, 8.)

dunumandalan:—stands most probably for tunmandala, Pāli timandala, i. e. “the robe of the Buddhist priest which covers three circles.” Here as well as at Mahākalattaeawa (110), it simply means “priest.” The form is the acc. pl.
gannā:—comp. Amb. A 48, B 42. This, as well as wadnā, is a peculiar form of the infinitive, only used in a certain context of words: the common form is genae. Most probably the modern infinitives, like karanne, are derived from these forms.

mahawar: = mahāpura.


mangdiwa pediwa:—The second part of these two words is derived from ṛdhāv; the first part of the first one = margā “road,” and of the second = pada “foot”: this word is generally found under the form piya e. g., piyagīya = padagata, (Mahāk, C; Amb. B 53.) Pe is most probably contracted from piya, similarly as in velanavā “to dry,” older mīyalanavā. This explanation seems to me more natural than to recur to the form peda in pedenpedia (Kāvy. III., 4), which looks like a forgery of the Pañḍīs. The word is to be found besides at Inginimitiya (113) B 24; Kongollaeawa (112) A 16.

readatalan:—“palmyra;” composed from wada “high,” and tal = tāla.
puḷapana:—"cocoanut" (Nāôm. 136.) At Mineri (123) A 49, we find puḷup kol. The word is probably derived from phala by the same suffix p which we have in watau “garden” = watu (Kāvy. X., 99), Pāli āramavatthu in Mahāvagga III., 5, 6, and in watau “wages” (Nāôm. 209; Gutt. 176; Kāvy. XIII., 64), spelt watau at Amb. A 47. If so, the dental t is the original.

minan:—pl. of madhūka, spelt minan, Amb. A 50. The older form madhūka occurs (Kong. D 4).

sinibalān:—"ferns": comp. sinidda and bolidda. (Nāôm. 127; Glossary Dhammapada, 54.)


kaepu:—gerund from the same root. See above maerū.

kamaṇṇa: = karmasthāna.

genā:—infinitive from genanā (Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 39.)

dat:—?

panu: = parvata.

sangwaella:—nom. from the thema sangwæli = sanghavālukā “the ground (lit. sand) of the priesthood,” or simply “the priesthood.” Comp. Amb. A 39, B 21.

adakkalam:—"½ kalandā.” Kalam is the Tamil and Malayāḷam form of the Sinhalese kalanda, which we find at Mineri (123), A 48. Comp. Gundert’s Malayāḷam Dictionary.

aeti: = asti.

kiyarā:—infin. from kath: comp. kiyā (Amb. A 12).

ayat:—"taxes” (Amb. A 52; Dambulla, 143, 7), most probably = sattva.

ganmin:—participle from genanā. The termination min is the old mána of the Atmanepada.

siṭiya:—part. from sīṭinanā.

wadālamhayi:—1st pers. pl. of the past tense of wadārananā, "to declare.” The terminating i is iti, and the y is inserted; so that the real form is wadālamha, which stands probably for wadālamaha with an ā added to the Skt. termination mas, and change of s to h. Similar forms
are *dinamaha* (Tiss. 67, 18); *dunamaha* (W. P., D. 14); *danambahayi* (Gp. B 21.)

ároghya sidhi:—is a tatsama.

3.—**Inscription at Wandaru’pa Wihāra** (No. 153.)

*paemini*:—comp. *paemunu* in inscription at the Ruwanwaeli Dāgaba (145) B 25; *pamini* (Gp. B 15.) This is derived from *pa* + *jáp*, Pāli *pápunáti*, with change of *p* to *m*; like Jaina Prákrit *manáma* for manápa. The *ae* shows that the forms with *i*, *pamini* and *paemini*, are the original ones; although *pamunu* agrees better with the Pāli form. We find two other forms of the same word besides, where the *n* of the derivation is changed to *l*, viz., *paemili* (Mayil. 20, A 4) and *pamili* (Dambulla, 1). These forms would be difficult to explain by themselves; but the context shows that they must be identical with those above named. For the change of *n* to *l*, comp. *piriheká, pirihelíma* a verbo *pirihenaná* (Nám. 62; Parákramabáhu’s inscription 137, 20, 25.)

devana:—“The 2nd,” modern *deneni* (Childers I., 4).

*pátan*: = prasthána, “since.”


_Samanaṇa_:—older form for *Samaneṇa* = Samanakúṭa “Adam’s Peak” (Maháv. 68, 6).

digantarayehi:—from *dići* and antara, with change of *g* to *k*.

*satra*:—comp. Dambulla, 21; Ruan. D. 14; generally translated “resthouses.” At Gp. B 22, we have *dánasatra*, of which a synonym is *kuddánasála* (Inginimitiya, A 22); another form is *sastraya* (Thúpáráma VIII.), and the Tamil equivalent *cattiram*. About the origin of the word I am doubtful; but I believe it has nothing to do with Skt. *chattra*, “canopy,” of which the genuine Simhalese form *sat* occurs at Ambasthala, A 3; Ellawaeva Pansala, A. 12.

_naṃvá*:—only used with *satra*, and once with *deválavak* (Gp. B 20). As it only occurs in inscriptions of Niçcanka Malla we are justified in considering it as a corrupted tatsama—similar to *rusvá* for *rucitoá* (Amb. A. 6)—which replaced the old *laengú* in the inscriptions of the 10th and 11th centuries. At Amb. B 23 we find a form *nangá*, which
seems to be only a clerical error for naengú, (line 24, 25;) but may be also an intermediate state between this and namvá of Niççan̄ka Malla’s inscriptions.

wačan:—“bracelet,” Skt. malaya (Nâm. 169; Gp. A 19, B 22); more modern nael (Kâvy. XII., 81). The cerebral ŋ cannot be accounted for.

nadakā:—?

naengi:—comp. naevá. This form, as well as naengú, occurs at Ambasthala (Journ. C. A. S. 1880, p. 11), and seems to be a genuine form; whereas laengú = Pâli lan-

ghetvá, and naevá, are tatsamas.

dukpatun:—acc. pl. = dukkhāpráptā.

suvāpat: = sukkhāprāptā.

nakā: = nihāya (Amb. A 20 ; P. P. 13.)

samanga: = samāgra.

dā: = dhātu.

kaemae(ta): = kāma + asti. The i of the last syllable has influenced not only the preceding a, but also the first one: comp. kaemae stamuna (Dambulla, 16; Sâhasa Malla’s inscription, 156, B. 31.)

baegeː = baegin at line 7; the locative used for the instrumental.

kiyāː = see above Kassapa’s inscription, C 3.

ganit:—comp. Niççan̄ka Malla’s inscription at Polonnaruwa 23; Gp. A 17. It is the 3rd pers. pl. of genavá; a similar form is kaemiti (P. P. 32.)

kaerewá:—past part. of karanerana.

wehe dayi: = nedayi (Ruwan. D 10; S. M., B. 16; Gp. A. 21). This form seems to be the original one, and the other a contraction; but I am not sure about the etymology of the word.

piyumak: = padma, Pâli paduma.


demá: = dattvá, corrupted tatsama derivation from deñavá; just like enná from enavá (Amb. A 58).

utté:—?

sakah: = “six,” older form caka (Hab. 4). This seems to
be a composition of saka + aka = aksha, as is shown by the following hataraka and tunaka.

nyaravāsthā = tatsama corrupted into varāstamā (Mayil. A 25).

4.—SITULPA WIHĀRA (16.)

malu = Skt. kumāra, later manṣuwān (S. M. A 27), modern malaya. The cerebral l is the genuine transformation of r, as halu for kshāra, aṭṭulu for antara, etc.

tabiya = Skt. sthāpita. From this is derived the modern tibennāvā. In the 10th century we find tubu (Mahāk.), and in the 13th century we have the following forms, tabanavā (Sidat Sangarāwa I, 6), tabā (S. M., B. 24.)

aleya = “causal,” Skt. and Pāli āli, as in állinsaro daika (Mahāw. I. p. 221; II., p. 195; Jāt. I., 336.) We find the form āli in the inscription at Sandagiri wihāra (23); later on aelu (Gp. A 20); and aela (Nām. 91, S. S. 22.)

akalā (Hab. 7) = akrita.

kana = “embankment,” = Skt. shhanna.

waya = another form of wavi = vāpi “tank,” which leads immediately to the contracted form vā. There seems to be a contrast between the aleya wavi, “the channel tank,” and the kana waya, “the embankment tank;” but it is difficult to say where the difference lies.

mudawatiyāta = most probably from muc. Comp. midinavā (Tiss. 5).


dini = 3rd pers. past tense from denavā. Comp. dinihi (Hab. 9), kiṇiyihi (Tiss. 5.) The hi is the termination of the Skt. s aorist which was dropped later on. Comp. Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 7.

5. TONIGALA (1.)

acagirika and acanagara:—The second part of these words requires no explanation; the first I consider as an equivalent of Skt. accha “clear,” “open” as we find it in acchagalaka (Mahāw. I., 127) the opposite of rahagalaka. The signification of these words would be “the open,
unt fortified mountain,” and “the open town.” The opposite of acanagara is tanvirikyanagara, “the fortified town,” Skt. sthavara. I give this explanation, with all reserve, as a mere hypothesis.

6. Gajabahu’s Inscription at the Ruwanweli Dagoba, Anuradhapura (5.)

manumaraka: = Skt. manorana, is the common expression for “grandson” in ancient inscriptions; modern Sinhalese munubura.

araba: = Skt. arabhya “beginning from,” that is to say, “others are following.” I prefer this explanation to that of some commentaries, which identifies araba with áráma “garden,” ancient Sinhalese arub (Amb. A 18, 46.)

karaya and rakaniyã:—These are the ordinary forms of the gerund in old inscriptions. Later on the final a is dropped and we find nimavay (Amb. A 10,) karay (ib. A 5). Still later the y is dropped also and the a lengthened instead, which gives us the modern forms.

bajika:—stands for bajikali or bijakali in Meghavanã’s inscriptions at Mihintalé (20), and Ratmalagala (6). Perhaps both readings are incorrect, and we should read bojika, as we have bojiya in the inscription of Habarané (5, 6).

patisavanak:—most probably for Páli patissa vità, “faith.”

tiri: = Skt. sthira.

papataka:—most probably = Páli papatanam; but the reading is not quite sure.

patisatara:—more likely = pratisaṅkâra, as we find change of k to t also in anit for anik = anyaka. In this case the translation should be, “having restored the decayed buildings.”

dine:—is most probably the same as dini at Situlpa-wiharã.

bikusagaha ataya:—This is the old form of the dative composed from the genitive in ha or sa = sya + ataya = Skt. artháya.

pace ni: = pratyaya, later on pasa in the inscr. of Lag Wijaya Siñha (157). For the termination, comp. Páli apassenam for apassaya.

paribujanak: = paribhojana.
7. **Alutgal wihāra (52.)**

- bikawaniya: = bhikshvacāpi.
- sagawaniya: = saṅghacāpi.
- talatarani ketahi: = tuladhāravṛhi kṣetra, "the goldsmith's field."
- ulinwaniya: = most probably the modern ulu "tile,"
- "brick" = īśtiṅkā.
- wikiraka ketahi: = This occurs again at Ratmalagala, but the signification is not quite clear.

8. **Kaiikāwala wihāra (13.)**

- jīta: = Skt. duhitā "daughter," Bengāli jī (Beames Comp. Grammar I., 192.) This is the oldest form of the word we have in Sinhalese; later on we find du (Gp. B 3; Saelalihiniya, 36) and duwaniyan (Wewelk. 34; Kāvy. X. 84.)
- In this latter form the termination niya is honorific as in maṇiyan "mother." Another form diyaṇi occurs (Gp. B 24; Nām. 154.)
- ameti: = a common word for "minister" = Skt. amātya.

9. **Mailagastēta (120.)**

- Another form is kēt. (Gp. A 16.)
- pāemili: = See above Wandarūpa wihāra.
- parapuren (Ell. P.; Amb. A1, 48) parapurehi (P. P. P. 1): = This form is always used in the beginning of inscriptions for Pāḷi paramparā "in succession" (Mahāv. I., 218); but later on in the text we find the tatsama form paramparāyen, e.g. P. P. P. 62.
- bata: = "descended from." Part. of ṣbhranc, modern bahinamā.
- purumuvanat: = dat. pl. of purumuvā = parumaka (Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 2.)
- poloyon: = prithvi; also written polowā (Gp. A 14, E. P. A 7, etc.)
- himi: = śvāmi.
- upan: = utpanna. Another form of this word is upaeni (Amb. B 21; S. B. M. 2) and upāni (Amb. B 9.)
- nīyae (Attanayāla, 15; Nām. 52): = I believe this form to
be an abbreviation of niyana = jñāna, from which also naena and nureana are derived.

karand (Amb. A21, B4) :—always used in connection with nanam = navakarma “repair.” The original meaning of the word is “box” or “basket;” but here it means most probably “the inner room of the temple where the ordination of the priests was held,” and in the Ambasthala inscription has adopted the signification of “treasury” or “revenue.”

utumi :—loc. of utu = ritu.

mahanam : = mahanakarma, later mahanunum (Gp. A 23) “ordination of a priest;” mahana = gramana, fem. meheni (Mahāk. A.)

wanisi :—most probably an old aorist from upaniṣri “to establish.” Comp. nisi from the same root, Pāli nissīta (Amb. A 7, 24, 41.)

wastamā :—corrupted tatsama for vyavasthā.

kerae :—infinitive used as gerund. Comp. the identical passage vyavasthākota (Dambulla 10.)

radāhara :— “royal taxes.”

bili :— “offerings” (Nām. 270) = Skt. bāli.

bun : = bhagana? but the meaning is not clear. Comp. sunbun on the slab at Kaelani.


samdarunum (Kong. C 9; W. P. D 5; Wewelk. 46; Minn. A 48) :—always used in the same context rada kol samdarunum. At Mahāk. C we find instead of this ra ko kaemiyan, where kaemiyā = karmika. I have no doubt that samdarunum is the same as kaemiyā, and that we have to translate “the officers of the royal family;” but I am not sure about the etymology of the word = samadhāraka?

bisamwat :—bisam I take as plural of bisow “queen,” with m instead of n, the ordinary sign of the plural. For the meaning of wat, comp. Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 28.

10. INGINIMITIYA (113.)

sawanaga puridase :—puridase is the same as puradasa (W. P A 4) “the bright half.” As to sawanaga, I am doubtful whether it means “the sixth” and is a formation analogous to demana, “second,” tunwana, “third,”
or whether it is derived from ṣrāvana, as Dr. Burgess suggested to me. See Ind. Ant. VI., 68; IX., 271.


parāparamen:—another form of parapuren (see above).

dānakudaṣalā:—most probably the same as danasala in the inscription at Mediyāwa (Report XI., p. 6.)

rađol (Wewelk. 17): = rajaṉula “headman.”

denaño:—“we give” (Mahāk. A; Wewelk. 8; Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 26.)

Hinginipiti:—later Inginī (S. S. I., 22) “the clearing nut.” This seems to be the older name of the present village Inginimitiya.

atsāni:—the same as attāni (Mahāk. B; Kong. C 13; W. P. D 11) and always in connection with paerahaer = parihāra. The s is most probably a blunder of the mason; but attāni also is difficult to explain. Goldschmidt derived it from aṭman, which, however, gives regularly taṇa in Sinhalese.

awan:—“market” (Gp. A 20) = āpana.

melattina:—generally melūt (Amb. B 53; Mahak. C; Mayil. A 33; K. M. A 14) “assembly”? 

atatāṇi nepannā:—“dependent upon themselves;” for Pāli attanānippahāṇā. Atanin is a regular instrumental of an a stem.

panḍura: = pannakāra.

nasna:—√nas “to destroy.”

11. Dambulla (143.)

apiṇiyat: = aparyanta “endless” (Attanayāla 1); at Devanagala we have apiriyat.

ikut: = atikranta.

guṇamulinda uturat: = mulin instrumental of mulā; uturat = atirikta “exceeding.”

Dambadiwūhi:—locative.

an: = anya; modern form anīt = anyaka.

pāmili:—see above paemini (Wand.)

praṭaya:—tatsama. The cerebral ḍ is a mistake for the dental.
No. 26.—1833.] SI\HAESE INSCRIPTIONS.

ko\tas: = krat\va, older ko\t (Mah\h. B; Amb. A 22.)
parapar\ya\en:—identical with parapuren; the
second is the correct Sihalese form, the first a tatsama.
nomin:—"multitude."
gambura:—modern jaembru = gambhira.
teda: = tejas "glory."
nisal: = ni\ca\la.
d\na: = \dna.
sirin:—instrumental of \crt.
Ud\g\l:—"the mountain of the dawn," is generally
written with cerebral \d: e.g. udgalae (Amb. A 34), but ud\gal
with the dental also at P. P. P. 12; Gp. A 12.
mandan: = m\rd\naan (P. P. P. A 12; Amb. A 25, 56).
The nasal is inserted as we find it often in Sihalese.
p\ta: = pr\pta.
ri\vi: = ravi.
ma\dlulu: = mandala "like."
satur:—"enemy," pl. of \c\tra (Gp. A 13, B 21.)
anduru: = andhak\\ra, "darkness," M\\l\\de andiri
(Gray 15.)
durul\: = durukrit\va, modern Sihalese durulu. The
change of \t to \l is the same as in hala = krita; but durul\ is
always written with dental \l. Another derivation given by
the commentaries, is from lanav\a, the same verb which,
according to the Sihalese Pa\\d\its, forms the second part of
Sihala "Ceylon;" but as this verb does not occur anywhere
in inscriptions, I prefer the derivation given above.
sae\t: = chattr\a.
kara\nwava:—"Royal revenue," P\li h\ra.
ha\ae\ae: (Rank. D 2; Gp. A 16,) haeriyae (Amb. A 25,
58) a verbo harinava.
divel: = jivel (Amb. A 45) "gifts of land."
mal\:—"slave" (N\am. 184; K\\vy. X, 142.)
sara\: = cakwara by metathesis.
p\manu:—?
matu\wana:—"future" (Ruwan. D 32): matu = mastaka;
wana from wenava.
mo\da genae:—"having increased." W\da from \vr\dh;
genae from gannava. The two forms genae and gann\a are
used alternately already in the inscription at Ambasthala.
(A 28, B 42; Gp. A 17.) At Amb. A 32 we have *genae* spelt with a cerebral *u*; the form with the dental occurs besides at Wewelk. 30.

*=m*anae*waeyi* = manápamasti (S. M. B. 25; Ruwan. D 7; L.V.K.C.)

*sitá:* — "having thought," √cint, modern gerund with the termination á.

*manda* : -a measure (Gp. A 17.)

*sáka:* — "six." See above sakak (Wandar. 14.)

*kaeti aya:* — "the Royal half."

*ka†u:* — "thorn" = ha†aka (Amb. B 46.)

*kanu:* = Páli khánu, Skt. sáhánu.


*heyin:* = hetuná.

*haemae:* = sarva with suffix ma, contracted from saeruma.

*dasakám:* = dásakarma, "compulsory labour."

*kalavunta:* — dat. pl. of kritaván.

*déna:* = jana.

*híra:* = sûrya, modern íra.

*pamlúnu:* = pramána.

*neyan:* — pl. of neyá = upacíhá, "white ant."

*miyan:* — pl. of mi = múshika, "rat."

*sopatrána:* = tattama, "exposed."

*talpatāna:* = tálapattra, "palmyra leaf."

*liyá:* — √likh gerund, modern liyanáva.

*diye:* — loc. of diya = udaka "water." This word disappeared from the language shortly after the time of this inscription and was replaced by *natura* (so already in Gp. and Thúp.)

*haendi:* — "surface." Comp. haendra = áchádana (K. J. 51; Amb. A 10), haendae (P. P. 31.)

*hirí:* — "a line" (Nám. 280; Kávy. II., 28.)

*panatná:* — from panatináná, "to continue."

*tambaratána:* = támrapattra, "copper plate."

*hasun:* = cásana.

*liyaná:* — gerund of the causative of liyanáva.

*panat:* = prova†ti (Nám. 60.)

*yálak* (L.V.K. B; Ruwan. D 31) and *yaela* (P. P. 50):—
the etymology of the word is obscure.

paēḍakunuː = pradakshina.
balāː−“having seen,” from balanā = avalok.

gaēniyaː = grihini.

anaengiː = anargha, “priceless.”
kumukdaː−“what;” kim with the termination of the indefinite ak. From this is derived the modern mokada by apocope of the first syllable.

hiyanaː−from ṣkath.
walː = vana, “wilderness.”

semēhiː−“in peace,” loc. of kshamā.

tablː−gerund of tab = sthap. See above tabiya at Situlpa-wihāra.

dwandaː−?

dēvarakː−“twice,” dva + vára.

vaēdaː−“having struck.” This word occurs in the form vaēdæ with dental d (Amb. A 49; P. P. 29.)

evūː−gerund of the causative of enavā.

elavā (Gp. B. 9)ː−a verbo elavanavā.

genvāː−“having taken,” gerund from genavā.

vaēda hindaeː−comp. vaēdachun (L. V. K. A.), vaēdāesitaee (Gp. A 14.) Waēdaē is the gerund of vaēdanavā, which is joined to another verb when a king or person of great importance is the subject.

purūː−“having filled” (Gp. B 6; P. P. 1.)
simurangaː = caturanga, “four-fold.”

naēvaætæː−from navatinnavā. Comp. P. P. 50.

tewalāː = tripiṭaka. Comp. walā = piṭaka (Amb. A 12.)

niyadamː−“expenditure” ṣyaṭ. Comp. yadinavā.

12. Sāhāsa Malla’s Inscription at Polonnaruwa (156.)


sakwalaː = cakranavāla.

baēnæː−“brother,” composed from bae = bhrātā, and nan the honorific termination, as in rājanān, piyānan, etc. This word means “the elder brother,” opposite to malanunān, the “younger brother.” Another derivation is from bhūgineya, “nephew,” but the sense is evidently in favour of the first.
pasu: = paśćát.
hipa: — most probably = Páli kivá with hardening of v to p.
gili: = glána, but generally gilan (Amb. A 11; P. P. 32.)
sanda: = candra “moon.”
udá: — for udaya tatsama.
lá: = labdha, generally ladi or ladu (Gp. A 3; Amb. B 37.)
anduruwae: — loc. of andurwa, “darkness.”
tubú (Mahák. B): — the same as tabá.
ábonánvan: — comp. bonánvan (W. P. B 11.)
sanda: = kshana.
yedi: — J yuj, gerund; other forms of the same are yeda (P. P. 25), yedu (P. P. 51), yodá (P. P. 24; Gp. C 7), yodi (P. P. 16); infin. yedenarvá (P. P. 20).
budalnánvan: — stands for mudalnánvan, derived from the Tamil mudal, “money.”
rajahu: — nom. sing., with the termination hu, as in maharajhu (Amb. A 3) or maharadhu (E. P.). Comp. S. S. 26.
niyamawá: = niyamaka, “steersman.”
náenak: — “ship,” probably corrupted tatsama.
pavatneyae: — see above.
hobaneyae: — a verbo hobanawá or sobhanawá, ʃubh.
The three forms hobanayae, pavatnayae, and vamneyae resemble the Páli optative, but are the only forms of this kind I have met in Sitapalese.
ánasak: = ájñáacakra.
wanneyae: — from wannawá.
mul: — pl. of múla.
m MLA: — “dry land” (Nam. 271; Kávy. XIII., 42)
Comp. melanawá, “to dry.”
tenaeyae: — most probably a mistake for taenaegae = sthánamasti, but the change of ae to e may be genuine; just as ket (Gp. A 16) for older kæt = kshatriya.
malánvan: — See above báenam.
yará: — causative of yanará.
waḍá awut: — waḍá is the same as vaḍāe above in the Dambulla inscription, and awut = ágata.
lóson: = lokaçásana.
rakumha: — the same form as naḍálamha (K. M. C. 10.)
maesi: = vāsi “living.” Comp. P. P. 40, Gutt. 90.
peraharin:—instrumental from parihrā, older paeras-
haer (Mahāk.) Here also we find the secondary change of
ae to e.
dekāta:—dative of deka, “both.”
pohosat: = pracasta (P. P. 23); later on it was con-
tracted into pó (Kāvy. X., 89.)
rahnā:—participle of rahinavanā. (Amb. B 37.)
patā:—gerund of patanavanā = pra + arth.
sudhā:—“having overthrown” (Gp. B 15.; P. P. 22.)
punsanda: = pūrṇa candra.
naengae: = “having risen,” infinitive instead of gerund.
Comp. above, naengi.
pānā:—“shining,” derived from pān = pahan, “lamp.”
mohothi:—loc. of mūhūrta.
muhurdu: = samudra contracted into mūndu (Gp. B 14.)
pāsae:—“back” = prishta.
manga petae:—“finding their way.” About the ety-
mology of petae I am doubtful.
Tri-Sinhala:—“the three parts of Ceylon,” Māyā, Pihiti, and Rohana.
ratpatā:—“a red girdle.” Comp. patabandinavanā, “to
confer a title or office by lying on the forehead a flat piece of
gold engraved with the name, title, &c.”
situvā:—gerund of joint. See above sitā.
maevaeni:—“such”; maeni = guna.
daruvan:—pl. of dāraka.
manvanta:—dat. pl. of manu, “mother.”
waeḍi: = vriddhi, “increase.”
manāvedayi:—Comp. P. P. P. 30.
maeniyaṇta:—another form for “mother,” with the
honorable suffix niyan.

gamwara:—This is the older form of the plural instead of
the modern wal. We find it besides in the inscription
of Amb. perelinar (A 38), dāvar (A 44), gammanvar (B 24),
and in Nāmawaliyā suravara, ukularvara. As to the
explanation of these forms compare the Introduction to my
siyalu: = sakala.
sampattiyata: —tatsama. The Sihalese is saepat.
kalavun: —See above.
kirima (S. S. 10; P. P. 13, 31, 37): —A verbal noun
most probably contracted from kirikama, just as senim,
sitim, &c. (Journ. C. A. S. 1879, p. 24.)
paridden (Gp. B 8; P. P. 9, 16): —instrumental of
paridi = Skt. paridhi, “according to.”
waldalesyeukê: —modern wadâlasêka. The origin
of this honorific form is not quite clear, as we cannot trace
it back beyond the time of this inscription.
ki: —gerund from kiyananá, Jess.
deya: = dravya.
makunawa: —from ʃmrakš, modern wakana, “to
-crush.”
kawudu: —“crow” = kapûta (Nâm. 142.)

13.—Ruwanwela Dâgoba, Anurâdhapura (145).
seusat: = çvetachattrâ.
gos: = gâtvâ.
sorâkam: = corakarma.
masû: —See Rhys David’s Coins and Measures of Ceylon,
p. 23 (note); Hardy, Manual of Buddhism, p. 218.
maênik: = mânikya.
má: —“by me,” instrumental of the personal pronoun.
dun: = Pâli dinna.
virulusekae: —“in a heroic manner,” viru is Skt.
vira.
aengemi: —stands for naengimin, part. pres. átmane
pada. Comp. janimin (K. M. C. 7).
urehi: —urasi, loc. sing.
dâ: = jâta.
agamesun: —contracted from agameshasun.
wotunu: = veshtana, modern ouunna.
saedî: —gerund of saedinanâ, “to decorate.”
pawatwâ: —from pawatinanâ, “to continue.”
daenae: —“knowing,” infinitive from “danâna,” used
as a participle.
kîlŭtu: = klishâ, corrupted tatsama.
Sinhalese Inscriptions.

siwu = civara.
kalawuntha = from karinawa, "to throw away;" just like kalawuntha from karana in the Dambulla inscription. See above.
katayutu = "duty" (P. P. 27, 37).
pili = paṭihā "clothes," (Amb. B 8, 21.)
yakaḍa = "iron," composition with kada; just like lakada and malakada. The first part of yakaḍa seems to be Skt. ayas with loss of the first syllable.
dae = dravya, see above deya.
palibodha = "reproach," tatsama.
numaman = comp. numa (P. P. P. 55; Gp. C 11.)
nae = "relation" = napāt, old napā (Galwana), later natūva.
siwi = catvar.
pasaya = pratyaya. Comp. pas (Amb.)
paturuvā = gerund from paturuvananā.
wasanaseykə = See above vadālaseyek.
pinisae (L.V.K.B; P. P. 26.) The origin of the word is doubtful.
satara vannasahi = "in the 4th year."
nikme = nishkraya.
penena = from penenavā, Pāli paṇñāyatī (Childers II., 15.)
mānayeke = "distance."
baesae = inf. from bahinavā used as participle.
mahu = mālaka.
wali = válukā, "sand."
tawarannā = from tawaranava, "to sprinkle."
atute = ástrītāvā.
pudunnā = √pūj. Comp. pidū (Gp. A 11) and pij-
nūnun (Amb. A 35.), modern pudanavā.
sisārā = See above, mandarupa.
átapanināraya = "protection from sun," tatsama.
kapura = "camphor," Skt. karpura.
suvanda = sugandha.
kaluvael = "incense" (Nām. 132).
gamnak = gavyūti, "a gow."
hātpasin = "around."
satun = pl. of sattra.
kaekkaeyi:—?
laṇā:—from laṇā (P. P. 22; Gp. A 21.)
wae: = waevā, Skt. vāpi.
badana:—most probably from \( \sqrt{vadh} \), “to strike, kill.”
asā:—“having heard.”

Mirisawiti:—There is a temple of this name about 14 miles from Colombo on the road to Kandy, but I do not think that this can be the identical one. Another one of this name is at Anurâdhapura, but this was built by Duṭṭhadāni.


piṭiveti: = paṭipāti (Amb. A 2.)
paΧlaendae (Gp. A 7; Kāvy. X 180), derived from pilandhana, Skt. pināṭi.
keremın: = kriyamāna, part. āttmanepada.
wasanaseyek:—See above.
kaΧamunu: = kaśkāpana.
gim:—grīshma.
nirvā:—from nivāna, Skt. nirvā. Comp. nirvi (P. P. 4), nirvā (Gp. A 19, B 18.)
daekae (P. P. 7):—a verbo, daκināvā.
kalamha:—This is the first person plural derived from the part. kala = kriτa; just as wadālamha (see above) from wadāla.
koτalu:—koτa = kriτa, + lu from laṇā. Comp. S. S. 57.
ganit:—3rd person plural. See above.
dohoyi (P. P. 9):—interrogative particle.
wadāranaseyek:—honoric instead of wadāla in the older inscriptions.
aes: = akshi.
dennā:—“giving,” part. of denāvā.
kaṭayuto:—stands for Skt. kartum yuktam, older kate (Amb. A 8) for koτa (Gp. 6, &c.)
kalāhu:—3rd pers. pl. derived from the part. kala.
Comp. above kalamha.
kaevo:—seems to be derived from kaevā, part. of kaṇāvā; but this part. I have not found anywhere else.
tumī: = āτman. Comp. tuma (E. P.) tumaha (Hab. 7.)
nasiti:—3rd pers. pl. from $\sqrt{naq}$, “to destroy.”

ekru:—“together” = ekabhūta.

visiya:—(Amb. A 20, 43.)


siṭiyamun: = sthitamā, $\sqrt{sthā}$.  
rājamanun; bisowanun:—old form of the genitive plural.

taeğiya:—a verbo takanana.

pereli:—Comp. Amb. A 38; S. S. 14.

kaenahilā:—“jackal” (Nām. 141; Gp. C 17.)

gowi: = gopeka (Gp. C 15.) Another form of the same word is gowu (Amb. B 37).

vaenda:—from vandana.

laddahu:—3rd pers. pl. derived from ladda = labdha.

kivan: = kathitavan.

paemunu:—See paemini (Dambulla).


soyā:—from soyana.

15. Parākrama-Bāhu’s Inscription at the Galwiha, Polonnaruwa (137).

tisa: = trimat.

param: = párami or páramitā.

purā:—“having fulfilled,” gerund from $\sqrt{par}$, which is regularly changed into pur. Comp. puramind (Gp. A 7), purā (P. P. 21, 24.)

vaedassīae:—See above.

esemin:—from soyanavā, part. átmanepada.

nivamin:—part. from nivanava.

abiyes:—“neighbourhood,” corrupted tatsama.

Kusinārā:—contracted from Kusinagara.

dhātuvan:—Sinhalese instrumental, from a tatsama. The genuine word is dá.

dimāi: = jivita (Gp. B 6.)

sára:—contracted from catvar, modern hára in visihāra (Nītinighanduwa 5.)

pirivemin:—from pirivenanavā or pirivenanavā (Gp. A 15 C 10), part. átmanepada. The n is elided before the m.
telehi:—loc. of tala.

\textit{waḍanuwan}:—composed from \textit{waḍa} and \textit{nunana} = \textit{juñāna}.

\textit{māvaeni}:—"like me," composed from \textit{mā} and \textit{guna}.

\textit{hilūtak}:—corrupted tatsama from \textit{kīśta}.

\textit{nassi}:—from \textit{nasanavā}, "to destroy."

\textit{sathu}:—nom. pl. of \textit{satta} with the termination \textit{hu}.

See above.

\textit{bhāga}:—most probably tatsama for \textit{bhāgu}.

\textit{weti}:—3rd pers. pl. from \textit{venanā}.

\textit{dahasu} = \textit{sahasra}.

\textit{pavanatvā}:—from \textit{panatinavā}.

\textit{dosenanarjyun}:—acc. pl. of a tatsama.

\textit{kawurun} = Skt. \textit{katarā}.

\textit{paridden}:—See above.

\textit{kerem}:—1st pers. sing. pres. of \textit{karanavā}.

\textit{dohoyi}:—See above, P. P. P. 24.

\textit{sitā} = \textit{cintayitvā}.

\textit{maeḍae}:—a verbo \textit{madinavā}.

\textit{gatahuru} = ?

\textit{nakā} = \textit{nīkāya}.

\textit{kiremen}:—instr. of \textit{kirima}, verbal noun of \textit{karanavā}.

\textit{mahaaegi} = \textit{mahārgha}.

\textit{keremer} = \textit{hriyamāna}.

\textit{elabæ}:—"approaching," from \textit{elabanavā} = \textit{avalamb}.

\textit{dhurulayehi}:—tatsama for \textit{dhurulaya} with cerebral ī.

Comp. \textit{pralaya} (D. T. 1; Gp. A 13.)

\textit{yodi}:—from \textit{yuj}, generally \textit{yedi}.

\textit{alepa} and \textit{cajarā}:—?

\textit{asā}:—"having heard," from \textit{asanavā}.

\textit{sandahā}:—Pāli \textit{sandaññati}.

\textit{wihidae}:—from \textit{wihidena}, Skt. \textit{vīṣri}. Comp. \textit{wihi} = \textit{vičirna} (Nām. 114; Kāvy. 1X, 71; Gut. 66.)

\textit{deśu} = \textit{jyeshta}.

\textit{aturehi} = \textit{antarā}.

\textit{nisadennaṭa} and \textit{mindennaṭa}:—\textit{nya} = \textit{nīçaya}, and \textit{min} = \textit{manas} (Nām. 52; K. J. 125). \textit{Dennāṭa} is an infinitive of \textit{denanā} with the dative termination.

\textit{wasannawun}:—acc. pl. of the part. of \textit{wasanavā}.

\textit{pamā}: = \textit{pramāda}.
niyae:—infinitive from *venanā.
di: = dattvā.
yacetat: = adhāstāt, older yata (Amb. A 34.)
piriseyin:—from parisa, “assembly” (Nām. 16; Kāvy. I., 18.)

Kudusikha:—“the Khuddasikkha,” a separate text belonging to the Vinaya literature.
Pāmok: = Pātimokkha.
sadanana:—part. of the caus. of sadanavanā.
piriheliyae:—verbal noun from *pirihenavanā = piri-

nenavanā. See above (Amb. A 15).
yedennawun:—part. from yedenavanā, ʃyuʃ. See above

yodi.
piraeva:—infin. from pirenavanā, ʃpar.
iriya:—Pāli iriyā, Skt. iryā.
pinsuda: = parisuddha.
palahā:—?
hunu:—comp. gatahunu (13.)
attānam ena padhama parirūpe nivesaye:—
Pāli quotation. “Let him first establish himself in what is

right.” (Dhammapada 29.) Parirūpe is a mistake for

patirūpe.
atwaeda; parawaeda: — atwaeda “profit,” from

hasta + vriddha. Comp. atwatu (Amb. A 56), from hasta

and vastu. The meaning of parawaeda is not quite clear.
sadhamin:—part. of sadhananā.
henā:—part. of henanā.
lavanā:—from lananā, used in the modern language like

a preposition.
sekhiya:—Pāli idem, Skt. caikshya.
viniga: = viniçcaya.
vicāla:—part. from vicarananā.
pohosat: = prañasta.
menēhi karavā: = manasi kritvā.
ahdrā:—from hadarananā, “to repeat.”
nimi: = nirmita.
uganvan:—from uggananvanā, “to learn.”
yawanu:—part. of the caus. of yanavanā.
paevae twiyae:—infin. of the caus. of paevatinavá.
hevana: = samanera (S. S. 57.)
kelá: = koti (J. K. 42.)
ikmaeviyae:—false spelling for ikmaeviyae, infin. of the causative of atikram.
hæmmena:—part. of the passive of han.
vaedae:—infin. of vadinará.
hañawaendra:—"widow."
bunangana:—"sister."
sabramsarun: = sabrahmacári.
singáyana:—"begging" (Nñinighanduwa 10.) This form is most probably identical with the Sanskrit bhiksh.
See my Contributions to Sinhalese Grammar, p. 20.
kivænun:—past part. from kiyavaná.
bebhadak: = bhesjaya.
paevaerú:—gerund from paevaranavá.
piraṭ:—dat. of piraṭ = paritrá.
mevin:—instr. abl. of the pronoun me.
piṭaṭ: = prishhatas.
yannavnita:—part. of yanavá.
dat:—3rd pers. pl. of denavá.
dukulá: = dukkhaṭa.
æenæt:—"guilt" = apatti.
poho: = uposatha.
danná:—a verbo dannaná, "to know." Comp. ganná, a verbo genaná.
wasavan:—caus. of wasavaná.
hun:—part. of hinnavá, modern innavá.
apewidi:—a verbo pewedinavá = pravraj.
dækekæ:—infin., a verbo dokinaná.
vaesaeviyae:—caus. of wasavaná.
nindi: = nîdrá, "sleep."
sewumin: = sewamána.
siriru:—çarira, (K. J. 61.)
maendinayaemaé: = majjhunayáma, "the middle watch of the night."
sampajakuyen:—corrupted tatsama for samparyanka.
satapa:—from saetapanavá.
aluyaemaé:—loc. of aluyam, "morning" (Nám. 48.)
For the following passage comp. Amb. A 9.
kamaṭa hanhi yedi:—Comp. kamaṭa hanekhiyedi.
Han means "quickness" according to Nām. 26; so the whole sentence most probably signifies "having quickly gone to work."

hindae; sitae:—parts. of hinnavā and siṅinavā.
sakman: = cankramanam.
kirimien:—instr. of kirima, a verbal noun from kara-navā.

gewā:—gerund from gewanavā = kshepeti.
puhunu:—"lesson."
pirivaha:—Comp. pirivahanā (Amb. A 20, B 5; S. B. M. A 3.)
sinuru: = cīvara.
Sakasā: = Sāskritya.
haendae; piravae:—part. of handinavā, ICA phad
and poranavanavā = parupati.
daehaeti:—older daehit (Amb. A 10) = dantā-kashṭha,
"tooth-cleaner."
=kisa: = kṛitya.
nimāvā:—gerund of nimavanavā, older nimanas.
aeduru: = ācārya.
seinasun: = cayanásana.
sapayā:—a verbo sapaçanavā, "to procure."
kanda:—"food," a verbo kanavā.
darana:—part. of the causative of denavā.
hal: = sūla.
ełaełae:—See above.
haenditi:—3rd pers. pl. of kanavā.
watāwat:—"attending."
ekbittachi:—"afterwards;" etymology obscure.
pat: = prāpta.
ikman:—from atikramana, "quick."
actiyavan:—part. derived from acet = asti; a contraction of this form is actamum on the eighth pillar at Polonnāruwa, which was misunderstood by Rhys Davids. (Indian Antiquary II., 248.)
sessavan:—"the rest." Comp. sessuvar (Amb. A. 45.)
yanvā:—gerund of the causative of yanavā; darasyavanā means "daily."
gihiminis:—“the householders, laymen,” opposite to paemijyan, “priests.”
saṇsataṭṭha:—Pāli tatsama.
polonā:—?
raesnuvā:—“assembling;” raes = rāṣi.
“sanunders trānay vo bhikkhave doyān karaniyan dharmi vā kathā ariyovā timhibhāvo”:—quotation from Pāli.
dekin:—abl. from deka, “two things.”
pitata: = prishhatas, “except.”
seviyae:—infin. from soyanavā. See above sevumun.
ebena:—part of ebanavā.
mangi: = mārgayata (Mahāk. C.; Mayil. B. 10.)
pasili:—“ascetic.”
pael:—“shrub” (Nām. 260.)
kaepa:—“proper, fit.”
lagum:—a verbo lagnavā.
kipi: = kupta (Gp. A. 7.)
keli: = kridita.
tepul:—“talk,” “words.” (Nām. 59; Kāvy. X., 118.)
mavun:—pl. of mav, “mother” = mātā.
malakudu:—“virgin.”
ladaru:—“child,” generally written with the dental l.
(Nām. 150.)
mahallamun:—pl. of mahal, “old”; Pāli mahalaka
(May.) mahalaka (Burnouf, Lotus, 367.)
darwā (Gp. B 15):—gerund a verbo danavā.
dae haevili:—“great anger,” (Nām. 70) derived from
dah, “to burn.”
ayati: = ādatta, “belonging.”
yakadurū bhallan:—? perhaps bhallan is the same as
balikaraya (Journ. C. A. S. 1855, p. 74; 1875 p. 12.)
genemi:—stands for genemin, part. útmanep. of genavā.
awañaviya:—See above lana.
migamanu:—“departure.”
temen:—instr. of tema = stutikarma (Nām. 259; Kāvy.
IX., 74.)
ebandu:—“in that way.”
mihita: = smita “laughter.”
sīnā:—“laughter” (Nām. 69); another form is senā (Kāvy. XIII 27.)

vihīḍaē:—See above 18.

mūra: = mukha.

sāṇhindaṇa:—a verbo sansidati.

“lekha asañjantena bhikkhunā” and “āmisavatāya lolatā” are Pāli quotations.
SIṆHALESE CUSTOMS AND CEREMONIES CONNECTED WITH PADDY CULTIVATION IN THE LOW-COUNTRY.

By H. C. P. Bell, Esq., C.C.S., Honorary Secretary.

(Read February 15, 1882.)

On nearly all that pertains to the general process of native tillage in this Island, the curious enquirer need but consult the quaint description given by Robert Knox in his "Historical Relation of Ceilon," or more recent writers, notably Pridham and Campbell,—who have, however, done little more than condense Knox's account.*

It is proposed here rather to follow out the particular branch of enquiry taken up by Mr. R. W. Ievers, C.C.S., in his interesting paper contributed to the Society's Journal for 1880, by dealing with the superstitious beliefs and practices which continue to this day—though, be it noted, with marked diminishing force—to centre round and form an integral part of the agricultural operations of the Sinhalese cultivator.

A record of these semi-religious rites and ceremonies is important, not merely for purposes of comparison with those found cropping up under one form or another all over the world, but as tending to bring out, more than ought else, the actual, if confused and undefined, religious ideas and aspirations of the unenlightened goyiña.

The faith of the Sinhalese is a strange mixture of demonolatry (including the worship of devils or Yakseyó and demi-gods or Déviyó) tempered more or less by Buddhism, but withal showing unmistakeable traces of that older "nature worship," which preceded both, and dates from the

childhood of the world. The power of sun, moon, and stars are invoked jointly with the dreaded spirits of evil—those “unknown gods,” to whose baneful influence is attributed the many changes and chances incident to daily life—and the less malignant Dēvatācō, to appease whom resort is had alike to the priest of Buddha, the Kattādīyā, and the Kapurālā.

That the ignorant cultivators should themselves be unable to account for the performance of these ceremonies—the inheritance of ages—is not surprising; but, though they are slowly and surely dying out with the spread of knowledge, the majority of villagers in inland districts attribute the short crops of the past few years to their non-observance and the waning faith in their efficacy.*

In no other occupation are superstitious practices more rigorously observed than in agriculture. This is only natural, when the vital importance to the gopiya of ensuring against precarious seasons and failure of crops is held in view.

Preliminary Operations.

From the first commencement of agricultural operations until the harvest is fitly closed by the feast of thanksgiving to the gods, every stage is made dependent on the occurrence of a “lucky hour,” or, to speak more accurately, the position of planets and asterisms at specified moments.

At the outset the astrologer (neketrālā) is interviewed with the bulat-ata or usual fee of 40 betel leaves and a leaf of tobacco, and asked to name a suitable time to start work.†

* “And indeed it is sad to consider how this poor people are subjected to the devil; and they themselves acknowledge it their misery, saying their country is so full of devils and evil spirits that unless in this manner they should adore them, they would be destroyed by them. ........ If a stranger should dislike their way, reprove, or mock at them for their ignorance and folly, they would acknowledge the same, and laugh at the superstitions of their own devotion; but withal tell you that they are constrained to do what they do to keep themselves from the malice and mischief that the evil spirits would otherwise do them, with which, they say, their country swarms.”—Knox, Ceilon, pp. 77, 83.

† Note 1.
At the appointed hour, on arriving at the ground, one of the cultivators, who has the credit of being fortunate, begins the work (puravaḍaṇavā) by clearing the boundaries of jungle with a bill-hook and mamotie (baḍaveśiya gahanavā or dānavaḍ); and at the second lucky hour he and his companions go to the field with the required number of buffaloes. These are yoked together and driven about the field for the purpose of trampling down and destroying weeds and grass, and puddling the soil. This is the first mudding (puran-meḍavuma); if the fields are dug up by men with udali, the proper term is puran-kotanavā; if kekulan fallow land has to be broken up, oxen are used to plough it (binnegan-hiya).

The dams are then repaired and sloped with mud, openings for the water cut (vakkadaval kapanvā), and again closed at points of exit (vatura bandinavā), and the channels for irrigation (depā elā) cleared.

If at the expiration of five or six weeks from the time of the first ploughing the grass and weeds are not sufficiently decayed, the fields are mudded or ploughed a second time (de-meḍavuma; de-hiya) though with less regard to a lucky hour. In some Kóralés a third ploughing (edduma) is found necessary.

In the low country the fields are usually swampy, necessitating considerable labour to drain. The day before the seed paddy is sown, a yotumāna* is erected on the boundary dam (vēlla) at the lowest part of the field, where the water chiefly accumulates and can be readily bailed out into the channel intended to carry off the surplus. The bailing goes on throughout the night, the men whiling away the time by songs.†

---

* A yotumāna is generally constructed as follows:—Six bamboos are planted on the dam, three on either side and about two yards apart, so as to meet about 8 or 9 feet above, and form three crutches. Across these is laid an arecanut stem, and the whole structure made fast by two extra bamboos supporting the ends. To the arecanut cross-pole are then suspended as many yotu as may be needed for bailing. These yotu are elongated canoe-shaped scoops, about 6 feet long by 3 in girth, hollowed out of logs, one end of which is to serve for a handle.

† Note 2.
A few days prior to manuring, the fields are trampled by men to crush the larger clods (keta páganavá); after the bone manure has been sprinkled about, it is trodden into the mud (máda kákul gánavá), often by boys. The liadda (space within four dams) is then levelled and divided into small beds (pátti) by a mamoty.

**Sowing.**

When a favourable hour has been ascertained, as before, the cultivator, taking a handful of seed paddy, strews it over a corner of the liadda he has raised with mud, and in the centre of which he plants a habarala plant or an arecanut flower with as many nuts on it as possible.* The rest of the field is then sown with germinated paddy mixed with bone dust.† For some days after the seed has been sown, and until the paddy plants have attained a height of 3 to 4 inches, the field is bailed nearly dry of water every morning; subsequently a few inches of water are allowed to remain, the openings through the dam being stopped with mud.

To prevent the young plants suffering from the ravages of insect pests, such as gețapanuvó, kokkannuvó, and godaréllu, charmed sand or ashes are thrown over the field at different stages of the growth of the grain.

This ceremony is performed by a Kattádirálá at dusk. Taking the sand to the field and removing his waistcloth (which he wraps round his head), he proceeds to scatter the

---

* Note 3.

† Seed paddy is prepared in the following manner:—The paddy is put into an earthen or wooden vessel, full of water, and allowed to soak for 90 péyas (36 hours). It is then taken out, strained, and spread upon a mat covered with plantain and arecanut leaves. More leaves of the same plants and another mat are placed over the paddy and kept down by weights for 150 péyas (2½ days). The seeds which adhere together are finally separated from each other by gently rubbing between the palms.

Another way is to keep a bag of paddy in water for 90 péyas, after which it is taken out and covered with leaves of the burulla, habarala, and wereniya during the day, and put in water again at nights until the paddy germinates.—See, too, Knox, p. 10.
sand about the boundaries of the field: then, without a word to any one, or allowing so much as a quid of betel to pass his lips, he retires for the night to a deserted house; otherwise the charm will have no effect.

It is considered advisable to protect the paddy plant by the performance of a separate hema, or charm, as it attains each of its nine nodes (geṭa; puruka), or rises each internode (petta).*

When there is every prospect of a very good crop, a Garā-yakun ceremony is resorted to in the hope of warding off the baneful influence of evil-eye (es-vaha) and evil-tongue (kaṭa-vaha), as Mr. Ievers has noted in the Kégalla District.†

Reaping.

Similarly, when the crop is ready for the sickle, a lucky hour is named, and the cultivator, after bathing and putting on a clean cloth and eating kiribat,‡ enters the field, and at the set time cuts three handfuls of ripe ears. These are kept apart for Kataragama Déviyó on a tree, or post, close to the field, and at the close of the harvest used in the Déviyanné dánaya.§ Reaping is then commenced in earnest, men and women working jointly and “beguiling their labour” with cheering songs.|| The unthreshed paddy is stacked in ricks or cocks (gojan-kola keṭi) frequently encircled with young cocoanut leaves or jungle creepers, round, or within, the plot of ground reserved as the threshing-floor (hamata; kalavita; pávara.)

Threshing.

Two or three days elapse before the important business of threshing begins.

---

‡ Rice pudding made with cocoanut milk and a little salt in a shallow dish (voṭṭiya) and cut or pressed into convenient pieces for eating.
§ “When ye be come into the land which I give unto you, and shall reap the harvest thereof, then ye shall bring a sheaf of the first fruits of your harvest unto the priest, and he shall wave the sheaf before the Lord to be accepted for you.”—Leviticus, xxiii., 10, 11.
|| Note 2.
RAYIGAM KORALE.

1. Laha
2. Kulla
3. Pruwa
4. Delgoyya
In the preparation of the kamata, scrupulous attention is paid to minute details, which vary considerably in different districts.*

In the Rayigam Kóralé, before the sheaves are removed from the ricks, five, seven, or nine large mats (mágal), about 4 feet 6 inches by 25 feet, are spread on the ground, and three concentric circles traced upon them with ashes (aluhán vadañavá.) Two straight lines bisect the circles at right angles, E. to W. and N. to S., and within each segment of the innermost circle are drawn representations of four agricultural implements, viz., pórúva or scraper, déti-goyiya or pitch-fork, kulá or winnowing basket, and a lóha measure. [Diagram No. 1.] At the centre of the figure is placed the mutta. This consists of a large conch shell† (with seven points, whenever procurable), into which are stuffed a little gold (beads, &c.), silver, copper, brass, iron, ashes, cowdung, a jak flower (varahá-mála), and, if obtainable, a gongohoré—or clot of hair which certain bullocks are supposed to vomit—wrapped together in white rag. A smaller conch serves as stopper to keep all in; after which both shells are further covered with tolabó leaves, hiréssa, and three sheaves (uppidi) of paddy, and tied into a bundle with baravá-madu-vel creeper.

At the lucky hour, a goyiyá, reputed fortunate, placing a sheaf of corn on his head walks thrice solemnly round the mutta, bowing towards it each time at the four corners of the mats. Then, looking in the direction fixed by the astrologer with reference to the někuta, he deposits it upon the mutta and thrice salutes it with joined palms. After this, the corn is brought in by the rest and spread out on the mats, round and over the mutta, the ears pointing upwards. Six, or sometimes seven, bullocks yoked with ropes in two lots, are now driven over the corn round the mutta, until all is roughly trampled out.

A ceremony termed ándu-karanavá is next performed.

The chief goyiyá on the kamata taking déti-goyiya lays it

---

* Note 5.

† Conch shells may be commonly noticed on the necks of bullocks as talismans against the powers of evil.
across the back of his neck, with some straw hanging from its ends, and two other cultivators do the same with sticks. The leader then walks seven times round the corn whilst the bullocks are in motion, repeating this refrain (goyi-basa), the other two following him. At the completion of the seventh round, the three shout in chorus the last two words:

\[\text{Deti deti kumana deti} \\
\text{Samalalin gena deti} \\
\text{Kos deti kosumba deti} \\
\text{Katupila geta dema tha nikada kobb deti} \\
\text{Ran deti ridi deti} \\
\text{Eran detten eran ukula tha hola salab a puravati} \\
\text{Egal megal tada meragal malarand o malabodado} \\
\text{paruvutad o malagiya mala denoge mala wass a.} \]

\[\text{Avdu, Avdu.}\]

\[\text{Deti, what deti?} \]
\[\text{Deti brought from Adam's Peak,} \]
\[\text{Kos deti, kosumba deti,} \]
\[\text{Katupila, geta, dema tha, nikada,} \]
\[\text{Kobb deti.} \]
\[\text{Gold deti, silver deti:} \]
\[\text{With these golden deti toss the corn and fill the golden centre.} \]
\[\text{O dead calf of the dead cow, are you sturdy as this rock, or that rock, or Meru rock, or like dead gold, a malabada (tree), or mountain?} \]
\[\text{Peace! Peace!} \]

In the Siyané Kóralé the procedure differs slightly. The súlan or trisúla is introduced more frequently into the diagram drawn on the hamata, and a hole (arukvata) dug at

\[\text{* Noté 6.}\]
SIYANẸ KÓRALÉ

1. Divikadurá kóla (7) in arakvala
2. Kohomba iella
3. Korusa geta
4. Katu Hjugediya
5. Dëkëta
6. Gata
7. Hrugovya
8. Detrovya
9. Roloatka
10. Budinge sri pahila
11. Yalagoviya
12. Andawa
the centre. Inside this hole are arranged seven divi-kaduru leaves, and upon them is placed either a small margosa-wood plank (kohomba-śīlā) 3 by 4 inches (on which two circles to represent sun and moon have been described), with a knot of korasa creeper (korasa-geṭė), a pointed conch shell (katu-hakgedīya), and a stone—or, more commonly, outline representations in ashes of these articles and of a scraper (pōruva), flail (deti-goyiya), broom (bolatta), measure (yāla-goyiya), andura, and Buddha’s foot (Budu-śri-patula). [Diagram No. 2.]

The cultivator at the fixed time looking towards the field from which the paddy was reaped, and reciting the Navaguna-gāthāva, walks with some corn on his head seven times round the arakeala, and, once more looking at the field places the corn into the hole. The whole of the corn is then put onto the kamata, and some six pairs of bullocks driven over it. Within a short time the corn on the borders of the threshing-floor is tossed onto the centre heap with the deti-goyiya, the bullocks made to trample it, and the straw (cāta-kēdu-međuvan) thrown outside the kamata.

Of the corn that remains unthreshed, two-thirds are separated and winnowed (halábānavā) and again thrown under the bullocks’ feet, and the resulting straw (maha-međuvan) removed as before.

The rest of the corn is once more tossed about, winnowed, and made into a heap, over which the bullocks are a third time driven, and the straw (goyikame-međuvan) finally cast aside.

The paddy is then collected (rähi-karanavā) into the heap (vi-varuva) ready to be measured.

To return to the Kalutara District. At the conclusion of the āṇdu-kerima ceremony—during which the bullock-drivers run the risk of getting a sound rap on the head with the deti-goyiya should they forgetfully shout to their animals (aṇḍaherati yanavā)—the threshed corn is piled in heaps at the four corners of the mats, and the men begin to winnow (halábānavā) the seed (bēta) from the straw (međuvan.) Every time the men stoop to remove the grain from the straw (međuvan-karanavā) or to sweep the paddy towards the mutta (bolati-yanavā) they bow in adoration of the corn-heap in the centre.
When about three-fourths of the corn is reduced to grain, all the ears of corn, except those on the mutta, are taken off the heap, separated from the grains (scattered round), spread out, and threshed as before (vata-nelanavá.) After the grain has been threshed out of this, and the straw put aside, the bullocks are driven outside the kamata, and the heap of paddy (pavara) further freed from chaff with the hands (pavara-sutta-karanavá.) The ears of corn on the mutta are then removed, husked, and spread round it on the rest of the paddy, the bullocks being driven over it for the last time (palla-pellanavá.)

Meanwhile a cultivator prepares the amakeṭé,* a whisp of straw six inches long and of the thickness of the wrist, in which are enclosed a few seeds of paddy, some ashes, a scrap of the bullock horns, a hair or two from their foreheads and tails, a little dung of the two bullocks moving immediately round the mutta, a bit of the rope yoking them, and chips of the driver’s rod, of the deti-goyiya and pōruva.

After all the grain has been freed from straw, the chief goyiya steps into the centre of the paddy and stands on the mutta, whilst the others pile the paddy round him knee-deep, covering the heap with a mat, and hand up to him the amakeṭé. He changes it from one hand to the other round his legs, repeating each of the following lines three times, and at the end jumps down backwards:—

```
ё некета гонтебили
таба мудуна нахара вел
маккама сирипада усата
саманала сирипада усата
```

* Amákeṭada gontembili
Tabá muduna nahara wel
Makkama Siripáda usaña
Samanala Siripáda usaña

* Unknown in the Siyané Kóralé. Grass sods turned up in ploughing are termed amákankeṭé.
Ihala velé tibena bêtat
Pahala velé tibena bêtat
Atu-koṭu-vala tibena bêtat
Eda puravan mé kamatafa.

O sweet grain-cock! O tembili-hued oxen!
Place creeper (yokes) on (their) heads.

May the paddy in the upper fields—
The paddy in the lower fields—
The paddy in lofts and barns—
Be drawn to fill this kamata,
As high as Mecca's sacred foot,
As high as Samanala's sacred foot!

Ashes are given him at once and with them he lays three lines, one above another, round the grain. Two other cultivators next join him in placing five or six empty bags a-piece, mouths in front, on their heads, and walk thrice round the paddy, bowing to it each time at the four corners of the mats. Two or three men then cautiously open just enough of the mat covering the paddy heap to allow of their hands being introduced, and briskly fill the bags which are handed to them from behind. Meanwhile the principal goiyiyá remains kneeling on the opposite side with his fore-head resting on the grain, and repeats the Navaguna-gáthána:

lo piso bhagavá arahan āramá sammá sambuddho
viṣṭaḥcarava sampanno sugato loka vidu
anuttaro purusadhamma sárathi saṭṭhá deva
manussáná Buddho bhagaváti.

Lo! that Blessed One is sanctified, is fully enlightened, endowed with knowledge and conduct, the Auspicious One, acquainted with the world, the unrivalled trainer of the human steer, the Instructor of gods and men, the Wise One, the Holy.

Silence is strictly enjoined throughout the performance of the amáketa ceremony until the paddy has been put into bags, all requirements being indicated by motions of head and hand.
Indeed, little licence is permitted inside the limits of the kamata from the commencement of threshing operations. It is unseemly to stand on one leg or to place the hand under the chin, whilst the presence of certain persons and articles of food is absolutely tabooed. Any one who may have eaten of meat or fish which is held unclean—names ending in ḍañ are impure—is not admitted: nor are (unless after bathing and putting on clean clothes) persons who have attended a funeral or come from an “unclean house.” After threshing has once commenced, women are prohibited from entering the threshing floor altogether.

The tabu extends even to the words employed at the threshing-floor. All terms conveying a negative or unlucky sense are discarded, and, a fortiori, the names of Yakseyō never breathed.

Mr. Ievers has already drawn attention to the strange conventionalism adopted by Sinhalese cultivators of substituting an odd shibboleth for the ordinary colloquial talk of everyday life.

This goyibasa or threshing-floor speech, as might be expected, varies in different localities. A comparative list is appended of some of the words in use in the Kegalla District, the Rayigam and Siyanē Koralés of the Western Province, and a portion of the Galle District, which, however incomplete, may serve as a nucleus for further investigation into this branch of the subject.*

If threshing is done by men (miśsēnumnāna pāganarā) a katura is erected. This construction consists of four poles, placed so as to form two crutches, across which another pole (pāvara-liya) is laid horizontally, chest high. Mats are spread underneath, and the corn from the heap gradually trodden out by men, who hold on to the cross pole from either side to make greater play with their feet.

When all the grain has been threshed, the mats are taken up and the bags covered with straw to protect them from rain. The paddy is winnowed (ḥulan-karananarā or gaḥanarā) finally a day or two days afterwards and dried for two or three days more as required.

* Note 7.
Measuring and Storing.

Then follows the measuring of the paddy, which in some districts at least is carried out with equal superstitious scrupulousness of detail. A mat is first laid on the ground, and a large picked-bag of paddy (paturu malla) placed on it and covered with one end of the mat. The person about to measure the grain bows thrice to the bag, and thrice moves the laha measure, bottom upwards, round his legs; then, pressing it between his body and the bag, he rapidly places the fingers of one hand over those of the other upon the bottom of the measure three times, and turns it again round the legs thrice every third time the hands are shifted.* After this he sits down on the mat, tilts the bag over towards him, and after saluting it thrice proceeds to measure.

The cultivators of some part of the Galle District, before proceeding to measure the paddy, consider it essential to draw on the heap with both the palms a rough representation of the trisúla, or trident, the signification of which they do not profess to understand.†

The paddy is taken home at another lucky hour. There the seed paddy is first dried in the sun, and put up in bags of 12 or 15 kuruni each. The rest of the paddy is similarly treated, except the portion—a laha or two—set apart for the gods (akyála; Déviyanné vi); at the threshing-floor, which is so dealt with last. At a further lucky hour the bags of seed paddy are first secured in the loft, and afterwards the

* To the world-wide prevalence of this "mysterious practice of touching objects to baffle the evil chance," the Sinhalese goyișá and the Tamil or Moor cooly are no less witnesses, when ignorantly striking the laha, or the bushel box, with open hand before measuring grain, than was Royalty some centuries back by assenting to touch for "the King's evil."

† The trisúla, Shíva's emblem (especially common in Coorg at places connected with superstition), denotes that the three great attributes of Creator, Destroyer, and Regenerator are combined in him.

† "There is yet another due ockyad, which belongs to their gods, and is an offering sometimes carried away by the priests, and sometimes they bestow it upon the beggars, and sometimes they will take it and hang it up in their houses, and at convenient time sacrifice it themselves. It is one of their measures, which is about half a peck."—Knox, p. 101-2.
remainder, leaving sufficient for the New Rice Feast (alut-bat-kéma.) The Déviyanné vi is stored in a separate part of the loft. Mantras are occasionally resorted to for the preservation of the paddy from rats.

**Alút-Bat-Kéma.**

Where, as is too frequently the case, the cultivators are poor and in want of food, the Déviyanné-dánaya, or offering of the first-fruits of the harvest to the gods* is deferred until after the New Rice Feast, though such action is generally admitted to be irregular and only justified by necessity.

The Sāngha-dáné or almsgiving to Buddhist priests, also precedes or follows the New Rice Feast according to the religious fervour of the goyiya donor.†

Timely intimation of the day appointed for “eating the new rice” (alut-bat-kéma) is given to friends and relations. On the day itself sufficient paddy having been previously dried and husked, first by pounding on an ox or elk hide (vi koṭanācā) and finally in the usual wooden mortar (hūl pahinācā), the resulting rice is cooked, as well as vegetables and fish, none of which may be tasted during preparation. The lucky moment for commencing to eat is marked by the chief man of the house tasting the food; after which he serves those assembled and seated, with rice and curries upon plantain leaves.

**Déviyanné-Dánaya.**

To name a suitable day for the Déviyanné-dáné, the feast in honor of the gods, a Kapurálá or Pattinihámi is called in. Upon the set day the house is well cleansed and the Déviyanné-vi taken out, divided into three portions, one of which is

---

* Corresponding with the ‘Sing Bouga’ of certain Hill Tribes of India. "It is solemnised in August when the gord rice ripens, and till the sacrifice is complete the new rice must not be eaten. The offering in addition to rice is a white cock. This is a thanks-offering to the Creator and Preserver. It is called ‘Jumnam,’ and considered of great importance."

† Note 8.
reserved for a succeeding ceremony, Rálahámi-pidima or Kuda-yakun-pidima. The other two portions, after being again dried in the sun on clean mats, are husked by about a dozen women, who have purified themselves by bathing and putting on clean cloths (piruvanta). The rice is then put into bags and kept in the dáné-pela or cadjan covered alms-shed, which is erected opposite the space where the Kapurála is to perform. Inside the pela are also placed the different vegetables brought by those attending the dáné, and a hearth roughly built for cooking. The Kapurála requires to be got ready for him 50 sticks, 6 young coconut branches, 4 arekanut flowers, 6 young cocoanuts (gobalu) a bundle of vallá fibre, 2 clean cloths for each man, 50 torches, a clay oven (gini-kabala), and 5 chatties—a kotalé, a small hatitya, and three kalageđi. A boy is sent ahead to erect a small shed (kúduwea; koratuwea; mal-pela; pahan-pela), adorned with young cocoanut leaves, flowers, and encircling lamps.

On arriving in the evening with three or four assistants, the Kapurála first places his box of bangles (deyiran-karan-
dusea) on two chairs cleansed with saffron water† and covered with a white cloth.

Then the pé-bat meal, consisting of untasted rice and vegetable curries, is served, and the Kapurála with the other persons assembled there sit on mats and proceed to eat from plantain leaves, after the Kapurála has invoked the gods’ blessing (yága-karanawá) and first tasted the food. Dinner concluded, four or five women, dressed in clean cloths, repair to the dáné-pela and begin cooking, while the Kapurála, tying a cloth round his head, enters the mal-pela and makes obeisance to the red cloth arras embroidered with representations of deities, and taking a tom-tom

* "When they worship those whom they call devils, many of whom they hold to be spirits of some that died heretofore, they make no images for them, as they did for the planets; but only build a new house in their yard, like a barn, very slight, covered only with leaves, and adorn it with branches and flowers." (Knox, p. 77.) He adds that “victuals” are placed on “stools at one end of the house, which is hanged with cloth for that purpose.”

† See C. A. S. Journ. 1865-6, p. 58, note (*).
(uddhikya) and dancing in front of the seated house-inmates (āturayó) recites songs (yādini) in honor of Pattini and Kataragama Deviyó.

Having continued this performance for some time, the Kapurāla calls for a pehidun-taḍa or pingo of three neli rice, six coconuts, a bunch of plantains, a pumpkin, and a packet of chillies (miris-mula), and smoking it with dummala (resin) incense, places it in front of the figured curtain inside the mal-pela. Again chanting awhile, he has brought to him some untasted oil in an arecanut leaf cone (gotuvak) covered with a clean cloth, which, after perfuming, he pours into one of the lamps (scooped out of half papaw fruits by the Madupurayá, an assistant, and hung round the peḷa), lights the wick and bids the āturayó light the rest. When this is done, and he has recited more yādini, the Kapurāla desires them to bring their panduru or money offerings, which are sprinkled with saffron water, saluted and deposited with the taḍa. Next, the Kapurāla, still singing, covers himself entirely, except the face, with a red cloth and opens the box containing the deyiran; then washing his hands, and perfuming the bangles, puts them on his wrists and begins to jangle them (halan-pañādenavā), finally appealing to the āturayó to offer them panduru.

Continuing his chant, the Kapurāla drives a stake into the ground together with a piece of a plantain stalk to which he affixes twelve torches at the bottom, and puts some coconuts refuse round the foot. Then taking three betel leaves he holds them over the lighted torches, rubs them on the heads of the āturayó, and tosses them once or thrice into the air. If the majority of the leaves fall face upwards, it is looked upon as a good omen; if otherwise, the gods are not satisfied (Devi-dōsa). Subsequently two additional six-kurunti bags of paddy with two coconuts in each are placed near the chair on which the halan box stands. The Kapurāla recommencing his incantations, separately ties to three pieces of coconut stalk, a coconut flower and a pair of young coconuts, and directs the āturayó to touch them; after which he

* Note 9.  † See C. A. S. Journ. 1865–6 p. 62, note.  ‡ Cf. Mr. Fowler’s account of the Panikkans’ similar ceremony, ante p. 15.
carries them three times round the deyiran box, and ends by planting them in the earth in a line, exclaiming “Bohó bó venda, purá, purá!” ‘May it be (a harvest) of great plenty! full, full!’* At the same moment the Madupurayá breaks a cocoanut with a bill-hoök (gana-deviyan-gahanavá). Once more the áturayó are invited to contribute panduru for the deyiran.

The night is generally well advanced before the above rites are carried through. When dawn is approaching, the Kapurála turns his attention to the last ceremony preceding the actual feasting, known as kirí-itiræima, or “causing milk to overflow.” Entering the pahan-pela he ties a piece of white cloth over his mouth, and places three new clay pots on three ‘gipsy-kettle’ supports in a row. Into the pots he puts some rice with water, and kindles a fire under each, fanning the flames, but taking care not to blow the fire with his mouth. As soon as the water boils he pours in untasted cocoanut milk and allows the whole to boil over. Much weight is attached to the direction towards which the scum runs over, as on it is believed to hang the cultivators’ fortune for the ensuing year. If it fall Eastwards or Southwards all will be well (subha); if to the West or North it portends the form of ill-luck, called respectively Devi-dósa and Yak-dósa. The boiled milk is then poured into another chatty, and the áturayó sprinkled with it by the Kapurála, whilst chanting something more.†

Meanwhile, during the night women have been cooking the dáné—a meal differing in some respects from that con-

---

* Just as many an old orchardist in the cider districts of Devon and Cornwall will drink to his apple trees on the eve of Epiphany some such toast as this. (Notes and Queries, Vol. 6, 2nd Series): —

  "Here's to thee, old apple tree!
  Whence thou may'st bud, and whence thou may'st blow,
  And whence thou may'st bear apples enow!
  Hats full,—caps full!
  Bushels full,—sacks full!
  And my pockets full!
  Huzza!"

Há, há, purá, kondayi, Deviyamé pithayi! is a common Ceylonese ejaculatory prayer preceding any undertaking.

† Note 9.
sumed at the subsequent feast which closes Rálahámi-pidíma, and consisting merely of untasted rice and vegetable curries, kiri-talapa,* and the inevitable betel. Anything fried having special attraction for the Yaksayó, flesh and cakes are invariably excluded from the gods’ dáné.

When all is in readiness for the feasting itself, the Kapurála, or an assistant, places not far off a gotuva (which he has filled with a little of each kind of food provided) upon a three-cross-stick stand, and a chair covered with a clean white cloth (ätirilla) on which is put a plantain leaf with a similar offering, pandurú, a quid of betel, and may be a cheroot.

Sometimes for the single gotuva and chair tattuva, are substituted two gotu placed one above the other on the same frame, some space apart—the upper for the celestial beings, the lower for Mahihántacá the female Atlas of ʻAryan mythology.

The gods are now considered to have received all their just dues, and nothing remains but to partake of the meal.

First, all the women who have prepared the dáné are sprinkled with saffron water, and atonement made to the gods by the Kapuwá for any fault they may have unwittingly committed. The Kapurála and the rest of the persons then sit down and proceed to eat, after the former has blessed the food with an incantation and tasted it. The meal over, the Kapurála and his assistant carry away the food and pandurú collected since the evening.†

RÁLAHMÍ-PIDÍMA.

Some days—at least three—elapse before the Rálahámi-pidíma or ceremony and feast to propitiate Kosgama Deiyó,

---

* A kind of custard pudding made of rice flour, cocoanut milk, and honey, boiled to some consistency, and eaten with rice as sweetmeat.
† "And all that time of the sacrifice there is drumming, piping, singing, and dancing; which being ended, they take the victuals away, and give it to those which drum and pipe, with other beggars and vagabonds, for only such do eat of their sacrifices; not that they do account such things hallowed, and so dare not presume to eat them; but contrariwise they are now looked upon as polluted meat, and, if they should attempt to eat thereof, it would be a reproach to them and their generations."—Knox, p. 77.
the bane of crops and cattle, whose good will it is essential to win over by a special sacrifice.*

On the day selected, four or more women, after bathing and dressing in clean cloths, husk the paddy previously set apart for this dáné, as before. When well beaten out they place it in a room, which has been thoroughly cleansed, and inform the Kapuwá summoned to officiate, who, filling a chatty (němbiliya) with the rice and muttering some incantations, hands it over to the women. In the same room is collected the rest of the food intended to be consumed at the feast—e.g., oranges, sugar-cane, toddy, arrack, opium, fish and meat, salt, milk, honey, vegetables, biscuits, cocomanuts, and three kinds of plantains (as ratnamálú, púválú, and kannannóru).

Some of the women-cooks pound the rice to flour, extract oil, and fry cakes, seven of which they put into each of three bags. Others are employed in cooking the dáné, for which are required, in addition to the cakes, three chatties of boiled rice (each containing three néli)† and seven curries made with seven different kinds of vegetables. Everything should not only be untasted, but prepared without so much as blowing the fire with the mouth.

Whilst the cooking is proceeding, the Kapurálá constructs a shelf (yahana) waist-high, and over it a cloth canopy adorned with flowers. The cooking over, and all being ready, the Kapurálá, tying a piece of white cloth across his mouth, enters the room. Upon the clean white cloth spread over the yahana he arranges five plantain leaves, and on the floor he lays a mat with a white cloth, and puts two other plantain leaves there; lastly, he uses a chair as a mal-bulatatáṭwuwa. The dishes as cooked are placed in a line, the first chatty nearest to the shelf, and so on. The Kapurálá puts some boiled rice into a němbiliya, and from it deposits a little on each plantain leaf three times. With a cocomanut-

---

* Note 10.
† This is the number usual in the Galle District. In the Rayigam and Siyané Kóralés, seven chatties of rice are provided, and seven plantains; and in the latter Kóralé the pounding of the paddy is done by two men, called Kottóruru, who have to purify themselves before commencing.
shell spoon he then mixes in the němbiliya a little of each of the seven curries taken thrice, thus forming a hat-māluwā, which he adds to the seven rice heaps, perfuming them and muttering mantras (kepa-karanavā). Next he takes the three cake bags, and after incensing them puts all the cakes (one from each in turn) on to the several heaps. So with the three plantain bunches, from each of which seven fruits are taken: a little kuriyja (boiled coconut-milk remaining after the oil is skimmed off) is further added. The “dessert”—the oranges, biscuits, &c.—is also incensed and placed on the shelf in a vāṭṭiya dish, so that any demigod or demon may help himself at pleasure.

This done, the Kapurāla makes a cone-pouch (Kāberi-gotuwa) out of a plantain leaf, and putting rice and other articles of food into it, formally deposits it for Kāberi-Yaksayā on a support made of three-cross-sticks (kattirika). The last cooked chatty of rice he covers with a plantain leaf, after putting inside three cakes and three plantains: this chatty is called yahan-hēliya. When everything is thus arranged, the Kapurāla supplicates the gods and demons to receive the offering, perfuming all afresh and reciting over them a kannelavu-yātiykāva,* followed occasionally by some powerful mantra.

After this propitiation (kepa-gannavā; disti-lanavā) the Kapuwā comes out of the room and locks the door. About a peya afterwards he knocks at it, as though seeking permission from the Yakā to enter, and opens it. Accompanying his dancing with further invocations, he closes the door again, bringing out the Kāberi-gotuwa, which he leaves at the back of the house. Then taking pānduru and a quid of betel from the āturayó, he re-enters the room, as before, and laying them on the yahana recites some stanzas; and whilst so engaged takes one of the empty cake bags and a plantain leaf, and on them puts a little of every kind of food as well as a lighted torch. This offering he carries to the stepping stone in front of the house, (after locking the door for the third time) and there presents it with a suitable mantra to Mōlankada Aimānā—the demon known elsewhere as Kaḍavara-Yaksayā. He then returns

* Space will not permit of the insertion of the several incantations, &c., embraced in the ritual of Rālāhāmi-pidima.
to the room, and at his bidding the house inmates offer more panduru, and are sprinkled with the holy saffron water, as well as the women who have prepared the däné.

Finally, the Kapurála taking some dummla (resin), charms it with a kepaharina-mantra and perfumes the whole of the food about to be partaken. Those assembled then seat themselves on mats in a row, and do justice to the viands, only waiting for the Kapurála to first taste something. The mal-bulat-tattuva and the seven plantain leaf offerings are placed at a little distance from the house—left for dogs and crows, into whom it is considered has entered the disti or perception of the Yaksayó.

As though the superstitious rites of the Deviyanny-dánayya and Rálahúmi-pidima were not sufficient “spots on their feasts of charity,” the Síphalese goyiyá too frequently resort to further devil ceremonies such as Devol-mádu, Gam-mádu, which need not be described at length here, not being intimately connected with the subject in hand.*

NOTES.

(1.)

ASTROLOGY IN AGRICULTURE.

Those who may care to become thoroughly versed in the set “times and seasons,” which ought to be observed in native agricultural operations, will find full particulars in the Muhúrtta-chintámaní, a metrical treatise on the subject, by the famous astrologer E’pá Appuhámi, published at Colombo in 1876.

The following extracts from a similar ola MS. in the writer’s possession give some idea of the extent to which “the stars in their courses” influence the action of the Síphalese cultivator.

* In other districts (Siyané Kóralé, to wit) instead of the ‘gipsy-kettle’ support, a post (évavi-hauwó) is planted outside the compound with a light upon it, and the plantain-leaf-cone there offered to Kaňka-vara Yaksayó. The yahana, too, is constructed in the compound, and
Definitions.

I.—The following are the twenty-seven asterisms (neket).*

1.—Aṣvida. 10.—Mānekata. 19.—Mula.
2.—Beraṇa. 11.—Puwapal. 20.—Puwasala.
3.—Keti. 12.—Uttarasal. 21.—Uttarasala.
4.—Rehena. 13.—Hata. 22.—Suvana.
5.—Muwasirisa. 14.—Siṭa. 23.—Denāta.
6.—Ada. 15.—Sā. 24.—Siyāwasa.
7.—Punawasa. 16.—Viśā. 25.—Puwaputupu.
8.—Pusha. 17.—Anura. 26.—Uttarasupupu.
9.—Asliṣa. 18.—Deṣa. 27.—Révatiya.

II.—The fifteen lunar days (tithi) during which the moon waxes are named:

1.—Pēlavinya. 6.—Saṭawak. 11.—Ekośwaka.
2.—Diyaawaka. 7.—Saṭawaka. 12.—Doloswaka.
3.—Tiyaawaka. 8.—Aṭawaka. 13.—Telēswaka.
4.—Jalawaka. 9.—Nawawaka. 14.—Tuduswaka.
5.—Viṣīniya. 10.—Dasawaka. 15.—Pasaloswaka.

The same order should be followed for the fifteen tithi she wanes—the 15th day being termed Amawaka.†

not inside the house. The house inmates are directed by the Kapurāla to stand by the evras-kanuwa and yahana in bowing attitude with joined palms, whilst he chants yādinī regarding Kaṭavara Yaksayā’s birth and power, invoking his aid to ward off sickness from them, and to prosper their tillage and trades. The Kapurāla then tastes each of the seven heaps, and the whole are afterwards eaten by all assembled. If the ceremony ends with the eating of this rice, it is called Kudā-yakun-piduma, but hēllun maduva if the dancing and tom-tom beating is continued till morning.

Many other Yaksayō are jointly propitiated, such as Dunumala-yakun, Moratwé-yakun, Kaṭgampol-a-yakun, Kalu-kumāra yakun, Viramunḍa-yakun.

* Strictly speaking there are 28 neket or asterisms: the nekata. ‘Abiyut’ (a fractional or occasional “mansion” only, consequent on the moon’s periodical revolution occupying 27–8 days) lies between ‘Uttarasala’ and ‘Suvana.’ The Mādlivians retain the full number of “lunar mansions,” but place ‘Avihi’ (Abiyut) last, save ‘Réva’ (Révatiya), thus:—Assida, Burunu, Ket, Rōni, Miyaheli, Ada, Funnos, Fus, Ahulha, Mā, Fura, Utura, Ata, Hita, Hē, Vihi, Nora, Dorha, Mula, Furuha, Uturuha, Huvan, Dinara, Hiyavihi, Farabaduruva, Fasbaduruva, Avihi, Réva. “The tithi and nekata of any day or time are those in which the moon is in her course through the zodiac in that day or time.”

† The lunar month is divided into pura or pura pakhé (from the day after the new moon to full moon day), and ava or apa pakhé (from day after full moon to new moon day).
III.—The seven days are:

1. Ravi
2. Chandra
3. Kuja
4. Budha
5. Guru
6. Sukra or Kivi
7. Śeni

Sun.
Moon.
Mars.
Mercury.
Jupiter.
Venus.
Saturn.

IV.—The twelve signs of the Zodiac (rāsi) are:

1. Mēsha.
2. Wrishabha.
3. Mithuna.
5. Sīgha.
7. Tulā.
8. Wrīṣchika.
10. Makara.
12. Mīna.

Commencing Operations.

V.—The neket Pusha, Sā, Uttarapal, Uttarasala, Uttarapuṭupa, Sita, Anura, and Beraṇa, and the titthi Pēlaviya, Diyawaka, Tiyawaka, Visēniya, Satawaka, Dasawaka, Ekoḷoswaka, and Pasaloṣwaka, and the days Kuja, Guru, and Budha, in the rāsi assigned to the planets, Guru, Sukra, Budha, are auspicious for entering upon a field to commence cultivation.

Ploughing.

VI.—For ploughing adopt the following neket:—Puwapal, Puwasala, Puwapuṭupa, Hata, Sita, Māṇekata, Dētā, Mula, Rēwatiya, Anura, Punāvasa, Suvana, Pusha, Uttarapal, Uttarasala, Uttarapuṭupa, Sā, Visā, Muwasirisa, Denāta; oja titthi having no riktā; the days Ravi, Budha, Guru, Sukra; the

* "The Sun, Moon, and Planets move through these rāsi in their courses. Āvunuḍda (‘year’) is the time during which the Sun travels through all 12 rāsi in his course, beginning from the first point of Mēsha rāsi;” and the solar month the time during which the Sun continues in any one rāsi.

† Of the twelve rāsi, that called Sīgha (Leo) is allotted to the Sun, and Karkaṭaka (Cancer) to the Moon; the rest are given to the other five planets in the order of their position with regard to the Sun. Mithuna and Kanjā, the rāsi bordering “the mansions” of the Sun and Moon, on either side, belong to Mercury: the two beyond these, viz., Wrīṣchhaba and Tulā, are assigned to Venus: the next two, Mēsha and Wrīṣchika, to Mars: Mīna and Dhanu to Jupiter: and the last two, Makara and Kumbha, to Saturn.

‡ “Oja titthi” are the eleven titthi from Dasawaka of pura pakaś to Visēniya of ava pakaś. Of these, Tudoswaka and Jalawaka are “ riktā,” and rejected as bad for all work.
lagnas.* Wrishabha, Karkaṭaka, Makara, Mīna, Mithuna, which are best; Tulā, Dhanu and Kanyā, fairly good. At these neket, and observing the lagnas, wak, &c., make one, three, or five furrows, with the plough gazing Eastwards, without stooping or looking down.

**Introducing Water.**

VII.—The following neket are good for admitting water into a field:—Mula, Pūwasala, Uttarasaṇa, Suwana, Rēwatiya, Denaṭa, Mānekaṭa, Muwasiṃsa, Puwapal, Deṭa, Sū, and Beraṇa.

**Sowing and Transplanting.**

VIII.—Sow seed paddy at the neket Mā, Hata, Mula, Tunaturu,† Pusha, Siyāwasa, Anura, Sā, Muwasiṃsa, Rēwatiya, and Suwana; on the tithi Viśeṇiya, Satawaka, Ekoḷoṣwaka, Teḷeṣwaka, and Pasalōswaka; and on the days Sandu, Budha, Guru, Šukra, also observing the lagna which these planets belong to.

For sowing paddy according to the kekulan system, adopt the neket Tunaturu,† Punāwasa, Pusha, Anura, Hata, Mā, Reheṇa, which are to be taken for transplanting also.

On Sunday mornings in the month of Mithuna and Sipha sow el paddy.

**Reaping.**

IX.—Reap corn on good days (i.e. days having no avayoga†) at the neket Keti, Reheṇa, Ada, Pusha, Sā, Denaṭa, Siyāwasa, Puwaputupa, Tunaturu, Anura, Mā.

**Threshing and Measuring.**

X.—Thresh corn at Anura, Rēwatiya, Mula, Pusha, Sā, neket; and at the lagnas, Mithuna, Dhanu, Kumbha, and Mīna, and when the planets Guru and Šiṣkrū are in the said lagnas.

All work connected with paddy cultivation should be performed when the tide rises from the first peya for eight peyas, and from

---

* The day of 60 peyas or 24 hours (hori) is in astrological calculations further divided into 12 lagnas, each lagna comprising roughly 2 horis. The lagnas are synonymous with the 12 rāsi, and rotate in the same order, the first lagna of each day always being that of the particular rāsi, or month, through which the Sun is passing. See, too, C. A. S. Journ. 1856-8, pp. 181-194. “The Principles of Siphaese Chronology,” by Rev. C. Alwis.

† Tunaturu, i.e. Uttarapala, Uttarasaṇa, Uttaraputupa.

‡ Avayoga, i.e. the unlucky conjunction of certain neket with special days. Thus on Sunday, Ada and Deṭa, on Tuesday, Deṭa and Suwana, on Thursday, Ada, on Friday, Viṣṇu, and on Saturday, Punāwasa and Suwana, are avayoga. There are 10 bad (dasa maha désa), and 4 good, yogas.
the thirteenth peya for eight peyas of the nekët Këti, Reheña, Muwasirisä, Uttara-puṭupa and Réwatiya.

The tide rises (diya-wadi) from the first peya for eight peyas of Pélaviya and Diyawaka, again at the 47th peya, at the 2nd, 25th, and 50th peya of Tiyawaka, the 20th peya of Saṭawaka, the 22nd peya of Hatawaka, 23rd peya of Aṭawaka, the 20th and 55th of Dāsawaka, the 20th of Ekoḷoswaka, the 20th of Doḷoswaka, 8th and 25th of Teḷeswaka, the 8th peya of Pasalośwaka. At all these times from the commencement of the peya the tide flows for 8 peyas.

At the fourth pàdà of the four lagnas Mësha, Wrish bhaha, Mithuna and Karkataka, water will rise. For threshing corn and measuring paddy, if the moment when nekata, tithi, and lagna for the rising of the tide are contemporaneous can be taken, it is best: if two agree it is good: one alone is fairly good.

Storing and Consuming Paddy.

XI.—For storing paddy the nekët Hata, Ada, Mā, and Reheña and the lagnas Wrishbhaha, Sīpha, Wrīṣchika, and Kumbha should be taken, and (if possible) when seen with the planet Saturn.

On Sunday at sunrise at Reheña nekata consume paddy.

Eating the New Rice.

XII.—For alut-bat-këma observe a good tithi, avoiding Mīna Mësha, and Wrīṣchika, at the nekët Sīta, Aśvida, Hata, Punāwasa, Pusha, Suwana, Anura, Tunaturu, Muwasirisä, Denāṭa, Reheña, Réwatiya, Siyāwasa, Sā, Mula, Mā, and Visā.

---

In spite of the well-meant efforts now being made to convert the goyiyā from the error of his ways by opening a School of Agriculture, and the introduction of modern appliances, it is to be feared that years must elapse before his mind is disabused of the conservative notions he shares with the American “Farmer Ben” (Notes and Queries):

"I tell ye it's nonsense," said Farmer Ben,  
This farmin' by books and rules,  
And sendin' the boys to learn that stuff  
At the agricultural schools.  
Rotation o' crops and analysis!  
Talk that to a young baboon!  
But ye needn't be tellin' yer science to me,  
For I believe in the moon.
If ye plant yer corn on the growin' moon,
And put up the lines for crows,
You'll find it will bear, and yer wheat will, too,
If it's decent land where 't grows.
But potatoes, now, are a different thing,
They want to grow down, that is plain;
And don't ye see you must plant for that
When the moon is on the wane.

So in plantin' and hoein' and hayin' time,
It is well to have an eye
On the hang of the moon—ye know ye can tell
A wet moon from a dry.
And as to hayin', you wise ones, now
Are cuttin' yer grass too soon;
If you want it to spend, just wait till it's ripe,
And mow on the full o' the moon.

* * *

With farmers' meetin's and granges new
Folks can talk till all is blue;
But don't ye be swollerin' all ye hear,
For there ain't more 'n half on 't true.
They are tryin' to make me change my plans,
But I tell 'em I'm no such coon;
I shall keep right on in the safe old way,
And work my farm by the moon!

(2.)

Cultivators' Songs.*

I.—Whilst bailing Water.

1. නොතෝ මොහොම් මල් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල් මල්

2. නොතෝ මොහොම් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල් මල්

3. නොතෝ මොහොම් මල් මල්
    මල් මල් මල්
    මල් මල්
    මල්

* The specimens given are throughout ungrammatical and disconnected, but just as taken down from the mouth of an ordinary illiterate gowyad. The meaning is in places very obscure.
4.  
Like the moon shining in full splendour,
My beauteous endearing lord.
Since the day of departure thou returnest not as yet:
Till I may reach thee where wilt thou remain?

2.  
At a desolate town of Negroes I arrived,
Leaving kith and kin for a foreign land.
With scalding tears I bathe my breast;
By him deserted, how may I sustain my grief?

3.  
Though the friendly earth quake and tremble,—
Maha Meru thunder with torrents of rain—
Though these, and such like portents, thou beholdest,
Whatever thy undertaking, it will not prosper.

1.  
Like the moon shining in full splendour,
My beauteous endearing lord.
Since the day of departure thou returnest not as yet:
Till I may reach thee where wilt thou remain?

2.  
At a desolate town of Negroes I arrived,
Leaving kith and kin for a foreign land.
With scalding tears I bathe my breast;
By him deserted, how may I sustain my grief?

3.  
Though the friendly earth quake and tremble,—
Maha Meru thunder with torrents of rain—
Though these, and such like portents, thou beholdest,
Whatever thy undertaking, it will not prosper.

4.  
A woman empty-handed, or bearing empty pot,
A beggar, be he deaf or be he blind—
These in good journey shouldst thou meet,
Fruitless will be thy going.

5.  
Hear me, ye learned, that benefit the world,
Consider it with mind unfettered;
Ganadevi, grant me to receive wisdom;
May the assembly not find fault.

6.  
Fellows, a mighty boon is seed;
Desired of every creature;
In fruitful land it multiplies;
Worship oft the Teacher.

7.  
Of land acquired spy out the low places;
Cause rice received to be served with glad heart;
From stumps and roots ever strive to rid you,
Building dams for tanks acquired.

* These three stanzas will be found in almost the same form in a small pamphlet of Harvest Songs styled Goyen-mālaya, printed in Colombo, 1881.
II.—Reapers' Song.

1. May all the gods permit us to enter the field after worshipping the sun god:
   The gods' consent obtained, O goyi lord, give us sickles.
   May the clouds keep us cool, shading the sun's rays,
   And the gods deliver from all evil, granting peace these 30 pęyas.

* These stanzas probably form part of the Tula-mala-varnanāva.
2. The god of the sun's rays shining on the hill has now shaded this our gang:
With fortune-bringing sickles in right-hands invoke we merits on the Mother.
Should the goyi-lord on the highland hear me, I shall receive reward:
May all this company, great and low, recite songs without bickering.

3. Sakdevi, descending from his abode, begs alms in his ascetic garb of yore:
The corn sown on the muddied golden rock bends down ripened ears:
With boiling water dressing food by supernatural power the alms were given.
May goddess Pattini grant me permission to compose and recite these verses.

4. In Rayigam Kóralé renowned there grew the far-famed palm tree,
Fair beyond words of poet, with manifold beauty crowned;
From hamlet unto hamlet known, like lotus petals, expanding on the tree,
Rarely this palm-flower bloomed glorious in colour.

5. Half the day has passed since morning, nor failed of god's protection,
From head to foot thro' every limb god has blessed and preserved us;
The songs in rhyming measure we loudly sang to-day have made the welkin ring.
Will leave be blithely granted to forego work the rest of the day?

6. God, grant me to tell in verse the flower's fame,
Of the lovely tank lily's golden hue, its petals spread in ten directions,
As o'er the water's surface the Ma-nil's scent is scattered:
Its petals spread in ten directions, golden-hued as the lovely tank lily.

7. The seed was sown and sprouted, and like a grove rose the tree;
Like boughs of bamboo shoots entwined, the palm-flower's pageant seemed:
Lur'd by sight of the flower-petals the wayfarer his journey forgets.
Lo! from Gosna lake descending the evil to avert, in safety keep us God (of mercy).
8. "Orchard of golden *tembili,* lime, orange, *beli,*† mora,‡ girā,§ and mī mango; Adjoining grove of indi,‖ palol,¶ diwul,** mi,†† and sal;‖
   And garden of sandal, bōdili,§§ with flowers of jasmine, lotus, lily, and fragrant champak: —||
Such the famed splendour of Saman forest named of noble Saman-devi.

9. That day seven goddesses offered celestial vestures and flowers; The god empowered, with both hands offered flowers of Indra’s tree; Golden kadupul lotus of the Nāga world divine Nāgas offered: Thenceforth continuously all people of the world worship Samanala.

III.—At close of Work.

1. I came intent on singing to while the livelong day— Say when again, my comrades, ye’ll listen to my lay. If kinsfolk now stand by us, all trouble will seem light, And in Maitri Buddha’s feast with one accord unite.

2. With sport and jest full varied our pilgrim path we cheer, Hark! the band before us shouts sādus echoing clear: The palace-shrine who beautify, to crowds the land gives birth, Saffragam’s fair temple, the frontal-mark of earth!

3. As surge the waves of ocean, the thronging lines go by, Their hair-knots bound in circles dark, like stars in midnight sky; Like jewels gleam the torches, adown the four-spread lane, The patron god in howdah rides, as in a wedding train.

---

* The king-cocoanut.
† *Egle* marmelos.
‡ Asclepias acidula.
§ Mangifera indica.
‖ The wild date-palm.
¶ The trumpet flower (Bignonia suave olea.)
** Feronia elephantum.
†† Bassia latifolia.
‖‖ Shorea robusta.
§§ A kind of cocoanut.
|| Michelia champaca.
This tabu resembles the custom of "Pomali" practised by the Dyaks of Borneo, the Alfuros of Celebes and Timor, and the Mentamei Islanders.

"The only outward indication that Pomali is being resorted to is a bundle of maize leaves stuck into the ground, or bushels of rice suspended from a bamboo post, either in a rice field or under the house of a person who is ill. All strangers are forbidden to cross the threshold of a house where the signal is placed.

"Mr. Hugh Low, in his 'Sarawak,' also mentions three kinds of Pomali, or, as he calls it, Pamali.

"The Pamali omar, or tabu on the farms, occurs immediately after the whole of the seed is sown. It lasts four days, and during that period no person of the tribe enters any of the plantations on any account; a pig and feast are according to their practice also necessary."—Carl Bock, "Head Hunters of Borneo," p. 230.

The Oraons and Mundários (hill tribes of India) observe similar precautions to propitiate Desaúli and Jawhír Bárhi for a blessing on the crops in their "Hero-Bouga" and "Bah-Towli-Bouga" sacrifices, to which the "Karam" of the Kol villagers is nearly allied. "Each cultivator sacrifices a fowl, and after some mysterious rites a wing is stripped off and inserted in a cleft of bamboo and stuck up in the rice field. If this is omitted, it is supposed that the rice will not come to maturity."

(4.)
KEM, OR CHARMS.
(I.) Against Getapanuvó (Grubs).

(a)
Pasé Buddha went to Ratël Rusiya, who had nothing to offer as alms. He went and begged of Maha Brahma, who gave him rat-çl (hillpaddy). He sowed it. The first leaf was covered by getapanuvó of seven kinds. Then he prayed that through the power of Buddha the grubs might leave: the grubs left. When the plant grew to its seventh node, it bore an ear of golden hue. This ear was covered by seven kinds of flies. Then also he entreated Buddha’s supernatural power, and the flies disappeared. That paddy was then pounded, the rice boiled and offered for that day’s noon-meal of the said Buddha.

“By the influence of the same Buddha let the worms quit the plants to-day also.”

Walking round the field repeating the above is supposed to destroy getapanuvó.

(II) Against Kokkanavó (Grubs).

After dark a man steals three ilapata (ekel-brooms) from three different houses. These he ties together with kehipittan-ela (creeper) and hangs it to his waist-string behind. Proceeding to the field, he walks three times round it, buries the bundle in the main vakkada (opening through the dam) and returns home unobserved. The whole time, and if possible till next morning, he remains mute.

(III) Against Messó (Flies).

(a)

The Yakdessá should spend the previous night in a lonely spot, after having put on clean clothes (piruwvata) and eaten “milk-rice” (kiri-bat). The following morning, without communicating with any one, he should go to the field. Having caught a fly, he must
hold it for a while in rosin smoke, over which he has muttered the following charm 108 times, and afterwards release it in the field:

O'namo! By the power of Lord Buddha who came to dispel the pestilence of the great city Wnadá, this very day all ye flower-flies, black flies, proboscis-armed flies, and earth grubs of this field, away, away (ǒďu, ǒďu); stay not. Let it be so! (Eśvāh).

Namó! Ye flower-flies, proboscis-armed flies, tiny flies, ash flies, born from the mouth of Gója-kumba-dala-ráksha-déwāvēd, go, stay not in this field. In the name of the Triad (O'ya Triya) and Kataragama Deviyo (Baranēt). Be it so!*

(b)

The following well-known gáthāwa is recited 108 times over some sand, which is strewn in the field at dusk, while four lamps (the oil used having been prepared without being tasted) are kept burning at its four corners:

Abstain from all sin;
Acquire merit;
Purify the heart:
This the Buddha’s commandment.

“Evil swells the debts to pay,
Good delivers and acquits.
Shun evil, follow good; hold sway
Over thyself. This is the way.”

(Light of Asia.)

Throughout the performance of this kema, and until next morning, the person so occupied must not converse with anybody.

* Almost every charm begins with the words O'ya Triya, which in Sanskrit are an invocation to the Hindu Trinity.” The Kaṭṭaḍiyās not being worshippers of that Trinity, and not understanding the purport of the words, but attributing to them some mysterious magical properties, frequently add them to Sinhalese charms, in which the virtues and omnipotence of Buddha are described, in very grandiloquent style, to the exclusion of those of the Hindu
(c)

With red sandalwood, ground to powder, this stanza is written on a rabâna, or the drum of a tom-tom:

By the receiving of perceptive power (in religious studies) these three (false) pursuits, viz., worldly desires, doubts, and unorthodox observances, are dispelled: he escapes from the sufferings of the four hells: he is incapable of committing the six great sins. In the Saṅgha this gem-like state is noble. By this truth may all (sentient) beings benefit.

A lamp fed by mitel (oil of the Bassia latifolia), which has been hallowed by the recital of the gāthāwa over it, is placed in the field inside a mal-pelak (temporary altar decked with leaves and flowers). At dusk a man should walk round the field repeating the same stanza and beating the said tom-tom with a piece of kayila-vela (creeper). This done, he must retire by the entrance he came in at, closing it, and sleep that night in an untenanted house.

(5.)

THE KAMATA, OR THRESHING-FLOOR.

"When they are to tread their corn," writes Knox (p. 11), "they choose a convenient adjoining place. Here they lay out a round piece of ground, some twenty or five-and-twenty foot over, from which they cut away the upper turf. Then certain ceremonies are used. First they adorn this place with ashes made into flowers and branches and round circles. Then they take divers strange shells and pieces of iron, and some sorts of wood, and a bunch of betel nuts (which are reserved for such purposes) and lay all these in the very middle of the pit, and a large stone upon them."

See also Mr. Brodie's Paper in C. A. S. Journal, 1849, p. 25, and Davy's Ceylon, p. 275 (where a wood-cut is given).
Much the same ceremonies have been noted in India:

*Idangal panni sutti seyté*
*Itta pada mitile*
*Adanga nirum puje seytu*
*Arun davangal panna vir*

"Clearing a place, an altar
Ye raise upon the site;
And heaping ashes on it,
Perform ye many a rite."

("Tamil Popular Poetry," by Dr. Caldwell, in Ind. Ant., Vol. I.)

"When Gonds, Kurkus, or, Bharias start together in their *tilli* crops, they take with them some ashes and Indian corn seeds, and as they go along they keep making circles with the ashes, and place in their centre the seeds of the corn. This practice is supposed to keep away all the bad will of the *devas,*"—(Notes on the Bharias, by C. Scanlan, Assistant Surveyor, in Ind. Ant. Vol. I. p. 159.)

According to Mr. Ievers (C. A. S. Journ. 1880, p. 52, Diagram) the figure described on the threshing-floor by the cultivators of the Kegalla District consists of no less than seven concentric circles with four cross lines.

This is a noteworthy departure from the diagram usually seen elsewhere. Throughout the low-country not more than three concentric circles with two diameters between the cardinal points would seem to be drawn.

Precise directions regarding the preparation of a threshing-floor are laid down in the *Muhurta-chintamani* (stanzas 257–261):

![Diagram of concentric circles with points at various locations.](image-url)
To make a Threshing-floor.

257.

Draw three circles and two diameters between the four cardinal points, and place the twenty-eight nekêt in the four directions on the sides of the lines. Calculate the threshing-floor circle towards the right from the nekata on which the sun stands, starting from the East.

258.

The sixteen nekêt standing on the outer and second circular space towards the four directions are profitless and bad; likewise the eight on the third space: the middle four are fortunate. Reckon Southwards from East to West finishing again at East.

259.

Of the 16 portions of ground described by ancient sages* select those

---

* The sixteen portions of land, as given in the Māyāmataya, are the following:—Sīphākārāya, Sārākārāya, Brahma-kārāya, Subhrahkārāya, Gajā-kārāya, Gāndharvakārāya, Chatrā-kārāya, Patrā-kārāya, Karaseḍākārāya, Chaturvākārāya, Rākshamukhākārāya, Trisulākārāya, Gangākārāya, Varahā-kārāya, Kurumākārāya, Nandākārāya, Jalatālākārāya. To these are sometimes added Karatalākārāya, A'yanākārāya. In pāda-bodtma, or dividing into building lots, the subdivisions are:—Brahma-pādayā, Dewa-pādayā, Manussiya-pādayā, Preta-pādayā, of which the last is never selected as a site, nor those portions of the others called technically deli and katura.
lying East and North. Choose a lucky hōrā* in Tumuturu nekṣṭ (Uttarapala, Uttarasa, Uttaraputupa) on Guru (Thursday). The threshing-floor thus constructed will ensure success as desired.

260.

In the midst of fortunate Bamba (Brahma) portion, dig the orakvāla (lit. 'protection hole'), clear the boundary drain, and have the orakgala ('guardian-stone') brought and placed by the hands of a male child with perfect features like Turupati (moon).

261.

Joyfully bathe the body with perfumed water: there sacrifice duly to the demons, offer ashes charmed by mantras, and encircle the threshing-floor with a thread hallowed by the Navagupa-gāthāva.

The MS. from Pasdun Kōralē gives a somewhat different diagram.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{E.} & \\
\text{N.} & \\
\text{S.} & \\
\text{W.} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[\text{_threshing-floor diagram}\]

The eight nekṣṭ next the twelve at the cardinal points are unlucky. Know that the middle eight nekṣṭ will bring luck. Place Rīsi (sun) at the top (starting point) and calculate Southwards. Thus counting, resolve the threshing-floor diagram.

* "Astrologers suppose that the same seven gods to whom the supervision of the days of the week are appropriated, preside over each successive seven hōrās, beginning from that one to whom the day belongs, but in the following order, Sun, Venus, Mercury, Moon, Saturn, Jupiter, and Mars."—C. A. S. Journ. 1856–8, p. 182.)
(6.)

(I.)—THE ANDUKERIMA CEREMONY.

The form of the A'ndukeri'ma ceremony (though not known under that name) as practised in the Siyanë Kóralé differs to some extent.

After the corn is threshed and before removing the straw from the kamata, five cultivators, each taking a deti, repeat the following words thrice:

\[\text{Iridá má nekatin Samanalogalabodin ela migon sat bának gennavá eksi desi tunsiyen šali mađa poru gává elaval ėra biju vadá ekpeti depet tunpeti paspeti sapetii satpetići bandjałavá pidi kirivdu pēsi kalaviṭak sasavá goyan kapá kalaviṭaṭa dáma.}\]

\[\text{Dēti dēti kumana dēti} \]
\[\text{Ran dēti ridi dēti} \]
\[\text{Kāṭupilā niha demaṭa hobbē koson dēti} \]
\[\text{Menon dēti pasak genā} \]
\[\text{Pas denek šīta kola salā qalaṭi} \]
\[\text{Dennēk goṇ dakhati} \]
\[\text{Devīyō veḍa siṭiti} \]
\[\text{Govīyō veḍa karaṭi} \]
\[\text{Medā mé roja kamataṭa} \]
\[\text{Itā yahapatī poṭi.}\]

Seven yokes of white buffaloes having been brought from Adam's Peak at Mānehala on Sunday, when the first, second, and third ploughings have been performed, and the mud levelled, with poru, channels opened, seed sown, the paddy (plants) risen to their first, second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh nodes, and become pregnant with ears, the ears appeared and the grain matured. After the threshing-floor has been
prepared and the crop reaped and placed on the threshing-floor, five persons separate the straw (from the paddy) with five ċeti—

\textit{Deći, deći, what deći?}
\textit{Gold deći, silver deći,}
\textit{Kaṭupila, nika, demaṭu, kobbé, koson deći.}

Taking five of these ċeti,
Five (men) stand and toss the corn:
Two (men) drive the bullocks:
The god looks on:
Cultivators work.
May manifold return attend this royal \textit{hamata} this (harvest) time!

Compare the Harvest song (\textit{Huttari}) of the Coorg ryots (Gover's Folk-songs of Southern India, p. 121):

\begin{itemize}
  \item First they pray that God's rich grace
  \item Still should rest upon their race.
  \item Waiting till the gun has roared
  \item Milk they sprinkle, shouting gay,
  \item \textit{Polé! Polé! Devārē!}
  \item Multiply thy mercies, Lord!
\end{itemize}

(II.)—THE AMĀKETÉ CEREMONY.

It is interesting to find an analogous custom,\textit{mutatis mutandis}, existing to this day in many rural districts of England, and markedly Devonshire and Cornwall.

The custom of "crying the neck"—a relic of old heathen worship, whether of Teutonic or Celtic origin, to the goddess who presided over the earth's fruits—is thus described in Mrs. Bray's "Traditions of Devonshire":

"When the reaping is finished, towards evening the labourers select some of the best ears of corn from the sheaves. These they tie together, and it is called the \textit{nack}. The reapers then proceed to a high place. The man who bears the offering stands in the midst, and elevates it, while all the other labourers form themselves into a circle about him. Each holds aloft his hook, and in a moment they all shout these words: \textit{Arnack} (or \textit{ah nak}), \textit{arnack}, \textit{arnack}; \textit{wehaven} (pronounced \textit{vee-hav-en}), \textit{wehaven}, \textit{wehaven}. This is repeated three several times."

\textit{Arnack}, meaning "a bunch of ears of corn," when thus coupled with \textit{wehaven} expresses either a wish for a prosperous (Norse, \textit{velhavende}) harvest, or the joy that its labours are ended (\textit{we-have-it}). See Notes and Queries, 5th Series, Vols. VI., IX.
### Comparative List of Sinhalese Conventional Terms used at the Threshing-floor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sihphalese (ordinary)</th>
<th>Kegalla District, Western Province</th>
<th>Rayigam Koralé, Western Province</th>
<th>Siyané Koralé, Western Province</th>
<th>Galle District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrack</td>
<td>arakku</td>
<td>kahatavā</td>
<td>pediya</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>kalu-wutura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arekanut</td>
<td>puvak</td>
<td>kahatavā</td>
<td>kahatavā</td>
<td>puvak</td>
<td>kahata-palu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashes</td>
<td>alu</td>
<td></td>
<td>davva</td>
<td>ałuhan</td>
<td>alu-bóya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag</td>
<td>malla</td>
<td>purannē</td>
<td>katupana</td>
<td>puravannāva</td>
<td>yakunţa-bóya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betel</td>
<td>bulat</td>
<td></td>
<td>pengirivā</td>
<td>bol-kola</td>
<td>katupana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betel (quid)</td>
<td>bulat-vida</td>
<td>ambaruvā</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>bol-vida</td>
<td>pécllla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffaloe</td>
<td>mí-harakā</td>
<td>diya-pita-pañā</td>
<td>paligattō</td>
<td>pupā</td>
<td>péngiri-kola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cakes</td>
<td>kevum</td>
<td>diya-pita-pañā</td>
<td>kátu</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>péngiri-kola-viđa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaff</td>
<td>bol</td>
<td>kațuwan</td>
<td>suduvā</td>
<td>hunu</td>
<td>id.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunnam</td>
<td>hunu</td>
<td>ahura</td>
<td>ređda</td>
<td>usan-gedi-bóya</td>
<td>rat-kabala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloth</td>
<td>ređda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>usan-gedi</td>
<td>pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooconut (young)</td>
<td>kurumbā</td>
<td>uhan</td>
<td>uhanan</td>
<td>usan-gedi</td>
<td>kátu; eğići</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cock</td>
<td>kukulā</td>
<td>bin-pahurā</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>kalu-wutura</td>
<td>usan-gedi-bóya</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not all terms are included for each location.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sinhalese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sinhalese</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cock (paddy)</td>
<td>vi-goda</td>
<td>beța-varuva</td>
<td>vi-varuva</td>
<td>beța-varuva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dung (cattle)</td>
<td>goma</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>id.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant</td>
<td>ațiyā</td>
<td>maha-bōlā</td>
<td>patubariyā</td>
<td>maha-bōlā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>gindara</td>
<td>rattā</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>id.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>málu</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td>id.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain (fine)</td>
<td>amu</td>
<td>kațu-baruva</td>
<td>vēnjana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>kurakkan</td>
<td>hīva</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goad</td>
<td>kevița</td>
<td>gon-kōtuva</td>
<td>gon-pollla</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handful</td>
<td>atalossa</td>
<td>at-baruva</td>
<td>atalossa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hare</td>
<td>hāvā</td>
<td>kūduva</td>
<td>hávā</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>gedara</td>
<td>hakuru</td>
<td>gedara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaggery</td>
<td>hakuru</td>
<td>rebatiya</td>
<td>rasa-bōya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little</td>
<td>tikak</td>
<td>boyak</td>
<td>bōvak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat</td>
<td>pedura</td>
<td>aturannāva</td>
<td>id.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>lāha</td>
<td>goiyița</td>
<td>yāla</td>
<td>yāla-goița</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money</td>
<td>kāsi</td>
<td>vața-vannan</td>
<td>vața-van</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This list is by no means complete. The language of the threshing-floor would form subject for a distinct paper.

† Mr. Ivers in C. A. S. Journ. 1880, p. 52.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sinhalese (ordinary)</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monkey (Brown)</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoe deer</td>
<td>Oli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>Paddy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantain</td>
<td>Plantain (sweet)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (sweet)</td>
<td>Rice (cooked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (cooked)</td>
<td>Rope (yoke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>Sickle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>Smoothing board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galo District, Western Province</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rilavá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keśa-gahanā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dūn-kola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bora-gugula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sūdā-putra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mat-kañjā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rōdi-kola</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- The table lists various terms related to paddy cultivation customs.
- The terms are likely to be in an Indian language, possibly Marathi or another regional dialect.
- The table structure includes columns for different activities or objects related to paddy cultivation.
(8.)

**Bali, or Dues.**

Strictly speaking, orthodox Buddhists are enjoined to set apart from their "worldly goods" five dues (bali):—

1. **Rāja-baliya**, the tithe due to the king.
2. **Deva-baliya**, the portion offered to the gods.
3. **Nāti-baliya**, the share given to kinsfolk.
4. **Atithi-baliya**, the guests' or wayfarers' portions.
5. **Pubba-Prēta-baliya**, the portion allotted to the shades of the departed.

Of these bali, the first three are specially required of cultivators; the other two should be discharged by all persons.

The **Rāja-baliya** ought to be paid over in the field itself; the rest at home.

In former times grants of land were apportioned by the Kings to different Devalēs and Koyils, where distinct gods were not infrequently worshipped.

Thus, to this day, the adjoining hamlets of Kalutara, on the north and south of the Kalūgāṅga, retain the names Dēsapura or Dēsastara, and Vēlāpura. The former is said to derive its name from Dēvasastara, a synonym of Vibhisana, brother of Rāvana, the mythical ruler of Laṅka and abductor of Sīta; the latter from the war on the seaboard (Vēlā) in which Kanda Kumāra also rendered substantial aid to the bereaved Rāma against Rāvana.

On this account it is asserted that Kataragama Deviyō is especially honoured south of the Kalūgāṅga.*

---

(9.)

**Incantations used in Deviyannē Dānaya.**

(1.)—

```
කුමාරාවගේ අලංකාර කංගෙහු ගොඩ අංකමෙදී එක්ක්.

කුමාරාවට පිළිබඳ අර්ධජනයකට අංකමෙදී.

කුමාරාව!
```

1. **කුමාරාවගේ අලංකාර කංගෙහු:**
   මැළ රූපවහස්පති කංගෙහු:
   අලංකාරයේ අංකමෙදී කංගෙහු:
   කංගෙහු අලංකාරයේ කංගෙහු:
   වුලකුල කංගෙහු වුලකුල:
   කුමාරාව කංගෙහු අංකමෙදී කංගෙහු:

* The above ingenious but fanciful derivations are given for what they are worth.
2. පාදා කළ දැම්යන්තර ගීත සිදුවීමේ සහ විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ පැතිලි විදියෝග උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

3. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදියෝග සහ ජාතික මධ්යම මඟිසකාන්තාව පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

4. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදියෝග සහ ජාතික මධ්යම මඟිසකාන්තාව කළ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

5. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ ජාතික මඟිසකාන්තාව සහ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

6. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ ජාතික මඟිසකාන්තාව සහ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

7. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ ජාතික මඟිසකාන්තාව සහ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

8. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ ජාතික මඟිසකාන්තාව සහ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!

9. පාදාණ්ඩුවකට පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ ජාතික මඟිසකාන්තාව සහ පැතිලි විදීගත් කෑල්ලේ සහ පැතිලි උපකරණයක් සහ ගිලිවියන්නේ!
Namó Tassa Bhagavató Arahato Sammá Sam Buddhassá.

Permission!
1. Be thou Buddha to the end of a kalpa:
   Be thou Buddha for immeasurable time:
   Be thou Buddha whilst sun and moon exist:
   Be thou Buddha for a thousand full kalpas:
   for a thousand intermediate kalpas:
   for a thousand samavarsaphanta kalpas:
   for a thousand áyu-varshaphanta kalpas!

2. O Sahampati, mighty lord Bráhma, who with one finger supportest these 10,000 universes (sakwala),
   accept our merits:
   pardon our offences:
   hear us!

3. O illustrious Vishnú, great heavenly king, who residest on Vayi-kunñjika Mount, listen to the prayers addressed to thee,
   accept our merits:
   &c., &c.

4. O Sakra, mighty king of heaven, lord of two god-worlds,
   accept our merits:
   &c., &c.

5. O great heavenly king Iśvara, the presiding deity of the Iśvara cycle of twenty years,
   accept our merits:
   &c., &c.

6. O great Vishnú, heavenly king, who art seatedst on the back of a full-grown garuda, of blue colour, of eminent valour, who measuredst out this Lánka in three footsteps, when entrusted to thee by the heavenly king Sakra, during the existence of Buddhism, of our omniscient, true, and perfect Lord Buddha, Teacher of the Three Worlds (Buddha-sésanaya) that will last 5,500 years, to show to the inhabitants of this glorious Lánka the path to the bliss of the great "death-ceasing" Nirvána,
   accept our merits:
   &c., &c.

7. O Kataragama Kandaswámi, of the race of the four gods, Upulvan (Vishnú), Saman-bóksalla, Vibhísana, and illustrious Náráyana Kataragama Kandaswámi, who presidest over Devundara Déválé, Maha Kataragam Déválé, Kuḍá Kataragam Déválé, Punnaswaram Déválé, Sellandáwa, Rankanda, Ridikanda, Ménikgañgáva, Trinigáñgáva, Wálígañgága, Témbilivimánya, Kiri Vehera, listen with divine graciousness to the prayers addressed to thee,
   accept our merits:
   &c., &c.
8. O heavenly king Nāta, who hopest to become a Buddha when five kalpas have expired,

accept our merits:
&c., &c.

9. O heavenly king Saman, presiding over Samantakuṭa Parvata (Adam’s Peak), Divāghāva, sacred lotus-like Samanala Sāpāda, Sabaragamuwa Dévalé,

accept our merits:
&c., &c.

(II.)—ස්තූපේලියෝ බිස්සා දොරුවන්.

* This yādīnna—a very disjointed and hardly intelligible account of Pattini’s birth—is used during the “Kiri itaravima” ceremonial.
(III.)—

1. ආරම්භයේ මුද්‍රාවන්

2. අතර මුද්‍රාවන්

3. මැජු මුද්‍රාවන්

4. කුඩා මුද්‍රාවන්

5. මැජු මුද්‍රාවන්

6. මැජු මුද්‍රාවන්

7. මැජු මුද්‍රාවන්

* A mere fragment in verse of the story of Pattini, recited subsequent to the yuddha given above. For a fuller account of the goddess see the Pattini-hēla.
1. “Parted from my spouse, I am left alone:
   Left to stifle my heart’s love:
   Left with the grief born on that day—”
   Thus mourneth Pattini.

2. “My spouse has gone to trade
   To the great city Madura.
   How many gows is it distant?
   Say, Kali; comfort me.

3. “Like the hare in moon midst,
   So lived we in fond love.
   My spouse is a good helpmate;
   Why comes he not to this day?

4. “Offered they not for the bracelets?
   Has change come o’er his mind?
   Or mayhap some other sorrow
   Has delayed my lord."

5. Wiping tears that well in her eyes,
   Cheeks, body, back, all,
   E’en to her foot’s sole,
   Lifeless as a log remain.

6. To milk the cow she forbiddeth,
   Though the calves stand lowing;
   Breaking the pinfolds they burst forth,
   By tens they scamper home.
7. The ground is hoed and neatly trimmed,
   Pure white sand brought and strewn;
   For the advent feast of holy Pattini.
   Descend to this city dispelling evil!

8. By irdi with the bracelets she came;
   By irdi came she on foot;
   By irdi the bracelets shone like fire.
   Guard and bless us, Pattini!

9. O sun god! accept our milk offering:
   Pardon the faults thou knowest;
   Bestow happiness on these patients:
   O Sun god! accept our milk offering.

10. O Moon god! &c.
15. O Vibhisana! &c.
17. O Pattini! &c.

(10.)

Story of Ralahami.

Long ago in Kosgama, a village of the Western Province, there lived a man of respectable birth, called Kudja Ralahami, who was suffering from the worst form of that loathsome disease, parangi. This man, as an outcast, was forced to live alone, apart from haunts of men, in apel, or small hut, for fear lest others might be cursed with the same complaint. His meals were every day brought and placed on a stone or other elevation not far from the pel, by his relations, who shunned his very sight, under the belief that his mere glance falling on them would suffice to communicate the hell disease.

One cold rainy evening an ándiya, or fakir, took shelter under his roof, and to keep them both warm kindled a fire near the hurdle-shelf (messa) on which Ralahami slept, laying himself down close by. During the night the pel took fire, and the fakir perished in the flames, the leper barely escaping with his life naked.
The next morning the man who brought his meal as usual found the peta burnt to the ground and the charred remains of a human body. This he naturally concluded to be Rálahámi, and returned home with the news to the relations, who were secretly glad to be rid of the burden.

Meanwhile the unfortunate man, who had been the whole day in the jungle without food or clothing, made his way at night to his own home, and knocked at the door. To his surprise the door was shut again as soon as opened, and a voice said: "Hush! Rálahámi, who was burnt to death, has come back to revenge himself on us as a Mala-Yakah." Understanding by this that it would be impossible to get the people to believe that he was still alive, especially in his enforced nakedness, he resorted to a plan for securing a regular supply of food and of milk, of which he was in special need, as from its coolness it would give him at least temporary relief.

The following night stealthily entering a cattle enclosure, he managed to drive out unobserved some young calves, and to tether them in the jungle. The next morning the owners missing the calves, made every search for them, but in vain. A day or two after the leper cautiously approached at dead of night the houses of the persons whom he had robbed, and knocking at the doors, said in solemn tones—"Spare Kuḍá Rálahámi milk and food daily, and your calves will be found!" Thus saying, he hid himself before they could open their doors, and see who knocked. As they could not discover anyone near about, with innate superstitiousness the cattle-owners imagined that some deity or demon had filched the calves and thus notified his wants. The following day, therefore, they took care to provide milk and rice for Rálahámi, who on his part allowed the calves to stray back to the pindal.

The practice was continued so long as he lived, and it is said that on his death he was metamorphosed into Kuḍá-Yakah, more commonly known under the names Kuḍá-Rálahámi or Kosgama Deviyó, to whom offerings of milk are greatly acceptable.

We have not improbably here (with just such divergence as would follow from the nature of the respective religious beliefs) the counterpart of "Robin Goodfellow," for whom not many centuries past our "grandame's maids were wont to set a bowl of milk,"* and whose frolics, as "Hobgoblin" or "Puck," Shakespeare has made familiar in the "Midsummer Night's Dream."

* "Tells how the drudging goblin sweat
To earn his cream bowl duly set."—L'Allegro.
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REPORT ON ARCHEOLOGICAL DISCOVERIES AT TISSAMAHARAMA.

By Henry Parker, F.G.S., F.Z.S., F.R. Hist. Soc., &c.,
Irrigation Officer.

INTRODUCTION.

Tissamaharāma is well known to be in the south-east of the Island—twenty miles by road to the north-east of Hambantota, and about six and a-half from the mouth of the Māgama or Kirinde-ganga. It is also known as the site of the large dagabas built by Mahānāga, the third son of king Mutāsīwa, and his successors—the chief one being the Mahārāma—and of the Tissa tank, or Tissawewa (commonly called Tihawa), presumably constructed by the same son of Mutāsīwa, which has been restored during recent years. Five miles from Tissawewa and three from the village of Kirinde is the village of Māgama, said to be at the site of the ancient southern capital, Māgama, on the bank of the Māgama-ganga. A mile to the eastward of Tissawewa is a larger shallow reservoir, long since abandoned, the bund of which now goes by the name of Yodayākanḍiya. This tank is probably the Dūra and Dūratissa tank of the Mahāvamsa. The waste water of Tissawewa flowed into this reservoir by a wide excavated channel, and there can be no doubt that the object of this larger tank was the irrigation of a considerable part of the land lying between Tissawewa and the sea; Tissawewa itself being of small capacity, and evidently originally intended to provide water chiefly for the use of the large
monastic establishment of the Tissa Wihāras, and for the numerous residents in its immediate neighbourhood.

