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**Vol. II**

Persia at end
VOLUME II

PRELIMINARY ESSAY

For this volume, there is not as much new material to be considered as in Volume I., in its earlier portion, while later events are dealt with in a Final Essay. I have studied various books, and would specially refer to the valuable work of Messrs. Amedroz and Margoliouth. But in view of the brief space that is allotted to the period, I was unable to do more than check what I had already written, although I added considerably to my knowledge of the subject.

(To be read with Chapter LXII., pp. 163-6.)

The question of Selim the Grim inducing the puppet Caliph to hand over his authority to him has been dealt with by Sir Thomas Arnold. He pointed out that there was no contemporary mention of the transfer, although there were two Turkish and one Persian historian in the camp who would, without any doubt, have mentioned such an important event. Consequently we are led to believe that the formal transfer of spiritual authority was invented in later times. We also know that the Sultans of Turkey had assumed the title of Caliph many generations before Selim.

The campaigns of Sulayman and his negotiations with Persia are mentioned by Busbecq, who served as ambassador of the Emperor Ferdinand at Constantinople from 1554 to

2 The Caliphate, p. 138.
3 The Turkish Letters of Ogier-Ghizelin de Busbecq, translated by E. S. Forster (Clarendon Press, 1927).
1562. The ambassador was a keen observer and in his journey across Asia Minor to the camp of Sulayman near the Persian frontier gives a valuable description of the country and of its inhabitants. He was present in 1555 when the Persian Ambassador arrived, and states: “Peace was granted on the spot, in order that greater attention might be paid to us.”
CHAPTER L

THE GOLDEN AGE OF ISLAM

It was a dynasty abounding in good qualities, richly endowed with generous attributes, wherein the wares of Science found a ready sale, the merchandise of Culture was in great demand, the observances of Religion were respected, charitable bequests flowed freely... and the frontiers were bravely kept.—AL-PARHIJI on the Abbasid Dynasty.

The Splendour of Haroun-al-Rashid, A.H. 170-193 (786-809).

Adown the Tigris I was borne,
By Bagdat's shrines of fretted gold,
High-walled gardens green and old;
True Mussulman was I and sworn,
For it was in the golden prime
Of good Haroun Alraschid.

These lines from Tennyson, based on the immortal Arabian Nights, indicate both the magnificence of the golden age of Islam and its close association with Haroun, the Solomon of the Abbasid dynasty. Bold and of active habit, the great Caliph took part in the almost annual campaigns waged against the Byzantine Empire, and during his reign Moslem fleets fought successfully in the Mediterranean. Everywhere Islam was in the ascendancy.

It is of much interest to note that Charlemagne despatched an embassy to Haroun, composed of two Christians and a Jew, the latter presumably the interpreter, who sought for easier access to the Holy Sepulchre and wished to foster trade with the Caliphate. The return gifts from Haroun included an elephant, the first to be seen in Western Europe for many centuries,¹ and upon the instructions of the Caliph the Patriarch

¹ The deep impression made by the great beast is shown by the fact that the bones of Charlemagne, preserved at Aix-la-Chapelle, are wrapped in a silk tissue ornamented with a large pattern of elephants.
of Jerusalem sent the keys of the Holy Sepulchre to Charlemagne. Haroun, at the request of the Frank ambassadors, not only protected Western pilgrims who visited the Holy Land, but even built a hospice for their entertainment, a convincing proof of his broad outlook. From Chinese sources we learn that an embassy was also sent by Haroun to the Emperor of China. But these embassies were mere incidents unrecorded by the Arab chroniclers, who love to dilate on the splendour of the Caliph’s Court and the number of philosophers, doctors of law, poets, and other learned men who assembled there and inaugurated a period which reached its zenith under Mamun. It was the lavish generosity of Haroun, who rewarded a poet for a sonnet by a gift of 5000 pieces of gold, ten Greek slave-girls, a horse, and a robe of honour, that drew men of letters to his Court. The main credit for this movement is due to him, though, to some extent, he was following in the footsteps of his father.

The Hasanite Prince of Daylam, A.H. 176 (792).—Nevertheless there was another side to Haroun’s character. The case of Yahya, a descendant of the Imam Hasan, shows that, with all his great qualities, he was not free from the treachery of his family. Yahya had gained possession of Daylam, a district to the west of Resht now termed Talish, and grew so powerful and maintained so brilliant a court that the jealousy of the Caliph was excited. Fazl, the Barmecide Governor of Persia, was sent to attack him with a large army, but terms were made and a document was drawn up and sealed, according to which Yahya was to visit Baghdad and there receive honourable treatment. The Caliph, upon the arrival of the Prince, treated him with honour and made him costly presents, but shortly afterwards discovered a flaw in the document and threw him into prison.

The Downfall of the Barmecides. — The fall of the Barmecides is one of the best known events in Oriental history, so powerful and distinguished was the family, and above all so generous. Yet it is undoubted that their power constituted a menace to the Caliph, and that Haroun acted wisely. Yahya, son of Khalid, had handed over his offices to his two sons, Fazl and Jafar, who between them ruled the empire. Jafar was the special friend and boon companion of Haroun, who, being deeply attached to his sister Abbasa, wished for her presence also when the two were together.
But by Moslem custom this was out of the question, and in order to overcome the difficulty Abbasa was married to Jafar, on the express understanding, however, that the marriage was to be merely nominal. But, as might have been expected, this artificial arrangement failed, and Abbasa, who was deeply enamoured of her husband, visited him in the disguise of a slave and bore him a child. Haroun was furious at what he probably regarded as high treason, and put Jafar to death; Yahya and Fazl were imprisoned, and both died before their master. No great family has ever excited more sympathy in its misfortunes, and the tragedy made a deep impression, which has been preserved for us in the lament of poets and annalists of the time.

The Death of Haroun-al-Rashid, A.H. 193 (809).—In A.H. 193 (809) the Caliph marched in person to crush a rebellion which, breaking out in Samarcand under the leadership of a certain Rafi, had spread far and wide. Haroun, although but forty-three years old, was prematurely worn out, and grew worse as he moved slowly eastwards. He informed his physician of his disease, but added: "Have a care that thou keep it secret; for my sons are watching the hour of my decease, as thou mayest see by the shuffling steed they will now mount me on, adding thus to mine infirmity." There is pathos in these words, but sympathy is checked by the knowledge that Haroun's last act was to have the brother of the rebel chief slain in his presence. Shortly afterwards the great Caliph passed away. He was buried where he died, in a garden, and a few years later the Imam Riza was laid to rest under the same dome, and round the tombs has sprung up the city of Meshed. As I write these lines, I am sitting in the British Consulate-General, little more than one thousand yards from Haroun-al-Rashid's grave.

Amin and Mamun, A.H. 193–198 (808–813).—Haroun, like Cyrus the Great, made the fatal mistake of dividing the Empire. Amin, the son of Zobayda, was nominated heir-apparent during his father's lifetime, and Abdulla, surnamed Mamun, or "The Trusted," son of a Persian slave-girl, was

1 A curious instance of a nominal marriage came under my notice at Kerman. An old lady of seventy who managed her own affairs was much inconvenienced by the fact that she had to remain veiled in front of her steward. To obviate this, she married his infant son, and as by this act she became the steward's daughter-in-law she could unveil before him. Truly a mariage de convenance!

2 Doubt has been thrown on the whole episode, but it would give Haroun additional reasons for the destruction of a family that, through marriage, might aspire to the Caliphate.
declared to be the next successor and was given the government of the Caliphate east of Hamadan, just as Bardiya, the brother of Cambyses, was appointed ruler of the Eastern provinces of the empire of the Achaemenians. In anticipation of the death of Haroun, the heir-apparent had despatched an agent with the army to Khorasan. On the demise of the Caliph the agent produced two letters sealed by Amin. By the terms of the first, Mamun was instructed to have the oath of allegiance sworn to both brothers (Amin and Mamun), but by the terms of the second the army, which had been bequeathed to Mamun, was ordered to return to Baghdad; this order was promptly executed as the families of the soldiers were in the power of Amin.

Mamun proclaimed Caliph of the East, A.H. 196 (811).— The brothers consequently started on bad terms, and Mamun, under the guidance of Fazl ibn Sahl, a recent Persian convert to Islam, strengthened his position in Khorasan, where his Persian blood gave rise to the saying, “Son of our Sister, he is one of ourselves and an Abbasid to boot.” His able general, Harthama, captured Samarcand, Rafi submitted, and Mamun felt strong enough to declare himself Caliph of the East. Amin, on the other hand, was a weak voluptuary who lavished the revenues of the Caliphate on unworthy pleasures. But he was popular in Baghdad, where he spent huge sums of money, and where Mamun was disliked for his Persian proclivities.

The Campaigns of Tahir the Ambidextrous and the Death of Amin.—Under a court ruled by eunuchs and mistresses the army degenerated, and Amin’s attempts to attack his brother were uniformly unsuccessful. A force which he at length despatched to invade Persia was allowed to approach Rei without opposition, but there it was defeated by a smaller body under Tahir “the Ambidextrous,” who slew Amin’s general, Ali, with his left hand. This Tahir, a Persian by race, was the descendant of a slave who, upon securing his freedom, became a client of the Khuzâi clan. He founded the Tahiri dynasty, which was to play a great part in Khorasan, and the present Amirs of Kain claim descent from him.¹

After his victory Tahir assumed the offensive, and with the support of Harthama advanced on the capital by way of Ahwaz, defeating army after army on the way. Amin,

¹ *Ten Thousand Miles, etc.*, p. 399.
THE IMAM RIZA AND THE HUNTER.

The miniature, which is in oil-colours, shows the veiled Imam Riza exhorting a hunter to release the gazelle which he has caught, in order that she might suckle her young, for whom the Imam felt pity.

(From a Persian miniature in the Author's collection.)
distracted first by a rebellion in Syria and then by a conspiracy which was for a time successful, was in no position to withstand him. Receiving the allegiance of Arabia for his master, Tahir captured Wasit, and Baghdad alone remained loyal to the Caliph of the West. After a siege which lasted for a whole year the city was taken by storm. Amin, who had taken refuge in the citadel, then surrendered, and was put to death by the Khorasan soldiery.

Rebellions in the Western Half of the Caliphate, A.H. 198–201 (813–816).—But the struggle between the Persian and the Arabian halves of the Caliphate was not ended by the death of Amin. By an act of folly Tahir after his victories was removed from the supreme command in favour of Hasan, brother of Fazl, the Persian Vizier, and although he was appointed Governor of Syria and Mesopotamia instead, he was naturally disinclined to take active steps, and remained at Ricca a passive spectator of events.

Mamun apparently determined to make Merv his capital and did not appear at Baghdad. In consequence, a rising was fomented at Kufa in favour of the House of Ali, and other rebellions broke out in Asia Minor and Arabia. Harthama, faithful to Mamun, travelled to Merv to warn him of the dangerous position of affairs, but owing to the influence of the Vizier he was not allowed even to speak, but was hurried off to prison, where he was executed.

The Proclamation of Ali Riza as Heir-Apparent, A.H. 201 (817).—To meet the crisis the Caliph took an extraordinary step. In the hope of putting an end to the insurrection, he appointed as his heir-apparent Ali Riza, the head of the House of Ali, although he was twenty-two years older than himself. He promulgated an edict directing that allegiance was to be sworn to the Imam Riza, as he is generally termed, and in order to mark the new departure he ordained that the green of the Shia was to be substituted for the black of the House of Abbas. The Shias were enraptured, but at Baghdad the people rose in fury to depose Mamun, and his uncle Ibrahim received homage as Caliph. When news of this serious occurrence reached Merv, Ali Riza had the nobility to warn

1 According to Yakut, the following saying is attributed to Mamun: "There are three things at Merv which the poor enjoy as well as the rich, to wit, its delicious melons, its water, which is always fresh owing to the abundance of the snows, and its downy cotton."

2 In The Glory of the Shia World, p. 237, I have described the whole event from the Shia point of view.
the Caliph that his policy would break up the Empire. Mamun, realizing the truth at last, gave orders to march on Baghdad, and Fazl was assassinated in his bath at Sarakhs, probably by order of his master.

His Sudden Death, A.H. 203 (818).—At this time the Caliph gave one of his own daughters to Ali Riza and a second to Ali Riza's son, while as a further mark of favour he conferred upon one of his brothers the high honour of presiding at the annual pilgrimage to Mecca. But the catalogue of his distinctions came to an abrupt close. The Imam Riza died suddenly from a surfeit of grapes and was buried under the same dome as Haroun-al-Rashid. Rumour, accepted as truth by the Shias, represented that the grapes were poisoned, and it cannot be denied that the heir-apparent's disappearance was extremely opportune. Nevertheless, many eminent Orientalists, Beveridge among the number, believe that he died a natural death. Be this as it may, Shia pilgrims at the present day, when moving in procession round the tomb of Ali Riza, pause to cry out "Curses on Haroun and on Mamun," and thus the two most eminent and most Persophile Caliphs of the Abbasid dynasty are annually execrated by thousands who would otherwise never have heard of their existence.

Tahir, Viceroy of the East, A.H. 204-207 (819-822).—The insurrection of Ibrahim speedily collapsed, and Mamun showed mercy and an entire absence of vindictiveness towards the rebels. Tahir was appointed Viceroy of the East; but he showed signs of disloyalty and was thereupon poisoned, probably by an agent of the Caliph. But the influence he had acquired was so great that his son Talha was allowed to succeed him; and by this appointment Khorasan achieved the status of a semi-independent kingdom.

The Later Years of Mamun and his Death, A.H. 218 (833).—After his power had been established at Baghdad the position and prestige of Mamun rivalled that of his father. At the same time there was little peace within the Empire. An insurrection in Egypt took twelve years to crush, and for twenty years a brigand named Babek, who professed transmigration and other mystical doctrines, terrorized the northern provinces, holding his own in Azerbaijan, and defeating army after army sent against him.

Mamun was of the same active habit as his father, and
the close of his reign found him taking the field in person against the Greeks near Tarsus, where, like Alexander the Great, he caught a chill from the cold mountain water. Less fortunate than the great Greek, however, he died from the effects of his imprudence.

The Arts, Science, and Literature under Mamun.—A mere recital of the chief events of Mamun's reign does not convey the impression of exceptional brilliance or conspicuous success. Yet all writers agree that for Islam this was the golden age of intellectual activity. The arts, literature, science, the practice of medicine were now seriously studied and pursued with such thoroughness that, through the vehicle of Arabic, benighted Europe became again aware of the glorious heritage of Greek science and philosophy of which it had lost sight. All men of learning, whether Moslems, Jews, Christians, or Pseudo-Sabaeans, were welcomed by the munificent Caliph, and search was diligently made for the works of the Greek historians, philosophers, and men of science in order that they might be translated into Arabic.

It is very interesting to observe how among Moslems the various sciences sprang up in connexion, more or less directly, with the study of the Koran. In the first place, the conversion of thousands of Persians and other conquered peoples created an urgent need for grammars and dictionaries. Then came the study of history, not only of the Arabs themselves but also of the Persians and Greeks, in order to explain the allusions to other peoples that were met with in the Koran and in old poems, which were collected and critically examined for the elucidation of rare or archaic words. But still these studies did not satisfy, and the search for knowledge was continually pushed through new and more and more divergent channels. Thirdly, an acquaintance with geography became indispensable, not only for the study of the Koran but also for the very practical purpose of organizing the rapidly expanding Empire.

Moslem Exploration and Geography.—The story of Moslem

1 Vide Browne's interesting account of the Pseudo-Sabaeans of Harran in vol. i. p. 302 of his op. cit.; also the account given of the Nestorians in Chapter XXXVIII. of this work.

2 For this section I have consulted The Dawn of Modern Geography, by Professor Raymond Beazley; Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, by Guy le Strange; and the work of Chau Ju-Kua termed Chu-fan-chi, or "Description of Barbarous Peoples," translated and edited by F. Hirth and W. W. Rockhill. The two former books are valuable standard works, and the latter I have also found most useful.
exploration, although mainly commercial, is of great interest, especially that carried on by sea outside the limits of the Empire. It was but a continuation of the old maritime activity of the Arabs and Persians, in proof of which we learn that Islam was preached at Canton, among foreigners consisting mainly of Persians and Arabs, between A.D. 618 and 626. In other words, the new religion had reached China before the Hijra, which fact points to considerable intercourse between Arabia and China. The earliest Arab records of the trade with China date from the ninth century. The voyages of a merchant called Sulayman and of Ibn Wahab of Basra were undertaken in the first and second half of this century respectively, and from the account of them given in the Salsalat-al-Tawarikh, or "Chain of Chronicles," by Sayyid Hasan, of Siraf in the Persian Gulf, we learn that the voyages started from this port. The route taken was by Maskat, Kulam, and the Nicobar Islands, to Kalah in the Malay Peninsula, from which it was about a month's sail to Canton. So important was the foreign colony, according to Sulayman, that a Moslem was appointed at Canton by the Chinese to maintain order among his co-religionists.

Moslem travellers also traversed the Indian Ocean and probably reached Madagascar to the south, while to the north the steppes of Russia were penetrated by the same merchant-explorers. The voyages preserved to us in the "Adventures of Sindbad the Sailor," in the guise of charming stories abounding with marvels, give us a delightful picture of the world as it was known to the Moslem mariner and merchant, and are worthy of study from that point of view alone.

Among the famous Moslems may be reckoned the three great geographers, Istakhri, an inhabitant of Istakhr, Ibn Haukal, and Mukaddasi. These scientific authorities succeeded Ibn Khurdabih, the Postmaster-General of the Caliphate, who in the preceding century drew up what may best be described as a "Route Book," in which he tabulated the distances and other information concerning the various routes leading from Baghdad. The systematic geographers of the tenth century describe fully each province of the Caliphate on an ordered system, giving also the main trunk routes incidentally in connexion with their descriptions.

The work of Ibn Haukal is but a new edition of the Persian geographer, with certain modifications. Istakhri treats of his native province of Fars in considerable detail, whereas Ibn Haukal treats all the provinces in the same proportion.

Mukaddasi, their contemporary, "wrote his geography entirely on independent lines and chiefly from his personal observation of the divers provinces. His work is probably the greatest, it is certainly the most original, of all those which the Arab geographers composed." 1 It is not possible in the space at my disposal to give details of the trade routes which connected the Caliphate with every quarter of Europe and Asia, but I cannot omit a reference to Rei, on the great trunk route from west to east, where the Scandinavian and Slav merchants who had descended the Volga from the north met the traders from the Levant. Elsewhere I have spoken of Persia as the "Highway of the Nations," and this fact by itself would go far to justify the description.

We now come to the science of geography. Mamun "created the first true school of geographical science which had been seen since the days of the Antonines.... An observatory was founded at Baghdad where attempts were made to determine the obliquity of the ecliptic. Once again Mamun caused a simultaneous measurement to be taken, in Syria and in Mesopotamia, of a space of two degrees of the terrestrial meridian." 2

It would be well if Europeans who are sometimes apt in ignorance to depreciate the East would contrast the state of learning, of science, of literature, and of the arts among Moslems in this century with the deep darkness which then covered Europe. It is not too much to say that in all these departments of intellectual activity the East was incomparably superior to the then benighted West, and this continued true during a period of some five hundred years; for not until the twelfth century did Christendom cease to depend on the East for its light. *Ex Oriente lux*: no aphorism ever crystallized a profounder truth.

*The Mutazila Sect.*—It would be improper in any account of the golden age of Islam, however brief, to pass over, without at least some mention, the special doctrine which won

the adherence of the Caliph and his Court. The Mutazila, or "Seceders," represented the protest of human understanding against the tyranny of the orthodox teaching, and their tenets were in effect a cry for freedom of action. They opposed the orthodox doctrine of predestination, which represented the Deity as punishing man for sins which he had been preordained to commit. They equally opposed the dogma which made the Koran coeternal and coexistent with God. The Caliphs Mamun, Motasim, and Wathik embraced the views of this seceding sect; but instead of allowing freedom to the orthodox Moslems, they treated them with fanatical intolerance, until persecution brought about the inevitable reaction, and the political power of the sect, which under these three Caliphs had been supreme, ceased shortly after the accession of Mutawakkil, the tenth in succession of the House of Abbas.

Motasim, A.H. 218-227 (833-842).—Mamun before his death issued a rescript by the terms of which his brother Abu Ishak succeeded to the Caliphate under the title of Motasim. His reign resembled that of his brother, freedom of discussion being allowed except as regards the dogmas of the Mutazila sect, dissent from which involved the penalty of death.

The Mamelukes and the Founding of Samarra.—At the beginning of the reign of Haroun a Turkish general was appointed to supreme military command of the army operating in the West. This was forty-eight years before the accession of Motasim, and during that period thousands of Mamelukes or "owned" slaves had been imported every year from Central Asia to fill the ranks of the army and to supply the royal bodyguard. Many of these men won the Caliph's favour, and gradually they displaced the Arabs, who returned to their deserts. The evils of this system were apparent from the first, but the more the Arabs resented the Caliph's foreign bodyguard, the more Motasim leaned on the Turks, until in course of time they usurped all power and authority; ultimately they founded the Mameluke dynasty of Egypt.

The legend runs that the Caliph when riding one day in Baghdad was accosted by an old Arab Shaykh, who complained in homely but forcible language that there was no

1 This brief reference is founded on chap. viii. of Browne's great work.
escape from the insolence and rapine of the Turks. This so upset Motasim that he never again rode abroad in Baghdad, but founded a new city at Samarra, some sixty miles above the capital.

The Revolt of the Jatt or Gypsies.—Under the orders of Walid I., at the beginning of the eighth century of our era, a large number of Jatt, termed Zott by the Arabs, had been transported with their buffaloes from the lower Indus to the marches of the Tigris.\(^1\) As soon as they were firmly established there they began to rob and to kill. By closing the Basra-Baghdad road they raised the cost of food in the capital, and compelled successive Caliphs to send armies to subdue them. Their insolence is expressed in the following poem, preserved in the pages of Tabari:

O inhabitants of Baghdad die! May your dismay last long!...
It is we who have defeated you, after having forced you
to fight us in the open country.
It is we who have driven you in front of us
like a flock of weaklings.

Mamun’s generals were unsuccessful in dealing with the elusive scourge, and Motasim’s first care was to send Ojayf, a trusted Arab general, to subdue this alien people. Ultimately, in A.H. 220 (834), Ojayf succeeded in his task by cutting their communications. The Zott surrendered, and after being exhibited in boats to the delighted citizens of Baghdad, wearing their national garb and playing their musical instruments, were exiled to Khanikin on the Turkish frontier—now a stage on the Teheran road—and to the frontiers of Syria, whither they proceeded, taking with them their buffaloes. These useful animals they can claim to have introduced into the Near East and into Europe.

The Capture of Babek, A.H. 222 (837).—Motasim’s most successful general was Afshin, who, after two years of hard fighting in the neighbourhood of Ardebil, destroyed the power of a super-brigand named Babek. This man had been a scourge of the Caliphate for twenty years, in the course of which he had defeated six armies and occasioned the slaughter of a quarter of a million men and taken thousands of men

\(^1\) I would refer to the deeply interesting *Mémoire sur les migrations des Tsiganes à travers l’Asie*, by Professor de Googe. Some years ago I collected vocabularies of the Gypsy dialect in both the Kerman and the Khorasan provinces; *vide Journal Anthropological Institute, vol. xxxii., 1902, p. 339, and vol. xxxvi., July–December 1906.*
and women prisoners. After his final defeat by Afshin, Babek fled, but was handed over to the Caliph by an Armenian prince with whom he had taken sanctuary, and was put to a cruel death.

The account of his execution and that of his brother practically terminates Tabari’s valuable history. The historian himself was born two years after this incident, but he only briefly summarizes the events of his own time.

*The Campaign against the Greeks, A.H. 223 (838).*—Like Mamun, Motasim was a man of energy and active habit, and when he heard that the Greeks were ravaging Syria he asked which was their strongest fortress. Being told Amorium, he advanced on it with a powerful army. Theophilus, the Greek Emperor, was defeated in a pitched battle, and, as his army was not able to face the Moslems, he was doomed to inaction while Amorium was besieged. After a successful resistance for nearly two months, a weak point in the fortifications was pointed out by a renegade and the fortress was destroyed, its garrison being treated with much cruelty.

*The Later Years of Motasim’s Reign.*—The later years of Motasim were disturbed by a conspiracy headed by Ojayf, who viewed with jealousy the increase in power of the Turks. The insurrection was put down with barbarous cruelty, and shortly afterwards Afshin fell from favour and was put to death. Although arrested for treachery and embezzlement, the religious fanaticism of Motasim caused him to be tried and condemned for holding Zoroastrian doctrines and for secret hostility to Islam.

*Wathik, A.H. 227–232 (842–847).*—Wathik, who succeeded his father, Motasim, in A.H. 227 (842), was the son of a Greek slave-girl, and is perhaps best known to us through Beckford’s remarkable work. He marked his accession by “squeezing” his ministers, some of whom were beaten “to encourage the others.” Disturbances broke out in Persia, where the Kurds rebelled, and in Palestine and Syria there were dangerous risings, which, however, were put down, mainly by Turkish generals. A conspiracy caused by the intolerance of the Caliph failed, and Wathik might have reigned for many years and advanced the exploration of the countries to the North, in which he was deeply interested.¹

¹ *Dawn of Modern Geography*, vol. i. p. 414.
But his constitution had been ruined by dissipation. He suffered from incessant thirst, and the curious remedy was prescribed of exposure in an oven. The oven was overheated, apparently by his own orders, and Wathik perished. With his short inglorious reign the golden period of Islam came to an end.
CHAPTER LI

THE DECAY OF THE CALIPHATE AND THE REVIVAL
OF PERSIAN INDEPENDENCE

If I live, the sword shall decide between us: if I conquer, I will do as I please; if thou art victorious, bread and onions are my fare; and neither thou nor fortune can triumph over a man accustomed to such diet.—The Message of YAKUB BIN LAIS to the CALIPH MUTAMID.

The Orthodox Reaction under Mutawakkil, A.H. 232-247 (847-861).—The reign of Mutawakkil is chiefly important as marking the period of orthodox reaction. The Mutazilite doctrines were abjured and their professors in turn underwent persecution of the most cruel and vindictive nature. Equally strong was the hatred which the new Caliph displayed against the House of Ali; he even encouraged his buffoon to dress up as "The Lion of Allah," while "Behold the pot-bellied bald one, the Caliph of Islam!" was sung in derision. The tomb of Husayn was destroyed and the site ploughed up. Moreover, Mutawakkil was fanatically hostile to Jews and Christians, against whom obsolete laws were revived. They were bound to paint the figure of Satan on the doorposts of their houses, were subject to special taxes, were obliged to wear a distinctive dull yellow dress,¹ and were debarred from holding any Government appointment. Indeed, their very children were forbidden to learn Arabic.

The Palace of Samarra and the Cypress of Kishmar.—The Caliph himself was a dissolute and extravagant voluptuary, and in the neighbourhood of Samarra he built a new palace which cost untold sums of money. Connected with it is the legend of Kishmar, already mentioned in Chapter IX. as the meeting-place of Zoroaster and King Gushtasp. It is stated

¹ Until quite recently the Zoroastrians of Yazd and Kerman were obliged to wear these "honey-coloured gowns."
that in order to commemorate this event the Prophet of Iran planted a cypress, which grew to a prodigious size and was regarded as sacred by the Zoroastrians. The fanatical Caliph ordered the tree, which was believed at that time to be 1450 years old, to be felled, and, although large sums were offered to save it, it was cut down and transported in sections to Samarra; but according to the legend Mutawakkil was slain by his son on the day these reached the palace. The story has some value as indicating the hold that Zoroastrianism still retained in the province then known as Kuhistan.

The Tahir Dynasty, A.H. 205–259 (820–872).— Mention has already been made of Tahir, the famous general of Mamun who governed Khorasen. Under his sons Nishapur succeeded Merv as the capital of what was a semi-independent dynasty holding sway in Khorasan for over half a century. The princes of this family were unambitious and made no attempt to fish in troubled waters, and the dynasty collapsed with little resistance when attacked by the Saffarids in A.H. 259 (872). In 1909 I came across a small section of the Tahir tribe in the district of Turshiz to the south of Nishapur; I was also informed that irrigation pipes are occasionally found stamped with the name of this dynasty.1

A Period of Anarchy, A.H. 247–256 (861–870).—Mutawakkil having alienated his eldest son, Muntassir, by grossly abusing him when under the influence of drink, a conspiracy of Turkish officers was formed in the interest of the heir-apparent, and the Caliph was murdered in his sleep. Muntassir succeeded, but died within a year, and a period of anarchy ensued, during which Baghdad underwent a second siege, and the Turkish soldiers made and murdered caliphs at their pleasure. Rebellions, too, broke out in every part of the Empire, and the disintegration of the Caliphate was hastened by the anarchy at headquarters, which paralysed all attempts at repression and left the provincial governors without support.

The Rise of the Saffar Dynasty.—During the Caliphate of Mutawakkil a certain Salih ibn Nasr collected a body of men in Sistan under the pretext of crushing an outbreak of Kharijites, and seized the province. The Tahirid prince marched to Sistan in person and succeeded in putting an end to the fighting between Salih and the Kharijites, but upon his

1 *Journal R.G.S.* for February 1911.
departure Salih again took the field and was apparently allowed to hold the province without further molestation.

Among his most able adherents was a certain Yakub bin Lais, known as Saffar, or "the Coppersmith," from the trade pursued by his family. This extraordinary adventurer, who while still a boy was noted for his generosity, upon reaching manhood took to highway robbery, which has frequently been a road to distinction in Persia. His generosity and courage speedily brought him success and a large following, which he placed at the disposal of Salih, and in a.H. 247 (861), the year in which Mutawakkil was assassinated, he became commander of the army of Sistan under Salih's successor. His first success was the capture of Herat in a.H. 253 (876), and having overrun and annexed Kerman⁠¹ and subsequently raided Fars, he soon became ruler of an extensive kingdom. He founded a short-lived dynasty which is remembered with much affection by Persians, both because they consider it to be the first Persian dynasty after the Arab conquest, and also because it sprang from Sistan, the home of Rustam and of the Keianian line.

Motamid, a.H. 256-279 (870-892).—After nine years of anarchy, Motamid, the eldest surviving son of Mutawakkil, was elected Caliph. The Court returned to Baghdad, where Turkish influence was less strong, and guided by Motamid's brother, Muaffak, who actually ruled the Empire, the apparently moribund Caliphate regained vigour and prestige.

The Zanj Insurrection, a.H. 255-270 (869-883).—No saying is truer than that history repeats itself, and the insurrection of the Zanj or Zanzibari slaves who filled the lands of the great proprietors resembles closely the Servile War headed by Spartacus which convulsed the republic of Rome in the seventh decade B.C. The Persian who headed the rising pretended to be descended from Ali, and at first laid claim to a spiritual leadership, but this pretension was soon forgotten and he merely appealed to the slaves, to whom he promised liberty and plunder. After meeting with scant success in Arabia, he occupied the marshy country round Basra, including the lower valley of the Karun, where thousands of slaves and many Beduin flocked to his standards.

¹ Many years ago I was allowed to see and make a précis of an old manuscript history of Bam by a certain Sayyid Tahir-u-Din ibn Shams-u-Din of Bam. In it Yakub and his brother are praised, the former for improving the city of Jiruft and the latter for building a mosque in it.
Again and again the imperial armies were defeated, and Basra itself was stormed by the Zanj and given over to pillage and massacre. The hordes then spread southwards along both coasts of the Persian Gulf, and northwards till they captured Wasit and sacked Ahwaz. At last Muaffak, who, until the death of Yakub in 879, had not been free to devote his entire attention to this serious outbreak, concentrated a large force; the Zanj were surrounded in the difficult and marshy district of the lower delta, and, after fifteen years of massacre and rapine, Khabis, or "the Reprobate," was slain and thousands of prisoners were released.

The Brilliant Career of Yakub bin Lais.—We must here return to Yakub bin Lais and follow his career to its close. In A.H. 257 (871) he sent an envoy to Muaffak with instructions to state that his master deemed himself a humble slave of the Caliph, to whom he proposed to offer his respects in person. As it was thought desirable to keep Yakub as far away from Baghdad as possible, the Caliph bestowed on him the governments of Balkh, Tokharistan, and other distant eastern provinces. Strengthened by his appointment as a high official of the Caliphate, Yakub was everywhere victorious, even distant Kabul being captured, together with its Turkish king, who was a Buddhist. At length the Sistan adventurer was ready to attack the Tahirid prince, who had apparently been a passive spectator of conquests which had robbed him of many of his provinces, and who offered no desperate resistance. Having mastered Khorasan, Yakub proceeded to attack neighbouring Tabaristan. At Sari he defeated Hasan bin Zayd, its independent prince of the House of Ali, but, pursuing him towards Gilan, he lost most of his men in the pestilential swamps, and perforce returned to Sistan to recruit.

Yakub was now master of half Persia, in addition to many eastern provinces, and, elated by a succession of victorious campaigns, in A.H. 262 (875) he decided to try conclusions with the Caliph himself. He began with a formal demand for the province of Fars; Motamid not only refused this, but "dismissed" the conqueror from the governorship of Khorasan. Yakub immediately advanced on Baghdad, and near the capital met Muaffak, who defeated him with heavy loss, which included his entire camp. Yakub, however, was not discouraged, but, retiring to Fars, prepared to raise a new army. His self-confidence was so great that he refused
with scorn an offer of assistance from the Zanj leader, which he answered in the words of the Koran, "I worship not that which ye worship; neither do ye worship that which I worship."

Three years later, in A.H. 265 (878), the Caliph sent an embassy of friendly remonstrance to Yakub. When it arrived the great adventurer lay dying, with his sword by his side and a crust and onions ready to be served for his coarse meal. In this state he received the envoy, and gave the reply which forms the heading to this chapter; shortly afterwards he died.

The Origin of the Ismaili Sect.—As stated in Chapter XLVII., the doctrine of the Imamate, by which one of the descendants of Ali must be invested with supreme spiritual leadership and was endowed with supernatural and semi-divine attributes, was a fundamental article of belief among the Shias. The first six Imams, as far as Jafar as-Sadik, who died in A.D. 765 during the reign of Mansur, were universally accepted, but Jafar, who had in the first instance designated his son Ismail to succeed him, afterwards cut him out of the spiritual succession in favour of a younger son Musa, known as Kazim. The reason for this action is stated to have been that Ismail had drunk the forbidden wine. Shortly after this, and during the lifetime of Jafar, Ismail, the disinherited son, died. This act of disinherittance divided the Shias, for, although the large majority followed Musa, a considerable minority remained faithful to Ismail or rather, as he had never been Imam, to his son Mohamed, whom they believed to be the seventh and last Imam.

The Carmathians.—The first missionary of the Ismaili faith in Irak during the Caliphate of Motazid was a certain Hamdan, surnamed Carmat, after whom the adherents of the doctrine were nicknamed Carmathians. He offered to join the Zanj leader, the "Reprobate," with one hundred thousand men, but they differed in their tenets and were unable to combine. Little seems to be known of Carmat's life, but he fell by the hands of an assassin. Later, the sons of a certain Zakaria, and after their capture and execution Zakaria himself, became leaders of the sect and engaged in savage wars.1

1 Al-biruni in hisChronology of Ancient Nationsdevotes a chapter to the eras of the Pseudo-Prophets, to which I would refer the curious reader. The best account of the Carmathians is inEncycl. Religion and Ethics, vol. iii. p. 222.
At the beginning of the fourth century of the Hijra Basra was stormed by Sulayman, yet another fanatic, and afterwards Kufa, and the terrible anarchy culminated in the sack of Mecca in A.H. 317 (929) and the carrying away of the Black Stone. After this the storm subsided and the sect was weakened by dissensions, but the recorded fact that in A.H. 396 (985) Multan was governed by a Carmathian shows how far its power and influence reached. These sects, all of whom fought against society, constitute one of the darkest sides to Islam. As will be seen later, their doctrines continued to be preached in Persia.

The Rise of the Samanid Dynasty.—More powerful than the Tahirid or Saffarid families, which flourished in the one case only just over, and in the other just under, half a century, was the Samanid dynasty, which endured for a century and a quarter. Its founder was Saman, a Persian nobleman of Balkh, descended from Bahram Chubin. Being driven away from his native town he appealed to Asad ibn Abdulla, who was governor of Khorasan under Mehdi and strongly espoused his cause. In gratitude for the help thus afforded, Saman, who had until then remained a follower of the old religion, not only became a convert to Islam, but named his son Asad as a compliment to his protector. Saman had four sons, who served Haroun and materially aided in putting down the rebellion of Rafi. Mamun in recognition of these services gave to the four brothers the governorships of Samarcand, Ferghana, Shash, and Herat, and under the Tahirid dynasty these grants were confirmed.

The ablest member of the family was Ahmad, who was succeeded by his son Nasr, and it would appear that, upon the downfall of the Tahirids, the Samanids retained their position, probably by an arrangement made with Yakub bin Lais, though the details are obscure. At any rate, in A.H. 261, or two years after the overthrow of the Tahirids by Yakub, Nasr and his brother Ismail are found to be in possession of the provinces across the Oxus, and this year is taken as the date of the foundation of the dynasty. Ismail showed conspicuous military capacity, but the two brothers quarrelled and a civil war ensued in which Ismail emerged the victor. With remarkable generosity he permitted Nasr to retain the government until his death in A.H. 279 (892).

The Career of Amr-ul-Lais, A.H. 265-290 (878-903).—
After the death of Yakub his brother Amr made peace with the Caliph and ruled Khorasan and other provinces for six years as his deputy. He was then dismissed by Motamid, who, after the extirpation of the Zanj, felt strong enough to deal with him. But he lingered on at Nishapur, which he loved, and the following lines which are attributed to him give his lament:

Its stones are turquoises, its bushes rhubarb,
And its dust edible clay.¹ How could I leave such a land?

The province, however, together with an army, was assigned to Rafi ibn Harthama, who defeated the Saffarid and drove him back to his native Sistan. In A.H. 279 (870) Motamid was succeeded by Motazid, who, reversing his brother’s policy, reappointed Amr to Khorasan. Presumably the Caliph realized his weakness and sought to play off Amr against the powerful Rafi and the still more powerful Ismail. In A.H. 283 (896) Amr took possession of Nishapur, defeating Rafi, whom he captured and slew, and whose head he sent to Baghdad. Intoxicated by this success, the victor demanded that Ismail should be dismissed from Transoxiana, and the Caliph with characteristic duplicity seems to have incited him to attack the Samanid ruler, whom he at the same time encouraged to resist. The campaign, after a keen struggle, ended in A.H. 288 (900) in the siege and capture of Balkh, where Amr was made prisoner. One of the famous stories of the East relates to his fall. A servant, it is said, while cooking some meat for the captive leader, left the pot for a moment to procure some salt. A dog tried to snatch the meat, but the handle of the pot fell on its neck, and as it bolted, pot and all, Amr exclaimed: “This morning three hundred camels bore my kitchen, and to-night a dog has carried it off!” Amr also figures in a polo story in the Kabus Nama,² from which it appears that he was one-eyed.

Ismail was prepared to treat his captive generously, but the Caliph insisted on his being sent to Baghdad, where he was executed in A.H. 290 (903). He was succeeded by his son, who held Sistan for only a year, after which the power of the short-lived dynasty came to an abrupt end; although

¹ This is found in various parts of Khorasan and is eaten more especially by pregnant women; vide “A Sixth Journey in Persia,” Journal R.G.S., January 1911.
² Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 339.
Sistan for a few generations and Baluchistan for centuries continued to be governed by scions of the Saffarid House.¹

The Samanid Dynasty at its Zenith.—Upon the death of Nasr, Ismail succeeded and began a career of conquest which raised his principality to a kingdom. Curiously enough, his first campaign was a Holy War against the Christian settlement of Taraz, which resulted in its conquest and the conversion to Islam of its Amir and leading inhabitants. The defeat and capture of Amr in a.h. 288 (900), recorded in the preceding section, were the culminating success of his career, and were rewarded by a patent from the Caliph appointing him to the governorship of Khorasan, Turkestan, Transoxiana, Sind, Hind, and Jurgan. Though, as Vambéry points out in his History of Bokhara, the names of Hind and Sind were inserted merely by way of idle boast, Ismail's kingdom was a great one, and he was not content to rest on his laurels, but conducted successful campaigns against the Turks to the East.

Ismail chose Bokhara as his capital, and to him it mainly owes its title of Sharif, or Noble. Its fort dates back to the time of this great Samanid, who gathered round him a brilliant galaxy of historians, poets, and doctors of law, and brought in the golden age of the city on the Zarafshan.

Ismail was succeeded by Ahmad, who was murdered in a.h. 301 (913) after an inglorious reign. Nasr, his son, a boy of eight, then ascended the throne, and during a reign of thirty years extended the possessions of the dynasty by annexing Rei, Kum, and Isfahan, at the request of the Caliph, to whom the dynasty rendered homage and nominal obedience. Nasr II. was the Mamun of the Samanid dynasty, and we have the following account of the glories of his court from a contemporary, Abdul Malik of Nishapur, who writes: “Bokhara was, under the Samanid rule, the Focus of Splendour, the Shrine of Empire, the Meeting-place of the most unique intellects of the Age, the Horizon of the literary stars of the World, and the fair of the greatest scholars of the Period.”²

Its Decay and Downfall.—Nasr was succeeded by Noh or Noah, under whom the dynasty decayed, its kings falling under the influence of Turkish slaves who were promoted to the highest posts. Noh was followed by Abdul Malik, the

¹ Fide Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 229.
² Quoted from Browne, op. cit. p. 395.
patron of Alptigin, who was killed at polo after a rule of seven years; his brother Mansur revived the prestige of the dynasty by exacting a tribute from the Daylami rulers of Irak and Fars. Noh II., who succeeded Mansur, suffered a series of vicissitudes, and is chiefly famous as having been cured by Abu Ali bin Sina, the great Avicenna. His nobles conspired against him and invited Boghra Khan, who from his capital at Kashgar ruled over a confederacy of Turkish tribes, to invade the Samanid kingdom. Boghra Khan captured Bokhara but died shortly afterwards, and Noh, who had become a fugitive, returned. His nobles then fled to Khorasan, where they obtained help from the Daylami prince, and Noh in despair summoned to his aid Sabaktagin, who had founded the state of Ghazna at the expense of the Samanid dynasty. He readily sent a force which won a decisive victory near Herat, the battle being chiefly memorable as the first in which his son Mahmud, the future champion of Islam, fought, winning thereby as his reward from the grateful Noh the province of Khorasan: other victories were gained at Nishapur and at Tus.

Mansur II., the son and successor of Noh, was a poet of whose compositions fragments have been preserved. In reply to his companions who asked the distracted monarch why he never put off armour, he explained:

They ask me why fine robes I do not wear,
Nor covet stately tent with carpets rare.
'Midst clash of arms, what boots the minstrel's power?'
'Midst rush of steeds, what place for rose-girt bower?'
Nor wine nor sweet-lipped Saki aught avail
Where blood is splattered o'er the coats of mail.
Arms, horse for me, banquet and bower enow,
Tulip and lily mine the dart and bow.2

This martial sovereign did not live to see the extinction of his proud dynasty, but his successor, Abdul Malik, the last of his line, was seized by Ilak Khan, of the Turkish dynasty mentioned above, and thrown into prison, where he died. The capture of Abdul Malik took place in A.H. 389 (999), and this date marks the downfall of the Samanid

---

1 The dynasty is termed the Ilak Khans of Turkestan by Stanley Lane-Poole in his Mohomedan Dynasties, and the Kara-Khanides by Skrine and Ross in The Heart of Asia. In Persian histories it is referred to as "the family of Afrasiab."
2 Quoted from Browne, op. cit. p. 409.
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dynasty, after a splendid though not unchequered career of exactly a century and a quarter.

The Ziyarid Dynasty, A.H. 316–434 (928–1042).—During the reign of Nasr II. the province of Tabaristan was recovered for the House of Ali by Hasan bin Ali-Utrush, but a few years later, in A.H. 316 (928), a certain Mardawij bin Ziyar contrived to seize it and to occupy Isfahan and the country beyond Hamadan as far as Holwan. He established a dynasty which was noted for its devotion to learning and which endured for rather over a century, although no member except its founder played a leading rôle on the stage of Persia. The best known of his successors was Kabus, A.H. 366–403 (976–1012), the patron of Al-biruni, who dedicated to him his famous Chronology of Ancient Nations and resided at his court for many years. Nor was he merely a patron of letters: he was himself a poet of no mean order, writing both in Arabic and in Persian. In the latter language he composed an exquisite quatrains, translated as follows:

Mirth's King the Rose is, Wine Joy's Herald eke;  
Hence from these two do I my pleasure seek;  
Would'st thou, O Moon, inquire the cause of this?  
Wine's taste thy lips recalls, the Rose thy cheek!

The career of Kabus was extremely chequered. He protected Fakhr-u-Dola, one of the Buwayhid princes, against his two brothers, the powerful Azud-u-Dola and Muayyid-u-Dola, and in consequence was driven out of his principedom for many years. Upon his return, although he was famed for "his learning, piety, munificence, magnanimity, wisdom, prudence, and intelligence," his nobles, exasperated by his cruelty, deposed him and afterwards had him secretly murdered.

In 1908 I visited his tomb, which, as Ibn Isfandiyar states, is "outside Gurgan on the road to Khorasan." As the illustration shows, it is a lofty decagon with a curious conical roof, which is visible for miles across the level steppe. The Kufic inscription, which is in duplicate bands of brickwork, states that "this lofty grave was built by the orders of Shams-ul-Maali, the Amir, son of the Amir Kabus, son of

---

1 Browne, op. cit. p. 470.
2 Vide Ibn Isfandiyar's History of Tabaristan, which is a mine of information about this period. In the Kabus Nama an amusing story is given to prove how well informed Kabus kept himself of what went on at the neighbouring courts. Vide Querry's translation, p. 413.
Washmgir, during his lifetime, in a.H. 375 (997).”¹ It is one of the oldest buildings with a known date in North-Eastern Persia. Kabus’s grandson, Kei Kaus, bin Iskandar, bin Kabus, was the author of the famous Kabus Nama, which gives rules of life in a delightful manner and is accessible to the European world through a French translation.

The Buwayhid or Daylamite Dynasty, a.H. 320–447 (932–1055).—The founder of the Ziyarid House quite unconsciously assisted to found another dynasty far more powerful than his own, by bestowing the governorship of Karaj, a district to the south of Hamadan, on Ali bin Buwayha, who, aided by his two capable brothers, soon extended his power southwards to the province of Fars, which he occupied. This family sprang from a Persian tribe in Daylam which claimed descent from Bahram Gur² and professed Shia doctrines. Ali seems to have been a favourite of fortune. After his conquest of Fars he was one day lying on a couch in the palace at Shiraz when he observed a snake dart out its head from a hole. Calling for masons to break down the wall, he found a secret chamber, in which was collected the entire treasure of Yakut, the dispossessed Governor, who had represented the Caliph. Shortly afterwards a tailor came to Ali for orders, and upon his sending for a stick with which to measure cloth, the man, mistaking his intention, threw himself at his feet and said that if his life were spared he would give up all Yakut’s cloth, which he was at once allowed to do!

Ahmad, the most famous of Ali’s brothers, embarked on a career of conquest; details of his exploits in the Kerman province can be gleaned from the local histories.³ It appears that Kerman city was held by a robber called Mohamed ibn Ilias but known as Abu Ali, who had served the Buwayhids, and when Ahmad, having captured Sirjan, was besieging Kerman, Abu Ali adopted the unusual course of fighting by day and sending gifts by night, with the result that he was

² Al-biruni (Sachau’s edition) pp. 45-46, does not allow the genuineness of this claim.
³ I have made a précis of two histories of Kerman: (a) The history of Afsal-u-Din, known as Afsal Kermani. This was written in a.H. 584 (1188) for Malik Dinar, who was then ruler of Kerman. The manuscript was lithographed at Teheran in A.D. 1876. It deals mainly with the sixth century of the Hijra and contains some interesting information. (b) The history of Mohamed Ibrahim, who, from a remark made by him, appears to have travelled to Sistan in a.H. 1025 (1616). The manuscript of this work was published by Hootana in A.D. 1886. It deals with the Seljuks of Kerman and gives the chief events of the province from a.H. 433 (1041) to a.H. 619 (1222), i.e., up to the era of the Kutlugh Khans.
allowed to keep Kerman on the condition that he paid tribute. In an expedition to Jiruf the Buwayhid prince was ambushed in the Dilfard pass, and according to the chronicler escaped with only a few men and the loss of one of his hands. This, however, was merely a temporary reverse, and marching westwards he annexed Fars in conjunction with his brothers in A.H. 322 (934).

The Caliph was obliged to recognize the conquerors as his lieutenants. After organizing the captured provinces, Ahmad first moved westward and annexed Khuzistan, and ultimately in A.H. 334 (945) entered Baghdad, where the Caliph perforce welcomed him, bestowing on him the title of Muizz-u-Dola and the rank of Amir-ul-OMara, or "Amir of Amirs," which was held by the family for many generations. The unfortunate Caliph was subsequently deposed, and his successors were puppets in the hands of the Buwayhid chiefs, who retained all power for about a century.

It is beyond the scope of this work to deal in detail with the three families of Fars, Irak, and Rei, into which the dynasty broke up; but I will attempt to give briefly some of the leading events of the period. Muizz-u-Dola died in A.H. 356 (967), and the next great member of the dynasty was Azud-u-Dola, who held the post of Vizier to the puppet Caliph and ruled Irak and Fars. His operations against his brother Fakhr-u-Dola have already been referred to in connexion with Kabus. He was an exceptionally enlightened prince, who encouraged pilgrims by restoring the sacred buildings at Medina, Najaf, and Kerbela. Moreover, he established hospitals for the poor of Baghdad, appointing physicians with regular salaries, and purchasing drugs and other requisites. In Fars, too, his public works were numerous, and one of them, a dam on the river Kur, which, in 1916, I crossed a few miles south of Persepolis, is still termed Band-i-Amir, or the "Dam of the Amir," and is responsible for the lines of Moore:

There's a bower of roses by Bendemeer's stream,
And the nightingale sings round it all the day long.

The decay of the dynasty was rapid after the death of

---

1 Curiously enough, this high-sounding title is now used only in writing to nomad chiefs of secondary importance, such as the Ilkhani of Kuchan or the Chief of the Hasara tribe in Khorasan.
Azud-u-Dola, and Mahmud of Ghazna prepared to attack Rei, which during the minority of the Majd-u-Dola was ruled by his mother. This intrepid woman returned the following reply to an envoy sent by Mahmud to demand her submission: "Had this message been sent in the lifetime of my deceased lord it would have caused serious trouble, but such is no longer the case. I know Sultan Mahmud and am aware that he will never undertake a campaign without weighing all the risks. If he attacks and conquers a weak woman, where is the glory of such an achievement? If he be repulsed, the latest ages will hear of his shame."

Whether or not Mahmud was swayed by these arguments, he postponed his designs until Majd-u-Dola had attained his majority. Then, in A.H. 387 (997), he sent an army which seized the person of the prince by treachery and occupied Khorasan and Kumis. The family, however, retained Southern Persia and Irak for some time to come, until the Seljuks appeared on the scene and ended the rule of this Persian dynasty.

The Dynasty of Ghazna, A.H. 351-582 (962-1186).—Under Abdul Malik the Samanid there was a certain Alptigin, a Turkish slave, who became commander-in-chief in Khorasan. Upon the death of the monarch he retired to Ghazna in the Sulayman mountains, where his father had been governor, and there he, his son, and his slave Balkatigin in turn ruled in obscurity. The real founder of the famous dynasty was Sabaktigin, another slave, who succeeded to the governorship through his marriage with Alptigin’s daughter. This truly remarkable man extended his petty sief both eastwards and westwards, on the one hand defeating the Rajputs and seizing Peshawar, and on the other, as already recounted, receiving Khorasan in A.H. 384 (994) from the Samanid monarch Noh.

Sabaktigin was succeeded by Mahmud, one of the greatest figures on the stage of Central Asia, whose twelve campaigns in India and zeal for Islam have earned for him the title of "Idol-breaker." These campaigns lie outside the scope of this work, but his ultimate seizure of Khorasan, which has already been mentioned, belongs to Persian history. In Sistan the Conqueror found a certain Khalaf, a grandson of Amr-ul-Lais, who had held the province of Kerman for some time. Of him it is related that, in order to induce the Sistanis to support him in his designs on that province, he arranged for
his envoys to be poisoned at Kerman and then raised an army to avenge the outrage!

According to Persian legend, Mahmud spared the life of Khalaf, who won his heart by addressing him as "Sultan," and who passed the remainder of his life as Master of Horse to the "Idol-breaker." Later on, in A.H. 398 (1007), Khorasan was invaded by Ilak Khan, the destroyer of the Samanid dynasty, who took advantage of the absence of Mahmud from Central Asia. But the great soldier speedily returned, and in a desperately contested battle near Balkh gained a decisive victory, driving the invaders into the Oxus; some years later he also annexed Bokhara and Samarcand. Mahmud’s last campaign was directed against the Buwayhid dynasty, and after the capture of Isfahan he returned to Ghazna, where he died in A.H. 421 (1030).

It is interesting to note how anxious Mahmud was for recognition by the Caliph. He sent to Baghdad accounts of his victories, accompanied by splendid gifts, and in return was granted titles, which gave him immense gratification. One of the stories of the East tells us how, in the first decree, Mahmud was termed Mir, which may mean either a chief or a slave, instead of Amir. A Persian courtier explained to his furious master that the omission of the alif or "A" conveyed a delicate request from the Caliph’s Vizier for a thousand gold coins, the symbol for which is alif. The money was sent and a new decree was obtained, in which Mahmud was styled Amir. The prestige of the Caliph and the craving for recognition by him constituted practically all that was left of his power, but it was a force that had to be reckoned with and was doubtless of material assistance in maintaining the Caliphate. Soon after the death of Mahmud the western provinces of this extensive empire were annexed by the Seljuks, with the result that the dynasty looked eastwards for compensation and became thenceforth so much identified with India that Lahore was selected as the capital of the later Ghaznavid princes.

1 In Browne’s op. cit. vol. ii. p. 105 his titles are given in full.
CHAPTER LII

THE COMING OF THE SELJUK TURKS

While Apulia and Sicily were subdued by the Norman lance, a swarm of northern shepherds overspread the kingdoms of Persia; their princes of the race of Seljuk erected a splendid and solid empire from Samarcand to the confines of Greece and Egypt.—Gibbon.

The Importance of the Seljuks.—The previous chapter is little more than a medley, dealing as it does with numerous short-lived dynasties which seized upon various provinces of the decrepit Caliphate and then tumbled to pieces mainly from internal dissensions. The advent of a new power, the Seljuk Turks, constitutes a notable epoch in the history of the Middle and Near East, if only because it swept away these insignificant and divided dynasties and once again united Islam under a single powerful sway, stretching from Turkestan to the Mediterranean Sea. More than this, the Seljuks, with the fervour of recent converts, revitalized Islam, just as the Norsemen revitalized Christendom, and when Europe under Norman leaders attacked the East under the impulse of the Crusades it was the light horse of the Seljuks which met the heavy horse of the Crusaders.¹

Their Origin.—The Seljuks were a branch of the Ghuzz Turks, from whom, however, they kept distinct. Their founder was Tukâk (signifying a bow), the father of Seljuk, who with his tribe crossed from Turkestan into Transoxiana and embraced Islam with deep fervour. He and his descendants took part in the wars of the period, and speedily

¹ The authorities for this chapter include Browne, vol. ii., and Skrine and Ross’s Heart of Asia; the native chronicles referred to in the previous chapter are again used, more especially in connexion with the Seljuks of Kerman. I have also consulted a synopsis by Browne of The Notification of Kings, by Najm-u-Din, composed in a.h. 599 (1202), vide art. xxvii. of Journal R.A.S. for 1902.
came into collision with Mahmud. The story runs that the great Conqueror asked Israil, the son of Seljuk, how many men followed him to battle, to which the nomad chief replied that if he despatched an arrow to his tents one hundred thousand men would prepare for war, but that if his bow were seen two hundred thousand men would join the former force. Sultan Mahmud, alarmed at this new power, imprisoned Israil, and, hoping probably to weaken the tribe by moving it away from its habitat, settled it in the district of Nisa,¹ and in Abivard, near the modern Kakha on the Central Asian Railway. The newcomers, under their chief, Mikail, proved unruly, and in the year before the death of Mahmud they attempted to invade Khorasan, but were driven back.

Masud of Ghazna.—Masud, the son of Mahmud, was from the outset unfortunate. After he had deposed his brother, not only was Khorasan attacked by the ferocious Ghuzz, who were destined to play a sinister part in Iran, but a rebellion broke out at the same time in India. To add to his misfortunes, Khorasan also rebelled, owing to being unprotected from the Ghuzz; and the Ziyarid prince of Gurgan and Tabaristan and the Governor of Khwarazm both seized the opportunity to throw off their allegiance. But Masud was no weakling, and in A.H. 426 (1035) he brought a large army from India, drove the Ghuzz from Tus and Nishapur, and invaded Tabaristan, which submitted. He then left Khorasan and busied himself with his possessions in India, to which he attached greater importance, probably because they yielded a larger revenue.

The Founding of the Seljuk Dynasty, A.H. 429 (1037).—To return to the Seljuks, Mikail, the brother of Israil, had two sons famous as Toghril (or "Falcon") and Chakir, to whom Masud had recourse in the operations against the Ghuzz and who aided him in driving these invaders out of Khorasan. But they were faithless allies, and the very next year, after the departure of Masud, Chakir Beg attacked and defeated the Ghaznavid general near Merv. In the following year Chakir captured Merv, and in A.H. 429 (1037) Toghril seized Nishapur. Khorasan thus passed into his hands, and Lane-Poole appropriately dates the foundation of the Seljuk dynasty from this important event. Masud, who had been

¹ The site of Nisa is ten miles to the south-west of Aakabad.
unable to concentrate his attention upon the invaders because of disturbances in India, returned to fight for Khorasan, and in A.H. 431 (1040) suffered a crushing defeat. He retired to recruit fresh troops in India, where his army mutinied, with the result that he was deposed and afterwards murdered. Three years later Modud, son of Masud, was defeated, and after this campaign the Seljuk power was established in Khorasan, and the Ghaznavid dynasty turned its entire attention to its Indian possessions.

The Career of Toghril Beg, A.H. 429–455 (1037–1063):—I have already mentioned Mahmud's craving for recognition by the Caliph and for a grant of titles. Upon the defeat of the son of Masud similar recognition was sought by the Seljuk victors, in a letter wherein they assured the Caliph of their loyalty. Needless to say, their request was granted, Kaim causing Toghril's name to be read in the mosques and placed on the coins before that of the chief of the waning Buwayhid dynasty.

The conquering Seljuks had now spread all over Persia, which was divided up among various branches of the ruling family, and in A.H. 447 (1055) Toghril Beg crowned his victories by making a state visit to Baghdad. An account of the ceremony observed on this historical occasion has been handed down, and is of particular interest as showing the prestige which still attached to the Caliphate. The Seljuk conqueror, escorted by his nobles, approached the sacred presence on foot and unarmed. He was received by the Successor of the Prophet, who, seated on a golden throne concealed by hangings, wore the famous black mantle of the Abbasids and grasped the staff of Mohamed in his right hand. Toghril in awe and reverence fell on his face and kissed the ground, and after a pause was conducted to a throne placed near that of the Caliph. A decree was then read, appointing him the Viceregent of the Successor of the Prophet and Lord of all Moslems. Seven robes of honour and seven slaves were then bestowed upon the Seljuk to symbolize the seven regions of the Caliphate; a rich brocade scented with musk was draped over his head, surmounted by twin crowns to signify the kingship of Arabia and Persia; and, to complete the investiture—the word here bears its literal meaning—he was girded with two swords to signify that he was ruler of the East and of the West. Some may
think that the Caliph was merely masking his impotence by a ceremony that was little more than mummmery; but it is more reasonable to suppose that the Seljuk chieftain did not so regard it, but felt after the investiture that his conquests had been legally recognized and that his crown had been hallowed by the religious head of Islam.

After remaining in Baghdad for about a year, during which his niece, sister of Alp Arslan, was married to the Caliph, Toghril continued his victorious career until in Georgia and Iberia his hordes came into collision with the armies of Byzantium. To quote Gibbon, "the shepherd presumed to despatch an ambassador, or herald, to demand the tribute and obedience of the Emperor of Constantinople." Upon his return to Baghdad the ever-victorious Seljuk was rewarded with the high-sounding title of "King of the East and of the West." He demanded a sister of the Caliph in marriage, and this supreme honour was reluctantly granted; but he died before the ceremony could be completed.

Thus passed off the stage, at the age of seventy, Rukn-u-Din, Abu Talib, Toghril Beg, the leader of a wave of virile Turks from the East, who, although Moslems themselves, overwhelmed the kingdoms owning allegiance to the Caliphate. A notable personality, he raised his tribe from mere tenders of sheep and robbers to become the possessors of a wide empire. Little is known of the character of this extraordinary man, save that he was harsh when necessary, strict in his religious observances, and secretive, but more generous in disposition than his upbringing and circumstances would lead us to expect.

Malik Kaward of Kerman, A.H. 433-465 (1041-1072).

—Although it was the career of Toghril Beg that governed the fortunes of the Seljuk dynasty, we may turn aside for a moment to notice the Kerman dynasty, which lasted from A.H. 433 (1041) to A.H. 583 (1187), albeit its importance was mainly confined to the lifetime of its founder Imad-u-Din, Kara Arslan Kaward, the eldest son of Chakir Beg. This scion of the House of Seljuk was vigorous and capable, and found little difficulty in seizing the province from the Buwayhid rulers, who were weakened by family feuds. The chronicler Mohamed Ibrahim relates that when Abu Kalinjar, Imad-u-Din, marched from Fars to defend the province he was poisoned by a favourite slave girl, but further efforts
apparently were made after his death. The Seljuk now had to deal with the "Hot Country," which at this period was independent. Here again treachery was employed, and Malik Kaward, as he is generally termed, not only annexed the country down to the coast but compelled the Governor of Hormuz to fit out a fleet, in which he crossed to Oman. As the result of his expedition this province of Arabia remained for many years tributary to Kerman.

Later in his reign Malik Kaward turned his attention to Sistan, building a fort to close the pass on the only route which united the two provinces, and erecting pillars to serve as beacons in the desert. One of these two columns, which is still intact, is now termed "the Column of Nadir"; it was owing to the chronicle of Mohamed Ibrahim that I was able to assign it to the first Seljuk ruler of Kerman.\(^1\)

The ambitions of Malik Kaward were boundless, and he soon added Fars to his kingdom; but he was obliged to surrender this to Alp Arslan, who besieged Kerman. Finally, upon the accession of Malik Shah, he made a bid for the throne, and paid the penalty with his life.

*Alp Arslan, A.H. 455–465 (1063–1072).—*During his lifetime Toghril chose Azud-u-Din, Abu Shuja Alp Arslan, son of Chakir Beg and younger brother of Malik Kaward, as his successor. After Toghril's death, Al-Kunduri,\(^2\) his minister, unwisely supported Sulayman, brother of his late master, but in vain, and he himself was put to death. His dying message to Alp Arslan ran: "Say to the King, 'Lo, a fortunate service has your service been to me; for thy uncle gave me this world to rule over, whilst thou, giving me the martyr's portion, hast granted me the other world; so, by your service, have I gained this world and that!'" The "Conquering Lion"—to translate his title—mighty ruler though he was, is chiefly remembered in connexion with Abu Ali Hasan bin Ishak, famous in history as Nizam-ul-Mulk. This great statesman was born at Radkan, some fifty miles to the north of Meshed, and after enjoying a good education attracted the favourable notice of Chakir Beg. Having been recommended to Alp Arslan, he became his Vizier. He is always looked upon as the model of a great minister, and some, at least, of his work has endured; for the Persian

\(^1\) *Ten Thousand Miles, etc.*, p. 418.
\(^2\) So called from Kundur in the Turshis district.
system of accounts which prevails to-day is believed to have been originated by him. Among his protégés was Omar Khayyam, the Persian poet best known in England; and the famous college which he founded at Baghdad became a school of great men, among whom al-Ghazāli, the eminent theologian, deserves special mention.

Under Alp Arslan the boundaries of the Seljuk Empire were extended. Eastwards he subdued Herat, and later on Jand in Transoxiana; he also successfully checked the ambitions of his brother Kaward, as already related. In Arabia he overcame the Fatimids and gained Mecca and Medina, thereby much augmenting his prestige. In A.H. 464 (1071) he defeated a vastly superior Byzantine army in western Asia Minor—the battle of Manzikart is considered to be the turning point of Byzantine history—and took prisoner the Emperor Diogenes Romanus. The story is told that when Romanus, who had fought heroically, was brought to Alp Arslan he was asked what treatment he expected. He replied either death or to be paraded throughout the Empire, as it was unlikely that he would be spared. Asked how he would have behaved had he won, he answered, "I would have beaten thee with many a stripe." Alp Arslan showed remarkable magnanimity; for Romanus, after making a treaty and stipulating to pay a ransom, was set free, but he was seized by conspirators, blinded, and died in prison. In this campaign mention is made of a body of mercenary French and Normans, commanded by Ursel of Bally, a kinsman—possibly an ancestor—of the Scottish kings.

The last campaign of this warlike Seljuk was against Khwarazm and the Turks, and while the army was crossing the Oxus a certain prisoner was brought in who had held a fort in Khwarazm with much bravery. Condemned to be pegged out on the ground until he died, the fearless soldier cursed Alp Arslan for inflicting a death so degrading; whereupon the monarch, waving his attendants aside, shot an arrow at him, but missed, and before the prisoner could be seized he mortally wounded the great Seljuk. So perished Alp Arslan in the zenith of his fame and manhood. He was buried at Merv with the following epitaph:

Thou hast seen Alp Arslan's head in pride exalted to the sky;
Come to Merv, and see how lowly in the dust that head doth lie!
Alp Arslan was tall, a noted archer, and had such long moustaches that they had to be tied up when he shot. His life was spent in fighting, and he gained the reputation of being fearless, generous, and religious. It is much to his credit that he realized the genius for administration of Nizam-ul-Mulk, and gave him his entire confidence and a free hand. The result was that justice and order prevailed, learning was encouraged, and such prosperity returned to Persia that the Seljuk dynasty at its prime need not fear comparison with any of its predecessors.

The Seljuk Empire at its Zenith under Malik Shah, A.H. 465-485 (1072-1092).—Jalal-u-Din, Abul Fath, Malik Shah had been proclaimed heir-apparent at Meshed before his father proceeded on his last expedition. He was only seventeen when he was suddenly called to assume the vast responsibilities of Empire, and his accession was by no means unchallenged. His uncle, Kaward, marched to Rei, and at Karaj, to the south of Hamadan, a desperate battle was fought which lasted for three days and three nights before the pretender was defeated. Meanwhile Altigin, the Khan of Samarcand, had invaded the Empire, and in another quarter Ibrahim of Ghazna captured his uncle, Othman; but Ibrahim was pursued and routed by the Amir Gumushtigin, whose servant, Anushtigin, was destined to found the dynasty of the Khwarazm Shahs or Kings of Khiva. Supported by Nizam-ul-Mulk, Malik Shah weathered all these storms of state, together with the rebellion of a brother, and five years after his accession he was in a position to extend still farther the bounds of the Empire. His generals subdued the greater part of Syria and Egypt in the west, while in the east they not only conquered Bokhara and Samarcand, but received tribute from the Prince of Kashgar, who was obliged to recognize Seljuk suzerainty on his coins.

The internal prosperity of the Empire increased under the wise guidance of Nizam-ul-Mulk. Among the stories related of the famous Vizier is one that illustrates both the extent of the Empire and his own efficiency. Nizam-ul-Mulk, it is said, paid the boatmen on the Oxus by bills on Antioch, and the efficiency of his financial policy was proved by the fact that they were readily cashed. Science was fostered by the monarch, who, himself a man of culture, founded the observatory at Nishapur in which Omar Khay-
yam laboured with other scientists to compute the new era which Malik Shah inaugurated, and which was termed Jalali in his honour.

Moreover, the dynasty maintained its virility. The Sultan was passionately fond of polo, so much so that he played a match at Baghdad the day after his arrival at the capital; he was equally fond of shooting and kept a record of his bags of game. Malik Shah was seldom at rest, but among the cities in the Empire his favourite residence was Isfahan, which afterwards became the capital of Persia under the Safavi dynasty. There he constructed fine buildings and laid out sumptuous gardens.

The Downfall of Nizam-ul-Mulk.—The power and influence of the Great Vizier seemed to remain unimpaired, and when an old man he wrote his celebrated Sidsat Nāma, or "Treatise on the Art of Government," which won high praise from his royal master. But nevertheless he fell, and Malik Shah, who resembled Haroun-al-Rashid in his good fortune, has also come down to us with a tarnished name for his dismissal of the Great Vizier, even although there was no such tragedy as accompanied the downfall of the Barmecides.

It appears that complaint was made against a grandson of Nizam-ul-Mulk, and the aged Vizier, who had doubtless grown overbearing with years, returned an angry reply to his master's reproaches. The incident might have passed unnoticed but for the fact that Turkan Khatun, the favourite wife of Malik Shah, was hostile to the Vizier, and consequently he was dismissed. He was not put to death or imprisoned, but shortly after his downfall was assassinated by a fidai, or devotee, who was believed to have been sent by the famous Hasan Sabbah. There is an old legend to the effect that Nizam-ul-Mulk was at school at Nishapur with Omar Khayyam and Hasan Sabbah, and the three boys swore eternal friendship, agreeing that whichever of them succeeded in life should help the other two. Nizam-ul-Mulk fulfilled his obligation in the case of Omar Khayyam, who refused the governorship of Nishapur but asked for a pension, which was granted. He also found a suitable post for Hasan Sabbah, but the latter intrigued to supplant his benefactor, and on the failure of his designs became Nizam-ul-Mulk's

1 *i.e.* "The Turkish lady," a title, not a name.
enemy. This legend is too well known to be passed by, but disparities of age make its truth impossible.

As in the case of the Bar-mecides, profound sympathy was felt for the fallen minister, and it was deepened by his tragic end. The exquisite lines of which the following is a translation are among the elegies in which his fate is commemorated:

The Minister Nizam-ul-Mulk was a peerless pearl, which the All-merciful
God esteemed as of great price,
But, precious as it was, the age knew not its value, so, in jealousy, He replaced
it in its shell.

The Death of Malik Shah, A.H. 485 (1092).—Malik Shah survived his faithful servant less than a month, dying at the height of his fame, after a short illness, before he was forty years of age. With him passed what may justly be termed the golden prime of the Seljuk dynasty; for never within historical times had a vast empire been better governed than during the thirty years now concluded.

The Assassins.—In the previous chapter some account has been given of the origin of the Isma'ilis and also of their immediate offshoots. The members of the sect, under the European name of Assassins, played a large part on the stage of the Near East and Iran during this period and the two succeeding centuries, and they became famous in Europe through the baleful activity of their Syrian branch. It is therefore desirable to give some account of their tenets and operations at this period. The political importance of the sect began with the foundation of the so-called Fatimid dynasty, which claimed descent from the Prophet's daughter, and the Isma'ilis are in consequence often referred to as Fatimi or Alawi (descendants of Ali). By their opponents they are termed Isma'ili, Batini ("Esoterics"), Mulahida ("heretics"), this last word being the Mulehet of Marco Polo.

The dynasty in question was brought into existence through a propaganda started in A.H. 260 (873) by a certain Abdulla bin Maymun al-Kaddah, an oculist of Ahwaz and a Persian by birth. This extraordinary man founded a secret society which was to bind together Arabs and Persians, Christians and Jews, and indeed all mankind, into a school which was to owe implicit obedience to himself and to serve as a powerful instrument of his ambitions. As in the case
of the Abbasid propaganda, missionaries spread the peculiar doctrines, which offered all things to all men—a Mahdi to the Moslems, a Messiah to the Jews, philosophy to the wise, and liberty to the foolish. There was an inner doctrine for the fully initiated, which, as Browne puts it, was "philosophical and eclectic, borrowing much from old Iranian and Semitic systems and something from Neo-Platonist and Neo-Pythagorean ideas. It was dominated throughout by the mystic number Seven; there were Seven Prophetic Periods... and each of these Seven great Prophets was succeeded by Seven Imams."  

The task of the dai was to rouse curiosity by asking questions such as: "Why did God create the Universe in Seven Days?" "Why are there Seven Heavens, Seven Earths (or Climes), Seven Seas, and Seven Verses in the Opening Chapter of the Koran?" Among the more subtle questions were the following: "What, in reality, are the torments of hell? How can it be true that the skins of the damned will be changed into a fresh skin, in order that this fresh skin, which has not participated in their sins, may be submitted to the tortures of hell?" After a convert had been won, he was induced to take an oath of allegiance to the dai as representing the Imam, and to pay the Imam's money.

The Fatimid Dynasty, A.H. 297–567 (909–1171).—The founder of the Fatimid dynasty, which created the earliest Shia empire, was the grandson of the oculist. Taking the name of Abu Mohamed Obaydulla, he conquered the larger portion of northern Africa and made Mahdiya, near modern Tunis, his capital. Sixty years later Egypt was added to the kingdom, the fortified palace of Kahira (now Cairo) was founded on the ruins of Fostat, and, by the end of the tenth century A.D., the greater part of Syria, including Jerusalem, was also in the hands of the Fatimid line, which bore sway until the famous Salah-u-Din, the Saladin of the Crusaders, overthrew their kingdom in A.H. 567 (1171).

The most notorious personage of the dynasty thus founded was Hakim Biamrillah, or "He who rules by the order of Allah," who claimed divine honours and, possibly in imitation of the twelfth Imam, "disappeared" from the earth—or else was assassinated. It is of interest to note that his adherents, the Druzes, who derive their name from al-Duruzi,
Hakim’s Vizier, survive to the present day as a picturesque sect in the Lebanon and Anti-Lebanon.

The Career of Hasan Sabbah.—Hasan Sabbah, whom we have met as an enemy of Nizam-ul-Mulk in the reign of Malik Shah, was the son of a native of Kufa and was born at Kum. Like his father, he belonged to the “Sect of the Twelve” until he fell under the influence of the famous Nasir-i-Khusru, the “Proof” of Khorasan (who is referred to in Chapter LIV.), and other Fatimid emissaries. He was advised to proceed to Egypt, where he was received with honour. Returning thence to Persia, he extended the Fatimid propaganda to Yezd, Kerman, and Tabaristan, but he avoided the city of Rei, whose governor, a son-in-law of Nizam-ul-Mulk, was under orders to seize him.

His next step was to capture by an artifice the mountain fortress of Alamut in the Elburz range, close to the road which runs from Kazvin to Resht. This was accomplished in A.H. 483 (1090), and was followed by similar successes in other parts of Persia, more especially in the province of Kuhistan, where Tabas, Tun, Kain, Zuzan, Khur, and Khusf became centres of Ismaili power.

"The Old Man of the Mountain."—Hasan Sabbah, having established his position, broke off from the Ismailis of Egypt on the death of the Fatimite Caliph Mustansir in A.H. 487 (1094) by espousing the cause of Nizar, the unsuccessful claimant, whose brother, Mustali, succeeded to the throne of Cairo.

Hasan Sabbah now reorganized the order, at the head of which he placed himself as the Grand Master. Next in the hierarchy came the Grand Priors of districts or sees,¹ with their staff of daís. Below these superior grades were the “Companions,” the “Adherents,” and lastly the famous Fidais or “Devotees,” whose fanatical disregard of life made the sect feared even by the most puissant monarchs. The Crusaders were brought into contact with the Syrian branch of the order, and Raymond, Count of Tripoli, in A.D. 1149, and Conrad of Montferrat, titular King of Jerusalem in A.D. 1192, were among its more famous European victims. In A.D. 1272 the life of Prince Edward, afterwards Edward I.

¹ The chief of the branch in Syria was termed the Shaykh-ul-Jabal, or “Chief of the Mountain,” which title passed into Europe in the form “le Vieux” or “The Old Man of the Mountain.”
of England, was attempted at Acre, but according to tradition it was saved by his consort, who sucked the wound.

The Initiation of the Devotees.—A graphic account of the initiation of the fidais is given by Marco Polo, who, writing shortly after the capture of Alamut by Hulagu in A.D. 1252, says: 1 "The Old Man had caused a certain valley between two mountains to be enclosed, and had turned it into a garden, the largest and most beautiful that ever was seen, filled with every variety of fruit. And there were runnels flowing with wine and milk and honey and water; and numbers of ladies and of the most beautiful damsels in the world. For the Old Man desired to make his people believe that this was actually Paradise."

"Now no man was allowed to enter the Garden save those whom he intended to be his ashishin. . . . Then he would introduce them into his garden, some four or six or ten at a time, having first made them drink a certain potion which cast them into a deep sleep, and then causing them to be lifted and carried in. When therefore they awoke and found themselves in a place so charming, they deemed that it was Paradise in very truth. . . . So when the Old Man would have any prince slain, he would say to a youth: 'Go thou and slay so and so; and when thou returnest my Angels shall bear thee into Paradise.'"

The potion was composed of cannabis indica, or hemp, known as hashish, and this is undoubtedly the origin of the word "Assassin." The fidais rarely survived their victims, as they gloried in martyrdom and attempted to execute their mission in the most open and dramatic manner. Indeed, so certain of happiness after death were the followers of this sect that mothers wept if their sons returned alive from a quest on which they had been sent by the "Shaykh of the Mountain."

Mahmud, A.H. 485 (1092); Barkiyaruk, A.H. 487 (1094); Malik Shah II, A.H. 498 (1104); Mohamed, A.H. 498-511 (1104–1117).—The death of Malik Shah unchained fierce rivalries. He had four sons, all of whom ultimately reigned, the latest and most illustrious being Sultan Sanjar, or "the Hawk."

Turkan Khatun was at Baghdad with Mahmud, a child of four, at the time of her husband's decease, and immediately

1 Yule's Marco Polo, i. p. 139 (Cordier's edition).
brought influence to bear upon the Caliph Muktadi to secure her son's accession. In this she succeeded, and a high official was sent on post-horses to Isfahan with orders to seize Barkiyaruk, Malik Shah's eldest son by another wife, Zobayda. But this attempt was forestalled by the sons of Nizam-ul-Mulk, and Barkiyaruk, a boy of twelve, was taken off to Rei, where he was crowned. Turkan Khatun had followed her emissary and gained possession of Isfahan, where she was soon attacked by the supporters of Barkiyaruk, who, however, were bought off. Shortly afterwards Turkan Khatun, by promise of marriage, induced Malik Ismail, brother of Zobayda, to attack the rival of her son; but he was defeated, and Barkiyaruk was formally proclaimed at Baghdad two years after the death of Malik Shah. But this did not end the troubles; for Tutush, a paternal uncle and the founder of the Syrian dynasty, rose in rebellion and captured the young Sultan, whom he brought to Isfahan and threw into prison. It had been decided to blind him, but his half-brother Mahmud suddenly died of smallpox, and Barkiyaruk was thereupon restored to the throne, owing partly, no doubt, to the disappearance from the scene of Turkan Khatun, who had been put to death a short time before.

Barkiyaruk, who appears to have profited by his lessons in the school of adversity, defeated and killed Tutush in the following year, and another rebellious uncle was opportuneely removed by the hand of a page. In the course of these stirring events the life of Barkiyaruk was also attempted by one of the Ismaili devotees, but he escaped.

In a.h. 489 (1096) Sanjar was appointed King of Khorasan, but in a.h. 492 (1099), the year of the capture of Jerusalem by the Crusaders, Mohamed, another son of Malik Shah, rebelled, aided by Muayyid-ul-Mulk, the ablest of the late Nizam-ul-Mulk's sons, whom Barkiyaruk had dismissed from office and converted into a mortal enemy. Small wonder was it that the invasion from Europe met with no response from Baghdad, for civil war was waged incessantly throughout the reign of the unfortunate Barkiyaruk. At last peace was made, but shortly afterwards Barkiyaruk died, and his brother Mohamed obtained the supreme power by seizing and blinding the heir-apparent, Malik Shah II., a boy of five. Mohamed now became the undisputed ruler of the heart of the Empire, and during his reign he waged incessant war on the Assassins.
Upon his death his successor, Mahmud, a foolish boy of fourteen, attacked his powerful uncle, Sanjar, who defeated him at Sava, to the west of Kum. With magnanimity unusual in that period, Sanjar not only spared the boy's eyes, but made him ruler of Irak and gave him his own daughter in marriage.

During this period of fratricidal strife the Empire had broken up, Kerman, Syria, and Asia Minor being ruled by dynasties which were independent, although to some extent they acknowledged the nominal suzerainty of the main line. Sanjar, however, had practically no concern with the provinces west of Iran, and the Seljuks of Rum, as Asia Minor was termed, were entirely independent and maintained their dynasty until the rise of the Osmanlis at the beginning of the fourteenth century.

The Seljuks of Kerman, A.H. 433-583 (1041-1187).—The Seljuks of Kerman have been mentioned and the career of their founder, Malik Kaward, has been related; but we must follow briefly the later fortunes of the dynasty, which ruled in south-east Persia for one hundred and forty-six years.

After the execution of Malik Kaward his victorious nephew, Malik Shah, decided to extirpate the whole of his family, and with that end in view marched on Kerman and laid siege to it. But Kaward had left forty daughters, and when representations were made that it was not becoming for these to be handed over to the soldiery Malik Shah pardoned the family. Kerman was left to Sultan Shah, son of Kaward, who had been partially blinded after the defeat of his father, but had escaped and returned thither. Turan Shah, the founder of the Malik mosque of Kerman, was the next ruler, and his son, Iran Shah, was such a "monster" that he was put to death. In other words, he was suspected of favouring the Ismaili tenets. Under the just and efficient rule of his cousin, Arslan Shah, who reigned forty-one years, from A.H. 494 to A.H. 536 (1100-1141), the province attained great prosperity. If the chronicler is to be credited, caravans from Asia Minor, Khorasan, and Irak passed through it bound for Abyssinia, Zanzibar, and China. Arslan Shah was sovereign also of the neighbouring province of Fars, and

1 The double-headed eagle of the Hittites was adopted by this branch of the Seljuks; it thence passed to Byzantium and became the cognizance of Austria and Russia.
had his deputy in Oman. Ultimately the dynasty was destroyed by the Ghuzz, like the main branch of the Seljuks.

The Origin of the Crusades.—By way of conclusion to this chapter I propose to give a brief account of the Crusades, which for nearly two centuries constituted an attack by Christendom on Islam as represented by the Seljuk and Fatimid Empires; although they affected the fortunes of Persia only indirectly, to pass them by without notice would leave this narrative incomplete. Pilgrimages to Jerusalem may be said to date from the famous journey of St. Helena, the mother of Constantine, whose alleged discovery of the true cross in A.D. 326 marked the beginning of pilgrim-travel; and Beazley gives details of St. Silvia, of Jerome, and other very early pilgrims.

Of special interest to us is the journey of St. Willibald, the West Saxon, the earliest recorded Englishman to visit the East. He and his companions started from Hamble Mouth, near Southampton, with the original intention of proceeding no farther than Rome, where they stayed for some time. In the spring of A.D. 722, having decided "to reach and gaze upon the walls of that delectable and desirable city of Jerusalem," they travelled via Naples to Syracuse and Southern Greece, and so to Ephesus, whence they proceeded, mainly by land, to Cyprus. Their port in Syria was Tortosa, and, walking inland to Emesa, they were thrown into prison "as strangers and unknown men." A friendly Spaniard, brother of a chamberlain to the Caliph, took up their case, and they were summoned to appear before Yezid II. On his asking whence they came, they replied, "From the western shore, where the sun sets, and we know not of any land beyond—nothing but water." So remote were the British Isles before the discovery of America! The Caliph upon hearing this exclaimed, "Why punish them? They have done no wrong; set them free." By this journey Willibald, almost forgotten to-day, was the forerunner of a mighty movement of conquest.

It will be remembered that in the account of the reign of Haroun-al-Rashid a reference was made to his exchange of embassies with Charlemagne. Indeed, no fewer than three missions reached the great Caliph, who despatched three return

1 For this section I have consulted The Crusades in the East, by W. B. Stevenson, and Beazley's Down of Modern Geography.
embassies to Europe. Again, during the reign of Mamun, Louis the Pious, son of Charlemagne, sent an embassy, which brought a response from Mamun six years later. The concessions obtained from Haroun gave the Franks a strong position in Jerusalem, but before the ninth century closed their quasi-protectorate, as Beazley aptly terms it, passed to the Byzantines.

It is hard to realize how deep was the interest taken by Christendom in pilgrimages during the tenth century, and from what remote countries the pilgrims came. It is especially remarkable that in A.D. 987 two Icelanders appear on the scene, first-fruits of the conversion of the Norsemen with all its far-reaching consequences. In the eleventh century pilgrimages became common, even women taking part in them, and the interest of Christendom grew continually deeper. Suddenly, in A.D. 1010, the mad Fatimite Hakim Biamrillah, who has already been mentioned, destroyed the buildings of the Holy Sepulchre. Some ten years later they were rebuilt, but Christendom had meanwhile been stirred to its depths, and from that time the crusades became inevitable, although eighty years were to elapse before the movement gained sufficient strength for action.

The First Crusade, A.D. 1095–1099.—Perhaps the first reply to the destruction of the Holy Sepulchre was given in the island of Sardinia, which was wrested by the Pisans from Islam in 1016. In 1060 the Norman conquest of Sicily from the Arabs, which took thirty years to accomplish, began, and this may to some extent be regarded as a crusade. At any rate it helped the growth of European sea power in the Mediterranean, the Genoese, Pisans, Normans, and Venetians destroying the Moslem fleets and thereby, for the first time, making military conquest by Europe in the Near East a possibility.

In A.D. 1095 Pope Urban II. delivered a memorable address at Clermont, telling his hearers how the cries from threatened Constantinople and oppressed Jerusalem were ringing in his ears, and that it would take two months to traverse the lands which the “accursed Persian race” had won from the Empire of the East. The effect was

---

1 *Dawn of Geography*, vol. ii. p. 120.
2 The appeal of the Byzantine Emperor for armed help was actually due to conquests by the Seljuk Turks, here erroneously termed Persians. It was intended to use the western troops to recover Asia Minor for Byzantium.
instantaneous on minds already prepared, and cries of *Deus le volit, Deus le volit*, "God wills it, God wills it," went up from the mighty host, which was now moved against Islam as it had never been moved before. Crosses were distributed, and Christendom, stirred by wandering preachers such as Peter the Hermit who carried the theme of Urban's sermon far and wide, prepared for the Crusades.

**The Defeat of the First Army by the Seljuks.—** As might be expected, the first raw levies which marched across Europe, massacring the Jews and generally robbing and pillaging, reached Constantinople in very small numbers. The Emperor Alexius advised them to await the arrival of the organized armies; in the meanwhile, dreading their lawlessness, he transported them to Asia and sent them supplies by sea. The German section of these Crusaders made a raid towards Nicaea on the Sea of Marmora, but they were surrounded and captured by Kilij-Arslan Daud, the reigning Seljuk of Rum; the same Prince also surprised and cut to pieces the main body of the undisciplined mob, with the exception of a remnant which escaped into a fort and was rescued by troops from Constantinople.

**The Capture of Nicaea and of Antioch by the Crusaders.—** The next effort was much better organized, men of higher rank and position, such as Raymond of Toulouse and Duke Robert of Normandy, taking part in it. The army avoided the Mediterranean Sea, which was in Moslem hands, and marching by various routes united outside the walls of Constantinople. Crossing into Asia Minor, the vanguard attacked Nicaea, and was in turn assaulted by Kilij-Arslan, who probably expected another encounter with a mob. But these Crusaders were a very different force, and in this, their first battle, they won a complete victory. Nicaea surrendered in the end to Alexius, and the crusading army marched across the heart of Asia Minor towards Syria. But it was no military promenade; for at Dorylaeum, two or three stages to the south-east of Nicaea, it was again fiercely attacked, and with some difficulty beat off the enemy. Asia Minor had been devastated by the Turkish hordes, and the Crusaders suffered terribly from lack of water and supplies, but at last they descended into Syria, and in October A.D. 1097 besieged Antioch, which was captured after extraordinary vicissitudes of fortune.
The Storming of Jerusalem, A.H. 492 (1099).—It is of interest to note that the Crusaders had opened negotiations in advance with the Fatimid Caliph, who sent a return embassy to the camp at Antioch. Jerusalem was in his possession, and he apparently refused any concession except that he would admit three hundred unarmed pilgrims to worship at the Holy Sepulchre. This offer was rejected with scorn, and in A.H. 492 (1099) Jerusalem was stormed, when the deplorable fanaticism of Christendom was vented on the Moslem and Jewish inhabitants, who were slain by thousands. News of the capture of the city, which was sacred in Islam as the scene of the Prophet's heavenly flight and as containing the mosque of Omar, reached Baghdad, and after it came crowds of refugees who clamoured for war against the infidel. But, as we have already seen, the Seljuks were at that time fighting to the death among themselves, and in spite of tumults at Baghdad, where the Great Mosque was stormed, no action was taken either by the Seljuks or by the Fatimids, and the Crusaders were allowed to organize their conquests in peace. Thus in a halo of glory ended the first crusade, which constituted a sign that Christendom was rallying and reviving. As Beazley writes: "The crusades are the central expression of this revival, which, though defeated in some of its immediate objects, was entirely successful in kindling a spirit of patriotism, of practical religious fervour, and of boundless enterprise, whereby our Western World finally attained to the discovery, conquest, colonization, or trade-dominion of the best portions of the earth." 

CHAPTER LIII

THE DISRUPTION OF THE SELJUK EMPIRE

They adore the wind and live in the desert: they eat no bread and drink no wine, but endure a diet of raw meat and, being destitute of noses, breathe only through two small holes.—RABBI BENJAMIN OF TUDELA.

Sultan Sanjar at the Height of his Fame.—Sultan Sanjar is famous in history not only for his power and success, which gained him the reputation of being invincible, but also for his sudden and tragic fall, which involved that of his dynasty. According to native chroniclers, during the forty years of his rule as King of Khorasan, Sanjar made nineteen conquests. After he had attained the position of Great Seljuk by the defeat of his nephew, his successes continued, and in a.h. 524 (1130) he invaded Mavaranahr,¹ or Transoxiana, in order to reduce Ahmad Khan, who had ceased to pay tribute. He besieged Samarcand and took Ahmad Khan prisoner, but subsequently restored him to power. Six years later Bahram Shah, of the Ghaznavid dynasty, rebelled, but soon tendered his submission; in a.h. 535 (1140) Samarcand again revolted and for six months endured a siege by Sanjar, who when he captured it displayed unusual clemency towards its inhabitants. To the north his campaigns against the rising power of Khwarazm, or Khiva, during the earlier years of his reign kept that state in check.

Mohamed Ibrahim mentions in his history that Sanjar, who had designs on the Kerman province, remarked to the envoy of Arslan Shah that he had heard there was a district in Kerman where the narcissus bloomed. “True, O Sultan,”

¹ Literally “Beyond the River.”
was the reply, "but there are sharp thorns also." It is not recorded that Sanjar made any attack on the province, and the chronicler evidently believes the Great Seljuk took this remark as a warning that he would be opposed if he attempted an invasion. On the other hand he was accepted as suzerain by the Kerman branch of the dynasty.

An Episode of the Assassins.—In the previous chapter I have given some account of the rise of the baleful power of the assassins, and its continuance, in spite of the long list of their victims, is a proof of the unsatisfactory condition of the Seljuk Empire. Barkiyaruk, during whose reign they consolidated their position, was himself accused of being in sympathy with their tenets and, perhaps as a proof of his orthodoxy, ordered a massacre of the sect, one of many which were instituted by way of reprisal. As already mentioned, Iran Shah, the Seljuk prince of Kerman, was also suspected of adherence to the Ismaili doctrines. It is difficult to conceive a more deplorable state of affairs than one which caused all men of position and especially monarchs to go constantly in fear for their lives, and sowed the deepest mistrust between all classes. Nor did capture end the assassin's power for evil, as for instance after the assassination of Fakhr-ul-Mulk, son of Nizam-ul-Mulk; for the devotee, being interrogated by Sultan Sanjar, denounced several prominent officers of the Court, who, although probably innocent, were in consequence executed.

A terrible instance of their almost incredible methods was that of ibn Attash,1 who won thousands of converts at Isfahan. Numbers of people were at that time disappearing in a most inexplicable manner and a panic prevailed. The mystery was solved through the instrumentality of a beggar woman who, hearing groans proceeding from a house, suspected foul play and refused to enter when pressed to do so. She raised an alarm, and the crowd, breaking into the building, found four or five hundred miserable victims, most of whom were crucified, and some still alive. These unhappy creatures had been lured to their doom by a blind man, who used to stand at the end of the lane leading to his house crying out, "May God pardon him who will take the hand of this poor blind man and lead him to the door of his dwelling in this lane!" The vengeance taken on the owner of the house

and his accomplices was swift; and afterwards ibn Attash himself was paraded through Isfahan and crucified, arrows being shot at him to increase his sufferings. If ever an agonising punishment is justifiable, that of ibn Attash was well deserved. Yet, owing to the death of Sultan Mohamed in A.D. 1118, these accursed heretics were not extirpated, but on the contrary gained possession of fortresses in Syria and in every part of Persia.

It is related that Sanjar intended to attack Alamut, and had marched several stages towards it when one morning, on waking up, he found a dagger stuck into the ground near his bed. Attached to it was a paper with the following written menace: “Sultan Sanjar, beware! Had not thy character been respected, the hand which stuck this dagger into the hard ground could with greater ease have struck it into thy soft bosom.” Apparently the threat had the desired result, for the Great Seljuk abandoned his undertaking.

The Ghorid Dynasty, A.H. 543-612 (1148-1215).—The Ghorid dynasty which held sway in the mountains between Herat and Ghazna calls for a short notice. Mahmud reduced the principality, and its princes continued to rule under the Ghaznavid monarchs, with whom they had intermarried. Bahram Shah, the reigning Ghaznavid, executed a member of the Ghorid family, whose death was avenged by the capture of Ghazna in A.H. 543 (1148) and the expulsion of Bahram Shah. This prince, however, recovered his capital by means of a conspiracy, and treated Sayf-u-Din, brother of the Prince, with extreme cruelty and insult, parading him through the city and then crucifying him. Six years later Ala-u-Din, the reigning Ghorid Prince, exacted the fullest retribution, and gained the awful title of Jahan Suz, or “World Burner,” by the ferocity with which he reduced to a heap of ashes the beautiful buildings erected by Mahmud and his successors. Yet, as we read in the Chahar Makala, “he bought with gold the poems written in their praise and placed them in his library.”

Ala-u-Din was afterwards a prisoner in the hands of Sultan Sanjar, and when he died in A.H. 556 (1161) the Ghuzz were ravaging Afghanistan, and both the Ghorid and Ghaznavid governments for a time disappeared. The Ghorid dynasty, however, revived, and for a while held part of the province of Khorasan; it will be heard of again in this connexion.
The Rise of the Shahs of Khwarazm.—The Shahs of Khwarazm or Khiva were descended from a favourite cup-bearer of Malik Shah named Anushtigin, who has already been mentioned in connexion with that monarch’s accession. His successor was Kutb-u-Din Mohamed, whose state the Kara Khitai invaded during his reign. He sent a large army to oppose them, but was defeated and had to pay tribute. This monarch died in a.h. 490 (1097). His son Atsiz remained for many years at the court of Sanjar, where he acted as Chief Cup-bearer, but in a.h. 533 (1138) he obtained permission to proceed to Khiva, where he promptly raised a rebellion. Sanjar, however, easily defeated his vassal, who fled, but shortly afterwards recovered his kingdom.

The Kara Khitai Dynasty.—The founder of the Kara Khitai, or “Black Cathayan,” dynasty of Chinese Turkestan was a certain princely adventurer, named Yelui Tashi, a near relation of the Cathayan Emperor. He had aided him in his struggles against the Nuchens, who eventually founded the Kin dynasty on the ruins of the Cathayan Empire, but, realizing that the position of the Emperor was hopeless, Yelui Tashi marched off in a.d. 1123 to seek his fortunes to the north-west of Shensi. There all classes rallied to his standard in recognition of his illustrious descent, and with a large force he marched into Chinese Turkestan, which he annexed, and founded a Buddhist kingdom in the Tarim basin. He subsequently invaded Khwarazm, as related in the previous section, and imposed an annual tribute of thirty thousand pieces of gold. Two years later, having by that time extended his Empire to the confines of Siberia, Yelui Tashi assumed the high title of Gur Khan, or “Universal Lord.” This great conqueror died in a.d. 1136, as he was preparing to attack the usurping Nuchens. His immediate successors were minors, and their regents were their female relations; but the tribe for some generations to come maintained its warlike ascendancy over the kingdoms of Central Asia.

The Defeat of Sultan Sanjar by the Kara Khitai, a.h. 536 (1141).—Atsiz was a man of resource, and, not content with recovering his kingdom after his expulsion by Sanjar, was able to avenge himself by inciting the Kara Khitai to invade the territories of his overlord. A great battle was fought in the valley of Dirgham beyond the Oxus, and Sanjar
suffered his first defeat in a hitherto successful career. In this disaster, which was held to be the most crushing ever experienced by Moslems in Central Asia, the Seljuk losses were one hundred thousand men. Its result was that the Kara Khitai temporarily occupied Merv and Nishapur, and Atsiz returned to Khiva as an independent sovereign. Two years later Sanjar had recovered sufficient strength to invade Khiva; but, meeting with little success, he made peace. Atsiz, who died about a year before his great enemy, left to his son a kingdom which stretched as far east as the province of Jand on the Jaxartes. Sanjar's last success was the defeat and capture of the infamous "World Burner" of Ghor, who had invaded Khorasan.

The Capture of Sultan Sanjar by the Ghuzz, A.H. 548 (1153).—As we have already had occasion to remark, one of the most potent causes of the overthrow of powerful dynasties has been found in the movements of nomadic tribes which, in their flight from a strong foe, have fought desperately to secure new grazing grounds in a strange country. The Kara Khitai, when they won their empire, left the sedentary population unmolested, but drove the Ghuzz tribes from their pastures. Crossing the Oxus, the dispossessed nomads obtained permission from Sultan Sanjar to settle in the neighbourhood of Balkh, agreeing to supply 24,000 sheep annually as a tax for their 40,000 families. A dispute as to the quality of the sheep excited a rising, which the governor of Balkh tried in vain to quell. Upon hearing this, in A.H. 548 (1153) Sanjar marched in person with an army of one hundred thousand men to assert his authority. The Ghuzz in alarm offered to submit and pay a heavy fine, but Sanjar would not listen to their overtures, and the nomads fighting desperately for their lives defeated the Seljuk army and took the Sultan prisoner.

The Atrocities committed by the Ghuzz.—The victors, furious and intoxicated with success, attacked Merv Shahijan, or "Merv the Royal," as it was generally termed, which they captured with all the amassed wealth of the Seljuks. Not content with plunder, they tortured the wretched inhabitants, their favourite method being to ram dust down the victim's throat with a stick, the mixture being grimly described as "Ghuzz coffee." From Merv they marched on Nishapur, where "the slain could not be seen for the blood wherein
they lay." Their terrible ravages have been depicted by Anwari, whose poem was translated by William Kirkpatrick in A.D. 1785. Two of the stanzas run:

Waft, gentle gale, oh waft to Samarcand,
When next thou visitest that blissful land,
The plaint of Khorasania plunged in woe:
Bear to Turania's King our piteous scroll,
Whose opening breathes forth all the anguished soul,
And close denotes what all the tortur'd know.

The mosque no more admits the pious race;
Constrain'd, they yield to beasts the holy place,
A stable now, where dome nor porch is found:
Nor can the savage foe proclaim his reign,
For Khorasania's criers all are slain,
And all her pulpits levelled with the ground.

Their Ravages in the Kerman Province.—In the province of Kerman, too, the Ghuzz made great havoc. They harried the neighbourhood of the capital, and thence proceeded to the fertile districts of Jirufut and Narmashir, which they laid waste. In A.H. 581 (1185) Malik Dinar arrived from Khorasan, joined the Ghuzz, and with their aid seized the province. Some years later he proceeded to Hormuz, where the Governor gave him money and horses. He also extracted money from Keis, then an emporium of great importance, which had been visited by Benjamin of Tudela only a few years previously. Upon the death of Malik Dinar the Ghuzz in the Kerman province were attacked by the Shabancara or lk tribe, who dealt them some heavy blows, and they were finally crushed by Atabeg Sad bin Zangi.

The Escape and Death of Sultan Sanjar, A.H. 552 (1157).—Sanjar remained four years a prisoner with the Ghuzz, treated apparently with respect but closely guarded; tradition says that he sat on a throne by day but was placed in a cage at night. He contrived at last to escape when on a hunting expedition, and it is said that when he saw the ruined state of Merv he ceased to wish for life, and died heart-broken in the seventy-third year of his age. He was buried in a splendid mausoleum erected during his lifetime, which in its present half-ruined state struck me as strangely impressive, recalling

---

1 This tribe occupied a district to the east of Shiraz, with lk, to the north-west of Darab, as their capital. Marco Polo gives Soncara, evidently a corruption of this word, as the "Seventh Kingdom" of Persia.
as it did an illustrious puissant monarch, the last Great Seljuk, who ended a glorious reign as a homeless and heart-broken fugitive.

**His Character.**—All historians unite in praising the valour, justice, magnanimity, and kindness of Sultan Sanjar, who was so universally beloved that his name was read in the mosques for a full year after his death—an unprecedented compliment. An interesting sidelight is thrown on his character by his enmity to the poet Rashid-u-Din, better known as Watwat, or “the Swallow,” from his diminutive stature. When Sanjar was besieging Atsiz in the fortress of Hazar Asp, or “One Thousand Horses,” he instructed Anwari to compose a stanza calculated to annoy his enemy and ordered it to be shot into the town. The lines—somewhat colourless in a translation—ran thus:

O King! all the dominion of the earth is accounted thine;
By fortune and good luck the world is thine acquisition:
Take Hazar Asp to-day with a single assault,
And to-morrow Khwarazm and a hundred thousand horses shall be thine!

The stanza was duly received, and the following reply, inspired by Watwat, was shot back:

If thine enemy, O King, were Knight Rustam himself,
He could not carry off from thy Hazar Asp a single ass!

Stung by the retort, Sanjar gave orders for Watwat to be kidnapped, and when some time afterwards he was caught, directed that he should be cut into seven pieces, a sentence which does little to support the Sultan’s reputation for magnanimity. However, a courtier said, “O King! I have a request to prefer; Watwat is a feeble little bird and cannot bear to be divided into seven pieces: order him, then, to be merely cut in two!” Sanjar laughed and the poet was pardoned.

**The Revival of the Caliphate.**—During the heyday of the Seljuk dynasty the Caliphs were mere puppets, but Mustarshid, who was Caliph for seventeen years from A.H. 512 (1118), took advantage of the intestine wars then raging to aim at independence. He achieved his object for a while, but on being attacked by Zengi, the famous adversary of the Crusaders, he was forced to submit. In the end he was assassinated, as

---

1 Situated between Khiva and the left bank of the Oxus.
was also his son and successor Rashid, but under Muktafi the independence of the Caliphate became more marked. Nasir, who succeeded to the Caliphate in A.H. 575 (1180), opened up relations with Khwarazm, and instigated Tekish to attack Toghril, the Seljuk ruler of Irak. The attack succeeded, Toghril was slain, and his head was sent to Bagdad. The victor, who handed over some Persian provinces to the Caliph, was recognized by Nasir as the supreme ruler of the East. But these friendly relations did not endure, and when Ala-u-Din Mohamed endeavoured to depose the Caliph, as mentioned below, the latter appealed to the far-off Chengiz Khan. In other words, the head of Islam is believed to have invited a horde of Mongol pagans to attack a Moslem state.

The Khwarazm Dynasty at its Zenith.—The death of Sultan Sanjar was the signal for the break-up of his dominions. Il-Arslan succeeded his father Atsiz on the throne of Khiva, and, like him, suffered defeat at the hands of the Kara Khitai—in A.H. 568 (1172). In the following year he died, and civil war broke out between his two sons, Tekish and Sultan Shah Mahmud, in which the latter was aided by Muayyid, the Governor of Nishapur. Tekish inflicted a crushing defeat on his brother, who took refuge with the Ghorids, and Muayyid was captured and cut in two. In A.H. 588 (1192) Tekish killed the Kara Khitai receiver of tribute, and in retaliation Sultan Shah’s claims were supported by the incensed Gur Khan. In order to protect his kingdom, Tekish caused the Oxus valley to be flooded, and the campaign produced no definite result. Sultan Shah, however, was helped in a descent on Sarakhs, which he captured, and his expulsion of the Ghuzz from this district led to their migration to the Kerman province. Sultan Shah afterwards took Nishapur, and until his death in A.H. 589 (1192) was a thorn in the side of his elder brother. Upon being freed from this permanent source of danger, Tekish in A.H. 590 (1194) overthrew Toghril III., the last Seljuk to rule in Persia, and added the greater part of Western Persia to his empire.

In A.H. 596 (1200) Ala-u-Din Mohamed, whose career resembles that of Sanjar, succeeded to the throne and extended his empire in every direction with such success that in a few years Balkh to the north and Kerman to the south acknow-
ledged his suzerainty. He now deemed himself strong enough to challenge his Kara Khitai overlords, the murder of a receiver of tribute once again constituting the act of defiance. He invaded the territory of the Kara Khitai, and in his first campaign suffered a severe defeat. In the following year, however, in conjunction with Othman of Samarcand and aided by the treachery of Guchluk, as detailed in Chapter LV., he retrieved his lost laurels and was able to annex the western provinces of the Kara Khitai Empire. In A.H. 607 (1210), the year following this successful campaign, he captured Samarcand and, killing Othman who had accepted his suzerainty but had rebelled, made it his capital.

But this did not complete the conquests of Mohamed, for he annexed the Indian provinces of the Ghorid dynasty, and finally absorbed the two provinces of Ghor and Ghazna. In the archives of Ghazna letters were found from the Caliph Nasir, urging the Ghorid Princes to unite with the Kara Khitai against Khwarazm. Incensed at this proof of hostility, in A.H. 612 (1216) Mohamed summoned a council at Khiva, which deposed Nasir as an assassin and enemy of the faith, and nominated a descendant of Ali to the Caliphate. Thus, fortified with legal documents, he advanced into Persia, captured Sad, the Atabeg of Fars, and put to flight the Atabeg of Azerbaijan. Mohamed was met by an envoy of the Caliph, whom he treated with contempt, and from Hamadan he was marching against Baghdad, which lay at his mercy, when an extraordinary fall of snow accompanied by extreme cold caused him to abandon the enterprise, and Baghdad was saved.

The Atabegs.—To complete the survey of the dynasties into which Persia had again been broken up, some account must be given of the Atabegs or “Regents.” This was a title conferred upon the slaves, or their descendants, who acted as “father-lords”—for that is the exact meaning of the word—to their young masters, and in many cases gained independence and founded dynasties. Salghur, from whom the Fars dynasty was descended, was the chief of a Turkoman band which joined Toghril Beg, and was taken into his service. The member of the family who actually founded the dynasty was Sunkur, who gained possession of Fars in A.H. 543 (1148) and maintained his independence against the Seljuks. He was an excellent ruler and was devoted to Shiraz, his capital.
The two next Atabegs call for no particular notice, and we come to Sad, who crushed the accursed Ghuzz and annexed the Kerman province in A.H. 600 (1204).

A short time after this event the unhappy province was invaded by an army from Khiva, which laid siege to the capital without effect. In the end terms were arranged, and the Khivans remained in possession. Sad also made a successful raid on Isfahan. He became tributary to Ala-u-Din, whose army he met near Rei when the Shah of Khwarazm was marching towards Baghdad. The Atabeg, with only seven hundred men, promptly attacked and defeated a large body of Khivan troops; but he fell off his horse and was taken prisoner. He excused himself for his mad act by stating that he was not aware that the army was that of Khiva, and, having agreed to pay an annual tribute to Khwarazm and to give his daughter to Jalal-u-Din, the heir-apparent, he was dismissed with honour. In A.H. 623 (1226) Sad was succeeded by Abubekr, famous as the patron of the poet Sadi, who had taken his title from Sad's name. Abubekr showed much foresight in conciliating the Mongol invaders, by which act of policy he maintained his own dynasty and saved Fars from the appalling calamities that befell other parts of Persia.

These Atabegs of Fars were the most powerful in Persia, but there was also a dynasty of Atabegs of Azerbaijan, which ruled from A.H. 531 (1136) to A.H. 622 (1225). This family, however, never attained to more than local importance. A Luristan dynasty too was established by means of a force sent from Fars, and held sway from A.H. 543 (1148) to A.H. 740 (1329). Its reigning prince made terms with the Mongols, and, as will be seen in Chapter LVII., Abaga owed his life to the courage of a member of the family.

The End of a Great Period.—In history it is not always easy to discover the true dividing lines, but the Mongol invasion which swept across Asia is unmistakable, for it inflicted a blow from which Moslem civilization never entirely recovered. Not only were entire populations blotted out of existence, but the cataclysm culminated in the sack of Baghdad and the murder of the Caliph, after which the Caliphate, as the spiritual centre of Islam, ceased to exist. This marks the end of what was in many ways a great period.