As the early monarchs of Anurádhapura devoted their energies (after the introduction of Buddhism by Mahinda) to the construction of edifices in the sacred grounds attached to the Mahá Wihára, so in the south the kings of Mágama have left, on a smaller scale, an interesting series of remains at Tissawewa, to testify their devotion to the religion of the “Enlightened.” What the Mahá Wihára with its numerous priests was to Anurádhapura, the Tissa Wihāras were to the Mágama of the period. At both capitals the lay buildings have almost disappeared.

As in the northern capital, the dágabas constitute the most imposing ruins at Tissawewa.

There are four principal dágabas lying in an irregular east and west line, and also the ruins of two other minor ones, the names of which are not known. Beginning at the east, the names of the larger ones are, Sandagiri, Maháráma, Yaṭṭhála, and Mænik dágabas; and all four are locally attributed to Mahánága, or the next kings, including Duṭṭhagámini. It is only certain, however, that the Maháráma dates from the reign of Mahánága, but it is most probable that the Sandagiri dágaba is also one of his constructions, and that the others were also built while Mágama remained the capital of a semi-independent Southern Kingdom, and were due to either the same ruler or his immediate successors. The Yaṭṭhála and Mænik dágabas are comparatively small. Through the energy of two priests, the Maháráma has lately been restored, and little but the spire now remains to be added; this will bring the whole height to about 130 feet,—low in comparison with the immense structures of Anurádhapura, yet enough to make the dágaba a prominent and imposing object in the flat field below the Tissa tank. It would be out of place to give in this report a detailed description of these dágabas. Although varying in the number of basal platforms, all appear to be otherwise built of solid brickwork laid horizontally, either dry or in mud, and to be after the usual ancient type as regards relative proportions. The unrepaired ones are in a very ruinous state, but the priests in charge of them intend to gradually place all the larger ones in order, and the work of restoration
has this year begun at two of them. The two first-mentioned dāgabas are situated to the south-east of the Tissa tank, a short distance below its embankment, in what is now the paddy field; the other two large ones lie to the west of the tank, nearer the river (the Māgama-ganga), which flows past at a distance of two miles from the tank. I may note here that Dr. Müller has inadvertently fallen into an error in stating that this river flows through the tank. (Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, p. 40, footnote.) The water is brought from the river to the tank by means of a deep excavated channel, several miles long. In former times the tank was supplied with water in a similar manner, but by a channel following a different course from that now adopted.

Surrounding these dāgabas are numerous remains of buildings which were doubtless, for the most part, wihāras built either by Mahānāga and his successors, or, in some cases, by rulers of a somewhat later period. The statement in the Mahāvaṃsa (ed. Turnour, p. 217), to some extent confirmed by the inscription in the Mahārāma (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 4), that King Ilanāga "enlarged the Nāga Mahā Wihāra to the extent of a hundred lengths of his unstrung bow"—that is, some 600 feet in length—will give an idea of the area once covered by these structures; but now all that is to be seen above-ground usually consists of the upper part of a few squared, upright pillars, which formed part of the walls, or assisted in supporting the roof.

On the western side of the Tissa tank, near the Mēnīk and Yaṭṭhālā dāgabas, and not far from the river, there are (besides the buildings which were occupied by the priests) several other remains of edifices which appear to have belonged to influential members of the laity, as well as the ruins of what is said by some to have been the royal palace,* a large hall about 140 feet long by 70 feet wide,

* I should note, however, that the opinion of Jinaratana Terumānāse, the priest who has charge of this place and the adjoining dāgabas, is that this was not a palace at any time, but a building belonging to the Bhikkhu Congregation, and either a dining-hall (danasaḷa), or more probably a hall for prayers (banasaḷa); and that in this case the elephant tied to the Ätābaṇḍuwa may have been a temple elephant. This opinion appears to be well founded.
of which the plain, rough, monolithic pillars, mostly upright, standing at present from 12 to 15 feet above the ground-level, are all that is now visible. These pillars, measuring in cross section from 1 to 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) feet by 2 feet, and about 10 feet apart, may possibly have supported an upper room, and all have sockets cut in their top for the reception of beams.

Near this building is a very substantial, upright, octagonal monolith, the "Ætábænduwa," mentioned by Dr. Müller as bearing an inscription of the 6th or 7th century A.D. (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 109), which is deeply worn by both the neck and feet chains by which the tusk-elephant was attached to it; and also has recesses cut in it near the top for the reception of the beams on which the roof of the elephant-shed rested. The more important private dwellings in this quarter were surrounded by a boundary wall of rectangular plan, which in some cases enclosed an extensive area, in the middle of which stood the house. The largest of these buildings was probably the palace.

On the opposite, or eastern, side of the tank were few buildings of magnitude; but two large, prostrate, octagonal pillars have been met with, bearing short inscriptions of a much older date than that on the Ætábænduwa, and apparently of the first or second century A.D. (See Appendix, Note 2). I have also seen pieces of tile and pottery extending for fully half a mile into the jungle, from the tank; and there appears to have been a large population on this side also.

The accounts of early Sinhalese rule neglect everything which was not intimately connected with the rulers residing in the northern capitals, and contain only occasional curt notices of the capitals of the subsidiary kingdoms or provinces which at one time existed in Ceylon. Even in this fragmentary state of the history of the southern metropolis, Mágama, it is surprising to find no special reference to the construction of the important dágabas at Tissawæwa, more especially when it is considered that the chief one, the Maháráma, was by far the largest dágaba of its time in Ceylon, and that it continued to be so for 80 years at least.

It can hardly be assumed that the northern historians
were ignorant of the building of this structure. When, however, the merely casual references to the Mahiyangana and Kellaniya dagabas—both of them, in ancient times, more sacred edifices in the eyes of a devout Buddhist—are taken into consideration, it is clear that the silence regarding these southern works is nothing unusual, and does not afford any evidence against their presumed early construction. There is no reason to doubt that the Mahârâma was built by Mahânâga, the younger brother of King Dewânampiya Tissa, and the inscription in it, copied by Dr. Goldschmidt, leaves no uncertainty as to its bearing its constructor's name in the early part of the 1st century A.D. It is explicitly stated also (Mah., p. 130) that Mahânâga constructed the wihâra bearing his name, which must certainly have been close to the dagaba.* This necessarily implies the residence of a considerable monastic fraternity at the spot, for whom a water-supply nearer than the river was plainly indispensable. There could be no water at the site, except during and immediately after the rainy seasons; and I am aware of only one ancient well in the neighbourhood, at the presumed royal palace. In order to construct the dagaba also, as well as to prepare the clay obtained on the spot for moulding the bricks of which it is built, a water-supply must undoubtedly have been provided.

The only regular water-supply which has ever existed has been furnished by the Tissa tank, and the conclusion is inevitable that the tank is at least as old as the dagaba and wihâra. Possibly it may have been in existence, as a small tank, from a considerably earlier date, as there is some reason for believing; but, in any case, it cannot be assigned to a later one.

This Tissa tank was extended “in like manner” (i.e. made of larger area, just as the dagaba and wihâra were increased in size) by King Ilânâga, 38–44 A.D. (Mah. 217)—a fact which will be shown to afford some proof of the age of the remains now reported on.

* The term “wihâra” is now held to refer to and include all the buildings at a Buddhist monastery; but in former times it seems often to have been reserved for the houses only.
The tank and dāgaba were again repaired by King Kanittha Tissa, 155-173 A.D., according to the Situlpahuwa inscription. (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 16).

As the date of the construction of these works is intimately connected with the subject of this report, it is important to endeavour to fix the actual time with some approximation to greater accuracy than the ancient histories can lay claim to. Mahānāga settled at Māgama soon after Dewānampiya Tissa succeeded to the throne, which, according to the Mahāvāma, took place in 307 B.C. This event, however, occurred considerably later,—apparently about 62 years afterwards. In dealing with this part of the subject, I have taken the opportunity of investigating the chronology of the previous rulers of Ceylon, and of drawing up a corrected chronological table for them. If this has been previously done, of which I am not aware, such a table is, at any rate, not usually accessible to students in Ceylon.

If we consider King Mutāsīwa to have been 45 years old when his youngest son was born—(his ten sons—if not his two daughters—are explicitly stated to have been the children of one mother; Mah., p. 128)—the following will be the probable ages and lengths of reign of the earlier Kings of Ceylon, according to the Mahāvāma:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>King</th>
<th>Accession B.C.</th>
<th>Length of Reign. Years</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wijaya</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paṇḍuwaśa Dewa</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abhaya</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paṇḍukabhaya</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutāsīwa</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewānampiya Tissa</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uṭṭiya</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahāsīwa</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suratissa</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sena and Guttika</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asela</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elāra</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kākawannatissa</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duṭṭhagāmini</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saddhātissa</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of course such ages would be utterly preposterous, in
whatever climate, and it is quite plain that this chronology has been deliberately falsified; probably, as Turnour pointed out, to make the period of Wijaya's landing in the Island coincide with the date adopted as the beginning of the Buddhist era. Except that Saddhatissa seems to have lived to a suspiciously great age, and Elara to have been too old to engage in single combat, even on an elephant, with Duṭṭhagāmini, there are no data by which to prove that any inaccuracy exists subsequent to the reign of Asela.

Taking, therefore, the date of Elara's accession as the foundation on which to build up a less impossible chronological table, and accepting the periods of the Mahāvaṃsa only when in accordance with probabilities, we have first the fact that Asela died a violent death about 205 B.C. (Mah., p. 128.) He was the ninth son of Muṭasīwa, Kira being the youngest son. As, apparently, all his brothers, except Susatissa, had previously died natural deaths, Asela appears to have reached an advanced age when Elara seized the throne. If he was 75 years old when he was killed (an age attained by few monarchs),—he was born in 280 B.C. Thus, his youngest brother, Kira, cannot have been born earlier than 279 B.C. Considering that, as above stated, all Muṭasīwa's children were the issue of one mother, it is most improbable that this king was more than 45 years old when his youngest son, Kira, was born. This will bring the date of Muṭasīwa's birth to 324 B.C. It might occur later, but it can hardly be assigned to an earlier date.

Paṇḍuwasā Dewad died at the time of Paṇḍukabhaya's birth (Mah., p. 58), and his son Abhaya reigned 20 years before Paṇḍukabhaya, having made Suwaṇnapālī his queen, took the field with his troops in the 17 years' fighting, which was ended by his acquiring the sovereignty. There are some discrepancies in the account of this desultory war given in the Mahāvaṃsa (pp. 60-64), but as it is distinctly stated, both in that history (p. 67), and in the Dipavaṃsa (ed. Oldenberg, p. 164), that the campaigns lasted 17 years, that Paṇḍukabhaya was 16 when he came under the guardianship of Paṇḍula, under whom he remained while his education was being perfected (Mah., p. 60), and that he
was 37 when he became king, we must conclude that the statement as to his being married at 20 is correct. In this case the birth of his son Muṭasīwa may have occurred when he was 21. Paṇḍukabhaya was therefore born about 345 B.C., and ascended the throne in 308 B.C. It is evident (Mah., pp. 65–67) that he reigned many years. Practically, he built the city of Anurādhapura, which doubtless previously resembled a large irregular village, or a cluster of hamlets, rather than a town fit to be the capital of a kingdom. This was after he had “tranquilized” the country, and fixed the village boundaries throughout the Island, which alone occupied 10 or 12 years of his reign. Altogether, the length of his whole reign cannot have been much less than 30 years from 308 to 278 B.C., and possibly it might be a few more.

Abhaya succeeded to the throne at the birth of Paṇḍukabhaya, that is, in 345 B.C.

Paṇḍuwasa Dewa is said to have reigned 30 years (Mah., p. 58), that is, from 375 to 345 B.C.; and as there are no data for correcting this period, it must be accepted as accurate. He was unmarried when he assumed the sovereignty (Mah., pp. 54–55), so that we may presume his eldest son, Abhaya, to have been born about 373 B.C.

Upatissa held the sovereignty, as provisional ruler, for one year previous to Paṇḍuwasa Dewa’s arrival—from 376 to 375 B.C.

Wijaya is stated to have reigned 38 years; this will bring the date of his landing in Ceylon to 414 B.C. (Mah., p. 53). While this event cannot be considered to have occurred before 420 B.C., it may very possibly have happened some years later—between 400 and 420 B.C. In view of the Sinhalese tradition that Wijaya landed in Ceylon at the time of the Buddha’s death, I would invite special attention to Professor Rhys Davids’ reasoning by which the date 412 B.C. is arrived at for the commencement of the Buddhist era. (Coins and Measures of Ceylon, p. 65.)

Regarding the time of the accession of Dewānampiya Tissa, we have the statement in the Dipavaṃsa (XI., 14) that ‘when seventeen years of that king (that is, Asoka) and six months of the next year had elapsed, in the second month
of the winter season, under the most auspicious Nakkhatta of Asālīhā, Dewānampiya Tissa was installed in the kingdom of Tambapāṇṇī.' Asoka appears to have ascended the throne in 263 B.C. (Duncker’s History of Antiquity, Vol. IV., p. 525, f.n.), and this will bring the date of Dewānampiya Tissa’s accession to 245 B.C. According to this chronology, Muṭasīwa died at the age of 79, which is quite in accordance with the statement that he attained a great age (Mah., p. 76). This nearly agrees, also, with the chronology in the Dipavamsa that places Muṭasīwa’s death at 74 years after Chandragupta’s accession, which Professor Duncker fixes at 315 B.C., by means of Greek chronology (loc. cit., pp. 442–443.)

Assuming Muṭasīwa to have been 45 years old, as above, when his youngest son was born, it is not likely that he would be less than 28 at the birth of his third son, Mahānāga; that is, Mahānāga was born somewhere about 296 B.C. This prince was thus about 51 years old when Dewānampiya Tissa became king in 245 B.C. Very shortly after this he came to Māgama, say in 243 B.C.; and if so, we must assign the construction of the Tissa tank and great dāgaba to about 230 or 240 B.C.

It will be found that this leaves very little time for the princes of the Southern Kingdom between Mahānāga and Duṭṭhagāmini, and that if the above dates are to be depended on as being even an approximation to the truth, it is quite incorrect to state (as Turnour has done, on the authority of the Tikā, I presume), that Yaṭṭhālaka Tissa was born during the flight of his parents to Māgama. Most probably both he and his son, Goṭhābhaya, were born before their father finally left Anurādhapura, and there is nothing to show that this is not the meaning of the words of Mahinda’s prophecy to Dewānampiya Tissa (Mah., p. 97). It is much more likely that Yaṭṭhālaka Tissa built the dāgaba which bears his name, than that he was born at the spot. If his birth occurred there while his parents were coming to Māgama, the date cannot possibly have been much earlier than 243 B.C., yet his grandson, Kākawannatissa, lived 64 years, and died in 161 B.C. In other words, according to this statement, Yaṭṭhālaka Tissa was born only 18 years before his own grandson.
The revised chronological table now arrived at for the early Sinhalese Kings is, thus, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of King</th>
<th>Accession B.C.</th>
<th>Length of Reign Years</th>
<th>Probable age Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wijaya</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upatissa</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paṇḍuwaśa Dewa</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abhaya</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interregnum (Tissa)</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paṇḍukabhaya</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>30(?)</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muṇasīwa</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>33(?)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dewānampiya Tissa</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uṭṭiya to Asela, six reigns</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elāra</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duṭṭhiagāmini</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saddhatissa</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This gives a mean of 19.1 years for each reign, or almost the same as the average reigns of the English sovereigns from the establishment of the Heptarchy. From Wijaya to the accession of Elāra, the date from which the table is calculated, the average reign is exactly 15 years, which is the same as the average for Indian Kings. *(Report on Archeological Survey of India, Vol. IX., p. 180.)*

I now venture to refer to a collateral subject, more intimately allied to my report, regarding which there have been many conflicting opinions, and on which much writing has been expended without any satisfactory result, viz., the site of the first capital of Ceylon—the city of Tambapāṇṭi, founded by Wijaya. For many years it has been locally held that the place called Tammanṇa Nuwara, a few miles from Puttalam, was this city; the only apparent reason for the belief being the similarity of the names. Dr. E. Müller has already stated that this place does not appear to have been Wijaya’s city, and having visited the site with Mr. P. Templer, when he was Assistant Government Agent of Puttalam, I can quite endorse his opinion. The Mi-oya, which flows past at the distance of fully a mile, is usually dry in the summer months; there are no wells to be seen at the site, nor was there any better water-supply for the
inhabitants than was contained in three very small shallow tanks. This would undoubtedly not suffice for the wants of any large population.

When the extreme likelihood that there were no artificial tanks—or, at any rate, none but tanks of the smallest size—in the Island before the advent of Wijaya is considered, the absolute necessity of a previously existing and unfailling natural water-supply at the site of the city, such as could only be found in one of the rivers, is apparent. In their need of fresh water the invaders must, without any doubt, have landed at the mouth of one of the rivers. On this, if the water-supply were sufficiently good, and to be depended on, and other things were favourable, their first settlement would probably be founded. Tambapaṇṇi must therefore be looked for near the mouth of a river which always contains a good supply of potable water near its mouth, yet which is not liable to have its banks overflowed in the wet seasons. This considerably reduces the list of possible sites. For one or the other of these reasons the north-western rivers—the Malwatta-oya (or Aṟuvi-āru), the Mōdaramoyya, the Kalā-oya, and the Mī-oya—must all be abandoned, as well as many other sites which have been suggested as likely ones. Dr. Müller has expressed an opinion (Ancient Inscriptions, p. 23) that the settlers may have merely come across from South India, in which case, as he states, traces of the capital should certainly be in existence near either the Aṟuvi-āru (or Malwatta-oya) or the Mōdaram-oya. But from my acquaintance with the lower portions of these rivers, I am able to state that no such ruins are to be found near their mouths.

In this uncertainty we have valuable evidence in the old historical works, particularly in the Dipavaṃsa, which Dr. Oldenberg has shown to be an earlier work than the Mahāvaṃsa, and most probably to contain, in some measure, literal extracts from the original Aṭṭhakathā. I venture to annex an extract from it regarding Wijaya’s landing, the italics being mine:

“That crowd of men having gone on board their ship, sailing on the sea, were driven away by the violence of the wind, and lost their bearings. They came to Laṅkadvipa, where they disembarked and went on shore...........The red-coloured dust of
the ground covered their arms and hands; hence the name of
the place was called Tambapanći (copper-palmed). Tambapanći
was the first town in the most excellent Laṅkadīpa; there
Vijaya resided and governed his kingdom. . . . . . . Many people,
crowds of men and women, came together, (hence each) prince
founded a town in the different parts. The town of Tambapanći
surrounded by suburbs, was built by Vijaya in the south on the
most lovely bank of the river. . . . . . . The king called Vijaya
by name was the first ruler who reigned in Tambapanći over
the delightful island of Laṅka. When seven years (of his
reign) had passed the land was crowded with people.” (Dip.,
p. 162.)

The remark in this extract that Wijaya and his followers
were “driven away by the violence of the wind” can only
indicate a belief, at the time when the Aṭṭhakathā was
composed, that they came to Ceylon during the north-east
monsoon. Sailing from the east coast of India—whether
in the south or as far as north as the Ganges—no other
wind could drive them to Ceylon. If this were the case,
it is improbable that they would attempt to land on the
east coast of Ceylon in such rough weather, exposed to the
heavy seas from the Bay of Bengal. Rounding the south-
east corner of the Island, the neighbourhood of Kirinde
would be one of the first places where they would have an
opportunity of coming safely on shore. The sentence
above quoted may thus be taken as a proof that at least
74 years before Christ Tambapanći Nuwara, of the exact
site of which the compilers of the Aṭṭhakathā must have
been aware, was known to be near the southern or
south-eastern coast, as in fact is explicitly stated later
on in the same extract, if we adopt Dr. Oldenberg’s
reading.

The next piece of evidence is contained in the Rājawalliya
(Upham’s ed., p. 168), which describes Wijaya’s arrival as
follows:—

“......... And when the said ship was sailing towards the
country, Rūna-Raja, in the midst of the sea, they perceived the
large rock called Samanakūṭa Parwata or Adam’s Peak, in
Ceylon, and there they concluded amongst themselves that it was
a good country for them to reside in; and so they landed at the
place called Tammanattoṭa in Ceylon.”
Tammannatota means the landing-place, or ferry, or port of (or for) Tammanna. From the neighbourhood of Kirinde the top of Adam's Peak is visible, and of course the extract plainly indicates that the port for Tammanna was believed by the compiler to be in the Southern Province. There was thus a decided consensus of opinion in former times that Wijaya both landed and founded his capital in the south of Ceylon.

Practically, this is the whole of the evidence which is available regarding the arrival of Wijaya himself. But there is very valuable information respecting the landing of Wijaya's successor, Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa, who came from the same place, and presumably took the same route to Ceylon, less than 40 years afterwards. If it were shown that Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa landed in the north or west of Ceylon, that would be no proof that Wijaya landed in that part of the Island. But if it can be shown that Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa landed in the south or south-east of Ceylon, we shall have strong presumptive evidence that he took the same circuitous route as his predecessor. It is most unlikely that he would travel several hundred miles more than were known to be absolutely necessary; if he came to the south, therefore, he took the usual route of vessels from the Ganges. Vessels from the Ganges must at first have all come during the north-east monsoon, just as ships from the Far West were compelled to regulate their voyages by the prevailing winds. The Rājawalliya even says explicitly that Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa arrived at "the haven of Tammanna Nuwara," the same spot as Wijaya's landing-place, after coming by ship from Siṃhala Nuwara (p. 168).

There is not the least reason for doubting the statement in the Mahāvamsa that Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa landed at the port of Gonagáma at the mouth of the great Kandara river; and also that the Princess Bhaddhákacchánā afterwards landed at the same site. According to these authorities, Gonagáma is therefore the same spot as the port for Tammanna Nuwara. As to this place, where Paṇḍuvāsa Dewa disembarked, no uncertainty need exist. I am now able to suggest with confidence that this great Kandara river is no other than the Mágama
or Kirinde-ganga;* and, in proof of the identification, I have discovered that Gonagáma is yet the name of a natural tank, locally termed a  \textit{wila}† (still bearing its original appellation, the penultimate syllable being of course shortened), near the mouth of the river, about 2\(\frac{1}{2}\) miles from the sea. The village has, however, disappeared.

A confirmation of this identification is to be found in Dr. Müller's words (\textit{Ancient Inscriptions}, p. 57) regarding the grant by the A'pá Mahinda—recorded in the Mayilagastota inscription (No. 120)—to the Mahá Wihára and the " \textit{Uḍa Tisa piriwena}." Dr. Müller identifies the site as follows:—"By the Mahá Wihára, most probably, we have to understand the Nágamaháwihára at Tissamahárâma, and the Udatisa piriwena is perhaps the Uddhakandara Wihára mentioned at \textit{Mak.}, p. 130." As is well known at Tissamahárâma, Uḍa Tiháwa is the present name of the upper part of the Tissa tank. It once formed a separate tank, the bund of which is now to be seen inside the present Tissa tank. If, then, the two names, Udatisa and Uddhakandara, are applied to this one place, the latter can only be taken from the adjacent river, the Kandara, between which and the tank the \textit{piriwen}a probably stood. The villagers inform me that there are now a few pillars, which formed the remains of some such building, in the jungle to the west of the upper part of the Tissa tank. At any rate, it is certain that Uddhakandara was in Rohana.

Reference is also made to a Kappukandara village in Rohana (\textit{Mak.}, p. 141), and at p. 146 there is mentioned the Jawamáli ferry on the Kappukandara river, which was certainly in Rohana. The context also clearly shows that this ferry must have been not very far from Mágamá—possibly in the upper part of the valley. \textit{Duṭṭhagámini}

* Formerly Karinda. \textit{Kandara} = karanda by metathesis; compare \textit{Paṇḍuka} and \textit{Pakunḍa} (\textit{Dip.} X. 9; XI. 1).

† Goḷagamawila is mentioned on Ilanága’s inscription at Tissamahárâma. (\textit{Ancient Inscriptions}, No. 4.) There is no other \textit{wila} of this name in the district. A \textit{wila}, (identified by Dr. E. Müller as Skt. \textit{vīla}, a cave), and Tamil \textit{vīlu}, is in every case a pool the bed of which is below the level of the adjoining ground. Thus, without any embankment it is capable of holding up a supply of water.
marched from Mágama against his brother Tissa, who was stationed at Dighawápi in the Batticaloa District. After being defeated in a great battle, the king and his prime minister took to flight, and were followed up by Tissa. On their way towards Mágama the fugitives arrived at this Kappukandara river. That their journey was towards the upper part of the river, is shown by their escape from the pursuit of Tissa at some mountain on the route, on reaching which Tissa turned back. There are no hills very near the coast. If the Kappukandara river is not the upper part of the Mágama-ganga, it is certainly a river of the same neighbourhood, a fact which will explain the application of the distinctive adjective maha to the lower part of the larger one.

At Mah., p. 201, it is also stated that Thullatthanaka built a Kandara wihára while his father Saddhátissa resided at Dighawápi. Whether Thullatthanaka or his brother Lajjitissa resided at Mágama, it is certain that this wihára was in Rohana.

Lajjitissa also built a Kandarahínaka wihára, which may have been on one of the hills in the valley above Mágama (Mah., p. 202).

As it may be suggested that some northern river perhaps had a port called Gonagáma, which may yet be discovered, and as Dr. Müller has already identified the Aruvi-áru (or Malwatta-oya) as the Kandara river (Ancient Inscriptions, p. 22), I adduce the further evidence in favour of my opinion contained at Mah., p. 55, where we find that Pañduwása sent his ministers to meet the Princess Bhaddhákaccháná, and escort her to his capital, Upatissa Nuwara (not Anurádhapura, Mah., pp. 55, 57). The place where the two parties met is given by Turnour (I presume on the authority of the Tiká) as Wijitapura. From this spot the party proceeded to Upatissa Nuwara. Now, Wijitapura near Kaláwaewa was on one of the two great northern roads from Mágama to Anurádhapura and Upatissa Nuwara—(the other passed through Buttala, formerly Guttahála, and Mahiyangana)—and it cannot be conceived that any travellers from Mahátiţha or its neighbourhood—(where the port for Tammaná would be situated if Tammaná Nuwara were on the Aruvi-áru)—to Upatissa Nuwara,
could, by any possibility, wander so widely out of their way; or that the king's officers of State were so completely insane as to proceed 50 or 60 miles southwards to meet people coming from a point nearly due west of the capital, and not more than 40 miles distant, along what must undoubtedly have been a much-frequented and well-known road. It is plain that the ministers proceeded southwards to meet the royal traveller coming from the south, and this agrees with all the former evidence which has been given. Leaving out conjecture, every particle of evidence which is to be met with shows that the site of Tambapāṇi Nuwara was in the south of Ceylon.

Having pointed out what appears to me to be the only possible site of Wijaya's landing-place, it is necessary to confirm the identification by discovering the site of Tambapāṇi or Tammantha Nuwara. In the south of Ceylon we know of only two very early cities, Kācharagāma or Kataragama, and Māgama or Rohana-Māgama; and I identify the latter as Wijaya's capital. There is one peculiarity with regard to Tambapāṇi Nuwara which does not appear to have received sufficient notice: that after the reign of Wijaya the name utterly disappears, and is not again mentioned in any of the ancient histories. This is from no lack of references to the southern Province of Rohana. It would be quite unwarrantable to assume that, after being the capital of the Island for more than 30 years, and evidently a flourishing and important place—(or it would not have been specially mentioned as being surrounded by suburbs, &c.)—the city was abandoned. If this was not the case, the only other likely assumption is that the name was changed. The first reference to Māgama is contained in the Rājawalliya (p. 178), in which it is said that one of the brothers of Paṇḍuwaśa's queen was called Sudhodana Sakya Kumāra, "and the place appointed for his residence was called Māgam Nuwara". This statement, though very likely to be correct, is not found in this form in either the Dīpavamsa or Mahāvaṃsa. In the latter it is simply said that one of the six princes settled at (or in) Rohana, and took its name—i.e. he would be called—"Rohana Kumāra," the Rohana Prince. This city termed Rohana in the Mahāvaṃsa is therefore evidently the same as Māgama;
and in the Rājawalliya it is often called Rūna-Māgama (pp. 188, 195, 196, &c.). In the Mah. Māgama is not mentioned until Mahānāga made it his capital. When this prince first established himself in the south we cannot but assume that he resided at some existing large town—in all probability the chief one of the Province. As Wijaya's capital was in the south, this must have been Tambapāṇi. There is no apparent cause for his building a new capital when Tambapāṇi already existed, nor any reason why he, as king, with unlimited powers in his own Province, should not select the most important and commodious one. On this account I conclude that Māgama was, as its name indicates, already the chief city of the Province before Mahānāga settled at it; and in that case it would be no other than Tambapāṇi Nuwara.

Tambapāṇi was the name of the division or district in which the capital was situated (Mah., pp. 47, 51); Rohana was the name afterwards given to the whole of south Ceylon. We can easily conceive how the same city might thus acquire two names. Before Rohana became a separate Province the capital was the chief village or city of the Tambapāṇi district—i.e. Tambapāṇi Nuwara; after south Ceylon was termed Rohana it would also be called the great village or city of the Rohana Province. The latter more important title would then supplant the original one. At first all the towns founded in Wijaya's time were usually termed "villages," and it is in every way probable that the capital became familiarly known—perhaps even in Wijaya's time—by its later appellation, the "Great Village," Mahāgāma, a name which in time would take the place of its original title. There is a somewhat analogous instance in the North-Central Province, where the villagers usually speak of Anurādhapura as "Maha Wihāra;" and a still better example in the modern Sinhalese name of Kandy, Maha Nuwara, the Great City, which has so completely taken the place of the original name that probably only a small proportion of those who employ it now know that the mountain capital once was termed Śrīwardhanapura. My conclusion is that, until another suitable river in the south of Ceylon shall be found, having a place called Gonagāma at its mouth, and the remains of an ancient city on its
bank within a few miles of the sea, Mágama must be admitted to be the equivalent of Tambapanţi Nuwara, and the Kirinde or Mágama-ganga to be the Kandara river.

I may mention, also, that from four to five miles distant from the Tissa dágabas there is a tank called the Tammannawa, through which there runs a stream termed the Tammanná-áru — (a Drávidian name in the south-east of of Ceylon!) This stream joins the Kirinde-ganga four miles from the Tissa ruins. The name Tammaná is so commonly applied to tanks and rivers in Ceylon that this fact cannot be considered to throw any light on the ancient name of the city. Tambapanţi being originally a South Indian name, it is quite possible that this appellation was bestowed on the district long previous to Wijaya’s landing.

The site of this ancient Mágama still remains to be discussed. The village of Mágama still bears the original name, but very few ruins, and those quite insignificant, are to be found at it. A city that, whether it was Wijaya’s seat or not, is known to have been the capital of South Ceylon for more than 80 years, at a time when structures were being erected, which, from their design, size, and permanence, still excite surprise and respect, and that is known to have remained an important city for some 15 centuries, must be presumed to have left some more tangible traces than a few rude stone pillars. The extensive ruins at Tissawáwa, only four miles from the present village, may therefore successfully lay claim to the honour of being once the southern metropolis, "Mágampura Nuwara," as the Siḿhalese villagers delight to term it. These ruins extend (chiefly below the ground-level), throughout the jungle between the Tissa tank and the Mágama river; a building large enough to be a king’s palace is among them, as well as residences built in enclosures which even now would be thought of good size. Boundary walls, with foundations of large stone slabs, run in all directions; and the whole ground is full of fragments of brick, tile, and pottery, and scattered stone pillars which mark the site of the more important houses and wiháras now buried. Below the tank, in the higher land which has recently been cleared for conversion into the paddy field, remains are almost everywhere met with from a foot to six feet underground; while on the opposite side of the tank,
near the eastern end of the bund, many buildings stood, and the discoveries made in our excavations show that, in addition, a large village of artificers was established on the spot. All the ground here, too, far away from the tank, is full of fragments of brick, and tile, and pottery, below the surface. This, therefore, was undoubtedly once a large city; yet, if not Mágama, it was a city without a name! Probably after the final breaching of the Tissa tank the people who remained removed to a suburb a few miles lower down the river, where it was possible to cultivate paddy without the assistance of the tank, as is done to the present day. Unless we adopt this hypothesis, we are driven to the conclusion that two separate cities existed, with their centres only four or five miles apart; and that the one with the most extensive ruins in the south of the Island must yield the title of “capital” to the other with its half a dozen scattered pillars. The whole neighbourhood may have once been termed Mahágáma, though the name has since become restricted to the present village.

The available evidence shows that from the time of the compilation of the Āṭṭhakathā to the time of the compilation of the Rájawalliya, it was believed that during the formation of the first Aryan settlements in the Island, while travelers from the south of India usually landed at Mahátittha (or Mantoṣa), all those from the Ganges came southward with the north-east monsoon winds, and landed at Mágama. As stated in the Dipawaṃsa, thousands of immigrants must certainly have arrived during the lifetime of Wijaya; or his followers would never have ventured to settle down, among a possibly hostile race,* at points so far distant as the first towns from each other; and the route must have been almost as well known, even in those early times, as the short passage from Ráméçvaram to Mahátittha was to the traders who came for chanks and pearls and the other commodities carried away ages before to Arabia and Palestine. That trading vessels from India came to Mágama at a later date (205 B.C.) is clear from Mah., p. 135, where it is stated that ships arrived with “golden utensils

* Even succeeding sovereigns found it advisable to conciliate the "fierce Yakkhas" by granting their chiefs special privileges.
and other goods; and the "harbour" is again mentioned (204 B.C.) at p. 134. Compare also Mah., p. 49, where trading vessels from North India or Burma are certainly alluded to so early as 400 B.C.

The two great ports of Ceylon in the pre-Christian era were Gonagáma, the port of Mágama in the south-east, and Mahátiṭṭha (or Mantoṭa) and its neighbourhood in the north-west. While Mahátiṭṭha was the emporium of the trade carried on with southern and western India and the Far West, Mágama was the seat of the trade with eastern India and the Far East, and also, to some extent, with the Far West. It was here that the Eastern and Western traders met; and thus it is that our excavations have disclosed, in what is now this obscure corner of the Island, the productions of the opposite sides of the globe,—the coins of Greece lying beside a piece of rhinoceros horn from Northern India and an article of volcanic origin perhaps brought from beyond the Bay of Bengal.

So little is known of the history of any of the early cities in Ceylon, excepting a few special ones, such as Anurádhapura, Pulastipura, &c., that I am induced to string together, as a contribution to a skeleton account of Mágama, the few references to it with which I have met, or other facts which tend to prove the length of time during which the city was occupied and the tank was in working order. Of course this is not by any means a full list, especially in the time after the 5th century.

Circa 414 B.C. ... Wijaya lands at Gonágama and founds the city of Tambapañi (= Rohana-Mahágáma, the 'great village of the Province of Rohana').

375 " ... Arrival of Paṇḍuwasā Dewa at Gona-gáma, "the port for Tambapañi."

374 " ... Arrival of Princess Bhaddhákaccháná at Gonágáma.

Circa 370 " ... Settlement of Prince Sudhodana Sakya at Mágama (= Rohana) Mah., p. 57; Rája., p. 178.

243 " ... Settlement of Mahánágá at Mágama.

240-230 " ... Construction of Nága Maháráma.

Circa 226 " ... Yaṭṭhálaka Tissa, King of Rohana.

225 " ... Kákawaṇṭatissa born.
Circa 220 B.C. ... Yatthála dagaba probably built.
Circa 207 " ... Kákawaṇṇatissa, King of Rohana.
205 " ... Birth of Dutthagámíni at Mágama.
161 " ... Dutthagámíni becomes King; Saddhátissa, Viceroy at Dighawápi.
137 " ... Thullatthanaka leaves Mágama.
Circa 40 A.D. ... Ilánága enlarges the Mahágáma and Tissa tanks (Mah., p. 217).
1st Cent. ... Short inscriptions on two pillars at east side of tank commemorating the suppression of the heresy. (See Appendix, Note 2.)
165 A.D. ... Kaniṭthatissa repairs the Maháráma and Tissa tanks (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 16).
Circa 220 " ... Woharáka Tissa "caused improvements to be made with paid labour" at the Mahágáma and Mahánága wiháras and dagabas (Mah., p. 226).
2nd or 3rd Cent. ... Inscription on slab for flower offerings, Mal-póruwa, at Nága Maháráma. (See Appendix, Note 2.)
3rd " ... Inscription of "Rohinika Gámini Abbaya," who probably repaired the Tissa tank (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 23).
4th " ... The sons of Jettháthatissa left inscription at the Maháráma (not the Maṇik dagaba) containing a record of gifts to the chief Theru of the "King of Mágama's Maháwihrá." (Ancient Inscription, No. 67.)
4th or 5th " ... Inscription round the Yatthála dagaba.
434 A.D. ... On Tamil invasion by Páñdu, Rohana became the Sínhalese kingdom, with Mágama as capital, probably.
5th or 6th Cent. ... Inscription on flat slab at Yatthála dagaba.
6th or 7th " ... Inscription on the Āe tábaṇḍagala (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 109).
Circa 690 A.D. ... Dapula II, King at Mágama, "caused the dagaba of Rúna to be rebuilt" (Rója., p. 247).
Circa 860—900 ... “Damaha” Rāja rebuilt the “Rūpa wihāra” of Rūna Māgama. (Rāja., p. 250.)

990 A.D. ... The Aēpā Mahinda, afterwards Mahinda III., A.D. 997–1013, repaired the Mahāwihāra, and refers to the “Uḍa Tisa monastery.” The upper part of the Tissa tank is still called Uḍa Tihāwa. (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 120).

10th or 11th Cent. ... Inscription on a prostrate pillar at Nāga Mahārāma.

1060—1070 A.D. ... Rohana becomes the seat of Government of the Singhalese kingdom.

1113–1150 " ... Rohana (or Māgama) is capital of Ceylon under Mānābarana and Siriwallaba.

1153–1186 " ... Parākrama Bāhu I. repaired the Dūra and Tissa tanks and the buildings.

Circa 1190 " ... Niṣṣaṇa Malla refers to “Tissa” along with Mineri, Kantalai, and Padawiya tanks, as a place where he “gave security to all living things, and commanded that they should not be killed.” If the Māgama tank is the Tissa referred to, this proves that the tank was still in order in 1187–1196 A.D. (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 150.) Compare also No. 145, in which the king states that he gave “security to fishes in 12 great tanks.”

12th Cent. ... Short inscription on flat slab at Nāga Mahārāma. (Appendix, Note 2.)

1214–1235 A.D. ... In the time of the Tamil King, Māgha, Tamils were settled at Māgama. (Rāja., p. 257.)

1266–1301 " ... Panḍita Parākrama Bāhu united the three Provinces under one sovereignty. The tank probably fell into disrepair not long after this, and the place would then be abandoned, and be gradually overgrown with jungle and forest.
REPORT.

Mode of Discovery.

In digging out the site of a new sluice beyond the eastern end of the embankment of the Tissa tank, and in cutting a low-level channel from it to the paddy field, a thick layer of broken pottery and tiles was passed through at a depth, in its lowest part, of 18 feet below the surface of the ground. As these were all in fragments, commonly very small, and there was apparently nothing which could afford a clue to their age, but little attention was paid to them, until it was noticed that the shape of several fragments was such that they could not have belonged to the pottery usually made in Ceylon at the present day. The outcome of a more careful examination of many of these fragments was the discovery of one piece on which was scratched the letter *ti*, in an angular character similar to those of the earliest inscriptions in Ceylon, such as that at Tonigala (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 1). After this, a vigorous search was made among the débris removed from the lowest layers, and a watch was kept on everything excavated, both in the low-level channel, and also in a channel subsequently cut at a higher level. The results have been far more important and extensive than could be anticipated, and have brought to light much of interest respecting the social condition and life of the labouring classes, and, to some extent, regarding the commerce and state of education during a very early period of the history of Ceylon. Ample proof has also been obtained that there was once a potter’s establishment at the site of the excavations.

Probable Age of the Remains.

It is obviously of great importance to ascertain the age of these remains with the utmost attainable accuracy. The date may be arrived at by two independent methods, which give results that agree as closely as can be expected at this distance of time.

In the first place, there is a series of letters scratched or engraved on several pieces of pottery. A considerable part
of the alphabet, with the attached vowels, has been met with, cut by several persons who had quite different styles of writing. Much of this writing evidently forms part of sentences inscribed round the outsides of 'chatties' or on the rims of plates; but owing to the fragmentary state of the pottery no complete sentence has been obtained. Some letters, which are large and angular, are plainly the work of men who had not very much practice in such writing; others are small and of very good shape, and are evidently such as might be written on ordinary leaves with a style. No one seeing the different kinds of writing or engraving could attribute all to one person; yet we find that, without exception, in instances met with at varying heights in the lowest stratum of remains, the shape of the characters is exactly that of the Asoka alphabet, as found in the oldest rock inscriptions in the Island. This agreement includes the letter sa, which in all cases has the angular form resembling the modern Roman F, or rather the Greek digamma, and the letter ma, which is always rounded. No letter of a later shape has been met with, nor a single instance of the rounded form of vowels, or lengthened k or r, which indicates the beginning of the transition period of Sinhalese palæography. If, then, the oldest inscriptions yet discovered in the Island, which contain no letter older in shape than these, go back to the time of Wattagāmini, there is not room for great error in assuming the most recent of these letters to have been made not later than 50 B.C. But the stratum in which this engraved pottery is embedded is quite four feet thick; and if the upper part of this dates from 50 B.C., the bottom layer (the tiles and pieces of earthenware are in more or less distinct layers in it, separated by thin layers of soil, and sand, and fine gravel) must be admitted to be of considerably older date. It cannot, I think, be assumed that the whole ground-level at the site (although it is in a hollow) has been raised four feet in much less than 150 years; and, if not, the earliest remains appear to date from a period not much later than the construction of the dagābas and tank. Only by the assumption that the artificers, the carpenters, and stone-cutters settled at this spot were engaged in the erection of houses in the city on the opposite side of the tank, or in
works at the wiháras, can the presence of the large number of work-people who lived here be accounted for.

In the second place, a check on the date above adopted is arrived at by a consideration of the position of the remains. The soil in the piece of ground between the lowest of the remains and the Tissa tank is of a very porous nature, and water leaks through it from the tank into the cutting. When the tank contains only five feet of water, the leakage covers all the lowest stratum in its most depressed part, where it is from 14 to 18 feet below the present ground-level. In this part of the stratum there are numerous remains of fires, which were certainly made in situ, there being in many of them the undisturbed ashes and bits of charcoal, and in one instance pieces of burnt Sambar deer’s bones from which the marrow had evidently been extracted, the bones being broken across for this purpose. It hardly needs be said that this lowest stratum must have been deposited before the water of the tank could leak into it and flood it; that is, the tank cannot have been in its present position at the time. Now, it can clearly be seen that about 200 yards up the bed of the tank from the present embankment there runs a ridge higher than the adjoining ground-level, which, without any doubt, was a former bund, cutting off the whole of this corner of the tank, and meeting the present bank, which is quite straight, at about half-way from the end. (See attached plan.)

This, then, was the original line of the embankment at the time when the remains were in course of deposition. The potters, in fact, settled below the tank, where they were not subjected to floods, and yet where they could obtain their clay, and the water required for its manipulation, with the greatest ease. Their clay-pit has now become part of the bed of the tank; but at that time it lay just below the embankment. All the potters’ villages which I have seen in Ceylon have been similarly situated, and it is only what one would naturally expect. When the embankment was made in its present line, and their clay-pit was enclosed in the tank and flooded, the potters must necessarily have removed to some other site, if they had not done so previously.

When we consider the character of the letters cut on the pottery, and the existence of this former embankment inside
what is now the tank, there is only one conclusion which can be arrived at—that the present line of the embankment represents part of the enlargement of the Tissa tank carried out by King Ilanāga. If this conclusion is a correct one—and I fail to see that any other can account for the observed facts—the date of the most recent deposits in the pottery stratum cannot, in any case, be later than (say) 40 A.D. The enlargement of the tank must manifestly have caused the abandonment of the manufactory at the site. While 40 A.D. is thus the latest possible date for the upper part of the pottery stratum, the shape of the letters proves (as far as this can be considered a proof) that the remains are of an earlier date, and, as abovementioned, probably from nearly 200 to 50 B.C. This is confirmed by the fact that a coin of one of the early Roman Emperors, which can hardly be put down to a later date than some time in the 1st century A.D., has been discovered at a height of about three feet above the upper part of the pottery stratum.

*Position and Character of the Remains.*

The most ancient of the remains were deposited on ‘gravel’ (decomposed gneiss), a thick stratum of which overlies the gneiss of the district. Broken ‘chatties’ and plates and plate-covers form by far the greatest part of them. Even in excavating these two channels alone, it will be no exaggeration to say that thousands of pieces of these articles have been met with; and, of course, the ground between and around the cuttings must be similarly full of them. This alone would prove the existence of a potters’ establishment — both manufactory and village*— at the place; but further proof has been obtained by finding both a piece of moulded and dried (but unburnt) clay in the form of some animal (a child’s toy), and a small round granite stone of a shape still in use by potters when moulding chatties, &c. Many pieces of burnt clay, which apparently formed part of the wall of the kiln, have also been procured.

* "Because they burn their wares in places or halls close to their dwelling-houses they are called Bada Sellayo.” (Upham’s Buddhist Tracts, p. 345.)
This layer follows the slope of the gravel, and with it varies in depth from 6 to 18 feet below the present surface of the ground. At its lowest part it is some four feet in thickness, and at this spot it consists, in one place, of three strata separated by thin layers of soil and sand, and covered in each case by one thickness of broken tiles as though the roof of a shed had fallen in. As, however, no tiles are unbroken, notwithstanding the undisturbed state of the remains, and the pieces composing them are few in number, and are not found together, it is to be presumed that these tiles have only been defective ones which were thrown away. The pottery stratum generally ends abruptly, and is succeeded by earth, vegetable mould, and occasional very thin layers of fine sand, evidently brought down by rains. In this soil are found small scattered bits of chatties and plates, such as the rains might transport from the higher ground, and a good many bones of Sambar deer ("elk") and other animals. This stratum extends to within two or three feet of the present surface, where we again find a layer of pottery without inscriptions, and in such small pieces as to be quite worthless. Only from a few inches to a foot of vegetable soil overlie this layer. In the high-level cutting there is an intermediate stratum of bits of pottery, &c., at a height of three or four feet above the lower stratum, but it is a very thin one.

Clear evidence has been obtained that at least one, but more probably several, smiths' forges were at work close to the potters' village. Many large pieces of scorie from the forges have been encountered in different parts of the high-level channel excavation, as well as a "cold chisel" of iron or steel. At least one article used by a goldsmith has also been procured. Possibly the smiths practised both occupations.

* It is stated in Upham's Mahawansa, p. 130, that Nirmala, the second of Duṭṭhaṅgāmini's heroes, appeared at Māgama "before King Kāwantissa, when he was at the blacksmith's shop, where he had employed a number of backs smiths to make arms." This story is interesting in view of the discoveries.

† "Because they work in copper, brass, and silver, they are called Kammakārayo .........and because they work in gold, they are called Suwannakārayo .........because they work in iron they are called Ayokārayo." (Upham, op. cit., Vol. III, p. 340.)
Besides these, a good number of the tools used by carpenters and stone-cutters have been found, and it is thus plain that, in addition to the potters, a large establishment of other artificers was settled at this place. There is nothing to show that any other persons lived at it. So far as is known, therefore, the whole of the articles found at this site must have belonged solely to people of the labouring classes, and of inferior castes. It is very important to bear this in mind, in view of the evidences of their state of education, and the degree of social comfort attained by them, which are given below.

The general state of most of the articles discovered is wonderfully good. It is difficult to believe that the articles of steel or iron, as well as bones and pieces of charcoal, have been lying buried in the soil during so many centuries. Some pieces of horn and ivory are apparently not as much damaged as they would be by lying for a few months on the ground exposed to the weather; and a few appear to be still as sound as when they were first buried. It is clear from this that most of the things were very quickly covered up; but even when this is granted, it is still surprising that decomposition has proceeded no further. The articles can only have been preserved owing to the efficient drainage through the sub-stratum of 'gravel'; yet some bones, which were in very good condition, seemed to be too high to be affected sufficiently by the drainage.

I must not omit to note that everything included in this report, except the bricks (but including a series of inscribed bricks), will be found among the articles transmitted to the Colombo Museum.

Houses, &c.

The dwellings of these work-people were of a rude sort. About half a dozen of them have been cut through in the high-level channel. They were all partly excavated in the side of the gravel slope, which rose at the back of the potters' working-place. In one or two instances they closely adjoined each other, and in these cases a perpendicular built wall of clay or earth and gravel, about a foot thick, separated the rooms. So far as could be ascertained, the
chambers (probably one to each house) were from 8 to 10 feet across. One was almost in the shape of a horse-shoe. They were about 2 feet 6 inches deep in excavation at the upper side, as shown in the following sketch:

![Diagram](image)

Probably walls of sticks or mud surrounded them, on which a light roof, with a covering of grass, would rest. At the back of one of these rooms, in a small chamber or recess dug out of the gravel, and filled up with ashes, was found a heterogenous collection of articles which appear to have been placed in it for concealment. These consisted chiefly of a number of pieces of iron, which seem to have once formed part of the ironwork attached to a wooden construction—possibly a chariot, together with rivetted iron nails of various sizes. Pieces of decomposed wood still adhere to some of these articles. There were also a kris, a carpenter’s chisel (which may perhaps be taken to indicate the trade of the occupant), bones of cattle, and pieces of chatties and plates, on two of which was engraved a mark, the Swásti monogram, that resembles part of the royal seal, regarding which see below ("Money"). One of the chatties had an inscription round its outside, and the small piece of it, which is preserved, sufficiently proves the antiquity of the remains, although the room, while in the gravel, was not more than six feet below the present surface of the ground. The floors of all the houses were quite level, any small hollows in them being filled up with fine white ashes, with which the whole floor was very thinly covered. Although fires were occasionally made in them, and have left their traces, these rooms were probably used chiefly as dormitories. No seats have been met with. Cooking seems to have been carried on outside, and the sites of many fires
have been observed, the ashes and charcoal being in nearly all cases undisturbed. The charred remains of bones which have been roasted were contained in a few; all the larger ones have been broken, apparently in order to extract the marrow.

Though not belonging to these houses, a good many pieces of tile have been found in this cutting, of a shape long since obsolete, yet a very effective one. Four parallel grooves, which seem to have been made with the fingers, run along one side of the upper surface, the outer one being deeper and wider than the others. At the opposite side, on the under surface, a similar deep groove ran close to the edge of the tile, so that, each tile overlapped and fitted into the groove of the adjoining one on its left side.

This arrangement must certainly have prevented any leakage, while at the same time it was so simple as almost to be worth adoption at the present day, were it not for the thickness of tile which it requires. In the upper part of each tile a hole was made to receive a wooden peg for holding the tile in position. The tiles were of large size, being probably 12 inches long, 7½ inches wide, and from three-quarters of an inch to an inch thick. They all appear to be well burnt. As none of these houses of the workmen, or other dwellings of the poorer classes which have been cut through in another channel, were covered with tiles, although there was a manufactory on the spot, it must be presumed that tiles were employed for roofing only wihāras and the dwellings of the wealthier classes.

No bricks were used in building these houses of the workpeople; but in a series of better-class structures cut through in a high-level channel from the western sluice, all the floors were laid with them. The remains of a dāgaba have been found near this site; so that these may have been wihāras, or other buildings connected with them. As they are part of the subject of this report, I have measured the bricks at the different dāgabas and those found at various ruins in the city of Māgama. The following table gives their mean dimensions; in each case, except where otherwise specified, this is the mean of from 15 to 20 bricks. The
list is arranged according to the probable age of the structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Long Bricks</th>
<th></th>
<th>Dome Bricks</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Breadth</td>
<td>Thick</td>
<td>Contents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>cub. in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maharáma</td>
<td>17'35a</td>
<td>8'84</td>
<td>2'83</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandagiri</td>
<td>17'14d</td>
<td>8'67</td>
<td>2'81</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dágaba near</td>
<td>17'16</td>
<td>9'11</td>
<td>2'85</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high-level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channel...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaṭṭhála</td>
<td>17'285</td>
<td>8'64</td>
<td>2'90</td>
<td>447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mánik</td>
<td>16'57</td>
<td>8'86</td>
<td>2'80</td>
<td>411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mágama city</td>
<td>14'12</td>
<td>7'88</td>
<td>2'34</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dágaba near</td>
<td>12'92b</td>
<td>7'73</td>
<td>2'34</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>river...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a One only.  b Three only.  c Radiated bricks.  d Five only.

The long bricks are termed riyan gadol, “cubit bricks;” they vary much in their character, but those of the Maharáma and Yaṭṭhála dágaba seem to be of the best quality.* All, except those at the dágaba near the river, have been made on boards, and shaped in wooden moulds. Those at the above dágaba have been moulded on the ground. It is interesting to note that the long bricks used in the private dwellings in the city were smaller than those at the other dágabas—an indication of their nearer approach to dimensions afterwards adopted, and thus of their more modern construction. Those which I have termed “dome bricks” were used in the superstructure of the dágaba, but not exclusively, the long bricks being intermingled with them, probably to improve the bond. It will be noticed that the sizes and

* Unlike the Assyrian and Egyptian bricks, the proportions of which are usually such that the breadth equals half the length, while the thickness is one-third of the length, the long bricks at Mágama have a breadth very nearly equal to half their length, but the thickness is only one-sixth of the length. Thus, the mean length for the four oldest dágabas is 17'37 inches, the breadth 8'81 inches, and the thickness 2'85 inches; while the above proportions require a breadth of 8'68 inches, and a thickness of 2'89 inches. (See Appendix, Note 3.)

The mean length of side of the “dome bricks” is approximately two-thirds of the length of the “cubit brick,” a proportion found also in Assyria and Babylonia in the case of square bricks. (Compare History of Ancient Pottery by Dr. Birch, 1873, pp. 11, 77, and 93.) I have met with no dimensions of Indian bricks which are the same as these.
shapes of these bricks varied much more than the others in the different dāgabas. The dāgaba near the river, which will be observed to have bricks of a much smaller size than any of the other dāgabas, is evidently a much more recent structure. This ruin is only 16 feet in outside diameter, having a hearting of ordinary soil or clay, enclosed by brickwork laid in mud, and 2 feet 6 inches thick. These bricks have a peculiar finger mark on one side, also found on some of those in the city, and they are probably of the same age as the latter. The dāgaba is half a mile south of the point where the road to Wirawila crosses the Māgama river.

In an extension of one of the western high-level channels, at a height which proves it to be of much later date than most of the articles included in this report, part of the earthenware lining intended for a well was discovered. It consists of two sections of tubing, 10 inches and 10½ inches deep and 1 inch thick, having a diameter of 2 feet 6½ inches inside. Similar ones are now used in the south and east of the Island, I believe; but the ancient ones differ from them, I am told, in having a projecting lip or flange at the top, on which the upper section could rest. There was no well at the place where these were found. A stone, on which two or three letters of about the 4th or 5th century were cut, was met with at an inferior level, so that this earthenware must be of somewhat later date than that.

*Household Utensils, &c.*

Owing to the presence of an earthenware manufactory on the site where the most extensive cuttings have been made, the collection of household utensils forms a complete series, though unfortunately nearly all the specimens are in small fragments. As a rule, they do not follow the type of articles now made in Ceylon, except in the case of the ‘chatties’, which are, in most respects, the same as those of modern manufacture. The thickness and quality of all the earthenware utensils vary much; but those required for other than rough usage are generally thin and excellently made. As a piece of only one priest’s begging-bowl, pātraya, has been discovered, it is evident that this pottery was almost all intended for the use of members of the laity. The curry-stones which have come to light were all found near the potters’ working place. Nothing of this kind has been met
with among the houses, and in all probability these were broken ones which have been thrown away.

The following is a list of the articles which have been met with in this class:

1. Part of a priest's begging-bowl, 9.5 inches in inside diameter at the rim; thick and heavy, and of inferior quality, but otherwise similar to those now made.

2. Several common bowls of strong, rough, unvarnished, red earthenware. Four specimens measured had mouths averaging 10.8 inches wide, and seem to have been from 3 to 8 inches deep. Similar, but much larger bowls are now used for storing salt-fish at Hambantota, I am told.

3. Many of the common, large, small-mouthed, lipped 'chatties' for holding water. These are of varying shapes, and closely resemble modern ones. A few, however, had much thicker, solid lips, and were clumsy and heavy.

4. Covers for the above (No. 3). These are of many sizes and shapes, but are usually deep, almost cup-shaped, flat-bottomed or nearly so, with a wide horizontal lip which fitted over the lip of the chatty, the body of the cup going inside the mouth of it; some have rounded bottoms. Although I believe that these covers are not now made in Ceylon, I am informed that they are common in some parts of South India.

5. Shallow, small, wide-mouthed, lipped chatties, such as are now in use for cooking purposes, &c. A few letters were cut on the outside of some of these. Nearly all are blackened and polished inside.

6. Hundreds, if not thousands, of broken circular plates, off which rice was to be eaten; mostly of superior workmanship. The bottom of these has a slight upward curve; round the edge stood a thin, usually upright rim like the body of the plate, varying from 1.1 to 1.75 inches, with a mean of 1.5 inch high. This rim is, in many specimens, curved over considerably towards the interior of the plate. Many of the letters to which reference has been made were scratched or engraved on the outside of this rim. These plates varied considerably in size, the inner diameter of several specimens being from 7.2 to 13.2 inches, with a mean of 10.5 inches. Their thickness is about .14 inch. The majority of them have the inside coated with an admirable...
black paint or varnish, which is burnt into the earthenware, and still has a beautiful polish. They are often covered outside with an excellent red varnish, also burnt in.

7. One plate, or circular dish, of a different type, probably intended for use by several people when eating, has a broad upright rim 1.3 inches high, with a nearly flat top. This plate measured 19.2 inches across the inside. It consists of thick, but excellently made, earthenware, with a bright red varnish on both sides. It is about .42 inch thick at the side, and the bottom has a slight upward curve towards the edges.

8. A series of large, nearly flat, plates or circular dishes, intermediate in character and shape between the two last, with broad-topped rims sloping slightly outwards, and averaging .97 inch in height. They are of rougher make than the others, and consist of very strong, well-burnt, red, unvarnished earthenware. Several specimens average 14.78 inches in internal diameter, varying from 13.7 to 16.7 inches, and are about .2 inch thick.

9. Numerous plate-covers, which had rims or flanges projecting downwards, from .54 to 1.15 with a mean of .82 inch deep, to fit outside the rims of the plates. These were nearly flat on the top, being slightly elevated towards the middle in a gradual curve. They vary in size like the plates, the inside diameters of several measured being from 6.5 to 13.2 inches, with a mean of 9.23 inches. These covers are plain and unvarnished on both sides, but are made of good material.

10. Numerous circular trays or dishes of rather thick and not very fine earthenware. The fragments are very small, and it is difficult to ascertain the depths of the trays, but they appear to have varied from half an inch to 3½ inches. Their external diameter was from 7 to 14.75 inches, with a mean, among those measured, of 12.2. The average thickness is .25 inch.

11. Fragments of a few very large, nearly flat, trays of a thick coarse earthenware. Two measured 28.8 inches and 32.6 inches in total diameter, and were .36 inch and .50 inch thick respectively. These were from the excavation near the low-level sluice, but similar fragments have been found in the high-level channel cutting, among the houses.
12. Part of an earthenware kettle, and several spouts broken off others. It does not appear to be quite certain whether water was boiled in these, or whether they held drinking water, which, by means of the spout, could be poured down the throat, according to the practice yet in vogue among the lower classes. Similar articles are still made in some parts of the Island. The spouts or nozzles were straight, and in the form of a truncated cone, pierced with a small cylindrical hole. They stood out at a right-angle from the body of the kettle, at about half its height from the bottom—a position which must have rendered the kettle of little use, one would think.*

13. A few very thin, flat-bottomed, nearly hemispherical, unglazed, earthenware basins or drinking-cups. They were from 2 1/2 to 3 inches deep, and from 4·6 to 7·7 inches in diameter at the mouth, with a thickness of 0·16 inch. Most of them have the inside coated with the black varnish, but one or two of a much rougher make are without it.

14. A small flat-bottomed earthenware saucer, 4·80 inches wide, and one inch deep inside, which was met with 6 feet 5 inches below the surface of the ground in cutting a distributing channel in the paddy field, is perhaps of nearly the same age as the other articles found in the potters' working-place. I am informed that articles of this shape are still in use in some parts of India for holding curry, &c.

15. The top of an unglazed, nearly black, imperfectly-burnt water-goglet, which apparently was much like the better class of goglets now in use.

16. A small earthenware funnel, 3·5 inches across the top. The shape is peculiar, the upper part of the funnel being only 1·5 inch high, and probably 2 inches wide at the bottom. Moulded inside this is another smaller tube to act as the funnel neck. This doubtless projected considerably below the tube of the upper part of the funnel, but it has been broken off.

17. Several very wide tall jars in fragments, very roughly but strongly made, with a very thick solid lip.

* We read in the Rāja Rātnākari, of Kālinga Wijaya Bāhu III. (1285-1266)—"He also caused to be made for each of the said eighty priests a bathing-tub of copper, a kettle for boiling water, and a vessel for drinking water." (Upham's Sacred Books, Vol. II., p. 104.)
These were probably intended for holding paddy or other grains. I am unable to give their full sizes or capacity; but one piece, which exhibits no sign of being very near the top or bottom of the jar, measures two feet in length. This jar must have been at least three feet high; its inside diameter at the mouth is 11'8 inches, and in the widest part of the body it is 22'3 inches across.

18. Portions of two plain earthenware flower-pots, which are tall and unglazed. One is about 5'4 inches wide at the mouth. Both have deep horizontal corrugations in their lower half. They may have been 7 or 8 inches high.

19. A deep, coarse, red, unglazed drinking-cup with a slight lip was (together with the next two articles) found in cutting a distributing channel in the paddy field. The cutting evidently passed through one of the poorest quarters of the town, and there was hardly any trace of the houses, except the thick layers of ashes from their fires and fragments of broken pottery—few in number and coarse in make. I am informed that cups similar in shape to this one are still used by the poorer classes of Southern India for drinking water and other household purposes. This cup measures four inches in width at the mouth and 2'9 inches in outside depth. It is quite inferior in quality to the things found near the low-level sluice, and is undoubtedly of much more recent manufacture. (See my remarks respecting the well lining—which was found near the same site—"Houses," &c.)

20. I can find no name for this article, nor meet with any one who has seen a similar one, or knows its use. It resembles a rough primitive bottle as much as anything; but the bottom is rounded off, and there is a hole through it. The top, too, has a broad horizontal lip of great thickness. There is no neck, but the cylindrical body of the bottle is compressed at the place, and is thus of less diameter than it is lower down. The total height, as the article is at present, is 3'5 inches, and the outside diameter is 2'2 inches. It is a coarse, rough piece of work, quite in keeping with the foregoing. (See Appendix, Note 8.)

21. A kind of chatty, apparently of a very different shape from any others described, was represented by a fragment found near the last two articles. A somewhat similar
one was also met with near the sluice. These chatties seem to have been like an ordinary one with both lip and neck taken off; but both fragments are from the upper part of the body, and it is not certain what was the actual shape. The earthenware is rough and unglazed, but not thick, and the smallest fragment is particularly thin.

22. Although of a much later age than anything else reported on, I include a small, unglazed earthenware saucer-shaped lamp, with a chevron pattern in high relief on the upper surface of the rim, in which is a recess to receive the wick. This was found several feet above the older remains at the low-level sluice, and only about 4½ feet below the present ground-level. It was in a large chatty containing calcined bones, regarding which see below. (“Mode of Burial.”) This lamp measures 3·9 inches across the inside of the cup, and it is 1·2 inch deep inside.

23. To these may be added a small basin-shaped copper vessel, 5 inches in outside diameter, and 1·6 inches high, probably used as a drinking-cup,* which was found about 6 feet below the surface of the ground, in a garden in the paddy field, when the proprietor was sinking a well. It was covered with a "turtle-stone"—a small stone cut in the shape of a turtle—and it contained a chank shell, in which were a few pearls of very small value, and some inferior amethysts, of which specimens handed to me by the finder are included among the articles transmitted to the Museum. It appears to have been buried to secure the safety of the small treasures in it; but as it was 6 feet below the surface it must nevertheless be of great age, possibly not of much later date than the other oldest remains. The ground-level would be raised at this spot much more slowly than near the sluice, and any such treasures would not be buried at a great depth.

24. Several broken curry-stones, of gneiss or granite. As these are in fragments, their dimensions are somewhat uncertain. All stood on four short thick legs, and are well made articles, quite superior to those now in use. The upper stone was of the same material. All these and

---

* "He also supplied them" (the priests) "with another kind of pot for drinking water out of, made of copper." (Upham, loc. cit., Vol. II, p. 111.)
the following articles belong to the oldest stratum of remains.

25. A smaller pair of stones, of exactly similar shape to the above, were probably used for preparing medicines. Stones of this kind, but without the legs, are still employed for this purpose.

26. A knife of iron, in fragments, for cutting up vegetables, &c. Articles of this shape are now in use in some villages, I am told, fixed by the thick end in a sloping position in a piece of board. The blade slopes away from the person using it, who holds the board steady with his feet. The knife is about 1½ inch broad. The blade is straight, and bevelled off straight at the point from the edge to the back.

27. Part of a curved knife, in pieces, may also belong to this class. The cutting edge is the inner one, and the breadth of the blade is 1½ inch. This knife was also used for cutting vegetables, &c.

28. A small earthenware weight and part of another, used for twisting thread. In shape they are elliptic spindles, with a deep broad groove round their middle at the minor axis. The thread being attached to this groove, the weight is made to revolve rapidly, so as to twist the strands of the thread. Another weight used for the same purpose is made of a slightly different pattern.

Tools.

Excluding hammers, of which none have been seen, a good series of tools and implements has been obtained; and nearly all, with the exception of the carpenters' chisels, closely resemble those now in use. Even carpenters' chisels, like those discovered, are still employed in a few of the less-advanced jungle districts. Some of these tools were found scattered singly in the soil, but others were procured, two or three together, near the houses. I discovered a 'jumper,' or chisel for boring wedge-holes in stone, about 14 feet below the surface of the ground, buried under an overturned plate, where its owner had apparently hidden it. The plate was partly exposed in the side of the cutting, and I first bared it in order to find if it was unbroken, which was not the case, though all the fragments were in
Typical Tools
(natural size)

Jumper

Mason's Chisel

Carpenter's Chisels

Axe
situ. On the following day, it struck me as strange that this plate should be 'upside down,' and I therefore returned to the spot, and, on examining the soil immediately under it, found the jumper lying just as it had been deposited 2,000 years ago. Of course it has long been known that in boring the cylindrical wedge-holes commonly preferred by the ancient Sinhalese for splitting building stones, a small jumper—a strong cylindrical chisel with a broad edge—was employed; and it is interesting to see one of the tools which has actually been used for the purpose. The wedge-holes were of two types, cylindrical ones from 1 1/2 inch to 1 1/4 inch in diameter, and from 2 to 3 inches deep, generally bored from 4 1/2 to 6 inches apart, and rectangular ones, having a section of 2 inches by 1 1/2 inch, and also from 2 to 3 inches deep, and, as a rule, the same distance apart as the others. For cutting the latter, the ordinary cylindrical chisels or pointed 'punches' employed in stone-cutting were needed. Two of these have also been discovered. Some of the carpenters' chisels were found at a higher level than the most ancient remains, and are doubtless of more recent date; but as they are of exactly similar shape to the rest, I include them with the others in this report. The tools found are as follows:

1. The jumper above referred to, 5 inches long, made of three-quarter inch iron or steel, with an edge 1 3/4 inch long. The head is splayed out by much hammering. (See illustration at end.)

2. The heads and points of two stone-cutting chisels, exactly like those now made, apparently composed of .7-inch iron. Their heads are hammered down by use, and they have points of the ordinary shape. (See illustration.)

3. Part of an iron wedge, with a side somewhat rounded. This was made of 5 or 6 thin plates of iron welded together, and was about 2 inches broad.

4. An article which may possibly be a small broken trowel of iron or steel. The edges of the blade were slightly curved upwards, after the style of a common gardener's trowel, but in a much less degree. The spike or pin which fitted into the wooden handle is rivetted through the blade. I must say, however, that I feel very much doubt as to this
identification. It is not known that any trowels were used in Ceylon (B.C.), and I think that this may have been a piece of iron which was attached to some woodwork.

5. Two pieces of a long bar of round iron, about three-quarters of an inch thick, may have formed part of a chisel used for cutting stone. The bar appears to have been pointed at one end, and if so, there seems to be no other use to which it could be put.

6. A long chisel, probably belongs to this class, in which case it must have been used for large carvings. It was 1½ inch broad, and 1 inch thick, rectangular in section and straight, with a length of perhaps 10 inches or a foot. The end is broken off. Possibly, however, this tool may have been used by the smiths along with a smaller similar chisel.

More of these tools than of any implements have been found. Although all are broken across, it can be seen that they were of great length (except in the case of the smallest ones), and that they had not wooden handles. I have seen very similar tools used by village carpenters in the North-Central Province, but I imagine that they are now becoming uncommon in this country. Considering the great value which must have been attached to such articles of steel or iron in the early years of Sinhalese history, it may be presumed that many houses were in course of erection at the times when these tools were being lost in such quantity compared with the area explored. They cannot but have belonged to many different men. The chisels are nearly all such strong heavy tools that they could hardly be used for anything but working large pieces of timber, and doubtless their owners were chiefly employed in roofing-work. Most of these certainly belong to the oldest series of remains, but a few are of considerably later date. There is, however, no difference in their general shape, and those found at the greatest height are exact counterparts of those met with immediately over the gravel. All these tools were obtained in the high-level cutting at the sluice near the houses, or, in some instances, in them.

7. The broken iron heads of two axes. One of them is now almost 8½ inches long, and 3 inches broad at its
widest part; the other was 2·6 inches wide. Both were fully three-quarters of an inch thick in the middle. It is easy to be seen that these were made by welding together flat plates of iron of various thicknesses. The larger axe appears to be made of only two plates, the smaller one of about seven very thin plates. These axes had no socket for the handle—(at any rate, there is no sign of one in the pieces which have been found)—and they may perhaps have been fixed to the handle in the same manner as the ancient celts. The edge of the blade of the larger axe is 2·8 inches long. (See illustration.)

8. Three long iron chisels, and part of three others. The largest found measures 7 inches in length, 1½ inch in breadth, and has a cutting edge 1¼ inch long. Another, which now measures 5½ inches in length, may have been nearly as long as the above. Its breadth at its widest part is 1½ inch, at the head less than 1 inch; the edge is also 1½ inch long. At about a quarter of its length from the edge, its thickness is 7 inch. Another chisel is rather lighter in make. All of these chisels have an upper and under face, the former being straight, the latter bevelled, as is usual at present in the case of broad chisels. (See illustration.)

9. A shorter but otherwise similar chisel of iron, now measuring 4·4 inches long, but formerly probably 5½ inches. It has a length of edge of 1½ inch, and a maximum thickness of about three-quarters of an inch. It has a distinct upper and under face, like the others.

10. Two small thin chisels, the longer of which is 3·9 inches in length, the shorter probably not measuring more than 2½ inches. They have a length of cutting edge of 1·1 inch and are only 20 inch and 15 inch thick in the middle, respectively. Of course both sides are alike. These must have been used for delicate work. (See illustration.)

11. A large number of nails and rivets, and plates of iron, which apparently held together a substantial framework of wood,—perhaps one of the war-chariots of the time. These have already been referred to as being concealed at the back of one of the houses. (See "House," &c.) Some of them have fragments of wood (now converted into a yellowish-red, earthy substance) attached to them still.
12. Three stones of different sorts used by the carpenters for sharpening their tools.

13. Of these, only one has been discovered—the round stone—quite similar to those now in use, which was employed in moulding the interior of chatties and pots. From an examination of the pottery, it is certain, however, that numerous stamps or dies were used for stamping patterns on the ware. A careful search was made for these, but without any success. Presumably, they were made of wood, which has rotted away.

14. Although it is quite clear that one or more forges were at work at this spot, only one article has been discovered which can be assigned to these artificers, viz., a short, thick, rectangular chisel, which may have been used as a cold chisel for cutting iron. Part of it is broken off at the head, so that it is impossible to be quite sure of the identification, and, as above stated ("Tools," No. 5), this chisel may have been used for stone-cutting. I may note that these rectangular chisels are made in a peculiar way. Round the piece or pieces of iron forming the heart is wrapped thin plate-iron, and the whole is then welded together. The thickest piece of iron found in any of the tools measures 4 inches. In order to make a chisel more than 1 inch in diameter, it was evidently necessary to increase the thickness in some way which would not permit the component parts to split off under repeated blows; and this device was hit upon.

15. Of these, we have found a stone on which the goldsmith was accustomed to sharpen his tools. It has several narrow grooves in its upper surface, which have been worn in it in this way.

16. I include, also, a piece of deer-horn ("elk"), which evidently formed half of a handle for some tool, which apparently was too thin for any but a goldsmith to use.

There still remain two or three fragments of iron for which I am unable to suggest the use.

**Weapons.**

It was not to be anticipated that among the dwellings
and working-places of artificers and potters any arms would be met with; yet a small but very interesting series has come to light. These were all found in or near the houses. In one case, a spear-head, of a peculiar shape, was lying close to two carpenters' chisels. Hence I conclude that it belonged to their owner. I have already referred to these chisels as being of a later date than the others obtained, and this spear-head must also be of a similar age. From the number of bones of wild animals, specially deer, scattered throughout the excavations, it may be presumed that these weapons were kept chiefly for use in hunting. They are as follows:

1. Two narrow, heavy, unbarbed, spear-heads of iron. The most recent of these has a deep socket of a peculiar shape, resembling a deep longitudinal groove at the head. It is broken across at this point, otherwise the flanges of the socket would probably be found to meet further away from the blade, and thus obtain a firmer hold of the handle. The other spear-head is broken off shorter at the head, otherwise it appears to have had a similar socket. Three other iron articles which have been found seem to be parts of spear-heads resembling these two in shape. (See illustration.)

2. A much lighter unbarbed spear-head of iron, broken off at the stem. It is broader in the blade than those above-mentioned, and evidently had two cutting edges. (See illustration.)

3. An iron javelin-head of the conventional type. (See illustration.)

4. I include next, but very doubtfully, two pieces of iron which seem to have been parts of two daggers or dagger-like knives. The fragments are too small and worn to enable me to feel any sort of confidence in this identification, and I merely include these articles here because I cannot see what other use could be made of them.

5. An iron kris, broken across near the handle, has already been mentioned as having been procured with other iron articles in one of the houses. The blade is now 5½ inches long and one inch wide at the top. It has the bends peculiar to this weapon.
6. No sword has been discovered; but, judging by what is manifestly a careful drawing of one on a piece of pottery, I may mention that the straight cross-hilt stood out at a right-angle from the hilt, and that the blade was somewhat narrow near it, but much broader at about two-thirds of its length from the hilt, tapering again towards the point. The blade has a very slight upward or backward curve, like a scimitar, but the back is bevelled off straight towards the edge at the point. According to the illustration, the weapon appears to have been a heavy one, capable of dealing a severe stroke. The hilt appears to be quite long enough for the sword to be a two-handed one; but the general proportions rather give the effect of a single-handed sword. In general shape it reminds one forcibly of the short but effective Roman sword. (See illustration.)

Food.

By an examination of the numerous bones distributed through the cuttings, it has been ascertained that the people lived largely upon Sambar deer, or "elk," Cerbus aristotelis; and as these bones are usually blackened and burnt, it may be presumed that the meat was often roasted. Other animals eaten were the axis, or spotted deer, Cerbus axis; buffaloes, which, from the large size of the teeth, seem to have been wild ones; more rarely wild pigs; and the large monkey (wandurā), Semnopithecus priamus, Blyth, of which last a skull split in two, as though to extract the brain, has been found. The curry-stones and numerous plates show that rice was a staple article of food, as at present; but even these artificers were evidently to some extent hunters who subsisted partly on the spoils of the chase. Of domestic animals no bones but those of cattle and dogs have been observed.

Playthings and Toys.

It seems strange that any of these should be forthcoming after a lapse of 2,000 years, and it may prove a surprise to many to learn that the familiar game of "marbles" was not unknown to the early inhabitants of Ceylon. Yet there is indisputable evidence that they were accustomed to amuse themselves with this and with another game described below.
1. Marbles. These were made of both stone and earthenware, and were about the same size as those of the present day. Those made of stone, of which three have been obtained, are well polished and spherical. They have a segment cut off so as to leave a flat base on which they might rest, while others forming complete spheres were projected from the fore-finger to strike them. One which is made of earthenware is quite superior in make to those which children have in England.

2. Many thin earthenware disks, of varying sizes, have been unearthed. These were used in a well-known game, now called *nala-salli*, “hole-money.” In this game a straight line about three feet long is drawn on the ground, and opposite the middle of it, and a few inches beyond it, a small cup-shaped hole is made. The players, two or more in number, take their stand at a mark 10 or 12 feet away, and each in turn pitches a disk at the hole. The player whose aim is best now takes in his hand all the disks which have been thrown, and tosses all of them together at the hole. Then, with a larger and heavier disk, he must next, while standing at the mark, hit one of the pieces which the other players select for the purpose among those lying round the hole and beyond the line. Should he do so, he again tosses all the disks together at the hole, and those which fall in it become his property. The next player then proceeds with the play in a similar manner, making use of the disks which have not been won by his predecessor. This is still a very common and well-known gambling game; it is now usually played with money, as its modern name indicates. In ancient times it must have been immensely popular, for these disks have been found in all our cuttings, and some of them are well worn. They have also been met with in the stratum near the surface of the ground. The disks are usually a little more than an inch in diameter, but some are much larger.

3. A rough representation of some quadruped moulded in clay but not burnt, and considerably mutilated, was evidently intended as a child’s toy.

4. An article of earthenware on four very short legs, having a flat top decorated with diagonal and parallel lines, may have been made as a child’s toy couch.
5. A small cowry, with a design engraved on its upper surface, may perhaps have been used as a toy, unless it was a medium of exchange.

6. A solid earthen disk or wheel 2·85 inches in diameter, with a cylindrical hole in the centre, has apparently belonged to a small toy cart. The mark of the axle is to be seen on one side of the disk.

**Personal Ornaments.**

These consist chiefly of beads of various kinds, to which reference is again made below, and parts of necklaces; but one or two other articles have been procured. Nearly all these articles were found among the houses, and, with one exception, they certainly belong to the oldest remains. The exception is a broken glass bangle found with other things in cutting a channel in the paddy field. (See "Household Utensils," No. 19.)

1. Two small copper bells.* Similar ones of silver are now worn by small children.

2. Three plain straight copper hair-pins, 2½ inches long and about 1·14 inch in diameter at the middle, nearly cylindrical, but thicker at one end than the other. These were used for passing through the knot at the back of the head.

3. One hair-pin of ivory, 2·9 inches long and 3·0 inch thick in the middle. This is notched on opposite sides to prevent it from slipping out.

4. Many circular, bright red, well-polished disks, both whole and in pieces, which formed part of necklaces. They have a circular hole in the centre for stringing them on the necklace, and they average about 3·4 inch in diameter, but vary from 5·2 inch to 13 inch. Mr. A. C. Dixon, B.Sc., of Colombo, has been kind enough to examine some of these, and he reports them to consist of silicate of alumina.

5. Beads of several kinds. Of course the majority are

---

* In the procession at the dedication of the sacred ground at Auru-rádhapura, it is stated that "gorgeous flags tinkling with the bells attached to them" were carried. (Māh., p. 99.)

Also, in the description of Duṭṭhagāmīni’s throne in the Lohapáśāda, it is said that "at the points of the canopy were suspended a row of silver bells." (Māh., p. 164.)
corals;* but a red carnelian with flat sides, a tourmaline (identified by Mr. Dixon) of clear amber-like colour, and of oval section longitudinally; an admirably cut and polished spherical carnelian, and three small blue glass beads of a cylindrical shape, have been obtained, in addition to a large spherical bead of jade, and a cylindrical bead of the silicate of alumina. All are pierced for stringing.

6. A well-made but thick finger-ring of jade, which unfortunately crumbled away on being taken up, appeared from its size to have been worn by a woman.

7. Several other small pieces of jade have been found, but as they are only in fragments their uses cannot be ascertained. Mr. Dixon has examined some of these, and confirmed the identification.

8. Part of a black glass bangle, flat inside, 18 inch broad, 12 inch thick, and having an internal diameter of 1.94 inch, was met with in the distributing channel in the paddy field, to which previous reference has been made. ("Household Utensils," No. 19.) Glass bangles, like this one, are now worn in South India, I am told.

Money.

The discovery of nine different copper coins is among the most interesting facts connected with these researches. Five of them at least are new to collectors; and their value and rarity may be surmised when it is stated that the oldest specimen goes back to a date quite 1,300 years beyond the earliest coins previously identified in the Island, namely, those of Parâkrama Bâhu I. (1153 to 1186 A.D.) Three, if not four, of the other coins are of not very much later date. There can be no doubt as to the antiquity of the oldest coin met with. I myself was present when it was

* When Dutthagâmíni was about to build the Ruwanwelí dàgaba, the architect, in order to provide the king with a graphic illustration of the shape in which he intended to build it, is described as causing a bubble to rise in a golden basin of water—"a great globule, in the form of a coral bead." (Mah., p. 175.)

The story is most improbable, the Thúpâráma being already in existence near the site, as a model for the new dàgaba, but it is interesting as showing the early use of coral beads in Ceylon.

At Mah., p. 164, there are also mentioned a pair of Dutthagâmíni's slippers ornamented with beads.
found in the low-level cutting near the sluice in the lowest
part of the bottom (or pottery) stratum, fully 18 feet under-
ground. Another coin, No. 2, was afterwards picked up in
the high-level cutting from the same stratum, but not from
the bottom of it.

The description of the coins is as follows:—

1. An oblong copper coin, 1·14 inch long, 46 inch broad,
and weighing 52½ grains.

Obverse. A full-length standing figure of a man, looking
to the front. The left hand rests on something represented
by three upright lines. Around and over the head runs a
wavy line, which may perhaps indicate the royal umbrella.
The right forearm seems to be turned upwards. The legs
are slightly apart, and the feet turned outwards. There
appears to be a tunic, which extends to the upper part of
the thighs. The whole figure is well-proportioned and
somewhat graceful.

Reverse. More indistinct than the obverse, but it con-
tains a symbol in relief which appears to resemble that on
the other coins found. This consists of two lines in the
upper part of the coin, one vertical and the other horizontal,
crossing each other at a right-angle. The ends of these
lines are bent at a right-angle to the right (beginning from
the top, and following the hands of a watch). This first
part of the symbol has been found engraved on two pieces
of pottery also.* The rest of the symbol is as follows:—
The vertical line is produced downwards for a distance equal
to about half its length, when it meets another line running
horizontally across the lower part of the coin. From this
latter line, on each side of the central produced line, spring
two upright lines which rise to about one-third of the height
of the central line. The whole figure is thus symmetrical.
Below the horizontal base line there usually runs one wavy
line. The symbol cannot be properly distinguished on this
special coin, but part of it can be made out, and as it is
found on all the other ancient coins, it was probably similar
on this one.

* This is the "Svâsti" ormonogram of the word Ścaśti. (Report of
Archaeological Survey of India, Vol. V., p. 177, illustrated in plate
XLII.)
On the left half of the coin there are some indistinct marks or letters in relief, which may be presumed to be the name of the sovereign, but I am unable to decipher them. The lowest letter seems to be the anusvāra dot or bead.

2. An oblong copper coin, 1.18 inch long, .46 inch broad, and weighing 44 grains.

*Obverse.* A standing full-length figure of a man, looking to the front. The figure is in fictitious relief by the background's being sunk, as though stamped; no part of the coin, however, rises above the general level of the edges. Round, and over the head, is a circlet, as in the last coin. The arms hang down on each side, and the legs are slightly apart, with the feet turn outwards. The two triangular spaces at each side of the head—between that and the arms and the side of the coin—are filled with an ornamental winding design. Filling up the space between the feet is a small triangular relief. A horizontal bar runs below the feet. There is something which I am unable to distinguish on each side of the legs. The figure is not quite so graceful as the former, and the arms are less natural in appearance; the shoulders are also narrow, but in other respects the figure is well proportioned.

*Reverse.* The same symbol as on the last coin. In the spaces to left and right of it, between the horizontal arms and the vertical side-bars, there are four (or perhaps five) letters in relief, which appear to form the name of the king. Two are on each side of the central vertical bar, those on the left being written vertically, and those on the right horizontally. The characters are clearly those of the Asoka inscriptions, and they seem to have been well made, and very distinct; but, owing to the wearing away of the coin, and to its bending near them, it is difficult to decipher them. I doubtfully identified those on the left as *Raja*, and those on the right as *Aba*. If so, the left ones read from the bottom upwards, and the others from left to right. We know that the lettering was very irregular on the most ancient coins. On the inscribed coins found at Eran by General Cunningham, the letters read in one case from right to left, and in two others from left to right.

3. An oblong copper coin, 1.22 inch long, .50 inch wide, and weighing at present 41 grains. A small flake,
which has been broken off the reverse face, would bring up the total weight to about 43 grains.

Obverse.—A standing full-length figure of a man, facing to the front, but looking towards his right. The usual circlet or raised bar passes round and over the head, and it has four outward equidistant bosses or projections. A horizontal bar passes across over the head, and the upper corners of the coins, at each side of the head, are filled with a raised design. The arms hang down at the sides, and the legs are slightly apart, the feet being turned half-outwards. Two upright bars stand at each side, as high as the middle of the thighs. The figure is moderately well-proportioned.

Reverse.—The same symbol as on the other coins, with two horizontal raised bars below it instead of one. There are no letters on this coin.

This coin was found at a slightly higher level than the preceding one, and above the pottery stratum. Unfortunately it is broken in two.

4. An oblong copper coin, 1·20 inch long, 0·55 inch wide, and weighing 35 grains. This coin is much corroded, but is otherwise in fair condition.

Obverse.—The full-length figure of a man looking to the front, with the arms hanging down near the sides, and the feet somewhat apart, the toes being turned half-outwards. The figure is slender, and very narrow-waisted; but as regards longitudinal dimensions, it is not badly proportioned. Round and over the head is the usual circlet—about two-thirds of a circle—springing from the shoulders. Over this is a horizontal bar, separated from the border rim by a sunk channel of similar width. This border runs round three sides of the coin, being absent at the feet, and is flat and rather broad for a coin of this size. The spaces between the figure and the border, and between the legs as high as the calves, are partly filled up with simple winding tracery.

Reverse.—The symbol found on the other coins, but made in a slightly different manner, the bends at the ends of the cross-bars being turned in the opposite direction—that is, the top one turns to the left, and the rest are similarly reversed. Below the horizontal base line of this symbol there are two waving parallel lines, instead of one.
On each side of the vertical bar, and above the short upright side-bars, there appear to be letters; but they are so indistinct that I have been unable to decipher any of them. The first one, the upper letter on the left side, seems to resemble the first letter on coin No. 2.

This coin was met with in widening a high-level channel from the west sluice near the ruins of a small dagāba marked on the plan. I have already mentioned that there were some better-class dwellings along this channel. Their floors were cut through at depths varying from two to five feet below the present ground-level, and it was among the deeper ones that this coin was found. Several fragments of pottery discovered at the site are quite similar to those unearthed at the potters' establishment on the opposite side of the Tissa tank; and, so far as one can judge from the general nature of the remains, their depth below the surface, the character of the pottery, and especially the sizes of the bricks (which are almost exactly the same as those of the Mahārāma, and might have been made with the same moulds), these ruins are at least as old as those found in cutting out the site for the new sluice.

5. A roughly circular copper coin, having a mean diameter of about 1·27 inch, and a weight of 220 grains. This coin has, unfortunately, been badly stamped, so that part of the design is omitted on both faces. As a result of this, however, it can clearly be seen that two dies have not been exactly opposite, from which it is probable that the copper disk was laid on a die, and impressed by blows on another die held by the hand. The design on the reverse face seems to have been afterwards cut out more deeply by hand. The designs on both faces are brought into relief by sinking the back-ground.

Obverse.—The design is surrounded by two parallel circular lines, 10 inch apart, having between them an intermediate line, broken, in one part, by a series of dots, and perhaps similarly broken on the opposite side, which is missing in this specimen. About three-quarters only of the design on this face can be seen, the rest, owing to the irregularity of the stamping, having missed the disk.

In the right-hand lower corner is the side-view of a well-shaped elephant in relief, facing to the left, with extended
tail. Above the elephant, to the left, is what I take to be a representation of the sacred bó branch, growing out of a rectangular frame, or surrounded by a fence which has bars crossing from the middle of each side. On each of the upper corners of this frame is a dot or bead, which may be intended to represent a fruit, with two leaflets springing from it. (The eight minor bó trees each bore two fruits, *Mah.*, p. 120.) The tree consists of a substantial upright stem, from the sides of which diverge two lateral alternate branches (instead of five, as stated at *Mah.*, p. 113). The stem and branches each bear three leaves at their extremities—one being at the end, and opposite ones at each side.*

To the right of this branch, at the top of the design, under the rim, is the symbol which I have already described on coin No. 1. Between this and the branch are three circular dots, while another dot is found at its right lower corner near the rim. Between the "Śvāstika" symbol and the elephant’s back, there are two peculiar symbols—that on the left much like a sextant, an isosceles triangle lying on its side, with a vertical cross-bar at the apex, which is towards the left; that on the right like a double eye-glass more than anything else, resting on a line which cuts off the bottoms of the two circles.

*Reverse.*—The design is surrounded by a single flat rim. About three-quarters of this design, also, can alone be clearly seen. The design has evidently been improved by cutting out a shallow trench round the outlines. An imaginary horizontal diameter will divide the symbols on this face into two groups—three above it, and one below it.

In the middle of the upper half is a very clear representation of the peculiar "Śvāstika" symbol found on the other old coins, in broad high relief, at the right upper corner of which are three circular dots. The design at the left is very indistinct, but three similar dots were probably symmetrically arranged there also, under which was a symbol that I have failed to distinguish. To the right of the symbol first described, below the three dots, and

* Compare *Report on Archaeological Survey of India*, Vol. X., p. 79, and plate XXIV., where very ancient punch-marked and die-struck coins are described and figured, having a facsimile of this bó tree on them, the seedlings alone being perhaps absent.
extending to the rim, is an outline like a second or third century M, from which, however, it differs slightly, so that it cannot, with certainty, be stated to be that letter. It consists of two inclined straight bars crossing each other a little above their middle, and having their tops and bottoms joined by horizontal lines, which are about half the length of the bars. At the crossing-place is a similar horizontal longer bar, while another short horizontal one, like the earliest form of attached u, is found on the right side. As the second or third century u is written below the consonant, this symbol is perhaps not intended to represent a letter. It may, however, be the "Aum" monogram of the time.

In the middle of the lower half of this face, below the imaginary diameter, is the same double-eye-glass symbol as on the other face, but much larger, on each side of which are three circular dots in relief.

6. A circular (?) copper coin with a raised rim on both faces, .65 inch in diameter and weighing 26 grains.

Obverse. The head and shoulders of a man, in profile, looking towards the left. The whole is in high relief. At the back of the head, under the rim, there are several letters in relief, which are either Greek or Roman, but they are too indistinct to be deciphered.

Reverse. An exceedingly graceful, well-proportioned, full-length, small, standing figure of a man, looking to the front, and resting his weight on the right leg. In his right hand, which is extended outwards from the elbow, he holds a wreath, probably. The other arm is partly extended, and the hand apparently rests on a spear. Under the rim, to the left of the figure, are several letters, which I am unable to decipher.

So far as I am aware, the only coins which closely resemble this are the Macedonian coins issued during the reigns of the Emperors Nero (54–68), Vespasian (69–79), and Domitian (81–96). But all those at the British Museum are considerably larger than this one. (Brit. Mus. Cat., Coins of Macedonia, pp. 27, 28.) The coin was found at the sluice-cutting, about three feet above the pottery stratum, together with the following coin No. 7, and it was nearly at the same level as No. 3, but slightly higher.
7. A circular copper coin without rim, \( \cdot 50 \) inch in diameter, and weighing 23 grains.

Obverse. The head and shoulders of a man, in profile, looking towards the right. The whole is in high relief. On his head is a helmet or cap, which does not cover the ear. There is something in relief in front of the face, which may possibly be some letters; but, if so, I am unable to distinguish them.

Reverse. Three full-length standing figures of nymphs in a row, in very low relief. The middle one is shorter than the others. All appear to be facing to the front.

The three nymphs are characteristic of the Greek coins of Apollonia. Coins of this type were issued during the first century B.C., and in the time of the Emperor Commodus, the latter ones, however, being twice the size of this coin. (Brit. Mus. Cat., Coins of Thessaly to Aetolia, pp. 61, 63.)

8 A circular copper coin, \( \cdot 60 \) inch in diameter, and weighing 31 grains. This coin is very much defaced, and little can be made out with certainty regarding it. On the obverse, there is the head and bust of a man, half turned to the right, with the face in profile. He wears a tunic which is opened at the throat. There appears to be an undecipherable legend under the rim.

On the reverse there is an indistinct design in relief, and a legend under the rim.

9. A copper coin, intended to be circular, having a mean diameter of about \( \cdot 53 \) inch and a weight of 13\( \frac{1}{2} \) grains. This is a very puzzling coin, and I am unable to suggest even its nationality. It has been badly stamped, so that the designs on the two faces are not opposite each other.

Obverse. The coin has a low flat rim in fictitious relief. The head and shoulders of a man, in profile, facing the right, having a circlet above his forehead confining his hair, which is very long. The execution is very rough, and the distinguishing characteristic of the features is the enormous nose. In front of the face are four nearly equidistant dots arranged parallel to and near the rim.

Reverse. Much defaced. This face is occupied by symbols which appear to be two letters, one of them bearing a close resemblance to a Kanarese attached letter (\( bha \)).
The other letter has the form of the Asoka n; but there are
two small circles in relief at the sides of the vertical line
of the letter.

From the roughness of the design and execution, and the
long hair of the king, as well as from the letters on the
reverse, I conclude that this coin is a South-Indian one.
As it was found near the last described coin, in the cutting
for the new sluice, its date is probably not later than the
first or second century A.D. Both of these coins were just
over the gravel, but not at the spot where the pottery
stratum was cut through.

The coins numbered 1, 2, 3, and 4 evidently represent the
same value; but they are of different reigns. It seems to
me exceedingly probable that in these we have at last, if
not the often-mentioned Sinhalese copper kahápana (kaha-
wana, Sinh.) of the Páli works, at any rate one of its subdivi-
sions. For an exhaustive discussion regarding the kahápana,
reference should be made to Prof. Rhys Davids’ work on the
Ancient Coins and Measures of Ceylon, 1877 (p. 3 and ff.).
Compare also Report on Archaeological Survey of India,
Vol. X., pp. 79 to 81.

I extract, however, two notes from the former work
regarding the kahápana:

“Its size and shape are uncertain; but this at least can be said,
that the sculptor of the bas-reliefs at Bárahát (who cannot have
lived more than a century later than the compiler of the Dham-
mapada), makes them square.” (P. 4).

“We have, therefore, no evidence in Buddhist literature that in
Mágadha before the time of Asoka, or in Ceylon before the fifth
century A.D., there were any coins proper, that is, pieces of
inscribed money struck by authority. On the other hand, we
have no statements inconsistent with the existence of such coinage,
and we have sufficient evidence that pieces of metal of certain
weights, and probably marked or stamped by the persons who
made them, were used as a medium of exchange, and that some
common forms of this money had acquired recognized names.”
(P. 13.)

Up to the time of Mahánáma, I have met with only two
references in the ancient histories to money employed in
Ceylon, which was clearly said to be of gold or silver. One,
quoted by Prof. Rhys Davids, states that Duṭṭhagámíni
deposited 8 lacs of *hiraṇṇas* at each of the four gates of the Lohapāsāda (*Mah. p. 163*); and the other mentions the gift of 200,000 silver pieces (*rūpiyā*) to the priesthood, by Abhaya, son of Sirināga, 231—239 A.D. (*Dip., xxii. 37*).

In all other cases previous to Mahānāma’s time, in which allusion is made to money in Ceylon, there is not only nothing to show that kahāpanas of copper were not referred to, but there is often good reason for thinking that copper alone was intended to be understood. Gold and silver were doubtless used much more freely in India than in Ceylon, both as mediums of exchange and for decorative purposes. This is evident when it is remembered that gold does not now exist in any but small quantities in Ceylon, and that silver is still more rare. We cannot assume that the greater part of these metals has been washed out of the quartz before our time. It seems to be very unlikely, too, that gold was really made use of in the instance above referred to. The money was to be given to the labourers employed in building the Lohapāsāda, and it can hardly be supposed that they would be paid in gold.* In this case, *hiraṇṇa* may very possibly be an interpolation of Mahānāma’s. Excluding this one instance, then, there is nothing whatever to indicate that up to the third century A.D. any but copper money was in large circulation in this country. When it is named, this money is always called kahāpana. Seeing, therefore, that two of the oblong coins certainly date from before Christ, and that another (No. 4) most probably does, that no copper coins but kahāpanas or parts of kahāpanas are known to have existed in Ceylon at that time, and that the Indian kahāpana has been represented by a pre-Christian sculptor as a rectangular coin, I conclude that we have at last obtained specimens of one of the subdivisions of the Sinhalese kahāpana.

---

*I should note, however, that in one of the Mihintale inscriptions, which Dr. Müller attributes to Kassapa V. (937 to 954 A.D.) it is ordained that the workmen at the Ambasthala Wihāra were to be paid in “kalandas” of gold. This may merely refer to the amount of their pay; it does not necessarily indicate that gold was actually paid to the men. In any case, it would of course be their yearly wages, and not pay given to them for short periods of service, as would be the case at the Lohapāsāda (*Ancient Inscriptions, No. 114*.) See Appendix, Note 4.*
The three oblong coins first described were found in the potters' working-place, and not at the houses occupied by the other work-people. From this it may be inferred that they belonged to the potters, or, at any rate, that the two oldest of them did. Proof that these potters possessed such money has been obtained by the discovery of two accurate drawings of the symbol on the reverse side of the coins, scratched on two fragments of pottery taken out of the lowest stratum. I think that there cannot be much chance of error in assuming this symbol to be a representation of the royal seal or mark (lakuna) of the time; but what it really meant originally will probably never be known. Is it possible that the central vertical line, with its cross-bar, the svāsti monogram, can be intended to represent the symbol on the royal standard, while the four lateral verticals symbolise the four descriptions of troops surrounding it — elephants, chariots, cavalry, and foot-soldiers? This seems far-fetched, but I am unable to suggest any better explanation. I may mention here, as an interesting fact in connection with these coins, that two accurate copies of the symbol are to be found on the rocks at Gal-lena Wihāra, in the North-Western Province. There are five inscriptions on the rocks, all purporting to be cut by Tissa, son of the Mahārāja Gāmanī Abhaya. The symbol is cut at the end of two of these, together with another unexplained mark. For purposes of comparison I give sketches of all of these seals or diagrams:

On coins Nos 1, 2, 3, 5.
On coin No. 4.
On pottery.
On rock at Gal-lena.

Dr. Müller has stated that the Gal-lena inscriptions at the end of which the marks are cut, are of considerably later date than another one of the five, which he has transcribed and translated (Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, text, p. 25), and which apparently belongs to Mahācūla Tissa, son of Watṭagāmini. Without entering into this (although the existence of this mark after them renders it unlikely
that they should be forgeries, and the inscription from which
this seal is copied contains the aspirated \(bh\), I may point
out that even if they belong to the second century A.D.,
their age will not affect the date of the coins on which the
same symbol is found. The design was repeated on these
coins for a long period after Christ.

The fact of this symbol’s being thus cut on the Gal-lena
rocks appears to me to be decisive as to the country to
which the coins bearing it belong. A design borrowed from
a foreign coin would be quite meaningless at the end of an
inscription in Ceylon, purporting to record a royal gift;
and it seems probable that the mark or symbol was either
copied from a Sinhalese coin, or was well-known as the
royal seal. The great numbers of the kahápanas mentioned
in the Mahávaṃsa also prove (if the statements are to be
believed), that coining was carried on in the Island. We
can hardly assume that coins of Indian origin were in such
profusion in Ceylon.

It needs merely a glance at these ancient coins and the
later Sinhalese coins (or the beautiful photographs of them
in Professor Rhys Davids’ volume), to convince any one of
the immense falling off—both in drawing and engraving the
design on the money—which had taken place by the
middle of the 12th century. While the figure of the
monarch on the recent coins is almost more like a quadruped
than a biped—not to mention a king—the representation
of the sovereign on all these old coins is well-proportioned,
and, to a considerable extent, graceful. This difference in
the appreciation of the proportions of the human figure, and
in the ability to transfer this appreciation to the design on
the coins, indicates the lapse of a very long interval of time
between the latest specimen of the oblong money (No. 3)
and the earliest specimen of more modern money, the
‘Laṅkeswara’ coin of Parákrama Báhu. The difference in
the two coins is too great to be bridged over by a less period
than many centuries; and this is confirmed by the relative
position in which the ancient coin was discovered.

On a review of the whole available evidence, and especially
remembering the position of one coin in the very bottom of
the lowest stratum of the remains, it seems to me that, in the
present state of our knowledge of Sinhalese numismatics,
the nearest approach which can be made to the ages of the money will be to consider the oldest oblong coin to date from at any rate not later than the early part of the second century B.C. Possibly it dates from the time of Mahânâga himself. The other oblong coins, Nos. 2 and 4, are probably of but slightly later date than No. 1; and they cannot be assumed to be later than the end of the second century B.C.* The last oblong coin, No. 3, may perhaps belong to the early part of the first century A.D., or otherwise to the latter part of the preceding century. Its age cannot be assumed to be less than this, if a Greek coin, No. 6, found above it, was deposited during the first century after Christ.

With regard to the large circular coin, No. 5, it must (until more is known of these ancient coins) be presumed to be Sinhalese. The presence on it of the Bo-branch and the elephant might not alone justify this belief; but the addition of the symbol found on the other four ancient coins, on the Mâghtamâ pottery, and on the Gal-lena rocks, affords what seems to me to be conclusive proof of its accuracy. This coin was met with by my men in cutting a channel at Ellagala, at a point three miles in a direct line from the site of the other remains, so that its relative position affords no clue to its age. The representation of the Bo-branch indicates a time when Buddhism had not begun to languish in Ceylon; and while the presence of the seal appears to show that the coin is not very far removed in age from the oldest money yet found, the marked difference in shape, design, and execution between the oblong and round coins must be taken to prove the lapse of a considerable period between the two styles. In this case, the date of this circular coin may perhaps be the second or third century A.D., but this can only be a matter of conjecture. It is quite possible that this and the latest oblong coin are nearly contemporaneous.

It is interesting to note that the weight of the heaviest of the older coins is very nearly equal to one-fourth of the weight of this one, the former being 52 1/2 grains, while a quarter of the weight of the latter is 55 grains. It can hardly be supposed that this agreement is accidental,

---

* In this case the coin No. 2 may possibly belong to Duṭṭhagâmini. He is termed simply Abhaya, at Mahâ, p. 97; Dip. 18, 53.
and if not, it is just possible that this round coin is the kahápana; in which case the oblong coins are quarter kahápanas. It seems likely that in earlier times both the kahápana and its subdivisions were all oblong in Ceylon—the shape being perhaps borrowed from the Far East—and that in later years the more convenient round form was adopted for them, copied perhaps, from the Greek money which was already in circulation here, or from Greek money introduced into India.

With so great a difference as 17½ grains between the weights of two specimens out of four of the presumed quarter kahápana—one coin weighing only two-thirds of the other—it may be imagined that the difference was still more striking in other instances. The surprise which the Sinhalese King expressed to the freedman of Annius Plocamus at the accuracy of the weights of the Roman money can therefore be easily understood. Such an incident is scarcely one that would be invented, and proof is now afforded of the truthfulness of the statement made by the ancient traveller.*

* "Stupuisse seilicit regem pecuniam quaem ipso capta fuerat, quòd tametsi signata disparibus foret vultibus, parem tamen haberat modum ponderis." (Polyhistor, of Solinus, cap. LVI., De Taprobane Insulâ, ed. H. Stephanus, 1577, p. 100.)
be seen only on the ground-work or unelevated portion of the design. It was hoped that some example of painting on pottery might come to light (Mah., p. 99); but, with the exception of the common black varnish, all search proved unsuccessful.

Iron.—From the large number of nodules of kidney iron met with throughout the sluice excavations, it is to be presumed that the iron used for making the axes and other tools was smelted on the spot, probably by the smiths themselves. The iron is so nearly pure that this would present no difficulty, and the nodules could be picked out of the underlying decomposed gneiss or gravel, which is extremely ferruginous.

Copper.—Working in copper had arrived at considerable perfection. This is shown by the oblong coins, and also by the small bells and the copper drinking cup already described. It is clear that coining must have been practised for a long period before it could reach such an advanced stage. The earliest coins found are removed by centuries from the rude forms of money which must primarily have been adopted, and it seems to be likely that some kind of royal mint had long been established in Ceylon. It cannot be supposed that any but skilled workmen could produce such money, or that any one who wished to do so would be permitted to stamp the royal seal on it, even if he were able to engrave the stamps or dies for the two faces of the coin.

Gems, Corals, Glass, &c.—The tourmaline and carnelian beads met with in our excavations, and the amethysts found both there and in the copper-vessel, prove that gemming was regularly practised, and that the art of cutting, drilling, and polishing such stones in the form of beads was far from its infancy, and was as well understood as at present.† (Mah. p. 51.) The presence of these beads among the remains shows that there is nothing improbable in the

* The execution of these coins is far in advance of the early coins found by General Cunningham at Eran. (Report, Arch. Survey of India, Vol. X., p. 77.)

† The beautiful form of the carnelian bead can have been obtained only by means of a lathe. It is as perfect a sphere as could be turned out of a modern workshop, and probably it was polished with pumice.
account of Duṭṭhagāmini's decorations at the Lohapāsāda:—"All these apartments were highly embellished; they had festoons of beads, resplendent gems. The flower ornaments appertaining thereto were also set with gems, and the tinkling festoons were of gold." (Mah. p. 163; compare Dip. xi., 20; see also Appendix.)

Besides these beads, a large, inferior, uncut amethyst, and pieces of chalcedony, carnelian, and rock-crystal in the rough state, have been discovered in the cutting near the sluice. I believe that none of these stones are found in the neighbourhood of Tissawæwa.

It may be of interest, for the sake of comparison, to enumerate the stones now worked in Ceylon; and Mr. Hayward, of Colombo, the representative of Mr. Streeter, the well-known dealer in precious stones, has been kind enough to furnish me with a list of those met with by him, as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sapphire</th>
<th>Spinel</th>
<th>Garnet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruby</td>
<td>* Tourmaline</td>
<td>Jacinth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Amethyst</td>
<td>Aquamarine</td>
<td>Jargon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat's-eye</td>
<td>* Rock-crystal</td>
<td>* Selenite.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandrite</td>
<td>* Chalcedony (rare)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Chrysoberyl</td>
<td>Star-stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of these, six marked (*) have been discovered at Tissamahārāma.

The coral from which the beads are made may have been obtained round the southern coast. Without doubt great numbers of these small beads were overlooked in our excavations; and it is certain that when so many were in the possession of men of the lower castes, the collection of the coral and its cutting and boring must have been an industry of some importance. (Mah. p. 168; Dip. xx., 13.)

Of the flat disks composed of silicate of alumina, and belonging to necklaces, we have found no less than 70, either whole or broken. That so many were lost by these people is a proof of their abundance, and almost a proof that they were a local production. This manufacture shows, almost more plainly than anything else, the great attention paid in early times to the making of articles for personal adornment.
No pearls were found in the excavations; but part of one of the valves of a pearl-oyster shell has been obtained near the Tissa sluice, and there were several small pearls in the copper vessel already mentioned. One of these pearls was split in two for setting—a practice still in vogue, although its antiquity may not have been previously known. These pearls were perhaps procured not very far from Mágama. I have picked up a complete shell of a young mussel (the valves not separated) at Hambantotta.

One small piece of gold-leaf, perhaps from a necklace or ornament* of very delicate workmanship, is the sole representative of this metal.

Glass.—The discovery, among the oldest remains, of three small blue glass beads—perforated longitudinally for stringing on a necklace—as well as a small fragment of broken glass, is another of the interesting experiences of these researches. There is, of course, nothing to show that these were made in the Island; but glass is mentioned as forming part of the decorations in Dewánam-piya Tissa's dedication procession at Anurádhapura (Mah. p. 99); and Saddhátissa is reported to have made "a lump of glass." (Dip. xx., 5). Considering the beautiful colour of two of these beads, and the good shape of all, I am inclined to believe that they were imported; otherwise it is clear that the manufacture had reached such a state of excellence that more evidences of it ought to be forthcoming, either among these early remains, or, at any rate, in the early histories. It is difficult to understand how an art which had made such decided progress could be lost, more particularly when it is remembered how the knowledge of such arts is transmitted here from father to son, in special families, for centuries. These beads might easily have come from Phœnicia, via Assyria. The broken piece of glass is, however, of inferior quality, and full of minute air-bubbles. It is of a dull blue colour. It could scarcely be worth while to transport such a specimen as this from Phœnicia, and possibly it may have been come from India.

* Since writing this, I have seen two pieces of gold which covered the relics deposited in a receptacle at the Yaṭṭhála dágaba, and I find that the fragment which we have obtained is similar to them, and was probably intended for a similar purpose.
Besides the bangle already described ("Personal Ornaments," No. 8), a larger piece of glass of a good quality, and of as late a date, has been procured in the high-level channel cutting which passed through part of the city. (See "Household Utensils," No. 19.) This must have been made some centuries after the other pieces of glass, bringing the manufacture down to perhaps the 4th or 5th century A.D., if not later.* It is of a rich green colour, and apparently without flaw. The discovery of this latter piece makes it still more likely that all the glass is imported from India.

I now come to three substances which are certainly importations.

**Rhinoceros Horn.**—Among the houses of the artificers, at the site of the Tissa sluice, a piece of black horn, which appears to form the spiral root end of the horn of a young rhinoceros, probably *R. sondaicus*, the lesser Indian rhinoceros, was met with. This was used medicinally, and it is still numbered among the native nostrums of the present day as an effective antidote for snake-bite. It is taken internally, mixed with human milk, some of the horn being rubbed down or scraped off in a powder for the purpose. It will be observed that the end of this piece of horn has been rubbed down in this manner. It is very highly valued for its medicinal properties, and this piece is said to be locally worth several pounds sterling.

Dr. Jerdon says that *Rhinoceros sondaicus* "is found at present in the Bengal Sunderbuns, and a very few individuals are stated to occur in the forest tract along the Mahanuddy river, and extending northwards towards Midnapore; and also on the edge of the Rajmahal hills near the Ganges. It occurs also more abundantly in Burmah, and thence through the Malayan Peninsula to Java and Borneo." (*Mammals of India*, reprint 1874, p. 234.)

**Jade.**—The presence of jade among the remains of the oldest date is perhaps, on some accounts, more interesting than the discovery of glass. The pieces, including the bead

* Sanghatissa I. (242 - 246 A.D.) is said to have placed "a glass pinnacle" on the spire of the Ruwanweli dagaba; but this is not unlikely to have been a crystal. (See *Mah.* p. 229.) There is a crystal, now on Thuparâma.
and ear-ring, were all found among or near the houses of the artificers.

**Pumice.**—A small piece of material identified by Mr. A. C. Dixon as pumice was also found in one of the same houses. Possibly this was used medicinally, but more probably it was employed in polishing precious stones.

**Foreign Trade.**

The two last articles appear to be small things on which to found a big hypothesis; but if I am correct (in the absence of suitable works of reference) in supposing that the jade has come from the east of the Bay of Bengal, and that the pumice cannot have been procured nearer than Sumatra, we have here proof of a direct ancient trade between North-Eastern India and the Far East, if not directly between Ceylon itself and the East. Nor is there anything in such a theory which is inconsistent with probabilities. It is known that centuries before these remains were covered up many vessels sailed from the Ganges to Ceylon; and, if so, there is every likelihood that others carried their trading operations eastward along the shores of the Bay of Bengal. If Buddhist missionaries were despatched from India to Burma in the reign of Asoka, that country must have been long previously known to the inhabitants of North-Eastern India. The earliest travellers must have been traders, and they must also have gone by sea, the land journey being impossible. And with a trade route once established between India and Burma and round the coasts of India, some of the desirable produce of the Far East would certainly find its way

---

* Since this was written Mr. Hayward has informed me that he has been shown jade which was said to be procured and cut in Northern India; but, if so, he remarks that it must be found in very limited quantity, and he considers that China and Japan are the true sources of it. He states, however, that the specimens of jade which have been found at Tissamahârâma are much coarser than Chinese samples, so that I conclude they may perhaps have come from Northern India. The carnelian is also probably imported from India.

† The first Buddhist missionaries, 18 in number, visited China in B.C. 216, but they made the journey overland. As foreigners and 'strange characters,' they were all thrown into prison, but eventually released. Still, it seems probable that a trade with China existed before they ventured to make the journey. (China, by Proff. Douglas, p. 318).
to Ceylon, a country in close mercantile and political connection with Mágadha, and capable of offering in return many highly-valued articles not found in the Far East. If this trade be admitted—as the discovery of pumice (if not the jade) in Ceylon almost compels it to be*—the voyage of Wijaya and his companions is no longer a matter for surprise or doubt; they simply took a well-known route in search of “pastures new and fields Arcadian,” tempted probably by stories of the gem and pearl-producing capabilities of this Island.

In support of this theory I annex an extract from Prof. Max Duncker’s History of Antiquity (translated by Abbott, 1879), the italics being mine.

Regarding the Phenicians it is said:—“The south-west coast of Arabia was no longer a place for producing and exporting frankincense and spices; it became the trading place of the Somali coast, and before the year 1000 B.C. was also the trading place for the products of India, which ships of the Indians carried to the shore of the Sabeans and Chathamites. By the foundation and success of the trade to Ophir and the most remote places of the East which they reached, their commerce obtained its widest extent and brought in the richest returns. With incense and balsam there came to Tyre cinnamon and cassia, sandalwood and ivory, gold and pearls, from India, and the silk tissues of the Distant East.” (Vol. II., pp. 297—298.)

Dr. Duncker further points out that from his inscriptions it is learnt that “Asoka is not only in connection with Antiyaka—i.e. with is neighbour Antiochus, who sat on the throne from 262 to 247 B.C., and with Turamaya, i.e. with Ptolemy Philadelphus of Egypt (285—246 B.C.),—but also with Antigonus Gonnatas of Macedonia (272—258 B.C.), with Alissanda,—i.e. Alexander of Epirus (272—258 B.C.), and even with Magas, King of Cyrene. Not merely were these lands of the Distant West known, Asoka was in connection with them. Ambassadors were sent to their princes, and are said to have received the assurance that no

* Latterly, however, a considerable amount of pumice from the Krakatoa eruption in the Sunda Straits has been washed ashore both on the coast of India and near Jaffna. (See Ceylon Observer, April 10th and March 23rd, 1885.)
hindrance would be placed in the way of the preaching of the doctrine of Buddha." (Loc. cit., Vol., IV., p. 529.)

Education.

Although an examination of the inscriptions of the Island has led Dr. Müller to deem that the art of writing was not known in Ceylon as early as in India, which, in any case, would be an a priori inference, the evidence afforded by the inscribed fragments of pottery appears to prove the introduction of the art at a period at any rate not very much later than the reign of Asoka. When the small area included in our excavations at the sluice, and the quantity of inscribed pieces still lying undisturbed in the soil, are considered, and when it is remembered that these represent only a part of the defective pottery rejected at the manufactory after being burnt, it is a fair deduction that a far greater number, probably hundreds at least, of inscribed and perfect specimens have been made and sold. Yet this writing, done by ordinary potters, is, as a rule, as well executed as that of the best of the most ancient inscriptions. There are few of the ill-formed uncouth letters, such as illiterate people might be expected to make.* If the form of the letters is any guide (and among several examples, which plainly are not all the work of one writer, it must be), there is only one published inscription in South Ceylon, that of Ilanâga, which comes within 100 years of the age of the most recent of these. The letters cannot, therefore, have been engraved by persons who were specially imported for the purpose of cutting inscriptions, and who might, in such a case, have amused themselves by decorating the hardened but unbaked earthenware, and I am forced to conclude that the whole work is due to the potters themselves. When the inferior position of this caste is taken into consideration,† such a fact must be admitted to afford evidence of a state of education in the country which is unexpected. There are not many potters in the Island at the present day who could

* Even in the present day what a small percentage of English labouring men would print a large S or N correctly!

† In two lists in Upham's Buddhist Tracts they are placed respectively 5th and 9th of the lower castes, excluding the Wellalas (pp. 331 and 345).
write sentences on their productions. If potters possessed the knowledge of writing, we may be sure that the higher castes, too, would not be ignorant of it. It seems to me that such negative evidence as the absence of rock inscriptions of a very early date proves little. It is one thing to write a few sentences on a leaf, but quite another—and a thousand times more difficult and tedious—to cut them on a rock. But it was easy to scratch the letters on the partly-hardened clay, and these workmen appear to have amused themselves by doing so. Not only can this be deduced, but an examination of these letters leaves no doubt that many, if not all, were engraved with some sharp-pointed instrument similar to the style now in use. Considering this fact, and the indubitable age of the inscriptions, my conclusion is that the art of writing had been introduced into Ceylon at a period long antecedent to the cutting of the first rock inscriptions in the Island; and I not only see nothing unworthy of credit in the earliest references to it contained in the Mahávamśa (p. 53, 54, 60, and 131), but every likelihood of their being a strict adherence to fact. The art must necessarily have been in existence in India for centuries before the first Indian rock inscriptions were cut, and it is unlikely that none of the early settlers, especially those from the courts of the Indian Kings, should be acquainted with it. At any rate, it is certain that the art of writing must have been brought to Ceylon long before the knowledge could spread to people of the lower castes.

It is much to be regretted that no full sentence has been discovered on the pottery, and only two or three complete words. In nearly all cases there is only a letter or two. The two longest inscriptions read:

No. 1. ......ke Dayapu saha Aba......
No. 2. Gapati sivas.

The letters discovered are:

A, E, ka, ga, da, ta, na, pa, ba, ma, ya, va, va, sa, ha, and all the short attached vowels. The sa is of the angular form, like the Greek digamma.

Mode of Burial.

Nothing to illustrate the earliest form of burial in Ceylon has come to light, but an interesting example of a much
later date was discovered in excavating the high-level channel from the sluice. Four and a-half feet from the surface, exactly under a medium-sized tree, and about six feet above the lowest stratum of remains, a large wide-mouthed chatty was encountered. This, although broken, was taken carefully out, and was found to contain a number of calcined pieces of bone. Inverted on these was a small earthenware lamp, already described. ("Household Utensils," No. 22.) As the groove for the wick is blackened by fire, the lamp has evidently been in use, and we may assume that it belonged to the buried person. The Buddhist priests at the Maháráma and Yaṭṭhála dágabas (representing the Siamese and Amarapura sects) are both strongly of opinion that these are not the remains of a priest—a belief which is the more justified from the fact of there being no wihára in the immediate neighbourhood. It is uncertain how long Tissawáweva has been breached and deserted, but it has undoubtedly been so for a long period. Hundreds of years must have elapsed after the embankment gave way, before the bed of the tank and the paddy field could be overgrown with dense jungle and forest, as was the case before the recent restoration. It is almost certain that the tank was in order when Tamils were settled at it in the time of Mágha, in the early part of the 13th century, but I have met with nothing of later date regarding the place. The shape of many bits of pottery found in a layer immediately below the vegetable mould at the surface of the ground resembles that of fragments in the lowest stratum, and is, in many cases, unlike that of earthenware of modern manufacture, some of the articles, such as plates, being no longer made in Ceylon. These must have been deposited before the tank burst, and the form of burial above described may belong to the same period. There is nothing to indicate its exact date, and all that can be said with accuracy is that it is apparently some centuries old, and that it may possibly date from the 14th century.*

In conclusion, I beg to state that, being in a remote

*Compare the account in the Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum. Vol. I., p. 23, of the discovery of four similar cinerary urns at Bairat, the lamp, however, being wanting.
station, and having left many of my books in Colombo, I have been unable to consult an adequate number of works of reference, and this report has therefore been written under special disadvantages.

I must not omit to acknowledge, however, the ready assistance which Mr. D. W. Ferguson, of the Ceylon Observer, has given me in this matter, by kindly lending to me several valuable works which I did not possess. I am greatly indebted to Mr. A. C. Dixon, B.Sc., for kindly examining and identifying several stones, and for ascertaining the composition of the silicate of alumina ornaments; and to Mr. J. Hayward for valuable information regarding the precious stones reported on.

ADDENDUM.

Since drawing up this report, and recording my conclusions regarding the introduction of the art of writing "at a period at any rate not very much later than the reign of Asoka," I have discovered a large series of inscribed bricks at the Yaṭṭhála dágaba. These bricks formed part of the body of the dágaba, and having fallen down in a talus have been removed to make way for the restoration now being carried out. Many of the bricks of the Mahárámá are, I have since been informed by the resident priest and others, similarly inscribed; but the letters (not being known to any one here) were supposed to be merely fanciful meaningless marks drawn on the bricks, and no particular attention was paid to them. This is very unfortunate, as both the late Dr. Goldschmidt and Dr. E. Müller, the Archaeological Commissioners, visited the dágaba while the repairs were in progress. As Mahánága, the constructor of this dágaba, was the contemporary of Asoka, we should, had their attention been drawn to these marks, have obtained a Siṁhalese alphabet known to be of the same age as Asoka’s, and we should thus have had the best possible local starting point for Siṁhalese palæography. These bricks are now relaid in the dágaba, and the letters are lost to Archaeology. I have, however, found one or two letters similar to those at the Yaṭṭhála dágaba on some of the fragments of brick not yet built into the Mahárámá.
At the Yaṭṭhāḷa dāgaba the restoration has just been begun, and I have thus been able to preserve a good series of bricks from oblivion. Their palæographical value depends largely on the date of the structure, regarding which the ancient histories are quite silent, the only guide being the statement in the Mahāvamsa that Mahānāga's son Tissa, who succeeded him, was born at the Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra, and, as Turnour has added, “during the flight” of his father. If the Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra, to which reference is made, is the wihāra which now goes by the name, at the Yaṭṭhāḷa dāgaba in the ancient Māgama, this statement, as I have already mentioned, cannot be correct. It is improbable, on the face of it, and it becomes impossible, when we consider (1) that the palace was most likely not more than a mile distant; (2) that Mahānāga was apparently 53 years old at the time; and (3) that in this case Tissa’s grandson was born 18 years afterwards. Even if my revised chronology is quite wrong—(although it rests on too secure a foundation to be more than a few years wrong)—it cannot be supposed that the prince was born at a wihāra when the palace was in the immediate neighbourhood. Besides, the Mahāvamsa says, “proceeding thence to Rohana,” an expression which would not have been used if the Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra was at Māgama. Māgama had long before been the residence of a prince, at least—even if Wijaya never lived at it; and Mahānāga came as the tributary king or viceroy of the southern kingdom, and not merely as a fugitive who would be glad of any shelter for his family. Jinaratana Terunnānse, of the Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra, informs me, also, that it is stated in the Dhātuvaṃsa that Mahānāga erected this wihāra. If so, this should be decisive evidence against his son’s birth at it during the journey of the father to the southern capital. I hope to give the extract relating to it before closing my report. (See Appendix, Note 6.) Whether this was the case or not, I conclude, from the other evidence above given, that if Prince Tissa was born at a Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra it must have been some other than this one.* At any rate, he

* There is a Yaṭṭhāḷa wihāra on the road from Colombo to Kandy, connected by tradition with Yaṭṭhāḷaka Tissa. (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 86.)
bore the name of Yaṭṭhálaka Tissa, and succeeded his father in the sovereignty. I have already shown that Mahánága may have been born about 296 B.C.; and if we suppose him to have died at the age of 70, his son Tissa would succeed to the throne about 226 B.C. This is probably not more than a few years wrong; Mahánága must have been on the throne at least 14 or 15 years in order to complete the great works which he undertook at Mágama and elsewhere—(the Dhátuvāṇisa says that he erected 100 wiháras); and to allow time for the interpolation of his son and grandson before Kákawaṇña Tissa, he cannot be assigned a much longer life. Nothing is more likely or natural than that the son of the constructor of the Maháráma (and perhaps the Sandagiri dágaba also), should emulate his father in the erection of a large dágaba; and when we find one at Mágama, named after his birthplace, it can be assigned to him with greater prospect of accuracy than to any other monarch. As he bore his uncle’s name, Tissa, it can be understood that he might prefer to call this dágaba after the place where he was born, rather than after a name which might be confounded, in after years, with that of his relative. It may be assumed, then, that the Yaṭṭhálaka dágaba was built by Yaṭṭhálaka Tissa; and in that case the date of its construction must, until more is known of early Sinhalese chronology, be put down to the period between 210 B.C. and 226 B.C.—say, about 220 B.C.

So far as probabilities are a guide, therefore, this date, 220 B.C., is the date of the inscriptions found on the bricks at the Yaṭṭhálaka dágaba; and the forms of the letters themselves afford valuable confirmatory evidence that the writing was done not very long after that of the Asoka inscriptions. I annex drawings of the letters met with, and if this report should be published, I hope that it may be possible to reproduce them accurately by lithography. This will be much better than a lengthy comparison of the letters with those of Asoka, and of the oldest inscriptions in Ceylon, at Tónigala and Gal-lena. (Ancient Inscriptions, Nos. 1 and 2.) Attention may however be drawn to the fact that some of these letters are now, I believe, for the first time found in Ceylon in the most ancient character. These are I, E, O,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asoka 245 B.C.</th>
<th>Māgama 220 B.C.</th>
<th>Tōnigala etc 80 B.C.</th>
<th>Māgama (vowels)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ nā, ṣ nu, ṣ ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ phāi?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ bhū</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ yi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bh</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ</td>
<td>ṣ w (?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Ī</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asoka</td>
<td>ះ</td>
<td>ះ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>៖</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ះ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māgama</td>
<td>ះ</td>
<td>ះ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>៖</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ះ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tōnigala etc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>៖</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ះ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asoka 245 B.C.</th>
<th>Māgama 220 B.C.</th>
<th>Tōnigala etc 80 B.C.</th>
<th>Māgama (vowels)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>फ़ ki, फ़ ke.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kh</td>
<td>ग्</td>
<td>ग</td>
<td>ग</td>
<td>गgā, गgo, गge, गgū</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व co, ब्व co, ब्व co? ब्व ca, ब्व cho, ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gh</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dh</td>
<td>न्</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>न</td>
<td>ब्व ca</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this table, the letters are compared across different scripts and their corresponding vowels.
āṇa, pha, and the trilāda sa; while jha, of which many copies have been found, has been rarely met with in rock inscriptions in the Island.

In nearly all cases only one letter is written on a brick, (often with an attached long or short vowel*); but in a few instances two have been discovered, apparently forming the names of men, such as Kaja, Taka, Jhata (or Jhara). Of most of the letters many copies have been procured, and the letters A, ki, ga (with vowels), ca (with vowels), and na (with vowels), are particularly numerous. I suppose that they are the initials of the brickmakers, written or stamped while the clay was soft and plastic. Mr. Gooneratne, Atapattu Mudaliyar of Galle, has suggested to me that they may indicate the part of the structure in which the bricks were to be placed; but this seems to me to be very improbable. The brickmakers could know nothing about the building work; and as all the bricks are of only two dimensions, which are mixed up indiscriminately in the structure, there could not be any necessity for such a procedure; it would be quite impossible for any one to determine before the bricks were burnt in what part of the dagaba they were to be placed. The only other hypotheses are that the brickmakers wrote the letters to record the number of bricks made, or that they wrote them for amusement, or that they wrote them as their initials or marks. The first hypothesis is negatived by the fact of the great preponderance of certain letters, the rarity of others, and the absence of some few; the second is disproved by the fact that several of the letters are impressed by well-cut dies; and I see no reason to doubt that the last theory is the true explanation of the presence of the letters on the bricks, especially after the evidence afforded by the inscribed pottery of the state of education of other members of this caste at a very early date.

Evidently, almost all the letters have been written with the finger end, but a few have been traced with pointed sticks or twigs, and several others have been deeply and beautifully stamped in intaglio with well-cut dies, apparently

* This is the first time that the long vowels, except ā, as they are found in Asoka's inscriptions, have been met with in Ceylon.
made of hard wood. On two or three stamped bricks there is the impress of part of the edge of the die, the size of which almost proves that it was made of this material. The written letters vary in length from about three inches to five and a-half inches, but the stamped ones are usually somewhat smaller. The written ones are made in a free, bold manner, which only men who were well accustomed to writing could acquire. One or two letters appear to be purposely wrongly made, the curve in the ca, for instance, being on several bricks traced on the wrong side of the vertical line; and I have met with one of this shape impressed by a well-cut stamp. A man with this initial may have adopted this mode of distinguishing his signature from that of another person having the initial. Only four kinds of marks, which are not letters, have been discovered; these consist of one, two, four, and five dots or punctures, the idea being evidently taken from the three dots of the letter I. This appears to show that nearly all the brick-makers could write, or more of these marks would have been found.

If the hypothesis that these letters are the initials of the brickmakers is correct, and if it is further allowable to separate stamped from written letters as the initials of different persons, the specimens obtained must be the work of about 80 different men. How many more different initials might be procured, were the whole of the bricks used in the dāgaba to be carefully examined, cannot be guessed; but the number might certainly be much increased. The bricks which I have been able to examine were merely a few of the bricks forming the upper half of the dome or cupola.

That any such letters should have been written on the bricks forming the body of the Vaṭṭhāla dāgaba and the Mahārāma, affords conclusive proof that the art of writing was introduced into Ceylon not later than the time of Asoka; but when we find that nearly all these brickmakers were capable of writing their initials (or any kind of letters) on bricks, it must be also admitted that the knowledge of writing had by that period spread generally throughout the country. As I previously remarked, if men of low caste knew how to write, the higher castes must certainly have been aware of it. When we thus find the people generally,
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and particularly when we find the lower castes able to write so early as 240 B.C. (about which time the building of the Maháráma was probably begun), it may be accepted as almost beyond doubt that the knowledge of writing was brought to Ceylon fully 170 years previously, by the first settlers. If the art had been introduced only at the time of Mahinda’s mission, there would have been no time for so many of the builders of the Maháráma to learn to write; and there is no ground for assuming that these men were specially imported from Mágadhá for the purpose of burning bricks. Prof. Max Müller (Hist. of Sanscrit Literature, quoted by Duncker loc. cit., Vol. iv., p. 158) has fixed the date of the first written work in India, Pánini’s Grammar, at about 350 B.C.; but he considers that the art was known in India before 600 B.C. Dr. Duncker would remove this date to 800 B.C. (loc. cit., p. 157). It would be strange, then, if the first Mágadhanese settlers were quite ignorant of it.

Writing must have been long practised, too, before the idea of cutting dies with which to print the letters was originated. This may possibly have been adopted originally in India, from the Babylonians, who, as is well-known, had from a very early period (2,400 B.C.) been accustomed to use dies for stamping their bricks; but if so, it is rather strange that no earlier examples of it have been discovered in India.* It cannot, however, be assumed that these inscriptions at two dágabas in the extreme south-east of Ceylon are the first instances among the Aryans of writing or imprinting letters on plastic clay.

The Mága-ma Palace.

I take advantage of the delay in publishing this report to furnish some particulars and a plan of the ruins of the presumed palace of the Mága-ma princes. This ruin is about half a mile north-west of the Mænik dágaba. While my men were removing some broken bricks lying at the site, some flat stones were met with, about 2½ or 3 feet underground; and on tracing the line of these, it was found

* Compare Report of Arch. Survey of India, Vol. 1, p. 326, where General Cunningham mentions that he found a stamped brick at Aju-dhya, but of a later date than Asoka.
to enclose an almost rectangular space, the sides being 289 and 287 feet long, and 238 and 234 feet wide, measuring from the centre of the walls. It was evident that these flat stones formed the foundations of the boundary-wall of the court-yard. On each side of them there was usually an upright slab set on edge, the whole foundation having a general width of four feet. The stones were from eight to twelve inches thick, and were uncut, being merely split to the shape required by means of wedges.

Inside this enclosure were the foundations of other walls, which, when traced out and examined, were found to have supported the roof of a tiled building carried round an inner court-yard. The total length of this structure was 114 feet, and its breadth was 105 feet. The width of the roofed building was 17 feet, the enclosed court-yard being thus 80 feet long and 69 feet wide. Stone pillars stood in the walls at distances apart varying from eight to fourteen feet; they were merely wedged rough stones about nine inches square. In the middle of this inner court-yard was another rectangular tiled structure, 34 feet long and 22 feet wide, which appears to have been the royal residence. There was a verandah, four feet wide, round the building, which is included in the above measurements, so that it is evident that the apartments were of small dimensions. I should presume that this structure had at least one upper storey.

A few small tiled buildings existed in the outer court-yard, two of them perhaps being guard-houses, 24 feet square, immediately inside the western entrance; and there is also a deep stone-lined well of rectangular cross-section, measuring eight feet by seven feet. Extending for a length of 100 feet on the inside of the north wall, and having its roof resting on it, was a tiled shed or building, six or eight feet wide, which once had a stone floor. This, with probably a verandah, may perhaps have formed the royal stables. A building, which I take to be the guest-house, 36 feet long and 20 feet wide, stood near the south-east corner of the enclosure. In front of it, to the south, and only two feet from its wall, was a platform of stone slabs dressed smooth on the upper surface, about 12 feet long and 8 feet wide, on which the guests washed their feet.
before entering the house. A similar arrangement, of more elaborate construction, is found at the entrances to three of the palaces at Anuradhapura, but in those cases the lavatory is built with a raised edging of stone, capable of holding up about six inches of water.

Close to the north side of the enclosure there are two small excavated pools, probably first dug as clay-pits, and afterwards utilized for bathing purposes when they contained water. A doorway through the outer wall appears to have led to one of these, the path passing through a detached porch, on each side of which was a room ten feet square. These may have been either guard-rooms or the royal dressing-rooms, most likely the latter.

The principal entrance to the outer yard may have been on the south side, but I have not been able to trace it. There was an entrance, also, near the middle of the east and west walls; that at the former wall having a peculiar porch built out from the general line of the wall, and a very small guard-room on the inner side.

The superstructure of the walls of the palace is, of course, of a later date than the remains previously described in this report. This is amply proved by the sizes of the few bricks found, which are smaller than those of the dagabas, and by the shape of the roofing-tiles, which are entirely different from those met with in our excavations near the sluice, and resemble those used at the more recent buildings at the dagabas—none of which, however, can be assigned to a later date than the 12th or 13th centuries. But in the absence of any other ruin suitable for a palace, it is probable that the general outlines, and the foundations of the walls, and the rough uncut pillars inserted in the walls, date from a period not very much more recent than the time of the first princes of Mágama. The palace is at the site where we should naturally expect to find it if it were built at a very early date—that is, on the high ridge overlooking the river, from which water for drinking and cooking purposes could easily be brought before the well was dug, and towards which one of the main entrances leads. Again, on referring to the account given in the Mahávamsa of “the festival held on the day on which the King (Kákawāṇṇa) conferred a name on his son” (p. 145), it is quite clear that
the compilers of the Aṭṭhakathā understood the palace to have a spacious court-yard, capable of holding 8,000 priests. One might naturally consider this the exaggeration of an enthusiastic admirer of Duṭṭhagāmini; but it is a fact that, making all deductions for the detached buildings, the court-yard of this palace is quite capable of holding more than that number of persons. The open space in it must have been considerably over 5,000 square yards.

From the story of the engagement of Sūranimila, Duṭṭhagāmini’s second hero, as contained at Mahāvamsa, p. 130, it is evident that the attendants at the court were accustomed to bathe in the river, and not in the tank, as they would have done were the palace nearer the tank. I have just stated that one of the gateways faces the Kirindeganga.

This palace thus agrees so well in these two respects (the only points regarding which there are data for instituting a comparison) with that of King Kākawannatissa, that I think we must conclude that it occupies nearly the same space as his, and that the more permanent features, already referred to, perhaps date from his reign. Before his time all is conjecture; we know that the earlier princes must have had a palace at Māgama, and it is allowable to presume that this is the building; but there is not a line which enables us to confirm the identification. In all probability, however, the palace as it existed at the time of Kākawannatissa was constructed by King Mahānāga. Wijaya must have had his residence somewhere near, if he really lived at Māgama; but that would be a much less pretentious building, and it would be quite useless to attempt to identify any part of this palace with the edifice in which the first king of Ceylon “lived and governed his kingdom.”

A point of interest regarding its construction is the fact that two of the outer walls run—I may say exactly—north and south, the other two very nearly forming right-angles with them. As nearly as I have been able to ascertain, the lines of the foundations of the two former vary from the meridian only —15 minutes and +24 minutes respectively. It is quite possible that the superstructure ran more exactly north and south. In the case
KARANDUWAS FOUND AT THE
YATTHALA DAGABA
(natural) saw

Chrysoberyl Karanduwa
Clear Crystal Karanduwa

Amethyst Karanduwa
Brown Crystal Karanduwa
of the east and west walls, the variation from a right-angle is less than half a degree.

These facts open up a considerable field for a conjecture. The only way in which it seems to me possible for the builders to have fixed the meridian with such accuracy is by means of the Pole-star. I have asked a local "Naikatrala," or astrologer, for his opinion on it, and he says that he should first proceed to set out the east and west lines by observing the point of sunrise at about the vernal or autumnal equinox, and afterwards set off the others perpendicular to them. He has no knowledge of the Pole-star. It seems to me very improbable that the north and south lines could be as accurately determined in this manner as are those at the palace.

APPENDIX.

The excavations recently made at the Yatthaala dagaba have brought to light some very interesting articles, which I take this opportunity of describing. They were all found in removing the talus of brick and soil which surrounded the lower portion of the dagaba, and they undoubtedly once formed part of the articles deposited in the relic-chamber at the top of the dagaba, which tradition states to have been rifled by the Tamils—i.e. in the thirteenth century.

Four beautiful relic-receptacles or "karaṇḍuwās" have been found,\(^*\) one of them containing the original stopper which confined the relics, and the two flakes of gold in which they were wrapped. There can be no doubt that some, if not all, of these date from the time of the construction of the dagaba—that is, from 220 B.C. I have found no record of any restoration of this dagaba, and even if it has been restored or partially rebuilt at any time, it is quite certain that the greatest possible care would be taken of the relics and their cases, and that they would be replaced in the relic-chamber in their original state. But during Siṃhalese supremacy the dagaba would never be allowed to fall into such a state of disrepair as its rebuilding from the level of the relic-chamber would indicate. These articles, then, may be assumed to belong to the

\(^*\) See below, for illustrations of the karaṇḍuwās.
third century before Christ; and, as the earliest remains yet found in the Island, they have a great archaeological interest. The following is a list of the things found:

(1) A barrel-shaped karanḍuwa of amethyst. The diameter of the base is 94 in., the height 1·06 in., and the diameter of the top 50 in. The colour of the stone, though very rich, extends over only part of the karanḍuwa. Two parallel grooves pass round the amethyst in the lower half just below the minor axis. The relic-cavity is bored to about half the depth of the stone. The stone has considerable polish.

(2) A beautifully-polished, clear, brownish, rock-crystal karanḍuwa, of nearly the same shape as the preceding, but broader and flatter. It has a base of 1·22 in., and a height of 1·00 in. The upper half is nearly hemispherical. Just below the minor axis two admirably-cut parallel grooves or flutings pass round the stone; but they are broader and shallower than those on the amethyst. The cylindrical relic-cavity is bored rather less than half the depth of the stone. A stopper of nearly cylindrical form, but really the frustrum of a very tall cone, has been found also; and as it exactly fits the cavity it is supposed to belong to this karanḍuwa. It is composed of the same description of stone, but is merely turned, and not polished. This karanḍuwa is a highly-finished piece of work.

(3) A dagaba-shaped karanḍuwa of chrysoberyl, having a base 1·20 in. in diameter, a height of 1·28 in., and a top 54 in. square. The top of the basal platform is not horizontal, but slopes considerably downward from its junction with the dagaba. The whole cupola is approximately about three-quarters of a sphere. From the platform it rises outwards, increasing in diameter until half the total height of the stone is reached. The square cap or plinth on the top of the dome is relatively larger than is usual in dagabas. The work is of a rougher type than the others, so that these differences may not imply a corresponding difference in the earliest forms of dagaba. The enlargement of the diameter of the dome above the platform is characteristic of some early Indian dagabas, but not of those found in Ceylon. The relic-cavity is not bored truly down the axis of the stone, but diverges to one side. Its length is about two-thirds of the whole length of the stone.

(4) A pretty little karanḍuwa, with its stopper, of clear polished rock-crystal. Its shape is between the barrel and dagaba. It has a base 68 in. in diameter, a height of 78 in., and a top 37 in. square; but when the stopper is inserted the height is 1·10 in. A broad horizontal groove passes round the stone, at about one-quarter of its height from the bottom, forming the lower part
almost into a narrow basal platform. There is also a small square plinth on the top of the dome, into which the cupola gradually runs. The relic-cavity is bored to about half the depth of the stone. The stopper is of a solid umbrella-shape, or, more accurately, mushroom-shape, with the under side of the mushroom convex like the top, instead of concave. It fits easily but firmly into the cavity. Inside the cavity there were two small flakes of thin gold, which presumably enveloped the relics; but no relics were found inside them.

All these karanḍuwas must certainly have been turned on a lathe. The grooves which run round them could not be cut truly without its assistance.

(5) Two small flat pieces of silver, which I omitted to measure. One of these is nearly square in plan, and is comparatively thick. It resembles in shape the early Indian money found at Eran, but it has no marks of any kind on it. The other piece has about the same thickness, but it is more irregular in shape, and of slightly larger size. It is possible that these may be an early form of money introduced into the Island from India. Silver is found in Ceylon rocks in very small quantity, and there is nothing to show that it was ever extracted by the ancient Sinhalese. It is said that similar-shaped punch-marked plaques of copper were found at the Mahārāma, but were replaced in the new relic chamber.

(6) A beautifully-engraved, thin, elliptical disk of red carnelian, .78 inch broad, apparently forming the stone of a signet-ring. The rapacity of the men who broke into the dāgaba seems to have led them to fracture this gem in two, in order to take away the material (gold, doubtless) in which it was set, but the best piece has been saved. Owing to the courtesy and generosity of the committee who superintend the restoration of the Yaṭṭhāla and Māṇik dāgabas—and who, I may add, have afforded me every facility for an examination of the articles found by them, and have assisted me in collecting the rarer inscribed bricks—this stone is now in my possession.

It will be seen, from the impression sent to the Museum, that on the face of the stone there is cut, in intaglio, a figure of a king sitting on a chair-like throne. Excepting the left hand and leg, the former of which is too large, and the latter too thin, the whole figure is as well proportioned as most works of the present day. The person is sitting upright on the throne, facing the right, in a remarkably natural, free-and-easy position. His right foot hangs down in the usual manner, but his left is set on the chair, and the knee is sharply bent, in the position taken when a man
squat down in the Oriental way. The right arm hangs loosely at the side; the left is supported on the bent knee just above the elbow, the fore-arm being turned upward, the hand open and displayed, and the fore-finger and thumb holding a flower, presumably a lotus, before the face. The face is in profile, and the hair is freely thrown back from the forehead, and is treated artistically, hanging just on to the neck in bold profusion, and covering the ears. Round the base of the neck is a necklet, such as small Tamil children wear; and on each arm, a short distance above the elbow, is a rounded armlet, or single bangle. It is quite evident that the body is nude from the waist upward; the anatomical details are delicately carved. The artist has experienced small difficulty in depicting the robe, which is transparent. Its upper part can be seen passing round the waist; the edge hangs down from the left knee, and the folds on the right thigh are very distinct. A cord-like line, held in the right hand near the waist, passes over the right shoulder, and back round the front of the waist, above the edge of the robe. Its ends pass outwards through the open back of the chair, and end in graceful upward curves. This may perhaps represent a gold chain thrown negligently round the figure, or otherwise a Brahmanical cord.

Only one side of the chair or throne is shown. It is of peculiar shape, and rests on several feet, a pair being visible at each corner. The side is deep, extending almost to the ground, and is made of open basket-work, which is admirably engraved. The back rises as high as the man’s shoulders, and curves backward in a luxurious fashion. At the upper corner, the upright bar passes through the horizontal bar (there is only one cross bar, which is at the top of the chair), and curls over towards it, ending in a carved knob. From the junction of the two bars there hang two long tassels. The whole upright bar is decorated outside with curled and winding ornamentation.

Through the courtesy of Mr. E. A. W. Budge, of the British Museum, I am able to append the following report on this gem, which is presumed to be of Indian origin:

"I have shown your gem to Mr. Franks, and also to Dr. Birch. They have also read your account of the finding of the gem. Mr. Franks does not think that the gem is so old as the time of Asoka; on the other hand, he thinks it much later; but there are so very few gems of this class, and of those so very little is known, that it is impossible to fix any exact date for them."

Without entering into a detailed discussion, I may add the following rough notes regarding the gem:
The carnelian has not been met with in Ceylon by Mr. Hayward, and the stone itself is probably Indian. Carnelian is found at the estuary of the Narbada, and is cut and burnt there, according to Mr. Streeter (*Precious Stones and Gems*, 3rd ed., pt. II., p. 45.) A representation of a chair-like throne of a different pattern, without the basket-work side, is not unusual in coins of several countries and ages; and there is every reason to believe that the seat shown on the gem is the king's throne. As regards the basket-work, the last remains of it can still be seen under the sitting figure of the king on most Śiṅhalese coins. Compare, especially, No. 3 in the illustrations to Professor Rhys Davids' work. In some later coins, however, this basket-work has degenerated into a single line! In the earliest identified Śiṅhalese coins the position of the sitting king is, in fact, exactly that shown on this gem—the right arm hangs loosely down, and the left hand holds up in front of the face a flower (a lotus) as in the gem. It seems clear, therefore, that the man represented on the gem is a king of India or Ceylon on his throne.

As to the age of the gem, no definite conclusion can be reached until something is known of coins of the Island prior to Parākrama Bāhu I. The close similarity between the position of the figure on the gem and the sitting king on the coins is indicative of the lapse of no very extended period between the execution of the two engravings—not more, one could suppose, than two or three centuries. Mr. Franks also considers the gem to belong to about the 9th century. But this accords ill with the position in which it was found; that is, in company with karaṇḍuwas from the relic-chamber of the Yaṭṭhāla dāgaba. This association with articles which, for the present, must be assumed to have been deposited in the chamber when the dāgaba was erected in 220 B.C., can hardly be held to be accidental, especially when the great value of such a gem is taken into consideration. I observed no trace of any re-building of the dāgaba; the inscribed bricks are distributed through it from top to bottom, and the whole work, inside and outside, is of the same quality and style. The strongest evidence of all is the absence of bricks of later types than those already described. The depth of cutting made through the superstructure, in order to reach the relic-chamber, shows conclusively that this chamber could never be accidentally opened, by even the damage due to continued neglect of the dāgaba extending over many centuries. Each side of the cutting or heading stands up vertically, apparently just as it was left when first opened. Up to the time of Māgha, A.D. 1214–1235, none but Śiṅhalese are known to have held possession of
Mágama; and it seems most unlikely that either they or others would venture—(or be permitted, even if they were inclined to venture)—to break into the relic-chamber of a highly venerated dagāba in the middle of the southern capital. If, however, taking advantage of some period of anarchy or revolt (of which there was no lack), some persons did break into it, the subsequent restoration would give some Prince of Rohana an opportunity of placing the gem in the chamber. Although this view is without further support, the evidence in its favour—that afforded by the engraving itself—is so strong that I adopt it provisionally, as being, in the present state of our knowledge, the only rational mode of accounting for the presence of the gem beside the karaṇḍuwas, two of which, at least, appear to be as old as the dagāba.

NOTES.

Note 1.

Whatever credence is to be given to the account in the Mahāvaṁśa of the Buddha’s three visits to Ceylon, it is generally and reasonably held that the description of the inhabitants of the period rests on a sub-stratum of fact. It will be found (Mah., p. 7, last line) that three distinct classes of beings are mentioned as then living in Ceylon—the so-called Devas, Nágas, and Yakkhas. In Mahiyangana, that is, in Eastern Ceylon, are found the Yakkhas, with the Devas in the adjoining mountains of the Central Province (Mah., p. 7); in Nágadípa, that is, in Northern Ceylon, we have only Nágas, whence the name; in Kalyáni, that is, in Western Ceylon, we have only Nágas; while at Anurádhapura both Devas and Nágas are mentioned (pp. 7, 96). The Yakkhas, however, greatly predominated; ‘Lanka was filled with Yakkhas.’ The Nágas lived on both land and water (p. 6), and, being the nearest race to India, were naturally people who kept up communication with the continent; the Nága King of Kalyáni is represented as proceeding to the Jetawanáráma, in Northern India, to invite the Buddha to pay his third visit to Ceylon. It is extremely probable, too, that as the Nágas inhabited Northern Ceylon, possessed vessels, and were acquainted with the adjoining continent, they were allied to the southern races of India; that is, that they were Drávidians. It is reasonable to presume that parts of Northern Ceylon were occupied by settlers from South India. Indian Nágas are, in fact, represented as similar beings, who also possessed the means of passing over the sea. Why should tradition give these people this power
when it is specially denied to the Yakkhas? On the Buddha’s first visit, when the latter were being scorched by the flames (p. 3), they merely ‘stood on the shores,’ unable to escape by sea. The only reason to be assigned is that sub-stratum of fact on which the whole story rests. These ‘fierce Yakkhas’ were evidently quite another race. As we find them only in Eastern (and probably Southern) Ceylon, it seems likely that they were either the aborigines, allied perhaps to some of the wild mountain tribes of South India, or possibly, as there is more reason to believe, Aryan settlers, long prior to the Mágadhese under Wijaya, who came, like the latter, from the North. It is tolerably certain that they were either people whom the advent of the Drávídian Nágas had driven from the Northern and Western coasts, or settlers who, finding the coasts of Northern Ceylon already claimed by a strong race, had been compelled to travel further south in search of unoccupied lands. In this latter case the so-called Devas, who lived in the central forests and mountains, may perhaps have been the aborigines. I think the word cannot be taken in its literal sense; the Devas are spoken of as human beings (p. 7), who behave like the other natives, but are more peaceably disposed—a disposition which would soon lead to their absorption or extinction by their conquerors.

However this may be, Wijaya, according to the narrative, arrived in the country inhabited by the Yakkhas, and not the country of the Nágas. Leaving everything else out of consideration, the abovementioned particulars of the distribution of the races show that this fact alone affords some evidence that he did not land in Northern or Western Ceylon. But when it is added to the explicit statement of the Dípavañsa, that his capital (made near his landing-place, to which he returned after capturing the Southern Yakkha settlement of Siriwatthapura) was in the south, and to the equally explicit statement of the Mahávañsa, that his successor and his successor’s queen landed at Gonaǵáma (which is certainly at the mouth of the Kirinde-ganga), this being confirmed by the despatch of the King’s ministers 50 or 60 miles southward from Upatissa (that is, 30 or 40 miles south from Anurádhapura) to meet the princess,—it seems to me that my argument cannot easily be controverted.

As to the identity of Mágama with Tambapáññí Nuwara, I have found some further evidence. At Mahávañsa, p. 50, the names of the principal settlements of Wijaya’s followers are mentioned—Anurádhagama, Upatissagama, Uruwelagama, and Wijitagama. The sites of three of these are known, and that of the fourth, Uruwela, is approximately known. Only a few years after the
death of Wijaya, the brothers of his successor's queen came to Ceylon, and settled down at certain enumerated towns, selected by them for the purpose, over which Wijaya and his chiefs had previously ruled. This list of towns, as given at Mahávañaśa, p. 56, which, it will be observed, runs from north to south, is Rámagona, Uruwela, Anuráda, Wijita, Dígháyu, and Rohana. In all probability one of these is the former capital, Tambapāṇi. A town in the former list, Upatissa, is omitted, for the good reason that the King, Pañjuwása Déwa, himself lived at it at that time (Mah., pp. 54, 55); and three not in that list are included—Rámagona, Dígháyu, and Rohana. If the ancient capital is included among the six towns, it must evidently be one of these three; and it may further be said that if the capital is not one of these three, then the list is most probably inaccurate.

As the list reads from north to south in the case of five of the six towns, it may be presumed that the sixth one is taken in the same order. Rámagona was therefore further north than Uruwela, which is equivalent to saying that it was in the Northern Province. Wijaya's city being in the South, Rámagona is plainly not that town.

Dígháyu is in Eastern Ceylon, in the Batticaloa District; it is not near the coast, and it is, moreover, evidently named after its founder, who must have been another of Wijaya's chiefs.

Rohana (or Mágama), therefore, alone remains to be identified with Tambapāṇi. (See, also, Note 2.)

Note 2.

The inscriptions on the two octagonal pillars near the east end of the embankment at Tissawawa, are as follows, each in one line:—

I. A'satisaha rájakaya gáme micádiṭi bináke.
   At the royal village of A'satissa the heresy was broken up.

II. Siddham. Yage Dhamasabaye náma Saṅga ca Támone náma micádiṭika jana acataye no heki ye........
   Hail! The Assembly named Dhammasabhá and the Community named Támana, having cut off heretical persons, cannot........
   "No hekiye" may possibly be intended for "no pakiye," 'not siding with them.'

These inscriptions are apparently of the same date as the Kirinde inscription (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 57), and commemorate the suppression of the schism also referred to in that.
We can see, now, why the tank is called "Tissawewa," Tissa, or A'satissa, being the name of the village or town on its eastern and south-eastern side, in which the artificers and other workpeople lived, and in which these pillars were set up. One is tempted to identify the title of the "community" with the original name of the city of Mágama, as given in Sinhalese works, but actual proof of the connection is slight. It is certainly an interesting coincidence that this name should occur in an early inscription (which, on account of its lengthened vowels, appears to belong to the first century A.D.), at the very site of the ancient capital. Alteration in the class of some consonants is not unknown in early inscriptions. Compare ṭabīya (Situlpa, No. 16), and puṭa (Mihintale, No. 20). Taking the two inscriptions together, one would suppose that the above named community lived at the "Royal village of A’satissa," that is, at Mágama. If not, and if they had not been the most important fraternity represented, they would have been included with those who came from a distance to the Convocation, probably gathered in from all the various monasteries of the Province, and would not have been honoured by special mention. The natural presumption is that this community contained all the Mágama and A’satissa priesthood. Such a numerous and influential body might, without impropriety, be separately noted in an inscription cut under its own supervision. I see no other way of accounting for the special mention of this Fraternity. If this hypothesis is correct, Ṭamana is the equivalent of Mágama + Tissa.

III.—Inscription on the "mal-póruwa," the great stone slab for flower-offerings, at the Mahárāma dágaba, in one line:—

Siddhaṁ. Nadiyama ca sike vulisī maha gaba paṭaye dine do kali hadi.

Hail! The Nadiyama spire is raised, and the slab for the great chamber is given; two skilful (deeds) accomplished.

The great chamber mentioned is probably the pilimā-gē, or house for the statues. Judging by the shape of the letters, and by the first word, which shows the inscription to be cut by royal command, it is possible that reference is here made to the improvements carried out by Woháraka Tissa, A.D. 209–231. (Mah., p. 226.)

IV.—Inscription on the pavement to west of the Mahárāma dágaba:—

(1) Hē wasaga harasa (2) ra tama taṇmā pada na (3) kā huṇu yasaṭa la (4) ḍḍha koṭu dwanda no
(5) pata eyi wada bho (6) ga wasaga labha
(7) taká .......... na bha .......... gañ.

The monks of the Congregation, having thoroughly acquired (the qualities of) kindness, humility, affection, tranquillity of mind, absence of desires, reverence; not seeking strife, in which are vain sinful thoughts; having gained and esteemed humility .........................

This inscription is of the 12th century, and the style of language is not unlike that of Parákrama Bâhu I., A.D. 1153–1186, who restored the buildings at Mágama. He had rather a predilection for teaching the monks their duties.

V.—Inscription on the Etábæduwa (Ancient Inscriptions, No. 109). I have devoted some time to this stone, but without success. So far as I can make out, its chief contents is the usual record of gifts. Part of it on the second and third faces runs:—

(6) .......... dâna thitâtâ cata ca.
(7) .......... dâna datâ pata kâra ca.
(8) bata .......... dâna thitâtâ pata kâra ca.
(9) re (? te) me tahana dâna datâ pata kâra ca.
(10) maha ratana nama dâna data ..........

Having permanently established and given over the alms for .........., having given and caused to be appointed the alms for .........., having permanently established and caused to be appointed the alms for .........., having given and caused to be appointed these (?) continual alms, having given the alms called Maha Ratana ..........

It will be convenient to include with these inscriptions two others referring to the district, from Rambhara wihâra, on the Wallawe-ganga, about ten miles north of Ambalantoţa. A transcript of part of one of these has already been published by Dr. E. Müller (No. 154), but as some additional fragments of it have come to light, I think it will be advisable to repeat it here, on account of its connection with the other.

VI.—Inscription on three faces of a prostrate octagonal pillar, near the wihâra:—

A.—(1) Maha (2) radi (3) Maha (4) [Sîrisa] (5) ūga [bo] (6) Rambha (7) ra ce [tæ] (8) me wa (9) se sa (10) saa mi (11) dî wae (12) talâ (13) sik (14) aala (15) mæni (16) k si (17) tâ bami (18) me

B.—(1) ...... ni (2) ...... pu (3) ...... ga (4) ............
(5) pága (6) ...... ha ...... (7) ...... ke (8) [t] a
(9) ya dî (10) me gan (11) ga ma (12) ha me
C.-(1) lâdâ (2) tîrâ (3) rama (4) talana (5) eyi (6) n ni (7) ka das (8) kâta (9) ge no (10) bidâ tâ (11) rakni (12) isâ (13) gamwâ (14) siya (15) gere (16) saka mî (17) yan (18) rewe no (19) wadnâ (20) isâ.

King Maha Sirî Sangabo, in this dwelling at the Rambhara caitya, has bestowed a grant:—The royal taxes on the (?) tanks, pools, main channels, precious stones, occupied land .......... are given. When this river, during heavy rains, is beating against the betel-garden on the bank, the slaves who go away from it shall protect the property, and not break into the houses on the high ground. And enemies shall not seize the villagers' cattle or cart buffaloes.

The king mentioned may perhaps be Kassapa V., A.D. 937–954; but as no other inscription by him has been found in this district, and as he has not prefixed "Maha" in other inscriptions, there is considerable doubt on this point. Some expressions, which are not the same as those on other inscriptions, seem to point to a different king from the others who call themselves "Saûgabo." Thus we have gere for gon, v. midenawâ for v. deñawâ, rewe for wæriyan, saka for gel, and sasna for paræhær.

I take rewe = rupu; between them we perhaps have:—

(?) Nëwanæ pl. (for rêwanæ) at Ætawiragollæwa.

(?) Rawanæ pl. at Mahâkalattæwa.

Ruwa pl. (for ruwanæ) on a pillar at Padawiya, newly discovered.

VII.—Inscription on a large broken slab, near the wihâra:—

(1) S'rî Laûkâwa manushyâwâsa kâla Wijaya râja paramparâyen (2) Laûkâwa himi S'rî Wîra râja Nišsauka Mallâ Kâlinga Parâkra (3) ma Bâhu Cakkrawarttî swâñin wahanse Lakdiwa e (4) k sat koṭe peræ raja daruwan no bada aya genæ (5) dug bita kâla Lakdiwâ Ruqu rajayehi gam niyam ga (6) m wæwu æla awuñu râjadhâni prasiddha sthâna wihâ (7) ra me tænæ me liyeyi hæki nâgara no hæki pa (8) ridden nasâ pû wæ e e tanhi e e râjadhâni mahâra (9) âdiwû daē karawâ sat havuruddakaṭa aya hæra dî (10) wel wahal sarak pamuñu parapurun ma tanaturu ran (11) ridî walan mutu mænîk wastrâbhara nûdî no ek was (12) tu dî havuruðu patâ pas tulâbhârayak bægin dî Laûkâ (13) wa samuddha koṭe boho Tewalâ liyawâ dî tun nakâ sa (14) maga idûræ (? ni-dûræ) tabâ lo wæda sasun wæda koṭe perse

The Lord of Lāṅka, of the royal race of His Majesty King Wijaya, who made Ceylon habitable by men; His Majesty Wirārāja Niṣṭaṅka Mallā, Kālinga Parākrama Bāhu, Supreme King, who brought Ceylon under one umbrella; who put an end to the distress brought about by the unbounded taxation of former princes; who, in the Kingdom of Ruhuṇa in Ceylon, (saw) the villages, fortified villages, tanks, channels, dams, royal cities, celebrated places, this place, this city—as it may be written, it cannot otherwise be like a ruined town,—and caused (?) high roads and other things to be made at those places, those royal cities; who, giving up the taxes for seven years, giving lands, slaves, cattle, pamunu, even inheritances, offices, gold and silver ornaments, pearls, jewels, clothing, and many things; giving yearly in due order five tulābhāras (his own weight in valuables, coin, &c.), made prosperity in Ceylon; who caused many Tripiṭakas to be written, and presented them; who established the three Nikāyas together, not apart; doing work for the land and work for the religion, not (?) eradicating things that former princes a long time ago effected; who saw several other places difficult (of access); who established security, up-rooting (evil-doers among) dwellers in the jungle and dwellers in huts; who visited Dambadiwa, and having appointed princes, and longing for it, having caused battle to be offered .........., having made then afraid, .......... returned to Ceylon; who, on several occasions, looked at Adam’s Peak, and other mountain fastnesses, marsh fastnesses, forest fastnesses, .......... fear-inspiring places, like a ripe neli fruit in the hand; who visited Anurādhapura ..........
These two inscriptions approximately fix the date of the abandonment of the extensive irrigation channels which are cut from the Wallawewa-ganga, near Rambhara Wihara. In the time of Maha Siri Sangabo, that is, in the 10th century, it is clear that they were in working order; while in the time of Niṣṣaṅka Malla, 1187-1196, the place had become, as he says, "like a ruined town." It may be affirmed, almost with certainty, that the destruction and loss of life due to the severe fighting and its accompanying cruelties, in Rohana, during the time of Parākrama Bāhu I., both before and after he ascended the throne, were the cause of the abandonment of these important and remunerative works; which, I am glad to add, have now a prospect of taking a place among the most successful restorations in the Island. In other respects this inscription of Niṣṣaṅka Malla's is not of much interest, being a repetition of others by the same king.

**Note 3.**

In various countries the lengths of the earliest bricks have, with good reason, been supposed to give the measurement of the early cubit, or length of the forearm and fingers. It will be obvious that by ascertaining the proportions between the cubit and the height we obtain a means of roughly measuring the height of the early brickmakers. In the case of ten villagers of the Hambantota District, the ratio was 1 to 3-622, while their mean height was 5 feet 4½ inches. Multiplying the mean length of the most ancient bricks found at Mágama by this ratio, we obtain 5 feet 3 inches as a rough approximation to the height of the ancient workmen.

**Note 4.**

King Duṭṭhagámini deposited at each of the four gates of the Rūwanweli dāgaba 16 lacs of kahápanas, only (Mah., p. 175); and the cost of the whole work, including decorations, &c., was 1,000 kotis (p. 195). The cost of the Lohapásáda was less than one-thirtieth of this, viz., 30 kotis (p. 195); yet we are to believe that at this smaller work 32 lacs of gold coins were deposited as a guarantee that the labourers would receive payment in return for their work!

**Note 5.**

After proceeding from Tissa, as it may now be correctly termed, to the Northern Province, I have been greatly interested to learn from Mr. Massie, Assistant Government Agent at Vilánkulum, that nine copper plaques, similar to those unearthed in our cuttings, were met with at Mulleittívú at a great depth
below the surface of the ground, while a well was in course of excavation. With Mr. Massie’s permission, I am able to add descriptions of five of these coins, as I suppose them to be, two of which he has been good enough to give me. Four others were sent by him to the Museum last year; but owing to Mr. Haly’s absence from the Island, it is not known where they are deposited, and I have thus been unable to see them. I also add a description of two fragments of similar plaques obtained by me in the Southern Province, and said to have been found at Sittráwila, a village two miles from Tissa, at the presumed Duratáwila tank, at which a doubtful tradition states that Dutihamámini settled some of the work-people employed in erecting buildings at Mágamá.

Mulleittivu Coins.

(1) Oblong copper coin, 1·17 inch long, 0·65 inch wide, weighing 56 grains.

Obverse. Full-length standing figure of a man, facing front, the legs apart for more than the thickness of one, feet turned half-outwards. Over the head runs the usual semicircular line (? the royal umbrella), which appears to rest on javelin-like weapons, standing upright at the margin. That on the right can be seen to have a head with two points, like the head of a trident with middle prong omitted; on the left, the upright shaft can alone be distinguished. This last one is apparently grasped near the middle by the right hand, and perhaps the left hand grasps the other. There is something below the arms, near the legs, which cannot be clearly distinguished. The king appears to be clothed to mid-thighs in a tunic; and he wears bangles on his wrists and anklets above his feet. On each side of the neck, above the shoulders, is a raised bead. There is no border. The design is stamped and not cut; it is not in true relief, the background being merely sunk.

Reverse. In opposite direction to obverse. The royal monogram, as usual, designed with broad, well-raised lines. The upright lines at the base are all of the same height, and shorter than in Mágamá coins. In the space to left, under the swástika, there is a narrow-mouthed vase, with a base on which to rest, and a nearly flat top to the body. Out of the mouth grows a Bo-tree, consisting of an upright stem and two alternate horizontal branches, each terminated by a leaf. In the space to right, arranged vertically, the sitting humped bull, facing the swástika. No border. In coll., H. Parker.

(2) Oblong copper coin (fragment); average length 1·02 in., width 0·64, weight 51 grains.
Obverse.—Full-length standing figure of a man, facing front. Each hand grasps, near the middle, an upright javelin at the margin of the coin, from the top of which there passes a flattened arc over his head. There appears to be a bangle on the left wrist; the other wrist and the legs are indistinct. No border.

Reverse.—In opposite direction to obverse. The royal monogram, as usual. In space to left, the vase, with Bo-tree, indistinct; to right, the sitting humped bull facing the swástika. No border. In coll., H. Parker.

(3) Short oblong copper coin (fragment); length .97 in., mean width .76 in., weight 19½ grains.

Obverse.—Full-length standing figure of a man, facing front, apparently clothed from waist to mid-thighs. His hands hang down as though to hold javelins; but the plaque is too much defaced and worn for more to be distinguished. No border.

Reverse.—On this face all that can be made out is the humped sitting bull, which has been re-punched from the obverse by some one. R. Massie, Esq., C.C.S.

(4) Irregular oblong copper coin (fragment); length .92 in., width .56 in. to .64 in., weight 42 grains.

Obverse.—Full-length standing figure of a man, facing half-left. The design is very roughly stamped. Apparently, the king has bangles and anklets; he holds the upright javelins, the head and point of one of which can be clearly seen at the level of his shoulder. His clothing cannot be defined. There is a bead in relief at each side of the neck. In this coin the figure of the king is in true relief. No border.

Reverse.—Opposed to obverse. The royal monogram, as usual. In space to left, a beautifully executed, full-bodied vase in good relief, with a small mouth and distinct lip. Out of it grows the Bó-tree, consisting of three separate shoots each terminated by a leaf. Design on right cannot be distinguished. R. Massie, Esq., C.C.S.

(5) Oblong copper coin (?fragment); 1·10 in. long, .68 to .73 in. wide, weight 47½ grains.

Obverse.—Full-length standing figure of a very vigorous man, facing front, legs apart, feet turned half-outwards. He grasps an upright javelin in each hand, near the margin, that on the right having a square knob at its base. He appears to be clothed from the waist to the upper part of the thighs, and he wears bangles and anklets. His shoulders are very broad and square, and waist narrow. There is a raised bead on each side of the neck; and the royal umbrella passes overhead, seeming to spring from the javelins. The king is not in true relief. No border.
Reverse.—Indistinct, but part of the monogram is visible. In space to left, the vase with Bō-tree, apparently consisting of two shoots, each branching into two. In right space, the humped bull, sitting facing swāstika. No border. R. Massie, Esq., C.C.S.

Fragments from Sittráwila.

(6) About half the coin only; ’54 inch long, ’49 inch wide. The king is evidently standing, on the obverse, and he appears to be holding something in his right hand, which may perhaps be a javelin. His legs are well apart. On the other face there is the swāstika portion of the royal monogram, or seal. The design was opposed to that on the obverse.

(7) Rather more than half the coin; ’76 inch long, ’50 inch wide. On the obverse the upright figure of a man, grasping in his left hand a staff, which may be the shaft of a javelin. No clothing discernable. Feet and right arm cannot be defined. On the reverse nothing can be distinguished.

Some doubts have been expressed as to whether the copper plaques are really coins. I am content to base my identification of them as coins, firstly, on the invariable presence of the swāstika on the reverse, formed into a symbol which accompanies an inscription purporting to be cut by royal authority (whether it really is so or not is of no consequence in this argument); secondly, on the presence of the four letters on one of the Mágama coins, forming, as I believe, the name of the king under whose authority the plaque was issued; thirdly, on the presence of the religious emblems on these northern coins, emblems which are commonly found on Indian coins. The Bo-tree (without the vase, which of course is a distinctive mark of the Ceylon origin of these coins) is stamped on coins of Eran, and the bull is characteristic of South Indian coins. Even in our own country, no one is permitted to make use of the royal arms without express authorisation; and there is no warrant for assuming that the autocratic early rulers of Ceylon ever allowed their subjects this privilege.

The Mulleittívā coins are particularly interesting on account of the presence, at the same time, of the Buddhist and Hindu sacred symbols. When we read over the particulars contained in the Mahávaṉsa regarding all the early Buddhist kings of Ceylon, there appears to be no one who so favoured Hinduism as to be likely to impress a representation of the animal sacred to Vishnu beside the sacred Bo-tree. The only man, in early times, who can be selected as possessing a character in strict accordance with the design on these coins is Elára, the great Tamil King (205–161
B.C.). The Mahávaṁsa says of him (p. 128) that he administered justice with impartiality; and that ‘although this king was ignorant of the “ratanattaya,” as well as of its inestimable importance and immutable virtues, protecting the institutions of the land, he repaired to the Cetiya mountain, and offered his protection to the priesthood.’ The anecdote which then follows shows that he paid the highest possible respect to Buddhism. Such a monarch, in his desire to conciliate the Śrīhalese, would be not unlikely to place their sacred symbol on an equality with the symbol of his own religion; but no valid reason can be assigned for an early Śrīhalese king’s acting thus. The javelin grasped by the king indicate that he was a warrior, but there are many others who might claim this character. On the whole, probability points to Elāra as the king who issued these plaques, whether coins or not; but of course we cannot go beyond mere conjecture at present.

NOTE 6.

The following is the extract from the Dhátuvaṁsa regarding Mahánāga’s constructions in Mágam, from the manuscript at the Hambantota pansala (leaf 21):

E’ Mahánāga nam raja dhátúnwahanséta pújá keremin Mágam nuwarama wisuyéya.

E’ rajahu wisin karawu wihára mesé datayutu:—Yaṭṭhála wiháraya Sandagiri wiháraya Kodorapawu wiháraya Nuwaragunű wiháraya Sénanala wiháraya Wælipiti wiháraya. Yanádiwû wihára siyayak karawá Tripiṭaka Mahá Arishtā nam terunwahanséta hastódaka koṭa piliganwá mesé ē raja jívitántaya dákwa dhátu pariharānaya koṭa antima kálayehi maraṇa māncakayehi ottē tamangé putanuwan Yaṭṭhála Tissa kumárayan langata kændawá “pūta Tissa kumárayeni api pariharānaya karaṇa dhátúnwahanséta pújá karawá” yi dhátu piliwela kiyá putanuwanḍa anusósaná koṭa kála kriyá wagé kelawara dewlowa upannéya.

“That king Mahánāga, continuing to pay homage to the relics, resided in the city of Mágam.

“The wihāras constructed by that king are as follows:—Yaṭṭhála wihára, Sandagiri wihára, Kodorapawu wihára, Nuwaragunű wihára, Sénanala wihára, Wælipiti (now Wælipatanwila) wihára. Having caused to be built one hundred other similar wihāras, and poured the water of donation, he caused the Thera Mahá Arishtā, learned in the Tripiṭaka, to accept them.

“Thus that king, having afforded protection to the relics up to the end of his life, having in his last moments, on his deathbed, summoned to his side his only son, prince Yaṭṭhála Tissa,
and said: 'Tissa, my son, beloved prince, cause reverence to be paid to the relics which we preserve,' having related the history of the relics, and exhorted his son—after death was born in the final heaven.'

The Mahá Arishṭa who is mentioned is the celebrated general, the king's nephew, whom Dewánampiya Tissa sent to Asoka for the bo-branch, and who was afterwards ordained by Mahinda.

If this authority is to be relied upon, the question of Yaṭṭhála Tissa's birth at the Yaṭṭhála wihára of Mágama is definitively settled in the negative. He could not be born at a wihára which his father only built subsequently. I also draw attention to the fact recorded in some detail in the Mahávañña, that Queen Anulá, the wife of Mahánága, entered the Order of nuns, under Sanghamittá, and, apparently, never afterwards left Anurádhapura. Her son must have been born before she was ordained.

As regards the Yaṭṭhála dágaba, I consider that the difference in the sizes of the bricks employed at it and at the Maháráma is a proof that the two were not built at the same time. Mahánága might erect a wihára only, which would not be termed the "Yaṭṭhála" wihára until the adjoining dágaba had been built. In a similar manner, Dewánampiya Tissa built the "Lohapásáda hall" (Mah. p. 101); but the Lohapásáda, from which it derived its name, was constructed eighty years afterwards. Numerous references might be given where "wihára" means simply "wihára," and certainly not a "dágaba" also.

**Note 7.**

While an excavation was being made in the lands newly brought under cultivation below the Tissa tank, two interesting stones, carved with reliefs, were met with; but were unfortunately broken up. As the "motive" of the sculptures does not seem to be of Ceylon origin, the carvings are of importance in connection with early Sinhalese art. They had evidently been fixed at the entrance to a dwelling, on each side of the steps leading up to it; and one was a replica of the other. The leading figure was a full-length cow turned to the left, but looking back to the right (her own left). At her side, turned to the right, stood a calf, scratching its ear with its right hind leg. Beyond the cow, and above her back, appeared the head and neck of a bull, half-turned to the right. The whole was well cut in good relief, in limestone; but was of a decidedly archaic type. The cow was represented without any hump, nor had the calf one.

Without at present discussing the manner in which this
“motive” found its way to Mágama, I annex the following extract regarding it, by Mr. Gardner:—

The group of cow-and-calf is of great antiquity and Oriental origin. It is found on Egyptian and Assyrian monuments as well as those of Persia, Lycia, and Phœnicia. It was undoubtedly connected with the worship of the Asiatic goddess who passed under many names in various parts of the Levant, Mylitta, Anaitis, or Cybele. This deity was introduced in the course of commerce into various Greek cities, and identified with local divinities, usually Hera or Artemis. In Eubœa we find many traces of the cultus of this Asiatic goddess; and it was probably in connection with her that the type of cow-and-calf was introduced into Eubœa, and adopted by the people of Carystus as their civic emblem. (Brit. Mus. Cat., Coins of Thessaly to Ætolia, Introduction, p. xlvii.)

NOTE 8.

Since the description of the earthenware article No. 20 was written, I have ascertained that its shape (with the exception of the hole in the bottom) is almost exactly that of the peculiar glass bottles or alabastrons made by the Phœnicians from a very early date down to the first centuries after Christ. (Hist. of Art in Phœnia, Vol. II., p. 326 & ff.)
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THE FIRST FIFTY JA'TAKAS.

PREFACE.*

The papers on the subject of "The First Fifty Jātakas," which were read last year before the Society, were placed by the Committee in my hands to be edited, with liberty to omit, abridge, or re-arrange, as I thought best. Such liberty was necessary, because several papers traversed in many parts the same ground, some were merely introductory, and some were in disorder; but this liberty made my task a complicated and delicate one. I have kept in view two objects,—the one, to record the information and the opinions elicited in the Society’s meetings; the other, to present in doing so a readable sketch of the whole subject. With this view, while I have presented each author’s contributions as far as possible in his own words, I have not hesitated to sacrifice his individuality when I could secure brevity or distinctness by grouping, in my own language, the results of several writers. I owe, perhaps, a special apology to Professor Künte, whose kind co-operation was so highly valued by the Society, for treating his learned papers with the same freedom.

The duty of reading the introductory papers in our meetings fell to my share, and hence I am obliged reluctantly to put some of my own work at the beginning.

R. S. C.

* I have not thought it necessary, in a compilation of this kind, to insist on perfect accuracy or consistency in spelling and transliteration. Pāli words of frequent occurrence have been often written without diacritical marks: \( v \) and \( w \) are used indiscriminately, or as each writer had used them in his manuscript; the mark of quantity has been left on \( e \) and \( o \) in many cases, though it is of course unnecessary, when these are always long.
THE MATTER.

Mr. Rhys Davids' valuable work.—The first paper* was called "A Review of Mr. Rhys Davids' Introduction," and began as follows:

Mr. Rhys Davids, in the introduction to his first volume of "Buddhist Birth-stories," published in 1880, seems to have designed to give the English reader a thorough insight into the character of the Jātaka stories as a whole, and a definite acquaintance with the contents of some typical specimens of them; and at the same time to describe the place which the book holds in general literature, and to show how largely, in his opinion, European literature has been indebted to this Buddhist work and to works connected with it. In doing this he has brought together a considerable amount of curious and interesting information, and has made his introduction a very readable, though at the same time a fairly accurate and scholarly piece of work.

In the rest of the volume he has given a translation of the Pāli Preface to the Jātaka Book, and of nearly forty of the tales. Thus, although for the present he has stopped there, he may fairly be said to have supplied all that the general reader need know, and to have enabled those who wish to make a particular study of this book, to do so with a good start, and on the right lines. This Society, then, having applied itself, in some sense, to such a study, some acquaintance with Mr. Davids' work is, I venture to say, an indispensable part of our equipment.

Meaning of Birth-story.—According to the Buddhist belief, every man living has entered on his present life in succession to a vast number of previous lives, in any one of which he may have been a man—king, monk, or goatherd—an animal, a goblin, or deity, as the case might be. For the mass of men, these previous lives have left no trace on memory, but a Buddha remembers them all, and not his own only, but the previous births also of other men. And Gotama, so the tradition runs, was in the habit of explaining the facts of the present in the lives of those about him, by what they had been or done in other births, and of illustrating his own

* By the Editor.
teaching by what he had done himself in earlier births. Of
the stories which he has thus told of his own previous
existences, 550 are supposed to have been collected imme-
diately after his decease. And the Commentary, in which
we have them now embodied, professes to state the circum-
stances in Gotama's life as Buddha, or in the lives of his
contemporaries, which led him to narrate these stories of the
past. Every story, then, (with exceptions which need not
here be noticed,) in the collection we are dealing with,
contains both a narrative of the past, and also a narrative of
the present which explains the occasion of it. Thirdly,
besides these two members, there is embodied in each story
at least one stanza or gāthā, which either holds the place
of moral or sums up the salient points of the story. And it
is from the stanza, theoretically, that each story or chapter
of the Commentary takes its rise. The whole is an answer to
the question, On what occasion and in what connection did
the Buddha utter such and such a stanza? Indeed, accor-
ding to tradition, the original Jātaka Book consisted of the
verses only.

The Stanza the nucleus of the story. Connection with
Dhammapada.—In this connection it may be worth while
to mention that a large number of the Jātakas are
especially associated with the Dhammapada—that valuable
collection of stanzas on topics of Buddhist doctrine and
morality. Many of these Dhammapada verses are the
central stanzas of Jātakas: in Burmah a book called
Dhammapada-Vatthu ("Stories on Dhammapada") has been
translated into English by Captain Rogers, and in China
Mr. Beal has collected a number of similar stories in
illustration of verses from the same source. All this goes
to show that the verses are the central element in the stories.

Such is the shape and the traditional theory of our
present book. The Buddha is supposed to have uttered—
to have made his own if not to have invented—the witty
or moral stanzas under consideration: he is said to have
uttered them in the course of unfolding a narrative about
one of his own previous lives, and to have been led to
unfold that narrative by some event which occurred to one
of his monks or lay disciples, or which they brought to
his notice.
The traditional theory erroneous. "A collection of Folklore."—This theory, as an explanation of the book as a whole, will not hold water for a moment, chiefly for the following reasons. Of the stanzas in question, while some are as likely to be the Buddha's own words as any words that are attributed to him, many are obviously mere popular sayings, proverbs, or snatches of popular songs. Of the tales of the past, many are fables, fairy-tales, "Joe Miller," and records of every-day experience, such as are in no way peculiar to Buddhism, but are the common property of the world. The tales of the present—that is, the narratives of the occasions on which Gotama is supposed to have told the story of the past—are in most cases the weakest and the most artificial and, evidently, the latest part of the work. In the case of many Jātakas, the true account is probably something like the exact reverse of the theory. The fairy-tale or "Joe Miller" had to be got into the collection: a moral, gathered from any source, was roughly tacked on to it; and an appropriate occasion was invented on which Gotama might—had there been such an occasion—have told it. But while this is the case with perhaps the majority, there are some which answer to the theoretical description, where the stanzas and the central stories are evidently Buddhistic, and where the narrative of the occasion is a genuine fragment of the life of Gotama as we have it.

In view of these facts, and of other considerations which seem to me of less importance, Mr. Rhys Davids throws over the traditional analysis of the book, and proceeds to treat it as being primarily a collection of ancient tales and fables, "the oldest, most complete, and most important collection of folk-lore extant."

How far is this description correct?—Many of these tales are found in European collections, and without saying that in all such cases the Western has borrowed from the Eastern, Mr. Davids traces the steps by which, in many instances, this seems to have occurred. He translates for us No. 189, "The Ass in the Lion's Skin"—a fable which was known to the Greeks in Plato's time, and is found in every part of the modern world; and then of "The Talkative Tortoise," who, being carried along (at his own request) by two swans, could not keep his mouth shut, and so lost
his hold of the stick by which they were carrying him; this also a widely-known fable. Neither of these, it will be seen at once, has in itself any connection with Buddhism, but is only connected with it by the pretense that the Buddha had professed to have witnessed the event in one of his own previous lives. And so, Mr. Davids goes on to give us "The Jackal and Crow," two mutual flatterers; "The Wise Judge," (as Mr. Davids names an extract from the Ummaga Jātaka), in which he who was afterwards to be the Buddha decided a question by a method very like the judgment of Solomon; and then a curious story of a magical hatchet, drum, and bowl. These five tales are all, so far as any moral or doctrine goes, ἀπέν πρὸς Δόξανεν, quite irrelevant to Buddhism; and the sixth, called "A Lesson for Kings," is the only one of those selected as specimens, which we could by any means suppose to have been invented by Gotama.

In regard, however, to those specimens which Mr. Davids has given of fables or tales known to the Western world, he has shown reason to think in some instances that the Jātaka form of the story is the older. Thus, in the Jātaka story of the "Lion's Skin" there is no impossible or supernatural element; a natural explanation is given of the ass having got into the skin; namely, that its owner, a pedlar, put the lion's skin upon it in order that the villagers, as he travelled about, might be afraid to approach it, and so it might feed cheaply on their standing corn. Hence this form of the story is held to be more primitive. And so on. But in the case of what he calls "The Wise Judge," Mr. Davids' critical faculty has deserted him. He rambles about the possibilities of intercourse with Jews, and whether Solomon's ships carried the fame of his judgment to Ophir; but he has failed to observe that the Jātaka tale is beyond all question not an original, if only for these two reasons,—that it occurs in a long list of methods for detecting tricks, as evident a collection of existing stories as could be; and, secondly, that the judge is not said to have himself discovered the false mother by this method, but only to have thereby exposed to bystanders what he had already perceived by other means, having known at the first glance, by her red eyes and other symptoms, that she was not a woman but a demon.
Contents and classification of the stories. — A careful estimate, however, of the contents of this section of the Jātaka book will lead the reader probably to qualify a little the theory he may have formed of it as a collection of those fables, fairy-tales, and comic stories which belong to the general household store of the human family. For it is only a portion of the contents which can be described as stories of universal interest or application, found or fit to be found in every part of the world. There is an equal portion, I think, which is distinctly Buddhistic, and another considerable portion which is Indian and local, and has its origin and application within a limited range of social, commercial, and woodland experience.

There are indeed a certain number of those pointed allegorical lessons of general morality and good sense, which are called fables in the most significant use of the word, and which are, or well might be, the common property of mankind. But a good many, if called fables at all, must be called fables of Buddhism. They inculcate, not morality and good sense in general, but specific points of Buddhist teaching. These cannot, as such, be parts of universal folk-lore. Finally, there are but few, in this section, which can be classed as comic stories, and only one fairy-tale.

We are led, therefore, somewhat to qualify the language which would describe the book as primarily a collection of materials which are common property, and we are prepared to find much,—perhaps the most important part,—to be distinctly Indian, local, and Buddhistic.

To establish this point I will now give details.

There are, as I reckon, ten stories which may claim to be called fables. Five of these, "The Impudent Peacock" (32), "The Quarrel of the Quails" (33), "The Crow and the Crab" (38), "The Pride of Possession" (39), "The Monkey, the Elephant, and the Parrot" (37), have the pointed and universal character which belongs to the fables of wide circulation. Of the other five, "The Brahmin and his Goat" (18), "The Ox and the Pig" (30), "The Uxurious Fish" (34), "Nursing a Viper" (43), and "The Crow and the Pigeon" (42), the first three have more or less of the special character of Buddhist teaching, and the other two
have hardly point enough to be placed in the first rank of fables.

The number of comic stories, more or less amusing, is five or six. The lion maintained that the dark half of the month was the cold half, the tiger that it was cold in the moonlight half; neither seeing, till the Bodhisat told them, that the cold depends on the wind and not on the moon (17); the boy took a hatchet to kill a mosquito on his father's head (44 repeated in 45); a simpleton watered the young trees in proportion to their length of roots, and pulled them up to see (46); another put salt in wine because he saw people eat salt with it (47); another simpleton lost his bride by his attention to the stars (49); and I count with these the story of the King's Valuer, who first appraised a drove of horses at the value of a measure of rice, and then, when bribed by the horse-dealer, explained the value of a measure of rice to be the price of the whole realm of Benares.

As in part a fairy-tale, I reckon the story of Lósaka or Mittavindaka.

Thus we have about seventeen in all, out of the fifty, which can be classed as fables, comic tales, and fairy-tales. It remains to classify the remainder.

There are some seventeen, besides some of those already mentioned, of which the main interest lies in the habits of animals and their tricks, and the devices of their hunters and keepers. About deer, dogs, elephants, horses, oxen; about fish; about birds, the crow, the parrot, the pigeon, the quail, the peacock,—there are facts noted with a good deal of sagacious observation. The crow feeds on meat, the pigeon on seeds, the parrot flies far for food, the peacock struts to attract his mate, the quails lie close in a covey; the slyness of deer, and their tricks; the points in which the appearance of their death consists; hunters entrapping them by scattering sweet things on the grass, scaring them by a line of leaves (the "pavidos formidine cervos terret" of Ovid); the dog eating leather when it is wet; the attachment of animals to each other; the fastidiousness of the high-bred horse, and his superiority in strength and endurance—when it comes to a pinch—to the low-bred animal; the effect of kindness on oxen and the like,—are
specimens of the most interesting part of the contents of this class of stories.

Then there are some six, which are primarily tales of travel and commercial life. From these the reader may learn how to detect the approach of rain (1), or the neighbourhood of water (2); how to fit out and guide and bivouac a caravan in a tropical desert (1 and 2), the comparative advantages of being the first to travel a road, and of coming after other traders (1); the tricks of peddlars and their rules of trade (3); how to detect gold (3), how to light fires, and to escape jungle fires; all sorts of petty roadside trades (4); the dangers of bad water (10) and poisonous fruit (12), and how to detect each. All the stories in which these occur are made to bear more or less directly on some point of general or of Buddhistic morals, but their intrinsic interest and probable origin, as it seems to me, are in the connection I have shown.

The one story which may be called in part a fairy-tale is Lóšaka Játaka (41), about which I have something further to say. It is thoroughly Buddhistic in application. For defrauding a brother monk of his meal, through envy, the unhappy hero is born a great number of times in various infra-human conditions of misery and starvation. This ill-luck or gainlessness pursues him even in a human condition; but in the midst of it a piece of merit, acquired ages before, suddenly bears fruit, and secures him the society of a series of goddesses in a series of sea-palaces.

I have touched on some 38 stories, and though the classes in which I have grouped them run, of course, into one another, while some tales contain nothing at all, still it may be said roughly that there remain 12 which appear to be primarily Buddhistic.

Putting aside such of these as are trifling, or repetitions of others, the Makkhádéva Játaka (9) stands in a peculiar position. It is a short and very simple, but curiously dignified account of the retirement of King Makkhádéva from the pomp of royalty to a religious life on the appearance of his first white hair. There is nothing in this that is inconsistent with Buddhism, but it belongs to that part of Buddhism which it received and retained unchanged from Brahmanism. There are traces in the story of the division
of life into periods. Makhádéva had been prince for 84,000 years, sub-king for the same, he had reigned a long time when the grey hairs appeared, and he lived 84,000 years afterwards as a hermit. Here is clearly a trace of the three periods of Brahmin life—as student, householder, and ascetic. And this Brahmanical character of this tale is illustrative of the position which Brahmanism holds in the Játaka Book throughout; for while many strictly Brahmanical customs, especially sacrifice of life, are condemned in what concerns retirement and hermit-life, there is no clear distinction between the Brahman and the Buddhist monk. And I suspect the less distinctly these two terms are distinguished in any Buddhist writing, the older it will be found to be.

When he beheld the white hair, it seemed to him as though he saw the king of death come and stand before him, and as if he himself had entered into a house on fire Agitation seized him. "Foolish Makhádéva," he said to himself, "even till grey hairs have come hast thou been still unable to put away these desires?" As he reflected and reflected on his grey-headedness, a fire was kindled within him; sweat flowed from his body; he tore off his robes—(so Bātuwantudáwa; Rhys Davids has, "his robes oppressed him")—and they seemed as if they must be cast away. Today I must make renunciation, and enter on seclusion. He gave the barber a rich village revenue, and, sending for his eldest son, said: "Son, a white hair has appeared on my head. I am grown old; I have done with human desires, now I will seek after divine; my time of renunciation is come: take thou this kingdom, and I will enter seclusion and live a monk’s life in the Makhádéva grove." Finding him thus bent on seclusion, his ministers came and said: "Sire, why shouldst thou enter on seclusion?" The king held up the white hair and uttered these lines:

On the topmost part of my frame are sprung these robbers of remaining life;

Messengers from the gods appearing: it is my retiring time.

I have come across no other passage worthy, as I think, in a literary sense to be placed beside this, except in the Introduction to the Nigróđha Játaka (12), where the innocent nun who has been selfishly condemned by Déwadatta appeals to
the Buddha. "Ladies," she said, "Déwadatta is not the Buddha, nor is the order wherein I made my profession, but under the one true perfect Buddha and Chief of Men was my profession made,—and what I gained so painfully, that I pray you annul not; come, take me to Jétawana to the presence of the teacher."

This is in every way a noble story; and it is an interesting fact that it is one of those (if I am not mistaken) whose antiquity is vouched for by the Bharhut sculptures. The verse, which is its centre—

Follow Nigródha, resort not to Sákkhá,
Better death with Nigródha than life with Sákkhá—

is one of those which implies the existence of the story, for the names Nigródha and Sákkhá would have no meaning in this connection, except as the names of rival stags. And the occasion, as the Commentary tells us, of Gótama's uttering the verse, was briefly this. The daughter of a Benares noble had shown from childhood a singular contempt for this life and its pleasures; and though she was given in marriage, she still was so bent on the monastic life, and made herself—it must be admitted—so little agreeable in the other, that her husband consented to her becoming a nun; and she, in her simplicity, attached herself to the schism of Déwadatta. This was very shortly after her marriage; so she was already a nun, when the time came for her child to be born. Innocent as she was, Déwadatta thought nothing of justice, but only of the reputation of his sect and his own interests, and without inquiry ordered her to be expelled. But the Buddha, when the matter was brought to him, although he clearly saw the triumph which the schismatics would claim if one whom they had expelled were received by him, caused inquiry to be made, and vindicated her innocence. "And this is not the first time," he added, "that the Buddha has been a support and a refuge to this woman and her child."

For long ago in Brahmadatta's days, he who was to be Buddha was born a deer—prince of a herd—and called Nigródha; and in the same park with them ranged another herd, whose chief was Sákkhá. From these herds a victim was daily taken by the king's purveyor; and at last it was agreed between the two leaders, that instead of the whole
of both herds being exposed to constant terror and wounds, lots should be cast, one day in Nigródha's and the next in Sákkhá's herd, and the deer thus chosen should give himself up to the slaughterer, and the rest live in peace. This went on, till one day in Sákkhá's herd the lot fell on a doe big with young. She went to Sákkhá and begged for respite or exchange, but he insisted that the lot must have its course.

So she went over to the other herd, to Nigródha, the princely stag. And Nigródha, seeing no other course consistent with both justice and compassion—so high has Buddhism been able to rise, in imagination—took her lot upon himself, and went to the place of the victims and stretched himself upon the block. The king was soon told of this wonder, that the prince of all the deer was lying on the block, and, coming to see him, and learning from him how it was, granted him his life. This was not enough; nor was the life of all the deer in that park enough. Nigródha pleaded eloquently and importantly, and would not cease till the king had granted to every living being throughout his realms freedom from hurt and from fear—an anticipation of the edict of Asóka (or should we, perhaps, say a reference to it?). "He who was then Sákkhá," said Gótama, "is now Déwadatta, and Nigródha is I myself."

The excellence of this fiction, which, from the nature of the case, cannot possibly have any foundation whatever in fact, leads me to think, I confess, that those who invented it could invent anything; else I should have said that the simple pathos and interest of the story of the nun were probable marks of truth.

The Sukhavihári Jáataka (10) is a simple and completely Buddhistic statement of the happiness of the life of contemplation; its burden is, "The monk is happier than the king;" it has no particular value as a story. No. 18, the story already reckoned under fables ("The Brahmin and his Goat") is the vehicle of a vigorous denunciation of sacrifices, especially of sacrifices to the dead. The verse has no particular connection with the tale, and it may well be a borrowed story in substance; but as told it is characteristically Buddhistic, and, like others of that class, has a dignity of its own. But the story which, out of these 50, can best stand with Makhádéwa and Nigródha, is the
Khadirangára Játaka (40) which Mr. Davids—like so many Páli scholars who seem to think things are made clearer by giving them a name already identified with something else—calls the “Fiery Furnace.” Its design is to recommend liberality, especially giving to monks, by the example of a rich man who would not allow any terrors of the powers of evil to deter him for giving, but stepped boldly forward to fill the mendicant’s bowl, although a pit of burning acacia charcoal eighty fathoms deep, supernaturally produced by Mára, to deter him from liberality, was burning and raging between them. A gigantic lotus in this case reared itself through the flames, and, standing on its petals, he filled the bowl in safety.

Thus, those which have the most directly Buddhist connection, Makhádeva, Nigródhamiga, Kaṭṭhahári, and Khadirangára—to which may be added the important Kulákáwaka—are the best as well as, in all probability, the oldest. It is around these, and such as these, that the fables proper and tales of merely general application have been gathered.

Professor Kûnte’s Classification.—Professor Kûnte proposes a classification of the central stanzas or gáthás which will be given below. (P. 121.)

The Nidána Kathá.—The stories which have been thus described or classified may be read at length in Mr. Rhys Davids’ book, and in our appendices. But the reader must be made aware that in our Játaka Book the stories themselves are prefaced by a most important historical (or mythical) introduction, the Nidána Kathá, which contains the received account of the preparation, many ages back, for the coming of the Buddha Gótaíma, the previous lives of him who was to be that Gótaíma, and to become Buddha, and, thirdly—most important of all—the birth and life of Gótaíma,—his early history, renunciation of his home, search after wisdom, his attainment of Buddhahood, and the commencement of his teaching. This has nothing further to do with the Játakas than that it was in the course of his teaching that he told them; but it is a most important part of Buddhist literature, and reckons as part of the “Játaka Commentary.” It will be often alluded to in the critical papers.

Moral value.—I will pass on now to a remark or two on the moral value of our book. What is to be said on its
witness to ancient customs and traditions, I must leave for
the present to others.

Even from the passages I have quoted above some
estimate may be formed of the moral value of the teaching
of this section. In regard to that cardinal point of
Buddhism, the sin of taking life, and that other ruling
maxim, that liberality is best shown in giving to monks,—
opinions will, of course, differ in this Society as to the sin or
the virtue in itself: that I do not discuss. But assuming
the prominence of these in the scale of duties, the criticism
which the moralist, of whatever school, must make, is this.
A very high standard of self-sacrifice, of perseverance,
and of justice, and just ideas of the relative value of pleasure
and of wisdom, are set before us. The theory is good.
Further, the virtues are illustrated with feeling, with genuine
admiration for them, with a fine taste in virtue, so to speak.
The theory is understood. But here, for a large part, it
ends. The most striking examples are derived from fiction.
It is a stag, which sacrificed its life for others; a horse,
which excelled in zeal; or it is a mythical king who des-
pised the world. There are genuine cases, which, to the
believer in Buddhist history, are historical, of which this
cannot be said, but in which the Buddha in actual life
displayed justice, patience, and insight. But the most
striking and high-pitched examples of virtue are fictitious.

It is of course useless to point to the doings of a talking
stag as example or proof of virtue. To all but a few—I
suppose—even among Buddhists, no serious stimulain action or proof of what man can do and be, is to be derived
from narratives of supposed previous births. It is by the
narratives of what Götama in his historical existence
actually did that the value of his example, for all practical
purposes, must be judged.

Now, there is nothing, I believe—unless it be the fictions
by which the record is accompanied—to prevent our believ-
ing that Götama showed, for instance, the justice and
generosity recorded of him in his dealing with the nun, or
the tender patience with which he taught the monk who
had despaired of ever learning, or the endurance and zeal
with which he went his rounds, teaching in the village of
Mágadha or of the Vajjians. These are the examples and
proofs of virtue which, regarded as historical, do credit to Buddhism,—infinitely more credit than fictitious accounts of exaggerated and unnatural applications of the rules of virtue on the part of stags or of hares, or of human beings in some other stage of the world.

The propriety of the conduct of the hero of the stories is not, I think, to be questioned within the limits of this section; but I can lay no stress on that, for if we extended the inquiry to the next 50, we should find cases where the conduct of the hero is very questionable indeed. This, as well as some other points of interest, can hardly be usefully dealt with till we have taken more Játakas within our scope.

It remains to say a few words about the moral and doctrinal disquisitions which the compiler—as I suppose—has interwoven in his glossaries on Text and Gáthás. They consist in great part of quotations, and to verify these quotations will be one of the most laborious, but most useful, parts of the task of anyone who undertakes fully to edit the Játaka Book. But they contain also some subtle moral disquisitions, many of which show, not only a hand practised in moral distinctions and an extensive store of moral terms, but also a good deal of shrewd observation.

In illustration of this a note of the grammarian on the words *hiri* and *ottappam* is translated in the Appendix.

*Migrations of the Tales.*—Having given his readers a sample of the contents of the collection, Mr. Davids goes on to tell us how some at least of the tales found their way to Europe. But here the unenlightened reader has to complain of Mr. Davids for not making it perfectly clear what he is proving, and what not. For the work which he learnedly follows into Europe is not the Játaka—as such—but the Pancha Tantra. Now, I should be extremely sorry to deny that the Hindu Pancha Tantra is derived from Buddhist sources; this is the general opinion of scholars, and in particular of Professor Benfey, whom Mr. Davids afterwards quotes at some length. But it does not follow that the Pancha Tantra is derived from our Játaka Book. And if it should turn out that the Pancha Tantra was not borrowed from the Játaka at all, but was an independent collection of similar materials from the same sources, then all that
follows—interesting as it might be as a history of Indian tales—would be no history of the Jātaka in particular.

The Hindu collection of tales, called Pancha Tantra, was translated—or a book like it was—into Persian, and thence, in the 8th century of our era, into Syriac and into Arabic, under the title of "Kalilah and Dimnah." The Arabs carried this into Europe, and so it was translated into Spanish, Latin, German, Italian, French, and English. And to the Latin version was given the title "Aesop the Old."

Now, the original of what we call Aesop's Fables has always been obscure. It is not certain that Aesop left any works behind him; if he did, they were very early lost, and there is little doubt that part at least of what bear his name were never collected in Europe till the 14th century. Doubtless some of these were borrowed from the "Kalilah and Dimnah." Thus, with some probability, we trace the Aesop of our childhood to the Pancha Tantra, and (leaping easily thence) to the Jātaka. But the part of his introduction, which has evidently given Mr. Davids most delight, is that in which he states (for here again the evidence is omitted,—the borrowing, however, is unquestionable) that a story called "Barlaam and Joasaph," written by the Christian monk, St. John of Damascus, about 750 A.D., is borrowed from the legend of Buddha, and that the name Joasaph is only a corruption of Bódhisat. This would not seem more strange than that any other romance should have been founded on tales which the author had heard, especially as the writer says it is an Indian story; but what moves Mr. Davids to almost childish glee is, that some authorities of the Romish Church—and I think he says also of the Eastern—mistaking the romance for history, have included in the list of canonised saints the hero of this story. An absurd parade of detail is accumulated about this trumpery fact, that our editor may have the delight of concluding "that Gôtama the Buddha, under the name of St. Josaphat, is now officially recognized, and honoured, and worshipped throughout the whole of Catholic Christendom as a Christian saint!"

But whatever be the value of this, we owe Mr. Davids gratitude for the more important and interesting facts, that

* Or, rather, attributed to him.—Ed.
the fables of Europe, whether the Greek of Babrius (B.C. 60?), the Latin of Phedrus (c. A.D. 1), or the modern ones of Boccaccio, Chancer, La Fontaine, and Gay, are indebted more or less directly to that mass of Eastern stories, of which our Játaka-Book is the most important collection.

Meanwhile, some at least of the stories have probably travelled to India from the West. Postponing to future papers some further remarks on those which have come from Jewish sources, I venture to submit the following, to which I hope to add other instances.

Traces of Greek influence.—I have mentioned already how the hero of Losaka Játaka (41), after suffering a long course of calamities in consequence of demerit, is suddenly transported, in consequence of a long-past act of merit, into conditions of happiness. The early part of the tale is characteristically Buddhist. But my suspicion is, that the latter part is of quite different origin from the beginning; that it is a wide-famed story, half remembered, and its vicissitudes explained by Buddhist theories—a story no less famed than that of Ulysses!

The hero is called by name Mittavindaka, but his description is “kālakaṇṇi,” ‘the wretched one,’ or ‘the sufferer,’ which is the meaning of “Odusseus.” When Mittavindaka would put to sea, the ship which carried him stuck fast till lots had been drawn, and he had been cast into the sea. This passage may possibly owe something to the history of Jonah, though, I fancy, such a thing was often done as this casting of the “unlucky lot,” but there is a corresponding event in the story of Ulysses, when Æolus is said to have refused him the assistance of the winds, as being too unlucky to be safely dealt with. Mittavindaka had experience of cannibals, who devoured his family, as Polyphemus and the Loestrygones did the companions of Ulysses; Mittavindaka suffered for catching a demon-goat, and Ulysses for attacking the oxen of the sun; Mittavindaka was carried to three successive palaces of nymphs, as Ulysses past the Sirens to the palaces of Circe and Calypso and the fairy land of Phœacia; Ulysses floated on a magic veil and on a mast, Mittavindaka on a bundle of bamboos; and both, after all their wanderings, were restored at last. Of the nymphs, it is said that they
had an alternate existence of pleasure and suffering,—an idea which occurs several times in Greek mythology, notably in the cases of Hercules and of the Dioscuri.

I have made the most, I admit, of these points of likeness, but they are too numerous not to arrest attention. At the time when these tales were taking shape in Buddhist hands, Greek influence was powerful at the Court of Mágadha. As it unquestionably affected the art which still remains to us, so it may well have affected the literature; and the further this study is prosecuted, the more clearly, I believe, it will appear that Greek culture had something to do with stimulating the wonderful and sudden burst of art and invention and writing, which gave shape to Buddhism, and culminated in the sculptures of Bharhut and Amrāvati. A beautiful little statue in the Calcutta Museum is typical, I fancy, of much beyond itself. It is a finished work of Greek art—a statue of Hercules. Among many carvings and statues in which Greek influence is discernible, it stands out as purely Greek; but Buddhism had laid a claim upon it, for while the lion-skin hangs over one shoulder, on the other shoulder has been engraved a lotus.

The traditional account of the origin of the collection being put aside (and indeed few, if any, Buddhists accept it) and the range of subjects being as wide as it has been shown to be, the inquiry follows—How did the collection such as we find it come into existence? The question is at present of the collection of materials; not of the language, the book, or the edition.

Growth of the Collection.—How, it is now to be asked, did the Buddhist collection come together? It may be answered, in the first place, that, according to the theory of Buddhahood, in which it is an essential point that the Buddha should have been developed, so to speak, to perfection through a long series of lives, some record of previous births of Gótama—some Jáataka Book—was inevitable. Accordingly, in the history of the series of Buddhas—the Buddhavaṁsa—under the head of each of the previous Buddhas who are supposed to have existed since he who was to be Gótama Buddha first resolved on Buddhahood, some narrative is given of the life which the Bódhisat,
(or Buddha in course of development) lived under that Buddha. Further, since every Buddha devotes a whole series of existences to the acquirement or exercise of perfection in certain elements of the Buddha character, there is a treatise called Cariyā Piṭakaṁ, which narrates the lives in which he who was to be Gótama acquired generosity, goodness, and the rest of the ten Páramitás. This amount of Jātaka material was essential to a complete history of the Buddha; but there is, besides this, scattered here and there in the Piṭaka, a considerable number of narratives, by Gótama himself, of his previous births, told in illustration of what he happened to be teaching. This, then, may reasonably be supposed to have been the nucleus round which gathered the stories of less genuine pretensions.

It is certain, apart from all tradition, that some of the stories which at present form our collection were popular under the name of Jātaka in the 3rd century, B.C. In the carvings of the great stone railings around the dagabas of Barhut are to be seen still very rich and vivid illustrations of scenes from our Jātaka stories. And on some of them are written, as I have myself read in the Calcutta Museum, the names of the Jātakas represented. The interesting paper devoted by our President to this subject abundantly proves the point. Mr. Rhys Davids thus expresses his own opinion:—"The most probable explanation is," he says, "that it was due to the religious faith of the Indian Buddhists of the 3rd or 4th century B.C., who not only repeated a number of fables, parables, and stories ascribed to the Buddha, but gave them a peculiar sacredness, and a special religious signification, by identifying the best character in each with the Buddha himself in previous births." By this means, what had been mere tales became birth-stories of Buddha. This must certainly have been some time before the Bharhut rails were carved. And, probably, stories thus sacred and popularly accepted were brought together into a collection before the Council of Vesālī.

The plan of prefacing these stories by the introductory stories, or stories of the present, may have been justified by some genuine traditions as to the occasions when the Buddha
told such as were really of his telling; and the method
having been adopted, was extended to the rest.

When Buddhism was introduced into Ceylon, about 200
B.C., these Jatakas were carried thither in Pali; and the
whole was then translated and preserved in Siimhalese,
(except the verses, which have always remained in Pali,) until some one unknown, in the 5th century, re-translated,
or—and here Mr. Davids’ characteristic uncertainty re-
appears—compiled the present Jataka Book!

Illustrated from the practice of the Jains and Brahmans.
The learned paper of Professor M. M. Kunte illustrates
the method and development of the system by the parallel
cases of the Jains, &c.

The Jataka an artistic Sermon. Professor Kunte’s paper
(occasionally abridged).—The system of teaching by stories,
and in particular of illustrating stories of the present epoch
by stories of the past, seems to be used not only by the
Brahmists, but also by the Jains and, in some degree, by the
Brahmans. For although the relation between these sects
is one of contrast and rivalry, their philosophic stand-
points being opposed, yet they have in their life and method
of teaching much in common. The broad division of
society into monks and householders is common to them
all, and it is out of the customs and necessities of a society
so divided that the method of teaching by “birth-stories”
may be supposed to have grown.

On the other hand, the attitude of Buddhism to Jainism
in respect of its philosophic doctrines was one of hostility;
and this appears in the language of the first Jataka, in the
“moral” of which certain characteristic tenets of the Jains
are condemned.

“The gathâ, or central stanza, of the 1st Jataka runs thus:

Apanâṅkaṁ thánaṁ eke dutiyam âhu takkikâ.
Etad aśâśya medhâvî taṁ ganhe yad apanâṅkaṁ ti.

Translated: “Some hold to truth, the reasoners declare
otherwise; a wise man, knowing this, ought to take that
which is truth.”

“And the word-commentary on this stanza identifies the
first or true doctrine referred to by calling it, amongst
other names, ekamsikam (containing one proposition), while the second or heretical is called anekamsikam (manifold). This latter term is employed with special reference to the Jainas, "who call themselves anekánta vádinah; because they hold that truth is never absolutely known, but that it is always relative. They ridicule their opponents as ekañtavádins. The terms ekañtavádi and ekamsika express the same thing, anta and anmsa meaning a side, a proposition. (Mr. Childers gives ekañta for ekañsa in his Páli Dic-

tionary.) dialectic reasoning developed by Kanada, whose atomic theory about the creation of the world is the foundation of Jainism. They are, therefore, the anekamsika reasoners already referred to. The Jainas consider both the Buddhists and the Brahmanas to be ekañtavádins.

"The standpoints of the Brahmanas, the Buddhists, and the Jainas are these:—The Brahmanas and the Buddhists state that their systems are based upon absolute truths. The former hold that the Veda is revealed by some mysterious impersonal agency; while the latter hold that truth is made known to them by persons who attained to omniscience. The first declare that the purpose of life is to perform all sacrifices and ceremonies that the Veda enjoins; while the latter declare that the purpose of life is to practise austerities and to attain to spirituality. This is significantly expressed in the Púrvamimansa system as kritváarthá, as opposed to purusháarthá. The Jainas differ from both the Brahmanas and the Buddhists, characterising both as ekañtavádins—men who adhere to one-sided truth, forgetting that nothing can be known absolutely—and assume that their position as anekamsikas is unchallengeable."

This description applies to the Jains of both classes,

* It should be mentioned that Professor Künte's argument here was called in question by several members of the Society, on the ground that ekamsikam means simply "certain," and implies no particular philosophy. The words in the text, eka and duñiyam, mean only "some" and "another," while in the gloss itself the "two positions" (duñisu thánesi) are contrasted as "certain" and "uncertain," not as monistic and dualistic.
Svetambara and Digambara alike, but it is the Svetambara monks whose manners and way of life are so similar to those of the Buddhist monks of Ceylon. They carry hairbrushes, however, instead of fans, and they are more scrupulous in guarding “against causing even imaginary injury to any animal. Hence they put lime in the water they keep with them for the purpose of washing their hands and feet or cleaning their mouths. They do not bathe at all. They seldom move out of their convents. They drink water once heated and cooled.” The Jain monks, like the Buddhist, deliver sermons to the laity, and these bear a considerable resemblance to Jātaka stories. “The sermon of the Jainas consists of two main parts. The first part enunciates some doctrine or some ethical or philosophical principle, and in the second part a story is narrated. Frequently, in the first part, a heretic and his doctrines are described, criticized, and condemned; and the second part gives a story which describes the ill-luck of those who have once behaved in like manner. The preacher formally introduces the sermon and enlarges upon the subject of his dissertation. He next chaunts gāthās, and, by way of commenting upon them, goes into grammatical, dialectic, and philological questions. And then he narrates a story, in which he vehemently and sometimes pathetically describes natural scenery and social questions, touching sometimes upon what he considers the question of the day. He is a citrakathī dhammakathikho.

“The Jain scriptures are divided into four parts:—(1) Dravyānyuyoga, which corresponds to the Abhidhammakatho of the Buddhist; (2) the Gānitānyuyoga, to which there is nothing corresponding in the Tripiṭaka; (3) Caranānyuyoga, which corresponds to Vinaya; and (4) the Dhammakathānyuyoga, which corresponds to the Jātakahathās. The Dhammakathānyuyoga is not as yet, I believe, sufficiently investigated. Jainism and Buddhism, however, provide a large field for a comparative study, and the stories in the Dhammakathānyuyoga will not fail to elicit much philosophical and historical interest, because they throw direct and strong light on the condition of the people as affected by heresies and religious revivals, and attack Buddhism and its propagators. At present, it seems to me, that so little
is known about Jainism that it is confounded with Buddhism, of which it is considered to be a sect, though the Buddhists, who call themselves ekamsikhas, are opposed diametrically to the Jainas, who call themselves anekántavādins. In this place, I cannot do more than point out this new field of research likely to lead to important results."

Jainism has thus much in common with Buddhism, but its "Anekamsa" logic seems to be its most distinctive and its most ancient characteristic. From the reference in Jain works to "certain grammatical questions which Pāṇini in relation to Sāktaśastra raises," and from the fact that "the Sāktaśastra grammar is found in the possession of the Southern Jainas," it appears that the "Anekamsika" philosophy was known as early as the 4th century B.C. On the other hand, it is clear that both Jainism and Buddhism as well as Brahminism—three opposed systems—existed side by side until a comparatively late date, for "Sānkarāchārya, who led the revival of Brahminism, and who is characterized as a concealed Buddhist, flourished about 700 A.D. "Before this, flourished Kundakundāchārya, a distinguished Jain teacher, because a gana established by him is mentioned in Kirtivarma's inscription dated 584 A.D. Between 584 A.D. and 700 A.D. the Jainas energized. Amarsintha, a Buddhistic lexicographer, flourished about the same time. Hwen-thsang describes Buddhistic convents and their prosperity. Thus the three systems seem to have worked at the same time—three systems opposed to each other." Among the Brahmans, as well as among the Jains, there is a system of teaching, used by their Sannyāsīs or ascetics, which is thus described:—"The Sannyasīs in their hermitages preach what they call pravachana, which signifies a comment. Some Vedic gāthā or text is taken. A Purāṇa story, corresponding to an atita-vatthu, follows, and a conclusion is stated."......The Brahminic Kathās draw upon the stories of the Purāṇas, these stories serving the purpose of the atita-vatthus. "The Purana stories—the best and the most popular of them—have all the ring and the point of the atita-vatthu, as the Brahminical Katha-system shows." ......"A katha consists of two parts, interluded by music. The first part is known as nirupana or vedānta, and dwells at considerable length upon some religious doctrine or
philosophical principle illustrated by a short story bearing on what is enunciated. The second part is the *anusandhana*, another story brought in by way of illustration. Now, *anusandhana* is the same as *anusandhi*; and I believe the Jātaka-phrase *anusandhim ghātetoṁ* signifies that the second story is brought to bear upon the first story—the Paccuppanna-vatthu. Thus it will be seen that Brahminism throws light upon Buddhism."

The above facts are thus summarized:—"According to Jainas, Brahminism and Buddhism come under one class, the *Ekamsiha*, and are, therefore, the opponents of Jainism. But there is much common to Buddhism and Jainism. Buddhism, Jainism, and Brahminism act and re-act upon each other. The Buddhistic story-system, the Jain story-system, and the Brahmanical story-system have their points of comparison. The Jainas were, about the 4th century B.C., mere philosophical sceptics. About the time of Kunda-kundacharya, they grew into dogmatic thinkers by the combined action of Brahmanism and Buddhism, while picture-stories and sculptures on the Sutranjaya hills correspond to the picture-stories and sculptures of the Buddhists on the stupa of Bharhut."

The stories not only describe the life of monastery, palace, market, and village respectively, but their form has been determined by the influence of each of these,—of the monks, the princes, the traders, and the villagers. The Paccuppanna-vatthu, or story of the present, arose out of the life of the monastery, and deals with such points of morality, religion, or philosophy, as the inmates of the monastery may be supposed to have been familiar with, and turns on incidents in the studies and discipline of the monks, their errors, and controversies. The *Aṭṭha-vatthu*, or 'Story of the Past,' is told to illustrate this, and to make the abstruse topic interesting and amusing to the laity.

"Of the compound story thus arising, a gāthā or stanza is the central part. These stanzas are tentatively classified thus:—(1) Maxim gāthās, or gāthās which lay down general truths and practical rules of life. (2) Ethical gāthās, gāthās which inculcate morality. (3) Disciplinary gāthās, gāthās which condense the Buddhistic feeling and aspiration. (4) The Story gāthās, gāthās on which stories are
built. (5) Explanatory gāthās, gāthās which explain, in their own way, patent facts. (6) Descriptive gāthās, gāthās which describe natural scenery. (7) Pastoral gāthās, gāthās which describe the manners of the pastoral people, and deal with the life of lower animals, and the life of the peasantry. Thus, the gāthās have a two-fold origin—the monastic gāthās and popular gāthās. Stories, whether monastic or popular, were soon crystallised into proverbs; thus, out of the stories the gāthās grew; these gāthās, therefore, had a two-fold origin,—monastic and popular. And this was in accordance with the nature of the story told either by a monk, practising his disciplinary lessons, or by one ordinary man to another, while journeying or reaping his harvest or watching his field."

Proverbial stanzas summarizing well-known stories are still common in the Maharattha country. "Proverbs like the following are always in the mouths of the people in Maharashtra: 'Tupageleen telageleen hali dhupāta ne ālen.' The sense of this is: 'Clarified butter is gone, oil is gone, and an incense-pot remains in the hand.' Again, 'Bajaranta turi āni bhata bhatni la māri.' The sense of this is: 'The turi-pulse is in the bazaar, and the Brahmana quarrels with his wife as to her turi-soup to be prepared, being thick or thin, and beats her.' The stories for these gāthās are at first mere balanced prose-pieces (in rhythmical prose?) which are in the course of time versified and poetically expressed by well-known poets. The Maharattha poet Tukarāma does this." And the Maharattha preacher, or Haridāsa, still "recites a portion of a gāthā as in the Jātaka-stories, and then builds upon it a mirupana or vedanta. Dwelling upon it at considerable length, he chants the gāthā in full, and explains it at great length, introducing into the explanation as much of his learning as he can. And upon this foundation the anusandhana is built. Similarly, when the Maharattha ladies meet for religious ceremonies, it is the custom for them to "narrate festive stories, which poetically express their hopes and aspirations. The ceremony itself is the paccuppanna vatthu. The story told is the atita vatthu. The ladies call their story kāhāni, a word which comes from the Sanskrit kathānaka. Some ladies are known for their power of telling a kāhāni,
which more or less begins in the same way as every aīta vatthu does, by "Barañasi, prince Brahmadatta," &c. Gāthās and comments upon them constitute the higher part of the kathās; and the stories support what the gāthās inculcate.

"It is plain, then, that the Jātakas, as they exist, are a series of sermons, ready to hand, and to be preached to mixed audiences. A part of a gāthā is first recited, and the attention of an audience is thus called to what is coming. A paccuppanna vatthu points out the particular topic of the gāthā recited. Faith in Buddha Gôtama is awakened, and a ground-basis for the chant of the gāthā in full is thus prepared. Then, in explaining the gāthā, the preacher shows his power of scholasticism. The ordinary audience listens on, half-puzzled and half-struck by what the mind considers to be profound and mysterious; and, moved by the incomprehensible, it works it up into the marvellous, and obtains from this a passive intellectual enjoyment. The preacher proceeds with an energy of his own. The strain on the mental power of the audience is now at its height, when abstruse comments upon a gāthā are abstrusely but eloquently explained. This is succeeded by the narration of the simple popular aīta vatthu. There is thus a sudden transition from the abstruse to the simple, from the philosophical to the popular element. Such a transition produces a contrast. The parallelism, which runs between the two stories, and which constitutes the anusandhi between them, is thus combined with a contrast. And parallelism and contrast are the foundation upon which all aesthetic pleasure, whether intellectual or emotional, is built. The transition from the comments on a gāthā affords relief to the mind of the audience.

"When a Maharattha preacher, for instance, dwells at length on a nirupana, his audience asks him to descend into an anusandhana. When he has a short, cursory nirupana, and a long tedious anusandhana, he is criticized by his audience as they go home, and has a chance of seeing his audience diminished. An audience cannot be trifled with. Pleasure it must have. The number of lay gentlemen and ladies attending a convent gave it importance. Such attendance is specially preached in some Jātakas. There were necessarily two or more convents in a large town, as Hwen-thsang
states. The priests of one convent naturally vied with those of another in securing large audiences. And large audiences always depended on the eloquence of the preacher, who became known as citra kathi. All preachers, however, could not be learned and eloquent. The Játaka stories are, therefore, artistic sermons.

"A part of the gáthá, a paccuppanna vatthu, or something in its stead, the full gáthá, the abstruse comments upon it, the atita vatthu, and the conclusion, in which everything said by the preacher is referred to Buddha Góttama himself—the great omniscient teacher—all this is not an accidental arrangement. It is an essential growth necessitated by the tendencies of the times: the ekamsikás could counteract the activity of the anekamsikás in this way alone; because the environment of the opponents and the opposed being the same, the same weapons must needs be used by both. Hence the points of resemblance between a Jain sermon and a Buddhistic sermon have already been insisted upon. I have heard Jain sermons, and am inclined to conclude, on account of the considerations already stated, that each Játaka is a systematic sermon."

The Compilation is the work of one hand.—That the book as we have it is a compilation by a single hand is thus inferred:—"These sermons are compiled by one individual—(1) because in the paccuppanna vatthu references backwards and forwards—to Játakas already narrated as well as to Játakas to be narrated—are made; (2) because comments upon gáthás are abbreviated, and directions about such abbreviations are given; (3) because directions indicating the abbreviations to be made in the conclusions of the sermons are also, once for all, given; and because the same system of fitting in all the parts—a part of the gáthá, the paccuppanna vatthu, the gáthá in full, the comments upon the gáthá, the atita vatthu, and the conclusion—is discernible; and when any part is wanting, an attempt to provide a semblance for it is made."

So far Professor Künte. Another paper thus touches on the same:—"In some the introduction may possibly be historical, and the second or illustrative story is distinct from it, and has some bearing on it. But in contrast with these there are a considerable number in which
the arrangement of introductory story and illustrative story is merely artificial. Among the tales already mentioned under various hands, there are seven flagrant instances of this, and about the same number of less conspicuous cases. In such the latter part is a mere repetition of the former. This is evidently compiler's work, for the sake of uniformity. Similarly, there are one or two cases in which stories separately numbered are virtually the same, as when No. 44 tells how a boy killed his father in striking with a hatchet at a mosquito on his head, and No. 45 how a girl killed her mother by striking with a pestle at a fly on her back; these are duplicated, to make up the groups of 50, and of 10, into which the stories have been forced by the compiler. These are the packing; the later part of the book.

"I will draw attention to two curious indications of the compiler's hand, as it seems to me, in tales of this class.

"Into many of the Jātakas there have been introduced grammatical or other explanations; as, for instance, in No. 1, when a haunted and waterless desert is mentioned, the mention is followed by a short but needless excursus enumerating several kinds of desert, and ending: "Now, among these kinds, this one was of the haunted and waterless sorts." In other cases, still more pedantic notes are introduced. Now, in the very simple story of the peacock, whose impudent strutting lost him his swan-bride, the swan-king is made, in the heat of his indignation, to draw a distinction between sense of propriety or conscience, and sense of shame—sense of propriety which has its origin within the man, and sense of shame, which has regard to the opinion of others. This looks at first sight like the work of the pedant compiler. But the introduction to this story (32) is connected with that of No. 6, and refers back to it. Now, among the notes embodied in No. 6 is a very long and interesting note on these two words. It seems to me unquestionable that the same compiler who wrote the long note on No. 6, and who refers in the introduction of No. 32 to No. 6, also inserted in No. 32 this frigid piece of pedantry in reference to his own note.

"The second indication I will mention is this. No. 16 is a story about the cleverness of deer, and evidently merely an expansion of a popular rhyme, that the deer has six tricks
by which he can escape—pretending death, and so on. The Pāli for “by six tricks” is “chahi kalāhi.” Now, No. 15 is a story with virtually no introduction—or merely a formal one—and is to the effect that a certain young deer would not come to his uncle to be taught deer-tricks, but played truant seven times. The Pāli for “seven times” is “sattahi-kālehi.” The two stanzas of these two stories are in the main similar: but (apart from other slight differences) the one has “chahi kalāhi atikkantam,” ‘getting away (winning) by six tricks,’ the other “sattali kālehi atikkantam,” ‘playing truant or getting away seven times.’ When we look at MSS. we find them uncertain about this word “kālehi,” ‘times’; some, as two examined by Mr. Ranasinhe, have “kalāhi,” and some, among them the Burmese MS. in this Library, have “kālehi,” which as it stands is nothing, but is quite as likely to represent “kalāhi” as “kālehi.” I have little doubt that “kalāhi” is the original form of the popular sing-song, and “kālehi” a mistake for it, and that on this mistake the grammarian-compiler has built up his silly little story about the deer who would not go to school.

“Perhaps, if all the stories were closely scrutinized, it would be possible to eliminate with almost certainty a considerable number which are mere packing, and even among the rest to distinguish the Buddhistic nucleus from the accretions.”

Date of the Compilation.—Professor Kunte, reasoning entirely from internal evidence, and without reference to tradition, arrives at a conclusion which is irreconcilable, as it stands, therewith. He lays down the landmarks of Pāli literature thus: “We have utterances of Buddha Gótama himself, and they constitute the Pāli of the 6th century B.C. The inscriptions of Asoka and his successors employ Pāli, and this Pāli is of the period between 250 B.C. and 100 B.C. The Sahyadri inscriptions are in Pāli, the Pāli of the period between 100 B.C. and 200 A.D. The Mahawamsa is in Pāli, the Pāli of 480 A.D. There are Jain works written in Ardha-magadhi by Kunda-kundacharya before 584 A.D., as already stated. There are different Prakrit dialects, as they are met with in the extensive dramatic literature, and in such poems as the Salivahanasaptasati and the Setubandha.” And his conclusion is as follows:—“When the Pāli of all these periods is compared
with the Páli of the comment-portion of the Játkas, and when the attempts of using metaphysical grammar and its terminology are taken into account, it seems to me evident that these Játkas were put together and compiled in the 8th century A.D., because the Jain activity, which was attended by the study of metaphysical grammar, manifested itself at this time, the Jainendra grammar being composed in 728 A.D. Though the fitting in of all the materials was done in the 8th century A.D., yet the materials from which it was compiled existed so early as the 5th century B.C." He draws a further inference as follows:—

"The geographical notices, as they are met with in these stories, point to a time antecedent to the 3rd century B.C., when Buddhistic embassies were sent to Banavási in North Canara, and to Mahishamandala or Mysore, to a time when, therefore, the Dakshinápatha was well-known; but I have not met with the name of the Dakshinápatha in these stories, though the word Uttarapatha is indefinitely used in reference to countries to the North of Benares, as in the Játka entitled Tañdula-náli-Játka. The inference from all these facts is that the Játka stories, both monastic and popular, existed and were popularised before the 3rd century B.C." By comparison with the Jain system, as developed in the 7th, 8th, and 9th centuries A.D., from traces of the slokas of the Pancha-tantra literature, and from the coins mentioned (masaka, kahópana, kimkamka), the Professor is confirmed in assigning as late a date as the 8th century for the compilation of the book in its present form, and concludes that "some Buddhistic monk about the 8th century A.D. at the latest, and the 5th century A.D. at the earliest, put together the paceuppamna vattthu, the atita vattthu, and the gáthás, as they existed long before him, and compiled his system of sermons, which he calls his commentary." As an instance of this, Professor Kün te calls attention to a gloss in the commentary on Gámaní Játka, on Phalásá. The inversion, Phalásá-A’sáphalán, requires, he says, a knowledge of metaphysical grammar, such as was not cultivated in India before the 6th century A.D., when Hwen-thsang travelled, the time of the Brahmanical and Jain revival. He says: "Between the 2nd and the 1st centuries B.C., it appears that metaphysical
distinctions were made by such schools as those of Soutrātikās, Mādhyamikas, Yogācāras, and Vaibhāshikas, and, therefore, it is evident that this was not the period when the Buddhists in Upper India had time for the study of metaphysical grammar and writing glosses. From 500 B.C. to 100 B.C.—i.e., from the advent of Gōtama Buddha to Asōka's time—the Buddhistic system underwent a development, and was propagated, and enforced as the inscriptions of Asōka and of others, at Shahabaja, Khālsī, Delhi, Allahabad, Gaya, Sahasram, Udayagiri, Devateka, Sancī, Rūpanath, Ramgada, Junágada, and Sopárá show. The conclusion, therefore, is that about the Brahminical and Jain revival the gloss was written.”

From the substance of the story called Kaṭṭhahārī Jātaka (Appendix I.) the Professor draws an inference leading to a similar conclusion, as follows:—

1. “The comparison of the incidents of the Kaṭṭhahārī Jātaka, of the story of Dushyanta and Sakuntalā, as narrated in the Mahābhārata, and of the Lost Ring, a drama of Kālidāsa, yields a considerable result.

(1) “The incidents of the Jātaka story are known. King Dushyanta, as Mahābhārata narrates, induces Sakuntalā, who is the daughter of a heavenly damsel, named Menakā, and of Visvāmitra, a Rishi, to marry him according to the Gāndharva form. Brahmadatta, as the Kaṭṭhakārī Jātaka narrates, marries Kaṭṭhahārīkā, a slave-girl, by living with her for a short time according to Muhūrta form, resembling the Gāndharva form. The Gāndharva form is the marriage settled by the mere consent of the two parties without any preliminaries, and on the spur of the moment. The Muhūrta form is the same as the Gāndharva form. It obtains among the Mahrāthás other than the Brahmans. The term Muhūrtika, used in the Kaṭṭhahārīkā-Jātaka, is, I believe, such a marriage; and the Mahrāthas call it Mohottura, a corrupt form of the word Muhūrta.

(2) “Once only associating with Sakuntalā, King Dushyanta leaves her in her hermitage, and goes to his own capital. So does Brahmadatta.

(3) “Sakuntalā begets a son who grows up. So does Kaṭṭhahārīkā beget a son, who is able to inquire as to who his father is.
(4) "Both Sakuntalá and Kaṭṭhaháriká take their sons to the capitals of the princes who had once loved them.

(5) "Both are rejected at first.

(6) "Miracles, however, intervene, and both are accepted.

(7) "But in the story, as narrated in the Mahábhárata, the episode of the seal-ring is entirely wanting; while in the Kaṭṭhahári-Játaka and in the "Lost-ring" of Kálidása, the episode of the seal-ring plays an important and essential part.

2. "The Mahábhárata-form of the story is the first; because it is so simple and the episode of the seal-ring is wanting. The Játaka-form adds the episode of the seal-ring. It is, therefore, a development of the popular story narrated in the Mahábhárata. The form of the story as narrated by Kálidása in his drama is a further artistic development.

3. "The chronology of the Kaṭṭhahári-Játaka can be determined from what is already stated. It was narrated between the composition of the Mahábhárata and of the lost-ring. The Mahábhárata was written about 1200 B.C., as is evident from the philosophical disputes, religious ceremonies, the social condition of the people, geographical notices, and astronomical facts as they are described in the great Epic. General Cunningham places the Mahábhárata 1,500 years before Christ. There is an inscription dated 584 A.D., written by Kértivarma, who mentions the names of Kálidása and Bhárari as distinguished poets. The Pancha-tantra of Víśnú Sárman, translated into Pahlavi in the 6th century A.D., and therefore earlier than the 6th century A.D., quotes Kálidása. There is ample evidence to show from the writings of Kálidása himself that he flourished about the first century of the Christian era. This the popular tradition in India supports. Max Müllér contends in his "Renaissance of Sanskrit Literature" that Kálidása flourished about the 6th century A.D. There are other European scholars, however, who differ from him. I believe that the evidence, which the latter adduce, preponderates.

"A mass of evidence has collected on this subject, and its details cannot be examined in this place. The conclusion, however, as to the chronology of the Kaṭṭhahári Játaka is not affected by this evidence. The story of the Kaṭṭhahári
Jātaka was known among the Indian Aryas about the sixth century before Christ, which appears to be the period which the Lalit Vistara describes, when miraculous stories were told by the people and believed in; when sceptics—the Sāṃsāyikas of Pāṇini, the Takkikas of the Jātaka stories—attempted to influence the people; and when any teacher (Tīrtha) could gather about him a host of disciples. The Kāṭṭahārī Jātaka, therefore, was known in India about the sixth century B.C., and was told and listened to in the earliest Buddhistic monasteries."

The Popular Acceptance of the Jātakas as shown in Picture-stories and Sculptures.

(By J. F. Dickson, Esq., C.M.G., &c.)

The oldest and the most important of the Buddhist sculptures are those belonging to the gateways and Bharhut Stupa, first discovered in 1873, and made known in 1879 in General Cunningham's magnificent work, "The Stupa of Bharhut," on which the greater part of this paper is based. They belong to the third century before Christ, and were probably completed between 240 and 210 B.C. The importance of these sculptures is derived in great measure from the titles inscribed, in the Asoka alphabet, on many of the sculptural scenes, by which we are able to identify them beyond doubt with scenes or legends in the history of Buddha; and from them I select for your notice to-night the more striking of those which illustrate the introduction (Nīdānakathā) to our book, and some of the first fifty Jātakas to which our attention is at present specially confined. As you are aware, in the earliest Buddhist period images of Buddha were unknown. Symbols of the religion he taught were recognized, but no object of personal adoration. The earliest personal symbol was the foot-print (derived probably from a Hindu source). The earliest known statue of Buddha is of the first century after Christ, and the Bharhut sculptures are of the highest value in the history of the development, or, if I may be allowed to say so, in the history of the decline of Buddhism from its primitive simplicity, on account of the entire absence of any statue or image of personal representation of Buddha. This is excellently set forth by Rājendra Lāla in his work on Buddha Gāya (Cap. IV., pp. 128, 129):

"If we may rely on the evidence of the great Tope of Bharhut,
images of Buddha must have come into vogue many centuries after the Stupa. That tope represents scores of scenes illustrating the history of Buddha's last, as well as of previous, life, but none in which an image of the saint is being worshipped. For purposes of adoration the Bodhi-tree, the Chaitya, and the Wheel of Law, were the only principal objects selected, and, occasionally, footprints; but we look in vain for statues of the saint. This would have never been the case had images of the saint been worshipped in the time of Asoka. That Emperor would have never allowed so important an object to be neglected in his sculptures, had it then attained the rank of one worthy of being worshipped. On the Buddha Gaya rails there is also the same entire absence of the image of the saint as an object of adoration. A century later, in the Sanchi bas-reliefs, we notice the same absence of statues of Buddha; but in Mathurá, two centuries afterwards, they are largely met with, and this I look upon as all but conclusive evidence against the use of statues as objects of worship for the first four or five centuries after the Nirvana of the great reformer. He fought most strenuously against ritualistic ceremony in general, and idol-worship in particular, and his teaching was respected for a long time before it was set aside. The tree of knowledge was the first to claim respect. It had been the means of bestowing the perfection of wisdom on the saint, and all who aspired to that wisdom naturally looked upon it with respectful solicitude. After the death of the teacher, the grave or chaitya was associated with it, the one as the receptacle of him who had acquired perfect knowledge, and the other as the source of that knowledge. The worship or adoration paid to these was confined, probably, to prostration before, and ambulation round, them, and the offering of a few flowers for their decoration. These were the ways in which respect had been shown to the teacher himself, and in his absence they were rendered to his emblems. The pictorial representations of scenes from the life of the saint were intended solely as ready means of impressing on the minds of the masses the history of his life, and the moral maxims which they inculcated, and not to require any adoration. In fact, they were purely ornamental; they were never adored, and from the positions they occupied in the buildings, they could not be used as objects of worship. Images intended for worship would imply temples and sanctuaries, but down to the time of Asoka temples were never thought of, and idols for worship could not have existed. The word Vihara, so often used in later works for a temple, originally meant only a convent, a place where the homeless hermits of the sect could find a shelter during disease and decrepitude, and also from the
inclemencies of the Indian rainy weather, when travelling was prohibited, and the use of the word is therefore not a safe proof. The evidence of the earlier texts of the Buddhists is particularly significant in this respect. The Lalita Vistara, while referring frequently to the worship of chaityas, nowhere alludes to images. In ancient Hindu writings, the word chaitya is occasionally used for a “temple,” but the earlier Buddhists could not have used it in that sense, for they could not have ordained the worship of the temple, leaving unnoticed the presiding divinity of the sanctuary.

"The earliest samples of the statue occur in the monastery of Mathurā, and we may conclude, therefore, that the statue came into use after the date of the Bhilsā Tope of the second century before Christ, and a little before the Mathurā monastery of the first century after Christ."

The Bódhi-tree, or tree of knowledge of Gótama Buddha, is, as you know, the Pippal or Ficus religiosa; it is found in these sculptures, and its identification is made certain by the inscription on the domed roof of the building which surrounds its trunk:—

"Bhagavato Saka Munino Bodho."

'The tree of knowledge of the Blessed Sákya Muni.'

Each Buddha had his own separate tree, and in the Bharhut sculptures the trees of six out of the last seven Buddhas have been found with the names attached to them. The surroundings of the Bódhi-tree of the last Buddha are much more elaborate than the others. [See Plate XIII. (1), XXX. (3), LIV. (28.)] They are thus described by General Cunningham:—

"The trunk is entirely surrounded by an open-pillared building with an upper-storey, ornamented with niches containing umbrellas. Two umbrellas are placed in the top of the tree, and numerous streamers are hanging from the branches. In the two upper corners are flying figures with wings, bringing offerings of garlands. On each side there is a male figure raising a garland in his right-hand, and holding the tip of his tongue with the thumb and fore-finger of the left-hand. This curious action is also seen in another sculpture, in which the worship of Sákya Muni's Bódhi tree is represented. In the lower storey of the building there is a throne in front of a tree surmounted by two specimens of the favourite Buddhist symbol, the Dhamma chakra, and the tri-ratna combined. Two figures, male and female, are kneeling
before the throne, while a female figure is standing to the left, and a Nāga Raja, with his hands crossed on his breast, to the right. This figure is distinguished by a triple-serpent crest. To the extreme right there is an isolated pillar, surmounted by an elephant holding out a garland in his trunk.”

The Bódhimaṇḍā or Vajrásana is a square plinth, ornamented on each face with four small pillars: it is placed in the middle storey of the building, and represents the sacred seat on which Sákya Muni sat in meditation until he gained Buddhahood.

The stúpas represented in the Bharhut sculptures are of masonry surmounted by umbrellas from which garlands are hung: they contain relics. In form they are similar to the dāgabas at Anurádhapura, and to the fine stone model at the Ruwanwelí dāgaba; the bas-reliefs found at Bharhut, Sánchi, and Amrávatī are of interest as showing the magnificent decorations of these buildings and the mode of adoration. (See Fergusson’s Indian and Eastern Architecture, Book I., cap. 3), and for illustrations of Tree and Dāgaba Worship, see Fergusson’s Tree and Serpent Worship, plate XXVIII.

The wheel-symbol holds an important place in the ancient sculptures. The finest example of it at Bharhut (plate XIII., 3) is fortunately labelled Bhagavatô damma chakam, ‘the wheel of the Law of Buddha.’ The inscription is on the top of the temple, in which is placed the wheel as an object of worship, surmounted by an umbrella and adorned with garlands. Below it, in a four-horse chariot, Parasénajita, King of Sravasti, who was a contemporary of Buddha, is on his way to the sacred symbol: on the gateway he has just passed is inscribed Rája Nasénaji Kósala. In the Sánchi sculptures is a striking scene of wheel-worship (Fergusson’s Tree and Serpent Worship, plate XXIX., fig. 2) in a deer park, representing no doubt the Mrigadáwa, where Buddha first and chiefly taught.

The last objects of reverence here to be noticed are the foot-prints of Buddha, in connection with which I will bring before you the Sankisa ladder scene of the Bharhut sculptures. The legend briefly is this:—Buddha visited the heavens to preach his doctrine to the Dévas and his mother Mâyá Dévi. After three months he determined to re-visit
the earth at a place called Sankisa or Sakaspura. Of this Spence Hardy writes:

"Sakra (Indra) reflected that he (Buddha) had come from the earth at three steps, but that it would be right to celebrate his departure with special honours. He therefore caused a ladder of gold to extend from Mahamérū to Sakaspura; at the right side of the ladder there was another, also of gold, upon which the Dévas appeared with instruments of music; and on the left there was another of silver upon which the Brahmá appeared holding canopies of umbrellas......... The whole appeared to the people of the earth like three rainbows.—(Hardy's Buddhism, pp. 300-301.)

In the Bharhut sculptures (plate XVII., fig. 2) the triple ladder fills the middle of the scene. At the foot is a bó-tree and a crowd of kings, ministers, and people, awaiting the return of Buddha to earth. On the top step, and on the bottom step, is a foot-print—which, in the absence of any personal representation of Buddha, indicate the presence of Buddha himself, and form symbolical objects of reverence.

Turning now to the Nidána-kathá, we shall find it affording numerous subjects for sculptures and picture-stories from the time of the Bharhut sculptures to the present day. One of the most favourite subjects is the dream of Máyá Dévi, or the conception of the mother of Buddha. It is one of the Bharhut sculptures (plate XXVIII., fig. 3), and it occurs with the birth of Buddha, in the Buddhist sculptures (now at Lahore) brought from the Yusafzai Districts; it is also found in a very interesting scene at Sáñchi and in several sculptures at Amrávatí. In the Bharhut sculpture Máyá Dévi, in full costume and laden with jewellery, is asleep on her couch, with the right side exposed, surrounded by her maidens, one of whom is waving a chaungi. The chadānta elephant, which appeared to her in a dream, fills the right of the medallion. The legend says that he thrice made obeisance to the couch, gently struck his mother’s right side, and seemed to enter her womb (Davids’ translation, p. 63). The medallion is labelled Bhagavato okkanti—‘the descent of the Blessed one,’ as rightly read by Davids—and not rúkdanta, ‘roaring,’ as read by Cunningham. It is interesting to compare with this the same legend as depicted in the Sáñchi sculptures (plate XXXIII.) where Máyá Dévi is lying on her left side. In the Amrávatí bas-reliefs
(plate LXXIV.) is a fine example of this subject. In the same series (plate XCI., fig. 4) we have both the conception of Máyá Dévi and the birth of Buddha. (See Fergusson's Tree and Serpent Worship, pp. 131, 195, and 212.) In the Lahore Museum are several sculptures illustrating the birth of Buddha, with Máyá Dévi in the Lumbini grove, standing under the Sál tree, and holding one of the branches—she leans on her half-sister Máyápáti for support on the left, and on the right Brahma receives the infant Buddha as he springs from his mother's side. (Lahore Sculptures, 210, 220, 261, 268, 281.) Amongst the quaintest of the early Buddhist legends (Nídána-kathá, Davids, p. 86) is that relating to the headdress of Buddha. When he started on his great pilgrimage he cut off his hair, which, with his turban, he threw away. It was caught by Sakra and enshrined in the Távatimśa heaven, and in the Bharhut sculptures (plate XVI., fig. 1) the shrine is shown with the label Súdamán Déva Sába Bhagavato chuídá mahó [not 'the grand headdress of Buddha in the assembly hall of the Dévas,' as General Cunningham renders it, but] 'the hall of the assembly of the gods at the time of the festival of the headdress of the Blessed one'; and to place beyond all doubt that it is a shrine in the heavens of the Dévas, the palace in which it is is labelled Véjayamto pásádo ('the palace of the Victorious') i.e., Indra, which was the abode of the Dévas in the Távatimśa heavens. We may close for the present our selections from illustrations of the legends of Buddha prior to the period when he lived and taught as the great Teacher, by some account of the sculptures and pictures representing the last great struggle between good and evil, when Sákya Muni finally overcame the assaults of Mára—the evil-one—and was triumphant over the temptations of the world, the flesh, and the devil. The account of it given in the Introduction to the Játakas tells how the evil-one brought up army after army, and failed again and again. Time will not permit to read it to-night (Davids' translation, pp. 96—101). No illustration of this great scene has been found at Bharhut, but it forms the subject of one of the most important sculptures at Ajanta in Cave XXVI. (Cave Temples of India, plate LI.) Below, Mára stands erect on the left, bow and arrow in hand, with his daughters in the middle trying in
vain the power of the allurements of passion; on the right, Mára is sitting disconsolate at his failure. "Above are his "demon forces attacking the great ascetic sitting under the "Bodhi tree with his right-hand pointing to the earth, "and the left in his lap, while the drum of the Dévas is "being beaten above him." Mára himself rides his war-elephant confident of victory, already shouted forth by his attendant hosts, when Buddha puts forth his hand, and the great earth cries out with overwhelming voice in testimony of the all-providing charity of Buddha. The elephant falls down and worships, and the discomfited host of Mára hurries away on the right, and the heavenly hosts cry, "The tempter is overcome; Siddhattha, the prince, has prevailed." (In Cave.Temples of India, p. 345.) A magnificent fresco of this scene is to be found in Cave No. 1 at Ajanta (see Rajendra Lala's Buddha Gaya, plate II.) This is probably of the sixth century. With it may be compared the vigorous and powerful fresco of the same subject, which covers the whole vault of the great rock temple at Dambulla. For sculptures supposed to represent the temptations of the daughters of Mára, reference may be further made to the Amrávati sculptures, plate LIX., centre of the right-hand pillar, and plate LXIII., fig. 1. Leaving these legends, we come to the history of the dedication of the first Buddhist monastery. The story of the purchase of the land is told in the bas-reliefs, of which a drawing enlarged from General Cunningham's photograph is before you. The Buddhist story tells how the wealthy merchant, Anáthapindika, purchased for 18 kóti of gold coins the garden of the Prince Jéta, who at first refused to sell it unless it was covered with coins. The sculpture tells well the chief points of the story: the large sums of money which had to be brought in a cart, the coins covering the ground, the dedication by pouring water from a golden vessel (as the book says) over the hands of Buddha; but Buddha is not represented in the sculpture, and in the grounds are the two temples labelled Gondha kuṭi and Kosamba kuṭi, and the mango tree surrounded by a Buddhist rail. The inscription below the sculpture is almost in the very words of the existing text. It runs: Jétavana Anúdhapedíko deti kóti santhatena keta: 'Anáthapindako presents Jétavana having
become the purchaser for a layer of kó́ṭis’ (See Childers’ Notes in The Academy for 28th November, 1874, p. 586, and for 5th December, 1874, p. 612.) The story, as we have it, was therefore extant, in the same words as we read it to-day, as early as the third century before Christ.