Nothing is more interesting to one deeply interested in
the welfare of Persia than to watch how in the Abbasid period Persian superiority in everything but the bravery born of fanaticism reasserted itself, how when the arts of peace flourished, Persian ascendency was re-established, and how later on Persian dynasties once more began to reign in Iran.

Little can be gleaned of the condition of the masses at this period, but it is reasonable to suppose that it depended almost entirely on the strength or weakness, the justice or the injustice, of the monarch and his governors. There is no doubt that, as a rule, there was terrible oppression, for this is the normal state in the East under an Asiatic government. At the same time it does not altogether follow that the life of the masses was unhappy because they were misgoverned. In many cases, especially where villages escape assessment or can bribe an assessor, taxes are extremely light, and the Persian always loves the excitement attending the uncertain incidence of the maliat, or revenue.
CHAPTER LIV

PERSIAN LITERATURE BEFORE THE MONGOL INVASION

Bear before me to Khorasan, Zephyr, a kindly word,
To its Scholars and men of learning and not to the witless herd,
And having faithfully carried the message I bid thee bear,
Bring me news of their doings, and tell me how they fare.
I, who was once as the cypress, now upon Fortune’s wheel
Am broken and bent, you may tell them; for thus doth Fortune deal,
Let not her specious promise you to destruction lure:
Ne’er was her covenant faithful; ne’er was her pact secure.

The Birth of Persian Literature.—It is important once again to draw attention to the fact that, although for many generations after the triumph of Islam Arabic was the only vehicle of thought and literature, much of this literature was the work of Persian intellects. As the years passed and Persia recovered from the Arab invasion, her native tongue began to reassert its claims, just as, some centuries later in England, the despised language of the conquered Saxons began to be used in preference to the French of the Norman conquerors.

It is beyond the scope of this work to do more than draw attention to the far-reaching influence of the Persian language and literature. I have found it readily understood in Constantinople, in Turkestan, and even in the remote Pamirs, while the Moslems of India and the Afghans alike study it at their schools. It has a stronger hold on the Near and Middle East than ever French had on Europe.
The birth of a post-Islamic Persian literature is believed to date from the era of the Saffarid dynasty, and constitutes one of its strongest claims to affectionate remembrance. Dolatshah, the author of the famous Lives of the Poets, gives a charming anecdote in which the little son of Yakub bin Lais is represented as lisping the first Persian verse, and this, mere legend though it may be, is of considerable significance as showing popular belief on the subject. It is reasonable to suppose that Persian poetry existed in Sasanian times, and legends tell of Barbad, court poet of Khusru Parviz, but as already stated in Chapter XLI. no traces of it are to be found; for all practical purposes such poetry as has reached us may be said to have come into being rather more than a millennium ago, under the semi-independent rulers who governed various fragments of the old Persian Empire.

During this period of one thousand years the changes in the Persian language have been astonishingly small. In English literature it is not every one who can enjoy Chaucer, because there is much that is archaic and unfamiliar in the language, but Persian poetry has come down to us fully developed, and is perhaps easier to understand in its early natural simplicity than in the more ornate artificiality which became, and has remained, the standard of taste.

The Persian is naturally of a poetical temperament, and in pleasing contrast to the latest songs of the music-hall heard in England is the classical poetry frequently recited even by muleteers, while the educated classes can quote freely from the great writers.

One of Browne's favourite authors, Nizami al-Arudi of Samarcand, gives a curious definition of poetry which is worth quoting. "Poetry," he says, "is that art whereby the poet arranges imaginary propositions and adapts the deductions with the result that he can make a little thing appear great and a great thing small, or cause good to appear in the garb of evil and evil in the garb of good. By acting on the imagination he excites the faculties of anger and concupiscence in such a way that by his suggestion men's temperaments become affected with exultation or depression; whereby he conduces to the accomplishment of great things.

1 For this chapter I have especially consulted Professor Brownie's work. I have also found Persian Literature by Claud Field of use.
in the order of the World." It would appear that the writer is describing rhetoric rather than poetry.

In the present chapter I make no attempt to condense into a few pages the classical age of Persian literature, and I propose merely to touch very briefly on a few of the stars in the literary firmament—which are cited in chronological order rather than in groups—without making any pretensions to deep knowledge of the subject, which could be acquired only by a lifetime of study.¹

**Rudagi.**—The first great poet of Persia after the advent of Islam was Rudagi, who flourished in the first half of the tenth century. Among the most famous of his poems is one which he improvised at the request of the army, to induce his royal patron to quit Herat for the capital. It runs, in Browne's felicitous translation, as follows:

The sands of Oxus, toilsome though they be,
Beneath my feet were soft as silk to me.
Glad at the friend's return, the Oxus deep
Up to our girths in laughing waves shall leap.
Long live Bukhara! Be thou of good cheer!
Joyous towards thee hasteth our Amir!
The Moon's the Prince, Bukhara is the sky;
O Sky, the Moon shall light thee by and by!
Bukhara is the Mead, the Cypress he;
Receive at last, O Mead, thy Cypress tree!

On hearing these lines, the Samanid Amir Nasr descended from his throne, mounted the sentry-horse, and started off in such haste towards his capital that his riding-boots had to be carried after him! Few ballads can have had immediate success of such a practical kind.

**Al-Biruni.**—As I have shown in Chapter LII., Persia towards the close of the tenth century of our era was divided up among various dynasties, all of which were patrons of literature, and more especially of poets. Of surpassing splendour was the brilliant galaxy that adorned the court of Sultan Mahmud of Ghazna, who not only attracted men of letters to his court, but used all his power with weaker princes to secure their leading literary stars. A classical instance is his request to Mamun, Prince of Khwarazm,² to send al-


² He was a member of the first and less famous dynasty.
Biruni and Avicenna to Ghazna. The former went willingly, but Avicenna refused to go and took refuge at the court of Kabus.

Al-Biruni was badly treated by Mahmud, who behaved at times like a spoilt child, but he remained at Ghazna and after the death of his royal patron published the second of his great works, the *Indica*. The *Chronology of Ancient Nations* had been published thirty years earlier and dedicated to Kabus. Of al-Biruni it may be said that in addition to his vast learning he possessed a fine critical faculty and a sense of proportion, which, combined with his devotion to the truth, make his writings invaluable to the student; they almost seem to be the work of some deeply-read modern European.

Avicenna.—Abu Ali bin Sina was born near Bokhara in A.D. 980, and, as already related, won the favour of the Samanid Prince Noh at the early age of seventeen by his skill as a physician. When the Samanid dynasty fell he proceeded to the court of Khwarazm, but was forced to quit it, as Mahmud insisted on his presenting himself at Ghazna. Unwilling to do this, he fled by way of Tus to Gurgan, where he was honourably received by Kabus. Upon the deposition of the Ziyarid prince he finally proceeded to the court of the Buwayhid, Ala-u-Dola, at Isfahan, where he died at the age of fifty-seven.

Avicenna was among the very greatest of the many illustrious sons of Iran, and by carrying on and developing the science of Hippocrates and Galen and the philosophy of Aristotle and Plato he exercised an influence on the best brains of both the East and the West, not only during his lifetime but for many generations after his death; his books, translated into Latin, remained the standard works of Europe from the twelfth to the seventeenth century.

Firdausi.—Supreme among the poets at the court of Mahmud of Ghazna was Abul Kasim, famous under his title Firdausi, the author of the great national epic the *Shahnama*. According to the *Chahar Makala*, he was a *dihgan* or yeoman of the village of Bazh in the Tabaran district of Tus. This

1 Browne in his *History of Persian Literature*, vol. i. p. 97, tells a delightful story of al-Biruni’s adventures at Ghazna.

2 *Vide my* "Historical Notes on Khurasan," *J.R.A.S.*, October 1910. The map attached to the plan of Tus (Tabaran) shows the various places referred to and gives the sites of the "twin-cities" of Tabaran and Nokan, which I have identified.
village I have been fortunate enough to identify with the modern Paz or Faz, situated twelve miles to the north of Meshed and three or four miles south of Rizan, which is mentioned below. The poet completed his great epic after a quarter of a century of work in A.D. 999, and ten years later took it to the court of Mahmud. Owing to intrigues and imputations of lack of orthodoxy, the beggarly sum of twenty thousand dirhems, or less than £400, was all that Firdausi was granted, instead of a gold dinar or half guinea for every couplet, as he was led to expect. In his bitter disappointment he divided the money between a bathman and a sherbet-seller, and then fled, in the first place to Herat and finally to Tabaristan. By way of revenge, he castigated Mahmud in a satire which in Browne's translation runs:

Long years this Shakhnāma I toiled to complete,
That the King might award me some recompense meet,
But naught save a heart wrung with grief and despair
Did I get from those promises empty as air!
Had the sire of the King been some Prince of renown,
My forehead had surely been graced by a crown!
Were his mother a lady of high pedigree,
In silver and gold had I stood to the knee!
But, being by birth, not a prince but a boor,
The praise of the noble he could not endure!

The years passed, and Mahmud was in India, where he encamped close to a strong fortress held by a rebellious chief to whom he had despatched an envoy. He remarked to his Vizier, "I wonder what reply the rebel will have given." The Vizier quoted:

And should the reply with my wish not accord,
Then Afrasiab's field, and the mace, and the sword!

"Whose verse is that," inquired Mahmud, "for he must have the heart of a man?" The Vizier replied that it was written by Firdausi, whereupon the Sultan confessed his deep regret that he had disappointed the poet and promised that he would send him something. Accordingly, upon the arrival of Mahmud at Ghazna, sixty thousand dinars' worth of indigo was despatched to Tabaran on the royal camels, with the monarch's apologies. But, as the train of camels bearing the royal bounty entered Tabaran by the Rudbar Gate, the corpse of Firdausi was borne forth from the Rizan
Gate. The daughter of the poet refused the tardy gift, and, as Jami wrote five centuries later:

Gone is the greatness of Mahmud, departed his glory,
And shrunk to "He knew not the worth of Firdausi," his story.

I have quoted from the *Shahnama* more than once, but the great epic entirely loses its sonorous majesty in a translation. It contains all the legends as well as all the history of Persia known to its author, who drew on Sasanian works and was faithful to his authorities. The result is a poem which appeals to Persians as nothing else does in their language, which makes them glow with pride at the valour of their forbears and unites them in their intense pride of race. Listening to its lines declaimed by some fiery tribesman who can neither read nor write, I have realized that on such occasions the Persian lays bare his very soul.

Browne frankly confesses that he cannot appreciate the *Shahnama*, but the late Professor Cowell wrote the following noble eulogy: "Augustus said that he found Rome of brick, and left it marble; and Firdausi found his country almost without a literature, and has left her a poem that all succeeding poets could only imitate and never surpass, and which, indeed, can rival them all even in their peculiar styles, and perhaps stands as alone in Asia as Homer’s epics in Europe. . . . His versification is exquisitely melodious, and never interrupted by harsh forms of construction; and the poem runs on from beginning to end, like a river, in an unbroken current of harmony. Verse after verse ripples on the ear and washes up its tribute of rhyme; and we stand, as it were, on the shore, and gaze with wonder into the world that lies buried beneath—a world of feeling and thought and action that has passed away from earth’s memory for ever, whilst its palaces and heroes are dimly seen mirrored below, as in the enchanted lake of Arabian story." Happy is Firdausi to have inspired such a splendid encomium!

*The Siasat-Nama.*—In Chapter LII. some account has been given of Nizam-ul-Mulk as statesman and administrator, and it was mentioned that he was also the author of the *Siasat-Nama*, or "Treatise on the Art of Government." This great work comprises fifty chapters, treating of royal duties, royal prerogatives, and administration. It is written in simple language, and as it embodies the views of the greatest of

---

Persian administrators, who adorns his narrative with numerous historical anecdotes, it is one of the most valuable Persian prose works in existence.

Nasir-i-Khusru.—Reference has also been made to Nasir-i-Khusru, in the capacity of Ismaili propagandist. But he was poet and traveller as well. The record of his adventures is contained in a work termed Safar-Nama, or “Treatise of Travel,” which gives in simple language the details of his journey from Merv to Nishapur, Tabriz, and across Asia Minor to Aleppo. He then performed the pilgrimage to Mecca by way of Jerusalem, and finally reached Cairo in A.H. 439 (1047). In Egypt he was initiated into the esoteric doctrines of the Ismailis, and was awarded the title of Hujjat, or “Proof,” in Khorasan. He gives a most interesting account of the prosperity, good order, and justice prevailing under the Fatimite Caliphs in Egypt, whence after a stay of two or three years he returned to Khorasan. On this journey he followed a southern route, visiting Isfahan, Nain, Tabas, Tun, and Sarakhs; of these, Tabas and Tun afterwards became well-known Ismaili centres. Of his poetry, the Diwan is famous, its main theme being a strong insistence on the Ismaili view of allegorical interpretation. As so many of the great men of the period hailed from Khorasan, I have quoted a stanza from his poem addressed to them, by way of heading to this chapter.

Omar Khayyam.—Omar Khayyam, or the “Tent Maker,” is the best known of Persian poets in England and America, owing to the genius of FitzGerald; indeed it has been calculated that more than ninety per cent of the ladies who enter the Oriental Library at the British Museum ask some question about the bard of Nishapur. But if his name is brought up among Persians they will reply, “Omar Khayyam was a philosopher and an astronomer.” In other words, he is famous in Persia as a philosopher and for his labours in connexion with the Jalali era, referred to in Chapter LIII., and his reputation does not in any way rest on his quatrains.

1 Cowell wrote: “FitzGerald’s translation is so infinitely finer than the original that the value of the latter is such mainly as attaches to Chaucer’s or Shakespeare’s prototypes.” This may seem to be an exaggeration, but in my humble opinion it is true.

2 Sir Mortimer Durand once visited the late Shah Nasir-u-Din to proffer a request from the Omar Khayyam Club that the tomb of the poet should be repaired. The Shah was astonished and said, “Do you mean to tell me that there is a club connected with Omar Khayyam? Why, he has been dead for a thousand years. We have had a great many better poets in Persia than Omar Khayyam, and indeed I myself——” and then he stopped.
As already mentioned, he was a friend and, according to one account, school-fellow of Nizam-ul-Mulk, who granted him a pension. The oldest account we possess of him is in the Chahar Makala of Nizami-al-Arudi, in the section, it is to be noted, which treats of astrologers and astronomers. Here is given the original story of the poet’s saying: “My grave will be in a spot where the trees will shed their blossoms on me twice a year.” Nizami states that in A.H. 530 (1135) he visited the tomb of the deceased Omar, “seeing that he had the claim of a master on me... and his tomb lay at the foot of a garden-wall, over which pear-trees and peach-trees thrust their heads, and on his grave had fallen so many flower-leaves that his dust was hidden beneath the flowers.” This disposes of the mistaken idea that Omar was buried beneath a rose-bush. On the dry Iranian plateau, where nature is scanty in her gifts, the truly beautiful peach and pear and other fruit blossoms play a far larger part than in rainy England, where vegetation is so rich and luxuriant.

I have twice passed through Nishapur and on each occasion visited the poet’s tomb, which, as the illustration shows, is situated in an open wing of a shrine erected by Shah Abbas in memory of Mohamed Mahrak, a forgotten relation of the Imam Riza. The Shrine is set in a formal Persian garden, divided into four plots by cobbled paths, which is by no means lacking in charm. Fruit-trees are grown in it, and their blossoms still fall on the tomb of the poet, which is cased with white plaster, but bears no stone or inscription.

As to his famous quatrains, each of which, it is to be remembered, is a complete unit, there is no doubt that Omar wrote quatrains, but some of those attributed to him are claimed to have been written by other poets, Avicenna, for example, being the author of at least one of the best known. When all is said, the fact remains that Omar Khayyam, as interpreted by the genius of FitzGerald, has touched a chord in our Anglo-Saxon prosaic nature, and has thereby helped to bridge the deep gulf which separates the dreaming East from the material West.

The Kabus Nama.—No Persian work with which I am acquainted is more interesting or amusing to read than the book of moral precepts and rules of life composed in A.D. 1082 by Kei-Kaus, the grandson of Kabus, the Ziyarid prince.
THE TOMB OF OMAR KHAYYAM.

(The actual tomb appears in the open wing to the left of the picture.)
It deals in a charming and witty fashion with duty towards parents, age and youth, hunting, polo, marriage, education, the sciences of medicine, astrology, and mathematics; indeed, few subjects are ignored and we gain a real insight into the Oriental point of view, everything being analysed in the most simple language by a writer who anticipated the Polonius of Shakespeare and also the Badminton Library. Incidentally, some fifty anecdotes, many of historical value, enrich the work.

Al-Ghazali.—Khorasan was a rich nursery of genius, and among its great men Al-Ghazali, the famous theologian of Tus, ranks high. To quote Browne: "He did more than any one else to bring to an end the reign of philosophy in Islam, and to set up in its stead a devotional mysticism which is at once the highest expression and the clearest limitation of the orthodox Mohamedan doctrine." This eminent religious leader was born in A.H. 450 (1058) and attracted the notice of Nizam-ul-Mulk, who appointed him a Professor in his Baghdad college, to which I have already referred. After some years of absence he returned to Nishapur, and finally to his home at Tus, where he died at the age of fifty-one, venerated by all and bearing the honourable title of Hujjat-ul-Islam, or "The Proof of Islam." It is of special interest to note that in 1912 the authorities of the British Museum acquired what is believed to be a unique copy of his work on the doctrines of the Ismailis and other esoteric and unorthodox sects, which should prove to be of great value to the student.

Muizzi.—We have now come to the later Seljuk period, which Browne terms "the period of Sanjar," whose writers, both in prose and in verse, are as brilliant as those of the preceding period; indeed, it is difficult to decide which are the most worthy of mention. The poet-laureate of Sanjar was Amir Muizzi, and I quote a few lines from one of his odes, if only to show how early the artificial poem superseded the easy and to me charming simplicity of Rudagi.

Her face were a moon, if o'er the moon could a cloud of musk blow free;
And her stature a cypress, if cypresses bore flowers of anemone.
For if to the crown of the cypress-tree could anemone clusters cling,
Perchance it might be accounted right such musk o'er the moon to fling.

---

1 Its importance is indicated by the fact that it is being translated into English by E. Edwards for the Gibb Memorial Series.
For her rounded chin and her curved tress, alack! her lovers all
Lend bended backs for her polo-sticks and a heart for the polo ball!
Yet if hearts should ache through the witchery of the Harut-spells of her eye,
Her rubies twain are ever fain to offer the remedy.

To quote Browne: "Thus in the four couplets we have
the familiar comparison of a beautiful face to a moon, of a
mass of black and fragrant hair to musk, of a tall and graceful
figure to the cypress, of red cheeks to the anemone, of the
chin and heart respectively to a ball, of the back of one bent
down by age or sorrow to a polo-stick, of the lips to rubies,
and of witching eyes to Harut, the fallen angel, who teaches
magic to such as seek him in the pit where he is imprisoned
at Babylon." This is admirably put, and it may incidentally
explain why the European does not as a rule care for, or admire,
Eastern poetry.

_Nizami-al-Arudi._—Frequent references have been made
to the _Chahar Makala_, or "Four Discourses," of Nizami,
which is a mine of useful information and throws a clear light
on the life of the time at the courts of Central Asia. The
"Prosodist," as his title may be translated, to avoid confusion
with Nizami of Ganja, was at Samarcand, at Nishapur, where
he frequented the society of Omar Khayyam, and at Tus,
where he visited the tomb of Firdausi. But his post was that
of Court-poet to the Ghord Kings, and in the "Four Dis-
courses" he mentions the "World Burner" as still living—
a fact that helps to fix the date of his famous work, which, on
Browne's authority, is about A.D. 1155.

_Anwari and Khakani._—We now come to a class of pane-
gyrists, the greatest of whom is Anwari, the Poet-laureate
and Astrologer of Sultan Sanjar. As Browne writes: "These
were poets by profession, artificers in words and sounds,
literary craftsmen of consummate skill and ingenuity, and
for this very reason they will not bear translation, because their
beauty is a beauty of words rather than of thought."

The taunting verse shot into Hazar Asp by order of Sanjar
has already been quoted, and also two stanzas of the fine poem
on the devastation wrought by the savage Ghuzz, which prove
that the poet could write something better than mere formal
panegyrics. Khakani was a native of Ganja, the modern
Elizabetpol in the Caucasus, and was of low extraction. Having
been taken up and taught by an old poet, he became a brilliant

1 Lecture delivered before the Persia Society in 1912.
star in the literary firmament, notorious for the difficulty of his verse, which is also extremely artificial. His poems were mainly panegyrics, but one inspired by the ruins of the Tak-i-Kisra, which I have quoted in Chapter XLI., strikes a loftier note.

Nizami.—A very different class of poet, and one whose work it is easy for the European to appreciate, is Nizami, who was also a native of Ganja but who avoided courts. He wrote five romantic poems, famous as the "Five Treasuries." These works enjoy an almost unrivalled popularity to-day, especially Khusru and Shirin and Layla and Majnun, scenes from which have constantly inspired artists. From the former poem I have already given a description of polo as played by Khusru and his lovely spouse, but the central theme of the romance is the love of Farhad for Shirin, who was promised to him if he cut through Mount Bisitun. The gifted engineer had all but accomplished the impossible, when by Khusru's orders false news was conveyed to him of the death of the beloved one, and he expressed his woe in the following lines:

Alas the wasted labour of my youth!
Alas the hope which vain hath proved in truth!
I tunnelled mountain walls: behold my prize!
My labour's wasted: here the hardship lies!

The world is void of sun and moon for me:
My garden lacks its box and willow tree.
For the last time my beacon-light hath shone;
Not Shirin, but the sun from me is gone!

Beyond Death's portals Shirin shall I greet,
So with one leap I hasten Death to meet!
Thus to the world his mournful tale he cried,
For Shirin kissed the ground and kissing died.

Attar.—The last poet of the pre-Mongol period is Farid-u-Din, known as Attar, the dealer in otto of roses, or more generally "the druggist." This remarkable man was born at Nishapur about the middle of the twelfth century, and, according to popular belief, fell a victim to the Mongols when his native city was sacked. The story runs that he was seized by a Mongol who was about to kill him, but was prevented by an offer of one thousand dirhems for the old man. The poet, resolved on death, persuaded his captor to await a

1 *Ten Thousand Miles, etc.*, p. 337.
better offer, which he did. Another Mongol, in scorn of the old man, offered a bag of fodder. "That is my full value, sell me," said Attar. The furious Mongol, realizing the deception, immediately killed him. Attar composed numerous works, the best known of which is the Pand-nama, or "Book of Counsels." His fame, however, chiefly rests on the celebrated poem Manik-ur-Tayr, or "The Parliament of the Birds," an allegory in which birds of different species unite in a quest for the Simurgh, the mythical eagle referred to in Chapter XII., the birds typifying Sufi pilgrims and the Simurgh "the Truth." In the end the birds, purified by trials, find that

Their ancient deeds and undeed were cleansed away and annihiliated from their bosoms.

The Sun of Propinquity shone forth from them; the souls of all of them were illuminated by its rays.

Through the reflection of the faces of these thirty birds (si-murgh) of the world they then beheld the countenance of the Simurgh.

When they looked, that was the Simurgh: without doubt that Simurgh was those thirty birds (si-murgh).

All were bewildered with amazement, not knowing whether they were this or that.

A Criticism.—In concluding this brief notice of some of the great writers of the period, I would urge that the effect of the Persian climate and scenery on its poetry has not been sufficiently considered by European authorities. In the country round Nishapur, which is typical of most other parts of the Iranian plateau, there is a high, naked range to the north, the source of the streams of water on which the irrigated crops depend. The wide, flat plain is destitute of trees, which are grown only in walled enclosures, where they also depend on irrigation. The gardens of Persia, far renowned though they may be, consisted, and still consist, of orchards and poplar groves, with a few paths planted with roses loved by the nightingale and with jasmines. They would not be thought beautiful in Europe, because of the unsightly irrigation channels and the lack of flowers; but to the travaller crossing the sun-blistered plains a combination of shade and running water with nightingales is delightful indeed, and contrasting it with the stony waste outside he forgets to be critical. It may be objected that in the Caspian provinces there are forests and a luxuriant vegetation with
masses of violets, primroses, and snowdrops, but Persians have ever hated the damp climate with its malarious marshes and heavy air, and they cannot appreciate its beauties. In proof of this we find both Tavernier and Chardin recording that "the air is so unwholesome that the People cry of him that is sent to Command here, Has he robb'd, stolen, or murder'd, that the King sends him to Guilan?"

Practically all the poets mentioned in this chapter were natives of Khorasan or Central Asia, and were thus accustomed to and affected by its steppe vegetation, its rocky mountain ranges, and its bare plains. On the other hand, they had the advantage of living in one of the finest and most delightful climates in the world, with abundance of brilliant sunshine, an absence of extremes of heat and cold, and, above all, a most stimulating atmosphere, which has helped to endow the gifted sons of Iran with the marked personality that has been their heritage throughout the ages.
CHAPTER LV

THE MONGOL CATACLYSM

They came, they uprooted, they burned,
They slew, they carried off, they departed.

Tarikh-i-Jahan-Gusha.

The Awful Nature of the Mongol Invasion.—The history of Persia as forming part of the Eurasian continent has from one point of view consisted of a record of wave after wave of invasion by tribes whose conquest was usually attended with much human suffering. But no invasion in historical times can compare in its accumulated horrors or in its far-reaching consequences with that of the Mongols, which swept across the entire width of Asia annihilating populations and civilizations, and from which Eastern Europe did not escape. Russia was conquered and annexed; Silesia and Moravia were ravaged after the defeat of the Poles at the battle of Lignitz in A.D. 1241, and another Mongol army under Batu laid waste the plains of Hungary and defeated its monarch at Pesth. Europe apparently lay at the mercy of the invaders; but the death of Ogotay, together with the mountainous nature of Central Europe and its remoteness, saved the tender growth of its civilization. On the other hand, neither Central Asia nor Persia, nor to some extent Russia, has as yet recovered from this human avalanche of seven centuries ago; and until quite recently in some of the

1 The special authorities for this period are D’Ohsson’s Histoire des Mongols and Sir Henry Howorth’s History of the Mongols. The former especially is based on trustworthy Moslem authorities, among them being Ibn-ul-Athir and the Tarikh-i-Jahan-Gusha, or “History of the World-Conqueror,” by Ala-u-Din, better known as Juwayni, the Secretary of Hulagu Khan. I have also consulted A History of the Mongols of Central Asia, by Ney Elias and Denison Ross.
churches in Eastern Europe the litany included, "From the fury of the Mongols, good Lord, deliver us."

D’Ohsson summarizes the facts in the following burning words:

Les conquêtes des Mongols changèrent la face d’Asie. De grands empires s’écroulèrent; d’anciennes dynasties périssent; des nations disparaissent, d’autres sont presque anéanties; partout, sur les traces des Mongols, on ne voit que ruines et ossements humains. Surpassant en cruauté les peuples les plus barbares, ils égorgerent de sangfroid, dans les pays conquis, hommes, femmes et enfants; ils incendient les villes et les villages, détruisent les moissins, transforment en déserts des contrées florissantes; et cependant ils ne sont animés ni par haine ni par la vengeance; à peine connaissent-ils de nom les peuples qu’ils exterminent.

The Origin of the Mongols.—In Chapter XXIX. reference has been made to the Hiung-Nu or Huns who fought with and drove westwards the Yue-chi about 200 B.C.; it is believed by the best authorities that the Mongols were descended from the Huns and that the descendants of the Yue-chi were known as the Uighurs. This is, however, ancient history, and we may more profitably turn to contemporary writers for an appreciation of the new “Scourge of God.”

The Mongols, or as they were more generally termed the Tartars,¹ were divided by the Chinese writers into three classes, known respectively as the White, Black, and Wild Tartars, whose civilization decreased with the remoteness of their habitat from the humanizing influence of the sedentary population of China. So far as history, as opposed to legend, is concerned, the Mongols were one of the tribes which ranged the country to the north of the Gobi Desert and to the south of Lake Baikal. They spent their lives, like other "black" nomads, in breeding cattle and horses and in raiding, and owed allegiance to the dynasty of northern China, which, albeit derived from similar stock, regarded these wild tribesmen with contempt. That they stood very low in the scale of civilization is shown by the words of Ibn-ul-Athir,

¹ The correct form is Ta-ta, the ancient name of the Mongols. The sound, however, so closely resembled the classical Tartarus that we find Matthew Paris, the Emperor Frederic II., Innocent IV., and St. Louis all playing on the word, the Emperor ending off his letter to Henry III. of England with ad tua Tartara Tartari detruentur. Consequently the form Tartar was generally adopted. The Mongols themselves derive their name from mong meaning "bold." The form "Moghul" has been applied to the Mongols by Moslem writers and is frequently used, more especially with reference to the great dynasty founded in India.
one of D’Ohsson’s chief authorities: “As for their religion, they worship the sun when it rises, and regard nothing as unlawful, for they eat all beasts, even dogs, pigs, and the like.”

In the main Carpini and Rubruquis, whose missions will be referred to later on, corroborate this testimony to their evil traits, but give credit for splendid discipline, bravery, and endurance: the Mongols’ archery and horsemanship, too, were superb. Their arrogance after their conquests, like that of the Arabs, was unbounded. We read in Russian history that the princes of the country were bound to attend the Mongol Khans whenever ordered, and among other humiliations were forced to lick up any drops which fell from the Khan’s cup as he drank! Their filthiness was abominable, washing being unknown, and it is related of Chengiz that he would not allow the word “dirty” to be used. When travelling in Ladakh some thirty years ago, I was informed that a rare sun-bath on the roof for the children was the only form of cleansing the body practised there. In Central Asia and Persia, where the Mongols are all Moslems, they are still a dirty race, but the evil is mitigated by the strictness of the rules of Islam on the subject of ablution.

The true Mongols have almond-shaped eyes; they are beardless and generally short in stature, but a virile race, and, though clumsy-looking on foot, are born riders. At the same time, in the struggle for wealth they rarely succeed at the present day against the more astute Persians, and in Khorasan, at any rate, they occupy much the same position as the Italians and Eastern Europeans in America.

Yissugay, the Father of Chengiz Khan.—The ancestors of Chengiz Khan are lost in the mists of legend, but of his immediate forbears D’Ohsson gives some details which show that they were tributary to the Nuchens, the Conquerors of the Cathayan line, who are also known as the Kin dynasty. At the hands of the Nuchens a member of the family of Chengiz, in punishment for the act of a relation who had killed some of the royal officers, was nailed to a wooden ass, a terrible punishment reserved for rebel nomads. This deed called for vengeance, and we first hear of Yissugay in the successful raid which followed, when its leader, Khubilay, defeated a Kin army and carried off rich booty. Khubilay’s

1 Carpini and Rubruquis, edited by Dr. Raymond Beazley; and The Journey of Friar William of Rubruck, edited by W. W. Rockhill (both for the Hakluyt Society).
brother, Bartam Bahadur, had four sons, of whom the third, Yissugay Bahadur, was elected chief of the tribe. He was evidently an active and brave chief who subjugated the neighbouring clans and made them fight his battles. His growing power alarmed the Kin dynasty, which in pursuance of its usual policy incited the Buyr-Nur Tartars to attack Yissugay, and the latter died fighting against what was probably an unexpected onslaught.

The Rise of Chengiz Khan, A.D. 1175-1206. — In A.D. 1162 a son was born to Yissugay, whom he named Temuchin in memory of a chief whom he had slain, and on his death, in A.D. 1175, this boy of thirteen succeeded to the headship of the tribe. As might be supposed, the little confederacy broke up, refusing to obey so young a lad, and Temuchin, after suffering many hardships and privations, was on one occasion taken prisoner. But he was born under a lucky star, and, aided by his great stature and remarkable powers of endurance, gained victory after victory until his reputation rivalled that of his father. The Buyr-Nurs after falling on Yissugay had invaded China, and the Kin emperor induced the powerful tribe of Keraits, who were Nestorian Christians, to attack them. Toghril, the chief of the Keraits, who was known as Wang, or "King," and who called himself Wang-Khan, was no less a personage than the fabulous monarch so familiar to mediaeval Europe as Prester John.

This prince was under great obligations to Yissugay, who had protected him when a refugee and had aided him to expel a usurping uncle and to regain the chieftainship. Consequently, when many years later he was again a refugee, having been driven out by his brother, who had the support of the Naiman — also a Christian tribe — he bethought himself of Temuchin, and was welcomed by the young chieftain. In A.D. 1194 we read that Temuchin led a contingent against the Buyr-Nurs under the Kin emperor, who commanded in person, and covered himself with glory in fighting and crushing the family foes. For some years after this campaign Temuchin fought with the tribes on every side and gradually organized his power. In A.D. 1202 he engaged in a trial of

1 Bahadur signifies "brave," and it is an interesting fact that Khan Bahadur, one of the titles awarded to-day by the Viceroy of India, is derived from this source.

2 This was one of the questions which deeply interested Sir Henry Yule; vide his Marco Polo, vol. i. p. 237 (Cordier edition). A section of the Karai—Karait or Kerait is simply a plural form—inhabit the district of Turbat-i-Haydari to the south of Meshed.
strength with his former ally Toghirl, who at first defeated him; but in A.D. 1203 he overthrew the Keraits, who were thenceforth his subjects.

Some time after this important success Tai Yang Khan, King of the Naimans, attempted to win over Ala Kush-Tekin, chief of the Onguts or White Tartars, with the design of uniting in an attack on Temuchin before he became too powerful. But the Ongut chief informed the intended victim of the plot and he promptly attacked the Naimans, whom he crushed. Their king was killed, but his son, Guchluk, escaped and fled westwards. Among the prisoners taken by Temuchin was Tatatungo, the Uighur Chancellor of Tai Yang, whom the conqueror took into his service. Tradition attributes the rudiments of civilization acquired by the Mongols to this remarkable man, who taught the sons of Chengiz the Uighur tongue and the art of writing, and who maintained his influence under Ogotay, the son and successor of Chengiz. In A.D. 1206, so powerful had Temuchin become, that he was in a position to assemble a Kuriltay, or "Diet of the Nobles," and at this historical assemblage he assumed the title of Chengiz Khan.¹

The Downfall of the Kara Khitai Dynasty.—Guchluk, the son of the Naiman chief, who escaped after the defeat of his father, suffered great privations and led a wandering life, but finally reached the court of the Gur Khan. He was treated most kindly and given a daughter of the monarch in marriage, and upon this occasion adopted the Buddhist religion. No sooner had he established his position and collected his scattered tribesmen than he entered into a plot with Mohamed Shah of Kowarazm and with Othman, Prince of Samarcand, to overthrow his benefactor. Although in the first engagement he was defeated, the forces of Kowarazm and Samarcand carried all before them, with the result that in A.H. 608 (1212) the Gur Khan was a prisoner in the hands of Guchluk. In his stead the traitor ruled in a kingdom which was restricted to the Tarim basin, with its three cities of Kashgar, Yarkand, and Khotan. The empire of Mohamed was extended eastwards into the heart of Turkestan, and after he had captured and killed his erstwhile ally Othman, Samarcand became his capital.

¹ This name varies in spelling from the Cambyskan of Chaucer to the Zingis of Gibbon. There is doubt as to its meaning.
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First Mongol Campaigns in Central Asia, A.H. 612–615 (1215–1218).—It is beyond the scope of this work to deal with the three successful campaigns waged by Chengiz against the Kin dynasty, from whom he seized many of their fairest provinces; but it is important to note that it was during these campaigns that the rude Mongols learned the management of a siege-train, which they afterwards employed with deadly effect. In 1216, Chengiz turned his attention to the west, and attacking the Merkites in the Kirghiz steppes, almost exterminated the tribe. Shortly after this success, the Mongols met a force from Khwarazm, which had been sent into the same neighbourhood against the Kipchak. The Mongols did not desire to fight, but the superior forces of Mohamed Shah attacked and an undecided action followed; the Mongols withdrew in the night. It appears that this encounter was merely regarded as a regrettable incident and had no further consequences. In 1218, Chengiz continued his activities in Central Asia by despatching an army of twenty thousand men to attack Guchluk. The latter fled without attempting any defence, but was overtaken and put to death.

The Outbreak of Hostilities with Khwarazm.—The relations of Chengiz Khan with the monarch of Khwarazm were at first friendly. The Mongol chieftain despatched an embassy to Mohamed with gifts and a message expressing the hope that the two rulers would live at peace with one another, and declaring that he would look upon Mohamed as his most beloved son. The Khivan monarch, after making inquiries from one of the envoys, who was a native of Khiva, as to the armies of Chengiz, dismissed the three ambassadors with a friendly reply, although he realized that the invitation to be regarded as a "son" constituted a veiled demand to recognize Mongol superiority.

Not long afterwards Chengiz Khan bought the stock of three Khivan merchants, with whom he sent back Mongol representatives charged to obtain the various products of Khiva in exchange for their pelts. On the arrival of this rich caravan at the frontier town of Otrar, the Governor, apparently in order to obtain possession of their property, imprisoned the members of the party and submitted a report to the monarch that they were spies, as in all probability was the case. In reply he was instructed to execute them, and duly carried out
the sentence. Chengiz had possibly received the despatches of the Caliph Nasir, whose intrigues are mentioned in Chapter LIII., and to judge by his action was on the look-out for a pretext such as the impolitic severity of Mohamed gave him. Hearing of the fate which had befallen the trading venture, he sent an embassy demanding the surrender of the governor of Otrar to Mongol vengeance, war being the alternative. Mohamed, blinded by his earlier uninterrupted successes, made hostilities inevitable by putting the ambassador to death.

The Invasion of Transoxiana, A.H. 616 (1219).—The awful torrent of destruction was actually set in motion a year after war had been decided upon, and in A.H. 616 (1219) the Mongol hordes were directed on the Sir Daria at Otrar. Mohamed had collected a great field army of 400,000 men to fight a decisive battle, but was defeated between Ush and Sangar with crushing losses by Juji, the eldest son of Chengiz. After this he resigned the initiative to the invaders and contented himself with garrisoning his chief cities, in the hope that the Mongols, after ravaging the open country, would return home with their booty. Consequently their task was easy, and Chengiz was able to divide up his force without much fear that any single column would be attacked by an overwhelming force. To his sons Chagatay and Ogotay the siege of Otrar was assigned; Juji, after defeating Mohamed, continued his march towards the province of Jand to the north, a small force of only five thousand being detached to work upstream to Khojand; and Chengiz himself, accompanied by Tuli, the youngest of his four sons, marched on Bokhara with the main army, ready to accept battle if Mohamed desired to fight for his throne. The siege of Otrar lasted six months, and its Governor, knowing that he was a doomed man, fought to the bitter end; but, as no aid was received from the cowardly Shah of Khiva, the city was at last taken. The Governor held out for another month in the fort, but in spite of his desperate bravery was taken alive and brought before Chengiz, who ordered molten silver to be poured into his eyes and ears in retribution for his execution of the unfortunate merchants. Juji captured Signac after a seven days’ siege and Jand itself offered no resistance.

Bokhara was for some days defended by the garrison, twenty thousand strong; but the position was regarded as hopeless, and an attempt to break through was carried out
successfully. The Mongols, though surprised, rallied quickly and pursued the fugitives, who were cut to pieces on the banks of the Oxus. Bokhara thereupon surrendered, and Chengiz rode into the great mosque, where the Mongols indulged in an orgy to celebrate their success. The populace was collected and the rich men were obliged to hand over all their wealth. The city was then sacked and afterwards burned, and the wretched inhabitants were divided up among their savage conquerors, whose custom was to use the serviceable men for screens to storming parties, for digging approaches, for erecting the siege-train, and, if necessary, for filling up the ditch of a city with fascines, which were supplemented with their own bodies. The women were of course the prey of the captors.

From Bokhara Chengiz followed the fertile valley of the Zarafshan to Samarcand, which was strongly garrisoned by forty thousand men. No resistance, however, was attempted; the Turkish section of the garrison surrendered, hoping for good treatment, and massacre was their reward. Of the inhabitants, thirty thousand artificers were distributed among the Mongols, an equal number were taken for use in military operations, and fifty thousand were permitted to ransom themselves, but in most cases were afterwards seized for military operations. Indeed, the whole country was denuded of its population, which fact relieved the Mongols of anxiety as to their lines of communication.

The Pursuit of Mohamed and his Death, a.h. 617 (1220).
—We must now turn to the cowardly Ala-u-Din Mohamed. He had watched the Mongol irruption from Samarcand as long as it was safe to do so, but when there was danger of being besieged in his capital he fled to Balkh, intending to take refuge at Ghazna. But he changed his mind and proceeded to Nishapur, hoping that the Mongols would return home after acquiring such immense booty. His heroic son Jalal-u-Din in vain begged to be allowed to defend the line of the Oxus, exclaiming with generous heat that by this action they would at any rate avoid the curses of their subjects, who would say, "Up to now they have overwhelmed us with taxes, and in the hour of danger they abandon us to the fury of the Tartars." Mohamed declined either to fight or to relinquish the command of the army to his son, and hearing that the Mongols had crossed the Oxus he fled from Nishapur,
much as Darius had fled before Alexander, and along the very same route, although in the opposite direction.

From Samarcand Chengiz had despatched two bodies of troops, each ten thousand strong, with instructions to seek out Mohamed, to hold him if he intended to fight a battle, and to pursue him if he fled. The division of Chebe, passing by Nishapur, ravaged Kuchan, Isfarayin, and Damghan, and, uniting with the division of Subutay before Rei, surprised and sacked that city.

Meanwhile Mohamed had reached Kazvin and intended to make a stand there. While he was organizing an army, news reached him of the capture of Rei, distant less than one hundred miles. His army, infected with the spirit of its monarch, scattered, and Mohamed, after nearly falling into the hands of the Mongols, escaped into Mazanderan, and finally took refuge in a small island off the coast. The craven monarch, though safe at last, was dying, and he passed away leaving behind him a reputation for pusillanimity which has rarely been paralleled in history.

The Siege of Urganj, A.H. 617 (1220).—After the death of Mohamed three of his sons travelled by sea to the Mangishlak peninsula, and on reaching the capital of Khwarazm were warmly welcomed by all classes. An army was collected, but a conspiracy being formed against Jalal-u-Din he was forced to flee with three hundred men. Crossing the desert in sixteen days, he reached Nisa, a few miles to the south-west of modern Askabad, only to find it held by a body of seven hundred Mongols. With the courage of despair the heroic Prince charged and defeated this force and reached Nishapur in safety. Two of his brothers, hearing that a large force was concentrating on Urganj, followed in his track three days later and were killed by the Mongols.

The next operation of Chengiz was to despatch a force under Juji, Chagatay, and Ogotay to besiege the capital of Khwarazm. The Mongols on reaching the city gates were attacked and pursued by the garrison, which was drawn into a carefully prepared ambush, and suffered heavily. Upon the arrival of the main army before Urganj, the wretched Tajiks from other conquered cities were forced to fill up the ditches,

1 Tajik is the term used to denote the sedentary population, as opposed to Turk, which employed in this connexion includes all tent-dwellers. It is the same word as Tazi, which signifies Arab and still survives in the word used to denote the so-called Persian greyhound, which was apparently introduced by the Arab Conquerors.
and the artillery was then placed in position. The Mongols, however, failed in an attempt to capture the bridge uniting the two parts of the town, and owing to quarrels between Juji and Chagatay the conduct of operations was paralysed.

To remedy this state of affairs, Chengiz gave the supreme command to Ogotay, who ordered an assault. This was successful, and although the inhabitants offered a desperate resistance they were finally obliged to beg for terms, after having kept the Mongols at bay for more than six months. The victors collected the entire populace, and having gathered the artisans into a separate class massacred the other males and enslaved the women and children. After this atrocious act they turned the waters of the Oxus on to the site of the city, and in so doing diverted the river once again into its ancient channel, which led to the Caspian Sea.¹

The Devastation of Khurasan, A.H. 617 (1220).—After spending the summer in the meadows of Nakhsab, Chengiz opened a fresh campaign by the capture of Termiz on the Oxus, which barred the road to Balkh. It was stormed on the tenth day and all its inhabitants were massacred. He then went into winter quarters close by and ravaged neighbouring Badakshan. In the spring he advanced on Balkh, which offered no resistance. But the conqueror, hearing that Jalal-u-Din was organizing an army at Ghazna, deliberately destroyed the city and massacred its thousands of inhabitants, preferring to leave a reeking charnel-house in his rear rather than run the risk of having his communications cut. Meanwhile Tuli had been despatched to complete the sack and ruin of Khurasan, which had already been occupied in parts by Chebe and Subutay, who had left governors in some of the cities. The inhabitants of Tus, seeing that the Mongol ruler was isolated, had risen against him; but the revolt was easily put down by a body of three hundred Mongols stationed at Ustuva, the modern Kuchan, and on their demand even the ramparts of Tus were demolished by the terrified townspeople. Tuli began his march into Khurasan in the autumn of A.D. 1220, preceded by an advance force ten thousand strong, which besieged Nisa to avenge the death of its chief, who had been killed by an arrow shot from the city walls. Here again the town was stormed, and men, women, and children were massacred. Nishapur was not

¹ Vide Chapter II. Vol. I. p. 22.
captured at the first attempt, and Togachar, a son-in-law of Chengiz, was killed; but Sabzawar was stormed and its seventy thousand inhabitants were massacred.

The Destruction of Merv and Nishapur.—The first main operation undertaken by Tuli was the capture of Merv Shahijan, the famous capital of Sanjar, which had recovered from the devastation wrought by the Ghuzz and was at the zenith of its prosperity and civilization. In proof of this there is a letter written by Yakut, the eminent geographer, at Mosul, where he had arrived safely from Merv after many narrow escapes. He refers in glowing language to the rich libraries, to the many men of science, and to the numerous authors of Merv, and exclaims in his enthusiasm, "Their children were men, their youths heroes, and their old men saints." He then laments as follows: "The people of infidelity and impiety roamed through these abodes; that erring and contumacious race (the Mongols) dominated over the inhabitants, so that those palaces were effaced from off the earth as lines of writing are effaced from paper, and those abodes became a dwelling for the owl and the raven; in those places the screech-owls answer each other's cries, and in those halls the winds moan responsive to the simoon."

The Mongol prince, having by means of false promises obtained possession of the persons of the leading inhabitants of the doomed city, perpetrated a most horrible massacre of over half a million helpless inhabitants. Ibn-ul-Athir puts the number of victims as seven hundred thousand, and the author of the Jahan Gusha at a still higher figure. When it is borne in mind that the inhabitants of the surrounding district would all have fled to the city for protection these numbers are not incredible. Five thousand inhabitants of Merv, who escaped the massacre, were subsequently done to death by a troop of Mongols which was on its way to join the main army, and the place remained desolate until rebuilt more than a century later by Shah Rukh.

From the smoking ruins of what had been Merv, Tuli marched to Nishapur. Preparations had been made for a vigorous defence, three thousand ballistae for hurling javelins and five hundred catapults having been mounted on the ramparts. The Mongols on their side made still greater preparations, including seven hundred machines to throw pots of burning naphtha; but in the event they carried the
city by assault and massacred every living thing (including
the cats and dogs) as a sacrifice to the spirit of Togachar,
pyramids of skulls being built as a ghastly memorial of the
feat of arms. The buildings were then entirely demolished
and the site was sown with barley. I have shot sandgrouse
within the area surrounded by the broken-down walls of
ancient Nishapur, and I saw crops of barley growing in uncon-
scious imitation of the Mongols' sowing. The last great
city of Khorasan to be attacked was Herat. There a desperate
resistance was offered for eight days, but after the governor
had been killed Tuli received the submission of the inhabit-
ants and contented himself with putting the garrison to
death.

The Campaign against Jalal-u-Din, A.H. 618 (1221).—
Jalal-u-Din after defeating the superior force of Mongol
sowars at Nisa, a feat of arms which constituted the first
success gained over any body of Mongols in Persia, pro-
ceeded to Nishapur. There he remained three days, and then
continued his flight towards Ghazna. One hour after his
departure from Nishapur, a detachment of Mongols arrived
on the scene and picked up his trail. Jalal-u-Din fled at a
great pace, riding one hundred and twenty miles in the day,
but on his arrival at Zuzan, to the south of Khaf, the gates
were shut on him. He consequently continued his flight
towards Herat, pursued for some distance beyond Zuzan by
the Mongols, but finally reached Ghazna in safety.

There anarchy prevailed, but the people rallied to his
standard and in a short time he collected an army, with which,
in the spring of A.D. 1221, he marched north to the neigh-
bourhood of Bamian. He gained an initial success by killing
a thousand Mongols, which speedily brought against him a
force of thirty thousand men under Shiki Kutucu, who had
been posted to protect the operations of the main army
against a movement on his part. This stationing of protect-
ing troops proves that Chengiz was not merely an able
tactician, but also studied the military situation from the
strategical point of view.

When the two armies met, the right wing of Jalal-u-Din,
which fought on foot, was broken, but on being reinforced
it rallied, and night closed in on an undecided issue. The
following day the Mongol general gave orders for a felt
dummy to be tied on each spare horse to make the enemy
believe that reinforcements had been received. This ruse was nearly successful, but Jalal-u-Din was a fighting Sultan and inspired his men with such courage that, after a repulse of the Mongols on foot, the trumpets sounded a general advance, and the hated foes were driven off the field, many of them being cut to pieces by the victorious Persians. Most unfortunately the division of the spoils provoked a quarrel which resulted in the desertion of the Ghcord contingent, and Jalal-u-Din, hearing that Chengiz was advancing on Ghazna, found himself unable to hold the line of the Hindu Kush and retreated towards Sind.

To avenge the death of a grandson, the Mongol conqueror wiped Bamian out of existence, not even allowing it to be plundered, but offering it up as a holocaust to the slain prince. He then advanced on Ghazna, which Jalal-u-Din had quitted a fortnight previously, and made a forced march of such rapidity that he overtook the Sultan on the borders of Sind, where the latter was hoping for contingents to join him. Unwilling to fight, Jalal-u-Din prepared to put the Indus between his small force and the pursuing army, but he was too slow and was hemmed in at early dawn. Fighting in the centre with desperate heroism, he attempted to break through, like a tiger charging a ring of elephants, but in vain. At noon he mounted a fresh horse and charged the Mongols; when they gave way he suddenly turned about, jumped from the high bank into the Indus, and swam across. Chengiz showed himself magnanimous on this occasion, and not only forbade arrows to be shot at the hero, but held him up to his sons as a model in valour.

Chengiz detached two units to pursue Jalal-u-Din, but they failed to discover him. They then attempted to take Multan, but the heat drove them off, and after ravaging far and wide they rejoined the main army which was returning to Tartary.

In the spring of the following year the city of Ghazna was destroyed for military reasons, and at the same time a force was despatched to annihilate Herat, which had rebelled upon hearing of the success of Jalal-u-Din near Bamian. On this occasion the resistance offered was desperate, but after a siege of six months and seventeen days the city fell, and it is said that more than a million and a half of its inhabitants—an incredible number—were massacred. A short time afterwards a body of troops was sent back to the ruins
of the city to search for survivors, who were killed to the number of two thousand.

The Return to Tartary of Chengiz Khan.—Before marching north from India Chengiz Khan ordered the prisoners to clean a large quantity of rice for the army, and, after they had done it, massacred them all. He then in the first instance decided to return to Tartary by way of Tibet, but on realizing the difficulties of the route cancelled these orders, recrossed the Hindu Kush, and proceeded to Bokhara, where he received instruction in the tenets of the Moslem religion and ordered the Khutba to be read in his name. He remained inactive in Central Asia for over a year and then moved slowly back to his own country, which he reached in A.D. 1225.

The Devastation of Western and North-Western Persia.—We must now turn to the armies of Chebe and Subutay, which had captured Rei and had pursued Mohamed to the Caspian Sea. Kum was their next objective; Hamadan was spared in the first instance, but Zenjan and Kazvin were treated in the awful Mongol fashion. Tabriz was spared in return for a large sum of money, and the Mongols proceeded to the plain of Moghan, near the south-west corner of the Caspian. Contrary to expectation, they did not remain stationary but marched into Georgia in mid-winter, and being reinforced by bands of Turkoman and Kurds ravaged the country up to Tiflis. Returning thence they next besieged Maragha, which was destined to be the capital of Hulagu Khan, and this was treated like other cities. The intention of the leaders was to march on Baghdad, and the Caliph Nasir in great alarm attempted to organize a force but failed, partly because of the capture of Damietta by St. Louis, a disaster which drew away some of his chief supporters.

The difficulty of passing the mountain gorges saved Baghdad on this occasion, and the Mongols returned to Hamadan, which they now sacked. From this city they marched on Ardebil, which they also sacked, and then returned to Tabriz, where they were once again bought off. Georgia was revisited, and by a pretended retreat its army was ambushed and cut to pieces. After this exploit the Mongols struck the Caspian Sea at Shamaka, near Baku, and followed it up to Derbent. Not content with these limits, the fearless horde passed beyond the Caucasus and drove out the
Kipchaks, who fled in terror across the Danube or into Russia. The Muscovite princes organized a force to repel the invaders, but near the Sea of Azov they were defeated and were put to death by being placed under planks, on which the victors sat and feasted. The districts near the Sea of Azov were ravaged, and the Mongols, marching eastwards, crossed the Upper Volga, where they defeated an army of Bulgars. After this remarkable military expedition, during the course of which the Caspian Sea had been almost encircled, they rejoined the main army in Tartary.

Before we conclude this account of the appalling devastation from which Northern Persia and the countries to the north of it suffered, it is to be noted that another Mongol division in A.H. 621 (1224) attacked Rei, Sava, Kum, Kashan, and Hamadan, massacring the inhabitants who had escaped from the earlier invasion.

To sum up, the testimony of all contemporary historians is that wherever the Mongols passed the population was almost exterminated and the land reverted to desert. In the *Jahan Gusha* we read as follows: "Not one-thousandth of the population escaped," and again, "If from now to the Day of Judgment nothing hinders the growth of population, it cannot reach one-tenth of the figure at which it stood before the Mongol conquest." These words, even with all allowance for exaggeration, express human misery at its deepest, and our finite minds, the products of a civilized age, can barely grasp their full meaning. Most fortunately, Southern Persia escaped the Mongol blast of death, and it was probably owing to this happy circumstance that the recovery of Iran was ultimately more rapid than could have been anticipated.

*The Death of Chengiz Khan, A.H. 624 (1227).—*The last campaign undertaken by Chengiz Khan was the invasion of Tangut, which was overrun and ravaged. The Great Conqueror, feeling his end approaching, appointed Ogotay, his third son, to be his successor and advised his sons to avoid internal strife. He then passed away in the sixty-sixth year of his reign. His body was taken to his Urdu,¹ and, in order to prevent his death from becoming known, every one whom the troops met on the road was killed.

¹ The word means "Camp," and "horde" is a corruption of it. The language commonly known as Hindustani is more correctly termed *Urdu.*
His Character and Genius.—Thus in a river of blood passed to his sepulchre Chengiz Khan, who had destroyed more human beings than any other recorded victorious warrior, and had conquered the largest empire the world had known. It must not be assumed, because of his appalling thirst for blood, that he was lacking in genius. On the contrary, he had shown unquestionable genius in his early career when battling, never daunted, against adverse circumstances, and step by step he built up an empire which raised the despised nomads of Tartary to the lordship of Asia.

Discipline was the keynote of his system. His military organization was founded on a unit of ten men, whose chief obeyed a centurion, who in turn obeyed the commander of a thousand, and so up to the commanders of divisions. His policy was false, but successful. Before he attacked a kingdom, a summons to submit was despatched in the following terms, "If you do not submit, how can we tell what will happen? God alone knows!" If the ruler submitted, he was bound to give immediately a large sum of money and the tenth of everything, including his subjects. Mongol governors were then appointed, and the country was ruined by their exactions and atrocities. If resistance was offered and the city was strong, the surrounding country was devastated and treachery was attempted. At this stage of the operations an ambush was frequently successful. If the city still held out, lines were dug round it by prisoners, who also were driven to head the assaults, and attacks in relays gave the besieged no rest. Moreover, the fact that the Mongols possessed themselves of every known military engine, and had even a corps of miners, is sufficient in itself to show the genius for war that distinguished their leader. In the field their tactics were admirable. They understood the art of feigning retreat, of envelopment and of surprise, and, as battle after battle was fought and won against nations employing different methods of warfare, the sum of their experience made them invincible.

The feelings of Chengiz Khan himself may be exemplified in the following saying attributed to him: "The greatest joy is to conquer one's enemies, to pursue them, to seize their property, to see their families in tears, to ride their horses, and to possess their daughters and wives." 1

1 Jami-ul-Tawarikh.
CHAPTER LVI

THE EXTINCTION OF THE CALIPHATE BY HULAGU KHAN

Well it were if from the heavens tears of blood on earth should flow
For the Ruler of the Faithful, al-Musta’sim, brought so low.
If, Mohamed, at the Judgment from the dust thy head thou’lt raise,
Raise it now, behold the Judgment fallen on thy folk below!
Waves of blood the dainty thresholds of the Palace-beauties whelm;
While from out my heart the life-blood dyes my sleeve with hues of woe.
Fear vicissitudes of Fortune; fear the Sphere’s revolving change;
Who could dream that such a splendour such a fate should overthrow?
Raise your eyes, O ye who once upon that Holy House did gaze,
Watching Khans and Roman Caesars cringing to its portals go.
Now upon that self-same threshold where the Kings their foreheads laid,
From the children of the Prophet’s Uncle streams of blood do flow!

Threnody by SADI.

The Division of the Mongol Empire.—By his will Chengiz Khan divided the immense empire which he had founded among his four chief sons, or their families—as in the case of Jupi, who had predeceased his father. The division was made by the distribution of clans as appanages rather than by strict territorial limits, which it was probably not his wish to define. The third son, Ogotay, was nominated Khakan, or “Supreme Khan,” and to make the position clear I append the following précis by Lane-Poole:

1. The line of Ogotay, ruling the tribes of Zungaria; Khakans till their extinction by the family of Tuli.
2. The line of Tuli, ruling the home clans of Mongolia; Khakans after Ogotay’s line, down to the Manchu supremacy.
3. The Persian branch of the line of Tuli: Hulagu and his successors, the Il-Khans of Persia.
4. The line of Jupi, ruling the Turkish tribes of the Khanate.

of Kipchak; the Khans of the Golden and White Hordes... and finally the Khans of Khiva and Bokhara.

5. The line of Chagatay, ruling Mawaranahr or Transoxiana.

In A.D. 1229, two years after the death of Chengiz Khan, a Diet of the Nobles was held at which Ogotay was elected Khakan. He received the homage of all and celebrated his accession by sending forty of the most beautiful Mongol maidens “to serve Chengiz in the other world”; horses too were sacrificed. He then distributed costly gifts among his generals.

Three Great Expeditions.—At this Diet three great military expeditions were projected, the first of which was the despatch of an army thirty thousand strong, under Chormaghun, to attack Jalal-u-Din. The second army, of equal strength, was to conquer Central and Southern Russia, inhabited at that period by Bulgars, Kipchaks, and Sukasses, and the third army, under the immediate command of Ogotay, was to continue the conquest of Northern China.

The expedition against Jalal-u-Din alone concerns Persia directly, but the results of the other two may be mentioned. The campaign conducted by Ogotay resulted in the complete conquest of the Kin empire, which had been only partially reduced during the lifetime of Chengiz Khan; but the Sung dynasty of Southern China was not subdued until Khubilay’s reign. In Europe the Mongols carried fire and the sword across Russia to Poland and Hungary from A.D. 1236 to 1241, and so widespread was the alarm that, according to Matthew Paris, in A.D. 1238, “the people of Gothland and Friesland did not dare to come to Yarmouth for the herring fishery.”

The death of Ogotay in A.D. 1241 necessitated a new Diet, and this, together with the rugged nature of Central Europe, which was unsuitable for the movements of the Tartars, and its remoteness in comparison with China and Persia, probably saved Western Europe. But the Mongols riveted their yoke on Russia and for two centuries its national life was arrested, while it received that Oriental tinge which is so apparent to the western European; or, as Gibbon

1 Chronica Majora, vol. iii, p. 488.

2 There are about five million Tartars still resident in European Russia and a similar number of Jews.
expresses it, "the deep and perhaps indelible mark which a servitude of two hundred years has imprinted on the character of the Russians."

The Campaign of Jalal-u-Din in India, a.h. 619 (1222).—Having effected his escape from Chengiz Khan by swimming the Indus, Jalal-u-Din collected the remnants of his army to the number of two thousand men, who were destitute of everything but valour. Thanks to this virtue, they were able to rearm and remount themselves, and Jalal-u-Din, learning that he was being pursued by two Mongol divisions, retreated towards Delhi. Its ruler Shams-u-Din Altamish,¹ the best known and most capable member of the so-called "Slave Kings," sent the Sultan splendid gifts, with the hint that the climate of Delhi would not suit his health and that he had better establish himself at Multan. Jalal-u-Din, finding Delhi inhospitable, perforce retraced his steps, and invaded Sind with the aid of reinforcements which had reached him from Persia. But the Slave King was determined not to allow so redoubtable a soldier to establish himself even in the territory of a rival, and a league of Indian princes was formed to drive him out. Thereupon Jalal-u-Din, seeing that resistance to such a combination was hopeless, decided to return to Persia.

His Return to Persia, a.h. 620 (1223).—The dauntless Sultan traversed Makran more or less in the footsteps of Alexander the Great, and like him lost the greater part of his army in its deserts, so that he reached Kerman with only four thousand men. His arrival happened to coincide with the moment at which Borak Hajib, having killed the former Governor, was besieging the capital, and the city opened its gates to Jalal-u-Din. Borak Hajib, to whom we shall return later, at first treated his sovereign with due respect, but after the capture of Kerman formed a conspiracy against him. Jalal-u-Din was aware of the treacherous designs, but in order to avoid creating a bad impression upon his first return to Persia he ignored the plot, and after spending a month at Kerman marched westwards into Fars. There he was at first treated with cool politeness by the Atabeg Sad, but afterwards became his son-in-law.

Ghias-u-Din.—Upon the retirement of the Mongols from Northern Persia, a younger brother of Jalal-u-Din, by name

¹ Mohomedan Dynasties, p. 295.
Ghias-u-Din, had obtained possession of Khorasan, Mazanderan, and Irak. Indolent and voluptuous, this prince was not the man to restore a half-ruined country, and the army transferred its allegiance to his elder brother, who became ruler of Northern Persia, Ghias-u-Din perforce submitting.

The Campaign against the Caliph, A.H. 622 (1225).—After establishing his authority as Shah of Khwarazm, Jalal-u-Din marched to attack the Caliph Nasir, the enemy of his father. The campaign opened with the siege of Shuster, which, however, proved impregnable. He then marched on Baghdad and drew the Caliph’s army into an ambush, whereby he gained a decisive victory, pursuing his defeated enemy to the gates of the capital. He did not attempt to take Baghdad, but marched north and invaded and occupied Azerbaijan. Never content to organize the fruits of his brilliant victories, Jalal-u-Din had no sooner won Tabriz than he invaded Georgia, and in two campaigns captured Tiflis, in A.H. 623 (1226). His next exploit was to extirpate a tribe of raiding Turkoman, and in the following year he ravaged the Ismaíli territories and also beat a Mongol force at Damghan, to the east of Rei.

The Battle of Isfahan, A.H. 625 (1228).—The Mongols after this defeat appeared in greater force, and pursued a Persian corps of observation to Isfahan, which was the Sultan’s headquarters. The Mongol army, composed of five divisions, prepared to besiege the city, but the Sultan marched out, determined to fight in the open. Although deserted by Ghias-u-Din on the battlefield, this intrepid soldier, who alone of the monarchs of the period faced the dreaded Mongols, engaged the foe. His right wing broke the left wing of the enemy, which it pursued as far north as Kashan, and Jalal-u-Din thought the day won; but on advancing he was attacked by a Mongol corps d’élite which broke his left wing. The Sultan cut his way through, and although reported dead reappeared at Isfahan after the Mongols had retreated with heavy losses.

The Single Combats of Jalal-u-Din.—Jalal-u-Din was now called upon to face a confederation of Georgians, Alans, Lacsians, and Kipchaks. He detached the last-named tribe by reminding them how he had saved the life of many of them during the reign of his father, and by way of a spectacle to both armies proposed to fight the champions of the
Georgians. Having killed successively a noted warrior and his three sons, he was attacked by a huge giant. His horse was fatigued, but nothing daunted the gallant soldier leapt to the ground, disarmed his opponent and killed him. Truly an amazing feat! He then gave the signal, and his horsemen fell upon the army of the Georgians, which fled before them.

In A.H. 626 (1229) Jalal-u-Din made peace with the Caliph, who, in return for having his name restored in the public prayers, conferred on the monarch the title of Shah-in-Shah, while refusing that of Sultan.

*His Escape from the Mongols and his Death, A.H. 628 (1231).*

—The Mongol army under Chormaghun, the despatch of which has been already mentioned, found Jalal-u-Din unprepared. Indeed he was surprised in the Moghan plain where he was waiting for his army to assemble, and barely succeeded in escaping. After this his rôle was that of a fugitive, unable to meet the Mongol army, whose general was particularly anxious to effect his capture. He held Ganja for a time, and, after one more narrow escape from the Mongols, was killed by a Kurdish tribesman who was looking out for refugees to plunder.

Thus ended the brilliant career of one of the bravest and most enterprising soldiers who ever lived. Had Jalal-u-Din also possessed the qualities of a statesman, he would surely have been able to organize a force capable of defeating the Mongols, and would thereby have prevented the sack of Baghad. As it was, he is remembered in history as a dazzling meteor, perhaps a prototype of Charles XII. of Sweden.

*The Mongol Campaigns in Asia Minor and Syria.—*Chormaghun, realizing that Jalal-u-Din was not in a position to offer any organized resistance, ravaged Mesopotamia, Kurdistan, Azerbaijan, Armenia, and Georgia, and committed atrocities similar to those already described. Ibn-ul-Athir states that the panic which prevailed made the peasantry so cowardly that on one occasion a Mongol who wished to kill a man, but was unarmed, told him to lie down and await his return with a sword, and this the unnerved victim actually did. As will be seen later, in the eighteenth century the Afghans were able to treat the citizens of Isfahan in the same manner, they too being temporarily paralysed from fear.
The division commanded at first by Chormaghun, and afterwards by Baydu, ravaged the provinces to the west of Persia during the next twenty years, their cavalry raids extending as far as Aleppo, and we learn from Matthew Paris¹ that the Christian Prince of Antioch and other Christian lords paid them tribute.

The Kutzulg Khans of Kerman, A.H. 619-703 (1222-1303).—As mentioned in Chapter LIII., Fars and Luristan were governed by independent princes termed Atabeg, and escaped the Mongol terror by politic submission. We now turn to the remaining province of Kerman. Although like Fars its remoteness saved it from the Mongols, it had, as already related, been devastated again and again by the ferocious Ghuzz. The Ik or Shabancara tribe next gained possession of the province for a short time, but in A.H. 600 (1203) it was seized by an army from Fars. Shortly after the exhausted country had begun to recover under the ruler sent by the Atabeg of Fars, a new power appeared on the scene in the person of Khoja Razi-u-Din Zuzani with an army from Khwarazm which destroyed everything that the other armies had spared. Finally the Fars authorities withdrew their force, probably on account of their relation to the suzerain court of Khwarazm, and Razi-u-Din, after experiencing some vicissitudes of fortune, obtained possession of the province, which upon his death he bequeathed to his son Malik Shuja-u-Din.

Another new character now appeared at Kerman in the shape of a certain Borak Hajib,² once an official of the Kara Khitai dynasty, who had transferred his services to Khwarazm, and was proceeding to India accompanied by a number of Khwarazm Amirs, with the intention of joining Jalal-u-Din. Malik Shuja-u-Din attempted to rob the party, but was defeated and put to death. Borak Hajib, feeling that it would be foolish to neglect such an exceptional opportunity, seized the province with the aid of Jalal-u-Din and made good his position. He attempted the life of his sovereign, as already narrated, and subsequently captured and strangled Ghias-u-Din. With the present of his head this disloyal, but only too successful, adventurer won the favour of the Mongols, and Ogotay not only confirmed him in his rule, but conferred

¹ Pp. 876 and 937.
² Hajib signifies Chief Guardian or Chamberlain.
on him the title of Kutlugh Khan. The dynasty played no part outside the Kerman province and does not appear to call for further notice.\footnote{In \textit{Ten Thousand Miles, etc.}, pp. 60-62, I have dealt with this dynasty more fully.}

\textit{Christian Missions to the Mongols, A.D. 1245-1253.}—The invasion of the Mongols, and more especially the awful devastation wrought by them in Poland and Hungary, had excited much alarm and horror all over Europe, though not sufficient to cause a cessation of internal strife. When it appeared improbable that they would attempt to conquer Western Europe, the fear they inspired began to give place to the hope that they would shatter Islam, and rumours were also heard that there were Christian tribes among the new invaders.

The views of Christendom found expression at the Council of Lyons, held in 1245, which decided that two embassies should be despatched to the Great Khan. Only one of these reached its destination. At its head was John de Plano Carpini, a Franciscan, who made a wonderful journey by way of Batu's camp on the Volga to Karacoram, the capital founded by Ogotay in the valley of the Orkhon. He arrived there in A.D. 1246, at an interesting time, as a Diet was being held for the election of Kuyuk to the throne rendered vacant by the death of his father, Ogotay.

Two of Kuyuk's ministers were Christians, and in consequence the Pope's ambassador had a friendly reception. Very different was the treatment accorded to the representatives of the Caliph and of the Assassins, who were dismissed with threats and menaces. To the Latin mission letters were given, and, ignoring a hint that they should be accompanied by Tartar envoys, they set out on their long return journey, which was successfully accomplished. John died shortly after his return, but the information he brought to Europe was of the utmost value.

The next mission to be despatched was placed under the Dominican Friar Anselm, who had instructions to seek out the nearest Tartar army and deliver a letter from the Pope exhorting the Mongols not to renew their ravages in Christian countries and to repent of their misdeeds. In 1247 this truly forlorn hope reached the camp of Baydu in Persia, and, as the friars brought no gifts and refused to do obeisance, they were treated with contempt "as dogs." Their letters, how-
ever, were translated first into Persian and then into Tartar and were read before Baydu. The monks were kept waiting for an answer by the incensed Mongol, who, it is said, thrice gave the order for their execution. But in the end they were dismissed with the reply of the General in the words of Chengiz: "Whoever will obey us, let him remain in possession of his land, of his water, and of his inheritance . . . but whoever resists, let him be annihilated." The Pope was summoned to come in person and offer his submission. These intrepid friars returned in safety to Rome after an absence of three and a half years.

We now come to the famous mission of William of Rubruquis, who was despatched by St. Louis and reached Karacoram in 1253; by this date Kuyuk was dead and Mangu, son of Tuli, had been elected Khagan. Mangu accorded the envoy more than one audience, treated him kindly, and gave him letters for his master, but he was always half-drunk, and never committed himself to acknowledging the Christian religion, as had been hoped. Both John de Plano Carpini and William of Rubruquis were great travellers and keen observers, whose courage amidst constant danger and equally constant hunger deserves great admiration.

Yet another traveller who merits a place on the roll of fame is Hayton, king of Armenia, who reached the court of Mangu shortly after the departure of Rubruquis. He travelled by way of the camp of Batu and was received with much honour by the Khagan. On his return he traversed Transoxiana, crossed Northern Persia, and reached his kingdom after completing a great round journey, an account of which has fortunately been preserved to us.

*The Administration of Northern Persia before Hulagu Khan.*

—When Chormaghun was despatched by Ogotay to attack Jalal-u-Din, the Mongol Governor of Khorazm, Chintimur by name, was instructed to co-operate by occupying Khorasan. Many districts had previously escaped devastation, but all were now systematically spoiled. These proceedings were made difficult for some time by two officers of Jalal-u-Din who waged a guerilla warfare from the Nishapur Mountains, but they were finally defeated near Sabzawar after a battle lasting three days, in which the Mongols lost two thousand men.
Chintimur died in A.D. 1235 and was succeeded by Keurguez, his secretary. This very capable man set to work to organize the administration and to repress the terrible exactions under which the peasants groaned. Later on, after clearing himself from certain charges brought against him before the Khakan, he was given the governorship of all the provinces west of the Oxus and was able to rescue them from the cruel and impolitic rule of the officers of Chormaghun. He chose as his residence Tus, in which only fifty inhabited houses had been left, and the Persian nobles at once bought up the land to build residences near him. Upon Ogotay's death his widow despatched Arghun to supersede and arrest this able official, who was put to death by having earth forced down his throat.

Under Arghun the taxes were at first levied with the utmost severity by Sharaf-u-Din, his Moslem interpreter, but after the death of the latter every effort was made to secure good administration, and Kuyuk, upon his succession, confirmed Arghun in his government. Mangu, too, approved of his administration, and in order to remedy abuses by which the princes of the blood secured orders on the revenue, it was decided that a fixed poll-tax should be paid and that nothing else should be exacted.

The Appointment of Hulagu Khan to Persia, A.H. 649 (1251).—Mangu was elected Khakan in A.D. 1251, and upon his accession two great expeditions were decided upon, one under his next brother, Khubilay, to China, and the other under a younger brother, Hulagu Khan, the founder of the dynasty of the Il-Khans, to Persia. Hulagu with a strong army and a powerful Chinese engineer and artillery corps started from Karacoram in A.D. 1252, with instructions to crush the Assassins and to extinguish the Caliphate. The Mongol prince moved even more leisurely than was usual and did not reach the borders of his command until three and a half years later. At Kesh he was met by Arghun, who was accompanied by the future historian, Ata Malik of Juwayn. This able Persian served Hulagu as secretary through the important campaign that followed and was thereby enabled to write a history from first-hand sources.

The Dynasty of the Assassins at its Zenith.—Reference has already twice been made to the Assassins, who were Hulagu's
first objective, and before we come to the extirpation of this noxious sect some account of their later history is necessary. Hasan Sabbah lived to a green old age and, having put to death both his own sons, appointed his colleague Kiya Buzurg-Umid to succeed him. The importance of the sect increased under this man and under his son Mohamed, who died in A.D. 1162, and was succeeded by his son, Hasan. This somewhat extraordinary man disowned his own parentage and proclaimed himself the descendant of the Fatimid Nizar. To further his ambitions he convened an assembly in A.H. 559 (1164) and not only proclaimed himself to be the Imam, but announced the abrogation of the letter of the law in favour of its allegorical meaning. It is stated that the term Mulahida or "heretics" was given to the sect owing to this new claim, and by this name they are still known in Khorasan. Hasan, after ruling for some years, was assassinated, but his son followed in his father's footsteps. In A.D. 1210 Jalal-u-Din succeeded to the inheritance, and, completely reversing the policy of the sect, declared himself an orthodox Moslem. He entered into friendly relations with the Caliph Nasir and with neighbouring Moslem princes, and later on allied himself with the heroic Jalal-u-Din of Khiva; but he dreaded the power of Chengiz Khan, to whom he despatched an embassy. In A.D. 1220 he died suddenly, probably of poison. His successor and the last Grand Master was a boy of nine, by name Rukn-u-Din. In A.D. 1238 he despatched an embassy to Europe, and we read in Matthew Paris that it was received coldly. An envoy visited the Court of Henry III. of England to plead the cause of the Ismailis, but the Bishop of Winchester probably expressed the public feeling in the words: "Let those dogs devour each other and be utterly wiped out and then we shall see, founded on their ruins, the universal Catholic Church."

The Extirpation of the Assassins, A.H. 654 (1256).—Hulagu was able to attack the Ismaili fortresses in detail, and as the Grand Master possessed practically no field army the sect was doomed. The storm broke first on Khaf and Tun, which were captured, the entire population being massacred except a few beautiful girls. Rukn-u-Din in a fit of profound discouragement surrendered many of his other fortresses, and finally his capital Alamut and his own person, to the Mongols, who thus eradicated the sect with the utmost
ease. In Khorasan and also in the Kerman province a few hundred of its followers still survive and are to some extent protected by the British officials.¹

The Sack of Baghdad and the Execution of the Caliph, A.H. 656 (1258).—From Hamadan, which Hulagu had made his headquarters after crushing the Assassins, a summons was sent to the Caliph Mustasim Billah, and in the autumn of A.D. 1257, or more than a year after accomplishing his first task, the Mongol prince, after much hesitation and consultation of astrologers, marched westwards to attack Baghdad from the east in co-operation with Baydu. The latter was instructed to march from the north and attack from the west, the object evidently being to prevent the escape of the Caliph and his subjects. Mustasim Billah was an unworthy nullity, full of false pride. Instead of profiting by the delay granted him through Hulagu’s love of ease and pleasure, he took no adequate steps to collect troops, and above all, utterly refused to unlock the doors of his treasure-house. Had he been a capable ruler, he could very probably have beaten off the Mongols, but the last of the Abbasid dynasty was a sorry degenerate.

The two Mongol armies aggregated about one hundred thousand men, whereas the Caliph, owing to his avarice and folly, could not muster more than one-fifth of that force. Resistance was offered at Takrit, where the bridge over the Tigris was destroyed, and again at Dujayal; but the Mongols flooded the Moslem camp during the night, making the position impossible, and only a few fugitives escaped to Baghdad. The Mongols now advanced on the heart of Islam and took part of the walls by assault. Overtures were then made, and, like so many other deluded victims of Mongol treachery, the Caliph surrendered. According to the Moslem historians, he was done to death by being tied up in a sack and then trampled on by horses or beaten with clubs, and the story is not improbable, since to shed royal blood was contrary to the Mongol usage.

However, it is impossible to pass by the account enshrined in Longfellow’s “Kambalu,”² according to which Alau (as Hulagu is named) captured the Caliph, who headed a sally

¹ In Chapter LXXVII. an account is given of the rebellion of Aga Khan, the leader of the Ismalis in the middle of the nineteenth century.
² Kambalu or Xanadu is Khan-baligh or Pekin.
from Baghdad (termed Baldacca) and was caught in an ambush. The poem then proceeds:

As in at the gate we rode, behold,
A tower that is called the Tower of Gold!
For there the Kalif had hidden his wealth,
Heaped and hoarded and piled on high,
Like sacks of wheat in a granary;
And thither the miser crept by stealth
To feel of the gold that gave him health,
And to gaze and gloat with his hungry eye
On jewels that gleamed like a glow-worm’s spark,
Or the eyes of a panther in the dark.

I said to the Kalif: “Thou art old,
Thou hast no need of so much gold.
Thou shouldst not have heaped and hidden it here,
Till the breath of battle was hot and near,
But have sown through the land these useless hoards
To spring into shining blades of swords,
And keep thine honour sweet and clear.
These grains of gold are not grains of wheat;
These bars of silver thou canst not eat;
These jewels and pearls and precious stones
Cannot cure the aches in thy bones,
Nor keep the feet of Death one hour
From climbing the stairways of thy tower!”

Then into his dungeon I locked the drone,
And left him to feed there all alone
In the honey-cells of his golden hive:
Never a prayer, nor a cry, nor a groan
Was heard from those massive walls of stone,
Nor again was the Kalif seen alive!

The sack of Baghdad lasted for a week, during which nearly one million of its inhabitants were massacred. Writing forty-four years after the event, the author of the Kitab-ul-Fakhri refers to it in the following words: “Then there took place such wholesale slaughter and unrestrained looting and excessive torture and mutilation as it is hard to hear spoken of even generally; how think you, then, of its details? There happened things I like not to mention; therefore imagine what you will, but ask me not of the matter!” Equally poignant, though more formal, is the threnody which is quoted as a heading to this chapter.

1 I wonder whether Marco Polo’s account of Baghdad inspired Longfellow to write this poem.
The sack of Baghdad was a more terrible event in history than that of Merv or Herat, inasmuch as the city was the centre of the Moslem world; and the irreparable injury to its civilization by the practical extinction of the Caliphate more than six centuries after the foundation of Islam, by the destruction of priceless literary and artistic treasures, and by the massacre of learned men of all classes, defies description. Moslem civilization was at that period the shining light in the world, and it has never recovered from the deadly blow. The awful nature of the cataclysm which set back the hands of the clock of progress among Moslem states, and thereby indirectly throughout the world, is difficult to realize and impossible to exaggerate. Incidentally the Arabic language, which had held a position analogous to that of Latin in Europe, gradually declined in importance.

The Last Years of Hulagu Khan and his Death, A.H. 663 (1265).—Hulagu lived for seven years after the capture of Baghdad, by which his name is chiefly remembered. During this period he ruled as undisputed monarch of Iran. He furthermore captured Aleppo and carried all before him in Syria, until in 1260, after his departure, the Mongol army was defeated by the Mamelukes of Egypt. As Howorth points out, this defeat saved Egypt, the last refuge of Moslem culture.

Maragha in the north-west corner of modern Persia was chosen as his capital by the Mongol prince, and there, in the interests of astrology, in which he believed as foretelling the fate of princes, he built the famous observatory, the ruins of which are still visible.

During Hulagu’s latter years there was a revolt in Fars, but the Atabeg Seljuk Shah was captured at Kazerun, the half-way town between Bushire and Shiraz, and his execution speedily followed. In Northern Persia peace reigned because the land lay desolate and only a timid remnant was left. So Hulagu died in peace and was buried in the island of Talu, in Lake Urumia, where he had collected the almost incredible wealth of the Assassins and of the Caliphs. Shortly afterwards died Dokuz Khatun, his chief wife, who as a member of the Kerait tribe was a Nestorian Christian, and to whose influence it was due that Hulagu protected Christians. Indeed so far did this protection go that in A.D. 1260 Hulagu received a letter from the Pope expressing the Sovereign
Pontiff's joy at hearing that he was disposed to adopt the Catholic faith.

Of the character of Hulagu little that is good is known. He was certainly as cruel and as false as others of his race, and he appears to have been strongly addicted to pleasure. Had he found a strong Caliph ruling at Baghdad, it seems probable that his hordes, lacking a leader, would have been beaten back; but he was fortunate in having to deal with weak and incapable men both at Alamut and at Baghdad, and it is mainly owing to this personal accident that Hulagu Khan, the founder of a dynasty in Persia, is known to fame as a conqueror who profoundly affected the course of the world's history.
CHAPTER LVII

THE HEATHEN IL-KHANS OF PERSIA

Brother David has arrived at our Court and presented letters sent through your envoys to the Holy Father and other Christian Kings. We note in them the love you bear to the Christian faith, and the resolution you have taken to relieve the Christians and the Holy Land from the enemies of Christianity. We pray Your Magnificence to carry out this holy project. We cannot at this time send you any certain news about the time of our arrival in the Holy Land, and of the march of the Christians, since at this moment nothing has been settled by the Sovereign Pontiff.—


Abaga, a.h. 663-680 (1265-1281).—Abaga Khan, 1 the eldest son of Hulagu, was elected to succeed his father with ceremonies similar to those observed in the case of the Khakan, but he did not assume the full state of royalty until his election had received confirmation from Khubilay. One of his earliest acts was to marry a natural daughter of the Emperor Michael Paleologus, by name Mary, who, despatched as a bride to Hulagu, after hearing of his death continued her journey to the Mongol Court. She is generally known as Despina or "Princess." This alliance was a distinct sign of the times.

The Invasion from Russia, a.h. 664 (1266).—Soon after

1 To make the relationship between the various Il-Khans clear, I append a table taken from The Mohammedan Dynasties.

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. Hulagu</th>
<th>II. Abaga</th>
<th>Teraghai</th>
<th>III. Ahmad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV. Arghun</td>
<td>V. Gaykhatu</td>
<td>VI. Baydu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Gharaan</td>
<td>VIII. Uljaitu</td>
<td>Alasrang</td>
<td>Ali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Abu Said</td>
<td>Sati Beg</td>
<td>Jahan-Timur</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
his accession the territories of Abaga were invaded by the Mongols of Russia. There was a desperately contested battle in the valley of the Kur, but the invaders ultimately retreated, and Abaga, in order to protect the northern entrance to his empire, dug beyond the Kur a great ditch which he fortified and garrisoned.

Hayton, King of Armenia and Baybars of Egypt, A.H. 664–665 (1266–1267).—Abaga also adopted a defensive policy in the west, and afforded practically no help to Hayton, the King of Armenia, who was left to make his own terms with Baybars, the Bahri Mameluke. The latter, after gaining successes over the Crusaders, from whom he captured Caesarea and other cities, invaded Cilicia, defeated an Armenian army, and captured the Armenian heir-apparent. Peace was made in the end by the surrender of various cities, to which Abaga took no exception, as all his resources were required to meet an invasion from the East.

The Invasion of Khorasan by Borak, A.H. 668 (1270).—In A.D. 1265 Khubilay had given Transoxiana to Borak, the grandson of Chagatay, on condition that he attacked Kaydu, the grandson of Ogotay, who refused to recognize him as Khakan. Four years later these two princes made peace, and it was decided that Borak should be supported by Kaydu in an invasion of Khorasan. The troops of Borak advanced as far as Nishapur, which they plundered without serious opposition. But Abaga meanwhile was preparing for the campaign, and he was soon marching eastwards along the trunk route which leads to Khorasan. Upon reaching the district of Badghiz, to the north of Herat, he sent envoys to Borak offering him the provinces of Ghazna and Kerman; but these terms were refused. Abaga then by a clever ruse deluded the enemy into thinking that he had returned precipitately to defend his western frontiers, his object being to secure a decisive issue to the campaign. They pursued, and a desperate battle was fought near Herat. Abaga’s left wing was broken and fled, but his right wing and centre bore down on the Chagatay Mongols and put them to flight. Borak fell from his horse and was nearly captured, but reached Bokhara in safety, and there became a convert to Islam. He then collected a force to punish those leaders who had deserted him, but he never recovered from the fall on the battlefield and died a few months after his defeat.
Yusuf Shah I., Atabeg of Luristan.—Abaga did not follow up his victory, but returned immediately to Azerbaijan. While travelling in the neighbourhood of Kazvin he was suddenly attacked by a body of Daylamites. The Atabeg of Luristan, Yusuf Shah I., who had materially contributed to the defeat of Borak, promptly fell on the assailants and saved the life of his suzerain, who to mark his gratitude added Khuzistan and three frontier districts of Luristan to the Atabeg's principedom.

The Devastation of Khwarazm and Transoxiana by Abaga, a.h. 671 (1272).—After the death of Borak and the disturbances which ensued, Abaga despatched a force to ravage Khwarazm and Transoxiana, on the advice of his Vizier, who suggested this as an effectual method of protecting Khorasan. The cities of Central Asia which had begun to recover from the Mongol cataclysm were once more ruined, and as Chuba and Kayan, the two sons of Algu, sacked Bokhara three years later, that unfortunate city lay desolate for seven years.

The Battle of Abulisin, a.h. 675 (1277).—To return to the west, the successful campaigns of Baybars at length compelled Abaga, much against his will, to send a Mongol army to defend his western provinces. Baybars, marching with his entire forces to invade Asia Minor, advanced from Aleppo northwards and found the Mongol army eleven thousand strong, supported by a body of Turks and a Georgian contingent, at Abulisin. The battle opened by a charge of the Mongol left wing on the Egyptian centre, which was forced back on to the right wing, while at the same time the Egyptian left wing was thrown into disorder. Baybars then ordered a charge by the whole line. The Mongols dismounted and poured in a storm of their deadly arrows, but the Moslems, exclaiming that it was a Holy War ensuring Paradise, swept them off the field, with a loss of more than half their numbers.

After making a triumphal entry into Caesarea, Baybars, finding that the princes of Asia Minor dared not join him from fear of Abaga, retired to Damascus, where he died. Abaga, too late to retrieve the disaster, marched through Asia Minor, inflicting punishment on those who had failed in their duty with merciless severity, and upon his return to Persia sacrificed the Governor of Asia Minor to the resentment of the widows of his defeated soldiers.
The Battle of Hims, A.H. 680 (1281).—Burning to avenge the disaster of Abulustin, Abaga took advantage of a revolution in Egypt to invade Syria, and a great battle was fought near Hims, in the vicinity of the tomb of Khalid, the famous Moslem general. As at Abulustin, the battle began with a charge of the Mongol left wing, which, however, was repulsed. The Egyptians in turn charged and routed the Mongol left, but as an offset to this success their own left was broken by the right Mongol wing, which pursued it to the gates of Hims. There the Mongols occupied themselves with looting while awaiting the main body, whose success they never questioned. But meanwhile the Mongol centre, under Mangu-Timur, the brother of Abaga, had broken and fled, and consequently the Egyptians remained masters of the field; in the pursuit which ensued the Mongol losses were heavy. This was the last expedition undertaken by Abaga, who died in the following year.

The Intercourse of Abaga with Europe.—Christendom, represented by the Pope, had, as already mentioned, made friendly overtures to the Mongols, whose protection of Christians had become known. At this period quite a correspondence ensued with Abaga, much of which has been preserved. Among the letters, that written by Edward I. of England is of special interest, and is given as a heading to this chapter. In pursuance of his policy, the Pope in A.D. 1278 despatched a Franciscan Mission to Abaga and also to the Khakan, but it is believed that, although some measure of success rewarded their efforts in Persia, the Mission did not penetrate farther east.

The Moslems were undoubtedly enemies both of the Mongols and of Christendom, and, as Hayton of Armenia and the Georgians were faithful allies to their suzerain, one at least of whose wives was a Christian, there is little doubt that the intercourse was prompted by a genuine desire to secure co-operation against the powers of Islam.

The Journey of Marco Polo in Persia, A.D. 1271.—One result, perhaps the only good one, of the Mongol conquests was that when the descendants of the conquerors, growing more civilized, became anxious to repair the devastation

---

1 An interesting contemporary account of this battle, which makes the Mongol defeat seem less severe, is found in a letter from Joseph de Caney, a Knight Hospitaller, to King Edward I. of England. A translation of this document and of the reply to it is given in Howorth’s op. cit. vol. iii. p. 763 ff.
wrought by their terrible ancestors, almost the whole of Asia was opened to the traveller. We have examples in Carpini and Rubruquis of missions reaching Karacoram from distant countries in Asia and from Europe, and these missions must in every case have added considerably to mutual knowledge. In their wake followed the merchant-adventurers, greatest of whom was the illustrious Marco Polo, justly named “The Father of Geography.” It is of special interest to note that the three great geographers of early days, namely, Herodotus who lived in the fifth century B.C., Chang Kien who lived in the second century B.C., and Marco Polo who lived in the thirteenth century of our era, all described Persia; the latter is indeed believed to have spoken its language fluently. Apart from any comparisons which may be instituted, the actual value of the information given is considerable, and in the case of the two European travellers enables us to present a vivid picture of the country.

Marco Polo started on his famous journey across Asia to China from Lajazzo on the Gulf of Scanderun and entered Persia at or near Tabriz, where a Venetian colony had been established some years before. He states that its inhabitants “get their living by trade and handicrafts, for they weave many kinds of beautiful and valuable stuffs of silk and gold. The city has such a good position that merchandise is brought thither from India, Baudas (Baghdad), and Cremesor (the Garmisir or ‘Hot Country’), and many other regions, and that attracts many Latin merchants, especially Genoese, to buy goods and transact other business there.” Marco Polo incorrectly describes Tabriz as being in the province of Irak, and equally incorrectly supposes it to be outside Persia. “Persia,” he says, “is a great country which was in old times very illustrious and powerful; but now the Tartars have wasted and destroyed it.” The next city mentioned is Saba, now Sava, from which, owing to the resemblance of its name to Sheba, the three Magi were supposed to have set out to worship the new-born Saviour.

1 The classic which deals with this subject is Yule’s Travels of Marco Polo, one of the most fascinating works ever written. A third edition has been edited by Professor Henri Cordier, who is an authority on China, but not on Persia. In Ten Thousand Miles, etc., chap. xxiii. is devoted to the travels of Marco Polo in Persia, and in the Journal R.G.S. vol. xxvi. (1925), p. 462, I have discussed the question as to whether he visited Baghdad, as Yule and Cordier believed. My opinion that he did not is supported by Beasley in his op. cit. vol. iii. p. 49 ff. Marco Polo actually travelled with his father and uncle.

2 Isaiah lx. 6 runs, “The multitude of camels shall cover thee, the dromedaries of Midian
Marco Polo, believing that he had entered Persia at Sava, describes the country as divided into eight kingdoms, a wholly inaccurate division, which does not call for further notice. He refers to the fine horses and the “finest asses in the world,” and goes on to say, “In the cities there are traders and artisans who live by their labour and crafts, weaving cloths of gold, and silk stuffs of sundry kinds. They have plenty of cotton produced in the country; and abundance of wheat, barley, millet, panick, and wine, with fruits of all kinds.”

From Saba the Venetian visited Kashan, famous for its velvets and silks, and from this important commercial centre he marched south-east to Yezd. From Yezd to Kerman there are two routes, by both of which I have travelled, and I have identified the more easterly of the two, via Bafk, as that traversed by the Venetian and his companions. Not only are there date-palms to-day at Bafk, as mentioned by Marco Polo, but the altitude of the alternative route is too high for dates to grow there. Kerman, which was twice or even three times visited, is described at greater length than any other city in Persia. Mention is made of its turquoises and steel, which are not worked to-day. But the “exquisite needlework in the embroidery of silk stuffs in different colours, with figures of beasts and birds, trees and flowers, and a variety of other patterns,” is still to be bought, modern Kerman being noted for its embroidered shawls.

From Kerman to Camadi in the Jirufat valley Yule was unable to identify the road followed by the Venetian, the entire district having been a blank on the map until in 1895 I discovered Marco’s route, which ran across the elevated uplands of Sardu to the Sarbizan Pass, and thence descended rapidly through Dilfard to the ancient city of Jirufat. Marco gives an accurate description of this section in these words:

“When you have ridden these seven days over a plain country, you come to a great mountain; and when you have got to the top of the pass, you find a great descent which occupies some two days to go down. . . . After you have ridden downhill those two days, you find yourself in a vast plain, and at the beginning thereof there is a city called Camadi, which formerly was a great and noble place, but now is of little

and Ephah; all they from Sheba shall come: they shall bring gold and incense; and they shall show forth the praises of the Lord.”
consequence." In descending this valley his party was attacked by robbers and barely escaped. He then crossed the low ranges and emerged on to the plain and port of Hormuz or Ormuz (referred to in Chapter LXIV.), where "Merchants came from India with ships loaded with spicery and precious stones, pearls, cloths of silk and gold, elephants' teeth, and many other wares, which they sell to the merchants of Hormuz." For some reason, either because of the unseaworthy ships, "wretched affairs" as Marco terms them, or owing to a breakdown in health, the traveller returned by another road, through either Sirjan or Urzu, to Kerman, but the data given are scanty.

From Kerman Marco marched north to Cobinan, which still retains its name as Kubanan. There he was on the southern edge of the Lut, and I will again quote: "When you depart from this city of Cobinan, you find yourself again in a Desert of surpassing aridity, which lasts for some eight days; here are neither fruits nor trees to be seen, and what water there is is bitter and bad, so that you have to carry both food and water. . . . At the end of those eight days you arrive at a Province which is called Tonocain." The word Tonocain is obviously Tun va Kain, but the place on the map directly to the north is Tabas, and it seemed reasonable to suppose that the Venetian made for it. However, my inquiries at that town in 1905 showed that there was no trade route from Kubanan, and that all caravans travelled via Chah Kuru to Naiband and Tun. Consequently, although it would be unwise to be dogmatic, I favour the identification of this latter route, by which I traversed the Lut from north to south in 1893.

After stating that Tonocain "has a good many towns and villages," Marco describes the oriental plane or Arbre Sol, "which we Christians term Arbre Sec." I have made special reference to the treelessness of Persia, and it is on this account that trees growing without irrigation water are regarded as sacred. The custom is to make a vow at such a tree that, if one's wish be fulfilled, a sheep will be brought and sacrificed beneath it; in token of the vow a strip of the clothing is torn off and tied to the tree, which thus presents a curious appearance.

Tun was in the province of Kuhistan, and it has been

mentioned in the previous chapter as having been sacked by the generals of Hulagu Khan at the opening of the campaign against the Ismailis. It is probably on this account that, after a reference to its "surpassingly beautiful women," Marco gives the account of the "Old Man of the Mountain," which has been quoted in Chapter LII. Possibly owing to a lacuna in the manuscript, no details are given of the illustrious Venetian's onward journey, which probably ran by Nishapur and Sarakhs, and the next place mentioned is Sapurgan or Shibrkan, in Afghan Turkestan. In any case Marco had now passed beyond the limits of modern Iran, and for the time being we may bid him farewell.

_Ahmad, a.h. 680–683 (1281–1284)._—The death of Abaga gave rise to many intrigues, and ultimately Tagudar Oghlu, a brother of the deceased monarch, was elected to succeed to the throne, Arghun, the eldest son of Abaga, being passed over. Tagudar, who had been baptized a Christian under the name of Nicolas, proclaimed himself a Moslem under the name of Ahmad upon his accession, and despatched an embassy to Kalaun of Egypt to announce the fact and to make profession of his friendly intentions. These were reciprocated by the Mameluke sovereign, who, however, forced the Mongol ambassadors to travel at night, and was careful not to allow them to have any contact with his subjects.

Arghun, being dissatisfied with the results of the election, rebelled, and being defeated took refuge in the natural fortress of Kalat-i-Nadiri, where the entrance towards the west is still known as Darband-i-Arghun, or Argawan. He was, however, induced to submit to his uncle, who received him kindly but kept him in confinement. Meanwhile a strong party in the army, which resented Ahmad's conversion to Islam and the favour shown to Moslems, conspired to rescue the young Prince. The army declared for the latter and Ahmad fled, but was captured and put to death in Mongol fashion by having his back broken.

_The Reign of Arghun, a.h. 683–690 (1284–1291)._—The reign of Arghun was not eventful, and for some years there was nothing worthy of record except a conspiracy formed by Boukai, who had been instrumental in rescuing him from his uncle's hands and had been given almost supreme power. This plot was revealed, and Boukai and his family with the other conspirators were put to death. The execution caused
a rebellion in Khorasan, which was the appanage of Ghazan, son of Arghun, and Ghazan’s general rebelled from fear of being put to death as a friend of the late conspirator. He attacked Ghazan, who was encamped on the banks of the Kashaf Rud, the river of Tus and of Meshed, but the Prince succeeded in escaping, only, however, to be defeated later on near Tus. Ghazan rallied his forces at Kalposh near Nardin, and having received strong reinforcements, was able to drive the rebel general out of Persia.

Arghun during his short reign evinced much favour towards Christians, and made proposals in 1289, and again two years later, to the powers of Christendom for a joint attack on the Moslems in the Holy Land; but the fall of Acre in 1291 sealed the fate of European domination in Syria, which, after two centuries of vicissitude, ceased to exist. As in the case of his predecessor, letters were exchanged, hopes were excited, and little was actually done.

John de Monte Corvino.—The leading missionary of the age was John de Monte Corvino, a Franciscan friar who was working in the Levant at this period, and who reported to the Pope his high hopes of the conversion of Arghun. Consequently he was despatched with many letters, and, passing through Tabriz, proceeded to distant China, where he founded a flourishing church.

Gaykhatu, a.h. 690–694 (1291–1295), and Baydu, a.h. 694 (1295).—Upon the death of Arghun the generals who had usurped the power sent envoys to Ghazan his son, to Gaykhatu his brother, and to Baydu his cousin. Their first decision was to offer the succession to Gaykhatu. A few days later, however, they regretted their choice and decided to raise Baydu to the throne, but being prudent he declined the offer and Gaykhatu was thereupon elected. He was lavish in his expenditure, and when the treasury was empty he attempted, in imitation of Khubilai, to issue bank-notes; but the measure was so unpopular that it was speedily cancelled.

The folly of Gaykhatu and his unbridled excesses of every description alienated the Mongol generals, and when Baydu, who had been struck and insulted by his cousin, rebelled, the unhappy monarch was deserted with sinister suddenness and strangled. Nor was Baydu, his successor, more fortunate, as he held the throne for less than a year and was
in turn deserted by his generals in favour of Ghazan, by whose orders he was put to death. With Baydu ended the period of the heathen Il-Khans. It is specially noteworthy that, whereas only twelve years before Ahmad had lost his throne partly, at any rate, owing to his zeal for Islam, the deposition and death of Baydu were due mainly to his hostility to that religion and to his predilection for Christianity.

The Return of Marco Polo to Persia, A.D. 1294.—Arghun had despatched an embassy to Khubilay to ask for the hand of a Princess of the Royal House, and in A.D. 1292 Marco Polo was entrusted with the perilous duty of escorting the lady Kokachin "moult bele dame et avenant" from China to Persia. Khubilay, upon dismissing the Venetian, gave him "two golden Tablets of Authority. He charged him also with messages to the King of France, the King of England, the King of Spain, and the other Kings of Christendom."

The land route being rejected as too fatiguing, the intrepid Venetian sailed from China with a well-equipped squadron of thirteen ships and a large retinue; but during the two years which the voyage occupied almost every one died, "so that only eight survived." Upon reaching Hormuz, in A.D. 1294, Marco heard of the death of Arghun, and, having reported his arrival to Gaykhatu, was instructed to take the Princess to Ghazan Khan, who was at this time in Kuhistan. It is therefore probable that he followed the same route as he originally took from Hormuz to Tun, and from the camp of Ghazan to Tabriz he presumably followed the trunk route which skirts the Elburz. At the capital he was treated with great distinction; and his epoch-making journey, or series of journeys, was brought to a happy conclusion in A.D. 1295 or the following year, when, after an absence of a quarter of a century, the way-weary Venetian reached his home.
CHAPTER LVIII

GHAZAN KHAN, THE GREAT IL-KHAN

That which was most admirable was that in such a small body more fine qualities existed than could be imagined. Among his soldiers scarcely one could be found as small and as ugly in face as he was, but yet he surpassed them all in virtue and integrity.—Hayton of Armenia on Ghazan Khan.

The Accession of Ghazan, A.H. 694 (1295).—Ghazan upon his accession proclaimed himself a Moslem and on this account repudiated the suzerainty of the Khakans, who were, of course, heathen. To mark this step, which was, in fact, the opening of a new period, he substituted the Moslem confession of faith on his coins for the name and titles of the Khakan, and he, and his Amirs, subsequently adopted the turban. Furthermore, with the zeal of a convert, he destroyed Christian, Jewish, and pagan temples alike, until the King of Armenia interceded with him, after which he demolished only the temples of the pagans.

The earlier part of his short reign of nine years was filled with rebellions and disturbances, the invasion of Khorasan from Transoxiana falling into the latter category. The two chief supporters of Ghazan were Togatchar and Noruz, but he suspected their loyalty and determined to put them to death. The execution of the former was accomplished by treachery. Noruz, on the other hand, escaped and took refuge with Fakhr-u-Din, the Kurb ruler of Herat; but he was surrendered to the representative of Ghazan and immediately executed. Many other chiefs and officials were put to death during this reign.

His First Syrian Campaign, A.H. 699 (1299).—After successfully putting down these rebellions, which the fate of
recent Il-Khans had encouraged, Ghazan took advantage of the weakness of the Mameluke empire, which was suffering from internal troubles, and invaded Syria. He crossed the Euphrates with an army ninety thousand strong and moved on Aleppo; instead of besieging it, however, he marched to meet the Egyptian army. The decisive battle was fought at Hims, where formerly the Mongol arms had met with disaster. On this occasion the centre under Ghazan was nearly broken by the charge of the heavily armed Mamelukes, but the Il-Khan dismounted his men, who used their horses as a rampart from behind which they kept up a heavy fire of arrows. These tactics threw the Mamelukes into disorder owing to the numbers of their horses that were killed, and when the Mongol wings had repulsed the Egyptians by the same device, a general advance, headed by the deadly archers on foot, completed the victory.

The change that Islam had made in the customs of the Mongols is clearly seen by Ghazan’s treatment of Damascus. He received the submission of the city and issued a proclamation with many quotations from the Koran, to the effect that he had come to deliver Syria from a reprobate monarch and that no harm would be done to any one. Moreover, he kept the soldiery out of the city and did not even allow the gardens for which the place is famous to be damaged. Nevertheless, in spite of Ghazan’s humane intentions, Damascus did not escape severe suffering, owing mainly to the hatred of the Il-Khan’s Armenian allies and the difficulty of restraining troops accustomed to plunder. After remaining until the contribution fixed by him had been fully paid, Ghazan marched back across the Euphrates, leaving a force to hold his conquests; but on the organization of a fresh army at Cairo the Mongols retreated, and Syria reverted to its Egyptian masters.

The Raiding of Southern Persia from Transoxiana.—During the absence of Ghazan in Syria, Kutlugh Shah, the Chagatay Prince of Transoxiana, sent a force of ten thousand men to raid Southern Persia. The province of Kerman lay desolate, as Mahmud Shah, its drunken prince, had revolted and the troops of Ghazan had been quartered on it for a year; indeed, so depopulated was the country that only one thousand Afghans were met with, who were attacked and robbed of their families and possessions. At Shiraz there was no garrison
to defend the city, but the inhabitants armed themselves, and for once the well-worn ruse of an ambuscade failed to lure them from the security of their walls. Consequently no attack was attempted on the capital of Fars, and the raiders, plunging into the “Hot Country” at Kazerun, looted the nomads of the province, and entered Khuzistan. The force finally assembled at Hormuz in Khuzistan for the return march, but being encumbered with thousands of animals the raiders suffered severe losses, and were obliged to leave behind all their booty.

*The Defeat of the Mongols in Syria, A.H. 702 (1303).*—In A.H. 700 (1301) Ghazan made a second incursion into Syria, but was foiled by the bad weather and retired after sustaining heavy losses in his transport. Two years later he once again crossed the Euphrates, but on this occasion, after securing a minor success, he retired to watch events from the left bank of the Tigris. Kulturgh Shah, who commanded his army fifty thousand strong, met the Egyptian army at Marju-as-Suffar, in the vicinity of Damascus. This battle also was chequered, the right wing of the Egyptians giving way and causing a panic in Damascus, while the left wing stood firm, and compelled the invaders to retire to the hills for the night. In the morning the battle was renewed, with the result that the Mongols, who were suffering from lack of water, attempted to break through and flee, and being permitted to do so were followed up and cut to pieces.

*The Relations of Ghazan with Byzantium and the Western Powers.*—In A.H. 702 (1302) Ghazan received an embassy from Andronicus the Elder, who offered him the hand of a Greek princess and begged that the Turks of Asia Minor might be ordered to cease their raids into his territories. Little did the Emperor realize that these same Turks, whose rise dates from this period, were destined to capture Byzantium and to hold in subjection provinces of Europe.

With the Western states of Europe Ghazan maintained the friendly relations which he had inherited, and letters similar in tenor to those already mentioned are preserved in the archives of various powers. The fact that Egypt, the representative Moslem power, was his chief enemy strengthened the belief that at heart he was a Christian, or, at any rate, had Christian sympathies. During his reign Edward I. of England accredited Geoffrey de Langley, who was accom-
panied by two esquires, to the Persian Court. The original roll of their itinerary is extant,¹ and also an account of their expenditure, which included purchases of silver plate, fur pelisses, and carpets. They travelled by way of Genoa to Trebizond and Tabriz, and returned home with a leopard in a cage. No other account of their mission has been preserved.

His Reforms.—When Ghazan Khan came to the throne, he found the revenue so corruptly administered that practically nothing reached the central government, with the result that he was unable to give pay, much less presents, to his army. At the same time the peasantry were so ground down by illegal and semi-illegal exactions that they were deserting their villages, and whenever an official appeared they took refuge in underground hiding-places. To remove this fundamental abuse a survey of all property was instituted, and on this a new system of taxation was based, each village paying its taxes in two instalments and knowing exactly what the amount was. All assignations on revenue—a cause of endless corruption—and all other irregular taxes or tolls were forbidden on pain of death, and in order to prevent the tax-collectors from deceiving the peasantry they were obliged to post a copy of the order, with details of its taxes, in every village. Another abuse was that all government officials and other great personages not only used the government post-horses but preyed on the country, quartering themselves and their large suites in the towns and villages, and taking everything they and their servants desired without payment. It had also become customary to send an enormous number of couriers to and from the court, all of whom seized supplies and even transport when necessary, with the result that the population had disappeared from the vicinity of the main roads. This abuse Ghazan remedied, in the first place by instituting a private postal service of horses, which was not allowed to be used by any one except the monarch’s special couriers. He subsequently abolished the old service, and by rigorously suppressing the use of couriers and by other means put an end to the extortions. He also purified and organized the administration of justice, encouraged agriculture, founded military fiefs, set up a standard of weights and measures, and worked by every means for the prosperity

of the down-trodden peasantry. Finally, the new Ilkhan era, which began on 14th March 1302, was partly inaugurated to check financial irregularities.

His Buildings and Endowments.—His capital, Tabriz, Ghazan adorned with buildings which surpassed in splendour the famous tomb of Sultan Sanjar at Merv. Building on the same lines, he erected a magnificent mausoleum, together with an equally magnificent mosque, two colleges, a hospital, a library, and an observatory. The most celebrated professors and scientific men of the age were appointed with liberal salaries to staff these foundations, and lands were assigned to them in perpetuity, the produce of which provided the salaries and upkeep. Nor were the students forgotten; indeed the entire scheme was thought out with extraordinary thoroughness, and it is to be regretted that a man of such administrative genius was shortly afterwards succeeded by puppet-khans under whom Persia relapsed into anarchy.