Scenes from the Játakas themselves are found in all the sculptures from Bharhut downwards: they are found in the frescoes at Ajanta, everywhere on the walls of the temples in Ceylon; and Fa-hian, who visited Ceylon in A.D. 405, relates that he was present when the tooth-relic was carried in the annual procession from Anurádhapura to Mihintale, a distance of nearly eight miles, and that on these occasions both sides of the road were hung with paintings of the 500 different births of Buddha, painted in different colours and "executed with such care as to appear living." (Tree and Serpent Worship, p. 82.)

There are, unfortunately, very few of the first fifty Játakas, with which we are specially dealing at present, which can be identified by the numerous Buddhist scenes at Bharhut. (See plate XXV., fig. 1.) A medallion is inscribed Pusa-sathabho dánam Miga Játakam—'pillar gift of Pushya': the Deer-birth.' Buddha was born as a deer eleven times. I am as yet unable to identify this sculpture. Davids says it is the Nigrodha Miga Játaka (No. 12), with which I am unable to identify it.

The Játaka labelled the Hamsa Játaka or 'Goose-birth' is clearly the Nacca Jákata (No. 32, plate XXVII., p. 11) or the Dancing Peacock. The story is that the royal goose summoned all the birds for his daughter to choose a husband. She chose the peacock, who in his vanity began to dance, spreading out his tail, which so much shocked the royal-goose that he broke off the match and married his daughter to a young goose, his nephew. The sculpture shows only the goose and the peacock with outspread tail. In this instance, it will be noted, that the name of the Játaka inscribed on the sculpture is not the name in the books; but the identity of the Játaka is undoubted. It would be interesting to ascertain when the popular name changed.

The story of Makhá Déva (Játaka 9) is told on the coping stone from Bharhut shown in plate XLVIII., fig. 2., and labelled Maghá Déviya Játakam—'the Mághá Déwa birth.' It
is the story of the first mortal whose hair turned grey, who, when he saw the first grey hair, was so convinced of the instability of human existence, that he resigned his kingdom to his son and became an ascetic; and this became the rule of his race. In the sculpture the king is seated between two attendants: in his right-hand he holds before his face something small between his fore-finger and thumb; the attendant on the right holds up something in the same way between his fore-finger and thumb, and is drawing the king’s attention to it.

With the key given by the label, the story is seen to be well told; without the label it would have been difficult to identify the Jātaka.

Before passing from the most ancient sculptures, it may be well to call attention to the simplicity of the sculptures, and to the striking way in which the story is told with only a few figures: the salient points are seized, and the main points of a long story are put before the eye in a small space; for example, how can the story of the purchase and dedication of the Jētavana monastery be better told than in the small medallion before you; also compare the Chadantiya Jātakam of the third century B.C., as shown in plate XXVI., fig. 6, with the elaborate and beautiful drawing in the Ajanta caves of the same Jātaka in the sixth century A.D. (Burgess, Buddhist Cave Temples, 1883, plate XVI., and text pp. 45 and 46.) In the one, the story is told by a kneeling elephant and a hunter with a saw, a tree, and two elephants in the background; in the drawing there is a large herd of elephants, the huntsmen returning over the rocks with the tusks, the presentation of the tusks to the Queen, and the remorse of the Queen. That gradually these Jātakas were more and more elaborately depicted, we have already learnt from Fa-hian’s account of them in the beginning of the fifth century; and at this day, in spite of great decline in artistic power, there is great elaboration of all the details of the stories. To show this to the Members of this Society, I have had drawn by native artists, in their own way, two of the first fifty Jātakas—the Dévadhamma Jātaka (6) and the Khadirangāra Jātaka (40) or ‘fiery furnace.’ Any one who wishes can compare this picture-story of the Dévadhamma Jātaka with the same story on the walls of the Kēlani temple, which is
within an easy drive of Colombo, or with the drawings on
the equally accessible temple at Kōtté, where, besides the
Dévdhamma Jātaka, are the Kaṭṭahāri Jātaka, and the
Khadirangāra Jātaka, which last, as just stated, is one of
those before you to-night.

The subject of this paper has been the popular acceptance
of the Jātakas, as shown in sculptures and picture-stories.
The Nidánakathá, or Introduction to the Jātakas, has been
regarded as part of them. It, with them, affords subjects
for the decoration of Buddhist buildings, and for the instruc-
tion of the people. We have seen that these illustrations
existed from 250 B.C. to this day; and that they have been
found from beyond Lahore, and at Bharhut and Amrávati,
down to Ceylon. The sculptures give the same names to the
Jātakas as they bear to-day; or they give a different name,
while the popular story remains unaltered; and we have an
important historical scene described in the third century in
letters inscribed on the stones in the very words of the Páli
edition of the Jātakas now on the table, and Fa-hian relates
how in 405 A.D. the stories were told at length in pictures
as you see them at this day on the walls of the temples.
Briefly to illustrate this, and to bring before you within the
time allowed for our meetings some of the more striking
examples of the Buddhist picture-stories from the third
century B.C. to this day, has been the endeavour of this paper.

II.—THE TEXT.

So far the question has been of the Matter and contents,
their nature and origin separately and as a collection; the
question of the Text, and the different editions it may have
passed through, is another.

Original form of Book.—The Jātaka of the Tripiṭaka, the
last book of the Khuddaka Nikāya, is not our Jātaka Book,
but consists only of the gáthás or stanzas. The stories
are not there. The book which contains the stories and
the long introductory history of the Buddha is called the
Jātaka Commentary, Jātaka Atóthavaṇṇanā. The theory of
the stories is that they are only a comment on the stanzas.
Some scholars have, therefore, been satisfied to understand
by the word Jātakaṁ (when it is shown by the Dipawâmaśa
that a Játakaṁ existed at the Council of Vesáli) the bare string of verses, assigning to writers of indefinite date the construction of the commentary. And of the commentary in its present form, with the grammatical glosses and the artificial arrangement, no doubt this must be just. But it seems to me indisputable, on the other hand, that the collection of stories must have existed before the stanzas could have been collected. It is no more possible that the Játakapota should have grown out of the Játakapela, than that any other book should have been constructed out of its index! If the stanzas existed at the date of Vesáli, a collection of the stories of which they are the mottoes or morals must have existed too, whether written or not. The argument from the Dípawaminsa, therefore, is good for the whole substantial contents, if it is good for anything. And this applies to the indisputable evidence of the sculptures, for what they witness to is the story, not the verse. The titles which are written on some of them would be unintelligible unless the story, in something like its present shape, was known; for the title is often an arbitrary one, which in no wise tells the tale.

It seems certain, therefore, that (correct as probably are Professor Künite’s inferences from the grammatical and philosophical glosses to a late date of the book exactly in its present form) the substantial compilation of the matter must date from as early as the 3rd century B.C. Was it then written? Has there been a ruder, shorter edition than the present? Is there, or has there been, any Játaka Book intermediate (in extant of detail) between the bare Játaka Páli and the Játaka Aṭṭhavannana?

I am sorry that I am unable to complete my inquiries on this point so as to state finally what is, and what is not known, for I have met with uncertainty among Sinhalese scholars themselves.

So far I have not been able to find any book, but the Játaka Páli, Játaka Pela, or simple Játakaṁ of the Khuddaka Nikáya. This consists of the gáthás only, and bears marks of being a mul-pota or original text; at any rate, it bears marks which show that it is so treated. It is this, not our large Játaka Book, which is part of the canonical sacred books of Buddhism.
I have seen three MSS. of it. One, borrowed from Maligákanda Library, bears the name in Sinhalese, Játaka Pela; but the copyist in his customary epilogue speaks of the Aṭṭhavāṇṇanā. This, of course, was a blunder, and the copy throughout is full of every sort of mistake: but still the blunder seemed, so long as we had only this MS. in hand, to point to the conclusion that this copyist had made up his book by extracting the gáthás from a copy of the Játaka Commentary. It might have seemed, had it stood alone, not to be an original integral book, but a collection of extracts.

The next MS. was No. 27 in the Society’s Library, substantially the same, but an excellently and accurately written one, and this contains no allusion to the Commentary. It has not the “uddanam.”

The third is the MS. No. 22; in Burmese characters, of which Mr. Baṭuwantudáwé read to me enough to characterise it. It is somewhat injured at the end, and the leaves disarranged, but in other respects precisely the same as the last, except in the point which I understand is most important as being the sign of an original text or mul-pota (which is wanting in both the other MSS.). That sign is the insertion at each division—after each ten Játakas, or as the case may be—of the words Dutiyo Vaggo or (as the case may be) Tassa uddanam, &c.; that is, ‘here ends the second division,’ ‘the list of its contents is as follows.’ These words Tassa uddanam, and the lists repeated, are characteristic, Mr. Baṭuwantudáwé tells me, of originals—Pitaka books. They do not occur in the Játaka Commentary.

There are extant, at least, two word-comments or glossaries on the stanzas only, the Getapada Sanné or ‘Glossary of hard passages,’ and the Játaka Gáthá Sanné or Játaka pela Sanné of Rájamurári, a transcript from which our President has communicated to the Society. The latter work is imperfect, containing, in its extant form, less than half the gáthás.

While, then, the Játaka of the Canon seems to have always been the collection of the verses only, and while it is only this which we can safely assume to be meant when the Játakam as a text is referred to in the Dīpawamsa or other ancient sources of evidence, some of the Játaka stories
appear in other parts of the Pitakas in language not exactly taken—to say the least—from the Commentary.

It is to be hoped that this will be abundantly illustrated in our future proceedings when birth-stories from other collections, such as are found in Mr. Beal's Dhammapada for instance, and such as are scattered about in the other Pitaka books, are compared in detail with our edition.

The Jātakam of the Canon.—Mr. Dickson stated the matter for us thus:—The Jātakas form the tenth section of the Khuddakanikāya of the Sutta Pitaka of the Buddhist Canon.

The date of this Canon is generally accepted as prior to the third Council held under Aśoka about 242 B.C. Two important facts for determining the date of the Pāli Canon have been ably brought out by Dr. Oldenberg in his introduction to the Vinaya Pitaka, p. xxv.

1. In the Tripiṭaka no mention is made of the third Council.

2. The first Council of Rajagaha (B.C. 477) and the second Council of Vesāli (B.C. 37) are both mentioned.

The Canon, therefore, cannot be earlier than the second Council, and was probably finally completed before or at the third Council. (See Max Müller's Dhammapada, p. xxx.) It may probably be that the gāthās or stanzas originally formed the Jātaka text of the Sutta Pitaka, and that the stories gathered round them are of a later date; but Pāli scholarship must be more accurate and more advanced than at present before it will be safe to attempt to fix the age of any portion of the text on the evidence of language. Tradition leads us to believe that the text and the commentaries were brought to Ceylon by Mahinda in 241 B.C.; that they were first committed to writing in the reign of Buddhādāsa (339—368 A.D.). As recorded in the Mahawaṁsa, the Suttas were translated from the Pāli into the Sīhalese language; and it would appear probable that the Gāthās continued to be written in Pāli while the commentaries were in Sīhalese, until Buddhaghosa, in the beginning of the 5th century A.D., rendered the whole in Pāli in the form in which we now have it. The original Sīhalese translations of the reign of Buddhādāsa are unfortunately
lost, and the present Sinhalese translations date from the reign of Pandita Parakrama Bahu (A.D. 1297).

Translations.—Mr. Dickson has given the popular interpretation of the tradition, according to which Buddhaghosha translated "all" the Commentaries; but whether the Jataka Commentary was in fact one of those which Buddhaghosha translated, is a point which has been disputed; and the question is discussed with great learning by Messrs. Ranesinghe and Sumangala.

Whether Buddhaghosha did translate the Jataka Commentary. The account as given in Mahawamsa.

The case pro is given fully by Mr. Ranesinghe.

According to the Mahawamsa, Mahanama began his reign in the year 953 of Buddha's Parinirvana, which is A.D. 410, and reigned 22 years. It was in his reign that Buddhaghosha landed in Ceylon. He was a Brahman by birth, and was learned in the Vedas. He became a pupil of Revata, and was robed by him. Finding the peculiar aptitude of his pupil to write Commentaries on the Dharma or Buddhist Scriptures, Nevata informed him that in Ceylon there were good Commentaries on the Dharma in the Sinhalese language, and desired him to proceed thither and translate the Sinhalese Aṭṭūvās (Commentaries) into Pāli. He came to Ceylon, studied under Sanhapāta, and, having learnt the Aṭṭūvās at the Maha Vihāra, asked for books to translate into Pāli. With a view to try his ability, the monks gave him only two gāthās. Taking the two gāthās as his text, he wrote the work called Visuddhimagga. The learned monks approved of his work, and gave him the Commentaries and the books of the Tripitakas. He remained at Durasankara Grantakara Pirivena, and, it is said, translated all the Sinhalese Aṭṭūvās into Pāli, and returned to India.

The words of the Mahawamsa are:

"Parivattessabbāpi sīhalaṭṭha kathā tadā
Sabbesam mūla bhāsāya māgadhāya niruttiyā."

'He translated according to the grammatical rules of the Māgadha, which is the root of all languages, the whole of the Sinhalese Aṭṭha Kathās into Pāli.'

In the Saddharma Sangraha, a Pāli work written by Dhamma Kitti, pupil of Dhamma Dinna, the time of
Buddhaghosha’s writing the Commentaries is given in the following gāthās:

“Sambuddha parinibbānā, nava vassa satēsuca
Cha paññāsātiikkantēsu Mahānāmo narādhipo
Dhammena dasa vidheneva Lankā rajjam akārayē
Buddhaghoshotī ghoshohi Buddhō viya Mahītalē
Lankā dīpaṃhi āgamma Lanka dīpaṃ hitāvahi
Ganthäkare vasantosō vihāre düra sankarē
Parivattesi sabbāpi sīhalatthā kathā tadā.”

The date here given, A.B. 956 (A.D. 413), is not, as I think, the date of Mahānāma’s accession to the throne, but that of Buddhaghosha’s commencing the great work of translating the atuvas into Pāli.

(2.) The Khuddaka Nikāya is numerically specified as one of the “all.”—In the same work Buddhaghosha’s works are thus enumerated:

“Suttantān pāṭavatthāya sāsanasacca vuddhiyā
Māgadhāya samāraddhā suttantaṭṭha kathā vasā
Catu nikāyatthā kathā sabbaso parinīṭṭhitā.
Sā asiti sahassehi ganthehi parimāṇato
Khuddaka nikāyatthā kathā sabbaso parinīṭṭhitā
Sattati sata sahassehi ganthehi parimāṇato.”

Here we are told that the Khuddaka Nikāyatthakathā, containing seven millions of granthas, each grantha being equal to thirty-two syllabic instants, were fully composed by Buddhaghosha.


The Nidāna Kathā, or introduction to the Pāli Jātakaṭṭha-kathā, begins with the following adoration:

“Jāti koti sahassehi pamāna rahitaṁ hitaṁ
Lokassa loka nāthēna katam yēna mahesinā.”
(4.) The old Glossary asserts it.—In the ganthi or glossary to the Pāli Jātakaṭṭha Kathā, written to explain the difficult Pāli words of the book in a very old Sinhalese style, it is said that Buddhaghosha wrote the above adoration at the beginning of his Jātakarthasamvarṇanā to ward off evil from the work he had begun. These are the words:—“Sarva vādībhā simha kumbha vidālana samarthhāsēṣa vid vajjana cakra cūdāmani Buddhaghosha caryapādayo tamanvisin prārabdhā granthhayāgē avighnayen parisamāptiya pinisa jātakārthasamvarṇanādīyehi ishta dēvatā namaskāra dākwannāhu jātikoti sahassehi yanādi kīha.”

Another piece of evidence in support of the general belief that Buddhaghosha wrote the Jātakaṭṭha Kathā is that the Suttaraipata Aṭṭha Kathā, which is admittedly the work of Buddhaghosha, the Nidāna Kathā is omitted, and the reader is referred to the Nidāna Kathā of the Jātaka Commentary for it.

So that the evidence in support of the general belief that Buddhaghosha wrote the Jātakāṭṭhakathā seems to be complete. The Mahavamsa and Saddharma Sangraha state this generally. The author of the glossary mentions Buddhaghosha by name as the author of the work; and the reference in the Sutta Nipāta Commentary also favours this belief.

Difficulties admitted: (1.) There are confessedly exceptions to the “all.”—But it is also admitted that Dharmapala, a learned monk, wrote some of the Attha Kathās: namely, the Theragatha and the Therīgatha Attha Kathās of this very Khuddaka Nikaya. This naturally raises a suspicion in the mind. Nor do we know who the author of the glossary (a fragment of which is only extant) was, when and where he lived, and what his authority or sources of knowledge were to make the statement that Buddhaghosha himself wrote this commentary.

(2.) Buddhaghosha, while alluding to Jātaka Commentary, does not say he wrote it.—In the reference, too, in the Sutta Nipāta Aṭṭha Kathā to the Nidāna of the Jātaka Commentary, Buddhaghosha does not say that he himself wrote the Nidāna. So that we are compelled to sift the internal evidence, and to ask what that says.
The case contra is thus stated by Sumangala Unnánse.

The general opinion is that the Játaka Commentary was also written by Buddhaghosha. But it is doubtful whether it was composed by him, for the following reasons:

1. That at the end of the Aṭṭha Kathás composed by Buddhaghosha, in giving the name of the work the following words occur:—‘Parama visuddha saddhá buddhi viriya guṇa patimanditen,’ &c. After eulogizing the author in many such expressions, his name is mentioned in these words:—Buddhaghoshoti garugahita námadheyyena katá; after which follows the name of the work. These words do not occur at the end of the Játakattha Kathá.

2. The Commentaries on the Vinaya Piṭaka and Sútra Piṭaka, written by Buddhaghoshácárya, have a separate name for each; for instance, the Commentary on the five divisions of the Vinaya is called Samantapasádiká; the Commentary on the two Prátimokshás is called Kankha Vitarānti That on the Dígha Nikáya is called Sumangala-Vilásini.

The Commentary on the Játakas, which is larger than those abovementioned, bears no other name than the Játakattha Kathá.

3. The benedictions at the end of the works of Buddhaghosha are as follows:—"By virtue of this meritorious act may all beings enjoy the taste of the Dharma of the omnicient one. May the good Dharma last long, &c." But the wish of the writer of the Játakaṭṭha Kathá is as follows:—"By virtue of this meritorious act may I, after death, be born in Tusita heaven, and when Mātriiya Buddha attains Buddhahood may I receive nomination from him to become a Buddha, and, having perfected the vast constituents of Buddhahood, may I become a Buddha!"

4. The adorations, &c., of Buddhaghoshácárya at the beginning of his commentaries are very captivating, but those of the Játakaṭṭha Kathá are not so; even the effect of the adoration is, in the latter, expressed in a different manner.

5. The request to Buddhaghosha to write the Aṭṭha kathás appears to have been made by Buddha Siri and other theras of the Maha Vihára. Three theras—namely, Atthadassi, Buddhamitta, and Buddhadeva—are mentioned as those who have requested the author to write the
Commentary on the Jātakas. In introducing one of these, it is said that he belonged to the Mahīṃsāsaka sect. The words are Mahīṃsāsaka vamsamhi sambhutena yaśassinā. Now this Mahīṃsāsaka sect is one which has separated in India from the Theravāda sect. In the Mahāvaṁsa, chap. V., gāthā 6th, this matter is thus narrated:—

Punāpi thera vādehi Mahīṃsāsaka bhikkhavo Vajji puttaka bhikkhū ca duve jātā ime khalu—"Again from the Theravāda bhikkhus, there was a separation of two sects called Mahīṃsāsaka and Vajji puttaka." The name Mahīṃsāsaka is thus given to a sect which separated from the orthodox faith. If one of those who thus requested the author was of the Mahīṃsāsaka sect, it may be presumed that the rest were also of the same sect. Therefore it may be inferred that this Commentary was the work of a priest of the Mahīṃsāsaka sect.

6. In Buddhaghosa's Commentaries on any discourse of Buddha, he first states the method he proposes to follow in writing the Commentary. But in the Jātaka Atṭha Kathā it is only stated that the Commentary will be written in conformity with the exposition current among the inmates of the Mahāvihāra; but the method in which it will be written is not mentioned.

7. Those who have studied Pāli works will also find that the Pāli of the Jātaka Commentary is different from that of Commentaries he attributed to Buddhaghosa.

For the foregoing reasons it cannot be said with certainty that the Commentary on the Jātakas is the work of Buddhaghosa, and the name of the author is not mentioned in it, and, therefore, we cannot say who else, if any, wrote it.

Date of Buddhaghosa.—If the translation be Buddhaghosa's, the date is fixed as follows:—Buddhaghoshacārya translated the Sinhalese Commentaries into Pāli during the reign of Mahānāma. Mahānāma began to reign in the year of Buddha 953, that is, about the year 410 of Christ. Buddhaghosa commenced writing the work called Samantapāsadika in the twentieth year of King Mahānāma, that is in the year 973 of Buddha. That Commentary was completed in one year. Though it is not said when, it is possible that the Jātakatthā Kathā was also written about this time.
Mr. Ranesinghe, says: "If this view is correct, the work must have been done between 413 and 432 A.D."

_Sinhalese version._ — Of the current Sinhalese version Jayawardane Mudaliyar writes as follows:—

The Sinhalese version of the Jātakas is not of pure Sinhalese, but it is understood better by the present generation; as the language, though mixed with Sanskrit and Pāli, is colloquial. In this version many Pāli words are retained, while several others have expletives added to them in Sinhalese; but neither the addition of these expletives nor the quotation made of words affect the sense of the Pāli version. In illustration of this remark* I would quote the two versions of Pāli and Sinhalese of one of the shortest Jātakas, namely, 'Gāmini Jātaka,' in juxta-position for the inspection of members. This Sinhalese version seems to be the same as that which existed in the 14th century. Another Sinhalese version of an earlier date seems to have existed (306 B.C.) during the reign of Dewānampiyatiṭo. This version is not to be found, nor does any work now extant make any allusion as to its fate. It is possible that the work was destroyed by one of the kings who was inimical to literature.

While on this subject, it may not be amiss to mention here that the Sinhalese version which existed during the reign of Dewānampiyatiṭo seems to have been spread throughout Ceylon by the Buddhist Priest Mahindasthavira. But the party who translated it from the original Pāli is not known. The Sinhalese version now extant seems to have been made by Prākramabahu IV.

Opinions as to the Sinhalese version, whether it represents anything of the old version, whether it is a perfect specimen of the 14th century, or has the defects of a servile translation.

Sumangala Unnānase contributed the following valuable monograph on the Sinhalese version:—

Māhēndra, a Buddhist monk of Ujjeni in Northern India, the first propagator of Buddhism in Lamká, arrived in this Island about the beginning of the reign of Devenipētissā, who governed the country for forty years from B.C. 307 to B.C. 267. On the very day he arrived here

* See Appendix 4.
he is said to have entered into conversation, without the aid of an interpreter, with Devenipōtissā, and on the following day he is said to have preached Buddhism to the people of Ceylon.

The Dharma thus brought from Northern India was recorded in books during the reign of Wattiṣagāmini Abhaya.

Wattiṣagāmini began his reign in B.C. 103. After a reign of five months he was expelled by the Tamils, who usurped the throne for fifteen years. He, in his turn, drove them away and re-ascended the throne and reigned twelve years. Now it would be interesting to know what was the language of the Sinhalese about this period.

Dr. E. Müllcr, in his Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, has given us the texts of many inscriptions of this period. The dialect in which these inscriptions are worded is much closer to Pāli than to modern Sinhalese, and somewhat similar to the dialect of the Middle Indian Asoka inscriptions. The characters in which they are engraved are also similar to those of the Ujjeni inscriptions. The following is a Ceylon inscription of the century under notice:—

Parumaka abaya puta parumaka Tisa napi acagirika Tisa paretahi agata anagata catudisa sagasa dine. Devanapi maharaja Gamiṇi abaya niyate aca nagaraka ca tamiriya nagarakaca. Parumaka abaya puta parumaka Tisa niyate pite rajaha agata anagata catudisa sagasa.

The following is Dr. Müllcr’s translation:—

“The tank of Parumaka Tisa, son of Parumaka Abhaya, at the mountain of Acagarika Tisa is given to the priesthood of the four quarters, present and absent. The Great King, beloved of the gods, Gamiṇi Abhaya ordered Acangara and Tawarikiyanagara, which have been established by (my?) his father King Tisa, son of King Abhaya, to the priesthood of the four quarters, present and absent.”

The following is a short inscription of Asoka, King of Māgadha, in India, the friend of Devenipōtissā and father of Māhendra:—

Lājinā Piyadasinā duvā dasāivasābhisitena iyan Nigohakubha dinā adivikemhi.

“By the King Piyadasi, in the 12th year of his inauguration, this cave of the Nigrodha tree has been given to the mendicants.”
From the narrative in the Mahawamsa it would appear that Mahendra’s language was understood by the King of Ceylon and his subjects.

It is said in the Mahawamsa that the monks “orally perpetuated” the Pali Pitakattaya and the Aṭṭakathās (Sinhalese commentaries) from this period to Wattaγammini Abhaya’s time—that is, for about 175 or 200 years—and then in that King’s reign they were recorded in books. From this it is concluded that the Jātaka commentary existed in Sinhalese at this period, and was recorded in a book; if there was any Sinhalese commentary of the Jātaka text at this period, I think it cannot be doubted that the Sinhalese of that commentary must have been the Sinhalese of our inscriptions, if not even older and nearer the language of the inscriptions of Asoka.

Now, the language of these inscriptions, is not at all intelligible to the Sinhalese of the present day. In modern Sinhalese, the words “agata anagata catudisa sagasa dine” would be á ná satara disávé sanghayáta dena ladi. It is this so-called Sinhalese commentary that is said to have been translated into Pali about the fifth century of the Christian era. The existing Sinhalese version of the Pansiya Panas Jātaka, as it is called, is a translation of this Pali version made in the reign of King Parākrama Bāhu IV., who ascended the throne about the year A.D. 1308. Though the version is in a style differing from the modern Sinhalese, yet it is generally understood by the people. I am, therefore, of opinion that this version does not reproduce anything of the old. Indeed, if it did, it would not be intelligible even to the educated.

As to the question whether the version is a perfect specimen of the 14th century, or has the defects of a servile translation, I think I can with confidence say, speaking of the first fifty Jātakas, that it is a perfect specimen of that period. Though faithful as far as I have been able to judge, yet it is not servile; it may be called a free translation.

In some cases I find that it has avoided the defects of the Pali commentary.

In the interesting paper read by the Bishop of Colombo, at the last meeting of the Association, it was pointed out that in one of the Jātakas there was a “needless excursus
enumerating several kinds of deserts." Now this needless dissertation is not found in the Sinhalese version.

Again, his lordship pointed out that in the 15th Jātaka, the compiler built up his story about the deer, who would not go to school, upon a mistake; reading Kālehi for Kalāhi in the text. In the Sinhalese translation no allusion is made to time at all; the translator's words are sapta kaḷāyen, and not kālayen.

Provincialisms are to be detected in the Jātakas. Some of these are written in indifferent Sinhalese; some contain a few Tamil expressions and words. From these facts I conclude that the work must have been done by several persons, and not by the King himself, as one might be lead to believe from the statement in the Mahāvamsa. In the Sinhalese Introduction to the Jātakas it is said that the work was accomplished by the exertions of the minister Wērasinha Pratirāja at the personal request of the minister Prākrama, and no mention of the King is made at all.

The statement in the Mahāvamsa is that the King, having made a monk who came from the Cola country his tutor, learnt the purport of all the Jātakas from him. He subsequently translated all the 550 Jātakas into Sinhalese, and had the translation read before monks who were learned in the Tripitakas. The version was then carefully recorded in books and published throughout the Island. The version was entrusted to the learned monk Médhankara, who and his pupil in succession were enjoined to preserve it, and for that purpose a hermitage was built for him and was delivered to him with four villages for his and their maintenance.
APPENDIX I.

KAṬṬHĀHARI JAṬ'ATAKĀ.

THE SLAVE-GIRL AND THE KING.

"I am thy son, O Great King."

This the teacher, when travelling in the Jētavana, told about the story of Vāsabhakhaṭṭiyā. The story will appear (in full) in the birth-story (entitled) Bhaddasāla (and given) in the twelfth division. She was, it is said, the daughter of a Sākyya princenamed Mahānāma (and was) born of a slave-girl named Nāgamuṇḍa, and became the first queen of the king of Kosāla. She bore unto the king a son; but the king afterwards knew her to be a slave-girl* and just deprived her (of her) position, and also just deprived his son Vidudabha (of his) position. Both, however, dwelt in the inner palace itself. Having known that case, the teacher, surrounded by five hundred mendicants, went in the forenoon† to the palace of the king and sat (down) in the seat prepared; (he) said thus: "O great king, where is Vāsabhakhaṭṭiyā?" The king explained the case. (The teacher asked) thus: "O great king, whose daughter is Vāsabhakhaṭṭiyā?" (The king replied) thus: "Of Mahānāma, O reverend sir." (The teacher asked) thus: "Coming (of age), to whom did she come (in wedlock)?)" (The king replied) thus: "To me, O reverend sir." (The teacher said) thus: "O great king, she is the daughter of a king and has just come (in wedlock) to a king, and by the king himself (has) had a son. For what reason (then) does that son not become the lord of the kingdom belonging to the father? It is said (that) former kings had sons‡ by girls‡ whose occupation§ was to collect (fire) wood and with whom they lived for a short time,∥ (and) gave to such sons (their) kingdoms." The king begged the honoured teacher for the plain sense of the matter. The honoured teacher made manifest this matter concealed by change of birth.

Once upon a time there was a king named Brahmadatta in

* Lit. her being a slave-girl.
† Lit. at the time of the forenoon.
‡ The words Kaṭṭhāharika raja and putta are used in the singular; but they express the plural number as is usual.
§ The termination ika in Kaṭṭhāharika signifies tacchilya, or one's occupation.
∥ The word Mahottikā means 'lasting for a moment,' and is used probably in this sense here. If so, it is unusually used. I am not satisfied with the version I have put upon it. Muhotura (Muhurta) is a form of marriage in Maharāshtra.
Báránási, who went in great state to (his) garden. (Moved) by a desire of (gathering) fruits and flowers, he there walked about under a grove of trees in the garden. (He) saw one woman picking up pieces of wood and singing continuously.*

She bore him a son, the Bódhisat, and before his birth the king gave her his signet-ring and said thus: "If a daughter is (born) you will maintain her (by) disposing of this (signet-ring)." (Then) he went away. In course of time the Bódhisat was born.† He had crawled and moved about at the time of his being able to walk about,—he sported in the play-ground. Then to him some said so‡: "We are undone by one (who is) fatherless." Having heard that, the Bódhisatva went to his mother and asked her thus: "Mamma, who is my father?" (She replied) thus: "Thou art the son of the king of Báránási." (He asked) thus: "But, mamma, is there any evidence?" (She said) thus: "Darling, the king gave his signet-ring and said, 'If a daughter is (born), thou wilt maintain her, having disposed of this (signet-ring); if a son is (born), thou wilt bring him to me together with this (signet-ring);' and went away." (He said) thus: "Such being the case, why did you not take me to my father?" Having known the desire of her son, she went to the palace-gate and caused herself to be announced to the king. Being called by the king, she entered the (palace), saluted the king, and said thus: "This is thy son, O lord." Though the king was aware of this, he said thus: "(This is) not my son," because of shame, as he was seated in the midst of an assembly. (She replied) thus: "O lord, this is thy (signet-ring); dost thou recognise it?"§ (He said) thus: "This is also not my signet-ring." "Now, lord, except a demonstration by ordeal,¶ there is no other witness for me. If this child is begotten by thee, let him stand in the sky; if not, having fallen

---

* The term gáitiva is repeated, and such a repetition signifies continuity.
† The term Patisandhi is used, and means a series of births in the course of transmigration.
‡ Vattáro honti means 'speakers were.' The term evam qualifies the verbal root in vattáro. Evam-vattáro is a compound term; otherwise it would not be correct grammar to say Evam vattáro.
§ The reading Nipitríkhénadhé deserves to be preferred, because pahata must have some nominative. Amhe signifies we, as in Mahráṭhi and other languages.
¶ Lit. "Didst thou recognise it?" The past tense in this sense is used in all Indian vernaculars.
¶ Saccā kiriya is used in the text. Saccā is not connected with Sákahát, as Childers states. Saccā is the Prákrit form of Satya. Kiriya is Kriya. The word kiriya is still used in the sense of an ordeal in Mahráṭhi and elsewhere. Saccā kiriya means 'ordeal of truth': lit. "an act of truth." Compare with this the use of the same word made in different ways in the Sakuna Játaka (36).
down upon the earth, let him die.” So saying, she caught the Bódhisatva by his leg and tossed him (up) into the sky. The Bódhisatva sat cross-legged in the sky, and explaining religious duties to his father, in a sweet voice uttered this verse: “I am thy son;* great king, lord of the people, maintain me!† The lord maintains even others;‡ much more the lord his own offspring.”§ Having heard the Bódhisatva (while) sitting in the sky thus inculcating duties, the king said thus: “Come, my darling. I will of course nurse thee.” So saying, he held out his hand. A thousand hands were held out. The Bódhisatva, not alighting into the hand of any one else, (but) just alighting into the hand of the king, sat down in his lap. Having given to him the viceroyalty, the king made his mother his chief queen. On the death of his father he became a king of the name of Kaṭṭhavāhana, administered the kingdom righteously, and went away (into another birth) according to his deeds.

Having pronounced this lecture on virtue to the king of Kósala, having shown the two cases, and having adjusted their bearing, the teacher put together the birth-story. Then the mother was Mahamáyá, the father was the great king Suddhodana, and I myself was king Kaṭṭhavāhana. This is the Kaṭṭhabhári Játaka.

* The sense of the phrase puttoyáhan is ‘I am thy son;’ and there are these four kinds of sons (such) as atra, khetta, antevásiko, and dínnako. There atra means ‘born of one’s self.’ Khetta means ‘one’s wife’s son by another (and) brought up on the surface of a bed, in a cot, or on the bosom, &c.’ Antevásiko means a student in science (staying) near (one’s self). [Panini recognizes Vidgíyoni sambandha. See his Sutra (IV, 3, 77).] Dínnako means one given to another for maintenance and protection. But here, concerning one’s own son, the term putto is used. A Rája is one who entertains the people by a fourfold reception. Mahájá is a great king. Addressing him, he said “Mahájá.”† Tvam mam pusa jandhipa—Janadhipa is the people’s lord. It was a custom among the Indian Añyas to have two names—the name of the teacher and the name of one’s own clan. This was specially predominant in the time of Pantajáli.

‡ Añña pi deva poseti means ‘nurse me, bring me up.’

§ Kinca deva sakam pajam, &c. Here, however, Kinca is a particle (used) in the sense of censure as well as grace. “The lord does not nurse me, his own son, his own offspring:” even so saying, he censures indeed. “He feeds among other beings”: so saying, he shows grace indeed. The Bódhisatva, both censuring and showing grace, says thus: “Much more the lord, his own offspring.”


GA’MANI JA’ATAKA.
PRINCE GÁMANI.

"Of those who do not make (any) haste," &c.

This the teacher, when dwelling in Jétavana, narrated of a dejected* mendicant. The introductory story, as well as the first story of this Játaka, however, will appear in the birth-story (entitled) Samvara Játaka in the eleventh division, because the story in that as well as in this is indeed alike. The verses, however, are different. Prince Gámani, though the youngest of his hundred brothers, saw his own glory† when sitting upon a royal cot under a white umbrella, (and when) surrounded by his hundred brothers. Gratified, because (said he) "this store of my glory is from our teacher," he exultingly pronounced this (following) enthusiastic speech:

"The desire for fruit of those who do not indeed make any haste is fulfilled.‡ I am of ripe Brahmacarya. So know, O Gámani."

There (in the verses) api is a mere particle. Ataramánánam signifies those learned men§ (who) perform the acts (of their life) carefully,‖ (who are) not moved¶ (by any emotion, and) who do not make (any) haste, (and) obey the precepts of the teacher.

Phalásá va Samajjhati signifies 'the desire of the fruit,' as sought by the acquisition of that fruit—prosper indeed; or phalásá is ásáphaláni,** that is 'the fruit of the desire.' The fruit as sought prosper indeed. (This is) the sense.

Vipakka brahmacariyosmi. Here four objects of acquisition (are to be considered): (1) Brahmacariya†† means excellent conduct;

---

* Osañitthaviga literally means one whose viriya is gone. Osañtha is Sanskrit Avasrishta, which means resigned or given up, and viriya is virya, manliness or spirits. The word osañitthaviga occurs in Vanañapatha Játaka and Serivani Játaka.
† Lit. "the store of his own glory."
‡ Lit. "prospers."
§ Pandit is the word used in the text.
‖ Upáyena is the word used in the text, and signifies 'by means adequate to an end to be secured.'
¶ Avegadhita and Avegádityed are two different readings. I have preferred the latter.
** When was the gloss written is known from this story. See my remarks on this story.
†† The three kinds of Brahmacariya, together with Vipakka Brahmacariya, seem to make up No. 4 mentioned in the text. The term Brahmacariya is used here in a very extended sense. The same word is used in its usual sense in the comments of the Gáthá No. 63, of the Takka Játaka, where a three-fold Brahmacariya is stated to consist of Jhanasukham, Maggasukham, Phalasukham, and in the Gáthá in question worldly prosperity is included.
and (2) that by the acquisition of glory springing from it, is success in ecstatic meditation; (3) this is ripe Brahmacariya; (4) that glory, which is acquired by one's self, is also Brahmacariya in a higher sense. Hence he said: "I am of ripe Brahmacariya."

_Ecām jānāhi Gāmanī._—Both any townsman and the chief of a town is Gāmanī. Here, however, he said regarding himself as the chief of all people: "O Gāmanī, thou for this reason know thus: having excelled a hundred brothers, I have got this kingdom because of the teacher." This enthusiastic speech he uttered. After the lapse of seven or eight days after his having got the kingdom, just all the brothers went to their own places of residence. Administering his kingdom in righteousness, prince Gāmanī passed (into another life) according to his deeds. Having performed righteous acts, the Bōdhisatva also passed away according to his deeds.

Having pronounced this lecture on virtue, and having shown (it), the teacher made manifest truths. The result (of the inculcation) of the truth was that the dejected mendicant stood (established) in Rahatship. Having narrated the two stories, and having adjusted their bearing, the teacher put together the birth-story. This is the Gāmanī Jātaka.

(Translated by Professor Künte.)

APPENDIX II.

TRANSLATION FROM THE PA'LĪ OF JĀTAKAS 41–50.

By the Editor.

[This translation has been furnished for the temporary convenience of members; but it is a rougher piece of work than I could have wished to send out even for a temporary purpose. I have intentionally sacrificed the English idiom in many places to the Pāli; but Pāli words, however familiar, have been avoided.

Mr. Baťuwantudjawé is not responsible for any mistakes I may have made, for though I read the stories with his aid, I have not been able to consult him since I began to write. The emendations of the text, however, have his authority.

Words in brackets are not separate words in the original.

R. S. C.]
41.—LO'SAKA-JA'TAKA.

"The Advice of a well-meaning Friend."

This the teacher told while residing in Jetavana on occasion of an elder named Lóskatissa. This Lóskatissa was a man of fisher caste in Kósala, who had been the ruin of his own family, and was now a luckless mendicant (to whom no one gave). On emerging from the scene of his last birth, he had assumed his present existence, they say, in the womb of a certain fisherwoman in a fisher village of a thousand families. On the day of his conception these thousand families, net in hand, sought for fish in streams and ponds and the like, but not one little fish did they catch. From that time these fishers kept declining. Between this and his birth, their village was seven times burnt by fire, and seven fined by the king. Thus, as time went on, they grew more and more miserable. They thought: "Formerly it was not thus with us, but now we are declining; there must be some "ill-luck" amongst us; let us form two bands," and so they separated into five and five hundred families. Then the portion where his parents were declined; the other prospered. Then, on the principle of halving that portion, and that again, and so on, they divided until that family was left by itself; and having thus ascertained that the ill-luck was theirs, they beat them and turned them out.

Then his mother, living in hardship, when her offspring was matured, brought him forth somewhere (where she could).

A being in his last existence it is impossible to kill: the potentiality of Rahatship burns in his heart like a lamp within a jar. She nourished the child, and as soon as he could run about she put a potsherd (or half a cocoanut, or whatever it might be) into his hand and told the boy to go to some house, and went away. Thenceforth, being quite alone, he sought alms there, and slept where he could, never bathed, took no care of his person, and passed his life in hardship like a dirt goblin. In course of time, when he was seven years old, at a certain house-door in the place where they throw away the washings of the rice-pot, he had picked up a single lump of rice, and was eating it like a crow. The lord of religion, as he went on his begging rounds to Sávatthi, saw the child, and thinking, "This being is a great object of compassion; what village does he belong to?"—kindness growing in his heart towards him—said, "Come here, my boy." He went and did obeisance to the elder, and stood still.
The elder asked him, "What is your village? where are your parents?" "Sir, I have no one I belong to; my parents got tired of me and abandoned me, and are gone." "Well, will you become a monk?" "Sir, I should be glad enough to become a monk, but who would admit such a wretch as me?" "I will admit you." "Thank you, sir, do so by all means." The elder gave him food, hard and soft, took him to his dwelling, bathed him with his own hands, admitted him, and when he was of full age ordained him. When he was old he was known as the elder Lósakatissa, and was always unfortunate,* never in luck. Even on special alms-days, they say, he never got a bellyful; he only got just enough to hold life together. When a single spoonful of gruel was put in his bowl, the bowl looked as if it were filled; so people thought "This man's bowl is full," and gave the gruel to the next. They say, too, that when people gave gruel to his bowl, the gruel in their own basin vanished. It was the same way with solid food and everything else. Afterwards he acquired full insight, and was established in the highest fruit of Rahatship, but even then he was a little-gain. In due course, his life-material being exhausted, the day of his final extinction arrived. The lord of religion, making mental inquiry, perceived the fact of his attaining extinction to-day, and feeling "This elder Lósakatissa will attain extinction to-day, I must give him food to his heart's content," took him with him and entered Sávatthi to beg. Because of him, even the great elder held out his hand in populous Sávatthi, but got not a bow. The (great) elder sent him in, saying "Go on brother, sit in the sitting-hall," and sent him the food he received, saying "Take this to Lósaka." The people (to whom it was entrusted) took it and went off, and forgot Lósaka, and ate it themselves. When the (great) elder arose, and was going to his dwelling, Lósakatissa went and did reverence to him. The great elder stopped and stood and asked, "Did you get the food, brother?" "I shall get it (in good time) sir," he replied. The elder was disturbed, and took notice of the time. The time (for eating) was passed. "Never mind, brother, sit down here," he said, and giving Lósaka a seat in the sitting-hall, he went to the dwelling of the king of Kósala. The king took the elder's bowl, and as it was out of time for cooked food, had the bowl filled with the four sweet offerings (honey, ghee, butter, and sugar). The elder took it and went, and saying "Come brother Tissa, eat these four sweets," stood with the bowl in his hand. The other elder, out of respect, was too modest to eat.

* Nipparājo: read nipparājo, as on p. 236 apūñjo.
Then the elder said, "Come, brother Tissa, I will take this bowl and stand, you sit and eat; if I let this bowl to go out of my hand, there will be nothing in it." Then the venerable Lōsakatissa ate the four sweets, the high chief, the lord of religion, standing and holding the bowl. By the high supernatural power of the elder it did not waste. On that occasion Lōsakatissa ate to his full and filled his belly, and on that very day, by the extinction which leaves no element of being behind, he attained extinction. The Buddha himself came to the place and performed the funeral rites. They took the relics and made a shrine. Then the mendicants assembled in the hall of religion, talked there as they sat: "Brethren, Lōsaka was an unlucky gainless man, but how has such an unlucky little-gain as he attained such glory in religion?" The teacher, coming to the hall of religion, asked, "What is the subject of your conversation now, mendicants, as you sit together?" They told him what it was. The teacher said, "Mendicants, this mendicant's own acts were the cause of his being a little-gain, and also of his gaining the glory of religion. By his formerly preventing the gains of others he became (or was born) a little-gain, while by the fruit of his attainment of clear perception about impermanency, sorrow, and the unreality of the soul,* he was born (or became) a gainer of the glory of religion." He then told the story of the past.

In the past, in the time of Kassapa Buddha, a certain mendicant was living in a village, dwelling near (and in dependence on) a man of property.† He was a perfect (monk), an observer of the precepts, and possessed of very great insight. An elder of mortified desires, as he pursued his regular way of life, arrived for the first time at the village in which lived the man of property who supported this mendicant. The man of property (squire), delighted at the very manner of the elder, took his bowl and made him enter his house, fed him zealously, and after hearing a short discourse on religion, made obeisance and said, "Sir, go to the residence we keep up, I will come in the evening to see you." The elder went to the residence, and after having made obeisance to the resident elder and asked permission, sat down by him. He, after the usual greetings, asked, "Brother, have you had alms-food?" "Yes," he said. "Where?" "At the house of the squire of the village you frequent." Having said this, he asked for his own seat, and having performed his toilet and put away his bowl and

* Anantā: read anattd.
† Kusumbham. B. says the v. l. Kusimbham is correct.
robes, sat engaged in the bliss of meditation and of the fruits (of religion). The squire came in the evening with people carrying perfumes and flowers, and lamps and oil, and after doing obesance to the resident elder asked, "There is a strange elder, sir, did he come?" "Yes, he came." "Where is he now?" "On such and such a seat." He went to the place where he was, and after making obesance sat down by him and heard religious discourse, and went home in the cool, after having made offerings to the shrine and the sacred tree, and lighted the lamps, and invited the two (to eat at his house). The resident elder thought, "This squire's heart is lost to me; if that mendicant lives in this residence he will make no account whatever of me." So the elder got into a discontented state of mind, and feeling "I must contrive to prevent his living in this residence," when they met spoke not a word to him. The elder of mortified desires perceived his intention, and saying to himself, "That elder does not perceive how free I am from the bonds of rank or following," went to his abode, and passed the time in the bliss of meditation and of the fruits (of religion). Next day, the resident tapped the door-post with the back of his nail, * and knocked at the door with his nail, and went to the squire's house. The squire took his bowl, seated him on the seat prepared, and asked "Where is the visitor, sir?" I know nothing about your favourite: I tapped at his doorpost, I knocked at his door, but I could not wake him. Yesterday he ate some sweet food at your house, and I suppose he could not digest it, and has only just fallen asleep; this is the sort of object you choose for your admiration.† The elder of mortified desires, reflecting that it was his time for going to beg, arranged his person, took his bowl and robes, and, rising into the air, went to some other place. The squire gave the resident elder to drink some porridge made with ghee, honey, and sugar, and then after cleaning the bowl with perfumed powder, he filled it again, and gave it to him, saying "Sir, that elder must be wearied with his journey, take this to him." The other did not refuse, but took it, and as he went he thought: "If that mendicant drinks this porridge, he will never go even if he is dragged out by the throat. On the other hand, if I give this porridge to anybody, my deed will be made known; if I throw it into water, the ghee will show on the top of the water; if I throw it on the ground, it will be seen by the crows collecting; so where

* Punadicasena Kappith, &c.: read Punadivasena Nakkaptith, &c.
† Iddni, &c. The inverted commas are misplaced. If the second ti is correct, the construction may be "The sort of person you like is one who would eat to indigestion and oversleep himself."
can I throw it?" While he was thus considering, he saw a field where there had been a 'burn'; he raked open the ashes, and threw out the porridge there, covered it over with ashes, and went to the residence. Not seeing that mendicant, he said to himself, "Doubtless that mendicant of mortified desires must have perceived my intention, and gone to some other place; alas! for my belly's sake I have done a wicked deed!" Thus, from that moment great sorrow came upon him. Very soon after he became a goblin in human form, and not long after died, and was born in hell. For many thousand years he was maturing in torment in hell, and after he had reaped the fruit of his sin, by force of the demerit that still remained, he was born a demon for five hundred successive births. During that time, not one day did he get a bellyful of food. One day he got a meal of filth. Then for five hundred births he was a dog. There, too, one day he got a meal of disgusting food. But the rest of all that time he did not get one good meal. On emerging from the dog existence, he was born in a village in Kási, in a poverty-stricken family. After his birth that family was reduced to the extremity of misery. He never got more than half a meal of some water gruel. His name was Mittavindaka. His father and mother, unable to bear the misery of starvation, said "Get along, wretch," and beat him and sent him away. Helpless (and friendless) he wandered till he came to Benares. At that time the Bódhisat was a far-famed professor in Benares, and was teaching five hundred youths. In those days the inhabitants of Benares used to pay for the education of the poor. So this Mittavindaka was receiving a free education under the Bódhisat. He was rude and impatient of reproof (or advice), and went about striking first one and then another (boy), and when reproved by the Bodhisat, would not take reproof (or advice), so that because of him the fees fell off. After a quarrel with the other boys, refusing reproof, he ran away from the place, and wandered to a country-village where he lived by doing jobs for hire. There he lived with a poor woman who bore him two sons. The villagers invited Mittavindaka to teach them about right and wrong, and gave him a salary and a hut to live in at the entrance of the village. Because of this Mittavindaka, the inhabitants of

* I cannot represent in English the play on the cognate ideas of roasting-ripening, and maturing, which are here implied in Paccati.
† I have softened for the English reader the rude force of utarapuram, gab-bhavanalam, ramanaabhattam, vabhito udgham, &c.
‡ Jātakadukkham. Read Chàtakah.
§ Vácesi. B. would prefer Vácteti.
that country-village seven times paid a fine to the king, seven
times their houses were burnt up, seven times the dam of their
tank burst. They said to themselves: "Before this Mittavindaka
came, it was not so with us; but now, since his coming, we are being
ruined;" and thereupon they beat him and turned him out. As he
was going with his family to another place, he came to a demon-
haunted forest. There the demon killed and devoured his wife
and children. He fled, and wandered from place to place till he
came to a port named Gambhira, on the very day when a ship was
sailing, and took service (as a sailor) and went on board. The
ship, after going seven days over the sea, on the seventh day stood
still in mid-sea as if nailed to the spot. They threw the ill-luck
lot. Seven times it came to Mittavindaka. The men gave him a
little bundle of bamboos, and took him by the hand and threw him
into the sea. The moment he was thrown out the ship went on.
Mittavindaka, leaning on the bundle of bamboos, travelled over
the sea, till, by fruit of his observance of precept in the time of
Kassapa Buddha, he found on the sea in a crystal palace four god-
desses, and with them he lived in the enjoyment of bliss for seven
days. Now, these palace nymphs live in bliss for seven days.
When they departed for the seven days of suffering, they said to
him, "Stay here till we come back." But when they were gone,
Mittivindaka went further, leaning on the bundle of bamboos, till
he found eight goddesses in a palace of silver. Thence he went
again and found sixteen goddesses in a palace of gems, and thirty-
two in a palace of gold. He disregarded their advice, also, and
went on till he saw on an island, in mid-sea, a demon city. There
a she-demon was roaming in the form of a goat. Mittivindaka not
perceiving that she was a demon, and feeling a wish for a meal of
goat's flesh, caught her by the leg. By her demon power she
kicked up and flung him away. On her thus flinging him,* he
passed over the sea to Benares, and fell into a thorn-bush behind a
tank, and rolled down and rested on the ground. At that time
thieves had been carrying off the king's goats which roamed behind
that tank; and goatherds were stationed in hiding on one side,
hoping to catch the thieves. Mittivindaka, when he had rolled
down to the ground and stood up and saw the goats, said to him-
self: "On an island at sea I caught a goat by the leg and was
flung by her and fell here; so, if I now catch a goat by the leg,
she will fling me off over the sea to the place where the palace
nymphs are"; and with this foolish idea he caught a goat by the

* Tāyu khitte. B. tells me this is correct, or I should have wished to read
tāyu khitte, as eight lines below.
leg. The moment she was caught she bleated loudly. The goat-herds came up from every side, caught him, and crying "Here is the thief who has been feeding so long on the royal goats," beat him and bound him, and took him before the king. At that moment the Bódhisat with his train of five hundred youths had just come out of the city, and was going to bathe. When he saw Mittavindaka he recognised him, and said to the men: "Friends, this is our (my) pupil; what are you seizing him for?" "Master, he is a goat-stealer; he had got one goat by the leg, that is why we have caught him." "Then give him to me to be my slave; I will keep him (he shall live in dependence on me)." They replied, "Very well, master," and let him go, and departed. Then the Bódhisat asked him: "Mittavindaka, where have you been living all this time?" He told him all that he had done. The Bódhisat said, "This is the misfortune that comes to those who will not do what their friends say," and he uttered this stanza:

"He who refuses to follow
The advice of a well-meaning friend,
Like Mittaka catching the goat by the leg
Will surely repent in the end."

And that time that professor and Mittavindaka passed away according to their deeds.

The teacher having related this religious history to explain the words, "Thus, mendicants, this man's own acts were the cause both of his being a little-gain and of his being a gainer of the glory of religion," made the connection and summed up the Játaika by saying: "At that time Mittavindaka was the elder Lósakatissa, and the far-famed professor was I myself."

(Here ends Lósaka-Játaika.)

42.—KÁ'POTA-JÁ'TAKA.
"Pigeon" Birth-Story.

This the teacher told while residing in Jétavana on occasion of a certain greedy mendicant. His greediness will be shown in the Ninth Part, in the "Crow-birth." They told the teacher about this mendicant, saying: "Sir, this mendicant is greedy." The teacher asked him: "Is it true, mendicant, that you are greedy?" "Yes, sir," he replied. The teacher said: "This is not the first time, mendicant, you have been greedy; by means of greediness you came to your end, and on your account also the wise lost their dwelling-place." He then related the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, the
Bodhisat was born a pigeon. In those days they used, out of
desire of merit, to hang up baskets with chaff in them for small
birds to live in comfort. A nobleman's cook in Benares put up
a hanging basket of chaff in his kitchen. There the Bodhisat
lived. He lived there and spent his time thus: he went out early
in the morning to roam for food, and came back in the evening.
One day a crow, passing over the kitchen, smelt the savour of
various dishes (sour and not sour) of fish, and his greediness was
excited.* He perched not far off and was casting about for some
means of getting the fish, when he saw the Bodhisat return in
the evening and enter the kitchen, and thought, "By means of
this pigeon I will get the fish." So he came back next morning,
and when the Bodhisat started on his rounds for food, he followed
him about. Then the Bodhisat said to him: "Friend, why are
you flying about with me?" "Sir, your way of life charms me;
henceforth I shall wait upon you." "Friend, you are one kind of
feeder and I another; it is difficult for you to wait upon me;" said
the Bodhisat. "Sir, I will take my food, and then when you are
taking your food, I will go about with you." "Very well; only
mind, you must be very active!" (or careful). Having given the
crow this warning, the Bodhisat went about feeding, and eating
grass seeds and such like. But while the Bodhisat was at pasture,
the crow, who had already gone and raked up a lump of cow-dung
and eaten his fill of worms (or insects), joined him, saying, "Sir,
you have been an immense time on your round, it is not good to
eat to excess;" and when the Bodhisat returned in the evening
from pasture, the crow entered the kitchen with him. The cook
thought: "Our pigeon has come back with another;" and set a
basket for the crow too. Thenceforward they both lived there.
One day a great quantity of fish was brought in for the nobleman.
The cook took it and hung it up in different parts of the kitchen.
When the crow saw it his greediness was excited, and thinking,
"To-morrow I shall not go to the feeding ground, but this must
be my food," he lay all night in agitation. Next day when the
Bodhisat started for pasture, he said, "Come, friend crow!" "Sir,
you go; I am suffering from indigestion." "Sir, there never yet
was such a thing as a crow having indigestion. At night they are
famished in each watch of the three; when they have eaten a
lamp-wick they are satisfied for a very little while. You must be

* It is not easy to represent in English such expressions as lobham uppadeva.
The lust is not said to arise in the man, but the man to arouse or give birth to
the lust. The man is regarded as the (responsible) author of his own desires, and
so with his thoughts and emotions.
longing to eat this fish! Come, men's food is bad for you; don't do such a thing; go with me and feed!" "Master, I cannot."
"Then your own deeds will discover you: don't let greediness get the better of you; be careful (don't give way)!") Thus the Bodhisat warned him, and went to pasture. The cook, after making a mixed dish of a variety of fish, opened the vessels for a little while to let out the steam, then put the strainer on the top of each vessel, and went and stood outside, wiping off the perspiration.* At that moment the crow put his head out of the basket, and looking round the cooking-house, saw that the cook was gone. "Now," he thought, "is my time to eat fish to my heart's content. How, now: shall I eat slices or mince?" Deciding that it is impossible to fill the stomach quickly with minced meat, he said to himself, "I will take a large slice and put it in the basket, and eat it as I sit there." So saying, he flew out of the basket and alighted on the strainer. It gave a "ting."† The cook heard it, and, coming in to find out what it was, saw the crow. "This mischievous crow," thought he, "wants to eat my lord's cooked meat. My living depends on my lord (I am my lord's servant), not on this stupid animal; what is it to me?" So he shut the door and caught the crow. He then plucked the feathers from its whole body, pounded up some green ginger with salt and cummin, and mixed it with some buttermilk; with this he smeared the crow all over, and flung it into the basket. There it lay quivering in the utmost agony. When the Bodhisat returned in the evening, he saw the crow come to calamity, and said: "Greedy crow, by not taking my advice and by your own greediness you are come to great misery;" and then he uttered this stanza:

"He who no attention lends
To the warnings of his friends,
Like the disobedient crow
Falls a victim to the foe."

The Bodhisat having uttered this stanza, felt he also could no longer stay in that place, and went elsewhere. The crow died on the spot, and the cook took him and threw him away, basket and all, on the rubbish heap.

The teacher having related this religious discourse on the words, "This is not the first time, mendicant, you have been greedy; formerly you were greedy too, and on account of you and your greediness the wise were obliged to leave their abode," preached the truths. When the truths were concluded, that mendicant

* Muncamndo: read Puncamndo, with B.'s MS. † Killi.
attained the fruit of "Unreturning." The teacher made the connection, and summed up the birth-story thus: "At that time the crow was the greedy mendicant, and the pigeon was I myself."

(End of the "Pigeon" Birth-Story.)

43.—VALUKA-JATAKA.

"BAMBOO-BOY" BIRTH-STORY.

"The Advice," &c.

This the teacher told while residing in Jetavana on occasion of a certain obstinate mendicant. The blessed one asked him (as before), "Is it true as they say, mendicant, that you are obstinate?" and on his saying "Yes, sir," said, "This is not the first time you have been obstinate; formerly, too, you were obstinate, and by obstinacy, and not doing what the wise said, you came to your end by a serpent's bite (in the mouth of a serpent). He then related the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, the Bōdhisat was born in a wealthy family in Kāśi, and when he came to years of discretion, seeing the danger of desires and the benefits of abnegation, he renounced desires, and going into the Himalaya country he entered the hermit order, and by practice of mental concentration acquired the five kinds of supernatural knowledge, and the eight attainments, and passed his days in the bliss of meditation, and afterwards becoming much sought after, dwelt in a residence as teacher of a train of five hundred ascetics. A young snake of a venomous kind, roaming according to its instinct, came to the cell of a certain ascetic. The ascetic conceived a parent's love for it, and made it a bed in a bamboo-joint, and took care of it. From having its bed in a bamboo-joint they named it "Bamboo-boy." And the ascetic they named "Bamboo-boy's father," from his taking care of it as lovingly as if it were his son. Then the Bōdhisat, hearing that one of the ascetics was nursing a poisonous snake, sent for him and asked, "Is it true that you are rearing a poisonous snake?" and on his saying "yes," said, "There is no such thing as friendship with snakes (they cannot be trusted); don't rear it." The ascetic said, "He is my pupil and child; I cannot live without him." "Then he will be the death of you." The ascetic did not take the Bōdhisat's advice, and could not give up the snake. Some time after that, all the ascetics went to gather herbs and wild fruits, and finding these plentiful in the place they had gone to, remained there two or three days. "Bamboo-boy's father" went with them, leaving the poisonous snake shut up in his bed in the
bamboo-joint. When he returned with the ascetics at the end of the two or three days, he opened the bamboo-joint to give "Bamboo-boy" some food, and said "Come my son, you must be starving," and put out his hand. The poisonous snake, infuriated by being two or three days without food, bit the outstretched hand and killed the ascetic on the spot, and went into the forest. The ascetics seeing it, told the Bódhisat. The Bódhisat, after performing the funeral rites, took his seat in the midst of the hermit band, and uttered this stanza by way of warning to the hermits:

"He who will not attend
To the words of a friend,
Will lie a murdered corpse some day
As 'Bamboo-boy's father' lay."

The Bódhisat, after giving this advice to the hermits, practised the four elements of saintly living, till, at the end of his appointed time, he was born in the Brahma world.

The teacher, after relating this religious discourse on the words "This is not the first time, mendicant, you have been obstinate; in a former existence, too, by obstinacy you came to rottenness at a serpent's mouth," established the connection and summed up the birth-story by saying: "At that time 'Bamboo-boy's father' was the obstinate mendicant; the rest of the train were the Buddha's train; the teacher of the band was I myself."

(End of "Bamboo-Boy" Birth-Story.)

44.—MAKASA-JA'TAKA.
"Mosquito" Birth-Story.
"Better a Wise Foe," &c.

This the teacher told when he was on circuit in Mágadha in a certain village, on occasion of some village simpletons. The Buddha (Tathágata), they say, once went from Sávatthi to Mágadha, and as he was going his rounds in that country he came upon a certain village. This village was full, almost without exception, of simpletons. One day these simpletons met together and took counsel thus: "Friends, when we go into the forest mosquitoes bite us while we are at work, and this interferes with our work: let us all take bows and weapons, and make war on the mosquitoes till we have shot dead or cut to pieces every mosquito." So they went to the forest, and in trying to shoot the mosquitoes shot and struck and injured one another, so that they came back and lay, some in the inner part of the village, some
half-way down the street, some near the gate. The teacher, with the company of mendicants in his train, entered the village for alms. The remnant of wise men, seeing the blessed one, made a pavilion at the entrance of the village, and after bringing large offerings to the Buddha and the rest of his company of mendicants, did obeisance to the teacher, and sat down. The teacher, seeing the wounded men on every side, asked those laymen, "Here are a great many sick men; what have they been doing?" "Sirs, these men went to wage war with mosquitoes and shot one another, and so have made themselves ill." The teacher said: "This is not the first time that simpletons, intending to strike mosquitoes, have struck one another; formerly, too, there were people who struck their neighbours meaning to strike mosquitoes;" and at the request of these men he told the story of the past time.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, the Bódhisat was living by trade. At that time there were a great many carpenters living in a country village in Kási. A Sawyer there was chopping a felled tree when a mosquito settled on his copper-basin-like head, and darted his proboscis into it like the thrust of a spear. He said to his son, who was sitting by, "My boy, there is a mosquito stinging me on the head, as if he were running a spear into me; drive him off." "Wait a bit, father; I will kill it with one blow." Just then the Bódhisat was come into that village in search of goods, and was sitting in that carpenter's shed. So the carpenter said: "Son, drive off this mosquito." And the boy, saying "I will," took up a sharp axe and took his stand behind his father's back, and thinking to strike the mosquito, cleft his father's head in two. The carpenter died on the spot. The Bódhisat, seeing what the boy had done, thought: "Even an enemy, if he is wise, is better; fear of punishment at any rate will prevent his killing people;"* and so uttered this stanza:

"Better a wise foe
Than a friend of sense bereft;
The stupid son to kill the gnat
His father's headpiece cleft."

After uttering this stanza the Bódhisat got up and departed according to his deeds. The carpenter's relatives performed his funeral.

The teacher, having related this religious discourse in illustration of his saying, "Thus, laymen,† formerly there were people

---

* Manussánam: read Manusse.
† Evaṃ upásakā pubbe, &c.: read Evaṃ,upásakā, pubbe, &c.
who would strike their neighbours when they meant to strike a mosquito," established the connection and summed up the birth-story by saying, "The wise merchant who uttered the stanza and went away was I myself."

(End of the "Mosquito" Birth-Story.)

45.—"ROHINI" BIRTH- STORY.
"Better a wise Enemy," &c.

This the teacher told while residing in Jétavana on occasion of a slave woman of the nobleman Anáthapindika's. Anáthapindika, the story goes, had a slave named Rohini. She was once pounding rice when her aged mother came to the place and sat down. The flies came about her and bit her as if they were running needles into her. She said to her daughter: "My girl, the flies are biting me; drive them off." She said, "I will mother," and raising the pestle, thinking "I will kill and destroy the flies on my mother's body," struck her mother with the pestle, and killed her. Seeing that, she began to cry, "O mother! mother"! They told this event to the nobleman. The nobleman having performed the funeral rites for her, went and told the whole story to the teacher. The teacher said: "This is not the first time, householder, that this woman, thinking to kill flies on her mother's body, has killed her mother with a blow of her pestle; in a former birth she did the same; and at his request he told the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, the Bódhisat was born in a nobleman's family, and on his father's death succeeded to the family honours. He, too, had a slave named Rohini. She also, when her mother came and sat where she was pounding rice, when told "Daughter, drive away the flies," in exactly the same way struck her mother with the pestle and killed her, and began to cry. The Bódhisat, when he heard of it, thinking "Even an enemy if he is wise is better in this world," uttered this stanza:

"Better a sensible enemy
Than a fool, however kind he be;
Look at silly Rohini,
She's killed her mother, and sore weeps she!"

The Bódhisat, in praise of the wise man, discoursed religion in this stanza.

The teacher, after relating this religious discourse to illustrate what he had said, "This is not the first time, householder, that this woman, meaning to kill flies, has killed her mother; she did
so in a former birth,” established the connection and summed up the birth-story by saying, “Then the mother was the mother, the daughter the daughter, and I was the great nobleman.”

(End of “Rohini” Birth-Story.)

46.—ARA’MA’DUSAKA-JATAKA.

“THE PARK-SPOILER” BIRTH-STORY.

“A Blunderer’s,” &c.

This the teacher told in a certain village in Kósala, on occasion of a park-spoiler. The teacher, it is said, in the course of his rounds in Kósala, came into a certain village. There a man of property invited the Tathágata (Buddha), and after having given him a seat in his grounds, and made offerings to him and to the monks in his train, said, “Sirs, stroll at your pleasure in these grounds.” The mendicants rose, and taking the park-keeper, strolled about the park. Seeing a bare spot, they asked the park-keeper, “Good layman, this park is in other parts thick with shade, but in this spot there is not a tree nor a shrub; what is the cause?” “Sirs, at the time this park was planted, a village lad who was watering pulled up the young trees in this spot and watered each in proportion to the root it had. Those young trees faded and died: that is the season why this became a bare spot. The mendicants went up to the teacher and told him this fact. The teacher said: “Mendicants, this is not the first time that village lad has been a park-spoiler; formerly also he was a park-spoiler;” and then he told the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, they proclaimed a festival. From the time the sound of the drum proclaiming the festival was heard, all the townsfolk went about full of the festival. At that time in the king’s grounds there were a great many apes living. The park-keeper thought: “There is a festival proclaimed in the city; I will ask these monkeys to water (the trees), and I will go and take part in the festival;” and so he went up to the chief (or senior) monkey and asked: “Sir chief monkey, this park is of great benefit to you (and yours); you feed on the leaves and fruits and buds here. Now, there is a festival proclaimed in the city, and I am going to take part in it (‘play at festival’); while I am gone will you be able to water the young trees in this park?” “Yes, we can!” “Then do your best (or, be careful)” he said; and giving them the leather vessels and wooden pots for watering, he departed. The monkeys took the leathern vessels and the wooden waterpots and watered the young trees. Then the chief monkey said to them,
"My good monkeys, water is a thing to be taken care of; when you are watering the young trees, pull up each in turn and look at the root, and when the roots have gone deep pour plenty of water on them, but when they have not gone deep, only a little; by and by you will have difficulty in getting water." They applauded and agreed; and did so. Just then a wise man seeing these monkeys acting in that way in the royal grounds said thus: "My good monkeys, why are you pulling up each tree in turn and watering in proportion to the roots?" They said: "Our chief monkey told us to do so." Hearing that he thought: "Ah! my foolish friends, ignorant people, meaning to help, only harm;" and he uttered this stanza:

"A blunderer's best efforts can bring about no good:
A fool spoils business, *like the monkey in the wood."

Thus that wise man with this stanza rebuked the monkey-chief, and having done so he and his retinue left the grounds.

The teacher having related this religious discourse, after his words, "This is not the first time, mendicants, that this village lad has been a park-spoiler; formerly also he was a park-spoiler," joined the connection and summed up the birth-story thus: "At that time the chief monkey was this village lad who spoilt the park, and the wise man I myself."

(End of the "Park-Spoiler" Birth-Story.)

---

47.—VARUNI-JATAKA.

"LIQUOR" BIRTH-STORY.

"A Blunderer's," &c.

This the teacher told when residing in Jétavana on occasion of a liquor-spoiler. A friend, they say, of Anáthapindika was a liquor merchant. He had made some strong spirits (liquor) and was selling it for money (gold, &c.), and a great many people had assembled. He gave instructions to his apprentice: "My boy, you take the money and give the spirits," and himself went to bathe. The apprentice, as he supplied the spirits to a great many people, saw people from time to time getting salt sweetmeats (or, salt and jaggery) and eating; so he thought: "The liquor must want salt, I will put some salt into it," and he threw a measure of salt into the (earthen) wine-jar, and so gave them the spirits. The people every time they filled their mouth, threw it out; and asked "What have you done?" "I saw that when you drank the

* "Prevents profit," "destroys wealth," &c. It is impossible to keep pace with the many meanings of Attho.
spirits you took salt, so I mixed salt with it." "You fool,* you have spoilt such excellent liquor as this!" Thus reproaching him, each in turn got up and went away.

The spirit-seller came back, and seeing not one man, asked. "Where are the spirit-drinkers gone?" He told him the fact. Then his master reproached him: "You fool, you have spoilt such spirits as this!" and told this thing to Anāthapiṇḍika. Anāthapiṇḍika, thinking "I have got a good story to tell" (a present in the form of a story to offer to the Buddha), went to Jätavana, and, after obeisance to the teacher, told this case. The teacher said: "This is not the first time, householder, that he has been a liquor-spoiler; formerly also he was a liquor-spoiler;" and at his request he told the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, the Bódhisat was a nobleman in Benares. Near him there lived a spirit-seller. He made some strong spirits, and saying to his apprentice, "sell this," went to bathe. The moment he was gone, the apprentice put in salt and destroyed the liquor in the same way. Then his master came, and on learning the thing told the nobleman. The nobleman said: "Fools and blunderers, meaning to help harm;" and uttered this stanza:

"A blunderer's good intentions to no good can lead: A fool spoils business as Kandanna did the mead (spirits)."

The Bódhisat preached religion by this stanza. And the teacher having said: "This is not the first time, householder, that lad has been a liquor-spoiler; formerly also he was a liquor-spoiler"; made the connection, and summed up the birth-story thus: "Then the liquor-spoiler was he who is liquor-spoiler now, and the nobleman of Benares was I myself."

(End of "Liquor" Birth-Story.)

48.—THE "VEDABBHA" BIRTH-STORY.

"Who seeks gain the wrong way," &c.

This the teacher told when residing in Jätavana on occasion of an obstinate mendicant. To this mendicant (too) the teacher said: "This is not the first time, mendicant, you have been obstinate; formerly also you were obstinate and thereby,† not doing what the wise told you, you got cut in two with a sharp sword, and flung in the road, and on your single account a thousand

* Bold : read Bāla.
† Ten' eva vacakāraṇa: read ten' eva ca kārayaṇa: or, possibly, B. suggests, abba ca kārayaṇa. There is no such combination as vacakār.
men came by their death"; and then he told the story of the past.
In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, in a
certain village was a Brahmin who knew the charm called
Vedabhba. That charm, men say, is a precious and most valuable
one. Having observed the proper conjunction of the heavenly
bodies, he went through this charm and looked* up to the sky, and
thereupon a rain of the seven gems would rain from the sky. At
that time the Bôdhisat was learning science under that Brahmin.

One day the Brahmin took the Bôdhisat and went out of his
own village for some purpose or other, and went into the Cetiyan
country. On the way thither, in a forest place, there were five
hundred "sending thieves," as they are called, engaged in highway
robbery. These robbers caught the Bôdhisat and the Brahmin
Vedabhba. The reason they are called "sending thieves" is this.
They catch two people and "send" one to fetch treasure—from
this they are called "sending thieves." When they catch father
and son, they say to the father, "You bring us treasure and then
you may take your son and go;" in the same way, when they
catch mother and daughter, they let the mother go; when they
catch elder and younger brothers,† they let the elder go; when
teacher and pupil, they let the pupil go. So this time they seized
the Brahmin Vedabhba and let go the Bôdhisat. The Bôdhisat,
after making obeisance to his master, said, "I shall come back in
one or two days; fear not, and do what I say. To-day it will be
the conjunction of the heavens for producing the rain of treasure;
but do not grow impatient and go through the charm and produce
the rain of treasure; if you do, you will come to destruction and
so will these five hundred robbers." After giving this warning
to his master, he went for treasure. The robbers, when the sun
went down, bound the Brahmin and lay down. At that very
moment from the Eastern quarter the full moon rose. The
Brahmin observing the constellation (in which she rose) said to
himself: "It is the proper conjunction for the rain of treasure;
why need I endure (this) suffering? I will recite the charm and
bring down the rain of treasure, give the treasure to the robbers,
and go where I please." And so he said to the robbers, "Good
robbers, what are you seizing me for?" "For treasure, sir." "Then, if it is treasure you want, make haste and release me

* Ulloki. It is not unlikely, as the text of this Jâtaka is more corrupt than
others, that this should be ulloheti: "One" (the person, whoever he may be, who
uses the charm) "looks up, &c."
† Jetthakanipttâ. Read Jetthakaniptâ. B. has no doubt of this correction in
spite of jetthakabhatikam.
from these bonds; let me bathe my head (give me a thorough bath), give me new clothes to put on, perfumes to anoint myself, and flowers to deck me, and so leave me." The robbers, on hearing what he said, did so. The Brahmin, having observed the conjunction of the heavenly bodies, recited the charm, and looked up to the sky. Immediately jewels fell from the sky. The robbers collected the treasure, tied it up in their clothes, and departed.* The Brahmin followed them. Presently these robbers were caught by another five hundred robbers. "What are you seizing us for?" they said; and the reply was, "For treasure." If you want treasure, seize that Brahmin; he looks up† to the sky and brings down a rain of treasure; "he is the man who gave us this," they said. The robbers let the robbers go, and seized the Brahmin, saying "Give us treasure, too!" The Brahmin said: "I should be glad enough to give you treasure, but the conjunction-of-the-heavenly-bodies-for-bringing-down-a-rain-of-treasure will be at the end of a year from this; if you want treasure, wait patiently, and at that time I will bring you down a treasure-shower." (Same word as before.) The robbers were furious. "You rascal of a Brahmin, you gave others a treasure-shower now, and you tell us to wait another year!" So saying, with a sharp sword they clef the Brahmin in two and flung him in the road, and then ran off in pursuit of the other robbers, fought with them, killed them all, and took the treasure. Then they formed two bands and fought with one another, and two hundred and fifty men got killed, and so they went on killing one another till only two were left. Thus these thousand men came to destruction. The two contrived to carry the treasure, and buried it in a woody place near a village, and one sat with a sword guarding it, while the other went into the village to get rice and have food cooked. Covetousness is indeed the root of destruction.‡ The man who was sitting by the treasure thought: "When he comes this treasure will be divided into two parts: suppose I strike him with the sword just as he comes and kill him?" and he drew the sword and sat watching for his arrival. And the other thought: "That treasure will have to be divided into two parts: suppose I put poison in the food and give it to

* Padyas. Read paddyas. So B. in spite of Padyas below.
† Vassipedi. B. would read vassipedi. The emphasis of the sentence requires it.
‡ -evi ti dhanasantika, &c. Read -evi ti. Dhanasantika, &c. The words lobho ca, &c., are a moral reflection on the historian's part. B. insists on this way of dividing it. No doubt it is the native way; but it may be more correct to treat the words as one sentence, and translate: "Then, as if to illustrate the maxim, 'Covetousness is the root of destruction' the man, &c."
that man to eat, and so kill him and take all the treasure for myself?" and so as soon as the food was done, he dined himself, and then put poison in the rest and took it and went to the place. He had hardly put down the food and stood still, when the other cleft him in two with the sword, and threw him in a covered place (out of sight), then ate the food and himself died on the spot. Thus on account of that treasure they all came to destruction.

After one or two days, the Bôdhisat came back with the treasure. Not seeing his master in that place, and seeing the treasure scattered about, he thought: "My master has not done as I said, but must have brought the treasure-shower; they must all have come to destruction;" and he went on along the high road. As he went he saw his master on the high road cleft in two, and saying to himself, "He has died from not taking my advice," he brought wood and made a pile and burnt his master and offered wild flowers, and went on. Soon he saw lying dead first five hundred, and then two hundred and fifty, and so on, till at the end he saw two men dead; so he thought: "Here are a thousand men all but two come to destruction: there must be two more robbers; they also cannot possibly survive; where are they gone?" And going on he saw the path by which they had gone with the treasure into the woody place, and going on he saw the heaps of treasure tied up in a bundle, and then he saw one man dead by the bowl of rice which he had put down. Then he perceived the whole (story, and said to himself), "This is what they must have done." Thinking "where now is that man?" he looked about and saw him also laid in a covered spot. Then he thought, "Our master has not done as I told him, and by his obstinacy has himself come to destruction, and by him another thousand men have been destroyed. Ah! those who seek their own advantage wrongly and unreasonably, like our master, will surely come to great destruction;" and therewith he uttered the stanza:

"Who seeks gain the wrong way, failure will him befall;
The Cetians killed Vedabbha, and they, too, perished all."

Thus the Bôdhisat, meaning, "As our master, making his effort wrongly and bringing down the treasure-shower at the wrong moment, not only himself came by his end, but was also a cause of destruction to others; so, anyone else who exerts himself in the wrong way, in his desire for his own advantage, will both perish himself, and will be a cause of ruin to others," preached religion by this stanza in a voice that rang through the forest amid the applause of the (woodland) nymphs. He then contrived to convey
the treasure to his own house, and having lasted as long as his
time was to last, giving gifts and doing other acts of merit, at the
death of life he departed to fill a place in heaven.

This religious discourse the teacher made on the words, "This
is not the first time, mendicant, that you have been obstinate; for-
merly, too, you were obstinate, and by your obstinacy came to great
destruction;" and then he summed up the birth-story thus: "At
that time the Brahman Vedabbha was the obstinate mendicant,
and the pupil was I myself."

(End of "Vedabbha" Birth-story.)

---

49.—NAKKHATTA-JÀTAKA.

THE LUCKY DAY.*

"While the star-gazing Fool," &c.

This the teacher told while residing in Jetavana on occasion of
a certain Hindu astrologer. It is said that a respectable man in
the country had secured for his son the daughter of a family in
Sàvatthi, and had fixed the day, saying "On such a day we will
come for her." When the day came he asked the family astro-
loger: "Sir, we are going to hold a festival to-day; is it a lucky
day (auspicious constellation)"

The other was angry, and said

to himself, "This man has fixed the day without asking me first,
now he comes and asks! Never mind, I will teach him a lesson."
So he replied: "To-day is an inauspicious conjunction; don't
hold your festival to-day; if you do, it will be very disastrous."
The people of that family, relying on the astrologer, did not go
that day. The city people, who had made all preparations for the
festival, finding them not come, said: "They fixed to-day, but
they are not come. We have gone to great expense; what have
we to do with them? We will give our daughter to some one
else," and so with the festival (preparations) as they were, they
gave their daughter to another man. The others came next day
and said, "Give us your daughter." Then the Sàvatthi people
abused them, and said: "You country people are a sinful folk;
you fix a day, but you care nothing for that, and don't come; go

* Nakkhatta means "a constellation or lunar asterism," "a special conjunc-
tion" (as in 48), "a lucky day," "a festival," "a day of rejoicing." Meanwhile
its name puns with Attho, which means "luck," "cause," "meaning," and a
thousand other things. Hence this stanza is untranslateable. It is a really witty
jingle.

† "Ganhiñameti" B. would read "gahisñamá 'ti," in accordance with idiom
throughout the story.
back the way you came; we have given our daughter to others.” The country people quarrelled with them, and went back the way they came. It became known among the mendicants how that astrologer* had spoilt these people’s festival. These mendicants, when assembled in the conversation hall, sat talking thus: “Brothers, an astrologer has spoilt a family’s festival.” The teacher came in and asked: “What subject of conversation, mendicants, are you sitting engaged in?” They told him what it was. “This is not the first time, mendicants,” he said, “that the astrologer has interfered with a festival of that family; formerly also he got angry and spoilt their festival;” and he told the story of the past.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning in Benares, some townspeople secured (for their son) the daughter of some country people, and after fixing the day asked their family astrologer: “Sir, we have festival doings to-day; are the stars favourable?” He, offended at their fixing the day at their own pleasure, and not asking him till then, thinking “To-day I will prevent their festival,” replied: “To-day the stars are unfavourable; if you hold it, you will come to great evil.” They took his advice and did not go. The country people finding that they did not come, said: “They fixed this day, but they are not come; we will have nothing to do with them;” and gave their daughter to another family. Next day the city family came and asked for the girl. The country people said: “You city people are shameless folks; you fix the day and then don’t come for the girl; as you did not come we have given her to others.” “The reason we did not come is that we asked (our) astrologer, and he said the stars were unpropitious; give us your daughter.” “As you did not come, we gave her to others; how shall we now take back a girl we have given?” While they were quarrelling with one another in this way, a wise man of the city came on some business into the country. When he heard those city people saying: “We asked the astrologer and because of the unluckiness of the stars we did not come,” he said: “What use is luck in the stars? surely, getting the girl is the luck!” and uttered this stanza:—

“While the star-gazing fool is waiting for luck, the luck goes by:
The star of luck is luck, and not any star in the sky.”

* Ajīśīko, B.’s MS. has here Ajīvako, which should be read throughout.
† Literally, something to this effect: Gain passes by a fool while he is intent on (reverences) the lucky conjunction of the stars; the fact of getting what one wants is the best guarantee that it is the right moment for getting it: what can the stars do?
The city family, after quarrelling a while, went away without the young lady.

So the teacher, when he had told this religious tale on the words, "This is not the first time, mendicants, that this astrologer has prevented a festival in that family, formerly also he did so," established the connection and linked the birth-story on (to the present) by saying: "At that time the astrologer was the present astrologer, and the families were the present families; the wise man who stopped and uttered the stanza was I myself."

(End of "The Lucky Day.")

50.—DUMMEDHA-JA'TAKA.

"THE FOOLS."

"A THOUSAND FOOLS," &c.

Thus the teacher told while residing in Jétavana about living for the good of the world. This will be explained in the Twelfth Part in the Krishna birth-story.

In past time, when Brahmadatta was reigning at Benares, the Bódhisat entered on a new existence as the son of that king’s chief queen. As soon as he was born, on his naming day they named him Prince Brahmadatta. When he was sixteen years old he studied at Taxila and mastered the three Vedas, and became perfectly accomplished in the eighteen branches of knowledge. Then his father gave him a share in his royalty. At that time the people of Benares used to keep the festivals of the natural deities, used to worship the natural deities, and, slaying a great quantity of goats, rams, small birds, pigs, and other animals, used to perform sacrificial rites with all sorts of flowers and perfumes, as well as with flesh and blood. The Bódhisat thought: "Now-a-days persons in keeping the festivals of the gods of nature destroy a great deal of life,—the people at large are almost all confirmed in irreligion; but when I receive the kingdom on my father’s death, I will contrive to prevent their destroying life without giving pain to a single man." So one day he ascended his chariot and drove out of the city, and saw a great multitude assembled under a large banyan tree, each man praying for what each desired, whether sons and daughters, glory, wealth, or the like, to the deity that dwelt in that tree. He alighted from the chariot, and went up to the tree, offered perfumes and flowers, made a libation with water, made a reverential circuit of the tree, and after worshipping the deity as if he were* a votary of such deities, ascended his chariot

* "Viga" seems to be omitted before "hated."
and entered the city. Thenceforward in this same way he went there from time to time and made offerings as if he were a votary of such deities. Afterwards, on his father's death, he succeeded to the throne and reigned righteously, avoiding the four bad ways, and never violating the ten royal duties. Then he thought: "My desire is accomplished; I am established in the kingdom; now I will accomplish a certain purpose which I entertained of old." So he gathered together his ministers and his Brahmans and his householders and the rest; and said to them: "Know ye by what means I came to the kingdom?" "Sire, we know not," they said. "Have you ever seen me making offerings of perfumes and the like to a certain tree, and worshipping it with clasped hands?" "Yes sire." "At that time I made this vow: 'If I come to the kingdom, I will do sacrifice to thee.' It is by the power of that deity that I have got the kingdom. Now I shall do sacrifice to her; you do your utmost quickly to prepare a sacrifice for the tree-goddess." "What victims shall we get sire?"* "Friends, when I made my prayer (or vow) to the goddess, I vowed that I would slay and offer in sacrifice, with entrails, flesh, and blood, all those who in my kingdom shall live in the open practice of the five forbidden acts and the ten ways of demerit. Therefore do you proclaim this by beat of drum: 'Our king, when he was sub-king, made this vow: If I come to the kingdom I will slay and offer in sacrifice all those in my kingdom who are breakers of the precepts: and now he intends to slay a thousand of the precept-breakers who live in open practice of the tenfold forbidden conduct, and to have their hearts and flesh taken and sacrificed to the goddess; let all dwellers in the city take notice!' (This proclamation you are to make, and) after this announcement, if any now henceforth live in the practice of the forbidden actions, I will slay a thousand of them and offer an offering, and be free from my vow." While proclaiming that intention he uttered this stanza:

"I vowed a vow, a thousand fools in sacrifice to slay;  
I'll pay it now, for wicked men are plentiful to-day."

The ministers hearing the words of the Bódhisat, said: "It is well sire," and had the drum beat through the twelve-yojana-broad city of Benares. When the decree by beat of drum was heard, there was not a single man found to abide in the open practice of the forbidden conduct. Thenceforth, as long as the Bódhisat reigned, not one individual was discovered committing

* Devatá, of course a mistake for dévi, as F. suggests.
any of either the five or the ten* forbidden actions. Thus the Bódhisat, without giving pain to a single individual, made all the inhabitants of the land keep the precepts, and himself having given gifts and done other acts of merit, at the end of his life went with his retinue to fill a place in the city of the gods.

The teacher related this religious discourse on the words: “This is not the first time, mendicants, that the Tathágata (Buddha) has lived for the good of the world, formerly he did so also;” and then he made the connection and linked the birth-story (to the present) by saying: “The retinue of that time were the Buddha’s retinue, and the king of Benares was I myself.”

(End of “Dummedha” Birth-Story. End of the Fifth Decade called Atthakámavaggo. End of the First Fifty.)

APPENDIX III.

NOTE ON “HIRI.”

Hiri is shame at impropriety of act, for which “modesty” is a synonym, while ottappam is “shrinking from sin.” Hiri is excited from within, ottappam from without. Hiri rests on self-authority, ottappam on world-authority. Hiri has the nature of modesty, ottappam of fear. Hiri marks sense of propriety, ottappam marks quickness to see the danger of fault.

(1) There are four things by which a man excites within him the internal sense of Hiri: considerations of rank, of age, of strength, and of learning. Of rank, as when he abstains from destroying life and other sins from the reflection; such and such a sinful act is not the act of people of rank, it is the act of low-born people and fishers; it is not fitting for a man of such rank to commit this act. Of age, when he thinks such and such a sinful act is what boys would do; it is not fitting for a man of my age, &c. Of strength, when he thinks this is what feeble-natured people would do, not a man of my strength. Of learning, when he thinks this is the act of fools, not of wise men; it is not fit for a man of my wisdom and learning. Then by these four considerations he excites the feeling of Hiri within himself, and so, having put that feeling into his mind, abstains from the sin; hence it is said that Hiri is excited within the man’s self. Ottappam, on the other hand is excited by external considerations. “If you do the sinful deed you will meet with condemnation among the four companies. The wise man will condemn him as the city man does dirt; what

* Pancadasanu vi. Read Pancanu vi dasanu vi.
will a monk do when the good reject? Thus ottappam is excited from without.

(2) Hiri rests on self-authority: a well-born man puts himself under his own authority and superiority, and abstains from sin on the ground that it does not become one so religious, so learned, so ascetic (?) to commit sin; and thus Buddha said "Whoso puts himself under his own authority, and rejects demerit and practices merit, and rejects faults and practices what is faultless, he keeps himself pure."

Ottappam, on the other hand, rests on world-authority.

A well-born man puts himself under the world's authority and superiority, and so abstains from sin. "Great is this world assemblage, and therein are ascetics and monks of supernatural powers and divine insight who know the minds of others. They see from afar, they see close at hand; with their mind they discern minds; they will know me; look, they will say, at that well-born man; he left home and made a sincere profession of the monastic life, but he is living abandoned in sinful and demeritorious ways: there are deities (of similar powers and insight), &c., they will say, &c. (the same); thus he makes the world his authority and superior, and puts away demerit and (so on). Hence Ottappam is said to rest on world-authority.

(3) Hiri is of the nature of modesty, that is, modest shame; and Ottappam of the nature of fear, that is, fear of hell. These are both shown in the avoiding of sin. Just as a well-born man, performing any of the offices of nature, if he sees a person towards whom modesty is due, feels ashamed and confused; exactly in the same way one man abstains from sin from a sense of modesty towards himself. Another well-born man abstains from sin from fear of hell. This is to be illustrated thus. Suppose there are two balls of iron, one of which is cold and smeared with filth, the other hot and fiery. In that case a wise man will decline to take up the one from disgust at the filth, and the other from fear of being burnt. Here, it is to be understood that the declining to take up the cold but filthy ball is like abstaining from sin from sense of modesty towards one's self; declining the hot ball from fear of being burnt is like abstaining from sin from fear of hell. Hiri marks sense of propriety, Ottappam quickness to see the danger of sin. Both these also are displayed in the avoiding sin. One man, by the four considerations of greatness of rank, greatness of learning, greatness of inheritance, greatness of religious character, excites within himself the inward sense of propriety and abstains from sin. The other, by the four fears, of his own
reproach, of the reproach of others, of punishment, of birth in the unhappy conditions, excites in himself *Ottappam*, the sign of a quick sense of the danger of sin. ("At this point," ends the commentator, "the four kinds of greatness and the four kinds of fear ought to be explained in detail, as they stand in the Anguttara Aṭṭhakathā," into which we cannot follow him.)

---

**APPENDIX IV.**

**කෙව෍ ගොඩනම් ගෝවිතා මර්ග අද්දුරුම.**

"කෙව෍ ගොඩනම් ගෝවිතා මර්ග"

---

**කෙව෍ ගොඩනම් ගෝවිතා මර්ග අද්දුරුම.**

"කෙව෍ ගොඩනම් ගෝවිතා මර්ග අද්දුරුම."

---

The text continues in Sinhala script.
APPENDIX V.

The Text of the Jātaka Pela Sanne or Jātaka Gāthā Sanne (Jātakas 1–50), with Notes.—By J. F. Dickson, M.A. (Oxon.).

This sanne is attributed to Rājamurāri. Who he was and when he lived is not known: but it is generally supposed that this sanne forms part of the great Sinhalese edition of the Jātakas issued about 1307 A.D., in the reign of Parākrama Bāhu IV. (surnamed Paṇḍita), who ascended the throne in 1303 A.D. He got a learned Cholian priest to teach him Pāli Jātakas, and afterwards caused them to be translated into Sinhalese and read before a learned assembly of priests, who revised the work. He had copies of it distributed all over the Island, and entrusted it specially to a learned Thera called Medhankara, with directions to perpetuate it in his line of pupils. The name of the Cholian priest is not given, but the king treated him with great respect and liberality, and built for him near Ratgama a Vihara and monastery called Sirighanānanda.

J. F. D.

The Old Palace,
Kandy, 10th September, 1884.

JATAKA PELA SANNE.*

Namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammā sambuddhassā.
Apanṇakaṁ thānaṁ eke dutiyaṁ āhu takkikā,  
Etadaṁnāya medhāvi taṁ gaṅhe yad aparṇakaṁ.

Eke, bodhisatvādīvu samahara paṇḍita vara kenek—aparṇakaṁ, aviruddavu—thānam, kāraṇaya—āhu, kiyati—takkikā, tamantamange utprekshayen ayuttarāththayen kalpanakāraṇavu tarkkayo—dutiyaṁ, sāparādhavu deveni kāraṇaya—āhu, kiyati—medhāvi, nuvan’etto—etaṁ, me viruddhāviruddha vasayen siti kāraṇaya—aṁnāya, dēna—yaṁ, yamek—aparṇakaṁ, aviruddha—taṁgaṅhe, gaṅneyi. (Apparṇaka Jātakam.)

2.
Akitāsuno vaṇṇupathe khaṇantū
Uḍ’angano tattha papaṁ avindum
Evaṁ muni viriya baluṇapaṇṇo
Akiḷāsu vinde hadayassa santiṁ

* [NOTE.—I have corrected the proof according to Mr. Dickson’s MS., not attempting to revise the text.—Ed.]
Akillásuno, kausídýayen duruva áraddha víryavu uttamayo—udangano, uda yaru nipátya—aŋgaño, manushyá sańcárañ-asthánvú anárvata bhúmi pradesa éti—vánupathe, valuksi-pathayehi hevat věli éti maga —khańántá, bhúmiya khańanaya karańñáho—tathha, e váluká pathayehi—papaño, píyámáñatvayen papayayi kiyanalada jalaya—avínduñ, ladaha—evañ, eparídden—viriya balúpapanno, víryayenhá káya bala ñañá balayen yuktavú —akilásu, kausídýayan rahita vírya vítavu—muni, tapasví tema—hadayassa santiñ, ciitäyahañta hadaya rúpaya hañta ñítala bháva karańnyen sántanamvú dháña vidarsaná abhiñá arhat marga sańkhyáta aryadharmaya—vinde, labanneyi. (Ván-

3.

Idha ce hi nañ vírádheshi saddhammassa niyámatañ,
Cirañ tvañ anutapessasi Seriváyañ va vánijo.

Saddhammassa, saddharmayaña—niyámatañ, pratiniyatvú srotápattimargaya—idha, mehi—vírádhesi ce, idíñ vírya nokirímen 

4.

Appakena pi medhávi pábhatena vicakkhano
Samuṭṭhápeti attánañ anuuñ aggíva santhamañ.

Medhávi, pranávatu—vicakkhano, vyavahárayebi dákshavu 

5.

Kiñ agghati tańdulanálíkká Báránasiñ
Santarábáhirañ agghati tańdulanálíkká.

Tanđulanálíkká, sahal nélíyá—kiñ agghati, kumak agganedayi 

6.

Hiriottappa sampannā sukkadhamma samāhitā
Santo sappurisā lokē devadhāmmāti vuccare.

Hiriottappa, kāya duscaritādio saŋkocanayayo kiyanaleda hirīnda esama kāya duscaritādio bhūtiyayi kiyanaleda apatrapāyendo—sampannā, avikalavū me kiyanaleda gunādvayen samurdhavū—sukkādhamma samāhitā, mēhi hiriottappa deka ādikotā ēti cāturbhūmika laukika lokottara saŋkhyaṭa kusala dharmayen samanvitavū—santo, kāya karmādīn śāntavū heyin santanaṅmvū—sappurisā, krataṇādi gunayen yuktavū satpurshayo—lokē, satva lokayehi—devadhāmmāti, rājādīvā sauvrati devatāvargā varga lokotpattī ēti devatāvargā kashiṇāsraavavū visuddhi devatāvargā yana me trividha devatāvargā dharmayen—vuccare, kiyanu lēbet. (Devadhāmma Jātakam.)

7.

Putto tyūhaṅ mahārāja tvāṁ maṅ posa janādhipa
Aññepi devo poseti kīcā devo sakaṅ paįjanti.

Mahārāja, maharaja—te, tāga—ahāmen, mama—putto, ātmaja putrayami—janādhipa, jana pradhānaya—tvāṁ, to—maṅ, mā—posa, rakhakara—devo, devayo—aññepi, asvabandhādīvu manushyayanda hastyasvādīvu triyaggaṇayanda—poseti, posanaya kereti—sakaṅ pajaṅ kīcā, svakīyavū praįvān rakiti kiyayutudeyi kīcā yama nipātaya nindarthā anugraharthā dekhi vēṭeyi eseheyni topaṭa putravū mā rakshā nokaranṅvā vadeyi kiyā nindāva avagyayo rakshākaranṅvā vadeyi kīmen anugrahayada prakāsa kele. (Kaṭṭahāri Jātakam.)

8.

Api ataramāṇānaṁ phalaṁ va samijjhati
Vipakkabrahmacariyōsmi, evaṁ jānāhi Gāmanī.

Ataramāṇānaṁ, nuanēṭṭavunyo avavādayehi pihīṭatuvita nova upāyakramayen karmāntayehi prayaṅtayaṇṭa—phalaṁ, prāṭṭhitavū prayaṅjanayehi āsā nohot āsāphalaya—samijjhati, eva samurdha vemaya—vipakkabrahma cariyōsmiṁ, dānārthā priyavacan, samānātmatā saṅkyatā brahmacariyayan mūlākavū yasas sampattīṁ mukkuruvva sītī bevīn paripakkavū brahmacariyā cītivimi—gāmanī, grāmaniyā—evaṁ, meū—jānāhi, danu—grāmanī šabda
grámajeshṭayan kerehidu sabhajana sreshṭhayā kerehidu veṭayi meṭeṇhi gāmāni yanuyen taman sarva jana jeshṭa heyn ātma dvitiyaṅkota tamanṭama amantranaya kalo. (Gāmini Jātakam)

9.
Uttamaṅgaruhā mayhaṃ ime jātā vayoharā
Pāṭubhūtā devadūtā pabbajjā samayo mama.

Mayhaṃ, māge—uttamaṅgaruhā, siyalo anga pratyaṅgayanta uttamaṅayi kiyanalada sirashi jāta heyn uttamaṅgaruhā namvu—vayo harā, phalitudpātayen trividha vayasa hṛragannāvun—ime, mohu me narakeshu—jātā, jātayaha—devadūtā, mṛtyu mārayage dūtavu nohot devatāvaku vēni dūtayo—pāṭubhūtā, pāṭurbbhūtvūha—ese heyiṃ—mama, māge—pabbajjā, pravarrjjāvata—samayo—, kaleyi. (Makhādeva Jātakam.)

10.
Yaṅca aṅñe na rakkhanti yo ca aṅñe na rakkhati
Sa ve rāja sukhaṃ seti kāmesu anapekkhavā.

Rāja, maharaja—so, putgala tema—kāmesu anapekkhavā, vastu kāma klesakāma yehi apeekshāvak nēṭiva—ve, ekāntayen—sukhaṃ seti, huda kalāvā kāya viveka citta viveka sepyeṃ yuttava vāsaya karanmpya hudek sayanaya karaṇe nove mebandu pudgala tema siyalo iṛiyāpatha yehi sepyeṃ kalyavanneya yanu bhāvayi. (Sukhavihāri Jātakam. Apanṇaka Vaggo Pathamo.)

11.
Hoti silāvataṃ attho paṭisanthāra vuttināṃ
Lakkhaṇaṃ passa āyantaṃ nātiṣāṃghapurakkhaṭaṃ,
Atha passasi maṁ kālaṁ, suvihīnaṁ vaṃūṭihi,

Silavataṁ, silatvam—paṭisanthāra vuttināṃ, Attha dharmamisa vasyen dvividavu paṭisanthārayaṃ pravarttakatoctavunṭa—attho, abhvraddiḥ—hoti, vanneya—nātiṣangha, bandhusamūhaya visiṃ—purakkhaṭaṃ, puraskratavu—lakkhaṇaṁ, laksāṇaya—āyantaṃ, ennahu—passa, bala—atha, ikbīteṁ—nātihi, nēyaṁ visiṃ—svihiṇaṁ, viśeṣeṇaṁ hinauvu—imaṁ kālāṁ, mekālaya—passasi, bala silādyāneka guṇāṅgayen yukta lakkhaṇayaṅ bandhuvarggayā pirivarvauṇa paridida kisi guṇa viśeṣeṇaṅ nēti kālaya bandhuvargga virahitayaṭa pneumonia ekalāva ena paridida balaya Bodhisatvayo mragadhenuvaṭa nīyoga keret. (Lakkhaṇa Jātakam.)

12.
Nigrodhameva seveyya na sākham upasaṅ vase
Nigrodhaṃsiṁ mataṅ seyyo yaṅca sākhasiṁ jīvitaṅ.

Nigrodhameva nigrodhamsāgraṇya—seveyya, sēvanayasākaraṇēya—sākhaṁ, sākhaṇaṁ mṛagaraṇjaya—na upasaṅvase,
samipayehi no vasanneyi, sákhasmīṁ, sākhanaṁ mragarājāya svamipayehi—yaṁca jīvitāṁ, yaṁ jīvatvīmak ēḍhā eyaṭā vaḍā—nigrodhasmīṁ, nigrodhamraga rājāya kerehi—matam, maraṇa—seyyo, utum vannēyi. (Nigrodhamiga Jātakam.)

13.
Dhiratthu kaṇḍinaṁ sallaṁ purisaṁ gālha vedhinaṁ
Dhiratthu taṁ janapadaṁ yathth'itthi parināyikā
Te cápi dhikkitā sattā ye itthinaṁ vasaṁ gatā.

Kaṇḍinaṁ sallam kiyā, niggahītaya candas pinisayayi gena—kaṇḍina, kaṇḍinayana nam ēti—sallaṁ, anupravesanārthayen sallanaṁ sērāya ēti nohot kaṇḍinaṁ kaṇḍaya ēti—sallaṁ, salyanamvū sērāya ēti—gālha vedhinaṁ dridha koṭa vidhīnāvu—purisaṁ, purushayāta—dhiratthu, nindā vevā—yattha, yam tenekhi—itthi, stri—parināyikā, aissvayayehi siṭa pamunuvannida—taṁ janapadaṁ, ē janapadayaṭa—dhiratthu, nindāvevā—ye, yamek—itthinaṁ vasaṁ, visibavaṭa—gatā, giyoda—té cápi sattā, ē satvayoda—dhikkitā, ninditayaḥa—me gāthāven nindita vastūn tundenuk dekvūha yamu abhiprayi. (Kaṇḍina Jātakam.)

14.
Nakiratthi rasehi pápiyo
A'vāsehi vá santhavehi vá
Vātamigaṁ gehanissitaṁ
Vasamānesi rasehi sañjayo.

A'vāsehi vá, nirantara vāsasthānāvū ávāsayehida chandarāgaya—pápiyo, lāmakaya—santhavehi vá, mitrasanthsavayehida chandarāgaya—pápiyo, lāmakaya ē deteṇhi chandarāgayaṭa vaḍā—rasehi, madhurāmbalādīvī jīvhā viṇñeyavū rasayēhi chandarāgayaṭa vaḍā—pápiyo, pápatarayek naca attthi kira, noma ēṭ lā esemeyi—gehanissitaṁ, grahanasthānaya asṛitavū—vātamigaṁ, vātamragayā—sañjayo, sanjayanam udyānapālatema—rasehi, rasyen—vasaṁ, tamāge vasangabavaṭa—ānesi, pĕminavi. (Vātamiga Jātakam.)

15.
Aṭṭhakhuraṁ kharādiye migauṁ vaṅkātivāṅkinaṁ
Sattahi kalāha 'tikkantaṁ nanaṁ ovadātumussae.

Kharādiye, kharādiya nam tenetṭiya—aṭṭhakhuraṁ, aṭakurayak ēti—vaṅkātivāṅkinaṁ, mulinvakvu haṁ ēti heyim vankātivāṁ kiyā kiyanalada—sattahi kalāhi, sabta avavāda kalāyen—atikkantaṁ, ikmuna—naṁ migauṁ, ē mragayāṭa—ovadātuṁ, avavādakarananta ussahe, utsāha nēttemi. (Kharādiya Jātakam.)

[On this, the Bishop of Colombo remarks: “Sattahi kalāh
atikk, is no doubt the true reading, but B. has kālāha and Fausboll kāleki. This is almost certainly a blunder, but on this blunder the whole story is built. With kālāki the gāthā is sensible, but irrelevant to the story. It is a curious instance of a tale got up by the commentator, and that under a mistake!" (See Note 4.)

16.
Migaṁ tipallattha maneka māyaṁ atṭhakhuraṁ aḍḍharattava-pāyiṁ
Ekena sotena chamassasanto chaḥi kalāḥatibhoto bhāgineyyo.
Tipallatthaṁ, deṣayena indurāyayi mese trividhavu sayanayā karaṇāvū—anekmāyaṁ, boho māya çeti—atṭhakhuraṁ, aṭṭa-kurayak četi—aḍḍharattavapāyiṁ, madhyama rātriyehi bounāvu—migaṁ, mragaya yahapatkota mragamāya igēnvīmi—ē kesēdayat—ekena sotena, ekyaṭavu nāsikā srotasīṁ—chamā, polavāta—assasanto, svāsayaḥaranc—chaḥi, aṣṭatkalāyena—bhoti, pinnata—bhāgineyyo, ma bēna—kalāḥati, vyādhayā vaścā kere—nohot—

chaḥi kalāhi, mragaya aṣṭatkalāyena—āti hoti, veddā meḍi čhi aṣṭatkalānaṁ pādayan sataradenāge prasāraṇaya koṭa eka pārśva sayanayaya khurayen triṇa pūṁsu dhūri karaṇayā jīvī nirgamanayā udarayage sopakratayaṁ pemiāīvīmayā mutra purīsa dedenaṁ visarggayaya bāhya vana vātaya santrumbhaya yana mé saya ho—nohot—veddā visīṁ alvāgena abhimukhava adana-kalada, nēvata obaṭa damā pīyanakalada vamlayaṭa damalana-kalada dukanēlayaṭa damalanaṭakaḷada udāṭa nagalanaṭakaḷada nagā yata holānakaladēyī mé sateṇhi mrata prāyava veda hōnakalada he aṣṭatkalānaṁ vanneyi. (Tipallattha Miga Jātakaṁ.)

17.
Kāle vā yadi vā junhe yadā vāyati mālut
Vātajānihi sītāni ubhōṭṭha maparajītā.
Kāle vā, krashna pakshayehida—yadi vā, nohot—junhe, sukla pakshayehida yana depakshayen—yadā, yam kāleki—māluto, pūrvaḍi digin yuktā márutaya—vāyati, hamāda ē samayehi sīta ve—kumak heyinda yat—yasmā, yam heyakin—sītāni, sītayo—

vātajāni, vātajayoda—tasmā, eheyin cetha, mehilā—ubho, sūṁha vyāgra tepi dedenaṁ—aparajītā, pareddā vu. (Māluta Jātakaṁ.)

18.
Evaṁ ce sattā jāneyyuṁ dukkhāyaṁ jātisaṁbhavo
Na pāno pāśiṁha haṁne pānaghāṭhihi socati.
Ayaṁ, me—jāti, č č teṇhi upattiyaṁ—sambhavo, veḍimada—
dukkhā, jātyādi dukkhayaṃata kāranā āheyyin dukkhayahēyi—sattā satvayo—ce, idin—evaṃ, mesē—jāneyyuṁ, paravadha karaṇčhe jātisambhāvayehi vadha labanneyayi damūavunam—pāṇo, prāṇiyek—pāṇinaṁ, prāṇiyakhu—nahaṇē, hiṃsā nokaraṇeyayi—kumak heyinda yat—hiyasmaḥ, yam heyakin—pāṇa ghāti, prāṇavināśaya karaṇč—soeanti, narakādiyehi sóka karaṇēda eheyy satvayek satyayakhu divi nogalavenneyi. (Matakabhotta Jātakauṁ.)

19.

Sace muṇice pecca muṇice muneamāno hi bajjhati
Nā āhevaṃ dhirā muccaṇti mutti bālassa bandhanauṁ.
Sace, idin—muṇice, tō min midenu kemeṭṭekī vinām—pecca, paralovada—muṇice, midenaparidda mida—muṇicemāno hi, prāṇa vadhādi duścarita koṭa dēn midennēda—bajjhati, matupāṭa pāsae-
yen bēndenneyi—dhirā, nuvanetto—evaṃ, matu pāpapāsaya
nomidena paridden—nahi muccanti, nomidet—bālassa, aṃśanal-
yage—mutti, prāṇa vadhā koṭa mukiya—bandhanauṁ, bandha-
mayi—yamek prāṇavadhakoṭa antarāyen mīdeda he paralova
pāpapāsaya nomidena heyin midunat bēndunānam veyi yana
abhīprāyī. (A’yācitabhatta Jātakauṁ.)

20.

Disvā padam anuttinnam disvā n’otaritam padam
Naḷena vārim pivissāma n’eva maṁ tvaṁ vadhissasi.
Anuttinnauṁ, goḍatā onegi—padaṁ, piyavara—disvā, dēka-
otaritam, diyaṭa baṭa—padaṁ, piyavara—disvāna, dēka—naḷena,
baṭa nalin—vārim, jalaṭa—pivissāma, boṁha—tvaṁ, to—maṁ,
mā—novadhissasi, nomā vadhakereyī—vīla sīsāra ẖaida goḍīn
diyaṭa baṭa piyamut negi piya nṛti heyi yakshā dhīṣṭita vīhekeyi
dēna baṭa daṅḍak ēna pāramitā dharmmapan sikhōta pimba
geta netikōta vānara senāva hā ekva goḍahinda pen pūha yana
abhīprāyī. (Naḷapāna Jātakauṁ.—Śilavaggo dutiyyo, Devana
śilavagayī.)

21.

Naṭam etauṃ kuruṇgassa yaḥ tvaḥ sepanṇī seyyasi
Aṃśaṃ sepanṇiḥ gacchāma na mete rucete phalaḥ.
Sepaṇṇī, eddemaṭa gasa—tvaḥ, to—yaḥ, yam phalayak-
seyyasi, isuru vayida yana—etaṃ, me—kuruṇgassa, kuruṇgayī
sambandhava—naṭam, damalāda—nohet—kuruṇgayahāta praka-
ṭaya—aṃśaḥ, anik—sepaṇṇiḥ, sepaṇṇiyakata—gacchāma, yamha
—he, tāge—phalaḥ, phalaḥ—me, maṭa—na rucete, no ruesnīy—
mesē vraksha vyājayen vyādhayaṭa kiyu gasaṭa nopemina maga
kiyaha yana abhiprāyī. (Kuruṇga Miga Jātakauṁ.)
22.
Ye kukkurā rājakulasmi vadāhā
Koleyakā vaṇṇa balūpapannā
Te‘me na vajjhā mayam asma vajjhā
Naṇām saghaccā dubbala ghātikāyām.

Vaṇṇa, śaṁśra vaṁṇayen hā—bala, kāya balayen—upapannā,
yuktavu—koleyakā, rājakulayehi jātavu—rājakulasmim, raja-
geyi—vadddhā, vēḍiyāvū—ye kukkanū, yaṁ balukenekk ēdda—
te‘me, te ime, he me sasvāmikavu rakshāsahita balo—navajjhā,
avadhayaḥa vadhyāta sudusso noveti—mayāṁ, api—vajjhā
asma, vadharhavūṁha—ayaṁ, mé vadhyā—saghaccā na, prabala
vadhanam novē—ayaṁ, mé vadhyā—dubbalaḥātikā, durvala
vadhanam vē. (Kukkura Jātakaṁ.)

23.
Api passena semāno sallena sallalikkato
Seyyova vāḷavā bhojjo yūṇa maṇiyeva sārathi.

Sallena, serayen—sallalikkato, vidinālada—ē heyinma—passena,
eka pārsvayen—semāno api, honādavu—bhojjo va, bhojājāniyya
vu jāti saindhava yāma—valavā, kalūṅkāsavyaṁta vadā—seyyo,
uttama—sārathi, sārathiya—maṇiyeva, māma—yūṇa, yoda—
rathacāriya vidkā durvalavā hottavu mammā śreshtayemi tā
yodannaṭa paṭaṅgat nohikmuno asvayā hēra māma yuddhyāta
yōdayi yanu abhiprāyi. (Bhojājāniya Jātakaṁ.)

24.
Yadā yadā yattha yadā yattha yattha yadā yadā
Ajaṅṅo kurute vegaṁ háyanti tatttha vāḷavā.

Yadā yadā, pūrvāṅhādivu yam yam kalekhi vevayi—yattha,
grāmādivu yam tenekhi vevayi—yadā, yam kshenayekhi vevayi—
yattha yattha, yam yam yuddha maṇḍalaṁekhi vevayi—yadā yadā,
yam yam kalekhi vevayi hevat prahāra labdha kālayeheida alabdha
kālayeheida—ajaṅṅo, abhiprā dannā suluvu utum saindhava yamā—
vegaṁ, vyāyāmaya—kurute, kerēda hevat vīrya kirimaṭa
prārmbha kerēda—tatttha, ehiḷa hevat utuma asvayatnayen
prayukta kalhi—vāḷavā kalūṅkāsavyo—hāyanti, pirihe hevat
uttumāsavyāṁtha ekdhurayekehi diviya nohet—heyein māma yodava
yanu abhiprāyi. (Ajaṅṅa Jātakaṁ.)

25.
Aṁnamaṅṅehehi titthehehi assaṁ päyehe sārathi
Accāsānassa puriso päyāsassa pi tappati.

Sārathi, ratha padanava—annamaṅṅehehi, anik anik—titthehehi,
tōtakiṅ—assaṁ, asvayā—pāyehi, pova—puriso, pursha tema—
accāsanassa, atyāsanayen adhikakoṭa kēma karāṇakoṭagena—pāyāsassapi, sarppiyāḍin abhisamakratavu madhura pāyāsayenda—tappati, traptave—mehi accāsanassa yaru karāṇātthayehi śashtiyi mé tīrthhayehi snāna pāna yehi niyuktavu asvayā dēn anik asvayekugē snānayen apavitavu tīrthhayehi tamāge śringāvatavaya hetukoṭagena paricitavu tīrthhayehi snānaya nokēnētēya esēheyn aniktoṭaka nahavayi yana abhiprāyī.
(Tittha Jātakaṁ.)

26.

Purāṇacorāna vaco nisamma Mahilāmukho pothaya manucārīi
Susaññatānaṁ hi vaco nisamma gajuttamo sabbagunēsu aṭṭhāti.

Mahilāmukho, hastinimukha sādrisa heyin nohot abhimukha
daršanayen hobanā heyin hō mahilā mukha naṁ ēti—purāṇa-
corānaṁ, purāṇacorayangē—vaco, raudra tepul—nisamma, asā—
manucārī, kōpayen yuktava hēsirenē nohot manva cāri kiya
pātha gēna—anvacārī, raudravacanayanāta anuvu pravratti ētuvu—
pothayaṁ, prahāraya dennē—susaññatānaṁ, kāyavāk samācāra-
yen susaññatayangē—vaco, tepul—nisamma, parikshākoṭa asā—
gajuttamo, gajōttama tema—subbagunēsu, purvayēhi tamāge
siyalu ꗄuṇayēhi—aṭṭhāti, pihitiyōyī. (Mahilāmakha Jātakaṁ.)

27.

Nālaṁ kabaḷaṁ padātave
Na ca piṇḍaṁ na kuse na ghaṁsituṁ
Maññāmi abhiṁha dassanā
Nāgo sineha makāsi kukkanē.

Kabaḷaṁ, devayeni bataṭa palamuktoṇa dēna kātuka bhaisadya-
yen yukt—a kabaḷaṁ, piḍa—padātave, gaṇṭaṁ hevat ānuhbhava
karāṇaṁ—nālaṁ na aḷaṁ, nopohosata—piṇḍaṁ, piṇḍukoṭa dēna
bataṭa—padātave, gaṇṭaṁ—nālaṁ, nopohosata—kuse, triṇaṁ—
padātave, gaṇṭaṁ hevat ānuhbhava karāṇaṁ—nālaṁ, nopohos-
ata—ghaṁsituṁ, nahavanavēlehi śarlo dvarttanaya karāṇaṭa-
da—nālaṁ, nopohosata—abhiṁha dassanā, satta śatata darshanaya
hetukoṭagena—nāgo, ēt tema—kukkanē, bāḷa kerehi—sineha-
makāsi snēha keleyayi—maññāmi, haṅgimi—ē heyin ē prēvakaḷa
bāḷa genvā sóka pahakaravā yanu abhiprāyī. (Abhiṁha
Jātakaṁ.)

28.

Manuṇṇameva bhāseyya nāmanuṇṇaṁ kudācanaṁ
Manuṇṇaṁ bhāsamanassa garuṁ bharaṁ udaddharī
dhānaṁ ca naṁ alabhāsī tena c'attamana ahū.
Manuṇṇaṁ eva, pharushādīn durukoṭa manogā vacanayakma
—bhaseyya, baṉanneyi—kudácanāṁ, kisi kalekhidu—ama
nuṇaṇa, amanog̣aṅkoṭa—nabhaśeyya, pharushādīn miṣrakoṭa
no baṉanneya eyin prayojanaya kindayat—manuṇaṇa, manog̣a
koṭa hevat premanvitaṅkoṭa bhāsamānaṅsa baṉanṇāhaṭa—garuṇ,
saṅaṭa sata pramaṇa vasayen baravu—bhāraṇa, maḥābhāraya
—udaddhari, idiṅya nohot tubu tennin pelāhelāpiya—naṁ, e
bamuṇā—dhanaṅ ca, vastuvada—alabhāsī, lebeviya—tena, e
heyn—attamaṇo ca, satūṭuvuyeda—ahu, vī—udaddhari ki teṇa
dakāraya saṁhitā seyyin āgamavi. (Ndēdiviśāla Jātakam.)

29.
Yato yato garu dhuraṅ yato gambhirā vattanī
tad’assu kaṅhaṁ yuṇjanti svassu taṁ vahatadhuṇaṅ.
Yato yato, yam yam teṇekhi—dhuraṅ, dhuraya—garu, barada
—sesu balī varddayo osavannata nopohosat huda—yato, yam
yam teṇekhi—gambhiravattanī, jaloṅhādīn gambhiranam sakaṭa
mārgaya gambhiravinam—sesu gon pahakoṭa kaṅha naṁ balī
carddaya bhāvayata giyāvu mārgayek ēḍda—tadā, ekalhi—
kaṅhaṁ, krāṣṇa nam vrashabhaṇy—fromjanti, yodati—so, he—
yoduṇalada krishṇanaṁ vrashabhā rāja tema—taṁ dhuraṅ, e yuga
dhuraya—vahate, usulanneyi ēḍa goḍatabanneyi—assu yana
nipāṭayi—yam teṇekhi isuluva manā dhuraya baravīnaṁ sakaṭa
mārgaya gambhiranam sesu gon pahakoṭa kaṅhanam balī varddaya
yodati he yuga dhuraya ēḍa goḍa tabanneyi yana bhāvayi.
(Kaṅha Jātakam.)

30.
Mā Muṅkassa pihayi, āturannāṁi bhunjiṭi
Appossukko bhuraṁ khāda, etaṁ dighāyulakkhaṇaṁ.
Muṅkassa, Muṅka nam sūkarayage bhojanaya—mā pihayi,
nahamak prātānakara kumak heyinda yat e Muṅika nam sūkara
tema—āturannāṁi, maraṅhāraya tamahāta marana bata—bhunjiṭi,
kayi—appossukko, madhurāhārayaṭa utsāha nokota—bhuraṁ
atyārṭaṇen—khāda, tata ḍebuṇu batama kā—etaṁ, me—dighāyu
lakkhanuṁ, dīrgbhāyuska vīmaṭa lakshaṇayi. Muṅika Jātakam.
Kurunga vaggo tatiyo, Tunvana kurunga vāgayi.)

31.
Kulāvakā Māṭali Simbalismiṁ īsāmukhena parivajjaysu
Kāmaṅi caṇāma asuresu pāṇaṁ mayime dvija vikulāva ahesuṁ.
Māṭali, Māṭali diivyaputraṇa—simbalismiṁ, himbul venehi—
kulāvaka, suparaṇapotakayo elihovati ovun—īsāmukhena, ratha
śr̥ṣhayen—parivajjaṇasu, durukara—kāmaṅ, ekantayan—asu
resu, asuravan kerehi—pāṇaṁ, prāṇaya—caṇāma, haru—ime, me—
dvijá, suparṇapotakayo—vikulá, vigatává kulávaka śćttáhu—
má ahesuññ, nahamak vetvé—asurayañ ata miyamo numut apa nísá
suparṇapotakayo rathayen geśi nomiyetvayi yana abhipráyí.
(Kulávaka Játkam.)

32. Rudañ manuññañ rucirá ca piṭṭhi
Veluriya vaṇṇúpanibhá ca gívá
Byánamattáni ca pekkhuññáni
Naccena te dhítaraññ no dadámi.

Rudañ, mayúraya táge śabdáaya—manuññañ, manogña—
piṭṭhi ca, piṭṭada—rucirá, sit kaluya—gívá ca, grívávada—
veluriya, vaidhúryya mánikyayehi—vaṇṇúpanibhá, varṇahá
sadrisaya—pekkhuññáni ca, táge píñja bhárayoda—byánamattáññ
vyánamátryaya mese rucivuvada—te, táge—naccena, bhayalejjá
nëti nratyaya hetukotagená—dhítaraññ, márge duva—nodadámi,
taṣa no demi. (Nacca Játkam.)

33. Sammodamáná gacechanti jálamádáya pakkhíno
Yadá te vivadissanti tadá ehinti me vasanti.

Pakkhíno, vaṭuvaha yana pakkhíhu—sammodamáná, viváda
nokaraṇñañhu samagava—jálaññ, deña—ádáya, nagá erageña—
gacechanti, yeti—te, e pakkhíhu—yadá, yam kalekhí—vivadissanti
viváda keredda—tadá, ekañhí—me vasanñ, márge visibavaññ—
ehinti, eti—edavás unhemadéná hêra ti satuṭu keravami yana
abhipráyí. (Sammodamáná Játkam.)

34. Na maññ sītaññ na maññ uñhaññ na maññ jálasmīñ bádhanaññ
Yaññ ca maññ māññate macchi aññañ so ratiyá gato.

Maññ, má—sītaññ, sītaya—na, bádhanaññ karanne noveyi—
maññ, má—uñhaññ, úsnaya—na, bádhanaññ karanne noveyi—maññ,
maññ—jálasmīñ, deñehi—bádhanaññ, bendimada—na, bádhanaññ
karanne noveyi—veñi píñjanaññ kindayaññ—maññ, má—yaññ ca, yam
heyakin nohot yambanduñnu—macchi, márge mēsini—so, he márge
matsyayá—aññañ, anit, mēsinnaka samīpayāta—ratiyá, rati
piñisa—gato, giyeyayi—maññate, sītāda—heme siyalu dukata
vaḷa peleenneya yanu abhipráyí. (Maccha Játkam.)

35. Santi pakkhá apataná, santi pádá avaññaná
Mátá pīṭā ca nikkhantá, jātaveda pāṭikkamá.

Pakkhá, márge pakshayi—santi, vidyamánayaka—apataná
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Yañ nissitā jagatiruhaṁ vihārangāmā svāyaṁ aggingū pamaṇcati
Disā bhajatha vakkangā, jātaṁ saraṇato bhayaṁ.
Yañ, yam—jagatiruhaṁ, vrakshayak—vihārangamā, pakshīhu
—nissitā, āsraya kaloda—svāyaṁ, e me vrakshayā—aggi, gini—
pamaṇcati, haraneyā—vakkangā, vakravu grivāhā paksha ēti
heyin vakkanga nam lada pakshīni—disā, digun—bhajatha,
sevu—saraṇato, saraṇa sthānayen—bhayaṁ, bhaya—jātaṁ,
upanī—yam heyakiṇ saraṇasthānave vrakshayen gini heda eheyin
nēgi yava yanu abhiprāyī. (Sakuṇā Jātakam.)

37

Ye vaddhama pacāyanti narā dhammassa kovidā
Diṭṭhe va dhamme pāsaṁsā samparāyeca suggati.
Dhammassa, vaddhāpacayana dārmmayehi—kovidā, dakshavū
ye narā, yam manushakenek—vaddhāṁ, kulavraddha guṇavraddha
vayovraddha tundena keren guṇavraddha vayovraddha dedenā-
haṭa—pacāyanti, pūjākereda, ohu—diṭṭhe va dhamme, mema
ātma bhāvayehi—pāsaṁsā, prasaṁsā vahaveti—samparāye ca,
melova hēra yā yuta paralevu hudu—suggati, suggatiyāta yan-
nāhuyi. (Tittara Jātakam.)

38.

Nāccantaṁ nikatippaṇño nikatyā sukhamedhati
Aṭādhē nikatippaṇño bako kakkatakā miva.
Nikatippaṇño, vaṅcābuddhi ētte—nikatyā, tamāge vaṅcāven-
accantaṁ, atyantayen—sukhaṁ, suva—na edhati—novadā hevat
nityayen kisi suvayekhi nopihiṭa—nikatippaṇño, kairāṭika
bhāvayen hikmunu pragū ēti purṣhātema—āradhe, tamahata
bhayak āradhānkaraneṇeyi hevat labanneyi kesedayat—ka-
kkaṭakā, kakuluvā keren grivācchedayaṭa pemini—bako iva, koku
meni—yamse bakaya tamāge vaṅcābuddhīn karkkaṭakayā keren
grivācchedayaṭa pemina viṇāṣayaṭa giyada ohu men yamek satha-
pravatti ēttovinaṁ e viṇāṣayaṭa pemineyi seyi. (Baka Jātakam.)

39.

Maṇṇe sovaṇnayo rási sovaṇṇamālā ca Nandako
Yattha dāso āmajāto thito phullāni gajjati.
A'majāto, kulajātidāsyage putvu—Nandako, Nandaka nam—
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dāso, dāsatema—yattha, yam tênekhi—thito, sitiye—phullāni, pharusha’kota—gajjati, garijanā kereda hevat beneda—sova’nayo, sobhanavu varṇa sāmānyayen svarṇara'jatādīn nivrattavū—rāsi, rāsiyada—sova’nā mālā ca, svarṇa mālāda—ettha, meteṇhīyayi—maṇē, hangim—yattha, yanu balā ettha yanu ūddhyāhārayi. (Nanda Jātakam.)

40.
Kāmaṃpatāmi nirayaṃ uddhapādo avaṃsiro
Nānariyaṃ karissāmi, handa piṇḍaṃ paṭiggaha.
Uddhapādo, ūrddhapāda vūyem—avaṃsiro, avaṃkratavū his çtettem—kāmaṃ, ekāntayen—nirayaṃ, angurakāsu saukhyatavū naraka’yehi—patāmi, hemi—etakudo vuva—anariyaṃ, āryyayan visin nokara’nala adāna asīlādi anarya’kriyāvan—nakariissāmi nokaremi—handa, ebevn—piṇḍaṃ, piṇḍukoṭa kēma hetu’kotagen piṇḍayayi kiyana’lala bata—patigga’ha, piligānu. (Khadiraṅgāra Jātakam.—Kulāvaka vaggio catutttho, Sataravana kulāvaka vagayi.)

41.
Yo aththakāmassa hitānukampino
Ovajjamāno na karoti sāsanaṃ
Ajiyā pādamolubbha Mittako viya socati.

Aththakāmassa, abhivraddhikemettāvu—hitānukampino, hitayañ anukampā karaṇnahuge—sāsanaṃ, anusēsanaaya—ovajjamāno, avavāda karaṇu labanna’vu—yo, yamek—na karoti, no kareda esevu purushatema—ajiya’y, eliyage—pāda’nh, paya—olubbha, eliya gena—Mittako viya, Mitravindakayā paridden—socati, soka karanneyi. (Losaka Jātakam.)

42.
Yo aththakāmassa hitānukampino
Ovajjamāno na karoti sāsanaṃ
Kapotakassā vacanaṃ akatva
Amittahatthattha gatōva seti.

Pūrvārdhdhaya yatākī ārtthamaya.
Kapotakassā, kapotakayāge—vacanaṃ, avavāda vacanaya—akatva, nokota’amitta, amitravu südayāge—hattattha, hastastha bavaṭa—gatōva,giyāvu kākayāmen—seti, vyasana práptava honeyi. (Kapota Jātakam.)

43.
Yo aththakāmassa hitānukampino
Ovajjamāno na karoti sāsanaṃ
Evaṃ so niha seti Velukassa yathā pitā.
Mehi pūrvārdhdhaya yatākī ārtthha çtey ya’mek me kiyana
paridden avavádaka katrúngë vacanayehi nohikminida esevu
purshatema—Velukassa, Velukanam sarppayáge—pitá, piyo—
yathá, yamseda—evañë, e paridden—nihatë, nashtavúye—seti
honeyi. (Veluka Játkam.)

44. Seyyo amitto matiyá upeto
Natveva mitto mativppahino
Makasañ vadhissanti hi elamúgo
Pitto pitu abbhídà uttamangañë.

Matiyá, pragñáven—upeto, yuktavu—amitto, amitra tema—
seyyo, uttamaya—mati, pragñáven—vppahino, viprahánavu—
mitto, mitra tema—náta eva seyyo, noma utumi—kumakheyinda
yat—elamúgo, elmúgavú hevat jañavu—pitto, pit—makasañ, 
maduruvú—vadhissanti, maramiyi—pito, pijáge—uttamangañë,
uttamángaya—abbhídà péli. (Makása Játkam.)

45. Seyyo amitto medhávi yañ ci bálánukampako
Passa Rohinikáñ jammimá mátañë hantvána socati.

Anukampako, karuná çttavu—yañ ci bálo, yo náma bálo
yantañ bálayek eëda, úta vadda—medhávi, nuvánttavu—amitto,
amitrayáma—seyyo, uttamaya—jammim, jaghányañ ipvav bálavu—
Rohinikáñ, Rohininam nuvánttitiya—passa, bala—
mátañë, mava—hantvána, mëssan maramiyi—musala prahárayen
mará—socati, soka keremeyi—yañë, yamu yoyi kiya liuga
viparityásayen gena—ce, yamu námartthayë gena arttha kiyanu.
(Rohini Játkam.)

46. Na ve anatthakusalena att hacairyá sukhávahá
Hápeti attañë dummedho kapi árúmiko yathá.

Anatthakusalëno, anartthayëhi dakshâyá visin—attacairyá,
attacaryyatomo—sukhávahá na, suva elavanni nova suva no
elaványayi seyi—ve yamu nipátayi—dummedho, dummedha
tema—attañë, veda—hápeti, pirihela—kumak heyinda yat—
árúmiko, uryána prayuktavú, kapi yathá, vánarayá weni—
dummedhassu vedañë karaña yamek avëdama elavanneyayi seyi.
(Arámadúsa Játkam.)

47. Na ve anatthakusalena att hacairyá sukhávahá
Hápeti atthañ dummedho Konđañño várùniniñ yathá.

Anatthakusalëna, anartthayëhi dakshâyá visin karañalada—
attacairyá, attacaryá tomo—sukhávahána, suva elavanni
nova—mehidu ve yamu nipátayi—dummedho, nuvána nètte—
48. Anupāyena yo atthaṁ icchati so vihaṁnati
cetā haniṁsu Vedabbhaṁ sabbe te vyasanamajjhagu.

Yo, yamek—anupāyena, anupāyen—atthaṁ, abivraddhi—
icchati, kemati veda—so, hetema—vihaṁnati, nassī—Cetā, Ceta
nam raṭa vesi Caurayo—Vedabbhaṁ, Vайдarbbha nam mantraya
hetukoṭagenā Vedabbhaṁ nam brāhmaṇayā haniṁsu, vināsakālaha—
te sabbe, e siyalu Caurayoḍa—vyasaṇaṁ, vyasanayata—
ajjhagu, pemiṇiyō. (Vedabbha Jáṭakam.)

49. Nakkhattaṁ patimānentaṁ attho bāḷaṁ upacagā
Attho atthassa nakkhattaṁ, kiṁ karissanti táraṅa.

Nakkhattaṁ, nakat—patimānentaṁ, balanāvā—bāḷaṁ, agñāna-
yāge—attho, arththaya—upacagā, ikmaye—atthassa, arththalaya—
attho, abhiṣṭa artha pratiḷabhāya ta ma—nakkhattaṁ
nakshatra nama—tāraṅa, táraṅa rūpayo—kiṁ karissanti, kavara
nam arththayak sādadda no sādatiye seyī. (Nakkhatta Jáṭakam.)

50. Dummedhānam sahassena yaṅño me upayācito
Idāni kho‘haṁ yajissāmi, bahuḥ adhammiko jano.

Dummedha naṁ, durmedha minisunge—sahassena, sahasraya-
kin—yaṅño, yāgaya—me, mā visin—upayācito, ārādhana karana-
lada—ahaṁ, mama—idānikho, deṇ vanūhi—yajissāmi, yāga-
keremiyi—adhammiko, prāmātipātādiyehi niyuṣṭa adharmmika-
vū—jano, janayo, bahuḥ, boho denayi. (Dummedha Jáṭakam.—
Atthakāma vaggo paṅcamo, Pasvana arththakāma vagayi.)

In the preparation of this text I have had the use of three
MSS.—one belonging to the Malwatte Monastery in Kandy,
one to the Hanguranketa Potgula, one lent me by the Atapattu
Mudaliyār of Galle, Mr. E. R. Gunaratna. They are referred to
as (M), (H), and (G).

1. Read Idha cehi naṁ with Fausböll and (M). (H) and (G)
give Idha ce na virādhesi.
2. Fausböll gives no stanza nor do (H) or (G), but they give
the sanne. (M) gives
Kiṁ agghati taṇḍula nālika Barānasīṁ
Santara bāhiram agghati taṇḍula nālikā.
Fausbäll gives in "Corrections" quoting Feer in J. As., 1876.
Kiṁ agghati taṇḍula nālikā ca
Bārānasiṁ antara bahirānaṁ
Assapancasatehi tāni ca
Ekā taṇḍula nālikā ti.

Vij., suggests comparing Feer and (M) with the text of the
Commentary:—
Kiṁ agghati taṇḍula nālikā
darbānasiṁ santara bahirānaṁ
Assapancasatāni ca
Ekā taṇḍula nālikā.

What is a measure of rice worth?
The inner and outer city of Benares
And (what) four hundred horses?
One measure of rice.

The first two lines are in one prosodial measure; the last two in
another.

3. This verse is a memorial stanza, and hardly admits of literal
translation. It means:—
I have taught the deer many devices, viz., the three postures,
the use of the eight hoofs, the habit of drinking at midnight,
lying on the ground to breathe through only one nostril. By
means of these six accomplishments, my good friend, will my
nephew outdo the hunter?
The six accomplishments or devices are—(1–3) the three
postures—i.e., to lie on its back or on either side; (4) the perfect
use of the eight hoofs; (5) drinking at midnight; (6) to lie on
the ground suppressing the breath of the upper, and breathing
only through the lower nostril.
The sanne gives the six devices in two ways, as follows:—
(1) lying on one side with the four legs stretched out; (2) having
kicked up the grass and earth, as in the agony of death; (3)
with tongue out; (4) belly puffed out; (5) having voided urine
and excrement; (6) concealing all appearance of breathing.
Or, (1) by falling as dead when the hunter draws him to and
fro; (2) or pushes him aside; (3) or puts him down on the left;
(4) or on the right; (5) or lifts him up; (6) or lifts him up and
puts him down again.
Aṭṭhakhuraṁ does not necessarily mean swift, as rendered by
Davids; nor do the qualities of the trained deer, except the habit
of obedience, refer to the obedient learner.

4. Fausböll supplies from the commencement the second line
of this stanza. His three MSS. omit it; my three MSS. confirm his conjecture; they give the stanza as he prints it.

5. Rājakulasmi: read this with Fausböll, following one MS. out of three of his; my three MSS. give rājakulasmiṁ, but kulasi is to be preferred for sake of metre, on which account the nigrghītalopaṁ is admissible.

6. Sallenā: my three MSS. read this, which is to be preferred to Fausböll’s sallahi.

7. Purānacorāna: read thus. See above, Note 5.

8. Fausböll reads nāccanta: prefer nāccantaṁ, following my three MSS.

**Tañḍula Nālikā Jātakam (5).**

Varabhättāṁ pana asuka vassagge ṭhitāṁ lāmaka—bhättāṁ asuka vassagge—Davids translates,

“The good rice is in such a storehouse, the inferior rice in such a storehouse.”

This is erroneous: Vassagga does not mean storehouse. It is a well-known term. In a foot-note Davids says it is of doubtful derivation, and only found in this passage. But he gets nearer the meaning by translating:—“The turn for the better rice has come to the monk whose seniority dates from such and such a year, and the turn for the inferior kind to the monk whose seniority dates from such and such a year.”

Vassagga means “order of seniority,” dating from the year and hour of seniority. The issue of tickets (salāka) is regulated by the vassagga. Thitika is the roster according to seniority. The roster is regularly kept in the Malwatte Vihāre and other monasteries in Ceylon, as directed in the Commentary to the Cūlavagga, to this day.

In the Cūlavagga and Sāmanta-pāsādikā (Vinaya Commentary), under Senāsana-khandaka, we find the following:—

_C. VI. 11. 3, Old p. 167._

Atha kho senāsanaagāhāpakānaṁ bhikkhuṁnaṁ etadahosi; kathan nu kho senāsanaṁ gāhetabbanti—bhagavato etam attathā ārocetuṁ Anujānāṁ bhikkhave paṭhamaṁ bhikkhuṁ gaṇetūṁ, bhikkhu gaṇetvā seyyā gaṇetūṁ, seyyagāṇetvā seyyaggaṁ gāhetunti—seyyaggaṁ gāhetāṁ seyyā ussādiyīṁsu—anujānāṁ bhikkhave vihāraggaṁ gāhetunti—vihaggena gāhetāṁ vihāraṁ ussādiyīṁsu—anujānāṁ bhikkhave parivenāggena gāhetunti—parivenaggena gāhetāṁ parivenā ussadayīṁsu—anujānāṁ bhikkhave anubhāgam pi dātum.

On this Samanta pāsādikā gives:—

Paññāva rājamanāḥ mattenava saṅghato uddisetyā ettate bhikkhu
äänethāti pahite kālaṁ ghosetvā thitikā pucchitabbā sace atthi tato paṭṭhāya gahetabbaṁ, no ce therāsanaṁ paṭṭhāya gāhetabbaṁ—uddesakena pinnapāti kānampi na atikka mettabbaṁ tepana dhuttangaṁ rakkhantā sayameva atikkamassanti evaṁ gāhiyamāne alasajātiṁ mahanēra paccā āgacchanti bhante vīsati vassānaṁ iṁ gāhiyati tumhākaṁ thitikā atikkantati navatabbaṁ thitikam ṣhapetvā tesaṁ gāhetvā paccā thitikāṁ gāhetabbā—saṅghunavakassa dinnepi paccā āgatānaṁ gāhetabbameva dutiyabhāge pana therāsanaṁ ārulhe puna āgatānam paṭhama bhāgo na pāpuṇāti dutiyabhāgato vasaggena gāhetabbaṁ.

Uddesako panettha pesalo lajjī medhāvī icchitabbō—tena tikkhattaṁ thitikāya pucchitvā sace koci thitikaṁ jānanto natthi therāsano gāhetabbo sace pana ahaṁ jānāmi dasavassena laddhanti koci bhānti attthāvuso dasavassā bhikkhūti puccitabbāṁ—sace tassa sutvā dasvassāṁha dasavassamāthi bahu āgacchanti tuyhaṁ pāpuṇāti tuyhaṁ pāpuṇātiti avatvā sabbe appasadā hothāti vatvā paṭipatiyā ṣhapetabbā ṣhapetvā katibhikkū icchaṭhāti upāsako pucchitabbō—ettakenāma bhanteti vutte tuyhaṁ tuyhaṁ pāpuṇātiti vatvā sabba navakassa vassagganca utuca divasa bhāgoca chāyāca pucchitabbā—sace chāyāyapi pucchiyamānāya añño buḍḍhataro āgacchati tassa dātabbaṁ—atha chāyaṁ pucchitvā tuyhaṁ pāpuṇātiti vutte buḍḍha taro āgacchati nalabhati.
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NOTES ILLUSTRATIVE OF BUDDHISM AS THE DAILY RELIGION OF THE BUDDHISTS OF CEYLON, AND SOME ACCOUNT OF THEIR CEREMONIES BEFORE AND AFTER DEATH.*

By J. F. Dickson, M.A. Oxon.
(Read 20th December, 1883.)

PINKAMA.
Dānaṁ sīlaṁ ca bhāvanā
Patti pattūnumodanā
Veyyāvacca-apacayaṁ ca
Desanā sūṭī diṭṭhi-jū.

1. Charity 6. Helping the helpless
2. Piety 7. Showing respect
3. Meditation 8. Preaching
4. The giving of merit 9. Listening

In this stanza are enumerated the ten modes [dasa kusala karma] in which puñña kammam or pinkama [a meritorious act] may be performed. They are sub-divided, in some cases, with great minuteness with many cross divisions, which it

* The system of transliteration employed in this Paper differs from that of the Ceylon Government (usually followed in the C. A. S. publications) as follows:—m is used for y; c for ch.—Hon. Sec.
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would be of no general interest to detail. The following account, it is hoped, will be thought sufficient:—

1. Charity, or giving.—There are two dānas, āmisadānam and dhammadānam, the gift of temporal and the gift of spiritual blessings. The former is giving any of the four priestly-requisites: clothing, food, dwelling-place, medicine, and the like. It includes, for example, building places of shelter for pilgrims and travellers; maintaining hospitals for the sick; offering robes, beds, chairs, carpets, palanquins, &c., to the priests; offering flowers, whether made into garlands or not, camphor, sandal-wood, &c., before the image of Buddha. The latter is the recital of the sayings of Buddha. A layman is said to perform it either by preaching himself, or by getting a priest or priests to preach, and incurring all the necessary expenses, or by receiving into his heart the words of Buddha when preached by the priests. The priesthood perform it by teaching and preaching the doctrines of Buddha.

2. Piety, or observance of the commandments of Buddha.—The laity are bound always to keep the pañca sil, or five chief commandments: not to kill, nor steal, nor commit adultery, nor lie, nor drink fermented liquor; this is the ordinary mode of performing this act of merit. The pious, however, frequently keep the aṭa sil, or eight commandments, for the space of twenty-four hours on the four days of the quarters of the moon. The eight commandments are the above five and the next four (which are reckoned, for this purpose, as three), namely, not to take solid food after mid-day; not to go to places of amusement, with which is joined the command to abstain from the use of unguents and gay clothes; and not to use a high or large couch, by which apparently is meant to mortify the flesh by sleeping on the floor or on a hard bed, or the like. And for the time that the aṭa sil are observed, a man does not sleep with his wife, nor a woman with her husband. On these days they wear only white clothes, and leave their right shoulder bare. Deacons perform this act by keeping the ten commandments; priests perform it by keeping all
the ten commandments of Buddha, which are spoken of as the kōṭiya saṃvara silaya—i.e. the ten million precepts, or one hundred lakhs of precepts. The chief of these are contained in Pátimokkha, which in fact sets forth all that is necessary. But it has been the delight of the priesthood to sub-divide the 227 precepts, and to refine upon them until the various sub-heads are of almost infinite number, and have come to be proverbially known as the ten millions.

3. Meditation.—It is of five kinds: (a) mettā, (b) karunā, (c) muditā, (d) upekkhā, (e) asubhā—the perfect exercise of (a) friendliness, (b) compassion, (c) goodwill, (d) equanimity, and (e) the practice of indifference. The end of this, as of all other forms of Buddhist meditation, is to realise the impermanency and vanity of all things, the decay and misery which are the lot of all things that are born, to cast off all desires, and to look only to Nirvāṇa, which alone is permanent, where there is no birth, and therefore neither decay nor misery. There is an excellent account of Bhávaná in Hardy’s “Eastern Monachism” pp. 243-252.

4. The giving of merit.—Giving to others to share in the merit acquired by one’s own acts. The original merit is not thus diminished to the giver; the sharer partakes of it, but in a lesser degree. The share of merit he obtains depends on the spirit in which he takes part in the particular act of merit. The original merit remains, as has been said, undiminished, just as a lamp from which a hundred other lamps have been lighted continues to burn with undiminished lustre. A Buddhist mother will take her child by the hand when she goes with her humble offering of food to a Buddhist monastery, and will tell him to share in the merit in full faith in this touching doctrine, and not only to train him up in the path of love and charity. So, when a man goes on a pilgrimage, he will call together his friends and bid them share in the merit. Their good wishes will attend him, returning to them as reflected merit, the result of the merit acquired by the endurance of toil, and pain, and suffering, in his pious journey.

5. The sharing in the merits of another.—As explained
under the last head, the merit of the giver is not diminished, but a reflected light of merit, as it were, is shed on all who join, in true heart and mind, either in deed or in spirit, in the pious act, from the doing of which the merit is acquired. An invitation to take part in the merit is not necessary; for example, if a passer-by falls in with a procession which is conducting an offering to a shrine, and goes along with it with the wish to take part in it as a meritorious act, he shares in the merit.

6. *Helping the helpless.*—The duty of rendering assistance to the sick and infirm, to one’s parents, to one’s teachers, and others.

7. *Showing respect.*—The maintenance of a reverential spirit, of which the outward manifestation is found at the present day in offerings of flowers, perfumes, food, &c., at the sacred bó tree, at the dágobas or rélic-mounds [thúpa], and in front of the images of Buddha.

8. *Preaching.*—It does not differ from dhammadánam, already explained under the first head. The merit is obtained in three ways: by preaching, by getting a priest to preach, and by listening.

9. *Listening.*—Listening with an attentive mind, and performing the part of a good listener, in repeating frequently “Sádhu! sádhu!” [“It is good! it is good!”], which resembles the “amen” of the Christian Church.

10. *Rejection of heresy.*—This includes more than is generally comprehended by the word heresy. When a man listens to the doctrines of Buddha, he must not only believe in them with an unwavering faith in the Three Gems; he must examine himself and put out from his heart all desire, hate, ignorance, vanity, heresy, doubt, sloth, arrogance, shamelessness, hardness of heart—lobho, doso, moho, máno, diṭṭhi, vicāricchá, thinam, uddhaccam, ahiriká, anottappam. Compare the English Communion Service.

The above is briefly a list of virtues which every Buddhist may practise more or less in secret, but there are many occasions on which a pinkama is publicly performed; and at the present day the word is generally applied to these
public performances. If a man goes on a pilgrimage by himself or with one or two others, people will say "He is going a pilgrimage"; but if a number of people join together and go in a procession with flags and music, &c., it is said "They go for a pinkama."

The religious act in both cases is the same, but pinkama has come popularly to mean a religious procession rather than the religious act of which the procession is only an outward sign.

The ordinary pinkam are those performed (1) at the commencement of was, (2) before death, (3) after a death, (4) when making offerings at a shrine or to the priesthood.

1.—The "Was" Pinkama.

The was season, or, as some erroneously call it, Buddhist Lent, commences on the fifteenth day of the eighth month, i.e. on the full moon day in A'sá'lhi-máso [June–July].

It is customary for the inhabitants of a village, or of two or three adjoining villages, to agree to invite a certain priest to reside in their village for the was season, and they send a deputation of the principal villagers to present an offering of betel leaves* and give the invitation. If it is accepted, they prepare a lodging for the priest, with a refectory, a chamber for the image of Buddha, the relic-casket and the sacred books, and a preaching hall. On the first day of the was season, the villagers turn out in holiday attire and go with music, and dancers, and singers, and flags, to the monastery where the priest resides, and they conduct him thence, in procession, to the lodging prepared for him. The flag-bearers head the procession, and are followed by drummers and other musicians, with dancers and singers. Under a canopy is borne on a litter, or on an elephant, a relic-casket or an image of Buddha; next are borne in the same way the sacred books which the priest requires, and then come

---

* Betel leaves are the leaves of a vine which the Sinhalese chew with lime and the nuts of the areca palm. An offering of forty betel leaves is always made as a mark of respect on the occasion of a visit to a priest or nobleman.
the priest, carried in a palanquin with the sides open. The three gems—Buddha, the Law, and the Church—are here represented, and devotees go by the side shouting "Sádhu! sádhu!" The women, with their children, await the arrival of the procession at the place prepared for the priest, who, on his arrival, arranges the relic-casket, and the image of Buddha, and the sacred books, in the temporary chamber which is to serve the purpose of a chapel: an altar is placed in front of the image, and on it all the assembled people make their offerings of flowers and perfumes.

A small portion of the evening meal about to be offered to the priest is now placed on the altar, as an offering to Buddha. Sometimes the people ask the priest to place it on the altar; otherwise it is placed there by a deacon, or a devotee, or an ordinary layman. The food thus offered is taken by the deacons, attendants, or others, but not by the priest.

The offering of the priest's evening meal is then made in the refectory. In this offering all the assembled multitude take part; every one contributes something, such as tea, sugar, honeycomb, orange-juice, and the like.

According to the Pálimuttakavinicchayo, the offerings may be of eight kinds, known as the atthápánam, viz., ambapánam, jambupánam, cocapánam, mecapánam, phárusakapánam, madhupánam, muddikapánam, sátukapánam—the juice of the mango, of the rose-apple, of the wild plantain, of the banana, of the uguressa, the pure juice of the grape, a drink made of grape juice with sugar and water, and a drink made of the fruit of a kind of water-lily mixed with water and sugar.

The offerings are collected together and are placed on trays or baskets covered with snow-white napkins. The principal villagers, on behalf of the multitude, then carry the trays on their heads to the top of the hall where the priest is seated, and placing the trays on the ground, give the offerings one by one in saucers, or on mats or leaves, into the hands of the priest, who receives them and places them on his mat or carpet.
The whole multitude then fall on their knees in adoration, and the priest gives the benediction (Sin. "gives merit") in Páli, in the following sense, saying:

Ichitaṁ patthitaṁ tuyhaṁ
Khippaṁ eva samijjhatu
Púrentu cittasaṁkappá
Cando pannarasi yathá

[May your desire and your happiness
Soon be accomplished!
May your hopes be fulfilled
Like as the moon becomes round!]

According to the ancient ritual no more is required; but as the people do not know Páli, the priest adds in Sinhalese:

"By virtue of the offerings now made for the sake of the Blessed One, who is like unto the sun of the worlds of gods and men, the omniscient Buddha, and of this second offering made to the priesthood, which is like a field of merit, henceforth, freed from the dangers of birth in the place of torment, or in the world of beasts, or in the world of sprites, or in the world of the fallen angels, may you inherit the bliss of those who ascend and descend through the worlds of gods till you are born again in the world of men. Here may you possess wealth and riches, flocks and herds, servants and horses, and enjoy to your full content the prosperity of a universal monarch, or a king, or some great noble, free from the exactions of kings and the dangers of flood and fire, the attacks of thieves and the ravages of rats and insects, till the coming of Maitri Buddha, by whose blessed teaching you will be brought to walk in the way that leads to Nirvána, the eternal repose of the righteous who have seen Buddha."

The people then respond with one accord "Sádhu! sádhu!" ["It is good! it is good!"] and rising from their knees, depart, leaving the priest to his meal.

If one priest only is present, nothing is said by the people who make the offerings; but if five or more are present they say:

Imaṁ bhesajjadhanaṁ imáya sáláya nissnassa buddha pamukassa sakalassa bhikkhu saṅghassa dema.

[We give this evening meal to all the priests of Buddha who are sitting in this hall.]
This is repeated three times. The relic-casket on such occasions is placed at the top of the hall, on a raised platform, with a table to serve as an altar in front.

One of the priests rises up and makes an offering of part of the food, and pours some water from a flagon into a chalice, repeating the following ancient stanzas, which, however, are not found in the Tripitakam (Buddhist Scriptures):

Adhivāsetu no bhante
Bhesajjaṁ parikappitaṁ
Anukampaṁ upādāya
PatiGaññatū muttamaṁ
Sugandhaṁ italāṁ kappāṁ
Pasannamadhuraṁ subhaṁ
Pānīyaṁ etaṁ bhagavā
PatiGaññatū nāyako.

[Let our Lord favourably receive
This well-prepared meal:
Let him graciously
Accept this excellent offering:
This water, sweetly-scented, cool, and good,
Clear, and sweet, and bright,
Let the Blessed One,
The chief (of the worlds), accept!]

He then turns from the altar, and kneeling down close to the food which has been offered to the priesthood, proceeds to allot it to the assembled priests, who are seated on either side of the altar, saying, as he sets apart a portion for the senior of the priests present:

Ayaṁ paṭhamabhāgo mahatherassa pāpunāti avasesā bhāgā
amhākaṁ pāpunantī.

[This first portion belongs to the Mahathero, the remaining portions belong to us.]

This is repeated three times. It is an ecclesiastical act, which can only be performed by priests who are pure, i.e. who at the time are not liable to censure for any offence against the laws of the priesthood. If any one liable to such censure is present, he must retire, in which case a portion of the food will be given him apart. The priests' servants, or some of the principal villagers, now come and give the
priests their food. The portion set apart for the senior priest is handed to him, and of the remainder sufficient is given to the other priests, who leave in their bowls enough for their servants. What is over after the priests bowls are filled is given to the dancers and musicians, and to the poor. The priests take their food in silence, repeating to themselves the usual grace.*

After the evening meal the priests chew their betel, which serves the purpose of the after-dinner cigar in the West.

The priest who is to remain in was then adjourns to the preaching-hall [dhammasálá], to preach to the assembled multitude the thanksgiving sermon [anumodanadhammá]. In the middle of the hall is placed a chair with a cushion, on which the priest takes his seat, holding before his face a sort of fan, like a hand fire-screen, to prevent his attention being distracted. The people are seated on the floor, the men on one side, the women and children on the other. There is a tendency to break this rule: influential families will be seen, the men and women together, sitting in a place apart from the crowd. When the priest has taken his seat, the people say:—

Namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammá sambuddhassa.

[Praise be to the Blessed One, the Holy One, the Author of all Truth.]

The priest then gives the Three Refuges and the Five Commandments [pañca silamā], the people repeating them after him, sentence by sentence, as follows:—

The Three Refuges.
I put my trust in Buddha,
I put my trust in the Law,
I put my trust in the Church.

The Five Commandments.
Refrain from taking life,
Refrain from stealing,
Refrain from fornication,
Refrain from lying,
Refrain from using strong drinks.

* See Note supra Sakkaccam.
The priest then says:
Namo tassa bhagavato arahato samma sambuddhassa.
[Praise be to the Blessed One, the Holy One, the Author of all Truth.]
This he says three times, and then recites some favourite passage from the sayings of Buddha. The one generally selected is the

*Niddhikanḍa Sutta*.  
1. Nidhiṁ nidheti puriso gambhirë odakantike Atthe kicce samupperanne atháya me bhavissati,
2. Rájato vá duruttassa corato pílitassa vá Inássa vá pamokkháya dubbhikkhe āpadásu vá Etadattháya lokasmiṁ nidhi náma nidhiyate.
3. Táva sunihito santo gambhirë odakantike Na sabbo sabbadhá eva tassa taṁ upakappati.
4. Nidhi vá ṭháná cavati saññá vássa vimyhati Nágá vá apanámenti yakkhá vá pi haranti náṁ.
5. Appiyá vá pi dáyáda uddharanti apassato Yádá puññakkayo hoti sabbám ētaṁ vínassati,
6. Yassa dánena sálena saññyamena damena ca Nidhi sunihito hoti itthiyá purisassa vá.
7. Cetiyaṁhi ca sañghe vá puggale atitíśu vá Mátari pitari vá pi atho jetṭhamhi bhátari.
8. Eso nidhi sunihito ajeyyo anugámiko Paḥáya gamaníyesu ētaṁ ádáya gacchati.
9. Asádháraṇaññaññaññ esaṁsaññ acoraharaṇo nidhi Kayirátha dhíro puññáni yo nidhi anugámiko.
10. Esa devamanussánaṁ sabbakámadado nidhi Yaṁ yad evábhípatthenti sabbáṁ etena labbháti.
11. Suvaññatá sussaratá susaṇṭhánaśuṣupáṭatá A’dhipaccaparívára sabbáṁ etena labbháti.
13. Manussiká ca sampatti devaloke ca yá rati Yá ca nibbánaññappatti sabbáṁ etena labbháti.
14. MTTasaṁpadaṁ āgama yoniso ve payunjato Vijjá vimuttí vasibhávo sabbáṁ etena labbháti.
15. Paṭisambhidâ vimoشحنá ca yá ca sávakaññumí Pacekabodhi buddhabhúmi sabbáṁ etena labbháti.
The Hidden Treasure.*

A man buries a treasure in a deep pit, reasoning thus within himself: "When occasion arises this treasure will be of use to me,—if I am accused by the king, or plundered by robbers, or for release from debt, or in famine, or in misfortune." Such are the reasons for which men conceal what in this world is called treasure.

Meanwhile all this treasure, lying day after day concealed in a deep pit, profits him nothing.

Either the treasure vanishes from its resting place, or its owner's sense becomes distracted with care, or Nāgas remove it, or malignant spirits convey it away, or his enemies or his kinsmen dig it up in his absence. The treasure is gone when the merit that produced it is exhausted.

There is a treasure that man or woman may possess, a treasure laid up in the heart, a treasure of charity, piety, temperance, soberness.

It is found in the sacred shrine, in the priestly assembly, in the individual man, in the stranger and sojourner, in the father, the mother, the elder brother.

A treasure secure, impregnable, that cannot pass away. When a man leaves the fleeting riches of this world, this he takes with him after death.

A treasure unshared with others, a treasure that no thief can steal. Let the wise man practise virtue: this is a treasure that follows him after death.

A treasure that gives every delight to gods and men; for whatsoever they desire with this treasure it may be bought.

Bloom, a sweet voice, grace and beauty, power and pomp; all these this treasure can procure.

Sovereignty and lordship, the loved bliss of universal empire, yea, celestial rule among the gods; all these this treasure can procure.

All human prosperity, every pleasure in celestial abodes, the full attainment of Nirvāṇa; all these this treasure can procure.

Wisdom, enlightenment, tranquillity, in one who lives wisely for the sake of virtuous friends; all these this treasure can procure.

Universal science, the eight emancipations of the mind, all the

* For this translation I am indebted to Mr. Childers' beautiful rendering in his "Khuddaka Pāṭha," pp. 13 and 14.
perfections of the disciple of Buddha, supernatural knowledge, supreme Buddhahship itself; all these this treasure can procure.

Thus this possession of merit is of great and magical effect; therefore are good works praised by the wise and learned.]

The priest simply recites these stanzas once, and then one of the assembled multitude is put forward as the respondent \( \text{[pāṭiccaanadāyako; Sin. pratiuttaradennā]} \) or clerk who makes the responses. He sits or stands in a posture of adoration in front of the priest, who then proceeds to explain each stanza in clear and simple Sinhalese. He repeats the stanza in Pāli, and then explains it; and after each sentence the respondent answers in Sinhalese \( "\text{A'ma bhante}" \) \( ["\text{It is so, lord"}] \). Or, if he does not quite understand, he sometimes replies, "Pardon me, lord, I do not quite understand," or any of the listeners can ask him to say that they do not understand; and the priest proceeds to explain the meaning more fully. Whenever Nirvāna is mentioned, all the people raise their hands above their heads and shout \( "\text{Śādhu! śādhu!}" \)

This service occupies about two hours. It is closed with a benediction in Sinhalese to the following effect:

By virtue of the doctrines of Buddha, to which you have been listening, may you be born in the worlds of Brahma and of the gods, and finally attain Nirvāna! May you all share in the merit of this preaching of Buddha’s holy word!

The people then make obeisance and depart.

The priest retires to his chamber and says to himself the appointed \emph{pirit} [or devotions] for the first day of \emph{was}, namely, the \emph{Mahāgalasuttaṃ} [sources of happiness], \emph{Rattanarasuttam} [the three jewels], \emph{Karaniyamettasuttam} [good will to all]. The translation of these devotional hymns, by Mr. Childers, is as follows:

\begin{center}
\emph{The Sources of Happiness.}
\end{center}

Thus I have heard. On a certain day dwelt Buddha at Śrāvasti, at the Jetavana monastery, in the garden of Anātha-piṇḍaka. And when the night was far advanced, a certain radiant celestial being, illuminating the whole of Jetavana, approached
the Blessed One, and saluted him and stood aside. And standing aside addressed him with this verse:

Many gods and men, yearning after good, have held divers things to be blessings; say thou, what is the greatest blessing?

Buddha: To serve wise men and not serve fools, to give honour to whom honour is due; this is the greatest blessing.

To dwell in a pleasant land, to have done good deeds in a former existence, to have a soul filled with right desires; this is the greatest blessing.

Much knowledge and much science, the discipline of a well-trained mind, and a word well spoken; this is the greatest blessing.

To succour father and mother, to cherish wife and child, to follow a peaceful calling; this is the greatest blessing.

To give alms, to live religiously, to give help to relatives, to do blameless deeds; this is the greatest blessing.

To cease and abstain from sin, to eschew strong drink, to be diligent in good deeds; this is the greatest blessing.

Reverence and lowliness, contentment and gratitude, to receive religious teaching at due seasons; this is the greatest blessing.

To be long-suffering and meek, to associate with the priests of Buddha, to hold religious discourses at due seasons; this is the greatest blessing.

Temperance and chastity, discernment of the four great truths, the prospect of Nirvāṇa; this is the greatest blessing.

The soul of one unshaken by the changes of this life, a soul inaccessible to sorrow, passionless, secure; this is the greatest blessing.

They that do these things are invincible on every side—on every side they walk in safety; yea, theirs is the greatest blessing.

The Three Jewels.

All spirits here assembled,—those of earth and those of air,—let all such be joyful; let them listen attentively to my words.

Therefore hear me, O ye spirits; be friendly to the race of men, for day and night they bring you their offerings, therefore keep diligent watch over them.

Whatsoever treasure there be here or in other worlds, whatsoever glorious jewels in the heavens, there is none like Buddha. Buddha is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

Did the tranquil sage of the race of Sakya attain to the
knowledge of Nirvāṇa,—Nirvāṇa, sin-destroying, passionless, immortal, transcendent? There is nought like this doctrine. The Law is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

Did supreme Buddha extol a pure doctrine? Have holy men told of an unceasing meditation? There is nought like this doctrine. The Law is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

There are eight orders of men praised by the righteous—four that walk in the paths of holiness and four that enjoy the fruits thereof. They are the disciples of Buddha, worthy to receive gifts; in them charity obtains an abundant reward. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

Who are they that with steadfast mind, exempt from evil desire, are firmly established in the religion of Gautama? They have entered on the way of Nirvāṇa, they have bought it without price, they enjoy perfect tranquillity, they have obtained the greatest gain. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

As the pillar of a city gate resting on the earth is unmoved by the four winds of heaven, so declare I the righteous man to be he who has learnt and gazes on the four great truths. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

They that clearly understand the four great truths, wellpreached by the profoundly wise Being, however much they be distracted by the temptations of this world, they shall not again receive eight births. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

He who is blest with the knowledge of Nirvāṇa, and has cast off these three sins—vanity, and doubt, and the practice of vain ceremonies, the same is delivered from the four states of punishment, and cannot commit the six deadly sins. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

If a priest commit sin in deed, or in word, or in thought, he is wrong to conceal it, for concealment of sin is declared to be evil in one who has gained a knowledge of Nirvāṇa. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

As the tree-tops bloom in grove and forest in the first hot month of summer, so did Buddha preach for the chief good of men his glorious doctrine that leads to Nirvāṇa. Buddha is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

The noblest, the greatest of men, the finder of Nirvāṇa, the
giver of Nirvána, the bringer of Nirvána, preached his glorious Law. Buddha is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

Their old karma is destroyed, no new karma is produced. Their hearts no longer cleaving to future life, their seed of existence destroyed, their desires quenched, the righteous are extinguished like this lamp. The priesthood is this glorious jewel. May this truth bring prosperity.

Ye spirits here assembled,—those of earth and those of air,—let us bow before Buddha, the Tathágata revered by gods and men. May there be prosperity.

Ye spirits here assembled,—those of earth and those of air,—let us bow before the Law, the Tathágata revered by gods and men. May there be prosperity.

Ye spirits here assembled,—those of earth and those of air,—let us bow before the Church, the Tathágata revered by gods and men. May there be prosperity.

Good Will to All.

This is what should be done by him who is wise in seeking his own good, who has gained a knowledge of the tranquil lot of Nirvána. Let him be diligent, upright, and conscientious; meek, gentle, not vainglorious.

Contended and cheerful, not oppressed with the cares of this world, not burdened with riches; tranquil, discreet, not arrogant, not greedy for gifts.

Let him not do any mean action for which others who are wise might reprove him.

Let all creatures be happy and prosperous, let them be of joyful mind.

All beings that have life, be they feeble or strong, be they tall or of middle stature or short, be they minute or vast;

Seen or unseen, dwelling afar or near at hand, born or seeking birth; let all creatures be joyful.

Let no man in any place deceive another, nor let him be harsh towards my one; let him not out of anger or resentment wish ill to his neighbour.

As a mother, so long as she lives, watches over her child, her only child, so among all beings let boundless goodwill prevail.

Let goodwill without measure, impartial, unmixed with enmity, prevail throughout the world, above, below, around.
If a man be of this mind so long as he be awake, whether standing or walking, or sitting or lying, then is come to pass the saying, "This place is the abode of holiness."

He who has not embraced false doctrine, the pious man endowed with a knowledge of Nirvāna, if he conquers the love of pleasure he shall never again be born in the womb.

When the priest has finished his devotions he enters upon the period of reas with these words:

Imasmiṁ vihare imaṁ temāsaṁ vassaṁ upemi idha vassaṁ upemi.

[In this abode for three months will I dwell; here will I have my dwelling.]

Having said this he is not at liberty to sleep during the ensuing three months in any other place, except under special circumstances, such as having to attend a distant ecclesiastical meeting; and under no circumstances can he be away for more than six nights.

He now retires to rest for a few hours, and rises before daybreak. He meditates on the virtues of Buddha on the development of friendliness and goodwill towards all living beings, on the impurity of the body, and on death. The manual for these meditations is called the Cattārokkammaṭṭhāna.* He performs his meditations walking up and down in his own chamber or in a cloister [cankamo], and when his meditation is over he washes his face, &c., and taking with him his rug [cammakhaṇḍo; Sin. pathada] or kneeling-skin (lit., strip of skin) he goes to the building or chamber which for the time serves the purpose of the shrine of Buddha. As already explained, a figure of Buddha or a relic-casket is kept in this place: here he worships Buddha, kneeling on his rug, and prostrating himself in front of the shrine, and says:

Vandāmi Buddhaṁ bhavapāратinnāṁ
Tilokaketuṁ tibhavekanāthaṁ
Yo lokaseṭṭho sakalaṁ kilesaṁ
Chetvāṁ bodhesi janaṁ anantaṁ.

* Hardy is in error when he says ("Eastern Monachism" p. 28) that this book is a manual of meditation on "Buddha, kindness, evil desire, and death."
Yañ nammadáya nadiyá puline ca thíre
Yañ saccabaddhagirike sumanecalagge
Yañ tattha yonakapure munino ca pádañ
tañ pádaláñicana mahañ sírasá namámi.

Suvaññamálike suvañnapabbate sumanakúte yonakapure
nammadáya nadiyá tañ ca pádavarañ ñhánam ahañ vandámi
dúrato ahañ vandámi dháñuñyo, ahañ vandámi sabbaso.
Vandámi cetiyañ sahañ
Sabbatháñesu patiñthitañ
Sársrikadhátumahá bodhiñ
Buddharúpañ sakalañ sadá.
Icc-evam accanamamassaníyyam
Namassamáno ratanattayañ yañ
Puññañbhisandañ vipulañ aladhañ
Tass-únubhávena hatantaráyo.
Buddhe cittapasádena
Dhamme sañghe ca yo naro
Kappáñi satasañassáñi
Duggatiñ so nagaccati.

[Worship Buddha who has passed beyond the regions of
existence,
Who is like a banner to the three worlds—their noblest chief,
The saviour who, by overcoming all sin,
Has been the teacher of countless men.
In reverence of the foot-print of the holy sage,
In the sandy desert of the Yon country on the banks of the

Nerudda,
On the rock in Siam, on Adam’s Peak,
Do I bow my forehead to the ground.

That spot made sacred by the holy footstep on the Yavana’s
golden sands by Nerudda’s banks, on the gilded rock, on the
bank of Sumana, I worship from afar. I worship the relics; I
worship in every way.

I worship continually all the relic-shrines,
Whatever they may be—
The relics of his body, the sacred Bó-tree,
And all the images of Buddha.
Thus exceedingly in all fitting ways
I show reverence for the three jewels.
By the greatness of the merit thus acquired—
By the wondrous power of such merit—all dangers
are removed.
If any man will purify his thoughts
And think on Buddha, the Law, and the Church,
In thousands and thousands of years,
The lot of sin shall not be his.]

The priest now rises from his knees, and tastefully
arranges his offering of flowers on the altar, saying—

Vaññagandha guñupetañ
Etan kusuma santatiñ
Pujayami munindassasa
Siripadasaroruhe.
[These fresh flowers,
Endued with colour and perfume,
I offer at the lotus feet
Of the Lord of Sages.]

One of the villagers now brings him in a bowl a small
portion of the morning meal, which he places on the altar.
The offering is made in the same way as the evening meal,
except that bhojanañ is substituted for bhesañjam in the
stanza Adhivāsetu, &c. This being concluded, the priest
proceeds to the refectory, where he is served with his morn-
ing meal. He gives the benediction in Pāli and in Sinhalese,
as at the evening meal. He takes this meal also in
silence, repeating to himself the grace (Piñḍapātam, &c.)
for food.

After this meal he devotes himself to instruction or study.
If he has any pupils he reads with them, or teaches the
children of the villagers, or he prepares for preaching
bana in the evening.

Before mid-day he proceeds to the image-house, and
there offers a portion of the mid-day meal in the same way
as the morning meal was offered, and his meal is then served
to him in the refectory in the manner already described.

After this meal he goes to the image-house to worship,
repeating the stanzas “I worship Buddha,” &c. [“Vandāmi
Buddham,” &c.], but on this occasion he makes no offering
of flowers. He then meditates on the ten objects (Dasa Dhammā), by aid of the Dasa Dhamma suttaṁ, which is as follows:—


1. Vevanniyaṁhi ajjhūpagato ti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
2. Parapaṭiṁbaddā me jīvikā ti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
3. Añño me ākappo karaṇī yo ti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
4. Kacei nu kho me attā sīlato n'upavadatīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
5. Kacei nu kho maṁ anuvicca viṁśū
Sabrahamacārī sīlato n'upavadantīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
6. Sabhaḥi me piyehi manāpehi
Nāṇaṁ bhāvo vinā bhāvo ti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
7. Kammassa koṁhi kammadāyādo
Kammayoni kammabandhu
Kammapiṭisarano yaṁ kammaṁ karissāmi
Kalyāṇaṁ vā pāpakaṁ vā tassa
Dāyādo bhavissāmīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
8. Katham bhūtassa me rattīṁdivā vīṭpatantīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
9. Kacei nu kho'haṁ suṁñāgāre abhiramāmīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.
10. Atthi nu kho me uttarimanussadhammā
Alamariyaṁanadassanu viseso adhigato
So'haṁ paccime kāle sabrahamacārīhi puṭṭho na maṁku
bhavissāmīti
Pabbajitena abhinhaṁ pacevakkhitabbaṁ.

Ime kho bhikkhave dasadhammā pabbajitena abhinham pacevakkhitabbā ti. Idaṁ avoca bhagava. Attamanā te bhikkū bhagavato bhāsitaṁ abhinandun ti.
[The Ten Objects.

Thus I have heard. On a certain day Buddha dwelt at Sravasti, in the Jetavana monastery, in the garden of Anathapindika. On that occasion Buddha called the priests, and they answered “Lord”; and the Blessed One thus spoke:

These, my priests, are the ten objects which must be continually kept in view by an ordained priest:

1. Beauty is nothing to me,—neither the beauty of the body nor that that comes of dress.
2. My very existence is dependent on the bounty of others.
3. My way must be different from that of others.
4. Shall not my conscience be my accuser for neglect of the precepts?
5. Will not learned and pious followers of Buddha, after questioning me, blame me for neglect of the precepts?
6. By repeated births I shall be separated from all whom I love, from all who are dear to me.
7. I am bound up with karma. I am the heir of karma. I am born of karma. I am karma’s close relation. Karma is my helper. I inherit the fruit of my every action, whether good or bad.
8. How shall I pass my days and nights in this mortal life?
9. How comes it that I delight in abodes removed from the haunts of men?
10. Have I attained to more than human perfection? If I attain to the full or perfect knowledge of sublime wisdom, in my last moments I shall be able to say, in answer to the inquiries of the righteous, that I die contented.

These, my priests, are the ten objects on which the thoughts of an ordained priest should dwell.

Thus spake the Blessed One. Those priests greatly rejoiced at the words of Buddha.

Having finished his devotions he retires to his chamber and rests.

If any villagers desire to hear portions of the historical chronicles, or of the stories of the births of Buddha, or of the Three Pitakas, the priest is now ready to read to them. This is called the mid-day bana. He then gives his pupils
further instructions, or devotes himself to study. Before sunset he again goes to worship at the image-house, and makes an offering of flowers. An Upasampadá priest cannot pluck the flowers for himself; they must be provided for him. After making his offering, or if he is not provided with flowers, after the prayer Vandámi Buddhám, &c., he repeats the three sutras—Maṅgala suttam, Ratana suttam, Karaniyametta suttam. He then offers a small portion of the evening meal, and after his meal is finished he goes to the preaching hall, and if any people are assembled he preaches bana in the same way as on the previous night. It is, however, only called Anumodana bana on the first night. Sometimes there are no attendants at this evening bana, but the villagers never fail to attend on the evenings of the four póya days, which hold in the Buddhist system very much the same place as the Sabbath in the Jewish Church. Before going to bed he meditates on the virtues of Buddha, and says the grace Senásavam, &c., as he lies down.

This is an example of the way every day is spent during the three months of vas. The villagers arrange amongst themselves how the priest’s wants shall be supplied during this period. It is usual for the householders to take the duty in turn, a day at a time. It is the duty of the householder for the day to provide all the meals, flowers for offerings, oil for the lamps, &c. There is often a little generous rivalry in providing for the priest’s comfort, and no one is ever known to avoid his turn. It is in a way a high festival for the priest.

On the new moon and full moon days, the priest must go to the nearest simaṁ [consecrated place] to join in the pátimokkhá. If he cannot return the same night, before he leaves the place he must give notice that he will not return that night in these words (repeated thrice):

Sace me antaráyo n‘atthi sattáh’ abbhantare puna nivattissámi.

[If no accident happens within the next seven days I shall again stay here.]

If from any cause he is prevented from returning before
seven nights have elapsed, the *was* is broken, and cannot be resumed.

After three months have passed, the *was* season comes to an end. This is on the full moon day of *A'ssayujo* [September—October], on which the priest attends the fortnightly chapter as usual, and after the *Prátimoksha* has been read as far as the end of the section *Aniyatádhammu*, a midnight service is usually held.

He kneels down and says:—

Namo tassa, &c. Sañgham bhante paváremi. Dittána vá Suttena vá parisankáya vá vadanta mam ayasmanto anukampam upádáya.

[Lords, I have finished the *was*. Venerable Sirs, if you have any doubt from what you have heard or seen, in mercy to me speak (and say in what I have offended).]

This is repeated three times.

If no one speaks he is free from blame and returns to the place where he was in *was* to take part in the midnight service, which is usually held on this day. These midnight services are called by the Sinhalese *rátri bana* [night-preaching], and are the services generally known by Europeans under the name of *Bana*.

On these occasions, the people from the neighbouring villages, dressed in their holiday attire, attend in great numbers. The priest invites another priest to join him, as two are required for this service. After their evening meal, each is presented with a robe which he puts on, and they are then conducted under a canopy, with music and flags, from their lodgings to the preaching hall, in the middle of which two pulpits are prepared for them. The pulpits are made of four upright posts supporting a platform about four feet square, and a canopy above. The platform is hip-high from the ground. Cushions are placed on the platform. The pulpits and the hall are tastefully decorated with flags, palms, ferns, and flowers. The priests take their places in front of the pulpits, holding their hand-screens before their faces, while the people make obeisance and say, "*Sádhu! sádhu! sádhu!*" The priests then say:—
Ichitaṁ pathitaṁ tuyhaṁ
Khippaṁ eva samijjhatu
Purentu cittasaṅkappā
Cando pannarasi yathā.

[May your desire and your happiness
Soon be accomplished,
May your hopes be fulfilled
Like as the moon becomes round.]

They are then lifted into their pulpits, on which they sit cross-legged. One priest has with him a copy of a portion of the Sutta piṭakaṁ, from which he reads, while the other expounds it to the people in Sinhalese. The reader recites the Three Refuges and the Five Commandments, which the people repeat after him, and he then summons the gods to attend and hear the words of Buddha:—

Sagge káme ca rúpe girisikhara taṭe cántalikkhe vimáne
Dipe raṭṭhe ca gáme taruvana gahane gehavaṭṭhamhi khette.
Bhumyá c’áyantu devá jalathala visame yaktha gandhabbhánaga
Jiṭṭhantá santike ’dam munivaravacanaṁ sádhavo me sunantu.

[Let the gods of heaven and of earth, those that dwell in the Brahma world, the deities that keep guard over mountain tops, and lakes, and ponds, and those who have their mansions in the sky, and the tutelar deities of the countries of India, of our villages, our trees, our forests, our houses, our gardens, our fields, and the earth-born, the dwelling in swamps, malignant spirits, the dancers of the god-world, and dragons,—let all draw near and listen with pious reverence to these words of the renowned sage.]

The expounder or preacher then says, “Namo tassa,” &c.
[“Praise be,” &c.], and recites some stanzas in praise of the Three Jewels. He either selects stanzas from Buddhaghosa’s or other Commentaries, or sometimes he recites stanzas of his own composition. The reader then reads a few stanzas, and the preacher explains them sentence by sentence in Sinhalese, but in learned language which only a few understand.

This is continued for about five hours, and the reading comes to an end. The respondent [paṭivacana dáyako] now comes forward, and the preacher preaches to the people in
plain and simple language, taking the *sutra* that has been read as his text. The people are at liberty to seek explanations of any difficulty, as at the *Anumodana bana*. This continues till the preacher has gone through the whole of the matter suggested by the passage which has been read, and the reading and expounding begin again as before, and are followed as before by a popular sermon. The service ends at daybreak, and is closed with a benediction as in the *Anumodana bana*.

The *Kattiko*, called in Sinhalese the robe month (October—November), has now begun, and on some convenient day the material for the *kathina* is presented. The people ascertain beforehand which of the three robes the priest is in need of, and they subscribe, everyone giving something, to purchase the required calico or linen.

A chapter is summoned for the appointed day, and early in the morning the people bring their offering of cloth for the *kathina* (*kathina dussati*), with a procession of flags and musicians, and present it to the priests in chapter assembled, saying, "*Imaṃ kathina dussati saṅghassa dema*" ["We present this robe cloth to the priesthood"].

The priests receive it, and consult together to determine to whom it shall be given. It should be given to the one who is most in want of it, but in practice it is always given to the one who has been in *was* at the place where it is presented. The question, however, is regularly put to the chapter, and a resolution [*natti*] is passed allotting it to him. On these occasions it is necessary that eight or ten priests should assemble, as the robe must be dyed and completed before sunset, and only ordained priests can be engaged on the work. The laity supply everything that is required—dye, scissors, thread, needles, &c.

After the resolution has been passed, the priests proceed to make the robe. The cloth is cut, if for the outer robe [*saṅghāti*] into thirty pieces, if for the upper robe [*uttara-rasamīgo*] into fifteen pieces, if for the under robe [*antaravāsako*] into fifteen pieces—and the pieces are sewn together into the proper shape. The robe is then washed
and dyed yellow, and, if practicable, dried in the sun. When this is done, the priests resume their seats in chapter, and the priest to whom the robe has been allotted takes it, and kneeling, says, “Imam saṅghātiṃ adhīthāmi” [“I appropriate this robe”], and he proceeds to mark it, saying, “Imam kappabindum karomi” [“I put this mark upon it”]; he then puts it over his knee, saying, “Imam saṅghātiṃ attharāmi” [“I spread out this robe”].

It is now a kathinām—a robe made by a chapter of priests in a single day from cloth presented to the chapter in the morning, and publicly appropriated to the sole use of one of their body before sunset. The practice is to finish before sunset; the ordinances of Buddha allow one day—i.e., from sunrise to sunrise. In ancient times, lands and other valuable presents were given on these occasions; all such presents went to the priest to whom the kathinām was assigned.

The priests who assembled for the occasion return to their respective monasteries, and the priest who was in was preaches a thanksgiving sermon called Kathinānisaṅso. The subject, of course, is the benefits which the faithful derive from the kathina offering. The order of proceeding does not differ in any material respect from that observed at the Anumodana dhammā at the beginning of was.

Before the priest is conducted back to his monastery, it is necessary that he should be presented with the eight requisites of a priest [āṭṭhaparikkhārō], viz., the three robes, a waist-belt, an alms-bowl, a razor, a water-strainer, and a needle.

Before these necessaries are presented, it is customary, if the people can afford it, to close the priest’s stay in the village by a religious exercise, lasting seven days and seven nights, called in Siuhalessi the Mahā bana pirit, or the Seven days’ pirit.

Great preparations are made for this ceremony. The priest sends invitations to the more learned priests in the neighbourhood, to the number of twenty-five or thirty; not less than twenty-four are required. The preaching hall is,
decorated for the occasion, and a gorgeous canopy, in the shape of a Chinese pagoda, is erected over the pulpits, which are placed on a raised platform. Temporary lodgings for the invited priests are put up round the preaching hall.

The invited priests are told to assemble at some convenient place in the afternoon, where the people go out to meet them. They are brought in procession, preceded by musicians and dancers, and are conducted to the refectory, where the evening meal is served with the same formalities as are observed, where several priests are assembled, at the beginning of _vas_. They are then conducted in procession to the preaching hall, with the _pirit_ book and a relic-casket. The priests go in single file, each priest holding his hand-screen before his face. When the procession has reached the hall, some of the leading laymen place the book and the relic-casket on a raised platform in front of the pulpit, and the priests then take their seats on the platform round the pulpits. No deacon or layman is allowed on the platform, which is made only of sufficient size to accommodate the number of priests who are invited.

The priests being seated, a layman standing near the principal entrance says, addressing the priests on behalf of the people:

Vipatti paṭibāhāya
Sabbasampatti siddhiyā
Sabbadukkhavināsāya
Parittaṁ brūtha maṅgalaṁ.

This is repeated three times, substituting for _dukkha_ in line 3, _bhaya_ the second time, and _roga_ the third time.

[To keep misfortune far away,
To bring us all good fortune,
To destroy all disease, fear, sorrow,
Recite the glorious _pirit_.]

One of the priests then gives the Three Refuges and the Five Commandments. When this is over some of the laymen burn incense round the platform, and the musicians who are kept outside the hall strike up an inspiring air.
One of the assembled priests now summons the gods to attend, using the formula already given above (Sage nāme ca, &c.), after the usual formula of adoration—

Namo tassa bhagavato arahato sammā sambuddhassā.

[Praise be to the blessed one, the holy one, the author of all truth.]

All the priests chant the Paṭiccasamuppāda, or the doctrine of the production of the successive causes of existence:

Avijjā paccayā saṅkhārā paccayā viññānaṁ viññānapaccayā nāmarupaṁ, nāmarūpapaccayā salāyatanaṁ, salāyatana-paccayā phasso, phassapaccayā vedanā, vedanāpaccayā taṇhā, taṇhāpaccayā upādānaṁ, upādānapaccayā bhavo bhavapaccayā jāti, jātipaccayā jāramaraṇaṁ, soka—parideva—dukkha—domanassupāyāsā sambhavanti—evaṁ etassa kevalassa dukkhabhante.

[Of ignorance, ignorance that is of suffering, its origin, its destruction, and the road leading to its destruction, come accumulations of merit and demerit by deed and word, and thought of these accumulations of merit and demerit comes consciousness, which has its birth by means of the eye, the ear, the nose, the tongue, the body, and the mind; of this consciousness comes mind and body; of the mind and body come the six organs of sense, namely, those of the eye, the ear, the nose, the tongue, the body, and the mind; of these six organs come the six modes of contact; of contact comes sensation; of sensation comes evil desire; of evil desire comes attachment to sensible objects; of this attachment to sensible objects comes existence; of existence comes birth; of birth comes decay and death, sorrow and weeping, suffering and grief, and prostration. Such is the origin of this whole aggregation of sufferings.

By the destruction of all lusts which arise on account of ignorance, the accumulations of merit and demerit are destroyed,
by the destruction of these accumulations of merit and demerit consciousness is destroyed, by the destruction of consciousness the mind and body are destroyed, by the destruction of the mind and body the six organs of sense are destroyed, by the destruction of the six organs of sense contact is destroyed, by the destruction of contact sensation is destroyed, by the destruction of sensation evil desire is destroyed, by the destruction of evil desire attachment to sensible objects is destroyed, by the destruction of attachment to sensible objects existence is destroyed, by the destruction of existence birth is destroyed, by the destruction of birth, decay and death, sorrow and weeping, suffering and grief, and prostration are destroyed. Such is the destruction of this whole aggregation of sufferings.]

A hymn of victory is then chaunted, and the service is closed by all the priests together chaunting the Ratana suttām and the Karaniyametta suttām. This is the end of the service on the eve of the pirit festival.

Next morning, at daybreak, all the priests assemble in the preaching hall, and two of them take their seats on the pulpits. Of these two, one reads the first portion of the pirit book to the end of the Dasadhamma suttām. They then descend from the pulpits and take their places with the other priests on the platform, and all chaunt together the Māṅgala suttām, Ratana suttām, and Karaniyametta suttām. Two other priests then take their places in the pulpits, and continue the reading of the pirit. The other priests return to their lodgings. The reading of pirit is continued day and night, interrupted only by the assembling of all the priests three times a day to chaunt the three sutras, and by the relief of the readers every three Sinhalese pēyas (equal to about two and a quarter English hours). The two read the pirit together in Pāli; no explanation of the meaning is given. During the pirit week the congregation is, of course, constantly changing. The people come and go as they please. The largest attendance is in the morning, at noon, in the evening,—when the priests assemble to chaunt the three sutras.

The first portion of the pirit book is read through from end to end over and over again, till the night of the sixth
day, when the second portion, containing seven sutras, comes into reading.

On the morning of the seventh day a procession is formed, and a written invitation is sent by a special messenger, who accompanies the procession to one of the temples of the gods [deviyō], inviting the gods and the four guardian deities of Ceylon to attend. On the return of the procession, the messenger reports that the gods are in attendance, and one of god's priests utters a benediction. After this the Aṭānātiya suttam is read by the priests, four and four at a time, again and again till daybreak on the eighth day. The Ratana suttam and the Karaniyametta suttam are chanted, the benediction is given, and the spirit service is ended. The priests who took part in the service receive presents, each a robe or twenty cubits of cloth, and depart to their respective monasteries.

The priest who was in was receives the eight requisites, and is conducted in procession with the relic-casket and his books back to his monastery with the same marks of respects as were shown when he was brought down to the village.

2.—The "Jivadānam," or Pinkama by a man whose end is approaching.

This pinkama, called in Sinhalese godâne, takes place when a man thinks himself soon about to die. The priest of the village vihârē [temple] officiates. A few days' notice is usually given, and on the appointed day the man's sons and relations go in the evening to the vihârē with an offering of flowers, oil, and necessaries for the evening meal. After making their offering at the vihârē they go to the priest's residence, and thence conduct the priest with his bana book to the house, where a temporary preaching hall is made ready. After the priest has finished his evening meal, the sick man and his relations assemble to hear bana. On these occasions the priest reads and explains some portions of a Sinhalese book, such as the Ratanaâvâliya. This lasts for about six English hours. If the priest's residence is near he returns to it, if not he retires to rest at the house.
Next morning the priest is supplied with his morning meal. His mid-day meal is made ready with great ceremony, and when it is over the following offerings are made: a brass water-pot, a lamp, a spitting pot, a bill-hook, a mammoty, an adze, an axe, a chisel, a mat and a pillow, a basin and a plate, some fruit and some cooked food, and the usual small boxes in which the articles used by betel-chewers are carried—in short, all the ordinary articles of daily use. They are put down in front of the priest, and a piece of calico 16 or 20 cubits long is brought out; one end of it is given to the priest, and the sick man's relations hold on to the other end. The relations then say:—

To the venerable priest who is here present as the representative of the holy succession of priests who have received ordination uninterruptedly from the times of Sāriputta Maha Moggalāno, we make this offering in behalf of our father Punchirála in order that he may be born in the world of gods, and finally attain the eternal rest of Nirvāna.

The cloth is put down with the offerings, and the priest says "Ichkitasā patthitam," &c.

-May your desire and your happiness
-Soon be accomplished,
-May your hopes be fulfilled
-Like as the moon becomes round.

Panámena sāraṁ sarirássassa dhírá
Padánena dánaṁ dhanánaṁ sapañño
Susílena sáraṁ saḍá jívitassa
Gahetúna viññú na socanti paccá.

[By reverence do the wise secure health for their bodies,
By almsgiving do they lay up treasures for themselves,
By piety do they secure for ever prosperity of life;
The wise have not sorrow at their death.]

After giving the benediction the priest rises to depart, and is conducted back to his residence with the offerings he has received, which are borne in procession, accompanied by music and dancers.

When a man is at the point of death he generally sends for the priest, who, after repeating the Three Refuges and the Five Commandments, reads to him that portion of the
Satipaṭṭhāna suttāṁ which sets forth the unprofitableness of having regard for the body.

3.—The "Mataka-dānaṁ."

When a man dies he is buried by his friends quietly; a priest awaits the arrival of the body at the grave; the body is dressed in the ordinary dress of the deceased, and is placed on sticks at the top of the grave. The cloth which covers it is removed and presented to the priest, who says:

Aniccā vata saṁkhārā,
Uppādavayadhāmmo,
Uppajjītā nirujjhanti
Tesaṁ vūpasamo sukhā.

[Assuredly all that are born
Decay and pass away,
They are born and they cease to exist,
Their rest is happiness.]

The priest departs, taking with him the cloth; the friends of the deceased remain to bury the body. The important ceremony after a death is the Mataka-dānaṁ, or pinkama, for the release of the spirit of the departed from purgatory. A man after death may be born again: (a) in the world of gods; or (b) as man or an animal; or (c) in one of the places of torment; or (d) as a sprite or kind of hobgoblin [peto]. These sprites are of four kinds: (i) Vananāsiko, who live on loathsome and disgusting food; (ii) Khuppipāsiko, who are never able to relieve the cravings of thirst and hunger; (iii) Nijjhāmatanāhiko, whose bellies are always burning like a hollow tree which has been set on fire; (iv) Paradattūpiko, who derive benefit from offerings made in their behalf. It is this class only which can be benefited by the Mataka-dānaṁ. In all other cases the merit of the offerings benefits the givers. If a relative has been as a Paradattūpikapeto, by the merit of this ceremony he is speedily released from his birth as a sprite, and is born again in the world of gods or the world of men.

4.

About a month or six weeks after a man’s death his
relatives generally perform this *pinkama*. The preliminaries are the same as in the *Jivadánam* already described. The priest brings with him a Sinhalese book, called the *Preta-kathávastu-pota*, from which he reads after the evening meal. One of the most striking passages in this book is the Sinhalese translation of the *Tirokuḍḍa sutta*.

**The Spirits of the Departed.**

They stand outside our dwellings, at our windows, at the corners of our streets; they stand at our doors, revisiting their old homes. When abundant food and drink is set before them, by reason of the past sins of these departed ones, their friends on earth remember them not.

Yet do such of their kinsmen as are merciful bestow upon them at due seasons food and drink, pure, sweet, and suitable. Let this be done for your departed friends, let them be satisfied.

Then, gathering together here, the assembled spirits of our kinsmen rejoice greatly in a plentiful repast.

“Long,” they say, “may our kinsmen live through whom we have received these things; to us offerings are made and the givers are not without reward.”

For in the land of the dead there is no husbandry, no keeping of flocks, no commerce as with us, no trafficking for gold; the departed live in that world by what they receive in this.

As water fallen from a height descends into the valley, so surely do alms bestowed by men benefit the dead.

As the brimming rivers fill the oceans, so do alms bestowed by men benefit the dead.

Let a man consider thus—“Such a one gave me this gift, such a one wrought me this good deed; they were my kinsmen, my friends, my associates.” Then let him give alms to the dead, mindful of past benefits.

For weeping and sorrow and all manner of lamentation are of no avail; if their relatives stand thus sorrowing it benefits not the dead.

But this charity bestowed by you, well secured in the priesthood, if it long bless the dead, then does it benefit them indeed.

And the fulfilment of this duty to relatives, to the dead is a great service rendered, to the priests a great strength given, by you no small merit acquired.
NOTE.

Sakkaccam.—When a priest receives food he should repeat to himself the following grace (sakkaccam refers to its repetition):

Paṭisaṅkhā yoniso piṇḍapātaṁ paṭisevāmi n'eva davaṁya na madāya na maṇḍanāya na vibhūsanāya yāvad eva imassa kāyassa tḥitiyā yāpanāya vihiṁsu-paratīyā brahma-cariyānuṅgagahāya iti purāṇaṁ ca vedanaṁ paṭihaṅkhāmi navaṁ ca vedanaṁ na uppū- dessāmi yātrā ca me bhavissati anavajjatta ca phāsu vihāro cāti.

[In real wisdom I take my food; not for amusement, not for sensual enjoyment, not that my skin may be soft, not for beauty—only to keep life in this body, for the subjugation of the passions and as a help to a holy life. Thus I overcome my former pains (i.e., the pains of hunger), and give not rise to new (i.e., those which come from indulgence of the appetites). Thus will my journey (i.e., on the way to Nirvāṇa) be blameless and my dwelling happy.]

This grace is an elaboration of the sentiment expressed in the homely phrase "eat to live, do not live to eat." As Charles Lamb observes in his essays of Elia, "the proper object of the grace is sustenance, not relishes; daily bread, not delicacies; the means of life, and not the means of pampering the carcass."

The Buddhists do not confine the custom of saying grace to dinner: they have a grace for each of the four requisites of a priest. For robes:

Paṭisaṅkhā yoniso cīvarāṁ paṭisevāmi yāvadeva sītassa paṭi- ghātāya unḥassa paṭighāṭāya daṁsanakasa vatátapasiṁ sapa- samphassānaṁ paṭighāṭāya yāvad eva hirikopīna-paṭiccha-danan- thāṁ.

[In wisdom I wear my robes, as a protection against cold, as a protection against heat, as a protection against gadflies and mosquitoes, wind and sun, and the touch of serpents, to cover nakedness.]
For a place of abode and the like, such as beds, chairs, &c.:

Paṭīsaṅkhā yoniso senāsanaṁ paṭīsevāmi yāvad eva sitassa paṭīghātaya uṇhassa paṭīghātaya dāṁsa-makasa-vātātapa-siruṁsa pasamphassānaṁ paṭīghātaya yāvad eva utuparissaya vinodanaṁ paṭīsallānārāmatthaṁ.

[In wisdom I occupy this abode as a protection against cold, as a protection against heat, as a protection against gadflies and mosquitoes, wind and sun, and the touch of serpents, to keep off the inclemency of the seasons, for the sake of peace of mind.]

And for medicines and the like:

Paṭīsaṅkhā yoniso gilānapaccayabhesajja-parikkhāraṁ paṭīsevāmi yāvad eva uppannānaṁ veyyābdhikānaṁ vedanānaṁ paṭīghātaya abhyāpajjhahaparamatāyatī.

[In wisdom I take medical requisites and drugs for the cure of sickness and the ills that arise therefrom, to secure the blessing of freedom from suffering.]
THE LANGUAGE OF THE THRESHING-FLOOR.*

By J. P. Lewis, Esq., c.c.s.

(Read 12th February, 1884.)

The use of a conventional language when engaged in the various operations of paddy cultivation is not confined to the Kandyans, but prevails more or less among paddy cultivators† throughout the Island, both Sinhalese and Tamil.‡ For instance, I found that it existed among the Sinhalese of the Balapiṭiya and Taṅgalla Districts of the Southern, and among the Tamils of the Northern Province, while Mr. Bell met with the same custom in the Rayigam and Siyanė Koralės of the Western Province. Nor is it only when engaged in paddy cultivation that the Sinhalese make use of a conventional language. The same custom is observed to some extent, at any rate by pilgrims, during their pilgrimages to Adam’s Peak, Tissamaharāma, Katarama, and other sacred places. The reason for this custom is that given by Mr. Ievers, viz., to guard against giving the yaksayō§ an opportunity of taking advantage in any way of what is being said to the prejudice of the speakers. Thus, I have been informed, it does not do to speak of “tree,” for instance, by the word gaha, on account

---

* Sinh., கொயிட்டெஸ் [goyibāe]; Tamil, புலசெஸ் [kālappēchchu]. The Tamil name is the exact equivalent of the title of this Paper.

† When the people are engaged in reaping, threshing, or measuring corn, they converse with each other in a peculiar language, which is only understood by those who are cultivators. Those who are not acquainted with these terms could not speak in a threshing-floor without giving offence.—(Correspondent of Ceylon Observer.)

‡ Doubtless the same practice prevails among the Madras Tamils, and probably among paddy cultivators throughout India.

§ In the place of the yaksayō of the Sinhalese, the Tamils have the kulīs (குலிகால்—I do not mean the ‘labourers,’ who are குலிஸ் [kulikal]). They and the yaksayō correspond, as Mr. Ievers says, in many respects to our malicious fairies or ‘good people.’
of the resemblance that word bears to gahanavá ["to beat"], lest a yaksayá who happened to be just within hearing should (whether purposely or not I am not aware) make an unfortunate mistake as to the word used, with consequences unpleasant to the talkers! * 'Tree' is therefore spoken of as pelétiya, a word which ordinarily means "a small plant of any kind." So for yanavá ["go"], they say issaraha balanavá ["look ahead"], and for katuvak enamá ["a thorn has pricked me"] the expression used is parandalak issarauvá, meaning literally, 'a dried leaf has come forward.' For bara ["heavy"] in this lingo its opposite, seheltu ["light"], is substituted. Karuná karānava ["to be kind"] has several curious significations. Thus, umbalā mehe karunákale havadáda means 'when did you come here?' (lit., 'favour this place with your presence'); and api hetā gamaṭa karunākaranda hitá innavá means 'we are thinking of returning home to-morrow.' Perhaps these expressions are used by people who wish to be extraordinarily polite to each other; but it is carrying politeness to an excess to say for 'a tick is biting me,' ibbek karunākaranavá ["a tortoise is doing me a favour"].

There seem some grounds for believing that, with the progress of education, this practice is gradually dying out both among Sinhalese and Tamil cultivators. It is very often only in the memories of the elders of the village, or of the pitisara minissu ["country people"] that the words still linger. The words used by the Sinhalese cultivators are not to my knowledge to be found in book or manuscript of any kind, but are handed down from generation to generation.† Hence it is, perhaps, just as well that as

* "A man will not use the word 'take' lest the yaksayó should consider it as a permission, and steal the paddy."—Mr. Ievers, in C. A. S. Journal, 1880, p. 50.

† I may here remark that although several of the Tamil conventional words and expressions are given in Winslow's and in Spalding's Dictionaries, I have not been able to find any of the Sinhalese words (except those ordinary words, which are used by the cultivators with a different and conventional meaning) in either Clough's or Bridgnell's Dictionaries.
many of these conventional words as possible should be recorded before they are quite forgotten. Every word of this kind, however primitive or uncouth, may have its use in the study of the development and philology of the Sinhalese language. With this object, I have added some words used in the Districts of Balapitiya and Tangalla to the vocabularies of Mr. Levers and Mr. Bell already printed, and I append also a list of Tamil words from the different divisions of the Jaffna District. I have endeavoured where possible to show the meanings and derivations of the words.

I annex also some goyiya songs from Balapitiya District, with free translations.

From an examination of these lists the following remarks are suggested:

1. It may be inferred from the fact that the same words, or words very similar, are used with the same meanings in districts wide apart from one another, that there is an established conventional language used by all Sinhalese cultivators, and that this language is not of recent origin.

2. Most of the words appear to be merely nicknames coined for the purpose, generally from some peculiarity or characteristic of the objects to which they are applied. This is especially the case with the names given to animals, some of which are comical enough. Thus, a grey monkey is a 'tree stag,' while a brown one is 'the cougher.' A chetah appears to be 'the old man of the woods' [bedde muttā]. It is not clear why, in Tangalla, the bear should

---

* An explanation of the meanings of most of the words in the Kegalla List appeared in the Ceylon Observer of 5th December, 1882.
† Thus, in Sinhalese: 'whiteness' [suduwa] for 'chunam'; 'sweetness' [rāhatiwa] for 'jaggery'; 'redness' [rattā] for 'fire'; 'the intoxicator' [matharanga] for 'tobacco'; 'black-water' [kālu vatura] for 'arrack'; 'white-water' [sudu vatura], or 'sediment-water' [bora vatura], for 'toddy'; 'the cutter' [kapanna] for 'knife,' &c.; and in Tamil: 'the runner' [odakkāran] for 'chaff'; 'the inflamer' [sulliduvān] for chunam; 'the rustler' [surasorappon] 'for mat'; 'the joiner' [ajduvān] for 'rope'; 'the accountant' [kānakkan] for 'lācham measure.'
be at once a ‘village elder’ [gamayá], and ‘the donkey’ [haludcévá]. More respect is perhaps paid by the Kandyans to the boar; he is pre-eminently ‘the quadruped’ [hatarayá]. It cannot be said that the names given to the cock—‘the ground scratcher’ [binpahurá] and ‘the crower’ [anda-lanná]; or by both Siēhalese and Tamils to the elephant, ‘the great animal’ [Siēh. mahabólá, Tam. perumpólan], are inappropriate. So the Tamil cultivators call the ox ‘the walker’ [naadaiyan].

3. In some instances the system adopted seems to be merely to call the object by some word which ordinarily has a different meaning; thus a tick is called ‘tortoise’ [ibbá], a thorn ‘dried leaf’ [parandala], &c. Sometimes, indeed, things are called by their contraries, or after qualities the reverse of those which they possess, as for instance sevellu [“light”] for bara [“heavy”]; and miriyá [“sweetness”] for lunu [“salt”].

4. A peculiarity which is characteristic of both the Siēhalese and Tamil threshing-floor language is the paucity of verbs and the different significations of which each verb used is capable. Thus, in Siēhalese, jayakaranavá is used both for ‘to eat’ and ‘to drink,’ and it is the same in Tamil, where kodâppikka means either ‘to eat’ or ‘to drink ;’ it also means ‘to smoke (tobacco)’ and ‘to chew (betel).’ Puravanavá, in Siēhalese, means ‘to eat,’ ‘to drink,’ ‘to go’ (Kégalla), and ‘to place,’ and

---

* Similar nicknames are to be found in ordinary Siēhalese. A mantis is tanagiravá, [“the grass parrot”], and there is another insect, a kind of grasshopper, I believe, called bim-úrú [“the ground boar.”] Sir John Lubbock remarks (“Origin of Civilization,” pp.321–2):—“Every one who has paid any attention to children, or schoolboys, must have observed how nicknames often derived from slight and even fanciful characteristics are seized on, and soon adopted by general consent. Hence, even if root-words had remained with little alteration, we should still be often puzzled to account for their origin. I believe they arose in the same way as the nicknames and new slang terms of our own day. These we know are often selected from some similarity of sound, or connection of ideas, often so quaint, fanciful, or far-fetched, that we are unable to recall the true origin even of words which have arisen in our own time.”
udavukaranavā ‘to eat’ and ‘to kindle (a fire).’ The verbs, in fact, seem to be purely euphemistic words selected entirely without reference to their having any, or no, resemblance in meaning to the words in place of which they are used. Words with such meanings as ‘to increase,’ ‘to multiply,’ ‘to make fat,’ ‘to fill,’ appear to be considered lucky words to use. Hence the Sinhalese cultivators use puravanavā [“to fill”] and vaḍanavā [“to increase”]; for ‘to begin the work’ of cultivation, puravaḍanavā,* and vaḍanavā for ‘to sow’ [hittara vaḍanavā],† or, ‘to draw the threshing-floor diagrams’ [alunavanavā].‡ Just in the same way the Tamils use perukka [“to cause to increase”] with many different meanings. The various meanings of puravanavā [“to fill”] I have already noticed. The Sinhalese Kapurāla shouts Purā! purā! [“Full! full!”]; the Tamil cultivator urges on the oxen on the threshing-floor, and at the end of the work greets the appearance of the heap of threshed corn with shouts of Poli! poli! [“Increase! increase!”]. So the Sinhalese say bókaranḍa [“to multiply”] for ‘to chew (betel),’ where the Tamils use metta [“to be abundant”].

5. In one or two instances the Sinhalese cultivators make use of ordinary Tamil words as their conventional words, while a corresponding process is found among the Tamils with respect to some Sinhalese words. Thus, in Mr. Bell’s list of words from Rayigam Kóralé, válapalam (Tam., válaippalam) is given as the threshing-floor word for “plantain,” while the Tamil cultivators call a “winnow” kullam (Sin., kulla),§ and ‘a lime’ tésikkái.

6. Sometimes the general is used for the particular, and vice versa. In Sinhalese, “winnow” is yaturā [“the instrument”]; in Tamil “margosa leaf” is pattiri [“the leaf”], ‘arecanut’ is koḍḍai [“the seed”], ‘plantain’ is kañi [“the

---

* C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 46.
† Ibid, 1880, p. 47.
‡ Ibid, 1880, p. 49, and 1883, p. 49.
§ This, however, is a Páli word.
fruit”). On the other hand, the Sinhalese cultivator’s word for ‘man’ is goyiya ‘cultivator’—he is thought of primarily in his agricultural capacity. For the same reason his wife is goyiammá [“mother cultivator”]. Words with a general meaning being thus sometimes used in a particular sense, it follows that the latter varies a good deal in different districts. For example, ambáruvá seems to mean “any horned animal.”* In the Kégalla and Balapitiya districts it means ‘elk’ [góna], but in the other districts it means ‘buffalo’: it is also, I think, used for ‘deer’ [muvá]. Kalu gediya [“the black lump”] is applied to ‘monkey’ [vandurá] and ‘boar’ [úrá].

7. It will be noticed, as another peculiarity of these localisms, that many of the words denoting inanimate things have the terminations which in ordinary Sinhalese or Tamil are confined to words denoting animates, or are words ordinarily denoting animates. This is particularly the case with respect to those natural objects, agricultural implements, &c., to which in the exercise of their occupation the cultivators have occasion oftenest to refer. Thus in Sinhalese—†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objects in nature</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rattá</td>
<td>= fire (lit., redness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gāngulá</td>
<td>= water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mīriyá</td>
<td>= salt (lit., sweetness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suduvá</td>
<td>= chunam (lit., whiteness)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruits, &amp;c.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pehiyá</td>
<td>= rice (lit., ripeness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kahatavá</td>
<td>= arecanut (lit., bitterness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rahatijá</td>
<td>= jaggery (lit., sweetness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tittayá</td>
<td>= gourd (lit., bitterness)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools, &amp;c.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kapanuá</td>
<td>= knife (lit., the cutter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liyanná</td>
<td>= reaping-hook (lit., the cutter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turaṅkaraṇná</td>
<td>= spoon (lit., the separator)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cf. the difference in meaning between English deer and German thier.
† To these may be added several Kégalla words, which in Mr. Ievers’s list seems to be in the accusative plural. See the words marked with an asterisk in the list at the end of this Paper, and compare them with the corresponding words from the other districts.
And in Tamil—

Objects in nature.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{vātārāyaṇ} &= \text{the wind (lit., wind-king)} \\
\text{ōḍḍakkāraṇ} &= \text{chaff (lit., the runner)} \\
\text{sullīduvān} &= \text{chunam (lit., the inflamer smarter)} \\
\text{velichchakāraṇ} &= \text{camphor (lit., the shiner)}
\end{align*}
\]

Fruits, &c.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{karukkuvāṇ} &= \text{tobacco (lit., the burner)} \\
\text{pukaichchaḍkāraṇ} &= \text{benzoin (lit., the smoker)} \\
\text{kollaṇ} &= \text{sickle (lit., blacksmith). So with the words for mamoty, axe}
\end{align*}
\]

Tools, &c.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{sarasarappāṇ} &= \text{mat (lit., the rustler)} \\
\text{velaikāraṇ, vēlaiyāḷ} &= \text{stick for separating the straw from the grain (lit., servant)}
\end{align*}
\]

This would at first sight appear as if the cultivators in their euphemistic language were accustomed to adopt a sort of personification of the more familiar, natural, and other objects. A similar peculiarity has been noticed by Professor Max Müller as characteristic of a primitive state of language. As a rational and probable explanation of it, I cannot do better than quote his words. Referring to language in its most primitive state, he says: "Here, in the lowest depths of language, lie the true germs of what we afterwards call figurism, animism, anthropopathism, anthropomorphism; here we recognise them as necessities of language and thought—and not as what they appear to be afterwards, free poetical conceptions. At a time when even the stone which he had himself sharpened was still looked upon by man as his deputy, and called a cutter, not a something to cut with; when his measuring rod was a measurer, his plough a tearer, his ship a flier, or a bird; how could it be otherwise than that the river should be a shouter, the mountain a defender, the moon a measurer? ... These are the simplest, the most inevitable steps of language. If we want to know ... what the ancients thought when they spoke of a river, the answer is they thought it exactly what they called it, and they called it, as we know, in different ways, either the runner [sarit] or the
noisy [nadi or dhuni]; or if it flowed in a straight line, the plougher or the plough [sīrā, river; sīrā, plough], or the arrow; or if it seemed to nourish the fields, the mother [mātar]; or if it separated and protected one country from another, the defender [sindhu, from sīdh, sedhati, to keep off]. In all these names you will observe that the river is conceived as acting. As man runs, so the river runs; as man shouts, so the river shouts; as man ploughs, so the river ploughs; as a man guards, so the river guards. The river is not called at first a plough, but the plougher; nay, even the plough itself is for a long time conceived and called an agent, not a mere instrument. The plough is the divider, the tearer, the wolf, and thus shares often the same name with the burrowing boar, or the tearing wolf (vṛiha is both wolf and plough in the Veda). The conclusion arrived at by Professor Max Müller is that grammatical gender is not the cause but the result of personification. "When everything that was known and named had to be conceived as active, and if active, then as personal; when a stone was a cutter, a tooth a grinder or an eater, a gimlet a borer; there was no doubt considerable difficulty in dispersonifying, in distinguishing between a measurer and the moon, in neutralising words, in producing in fact neuter nouns, in clearly distinguishing the tool from the hand, the hand from the man; in finding a way of speaking even of a stone as something simply trodden under foot. There was no difficulty in figuring, animating, or personifying. Thus we see how for our purposes the problem of personification, which gave so much trouble to former students of religion and mythology, is completely inverted; our problem is not how language came to personify, but how it succeeded in dispersonifying."

Thus, then, although primitive language is "without any signs of gender, all ancient nouns expressed activities...... It was almost impossible to speak of things not active or not personal. Every name meant something active."

---

* Max Müller, Hibbert Lectures, 1878, pp. 186-190.
Feminine nouns were next introduced "with the setting apart of certain derivative suffixes for females. By this all other words became masculine. At a still later time certain forms were set apart for things that were neuter."

I think, then, we have an analogous instance in this language of the threshing-floor, which is in effect a rudimentary language.
## Appendix.

### I.—Sinhalese Threshing-Floor Words.

#### A.—Natural Objects, Fruits, &c.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sinhalese (ordinary)</th>
<th>Conventional Word†</th>
<th>District where used‡</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrack</td>
<td>arakkku</td>
<td>(1) pēdiya</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td>Black water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) kālu vatura</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td>Bitterness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areca nut</td>
<td>puvak</td>
<td>kahatavā</td>
<td>Kēg.</td>
<td>That which has been burnt,—from danava. A[lahān the Kēg, and Siy. K. word, evidently means the diagrams drawn with ashes (hana = a mark).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashes</td>
<td>alu</td>
<td>(1) dava</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>A morsel for the devils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) yakunṭa bōya</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td>Acidity; pengiri = acid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) pēqgirivā</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>Citronella (grass) = pengiri in ordinary Sinhalese.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) pēqgirikola</td>
<td>Bala.; Galle.; Tang</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betel</td>
<td>bulat</td>
<td>(3) bōlkola</td>
<td>Siy. K.</td>
<td>Bōl = paddy chaff,—anything thick or solid. Tamil, pōl.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betel (quid)</td>
<td>bulat-vida</td>
<td>pengiri-kola-bōya</td>
<td>Tang.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cakes (rice)</td>
<td>kēvun</td>
<td>(1) diyapiṭa pinā</td>
<td>Kēg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do. pinanā</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) pūpa, pu</td>
<td>Siy. K.; Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) rat-kabala</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Conventional word.
‡ District where used.

* Cf. kiri-kabalu = hoppers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chaff</th>
<th>bol</th>
<th>(1) katu</th>
<th>Ray. K.; Galle</th>
<th>Given by Clough.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) paliggattó (plu.)</td>
<td>Siy. K.; Galle</td>
<td>Connected with ekmenavá = to be crushed, bruised?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) egiţi</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunam</td>
<td>hunu</td>
<td>(4) mêliya</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td>The inflamer; katu=pungent, bitter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Kātuvañ*</td>
<td>Kēg.</td>
<td>Cf. the Tamil conventional word suliduvā=the inflamer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) suduvā</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Bala.; Galle</td>
<td>Whiteness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kēg.; Bala.</td>
<td>From usa = high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>The high one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocanout</td>
<td>pol</td>
<td>(1) uhan</td>
<td>Kēg.; Bala.</td>
<td>Milk-fruit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>uhanānā</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>usan</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dung (cattle)</td>
<td>goma</td>
<td>(2) kirigedi</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
<td>Cattle-earth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gôn-pas</td>
<td>Kēg.; Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The following probable misprints in Mr. Bell’s list should be corrected: —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rahaliyā should be raha'ilya</th>
<th>Goyiya (poru) should be goiyiyā</th>
<th>Kap-gotuva should be kap-goṭuvā</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kalu-gediyā</td>
<td>Goyiya (yāla)</td>
<td>kūduva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valmiyā</td>
<td>Goyiya (deći)</td>
<td>goiyiyā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valimuvā</td>
<td>Gauggula</td>
<td>goiyiyā</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valimuvā</td>
<td>Konussanò</td>
<td>gauggula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miminnā</td>
<td>Aṇḍalannā</td>
<td>konussannō</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihiriyā</td>
<td>mihiriyā</td>
<td>Aṇḍalannā</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The word mutta also, the name for the shell which is placed in the centre of the circles drawn on the threshing-floor (C. A. S. Journal, p. 49), I would change to muttá, which, and not mutta, is certainly the word used in the Kandyan country. Mutta among the Kandyans means “great-grandfather”; in the low country it is used for “grandfather.” I think, too, pediya should be pediyā.

† Words marked with an asterisk are, I think, in the accusative plural.
‡ Kēg. = Kēgalla; Ray. K. = Rayigam Kóralé; Siy. K. = Siyanē Kóralé; Bala. = Balapiṭiya; Taqg = Taṅgalla.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Siphaless (ordinary)</th>
<th>Conventional Word</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>gudara</td>
<td>ratá</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain (fine)</td>
<td>amu</td>
<td>(1) kali-haruvan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kurukkan (Kurukkan)</td>
<td>(2) hinna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handful</td>
<td>(3) at-harvva</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoppers</td>
<td>Jaggery</td>
<td>kiri-kahalku</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Paddy</td>
<td>ráya-diyawara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantain</td>
<td>Kesel</td>
<td>(1) kaliha (geô)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) vála-palam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(3) rambakam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redness.</td>
<td>? Ac. plu. of barved.</td>
<td>See under</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finesse.</td>
<td>Barved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweetness.</td>
<td>Carpenter’s water.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not given in Clough, but used in every district. Is it connected with *bat* = rice? The bitter fruit. Of with the word for arecanut, *anâ.* Tamil *äkâppâlam* the ordinary word. *Äkâ* = bunch or cluster.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sinhala</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sinhala</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potatoe (sweet)</td>
<td>batala</td>
<td>(1) bin-tamburu</td>
<td>Keg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (raw)</td>
<td>hal</td>
<td>(2) kiri-gegi</td>
<td>Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (cooked)</td>
<td>bat</td>
<td>pahiyana*</td>
<td>Keg.; Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (pounded)</td>
<td>habalapeti</td>
<td>pahiyakarpuvaya</td>
<td>Bala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (gruel)</td>
<td>kenda</td>
<td>pubbaruva*</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pubbarava</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>lunu</td>
<td>miriya or mihiriya</td>
<td>Keg.; Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack (paddy)</td>
<td>(vi) goda</td>
<td>(1) bela-varuva</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.; Bala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) kolé</td>
<td>Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine</td>
<td>avva</td>
<td>dediya</td>
<td>Keg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw</td>
<td>piduru</td>
<td>medruvan*</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.; Bala; Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>meduhan</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bin from bima = the ground; tamburu = the generic name of the nymphaea, or "water-lily" (Clough). Vide ante under "cocoanut."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ripeness</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ripened.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In ordinary Sihalese, pubbaru = callow, and pubbara = un-fledged bird.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This looks like the fem. form of gangula, the word for "water" (q. v.).

Sweetness.

Varuva = a stack of paddy (Clough). Generally kolé or kola = a leaf; but koluketiya = a stack of corn (Clough). Another meaning is "half a day." Does it hence come to mean "the result of half a day's reaping?"

That which is strong.

From mahanavā = to press, tread?
A.—Natural Objects, Fruits, &c.—continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sihiveise (ordinary.)</th>
<th>Conventional Word</th>
<th>District where used</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thorn</td>
<td>kaṭuva</td>
<td>paranḍala</td>
<td>Taţg.</td>
<td>The ordinary meaning is ‘withered leaf.’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) mat-karaṇṇā</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td>The intoxicator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) titta-kola</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>Bitter-leaf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddy</td>
<td>rá</td>
<td>(1) bora-diya or bora-</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td>Sediment-water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>gaṅgula</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ganga** means ‘a rapid,’ or ‘small waterfall’ in a brook. See the remarks by Dr. Goldschmidt on the changes in the meaning of the vatura, C.A.S. Journal, 1879, p. 29. Cf. ganguli.

B.— Implements, &c.

<p>| Bag     | malla                | (1) purannē       | Kēg.                | That which fills |
|         |                       | (2) puravannāva   | Siy. K.             |                   |
|         |                       | (3) kaṭupana      | Ray. K.; Bala; Galle; Taţg. | In Kēgalla, kaṭupana = box. |
|         |                       | (4) peļella       | Galle               | Vela = creeper; māṇa = measure, —hence a measure made out of a creeper. |
| Basket  | kudē                  | vēl-māṇa          | Taţg.               |                   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Sinhalese</th>
<th>Pali</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broom (large)</td>
<td>maha-idal</td>
<td>katumana</td>
<td>Keg; Taag.</td>
<td>Katuva means &quot;anything with a sharp point.&quot; Cf. liyannaka tua.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broom (small)</td>
<td>hin-idal</td>
<td>(1) iti-mana</td>
<td>Taag.</td>
<td>Iti = &quot;twigs.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) bala-atta</td>
<td>Keg.</td>
<td>Bol = &quot;paddy chaff&quot;; atta = &quot;branch.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloth</td>
<td>redda</td>
<td>(1) ahura</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>Ahuranu = &quot;to put together.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) vata-badanna</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td>&quot;The encircler.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot</td>
<td>kakula</td>
<td>goyi-porlava</td>
<td>Keg; Taag.</td>
<td>An old word (Sanskrit). Poruva = &quot;the wooden implement for smoothing the mud after ploughing.&quot; Cf. as slang &quot;beetle-crusher.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td>gedara</td>
<td>(1) kuduva</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>Kuduva = &quot;nest.&quot; Cf. Tamil kudam, &quot;house.&quot; (Sanskrit, kuta.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knife</td>
<td>pihiyé</td>
<td>kapannava</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
<td>Nivasana = &quot;dwelling-place.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laha (measure)</td>
<td>laha</td>
<td>(1) goiyava</td>
<td>Keg.</td>
<td>&quot;The cutter.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) yala; yala-goyiya</td>
<td>Ray.K.; Siy. K.; Galle</td>
<td>&quot;The cultivator.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bin-liyanavá</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
<td>Tam. man-vedhi? Lieyanna, instead of liyaná</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mat</td>
<td>pedura</td>
<td>(1) aturanne</td>
<td>Keg.</td>
<td>&quot;That which spreads out.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aturanulava</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td>&quot;That which rolls up.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) akulamáva</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
<td>Sivuru = &quot;robes.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sivurupána</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money</td>
<td>kasi</td>
<td>(1) vata-vannan*</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Bala.</td>
<td>Vata = &quot;round.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vata-van</td>
<td>Siy. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vata-vanná</td>
<td>Galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vata-vannan*</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vatan*</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) tani-patuva</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Sihalese (ordinary)</th>
<th>Conventional Word</th>
<th>District where used</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pot (cooking)</td>
<td>valanda</td>
<td>kalageđiya</td>
<td>Kég.</td>
<td><em>Kala</em> (Tam. <em>kalam</em>) = &quot;pot&quot;; <em>geđiya</em> = &quot;bulb.&quot; It appears to mean &quot;anything round or of a bulbous shape.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumpkin or (gourd)</td>
<td>labu-geđiya</td>
<td>tittayá</td>
<td>Taług.</td>
<td><em>Tittayá</em> = &quot;a kind of small freshwater fish&quot;; <em>titta</em> = &quot;bitter.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for carrying water</td>
<td>bána</td>
<td>vēl-bóya</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td>&quot;A piece of creeper.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rope (yoke)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stick, for separating</td>
<td>ukunu-dētta</td>
<td>dēti-goyiyā</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td><em>dēti</em> = &quot;the teeth of a saw.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the straw from the</td>
<td>keviţa</td>
<td>gony-kōtuva</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Cattle-stick.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ears</td>
<td>dékėtta</td>
<td>liyannā-kātuwa</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;The cutting sharp-pointed instrument.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothing-board</td>
<td>póruva</td>
<td>liyanná-goyiyā</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Bala; Galle</td>
<td>&quot;The cutter.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spoon</td>
<td>bēnda</td>
<td>goyan-kētta</td>
<td>Taług.</td>
<td>&quot;Crop-knife.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) mānapoyā</td>
<td>goyiyā</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) turankurannā</td>
<td>póru-goyiyā</td>
<td></td>
<td>Siy. K.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnow</td>
<td>kulla</td>
<td>yatura</td>
<td>Kég.; Ray. K.; Siy. K.; Galle</td>
<td><em>Yatura</em> means &quot;any kind of machine&quot; not merely &quot;key,&quot; the ordinary meaning of the word.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Man</td>
<td>minihā</td>
<td>goyiţya</td>
<td>Taług.</td>
<td>Cultivator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman</td>
<td>gépi</td>
<td>goyiţembaţa</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>goyi-amma</td>
<td>do.</td>
<td>A word for &quot;women&quot; used in Balapitiya district is <em>boliyó</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>Ceylonese</td>
<td>Sinhalese</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bull</td>
<td>gogā</td>
<td>valahana</td>
<td>galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo</td>
<td>mil-barakā</td>
<td>sirā</td>
<td>galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>kāriyā</td>
<td>kokulā</td>
<td>galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chetah</td>
<td>māṇi-muva</td>
<td>vejā-muva</td>
<td>galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cock</td>
<td>aḷḷiyā</td>
<td>etā (mucker)</td>
<td>galle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer (Mouse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer (Elephant)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphaloese (ordinary)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. — Animals, &amp;c.—continued.</td>
<td>Elk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Word</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Districts where used</td>
<td>Hare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray. K., K. &amp; G.</td>
<td>Monkey (brown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. K., S. K., K. &amp; G.</td>
<td>Monkey (grey)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray. K., S. K., K. &amp; G.</td>
<td>Porcupine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray. K., S. K., K. &amp; G.</td>
<td>Tick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (1) karakolaya | góna |
| (2) kalaprawa | máln-kúriya |
| (3) konamman | hárá |
| (4) pilihríyam* | rílava |
| (5) kúr-kúrataí | vandúra |
| (6) kúr-kúrataí* | itíyá |
| (7) kúr-kúrataí | kintula |

| (1) katu-goyyan* | láva |
| (2) véjana | vandúra |
| (3) konamman | itíyá |
| (4) pilihríyam* | rílava |

| (1) kávúru | láva |
| (2) kávúru | vandúra |
| (3) kávúru | itíyá |
| (4) kávúru | kintula |
### D. — Verbs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Sinhala</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chew (betel)</td>
<td>kanavá</td>
<td>bokaranavá</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come</td>
<td>enavá</td>
<td>lesavenavá</td>
<td>Kég.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>pihnavá</td>
<td>idavanavá</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink</td>
<td>bonavá</td>
<td>(1) tarakaranavá</td>
<td>do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) puravanavá</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) jayakaranavá</td>
<td>Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat</td>
<td>kanavá</td>
<td>(1) bandinavá</td>
<td>Kég.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) puravanavá</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) jaya-karanavá</td>
<td>Taqg.; Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) udavukaranavá</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) kotabavanavá</td>
<td>Siy. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) veda vindinavá</td>
<td>Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td>yanavá</td>
<td>puravanavá</td>
<td>Kég.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasten</td>
<td>ikman-karanavá</td>
<td>sedara-karanavá</td>
<td>Ray. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindle</td>
<td>pattu-karanavá</td>
<td>(1) rat-karanavá</td>
<td>Siy. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) udavu-karanavá</td>
<td>Galle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) pavat-vanavá</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure</td>
<td>maninavá</td>
<td>(1) yallanavá</td>
<td>Kég.; Siy. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) yala-gahanavá</td>
<td>Taqg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) siru-karanavá</td>
<td>Bala.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- "To multiply."
- *(Lasa = "speed," so that these words may mean, "to be quick,"
  "to hasten.")
- Literally, "to cause to ripen."
- "To make fat." "It is raining" = *gangul tarakaranavá*.
- "To fill."
- *Jayaganna* in ordinary Sinhalese means "to conquer."
- "To tie."
- See under "drink."
- "To undergo labour."
- See under "drink."
- "To make hot."
- See under "Eat."
- "To cause to exist."
- *Yallanava, from yala; hence "to separate into yalas." See (2.)
- "To put in an orderly manner."
- *Siruwa* = "in an orderly manner."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>District where used</th>
<th>Remarka</th>
<th>&quot;To cultivate,&quot;</th>
<th>&quot;To lay the high (stalks) low.&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Galle, Ray. K.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&quot;hasten to place,&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leap</td>
<td>Galle, Ray. K.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&quot;hasten to place,&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Bal. Galle, Tagg.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>From rice = &quot;a multitude.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sow</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&quot;To increase the seed.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnow</td>
<td>Ray. K.; Siy. K.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>&quot;To bruise,&quot; &quot;crush.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A little, a piece, some, one</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Districts where used:**
- Galle
- Ray. K.

**Remarks:**
- "To cultivate,"
- "hasten to place,"
- From rice = "a multitude."
- "To increase the seed,"
- "To bruise, "crush."

**Additional Notes:**
- "To cultivate:" See under "Drink" and "Eat."
- "To lay the high (stalks) low:" See under "Hasten to place,"
- "hasten to place,"
- From rice = "a multitude."
- "To increase the seed,"
- "To bruise, "crush." See under "Hasten to place," "cut and fell."
- "Hulanga = "wind,"
- Mahola or mola = "pestle for cleaning the husks from the rice."
- Ambanéri = "to drive away."
- Alu-bogyā, gachcha-bogyā = "ashes."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>There is not</th>
<th>né</th>
<th>bóyi</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Ráy. K.; Siy. K.; Bala. Galle; Taqg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bóvak</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>Siy. K.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

amu-bóya, kurakkon-bóya \{ kinds of grain. \\
Rambakan-bóya, "plantain"; lanu-bóya, "a piece of rope"; vel-bóya, "a piece of rope"; ratta-bóya, "some fire"; rasa-bóya, "some jaggery"; goyan-kola-bóya, "a stack of paddy"; pengiri-kola-bóya, "a betel quid"; keviti-bóya, "a piece of goad."

Thus pengiri-kola-bóya = "there is no betel." The word bó used here and in the example immediately preceding, literally means "much."

In the interior villages of the Balapitiya district (and probably elsewhere), bóyi is used for "no,"—I presume as a polite expression. It is, I believe, the proper term to use to a priest when refusing him alms. Cf. the Kandyan use of áyu-bóvan, as a sort of "I beg your pardon."
### TAMIL, THRESHING-FLOOR WORDS.

#### Natural Objects, Fruits, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Tamil (ordinary)</th>
<th>Conventional Word</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arecanut</td>
<td>pākkku</td>
<td>koḍḍai</td>
<td>Used in Pūnakari. Given by Winslow as meaning &quot;any kind of seed without a husk,&quot; &quot;the smoker.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoin</td>
<td>chāmpirānī</td>
<td>pukaichaṭ-kāraṇ</td>
<td>&quot;Withering&quot;; vādal vettillai is given in Winslow. &quot;Chewing betel and arecanut&quot; = koḍḍai vādal mettal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betel</td>
<td>vettillai</td>
<td>vādal</td>
<td>&quot;The shiner.&quot; &quot;To burn camphor&quot; = velīchchakkāra naik kōḍḍa, &quot;to show a light.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphor</td>
<td>karppūram</td>
<td>velīchhakkāraṇ</td>
<td>&quot;The inflamer,&quot; from sullīda, &quot;to smart,&quot; &quot;have a pungent taste.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunam</td>
<td>sunnāmpu</td>
<td>suḷḷiduvān</td>
<td>Winslow gives vellai as one of the words for &quot;chunam.&quot; Cf. with the Sigalese threshing-floor word suduva, &quot;That which runs before.&quot; Given by Winslow as meaning &quot;the leading demon among the devils, supposed to traverse streets in time of pestilence.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaff</td>
<td>patar, sappadai</td>
<td>(1) mūṇṇōdi</td>
<td>&quot;Paddy mixed with chaff.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) oḍḍakkāraṇ</td>
<td>Kantu in Winslow = (1) &quot;a rope for tying oxen together by the neck&quot;; (2) &quot;the heap of straw round the threshing-floor&quot;; (3) &quot;the heap of chaff which forms outside the threshing-floor.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) kantu patar</td>
<td>Karuṇkantu = &quot;the second range of chaff next to the polikkantu&quot; (Winslow). For poli see under &quot;Paddy.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) kantu kālam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5) polikkantu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6) karuṇkantu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Term</td>
<td>English Term</td>
<td>Nūkaši Meaning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoanut</td>
<td>tép-káy</td>
<td>(1) koddān</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) koddān</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) kadāvāyañ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4) vellaivāyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) kól</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dung (cattle)</td>
<td>cháñi</td>
<td>(2) pór</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>peru-velichcham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>velichcham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>neruppu</td>
<td>tésikkáy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime (fruit)</td>
<td>elumichchampālam</td>
<td>pattiri</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margosa leaf</td>
<td>véppilai</td>
<td>(1) kūrañ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddy</td>
<td>nelu</td>
<td>(2) tavantu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) poli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantain fruit</td>
<td>válaippalam</td>
<td>kaní</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain</td>
<td>malai</td>
<td>kávélí</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice (boiled)</td>
<td>chóru</td>
<td>(1) ałukuni</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) ilukuni</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3) amutu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>manal</td>
<td>vasyirappoli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack (paddy)</td>
<td>sûdu</td>
<td>pór</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Winslow gives *koddān*, as used at the threshing-floor for "coconuts." Its primary meaning is "mallet" (*koddā* = "to beat.").

*Kadi* = "brightness," "beauty;" *vellai* = "whiteness."

Used in Pūnakāri. Cf. (2).

This word has many meanings; from "stick" up to "beauty," "elegance."

See under "Stack."

"The big lamp."

"The lamp" or "light."

Winslow gives this word as used among physicians. Cf. Sīphalese *dehi.*

"The leaf."

Given in Winslow as meaning "a kind of rice." It is used, however, in the conventional language of the Pūnakāri District to mean "paddy generally." *Kūrañ* = "pointed."

This is the word used in the Islands District. Possibly from *tavantu,* "to separate."

"Unmown paddy or other grain" (Winslow); the verb *poli* means "to increase." *Poli* is the auspicious word shouted by the men while engaged in the work of threshing, &c.

The fruit (*kaní* = "to ripen").

See under "Water."

Either the "crying from ału," or "the decaying one."

"The sluggish" (used in Pūnakāri).

Given in Winslow as meaning (1) "ambrosia"; (2) "sweetness"; (3) "water;" (4) "milk;" (5) "boiled rice."

"Diamond grain."

The original meaning of *pór* is "fight."
### Natural Objects, Fruits, &c. — continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tamil (ordinary)</th>
<th>Conventional Word</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vaikkol (paddy mixed with Tobacco)</td>
<td>pukiyilai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāṭṭu (kāṭṭu, kāṭṭu)</td>
<td>tanjir</td>
<td>This word seems to be the name of the Kāvērī river, which is famed for the superfluity of its water. See Percival's 'Tamil Proverbs,' 2nd Ed., No. 2502. See the remarks by Goldschmidt on the changes in the meaning of the Pāli, the word kāṭṭu, which at one time meant &quot;a shower of rain,&quot; or &quot;any violent flood.&quot; C. A. S. Journal, 1879, p. 29. Given in Winslow as meaning &quot;muddy water&quot; (kāṭṭika) or &quot;to be stirred up like water,&quot; &quot;agitated,&quot; &quot;Wind-dung water,&quot; &quot;pol kāṭṭika,&quot; &quot;Cow-dung water&quot; (Sanskrit). &quot;It is blowing.&quot; &quot;Wind blowing.&quot; &quot;easy thought and speech,&quot; do not distinguish as we do between the blower and the blast. Thus we find in the Yēdā hymns addressed to Vāya the blower, and to Viśva the blast,&quot; but this, too, as a masculine, not as a neuter. — Max Müller.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>vāṭāravaṇu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implement</td>
<td>kaikkati kollan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axe</td>
<td>kaikkati</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B. Implements, &c.**

- *Kollan* = (1) "smith of any kind"; (2) "blacksmith".
- *Mudai* = "to play"; "braid".
- *Neda* = "to extend," "grow long."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B.— Implements, &amp;c.— continued.</th>
<th>C.— Animals.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Word.</td>
<td>pola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticks (goad).</td>
<td>kaddi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winnow</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stick for separating the straw from the</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

examples). *Pōlān* in fact, is a corresponding word to the Siŋg. conventional word *emboya*. *Pōli*, I think, must be the word quoted by J. Alwis, from an article in the Bombay A. S. Journal, as *polip*, the final *p* belonging to some word following *poli* in the sentence. See C. A. S. Journal, 1867-70, p. 14.

"The walker* "given in Winslow, but not specially as a threshing-floor word. "A team of oxen" = *nadayaṅkudi.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Tamil (Conventional Word)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chew (betel)</td>
<td><em>koṭḍāppikka</em></td>
<td>This word appears to be derived from <em>koṭḍān</em> (see under &quot;Cocoanut&quot;).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink</td>
<td></td>
<td>It is given by Winslow as a provincial word used in harvest. <em>Na-</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eat</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>daiyaṅ poliyaiṅ koṭḍāppikktuṅ,&quot; the bullock feeds on the threshed rice.&quot;</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke (tobacco)</td>
<td><em>poli vaḍḍaṅ seyya</em></td>
<td>(Winslow).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect the grain</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;To make a grain circle.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Literally, &quot;to cause to increase.&quot; Cf. the Siŋ. euphemistic words <em>vaḍa-</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>navā, bōkaranāvā.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;(The paddy) has fallen out (of the ears)&quot; = <em>perukki viḍḍatu.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;To sprinkle with cow-dung water&quot; = <em>pōlkalakaṅtaliperkka.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinkle (cow-dung water)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;To sweep the threshing-floor&quot; = <em>kottappiperukka</em> &quot;gather the scattered corn into a heap.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweep (the threshing-floor)</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;To measure&quot; = <em>mukāvi perukka.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untie</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Go and bathe&quot; = <em>kalaṅkal mukāvipperukku.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Take (it) away&quot; = <em>iyampiperukku.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Bring (it)&quot; = <em>iyampu.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>Tamil (Conventional Word)</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To fix the centre pole of the threshing-floor</td>
<td>kuvicka</td>
<td>Literally, &quot;to heap up.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place (pillaiyar on the heap of grain)</td>
<td>puttiippika</td>
<td>&quot;It is blowing.&quot; = sadamaiyam kariyipu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bring down (the ears) from the stack</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;It is raining.&quot; = evarapu kariyipu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blow (as wind)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E.—Miscellaneous.

There is a peculiar method of notation in use among Tamil cultivators, similar to a system described by the late Mahá Mudaliyár L. De Zoysa, as found in ancient Sanskrit and Sinhalese works.*

Thus, one person = kaḍḍaiyādiyippolay, because there is one centre pole (kaḍḍai) in the threshing-floor, or perhaps one man whose duty it is to fix it there (kaḍḍaiyippolay). In the Sinhalese system “one” is represented by Mēru, because there is but one Mēru mountain in the world.

“Two persons” = kavaipōlepomār. Kavai means “the fork of a branch.” In Sinhalese nētra (eyes) = 2.

“Three persons” = chūlamōlepomār. Hūlam = “trident.” In Sinhalese Sīva (who has three eyes) = 3.

“Four persons” = vētampōlepomār; so in the Sinhalese system Vēda = 4, because there are four Vēdas.

“Five persons” = hātipōlepomār, because there are five fingers. In the Sinhalese system sara = 6, because there are six tastes.

I understand that similar fanciful methods of representing numbers are in vogue in the bazārs.

II.—Sinhalese Cultivators’ Songs.

1.—Whilst Sowing.

```sinhalese
කුල෇ කොතු ක එ ප
කුල෇ කොතු ක එ ම
රුදු කොතු ක එ ම
මුදු කොතු ක එ ම
සිලිකු කොතු ක එ ම
සීමා කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
සුරකාලි කොතු ක එ ම
```
Balagala kandé hima vaṭa
Kapā tanā ema vigasaṭa
Multju vapurayi sanikaṭa
Sataravaram deviyo eviṭa
Satara karé veṭa bēndimaṭa
Goyi semadena avi eviṭa
Paḷamuva siti mulgoyiyatā
Devipiṭayi sema devituṭa
Gōnagalé puraveḍimaṭa
Dahasak rajavaru veṭa siti
Mahasakvala hima medikoṭa
Veṭa keruvayi sadeṇek siti
Mulgoyiyā gurupāṇḍuraṭa
Sadamin kirimuhun eviṭa
Kirimavu dahasak emaviṭa
Gennā Dunné vigasaṭa

[When all the fields are well prepared,*
Which lie round Balagala hill,
Right quickly then the seed is sown
By the Four Regents of the earth.†

*I cannot vouch for perfect accuracy in these translations, the meaning in some places being very obscure—at any rate to one who is not “to the manner born.”

† “Below the highest sphere four regents sit,

At the Birth of Buddha.

“When they brought the painted palanquin
To fetch him home, the bearers of the poles
Were the four regents of the earth, come down
From mount Sumérū—they who write men’s deeds
On brazen plates—the Angel of the East,
Whose hosts are clad in silver robes, and bear
Targets of pearl: the Angel of the South,
Whose horsemen, the Kumbhandas, ride blue steeds,
With sapphire shields: the Angel of the West,
By nágas followed, riding steeds blood-red,
With coral shields: the Angel of the North,
 ENVironed by his yakshas, all in gold,
 On yellow horses, bearing shields of gold.
 These with their pomp invisible, came down
And took the poles, in caste and outward garb
Like bearers, yet most mighty gods.”—Ibid, pp. 4, 5.

See also J. Alwis’ “Contributions to Oriental Literature,” Part II, pp. 113, 114, for an account of the four guardian divas, by the Rev. R. Spence-Hardy, upon which the description in the “Light of Asia” would seem to be founded.
Then come the numerous husbandmen,
On the four sides a fence to raise,
While to the leader of the band
The favouring gods assistance give.*

His heart is filled with joys divine,
When Goṇagala’s fields are sown,
A thousand kings the rites attend, †
The great world’s bounds by them enclosed.

Six persons carry on the work,
Milk-leaven then the chief provides
For offerings meet ‡—and to the feast
Mothers-of-milk a thousand bids. §]


† Puraveḍīmata.—A correspondent writing to the Ceylon Observer says: “Whether in maha or yala when the field is properly ploughed, the cultivator observes a favourable mekata to sow the first seed; for which purpose a small space of ground, where two embankments meet, is prepared. When the first seed is sown, a branch from the sabarala, a cocoanut-flower, and a bit of saffron are fixed on the spot, that it may be thus exactly distinguished. This ceremony is called Puraveḍīma. When harvest is at hand, the portion of corn in this distinguished spot is first reaped by some person who is not a member of the family. It is kept, to be dedicated to the gods, on an elevated stick until the harvest is ended, is threshed in a separate place, and the paddy of that portion forms a part of expense, either of the dance called gammatu, or the feeding a kapunu, a demon priest.”

According to Mr. Bell, Puravaṇañavā also means to begin the work of clearing. It is evidently an euphemistic expression used with various meanings. See C.A.S. Journal, 1883, p. 46.

‡ Gurupandurata. “The money offered to a god or demon is always called panduru, which means, “ransom money.” C.A.S. Journal, 1865, p. 42. See also C.A.S. Journal, 1883, p. 58; also a gift to one’s Teacher in grateful acknowledgment of his services.

§ “There is a certain dáṇe or alms-giving ceremony called Kiri Amma-warunne Dāne, or “the alms of the mothers-of-milk,” generally observed three months after the birth of a child. Besides other people, who are invited to the house to partake of food or dáne on the occasion, seven women, sometimes seven unmarried girls, named for the time kiri ammald, or “the mothers-of-milk,” are made to sit apart from the others, and are treated to a breakfast of boiled rice, plantains, and a sort of jelly called “milk,” made of rice-flour, jaggery, or country sugar, and the juice of the cocoanut. The dishes of the others, who are treated on the same occasion, are different from these.” C. A. S. Journal, 1865, p. 65.
(2)—While Weeding.

Bintenna himavaṭakara nelanakalata
Eṭenna siṭi goyi puravaḍanakalata
Asvenné kadimayi é kumburuvalata
Deviyanné pihitayi mulgoviyata

[When the fields are weeded round Bintenna,
When the husbandmen have there the rites performed,
The crop is then a pleasant sight to see,
May the gods grant their help to the farmer chief.]

(3)—While Threshing.
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Muduné yana gonrajuné
Tope balayen kola maşiné
I’langa yana námbané
Tope balayen kola maşiné

Apé noveyi mé kamata
Sanda deviyanné kamata
Apé noveyi mé kamata
Iri deviyanné kamata
Apé noveyi mé kamata
Solí rajágé kamata
Apé noveyi mé kamata
Pándi rajágé kamata
Apé noveyi mé kamata
Gaña deviyanné kamata

Samanala mahavehera usaţa genêt purava mé kamata
Makkama mahavehera usaţa genêt purava mé kamata
Kelańiya mahavehera usaţa genêt purava mé kamata
Ruvanveli mahavehera usaţa genêt purava mé kamata

Ihalavelé tiyena bêţá genêt purava mé kamata
Phalavelé tiyena bêţá genêt purava mé kamata
Aţukọtuvala tiyena bêţá genêt purava mé kamata
Génunnc héma bêţá genêt purava mé kamata

[On—king, leader of the team,
Lend strength the corn to tread;
Lusty steer * that follows next,
Lend your strength the corn to tread.

This is not our threshing-floor,
’Tis the Moon-god’s threshing-floor;
This is not our threshing-floor,
’Tis the Sun-god’s threshing-floor;
This is not our threshing-floor,
’Tis King Solì’s threshing-floor;

* Námbané, a word found in both Siphalese (námbo) and Tamil (námpan). According to Winslow it is a provincial word, meaning “steer” or “bull-calf.” In Siphalese, “boar,” = áru-námbo.
This is not our threshing-floor,  
"Tis King Pândi’s * threshing-floor.  
This is not our threshing-floor,  
"Tis god Gāna’s † threshing-floor."

High as Samanala’s Peak, fill with corn the threshing-floor;  
High as holy Mecca’s ‡ shrine, fill with corn the threshing-floor;  
High as sacred Kejani, fill with corn the threshing-floor;  
High as Ruvanveli’s shrine, fill with corn the threshing-floor.

Bring the corn from highest tracts, § and pile it on the threshing-floor;  
Bring the corn from lowest tracts, and pile it on the threshing-floor;  
From atuva and kōtuvā ǁ bring corn to fill this threshing-floor;  
E’en the women’s wonted share ¶ must help to fill this threshing-floor."

* Tamil, Pândi-rāṣṭḥ, the King of Madura: Pândiyan, any king of Madura, of the lunar dynasty: Pându, a sovereign of ancient Delhi, the nominal father of the Pandava princes (Wins.). See "Hinduism," by Monier Williams, p. 112. "Indian Kings belonged to one or the other of two great families, which were held to have descended respectively from the sun and the moon. The former called surya vāṣya, or solar dynasty; the latter, the chandra vāṣya, or lunar dynasty. The solar dynasty was the more eminent of the two." ("Arichandra," translated by Sir M. Comarana Swamy, note on p. 217.)  
† Gāna, Gāpesa, or Gasapati, the Hindu god of wisdom, and remover of obstacles.  
‡ Compare the song from the Kalutara District, given by Mr. Bell, in which reference is also made to "Mecca's sacred foot." (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 53.) There must have been some Moormen, I should think, in the villages in which these songs were composed, whom it was desirable to conciliate.  
§ Ihala and pahala elapata, the portions of a field which are respectively nearest to and furthest from the tank which irrigates it.  
ǁ The atuva is a store for paddy, either a detached building or under the same roof as the house. The kōtuvā is a shelf or platform used for the same purpose, and supplementary to the atuva, Ayukotuvāla may be merely a re-duplication, such as is common in Sinhalese and Tamil. Cf. with this and the two preceding lines the song above referred to, given by Mr. Bell, part of which is almost identical with them:  
Ihala velē tibena beštāt  
Pahala velē tibena beštāt  
Aṭu-kōṭu-vala tibena beštāt  
Eḍa puravan me kamataṭa.  
¶ The portion paid to the women as hire for their labour.
NOTES ON THE ORNITHOLOGY OF THE BALAÑGOÐA DISTRICT.