Uljaytu, A.H. 703–716 (1304–1316).—The successor of the great Il-Khan was his brother Mohamed Khudabanda, generally known by his title of Uljaytu. Upon hearing of the death of Ghazan he kept the intelligence a secret until he had surprised and killed a possible competitor for the throne in the person of Alafrang, son of Gaykhatu, together with his supporters. The third son of Arghun Khan, he had been brought up by his mother as a Christian and baptized under the name of Nicolas, but through the influence of his wife he had been converted to Islam. He loved to listen to religious discussions, and was once shocked by hearing it stated that Islam allowed marriage with a mother, a sister, or a daughter. His adverse impression was strengthened by a violent thunderstorm during which some members of his court were killed by lightning and which was interpreted as a sign that heaven was angry at his adoption of Islam. For a while the Sultan thought of returning to the old Mongol beliefs, but, visiting the tomb of Ali, he there dreamed a dream as a result of which he finally embraced the Shia tenets.

Among other events of this reign was an invasion of Gilan, hitherto independent, which cost the Mongols thousands of lives; there was also a raid into Khorasan by the

1 Uljaytu signifies "Fortunate." The Sultan was born when his mother was traversing the desert which lies between Merv and Sarakhs. Her attendants, being obliged to halt, were afraid that the party would die of thirst, but upon the birth of the infant a heavy shower fell, and it was in commemoration of this that he received his title.
STONE PULPIT AT KALA-I-SANG.

(With date A.H. 789 (1387).)
Chagatay Mongols, which was beaten off. Uljaitu, like his predecessors, corresponded with the sovereigns of Western Europe, and it is interesting to note that they believed him to be an enemy of Islam.\footnote{This appears from a letter of Edward II, dated Northampton, October 16, 1307, in which the monarch states that the English King would employ all his efforts "to extirpate the abominable sect of Mohamed."}

\textit{Abu Said, A.H. 716–736 (1316–1335).}—Abu Said, the son of Khudabanda, was only a boy of twelve when he succeeded to the throne, although he had been the nominal ruler of Khorasan, which to some extent had become the appanage of the heir-apparent. His reign was marked by disputes of the great nobles, who during his minority contended for power. Chief among them was Amir Chupan, the Regent, who was married to a sister of the monarch and whose power overshadowed the throne. The revolt of his son, whom he captured and brought a prisoner to Sultania (the city founded by Khudabanda), only strengthened his position, which he might have retained but for the fact that Abu Said fell in love with his daughter, Baghdad Khatun, whom he had married to a Mongol noble. His refusal to hand over his daughter weighed on the mind of the enamoured monarch, who began to hate Chupan so intensely that in self-defence the Amir was forced to rebel, and paid the penalty with his life. Nothing more during this reign merits notice, and Abu Said, dying childless, left the kingdom a prey to disorder.

\textit{The Puppet Il-Khans.}—The remaining Il-Khans were puppets set up by rival generals, and their importance was so small that they may suitably be relegated to a list taken from \textit{The Mohomedan Dynasties}.

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{Arpa} & A.H. 736 (1335) \\
\textbf{Musa} & 736 (1336) \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Rival Khans}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{Puppets of the} & Mohamed 736–8 (1336–8) \\
\textbf{Jalayr Amir} & Tughra-Timur 739–52 (1338–51) \\
& Jahan-Timur 739–41 (1339–41) \\
\textbf{Puppets of the} & \textbf{Sati-Beg (princess)} 739–40 (1339) \\
\textbf{Chupani Amirs} & Sulayman 740–4 (1339–43) \\
& Noshirwan 745 (1344) \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
The Jalayar Dynasty, A.H. 736–814 (1336–1411).—In the struggle for power which occurred upon the disintegration of the Empire of the Il-Khans the most important family was that of Amir Husayn Jalayar, who had married a daughter of Arghun. Under Shaykh Hasan Buzurg, or "the Great," who had set up three puppets given in the list above, and had subsequently assumed sovereign functions himself, Irak was occupied and Baghdad once again became a capital. His son Oways, on his succession in A.H. 757 (1356), seized Azerbaijan, which had been annexed by the Golden Horde, and a few years later added Mosul and Diarbeikr to the newly founded kingdom. Oways was succeeded by Husayn, who fought the Muzaffar dynasty of Southern Persia and the Kara Kuyunlu, or "Black Sheep" Turkoman, to the west. Upon his death in A.H. 784 (1382), he bequeathed Azerbaijan and Irak to his son Sultan Ahmad, on whom fell the brunt of the invasion of Timur. Unable to resist the World Conqueror he fled to Egypt and spent the rest of his life in seeking to regain and hold his dominions. In A.H. 813 (1410) he had recovered Baghdad, but when invading Azerbaijan he was defeated by the Kara Kuyunlu, who succeeded this undistinguished dynasty.

The Muzaffarids, A.H. 713–795 (1313–1393).—The founder of the Southern Persian dynasty was a certain Sharaf-u-Din Muzaffar, who was appointed Governor of Maybud, a small town to the north-west of Yezd. His son, Mubariz-u-Din, Mohamed, in A.H. 713 (1313), was appointed Governor of Yezd and Fars by Abu Said, and so increased his influence by marrying Kutlugh Turkan, the only daughter of Shah Jahan of the Kutlugh Khans of Kerman, that in A.H. 741 (1340) he obtained possession of that province. In A.H. 754 (1353), after a series of campaigns fought with Abu Ishak, Inju, he annexed Fars, and three years later Isfahan. Finding the conditions favourable, this successful warrior led his army to Tabriz, but when he was apparently at the zenith of his fame his sons conspired against him and blinded him. His successors quarrelled among themselves and merit little notice, except that Shah Shuja, who also captured Tabriz and even Baghdad, is known to fame as the patron of Hafiz. Sultan Ahmad, Imad-u-Din, is well known at Kerman as the founder of the Pa Minar mosque. In his honour, too, was carved the beautiful stone pulpit which I discovered at Kala-i-
Sang, the old capital of the province. The family was hopelessly weakened by internal feuds, but Shah Mansur, stung by reproaches of the Shirazis, attacked, and in a desperate charge nearly succeeded in killing the Great Conqueror himself, as will be seen in the following chapter. On this account the dynasty was exterminated.¹

†The Kurts of Herat, a.h. 643–791 (1245–1389)⁠— To complete the survey of petty dynasties mention must be made of the Kurt race of Ghor, which held Herat under the Mongols from the middle of the thirteenth century of our era. As mentioned above, Fakhr-u-Din gained the favour of Ghazan by handing over Noruz, and the dynasty, partly owing to the possession of an inaccessible fort, maintained itself until a few years after the conquest of Herat by Timur in a.h. 783 (1381).

¹ This dynasty is dealt with at greater length in Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 63.
CHAPTER LIX

TAMERLANE

And when I cloathed myself in the robe of empire, I shut my eyes to safety, and to the repose which is found on the bed of ease. And from the twelfth year of my age I travelled over countries, and combated difficulties, and formed enterprises and vanquished armies, and I hazarded my person in the hour of danger; until in the end I vanquished kingdoms and empires, and established the glory of my name.—From The Institutes of Timur.

Transoxiana in the Middle of the Fourteenth Century.—The house of Chagatay which ruled Central Asia was the least distinguished of the dynasties founded by Chengiz Khan. In the period covered by the preceding chapter an occasional raid into Khorasan constituted all its history so far as Persia was concerned, and during much of the time Transoxiana was in a state of anarchy. In A.H. 746 (1345) Kazan Khan, the Western Chagatay ruler, provoked a rebellion by his cruelty, the nobles uniting under a certain Amir Kazghan to dethrone him, a design in which they were successful the following year. Amir Kazghan after this revolution ruled through puppet Khans until his death in A.H. 759 (1357) and was succeeded by his son Abdulla. Sarai was deserted through the influence of Sali, the new Vizier, and Samarcand again became the capital of an empire. Becoming enamoured of the wife of the puppet Khan, Abdulla put him to death and set up Timur Shah Oghlan in his stead. This act caused a revolt, which was headed by an Amir named Bayan Selduz.

1 The authorities for this chapter include A History of Persia, by Sir John Malcolm; Geschichte des Osmanischen Reiches, by Joseph von Hammer; A History of the Moghuls of Central Asia (the Tarikh-i-Rashidi), by Nye Elias and Denison Ross; A History of Bokhara, by A. Vambéry; the Zafar Nama of Sharaf-u-Din Ali Yesdi, and the Institutes of Timur (ed. Davy and White).
and by *Haji* Barlas, of Kesh (the modern Shahr-i-Sabz, to the south of Samarcand), and the united forces of the Amirs defeated Abdulla, who fled across the Oxus and disappeared from the scene. The government was now administered by the victors, but the incapacity of Bayan Selduz, who was a hopeless drunkard, broke up the empire into a number of petty states, and *Haji* Barlas was not able to do more than maintain himself at Kesh.

The Governor of Mongolia, or Jatah, at this period was Tughluk Timur Khan, who, on seeing the state of anarchy into which Transoxiana had fallen, determined to annex it. He started on an expedition for this purpose in A.H. 761 (1360) and marched on Kesh; *Haji* Barlas, deeming the odds too great, attempted no defence and fled to Khorasan, where he was afterwards killed by brigands.

*The Fame of Tamerlane.*—Tamerlane has impressed Europe more than any other Asiatic conqueror. Chengiz Khan, a century and a half earlier, was not brought into direct contact with the Near East or with Europe, but conquered lands remote from the ken of the West, and it was not until after his death that his descendants subdued Russia to the north and Mesopotamia to the south. Tamerlane, on the other hand, overran Persia and Mesopotamia, and subsequently entered Russia and attacked the Kipchaks of the lower Volga valley; he also plundered Moscow. He then turned his eyes towards India, the reputed treasure-house of the world, which he invaded. Here he passed the limits both of Alexander the Great and of Chengiz Khan, the former having halted on the Beas, while the latter barely crossed the Indus. Westwards, too, he took Damascus and weakened the power of the Mamelukes, and finally defeated and captured Sultan Bayazid I. of Turkey on the field of Angora. No Asiatic conqueror in historical times has performed such feats of arms as these, and consequently none is entitled to the fame of Tamerlane.

*His Birth in A.H. 736 (1335) and his Early Years.*—The historians of Tamerlane trace his descent from a certain Karachar Khan, a vizier in the service of Chagatay, who was connected with his master's family. This genealogy is disputed, but its correctness is of little importance. We know

---

1 *Haji* signifies a man who has performed the pilgrimage to Mecca: it is a title of honour in the Moslem world.
that he was the son of Amir Turghay, chief of the Gurkan branch of the Barlas, a noble Turkish tribe, and nephew of Haji Barlas. From an early age he showed unusual promise both in the council chamber and in the field, where he served with distinction under Amir Kazghan, notably in Khorasan. He was also remarkable for his skill and endurance in the pursuit of game, resembling in this respect Alexander the Great.

His Submission to Tughluk Timur Khan.—Tamerlane, by the death of his father, had recently become the head of his family at the time of the flight of Haji Barlas, and this event proved a crisis in the life of the young Amir. As the Tarikh-i-Rashidi runs:

His father was dead and his uncle had fled;
The people were exposed to the ravages of a stranger.

Its enemies had placed the tribe in danger:
It was become as an eagle without wings or feathers.

To save the situation, Tamerlane decided to tender his submission to Tughluk Timur Khan, by whom he was received with much distinction and appointed Governor of Transoxiana. In the following year the Khan of Jatah obtained possession of Samarcand and appointed his son Khoja Ilias Oghlan to the governorship of Transoxiana with the young Tamerlane as his councillor, although a certain Amir Begiit was given the supreme authority. Intrigues naturally followed, with the result that Tamerlane was obliged to flee from Samarcand.

His Early Wanderings.—Being pursued, he turned on his enemies and defeated them. Then with but a handful of men he sought out his brother-in-law Amir Husayn, the grandson of Amir Kazghan, who had recently been beaten by Tughluk Timur and was wandering in the desert. Together the two adventurers proceeded to Khiva, where the Governor attempted to seize them by treachery, and they were forced to retire to the desert for protection. There they led a life of risk and hardship, Tamerlane and his wife being on one occasion imprisoned by some Turkoman and escaping with difficulty.

Tamerlane or "Timur the Lame."—It was during this period that Timur acquired in Sistan his sobriquet of "the Lame"; and details of the story have been preserved. In A.H. 764 (1363), when wandering in Southern Afghanistan, he received an appeal for help from Jalal-u-Din Mahmud,
the Keiani\(^1\) Prince of Sistan, whose subjects had rebelled. Tamerlane and Amir Husayn immediately accepted the invitation, and with the aid of their veterans three out of seven forts held by the rebels were captured. The latter then submitted to their Prince, pointing out that if Tamerlane were allowed to capture the other forts, Sistan would lie at his mercy. Persuaded by these weighty arguments, Jalal-u-Din collected a force with which he attacked his allies, and although Tamerlane succeeded in breaking the centre of the Sistan army, he received two arrow wounds, one in his arm and the other in his foot, which was thus permanently lamed. From this he became known as Timur \textit{lang}, or "the lame," two words which in European languages have been merged in the euphonious form of Tamerlane.\(^2\) The word Timur signifies iron.

\textit{The Rallying of his Relations and Adherents.---In Timur's Institutes} \(^2\) there is a delightful account of how relations and adherents rallied to his standard during this period. It deserves quotation, if only as revealing the character of the great adventurer. He writes: "I had not yet rested from my devotions, when a number of people appeared afar off; and they were passing along in a line with the hill. And I mounted my horse, and I came behind them, that I might know their condition, and what men they were. They were, in all, seventy horsemen; and I asked of them saying, 'Warriors, who are ye?' and they answered unto me, 'We are the servants of Amir Timur, and we wander in search of him; and lo! we find him not.' And I said unto them, 'I also am one of the servants of the Amir. How say ye, if I be your guide, and conduct you unto him?' When their eyes fell upon me, they were overwhelmed with joy; and they alighted from their horses, and they came, and they kneeled and they kissed my stirrup. I also dismounted and took each of them in my arms. And I put my turban over the head of Toghluk Khoja; and my girdle, which was very rich in jewels, and wrought with gold, I bound on the loins of Amir Sayf-u-Din; and I clothed Tukub Bahadur with my cloak. And they wept, and I wept also. When the hour of prayer was arrived, we prayed together."

\(^1\) Vide Vol. I. p. 136.

\(^2\) Timur's \textit{Memoirs} (Malsunat) and \textit{Institutes} (Tawakat) are works the authenticity of which is not universally accepted. Still they are of considerable value and of great interest as showing his ideals and personality.
The Campaigns with Khoja Ilias.—After their operations in Sistan the two companions in arms proceeded to Kunduz, and in A.H. 765 (1363) they won a battle against the forces of Jatah by a demonstration against the rear of the enemy and by lighting an enormous number of fires, which caused a panic among them. As a result, Tamerlane regained possession of Kesh, the inhabitants of the district flocking to his standard in thousands. At this juncture Tughluk Timur died, and Khoja Ilias, on his way home to ascend the throne, was attacked by the two Amirs, who gained a victory after a hard contest and took Samarcand. But in the following year, A.H. 766 (1365), Khoja Ilias defeated the two allies and besieged Samarcand, from which, however, he was forced to withdraw owing to heavy losses among his horses.

The Struggle between Tamerlane and Amir Husayn, A.H. 767–771 (1365–1369).—After the first success over the Amirs of Jatah the two victors, probably owing to the intense respect which still existed for the family of Chengiz Khan, set up a puppet in the person of Kabil Shah Oghlan, but retained the power in their own hands. Their friendship, which had been welded in the furnace of adversity, could not withstand the strain of success, and open hostilities broke out, in which Tamerlane was at first unsuccessful. His fortunes were restored by a most brilliant feat of arms, which deserves to be recorded as an illustration of the amazing enterprise and initiative of the famous conqueror. Karshi, a town only a few miles to the south-west of Kesh, had been captured by his rival, and he felt bound in honour to recover it. His forces were too small to assault it openly, and Amir Husayn was in the neighbourhood with an army too powerful to be attacked. Tamerlane, giving out that he had departed to Khorasan, crossed the Oxus. When he was satisfied that his enemies were deceived and “had spread abroad the carpet of riot and dissipation,” he made forced marches, escaladed the walls by night, slew the guard at the gate and frightened away the rest of the startled garrison by sounding trumpets. The men who accomplished this consummate feat of arms were only two hundred and forty-three in number, and when this became known the little band was assailed by Amir Husayn. To the amazement of his enemies Tamerlane sallied out repeatedly and inflicted such loss in his charges that the larger army retreated. Not long afterwards Amir Husayn
was forced to capitulate at Balkh, where he was put to death.

The Conquest of Jatah and of Khwarazm, A.H. 771–782 (1369–1380).—The successful issue of the contest with Amir Husayn gave Tamerlane complete control of Transoxiana, and for a full decade he was busily engaged in conquering the neighbouring states of Jatah to the east and of Khwarazm to the west.

The Surrender of Herat, A.H. 782 (1380).—In A.H. 782 (1380) he began his famous campaigns in Persia, his first objective being Khorasan. Ghias-u-Din Pir Ali, the Kurt Prince, after being lulled into false security, was surprised and submitted. His submission was accepted, but so heavy a contribution was levied on Herat and other towns that they were reduced to dire poverty. Kandahar and Kabul also submitted later on, but isolated strongholds continued to resist in various portions of what is now termed the kingdom of Afghanistan.

The Siege of Kalat-i-Nadiri and of Turshiz.—The famous natural fortress, now known as Kalat-i-Nadiri, which has already been mentioned, won imperishable fame by resisting all attempts at assault after a surprise had failed. Tamerlane invested the Nafta darband in person, his Amirs attacking the other entrances. Some Badakshani hillmen found a way up the cliffs and negotiations for surrender were opened up, but while they were in progress the astute defender broke down this track. Fourteen assaults were delivered, but without result, and the great Tamerlane had to admit defeat. However, he left a force to blockade the fortress, and in the end it was surrendered owing to an outbreak of plague.

The city of Turshiz, the site of which I have examined, was taken by force of arms. It was believed to be impregnable owing to its deep ditch and high walls; but the water was drawn off by well-diggers, a mine was run under the walls, and it was surrendered. The garrison was spared and re-enlisted under Tamerlane to serve in Turkestan.

The Sistan Campaign, A.H. 785 (1383).—The slow progress made by Tamerlane at this period, as compared with the ease with which the Mongols overran Persia, deserves

---

2 *A darband is a defile which forms the natural entrance.*
3 *Journal R.G.S.* for February 1911.
attention. Herat had indeed submitted, but the resistance of Kalat-i-Nadiri and of other strongholds must have strained the resources of the Conqueror. Jatah, moreover, needed watching, and consequently it was not until the fourth year after the campaign began that Tamerlane was able to invade Sistan. Marching through the Herat and Sabzawar provinces, his cavalry devastated the country far and wide. Kala Zarah, situated to the north of the capital, was breached and stormed without resort to siege operations. Tamerlane now advanced on Zaranj, then known as the city of Sistan, and made a personal reconnaissance. To quote from the Zafar Nama: "I made towards a gate, and when only a short distance away I ascended a mound which is called Kutluk, and halted upon the summit. As a precautionary measure I placed 2000 men-at-arms, in complete armour, in an ambush. When the people of the country saw me come to a stand upon the summit of the mound, they recognized whom they had to deal with, and Shah Kutb-u-din, the Prince of Sistan, despatched to my presence Shah-i-Shahan and Taj-u-din Sistani, who were the chief of all his leaders." Tate,1 who has made a plan of Zahidan, as the ruins are now termed, shows a mound close to the south angle of the walls, and there is little doubt it was from it that the Great Conqueror examined the city. Meanwhile the Sistanis, unaware of the hidden force and careless of the safety of their deputation, swarmed out of the city and advanced to the attack. The usual ruse of a feigned retreat and a surprise by the hidden troops drove the undisciplined peasantry back to their walls with heavy loss, but they had fought bravely and killed many of the enemy, whose horses they stabbed with their knives. Undismayed, the Sistanis next attempted a night attack, which at first caused some confusion, but the disciplined troops rallied and inflicted terrible losses on the enemy. The city was then assaulted by the entire army, and its ruler, realizing that he could not hope to resist for very long, resolved to surrender. During the course of the negotiations Tamerlane set off with a small escort to visit one of his divisions. Again the Sistanis assailed him, climbing down from their battlements. This act of hostility provoked Tamerlane to order a fresh assault, and the city was taken. Its garrison

1 Sistan, Parts I. to III. p. 55. This useful work is by G. P. Tate of the Survey Department of the Government of India.
was put to the sword, and its population was massacred. Its
great area is now so desolate and lifeless that when I visited
it the wonderful lines of Isaiah ¹ came to my mind: "An
habitation of dragons, and a court for owls. The wild beasts
of the desert shall also meet with the wild beasts of the island,
and the satyr shall cry to his fellow."

The Campaign in Northern Persia, A.H. 786 (1384).—In
the year following the conquest of Sistan and the consolida-
tion of his power in Khorasan, Tamerlane undertook what
may be regarded as the first of his distant campaigns. Hitherto
he had been operating in districts familiar to him and not
very far from his base. Crossing the Oxus with a powerful
and well-equipped army, he marched into the valley of the
Gurgan and camped near Astrabad. Its ruler, who had
submitted but had since rebelled, resisted for a month, and
then, seeing no hope of success, left his state to be ravaged,
and fled. After the conquest of Mazanderan, Tamerlane
advanced on Rei and Sultania, and having taken these royal
cities returned to Samarcand.

The Campaign in Azerbajian, Georgia and Fars, A.H. 788-
790 (1386–1388).—Two years later a second and even more
distant campaign was undertaken, in the course of which
Tamerlane occupied Azerbajian, crossed the Aras, overran
Georgia, and received the submission of the Princes of Gilan,
of the Khan of the Lesgians, and of the Prince of Shirwan.
His next objective was Van, the capital of the rising Kara
Kuyunlu dynasty, which was sacked, its Prince, Kara Yusuf,
leaving it to its fate and remaining in exile until the Con-
quero had quit the district.

Zayn-ul-Abidin, son of Shah Shuja of the Muzaffar
dynasty, now occupied the throne of Fars. He had not
followed out his father’s policy of submission to Tamerlane,
but had imprisoned his envoy. Consequently the Great Con-
quero ordered a march on Isfahan, which formed part of the
Muzaffarid dominions. This city surrendered, and a heavy
contribution had been almost collected when the chance
playing of a drum brought together a mob which attacked
and slew the 3000 Tartars quartered in the city. Tamerlane
was merciless in avenging this outbreak, and 70,000 heads
built into pyramids taught a terrible lesson.

Tamerlane and Hafiz.—Shiraz hastened to open its gates

¹ Chap. xxxiv. 13, 14.
when the invaders approached. Tamerlane sent for Hafiz, and the celebrated interview is described by Dolatshah as follows: "I have subdued with this sword the greater part of the earth; I have depopulated a vast number of cities and provinces in order to increase the glory and wealth of Samarcand and Bokhara, the ordinary places of my residence and the seat of my empire; yet thou, an insignificant individual, hast pretended to give away both Samarcand and Bokhara as the price of a little black mole setting off the features of a pretty face; for thou hast said in one of thy verses:

If that fair maiden of Shiraz would accept my love,
I would give for the dark mole which adorns her cheek
Samarcand and Bokhara."

Hafiz bowed to the ground, and replied: "Alas! O Prince, it is this prodigality which is the cause of the misery in which you see me." The repartee delighted Tamerlane so much that he treated the poet with kindness and generosity.

The Campaigns with Toktamish, A.H. 790-793 (1388-1391).—Toktamish, the head of the Eastern or White Horde, was a great figure on the stage of Russia, Moscow being sacked by him in A.D. 1382. The sovereignty of the Western or Golden Horde also passed into his family, and thereby the two elder branches of the family of Juji¹ became united. Tamerlane had helped him when a refugee, but with marked folly and ingratitude he took advantage of his absence in Fars to invade Transoxiana, where he defeated the force which met him, and ravaged the country. The Great Conqueror returned to Central Asia, and after a long and exhausting march across the uninhabited steppe, at last, aided by the treachery of the standard-bearer of Toktamish, defeated the representative of the house of Juji at the Battle of Terek, inflicting on him heavy losses.

The Campaign in Fars and Irak, A.H. 794-795 (1392-1393).—In A.H. 794 (1392), hearing that the state of affairs in Persia was unsatisfactory, or more probably wishing to extend his conquests farther west, Tamerlane decided on another Persian campaign. He marched as before by way of Astrabad and Amul, reducing various strongholds which

¹ Mohomedan Dynasties, p. 228.
had held out against him and extirpating a nest of Ismailis, which had escaped from the massacre by Hulagu.

At the beginning of the following year he advanced on Khorramabad and Shuster, attacking and capturing the Kala Sufid, celebrated for its connexion with Rustam, who obtained possession of it by a ruse. He then marched on Shiraz, where to his astonishment his army, 30,000 strong, was charged by Shah Mansur, Prince of the Muzaffar dynasty, at the head of a body of 4000 armour-clad horsemen. Sharaf-u-Din, who was present at this engagement, gives the following spirited account: "Shah Mansur advanced at their head like a furious lion, and in opposition to his reason, which should have preserved in his mind a suitable idea of the person he had to do with. On a Friday, at the hour of prayer, he attacked our main body, composed of 30,000 Turks, the most dexterous men of their time, in a place named Patila: he however overthrew their squadrons, broke their ranks, made his way into the midst of them, and gained posts of the utmost consequence behind our army. Then he returned, furious as a dragon, to the fight, seeming resolved to lose his life. Timur stopped short with some of his favourites to consider the extreme vigour, or rather rashness, of this prince, who dared to attack him in person. Timur, seeing him come directly against him, would have armed himself with his lance to oppose him, but he could not find it, because Poulad Choura, the keeper of it, had been so vigorously attacked that he had fled and carried away the lance. Timur, who had only fourteen or fifteen persons with him, did not stir out of his place till Shah Mansur came up to him. This rash person struck the Emperor's helmet twice with his scimitar; but the blows did no harm, for they glanced along his arms: he kept firm as a rock, and did not change his posture."

The Prince was not properly supported in his gallant charge. The two wings of his small force fled, and, surrounded by enemies, he was slain by Shah Rukh, the celebrated son of Tamerlane, who cast his head at his sire's feet, exclaiming, "May the heads of all thy enemies be laid at thy feet as the head of the proud Mansur!" As recorded in the last chapter, this exploit of arms sealed the doom of the Muzaffar dynasty, all the members of which were put to

1 Vide Malcolm "op. cit. p. 27."
death. Baghdad was the next objective of the Great Conqueror and, unable to resist, the great city submitted after its Prince had fled.

The Siege of Takrit, A.H. 796 (1393).—From the erstwhile capital of the Caliph, Tamerlane marched north and besieged Takrit, a fort held by a noted robber chief named Hasan, who, confident in its strength, prepared to resist to the uttermost. The siege was the most celebrated of the day. The lofty walls, which rested on the living rock or merely connected portions of the cliff, appeared to be impregnable, but the army of Tamerlane was not to be denied. Seventy-two thousand men were employed in mining the solid rock, and with such success that at a given signal the mines, filled with combustibles, were simultaneously set on fire, the props were burned and many of the strongest towers fell. Hasan retreated, fighting bravely, to an inner citadel, which was attacked in the same manner, and the siege ended in the capture of the garrison, the members of which were distributed among the various regiments to be tortured to death. With pardonable pride Tamerlane ordered that a portion of the fortress should be left to prove his prowess to future ages.

The Second Campaign in Russia, A.H. 797 (1394).—Tamerlane’s next exploit was to march across Kipchak to the heart of Russia. Moscow was plundered, and Toktamish, who had dared to invade Shirwan, again saw his country devastated. In the following year the Great Conqueror sacked Astrakhan and strengthened his hold on the Caucasus, and he concluded this arduous campaign by returning to Samarcand across Northern Persia.

The Invasion of India, A.H. 800–801 (1398–1399).—Tamerlane’s design of invading India was at first opposed by some of his generals, who were appalled at the magnitude of the enterprise. An omen was sought in the Koran, and the verse “O Prophet fight with the infidels and the unbelievers” came forth and silenced all objections. The army, 92,000 strong, was divided into three corps. The first was despatched from Kabul against Multan; a second corps was ordered to invade the Panjab, keeping to the foothills of the Himalayas, while the leader himself marched with the main body. Upon reaching the vicinity of Delhi Tamerlane, anxious to fight a decisive battle rather than risk the difficulties of a siege, entrenched himself and assumed the defensive.
By these tactics he entirely deceived Sultan Mahmud, whose
army he defeated, and by this victory secured the riches of
Delhi, which he sacked.

The Campaign against the Mamelukes, A.H. 803 (1401).—
After his return from India, Tamerlane, who was now approach-
ing his seventh decade, might well have rested on his laurels
and deputed to his sons the care of his wide-spreading empire;
but conquerors, like actors, seldom retire from the stage.
Hearing that Ahmad, the Jalayr Prince, had returned to
Baghdad, the veteran chief made forced marches into Azer-
baijan, distant more than one thousand miles from Samarkand.
Ahmad, to strengthen his position, put to death various inhabitants of Baghdad suspected of favouring the
enemy, but a rising drove him out of his capital and he was
obliged to take refuge with Kara Yusuf.

Tamerlane advanced into Asia Minor, and besieged and
took Sivas. After this success he swung southwards into
Syria, to avenge the murder of his envoy to Egypt; there
Aleppo and Damascus became his prey. Returning east-
wards, he took Baghdad by assault and marched to Tabriz,
where he rested his army.

The Defeat of Bayazid, A.H. 804 (1402).—Tamerlane’s
last campaign was perhaps his greatest. In Central Asia, in
Persia, and in India he had encountered no formidable state
ruled by a warlike monarch, and with his large numbers,
perfect discipline, and vast experience, victory must have
become almost a matter of course.

The Osmanlis whom he was now to meet were descended
from a Turkish tribe which had fled from the neighbourhood
of Merv before the hordes of Chengiz Khan, and just a
century before had founded a mighty dynasty. The early
victories of this warlike people lie outside the scope of this
work. It suffices to state that in the stricken field of Kosovo,
in A.D. 1389, they worsted the Servians and their Christian
allies mainly owing to the bravery of Bayazid, and that seven
years later at Nicopolis the chivalry of Europe broke and fled
before the armed might of the Sultan, whose rapidity of
action had earned for him the title of the “Thunderbolt.”

When Tamerlane stormed Sivas, a son of the Sultan was
put to death, and Bayazid, who was besieging Constantinople,
hastened over to Asia Minor to meet the invader. But
Tamerlane had meanwhile marched into Syria, and it was
not until a year later that the two great conquerors confronted one another on the field of battle.

Bayazid appears to have become indolent after his great successes, and, moreover, he was notoriously avaricious, the most fatal of all failings in the East. Consequently he was no match for his great opponent, who was ever fit and ready for war. The decisive battle was fought at Angora, which had witnessed the final defeat of Mithridates by Pompey and at a later date the first victory of the Osmanlis. Bayazid brought his men on to the field tired and suffering from thirst, and some of his contingents deserted, relying on the reputation for generosity enjoyed by the invaders, whose agents had been active. The Janissaries and the Christian contingents fought splendidly, but the greater numbers of Tamerlane ultimately prevailed, and, as old Knolles writes, "He with much ado obtained the victory." Bayazid was taken prisoner and, after an attempt at escape, was chained at night; this circumstance, and the fact that the royal prisoner travelled in a barred litter, originated the legend of his confinement in an iron cage.\(^1\) Tamerlane reaped the fruits of victory by occupying Asia Minor, including the ports of Brusa, Nicaea, and Smyrna. From the last-named city he expelled the knights of St. John. It is interesting to learn that Tamerlane wrote a letter to Henry IV. of England in which he offered free commercial intercourse to his subjects. Henry's reply, the draft of which is preserved, congratulates Tamerlane on his great victory over the Turks. Both letters were conveyed by John Greenlaw,\(^2\) an English Minorite or Friar Preacher who was resident at Tabriz and is termed Archbishop John.

*The Castilian Embassy to the Court of Samarcand.*—Henry III. of Castile, son-in-law of "time-honour'd Lancaster," was noted for the embassies which he despatched to remote parts of the world, chiefly, it is to be supposed, with a view to forming alliances which should act as a check on the Osmanlis and neighbouring Moslems, but also with the purpose of extending the fame of Spain and of gaining knowledge of other countries.

We learn that two of his envoys were present at the battle of Angora, and that Tamerlane dismissed them after his

---

\(^1\) Bayazid appears in Marlowe's *Tamburlaine the Great*, and is made to beat out his brains against the bars of the cage.

\(^2\) Vide *Original Letters Illustrative of English History* (third series, vol. i. pp. 54-58), by Sir Henry Ellis. I have to thank Mr. A. G. Ellis for this reference.
victory with an ambassador of his own, who carried rich presents of jewels and fair women to the King of Castile. In continuance of this diplomatic intercourse Ruy Gonzalez di Clavijo 1 was despatched to the Court of Tamerlane on a second embassy in 1403. Thanks to the careful diary of this trusty old knight, we possess a vivid and most interesting contemporary account of the Great Conqueror.

Starting from Cadiz, accompanied by the ambassador whom Tamerlane had sent to the Court of Castile, the travellers experienced danger from both storms and currents, and upon reaching Rhodes were unable to obtain any accurate information as to the whereabouts of Tamerlane. They decided to make for Karabagh in Azerbaijan, and in pursuance of this design landed at Trebizond and proceeded by the well-known route to the frontier town of Khoi. There they met ambassadors from the Sultan of Egypt bearing gifts to Tamerlane, among them being "a beast called jorna7a, 2 . . . which was a wonderful sight"; and the two embassies travelled eastwards together.

Clavijo describes the beautiful mosques of Tabriz "ornamented very skilfully with mosaic, and blue and gold work," and gives the population at 200,000 houses, or a million persons, with the remark that it was formerly more populous. Sultania, too, is described as an important centre, and some account is given of Gilan from hearsay. Continuing along the historical trunk route so often referred to, they mention the city of Teheran—for the first time, so far as I know—and a diversion was made to Lar, now the favourite summer camp of the English colony. Rejoining the Meshed road in the vicinity of Damghan, the ambassadors, who were ill from the constant riding and heat, reached Nishapur, where a member of the embassy died. At Meshed the Castilians were permitted to visit the Shrine of the Imam Riza, and a reference is made to the "large tomb which is covered with silver gilt."

The onward route lay by Merv, and the party nearly died of thirst in the desert before the Murghab was reached. The Oxus is referred to as "the Viadme which is another of the rivers which flow from Paradise. It is a league in width

1 Pidz Embassy to the Court of Timour, translated by Sir Clements Markham (Hakluyt Society).
2 Giraffe.
and flows through a very flat country, with great and wonderful force, and it is very muddy."

Crossing by a bridge of timber near Termiz, the travellers passed the famous "Gates of Iron," the Eastern Darband or "Shut Gate," and Clavijo dwells on the power of the monarch who was lord of both the celebrated passes bearing this name; the other, to the west of the Caspian Sea, better known as Derbent, has been already referred to more than once. Kesh, the home of Tamerlane, is described, and its polished glazed tiles, in gold and blue patterns, made a great impression on the Castilians.

Finally Samarcand was reached, and after waiting for eight days, according to etiquette, the ambassadors were received by Tamerlane. The description of the Great Conqueror and of the audience is of historical value and had better be given in the words of Clavijo:

"Timur Beg was seated in a portal, in front of the entrance of a beautiful palace; and he was sitting on the ground. Before him there was a fountain, which threw up the water very high, and in it there were some red apples. The lord was seated cross-legged, on silken embroidered carpets, amongst round pillows. He was dressed in a robe of silk, with a high white hat on his head, on the top of which there was a spinel ruby, with pearls and precious stones round it. As soon as the ambassadors saw the lord, they made a reverential bow, placing the knee on the ground, and crossing the arms on the breast; then they went forward and made another and then a third, remaining with their knees on the ground. The lord ordered them to rise and come forward; and the knights, who had held them until then, let them go. Three Mirzas, or Secretaries, who stood before the lord, came and took the ambassadors by the arms, and led them forward until they stood together before the lord. This was done that the lord might see them better; for his eyesight was bad, being so old that the eyelids had fallen down entirely. He had not given them his hand to kiss, for it was not the custom of any great lord to kiss his hand; but he asked after the king, saying, 'How is my son the king? is he in good health?'. When the ambassadors had answered, Timur Beg turned to the knights who were seated around him, amongst whom were one of the sons of Toktamish, the former emperor of Tartary, several chiefs of the blood of the late emperor of
Samarcand, and others of the family of the lord himself, and said: 'Behold, here are the ambassadors sent by my son, the king of Spain, who is the greatest king of the Franks, and lives at the end of the world. These Franks are truly a great people, and I will give my benediction to the king of Spain, my son. It would have sufficed if he had sent you to me with the letter, and without the presents, so well satisfied am I to hear of his health and prosperous state.'"

Clavijo describes the beautiful gardens with their tiled palaces where banquets were given. The ambassador, who was invited, marvelled at the gorgeous tents, one of which "was so large and high that from a distance it looked like a castle; and it was a very wonderful thing to see, and possessed more beauty than it is possible to describe.” He also refers to the feast at which the marriage of one of the princes of the blood was celebrated and at which the drinking went on all night. It is interesting to notice that Shajar-u-Din mentions the presence of the ambassadors; "for," he writes, "even the smallest of fish have their place in the sea."

Truly a delightful touch!

The Castilian gives instances of Tamerlane’s justice, observing that “when a great man is put to death, he is hanged, but the meaner sort are beheaded.” He also visited Pir Mohamed, son of Jahangir, who was named his grandfather’s successor. He describes him as being very richly dressed in “blue satin, embroidered with golden wheels, some on the back, and others on the breast and sleeves.” He was watching a wrestling match and does not appear to have condescended to address the envoys.

Finally Samarcand, the beloved city of Tamerlane, “a little larger than the city of Seville,” is described as surrounded by many gardens and vineyards, a description which still holds true. Its inhabitants were mainly captives brought from every part of the empire and “they are said to have amounted to one hundred and fifty thousand persons, of many nations, Turks, Arabs and Moors, Christian Armenians, Greek Catholics and Jacobites, and those who baptize with fire on the face, who are Christians with peculiar opinions.”

Here we must leave the Castilian Knight, with deep gratitude for his valuable account of the dread Tamerlane, whose kindness and liberality to this embassy, which was overwhelmed with gifts and supplies, contrasts very favourably
with the starvation which Carpini endured when fulfilling a similar task at the Court of the grandson of Chengiz Khan.

The Death of Tamerlane, A.H. 807 (1405).—When Tamerlane returned in triumph to Samarcand after the defeat of Bayazid, he was, as the account shows, a very old man. But his lust of conquest did not diminish, and in A.H. 807 (1404) he convened a Diet at which he proposed the subjugation of China, on the double ground that the race of Chengiz had been expelled from that empire and also that the enterprise would be a holy war. The proposal was accepted with acclamation, two hundred thousand picked men were equipped, and the great army began its march. The Jaxartes was crossed at Otrar, the city which first saw the hordes of Chengiz Khan, and there the sudden illness and death of Tamerlane put an end to the enterprise.

His Character and Achievements.—Tamerlane, the "Lord of the Conjunctions," 1 was the greatest Asiatic conqueror known in history. The son of a petty chieftain, he was not only the bravest of the brave, but also profoundly sagacious, generous, experienced, and persevering; and the combination of these qualities made him an unsurpassed leader of men and a very god of war adored by all ranks. Malcolm brands him for a massacre of his prisoners at Delhi, but, awful though this was, it was dictated by imperative military exigencies. Did not Napoleon act in a similar manner in the last year of the eighteenth century? In the Institutes it is laid down that every soldier surrendering should be treated with honour and regard, a rule which, in striking contrast with the customs prevailing at the period, is remarkable for its humane spirit.

The object of Tamerlane was glory, and, as in the case of all conquerors ancient or modern, his career was attended by terrible bloodshed. He sometimes ordered massacres by way of retribution or from policy, but there were few that had their origin in pure savagery. Again, Tamerlane was a devout Moslem, who, though he took advantage of the tenets of Islam for his own aggrandizement, was nevertheless a patron of learned men, a founder of mosques and colleges, a writer of some merit, and fond of the game of chess. He was also careful to allow no favourites, but decided everything

---

1 In the East it is believed that the great conjunctions of the planets portend the advent of super-men.
of importance himself,¹ and in an absolute monarch this constitutes a virtue of no mean order.

His achievements seemed almost to border on the super-human. He carried his arms in every direction throughout a long life, in no campaign was he worsted, and when he died, as Gibbon wrote, "From the Irtish and Volga to the Persian Gulf, and from the Ganges to Damascus and the Archipelago, Asia was in the hands of Timur."

Tamerlane lies in a domed mausoleum at Samarcand. The cenotaph consists of a block of black jade, believed to be the largest in the world, the actual tomb being situated in the vault below. I count it a special privilege to have visited the tomb of this great maker of history, where he lies with his relatives and his spiritual leader and is still known as "the Amir."

¹ The first of his twelve maxims runs: "It is necessary that his words and his actions be his own. That is to say, that his soldiers and his subjects may know that what the king sayeth and doeth, he sayeth and doeth for himself; and that no other person hath influence therein."
CHAPTER LX

THE TIMURID MONARCHS OF PERSIA

Baber was adorned with various virtues, and clad with numberless good qualities, above all of which bravery and humanity had the ascendancy. In the composition of Turki poetry he was second only to Amir Ali Shir. . . . He excelled in music and other arts. In fact, no one in his family before him ever possessed such talents as his. Nor did any of his race ever perform such wonderful exploits, or experience such strange adventures, as did he.—*Tarikh-i-Rashidi* (translated by Ney Elias and Denison Ross).

*Khalil Sultan, A.H. 807–812 (1404–1409).*—Tamerlane made Pir Mohamed, son of his eldest son Jahangir, his sole heir. According to Clavijo he was then about twenty-two years old, and when news of the death of the Great Conqueror reached Samarcand he was absent at Kandahar. Advantage was taken of this by his cousin Khalil Sultan, son of Miran Shah, who was passed over for the succession. Being supported by the great nobles and the army, Khalil Sultan took possession of the capital and was proclaimed Sultan. Meanwhile Shah Rukh,¹ the fourth son of Tamerlane, who, as Governor of Herat, had sent a courteous invitation to Clavijo, decided to bid for the Empire, but hearing that his rivals had come to terms he retired to Herat and occupied himself with consolidating his position in Khorasan and Mazanderan.

Khalil Sultan, who retained possession of Samarcand, squandered the vast treasures amassed by his mighty grandsire on his mistress, known as *Shad-ul-Mulk*, or “Joy of the State,” and the scandal became so great that shortly after his accession two important nobles broke out into rebellion. Although the danger was staved off for the time, in A.H. 812 (1409) Khalil Sultan was seized by treachery and ceased to

¹ The story runs that Tamerlane was playing chess when he received news of the birth of a son, and gave orders that he should be termed Shah Rukh, or “King and Castle,” in allusion to this ancient game.
reign. Shah Rukh, having again taken up arms, now obtained possession of Transoxiana, and finally returned to Herat, which he made the capital, leaving his son Ulugh Beg to govern at Samarcand.

_Shah Rukh, A.H. 807–850 (1404–1447)._—Sultan Shah Rukh looms very large on the stage of Khorasan, in which province he had borne rule for some time before his father’s death. He reigned as the heir of Tamerlane for nearly half a century in Persia and Central Asia. Throughout this period he set himself to repair the ravages and devastation caused by the recent conquests, Herat and Merv in particular benefiting by his beneficent activity. His wife, Gauhar Shad Aga, built the magnificent mosque and other buildings at Meshed which will be referred to in the next chapter.

The court of Shah Rukh was famous for its splendour, and like Ulugh Beg at Samarcand he attracted men of learning and science. Embassies, too, were a marked feature of this great monarch’s reign. In A.D. 1419 he despatched ambassadors to the Emperor of China with letters written by himself, which are still extant,¹ and in A.H. 845 (1442) he sent an embassy to the Samuri in the Deccan, headed by a certain Abdur Razzak, whose valuable and delightful narrative has been rescued from oblivion by the Hakluyt Society.

Shah Rukh, although devoted to the arts of peace, was by no means weak or unwarlike. He defeated Kara Yusuf of the Kara Kuyunlu dynasty in three great battles, and after the death of that Prince reduced his son Iskandar to the position of tributary ruler of Azerbaijan. We also read that in A.H. 824 (1431) he marched through the province of Kerman, where he was met by Sultan Oways, son of Amir Adugui of the Barlas tribe, who had ceased to pay tribute. At first Shah Rukh determined to slay the rebel alive, but ultimately pardoned him.

A truly great ruler, Shah Rukh was first and foremost monarch of Iran, and we know both from history and from coins that his sway extended not only to Astrabad and Isfahan, but to more distant Shuster to the west, while his boundaries to the east stretched very wide.

_Ulugh Beg, the Astronomer-King._—Ulugh Beg before he succeeded his father had governed at Samarcand for thirty-eight years, which were a golden age for the often devastated

¹ *Asiatic Miscellanea,* vol. i., Calcutta, 1785.
province. The encouragement he gave to science, to which he was devoted, has preserved his name for all time as the author of the famous astronomical tables, held to be the most accurate and complete which have been bequeathed by the East to the West. They were published in Latin by John Greaves, Savilian Professor of Astronomy at Oxford, about 1650 and reprinted a century later. To Ulugh Beg, moreover, Persia owes the calendar which is in use to-day. The era is termed Sichkan II, or "The era of the Mouse," and in it there are cycles of twelve years, each of which is called after an animal, the names of the months being the signs of the Zodiac. For instance, on 21st March 1913 the year of the "Bull," began, and the first month is known as Hamal, or "the Ram," the second as "the Bull," and so on.

Ulugh Beg as a monarch was most unfortunate, for after his succession his nephew, Ala-u-Dola, seized Herat and the person of his son Abdul Latif. No sooner was this pretender defeated than Turkoman plundered Herat, and almost simultaneously Samarcand was sacked by the Uzbegs. To complete the tragedy, the rescued Abdul Latif revolted, took his father prisoner, and murdered him in A.H. 853 (1449).

Abu Said, A.H. 855-872 (1452-1467).—The parricide did not enjoy his ill-gotten throne for long; for Abu Said, a descendant of Tamerlane, seized Samarcand, and although Abdul Latif defeated him in a battle he was himself removed from the scene very shortly afterwards by assassination. Abu Said fought for the vacant throne with a cousin, Abu Bakr Mirza,1 whom with the aid of the Uzbegs he succeeded in killing. He then engaged in a long struggle for power, and by A.H. 870 (1465) his authority was established in Transoxiana, Northern Persia, and Afghanistan. Two years later he invaded Azerbaijan with a powerful army, but Uzun Hasan, the "White Sheep" chief, cut off his supplies by raiding tactics and utterly defeated him. He was handed over to Yadgar Mirza, son of Shah Rukh and Gauhar Shad, and, to avenge the death of the latter at his hands, was beheaded.

The Last Princes of the Timurid Dynasty.—Sultan Ahmad, Abu Said's eldest son and successor, had to face frequent

---

1 Mirza, or "son of an Amir," signifies "Prince" when it follows the name. When it precedes it, the meaning is almost equivalent to our "esquire," although it is specially applied to secretaries or clerks.
revolts, the southern provinces throwing off their allegiance, while his brother Omar Shaykh, father of Baber, defied him successfully in Ferghana. Despite this, the close of his long reign of twenty-seven years was looked back to with regret after his death, more especially in Bokhara, where he had erected many splendid buildings.

Sultan Husayn, the patron of Jami, of Mirkhond, and of Behzad the painter, was Prince of Astrabad and, later, of Herat. He summoned Baber to aid him in a campaign against Shaybani Khan, the Uzbek chief who had recently appeared on the scene, and to this fact we owe a vivid account of the monarch and his court. Sultan Husayn is described in the immortal Memoirs of Baber as a lively, pleasant man, whose temper was rather hasty and whose language was in accordance with his temper. He often engaged sword in hand in fight, and no member of the race of Timur ever equalled him in the use of the scimitar. He had a turn for poetry, and many of his verses were far from bad. Although not without dignity, he was inordinately fond of keeping fighting rams and of amusing himself with flying pigeons and cock-fighting. Baber goes on to say that the age of Sultan Husayn was certainly a wonderful age, and abounded with eminent men. Some of these will be referred to in the next chapter.

The "Black Sheep" Dynasty, A.H. 780–874 (1378–1469).—Reference has already been made to the Turkoman tribe bearing a black sheep on its standards, which rose to power towards the end of the fourteenth century in the country to the south of Lake Van. Strengthened by an alliance with the Jalayr family, the Kara Kuyunlu, as they are termed, established themselves in Armenia and Azerbaijan and finally succeeded to the kingdom of the Jalayr. Kara Yusuf, the second chief of the tribe, was more than once driven into exile by Tamerlane, and was one of the causes of the campaign against Bayazid, who granted him protection. He ultimately recovered his possessions in A.H. 808 (1405), and three years later added to them those of the Jalayr dynasty, defeating Sultan Ahmad the Il-Khanid and putting him to death.

Kara Yusuf, whose sister was Gauhar Shad, the wife of Shah Rukh, was succeeded by Iskandar. His brother, Jahan

1 *La* in Turki signifies "possessor of."
Shah, was a successful soldier, who conquered Georgia to the north and Fars and Kerman to the south. He had conquered Khorasan and had been crowned at Herat, when a rebellion of his two sons forced him to forgo his designs, and shortly afterwards he was surprised and killed by Uzun Hasan of the "White Sheep." The "Blue Mosque" of Tabriz was founded by this monarch.

The "White Sheep" Dynasty, A.H. 780–908 (1378–1502).—The Ak-Kuyunlu, or "White Sheep" dynasty, known also from a remote ancestor as Bayenderi, was founded in the same year as that of their rivals the "Black Sheep," by a grant from Tamerlane of lands in Armenia and Mesopotamia, in reward for services rendered to him. Their capital was Diarbekr, and their power was at first inferior to that of the rival tribe, with which a deadly feud existed. This originated in the action of Iskandar, who, when fleeing from Shah Rukh, had by chance seized Kara Osman, the grandfather of Hasan Beg, better known as Uzun or "tall" Hasan. He kept the chief in prison at Erzerum, where he died, and some time afterwards he exhumed the corpse, struck off the head, and despatched it in triumph to the Sultan of Egypt. Uzun Hasan, after the overthrow of Shah Jahan, defeated his son Hasan Ali, whom he captured and put to death together with every member of his family, in revenge for this barbarous insult. As mentioned above, Abu Said invaded Azerbaijan and was taken prisoner by Uzun Hasan, who, thanks to this dazzling success, became the virtual ruler of Persia. The death of this commanding personality occurred in 1478. He was succeeded by his son Yakub, who was poisoned after a reign of seven years. The empire was then broken up by domestic struggles for power, and way was made for the coming native dynasty of the Safavis.

The Alliance of Uzun Hasan with Venice.—A fascinating study is the part played by the republic of Venice in Asia not only in trade exploration but also in diplomacy.¹

In Chapter LVII. we have seen that the efforts of Europe to induce the Il-Khans to attack Egypt and to rescue the Holy Land from the power of the Mamelukes resulted in little more than an interesting exchange of embassies and was barren of actual results. Some two centuries passed after

¹ Vida Travels of Venetians in Persia, edited by the Hakluyt Society; also La Repubblica di Venezia e la Persia, by Guglielmo Berchet, Turin, 1865
the interchange of these embassies, and during that period not only had the Osmanli Turks become the great Moslem power, but by the capture of Constantinople in A.D. 1453 Christendom was threatened more seriously than at any previous period. The event, although it affected Europe deeply, excited no movement towards united action; for, as Aeneas Sylvius (who is quoted by Gibbon) wrote, “Christendom is a body without a head; a republic without laws or magistrates. The pope and the emperor may shine as lofty titles, as splendid images. . . . Every state has a separate prince, and every prince a separate interest.”

At this juncture, or a little later, Venice stepped into the breach and attempted, though with little success, to unite the powers of Christendom against the common foe. In Asia Minor she was more fortunate. Uzun Hasan, who had supported the claims of the Prince of Karamania (as Cilicia was then termed) against the Turks, had been defeated. He realized that without the naval co-operation of the Republic he could not hope for success. He therefore despatched envoys to Venice, to the extreme gratification of the Doga and his councillors. In return, it was decided to send an embassy to Uzun Hasan, who was married to Theodora, a daughter of Calo Johannes, one of the last emperors of Trebizond. Another daughter of the same emperor had married Nicolo Crespo, the Duke of the Archipelago, among whose sons-in-law was Caterino Zeno, a merchant-prince of Venice; he was selected to visit the Court of the “White Sheep” and to induce its monarch to attack Mohamed II., the conqueror of Constantinople.

Caterino Zeno was most kindly received at the Court of Uzun Hasan, where his relationship with the Queen made everything smooth, and the monarch was persuaded without great difficulty to attack the Ottoman Empire in conjunction with the fleets of Venice, which were to operate on the coast of Karamania. In A.D. 1472 hostilities were opened and a horde of light horsemen ravaged Asia Minor, but a flying column under Mustafa, a son of the Sultan, defeated a Persian army. In the following year a powerful army of the Osmanlis invaded Persia, but being repulsed in a desperate attempt to cross the Euphrates retired, and Uzun Hasan, who had pursued, was in turn defeated and forced to retreat with heavy loss. After this, Caterino Zeno was sent as an ambassador
from Uzun Hasan to rouse the princes of Christendom, and Josafa Barbaro took his place, but, not receiving support from Europe, Uzun Hasan wisely made no further attack on the formidable Ottoman power.

The most enduring result of these embassies was the settlement of Persian metal-workers in Venice, where they produced splendid specimens of their art. Their designs spread to Nuremberg and Augsburg, where books of patterns were published in which the wonderful interlacing designs were adapted to goldsmiths’ work. These books, notably that by Virgil Solis of Nuremberg, spread far and wide and even reached England, where goldsmiths’ work of the Elizabethan period gives clear evidence of Persian influence.

The Rise of the Shaybanid Dynasty.—Juji, son of Chengiz Khan, has been referred to at the beginning of Chapter LVI. His fifth son, Shayban by name, who accompanied Batu into Hungary in A.D. 1240, was granted an appanage between the Ural Mountains and the rivers Ilek and Irghiz, where his tribe multiplied. Coming down to the fifteenth century of the Christian era, we find among his descendants a certain Abul Khayr, who overran Khwarazm and Turkestan. His son was Mohamed Shaybani, known also as Shahi Beg Khan, almost the last great warrior of his race, who, after serving Sultan Ahmad, finally overthrew the last princes of the line of Tamerlane by the capture of Herat from the two sons of Sultan Husayn in A.H. 913 (1507). He founded the Uzbek kingdom which has lasted down to the present day, the Amir of Bokhara and the Khan of Khiva both being lineal descendants of Shayban. The name of Uzbek was taken from the great Khan Uzbek of the Golden Horde.

Babar.—No history of Persia would be complete without some account of Zahir-u-Din Mohamed, famous by his surname Baber, the “Tiger,” son of Omar Shaykh Mirza and grandson of Abu Said. This conqueror of India was born in A.H. 888 (1483) and succeeded to his father’s principedom of Ferghana when only in his twelfth year. His inheritance was disputed by his two uncles, who, however, after some negotiations retired, and in A.H. 903 (1497) the boy-king took advantage of the prevailing anarchy and marched on Samarcand, of which he obtained possession. We read 1

1 Vide Baber’s Memoirs, by W. Erskine, 1826; also The Babur-Nama in English, by A. S. Beveridge, 1923.
how deeply he admired the great mosque and the palaces set in gardens with their beautiful tiles and stately avenues of elms, poplars, and plane-trees; the delicious melons and plums also won his approval. Treachery at home robbed him of the fruits of victory, and he was for a while deserted by his troops. But he raised a fresh army, and in A.H. 906 (1500) again captured Samarcand. Being afterwards defeated by Mohamed Shaybani, he had to swim the River Kohik to save his life, and, retreating on Samarcand, he was blockaded there by the victor and in the end forced to retire from Transoxiana.

It happened at this time that Kabul was in a state of anarchy, its governor (who was Baber's uncle) having died, and the nobles having seized upon the government. Baber made a bold bid for the derelict state, and won it in A.H. 909 (1503). Two years later he carried out the first of his famous expeditions into India, which culminated in the founding of the mighty dynasty of the Moghuls.

The Literary and Scientific Attainments of the Timurid Dynasty.—The dynasty of Tamerlane, which lasted for close on a century and a half, included many members who loved art and literature. In the East the influence of the monarch is all-powerful, and we owe to it, for example, that lovely miniature art. As lovers and creators of books they stand unsurpassed, none of the most famous names in Europe rivalling those of this cultured dynasty, thanks to whose patronage the finest paintings, books, carpets, armour, and other works of art were produced. The culture and attainments of these princes attracted the most brilliant men of the day, and to those who, like myself, have been privileged to travel in Central Asia, the names of Samarcand and Bokhara evoke imperishable memories of this great dynasty, whose splendid buildings even in their decay challenge our deep admiration.
CHAPTER LXI

LITERATURE AND ARCHITECTURE UNDER THE MONGOLS

Up, O ye lovers, and away! 'Tis time to leave the world for aye.
Hark, loud and clear from heaven the drum of parting calls—let none delay!
The camel's hearst is mainl, made ready all the camel-train,
And quittance now desires to gain: why sleep ye, travellers, I pray?
Behind us and before there swells the din of parting and of bells;
To shoreless Space each moment sails a disembodied spirit away.
From yonder starry lights and through those curtain-awnings darkly blue
Mysterious figures float in view, all strange and secret things display.
From this orb, wheeling round its pole, a wondrous slumber o'er thee stole:
O weary life that weighest naught, O sleep that on my soul dost weigh!
O heart, towards thy heart's love wend, and O friend, fly toward the Friend,
Be wakeful, watchman, to the end: drowse seemingly no watchman may.


The Historians of the Early Mongol Period.—In the chapters relating to the Mongols reference has been made to the celebrated historians on whose writings they were based, and therefore it seems desirable to preface this brief review of literature under the Mongols by some details as to their life and work. Foremost in this class was Izz-u-Din, Ibn-ul-Athir, author of the great chronicle known as al-Kāmil, or
"Complete," which contains the history of the world as known to Moslems from the beginning down to a.H. 628 (1230). D'Ohsson made full use of this work and mentions it first in the account he gives of the various authorities consulted by him. He also utilized the valuable history known as the Tarikh-i-Jahan-Gusha, or "History of the World-
Conqueror," written by Ala-u-Din Juwayni (so called from a district in Khorasan), who being the Secretary of Hulagu enjoyed exceptional advantages. This history treats of the origin of the Mongols and the conquests of Chengiz Khan, of the Khwarazm Shahs, and of Hulagu's campaign against the Assassins, in which the author took part. A third history is the *Jami-ul-Tawarikh*, or "Collection of Histories," by Rashid-u-Din, Fazl Ulla, who wrote in the reigns of Ghazan Khan and of his successor. It treats fully of the Mongols and also of the dynasties which ruled in Persia immediately before the Mongol invasion, and is considered by Browne to be the best history written in Persian. A fourth historian is the Jacobite Christian known as Barhebraeus, or "The Son of the Jew." His *Abridgement of the History of Dynasties* is carried down to the accession of Arghun and is of great value. Finally there is Shihab-u-Din, Mohamed of Nisa, the secretary of the fighting Jalul-u-Din of Khwarazm, whose history was written in A.H. 639 (1241), ten years after the death of his master, and is a useful contribution to our knowledge of the stirring adventures in which he himself took a part.

The Later Historians.—Of the historians who wrote in the later Mongol period, Mirkhond, who was born in the middle of the fifteenth century, and his grandson Khondemir are the best known. Mirkhond was attached to the Court of Herat, and his patron was the cultivated Ali Shir, Vizier of Sultan Husayn. His great work is the *Rauzat-u-Safa*, or "Garden of Purity," which is a general history of Persia in seven ponderous tomes from the creation to A.D. 1471. His narrative, like those of other writers, is enlivened by numerous anecdotes. Khondemir was the author of an abridgement of his grandfather's history and also wrote a history of the Mongols. Owing to the Uzbek irruption, Khondemir quitted Khorasan in A.D. 1528 and lived at the Court of Baber in India.

Yakut, the Geographer.—Among the geographers, Yakut, son of Abdulla, occupies the first place. Born in A.D. 1179 of Greek parents, he was sold as a slave, but nevertheless obtained a good education and travelled all over Persia. As already mentioned, he was among the fortunate few who escaped death at Merv. His flight across Northern Persia ended at Mosul, where in A.D. 1244 he completed his *Mujam-ul-Buldan*, or "Dictionary of Countries." This work has
been made available to the European student by the gifted Frenchman Barbier de Meynard, and has been among my most valued books of reference.

_Nasir-u-Din, the Philosopher and Man of Science._—Among the courtiers of the last Grand Master of the Assassins was Nasir-u-Din, the famous philosopher of Tus, who had been kidnapped to serve as his instructor and adviser, and who persuaded his master to surrender to the Mongols. He was treated with much respect by Hulagu Khan, over whom he exercised unbounded influence, and it was chiefly his advice which induced the Mongol Prince to undertake the final advance on Baghdad. His range included religion, philosophy, mathematics, physics, and astronomy, on which subjects he wrote at great length, and one of his chief claims to fame is that he persuaded Hulagu to found the celebrated observatory at Maragha.

_The Sufis or Mystics._—Among the most famous poets of Persia were the mystics or Sufis, "Wearers of Wool," as they are termed, and this spirit of mysticism has permeated Persian literature and the Persian mind to a remarkable extent. Its origin is hard to trace. Possibly it is a modern form of ancient philosophies, more especially of Neo-Platonism and Manicheanism. Others hold that it is a reaction of Aryanism against the formalism of the Moslem religion, and, again, the philosophy of India has been looked on as its fountain-head.

The true founder of the system is believed to have been Abu Said ibn Abul Khayr, who was born in Khorasen towards the end of the tenth century of our era. When asked to explain his doctrine, he replied, "What thou hast in thy head, _i.e._ thy ambitions, resign; what thou bearest in thy hand throw away; and whatsoever cometh upon thee, turn not back." Browne, in summing up the beliefs of this extraordinary man as revealed in his verses, gives such a masterly description of Sufi thought that I cannot do better than quote it:

"There is the fundamental conception of God as not only Almighty and All-good, but as the sole source of Being and Beauty, and, indeed, the one Beauty and the one Being, 'in Whom is submerged whatever becomes non-apparent, and by Whose light whatever is apparent is made manifest.' Closely
connected with this is the symbolic language so characteristic of these, and, indeed, of nearly all mystics, to whom God is essentially 'the Friend,' 'the Beloved,' and 'the Darling'; the ecstasy of meditating on Him 'the Wine' and 'the Intoxication'; His self-revelations and Occultations, 'the Face' and 'the Night-black Tresses,' and so forth. There is also the exaltation of the Subjective and Ideal over the Objective and Formal, and the spiritualisation of religious obligations and formulæ, which has been already noticed amongst the Ismailis, from whom, though otherwise strongly divergent, the Sufis probably borrowed it. Last, but not least, is the broad tolerance which sees Truth in greater or less measure in all Creeds; recognizes that 'the Ways unto God are as the number of the souls of men'; and, with the later Hafiz, declares that 'any shrine is better than self-worship.'

Jalal-u-Din, Rumi.—Jalal-u-Din, Rumi, is held to be the greatest of all the Sufi poets. Born at Balkh early in the thirteenth century of our era, he may be claimed as yet another of the extraordinary men of whom Khorasan can justly boast. When he was five years old, his father Baha-u-Din, a leading theologian, was forced to leave his home, and, according to the story, passed through Nishapur, where Attar blessed the boy and foretold his future fame. Baha-u-Din settled at Iconium, and on this account the poet was termed Rumi.

His great work, the Masnavi, has exercised more influence on thought in Iran and Turkey than any other written in the Persian tongue, and is even spoken of as "the Koran in the Persian language." To quote Professor Cowell: "The stories themselves are generally easy, and told in a delightful style; but the disquisitions which interrupt them are often 'darker than the darkest oracles,' and unintelligible even to the Persians themselves without a copious commentary. When he is clear, no Persian poet can surpass his depth of thought or beauty of imagery; the flow of fine things runs on ceaselessly as from a river-god's urn."  

The poem, which is of great length, opens with the following beautiful "Song of the Reed":

List to the reed, that now with gentle strains
Of separation from its home complains.

---

2 Oxford Essays, 1855.
Down where the waving rushes grow
I murmured with the passing blast,
And ever in my notes of woe
There lives the echo of the past.

My breast is pierced with sorrow's dart,
That I my piercing wail may raise;
Ah me! the lone and widowed heart
Must ever weep for bye-gone days.

My voice is heard in every throng
Where mourners weep and guests rejoice,
And men interpret still my song
In concert with their passions' voice.

Though plainly cometh forth my wail,
'Tis never bared to mortal ken;
As soul from body hath no veil,
Yet is the soul unseen of men.¹

His Diwan, or collection of odes, is less known than the Masnavi, although there runs a legend that Sadi, on being requested by his royal patron to select the finest and most sublime ode ² in the Persian tongue, chose one out of the Diwan beginning:

Divine Love's voice each instant left and right is heard to sound:
We're bound for heaven. To witness our departure who'll be found?

This ode he sent to the Prince with the following remarks:
"Never have more beautiful words been uttered, nor ever will be. Would that I could go to Rum and rub my face in the dust at his feet!"

Jalal-u-Din founded the order of Mevlevi, or "Dancing Dervishes," whose performances are one of the sights of Constantinople and certainly constitute a fantastic side of Sufism.

Sadi.—Persians differ among themselves on most questions, but they agree that the great province of Iran is Fars, and that among its chief claims to greatness is that it produced the two poets celebrated for all time as Sadi and Hafiz. Musharrif-u-Din, known as Sadi, owing to his having received the protection of Sad bin Zangi, mentioned in Chapter LIII., is deservedly the favourite poet in Persia, owing to his catholic

¹ Translation by Professor E. H. Palmer.
² Nicholson's beautiful verse-translation of another of the odes is quoted as a heading to this chapter.
tastes and the fact that he is intensely human. Unlike Attar and Jalal-u-Din, he was not passionately devout, but was half-worldly, half-devout. He was not one of the essentially mystical poets, having no visionary strain, and he adopted some of their forms rather as a vehicle of thought and expression than in order to preach Sufi doctrines.

Born towards the close of the twelfth century of our era, Sadi was left an orphan at an early age, as we know from his pathetic reference to the fact in the Bustan, which runs:

Caress not and kiss not a child of thine own
In the sight of an orphan neglected and lone.

If the orphan shed tears, who his grief will assuage?
If his temper should fail him, who cares for his rage?

O see that he weeps not, for surely God’s throne
Doth quake at the orphan’s most pitiful moan!

Upon his father’s death he studied at the renowned Nizamia College at Baghdad for a while, and then made a journey to distant Kashgar, the date of which, from a reference made by the poet, can be fixed approximately at A.D. 1210. His travels were indeed extraordinarily wide, ranging from India, where he had a grim adventure with a priest in the temple at Somnath, to Palestine, where he was enslaved by the Crusaders until ransomed by an acquaintance. According to one account Sadi performed the pilgrimage to Mecca fifteen times, in itself a remarkable record of travel when the distances and means of communication are considered. Other countries visited were Egypt, Abyssinia, and Asia Minor.

When middle-aged this Persian Ulysses returned to Shiraz, which he ever loved, and published the fruits of his travel and experience of life in the Gulistan, or “Rose Garden,” in the Bustan, or “Orchard,” and in other works. The first-named, which students of Persian generally attempt when beginning to learn the language, although by reason of its terse epigrammatic form it is by no means an easy text-book, is more read and better known by all classes in Persia than any other work except the Koran. In its pages we sit behind the curtain with the poet and join him in all his adventures, laughing with him at his astuteness, and realizing how far removed Eastern ethics are from those we profess. As an example of this we may refer to the very first story, which
points the moral that "an expedient lie is better than a mischievous truth"; and again, a soldier who deserted in battle is defended because his pay was in arrears. Such were the ethics Sadi preached, and such they remain in Persia to-day; if we ignore this fact we fail to grasp the Persian point of view. As Browne says, "His writings are a micro- cosm of the East, alike in its best and most ignoble aspects."

Of the Gulistan the following lines, translated by E. B. Eastwick, are typical:

Life is like snow in July’s sun:
Little remains and is there one
To boast himself and vaunt thereon?
With empty hand thou hast sought the mart;
I fear thou wilt with thy turban part.
Who eat their corn while yet 'tis green
At the true harvest can but glean;
To Sadi’s counsel let thy soul give heed:
This is the way—be manful and proceed.

To conclude, I give a charming translation by Browne of an ode on beloved Shiraz:

O cypress-tree, with silver limbs, this colour and scent of thine
Have shamed the scent of the myrtle-plant and the bloom of the eglantine.

Judge with thine eyes, and set thy foot in the garden fair and free,
And tread jasmine under thy foot, and the flowers of the Judas-tree.

O joyous and gay is the New Year’s Day, and in Shiraz most of all;
Even the stranger forgets his home, and becomes its willing thrall.

O’er the garden’s Egypt, Joseph-like, the fair red rose is King,
And the Zephyr, e’en to the heart of the town, doth the scent of his raiment bring.

O wonder not if in time of Spring thou dost rouse such jealousy,
That the cloud doth weep while the flowrets smile, and all on account of thee!

If o’er the dead thy feet should tread, those feet so fair and fleet,
No wonder it were if thou should’st hear a voice from his winding sheet.

Distraction is banned from this our land in the time of our lord the King,
Save that I am distracted with love of thee, and men with the songs I sing.

Hafiz.—The second of the two great poets of Fars, Shams-u-Din Mohamed, known by his title of Hafiz,¹ was born at the beginning of the fourteenth century—the exact

¹ This title implies, as already explained, that its bearer knows the Koran by heart.
THE HAFIZIYYA OR GARDEN OF HAFIZ

When to my grave thou turnest thy blessed feet,
Wine and the lute shalt thou bring in thy hand to me,
Thy voice shall ring through the folds of my winding-sheet,
And I will arise and dance to thy minstrelsy."

(From the Ode chiselled on the tomb, translated by Miss Gertrude Bell.)
date is not known—at Shiraz, where he resided throughout his life. During his youth he was devoted to pleasure, luxury, and the wine-cup, but, tiring of them in his old age, he became religious and attached to Sufism. Unlike Sadi, he was no traveller, having the typical Persian fear of the sea. Being tempted to visit India by a pressing invitation to the Court of Mahmud Shah Bahmani, he travelled to Hormuz and embarked in one of the royal ships; but he was so sea-sick and generally upset that he insisted on being allowed to return to the port. After reaching land he wrote a charming ode in which the following verse occurs:

The glare of gems confused my sight,
The ocean's roar I ne'er had heard;
But now that I can feel aright
I freely own how I have erred.

The historical interview of Hafiz with Tamerlane has already been recorded. Two or three years later the poet died and was buried in a garden outside his beloved Shiraz.

His enemies wished to prevent him from receiving the burial of a Moslem, and declared that by publicly drinking wine and praising its use he had become a *Kafir* or infidel. After a hot discussion it was agreed that the question should be decided by lot. A number of couplets written by the poet were thrown into an urn, and a child, being instructed to draw, drew forth one which ran:

Fear not to approach the corpse of Hafiz,
Although stained with sin, he will enter heaven.

This completely disconcerted his ill-wishers and he was buried with all proper rites. Even now, however, at intervals some turbulent priest attains a temporary notoriety by defacing the tomb. An instance of this occurred some years ago when I was spending the summer at Shiraz. In 1916 I found the alabaster tombstone in good condition, but surrounded by a hideous iron railing.

Hafiz, the greatest of the lyrical poets, was a typical Persian of his day; and at Shiraz it is easy to understand his love of spending days in the shady gardens, with wine and women, seated by running water. In most parts of Persia the influence of Islam has tended to produce an external aspect which may be termed puritanical, but at Shiraz one is among an excitable, laughter-loving people, whom to know is to like.
The chief work of Hafiz is his *Diwan*, or "Collection of Odes," of which I cannot do better than quote a specimen, as translated by Cowell:

Hither, hither, O cup-bearer, hand round and give the cup,
For love at first showed easy, but difficulties have come
At the odour of musk which the breeze will unfold from those tresses,
From the curls of those musky ringlets, what blood hath fallen in our hearts!
Stain thou with wine thy prayer-carpet if the old man of the tavern commands thee,
For the traveller is not ignorant of the ways and customs of the inn.
To me in the inn of my beloved, what peace or joy when every moment
The bell proclaims the summons, "Bind on your burdens, O travellers!"
Dark is the night; there is fear of the wave and a dreadful whirlpool;
How should they know our state, the careless ones on the shore?
Willfully ye distort my every deed to my reproach;
How should that secret remain concealed, when they make it their common discourse?
If thou desire her presence, O Hafiz, forsake her not;
And when thou attainest thy desire, quit the world, and let it go.

*Jami.*—The last great classical poet of Persia, who flourished in the fifteenth century, was Abdur Rahman, known by his title of Jami from his residence at the little town of Turbat-i-Shaykh-Jam, situated between Meshed and the Afghan frontier. Educated at Samarcand, he repaired to Herat, where he was well received by Ali Shir, the Maecenas of the age. His fame soon spread all over the Moslem world, and among his correspondents was Sultan Bayazid II.

A story still told of Jami runs that he was once visited by a rival and for three days the poets engaged in a contest, answering one another in beautiful verse. Jami, however, inspired by this rivalry, surpassed himself and reached superhuman heights. The stranger, realizing his inferiority, was observed to be overcome, his head fell on his breast, and when called upon to reply he remained silent—in the silence of death.

Jami’s works, like those of Jalal-u-Din, deal chiefly with moral philosophy and mysticism. Thanks to FitzGerald, his *Salaman and Abisal* is the best known of his works in England, although the translator does not rise to the heights he reaches elsewhere. *Yusuf and Zulaykha* is perhaps the most celebrated of his works in Persia. The story running through this poem is that Zulaykha, Potiphar’s wife, after tempting Joseph in vain, became blind from weeping, and Joseph,  

finding her in this state, prayed that her sight and beauty might be restored and finally married her. Sir William Jones translated extracts from the poem, one of which runs:

In the morning when the raven of night had flown away,
The bird of dawn began to sing;
The nightingales warbled their enchanting notes,
And rent the thin veils of the rosebud and the rose;
The jasmine stood bathed in dew,
And the violet also sprinkled his fragrant locks.
At this time Zulaykha was sunk in pleasing slumber;
Her heart was turned towards the altar of her sacred vision.
It was not sleep: it was rather a confused idea:
It was a kind of frenzy caused by her nightly melancholy.
Her damsels touched her feet with their faces,
Her maidens approached and kissed her hand.
Then she removed the veil from her cheek, like a tulip besprinkled with dew;
She opened her eyes, yet dim with sleep;
From the border of her mantle the sun and moon arose;
She raised her head from the couch and looked round on every side.

The Tomb of Khudabanda at Sultania.—To deal at any length with the architecture of the period is beyond my powers and the scope of this work. I therefore propose to do little more than make a few remarks about buildings with most of which I am personally acquainted.

The most important city of the Mongol Il-Khans was Sultania, situated about one hundred miles to the west of Kazvin. This city was founded by Ujlaitu, or Khudabanda, in a.h. 705 (1305). He entertained the project of transporting the bones of Ali and Husayn from Najaf and Kerbela respectively, and erected a superb building to receive the sacred remains. His plan was never realized and the building became his own mausoleum. Octagonal in plan, with a minaret rising at each angle, it is surmounted by a dome measuring 84 feet in diameter, the largest in Persia. According to Josafa Barbaro,1 “the great cowpe is bigger than that of San Joanni Paulo in Venice.” The tomb of Khudabanda is certainly the finest building of its kind erected under the Mongols. As Creswell2 points out, its beautiful outline is not spoiled by the piling-up of material on its haunches, as in the case of Santa Sophia at Constantinople and of the Pantheon at Rome.

1 Travels of Venetians in Persia, p. 68.
The Shrine of the Imam Riza.—The great pile at Meshed,\(^1\) the Glory of the Shia World, like the magnificent Gothic cathedrals in Europe, was erected during the course of many generations, each of which saw some addition made to it. The most ancient part of the pile is the tomb-chamber, believed to be the actual mausoleum built by Mamun over the remains of Haroun-al-Rashid, and used a few years later as the burying-place of the Imam Riza.\(^2\) The dome was apparently low and erected over a chamber 33 feet square, and it is stated that the present golden dome was built over the ancient one which still exists. For 200 years the tomb was neglected, but at the beginning of the eleventh century Mahmud of Ghazni dreamed a dream, in consequence of which he ordered the Governor of Nishapur to add to the shrine and to build a wall round it.

The shrine, apparently, was again neglected until the reign of Sultan Sanjar. An inscription which was copied for me shows that by his orders it was repaired in A.H. 512 (1118). This inscription and one bearing the date A.H. 612 (1215) prove that the tomb-chamber was not destroyed by the Mongols, although they sacked it; we may consequently accept this as the original tomb-chamber—a fact of some importance. The building was cased with tiles, of which fragments remain.

The Mosque of Gauhar Shad.—Among the greatest benefactors of the Shrine was Gauhar Shad, wife of Shah Rukh, and to her piety we owe the magnificent mosque called by her name, which perhaps constitutes the crowning architectural achievement of the Mongols. It is, indeed, a noble quadrangle, with four great arches. That to the south-west, known as the Aywan-i-Maksura, or "Portico of the Sanctuary," supports a blue dome, and in it the services are held. The illustration shows the beautiful tile and plaster work inside the Portico; it also gives the pulpit which, according to Shia belief, will be ascended by the Twelfth Imam on the Day of Judgment. The loftiness and elegance of the quadrangle, together with its perfect proportions and exquisite tile-work, make it the noblest mosque in Central Asia. In front of the magnificent portico is an inscription in large white letters on a dark-blue ground which struck me as most


\(^2\) Vide p. 6.
beautiful. I give a translation, as it is typical and of historical value:

"Her Highness, the Noble in Greatness, the Sun of the Heaven of Chastity and Continence, Famous for Nobility and Honour and Piety, Gauhar Shad, may her Greatness be eternal, and may her Chastity endure and may her Charity increase with true Thought and high, and with Pious Intent of Heart and Lofty Ideal for fulfilling and accomplishing her hopes in Allah, may He accept it; from her private property for the benefit of her future state and for the Day on which the Works of every one will be judged, with Zeal for Allah and with desire to please Allah and with Thankfulness for the Benefits of Allah and for Praise of the Benefits granted by Allah, built this Great Masjid-i-Jami, the Holy House, in the era of the reign of the Great Sultan, and the more Just Khakan, the more Generous, the Lord of Rulers of the Arabs and of Ajam, the Sultan, son of a Sultan, the Father of Victory, Shah Rukh, son of Timur Gurkani, Bahadur Khan. May Allah make eternal his Kingdom and Empire! And may he increase on the inhabitants of the world his Goodness, his Justice and his Generosity! Thus may Allah accept her work with beneficent acceptance and may He bless her with His choice blessings and may He grant her the greater of the boons which He has promised to the good! Baisunghur, son of Shah Rukh, son of Timur Gurkani, wrote this inscription with hope in Allah in 821 (1418)."

No description of this great mosque would be complete without a reference to the "Mosque of the Old Woman." The legend runs that an old dame who owned a tiny plot of the land required by Gauhar Shad declined to sell it at any price, but insisted that a separate mosque should be erected on it. To the eternal credit of the Royal Consort this unreasonable demand was complied with, and the "Mosque of the Old Woman" testifies to the fact.

I have visited Samarcand and have studied its splendid colleges, but, like Vambéry, I award the palm to the stately pile of Gauhar Shad.

The Madrasa at Khargird.—Near Khaf, on the Perso-Afghan frontier, is situated a college which was erected during the reign of Shah Rukh, as I learned from its inscriptions. The edifice was massively built and is still in good condition, covering an area of five-sevenths of an acre. It was designed
in the usual form of a quadrangle, with a noble gateway, and in the interior there were four fine porticoes. The coloured bricks were still intact at the time of my visit, but the exquisite mosaics were badly damaged. I noted their colour as sapphire-blue, with green, yellow, and white, the motive of the pattern being conventional Kufic lettering. Fine dark-blue tiles with conventional flowers in light blue, white, and gold had originally covered the walls, the finest being great stars, but these, alas! had been almost entirely carried off. On either side of the main gate was a domed building, decorated with most artistic plaster mouldings. The panelling consisted of dark-blue tiles relieved by hexagons of white marble. This noble pile is now deserted and falling into decay, but my visit made me realize what a dazzling blaze of blue splendour it must have presented at the time of its completion in a.h. 848 (1445).

The Mahun Shrine.—In the vicinity of Kerman, at Mahun, is a beautiful shrine erected in memory of Sayyid Nur-u-Din, better known by his title of Shah Namat Ulla, who flourished in the reigns of Tamerlane and Shah Rukh. The Shrine is entered by an imposing gateway supported by two minarets, the predominating colour of which is a bluish green. Two gigantic old chinars or Oriental planes give that particular touch which, in conjunction with the bright sunlight, shows tiles to the best advantage. The oblong court which is first entered, together with the gateway, was erected by Mohamed Shah of the Kajar dynasty, and is consequently modern. A second courtyard with old-world rooms lies behind the first; it was the gift of Sayyid Nisa, a disciple of the Saint. From this the blue dome is seen at its best; indeed, the main building, consisting of a central chamber supported by galleries, is remarkably graceful and well proportioned. The western gallery, which is entered from the second court, was the gift of Shah Abbas in a.H. 999 (1601). Its inside walls are decorated with artistic frescoes of flowers.

The tomb of the Saint, composed of blocks of yellow marble, is placed beneath the dome, the most ancient part of the structure. This, as the inscription shows, was erected in a.h. 840 (1437) by Ahmad Shah, of the Bahmanid dynasty of the Deccan, who was the Saint’s disciple. The doors, of sandal-wood, are falling into hopeless decay. The tomb of Shah Khalil Ulla, the grandson of the Saint, lies behind a
lattice. The eastern gallery opens out on to a lovely courtyard through a gateway supported by two smaller minarets. In it are cypress-trees and flower-beds and a cruciform tank of running water.

The Shrine possesses a distinct charm, due perhaps to the combination of tiles, greenery, and running water, glorified by the deep blue of the cloudless Persian sky, and its dainty beauty makes a deep impression on the traveller.
CHAPTER LXII

THE RISE OF THE SAFAVI DYNASTY

As when the Tartar from his Russian foe,
By Astrakan, over the snowy plains,
Retires, or Bactrian Sophi, from the horns
Of Turkish crescent, leaves all waste beyond
The realm of Aladule, in his retreat
To Tauris or Casbeen.

Paradise Lost, Book X. lines 431-6.

The Ancestors of the Safavi Dynasty.—The Safavi dynasty traced its descent from Musa Kazim, the seventh Imam and younger brother of Ismail, who is referred to in Chapter LI. The family had been settled at Ardebil for many generations and was highly esteemed, especially one member called Safiu-Din, or the "Purity of the Faith," a title from which the dynasty took its name. In equal esteem was his son Sadr-u-Din, who received a visit from Tamerlane, and on being offered a boon asked for the release of Turkish prisoners brought from Diarbekr. Tamerlane acceded to the request, and the captives, after recovering their liberty, declared themselves the disciples of the Shaykh of Ardebil. Their descendants, emigrating by thousands into Gilan, aided his family to found a dynasty.

Khoja Ali, the next head of the family, proceeded on a pilgrimage to Jerusalem, where, according to Malcolm, his tomb was still shown a century ago as that of the "Shaykh of Persia." Junayd, his successor, attracted so many disciples that Jahan Shah, the Kara Kuyunlu Prince, drove him into exile. He thereupon proceeded to the Court of Uzun Hasan at Diarbekr, where he was received with high honours and given a sister of the Prince in marriage. Being prevented from returning to Ardebil, he lived at Shirwan, where he
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was killed in a local skirmish. His son Haydar inherited the warlike spirit of the Ak-Kuyunlu, and his uncle Uzun Hasan bestowed on him one of his daughters by the Greek princess. Martha, as she was called, bore him Sultan Ali, Ibrahim Mirza, and Shah Ismail. The last-named was the founder of the Safavi dynasty, which was thus partly of Greek descent on the distaff side. Haydar was killed¹ and his sons were thrown into prison at Istakhr. They were released from their confinement, but Sultan Ali was killed and Ibrahim Mirza died shortly afterwards in Gilan. Thus Ismail remained the only survivor of his father’s family.

Ismail, the Founder of the Dynasty, A.H. 905–930 (1499–1524).—The strength of the Safavi family lay in Gilan, where an active and successful propaganda was carried on. Ismail collected a small force in this province and his first enterprise was the capture of Baku and Shamakha. His success aided him to increase his following to 16,000 men, by whose aid he defeated Alwand, Prince of the Ak-Kuyunlu dynasty. He then marched on Tabriz, which surrendered, and was proclaimed Shah. In the following year Shah Ismail defeated and killed Murad, brother of Alwand, in the neighbourhood of Hamadan. Alwand was subsequently handed over to the victor by treachery and was put to death.

Reference has been made more than once in this history to the Persian love for the house of Ali as expressed in Shia doctrines, and at last the national feeling was satisfied in the person of the monarch; for he was no mere chieftain of a warlike tribe whose elevation to the throne must provoke inevitable jealousies, but a veritable descendant of Ali, whose birth would unite the tribesmen in his service. The cooperation of seven Turkish tribes in his support furnished proof that a new epoch had opened. The Kizilbash, or “Red heads”²—a name by which the Ustajlu, Shamlu, Takalu, Baharl, Zulkadar, Kajar, and Afshar tribes were honoured—all being sworn upholders of the Shia religion, regarded their sovereign as both saint and king, no incompatible functions in the East.

The youthful Ismail, after establishing his claims to the throne and proclaiming himself Shah, annexed Baghdad and

¹ Considerable divergence of opinion prevails as to how Haydar met his death; indeed there is much obscurity as to events preceding the rise of Ismail.
² These tribesmen wore a scarlet head-piece.
Mosul. Later on he obtained possession of Diarbekr, and so successful was he that in a few years he had conquered the wide-spreading empire of the Ak-Kuyunlu. His activity was exceptional, and we read of his being engaged in a single season in operations ranging from Baku in the north to Shuster in the south.

_The Defeat of the Uzbegs by Shah Ismail, A.H. 916 (1510)._—After securing his power in North-Western and Western Persia, Shah Ismail marched into Khorasan, which, as mentioned in Chapter L.X., had fallen into the hands of the Uzbegs. He sent an envoy to Shaybani Khan requesting him to desist from his invasions, but the contemptuous reply was, “If Shah Ismail has suffered any diminution of his paternal possessions, it is easy to restore them to him in their entirety.” To add point to the message, a staff and begging bowl were sent to the Shah. A spindle and reel were the return gifts, signifying that words were a woman’s weapons.

Shaybani Khan’s army had fought a battle in A.H. 915 (1510) against the Kazaks of the Dasht-i-Kipchak, and the Uzbeg monarch had engraved a record of what he claimed as a victory 1 in a defile to the north of Meshed, which I have visited, and which at any rate proves that much of Khorasan had fallen under the Uzbegs.

Shah Ismail advanced against the enemy with great rapidity and met the Uzbeg army in the neighbourhood of Merv, where, by means of a successful ambush, 17,000 Persians utterly defeated 28,000 Uzbegs. Shaybani Khan fled to an enclosure by the River Murghab, and upon the capture of his place of refuge he was killed while attempting to jump his horse over the wall. His head was cut off and taken before the victor, by whose orders it was mounted in gold and set with jewels to serve as a goblet. After this victory Balkh and Herat were occupied, and Shah Ismail returned in triumph to Persia, leaving a large force to conduct further operations against the Uzbegs.

_Shah Ismail and Baber._—Among the captives at Merv was a sister of Baber, who was treated with honour by the victor and restored to her brother. This act of courtesy was the beginning of an alliance, and Baber, taking advantage of the death of Shaybani Khan, invaded Transoxiana and defeated the Uzbegs, whom he pursued as far as the Iron Gates.

---

1 Khondemir and other historians state that the Uzbegs were defeated in this campaign.
Reinforced by a Persian army, he followed up this success, and, sweeping aside all opposition, once again entered Samarcand, amid demonstrations of enthusiasm. But he was not destined to occupy the throne of Tamerlane; for his acceptance of Persian suzerainty, in spite of the hatred felt for the Persian Shias in Central Asia, soon cooled the affections of the people. Meanwhile the Uzbegs, recovering from their panic, rallied round Obayd-Ulla, the successor of Shaybani Khan. Baber, with a force 45,000 strong, attacked the Uzbeg chief, who had no more than 3000 men under his command; but the smaller force, fighting with the courage of despair, gained the day. After this disaster, the date of which was A.H. 918 (1512), Baber retired to Hissar, to the south-east of Samarcand.

The Final Defeat of Baber by the Uzbegs, A.H. 918 (1512).—Once again, reinforced by a large Persian army, Baber marched on Samarcand, but at Ghajdavan, to the north of Bokhara, he was beaten in a fiercely contested battle. Accepting this defeat as final, he passed off the stage of Central Asia. To show how unpopular his alliance with the Shia Persians had been, I quote from the Tarikh-i-Rashidi,¹ the writer of which, it must be remembered, was Baber’s cousin. He describes the battle of Ghajdavan as follows:

The Uzbeg infantry began to pour forth their arrows from every corner, so that very soon the claws of Islam twisted the hands of heresy and unbelief, and victory declared for the true faith. The victorious breezes of Islam overturned the banners of the schismatics. (The Turkoman were so completely routed, that most of them perished on the field; all the rents that had been made by the swords at Karshi were now sewn up with the arrow stitches of vengeance. They sent Mir Najm and all the Turkoman Amirs to hell. The Emperor retired, broken and crestfallen, to Hissar.

It is to be noted that in this account Shah Ismail’s troops are referred to as Turkoman, presumably owing to his relationship with the “White Sheep” dynasty. The Mir Najm was the Persian commander, whose full title was Najm-i-Sani, or “the Second Star.” The result of this disaster was to restore Transoxiana to the Uzbegs, who for many generations thereafter were a serious menace to the eastern province of Persia. So indelibly have they impressed themselves on the memory

¹ Page 261.
of the inhabitants of Khorasan that the great meadow near Chinaran is still called *Ulang-i-Shahi*, or "The Royal Meadow," after Shahbani Khan, who was also known as Shahi Beg. The Uzbek monarch generally spent the summer in this locality for the sake of the grazing, and he built Geok Bagh, or "The Blue Garden," in which I camped some twelve years ago.\(^1\)

*The Campaign of Selim the Grim, A.H. 920 (1514).—*Selim the Grim was one of the great conquerors of the house of Othman,\(^2\) a cruel monarch revelling in bloodshed, but nevertheless a writer of Persian odes and a liberal patron to men of learning. The hatred felt for the Shia Persians in Transoxiana appears clearly enough from the failure of Baber to win success as an ally of the schismatics; and it is not difficult to understand why Selim I. and his advisers, who were equally fanatical, determined to crush the upstart power and the heresy it represented before it should be firmly established. Moreover, Selim was probably aware of the despatch of Persian envoys to Egypt and to Hungary.

The temper of the Sultan is shown by the fact that he despatched secret agents to ascertain the number of the Shia heretics in the Ottoman dominions and massacred forty thousand out of a total of seventy thousand. Having in this manner cleared his own dominions of possible sympathizers with the enemy, Selim wrote various letters to the Shah couched in the usual bombastic style, to which Ismail replied that he had given no provocation, and did not desire war. He added that the tone of the letters must have been due to indulgence in opium, and he therefore sent the royal secretary a box of the drug. As Selim was himself addicted to the vice, a fact which was probably known in Persia, the sarcasm went home.

The Persian monarch, most of whose troops were engaged in Central Asia, decided on a defensive campaign, and after laying waste the country to the west, posted himself at Chaldiran, a plain to the east of Lake Urumia. The Turkish force constituted a regular army one hundred and twenty thousand strong, consisting mainly of cavalry, but including several regiments of musketeers and a powerful artillery. The Ottoman tactics were to draw the Persian cavalry within range

---

\(^{1}\) *Journal R.G.S.* for January 1911.

\(^{2}\) The account of the relations between Persia and Turkey is mainly based on the monumental work by Joseph von Hammer-Purgstall.
SHAH ISMAIL KILLING THE AGA OF THE JANISSARIES AT THE BATTLE OF CHALDIRAN.

(From a copy of a Persian picture in the Chehel Sutun Palace.)
of their artillery and muskets, and the guns were therefore concealed behind the infantry. Shah Ismail, aware of the Ottoman intention, separated his own force, consisting entirely of cavalry and perhaps sixty thousand strong, into two divisions, one of which he led himself, while the other was placed under the Chief of the Ustajlu. His plan was to attack the enemy on both flanks simultaneously. The charge which he led in person against the Turkish left wing was successful and forced the Ottoman troops back on to the rear-guard. But on the Turkish right the infantry, by retiring, unmasked the artillery, which was used with deadly effect. The Persian leader fell, and his force broke and fled. The janissaries, who had been kept in reserve, now opened fire on the horsemen commanded by the Shah, who, after performing prodigies of valour, fell from his horse wounded and was nearly captured. Upon remounting he fled, followed by his dispirited troops, and Selim won the hard-fought battle. The Persian camp became the victor's prize, all the male prisoners were massacred, and Tabriz submitted to the Turks.

The campaign was not prosecuted into the heart of Persia, as the Turkish army was mutinous and refused to proceed. Selim was obliged to evacuate Tabriz and to content himself with the annexation of Kurdistan and Diarbekr. Georgia he also annexed, but this was afterwards recovered by Shah Ismail. Peace was not concluded, and frontier raids continued for many years.

In his next great campaign, in 1516, Selim turned his powerful army against Egypt, which he converted into a Turkish province. Of equal, if not greater, importance was the arrangement made with the puppet Caliph, who was induced to make over to the conqueror his spiritual authority, together with the standard and cloak of Mohamed. In other words, the house of Othman succeeded to the Caliphate, and at the present time it is generally recognized as spiritual head of Islam by Sunni Moslems, though not by Shias.

The Death of Shah Ismail and his Character.—Shah Ismail, who refounded Persia as a separate state, is regarded with much affection by Persians on this account, and for having established the Shia doctrines as the national religion. He was also worshipped during his life as a saint, and his subjects fought with fanaticism on his behalf, often refusing to wear

---

1 This question is dealt with in the Preliminary Essay of this volume.
2 The Caliphate was abolished in 1924.
armour in battle. He is described by Angioleello, who saw him frequently, as "fair, handsome, and very pleasing; not very tall, but of a light and well-framed figure; rather stout than slight, with broad shoulders. His hair is reddish; he only wears moustachios, and uses his left hand instead of his right. He is as brave as a gamecock, and stronger than any of his lords." He died at Ardebil in 1524 and was deeply mourned by all his subjects.

Tahmasp, A.H. 930—984 (1524—1576).—Tahmasp, the eldest of the sons of Shah Ismail, succeeded to the throne in A.H. 930 (1524) at the age of ten, and was naturally in the hands of the chiefs of the Kizilbash tribes, who intrigued for power against one another. His first campaign was against the Uzbegs, whom his general defeated in A.H. 934 (1527) on a battlefield which was pointed out to me near Turbat-i-Shaykh Jam. A rebellion called the Shah to Baghdad, where the chief of the Kalhor tribe, which still exists in the neighbourhood of Kermanshah, had usurped the government. This rebel he put to death. Yet again, in A.H. 937 (1530), the Uzbegs invaded Persia and besieged Herat for eighteen months, until upon the approach of Tahmasp they retreated.

The Invasions of Persia by Sulayman the Magnificent.—The Ottoman menace was serious during the long reign of Sulayman the Magnificent. That monarch, upon learning of the death of Shah Ismail and the accession of his son, sent the latter a minatory letter couched in insulting language. The Persian monarch vouchsafed no reply, but despatched envoys to the King of Hungary and to the Emperor Charles VII. with proposals for an offensive and defensive alliance. Fortunately for Persia, its remoteness, its poverty, and its lack of resources made it a less desirable prey than the fair provinces of Hungary and Austria. Nevertheless, in A.H. 940 (1534) a Turkish army invaded the country, and after conquering Mesopotamia, took Tabriz. Encouraged by this success and by the submission of the rulers of Shirwan and Gilan, or desiring to outdo his father's exploits, Sulayman advanced as far east as Sultania; he then, with the loss of part of his artillery, crossed the Zagros range and took possession of Baghdad. Four years later he again invaded Persia and captured Tabriz; and subsequently he gained possession of the almost impregnable fortress of Van. Tahmasp, the

1 Travels of Venetians in Persia, p. III.
"Bactrian Sophi," whose defensive policy is commemorated in the lines of Milton quoted as a heading to this chapter, followed up the invaders as they retreated, and, although the Persians lost heavily owing to a clever Turkish ruse in which a herd of horses was made to stampede the camp, the results of the campaign were indecisive.

The Fugitive Emperor Humayun.—The foundation by Baber of the empire in India, with which from the beginning of the seventeenth century England was in close contact, lies outside the scope of this work. That illustrious monarch died in A.D. 1530, and ten years later his successor, Humayun, was driven out by an insurrection and took refuge in Persia, where Tahmasp, recollecting the ties that united the two royal families, not only received him with chivalrous courtesy, but aided him with an army to regain the throne. A memorial of the wandering of the royal fugitive exists in an inscription at Turbat-i-Shaykh Jam, which runs:

O Thou whose mercy accepts the apology of all.  
The mind of every one is exposed to Thy Majesty.  
The threshold of thy gate is the Kibla\(^1\) of all peoples.  
Thy bounty with a glance supports every one.

A Wanderer in the Desert of Destitution.  
Mohamed Humayun.  
14th Shawal, A.H. 951 (Dec. 29, 1544).

It adds to the interest of this somewhat pathetic memorial to learn that Humayun was married to Hamida Begum, a descendant of the Shaykh of Jam, who bore him the famous Akbar.

The Rebellion of Ilkass Mirza, A.H. 954–955 (1547–1548).—Sulayman was encouraged to make another attempt on Persia by the rebellion of Ilkass Mirza, a brother of the Shah, who had fled to his court and whom he treated with much distinction. He despatched an army which took Tabriz and Isfahan; but Ilkass Mirza quarreled with his allies and the campaign produced no decisive result.

The Perso-Turkish Treaty of Peace, A.H. 962 (1555).—Since the foundation of the Safavi dynasty there had been a state of hostilities, either active or in suspension, between Persia and Turkey. Both states at last became weary of the

---

\(^1\) This translation I owe to the late Ney Elias (Journal R.A.S., Jan. 1897). The Kibla is the "direction" towards Mecca.
war, and in A.H. 961 (1554) a Persian ambassador, the commander of the royal bodyguard, reached Erzerum and asked for an armistice, which was granted. In the following year a second Persian ambassador reached the Ottoman camp. He was the bearer of a friendly letter, in which permission was requested for Persian pilgrims to visit the sacred cities. In reply Sulayman wrote that there would be peace between the two states so long as the Persians did not break it, and that the governors of the frontier provinces would be instructed to protect pilgrims bound for Mecca and Medina. This peace ended the first series of campaigns between Persia and Turkey, in which the latter power had generally been the aggressor, while the former had mainly confined itself to the defensive.

The Betrayal of Bayazid, son of Sulayman.—In A.H. 967 (1559) Bayazid, son of Sulayman, rebelled and sought refuge in Persia. He was received with much ceremony at Tabriz, but by way of precaution his troops were distributed among the Persian contingents. Sulayman opened a correspondence for the surrender of his son, and Tahmasp, with detestable baseness, showed himself but too ready to sell his guest. Some two years were spent in arranging terms, but in A.H. 969 (1561) Bayazid and four of his sons were handed over to the Ottoman emissaries and were executed. The price paid to Shah Tahmasp for the betrayal of his guest was 400,000 pieces of gold.

The Embassies of Anthony Jenkinson to Bokhara and Persia, A.D. 1558–1563.—The intercourse of England with the rulers of Persia, which has been described in previous chapters, now reached a new and more important development. Under the Tudor monarchs our fellow-countrymen were writing a glorious chapter in the book of fame in connexion with Arctic exploration, wherein the cross of St. George showed the way. Among the earliest and most profitable voyages was the expedition which resulted in the discovery of the White Sea by Richard Chancellor, and the lucrative trade with Russia which was thereby opened up. This intercourse was developed by Anthony Jenkinson, a typical merchant-adventurer of the period. Appointed in 1557, after the death of Chancellor, to the post of captain-general of the Muscovy Com-

1 *Early Voyages and Travels to Russia and Persia*, edited by Morgan and Coote (Hakluyt Society).
pany’s fleet sailing for Russia, he was undoubtedly eager to carry out the instructions of his employers, which ran: “That you use all ways and meanes possible to learne how men may passe from Russia either by land or by sea to Cathaia.” As the sequel proves, he learned much.

The Tsar Ivan the Terrible was most favourably impressed by the Englishman, and in A.D. 1559 despatched him as his ambassador to Bohhara, a remarkable compliment to his personality. During the course of this journey Jenkinson acquired a place among our greatest explorers as the first Englishman to descend the Volga and to visit Khiva and Bokhara. Indeed he may be described as the first great English explorer by land. Throughout his travels he kept a careful diary, and we learn among other things that at the time of his visit, in A.D. 1559, the Uzbeg Prince Abdulla was about to start on the first of his great raids into Khorasan. Jenkinson returned safely to Russia, having not only accomplished his mission with success, but having at the same time acquired much information as to the route to Cathay. Later he returned to England.

In A.D. 1561 he again headed an expedition with instructions to attempt to open up commercial relations with Persia across Russia, a truly daring scheme in view of the fact that the latter power had only just acquired control of the Volga. But the route via Hormuz was out of the question, as not for another half-century was the English flag to appear in the Persian Gulf, and the Levant trade was monopolized by Genoa and Venice. Consequently, as Ivan waived all customs duties, the venture seemed good enough to tempt the lion-hearted Englishmen of the period.

Jenkinson, to whom the Tsar “committed matter of importance and charge, to be done when I should arrive in those countries,” left Moscow with the Ambassador of Persia, and travelling down the Volga, reached Astrakhan without incident. He encountered a terrible storm on the Caspian Sea, which justified its bad reputation immortalized in the odes of Horace.¹ The Englishman landed a little to the north of Baku, and, proceeding to Shamakha, was fortunate

¹ Non semper imbrices nubibus hispidos
Manant in agros, aut mare Caspium
Vexant inaequales procellae
Usque . . .

Book II. Ode ix.
enough to gain the friendship of Abdulla Khan, Prince of Shirwan, who is described as “being a prince of meane stature, and of a fierce countenance, richly appareled with long garments of silke, and cloth of golde, embroidered with pearles and stone: upon his head was a tolipane (turban) with a sharpe end standing upwards halfe a yard long... and on the left side of his tolipane stood a plume of fethers, set in a trunke of gold richly inameled.”

Taking leave of Abdulla Khan, Jenkinson travelled to Ardebil, crossing the Kur and passing through “a fruitfull countrey, inhabited with pasturing people, which dwell in the Summer season upon mountaines, and in Winter they remoue into valleys without resorting to townes or any other habitation.” At Ardebil he described the “sumptuous sepulchre in a faire Meskit,” or mosque, of Ismail, the founder of the dynasty, but no details as to his onward journey are given, except that he travelled across mountains destitute of timber, and in the end reached Kazvin, which was then the capital.

The Englishman’s chances of success were much diminished by the fact that Tahmasp was at this time making arrangements to sell Bayazid to the Sultan. Jenkinson, however, obtained an audience and “thus comming before his maiestie with such reverence as I thought meete to bee vsed, I deliuered the Queenes maiesties letters with my present, which he accepting, demaunded of me of what countrey of Franks I was, and what affairs I had there to do: vnto whom I answered that I was of the famous Citie of London within the noble realme of England, and that I was sent thither from the most excellent and gracious soueraigne Ladie Elizabeth, Queene of the sayd Realme, for to treate of friendship, and free passage of our merchants and people, to repair and traffique within his dominions, for to bring in our commodities, and to carry away theirs, to the honour of both princes, the mutual commoditie of both realmes, and wealth of the subjects, with other words here omitted.”

Unfortunately the inevitable question of religion was brought up, and Jenkinson, confessing that he was a Christian, was told “Oh thou vnbeleeuer, we haue no neede to haue friendship with the vnbeleeuers, and so willde mee to depart. I being glad thereof did reuerence and went my way, being accompanied with many of his gentlemen and others, and
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after mee followed a man with a Basanet of sand, sifting all the way that I had gone within the said pallace, euen from the sayd Sophies sight vnto the court gate." It would have gone hard with the Englishman—for the Shah would probably have sent his head as a gift to the Sultan—if Abdulla Khan had not saved his life by writing "that it should not stand with his majestie’s honour to doe me any harme or displeasure, but rather to give mee good entertainment ... and that if hee vsed me euill, there would few strangers resort into his countrey." Tahmasp was ultimately persuaded by the arguments of Abdulla Khan, and Jenkinson returned to Shirwan, where he was treated with extreme kindness. Good fortune attended this great pioneer throughout, and he reached Moscow in safety with all his goods, including raw silk and dye-stuffs for the Muscovy Company, and silk brocades and precious stones for the Tsar.

The trade thus opened seemed at one time likely to be successful; but the anarchy into which Persia fell and the losses through storms and pirates on the Caspian Sea convinced the English Company, after the sixth voyage, that the risks were too great. Consequently in A.D. 1581 the attempt was abandoned. But the failure of the enterprise was not inglorious. It trained the Englishmen who took part in it to the hardihood and valour characteristic of "the spacious times of great Elizabeth," and it enlarged the outlook of the English nation. This is seen from the following lines in Marlowe’s Tamburlaine, which evidently allude to Jenkinson’s exploit:

And Christian merchants, that with Russian stems
Plow up huge furrowes in the Caspian sea,
Shall vaile to us, as Lords of al the Lake.

Milton, too, must have obtained from these pioneers the information on which he based the lines quoted as a heading to this chapter.

An Account of Persia by D’Alessandri, A.D. 1571.—The later years of Tahmasp were comparatively uneventful. Uzbeg raids on Khorasan would not trouble him greatly at Kazvin, but a terrible famine which occurred in A.H. 957 (1571), and a visitation of plague which followed, probably affected the entire country.

Not long before his death the Shah was visited by Vincentio
A. D’Alessandri, 1 Ambassador of Venice, who was sent to the Court at Kazvin to persuade Tahmasp that the Turks were about to seize Cyprus from the Venetians, and that unless he attacked the Ottoman dominions he would be the next victim. The mission failed in its object, but thanks to it we have an interesting description of Persia written by a competent observer. D’Alessandri states among other things that the route from Hormuz was entirely neglected and that the main route via Aleppo was deserted. He also mentions Anthony Jenkinson. His account of Tahmasp is far from flattering. He describes him as “of middling stature, well formed in person and features, although dark, of thick lips and grisly beard.” He refers to the fact that he had not left his palace for eleven years and that the people were in consequence unable to present petitions to him. The roads were declared to be unsafe and the judges venal. Altogether the impression conveyed is that the country was utterly neglected by the monarch, who cared only for money and women.

Ismail II., A.H. 984 (1576).—It was the custom among the Safavi monarchs to commit their sons to the guardianship of the great tribal chiefs, and consequently, upon the death of Tahmasp, who was poisoned by the mother of one of them, Haydar by name, furious rivalries were unchained. Haydar was on the spot and was the nominee of the Ustajlu tribe, but he was killed before his supporters could rally round him. Ultimately Ismail, the fourth son, who had been imprisoned by his father for twenty-five years, was placed on the throne. After establishing his power the new Shah, who was probably brutalized by his long captivity, put to death or blinded all the princes of the blood who were at Kazvin, to the number of eight, and also seventeen leading noblemen. Mohamed Mirza, known as Khudabanda, the eldest son of Tahmasp, being almost blind, was not regarded as a candidate for the throne. He had, however, been ruling Khorasan, and being afterwards appointed to Fars, left his young son, Abbas, as nominal Governor of Khorasan, under the guardianship of Ali Kuli Khan, Chief of the Shamlu. Ismail sent messengers with instructions to put to death both Khudabanda and Abbas, but just before the cruel order was carried out news arrived of the decease of the monarch from drink and an overdose of opium. According to another

1 Travels of Venetians in Persia, pp. 225 ff.
account, he was assassinated by fifteen men disguised as women.

Mohamed Khudabanda, a.h. 985 (1578).—The death of Ismail not only saved Mohamed’s life, but secured him the throne of Persia. But he proved unfit to cope with state affairs, and his authority was challenged before long by the Amirs of Khorasan, who proclaimed Abbas as Shah. During the civil war which ensued the weak monarch abandoned his Vizier, Mirza Sulayman, to the Kizilbash chiefs, who put him to death. After this his position was enfeebled by the impolitic execution of the Chief of the Takalu tribe, and when the Turks invaded Persia he was deserted by the great feudatories. The valour of Hamza Mirza, the heir-apparent, alone illuminated this dark period. His first exploit was the annihilation of the Turkish advance guard near Khoi. A second force of Turks was despatched to avenge this disaster, but they too were cut to pieces. In spite of these brilliant Persian successes, the invading army advanced on Tabriz, which was taken and sacked owing to the defection of the Kizilbash chiefs. But Hamza Mirza had still to be reckoned with, and in an attack which he made in a.h. 993 (1585) he killed 20,000 of the enemy. Yet again, a month later, he inflicted crushing losses on the invaders; but shortly afterwards he himself suffered defeat because 3000 of his men were driven into a marsh. Not a whit discouraged, the intrepid Persian raided across the Aras and ravaged Salmas and Erivan. But internal divisions prevented these victories from bearing fruit, and Tabriz remained in the hands of the Turks. A plot contrived by the tribesmen to exclude Hamza Mirza from the throne proved futile, but the gallant Prince was assassinated by one of his favourites in a.h. 995 (1587), and with his death all immediate hope of expelling the invaders disappeared.
CHAPTER LXIII

SHAH ABBAS THE GREAT

His Person then is such, as well-understanding Nature would fit for the end proposed for his being, excellently well shaped, of a most well proportioned stature, strong, and active; his colour somewhat inclined to a man-like blackness, is also more blacke by the Sunnes burning; his furniture of his mind infinitely royall, wise, valiant, liberall, temperate, mercifull, and an exceeding lover of Justice.—SIR ANTHONY SHERLEY on Shah Abbas.