By Frederick Lewis, Esq.

My acquaintance with this District ranges from January, 1879, since which period I have taken more or less constant ornithological observations, which I venture to condense into the form of a Paper, showing the number of species that have fallen within my observation, their range, migration, and, where possible, their nidification.

In order to render this Paper as complete as ornithological science requires,—a want beyond the mere enumeration of cases,—I have thought it best to describe the locality, its general physical condition, rainfall, and climate.

Outline of the District.—From an ornithological point of view, the geographical boundaries of a district are by no means satisfactory, as the winds and bends made by such limits are of necessity bound to enclose forms that are common to both sides of the geographical point of demarcation.

It therefore becomes more suitable to adopt fixed lines, which, though seemingly arbitrary, are very convenient and clear. With this in view, I have drawn lines which enclose as nearly as possible the district I purpose describing, while, at the same time, fixing definite boundaries. According to the lines I have drawn, the district may be said to be bounded on the north by the great chain of hills dividing the Central from the Western Provinces, from Miriyakotakanda to Nonpareil estate; on the east by a line from the Nonpareil estate to the Bilihul-oya resthouse, and in a southerly direction from the resthouse to the Laṅkábarana estate; on the south by a short line due west from the Laṅkábarana estate to the 78th mile-stone on the Colombo-Badulla-road, at a place called Pallakanda; on the west by a line running north-west to a
trigonometrical station situated on the boundary of Agar's Land tea estate, and known as Balakotenna, and connected from that station with Miriyakotakanda, the starting point first named. This includes the whole of Balangoda proper; and from the length of my list of species, I think it will be found to cover a wide number of forms, especially in proportion to the area of land.

**Geographical Outline.**—This may be roughly said to resemble a basin, closed on the south, west, and north by ranges of hills, and open on the east. The two chief ranges are those on the north, which are a continuation of the Adam's Peak and sister mountains, and the Pettiyagala hills on the south, that are more or less connected with the first by a number of broken and undulating spurs passing through the Bambarabothewa district, towards Kondrugala.

Miriyakotakanda is approximately 5,800 feet, from which the dividing range descends into a saddle, continuing to rise as it goes eastward till it reaches Etamoruwa at 6,600, overlooking Bagawantalawa. Deteniya-galla is about 6,300, and, viewed from below, it looks like a huge sugarloaf towering above the grass lands at its foot.

Pettiyagala, on the southern range, is over 4,000 feet, sloping down to Balangoda town, that stands on the eastern base of the range at an elevation of 1,776 feet, and facing Kirindigala on the east; that is, part of a small and distinct line of hills, separate from either of those mentioned above. The altitude in consideration, therefore, is between, approximately, 1,600 (at the 78th milestone) and 6,600, or a vertical range of 5,000 feet.

The southern aspects of both the dividing range and the Pettiyagala chain are equally precipitous, being wholly inaccessible to ordinary passage throughout wide extents of ground, and it is only where the rocks give support to soil and trees that a means of ascent can be found. Below Miriyakotakanda, and onwards to Deteniya-galla, huge walls of rock form the face of the hilly range, and it is with the utmost labour and difficulty that a traveller can get from one side to the other.
Rivers.—The Walawé-ganga is the chief river of the district, and takes its rise below and around Miriyakotakanda, from which a large tributary, known as the Oorawa-ela, supplies a material portion to its waters. This branch has to descend over an enormous precipice, that is, I believe, the highest waterfall in the country. Looking up the fall from below, the water appears to come from the clouds, as no part of the land to the back of it can be seen, except from the opposite hill. The Boltumbé-oya, Boranga-ela, Maha-oya, and Massena-oya are the chief remaining streams of any magnitude, or worthy of notice.

The Bilihul-oya is, of course, an important stream, but its rise is not made within the district, and only passes through a part of the locality before it finally joins the Walawé-ganga.

Botanical aspect.—The country to the west of the Balangoda town is chiefly large forest, that also clothes the northern range. The space formed and enclosed by this forest-clad area consists of chena, grass-land, and the coffee estates belonging to both natives and Europeans. The small district of Boltumbé, comprising a group of villages together at the foot of the Northern chain. Paddy fields, both large and small, dot about throughout the middle of the district, and wherever facilitated by the lay of the land. The forests contain a curious mixture of trees. The rocky faces of the hills are covered with the formidable katukitul or spiked palm (Onocosperma fasiculata), and lower down, where the temperature is warmer, cables of rattan (Calamus rudentum) chain trees together with their powerful grasp.

In the sandy soil exposed to the blasts from the south-west, there flourishes the ironwood (Mesua ferrea), that appears to be widely distributed in the district. Malaboda, or wild nutmeg (Myristica laurifolia), the favourite food of the Hill mynah, is both numerous and common to different elevations, but preferring an altitude above 2,000 feet.

Del or wild breadfruit (Artocarpus nobilis), is frequently found in the warmer localities, and affords food to both
birds and squirrels. *Bombax Malabaricum*, or red cotton, the *katsu-imbul* of the Sinhalese, appears frequently below 3,000 feet, and attains a large size. During the flowering season this tree attracts a curious number of birds, that find food both in the flowers as well as on the insects that congregate upon them. *Kekuna (Canarium Zeylanicum)* is found in every native garden in the district, but I do not remember having ever seen it in forest. Cinnamon trees (*Cinnamomum Zeylanicum*) are not uncommonly found in the forest, together with a large number of species known as bastard cinnamon.

*Katuboda (Cullenia excelsa)* appears in many parts of the forest, but it is not very common. Ebony (*Diospyros ebenum*) occurs but very sparingly, and not above 3,000 feet as far as my personal experience goes. The *hora-gaha* or "thief-tree" (*Dipterocarpus Zeylanicus*), is frequently found in sheltered forests, at low elevations, where the Sinhalese use it for the gum-like oil that is extracted from it. The next well-known resin-yielding tree, the stately *dun* (*Doona Zeylanica*), is very numerous on the dividing range, but becomes comparatively rare below 2,500 feet. *Kina badulla, devata*, and many other valuable timber trees abound, too numerous to mention in a paper confined to ornithology, though their claims of interest would otherwise demand a much more extended notice than I am able at present to afford, even presuming that I was sufficiently qualified to render justice to such a task.

I am bound, however, to say a few words with regard to the grass and chena land botany. In the former, the wide extent of *mána* grass (*Andropogon Martini*), freely mixed with the patana or brake fern (both largely used by cinchona planters for "covering" and "shading" respectively), represent the most characteristic features of the so-called grass land. These wide areas of grass are here and there dotted over with the well-known patana oak, and are peculiarly interesting to the ornithologist. As many curious species may be found, such as *Dumeta albogularis, Pyctorhis nasalis, Prinia socialis*, and the ubiquitous *Cisticola cursitans*,
not to mention hawks, swallows, and bee-eaters that frequent such spots.

The chena is, of course, characterised by the presence of that impenetrable bush, *lantana*. This plant owes its spread in a great measure to birds that eat the fruit in large quantities, and carry the seed into open ground, where it quickly spreads from a single tree—if I may apply the word. Lantana affords a very close cover to many bush-loving species, and among them the jungle-fowl, that are quite safe from the collector’s gun, as it is seldom worth the labour to attempt to pick up a small bird that has fallen into thick lantana.

Another common and conspicuous plant is the guava (*Psidium*) or *péra* of the Sinhalese. Near to the town of Balangoda, guava trees abound in countless numbers, affording during the fruit season food for birds, beasts, and man. So common, indeed, is this fruit tree, that plots of land abounding in it are called by the Sinhalese villagers *péra landa* or guava-chena.

The *walla-gaha* (*Gynrops walla*) and the wild olive (*Eucleascarpus serratus*) both occur in chena lands in moderate abundance, and afford fruit to some of the larger birds.

**Climate and Soil.**—From the middle of May to the 15th of October the winds from the south-west keep all vegetation in a perpetual state of unrest, when but few birds, compared to those in the remaining months of the year, are to be met with, excepting the more hardy and stronger species. During this time of the year the rainfall is much less in point of quantity than in the north-east monsoon, though the temperature is very much lower in proportion. Trees are frequently blown down, and exposed ones are rapidly denuded of leaves, and appear ragged and torn. Paddy fields are noisy with numbers of contrivances worked and agitated by the wind for the purpose of frightening off pigs and scaring buntings, though the villagers add a curious commentary on the value of these inventions by having boys, girls, and even men and women to yell and shout whenever a cloud of *goyan-kurulló* hover down upon the
ripening rice crops. From October, again, to May, the very opposite is the atmospheric condition of the year. All is quiet, and scarcely a branch moves, unless some storm of an unusual character has taken place. During this period of rest migratory birds visit the district, and the number and variety of species contrast curiously with the south-west months. The north-east rains are much heavier, though the duration of each storm is smaller than in the opposite monsoon. After a downpour, which sometimes exceeds three inches in a few hours, when the sunlight falls again upon the drenched vegetation, birds appear in the greatest profusion. Bulbuls, parrots, barbets, lori-keets, white-eyes, king-crows, shrikes, and many others congregate in flocks—I might say—at such times, and afford easy and abundant opportunities for the collector. In the evenings, after the sun has sunk below the hill ranges, and darkness begins to draw on, the congregation of crows, the returning flight of bee-eaters, the chitter of cattle mynahs, and the majestic movement of a flock of koku in a white and regular string as they retire to warmer regions, are sights indicative of the quiet and still north-east months, and possess a rare charm. At sunrise the valleys are hidden by long sheets of mist that melt as the day advances, when the migration of birds from their resting-places takes place. At this time the individual call-notes of many birds can be better studied than at any other time—a fact that is of use to the ornithologist in many respects when acquainting himself with the habits of tropical birds.

As rainfall is considered to be an important factor in the colouring of birds, it is also as well to bear the subject in mind.

The temperature varies both in regard to altitude and monsoon. At 2,300 feet elevation, at the burst of the monsoon from the south-west, dry- and wet-bulb readings gave the following result:

15th May, 9 A.M.: dry 79°, wet 7°, dew point 72·3°, humidity, 80.
15th May, 2 p.m.: dry 7°, wet 72°, dew point 69·8°, humidity 84;
At the break of the north-east—
14th October, 9 a.m.: dry 84°, wet 74°, dew point 68·6°, humidity 64.
14th October, 2 p.m.: dry 84°, wet 74°, dew point 67·4°, humidity 57.
At 1,700 feet the average temperature stands at about 80°, and 10° less at 2,000 higher.

Space does not permit of my saying more than a very few words regarding soil. One of the most curious facts claiming attention, however, is the presence of cabook, which crops out in many places. A sandy quartz appears at the foot of both ranges of hills, and a strata of large, white, boulder quartz lies along in an east and west direction between the villages of Bulatgama and Rásagala. Precious stones have been found in many places, and Bambaraboţuwa is now sufficiently famous to need no further remarks on this head.

Plumbago, mica, and kirimetta also appear in various places throughout the district, but not in any very large quantities. The flat valleys also afford clay in sufficient quantity to enable the natives to manufacture bricks and tiles, tiled native houses being by no means uncommon among the more wealthy classes.

Plan.—Having thus sketched out the physical characters of the district, and before passing directly to the subject of this Paper, I wish to say a word respecting the plan I have arranged my notes upon. First, the accurate position of each is of importance from a systematic stand-point, and in this I have closely followed Captain Legge's arrangement as being the most modern.

Detailed description I have avoided, as space would not permit of such being done; and in all cases that I could rely upon, I have given notes upon the nidification of examples. Where matters of ornithological interest occur, I have enlarged upon the subject, with the hope of rendering this Paper both of use as well as of interest.


3. *Neopus Malayensis*, the Black Kite Eagle. Distributed throughout the district, but more numerous under 4,000 feet than above it.

4. *Spizaetus Kelaarti*, the Ceylon Mountain Hawk Eagle. Common, both low down and at the highest levels.


9. *Ninox scutulata*, the Brown Hawk Owl. Shot one specimen in April. Rare.

10. *Glancidium castanomonotum*, the Chestnut-backed Owl. Very common, especially above 2,000 feet.


12. *Palœornis torquatus*, the Rose-ringed Parroquet. I only know of this bird as a common and favourite cage bird among the natives.

13. *Palœornis cyanocephalus*, the Blossom-headed Parroquet. Very numerous about chenas and grass lands, but rarely ascending into the hills above 4,000 feet.

14. *Palœornis Calthropae*, Layard’s Parroquet. Numerous in the forests of the upper hills, but less so at lower elevations, where it appears to be local and confined chiefly to the heavily-wooded ranges.


17. *Chrysocopalæs Stricklandi*, Layard’s Woodpecker. Common, and extending to 2,000 feet, when it becomes less so.

18. *Brachypterus Ceylonus*, Red Woodpecker. Confined to the lower parts of the district, where it is not uncommon, and to be found effecting the cocoanut and other trees in village gardens.

19. *Chrysophlegma xanthoderus*, the Southern Yellow-fronted
Woodpecker. This bird has only once come under notice, and in the lower parts of the district.

20. *Megalæma Zeylonica*, the Ceylon Barbet. Numerous throughout the lower parts of district, up to 2,000 feet.


22. *Xantholæma rubricapilla*, the Little Ceylon Barbet. Very common, and breeding in the district.

23. *Hierocecyx varius*, the Common Hawk Cuckoo. A migrant to the district, and one of the first arrivals.

24. *Surniculus lugubris*, the Drongo Cuckoo. I have twice procured this bird, and on each occasion on chena land at 2,300 feet elevation.

25. *Coccystes coromandus*, the Pied Crested Cuckoo. Extremely rare, as far as my observations show.

26. *Eudynamys honorata*, the Indian Koil. I have heard this bird frequently in the lower parts of the district, and in the vicinity of the river, but it is by no means so common as in the warmer localities.

27. *Phanicophas pyrrhocephalus*, the Mal Kohá or Flowered Koil. By no means uncommon in the thick forests of the lower hills.


29. *Centropus rufipennis*, the Jungle Crow. Extremely common in the chena, and very frequently to be seen walking along newly-built bunds, seeking worms and frogs.

30. *Harpactes fasciatus*, the Trogon. Widely distributed throughout the jungles of the district.

31. *Tuckus Cingalensis*, the Ceylonese Hornbill. Distributed throughout the lower parts of the district, ascending to 3,000 feet.

32. *Alcedo Bengalensis*, the Little Indian King-fisher. Common in every paddy field in the district.

33. *Pelargopsis Guriel*, the Stork-billed King-fisher. I have repeatedly seen and heard this bird, but most frequently along the banks of the Waławé-gañga.

34. *Halcyon Smyrnensis*, the White-breasted King-fisher. Very common, and resident throughout the year.

35. *Merops Philippensis*, the Blue-tailed Bee-cater. One of the first migrants to the district, arriving in September and departing with the south-west monsoon advent.
36. Chaetura gigantea, the Spike-tailed Swift. By no means uncommon.

37. Cypselus melba, the Alpine Swift. I have seen this bird more than once, but never secured a specimen.

38. Cypselus affinis, the Indian Swift. I have often seen this Swift during thunderstorms, but possess no specimen.

39. Cypselus batassianis, the Palm Swift. I have frequently seen this Swift in the lowest part of the district, but never above 1,800 feet.

40. Cococalia Francica, the Indian Swiftlet. A very common bird in the district, and probably nests here in cavernous streams.

41. Caprimulgus Kelaarti, Kelaart’s Night Jar. I have seen this Goatsucker at a high elevation, and in the neighbourhood of grass lands, but from my own observations I am not inclined to think it common in the district.

42. Caprimulgus Asiaticus, the Night Jar. Confined to the lower parts of the district, ascending as high as 2,300 feet, but scarce at that level.

43. Corone macrorhyncha, the Black Crow. Very common about Balangoda and all the native villages up to about 3,000 feet, above which it does not go.

44. Cissa ornata, the Ceylonese Jay. Common in all the heavily-timbered forests from 2,000 feet and upwards.

45. Oriolus melanocephalus, the Black-headed Oriole. Very common throughout the lower parts of the district.

46. Graculus macii, the Large Indian Cuckoo-shrike. I have more than once seen this beautiful bird, and procured a specimen at 2,300 feet, but it is by no means common.

47. Pericrocolus flammeus, the Orange Minivet. Very common from 2,000 feet and upwards, and to be met with in both monsoons, but more numerous during the north-east than in the south-west.

48. Pericrocolus peregrinus, the Little Minivet. I have seen and procured this bird close to Alutnuwara (1,800 feet), and observed it in the grass lands below Denigama, but it is not nearly so numerous as the former, and only a visitor.

49. Lalage sykesi, the Black-headed Cuckoo Shrike. Not uncommon during the north-east monsoon, ascending to 3,500 feet.

50. Tephrodornis affinis, the common Wood Shrike. This is one of the most interesting of our migratory birds, arriving early
in September, and remaining close up to the break of the south-west monsoon, when it departs.

51. *Hemipus picatus*, the Pied Shrike. Not uncommon about the edges of forests, bounding patana or chena from 2,300 feet upwards.

52. *Buchanga leucopygas*, the White-bellied Drongo. Very common up to about 4,500 feet elevation, but absent, so far as I am able to discern, above that altitude.

53. *Dessemurus lephrhimus*, the Crested Drongo. Strictly a forest bird, and of considerable extent of distribution, being equally numerous at 2,000 and 4,500 feet.

54. *Terpsiphone paradisi*, the Paradise Fly-catcher. By no means uncommon during the north-east monsoon, and ascending to over 4,000 feet, but is much more numerous at a lower elevation.

55. *Hypothymis Ceylonensis*, the Azure Fly-catcher. Numerous about suitable localities.

56. *Culicicapa Ceylonensis*, the Grey-headed Fly-catcher. One of the commonest birds at the higher elevations, but not unfrequently met with at 1,800 feet.

57. *Alsonas muttui*, the Rusty Fly-catcher. I have met with it occasionally at altitudes from 2,000 to 4,300 feet.

58. *Soparala sordida*, the Ceylonese Blue Fly-catcher. Frequent at elevations above 3,000 feet, and descending in the north-east monsoon to 2,000 feet, and probably lower.

59. *Siphia Tickellia*, the Blue Redbreast. During the north-east monsoon this little bird may be frequently seen in the dense jungles at elevations from 5,000 down to 2,000 feet.

60. *Muscicapa hyperythera*, Neitner's Fly-catcher. Though I am unable to discover the limits of distribution, I can safely speak of its presence during the north-east monsoon in this district, and absence during the opposite season.

61. *Copsychus sularis*, the Magpie Robin, very numerous, and at all elevations.

62. *Thamnobic fulicata*, the Black Robin. Rather peculiar in distribution. I have found it about Balangoda and villages beyond, and also along the line of road up to Haldummulla, but never at a corresponding altitude towards the centre of the district.

63. *Larvivora brunnea*, the Indian Woodchat. I have seen this bird in this district at an elevation of 4,000 feet, but from my experience I find it is rare, and but little known.
64. *Turdus Kinnisi*, the Ceylon Blackbird. I have once or twice met with this bird, but unfortunately failed to procure a specimen.

65. *Turdusspeloptera*, the Spotted Thrush. Widely distributed throughout the district from 1,700 feet to the highest altitudes.

66. *Turdus Wardi*, Ward's Pied Blackbird. During February and March I found numbers of these birds, and frequently as many as 20 of them together. They were so extremely wary, however, that I only succeeded in getting one specimen, and that was so disfigured that I took no measurement. The elevation at which I found them was about 3,500, and later I met with another flock at the same altitude. They were often found in company with the following species.

67. *Oreocincla umbricata*, the Buff-breasted Thrush. This species is not uncommon, but being a lover of dense jungle, it is rarely seen. I have procured specimens from 2,000 feet to 4,000 feet in the district.

68. *Monticola cyana*, the Blue Rock Thrush. I procured a very fine specimen among some boulder rocks at 2,300 feet, in November. I have since met with it at 4,000 feet in similar localities.

69. *Myiophonus Blighi*, Bligh's Whistling Thrush. I shot a specimen about half a mile on the Balangoda side of the dividing range between this district and Bagawantalawa. I have met with it since at 4,000 feet.

70. *Hypsipetes geneesa*, the Black Bulbul. One of the commonest of our birds, and found in both monsoons. It becomes somewhat scarce above 4,000 feet, and at this elevation is mostly to be found in the vicinity of patana land and isolated patches of jungle, of which it appears to be very fond.

71. *Criniger ictericus*, the Forest Bulbul. Very common in all forests below 4,000 feet, though occasionally found above that level. It appears to remain throughout the year, as I have found nestlings at 2,500 feet, in the south-west monsoon.

72. *Ixos luteculus*, the White Eye-browed Bulbul. The Cinnamon Thrush, of Europeans. I have rarely met with this bird above 3,000 feet, but below that altitude it becomes more numerous, as it descends. It appears to be a strictly bush-bird, frequenting the lantana and scrub jungles in and about patanas.

73. *Rubigula melanictera*, the Black-headed Bulbul. Very numerous from 4,000 feet downwards, and common throughout
the year. It frequents bush jungle and also large forests, but is more partial to the former. These Bulbuls are fond of streams, bathing in the heat of the day in shallow pools, into which they wade, throwing water over their backs, after the manner of the domestic duck. I have procured nestlings in the N.E. monsoon at 2,500 feet.

74. *Keaartia penicillata*, the Yellow-eared Bulbul. A purely hill species, and very common from 3,000 feet upwards, and throughout the whole year. It is gregarious in its habits, living in small flocks of from six to twenty.

75. *Pyconotus haemorrhous*, the Madras Bulbul. Dysentery Bird, and Common Bulbul, of Europeans. Very numerous at all elevations throughout the district, and more particularly so at lower altitudes. It nests during the early months of the year, at high as well as low levels.

76. *Phyllornis Terdoni*, the Green Bulbul. Numerous below 3,000 feet, and sometimes ascending above that altitude. I have found it in both monsoons. It is very fond of open forests and jungles surrounding paddy fields.

77. *Iora tipha*, the Common Bush Bulbul. Ceylon Bush creeper, Kelaart. Very common about 2,000 feet, and lower. It affects lantana and "guava-chenas," feeding on the fruit of both. The variation in colouring is very considerable, some having a preponderance of green, and others of black.

78. *Malacocercus striatus*, the Common Babbler. Very common from 2,000 feet downwards, and infesting the bush as well as native gardens.

79. *Malacocercus rufescens*, the Rufus Babbler. This species is nearly as common as the last in suitable localities in the forests. It is rather a nuisance to the collector, as when once a flock of these birds are startled, they scare away other birds by their discordant cries. They are numerous from 2,000 feet upwards, and at all times of the year. I have frequently found them in company with the Sub-crested King-crows.

80. *Garrulax cinereifrons*, the Ashy-headed Babbler. I have on three occasions procured specimens of this species in this district—once in November at 2,300 feet, and again in April and August at 4,000 feet. From my observations it appears to be rare, and seemingly scarce during the S.W. monsoon. Like both the foregoing, it is a strictly gregarious bird, inhabiting dense forest underwood, and confined more to the higher hills. I have a specimen shot in Dikoya at 5,000 feet, in September.
81. *Pomatorhinus melanurus*, the Scimitar-bill Babbler. To be found at all elevations throughout the district, and about equally distributed, but nowhere very common. It may be found hopping Woodpecker-like up some mossy stem of a tree in the dense jungle, or sneaking among the close lantana thickets, much after the manner of the White Eye-browed Bulbul.

82. *Dumetia albogularis*, the White-throated Wren-babbler. Frequently to be met with in grass lands and “cane-brakes” of *rambuk* grass that is not uncommon in paddy fields. It associates in small flocks, and appears to be more numerous towards sunset than during the heat of the day. At such times I have observed swarms of them in the “cane-brakes” mentioned above. They appear to remain throughout the year in this district, but I have failed to procure either nests or young.

83. *Alcippe nigrifrons*, the Ceylon Wren-babbler. Fairly common throughout Balangoda. It affects the underwood and dense cane (*bata*) clumps, using frequently the leaves of the latter for building its nest. It breeds about the early part of the year, and places its domed nest in the fork of a short tree, or bush, near the ground, in which it deposits two broadly ovate eggs of a white ground colour, speckled over with red-brown or chocolate-brown spots.

84. *Pellorneum fuscicapillum*, the Whistling Quaker-thrush. Frequenting the bush jungle and thick underwood of the larger forests. From its skulking habits and tame colours it is often passed by unnoticed, where a less common and brighter tinted bird would at once be seen. It builds in the district, and I was fortunate enough to find two eggs. They are much like those of the last-mentioned species, but longer and more oval in shape. The speckles are more profuse, and very generally distributed. The nest is cup-shaped, composed of fine roots, moss, and leaves, and situated a few feet from the ground. I observed the nest in March, and allowed the parents to rear the young; but, unfortunately, both nest and birds were destroyed by a heavy fall of rain, before the latter had reached any age.

85. *Pyctorhis nasalis*, the Black-billed Babbler. I have met with it in the grass lands of the district, but I have not found it common, or so numerous as on the Kandy side of the country.

86. *Orthotomus suttorius*, the Indian Tailor-bird. Equally distributed through the district, and resident all the year round, but at no time very common.
87. *Prinia socialis*, the Ashy Wren-warbler. Affecting the grass lands above 3,000 feet. I have frequently seen it in the patanas between Denégama and Boltumba, in company with the following species.

88. *Drymarchon valida*, the Robust Wren-warbler. Affecting grass lands, grass plots, and not unfrequently abandoned coffee fields. It is one of the commonest birds in the district, in suitable localities.

89. *Drymarchon insularis*, the White-browed Wren-warbler. I have once met with this bird, at about 4,000 feet elevation, but from my observations it is not common in this district.

90. *Cisticola curritans*, the Common Grass-warbler. I have repeatedly seen this bird in the grass lands and paddy fields of the district, but it is not so numerous here as in the higher parts of the Island, as for instance Bogawantalawa.

91. *Acrocephalus dumetorum*, Blyth's Reed or Bush-warbler. I am not quite satisfied with the descriptions afforded relating to this species by Messrs. Legge, Holdsworth, and Kelaart. None of these writers describe it as living in small flocks, as I have always found both in this and other districts. I have observed it in March and April, and procured specimens in September, and I remember to have seen it in intermediate months, so that the impression left on my mind is, that it is a resident, though the greater number may be migratory.

92. *Phylloscopus nitidus*, the Green Tree-warbler. A migratory species, arriving in September and remaining in considerable profusion till March, when it becomes scarce, and disappears by the end of April. During its stay it is one of our commonest and most restless birds, affecting both forest and coffee alike.

93. *Parus atriceps*, the Grey-backed Titmouse. Very common above 3,000 feet, but scarce below that level. It spends the year with us, and builds in the district.

94. *Dendrophila frontalis*, the Blue Nuthatch. Very common at all levels throughout the district. There appears to be some slight variation in size, according to elevation.

95. *Cinnyris Lotenius*, Loten's Sun-bird. Not uncommon about 1,700 to 2,000 feet, and may be met with in chenas.

96. *Cinnyris Zeylonicus*, the Ceylon Sun-bird. Very common below 3,000 feet, but becoming scarce above that altitude. I have found its nest in May, close on the above elevation, and also at 2,000 feet in March.
97. *Dicaeum minimum*, Tickell's Flower-pecker. The smallest bird we have. It is very common at all elevations, and appears to be equally numerous all the year round. The "mistletoe" parasite, common in many trees, especially orange, appears to afford the most favourite food for this little bird, and owing to the viscid nature of the seed, it attaches itself to the bird's bill, and thus becomes spread to a considerable extent.

98. *Pachyglossa vincens*, Legge's Flower-pecker. I have twice seen this bird, close to Plevna estate (2,200 feet), but failed to procure a specimen, and unfortunately took no note of the date on which I made the observation. In November, 1877, I shot a male in Pusselláwa, at 4,000 feet elevation.

99. *Zosterops palpebrosa*, the Common White-eye. Very common below 2,500 feet, and to be met with in all chena lands about that altitude.

100. *Zosterops ceylonensis*, the Ceylon White-eye. Very numerous from 2,500 feet and upwards. It associates in flocks of from ten to fifty birds.

101. *Hirundo rustica*, the Common Swallow. One of the first to appear of the migratory birds, and during its stay is extremely plentiful. It is particularly fond of paddy fields and open patana lands, where these birds may be said to swarm. I have seen as many as fifty congregate on a fence in a paddy field, and among them a curious variety of coloured birds. In some, the plumage is a dull rusty brown, while others have a fine steel-blue green tint. They appear in September, and become scarce in March and April.

102. *Hirundo hypertytha*, the Chestnut-bellied Swallow. Numerous throughout the district, but more common at 2,000 feet elevation than 4,000. It nests here, building a curious bottle-shaped structures in caves. The eggs are three in number, and laid about April, and are in form, rather a narrow oval and pure white in colour.

103. *Hirundo Javanica*, the Bungalow Swallow. Numerous above 3,000 feet, much less so below that elevation. It is less common during the S.W. monsoon than in the N.E., probably because the wind is too furious for so small a bird. It builds in bungalows and other buildings during the early months of the year—i.e., from about March to May. The eggs are three in number, broad oval, of a pale white colour, spotted over with umber, or red-brown, more closely marked at the broad than the opposite end.
104. *Passer domesticus*, the Common House-sparrow. I omit further remarks as being unnecessary.

105. *Passer.......?* the Olive-brown Sparrow. Early in the present year, or towards the close of last, when on a snipe-shooting expedition,—I have unfortunately forgotten the date,—my attention was drawn to the noisy churrup of seemingly thousands of sparrows, in a clump of “rambukkan” grass. On getting closer a dense cloud of these birds got up, and settled down again in another clump of rambukkan, about fifty yards from where I stood. I fired, and secured three or four birds. They appeared to be in mature plumage, and all much alike in colouration. On my returning to the resthouse with a few snipe and these sparrows, unfortunately the servants picked out the latter, and seemingly threw them away as useless,—at any rate they were gone, and without any further written notes regarding size, &c. I have never to my knowledge met with this species before or since, and am inclined to consider them new. Colour of iris, as well as I can remember, was brown, billumber, and tarsus straw-brown.

106. *Motacilla melanope*, the Grey Wagtail. A migrant, arriving during the first week in September and departing again in April. During their stay there is scarcely a stream, be it in the most gloomy forest or open and cultivated land, that has not got its Wagtail actively running about, peeping and peering into each crook and corner for food. It is equally common in paddy fields, where it runs along the “bunds” with the same restless activity as it does elsewhere.

107. *Limonidromus Indicus*, the Wood Wagtail. I have only three or four times met with this graceful little bird, and each time during the N.E. monsoon, and at about 2,000 feet elevation.

108. *Corydalla rufula*, the Common Pipit. Not uncommon throughout the district, and rather more numerous in the N.E. than the S.W. monsoon. It is much more common on the Halpé and Kalupahana side of the district than towards the centre or western side.

109. *Munia Kelaartii*, the Hill Munia, or Bunting. Very numerous throughout the district. I have shot numbers in the paddy fields near Balangoda, though I observe Legge remarks (pp. 651) that “it is essentially an Alpine bird, not being found below 2,000 feet, and not very numerous at that height.” It breeds from March to about the end of the S.W. monsoon,
building in bushy trees, and sometimes in the hollows of dead and broken trees. The eggs are from two to four in number, pure white in colour, and sometimes pinkish-white, when very fresh, with a cap of paler tint at the broad end.


111. *Munia striata*, the White-backed Munia. Very frequently to be found about paddy fields, but scarce away from them. In Raëlalla District I have found these little birds breeding in fruit-trees in my garden, though the nearest paddy land was some distance from where I lived.

112. *Artamus fuscus*, the Ashy Wood Swallow. I have occasionally met with this bird in small flocks hawking over open fields and chena, but it is by no means common, as far as my experience leads me to believe.

113. *Acridotheres melanosternus*, the Ceylon Mynah. Common in every paddy field in the district where there are buffaloes.

114. *Sturnornis senex*, the White-headed Starling. A rare bird, frequenting open lands that are here and there dotted over with solitary trees or clumps of jungle. I believe that the examples in the Museum of this bird were procured by myself in this district. I have found it only in flocks, and on separate occasions of some months apart, at 2,500 feet elevation.

115. *Eulabes ptilogenys*, Ceylon Mynah. Very abundant at nearly all altitudes in the district, though less so at 2,000 than 4,000 feet.

116. *Pitta coronata*, the Indian Pitta. A migratory bird, arriving during the N.E. monsoon, during which time it is numerous throughout the district, and equally so or nearly equally so at all elevations. The Cwhales often catch these birds and cage them, but they do not live long in confinement, usually breaking their necks against the cage, or over-eating themselves. The Cwhales name (*avichchiyā*) is taken from the peculiar call these birds utter, particularly during the early mornings, or when going to roost in the trees at nightfall.

117. *Patumbus Torringtoniæ*—The Ceylon Wood-pigeon. Present throughout the year, but local according to the abundance or the reverse of certain fruits, so much so that one commonly hears sportsmen remark that these pigeons “are not in”—a statement rather wide of the truth when made with reference to an endemic species.

119. *Chalcophaps Indica*, the Bronze-winged Dove. Frequenting heavy forests and forest glades; fairly abundant throughout the year.

120. *Osmotreron pompadora*, the Pompadour Green-pigeon. Numerous about elevations from 2,000 to 3,000 feet, and generally gregarious. Owing to their shyness, and the similarity between their colour and the trees they frequent, they are not so often seen as heard. Their flesh is very good, most especially during the fruit season, when they are fat and plump.

121. *Gallus Lafayettii*, the Ceylon Jungle-fowl. Very common, and not infrequently shot by native hunters, from whom I have bought them for a rupee each.

122. *Galloperdix bicalceata*, the Ceylon Spur-fowl. Very abundant throughout all the large forests, and at all elevations. They breed in the district. I have frequently flushed a hen and her chicks, but the extraordinary shyness of this bird and its swiftness of foot renders it extremely hard to secure.

123. *Turnix Taigoor*, the Black-breasted Bustard-quail. Found sparingly throughout the district, affecting "kurakkan" fields, dry paddy, and grass land. I have observed this bird all through the year, but have never seen the nest, eggs, or young.

124. *Porzana fusca*, the Ruddy Rail. I have only seen two examples of this little bird, one of which I shot on the 14th March, 1883. It was creeping about the bunds in the high paddy, much after the manner of a quail. This was at an elevation of 1,800 feet.

125. *Erythra phaeicura*, the White-breasted Water-hen. Very common about all swampy low-lying land and paddy fields. These birds breed in the district during the latter part of the N.E. monsoon and into the S.W.; but though I have found chicks, I have not secured nests or eggs.

126. *Rhynchacela capensis*, the Painted Snipe. I have shot several of these snipe, and from observations I have made I am inclined to believe that they breed in the district. One spot in particular I have repeatedly found these birds in, and though "shot over," the scared birds return to the same haunt again and again.

127. *Gallinago stenura*, the Pin-tailed Snipe. A visitor to
the district, arriving during September and departing in April.
I have seen a solitary snipe on the 1st of September, and have
also flushed them in May, but they are most numerous from
November to February. During these months, sportsmen come
down to the district from Dikoya, Dimbula, and elsewhere, and
secure very large "bags," but much of this depends on the
weather. If very heavy rains have fallen, the fields in the
vicinity of streams become flooded, and the snipe leave for
higher fields, or betake themselves to the chena. These birds
show a strange partiality to particular localities; thus I have
found in a small field of about an acre in extent, snipe congre-
gating both early and late, in the season, while the surrounding
fields have few, if any, in them.

With regard to the migration of the species, I am inclined to
think that weather has much to do with it; for even after a large
number have been in particular spots for a length of time, they
suddenly leave them for others, partly because of floods and
partly because of the condition of the field affording much or
little shelter or food, as the case may be. On moonlight nights
I have come upon numbers of Pin-tails in open chenas and dry or
disused paddy fields, and heard them fly off with their peculiar
cry into the surrounding chenas.

In 1877 I had the fortune to shoot a snipe with a nearly
full-sized egg in her, but I have never since either shot one
with egg, or have I seen or heard of the bird nesting in Ceylon,
though the above case is of considerable ornithological interest.

I have heard of the real snipe (G. scolopacina) having been
shot here, but this information I take very much *cum grano*, as
I believe it to be a rare visitor, and the confusion that appears to
exist in sportsmen's nomenclature as to snipe in general, renders
the occurrence to my mind the more doubtful.

abundant at low elevations during the N.E. monsoon, during
which time it affects paddy fields and wet places, congregating in
flocks.

129. *Bubulcus coromandus*, the Cattle Egret. Very com-
mon in the district about the elevations of from 2,500 feet down-
wards. It does not spend the whole year here, and in fact flocks
of these birds may be seen in the early mornings of the N.E.
monsoon making their way to fields, and returning with the
close of day. They fly in a perfect string, not unlike a distant
train of white carriages, till they reach some tall spreading tree
on which to roost.

130. *Ardeola Grayi*, the Pond Heron. Very numerous, and
to be found all the year round in nearly every large paddy field
in the district below 2,500 feet.

131. *Ardeiralla cinnamomea*, the Chestnut Bittern. Not
uncommon in swampy and paddy lands throughout the year,
but at no time very numerous. They are very slow in their flight,
which appears to be laboured and uneven. When on the wing
they utter a curious grunting sort of sound, accompanied by a
snapping of the mandibles. I have seen another species of
Bittern in the district, but failed to procure it, so abstain from
including it in my catalogue.

132. *Astur badius*, the Indian Goshawk. Found sparingly
about open land and chenas.

133. *Cuculus Sonnerati*, Sonnerat’s Cuckoo. I have only
procured one example of this little cuckoo, which was shot by my
friend Mr. H. B. Roberts on some chena, at an elevation of about
2,400 feet, during the month of March.
ORNITHOLOGICAL NOTES TAKEN IN THE
BOGAWANTALÁWA DISTRICT DURING
SEPTEMBER, 1882.

BY FREDERICK LEWIS, ESQ.

The S.W. monsoon of 1882 must be considered to have been an abnormal one; for both the quantity of rain and humidity of temperature have by far exceeded those known for many previous years.

Under such peculiar atmospheric influences as these, the month of September must be viewed with unusual interest by the ornithologist, it being the period when the arrival of migratory birds should first be looked for. With this object in view, I purpose to give a short catalogue of such birds as fell within my own observation and that of another observer,—Mr. H. B. Roberts, of Eltofts estate,—whose authority I consider unquestionable.

I must preface my remarks by saying that I was stationed for a month at the Devonford estate, the elevation of which is over 5,000 feet above the sea, and situated at the extreme east of the Bogawantaláwa valley, or more properly that portion of the district nearest the dividing range between the Central and Western Provinces; so that an influx of migrants from the N.E. would pass through or over this property, before spreading down the Bogawantaláwa valley proper.

During my stay at the locality in question, I never had the opportunity of a complete week of dry weather, and the mornings were nearly always misty, cold, and damp—a contingency which must receive due consideration as bearing upon the limits of bird migration.

In my list I have followed Captain Legge's classification for the convenience of those possessing his valuable work, and the nomenclature according to such authorities as are well known and established. As regards systems, it is
needless, if not inopportune here, to speak, when a mere catalogue of observations upon specimens is the object of the writer.

1. *Astur trivirgatus*, the Crested Goshawk. I saw one example of this Goshawk on the Balaṅgoḍa side of the range, and Mr. Roberts spoke of having seen one on or near his estate. September is very early to find this bird on the hills, though I am inclined to think that a few individuals may spend the whole year in the Island. During the dry months from February to May, these birds are comparatively numerous, though at no time very common. They affect clumps of forest situated in patana lands, migrating at times over the coffee estates, where they prey upon the common green *Calotes*, so well known in the high districts.

2. *Spizastus Kelaarti*, the Mountain Hawk Eagle. I saw a pair of these birds one morning circling round a piece of flat land near the jungle, on the Fetteressso estate. This was the only occasion that I can remember meeting with this eagle.

3. *Elanus caruleus*, the Black-shouldered Kite. I saw two or three of these fine birds on the Bopatalawa patanas, but though I fired at them I failed to secure a specimen. They affected the marshy ground, perching occasionally on the rhododendron trees that grow by the side of the streams in these patanas, and when flushed they flew off, still in the direction of where these trees grew. In flight they strongly resemble a Sea-gull, excepting in the act of “stooping,” when they will continue to hover over one spot for several minutes together, before swooping down upon their prey. They are widely distributed over the country, particularly during the N.E. months, and in grass or patana lands.

4. *Glancidium castanonotum*, the Chestnut-backed Owlet. I found many examples of this little owl. They seemed to be most numerous in belts of standing forest, where at any hour of the day they might be either seen or heard. They not infrequently come out into the clearings, but only when forest is a moderate distance off.

5. *Syrmium indrani*, the Brown Wood-owl, or Devil-bird. I heard this Owl on three or four occasions, but never saw or heard of a specimen being secured. On each occasion that I heard it the cry came from the direction of the heavy forest.

6. *Palaornis calthropa*, Layard’s Paroquet. This was the only paroquet I observed during my stay. I found it near the
river in the jungle reservation below the Killarney and Bogawarnie estates. It was very noticeable, that as soon as one got into the Saffragam country, there *P. cyanocephalus* was more numerous than this species; though I failed to see or secure a single example of the latter in Bogawantalawa.

7. *Loriculus Indicus*, the Ceylon Loriquet. I think I heard this bird near the river at Koṭṭiyagara, as it flew over some forest trees. I saw this loriquet in Dikoya in 1876; so its presence here is not unreasonable.

8. *Chrysocolaptes Stricklandi*, the Red Hill Woodpecker, on Layard’s Woodpecker. Very numerous. Affecting dead trees in clearings and in forests, sometimes alone, and at others in pairs or in flocks. I may remark in passing, that casual observers often mistake this Woodpecker for the Red Woodpecker (*Brachypterus Ceylonus*), so common in the low-country. Apart from other and more minute distinctions of species, Layard’s Woodpecker differs from the low-country bird in the colour of the iris: in the former the iris is buff, marbled in the females; in *B. Ceylonus* it is red, or lake-red. The cry is also distinct, *B. Ceylonus* uttering a loud call like the words “*care, care, care,*” quickly repeated, a note I have never heard produced by *C. Stricklandi*.

9. *Megalama flavifrons*, the Yellow-fronted Barbet. Very numerous in the forest reservation before alluded to, and less so in the large jungle, except when descending towards Balaṅgoḍa. In 1876 this Barbet was nearly rare, but of late years it appears to have spread through the upper hills, as it is to be met with in the three sister-districts of Dimbula, Dikoya, and Maskeliya. It probably nests in the dead trees up-country, though I failed to secure either nests or eggs.

10. *Centropus rufipennis*, the Ground Cuckoo, or Jungle Crow. Mr. Roberts informs me he has heard this bird, and its appearance is highly probable, as I have repeatedly seen it on the Radella cricket ground in Dimbula, which is nearly the same altitude as the Bogawantalawa district. I never saw the bird or heard it during my stay.

11. *Harpactes fasciatus*, the Ceylon Trogon. I saw the Ceylon Trogon repeatedly, but always by itself. Its skulking habits and motionless attitude often renders its presence hard to discover, unless it should attract attention by its peculiar monosyllabic “*chow,—chow,—chow*” note, slowly repeated, and in a
descending scale. Dense hollows, thickly-wooded streams, and
avines are favourite haunts for this beautiful bird.

In Maskeliya, in 1875, I more than once met with small flocks
of Trogons in the newly felled clearings, but I have never since
seen them under like circumstances.

12. *Aleco Bengalenisis*, the Little Indian Blue Kingfisher.
Notwithstanding all my efforts I never succeeded in seeing or
hearing this Kingfisher. Mr. Roberts said he thought he heard
at once, while two other gentlemen declared it to be common.
There seems to be no reason why, so far as I can see, these King-
fishers should not be found in this district, as I procured it on
Mahanillu river, in the eastern extremity of the Maskeliya
district, and found it building on a branch of that stream; again,
on the Dambulanda-oya, in Lindula, and the Agra-oya, in the
Agras, Dimbula.

I give it here on the grounds of favourable probabilities, though
as mentioned above, I did not procure a specimen.

13. *Halcyon Smyrnensis*, the White-breasted Kingfisher. I
have repeatedly seen a pair of these birds perchîng on some high
dead trees overlooking a marshy piece of ground on Bogawarnie
estate. I never saw them elsewhere, as in Dimbula, where they
frequent the river-banks. This Kingfisher is by no means common
in high districts, and is, I am inclined to think, a visitor, though,
possibly, individual pairs may be found remaining all the year
round at unusual altitudes.

14. *Merops Philippinus*, the Blue-tailed Bee-eater. This
bird is a migrant to the upper hills during the north-east monsoon,
and may be met with at the highest elevations in the Island, in
the course of its migration through the country. The first I
heard in Bogawantalawa was on the 21st September. They are
particularly partial to patana land up-country, perchîng not
unfrequently on the “mána” grass stalks in lieu of dead trees,
which form a sort of outlook, from which they dart off after dragon-
flies, or such insects as fall within their reach or observation.

15. *Chatura gigantea*, the Spine-tailed Swift. Possessed of
such power of wing and flight, it is scarcely to be wondered at
that this bird should be found at 6 a.m. in Bogawantalawa, and
ere sun-down have winged its way over to the Nilgiris.

I have seen the bird in Rakwana and Gampola, and on three
or four occasions two birds used to perch among the rafters of my
bungalow of an evening at Balangoda.
During my stay in Bogawantaláwa I saw this Swift repeatedly. It seemed to have no special hour for hawking about, unless in the mornings, and in the evenings after rain.

16. *Collocalia Francica*, the Indian Edible-nest Swift. Very numerous. Wet evenings appeared to be their favourite time for appearing, when they would assemble in great numbers.

In Maskeliya I had the good fortune to come upon a large “breeding station” of these Swifts, situated in a cavernous stream, at a high elevation. I may here mention, with regard to the nidification of this species, that Capt. Legge, in his “History of Ceylon Birds,” says (p. 325) that “the breeding-season of this little Swiftlet in Ceylon lasts from March until June.” I have found both eggs and birds in all stages of development at the latter end of September, and also in the early part of the year; so it appears probable that it breeds at all times of the year.

17. *Caprimulgus Kelaarti*, Kelaart’s Night-jar. I am indebted to Mr. Roberts for information respecting this species. He informs me that he saw it more than once, and on one occasion in the compound of his bungalow. It is also said to be “common” on the patanas. I procured a specimen on the 28th November, 1876, on the Ingestre estate, which might, from its situation, be considered as in Lower Bogawantaláwa. I neither heard nor saw it this year during my short stay in the district.

18. *Cissa ornata*, the Ceylon Jay. Very common in suitable localities. I am inclined to think this Jay builds up-country, as on one occasion I found a broken shell at the foot of a high forest tree, to and from which I had repeatedly observed a pair of Jays going and coming. The egg, or piece of it, was bluish-green in colour, closely freckled over with dots and blotches of rust-red and chocolate-brown.

19. *Pericrocotus flammeus*, the Orange Minivet. Common in suitable localities. During very wet weather this bird appears to become suddenly scarce, and as soon as a blink of sunshine comes out, the sharp little twitter and graceful flight of the bird soon proclaims its presence. Individual birds are rarely seen, as these Minivets keep together in small parties of five or six, and even more.

20. *Hypothymis Ceylonensis*, the Azure Fly-catcher. By no means common, though not rare. I found it in thick jungle and dense underwood, and occasionally near the edges of heavy forest.
21. *Culicicapa Ceylonensis*, the Grey-headed Fly-catcher. Very abundant, and one of the commonest of the hill birds. It is by no means unusual to meet with this little Fly-catcher in the gardens around the bungalows up-country.

22. *Stoparola sordida*, the Blue Fly-catcher. Fairly numerous. They may frequently be found perched upon a stump or branch in the coffee, singing a melancholy little warble at any hour of the day. After showers they are particularly active in their search for food, and they render valuable assistance to the cinchona planter by destroying young cinchona caterpillars. I found it nesting in Dimbula, in 1874, in the hollow of a rotten stump. The eggs were two in number, pale dirty-white, spotted, and blotched with red-brown.

23. *Muscicapa hyperythra*, Niéter's Robin Fly-catcher. I observed one on the Eltofts estate flying about from branch to branch in a belt of blue gum-trees. They are rather numerous in December and January, and spread over all the hill districts, as far as I am aware, during the cold season, disappearing during the S.W. monsoon.

24. *Pratincola bicola*, the Hill Bush-chat. I found this bird in both adult and in mature plumage on the Bopataláwa and Bogawantálawa patanas. Its peculiar habit of seating itself on the highest branch of a rhododendron is very conspicuous, and on a rainy day it represents the entire extent of bird life seen upon the cold bleak plains in the hill country.

25. *Copsychus saularis*, the Magpie Robin. I saw a hen-bird on the Campion estate, but during the months of January and February these robins are comparatively common, though not nearly in the same proportion as at lower altitudes.

26. *Turdus Kinnisi*, the Ceylon Black-bird. I once met with this bird in a belt of forest on the Devonford estate, but it was not plentiful seemingly around the locality where I was situated.

27. *Turdus spiloptera*, the Spotted Thrush. I repeatedly heard this beautiful Thrush singing its deep and full-toned song from some shady dell in the forest. I have seen it picking worms off newly-cut soil, seemingly regardless of my presence, unless I came within a few yards, when it would fly off into the close underwood, and be hidden from view.

28. *Oreocinclia imbricata*, the Buff-breasted Thrush. I saw a single specimen on a stump in a newly-cleared piece of land close
to the Devonford estate. I obtained it in Pusselláwa in July, and also in Balañgođa in October, at an elevation of under 2,000 feet.

29. *Myiophonus Blighi*, Bligh's Blue Thrush. I was fortunate enough to shoot a fine specimen of this rare bird. I found it picking up worms off the road I was engaged in widening, and it regarded me with little concern. I unfortunately knocked nearly all the skin to atoms, intending otherwise to send it to the Colombo Museum.

30. *Kelaartia pencillata*, the Yellow-eared Bulbul. Very numerous in all the jungles up-country. Legge speaks nothing about the nidification of this species. I have several times taken the nest in the hill-country, and I was fortunate enough to find a nest in course of construction near the summit of "Jacob's-ladder." It is a moderately large structure, composed of fibrous roots, moss, and leaves, neatly put together in a circular form. The eggs are (as far as I have found) two in number, of a pale greenish white ground colour, dotted and blotched with pale red marks and splashings, which are more closely shown at the broad than at the opposite end.

31. *Pycnonotus hæmorrhous*, the Madras Bulbul, or Common Bulbul. Mr. Roberts informs me that he saw a pair, looking the picture of utter misery, near his estate. During the dry weather they may be seen occasionally, but not in the same profusion as in the low-country.


33. *Pomatorhinus melanurus*, the Ceylon Scimitar-babbler. Fairly numerous. It affects thick underwood and hollows in heavy jungle.

34. *Alcippe nigrifrons*, the Wren-babbler. Common, and generally found in small flocks in the underwood of large forest. They are very fond of bamboo clumps and fallen trees, over the branches of which they hop about with astonishing activity.

35. *Pellorneum fuscicapillum*, the Whistling Wren-babbler. I met with several examples. Like the foregoing, they affect thick underwood and close bushes. In the Western Province I have found them numerous in detached clumps of jungle, and sometimes in chena.

36. *Orthotomus sutorius*, the Indian Tailor-bird. Not very uncommon, and sometimes their nests are found constructed in the broad leaves of the *cinchona succirubra*. 
37. *Dymæca insularis*, the White-browed Wren-warbler. I saw a single example of this species in a piece of bramble near the river at Bagawantálawa. It is common at 4,000 feet on the Sabaragamuwa side of the range.

38. *Cisticola cursitans*, the Common Grass-warbler. This little bird is as common on the Bopataláwa and Bagawantálawa patanas as it is in the paddy fields around Ratnapura, or within the glowing influence of the Kurunégala rock. They are the commonest birds met with on patana land in Ceylon, and, as far as my experience goes, they are found all through the year.

39. *Phylloscopus nitidus*, the Green Tree-warbler. I saw a few specimens, but seemingly they had only just reached the high country, as they were much more numerous lower down. These truly migratory birds become extremely abundant about the middle of the N.E. monsoon, departing again before the break of the S.W. in May.

40. *Parus atriceps*, the Grey-backed Titmouse. Common up in the hill country all the year round. I have seen it building in dead stumps on estates in February, at an elevation of 5,600 feet. This Titmouse rarely descends below 2,000 feet, though I have seen it once at Polgahawela, but its natural habitat in Ceylon appears to be above 3,000 feet.

41. *Dendrophila frontalis*, the Blue Nuthatch. Very abundant. I suppose there was not a day passed but I saw or heard these Nuthatches.

42. *Losterops Ceylonensis*, the Common Hill White-eye, or Ceylonese White-eye. Numerous. I secured a nest with two eggs in it, in September, in Dimbula, that was built in the branch of a coffee tree. The most curious instances of species confining themselves to a particular elevation that I have experienced were with this and *L. palpebrosus*. On Wahagapiṭiya estate, in Pussélláwa, the jungle round the store and at the foot of the estate used to teeming with *L. palpebrosus*; while at the top of the estate, which was some 1,200 feet higher, *L. Ceylonensis* was equally common; but I rarely remember seeing a transposition, even of individuals.

43. *Hirundo rustica*, the Common Swallow. I saw a large number of these birds hawking over the Bagawantálawa and Bopataláwa patanas. As a rule, these migrants are much more common in the low-country than on the hills.
44. *Hirundo Javanica*, the Bungalow Swallow. I saw several of this species, and occasionally in company with the foregoing.

45. *Passer domesticus*, the Common House-sparrow. I heard several of these birds near the Kotiyāgala bazaars. I saw none at the different bungalows I went to, the statement made by Mr. Holdsworth—"found in Ceylon wherever there are human habitations"—to the contrary notwithstanding.

46. *Motacilla melanope*, the Grey Wagtail. The first of these migrants I saw on the 3rd September on the Balāṇgoḍa side of the dividing range, and Mr. Roberts said he saw the first on (I think) the 7th; but for some years I have always found them on the 3rd. Late in the evening, before dark, at about this period, they may be seen at an immense height in the air, darting along in small flocks, like little winged arrows, descending seemingly during the night, as next morning they may be found by the side of any stream as lively as if they had performed no great journey. I have found this bird in a wild, dense jungle stream, where it seemed to be the only living creature in the gloom, where no other sound than the gurgle of the water or the rustling of the branches broke in upon the silent monotony of the spot.

47. *Corydalla rufula*, the Common Pipit. Common on the patanas. These birds—a great many at least—spend the time all through the year in the country, but are undoubtedly more numerous in the cold months than in May or June. I have taken several nests both in Pussellāwa and Kotmalé.

48. *Munia Kelaarti*, the Hill Bunting. I saw several, and on one occasion I came upon a small flock in the jungle between Bagawantalāwa and Balāṇgoḍa.

49. *Munia Malacca*, the Black-bellied Munia. I saw several flocks of these birds affecting the grassy stream-sides on the Bopatalāwa patanas. Mr. Roberts informs me he has seen them in his garden on Eltofts estate.

50. *Acridotheres melanosternus*, the Ceylon Mynah, or Cattle Mynah. I saw a pair of these birds near Bogawanie estate, but they are comparatively rare on the hills to what they are in low-country. In Kurunėgala, this was one of the commonest of cage birds, and not unfrequently it would be found perfectly free, but still enjoying the society of man.

52. *Palumbus Torringtoniae*, the Ceylon Wood-pigeon. Fairly numerous in suitable localities. I procured a fine specimen in the jungle reservation below Killarney estate.

53. *Chalcophaps Indica*, the Bronze-winged Dove. I saw a single-example of this dove. In 1876 I caught one in a rat-trap that I had set for jungle-fowl on an elephant path in Dikoyna. Bamboo jungles seem to be the most favourite localities for this bird, or damp open glades. I have seen them on the railway line near Liberia estate, Polgahawela, almost in greater numbers than anywhere else.

54. *Gallus Lafayettei*, the Jungle-fowl. Numerous, but less so than at a lower level.

55. *Gallopardix bicalcarata*, the Ceylon Spur-fowl. Very common.

56. *Turnix Taigoor*, the Black-breasted Bustard-quail. Mr. Roberts and myself flushed three on the Bopatalawa patanas. They are numerous in such localities, and not unfrequently in open chena in Sabaragamuwa. They usually affect grass land.

57. *Erythra phanicura*, the White-breasted Water-hen. Mr. Roberts states that he has seen or heard this bird. This is very probable, as I once flushed one in a large swamp in the Agras in 1874. It is, however, rare on the hills.

58. *Gallinago stenura*, the Pin-tailed Snipe. The “first snipe of the season” in Bagawantalawa was shot by Mr. Hadden, of Kotiyagala estate on the Bagawantalawa patanas, on the 23rd September. I did not see the bird myself in order to identify it, but I have little doubt that it was a Pin-tail. There seems to be a variety of opinion with regard to the arrival of Snipe in Ceylon. I have always found that the Grey Wagtail and the Snipe arrive either together or within a week of each other, the Wagtail arriving first. Last year I flushed a Snipe on the 3rd of September, and have known a “bag” made in Kurunéga on the first week during this month. I am of opinion, however, that at the time of their coming to the country they drop into the first place that suits them, from which they spread. This theory may confirm the fact of extraordinary numbers being found in particular fields at particular periods of the year.

59. *Tringoides hypoleucus*, the Common Sand-piper. I saw one example of this species on the river close to the “Campion ford.” Mr. Roberts informs me of an interesting fact hitherto unknown I believe—viz., that the Common Sand-piper can dive
and swim under water. Mr. Roberts assured me he saw this bird swim some distance "beautifully" (to use his own phrase) under water. This may be known to sportsmen, but I cannot say I have heard of so strange a proceeding on the part of a "snippet" before, much less have had the good fortune to witness it.

With this species I close my list of birds from the Bagawanatalawa District, observed at a most interesting period of the year with regard to migration. It must not be supposed, however, that it comprises the entire avifauna of the locality in question; my object being more to show the ornithological peculiarities of a particular month. I trust to be able to supplement these remarks later on by giving a complete list, in order to illustrate the migration of birds to the hill-country of Ceylon.

I append an analysis of my Paper, indicating such species as are peculiar or indigenous, resident species, and migrants, that I hope may be of interest to naturalists or collectors of our Ceylon birds.

---

**Synopsis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indigenous</th>
<th>Resident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spiz. Kelaarti</td>
<td>Acridoth. melanosternus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glauc. castanotentum</td>
<td>Eulab. philogenys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal. calthropsa</td>
<td>Pal. Torringtoniae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lor. Indicus</td>
<td>Gal. Lafayettii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chry. Stricklandi</td>
<td>Gallop. bicalcarata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meg. flavifrons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cissa ornata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoth. Ceylonensis</td>
<td>Sylviun indrani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cul. Ceylonensis</td>
<td>Cent. rufipennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop. sordida</td>
<td>Harpactes fasciatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turdus spiloptera</td>
<td>Alcido bengalensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One. imbricata</td>
<td>Hal. Smyrnensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myioph. Blighi</td>
<td>Coll. Francica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kel. pencillata</td>
<td>Peri. flammeus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mal. rufescens</td>
<td>Prat. bicola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pom. melanurus</td>
<td>Cop. sularis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcip. nigrifrons</td>
<td>Turdus Kinnisi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell. fuscicapillum</td>
<td>Pycnon. hæmorrhous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry. insularis</td>
<td>Orthot. sutorius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zos. Ceylonensis</td>
<td>Cistic. cursitans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mu. Kelaarti</td>
<td>Parus atriceps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dend. frontalis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hir. Javanica
Pass. domesticus
Cory. rufula
Chal. Indica
Turnix Taigoor
Eryth. phoenicura

Doubtful.
Choetura gigantea
Cap. Kelaarti
Mu. Malacca

Tringoides hypolencus
Migratory.
Astur trivirgatus (?)
Elanus coeruleus
Merops Philippinus
Muscic. hyperythra
Phyll. nitidus
Hirundo rustica
Mot. melanope
Gallinago stenura
TAMIL CUSTOMS AND CEREMONIES
CONNECTED WITH
PADDY CULTIVATION IN THE JAFFNA DISTRICT.

By J. P. Lewis, Esq., c.c.s.

In a previous Paper I mentioned that peculiar ceremonies were practised, and a conventional language spoken, by the Tamils* of Ceylon, as well as by the Sinhalese, during the operations of paddy cultivation.

I have since collected information on this subject in the Jaffna Peninsula from different sources, and I have hence† been able to compile an account of these ceremonies, which it may be interesting to compare with the descriptions of the ceremonies practised by the Kandyans and low-country Sinhalese already recorded by Messrs. Ievers and Bell.

It is a rule among the Tamils, as among the Sinhalese, that after the New Year's Day, which is the first day of the month Chittirai, and falls on the 11th or 12th of April, no work of any kind should be begun, except at a "lucky hour."

*A list of Tamil threshing-floor words is annexed to the Paper above referred to.

†I may state that I do not pretend to have myself been an eyewitness of all the ceremonies hereinafter detailed. This would have been practically impossible. The cultivators are very chary of performing them in the presence of a stranger, more especially of a European. I may add, that it is not easy to get an intelligent account of them from the natives, and those who are capable of giving such an account affect to consider them too trivial and ridiculous to describe. It must not be supposed that all the ceremonies described in this Paper are performed on every occasion of paddy cultivation in the Jaffna District. This is the case only in the more remote Districts, such as Poonaryn (Punakari) and Karachi; in others many details are omitted, or the ceremonies, with the exception of the choosing of a lucky hour, are neglected altogether, as in the neighbourhood of Jaffna.
This can be ascertained either from the village astrologer (chāttiri) or by consulting one of the Tamil almanacs.\(^*\)

Paddy cultivation forms no exception to this rule. It is of the utmost importance that every operation connected with it should be commenced on an auspicious day, for it is believed that the good or ill-fortune of the undertaking is decided by the influence of the asterism that governs the day upon which the work is begun. For instance, with respect to sowing and reaping, the rule is, چیاپیل یوکم ییوکم یتیما یوکم (chevvāyil vittum Putañil aruviyum ákátu) “sowing should not be done on Tuesday, nor reaping on Wednesday”; while, as regards threshing, it is believed that if the day be dominated by a malevolent star, the crop is liable to be pilfered by the kūlis (mischievous sprites, who correspond to the Sinhalese yaksayō). This is set forth with due precision in the following stanza:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Iraviyil pattil onrūm} \\
\text{Intupaṇṇōroil onrūm} \\
\text{Varuputaṇ mūnril onrūm} \\
\text{Maṇmokaṭ keḍdíl onrūm} \\
\text{Irupatil onrūnh kārik kiyal puḍaik kūli kollum} \\
\text{Kuru Pu Katherine naṛam koluń chuđu mitppatát̄kē.}
\end{align*}
\]

[“On Sunday the kūlis will carry away one-tenth; on Monday, one-eleventh; on the following Wednesday, one-third; on Tuesday, one-eighth; on Saturday, one-twentieth; Thursday and Friday, these two are good for a bountiful threshing.”]

In addition to these precautions it is necessary, before the commencement of any undertaking, that the assistance of

\(^*\) There are at least three of these in use in Jaffna,—one published there, and the others at Colombo and Madras. See Note 1, at end.
the god Pillaiyar should be invoked and a ponkal performed in his honour. It is usual, at the same time, to pay similar honours to any deity to whom the nearest temple or grove is dedicated.

The operation which falls earliest in the work of cultivation is manuring. At the lucky hour the first basket of manure is carried to the field, and a small portion of the field selected at hap-hazard is manured and dug with a mamoty.

* "The Son." This is the common designation in the Northern Province of the god Kanēsvar, or Kaṇapati. He is also called by the cultivators Periyapirān or Periyacay "the Great One". He is a son of Siva and Durga (Pārvati), and is the god of wisdom and remover of obstacles.

"He is lord of the troops of the mischievous and malignant imps, who are supposed to cause obstacles and difficulties, and is therefore invoked at the commencement of all undertakings. His bloated, dwarfish, and distorted appearance, which is like that of the gaṇas of Siva, over whom he presides, indicates sensuality and love of good living, while his elephant's head is said to typify a combination of wisdom, or rather of cunning and sagacity."—Hinduism, by Professsor Monier Williams, p. 165 (Sinhalese Gaṇa, Gaṇesa, Gaṇapati).

"In the North-Central Province the villagers worship a god called Puliar, who, according to them, heals their diseases and affords them help in various ways. They say they trust Puliar to obtain help in this world, and Buddha for happiness in the next world." Report by Rev. J. Ireland Jones, quoted in Ceylon Observer.

"And on the middle porch god Ganesha—

With disk and hook—to bring wisdom and wealth,
Propitious sate, wreathing his sidelong trunk."

—Light of Asia.

† Rice is boiled in milk in a new earthen pot, or in a brass pot cleaned for the occasion. Plantains, curds, and ghee are offered with the rice—also jakfruit, mangoes, lemons, &c. Camphor is then burned, and homage paid to the god.

‡ "Indra" (Intirāṇ) is lord of the clouds, rains, seasons, crops, &c., and he is worshipped at the season of sowing and reaping; but the chief honours appear to be paid to Pillaiyar. Indra (Sanskrit) = "the rainer", "the irrigator"; īndu = "drops of rain."

Winslow has सुलभतालाकाचकक [kalappaichchakkaram]: "A diagram in astrology in the form of a plough to determine on the best day for beginning the ploughing of the season." I have not, however, heard of an instance in Jaffna of the adoption of this method of discovering the lucky hour for ploughing.
This forms the inauguration of the work of cultivation. As in the Jaffna District ploughing is carried on between April and September, whenever a fall of rain affords an opportunity for it, it is necessary early in the (Tamil) year to be prepared for this operation. Accordingly, the ceremony of yoking the oxen is performed during the first half of the month *Chitirai* (April—May). At one of the hours fixed for this purpose, a pair of very tame oxen, often decorated with garlands of flowers, red ochre, saffron powder, &c., is taken to the field with a yoke and a plough, and after the land-owner has paid the usual homage to *Piliyaiyar*, by splitting a cocoanut in the field,* he yokes the bulls together, making them face towards the north or east.† He and his

* According to one of my informants this should be done in the north-western corner. In the *Deviyané-dáné* ceremony of the Sihalese, the *Madupuraya* breaks a cocoanut (see C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 59), and it is remarkable that this is called *Gana-deviyangahana*. *Gana-deviyó = Piliyaiyar* (see note* anté), so that this act of the Madupuraya's has the same object as has that of the Tamil cultivator, viz., to sacrifice to Piliyaiyar. Probably some of the Hindu ceremonies have been retained by the Sihalese after their original significance and intention have become obscured or forgotten. There can be no doubt that the practice of these ceremonies by the Sihalese is of ancient origin, and is not an importation from their Tamil neighbours. In fact, the ceremonies are more complicated, and have suffered less detription among the Sihalese than among the Tamils. This is one reason why I think it a mistake to attribute the addiction of the Sihalese all over the Island to fragments of the Hindu cult, mainly to the fact that the later Kandyen kings were Tamils and Hindus, or to the discovery by the Sihalese people (Kandyans) after a trial of Buddhism that as a religion it was inadequate for their wants. The Sihalese were Hindus before they were Buddhists, and though they adopted Buddhism (circa 246 B.C.), the mass of the people never entirely gave up Hindúism, and retained many of the beliefs and practices connected with it. Buddhism was grafted on to Hindúism.

† "Amongst the four cardinal points, the north and east were always preferred, and of these the east. The south was highly objectionable, as the realms of the Indian Pluto, Yama, were situate there. The north was liked, because the abode of Siva was in that direction. Sunrise and sunset must have operated in deciding the merits of the east and west."—Arunchandra, by Sir M. Coomara Swamy, note on p. 241.
men then plough three elliptical furrows, either to show how the work is to be done, or perhaps in order to test the implements. All those who are present then congratulate the field-owner, and they signify their approval of the work, and their participation in it, by touching the plough-handle.*

This preliminary ceremony having been performed, the cultivators are ready to plough at any time when there is a fall of rain. So scrupulous are some of them, that when, as sometimes happens, rain falls early in the (Tamil) year, and before the yoking ceremony has been performed, they would rather forego the chance of ploughing altogether, than commence it without having observed the proper preliminaries.

It is considered an advantage if the oxen used in ploughing are māmaṇ and marumakāṇ—i.e., “uncle” and “nephew”—and if, as is usually the case, there are two ploughs at work in one field,† the men in charge of them should also be uncle and nephew.

It may interest the present Director of Public Instruction to learn that in constructing the plough the following rules as to materials should be observed, if possible:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>மொழியில் (Tamil)</th>
<th>பாலை படவல் (Pan)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>பாலையை படவல்</td>
<td>பாலை படவல்</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>வேலை பெருந்தெய்தி</td>
<td>பாலையை படவல்</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>மூந்தி கொராள்</td>
<td>பாலையை படவல்</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>வாழ்க்கை கால்</td>
<td>பாலையை படவல்</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>புவியாலும் சுற்றுனை</td>
<td>பாலையை படவல்</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 55, note on “the practice of touching objects to baffle the evil chance.”

† The fact that two ploughs are generally used at the same time in a field (sometimes there are as many as five or six), both going over the same ground, is an answer to the objection sometimes made to the introduction of ploughs of a better pattern, viz., that they require more men to work them than do the native ploughs. It is true that a Jaffna plough only requires one man to work it, but then there is usually another plough following it; and though this second plough does not make the same furrow as the first, it does work that would have been done by the first plough were the latter of an improved pattern.
which the accompanying sketch (No. 1) of a Jaffna plough* will help to explain.

The plough is made of four distinct pieces of wood. In one of these the padavāl, a diminutive iron share (kolu), is inserted, and it is fixed in its place by a small piece of wood called the kōluchchirāy. The other two are the handle (mēli) and the pole (ērkāl). According to the rules, then, these pieces should all be of different kinds of wood, the padavāl of pālai (Ceylon ironwood), the handle of pānkirāy (a tree of which I do not know the English or scientific name), the kōluchchirāy of kārai (a kind of thorny shrub, Webera tetrandra), the pole of ebony, the yoke of Alexandrian laurel,† and its pegs of paṇnai,‡ while the ropes (puḍḍān kāyiru) for attaching the oxen to it should be made of fibre from the ātti tree.§

It seems, however, that the ostensible reason for using these woods is not their peculiar suitability for the purpose, but to ensure that in the ensuing season there may be neither too much nor too little rain for the paddy.

The cord that attaches the pole to the yoke is called the nantai.||

A field is usually ploughed three times, at intervals of two or three days.¶ There is no mud-levelling in the

---

* Plate No. 1 (see note 2). The shaft is not quite long enough in the sketch.
† Sīhalese, domba; Calophyllum inophyllum, L.
‡ Sīhalese, val-ehela?
§ "Bauhinia racemosa, L. There are two species, viz., (1) Β. f. (kādhāttī), the rind of which is used for withes, Bauhinia pareiflora, L.; (2) Β. (tiruvattī), a flower-tree sacred to Siva, also medicinal, Bauhinia tomentosa, L."—Winc. Probably the first species is meant.
|| There is a proverb, ιρικ (kādhāttī), the rind of which is used for withes, Bauhinia pareiflora, L.; (2) Β. (tiruvattī), a flower-tree sacred to Siva, also medicinal, Bauhinia tomentosa, L."—Winc. Probably the first species is meant.
¶ Viz., ιρικ (nilavelidippu), breaking ground; ιρικ (ulavi-raddippu) or ιρικ (marai), second ploughing; and ιρικ (māndam uḷavu), third ploughing.
Jaffna District, except occasionally in Pūnakari and Karachchi. The cultivation generally depends entirely upon rain, and in consequence there is usually only one cultivation of paddy in the year.

Sowing takes place in August—September. There is no fall of rain at this season: the fields are sown dry (pulutivitaippu), and the seed then remains in the ground without germinating until the preliminary rains of the North-East monsoon begin to fall.

On the auspicious day, which, according to the rules above-quoted, can never be a Tuesday, the land-owner or his son prepares a small quantity of raw rice from the paddy which he had stored up for seed, and sends it to the village temple to be boiled and offered to the deity to whom the temple is dedicated. Milk, young cocoanuts, betel, camphor, and benzoin, and all the other accompaniments of a ponkai are sent with the rice.

At the lucky hour a handful of seed-paddy and a mamoty are taken to the field, and after splitting a cocoanut to Pillaiyar, facing towards the north, the land-owner sows the seed, and hoes it in with the mamoty; and in this operation he is assisted by his servants. The sowing is thus inaugurated.

Reaping takes place in the month Tai (January—Feb-

* Fields of which the cultivation depends entirely upon rain are called watawattu (mānvāri fields). Mānvāri is a corruption of wanda vāri = "sky-water."

† There are three cultivations in the year, viz., (1) kālapokham = "the regular crop," which is chiefly of paddy sown in August—September, and harvested in February—March. Varaku, chāmi, and other dry grains are also cultivated. (2) chirupokham = "the little crop," of peas (payaru), &c., and near tanks quick-ripening paddy, sown in February—March, and over within two months. (3) idappokham = "middle crop," of chillies, onions, &c.; and in Tenmirādchi and Pachchilaippalli, (if there is enough water in the tanks,) of paddy, which is sown at the end of April and reaped in June.

† Vide ante, p. 307, note.
ruary). It must not be done on a Wednesday. At the lucky hour the land-owner makes a rough extempore image of Gānēsa out of a handful of moist cow-dung, decorates it with the tops of aruku grass,* which is sacred to this and other gods, and after doing pūsai to it, leaves his house, taking care to pass by a lighted lamp and a full water-pot—the latter placed on a heap of paddy in front of his house. The mouth of this pot is filled up by a cocoanut surrounded by five or more (but always some odd number of) mango leaves.† On his way to the field, if he has to pass a temple he does not omit to make his devotion there. On reaching his field he splits a cocoanut, and reaps a few of the ears of paddy, and takes them home with him, passing by the lamp and water-pot as before. In the inner room of his house he hangs up a few of the ears, and treads out the paddy from those remaining.

The paddy he places in a small old basket, which he hands to his wife. She receives it with both hands, and, facing north,‡ either keeps the paddy or boils it at once as "new rice."§

This "new rice" is eaten at the lucky hour, and a little raw rice, with the usual accompaniments, is sent to the village temple to be boiled and offered as a pōnkal to the deity. The reaping is then proceeded with.

But it is the "threshing" that the cultivators have to be the most punctilious about. It is commenced on one of the auspicious days—Thursday or Friday (or sometimes on Sunday), but never on a Wednesday—and continued on

---

* Cynodon dactylon, Pers. See C. A. S. Journal, 1880, pp. 7–8, for a full account of this grass, by Mr. W. Ferguson.

† The cocoanut-oil lamp also has five or seven or some odd number of wick-spouts.

‡ So the Sinhalese set apart on a post three handfuls of ripe ears for Kataragama Deviyo (the Kantasuvāmi of the Tamils; Skanda, the god of War). (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 48.)

§ This appears to correspond to the "New Rice-feast" (Alut-bal-kema) of the Sinhalese, except that the latter takes place after the threshing is concluded. (Journal, 1880, p. 50; 1883, p. 56.)
successive nights. A threshing-floor (kalām) is prepared—levelling and smoothing a portion of the field in a circular shape.

The boundary line of this circle is strewn with pieces of bark or leaves of margosa* and bits of the pirandai creeper†. This is in order to form a “guard-cord” (kāvatkodi)‡ against the kulīs. An extempore image of Gaņēsa is made of cow-dung, as usual, by one of the servants, hence called the Pillaiyārpōlān, and decorated with aruku grass. Pūsaï is then performed to it—i.e., camphor and benzoin incense are burned before it, and offerings made of

---

* There is a saying பெய்சுக்கை வேப்பிலை பொலி (peychu vēppilai poli) “as margosa leaves before a demon.” (Percival, 4,955.) The Kandyans use margosa with the same object. (See C. A. S. Journal, 1880, p. 49.) In the low-country the ricks are frequently encircled with young cocoanut leaves or jungle creepers (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 48), no doubt as a “guard-cord.”

† There must be some peculiar potency also in the pirandai creeper. See Mr. Fowler’s account of the Panikkān’s use of it as a sort of charm. (Ibid, pp. 15—16.) It is the hirōsa of the Sihalese (Ibid, 1880, p. 49; 1883, p. 49) Moormen have the same opinion of its efficacy as a charm. “A species of sun-flower (helicophillum)” — Clough, Pirandai is not given by Winslow.

‡ I did not find that in the Jaffna Peninsula circles were drawn on the threshing-floor of ashes, as among the Sihalese, but I dare say there is such a practice among the Tamils also. I see Winslow gives as a meaning of காவல்சேய்தல், (kāvalseyya) “to make (with a straw-rope) diagrams on the ground, &c., to defend the grain from demons.” With respect to the number of circles drawn, the Kandyans whom I have questioned on the point said that there should be three concentric circles. This agrees with what Mr. Bell found in the low-country. In Kēgalla the number is seven. In addition to Knox, Davy, and Brodie, Sirr also gives a short description of Sihalese threshing-floor ceremonies, and he, too, states that three circles are described, one within the other, at the mystic rite when the paddy is trodden out. (Ceylon and the Cingalese, Vol. I., p. 151.)

Among the Sihalese the substitute for the image of Pillaiyār seems to be the muttā (see C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 49), “the great grandfather,” which may be either a round stone (ibid, 1880, p. 49) or an unhusked cocoanut (arakpol). Mutta in Mr. Bell’s Paper should be muttā.
plantain fruit, betel, &c., the worshipper doing obeisance by crossing the forearms in front of his face, and striking his temple three times with closed fist.

A stake (polikkaḍḍai)—which, if it is to be a protection against the kūlis, should be of the wood of the strychnos tree (kāṇchhūrai),* but is generally of some hard wood, such as vidattal or tiruk-kōndał,†—is next fixed by the chief farm-servant (who is hence called the Kaddaippōlāṇ) in the centre of the circle, with a few ears of paddy and a few margosa leaves tied at the top of it. If the floor is of loose soil, mats are spread round the stake; if not the bare ground is used as a threshing-floor. Water, in which fresh macerated cow-dung has been soaked, is sprinkled over the floor to purify it. The usual cocanaut is split, and then the Kaddaippōlāṇ (usually an elderly man) takes some ears from the rick, and holding them over his head with the goad (polimilāru) or the flail (vēlai-āḷ) walks three times round the stake. ‡ He places the ears at the foot of the stake, standing with his face towards the north or east. He is followed by all the other servants (pōlamārkal), each carrying sheaves of ears, and depositing them round the stake, until there is a sufficient quantity for threshing to commence. The men then pull down the heap (pōrppai) and spread out the sheaves conveniently for threshing.

---

* Strychnos nux-vomica; Siphalese, goḍa-kaduru.
† Cassia fistula, L; Siphalese, ḍēḷa.
‡ This resembles the procedure in the Rayigam Kōralē. The Siphalese dispense with the stake, the place of which is taken by the mutṭā. Instead of the chief servant it is "any goyiyaṛ reputed fortunate." He walks three times round the mutṭā, and places the sheaf on it. Instead of facing towards the north or east, he looks "in the direction fixed by the astrologer with reference to the nekata." But in the ceremony immediately following, the chief goyiyaṛ (the kaddaippōlāṇ) carries the dēṭi goyiyaṛ (vēlai-āḷ) round the corn. The Jaffna ceremony, in fact, seems to be an abridgment of that followed in Rayigam Kōralē. In the Siyanē Kōralē the cultivator walks seven times round the arakvala—the hole in the centre of the circle in which the charms are placed. (See C. A. S. Journal, 1883, pp. 49, 51.)
While engaged in these operations they keep up shouting the auspicious word "poli," "poli," for good luck.*

Three pairs of buffaloes or bullocks having been linked together in a row (nadaiyan kōdi) are led into the heap of paddy, and the biggest of the six is tied to the stake. This animal is called pōrrpainadaiyan, and those further from it vaddinadaiyar. The last is called the chādwanāyan. A man (pōrrpaiippōlan) then drives them round and round the stake, abreast of each other, with repeated applications of a thick stick (polimilāru) to their hides and shouts of "poli, poli,"* until the grain is all trodden out from the ears. Not until then are the oxen released or allowed even to be taken to water; neither will the Kaddaiippōlan leave the threshing-floor until all the ricks of paddy have been threshed, and his food is supplied to him there. When the oxen are taken out from the floor for the last time each day, one of the servants takes a wisp of straw, and pulls the tail of the one nearest the stake, and then puts the straw on the floor.

The completed heap of threshed paddy is greeted with shouts of "poli," and the straw is collected and tossed by means of a bent stick (vēlai-āl or vēlai-kāran)† to leeward of the threshing-floor. When nearly all the straw has been so collected into a heap, the oxen are taken off the threshing-floor. Four of the men then starting, each from one of the cardinal points of the floor, and facing the stake, in a sitting posture heap up the paddy with their hands. In this operation they move round towards the right, following each other in a circle, and when they come back each to his place in rotation, they stop, and the rest of the ceremony is performed by the Kaddaiippōlan, who walks round the heap to the right three times in a stooping posture between them and the heap of grain, and smoothes and levels the top

* The Coorg ryots shout "polē! polē! Devarē." (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 81.) In the Kalūtara District, on the other hand, it seems that the bullock-drivers are not allowed to shout to their animals. (Ibid, p. 61.)

† That is, the labourer. Curiously enough the name given to this stick by the Siyalese cultivators is dētī-goyiyā (goyiyā = "cultivator").
and sides. Holding his right arm with his left hand* he marks on the top and sides of the heap with his finger representations of the trident (chūlam) of Siva, at the four cardinal points, and also certain diagrams, generally circles, ornamented with tridents.† A cow-dung representation of Pillaiyār is again made, and, adorned with aruku grass, is placed on the heap with split coconuts and the knife used in splitting them. Rice is then boiled and offered to Pillaiyār. A twisted straw rope is put round the heap as a guard-cord (kāvatkōdi) until the winnowing takes place. The men having thus taken measures to protect kāvatekyya (the heap) against the kūlis, are at liberty to leave the threshing-floor for a time. In case another heap of paddy has to be threshed the same night, the paddy already threshed is heaped in the east corner of the floor to await winnowing after the other rick has been threshed.

Some of the prevalent superstitions with respect to these kūlis may be noticed here. The kūlis are supposed to be mischievous, and to favour, or disfavour, the farmer according as they are propitiated by him or not. They will remove paddy from a neighbouring floor to the one favoured by them, from high to lowland, from east to west, and to

* In a Kandyian picture of a Sala-valāna Nilamē (Master of the Robes) he is handing the Crown in this manner to the king. It is meant to show reverence or respect.

† Tridents are always introduced into the figures drawn by the Siyāhalese cultivators, both Kandyian and Low-country, but it does not appear that they understand what it signifies. (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 55.) Neither did Sirr understand what they intended to represent. He says the circles are quartered by a cross, the four points of which are terminated by a "character resembling a written letter M." (Ceylon and the Cingalese," Vol. 1, p. 151.) Certainly there is this resemblance in the florid tridents in the diagrams given by Davy, and in the Pasdun Kōrālē diagram. (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 79.)

In a Buddhist religious picture in my possession all the gods attendant upon Buddha, except Siva, are represented holding a trisūla in one hand and a sword (kaḍava) in the other. The trident is a common emblem at Jaffna.
leeward, but not to windward.* Accordingly, a man will not thresh on the same day as his neighbour, if the latter’s threshing-floor is to the south-west of his.† He is angry if his neighbour, with a threshing-floor thus favourably situated with respect to his, begins to thresh his paddy at the same time as he does. The neighbour, on the other hand, congratulates himself on the prospective assistance of the kūlis, who will pilfer the paddy from the other man, and bring it to his threshing-floor; and seizes the opportunity to propitiate them, and so begin his threshing under the most favourable conditions.

If a high festival is going on in the village temple, no threshing is allowed to be carried on on that day in the village.

No empty vessel or basket can be carried past the threshing-floor while the threshing is going on, and there are instances of wayfarers being detained at the floor, if they happen to pass it at such a time. The upsetting of a basket or vessel, or the overturning of a hut (huḍil)‡ or anything that happens inadvertently, is considered an unlucky omen. Every turn taken by the men engaged in any of these ceremonies should be to the right, not to the left,§ and even the oxen are made to conform to this

* This is shrewd of the kūlis,—they do not like to have the wind against them.
† At the time of harvest in the Jaffna District (February—March) the North-East monsoon is blowing, hence the South-West would be the leeward.
‡ A hut is like an exaggerated umbrella made of palmyra leaves, used for sheltering cattle, stacks, &c.
§ In “A Lady’s Cruise in a French Man-of-War,” Miss Gordon-Cumming, describing the consecration of a Roman Catholic church in Samoa, says: “I grieve to have to record that, in leading the procession round the foundations of the new church, he (the Bishop) made the turn widdershins. I believe that this is contrary to ecclesiastical custom, and, of course, to my Scottish mind it suggested grievous misfortunes in store.” —Vol. I., p. 120. To the word widdershins the authoress appends the following note: “Or more correctly, in old Celtic parlance, tuaphol—that is to say, a turn contrary to the course of the sun, keeping the left hand towards the centre. It was only used when invoking a curse, as opposed to the turn desist, which invoked a blessing on the object round which the turn was made. The superstition is common to all lands in whose early mythology sun-worship held a place.” (See “From the Hebrides to the Himalayas,” Vol. I., p. 203.)
rule. But it will help to thwart the kúlis if the oxen are made to take one or two turns to the left, instead of to the right, when commencing operations.

It is unlucky for men or animals to pass out from the threshing-floor, except at the proper entrance (kalavásal) on the east side.

Next comes the winnowing. The winnows to be used are marked with tridents in cow-dung. After the usual ponkal to Pillaiyár, one or two mats are spread on that side of the threshing-floor which is exposed to the wind (which at this time comes from the North-East), and paddy is poured from the winnows along the windward edge of the mats, so that the chaff flies off to the leeward, leaving the grain in a heap. It is an unlucky omen if one of the winnows upsets, or is blown off by the wind; and if in the act of sifting the winnow strikes against the heap, a trident is at once marked on the place in the heap where the latter was touched by the winnow.

The paddy is formed into an oblong heap of uniform width, and the surface is made as smooth as possible. A line is traced with a corner of the winnow along the ridge of the heap, and tridents are similarly marked at each end of the heap, and in the middle,* thus:

\[\text{\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{trident.png}}\]

The cow-dung (Pillaiyár) is then placed on the paddy-heap, and the twisted straw rope is put round the heap as before.† These precautions are necessary until the paddy is measured, as it is supposed, after the measuring, to be secure from the kúlis.

Before the paddy is measured, a winnow is plunged into the heap, and filled up well with paddy, which is kept apart to be given to the temple as a thank-offering. This paddy

---

* A similar practice is followed by some of the Galle cultivators. (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 55.)

† The Kandyans put a twisted straw rope round the paddy after the winnowing. (Ibid, 1880, p. 49.)
is called *misupali.* The measuring of the heap is then proceeded with, and the landowner gives the cultivators or servants their proper shares, and his tithe to the renter. Sometimes the blacksmith, carpenter, barber, dhoby, and tom-tom beater—the *kudi*makka† employed by the land-owner—are called, and their dues paid to them in kind; and paddy is also dealt out to beggars.‡ After this, at a lucky hour, he has the rest of the paddy removed to his house, and stored in the loft in large ola baskets, which are closed at the tops by being stitched with palmyra olas. The paddy required for seed is kept exposed to the sun for three successive days, and then placed in a corner of the house to cool. On an auspicious day it is stored in ola baskets.

The harvest having thus been concluded, the farmer performs a *ponkal* at a neighbouring temple in honour of Pillaiyar, Indra, and other deities, and lastly in honour of his *kaniyalar,* the ancestors from whom he inherited his lands.

When any of these ceremonies are performed, everything must be done strictly according to ancient precedent; and, as I have said before, any accident, however trivial, is looked upon as a bad omen, and therefore every precaution is taken to prevent the occurrence of one. After any ceremony has been performed, the chief actor in it takes care not to give anything away from his house the same day, such as paddy,

---

* Literally, “grain abundance,” a euphemistic word. See my Paper on the Language of the Threshing-floor. It is the *akyala* or *Deviyanēći* of the Sihalese which is offered to the gods, and more especially to the goddess Pattini and to Kataragama Deviyo. (C. A. S. Journal, 1880, p. 50; 1883, p. 58.) It seems also that some of this new rice goes to Buddha, or rather to the vihārī. (Id., 1880, p. 50; 1883, p. 56.)

† There is an accurate account of the eighteen *Kuji*makka by the late Jaffna Kachchéri Mudaliyar, printed with the Administration Report of the Government Agent of the Northern Province for 1883, p. 144 a.

‡ The Kandyans observe the same custom. (See *Journal,* 1880, p. 50, and *Journal,* 1883, p. 55, extract from Knox.)
oil, salt, or money; but there is no objection to his receiving such articles.*

There are many superstitions connected with agriculture, generally among the more primitive Tamil peasantry. For instance, certain days fixed by astrologers or the almanacs are called "worm days" (puľunāl), and anything sown on such days is supposed to be liable to be eaten by worms. On some days the sap is supposed to run up, and therefore on them fruit trees may be planted, while on other days it is supposed to run down, and such days are suitable for the planting of bulbs.†

Various charms‡ are used to prevent the attacks of flies, worms, crabs, and other pests. Olas, sprinkled with saffron-water, and previously charmed by the repetition over them of mantirams for hours together, are tied to the plants for this purpose. Sometimes with the same object the cultivator makes a vow of a new earthen pot with which to celebrate a ponkal after the reaping of the crops.

There is still a widely-prevailing belief in the evil-eye (kaṇṇuṟu) and the evil-tongue (naṉuṟu).§ The visitor who

---

* Superstition and self-interest are here in most convenient agreement.
† Cf. the American song quoted by Mr. Bell:—
  "If ye plant yer corn on the growin' moon,
   And put up the lines for crows,
   You'll find it will bear, and yer wheat will, too,
   If it's decent land where't grows.

  "But potatoes now are a different thing,
   They want to grow down, that is plain;
   And don't ye see you must plant for that
   When the moon is on the wane."

   (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 68.)
‡ See Note (3).
§ Hence the proverb caṭṭam kaṇṇuṟul kaṭṭam naṉuṟul (kal eṟikkut tappiñālam kaṇ eṟikkut tappak kūdātu)
"Though one may escape the cast of a stone, he cannot escape the glance of an evil-eye." (Perc., 2216.) "The evil-eye (haṭṭam haṭṭu) and the evil-tongue (haṭṭam haṭṭu) are feared very generally. To avert their influence as regards fruits, &c., in a garden, it is common to put up some object of attraction, as a water-pot whitewashed, inverted on a stump, and dotted with black spots, &c. In some enclosures grotesque images are seen." (Ibid) These pots may be seen also in Sinhalese gardens.
travels along the roads about Jaffna will not fail to notice among the tobacco, brinjal, and other crops frequent and more or less elaborate "scare-crows" (veruli) of various grotesque shapes. These are not intended to frighten birds, but to be a protection against the evil-eye.* It is hoped that they will divert the attention of the passer-by, and prevent his making a too close scrutiny of the crop itself—a scrutiny which might have a baneful effect upon it.†

The use of a conventional language by the cultivators I need not here enlarge upon.

---

NOTES.
(1).—ASTROLOGY IN AGRICULTURE.

From the "Pañchāṅkam† for the Tārana year 1806 of the Sālīcākāra (1884-5) calculated by Irakunātaiyar son of Santirasekara, of Nallūr, near Jaffna, and printed at the Private Press at Vaiṅānakar (Vaiṅārpaññai) Jaffna," I extract the following:—

Several "lucky hours"—generally on different days of the

* They serve, however, also to keep away monkeys.
† The late Government Agent of the Northern Province, Mr. Dyke, on one occasion, in 1867, encamped at Kārativu among the paddy fields, and rode round and inspected the paddy just ready to be reaped. The crop that harvest was a very good one, giving a rent to Government of over 3,000 rix-dollars, but next year the rent fell to a little over 600 rix-dollars. The people attributed the failure of the crop to Mr. Dyke's inspection of the fields. This was carrying the evil-eye theory rather far, for it was not the crop that was inspected that failed, but the succeeding one. I suppose the former was too far advanced to be affected.
‡ The Indian Almanac derives its name Pañchāṅkam (pañcha five, aṣṭha, divisions) from its giving the time of commencement and duration of five important things—1st vāram, the Saturday; 2nd tīti, lunar day; 3rd nakṣatram, the constellation for the day; 4th yōkam; 5th karaṇam. For the performance of the many ceremonies which his religion enjoins, it is necessary for a Hindū to examine one and all of these five essentials, to determine whether the time is propitious or not." (Paper by Captain Mackenzie on the "Pañchāṅkam" in Indian Antiquary, Vol. III., p. 137.)
month—are given for each month, during which a particular agricultural operation is carried on, thus:

1.—The ploughing festival (E’rman’kalam) may be begun (1), on Thursday, the 7th day of the month Chittirai* at 15\(\frac{3}{4}\) nālikais† after dark when the stars Suváti and Ve[l]li‡ are at the zenith in the sign Makaram;§ (2) on Thursday, the 21st of the same month at 7 o’clock in the morning, when the human shadow is ten feet long, in Mituṇam; (3) the same day, 13 nālikais after dark, when the moon is setting, in Makaram.

2.—Preparation of the Field.—There are seventeen occasions—viz., four in April, three in May, four in June, three in July, and four in August, on which the fields may be manured and ridges made, &c. The days are Mondays and Thursdays, except that there is one Wednesday in May and three in June, and one Friday in August. The favourable hour is, at night six times, in the forenoon five times, twice in the afternoon, twice at sunset, once at noon, and once at dawn.

* That is, April 11th to May 11th (31 days in the Tamil month).
† Nālikai = Siğhalese peya = 24 minutes.
‡ Venus.
§ The Tamil names of the signs of the zodiac are—

| 1 Mēc’am = Aries | 7 Tulām = Libra |
| 2 Iḍapam = Taurus | 8 Viruchchikam = Scorpio |
| 3 Mituṇam = Gemini | 9 Taṇusu = Sagittarius |
| 4 Karkkaḍakam = Cancer | 10 Makaram = Capricornus |
| 5 Chipkam = Leo | 11 Kumpam = Aquarius |
| 6 Kaṇṇi = Virgo | 12 Mijam = Pisces |

|| Though I use the English names of the months, it must be understood that the months intended begin on the 11th or 12th of the English month, or thereabouts; thus, in 1884-85, April means April 11th to May 11th.
May do. May 12th to June 12th.
June do. June 13th to July 14th.
July do. July 14th to August 14th.
August do. August 15th to September 14th.
September do. September 15th to October 14th.
October do. October 15th to November 13th.
November do. November 14th to December 13th.
December do. December 14th to January 11th.
January do. January 12th to February 10th.
February do. February 11th to March 11th.
March do. March 11th to April 11th.
3. — *Sowing.* — Two days in June, four in July, four in August, three in September, four in October, and three in November,—in all twenty times. The favourite days are Wednesday and Friday, then Thursday and Sunday; Monday is chosen once, Tuesday and Saturday never.

4. — *Reaping.* — Twelve days in January, seven in February, and four in March. Every day except Tuesday and Sunday.*

*Time,—generally at sunset or night.

5. — *Heaping up the Grain for Threshing.* — January, February, and March in the asterisms† Kārtikai, Tiruvāṭirai, A'yiliyam, Uttiram, Suvāṭi, Kēḍḍai, Uttirāṭam, Chatayam, Révati, and the *ilakkīṇams* Mūtāṇam, Karkkaḍakam, Chipkam, Viruchchikam, and Kumpam.

6. — *Threshing.* — Thursday and Friday are the proper days, on account of the saying, "if you thresh on Sunday, the kūḷis will carry away one-tenth, on Monday one-eleventh, on Tuesday one-eighth, on Saturday, one-twentieth."

7. — *Bringing home the grain.* — Wednesday, Thursday, and Saturday, the asterisms Paraṇi, Urōkini, Tiruvāṭirai, Makam,

* "Sunday, Tuesday, and Saturday are, as a rule, considered unlucky days, Sunday being not quite so bad as the other two:" (Ind. Ant., Vol. III., p. 138.)

† Nakshattiram = Sighalese *nekata.* — These are twenty-seven in number, and are the constellations through which the moon in her monthly course passes. Great importance is attached to them in astrological calculations. They are divided into male, female, and neuter; good, bad, and indifferent; those which look upwards, those which look downwards, and those which look straightforward. Each nakshattiram is divided into four parts, called *poda,* and two and a-half nakshattirams equal a *rōsi,* or sign of the zodiac." (Ibid, p. 138.)

The Tamil names of the asterisms are :

1 Achchuvini
2 Paraṇi
3 Kārttikai
4 Urōkini
5 Mirukasiraṇam
6 Tiruvāṭirai
7 Punarpūsam
8 Pūsam
9 A'yiliyam
10 Makam
11 Pūram
12 Uttiram
13 Attam
14 Chittirai
15 Suvāṭi
16 Visākam
17 Aṇusham
18 Kēḍḍai
19 Mūlam
20 Pūrāḍam
21 Uttirāṭam
22 Tiruvōṇam
23 Avidḍam
24 Satayam
25 Pūradoḍati
26 Uttiradoḍati
27 Révati
Atam, Visakam, Tiruvonam, the ilakkinams Idapam, Karkadakam, Chikam, Viruchehikam, Kumpam, at the rising of Iraku and Kulikan, the grain may be brought home.

8.—Storing the Paddy in baskets, bins, &c.—On four occasions in January, seven in February, and four in March—any day except Sunday and Tuesday—generally at sunset or night.

9.—Eating the new rice.—On eleven occasions in January, six in February, and two in March,—generally at night.

10.—Distributing the Grain.—Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Saturday; the asterisms, Achchuvini, Urkini, Mirukasfirdam, Punarpusam, Pusuam, Makam, Puram, Uttiram, Attam, Chittirai, Suvati, Visakam, Anusham, Utriradam, Tiruvonam, Avidam, Utriraddati Revati; the lunar days (titi) Tutiya, Tiriutiyai, Paanchami, Saptami, Tasami, E'katasi, Tuvatasi, Tirayotasi; the ilakkinams Idapam, Mitumam, Chikam, Kapi, Viruchehikam, Taquus, Kumpam, Mipam; the eighth house being vacant, are the best times for distributing for household purposes the grain stored up in baskets, &c., and for giving and receiving grain. On the above-mentioned lunar days, week days, and asterisms, in

Siphalese lagnya. The laknams are synonymous with the twelve rasi.
(See C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 66 note.)

“On Wednesday one-third,” omitted from the Almanac.

Iraku (Siphalese, Rahu, Graha), Caput Draconis, the ascending node which, with Ketu, Cauda Draconis, the descending node, is feigned to cause eclipses by endeavouring to swallow the sun and moon.

Kulikan, “one of the seven invisible planets said to be the son of Saturn—of special importance in astrological calculations.” If it is invisible, it does not seem clear how the agriculturist is to know when it is rising.

Although we have thirty lunar days, yet we have names for sixteen tithis only, because the month being divided into two fortnights, fourteen of the names are common to both fortnights. (Ind. Ant., loc. sit.) It seems that the Siphalese have names for fifteen only. (C. A. S. Journal, 1883, p. 64.) The Tamil names are:

| 1 Piratamai | 7 Saptami | 13 Tirayotasi |
| 2 Tutiya | 8 Ashdami | 14 Sattrtasi |
| 3 Tirutiyai | 9 Navami | 15 Pournimai |
| 4 Saturri | 10 Tasami | 16 Amavasiyai |
| 5 Paanchami | 11 E'katas |
the *karaṇam* Viḍḍi, and the *ilakkiṇoms* Médam, Karkkaḍakam, Tulām, and Makaram, are the best times for buying and selling paddy.

Lucky hours are also given for commencing the following agricultural operations, which form a large part of the occupation of a Jaffna peasant:

1. Digging wells or tanks, and making embankments—every month in the year, except September, December, and February.
2. Planting young plantain trees—every month.
3. Do. young arekanut trees—September to March.
4. Do. cocoanuts—every month.
5. Do. creepers, &c.—no month specified.
6. Do. betel—April, May, September, June.
7. Sowing and transplanting brinjals, chillies, &c.—every month.
8. Planting yams—no particular month.
9. Tethering cattle and making pinfolds in tobacco gardens—August, November.
10. Digging tobacco gardens—October to December.
11. Sowing and transplanting tobacco—August to March.
12. Cutting tobacco plants, putting kuḍils, and drying tobacco leaves in the sun—March to June.
13. Buying and selling tobacco—March to July.

*Karaṇas* are eleven in number, and divided into variable and invariable. They answer successively to half a tithi or lunar-day, Kimustughna being always assigned to the first half of the first tithi, and the variable Karaṇas, succeeding each other regularly through eight repetitions. They are followed by the three remaining invariable Karaṇas which conclude the month; Ehatuspād and Nāga appertaining to Amāvāsya, or the new moon, and Sakuni being appropriated to the latter half of the preceding tithi.” (Colebrooke, quoted in *Ind. Ant., lōc. līt.*)

The Tamil names of the Karaṇāms are:

1. Pavam ... *i.e.*, Lion
2. Pālavam ... *"*, Tiger
3. Kaulavam ... *"*, Pig
4. Taitilam ... *"*, Ass
5. Karasam ... *"*, Elephant
6. Vanisam ... *"*, Ox
7. Viḍḍi ... *i.e.*, Cock
8. Sakuṇam ... *"*, Owl
9. Saturppātam ... *"*, Dog
10. Nākavam ... *"*, Snake
11. Kimastukkiṇam *"*, Worm
(14) Tying tobacco leaves into bundles—March to June, August to October.
(15) Planting trees, &c.,—no particular month specified.
(16) Buying and selling cattle, &c.
(17) Branding and castrating cattle.

(2).—CEYLON PLoughs.

As it may be interesting to compare the varieties of ploughs used by the natives of Ceylon in different parts of the country, I annex some sketches of Kandyen and Low-country (Sięhalese) ploughs. It will be noticed that they are none of them of the same pattern as the Jaffna plough. (Plate No. 1.)

The principal plough used by the Kandyans is shown in Plate No. 2. It is called the bađawata nagula. The handle (F) is generally a rude representation of a bird—hence its name nimunkurullă. It is usually made of buffalo horn.

The other Kandyen plough is the koku nagula (Plate No. 3), which is used for muddy land. It does not run so smoothly as the bađawata nagula, and is liable to stick in the ground. Turned over sideways, it is sometimes used as a mud-leveller, the cultivator standing on the flat part and holding on by a stick tied to the handle.

The ploughs used by the Śięhalese of the Western Province are something like the koku nagula in shape, but generally smaller and lighter. There are two patterns in use in the Western Province (Plates Nos. 4 and 5), known as the tani-heđé nagula ("single-shaped plough"), and the heđa-dekë nagula ("plough of two shapes"). The only difference between them is in the shape of the front of the vakatta, and consequently of the iron coulter or share with which it is faced. In the heđa-dekë nagula this, instead of being straight projects halfway down in a curve, to prevent its sinking too deep in the soil. It is, I suppose, on account of this division as it were of the share into two parts, that the plough is known by the curious name "the plough of two shapes." This plough is used more especially for őwita land, and in kekulaŋ cultivation.

In the low-country plough the koravakkoṭë is now generally dispensed with, and the shaft rests on the vakatta, which is faced
with iron—the iron projects for an inch or two at the foot to form a share, which is often shaped like a cobra's hood. In the Jaffna and Kandyan ploughs the upper end of the share is inserted between the vakatta and the koravak-kotē.

In the Jaffna plough the shaft is rounded—a veritable pole. This is not the case in the Sinhalese ploughs. In the latter there is a notch* for the tying of the rope at the end; in the former this purpose is answered by a horizontal peg passing through the pole. The Sinhalese shafts are usually made of kitul wood.

The low-country yokes are the same shape as the Jaffna yoke, but instead of pegs at each end, only the one in the centre is used.

The Kandyan yoke is heavier and more elaborate; notches supply the place of the centre peg. In both Kandyan and low-country Sinhalese yokes, the ropes attaching the oxen to them pass through holes at the extremities.

(3).—CHARMS.

I.—Against Rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tamil Text</th>
<th>English Translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| குள் சுத்தம் ஸேன்றி புரசுமண்டலி மூசுமண்டல் போர் சை கோந்தம் குழு நம்பும்பெருங்கிய நிராகர.†
| The mystic invocation to the Hindu Triad.
| குள் சுத்தம் ஸேன்றி புரசுமண்டலி மூசுமண்டல் போர் சை கோந்தம் நம்பு நெய்யாக்கிய நிராகர.
| குள் சுத்தம் ஸேன்றி புரசுமண்டலி மூசுமண்டல் போர் சை கோந்தம் நம்பு நெய்யாக்கிய நிராகர.
| The mystic invocation to the Hindu Triad.

1. O'm! † Mr.‡ Rat! Mr. Tiger is coming, Mr. Cat is watching. May all the rats go away together to another quarter.‖ Let it be so!¶

* Often two, to provide for the employment of different-sized oxen.
† Properly ஸாம்தூன், an exclamation of mystic import, used in making an oblation to the gods.
‡ The mystic invocation to the Hindu Triad.
§ These honorifics are hardly translatable.
‖ Literally, "to that side," or perhaps லூம்பூ should be translated throughout by "field."
Badawata Nagula (Kandyan)

A. Nagul-kanda
B. Koravakkoté
C. Hivela
D. Nimun-alā or mīta
E. Nagul-īha
F. Nimun-śirullā
G. Viya-gaha
H. Holes for the ropes

...to pass through to attach the oxen
Heda dek'Nagula (Western Province)

A. Vak-alta
B. Pta-o-vo
C. Pi-pi-hi
D. Pta-ka-xo
E. Pta-ka-xo
F. Nagu-ka-xo

(NO 5)
2. O'm! Mr. Rat! Mr. Elephant is watching. May the rats quit the paddy field, and go to another quarter. Let it be so!

3. O'm! Mr. Rat! Mr. Tiger is coming, Mr. Cat is watching. May the rats leave this and go somewhere else. Let it be so!

4. O'm! Mr. Rat-tiger is coming from the city of Indra. May the rats leave this and go somewhere else. Let it be so!

II.—Against Worms.

O'm! I went before the Supreme, and as he graciously permitted me to go to the milk-hedge* forest, and cut milk-hedge and burn all the worms that are in Brahma world, Vishnu world, Nag world, Moon world, and the world of the Gods. I myself stood with fire in my mouth and wearing a necklace of sacred beads. Let these, viz., stinging worms, worms which fold, branch worms, branch-eating worms, bob-tailed worms, short-necked worms, worms which bend like a bow, white ants, jointed worms, grasshoppers, and all worms of any name whatever, quit this field and go to another field. Let it be so!

(4).—Report by the Chief Mutaliyar and Irrigation Mutaliyar on the Customs, &c., as regards Paddy Cultivation in Batticaloa.+}

There are three kinds of harvest in the paddy cultivation of this District, viz.:

1. The Muñmári;
2. The Kālavellāñmai; and
3. The Ettálai.

* Euphorbia Tirucalli. Sigh., Nava-handi.
1st.—In the “Muṇmāri” there are two different modes of cultivation,—one under the ploughing system, commenced about September, and the other under the trampling system, commenced about January in the following year; but the age of the paddy sown in the former being longer than that used in the latter, the harvest of both takes place simultaneously in April.

2ndly.—The second, or the “Kālavellānmai” cultivation, which is termed “Piṇmāri” in Trincomalee, is entirely under the trampling system, and is commenced in March, and sometimes in April: its harvest takes place in August.

3rdly.—The third, or the “Ettālai” cultivation, which is also carried on under the trampling system, is commenced in August, and its harvest comes on about the end of September or the beginning of October.

In the cultivation of a field, a portion of the land is set apart for the “Pōḍi,” or proprietor, which is called “Muṭṭadḍu,” and another to the “Muṇnilaikkāraṇ,” or the head field-servant, called the “Muṇnilai vayal”; and the rest is divided between the cultivators, or “Veļiyāns,” which divisions are called “Veļiyān vayal.” As a general rule, about a fifth of the field is taken as “Muṭṭadḍu,” but if the field be more than twenty avaṇams’ sowing extent, about a fourth is cultivated as “Muṭṭadḍu,” and in consideration of the responsibility and supervision of the whole field by the “Muṇnilaikkāraṇ,” who is the sole manager of the cultivation, the “Muṇmilai vayal” either equals, or is a little larger than, the “Muṭṭadḍu”; and the remainder is divided equally, according to the extent cultivatable by each man, having respect to the facilities for cultivation, the quality of the soil, and the means of irrigation, as well as the expenses of fencing and watching. Care is however taken that each man’s share shall not be less than two avaṇams’ extent, nor exceed three avaṇams’ extent at most. There are, however, exceptional cases founded on either the fertility or the sterility of the soil, where the Muṇ-nilaiikkāraṇ has an extra portion, called “Ilavisam” to cultivate.

For instance, in a field of 20½ avaṇams’ extent the divisions and number of cultivators are determined as follows:—

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Muṭṭadḍu} & \quad 4 \text{ avaṇams} \\
\text{Muṇnilai vayal} & \quad 4 \text{ } \\
\text{Ilavisam} & \quad 0 \frac{1}{2} \text{ } \\
\text{Six Veļiyān vayals, at 2 avaṇams each} & \quad 12 \text{ }
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{ \text{=} 20 \frac{1}{2} \text{ avaṇams;} \\
\}
\end{array}
\]
making the whole to be eight “vayals” including the “Muṭṭaḍḍu” and “Munṭilai vayals,” and with these six “Veḻiyāṅs” or cultivators (and two other coolies for “Muṭṭaḍḍu” and “Munṭilai vayals”) the whole field is cultivated. For the sowing are required 20½ avaṇams’ seed and 16 avaṇams’ maintenance paddy at 2 avaṇams each—equals 36 avaṇams in all; which is liable to a charge of 50 per cent. profit, payable out of the crop. The Moors (except those of Erāvūr and Akkaraippatṭu) do not charge 50 per cent., because prohibited by their religion, but exact a portion of the cultivator’s share, which amounts to double the quantity of the maintenance paddy.

When no agreement is made for the cultivation of “Muṭṭaḍḍu” for the “Pōḍi,” but advances of seed and maintenance paddy are made, 50 per cent. is charged on both the seed and maintenance paddy; and the “Pōḍi” is entitled to a proportionate share of the produce, as he would be of the sowing extent of the land.

The “Pōḍi” is entitled to the free labour of all the field-servants in the cultivation of the “Muṭṭaḍḍu,” that is, if the cultivators agree to sow a “Muṭṭaḍḍu” for the “Pōḍi” to the produce whereof he has exclusive right, but he has to pay a cooly who looks after the “Muṭṭaḍḍu”; and the “Munṭilaiikkāraṇ,” or the manager and superintendent of the cultivation, is also entitled to a certain degree of free labour which is performed for him in the cultivation of the “Munṭilai vayal,” and which free labour is rendered to him partly because of the attention and general superintendence of the cultivation of the field, and partly from fear of the “Munṭilaiikkāraṇ,” who will make them forfeit the perquisites of the cultivation (which will be seen in the sequel) if they refuse to render him free labour to a certain extent. The amount of this free labour is as follows:—

The field-servant must put up the ridges of the “Munṭilai vayal,” sow it and fence it, along with the cooly of the “Munṭilai vayal”; the fence-sticks are to be supplied by the field-servants; no fence, by custom of the country, is apportioned for the “Muṭṭaḍḍu” and “Munṭilai vayal,” which is divided in common with the fences of the field-servants; cattle for trampling are to be supplied by the “Pōḍi” or the “Munṭilaiikkāraṇ,” unless each field-servant has his own cattle. The usual hire of a yoke of buffaloes for trampling is one avaṇam of paddy, and a shilling and sixpence in money, called “Kaikkūli,” if paid in advance; and one and a-half avaṇam of paddy, if paid after the harvest.
The hire for ploughing bullocks is one avanām if paid in advance, and one and one third avanām, or forty marakkals of paddy at the harvest.

Before commencing cultivation, astrologers are consulted to find out an “E’rñāl,” or an auspicious day, to commence cultivation; and that being fixed upon (which is generally at nights), the cultivators go and wait at the field till the Pleiades rise or come to the meridian, and then the cultivators plough or trample the land, sow a few seeds, and have a small feast in the open field. After cultivation, the plain is fenced by the joint labours of all the cultivators, and watched at nights until the crop is reaped and stacked.

If the land is not commuted, the tythe goes to the Government renter, but if commuted, the whole produce of the “Muṭṭadḍu” (after paying two avanāms to the “Muṭṭadḍu” cooly) goes to the “Pōḍi.” Tythe and seed-paddy, with 50 per cent. profit, are only taken from the produce of “Muṇnilai vayal,” and those of the “Veḷiyāṇs” are subject to various charges, as in the following instance, viz.:—Suppose the produce of one cultivator’s share, three avanāms in extent, yields twenty avanāms of paddy, he will have to pay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 avanāms 0 marakkāl..</th>
<th>Tythe.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 avanāms 2 marakkāl..</th>
<th>Cattle-hire.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

which leaves a balance of 3 avanāms 20 marakkals to the cultivator.
For threshing, Thursdays are considered the best days to commence, and certain charms and ceremonies are performed to keep off "Pútams," or devils, from carrying away the fruits of their labour. The charm is called "Arakku," which consists of the following stuffs shut up in a box, viz., silver, copper, iron, coral, pearl, chank, valampuri (a fruit), chadaimudi (a vegetable), and some arrack in a vial, and buried in the centre of the threshing-floor with margosa leaves, &c., over which the sheaves are heaped and the cattle turned on them for threshing. In addition to these charms and ceremonies, to keep off the devil from stealing the paddy they begin to use a peculiar slang to keep the devils ignorant of what is spoken. For instance, the threshing cattle, instead of being termed "Mádu" as usual, go by the name "Várikkáalan," the meaning of which is "productive-legged"; the "Marakkál," or the measure, is termed "kañakkañ," meaning "accountant"; the baskets are called "Peruváyan," or "broad-mouthed," and every implement has a different name in the threshing-floor. All expressions that have meanings suggestive of decrease or other ill-omened significations are avoided, and the word "multiply" is always substituted. For instance, the expression:

Drive the bullocks.......... is rendered Multiply the "Várikkáalan."
Sweep the corn............. " Multiply the "Poli."
Bring the "Marakkál"...... " Multiply the "Kañakkañ."
Fill the basket............. " Multiply the "Peruváyan."
Bring some water........... " Multiply some "Wallam."
Go home for rice.......... " Multiply home for "Wallai."
Call him to take this and deliver it at home...... " Multiply him to multiply this and to multiply at home.

&c.,

In threshing, cattle are driven with a song, the purport of which is to invoke the deities to give them a good produce.

The perquisites of the field-servants are the following:—At the reaping of the "Vayals," each field-servant is entitled to eight bundles of the best crop of his "vayal," by way of "Putir"; and further, four bundles of corn, called "Kuruvimulai" (bird nook), "Paivyali" (the "pallam" of the water-course in the "vayal"), two "Marakkáals" extent of the "vayal," is sown for the field-servant, to the produce whereof he is solely entitled. Besides, he gets "Adichchudu" (bottom of the stack that is wet), being sometimes three bundles, "Manñápakdádi" (bits of earth), the
off-scouring of the threshing-floor, "Patarkadai" (chaff), and "Kandumari" (paddy between chaff and first-class paddy).

The coolies of the "Muttaqdu" and "Munnilai vayal" are entitled to similar perquisites from the "Muttaqdu" and "Munnilai vayal," respectively. On the day of reaping, the "Podi" attends the field to take an account of the crop, when the cooly of the "Muttaqdu" puts up a shed for him covered with sheaves from the "Muttaqdu," and when the shed is left unoccupied, the "Muttaqdu" cooly becomes entitled to the sheaves with which he thatched the shed.

On the day of commencing the cultivation of the "Muttaqdu" and "Munnilai vayal," a feast is given by the "Podi" and the "Munnilaiakkaran," called the "Podi Viruntu" and "Munnilai-viruntu," respectively. But this has died out now. "Tinda Chilavu" (a slight native lunch), called fine feast, is exacted from the "Podi" in the following way:

If the "Podi," or sometimes a representative from the "Podi's" family, happens to be present at the field on the day that the sheaves are made up and stacked, one of the field-servants slyly approaches the "Podi" with a sheaf on the top of his head, and all at a sudden falls down with the sheaf and pretends to make a great noise, as if in agonising pain, when all the people in the field flock up to him, one after the other, and being interrogated "What ails you?" the pretender replies, "I suffer from pains in the loins, oppression in the chest, and colic;" and being asked to recommend the remedy, the pretender prescribes the remedy, and says that nothing less than it will effect a cure. A Moorman asks for cakes and fruits, but a Tamil man asks for cakes, fruits, and a bottle of arrack. "Unless these be brought and tied on my back, a cure will not be effected." When the "Podi" promises to procure the remedy, the man gets up, and not till then. This should be given first of all on the day that the threshing of the "Muttaqdu" takes place, and cakes are to be prepared at the "Podi's" house by the wives of the field-servants, who must provide firewood, water, &c. If the remedy that the pretender wants be refused, or no notice is taken of it by the "Podi," all sorts of indignities or provocations are showered upon the "Podi" by the field-servants, who make an effigy of straw, called "Pampai," to represent the "Podi," which is stuck upon the Muttaqdu stack of the crop, and then representations are made of the "Podi"
himself eating all the cakes named, by fixing to the mouth of the
effigy mud or clay cakes made by the field-servants. Sometimes
an ola and a stick are put into the hands of the effigy, to repre-
sent the “Pódi” taking an account of the crop reaped. The
denial on the part of the “Pódi” is followed by a virtual denial
of obedience to the orders of the “Pódi,” under the pretence of
being sick from the surfeit of the repast given by the “Pódi,”
which is ironical language.

If the “Pódi” does not give the demanded repast, he, to main-
tain his respect with the field-servants, must by all means give
five marakkáls of paddy to each field-servant; otherwise his
stinginess will be thrown in his face in public, and kept up.

After the paddy is removed from the threshing-floor to the
Pódi’s house, the field-servants must fetch straw from the
threshing-floor, thatch the house of the Pódi, and repair the fence
of the garden, and then they get their discharge.

E. SOMANADA MUTALIYÁR,
Chief Mutaliyár.

A. D. ZILVA,
Irrigation Mutaliyár.

Batticaloa, 24th February, 1871.
KASAGAL VIHA’RA.

BY E. R. GUNARATNA, ESQ., Atapattu Mudaliyár.

The above is the name of a temple historically famous, but of which very little is known at present. During a trip to the Hambantota District in February last, reference was made to it casually by a friend, and the few particulars gleaned from him were of such interest that a visit was paid to it with the intelligent Mudaliyár of the District.

The vihára is situated in the village Udáyálá in the Márákaḍa Resthouse Division of the Giruwá Pattu, on the minor road from Ranna to Wíráwila. Some are of opinion that it took its name from the hue of the robes of the large fraternity of monks that once on a time hallowed its precincts, so that even the rocks looked yellow (kasa-gál); others, that the name was derived from the bright yellow flowers of the grove of kinihiriya* trees with which the precincts abound, and which, when in blossom, completely hide the rocks. The latter conjecture seems most likely, as at the time of the visit these pretty flowers in full blossom were particularly striking on a number of trees, evidently the remnants of those which gave their name to this temple.

Our poets frequently refer to the gamboge hue of the kinihiriya flowers, in describing one of the rays that emanated from Buddha’s person,† and the tree no doubt was considered ornamental in the parks and pleasure grounds.

* Cistus lobatus, Rock-rose, Wilid.
† In the Kávyasékaraya:—
Sapu peti sadara lesa
Kinihiriyamal isina lesa.—Verse 111.
“(Golden rays flashed about) as if champaka petals were set, or kinihiriya flowers strewn about.”
Veta nika mal maharu, mutu dél lakala piyakaru
Supul kinihiri turu, sédibébalena rantoran yuru.—Verse 61.
“The kinihiriya trees in full blossom, with the nika flowers close by, like a valuable pearl network, resembled golden arches in gay display.”
In the Paravisandése, too:—
Sinduwara késara kinihribijupura mandara
Magatura nohéra tura tura wala bala mitura.—Verse 54.
A spacious path leads to the temple premises from the road. One first has to enter the pansala, built recently, and quite unattractive. About fifty yards from this is the temple, a small structure, but bearing clear traces of its antiquity in the huge granite slabs that are used for the steps and the foundation stones.

From the following lines of the Mahāvansa, it would seem that this temple was originally built by Mahá Wijaya Bāhu, who reigned in 1061 A.D.:

Bhallátaka vihárávho tatheva paragámakā
Kásagallavhaya chanda girivhayavihárako—Cap. 60, v. 61.

The present incumbent is Mátara Sóbhita Unnánse, a man of fair intelligence and some learning. He stated that it is traditionally stated that the Bó tree that exists here sprung from the second seed of the famous tree at Anurádhapura, and as this temple was then the resort of Arahats, it was conveyed with great pomp and planted here; that the sovereigns who thereafter reigned in the Island were scrupulous in the upkeep of these premises, and spent large sums in embellishing them; that a substantial granite parapet wall, supported by stone pillars of eight and ten feet in height, was built around the temple grounds; that within the enclosure there were several edifices that stood on granite pillars, the principal of which was the Dałádá Mundiraya, the repository in which the famous tooth-relic was placed, on a solid granite base, 52 feet by 32 feet, and 3½ feet high.†

† There are remains of this wall yet to be seen.

Though our records are silent, there is no doubt that the internecine wars and the ravages of the Tamils, which occasionally troubled the peaceful state of the Island, must have resulted in the removal of the Dałádá relic from one place to another, and it must have been at times preserved in the Ruhuná division, when the kings had their relatives stationed there. We read in the Mahāvansa, that in the reign of King Vikrama Bāhu, 1111 A.D., on account of his despotism and hostility to the State religion, the monks proceeded with the tooth-relic and the bowl to the Ruhuná Division, and lived in convenient places:

Dáthá dhátum varam patta dhátu médáya Rohaṇam.
Gantvá vásmakappesum phásut tháne tabiṇ tabiṇ.—Cap. 61, v. 61.
The above is the short traditional history of this noted temple that the priest kindly supplied, and there was much in the place to substantiate a good deal of it.

The dágaba, about forty feet high, is built on the summit of a rock, which commands a splendid view of the surrounding country.

On questioning the priest for old books and records which would afford a clue to the history of this temple, he produced an interesting document, which, upon close examination, proved to be genuine. It is a letter written by John Gideon Loten, who was the Dutch Governor of Ceylon from 1752 to 1757,* to the Siamese Priest Upáli, who was the principal-Thera sent by the King of Siam at the request of Kírti Śri Rájasipha, 1753 A.D., to revive the Upasampadá ordination then extinct in Ceylon. A copy of it, with a transcript and translation, is annexed:

* Full particulars of the arrival of Upáli in Ceylon, and the cordial reception accorded him, are narrated at length in the 99th chapter of the Mahávana, and in a history of the Upasampada Ordination, in a pamphlet called the Syámanikáyá Dipániya, published in 1880.
Tejó bala prákrama prabala ripu mardana ékacchatra prathula prasiddha Laṅkágra rājēswaranwú utum swāmidaruwanwahanségé utumwú maha wásalaṭa Siyam désayen samprúptava sítina Upálí maha terunwahanshéṭa bohōsé deviyó wēḍa šalaswá rakshá karadena pinisa penwá evana waga hēti nam.

Maṭa oppukarana hētiyaṭa tamunwahansége namin apé tánápati Martēn Kēyiṅ unnānsēṭa bāra karanḍa yedunu liyawili patraya maṭa lēbi kiyawā bēḷu tēma, tamunnānsė Siyam désayen piṭatwanu tek paṭan e gamanēḍi kuraccalayakaṭa iḍamak nētuwa sēma dēma bondin siddhawunāya yana waga saha Trīkunāmale sēḍi sīṭi nilamakkāra mahattēn within bohōsé dayā nambu upakāra penwaṇṭat yedunāya yana wagada eyiṅ dakinḍa yedi, eveni ati mitra dāyakawū danwū evīma pinisa māgē mahaṭ sātuṭa wimē waga danwaminut māgē sēma puluwankaṁe pamanṭa siyālu prakāra, yenma tamunnānsėwa prasanna karawimaṭa wenuwa māgē hit adipprā kēmēttē waga sattaka karaminut eveni wiga walaṭa ati mahatwū sēṭiyakin mama santōsa prīti wendaṅṅēwa tībennuya ēmak-
nisāda kiwot utumwú maha wāsalaṭa sēma wissāsa prasanna wū
veda paniwudawal kara kiyá oppukarana hethiyata magé wera wëyama nitara ètuwa tibunu nisát tawa iliiriyatat ètuwa tibena nisát tamáya é éra Siyam désayen à tânápati mahattengé veda kára kene neyehita geuat maña bára karana hethiyata dunnáya kiyá kiyamin héwanam kene ema tânápati mahatten metanin pitatwagiya dawasak dawasakata passé kadadási patrayak maña oppukalá é kiyana kadadásiye piya wásagama kiyawanda puluwan kene ema mëda sambawen ñëti seyn më samaga eveni kadadási patrayat pitakara evananawá sonyëi kiyá kalpanáwuna nisá esé piyat kara ewwà memapatraya tamunnánse nàmata liyawí tibena wànam evíta ema patraya porottu karaganda yedena hethiyatat nètuwa wena hethiyaknam evíta é kadadási patrayé liyawí tibena waga surukkamen liyànda yedena hethiyata pamanak nowa mema patraya nèwata piyat kara evanda yedena hethiyatat illá hiitिnÁya tawada mëma tamunwahénse wenuva mhat nambu istutiyak ètuwa pasuwem in mesé liyá piyat kalé warsha ekdasas satsiya panas hatakarwu Julli mësa satarawen ñ Gurudína Kolonam Kastélédíya.

E' vagat mesé tamunnánsegni veda paniwuda kerimata adipprá mitrawú

JOVAN GIDION LÔTEN,
GOVERNADORU WAMHA.

Translation.

"May God preserve and prosper the Chief Théra Upáli, who has arrived from Siam at the Great Palace of the Supreme King of Laṅká, illustrious and powerful, victorious over enemies, and exercising universal sway!

"I was greatly delighted to learn from your letter that you sent me by Our Ambassador Marten Reyn, that you had a safe voyage, without any mishap, all the way from Siam, and that a courteous reception was accorded you by the Officials at Trincomalee. I am also glad to inform you that I rejoice at this friendly intimation, as I assure you that my wish is to please you in every way to the best of my ability, and that it gladdens me, since I have always used and will use my best efforts, to perform such service as will please the King."
“Further, a lascoreen handed over to me a paper given to him to be delivered over to me by an attendant of the Ambassadors, who arrived from Siam two or three days after the Ambassadors had left. As no one could be got here who could decipher the address on the said letter, having considered it well to despatch it to you, I have done so; if it is for you you may keep it; if not, please return it, certifying to me of its contents carefully.

“With my greetings and compliments (to you), this is thus written and despatched on Thursday, the 4th of July, in the year 1754, in the Castle at Colombo.

“Johan Gideon Loten.”
KALI KOVILA.

BY ARTHUR JAYAWARDANA, ESQ., Mudaliyár.

At Yátramulla, in Bentota, traces are still to be seen of the site of a very ancient building. The villagers connect it with the Káli Kovila, a temple dedicated to the she-demon Káli, which they have heard their elders tell stood on this place in very ancient times.

This demon, and the story of her conversion to Buddhism, is related at length in the Dampiya Atascára. It is there stated that she first appeared in this world as a barren woman, and having passed through many successive births in punishment for child-murder, finally attained, under the preaching of Buddha, to the first of the four paths to Nirvána—the path known as “Sóván.” In process of time she came to be invested with supernatural powers, and having, in consideration of the offerings she received of rice, &c., for her maintenance, identified herself entirely with the agricultural interests of the country, she came to be regularly resorted to for intercession by the cultivators before they took a single step in the cultivation of their fields. She is also represented as being specially possessed of the power of predicting the times most suited for dry and wet grain cultivation, the failure or success of harvests, and so on.

In short, she appears to have developed into the tutelar deity of the Sinhalese paddy fields, corresponding to the Ceres of Greek mythology. Indeed, the tradition is still current in Yátramulla, that the grandparents and great-grandparents of the present generation of adults distinctly remembered the site of this kovila being looked after by two dumb women, who could be seen at early dawn sweeping the place out clean, and, with a lamp burning in a sort of little watch-hut on the site, patiently awaiting the offerings made thereat by goyiyás on their way to work in their paddy fields.
The site of this temple is within a few yards of the former ferry over the Bentota river. At this spot well-marked traces of the foundation of some superstructure in very old cabook, crumbling almost to dust, are met with to support the tradition. The usual pond that is seen in the immediate vicinity of most of the déwálas and temples is clearly traceable here, even though it is now overgrown with jungle, and filled in with earth enough to admit even of a plantation of cocoanut trees on it. I have it also on the authority of the incumbent of one of the viháras in the neighbourhood, that he had himself removed coral-stones from the site of this pond to his vihára for building purposes. Even at the present day a slab of granite, used as the threshold of the chief entrance to the Bódimali Vihára, is admitted to have been removed from the site of this kóvila by Kálávila Terunnansé, a late incumbent of that vihára. It is also said that about thirty years ago, a villager, while removing earth from this site, fell in with a lamp. But I have not been able to trace this lamp to the parties at present in possession of it. The man's descendants disclaim all knowledge. His eldest daughter, however, has a faint recollection of her father having mentioned the discovery of this lamp in the family, but of its subsequent history she is able to say nothing; whether through actual ignorance of the facts, or through fear of confession leading to the confiscation of the article, or to consequences more serious to herself, it is difficult to say. The marked difference, besides, in the appearance of the soil on this supposed site, as compared with the soil all round, is also very suggestive. The soil in almost the whole village is composed of loose sand, much like what might have been thrown up from the river,—indeed if the village was not itself originally the bed of the stream. But on a spot of about fifteen or twenty yards, nearly square, not only is the surface-soil dark-brown in colour, and gravelly, but the deeper you dig the more marked are the traces about the surface of cabook that has already crumbled, and lower down of cabook in course of crumbling; while it is a
well-known fact that the foundation of several houses in the vicinity have been built from the materials dug out of this site.

Of the existence at Bentota of a temple called the Kāli Kōvīla, the evidence on record, though not plentiful, is, to my mind, very conclusive.

In the 86th chapter of the Mahāvaṇsa it is stated that Parākrama Bāhu II., or, as he is also styled, Kali Kāla Sahitya Sarwajña Paṇḍita, summoned his Prime Minister Dēwa Pratirāja, and having represented that the building commenced at the Attanagalla Vihāra by his predecessor Upatissa was in ruins, and that the orchard planted by King Nissankā at the Bentota Vihāra was neglected, asked him to undertake the restoration of these works in the king’s name. In obedience to this request the Prime Minister proceeds to the Attanagalla Vihāra, erects a three-storied building there, and makes a record of the fact in an inscription on a rock in the temple. He next proceeds to Bentota (Bhimatițthā in the original), and builds a bridge, eighty-six cubits long, over the Kāli river. He next visits Keselsėnawā, and builds a bridge there one hundred wall-plates* long, then a bridge forty wall-plates long over the Salgama-gāṅga, and another bridge one hundred and fifty cubits long in the Salpiti Kōralē. The Prime Minister returns again to Bentota, and plants a cocoanut garden one yoduna long from the Bentota Vihāra to the Kālu-gāṅga. This took place in the Buddhist year 1781 (1239–1240 A.D.).

From the above it will be seen that so far back as the middle of the thirteenth century, although the village itself went by the name of Bentota, the river on which it stands, or at least a part of it, was called the Kāli river (Kālinadi in the Pāli); that is, it took its name from the temple dedicated to that goddess which stood on its banks; for in no other way can we explain the origin of this particular name for this river.

Again, the poet Śrī Rāhula Sthāviro of Toṭagamuwa, about a century and a half later, makes direct reference to

* One wall-plate is equal to seven cubits in length.
this temple in his *Paravisandesa*, where, in an elegant stanza descriptive of the charms of the nautch girls attached to the temple, he directs the pigeon he was sending with a message to Vishnu, at Dondra, to refresh himself with a little rest at the *Küli Kövila*, on the other side of the Bentota river, telling the bird, in another stanza, to sleep for the night at the *Wanawasa Vihara*, which is about half a mile from the supposed site of the *Küli Kövila*.

We have therefore the fact, well-supported, of the existence at Bentota, many centuries back, of a temple known as the *Küli Kövila*; and I can see no reason why the site that tradition has fixed upon for it should be rejected. For the fact of its being reached only after Tothagamuwa's pigeon had crossed the river, and of its being nearer the ferry than the *Wanawasa Vihara*, places it somewhere between the ferry and the vihara; and the site now claimed for the kövila exactly fulfils that requirement.

The etymological meaning of the name "Bentota," a corruption of the Páli *Bhimatitha*, or "fearful ferry," is also referred by some local pandits to the awe that the existence on its bank of a temple dedicated to a demon is calculated to inspire on the native mind.

But the more obvious explanation, as urged by others, of the river being indebted for this name to a dangerous current or eddy that may have existed in times past, opposes a very serious objection to the acceptance of this hypothesis. It is none the less true, however, that it is only on the Kafulatar side that the river for a few fathoms from the shore attains a dangerous depth, the remaining portion—more than two-thirds of the entire width of the river—being one long sandbank, not more than knee-deep, for a very great part of the year. And yet the village on the Kafulatar bank, when etymologically examined, discloses no clue that would go to warn the intending wayfarer of the danger of the stream before him. It is only after he has crossed it, and has almost waded over the sandbank, that he comes upon a village which is supposed to apprise him of the dangers which he has just safely passed,—a coincidence so rare
in the consideration as almost to justify us in rejecting this explanation of the nomenclature of the river.

Another section of pandits, less courageous in their convictions, explain away the name by a reference to a general belief that the river was looked upon at one time as being haunted by a malicious water-sprite.

As, then, the antiquity of the Káli Kóvila seems to be so well established, the excavation of its supposed site cannot fail to be advantageous from an archæological point of view. About fifteen years ago some natives, while in the act of removing gravel from this site, were rewarded with the discovery of an earthen jar containing some old coins. More systematic excavation cannot, therefore, fail to unearth even more valuable treasures. I would therefore suggest that a small sum from the collections now being raised among the Members of the Society for purely archæological purposes be devoted to the exploration of this ancient kóvila, as I feel sure that while a great deal of information of purely archæological interest will be gained, we are likely also to be rewarded with historical information of not less importance.

It is the intention of the people of Bentóta to revive the interest in the Káli Kóvila by starting a subscription for rebuilding it. It is also contemplated to inaugurate its completion with a procession, and a fair, after the manner of the Dondra fair; and if it prove a success, it is further intended to hold this fair annually. Of the advantages that will accrue to the people therefrom, the experience gained by the Dondra fair makes it almost superfluous for me to say anything. The facilities such a fair will afford the inhabitants for the sale of local produce cannot be exaggerated; and it will be no small saving to them, if, instead of having to resort to the larger towns, they are enabled to provide themselves with the more expensive of their every-day requirements at their very doors, as they will doubtless be able to do, by the attraction to this fair, if properly advertised, of traders from different parts of the country. The existence, besides, of a shrine in Bentóta
itself, in a temple already hallowed by the associations of centuries, will at once do away with the necessity to which suitors at the Ganśabháwa are now subjected of having to proceed to the Kandé Vihára, in the Pasdún Kóralé, whenever the President in his discretion elects to submit his decision to the ordeal of oath.

The restoration of a heathen temple, as is foreshadowed in this Paper, may perhaps require some explanation in the eyes of those to whose Christian training it may seem an outrage. But Christian missionary effort in the Bentoṭa District, it must be remembered, for the last quarter of a century, or even more, has been attended with absolutely no results, and the people are still strongly wedded to their ancestral beliefs. I have thought it proper, therefore, purely in the material interests of my District, to identify myself with this movement, so as to ensure for it some good practical result. Unless under intelligent guidance, spontaneous undertakings of any kind whatever come to nought among the Šinhalese people. And where the weight of authority can, in addition, be thrown into the scale, the undertaking may be so conducted as to be attended with good results to the people. Bentoṭa, besides, can lay claim to five of the most ancient Viháras in the Island—Bentoṭá, Wanawása, Bódimaluwa, Galapátá, and Benwehera. The building of the Káli Kóvila is supposed to be coeval with that of these temples. And as the goddess is also looked upon as a supporter of Buddhism, and as she is popularly credited with the possession of supernatural powers, the restoration and maintenance of a temple in her honour cannot fail to be pleasing to the people.
BELIGALA.

BY R. W. IEVERS, ESQ., C.C.S.

That portion of the old Kandyam Kingdom called the Four Kóralés (Hatara Kóralé), which now forms the northern half of the modern District of Kégalla, possesses many sites of interest for the historian and antiquary.

From its geographical position the District was very important as a border land between the Kandyans and the Portuguese and Dutch. Hence it was a matter of policy to conciliate the local chiefs, who were accordingly rewarded with nindagam,† by both the hill and maritime Governments; and many of the highest chiefs take title from the Four Kóralés. The Beligal Kóralé is the most western, and in it are situated several places which deserve mention, such as Arandara, Dédigama, Watárama, and Menikkadawara (the “Manicavaré” of Ribeyro); but I propose in this memorandum to submit only my notes upon the curious rock called Beligala.

As the rock from its position and nature is obviously the place to be selected for security in unsettled times, I think it more than probable that the Kóralé, in the centre of which it lies, has taken its name from the stronghold.

The heirs of Dantakumáráyá, son-in-law of a king of Dantapura in the Kálinga country, settled in the Kiraweli Pattuwa, 310 A.D., and there remained until the fifteenth century, intermarrying with the royal race (Rájávali). Local tradition ascribes the works at Beligala to a Kálinga monarch. I see no reason to doubt that these princes made use of the rock as a stronghold, and that when the

* I desire to record my obligations to Mr. J. F. Dickson, President of the Society, and to Mr. J. A. Swettenham, for references which I regret I have not had an opportunity of using when writing.

† Nindagama.—“A village which, for the time being, is the entire property of the grantee or temporary chief; if definitively granted by the King, with sannas, it becomes paraveni.”—(D'Oyly.)
tooth-relic was in danger from the Tamil invasion, it was brought from its hiding place in Kotmalé to Beligala.

We read in the "Maháwañsa," chapter 81:—

18. අරෝණිය අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
19. මිවිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
20. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
21. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
22. දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
23. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
24. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
25. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
26. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
27. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
28. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
29. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
30. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
31. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
32. මියිසි අතිත්ව දේශයට විසින් පෙළ පෙළ සහිත පෙන්දාදා හැදුන්නා
33. යාතරිටි කතා මිලදේ. වමකට ජාතියට වීමක් මුලින් පැහැදිලිය.
    මෙම ගොඩවන් කිය ගන්නේ මුලින් මුලින්.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
34. මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
35. මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
36. මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
37. මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
38. මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නොහැදි නොහැදි.
    මෙම නොහැදි නො hare.
39. මෙම නොහැදි නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.

ශ්‍රීමභාගේ ගොඩවන් හා වුයේ විශේෂීය ප්‍රධානේකට මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
    මෙම නොhare.
Translation.

Not to speak of anything else in connection with the said battle, Vāgīṣvara, and all the other elderly priests, removed beforehand from Polonnaruwa, taking the tooth-relic and bowl-relic of the Teacher, came to Mayā country, and respectfully deposited them in a safe place at certain spot on the Kotmalé mountain. Thereafter, some of the priests, Vāgīṣvara, &c., seeking the protection of Lāṅkā, with a view to secure the establishment of the religion, crossed over the great sea greatly agitated by huge waves, and went to Soli, Pāṇḍi, and other countries. The above-mentioned King Vijaya Bāhu, a mine of mercy, sent his ministers, and brought them all back from the said countries. He bowed to the priests who returned, and inquired where the two relics could be found. On being informed that they were in such and such a place, the body of the lord of men was filled with five-fold joy. The king, preceded by the great assemblage of priests, went
to the Kotmalé mountain, accompanied by his forces. He caused
great offerings to be made about the mountain, and with a
gladdened heart, saw the relic and the bowl there; then the lord
of the earth being pleased in mind, as if he had obtained either
precious treasures such as chakraratna, &c., or a great hidden
treasure, or as if he was about to attain Nirwána,—being
possessed of wealth equal to that of King Mandhátu,—took the two
relics, and, with great festivities, carrying them from village to
village and from city to city, brought them to the delightful city
of Dambadéniya, where good people had commenced splendid
decorations and festivities. The learned king, after having daily
made great offerings to these relics, thought "I will carefully
prepare a permanent and safe place very difficult of access, in
such wise that hereafter when other kings come (here) these
relics may not suffer at the hands of foreign enemies." Having
thus thought, he caused to be built a splendid relic house on the
top of Beligala, as beautiful as a divine mansion come down
from heaven, having first fortified it around in such a way that
no earthly enemies could reach it, but only celestial beings.
All round it, the learned king caused a monastery to be built—
with beautiful tanks, ponds, ambulance-halls, diurnal and
nocturnal resting-places, which had many palaces and courts
about them: he then respectfully deposited the two relics in the
relic-house with great festivities. Upon priests firm in faith
and zealous in the protection of the relic, he bestowed the
monastery, and established a plan of alms-giving, and made
regulations for the careful observance of a system of daily
offerings to the relics.

The "Rájaratnákára" has the same account, but makes no
mention of taking the relic to Dambadeniya.

From the existing remains I have no doubt that this de-
scription is substantially correct.

I take the height of the rock to be about 800 or 1,000
feet. It is situated about two miles north of the 42nd
mile on the Kandy-road. Inaccessible on all sides, except
on the north-east, where the path is steep and narrow, it
may have been fairly considered impregnable.

The name would appear to have arisen from a fancied
resemblance in the shape of the rock to a beli* fruit. The

* Ægle marmelos, or wood-apple.
analogy of nomenclature of rocks in the District, such as Alagala, Batalagala, Urákanda, &c., make this probable; but tradition has a story that a Bráhmin, travelling with a shoot of the bó tree, rested beside a beli tree in this village, and placed the sacred shoot on a branch of the beli tree, and went to eat his rice; when he came to remove the bowl it was found that the shoot had grown down through the bowl and beside the tree to the ground, and was firmly rooted there.

There is now neither beli nor bó tree on the rock.

The path to the summit leads out of the courtyard of the dwelling of the old Kórála who lives at the foot of the rock, and who purchased the arable land on the summit from the Crown in 1862. Steps are cut in the bare rock somewhat after the fashion of those on the path to the Peak.

Half-way up, and beside the path, there is a cave, about eighteen yards deep by five yards wide, which tradition calls a muragé, or “guard-house.” Higher up are the remains of a stone rampart placed after the fashion of those at Sigiri, and a heap of broken pillars and steps, which appear to have been a gateway. Beyond this there is a flat space about fifty yards by twenty, and by this the path went round the summit to the south side, where the King’s Palace was situated.

With some difficulty I ascended directly the north platform of the Daladá Maligáwa, and I annex a rough sketch showing the positions of the places mentioned. The summit, I think, is about eight or ten acres in extent, but it may be more.

On this site there still remains a quantity of pillars, and stones which appear to have been cornices with a plain moulding, thus:

![Sketch of Beligala Summit]

A number of pillars have been taken down by the Kórála, along a “shoot” made of kitul tree trunks, to form basement of granaries, thresholds, and steps. But as the pillars
seem devoid of carving or artistic merit, there is not much to regret in the vandalism. Here remain *in situ* two carved trunks of elephants, such as usually crown the summit of a flight of steps, and a stone *pātra*, or “bowl,” one and a half foot in diameter.

There is also a curious monolith six and a half feet long, three feet wide, and having at each end a tenon to fit a mortice, thus:

![Diagram of monolith](image)

In the centre is a nicely-carved boss, or omphalos, in relief. This may have been a sidepost of a door, but it seems unnecessarily broad for the purpose, though there are some broader than this at Anurādhapura.

South of this platform we are met by a deep but narrow ravine, which has been banked up at each end as a reservoir for water. It is now dry. Passing over the bund we find the summit of the rock bare of earth, and bowl-shaped, and pierced all over with holes, some square, but nearly all oblong, four inches by two inches, and three inches deep. They seem to have been cut without regard to any plan or in line, and are very close together—not more than two yards apart, and sometimes less. I think these are the holes made to support wooden pillars sustaining a level platform on the top of the rock. West of this rock, and deep below it, lies a natural depression, which has been formed into a tank or *pokuna* twenty yards by eight yards, having a retaining wall on the west side. This no longer holds water.

Descending from this rock on the south side, we reach a large flat space now overgrown by jungle, and here the Palace must have stood. But with the exception of some mounds of brick and rubbish, and some pillars and steps, even the ruins have perished, or have been covered up.
On the south-east the rock falls away bare of earth by several platforms to the belt of jungle which surrounds the summit above the cliffs. Here we find two ponds or pokunu: one cut in the rock of oblong shape, twenty-five feet by ten feet by six feet; the other lower down, and circular in shape, formed by a large bund, on which large trees grow. This is about twenty yards in diameter. Both these hold water still.

On the north-east side there is said to be a large cave, but the difficulty of descending to its entrance, and want of time, prevented me from making any exploration.

It is curious that no inscriptions are to be found. I was shown a sign, mark, or letter, six inches by three inches, cut in the rock near the small pond, thus:

![Diagram](image)

I thought at first that it was the sign Śrī, and it somewhat resembles it from my sketch, but the cut sign was not like Śrī.

I was shown a species of grass, or "hill-paddy," which looks like a small kind of el-vi, growing among the jungle on the top of the rock, and is said to be peculiar to the place. I hope to obtain an opinion from Dr. H. Trimen and Mr. W. Ferguson.

At the foot of the rock, on the east, there remains a bund, or vé-kanda, about twenty feet high, through a breach in which the stream flows, the bed of the tank having been converted into paddy fields. This work, as well as an ancient Vihāra close by, now falling into ruin, is ascribed to King Kālināga Bāhu.
We have no information as to the destruction of the buildings on the Beligala, but I think we may safely ascribe them to the Portuguese, whose religious zeal would not tolerate even a deserted temple of the tooth-relic.

I have little doubt that excavation made here would disclose the foundations of the buildings and possibly carved moonstones (sandakaḍapahaṇa); and would be interesting as forming one of the many links of evidence as to the accuracy of the "Mahāwaṇsā" chronicle.

NOTE.*

The principality of Beligala appears in the Kuḍaipot, or old "Boundary-books" of Ceylon, among the districts of Māyā division. That an important temple early stood on, or near, the rock may be gathered from Beligala being included among the thirteen great temples where bō trees were planted by Chūlābhaya Rājā.† It is strange that a place of such religious note and natural strength of position should be so briefly noticed in Sinhalese and Pāli works. As a fact there would appear to be no detailed mention of Beligala until the reign of Wijaya Bāhu III. (1240–1267 A.D.). This sovereign brought the relic from Kotmalē‡ (where it had been kept concealed during the twenty years of foreign usurpation that ensued on the invasion of the "Damiḷas" under Māgha), first to Dambadeniya, his royal capital, and thence to Beligala. He placed it on this rock that it might be safe for the future, and it rested undisturbed there for some thirty years. (Vide extract No. ii.)

The Daḷadā-relic was removed from Poḷonnāruwa to Kotmalē by Wāgīśwara and other dignitaries of the Buddhist Church, and though the year of its removal to Kotmalē is not recorded, the last reference to its being in Poḷonnāruwa occurs in the reign of Kīrti Nīṣāṇa (1192–1201 A.D.), who built a temple in which the tooth-relic was placed. (Vide extract No. i.)

* The authorities briefly referred to (p. 74) by Mr. Levers are here given in extenso, and supplemented by additional extracts bearing on the history of Beligala.—B., Hon. Sec.
† See Sulu Bodhiwaṇsā.
‡ See Muh., chap. 81, vv. 25–30.
No known record indicates the exact period at which Beligala was first enriched with the palaces, temples, and dagabas, inclosed by huge ramparts, ruins of which still attest its former splendour. But it is reasonable to assign the credit to Wijaya Bahu III. in the absence of other evidence, on the strength of the information to be derived from the "Rajarathnakara." (Vide extract No. ii.)

It is said that the son and successor of Wijaya Bahu III., Kalikula Sahitya Pandita Parakrama Bahu III. (1267-1301 A.D.), improved the buildings on Beligala, repairing the old ones, and adding a new pirivena, which was known by the name of Buwaneka Bahu pirivena, after its constructor. (Vide extracts Nos. vi. and viii.)

He subsequently brought back the relics from Beligala to his capital "Jambudrohi" (Dambadeniya), where he placed them in a mandiraya erected for the purpose near his palace. (Vide extracts Nos. v. and vii.) In the "Rajarathnakara" (vide extract No. iii.) it is said that he secured the relics in a tooth-relic house named Wijayasundarararamaya, constructed by him near his palace. But the "Mahawansa" and the "Pujawaliya" state that the Wijayasundarararamaya was built by his father Wijaya Bahu III., and that new buildings were added to it by Parakrama Bahu III. (1314-1319 A.D.), in one of which the relics were placed after they had been brought from Beligala. (Vide extracts Nos. iv., v., and vii.)

From Dambadeniya the relics had been carried to Yapahu by Buwaneka Bahu I. (1303-1314 A.D.), and had passed into the hands of the Pandjians, from whom they were finally recovered by Parakrama Bahu III. Their subsequent history, though full of interest, need not here be recorded.

Extracts.

(i.)—Rajarathnakaraya.

Translation.

The King Kirthi Nissanka, who came from Kalinga, built the Ruwanwelid Dagaba at Polonnaruwa, and added a spire to it, and
caused the granite house of the sacred tooth-relic to be built within the premises of the Daḻadū-māluva, and had it finished in sixty pēyas (24 hours), and there itself he had the Waṭadāgeya (circular relic-house) erected. He made a pilgrimage to Samanala (Adam’s Peak) with his forces, and paid adoration to the holy foot of Buddha. He caused orchards to be planted and ambalam (rest-houses) to be erected in his own name throughout the Island of Lāṅkā. He had sixty-three images in Dambulla Vihāra covered with gold plating, and gave it the name “Rangiri Dambulla,” and much favoured the Buddhist Church.

(ii.)—Rājaratnākaraya.

Translation.

At the defeat sustained from the Tamils (the priests)† took the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic from Poḷonnāruva and crossed over to Kotmalā, impenetrable by reason of inaccessible

---

* Mah., chap. 81, v. 17. පිළිහැරින්කු ප්‍රීටියේ, ඉතිහාස කළමනා ප්‍රිය කළව。
† Mah., කුන්ඩුස්වර මහජනයේ, පුම්භා කීර්ති කළ තුළ විශාලකුත්ත,
‡ Mah., chap. 81, v. 17. The high priest Wāgīswara and the other priests.
forests, mountains, and streams of water, passing through vast forests and over huge rocks, and being unable to protect it even there, buried it under ground, and betook themselves to the Soli and Pāṇḍi kingdoms. The king named Kālinga Wijaya Bāhu invited them to return to Māya Region, and having learnt that the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic had not been destroyed, he was exceedingly joyful, and instantly set out and went to Kotmalé, attended by a great company of monks and his forces, making many offerings and festivities, and took the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic, and was overjoyed as if he had obtained the Chakkravarti (universal kingdom), brought it [down to Dambadeniya, where it was worshipped daily*], causing many offerings to be made in each village. Then the king thinking “I will build a palace for the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic, that they may not be destroyed in a (future) conquest,” made a stronghold by raising ramparts, masonry works, and gates around Beligala, making it very secure, so that no human being could enter it, unless through the air (sky); constructed an incomparable tooth-relic house (Daladā-geya) like a divine mansion descended from heaven on the top of the mountain. And he caused to be built splendid monasteries for the priests, furnished with great and small golden tile buildings, walks and water tanks, and with great pomp conveyed the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic into the palace, and dedicated the monasteries to dignitaries engaged in the protection of the sacred relics, and settled a system of almsgiving for them, and ordered daily offerings and processions to be made, &c.

(iii.)—Rājaratnakārāya.

* Mah., chap. 81, v. 17.
Translation.

Again, the great king (Pandita Parakrama Bahu) took the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic from Beligala, where they rested, and caused a tooth-relic mansion to be erected close to his palace, and called it Wijayasundararāmaya, and had constructed there a throne of great value. This king, rejoicing in the three gems (Buddha, his law and church), constructed for the gem of Buddha a beautiful jewelled casket set round continuously with precious stones; and covering this casket he constructed another casket of massive gold, with five thousand pieces of massive gold, worth five laks; and covering this casket he constructed a third casket of two carpenter cubits, with thirty thousand pieces of silver. He made such offerings and festivities as these; and on the four quarter-days of the month he gave much alms to the great priesthood, and in those days caused bana to be preached at night, and observed the five precepts every day, and the eight precepts on pōya days. He had kept burning incessantly for twelve years four lamps of sesamum oil, cow ghee, civet fat, camphor, &c.

(iv.)—Mahāvaṃsa, chap. 81, p. 252, vv. 51, 52.

51. राजाः सन्तदने तथा वल्लिकाकः
      दृष्टाः सप्तवर्षे सुंदरैर्मया

52. द्वारे भारतेन तथा वल्लिकाकः
      चतुर्दशीति एक वल्लिकाकः वातकः

Translation.

The Lord of the Earth (Wijaya Bahu III.) caused the ārāma (monastical ground) known throughout the world by his own name Wijayaswadaručārama to be formed, and granted the same to the Buddhist priesthood.
(v.)—Mahāvamsa, chap. 82, p. 325, vv. 5-12.

5. මිහිඬ වේන්මළ වැඩිති* යා පරමින් අමුශ්ක මතයක් පුළුමතිවියේදී
6. ශ්‍රේෂ්ඨාපු දුශුන් දුශුන්ටමී. ආතුරු මහාවාණු ස්ථානයට පිරීමේදී
7. මසාම අමු කොළ කොළ පුළුමති 
඄ලතුලි පුළුමති ප්‍රතිෂ්ඨාපනයන්

8. නෙලුමක් පිරීමකම් පිරීමකම් පුළුමති පුළුමති විසින්
9. දැක්වේම මැමැතියද ආරාතනය පිරීමකම් පුළුමති පුළුමති
10. මහා මහා ආරාතනය ආරාතනය ආරාතනය ක්‍රියාවන්තා
11. මසාම අමු කොළ කොළ පුළුමති පුළුමති
12. මැමැතියද ආරාතනය ආරාතනය ආරාතනය.

Translation.

He (Pandita Parukrama Bahu III.) saying, “I shall get the fairy of Laṅkā under me, and shall not allow her to attach herself to anybody else,” formed a haughty resolution of crushing foreign
enemies. He, with intention first to make offerings to the tooth-relic (of Buddha), and then to go to the Dravidian war, brought the relic in great state from the rock Billa to his capital Jambudróni.

The Protector of the Earth, with the desire reverently to worship the relic at all times when he might wish to do so during the three portions of the day, caused a beautiful tooth-relic house (mandiraya) to be erected in close proximity to his own palace. In the middle of the house an elegant throne was placed, and covered with a very costly cloth. He made a shrine studded with gems for the relic, which was afterwards placed in a more beautifully ornamented shrine studded with finer gems.

(vi.)—Maháwaṣa, chap. 85, vv. 59-62.

Translation.

That king (Pandita Parákrama Bāhu) having got his heir-apparent to cause the erection in his own name of a pirivena (monastery), called Buwanekabāhu, on the site of the Beligal vihāra, which is embellished with prásāda (inner temples), mandapa (open buildings with pulpits), &c., made great offerings to the three gems (Buddha, his doctrine, and his priests) during seven days, as he had done at Śrīwardhanapura, as before related.

(vii.)—Pūjāwaliya.
Translation.

Moreover, he (Pandita Parakkrama Bahu III.) having in four months' time caused great ramparts to be erected round the Wijayasundararâma formed by the king his father, and having improved its new three-storied tooth-relic house, so as to make it resemble the abode of a deity, and having finished and embellished it with unequalled paintings, brought the Daladâ relic thither in a great procession, and made great offerings to it as he had done at Sârvârânapura.

(viii.)—Pâjâwâliya.

Translation.

He caused his younger brother Bhuwanaika Bahu, the heir-apparent, to construct in his own name, within the precincts of the palace on Beligala, a monastery (pirivena) and a royal temple (râjâmahâ vihâra), and he made offerings (there) to the three great gems during seven days, as he had done at Sârvârânapura, as before related.

(ix.)—Attanagoluwaâsa, chap. 11, sec. 3.
Translation.

In by-gone times (there was) a king named Wijaya Bāhu, the legitimate son of Wijaya Mallā, descendant of the sons and grandsons* of the princes of a family equal to Dharmmāsokā, who accompanied the glorious mahābodhi on the day when it was sent to his friend the King Devenipetiissa by (the said) King Dharmmāsokā, who is like a tilaka ornament of the solar race, and the Emperor of the whole Dambadiva of 10,000 yoduns in extent. He (Wijaya Bāhu) was acquainted with the different kinds of religious systems; he by the strength of his own intellect acquired a familiar knowledge of political science and the customs and manners of mankind; he had a powerful four-fold army. He built a city called Dambadeṇi, and resided there; and by means of his four-fold forces overcame (his) enemies, and caused to be brought from Kotmalaya the venerable tooth-relic of Buddha and his almsbowl-relic; there he caused to be built a highly splendid edifice, like unto a mansion of the gods;

* Pali: “Grandsons and great grandsons.”
deposited therein those two relics, and, with great endowments, affectionately maintained the same. He offered unto the 84,000 dhammakkhanda of Buddha, an equal number of kahópana, and performed highly meritorious acts in conformity with Buddhism.

(x.)
Translation.

During the decline of the (Buddhist) religion, as aforesaid, in the illustrious Lankâ, a mighty Prince, Wijaya Bâhu by name,—a lineal descendant of the race of Siri Sañgabó, who brought the great and victorious bö tree,—took possession of the kingdom of
Máyá division. Armed with a strong body of warriors, he surrounded Polonnáruwa and drove out the Tamils.

He ruled in the great city of Dambadeniya, which is the crest-jewel of the Laṅká lady, richly adorned with pearls and precious stones, and possessed of a four-fold army and powerful forces. He brought back the great priests who had gone to foreign countries during the wars with the Tamils, and, having heard that the tooth-relic and bowl-relic were buried in Kotmalé, went thither without delay with the priests in great procession, and brought them to Beligala. Then he caused to be built a monastery and a three-storied palace, which is like unto a divine mansion fallen from the blissful celestial regions, and caused the relics to be brought into the house with great honour and many offerings; enacted all the necessary regulations; reconciled the priests who returned; held an ordination; caused báña-books to be written; and a large and beautiful palace, Wijayasundarárāma by name, to be built; avoided hell; daily presented one thousand priests with the four requisites; encouraged learning for the good of the Church and state, and reigned in the practice of justice. On his demise, his son, a great Prince, named Pěrakumbá (Parákrama Báhu), of mighty valour, attained sovereignty, being endowed with the ten royal virtues. He brought the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic to the city of Dambadeniya; caused a costly tooth-relic house to be built within the inner royal compound; deposited them therein; made a priceless gem-studded shrine for the tooth-relic, and, in order to cover it, made a casket of solid gold with five thousand (pieces of coin), and to cover this a silver casket of a carpenter's cubit (worth) thirty thousand (pieces of coin). He cleared the jungle from Dambadeniya to the prosperous city Sṛíwardhanapura; made a road, removed the black sand and spread it with white sand, erected triumphal arches on each side, placed pots filled with scented water and plantain trees in continuous row, and, with many offerings and great pomp, brought the tooth-relic and the bowl-relic to the monastery called after his own name. He sent presents of gold, precious stones, pearls, &c., and brought back from Tamaliyagama, the priest Dharmmakírti, famed for austerity, whose name had spread in the ten directions, and the other priests; reconciled the priests who had become schismatics during the former wars with the Tamils; freely instructed them in the Tripiṭaka doctrines, held ordination eight times, created such grades as Tera, Maha Tera, Ayátën;
wrote a regulation book, in one day spun and wove robes, and offered eighty kathina robes, together with the other priestly requisites, and spread his fame throughout the world. His successor was Wijaya Báhu, who brought the relics from Dambadeniya to Polonnaruwa. He made great offerings, spending thousands of coins, assembled about 1,600 priests, held a grand ordination festival at Dahastotá, and kept up a system of alms-giving to the great priesthood, and, on his death, which took place after he had done good as regards both the worlds, his younger brother, Bhuvaneka Báhu, the sole arm of the world, attended by his ministers of every grade, made the beautiful rock Yápaw his seat of Government, and enjoyed royal prosperity, having reduced the three-fold Lanka under one banner; he held an ordination festival; caused bapa-books to be written; made great offerings to the tooth-relic; became renowned, and, acquiring merit, did good to his subjects and to the cause of the Buddhist religion, and attained heavenly bliss.

During his reign, a minister, Arisakwiti by name, came to Ceylon with the powerful army of the five Pándi brother Princes; destroyed the Church and State; carried away the tooth-relic and gave it to a Pándi King called Kulasékara. Then Peramba, a son of King Wijaya Báhu, having become King of Ceylon, went over to the (Pándi) country, conciliated its King, brought back the relic and deposited it at Polonnaruwa.

A second Bhuvaneka Báhu, of matchless arm, son of King Bhuvaneka Báhu, whose arm was the abode of the goddess of property, came to the throne, made inquiries about the tooth-relic, and having ascertained the fact, fearlessly went out, ........ brought it back to his own city, and regularly made great offerings to the relic and to the priests with the four priestly requisites; he caused nine (?) ordinations to be held in the interests of the religion, patronised learning, created such grades as Tera, Má Tera, Ayáten, Má Himi, promoted the cause of the religion, and in the hope of attaining heaven and final release, he bestowed kathina robes and the eight requisites, highly honoured the three gems, and went to heaven.

(xii)—Kadaim-pota.
The twenty-second (division) is known as the principality of Beligala. It was so called, because pearls, corals, and the seven treasures* were found in beli,† givul,‡ and other trees, and in its rock caves (agaluhá) &c., because of four stone monoliths, which stood as boundary marks at the four corners (of the rock), with a beli-fruit carved on each; because a certain rich man obtained the land in perpetuity by giving a beli fruit full of treasure to a former King; because a beli tree grew in a crevice of the rock on the east of Beligal palace; and because there were situated all the flower gardens from which beli flowers were culled for the King.

As a flower garden was laid out on a low land (deni pata) to the west of Beligala rock, there is a tract called Maldeniya.

---

* Seven treasures, i.e., gold, silver, pearls, gems, diamonds, cat’s-eyes, and coral.
† Aëgle marmelos.
‡ Feronia elephantum, or elephant apple.
AN-KELIYA.


(Read 4th October, 1884.)

There is a short description of this Sinhalese National game in Mr. Leopold Ludovici's Paper on "The Sports and Games of the Sinhalese" (C. A. S. Journal, 1873), and a more detailed account of it may not be without interest. Mr. Ludovici, moreover, describes the game as it is played with elk or deer horns, a very tame affair when compared with the an-Edima of horns made out of the roots of trees. The tug which precedes the swinging of the henakanda, and the art used in the arrangement of the ropes about the horns before they are hooked into one another,—two of the most important and curious features of the game,—are not described by him; while the amount of strength that is required to break an ordinary deer horn is not to be compared to that which is exerted, and often exerted in vain, to break the large and strong roots that are used in the true game. I witnessed the game once while on circuit in Udapolâta in the Kandy District of the Central Province, and on the third or fourth day two horns were adjusted, which not the united strength of almost all the men and boys in the village, and that not by any means a small one, could break, and which I afterwards learnt never were broken, on that occasion at least.

The an-Keilâya, as its name implies, is a game (Keliya) played with horns (an). It is also called an-Edima "horn-pulling", and an-Keili-pujâma "the offering of the horn game." It was, and is for the most part still, a purely religious game, sacred to the goddess Pattini, and is usually

* Note (1) d.
performed on the occasion of some epidemic ascribed to her interference. Though seldom witnessed now, it was formerly the one great national game of the Sinhalese, and was performed in many places on a scale of great magnificence, and in the presence of thousands of spectators.

I have been unable to trace out the true origin of the game, though its mythological one, as believed in Udāpalāta at any rate, is as follows:—The goddess Pattini was out one day with her husband Pālaṅga, gathering sapu* flowers. To enable them to reach the flowers, they had long hooked sticks, and while they were stretching out together, their two sticks caught in each other in the tree, and they could not extricate them. While they were considering what they should do, the three sons of Mahā Vishṇu came by, and on being appealed to by the goddess, they good-naturedly took hold of the ends of the two sticks, and with “a long pull, a strong pull, and a pull altogether,” broke the crook of the husband’s stick, and so liberated them both. The goddess was so pleased with the performance that she suggested a game after the model of what she had just seen. So the game of an-keliya was inaugurated, and whenever it is necessary to appease the goddess, the game of which she is said to be so fond, is performed to propitiate her and to rid the country of the particular scourge, which she is considered in her anger to have brought upon it.†

The game is played as follows:—A flat piece of ground (an-pitiya) having been selected,—the esplanade in Kandy is said to have been once a favourite place,—the trunk of a large tree (an-gaha) is planted in the centre, (unless there is a large enough tree growing there already) and strong coils of jungle creepers called perehē are loosely wound round its base. About four or five yards in front of this tree an oblong hole is dug, 6 or 7 feet long, by 3 or 3½ feet broad, and from 4 to 5 feet deep. The exact distance from the tree depends on the description of horns to be used in the game. The sides of this hole are lined with cocoanut

* Michelia champakā.  † Note (2).
stumps, and inside it is erected upside down a log of a cocoanut tree, about 24 feet long, with the roots shaved so as to have a heavy top. The post is called the henakanda or the maligaha. The hole at its base is large enough to allow of the post having a considerable amount of "play" backwards and forwards, and the heavy top is to add to the leverage and the strain, as will be described hereafter, on the horns. As in the case of the ang-gaha, strong bands of creepers are coiled loosely round the base, a short distance above the level of the ground, and two long and strong ropes or jungle creepers are tied to the top; these ropes are called realivel, and are intended to be held by the persons taking part in the game, about fifteen yards off. On opposite sides of the ang-gaha two sheds are put up to keep the horns, with a platform erected in each.

In some places deer horns are used. The brow antler is shortened to about two inches, and the branch to about six, and at the end of the latter a strong piece of wood is tied crosswise. Two of these of equal size are a pair, and are fitted against each other in the game. Horns such as these are, however, only used when the game is played on a small scale, and more for amusement than as a religious ceremony, or where the root horns are not procurable. There is not so much detail in this description of the game, and certainly nothing like the enthusiasm in it that ang-keliya proper calls forth. In ang-keliya proper many kinds of roots are in use, the most important being those of the andara,* petan,+ and ettériya† trees. The greatest care is taken in selecting and preparing these, for the slightest flaw or split in the horn would seal its fate in the game. The Yatipila, or "under-side," horns must be curved, though not to such a sharp angle as a deer horn, while the Udupila, or "upper-side," horns are nearly straight. When required for use they are tied to the centre of long and stout pieces of wood prepared for the purpose, the length and girth of which depend on the size of the horns, though a

* Dichrostachys cinerea. † Bauhinia tomentosa. ‡ Murraya exotica.
horn six inches in girth would require a support of seven or eight feet long, and about one and a-half feet round. These supports are called aṇ-mōla, and the process of trying on the horn is always performed by an expert, and is one which requires the greatest care. The thicker end of the horn is tied to the aṇ-mōla with ropes made out of the belipattā* tree, and the ropes must be arranged so as not to let the horn slip during the tug, for no re-arrangement is afterwards allowed. The position, too, in which the horns are tied to the aṇ-mōl is of paramount importance, for, as the whole strain is to fall on the horn, the position and manner in which it is attached to its support must necessarily add to or decrease its power of bearing the strain. The aṇ-mōl are cut away a little so as to allow the opposing horn and aṇ-mōla to fit closely to each other in the contest.†

The only other requisites are two coils of rope containing a specified number of coils, with a stick attached in such a way as to admit of its twisting the coils tightly when necessary. These are called the wāram and their size and strength depend on those of the horns.

When all is ready the aṇ-mōl and the wāram are carried in a procession to the aṇ-pitiya; the captain (wattādiyā) of each side bearing the aṇ-mōl. At the aṇ-pitiya they are put on the platform in the sheds (aṇ-maḍu) prepared for them where they are sprinkled with scented water, and some rosin is burnt under them. They are then taken to the aṇ-gaha, and the horns are carefully measured against each other. They must be as nearly as possible of the same size, or the game will be postponed until two of equal size are produced. The Kapurāla, or minister of Pattini, is then called in, and he invokes the aid of the goddess. During the invocation the captains take the horns round the aṇ-gaha followed by the Kapurāla,

* Hibiscus tiliaceus.
† See Plate: a b are Udupila and Yatipila wooden “horns”; c c, madu, attached to sticks (riṭi); d e, elk “horns.”
and the tom-tom beaters. When it is over, the Udupila horn is taken back to the shed, and the coil of ropes belonging to the Yaṭipila horn is arranged by an expert over and under the Yaṭipila horn, in such a manner as is best calculated to support it, the loose ends of the coil being held in the meantime by the Yaṭipila adherents, who sit round in a ring and pull, press in, or twist the coils as directed by the expert. The coils belonging to the Yaṭipila having been arranged, the Udupila expert steps forward and arranges his coil over the ropes already laid in the manner he thinks will assist his horn, and increase the strain on the other, the loose ends of the coil being held and manipulated as before by the Udupila men. When he has finished, the Udupila horn is brought up and artfully adjusted through the coils of the ropes on to the other, and directly this is done, the two sides stand up, the ropes are pulled and twisted tightly into their places, and the ends of the coils are pulled by each party in different directions, with the object, if possible, of breaking one of the horns. If in about half an hour of this tugging neither of the horns has given way, the coils round the Yaṭipila are tied round those of the creepers at the base of the an-gaha, and similarly the Udupila vārama to those round the henakanda. Both parties then lay hold indiscriminately of the ropes tied to the top of the henakanda, and singing a refrain in praise of their own particular horn the while, pull with long jerks or swings, until one of the horns break off or is cracked. While the tug continues, the an-mol are carefully held in their places by one or more (according to their size) of each party.* The leverage afforded by the length of the post, its heavy top, and the "play" it has at the base, puts an enormous strain on the horns, and as a rule they break soon enough, but occasionally they last for days, and are sometimes not broken after all.

A few words on the meaning of the words Udupila and Yaṭipila, and what the names imply. The Yaṭipila is the

---

* When the an-mol are very large, sometimes as many as ten or twelve persons are required to hold each.
horn whose point is fixed vertically, so as to curve upwards from below and from under the Udupila, which is placed horizontally over and across the middle of the curve of the Yatipila, and at right angles to it. The two parties represented by the horns belong to either side by descent, and not by selection or choice, it being considered that every Sinhalese family belongs from ancient time to one or the other side. It sometimes happens that members of the same family belong to different sides, but this is very rare, and indeed, to prevent its possibility, it is said that intermarriages between families of different sides were forbidden in times past. It is rarer still to find any person who has voluntarily abandoned one side for the other, and when this is done, it is owing to very bitter family quarrels. Thus every Sinhalese who attends at an an-keiliya, has a close interest in the game, and knows his place in the field.

To return, directly a horn cracks or is broken, it is extricated from its ropes and its an-mola, and a rush is made for the broken pieces (todu); the an-mola is left against the an-gaha, and the captain of the losing side having satisfied himself that the winning horn is intact, admits that he has lost. As soon as the admission is made, a rope is tied between the an-gaha and the henakanda, and the losing party are made to stand on one side of it, while the winners, one or two of whom carry the pieces of the broken horn, dance round them, hoot at them, revile them, and make themselves as disagreeable as they can without actually assaulting them. In some places indecent expressions and contemptuous reference to absent persons are forbidden; but, as a rule, there is little or no restraint on the language that may be, and is, used.

The losers are bound to submit in silence; but occasionally some one of them is stung beyond endurance by the taunts of his opponents, and retorts, and then there is a general fight. Should one of the winners in the whirl of the dance, or carried away by his feelings, touch one of the losing side, if he is not at once handed over to be soundly thrashed by them, a quarrel ensues, and a free-fight is the result. These quarrels, though they seldom happen, have
frequently very serious results, but, strange to say, legal proceedings are very rarely if ever instituted for the actual assault. It seems to be considered an offence contra bonos mores, or an insult to the goddess, to bring a case for anything that has occurred during an-keliya, so that, whatever accidents may happen there, the courts hear nothing of them.

When the winners are tired of dancing round and insulting their opponents, the latter are set at liberty, and the winning horn is taken in procession to a Déwálé, or some other place specially prepared for its reception, where it remains until the following day, and is then brought back to the an-pitiya. The losers of the day before come prepared with another horn, which may be as much as one-fourth larger than its adversary, and the game is proceeded with as before, the previous losers being, however, as a preliminary, subjected to a repetition of yesterday’s insults. If the old horn breaks, its adherents are repaid their unpleasantness of the day before, with interest; but, if it is again victorious, the losers are insulted as before; so the game proceeds until it is found impossible to break a horn within a certain pre-arranged time, or until the Udupila wins twice, or the Yaṭipila three times successively, when the game is brought to a close.·

The horns that have been victorious on several occasions are prized very highly by their possessors, and the names given to them are often very curious. I annex a few:—

Pandakuná = “the rotten tailed”; the larger end of this horn is particularly rotten. Benarája = “the hollow king”; the horn has a hollow in its thicker end. Kalissa = “the prawn”; this horn once slipped out of its an-móla during the tug. Ginipelikota = “the short fire-brand”; it was accidentally found with some partly burnt firewood. Gorokgaspáluna = “the destroyer of the goraka trees”; this horn was once used, and was victorious when the an-gaha was a goraka tree, and came down during the struggle.

·It is believed that Pattini is better pleased when the Udupila horn (which represents her husband’s stick in the sapu tree) is broken.
NOTES.*

(1)

Previous notices of the Sinhalese an-keiliya are here brought together to further illustrate this semi-religious game:

(a)

A Play or a Sacrifice.—There is another sport, which generally all people used with much delight, being, as they called it, a sacrifice to one of their gods, to wit, Potting Dio [Pattini Deviyó.] And the benefit of it is, that it frees the country from grief and diseases. For the beastliness of the exercise they never celebrated it near any town, nor in sight of women, but in a remote place. The manner of the game is thus. They have two crooked sticks like elbows, one hooked into the other, and so with contrivances they pull with ropes, until the one break the other; some siding with one stick and some with the other; but never is money laid on either side. Upon the breaking of the stick, that party that hath won doth not a little rejoyce. Which rejoycing is express by dancing and singing, and uttering such sordid beastly expressions, together with postures of their bodies, as I omit to write them, as being their shame in acting, and would be mine in rehearsing. For he is at that time most renowned that behaves himself most shamelessly and beast-like.

This filthy solemnity was formerly much in use among them; and even the King himself hath spent time in it, but now lately he hath absolutely forbidden it under penalty of a forfeiture of money. So that now the practice hereof is quite left off.

But though it is thus gone into disuse, yet, out of the great delight the people had in it, they of Gompala [Gampola] would revive it again; and did. Which coming to the King’s ear, he sent one of his noblemen to take a fine from them for it. The nobleman knew the people would not come to pay a fine, and therefore was fain to go to work by a stratagem. Pitching, therefore, his tents by a pond, he gave orders to call all the people to his assistance to catch fish for the King’s use. Which they were very ready to do, hoping to have the refuse fish for themselves. And when they were all thus assembled together with their tools and necessary instruments for that purpose, the nobleman charged them all in the King’s name, according to the countries fashion, which was by pulling off his cap, and falling down upon the ground three times, that not a man of them should budge till they had paid such a sum of money, which was so much a piece, for reviving that play that the King had forbid. Which they were forced to do before they departed from the pond side. And the money was carried into the King’s exchequer.—Knox, Ceilon, 1681, pp. 98, 99.

* Added with Mr. Le Mesurier’s approval.—B., Hon. Sec.
Ceremony of "Pulling of Horns" (March 1, 1830).—The ceremony of "Pulling Horns" is now taking place in this village, and I went this evening to see it. In passing through the village I was surprised to see so very few people in their houses, but when I got near the place I found they were all there. A place in the jungle is cleared, in the middle of which a deep hollow is dug. In this hole is put a cocoa-nut tree, about ten or twelve feet high, which has been rooted up for the purpose, with its root upwards. The people of the village divide themselves into two parties, called the "Upper Party" (uda pila) and the "Lower Party" (yati pila), and each party has a large branch of a tree with the bark peeled off, notched in the middle, and another piece of wood very strong fastened tight across it, so as to resemble a hook. In some places the horns of the elk are used. When they have linked the two together they are fastened to the cocoa-nut tree by very strong topees or creeping plants (wel), and each party pulls with all their strength, at the same time making a tremendous noise, till one of the horns break. The broken horn is put into a little cadjan bungalow built on one side, and the other is carried in procession on a man's shoulder, wrapped in white cloth, together with the ropes with which it was fastened, round the cocoa-nut tree about a dozen times, under a canopy supported by four men. They then stop at a tree, in the middle of which is placed a cocoa-nut shell used as a lamp, and putting the victorious, that is, the unbroken horn, in it, they repeat some verses in Singhalese, the object of which is to invoke the goddess Pattini to take away the "great sickness" (the small-pox) which is now prevalent among them. Having concluded the verse, they worship the "horn," with their hands clasped and raised to their foreheads, in the same manner as they worship Buddha at the temples. They continue afterwards to go round the cocoa-nut tree as before, dancing and singing and blowing the conques, and beating the tom-toms; and then the conquered party sit down in the ground, and being separated from the other by a rope, they suffer themselves to have all the abuse which the Singhalese language supplies heaped upon them. This, however, though spoken with apparent earnestness, consits merely in words which are repeated, or rather sung, by the head of the party, the rest joining in it by way of chorus.—Selkirk, Recollections of Ceylon, 1844, pp. 398-9.

Ceremony to drive away Small-pox (May 2, 1838).—A few nights ago I went to the an-pitiya, or place where the ceremony of "pulling the horns" takes place. (See March 1, 1830, supra.) In the midst of a large open space of ground a high pole is erected, generally an areka-nut tree, with the bunch of leaves at the top cut off. From the top of this pole, ropes, made of parts of the cocoa-nut leaf, are extended to the four corners of an enclosed place. A burning lamp is fixed on the top, and there are
several other lamps in other places. A large hole is dug in the ground, in which is placed the lower part of a dug-up cocoa-nut tree, about ten feet long, with the roots upwards. Between this and a large tree about twelve yards distant, are fastened two large horns, and the thick and tough jungle-creepers, with which they are bound together, are fastened to the tree on one side, and to the stump of the cocoa-tree on the other. On each side are from sixty to one hundred men, trying with all their might to break the horn. If the horn of either party breaks, that party is conquered, and submits patiently to a great deal of abuse from the other party. The conquering party, after the performance of some ceremony at the tree, carry their horn to a small maduwa prepared for it at another part of the village, in great triumph, and at the end of a certain number of days the Kapuwás, or devil-priests, are called, and a grand ceremony takes place. The people firmly believe that "pulling horns" is the only way to get rid of the small-pox, which they call the "great disease." And they say, too, that when this disease is prevalent in the country, the gods in the other world are in the habit of pulling horns at night to stop it. In proof of this a man told me a few days ago that his father was once travelling at night, and on his way he heard at a short distance a noise such as is made at the pulling of horns. And when he came to the place he found nobody, and he was sure the noise could only proceed from the gods. The poor man, however, was so frightened, that he went home and died soon after.

The great ceremony usual when the "pulling of horns" is ended took place to-night. In a distant part of the village of Cotta, the people had erected three madu, one of which was very large. It was filled with women and children. In one of the two smaller ones was the devil-priest, and in the other were many things that he makes use of in his ceremonies. There were two tom-tom-beaters and large crowds of people. The Kapuwá was dressed very fantastically, and had six or eight little bells on each leg. He first danced with a lighted torch in each hand, then with a bunch of areka-flowers, then with a pitcher of water, and at last with a broken chatty, in which was burning charcoal. He put himself into all sorts of attitudes, with each of these in his hands, and neither burnt his long beard, which he seemed in great danger of doing, from carrying, as he did, the two lighted torches, the one on one shoulder and the other on the other, nor spilled the water, nor shed the hot embers. All the time he was dancing he continued to throw handfuls of powdered dummala, or resin, into the torches, which went off in a sudden blaze like gunpowder. All this was done at the beat of tom-tom, accompanied with singing, by the men beating them. I remained to witness it till twelve o'clock, and the dancing was still going on and

* The noise made on this occasion is very great, and may be heard to a great distance. It always reminded me of I. Kings, xviii., 27.
would continue till sunrise. At 8 p.m., at 12, and at 4 a.m., plates of rice and seven different sorts of curry are placed in small covered maduwas, made for the purpose, as offerings to the devil.—Id., pp. 305-8.

(d)

Among the religious games the first in the an-ədima, or “Pulling of Horns,” the idea of the merry-thought of European superstition developed on a gigantic scale. It is not a game in celebration of a victory, nor in commemoration of any great national event, like the games of classic Greece and Rome, but rather in propitiation of some offended deity; and whether sickness has visited the people, murrain attacked the cattle, insects and grubs settled on the young rice fields, or a protracted drought threatened calamity to man and beast, the alarmed Singhalese peasant know of no more efficacious remedy than an appeal to Vishnu or Siva, Pattini-deviyő, Kataraγam-devιyő, or Basnairα-devιyő, through the medium of an an-ədima. The village elders, as soon as they awake to a sense of the impending danger, wait in solemn deputation on the Kapurala, or priest of the district kowila, or temple, carrying presents with them for the seer, (very much after the manner of Saul when he waited on Samuel to learn the name of the particular deity that ought to be appeased,) and generally to concert measures for the due and proper celebration of the games. The Kapurala promises to obtain the desired information, but as this must be done at a lucky hour, on an auspicious day, and after sundry ablutions and purifications, he dismisses his visitors with a promise to communicate with them on a subsequent day. He next proceeds to consult the oracle, and fixes a day for the celebration of the game, taking care, however, that it should be sufficiently removed to allow of the real crisis of the danger to be passed. The day fixed upon is communicated to the elders, who invite the villagers interested, by distribution of betel leaves; and preparations for the celebration commence in earnest. The villagers next divide into two parties or teams, the upper and the lower. This distinction is merely topographical, the villages lying towards the head of a valley or stream being the upper and those further down being the lower.* Each party next chooses its captain or champion, who brings with him the stout branch of an elk horn with the frontlet stung on. This horn is held in proportionate veneration according to the number of victories it may have achieved, and there are some handed down from father to son—for the championship is hereditary—that have come

“O'er a' the ills o' life victorious”

for a hundred years. The place appropriated for the game is called the an-pitiya, an open place in some central situation, and generally under

* Not so; the Udupila and Yaπipila are hereditary distinctions.—B., Hon. Sec.
the shade of an over-spreading bo' tree, thus making the tree sacred to Buddha participate in a purely Hindú ceremony. At one end of the auy-pitiya

"Stands there a stump six feet high, the ruins of a tree,
"Yet unrotted by rain and tempests' force."

The stump selected is generally that of a cocoanut tree put loosely into a deep hole, with the root-end up, and is called the henakahanda, or "thunderbolt." A hole large enough for a man's arm to pass is cut or burnt through this upper end. The respective teams are now ready with stout ropes made of buffalo-hide and strong jungle creepers, when the Kapurala opens the game, proclaiming, like Pelides at the funeral pyre of Patroclus,

"Come ye that list this prize to win, and ye this bout decide."

The men of the upper team now pass a stout buffalo-hide rope through the hole in the henakahanda and firmly make fast to its end the elk horn of their champion. The horn of the lower team is similarly got ready and tied to the nearest tree; the henakahanda is now leaned forward, and the two champions hook the horns one into the other, and lash them together with cords. The two champions grasp the horns in their hands to prevent their turning or slipping, and the word is given to pull. Both teams now unite and haul at the rope passed through henakahanda, while some half a dozen men of both parties lay hold of the henakahanda and sway it up and down, as the rope in the hands of the pullers is tightened or relaxed. The two champions hold on to the horns like grim death, and are swayed hither and thither with every motion of the rope. The contest lasts for hours, the snapping of a rope only serving to prolong it with a fresh splice, until one of the horns yields, and the pullers go rolling and sprawling on the ground. *

All the time the mighty tug has been going on, the Kapurala is engaged at a small booth constructed of white oals under the bo' tree, chanting the sacred hymns appropriate to the occasion, jingling the halamba, or consecrated armlets, and burning incense to the accompaniment of tom-tom, fife, and cymbal. After the contest has been decided the whole assembly go in procession through the villages that participated in the ceremony, the Kapurala leading with a chant, the champion carrying the victorious horn in a basket on his hand, and every one joining in the "hogyiya" chorus at the proper stops. By the time the procession returns to the ground, a feast, consisting of rice boiled in

* In this, as well as in the striking of cocoa-nuts (poropol gehima), it is considered a bad omen should the horn or cocoa-nut of the upper team break. Such an accident is looked upon as the consequence of the continual displeasure of the offended deity. Hence it is not unusual to concede the victory to the upper team by opposing a weaker horn.
cocoa-nut milk, vegetable curries (for flesh of any kind is forbidden), tire, and honey is laid out on green plantain leaves. The feasting over, they all rise at a sign from the Kapurála, and give one united shout "hóiyá," and then disperse. The Kapurála receives the customary presents, and the victorious elk horn is again laid up in "lavender," if a liberal sprinkling of oil of resin may be so called, until some other threatened danger brings it out.—L. LUDOVICI, C. A. S. Journ., 1873, pp. 20-24.

(e)

"Añ-keliya," or "Pulling of Horns." March 3, 1883.—Witnessed this superstitious game of the Sihphalese to-day, at Kajubóvila in the Salpíti Kóralé, Western Province. Small-pox had been prevailing in the villages round for some time, and the wiseacres, as usual in case of such epidemics, had decided to worst the particular demon or demons responsible, by invoking the aid of Pattini-deviyó, the patroness of the sport, with añ-keli-puída.

[It is customary with the Sihphalese, when any malignant type of disease attacks man or beast, to meet and consider what form of ceremony, añ-keliya, porapol géhima, &c., the remedy had best take. The aid of the Kapurála, or lay priest of a Déválé, is called in to name time and place. Should añ-keliya be decided upon, the villagers, attended by a Kapurála (sometimes by two, one for each side), proceed to the spot selected (añ-pitiya; añ-pitiñiya) at the hour fixed, after the necessary purification of themselves. The ground has already been sanctified by the erection of a post adorned with cocoanut flowers (kap hitavanavá) and two horns selected by the Kapurála, and handed over to the añ-waftátiya, or captain, of either side. A shed is put up, ornamented with various flowers and tender cocoanut leaves, which the Kapurála hallows by prayer accompanied by the sprinkling of saffron-water, the waving of incense, and jangling of the sacred deyirán, or bangles. Meanwhile, the foot of a tree (añ-gaha) is cleared, and a narrow pit dug to receive the cocoanut stump (henakanda; waligaha), and lined with planks. Through the upper part of this cocoanut stump (which is inserted in the pit with the shaved root upwards) a hole is bored and a stout rope passed: strong nooses of kirindi* or kalu creeper of a single link or more are also made round the lower part of the añ-gaha and the henakanda.]

The game had been running on for a week or two, and fortune had steadily inclined to the Yañipila (lit. "Under-party") side, the special protégés of the goddess Pattini, as the Udupila (lit. "Upper-party") are of her husband, Páñgá. The añ-pitiya, or arena selected, was in the jungle, but not far from habitations. On arrival (4 p.m.) found the

---

* Rourea santaloides, W. and A.
Yaṭipila party busily preparing for the struggle by cutting the hon* socket (into which the horn itself was let), so as to allow the two horns to meet closely. Here the "horns" consist of two pieces of hard, well-seasoned wood, taranat† and andara‡ respectively,—six to eight inches in length and one and a half or two inches thick,—tapering slightly towards their points. These had been fitted into the sockets, and bound down fast with the tough bark of a shrub (beli patti§), to add to their strength.

Meanwhile the Kapurâla was performing an initiatory service in the shed (maḍuwa) common to both parties. At the side of the tree (ag-gaha) and cocoanut stump (henakauda) to which the horns were to be attached prior to the actual "pulling," stood the mal-pela, or small cadjan-roofed shed for flowers and other offerings of either party. In these were kept, till wanted, the horns and bark strands (madu) used for tying up the horns when finally adjusted across each other. Festoons of young cocoanut leaves connected the three sheds together, being carried across high poles, at the top of which rag torches were fixed—a proof that all were prepared to carry on the stern, if bloodless, fray "till utter darkness closed her wing." From time to time the Kapurâla might be heard muttering some incantations in the maḍuwa, where he was assisted by a Uḍupila and Yaṭipila boy. On a shelf in the maḍuwa were ranged chatties, in which the money offerings (panḍuru) of both sides were placed, a chank, and an old horn (hero of many a gallant fight) carefully wrapped in white cloth and decked with flowers: panḍuru were noticed, too, hung to the roof of each mal-pela inside.

When the Yaṭipila horn socket had been shaped so as to satisfy the very scrupulous ideas of both sides, the Yaṭipila party brought their madu (five skeins of beli patti) and placed them to the best advantage round their horn,—a most important part of the business, and closely watched by the Uḍupila faction, it being quite possible so to arrange the strings as to put the "enemy" at a serious disadvantage in the ensuing "tug of war." Much time was wasted, more Indico, in the adjusting of the madu, strong language being freely bandied with friends and foes alike. When the Yaṭipila party had at length finished placing their madu to their own satisfaction, it seemed as if the Uḍupila men would decline the contest on the score of some fancied unfair arrangement of the strings. Undoubtedly, they had been laid with great skill, skein by skein,—nay, strand by strand,—and beaten down by careful hands, so as to leave no weak point for the opponents to profit by. Ultimately the Uḍupila party consented to take their chance and try

* Schleichera trijuga, or Ceylon oak, Willd.
† Debera corymbosa, Willd.
‡ Dichrostachys cinerea.
§ Hiebeus tiliaceus.
conclusions. Their madu were then brought and similarly arranged over those of the Yatipila. Next the Udupila horn itself was carried in semi-procession from their own mal-pela—the more zealous of the party adoring it en route—and inserted through the madu across the Yatipila horn at right-angles.

Yatipila having won at the last two "pulls" the Udupila side was allowed on this occasion an andara horn as against one of tarana, a supposed weaker wood. Throughout the whole process of arranging the madu and the horns, only eighteen men were permitted to take part—eight of Udupila, ten of Yatipila.

Immediately the horns were fairly hooked together a mighty struggle ensued between the eighteen champions in their endeavour to twist their own madu more quickly and tightly than their opponents. Here and there the seething mass of men was borne writhing and swaying.

"They tug, they strain! down, down, they go!" till the sweat poured down apace, and utterly exhausted, both parties mutually agreed to say "Hold, enough"—but not until many a flesh-wound had been gained in the senseless "scrimmage."

As neither horn had snapped in this preliminary trial of strength, they were brought to the Yatipila tree (an-gaha), and the Yatipila madu bound to the creeper noose (pèressa) which encircled the trunk near the bottom. The henakanda, or Udupila stump, was then swung over in its narrow pit so as to approach the Yatipila tree as closely as possible; and when about two yards off to its pèressa, or double-link noose, was joined the Udupila madu. All being now ready for the real tug, the henakanda was slowly pushed over to the further end of the pit, thus effectually tightening up the nooses, madu and horns, between the an-gaha and waligaha. Thereupon all present, irrespective of party, seized the rope attached to the other side of the henakanda, dragging it towards them by repeated jerks,* leaving only the eighteen picked men to steady the horns, one of the Udupila champions standing on the Yatipila socket and keeping the Udupila socket perpendicular. Sometimes days elapse before either horn snaps—occasionally they cannot be broken at all. In this instance the ominous click was heard within ten minutes of the "pulling." The horns were at once unloosed, and the andara, or Udupila horn, found to be broken. A scene followed baffling description. The victorious Yatipila party rushed madly about, shouting for joy, and shaking the broken pieces of the horn in the faces of their humbled opponents—looking in the growing darkness like veritable demons, as they dashed wildly from place to place. The triumphant horn itself was carried by a few of its admirers thrice round the maduwa, where the Kapurála recited further incantations.

* The noise of the henakanda striking the end of the pit in each pull or jerk is called walivëttenavá, and may be heard at a great distance.
After a short interval a rope was stretched from the an-gaha, and the Udupila party all made to stand on one side of it, whilst their Yaṭipila conquerors contemned them by raising an abusive refrain couched in terms of which the less said the better. It commenced thus, one man at a time giving vent to his impromptu sarcasm and abuse, the rest striking in after each line with “ḥōyiyo”:

| Houndada, puté, | “Good, my boy, was’n’t it, |
| An-kešiyā,  | Ha! ha! |
| Hōyiyo!  | Your pulling the horns, |
|  | Ha! ha!” |

[The evident relish with which the foulest expressions, coined extem-pore by the Yaṭipila “coryphaeus,” would appear to be appreciated by his fellows, leaves on the mind no enhanced respect for the Siphalese villager in his lighter mood. To the credit of the vanquished be it said, they usually submit to the incessant volley of “Billingsgate” with perfect, if sullen, silence, worthy of a better cause.]*

As I quitted the wierd scene now lit by the dim torches, the “fun” (save the mark!) began to wax fast and furious, and would probably be carried on for hours.

[From the day of commencing the ceremonies attending an-kešiya, the villagers should cleanse themselves, and their houses, and refrain from eating prohibited flesh, in order to keep free of all uncleanness (kili). After the lapse of some days, and when one or two horns have been broken, arrangements are made for the pēli, or procession round the villages. The Kapurāla and Kaṭṭāḍiyā inform the people of the days fixed for the procession, who, as a rule, then send necessary requirements, as provisions, cloth, money, &c., to the an-pitiya, for their use. On the procession day the inmates of each house bathe, anoint their heads, and get together money (to be offered to the sacred deyiran box), earthen pots adorned with cocoanut flowers, and saffron-water for sprinkling. Those accompanying the procession provide themselves with tambourines, tom-toms, trumpets, and all kinds of Siphalese music.

* A story is told of a Mudaliyār and his servant, passing together near an an-pitiya, the latter holding a talipot leaf umbrella over his master’s head. Suddenly the cry “ḥōyiyo” arose from the victorious side, to which the servant belonged, the Mudaliyār being of the vanquished party. Thereupon the servant began to dance for joy behind the Mudaliyār, ever and anon shouting “ḥōyiyo,” and tapping the great man’s head with the talipot leaf. The Mudaliyār, naturally surprised and angry, turned upon him with “How now, fellow!” (“Mokada, bola!”), to which the servant replied, “See, sir, we have won!” (“Anē, Hāmuluruvāne, api dinuvā.”) Without another word the Mudaliyār passed on, shamed.
Some of these players and dancers precede, whilst others follow, the victorious "horn," which, wrapped in white cloth, incensed and sprinkled with saffron-water, is carried on the head of the Kaṭṭādiyā, dressed in spotless white, under a white canopy, attended by the Kapurālas with deyirān on their heads. The people, as they march with lighted torches and censers, give vent to loud "hurrahs," at the same time extolling the virtues of the goddess Pattini, and of Iśwara, Vishnu, and Kandakumāra. Every house of the victorious side is visited, and on its inmates blessings invoked with the deyirān: in return, these offer refreshments of milk, rice, jaggery, coffee, &c. All the houses and gardens should be well cleaned, and the former whitewashed for the reception of the Kapurāla and the others conducting the procession; otherwise they will not be entered, and thus lose the benefit of the general exorcism. This procession is continued for seven days, at the end of which a grand feast is given to the people at the an-pittaniya*.

H. C. P. Bell.

The mythical history of Pattini Deviyō, whose aid the Sinhalese so readily invoke to rid them not only of maha leḍa, "the great sickness," or small-pox, but of every form of epidemic

* Compare the Tamil veṭṭe at Trincomalie (as described in the "North Christian Herald," for March, 1879), which forms the concluding part of the grāmasaṇti ("village propitiation") ceremony "to perfect what was lacking in the former." It is so arranged that the services of the temple (Kōnāsar) or their benefits became available for every house and part of the town. Kumbam were carried round from every temporary shrine along all the streets, accompanied by every sort of native music, and decorated in truly oriental style. An alavattam made of leaves and clothes, a flag, an umbrella, and the large sacrificial knife by which the goats had been killed, were carried round and exhibited at every house. The owners of the houses were expected to decorate their gates with leaves and plantain trees, and place outside a niraikudam, or a pot of water on a white cloth, decorated with palm and mango leaves, on a table under which was placed a betel stand containing betel leaves, arecanuts, and grain, burning lamp, and smoking incense. The kumbam were carried on the heads of men who professed to be under diabolical influence, and who, smeared with sacred dung, danced through the streets to the sound of tom-toms, uttering cries and groans which were taken to be the voices of evil spirits. At every house before which they stopped water was poured over them and a young coconut was given them to drink, so that it is not surprising to hear that next day two of them were struck down by heat apoplexy.
disease, is contained in a collection of thirty-five "books," styled Pan-tis-kólmuré, only to be found complete in the hands of a few of her lay priests. Among the episodes of the goddess' life on earth is related the occurrence which originated the national game an-kéliya. It may be read, inter alia, in a small Singhalese pamphlet, under the title Ankéli-upata, or Pattini-máláva. This poem contains seventy-seven four-line stanzas, and some additional verses, written in simple colloquial style.

**An-kéli-upata.**

Stanzas 1, 2, 3, 4, relate the goddess' birth in "the mango grove" of King Pándi, whose eyes she put out in the presence of Indra (Sakra Deva). Thence she proceeds to the city of Madurá to meet Prince Pálanga, and be married to him. Stanzas 5, 6, and 7: Prelude to description of an-kéliya.

8

9

10

From *Soli* country came Prince Pálanga; *Máýá* King's daughter the goddess Pattini Came, as her wont, to buy bangles; By the gods they were wedded,

9

As Prince Pálanga and goddess Pattini Were sporting in the orchard, A *sapu* flower to bud caused Pattini; A playful trick she planned.

*Michelia champaka.*
"How, love, am I to see this flower?
Shall we go to the tank to find it?
Went we not near the tank that day?
Let us go to seek it in the morning."

After due offerings to the gods to ensure a successful quest (11, 12),

Wending their way a tank of water lilies they reached,
"This is not the spot, love! let us go on;"
Next a grove of ṇā trees they entered.
"This is not the spot, love! let us go on."

Thence to groves of vepakeyiya * and dunukeyiya † fruitlessly (14), till at length, within a champak grove, Pālanga sees a flower reflected in a pond (15). Essaying to climb the tree (16), god Viṣṇa-karma aids by bringing a golden ladder (17). In vain Pālanga scans the boughs, north, east, west, and south for the flower (18, 19), but sees it at last out of reach on the topmost bough (20). They both lament their ill-luck, until Pālanga, with divine eyes, discovers a sandalwood hooked (sapling) (21). Again Viṣṇa-karma assists, providing a golden arecanut cutter with which to cut the hooks (22).

* Pandanus odoratissimus, or screw pine.  † P. humilis.
To the foot of the tree the hooks they took,
And deftly placed the golden ladder;
Pálaŋga is first to mount the tree,
To him Pattini hands the hooks.

Then goddess Pattini climbed the tree,
To a higher bough ascended Pálaŋga,
On a lower bough remained Pattini;
With their hooks both touched the flower.

God Šakra beheld this wondrous act;
Missing the flower the two hooks caught;
Pulling at the hooks their hands grew red;
Unable to part them, both descended.

Then to the city they returned;
(To pull the hooks) a thousand maids
And a thousand men were summoned;
Again Pálaŋga and Pattini) went to see the gods.

All the maids with Pattini were on the lower side (Yaṭipila),
All the men with Pálaŋga were on the upper side (Uḍupila);
Ranging (themselves) they pulled the two hooks;
Prince Pálaŋga's hook it was that broke.
The Yatipila assemblage, crying “hīyoyi,”
Danced, reciting horn-pulling songs
As they sang their scornful ditties;
(The Udupila party) stood silent in their shame.

Enraged at his defeat Pālaga cries “Cut down and bring me hither hooks from all places where hooks may be had” (29). Collecting 7,000 oxen and loading them with seven amunam of arekanuts, he sent men to bring andara* wood (30). Traversing the hill-country (Uḍaraṇa), they rested in Hēvāka and Hangurankeṭa; thence through Maturaṇa to “the city,” and on through Tunkinda to Kaḍawata; passing Wellawaya and Kurugama by winding paths they crossed the ferry at Yadalangamue, and spread their gunny bags (of arekanuta) in Usankođa (32). Skirting “the two ponds” they went through “the city” to Kataragama (temple); there they made offerings and started afresh the next morning (33). Arriving at Katagamue in Māvuthakaḍa, and passing over “the tank built of gods,” they beheld the villages Miravilā and Sitrāvila, where they rested after crossing the Kirindi-ganga (34). Proceeding, they traversed the great salt pans of Koholankaḍa, crossed the Walawē-ganga to Māgama; thence through Dolos-giruva and Mātōṭa (Mātara) to Devundara (Dondra), where they opened their bags afresh (35).

Learning that they had come from Māvā-raṭa in search of andara wood, the natives provided a thousand andara sticks in exchange for the seven amunam of dried arekanuts (36, 37). Stanzas 38 and 39 describe the return route, through Mātōṭa, across the Walawē-ganga to Māgama, and past Buttala and Palafupāna to Andun-oruva and Kēbiliṭṭa; thence on through Kavudāva, Mārīva, Mūdavapun, and Bāhrē, till they struck Dambagalla and the Kotabōva road, where they rested awhile. At length they reach Wellassa, and emptied out the thousand andara sticks on the ground.

---

* Dichrostachys cinerea.
God Viṣmakuṣa made the horn-pulling post;
The arch of plantains, God Śakra raised,
With bunches of palmyra and cocoanut fruit,
Entwined with flowers of cocoanut and ruk.*

Brightly shone sun and moon that tide,
Earth's goddess bore kalas† in her hand,
All the gods with divine eyes beholding,
With gladness bestowed blessings on the sport.

From the Nāga world pērṣ† were brought,
Veļuva§ was given by the Risis,
Strong rikilla‖ by Kandakumaru;
To a patmaka root the hooks were joined.

"For horn-pulling sport is not raṇ-manda needed?
Where at this time can we find raṇ-manda?
When obtained it must be strong,
Oh! for some plan (by which to obtain it)."

* Myristica Horsfieldia, Bl.
† A pot with cocoanut flower inserted, on which a light burns.
‡ Double-link noose.
§ Lit., "bandage": creeper, &c., for tying the horns.
‖ The cross sticks of "horns", fastened to the nooses.
The low-caste Rodiyá was called;
Ox and buffalo hide thongs were brought,
And twisted into madu of a fathom length;
A hundred madu coils were given for horn-pulling thongs.

The price of the madu settled (46)

47

The price of the madu settled (46)

48

All the gods with Pálanga were on the upper side,
Earth's goddess and Pattini were on the lower side;
Taking a saffron root in her right hand,
"I will break the thousand andara hooks" cried (Pattini).

48

Breaking thousands of hooks the contest grew (hot),
And (Pálanga) standing aloof was shamed,
Even to death was he shamed,
And Pattini by her divine power aware, felt pity.

49

"O! my love, be not angry;
My majestic power I displayed,
Both parties were alike victorious,"
With gentle words she pacified him.

After thus pulling horns at Wellassa, and breaking 100,000, two only remained; these they bore to Bintenna (50) Stanza 51; Mayiyangana, the city of three gold spires, known as Baranēs, to rid it of curses. Crossing the Mahaweli-gāunga, and surmounting "the hill of stone steps," with joy they beheld the lights of Dumbara (52); then passing the two
Denuwara they reached Senkadagala (Kandy), and, dispelling all ills there (53) stood upon the horn-pulling meadow (an-pitiya).

54

A meadow they decorated for pulling the horns,
And pulled horns for seven days,
Then sprinkled (the country) with Perahera pen,*
By these means dispelling diseases.

Thus were all evils driven to the great ocean (55).

56

Duly the horns are measured and tied;
Daubing (their bodies) with lines of sandal wood unguent,
And putting tella and tāna ornaments round their necks,
They marched through the village driving away diseases.

57

Ah! delightful horn-pulling sport, fraught with blessing,
It is meet to pull horns guilelessly;
Goddess Sat-Pattini banished all ill;
Henceforth will no sickness rage in the village.

59

* Lit., Processional water.
"In Vesak month receive offerings,
In Ésala make processions,
In Medindina hold an-keliya;
Thus will all diseases quit the world."

The remaining Stanzas (60-77) relate in incoherent fashion Pattini's birth and certain incidents connected with the origin of an-keliya.*

The extra verses would seem to cover an alternative version of the former half (Stanzas 1-54) of "Aškeṭi upata." They commence—

Is the (mighty) sun unclean?
Is the (gentle) moon unclean?
Are we also (held) unclean
To pluck the fragrant sandal flower?

They then proceed to describe the efforts of Pattini and Pálaŋga to pluck the flower. The gods provide a cord and staff of gold; Pattini spares six of her waist-robies in succession (for a rope ladder); Pálaṅga mounts the tree, and on the topmost bough describes "the fiery champak flower" (gini sapu mal) :

"Like a huge water-pot,
With stalk of seven cubits,
Countless petals surrounding thousand buds,
There the bee sucks and wasp and hornet sport."

Sandal wood hooks and a gold arecanut-cutter are miraculously forthcoming, and, in striving to reach the flower, the two hooks catch. Unable to unloose them, Pattini and Pálaṅga weep "till their eyes are red." The god of the sky then sends a thousand of his ministering spirits and the goddess of earth a thousand of her train, to aid in pulling the hooks asunder. Pálaṅga's hook is broken, and, in wrath, he proceeds in search of horns through the lands of Holi, Kalinga, Telinga, Kási, Bangáli as far as Andara désa, where he at last obtains a thousand horns. Placing these on the backs of a thousand oxen, he recrossed the sea to

* These stanzas have probably been interpolated into the original poem, and add nothing to its interest.
Wellassa, and there "pulled horns," and collecting the broken pieces into a heap, named that place Angoda. With nine remaining hooks he reached Navagumaca, and again "pulled horns"; finally, with but two hooks, he came to Peradeniya, and pulled them at An-pitiya.

So far the legendary origin of an-keliya, which clearly connects it with the continent of India, whence it may have been imported into Ceylon—possibly under some form unsuited till modified to the nature of the people—with the rest of Hindú rites and ceremonies at present overlying and marring the simpler Buddhism of the Island. For it is not perhaps unreasonable to recognise in the two "horns" udupila and yatipila, and the ceremonial attending their "pulling," the Sinhalese development (albeit unknown to themselves) of that mysterious worship of the emblems of Nature, which from early times has formed an important element in the Hindú cult. The forms in which the liña or male nature, the type of Síva, the Regenerator, is represented in mystical connection with the yóni or bhaga, the female power. Síva’s sakti or energy, Párvati, are as countless as the names of those gods, and may well have come to assume on Ceylon soil the disguise of united opposing "horns." The struggle of the votaries of "Pálanga" and "Pattini" (? Mahá Deva and Bhaváni) on the an-pitiya, to be witnessed almost any day in one district or other of the Island, recalls a legend related in the Servarasa.

"When Sati, after the close of her existence as the daughter of Daksha, sprang again to life in the character of Párvati, or mountain-born, she was reunited in marriage to Mahá Deva. This divine pair had once a dispute on the comparative influence of sexes in producing animated beings; and each resolved, by mutual agreement, to create apart a new race of men. The race produced by Mahá Deva was very numerous, and devoted themselves exclusively to the worship of the male deity; but their intellects were dull, their bodies feeble, their limbs distorted, and their complexions of different hues. Párvati had at the same time created a multitude of human beings, who adored the female power only; and they were all well-shaped, with sweet aspects and fine complexions. A furious contest ensued between the two races, and the Liñgajas were defeated in battle. But Mahá Deva, enraged against the Yónijas, would have destroyed them with the fire of his eye, if Párvati had not interposed and appeared him: but he would spare them only on condition that they should instantly quit the country, to return no more. And from the Yóni, which they adored as the sole cause of their existence, they were named Yavanas."

* Moor’s Hindu Pantheon, p. 387.
The an-keli-pújáwa is not complete without the péli, or torch-light procession round the infected villages,—a relic of the primeval worship of Agni, the fire-god, cleansing and hallowing, which has passed into the superstitious observance of widely separated countries, and is not unknown even in Christian England,—witness the Easter fires, those of St. John’s Day, Michaelmas, Martinmas, and Christmas. The Midsummer or St. John’s Day fires, which were kindled at the season of the summer solstice, were of three kinds: first, bonfires; second, procession with burning brands round the fields; third, wheels blazing and set rolling. The bonfires were lighted for the purpose of scaring away the dragons that poison the waters with the slime that fell from them at that hot season, and therefore bones and all sorts of filth were thrown into the fire that the smoke might be the fouler and more offensive to the dragons. “Need fires” especially have retained their heathen character unaltered, and are for the most part not confined to particular days.

They used to be lighted on the occasion of epidemics occurring among cattle, and the custom is still observed here and there to this day. Wherever it can be traced among people of German or Scandinavian descent, the fire is always kindled by the friction of a wooden axle in the nave of a waggon wheel, or in holes bored in one or two posts. In either case the axle or roller is worked with a rope, which is wound round it, and pulled to and fro with the greatest possible speed by two opposite groups of able-bodied men.*

The axle working in the nave is equally symbolic of Nature’s creative energies, and the two forms of worship existed side by side in England, certainly up to the thirteenth century. Kemble (“The Saxons in England”) quotes from the Chronicle of Lanercost for 1268 A.D. how “certain bestial persons, monks in garb but not in mind, taught the country people to extract fire from wood by friction, and to set up a ‘simulacrum Priapi’ as a means of preserving their cattle from an epidemic pneumonia.†”—B. Hon. Sec.

---

* Kelly, Indo-European Folk-lore, p. 48. † Id., p. 50.
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Committee Meeting.

January 25, 1883.

Present:
The Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft, President, in the Chair.
T. Berwick, Esq.  Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
W. Blair, Esq.    J. L. Vanderstraaten,
W. Ferguson, Esq. Esq., M.D.

H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.

2.—The Hon. Secretary stated that he found himself unable to carry on the work of the Society satisfactorily, owing to a considerable increase in his official duties since the commencement of the year. He therefore trusted that some other Member of the Society resident in Colombo might be induced to accept the Secretaryship. Ultimately, at the request of the Committee, Mr. Bell consented to retain the Secretaryship temporarily, on the understanding that the Committee would take steps to secure the services of a successor at an early date.

3.—Decided to call a General Meeting for the 8th proximo, at which the Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., be invited to read his Paper entitled “Notes on Buddhism as the Daily Religion of Buddhists in Ceylon.”

General Meeting.

February 8, 1883.

Present:
The Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft, President, in the Chair.
G. Wall, Esq., Vice-Presdt. Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
T. Berwick, Esq. Adrian Hope, Esq.
W. Blair, Esq. W. K. James, Esq.
J. Carbery, Esq., M.B. J. D. Mason, Esq.
R. Dawson, Esq. Rev. E. F. Miller, M.A.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S. E. F. Perera, Esq.

W. P. Ranasingha, Esq.

H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

1.—The Minutes of the last Annual Meeting were read and confirmed.
2. — The following gentlemen were unanimously elected Members:—

E. B. Hurley, Esq.
R. W. D. Moir, Esq., c.c.s.
T. McC. Twigg, Esq., c.c.s.

3. — The Hon. Secretary laid on the table a list of books received since the Annual Meeting, which included some very valuable old Dutch works, (Spilbergen’s Voyages, &c.,) containing interesting matter regarding Ceylon, and also Da Cunha’s Portuguese works,—the latter the generous gift of the Government of Goa.

4. — The Secretary said that before commencing Mr. Dickson’s Paper, he would read an extract from a letter which Mr. D. W. Ferguson had received from Dr. James Burgess, Editor of the Indian Antiquary, on the subject of the images in Buddhist Temples, in the hope that some of the Members of the Ceylon Asiatic Society would be able to assist in the matter. The communication was as follows:—

"I am puzzling over the sculptures in the Buddha caves........ In all the shrines there are large figures of Gautama, usually cross-legged, but sometimes with the feet down. On each side stands a tall figure with high richly-ornamented crown, on the front of which is a small cross-legged Buddha or a dāgabā or some other symbol—a matter always requiring attention. Sometimes each only holds a chauri or fly-flap; often the one has a tall lotus plant by his side, the stalk of which he holds in his hand, and the other holds against his thigh, or else over a lotus or other flower, the Vajra. Sometimes both are dressed alike, and sometimes the one has many necklaces, bracelets, armlets, &c., and the other none, or a very small deer-skin over his left shoulder. All these points are of importance. Then, along the side walls are arranged (three or four on each side) a number of smaller figures, all distinguished by similar marks—on the headdress, the different flowers and objects they hold, such as a sword, a book, a small flag, &c. All stand in the shrines; but are found seated elsewhere. Now, in some temples in China and Thibet I am told the attendant figures are Padmapāṇi and Manjusri with other Bodhisattvas; others say Padmapāṇi, Vajrapāṇi, and disciples A’ṇanda, Sāriputtra, &c.; in some they seem to be all disciples, including mythical ones, however, such as Samantabhadra and Mahāsthanapralipta. In Ceylon I am told they are Brāhma, Vishnu, and the disciples, but that A’ṇanda and Kāśyapa do not stand nearest to Buddha as in China, and that there are three Buddhas, all called Godama and Sākyamuni. (I never trust to a priest unless I know he is a really learned man, for I find not one Brāhma in a thousand knows the little distinctive marks that indicate the images of particular gods.) Now, I don’t know what opportunities you have for observation, but if you could either do it yourself, or get some one to visit some respectable temples
—the older the better—and make careful notes of the postures, relative sizes and positions, and all the peculiarities of symbols, positions of hands (and feet, if seated), it would be of the greatest service to me. In Thibet one can (for a few annas) get very good pictures of all the figures from chitrakára, but I don't know that you have anything of the sort in Ceylon. Little sketches of the symbols and mudras, however, are better than description often. The more intelligent priests might be asked the names of the figures, and cross-examined on the symbols, and why such and such a personage has such and such symbols, what the symbols of others ought to be, whether they have other than one name each, &c. The materials thus acquired would form a most interesting paper on Baudhá Mythology for the Antiquary. Possibly, however, something of the kind exists, but I am not aware of it. Do you think you can aid me in this, or get me aid? A little information soon might be most valuable for what I am now working at."

Mr. Ranasingha said that, in order to attain the desired result, they should have the names of the Vihárés or temples.

Rev. E. F. Miller remarked that as a pinkama was shortly coming to Colombo, the opportunity might be taken to get the desired information from the assembled priests.

After some conversation the subject was dropped, on the understanding that Members who were able to do so would afford the required information.

5.—In the unavoidable absence of Mr. J. F. Dickson, the Hon. Secretary read portions of the Paper fixed for the day:—"Notes illustrative of Buddhism as the Daily Religion of the Buddhists of Ceylon, and some account of their Ceremonies before and after Death."

Mr. Dickson prefaced his remarks with an explanation that these notes were originally written as an introduction to an intended edition of portions of the Játakas or Birth Stories, but his public duties had, for some years past, left him no leisure for his Páli studies, and in the meantime the labours of Professors Fausboll and Davids had in part supplied the want. He had therefore abandoned the proposed work on the Játakas.

The following is a brief summary of the Paper.

Inclination and great opportunities during a lengthened term of service in Ceylon had led Mr. Dickson to observe very closely the daily religious life of the Buddhists, and in this paper he endeavours to make clear, in an intelligible form, some of the more salient points of the Buddhist religion, as manifested in its daily working. Buddhism, no less than Christianity, the writer remarks, is a religion of love and charity; it preaches, above all things, the duty of charity in its widest and noblest sense. In a Páli suttra are enumerated the ten modes in which a meritorious act may be performed, viz., (1st) charity, (2nd) piety, (3rd) meditation, (4th) giving of merit, (5th) sharing in the merit of another, (6th) helping the helpless, (7th) showing respect, (8th) preaching,
(9th) listening, and (10th) rejection of heresy. These are a list of virtues which many Buddhists may practise more or less in secret, but there are many occasions on which a pinkama is publicly performed, and at the present day the word is generally applied to these public performances. The ordinary pinkamas are those performed, first, at the commencement of the season which is known as Was; second, before death; third, after death; and fourth, when making offerings at a shrine or to the priesthood. Of all the observances throughout the Buddhist year, the most important are those connected with the season of Was, of which the author proceeds to give a long and interesting account, from the beginning of the season to the end of it. The Was season, or, as some erroneously call it, the Buddhist Lent, commences on the 15th day of the eighth month, i.e., on the full-moon day of the month of June-July. It is customary at this season for the people to invite a certain priest to reside in their village, and, if the invitation is accepted, the villagers arrange amongst themselves how the priest’s wants shall be supplied during the period. It is usual for the householders to take the duty in turn, the household of the day providing all that is required. Mr. Dickson describes very minutely the ceremonies connected with the first day of the Was season. After three months have passed the Was season comes to an end. This is on the full-moon day of the month of September-October, and the ceremonies connected with this day are also fully detailed. Mr. Dickson then describes the ceremonies next in importance: namely, the Jieadåna or pinkama performed by a man whose end is approaching, called in Sinhalese Godåné; and the Matahadoné, the ceremony performed for the release of a soul from purgatory;—concluding his interesting paper with a description of the ceremonies connected with offerings made at a shrine or to the priesthood.

The Secretary remarked that Mr. Dickson’s remarks were perhaps confined to the people of the Kandyen districts, but he believed the account was as applicable to the Sinhalese in the low-country.

Mr. Ranasingha said he believed there was very little difference in that respect between the people in the Kandyen and low-country districts: with a few slight variations the ceremonies observed were substantially the same.

The Rev. Mr. Miller enquired whether the word “church” in the paper meant “priesthood.” The paper was no doubt extremely interesting and valuable, but he thought the terms “church,” “monastery,” &c., misapplied.

The Secretary answered that Mr. Dickson had translated sañgha by the “church.” The paper was evidently popularized in order to make it intelligible to Western readers.

6. A vote of thanks was unanimously passed to Mr. Dickson for his valuable and interesting paper, and the Meeting concluded with the usual vote of thanks to the Chairman.
Additions to the Library.

Presentations by the Government of Goa.

Additamento as Reflexoes sobre o Padrado Portuguez no Oriente pelo mesmo Auctor, 1858.
Arcebispo De Goa e a congregaça de Propaganda Fide por um Portuguez, 1862.
Archbishop of Goa and the Congregation de Propaganda Fide by a Portuguese, 1863.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 3o 1 Parte, 1861.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 3o 2 Parte, 1861.
Do. do. 5o que contem Documentos varios do Seculo XVI. 1 Parte.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 4o que contem os Concilios de Goa, o Synodo De Diamner, 1862.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 5o que contem Documentos varios do Seculo XVI., 1865.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 5o que contem os Documentos varios do Seculo XVI. 3 Parte, 1866.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 6o Supplementos, 1876.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 6o que contem Documentos do Seculo XVII., 1876.
Archivo Portugalz Oriental, Fasciculo 1o 2o Edicado Accrescentada com a 2 Parte, 1879.
Brados a favor das Communidades das Aldeas do Estado da India, 1870.
Diccionario Portugalz-Concani composto por um Missionario Italiano, 1868.
Ensaio Historico da Lingua Concani por Joaquim Heliodoro da Cunha Rivara, 1858.
Grammatica da Lingua Concani composta pelo Padre Thomas Estevdo, 1857.
Grammatica da Lingua Concani escrita em Portuguez, por um Missionario, 1859.
Grammatica da Lingua Concani no Dialecto do Norte Italiano, 1858.
Inscripções de Dio, trasladadas das Proprias em Janeiro de 1859, por J. H. da Cunha Rivara, 1865.
Jurisdictao Diocesana do Bispad de S. Thome de Meliapor, 1867.
Ludovici de Sousa, Archiepiscopi Bracharensis de Jure Patronatus, Observações sobre a Historia Natural de Goa feitos no anno de 1784 por Manoel Galvas Da Silva, 1862.
Os Portuguezes no Oriente feitos Gloriosos Praticados pelos Portuguezes no Oriente por Eduardo A de sá Nogueira P. de Balsemao.
Reflexoes sobre o Padrado Portuguez no Oriente aplicadas a Proclamaça Pastoral do Rev. Fr. Angelico, 1858.
Royal Asiatic Society (Ceylon Branch).

Viagem de Francisco Pyrard as Indias Orientaes (1601 a 1611) Vertida do Francez em Portugalz por Joaquim Heliodoro da Cunha Rivara, 1862.

Other Presentations and Purchases.

Adventures and Researches among the Andaman Islanders, Dr. Mouat.
Akbarnamah, by Abul-Fazl i Mubarak i állámi, edited by Maulawiz Abd-Ur-Rahim, 1881.
Begin ende Voortgang Der Oost-Indische Compagnie, I., II., 1646.
Bengáli Primer in Roman character, by J. F. Browne, b.c.s. 1881.
Butterflies of India, Burmah, and Ceylon, Marshall and De Nicéville, 1882.
Harris's Voyages, 2 Vols.
Elementary Grammar of the Kannadu or Canarese Language, by Thomas Hodson.
First Year's Work on a Coffee Plantation, by T. C. Owen, 1877.
Do. do. by A. L. Cross, 1877.
Catalogus der Numismatische Afdeeling van Het Museum van Het Bataviaasch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen, 1877.
Histor Journal, Spilbergen.
Histoire de Portugal; being an Account of the Colonial Ventures of Portugal in the XIV., XV., and XVI. Centuries, compiled from the writings of Jeremse Osorius and others. Paris, 1556.
The Hungarian Language, by Ignatius Linger.
Introduction to the Study of Language, by B. Delbruck.
Nepalese Buddhist Literature, by R. Mitra.
Ouchterlony's English and Tamil Dictionary.
Panchanga Lita.
Risi: a Poem by the author of the Saddarhana Chintaniku.
Inscriptions de Piyadasi, Par E. Senart.
Schouten Oost Indische Voyagie, 1676.
Schouten Voyage Aux Indes, Tomes I., II.
Scriptorum Arabum De Rebus Indicis,
Sonnerat Voyage Aux Indes et à la Chine, Tomes I.—IV.
Suggestions regarding the demarcation and management of the Forests in Kulu, by W. Schlich, Ph.D., 1882.


Do. do. Linguistic Essays, Abel.

Do. do. Philosophy of the Upanishads, A. E. Gough.

Do. do. the Sarva Darsana Samgraha, E. B. Cowell, A. E. Gough.

Do. do. the Bhagavad Gītā, translated by John Davies.

Vicissitudes of Aryan Civilization in India, by M. M. Kunte.

*Journals, Periodicals, Reports, &c.*

Calcutta Review, No. XLII., December, 1853.

Catalogus der Numismatische Afdeeling van Het Museum van Het Bataviaasch Genootschap van Kunstewen Wetenschappen, 1877.

Descriptive Catalogue of the Mackenzie Collection, Wibsar.

Indian Antiquary, edited by Jas. Burgess, LL.D., June, 1882, to January, 1883.

Journal, Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland, 1882.


Do. do. Vol. II., Part II., Nos. II., III., VII., VIII., 1882.


Do. Ou Recueil de Mémoires de la Société Asiatique, 1882.

Notulen van de Algemeene en Bestuurs-vergaderingen van Het Bataviaasch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen, 1882.


Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, November, 1882, to April, 1883.


Do. Archaeological Survey of Southern India, No. 3: The Amarāvati Stūpa.


Do. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, Philadelphia, 1882.


Transactions of the Philological Society, 1875–76.
Tropical Agriculturist, November, 1882, to February, 1883, Colombo.

Sinhalese Books.
Aluchandása.
Draywe Guna Darpanaya.
Kalagedi Málaya.
Pánadure Controversy.
Salaslókaya.
Saravila Sandésaya.
Satti Sangrahaya.

Committee Meeting.
June 11, 1883.

Present:
Rev. E. F. Miller, M.A., in the Chair.
J. Capper, Esq. | W. Ferguson, Esq.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

1. Read and confirmed the Minutes of last Meeting.
2. The Hon. Secretary suggested that a General Meeting be convened for the 27th instant, at which he was prepared to read:

(a) Notes on Images in Buddhist Temples in Ceylon, by Mr. Withasígha, in reply to the letter on the subject from Dr. Burgess, Editor of the Indian Antiquary.

(b) Paper on "Ceylon Gipsies," by Mr. J. P. Lewis, M.A., C.C.S.

Approved.

3. The Hon. Secretary mooted the question of the unsatisfactory manner in which the new Rules of the Society's Library were being carried out; and stated that until a complete understanding was come to with the Museum Committee as to the extent of the Librarian’s duties, it would be impossible to bring the Society's Library into an efficient state.

Resolved,—That the Hon. Secretary do personally represent matters to the Society's President (Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft) with the object of having the C. A. S. Library Rules passed by the Museum Committee and engrained on the existing Rules of the Museum Library.
GENERAL MEETING.
June 27, 1884.

Present:
Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft, President, in the Chair.
Hon. Sir J. Douglas, Lieutenant-Governor, Vice-Patron.
T. Berwick, Esq.         S. Green, Esq.
W. Blair, Esq.           W. K. James, Esq.
W. Ferguson, Esq.        E. F. Perera, Esq.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

1. Confirmed Minutes of General Meeting held on February 8th.
2. The following gentlemen were duly elected Members of the Society:
   A. R. Dawson, Esq., c.c.s.
   R. H. Sinclair, Esq., c.c.s.
   T. G. H. Tothill, Esq., M.D.
   K. L. Don Charles.

3. List of books received since last Meeting was laid on the table by the Hon. Secretary.
4. A Paper by J. P. Lewis, c.c.s., on "Ceylon Gipsies," was then read by the Hon. Secretary, the following being a summary:
   The so-called "Gipsies" of Ceylon, known among the Sinhalese as Telingukarayó (Telugus), are met with in most parts of the Island, engaged in the occupations of exhibiting tame cobras or monkeys, and performing jugglery, and from their appearance are not to be distinguished from ordinary Tamil coolies; so that, in the recent Census report, they appear to have been classed as Tamils. They are however careful to call themselves Telugus, though apparently unable to speak Telugu, Sinhalese and Tamil being used indiscriminately by them. The two classes of snake-charmers and monkey-dancers are, according to their own account, quite distinct, the former being much more numerous; they belong to different castes, and each professes to consider the other's occupation degrading! The women of the monkey-dancers also practise palmistry. Their religion appears to partake very much of that of the locality in which they appear—sometimes they are Buddhists, sometimes Sivites. They are perfectly illiterate, and have no desire that their children should be educated. A camp of snake-charmers met by the writer in the Southern Province spoke Sinhalese fluently and well, though with a "foreign" accent. They could not speak Telugu, though they said it was their proper language, but spoke Tamil. They asserted "that their ancestors came over in the time of Buddha," and they professed to be Buddhists. These people never settle down, but spend their lives wandering over the Island;—
their waggon-shaped talipot huts packed up and carried on donkeys' backs. They abhor work of all kinds, but do not appear to be addicted to serious crime. Unlike their brethren in Europe, they are not much given to plunder, though at times having many opportunities; but, occasionally, a crop of kurakkam has been found to have sensibly diminished after their departure from the neighbourhood. They have no idea of time, are their own doctors, and their marriage and burial arrangements are of the simplest kind. Polygamy is sometimes practised, but not polyandry. Their marriage rites consist in eating together on the same mat, the bridegroom placing five strings of beads round the neck of the bride. They appear to have a very limited range of personal names, younger brothers being in some cases called by the same names as the elder, and distinguished from the latter only by the addition of "small," "young," &c., prefixed to their names, and females taking male names with the termination "akkā." The snake-charmers profess to be able to catch cobras in the jungle when they please, and to tame them in a few days through their knowledge of "cobra language," and by means of the virtues of certain vegetable substances. The antidotes in question are the seed and pieces of the stem or root of the nágadarāna and the root of the elawará. The seed of the nágadarāna "exactly resembles in shape the head of a cobra, showing the eyes, expanded hood, and fangs, while the stem is not unlike the body of the snake with its scales and peculiar markings. It is probably owing to these resemblances that the plant is credited with mysterious powers as a snake antidote. When these substances are carried on the person, no cobra, it is asserted, will attack their possessor, for, as long as it is in their proximity, the reptile is powerless for harm." An experiment was made with the object of ascertaining what grounds there were for this assertion. A piece of elawará was held near the head of a cobra which was sitting up in an attitude of attack. "The snake certainly seemed to become suddenly cowed, and it subsided gradually into its basket. The same result did not follow subsequently when a twig picked up at random from the spot was substituted for the supposed antidote."† The gipsies hold to the belief that there are castes among cobras as amongst men, one of a fierce nature only recently caught being said to be the fisher caste, whilst others were vellálas! A family of the monkey-dancers made a living for some time by catching numbers of the Indian kingfisher, the feathers of which they sold to Moormen of Beliatta Bazaar, near Tangalla. These feathers appear to be in great request in China. "The bird is caught by means of a net, close

* The nágadarāna, according to Mr. Ferguson, is the Martynia diandra, a plant very much like the gingelly or sesamum; Tamil name, nágotáli. The word is the Calotropis gigantea, the muder of Bengal; Tamil, erukku. Elawará is one of the varieties.
† See Tennent's Natural History of Ceylon.
to which is placed a captive kingfisher to serve as a decoy. The net being so fine as to be practically invisible, the wild bird flies against it in attempting to join the decoy. The net collapses, falling over the former bird, which is thereupon captured before it can free itself." Another method of catching birds whose feathers are not of much value is by an ingenious device of cocoanut midribs smeared with jak-gum. With the people described above are not to be confounded a class of wandering Moormen called A'ndis, who fix their head quarters in some town or village and lodge in houses.

Mr. Lewis had sent with his Paper a seed of the nágadarana, and portions of the stem of that plant, and of the elawará, and also a "snake-stone," which were inspected with much interest as alleged antidotes against snake-bites.

In answer to a query by the Hon. Secretary as to the employment of the elawará by native Vedarálas in cases of hydrophobia, Dr. Vanderstraaten stated that he was unaware of its use, it being a deadly poison.†

As regards the Telugu origin claimed for themselves by these Gipsies, Mr. Bell observed that this was supported by the fact that the Wanjári, Lambáni, Wadári, and Vaidya (snake-charmers), wandering castes of the Dekkan in India, according to Mr. Sinclair’s account of them in the Indian Antiquary, speak Telugu and would seem to lay claim to Telugu descent.

Mr. W. P. Ranasingha said he had obtained information regarding the "Gipsies" which led him to believe they were really Tamil coolies, speaking but little Singhalese.

Mr. E. F. Perera called attention to the fact of some of the Rodiyás leading a nomadic life and practising palmistry. He also questioned the statement as to snake-charmers and monkey-dancers not associating, as he had often met them together.

Mr. W. K. James confirmed this last fact.

The President thought that the origin of these "Gipsies" must be determined by their language, and trusted that Members interested would endeavour to procure as full a vocabulary of the Gipsy camp talk as possible.

5. Before the Meeting closed the Hon. Sir John Douglas expressed on behalf of the Society their sense of the loss they had sustained by the death of Col. A. B. Fyers, R.E., their late President.

6. Votes of thanks were then given to the writer of the Paper and the Chairman, and the Meeting closed.

* In a communication with reference to this subject from a resident in the Straits Settlements, which subsequently appeared in the "Ceylon Observer" it was stated that the Chinese make pictures of these and other feathers. Scenes from historical plays are thus represented; houses, trees, dresses, &c., being all of kingfishers' and blue-jags' feathers of different shades. The faces are done in ivory or enamel, and horses and weapons in other feathers.

† See Journal R. A. S., C.B., 1865-6, p. 157. On the other hand, see pp. 166, 169, 171, 176, 179, where the word is given as an ingredient in several native medicinal oils.
Additions to Library.

Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon, by Dr. E. Müller, two Vols., London, 1883.
Bibliotheca Indica, N. S. No. 483, Calcutta, 1882.
Bibliotheca Indica, N. S. No. 486, 7, 8, 9, 90, Calcutta, 1883.
Churchill’s Collection of Voyages and Travels, with a General Preface, giving an account of the progress of Navigation from its first beginning, London, 1746. (6 Vols.)
Ceylon and the Government of Lord Torrington (2 copies), by J. Madden, London, 1851.
Case of Thomas Clarke, M.D., Cornhill, 1812.
Case of J. W. Hutchisson.
Concise Grammar of the Malagasy Language, by G. W. Parker London, 1883.
Die Insel Ceylon bis in das erste Jahrhundert nach Christi Geburt by Richard Wendt, Dorpat, 1854.
De Reisbeschryvinq van Johan Jacobz Saar Naar Oost Indien, by J. H. Glazemaker, Amsterdam, 1671.


Examination of the Tracts, Historical and Statistical, on India. Fragments Arabes et Persans, Par M. Reinaud, Paris, 1845.

Hitopadésa, translation by F. Johnstone, 1848.

Histoire de Lalaponie Sa Description, Paris, 1678.

History of Lapland, containing Geographical Description, and a Natural History of that country, London, 1704.


Histori Æthiopica, 1460-1657, by Ludolfus, 1681.


Journal Asiatique ou Recueil De Mémoires, Tome I., Nos. 1, 2, 3, and Tome II., Nos. 1, 2, 3, Paris, 1883.


Journal of the Straits Branch of the R. A. S., Singapore, 1883.


Legends of the Punjab, by Capt. R. C. Temple, London.

Lepidoptera of Ceylon (2 copies), by F. Moore, F.Z.S., London, 1882, Parts V. & VI.


New Voyage to the East Indies in the years 1690 and 1691, by Monsieur Duquesne, London, 1696.


Ost Indianische Funszeken Jabrige Kriegs Dienste und Wahrhaftige Beschruzk.

Observations on Capt. Biden’s Pamphlet on the present condition of the Merchant Sea Service, Ceylon, 1836.


Oldest Aryan Element of the Siyalese Vocabulary, by Professor E. Kuhn (D. Ferguson's translation).

Do. do. do. 1, Calcutta, 1883.
Do. do. do. 2, Calcutta, 1883.

Reis in Oost-en-Zuid Borneo Van Koetei Naar Banjermassin, by Carl Bock, 1881.


Sermon preached at Colombo, at the Church in the Fort, on Sunday, 17th October, 1816, by Thomas Fanshaw (2 copies), Colombo, 1817.

Scriptorum Arabum De Rebus Indicis, by H. B. Konig, Borneo, 1838.


Subhásita, by A. Mōhottāla, Colombo, 1883.


Tropical Agriculturist, Vol. 2, Nos. 9, 10, 12, Colombo, 1883.


Voyage Du Chevalier Des Marchais en Guinee (4 volumes), Amsterdam, 1731.

Mandelso's Voyages and Travels of the Ambassadors into Persia and India, by John Davies, London, 1642.

Verhandelingen Van het Bataviasch Genootschap Van Kunsten en Wetenschappen, Deel 42, Batavia, 1881.

Vinayapitakam, one of the principal Buddhist Holy Scriptures in the Pāli language by Dr. Oldenberg, Vol. 5, London, 1883.
Committee Meeting.
October 5, 1883.

Present:
The Hon. W. H. Ravenstcroft, in the Chair.
T. Berwick, Esq. | J. Capper, Esq.
W. Ferguson, Esq.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

1. Confirmed Minutes of the last Meeting.

2. The Hon. Secretary stated that the following Papers had been circulated among the Reading Committee:—

(a) "The Elephant-catchers (Pannihans) of Musali," by G. M. Fowler, Esq., C.C.S.

(b) "Siphalese Bird-Lore," by W. Knight James, Esq., and proposed that a General Meeting should be convened before the close of the month, at which one or more of the Papers approved might be read.

Resolved.—To call a General Meeting for the 23rd instant.

3. With reference to Resolution 3 of last Committee Meeting (June 11th), the Chairman announced that the Rules of the Society's Library had been duly brought before the Museum Committee, and passed, so as to form an integral part of the entire body of Rules affecting the Museum Library, and that for their proper working the Museum Secretary and Librarian was responsible.

4. The Hon. Secretary laid before the Committee a statement showing heavy outstanding debts on account of books ordered in the course of 1882, which the prospective revenue of the current year would not meet. In explanation Mr. Bell stated that the orders for these books had been sanctioned and been sent home under the impression that the Government would continue to relieve the Society of all expense in connection with the printing of its transactions, as in past years. But the Society has been informed that the continued pressure on the resources of the Government Press has rendered it impossible to grant this concession, for this year at least; the Society has therefore to face an extra expenditure in 1883 of over Rs. 500. The Hon. Secretary suggested that the re-printing of back numbers of the Journal be not further proceeded with, and that no fresh orders for books be issued until the Society emerges from its temporary difficulties, and its finances safely admit of charges other than those necessary for the regular issue of its Transactions.

Agreed to.
GENERAL MEETING.
October 23rd, 1883.

Present:
The Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft, President, in the Chair.
J. Capper, Esq. W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O.
A. M. Ferguson, Esq., C.M.G. H. J. MacVicar, Esq.
P. Freüdenberg, Esq. W. P. Ranasingha, Esq.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

1. Read and confirmed Minutes of last General Meeting. Some conversation ensued regarding the Paper on "The Gypsies of Ceylon," read at the last meeting. The Chairman hoped that further information (particularly as to their camp dialect) would be supplied by outstation Members.

2. On the motion of the Hon. W. H. Ravenscroft, seconded by Mr. J. Capper, the following gentlemen were admitted Members:—Messrs. J. H. Thwaites, Geo. Vanderspar, and Rev. S. D. J. Ondatje.

3. In laying on the table list of books presented to the Society since June, the Honorary Secretary remarked that the outlay on books during 1881 and 1882 had been considerable, and that purchases had been curtailed this year in consequence of the Society having to face the cost of printing its Transactions formerly undertaken by the Government Press.

4. (i) The Honorary Secretary then read portions of Mr. G. M. Fowler's Paper on "The Elephant Catchers (Pannikkans) of Musali," of which the following is a summary:—The Paper shows the daring skill of these native sportsmen, whose only weapons are ropes of buffalo hide with a running noose at one end. They are described as a fine, tall race of men, very muscular and nimble. They seek their game in parties of twenty, of whom, however, a portion only advances to the front, armed with their buffalo-hide nooses; the other follow them at a distance ready to aid them when required. The noosers steal slowly and noiselessly up to a herd of elephants, rope in hand, and, as soon as they are close to them, their attendants, upon a signal, raise a shout, on which the animals start off, when the watchful Panikkans each slip a noose round one of their hind legs, and quick as lightning fasten the other end to a stout tree. Sometimes the ropes break; if not, the animal falls on the ground with the sudden jerk, and the other legs and head are made fast. There are elephant charmers who practise to ensure success to the hunters, and these, after a capture, receive as their share a fee of 10 to 12 per cent. on the value of the animals caught.

The Chairman remarked that in the Trincomalee District the people used to catch elephants, but it was not so pluckily done.
They used to shoot the mothers and then take the young ones; and that was the cause of a great deal of the late destruction of elephants in that part of the country. The Pannikans seemed to be quite a distinct breed, for the people he had referred to could not catch elephants in the same way—i.e., noose them round the leg and fasten the rope to a tree. He believed a good many of the elephants so tied often managed to break loose. When he was in the Mannar District the other day, he heard a good deal about elephant-catching, and he was told that an elephant which had been caught escaped with the rope round its leg, and was the terror of the neighbourhood.

(ii) The next Paper (portions of which were read by the Secretary) was by Mr. W. K. James, on “Sinhalese Bird-Lore.” It deals with the numerous legends in connection with the birds of the country. The magpie robin (S. Pol-kichcha) is believed to be a bird of ill-omen, and is generally driven from any dwelling it approaches. The body of the black robin is employed in certain incantations or charms. The king-crow (S. Kapatu-béná, Kaiwápu-punnikkiyá) or dronga is a diminutive bird which may frequently be seen harassing the crow, and there is a curious legend about this bird and the crow in a former state, very characteristic of its ingenuity. The story goes, that, to settle a wager, the crow and the little “king” each took in its beak a small bag of whatever substance it pleased, to see which could fly highest; the crow took a bag of cotton, as being very light, but the wary “king,” seeing that rain was coming on, took a bag of salt, which the rain soon washed away, whilst the cotton became heavier in the wet. Other legends relate to the parrot, the spotted dove, the red lapwing, and other birds.

An interesting discussion ensued.

5. The Meeting terminated with a vote of thanks to the Chairman.

Committee Meeting.

December 14th, 1883.

Present:

Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq., in the Chair.

T. Berwick, Esq. J. E. Wardrop, Esq.

J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A. J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.

J. G. Dean, Esq., Honorary Treasurer.

H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

1. Read and confirmed Minutes of the last Meeting.
2. Proceeded to nominate Office-Bearers for the ensuing year.

President.—The Hon. J. F. Dickson, m.a., c.m.g.

Vice-Presidents.—

\{ George Wall, Esq., f.r.a.s.
\{ Staniforth Green, Esq.

Hon. Treasurer.—J. G. Dean, Esq.

Committee.

T. Berwick, Esq. | Ph. Freündenberg, Esq.
J. Capper, Esq. | W. R. Kynsey, Esq., p.c.m.o.
J. B. Cull, Esq., m.a. | H. Trimen, Esq., m.b., f.l.s.
W. Ferguson, Esq., f.l.s. | J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., m.d.

J. G. Wardrop, Esq.

Mr. Bell, on being renominated as Honorary Secretary for 1884, expressed his regret that his increased official duties would prevent his continuing to fill the post. Ultimately, in compliance with the request of the President-elect (Hon. Mr. Dickson), Mr. Bell consented to act with Mr. W. E. Davidson, c.c.s., as Joint Secretary, mainly for the purpose of editing the Society's Publications.

Hon. Secretaries.—

\{ H. C. P. Bell, Esq., c.c.s.
\{ W. E. Davidson, Esq., c.c.s.

Mr. Berwick was induced to serve on the Reading Committee in place of the Rev. E. F. Miller, m.a., who had left for England.

Reading Committee.—

\{ The President (ex-officio.)
\{ T. Berwick, Esq.
\{ J. B. Cull, Esq., m.a.
\{ W. Ferguson, Esq., f.l.s.

3. The Honorary Secretary submitted a statement of finances prepared by the Honorary Treasurer.

4. The Meeting closed with a vote of thanks to the Chairman.

---

Annual Meeting.

December 20th, 1883.

Present:

His Excellency Sir Arthur Gordon, g.c.m.g., Patron, in the Chair.

The Hon. Sir J. Douglas, k.c.m.g., Lieutenant-Governor, Vice-Patron.

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, c.m.g., President-elect.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo.

T. Berwick, Esq. | W. E. Davidson, Esq.
J. D. M. Coghill, Esq., m.d. | A. C. Dixon, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., m.a. | J. G. Dean, Esq., Hon. Treasr.
C. P. Dias, Esq., Mahalangurumudaliyár. 
C. Dickman, Esq. 
A. M. Ferguson, Esq., C.M.G. 
H. W. Green, Esq. 
S. Green, Esq. 
N. Kâsîpîlal, Esq. 
W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O. 
F. C. Loos, Esq. 
H. J. MacVicar, Esq. 
E. F. Perera, Esq. 
John Perera, Esq., Mudaliyár. 
S. Râjapaksa, Esq., Mudaliyár. 
P. Ranasinghe, Esq. 
W. G. Rockwood, Esq., M.D. 
N. Sâjarâjasinham. 
C. H. De Soyza, Esq. 
The Hon. J. Stoddart. 
T. H. F. Tothill, Esq., M.D. 
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D. 
Geo. Wall, Esq. 

H. C. P. Bell, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

1. The Minutes of the General Meeting of October 23rd were read and confirmed.

2. The Honorary Secretary laid on the table:
   (a) List of Books received since the last General Meeting.

3. Proceeded to elect Office Bearers for 1884.

   President.—The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G.

   Vice-Presidents.—{ Geo. Wall, Esq., F.R.A.S.
   { W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O.

   Hon. Treasurer.—J. G. Dean, Esq.

   Hon. Secretaries:—{ W. E. Davidson, Esq.
   { H. C. P. Bell, Esq.

   Committee.

   T. Berwick, Esq. 
   J. Capper, Esq. 
   J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A. 
   W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S. 
   Ph. Freudenberg, Esq. 
   S. Green, Esq. 
   H. Trimen, Esq., M.B., F.L.S. 
   J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D. 
   J. G. Wardrop, Esq.

4. The Honorary Secretary then read the Annual Report of the Committee.

5. The Meeting closed with a vote of thanks to His Excellency the Governor for presiding.

Reprinted from the "Times of Ceylon."

The Annual General Meeting was held at the Council Chamber, His Excellency the Governor presiding. There was a large gathering of Members—the largest, we believe, the Society has had for some time past at its Meetings—due, no doubt, to the fact that this was the first occasion on which His Excellency Sir Arthur Gordon presided.

Election of Office-Bearers.

Mr. T. Berwick said he had been asked to propose the list of Office-Bearers for this year. The list of Committee Members was
the same as last year, with two exceptions. He was sure they would all welcome to the Committee Mr. Staniforth Green and Dr. Trimen. The other change was the election of Mr. Dickson as President, consequent on the departure of Mr. Ravenscroft to England. In view of the proofs Mr. Dickson had already given to them of his interest in the Society, and of his own personal tastes and studies, he was sure there could be but one opinion—and that was, that they could not find a better gentleman than Mr. Dickson to succeed their late President, Mr. Ravenscroft. It was also proposed that Dr. Kynsey should take Mr. Dickson’s place as Vice-President. He was sure they would receive the names of these gentlemen with approbation. There was one other change which was proposed to be made, and which perhaps he should mention—namely, to have two joint Secretaries instead of one. Their hard-working Secretary, finding he was unable to continue to devote the same time to the interests of the Society which he wished to give, owing to his increased official duties, had placed his resignation in the hands of the Committee. The Committee were very anxious, if possible, to avoid a course which would be attended with great disadvantage to the Society, and it was proposed (and he had no doubt the Society would see its way to adopt the suggestion) that they should have two joint Secretaries, Mr. Davidson of the Civil Service consenting to assist Mr. Bell. He would, with the permission of the Meeting, read out the names of the proposed Office-Bearers.