Shah Abbas I., A.H. 985–1038 (1587–1629).—The sixteenth century was a wonderful epoch both in Europe and in Asia, producing great rulers with prolific bounty. Of these, Charles V. and Elizabeth in Europe can be matched, if not overmatched, by Sulayman of Turkey, Akbar the Moghul Emperor, and the subject of the present chapter. And yet how unpromising were the prospects of the infant destined to be famous as Shah Abbas the Great! Left in Khorasan as its purely nominal Governor, he passed through boyhood a mere puppet in the hands of rival chieftains. His guardian, Ali Kuli Khan, Chief of the Shamlu, had united with Murshid Kuli Khan, chief of the Ustajlu, nominally to protect his rights, but actually for personal aggrandizement. As was to be expected, the two nobles quarrelled and a fight ensued, in which Ali Kuli Khan, who was accompanied into the field
by the young monarch, was worsted. The horse of Abbas was shot and he himself ran considerable risk, but the victors, stopping the pursuit, threw themselves at his feet, and Murshid Kuli Khan became his guardian by force of arms.

As we have already seen, Khorasan had proclaimed Abbas as Shah and Khudabanda had been unable to enforce his authority in the province. Shortly afterwards, the confusion consequent upon the death of Hamza Mirza encouraged Murshid Kuli Khan to advance on Kazvin, which he occupied. Khudabanda was then suppressing a rebellion in Fars, and advantage was taken of his absence to issue a proclamation that the houses and lands owned by his soldiers at Kazvin would be confiscated unless the owners returned speedily to claim them. This proclamation destroyed the power of Khudabanda, whose army deserted him to return to the capital; and from this date—Khudabanda either dying a natural death or being assassinated—there was no opposition in Persia to the claims of Shah Abbas, who shortly afterwards killed Murshid Kuli Khan and thereby secured the reins of power.

The Turkish Invasion, A.H. 995–998 (1587–1590).—The death of Hamza Mirza and the domestic troubles that weakened Persia were turned to full account by the aggressive generals of the Sultan. An armistice had been negotiated by Khudabanda, but hostilities were speedily resumed as the cession of the province of Karabagh was demanded and refused. In A.H. 995 (1587) a battle was fought near Baghdad, in which Farhad Pasha surprised and defeated a Persian army 15,000 strong, after a desperate struggle lasting three days. As a sequel to the capture of Tabriz and to this success, Turkey annexed the western provinces of Persia, including much of Irak Ajami, Luristan, and Khuzistan. In A.H. 996 (1588) Farhad Pasha, uniting his forces with those of the Governor of Shirwan, invaded Karabagh and captured Ganja, which he strengthened by means of a hastily erected wall and a garrison of 3000 men.

The position of Shah Abbas was one of great weakness owing to the Uzbeg invasions, and he wisely decided to make peace with the Turks in order that he might concentrate his entire resources against the Uzbegs. After long negotiations, conducted by Haydar Mirza, son of Hamza Mirza, peace was concluded in A.H. 998 (1590) by the cession of Tabriz,
Shirwan with its ports on the Caspian, Georgia, and Luristan to the Turks.

The Uzbeg Invasions.—The Uzbeg kingdom reached its zenith under Abdulla II., who was contemporary with Shah Abbas, and who extended the boundaries of his empire in every direction. To the east Ferghana, Kashgar, and Khotan, and to the south Balkh, Tokharistan, and Badakshan became his frontier provinces. On the western side Astrabad was surprised, and the Prince of Gilan, an ally of the Sultan of Turkey, was driven headlong from his country. Very early in his reign Abbas was threatened with the loss of Herat, which ultimately fell after a siege of nine months. The sacred city of Meshed was next invested. The young Shah marched to its relief, but illness delayed him, and the city was taken and sacked, its inhabitants were massacred, and the treasures belonging to the Shrine were carried off. Nishapur, Sabzawar, Isfarayin, Tun, Tabas, and other cities in Khorasan suffered a like fate. The province was indeed in a pitiable state until, in A.H. 1006 (1597), a great victory was gained over the elusive foe in the neighbourhood of Herat, after which the annual raids of the Uzbegs ceased for many years to come.

To protect this exposed frontier Abbas transported from Kurdistan some thousands of Kurds, with their families and flocks, and settled them to the north of Khorasan, where they acted as wardens of the marches. The newcomers were unable to hold their own in the fertile lands to the north of the ranges described in Chapter I., but in the valley of the Atrek they dispossessed the Geraili Turks and made good their position. To-day they are a flourishing community, still speaking their own language, and generally ruled by their tribal chiefs.

The Temporary Abdication, A.H. 1000 (1591).—Belief in astrology caused the monarch at this period to vacate the throne, his astrologers having predicted that serious danger threatened its occupant. A certain Yusuf, probably a Christian and certainly not a Moslem, was crowned, and for three days was surrounded with royal state. On the fourth day he was put to death;¹ and, the decree of the stars being thus ful-

¹ In *The Scope of Man* Frayser refers to the Sacaen, the Asiatic equivalent of the Saturnalia, at which the man who played the god for five days was hanged or crucified. He also refers to the "Beardless One" in Persia, who was apparently the degenerate survivor of a temporary king, and was merely pelted and not killed. The incident described above was of similar origin.
filled, Abbas reascended the throne on a propitious day with promises from the astrologers of a long and glorious reign. The victory over the Uzbegs mentioned above was gained shortly after this extraordinary incident.

The *Arrival in Persia of the Sherley Brothers*, A.D. 1598.—
The gallant attempt of Jenkinson in the reign of Tahmasp to open up trade with Persia across Russia was an isolated episode which left no mark on the country, and deserves mention mainly on account of the courage and perseverance displayed. Under Shah Abbas, Englishmen first appear on the scene as gentlemen-adventurers, and their influence on Persian policy was considerable. Sir Anthony Sherley, already distinguished as the leader of an expedition to the Spanish Main, and his brother Sir Robert Sherley, accompanied by twenty-six followers, reached Kazvin in 1598, and upon the return of Shah Abbas from his victory over the Uzbegs the two brothers presented themselves as English knights who had heard of the fame of the Persian monarch and desired to enter his service. Knowledge of the customs of Persia was shown by their making a splendid gift "of six pair of pendants of exceeding fair emeralds: two other jewels of topazes; a cup of three pieces set in gold, and enamelled; a salt, a fair ewer of crystal, covered with a kind of cut work of silver, and gilt, the shape of a dragon."

The young Shah, who was evidently flattered and pleased with the leader of the party, gave him in return royal gifts, including "forty horses all furnished, two with exceeding rich saddles, plated with gold, and set with rubies and turquoises." To these he added mules, camels, tents, and a sum of money.

The *Reorganization of the Persian Army.*—The force at the disposal of the Shah originally consisted of some sixty thousand Kizilbash horsemen, who would obey none but their chiefs. Consequently he was unable to give a command to any one outside the Kizilbash themselves, in whose hands the entire power lay. To meet this difficulty he halved the numbers of the tribal contingents and organized a body of ten thousand cavalry and twelve thousand infantry, paid and officered by the crown; in imitation of the janissaries, these *tufangches* or "riflemen" were recruited from Georgian and Armenian converts to Islam.
Allah Verdi Beg, the celebrated Commander-in-Chief, was quick to see the advantages of the Sherley mission, which included among its members a cannon-founder. With his assistance and thanks to the Sherleys, batteries of artillery were formed, as well as regiments of regular infantry. Indeed, mainly through the initiative of our fellow-countrymen, a revolution was effected in the military organization, and in place of a feudal force of horsemen Persia soon possessed an army fit to meet that of Turkey in the field. To quote from the old English book of travels: “The mightie Ottoman, terror of the Christian world, quaketh of a Sherly feu, and gives hopes of approaching fates: the prevailing Persian hath learned Sherliean arts of war; and he which before knew not the use of ordnance, hath now five hundred pieces of brasse, and sixty thousand musketiers: so that they, which at hand with the sword were before dreadful to the Turkes, now also, in remoter blows and sulfurian arts, are growne terrible.”

The Formation of the Shah Savan Tribe.—Yet another counterpoise to the turbulent Kizilbash was obtained by inviting members of all tribes to enrol themselves as Shah Savan, or “Friends of the Shah.” This stroke of policy was entirely successful; thousands of men joined the new tribe, and the Shah was released from dependence on the Kizilbash. The Shah Savan tribe remains powerful at the present day and inhabits a wide stretch of country between Tabriz and Ardebil and south-east as far as Kazvin.

Sir Anthony Sherley as Ambassador.—The great question agitating the Persian Court was whether war should be declared against Turkey, by whose troops, it must be recollected, Tabriz was still garrisoned. Sherley was anxious to add to his services by visiting the Courts of Europe in order to invite their co-operation against the common foe, and Abbas, whose affection for the Englishman was deep and sincere, at once agreed to the proposal. As Malcolm states, the credentials given to Sherley were “perhaps the most singular by which any public representative was ever accredited,” and to prove this it is sufficient to quote the following passage: “And al you princes y beleue in Jesus Christ,

1 Malcolm terms this well-known nobleman Ali Verdi, but Allah Verdi, meaning “God gave” in Turkish, is correct. The curious cause of his death is mentioned in The Glory of the Shia World, p. 266.
know you, that he hath made friendship betwene you and me; which desire we had also heretofore graunted, but there was none that came to make the way, and to remoue the waile that was betwene us and you, but onely this gentleman; who as he came of his owne free will, so also oppon his desire, I haue sent with him a chiefe man of mine. The entertain-ment which that principall gentleman hath had with me, is, that daylie, whils’t he hath bin in thiese partes, we haue eaten togethier of one dysh, and drunke of one cup, like two breethren. Therefore, when this gentleman comes unto you Christian princes, you shall credite him in whatsoeuer you shall demaunde, or he shall say, as mine owne person.”

Most favourable privileges were granted to Christian merchants who might desire to trade with Persia. No Governor might interfere with them, no customs could be enforced on them, and no “religious men” might disturb them. In short, everything possible was done to make the stranger feel that he was welcome in Persia. This friendly spirit is still noticeable in the twentieth century, and makes the lot of Europeans much pleasanter than in other parts of Asia, where, if tolerated, they are disliked.

The embassy of Sir Anthony Sherley aroused deep jealousy in Moscow, where the policy of the Government had entirely changed since the days of Jenkinson. Not only was the Persian companion of the English knight treated as the Ambassador, but he himself was thrown into prison for some time. In the end he obtained his release and proceeded to the Court of the Emperor, where he was received with the utmost distinction and honour, as it was realized that a successful campaign by Shah Abbas would react most favourably on the situation in Europe.

From the Court at Prague the English knight made his way to Rome, whence the Persian nobleman, who had quarrelled with him, returned to Persia. Sir Anthony Sherley finally settled in Spain, where he entered the service of the King, who sent him on an embassy to Morocco. He apparently severed his connexion with Persia, and died in the land of his adoption.

The Successful Campaigns against Turkey, A.H. 1031–1036 (1602–1627).—It was not until fifteen years after his accession to the throne that Shah Abbas felt himself strong enough to cross swords with the Sultan and to attempt to regain the
Persian provinces occupied by the great Sunni power. The actual outbreak of hostilities was due to an attack on Salmas by the Turkish garrison of Azerbaijan. Shah Abbas, having already decided to break the peace, marched rapidly from Isfahan, and after defeating the Turkish army besieged Tabriz; the city surrendered on 21st October 1603, and once again, after eighteen years, formed part of the Persian empire. The Shah then marched on Erivan, which he took after a six months’ siege; he also occupied Shirwan and Kars. Meanwhile Sultan Mohamed III. had died, and upon the accession of the youthful Ahmad large Turkish forces were organized for a Persian campaign.

The two armies met in the vicinity of Lake Urumia. The Turks were one hundred thousand strong and the Persians only sixty-two thousand; but the former had lost much of their old discipline and valour, whereas the latter were disciplined and for the first time supported by artillery. The Turks advanced in their usual formation of a column of cavalry supported by infantry and artillery, hoping no doubt to draw the enemy’s horsemen within range of their guns. Shah Abbas upset this plan of battle by detaching Allah Verdi with instructions to execute a wide turning movement on to the rear of the enemy and then to open out his force and create the impression that his was the main body. The manoeuvre succeeded admirably, and a large body of Turks was detached to the rear to meet, as they supposed, the Persian army. The result was confusion; and a charge, in which Sir Robert Sherley was wounded in three places, converted this into a panic and rout. The Turkish leaders fought bravely to retrieve the fortunes of the day, but in vain, and more than twenty thousand heads were laid at the feet of the Shah, who by this decisive battle freed his country and dynasty from the stigma of inferiority to the Turks. The fruits of the victory were great. Not only did Azerbaijan, Kurdistan, Baghdad, Mosul, and Diarbekir fall to the Persians, but their religious feelings were deeply gratified by the recovery of Kerbela, Najaf, and other sacred centres.

As may be supposed religious polemics raged during these campaigns. An utterance by the Turkish Musti concluded thus: "I hope also from the divine Majesty, that in the Day of Judgment he will make you serve instead of Asses to the Jews, that that miserable Nation which is the
Contempt of the World, may mount and trot with you to Hell.” The Persian reply was still more insulting, but is too coarse to print. After long negotiations, peace was concluded in 1612, Turkey agreeing to recognize the frontiers as they were in the reign of Selim. By this act the Porte renounced all claim to the conquests of Murad and Mohamed III. Shah Abbas, on his side, agreed to give the Sultan two hundred loads of silk annually.

This treaty was not long observed. The Shah did not pay the stipulated silk, and he sent an expedition against Georgia, which was held to be in the Ottoman sphere of influence. In 1616 a powerful Turkish army set out from Aleppo, and, being joined by contingents in Asia Minor, laid siege to Erivan and other cities. This campaign ended in disaster; for Erivan was not taken and the Turkish army lost heavily from the cold while retiring. Two years later an attempt was made on Tabriz by means of a forced march, but failed because the invaders fell into an ambuscade laid by the Governor of Tabriz and suffered severe losses in consequence. Their main army, however, advanced, and Shah Abbas was induced to open up negotiations for peace. In A.H. 1027 (1618) the terms agreed to in the previous treaty were accepted, except that Shah Abbas bound himself to a gift of one hundred loads of silk, instead of the two hundred previously agreed upon.

Seven years later a Turkish army besieged Baghdad with only four light field-pieces. The siege dragged on for six months, and Shah Abbas then came to the rescue. After fierce fighting, with heavy losses on both sides, a mutiny forced the Turkish leaders to retreat, and thousands of their men died from starvation.

These campaigns were the first in which the advantage lay distinctly with Persia. Although the Sultan was generally the aggressor, the Shah’s troops proved that they could at least hold their own against the enemy.

The Embassies of Sir Robert Sherley.—Sir Robert Sherley was appointed Master-General of the Persian army, and while holding this position won great distinction in the Turkish wars. The Shah bestowed many tokens of his favour on the gallant Englishman, among them being a grant of bread for sixty years! In spite of the failure of Anthony’s mission, Abbas determined to despatch Robert
Sherley on an embassy to the European powers. He left Persia in 1609 and visited Poland, Germany, and Rome. In 1611 he reached England, where he was well received by the King, but the object of his mission, which was to open up direct trade relations between Persia and England, met with strong opposition from the Levant merchants and was not at the time attained. Sherley remained in England a year and returned to Persia by way of India in an English ship.

In 1623 Sir Robert Sherley came to England on a second mission. On this occasion his position was weakened by the arrival of another ambassador from Shah Abbas in the person of a certain Nakd Ali Beg, who, upon meeting Sherley, assaulted him. The English knight finally returned to Persia with Sir Dodmore Cotton, to whom we shall return in the next chapter. Nakd Ali Khan, who sailed in the same fleet, but was not allowed to land at the same time as Sherley, poisoned himself on the voyage to India.

An allusion to the pensions granted to the Sherleys, who were among the greatest travellers of the age, is probably to be found in *Twelfth Night*, where Fabian says, "I will not give my part of this sport for a pension of thousands to be paid from the Sophy."

The Administrative Genius of Shah Abbas.—The fame of Shah Abbas does not rest on his military exploits alone: it is also founded on his genius for administration and especially upon the thoroughness with which he took in hand the improvement of communications throughout the Empire. He built caravanserais and bridges in such numbers that every ancient work is now credited to him. Even in muddy Gilan and Mazanderan his famous Sang Farsh, or "Stone Carpet," a causeway which traverses the Caspian provinces from east to west, is still used, although to judge from what I saw of it near Astrabad it badly needs repair.

The most striking act of his administration was the creation of his capital at Isfahan. There, in the centre of the Empire, on almost the only river of the plateau, a splendid new city grew up, approached by beautiful double avenues of oriental planes and stately bridges, which prepared travellers

---

1 We learn from the Court Minutes of the East India Company that Nakd Ali Beg before his departure was presented with his portrait "exactly and curiously drawn by Mr. Greenbury." The artist also painted a replica which is hung in the India Office.

2 This play was written in 1601–2, by which date news would have reached England of the splendid reception of the English knights by Shah Abbas.
for the superb buildings that are still preserved to us. Thanks to the number of these travellers, many of whom wrote books, the splendours of the Safavi dynasty have been described more fully than any other phase of Persian history. To quote Lord Curzon, "Pietro della Valle, Herbert, Olearius, Tavernier, Chardin, Sanson, Daulier-Deslandes, Kaempfer, and Le Brun successively shed the light of an acute and instructed scrutiny upon the scene, and have added to the respective literatures of Italy, Great Britain, Germany, France, and Holland."  

The Great Shah realized the harm of fanaticism and seclusion, and employed the European and his arts to strengthen his country. The breadth of his outlook is shown by his behaviour towards the Armenians. Instead of treating these Christian captives as slaves, he transported five thousand families with all their possessions from Julfa on the Aras to a new Julfa close to Isfahan. There they speedily took root and prospered and helped to open up trade with other countries. So flourishing was the Christian centre thus founded that, until quite recently, all Europeans, whether missionaries or merchants, who had business at Isfahan, took up their residence in this Armenian village. An attempt was made to establish a second colony in Mazanderan, but this proved a complete failure in consequence of the malarious climate, which killed off the Armenians by hundreds.

His Encouragement of Pilgrimages.—In nothing was the practical genius of Shah Abbas more clearly shown than in the difficult task of consolidating the various tribes and peoples that dwelt in Iran. This he effected in great measure by encouraging the idea that Meshed was the national centre for pilgrimage and the special glory of the Shia world. In the belief that practice is better than precept, he made pilgrimages to the shrine of the Imam Riza, and on one occasion he actually walked the entire distance of eight hundred miles from Isfahan. He also performed the menial task of trimming the thousand candles which illuminated the sacred courts, and the incident inspired the following verses by Shaykh Bahai:

The angels from the high heavens gather like moths
O'er the candles lighted in this Paradise-like tomb:
O trimmer, manipulate the scissors with care,
Or else thou mayest clip the wings of Gabriel.

Among the gifts of this monarch to the Shrine was his bow, which bears his name—a priceless treasure, little valued by Persians. He also visited Najaf, where he swept out the tomb of his ancestor Ali, and in every way he stimulated and encouraged religious feeling, more especially as expressed in pilgrimages. The fact that he drank wine freely was but a trifling hindrance to his reputation for exemplary piety, Moslem ethics in such matters being different from those of the West.

**His Domestic Life.**—It is with revulsion that we are forced to turn from the greatness of the Shah's public achievements to the brutalities of his domestic life. Yet even here some allowance should be made for the position of a sovereign of Persia whose ill-wishers would certainly endeavour to make his heir the instrument of their policy.

Briefly, the facts to be recorded are these. Abbas had four sons, and when they grew up he became jealous of their popularity and regarded their advisers as his enemies. Whether he had good reason for his fears we do not know. Safi Mirza, his eldest son, was the first victim. The Shah was led to believe that this Prince, who possessed the attractive qualities of valour and liberality, was plotting against him to avenge the death of a favourite who had been executed. In order that he might escape the odium of putting his popular son to death, he apparently arranged for him to be stabbed by a certain Behbud Khan, who alleged that he was avenging a private injury. The assassin took *bast*, or sanctuary, in the Shah's stable, and was not only pardoned but promoted to high office. But remorse preyed on the father's mind and, seeking in further cruelty a strange alleviation for his sufferings, he ordered the wretched Behbud Khan to bring him the head of his own son. The order was obeyed and the following dialogue ensued: "How dost thou feel?" asked the Shah. "I am miserable," was the reply. "Thou shouldst be happy," was the Shah's rejoinder, "for thou art ambitious, and now in thy feelings thou art the peer of thy Sovereign." The second son, Tahmasp Mirza, fortunately died a natural death; but shortly after the murder of Safi Mirza, the two remaining sons became objects of their father's

---

1 In *The Glory of the Shia World*, p. 159, the Persian point of view on this subject is given.
2 In Persia, Legations, Consulates, Shrines, Telegraph Offices, and Stables are regarded as sanctuary. The *bast* in the British Legation is referred to in Chapter LXXXII.
dreadful jealousy. Khudabanda, the elder, had acquired much credit in an expedition to Arabia, and owing to his "affability, bounty, loyalty, courage and experience in arms, at home and abroad," 1 was hailed as a promising successor to the throne. The Shah showed his displeasure by putting to death the Prince's tutor. Khudabanda hastened to court and expostulated wildly, going so far as to draw his sword. Thereupon his father had him blinded. The Prince became half insane, and in order to avenge himself killed Fatima, a daughter on whom the Shah doted, and then himself took poison. The eyes of the fourth son also were put out, and by this act Shah Abbas cut off the last of his sons from the throne.

His Death and Character.—These acts of cruelty marked the closing days of Abbas, who, at the age of seventy, died of a painful disease at his favourite palace of Mazanderan, after a long and glorious reign of forty-two years. In reviewing the character of a monarch it is proper to give due weight to the judgment of his own people, and it may at once be said that no sovereign who ever ruled in Persia is so much respected or beloved as Shah Abbas the Great. His portrait shows a very handsome man, with fine, clean-cut features, keen eyes, and large moustaches. Throughout his life he was noted for courage, activity, and endurance of fatigue. His ideas were far in advance of those current in his time, and his general outlook was eminently wide and sane, although his readiness to kill on the slightest pretext was deplorable. I prefer to think that the awful domestic tragedies which darkened the close of his reign were not purely wanton, but had at least some partial justification; for a prince so great, and in the main so just, was not the man to put his sons to death without what he believed to be good reasons. This account of the greatest of Persia's sovereigns since the Moslem conquest may be fittingly concluded with Chardin's dictum, "When this great Prince ceased to live, Persia ceased to prosper."

1 Herbert, op. cit. p. 178, details these tragedies with many rhetorical flourishes.
CHAPTER LXIV

THE STRUGGLE FOR ASCENDANCY IN THE PERSIAN GULF

High on a throne of royal state, which far
Outshone the wealth of Ormus and of Ind,
Or where the gorgeous East with richest hand
Showers on her Kings barbaric pearl and gold,
Satan exalted sat.

Paradise Lost, Book II., line 1.

The Effect on History of Rounding the Cape of Good Hope.—One of the most important events in history is the rounding of the Cape of Good Hope by Bartholomew Dias in A.D. 1487–88,¹ and the subsequent opening up of direct sea-borne trade between Europe and India. Until this feat was accomplished, Europe was obliged to purchase spices and other Eastern products from Moslem merchants, whose rulers drew vast revenues directly from the customs they levied and indirectly from the prosperity which this trade conferred. The establishment of direct sea intercourse with Europe changed all this. In the two arteries of trade, through the Persian Gulf and the Red Sea, the flow of commerce ceased. From that event dates the falling off in wealth and power of the Moslem states of Turkey and Egypt, although some generations were yet to pass before the sea trade was fully established and its results became plainly visible. Indeed these benefits were not secured without hard fighting. The

¹ For this date vide “The Voyages of Diago Cão and Bartholomew Dias, 1482–88,” by Ravenstein, in Journal R.G.S., vol. xvi. pp. 625-55. In the Museum at Capetown I have seen a fragment of the padroso erected by the great explorer at Angra Pequena. The other fragments are at Lisbon.
Mamelukes of Egypt, in alliance with the Sultan of Gujarat, first took up the Portuguese challenge, but their fleet, after an initial success in 1507, was decisively beaten two years later. In 1538, a far more serious danger menaced the Portuguese from a Turkish expedition consisting of 66 ships with 20,000 troops. This formidable armada besieged the fort at Diu and nearly captured it by heavy bombardments and numerous assaults. When the Portuguese were almost at the end of their resources the siege was suddenly raised, and the Turks, who had quarrelled with the Sultan of Gujarat, sailed back to the Red Sea, leaving the Portuguese in full possession of the Indian Ocean.  

The Importance of Hormuz.—The port of Hormuz, the Ormus of Milton, mentioned by Marco Polo (see Chapter LVII.), and situated some six miles to the south-west of Minab, was of great commercial importance. Not long after the return journey of the Venetian the emporium was bodily transferred to the neighbouring island of Jerun for greater security, retaining there its old name, and it flourished amazingly for two centuries under Arab rulers, whose dominions also included Maskat and other possessions. The following description of the island by Pedro Teixeira, who visited it in A.D. 1587, deserves to be quoted: “This Isle of Jerun was of old volcanic, for which reason it remains so rugged as to amaze the explorer of its interior. It has a lofty range of hills running east and west from the sea to sea. From the foot of this to the northern promontory, whereon stands the fortified city, there is a less rugged plain. But beyond the main range there is nothing but lesser ranges, separate hills, and a rugged wilderness.” Teixeira goes on to state that there was no fresh water in the island except rain-water collected in cisterns. It seems extraordinary that a city should have flourished in spite of such drawbacks, but the testimony on the subject is unanimous. For example, in A.D. 1442, Abdur Razzak, whose description of the sea has been quoted in Chapter II., states that Hormuz, which “is a port situated in the middle of the sea, has not its equal on the surface of the globe.” In A.D. 1504 Ludovico di

---

2 Travels of Pedro Teixeira, p. 164. Ed. by Sinclair and Ferguson for the Hakluyt Society. We owe to this traveller a translation of the history of the Kings of Hormuz and also of Mirkhond’s history, referred to in Chapter LXII.
Varthema, whose travels have been published by the Hakluyt Society, also refers to it as "the noble city of Ormuz, which is extremely beautiful." The description is borne out by the Persian proverb: "If the world were a ring, Hormuz would be the jewel of that ring."

The First Portuguese Expedition against Hormuz, A.D. 1507.
—Greatest among the great Portuguese captains was Alfonso D'Albuquerque, who in A.D. 1507 started from Socotra with a squadron of seven ships to attack Hormuz. He coasted along Arabia, sacking the ports, including Maskat. To modern ideas his cruelty was repulsive, prisoners of both sexes being mutilated with the object of inspiring fear. Everywhere he was successful, and passing Musandam, which is termed Cape Macinde in the Commentaries, he approached Hormuz with flags flying and artillery ready. The point was doubled, and to the dismay of his captains a large number of ships were sighted in the harbour, supported by a powerful force drawn up on shore. D'Albuquerque boldly attacked the ships, and most of them, deserted by their cowardly crews, fell into his hands. After this easy success he proceeded to land his small force, whereupon the boy king submitted and agreed to pay tribute at the rate of £5,000 per annum.

The Persian Demand for Tribute.—A few days after the ratification of the treaty, the king sent to inform D'Albuquerque that a representative of Shah Ismail had reached the shore opposite the island, and had sent to demand the tribute due to Persia. D'Albuquerque replied that "he might tell the king that this kingdom of Ormuz belonged to the King of Portugal, gained by his fleet and his men, and that he might know of a certainty that if any tribute should be paid to any other king, except the king D. Manoel, his lord, he would take the government of the kingdom and give it to some one who would not be afraid of the Xeque Ismael. He then sent to the ships for cannon-balls, guns, matchlocks, and grenades, and told him to say to the king that he might send all these to the captain of the Xeque Ismael; for that was the sort of money wherewith the King of Portugal had ordered his captains to pay the tribute of that kingdom that was under his mastery and command."

1 Commentaries of Alfonso D'Albuquerque, ed. by Birch for the Hakluyt Society.
2 Commentaries, vol. i. p. 145.
Thus with Shah Ismail began the connexion between Portugal and Persia, which terminated in disaster for the invaders a little more than a century later.

The Failure of the Expedition.—D’Albuquerque decided to construct a powerful fort, the foundations of which were duly laid, but the intrigues of his captains reacted on the political situation and the work was stopped. A bombardment and a blockade both failed, and when three ships of his squadron of seven deserted, there was no course open to him except to make for Socotra. He returned to Hormuz later, but not in sufficient strength to effect anything, and thereafter the island-state resumed its allegiance to Persia, its king adopting Shia principles in order to gratify Shah Ismail.

The Final Occupation of Hormuz by the Portuguese, A.D. 1515.—Seven years passed, and D’Albuquerque, who had meanwhile become Viceroy of the Portuguese possessions in India, was able at last to attack Hormuz with a powerful fleet. He sailed from Goa with twenty-seven ships, carrying 3000 men and ample supplies. The local situation had entirely changed. A new puppet king reigned, and the power was in the hands of the Persian party, headed by a masterful personality known as Rais, or Chief, Hamid. But no open resistance to the Portuguese was possible, and their demand for permission to complete the fort was granted. Rais Hamid was assassinated by the Portuguese when he visited D’Albuquerque, and the king, freed from his influence, was ready to obey the victors in all matters.

The building of the fort proceeded throughout the summer, and when finished it was a splendid piece of work. Indeed so solid was its construction that when I visited it some twenty years ago it was in excellent preservation. To quote from my description: “This grand old fortress is still practically intact, and is approached by a massive door, studded with iron spikes. It was protected in front by a bastion of great strength, flanked by a second bastion, after which the guard-house was passed. Beyond this the main lower portion of the fort was visible. It consisted of a square with a large tank, now empty, round which were barracks and store-houses, built into the massive forty-foot wall which has a parapet eighteen feet wide. A steep rise led to the inner work, in which we saw a superb reservoir, an oval forty feet high and fifty feet long, with a passage
encircling it about twenty feet above the bottom; it was, however, empty. A final rise brought us to the summit of the fort, some sixty feet above the ground level. There, overlooking the ruined city, was all that was left of a sumptuous palace, while numerous cannon lying about bore mute witness to the stormy past."

The Beginning of English Maritime Intercourse with the East.—English intercourse with India may be said to date from the defeat of the Spanish Armada, which stimulated our ancestors to an extraordinary degree. Within a year of the passing of the Spanish peril, a body of English merchants memorialized Queen Elizabeth, who readily granted the permission they desired to trade with India. The pioneer efforts failed but the practicability of the scheme was proved, and a successful voyage to Bantam by the first Dutch expedition increased the general interest, which culminated in the grant of a Charter of Incorporation to the "Governor and Company of Merchants of London trading into the East Indies."

The first expedition of the new Company started in A.D. 1601, under the eminent seaman James Lancaster, and two years later it returned with a rich freight, including one million pounds' weight of pepper. The vicissitudes of these early voyages and of the merchants engaged in them are recorded in Letters received by the East India Company, and we learn from them how intercourse was opened up with Persia.

The First English Attempt to trade with Persia by Sea, A.D. 1616.—When the English factors first visited the Moghul Court, their broadcloth sold well, and a large quantity was ordered from England. But when this arrived it had ceased to be a novelty, and as there was little demand a new market was sorely needed. The Chief Factor had learned from an Englishman named Steele, who had travelled overland from Aleppo to India, that in Persia they might feel sure "of the vent of much cloth, in regard their country is cold, and that men, women, and children are clothed there-

1 Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 288.
2 These volumes have been mainly edited by W. Foster, the gifted Registrar of the India Office, and the series has been continued in the English Factories in India. Foster has also edited The Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe to India, which contains an excellent account of the opening up of trade with Persia. I have also consulted the Calendar of State Papers, ed. by Noel Sainsbury.
with some five months in the year.” He also added that silk could be purchased 50 per cent cheaper than at Aleppo.

With admirable initiative, it was therefore decided by the factors at Surat to send Steele and a factor named Crouther to Isfahan to obtain a farman or “order” from Shah Abbas. They were furnished also with letters to Sir Robert Sherley, who had recently returned to Persia. In A.D. 1614 these pioneers of commerce started off from India, and, thanks to Sherley, three identical farmans were obtained from Shah Abbas, ordering the governors of the ports to aid any British vessels. One of these was sent to Jask, which was selected because the Portuguese held Hormuz.

The Journey of Connock, A.D. 1616–1617.—The James was selected for the venture and Connock was appointed leader of the expedition. Sailing from Surat he was well received at Jask and posted ahead to Isfahan. There, to his disappointment, he learned that the Shah was absent on the Turkish frontier. Undiscouraged, however, he persuaded William Robbins, an Englishman who lived at Isfahan and dealt in jewels, to accompany him to the royal camp. He was received with much favour by the Shah, who drank to the health of King James on his bended knee and issued a most satisfactory farman. In return Connock promised to send for peacocks and turkeys, which were unknown in Persia, and also for toy dogs, which he terms “little little women’s curs.”

The Persian Question of the Period.—The Persian question, from the point of view of the English, was the silk question. Silk was a royal monopoly, and the Shah was anxious to export it through the Persian Gulf for two reasons: in order to deprive the Turks of the customs which they levied, and because he hoped for a better price. Sir Robert Sherley had attempted to persuade Philip III. (who, it must be remembered, ruled over both Spain and Portugal) to take the silk, but his proposals had not been well received; and in England the East India merchants had said “the way is long and dangerous, the trade uncertain, and must quite cut off our traffic with the Turk.”

When Steele reached Isfahan, Sherley had returned to Persia, and was preparing to start on a second mission to

1 From 1580 to 1640 Portugal formed a portion of the Spanish Empire, and this connexion was a prime factor in the decay of its power in the East.
the Court of Spain. Roe, the English Ambassador at the Court of the Great Moghul, believing that he was bound to succeed, was opposed to any further steps towards utilizing the farman. The factors, however, at a meeting held at Surat in A.D. 1616, decided that, owing to the departure of Sherley (whom they regarded with mistrust), the state of war existing between Persia and Turkey, and the necessity of selling their broadcloth, an attempt to trade should be made, and the event proved that they were justified in their decision.

The Spanish Embassy to Persia, 1618–1619. — While Sherley was in Madrid on his second mission, the Spanish government despatched an embassy to Persia headed by Don Garcia de Silva y Figueroa, who wrote a voluminous account of his journey. The Ambassador landed at Hormuz, and travelling via Shiraz and Isfahan reached the Persian Court at Kazvin. He was well received and was favourably impressed by the Shah, but in the main object of his mission, which was to obtain a guarantee for the security of Hormuz, he was unsuccessful.

The Battle of Jask, A.D. 1620. — While Connock was in Persia a strong Portuguese squadron from Hormuz visited Jask in search of the James, which had fortunately returned to Surat. In A.D. 1618 it was decided to continue the Persian trade, and the whole fleet assembled at Surat was despatched to Jask, where the Portuguese were found ready to intercept the English squadron. There was a skirmish, followed by a period of inaction; but when the English realized that their opponents were unwilling to attack, they bore down on the Portuguese, and the historical engagement off the eastern point of Jask was fought on the 28th of December 1620. The English squadron comprised the London, the Hart, the Robucke, and the Eagle. The Portuguese fleet consisted of "two Portingall gallyons bigger than the London, and two Flemish Shipps, one much about the burthen of the Hart, the other lesser than the Robucke or Eagle." 1

The writer of the account continues: "About nine of (the) clocke, the Lord sending us appettie easterlie gale, our fleete weighed and put all things in order for fight. The London and Hart anchored within a cables length and halfe from them upon their broadsides, and so indured the hottest

1 The English Factories in India, 1618–21, pp. 223–24.
burden of this second daies fight; for no sooner were they at anchor but that it fell calme and so continued all daie, in so much that the Robucke and Eagle, who, being somewhat asterne and steering nearer the shoare with intent to anchor, one upon the bowe of the Portugall admirall, and the other upon the bowe of the vice admirall, could not, notwithstanding all diligence used, come to doe anie service in halfe an houres space; and no sooner were wee within the levell range of our ordinance from them then that (not a breath of wind to bee felt and a current against us) wee were constrained to anchor or drive further of. But our broadsides once brought up, the great ordinance from our whole fleete played so fast upon them, that doubtlesse, if the knowledge in our people had beene answerable to their willing minds and readie resolutions, not one of these galliounes, unless their sides were impenetrable, had escaped us. About three of the clocke in the afternoone, unwilling after so hotte a dinner to receive the like supper, they cutte their cables and drove with the tide (then setting westerly) until they were without reach of our gunnes; and then their frigatts came to them and towed them awaie wonderfullie mangled and torne; for their admirall in the greatest furie of the fight was inforced to heeld his shippe to stoppe his leakes, his mainetopmast overbord and the head of his mainemast. The greater Flemming both his topmasts and part of his bowspritt shotte awaie. The lesser Flemming never a shrowde standing, never a topmast."

Thus ended the fight, in which the losses on the English side were small in number but included Captain Shilling, the gallant commander of the London. Each time I land at Jask I wonder whether a monument will ever be erected to celebrate this victory, which would recall the prowess of our ancestors and serve as an inspiration to their descendants. The merchants, after this decisive action, returned to business, took in five hundred and twenty bales of silk, and went back to Surat.

The Capture of Hormuz by an Anglo-Persian Expedition, A.D. 1622.—At the end of 1621 the English squadron of five ships and four pinnaces upon reaching Jask received orders to proceed to Kuhistak, a port some forty miles south of Minab. There the two captains in command found the factors and were informed that the position of affairs was critical.
Hostilities had recently broken out between the Persians and the Portuguese, and the latter had been sacking the ports, which the former were totally unable to defend. On the other hand, a Persian army had established itself in Kishm and was besieging the Portuguese fort; but it was out of the question for the Persians to attack Hormuz unless the English could be induced to co-operate. Imam Kuli Khan, son of Allah Verdi Khan, who conducted the operations as Governor of Fars, showed a good deal of political acumen. He held out promises of reward, combined with a hint that, should the factors refuse to co-operate in a war which had been mainly provoked on account of the privileges granted to the English, these privileges would be cancelled, and the silk that was in transit would be confiscated.

The question was debated at considerable length. There was peace in Europe between the Courts of England and Portugal, represented by Spain, although in Eastern waters the two powers had always fought one another. The Directors of the Company, who would have to bear the brunt if King James should think it advisable to make a scapegoat, would almost certainly disapprove of the whole business. On the other hand, the merchants were most unwilling to sacrifice the trade so painfully started, and they were Englishmen of the period, ready to take great risks.

In the end they agreed to co-operate, and the following terms were quickly arranged with Imam Kuli Khan:—

(a) An equal division of spoils; (b) an equal division of customs dues when Hormuz was taken; the English to be free of all duties in perpetuity; (c) the Christian prisoners to be handed over to the English and the Moslems to the Persians; and (d) the Persians to pay half the expenses of the fleet for supplies. These preliminaries having been arranged, the seamen had to be won over. At first they refused, "alleging it was no merchandizing business, nor were they hired for any such exploit." However, by a mixture of threats and promises this difficulty was overcome, and in January 1622 the squadron put to sea.

The captains first made for Hormuz, hoping that the Portuguese fleet would accept the challenge, but when it was evident that the enemy had no intention of taking it up they sailed for Kishm, some fifteen miles away. There they found Ruy Freire, who had previously fought them, in command.
After futile negotiations the fort was bombarded, but with little effect. A battery of five guns was then set up on land. The artillery practice was remarkable, a gun on the wall of the fort being dismounted at the first shot; a breach was effected and the Portuguese surrendered. The casualties were trifling, but among the killed was William Baffin, of Arctic fame, who was serving as master of the *London*. To quote from *Purchas, his Pilgrimes*: "Master Baffin went on shoare with his Geometricall Instruments, for the taking the height and distance of the castle wall; but as he was about the same, he received a small shot from the Castle into his belly, wherewith he gave three leapes, by report, and died immediately." ¹

After this success, which must have raised the spirits of the allies, the expedition anchored off Hormuz. The Persians immediately landed a large force which took possession of the town, and it was agreed that they should attack from the land side. From the sea and from a land battery the English bombarded simultaneously the castle and the fleet, but the latter did not attempt to show fight. The largest Portuguese galleon, the *San Pedro*, was set on fire first, and then one by one the other ships were destroyed. The Persians, on their side, succeeded in blowing up part of the wall; but their assault, although delivered with much gallantry, was repulsed with loss. Nevertheless, the situation of the garrison was desperate, and as the result of negotiations the fort was surrendered to the English. Five years after this feat of arms Sir Thomas Herbert visited Hormuz and wrote of the fort: "And both within and without the Castle so regularly built and so well fortified with deep trenches, countercarp, and great Ordnance commanding both City and Haven, that none exceeded it through all the Orient." ²

Thus fell the famous castle of Hormuz, by means of which the Portuguese for more than a century had held at their mercy the trade between India and Europe by the Persian Gulf. Portugal was thrown back on Maskat, but from that base remained still so formidable that the English squadron was forced to keep with the Dutch for mutual protection;

¹ Vol. ii. p. 1792. In a minute of the Court of Committees of the E.I. Co., dated 1. x. 1619, there is the following interesting entry: "William Baffin to have a gratuity for his pains and good art in drawing out certain plots of the coast of Persia and the Red Sea, which are judged to have been very well and artificially performed."

² Some Travels, etc., p. 106; his account of Hormuz and of the siege is well worth reading.
in 1624 the allied fleets fought an indecisive action against
the Portuguese.

In 1625 the squadron from England was attacked by
Botelho, the new Portuguese commander. The Lion was
boarded, but the assailants were blown up, and the ship made
for Bandar Abbas, then more generally called Gombroon.¹
There Ruy Freire attacked and succeeded in burning the
English ship. The crew fell into the hands of the enemy
and were ruthlessly massacred, one man alone being spared.

Gradually, however, the power of the Portuguese waned,
an expedition which was fitted out in 1630 with a view to
the recapture of Hormuz being a failure. Maskat was
captured by the Imam of Oman in 1650, and no great while
after the capture of Hormuz only deserted forts and the
word portogale, the name by which a sweet orange is known
in Persia, were left to mark the splendid position gained by
the valour of D’Albuquerque and lost by the incapacity of
his successors. For the English the taking of Hormuz was
the most important event which had occurred since their
appearance in the East, and their power and prestige must
have risen to great heights when the news reached India.
In Persia, too, they must have acquired credit; for although
the commander of the Shah’s troops would doubtless minimize
the part played by our countrymen, whose losses were trifling
compared with his own, without doubt Abbas fully realized
that he could not have seized Hormuz without English help.
When, in A.D. 1635, the British made peace with Portugal in
the East—a peace which has never since been broken—the
Persians were much alarmed on account of Hormuz, a fact
which sufficiently shows how important was the part played
in those Eastern waters by our fighting ancestors.

The Dutch.—Two years after the grant of the British
East India Company’s charter, rival Dutch efforts were amal-
gamated into a single company, and in the course of the
next twenty years the newcomers had won their way to a
leading position, mainly at the expense of Portugal, whose
chief possessions they seized. In 1623, Hubert Visnich²
obtained the indispensable farman at Isfahan from Shah

¹ This ill-sounding word is a corruption of the Turkish Gumruk or Custom-house, itself a
corruption of the Greek Koumpos, akin to the English word “commerce.”
² Vede De Ophoom der Westerkwartieren van de Oost-Indische Compagnie, by Dr
H. Terpstra, 1918.
Abbas and a factory was subsequently established at Bandar Abbas, where the massive building still stands and serves as the residence of the Persian Governor. In 1652, and again in 1666, Dutch missions visited Isfahan, and Chardin writes that at this period the Dutch were masters of the Persian trade, the English occupying the second place. Their success was due to their inducing the Persian Government to allow them to buy silk in any part of Persia and to export it without paying customs dues. This right was acquired in 1645, and was finally claimed to be a monopoly for the export of silk from Persia. The civil war in England, which occurred at this period, naturally reacted unfavourably on the English position in Persia, where Shah Abbas and his nobles resented deeply the execution of Charles I. In the eighteenth century the situation changed. Holland, who had sacrificed everything to a monopoly of the spice trade, lost nearly all her colonial possessions in Asia to Great Britain, and her flag finally disappeared from the Persian Gulf.

*The French.*—France was the latest power to approach Persia from the south. She effected little until 1664, when Colbert, the great minister who strove so hard to expand the foreign relations of his country, despatched an embassy to Shah Abbas II. This mission had a friendly reception, although it was felt that Persia had been slighted because the envoys were not men of higher rank. Trading rights similar to those conceded to other nations and immunity from taxation and customs for three years were granted in a *farman*, and upon the strength of these privileges factories were established at Isfahan and at Bandar Abbas. In 1708 Louis XIV. concluded a treaty with Shah Sultan Husayn, and the French traded with Persia until the Afghan invasion, after which they withdrew. During the reign of Karim Khan the island of Kharak was ceded to the French; but it was never occupied, the French East India Company being at that period suppressed. Finally, during the short-lived period of French ascendancy at the Court of Fath Ali Shah in 1807–8 Kharak was again ceded, but with the expulsion of the French embassy from Persia in 1809 this cession was annulled.

*The Embassy of Sir Dodmore Cotton to Shah Abbas, A.D. 1627.*—Among the far-reaching results of the capture of
Hormuz by the two allies was the change it brought about in the commercial policy of Persia. As already mentioned, the Shah was mainly interested in the silk trade, and although Sir Robert Sherley had failed in his first mission to arrange for the export of the commodity via Hormuz, the Persian monarch by no means gave up the project. The expulsion of the Portuguese from the island emporium changed the whole situation. Two years later the appearance of Sir Robert Sherley on his second embassy, with a splendid retinue and in the enjoyment of a large pension, made a great impression in England. Although his exaggerated account of the wealth of Persia was discounted, a return mission was decided upon, and Sir Dodmore Cotton was sent, accompanied by Sherley and the scholarly Herbert. The mission landed at Gombroon, "whereupon the Cannons from the Castle and Cittadel vomited out their choler, ten times roaring out their wrathful clamours." The route followed by practically all the English travellers at this period lay through Lar and Shiraz, where the present Bushire-Isfahan main route was struck. The monarch was not at his capital, and the envoys travelled on northwards to Ashraff in the province of Mazanderan, where they were received in audience.

After passing through various apartments in which gold plate was lavishly exhibited, the ambassadors were received by Shah Abbas. Sir Dodmore Cotton stated that he had made a very great journey to congratulate the monarch on his success against their common enemy the Turks; also to promote trade and to make a perpetual league of friendship between England and Persia, and finally to see Sir Robert Sherley vindicate himself from the imputations of Nakd Ali Beg. The Shah, like a true son of Iran, replied that the Turks were a mean people and of no consequence, as was proved by his many victories over them. Nevertheless, he wished for unity among the Christian princes, as the Turkish conquests were due to their discord. As for trade, he was ready to deliver ten thousand bales every January at Gombroon, and would accept English cloth of equal value in exchange, so as to avoid being forced to export his silk through Turkey. Towards Sir Robert Sherley he expressed most friendly sentiments. Finally he drank the King of England's health in a bowl of wine, and, noting that the ambassador uncovered his head, he raised his turban.
This reception was most satisfactory; but owing to intrigues against Sir Robert Sherley, with whose private interests the mission, owing to the instructions of King James, was far too deeply involved, matters here terminated. The ambassador was practically ignored by the great nobles, no other audience was granted, and after reaching Kazvin both Sir Dodmore Cotton and Sir Robert Sherley died.

Thus ended in gloom the second 1 embassy to Persia, the ambassador being buried in "a Dormitory amongst the Armenian graves; who also with their priests and people very civilly assisted the ceremony." Though a partial failure, the mission undoubtedly increased English interest in Persia. As an indication of this it is worth noting that Charles I., a staunch patron of learning, requested the East India Company to procure him some Persian manuscripts.

The Fortunes of the British.—By way of conclusion to this chapter, a word may be said of British fortunes under the later Safavi monarchs. Safi I. stipulated for an annual gift of £1,500 and for the purchase annually of £60,000 worth of his silk. This was to be paid for in goods to the extent of two-thirds, and in money to the extent of one-third. Almost from the start the Persians had failed to pay over to the British the stipulated share of the customs receipts of Bandar Abbas. There were constant complaints on this subject, and as the years passed the Persians, who thought the English made a very good thing out of the privileges they enjoyed, declined to reconsider the question. The amalgamation of the old and new East India Companies in 1708 put an end to internal friction, and the position of the factory remained strong and prosperous until the Afghan invasion.

1 The first embassy to Arghun in 1291 is referred to in Chapter LVII.
CHAPTER LXV

ARCHITECTURE AND ART UNDER THE SAFAVI DYNASTY

Isfahan is half the world.—Persian Proverb.

Isfahan, the Safavi Capital.—The masterpieces of Persian architecture under the Safavi monarchs are mostly to be found at Isfahan, and I therefore propose to describe the Safavi capital and some of its chief buildings which I have examined. ¹ To do so is to describe the golden prime of mediaeval Persian architecture, which still serves as a model to-day, except in the cities of the north where Russian-designed houses have been adopted by the imitative sons of Iran.

The Royal Square.—Isfahan is situated on the left or north bank of the Zenda Rud, on a level fertile piece of land, and at its zenith may have had a population of three hundred thousand inhabitants. Its heart was the Maydan-i-Shah, or "Royal Square," enclosed by long ranges of double-storied buildings; Herbert declared it to be "as spacious, as pleasant and aromatic a Market as any in the Universe." The dimensions of the Maydan are 560 yards by 174 yards, and, as it was the royal polo ground, these measurements are of some interest.² The game of polo reached the height of its popularity at the period we have now reached, and matches are described by both Sherley and Chardin.³ The marble goal-posts are still standing, and many years ago, the morning after my arrival at Isfahan, I rose very early and knocked a polo ball between the posts, the first time such a thing had been done for perhaps two centuries. By this act I paid

¹ In addition to my own notes, I have consulted Curzon’s Persia and Coste’s Monuments modernes de la Perse; also the article on Persian Art in Encyclop. of Religion and Ethics, ed. by James Hastings.
² The present measurements of a polo ground are 300 by 200 yards.
³ Vide Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 342, where I have collected the accounts of these travellers.
homage to a glorious past and expressed my gratitude to Persia for a game which is unequalled.

The Royal Mosque.—Overlooking the imposing parallelogram is the Masjid-i-Shah, or "Royal Mosque," one of the finest existing examples of Persian architecture. To quote the masterly description by Lord Curzon: "A lofty archway framed in a recess, embellished with interior honeycomb groining in enamelled faience, surrounded by tile inscriptions from the Koran, and flanked by two minarets with spiral bands of similar ornamentation, leads from the Maydan through a porch, containing a great vase or font of porphyry, into the inner court. Here the peculiar construction of the Mosque, already visible from the exterior, is fully apparent. The axis of the Maydan being almost due north and south, the architect required to incline the axis of the mosque considerably to the south-west, in order that the mihrab or prayer-niche might be turned in the direction of Mecca. This purpose was effected by architectural means that are at once grandiose and simple. The inner court, marble-paved and containing a great tank for ablutions in the centre, is surrounded by a two-storied arcade, undecorated save by bands of Kufic inscriptions in tile-work, white letters upon a blue ground. The arches are kept for the accommodation of priests and attendants. On either side rises a lofty tile-faced aywan, a mighty arch in which opens access to a space covered by a low dome. Opposite the entrance a third aywan, flanked by minarets, conducts into the mosque proper, which is surmounted by the principal cupola, whose exterior, covered with exquisite tiles containing patterns in dark blue and green arabesque on an azure ground, is one of the principal landmarks in the city. On either side of the shrine are further courts, with basins and porticoes, to which the public are admitted on Fridays. The decorative treatment of this beautiful building, though falling, like all other works of art in Persia, into decay, yet remains a superb sample of the style of the Safavi kings."

The Ala Kapi.—On the east side of the Maydan is situated the Ala Kapi, or "Lofty Gate," by which the royal palace was entered. It may more correctly be described as a building in the form of a great arch on which was constructed a talar, or open throne-room, supported by the wooden columns which form a distinctive feature of Safavi architecture. Enthroned
in state, the Shah gave audience at the No Ruz, or New Year, in this hall, which is declared by Chardin to be "le plus beau Sallon de cette sorte que j'aye vu au monde." His Majesty also witnessed polo matches, horse races and wild beast combats from this same building, in which he was visible to thousands of his subjects who filled the great square.

_The Chehel Sutun._—The Ala Kapi leads into the vast gardens, in which were many palaces. The most important building is the Chehel Sutun, or "Forty Columns." This splendid throne-room, with its roof constructed of the boles of great plane trees and supported by twenty columns made of the same tree, was formerly wainscoted with white marble, surmounted by mirror-work set in facets. Behind this verandah is the actual throne-room, from which opened a dais supporting the throne. Small rooms on either side were destined for the ministers and for service, and behind, extending the entire length of the building, is a long gallery with three immense oil-paintings on each side, three of which are reproduced in this work. To quote again from Lord Curzon, "they transport us straight to the court of the lordly Abbas and his predecessors or successors on the throne. We see the king engaged in combat, or at some royal festivity, enjoying the pleasures of the bowl. The big moustaches and smooth chins, and abundant turbans, represent a fashion of coiffure that has long expired. The arms and accoutrements of the warriors, the instruments of the musicians, the very gestures of the dancing-girls, open to us the locked doors of the past; and we seem to share in the feasts and fights, in the pomp and dalliance of the Safavi kings." We learn from the pages of Krusinski that the original building described by Chardin was destroyed by fire, and that we owe the present edifice to Shah Sultan Husayn.

_The Chahar Bagh._—Such were the chief buildings in the centre of the city, and we now pass to the Chahar Bagh, or "Four Gardens," with a splendid double avenue of oriental planes one hundred and fifty feet wide, which is entered by a fine gateway. Water ran down the centre in stone channels and collected in basins at the cross roads, and on each side

---

1 The number "Forty" is not intended to be taken literally, any more than in the case of Persepolis, which also has for one of its names the "Forty Columns."

2 Trans. by Du Cerceau, i. p. 126.

3 The avenue was built on the site of four vineyards. Persians are very fond of building four gardens and dividing each garden into four divisions.
tiled gateways led to the gardens of the great nobles of the Court. To quote the ornate description by Dr. Fryer, "all the pride of Spahaun was met in the Chaurbaug, and the Grandees were Airing themselves, prancing about with their numerous Trains, striving to outvie each other in Pomp and Generosity. . . . In the Garden itself, variety of Green Trees flourishing, sweet Odors smelling, clear Fountains and Rivers flowing, charm all the senses; nor is there less surprizal at the ravishing Sight of the delicate Summer houses by each Pond's side, built with all the advantages for Recreation and Delight."

The Madrasa-i-Shah Husayn.—Situated off the Chahar Bagh is a magnificent madrasa, or college, which impressed me deeply. Beyond a recessed archway, with decoration of the effective honeycomb pattern, a covered vestibule leads into the main court. Here a combination of shade, water and flowers enhances the beauty of the building, the chief features of which are the exquisite tiles, rising above a wainscoting of marble, and the lovely stencilling. As the illustration proves, Coste has done full justice to this stately pile.

The Bridge of Allah Verdi Khan.—The noble avenue of the Chahar Bagh leads to the bridge of Allah Verdi Khan, which even in decay must rank among the great bridges of the world. Approached by a paved causeway, it is entered through the usual gateway. The extraordinary feature of the bridge, which is 388 yards in length, with a paved roadway 30 feet wide, is that there are three distinct thoroughfares, at three separate levels. One of these is the roadway, on each side of which runs a covered arcade, opening by arches into the main road on one side and on to the river on the other. Here and there this arcade, or gallery, leads past chambers that were originally adorned with paintings. Above this main road, on the summit of the bridge, is a footway reached by steps, and below it a lower storey, to which similar steps descend. Here, just above the river-bed, a passage runs the entire length of the bridge. The only adverse criticism to be made is one which will be appreciated from the illustration, namely, that the bridge at most seasons of the year is a structure too fine for the exiguous stream of the Zenda Rud.

Tiles.—The practice of covering buildings with tiles

1 East India and Persia, ed. for the Hakluyt Society by Crooke. Fryer's account of Isfahan is well worth reading.
reached its zenith under the Safavi rulers, and this therefore is a convenient place for a few remarks on the famous products of the Persian kilns. Ceramics certainly played an important part in Achaemenian architecture, and the Frieze of the Archers at Susa, mentioned in Chapter XV., is a superb example of the tiles of the period. To come to the Moslem era, Persian lustre pottery had its prototype in still earlier ware, specimens of which, mainly found at Fostat or Old Cairo, are attributed to the ninth and tenth centuries; and archaic ware of similar date has been dug up at Sultanabad; the oldest dated piece found in Persia is an eight-pointed star of A.H. 515 (1137). The golden age of these products was in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, the finest specimens bearing every indication that they were made for monarchs and their courtiers. So splendid is this pottery that it is no exaggeration to claim that lustre ware represents decoration in its highest interpretation. What has added to the interest of the lustre ware is the fact that it represents a lost art. It is known indeed that the soft paste was covered with an opaque glaze, generally white or blue, and then baked. As a second process alloys of gold, silver, copper and other metals were painted, and the tile was baked again. These alloys produced colours ranging from gold to ruby red and from turquoise blue to brown; and no experiments have succeeded in successfully imitating the superb beauty of the Persian ceramics. The finest specimen of lustre in my possession consists of a tile measuring 24 inches by 18½ inches. The ground is brown and on it are sapphire blue letters an inch wide, standing up three-eighths of an inch in relief and also turquoise blue conventional leaves. Unfortunately, although many large fragments of these tiles were brought to me, it was impossible to fit them together into a complete specimen, but even in its imperfect condition its beauty is great.

The tiles without lustre which were manufactured under the Timurids, and again under the Safavis, are also very beautiful. Every colour is used, but the scroll-work is so artistic and the mixture of the colours so perfect that an effect is produced of deep richness, which is enhanced when the tiles are seen on some stately quadrangle or portico, with the cloudless blue of the Persian sky as a background. Here again it is very difficult to trace the artistic influences at work
in the production of the tiles; but there is no doubt that Chinese art, which was introduced by the Mongols, powerfully affected the impressionable Persian in tile-making as it did also in painting, although Persian art never lost its marked individuality in colour, shape and design.

Pots.—Not only in tiles, but also in pots, Persian artists achieved great beauty of shape, design and colour. During the last few years a good deal of early pottery has been excavated in the neighbourhood of Teheran, the most striking specimens being painted in the miniature style, with small figures seated on thrones and horsemen. They are mostly depicted on a white ground with gilding. There are also specimens with painting in lustre on a white or a parti-coloured ground; or again, there is decoration in black under a transparent blue ground. One of the lustred bowls bears the date A.H. 619 (1222). Coming down the centuries, even comparatively modern Persian basins and plates of a creamy white paste with coloured floral decoration are distinctly attractive and are beginning to be noticed by the collector.

 Carpets.—The carpets of Persia form an almost inexhaustible theme, and although numerous works have appeared on this subject a really good book still remains to be written. The antiquity of the carpet is great, references to it dating back to the third millennium B.C., and Sir George Birdwood is of opinion that there has been "no material modification in the artistic and technical character" since the earliest description of these fabrics. It must, however, be noted that the imposition of Islam on Persia affected their designs, which fall into two classes: (a) Those expressing the Shia spirit in animals, trees, blossoms, flowers, with free graceful scrolls, conventional arabesques and cartouches enclosing inscriptions; and (b) those in which the design, reflecting the Sunni austerity, is limited to geometrical and angular forms, such as the Turkoman carpets with their bazuband or "armlet" patterns.

To this spirit we mainly owe the wonderful development in Persia of floral and geometrical designs and of arabesques on which the patterns of our curtains, of our wall-papers, of our carpets, and of many other articles are based in England.

2 A bazuband is generally an octagonal metal box containing a portion of the Koran; it is worn to afford protection against evil spirits.
to-day. To quote Birdwood, "the new and severely conventionalized floral type, applied either as a diaper, or in the 'Tree of Life' and 'Knop and Flower' patterns, gradually prevailed; and as modified in the freer drawing and more natural delineations of the Italianesque Abbasi carpets, it characterizes the predominant denominations of Persian carpets."

Under the Sasanian dynasty Persian fabrics known as Susancherd were highly prized in the West, and when Ctesiphon was captured by Sad, among the loot was a silk carpet, 60 ells long. The design represented was a *firdaus*, or paradise, with running streams marked out by crystals, the ground in gold thread, the leaves worked in silk and the blossoms represented by precious stones.

The tradition of this marvellous carpet, which was probably not the only specimen made, was never lost, and an example believed to have been woven for Shah Abbas has been preserved. Of this I am able to give an illustration, which deserves careful examination. The carpet, woven in wool, is divided into the four customary plots by the main stream of water, crossed by a smaller stream. To quote from Mrs. Villiers Stuart, "the characteristic canals, the special feature of the type, are unequal in length, but their form is only a modification of the older cosmic cross. The central pavilion is very small, little more than a fountain basin, in which four birds swim, a curious mixture of swan and royal peacock. The carpet shows the old symbolic avenues of cypress and flowering fruit-trees with their mystic birds beak to beak and tulip border close to the stream. Four large plane trees are planted at the angles of the pavilions forming an outer avenue, and trees fill the squares at the corners of the central tank."

It is impossible to write, however briefly, about Persian carpets without a reference to the exquisite carpet from the Shrine of Ardebil, which, as the inscription proves, was woven in the reign of Shah Tahmasp, in A.H. 946 (1540). Thanks to English patriotism it was bought for the nation and is now one of the most prized treasures of the Victoria and Albert Museum. The ground is of dark blue, the medallions are yellow and the cartouches and borders are red. From the great central group of medallions lamps depend, and the tracery is so rich with its arabesques, floral stems and

---

1 Gardens of the Great Mughals, p. 149, by C. M. Villiers Stuart, a most charming work.
cloud bands, the last-named from Chinese art, that the carpet resembles a beautiful picture. It bears not only the earliest date recorded upon any carpet, but its size, measuring 34 feet 6 inches by 17 feet 6 inches, is unusual; and, without doubt, it may be considered to represent Persian carpet-weaving at its highest level of achievement.

One of the most convincing proofs of Persian genius in carpets is the remarkable variety of design, every nomad tribe weaving a separate pattern; while there is an enormous difference between the bright joyousness of the carpets of Kerman, displaying Shia tendencies, and the sombre, but infinitely rich, colours of the austere Sunni Turkoman fabrics. Both are treasures to the collector, and so also are good specimens of the rugs all over Persia, from Kurdistan on the west to Khorasan on the east. It is perhaps worth mentioning that the irregularities in colour or design are introduced for the purpose of averting the evil eye; that beasts and flowers alike have their emblematical or symbolical meaning; that the "Tree of Life" has survived from Sumerian to modern times; and that what is believed to be a Chinese seal is now incorporated in the border of many Turkoman carpets.

In addition to carpets there is the namad, or felt, manufactured by rolling wool into a solid mass. The finest specimens made at Kerman are of a fawn colour, and, weighing less than a carpet, are on that account valued by travellers; the coarser quality is used as a heavy horse covering in winter. Neither of these varieties is exported.

The shawls of Persia with their embroideries are highly prized in the East. The European prefers rich embroidery, the needlework praised by Marco Polo, who wrote: "The ladies of the country and their daughters also produce exquisite needlework in the embroidery of silk stuffs in different colours, with figures of beasts, trees, and flowers and a variety of other patterns. They work hangings for the use of noblemen so deftly that they are marvels to see, as well as cushions, pillows, quilts, and all sorts of things."

The embossed brocades are very beautiful and so are the ancient velvets of Kashan; and it is sad to know that Persian ladies despise their own exquisite fabrics and prefer the inferior products of European looms. It is in patterns as well as in quality that the Persians were supreme, and there is very little in the old art, from the stamped calico of Isfahan
to the embroidered saddle-cloths of Resht, which is not appreciated by the European and American collector. Nor was the fame of Persian stuffs and colours unknown in mediaeval Europe, light blue material being termed "pers" in English, as in other languages of the day.

Painting.—By Moslem rule the human figure cannot be represented in art. Fortunately this was subject to exceptions, and descriptions are extant of pictures painted in the schools of Damascus, Baghdad and Cairo, in which such figures appear. Few of the works of these artists have survived, but the Austrian traveller Musil discovered in the Syrian desert figure paintings of the eighth century. The most important is a large picture in which the Byzantine Emperor, the Caliph and the Chosroes are portrayed; other figures of the ninth century have been found at Samarra. The frescoes discovered by Stein at Khotan may also be studied. Among the earliest dated miniature paintings—and in Persian art pictures on a small scale are the best—is a work of the Abbasid school from Baghdad, the date of which, A.D. 1222, is beyond dispute. It shows strongly the influence of Byzantine art.

The sack of Baghdad by Hulagu in A.D. 1258 is believed to have dealt the death-blow to Arab art based on old tradition, and at the same time to have given birth to true Persian art. The home of the new school was Turkestan, where the scholars, taught by Chinese painters, attained a high level. In the miniatures, which served as models all over Persia, the perspective is Chinese. The figures too are equally Chinese, clad in Mongol clothes, covered with gold embroidery; and the faces are round, smiling and childish.

At the end of the fourteenth century, by which time Tamerlane had conquered Persia, the art had reached its zenith. There is a perfect equilibrium between drawing and colour, but the appeal is made by the artist to the eye, and to the eye alone; in other words, the soul is wanting. The general impression is that of a scene portrayed with a mass of colours, skilfully blended to produce an effect of great richness. The border is frequently composed of verses most artistically woven into the picture; and here it may

---

1 I have consulted Dr. Martin's *Miniature Paintings and Painters of Persia, etc.*; also Gayet's *L'Art persan*. I have to thank Dr. Dietz, of the University of Vienna, for the note on Musil's discovery.
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be noted that the Persian painter is also frequently a calligraphist, and that no other people are so skilled in using the alphabet for decorative purposes. The failure would appear to be that the figures, which are stiff at first, but gradually become supple and effeminate, never tell their own story from the expression, but resemble waxen figures set in exquisite surroundings. Nature is not studied for its own beauty, but in order to explain the subject of the picture, and to act as a sympathetic and illustrative background.

The themes of the Persian artist are few in number and are generally confined to well-known events such as the meetings between Khusru and Shirin, and Majnun and Layla. During the Safavi period European figures were introduced. Religious subjects were rarely attempted. The Persian painter groups badly, but draws well. His figures are less important than the accessories, such as clothes, jewelry and weapons, which are reproduced with infinite pains. The colouring is excellent and the results are distinctly pleasing, although apt to strike the European as unfamiliar and at times as bordering on the grotesque.¹

Metal Work.—The genius of Persia, so strongly expressed in ceramics and textiles, was equally visible in metal work of every kind. In shape, and above all in decoration, the Persian metal worker was unsurpassed, and his armour and swords enjoyed a wide reputation. To this Marco Polo testifies: "They are very skilful in making harness of war; their saddles, bridles, spurs, swords, bows, quivers, and arms of every kind are very well made." Vessels of all sorts abound, from the drinking-cup of the poor man to the great cauldron of the rich, and in them all there is a beauty of form and design which is most attractive. Of modern art, the gold and silver filigree work of Zenjan and the Khatamkari, or mosaics, of Shiraz are worthy of attention; nor can the carved spoons of Abadeh be omitted from any list, however brief.

The examples of Persian art which can be seen in the Victoria and Albert Museum and elsewhere prove that, although Persia adopted much, she invariably improved on her models; and as the years pass the work of her old artists and craftsmen is becoming more and more appreciated in the great centres of the West.

¹ I have consulted the review of Martin's work in The Times; also Les Origines de la Peinture en Perse, by E. Blocket in Gazette des Beaux-arts, 3ième période, xxxiv. 1905.
CHAPTER LXVI

THE DECLINE OF THE SAFAVI DYNASTY

Un Aide des Cérémonies conduisit l'Ambassadeur. Il le fit descendre de cheval à cent pas environ du grand Portail et le mena fort vite au Salloôn où étoit le Roi. Le Capitaine de la porte le prit là, et le conduisit au baiser des pieds du Roi. Ce Salut se fait en cette sorte. On mène l'Ambassadeur à quatre pas du Roi vis-à-vis de lui, où on l'arrête, et on le met à genoux, et on lui fait faire trois fois un prostrernement du corps et de la tête en terre, si bas, que le front y touche. L'Ambassadeur se relève après, et délivre la lettre qu'il a pour le Roi au Capitaine de la porte qui la met dans les mains du Roi, et le Roi la met à côté droit sans la regarder. On mène ensuite l'Ambassadeur à la place qui lui est destinée.—CHARDIN, iii. 221.

The Cause of the Decline.—Few dynasties have lived so long and so successfully upon their reputation as did that of the Safavis after the death of Shah Abbas. To some extent their great monarch must be held responsible for the degeneracy of his successors, since by his orders they were brought up in the anderun among eunuchs and women, and not trained to arms, as had been invariably the custom until it was altered by the imperious old man. It is obvious that by this change he hoped to avoid the risk of being killed by a capable member of his own family, and he either failed to realize, or was indifferent to, the inevitable results of the new system.

The policy succeeded only too well, and throughout a second century, during which the dynasty continued to rule Persia, there was no able monarch to sit on the throne of his ancestors. Yet, owing to the reverence felt for the sacred house, its rule was accepted by the people until the virility of the nation itself was corrupted. Then an awful penalty
had to be paid in blood and shame for neglect of all the precautions by which the existence of states is preserved.

Shah Safi, A.H. 1038-1052 (1629-1642).—Shah Abbas, when dying, ordered that Sam Mirza, son of the unfortunate Safi Mirza, should be proclaimed his successor. The new monarch took the title of Shah Safi, and his reign of thirteen years was one long chapter of executions. He murdered the princes of the blood royal, and even some of the princesses, and, not content with thus securing his power, deliberately put to death all his grandfather’s most trusted councillors and generals. Among his victims was Imam Kuli Khan, the conqueror of Hormuz. We learn from Tavernier and Olearium, who with Chardin constitute our chief authorities for the period, that the great noble was warned not to venture to court, but relying on his long years of faithful service he obeyed the summons and was put to death. His sons shared his fate, lest they should avenge his death when they grew up.

The Holstein Embassy, 1637.—The pioneer efforts of Jenkinson to trade with Persia across Russia ended in failure, as recorded in Chapter LXII. A fresh effort was made in the seventeenth century from a new quarter, but by the same route. The silk manufactures of Holstein were considerable and, the raw silk of Persia attracting the attention of its merchants, the Duke decided to despatch Brucman, a Hamburg merchant who had originated the scheme, on an embassy to the Shah.

The mission made disadvantageous arrangements with the Grand Duke of Muscovy for free transit, and upon arriving in Persia found that the freight and customs charges would eat up all the profits. Brucman, to avoid returning empty-handed, then tried to negotiate an alliance against Turkey. The failure and blunders which cost him his life are recorded in the work of Adam Olearium,¹ who was the secretary of the mission. The negative results were perhaps of some value, as it was proved once more that owing to bad and dangerous communications and the great distance the trade would not be profitable.

The Uzbegs.—At the very end of the sixteenth century there was a change in the dynasty of the Uzbegs. When the Russians absorbed the Khanate of Astrakhan, the dis-

¹ Relation de Voyage, Paris, 1639.
possessed chief took refuge at Bokhara, where he was warmly welcomed by Iskandar, the last monarch of the Shaybanid dynasty. Jani Khan, son of the refugee prince, married the daughter of Iskandar, and after the murder of the latter was offered the throne; he, however, declined it in favour of his son, who founded the Astrakhan dynasty, which lasted until the end of the eighteenth century. The relations of Bokhara with Persia were unchanged, invasions by the Uzbegs into Khorasan being undertaken with varying success. In A.H. 1017 (1608) a great sovereign appeared in the person of Imam Kuli, who seized the throne, and during his reign of thirty-eight years Bokhara recovered some part of her ancient wealth and prosperity. His rule was not aggressive and only one raid into Khorasan is mentioned, which was repulsed by the generals of Shah Safi. But greater success was met with at Kandahar, where the Persian Governor evacuated the city upon the approach of the Uzbegs and, marching off with the garrison to Delhi, entered the service of the Moghul Emperor.

The Capture of Hamadan by the Turks, A.H. 1039 (1630).—During the reign of Shah Safi another of the almost constant wars with Turkey broke out. At this period the throne of Othman was occupied by Murad IV., the last fighting Sultan, whose offensive policy was a serious menace to Persia. The first campaign was directed against Hamadan. The Turkish army marched to Mosul, where it was delayed by heavy rains. It then entered Kurdistan, defeated a Persian army, and in A.H. 1039 (1630) captured Hamadan.

The inhabitants of the ancient capital of Media were massacred and the city was sacked for six days, during which the buildings were destroyed and even the trees were cut down. From the scene of this barbarous excess the army marched across the Zagros against Baghdad. This city was strongly held by a determined garrison, and although the bombardment levelled the walls, the assault which followed was repulsed, thousands of Turks being buried in the ruins. After this failure the Turkish army retreated on Mosul. In the following year a fresh campaign was attempted, but the disgrace of the Grand Vizier and a series of mutinies that followed gave Persia a much-needed respite.

The Erivan Campaign, A.H. 1045 (1635).—During the first twelve years of his reign Murad had never gone farther
than Adrianople in Europe and Brusa in Asia; he now took
the field in person. His first campaign was directed against
Erivan, which capitulated on terms in A.H. 1045 (1635).
Tabriz, the next objective, was occupied without resistance.
In spite of this it was deliberately destroyed, the Blue Mosque
being saved only by the entreaties of the Mufti, who pointed
out that it had been built by a Sunni. This concluded the
season's operations, and the Sultan returned in triumph to
Constantinople. Shah Safi had not dared to face the Turkish
army, but upon its departure he besieged Erivan. The efforts
made by the Turkish authorities to come to the aid of the
garrison were futile, and after its surrender in the spring of
1636 the Shah returned to Isfahan.

The Capture of Baghdad, A.H. 1048 (1638).—Three years
later Murad marched on Baghdad, moving, as in the former
campaign, by way of Mosul. On the very day of his arrival
the siege of Baghdad was begun. The Sultan shared the
perils and hardships with his soldiers and under his personal
supervision extraordinary energy was shown. Although the
Grand Vizier was killed in leading an assault, the Turks were
not to be denied, and on the fortieth day they regained
possession of the city, fifteen years after its capture by the
Persians. Murad offered terms to the garrison, but as the
resistance was continued in isolated towers the Ottoman
soldiery massacred them all. During the siege Shah Safi
had appeared at Kasr-i-Shirin with 12,000 men, but this
force was too weak to effect anything of importance. Shortly
afterwards peace was made on the terms of the actual position,
Baghdad, which had been strongly garrisoned, being retained
by Turkey and Erivan by Persia.

Abbas II., 1052-1077 (1642-1667).—Shah Safi was suc-
cceeded by his son Abbas II., a boy of ten, and for some
years, under his Ministers, there was evidently a reaction to
a more austere tone, wine-bibbing being regarded as a bar to
office. But, as was to be expected, the young Shah when he
attained his majority indulged in the vices of the period, and
all European travellers without distinction were admitted to
share his orgies. Apart from this, the country was apparently
prosperous and happy, and Kandahar was recovered by an
army led by the young Shah in person. Architecture flourished
during his reign. To him we owe the stately quadrangle of
the Sahn-i-Kuhna, or "Old Court," at Meshed, the portico
of which is a particularly fine example of Safavi architecture combined with the potter’s art.\(^1\)

**The Uzbeg Refugees.—** In the time of Abbas II. an Uzbeg prince sought the protection of the Shah, and was treated with extraordinary generosity and honour. Later Nazir Mohamed, the Uzbeg monarch, threw himself on Persian hospitality, and met with similar disinterested kindness, an army being placed at his disposal to aid him in asserting his rights. There was, indeed, a certain chivalrous spirit in the Safavi monarchs, who never showed to greater advantage than in their treatment of refugees and foreign travellers.

**The First Russian Embassy to Persia, A.D. 1664. —** It is difficult to realize that Russia, whose frontiers are now conterminous with those of Persia from Ararat on the west to Kalat-i-Nadiri and Sarakhs on the east, had practically no relations with Iran until some two and a half centuries ago. The first recorded embassy was from the Emperor Alexis,\(^2\) usually termed the Grand Duke of Muscovy, and consisted of two envoys with 800 followers. With the habitual generous hospitality of the Safavis, the Muscovites were entertained in a splendid palace, but it soon transpired that they were really merchants who had been permitted to assume the rôle of ambassadors in order to evade the payment of the customs dues. Abbas was justly incensed at this duplicity, and the Muscovites were thereupon treated with contempt and dismissed without a formal reply. In revenge for this affront the Grand Duke instigated the Cossacks of Southern Russia to raid Mazanderan. At first they were successful and burned Farrahabad, the capital. They then entrenched themselves in the peninsula of Mián Kala, close to the present Russian naval station at Ashurada, but were driven out of their position. This raid was the first act of Russian aggression against Persia.\(^3\)

**Sulayman, A.H. 1077-1105 (1667-1694).—** Safi, the eldest son of Abbas, was twenty years of age at the time of his father’s death. Unwilling to accept a grown man as their sovereign, the Ministers pretended to believe that the young Prince, who had been kept immured in the anderun, had been blinded, and on this account proposed to enthrone his younger

---

2. He was the father of Peter the Great, and curiously enough in this very year he received an embassy from Charles II. of England.
3. Chardin, Coronation of King Sulaymân III., pp. 152-54.
brother. The intrigue, however, was defeated by the loyalty of a eunuch, and Safi ascended the throne under the title of Sulayman.

The decline of the dynasty proceeded placidly under the new monarch, who was a voluptuary and unwarlike. The seizure of Kishm by the Dutch did not rouse him to action, nor was he disturbed by the Uzbeg inroads into Khorasan. Bad health in his later years confined him to his andarun, where he fell entirely under the influence of eunuchs and women; but even so the country appeared to remain tranquil and the dynasty enjoyed its Indian summer. Sulayman maintained the traditional splendour of the Safavi Court. Chardin gives a vivid description of the scene in the Maydan-i-Shah on a day of festival: "Le 16. Sur les huit heures du matin on vit la Place Royale arrosée de bout en bout, & ornée comme je vais le dire. A côté de la grand entrée du Palais Royal, à vingt pas de distance, il y avait douze Chevaux des plus beaux de l'écuari du Roi, six de chaque côté, couverts de harnois les plus superbes & magnifiques qu'on puisse voir au monde. Quatre harnois étoient d’Emeraudes, deux de Rubis, deux de pierres de couleur mêlées avec des Diamans, deux autres étoient d’Or émaillé & deux autres de fin Or lisse. . . . A trente pas des Chevaux, il y avait des Bêtes farouches dressées à combattre contre des jeunes Taureaux. Deux Lions, un Tygre, et un Léopard, attachez, & chacun étendu sur un grand Tapis d’écarlate, la tête tournée vers le Palais."

During his long reign Sulayman received many embassies, and among the most brilliant was one from France, whose ambassador termed himself "General and Ambassador from the Great King of Europe." He also continued the tradition of the family at Meshed, and repaired the golden dome which had been damaged by an earthquake, mentioned by Chardin. In commemoration of this pious deed an inscription may be read, dated A.H. 1086 (1676), in which he refers to himself as "The Reviver of the ancient ruins of his ancestors."

The Musalla, or "Place of Prayer," outside Meshed was also constructed in this reign. The main arch is decorated with a long quotation from the Koran in white letters on a blue background, and on each side near the ground are ten lines of an inscription with yellow letters on a blue ground. The building is striking even in its decay.

1 Vol. iii. p. 219.  
2 Historical Notes, etc., p. 1137.  
3 Ibid. p. 1153.
The Accession of Shah Sultan Husayn, A.H. 1105 (1694).—It is stated that when Sulayman lay on his deathbed he said to his eunuch advisers, "If you wish for ease, elevate Husayn Mirza; if you desire the glory of Persia, Abbas Mirza." Needless to say, the former son was chosen, and upon his accession he proved a mixture of meekness and piety, qualities as much out of place as in the case of Edward the Confessor, his English prototype. He was also noted for his uxoriousness. The piety of Husayn, translated into action, placed mullas and eunuchs in the posts that should have been held by the great nobles, and the whole nation was thereby dangerously weakened. The right of sanctuary was extended to all colleges, whose occupants thus became entitled to protect murderers, a most dangerous privilege; and the monarch himself refused to order the death penalty. Peace was enjoyed; but, sunk in this, the nation did not realize that it was only the lull before the storm, and when the storm broke their leaders were not capable of coping with it.

The Embassies of Peter the Great, A.D. 1708 and 1715.—In A.H. 1120 (1708) Peter the Great despatched an embassy to the Court at Isfahan, headed by an Armenian named Israel Orii. This adventurer was accompanied by a train of 700 followers, many of whom were merchants who took advantage of the opportunity to escape customs dues. The size of the embassy and the aggressive character of the Tsar aroused much alarm at Isfahan, and a rumour was circulated that it was intended to seize Georgia and Armenia. The embassy, however, was received with all honour in spite of its semi-commercial character, Shah Husayn being unable to treat the envoys of Peter as his ancestor had treated those of Alexis. Seven years later another embassy reached Persia, under the talented Artemii Volinski, and, as will appear in a future chapter, Peter the Great was evidently paving the way for action of a distinctly aggressive character.

The Failure in the Persian Gulf.—In the Persian Gulf the position of Persia was unsatisfactory and weak. Sultan bin Sayf II., according to the Oman history, "made war on the enemy by sea and land and encountered the Persians in many places. . . . He also attacked and took Bahrein." The Persians were helpless without a fleet, and appealed to the Portuguese, who agreed to render assistance. The Portu-

1 The Imam of Oman, p. 93. Bahrein is the island in this case, and not the province.
guese, however, were in a very different position from that which they occupied while Maskat was in their possession, and on attempting to sail up the Gulf they were attacked and defeated by the fleet of the Imam. Consequently the Persian general, Lutf Ali Khan, a brother-in-law of Fatteh Ali Khan the Vizier, was obliged to adopt a purely defensive attitude, and to garrison Bandar Abbas and other ports against the raids from Oman, which became more and more serious as time went by.

**Persian Dress adopted by Charles II. of England.**—To show how distant Persia influenced England at this period may be read in the pages of John Evelyn, and is well worth recording in this history. The celebrated Diarist, who had met and much admired “a Persian walking about in a rich vest of cloth of tissue, and severall other ornaments,” makes the following entry in his Diary:

**Oct. 18th, 1666.**—To Court. It being ye first time his Ma'y put himself solemnly into the Eastern fashion of vest, changeing doublet, stiff collar, bands and cloake, into a comely dress, after ye Persian mode, with girdle or straps, and shoe strings and garters into bouchkes, of which some were set with precious stones, resolving never to alter it, and to leave the French mode, which had hitherto obtain'd to our greate expence and reprooch. Upon which divers courtiers and gentlemen gave his Ma'y gold by way of wager that he would not persist in this resolution. I had sometime before presented an invective against that unconstancy, and our so much affecting the French fashion, to his Majesty, in which I tooke occasion to describe the comelinesse and usefulness of the Persian clothing, in ye very same manner his Ma'y now clad himself. This pamphlet I intitl'd "Tyrannus, or the Mode," and gave it to the King to reade. I do not impute to this discourse the change which soone happen'd, but it was an identity that I could not but take notice of.

Louis XIV. was furious at what he probably regarded as an act of impertinence, and, on the authority of Pepys, we learn that he threw the new fashion into contempt by dressing his lacqueys in vests, and ordering his courtiers to follow suit. This was too much for Charles, who dropped the new Court dress like the proverbial hot brick.

---

1 According to Krusinski, the Portuguese refused to transport the troops, owing to the non-payment of the sum of money agreed upon.
CHAPTER LXVII

THE GHILZAIS OF KANDAHAR

As a race the Ghilji mix little with their neighbours, and indeed differ in many respects, both as to internal government and domestic customs, from the other races of Afghanistan. . . . The pastoral clans are notoriously predatory in their habits.—
Bellew, The Races of Afghanistan.

A Sketch of Afghanistan.—By way of preface to this chapter, I propose to give a brief description of the country which, since the middle of the eighteenth century, has been known as the kingdom of Afghanistan.¹ Merk aptly points out that geographically Afghanistan is the Switzerland of Asia. In both countries there are great central masses from which secondary ranges radiate far and wide, and the Kuh-i-Baba to the north of Kabul may be compared with the St. Gothard. Both countries lie at the head of peninsulas stretching south, and both are isolated from the central continents to their north by high ranges extending far to the east and west. As geographers would point out, the physical similarity would make for political similarity.

Its Inhabitants.—Afghanistan, owing to its physical characteristics, has been the haven of refuge of aboriginal clans driven off the fertile plains. Moreover, being situated at the north-west gates of India, it has heard the tramp of armies from the invasion by Alexander the Great down through the centuries, until the doubling of the Cape of Good Hope opened a way for Western nations to invade India by its sea gates.

The dominant population of this interesting land is

¹ The best general account of Afghanistan is the article in the Encyclopaedia of Islam by M. Longworth Dames, who has kindly read this chapter. I have also referred to the contemporary History of the late Revolution in Persia, by Father Krusinski, which is of considerable value.
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termed Pathan, or “Speakers of Pashtu,” towards the borders of India, and in the west Afghan, or Aoghan, a word the derivation of which is obscure. Longworth Dames points out that Pathan is the real name, and that the term Afghan, first applied by foreigners, appears to be of literary origin; it has now been adopted as a polite designation by the upper classes.

The two great tribes are the Durransis, the present ruling tribe, and the Ghilzais, or more correctly Ghalzais (termed Ghilji by Bellew), both of which are referred to below. These tribes may be roughly described as inhabiting eastern and southern Afghanistan respectively. To the north of the Hindu Kush the population is mainly Uzbek; the heart of the country is inhabited by Mongol Hazaras, Taimani and Chahar Aimak, and the Herat province by Aryan Tajiks, while east of Kabul, in Wakhan, Roshan, and above all Kafiristan, there is an ethnological collection of peoples of the greatest interest, consisting of ancient Aryan tribes and broken clans which have taken refuge in these inaccessible mountain valleys. The population, of perhaps five millions altogether, may be divided into two equal classes, of Afghan and non-Afghan elements. The Afghans themselves favour the theory that they are descended from scions of the royal house of Judah who were exiled to these distant mountains, but this is not believed by any serious student of the subject, and it is safer to accept the view that the foreign elements were numerous, and that the Afghans are racially of Aryan origin and link India to the east with Persia to the west. The Afghans and Uzbeks are Sunnis, whereas the Persian element and the Hazaras are Shias. Moreover, Pashtu being rather a dialect than a language, the written language and literature are Persian, which is spoken by all Afghans of consideration.

The Province of Kandahar.—Our attention is now particularly turned towards the province of Kandahar. Humayun, by the aid of a Persian army, took Kandahar in A.H. 952 (1545), and in recognition of the services rendered to him by Tahmasp, ceded it to his benefactor, but subsequently took back the gift. Shortly afterwards the province was annexed by Abbas the Great, but upon his death it was seized

---

1 Pashtu or Pakhtu is the name of the language. The people are called Pashtün or Pakhtün in the singular. The plural of this, Pashtana or Pakhtana, has given rise to the form Pathan.
by the Uzbegs through the defection of its Persian governor, as mentioned in the previous chapter. The Uzbegs were driven out in A.H. 1021 (1634) by Shah Jahan, and in turn the province was recovered by Abbas II. in A.H. 1037 (1650). The Moghul emperors of India again and again besieged this veritable "bone of contention," Aurangzeb himself, on one occasion, taking the field in person. But the natural strength of the city defied all efforts, and consequently the province still formed part of the Persian empire in the time of Shah Husayn.

The Ghilzais.—The Ghilzai tribe are a mixed race.¹ To-day they number perhaps one hundred thousand families, and at the period under consideration were the most powerful tribe in the province of Kandahar. As the account given of the fortunes of the province proves, its overlords had been constantly changing, and the wild Ghilzais at this period were suspected, probably with good reason, of intriguing with the Court of Delhi.

The Appointment of Gurgin Khan.—It was consequently decided to appoint Vukhtang, better known as Gurgin, or Prince of Georgia, to govern this turbulent province, and he marched into its capital with a powerful army composed of twenty thousand Persians and a Georgian contingent. No resistance to this overwhelming force was attempted, the disloyal chiefs were cowed, and the yoke of Persia was riveted on the province more securely than before. The inhabitants were treated as conquered rebels, and the oppression to which they were exposed, together with the intriguing nature of the chiefs, led to the despatch of secret missions to Isfahan with complaints against the harshness of the Governor.

Mir Vais.—Gurgin Khan, on his side, was fully aware of the plot, and determined to strike at its head in the person of Mir Vais (or Wais, as Afghans would pronounce it), a leading chief of the Ghilzais and hereditary Kalantar, or Mayor, of Kandahar. Accordingly he was seized and sent a prisoner to the capital. At the same time Gurgin wrote that it was necessary for the peace of the province that this arch-intriguer should be kept away from Afghanistan. His unusual leniency was a main cause of the overthrow of Persia;

¹ The Ghilzais are generally believed to be identical with the Khalaj mentioned by Idriasi, but Longworth Dames considers this very doubtful (vide his article "Ghalzai" in Part XX. of Encyclopaedia of Islam).
for Mir Vais was able through his wealth and his capacity to influence the Court, and the captive became a favourite of the Shah.

In order to strengthen his position among his fellow-countrymen, he obtained permission to proceed to Mecca. There, while performing his pilgrimage, he procured in writing a decision from the leading doctors of religious law that it was not only permissible but meritorious to make war on and to destroy all Shias. Such documents would even to-day carry immense weight in Afghanistan, and two centuries ago their potency must have been very much greater.

Upon his return to the capital, Mir Vais was indirectly aided in his schemes by the embassy of Peter the Great, recorded in the previous chapter. He insinuated that it was the intention of that monarch to seize Armenia and Georgia, and that Gurgin Khan was a leading conspirator in the plot. The Court, thoroughly alarmed, dared not dismiss Gurgin Khan, but as a half measure restored Mir Vais to his former post and in A.H. 1120 (1708) sent him back to Kandahar.

The Murder of Gurgin Khan and the Massacre of the Persian Garrison, A.H. 1121 (1709).—Gurgin Khan, furious at the slight, resolved to take revenge on Mir Vais, and by this act at once to overawe the province and to demonstrate his contempt for the Court. Having heard that the Chief possessed a beautiful daughter, he suddenly demanded her from her father. The latter assembled the heads of the tribe, who, moved by indignation, swore death to the Christian tyrant by bread and salt, by their swords, and by the Koran. Mir Vais dissembled, and in order to lull his enemy into a sense of false security, sent him a handsome girl whom he passed off as his daughter. The Prince was entirely duped, and finding the Chief apparently submissive, relented and began to treat him with kindness. This gave the crafty Ghilzai the opportunity he desired. He invited Gurgin Khan to an entertainment in a garden some distance from Kandahar. There the guest and his attendants were set upon and murdered, and the Afghans came at dusk in their stead to the fort, Mir Vais wearing the clothes and riding the horse of his victim. Admitted without suspicion, they surprised the garrison, and, supported by a preconcerted attack of their fellow-countrymen, they cut off the Persians
almost to a man. A body of Georgian cavalry, six hundred strong, which happened to be absent from Kandahar, was attacked on its return three days later. Performing prodigies of valour, this band of heroes made good its retreat into Khorasan and confirmed the news of the disaster to the Persian arms, which had already thrown the country into a state of panic.¹

The Consolidation of Power by Mir Vais.—After his success Mir Vais showed energy and capacity in consolidating his power. He rallied various tribes to his aid by proclaiming independence of Persia, and even more by publishing the documents obtained at Mecca. The contemptible Court at Isfahan, instead of wiping out the disaster by force of arms, attempted to treat, but Mir Vais detained the envoy. “Be assured,” he told him, "that the hour of vengeance is at hand; and that the brave Afghans are the chosen instruments of God for the punishment of the heretical Persians.”

The councillors of Shah Husayn realized at last that there was no alternative to war. But at the outset no serious efforts were made, and the Governor of Khorasan, who was directed to subdue the rebels, was defeated again and again. These successes increased the prestige of Mir Vais and gave him time to strengthen his position.

His Two Victories over Persian Armies.—Goaded finally into more vigorous action, the Persian Government assembled a powerful army, the command of which was given to Khusru Khan, Governor of Georgia and nephew of Gurgin Khan. Advancing on Kandahar, he defeated Mir Vais and besieged his capital. The Afghans were ready to submit if a general pardon were proclaimed, but the Georgian general, thirsting for revenge, insisted upon an unconditional surrender. In desperation the garrison prepared to resist to the death, the Persian assaults were beaten off, and the besieging army, harassed by the foe, began to suffer from scarcity. Mir Vais was able to take the field again, and this time he was successful. The Shah’s army was defeated, the Georgian general killed, and of the twenty-five thousand Persians less than one thousand escaped. The date of this was A.H. 1123 (1711). A second army was raised under the command of Mohamed Rustam, but this force was also defeated, and Mir Vais by these two

¹ A somewhat different account of this disaster is given in vol. iv. of Histoire de la Georgie by M. Brosset.
victories became the undisputed ruler of the province of Kandahar. Apparently no attempt was made by Persia to collect a third army, and until his death, which occurred in A.H. 1127 (1715), the Ghilzai chief was busily engaged in organizing schemes of further aggrandizement.

*Mir Abdulla, A.H. 1128–1130 (1715–1717).—* Mir Vais left two sons, the eldest of whom, Mahmud, was eighteen years old, but his uncle Abdulla seized the reins of power. He very soon showed his intention of making peace with Persia and sent envoys to Isfahan charged with the task. His stipulations were (a) that all tribute should be remitted, (b) that no foreign troops should be sent to Kandahar, and (c) that the post of Governor should be made hereditary in his family. These negotiations outraged many of the Afghans, whose pride in their hard-won independence was intense, and, knowing that he had the popular feeling behind him, Mahmud with forty supporters assassinated Mir Abdulla. It is recorded that after the deed the *Nakkara Khana*, or music, was played, that the Chiefs then assembled in council, and after examining the instructions given by Mir Abdulla to the Afghan envoys, pronounced his fate to be just, and proclaimed Mahmud ruler of Kandahar.

*The Rise of the Abdalis of Herat.—* As may be supposed, the success of Mir Vais had fired other provinces inhabited by Sunni populations to revolt, and among them was neighbouring Herat, which under Asadulla, the Abdali chief, declared its independence and joined with the Uzbegs to plunder Khorasan. To meet this invasion, in A.H. 1132 (1719) a Persian army, thirty thousand strong, was raised and placed under the orders of Safi Kuli Khan, who marched on Herat. On the way he met and defeated twelve thousand Uzbegs, and this victory was accepted as a presage of a second and more important success.

Asadulla Khan, with only fifteen thousand Afghans, decided to engage the superior Persian force, and there was a hotly contested fight until by a mistake the Persian artillery fired on a body of their own cavalry. The error gave rise to a suspicion of treachery, which, reacting on the army, threw it into confusion. The Afghans, seeing their chance, made

---

1 This tribe, whose name is derived from an *abd al* or saint, is identical with the Durrani. Ahmad Shah changed the name in consequence of a dream and assumed the title of Shah Dur-i-Durrani, or *Pearl of Pearls.*
a decisive charge and won the day by their valour, the Persians losing one-third of their men, their general, their artillery, and their baggage. The loss to the Afghans was three thousand, or one-fifth of the army engaged; but the Abdalis, like their neighbours and rivals the Ghilzais, had won their freedom, and henceforth constituted a second independent state on the eastern frontier of Persia. Their relations with the Ghilzais were unfriendly, and even after the capture of Isfahan they were successful in taking Farrah from them.
CHAPTER LXVIII

THE OVERTHOVER OF THE SAFAVI DYNASTY

'Tis easy to infer that as Shah Husayn was endow'd with some of the Qualities and Virtues which adorn a private Man, he had none of those which are necessary for a Monarch. He was good natur'd and human; but his good Nature was of that Stamp which bears with every Thing; and punishes nothing, and in which the wicked, being assur'd by it of Impunity, find their Account more than honest Men, whom it deprives of all Hopes of Justice. He hurt no particular Person, and by that Means injur'd all Mankind.—FATHER KRUSINSKI.

The First Expedition of Mahmud, A.H. 1133 (1720).—The first Afghan expedition into Persia was a raid rather than an invasion. Mahmud crossed the Lut to the south of Sistan, and after ravaging Narmashir, advanced on Kerman, which he took by the aid of the Zoroastrian section of its inhabitants. Lutf Ali Khan, whose failure against Maskat was mentioned at the end of Chapter LXVI., was burning to redeem his reputation. He did not wait for his main army, but with a body of picked troops defeated the Afghans and captured their camp; his cavalry pursued the routed invaders back to Kandahar. Thus Mahmud's first attempt ended in disaster. Kerman was now strongly garrisoned and fortified to prevent its falling again into the hands of Afghans, and Lutf Ali Khan maintained a powerful army; so that a repetition of the raid seemed unlikely.

The Disgrace of the Vizier and of Lutf Ali Khan.—The

1 The authorities include the Historical Account of British Trade over the Caspian, by Jonas Hanway; the History of the late Revolutions in Persia, by Father Krusinski; and the Tahanguzaka-i-Nadiri, by Mirza Mehdi Khan, Nadir's Chief Secretary.
Persian Empire meanwhile, unconscious of its approaching doom, continued to be distracted by Court plots and intrigues. Fatteh Ali Khan, the Vizier, was accused of treason and of instigating a body of Kurds to kill the Shah in the night. Husayn, awakened from his sleep, yielded to panic and gave orders for the Minister to be executed. The wretched man's eyes were put out and he was about to be tortured to make him reveal the whereabouts of his treasure, when the Shah realized that he had been duped. A council of nobles was summoned, before whom the Vizier cleared himself fully; but the mischief was done. Lutf Ali Khan, his brother-in-law, who had collected and trained an army for the invasion of the Kandahar province, was dismissed and, as was usual in such cases, the army dispersed. Consequently, at this grave crisis in her history Persia found herself almost defenceless through the folly of her feeble ruler.

Signs and Portents.—The year A.H. 1134 (1721) was filled with disaster and foreboding. In Khorasan the Abdali Afghans raided unchecked, and in the west the Lesgians sacked Shamakha, the capital of Shirwan. More disturbing even than raids and the sacking of cities were the signs and portents that appeared. An earthquake destroyed ill-starred Tabriz, while the usually clear atmosphere became dense, and the sun showed like a blood-red orb. The superstitious Persians were panic-stricken, and the astrologers added to their fears by prophesying the destruction of Isfahan. Calamity, terror, the cowardice of the Shah, the effeminacy of the Court, and the dearth of generals and soldiers together lowered the national moral to such a degree that probably no country has ever been essentially weaker than Iran at this critical period.

The Second Expedition of Mahmud, A.H. 1135 (1722).—The fear of invasion by Lutf Ali Khan had cowed the Ghilzais; but on hearing of his downfall they regained their courage and decided to invade Persia a second time. Mahmud left Kandahar in mid-winter, and again traversed the desert to Narmashir and Kerman. On this occasion he took the city, but the fort resisted so stoutly that he was glad to accept the sum of £5,000 and raise the siege. He then marched on Isfahan by way of Yezd, which he attacked without success. From Yezd he took the direct route to the capital, and on the way was met by envoys who offered £30,000 if he and
his band would return to Afghanistan. Encouraged by this
sign of weakness at the heart of the Empire, the invaders
pressed on as far as Gulnabad, a village on a bare featureless
plain, eleven miles from Isfahan, and there halted.

The Afghan and Persian Armies.—The Afghan army now
consisted of perhaps twenty thousand men. It had suffered
losses by death and desertion at Kerman and also at Yezd,
and the only recruits who had joined it were a few Zoroastrians.
Its artillery was composed of one hundred zanburak, or swivels
—literally "little wasps"—mounted on camels and throwing
a ball of a little under two pounds in weight.

The Persian force assembled at Isfahan was more than
double the number and was provided with proper artillery.
Its base was a populous city and it was fighting in defence of
its own hearths. More than this, the fate of Persia depended
on its valour. At a council of war the opinion at first prevailed
that it would be better simply to hold the capital and allow
the Afghans to wear themselves out against the walls. But
the advocates of defensive action were overruled. The Vali
of Arabia insisted upon the disgrace the Shah-in-Shah would
incur if he were afraid to meet a band of plundering Afghans.
In Persia self-esteem is perhaps stronger than elsewhere,
and the Vali's glowing words were acclaimed and carried
the day. To avoid arousing jealousy, the command of the
troops was divided, and the Persian army, fifty thousand strong,
strengthened by twenty-four guns, marched out to the plain
of Gulnabad. 3

The right wing was commanded by another ill-fated
Rustam Khan, the General of the Royal Guards, and the left
wing by the Vizier. Attached to the former was a body of
Arab horse under its Vali, and to the latter a force under the
Vali of Laristan. Both these wings, together about thirty
thousand strong, were mounted. The centre, consisting of
twenty thousand infantry, with the artillery, completed what
appeared to be a formidable army.

The Afghans were drawn up in four divisions, Mahmud
in the centre being supported by the best fighting men. On

1 Krusinski doubles this number, but I follow Malcolm.
2 Many years ago, when camped on the site of this battle, I read how the Persians, sumptu-
ously armed and splendidly horsed with saddles and stirrups mounted with gold, laughed to
scorn the ragged sun-scorched Afghans. My thoughts went back to the battles fought by the
last Sassanian monarchs against the Arabs, and I was struck by the similarity of the circumstances
and conditions.
his right was Aman Ulla Khan, while the left was covered by
the Zoroastrians. In the rear were the hundred swivels.

The Battle of Gulnabad, A.H. 1135 (1722).—The fateful
battle of Gulnabad, which was fought two or three miles to
the east of the present village, opened with a charge by the
Persian right, which met with some success. Simultaneously
the Vali of Arabia turned the enemy’s left flank and fell on
the Afghan camp, which was plundered, the Arabs taking no
part in the fighting but occupying themselves with looting.
The Persian left wing also charged, but the Afghans by a
clever manœuvre unmasked their camel guns, which caused
great havoc, and at the same moment charged the reeling
column. It broke and fled and the pursuing Afghans wheeled
on the rear of the artillery, which had no escort. The gunners
were cut to pieces and the guns turned on the Persian infantry,
which also broke and fled. No pursuit was attempted, as the
Afghans busied themselves with plundering the Persian camp,
and according to one account feared an ambush.

Thus ignominiously fled, with a loss of only two thousand
men, a powerful Persian army fighting for everything that a
nation holds dear, and never again did it dare to face the
Afghans in the field. The Persian nation had ceased to be
virile, and the verdict of history is that when it fell, it fell
deservedly through its own cowardice.

The Capture of Farrahabad and the Capitulation of Julfa.—
The Ghilzai chief was not a great conqueror, although he
overthrew an empire which ranked high in the world. After
the battle he retired to his entrenchments and there remained
wholly inactive, even allowing the Persians to return to the
battlefield and take away their lost guns. He had apparently
decided to retire. His spies, however, reported the panic
that prevailed in the capital, and when he realized the true
position he regained his courage and advanced on Isfahan.
Some three miles from the city lay Farrahabad, built as a fort
by Shah Husayn and strongly held; but instead of using the
position to delay the Afghans, the Persians in their alarm
withdrew the garrison. Julfa, situated on the right bank of
the Zenda Rud, was next attacked. The Armenians offered
a stout resistance and applied for reinforcements to the Vali
of Arabia, who had been promoted to the supreme command.
Owing to fanaticism or treachery he refused all aid; a breach
was effected and the Armenians capitulated. They were
ordered to pay the equivalent of £140,000 in money and to surrender fifty of their most beautiful virgins, and to both conditions they consented.

The Investment of Isfahan.—Mahmud's army encamped opposite the bridges over the Zenda Rud and occupied the beautiful palaces and gardens erected by the Safavi monarchs and their nobles. The direct opening attack on Isfahan was an attempt to secure possession of one of the stately bridges over the Zenda Rud. At first Mahmud failed, but in a second effort he was carrying the bridge when Ahmed Aga, a white eunuch, came to the rescue and beat back the Afghans. Discouraged by this failure, Mahmud was prepared to treat on condition that Kandahar, Khorasan, and Kerman should be handed over to him in independent sovereignty, and that he should be given a princess in marriage, with a settlement in money equivalent to £100,000. These terms were rejected, and Mahmud, giving up all idea of further assaults for the time being, set about devastating the country and laying in supplies for his army. This he was apparently permitted to do by the cowardly Persians, who could at least have cut up any small force and thereby interfered with these operations. Having successfully laid waste the thriving villages round Isfahan and driven their inhabitants into the capital, Mahmud again made an assault on one of the bridges, and this time with success, the Georgian garrison being hopelessly drunk. The Afghans then regularly invested the city, and Aman Ulla Khan intercepted two convoys of food, sent from Laristan and from the Bakhtiari country.

The Heroic Inhabitants of Ben Isfahan.—A single gleam of light relieves the otherwise unmixed poltroonery of the Persian people. Ben Isfahan, a village some ten miles from the capital, declined to surrender. Its inhabitants did more. They sallied out and attacked Aman Ulla Khan when he was returning in disorder, laden with booty from the capture of the Laristan convoy. Mahmud sent reinforcements, but the bold peasantry gained a complete victory, killing a number of the enemy and capturing a brother, an uncle, and two cousins of Mahmud. Upon hearing of the disaster, the Afghan leader sent to the Shah to arrange for the release

1 Malcolm states that Ben Isfahan was situated three miles from the capital, but Bishop Stileman, who very kindly inquired into the matter, has informed me that it is one of a group known as Sch Deb, or "Three Villages," some ten miles to the W.N.W. of Isfahan. The chief of these villages is Varmusafdrán, but is vulgarly termed Ben Isfahan.
ancient boundaries of Iran. Farther south, Kandahar was originally received as a gift, and here alone can Persian policy be classed as "forward." To put the matter in another way, Constantinople was never threatened by a Safavi army, and Turkish anxiety was never aroused by Persian policy, which at most aspired to regain Baghdad or Erivan and attempted nothing more than raids to the west of these strongholds. Beyond the eastern frontiers of Iran, Samarcand to the north and Delhi to the south were equally safe from any danger of a Persian invasion. The Safavis cannot therefore take rank with the Achaemenian or Sasanian dynasties, which created world empires; for they played a secondary rôle on the stage of history and were content if they maintained the limits of Persia. Nevertheless, the prestige of the dynasty is very high among Persians owing to its national and religious character, and perhaps also to the recognition of its brilliance by European writers.
CHAPTER LXIX

THE EXPULSION OF THE AFGHANS

Their Way of dressing answers to the Coarseness of their Diet. They wear a Vest, which hangs down to their Toes, and which they tuck up towards the Waste, under which they have a very wide Pair of Drawers of plain Linnen, but their Legs are always bare. The better Sort make use of Shoes or Slippers when they ride on Horseback, as also of a Sort of Boots of very hard Leather, which when they have fitted on, they never pull off but there let 'em remain till they rot away.—Krusinski on the Afghans, vol. i. p. 147.

The First Acts of Mahmud.—The reign of Mahmud opened auspiciously. He allowed the Persian officials to retain their appointments and only added Afghans to watch his interests. Furthermore, he selected as Kazi, or Chief Magistrate, an Afghan noted for piety and rectitude, and he worked hard to repair the damage caused by the siege. He treated the Europeans with consideration, renewing all their privileges, and punished all those who had been disloyal to Shah Husayn. The treacherous Vali of Arabia was not put to death, Mahmud having apparently sworn to preserve his life, but he was disgraced and his post and estates were bestowed on his younger brother. In short, so just and so capable was the rule of Mahmud at the outset that it seemed possible that unhappy Iran might once again enjoy the blessings of peace and order.

The Surrender of Kum, Kashan, and Kazvin to the Afghans. —Shortly after the capitulation of Isfahan, Aman Ulla Khan was detached with five thousand men to attack Tahmasp Mirza and to seize Kazvin. The spiritless and disloyal tribesmen had not rallied round the throne; consequently no resistance was offered, and Kum, Kashan, and Kazvin all opened their gates. As a set-off to these achievements,
Mahmud was informed that treasure equivalent to £300,000, which he had despatched to Kandahar to be spent in recruiting his army, had been plundered by a Sistan chief. Nor was this the Afghan monarch's only embarrassment.