The Secretary then read the Annual Report:

**ANNUAL REPORT.**

"In submitting its Annual Report, your Committee is gratified in being able again to congratulate the Society on its assured position. Since the resuscitation in 1881 a steady development has ensued, and the Ceylon Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society has, it is confidently believed, fully regained its former firm and honourable status amongst similar institutions throughout the world. Correspondence with sister societies, interrupted during the period of apathy between 1875-80, has been renewed, and interchange of publications invited by several new learned bodies.

"Members.—Ten new Members were admitted in the course of the year; death has robbed us of two Members (one for many years the zealous President of the Society, Col. A. B. Fyres, R.E., Surveyor-General), and eight have been lost by retirement, consequent on departure from Ceylon. The present list shows 10 Life Members, 4 Honorary Members, and 106 Ordinary Members, or 120 in all. It is hoped that the ensuing year will witness a considerable accession of Members, and the re-enrolment of many gentlemen whose withdrawal from the Society was solely due to its lifelessness and apparent collapse during the five years above-mentioned. Were the objects of the Society more generally known, it may safely be asserted that they could not fail to attract to its ranks a far greater proportion of the intelligent public. With an extensive and
varied Library, alike accessible to resident and outstation Members, nothing save ignorance of the Society's raison d'être, and of the advantage it offers for acquiring a familiarity with the many branches of research possible in the Island, prevents a larger influx of new Members. It cannot be too prominently put forward that "the design of the Society is to institute and promote inquiries into the history, religions, languages, literature, arts, and social condition of the present and former inhabitants of this Island, with its geology and mineralogy, its climate and meteorology, its botany and zoology."

"Meetings.—Three General Meetings were held during the year—in February, June and October. There is a growing feeling that these Meetings might, with more benefit, be convened in the evening instead of the afternoon, a time when the majority of Members find it very inconvenient—nay, quite impossible—to attend. Evening Meetings could be held both more frequently, and would partake of the character of conversazioni or social reunions. The experiment is well worthy a trial.

"Papers.—The supply of Papers has fallen short of the expectations formed at the close of 1882. The few sent in have, indeed, for the most part equalled in merit and interest those of past years; but, as pointed out in the Committee's Report of last December, the want of practical support in this respect from the Members as a body is hardly creditable, and much to be regretted. Members whose lot is cast in outlying districts cannot but have far better opportunities for uninterrupted and successful enquiry into the wide range of subjects which come within the Society's scope. Whether it be the peculiarity of different soils, and their suitability for various products, the ravages of some sporadic pest, the description of new forms of animal life, climatic vagaries and influence, the introduction of fresh industries, village customs, and folk-lore, or the still imperfectly traced history of the Island, as deducible from old MSS. or older ruins,—there is ample material for close and profitable investigation.

The following Papers were read at General Meetings of the year:

1. "Notes illustrative of Buddhism as the Daily Religion of the Buddhists of Ceylon, and some account of their Ceremonies before and after Death," by the Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.S.S., C.M.G.

Among other Papers perused by the Reading Committee may be noted:

ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY (CEYLON BRANCH).


"The Journal of the year will contain nearly all the above.

"In addition, several inscriptions (with transcript and translation) not recorded in Dr. E. Müller's Archeological Survey of Ceylon, have been received from Government and offered to Dr. Burgess for the "Indian Antiquary," to ensure their early publication.

"Publications.—The Committee notice with regret that delay in issuing the Society's Transactions seems well nigh unavoidable. This is a serious defect, and efforts will be made to minimize it as far as practicable in future. The Government Press was only able to aid by printing the "Proceedings, 1882," and the two Numbers of the Journal for that year had to be entrusted to other local presses. No. 25, 1882 (Vol. VII.), is dragging a slow length along in the press, its prompt issue being rendered difficult by the technical nature of one or two Papers requiring tedious proof corrections. Mr. Albert Gray's translation from the French edition of MM. Defrémery and Sanguinetti of that portion of Ibn Batūta's travels relating to the Māldives and Ceylon, forms the "Extra No., 1882," and is in the hands of Members. The old traveller's quaint account of the Māldives group supplements the information contained in Mr. Bell's Report on the Islands, lately printed by Government. A reprint of our Journal for 1856-58 (Vol. III., Part 1, No. 9) is approaching completion, and will be out before the end of the year. Of Professor Virchow's Monograph on the Vēddās, a translation has been made for the Society at home, under instructions from Mr. Bruce (our President in 1882), and the MS. is expected from Europe very shortly. It may, however, be found advisable, in view of present heavy calls on the Society's annual revenue, to transfer this Paper also to the "Indian Antiquary," on the equitable condition that a certain number of copies, when printed, be furnished to this Society.

"Library.—This has at length been placed on a satisfactory footing. Books are now issued and called in regularly, whilst a re-arrangement of the works on the shelves has been effected in accordance with Sir J. Budd Phear's scheme of classification. The recently printed Catalogue and our liberal Rules afford every facility to Members in all parts of the Island to make use of the Library. A further improvement in working has been secured by the incorporation of the C. A. S. Library Rules with those of the Museum Library, thus definitely throwing undivided responsibility on the Secretary and Librarian of the Museum. The appointment of the Honorary Secretary of the Society as an ex-officio Member of the Museum Committee, upon the recommendation of the President (Mr. Ravenscroft), must be considered eminently satisfactory, and tend to ensure due regard being paid for the future to the Society's interests.

"Money.—The receipts and expenditure of the year up to date are tabulated in the annexed statement, drawn up by the Honorary
Treasurer. The credit balance in the O. B. C. is shown to be Rs. 45.77, with a prospective additional income of about Rs. 360 on account of outstanding subscriptions. It should, however, be stated that there are liabilities which more than counterbalance the total possible incomings for 1883. This temporary embarrassment has been brought about entirely by the Society having had to face this year, for the first time, the cost of printing its Journal—a charge already amounting to Rs. 539.94, or nearly half its revenue up to 1882. Government had relieved us from this burden, enabling large and regular orders for new books to be sent to England, but the heavy pressure of work in the Government Printing Office of late, and the curtailment of expenditure, rendered it impossible to grant the concession longer. Whilst, therefore, it was necessary that the printing of the current Journal should not be deferred, large book-bills of 1882 had to be met. The sum spent under this head (Rs. 379.20) applies rather to orders of 1882, since settled, than to fresh purchases. The Committee discussed the question of ways and means at a Meeting in October, and decided to stay the reprinting of back Numbers of the Journal, and investment in new books for the Library, until all book-debts were liquidated. Application will be made to Government for a renewal of the privilege of the use of its Press, or for a small annual grant equivalent to the estimated cost of printing two Numbers of the Journal, and the Proceedings of each year.

"President's Address.—Owing to the departure from the Island of Mr. Ravenscroft, the Society, for the second year in succession, is deprived of the usual address of its President, always looked forward to as a fitting close to the year's work.

"In conclusion, the Committee have much pleasure in announcing that His Excellency the Governor has kindly consented to become the Society's Patron."

The reading of the Report over,

His Excellency the Governor said: "Gentlemen,—I cannot meet you for the first time without thanking you for the honor you have done me in electing me to be Patron of this Society, and without assuring you of my sincere interest in the objects to which the Society is devoted—an assurance which, in my case, is not a mere conventional statement of that interest which every Patron or President may be supposed to take in the Society over which he presides, but is a simple fact, because, for many years past, the subjects to the investigation of which this Society is devoted have occupied some share of my attention, and I am a Member of long-standing of the old Royal Asiatic Society of London. I trust this gathering to-day may be only the forerunner of many others; and I think that one cannot easily overrate the importance of such a centre to which Papers on all the many various subjects to which our attention is invited by the rules and the forms of the Society, may be sent. I think, too, that this Society may be useful in other
ways than as a mere vehicle for the reception, the reading, and printing of Papers. It would be an act of unpardonable presumption for the newest Member of the Society to profess to offer any hints as to how it should proceed: nor shall I be guilty of that offence. But I may remark, in passing, that I have seen, in Societies of a similar character—archaeological, literary, or devoted to similar purposes with ours—very great good has resulted from not only having Meetings for the reading and discussion of Papers on certain subjects, but also from undertaking some special piece of work—it may be a very humble piece of work—as the funds of the Society permit, but still, some distinct object, having relation to the subjects, the investigation of which we wish to promote. For instance, if the funds of the Society permitted, or any individual Members of Society were inclined to club together to work in this manner, I cannot conceive of anything more in consonance with the objects of this Society than carrying out a small excavation in the great ruins of this Island, or of pursuing some other small work of a similar character. I have often heard with regard to such societies as these—literary, archaeological or other societies—that, whether they are successful or unsuccessful, depends on one simple fact—not on the eminence or skill of the Members of the Committee, not on the numbers or influence of the Members of the Society,—but on the fact of whether it has a good or an inefficient Secretary. If that be the test of the success or non-success of a Society, I believe we may congratulate ourselves on the prospect of being eminently successful, because, from all I have seen and heard, the Society may be congratulated, I am sure, on the services of a most efficient and valua\-\ble officer. (Applause.) Now, gentlemen, I will not detain you longer this afternoon beyond this expression of my feeling of thanks for the honor you have done me, and my sincere hope that we may together manage really to effect something or other for the prosecution of science in connection with the Asiatic Society of this Colony.” (Applause.)

Mr. Dickson, C.M.G., said: “Sir,—I have been requested by the Society to convey to you their thanks, not only for accepting the office of Patron of this Society, but also for coming here to-day to show by your words and action the kind\ly interest which we feel certain you take in this Society. We feel that it is an assistance we have wanted, and which, for many years, we have been without, that the Governor should not only take a formal interest in this Society as its Patron, but that he should take such a real, personal interest as your Excellency has been good enough to say you take, because it is to the Governor we must look to promote those interests which will never be established thoroughly till the real character of the natives, their customs, and feelings are studied, which it is the object of this Society to promote.”

His Lordship the Bishop of Colombo said that it had been suggested to him that he should take upon himself the honourable office of seconding the expression of thanks to His Excellency,
which Mr. Dickson had already given voice to. He did so with
great sincerity, and he thought he was not the only one to whom
His Excellency's presence in these unofficial Meetings was a sort
of an encouragement. He hoped that the great number of Mem-
bers will do what he was ashamed to confess in his own case he
had not done,—attend the Meetings of the Society which they belong
to, more regularly. For his own part, he heartily thanked His
Excellency for the suggestion which had fallen from him. At
present, unless the Members had a special interest in the Paper to
be read, or had something valuable to contribute, or generally
some other particular occasion for coming to that Meeting, they
kept away. But if the Society could make itself a little more
personally interesting to all in the manner suggested, so that all
could take part, the Meetings would perhaps be better attended.
He begged to second the vote of thanks which Mr. Dickson had
proposed. (Applause.)

His Excellency acknowledged the vote with a "Thank you,"
and the meeting broke up.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr.</th>
<th>Cr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1883.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance on 1st January</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141 8</td>
<td>12 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of Journals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions and entrance fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: Rs. 119 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscriptions outstanding (about) Rs. 300.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| J. G. Dean, |
| Honorary Treasurer. |

Colombo, 20th December, 1883.
LIST OF MEMBERS.

1.—Life Members.

Copleston, Reginald, The Right Reverend the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.

Ferguson, D. W.

Ferguson, J.

Grant, J. N.

Gunn, J.

Nicholson, Rev. J.

Davids, T. W. Rhys.

Ferguson, A. M., C.M.G.

Ferguson, A. M., Junr.

2.—Honorary Members.

Gray, A.

Holdsworth, E.

Künne, M. M.

De Zoysa, L., Mahá Mudaliyár.

Military Medical Officers in Ceylon.

3.—Ordinary Members.

Alwis, Hon. A. L. De

Arneil, J. A.

Bailey, J. B. Allanson, C.C.S.

Baumgartner, G. A., C.C.S.

Bell, H. C. P., Hon. Seby.

Berwick, T.

Blair, W.

Boake, W. J. S., R.C.S., C.C.S.

Browne, G. D. L., C.C.S.

Burrows, S. M., M.A., C.C.S.

Capper, J.

Carbery, J., M.B., C.M.

Churchill, J. F., M.I.C.E.

Clarke, A.

Coghill, J. D. M., M.D.

Conolly, P. W., C.C.S.

Coomará Swámy, P.

Crawford, M. S., C.C.S.

Cull, J. B., M.A.

Daendliker, P.

Davidson, W. E., C.C.S.

Dawson, A. R., C.C.S.

Dean, J. G., Hon. Treasurer.

Dias, C. P., Mahá Mudaliyár.


Dickman, C., C.C.S.

Dickson, Hon. J. F., M.A., C.M.G., C.C.S., President.

Dixon, A. C., B.S.C., F.C.S.

Don Charles, K. L.


Duncan, W. H. G., F.R.G.S.

Dunlop, C. E., C.C.S.

Elliott, E., C.C.S.

Ferguson, W., F.L.S.

Fowler, G. M., C.C.S.

Freudenberg, Ph.

Green, H. W., C.C.S.

Green, Staniforth, Vice-Presdt.

Grenier, J.

Grenier, S., J.P.

Grinlinton, J. J., C.E., F.R.G.S.

Gunaratna, E. R., Atappattu Mudaliyár
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PROCEEDINGS.—1884.

COMMITTEE MEETING.

1st February, 1884, 4 p.m., United Service Library,

Present:

T. Berwick, Esq., in the Chair.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S. | P. Ráma-Náthan, Esq.
Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq. (introduced).

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.

2.—Mr. Bell laid the following Papers on the table:—

a. An Account of the Capture of Colombo in 1796: A Translation from the French of Monsieur de la Thombe, an Officer in the Dutch Service, by the late Col. Fyers, R.E.


c. The Language of the Threshing Floor, by J. P. Lewis, Esq., M.A., C.C.S.

Resolved.—That a General Meeting be called for the 12th instant, at 4 p.m., in the Council Chamber, at which the first two Papers should be read.

3.—Mr. Davidson read a Prospectus, forwarded to him by the Lord Bishop of Colombo, with the object of securing co-operation in literary work on the first fifty Játaka Stories.

Resolved,—That the Bishop be invited to attend a Committee Meeting, to be held (if possible) during the ensuing week, at the Museum, to discuss with the Committee the feasibility of the scheme submitted by him, previous to introducing the question before the General Meeting; the Hon. Secretary to arrange a date for the Meeting at which the President and the Bishop would be able to attend, and to give the Committee notice accordingly.

4.—Read letter from Dr. Burgess, of the Indian Antiquary, on the subject of certain "eye copies" of ancient inscriptions forwarded to him by the Secretary. Mr. Burgess condemns the practice of taking "eye copies," and asks that impressions may be procured, giving directions how they can best be obtained.

Resolved,—That Mr. Burgess be requested to return the copies sent him, that steps may be taken to secure accurate impressions.
of the inscriptions, and that the directions laid down by Mr. Burgess for taking impressions be printed and circulated for the guidance of Members of the Society.*

4.—Read correspondence with the Editor of the Orientalist in connection with printing the Society’s Journal for the future, and approved the action of the Hon. Secretary in concluding arrangements with the Editor of the Orientalist to have the English manuscript of Prof. Virchow’s Monograph on the Veddas printed as a number of the Journal without charge, subsequent to the appearance of the Paper in the pages of the Orientalist.

---

Committee Meeting.

9th February, 1884, 4 p.m., at the Colombo Museum.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.

T. Berwick, Esq.  Ph. Freiidenberg, Esq.

J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A.  J. E. Wardrop, Esq.

J. G. Dean, Esq., Honorary Treasurer.


The Right Rev. Lord Bishop of Colombo present, on invitation, to confer with the Committee.

---

* Circular.

Royal Asiatic Society (Ceylon Branch),
Colombo, 7th July, 1884.

Sir,—The annexed extract from a letter by Dr. Burgess, of the Indian Antiquary, it is thought might be of value to those Members of the Society whose duties may lead them to the discovery of ancient inscriptions or carvings. A supply of the Paper referred to will be forwarded on application to me.

Yours, &c.,

W. E. Davidson,
Hon. Secretary.

---

Extract referred to.

First, the stone is well brushed to clean it, and then a little common soap is rubbed on the brush, and by it over the stone. Next, common country unsized paper (whitey-brown) is dipped in water and laid carefully over it, and beaten down with the brush. If one sheet does not cover it, another is laid on to overlap the first by about an inch, and so on till the whole is covered. Then a second layer is laid on in the same way, keeping the edges well off the joinings in the first layer. These sheets are also carefully beaten down into every letter. When fully half dry, a dabber is dipped in a little lamp-black ground up with water and a drop of gum, and, taking care that the surface of the dabber is only moist (not wet) with this black ink, the whole inscription is daubed over with it. This is then allowed to dry thoroughly, and when dry it is carefully peeled off and rolled up. If the least moisture is in it when taken off, the chances are it will tear or be spoilt. If it does not peel off easily and entire, it is because the soap has not been equally rubbed over from the brush.
Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.

2.—Discussed the Bishop’s Prospectus for co-ordinate work on the first fifty Jātakas, and the best means towards attaining practical results. Ultimately it was resolved that the Hon. Secretary do issue printed copies of the Prospectus, with a Circular, inviting the co-operation of all Members of the Society wishing to aid in the work, and that scholars likely to contribute be specially requested to undertake Papers under the different heads. Mr. Dickson added a seventh head to those appearing in the Prospectus, viz., “The popular acceptance of the Jātakas as shown in picture-stories and sculptures,” for which he undertook to be personally responsible.*

* Circular.

Royal Asiatic Society (Ceylon Branch),
Colombo, 21st April, 1884.

Dear Sir,—It has been suggested that Members of the Society should engage in some common study, and by co-operation and division of labour, as well as by the stimulus of friendly intercourse and discussion, make the most of the little time which each Member, as a rule, can give. It has been hoped even that by this means some definite piece of work of permanent value might be done.

In one particular field, that of Pāli Literature and Buddhist Antiquities, a definite suggestion of this kind has been made. The first fifty stories in the Jātaka Book (as numbered in Faisboll’s edition) have been taken as an easy and manageable subject, and one at the same time of many-sided interest. The stories (or forty out of the fifty) having been published in English by Mr. Rhys Davids, and all being attainable also in Sinhalese, the opportunity is not limited to students of Pāli.

It is proposed by the Committee that in the month of October next a meeting should be held, at which one Member has undertaken to open the subject by sketching the outlines of the various topics of the synopsis given below. As each topic is brought forward by the introducer, other Members are to read short papers, or to contribute case wise their own views and the results of their study on that particular topic; another topic will then be opened, and papers, memoranda, or speeches contributed in regard to it; and so on.

It is thought that at the end a small Committee may be appointed to arrange and perhaps to edit the information which will thus have been collected.

The purpose of the present Circular is to make this scheme known to Members, and to invite each Member, if he will, to select one or more of the topics of the synopsis, as those to which he will direct his attention in the interval between this and October next; and to undertake either to read a paper or memorandum upon such topic or topics, or at least to be prepared to enter into discussion in regard to them.

For instance, a Member may inform the Committee, in reply to this Circular, that he will read the fifty stories in English, and will make notes of their contents, after the manner suggested in section 2, and will digest these notes into a paper or memorandum.

Another may undertake to give the results of his study of the Sinhalese version. A third may promise a paper on section 7, and to be prepared with an opinion on section 3.

It is evident that the success of the plan depends mainly on Members undertaking to be responsible for a definite portion, although there is nothing to prevent several Members from undertaking the same portions, or one
3. — On a motion of the President, it was resolved unanimously that in view of the present state of the Society’s funds, and the paramount importance of issuing its publications regularly, Government be earnestly solicited to sanction an annual grant of Rs. 500, equivalent to the cost of printing two numbers of the Journal.

---

**GENERAL MEETING.**

*12th February, 1884, 4 p.m., at the Colombo Museum.*

Present:

His Excellency the Hon. A. H. Gordon, G.C.M.G., in the Chair.

The Right Hon. Sir W. H. Gregory, K.C.M.G.

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President.

G. Wall, Esq., Vice-President.

T. Berwick, Esq.  
J. Loos, Esq., M.D.

W. J. S. Boske, Esq., C.C.S.  
J. D. Mason, Esq., C.C.S.

J. D. M. Coghill, Esq., M.D.  
H. MacVicar, Esq., F.Z.S.

A. M. Ferguson, Esq., C.M.G.  
The Mahá Mudaliyár.

W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S.  
E. F. Perera, Esq.

Ph. Freudentberg, Esq.  
F. H. Price, Esq., C.C.S.

S. Green, Esq., F.L.S.  
S. Rújapaksa, Mudaliyár.

J. R. Greenhill, Esq., A.M.D.  
W. P. Ranasipha, Esq.

Rev. S. D. T. Ondaatje  
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.

A. Jayawardana, Mudaliyár.

Member from covering the whole ground. It is desired that each point should be touched on by some one who has specially considered it, and also that on each point the views of more than one Member should be attained.

Yours, &c.,

W. E. DAVIDSON,
Hon. Secretary.

---

**SYNOPSIS REFERRED TO.**

*Contents of a proposed Paper on the First Fifty Játakas.*

1. — Review of Mr. Rhys Davids’ Introduction, with remarks indicating the points in which further study would be most valuable.

2. — The contents of the fifty stories, under the heads of Folk-lore, Moral Teaching, Prudential and Technical Hints, Customs, &c.

3. — The probable relation of the stories to the verses, and to the introductions; indications as to which was the original element, what due to compilers, &c.

4. — Remarks on the Páli opinions of scholars as to its date.

5. — Opinions as to the Síphiñese version: whether it reproduces anything of the old Síphiñese version; whether it is a perfect specimen of the fourteenth century, or has the defects of a servile translation.

6. — Notice of points to be watched for in reading the remaining Játakas.

7. — The popular acceptance of the Játakas as shown in picture-stories and sculptures.

8. — Translation of the ten Játakas 41-50.

9. — Translation of the Játakas omitted by Mr. Rhys Davids.

* The Colonial Secretary, by letter of the 25th February, signified that the application would be considered with the Budget of 1885, and the grant for Rs. 500, for 1885, was afterwards included in the estimates for that year.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., c.c.s., and W. E. Davidson, Esq., c.c.s., Hon. Secretaries.

Visitors.—Major MacCullum, R.E., and Captain Massey, R.E.

Business.

1.—The Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the 20th December, 1883, were read and confirmed.

2.—Mr. Davidson laid on the table the List of Books received since the last General Meeting.

3.—The following gentlemen were duly elected Members of the Society:

   C. Eardley-Wilmot, Esq., c.c.s.
   A. Forsyth, Esq.
   A. P. Green, Esq.
   T. B. Pánabokke, Raṭemahatmayá.

4.—The Papers read were:—(1) “The Language of the Threshing Floor,” by Mr. J. P. Lewis, m.a., c.c.s.; (2) “Note on Beligala in Three Kórálés,” by Mr. R. W. Ievers, m.a., c.c.s.

The third Paper for the day, “An Account of the Capture of Colombo in 1796,” translated from the French by the late Col. A. B. Fyers, r.e., was postponed for another occasion, for want of time.

Mr. Lewis’s Paper developed still further the subject on which Mr. Ievers and Mr. Bell have already written Papers to the Society, and much interesting information on the nature of this euphemistic language has been accumulated by Mr. Lewis while stationed in Balapitiya, Tangalla, and the Jaffna Peninsula. The care with which the superstitious villager endeavours, during the important periods in the paddy cultivation, to avoid the evil influences of the yakshayó, affords some amusing instances of excessive politeness. Many of the expressions seem in use in the field and threshing-floor in districts so wide apart as Kégalla and Tangalla, pointing to the antiquity of the vocabulary, while in many cases exact parallels appear among the Tamils of the Jaffna villages. An interesting and philologically-valueable vocabulary is given by Mr. Lewis, showing the Sinhalese word in ordinary use, and its substitute on the threshing-floor, with suggestions as to the etymology. In an appendix are given translations of the songs sung by the villagers at the sowing, the weeding, and reaping of the paddy crop; and on this subject it is probable that some native Member will be able to contribute notes explaining the many obscure allusions contained in the original.

Mr. Ievers has made a careful examination of the striking rock called Beligala, in the Kégalla District. The chief interest attaching to this precipitous and almost inaccessible crag lies in its having been the fortress where in the troublous times of the thirteenth century the fugitive Sinhalese king deposited the relic
of the sacred tooth for safety. Polonnáruwa, the capital, had been
sacked and destroyed by the Malabar invader, but the monkish
chronicle of the times records how the king carried away in safety
the precious relic, and built for it on the Beligala "an incomparably
magnificent palace like a divine mansion descended from heaven."
and further the very good provision he made for the priests in
attendance on it. Very little remains to show the site of the
palace. It is probable that the rock-fortress was used only
temporarily as a place of refuge, and this is further borne out by
no inscriptions having been discovered. On the conclusion of the
Paper, which, in the absence of Mr. Ievers, was read by the
Secretary, a discussion ensued, during which Mr. Dickson spoke
as follows:

It is to be hoped that this interesting Paper by Mr. Ievers will
be developed by him or by some other Member of the Society into
an account of the history of the tooth-relic since its arrival in
Ceylon in A.D. 310. It is of interest to trace the circumstances
intimately connected with the political and religious history of
Ceylon which account for its abode, after being dislodged from
Anurádhapura, at Polonnáruwa, Kataragama, again at Polonná-
ruwa, Kótmalé, Beligala, Dambadeniya, Yápahuwa, Kurunégala,
Kótté, Sitáwaka, Delgamuwa, Nilambé, Hanguránketa, Kundásalé,
and lastly at Kandy. Considerable architectural and antiquarian
interest attaches to the ruins of the fine temples of the tooth,
which still remain at most of the places above-named. At Anu-
rádhapura the stone capitals of the monolithic columns of the
temple are of unique design, and it has been ingeniously suggested
that they are formed of four colossal representations of the tooth
itself. At Polonnáruwa the temple is a fine specimen of Hindu
stone architecture, in fair preservation. At Yápahuwa is some of
the finest stone-carving in Ceylon. The traditions referred to by
Mr. Ievers are interesting, and deserve fuller inquiry. It is not
likely that Dantakumára took up his residence at Beligala, but it
is possible that his descendants did, and that it will be found that
the descendants of the princess who brought the tooth-relic to
Ceylon concealed in her hair, remained the hereditary custodians
of the tooth-relic, just as the Nuwarawéwa family at Anurádhapu-
ara claims to be descended from the original custos of the branch
of the bó-tree brought over from Buddha Gayá in the time of
Asóka and Déwánampiyatissa. The relic was brought over from
Dantapura in the Kálinga country, by Dantakumára (a prince
of Avanti) and his wife Hemamala (daughter of King Gajasiṣha),
as a present to King Mahàséna; but he had died before they
reached Ceylon, and it was received by the King Srimeghavarna,
and enshrined at Anurádhapura. It is not likely that Danta-
kumára settled in any other part of Ceylon.

It is a very interesting subject, and I offer these few remarks
in order that it may be investigated by some one who is com-
petent to do so. They may, I trust, suggest to some Members of the
Society to take up the subject of the tooth-relic, and give a brief account showing under what circumstances it has been moved from place to place, that we may have some more knowledge than is generally possessed regarding its political as well as its religious history.

5.—His Excellency the Governor then said:—There is another Paper before the Meeting, by the late Col. Fyrs, which is, in some respects, perhaps, more interesting than those that have gone before. But the Paper is one of considerable length, and though it would be very interesting to us, I am sorry to say that both Sir William Gregory and I have to keep another engagement; therefore we will have the pleasure of reading it in type, though not perhaps with the interesting maps which I notice on the table. But before leaving the Society I would like to revert for a moment to what I said at the Annual Meeting held recently. I then said that I thought this Society would probably have an increase in its Members and an increase in its usefulness if, besides meeting for the reading of Papers, it undertook some specific work, literary or archæological, which would be carried on by the Society with the aid of its Members, and which would show that it had some practical result in its labours. Now I understand that Mr. Dickson—than whom there is no one more competent to deal with such subjects—is about to make some proposal to the Society in regard to some joint literary work. I should also like to see some archæological work undertaken, and I understand from the information which I have received that it would cost no large sum to complete the excavation of the very interesting dāgoba in the immediate vicinity of the prison at Anurādhapura (Mirisweṭi Dāgoba). There have already been uncovered most interesting sculptures, and I believe the sides of the wall presented sculptures of some kind. Besides the sculptures, in the opinion of those competent to judge, many interesting remains will be found. I would propose, therefore, that the Members of the Society should subscribe for this purpose. I am willing to head the subscription myself as funds are wanted.

6.—The Hon. J. F. Dickson:—I can take no credit to myself for the literary work to which the Governor has referred. It is the Bishop to whom the credit of the suggestion is due. The Bishop has suggested it, and, finally putting the suggestion into definite form, proposed that some eight or ten of us should take up the first fifty Jātakas of the Jātaka Book, which has a special interest in connection with Ceylon, both as a great collection of folk-lore and as illustrating the history of the popular acceptance of Buddhism in Ceylon. We have discussed it very fully in two Committees of the Society. Now, by placing the proposal of the Bishop before the Members, we hope that it will be well accepted.

* A subscription having been set on foot, a sum of Rs. 875 was at once forthcoming, to be devoted to the excavation of the covered chapels of the Mirisweṭi Dāgoba at Anurādhapura, and to further archæological research.
and that we shall have the advantage of the knowledge and assistance of a great many native Members who are very well able to give such assistance. There is the other suggestion, made by the Governor, and that is, that we should undertake some archaeological work. There is no doubt a great deal to be done in the country, and it would be very creditable to the Society if we could undertake it. But our funds are quite unequal to anything of the kind, and it must rest of course with the Members to decide whether they will be prepared to subscribe specially for this kind of work. Whether we shall adopt the Governor’s suggestion it will be for you to say, but I will only remark that the Mirisweți was the first dágotha built in Anuradhapura. It is no doubt specially interesting from its history, because it rose in this way: the King Dutugemunu slew the Tamil invader Elala in single combat, and he threw down his clothes on the spot where the dágotha stands, and went down into Tissawewa to bathe. When he came back he made a vow that, as a thank-offering, he would build there a dágotha, which is the structure we are now discussing; and with the assistance of Mr. Smither a very beautiful portion of it has been already cleared and exposed to view, and the Governor’s suggestion is that we should continue that exploration. I would suggest that the three remaining chapels of the dágotha should be excavated, as the delicate sculptures and tracery on the chapel already exposed to view afford perhaps the finest artistic work of any carving that has yet been disinterred. This work will not cost more than Rs. 1,000, a sum which the Society out of its current funds could not afford, but, which will no doubt be speedily forthcoming from Members who have the Society’s true object at heart. On further exploration we also expect to find a great many things, such as coins, crystal dágothas, and small offerings. There is a greater work which I have had much at heart, and wish I could see carried out, and that is, driving a tunnel through the Abhayagiri dágotha, because I believe there are buried books of great antiquity which we might find; they would be in metal, and therefore in perfect preservation. In any case, tradition says that there are books buried there, and traditions are generally correct on points like that. A copper plate of some value has been found in India, of 327 A.D., and we may hope that we might find books of earlier date in this dágotha. That is a work which, if this commencement of joint archaeological work is adopted and carried out successfully, we might hope to see carried out.

I would wish, before the Governor leaves, that we should express the great satisfaction which it gives us to have amongst us Sir William Gregory [hear, hear], and especially that he should find that we are meeting in, and making use of, the beautiful and magnificent Museum which the Colony owes to his art and his love of science. (Applause.)

7.—Sir William Gregory, who was warmly welcomed as a Patron who had always taken an active interest in the Society’s work, and who has shown that his sympathy is still with it, asked
that the work of translating the unfinished portions of the Mahávapsa
should be taken in hand. Mr. Dickson, C.M.G., in reply, said
that the undertaking originated with Government, but that the
Society would be glad to identify itself with so useful a work,
and suggestions would be ventilated for its speedy completion.

8.—His Excellency, Sir William Gregory, Mr. Dickson, and
several other Members being called away by other engagements,
Mr. George Wall, F.R.S., Vice-President, was called to the
chair. It was agreed that, as the hour was late, the third Paper
should be postponed for another Meeting.

---

Committee Meeting.

24th March, 1884, 4 p.m., United Service Library.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.
Ph. Freüdenburg, Esq. | W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
H. C. P. Bell, Esq., and W. E. Davidson, Esq., Hon. Secretaries.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.

2.—Submitted correspondence relative to the Circular to be
issued with the Bishop's Prospectus.

Resolved,—That the draft Circular to be prepared by the
Bishop be printed, and put with the Prospectus into the hands of
Members without delay.

3.—Read correspondence between the Secretary and Gover-
ment, in pursuance of resolution 3 of last Meeting.

4.—Laid on table subscription list inaugurated at last General
Meeting for an Anurádhapura Excavation Fund. The list showed
a total of Rs. 875 already subscribed, a result which was con-
sidered of a very satisfactory character.

Resolved,—That an Archaeological Sub-Committee be appointed,
to consist of His Excellency the Governor, the President, and
W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O., to direct the work of excavation,
and that the Government Agent of Anurádhapura and the Engi-
neer Officer at that station be invited to co-operate with the
Sub-Committee.

5.—Submitted correspondence with the Museum Committee on
the question of lighting up the Museum with gas.

Resolved,—That a copy of the letter from the Gas Manager be
forwarded to Government, with a recommendation for its favourable
consideration, in view of the slight cost of completing the lighting arrangements, and the many popular benefits which might accrue from it.*

6.—Read letter from E. R. Gunaratne, Atapattu Mudaliyär, to the President, containing proposals as to the completion of the Mahāwansa.

Resolved,—That a letter be written to Government pointing out that every expectation had been held out to the literary world that this much-desired work would be completed, and asking that the question of translation may be considered.†

7.—Read letter from Dr. Burgess relative to certain ola discovered in Japan, on which the Government Translator has promised a memorandum.‡

GENERAL MEETING.

25th March, 1884, 4 p.m., Colombo Museum.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.

The Right Hon. Sir W. H. Gregory, K.C.M.G.

The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.

T. Berwick, Esq.   W. Blair, Esq.
W. J. S. Hoake, Esq., C.C.S.   J. Carbery, Esq., M.B.
Ph. Freudenberg, Esq.   J. R. Greenhill, Esq., A.M.D.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.


Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of General Meeting of 12th February last.

* This application was not complied with.
† The Government has subsequently seconded L. E. Wijesinha, Mudaliyär, from his official duties in order to take up the work of completing the translation.
‡ Early in the year 1884 a packet of ola—one or two leaves only of each book—were received from Dr. Burgess, then Editor of the Indian Antiquary, with the request that the Society would, if possible, favour him with information as to their contents. Apparently the character even was unknown in India. These ola I saw at once to be Pali Texts in ordinary Sihalese character; and, at my request, B. Gunasekara, Mudaliyär, of the Colonial Secretary’s Office, kindly wrote a short memorandum on them, showing them to be mostly portions of the Buddhist Tripitaka, with a treatise on grammar.
—H. C. P. B.
2.—On the motion of Mr. Berwick it was unanimously resolved that the Secretary do place on record the deep regret felt by this Society at the loss which the learned world has sustained in the death of the late Maha Mudaliyár, Louis De Soyza.

3.—The following new Members were then elected:

- P. A. Templer, Esq., c.c.s.
- H. P. Baumgartner, Esq., c.c.s.
- H. Sumangala Terunnánse (High Priest of Adam’s Peak).
- A. Shamsuddeen.

4.—Mr. Davidson laid on the table the List of Books received since the last General Meeting.

On the motion of the President, it was resolved that a Sub-Committee, composed of Mr. Berwick and Mr. Davidson, be appointed to examine into the condition of the Library, and report as to what steps should be taken to ensure the more regular supply of new books and periodicals, and to fill up the vacancies in these series of books which are now incomplete.

5.—Mr. Bell then read extracts from the Paper contributed by the late Colonel A. B. Fyers, r.e., being a translation from the French of Mons. de La Thombe of the Capture of Colombo by the English in 1796.

After some conversation on the Paper, it was resolved that the Secretary, Mr. H. C. P. Bell, be requested to edit the Paper, with a view to having it printed among the transactions of the Society.

6.—Mr. Davidson read the Circular to be issued to Members with the Prospectus of work drawn up by the Lord Bishop of Colombo, for co-operation in work on the first fifty Jātakas.

7.—The Meeting then broke up with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

**Committee Meeting.**

*5th September, 1884, 4.30 p.m., United Service Library.*

*Present:*

- W. R. Kynsey, Esq., p.c.m.o., in the Chair.
- J. Capper, Esq. | Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
- J. G. Dean, Esq., Hon. Treasurer.
- W. E. Davidson, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

*Business.*

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.

2.—Considered what action should be taken by the Committee with reference to the Society’s deposits in the late Oriental Bank Corporation.

After some discussion, the following resolution was proposed
by Mr. Capper, seconded by Mr. Freüdenberg, and unanimously agreed to:—

Resolved,—That steps be at once taken to represent before the Official Liquidator the claims of the Society against the late Oriental Bank Corporation, and that the Hon. Treasurer be authorized to treat with the Manager of the Bank of Madras with a view to an over-draft of the Society's account with that Bank at 8 per cent. interest,—should the demands on the Society's funds urgently require his so doing,—pending realisation of the assets of the late banking Corporation.

The Meeting then terminated.

committee meeting.

23rd September, 1884, 4.30 p.m., Council Chamber.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, m.a., c.m.g., President, in the Chair.
G. Wall, Esq., Vice-President.
T. Berwick, Esq. J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., m.d.
W. E. Davidson, Esq., Hon. Secretary.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last Meeting.
2.—Resolved,—That a General Meeting be held in the Reading Room of the Museum Library, at 8.30 p.m., on Saturday, 4th October (with the kind permission of the Museum Committee), and that the following Papers be then read:—

b. An Account of the Kálikóvila at Bentoța, by A. Jayawardana, Mudaliyár.
c. Preliminary Papers on the Játakas.

3.—Resolved,—That the Manager of the Colombo Gas Company be communicated with, and requested to restore the connection between the pipes in the Museum and the main system of gas, and that he be informed that this Society will defray the cost of the gas in the Library whenever its evening meetings are held there.

4.—Read letter from Mr. E. M. De C.-Short, c.c.s., at Anurádhapura, reporting that the work of excavating the ruins of the dágoba had been commenced, now that the difficulties met with in treating with the incumbent of the vihára had been surmounted.

5.—Read letter from Professor Virchow on an examination of some Védá skulls, and a letter from Government thereon, declining to direct further official inquiry on the subject.

Resolved,—That the letter from Professor Virchow be printed in the records of the Proceedings,* and with it the report by the Government Agent of the Southern Province.

* Vide Appendix A.
6.—Read letter from Mr. Trimen, M.B., Director of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Pérâdeniya, on his forthcoming publication of a new Enumeratio Plantarum of Ceylon; also a letter from Dr. J. L. Vanderstraaten suggesting the opening of a subscription, if necessary, for the sake of publishing the work among the records of the Society.

Resolved.—That the Committee accept with many thanks Dr. Trimen’s offer, and that the consideration of Dr. Vanderstraaten’s suggestions be postponed until the completion of the work, when the Treasurer will be in a position to report whether the cost of publication can be defrayed from current funds.

7.—Read a letter from Mr. J. G. Dean, the Honorary Treasurer, forwarding a statement of accounts up to date, both on general account and on the special Exploration Fund account.

Resolved.—That urgent steps be taken to ensure the recovery of outstanding arrears of subscription.

8.—Read a letter by Dr. Murdoch, to the Director of the Colombo Museum, suggesting the introduction of an Ordinance based on the Indian Act XXV. of 1867.

Resolved.—That the Honorary Secretary do address Government in strong approval of Dr. Murdoch’s suggestions.

The Meeting then terminated.

GENERAL MEETING.

October 4th, 1884, 8.30 p.m., Reading Room of the Museum.

Present:

His Excellency the Hon. A. H. Gordon, G.C.M.G., in the Chair.
The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President of the Society.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.

W. R. Kynsey, Esq., F.C.M.O., Vice-President.

T. Berwick, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S.
Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
H. P. Baumgartner, Esq., C.C.S.
W. Blair, Esq.
J. F. Churchill, Esq.
C. P. Dias, Esq., Maha Mudadliyár.
A. M. Ferguson, Esq., C.M.G.
A. M. Ferguson, Esq., junior.
D. W. Ferguson, Esq.

W. E. Davidson, Esq., C.C.S., Honorary Secretary.

E. R. Gunaratna, Esq., Atappatu Mudaliyár.
G. C. Hill, Esq., B.A.
H. Macvicar, Esq., F.Z.S.
F. H. Price, Esq., C.C.S.
S. D. H. W. Rajapaksa, Esq., Mudaliyár, G.G.
W. P. Ranasinha, Esq.
Hon. F. R. Saunders, C.C.S.
A. P. Shamsuddeen, Esq.
R. H. Sinclair, Esq., C.C.S.
J. Stoddart, Esq.

(Nine visitors introduced.)

* Ordinance No. 1 of 1885 was passed in the ensuing Session, embodying Dr. Murdoch’s recommendation.
Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of General Meeting of 25th March last.

2.—List of Books received since last General Meeting laid on table.

3.—The following gentlemen were then duly elected Members of the Society:

Hon. R. A. Bosanquet.
Sir Bruce Burnside, Knight, Chief Justice.
F. J. De Saram, Esq.
Hon. F. Fleming.
E. M. De C. Short, Esq., C.C.S.
G. J. A. Skeen, Esq.

Excavations at Anurâdhapura and Tissamahârâma.

The Governor:—Before we proceed to the ordinary business before us on the Paper, there are two announcements which I wish to make to the Members of the Society. One is in reference to a work which was undertaken on behalf of the Society by a certain number of its Members with regard to archaeological explorations at Anurâdhapura. I wish to inform the Members that the work of the excavation of the dagoba, which was interrupted for some time by unavoidable circumstances, was commenced satisfactorily a short time ago, and the trench around the dagoba has been completely opened, and they are now entering upon the most interesting part of the business. The other announcement, or rather intimation, I have to make is, that I wish to lay on the table an extremely interesting and very valuable report by Mr. Parker upon the archaeological discoveries made in the course of excavations at Tissamahârâma. It is too long a Paper to read, but it is extremely interesting, and I wish to lay it on the table.

Mr. Parker’s Report.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson thought it would be a pity that Mr. Parker’s valuable report should be lost, as it would be in a sense, were it not printed. He suggested that it should be sent to the Indian Antiquary, which paper, he thought, would be very glad to print it, and would also have the machinery for lithographing the very interesting drawings by which the report is accompanied—drawings of primitive tools, &c.

Messrs. J. B. Cull and Wm. Ferguson inquired whether the local Society would be likely to get copies of the report when published.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson replied they could make some arrangements about that.

The Governor thought if they could get it printed here it would be the best thing; but even then it would be difficult to get the lithographing done.
Mr. J. B. Cull suggested that the report should be offered to the *Antiquary* on condition that the Society was to receive a certain number of printed copies.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—I have no doubt we can make arrangements about that.

**Proposed Sinhalese Dictionary: Letter from Dr. Rost.**

Hon. J. F. Dickson:—I wish to read to the Society an extract from a letter which Dr. Rost has sent me. He says:—"Is there no chance of a new Sinhalese Dictionary being taken in hand, which shall pay due attention to etymological definitions as well as proverbs, &c.? Such a work would mark an epoch in Oriental philology, which would be worth all the combined efforts of your Asiatic Society." Several members have before this spoken to me on the subject, and I thought it could not be better brought before the Society than by my reading to you that extract from Dr. Rost's letter.

The Lord Bishop of Colombo:—If we, in Ceylon, do not do it, it is difficult to see who else is to do it, and I think we ought, if possible, not to let it drop without an effort to stimulate those who are competent to take it up, or, at any rate, some part of it. I think myself that what is necessary before there can be, though there may be hereafter, a scientific dictionary of the Sinhalese language—which would be available not only for use in regard to the Sinhalese language, but would be an important contribution to the science of philology—is that, as a preliminary some texts should be thoroughly studied and glossarized. I think if a considerable number of those who are students of the Sinhalese language in this country were each to adopt, for his own part, some limited text, and undertake to see it either well written out or printed, and to make a complete glossary of it, the foundation for a scholarly-like treatment of the language would be laid. I would be willing, for my own part, to undertake to make a complete glossary of every word in some small text, so that, hereafter, those who are thoroughly masters of the language will be able by this glossary to discover where a word is to be found, and classify its meanings. I think that if we were to wait till some one who is sufficiently master of the language takes the project in hand we should wait for ever, but if we were to begin to accumulate the materials now, we may really advance the project and stimulate the study.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson:—Mr. Ranasingha, have you nothing to say to help us?

Mr. Ranasingha:—I have been preparing a dictionary for some time, and collecting from most of the works I have met with.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson:—With reference to the passages in which they occurred?

Mr. Ranasingha:—Well, no. I gave all the Elu words only, with their meanings in Sinhalese, with a reference to the passages in which they occurred. Of course I shall be very glad to allow the Society the use of it.
The Hon. Mr. Dickson:—Mr. Donald Ferguson, I think, has some suggestions to make.

Mr. D. W. Ferguson:—All I have to say is, that we have a very good model before us in the Philological Society's English Dictionary, the first part of which has been published. I daresay some of the Members of the Society may have seen it. That is a really historical and scientific dictionary, and the first one that has ever appeared on such a basis. Of course, we can hardly aim at such a large and extensive work as that. I know the materials for that dictionary have been accumulating for the last twenty-five years, and it is only recently that the Clarendon Press have taken it up. Now, at last, it is likely to be published, but it will take ten years at least before it is finished. I think that any dictionary which should be prepared should be on that basis, historical and scientific, and give a quotation from each century in which the word occurs. Of course, there were an immense number of works read in it. I did a little myself towards helping by reading a few books on Ceylon, and sending any strange words which occurred to the editor, Dr. Murray. I know there were a large number of readers throughout the world, and many million slips were sent in. I think it is on such a basis that the proposed dictionary should be made.

The Secretary:—I do not think we are prepared even to lay the basis of such an extensive work as a good scientific Sinhalese dictionary would be, for some few months yet. The Society, as a whole, has not yet undertaken a large amount of work, enough to absorb the leisure time of most of the working Members. I would suggest that Members who are willing to offer their assistance should hold a preliminary meeting to discuss the question, and the outcome of the conference might be some settled line of action, with which to come before the Society again at a more opportune time.

The Governor thought there was a good deal of force in the suggestion made by the Bishop of Colombo as to the advisability of accumulating, little by little, a store of most valuable materials for such a work.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson:—There is no doubt we shall have to accumulate materials very carefully, and that must be the work of some years; but there is no reason why we should not begin at once. One of the best dictionaries extant is Liddell and Scott's Greek Lexicon, and that was prepared very much, though not exactly, in the way sketched by the Bishop. Two Greek scholars took standard works, and made a special study of them. Each took a section of the alphabet, but both read the same work through. As the Bishop says, the first thing is to accumulate materials by making glossaries, and I understand him to mean—though he did not say so—with reference to the positions in which the words will be found, so that those who join together in compiling the dictionary will have the materials prepared for them. We have an admirable glossary in Clough's dictionary; but it has no pretensions to philological accuracy. I think there will be many Members
who will undertake to read up certain books, and read them with reference to those words. There are Mr. Ranasingha, Mr. Gunaratna, Mr. Donald Ferguson, and many others. The stories of the different Hațanas, or wars, in Ceylon are worth reading, and give a peculiar insight into the language. If these were written and glossarised, they would not only help the beginner, but illustrate the history of the country in a very peculiar way. I think we might ask the Secretary to communicate with the Members. I do not quite agree with Mr. Donald Ferguson. I think we cannot attempt anything like what he indicates, but I think we can produce a good dictionary of the Sinhalese language. I believe many Members in the room could join in the work, if they would only begin at once.

Mr. J. B. Cull:—I think it will be advisable to form a Committee of those competent to begin at once.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—It may, perhaps, be a very good thing to do so.

Mr. J. B. Cull:—I mean, not leaving it to the Members generally to do, but naming a special Committee who would begin at once.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—My idea was that the Secretary should write to each Member, and ask him if he will take up a certain work, &c.; but perhaps it would be better to name a Committee. Would you name one?

Mr. J. B. Cull:—I don’t know the Members who are competent to undertake it. I only threw out the suggestion.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—Oh! I could name nine or ten Members at once. I would name the Bishop of Colombo, Messrs. Gunaratna, Gunetilleke, D. W. Ferguson, and many others.

Further conversation ensued, in the course of which Mr. W. P. Ranasingha inquired whether Sanskrit and Pāli words used in Sinhalese works should be included in the dictionary.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson replied that that was a question which must be left to the editor. It was a question of usage, and one which could not be answered arbitrarily.

The Lord Bishop of Colombo remarked that the work was one which could be done not only by a master of the language, but by a learner also; almost all the Members of the Civil Service who were reading through Sinhalese works might do it.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—I named the Committee off-hand, which comprises men of different abilities. They have, of course, power to add to their number.

Mr. J. B. Cull suggested that the Rev. S. Coles should be added to the Committee, as they would require not only classical Sinhalese but idiomatic Sinhalese.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—Mr. Coles would be a great addition to the Committee. He will bring in a phase of Sinhalese which was not included.

After some further conversation the following were appointed a Committee, with power to add to their number, for the purpose of carrying out the formation of glossaries, as suggested by the
Bishop, and any other steps that might appear to them to be conducive to the preparation of a dictionary of the Sinhalese language: 

Mr. Burrows' Guide-Book to Anurâdhapura.

Hon. J. F. Dickson:—I should like to read the following extract from an extremely interesting letter from Mr. Burrows, in which he says:—"I have almost finished a Visitor's Guide to Anurâdhapura. I should be very glad to have it published under the auspices of the Society. Do you think the Society will be inclined to meet the expenses of publishing, which will be Rs. 50 or Rs. 60?"

Mr. J. B. Cull:—It may be as well to see the Guide-Book before we publish it.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—Perhaps you have seen Mr. Burrows' Guide-Book to Kandy. If it is done as well as that it will be worth publishing.

The Governor thought that no money should be given from the fund raised for the excavations at Anurâdhapura. Subscriptions were raised for that special purpose, and he thought it would not be fair to direct any portion of that fund towards any other purpose.

After some discussion it was resolved to write and ask for the book, in order that the Society may be able to judge as to its being published.

The Kandyam Game of An-keliya.

Mr. F. H. Price read Mr. C. J. R. Le Mesurier's Paper on the Kandyam Game of An-keliya, of which the following is a brief summary:

The Sinhalese game of an-êdïmâ, or horn-pulling, by Mr. Le Mesurier, c.c.s., is a detailed account of a national game of the Sinhalese, which was briefly described by the late Mr. L. Ludovici, and published in the Society's Journal for 1873. Mr. Le Mesurier describes the game as he saw it played whilst on circuit in Udâpalâta, in the Kandy District of the Central Province. It was, and is still, for the most part, a religious game, sacred to the goddess Pattini, and is usually performed on the occasion of some epidemic ascribed to her interference. Though seldom witnessed in modern times, it was formerly the one great national game of the Sinhalese, and was performed in many places on an important scale, and in the presence of thousands of spectators. The author of this Paper has not been able to ascertain the true origin of the game as a mere material pastime, but, in its light of a religious observance, he gathered the following mythical account:—

The goddess Pattini was engaged one day with her husband, Pâlapâ, gathering sapu flowers. To enable them to reach the
flowers they used long hooked sticks, and whilst thus occupied their sticks became entangled with each other, so that they were unable to withdraw them from the branch of the sapu tree. Whilst pondering over their difficulty the three sons of Maha Vishnu came by, and on being appealed to by the goddess, they seized the ends of the two sticks, and breaking the crook of the husband's stick, liberated both. The goddess is said to have been so pleased that she suggested the institution of a game after the moral of what had then taken place. Since that time, whenever it is desired to appease the goddess, who is supposed to bring epidemics, the people resort to the game instituted by her. The description given of the mode by which the horns are fixed, and of the method by which the two opposing parties engaged in this game of strength,—for it does not appear to be a question of skill,—is of a very elaborate kind, and not easily explained in a condensed account. It must suffice if we say that the losing party has to submit to the most insulting conduct on the part of the winners, who taunt them with the most unpleasant jibes and jeers, and which they are not expected to resent. Sometimes, however, the patience and temper of some amongst the defeated party impel them to retaliate, which probably leads to reprisals, ending in a free fight all round; but, whatever the result, no appeal is ever made to the courts for redress. The losing party is, however, allowed another trial with a fresh horn, when, perhaps, fortune favours the other side; if not, the winners carry off their trophy—the unbroken horn—to the nearest "dewale," where it is deposited with much rejoicing. It appears that the same members of each side invariably take part in that particular party, never changing sides. So strictly is this rule observed that they do not even intermarry, save on very rare occasions. In the game, as described in this Paper, taken part in by so many, the crooked roots of trees are bound together by jungle rope into what was termed an "an-edima."

The Secretary:—I should like to explain that this model [on the table] was made by a carpenter near here from the description of the game as given in the Paper. It seems to me that the game is not unlike our tug-of-war, except that the winning side is not the strongest side, but the side which has the strongest horn. I have had some horns made here, which, though small, have been made out of the roots of the wood andara, that is specially used for this purpose. Mr. Wm. Ferguson, in a very useful work on the timber trees of Ceylon, refers to the andara as the hardest wood in this Island. It is evidently for that reason that the wood of these trees is used. If anybody would like to see the game being played, perhaps they would be glad to hear that one is going to be played at a village called Bemmulla, near Veyangoda station. I shall be glad to take anybody there who likes to see the game actually played. The villagers here say that the game is mostly used in time of cattle murrain, or when there is a failure of the paddy crop. But they add, rather naively, and with the intent to
oblige, that if the game cannot do any good, it is a good game, and can do no harm. I fancy gentlemen would like to make this trip, and possibly combine a little snipe-shooting with an archaeological journey to the top of Attanagalla. If any care to go I will try and fix a date which will suit all concerned. There is a gentleman in the room who tells me that his father and mother belonged to the Udupila faction, in the traditional fights in the village. Although, I daresay, he has not played the game, it is well known in his village. It is played even in the villages of which Colombo is composed.

The Governor:—In your model there is a bit of machinery which I do not see mentioned in the Paper. It is a sort of stay to the lever.

The Secretary:—It is a modification that is used here to strengthen the leverage of the henakanda, or, as they call it walikanda. It is an extra stay, that makes the leverage stronger, and is styled walikoṭuwa.

**The Kāli Kövila of Bentōta.**

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—There is not time this evening to read the next Paper, an Account of the Kāli Kövila of Bentōta, by Muda-liyār Jayawardana: the Paper will be laid on the table. There are also on the table some coins which have been mentioned by the Mudaliyār, kindly lent us by Mr. Haly for this evening, and they have been labelled by the Secretary.

**The Jātakas.**

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—The next subject is the study of the Jātakas by the Society at large. It is proposed to adhere to the following programme at subsequent Meetings. I may say in connection with the second head of the programme that the Circular sent round by the Secretary has been very fully responded to on almost all points, but remarkably on this first point, which was specially put in for the sake of those who are not acquainted with Oriental languages. We have nothing at present, and it is hoped very much that at one of these subsequent Meetings some Members who have great literary powers, but not very great acquaintance with Oriental languages, will join us in contributing some valuable Papers on the subject of the review of Mr. Rhys Davids' Introduction. With the assistance of the Bishop and Panabokke Ratēmahatmayā the whole fifty Jātakas are now available. We hope some Members will take up the popular side of the subject; so far, attention has been given to the classical and scholastic side. The Bishop has kindly prepared a Paper which will explain more minutely what I have said.

The Lord Bishop of Colombo:—What I have put down is little more than what the President has said in other words.

The Secretary:—I am glad to be able to remark that I have received several Papers already on the subject of the Jātakas, and
I have a promise of three or four more, which shows that the Society has responded very liberally to the appeal made to it.

Hon. Mr. Dickson:—The Society is very much indebted to the Bishop for the suggestion that we should take up the Jātakas in this way. He has taken the greatest interest in it, and has kindly put it before us in a fair and practical way. It is now for the Society to respond to the very good suggestions he has made. There is one subject which, unfortunately, has caused much surprise to the Committee, because, being a most popular subject, it was thought it would be most readily taken up, and therefore it was not thought necessary to inquire specially who were dealing with it. The Committee hope very much that before these Meetings are at an end we shall have interesting Papers reviewing Mr. Davids' Introduction, concerning which there is a great deal to say. It is a clever Introduction, but it is open to criticism in many respects. With the very interesting way in which the fifty Jātakas are summarised in regard to animals, moral truths, and other points, which the Bishop admirably put before us, we quite hope that at the next Meeting some Members will give us some valuable contributions on the subject, in addition to the Papers already promised.

**THE NEXT MEETING: THE PRESENCE OF LADIES.**

Hon. J. F. Dickson:—Tuesday, the 14th of this month, is the date proposed to be fixed for the next Meeting, which is to be devoted entirely to the Jātakas. It is proposed to begin the Meeting at the same hour—8.30 P.M.—and at this place.

Mr. J. B. Cull thought it would be a good plan to invite ladies to attend the Meetings. At present few ladies came, because it was not known whether their presence would be acceptable.

The Governor:—I am afraid there is not much to attract ladies, but if any ladies should come, I think our proceedings will be greatly enlivened by their presence.

Mr. J. B. Cull suggested that a great deal of the preliminary business, which now takes up so much time, should be previously disposed off, so that by 8.30 P.M. they should be able to begin the business of the evening.

The Hon. Mr. Dickson explained that there would be not much preliminary business to be done at the next four Meetings. The present Meeting had been held after a long interval, and that was one reason why there was so much preliminary business to be disposed off. He did not think the preliminary business at the next Meeting would take up more than five minutes. It is hoped that there will be a great deal of general discussion, and that a great many Members will come prepared to discuss the questions.

The proceedings then terminated.
ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY (CEYLON BRANCH).

GENERAL MEETING.
14th October, 1884, 8.30 p.m., Reading Room of the Museum.

Present:
The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.
W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O., Vice-President.

H. P. Baumgartner, Esq., C.C.S. | Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
J. Capper, Esq. | A. P. Shamsuddeen, Esq.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S. | H. Sumangala Terunnáusé.

W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

Two visitors introduced.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of General Meeting, 4th October, 1884.

2.—The following gentlemen were then elected Members:—
The Hon. F. C. H. Clarke, R.A., C.M.G.
G. W. Templar, Esq., C.C.S.
Wasqaquwe Subhuti Terunnáusé.

3.—The Secretary reported that, in reply to a letter from this Society warmly recommending Dr. Murdoch's proposal for legislation, with a view to the regulation and preservation of publications in Ceylon, the Government had intimated that the recommendation of Dr. Murdoch would shortly be embodied in an Ordinance based on the Indian Act XXV. of 1867.*

The Bishop of Colombo read a Paper in review of Professor J. W. Rhys Davids' Buddhist Birth-stories. The Paper, which was one of the most able ever read before the Society, did not confine itself to a severe but just criticism on the book, but dwelt more minutely on the origin of the Játaaka stories as traced to the Gáthá rhymes, diverted of any of the additions and interpolations which appear in the Játaaka Book (so-called) as edited in the fifth and sixth century A.D. It is to be regretted that Mr. Davids, in producing his really scholarly volume, was not in full possession of the works in Sinhalese and Páli, which throw so much light upon the history of these Birth-stories of Buddha.

Then followed a Paper by Mr. M. M. Künte on the same subject, or rather extracts from it, which did not compare favourably with the Bishop's lucid exposition. Mr. Künte, after an excursus on the parallel customs existing among the Jains and Bráhmanas of Continental India, proceeded to an

* An Ordinance on the basis of Dr. Murdoch's recommendation (No. 1 of 1885) was passed in the ensuing Session.
analysis of the typical Játaka story. But he attributed its origin not to an ancient rhyme, amplified as years rolled on, but to a dual rendering of the stories of the time in the monasteries and among the people. These parallel stories became stereotyped finally in the form of sermons, which the priests preached to the laity. A conversation ensued on the topic raised in the Papers, in which Sumangala Terunnánse, the Bishop, Mr. W. P. Ranasingha, and Mr. Dickson took part.

5.—Before the proceedings terminated, the President announced that the next Meeting would take place on the 4th November, at the same hour and place, and that he would read his own contribution to the study of the Játakas, viz., a Paper on the popular acceptance of Játakas, as shown in sculptures and picture-stories.

Committee Meeting.

1st November, 1884, 3 p.m., at the Council Chamber.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.

T. Berwick, Esq. S. Green, Esq.
J. Capper, Esq. J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A. Ph. Freudenberg, Esq.
W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of Meeting of the 23rd September, 1884.

2.—The Honorary Secretary laid on the table specimen sheets, in MS., of Dr. Trimen’s Systematic Catalogue of the Flowering Plants and Ferns indigenous to or growing wild in Ceylon, and read a letter from Dr. Trimen presenting the work to the Society.

Resolved,—That an edition of 500 copies be printed, and that the Government be requested kindly to extend to this publication the privileges already allowed, in the Government Printing Department, to the regular publications of the Society.

Resolved further,—That the thanks of the Society are due to Dr. Trimen for the preparation of this valuable and much needed work.

3.—The Honorary Secretary read the Report on the Society’s Library, by Messrs. Berwick and Davidson, the Sub-Committee appointed by Resolution 4 of the General Meeting of the 25th March last.

Resolved,—That the Report be circulated among the Members of the Committee for an expression of their opinions, and that the subject be again brought up at the next Committee Meeting.

4.—Considered arrangements proposed for dealing with the
Paper on the Archæology of Tissamaharama, by Mr. Parker, presented to the Society.

Resolved,—That the Government be requested kindly to allow of the publication of this Paper at the Government Printing Press, under the same privileges as those allowed to other publications of the Society.

5.—Considered letter from the Rev. Mr. Lapham to the Honorary Secretary, enclosing specimen, in MS., of an English-Sinhalese Dictionary, commenced by the Rev. Mr. Carter, and suggesting co-operation between the Sub-Committee appointed under Resolution 6 of the General Meeting of the 4th October last, and Mr. Carter.

Resolved,—That the Honorary Secretary do point out to the Rev. Mr. Lapham that the dictionary which the Society proposed to undertake is a Sinhalese dictionary on scientific principles, and that there will be hardly anything in common between it and the useful work which Mr. Carter has undertaken.

6.—Read a letter from Mr. Fowler, c.c.s., to the Honorary Secretary, to the effect that the writer disclaims certain statements made on his authority at the General Meeting held on the 4th October, 1884.

Resolved,—That the President do give publicity to the disclaimer at the next General Meeting.

The Meeting then terminated.

General Meeting.

4th November, 1884, 8.30 p.m., at the Reading Room of the Museum.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.

H. P. Baumgartner, Esq., C.C.S. | W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S.
T. Berwick, Esq. | H. W. Green, Esq., C.C.S.
W. J. S. Boake, Esq. | S. Green, Esq.
A. M. Ferguson, Esq., C.M.G. | J. H. Thwaites, Esq., M.A.
D. W. Ferguson, Esq. | J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.

G. E. Worthington, Esq., C.C.S.
W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.
Ten ladies present; four visitors introduced.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of General Meeting of 14th October, 1884.
2. The following gentlemen were then elected Members:—
   H. Bois, Esq.
   C. E. H. Symons, Esq.
   J. F. Garvin, Esq., M.B.

3. The Hon. J. F. Dickson then proceeded to read portions of a Paper prepared by him on the popular acceptance of the Jātakas as shown in pictures, stories, and sculptures. A very large collection of coloured Jātaka pictures, such as are often seen on temple walls, beautifully and elaborately executed, were laid on both sides of the long reading table and suspended from the walls, presenting a faithful representation of some of the more noteworthy incidents connected with Buddha’s Birth-stories. These had all been executed by Kandyan artists, some being copies, others originals, but all replete with much historical association, and some presenting subject enough for an entire lecture by one so thoroughly versed in the subject as the President.

The Jātakas illustrated on the table and round the room were the Devadamma Jātakam, Dharmapāla Jātakam, Kaṭṭahārī Jātakam, and Khadirangara Jātakam. There was a very handsome lithograph, on a large scale, from the sculptures of Bharhut (300 B.C.), depicting the purchase, by the rich merchant Anāthapindiko, of the garden of Prince Jetā, on which was built the Jētavana Vihārā (vide Cunningham’s Bharhut Sculptures, plate lvii.) In the course of his remarks, Mr. Dickson laid stress upon the fact that not until a lapse of from three hundred to four hundred years after the death of Gautama was any temple to or figure of the Buddha known. With reference to figures of the Buddha, the people make obeisance and offer flowers to or before them, but not prayers. The formula of the address in these cases is:—“By my faith in the virtues of Buddha, &c., may I attain, &c.” The Bishop, in a few remarks made at the conclusion of the Paper, said that he was convinced that Mr. Rhys Davids was in error in identifying the “Wheel of Life” and the “Chaitrya” as Buddhist in their origin. It would be found that these had existence long previously to that date. In conclusion, the President remarked that he had no doubt that dagobas had originally had existence in modified forms, as tombs for saintly characters, in ages long past.

The Meeting was brought to a close with a vote of thanks to the Chairman.

General Meeting.
1st December, 1884, 8.30 p.m., at the Reading Room of the Museum.

Present:
His Excellency the Hon. A. H. Gordon, G.C.M.G., in the Chair.
(In attendance, Major L. F. Knollys and the Rev. Mr. Ash.)
The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President of the Society.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.
P. D. Anthonisz, Esq., M.D. | H. MacVicar, Esq., F.L.S.
T. Berwick, Esq. | J. D. Mason, Esq., C.C.S.
H. Bois, Esq. | E. F. Perera, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A. | W. Subhūti Terunnāse.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S. | J. H. Thwaites, Esq., M.A.
Hon. F. Fleming. | H. Trimen, Esq., M.B.
Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq. | J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O., | J. G. Wardrop, Esq.
Vice-President.

Hon. F. M. Mackwood.

W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

Eight ladies present; five visitors introduced.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of last General Meeting, 4th November, 1884.

2.—The following gentlemen were then elected Members:—
Hon. F. M. Mackwood. | W. H. Wright, Esq.

Before proceeding with the business on the Paper, the Governor said that it might be of interest to Members to learn what progress was being made with the excavations undertaken at Anurādhapura on account of the Society. It had been expected that, as in other instances, the explorations would have led to the discovery of the usual chapel. This, however, had not been the case, but the excavators had, instead, come across some beautiful mouldings in chunam in an excellent state of preservation. His Excellency had likewise received from the Assistant Agent at Anurādhapura an account of a very interesting discovery of an ancient sword, taken from the excavations now being made in connection with the works at Yódā-ēla and the Kalāwewa tank.

The Bishop then proceeded with the reading of a Paper on the moral, literary, and historical value of the first fifty stories of the Jātaka Book, which he succeeded in making most interesting from the popular manner in which he dealt with the stories in question, classifying them into fables, stories, and legends. Some of the fables were applicable to humanity at large, and dealt with the instincts and habits of animals in a very interesting manner. On the other hand, many of them could only be looked upon as Buddhist legends, and could not be regarded as parts of universal folk-lore. There were but few comic stories, and only one fairy-tale. The collection must therefore be regarded as distinctly Indian, local, and Buddhist. Of the whole, ten may be called fables, seventeen are tales relating to animals, six are tales of travel and business life. The fairy-tale is purely Buddhist, but it is blended with much that is foreign in its nature; it is, in
short, an Oriental version of the story of Ulysses. The further the study of Buddhist literature is pursued, the more closely it will appear that Greek culture had something to do with stimulating the wonderful and sudden burst of art and literature which gave shape to Buddhism, and culminated in the sculptures of Barhut. A beautiful little statue in the Calcutta Museum is typical of such legend. It is a finished work of Greek art—a statue of Hercules. Among many others it stands out as purely Greek; but Buddhism has laid a claim upon it, for while the lion’s skin hangs over one shoulder of the figure, on the other shoulder has been engraved a lotus. The Paper, which was listened to with great attention, will prove a most interesting addition to the Society’s publications.

At its conclusion, the President (Hon. J. F. Dickson), remarking on the obligation under which the Bishop has laid the Society by the analytical acumen he had brought to bear on Jàtaka stories, said that whilst on a former occasion it had been shown them that the Bódhisat was canonized by the Eastern and Western Churches as St. Josephat, the Bishop now showed that he had been able to identify the adventures of Ulysses with one of the Jàtaka stories. The editing and publication of these Papers, and the connecting discussion, would be of great public interest, and he trusted the Bishop would undertake the task of editing them for the Society.

Mr. Kanasiipha’s Paper on the Páli of the Jàtaka and the opinions of scholars as to its date, was then read by him, whilst that of Sumangala Terunnáse was read by Mr. Dickson, and this brought the proceedings to a close. The President announced that the Anniversary Meeting, for receiving the annual address and for the election of office-bearers, would take place on the evening of Monday, the 22nd December, 1884.

Committee Meeting.

6th December, 1884, 3 p.m. at the Council Chamber.

Present:

The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President, in the Chair.
J. Capper, Esq. J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.
W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of Meeting of 1st November, 1884.

Resolved unanimously,—That the Bishop of Colombo be requested kindly to undertake the work of editing the Papers contributed to the study of Jàtakas 1-50.

Resolved further,—That the study of the Jàtakas be again systematically pursued next year, and that the special study be
Jâtakas 51–150 in Oldenberg’s edition, the Meetings on the subject to commence in September, 1885.

2.—The Honorary Secretary submitted the Library Report, which was just returned from circulation.

Resolved,—That the Honorary Secretary do précis the remarks of the Committee, and submit again at next Meeting.

3.—On consideration of the matter of the annual report for this year, to be read before the Annual Meeting, it was resolved that the Honorary Secretary do draft a report for circulation.

Resolved,—That the following list of Office-bearers be submitted to the Annual Meeting for election, with the recommendation of the Committee:

President.—The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G.

W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O.

Vice-Presidents.—The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo, D.D.

Hon. Treasurer.—J. G. Dean, Esq.

Hon. Secretaries.—H. C. P. Bell, Esq., C.C.S.

W. E. Davidson, Esq., C.C.S.

Committee.

T. Berwick, Esq. | Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
J. Capper, Esq. | S. Green, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A. | W. P. Ranasighe, Esq.
D. W. Ferguson, Esq. | H. Trimen, Esq., M.B.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.

Reading Committee.—

T. Berwick, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A.
F. H. Price, Esq., C.C.S.

COMMITEE MEETING.

19th December, 1884, 5.15 p.m., at the United Service Library.

Present:

J. Capper, Esq., in the Chair.

Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq. | Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D. | W. E. Davidson, Esq., Hon. Sec.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of 3rd December, 1884.

2.—Submitted draft of the Committee’s Report for 1884, to be laid before the Society at the Annual General Meeting on the 22nd December. The draft having being read and discussed, was, with certain modifications, passed.
ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING.
22nd December, 1884, at the Reading Room of the Colombo Museum.

Present:
His Excellency the Hon. A. H. Gordon, G.C.M.G., in the Chair.
The Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President of the Society.
H. P. Baumgartner, Esq., C.C.S.
T. Berwick, Esq.
Hon. R. A. Bosanquet.
J. Capper, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A.
W. Ferguson, Esq., F.L.S.
Hon. F. Fleming.
Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
Staniforth Green, Esq., F.L.S.
W. R. Kynsey, Esq., P.C.M.O., Vice-President.
H. MacVicar, Esq.
Hon. R. W. D. Moir.
E. F. Perera, Esq.
H. Sumangala Terunnánse.
H. Trimen, Esq., M.B.
H. Vace, Esq., C.C.S.
W. E. Davidson, Esq., Honorary Secretary.

Five ladies present; eight visitors introduced.

Business.

1.—Read and confirmed Minutes of General Meeting of 1st December, 1884.

2.—Laid on the table List of Books received since last General Meeting.

3.—The Hon. R. A. Bosanquet proposed, and Mr. E. F. Perera seconded, the election of the following gentlemen to serve as Office-bearers for the ensuing year, 1885. Carried nem. con.

Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President.
The Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Colombo and W. R. Kynsey, Esq., Vice-Presidents.
J. G. Dean, Esq., Honorary Treasurer.

Committee.

T. Berwick, Esq.
J. Capper, Esq.
J. B. Cull, Esq., M.A.
D. W. Ferguson, Esq.
Ph. Freüdenberg, Esq.
Staniforth Green, Esq., F.L.S.
W. P. Ranasingha, Esq.
H. Trimen, Esq., M.B.
J. L. Vanderstraaten, Esq., M.D.

4.—Mr. Davidson, the Secretary, read the annual report for the year closing, the adoption of which was proposed by the Hon. F. Fleming, seconded by Mr. H. P. Baumgartner, and carried nem. con.

5.—The President read his address, and a vote of thanks was moved by Mr. W. R. Kynsey, and seconded by Mr. Freüdenberg.
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE FOR THE YEAR 1884.

Read at the Annual Meeting, 22nd December, 1884.

1. Your Committee, in reporting briefly the annals of 1884, venture to congratulate the Society on a continuance of the activity and well-being which have characterised the last few years of its history; and that this success is recognised outside the Society is proved by the steadily increasing number of learned bodies which have invited an exchange of publications, and by the accessions which have taken place during 1884 to the list of Members.

2. Members.—If the increase in the number of Members can be looked on as the barometer of public opinion in Ceylon as regards this Society, the conclusion is really satisfactory. Four Members have retired during the year, for various causes; death has robbed us of one of the most learned and most respected of our fellow-workers, the late Maha Mudaliyär, Louis De Zoysa. But, on the other hand, twenty gentlemen have been elected during 1884, several of whom have already been active in their contributions to the Society. The number of Members, including 9 Life and 3 Honorary, is now 143, the largest yet recorded.

3. Papers.—While these figures show that the outside public recognises the usefulness of the Society, the following list of Papers read, and of Papers received but not as yet read, will at least testify to its vitality and industry.

The ten Papers read at Meetings during the year were:—

(a) "The Language of the Threshing-floor," by Mr. J. P. Lewis, M.A., C.C.S.
(b) "Notes on Beligala in Four Kóralés," by Mr. R. W. Ievers, M.A., C.C.S.
(c) "An account of the Capture of Colombo by the British, in 1796, being a translation from the French of Mons. de La Thombe," by the late Colonel A. B. Fyers, R.E.
(d) "The Sinhalese Game of Ap-keliya," by Mr. C. J. R. Le Mesurier, F.G.S., F.A.S., C.C.S.
(e) "Introduction to the Study of the Játakas," by the Bishop of Colombo.
(f) "Analysis and Chronology of the Játakas," by Professor M. M. Künbc.
(g) "Review of Professor Rhys Davids' Book, Buddhist Birth-stories," by the Bishop of Colombo.
(h) "The Popular acceptance of the Játakas as shown in
the scriptures and in picture-stories," by the Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President of the Society.

(i) "The Pālī, and Date of the Jātkas, and opinions," (1) by Mr. W. P. Ranasingha, (2) by H. Sumangala Terunnānse.

(j) "The Moral, Literary, and Historical value of the First Fifty Stories of the Jātaka Book," by the Bishop of Colombo.

The Papers still unread, some of which must be reserved for reading at Meetings next year, and all of which must appear in the Journals for the year, are as follows:

(a) "Note on the Kāli Kovila at Bentota," by A. Jayawardena, Mudaliyār.

(b) "Text of the Jātaka pela sanne, or Jātakagāthāsanne, with notes," by the Hon. J. F. Dickson, M.A., C.M.G., President.

(c) "Analysis of the Gāmini and Kaṭṭhabāri Jātakas," by Professor M. M. Künte.

(d) "The Siyalese of the Jātakas," (1) by A. Jayawardana, Mudaliyār, (2) by W. P. Ranasingha.

(e) "Memorandum on the Date of the Jātakas," by the President.

(f) "The Gāthās, and their relation to the Stories," by the Bishop of Colombo.

(g) "Enumeration of Plants indigenous to or growing wild in Ceylon," by Dr. H. Trimen, M.B., Director of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Péraldeniya.

(h) "Report on Archaeological Discoveries at Tissamaha-rāma," by Mr. H. Parker, F.R.S., F.G.S. Presented to the Society by Government.

(i) "An account by Saar of his service in Ceylon under the Dutch, 1647-1657"; translated from the Dutch by Mr. Philip Freüdenberg.

4. Meetings.—Six General Meetings, besides this Annual Meeting, have been held, latterly in the evening, in the Reading Room of the Museum Library, for the use of which we are indebted to the courtesy of the Museum Committee. These Meetings have proved a success, as will be shown by an average attendance of over thirty. It is proposed to continue them, as it is felt that the convenience of the greater number is consulted by this arrangement.

5. Publications.—It is a matter of much concern that the Journal for 1883 has not yet seen the light; this is owing to a contatenation of misfortunes. The unaccountable disappearance of two of the most valuable of our Papers—Mr. Dickson's Buddhist Ceremonies and Mr. J. P. Lewis' Ceylon Gipsies—was a serious loss, and a fruitless search for them wasted much time. Then certain changes in the Civil Service caused the removal from Colombo of Mr. H. C. P. Bell, the Secretary, and this has necessarily caused inconvenience to him in his editorial work,
apart from the loss his absence has inflicted on the Society. Finally, the great difficulty found in setting up Dr. E. Müller's contribution on Singhalese Inscriptions, combined with the heavy official demands on the Government Printing Press during the last quarter of the year, has caused an unlooked-for delay.

The number will be issued early in next year, and will be followed by the Proceedings for 1884 and an extra number of the Journal, to be devoted to the Játkas studies of the year; while the Journal for 1884 will be published in two, or possibly three parts.

The actual publications during the year have been the Proceed- ings for 1883; translation by the Bishop of Colombo of Játkas 41–50 (Fausboll's edition); and translations by T. B. Pánabokke Rátemahatmayá of portions omitted by Professor Rhys Davids in his translation of the first forty stories.

6. Játkas Meetings.—An attempt has been made to systematise the energies and learning of the Society by co-operation in some definite and suitable work; and the suggestion of the Bishop of Colombo that a joint study should be made of a portion of the Játkas Book has resulted in eliciting what it may be fairly anticipated will form an appreciable addition to the literature of Páli and Buddhism. The Bishop of Colombo, to whom much, indeed most, of the credit is due, has been asked by your Committee to edit the contributions which have been received, and this he has kindly consented to do. An extra number of the Journal will be devoted to this subject.

7. Archaeology.—Again, another combination, at the instance of His Excellency the Governor, was formed early in the year with a view of taking an active part in investigating the archaeo- logical remains of the historical ruins in the North-Central Province. As a commencement, the excavation of the unique Mirisvéti dágoba at Anurádhapura was decided on. One chapel of this dágoba has been excavated, and has led to the discovery of some carvings and sculptures which for beauty have not been excelled among the ancient monuments of Ceylon. So it was reasonably anticipated that the further excavation of the dágoba would lead to interesting and valuable results. A special subscription to meet the cost of the work was opened, and a sum of Rs. 875 was immediately subscribed in Colombo. Two checks were met with at the outset. The money collected was unfortunately deposited in the late Oriental Bank Corporation, and on the suspension of that Bank were lost for a time; and the further difficulty arose with the incumbent of the vihára to which the Mirisvéti dágoba belongs, who for a time withheld his consent to any excavations. These obstacles surmounted, work was commenced in August last, and the results will be duly communicated to the Society.

8. Finances.—Your Committee submit two balance sheets, prepared by Mr. J. G. Dean, the Honorary Treasurer. The first
of these shows that the receipts for the year, Rs. 1,000, has been almost entirely devoted to liquidating debts outstanding at the beginning of the year. The greatest economy has been exercised, the purchase of books being nearly wholly stopped. There is, and this is a matter of much concern, about Rs. 600 of subscriptions still outstanding, and this notwithstanding in some cases as many as eight reminders. Had these subscriptions been paid in the course of the year the Society would now have been free from debt, and the Treasurer would have been saved much labour and inconvenience. Another year we trust will see the Society in a sound financial state.

9. The second balance sheet shows the accounts of the Excavation Fund. Rs. 875 was subscribed and Rs. 840 paid on this account. Unfortunately, the bulk of this is locked up in the late Oriental Bank Corporation, but sufficient has been collected since May to enable the work to proceed, and a dividend from the Bank will shortly put this account in funds again.

10. At the suspension of the Bank, the Society had Rs. 783.69 to its credit; the question of realising or not was discussed by your Committee, and it was decided to wait rather than to realise at a possible loss.

11. There is little else to add. The Society's Library has received special attention, and a Sub-Committee was appointed to examine into its condition. Their report has been laid before the Committee, and is now receiving full consideration.

12. A meed of thanks is owing more especially to the Governor, who has not only, as the Society's Patron, taken an interest in its work and done much to widen its scope, but in allowing the Society a renewal of its old privileges as regards the use of the Government Printing Department, has relieved the finances of the Society of a burden which they were not able to bear. But besides, His Excellency, by his personal attendance at the Meetings of the Society and by his active participation in its transactions, has stimulated the energies of all and encouraged further industry.

At the termination of the report, its adoption was proposed by the Hon. F. Fleming, seconded by Mr. H. P. Baumgartner, c.c.s., and carried.

The President then rose to read the annual address, which was received with applause, and on its termination a vote of thanks was passed, on the motion of Dr. W. R. Kyasey, seconded by Mr. Freüdenberg.

A vote of thanks to His Excellency for presiding brought the Meeting to a termination.
THE PRESIDENT'S ADDRESS.

22nd December, 1884.

It is now three years since the President of this Society addressed its Members at the close of the year. It is needless to explain how it has happened that this annual custom has been for two years intermitted. The last address followed, in its survey of the work of the year 1881, the order set out in the rules of our Society, declaring its design to be to institute and promote inquiries into the history, religion, arts, and social condition of the present and former inhabitants of the Island, with its geology and mineralogy, its climate and meteorology, its botany and zoology. It will be in accordance with precedent, and it will be convenient for purposes of comparison, to adhere to this order in a brief survey of the work accomplished or undertaken during the past three years, either by Members of our Society or by others interested in our design.

1.—History.

Of first importance is the publication by the Ceylon Government of the "Ancient Inscriptions in Ceylon," edited by Dr. Edward Müller. The collection of the inscriptions in Ceylon, much after the example of the Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, by General Cunningham, was commenced by Dr. Goldschmidt early in 1875, and just as he was beginning to see the fruits of his labours it was interrupted by his lamented death in May, 1877. It was resumed a year later by Mr. Müller, whose two volumes make a valuable contribution to the history of Ceylon, to Sinhalese grammar, and to the study of development of the Sinhalese alphabet. The inscriptions date from the first (or possibly from the second) century B.C. A fine example of the oldest inscriptions is in this Museum—a stone slab from the Ruwanweli dagoba of Anurâdhâpura. It is probably of the year 89 B.C., and is in the same alphabet as the inscriptions in the caves of Western India. The collection has been made as complete as possible down to the beginning of the sixteenth century. The oldest form of the alphabet in these inscriptions is the oldest form of the Asokâ alphabet, without any modifications except the angular shape of the s and the form of the m, which has the round appearance of the Asokâ alphabet, only in the cave inscriptions, and in all others the more angular one given in Burnell's S. I. P., plate XIII. This form continued in use to the beginning of the fourth century A.D. In the fourth or fifth century we find the beginning of a new round character, as the vowel i is not expressed by an angular line above the consonants, but by a curve; between the fifth and ninth centuries only occasional curves are found. In the tenth century the round character is well established, and from that time it has undergone but little change to the present day; but certain characters, as a, k, m, have still an antiquated form in
the inscriptions of the eleventh century, and show the gradual change the alphabet has undergone. The inscriptions at Mihintalé are of considerable interest, as giving a detailed account of the life in Buddhist monasteries of the eleventh century; and King Parákrama Báhu's inscription at the Galvihrá at Pollonnáruwa not only gives an account of all he did for the benefit of the Buddhist religion, but contains quotations from Páli works, such as the "Dhammapada," and a list of Sinhalese religious works, as the "Mulasikka, Heranasikka," which still exist.

Two Sinhalese inscriptions have been contributed to our Journal by Mudaliyár Gunasékara: one is from the Ruwanwelí dagoba, giving an account of offerings to the dagoba about 1210 A.D., and the other is from an inscription at Pepliyána of about 1450 A.D. In this case, the stone has been broken up and built in detached fragments into a wall. The inscription, which is preserved by means of our Journal, records the erection and endowment of a Buddhist temple in memory of the deceased mother of King Parákrama Báhu VI., and throws considerable light on the social condition of the Island in the fifteenth century.

Mr. P. A. Templer has furnished a Paper, illustrated by valuable drawings of some ruins and inscriptions he discovered about ten or twelve miles from Pattalam. The principal building is elliptical in form, and appears to be precisely similar to the Waṭa dagoba of Pollonnáruwa. On the ruins of Pollonnáruwa, Mr. E. N. Gunaratna, Atapattu Mudaliyár, of Galle, has in preparation a Paper for our Society.

Mr. R. W. Ievers has contributed a note on Beligala. It is an interesting account of a striking rock-fortress closely connected with the history of the tooth-relic of the Buddhists, and we may hope that this note will be developed into an account of the wanderings of the tooth-relic since its arrival in Ceylon in 310 A.D.

As an extra number of our Journal, we have published a translation (from the French), by Mr. Albert Gray, of travels in the Maldive and Ceylon, by Ibn Batuta of Tangier, who, in 1343–44, in the course of his grand tour in "Great Arabia," passed eighteen months in the Atols. The natives welcomed him in Maori fashion as a Pakeha, and pressed him into their service as kadi. Their simplicity and humanity—for which they are to this day conspicuous—were noticed by this early traveller. Mr. Gray and Mr. H. C. P. Bell have on hand, for the Hakluyt Society, an annotated translation of Pyrard's "Voyages aux Indes Orientales." Pyrard's account of the Maldives, where he was kept prisoner for five years, 1602–07, is the best extant.

Mr. H. C. P. Bell has made a special study of the Maldives, and has written a report upon them which has been recently published by the Ceylon Government, and in addition to the new information acquired by himself, he has laid under contribution all the known authorities on the subject, and has illustrated his report with useful maps, a lithographed specimen of a Maldive letter,
and a photograph of coins. He promises to our Society a "Note on Maldivian Coins," and to the Indian Antiquary a note on the old Maldivian character. Mr. Bell is probably the only living European acquainted with the Maldivian language, the value of which for the study of Si̇halese and the Indian Prakrits has been recognised by Dr. E. Müller and Professor Kuhn. If, as may be hoped, Mr. Bell is able to make another and a more lengthened visit to the Maldives, he may give us the results of his examination of the Maldivian grammar and vocabulary, and be successful in following up the faint traces of Buddhism which are said to exist, in obtaining copies of the numerous ancient inscriptions on the walls and tombstones spoken of by more than one visitor, and in gaining access to the archives of the Sultan of the "12,000 isles," who, though he rules probably over not more than twenty or thirty thousand subjects, occupies one of the most ancient existing thrones in the East.

The last contribution, from the late Col. A. B. Fyers, R.E., to whom our Society is much indebted for its revival after the sleep into which it had fallen for five years, 1874-79, was read at a recent Meeting. It is a translation from the French account of Mons. de la Tombe, of the capture of Colombo by the English in 1796. It will be edited for our Journal by Mr. H. C. P. Bell, who proposes to show that Percival's account of the capture gives the true history of the event.

Mr. A. Jayawardana, Mudaliyar, has contributed a Paper on the Kālikovīla at Bentoṭa.

Archaeological researches continue to be pursued at Anurādhapura, where, by means of a subscription raised among Members of our Society, excavations are in progress to lay bare what remains of the Mīrisvēṭi dāgoba. The latest accounts state that a portion of the pediment and the bold mouldings at the base, with the original plaster casing of the bell of the dāgoba, have been uncovered and cleared of the débris which concealed them.

A valuable Paper, by Mr. H. Parker, on the archaeological discoveries made in the course of excavations at Tissamaharama, has been presented to our Society by the Governor. This Paper is the work of a diligent and enthusiastic scholar. The chronicles of the Si̇halese are very meagre in their accounts of the civilization in the south which existed contemporaneously with the more famous Anurādhapura. But Mr. Parker's researches throw much light on the subject, and his contribution to the chronology and topography of the Island is all the more interesting because the arguments are original and the conclusions they lead to are new.

The criticisms on the earlier chronology of the Mahāvaṃsa show once more, and very strongly, how untrustworthy are the dates which the chroniclers give to the most ancient of the line of kings. Granted that the lists of monarchs and the events of their reigns are as correct as legendary history can be, Mr. Parker demonstrates that dates have been fantastically fixed to suit some monastic notion of fitness. For instance, he shows, and in this he
proves in another way what Tournour surmised, that the date of Vijayo, to commence with, is untrustworthy. Ceylon chronicles have been of the utmost value in the history of the East, and no doubt Prinseo and H. H. Wilson spoke truly when they held the Sinhalese chronology the only trustworthy list of dates in India, but there is no doubt their admiration for the value of Tournour's discoveries would need to be much qualified as research grows more minute. Thus, Mr. Parker demonstrates the improbability of one king dying at the ripe old age of 204, and of another engaging in single combat at 75. In fact, he shows that the Sinhalese historians were as prone to exaggerate in composing the history of the good old times, as earliest chroniclers have been the world over.

Although the basis on which Mr. Parker re-arranges these earlier dates is not by any means indisputable, the subsequent steps in his chain of reasoning show much close study; and with his conclusion, that Vijayo's landing in Ceylon must date somewhere near 400 B.C., I believe most of us will agree. But fruitful subject for discussion as is the ancient chronology, this is not the time for a minute inquiry into it. I have no doubt that this part of Mr. Parker's Paper will challenge further discussion when it is printed and in the hands of Members.

Mr. Parker considers that he has found in Mágama, at the mouth of the Mágama or Kirindé-ganga, the site of the earliest colony in Ceylon—Tambapāni. To examine Mr. Parker's arguments in detail would take up much time, but there is no doubt he has made out a strong case, and his Paper tends still more to weaken the probability of Tambapanañi having been built on the Mí-oya near Puttalam.

Mr. Parker has made a careful collection of ancient remains brought to light in the deep cuttings made below the tank at Tissa. These are now stored in the Museum, and will well repay careful study. Mr. Parker's deductions from the remains he has brought to light are very ingenious; but unfortunately the most conclusive testimony—that afforded by the coins (nine in number) which have been found—is not forthcoming, because not one of the coins has yet been identified. It is possible the four oblong "coins," which are in wonderful preservation and very artistic in conception, were ornaments merely, and never intended to be coins as a medium of exchange. These were found buried under eighteen feet of débris, and must be very ancient. There ought to be little difficulty in identifying the rest of the coins, although they are all more or less defaced, and if we find we cannot satisfy ourselves here we must appeal to experts elsewhere. These remarks may serve to show what a very interesting contribution has been received from Mr. Parker.

Mr. P. Feildenberg has just communicated to our Society a translation of an account by Saar—a scholar in the Dutch Service—of the struggle from 1647 to 1657 between the Portuguese and Dutch, which resulted in the expulsion of the former. This Paper will be read at an early Meeting. Before passing
from the section of history and archaeology, we must notice, as of interest to many Members of our Society, the sixteenth volume of the Archaeological Survey of India, being a report of tours in North and South Behar in 1880 and 1881. It contains important discoveries at Buddhagāya, which will be published in extenso under the title of "Mahābōdhi, or the great temple of Buddhagāya."

2.—Religion.

It has often been remarked that the difficulty of understanding the motives and actions of the people of the East is due in great measure to the influence which omens exert in their daily life. It was shown in a recent Paper how great is the power of the cry of a lizard—even to stop a Sīhālēse on his threshold when prepared to start for a journey. The knowledge that our Society possesses on this subject gives additional interest to Buddha's sermon on "Omens," translated by the late Maha Mudaliyār, De Zoysa, whose name cannot be mentioned without pausing to record our sense of the great loss to Oriental learning and to our Society by his death.

Buddha's views on superstition are found in more than one discourse: in the "Brahmajala Sutta," translated by the great missionary and scholar, the late Rev. D. J. Gogerly, various superstitions are condemned as "unworthy and animal sciences," and in the "Namasiddhi," the folly is exposed of conferring names supposed to be lucky or auspicious; but in this sermon on omens we have one of the most remarkable discourses of Buddha against superstition.

The commentary tells how for twelve years there had waged a fierce controversy amongst gods and men as to what was an omen—whether of sight, or taste, or smell; what good and what bad; and at last the king of the gods went to Buddha, who recited the fine verses known as the sermon on omens, "Maggalam Sutta," from which I will quote two stanzas:

"To serve wise men and not serve fools, to give honour to whom honour is due; this is the best omen."

"The soul of one unshaken by the changes of this life, a soul inaccessible to sorrow, passionless, secure; this is the best omen."

It is strange—and it is sad—that this discourse, one of the most powerful exposures of Hindu superstition on record, should be used at the present day for purposes of superstition, such as exorcism, &c.

In a highly original Paper, Professor Künte has made a valuable contribution to the study of the Buddhist doctrine of Nirvāṇa. He sums up his interesting notes in the following terms:

"I have brought together the views of Indian and Ceylonese Buddhists, and attempted to throw a side light on it from Sanskrit literature."

"Perfect Nirvāṇa," he says, "is negation of all that man thinks, feels, and wills. So far it is nihilism. It is a negation of all suffering which results from thought, feeling, and volition. So far it is nihilism. But suffering according to a Buddhist, a Jaina, or
a Vedantist is a positive entity. Happiness he does not recognise as a positive. Suffering (dukkha) is positive, and results from localised existence. Both localised existence and suffering are destroyed together. When this is accomplished, unlocalised universalisation is emancipation, co-extensive with happiness itself. Suffering is the inevitable result of all localisation: happiness, of all universalisation. Hence, Nirvāna is both negative and positive. It is not nihilism. Nirvāna is beyond all localisation. Existence—substance—that which is the nameless, the formless, the eternal, the infinite, the permanent, the unconditioned, has a tendency to be localised. This tendency to be localised is what is called activity, or karma. It is strengthened as it is indulged. The tendency localises the universal and Panchashankhas result. Then there is immediately thought, feeling, and volition, which are inseparable from suffering. Buddhism does not attempt to state the properties or attributes of the unlocalised—the eternal—because no matter how carefully a statement is made, the fact of statement will localise it. It is, therefore, beyond all statement. It is enough to say it is Nirvāna.” And he concludes:—“Buddhism is an interesting study, scientifically, philosophically, religiously, socially, and politically. Scientifically, because science seeks the unification of force and the elements which embody all force; philosophically, because Buddhism discovers to what the psychological method of introspection leads; religiously, because when there are so many Buddhists in the world not believing in a personal God and not yearning to worship Him, the fact of religious instincts of man calls for re-examination and re-statement; socially, because it ignores all ritualism, ceremonies, and social life in its amplitude, and minutude, in its materialism and its subtlety of love, and ambition; and politically, because the convent of the Buddhists subverted the Vedic polity of caste, sacrifice, and prior rights, and justified the aspirations of a proletariat, and placed them on a legitimate basis for the first time in the history of man.”

It was intended that our Journal should contain a Paper contributed by me, illustrative of Buddhism as the daily religion of the Buddhists of Ceylon, with an account of their ceremonies before and after death, but the MS. has been lost. It is mentioned here as it forms part of the contributions by our Society in the period under review to a study of the religions of Ceylon.

We have been long promised, by Mr. Donald Ferguson, the text and translation of “Jina Caritam,” a life of Buddha in Pāli verse, to the early production of which we look forward with much interest.

3.—Literature.

Now, as on the last occasion, the labours of Mr. William Gunatilaka claim the first place among our members in the domain of literature. He is the editor of the Orientalist, a new monthly magazine of Oriental literature, arts, sciences, and
folk-lore. It has many interesting articles, but it is chiefly of value to scholars as the means of publishing the “Bálavabodhana,” which was brought to notice by notes published by Mr. Gunatilaka in the *Academy*, on the 24th and 31st January, 1880, which were reprinted in the *Indian Antiquary* in March, 1880. The work is by Kasyapa, a Buddhist priest, who lived in Ceylon about seven centuries ago. It is based on the last grammar of *Candra*, and as far as is known only three MSS. of it exist.

Our Society has assisted Mr. Gunatilaka to publish the first number of his edition of Pánini’s Grammar, and it is much to be hoped that this work will be completed.

The edition of the “Megadūta” of Kālidāsa, from the MS. in the Kandy Oriental Library, promised by Mr. T. B. Panabokka, has not yet appeared.

The Pāli Text Society has met with much support in Ceylon: its texts are well edited, and its journals contain matter of much interest. The journal for 1882 contains lists of Pāli MSS. in the Bodleian Library at Oxford, in the Bibliothéque Nationale at Paris, in the Oriental Library at Kandy, in the Colombo Museum, and in the India Office Library. In 1883 it gave lists of Pāli MSS. in the British Museum, in the Cambridge University Library, in the Copenhagen Royal Library, in the University Library at Copenhagen, and in the Library at Stockholm. It is the only existing guide to the principal collections of Pāli MSS. Seven volumes of important Pāli texts have been already published by the Society:

- **Buddhavaṃsa**... by Dr. Morris.
- **Cariyapiṭaka**... do.
- **Anguttara, Pt. I.**... do.
- **Theragāthā**... Prof. Odenberg.
- **Therigāthā**... Prof. Pischel.
- **Puggala Pannatti**... Dr. Morris.
- **Kudda and Mula—Sikka**... Dr. E. Müller.
- **Ayawanga Sutta**... Prof. Jacobi.

The last-named is a Jain text. The publication of these and other texts has materially assisted the preparation of the scholarly little grammar of the Pāli language, recently published by Dr. E. Müller.

Our *Journal* contains an important article, by Mr. W. P. Ranasingha, on the connection of the Sinhalese with the modern Aryan Vernacular of India: and it is followed by a note, contributed by Mr. Bell, on the Maldivian numerals and the duodecimal system of notation of the Maldives, subsisting side by side with a decimal system. On folk-lore we have articles by Mr. Wm. Gunatilaka and Mr. W. Knight James, who has also contributed a Paper on “Sinhalese Bird-lore,” which gives some quaint legends connected with birds of the country, of which I quote the following:—“The king-crow, or dronga, is a diminutive bird, frequently
seen harassing the crow, and there is a curious legend about this bird and a crow in a former state very characteristic of its ingenuity. The story goes that to settle a wager the crow and the little king each took in its beak a small bag of whatever substance it pleased to see which could fly highest: the crow took a bag of cotton as being very light, but the wary "king," seeing that rain was coming on, took a bag of salt, which the rain washed away, whilst the cotton became heavier in the wet.

Our Society, at the suggestions of the Bishop of Colombo, has entered upon the systematic study of the Jātakas, with a view to the preparation of an edition of that great collection of folk-lore and Buddhist legends, with the assistance of all the materials which the Members can contribute. So far we have only dealt with the first fifty Jātakas, and the Bishop of Colombo has consented to edit the Papers relating to them. Next year it is proposed to take up the next one hundred Jātakas.

Another joint work which our Society has commenced is a Sinhalese Dictionary, which shall deal with the language in historical sequence from the earliest inscriptions downwards. This work has been undertaken on the suggestion of Dr. Rost, the eminent Oriental scholar and librarian of the India Office. As a preliminary specimen, glossaries are in preparation by Members of the Dictionary Committee, of which the Bishop of Colombo is the Chairman; the Sinhalese Members are Subhuti Terunnāse, Gunasekara Mudaliyār, and Mr. Ranavisīha. When these glossaries have been prepared, a type of glossary will be adopted, and as many Members of our Society as can be enlisted in the work will be requested to select books to glossarise. When a sufficient number of books have been thus dealt with, the compilation of the Dictionary from the glossaries will be commenced, and it will require several men to digest, arrange, and shape the articles one by one. In the meantime, the plan of the Dictionary must be considered. Gundert's Malayalam Dictionary (Mangalore, 1872) is a good pattern of a scientific dictionary, only it is too compact for our language. Sinhalese, with its rich literature, which has come down to us in unbroken succession from ancient times, will require much room for the quotations, which are of great importance, as no other living language of Asia (Chinese and Japanese alone excepted) has such a chain of documents of its existence to show as the Sinhalese, and the scope is contracted by the language never having been split up into dialects.

Allow me to ask a question: will no one take up Mr. James Alwis' unfinished work, and give us a history of Sinhalese literature?

Before leaving the subject of literature, I must congratulate the Society on the accession to our numbers of the two Sinhalese scholars, Hikkaḍuwē Sumangala Terunnāse and Waskaduwē Subhūti Terunnāse, who, I believe, are the first Buddhist priests who have been enrolled in our Society.
north-east at the rate of 29 miles an hour. The average for that day was 20 miles per hour, the highest wave measuring 3 feet 6 inches. On the 30th December a wave was registered 5 feet 6 inches in height, the wind blowing from the north-north-west at the average rate of 20 miles an hour.

The least force of the wind was felt on the 23rd of December, 1881, when it was calm, the average force for the day being one mile per hour. The lowest wave recorded was on the 13th of February, 1882, when it measured 10 inches, the wind then being north-east.

On December 19th, 1879, and on January 19th, 1880, Mr. Kyle measured a wave 6 feet 6 inches in height. These occurred between 4.30 and 5.30 p.m., when the wind was blowing from the north-west. Tidal gauges have only recently been fixed on the beach; with their help this question will be further studied.

It is a matter of great scientific interest to know that the important question of the extension of the triangulation from Anuradhapura to Mannar, Lake Kokkula, and Jaffna, to connect with the great Trigonometrical Survey of India at the islands of Delft and Ramessaram, is to be undertaken early next year. Under this head we should not omit to notice the effects on Ceylon of the volcanic eruptions in the Straits of Sundra. On the 27th August, 1883, a sudden rise and fall of the tide, occasioned by the volcanic eruption, was observed all round the coast of Ceylon, except in Palk's Bay. The particulars are recorded in the Government paper No. IV. of 1884.

There is now no doubt that the unusual bluish-green colour of the sun, which was observable in Ceylon during the month of September last year, arose from the passage of clouds of volcanic dust through a high atmosphere.

Madras having been brought to the meridian of Greenwich by electric telegraph, its longitude has now been correctly ascertained to be 80° 14’ 51” nearly. The error was found to be in the atlas sheets of India 3’ 39”, and in the charts of triangulation 2’ 30”. The longitude of Colombo has been similarly ascertained to be 79° 50’ nearly.

8.—Botany.

Dr. Trimen has prepared a systematic catalogue of the flowering plants and ferns indigenous to or growing wild in Ceylon, which, with the assistance of the Government Press, is in course of publication by our Society. It is intended as a contribution to systematic and geographical botany, and also aims at filling a want felt by many classes and persons in the Colony.

The last catalogue, by Dr. Thwaites, is twenty years old. It has been now completely revised in accordance with modern research and discovery, and brought up to date; and the new list may be trusted as critically accurate (so far as care can make it, without the advantages of work in London), and complete to the level of present knowledge, and thus fit for use as a standard
of nomenclature for our plants. A large number of species are added to the flora of the Island, and of these some forty or fifty are as yet undescribed. The descriptions of these form no part of the catalogue, but they will be published almost simultaneously at home in a technical botanical journal. Experience shows that it is unadvisable and inconvenient to describe novelties in a publication so little known in Europe as our Journal.

All the species peculiar to Ceylon (a large number) are distinguished by a different type, and in addition to the native plants, all the introduced and naturalised species, which form so conspicuous a feature in our vegetation, are entered, also typographically distinguished.

The vernacular names, both Sinhalese and Tamil, are given in all cases where they are bona fide names in use by the people, but made up names have not been perpetuated. The number given is, however, larger, and they are certainly more accurate, than in any list previously given. More, however, remains to be done in this direction by a botanist who possesses a thorough knowledge of the native languages; or why not by a native botanist?

It is expected that the list will form one rather thick number of the Society's Journal, and thus be able to be carried in the pocket—a great convenience.

When formally “reading” this Paper (which must of course be “taken as read”), the author hopes to be able, in connection with it, to make some remarks of a general nature on the peculiarities, geographical affinities, and distribution of the Ceylon flora.

9.—Zoology.

In the period under review, seven more parts (making nine in all) of Moore's magnificent work on the lepidoptera of Ceylon have been published.

Mr. F. Lewis has contributed to our journal two Papers on ornithology, viz., Notes on the Microscopical Characteristics of Feathers, and their present analogy with a probable aboriginal form; and Notes on the Ornithology of the Balangoda District.

In the former, he suggests an inquiry to show whether at remote periods of time birds require a closer plumage than at present to endure a colder temperature than now upon the earth; and what connection the sub-web-shaft of the feather had with this provision.

10.—The Native Printing Presses.

The number of these presses and the variety of their publications are not generally known. It will surprise many of our Members to learn that there are no less than nine Sinhalese printing establishments in Colombo. Their publications include sermons of Buddha; editions of the more popular Jātakas, as the “Vessantara” and the “Vidhura,” and an excellent edition of the whole of the Jātakas, of which the second part has been recently issued; folk-lore; moral maxims, some from the Sanskrit; Elu classics, as the
4.—Art.

There are two points on which I would touch under this head. It has occurred to me that a Paper on conventionalism in Sinhalese art would be of great interest and value to our Society, and it will be very satisfactory if some of our Members will take up the subject. In the picture-stories which are painted on the walls of the temples, some examples of which were shown here a few Meetings ago, there will be always found the same conventional mode of representing—e.g., water, the heavens, the wilderness, mountains, and the like; and in the oldest sculptures, where Buddha is never represented, there are certain conventional signs, such as the Buddha-pad, to represent the great teacher, as in the Sankisa ladder-scene of the Bharhat sculptures. The other point is to secure copies, before it is too late, of what remains of the ancient frescoes at Sigiri.

5.—Social Condition of the People.

A translation has been made for our Society of Professor Virchow’s monograph on the Veddas, but it has unfortunately not yet reached Ceylon. Professor Virchow has made some remarks on the information we collected for him on these subjects, and they will be printed in our Proceedings. Mr. J. P. Lewis contributed an interesting Paper, which was read in June last year, on “Ceylon Gypsies.” This is one of the MSS. which has been unfortunately lost. The origin of the Ceylon Gypsies is a subject well deserving further attention from Members of our Society, and a full vocabulary of the Gypsy camp language would be an important aid in determining this question.

Mr. G. M. Fowler has contributed a Paper on “the Elephant-catchers of Músalí.” He tells of the daring of these sportsmen, whose only weapon is a rope of buffalo-hide with a running noose at one end. He describes them as a fine, tall race of men, very muscular and nimble. They seek their game in parties of twenty, of whom, however, only a portion advance to the front, armed with their buffalo-hide nooses; the others follow at a distance, ready to aid when required. The noosers steal noiselessly up to a herd of elephants, rope in hand. As soon as they are close to them, their attendants, upon a signal, raise a shout, on which the hunters each slip a noose round one of their hind legs, and quick as lightning fasten the other end to a stout tree. Sometimes the rope breaks; if not the animal falls on the ground with the sudden jerk, and the other legs and the head are made fast.

Mr. Le Mesurier’s Paper on An-kéliya, or An-édima, gives a graphic account of a national game of the Sinhalese, having its origin in a festival of the goddess Pattini. It was illustrated by an excellent model exhibited by our Hon. Secretary, Mr. Davidson, who contributed some interesting particulars respecting its practice in Colombo and its neighbourhood. A Paper, by Mr. J. P. Lewis, on the Language of the Threshing-floor, was read at a Meeting in February last, and will appear in this year’s Journal.
6.—Geology and Mineralogy.

There have been no contributions under this head during the period under review.

7.—Climate and Meteorology.

Meteorological observations have continued to be regularly taken, and a new station has been established at Hakgala, an important point in the ridge which stays the influence of the south-west monsoon.

Weather telegrams from Colombo, Galle, and Trincomalee are sent daily to the Government of India, and the average results of the observations from 1869 have been sent to the Royal Observatory at Greenwich, and to the Meteorological Departments of London, Chatham, Calcutta, Neimes, Paris, Holland, Nassia, Brussels, New York, Washington, Canada, and Algiers.

The investigations of Mr. J. Stoddart, to which reference was made in the last address, have been pursued, and he has furnished us with the following notes on the very partial ranges of the rainfall in Ceylon, and on the prevalence of high winds over partial areas:

Although the area of Ceylon is by latest computation only 25,365 square miles, its annual rainfall in 1883 varied from 29 inches at Hambanthota to 169 inches at Ratnapura, the average rainfall at these stations, extending over a period of 14 years, having been 37 and 151 inches respectively.

The average annual rainfall at Mannar during the same period was only 36½ inches. Colombo, Galle, and Haputale have all an annual average of about 90 inches, while Nuwara Eliya, at an elevation of 6,240 feet above sea-level, has an average of 102, and Kandy, at an elevation of 1,696 feet, has 82 inches.

The highest annual rainfall in the Island is between Avisawella and Ambagamuwa, where it ranges from 150 inches at the former station to 200 near the junction of the Dikoya and Colombo roads.

The wet zone, which lies to the east of Colombo and Galle, and includes the whole of the mountain ranges, comprises one-sixth of the Island.

This area, being generally hilly, the air becomes more suddenly rarified than it does in the plains, and in consequence of the lowered temperature, clouds passing over are readily attracted and made to yield up the water they contain.

High winds prevail over the dry zone during the period when the greatest exhaustion takes place, owing to the plains being dry and scorched.

The wave observations in Colombo Harbour have also been continued. Observations were taken by Captain Donnan in conjunction with Mr. Stoddart, from December 1st, 1881, to February 13th, 1882, 1,000 feet north-east from the head of the Breakwater.

The greatest force of the wind recorded was on the 1st of December, 1881, when at 12 o'clock it was blowing from the
"Amávatura," the "Mayaru Sandésaya" edited by Gunasekara Mudaliyár, the Sélalihini Sandésaya; medical treatises; grammatical notes; Buddhist manuals; lives of celebrated kings; popular songs; a controversy between a Buddhist pandit and a Catholic priest. For the preservation of copies of these publications, our Society has applied to the Government for a local Ordinance similar to the Indian Act, which was brought to our notice by an important communication from Mr. Murdoch on the subject.

CONCLUSION.

I will now bring this Address to an end. In dealing with individual Papers, I am conscious that I have been often too brief, and have but imperfectly put their merits before you; and yet I feel that I have been prolix, and have detained you too long.

J. F. DICKSON.

Appendix A.

Professor Virchow's Report to the Berlin Anthropological and Ethnographical Society.

In a letter from the Imperial German Consul, Mr. Freüdenberg, dated Colombo, February 22nd, 1882, I received a copy of a letter from the Secretary of the Colombo Museum, Mr. Richard Van Cuylenburg, dated 9th of February, in which a wish of mine was complied with, which I expressed some time ago, when I intended to write my pamphlet about the Veddás. Although this pamphlet has been published since, I am very much obliged for this further information; and I suppose Members of this Society will be similarly gratified to see more light gradually thrown upon a subject so insufficiently known.

The first part of the communication is a report of the Government Agent at Batticaloa, Mr. E. E. Worthington, dated 10th (13th) December, 1881, and addressed to the Colonial Secretary. It states that the number of Veddás living in his Province is from 1,500 to 2,000, and continues (I enclose the original):

The nature of this information is unfortunately somewhat aphoristic. As far as I know, the Provinces of Ceylon have of late been re-adjusted, and I am not sure whether the former District or Province of Batticaloa is the same as the present. The data formerly obtained as to the number of Veddás have been collected on page 9 of my pamphlet; they do not agree amongst themselves, and are at variance with this last estimate. According to the previous data, it would seem that the Veddás had much more greatly diminished in number, and had, in fact, almost died out, whilst recent information estimates the number at 1,500 to 2,000. It would be desirable to know whether these figures include the comparatively civilised ones, or only refer to those in actually wild state.

With reference to the language, I find that the report does not touch upon the question raised by me (page 101), whether the Veddás possess (besides Elu, Páli, and Sanskrit) words which are peculiarly and exclusively used by themselves. This is really the most important part
of the question. Dr. Burnell, in his review on my pamphlet in the *Academy* (27th May, No. 525, page 371), maintains the language of the Veddás to be an Indian dialect, full of derivations,—unmistakably Sanskrit,—but containing a few Dravidian words as well. He admits, however, that the knowledge gained so far is incomplete, and partly inexact.

He believes and considers that my remarks about the physical conformation of those tribes support his view; that, just as the civilisation of Northern India is the outcome of Aryan tribes intermixing with lower races, this process, which at this moment is still going on in Malabar, has spread not only over the territory of Dravidian tribes, but likewise over the Malay Archipelago and Ceylon. In the territory of the Tamils, he thinks this process has been arrested, and that the Tamils have thus developed into an independent race; this he considers evident from the fact that Tamil, compared with Malayalam, the language of Malabar, contains a comparatively small admixture of Sanskrit words. No traces of primitive dialects are known in any of the languages of the wild tribes of India and Ceylon; for instance, the language of the strange Todas in the Nilgiris of Southern India is an uncouth dialect of Tamil with a large admixture (over 4 per cent.) of Sanskrit words.

That the Veddás adopt the language of their more civilised neighbours, wherever they come into lasting contact with them, has been known for some time; especially large tracts of the old Vēḍā country are Tamilised, and the language even of the wild Vēḍās seems to be rich in Elu and even Sanskrit constituents. All the more it is advisable to compile without delay complete vocabularies, and to fix the grammatical forms before the tribe has died out. Even if it should be found that genuine idiognomic words and forms do not exist, it would, at all events, be highly desirable to ascertain the Dravidian remnants in the language, and to compare them with other Dravidian dialects.

A cutting from a newspaper sent to me contains remarks on my pamphlet made in the *Royal Asiatic Society, Ceylon Branch*. The reviewer (name not given) mentions that an article of the Maha Mudaliyār De Zoysa was then in the press, in which the meaning of the word “Pulinda” (mentioned by me, page 23) is discussed.

This word is used in the “Mahavesha” to designate the offspring of the son and daughter of Wijaya and a Yakko-princess. Whilst I should have thought that “Pulinda” meant a particular tribe (or race?), the Maha Mudaliyār is said to prove that it refers to the Veddás, and that they cannot by any means be looked upon as a Dravidian or ant-Dra-vidian race of pure blood. As the pamphlet is not in my possession, I cannot now discuss the point. I confine myself to pointing out, that, according to a statement by Mr. Bailey (cited by me, page 111), “Pulindas” are likewise found in Orissa in Southern India, fairly far to the north, and it would seem that the name alone is hardly a conclusive argument.

The resemblance between Vēḍās and Sihalèse referred to by me as well, may be explained in two ways. Vēḍās might be considered either as a mixed race which has absorbed Sihalése and North Indian blood, or the Sihalése might be looked upon as a mixed race, which added Vēḍa blood to their originally North Indian stock. In my pamphlet I declared the latter alternative to be the more probable one of the two, as the Vēḍās are more distinct from the North Indians than the Sihalése are. The statements of Mr. Worthington, who does not know yet of my arguments, conflict not a little with those of very competent observers—Sir Emerson Tennent, for instance. These observers find the principal distinguishing characteristics to be in the
shape of the face, especially the nose and the lips, and the nature of the hair.

The Committee of the Colombo Museum has had the further kindness to send me three photographs of groups of Veddás. All three are in cabinet size—one taken by Mr. Grigson, the two others by Mr. Skeen. They all represent the same six individuals (three males and three females) of whom I had also previously obtained a photograph through Professor Bastian; three of those individuals are represented by the wood-cut (page 44) in my pamphlet. It appears those groups were taken during the visit of the Prince of Wales to the Island.

Now, I am of opinion that hardly anybody would take those persons to be Siphalee. Besides their shortness of stature and their leanness of body, their heads are very different from those of Siphalee. I admit that the prognathism is less pronounced than descriptions by travellers would lead one to suppose, but the lips are full, and, especially in young individuals, strongly protruding. The nose with its broad nostrils and deep indentation of the bridge is particularly characteristic. Of additional importance is the character of the hair, about which I have already spoken exhaustively in my pamphlet. It would seem, therefore, that the Veddás after all possess many characteristic peculiarities. In mentioning that the colour of the skin is less dark than that of Tamils, Mr. Worthington furnishes new information, for which he deserves special credit.

Consul Freilichenberg has sent two new Veddás skulls, but unfortunately without the lower jaw, upon which I now offer a few remarks.

I may observe, generally, that both skulls correspond with the proportions ascertained by me on former occasions. Although one of them (A) is female, the other (B) male, both show a small capacity (1,135 and 1,200 ccm.), and are dolichocephalous in the same degree as found in former researches. The female skull is even hyperdolichocephalous (Index 69, 6), and exceedingly narrow (124 ccm. at the broadest place). The male one is somewhat higher, the tubera parietalia being strongly developed, and the tuberal diameter (133 ccm.) representing also the greatest breadth. The height is more than the breadth, but none of the skulls goes beyond the proportions of orthocephaly. Greater differences are noticeable in the proportions of the face, inasmuch as the male skull shows forms of a more compressed, low, broad, and presumably more typical kind. The central index of the face of the female skull is leptoprospop, that of the male skull chameprosop; accordingly, the former is almost hypsikouch (Index 85, 0), the latter chamekouch (Index 75, 0). The indices of the noses show exactly inverted proportions: the female nose, notwithstanding its height, is platyrhine, whilst the male one is very close to the upper limit of leptorrhine. The formation of the jaw, however, is in both instances slightly prognathous and leptosaphylie: partly as the consequence of a change in the breadth of the palate brought about by the diseased state of the continuation of the alveolary bones. The proportions of the faces of these two skulls would not allow of a conclusion concerning the genuine type; however, my former researches disclosed similar discrepancies. Generally, these new results sufficiently corroborate those obtained formerly, to leave the total information collected unaltered.

The female skull shows portions of tissue still adhering to the zygoa. It is comparatively small, light, and slender. The teeth are unfortunately lost, with the exception of the first right molar; and this is so much worn that it indicates that the woman must have been pretty old. The lower lateral portions of the coronal suture have been prematurely obliterated. The skull is long and narrow, and the
lateral surfaces are flattened. From a side view, the brow appears small, somewhat receding with ill-defined glabella, fairly well-marked frontal eminences, with a very broad (23 mm.) and prominent nasal process. The plana temporalia are high, extending as high as the parietal eminences. The ala temporalis of the left side, broad, and that of the right side covered with two large epipterica, one over the other, by which the parietal angle has been completely stunted in its growth. The occipital bone is narrow, and projecting far forward, especially when viewed from below. Viewed from above, the contour is high and narrow, and above regularly curved; undeveloped, occipital protuberance, sloping parietal bones, large cerebellar fosse, large mastoid processes. The face somewhat broad, but the zygomatic arches somewhat compressed; the zygomatic suture angular, the eye sockets wide, high, and the angles not well marked, and hollowed on the lower and outer side. The nose is broad above, with a prominent but flattened root curving outwards with a flat rounded ridge broken off below. The aperture is almost triangular. The alveolar process is large (18 mm. high) and prognathous. The palate is deep, but narrowed through caries and widening of the molar alveoli. The plate of the palatine bone is very broad; the posterior nasal spine very short.

The male skull is heavy, although also particularly large. The molars, which alone are present, are large and well worn. It is long, but on account of the strongly prominent parietal eminence, irregular in its breadth. The brow oblique, but higher, with a well-marked glabella, and broad (24 mm.) nasal process. The frontal eminences insignificant. The inferior portion of the coronal suture of the left side has been prematurely obliterated; long, somewhat low parietal curves, which reach far backwards. Behind the parietal ridge a quicker falling off of the occipital bone occurs with a sloping parietal bone, high plana temporalia, which extend far above the parietal eminences. Below the region of the latter a broad furrow begins, which passes on to the occipital bone, and there, where it crosses the lambdoidal suture, lies very deep. On that account the view from behind is somewhat Peruvian. The cerebellar fossae are well marked, the occipital protuberance is not present, the linea semicircularis superior is well marked. Viewed from below, the skull appears posteriorly broad and rather short, the mastoid processes well developed, the basilar apophyses flat. The face low, with compressed zygomatic arches, prominent molar bones, broad and low orbits. The nose, on the whole, narrow. The fronto-nasal suture very depressed, the ridge prominent, broadly rounded, somewhat curved outwards. On the contrary, the aperture is small and high. The superior maxillary alveolar process somewhat short (almost 15 mm.), but prognathous. The teeth are very large; the left canine tooth and the right first molar have been long lost, and the alveoli obliterated. The palate deep and narrow on account of the altered alveoli. The plate of the palatine bone very broad; a short posterior nasal spine. The external plates of the pterygoid process are very large; likewise, the hamular and the styloid processes are strongly developed.

Comparing the new skulls with the older ones, particularly with reference to the sketch of the proportions of the orbit, and the noses, as given in my pamphlet (page 114), no final conclusion seems possible. The difference between the two skulls with reference to the above-named parts, and especially to the formation of the inter-orbital regions, are so great, that it is difficult to say which is the particularly characteristic one. The female skull has a broader and more prominent fronto-nasal suture than the male, where the suture, on account of the strong
development of the frontal sinuses, lies deep, and is at the same time narrower. On the other hand, the orbits of the latter are lower, and resemble much more the Sinhalese described on page 114, whilst the female form corresponds with the description of the Veddā orbits.

New researches and further material would seem to be required before a definite conclusion can be arrived at, and I should feel very much obliged if the gentlemen of Ceylon, who so far assisted me, would not withdraw their liberal support.

*Translated by Philip Freüdenberg, Esq., and Dr. Macdonald.*

Colombo, 5th January, 1884.

---

The Government Agent, Batticaloa, to the Hon. the Colonial Secretary, Colombo.

No. 322. Batticaloa, 10th (13th) December, 1881.

Sir,—By way of reply to your letter No. 124 of 14th May, which, from various causes, I regret has been so long delayed, I have the honour to report that the number of Veddās now in existence in this Province is from 1,500 to 2,000.

As regards colour, they seem to be somewhat darker than the ordinary Sinhalese, but not so dark as the Tamil.

In the colour of their eyes, shape of their noses and lips, there would appear to be little, if anything at all, to distinguish them from the Sinhalese, though the eye, as might be expected from their life, is more bright and clear.

As regards build and stature, they are slighter and shorter.

The readiness with which they assimilate to their Tamil and Sinhalese neighbours, according as they come into communication by reason of proximity of the villages of either race, seems to discourage the generally received idea of their belonging to a separate race, the few existing differences being rather traceable to the natural results of their isolated forest life and its hardships, with the important exception of language,—a mixture of Elu, Pāli, and Sanskrit apparently,—though even here the assimilation is equally rapid, Tamil and Sinhalese being rather adopted according to circumstances.

I have, &c.,

G. E. Worthington,
Acting Government Agent.
## General Account

**Dr.**

The Honorary Treasurer in account with the Royal Asiatic Society (Ceylon Branch).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>cts.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To balance on 1st January</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount of annual subscriptions</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount of entrance fees</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount received from sale of Journals</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,243</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>cts.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By George Rivers, for purchase of books</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>balance of account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams and Norgate, for purchase of books, balance of account</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. M. and J. Ferguson, for <em>Tropical Agriculturist</em> to June, 1885</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advertising account</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stationery account</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>printing account, 1882-1883—Journals, &amp;c.</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>postage account—stamps, post cards, &amp;c.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas account—for cost of gas at General Meetings</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hire of chairs for General Meetings</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>charges account—peons' and compositors' pay, &amp;c.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suspense account—amount in O. B. C. at suspension</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>balance in Bank of Madras</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,243</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Colombo, 20th December, 1884.

J. G. Dean,
Honorary Treasurer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>cts.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By amount expended</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on excavations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in charges account—</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peon collecting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; suspense account—</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amount in O. B. C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; balance in Bank of</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Honorary Treasurer in account with the Anuradhapura Excavation Fund.

Dr. To amount of Donations

Colombo, 20th December, 1884.

J. G. Dean, Honorary Treasurer.