The Will of Peter the Great.—Among the mysteries of European history is the celebrated will of Peter the Great. It is generally believed to have been published in Europe through the instrumentality of the notorious Chevalier d'Eon, who obtained it in 1755 while he was acting as reader to Catherine the Great. It may be apocryphal, but by Persians and by many Russians its genuineness is not doubted. Even if it is not the actual political testament of Peter, it is accepted as embodying the national aspirations of Russia in the first half of the eighteenth century, and as such it deserves to be studied. Its tenor is uniformly aggressive, Russia being urged to aim at almost universal dominion. We are here chiefly dealing with the instructions concerning Persia, which are as follows: "Excite continual wars, not only in Turkey but in Persia." And again: "Sweden being dismembered, Persia subjugated, etc." These words are known to every educated son of Iran through a Persian translation and ring like a knell in his ears. Thus the will of Peter the Great, although scarcely known in western Europe, constitutes, so far as Persia is concerned, an instrument of policy the influence of which can hardly be overestimated.

The Occupation of Derbent by Peter, A.H. 1135 (1722).—At this period Peter the Great had finally triumphed over Sweden and was free to turn his arms elsewhere. Accordingly he hastened to profit by the weakness of Persia, and at the same time to prevent the Turks from approaching the Caspian. While the Safavi dynasty was in its death-throes, he had sent an embassy to Shah Husayn which, on its arrival, presented itself to the victorious Afghans, demanding redress for alleged grievances, among which were the plunder of a Russian caravan by the Khan of Khiva and the losses sustained by Russian subjects at Shamakha. Mahmud, whose knowledge of foreign policy must have been slight, informed the Muscovite ambassadors that he could control neither the Uzbegs nor the Lesgians. The fact was self-evident, but the admission strengthened the case for a forward policy, and Peter

1 It is printed in full at the end of this chapter. Russian ambassadors, under the old régime, always kept a copy of this document among their private papers.
felt justified in acting upon it. He descended the Volga in a flotilla carrying twenty-two thousand infantry and effected a junction in Daghestan with a force of cavalry which had marched from Astrakhan. He issued a proclamation in which he declared that he had no designs of territorial aggrandizement, but merely wished to rescue the Shah from the tyranny of the Afghans. He then advanced southwards, and defeating a force of Daghestanis took possession of Derbent, the importance of which has already appeared in this history. According to one account, the Tsar was marching towards Shamakha and Baku when an Ottoman ambassador appeared on the scene, announced the capture of Shamakha by a Turkish force, and declared that any farther advance by Russia would be deemed a casus belli. Peter was unwilling to provoke hostilities with Turkey at this juncture and withdrew to Russia, leaving a garrison of three thousand men at Derbent. The Russian version is that a flotilla of transports, on which the Tsar relied for munitions, was wrecked, and that this fact decided his retirement. Perhaps both accounts contain some truth, as the Porte was certainly urged by Mahmud to declare war on Russia and Peter would hardly have been able to fight the Turks with his munitions at the bottom of the Caspian.

His Occupation of Resht and Baku.—During the following winter Resht was besieged by the invading Afghans. Its Governor sent an envoy to Astrakhan and offered to open the city gates to a Russian army. Peter at once took advantage of this piece of good fortune, and occupied not only Resht but other centres. The administration of the province, however, was not interfered with, but remained in the hands of the local Khans. During the summer of 1723 Baku was bombarded and capitulated, to the immense satisfaction of Peter.

The Treaty of Shah Tahmasp with Russia, A.D. 1723.—Tahmasp, unable to meet the invaders in the field, made a bid for the support of Peter. In return for the expulsion of the Afghans, to which Russia pledged herself, Tahmasp agreed to cede Shirwan, Daghestan, Gilan, Mazanderan, and Astrabad. But no attempt was made by Peter to expel the

---

1 A good account of this expedition is given in the Memoir of P. H. Bruce, a Scottish soldier of fortune who took part in the campaign. I have also used The Russian Conquest of the Caucasus, by John F. Baddeley, a work of great value, which is mainly based on Russian records; and Kars and Erzeroum, by Lieut.-General W. Monticith.
Afghans, nor were any of the provinces occupied except Gilan. Probably neither side intended to observe the conditions of this treaty loyally.

The Persian Insurrection at Kazvin, A.H. 1136 (1723).—At Kazvin the Afghans were dealing with a population which was more virile than that of Isfahan, but, being ignorant or careless of this circumstance, they treated its citizens with cruelty and oppression. Consequently, in a short time a well-planned insurrection broke out, the Afghans were attacked simultaneously, and were driven from the city with the loss of two thousand men and all their baggage. Ashraf, son of Mir Abdulla, returned to Kandahar with three hundred men, and the remainder retired on Isfahan, suffering severely from the cold.

This disaster and various defections left only about fifteen thousand men at Mahmud's disposal at this crisis. Comparatively few recruits had come from Kandahar to fill up his depleted regiments, whereas many parties of men had gone home laden with plunder. Three large caravans in all reached Isfahan during his reign, in the last of which was his mother, who "came to the principal Gate of the new King's Palace half naked, and what cloaths she had all in Tatters, ravenously gnawing a great Radish she held in her hand more like a Witch than the Mother of a great King." 1

The Massacres at Isfahan, A.D. 1723.—Mahmud wished to hold Isfahan at all costs, and he determined to massacre a large number of its citizens; thinking that he would be able to rule by the terror inspired in a reduced and leaderless population. In pursuance of this fiendish plan, the day after the return of the defeated Afghans the Persian Ministers and great nobles, with only two or three exceptions, were invited to a feast, where they were massacred, and their corpses were afterwards thrown into the Great Square. Mahmud's next step was to massacre three thousand Persian guards whom he had taken into his pay. No sooner was this effected than an order was issued to put to death every Persian who had served Shah Husayn. This awful edict resulted in an indiscriminate massacre which continued for fifteen days without any attempt at resistance being made, and thus the royal city was depopulated and rendered powerless. The

1 Krusinski.
English and Dutch factories were harshly treated and made to pay forced contributions. The Armenians of Julfa were compelled to pay a second contribution, and the Indian merchants were plundered.

*The Capture of Shiraz, A.H. 1137 (1724).*—Mahmud next enlisted some of the wild Kurds who, being Sunnis, were ready to serve under his standard. Mainly by their aid he reconquered Khonsar and Kashan, which had rebelled after the disaster at Kazvin. Meanwhile a detachment was conquering Fars, but Shiraz held out. Nasrulla, the leader of the Zoroastrian contingent, was killed while taking part in an assault, and in his honour his slaves and the prisoners were put to death at his funeral. His successor, Zabbardast Khan, was more fortunate. He beat off a relieving force under a brother of the Vali of Arabia, and negotiations for surrender followed. While these were in progress he observed that the soldiers had quitted their posts; he therefore broke off the negotiations and captured Shiraz. Although famine had caused the city to surrender, a large store containing a three months' supply of grain was found, and its owner by way of punishment was bound to a stake and left to die of hunger in his own granary. Even to-day this story is remembered against the Shirazis.

*An Attack on Bandar Abbas.*—A detachment was next sent to attack Bandar Abbas. The inhabitants fled, but the European factories, which had beaten off a large horde of Baluchis in the previous year, were too strong to be attempted, and the Afghans having gladly accepted some supplies retired, suffering heavy losses from the bad climate. Encouraged by the capture of Shiraz, Mahmud took the field in person and marched on the Kuhgelu district to the north of Behbehun; but the Arab nomads harassed his army, which suffered also from the heat near the coast, and he was forced to retreat to Isfahan, which he re-entered by night.

*Afghan Intrigues.*—The prestige of Mahmud was seriously weakened by this unsuccessful campaign and also by the failure of an attack on Yezd. He had been obliged by his nobles to recall Ashraf from Kandahar and to declare him his heir. Moreover, Aman Ulla Khan had deserted his standard, and though he had made a pretence of reconciliation it was obviously hollow. Mahmud, to ease his mind, retired into a vault for the ascetic contemplation known as
Tapassia, and after fourteen days of this existence his mind became totally unhinged.

The Massacre of the Safavi Princes.—Hitherto the blood royal had been spared in the awful massacres, but Mahmud, crediting a false rumour of the flight of Safi Mirza, now gave orders for the execution of the entire royal family except the wretched Husayn. With his own hands he began this extermination, in which thirty-nine members of the unfortunate dynasty are stated to have perished.

The Death of Mahmud, A.H. 1137 (1725).—This was the last recorded act of Mahmud, whose madness increased after his bloody work had been carried through. The Afghan nobles, threatened with an attack by Tahmasp, hastily elected Ashraf to the throne, and Mahmud died shortly afterwards, or possibly was killed by the orders of Ashraf.

His Appearance and Character.—Krusinski, who undoubtedly saw Mahmud, gives the following graphic description of him: "He was middle siz’d, and pretty squat: his Face broad, his Nose flattish, his Eyes blue and squinting a little, his Look fierce. His Physiognomy had something rough and disagreeable in it, discovering a Cruelty in his Nature. His neck was so monstrously short, that his Head seem’d to grow to his Shoulders. He had scarce any Beard; and what he had was carotty. His Eyes were generally down-cast, and he look’d always as if he was musing.

"He was extremely severe in military Discipline: more fear’d than belov’d by his Soldiers; they valued him for his Intrepidity in braving the greatest Dangers, and cry’d him up as a Man capable of the boldest Enterprizes, and whose Boldness was generally successful."

Few conquests have been more extraordinary than that of Mahmud. Previous conquerors of Iran, such as Chengiz and Tamerlane, had created a powerful force before attempting the task; but Mahmud captured Isfahan, and subsequently most of central and southern Persia, with twenty thousand Afghans and without much backing from Kandahar. The cowardice, effeminacy, and corruption of Persia as represented by the Safavi dynasty was the true cause of its down-

1 Tapassia is a Sanscrit word from tap, worship. It is adopted by the Moslem Dervishes from the Hindus, and signifies that the spirit temporarily leaves the body and becomes united with the godhead. There is a chapter in the Shastras on this subject.
2 ii. p. 159.
fall; for, as Malcolm says, the Persian Empire resembled “a vast fabric tottering to its fall.”

Of Mahmud himself, with the exception of the first few months of just rule after the capitulation of Isfahan, little good can be said. He was treacherous, narrow-minded, lacking in generosity and indeed in almost all the qualities which stamp a great conqueror; on the other hand, he was brave and energetic. Like Afghans in general, he was entirely deficient in administrative qualities and his mind was quite uncultivated. Finally, the massacres for which he was responsible have consigned his memory to wholly justifiable execration.

*The Turkish Invasion of Georgia, A.D. 1722–1723.*—After the death of Murad IV., the relations between Persia and Turkey were friendly for nearly a century. But when the Afghans invaded Iran, the Sunni power determined to take advantage of the impotence of the Shia state. An excellent opening was found in the province of Shirwan, whose Sunni population had been cruelly persecuted by the orders of the fanatical Husayn. The Sultan decided to appoint a governor to the province, and the officer charged with the task of conveying the Imperial orders had been despatched when information was received of the expedition of Peter the Great. After some negotiations between Turkey and Russia, conducted in Constantinople, the Turks decided to declare war against Persia, and three *fatwas,* or proclamations, were issued by the *musti,* which ordered the true believers to extirpate the heretics. Simultaneously with the Russian operations on the littoral of the Caspian Sea, the Turkish troops entered Georgia, and Tiflis surrendered in A.H. 1135 (1723). Ganja was afterwards besieged, but without success, and at Baku the Turks were forestalled by the Russians.

*The Russo-Turkish Treaty for the Dismemberment of Persia, A.D. 1724.*—Negotiations between Turkey and Russia were resumed and culminated in an agreement for the partition of the most valuable provinces of Persia. In the north, the cession by Tahmasp to Russia of the Caspian provinces to the confluence of the Kur with the Araxes was confirmed by the two powers. Turkey took up the new frontier line from this point and drew it close to the west of Ardebil so as to include Tabriz, which, with Hamadan and Kermanshah and all the districts between them and the Turkish frontier, was
to be included within the Ottoman empire. It was cynically agreed that, if Tahmasp consented to these conditions, he should be aided to recover his throne. If, however, he proved obdurate, the two powers were to provide for the future tranquillity of Persia by raising to the throne whichever candidate was held to be most deserving.

The Conquest of Western Persia by the Turks, A.D. 1724–1725.—After the conclusion of the treaty by which Persia was thus partially dismembered, it remained for the Turks to make good the possession of their share, Russia having already occupied the western part of her portion. The Turkish army first marched on Hamadan, which fell after a short siege in A.H. 1136 (1724). Meanwhile a second Turkish force had advanced on Erivan, which was justly regarded as the strongest fortress in the country. Operations were pushed on with the utmost determination, and in spite of the loss of twenty thousand men in four assaults and by disease Erivan was taken in A.H. 1137 (1724) after a three months' siege; the garrison marched out with the honours of war.

The victorious army was now directed against Tabriz. A Persian force ten thousand strong was defeated outside the city, which seemed likely to be taken without much difficulty, as owing to an earthquake its walls had fallen down. But the brave garrison contrived to intercept a body of troops marching with a convoy from Aleppo, and as assaults failed the siege was temporarily raised in September 1724. In the summer of the following year a Turkish army seventy thousand strong again appeared on the scene. The defence was heroic, the Persians losing thirty thousand men and the Turks twenty thousand, but the besiegers at length gained possession of almost the whole city. Ultimately terms were arranged by which the Tabrizis marched out with their families and property, leaving their deserted abodes to the victors. Had the citizens of Isfahan displayed a tithe of the courage shown by the Tabrizis—who are of Turkish rather than of Persian stock—the invasion of Mahmud would undoubtedly have ended in failure. The Turks subsequently rounded off their conquests until the whole of western Persia was in their hands.

The Accession of Ashraf, A.H. 1137 (1725).—Ashraf was of a different calibre from Mahmud, and in many ways resembled his uncle, Mir Vais. He enjoyed great prestige
among his fellow-tribesmen, whose moral was restored by his accession to the throne. His first act was to kill the too capable Aman Ulla and other powerful chiefs, whose fortunes he confiscated. To conciliate the Persians he played the part of a generous monarch, anxious to atone for the misdeeds of his predecessor. In pursuance of this policy the mother of Mahmud was obliged, as an act of atonement, to pass a night in the Royal Square close to the corpses of the Safavi princes, which were then buried with much pomp in the sacred shrine of Kum. To complete the playing of his part, Ashraf begged Husayn to resume the crown, and only after repeated requests by the fallen Safavi monarch did he place the symbol of royalty on his own head.

During the reign of Mahmud, Ashraf had treacherously opened negotiations with Tahmasp. He now attempted to lure him into his power, and had almost succeeded when the Prince was secretly warned and saved himself by flight. Ashraf used this as a pretext to put to death the few Persian nobles who had escaped the previous massacres. He then occupied himself in consolidating his power, and constructed a strong fort in Isfahan to serve both as a refuge and rallying-point for the Afghans and their families, and also as a treasury.

*The Victory of Ashraf over the Turks, A.H. 1138 (1726).—*

The position of affairs in Persia at this period was interesting. Ashraf held Isfahan, Shiraz, and south-east Persia generally, but can hardly be said to have administered the country. His army received but few recruits from Kandahar, which was governed by Husayn, brother of Mahmud; he was consequently restricted to a defensive policy. Shah Tahmasp was in Mazanderan and was still obliged to remain more or less a spectator of events, although Fath Ali Khan, the Kajar Chief, had thrown in his lot with him, and a force was being gradually recruited. The Russian Government under Catherine was determined to maintain its position in Persia, but there was no thought of fulfilling the terms of the treaty with Turkey. The Ottoman Government alone pursued a forward policy.

Ashraf had sent an embassy to remonstrate at the action of a Sunni power in co-operating with a Christian state to attack a Sunni neighbour with the avowed purpose of restoring the heretical Shia dynasty. In spite of the pro-Afghan feeling aroused in Constantinople, the ambassador of Ashraf, who
took a very high tone, was dismissed, war was declared, and a Turkish army, after seizing Maragha and Kazvin, advanced on Isfahan. Ashraf, whose military qualities were considerable, attacked and cut to pieces a detached body of the Turks two thousand strong; and this success produced a considerable moral effect, besides causing Ahmad Pasha, the Turkish general, to halt and entrench his position.

In order to excite dissensions among the enemy, the cunning Afghan despatched four venerable mullas, who asked Ahmad Pasha why he was warring on Moslems who were obeying the divine precepts of the law in subverting the power of the heretical Shias. To this awkward question a reply was given that he was acting by orders of the Caliph, to whom Ashraf must submit under pain of feeling his power. So upset were the Turks by this mission that a body of them deserted together with a large contingent of Kurds. Ahmad Pasha, who had sixty thousand men and seventy guns, decided to force a general engagement without further delay. The Afghans, with only one-third of this number and forty "little wasps," fought superbly and won, killing twelve thousand Turks in the battle. With consummate diplomacy the victor refused to allow any pursuit, and even released his prisoners and restored all the personal property of the vanquished Turks. This masterly moderation produced a strong feeling in his favour, and a treaty was concluded in A.H. 1140 (1727), in which Ashraf acknowledged the Sultan as Caliph, and was himself recognized in return as Shah of Persia. The provinces held by Turkey were all ceded to the Sultan. In other words, Persia was dismembered. The boundary between the Turkish and Russian acquisitions was fixed later by the two powers.

Shah Tahmasp joined by Nadir Kuli, A.H. 1139 (1727).—The Afghan monarch was no sooner freed from the fear of the Turks than he was confronted with an even more serious danger. One source of extreme weakness was his failure to secure the city of Kandahar. This lessened, if it did not altogether stop, the stream of Afghan recruits; it is indeed curious to notice how little initiative the Afghan tribes displayed, for few came to Persia even after the capture of Isfahan. At this juncture Tahmasp, who held his Court at Farrahad in Mazanderan, was joined by Nadir Kuli, destined to achieve lasting fame as the last great Asiatic conqueror.
He brought with him five thousand war-hardened Afshars and Kurds. Fath Ali Khan Kajar had already collected three thousand men, recruits flocked in, and a national reaction began.

The Conquest of Khorasan by Nadir Kuli.—Nadir persuaded the young Shah in the first place to march into Khorasan, where the cities of Meshed and Herat were in the hands of Malik Mahmud and of the Abdali Afghans respectively. On the march he killed his rival, Fath Ali Khan, grandfather of the founder of the present dynasty, whose tomb I have visited near Meshed. This act was evidently approved by the Shah, who immediately appointed Nadir his Commander-in-Chief. In this campaign success returned to the Safavi arms, both Meshed and Herat were reduced, as will be narrated in the next chapter, and among the honours heaped on Nadir was the title of Tahmasp Kuli Khan, Kuli signifying a "slave."

The Defeat of the Afghans at Mehmandost, A.H. 1141 (1729).—Meanwhile Ashraf was collecting his troops, fully realizing that he must once again stake everything on a decisive battle. Owing to home troubles and the necessity of garrisoning important centres, his field army was only thirty thousand strong. One half of this force was composed of Afghans, and owing to the recent brilliant victory gained over the Turks the moral of his veterans must have been high.

Nadir had wisely persuaded the Shah to draw the Afghan force from Isfahan, and the event proved his sagacity. Ashraf, realizing that the Persian army was daily increasing in numbers, decided to march into Khorasan before it became too strong, and Damghan, situated near the Parthian capital Hecatompylus, was the scene of the first of many victories in which the arms of Iran, after a humiliating eclipse, were victorious against a foreign foe. The Afghans charged with savage shouts, but made no impression on the veterans trained by Nadir, whose musketry and artillery fire inflicted heavy losses. Ashraf immediately detached two columns to make a circuit on the right and left of the enemy, while he himself again charged the front. Nadir was far too experienced a general to allow these tactics to succeed. Beating off the attacks with ease, he ordered a general advance, which broke the Afghans, who were discouraged by the death of their

leader's standard-bearer. Leaving their camp to the enemy, they fled panic-stricken and with reduced numbers along the road to Teheran, where it is said they arrived in two days' time—a distance of two hundred miles. This battle is known as the battle of Mehmandost, from a river which divided the two armies.

*The Second Defeat of the Afghans at Murchakhar, A.H. 1141 (1729).*—The defeated army retired on Isfahan, where Ashraf collected all the families and property of the Afghans into the fort. Then, taking up an entrenched position at Murchakhar, thirty-six miles to the north, he prepared to fight a decisive battle for his throne.

Nadir prevailed on Tahmasp to remain at Damghan, and marched south from Teheran. Hailed as the deliverer of Iran, he was joined by hundreds of men anxious to be in at the death of the invaders. He found the Afghans in a strong position, but their numbers were small and Nadir's victorious tribesmen would suffer no denial. The Afghans fought bravely, but, after losing four thousand men, broke and fled to Isfahan. There they prepared for flight, and before sunrise a huge caravan carrying their families and treasure left Isfahan for Shiraz. The helpless Husayn was put to death by Ashraf before he departed.

*The Reoccupation of Isfahan.*—Nadir did not follow up the defeated army into Isfahan, for reasons which remain obscure. Not until he heard of the flight of the Afghans did he despatch a body of troops to take possession of the palace, and he delayed his own entry into the capital until three days after his victory. His arrival was the signal for the destruction of the mausoleum erected over Mahmud, whose corpse was disinterred. The tomb was made a repository for filth by the instructions of Nadir, who little thought that his own resting-place would one day receive like treatment. Tahmasp, who had followed the Persian army to Teheran, made his entry into Isfahan shortly after Nadir, and we read that he burst into tears as he visited the defaced palaces of the Safavis. A dramatic surprise was in store for the young Shah, who was suddenly greeted by his mother. She had disguised herself as a slave, and for a period of seven years had acted her part without being discovered.

*The Final Rout of the Afghans, A.H. 1142 (1730).*—The Afghans were allowed ample time to rally at Shiraz. Tahmasp
urged Nadir to pursue them, but the astute General demanded the power of levying taxes before he would consent to quit Isfahan. For a while the Shah demurred at ceding this authority, which gave his Commander-in-Chief almost sovereign powers. But at length he yielded, and Nadir once again marched to give battle to the Afghans, who made a last stand at Zarghan, some twenty miles to the north of Shiraz. The Ghilzais attacked, but were repulsed by the heavy musketry fire; they broke when charged by Nadir, and a few hours later reached Shiraz in complete disorder. Ashraf wished to treat for a retirement with the honours of war, but Nadir replied that all the Afghans would be killed unless they surrendered their leader. The Ghilzai Khans basely agreed to this demand, but Ashraf saved himself for a time by suddenly breaking away with two hundred followers. This was the signal for the army to disperse in bands, which under their respective chiefs followed separate routes, mainly towards Kandahar. The Persian pursuit was successful, the fleeing Afghans being easily tracked by the camels which had broken down and died, and even by the corpses of old men and children who, when tired out, had been put to death to save them from the vengeance of the Persian horsemen.

The Death of Ashraf, A.H. 1142 (1730).—Lar and Kerman then rose, and Ashraf, realizing that all hope of maintaining his position even in these remote provinces was ended, attempted to reach his native province by way of Sistan. But the Baluchis, who had at one time been allies, were now ready to plunder the defeated and demoralized Afghans. Ashraf was found by a young Baluch Khan wandering about in the Lut with only two attendants, and was at once killed. His head, together with a large diamond found upon him, was sent as a gift to Shah Tahmasp, who must have rejoiced at the retribution that had befallen the slayer of his unfortunate father.

Ashraf had played his part on the stage well, and his misfortunes were due less to his own mistakes than to circumstances over which he had no control. Having failed, he was fortunate in his speedy death. Few of the invaders escaped. One division attempted to get away by sea, but was cut to pieces at Bahrein when it landed, and individual survivors were found years afterwards eking out a miserable existence at Maskat.
The Flight of the Afghans.—Thus the Afghans were drowned in a torrent of blood. They had achieved a remarkable conquest with slender means, and, had their fellow-tribesmen joined them in sufficient numbers, they might have held their own for some time against the national revival. But their barbarous organization, while good enough for conquest, massacre, and destruction, was totally incapable of administering the kingdom they had won so easily. The invaders remained, therefore, a numerically small band of hated aliens, which, even under a fine leader like Ashraf, could not stand against the troops of Nadir.

The Will of Peter the Great

Preliminary Clause.—In the name of the most holy and indivisible Trinity, we Peter I., Emperor and Autocrat of all the Russians, to all our descendants to the throne and government of the Russian nation. The All-Powerful, to whom we owe our existence, makes us regard the Russian people which is constantly guided by His light, and sustained by His Divine support, as called in the future to be the dominant race in Europe. This idea strikes us from the fact that European nations have for the greater part arrived at a state of old age allied to decay, or at all events this approaches them with rapid strides. From this it results that they ought to be easily and assuredly conquered by a young and new people, when the latter shall have attained all their force and power.

I regard the approaching invasion of the Western and Oriental nations by the North as a periodic movement decreed and designed by Providence, who in such a manner regenerated the Roman people by means of an invasion of barbarians. This emigration of men from the direction of the Pole is like the reflux of the Nile, which at certain times nourishes with its mud the western land of Egypt. I have found Russia to be this river, and so I leave her. My successors will make her a great sea destined to fertilize impoverished Europe, and if my descendants know how to direct the waters, her waves will break through any opposing banks. It is just for this reason that I leave the following instructions, and I recommend them to the attention and constant observation of my descendants.

I. To keep Russia in a state for continual war, to hold the soldier ever ready, and never give him rest except for the purpose of recovering the finances of the country and the improvement of the army. To choose the most favourable moment for attack, to follow up peace by war, and war by peace, in the interest, aggrandizement, and growing prosperity of Russia.

II. To entice by every means possible from the cleverest people of Europe officers during war and savants during peace, in order to improve
the Russians at the expense of other nations without losing her own advantages.

III. To take part on every occasion in the affairs and discussions of Europe, whatever they may be, and especially in those concerning Germany, who as our most intimate neighbour interests us more directly.

IV. To divide Poland, and keep up in that kingdom a constant disorder and continual jealousy, gain over the other Powers at the price of gold, influence the Polish assemblies and corrupt them, so as to obtain an interest in the election of kings, to name partisans and protect them as an excuse for the entry of Muscovite troops there, to remain until the day arrives for a permanent occupation. If the neighbouring Powers put forth difficulties, tranquillize them for a moment by dividing the country until we can retake as much of it as we have given up to them.

V. To take as much as we can of Sweden, and induce her to attack us, in order that we may have the pretext for subjugating her. For this purpose we must isolate Denmark from Sweden, and favour the rivalry between these countries.

VI. To choose always German princesses for our princes in order to promote family alliances, reunite our interests, and so bring Germany over to our cause for the augmentation of our influence.

VII. To give the preference to an alliance with England for commerce, she being the Power which has the greatest need of us for her marine, while at the same time she can be most useful to us for the development of our own. To exchange our wood and products for her gold, and establish continual relations between us with regard to her merchandise, her sailors, and our own, which will be in the interest of this country for navigation and commerce.

VIII. To extend ourselves without ceasing towards the North along the Baltic, and also towards the South along the Black Sea.

IX. To approach as near as possible to Constantinople and India. Whoever governs there will be the true sovereign of the world. Consequently excite continual wars, not only in Turkey, but in Persia. Establish dockyards on the Black Sea, seize upon little pieces near this sea as well as on the Baltic, which is doubly necessary for the attainment of our project. And in the decadence of Persia, penetrate as far as the Persian Gulf, re-establish if it be possible the ancient commerce with the Levant, advance as far as India, which is the depot of the world. Arrived at this point, we shall have no longer need of England's gold.

X. To endeavour to maintain with care the alliance with the house of Austria, appear to support her in her policy of future domination in Germany, and foster below the surface the jealousy of the princes. Endeavour to induce her to demand the assistance of Russia by one means or another, and to exercise over the country a species of protection which may prepare for future domination.

XI. To interest the house of Austria in driving the Turk out of Europe, to neutralize her jealousies at the moment of the conquest of Constantinople, either by exciting her to war with the great Powers of
Europe, or by giving her a portion of the conquest, which we will retake from her at a later period.

XII. To endeavour to reunite around us all the disunited and schismatic Greeks who are scattered over Hungary or Turkey or the middle of Poland, to be their centre, their support, to establish in advance an universal predominance by means of a kind of automatic or sacerdotal supremacy as a friend to each enemy.

XIII. Sweden being dismembered, Persia subjugated, Poland crushed, Turkey conquered, our army reunited, the Black Sea and Baltic guarded by our ships, we must then propose separately, and very secretly, first to the Court of Versailles, then to that of Vienna, to share with them the empire of the universe. If one of the two accept, which is nearly certain, by flattering her ambition and national vanity, to make use of her for crushing the other. Finally, to annihilate in her turn the one which remains, by commencing a struggle which cannot be perilous, Russia possessing already all the Eastern or greater portion of Europe.

XIV. If (which is not impossible) each of these powers should refuse the project of Russia, we must know how to excite them to quarrel one with the other, and so act that they may enfeeble themselves through each other. Then, taking advantage of the decisive moment, Russia must advance her troops, now reunited, on Germany, at the same time send two considerable fleets, one starting from the sea of Azof and the other from Archangel with Asiatic troops; through the assistance of these armed fleets, advancing by the Mediterranean and the ocean, France will be invaded on one side, Germany on the other. These two countries conquered, the rest of Europe will pass easily and without striking a blow beneath the yoke. It is thus that we can, and we ought to, subjugate Europe.¹

¹ I am indebted to Col. H. Picot for the above translation.
CHAPTER LXX

THE RISE OF NADIR KULI TO THE THRONE OF PERSIA

We find a man, whose birth and beginning were so obscure as with difficulty to be traced out; conducting to an issue, with resolution and steadiness, opportunities he had worked out for himself; planning with deliberation and foresight, the fabric of his future fortune; and carrying his designs into execution, with an unwearied application, till, like other mighty conquerors before him, he became terrible to Asia and the undoubted arbiter of the East.—Hanway on Nadir Shah.

The Origin and Birthplace of Nadir Kuli.—Nadir Shah, the last great Asiatic conqueror, was born and bred in Khorasan, which he ever regarded as his home. I have visited the site of his birth and also Kalat-i-Nadiri and other districts specially connected with the great Afshar, some of whose descendants I also know. Consequently I am able to give stories and legends of the hero, whose name still looms very large in Khorasan, as told me by various Persian friends.¹

Nadir Kuli, or "The Slave of the Wonderful," the adjective being one of the many epithets of the Deity, was the son of Imam Kuli, a humble member of the Kirklu tribe which, owing to its weakness, united with the more powerful Afshar tribe. The home of Imam Kuli was a hamlet termed Kupkan, situated on the south side of the Allah ho Akbar range, on the road which runs from Kuchan to Darragaz.

¹ The authorities for this period include the Historical Account of British Trade over the Caspian, containing a Life of Nadir Shah by J. Hanway; the Life of Nadir Shah and a historical novel, The Kirklushe, by J. B. Fraser; a paper in the R.A.S. (Jan. 1908) and a historical novel, Nadir Shah, by Sir Mortimer Durand. In Histoire de l'Arménie, by M. Brosset, there is a valuable contemporary account of Nadir Shah by Abraham of Crete, and in vol. v. of Histoire de la Géographie, by the same author, there is a letter written by Heraclius II. to his sister, in which the Indian campaign is described. Of Oriental writers the Tahangwaza-i-Nadiri, by Mehdí Khan, is most valuable, and so in a lesser degree are the Mémoires of Abdalhurreem. Finally, I have been given notes by Said Ali Khan Chapashlu of Darragaz, whose ancestor was a favourite general of Nadir Shah's.
There he earned his living by making sheepskin coats, and by grazing a few sheep and goats near his village in the summer and in the warmer plains to the north in winter. Imam Kuli and his wife were moving with the members of their tribe from the heights of the Allah ho Akbar range to the neighbourhood of low-lying Abivard in the autumn of 1100 (1688), and when they were encamped close to the little town of Mohamedabad the future Shah was born.

His Captivity and Escape.—The youth of Nadir Kuli was spent in tending flocks and bringing in fuel on an ass and a camel which constituted the sole patrimony of his family after the death of his father. When he was about eighteen years of age, he and his mother were carried off by a raiding party of Uzbegs to Khiva, where four years later his mother died in slavery. The young Nadir Kuli contrived to escape and returned penniless to Khorasan, where he climbed the first step up the ladder of success by entering the service of Baba Ali Beg, Ahmadlu Afshar, who was Governor of Abivard, at that period the capital of the district.

Appointment to Abivard.—Malik Mahmud, after leaving Isfahan to its fate, as mentioned in Chapter LXVIII., had soon found an opportunity of seizing Meshed, which had fallen a prey to anarchy. Once secure in his possession of the sacred city, he prepared a crown fashioned like that of the Keianis, and established himself as an independent ruler with a regular army of infantry, artillery, and cavalry. It happened that in the absence of Baba Ali Beg, one of his mamurs or officials came to Abivard and ill-treated the family of the Governor. Nadir Kuli immediately came to the rescue and killed the official. His master, upon his return, was in great perplexity; but Nadir with remarkable courage proposed that he should himself proceed to Meshed. There he pleaded that as a loyal servant he was bound to defend his master's honour, and Malik Mahmud not only pardoned him, but gave him a robe of honour. Shortly after this event Nadir married his master's daughter, who subsequently became the mother of the unfortunate Riza Kuli. Upon the death of Baba Ali, for which according to some accounts Nadir was responsible, he succeeded to the governorship of Abivard.

1 This is now termed Kala Kuhna, or "Old Fort," and is perhaps a mile from the present town.
2 Abivard or Bavard is now a ruin, situated in the vicinity of Kahkha on the Central Asian Railway.
Service under Malik Mahmud.—The rise to power of a clever, resolute leader of men was speedy in those troublous times, and Nadir Kuli was soon employed by Malik Mahmud to attack the raiding Uzbegs. He distinguished himself by winning a battle, but, having exhibited too much freedom in claiming the deputy-governorship of Khorasan as his promised reward, he was beaten and then dismissed. His experience as a leader of mounted troops serving with artillery and with infantry armed with muskets must have been of great value as a preparation for his future career.

His Capture of Kalat and Nishapur.—After suffering this reverse of fortune, Nadir, like his great prototype Yakub bin Lays, became a robber. His ability and success soon brought him recruits, and during the period of anarchy which followed the capitulation of Isfahan he collected a large force of men and began to levy contributions in Khorasan. He also obtained possession of Kalat and, secure in this impregnable fortress, destined to become famous as Kalat-i-Nadiri, he was in a very different position from the ordinary leader of a gang of robbers, and his influence spread far and wide.

But Nadir was not content to remain a mere brigand, and shortly after possessing himself of Kalat he decided to attack Nishapur, held at that time by the troops of Malik Mahmud. He first of all surprised and cut to pieces a foraging party six hundred strong, and then lured the main body of the garrison into an ambush and destroyed it. Nishapur opened its gates and was occupied in the name of Shah Tahmasp, whose service Nadir afterwards entered.

His Dreams.—The ambitions of the Afshar chief were already fully developed, and he dreamed a dream, in which he caught a fish with four horns, indicating the conquest of four kingdoms. He also dreamed that Ali girded him with a sword, calling upon him to save Persia and promising him the throne.

The Capture of Meshed and the Execution of Malik Mahmud.—The capture of Meshed was a great service rendered by Nadir to the Safavi dynasty. There was much skirmishing, and he was successful in a battle, but Meshed was not to be won by these means. Treachery aided the fortunate Afshar, who gained an entrance into the heart of the city by the surrender of

---

1 Said Ali Khan, my local authority, states that the story, according to which Kalat was held by Nadir’s uncle, is entirely unfounded, and I have adopted his views on the subject.
a gate. Malik Mahmud fought desperately, but was defeated, and when Meshed was taken he gave himself up. At first he was permitted to occupy a dervish's cell in the shrine, but as he became a centre of intrigues he was put to death by Nadir's orders.

The Reward for the Expulsion of the Afghans.—Tahmasp had apparently few illusions as to the character of his great general. His expulsion of the Afghans, narrated in detail in the last chapter, was however too signal a service to be rewarded in the ordinary manner, and the Shah perforce bestowed on him Khorasan, Sistan, Kerman, and Mazanderan, together with the title of Sultan. Nadir was too astute to assume the title, but he struck money in his own name and with it paid his army; and in the East this is tantamount to an assumption of sovereignty.

Nadir Kuli's First Turkish Campaign.—After the extirpation of the Afghan invaders, Nadir Kuli turned his attention to the Turks. The position, indeed, was serious, as the whole of Azerbaijan and most of Irak was in the possession of the Sultan. In fact it was far worse than the situation which had faced Shah Abbas, who commanded the entire resources of Persia as its lawful monarch, whereas Nadir Kuli was hampered by Shah Tahmasp. His first campaign was highly successful. Defeating a Turkish army near Hamadan, he gained possession of both Irak and Azerbaijan, and he was besieging Erivan when news of a rebellion in Khorasan diverted him for a while from his main objective. He raised the siege at once and marched some fourteen hundred miles eastwards to invest Herat.

Tahmasp's Disastrous Campaign against the Turks, A.H. 1144 (1731).—Shah Tahmasp, fired by Nadir Kuli's successes, determined to take the field in person against the Turks. The defeat of the Ottoman army had reacted on the situation in Constantinople, where the Janissaries had dethroned Ahmad III. and placed Mahmud V. on the throne. Nadir Kuli despatched an envoy to the new Sultan. However, before the result of this mission was known, Tahmasp began a fresh siege of Erivan. But he retreated from before that fortress, was defeated by a Turkish army at Korijan, near Hamadan, with heavy losses, and in a single month lost all that Nadir had won back. In the following year he made a treaty with the Turks, by the terms of which the Aras became
the boundary of Persia. He ceded Ganja, Tiflis, Erivan, Nakhchivan, Shamakha, and Daghestan, but retained Tabriz, Ardelan, Kermanshah, Hamadan, and Luristan. The treaty, which contained eight articles, also dealt with pilgrimage, commerce, the establishment of consuls at Constantinople and Isfahan, and other matters. There was no provision for the release of Persian prisoners.

His Dethronement in A.H. 1145 (1732).—The defeat of Tahmasp afforded Nadir Kuli the pretext he had hitherto lacked. In the first place, he issued a proclamation protesting against the treaty in no measured terms. To quote from the *jahangusha*: “As the articles are against the pleasure of the Most High and contrary to the interest of this empire, we have not thought it right to agree to them. Moreover, the very angels which surround the tomb of the great Caliphs, Commanders of the Faithful, and above all the victorious Ali son of Abu Talib, on whom be the peace of the Lord! desire before the throne of God the release of Moslem prisoners. . . .”

He wrote letters, moreover, to the Governors of the various provinces, denouncing the treaty and threatening with expulsion from the sect and with death all Shias who refused to fight.

At the same time he took the more formal step of despatching an envoy to Constantinople with the laconic message, “Restore the provinces of Persia or prepare for war.” Having by these means excited the inhabitants of the country against their Shah, Nadir Kuli marched to Isfahan. There he upbraided Tahmasp, and then seized him and sent him prisoner to Khorasan; but, as he did not yet feel in a position to usurp the throne, he had recourse to the ancient device of an infant puppet in the person of a son of Tahmasp, and was himself proclaimed Regent.

The Battle of Karkuk, A.H. 1146 (1733).—Nadir’s second campaign opened with the siege of Baghdad, whose defender, Ahmad Pasha, after being defeated in the open, was prepared to offer a desperate resistance. The situation, however, was entirely changed by the advance of a powerful Turkish army under Topal\(^2\) Osman. Nadir unwisely divided his force and, leaving twelve thousand men to occupy the trenches before

\(^1\) Vol. xi. p. 236.

\(^2\) Topal signifies a “cripple.” As a young man, Osman had been badly wounded and he never recovered the full use of his legs.
Baghdad, marched north to meet the Turks at Karkuk or Kirkuk, near Samarra. The battle was one of the fiercest ever fought between the two nations. At first the Persians gained an advantage in defeating the Turkish cavalry, but the flight of the horsemen left the formidable Ottoman infantry unmoved, and its advance restored the battle. Nadir had expected aid from a body of Arabs, but they attacked one of his flanks. Gradually the battle went against the Persians, the horse of the Persian leader was twice shot under him, and his standard-bearer fled, believing him to be killed. This decided the day, and after eight hours' desperate fighting the Persian army was routed. The news quickly reached Baghdad, where the isolated Persian division was then annihilated. The main army fled in disorder and in a state of such demoralization that it was not re-formed until it reached Hamadan, two hundred miles from the battlefield.

Nadir's position must have been extremely critical after this disaster, but he rose to the occasion, and, instead of reproaching his soldiers, encouraged them by making good their losses and by every other means that was possible. So extraordinary were his personality and reputation that recruits flocked in from every district of Persia, and in less than three months after his crushing defeat he was ready once again to take the field with a powerful and well-equipped army.

The Persian Victory over Topal Osman, A.H. 1146 (1733).—The Turkish general after gaining this splendid victory became the victim of intrigues in Constantinople, as the result of which both pay and reinforcements for the army were withheld. Consequently he was in a position of marked inferiority at the opening of the new campaign. But he was no coward, and he sent his cavalry forward to meet the enemy at Leilan,1 near the Tigris. As in the previous battle, the Turks were unable to withstand the numerically stronger Persian mounted force, but on this occasion in their flight they swept away the infantry with them. Topal Osman, who was carried in a litter, was killed and the Turkish army was routed.

After wiping out his defeat by this signal victory Nadir marched on Baghdad, but hearing of a revolt in Fars he made peace with Ahmad Pasha. He then, by a forced march, surprised the rebel Mirza Mohamed Taki Khan Baluch, who

1 Both these battles were fought near Karkuk.
was defeated and brought a prisoner to Shiraz, where he committed suicide.¹

The Persian Victory of Baghavand, A.H. 1148 (1735).— The Sultan refused to ratify the treaty made by the Governor of Baghdad, and a fresh Turkish army was despatched under Abdulla Koprulu. Nadir immediately besieged Tiflis, Erivan, and Ganja with the design of forcing the Turkish leader to a general engagement. In this he was successful, as Abdulla, quitting his entrenched camp near Kars, advanced on Erivan at the head of 80,000 men, and attacked the Persians, who had retired to a chosen position on the plains of Baghavand. The Persian forces, though inferior in numbers, gained a complete victory. The Turks after experiencing crushing losses fled, the Ottoman general being among the slain. Tiflis, Ganja, and Erivan were the spoils of victory, and the Ottoman Court, taught by bitter experience, agreed to the terms of the Peace of Baghdad.

The Evacuation of the Caspian Provinces by Russia.— Upon the death of Peter the Great the forward policy was abandoned and the councillors of Anne, realizing that the situation in Persia had changed very much to their disadvantage, decided to evacuate the Caspian provinces. Mazanderan and Astrabad, which had never been occupied by the Russians, together with Gilan were restored to Persia by the Treaty of Resht in 1732.²

According to Persian accounts, Nadir sent an ultimatum to the Russian general requiring him to leave the country, on pain of being driven out by the royal farrashes, or servants. A Muscovite envoy was sent to Meshed to treat with Nadir, but the latter refused to give an immediate reply. The envoy accompanied the Persian camp, and one day was summoned by the great Conqueror, who had just gained a fresh victory. He found Nadir sitting on the ground eating bread with his hands and clothes reeking with blood, and when he inquired the reason of his being summoned, Nadir replied that he wished the envoy to see how he ate the coarsest fare with blood-stained hands: he could tell his master that such a man would never surrender Gilan.

The Surrender of Baku and Derbent to Nadir Kuli, 1735.—

¹ Baron de Bode in his Travels in Laristan (i. 239) refers to a hillock near Fahlian in the Behbehian district as the site of this action.
² Aitchison's Treaties, p. 5.
Three years after the evacuation of the Caspian provinces Russia saw that war with Turkey was again inevitable. Taking advantage of the situation, Nadir threatened to ally himself with the Turks unless Baku and Derbent were given up to him. Russia perforce yielded and thereby, for the time being, surrendered the last of the conquests of Peter the Great in this quarter. Yet that great Tsar’s policy of denying Turkey access to the Caspian had prevailed, as, with Nadir Kuli governing Persia, this question was not one to cause anxiety.

The Accession of Nadir Kuli to the Throne, A.H. 1148 (1736).—Nadir, who was now all-powerful with his army, took advantage of the death of the infant Shah to carry out his scheme of usurping the crown. The leading officials in Persia were invited to celebrate the No Ruz, or “New Year’s Day,” on the plain of Moghan, the celebrated meadow which stretches from the neighbourhood of Ardebil to the mouth of the Kur. Surrounded with all the attributes of power, the great Conqueror harangued the assembled dignitaries and exhorted them to choose a worthy Shah from among the princes of the blood. As he anticipated, he was unanimously requested to protect Persia and to ascend the vacant throne. After refusing daily for a month, he permitted himself at last to be persuaded by the prayers of the assembly, and so ended the farce.¹

The Abolition of the Shia Doctrines.—To his acceptance of the throne was attached the stipulation that the Persian nation should abandon the Shia heresy introduced by the founder of the Safavi dynasty and return to orthodoxy. In his rescript on the subject Nadir wrote: “Since the Shia schism has prevailed, this land has been constantly in disorder. Let us all become Sunnis and this will cease. But, as every national religion should have a head, let the holy Imam Jafar, who is of the family of the Prophet and whom we all reverence, be our head.” According to Hanway, the Chief Mujtahid arose and advised Nadir to confine himself to ruling in temporal matters; but the sudden death of this dignitary warned his fellow-doctors of law to refrain from opposition. The change was therefore formally approved by the great meeting, although inwardly it must have been detested by the

¹ Abraham of Crete, who was among the dignitaries invited to the plain of Moghan, gives a full account of the proceedings.
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large majority of the Persians who were present. In order to make the new departure less unpalatable, Nadir declared his fixed intention to add to the four orthodox sects of the Sunnis a fifth sect, the Jafarites. By this fundamental change, for which at most a formal assent was gained, Nadir doubtless hoped to make the people of Persia forget the illustrious Safavi dynasty; perhaps also he dreamed of ruling over a united Moslem empire which should include the Ottoman dominions. But, although for a while it was realized that Nadir Kuli alone was fitted to rule the land, no affection was ever felt for his family, and at his death those who rallied to protect it were few in number.

The Coronation of Nadir Shah.—In a magnificent hall erected for the purpose the crown of Persia was placed on the head of the Great Soldier at an hour selected by the leading astrologers as peculiarly auspicious. Nadir Shah, as he is termed henceforth, received the homage of his subjects seated on a jewel-encrusted throne, and in order to commemorate the occasion, coins were stamped bearing the following distich:

By gold in all the earth his kingship shall be famed,
Phoenix of Persia’s land, World-conqueror, Sovereign named.¹

Thus in pomp and splendour the Afshar shepherd, who by his military genius had freed Iran from the Afghans, the Turks, and other invaders, realized his dazzling ambition, and sat on the throne of Cyrus, of Noshirwan, and of Shah Abbas.

¹ The translation of this distich is taken from The Coins of the Shahs of Persia, by R. S. Poole.
CHAPTER LXXI

THE CONQUESTS OF NADIR SHAH

We, whose wishes were for such a day, after appointing guards for our camp, and invoking the support of an all-powerful Creator, mounted and advanced to the charge. For two complete hours the action raged with violence and a heavy fire of cannon and musketry was maintained. After that, by the aid of the Almighty, our lion-hunting heroes broke the line of the enemy and chased them from the field of battle, dispersing them in every direction.—From Nadir Shah's own account of the Battle of Karnal.

The Punitive Expedition against the Bakhtiaris. — Nadir Shah had undoubtedly resolved on a career of conquest long before he ascended the throne. Apart from his ambition he must have realized that he owed his position to the army and that to maintain it further successes were necessary. Moreover, to pay a large standing force was beyond the resources of exhausted Iran.

The first expedition he undertook after his coronation was against the Bakhtiaris.¹ These tribesmen had a few years previously killed their governor, and Nadir had invaded

¹ Owing to the influence of the Sirdar Assad, who lived at Teheran as a hostage and, like Mir Vais, learned the weakness of the central government, the Bakhtiaris have played a leading and profitable part in the recent struggles for constitutional government.
their country, which is described in the Jahangusha as follows:

"If the pen of description wished to give an idea of the route, so steep and so difficult, it would be lost in the forest of astonishment and confounded in the desert of feebleness."

On that occasion the savage Bakhtiaris, unable to resist the overwhelming forces employed, had submitted, and by way of punishment three thousand families had been transported to Khorasan. On the present occasion Nadir attacked another rebellious section of the tribe and led his troops into every corner and nook of the mountains. Probably realizing that the Bakhtiaris were driven to rob through poverty, as is the case to-day with the tribes on the north-west frontier of India, Nadir, after killing their chief and other prisoners, gave them better lands in a less inaccessible district. He also enrolled a body of their warriors in his army, a statesmanlike policy which proved conspicuously successful.

The Afghan Campaign, A.H. 1150–1151 (1737–1738).—Kandahar was governed by Husayn, brother of Mahmud the Captor of Isfahan. Being quite unable to meet Nadir’s army of eighty thousand men in the field, he shut himself up in the city, which was strongly fortified, fully provisioned, and held by a large garrison. Nadir Shah, after reconnoitring the position, came to the conclusion that it was too strong to besiege without heavy guns, and decided on a blockade. This operation he carried out with great thoroughness. Round the city a line of towers was constructed, twenty-eight miles in circumference, and in these infantry armed with muskets were stationed, so that Kandahar was effectually cut off from the surrounding country. But the city held out for a year, and Nadir then resolved to take more active steps.

Kandahar stands on the face of a hill, and was defended by a wall and by a number of towers which constituted outworks. The besiegers made themselves masters of some of these towers, to which with immense difficulty they dragged up guns, the Bakhtiaris earning special distinction by capturing a large tower which was the key of the position. Kandahar now lay at the mercy of Nadir, who treated it with statesmanlike moderation. He even enlisted a body of Afghans, who became some of his best and most faithful soldiers. Husayn fled, but afterwards surrendered and was interned in Mazanderan. Of the Ghilzais a large number were removed to the neighbourhood of Nishapur, whence
Abdali nomads were brought to take their place in the Kandahar district.

The siege of Kandahar reflects no glory on Nadir Shah, who blockaded it for a year without attempting to take it by other means. The event proved it to be by no means impregnable, and it would have speedily succumbed to determined assaults.

*The Expedition of Riza Kuli Mirza against Balkh.*—During the blockade of Kandahar, Nadir’s eldest son, Riza Kuli Mirza, was despatched from Khorasan with twelve thousand picked men to attack Balkh, whose chief had promised aid to Husayn. After a fierce assault, which lasted without intermission for three days and nights, the “Mother of Cities” surrendered. The Prince then crossed the Oxus and defeated an Uzbek army forty thousand strong. Nadir thereupon recalled him, being unwilling to entangle himself in another campaign at this juncture, and wrote to the King of Bokhara that he had ordered his son not to disturb countries “which were the inheritance of the descendants of Chengiz Khan and of the race of the Turkoman.”

*The State of India in A.H. 1151 (1738).*—During the tedious months which were spent in front of Kandahar, it is certain that Nadir frequently discussed an expedition against Delhi, which would be the natural sequel to a successful Afghan campaign. I therefore propose to devote a few words to the state of India.

The last great Moghul Emperor was Aurangzeb. At his death, in 1707, his empire stretched from Kabul to the Bay of Bengal. Indeed all India except the apex of the Deccan nominally obeyed him, although in the south his authority was limited to the forts and cities held by his garrisons. After his decease, the break-up of the empire began. The elusive Marathas, who had foiled all the efforts of Aurangzeb, steadily increased in power until even the Emperor had to pay them blackmail.

Mohamed Shah, the antagonist of Nadir, had succeeded to the throne in A.H. 1131 (1719). He was a worthless descendant of the Great Moghuls. Indolent and voluptuous, “never without a mistress in his arms and a glass in his hand,” this despicable monarch was a sorry contrast to the virile Nadir, and his unwarlike troops were wholly unfit to face the Persian veterans. Treachery also is believed to have
been at work, some of the leading nobles of India being in correspondence with Nadir and weakening the hands of the officers in command of the fortresses.

The Negotiations.—Nadir had apprised the Court of Delhi of his Afghan campaign and had requested that no fugitives should be allowed to find asylum across the frontier. His envoy, Ali Mardan, Shamlu, was informed that necessary instructions had been given to the officials concerned, and a second envoy received a similar reply. Nevertheless, fugitives freely escaped to Ghazni and Kabul, and it was evident that strict orders to prevent this had not been given. Nadir sent another envoy to remonstrate, but he was detained at Delhi. This was the state of affairs after the capture of Kandahar, and the Great Afshar, free now to move his army in any direction, despatched three fresh envoys with instructions to insist on a definite reply. Failing again, he wrote an indignant letter to the Emperor, but his messenger was killed by Valad Mir Abbas, the Governor of Jalalabad. The councillors of the Emperor, it would seem, failed to realize the seriousness of the position. They hoped that Kandahar would prove impregnable, and when it fell they felt certain that the Persian army would return to its own country, much as Mohamed Shah of Khwarazm had believed that the Mongol hordes would never cross the Oxus.

The Invasion of India.—From Kandahar Nadir marched north on Kabul, capturing Ghazni on the way. Kabul, the key to the Khyber Pass, which is the main land gate of India, offered a stout resistance, but was ultimately taken. The booty was rich, and included not only arms and jewels, but money, which was of the utmost value as a means of paying the troops. After this success the movements of the invaders were slow, as they were delayed by the tribes inhabiting the neighbourhood of the Khyber Pass, but before the disunited weaklings of Delhi realized what was happening, Nadir had taken Peshawar and crossed the Indus at Attock.

The Battle of Karnal, A.H. 1151 (1738).—Mohamed Shah was by this time really alarmed, and, having collected what troops he could, he marched to the plain of Karnal, on the right bank of the Jumna, some sixty miles from Delhi. There he formed an entrenched camp and supinely awaited the invader, who swiftly marched across the Panjab.

Nadir recognized the strength of the position, and was
in some doubt what course to pursue. Mohamed Shah, meanwhile, had received a reinforcement of thirty thousand men under Saadat Khan, one of the leading princes of India. Upon reporting his arrival to the Emperor he urged that battle must be given at once, to prevent the breaking up of the army from lack of supplies. Then, hearing that a detached force of six thousand Kurds was attacking and pillaging, Saadat Khan led his forces out and drove them off. On both sides reinforcements were hurried up and the engagement became general. Nadir employed his usual tactics of an ambush with much success, and Saadat Khan was defeated and taken prisoner. Another leading general was wounded, the elephants were frightened by fire-balls, and the vast Indian army was routed, though only a portion of the forces on either side had come into action.

Nadir’s own description of the battle, in a letter written to his son, has most fortunately been preserved, and deserves to be quoted at some length. ¹

This battle lasted two hours; and for two hours and a half more were our conquering soldiers engaged in pursuit. When one hour of the day remained, the field was entirely cleared of the enemy; and as the entrenchments of their camp were strong, and the fortifications formidable, we would not permit our army to assault it.

An immense treasure, a number of elephants, part of the artillery of the Emperor, and rich spoils of every description were the reward of our victory. Upwards of twenty thousand of the enemy were slain on the field of battle, and a much greater number were made prisoners. Immediately after the action was over, we surrounded the Emperor’s army, and took measures to prevent all communication with the adjacent country; preparing at the same time our cannon and mortars to level with the ground the fortifications which had been erected.

As the utmost confusion reigned in the imperial camp, and all discipline was abandoned, the Emperor, compelled by irresistible necessity, after the lapse of one day, sent Nizam-ul-Mulk, on Thursday, the seventeenth Zilkadeh (19th February), to our royal camp; and the day following, Mohamed Shah himself, attended by his nobles, came to our heavenlike presence, in an afflicted state.

When the Emperor was approaching, as we are ourselves of a Turkoman family, and Mohamed Shah is a Turkoman, and the lineal descendant of the noble House of Gurkan, we sent our dear son Nasrulla Khan beyond the bounds of our camp to meet him. The Emperor entered our tents and we delivered over to him the signet of our Empire. He remained that day a guest in our royal tent. Considering our

¹ Vide also the heading to this chapter.
affinity as Turkoman, and also reflecting on the honours that befitted
the majesty of a king of kings, we bestowed such upon the Emperor,
and ordered his royal pavilions, his family, and his nobles to be preserved:
and we have established him in a manner equal to his great dignity.

Persians love to recount how Nadir, in boasting of his
hardihood, swore to Mohamed Shah that during the whole
campaign he had never changed his clothes. To prove the
accuracy of his statement, he tore open his tunic to show
his under garments, which were worn to pieces.

The Surrender of Delhi and its Spoils.—Nadir marched in
triumph into Delhi, where he was entertained in the most
sumptuous fashion by Mohamed Shah, who handed over to
him the amassed wealth of his ancestors. Among the trophies
was the celebrated Peacock Throne, described by Tavernier
as follows:

The largest throne, which is set up in the hall of the first court, is
in form like one of our field beds, six feet long and four broad. The
cushion at the base is round like a bolster: the cushions on the sides
are flat. The under part of the canopy is all embroidered with pearls
and diamonds, with a fringe of pearls round about. Upon the top of
the canopy, which is made like an arch with four panes, stands a peacock
with his tail spread, consisting all of sapphirs and other proper coloured
stones. The body is of beaten gold enchas’d with several jewels, and
a great ruby upon his breast, at which hangs a pearl that weighs fifty
carats. On each side of the peacock stand two nosegays as high as
the bird, consisting of several sorts of flowers, all of beaten gold enamelled.
When the king seats himself on the throne there is a transparent jewel
with a diamond appendant of eighty or ninety carats, encompass’d with
rubies and emeralds, so hung that it is always in his eye. The twelve
pillars also that uphold the canopy are set with rows of fair pearl, round,
and of an excellent water, that weigh from six to ten carats of apiece.
This is the famous throne which Tamerlane began and Cha Jehan
finish’d, which is really reported to have cost 1,60 million and 500,000
livres of our money.

The value of the spoils was estimated at £87,500,000 by
Hanway, and the lowest estimate was £30,000,000. In any
case the sum was enormous and, had Nadir used it wisely
for the support of his army and for public works, it would
have proved the greatest blessing to impoverished Iran. As
it was, it converted him into a miser, and Persia never benefited

1 Curzon (vol. i. pp. 317-22) proves that the Peacock Throne at Teheran was made during
the reign of Fath Ali Shah.
during his lifetime by these vast treasures, which after his death were mostly dissipated and lost.¹

The Massacre.—An entirely peaceful ending to the campaign was disturbed by a rising in Delhi during the course of which some Persians were killed. Nadir attempted to quell the tumult but was obliged in the end to unleash his soldiers, who massacred and plundered and burned. Mohammed Shah interceded and the massacre was stopped, but not until part of the city had been destroyed by fire. I have visited Roshan-u-Dola mosque where, on this occasion, Nadir sat on a platform commanding a view in three directions. Close by is the Khuni Darwaza or “Gate of Blood,” where the massacre began; to-day in the Delhi bazar a “Nadir Shahi” signifies a massacre.

The Marriage of Nasrulla Khan.—To cement the alliance between the two monarchs, a daughter of the Moghul Emperor was married to Nasrulla, Nadir’s second son. The story runs that an account of the bridegroom’s pedigree for seven generations was demanded. The grim reply was: “He is son of Nadir Shah, the son of the sword, the grandson of the sword; and so on to seventy instead of seven generations.”

The Results of the Campaign.—By this campaign of a few months Nadir struck a blow which resounded all over the world. Until then, though he had indeed gained victories, he had merely recovered lost provinces of the Persian Empire. In this fortunate expedition he had won the fabulous “wealth of Ind,” and with it enduring fame. He showed the prudence of a statesman in replacing Mohamed Shah on the throne and threatening to attack any one who dared to disobey him. He realized that to hold Delhi was beyond his powers: at the same time he recovered all the provinces on the right bank of the Indus which had once formed part of the Persian Empire. Thus with power, fame, and wealth, the victor recrossed the Indus. On his march back to the Iranian plateau he readily paid blackmail to the tribes of the Khyber Pass in order to avoid all risk to his treasure, which he brought in safety to Kabul.

The Sind Expedition, A.H. 1151-1152 (1739).—The army

¹ Some years ago I purchased a coral necklace of Indian manufacture from an impoverished descendant of Nadir Shah. There is every reason to believe that it formed part of the spoils of Delhi.
remained for some time in the highlands of Afghanistan and the following winter was spent in an expedition into Sind, where Nadir wished to take possession of his newly acquired territories. He met with little or no resistance. Khudayar Khan Abbasi, against whom the campaign was chiefly directed, fled into the desert, but by means of a forced march on Amir-kot he was overtaken and induced to surrender. Abdul Karim mentions that when an inventory of his property was taken many articles looted by the Afghans at Isfahan were found. The conquered districts were divided into three provinces, and, after establishing his authority in them, the Great Afshar marched back to the uplands through Peshin and Kandahar. At Herat the army rested for forty days. Nadir Shah exhibited to wondering throngs the spoils of Delhi, including the celebrated Peacock Throne and a tent which is thus described: “The lining was of violet-coloured satin, upon which were representations of all the birds and beasts in the creation, with trees and flowers, the whole made of pearls, diamonds, rubies, emeralds, amethysts, and other precious stones.”

The victor also organized pageants and gave entertainments of every description.

The Campaign against Bokhara, A.H. 1153 (1740).—The campaign against the Uzbegs of Bokhara and Khiva was the corollary to a successful campaign in India. As mentioned in Chapter LXVI., these states were ruled by separate, but kindred, dynasties. From both countries hordes of raiders annually ravaged Khorasan: it was one of these bands which had, as already mentioned, carried off Nadir when a youth. As, moreover, they were unable to resist the Persian army, it is not difficult to divine the motives which induced the Conqueror of Delhi to add to his conquests.

The campaign was organized from Balkh, where large quantities of grain had been collected. These supplies were loaded into boats and the army marched down both banks of the Oxus to Charjui, where a bridge of boats was constructed across the river. Abul Fayz Khan, King of Bokhara, realizing that he was unable to resist the Persian veterans, submitted and proceeded to the camp of Nadir. The victor, after at first treating the descendant of Chengiz Khan with haughtiness and disdain, restored him to the throne on condition that the Oxus should, as in the days of old, constitute the boundary

1 Abdul Kurreem, p. 27.
of Persia. The treaty was cemented by a double marriage, he himself espousing a sister, and his nephew a daughter, of the Bokharan monarch. Finally, in accordance with precedent, eight thousand Uzbegs were enlisted in the Persian army.

The Conquest of Khiva, A.H. 1153 (1740).—After successfully adding Bokhara to his list of conquests, Nadir Shah carried out his scheme of subduing Khiva. The Turkoman nearly succeeded in capturing the bridge of boats and destroying the convoy of grain on which the existence of the army depended, but by a forced march they were forestalled. They fought desperately, and at one time it looked as if the Persian army, which was suffering from thirst, would be defeated, but Nadir rallied his troops and won the day. After this battle the army moved with precaution in four divisions, disposed to form advance, rear, and flanking guards, while the precious grain boats were protected by the artillery, escorted by a force of cavalry. The celebrated fortress of Hazar Asp was first besieged, but hearing that Ilbars Khan, the ruler of Khiva, was in the fort of Jayuk, Nadir relinquished the siege and surrounded the Khan, whom he forced to surrender. Before this campaign Nadir Shah had despatched ambassadors to the Khan of Khiva to demand the release of all Persians detained in slavery, but his envoys had been put to death except one, who was sent back in a mutilated condition. Ilbars Khan now had to pay the penalty for this act of savagery, and was put to death with twenty of his advisers. The people were not given over as a prey to the army, as it was realized that they were innocent.

Among the prisoners who were taken by Nadir on this campaign were two English members of Hanway's staff, Messrs. Thompson and Hogg, who were treated with much kindness, being given passports and promised redress in case of losses. Their travels and adventures, which certainly entitle them to a modest niche in the temple of fame, are given by Hanway. From a commercial point of view the enterprise was a failure, as there was little demand for their goods, and no profit was made commensurate with the great risks which were run.

A number of Persians and Russians, too, were freed from slavery. The former were settled in a village named Mauludghah, in the district of Darragaz, which Nadir gave
orders to found in commemoration of the fact that it was his birthplace, as the word implies. From Abdul Kurreem we learn that the mosque he erected was surmounted by “three golden vases one upon another, and at the top of all is fixed a scimitar of the same metal, implying that the sword issued from hence.” When I visited the ruins in 1913 I was informed that the founder of the Kajar dynasty had ordered the mosque and other buildings to be levelled to the ground.¹

Nadir Shah at the Zenith of his Power.—From Khiva Nadir marched to his beloved Kalat, where he ordered the erection of a palace and of a treasure-house for the spoils of Delhi. He then proceeded to Meshed, where he duly celebrated his victories.

Nadir was now at the zenith of his fame and power. In five years he had defeated Ashraf and Husayn, the Ghilzai chiefs, and had taken Kandahar. The victory over Mohamed Shah and the capture of Delhi were a far more splendid feat of arms, and his conquests were completed by his successful campaigns against Bokhara and Khiva. Nor was this all. The Turks had been twice defeated and had restored her lost provinces to the Persian Empire, which once again stretched from the Oxus on the north to the Indus on the south—a realm far exceeding that of the Safavis. Had Nadir possessed any administrative capacity, he might, by employing the immense material resources at his command, have restored to Persia her prosperity and happiness. But his character was spoiled by success, and the remaining years of his life are a record of ever-increasing cruelty and avarice, which made him detested as a bloody tyrant by the very people whom he had freed from the intolerable Afghan yoke.

¹ I have been given some sheets which contain the revenue accounts of the district of Darragaz for the year A.H. 1159 (1746). Among the items shown are charges on the land for the upkeep of the Mahudivak and of the grave of Imam Kuli. These documents have been presented by me to the Royal Asiatic Society.
CHAPTER LXXII

THE LAST YEARS OF NADIR SHAH

Who was it that restored the Persian Empire but the Persians; and who assisted the King to conquer India but the Persians? He has now a foreign force, and governs us with an army of Tartars.—A Persian’s complaint to Hanway.

The Lesgian Campaign, 1741–1742.—In Iran the proverb runs, “If any Persian King is a fool, let him march against the Lesghians,” a saying of which Nadir was destined to prove the truth. Inhabiting an uncultivated and almost inaccessible country in the recesses of Daghestan, these savage tribesmen raided Shirwan and other settled districts, and during the Indian campaign they killed Ibrahim Khan, the only brother of the Shah.

Nadir was bound in honour to avenge his death, and in the operations undertaken for this purpose he at first gained some advantage, his advance-guard composed of Afghans capturing a strong position. This success and the fame of Nadir caused certain sections of the tribe which inhabited less defensible country to submit, and they were transported with their families into Khorasan. Nadir then entered the Daghestan range, posting a force of eight thousand men to keep open his communications while the main body pursued the elusive Lesgians deeper and deeper among the densely timbered mountains. At length the tribesmen found their opportunity. They attacked both the army and the connecting force at a disadvantage, and inflicted heavy loss, even penetrating to the royal tent and carrying off some women and jewels. Furious at being baffled, Nadir fought on desperately; but supplies failed and he was forced to retreat on Derbent, where his shattered army would have starved but
for supplies shipped from Astrakhan. As Hanway points out, it was this bitter experience which proved to Nadir Shah the value of a fleet.

The Russian Government, alarmed by these operations, despatched a force, which encouraged the Lesgians to petition for Russian protection. The Shah, realizing that he had failed and that his failure would raise up a host of enemies whom his supposed invincibility had hitherto kept in check, retired in a sullen and angry mood.

The Blinding of Riza Kuli Mirza.—Nadir had marched from the scene of the Meshed festivities to the province of Shirwan by Astrabad and Mazanderan, and while traversing the forests of this province he was assailed by two Afghans. The bullet which one of these men fired grazed his right arm, wounded his hand, and struck his horse in the head. The assassins escaped in the thick brakes. Nadir was led to believe, whether rightly or wrongly, that Riza Kuli Mirza was the instigator of the plot. The young Prince was questioned and promised pardon if he confessed, but he asserted his innocence, and upon the close of the Lesgian campaign he was blinded. The character of the Prince closely resembled that of his father; hearing on one occasion a rumour that Nadir had lost his life in India, he had put Shah Tahmasp to death and had begun to assume the state of a monarch. He was harshly treated by Nadir on his return and cherished deep resentment, and it is at any rate possible that he was guilty. On the other hand, Nadir was exasperated by his failure against the Lesgians and would not hesitate to condemn on mere suspicion. He afterwards undoubtedly regretted his act, and it is stated that he put to death all the spectators of the blinding, on the pretext that they should have offered their lives to save the eyes of a prince who was the glory of Persia. Persians still remember the saying attributed to the blinded Prince, “It is not my eyes which you have put out, but those of Persia.”

Rebellions in Persia, 1743–1744.—The repulse of Nadir in Dagestan and the insecurity felt owing to his increasing cruelty were the chief causes of three rebellions which broke out in Shirwan, in Fars, and at Astrabad. In Shirwan, a

1 Hanway (iv. p. 226) gives a translation of the petition, which contains the following passage: “We are determined to hold the golden border of the Empress’s imperial robes, and in spite of all the evils that may threaten us, we will not be dragged from them...”
prettender named Sam, who claimed to be a son of Shah Husayn, raised the country and with the aid of the Lesgians defeated a body of two thousand troops. Nadir detached a force of twenty-five thousand men, which after much hard fighting drowned the rebellion in blood. The Pretender was taken prisoner and deprived of one eye, and then sent to Constantinople with the following message: "Nadir disdains to take the life of so despicable a wretch, although the 'Grand Signior' has espoused his cause." 1

The trouble at Shiraz arose out of the failure of Taki Khan, the Governor of Fars, in certain expeditions in the Persian Gulf. Hearing that he was in consequence to be sent a prisoner to the camp, he revolted, but an army of eighteen thousand men captured Shiraz and crushed the rebellion with awful severity. Taki Khan was taken and deprived of one eye, and his relations were put to death. The revolt of the Kajars of Astrabad will be referred to when we come to the adventures of Hanway. Mohamed Husayn Khan, their chief, was defeated by a force of only fifteen hundred men, and the Astrabad province was ruined by the executions and destruction of property, of which Hanway gives a most graphic description.

The Last Campaign against Turkey, 1743–1745.—The last campaign which Nadir fought against Turkey was due to the Sultan's refusal to recognize the Jafar sect, concerning which the following decision had been given by the religious leaders: "It is permitted to kill and to make prisoners of the people of Iran, and the new sect is contrary to the true belief." The Persian monarch had completely failed in his attempt to reunite the Persians with the Turks, and as he was hated by all good Shias his position was by no means enviable.

However, he was able to inflict one more crushing defeat on the Turks, who were encouraged by the internal state of Persia to risk another trial of strength. For a long time nothing decisive happened, the Turks acting on the defensive with success at Mosul, Kars and elsewhere, while the Persians lost thousands of men in unsuccessful assaults.

Finally, in A.H. 1158 (1745), a large Turkish army, under Yakan Mohamed Pasha, advanced from Kars prepared to fight a battle. Nadir, whose skill as a tactician had not been impaired, resolved to meet this great host on the same ground

1 This is Hanway's account. In the Jahangusha a different message is given.
on which he had defeated Abdulla Pasha. The Turkish leader, advancing at the head of one hundred thousand cavalry and forty thousand infantry, halted close to the Persian army and fortified his camp. The next day the two armies met, and after a series of combats extending over four days the Persians gained a most decisive victory. The Turks were driven back to their camp, where they murdered their general, and then fled in hopeless disorder. Nadir captured the whole of the artillery and military stores, and many thousands of the enemy were killed or made prisoners. After this brilliant success fresh proposals for peace were made by the victors. Nadir agreed to waive his pretensions concerning the new sect, the prisoners were released, and peace was made on the terms fixed in the treaty with Murad IV.

*The Pioneer Journeys of Elton, 1739-1742.*—In Chapters LXII. and LXIV. an epitome was given of early efforts to trade with Persia across Russia in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Peter the Great, realizing that his subjects were incapable of organizing commerce with Persia, made overtures to Englishmen to undertake the work, but with his death the scheme fell through. A few years later, in 1738, or just a century after the Holstein Mission, an attempt was made by John Elton to revive the scheme. This intrepid Englishman had served the Russian Government in the Orenburg expedition, in which he had explored much unknown country; he had also made enquiries about trade with Khiva and Bokhara.

In 1739 Elton made a pioneer journey down the Volga, intending to proceed to Khiva and Bokhara; but, on learning that the Persians were invading those countries, he decided to ship his goods to Resht. There his reception was remarkably friendly. On the advice of the Persian governor he petitioned Riza Kuli Mirza, who was then Viceroy of Persia, for a farman, which was granted and couched in the most favourable terms. Elated at his success, Elton returned to England, where he painted in glowing language the prospects of the new opening and obtained strong support. He pointed out that Meshed was now the capital; that it was too far from the Persian Gulf for the operations of the East India Company, but was accessible from the Caspian Sea, and that it would also form an excellent entrepôt for trade.

1 In the *Gentleman’s Magazine* for 1742 there are four papers dealing with this question, two of them consisting of reports by Elton.
with Khiva and Bokhara. Against these advantages had to be set the miserably poor state of exhausted Persia and the circumstance that this trade opening was not new, but was already used by the Armenians trading between Holland and Persia, who knew the language and customs of Persia and were hostile to the new-comers. Moreover, it was longer than the route via Aleppo, and was open for only half the year. On the other hand, the Armenians were oppressed by heavy illegal taxes which the Englishmen would escape, and practically no English cloth reached Northern Persia from Smyrna.

The necessary permission was obtained from the Russian Government, and two ships were built at Kazan and launched in 1742. Elton was in charge, with one Woodroffe in command of the ship; but soon after his arrival at Resht he quarrelled with the Russian Consul. In the following year, as the result of overtures made by the Persian authorities, Elton suddenly entered the service of Nadir Shah.

The Adventures of Jonas Hanway, 1743.—His acts had naturally disturbed the English factors at Petrograd, who realized that they would provoke Russian hostility, and Jonas Hanway was despatched to assume charge. Passing through Astrakhan, he found that the Russians were opposed to British activity, which threatened their own trade, the ships built at Kazan being greatly superior to anything which then sailed on the Caspian.

Hanway, after discussing the situation with Elton, decided to take his cargo to Astrabad and Meshed, and with this object sailed to Astrabad Bay. He reached Astrabad city without incident, but before he could leave it, Mohamed Husayn Khan, the Kajar chief, seized the place. The Turkoman who had joined in the Kajar expedition, not content with receiving the Englishman’s goods, asked for the merchants as slaves to tend their sheep! The Kajar Khan, however, saved Hanway from this fate and he was permitted to leave Astrabad. He determined to seek justice from Nadir Shah, and having with the utmost difficulty traversed Mazanderan he returned to Langar Rud, where Elton befriended him, and to Resht, where he refitted for the onward journey. He reached the royal camp at Hamadan safely, and was readily granted an order for the restitution of his goods, or, in default, for payment of their value. This
necessitated a second journey to Astrabad, where he was a
witness of the awful punishments meted out to the rebels
and saw two pyramids of piled-up heads.

*The Closing of British Trade across the Caspian, 1746.*—
The Russian Government was alarmed, and not without
reason, at Elton's action, and as a first step stopped the con-
signment of goods to him across Russia. In vain the Russian
Company made handsome offers to the wayward Englishman
if he would quit Persia. By way of response he procured an
order from Nadir in 1745 forbidding his departure.

In the following year the Russian Government issued
a decree absolutely prohibiting the British trade across the
Caspian and assigning Elton's behaviour as the reason. This
was the death-blows to the venture. In the following year,
after the murder of Nadir Shah, the factory at Resht was
plundered of goods to the value of £80,000, for which resti-
tution was never made. The factors left Resht, and thus
ended in failure the second attempt to trade with Persia
across Russia, although as in the case of the earlier venture
our annals are enriched by the achievements of Englishmen
such as Hanway, Elton, and Woodroofe, who won fame as
explorers and pioneers.

*The Naval Ambitions of Nadir Shah.*—No better illustra-
tion can be found of the influence of physical conditions on
character than the invincible repugnance to the sea which the
Persians, who are cut off from it by mountain barriers, have
always shown, a repugnance which is as strong to-day as
when Hafiz gave up his voyage to India. Nadir Shah
deserves credit for being the first monarch of Persia who
realized the value of a fleet, and his naval policy was strenu-
ously supported by his Admiral of the Coast, although that
officer, when appointed, had never seen a ship. In January
1743, Elton was appointed Chief Naval Constructor and
given the title of Jamal Beg.1

Not content with merely building ships, Elton, under the
instructions of his royal master, surveyed the east coast of the
Caspian as far north as Cheleken Island.2 Nadir's plan was
to keep in check the Turkoman pirates and to strengthen the
claims of Persia along this coast by the establishment of a

1 The Turki form is "Gemal," and it must be remembered that Turki was Nadir's
mother-tongue.
2 Captain Woodroofe's interesting account is given in Hanway, l. 130-38. On p. 161
Nadir's plans are set forth and reference is made to the energy displayed by Elton.
fortified position. Moreover, he hoped by means of a fleet to be able, both to turn the flank of the enemy and to supply his troops when operating against the Lesghians, and, as Hanway puts it, "the ambition of sharing the trade and Sovereignty of the Caspian might also be a concurring inducement."

Elton was a genius. Making his headquarters at Langar Rud, the port of Lahijan, in a pestilential climate, he set to work to overcome all difficulties. Timber was hewn and brought down to the coast; sail-cloth was woven of cotton, and cords were twisted from flax. Anchors, not being procurable locally, were fished for. The local population, working without pay, was bitterly hostile to the new forced labour, but Elton, with only one English carpenter, a few Russians, and a few Indians, launched a ship mounting twenty three-pounders. The Russian Government viewed this naval activity in the interests of Nadir Shah with open hostility, but Elton stayed on after the assassination of his master until he was shot in a local rebellion, in 1751. After his death the whole scheme collapsed.

In the Persian Gulf, too, Nadir made a bid for sea-power. He collected a fleet of twenty vessels manned by Portuguese and Indians, which made the power of Persia a reality instead of a shadow in those waters. He also built a dockyard and at terrible cost in human suffering transported timber right across Persia for the use of his shipwrights. Here again, after assassination had removed the master-mind, the Persian fleet ceased to exist, and only a half-finished ship at Bushire, referred to by later travellers, remained to prove that a dockyard had once existed.

The Assassination of Nadir Shah, A.H. 1160 (1747).—The last years of the reign of Nadir Shah are described in the partial pages of the Jahangusha as exceeding in barbarity all that has been recorded of the most bloodthirsty tyrants. Wherever he passed he constructed pyramids of heads and drove the miserable remnant of his subjects to inhabit caves and desert places. There was an almost general rebellion against the tyrant. Ali Kuli Khan, his nephew, who had been deputed to reduce Sistan, joined the Sistanis and proclaimed himself Shah, thereby increasing the anarchy of the kingdom. Among others, the Kurds of Kuchan rebelled. Nadir marched on Kuchan, and in his camp, two farsakhs
away, met his fate at the hands of one of his own tribesmen. There is no reason to doubt that his assailants acted in self-preservation, having heard that they were to be seized and put to death. The Shah’s tents were pitched on a low mound, —which has been pointed out to me,—and late at night Mohamed Salah Khan and Mohamed Kuli Khan Afshar entered the royal enclosure. After a search they discovered and attacked Nadir, who died fighting. Although surprised in his sleep, he killed two of the assassins before Salah Khan, the captain of the guard, struck him to the ground.

**His Character.**—The character of Nadir Shah is not difficult to analyse. Endowed with splendid physique, a fine appearance, a voice of thunder, dauntless courage and resolution, he was a born leader of men, and with his battle-axe he hewed his way to fame. He had a marvellous memory and abundant virility and he proved himself a great tactician. Generous at first, and, as we learn from Abraham of Crete, ready to overlook errors, he became a miser after securing the spoils of Delhi. Moderate in his early campaigns and averse from needless bloodshed, he was possessed later on with an unquenchable thirst for blood. As Mirza Mehdi states, the repulse by the Lesgians and, still more, the blinding of his son drove him into the awful excesses by which he is remembered.

Bred a Sunni, he showed intense hostility to the Shia religious leaders and confiscated the huge revenues which they enjoyed. He attempted to reunite Islam by the abolition of the Shia doctrine, but was wholly unsuccessful. Later he dreamed of founding a new religion, and with this end in view had translations made of both the Jewish Scriptures and the New Testament.

As an administrator, too, he failed completely. Although ready to punish injustice with severity, he did not realize that in order to secure his position he must restore content and prosperity to Persia. He remitted three years’ taxes in celebration of his victory in India, but afterwards, with incredible folly, cancelled this decree and ordered the collection of every farthing. Hanway describes how his couriers were a curse to the country and how villages were everywhere fortified to resist their entrance. Indeed, the whole of victorious Iran was laid waste as if by an enemy and the population disappeared. To the millions hoarded at Kalat
other sums were added, and all jewels were seized on the pretext that they must have been stolen at Delhi. Had Nadir been wise enough to unlock the doors of his treasure-house and support his army on the millions acquired in India, prosperity would quickly have returned to Iran and his dynasty might have endured.

Sir Mortimer Durand has pointed out the curious similarity between Nadir, the last great conqueror in Asia, and Napoleon, the last great conqueror in Europe, both in the extent of their conquests and in their deterioration of character as a consequence of unbridled power. Had Nadir Shah died after the campaigns in India, Bokhara, and Khiva, he would have been the national hero for all time. Unfortunately he lived to become justly hated by the nation which he had saved from dismemberment.
CHAPTER LXXIII

THE SHORT-LIVED ZAND DYNASTY

It is pleasing to recount the actions of a chief, who, though born in an inferior rank, obtained power without crime, and who exercised it with a moderation that was, in the times in which he lived, as singular as his justice and humanity.—Sir John Malcolm on Karim Khan.

Ahmad Khan, Durrani.—The assassination of Nadir Shah was a signal for the break-up of his composite army. The act of the conspirators was approved of by all its leaders except Ahmad Khan, Durrani, who commanded the Afghan and Uzbek contingents. With this force, ten thousand strong, the Afghan chief sought to avenge his fallen leader, but he was defeated and retreated to Kandahar, where he founded a kingdom. The sinews of war he obtained by the fortunate capture of a treasure convoy containing part of the spoils of Delhi, and among the jewels seized on this occasion was the famous diamond known as the Kuh-i-Nur, or "Mountain of Light," which now adorns the crown of the British sovereign. Ahmad Khan reduced the whole of Afghanistan and took both Herat and Meshed. He also invaded India repeatedly and annexed Kashmir, Sind, and part of the Panjab. He even held Delhi for a time. His great feat of arms was the defeat of the Marathas at Panipat in A.D. 1761.

Adil Shah, a.h. 1160-1161 (1747-1748).—Ali Kuli, nephew of Nadir, succeeded him on the throne under the title of Adil Shah, or "The Just." His first act was to issue a proclamation in which he accepted responsibility for the murder of a tyrant who "delighted in blood and, with unheard-of barbarity, made pyramids of heads of his own subjects." ¹
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He despatched a force to Kalat-i-Nadiri which massacred all the members of the family of the Great Afshar and seized upon his treasures. An exception was made in favour of Shah Rukh Mirza, a boy of fourteen, who was the son of the unfortunate Riza Kuli by Fatima, daughter of Shah Husayn. Adil Shah, after a short, inglorious reign, was dethroned and blinded by his brother Ibrahim, who in turn was defeated, made prisoner by his own troops, and put to death when on the way to Meshed. Adil Shah was also put to death.

_Shah Rukh._—Shah Rukh then ascended the throne. It might have been thought that his descent and noble qualities would have made his rule universally acceptable in Persia, but a rival appeared in the person of Mirza Sayyid Mohamed, son of a leading doctor of the law of Meshed. This _mujahid_ had married a sister of Shah Husayn, and his son, by raising the cry that Shah Rukh intended to continue his grandfather’s policy of subverting the Shia doctrine, collected a force which defeated that of Shah Rukh. The monarch was taken prisoner and blinded; but Yusuf Ali, his general, in whose absence he had been overpowered, appeared on the scene, seized the pretender, who had taken the name of Sulayman, and after blinding him put him and his two sons to death.

Shah Rukh was restored to the throne, with Yusuf Ali as Regent. Very shortly after this settlement two chiefs, Mir Alum Khan and Jafar Khan, commanding respectively a body of Arabs and a body of Kurds, defeated Yusuf Ali, and of course blinded him, while the ill-starred Shah Rukh was relegated to prison. Needless to say, the two chiefs speedily quarrelled, Mir Alum was the victor, and the vanquished Jafar Khan was added to the long list of blind men.

Ahmad Shah, who had rapidly consolidated his power, had advanced from Sistan on Herat in A.D. 1749. Shah Rukh had despatched Yusuf Ali to meet him, and it was during his absence on this duty that the Shah had been defeated and captured. Ahmad Shah after occupying Herat marched against Meshed. Mir Alum met him, but was defeated and killed, and Meshed surrendered. With a moderation both rare and sagacious, Ahmad Shah, after adding Herat and Sistan to his kingdom, decided to constitute Khorasan a separate state under Shah Rukh, but acknowledging Afghan suzerainty. The Afghans, it is interesting to note, have

1 _Mujahid_ signifies literally "one who strives (after knowledge)."
never forgotten that the Pul-i-Abrisham, or "Bridge of Silk," some seventy miles to the west of Sabzawar on the Meshed-Teheran road, was once the western boundary of their empire.

The Origin of the Kajar Tribe.—The Kajar tribe is of Turkish origin. Settled for a long time in Armenia, it was brought to Persia by Tamerlane. As already mentioned, it was one of the Kizilbash tribes which supported the Safavi dynasty. Shah Abbas divided the Kajars into three sections. Of these, one was established at Merv, a second in Georgia, and the third—which was subdivided into the Yukhari-bash and Ashagha-bash, or "upper" and "lower" branches—on the River Gurgan. It is with the Gurgan section alone that we are concerned.

The head of the "upper branch" was looked upon as the chief of the whole tribe until Fath Ali Khan became the Commander-in-Chief of Shah Tahmasp, and when holding this appointment transferred the chieftainship to the "lower branch."

Mohamed Husayn Khan, Kajar.—Upon the assassination of Fath Ali Khan by Nadir, that general naturally favoured the upper branch, and Mohamed Husayn Khan, son of Fath Ali Khan, fled to the Turkoman. By their aid he for a time occupied Astrabad and incidentally looted Hanway's goods, as mentioned in the previous chapter; but until the death of Nadir Shah he was unable to effect anything of importance. Upon the assassination of that tyrant he raised a force with which he opposed Ahmad Shah successfully and occupied the Caspian provinces. He was thus in a position to fight for the throne.

Azad the Afghan and Mardan Ali Khan, Bakhtiari.—Azerbaijan was at this time occupied by Azad, one of Nadir's Afghan generals, who after warring with the Prince of Georgia had made a treaty of peace, by the terms of which the Aras was to serve as the boundary of Persia. In Central Persia another pretender was Ali Mardan, a Bakhtiari chief, who obtained possession of Isfahan in the name of a puppet Safavi prince termed Ismail, and placed him on the throne.

Karim Khan, Zand.—A fourth pretender was Karim Khan, son of Aymak of the Zand, a section of the Lak tribe.¹ Born to no high position, Karim had served Nadir as a soldier without special distinction. He often told the story how, being

¹ This ancient Aryan tribe has its pastures in the vicinity of Shiraz. I met a section of the Lak to the south of Kerman, vide Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 428.
in want, he had stolen a gold-embossed saddle from a saddler’s shop, but learning that the saddler had been sentenced to be hanged on account of its loss, he was conscience-stricken and restored it; and he heard with pleasure the prayer of the saddler’s wife that the man who brought the saddle back might live to have a hundred gold-embossed saddles. At the period to which this anecdote relates Karim was evidently a private soldier, but when we first hear of him at Isfahan he had, by sheer force of character, risen to power, and had joined the Bakhtiari chief on equal terms. As invariably happened in such combinations, jealousies arose, and Ali Mardan marked down the Zand for death. The latter, however, rode off with his following, and shortly after the rupture the Bakhtiari was assassinated. Karim Khan thereupon became the sole ruler of Southern Persia, and by his kindness, generosity, and justice won all hearts.

The Triangular Contest for Power.—The position in Persia was extremely curious. Khorasan was left in the undisturbed possession of Shah Rukh, while Karim Khan, Mohamed Husayn Khan, and Azad fought for the throne. Each in turn seemed likely to win, but the final victory lay with the popular Zand chief.

The opening battle was fought between the Zand and the Kajar on the borders of Mazanderan. After a hot contest the Kajar won, but was unable to pursue owing to the advance of the Afghan. The latter had invaded Gilan, but on hearing of the victory of the Kajar retreated. Meanwhile Karim Khan had reorganized his forces and prepared to attack, not Mohamed Husayn Khan as might be supposed, but Azad. The Afghan shut himself up in Kazvin and from this centre was able to drive off the Zand chief. Again Karim Khan retired on Isfahan and again he advanced. On this occasion, in A.H. 1166 (1752), he was defeated and was pursued right across Persia, past Isfahan, to Shiraz. Even at his capital he was unable to find refuge; but, fleeing towards Bushire, he induced Rustam Sultan, chief of Kisht, to come to his rescue. On one of the difficult "ladders" of the Bushire road, known as the Kotal-i-Kamarij, the Afghans pursued Karim Khan, who awaited them in the valley below. No sooner were they entangled in the almost perpendicular descent than Rustam Sultan attacked them. The Afghans, caught in a trap, fought bravely; but their army was almost annihilated, some fugitives
alone escaping, and Karim Khan, reinforced by the Arab chiefs, was soon back at Shiraz.

The Final Campaign, A.H. 1171 (1757).—The defeat of Azad was followed by a campaign in Azerbaijan, in the course of which the Kajar captured the chief centres of the province. Azad disappeared from the list of pretenders, and after having been for some time a fugitive, surrendered to Karim Khan. The extraordinary confidence in the high character of his rival which this surrender showed was fully justified, for Azad was treated with the utmost kindness and generosity.

In the following year Mohamed Husayn Khan marched south against the Zand chief with a strong army fresh from victories in Azerbaijan, and the prize seemed within his grasp. Karim Khan, unable to meet him in the field, held Shiraz, and harassed the foraging parties of the invaders. He had carefully provisioned the city, but had laid the neighbourhood waste. He then applied himself to corrupting the leaders of the Kajar army, and with such success that Mohamed Husayn Khan, deserted by contingent after contingent, was forced to retire without fighting a single engagement. The last blow in the campaign was struck by Shaykh Ali Khan, under whom served the picked troops of the Zand chief. Mohamed Husayn Khan was at a disadvantage owing to a quarrel with the chief of the rival branch of the Kajars, which reduced his strength. Forced to fight, he held his ground as long as there was any hope, and then attempted to escape, but was recognized by the chief of the upper branch, who pursued and killed him. This ended the triangular duel between the three claimants, from which Karim Khan by reason of his personal popularity emerged victorious, although frequently unsuccessful in the field.

The Reign of Karim Khan, A.H. 1163–1193 (1750–1779).—The total length of Karim Khan's reign was twenty-nine years, and for over twenty he was undisputed ruler of Persia. He refused the title of Shah—the puppet Ismail was kept in captivity at Abadeh—and termed himself Vakil, or Regent. Shiraz was his capital, and the fine buildings, of which it still boasts, were all erected by him.

Of his justice, his sense of humour, and his kindliness, I heard many instances when living at Shiraz, where his name is still loved and revered. To give a single instance, he was so anxious that his subjects should be happy that if in any
quarter of the town no music was heard he invariably inquired what was wrong, and paid musicians to play there. To quote a Persian writer, “The inhabitants of Shiraz enjoyed the most perfect tranquillity and happiness. In the society of moon-faced damsels they passed their leisure hours; the sparkling goblet circulated; and love and pleasure reigned in every breast.” In close touch with the people, affecting no state and yet shrewd and capable, Karim Khan gave exhausted Iran two decades of sorely needed rest, and when he died at a great age the homely Zand chief was genuinely and deeply mourned.¹

The Occupation of Kharak by the Dutch.—During the anarchy that prevailed in Persia the Dutch Government, whose representative, Baron Kniphausen, had been ill-treated and imprisoned at Basra, seized the island of Kharak at the head of the Persian Gulf. This act enabled Kniphausen to blockade the Shatt-ul-Arab and compelled the Governor of Basra to make full amends for his misconduct. Thanks to Dutch protection, the barren island became a thriving emporium and the population of one hundred poverty-stricken fishermen expanded into a prosperous town of twelve thousand inhabitants. The Dutch held Kharak for some years until it was taken from them by a notorious pirate, Mir Mohanna of Bandar Rig, after which its prosperity and its population alike disappeared.

The Foundation of the English Factory at Bushire, A.D. 1763.—The Afghan invasion and the period of confusion that followed were responsible for the closing of most of the European factories in Persia, and some of them were not reopened. The British factory at Bandar Abbas was closed in 1761 owing to the extortions of the Governor of Lar, and in 1763 Bushire, the port of Shiraz, was selected as a new centre for commercial activity. Karim Khan was anxious to foster this British trade, and his farman, of which I give a copy,² was highly favourable in its terms. In 1770 Bushire

¹ In A Tour to Sheera, by E. S. Waring (1808), an interesting account is given of Karim Khan and the later Zand Princes.
² Royal Grant from Karim Khan, King of Persia, conferring various Privileges on the English, and granting Permission to them to establish a Factory at Bushire, and to Trade in the Persian Gulf. Schyrash, 2nd July 1763.
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Art. 1. “The English Company may have as much ground, and in any part of Bushire, as they choose to build a factory on, or at any other port in the Gulf. They may have as many
was relinquished in favour of Basra, but three years later it was reoccupied, the factory at Basra being retained with it. Since that date Bushire has been the chief centre of British activity in the Persian Gulf. The trade was at first miserably small, only one ship being despatched annually from Bombay, and until 1790 the establishment was maintained at an annual loss; but from that date onward trade increased by leaps and bounds.

The Expedition against Basra, A.H. 1189–1190 (1775–1776).—Karim Khan, jealous of the increased importance of Basra, which was largely diverting the trade of India from the ports of the Persian Gulf, and, being faced also with discontent in his army, decided to despatch an expedition against the Turkish emporium; and, seeking a pretext, he demanded the head of the Wali of Baghdad as a punishment for daring to levy a tax on Persian pilgrims to Kerbela. The Turks naturally refused and the Persian army was set in motion against Basra, which was taken by Sadik Khan, brother of the Regent, after a blockade of thirteen months. He treated the citizens justly, and was particularly friendly to the British Resident. No attempt seems to have been made by the Turks to recover Basra, but upon the death of Karim Khan a few years later it was evacuated by the Persians and fell again into their hands.

Zaki Khan.—Upon the death of the Vakil in 1779, furious rivalries and ambitions were again unchained. Not only was the Zand family weakened by family feuds and assassinations, but the long struggle for power between it and the Kajar

---

Quoted from Herstel's Treaties, p. 11.
dynasty was renewed—a struggle which ended in the victory of the Kajars, who have ever since remained the ruling family of Iran. Besides his brother Sadik Khan, the captor of Basra, Karim Khan had also a half-brother on his mother’s side named Zaki Khan. This man had once rebelled and had been pardoned. He was subsequently appointed to command an expedition to Damghan, where Husayn Kuli Khan,\(^1\) Kajar, had revolted. This rising he quelled with barbarous cruelty, fastening his prisoners to stakes and then “planting” them head foremost in the ground. He had displayed similar ferocity elsewhere, and in consequence his name was both feared and execrated all over Persia.

After the death of Karim Khan, Zaki Khan at once usurped the government. A number of the Zand chiefs seized the Shiraz fort and declared for Abul Fattah Khan, son of the \textit{Vakil}. Zaki Khan, who was supported by his nephew Ali Murad, also declared for Abul Fattah, and on the strength of this made terms with the chiefs in the fort; but the moment they surrendered he handed them over to the executioner.

Sadik Khan, who evacuated Basra upon hearing of the death of his brother, was prepared to fight for the throne; but when Zaki gave out that he would kill the families of Sadik’s adherents in Shiraz his army deserted him, and he fled to the fort of Bam in the Kerman province.

Zaki Khan, freed from internal troubles, sent Ali Murad with a picked force to operate against the Kajar pretender Aga Mohamed, who was destined to found a dynasty; but the young Prince, disgusted with Zaki, persuaded his troops to rebel and seized Isfahan in the name of Karim Khan’s heir. Zaki Khan immediately collected a force and marched on Isfahan. At the picturesque village of Yazdikhast he claimed from the inhabitants a sum of money which they were charged with having hidden; and upon their denying all knowledge of the matter he sentenced eighteen of the leading villagers to be thrown down the precipice on which the fort stands. He then sent for a \textit{Sayyid}, or descendant of the Prophet, whom he charged with being concerned in the same matter, and although he protested his innocence he in turn was stabbed and thrown over the precipice, while his wife and daughter were given over to the tender mercies

\(^1\) Husayn Kuli Khan was the father of Fath Ali Shah.
of the soldiers. That night Zaki Khan was assassinated by his own officers.

Abul Fatteh, Ali Murad, and Sadik.—Abul Fatteh, a weak and unambitious youth, was now placed on the throne, but his uncle Sadik, returning from Bami, conspired against him and blinded him. Ali Murad, who had appeared again on the scene, fought at first in the interests of Abul Fatteh, but subsequently avowed himself a claimant for the throne. A force under Sadik Khan's son, Ali Naki, defeated and dispersed the army of Ali Murad; whereupon the young Prince, intoxicated with this easy success, wasted his time in the palaces of Isfahan while Ali Murad was collecting a formidable army. In a second battle, fought near Hamadan, Ali Murad gained a complete victory; he then marched on Shiraz, which he blockaded for eight months and took in A.H. 1195 (1781). Upon its capture Sadik Khan was put to death, together with all his sons except Jafar, who had previously made terms with the victor.

The Reign of Ali Murad, A.H. 1196-1199 (1782-1785).—Ali Murad was now ruler of Persia and transferred the seat of government to Isfahan. From this centre he directed operations against the Kajars. At first his son Shaykh Ovays was successful, capturing Sari and defeating the Kajar chief. But the commander sent in pursuit of the beaten foe became entangled in the defiles, and his force was cut to pieces. This disaster threw the main body into a panic, Sari was abandoned, and Mazanderan was evacuated in disorder.

Ali Murad, after punishing the runaways, raised a second army for operations in Mazanderan, which he was supporting in person when he heard that Jafar had revolted and was marching on Isfahan from Zanjani. Although he was ill and the season was mid-winter, Ali Murad insisted on returning to Isfahan, but died on the road at Murchikhar, the scene of the second defeat of the Afghans by Nadir. Ali Murad was highly thought of by Aga Mohamed, who used to say, "Let us wait until that respectable, blind man (Ali Murad had lost one of his eyes) is out of the way, and then, but not before, we may succeed if we advance into Irak."

Jafar, A.H. 1199-1203 (1785-1789).—Jafar now came forward on the pretence of restoring order and invited Shaykh Ovays to Isfahan to ascend the throne. With extraordinary folly the young Prince trusted the man whose father had been
murdered by his own father, and entered Isfahan ahead of his army. There he was seized and blinded.

The protagonists in the struggle for the throne of Persia were now Aga Mohamed and Jafar. The former marched south as far as Kashan, and after defeating the army sent against him advanced on Isfahan. On his approach Jafar fled to Shiraz. Aga Mohamed now abandoned his true objective for minor operations in the Bakhtiar country, from which in the end he was driven back in disorder to Teheran. Jafar thereupon marched north again and retook Isfahan. He then engaged in a campaign against his cousin Ismail Khan, who had revolted while filling the post of Governor of Hamadan, but was defeated in A.H. 1201 (1786) and forced to retire. He also failed in an attack on Yezd, whose Governor received aid from Tabas, a semi-independent district of Khorasan. Aga Mohamed, having meanwhile united all the sections of his tribe, again drove Jafar out of Isfahan and followed him to Shiraz, but being unable to capture that city, returned to Isfahan. Jafar detached his son Lutf Ali to subdue the province of Lar; this he accomplished and then proceeded to Kerman. Isfahan was taken once again, and once again abandoned, and Jafar retired finally to Shiraz. There, as the result of a conspiracy, poisoned food was given to him, and, the prisoners having been released, his death was hastened by a more summary form of assassination.

The Accession of Lutf Ali Khan.—Lutf Ali was now obliged to flee from his own army at Kerman to escape his father's fate. He took refuge with the Arab chief of Bushire, thanks to whose support he was enabled to enter Shiraz. There he put to death Sayyid Murad, its Governor, who had declared himself King, and then ascended the throne. Shortly afterwards Aga Mohamed marched south and was attacked by the young Prince, who, however, was soon compelled to retire on Shiraz owing to the defection of one of his contingents. As before, Shirza remained impregnable and Aga Mohamed returned to Teheran.

The Expedition of Lutf Ali against Kerman, A.H. 1205 (1790).—In the following year Aga Mohamed was engaged in a campaign in Azerbaijan, and Lutf Ali, unwilling, if not unable, to support the forces he had collected at the expense of the province of Fars, marched against Kerman. Its Governor agreed to pay revenue and to submit, but declined
to appear in the royal camp. Lutf Ali refused to accept this partial submission and besieged Kerman; but the winter was unusually severe, and lack of supplies forced him to raise the siege and retire.

*Haji Ibrahim.*—One of the striking personalities of the period—he may even be termed a King-Maker—was *Haji* Ibrahim, son of *Haji* Hashim, a magistrate of Shiraz. He had rendered good service to Jafar by securing the adhesion of his native city when the Zand chief had fled from Isfahan, and had been rewarded by appointment as *Kalanter*¹ of Fars, a position which is still held by his family. Upon the assassination of Jafar, *Haji* Ibrahim won over the Shirazis to the side of Lutf Ali, who consequently owed to him his throne. Lutf Ali was noted before his accession for kindness of heart and generosity, and these qualities, combined with his unrivalled skill as a leader and man-at-arms, caused him to be beloved by all; but upon securing the throne he became imperious and overbearing. During his absence in Kerman many charges had been made against *Haji* Ibrahim, who was a strong and astute personality somewhat after the type of Bismarck, and whose services to his master were dangerous by reason of their magnitude. The case which convinced him that it would be imprudent to continue to serve Lutf Ali was that of a certain *Mirza* Mehdi, an army accountant who had been convicted of embezzlement by Jafar and sentenced to lose his ears. When Jafar had been assassinated his head was cut off and thrown from the citadel, and it was alleged against *Mirza* Mehdi that he had avenged himself by cutting off the ears from his master's head. *Haji* Ibrahim, affirming that he did not believe the report, had persuaded Lutf Ali to pardon the man, and even to bestow upon him a robe of honour. Jafar's widow reproached her son for this treatment of a man guilty of so great an insult to the dead Jafar, and thereupon Lutf Ali, in a hasty moment, condemned him to be flung into a fire. *Haji* Ibrahim himself informed Malcolm that this was the reason for his desertion of Lutf Ali; but it is more probable that his treachery had a personal motive, in the desire to be dissociated from a losing cause.

**His Successful Plot.**—In **A.H. 1205 (1791)** Lutf Ali marched

¹ *Kalanter* signifies Chief Civil Magistrate. The *Kawam-ul-Mulk* is the title now borne by the head of the family. In a poem by Hafiz reference is made to *Haji* Kawam-u-Din, and the late *Kawam-ul-Mulk* quoted the verse to me and stated that it referred to his ancestor. This, however, is denied in some quarters.
north to meet the army of Aga Mohamed, and *Haji* Ibrahim took advantage of his absence from Shiraz to seize the commanders of the garrison and of the citadel. He then communicated with one of his brothers, who excited a mutiny in the army. Lutf Ali, deserted by his officers, retired on Shiraz, which he hoped to recover, but he was deserted by all his soldiers and forced to flee to the coast. The Shaykh of Bushire who had formerly befriended him was dead, and his successor was hostile, but, nothing daunted, he collected a small force at Bandar Rig, and after defeating first the Shaykh of Bushire and then the Governor of Kazerun re-appeared before Shiraz.

*The Campaigns of Lutf Ali Khan against Aga Mohamed.* —The military qualities of Lutf Ali Khan shone brilliantly in the unequal struggle that followed. He was first victorious over a force detached by Aga Mohamed to support *Haji* Ibrahim at Shiraz. A powerful army sent to avenge this disaster had actually defeated the Zand Prince, when, rallying his men, he charged the Kajar troops who were looting his camp and turned his reverse into a decisive victory. Aga Mohamed at length took the field in person with his main force, but the gallant Lutf Ali charged and scattered the advance-guard. Then by night he penetrated the Kajar army, which partially dispersed, and he would have entered the royal tent, but, being assured that his enemy had fled, he decided to await the dawn in order to make sure of the treasure. To his dismay the *Muezzin* sounded the call to prayer, which proved that Aga Mohamed had not fled, and, his Arabs having scattered in search of plunder, the ill-fated prince was compelled to retreat, and so lost the throne of Persia.

Upon reaching the province of Kerman he set about collecting a new force, but his Kajar pursuers were too numerous to be faced, and he fled to Tabas, where Mir Hasan Khan¹ espoused his cause. With a small body of two hundred men he crossed the Lut to Yezd, whose Governor he defeated, and then marched to Abarguh on the northern borders of Fars. Being joined there by his adherents, he laid siege to Darabjird, but a Kajar army forced him to retreat on Tabas, whose chief advised him to seek the support of

¹ Malcolm terms him Husayn, but I have the authority of the present chief for writing Hasan.
Timur Shah, the Durrani Amir. He followed this advice, and was actually travelling towards Kandahar when news reached him of the death of the Afghan Amir.

*The Final Act of the Drama, A.H. 1208 (1794).*—While hesitating what course to pursue, the Zand refugee received letters from two chiefs of Narmashir offering him their support. He hastened to accept this opportune proposal and determined to surprise Kerman with the men he was able to collect. Moving by forced marches, he detached his uncle Abdulla Khan to make a feint on one side of the city, and when the defenders' attention was fully occupied he escaladed the fort before the alarm could be given. The garrison fought stoutly, but was overcome, and Kerman fell to Lutf Ali Khan through this brilliant feat of arms.

Aga Mohamed realized the seriousness of the situation, and with all the troops he could muster advanced to fight what proved to be the last campaign against his rival. Some four miles to the west of Kerman lies the entrenched camp which formed the headquarters of the besiegers. For four months the heroic Lutf Ali held out in the city, until famine had cut off more than half its inhabitants. At length the Kajar troops were admitted by treachery, but were beaten back. But they were admitted again, and on this occasion in overwhelming force. Seeing that all was lost and that the city gates were guarded, Lutf Ali, after keeping up the fight until dark, crossed the ditch on planks by night with only three followers, and breaking through the cordon escaped to Bam, one hundred and twenty miles to the east.

A brother of the chief of Bam had been among the supporters of Lutf Ali in Kerman, and, having no news of this brother, the chief came to the conclusion that he must have fallen into the hands of the Kajars. He decided in consequence to attempt to win the favour of Aga Mohamed by seizing his guest, who, though warned of his danger, refused to believe in the possibility of such unspeakable treachery. In the end he mounted his horse to escape, but the beast was hamstrung, and the last Persian hero fell wounded into the hands of his deadly foe. No mercy was shown by the victor. The gallant Lutf Ali was blinded—according to one account, by the very hands of the brutal Aga Mohamed—besides suffering other indignities. He was then sent to Teheran, where he was strangled.
The Fate of Kerman.—Kerman was treated with almost inconceivable cruelty. Not only were its women handed over to the soldiery, who were encouraged to rape and to murder, but the Kajar victor ordered that twenty thousand pairs of eyes should be presented to him. These he carefully counted, and then he remarked to the officer charged with the atrocious task, “Had one pair been wanting, yours would have been taken!” Thus almost the entire male population was blinded, and their women were handed over to the soldiery as slaves. In order to commemorate the capture of Lutf Ali Khan in a suitable manner, Aga Mohamed ordered six hundred prisoners to be decapitated. Their skulls were then carried to Bam by three hundred other prisoners, who were then also killed, and a pyramid of skulls was erected on the spot where Lutf Ali Khan was taken. This pyramid was seen by Pottinger in 1810. Kerman has never recovered. Today it possesses more beggars and suffers from greater poverty than perhaps any other city in Persia.

The Downfall of the Zand Dynasty.—The awful massacre and the extirpation of the family ended the short-lived Zand dynasty. Lutf Ali possessed remarkable beauty of physique, a valour which has seldom, if ever, been exceeded, and leadership in the field of a very high order. Unfortunately his severity and his imperious and overbearing character, which would not allow him to stoop to conciliation, cost him the support of the great families. Fighting gallantly against hopeless odds, he long maintained the struggle, but in the end he lost the throne of Persia to the rival Kajar chief.  

1 In the introduction to The Dynasty of the Kajars, Sir H. Jones Brydges gives an interesting account of the interviews he had with Lutf Ali Khan, who impressed him most favourably.
CHAPTER LXXIV

THE FOUNDING OF THE KAJar DYNASTY

Aga Mohamed était dans l'usage, à l'égard de ses serviteurs qui avaient le malheur de lui déplaire, de leur faire ouvrir le ventre, et arracher les entrailles. Nous pourrions citer sa vie entière, pour montrer à quel point cet homme fut atroce.—Voyage en Perse, by G. A. Olivier, v. 136.

Aga Mohamed Khan, Kajar.—The memory of few Persians is so universally execrated as that of Aga Mohamed Khan, the founder of the Kajar dynasty. The eldest of the nine sons of Mohamed Husayn Khan, he was captured and castrated by Adil Shah when a boy of five, and this misfortune would sufficiently account for the vindictiveness and cruelty which have branded the Eunuch-Monarch for all time.\(^1\)

Karim Khan, who was eminently conciliatory, had married Aga Mohamed's sister, and treated his brother-in-law, who lived at his court as a hostage, with special kindness. Moreover, realizing his shrewdness and capacity, he frequently asked his advice and paid him the signal compliment of naming him Piran-wisa, after the celebrated Vizier of Afrasiab, the legendary King of Turan. This kindness the vindictive Kajar repaid by cutting the carpets he sat on in the audience-room.

Hearing from his sister that Karim Khan was at the point of death, Aga Mohamed quitted Shiraz on the pretext of a hawking excursion, and on his return learned at the city gate that the Regent was dead. He immediately loosed a hawk and under the pretence of looking for it disappeared from sight and rode off north. He reached Isfahan, a distance of

\(^1\) The character of Aga Mohamed is well portrayed in the historical novel Zohrah the Hostage, by James Morier. G. A. Olivier in vol. v. of his Voyage en Perse also gives an excellent contemporary account.
three hundred and sixteen miles, in three days, and almost without halting continued his journey to Mazanderan, seizing a revenue caravan on the way. Upon his arrival in his native province many members of his tribe rallied round him. He was, however, opposed by his half-brother, Murtaza Kuli, who proclaimed himself king, and it was not until after many vicissitudes of fortune, during the course of which he was once taken prisoner, that he was in a position to make himself master of the Caspian provinces.

The Expulsion of a Russian Expedition by Aga Mohamed, A.D. 1781.—During this period of his chequered career Aga Mohamed came into contact with a Russian expedition, consisting of four frigates and two sloops, which in 1781 anchored off Ashraff and extorted permission to construct a trading factory. When the fortress—for such it proved to be—was nearly completed, the Khan invited the Russian officers to an entertainment, where they were seized. They were then offered the alternative of either destroying their fort or being hanged. Their choice was soon made; the fort was demolished, and the Muscovites were driven with contumely back to their ships.

The Independent Provinces of Persia.—The series of campaigns culminating in the awful tragedy in the Kerman province described in the previous chapter left Aga Mohamed the victor but hardly the undisputed master of Persia. Before we come to the steps he took to consolidate his power at home and abroad, it is necessary to give a brief account both of the independent provinces of Persia and also of her neighbours.

Khorasan was nominally ruled by the unfortunate Shah Rukh, but in reality was broken up among a number of independent chiefs. At Meshed the two sons of the monarch, Nasrulla Mirza and Nadir Mirza, fought for power, and their feuds resulted in the plunder of the shrine of the Imam Riza, each prince in turn robbing it of some of its treasures. Nasrulla Mirza, being worsted, sought aid from Karim Khan, but this was refused, and soon afterwards he died.

Meshed was next seized by Mamish Khan of Chinaran, a petty Kurdish chief, who held it for five years until the

---

1 His only full brother, Hussayn Kuli Khan, the father of Fath Ali Shah, had been killed by the Turkoman at the instigation of the Kajar Khan of the rival branch, after his flight from Damghan recorded in the previous chapter.
authority of Shah Rukh was restored by Timur Shah, the Durrani monarch, who retained the suzerainty established by his father. Of the independent rulers, Ishak Khan Karai was the most celebrated. A man of low birth, he occupied Turbat-i-Haydari, some eighty miles to the south of Meshed, and built a caravanserai with money supplied by the chief of his tribe. Before the completion of this building, which was strongly fortified, intrigues had resulted in the death of his master, whose sons fled the country, and Ishak Khan gradually carved out a province for himself and became a power in the land.

In the south of the province, Mir Hasan Khan of Tabas, a descendant of the Beni Shayban who had aided Lutf Ali, held a district bordering on the Lut and played a leading part in Khorasan. Of the other districts, Nishapur was independent under a Bayat chief; Kaín was under an Arab ruler descended from the Khuzayma; Turshiz was ruled by another Arab family of the Mishmast tribe. Zafaranlu Kurds governed in Kuchan and Shadillu Kurds in Bujnurd; Sabzawar was held by a chief of the Ghilichi, a Turkish tribe, and finally Sistan was ruled by a petty chief who claimed Keianian descent.\footnote{It has been my task to trace the fortunes of these tribes, almost all of which are to be found in or near the districts they ruled a century ago.}

We now turn westward to Kurdistan. The descendants of the ancient Karduchi maintained almost complete independence on the Persian side of the frontier, and on the Turkish side only the sections occupying accessible lands obeyed the Pasha of Baghdad. Of the Kurdish chiefs on the Persian side of the frontier, the most powerful was Khusru Khan, Vali of Ardelan. From Sinna, his capital, he ruled a large district, and at this city his son entertained Malcolm in princely fashion in 1810. The Vali of Ardelan had supported the claims of Karim Khan, but afterwards, having espoused the cause of Ismail Khan and defeated Jafar Khan, he sent the spoils to Aga Mohamed Khan and thenceforward became his staunch supporter. Baluchistan at this period was ruled by Nasir I., the Great, who reigned from 1750 to 1793, and whose sway was acknowledged as far west as Bampur. He was entirely independent. The rest of Persia had been the cock-pit for the various pretenders to the throne, who had fought for power as far north as the Caspian Sea and as far south as the Persian Gulf.
The Neighbouring States.—Among the foreign countries Afghanistan was peaceful under Timur Shah; and his son Zaman Shah at the opening of his reign was too much occupied with internal troubles to be an aggressive neighbour. Bokhara was ruled by Begi Jan, a Dervish of the royal house, who extended the sway of the Uzbegs over the whole of the region lying between the Amu Darya and the Sir Darya. The reduction of Merv opened the way for an invasion of Khorasan. In A.H. 1209 (1794) Begi Jan led his horsemen to the gates of Meshed, but, finding it beyond his power to reduce the capital of Khorasan, he informed his army that the Imam Riza had appeared to him in a dream and commanded him to spare the sacred city. It is curious that the Uzbegs were content to raid and never attempted to conquer Khorasan.

Turkey, which for many centuries had been Iran’s most formidable and aggressive neighbour, was at this period too much occupied with European politics to take any active interest in Persian affairs. The Ottoman power was represented by Sulayman Aga, the Pasha of Baghdad, who had defended Basra against the forces of Karim Khan. His policy was invariably friendly towards Persia, much to the advantage of Aga Mohamed.

The Invasion of Georgia, A.H. 1209 (1795).—We come next to the state of Georgia. Upon the death of Nadir Shah, Heraclius, who had served him faithfully, had not only freed himself but, as already mentioned, had annexed Persian territory up to the Araxes. He was, however, shrewd enough to realize that as soon as Persia was reunited Georgia would be invaded. By way of insurance against such an event Heraclius in 1783 signed a treaty with Russia, in which he renounced all connexion with Persia and entered into an offensive and defensive alliance with the Northern Power. The Empress Catherine, in turn, bound herself and her successors to protect the integrity of Georgia, but as no Russian troops were maintained in a position to defend the country, the result was merely to exasperate Persia.

In 1795 Aga Mohamed, after summoning Heraclius to do homage, advanced from Ardebil with an army sixty thousand strong, marching in three divisions. The first moved by the plain of Moghan to levy arrears of tribute;

1 Malcolm, ii. p. 243, gives an interesting account of this remarkably clever man.
the second marched on Erivan, which was garrisoned by fifteen thousand Georgians; and the third under the Shah himself undertook the reduction of Shisha, a hill-fort situated on the left bank of the Aras. Aga Mohamed, after failing in his attack on Shisha, left a force to blockade it and joined the second division before Erivan. That famous fortress also was too strong to be taken by an enemy unprovided with a battering train, and consequently the Shah was again obliged to leave a portion of his army to blockade the garrison. He then marched to Ganja, where he effected a junction with the column which had passed through Moghan unopposed. Heraclius, instead of relying on his fortresses and awaiting aid from Russia, rashly met the invaders though they outnumbered him in the proportion of four to one, and the Georgians after a heroic struggle were overpowered and defeated. Tiflis was taken by the Persians without resistance. The old and infirm and all the priests were massacred, and the able-bodied of both sexes, to the number of twenty thousand, were carried off into slavery. Erivan surrendered to the Shah after the fall of Tiflis, but Shisha continued to resist.

The Coronation of Aga Mohamed Khan, a.H. 1210 (1796).—Aga Mohamed had not been formally crowned, but on returning from his successful expedition into Georgia he consented to the ceremonial after repeated entreaties. He judiciously refused to wear the four-plumed crown of Nadir Shah, and contented himself with a small circular diadem known as Kulla Keiani, or the "Keianian Headpiece." He also girded on the sacred sword of the Safavis at Ardebil.

The Reduction of Khorasan, a.H. 1210 (1796).—Strengthened in prestige by his coronation, the Shah determined to subdue Khorasan. He marched by way of Astrabad, which he beautified with buildings that still remain, and after punishing the Turkoman directed his march on Meshed. No resistance was even contemplated, the petty chiefs mentioned above proffered their allegiance one by one, and Nadir Mirza fled to Afghanistan, leaving his blind parent to the tender mercies of his hereditary enemy.

The main objects of Aga Mohamed were to seize Khorasan and to strengthen it against the Uzbegs. In addition, he coveted the splendid jewels which he knew that Shah Rukh possessed and had concealed from every one. The wretched
man, now over sixty years of age, swore solemnly that he had nothing of the kind, but his oaths were disregarded and torture was applied by the pitiless Kajar. Day by day, under the influence of the agony inflicted, he revealed the secret hiding-places of his hoarded wealth. The celebrated ruby of Aurangzeb was produced only when a circle of paste had been put upon his head and molten lead poured on to it. Aga Mohamed, with whom love of jewelry was almost a mania, was overjoyed at securing this priceless stone. He gave orders for the tortures to cease; but they had been too much for the descendant of Nadir Shah, who died soon afterwards from their effects.

The Russian Invasion, A.H. 1210 (1796).—In connexion with the struggle for power between Aga Mohamed and his half-brothers, reference has already been made to Murtaza Kuli Khan, who after his defeat fled to Russia. There he was well treated, and it was apparently intended to utilize him for the furtherance of Russian ambitions. Catherine was undoubtedly chagrined by her failure to succour Georgia in accordance with the treaty, and determined to avenge the Persian invasion; there may also have been other reasons. In 1796 a Russian army forty thousand strong seized Derbent, Baku and other fortified places, and the Russian general encamped for the winter on the plain of Moghan, with the entire country to the north in his possession. Aga Mohamed was preparing to take the field in the spring, when the Empress Catherine died. Her successor, Paul, reversed his mother’s policy, the army was withdrawn, and the Russian peril disappeared.

The Shah, delighted at this extraordinary piece of good fortune, resolved to invade Georgia again. He was within sixty miles of the Araxes when the inhabitants of Shisha, who had expelled their governor, begged him to take possession of the fortress. After a forced march he found the Aras in flood; but his men crossed it, partly in boats and partly by swimming, and Shisha at last fell into his hands.

The Assassination of Aga Mohamed Shah, A.H. 1211 (1797).—Three days after the capture of this stronghold, the Shah was disturbed by the noise of a quarrel between two of his personal servants, and ordered that both should be at once put to death. Sadik Khan Shakaki interceded for them, and

1 Fide The Russian Conquest of the Caucasus, p. 59.
on the ground that it was the night of Friday and sacred to prayer, the execution of the sentence was deferred until the following morning. With folly so extreme that it almost suggests mental derangement, Aga Mohamed allowed the condemned men meanwhile to perform their duties about his person. At night, with the aid of a third accomplice, they assassinated their master. Like his victim, Shah Rukh, he died in the sixty-third year of his age.

His Character. — Thanks to Malcolm we have a lifelike portrait of the Eunuch-Shah. At a distance his slight form resembled that of a youth, but a close inspection revealed a beardless and shrivelled face horrible to contemplate. Yet he was a remarkable man, and his keen insight into character, his sagacity, patience, and courage secured to him the throne of Persia in spite of his physical disabilities. Malcolm states that his three ruling passions were power, avarice, and revenge, but that he was able to subordinate everything to his passion for power. This was in the first instance personal, but it also aimed at making the Kajars the royal tribe. Seeing clearly that his ambition could not be realized unless he was supported by a united tribe, he forgave the Kajar chiefs who had killed his father and had insulted himself, and bound them to him by repeated acts of kindness. He showed his judgment and insight by the unreserved trust he reposed in Haji Ibrahim; although, according to common belief, he warned his heir that he was too powerful a subject to be allowed to live. He treated his soldiers with justice, and, if policy demanded it, he could display moderation, the rarest of qualities in a despot.

As we have seen in his dealings with Shah Rukh, avarice was a besetting vice. One of his methods of making money was to sell an intended victim to an enemy, with full powers to wring out the last coin in the wretched man’s possession. In the pursuit of money he displayed a childishness which is not unfrequently associated with absolute power. He once overheard a peasant whose ears he had ordered to be cut off promising the executioner a few pieces of silver if only the tips were cut. The offender was astonished when the Shah informed him that, by doubling the offer in favour of his sovereign, he could save his ears entirely!

Aga Mohamed’s cruelty has been sufficiently exemplified.

1 The night of Friday begins at sunset on Thursday.
Of his treachery it will be enough to give a single instance. His brother, Jafar Kuli Khan, who had served him with conspicuous valour, asked for the governorship of Isfahan as a reward. This was refused, and, as he subsequently evaded a request to appear at Court, Aga Mohamed became seriously alarmed. Fearing to employ force, he induced Jafar Kuli’s mother to persuade her son that the Shah was ready to appoint him to Isfahan, on the sole condition that he should pass through Teheran and declare his forgiveness for the treatment he had received. These representations were supported by solemn assurances of safety. The Prince, too confiding, believed them, and was assassinated by order of his brother. The latter, in order to keep the letter of his oath on the Koran that Jafar Kuli should spend only one night at Teheran, had the corpse immediately removed. This dastardly act recalls the dark deeds attributed to Louis XI. of France, whom Aga Mohamed closely resembled, alike in his tortuous policy, his aversion to display, and his strange devotional fervour. As a ruler he was not faced with the difficulties of Nadir Shah, being singularly fortunate in the unaggressive character of his two important neighbours, Turkey and Afghanistan. Although not a great soldier, he overcame all rivals, mainly through his judgment and practical capacity, and thereby succeeded in once more uniting Iran. *Oderint dum metuant* might have been his motto, but he lived in a cruel age when might was right. It must be placed on record to his credit that after his authority had been established the roads became safe and trade prospered, whereas under Nadir the country had been depopulated.

*The Accession of Fath Ali Shah.*—The body of Aga Mohamed was left unburied in the wild confusion that followed his death. The army for the most part broke up and dispersed, but the influence of Haji Ibrahim kept together a sufficient force to march to Teheran in support of Fath Ali, the nephew and heir of the deceased Shah. The capital was held in his behalf by a Kajar chief, and upon his arrival from Fars, of which he was governor, he was admitted and instantly proclaimed Shah.

*Various Pretenders.*—Sadik Khan Shakaki, who after the assassination of Aga Mohamed had secured possession of the crown jewels, collected fifteen thousand Kurds and made a bid for the throne. He marched on Kazvin, and was defeated in
AGA MOHAMED SHAH.

(From an original Persian painting.)

(From Sir John Malcolm’s *History of Persia*, 1815, vol. ii.)
its vicinity by Fath Ali Shah, whose force was only half that of his rival. Sadik Khan fled and purchased his pardon, not once but twice, by means of the crown jewels. Another claimant was Mohamed Khan, son of Zaki Khan Zand, who gained possession of Isfahan, but was soon driven out to the Bakhtiar Mountains. Aided there by some Kurds, he attempted to surprise a Persian army under Mohamed Vali Khan, but was defeated, captured, and blinded. A third pretender was Husayn Kuli Khan, brother of the Shah. This prince had done good service against Sadik Khan and had been rewarded by the Governorship of Fars. There he wasted his time in pleasure and dissipation until, resenting the appointment from Teheran of a general to command the Fars army, he rebelled. He was joined by Mohamed Vali Khan, and the Shah was at the same time further weakened by the revolt of Sulayman Khan Kajar, the Governor of Azerbaijan, who hoped to gain the throne by attacking whichever of the two brothers emerged as victor from the impending struggle. But the brothers came to terms, owing to the intervention of their mother, and Sulayman Khan, seeing his hopes disappear, took sanctuary in the royal stable at Teheran. With remarkable generosity the Shah not only pardoned him, but reappointed him Governor of Azerbaijan.

The last important pretender was Nadir Mirza, who on hearing of the death of Aga Mohamed had returned to Khorasan from Afghanistan and taken possession of Meshed. The Shah marched into Khorasan to assert his authority, Nishapur shut its gates and was stormed, and Turbat-i-Haydari also was taken. Upon the arrival of the Persian army before Meshed, Nadir Mirza submitted and was pardoned.
CHAPTER LXXV

BRITISH AND FRENCH MISSIONS AT THE COURT OF
FATH ALI SHAH

Buonaparte saisit adroitement l'occasion de la paix de Tilait pour engager Alexandre
d'envoyer une armée le printemps prochain en Perse, qui s'unitait avec une armée
française qui devait passer par Constantinople et l'Asie Mineure, et de là traversant la
Perse, organiser les troupes que la Cour d'Ispahan devait donner pour sa part, et
commencer quelque acte hostile contre les possessions de la Compagnie des Indes.—
From an Official Document of the period.

The Afghan Question.—It is interesting to trace the
beginning of the permanent British connexion with Persia
rather more than a century ago, but it is difficult to-day to
realize that the cause of the despatch of the first mission to the
Court at Teheran was the hope that Persian military action
would restrain Zaman Shah, Amir of Kabul, who after
establishing his position at home was aspiring to continue the
aggressive rôle originated by Ahmad Shah. In 1798 Lord
Wellesley, the Governor-General of Bengal, received a letter
from the Afghan prince giving notice of his proposed expedi-
tion into India, and requesting that the English army should
coopérer in driving back the Marathas from the north into
the Deccan. The Governor-General at this period was at
war with Tippu Sultan, who with French assistance was
making great efforts to drive the British out of India; and in
pursuance of this object he had urged Zaman Shah to invade
the Panjáb. Tippu was fortunately killed at the fall of

1 Malcolm's history ends at this point. Among the many works consulted by me are
England and Russia in the East, by Sir H. Rawlinson; History of Persia, by R. G. Watson;
The War in Afghanistan, and Life of Sir John Malcolm, by J. W. Kaye, and Mission to
the Court of Periá, by Sir Harford Jones Brydges.
Seringapatam, in 1798, but this success did not cause Wellesley to relax his precautions towards the north, more especially as he was aware of negotiations which were being conducted with the Afghan Amir, by Vizier Ali of Oude and other powerful Indians, including Hindu Rajahs. To combat these dangerous intrigues the Governor-General instructed Mehdi Ali Khan, a naturalized Persian who was acting as the Company's Resident at Bushire, "to take measures for inducing the Court of Persia to keep Shah Zaman in perpetual check (so as to preclude him from returning to India), but without any decided act of hostility." The success of this policy of inducing Persia to intervene in Afghanistan was already assured. Zaman Shah about this period had instructed his Vizier to send an envoy to Haji Ibrahim with a demand that his master should surrender Khorasan to Afghanistan. This demand naturally irritated the young Shah, who dictated a reply to the effect that it was his intention to restore the eastern boundaries of Persia to the condition which had existed under the Safavi dynasty. In other words, the independence of Afghanistan was to be swept away, Herat, Kandahar, and Kabul having been all included in the Safavi Empire. Fath Ali Shah held good cards, for two of Zaman Shah's brothers, Mahmud and Firuz, had taken refuge with the "Asylum of the Universe." In 1798 these princes were sent with a Persian force to Afghanistan; but little was effected. In the following year Fath Ali Shah took the field in person. He led an army into Khorasan to punish the governors and chiefs who had rebelled. There he received an embassy from Zaman Shah requesting him to return to Teheran; and to this he tamely agreed, on condition that the Amir's fugitive brothers should be well received in Afghanistan. The actual result of the Persian military operations was slight, but the consequent retirement of Zaman Shah from Lahore to Peshawar, in order that he might be ready to fight if necessary, relieved the Afghan pressure on India.

The Mission of Mehdi Ali Khan, 1799.—Mehdi Ali Khan, a skilful diplomatist of the Persian school, had written letters from Bushire to the Court at Teheran in which he excited the indignation of the Shah by an account of atrocities committed by the Sunni Afghans on the Shias of Lahore, thousands of whom, he declared, had fled for refuge to the territories ruled by the East India Company, and at the same time urged that
if Zaman Shah were checked a service would be rendered to God and man. He stated, furthermore, that the Governor-General did not at all apprehend an Afghan invasion of Hindustan, because the name of the English artillery was well known. As an example of what English troops could do, he asserted that seven hundred of these brave soldiers had defeated the army of Suraj-u-Dola numbering three hundred thousand men!

In the autumn of 1799 Mehdi Ali Khan was received in person by the Shah. Spending large sums in presents, he succeeded in persuading the Persian monarch to continue hostilities against Afghanistan; and he then returned to Bushire, where he met Captain Malcolm, who had recently landed on his first memorable mission.

*The French Peril to India.*—It was owing to the fantastic strain in Napoleon Bonaparte's character that Persia was brought within the orbit of European politics. Among his far-reaching plans was one for using the Shah as an instrument in his scheme of world politics, more especially in connexion with the invasion of India; and at this time the minds of the British rulers in that country were obsessed with fears of such an attack. To us, who have studied large-scale maps and are familiar with the barrenness both of Persia and of Afghanistan, the scheme has an impracticable appearance. But in 1800 it was seriously contemplated by Paul of Russia and by Napoleon, to both of whom the difficulties to be encountered were unknown. Indeed the former, in 1801, actually ordered the Don Cossacks to march on India. The movement was begun without supply columns or even maps, but stopped at the Volga upon the death of the Tsar. Had the scheme ever taken practical shape it must have ended in disaster, owing not only to lack of supplies and sickness, but to attacks by local tribes and to the length of the line of communications from France and from the Volga to India.

It may be thought that our statesmen in India should have realized these facts. It must, however, be remembered that an advance was actually made by Russia and that the scheme was upset only by the assassination of the Tsar. Moreover, the genius of Napoleon was so dazzling that no project seemed beyond his power of achievement, and consequently the sense of proportion was apt to be lost. Finally, the position of the British in India was none too strong, and the appearance of
a Franco-Russian army in Persia would undoubtedly have reacted most unfavourably on the general situation.

Malcolm's First Mission, 1800.—The mission of Captain Malcolm, the first since the reign of Charles II., was decided upon before the news of the success of Mehdi Ali Khan had reached Calcutta. His instructions were to induce the Shah of Persia to bring pressure on Zaman Shah, to counteract any possible designs of the French, and to restore the prosperity of British and British Indian trade with Persia.

The young Scotch officer, who held only a junior rank and might well have been looked down upon by Persians of high rank, was completely successful in his difficult task. He carefully studied the Persians, who were impressed by his strong personality; he won favour by a generous and even lavish distribution of gifts; and on arriving at Teheran he confirmed by his remarkable capacity the good report which had preceded him.

Under these favourable conditions a political and commercial treaty was speedily negotiated between Malcolm and Haji Ibrahim, the Vizier. The Shah agreed to make no peace with the Amir of Afghanistan unless the latter renounced his designs on the British possessions in India. The British envoy, on his part, agreed to furnish munitions of war to the Shah in case he was attacked by the Afghans or the French. There were stringent provisions for the expulsion and "extirpation" of any French subjects who wished to settle in Persia. On the commercial side it was stipulated that English and Indian merchants should be permitted to settle free of taxes at the ports, and that English broadcloth, iron, steel, and lead should be admitted free of duty. Thus Malcolm's first mission ended in complete success. Rawlinson, it is true, regards it as a failure inasmuch as it revealed to Persia our anxiety about "the road" to India. Although I realize the force of his objections, I am inclined to think that the Persians, who are remarkable for their political acumen, have not, since the reign of Nadir Shah at all events, required any tuition on the subject, and that to have delayed on that account the opening up of relations with Persia, or to have ignored this important question, would have been a mistake. At the same time, the clauses directed against the French are certainly characterized by extreme bitterness which invites adverse criticism.
The Persian Embassy to India, 1802.—Fath Ali Shah sent a return embassy to Bombay, headed by a certain Haji Khalil Khan. Most unfortunately, the envoy was killed in a quarrel which arose between his servants and the guard that attended him. The English authorities, who were much upset at the untoward occurrence, made the most handsome amends, and the Shah is said to have observed that more ambassadors might be killed on the same terms.

Three years later Aga Nabi Khan, brother-in-law of the late envoy, reached India as the representative of Persia; but the "sultanized" Governor-General had left India, profound indifference concerning Persia prevailed at Calcutta, more especially after the disastrous ending to the French campaigns in Syria and Egypt, and Aga Nabi Khan returned home in January 1807 a disappointed man. This policy of inertness, which took no notice of the new situation created by the Russian and French advances, was deplorable and was destined to bear bitter fruit.

The Downfall of Haji Ibrahim.—Fath Ali Shah, who owed his throne to Haji Ibrahim, became seriously alarmed at his power, which, he feared, might result in his dethronement. Probably, too, he was influenced by his uncle's advice. Whatever the exact causes, it was decided to put an end to the King-Maker. By a preconcerted plan all the members of his family were seized simultaneously at their various seats of government and put to death, Haji Ibrahim himself being thrown into a cauldron of boiling oil. The only son that was spared was a sickly boy, who notwithstanding his indifferent health lived to be the ancestor of the Kawam-ul-Mulk family. Haji Ibrahim was a great personality and a typical Persian of the period. He may have been unscrupulous and corrupt, but he served his royal master well and deserved a better fate.

The Second Rebellion of Husayn Kuli Khan.—The Shah's brother, who was Governor of Kashan, once more made a bid for the throne. He obtained possession of Isfahan by means of a forged order, and then proceeded to raise an army in the Bakhtiari country. Fath Ali Shah acted with considerable promptitude. He rode to Isfahan (a distance of 280 miles) in four days, and, leaving a force to besiege it, set out in pursuit

---

1 Ismail Khan, son of the envoy, was granted a pension of two thousand rupees a month for life. He lived to enjoy this annuity for sixty-five years, and died in Paris, where he attended every performance of the opera during a period of fifty years.
of his brother. Hearing that the rebel was making for the Turkish frontier, he detached a force to intercept him, and the pretender in despair took sanctuary at Kum.

The Execution of Nadir Mirza, A.H. 1216 (1802).—The folly of Nadir Mirza brought about the final downfall of his family. After his pardon, recorded in the previous chapter, the Shah, with extraordinary leniency, permitted him to retain the governorship of Khorasan, but its chiefs complained so bitterly of his tyranny that Fath Ali felt bound to intervene. When the city was besieged, Nadir Mirza looted the shrine to pay his troops, and with his battle-axe, the family weapon, murdered a leading Sayyid who protested against the act of sacrilege. This atrocity was his last. The whole city rose against him; he was captured and sent to Teheran, and there by a cruel death expiated his crimes.

The Expulsion of the Afghans from Narmashir and Sistan.—The district of Bam, which was the scene of the downfall of Lutf Ali Khan, was governed by a chief of the Ghilzai tribe, who also ruled Sistan and the date-growing district of Khabis. Under Fath Ali Shah this semi-independent ruler rebelled but was ejected without much difficulty, and the districts of Bam, Narmashir, and Khabis were restored to the province of Kerman. The Afghan occupation has not been forgotten, and I have been shown a tower which they built in Narmashir.

French Overtures to Persia, 1802–1804.—The schemes discussed by the First Consul and the Tsar were soon translated into French action. In 1802 overtures were made by France, apparently through her active Consular Agents, who, according to Rawlinson, "remained in Syria after the French evacuation of the country, and continued for many years to pursue a restless course of political adventure, spreading in the sequel a perfect net-work of intrigue over the whole face of Western Asia." These pioneer attempts were coldly received in Persia, but in 1804 the French Government made proposals for an alliance against Russia. Fath Ali Shah had already applied for help to England through the Resident at Baghdad, and was also despatching a mission to India, and consequently no definite reply was sent to the French communication.

The First French Mission, 1806.—In 1805 war broke out between France and Russia, and, in May 1806, a French
envoy, M. Jaubert, appeared at Teheran with more precise proposals. Knowing that the loss of Georgia had affected Persia deeply, Napoleon offered, if the British alliance were repudiated by the Shah and India were invaded by a combined French and Persian army, to throw an auxiliary force into the lost province and to subsidize the Persian army. Fath Ali Shah was most unwilling to come to such an arrangement with a regicide nation, and at the first audience he merely asked the French representative "How are you?" "How is Buonaparte?" and "What made you kill your king?" Meanwhile the lack of rapid communications between Calcutta and London and the procrastination of the British Government had caused a long delay. The British Cabinet had debated on the question of giving assistance to Persia for two years without coming to any decision, and the Governor-General of India had referred the matter to London. In short, the good results of Malcolm's mission were, to some extent, wasted.

The Treaty of Finkenstein, 1807.—Disappointed in the quarter whence he had hoped for support, and with no British Minister at Teheran to maintain British influence, the Shah, realizing the seriousness of the Russian menace, responded to the overtures of the French Emperor, and followed up his letter by the despatch of Mirza Riza as an envoy to the French Court, which he reached at Tilsit. In his instructions it was laid down that, although the Shah regarded Russia as an ordinary enemy, yet she was "equally an enemy of the kings of Persia and of France, and her destruction accordingly became the duty of the two kings. France would attack her from that quarter; Persia from this." A further instruction shows how completely the Shah had turned his back on the procrastinating British, for it was declared that "if the French have an intention of invading Khorasan, the king will appoint an army to go down by the road of Kabul and Kandahar." In other words, the Shah asserted his readiness to invade India. At the same time Mirza Riza was forbidden to cede a port for the use of the French "for their passage to Hindustan." A preliminary treaty, known as the treaty of Finkenstein, which embodied the conditions just mentioned, was signed in May 1807 and sent to Teheran.

The Gardanne Mission, 1807-1808.—A few months later an important military mission, composed of General Gardanne
and seventy commissioned and non-commissioned officers, appeared in Persia and set to work to train the Persian army on European lines. The French general was undoubtedly instructed to organize the army of the Shah with a view to its employment as an auxiliary to a French army in an invasion of India. The heading to this chapter demonstrates the far-reaching scope of Napoleon’s scheme, and corroboration was received from Constantinople that the Porte had been approached with a view to the passage of a French army across the Ottoman dominions. Meanwhile the convention of Tilsit had been signed almost at the same time, and it is generally believed that the partition of the East was discussed by Napoleon and the Tsar Alexander at their historical meeting; the fact that the French Emperor intended to appoint his brother Lucien to represent him at Teheran proves that he, at any rate, seriously intended to contest British supremacy in India.

Fath Ali was deeply chagrined by the convention of Tilsit. The restoration of Georgia, for which he had hoped, was not even mentioned in it, and since France had by its terms made peace with Russia friendly offices had to take the place of a French army. Nevertheless Napoleon, whose optimism was remarkable, undoubtedly hoped to conclude an offensive and defensive alliance with Persia.

The Fight for Power in Afghanistan, 1799–1808.—We must now turn to Afghanistan in order to record a fight for power which, together with the rise of Ranjit Singh, changed the whole situation and caused the Afghan peril to pass away. Zaman Shah owed his position to the support of Sirdar Payanda Khan, who had espoused his cause and seated him on the throne of Kabul. As was almost inevitable in Afghanistan, the Sirdar after a time fell into disfavour, plotted against his master, and was executed. He left behind him twenty-two sons, famous as the “Barakzai brothers,” the eldest of whom, Fath Khan, fled to Persia and joined Mahmud, brother of Zaman Shah, whom he persuaded to make a bid for the throne. Farrah was seized in the first instance and, thanks to the aid given by the Barakzaïs, Kandahar subsequently fell. Mahmud then advanced on Kabul, and in 1800 defeated Zaman Shah, whom he blinded. The wretched man ultimately escaped to Ludhiana, where he was granted a pension by the Honourable East India Company. Mahmud Shah now
occupied the throne of Kabul, Herat was held by his brother Firuz-u-Din, and Kandahar by his heir-apparent, Kamran Mirza.

In 1803, owing to Fath Khan's protection of the Shias of Kabul from massacre, a plot was formed in favour of the Amir's brother, Shuja-ul-Mulk, who seized the throne and imprisoned, but did not blind, Fath Khan. The latter submitted to the usurper, and for a few years Shah Shuja (as he is generally termed) ruled with the aid of the able Barakzai chief. He sent expeditions to Sind and Kashmir, but met with no success.

Malcolm's Second Mission, 1808.—The Home and Indian Governments were both alarmed by the rapidity with which French influence had become paramount at Teheran and the consequent increase in the French peril. Sir Harford Jones, who afterwards assumed the name of Brydges and who had served as Resident at Basra, was appointed Envoy Extraordinary from the Crown, and in 1807 was despatched from England to Persia by way of the Cape with a commission which, although placing him in subordination to Lord Minto, the Governor-General, gave him full powers to negotiate a treaty between the King and the Shah. His expenses were chargeable to the Government of India. Meanwhile Lord Minto, who was at first ignorant of this appointment, realizing the urgency of the case, appointed Malcolm, now a Brigadier-General, to undertake much the same task. The latter, escorted by a powerful squadron, reached the Persian Gulf in May 1808, at a time when the influence of General Gardanne was entirely in the ascendant. He was drilling the Persian army and constructing fortifications, and it was hoped that, through French influence, Georgia would be restored to Persia. In these circumstances the British Envoy, whose tone was perhaps too peremptory, was not treated with the courtesy due to his position. He was instructed by the Ministers of Fath Ali Shah to make his representations to the Governor-General of Fars, and was debarred from approaching Teheran.

1 The further steps that were taken included the despatch, in this year, of Mountstuart Elphinstone to Peshawar, where he concluded a treaty with Shah Shuja, by the terms of which Great Britain was bound to aid the Afghan ruler with money in case of a joint invasion of his territory by Persia and France. Shah Shuja, on his side, agreed to resist the confederates and to exclude all Frenchmen from his dominions for ever. Metcalfe was despatched on a similar mission to Ranjit Singh.
Malcolm, justly incensed at the affront offered in his person to the Honourable East India Company, returned to India and urged that the island of Kharak should be occupied by Indian troops. This proposal was, after some consideration, accepted by Lord Minto; but just when the troops were about to sail it became clear from the situation in Europe that France could not possibly spare an army for Persia, and the expedition was therefore countermanded.

The Mission of Sir Harford Jones, 1808-1809.—British policy has ever been proverbially fortunate, and when, in the autumn of the same year, Sir Harford Jones appeared on the scene after the retirement of Malcolm, and proceeded in the pompous language of the period “to throw the aegis of the British Crown over the imperilled destinies of India,” a reaction against the French had set in at Teheran. The Persians realized that General Gardanne had promised more than he could perform; he had, in fact, overplayed his part. Jones pointed out that good offices were not sufficient to bind the hands of Russia, and when he proposed a British alliance, together with an annual subsidy of 160,000 tomans (£120,000) so long as Great Britain continued to be at war with Russia, and the services of British officers to train the Persian army, Fath Ali Shah agreed to give General Gardanne his passports. The British envoy, who had brought as a gift from George III. a fine diamond which excited the Shah’s covetousness, was accorded a magnificent reception. Under these favourable conditions there were no delays, and in March 1809 a preliminary treaty was negotiated, the terms of which were approved by both the Home and the Indian Governments, and formed the basis of the definitive treaty that was finally concluded.

As might be supposed, the action of Sir Harford Jones, who was subordinate to the Governor-General, caused no little friction. Indeed so strained did his relations with India become that Lord Minto ordered the suspension of his functions, and his bills were protested. It is stated that he had made remarks which tended to lower the dignity of the Governor-General. But inasmuch as he represented the Crown and had to explain to the Persians, when his bills were protested, the relation of the East India Company to the British Government, it would have been difficult for him to
avoid giving offence, if offence was looked for. After the lapse of years the good work done by both Malcolm and Jones remains, and the friction which was almost inevitable may be forgotten. The preliminary treaty was taken to England by James Morier, the author of immortal *Hajji Baba*, who was the secretary to the mission. Lord Minto accepted the arrangements which had been contracted with the Shah while condemning the behaviour of the negotiator, but insisted that the execution of the treaty should be entrusted to an officer nominated by himself.

Malcolm’s Third Mission, 1810.—Malcolm was accordingly sent on a third mission which was brilliant in the extreme. It was magnificently equipped, and the envoy was accompanied by a large staff of officers, among whom were Monteith and Lindsay. The latter, a giant standing 6 feet 8 inches, was an artillery officer, and such was his influence with the Persians, who compared him to Rustam, that he subsequently became Commander-in-Chief of the Persian army, a post which, under the name of Lindsay Bethune, he filled for many years with much credit. Malcolm was received with extraordinary marks of esteem and friendship, and his fine character, his justice, and his knowledge of the world impressed the Persians so much that all Englishmen in Persia still benefit from the high qualities displayed by their great representative. It was in his honour that the Persian decoration, “the Lion and the Sun,” was inaugurated. At the same time, it must not be forgotten that Sir Harford Jones retained the control of diplomatic relations with Persia throughout.

Acting on Malcolm’s instructions, Pottinger and Christie made a daring journey of exploration through Baluchistan; and Pottinger’s *Travels in Baluchistan*, recording the results of this adventure, remains a classic on the subject. This was not the only literary fruit of the British mission; for Kinneir produced his able *Geographical Memoir*, and Malcolm himself wrote a valuable *History of Persia*, as well as his light and entertaining *Sketches of Persia*.

The Embassy of Haji Mirza Abul Hasan Khan, 1809–1810.

—In return for these embassies, Fath Ali Shah despatched Haji Mirza Abul Hasan Khan to the Court of St. James’s. His special object was to ascertain clearly how the subsidy Persia was entitled to receive under the treaty was to be paid.

1 In his *Mission, etc.*, p. 209, Jones explains the whole circumstances of the case.
This versatile son of Iran has been immortalized by James Morier (who accompanied him on both his outward and his homeward journey) in *Hajji Baba in England.*

**The Appointment of Sir Gore Ouseley, 1811.**—The treaty negotiated by Sir Harford Jones was duly ratified in England, and its negotiator was confirmed in his appointment at Teheran, the Home Government deciding to retain permanent control of diplomatic relations with Persia. Upon his resignation in 1811, he was succeeded by Sir Gore Ouseley, in whose suite were Major D'Arcy, better known as D'Arcy Todd, and a detachment of English sergeants of the 47th regiment. Sir William Ouseley, whose writings on Persia remain a classic, also accompanied the mission.

**The Definitive Treaty, 1814.**—Shortly after the conclusion of the treaty of Gulistan, which will be dealt with in the following chapter, Sir Gore Ouseley negotiated with Persia the definitive treaty based on Sir Harford Jones's preliminary agreement. This he took with him to England. A year later Mr. Ellis reached Teheran and, with Mr. Morier, concluded the final definitive treaty, which was signed on November 25, 1814. By the terms of this important document, which was specially declared to be defensive, all alliances between Persia and European nations hostile to Great Britain were made null and void, and all European armies were to be prevented from entering Persia, if hostile to Great Britain. The Shah was furthermore bound to induce the rulers of "Khwarazm, Tataristan, Bokhara, and Samarcand" to oppose any army which might attempt to cross their territories with a view to the invasion of India. Mutual assistance was to be rendered in case of aggression, and the limits between Persia and Russia were to be determined by Great Britain, Persia, and Russia. With extraordinary generosity the subsidy was finally fixed at 200,000 tomans (equivalent to 15 lacs, or £150,000) and was not to be stopped unless Persia engaged in an aggressive war. It was to be spent under the superintendence of the British Minister. By another article endeavours were to be made to include Persia in any

---

1 Charles Lamb wrote of the Persian ambassador that he "is the principal thing talked of now. I sent some people to see him worship the sun at half-past six in the morning, but he did not come. . . . The common people call him Shaw Nonsense." His portrait, painted by Sir William Beechey, hangs in the India Office. The ambassador, on his return home, wrote a book termed *Hairat-nama,* or "Record of Wonders."

2 *Aitchison's Treaties,* number vii. The preamble runs: "These happy leaves are a nosegay plucked from the thornless garden of concord and tied by the hands of the plenipotentiaries," etc.
treaty of peace between Great Britain and a European Power at war with Persia, failing which military and financial support was to be given. As regards Afghanistan the British Government was not to interfere in case of war breaking out between Persia and the Amir, whereas Persia, on her part, agreed to attack Afghanistan if it went to war with Great Britain.

It is easy to criticize various details of this treaty, as, for instance, the clause by which Great Britain was bound to interfere in boundary disputes between Persia and Russia; or, again, the supposition that the Shah could influence the ruler of Tataristan to oppose an invading army betrayed much ignorance of political geography. The document, to be judged fairly, must be taken as a whole. We must bear in mind the keen effort that the French had made to win over the Court of Persia, and the existence of a French peril, even though it loomed larger in the minds of men than reality justified. We must also not forget that there had been an Afghan peril. Taking everything into consideration, we cannot but admit that the treaty dealt with these important questions in a statesmanlike and satisfactory manner. The only criticism which I would venture to make is that it does not appear to have been recognized that a new power had risen on the horizon, and that henceforth Russia alone would be likely, or indeed able, to threaten India. It was known that in 1791 there had been a scheme for an invasion of Hindustan by way of Orenburg, Bokhara, and Kabul. Other schemes for Russian conquests in Central Asia had also been published. But it was not realized at first that Russian aggression on Georgia and Karabagh had inaugurated a new and permanent state of affairs. The reports of British officers who at the head of Persian regiments fought Russian troops, the appointment of a permanent mission at Teheran, and the travels and writings of the gifted Englishmen of the period, all contributed to furnish that accurate information which is essential as a basis of sound policy.
CHAPTER LXXVI

THE DISASTROUS CAMPAIGNS WITH RUSSIA

His Majesty the Shah of Persia, as well in his own name as in that of his heirs and successors, cedes in full right and property to the Empire of Russia, the Khanate of Erivan on either side of the Araxes, and the Khanate of Nakhchivan.—The Treaty of Turkomanchai, Article 3.

The Annexation of Georgia by Russia, 1800.—In previous chapters we have traced the earlier phases of the relations between Russia and Persia which were now to prove disastrous for the latter power. The death of Catherine and the accession of the Emperor Paul had caused the struggle for Georgia to cease for a while, but in due course it was renewed.

George XII. had succeeded to the throne in 1798. He was naturally desirous of avoiding hostilities with Persia, but when Fath Ali Shah ordered him to send his eldest son to Teheran as a hostage, he turned to Russia. That power promised him protection, and General Lazareff was ordered to march into Georgia at the head of a strong column. There he defeated the Avars who had made a serious inroad, intended to synchronize with a Persian invasion, which actually never occurred. George, who was very ill, was induced to offer the crown to Paul who readily accepted it. The manifesto containing the Tsar’s compliance was published in December 1800, George dying a few days later. Georgia was thus formally annexed to the Russian Empire, which was thereby brought into direct contact with Persia.

The Two Campaigns against Russia.—The campaigns which Persia now fought against Russia fall into two distinct periods. The first, in which the Persian army won some successes, ended with a defeat in 1812, and peace was made in 1813, by an agreement known as the treaty of Gulistan. There was
then a lull for thirteen years until, in 1826, the claim made by Russia to the district of Gokcha brought on a new war. The Persians found their enemy unprepared and gained some initial successes, but ultimately the Russians penetrated into Azerbaijan and captured Tabriz. This campaign, which was utterly disastrous to Persia, ended with the treaty of Turkomanchaj, in 1828.

The Persian Army under Abbas Mirza.—The command of the Persian army was vested in Abbas Mirza, the heir-apparent and Governor-General of Azerbaijan, who started the drilling and organizing of Persian troops on European lines. At first Russian instructors were engaged, and in order to overcome the prejudices of his countrymen the Prince donned a European uniform and went through the daily drills himself. A few years later the French Military Mission already mentioned appeared on the scene, and large bodies of troops were drilled into something like an army on the European model, so far as parade movements were concerned. Upon the decline of French influence, English instructors were substituted. This attempt to drill the Persians on European lines, praiseworthy as it was, contributed to the ruin of their country. Her military strength has always lain in mounted tribesmen, who by their mobility could create a desert round a regular force, attack numerically inferior bodies of mounted troops, and remain out of reach of slowly moving infantry. It was such a force as this that Nadir Shah led to Delhi after defeating the Turks by brilliant charges, and nothing but this could hope to baffle a European army. Rawlinson, who at a later period was an instructor of Persian troops, wrote: "System was entirely wanting, whether in regard to pay, clothing, food, carriage, equipage, commissariat, promotion, or command. . . . Truly then may it be said that in presenting Persia with the boon of a so-called regular army, in order to reclaim her from her unlawful loves with France, we clothed her in the robe of Nessus."

The Erivan Campaign, 1804.—The annexation of Georgia by Russia deeply affected the prestige of Persia, that country having long been her tributary, but actual hostilities between Russia and Persia did not break out until General Sisianoff, apparently without a formal declaration of war, marched on Erivan, which he had reason to believe would be handed over to him by its treacherous governor. The first battle,
fought in the neighbourhood of Echmiadzin, the residence of the Armenian patriarch, was indecisive. Not long afterwards the Persian camp was surprised and the army of the heir-apparent fled. After this victory Erivan was besieged, and its governor, who had refused to hand over the fortress, opened fresh negotiations. Fath Ali Shah himself now appeared in the theatre of operations with strong reinforcements. Engagements followed with indecisive results, but by preventing munitions and supplies from reaching the Russian camp, the Shah forced General Sisianoff to raise the siege, and he retired, harassed by the light Persian cavalry.

The Russian Descent on Gilan.—The next important operation was a Russian descent on Resht. As travellers to Teheran know, its seaport is Enzeli, behind which lies a shallow lagoon some twelve miles across, navigable only by small boats. After this body of water has been traversed a narrow river is entered, up which boats are towed to Pir-i-Bazar, distant some three or four miles from the capital of Gilan.

The Russian general, unable to transport his guns by boats, of which only a small number were forthcoming, attempted to march round the lagoon, taking a line where a metalled road now runs. But the marshy nature of the soil and the attacks of the inhabitants were obstacles too formidable to be faced, and the order was given to retire on Enzeli. The expedition then sailed to Baku and bombarded it. The Governor was invited to surrender, but at a conference held under its walls General Sisianoff was treacherously assassinated and his successor retired. Meanwhile Ganja had surrendered to Abbas Mirza, but was retaken by the Russians. The campaign dragged on with indecisive results, although the Russians occupied the greater part of the disputed territories, but they were repulsed a second time with loss from before Erivan. The Persians were anxious to engage the services of General Malcolm, but this was not permitted. He gave them, however, the sound advice to keep their artillery and newly raised infantry for the defence of fortresses, and to raise swarms of light horsemen to harass and distress the enemy.

The Battle of Astanduz, 1812.—In 1812 a decisive battle was fought. Sir Gore Ouseley was attempting to act as mediator, having heard that Great Britain had concluded
peace with Russia. He ordered the British officers to leave the Persian service, but so far yielded to Persian entreaties as to allow Christie and Lindsay to remain. The Persian army was at Aslanduz on the Aras, and there it was surprised in broad daylight by a Russian column consisting of only 2300 men with six guns. Christie formed up the infantry and was holding his own, when Abbas Mirza, thinking all was lost, directed him to retreat; upon his demurring, the Persian heir-apparent himself galloped up, seized the colours of a regiment and ordered the men to retire. The artillery was also compelled to follow, and but for the action of Lindsay, who dashed into the camp and seized some rounds of ammunition, would have been useless. Abbas Mirza made the disaster complete by giving wildly contradictory commands, and as the result of a second attack by night his army was almost annihilated. Gallant Christie, wounded in the neck, refused to surrender and was killed by the enemy. Lenkoran, the next Russian objective, was taken at the end of the year, and, after these two disasters, the Persians were disheartened and thought of making peace.

_The Treaty of Gulistan, 1813._—At the request of the Russian Governor-General of Georgia, Sir Gore Ouseley used his good offices, and on the 12th of October 1813 a treaty was signed. Its terms were disastrous to Persia. She ceded Derbent, Baku, Shirwan, Shaki, Karabagh, and part of Talish, and abandoned all pretensions to Georgia, Daghestan, Mingrelia, Imeritia and Abkhasia. She also agreed indirectly to maintain no navy on the Caspian Sea.¹ Russia, in return, apparently bound herself to support Abbas Mirza in securing the succession. Thus for his personal advantage the heir-apparent conceded to Russia the whole of the territories in dispute. That power, owing to the invasion of Napoleon, was in no condition to continue the campaign, and probably would have accepted less—for the time being. Persia, on her side, hoped by means of British officers to strengthen her position and then to try the fortune of war again. In other words, the peace was a temporary and not a final settlement.

_Risings in Persia._—Fath Ali Shah, partly at any rate owing to the defeats he had suffered at the hands of Russia, was

¹ When this stipulation was discussed at Teheran, Haji Mirza Aghasi, afterwards the Vizier of Mohamed Shah, summed up the situation by exclaiming, "What do we want with salt water!" The agreement is given in Appendix V. of Aitchison's Treaties.
faced with risings in various parts of the empire as well as with raids from outside. The chiefs of Khorasan, who had always resented the supremacy of the Kajars, rose against his son Mohamed Vali Mirza. They obtained possession of Meshed, but then began to quarrel and dispersed. As a sequel to this rebellion Ishak Khan, the powerful Karai chief, was strangled by the Governor-General. In the meanwhile the Amir of Bokhara invaded Khorasan at the invitation of the rebels, but, finding that the authority of the Shah had been re-established, made excuses and retired. The Khan of Khiva also appeared on the scene, but his envoy was humiliated by being forced to play on a musical instrument before the Persian generals. After this deadly insult his army was defeated. The Turkoman also revolted twice. On the second occasion their leader was a Kajar noble, but they were driven off and he was captured.

The Embassy of General Yermoloff, 1817.—After the conclusion of the peace it was vainly hoped that through English intercession part of the lost territories would be restored. The Tsar sent General Yermoloff, the gigantic Governor and Commander-in-Chief of the Caucasus, with a splendid embassy to Teheran, but instead of yielding up a square foot of the territories ceded to Russia, he proposed an alliance against Turkey. Further demands were for the passage through Astrabad and Khorasan of a Russian army destined to invade Khiva, and for the establishment of a Russian agent at Resht. All these proposals, together with an offer to supply Russian officers to train the Persian army, were politely refused, and the Russian envoy, after being magnificently entertained, left Teheran loaded with gifts, but bitterly hostile to Persia.

Afghan Campaigns, 1805 and 1817–1818.—We must now return for a short while to Afghanistan. In a.H. 1222 (1805) the erstwhile refugee Firuz Mirza, who was Governor of Herat, attempted to capture Ghorian, a fortress on the frontier which had remained in Persian hands. He was defeated and, being followed up to the gates of Herat, agreed to pay to Persia arrears of tribute for two years and to give his son as a hostage for his good faith. Twelve years later, in 1817, Hasan Ali Mirza, a son of Fath Ali Shah, marched to Herat to punish a further attack on Ghorian. Again Firuz Mirza bought off the invaders by a payment of 50,000 tomans and by ordering the public prayers to be read and the coinage
to be stamped in the name of Fath Ali Shah. After the departure of the Persian army he was alarmed at the possible consequences of his acts and asked for military assistance from Kabul. Mahmud Shah, who had been released from prison, and had driven Shah Shuja into exile at Ludhiana, was the nominal Amir of Afghanistan, but Fatteh Khan Barakzai, his Vizier, was all-powerful, and at his instance Firuz Mirza was treacherously seized and deported to Kabul. The chiefs of Khorasan were then incited to rise against the Shah, and the Khan of Khiva was persuaded to invade the province in the interests of Afghanistan.

Hasan Ali Mirza met this critical situation with firmness. In 1818 he attacked Fatteh Khan, who was defeated and wounded. Shortly afterwards Fath Ali Shah reached the theatre of war with a large force and Mahmud Shah purchased immunity from invasion by agreeing to blind his Vizier, who was afterwards barbarously executed. This act led to the downfall of the dynasty; for Dost Mohamed, brother and avenger of the murdered man, took up arms and after eight years of anarchy obtained possession of the throne of Afghanistan, which his descendants still occupy. Herat, however, remained faithful to Mahmud and after his death to his son Kamran Mirza.¹

_Hostilities with Turkey, A.H. 1236-1238 (1821-1823)._—The last campaign fought between Persia and Turkey nominally originated from the action of the Governor of Erzerum, who took under his protection two nomadic tribes that had fled from Azerbaijan, but actually it was due to the intrigues of Mazarovitch, the Russian agent at Teheran who, by appealing to the ambitious and vainglorious nature of Abbas Mirza, induced him to invade the Turkish dominions. The Turks being weak, all the districts adjacent to Azerbaijan, including Kurdistan, were occupied. Farther south, the Pasha of Baghdad attempted to invade Persia, but was defeated by Mohamed Ali Mirza, the Shah’s eldest son.² The routed army was pursued to the gates of the city, which lay at the mercy of the Prince. But illness caused him to retreat, and upon reaching the hills he died.

¹ Kamran Mirza had a feud with Fatteh Khan and induced his father, whose fears he excited, to give the order for his execution, which order he brought in person to Herat and executed.

² It is stated that Mohamed Ali Mirza, when a boy, was asked by Aga Mohamed what he would do if he became Shah. “I would kill you,” was the reply. This frank expression resulted in the appointment of Abbas Mirza, the second son, as heir-apparent to Fath Ali Shah.
Meanwhile hostilities continued in the north. A Turkish detachment a thousand strong was captured by a force operating from Erivan, but was released without ransom by Abbas Mirza, who throughout showed no desire to push matters too far. The campaign ended with a battle in which the Persians, although inferior in numbers, gained a hard-fought victory. In the southern zone all military operations were stopped because the Persian army suffered from cholera, which is stated to have made its first appearance in Persia on this occasion. Peace was concluded in the end by the treaty of Erzerum, signed in A.H. 1238 (1823). Its terms involved no territorial changes.

The Dispute about Gokcha and its Seizure by Russia, 1825. —The treaty of Gulistan had been so vaguely worded that three districts lying between Erivan and the Gokcha Lake, the most important of which was Gokcha, remained in dispute. Negotiations were carried on between General Yermoloff and Abbas Mirza, but, no agreement being reached, Gokcha was occupied by Russian troops. In consequence of this high-handed act, the intense feeling of hostility to Russia which had been excited by her conquests and by her contemptuous treatment of her new Moslem subjects broke out into a national demonstration in favour of war. Abbas Mirza was only too anxious to retrieve his lost reputation, and from every province of Persia recruits in thousands flocked to his standard. A Russian envoy, Prince Menchikoff, was despatched to the court of Teheran upon the accession of Tsar Nicholas, and it was hoped by the peace-loving Shah that a satisfactory arrangement would be effected; but the Russian Prince had no instructions to surrender Gokcha, and his mission did nothing to satisfy Persian public opinion, which was deeply stirred.

Initial Persian Successes.—The first act of hostility was an attack on a Russian force by the hereditary chief of Talish, whose wife was in their hands. This was followed by an assault on Lenkoran, which was abandoned by its garrison.

In the main theatre of war the Russians were unprepared, and at first the Persians carried all before them. An entire Russian regiment was captured marching towards Shisha, and one half of the prisoners entered the service of the Shah. The Moslems of Ganja massacred the Russian garrison, and the Persians raided up to the gates of Tiflis. So successful were they that in less than a month Shirwan, Shaki, Talish,
and Ganja had all been reoccupied by the troops of the Shah. Shisha, however, defied all the efforts of Abbas Mirza.

The Battle of Shamkar.—Russia meanwhile had been concentrating an army at Tiflis, and the first battle was fought at Shamkar, in the vicinity of Ganja, by a Russian column of two thousand men against a Persian force of much superior strength. The Persian cavalry, demoralized by the Russian artillery fire, fled and was pursued by Cossacks along the rear of the Persian infantry. Seeing this, the Russian main body advanced, and the Persians were routed, leaving their artillery in the hands of the enemy. In this battle Mohamed Mirza (afterwards Mohamed Shah), who was in command, was actually made prisoner by the Cossacks, but was rescued through the courage of a Shah Savan chief.

The Battle of Ganja, September 26, 1826.—Abbas Mirza immediately hastened north with thirty thousand men to repair the disaster, and was met by General Paskieviich, with an army only half as strong, eighteen miles west of Ganja on the Akstafa River. The Persian artillery, directed by its English officer, caused a Russian division to retreat and two Karadaggh regiments charged. Had the entire line advanced at this juncture the day might have been won; for the Russian artillery was badly served. Unfortunately for Persia, Abbas Mirza again behaved as he had done at Aslanduz, and his sons received orders to retire. These instructions discouraged the whole army, which broke up before a shot had been fired by many of the regiments. Abbas Mirza, who was not a coward, did his best to rally his men, but Asaf-u-Dola, the Vizier, quitted the field at the first alarm and reached the Aras, a hundred and fifty miles distant, by the following night.

The Avarice of Fath Ali Shah.—Avarice was the ruling passion of Fath Ali Shah, and, like the last of the Caliphs, he preferred to hoard jewels and gold rather than to expend money on national defence. For this reason the steps taken to collect a new army were inadequate. Moreover his sons refused to serve under the now discredited Abbas Mirza. The arsenal at Tabriz was found to be practically empty, the money devoted to it having been embezzled, and even such cannon balls as there were did not fit the guns. An attempt was made to buy lead locally, but very little was obtained. Meanwhile winter came on and, owing to the Shah's refusal
to furnish pay, the army was disbanded. The Russians made prompt use of reinforcements which reached them, and after the Astrakhan division had driven the Shah’s troops out of Derbent another division crossed the Aras and threatened Tabriz, which lay at the mercy of a determined enemy. It was, however, spared, and the Russian General retired without effecting anything of importance.

The Capture of Erivan, 1827.—In 1827 General Paskievich, who had succeeded to the chief command, besieged Erivan, but for the third time this fortress defied the Russians. Shortly afterwards Nakhchivan and then Abbasabad, a strong position on the Aras near Nakhchivan, were taken. This blow disheartened the Persians and fruitless efforts were made to conclude peace.

A victory, however, was at last gained by Abbas Mirza in the neighbourhood of Echmiadzin over a Russian force under General Krasovski, consisting of five thousand infantry, one thousand cavalry, and twelve guns. The Persians were equal in infantry, but stronger in cavalry and artillery. The latter arm was ably served, and the Persian troops, anxious to regain their lost reputation, charged boldly. The Russian General was wounded, and but for time lost by the Persians in cutting off the heads of their enemies the Russian force would have been annihilated. As it was, a large number reached the friendly shelter of Echmiadzin, its Russian garrison making a gallant sortie and driving off the Persians. Notwithstanding this victory, Fath Ali Shah refused to continue the supply of money necessary to keep the army in the field, and Erivan was, at last, captured by General Paskievich, who was granted the title Count of Erivan.

The Surrender of Tabriz, 1827.—The end of the campaign was now at hand. Realizing the loss of Persian moral and the defenceless state of Tabriz, the Russian commandant of Nakhchivan determined to capture the city with a small force of five thousand men, to which column it was surrendered by the leading inhabitants without a struggle. By this success the Russians gained possession of the arsenal, of almost the entire artillery park, and of the families of the leaders. There was consequently no use in prolonging the hopeless struggle, and it was left to the Russians to dictate the terms on which peace would be made.

The Treaty of Turkmanchai, 1828.—The victors were
embarrassed by hostilities with Turkey, and their demands, although not light, cannot be called exorbitant. The chief articles included the cession to Russia of the fertile provinces of Erivan and Nakhchivan and the payment of an indemnity fixed at ten crores 1 of tomans, equivalent to thirty million silver roubles, or approximately £3,000,000.

The new frontier was laid down in detail in Article 4 of the treaty. It followed the River Aras eastward as far as the 48th parallel of longitude. At this point it trended to the south, giving part of Talish, including Lankoran, to Russia, and then eastward again to the Caspian Sea, which it reached at Astara. By the seventh Article Abbas Mirza was formally recognized as heir to the throne of Persia, and by the tenth Russia acquired the right to nominate consuls or commercial agents "whatever the good of commerce may require." A separate compact dealt with the question of "Commerce and the Security of Subjects." By its terms 5 per cent was agreed to for the customs charges on exports and imports; Russian officials were allowed to import goods intended for their personal use free of charge and were also allowed to protect their Persian employés. Finally, they retained power over their own subjects.

This treaty marked the beginning of a new era, since Persia from that time ceased to be the entirely independent power that had been courted by France and England. France had left the arena, and England was not slow to see the changed position. The treaty is scarcely less important from another point of view; for it is the basis on which all western nations have since conducted their intercourse with Persia, and the extra-territorial privileges it introduced for Russians have been extended to other Europeans and are in force to this day. The negotiations, which began in the month of November 1827, were not concluded until the following February, the aged Shah having refused to unlock the doors of his treasure-house. He was afraid, moreover, that the money might be used by General Paskievich to finance a new campaign against Persia. Fortunately the British Minister, Sir John Macdonald, was able to reassure the Shah on this point, and at last the treaty was signed. The royal consent was given only just in time, for Paskievich was

1 A Persian crore is half a million. 2 Aitchison's Treaties, Appendix XVI. 3 The capitulations were abolished in 1928.
preparing to march on Teheran and had been promised a contingent of fifteen thousand cavalry by the disloyal chiefs of Azerbaijan.

The Modification of the Definitive Treaty with Great Britain. —The Persian Government held that the occupation of the district of Gokcha by Russia was the cause of the war and that Great Britain was consequently bound by the Definitive Treaty of 1814 to come to her aid. The British view, and the just view, was that Persia had waged an aggressive war. It was, however, realized that, had Russia been the aggressor and had her troops invaded Persian territory, Great Britain would have been placed in the awkward position of supporting the Shah in a war waged against a power with which she herself was on friendly terms. Sir John Macdonald, who had come to the rescue of Abbas Mirza by advancing him money in his dire need, succeeded in negotiating an agreement by which, in return for a payment of 200,000 tomans, Articles 3 and 4 of the treaty were cancelled. This sum of money was urgently needed by Abbas Mirza, and upon its receipt General Paskievich evacuated Tabriz. The cancelling of the two articles by Sir John Macdonald proves that that able diplomatist had realized the change in the position of Persia referred to above; a change which had already been indicated by the transfer of the direction of affairs at Teheran from London to Calcutta. By this deletion of treaty provisions that would have been inapplicable to the new situation he rendered a signal service to Great Britain, while the cash payment was invaluable to her stricken ally.

The Murder of Grebainov, 1828.—The year in which the treaty of Turkomanchai was signed was singularly unfortunate for Persia. By the terms of that instrument the third instalment of the indemnity had to be handed over to the Russian representative on the 27th of August, failing which, that power had the right to annex Azerbaijan. With characteristic Persian levity, no arrangements were made for the payment of

---

1 Atchison’s Treaties, p. 57. Article 3 of the Definitive Treaty, after declaring its purpose to be “strictly defensive” and its object that of “repelling the aggression of enemies,” went on to state that “the limits of the territories of the two States of Russia and Persia shall be determined according to the admission of Great Britain, Persia and Russia.” Article 4 provided that, in case any European nation invaded Persia, Great Britain should, if the Persian Government required assistance, send from India “the force required,” or, in lieu thereof, should pay an annual subsidy of two hundred thousand tomans towards the cost of a Persian army. But this subsidy was not to be paid if the war was “produced by an aggression on the part of Persia.”
this money, and but for the friendly vigilance of the British Envoy it would not have been forthcoming.

In the autumn a special mission under M. Grebaïodov reached Teheran from the Tsar. It was received with much distinction and honour, but the Envoy's claim that two Armenian women should be given up by Asaf-u-Dola created much ill-feeling. The women were surrendered, but the decision of the chief *Mujahid* that it was lawful to rescue them from the hands of the infidels caused a riot. The bazars were shut, a mob stormed the Legation, and the Envoy and his staff were murdered. The Shah, in utter dismay, despatched his grandson Khusru *Mirza* to offer the apologies of the Persian Government and to express horror at the outrage. Russia was engaged at the time in hostilities with Turkey and was unwilling to drive Persia by any act of harshness to side with that power. Consequently, not only were the demands of Russia limited to the exile of the chief *Mujahid* and the punishment of the guilty individuals, but in addition Tsar Nicholas generously remitted the equivalent of £300,000 of the war indemnity.
CHAPTER LXXVII

PERSIAN AGGRESSION ON AFGHANISTAN

We consent to the whole of the demands of the British Government. We will not go to war. Were it not for the sake of friendship we should not relinquish the siege of Herat.—The Statement of Mohamed Shah.

The Trend of Persian Policy, 1832–1857.—The last chapter was a recital of defeats and losses suffered by Persia at the hand of Russia. The present chapter and the following one are mainly an account of the persistent though unsuccessful efforts made by the defeated power to recover provinces on the eastern confines of the empire in order to balance heavy losses in the west. These campaigns against Afghanistan were viewed with apprehension by the British rulers in India, since it was realized that, if the policy of Persia were successful, Russian agents and Russian influence would be established to the south of the Hindu Kush. The Government of India, therefore, made strenuous efforts to keep Afghanistan outside the spheres of influence of both Russia and Persia, not shrinking from an Afghan campaign, from costly missions, or even from war with her old ally Persia. During the period dealt with, from 1832 to 1857, the main Persian objective was Herat, which was besieged more than once and for a short period actually occupied.

The Campaign of Abbas Mirza in Khorasan.—The disasters suffered in the campaign with Russia reacted on the internal situation of Persia, more especially in Khorasan, where the Kajar dynasty was peculiarly detested. Abbas Mirza was entrusted with the task of restoring order and defending Persian rights, and the energy and skill with which he conducted his last campaign must be set against his previous failures. He marched first to Yezd, which had rebelled, and then to Kerman, and in both provinces succeeded in
reviving public confidence. In Khorasan, Khusru Mirza opened the campaign by the siege of Turshiz. The fall of this fortress caused many of the leading chiefs to submit, but the Ilkhan of Kuchan refused to come to terms. Amirabad, a Kurdish stronghold near Chinaran, was taken, and Abbas Mirza had the utmost difficulty in stopping the massacre of its inhabitants. Kuchan was next besieged, and the rebel Ilkhan in the end submitted and was deposed in favour of his son.

Sarakhs was the next Persian objective. The Khan of Khiva had advanced to its neighbourhood, but retreated upon hearing of the success of the Persian arms and so deserted the Salor Turkoman of Sarakhs. This historical city, which owed its importance to its position at a ford of the Tejen on the great road between Nishapur and Merv, had become a notorious centre of the slave trade, and it was known that there were three thousand Shia captives within its walls. Abbas Mirza, after futile negotiations, allowed an hour for the unconditional surrender of the fortress, and when the time had elapsed assaulted and captured it. The Turkoman were massacred, the slaves were released, and enormous booty was collected.

This blow dealt to the Turkoman resounded throughout Central Asia. The Khan of Khiva was permitted to ransom five thousand Salor prisoners at ten tomans a head, but Abbas Mirza stipulated that the Salors should escort, and be responsible for, the safety of Persian caravans; that they should agree to have no dealings with slave-dealers; and, finally, that they should pay tribute and furnish a contingent of horsemen when required.

Anglo-Russian Antagonism in Central Asia.—The campaign against Herat in which Persia now engaged was the ultimate cause of the first Afghan war, and it may therefore be well, before describing the operations, to give some account of the general position in Central Asia. There is no doubt that both Russia and Great Britain at this period were animated—in Asia, at any rate—by feelings of mutual hostility; the interests of the two empires were conceived to be antagonistic, although Russia had not annexed Khiva and the frontier of British India was the Sutlej.

Russian victories over Persia had incited the defeated power to recover her prestige elsewhere, and this very natural desire was encouraged by her former enemy. It was realized
on the banks of the Neva that if Persia obtained possession of Herat she would probably take Kabul and Kandahar also. In this case Russian influence would penetrate Afghanistan without any effort on her part, whereas a heavy strain would be thrown on Great Britain to meet the demands of the new situation. If, on the other hand, Great Britain intervened to save Herat, she would be thwarting the natural and just ambitions of Persia and would thereby drive her to lean entirely on Russia. It must not be supposed that Abbas Mirza intended to affront Great Britain by an invasion of Afghanistan. To him it seemed only right that ancient provinces of Persia should be won back, and it is impossible not to sympathize with his aspirations.

The Siege of Herat and the Death of Abbas Mirza, 1833.—Herat was at this period held as an independent principality by Kamran Mirza, son of Mahmud Shah. His Vizier, the astute Yar Mohamed Khan, proceeded on a mission to the Persian heir-apparent, and was informed by him that unless his master acknowledged the authority of the Shah and paid tribute Herat would be besieged. Kamran Mirza sent back an evasive reply and it was thereupon decided to undertake an Afghan campaign.

Abbas Mirza was summoned to Court, and the military command was given to Mohamed Mirza, who advanced on Ghorian. Unable to capture that stronghold, he left it in his rear and invested Herat. Aided by a Polish officer named Berovski, the young Prince was pressing forward the siege when news was received of the death of Abbas Mirza, whose premature decease was a loss to Persia. A treaty was hastily concluded, by the terms of which Kamran Mirza agreed to pay tribute to the Shah and to raze the fortifications of Ghorian; and Mohamed Mirza hastened to Teheran, where he was proclaimed heir-apparent. But before quitting Afghan soil he swore a solemn oath that he would return and avenge his failure in Afghan blood.

The Death of Fath Ali Shah, 1834.—In the following year Fath Ali Shah died at the age of sixty-eight, after a reign of thirty-seven years. Apart from his avarice, which, as we have seen, brought disaster upon Persia in her struggle with Russia, he was looked upon as a capable ruler, and in some ways he recalls Solomon in his later years. He certainly was no soldier, and by Persians he is remembered chiefly for his
enormous family and his long beard. Many are the stories I have heard from Persian friends about this monarch, and one or two of them may be reproduced.

Of his personal beauty he was inordinately proud, and it is said that, having a mole under his chin where it could not be seen, he insisted on having it reproduced by the Court painter on his cheek. Another story is to the effect that when news was received of the crossing of the Persian frontier by the Russians, the nobles and officials waited with interest to see what action would be taken. The Shah appeared, robed in the "robes of wrath," which were all of red, including a crown studded with rubies, and with a huge ruby in his dagger hilt. The nobles expected him to deliver sentence of death, as was customary when these robes were worn, and listened to his utterances with awe. His Majesty protested that the "ill-omened" Russians had violated the sacred soil of Persia, and inquired, "If we send the household cavalry to attack them what then?" The reply was, "May we be thy sacrifice! They would drive them back to Moscow." "And if we ourselves went?" The nobles gave no reply, but grovelled on the ground and wept at the thought of the woes that the Russians would suffer! Incredible as it may appear, there is no doubt that Fath Ali Shah hoped the Russians would learn that the Shah had been seated on his throne wearing the "robes of wrath," and that they would be struck with terror and retire. But, unfortunately for the Shah, the Russians are a brave and not a particularly imaginative people.


He was one blaze of jewels, which literally dazzled the sight on first looking at him; but the details of his dress were these: A lofty tiara of three elevations was on his head, which shape appears to have been long peculiar to the crown of the great king. It was entirely composed of thickly-set diamonds, pearls, rubies, and emeralds, so exquisitely disposed as to form a mixture of the most beautiful colours in the brilliant light reflected from its surface. Several black feathers, like the heron plume, were intermixed with the resplendent aigrettes of this truly imperial diadem, whose bending points were finished with pear-formed pearls of an immense size. The vesture was gold tissue, nearly covered with a similar disposition of jewelry; and crossing the

---

\(^1\) *Travels in Georgia, Persia, etc.*, vol. i. pp. 325-326 (London, 1821).
shoulders were two strings of pearls, probably the largest in the world. I call his dress a vesture, because it set close to his person, from the neck to the bottom of the waist, showing a shape as noble as his air.

At that point, it developed downwards in loose drapery, like the usual Persian garment, and was of the same costly materials with the vest. But for splendour, nothing could exceed the broad bracelet round his arms and the belt which encircled his waist; they actually blazed like fire when the rays of the sun met them.

The Accession of Mohamed Shah, 1834.—The death of Fath Ali Shah unchained fierce rivalries, and it was seen that two of his sons, Farman Farma and Zil-u-Sultan, Governors of Fars and Teheran respectively, were prepared to bid for the throne. Fortunately for the rightful heir, the British Envoy, Sir John Campbell, was at Tabriz, and by his assistance, both moral and material, and that of the Russian representative, the new Shah was able to march on Teheran at the head of a considerable force commanded by Sir Henry Lindsay Bethune. The circumstance that he was accompanied by the Ministers of Great Britain and Russia caused the desertion of Zil-u-Sultan's adherents, and the Pretender hastened to submit and was present at the coronation of his nephew. Farman Farma was a more dangerous rival, and the English General was soon marching south to attack him; Isfahan was reached by a forced march and shortly afterwards the rebel army was surprised in a fog near Kumishah. I have visited the site of the battle, which was decided by artillery fire, against which the nomad horsemen would not stand. Farman Farma fled, but was captured, and died on the way to prison at Ardebil.

Meanwhile Khorasan had revolted, but its turbulent chiefs submitted. In Laristan and Arabistan also there were outbreaks, but these troubles were put down, partly at any rate thanks to Rawlinson, who had recently arrived in Persia.

The Second British Military Mission.—In 1832–33 Indian interest in Persia was so far aroused that a quantity of arms, ammunition, and accoutrements was presented to the Shah. This handsome gift was followed in 1834 by an important Military Mission, in which all arms of the service were represented. Among the officers who were to win distinction were Rawlinson, Stoddart, Sheil, and D'Arcy Todd. From the start the English officers were treated with jealousy and hostility by the Persians; they had no control over the pay
or promotion of the Persian corps, and the young Shah did not support them. In 1836 the members of the English Mission at the royal camp were dismissed with insult; and in 1838, when Sir John M'Neill hauled down his flag and broke off relations with Persia, all the British officers left with him.

_Haji Mirza Aghasi._—Upon his accession Mohamed Shah brought from Tabriz his Minister, known as the _Kaim Makam_, or Deputy Governor. This personage had established an extraordinary ascendancy over his master, but as he insisted on directing every branch of the administration himself even the rough machinery of the Persian Government came to a standstill. When the position was realized by Mohamed Shah the unfortunate minister was strangled. He was succeeded by _Haji Mirza Aghasi_, who had been tutor to the Shah and who was both ignorant and fanatical, his attitude towards all foreigners being one of profound suspicion.

_The Afghan Policy of Mohamed Shah._—The death of Fath Ali Shah, who had been friendly to Great Britain, and the accession of Mohamed Shah, who was almost entirely under Russian influence, was disadvantageous to British policy, as was speedily proved.

No sooner was the new Shah firmly established on the throne than he organized a large force for a second Afghan campaign. Kamran _Mirza_ had failed to pay tribute, had not destroyed the fortifications of Ghorian, and had added to his offences by the execution of some Persians. Beyond the question of Herat lay that of Sistan, which Persia coveted and claimed as one of her provinces, and its annexation at this period by Kamran _Mirza_ was an additional affront. The British position was diplomatically very weak, as it had been agreed in the Definitive Treaty that Great Britain should not interfere in case of war between Persia and Afghanistan. Nevertheless, since the extension of Persian sovereignty would involve the posting of the Russian agents nearer India, the British Envoy used all his influence to suspend the expedition.

_The Rise of Dost Mohamed._—After the final expulsion of Mahmud from Kabul, Mohamed Azim, a brother of Fatteh Khan, governed as the Vizier of a puppet Sadozai prince; but after his death his brother, Dost Mohamed, the son of a Kizilbash woman of low origin, gradually proved himself the strongest member of the family. As is almost invariably
the case in Afghanistan, his brothers were his most bitter enemies, especially Sultan Mohamed, who, after failing to seize Kabul, held Peshawar as a province of the Sikh kingdom. In spite of many vicissitudes of fortune, Dost Mohamed had by the year 1826 obtained undisputed possession of Kabul, and during the next eight years he ruled in comparative peace, of which he took the fullest advantage not only for strengthening his position but also for improving his own scanty education. In 1834 Shah Shuja, after obtaining an advance of his pension from the Government of India, made a desperate attempt to recover the throne. He defeated Kuhandil Khan, brother of Dost Mohamed, and besieged Kandahar, but was repulsed in the end by a force from Kabul, led by Dost Mohamed in person.

The Burnes Mission. — In 1836 Lord Auckland, the Governor-General, despatched Alexander Burnes on a “commercial” mission to Kabul, where he was well received by Dost Mohamed, whom he had visited as a traveller four years previously. The wish of the Amir was to reunite to his kingdom Peshawar on the east and Herat on the west, and he hoped that by means of a British alliance he would be able to obtain one, if not both, of his objects. Shortly after the arrival of Burnes, Captain Vitkovich, a Russian “commercial” agent, reached Kabul. He had travelled from Persia via Kandahar, and at that city had induced Kuhandil Khan, who was disloyal to his brother, to promise to co-operate with the Persians against Herat.

Dost Mohamed paid little attention to the Russian, and offered to send a force to the assistance of Yar Mohamed Khan, the Vizier of Kamran Mirza and the virtual ruler of Herat. In return he stipulated for a subsidy with which to maintain the troops, and for his recognition by the Government of India as Amir of Kabul. Burnes, who was favourably impressed by the Afghan prince, realized that it would be sound policy to strengthen his hands, and in consequence strongly supported his demands for a subsidy and for recognition. With regard to Peshawar he recommended that an arrangement should be made with Ranjit Singh, by which Dost Mohamed should hold the city and pay tribute for it to Lahore, as his brother had done. These reasonable terms were rejected by Lord Auckland, who demanded the dismissal of Vitkovich and the renunciation by Dost Mohamed of all
claims to the provinces conquered by Ranjit Singh. Throughout the Governor-General entirely failed to realize the situation, and he censured Burnes for promising his support to Kuhandil Khan in case of Persian aggression. Even in the matter of presents, which are esteemed by oriental potentates not merely for their value but as adding to the dignity of the recipient in the eyes of his Court, the Mission was furnished scantily and compared most unfavourably with that of Elphinstone, which had bestowed splendid gifts on Shah Shuja. Consequently, through no fault of his own, Burnes failed. Kaye justly denounces the dishonest mutilation of despatches by which Burnes is made to appear responsible for the failure of the mission. In a novel, too, written to bring out the great achievement of Eldred Pottinger, Burnes is most unfairly made to serve as a dark background to the hero. As Kaye puts it, "Had Burnes been left to obey the dictates of his own reason and to use the light of his own experience, he would have conciliated both the Candahar Sirdars and the Caubul Ameer, and raised up an effective bulwark in Afghanistan against Persian invasion and Russian intrigue." It remains to add that M'Neill's views of the question were practically identical.

The Promises of Vitkavich.—Dost Mohamed, realizing that the British Government was unwilling to make reasonable proposals to him, now turned to Vitkavich, who promised Russian support and agreed, among other things, that Russian assistance should be given to the Shah in his campaign against Herat. His mission, however, like that of Burnes, was a failure, and in the end he was disowned by the Russian Government and disappeared from the scene. Not content to rely on vague Russian support, Dost Mohamed ultimately strengthened his hands by making a treaty with Mohamed Shah against Kamran Mirza; thus through British ineptitude he was forced into taking a step most disadvantageous to British policy.

The Second Siege of Herat, 1837–1838.—In 1836 the Shah wasted the whole season in ineffectual operations against the

2 He committed suicide. For the facts about Vitkavich vide England and Russia in the East, p. 152.
3 In Travels and Journals preserved in the Bombay Secretariat, there is a delightful account of the Afghan embassy to Mohamed Shah, written by the ambassador, who was of Persian origin.
elusive Turkoman. In 1837, however, he mustered his army at Shahrud and marched through Khorasan into the Herat province. Forewarned of the impending storm, Yar Mohamed Khan had collected a large proportion of the crops into the city and had destroyed the remainder. He also burned every village situated within twelve miles of Herat. Ten thousand horsemen were instructed to keep the field and harass the enemy, and the various strongholds in the province were garrisoned. The ramparts were repaired and the ditch was cleaned out and deepened. But the greatest asset of all was a young English artillery officer, Eldred Pottinger, who, arriving in disguise, revealed his identity and soon became the life and soul of the defence, and saved the city from its assailants. Incidentally Pottinger raised the prestige of Great Britain in Central Asia, and the Khan of Khiva informed Major Abbot, whom we shall meet later on, that the gallantry of that officer was his first introduction to the British, of whom he had never previously heard.

The Persian army arrived before Herat in November and operations began almost immediately. Foraging parties committed every possible atrocity, and the Shah, to show the spirit in which he was waging war, ordered the first prisoner to be bayoneted in his presence. About a month after the commencement of the siege one of the bastions was taken, but it was soon retaken, and during the winter operations dragged on month after month with no decisive results, the Persian generals working entirely independently of one another and each being rather pleased if a rival general was defeated.

In the spring of 1838 McNeill arrived in the Persian camp and attempted to persuade the disheartened Shah to break off the siege. At the monarch's request he entered Herat and drew up an agreement with Yar Mohamed Khan on behalf of Mohamed Shah. Unfortunately Count Simonich, the Russian Envoy, arrived at this juncture and offered the services of a Russian officer. The Shah, like a true son of Iran, hoped everything from the newcomer and for a fortnight would not hear of ratifying the agreement made by the British Minister. As, however, Herat did not fall he began to think of it again; though, still hoping for success, he could not make up his mind to face the loss of prestige which failure would involve. The smallest advantage would buoy him up and a promise of
aid from Kandahar made him decide to continue the siege. At the same time he slighted the British Envoy and refused redress when one of his couriers was seized, being under the impression that Great Britain valued the friendship of Persia so highly that she would stand even affronts to her representative.

Matters were in this unsatisfactory state when the Shah, at a private audience, agreed to fulfil the terms of the agreement if the Minister would assure him officially in writing that he would incur the anger of the British Government if the siege were continued. The object of this, it was explained, was to prove to all that the Shah was raising the siege in order to avoid offending Great Britain. The fickle monarch next attempted to extort a large pecuniary payment for complying with the wishes of Great Britain, and in view of the lavishness which had marked previous missions he had good reason to expect some pecuniary aid. This, however, was refused, and so he turned the tables on the Minister by sending him a despatch in which the terms of his communication were treated as an attack upon the sovereign independent rights of the King of Kings. This document and the hostile spirit of the Persian Court induced the British Envoy to quit the royal camp. At Shahrud he received instructions from England to express to the Shah the strongest disapproval of Her Majesty’s Government at his conduct in connexion with Herat and to state that Great Britain would regard the occupation of that city as a hostile act. Finally he was to point out that the island of Kharak had been occupied by British troops.

Shortly after the departure of the British Envoy a final effort was made by the Persian army. For six days the defences were battered and a general assault planned by General Perovski was delivered. Thrice the breach was captured, but the Afghan swordsmen drove the besiegers back and nearly two thousand of them were killed or wounded. General Perovski was killed, and Samson, who led the battalion of Russian deserters, was wounded.

The Shah was utterly dejected, though, like a Persian, he derived much consolation from the fact that the plan of attack which failed had been drawn up by a Russian; rumour, too, had magnified the scope of the British operations in the Persian Gulf. He was consequently ready to listen to Colonel
Stoddart, who was sent to him by McNeill, and, after hearing Stoddart's message, replied in the words which form a heading to this chapter. Rumours of the expedition to reinstate Shah Shuja on the throne assisted the triumph of British policy. Simonich lost all influence, and the Shah finally left Herat without coming to any agreement with its ruler. Thus ended the celebrated siege.

The First Afghan War, 1838–1842.—The siege of Herat, which to all appearances was bound to fall into the hands of Persia and to be followed by the capture or submission of Kandahar, if not of Kabul, reacted most unfavourably on the political situation in India. Rumours of a Moslem invasion filled the bazaars, public securities declined in value, and the speedy end of British rule was foretold. Under these adverse conditions Lord Auckland and his advisers decided to make a counter-stroke, and, as they were hostile to Dost Mahomed, Shah Shuja was the chosen instrument of their policy. In the first instance it was proposed to induce Ranjit Singh to co-operate with Shah Shuja by advancing through the Khyber Pass on Kabul, while Shah Shuja himself marched on the capital by Kandahar at the head of an army recruited by himself. This project was duly agreed to by both the principals, but it was then pointed out to Lord Auckland that without a British force it would almost certainly miscarry. As Kaye puts it, "since Mohamed Shah was besieging Herat, it was decided that Great Britain should herself make war upon Dost Mohamed, and this was the origin of the First Afghan War, which has been justly censured more than any other waged by Great Britain in Asia."

The plan finally adopted was to march to Herat and raise the siege, to drive Dost Mohamed from Kabul and to put Shah Shuja in his place. The policy of driving Persia from Herat was sound, but from a military point of view the expedition, as originally planned, was almost beyond the resources of the British army in India. The centre where the army assembled was Karnal, and the distance from this frontier cantonment to Kandahar was eleven hundred miles. From Kandahar to Herat was four hundred more. The British force, only twenty thousand strong and encumbered by thousands of

1 Stoddart was afterwards sent by Sir John M'Neill to Bokhara, with instructions to make a treaty and obtain the release of Russian prisoners. Owing, perhaps, to the intrigues of Yar Mohamed, he was first imprisoned and then murdered by the Amir.
followers, would therefore have had to march the enormous distance of fifteen hundred miles through a poor, dry, and possibly hostile country and then meet an enemy not perhaps very formidable, but possibly strengthened by Russian officers and money, if not by Russian regiments. When the losses through hardships, the posting of garrisons at strategical points, and the probability of attacks by the Afghans are all considered, this expedition, it must be confessed, was difficult to carry out from the military point of view, and might well have ended in disaster. Fortunately, before it started news reached India that Mohamed Shah had been baffled before Herat and had marched back to Persia. It might have been thought that with the removal of this really serious menace the necessity for engaging a British army in Afghanistan had passed away.

It was, however, decided that Dost Mohamed, representing the Barakzai dynasty, must be driven out of Afghanistan and Shah Shuja, of the Sadozai family, set up in his place, on the alleged ground that Dost Mohamed’s hostility threatened the peace of India. As long as Mohamed Shah was besieging Herat there were strong reasons for an expedition, but after his failure there were none of sufficient weight, apart from the injustice of invading Afghanistan with the avowed intention of substituting an inefficient ruler for one of exceptional capacity.

Even with the reduced force which it was now determined to employ, the question of supplies, expressed in terms of transport, dominated the military situation throughout, and the losses both in men and camels in the Bolan Pass were very heavy. Kandahar was fortunately undefended, and the army was able to rest in a relatively fertile centre. There was, indeed no resistance until Ghazni was reached. Sir Henry Durand (then a lieutenant in the Bengal Engineers) gallantly blew up the Kabul Gate of the city, which alone had not been bricked up, the garrison fled panic-stricken, and the army, which was once again on short rations, mainly owing to difficulties of transport, obtained supplies in abundance. This feat of arms, which amazed the Afghans, who deemed Ghazni impregnable, secured a triumphal entry into Kabul in August 1839, and Dost Mohamed subsequently surrendered.

Two years later there was a reaction, led by Akbar Khan, son of Dost Mohamed. The brigade which had been left to
garrison Kabul was badly led, and was finally induced to evacuate its cantonment in midwinter, with the result that four thousand fighting men and twelve thousand followers were cut to pieces while retiring on Jalalabad.

In the spring of 1842 Pollock forced the Khyber and relieved Jalalabad, but it was not until September that Lord Ellenborough, who had succeeded Lord Auckland as Governor-General, permitted Pollock from Jalalabad and Nott from Kandahar to converge on Kabul, which, after some fighting, was occupied by both generals. Meanwhile Shah Shuja had been assassinated, and ultimately Dost Mohamed, whose feelings towards Great Britain must have been particularly bitter, was permitted to return and the British army evacuated Afghanistan. Thus concluded an enterprise which was unjust, inexpedient, and badly led. Its main object was to expel Dost Mohamed, who was ultimately released and restored to power by Great Britain. At the same time it is easy to exaggerate the military importance of the destruction of a brigade. The loss was avenged, and would in consequence soon be forgotten. Upon the whole, when we consider the enormous distances, the lack of supplies and water, and the bad communications, Great Britain would appear to have been fortunate in suffering only one disaster.

The British Mission to Herat, 1839-1841.—Yar Mohamed Khan was the first to congratulate Shah Shuja upon his restoration to the throne, and it was decided to send a mission from India to Herat and to make a treaty with its ruler. Major D’Arcy Todd, who had been with McNeill at the siege, was selected for the task, and the mission was “received with every mark of respect by the Monarch and his Minister.” A treaty was concluded, by the terms of which the Government of India paid a monthly subsidy of twenty-five thousand rupees, in return for which it was stipulated that all intercourse with Persia should be carried on through the British. As might have been expected, however, the Vizier was unable to refrain from intriguing, and before very long Todd received from the Legation at Teheran the copy of a letter Yar Mohamed had addressed to Mohamed Shah, in which he stated that his hopes rested on the “Asylum of the Universe”

1 In Caravan Journeys, by J. P. Ferrier, a good account is given of this consummate scoundrel.
and that the English were tolerated merely from motives of expediency.

This was condoned, but after a residence of eighteen months Todd discovered that a mission had been sent by Yar Mohamed to Meshed. He thereupon stopped payment of the subsidy and, a breach ensuing, the British representative, realizing that the Vizier was bitterly hostile, withdrew from Herat.

The Settlement with Persia.—We must now return to Persia. Mohamed Shah, as we have seen, when forced to abandon the siege of Herat, had hastily agreed to fulfil all the demands of the British Government, but he was most unwilling to evacuate Ghorian, Farrah, and Afghan Sabzawar. He was likewise unwilling to apologize to the British Minister for the assault upon his courier; in short, he was thoroughly out of temper at having failed before Herat.

Meanwhile he had despatched a certain Husayn Khan to England with a view to obtaining M'Neill's recall. The envoy was armed with a portentous document in which the Shah protested that the sole object of his expedition had been to rescue Persian subjects from slavery, and complained bitterly of the oppression to which he had been subjected by the British Minister. Unfortunately for the Persian representative, Lord Palmerston was Foreign Minister, and at Vienna he received an intimation that he would not be recognized as a diplomatic agent, and that in the demand for the recall of the British Minister Her Majesty's Government only saw an additional proof that Sir John M'Neill had faithfully and ably performed his duty. With extreme difficulty the Persian Envoy obtained an interview with Palmerston. That statesman finally consented to formulate the demands of the British Government, which were nine in number, and included the evacuation of Ghorian and other Afghan strongholds and a written apology for the ill-treatment of the courier. Lastly it was stipulated that the signature of a commercial treaty must accompany the re-establishment of diplomatic relations. The unsuccessful envoy upon his return "ate many sticks," in other words he was severely bastinadoed.

The Rebellion of Aga Khan, 1840-1841.—The vitality of religious sects is remarkable, and Mohamed Shah received an unpleasant reminder of the fact in the rebellion of Aga Khan Mahallati. Descended from the Ismailis who played such an
important part on the stage of Persia until Hulagu crushed the
noxious sect, as detailed in Chapter LVI., Aga Khan, who
was a Persian nobleman and landowner, rebelled in 1840 and
defeated the Governor of Yezd on the borders of the Kerman
province. After some further successes he was driven away
from Kerman and seized the fort at Bam. Finally he fled to
India, where he assisted the British in Sind and settled down
in Bombay. In 1844-45 his brother Abul Hasan, known as the Sirdar, invaded Persian Baluchistan, but in the end was
expelled. The present representative of the family is one of
the leading and most enlightened Moslems in India, where
his followers are termed Khojas.

Perso-Turkish Relations, 1842-1843.—Since the close of
hostilities between Persia and Turkey there had been many
causes of mutual complaint, as was only to be expected with
an ill-defined frontier inhabited on both sides by wild and
turbulent tribesmen.

In 1842 the Kurdish Vali of Ardelan collected his horse-
men to support a dismissed Pasha of Sulaymania, whose case
the Persian Government had taken up with slight success, and
to meet this force Turkish troops assembled on their side of
the frontier. A Kurdish detachment was sent to occupy a
desile in rear of the Turkish position, but the manoeuvre was
rendered unavailing by the defeat of the Vali of Ardelan.
The matter was misrepresented at Teheran; the Shah gave
orders for an army to be assembled, and an outbreak of
hostilities appeared to be imminent. Great Britain and
Russia, however, used their good offices, and war was averted.
Subsequently a commission was formed for delimiting the
frontier, and the peace was not broken. In the following
year religious opinion in Persia was outraged by an attack
on Kerbela and a massacre of its inhabitants. Although this
city is on Turkish soil, the cry for war was universal and
extensive military preparations were made; but the Turkish
Government expressed regret and promised compensation,
and so hostilities were again avoided.

The Death of Mohamed Shah, 1848.—Mohamed Shah from
boyhood had been a martyr to gout, and when he reached his
fortieth year he was attacked by a complication of maladies to
which he succumbed. His differences with Great Britain and
his failure before Herat, combined with ill-health, had soured

1 Vide Ten Thousand Miles, etc., pp. 68-70 and p. 105.
his character, which was certainly bigoted and cruel; but according to his lights he was not a bad Shah. The state of Persia, however, was not satisfactory; for Haji Mirza Aghasi, who had been its virtual ruler for thirteen years, "was utterly ignorant of statesmanship or of military science, yet too vain to receive instruction and too jealous to admit of a coadjutor; brutal in his language; insolent in his demeanour; indolent in his habits; he brought the exchequer to the verge of bankruptcy and the country to the brink of revolution. The pay of the army was generally from three to five years in arrears. The cavalry of the tribes was almost annihilated." Such—to adopt the weighty words of Rawlinson—was the condition of Persia in the middle of the nineteenth century."
CHAPTER LXXVIII

THE FINAL SETTLEMENT OF THE PERSO-AFGHAN QUESTION

His Majesty the Shah of Persia agrees to relinquish all claims to sovereignty over the territory and city of Herat and the countries of Afghanistan, and never to demand from the chiefs of Herat, or of the countries of Afghanistan, any marks of obedience, such as the coinage or "Khutha," or tribute.

His Majesty further engages to abstain hereafter from all interference with the internal affairs of Afghanistan. His Majesty promises to recognize the independence of Herat and of the whole of Afghanistan, and never to attempt to interfere with the independence of those States.

In case of differences arising between the Government of Persia and the countries of Herat and Afghanistan the Persian Government engages to refer them for adjustment to the friendly offices of the British Government, and not to take up arms unless those friendly offices fail of effect.—The Treaty of Paris, Art. 6.

The Accession of Nasir-u-Din, 1848.—After the death of Mohamed Shah there was no opposition to the accession of the Heir-Apparent, whose age was sixteen. Disorders, however, broke out in the provinces, and the capital was the scene of intrigues, mainly directed against Haji Mirza Aghasi. On the advice of the British and Russian ministers he withdrew from the direction of affairs. Nasir-u-Din reached Teheran from Tabriz about six weeks after the decease of his father, and was crowned at midnight.

Mirza Taki Khan, Amir-i-Nizam.—In Persia the Vizier almost invariably plays a preponderating rôle, and therefore at a time when it seemed possible that the country would break up the choice of a chief Minister by the new Shah was most important. Usually the post is filled by a man of humble origin, and to this rule Mirza Taki Khan was no exception. His father was cook, and afterwards steward, of Kaim Makam, the first Vizier of Mohamed Shah. The son entered the service of the Persian Commander-in-Chief and went to St. Petersburg in his suite on the occasion of the embassy of
Khusru Mirza. His promotion was rapid until he became Vizier of the army of Azerbaijan. Later on he represented Persia on the frontier commission which met at Erzerum. Mirza Taki Khan's last appointment was that of Chief Officer of the Heir-Apparent, who, when he came to the throne, not unnaturally appointed him Chief Minister. He disarmed jealousy as far as possible by refusing the title of Sadr-i-Azam, or "Prime Minister," and assumed that of Amir-i-Nizam, or "Chief of the Army."

The new Vizier was determined to remedy the various existing abuses, such as the sale of appointments and governorships, the enormous number of pensions granted to unworthy persons, and the robbery of the soldiers by their officers. At first he made little way, as few Persians could credit the existence of a minister who was both truthful and incorruptible. Gradually, however, the word was passed round that bribery and corruption were of no avail, and with some public opinion at his back he reformed abuse after abuse, and placed the finances of the country on something resembling a business-like footing. Naturally his reforms raised up a host of enemies, among whom was the powerful Queen-mother, but the young Shah at first supported him loyally, and even gave him his own sister in marriage.

The Rebellion of the Salar.—In Persia the Turks of Azerbaijan play the leading part. By custom the Heir-Apparent governs this province, and upon succeeding to the throne marches to Teheran surrounded by his staff of Turks. The army, too, and certainly the most trustworthy portion of it, is mainly recruited in this province, which also supplies all the artillerists. Consequently the Kajar dynasty came to be identified with "the Turks," and in many cases risings were inspired by hatred of these alien garrisons; for the inhabitants of Azerbaijan speak little or no Persian.

Towards the close of the reign of Mohamed Shah a young Kajar Khan known as Salar, son of Asaf-u-Dola, had rebelled. He had induced many of the chiefs of Khorasan to join him, but they deserted and he was forced to seek refuge among the Turkoman together with Jafar Kuli Khan, chief of Bujnurd. Shortly afterwards the two rebels returned to Khorasan and reoccupied Bujnurd. Again they were attacked and again they fled, Jafar Kuli Khan taking refuge on this occasion with Yar Mohamed Khan of Herat.
The death of Mohamed Shah gave the Pretender his chance, and before long, owing to hatred of the Turks, almost all the chiefs of Khorasan had joined the young Khan, whose personality was attractive and courage undoubted. Yar Mohamed Khan brought two thousand sowars to Meshed as a reinforcement for Hamza Mirza, the Persian Governor-General, who had promised him twenty guns and two frontier posts in return for his assistance. But the forces of Salar were too strong, and Meshed was evacuated, the Governor-General retiring in the direction of the Afghan frontier. Meanwhile a force of six thousand infantry under Sultan Murad Mirza reached Khorasan from Teheran and, mainly owing to the desertion of the Bujnurd chief, Salar was driven to shut himself up in Meshed, where he was besieged for eighteen months. Finally the citizens of the Sacred City entered into negotiations with the besiegers, and surrendered Meshed and Salar. The Pretender was tortured in barbarous fashion to make him reveal his treasure, and was then strangled. He was buried in the shrine of Khoja Rabi, where his grave was pointed out to me.

The Bab.—Among the latest religions to which Asia has given birth is that of the Bab. Its founder, Sayyid Ali Mohamed,1 born in 1820, was the son of a grocer of Shiraz, who evincing a religious disposition was sent to Kerbela, where he studied at the feet of celebrated doctors of law and gained distinction for the austerities he practised and for his love of learning. At the age of twenty-four he proclaimed himself the Bab, or “Gate,” intimating thereby that he was the “Gate” through which men might attain to knowledge of the Twelfth Imam. In the same year the Bab, as he was thenceforth termed, undertook the pilgrimage to Mecca and on returning by way of Bushire attracted considerable attention, followers gathering round him in large numbers. Encouraged by this support, he determined to convert his own city to his doctrines. In spite of the fact that his representative was bastinadoed and mutilated by the Governor—the same Husayn Khan who had been unsuccessful as an envoy to England—the Bab entered Shiraz. Confronted with the doctors of law, he declared that the mission of Mohamed was

1 These sections are based on The Episode of the Bab, The New History of the Bab, and the article in the Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics; in each case the author is Prof. E. G. Browne. A brief account of the sect is also given in The Sword of Islam by Sir A. Wollaston.
ended and that he himself had come to inaugurate a new era. The astonished assembly requested a written statement of his claims, but when this was presented it was found to be illegible. The Bab was consequently pronounced a madman and was beaten and imprisoned.

His followers meanwhile increased in numbers and persecutions were instituted. An attack was made by the Shirazis on the house where the founder of the sect was imprisoned, but he escaped to Isfahan. After a while he was sent as a prisoner to Maku, in the extreme north-west corner of Persia, and thence to Chihrik, near Urumia, where he declared himself to be the Imam Mahdi.

Finally, in 1850, he was ordered to execution at Tabriz. In the great square he received the volley of a firing party, and when the smoke rolled away he was not to be seen. The shots had cut his ropes and he had fled. Had he gained the town he might have escaped, and his religion would have been firmly established by the miracle—as it would have been deemed. Unfortunately for himself, he took refuge in the guard-room, where he was found. He was at once taken back to the square and shot.

His Doctrines.—His doctrines, as expounded in the Bayan, or "Book of Doctrine," are mystical and obscure. To quote Wollaston, "God is Eternal and Unapproachable. All things come from Him and exist by Him. Man cannot approach Him except through some appointed medium. So, distinct from God, there is a Primal Will which becomes incarnate in the prophets. This Primal Will spoke in the Bab, and will speak in 'him whom God shall manifest'; and after him through others, for there is no cessation in these manifestations."

Browne points out that the doctrines "formed together a system bold, original and, to the Persian mind,singularly attractive; but, taken separately, there was hardly one of which he could claim to be the author, and not very many which did not mount to a remote antiquity." He goes on to point out that the title of Bab had been already assumed by the four intimates of the Twelfth Imam, and that other

1 The Shaykhias of Kerman (Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 196) claim for their leader that he is a Shia-i-Kamil, or "Perfect Shia," who serves as a "Channel of Grace" between the absent Imam and his church. I am afraid that I offended the late head of the Shaykhias, for whom I had great respect, by writing that the "Channel of Grace" did not differ materially from the "Gate."
theories advanced were those of the Ismailis. Even the virtues of the number nineteen, the "Number of the Unity," were not new.

I have made no special study of Babiism, as for an official this would be difficult, and my connexion with members of the sect has been principally confined to saving their lives in times of persecution. Students, however, notice that in its modern development there is an increasingly close connexion with Christian ideals and practices in Western Asia, whereas in Persia the converts remain practically Moslems of the Shia sect and find difficulty in assimilating the spirit of the new teaching.

The Fortunes of the Babis.—Mirza Yahya, a youth of nineteen known as Subh-i-Ezel, or "Morning of Eternity," who had apparently been nominated by the Bab, succeeded him after his execution, and for some years (from 1850 to 1868) his position was undisputed. In 1852, owing to the persecution referred to below, he fled to Baghdad, and ten years later he and his followers were transferred to Adrianople at the request of the Shah.

Subh-i-Ezel was too peace-loving and unworldly to control a community of enthusiasts, and gradually the direction of affairs fell entirely into the hands of his elder half-brother, Baha Ulla, or "Splendour of God." For a while Baha Ulla acted nominally on the instructions of Subh-i-Ezel, but about 1866 he proclaimed himself as "Him whom God shall manifest" and called upon his brother to acknowledge his supreme authority. There was a desperate conflict between the two parties, but Baha Ulla finally triumphed, only a faithful few clinging to his brother. In 1868 the Turkish Government decided to separate the rivals. Subh-i-Ezel was sent to Cyprus, where he died recently at a great age.1 Baha Ulla was interned at Acre, and, dying in 1892, was succeeded by his son, Abbas Effendi, although differences arose between the new leader and his younger brother, Mirza Mohamed Ali. The present head of the religion, who is generally known as Abdul Baha, or "The Slave of the Splendour," has created a much wider sphere for his activities: he preaches peace and goodwill among men in Europe and America.

1 While holding the post of Consul at Kerman I had a correspondence with Subh-i-Ezel, whose daughter had claims on some property. He wrote that he renounced all claims; and it was impossible not to sympathise deeply with the unworldly old man, deserted by practically all his followers.
and is more concerned with ethical than with metaphysical questions.

Babi Plots and risings, 1850–1852.—In 1850 the followers of the Bab attempted to seize the fanatical city of Yezd, but failed and fled to Kerman. A conspiracy was also formed to assassinate Amir-i-Nizam, but it was discovered and the conspirators were seized and executed. Of greater importance was the outbreak in the same year at Zanjan, a town to the west of Kazvin, famous for its goldsmiths’ work. The chief mulla had embraced the new doctrines, and he and his disciples seized the city. Following in the footsteps of the Kharijites, they tortured to death all prisoners and defied a large Persian army, buoyed up with the hope that they would soon possess the entire world. The siege lasted throughout the summer, but finally their leader, Mulla Mohamed Ali, was wounded and died, and their stronghold was captured. Men, women, and children were massacred by the besiegers.

Two years later the life of the Shah was attempted by four Babis who posed as petitioners. He was wounded in the thigh, and the report was spread of his death. The punishment inflicted on the conspirators was barbarous. At first ten prisoners were executed. In the case of two, lighted candles were stuck into them, and after suffering this torture, they were hacked asunder with a hatchet. A reign of terror then ensued, and the Chief Minister, to avoid concentrating on himself the vengeance of the Babis, distributed the prisoners among the officials of the state, who did them to death.

The Babis, including their famous poetess, Kurrat-ul-Ayn, or “Coolness of the Eyes,” displayed such bravery that they gained sympathy not only among their fellow-countrymen, but also among the Europeans resident in Teheran, and probably their heroic behaviour gained many converts to the new religion.

Foundation of the Russian Naval Station at Ashurada, 1840.—The peculiarity of the southern coast of the Caspian littoral consists in salt lagoons formed by narrow spits of land. That of Enzeli, the port of Resht, has already been referred to,
and at Astrabad there is another. In this latter case the long narrow promontory runs out for thirty miles from the western coast and terminates in three small islands, the most easterly of which is close to the eastern coast of the Caspian Sea. In 1836 the Persian Government had applied to the Tsar for naval assistance against Turkoman pirates, who raided the coasts of Mazanderan with impunity. This was granted, and the Russians, realizing the advantage of founding a permanent naval station in the south-east corner of the Caspian, occupied the island of Ashurada ¹ about the year 1840. The Government of the Shah remonstrated against this seizure of Persian soil, but without success. The Russian Minister, without denying the validity of the Persian claim to the island, pointed out that pirates could be held in check only by means of ships operating from a base, and that it would put an end to the beneficent naval activity of Russia if Ashurada were evacuated. As the Turkoman would have immediately recommenced their raids if the Russian ships had left, this argument would appear to have had weight.

The Turkoman were by no means disposed to acquiesce tamely in a new order which prevented their raids. In 1851 they surprised the island and killed or carried off its garrison. It was given out—possibly in order to "save face"—that these raiders had been assisted by Persia, and the Russian representative demanded the dismissal of the Shah's brother from the governorship of Mazanderan. This demand sorely tried Amir-i-Nizam, who held it to be wholly unjustified, but after protesting strongly he wisely yielded to the Northern Power.

**The Fall of Amir-i-Nizam, 1851.**—Nasir-u-Din showed remarkable loyalty to his great Minister; but, as was only to be expected, the influence brought to bear, which pointed out his undoubted popularity among the soldiers, who knew that they owed their regular pay and clothing to him, at length aroused the fears of the Shah. Surrounding himself with his guards, he sent a messenger to his Minister to inform him that he was no longer Vizier, but only Commander of the army. This order was received with perfect submission, and Mirza Aga Khan, Itimad-u-Dola, was appointed Sadr-i-Azam.

¹ In 1893 I anchored off the island, and I was surprised at its smallness, which is such that during a storm spray sweeps right across it. It is notoriously unhealthy, and the life of the officials posted on it must be trying in the extreme.
The fallen Amir-i-Nizam, but for ill-advised action on his behalf by the Russian Minister, who declared him protected by the Tsar and then withdrew from this position, might have weathered the storm. But this intervention and the intrigues of his enemies goaded the Shah to order him to retire to Kashan. There, watched by his devoted wife, he lived for two months, but it was then decided to execute him, and he was seized by a ruse. In the bath of the beautiful palace at Fin his veins were opened, and Persia’s great Minister passed away. It is said that people have the rulers they deserve and, if so, Persia is to be sincerely pitied; for she is ruled, as Europe was in mediaeval times, by officials whose main desire is to amass wealth per fas aut nefas. However this may be, the regrets which the traveller feels when visiting the charming gardens and pavilions of Fin are rendered more poignant when he reflects that, had this Minister governed for twenty years, he might have trained up some honest, capable men to succeed him. The execution of Amir-i-Nizam was, indeed, a calamity for Persia; for it arrested the progress which had been so painfully achieved and, as the near future was to prove, it had an equally disastrous effect on her external relations.

The Herat Question, 1851–1853.—Yar Mohamed Khan, who had successfully maintained the independence of Herat against Persia and the Barakzais of Kabul and Kandahar, died in 1851. He was succeeded by his son, Said Mohamed, a dissolute and almost imbecile youth, who, in order to strengthen his position at home, where his incapacity had raised up a host of enemies, opened up negotiations with Persia. This action affected the British Government, and two years later a treaty was imposed on Persia by the terms of which that power “engaged not to send troops on any account to the territory of Herat, excepting when troops from without attack the place.” Although Persia agreed to sign this treaty, there is no doubt that it was unpalatable to the Shah and was not without its influence on the events which followed.

Russian Negotiations with Persia, 1853–1855.—In the autumn of 1853 Prince Dolgoruki made secret proposals to the Shah that Persia should co-operate with Russia against Turkey. This was to be effected in the first instance by collecting forces to threaten Erzerum and Baghdad from

---

1 Aitchison’s Treaties, No. XVII. p. 71.
Azerbaijan and Irak respectively, and then, if it appeared advisable, by declaring war and invading the Ottoman dominions from both these bases. It was agreed that, in the event of success, the territory seized by Persia should be either retained by that power or given back to Turkey upon payment. As a further inducement to accept this tempting offer, the Tsar promised, if war were declared, to remit the balance of the Turkomanchai indemnity; and, if only a demonstration were made, the entire cost would be deducted from the debt. The Shah swallowed the bait and accepted these proposals, but the Russian Minister had to reckon with Sadr-i-Azam. That astute individual pointed out that, if it was open to Persia to co-operate with Russia, it was equally open to join Turkey. He added that, if Great Britain and France intervened on her side, Persia might be able to sweep away the humiliating treaty of Turkomanchai and win back the lost provinces. The Shah was convinced by this reasoning, and, although orders had actually been issued for assembling forces in the provinces of Azerbaijan and Kermanshah, it was now decided to watch events and not to commit Persia definitely. This veering round on the part of the Shah deeply chagrined Prince Dolgoruki, who vented his wrath on Sadr-i-Azam. Nasir-u-Din made overtures to Great Britain and France, and was advised by those Powers, which had now joined in the Russo-Turkish war, to remain neutral. This counsel, which was most displeasing to its recipient and to the Court of Persia, caused Sadr-i-Azam to view the Russian proposals with less disfavour. Possibly he realized that it was dangerous to thwart Russia, and possibly also the lack of energy and vigour with which the war was waged by Great Britain, who did not make any use of her Indian army, influenced him in the same direction.

The Breach with Great Britain, 1855.—Persia was undoubtedly annoyed with Great Britain, but the trifling question which divided the two governments need not in itself have caused a rupture. It is not impossible that it was intentionally used for that purpose; but it is equally possible that the breach to which it led was not foreseen or intended by the Persian Government. In 1854 the British Legation had engaged as Persian Secretary a certain Mirza Hashim Khan, who had formerly been in Persian employment but had quitted the service some years before. Sadr-i-Azam
objected to his holding the post, and this point was yielded, as it was obviously undesirable to employ as a go-between an individual who was disliked by the Persian Minister. When Sadr-i-Azam first expressed his wishes on the subject, he suggested that Mirza Hashim might be sent to Shiraz as British Agent; but when this appointment was actually made he declared that, inasmuch as the man had never obtained a formal discharge from the service of the Persian Government, he was ineligible to hold any post under the British, and that his acceptance of the Agency would not be permitted. This objection was frivolous, for it is well known that in Persia formal discharges are unheard of, and Sadr-i-Azam added insult by arresting and detaining Mirza Hashim's wife. Mr. Murray, the newly arrived Minister, agreed in the interests of peace that, if the Mirza were granted a slightly better paid post by the Persian Government, and if his safety were guaranteed and his wife restored to him, he would be discharged from the British service. Not only was this most reasonable proposal refused, but the unscrupulous Minister stated openly that the British representative had retained the Mirza simply on account of his wife. An offensive letter followed, in which a threat was made that, if the British flag were struck, there would be certain unpleasant revelations. The Minister finally broke off relations, and at the end of 1855 quitted Teheran. Weeks, and then months, passed without any communication from England. Sadr-i-Azam consequently began to think that he had triumphed over Mr. Murray, and in his somewhat premature exultation he resolved to gratify the national wish to obtain possession of Herat.

The Anglo-Afghan Alliance, 1855.—The threatening attitude of Persia towards Afghanistan caused Dost Mohamed to embrace cordially the idea of an alliance with Great Britain. Early in 1855 Sir John Lawrence concluded a treaty of perpetual peace and friendship with the representative of our erstwhile enemy, thereby ending the twelve years of hostility and suspicion which the First Afghan War had bequeathed as a legacy.

The Change of Rulers at Herat, 1855.—Almost simultaneously with the conclusion of this treaty, the situation in the Herat province underwent a radical change. Said

1 The text is given in Rawlinson's work, App. II.
Mohamed, who was totally unfitted to rule, was deposed by his subjects in favour of Mohamed Yusuf, a Sadozai, who in order to avenge the death of Kamran Mirza put his rival to death. Mohamed Yusuf, who had long resided in exile at Meshed, was rightly regarded as a Persian nominee. Dost Mohamed, who by the recent death of Kuhendil Khan had gained Kandahar, was most anxious for Great Britain to take action in defence of her interests at Herat, and when this step was deprecated as premature he proposed himself to attack the city with an Afghan army.

The Occupation of Herat by Persia, 1856.—Meanwhile, as already stated, Persia was recklessly bent on breaking the treaty of 1853, and in the spring of 1856 a Persian army marched on Herat, where it was welcomed by Mohamed Yusuf. Shortly afterwards there was a rising against the overbearing Persians, and Mohamed Yusuf hoisted the British flag and appealed for aid to Dost Mohamed. As the result of a second rising, however, Mohamed Yusuf was seized and sent a prisoner to the Persian camp. His deputy, Isa Khan, held the city for some months, but in October 1856, the science of a French engineer, M. Buhler, brought about its fall, and Persian possession of Herat was at last made good.

The Second British Treaty with Dost Mohamed, 1857.—Action was then taken by Great Britain against Persia in two ways, one of which was indirect; for by a second treaty, concluded in January 1857,1 Dost Mohamed was granted a subsidy of a lac of rupees per month during the continuation of the war, on condition that the money was spent on his army. Muskets also were supplied to him in large numbers. Dost Mohamed, however, made no attack on Herat, and exercised little or no influence on the course of the war, which lasted for only a short period.

British Operations against Persia, 1856–1857.—The direct action was a declaration of war, most reluctantly made, by Great Britain against Persia. Few wars have resembled that which followed. The usual question is how to injure an enemy most effectively, but on this occasion the efforts of our statesmen were directed to securing the evacuation of Herat without inflicting a heavy blow on Persia. Alternative schemes presented themselves to the British military authorities. The Indian army might march direct on Herat with a friendly

1 The text is given in Rawlinson's work, App. III.
and allied Afghan army. Another plan, more difficult to execute, was to march on Herat from Bandar Abbas. Both would have involved immense effort and cost. It was finally decided to operate in the Persian Gulf and at Mohamara, and in the first instance to occupy the island of Kharak, which was seized on the 4th of December. Five days later a force disembarked near Bushire. The old Dutch fort of Reshure was held staunchly by some Tangistanis, and four British officers were killed while storming it. Bushire was then bombarded and surrendered.1

In January 1857 Sir James Outram assumed command and determined to attack a Persian force which was reported to be holding Borazjun, distant forty-six miles from Bushire in the direction of Shiraz. The strong British column found the formidable fort unoccupied, the enemy having fled panic-stricken without removing their munitions or camp equipage. Outram, being unprovided with transport, could not risk being entangled in the difficult defiles, and consequently, after blowing up the Persian magazine, began a night march back to Bushire. The Persian General, made aware of the retirement by the explosion of 40,000 lbs. of gunpowder, pursued the British force, which he overtook in the dark at Khushab and briskly attacked with artillery fire.2 At dawn the British cavalry and artillery advanced. The execution done by the artillery shook the Persian army, and the 3rd Bombay Light Cavalry charged a regiment and rode through it, sabring the men. Outram fell from his horse and was stunned, and this accident caused some delay in the advance of the British infantry, so that the day was actually won by the cavalry and artillery. The Persians retreated in fair order and were not effectively pursued, owing to the smallness of the force of cavalry and its reckless and unnecessary use in the action. Had it been properly handled the defeat might have been converted into a rout.

The next operation was directed against Mohamara. In March the expeditionary force re-embarked and sailed for the Shatt-ul-Arab. Mohamara, which had been made over

---

1 A Persian friend, over eighty years of age, has described to me how he fled from Bushire in charge of his mother and sisters, and was robbed by fugitive Persian soldiers at Ahmadi, the first stage out of the town. His father, the Karguner, or Foreign Office Agent, was taken to India, where he appears to have been well treated.

2 The best account of this action is given by the late General (then Lieut.) Ballard in Blackwood’s Magazine for 1861.
to the Persians by the treaty of Erzerum, had been strongly fortified with heavy batteries on both banks of the Karun. Outram's task was consequently difficult, and it appears to have been conducted with great skill. A mortar battery was prepared on a raft, and this was towed up-stream by night to a point opposite the Persian battery on the right bank of the Karun, no attempt being made to prevent its passage. In the morning the fire from the steamers, aided by the mortar battery, silenced the forts, the transports were towed up into the Karun, and the troops were landed two miles above Mohamara. The Persians fled, leaving their guns, munitions, and camp behind them. Outram sent a flotilla up the Karun as far as Ahwaz, which was occupied, while the Persian army retreated. This concluded the operations.

The Conclusion of Peace, 1857.—The Persian Government had sued for peace directly after the capture of Bushire, and the treaty had actually been signed before the Karun expedition took place, but in the absence of telegraphic communication news of the signature did not reach Outram in time. By the terms of the treaty, concluded in Paris, the Shah agreed to evacuate Afghanistan and to recognize its independence. He furthermore agreed that, in case of future disputes between the two Powers, recourse should be made to the good offices of Great Britain before resort to arms. A suitable apology was tendered to the British Envoy; and, as Mirza Hashim had already made his peace and all imputations against his wife had been withdrawn, the original cause of the breach of relations had disappeared. The Persians were amazed, and with reason, at British magnanimity in exacting no guarantee, no indemnity, and no concession; and the joy of Sadri-Azam at the absence of any demand for his dismissal may be imagined.

From the British point of view relations with Persia became better after the war, which Persians seldom refer to with bitterness; and, as the Indian Mutiny broke out a few weeks later, it was fortunate that no British troops were locked up in Persia or Afghanistan.

The New Ruler of Herat.—The Persian Government,

1 For this treaty vide Chapter LXXXIX.

2 It is claimed that we owe the invention of khaki to this war, the Persian word signifying "of dust," and so "dust-coloured." It appears that some Persian troops dressed in this dust-coloured uniform were almost invisible at a distance, and the Indian authorities accordingly adopted it.
forewarned of the terms of the treaty, hastened to hand over Mohamed Yusuf to the relatives of Said Mohamed, by whom he was put to death. A Barakzai Sirdar, Sultan Ahmad Khan, a refugee nephew and son-in-law of Dost Mohamed, was appointed Governor of Herat upon agreeing to cause the Khutba to be read in the name of the Shah. The young Sirdar hastened to his principality, where he arrived before the Persian General, a prince of the blood, had heard of the new agreement. The latter, roused from his slumbers by the intrusion of the importunate Afghans, promptly ordered him to be seized and bastinadoed. After this favourite punishment had been inflicted, matters were duly explained and the Sirdar was seated on the Herat throne. Consequently, although Persia had been defeated, she was able both to keep the terms of the Treaty of Paris and yet to rule Herat through Sultan Ahmad Khan, who even visited Teheran and received a robe of honour from his gracious suzerain the Shah. It is difficult to understand why the British Government did not insist on the handing over of the province to Dost Mohamed, and it looks as if the astute Persian got the better of the British negotiator.

During this period of transition, a deputation of British officers from the Teheran mission was despatched to Herat; but the Afghan Prince was not satisfied with receiving “the moral support of England’s recognition and sympathy” and little else. A Russian mission under Khanikoff, in 1858, was not more successful. The Afghan remembered the punishment meted out to Dost Mohamed for receiving Vitkavich, and the Persian Government was by no means ready to see Russian influence predominant at Herat. Consequently, Khanikoff’s mission was a decided failure.

The Assertion of Persian Authority on the Persian Gulf Littoral.—It has been stated in this work more than once that Persia had never been a sea-power. Nadir Shah, as mentioned in Chapter LXXII., made an effort to assert his authority in the Persian Gulf, but, conscious of the difficulty of garrisoning its ports, he granted the district of Bandar Abbas and the islands of Hormuz and Kishm to the Shaykh of the Bani Maani tribe, in return for an annual tribute. Towards the end of the eighteenth century a fighting ruler of Oman, Sultan bin Ahmad,1 engaged in foreign conquests.

1 Fide Badger’s Imams of Oman, p. 226.
Chahbar was first reduced, and then Kishm, Hormuz, Bahrein, and Bandar Abbas. In 1798 Sultan bin Ahmad received from the Persian Government a farman, by the terms of which, in return for an annual payment of 6000 tomans, he farmed the Bandar Abbas district. In the same year an agreement was made by this ruler with the English, who were permitted not only to reopen their factory at Bandar Abbas, but to garrison it with 700 sepoys. About this period the British naval station of Basidu (Bassadore), on the island of Kishm, was founded with the sanction of the ruler of Maskat: it still remains British property, although not at present garrisoned.

In the middle of the nineteenth century the Persian Government decided to administer the ports directly, and during the absence of Sayyid Said of Maskat at Zanzibar expelled the Maskat Governor. An expedition from Maskat recaptured the ports; but the Persians, having received large reinforcements, were too strong for Sayyid Said, who was hampered by a British interdict against movements of armed parties by sea. Finally, in 1856, peace was made, on the terms that the Imam of Maskat should farm the ports for twenty years on an increased rental of 16,000 tomans and that Hormuz and Kishm were to be regarded as Persian territory. At the present time the only possession left to Maskat outside Oman is the little town of Gwadur, which is one of the ports of British Baluchistan.
CHAPTER LXXIX

THE ENVELOPMENT OF PERSIA

From Merv, last home of the free-lances, the clansmen are scattering far, 
And the Turkman horses are harnessed to the guns of the Russian Czar. 

SIR ALFRED LYALL.

The Advance of Russia in Central Asia.—In the first half of the nineteenth century the most important events that affected Persia were the advance of Russia across the Caucasus and the annexation by that power, after two successful campaigns, of all the Persian provinces that lay to the north of the Aras. The latter half of the same century has witnessed a still greater advance of the northern power in Central Asia, ending in the marking out of a frontier line coterminous with that of Persia to the east of the Caspian Sea. I propose, therefore, to give some account of this extraordinary southern movement.1

The first Russian embassy to Khiva and Bokhara, conducted by Anthony Jenkinson in the sixteenth century, has already been recorded in Chapter LXII. Early in the eighteenth century Peter the Great entered into relations with the Khanates of Khiva and Bokhara, and the ruler of the former state declared himself ready to accept Russian suzerainty in return for protection against Bokhara. In 1715 a column under Count Bekovich was despatched on an exploring expedition with the consent of the Khan, but his death changed the entire position, and the Russian ex-

1 The authorities consulted (in addition to works already mentioned) include Narrative of a Journey from Herat to Khiva, 1856, by Major James Abbott; From Herat to Ourenbourg, by Capt. Sir R. Shakespear (Blackwood's Magazine, June 1842); A Ride to Khiva, by Capt. F. Burnaby; Life and Travels, by Arminius Vambéry; The Merv Oasis, by E. O'Donovan; Eastern Persia, by Sir F. Goldsmid; and The Heart of Asia, by F. H. Skrine and E. D. Ross.
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pedition was attacked by his successor and annihilated. No steps were taken to retrieve this disaster. During the years that followed Russia gradually absorbed the Kirghiz, the “Middle Horde” submitting in 1702, and Orenburg was fortified as a base for subsequent operations. During the latter half of the eighteenth century the Russian advance was almost entirely stopped. In the nineteenth century Russia resumed her forward policy, and in 1822 she incorporated the “Little Horde” in the Orenburg government. The suspicions of Khiva and Bokhara were fully aroused by these acts.

The position of Russia in 1838—1839, just before the great advance began, was as follows. To the west of the Caspian Sea the Caucasus was still unsubdued, and more than one hundred thousand Russian soldiers were besieging what was aptly termed “the greatest fortress in the world,” which was not finally conquered until 1864, when Russia thereby secured a new and direct line of advance to the East. In Central Asia, with which we are more immediately concerned, the Russian boundary ran up the Ural River to Orenburg and thence to Troitzka. From this centre it was drawn to Petro-pavlovsk, and so on to Omsk and Semipalatinsk on the Chinese frontier.

The First Khivan Expedition, 1839—1840.—The first movement southwards was made against the state of Khiva. This expedition was undertaken partly as a reply to the British occupation of Afghanistan, but it was justified on other grounds. For many years the Uzbegs had constantly attacked Russian outposts, plundered the property of Russian subjects, and held a large number of Muscovites in slavery.

Count Perovski, the Governor of Orenburg, commanded a column consisting of 3000 infantry, 2000 Cossacks, and twenty-two guns. In November 1839 he started off on the long march of some nine hundred miles. Every arrangement had been made to supply the troops with all necessaries, and, if anything, the transport column was too large. Exceptional cold killed off the camels by hundreds, the horses were unable to find food in the snow, and Perovski was compelled by these adverse conditions to beat a retreat before even reaching the Ust-Urt plateau, situated between the Caspian and Aral Seas. He returned to Orenburg in June 1840, after suffering heavy losses.

The expedition, although it ended in complete failure,
alarmed Great Britain. With an initiative which is astonishing, Major D'Arcy Todd, who was at the time on his mission at Herat, despatched Captain James Abbott, and afterwards Captain Shakespear, across a desert seven hundred miles wide to explain to the Khan the danger of flouting Russia. The mission of Shakespear was remarkably successful; for he not only induced the Khan to release all Russian slaves, but himself conducted the liberated captives, numbering four hundred men, women, and children, to Orenburg, a very notable feat.

In the autumn of 1840 a second expedition was being organized against Khiva by Russia, but was rendered unnecessary by the submission of the Uzbek chief. Finally, in 1842, Russia concluded a treaty with him, by the terms of which slave-dealing was to be abolished in Khiva and inroads on Russian territory were to be prevented.

The Russian Advance to the Sea of Aral, 1844.—In the succeeding decade Russia, realizing the defects of Orenburg as a base, set to work gradually and systematically to occupy the great Kirghiz desert from the Ural River to the Sea of Aral. This important body of water was explored in 1844, and in 1847 she occupied the mouth of the Sir Darya. A fort was erected at this point and a second in a harbour of the Sea of Aral.

The Occupation of the Valley of the Sir Darya, 1849–1864.—By her occupation of the mouth of the Sir Darya, Russia was brought into contact with the Khanate of Khokand, whose hostility was aroused by the Muscovite invasion of her territory. In 1849 one of the forts of this little state was captured. Four years later a further advance was made: Ak Masjid, two hundred and twenty miles up the river, was taken, Fort Perovski was founded on its ruins, and the line of the Sir Darya was established. In 1854 an expedition penetrated up the valley of the Ili and a fort was built at Vernoe, which subsequently became the capital of the province of Semirechia. The Crimean War broke out, and Russia made no forward step for some years, but devoted her energies to making good against Khokand the positions she had gained.

In 1860 the Khan of Khokand attacked the Russian position in Semirechia. The Russians replied by proceeding against Tashkent, which was captured in 1865, after which success the territory between the Aral Sea and the Issik Kul
was formed into the frontier province of Turkestan. This marked the completion of the first stage in the great advance, which had brought the Northern Power within effective striking distance of the three great Khanates—Khiva, Bokhara, and Khokand.

-Russian Relations with Bokhara, 1842-1868.—In 1842 the Amir of Bokhara, alarmed at the occupation of Kabul by Great Britain, had appealed to Russia. That Power despatched a mission under Colonel Butenev, which was at first received with the highest honours. But the Kabul catastrophe reacted on the situation at Bokhara, where Stoddart and later Conolly, who had joined him, were imprisoned; and the Russian envoy, who had done his best to save Stoddart, was dismissed with studied discourtesy by the Amir, who no longer feared the English.

More than twenty years later, in 1865, the Amir of Bokhara took the offensive against the great Northern Power by occupying Khojent, and imprisoned four Russian envoys who were found in the city. In the following year the Russians, after a decisive victory over the Bokharans at Irgai, reoccupied Khojent. In 1868 the Bokharan army was again defeated and Samarcand was occupied. Shortly afterwards peace was made with the Amir, who ceded Samarcand and paid a war indemnity.

The annexation, in 1876, of the entire Khanate of Khokand rounded off the conquests of Russia in the eastern sphere of operations. Incidentally one result of these successes was her establishment at Charjui on the Oxus, which made her a neighbour of Persia and increased her influence at Teheran. These campaigns may be looked upon as constituting the second stage of the advance.

-The Conquest of Khiva, 1873.—The third stage was the conquest of Khiva. In 1869 the Russians established themselves at Krasnovodsk, to-day the starting-point of the Central Asian Railway, and shortly afterwards at Chikishliar, near the mouth of the River Atrek. Strong protests were made by Persia, but in vain. The newcomers gradually extended their authority over the neighbouring Yamut Turkoman and surveyed the routes to the interior. The avowed object of Russia was to open up a direct route into Central Asia, a policy undertaken no doubt partly in the interests of the province of Turkestan.
The activity of the Russian pioneers naturally caused widespread uneasiness. The Khan of Khiva felt that the demand made on him to co-operate in opening up communications with the Sea of Aral across his territory menaced his independence. A campaign against Khiva had been decided upon in principle for some time; it was, indeed, forced on Russia by Khivan support of Bokhara. In 1873 columns advanced simultaneously from Krasnovodsk, Perovski, Tashkent, and Orenburg. Three of these reached the great oasis safely, encountering no resistance, the capital was taken by storm, and Russia annexed the land on the right bank of the Amu Darya, where she constructed two forts. The young Khan was reinstated on the throne, but a crushing war indemnity of nearly a quarter of a million sterling was imposed.

**Persian Campaigns against the Turkoman, 1857–1861.**

Before we come to the final phase of the Russian advance, we must turn for a moment to the relations existing between Persia and the Turkoman at this period. In 1857 Sultan Murad Mirza, Governor-General of Khorasan, invited eighty leading Turkoman to a conference at Meshed, where they were treacherously seized and imprisoned. Having by this act weakened the man-stealers, the Persian Governor-General marched on Merv, which he occupied as the result of a victory. Three years later he was succeeded by Hamza Mirza, who occupied Merv a second time without opposition, but was defeated in an attempt on the entrenched camp of the Tekke close by. His army fled in complete disorder, leaving its guns to the victorious Turkoman; and slaves in Central Asia became cheaper than they had been for a generation. No sustained effort was made to restore Persia’s lost prestige, but some of the guns were recovered in a raid from Sarakhs, which was retained as a Persian frontier fort.

**The Crushing of the Turkoman by Russia, 1881.** — We return now to the Russian advance. After the subjugation of Khiva the only independent area left in Central Asia was that of the Turkoman, over which, as we have seen, Persia

---

1 The Krasnovodsk column was forced to retreat from lack of water, after burying its guns in the sand. Burnaby comments on the fact that no use was made of water transport, although steamers had penetrated as far as Kungrad on the Amu Darya to the north of the Khivan oasis.

2 *Vide Merv Oasis,* ii. 170.

3 Vambéry in his *Life and Travels* gives the average price of a slave at Bokhara at £3, but mentions that the price went down to five shillings after the Persian disaster.
exercised vague and ineffectual control. Every year from Chikishliar the Russians despatched strong columns into the interior, and gradually they annexed the right bank of the Atrek as far as Chat. 1

In 1877 General Lomakin advanced on Kizil Arvat, but retreated before making good his position, and the Russo-Turkish war stopped further adventure for the time being. But, two years later, he advanced to Geok Teppe, or "Blue Hill," the famous entrenched camp of the Tekke. His artillery caused terrible losses among the Turkoman, who were crowded into a small area, but his assault failed and he retreated with heavy losses. The shock to Russian prestige was terrible, and the event may perhaps be compared with the British retreat from Kabul.

General Skobelev was now entrusted with the task of rehabilitating Russia's lowered reputation. Realizing that the question of transport was of primary importance, he decided, as did Lord Kitchener later when faced with a similar problem, to construct a railway across the level steppe. With its aid, joined to his own powers of organization, he was able to bring 8000 men with fifty-two guns and eleven machine-guns against Geok Teppe, 2 where the Turkoman had decided to make their last stand. In January 1881, in spite of the desperate sorties of the Tekke, parallels were dug and a breach was made, through which a deadly fire was poured into the confined area. The final assault was entirely successful. The signal was given by the explosion of a mine, which levelled a large section of the wall, and the Russians, advancing in four columns, quickly captured the fortress. The pursuit of the fugitives, seen by O'Donovan from the neighbouring hills, turned the flight of the Turkoman into a rout. Thus fell the last great stronghold of Central Asia. Many years after this victory, as I wandered among the ruins of the fort, while ready to pay due homage to the assailants' valour, I marvelled at the desperate courage with which this simple walled enclosure had been held for more than twenty days against the Russian army.

For the Tekke Turkoman of the Akhal oasis, the blow was crushing and final. The Tekke of Merv were persuaded to submit by Alikhanoff, a Russian officer who was by birth a Moslem of Daghestan; and in 1884 Merv became a part of

1 Merv Oasis, chap. iii.; also Ten Thousand Miles, etc., p. 16.
2 Dangil Teppe is the actual name of the fort; vide The Heart of Asia, p. 287.
the Russian Empire. The Sariks of Yulatan, the oasis to the south, followed suit, as did other minor tribes. This successful campaign constituted the fourth and last stage of the Russian advance, through which the Tsar became the master of Central Asia.

The Effect on Persia.—The effect on Persia was twofold. That power was unable to repress the constant raiding of Khorasan by the Tekke, Yamut, and Goklan,¹ and consequently the action of Russia was an inestimable benefit to the harassed peasantry. Against this must be set the hemming-in of Persia to the north and the loss of her prestige through the Russian annexation of Merv. The new frontier, too, which ran up the River Atrek as far as Chat and thence up the Sambar, was drawn most unfavourably for Persia in its eastern section. All the fertile atek, or skirt, of the range was annexed by the Russians, and the Persian villagers of the uplands were not permitted to increase the extent of their irrigated land by a square yard or to plant a new tree. While Russia remained a Great Power her officials crossed the Persian frontier at will and punished any contravention of the treaty by the destruction of crops and in other ways.

The Capture of Herat by Dost Mohamed, 1863.—We must now turn for a while to the affairs of Afghanistan. Sultan Ahmad Khan, after being secured in his government, as mentioned on p. 352, protested strongly against the occupation of Farrah by Dost Mohamed Khan, which had been effected in 1856. Great Britain declined to interfere, and in 1862 the Herat ruler took advantage of disturbances at Kabul to expel the Afghan garrison. Dost Mohamed almost immediately took the field and after recovering Farrah marched on Herat. In May 1863 he effected its capture without great difficulty.

Sultan Ahmad had died during the last weeks of the siege and Dost Mohamed survived his triumph only a few days. The Persian Government had viewed the presence of the Amir on the borders of Khorasan with concern and were dismayed at the fall of Herat; but with the death of Dost Mohamed the menace passed away. At the same time, in Afghanistan as in Central Asia, the political situation had changed to the distinct disadvantage of the Shah.

¹ Unfortunately, of recent years the Turkoman who inhabit the Gurgan Valley have recommenced their murderous raids.
The Makran Boundary Commission, 1870-1871.—We must now turn to the advance of the Indian Empire. In the first half of the eighteenth century Baluchistan had been constituted a province of Persia by Nadir Shah, and in 1739 Nasir Khan Brahui was appointed Beglerbegi, or Governor. Upon the assassination of the Great Afshar, Nasir Khan at first acknowledged the suzerainty of Ahmad Shah, but later on asserted his independence. After his death, in 1795, Baluchistan reverted to chronic anarchy, being divided among a number of chiefs who raided Persia and fought among themselves. Under Mohamed Shah Persia began to assert her claims, and through the instrumentality of Ibrahim Khan of Bam district after district was annexed. In 1864 Sir Frederic Goldsmid, who was the first Director of Telegraphs in Persia, found that west of Gwadur there was no settled authority to deal with; and it speaks highly for his capacity and tact that the telegraph line was ever completed and worked. It thus appeared desirable, not only from the point of view of telegraph construction and maintenance, but equally in the interests of the protected state of Kalat, and lastly of Persia itself, to fix a definite boundary, and negotiations were opened with this object. These culminated in an agreement for a joint commission by Great Britain, Persia, and Kalat; but owing to the persistent obstructiveness of the Persian Commissioner and the hostility of Ibrahim Khan, little or no progress was made. General Goldsmid ultimately marched to Gwadur, where he was joined by Major Lovett, who had made a survey of the proposed frontier line, and was able to complete the information previously collected. The British Commissioner then gave his decision, delimiting the boundary from a point east of Guattar (which must not be confused with Gwadur) up to Kuhak. After some demur the Shah accepted this line, which was favourable to Persian claims; and Goldsmid was encouraged by the signal compliment to attempt a still more difficult task.

The Sistan Question. The First Phase, 1863-1870.—One of the most important questions which confronted the British Government after the signature of the Treaty of Paris was that of Sistan. This delta province was originally Persian, but it was annexed by Ahmad Shah and formed part of his successor's empire. In the internal struggles for power which subsequently distracted Afghanistan it became attached alternately
to Kandahar and Herat, Yar Mohamed Khan holding it tributary during most of his lifetime. After his death the Government of the Shah began to make good its claims on its lost provinces. Ali Khan, the chief of the Sarbandi, gave his adherence to Persia, and was honoured with the hand of a Persian princess in marriage. This occurred about 1857, and during the reign of Dost Mohamed both Ali Khan and his successor, Taj Mohamed, acknowledged the supremacy of Persia. The Shah, during the years 1861–63, repeatedly invited the British Government to intervene to protect Sistan against Afghan aggression. The answer he received was that, as the British Government did not recognize the sovereignty of the Shah in Sistan, it could not interfere. Strictly, the case was one in which the recently signed treaty might have been invoked; but, as the Government of India had not at this time acknowledged Shir Ali Khan, who was fighting to establish himself at Kabul, arbitration was out of the question. Being pressed to give a definite answer as to its intentions, the Foreign Office in 1863 wrote that "Her Majesty’s Government, being informed that the title to the territory of Sistan is disputed between Persia and Afghanistan, must decline to interfere in the matter, and must leave it to both parties to make good their possession by force of arms."

This declaration of policy favoured Persia, as Shir Ali at the time was unable to defend Afghan frontier interests. The Government of the Shah, on the other hand, secure from British remonstrances, continued steadily to pursue its policy of establishing Persian influence and power until all the Persian inhabitants of Sistan had been brought under the control of Teheran. But Shir Ali, having at length succeeded in establishing himself firmly upon the throne of Kabul, threatened to go to war with Persia. Upon this the British Government, forsaking the policy of masterly inactivity, proposed arbitration under the sixth article of the Treaty of Paris, and this offer was accepted.

_The Sistan Arbitration Commission, 1872._ — After his success in securing the ratification of the Makran boundary, Sir Frederic Goldsmid was instructed to proceed to Sistan and there adjudge on Persian and Afghan claims. The

---

1 The Sarbandis were ancient inhabitants of Sistan. Carried off to Burujird by Tamerlane, they were brought back by Nadir Shah.
British Mission started from Bandar Abbas and in Sistan was joined by General Pollock, who represented Lord Mayo, Governor-General of India, and by Dr. Bellew, the well-known orientalist.

The Amir of Kain, Mir Alum Khan, and the Persian Commissioner both treated the Mission with marked hostility, and made it abundantly clear that it is a mistake not to provide an escort of British troops on such occasions. General Goldsmid, whose forbearance was remarkable, made such surveys and inquiries as were possible, and then, as in the case of the former Boundary Commission, returned to Teheran.

In his award he distinguished between Sistan proper and outer Sistan. The former he defined as running from the nayzar, or reed beds, on the north to the main canal on the south, the district being bounded at that period by the Helmand on the east. This area, estimated at nine hundred and fifty square miles, with a population of 45,000, was awarded to Persia. Outer Sistan, or the district on the right bank of the Helmand, was awarded to Afghanistan.

From the point where the main canal started, at the great dam known as the Band-i-Sistan, the frontier was declared to run in a direct line to Kuh-i-Malik-i-Sia, the spot—at that time unvisited—where both Persia and Afghanistan now touch the Indian Empire. This decision was undoubtedly favourable to Persia and granted her all she could reasonably claim. Shir Ali, on the other hand, gained no part of the most fertile tract, Afghan Sistan being relatively barren and unpopulated. But, as Rawlinson put it, "Sistan, in fact, was Persian territory, which had been irregularly attached at different periods to Herat and Kandahar." Given this fact and given the recent exertions of Persia, the award, however unpalatable to Shir Ali, was just.

_The Perso-Baluch Boundary Commission, 1896._—Sir Frederic Goldsmid had thus delimited first the boundary from Guattar, the port on the Arabian Sea, to Kuhak, and later that from Sistan to Kuh-i-Malik-i-Sia. Between these two points lay an area, three hundred miles in length, which was mostly desert, but contained some debatable date groves claimed both by Persia and by Kharan, a desert province of British Baluchistan. Owing mainly to the existence of these, a Boundary Commission was constituted in 1896 under
Colonel (now Sir Thomas) Holdich, on which I had the honour to serve. ¹ Kuhak had been seized, upon the departure of General Goldsmid, by the active Ibrahim Khan, but the British Government had never recognized it as belonging to Persia. By the award of the Commission it became a Persian possession, while the southern Mashkel date groves, including Ladgasht, were given to Kharan. A few other date groves farther north, including those of Muksotag, were awarded to Persia.

As the cool season—in which alone surveying is possible—was nearly over before the Commission started work, Colonel Holdich decided to make the ranges running down from Kuh-i-Malik-i-Sia, known as Kacha Kuh and Kuh-i-Mirjawa, the boundary in the northern section of the area. Any other arrangement would have involved a second season’s work, which would have been very difficult to arrange. By this settlement the definition of the Persian frontier was completed from the port of Guattar to Sistan, and, as the disputed Hashtadan Plain in Khorasan had been delimited by General Maclean in 1891, the only gap which remains to-day is from the south of the Hashtadan boundary pillars to Sistan, a distance of perhaps two hundred miles.

The Second Sistan Arbitration Commission, 1903–1905.—In 1891 the Helmand began to change its course, and when I founded the Sistan Consulate in 1899 the main channel of the river, termed the Rud-i-Perian or “River of the Fairies,” flowed considerably west of the channel which General Goldsmid had accepted as the boundary. This change necessitated the despatch of a second Arbitration Commission, under Colonel (now Sir Henry) McMahon. On this occasion the British representative was accompanied by a strong escort, which placed him in a very different position from that of Sir Frederic Goldsmid. The intricate question was carefully and exhaustively studied under the most trying extremes of heat and cold, and incidentally considerable additions were made to our knowledge of the geography of this corner of Asia. By the award the boundary was made to run as before from Kuh-i-Malik-i-Sia to the Band-i-Sistan, the chief dam, and thence along the Helmand to the point at which the two branches were formed. It followed the Nad Ali channel as before, but the line, which ran approximately north in this

¹ Ten Thousand Miles, etc., chap. xix.
section, was laid down with greater precision than had been possible in the case of the first Commission.

The Perso-Turkish Boundary.—In the west alone have the boundaries of Persia remained practically unchanged during the last century. In 1843 a Mixed Commission, including representatives of Great Britain and Russia, was appointed to adjudicate upon the Perso-Turkish boundary, which, owing to the population of shifting nomads and the hilly nature of the country, was a complicated matter to settle. This Commission led in 1847 to the Treaty of Erzerum, by the terms of which each of the neighbouring powers abandoned some territory to which it laid claim and agreed to appoint commissioners to define the frontier. The new Commission met in 1849, 1850, and 1851 at Mohamera and Baghdad, but without arriving at any definite result. In 1851 Lord Palmerston suggested that the general line of frontier should be traced at Constantinople, in conformity with the Treaty of Erzerum, by the agents of Persia and Turkey, with the assistance of the commissioners, doubtful localities being left for future settlement. This suggestion was agreed to, and survey operations were conducted during a period of eight years (from 1857 to 1865), as the result of which a map was made of the country between Ararat and the Persian Gulf, a tract seven hundred miles long and from twenty to forty miles wide. The Porte was then informed that “in the opinion of the mediating powers the future line of boundary between the dominions of the Sultan and the Shah was to be found within the limits traced on the map, and that the two Mohamedan Governments should themselves mark out the line, and that in the event of any differences between them in regard to any particular locality, the points in dispute should be referred to the decision of the Governments of England and Russia.”

In 1907 Turkey, taking advantage of Persian internal troubles, occupied not only “doubtful localities,” but also what was without question Persian territory. Some years later, however, a Mixed Commission was once again constituted, and in October 1914, a day before the outbreak of hostilities between Russia and Turkey, the last boundary pillar erected at the foot of Mount Ararat completed the demarcation of the Turko-Persian frontier.

Summary.—In this chapter we have traced the envelop-
ment of Persia from the north and from the east. The Great Northern Power, urged on by the irresistible forces which ever drive an organized state to expand at the expense of unorganized neighbours unable, and often unwilling, even to restrain their subjects from raiding, has advanced in four great strides from Orenburg to the Persian frontier. In its progress it has absorbed the valley of the Sir Darya, Bokhara and Samarcand, Khiva, and finally the country of the Turko-
man, which now constitutes the province of Transcaspia, with its capital at Askabad. Russia has firmly established her power in this vast sparsely populated steppe territory and has riveted her yoke by means of the Central Asian railway in the first place, and more recently, in 1905, by the line which joins Tashkent to Orenburg. Other railways are being pro-
jected. 1 I have travelled in Central Asia on more than one occasion and can testify to the steady progress visible on every side, which contrasts most favourably with the lack of security, of order, and of justice characteristic of the native régimes described by the ready pen of Vambéry.

This advance of Russia has been the subject of bitter criticism in England; but the critics, many of whom are badly informed, do not appear to realize that during the same period Great Britain has annexed great, fertile, well-populated provinces in India. Outside India, too, the huge desert province now known as British Baluchistan has been annexed, and the foreign relations of Afghanistan are at the present day controlled by the Government of India. On the western frontier alone there has been no important change to record, and the exact boundary between the Persian and Turkish empires has been laid down by a Commission on which repre-
sentatives of Great Britain and Russia served.

1 In the winter of 1915 I was the last Englishman to cross Central Asia before the old order gave place to the present tyranny which is ruining the country.
CHAPTER LXXX

THE AWAKENING OF PERSIA

The stream of renovation flows quickly towards the East.—JAMAL-U-DIN.

The Question of Telegraphic Communication between England and India.—In the preceding chapter it has been shown by what process the boundaries of Persia have been fixed as they are to-day. In the present some account is given of the steps Persia has taken towards the utilization of the material and commercial advantages of Europe.

One great difference between the progressive West and the unprogressive East lies in the nature of their communications. In Persia, as explained in Chapter II., little improvement can be recorded since the days of Cyrus the Great, so far as the Persians themselves are responsible. Fortunately, however, Persia lies on the highway of the nations, and owing to her advantageous position has become the recipient of a splendid service of telegraph lines.

Before we deal with these, it is desirable to glance at the larger question of telegraphic communication between England and India, of which the Persian lines formed a part. During the Indian Mutiny the need for direct telegraphic communication was seriously felt in England, and in 1859 an attempt was made to lay a cable down the Red Sea in correspondence with wires which stretched from Marseilles to Alexandria. This attempt entirely failed.

At that period Turkey had realized the advantage of the telegraph for the control of her wide-spreading empire. For her own ends she decided to construct a line from Constantinople across Asia Minor to Baghdad. It was proposed that it should be continued thence to India by the British Government; and, in view of the failure in the Red Sea, this
scheme was gladly adopted. Some years were consumed in negotiations and surveys, but in 1863 the Overland Telegraph Convention was concluded at Constantinople. Owing to the feeble control exercised by Turkey over the tribes to the south of Baghdad and the malarious climate (although these obstacles proved to be less serious than had been anticipated), it was decided to provide an alternative line through Persia to connect at Bushire with the cable to be laid down the Persian Gulf.

The First Telegraph Line in Persia, 1864.—Accordingly, negotiations were opened with the Shah for the construction by British officers of a circuitous line running from the Persian frontier near Baghdad to Kermanshah, Hamadan, and Teheran, and from the capital to Bushire. At first the proposal met with strenuous resistance from the reactionary party, but the Shah determined to benefit by the scheme, and by the end of 1864 the first single-wire line was constructed. The obstacles to be overcome were great, consisting in depredations by the tribes and ignorant obstruction by the Persian local officials; but the British officers and non-commissioned officers were a splendid body of men, and thanks to their tact and capacity the original concession was repeatedly modified and important developments were made.

The Indo-European Telegraph Lines.—In 1870 Siemens Brothers rented wires from the British and German Governments between London and the Russian frontier at Alexandrovsk. From this point they constructed a double line via Odessa, Tiflis, and Tabriz to Teheran, where it joined the already existing system. The lines worked by the Indo-European Telegraph Company, as it was termed, completed direct communication between London and India, Bushire being connected by submarine cable with Jask; and from that station with Karachi both by a land line and by cable.

In 1872 a third convention was concluded, by the terms of which three wires were provided, two for international and one for local use. There were no important changes until, in 1898, it was decided to construct a direct land line across South-Eastern Persia to Karachi. In that year I was proceeding from Shiraz to found the Sistan Consulate. At Isfahan I was overtaken by the late Mr. King Wood, who was instructed to make a survey for this line, and we travelled together to Sistan. Mr. King Wood subsequently constructed
the Central Persia Telegraph Line, as it was called, as far as the British frontier. In his case the Persian officials were friendly, but the natural difficulties were greater, as the Lut had to be crossed. In spite of this, the line was successfully constructed, and constitutes another monument to British enterprise.

Their Influence on Persia.—Apart from the great trunk systems, Persia now possesses other lines, managed by the Minister of Telegraphs, who has an English adviser. She receives an annual royalty, and is paid for all local and foreign messages. But beyond these material advantages there are still greater benefits. Before the boon of electric communication was conferred there was little effective control over the distant provinces, and much of the history of Persia consists of revolts headed by pretenders or turbulent chiefs. All this was changed by the construction of lines enabling news of local events to reach the Government daily. Moreover, the wires are popularly supposed to end at the foot of the throne in the royal palace, and on this account telegraph offices have become bast, or sanctuary, and thus provide a certain defence against oppression. Apart from this, Persia, formerly an isolated kingdom, has gradually entered into the comity of nations; and not only has her prestige been enhanced thereby, but ideas of progress and reform have gradually filtered in from outside and taken root, even though sometimes the soil was stony.

The part played by British officials has been remarkable. The late Mukbar-u-Dola, who was the first Minister of Telegraphs and held the post for more than a generation, told me that his respect for British officers was profound, and that he had invariably found them ideal colleagues; he added that he had long since ceased to check their statements. Throughout Persia the English officials, who lead lonely lives with few amenities, are a power for good, and it is impossible to exaggerate the services rendered by them to the Persian Government in cases in which accurate information is of great value. Equally important assistance is sometimes given by conveying messages from the Persian Government to rebellious tribes.

The Cossack Brigade.—In previous chapters reference has been made to the military missions of Great Britain and France, which attempted to turn the Persian army into an
efficient fighting machine. After the retirement of the English military mission in the reign of Mohamed Shah, French officers again appeared on the scene, to be followed later by Italian and again by French officers. In 1878, Great Britain having meanwhile refused her aid, an Austrian mission was engaged, but it effected little progress and its officers gradually retired. The Russians also took up the task, and simultaneously with the appearance of the Austrian mission a regiment was organized on the Cossack model. One thousand Berdan rifles and some guns were presented by the Tsar, and, thanks to Russian support and the capacity shown by the Russian officers, this regiment expanded into a brigade, which with its complement of guns represented the most efficient unit of the Persian army.

The Reuter Concession, 1872.—In 1871 the Shah appointed his representative at Constantinople to the post of Sadr-i-Azam, an office which had not recently been filled. Mirza Husayn Khan, the new Grand Vizier, believed sincerely that the salvation of Persia lay in fulfilling all treaty obligations towards Russia, while confiding the regeneration of the country to Great Britain. In pursuance of this policy, it was determined to create a gigantic monopoly, through which were to be effected the construction of railways, the working of mines, and the establishment of a national bank. In return, the Customs and, indeed, almost all the resources of the Empire were to be pledged. This concession was granted to Baron Julius de Reuter, a naturalized British subject, whose scheme involved the floating of several companies to work the vast enterprise.

With a naïve ignorance of European politics, the Shah started at this juncture on his first European tour, and was surprised and disappointed to find that strong indignation prevailed in the highest quarters at Petrograd against this extraordinary concession. In England His Majesty was equally disappointed to find apathy on the subject where he had expected to find enthusiasm. The feeling of Persia was also against the surrender to Europeans of such far-reaching control, and on this occasion public opinion was entirely sound. Consequently, upon the Shah's return to Teheran, the concession was annulled.

The Opening of the Karun, 1888.—Among the concessions granted by Nasir-u-Din was one by which the lower Karun
was opened to commerce. This was greeted with enthusiasm in the British Press; but when it is understood that the stretch of river actually opened was only one hundred and seventeen miles in length, equivalent to rather less than eighty miles by land, the small importance of the concession that had been gained becomes apparent. Nor did the special regulations fail to lessen the value of what the Shah had reluctantly conceded. By the Treaty of Turkomanchai Europeans are allowed “des maisons pour les habiter, et des magasins pour y déposer leurs marchandises.” But by the retrograde “Karun Regulations” we learn that “il est formellement interdit de construire, sur les rives de la Karoun, des bâtiments tels que entrepôts de charbon ou de marchandise, boutiques, caravansérails, ateliers, etc.” It is thus evident that the Shah was ill-advised, and wished to take away with one hand what he had conceded with the other.

Much credit is due to Messrs. Lynch Brothers for undertaking to act as pioneers under such unfavourable conditions. Not only was the Persian Government jealous of British commerce penetrating a country which it could only benefit, but the hereditary chief of the Kab Arabs, whose influence was paramount from Mohamera to Wais, a village above Ahwaz, was bitterly hostile, as the opening of the river had been followed by the posting of Persian garrisons at Mohamera and Ahwaz and by the advent of several officials.

The crux of the Karun question is the natural barrage at Ahwaz, which cannot be passed by steamers during most of the year. Above it the distance to Shuster is eighty miles by river and sixty miles by land. This insignificant stretch of waterway was thought by the Shah to possess vast potentialities, and was reserved for exploitation by Persian subjects. In return for privileges they were expected to pay large sums into the imperial privy purse. Messrs. Lynch, whose knowledge of Persia was considerable, were able to surmount this thorny obstacle by presenting a steamer to the Shah, which as the agents of His Majesty they worked between Ahwaz and Shuster at an annual loss.

Since I visited the Karun Valley in 1896, much progress can be recorded. The working of the important petroleum deposits at the foot of the Bakhtiar ranges has brought considerable sums of money and a progressive British com-
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1 Vide Curzon’s Persia, chap. xxv. and Ten Thousand Miles, etc., chaps. xxi. and xxii.
munity to the spot; the local chiefs, too, have been given a pecuniary interest in the company. Again, the opening up of the Ahwaz–Ispahan road by the initiative of Messrs. Lynch has created a large through traffic. Gradually jealousy has been disarmed, as it has become evident that the district has benefited enormously by the new order, and the recent history of the Karun Valley adds yet another pacific triumph to the long list already won by the officials and merchants of Great Britain.

The Imperial Bank of Persia, 1889.—Baron de Reuter had hitherto received no compensation for the annulment of his wide concession. Indeed, his caution-money, amounting to £40,000, was retained. In 1889 the Shah, in partial amends for this hard dealing, signed a concession in his favour for the foundation of a bank, to be called the Imperial Bank of Persia. This British enterprise was started with a capital of one million sterling and with the right to issue banknotes. It was also granted the exploitation of the mineral resources of Persia, with the exception of precious stones, gold, and silver. At first the managers of the institution bought their experience somewhat dearly; and the sudden and apparently permanent depreciation of silver constituted a heavy loss. But to-day, after carrying on operations for more than a quarter of a century, the position of the Bank is fully recognized; and it can claim to have become a Persian institution of special value both to the Government and to merchants.

The Tobacco Régie, 1890–1892.—Less fortunate than the Imperial Bank of Persia was the fate of the Tobacco Régie. This ill-judged concession gave full control over the production, sale, and export of all tobacco in Persia. In return for these rights a sum of £15,000 was to be paid annually to the Shah; in addition, after the working expenses and 5 per cent had been set aside, His Majesty was to receive one quarter of the profits. The concession affected the position of tobacco growers, sellers, and smokers alike; and in Persia both men and women smoke regularly. Its gross unfairness was aggravated by the fact that many of the employéés were

---

1 This question is dealt with at the end of Chapter XC.
2 On the constitution of the Bank, de Reuter recovered his caution-money; he also received a premium of £2 per share when the capital was issued. I have to thank Mr. G. Newell, late Chief Manager of the Bank, for the above information.
3 The mining rights were sold to the Persian Bank Mining Rights Corporation, which proved a failure.
drawn from a somewhat low class and by the lack of tact displayed in dealing with Persian rights. In short, first public indignation and then fanaticism was aroused. *Haji Mirza Hasan Shirazi*, the leading *mujahid*, placed an interdict on smoking, and the order was obeyed throughout the land, the royal palace being no exception. Finally, after disturbances had broken out and intense hostility had been displayed towards Europeans, the Shah cancelled the concession and agreed to pay compensation to the extent of half a million sterling. This sum was borrowed from the Imperial Bank of Persia and may be considered to constitute the beginning of the Persian National Debt.

*The Assassination of Nasir-u-Din, 1896.* — By Moslem calculation Nasir-u-Din had reigned for fifty years in 1896. Preparations were being made to celebrate the auspicious event when suddenly an assassin, taking advantage of the Shah’s kindly custom of receiving petitions in person, fired at him and killed him. Thus died Nasir-u-Din Shah, who was rightly regarded by his subjects as the ablest man in his dominions. Splendidly virile and of striking appearance, he conducted all important affairs in person. In 1894 I was accorded an interview by His Majesty, who for nearly an hour asked me question after question about my recent journey in Baluchistan. He was much surprised to learn that there was a semi-active volcano in this province, and was inclined to doubt the accuracy of my statement until I assured him that I had extracted sulphur and sal-ammoniac from the smoking crater. He then said, “I have to thank you for this piece of news, which adds to the greatness of Persia and which proves once more that English officers give me information of greater value than any of my own officials.”

In illustration of the Shah’s humour the following story may perhaps be of interest. His Majesty once visited the famous *Tak-i-Kisra*, and while standing amid the ruins of this Sasanian palace asked his courtiers whether they deemed Noshirwan or himself the juster monarch. The astute Persians were at a complete loss, as, if they said that their monarch exceeded Noshirwan in the virtue for which his renown is world-wide, the Shah might look upon them as flatterers, whereas a reply in the opposite sense might be badly received. Consequently they bowed obsequiously and kept silent. After a long pause the musing Shah said: “I will
myself reply to my own question. I am more just than Noshirwan.” The courtiers, whose relief was intense, broke out into loud exclamations of “May we be thy sacrifice!” The Shah, whose mood was caustic, again spoke and said: “You have applauded my statement without waiting for my reasons, which is foolish. I will now give you my reasons. Noshirwan had his famous Vizier, Buzurgmihr, and whenever the monarch quitted the path of justice he was brought back to it by his remonstrances. I have only you, who ever try to force me out of the straight path, but in spite of you I am justice personified. Thus I am more just than Noshirwan.”

At the present day there is a tendency, more especially among the “Young Persians,” to disparage Nasir-u-Din, and the fact is adduced that he discouraged the sending of boys to school in Europe. But it is certain that the Shah was far ahead of his people, and although his attempts at reform may not always have been successful, they were, at one time, indubitably genuine. Nasir-u-Din was not exactly a great Shah and, towards the end of his reign, he became indifferent, egotistical, and reactionary, but yet he was the best ruler produced by the Kajar dynasty.

The Financial Difficulties of Muzaffar-u-Din.—Muzaffar-u-Din, the Heir-Apparent, was at Tabriz at the time of his father’s assassination. He was accompanied on his journey to Teheran by the British and Russian representatives. There were fears that his brothers might fight for the throne, but they hastened to proffer their allegiance, and the new monarch entered Teheran without opposition and was crowned in peace.

It was generally believed that Nasir-u-Din had left a full treasury to his successor; but upon examination it was found that little or no money had been saved and the rumours of hoarded millions were totally unfounded. The new Shah, whose health was bad, was most anxious to make a foreign tour almost immediately after his coronation. He desired more especially to undergo a cure at Contrexéville; but doubtless he also wished to imitate his father’s example and enjoy the delights of Europe. He was, moreover, surrounded by a hungry horde of followers, who mingled with their congratulations strong hopes of speedy reward for past services. The question of ways and means was thus one of urgency.

The Russian Bank.—Having described the foundation of
the Imperial Bank of Persia, I must now make a brief reference to its Russian counterpart and rival, known first as the Banque des Prêts and now as the Banque d’Escompte de Perse. Chirol in his valuable work points out that the methods pursued by Russia to acquire financial power in Persia were identical with those so successfully employed in China. The Russian Bank is a branch of the Russian Ministry of Finance, and is used as a political instrument. Its operations are not conducted on business lines. Consequently the annual deficit must be great, not only from losses due to its operations, but also from the extravagant scale of its buildings and the huge salaries paid to its managers. However, by lending large sums on real estate and by other methods the financial grip of Russia has been riveted on Persia; and the results are held to justify the expenditure of a few million roubles.

Persian Loans.—The raising of a Persian Government loan was attempted first in England; but just then the Tobacco Monopoly and the Persian Bank Mining Rights Corporation had given Persia a bad name on the London Stock Exchange; and it was evident that without the strong support of the British Government money would not be forthcoming. The security offered, namely, the Customs of Southern Persia, was ample. But immediate control by the British capitalists was insisted upon, instead of eventual control in case of default; and ostensibly on this rock the loan foundered.

This gave Russia an opening of exceptional promise. In 1900 she agreed to lend Persia 32,500,000 roubles at 5 per cent, with a sinking fund. The security was the Persian Customs exclusive of the Gulf ports. One condition was that the Persian Government should repay the balance of the loan of half a million contracted with the Imperial Bank of Persia to provide compensation for the Tobacco Monopoly; and all other loans were simultaneously to be discharged. Furthermore, it was stipulated that for a period of ten years no new loans should be contracted by Persia from any foreign power; and also that without the consent of Russia Customs dues should not be lowered. The loan was issued nominally at 86½ with a commission of 1½ per cent. Consequently, when the sum due on account of the Tobacco Monopoly and the other bank debts had been paid off, little more than one million sterling was available to meet all the claims for arrears.

1 The Middle Eastern Question, by Sir Valentine Chirol. 1903.
of salary and on other accounts. The result was that the first loan was very soon absorbed in totally unproductive expenditure, and in the following year a second loan was contracted on the same security for 10,000,000 roubles, or just over a million sterling. To the new loan was attached a concession for a road from the frontier town of Julfa on the Aras to Teheran via Tabriz. Certain rights to work petroleum and coal were also acquired. These may prove to be of value now that the conversion of the road into a railway has become an accomplished fact.

These two loans have been financially disastrous for Persia. Her annual revenue at that period was about £1,500,000, and yet in three years sums almost equal to the revenue were borrowed and spent, with nothing in the way of reproductive expenditure to show for them. Since this date the debt of Persia has steadily increased, and according to the latest statistics it has now reached several millions, as detailed in Chapter XC.

*The Belgian Customs Administration.*—Twenty-seven years ago, when I first visited Persia, the levying of Customs was as bad and as corrupt as any other branch of Persian administration. Each important custom-house was farmed by the Central Government to a wealthy notable, or in some cases to a local chief. Customs were levied on no system whatever, the usual method of procedure being for the merchant to make a bargain with the farmer. Europeans declined to pay more than the treaty 5 per cent, but native merchants, after bargaining at the port, were freely taxed in the interior. To give some notion of the conditions prevailing, I cannot do better than quote an instance. Shortly after founding the Consulate at Kerman, in 1895, I informed the customs farmer that the Hindus, as British subjects, were not liable to any internal dues, as they had paid the 5 per cent at Bandar Abbas. My letter much upset the Persian official, who inquired my authority. In reply I referred him to the treaty. "Treaty!" he exclaimed. "What treaty! I have signed no treaty!"

To-day all this is changed. In 1898 a Belgian official was placed in charge of the custom-houses of Kermanshah and Tabriz. So successful was he, that gradually all the custom-houses (with the sole exception of Mohamera) were placed under his control, and by 1901 he was able to show an increase
of 50 per cent in the customs receipts of Persia. As this revenue was almost the only available asset on which loans could be raised, the extravagant Shah was quick to appreciate the services of M. Naus, who was made a Minister and granted an enormous salary. The customs are still in the hands of the Belgians and are well managed by an able body of men with considerable Persian experience.

The New Customs Tariff.—When the Shah applied to Russia for a second loan, it was stipulated that there should be a revision of the Russo-Persian Treaty and an increase in the general tariff. It was easy for Russia to gain the consent of the Shah to an arrangement by which he was to gain a larger income and at the same time increase the value of the customs as a security for future loans. The tariff was drawn up by M. Naus in conjunction with a Russian official, and so well was the secret kept for more than a year that the Belgian was able to remove the only obstacle in the way of the new agreement. This was the Treaty of Erzerum; but with some concessions to offer on behalf of Persia, and with strong backing from Russia, the Belgian negotiator accomplished his mission with complete success. The commercial convention was signed in November 1901, and in December 1902, the ratifications of the Russo-Persian Convention were duly exchanged; but the secret was kept until February 1903, when its conclusion was publicly announced.

An Analysis of the New Tariff.—The publication of the new tariff was received with enthusiasm in Russia and with consternation by British merchants. It was framed entirely in the interests of Russia and against those of Great Britain, and constituted a notable diplomatic triumph for the Northern Power. Among the principal imports into Persia from Russia are petroleum and sugar. The moderate charge of 5 per cent was reduced in the case of the former to 1½ per cent, and in the case of the latter to 2½ per cent. On the other hand the duty on one of the chief British imports, tea, was raised from 5 per cent to 100 per cent. This preposterous charge has, however, defeated its own object, by encouraging smuggling in so valuable and portable an article.

It is now nearly two decades since the tariff was introduced; and those who prophesied the disappearance of British trade must have been agreeably surprised to find that they were entirely wrong. In a report made by a specially qualified
trade Commissioner in 1904, the trade of Persia with the British Empire is calculated at exports half a million, and imports two millions, which is also the average of the two previous years. In 1911 the imports had risen to over four millions, while the exports also showed distinct improvement.

The Action of the British Government.—The position of the British Government at this juncture was difficult. For nearly a century no special precautions had been taken by treaty to protect British commercial interests, and we had been content to claim the same terms as had been accorded to Russia by the treaty of Turkomanchái. We had not realized, as Chirol puts it, "that its maintenance depended not upon Persia and ourselves, but upon Persia and Russia."

The situation was one of extreme urgency. We were faced with a new tariff which was due to come into force in February 1903. Two courses were open. One was to protest and to wait for an opportunity at which pressure might be brought on Persia to negotiate a special treaty. The objection to this course was that Russia might, in the light of experience gained in the working of the new tariff, find means of still further differentiating against British trade. The second course was to negotiate a separate treaty which would recognize the accomplished fact and prevent worse from befalling us. The latter alternative was believed to be the least disadvantageous, and by a convention signed in February 1903, the best was made of a bad job.

Ali Asghar Khan, Aitabeg-i-Azam.—After the fall of Mirza Husayn Khan, in 1873, there was but one Sadr-i-Azam until the appointment of Ali Asghar Khan by Nasir-u-Din to be his Grand Vizier, under the title of Amin-u-Sultan or "The Trusted of the Monarch." Son of a royal cupbearer and grandson of a Georgian cook of Gurban, near Isfahan, Amin-u-Sultan was typically Persian in his opportunism, his political acuteness, his charming manners, and his lack of business qualities.

During the reign of Nasir-u-Din he was very much the servant of that capable monarch. He exercised much greater influence under the weak Muzaffar-u-Din, as that sovereign owed his undisputed succession to the excellent arrangements made by the Grand Vizier, who wisely followed the advice of
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Sir Mortimer Durand, the British Minister. A year after the succession of the new Shah, *Sadr-i-Azam* (as he had become) was driven from office through the efforts of a party headed by Farman Farma, the cousin, son-in-law and brother-in-law of the new monarch, and certainly one of the ablest men in Persia.

After a year spent in exile, *Sadr-i-Azam* was restored to his post, which he held for five years, latterly with the title of *Atabeg-i-Azam*. He suffered great unpopularity during this period for allowing Persia to become financially involved; and yet the Shah constantly wanted more money to waste on the most unworthy objects. *Pul mikhawam* (I want money) was his parrot-like cry, after the Minister had explained the impossibility of raising a fresh loan. *Atabeg-i-Azam* was afraid to go further on this ghastly rake’s progress, and this weakened his position with the Shah. Moreover, disturbances were reported in many parts of Persia and these again lowered his prestige. Finally, the death of his rival, Hakim-ul-Mulk, in the most suspicious circumstances apparently alarmed the Shah. At any rate, his own dismissal followed.

**Anglo-Russian Rivalry.**—During the period dealt with in this chapter the rivalry between Great Britain and Russia became more acute as the years went by. Both powers were fighting keenly to forward their respective interests, and consequently it was impossible, in the absence of any definite agreement, to avoid friction.

Persians have frequently told me that the Tobacco Régie was a very heavy blow to the moral prestige of Great Britain. This was followed by the two loans, both of which were furnished by Russia, but the really crushing blow was the new customs tariff. These blows were mainly delivered while Great Britain was engaged in the South African War and consequently was not free to take a strong line.

Against these undoubted shocks to the moral and material prestige of the British Government can only be set the tour of Lord Curzon in the Persian Gulf, the Sistan Boundary Commission and the opening of the Nushki–Sistan route. The appearance of the Viceroy of India in the Persian Gulf in the winter of 1903, escorted by the East India Squadron, reacted favourably on the political situation, as it corroborated the statement of policy by Lord Lansdowne in the previous spring. Speaking in the House of Lords, the British Foreign
Minister had declared: "I say it without hesitation, we should regard the establishment of a naval base or of a fortified port in the Persian Gulf by any other power as a very grave menace to British interests, and we should certainly resist it with all the means at our disposal." This statement was timely and served as an encouragement to British officials in Persia. It may be noted that during Lord Curzon's tenure of the Viceroyalty increased interest was manifested in Persia by the Government of India. Many new consulates were founded, a trade mission was despatched to south-east Persia, and in every way British commerce was fostered and supported. Owing to these measures British prestige gradually recovered, until the results of the Russo-Japanese struggle modified the policy of Russia in the direction of an understanding with Great Britain.
CHAPTER LXXXI

THE STATE OF PERSIA BEFORE THE REVOLUTION

The Sovereign, The Pivot of the Universe, The Sultan, His Auspicious Majesty, His Royal Majesty, The King of Kings, The Royal Possessor of Kingdoms, His Majesty the Shadow of Allah, the Khakan.—The Titles of the Shah.

The Old Order and the New.—The recent revolution whereby the old order has given place to the new will be dealt with in the next chapter. Here I am dealing entirely with the state of Persia as I knew it before the revolution, at a time when there was no idea of adopting a constitution modelled on European lines.

The Powers of the Shah.—The Shah of Persia was an absolute monarch. The saying that "the law of the Medes and Persians altereth not" testifies to this conception of the monarchy in Achaemenian times; and the proud, nay arrogant, titles of the Shah given as a heading to this chapter embodied the Persian conception of his position as handed down from the bygone centuries.

In his person were "fused the threefold functions of government, legislative, executive and judicial. He was the pivot upon which turned the entire machinery of public life."

There was no great council of the nobles to control the monarch, such as existed among the Parthians; nor was there an Ecclesiastical Council. And yet the power of the Shah was limited. The Sultan of Turkey is acknowledged to

1 Corison, i. 433.
be the Khalifa, or Vice-Regent of the Prophet, and as such claims the religious veneration of his subjects. But the Shahs of Persia, descended from a Turkish tribe, can advance no such claim, and, in the eyes of the Shia doctors of law, their temporal power is illegal and is merely tolerated. It was this attitude that induced so many mullas to join the constitutional party, although many of them must have realized that the new order boded them no good. The religious power is vested in the mujtahids of Kerbela and Najaf, who, it is to be noted, live outside Persia, and, as the agitation against the Tobacco Régie proved, their influence is a power to be reckoned with.

It is difficult to define the exact limitations to which the Shah was subject. In case of a rebellion or of a conspiracy against the throne, the monarch could put to death hundreds of his subjects and confiscate their property. Again, members of the royal family, ministers of State and all public officers and dependents were entirely in the power of the Shah, who could sentence them to punishment, which was as a rule carried out immediately. In other cases where the death penalty could be inflicted, law and custom had to be observed.

The taxes were collected, concessions were granted, and presents were offered, all for the benefit of the Shah and his courtiers, whose extravagance kept Persia poor. On the other hand, the monarch for his own sake was bound to maintain an army to protect his throne. Enlightened or religious Shahs, too, have spent large sums in building bridges and caravanserais and in erecting mosques and shrines.

The Kajar dynasty has maintained an armed force which, owing to corruption and the extinction of military spirit in the upper classes, has become hopelessly inefficient; so much that it hardly counted in the recent revolution. Few if any public works can be set to the credit of the Kajar dynasty.

His Duties.—The duties of the Shah were heavy. Daily he received his principal ministers, who brought him reports and took the royal orders. After this he appeared in the public audience, attended by the ministers and great officers of state. At this function, termed Diwan-i-Am, or "General Court," all business for which publicity was desirable was transacted; rewards or punishments were ordered, and the monarch expressed his views on any subject on which he considered a public utterance to be politic. At noon the
monarch retired to his anderun. In the afternoon the procedure was much the same, except that the levée was less of a public function than in the morning, this fact being recognized in its title of Diwan-i-Khas, or “Special Court.” The monarch who carried out his duties in the manner described was in constant touch with his subjects, large numbers of whom were permitted to approach him; and this accessibility must certainly be set to the credit of the system. Against it was the fact that the Shah had very little time for attending to important state affairs, being frequently occupied in hearing the most trivial cases at the “Courts.” There was also the time wasted by ministers, who were kept in attendance for many hours daily, whereas they should have been working at their offices.

The Grand Vizier.—The position of the Grand Vizier has always been of very great importance. Usually, though not invariably, he controlled all the departments of the government. He enjoyed the close confidence of his master and directed the entire policy of the State. As may be supposed, he was the object of countless intrigues, and the Shah’s dissatisfaction meant his fall. Until quite recently the fall of a Grand Vizier was speedily followed by his execution, but now a milder spirit prevails. The Vizier has as a rule been a man of no family, for it was deemed impolitic to appoint to this post a prince of the blood or a great noble.

The Machinery of Government.—The administration of Persia was conducted on lines similar to those already described in connexion with the reforms of Darius. The empire was, and still is, divided into provinces under Governors-General or Governors appointed by the Crown; and these provinces are subdivided into districts and smaller divisions. The custom was for the provinces to be farmed out. The would-be farmer, who had to be a man of position, accepted responsibility for the revenue as laid down. In addition he paid the Shah a large pishkash or present, with a similar but smaller gift for the Grand Vizier. Upon reaching the province the Governor sold every post in turn, to indemnify himself for his expenditure and also to lay by for a rainy day. It was this corruption, termed mudakhil, “receipt or perquisite,” which permeated every class and cankered the body politic in Persia.

The fact that every post was put up to auction, and that
so long as the revenue and the pishkash were duly paid questions were not asked, led to terrible acts of tyranny. In some cases the Governor ordered his minions to manufacture crime, and in others he even sent out bands of men to rob for his benefit. Justice, too, was sold like everything else. It must, however, be remembered, as I have mentioned elsewhere, that Persia was in the mediaeval stage of civilization; and, however picturesque that period of history may appear to the modern reader in Europe, it covered just as much cruelty, injustice and corruption as that which I have here described. It is important to recollect that, in Persia, love of gain can, as a rule, be only satisfied at some one else's expense, as there is very little trade, and less working up of raw materials for the creation of new wealth. The Persian grandees, who are the governing class, are not only merciless in their exactions but care little for the welfare of their country. I once praised a Governor-General to an old Prince for his activity in restoring order by capturing and executing robbers. "He was wrong," replied the Prince, "he should have merely imprisoned the robbers, taken money from them, and released them when dismissed from the governorship. By restoring order in the province, as he did, he made it easy for a grocer's son to rule it and was an enemy to his class."

Justice.—By way of preface to the subject of justice, it is necessary to point out that the theory of law in Persia differs from that in Europe. In the West a crime is regarded almost entirely as an offence against the State, whose duty it is to exact the penalty. In the East the point of view is rather that a crime is an offence committed against an individual, whose right to exact retribution or compensation is acknowledged.

In Persia there are two laws—the religious and the common. The Shar, or religious law, is based on the Koran, the opinions of the Imams, and the commentaries of the Shia jurists. This body of law has been codified and divided into four heads, dealing respectively with religious rights and duties, contracts, personal affairs, and judicial procedure. It is administered by the mujahids and mullas and takes cognizance of offences against religion, all questions concerning land and marriage, divorce, etc. Indeed, by the theory of Islam, there are very few questions that do not come under its
jurisdiction. The venality and injustice of these doctors of law, who rob the orphan and the widow and make huge fortunes in a few years, are, so far as my experience in Kerman and Khorasan goes, deplorable, although my Persian friends assure me that there are a few honourable exceptions. It is also stated that the mujtahids of Kerbela and Najaf are men of a higher character.\footnote{In mediaeval Europe the monks played a nobler part, although they obtained possession of a large proportion of the land. The fact that they were celibate and held property only as a corporation may in part account for this.}

The rulers of Persia, although converts to Islam, have retained the common law and usages of their ancestors; and this system, which is unwritten and may be termed the King’s as apart from the Moslem law, is known as Urif or Custom. There have been epochs in Persian history when, as under Sultan Husayn, everything was settled by the sacred law, whereas under Nadir Shah the entire authority was vested in the secular authority. In the period under review, it may be accepted that religious and civil cases were settled by the divines; and that cases of murder, theft, and violence were dealt with by the secular courts, although by the theory of Islam a murderer must be sentenced by a mujtahid.

In practice the Governor sentenced notorious highwaymen and other individuals to whose sentences the mujtahids were unlikely to take exception. If, however, a murderer had money and friends, the latter interceded on his behalf with a mujtahid, who frequently induced the murdered man’s family to accept blood-money. In this case both the mujtahid and the Governor-General took money from the murderer or his relations. The lex talionis, a life for a life, still prevails; and, if blood-money is not accepted, the murderer is frequently handed over to be done to death by the relatives of the victim. In such cases the children of the murdered man are encouraged to stab the murderer and to cover themselves with his blood. The terrible injustice and corruption of the secular courts was as marked as that of the religious courts; and unless these Augean stables are cleansed there is little hope of internal reform.

When commercial questions arise, it is usual to appoint two arbitrators, one from each side, who go into the question with care, and as a rule their decision is just. The merchant
class is, indeed, the most trustworthy in Persia. In conclusion, it is to be noted that no record is kept of sentences passed, or of the reasons for which they were passed, under either the religious or the secular law.

Punishments.—Punishments are still very cruel, every torture imaginable being practised. A new ruler frequently tortures the first gang of brigands that he captures, not from cruelty but in order to inspire terror. Gradually, however, European influence is humanizing Persian justice, and fewer cases of burying alive in mortar, shoeing with horse-shoes and similar punishments are now heard of. The universal punishment of the sticks, better known in Europe as the bastinado, cannot be passed by without notice. It is inflicted on the highest and on the lowest; and in Persia there is no great loss of dignity in undergoing the punishment. Some twenty-five years ago Kawam-ul-Mulk, the hereditary mayor of Shiraz, was ordered the sticks by the Governor-General. In his honour a silk carpet was spread on the ground. The punished man is said to "eat sticks." It must be recollected that in a poor country like Persia it is impossible to have well-regulated prisons; and consequently the more summary the punishment the better, if only the decisions were inspired by justice, and not influenced by money.

Revenue.—In dealing with the question of revenue, it may be convenient to take a special province as an example; and for this purpose I select Khorasan, with which I am best acquainted.

Revenue was, and still is, collected under the following heads:
1. Taxes on crops and garden produce.
2. Taxes on tradesmen, artisans, etc.
4. Taxes on sheep, goats, etc.
5. Taxes on mines.

The revenue of Khorasan from these sources in 1905 was £137,713 in cash and 21,778 tons of grain (wheat and barley) in kind. A large proportion of the grain was given to pensioners and troops, leaving only 1160 tons to be sold. The fixing of the price was an affair of much haggling, and

1 The revenue was not taken over by the Belgians until 1913, and at present it is generally being levied on the old lines, with a gradual improvement of methods.
needless to say the Government received very little under this head. The Governor-General through the Vizier collected about £30,000 above the estimate. Out of this, £14,000 was paid to the Shah and £6000 to the Grand Vizier as *pishkash*. This left £10,000 profit, to be divided between the Governor-General and the Vizier.

But this sum represented only a percentage of the Governor-General’s profits, which were increased by:

(a) Levying a percentage on all cash pensions and mixing at least 20 per cent of earth in the grants of grain.

(b) Profits from “justice” referred to above.

(c) Profits from the sale of minor governorships and other posts. It was customary to appoint a man, take perhaps £400 from him, and then dismiss him a few months later. His successor would pay about £200 for the post, which he would hope to hold until the following *No Ruz*, or New Year’s Day.

(d) Sending special officials to inquire into complaints, real or invented. The local Governors paid large sums to these men, which they in turn had to give to their masters, keeping a percentage for themselves.

(e) Windfalls, such as the death of rich men, brought in large sums to the Governor-General and Governors, who extorted them from the heirs.

**Taxation.**—Of the five kinds of taxes enumerated above, the first is that on crops. Here the unit is the plough, the theoretical assumption being that one plough in three belongs to Government. But, as the plough is assessed at one-tenth of its actual output of 6500 lbs. of grain, the tax levied is one-tenth and is termed *Uskr*. The assessments were as a rule very old, and, although about 50 per cent above the legal tax was levied, they were nevertheless light. In some cases villages had grown enormously, and as the tax remained stationary it was purely nominal.

The tax on tradesmen and artisans was levied on the guilds, each guild being responsible for a certain sum. The poll-tax was calculated at about eight shillings per family; but here again the assessment was on the villages and not on the individual. Taxes on sheep, etc., were levied at the rate of about sixpence per sheep; but the assessment was very imperfect. The nomad tribesmen, who are the largest owners of sheep, paid taxes through their chiefs. The
taxation of mines included that on the famous turquoise mines of Nishapur.

It must not be supposed that only legitimate taxes were levied in Persia. On the contrary, the ingenuity of the tax collector was remarkable, and instances of fantastic imposts have from time to time been brought to my notice. For example, a certain village was called upon a century ago to provide a cradle for the son of a governor; and a sum of money is still levied annually on this account. Charges to maintain sowars to fight the Turkoman, to provide cartridges, horses for the royal stable, etc., etc., are still exacted in many parts of the country.

_A Persian Village._—By way of conclusion to this chapter I will give some account of a typical Persian village, followed by the description of a peasant. In both cases I have made a comparison with the Panjab, which contains the finest and best-fed peasantry in India.

One great difference between a Persian village and one in the Panjab is that in the former the villager can do any kind of work. Consequently, he is not obliged to keep parasites to skin his cattle and perform other tasks which religion or custom forbids him to do; in other words there is no caste.

A Persian village is frequently enclosed inside a high mud wall, in which case the houses are small and squalid. Usually, however, they occupy a good deal of room; and a certain number of walled gardens are also a pleasing and profitable feature. The centre and club of a village is its bath. This is frequently paid for by the landlord, or in other cases is subscribed to and built by the villagers, who pay a fixed amount of grain per family to the bath man. In the case of small villages, the inhabitants of three or four subscribe together for a bath.

The village of which I have made a study is owned by a merchant. It consists of thirty-two domed houses, built of sun-dried bricks round an enclosed square. It possesses no mosque, bath, or caravanserai. The site occupies one acre of ground; and two walled gardens, which adjoin the village, and grow fruit trees, vines, willows, etc., have together an area of 1 1/2 acres.

---

1 These studies are based on my _Report on the Agriculture of Khorasan_, published by the Government of India in 1910.
The population is:

Men and youths .......... 20
Women ................. 15
Children (mainly unfit for agricultural labour) .......... 15

Total ............... 50

The following is the live-stock owned by the village community:

Donkeys ............... 14
Oxen and cows .......... 20
Goats and sheep ......... 150
Fowls ............... 50

The total cultivated area is 946 acres. Of this, 346 acres receive kanat irrigation, and the remainder is dependent upon rainfall. The quality of the soil is good. There is one kanat only, which is the property of the owner of the village, who keeps it in a proper state of repair. Should any work on it be necessary, the villagers are employed for the purpose and receive a small payment. Each villager receives water every tenth day for about six or seven hours.

The land is let to tenants-at-will. The owner has a representative in the village, whose duty it is to superintend the distribution of water, to collect his master's rent and generally to act as steward of the property. For this he receives a monthly payment of twelve shillings from the proprietor, and also realizes a small share of the crop from the tenants on his own account. There is also a dashtban, or ranger, who receives £2 in cash, 1,300 lbs. of wheat and 65 lbs. of cotton annually.

The tenants bear all the expenses of cultivation and furnish their own seed-grain. The water is provided free by the proprietor, who on both irrigated and unirrigated land takes half the crop as rent. It is taken in kind in the case of grain crops, and in cash, calculated at the market rate, in the case of crops which cannot conveniently be divided. The tenants are ten in number, and the average area of their holdings is ninety-four acres. They own eight yoke of oxen. The chief crops grown are wheat, barley, oil-seeds, opium, and lucerne. Cotton, millet and turnips constitute the autumn crops.

The proprietor alone is responsible for the payment of
the Government taxes. They are collected in two instalments, at the time of harvesting the spring and autumn crops. As a rule the taxes are paid in cash, but the proprietor may be called on to pay in kind, or partly in cash, partly in kind. The revenue assessed on the village is £86, but the amount actually collected is rarely less than £140 per annum. This latter figure represents about one-seventh of the gross value of the crops, whereas I understand that in the Panjáb one-sixth is levied.

A small income is derived by the villagers from the sale of brushwood, which they collect in the desert and sell for fuel. Hides are disposed of in the neighbouring town. A few foxes are trapped during the winter months and their skins fetch about two shillings each. Wool is sold at the rate of about two shillings for the clippings of a sheep. The breeding of poultry yields a small profit. The women of the village weave a coarse cloth and also make sacks, but only in sufficient quantities for their own use.

A Persian Peasant.—A Persian peasant family, the unit of the village and ultimately of the nation, deserves careful study. The peasant and his wife, living in a colder climate than the Panjábi, are, as might be expected, better clad, although to our ideas their clothes are not wholly adequate for the very severe climate of Khorasan. On the other hand, they do not move about early in the morning during the winter, except when they take their produce for sale; and, if the weather be bad, they stay at home. The percentage of children who die from insufficient clothing must be very high in both countries.

The peasant wears cotton trousers and a cotton shirt. These are made from locally woven cotton material, which is generally dyed blue. Over this he wears a long coat reaching to below the knees, with very long sleeves. This garment is made of striped calico, wadded with cotton, and is generally kept in its place by a waist-belt of white calico; in it bread and other articles are tied up. A second coat, generally made of dark brown woollen homespun and lined down to the waist, is also worn. In winter a long woollen coat reaching down to the knees is added. Shepherds, camel-drivers, and some others have huge white felt coats which are very warm. On his head the peasant wears a felt cap, which is more or less a skull-cap and brimless, or
an embroidered skull-cap round which a puggari is wrapped. Shoes are invariably worn; socks and puttees are donned when needed.

His wife has clothes of the same stuff, but generally of a gay colour. She wears a pair of very wide trousers falling to below the knees, and a long chemise with a cloak above. Round her head she winds a square piece of muslin, which is sometimes fastened with a handkerchief. Over all these she wears a long sheet, which is usually blue, or sometimes white, and which covers her entire person.

The consumption per head of grain amounts on an average to 50 lbs. of wheat per month. In Persia wheat as a rule is the staple food, and barley and other inferior grains are used only when there is a dearth of wheat. The bread is made in an oven twice a week. The Persian eats curds, cheese, eggs, beetroot, turnips, onions, garlic, and various herbs; his bread he eats as a rule with curds and mint. He seldom drinks tea. The use of this beverage is steadily on the increase, but only well-to-do peasants can afford to indulge in the luxury regularly. Meat also is a luxury, but is occasionally eaten during the winter. A peasant usually has three meals, in the morning, at noon, and at sunset; of these, the morning meal is light and the other two are full meals. He manages to save about £1 a year, but if he is single his savings are sometimes higher. When wheat is dear the peasant makes money.

The Panjabi, to continue the comparison, generally lives on barley and millet and sells his wheat. Indeed, in every way his scale of living is much lower. As an Indian once put it to me, "In Persia bread and meat form the question in the towns; but in India bread alone."

All peasants, both men and women, smoke tobacco, which they have generally raised on their own land.

Every house has a kursi arranged as follows: a wooden frame is set in the middle of a room and live charcoal placed under it in an open brazier. A quilt is then spread over the frame, and the family sits, works and sleeps in the same room under the quilt and is thus kept warm and comfortable, although cases of death from asphyxiation are not uncommon.

An ordinary peasant rarely spends more than the following amount on the marriage of his children:
Clothes and jewellery : Ts. 15 or £3
Expenses of entertainment of guests : Ts. 20 or £4

Total : Ts. 35 or £7

The parents of a girl charge from £4 to £20 as the price of the mother's milk given to the girl during infancy; this sum is generally used for the purchase of the bride's clothes and jewellery. In the Panjab, on the other hand, hundreds of rupees are spent on a marriage, which cripples the family permanently.

To summarize, the peasant in Persia, and especially in the cold parts of the country, is certainly better housed, better clad and better fed than people of the same class in the Panjab. The household comforts, too, are greater. In the Panjab the peasants are in the hands of the money-lenders to a considerable extent, whereas in Persia this is rarely the case. Moreover, thousands of the Khorasan peasants go to work in Russian Turkestan during the winter and thus supplement their incomes. Persians are not of a saving disposition like the majority of Indians, who save to excess but ruin themselves on weddings. Finally, the Persian peasant appears to be finer in physique and more intelligent than the Panjabi cultivator, and in spite of the oppression that prevails is better off from many points of view.

*The Tribesmen.*—No picture of Persia would be complete without reference to its tribesmen, who may number one-fourth of the entire population. The ethnographical medley is great, with Kurds, Turkoman, Timuris (of Arab origin), Hazaras, Baluchis, Turks, and Arabs in Khorasan alone; but, although these are of different origin and in many cases speak different languages, their customs are similar. They usually live in black tents woven from goat's hair cloth, and gradually graze their flocks towards the mountains in the spring, returning to the plains in the autumn. They practically never marry outside the tribe and are consequently pure bred, hence the immutability of their separate customs. Nominally Moslems, these free sons of the dasht, as the untitled land is termed in Persia, obey nobody except their chief, who in cases of importance summons a council composed of the elders of the tribe.

The authority of the chief depends on his personality; and the more the inner working of a tribe is studied the
greater is the number of the jealousies, rivalries, and feuds that are disclosed. At present the Bakhtiari tribe is of great importance, owing to the part it played in the revolution; but in no tribe are there more serious divisions, one section having even fought for the ex-Shah against the majority of its fellow-tribesmen.

The greater freedom of the women, the virility of all classes, and the splendid health enjoyed by the nomads are worth much; and the English traveller usually feels drawn towards them and realizes that their virtues outweigh their faults.
CHAPTER LXXXII

THE GRANTING OF A CONSTITUTION TO PERSIA

None of us know how we gained the Constitution; it seems to have risen out of the ground.—Popular Saying.

The Origin of the Constitutional Movement.—In the preceding chapters I have described at some length how Persia, whose condition compared with that prevailing during the Middle Ages in Europe, gradually began to awake. This was due to a variety of causes, chief among which was the construction of telegraph lines, mainly worked by British officials, who lived in close contact with all classes and were a great power for good. There was also missionary effort, British and American, which, by affording a striking example of high ideals combined with self-sacrificing hard work, had deeply influenced thousands at some of the chief centres. In their schools, scores of the youth of both sexes received an excellent education, while hundreds were treated in their hospitals, where the admirable system, and the deep sympathy shown to the patients, appealed to Persians in the most remarkable manner.¹

Nor were these the only means of securing a European education in Persia, for Nasir-u-Din founded the Dar-ul-Fanun, a college on more or less modern lines for young Persians of the upper classes, who were taught by Europeans of various nationalities. Again, reference has already been made to the various military missions, the members of which

¹ This chapter is based mainly on inquiries made by me from a large number of my Persian friends, some of whom have played a distinguished part in the constitutional movement. I have also consulted the Blue Books. Professor E. G. Browne, in his Persian Revolution, has collected much valuable material.
must have affected the youth of Persia; and finally the influence of the British Consular and Bank officials scattered all over Persia was far-reaching and profound.

But Persians who admired European civilization did so, until quite recently, with the sole idea of copying its excellent organization, and without any wish to change their own form of government, the small thinking class devoting its energies to religion, literature and philosophy. Indeed, it cannot be too clearly understood that the origin of the movement in favour of constitutional government in Persia dates only from the last decade of the nineteenth century.

Nasir-u-Din, although extremely fond of journeys to Europe, where he was received with much honour, discouraged his subjects from following his example, nor did he as a rule permit the sons of his nobility to be educated abroad. This attitude was strengthened by the failure of the attempt at constitutional government in Turkey, in 1876, and from that date to the end of his reign he used all his influence to maintain Persia in an unprogressive state. Indeed, he was quite frank on the subject, and once remarked that he liked to be surrounded by courtiers who were not clever and who did not know whether "Brussels" was a city or a cabbage! He liked Europeans, but feared that their ideas would prove to be new wine poured into old skins, and there is no doubt that he was right, although he viewed the question mainly from a selfish point of view. During the last years of his reign Persia was exploited by the Shah, by Amin-u-Sultan and by his rival Naib-u-Saltana, the Shah's favourite son; and Persians saw with indignation the national resources pledged to foreigners for money which the Shah spent on his own pleasures. Consequently when Nasir-u-Din was assassinated the nation was thoroughly discontented with the old order, and was unconsciously prepared to welcome far-reaching changes.

During the reign of the timid Muzaffar-u-Din fear of the Shah weakened and respect diminished. External movements, too, such as the defeat of Russia by Japan, reacted on the internal situation. Finally, the strong feeling against the concessions and loans and the use to which the proceeds of the latter were put was intensified by the retrograde policy and oppressive rule of Ayn-u-Dola, whose career is referred to below. This combination of circumstances brought about
a popular movement for the dismissal of the obnoxious Minister, which according to Persian precedent took the form of sitting in 

_bast_, or sanctuary; and the demand for a constitution, inspired by a few Persians with European education, was gradually formulated.¹

_Sayyid Jamal-u-Din._—The founder of the movement was a certain Sayyid Jamal-u-Din, who was an ardent propagandist of Pan-Islamic ideas and a vehement critic of the corrupt Government of Persia, rather than an advocate of liberal views. This remarkable man was the son of a village Sayyid of no position and was born in 1838 near Hamadan. After being educated at Najaf, he resided for some years in Afghanistan and adopted the title of "The Afghan." He travelled and taught in India, in Egypt, and elsewhere, and at one time settled in Constantinople. There he pretended to be a Sunni and gained fame as an eloquent and learned doctor of law. He was, however, accused of infidelity by the Shaykh-ul-Islam, the leading religious official in Turkey, and was obliged to leave the city.

His connexion with Nasir-u-Din was brought about through the deep impression made upon the Shah by certain articles which he wrote for an Arabic newspaper whose title may be translated "The Indissoluble Link." He was summoned to Persia and made a member of the Royal Council, and his opinion carried great weight with the sovereign. This state of affairs naturally aroused the jealousy of Amin-u-Sultan, who induced the Ottoman Ambassador to press for his deportation. Knowing that the word "law" was obnoxious to the Shah, he stated that the Sayyid had caused disturbances by advocating the adoption of fixed laws, and had been expelled from India, Egypt, and Turkey. He gave it as his opinion that it would be dangerous to retain in Persia a man with such revolutionary ideas. The Shah agreed, and Jamal-u-Din was instructed to quit the country and travel.

He again met Nasir-u-Din in Europe during that monarch's third journey; and the Shah, thinking him more dangerous abroad than in Persia, brought him back as an honoured guest. Jamal-u-Din took advantage of his return to preach his revolutionary ideas, and they made such progress

---

¹ Very few Persians understood what a constitution meant, and during the crisis a British official was reproached in the following terms: "We have sat in bast three days, and yet you have not given us 'Constitution'!"
that a rising appeared imminent. The Shah wished to seize him, but he escaped and took sanctuary at Shah Abdul Azim, a shrine close to Teheran. There he remained for seven months, fulminating against the Shah and advocating his deposition. Among his followers was Mirza Riza of Nuk, who afterwards assassinated Nasir-u-Din. Jamal-u-Din was at length arrested in his house, which adjoined the sanctuary, and was again expelled from Persia, in 1890. The Shah certainly appears to have treated with leniency a subject who was guilty of high treason. Handed over to the Turkish authorities, the Sayyid was taken to Basra, where he was kept under observation. He managed, however, to escape by steamer and joined Malkom Khan in London, where they edited the newspaper Kanun. Not long afterwards the Sultan, alarmed at the influence gained by this journal, thought it desirable to invite Jamal-u-Din to revisit Constantinople, where he was treated as an honoured guest but was not free to leave.

On the assassination of Nasir-u-Din, the extradition of the Sayyid was demanded together with that of three other revolutionaries. The Sultan surrendered the three latter men, and they were executed, but he refused to hand over Jamal-u-Din, who shortly afterwards died. Thus passed off the stage a man possessed of considerable capacity and much personal magnetism. Though unfettered by scruples, he was honest in his devotion to his Pan-Islamic and revolutionary ideals.

Prince Malkom Khan.—Among the protagonists who attacked the old order was Malkom Khan, whose career was extraordinarily varied. He was the son of a certain Mirza Yakub Khan, an Armenian who became a convert to Islam. Educated by an Armenian Society at Paris, he first appeared at Teheran as a conjurer, whose feats of legerdemain excited wonder among the simple Persians. As would have been the case in mediaeval Europe, his skill offended religious feeling, and the Shah, who was displeased with him on another account, ordered him to leave Persia. Some years after, in

1 Nuk is a small district of the Yazd province. The inhabitants of Kerman much resent the imputation that Mirza Riza was a Kermani.
2 The extradition of these men had been demanded some time previously and they had been imprisoned at Trebizond, but before the assassination the Sultan had refused to hand them over to the Persian Government.
3 An eye-witness tells me that one day Malkom Khan produced an order for a salary of one thousand tomans and said to the Shah, "Why is not my salary paid?" The Shah denied
the sixties, he reappeared at Teheran and founded a Masonic Lodge. The Faramush Khana, or "House of Forgetfulness," as it is termed, attracted the Persians strongly by its combination of novelty and mystery; and many members of the best families became initiated.

Nasir-u-Din at first looked on this new departure as a passing fashion, but Ferrukh Khan, the successful negotiator of the Treaty of Paris, frightened His Majesty by saying that, if he allowed his subjects to become initiated, they might conspire against him. Moved by this argument, the Shah imprisoned the Master of the Lodge, a prince of the blood, and other initiates; and Malkom Khan was again ordered to leave Persia. Nothing daunted, he secured the support of Mirza Husayn Khan, at that time Persian Ambassador at Constantinople, and through his influence was appointed Minister in London in 1872. While holding this appointment he was given the title of Prince.

When Nasir-u-Din visited England in 1889 he granted Malkom Khan, in return for a comparatively small gift, a concession for a Persian lottery. The Minister sold it for a large sum, and an English company was formed to work it. The mullas, however, objected that these lotteries were a form of gambling, which is forbidden by the Koran. Amin-u-Sultan took their part and tried to induce Malkom Khan to surrender the concession. The latter, however, pointed out that he had sold it and therefore could not do what was asked. Amin-u-Sultan then sent an abusive telegram to Malkom Khan, who replied in similar terms. He was thereafter dismissed from his post, and became bitterly hostile to Amin-u-Sultan, and in a lesser degree to the Shah.

Determined to take revenge, Malkom Khan, with the co-operation of Jamal-u-Din, published the paper Kanun, or "Law," referred to above. In it he recommended a fixed code of laws and the assembly of a parliament. He denounced his enemy Amin-u-Sultan in violent terms; and the Minister, in retaliation, punished any one who took in the obnoxious

that he had issued an order in Malkom Khan's favour, and declared that, although the official document was correct, he would only accept a document sealed with the royal private seal dipped in the special ink of the Shah. Malkom Khan immediately produced out of his pocket an order fulfilling all these requirements, whereupon the Shah sagely remarked that such a man was far too clever to be kept in Persia.

1 The Persian, if asked about the secrets of masonry, replies that he has forgotten.
paper. The influence of the Kanun, which was written in excellent Persian, was considerable; and Malkom Khan, though scarcely a disinterested patriot, certainly roused Persia more than any previous writer had succeeded in doing.

Ayn-u-Dola.—In 1903, upon the dismissal for the second time of Asghar Ali, Atabeg-i-Azam, by Muzaffar-u-Din, a council of five Ministers was constituted to carry on the Government; but very soon afterwards Ayn-u-Dola, a prince of the blood and son-in-law of the Shah, was appointed Minister of the Interior and assumed control of affairs. In the following year he was given the title of Sadr-i-Azam, and he continued in this office until August 1906. Thus Ayn-u-Dola was the Minister under whose rule the constitutionalists won their great victories; and, as many Persians consider that the conflict was brought about mainly by his reactionary stubborn character, His Highness calls for special notice.

As a youth he was educated in Teheran at the Dar-ul-Fanun college. There the professors apparently found him intractable; for they presented a petition to the Shah in which they stated that they had tried flogging, starvation and other punishments, all in vain, and requested His Majesty to remove the unpromising pupil. The Shah consented and sent the young Prince to Tabriz, to serve Muzaffar-u-Din. He grew up with his new master, became his Master of the Horse, and was honoured by the hand of his daughter in marriage. I met His Highness first some twenty-four years ago when he was Master of the Horse to the Heir-Apparent, and again later after his fall from office. To me he appeared to be a fine old crusty Tory who frankly disliked innovations, but was from the Persian point of view experienced and capable. Foreign questions were almost beyond his comprehension.

The Visit to England of Muzaffar-u-Din, 1902.—After securing the second loan, Muzaffar-u-Din made his second journey in Europe, and on this occasion visited England. The Shah braved the terrors of the Channel, which were very real to him, mainly in the expectation of receiving the Order of the Garter, of which his deceased father had been a recipient. But he was merely offered a portrait of King Edward set in

---

1 It was smuggled in among bales of calico or through foreign subjects and was rarely sent by post.
2 On the occasion of his first tour in Europe the English Court was in mourning and consequently the Shah was not invited.
diamonds, which he did not accept; and he left England utterly dejected. His prestige suffered owing to what he and his Court regarded as a slight, and only partial amends were made by the despatch of a special mission in the following year to bestow the coveted order. By a coincidence which was possibly designed, the long-kept secret of the new tariff was revealed by Russia at the very time when the Garter Mission was at Teheran.

The Condition of Persia before the Revolution.—Before describing the events which preceded the grant of the constitution, I cannot do better than quote at some length from the memorandum drawn up by the British Legation. It runs as follows:

The condition of Persia had been for some time growing more and more intolerable. The Shah was entirely in the hands of a corrupt ring of courtiers who were living on the spoils of the Government and country. He had parted with the treasures inherited from his father, and with most of the Imperial and national domain. He had thus been obliged to have recourse to foreign loans, the proceeds of which he had spent in foreign travel or had lavished on his courtiers. There was a yearly deficit, and the debt of the country was growing daily.

A new Grand Vizier had been appointed, whose moving principle was believed to be independence of foreign control. His first act was to attempt some sort of financial reform, the object of which was to render the country independent of foreign financial assistance. But as soon as he had obtained control of the Government, it was apparent that his main and principal object was to make money. He made an alliance with the Shah's chief adviser for a division of the spoil. Governments were put up for sale, grain was hoarded and sold at extortionate prices, the Government domains were stolen or sold for the benefit of the two conspirators, rich men were summoned to Teheran and forced to disgorge large sums of money, oppression of every sort was countenanced for a consideration; the property and even the lives of all Persian subjects were at their mercy. Finally, there was every reason to believe that a conspiracy was on foot to dethrone the foolish and impotent Shah and to oust the Vali Each. In their place was to be put Shaou Saltana, the Shah's younger son, who was a by-word even in Persia for extortion and injustice.

The policy of the Atabeg and his friends had thus aroused the opposition of all classes in Persia; of the few more or less patriotic statesmen, who knew to what a goal the country was being led; of the priests, who felt that their old power and independence would perish

with that of their country; and of the great mass of the population and the mercantile classes, who were the daily victims of the tyranny of their oppressors.

The First "Bast," December 1905.—The movement which ended in the grant of a constitution was at first merely a protest against Ayn-u-Dola, who was held to be responsible for the unpopular loans, for the equally unpopular and extravagantly expensive journeys of the Shah, and generally for the corrupt and oppressive government of the country.

The first actual movement was caused by an act of the Governor of Teheran, who bastinadoed some sayyids and merchants on the alleged charge of making a corner in sugar. By way of protest against this act, a number of merchants took sanctuary at the Masjid-i-Shah, or "Mosque of the Shah," where they were joined by some of the chief mullas. Imam Juma, the official head of the mosque, was hostile to the agitation, and at the request of Ayn-u-Dola he drove out the agitators with sticks. Instead of dispersing, they proceeded to the shrine of Shah Abdul Azim, where their numbers increased day by day. It is of considerable interest to note that Mohamed Ali Mirza (now the ex-Shah) contributed large sums for the support of the agitation, with a view to securing the downfall of Ayn-u-Dola, whom he suspected of favouring the designs of Shua-u-Saltana on the succession. According to information supplied by a Persian friend, as soon as His Royal Highness heard of the movement, he sent for the mullas of Tabriz and called upon them to support it. The partisans of the exiled Atabeg-i-Azam also actively supported the agitation and supplied it with funds.

In vain the Shah sent his favourite to induce the multitude to disperse. He was received with marked hostility, and his mission was a failure. The pressure on the monarch became intolerable, and finally he yielded to the popular demands, promising in an autograph letter to dismiss the obnoxious Ayn-u-Dola and to convene an Adalat-Khana, or "House of Justice." On the receipt of this letter the leaders of the movement returned to Teheran in the royal carriages; and the first phase of the struggle ended in the promise to satisfy the popular demands. It is to be noted that as yet there was no demand for a constitution.
The Exodus to Kum, 1906.—With curious blindness the Persian Government supposed the danger was over since there were dissensions between the popular leaders and the priests, and a number of men who were believed to be trustworthy had been collected to support a reactionary policy. Consequently, no steps were taken to give effect to the royal autograph except the issue of a proclamation by the sovereign promising Courts of Justice, a new Code, and a Council to consider the question of reforms.

In the spring of 1906 the Shah was approached by means of a petition, which prayed His Majesty to give effect to the promises contained in his letter. In the middle of May, however, he had a paralytic stroke, and Ayn-u-Dola, who was all-powerful, decided to embark on a policy of repression. Sayyid Jamal, an eloquent preacher, was expelled and retired to Kum. A leading mujahid, Sayyid Mohamed, who had denounced Ayn-u-Dola, was seized. A mob collected, shots were fired, and a student who was a sayyid was killed; but the prisoner was rescued. The funeral of the victim of the soldiery was marked by further disturbances, which resulted in the death of fifteen persons. The Masjid-i-Jami, or "Mosque of Assembly," in the centre of Teheran, was now the scene of a second bast. On this occasion soldiers prevented supplies from being brought in, and the agitators sought permission to retire to Kum, which was granted on condition that the mujahids departed alone. On the way they issued a notice threatening to leave Persia in a body unless the Shah fulfilled his promises. As their absence would stop all legal transactions, this threat was really a serious one, for it would be equivalent to placing the land under an interdict.

The Great "Bast" in the British Legation, August 1906.—Simultaneously with the exodus to Kum a second and still more important movement began. Ayn-u-Dola, according to Persian custom, ordered the reopening of the bazaars, which had been closed as a protest, and announced that any shops which were left shut would be looted. Thereupon a few leading members of the merchants and bankers visited the British representative at Gulahak, the summer quarters of the Legation, to inquire whether they would be driven out if they took sanctuary in the grounds of the British Legation at Teheran. The reply being given that force would not be used to expel them, a small number of merchants immediately
took sanctuary; and their numbers increased until there were at least twelve thousand men camped in the Legation garden. Their demands were for the dismissal of Ayn-u-Dola, the promulgation of a Code of Laws, and the recall of the Kum exiles. The Shah again yielded. He dismissed Ayn-u-Dola, appointed the liberal Mirza Nasrulla Khan, Mushir-u-Dola, to be his successor, and invited the mujahids to return from Kum. But the people, instigated by a few Europeanized Persians, declined to be content and demanded a regular constitution, to include a representative National Assembly, with guarantees of the Shah’s good faith.

The Magna Charta of Persia.—For a long time the people refused to negotiate directly with the Government; but finally through the good offices of the British representative an amended rescript was drawn up and accepted. This document I quote in full:

Whereas God Most High (glorious is His State!) hath entrusted to Our hands the direction of the progress and prosperity of the well-protected realms of Persia, and hath constituted Our Royal Personage the Guardian of the Rights of all the people of Persia and of all Our loyal subjects—

Therefore on this occasion, Our Royal and Imperial judgment has decided, for the peace and tranquillity of all the people of Persia, and for the strengthening and consolidation of the foundations of the State, that such reforms as are this day required in the different departments of the State and of the Empire shall be effected; and we do enact that an Assembly of delegates elected by the Princes, the Mujtahids, the Kajar family, the nobles and notables, the landowners, the merchants and the guilds shall be formed and constituted, by election of the classes above mentioned, in the capital Teheran; which Assembly shall carry out the requisite deliberations and investigations on all necessary subjects connected with important affairs of the State and Empire and the public interests; and shall render the necessary help and assistance to our Cabinet of Ministers in such reforms as are designed to promote the happiness and well-being of Persia; and shall, with complete confidence and security, through the instrumentality of the first Lord of the State, submit [their proposals to Us], so that these, having been duly ratified by Us, may be carried into effect. It is evident that, in accordance with this August Rescript, you will arrange and prepare a code of regulations and provisions governing this Assembly, and likewise the ways and means necessary to its formation, so that, by the help of God Most High, this Assembly may be inaugurated and may take in hand the necessary reforms.

We likewise enact that you shall publish and proclaim the text of this August Rescript, so that all the people of Persia, being duly informed
of our good intentions, all of which regard the progress of the Government and People of Persia, may, with tranquil minds, engage in prayer for Us.


By this historical document, addressed to the Grand Vizier, a National Assembly was conceded, and reforms, a Court of Justice, and an amnesty were all promised. In short, without bloodshed or civil war, the Persians had gained on paper everything demanded by their leaders. This rescript was read out to the assembled crowd in the Legation and was hailed with enthusiasm. The Legation garden was then vacated, and a few days later the return of the Kum exiles was made the occasion of a great national demonstration.

The Regulations for the Assembly:—But the reactionary party had not lost all hope. Ayn-u-Dola suddenly reappeared, and the Shah was persuaded not to sign the Regulations for the Assembly. It seemed probable that disturbances would again break out; but, as the result of advice tendered by the British and Russian representatives, Ayn-u-Dola was ordered to proceed to his estate in Khorasan and the document was signed and published. It contained the following regulations: (a) The division of Persia into eleven (or thirteen) electoral areas; (b) the Assembly to consist of 200 members; and (c) the eligibility of all males between thirty and seventy, provided that they were literate, were not in Government service, and had not been convicted of any crime.

The Opening of the National Assembly, October 1906.—So eager was public opinion for the Assembly to begin its functions that as soon as the sixty-four members for Teheran were elected there was a formal opening by the Shah, in the presence of the triumphant mujtahids and of the European representatives. His Majesty, who was very ill, just managed to reach his seat unaided, but was unable to hold the pipe which it is customary to smoke on such occasions. In accordance with Persian custom, the royal rescript ordering the Parliament to begin its labours was handed by the Shah to the Chief Herald, who read it to the assembled members. This completed the memorable ceremony.

The Signing of the Constitution.—The first task of the National Assembly was the nomination of a committee charged
with the duty of drawing up the terms of the Constitution. When the result of their labours was submitted to the Assembly and it was found that there was to be a Second House in which the Government would have a majority, there was much dissatisfaction and confusion. It was said that the popular cause had been betrayed, and the President was insulted. The Constitution, however, was signed and ratified both by the Shah and by his heir. This was the last act of Muzaffar-u-Din, who died a few days later.
CHAPTER LXXXIII

AN ATTEMPT TO OVERTHROW THE CONSTITUTION

Your conduct wounds the heart of the Believer, and is an offence against the absent Imam. Allah has cursed tyrants. You are victorious for the moment, but you may not remain so.—Message from the mujahids of Najaf to Mohamed Ali Shah.

Accession of Mohamed Ali Shah, January 1907.—The new Shah was an Oriental despot of the worst type, unprincipled, untrustworthy, and avaricious. It was hardly likely that he would welcome the establishment of the Constitution, which would limit alike his powers and his lavish expenditure. Somewhat unwisely he showed his hand at his coronation, to which the Deputies were not invited, and, not content with this discourteous slight, he supported the Ministers in their refusal to appear before the Assembly and submit to being questioned. At this juncture, when the raising of a fresh loan of £400,000 was being discussed by the Cabinet with the British and Russian Legations, the Assembly was totally ignored, although it was clearly laid down that, without its consent, no tax could be levied and no foreign loan or concession granted. The sole object of the Shah was to secure the money, but the Assembly refused to sanction the transaction, and thereby clearly proved that the new order had come into existence. The popular leaders realized that, unless future loans were prevented, the independence of Persia would soon be a thing of the past, whereas the Shah, under the sinister influence of Amir Bahadur Jang, a notorious scoundrel, cared nothing for the welfare of his country, but wanted as much money as he could borrow, in order to pay for his own pleasures and those of his Court.

Assassination of Atabeg-i-Azam, August 1907.—Mohamed
Ali soon perceived that Persia was not large enough for both the National Assembly and himself and that one or the other must go. He therefore recalled Atabeg-i-Azam, who had been travelling in Europe and Asia for the last three years. After his return and assumption of office, Atabeg realized that he was expected to overthrow the Constitution, and immediately began to take stock of the situation. He found the country in a state of anarchy. There were riots at Shiraz, Isfahan, and Tabriz. Salar-u-Dola, brother of the Shah, had revolted, but had been defeated at historical Nahavand and was a prisoner. The treasury was empty, and the chances of filling it by ordinary means were small.

In the Assembly there was a moderate and an extremist party, the latter having the most influence. Atabeg tried to play off the Shah against the Assembly and to gain the consent of the moderates to the raising of a fresh loan. The leader of the extremists was Sad-u-Dola, formerly Minister at Brussels, where he had engaged the services of M. Naus and other Belgians to reorganize the Customs. He was a political opportunist, whose good faith was open to so much suspicion that he was soon forced to withdraw from the Assembly. It seemed possible that the subtle Atabeg might convince that body of the necessity for raising a loan, but, in August, he was assassinated when leaving the Assembly buildings. The black deed was glorified, and the fortieth day after the suicide of the assassin was observed as a holiday. The Shah did not mourn for Atabeg, but rather rejoiced that so weak an instrument of his policy had disappeared. He wanted a Minister of blood and iron, and considered Atabeg’s attempt to win over the moderates as derogatory to his royal dignity. As a result of the assassination the subject of a foreign loan was dropped, no deputy daring to raise this dangerous question anew.

The Deputies.—It is important to note that, whereas in Northern Persia there was a more or less definite political programme, pursued with real energy by known leaders of considerable ability, in the south the popular movement turned mainly on personal or pecuniary questions and could not be taken seriously. Among the cities in the north Tabriz, Teheran, and Resht led the way. The Tabriz deputies displayed more determination and strength of character than their fellows. Their leader, Tagizada, was a
man of capacity and an eloquent speaker, whose personal ascendancy swayed the Assembly. His colleagues, too, carried weight, and to the province of Azerbaijan belong the chief honours in the victory of constitutionalism. Of the Teheran deputies, the Mujtahids Sayyid Abdulla Behbehani, Sayyid Mohamed, and Sayyid Jamal had been intimately connected from the outset with the popular party. They had remonstrated with Ayn-u-Dola, and were the first to denounce autocracy and tyranny from the pulpit. Other leaders were Malik-u-Mutakallimin, a great orator, and Mirza Jahangir Khan, an editor, both of whom were strangled after the Shah's successful coup d'état. A few grandees joined the popular party, Jalal-u-Dola, the eldest son of Zill-u-Sultan, Ala-u-Dola, and Shaykh-ul-Rais being the best known. In the main, the Assembly was representative of the upper and middle classes.

Persians have not learned to work together. Internal discord, personal advantage, pecuniary or other, and personal animosities influenced the Assembly and prevented its cooperation with any Cabinet. Moreover, many of the leaders were unpractical extremists or mere visionaries, filled with anarchical ideas, which they had not digested, and yet ready to preach to their listeners on any subject. Persians are easily swayed by eloquence, and thus the views of the extremists gained the upper hand in the Assembly and ruined its chances of success.

The Anjuman.—The Anjuman constituted the backbone of the revolution. They were of two kinds—official anjuman, municipal, departmental, and provincial committees, and non-official anjuman or clubs. The official bodies formed an essential part of the new order; not so the clubs, which were generally political and frequently anarchical, and presented a striking analogy to the clubs of the French Revolution. The Shah watched these latter bodies with keen apprehension, and was induced to open up relations with the Anjuman Admiat, which, he was led to believe, was the most powerful of all, whereas it was actually a sham club. It was the inability of the Persian revolution to free itself from the clutches of anarchy, as preached at the clubs, which caused its failure, although the Anjuman-i-Milli undoubtedly saved Tabriz. The murderer of Atabeg-i-Azam left a paper, in which he described himself as “Abbas Aga, banker, Azer-
baijani, member of the *Anjuman, national fidai, No. 41.* The sinister term *fidai* was used to indicate a devotee in the days of the Assassins.

**Cabinet of Nasir-ul-Mulk.**—After the assassination of Atabeg-i-Azam, the Shah favoured the idea of a reactionary government, but was obliged to form a cabinet that would enjoy the confidence of the Assembly. This difficult task was entrusted to Nasir-ul-Mulk, who was now destined to play a leading rôle in Persia. His Highness, who had been educated at Oxford, had previously held high appointments. Intellectually he was on a higher plane than any of his Persian contemporaries; moreover, he was incorruptible and a disinterested patriot. His qualities of mind caused a certain aloofness and loss of touch with the mass of his fellow-countrymen, who failed to understand or appreciate them, and he perhaps lacked the resolution and driving power that are necessary in critical times; but he was the only Persian statesman of his time who really deserved well of his country.

Nasir-ul-Mulk, who was Minister of Finance as well as Prime Minister, set to work to produce the first budget of the new order. It was realized that it was inexpedient to increase the existing taxes or to impose fresh ones, while a revenue survey would have taken years of hard work. It was therefore wisely decided that salvation must be sought in reduction of expenditure, more especially in the pensions paid to members of the royal family, to courtiers, and to parasites of all classes; and after much hard work the deficit of £600,000 was changed into a small surplus. Unfortunately the efforts of Nasir-ul-Mulk were rendered futile by the reactionary storm which now burst over Persia.

**Abortive Coup d'État, December 1907.**—In Persia it is impossible to prevent coming events from casting their shadows before, and in the autumn it was realized that the Shah, furious at being thwarted by the Assembly, had determined to suppress it. On November 12, His Majesty, presumably with the idea of lulling his opponents into a sense of false security, or perhaps from fear of assassination, visited the Assembly, and there, for the fourth time, swore fidelity to the Constitution which he was scheming to overthrow. On December 15 he struck. In reply to a demand by the *Anjuman* for the dismissal of Sad-u-Dola and Amir Bahadur, he summoned the Cabinet, which had resigned on
the previous day, and threw Nasir-ul-Mulk into chains, from which he was rescued only by the prompt intervention of the British Legation. He next called out the Persian Cossacks, his household troops, his servants, and some bands of *lustis* or roughs, and then, although he apparently had the game in his hands, he stayed all further action for the night. Thanks to this respite, the Assembly had time to take certain measures. The *Anjuman* prepared to defend the approaches to the Baharistan, where the Assembly sat, and to hold the neighbouring mosque. From the provinces came fervent telegrams promising armed support, the Kermanis stating that they had already donned shrouds and vowed themselves to death. From Kazvin armed bands of *mujahidin*, or "Warriors of Holy War," hastened to Teheran, and a body of sowars left Tabriz with the same objective. There was a general wave of enthusiasm for the popular cause, to which the Shah yielded. In token of his surrender he sent a sealed Koran\(^1\) with an oath that he would observe the Constitution. As a result of this trial of strength, the Shah appeared in the unenviable position of a proved perjurer, and it was clear that he had excited passions far beyond his powers to control. But he was not dethroned—possibly Great Britain and Russia were opposed to such a drastic measure—although it was certain that he would use every possible means to overthrow the Constitution at the next opportunity.

The *Anglo-Russian Agreement*, 1907.—The defeat of Russia by Japan had far-reaching results. Among them was the readiness shown by the Northern Power to effect a settlement with Great Britain in Asia. This was arrived at in an Agreement which represented a comprehensive and final effort to deal with Anglo-Russian rivalries in Persia, Afghanistan, and Tibet, the idea being to embody in it principles and articles that would remove all causes of friction in the future. In this history I deal with the Agreement only as it affected Persia. The text, as presented to the Persian Government in September 1907, is subjoined.

Desiring to avoid any cause of conflict between their respective interests in certain regions of Persia, on the one hand, contiguous with or in the neighbourhood of the Russian frontier, and on the other, of

---

\(^1\) This, the most solemn form of oath in Persia, has, to my knowledge, been frequently broken by the highest officials.
the frontier of Baluchistan and Afghanistan, the Governments of Great Britain and of Russia have signed a friendly Arrangement on the subject.

The two Governments mutually agree to the strict independence and integrity of Persia by that Agreement, and testify that they sincerely desire not only the permanent establishment of equal advantages for the industry and commerce of all other nations, but also the pacific development of that country. Further, each of the two States binds itself to seek no Concession of any kind whatsoever in these regions which are conterminous with or in the neighbourhood of the frontier of the other.

In the Arrangement the above-mentioned regions are clearly defined in order that, in the future, misunderstandings may be avoided, and in order to avoid creating a state of things which might, in any respect whatever, place the Persian Government in an embarrassing situation. The Russian and British Governments recognize, in mentioning the revenues affected to the loans concluded with the Discount and Loan Bank, and with the Imperial Bank, by the Persian Government, that, in the future, these loans will be affected to the same purpose as in the past; and in the case of irregularities in the amortization or in the payment of interest of the loan above mentioned, the two Governments engage equally, in order by common agreement to determine the measures of control which it would be necessary to take, to enter on a friendly exchange of views, and to avoid all interference which would not be in accordance with the principles laid down in that Arrangement.

The two States have, in signing the Arrangement, steadfastly kept the fundamental principle in view that the independence and integrity of Persia should be respected absolutely. The sole object of the Arrangement is the avoidance of any cause of misunderstanding on the ground of Persian affairs between the Contracting Parties. The Shah's Government will be convinced that the Agreement concluded between Russia and Great Britain cannot fail to promote the prosperity, security, and ulterior development of Persia in the most efficacious manner.

The two spheres were defined as follows:—

Starting from Kasr-i-Shirin, the Russian line crosses and includes Isfahan, Yezd, and Kakh, ending at that point on the Persian frontier where the Russian and Afghan frontiers intersect. Going from the Afghan frontier via Gazik, Birjand, Kerman, the British line ends at Bandar Abbas.

It was, generally speaking, accepted in Europe that the Agreement was of great importance as substituting friendly relations for bitter rivalry between the two great Asiatic Powers, although, not unnaturally, the older officials, on the Russian side at any rate, displayed no haste in modifying their attitude of hostility towards the rival Power. I do not think that the Russians in Persia favoured the Agreement,
since they realized that it not only blocked their ambitions for a port at Chahbar or Bandar Abbas, but also checked their designs on Persia, and laid them open to reproach whenever they violated its terms. I understand that the feeling on the subject was so strong in Russia that the British Foreign Office was obliged to yield on various points, fearing that the negotiations might fall through if there were any delay in concluding them.

From the British standpoint, the Agreement was honestly designed to end the unhappy rivalry with Russia; it was also intended to help Persia to maintain her independence and to lessen the evils of the system by which, if any concession were given to either Power, its rival immediately exaggerated the importance of what was granted, and claimed an equivalent from the unfortunate Persian Government.

The details of the Agreement deserve careful examination. Perhaps its most noticeable feature was the very small area, mainly desert, claimed by Great Britain, which looked poor in contrast with the comparatively rich northern provinces of the Russian sphere. At that time Lord Kitchener was Commander-in-Chief in India, and he firmly held the view that it was to our advantage to allow Russia and Germany to come down to the Persian Gulf or Arabian Sea, on the ground that, if necessary, a Russian or German port on the Persian Gulf could be attacked by our naval forces. He also held equally strongly that we should limit our responsibilities to the semi-desert areas of Persian Baluchistan, Kain, Sistan, and Kerman, that we should withdraw all escorts and detachments, and should generally act on the supposition that Persia was valueless. As to the first point, it may be remarked that, had Russia crossed Persia and established a naval base on the Persian Gulf, the Government of India would have been obliged to maintain a powerful naval force in those torrid and unhealthy waters at great expense, and British influence and prestige would have been lowered appreciably throughout Asia. A second consideration is that the neutral zone in the south-west included the only part of Southern Persia which possessed important commercial possibilities, and thus should have been placed within the sphere of the British Government, both because it was obvious that valuable concessions would, sooner or later, be sought there and because its “neutrality” constituted a standing temptation to Germany, from whose
point of view it was a potentially rich area adjacent to Basra going begging. There was the still larger question of maintaining British ascendancy in the Persian Gulf.

The Government of India urged that it was of the utmost importance to place the head of the Persian Gulf and the lower Karun Valley within the British sphere of influence, and that the Clarence Straits, on which the naval authorities laid considerable stress, should, at any rate, be included. Their representations undoubtedly secured a modification of the British zone, and perhaps prevented the line from being drawn south of the province of Kain. The whole question was hurried through without time being allowed for proper discussion, the reason being that, as already mentioned, there were strong forces at work in Russia to wreck the Agreement. It remains to say that the enormous developments in oil in the Karun Valley have alone sufficed to prove that the view of the Government of India was right, and in this case, as in those of the Baghdad Railway and the status of Koweit, the results of the Great War have proved beneficial to Great Britain.

We now come to the effect of the Agreement on the relations between Persia and the two contracting Powers. The influence and popularity of Great Britain were at their zenith at this period, owing to her deep sympathy with Persian aspirations for better government, but Russia was regarded with well-founded suspicion and fear. In the event, Russia attempted to take advantage of the Agreement to absorb her sphere, whereas Great Britain, whose attitude was inspired by a genuine desire to maintain the independence of Persia and to help her, tried, albeit with scant success, to induce Russia to observe the spirit of the Agreement and, at the same time, to work in with the Northern Power in every possible way. When the true direction of Russian policy was realized, the task of the British Minister at Teheran was one of extreme difficulty, as the Persians, on the principle of the greater including the less, assumed that their interests would be allowed to suffer rather than that the relations between the two Powers should be strained. And they were justified in holding these views; for the identical instructions given to the Ministers of the two Powers were to co-operate closely and to avoid dissension.

What did Persia think of the Agreement? No Power,
however impotent or decadent, likes to be slighted, and this Agreement was made without consultation with Persia. But there was much more than this. Persians, who had based their policy on the long-standing rivalry between the two Powers, believed that this arrangement of spheres of influence was merely a stage on the road to partition, and, with Russia determined to absorb her sphere, they were undoubtedly right. As a net result, in spite of a Memorandum from the British Minister which was intended to reassure Persia as to the scope and aims of the Agreement, Great Britain fell from her position of trusted friend and protector and became an object of suspicion. Browne brings out the point by quoting the Persian proverb that “enemies are of three sorts, enemies, enemies of friends, and friends of enemies.” There is no doubt that the remarkable success of German propaganda in Persia during the Great War and the bitter hostility shown to Great Britain were mainly due to the openly avowed reason that the British were friends of their Russian enemies. One side of the Persian view appears in the following poem addressed to Sir Edward (now Lord) Grey of Fallodon:

Not Persia only feels the Russian squeeze,
'Tis felt by Afghans and by Kashgaris!
"Russia her pact will keep," you answer me:
Her records read, and wondrous things you'll see!
Not I but human nature tells you plain
That pacts weigh naught compared with present gain;
The more since Russia longs for India still,
As longs the hawk for partridge o'er the hill;
Else why did she o'er Persian lands let loose
Her Cossack hordes to crown her long abuse?

Reason, forsooth! The Russians there remain
Waiting for some more glorious campaign
With India for its goal: This goal they crave,
These pampered pirates of the Caspian Wave.¹

The Agreement certainly gained its main object of preventing Great Britain and Russia from fighting for the lordship of Asia, and the fact that Great Britain renounced much when her adversary was weak proved her earnest desire for peace. In Persia it constituted a terrible handicap,

¹ The Press and Poetry of Modern Persia, p. 255.
from which I, for one, was destined to suffer severely during the Great War. It is now happily cancelled.

_Turkish Encroachments, 1906–7._—The Turks were always ready to take advantage of troubles in Persia, and, in August 1906, a force estimated at 6000 rifles, with artillery and mounted troops, crossed the frontier and threatened Urumia. Farther south the invaders occupied Suj Bulak, where Farman Farma, the commander of the Persian force, was not strong enough to oppose them. It is evident that Turkey wished to make good her control of the northern passes leading into Persia, as occupation of these would give her distinct military advantages over Russia, unless that Power took steps to restore the balance. This question will be referred to again in Chapter LXXXV.

_Successful Coup d’État, June 23, 1908._—After the failure of the first attempt to destroy the Constitution, there was a lull. Efforts were made to bring about better relations between the Shah and his subjects, and a “Conciliation Committee” was formed, which to some small extent was successful. But the gulf between absolutism and democracy was too wide to be bridged. In February 1908, all hopes of reconciliation were severely checked when a bomb was thrown at His Majesty’s motor-car and killed one of its occupants. The Shah himself was in a carriage and escaped. It is only fair to His Majesty to point out that he had every reason to believe that this outrage was organized by his political enemies, who never ceased to attack him in the Assembly and in the press. Towards the end of May, a final attempt at reconciliation was made, the Shah consenting to exile six of his courtiers, in return for which act it was agreed that all attacks on His Majesty by the National leaders should cease. As, however, the dismissed courtiers did not leave the neighbourhood of Teheran, little real progress towards better relations was effected. During this period the representatives of Russia and Great Britain were urging moderation, the Minister of the former Power undoubtedly supporting the Shah, while our representative was probably anxious to be a true mediator.

Early in June the Shah suddenly left Teheran for a garden outside the city walls and began openly to collect troops. From the safety of the garden he summoned various grandees who had taken the popular side, and, after receiving them,
he ordered the arrest of three of the most prominent. This act naturally caused deep consternation. It was followed by the establishment of martial law under Colonel Liakhoff, the Russian officer commanding the Cossack brigade, and, when no resistance was offered, by the demand for the dispersal of the mujahidin who garrisoned the Sipahsalar mosque close to the Assembly House. This demand was opposed strenuously by the Anjuman, but the leaders supported it, and so the riflemen gradually broke up and dispersed to their homes.

Early in the morning of June 23, the Cossack brigade and other troops surrounded the Baharistan, and artillery fire was opened from guns posted all round the building. Casualties were inflicted and panic ensued. The Nationalists dispersed, and some reached safety at the British Legation; but seven of the eight leaders were caught, and Mirza Jahangir Khan and Malik-ul-Mutakallimin, the orator, who had made themselves particularly obnoxious to the Shah by their scurrilous attacks on him, were strangled. Liakhoff was appointed military governor of Teheran and administered the city under martial law. Thus, for the time being, it seemed that the reactionary Shah had crushed the Assembly.

The Siege of Tabriz.—The answer to the successful blow struck at Teheran was a revolution at Tabriz. As this history shows, the city holds a record for the bravery of its inhabitants, who, in the present instance, knew the character of the Shah, as they had suffered much from his tyranny when he was Governor-General of Azerbaijan. The revolution was precipitated by the action of various reactionary ecclesiastics, who, on June 22, telegraphed to the Shah a strong denunciation of the Constitution. The Nationalists attacked them and, at first, were so hard pressed that most of them hoisted the white flag. But Sattar Khan, who, with Bakir Khan, was destined to conduct the defence of Tabriz, rallied his followers and ultimately drove back the Royalists, thereby gaining control of one of the thirty quarters into which Tabriz is divided. After this initial success the Royalists were gradually expelled from the city, and for some time all went well. News of the successful revolution in Turkey, which was received in August, naturally acted as a powerful stimulant to the Constitutionalists, who, instead of looking for hostility from their neighbours on the west, realized that, to some extent at any rate, they would now have their sympathy and support.
During the autumn and winter the Royal troops began to assemble, and gradually the roads were blocked one by one, that leading to Julfa being closed last, in February 1909, when the investment of the city was completed. Ayn-u-Dola had assumed command of the besieging forces, mainly composed of brigand nomads, in August 1908, and later on 400 Persian Cossacks with six guns constituted a welcome reinforcement. Vigorous measures were never attempted, the search for plunder mainly absorbing the energies of the Shah’s army, but gradually Tabriz began to suffer from famine. Meanwhile the Shah was wavering between two rescripts, one summoning a new Assembly and the other declaring that the institution of an Assembly was held by the mujtahids to be contrary to the laws of Islam. It was obvious to all classes that he had no intention whatever of ruling constitutionally, and even the British Legation began to despair of the situation. Probably the support of the mujtahids of Kerbela helped more than any other single factor to keep the flame of constitutionalism alive, and was responsible for Nationalist movements at various centres, including Isfahan and Meshed. At the former city the Bakhtiaris under Samsam-u-Saltana appeared on the scene and declared for the Nationalist cause—a portent of ill-omen for the Shah. Resht soon followed the example of Isfahan, under the leadership of Mohamed Vali Khan, known by his title of Sipahdar-i-Azam, who had commanded the troops under Ayn-u-Dola and was believed to have joined the Nationalists to save his vast estates from plunder. He is described as timid and unreliable, but since his wealth was enormous, his support was valuable.

At Tabriz, meanwhile, the position had apparently become one of stalemate so far as military operations were concerned, but the two European Powers were naturally anxious about the safety of their subjects, and it was finally decided, in April 1909, that Russian troops should be despatched for the protection of foreign subjects. This decision was acted upon and ended the siege. The military action of Russia ruined the hopes of Mohamed Ali Shah, whose forces dispersed to their homes laden with loot.

The Advance from Resht.—The long defence of Tabriz by the Nationalists permitted forces to be organized at other centres, chief among them being Resht and Isfahan. At
Resht the fighting element was composed mainly of Caucasian or Turkish Moslems and Armenians. Among the most conspicuous was Ephraim or Yeprem, an Armenian of Turkey, who was working as a bricklayer at Enzel, and being both brave and honest, soon gained much influence. Sipahdar was by no means heart and soul in the cause and was little more than a figurehead, but he realized that the grim revolutionaries would immediately kill him if he showed signs of wavering. The Nationalists, who were in communication with Isfahan, gradually worked their way up the road, surprising Kazvin and then advancing slowly towards Teheran. Their numbers were not large, but every steamer from Baku brought its batch of armed desperadoes, who reinforced the front line.

The Bakhtiari.—The chief actor in the drama was Haji Ali Kuli Khan, Sirdar-i-Asad, the Bakhtiari chief. As a hostage living at Teheran he had made friends with members of the British Legation, and I recollect him as a virile personality with whom I had many an interesting conversation a quarter of a century ago. He studied the civilization of Europe, whose capitals he visited, and he returned there when the revolution broke out. Although his inclinations were towards absolutism, he realized that the action of Samsam had forced his hand and that the die was cast. He travelled out to Mohamera, where he arranged matters with the powerful Shaykh Khazal, who agreed to observe a friendly neutrality, and then proceeded to Isfahan, where he appeared to be undecided as to his policy, but actually worked hard to unite the chiefs in favour of the Constitution and to collect their forces. In this he succeeded, although (so individualistic are nomads) a small body of his tribesmen fought for the Shah. Sirdar-i-Asad undoubtedly aimed secretly at founding a Bakhtiari dynasty, but he ultimately had the good sense to recognize that his ambitions were impracticable. His memory deserves to be kept green among his fellow-tribesmen who, thanks to him, were able to carry off to their hills huge sums of money, munitions, and valuables of every description. They spoiled Persia.

To return to Isfahan, in May the two Bakhtiari chiefs telegraphed to the Legations expressing their gratitude for the relief of Tabriz, but begging that no further interference should be ordered. They concluded, after hollow protesta-
tions of loyalty, with the announcement that the Nationalists were about to march on Teheran to compel the Shah to fulfil the pledges made to his people. Thoroughly frightened at the serious menace which now threatened his throne, Mohamed Ali again promised to restore the old constitution "without any alteration," but it was too late. The leaders mistrusted Russia deeply and realized that she wished to gain control over Northern Persia, using the Shah as her tool. They also realized that Great Britain, although sincerely in favour of the constitution, was far too much tied by the Anglo-Russian Agreement to be free from Russian influence. They were particularly anxious to avoid further loans, being aware that such pledging of the national resources inevitably increased the hold of foreign Powers upon them.

March on Teheran, June 1909.—After many consultations and hesitations, the Bakhtiaris began their fateful march northwards. The representatives of the two Powers warned the Sirdar that his action was "displeasing to the Powers and was imperilling the cause he had at heart," to which monition he replied that the pressure of public opinion was forcing him on towards Teheran. The Russian Government, on its side, ordered troops to be assembled at Baku, to be despatched to Persia in case of need.

The military situation was as follows: The Shah was in camp outside Teheran with 5000 Persian troops. Of the Cossack brigade, 800 held Teheran, with a detachment 350 strong watching the Karij Bridge twenty-five miles to the west, and a second detachment of 200 looking out to the south for the Bakhtiaris. A body of 1500 troops with six guns which had met the Bakhtiaris near Kashan was retreating before them towards Teheran. The Bakhtiaris were about 2000 strong with several guns, and the Resht contingent perhaps half that number. At Shahabad the Cossack brigade, with the Bakhtiaris who fought on the side of the Shah, met the Nationalist Bakhtiaris and were driven back on Teheran, after which Sirdar-i-Asad marched towards the Karij River and gained touch with the Resht force.

Capture of Teheran and the Abdication of the Shah, July 1909.—The position demanded speedy action, as the Russian force, 3000 strong, had landed at Enzeli, and it was of the utmost importance for the Nationalists that the Shah should be deposed before it appeared on the scene. Indecisive
skirmishing took place between the two parties on July 11 and 12, and that night the Nationalists, avoiding the Royalist lines, marched into Teheran without encountering any serious resistance. For three days there was much desultory firing in the capital, which the Shah bombarded from a safe distance, as a preliminary to an assault which was repulsed with ease.

On July 16, thinking that the game was lost, Mohamed Ali Shah took refuge at the Russian Legation and was formally deposed by the victors. Thus ended this extraordinary campaign, which, as Browne points out, was comparable in many respects to that which had been fought in Turkey a year earlier. It is to the credit of the Nationalists that there was little persecution of the Royalists, the majority of whom hastily disowned the fallen Shah, while remarkably successful efforts were made to protect European life and property. It remains to add that the Russian Government accepted the deposition of Mohamed Ali Shah, and that, as there was no outbreak of disorder and no outrages on Russian subjects, their troops were gradually withdrawn.

This chapter may fitly be closed by the following doggerel lines:

The wily old Devil did groan and greet,
    "What'll I do?  O what'll I do?
"For the Constitution has found its feet:
    "What'll I do?  O what'll I do?
"The Bird of Liberty preens its wings in a rose-girt land,
    "The Bird of Liberty preens its wings in a rose-girt land,
"And Tyranny's vein is severed at last by Justice's hand,
    "And Tyranny's vein is severed at last by Justice's hand,
"And the despot's eyes are blinded by Freedom's gleaming brand,
    "And the despot's eyes are blinded by Freedom's gleaming brand,
"And the autocrats are, it would seem, dead beat,
    "And the autocrats are, it would seem, dead beat,
"What'll I do?  O what'll I do?"
The wily old Devil did groan and greet,
    "What'll I do?  O what'll I do?" ¹

CHAPTER LXXXIV

THE FAILURE OF CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT

In Persia will bribes ever go out of fashion, O Kablay? ¹
Will the mullas for justice develop a passion, O Kablay?
From magic and murshids can Islam win free?
Bid the dead come to life, for 'twill easier be,
You limb of the Devil and son of a gun, O Kablay.

From Sur-i-Israfi—translation by Professor Browne.

Sultan Ahmad Shah.—Mohamed Ali was succeeded by
his son, a lad of twelve, with Azud-ul-Mulk, the respected
old head of the Kajar tribe, as Regent. A ministry was formed
in which the victors held the chief portfolios, Sirdar-i-Asad
being Minister of the Interior and Sipahdar Minister of
War. Yeprem was appointed to command the police and
displayed great energy in putting down looting and disorder.
After much haggling the ex-Shah agreed to accept a pension
of 100,000 tomans (£16,660), and left for Odessa. It
was laid down that if it were proved that he was carrying on
political agitation, the pension would cease. His departure
cleared the air.

The new Assembly met in November, when Sipahdar
read a speech from the Throne, full of good intentions.
Russia, too, who might have dashed the cup of victory to
the ground, not only appointed a new Minister with more
liberal ideas, but began the withdrawal of her troops from
Kazvin and Tabriz.

Domestic Discord.—The first serious difficulty to be faced
was the disbanding of the mujahidin. These worthies were
mainly soldiers of fortune who, after the cessation of hostilities,

¹ Kablay is a man who has made the pilgrimage to Kerbela. Here it is equivalent to our
"Johnny."
assumed a somewhat menacing attitude and threatened to mutiny unless their exorbitant demands for pay were granted. When this matter was arranged the Nationalists again broke up into two parties, the *Ingelabian* or “Revolutionists” (now misnamed “Democrats”) being bitterly hostile to the *Moderates*. Unfortunately, by its activity, its violence, and its secret organization, the former party gained the ascendancy. Nor were the leaders any wiser. Sirdar-i-Asad intrigued with the Revolutionaries and laid traps for Sipahdar; Tagizada, the leader of the Revolutionary party, was anathematized by the *mu'jahids* of Kerbela, whom he had unwisely defied, but was supported by the *Sirdar*. Assassination was employed by the revolutionaries to overawe their opponents. Ultimately Tagizada was forced to leave Teheran, and Sipahdar found it necessary to resign, as also did the President of the Assembly, who was a *Moderate*.

*An Extremist Cabinet.*—Under the auspices of Sirdar-i-Asad and the revolutionary party, a Cabinet was formed by Mustaufi-ul-Mamalik. It was hoped that once in office the party would acquire some sense of responsibility, but it merely desired the “loaves and fishes” and continued the campaign of assassination and intimidation. Moreover, the attitude of the extremists towards the Russian Government was exasperating, Nawab Husayn Kuli Khan, as Foreign Minister, making unnecessary difficulties and showing no disposition to oblige, even in small matters. In the end, under Russian pressure, he was forced to resign.

*The Question of the Regency, 1910.*—The death of Azud-ul-Mulk made it necessary to elect a new Regent, and the “Democrats” assembled their forces in order to secure that important office for one of their number. They voted for Mustaufi-ul-Mamalik, to the disgust of Sirdar-i-Asad, who immediately became hostile to them and made friends once more with Sipahdar. The large majority voted for Nasir-ul-Mulk, who was in England, and refused to accept the Regency on account of the hostility that prevailed between the parties. But public opinion was deeply stirred, and finally Nasir-ul-Mulk returned to Persia and took up the high, but terribly onerous and thankless post. Mustaufi-ul-Mamalik was forced to resign by public opinion, Sipahdar again formed a Cabinet, and Sirdar-i-Asad left for Europe.
The Ex-Shah's Attempt, 1911.—In the autumn of 1910 the Persian Government reported to the two Legations that they possessed information that the ex-Shah was engaging in propaganda among the Turkoman near Astrabad, with a view to making a descent on Persia. They proposed forthwith to stop his pension, but to this the two Legations demurred. In July 1911 he passed across Russia in disguise, with arms and ammunition labelled "Mineral Waters," and landed near Astrabad.

The return of the ex-Shah caused a panic at Teheran, where, for a time, the deepest depression prevailed. Gradually it was resolved to face the situation, and one of the first steps taken was to secure the resignation of Sipahdar, whose fidelity to the new order was not above suspicion, and to replace him by Samsam-u-Saltana, who had no hopes of forgiveness if the ex-Shah regained his throne. Mohamed Ali organized a force and marched on Teheran, but was defeated, as was also Salar-u-Dola, who, with a horde of tribesmen, advanced simultaneously from the direction of Kermanshah. The Russians undoubtedly favoured the ex-Shah, and I recollect that my colleague at Meshed, who openly worked for him, declared that he would certainly establish himself at the Sacred City. Fortunately for Persia the British Government absolutely declined to consider the question of his return to the throne, and their attitude ultimately drove Mohamed Ali back to Europe.

His lament, as given in a poem translated by Browne, ran:

Could I to Teheran once an entrance gain
Its people butcher-like I’d cleave in twain,
And its inhabitants, both great and small,
With shot and shrapnel I would dose them all!
As for the Regent, off his head should go,
Who caused my projects to miscarry so;
And with my pen-knife out the eyes I’d bring
Of Sultan Ahmad Shah, the reigning king;
Out the Sirdar-i-Asad’s heart I’d take,
And the Sipahdar into mince-meat make;
The Parliament with cannons I would shake,
For Freedom’s balm to me’s a poisoned snake.

American Financial Mission, 1911.—The financial difficulties of the Persian Government were great. Various attempts were made to float loans with private syndicates, but Russia, ever bent on increasing her hold on Persia,
frustrated them all, insisting that loans should be granted only by the two Powers.

It is to the credit of the "Democrat" Cabinet that an arrangement was made with America to supply disinterested financial advisers, who, under Mr. W. Morgan Shuster, reached Persia in May 1911. The gloomy position of affairs was investigated, and Shuster, realizing that the Augean stable of corruption could be cleansed only by extraordinary means, demanded powers which virtually conferred upon him an irresponsible dictatorship. These powers were readily accorded by the Assembly. He looked round for support, and seeing that the power lay chiefly with the "Democrats," identified himself whole-heartedly with that party. His very difficult task, which Russia watched with a malevolent eye, was not made easier by a lack of tact and courtesy, which showed itself in his refusal to pay the usual calls on the Legations and European colonies. I have discussed this question with a well-informed American missionary, who remonstrated with Shuster upon this matter, and was of opinion that he brought many of his troubles on himself. For instance, he was advised by the Regent not to interfere with the Customs until everything else had been reorganized, but he began with this comparatively model department, thereby exciting the bitter hostility of the Belgian officials. Throughout he ignored the realities of the situation.

Shuster was determined to organize a special Treasury gendarmerie, and offered the command of it to Major C. B. Stokes, whose appointment as British Military Attaché was expiring. This officer was such a devoted friend of Persia that he would have no social relations with Russians because of his conviction that the Northern Power was slowly devouring her. Naturally the Russian Legation strongly objected to an arrangement which placed under the command of a Russo-phobe a gendarmerie that would work all over Persia, and, after some friction had been caused by the proposed appointment, Stokes was ordered to return to India. The rebuff to Shuster, although unintentional, was severe.

Russian Ultimatum, November 1911. — The Russian Government was determined to oust Shuster, but chose a very weak case on which to take action. The Persian Government decided to confiscate the property of Shua-u-Saltana, brother
of the ex-Shah, who had joined him in his recent attempt to regain the throne. Shuster directed his Treasury gendarmes to take possession of Shua’s principal property; but the Russian Consul-General, under the flimsy pretext that the Prince owed money to the Russian Bank, sent two of his secretaries with ten Russian Cossacks to anticipate Shuster’s action. This party ordered the Treasury gendarmes to retire under threat of opening fire on them, and subsequently arrested them. On the following day a much stronger body of Treasury gendarmes marched to the Shua’s property, where they found a small guard of Persian Cossacks, which they evicted. Sentries of the Treasury gendarmerie are alleged to have pointed their rifles at two Russian officials in uniform who subsequently passed by, but there was much doubt as to whether this actually happened. The charge was probably invented to strengthen a weak case. It is clear that the Russian Consul-General was entirely wrong, both in his pretext and in his action, more especially as Shua was a Turkish subject, but Shuster’s folly in sending a large body of his gendarmes to turn out a guard posted under the instructions of the Russian Consulate-General spoilt an excellent case, and placed the Persian Government in a serious position. At first there were no signs of action by Russia, and the “Democrats” were jubilant in the belief that a great victory had been won. But their rejoicings were as short-lived as they were premature. On November 5 Russia presented an ultimatum demanding an apology for the insults to her Consul-General, and when this was accepted, followed it up by a demand for the dismissal of Shuster. The Assembly refused the second ultimatum with the cry of “Death or Independence,” while at Tabriz and Resht the Nationalists attacked the Russian troops, who inflicted stern reprisals, especially at Tabriz, where they publicly hanged the leading ecclesiastic and other notables. Russian troops now began to march on Teheran, and the Persians, recovering from their illusions, realized the necessity of submission. A commission was appointed which accepted the conditions of the ultimatum, and the onward movement of the invaders ceased.

The failure of Shuster to evoke tolerable order out of chaos was deeply to be regretted, and caused the friends of Persia to lose heart. He certainly was an unfortunate choice,
as he lacked the exceptional qualities required for dealing with a problem so difficult and complicated; but, even had Shuster proved to be as suitable as he was unsuitable, Russia would never have allowed him to succeed, and he was justified in terming his apologia "The Strangling of Persia."

_Bombardment of the Meshed Shrine, March 1912._—There are few acts more discredit able to Russia than the bombardment of the Shrine of the Imam Riza at Meshed. As already mentioned in this chapter, my Russian colleague in the Sacred City of Persia worked hard in the interests of the ex-Shah. He even went so far as to encourage Yusuf Herati, a notorious _agens provocateur_, to carry on a propaganda in his favour from the shelter of the consulate. I reported this to the British Legation, with the result that, by the instructions of the Russian Minister, Yusuf with his followers was expelled from the consulate, but he immediately proceeded to the Shrine, where my colleague could continue to employ him. Established in the sacred precincts, he was able to collect large numbers of men and women, including hundreds of pilgrims, to listen to his reactionary speeches; whereupon the Russians gave out that the lives of their subjects were endangered, and brought in a considerable force of troops. The leading inhabitants of Meshed, with whom I was in close touch, clearly saw the trap and used every effort to save the situation, but in vain. The Russians had determined to bombard the Shrine, held by their own agents, and whether the populace was quiet or not was immaterial. On March 29 the guns opened fire. There was practically no resistance, although Yusuf and his men, as instructed, fired some shots, but many innocent pilgrims and citizens were killed and wounded. After dark, Yusuf and the other agents were sent out of the city in a waggon, using the gate which the Russians had opened in the city wall and held under guard. A few days later Yusuf Herati wrote to me, complaining that my colleague had rewarded his valuable services most inadequately! The Persian authorities were now instigated by the Russians to take action, and Yusuf Herati was captured and put to death without trial, his corpse, which could make no awkward confessions, being paraded through the streets.

Unable to prevent the bombardment, against which I had strongly protested, I insisted on visiting the Shrine on the following day. The corpses had been carried off and
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(The marks of shell-fire are clearly visible.)
also the wounded, but the damage done by the wanton bombardment, without which the Russians would, in their opinion, have come on a mere fool's errand, was evident enough. The Treasury, containing the rich gifts of monarchs and other pilgrims, had been removed to the Russian Bank. After my visit it was restored and, although some of its contents had been looted, the Official Custodian of the Shrine was forced, under threat of death, to seal a document to the effect that he had received it back intact. My visit, rightly or wrongly, was considered to have been the cause of the restoration of the Treasury, Persians not being always able to distinguish between post hoc and propter hoc, and I received many letters of thanks not only from Persians, but even from the Sunni Governor of Herat. My colleague at first reported that no shells had struck the Shrine, but this false statement I was able to refute by posting to the Legation and to the British Embassy at St. Petersburg copies of photographs specially taken by my instructions.

The feeling excited throughout Persia and in a lesser degree throughout the Moslem world was intense, as Meshed is the centre of pilgrimage in Persia. Curiously enough, the bombardment attracted little notice in England, as it coincided with the dreadful catastrophe of the Titanic, and the British public was naturally absorbed in the details of that terrible disaster, which occupied the columns of the press to the exclusion of practically everything else. For me, the bombardment of the Shrine, of which I warned the Legation beforehand, of which I knew all the details, and which I witnessed, represented an outrage on an innocent people, and clearly demonstrated the sinister motives underlying Russian policy.

A Study of the New Order, 1909.—After the Constitution had been re-established at Teheran, officials arrived at Meshed to take up the posts of Deputy-Governor, Commander-in-Chief, Chief of the Law Courts, and Chief of Police. They called upon me and explained that they had been given full powers by the Government and meant to put everything right without delay; they added that they had been instructed to ask for my advice and assistance. They said that they intended to dismiss the Governor-General and the Karguzar or Foreign Office Agent at once, and felt sure that I should approve of these steps being taken. I replied that, although
the old machine was inefficient and creaky, it did work, and that I deprecated the dismissal of the old officials of the province, until their successors had had some months in which to study the various complicated problems. My visitors showed good sense in the matter and agreed that the officials in question should be retained until the spring; so the threats of dismissal were not carried out.

I was naturally deeply interested in studying the behaviour of the "new brooms" and hoped much from the infusion of new blood and new ideas. But I was doomed to bitter disappointment. The Chief of the Law Courts was unfortunately the son of the last holder of the post, and very soon fell into the old corrupt ways. So much was this the case that his fellow-officials insisted on his resignation within a month. The Chief of Police showed considerable energy and an equal lack of tact. In the main street of Meshed balconies and verandas had been constructed which, as happens more or less everywhere in Persia, formed encroachments on the thoroughfare. These were ruthlessly swept away without any extenuating circumstances being admitted, and the owners thereby suffered considerably. At first this stirring official refrained from taking bribes, but very soon he became as corrupt as his predecessors and, finally, being implicated in the murder of the Russian chief merchant, he left Meshed hastily at night.

The Commander-in-Chief I shall never forget, for he might have stepped out of the pages of Hajji Baba. Shortly after his arrival at Meshed, there was trouble at Darragaz, and the Governor was driven out. The "War Lord" collected a force at Kuchan, but absolutely refused to move on Darragaz until the expelled Governor made it clear that the malcontents had disappeared by returning to his post. He then followed the Governor with his troops, but unfortunately a deputation of villagers bearing gifts was mistaken for an ambushed enemy, and our hero galloped back to Kuchan before his Staff could convince him of the mistake. Finally he started off again, overtook his men, and, reaching Darragaz, where the trouble was now ancient history, ordered the gates to be locked and the keys to be laid before him. He then indited a telegraphic despatch to the Regent reporting that, after twelve hours' desperate fighting, he had inflicted severe casualties on the rebels and that Darragaz was once
again obedient to the Persian Government. The reply was to the effect that, if there were more lion-hearted commanders like the victor of Darragaz, all would be well in Persia. On another occasion our hero went out after game with about twenty followers. Shots were fired at him by a band of robbers, and he fled home in a panic. Again he telegraphed to Teheran, and on this occasion reported that, while patrolling the Afghan frontier he had been attacked by 200 Afghans, all of whom he had cut to pieces and presented as a humble offering of his devotion. This eminent soldier remained at Meshed for two years and was then promoted to a higher post.

The Deputy-Governor was honest, but his head was full of fantastic schemes. He once told me that every one had agreed to pay double taxes, and that he only wanted one thousand well-equipped men with machine-guns to make Khorasan an earthly paradise. He added that he felt sure that I could arrange a loan of £200,000 to pay for the munitions and equipment of the force. I pointed out that, if the very large majority had agreed to pay the double taxes, one half of the sum raised would suffice to pay the cost of the proposed new force; but no, he would not change the details of his scheme! Sad to say, he was a coward. One night he sent me a message to the effect that a clerk had threatened to shoot him and that, as he could not trust his own Staff, he hoped that I would send four Indian sowars of my escort to protect him. I pointed out that the posting of such a guard would ruin his position and that I could not agree to supply it. The Deputy-Governor thereupon ran away in the night. These are the facts which I collected day by day, and I might add that, even if these officials of the new order were not worse than their predecessors, the latter knew where and how to "squeeze" without exciting undue resentment, whereas the newcomers were arrogant and overbearing to all, and even told me that Persians had to be driven and beaten like camels. They also had no experience of administrative work or knowledge of agriculture or of local conditions.

The End of the Domination of the Bakhtiariis.—The Regent left Persia in June 1912 for rather more than a year, and the Bakhtiari Cabinet deemed itself master of the situation. But it failed completely to maintain order, and losing the
respect of all parties finally decided to recall Sad-u-Dola, who had played many parts. Hastening back to Persia, he soon became unpopular again, not only with the people but also with the Bakhtiaris, who realized that he was, in fact, their rival for power. As matters turned out, the Cabinet was forced to resign, giving place to one formed by Ala-u-Saltana, who had served many years as Persian representative at the Court of St. James's.

The arrogance of the Bakhtiaris, who were exasperated at seeing the reins of government slipping through their fingers, caused them to adopt a hostile attitude towards the gendarmerie.\(^1\) But the Swedes in command were quite capable of coping with the situation; the tribesmen were defeated and their quarter was surrounded. Finally, the chiefs were obliged to submit to the humiliation of having to dismiss the contingents on which their power and influence were based. This episode is of great importance, for it marks the end of the Bakhtiar domination in Persia with its dreams of founding a new dynasty.

Salar-u-Dola.—The stormy petrel of Persian politics was Salar-u-Dola, a brother of the Shah and a restless, if cowardly, adventurer. Through his marriage to the daughter of the Vali of Pusht-i-Kuh he was able to collect a force of Lurs who were ready to serve him, provided that there was plenty of plundering and little fighting. As already mentioned, he made a bid for the throne in 1907, but was defeated. When the ex-Shah made his landing in the Turkoman country, Salar again marched towards Teheran with a considerable force of tribesmen, but was defeated by Yeprem. In 1912 the irrepressible Prince occupied Kurdistan and threatened Hamadan. Farman Farma, appointed Governor-General of Kurdistan, held Kermanshah against him, inflicting a repulse which drove Salar to take flight. As the result of this blow, he led the life of a brigand, fomenting local troubles and blackmailing the rich. After the formation of the Cabinet of Ala-u-Saltana it was decided to make terms with the Prince and, through the mediation of the Russian Legation, he was appointed Governor of Gilan. The Regent, however, rightly declined to allow him to rule this important province, and he was ultimately expelled from Persia. During the Great War Salar did not play an important part.

\(^1\) The formation of this force is dealt with in Chapter LXXXVII.
He was arrested in Transcaspia by the British, when about to enter the Turkoman country and attempt yet another bid for the throne. The rôle he has played has been destructive to life and property, and proved the impotence of the Persian Government to maintain order within its own boundaries.

*German Activity in the Persian Gulf.*—Throughout this history a constant effort has been made to explain the importance of the Persian Gulf, the control of which is almost equivalent to the domination of the Middle East. This fact was not realized in India a generation ago, and is not recognized in Great Britain to-day, except by a few students of the problems of Asia. The statesmen of Berlin, whose aim was “a Germanic wedge reaching from Hamburg to the Persian Gulf,” grasped the immense political and commercial issues at stake, and, a generation ago, decided on a campaign for obtaining a footing in the Persian Gulf, and, if possible, securing a harbour in it, with the intention of undermining British influence and substituting that of Germany.¹

The campaign was opened in 1896, when the firm of Wonckhaus established itself at Lingah and began dealing in mother-of-pearl; in the following year a German Vice-Consulate was opened at Bushire. In 1900, the German Mission which was making a preliminary inspection of the alignment for the Baghdad Railway, reached Koweit, and its chief explained to Shaykh Mubarak the immense wealth that he would acquire if the terminus of the railway were to be placed in his territory. The astute Shaykh was, however, not ready to come to terms and refused to sell a site or to lease land to the Germans. Actually, in the previous year, he had made a secret treaty with Great Britain, by the terms of which he had agreed not to sell or lease any of his territory without the consent of that Power. But Germany did not accept this rebuff as final. Her next move was to induce Turkey to despatch an expedition to take possession of Koweit, but the British were warned, and the presence of a cruiser defeated this scheme, which was followed by others, members of Mubarak’s family and the Wahabis all taking a hand in the game, and all alike being foiled by British sea power. A third plan was then adopted. Behind Bubian Island, which bounds the Bay of Koweit on the north, are

---
¹ I have consulted the admirable *Times* History of the War in this and the following chapter.
two deep inlets, Khor Abdulla and Khor Zubayr, running north to within twenty miles of Basra. The Germans decided to make their terminus on Khor Abdulla, regardless of the fact that it lay in Mubarik's territory. Their agents, the Turks, established posts at various points, including the island of Bubian, and they remained there, in spite of a formal protest by Great Britain.

Meanwhile the firm of Wonckhaus, supported by Government subsidies, rapidly grew. In 1901 its headquarters were removed to Bahrein and branches were opened at Basra and Bandar Abbas. As soon as it was established at the centre of the pearl fisheries, the Sultan, whose claims in the Persian Gulf were most shadowy, was asked to grant the monopoly of the pearl fisheries to a German syndicate. He was proceeding to obey his masters, and a lease of the island of Halul was being negotiated, when Great Britain intervened and spoiled the new scheme.

The next attempt was more serious. The Shaykh of Shargah, a Trucial chief, who was bound by the Treaty of 1892 not to enter into an agreement with any other power than Great Britain, granted a concession for working the red oxide deposits on the neighbouring island of Abu Musa to three Arabs, two of whom lived at Lingah and the third at Shargah. Wonckhaus acquired the concession, which was immediately cancelled by the Shaykh, acting in accordance with the treaty. He subsequently sent a large body of his subjects to expel the concessionnaires. This action raised a storm in the German press, but the position was too weak to be defended by the Berlin Foreign Office, and, after a formal protest, the matter was dropped. Yet one more attempt is worth recording. Germany tried hard to obtain an irrigation concession in the Karun Valley, and to secure a long river frontage at Mohamerea, but again British influence was too strong and she failed.

In 1906 the Hamburg-Amerika Company entered the Gulf with the pertinacious Wonckhaus as their agent. The first steamer certainly created a sensation, its band attracting the special attention of the Arabs, who were lavishly entertained on board. Trade was very small at first, but it rapidly assumed large proportions at Basra, being helped considerably by shipments of material for the railway. At the actual outbreak of the Great War, the British Foreign Office was
engaged in negotiations with Germany and also with Turkey, which, so far as the former Power was concerned, would have resulted in her securing a strong, if not a dominating, position at Basra, the destined terminus of the Baghdad Railway.

In Persia itself Germany had also made great efforts during the last two decades to gain influence. Perhaps her most definite success was the opening at the capital of a college staffed by German teachers, to which the Persian Government was induced to contribute a handsome annual grant. German imports, especially in artificial dyes, increased steadily, and her ministers fished assiduously in troubled waters at Teheran.

_Persia immediately before the Great War._—During the seven years which intervened between the signing of the Anglo-Russian Agreement and the outbreak of the Great War, Persia was in a miserable plight. Russia acted as a predatory Power, constantly seizing on, or creating, pretexts for further intervention. Great Britain, anxious to avoid fresh commitments, supported the Swedish gendarmerie and tried to secure capable Governors for South Persia. The Regent only returned to Persia to arrange the coronation of the young Shah, which took place in July 1914, and then again left for Europe. The National Assembly was not summoned until after war had broken out, when the Shah, in his speech from the throne, proclaimed the neutrality of Persia. During these seven years the grandees battened on the country as Governors, as landowners who refused to contribute to the revenue, and as "eaters" of pensions. The following is a typical illustration of their mentality. The Governor-General of Khorasan was a very rich old man, with large estates at Nishapur and without an heir. He oppressed every class so mercilessly that I once strongly remonstrated with him and said that I could not understand why a very rich man such as he was should "squeeze" so cruelly. He replied, "Sahib, you are quite right, but I have done this all my life, and if I stopped doing it my occupation and my interest in life would be gone."

Had Russia continued to absorb Northern Persia, by protecting rich landowners and merchants in Khorasan, by collecting the revenue due to the Persian Government from her "subjects" in Azerbaijan, by buying villages in the province of Astrabad for nominal prices through an exercise
of pressure and importing Russian subjects to work them, and in many other nefarious ways, the independence of the country would have been lost within a generation. The collapse of Russia gave Persia one more chance of working out her own salvation.
CHAPTER LXXXV

THE FIRST YEAR OF THE GREAT WAR

Yesterday an attempt was made on the life of the English Consul, Grahame. He himself was only slightly wounded, but an Indian was killed. This was, of course, my work, as terror is the only means left.—Extract from an intercepted letter written by Seiler, a German official.

Position of Persia at the Outbreak of the Great War.—The Persian Government by no means lay on a bed of roses when war broke out. It duly proclaimed its strict neutrality and, like other neutral states, "it was out to spot the winner." Most of the grandees determined to make as much money as they could from one side and, if possible, from both sides. They had, with very few exceptions, no strong feelings for, or against, any of the belligerents. Nor were they influenced to any extent by patriotism. At the same time, the practical occupation by Russia of portions of Northern Persia had aroused the hostility of the masses, and the British suffered from the belligerent association with the Northern Power, although they benefited by the good name for fair dealing and truthfulness which is our priceless heritage in the East. The Shah was but a youth and, even had he wished to do so, was unable to control his ministers, some of whom were octogenarians. Among the townspeople, who, after the grandees, count most, there was some sympathy for the Turks in certain quarters, and "Let the Christians devour one another" was frequently said. There was strong dislike for Russia mingled with fear; and there was a feeling in well-informed circles that Great Britain, the Conqueror of Napoleon, would finally win, but, in general, we suffered much odium through being the allies of the detested Russians.
The military forces of Persia at that time included the Cossack brigade under Russian officers. This body of troops was about 8000 strong, with headquarters at Teheran and detachments at Tabriz, Kazvin, Hamadan, and elsewhere. The Swedish gendarmerie, 7000 strong, had detachments in various parts of Persia and especially in Fars, where it guarded the main route. The Persian Government troops, which had only Persian officers, were of no military value, and had been almost entirely ignored by both sides during the recent fight for power. The "levies" were not only useless but actually a danger to the roads they protected, as they arranged robberies and levied blackmail under the orders of their brigand chiefs. There was no navy.

Turkish and Russian Encroachments in Azerbaijan.—The outbreak of the Great War thus found Persia helpless, with practically no force that could be trusted, no money, and, worse than all, no fighting spirit. Not being able to deny her territory to the belligerents, the north-west and western provinces suffered considerably from the ebb and flow of the Russian and Turkish armies. At first sight it might have seemed unlikely that remote Persia should become a theatre of war, but in fact its geographical position was such that operations were bound to be carried out on Persian soil, unless its inhabitants would fight to preserve neutrality.

At the end of Chapter LXXIX. some account is given of the Perso-Turkish boundary, the vagueness of which had encouraged the Sunni Power to acts of aggression at the expense of the weaker Shias, and it was mentioned that, in October 1914, on the eve of the outbreak of hostilities between Russia and Turkey, the long-outstanding questions were finally settled—on paper. They were now to be submitted to the stern arbitrament of war. A reference to the map will show that an advance through Persian territory gave to either side the advantages of outflanking the enemy and of more open country for operations. The Turks, during the decade preceding the war, had, as mentioned in Chapter LXXXIII., realized the advantage of controlling the various passes in the northern section of the disputed frontier, and had taken full advantage of Persian weakness to move forward their military posts until they were in possession of all the strategical points to the west of Lake Urupnia. In other words, in case
of war between Russia and Turkey, the latter Power could attack not only on the western frontier, but also through the comparatively open country which lies to the west and north of the lake. These Turkish encroachments had been closely watched by the Russian authorities, who anxiously awaited an opportunity for bringing troops into the country. Like their rivals, the Russians took advantage of the weakness of Persia and her internal disorders, and when, in 1909, the constitutional party in Tabriz was hard pressed and suffering from famine, their chance came, as mentioned in Chapter LXXXIII. Supported by Great Britain, who feared a massacre of Europeans by the starving Tabrizis, Russia ordered troops into Azerbaijan, marched them to Tabriz, and put an end to the siege. Russian troops were thenceforth maintained in the country between Julfa, the frontier town on the Aras, and Tabriz, to which city the railway was extended in 1916. Strong consular guards were also posted on the frontier at Khoi and at Urumia; to this the Turkish riposte was the stationing of a detachment at Suj Bulak to the south of the lake.

**Russo-Turkish Operations in Azerbaijan and Transcaucasia.**

At the outbreak of hostilities the Russians, in this section of the war theatre, at first proved the stronger and, assuming the offensive, drove the Turks back on Van. The Kurds, however, from both sides of the frontier, rallied to the Turks in large numbers, mainly attracted by the prospect of loot, and, finding Tabriz undefended, entered it early in January 1915. They then moved northwards along the Julfa road, but only to be routed by a Russian detachment, which re-occupied Tabriz on January 30. At Urumia the fighting was more severe. The Kurdish invaders, driving in front of them the Assyrian\(^1\) Christians of Targawar, assaulted the town, hoping to satisfy their fanaticism by a massacre of its population. But the Christians of Urumia, armed by the Russian Consul and reinforced by a small body of Russians and by their co-religionists of Targawar, drove off the enemy. Unfortunately, owing to the threatening position of affairs in Transcaucasia, the Russians were obliged to draw in their outlying detachments to meet the Turkish assault at Sarikamish, and therefore retired. Some 10,000 Christians sought safety in Russia; the remainder were left for the

\(^{1}\) Vide Vol. I. p. 440.
time being to the tender mercies of Majid-u-Saltana, the Persian Governor, who organized more than one massacre.

In the spring of 1915 Russia reoccupied Urumia and advanced to Van. The Assyrians of Kurdistan joined them, singing their war song:

Forth we go to battle, raging o'er the mountains;
Hearts all yearning forward to Mosul's fertile plains.
Nineveh's fair city summons back her children,
Forth we go to battle in thy name, O Mar Shimun.¹

They were attacked by the Kurds, supported by Turkish troops from Mosul, and, although they defended themselves bravely, were forced back into their mountains. In the autumn they were faced with the alternative of migration or annihilation, as both they and their flocks would have perished in the snows; and, choosing the former, the tribesmen, twenty thousand strong, broke through the Kurds and reached temporary safety with their families and flocks in the vicinity of Urumia. They remained on Persian soil, raiding their enemies the Kurds and doing other service to the Russians, until, in the autumn of 1917, ominous signs of disintegration began to show themselves among their protectors.

When Turkey declared war in the late autumn of 1914 it was generally thought that, owing to the terrible hardships of a winter campaign in the mountainous belt of country which lies between Erzerum and Kars, serious operations would not be undertaken until the spring. But the German taskmasters hoped to weaken Russia in the Polish theatre of war by launching a serious attack on the Caucasus front and thereby forcing her to detach troops to strengthen it. Actually the Russians were unprepared for the entry of Turkey into the war, as the 2nd and 3rd Caucasian Army Corps and the Cavalry Division had been despatched to the main theatre, and there was great difficulty in providing sufficient troops to meet the Turks.

The Russo-Turkish frontier consisted of a great mountain barrier with peaks running up to 11,000 feet, stretching from the Black Sea to Mount Ararat, with Kars and Erzerum, the chief military centres, facing one another one hundred miles

¹ Vide Our Smallest Ally—a Brief Account of the Assyrian Nation in the Great War, by W. A. Wigram, D.D. Mar Shimun is the official title of the Patriarch, who is Priest and Prince.
apart. The Russians possessed the great advantage of a railway line running from Tiflis to Kars and thence to Sarikamish, a strong position near the main range, situated 6720 feet above sea-level. Indeed, the whole plateau is high, Kars lying at 5720 feet, and Erzerum at 6250 feet. The Turks possessed no railway communications at Erzerum and depended mainly on troops and munitions sent by sea from Constantinople to Trebizond, which was connected with Erzerum by a fairly good road having a light railway constructed along the first forty miles. East of Erzerum there were only tracks, not roads.

Under German inspiration a scheme for a winter campaign was drawn up. It was evident that Sarikamish would be held by the main Russian army, for it is the advanced position of Kars, and is situated at the railhead, only a few miles from the frontier, on the main route to Erzerum. The plan was to hold the Russians at Sarikamish with the 11th Turkish Army Corps, and simultaneously to envelop their right flank with the 9th and 10th Army Corps. The scheme was audacious and ambitious and it nearly succeeded. Its failure was due mainly to Russian valour, although the absence of wheeled artillery and the difficulty of bringing up munitions and supplies across the deep snow must have been a severe handicap to the attacking force.

The 11th Corps, which opened the attack, drove the Russians back to Khorosan on the upper reaches of the Aras, some thirty miles south of Sarikamish, and held them there. Meanwhile the 9th and 10th Corps, after successfully struggling through the deep snow at high altitudes, reached the neighbourhood of Sarikamish on Christmas Day, but were unable to enter the town. On their left the 1st Corps attacked and took Ardahan, which was defended by 4000 Russian troops.

Up to this point the Turkish plans had been successful, but the tide now turned. On December 29, probably owing to failure of the transport to bring up munitions and supplies, the 10th Corps began to retreat, and a few days later the 1st Corps was expelled from Ardahan. The unfortunate 9th Corps, which was engaged in desperate fighting near Sarikamish, had its flanks uncovered, and was surrounded and practically annihilated. The 11th Corps fought hard to save the 10th Corps, and in the end both escaped, though
with terrible losses, suffered partly in action and partly during the retreat to Erzerum. The disasters to the Turks were augmented by the Russian attack on the Turkish sea lines of communication, during the course of which a cruiser and a transport were sunk off Sinope, together with several sailing vessels.

Capture of Basra, November 1914.—We must now turn our attention to South-West Persia. Before war was actually declared by Turkey, the Government of India, realizing that it was inevitable, had despatched a brigade to the Bahrein Islands. At the outbreak of hostilities these troops seized the Turkish fort at Fao and pushed up the Shatt-al-Arab to protect the oil refineries of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company on Abadan Island, the importance of which is shown in Chapter XC. Brigadier-General W. S. Delamain, in the second week of November, landed his force on the Turkish bank of the river, at a point just above Abadan, and made good his position after a sharp encounter with the enemy. This advance brigade was soon raised to a division under Major-General Sir Arthur Barrett, who, after defeating the Turks at Sahil, a few miles higher up the Shatt-al-Arab, occupied Basra on November 23. Kurna, situated at the old point of confluence of the Tigris and Euphrates, was taken about a fortnight later, after a stout resistance by the Turks.

Operations in the Vicinity of Ahwaz for the Protection of the Pipe-line.—The great length of the pipe-line running from Maydan-i-Naftun to Ahwaz and Abadan, a distance of 150 miles, made it extremely vulnerable, and, before measures could be completed for its defence, it was breached and fired in several places. Moreover, the neighbouring tribesmen became hostile, partly from fanaticism and partly through German propaganda. The Bakhtiari tribe, with which especially cordial relations had been maintained for many years, was on the whole unfriendly, the arrangement sometimes being that the fathers professed pro-British sympathies while the sons were fighting for the enemy.

After the successful capture of Kurna it was believed that the Turks were demoralized, but this was not the case, and before long it was evident that an attack was being organized on Ahwaz, with the intention of wrecking the pipe-line. A British brigade had been despatched to protect Ahwaz, and, receiving news of hostile troops to the westward,
a reconnaissance in force was sent out, which, to its surprise, found the enemy 12,000 strong, including three regiments of Turkish troops. The British, who retired, were in a very difficult position and were nearly cut off by the pursuing enemy, in spite of many instances of valour. However, they inflicted severe losses, and the enemy showed no desire to attack the main body at Ahwaz, more especially after hearing of the defeat at Shaiba, a few miles west of Basra; at the same time, the considerable Turkish force remained in the neighbourhood and made threatening demonstrations.

In April General Sir John Nixon relieved Sir Arthur Barrett, whose health had broken down, and almost immediately an advance northwards began. It is beyond the scope of this work to discuss at length the wisdom or unwisdom of the movement, but many of its critics have ignored the fact that it was mainly undertaken to prevent a Turkish force from marching across Persia to Afghanistan, as it could have done if we had been content with the capture of Basra, and had left the defence of Persia entirely to Russia. The arrival of even a single brigade with German officers in Afghanistan would have made it impossible for the Amir Habibullah to fulfil the treaty obligations which he observed so loyally throughout the war. Either he would have been deposed and murdered or else he would have been forced by a great wave of feeling to invade India. With our depleted garrisons, hampered by internal trouble in India, it is probable that the Turkish force, supported by the Afghan army and by thousands of brave tribesmen, would have involved us in disaster, more especially as the *Emden* was holding up sea traffic at this period. As it was, the action of Major-General Sir Charles Townshend at historical Ctesiphon, and his gallant and protracted defence of Kut-al-Amara, kept very large enemy forces busy, and it was not until after the fall of Kut that Turkey was able to invade Persia seriously. Valuable time had been lost and the move was then too late for success.

When Sir John Nixon took over the command consisting of two divisions, his front faced north-west towards the enemy base at Baghdad, with his own base at Basra, a brigade at Ahwaz on the right, an advanced detachment at Kurna in the centre, and another detachment at Nakhaila on the
left. Nixon decided to commence operations in the Ahwaz sector. The Twelfth Division was assembled under Major-General Sir George Gorringe, and gradually drove back the enemy to Amara, where strong Turkish reinforcements had assembled. The operations were carried out in considerable heat and included the passage of the Kerkha, at that season 2,50 yards wide and running both fast and deep. Gorringe found himself obliged to deal with the hostile Beni Truf; and, marching down both banks of the river, he destroyed their stronghold. The general result of these operations, which included a series of demonstrations against the Turks, and thereby prevented them from sending reinforcements to their centre, was excellent. The enemy were chased from Persian soil, and when they retreated to Amara that place was already in Townshend's hands, and most of them were captured or dispersed. The local tribes, which had been hostile, made their submission, the pipe-line was repaired, and the output of the valuable oil was resumed.

German Policy in the Middle East.—The object of the Central Powers was to embarrass Great Britain and Russia by creating disturbances in Persia, in Afghanistan, and on the frontiers of India, and to force Persia into the world war on their side. The scheme was sound and, if it had succeeded, the fact that the second independent Islamic state had declared war against Great Britain and Russia would certainly have strengthened the claim that there was a powerful movement of Islam on the side of our enemies. In Asia this claim would have brought them solid advantages, such as an alliance with the Afghans or a rebellion among the fanatical tribes on the north-west frontier of India.

The activity of enemy agents in Persia was remarkable, and documentary proof was obtained of widespread schemes which included plots for organizing mutinies in the Indian Army, rebellions in India, and attacks on British representatives and communities throughout Persia. The plan of operations, so far as Persia was concerned, was twofold. Agents furnished with ample funds, machine-guns, and rifles were to enlist levies and create anarchy throughout the country. They were to rob and drive out the small British and Russian colonies living in Persian towns, murdering their representatives, and seizing the treasuries of the Imperial Bank of Persia and the property of British and Russian firms.
These groups were, furthermore, to form supports to other parties, destined to push through into Afghanistan and Baluchistan, which were furnished with letters written on vellum, signed by the German Foreign Secretary, and addressed to the Amir of Afghanistan and the ruling princes of India. The Germans carried on propaganda which was distinctly anti-Christian and appealed to the fanaticism of Islam, their agents proclaiming the conversion of the German nation and of their monarch to the teachings of Mohamed, and referring to His Majesty as “Haji Wilhelm”! This was the Kaiser who, towards the end of July 1914, wrote in his own hand on a state paper the suggestion that, if the British would condone an Austro-Serbian war, they might perhaps have Persia as their reward.

In May the return of the German and Austrian Ministers to Teheran, accompanied by a considerable number of officers, made the position acute. They arranged for the numerous German and Austrian prisoners who had escaped from confinement in Russia to assemble at the capital, where their legations resembled armed camps, swarming with European and Persian fighting men. Teheran had become a powder magazine, and it is not surprising that the Persians were alarmed at a state of affairs which they were powerless to remedy.

Activities of Wassmuss.—The most successful German agent in Persia was Wassmuss, who, for some years before the war, was Consul at Bushire, and who, by entertaining lavishly and giving presents to the Tangistanis and other neighbouring tribesmen, had created a strong pro-German party. Wassmuss was on leave when the Great War broke out and reappeared at Shuster in January 1915 with a small party of Germans and seditious Indians. He spent money freely on anti-British propaganda and moved southwards towards the country behind Bushire, being seized on the way by a friendly Khan, but unfortunately escaping. Simultaneously the German Consul at Bushire was arrested and deported to India. Wassmuss organized an anti-British confederacy with considerable success, although there was also a strong pro-British party. The hostility of the Tangistanis compelled the British to increase the small garrison of Indian troops which had hitherto sufficed to guard the British colony, the cable, and the wireless stations against attacks by the lawless
tribesmen. The defence of Bushire suffered from the fact that the cable station was at Reshshire, some five or six miles away, and that the Residency and other houses occupied by the British were scattered over a wide area. It was therefore easy for the Tangistanis to raid the island; for so Bushire may be called, the sandy tract, some seven miles square, known as the Mashila, being covered at times by the sea. An attack in July caused the death of two British officers, and the strongly anti-British attitude of the Persian Government resulted in the temporary occupation of Bushire by the British, a step that afforded German propaganda a real chance, which was fully exploited. In September a second attack on Bushire was made, but the British had organized a line of defence and, after some stubborn fighting, drove the enemy across the Mashila under artillery fire, with heavy casualties; on our side a gallant cavalry charge was attended by the loss of the British and Indian leaders.

Wassmuss was also a stormy petrel in Fars. In March he visited Shiraz, where the Governor-General, Mukbar-u-Saltana, who had been educated at Berlin, was strongly pro-German. Equally friendly to the Central Powers were the Swedish and Persian officers of the gendarmerie, who became German agents throughout Fars. To give a concrete instance, Lundberg, a Swedish gendarmerie officer, marched to Bushire, where he took over from the German Consul a large consignment of munitions, destined for the use of German parties in the interior. He escorted the consignment to Shiraz, and thereby enabled the German filibusters to arm their levies and create anarchy in the country whose pay he was drawing.

With such potent allies Wassmuss was able to do great things, and he was certainly aided by the gullibility of the Shirazis. Perhaps an instance of the fatuity of his dupes may be illuminating. A wealthy merchant of Shiraz was induced by Wassmuss to become German Agent and was informed that the Kaiser would send him a message by "wireless." Entering the room where Wassmuss was tapping a telegraph instrument attached to a pole, he was gravely informed that the Kaiser was present in person at the Berlin office and was instructed to kiss the ground thrice. After some more tapping, he was further informed that the Kaiser inquired after his health and that it was usual to offer a large
sum of money in return for the compliment. This being the Persian custom, the dupe presented a Persian bill for the equivalent of £10,000. The Kaiser graciously accepted the money and promised to send his portrait by "wireless"! It actually reached the merchant in two days' time, and he basked in the supposed sunshine of Imperial favour until the tables were turned by my arrival in Shiraz in November 1916, when he complained, "I have eaten dirt."

In the autumn of 1915 the German Consul, Wustrow, continued the anti-British agitation at Shiraz with the aid of the Swedish officers and many Persian officers and men of the gendarmerie. Mukbar-u-Saltana had been recalled through British influence, but Kawam-ul-Mulk, who was appointed acting Governor-General, found his position untenable. Although hereditary Mayor of Shiraz and Chief of the Khamsa tribes, he was unable to fight the well-armed gendarmes, who possessed quick-firing Schneider guns. A symptom of the approaching crisis was the murder in broad daylight of the honorary British Vice-Consul, Nawab Gholam Ali Khan. This was in October. A month later the storm broke, the anti-British party, headed by the German Consul and the gendarmerie, deciding to take action. They first cut the telegraph wires and then placed troops and guns in various commanding positions, the British Consulate being especially regarded as a possible objective. The representatives of the "National Committee for the Protection of Persian Independence," acting under the instructions of the German Consul, then called on Lt.-Colonel W. F. O'Connor, the Consul, and gave him the choice between surrender or a bombardment. O'Connor was compelled to surrender, and the entire British colony was taken under guard to the coast. The ladies, inspired by Mrs. Ferguson, wife of the bank manager, never showed the slightest nervousness, even when insulted by a raging mob at Kazerun, and their behaviour under very trying circumstances was a credit to our race. Finally, the party was divided, the ladies being sent to Bushire, while the men were interned at Ahram, the stronghold of Zair Khidr, a leading Tangistani chief. They were not badly treated, but suffered a great deal in health, until an exchange of prisoners procured their release, after seven months of captivity.

In Fars, meanwhile, Kawam-ul-Mulk was informed that
Persia had declared war on Great Britain. When he ascertained the true facts he defended himself for a while against the gendarmes, but, running short of ammunition, he retired to Lingah, and from that port visited Sir Percy Cox at Bushire. His property was looted to some extent, but was not destroyed. His chief enemy in Shiraz was appointed Governor by the gendarmes, and an Arab chief, whom he had kept under lock and key, was placed at the head of the Khamsa tribes. Thus, in Fars, the year closed in gloom, so far as the British were concerned.

German Bands at Kermanshah, Isfahan, Yezd, and Kerman.—The main route by which German parties entered Persia was through Kermanshah and Hamadan. In April 1915 the Turks began their advance on Kermanshah with a force of levies, and thereby necessitated the withdrawal of the British colony to Hamadan. The German Consul at Kermanshah displayed great energy, enlisting levies and engaging in propaganda. He even attacked and drove back the British and Russian Consuls when they attempted to return to Kermanshah from Hamadan under Persian escort. A still better agent was a merchant named Pugin, who, dressed in Persian garb, with the profession of Islam on his lips, was successful in persuading many of the citizens of Isfahan, including their religious leaders, that the Germans had really been converted to Islam and that the Kaiser was indeed a Haji. Assassination was the favourite weapon. First the Russian Vice-Consul at Isfahan was murdered, and later the British Consul-General, Mr. G. Grahame, was wounded and his Indian orderly killed, as recorded in the heading to this chapter. Isfahan had become so dangerous that in the early autumn the European colony quitted it for Ahwaz. As at Shiraz, the gendarmerie, under the influence of its Swedish officers, became, to all intents and purposes, a German force. At this period strong bands of levies, with a nucleus of Germans and Austrians, visited the chief centres of Western, Central, and Southern Persia. At Yezd they looted the Bank Treasury and drove away the colony. At Kerman a similar programme was carried out. The Governor-General, a Bakhtiari chief, was believed to be pro-Ally; actually he was bent on lining his own pockets and on avoiding all responsibility. There was a noisy party in favour of the enemy, and when a Bengali Babu, masquerading as a Moslem
under the name of Daud Ali Khan, reached Kerman he had an enthusiastic reception. Later on Doctor Zugmayer, a Professor of Natural History, who had been permitted to travel in British Baluchistan in 1911, arrived on the scene with some levies, and, finally, a large party of thirty Germans and Austrians and seventy Persians marched in, most of them bound for Afghanistan and Baluchistan. Joined by the gendarmerie, the enemy forces were strong, and they soon showed their hand by the assassination of Farrukh Shah, a cousin of His Highness the Aga Khan, and by the institution of a reign of terror.

The recall of the gendarmerie detachment to Shiraz by orders from Teheran was made the occasion for a strong popular protest, which developed into something approaching a revolution, the committee at the head of the movement demanding the retention of the gendarmes, the expulsion of British and Russian subjects, and the handing over of the bank and telegraph office. At this time it was too late to think of opposing the committee, especially as the Governor-General would not raise a finger to help; accordingly, the British and Russian colonies quitted Kerman and travelled to safety at Bandar Abbas.

Persia at the Crisis of her Fate.—In the autumn of 1915 a crisis was reached at Teheran. Owing to the danger to which the Allied legations were exposed, Russian troops had been landed at Enzeli in May and despatched to Kazvin, whence they advanced, as the situation grew more menacing, to the Karij River, some twenty-five miles from Teheran. The Persian Foreign Minister was on the side of the enemy, who were determined to repeat the successful coup that had been struck at Constantinople and jockey the Persian Government into war with the Entente, alleging that the Russian troops would storm Teheran and make His Majesty a prisoner. The enemy ministers left the capital with their motley forces and, as they were accompanied by the leader of the “Democrats” and other officials, and had some, at any rate, of the leading members of the Cabinet in their pay, they had every reason to expect that the Shah would follow them.

On November 15 there was a trial of strength between the hostile powers. The Shah, unnerved, piteously sought advice on every side, and at one time had apparently made up his mind to quit the capital and drive to Shah Abdul Azim,
where the ministers of the Central Powers were awaiting him. But the British and Russian Ministers pointed out that by breaking his neutrality and joining the representatives of the Central Powers His Majesty would endanger his throne. Then Farman Farma appeared on the scene and, as a Prince of the Kajar tribe, appealed to the Shah not to wreck the dynasty; and so fervid and so cogent were his arguments that the Shah decided to remain at Teheran. Yet, late at night, he was seized with panic and wished to ride off on horseback to join the Germans. Farman Farma, however, was watching and prevented this act of madness. Shortly afterwards he formed a new ministry, and for some months Persian policy might be described as one of "benevolent neutrality" towards the Entente. There were many rumours of an alliance.

Successful Russian Operations at Hamadan, Kum, and Kashan.—The disappointed enemy ministers retired to Kum, from which centre they conducted a somewhat aimless campaign of raiding until, in December, two Russian columns attacked and defeated their forces near Teheran, on the Hamadan road, and yet again at Kum; and before the end of the year the Russians had occupied Kashan and were threatening Isfahan.

Russo-British Action in Eastern Persia.—One of the dangers to be guarded against was the crossing of enemy missions into Afghanistan or Baluchistan. Attempts were therefore made to watch all such parties coming from the west and to seize them. In Khorasan there was a large colony of Hazaras, many of whom had served in the Indian Army, and a number of these men were re-engaged and gave a good account of themselves. In Sistan, levies were recruited from the Baluchi tribesmen and graziers; and this body, which developed some military qualities, was also utilized in patrolling the Afghan frontier. In the north, Russian Cossacks were employed, the final arrangement being for the Russians to hold the northern section of the line to Kain and the British the southern. Kacha, on the Perso-Baluch frontier, was the chief British base, and posts were established in Sistan, at Dahana Baghi in the Lut, at Neh, and, last of all, at Birjand and the neighbourhood. The feeding of these detachments was a matter of extreme difficulty, but gradually that problem was satisfactorily solved, and the whole frontier, some four hundred miles in length, was eventually patrolled.
The German Mission to Afghanistan.—Persia being a land of huge distances, an important German Mission, of which Captain O. Niedermayer was the moving spirit, was able, by very rapid movements, to cross the Afghan frontier in safety before the cordon was organized. This enterprising officer had spent several weeks at Meshed as my guest in 1913, when he was travelling in Northern Persia, obviously with a view to training himself for special employment during the Great War. The Mission was courteously received at Herat, but gradually became unpopular owing to the conduct of its members, who regarded the Afghans as little better than savages, and openly decried everything they saw, an attitude that was keenly resented. After remaining some weeks at Herat, the party, thirty-four strong, left that city for Kabul, where it was received with every honour. When, however, business was broached, the Amir delayed matters by convening a council representative of all the tribes, in itself a lengthy affair, and followed this up by a series of interminable conferences with the Germans and his own advisers. The Mission gradually realized that without the presence of a force of Turkish troops, there was no hope of winning over the Amir, and its members, in despair, entertained the idea of organizing a coup d’état. His Majesty in the end dismissed them, pointing out that, until a large and fully equipped army reached Kabul from Turkey, it would be unwise for him to break with the Government of India. The Mission, which had outstayed its welcome, ultimately recrossed the frontier in small parties, Niedermayer travelling in woman’s clothes and thus escaping arrest.

A second party of Germans had reached Kain in July, but upon the arrival of some Cossacks had retreated, leaving behind a quantity of arms and ammunition. Farther south, the mission which I have mentioned as being at Kerman made great preparations for crossing the Lut from Khabis. As this involved following a known route, on which alone there was water, the party was met near Deh Salm by a patrol of Indian cavalry, and one of the Germans was captured, while the others made the best of their way back to Kerman. Finally, an attempt was made from Bam to enter into relations with Bahram Khan of Bampur, with the view of organizing raids into British Baluchistan. But the greedy Baluch, after hospitably receiving the enemy mission, consisting of
Dr. Biach, an Austrian archaeologist, and a Prussian warrant officer, arranged for them to be robbed the day after they left him, when Dr. Biach and his companion were glad to escape with their lives. In 1916 the Governor-General of Kerman sent Dr. Biach to Bandar Abbas, where the latter informed me that he had come to Persia with a letter of introduction to me! I honoured this to the extent of expressing a hope to the authorities in India that Dr. Biach would be allowed to pursue his archaeological studies. He was not the man to deal successfully with a cut-throat Baluch Sirdar.

The Position at the Close of 1915.—At the end of 1915 German influence was paramount in Southern Persia, except at the ports. One proof of the seriousness of the situation was the fact that, out of seventeen branches of the Imperial Bank of Persia, no fewer than seven had fallen into enemy hands—those, namely, at Kermanshah, Hamadan, Sultanabad, Isfahan, Shiraz, Yezd, and Kerman. The Germans looted bank treasuries to the extent of £100,000, apart from the damage done to buildings, and in other ways. They also occupied the telegraph offices, and in some cases destroyed the instruments; but relatively they did little harm, as they used the line themselves. At this period it was estimated that there were over 300 Germans and Austrians, with 50 Turks and Indian seditionists, and perhaps one thousand Persian levies, who were formidable only so long as they had no opposition to fear. On the other hand, the position in Northern Persia was comparatively satisfactory. Thanks to a display of force by Russia, followed up by some hard hitting, which dispersed the irregular levies of the enemy powers and their allies, the gendarmerie, a most serious crisis had been successfully handled. It is understood that the two enemy representatives were withdrawn and disgraced; in any case, they did not again appear on the scene.
CHAPTER LXXXVI

1916—a Year of Ebb and Flow

The march of Sir Percy Sykes was very remarkable and well worthy of the traditions of the Indian Army during the last hundred years or more.—LORD CREWE in the House of Lords, February 20, 1917.

The Russo-Turkish Struggle in Western Persia.—Up and down the historical route which leads from Baghdad to the heart of Persia the ebb and flow of battle were very marked in 1916. At first success lay with the Turks, who, shortly after the retreat of the British from Ctesiphon, crossed the Persian border to Kermanshah, which they occupied, and thence pushed forward to the neighbourhood of Hamadan. But they were not left undisturbed; for in March the Russians, who were justly elated at that astounding feat of arms, the capture of Erzerum, advanced in their turn, and drove the enemy as far as Karind. Almost simultaneously with this movement a second Russian column swept the hostile Bakhtiariis out of Isfahan and brought back the British and Russian communities.

In the summer the Turks again advanced. As a result of the capture of Kut troops were released and some 12,000 regular infantry, 4,000 cavalry, and 54 guns, supported by 2,000 levies, were despatched to attack the Russians, who could muster only 6,000 infantry, 6,000 Cossacks, and 19 guns. The Turks were therefore able to drive the weaker force before them, inflicting some loss, mainly through their threefold superiority in artillery. Kermanshah was evacuated, and then Hamadan, the retreat continuing as far as the Sultan Bulak range, which covered Kazvin and threatened a force marching on Teheran. At first the evacuation of Teheran by the British Legation was recommended, but wiser views prevailed, and
eventually only the ladies were sent to Enzeli, whence they returned a few weeks later.

The position, however, was very difficult, as the Russians were unable to spare reinforcements for this minor theatre and mainly confined their help to sending details to replace casualties. The Cossacks, whose thick uniform is most unsuitable for hot weather, suffered severely from sickness and lost a very large number of horses, but it was generally realized that the retreat was not a permanent set-back. It was reported that the German officers with the Turkish Army wished to push on to Teheran. However that may be, until the end of the year there was no change in the situation, the Russians under the Cossack General Baratov maintaining their position and gradually making good their losses.

A Mission to Southern Persia.—After the crisis recorded in the last chapter, the question of the maintenance of law and order was earnestly discussed with the Persian Government, and, early in 1916, it was decided to despatch a mission to South Persia with the object of raising a Persian force, 11,000 strong, to take the place of the gendarmerie, the greater part of which, under the influence of its Swedish officers, had, for all practical purposes, joined the enemy or had dispersed owing to lack of pay. The object of the Mission was to create a force for the restoration of law and order in the interests of the Persian and British Governments. Similarly, in the north, the Cossack Brigade was to be raised to the same establishment. Both forces were to be equipped and maintained for the duration of the war by Great Britain and Russia respectively. A mixed Financial Commission was to consider the question of their eventual maintenance and, when an agreement was reached, Great Britain and Russia guaranteed Persia a monthly subsidy of 200,000 tomans.

I was appointed to command the British Mission, and reaching Delhi in January 1916 I was instructed to organize it. The Foreign Department was in control. I soon learnt that very little would be done to help me and that, owing to German success in driving out the British colonies, little hope was entertained of my being able to recruit a force of Persians, or leave the safety of the coast for the interior. At this period India was squeezed dry of everything. For example, my modest demand for a section of mountain-guns was, at first, met with a discouraging non possumus, which was ultimately
modified to the extent of providing me with two old muzzle-loaders. Machine-guns were not to be had, and I was informed that there was not one in reserve in India. Actually I was despatched with three British and three Indian officers and twenty Indian non-commissioned officers. I was also given an escort of 25 sowars from the Central India Horse. The means placed at my disposal appeared to be inadequate for the task; but I realized that India was drained to supply Mesopotamia, and I also hoped that, if the situation in South Persia proved to be less gloomy than it appeared from Delhi, more would be done to help me.

I reached Bandar Abbas in March, and at an official reception on the beach I was welcomed by my old friend, Daria Begi, Governor-General of the Gulf Ports, who had specially arranged his tour for the purpose. The Vice-Consul (the late Mr. W. R. Howson) and the Deputy-Governor, Shuja Nizam, also welcomed the Mission, and rendered it throughout the most cordial and valuable assistance.

Recruiting at Bandar Abbas.—Recruiting operations were started without delay, and fifty-three men were passed and enlisted within twenty-four hours of our arrival. There was, however, a strong anti-British party, and the next day all the recruits wished to return their advance of pay, on the ground that they did not wish to fight the Germans. That difficulty was surmounted and, thanks to the signal capacity of Captain R. C. Ruck, who handled his men with infinite tact and patience, and finally gained their respect and affection, regular drilling was started and a camp pitched at Naiband, three miles to the east of Bandar Abbas. Twelve days after our landing the Persian flag was hoisted over the camp with ceremony; the force never looked back, and before very long it was able to guard Bandar Abbas and an important section of the caravan route.

Assassinations at Lingah and Panjgur and the fall of Kut-al-Amara.—To give some idea of the difficulties which had to be faced within a few weeks of our arrival at Bandar Abbas, it is desirable to recall two minor misfortunes and a great catastrophe. At Lingah the Governor maintained a guard of Tangistanis who, at German instigation, murdered the old and highly respected British Agent and his two brothers. They then surprised the little guard of seven Indian sepoys, killing two and wounding four, and finally disappeared from
the scene. Just about this date, in neighbouring Makran, two young British officers, Lieutenants Hughes and Horst, were assassinated—again at the instigation of German agents—by a Rind, who was himself killed by their sepoys. Finally, at the end of April, came the fall of Kut-al-Amara, which, although not a disgrace, was the gravest disaster ever suffered by British arms in Asia. The combined effect of the events of this terrible month of April was almost overwhelming; but British phlegm saved the situation, and, although there was undoubtedly strong fanatical feeling in British Makran, which gave legitimate reason for deep anxiety, no wave of fanaticism, such as many feared, swept across Persia to India.

_A Mission to Makran._—In 1916 a Mission was despatched, under the leadership of Major T. H. Keyes of the Political Department, to counter German intrigues on the Baluchistan frontier, and to prevent raids by a peaceful settlement of disputes. Starting from Gwadur in April, with an escort consisting of a company of infantry and some levies, Major Keyes slowly traversed Persian Baluchistan, meeting General Dyer near Kwash. He then marched south, visiting Bahram Khan at Bampur, and finally reached Chahbar in February 1917. No actual opposition was encountered, owing in part to the excellence of the political arrangements, and in part to Dyer's operations. One Sirdar wrote to say that he had dyed his nails and hair with henna with a view to seeking martyrdom, but in his next letter stated that he was busily engaged in collecting fuel and grass for the Mission! The results of the Mission were satisfactory, relations being opened up with all the leading chiefs. In view of the fact that Persian Baluchistan had broken its connection with Persia for many years, and that Bahram Khan, an upstart adventurer, had recently led a raid across the British border, Keyes deserved much credit.

_The Eastern Persian Cordon, 1916._—In the previous chapter I referred to the arrangements hastily organized in order to prevent German parties from crossing the Afghan frontier. At the beginning of 1916 Brigadier-General R. E. Dyer was appointed to command this line. Raids on the long and vulnerable lines of communication were being constantly made by the Sarhad tribesmen, notably the Damanis and Ismailzais, who destroyed rest-houses and looted convoys and camels. Dyer saw the importance of dealing
immediately with these brigands. He attacked them with a small column, defeated them, and occupied Kwash, which, although merely a hamlet, is the capital of Sarhad. The Damanis and Ismailzais submitted, but broke the agreements they had sealed, and Dyer again attacked them, capturing thousands of their sheep and inflicting severe casualties, with the result that the brigand tribesmen finally yielded and took service as levies. The cordon now included a squadron of Indian cavalry stationed at Birjand and a second squadron at Dahana Baghi, supplemented by 1200 levies with headquarters in Sistan, but covering the frontier from Rum in the Kain district to Gurg in the Lut.

The Success of Kawam-ul-Mulk and his Sudden Death.— In the previous chapter it was stated that the refugee Kawam had visited Sir Percy Cox at Bushire. He had asked for some rifles and ammunition and for pecuniary aid, and had expressed his firm conviction that, if helped in this manner, he could defeat the rebel gendarmerie and his other enemies and restore the authority of the Persian Government in Fars. Nor did he exaggerate. His representative took over the rifles and ammunition which I had brought from India. Cox supplied some guns captured from the Turks, to be worked by a few Indian gunners, and Kawam, moving inland from Lingah, received the submission of the headmen of the Arab tribes, who were much frightened upon hearing exaggerated accounts of the artillery, arms, and ammunition. With their assistance he decisively defeated the gendarmes at Lar, and then marched in triumph towards Shiraz. He was not destined to reach his home, for his horse fell when galloping after a gazelle, and his neck was broken. But his task was practically accomplished, and his son, a man of twenty-eight, was able to enter Shiraz and punish the chief instigators of the rising.

The Political Situation in the Kerman Province.— After studying the general position at Bandar Abbas, my wish was to push up to Kerman, as the German bands in Southern Persia would thus be checkmated. I should also have reached one of the chief cities of Persia, where I had lived as Consul for many years, and could reasonably expect to

3 The Deputy-Governor of Bandar Abbas informed me that, even in a confidential letter to his son, he had mentioned that there were two complete batteries of artillery and 30,000 rifles, each provided with 500 rounds of ammunition. Great is the power of propaganda in Persia!
recruit a Kerman brigade through my long-standing connection with the province.

My friend Farman Farma had not remained long in office, but his successor, Sipahdar, had formed a Cabinet which maintained a benevolent neutrality, and this helped matters considerably. At Kerman the German mission had tried to win over Sirdar Zafar, the Bakhtiari Governor-General, but had not entirely succeeded. It had also failed with Sirdar Nusrat, the Commander of the Kerman army and the leading local notable. At the same time the Bakhtiari Governor-General was squeezing the country outrageously and Sirdar Nusrat was on bad terms with him. The enemy knew that Sirdar Nusrat, a grandson of Vakil-ul-Mulk, was a friend of the British, and so, a few days after my landing at Bandar Abbas, they attempted to assassinate him by means of a bomb, but the explosion only killed some of his followers and, under cover of the dust it raised, the Sirdar escaped with a slight wound.

The Flight of the German Mission from Kerman and its Arrest in Fars.—The tide now began to turn against the enemy. The success of Kawam, the repulse of the party which had crossed the Lut to Deh Salm, the failure of Biach at Bampur, the bad behaviour of the Germans and Austrians at Kerman, and the landing of my Mission at Bandar Abbas, tended to make the German position at Kerman untenable. A few shots fired across the Fort by a clever partisan of Sirdar Nusrat roused the Bakhtiaris to action, and vacillating Sirdar Zafar had his hand forced by the tribesmen, who disarmed the gendarmes and the "Democrats." Zugmayer's party at Bam fled via Baft to Sirjan, but was attacked on the way by Bakhtiaris, who captured Dr. Biach and some money. The Kerman party also made for Sirjan, and suffered a few casualties at the hands of Buchakchi tribesmen in the service of Sirdar Nusrat. Kawam, at my suggestion, then took a hand in the game, and was able to capture practically the entire party, consisting of nearly sixty Germans and Austrians, a dozen Turks, and a few Afghans, who subsequently proved to be deserters from the Indian Army. They were imprisoned in the fort at Shiraz, where I met them some seven months later.

The March to Kerman, 280 Miles.—Before I had been very

1 Ten Thousand Miles in Persia, p. 70.
long at Bandar Abbas, I found that my many Persian friends at Kerman, whose interests lay in the restoration of law and order, would welcome me. The chiefs of the two nomad tribes of the province also sent letters to me, followed by deputations headed by their relations. I informed the authorities of the facts and reported that, if given an escort of a section of mountain-guns, a squadron of cavalry, and 500 infantry, I hoped to be able to march to Kerman, to drive out the Germans, to restore the authority of the Persian Government in the province, and to continue the work of raising the South Persia Rifles, as the force was ultimately called. My proposal was accepted, and later in April a section of the 33rd Mountain Battery under Major R. S. Rothwell, a squadron of the 15th Lancers under the late Major S. M. Bruce, and a wing of the 124th Baluchis under Lieut.-Colonel E. F. Twigg, reached Bandar Abbas from Bushire. This tiny force may perhaps claim the "record" for distance marched during the Great War, most of the troops covering 5000 miles during the next three years. It also won considerable success in the field, as the sequel will show. Unfortunately it was a mere detachment, no supply and transport or field treasure—chest officers being sent, and consequently we were overburdened with detailed work of this kind, in addition to our own heavy duties.

Thanks to Sirdar Nusrat and the Deputy-Governor of Bandar Abbas, supplies were gradually laid out at the various stages. Agents also were sent along the line of march to explain to the villagers that we were coming as their friends and hoped to reopen the route to trade. Our chief anxiety was the question of transport. Bandar Abbas becomes so hot by the end of March that no caravan owners from the interior will bring their camels to the Garmisir, knowing that they would fall sick or die. The local camels are rarely used for transporting anything except grain, and goods are carried by donkeys, which move very slowly and cannot manage big boxes. The owners of both classes of transport had no idea of marching together at fixed hours, and looked upon anything in the shape of an order with marked disfavour. Had much pressure been exerted, they would have attempted to decamp with their animals, and, had we been attacked, they would certainly have tried the same tactics. As previously mentioned, we had not a single officer to engage
or manage the transport, but fortunately we had the services of a capable telegraph official; the Kerman Bank manager also helped us in drawing up the necessary contracts. Owing to the scarcity of water at some of the stages and the difficulty of managing a large amount of untrained transport—for we had to carry equipment for starting the Kerman brigade, spare rations, forage, and munitions—we decided to proceed in two echelons, the first of which left Bandar Abbas on May 17. The march fell into two sections, that through the Garmisir consisting of eight intensely hot and malarious stages, while in the section that followed the cold nights of the interior brought out the fever. The villagers received us in a friendly spirit and showed no disposition to take to the hills. Indeed, one headman remarked to me in confidence that no Persian troops on the march would have found a living or movable thing left in the villages; and this had, indeed, been my own former experience. At first the heat was very trying during the day, with an average maximum of 110° F., but shelter was generally obtainable, and the nights were cool. The crux of the march was the dreaded Tang-i-Zindan or "Prison Defile," down which sudden floods have swept away many a caravan. Fortune, however, was kind, and the column passed through the defile and descended into the Pur-Ahmadi district, which was governed by a noted robber-headman, who provided supplies, albeit far from cheerfully. Two days later we entered the Kerman province at Dolatabad, where we were received by some old friends, who brought me a letter of welcome signed by the leading inhabitants of the city.

From this point our anxieties were at an end for the time being, and our march was a triumphal progress, friends joining us at every stage, while the climate of the bracing uplands, though treacherous, was delicious after the enervating heat of the coast. We passed the beautiful Lalazar range, crossing into the Bardisir district by the Gudar-i-Kafanu, at an elevation exceeding 9000 feet, and a few days later the column, welcomed by Sirdar Nusrat and other notables, marched through the Kerman bazar with much pomp and circumstance. Quickly the telegraph-office and the bank resumed work, and once again peace reigned at Kerman, the anarchists fleeing or hiding. One landowner told me that until the night before our arrival he had kept thirty of his villagers to guard
him, but that he had had no watchman since the column marched in.

The arrival of British troops at Kerman and the genuine welcome they received was an event of some importance. In the first place, it marked the determination of the British Government to help Persia to restore law and order in the south, while it must have materially strengthened the hands of the British Minister at Teheran. More important, perhaps, was the effect in Afghanistan and Baluchistan, where events in Persia react on the political point of view more than is generally realized. It is not too much to state that the restoration of law and order in Southern Persia by British troops improved the situation in Afghanistan and on the North-west frontier of India, and allowed reinforcements to be sent abroad, which would otherwise have had to be retained in India for home defence.

_The March to Yezd, 220 Miles._ — Very soon after the arrival of the British force at Kerman it was decided that we should march to Shiraz. There were two routes. The one I favoured lay along the telegraph line to Yezd, and thence across to the Isfahan–Shiraz line at Dehbid. Moving by this route, I could feel confident that supplies were laid out, and could remain in touch with the authorities. The direct route _via_ Sirjan and Niriz was shorter, but had no telegraph line, and so I could not be sure that supplies were laid out, or were safe if laid out. It was reported also that supplies were scarce along this route. Moreover, it ran through country inhabited by the Arab tribes, the most powerful of which, the Baharlus, had furnished the Germans with levies, and it seemed to be unwise to march across their summer quarters with hired transport, the owners of which would be easily intimidated into desertion. After much discussion between the various authorities, my view finally prevailed.

Lieut.-Colonel G. L. Farran, who had started work immediately after our arrival, was left behind with three other officers to recruit the Kerman brigade, a task in which he was entirely successful. Owing to the friendly attitude of the inhabitants there was less risk than would otherwise have been the case in leaving him without an escort, but his success reflected much credit on himself and his staff.

The column marched on July 28, with Yezd as its immediate objective. The route lay throughout in a wide
valley which I had traversed more than once, and at the two important centres of Bahramabad and Anar there were telegraph-offices with capable Armenian signallers. We sought shade in the orchards during the heat of the day, and admired the care with which the plantations of pistachio trees were tended.

At Anar the inhabitants were expecting a raid by the Baharlus, which actually occurred shortly after the column passed. They begged me to leave them some troops, which was out of the question, but I told them that a Kerman brigade was being recruited and would, in time, help them. I now began to realize that our task would be much heavier than I had anticipated, as conditions were distinctly worse than I had supposed, the Arabs and other tribes raiding incessantly and in bodies many hundred strong.

On August 14 the column reached Yezd, where it was welcomed by the recently returned British colony, and indeed by all classes. Dr. White, of the Church Missionary Society, immediately placed his hospital at my disposal, as did Dr. Carr at Isfahan, and I should indeed be ungrateful if I did not express my sincere appreciation of the great kindness shown to us all by the British colony.

The March on Isfahan, 190 Miles.—The onward movement of the column had to be considered in view of the sudden change in the military situation in Persia, described at the beginning of this chapter. The Russian Commandant at Isfahan, whose appeal was supported by our Consul-General, telegraphed to me to join forces with him. He was threatened by a large body of Bakhtiaris, stiffened by Turkish regulars with German officers and a battery of field artillery. To oppose this force Colonel Bielomestonov had only six hundred Cossacks and two field-guns at Isfahan, with small detachments at Kashan and Kum. The British colony had returned to Isfahan, where there was also a large Armenian population, which would inevitably be massacred if the Turks captured the place, and I was therefore naturally disposed to help in defending the old capital of Persia. Had I not agreed to do so, the Europeans and Armenians might have fled to Yezd along a route infested by merciless bandits, while at Yezd itself supplies had run so low that my column could not have remained there another week. In addition to these reasons, I recognized that if Isfahan were taken my force
at Shiraz would be almost in a trap, as the tribes would attack it in overwhelming numbers, and that it would be a mistake to march into Fars before the safety of Isfahan was assured. It must be remembered that I had no base to fall back upon in case of disaster, and no trained transport, without which a force is cruelly handicapped. These were my views, and after much discussion I received orders to march immediately to Isfahan.

While halting, I heard that some mules purchased at Isfahan and sent to Yezd with some Indian sowars of the consulate escort were being held up at Agda, sixty miles to the north-west of Yezd, by a body of Lashani robbers 150 strong. The squadron of cavalry, supported by a company of infantry, mounted on mules, started off to the rescue. They drove off the Lashanis and brought in the mules, which became of the utmost value as soon as we had organized a trustworthy body of muleteers.

The route we followed lay for the first stage through sand-hills, but otherwise was monotonously level. News was received on the march that the Russians at Isfahan had defeated a body of hostile Bakhtiaris, but that a large force of enemy levies with German artillery had reached Dumbineh, about 80 miles north-west of Isfahan. We were asked to hasten our arrival, and accordingly we made forced marches. We traversed a district where the tracks of large bodies of robbers were everywhere apparent, and heard most gloomy accounts of the entire lack of security on the roads. As was generally the case, caravans took full advantage of our escort. Throughout the march we took notes of the chief robber tribes and their leaders, which proved to be valuable later on.

The Position at Isfahan.—We marched into Isfahan on September 11, and had a most friendly reception from the British, Russian, and Armenian colonies and also from the Persian authorities. We heard that the enemy force had retreated from Dumbineh, the general opinion being that exaggerated reports as to the strength of our column were the cause. The Russians celebrated our arrival by a splendid banquet, at which I was requested to sign a joint telegram with my colleague, conveying our respects to His Majesty the Tsar, through General Baratov. In view of what happened so soon afterwards, I give it in full:
In the ancient city of Isfahan, in the heart of Iran, the Russian force under Commandant Bielmestonov and the British force under General Sykes have now joined hands. In accordance with the long-established Cossack custom of receiving guests with bread and salt, we now welcome the arrival of our dear allies, and to the thunder of the guns the allied forces in Isfahan drink to the health of the august leaders of the allied armies, and to that of General Baratov commanding the allied troops in Persia. Under the influence of this happy meeting and inspired by feelings of camaraderie, General Sykes and myself respectfully request Your Excellency to approach the Commander-in-Chief of the gallant army of the Caucasus with a view to laying at the feet of our beloved Monarchs an expression of our feelings of unbounded loyalty, devotion, and readiness to lay down our lives under the burning sun of Central and Southern Persia in the protection of our common interests.

A few days later this typically Russian message received a gracious reply from the Tsar.

Russians have a genius for hospitality and enjoy sitting at table hour after hour, drinking toast after toast, and watching the wonderful Cossack dances. It was the day on which the British colony had been forced to leave Isfahan in 1915, and the celebration was therefore a double one. The toasts were accompanied by salutes of artillery, which not unnaturally caused a panic in the city. A second banquet was given in our honour by the Governor-General, a son of H.R.H. Zill-u-Sultan. The tables were laid in the historical Chehel Sutun, where, as we feasted, memories could not fail to be evoked of the glorious Safavi dynasty, which at its zenith had been admirable, and at its fall pitiable.

A review of the two columns was held in the "Royal Square," and, in view of the subsequent disintegration of the Russian army, I shall never forget it. As senior officer I was requested to command the parade, and was carefully coached in the lengthy speeches, or rather toasts, which I had to make in Russian. The troops marched past in fine style, the squadron of Bengal cavalry at a gallop, whereas the first Cossack squadron went by at the walk, the second dismounted and fired blank ammunition, and the third galloped past. Altogether there was much fraternizing between the officers, but little between the Cossacks and Indians, the separating gulf being too wide.

We found the state of affairs in the Safavi capital of Persia distinctly interesting. Before the column made its
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appearance, many of the wealthier inhabitants had packed up their portable property, ready to flee at an hour’s notice. The situation was much relieved by the junction of the British and Russian forces, since, although we were but a small column, we had evidently succeeded in checkmating the intended Turkish attack. The position at Isfahan accordingly improved considerably, and we were able to devote our attention to the question of securing the trade routes.

The Russians under their energetic Commandant kept the main route to Teheran more or less safe, but made no attempt to protect the route to Shiraz or that leading across the Bakhtiari hills to Ahwaz. They did their best to attack the robber bands in the district, and not without success. Had the Cossacks been withdrawn, the Persians had nothing to take their place. A force of Persian Cossacks was being raised under Russian instructors, but the recruits were not good material and, in any case, would require much training before being fit to take the field. The Russians stood no nonsense from the Bakhtiaris and confiscated all the property belonging to the enemy leaders, among whom was Bibi Mariam, sister of Sirdar Zafar, who aspired to play a leading rôle. They generally sold or retained carpets, furniture, horses, money or jewelry, while houses and land they placed in a fund to be paid into a department termed the “Crown Property of H.M. The Tsar” — a very questionable proceeding. The Cossacks, when patrolling, invariably took what they required without payment, which is, of course, the Persian custom, but opens the way to serious abuses.

No section of the community welcomed us more warmly than the Armenians. Their head, the Archimandrite, informed me that they were in an agony of suspense when the Turkish advance on Isfahan was known, and that the Patriarch had appealed to the Grand Duke Nicolas, who had replied that the safety of the community mainly depended on my column marching to Isfahan.

The Opening of the Ahwaz Route.—During the presence of the column at Isfahan, the question of reopening the route to Ahwaz was brought up. The longer section of the Lynch route, as it is also termed, runs across Bakhtiari territory and was reasonably safe. Accordingly, some 16,000 loads had been brought as far as Kawa Rukh, the last stage under
Bakhtiari rule, and there dumped, as the remaining section of fifty miles to Isfahan was practically closed by Jafar Kuli, a leading brigand. The merchants of Isfahan were suffering severely, for not only was their money tied up, but their goods had been lying for months in the open, and, in many cases, were deteriorating. It was clear that the Russians would do nothing in the matter, and it therefore seemed desirable to see what the column could do in the interests of British and Persian trade. A strong detachment of all arms accordingly marched to Kawa Rukh with 3000 unloaded camels and mules. The road lay mainly across the level open valley of the Zenda Rud, but a very difficult pass led to Kawa Rukh itself. The unwieldy caravan was loaded up early the next morning and started back. The escort, after clearing the pass, found that Jafar Kuli was in force and ready to fight in some low hills at the foot of the pass. Sending on the caravan under a guard, the escort attacked the robbers, who were about 300 strong, but scattered about in low hills, so that they offered unsatisfactory targets. In spite of this they were driven off with perhaps twenty casualties, and the loads were safely brought into Isfahan. Jafar Kuli, whose career was destined to be cut short through British activity, was apparently frightened at the result of the action. At any rate, the remaining loads were brought into Isfahan without molestation, and the route remained safe for some months.

**The Sirjan Episode, August–October 1916.** — When I reached Kerman I found Obaydulla Effendi, the so-called Turkish Ambassador to Afghanistan, and one or two Germans who had escaped from Askabad. Obaydulla, a member of the first Turkish Parliament, knew English and had visited England at the head of a deputation. He professed to be bitterly hostile to the British Government but friendly to individual Englishmen; and our relations were as pleasant as was possible in the circumstances. These enemy subjects were naturally making mischief and, with the approval of the Persian authorities, I decided to send them to India; there were also some Austrians left behind wounded at Sirjan whom it was desirable to evacuate. The difficulty was to provide an escort to the coast. It was out of the question to weaken my little force. Farran was naturally averse to my leaving these prisoners at Kerman, his difficulties being sufficiently great as things were. I explained matters
to India and reported that, although the arrangement was not satisfactory, I was engaging a Persian Prince whom I had known for years who lived at Kerman, to go to Bandar Abbas via Sirjan in charge of these prisoners. Unfortunately, after the arrival of the party at Sirjan, news of the Turkish advance was received, while for several weeks the route to the coast was blocked by the Baharlus. The result was the Prince began to waver, and when Husayn Khan, a Buchakchi chief, proposed to carry off the prisoners, he made no objection and even accompanied the party. Husayn Khan accordingly released the prisoners, taking them to the hills, and then began collecting his tribesmen and some robbers with the idea of attacking the British.

Meanwhile the Indian authorities had despatched a platoon of infantry under a British officer to take charge of the prisoners, a second body of seventy infantry to escort a convoy consisting of arms and ammunition for the Kerman brigade, and a third body to strengthen the original escort. The convoy reached Kerman safely, although followed by a band of Baharlus for some distance, and, strengthened by the addition of a mountain-gun and some gunners, the escort marched out 140 strong to Saidabad, the town of Sirjan. A few days later Major L. C. Wagstaff with the other two united detachments, numbering only fifty effective rifles, marched on Sirjan from Baft. Husayn Khan and Obaydulla fled towards Darab, and a pursuit by the Kerman column failed to overtake them. This ended the first part of the episode.

A fortnight after his flight Husayn Khan suddenly occupied Saidabad with a handful of tribesmen and some of the released prisoners. He was undoubtedly in correspondence with a party of the citizens, who welcomed him and opened fire on the camp of the British force. Wagstaff immediately attacked, although the ground round the town was mostly bare and devoid of cover. But on the south side there were some walls, and, by using the gun, the infantry was able to push forward gradually, and at nightfall to enter the town. Husayn Khan and his enemy allies escaped in the dusk. This, the first feat of arms undertaken by Indian troops in the Kerman province, had a most favourable effect upon the situation, exaggerated reports of the action spreading all over Southern Persia.
The Position in Fars.—After the unfortunate death of Kawam-ul-Mulk his son made good his position at Shiraz and entered into friendly relations with his hereditary enemy, Solat-u-Dola, Chief of the Kashgais, and the most powerful personage in Southern Persia. Farman Farma had reached Isfahan in the summer, with a small force consisting mainly of his personal bodyguard, perhaps two hundred strong, and two Maxims. He was joined by Lt.-Colonel H. Gough, the newly appointed Consul, and by a bank manager and some telegraph officials, charged to reopen their respective offices at Shiraz. His Highness had sent on his representative to take charge at Shiraz. This individual was notoriously corrupt and began to "squeeze" at a time when it was particularly unwise to do so. Meanwhile Solat had met Farman Farma at Kumishah, and had been treated, as he considered, with scant respect, not having received his investiture as Chief of the Kashgais, because he was unwilling to pay the £10,000 which His Highness expected as a "fee." He had therefore suddenly ridden back to Shiraz determined to make trouble. As a result, when Farman Farma reached Dehbid, he was informed that his deputy had been imprisoned, and that if he advanced he would be attacked by the united forces of Solat, Kawam, and the gendarmerie. As there were no supplies at Dehbid, His Highness retreated to Abadeh, where he opened negotiations, the result of which was that he finally won over the majority of his adversaries. So effectually was this accomplished that he again moved forward and reached Shiraz in October, being met by Kawam a day’s march out. Solat, on the other hand, went off to his tribe in sullen mood.

The March to Shiraz, 326 Miles.—Towards the end of October, the Russian position had become much stronger and it was clear that the Turks would not advance any farther into Persia. It was therefore decided to march to Shiraz, where the presence of the column was badly needed. Thanks to the telegraph officials, there was little difficulty about supplies, which had been arranged from Isfahan for part of the way; but the Consul had informed us that there was great scarcity at Shiraz, and we therefore took a quantity of wheat with us.

At Kumishah, the Governor begged us to recover a number of stolen sheep and other property from a robber-
village some miles off the route. It appeared advisable to strike a blow in the interests of law and order, so a forced march was made, the village was surrounded, the brigands were captured, and the sheep recovered. The prisoners, two of whom were the leaders of the band, were handed over to the local authorities, and they, after a while, released them in return for heavy bribes. Such is Persia, alas!

When we crossed into the province of Fars, we were met by an officer and some sowars of the Swedish gendarmerie, and all along the route to Shiraz we inspected posts held by this force. At Yezdikhast, with its village set on an isolated rock shaped like a ship, we found that we were in the centre of the robber-swept zone, the Boir Ahmadi tribesmen raiding with impunity by four passes from the west. As a consequence the route was utterly deserted, and we saw only one caravan during the entire march.

At Abadeh we were met by Mohamed Ali Khan, Kashgai, who claimed to have done great things for the British and clamoured for large sums of money. I mistrusted the man, and in 1918 he fully justified my opinion, for he led the attack on the British. Abadeh is a little town situated 150 miles south of Isfahan, or rather less than half-way to Shiraz, and both from its position and its size became, next to Shiraz, our most important centre in Fars. Its inhabitants, among whom were many Bahais together with a good sprinkling of "Democrats," were never friendly to us.

Northern Fars lies very high, with an average altitude of 6000 feet, the plateau culminating in the Kaoli Kush pass, which exceeds 9000 feet. Farther south is the hamlet of Dehbid, situated at 7500 feet, where an English Inspector of Telegraphs was usually stationed. In the summer it was an important centre for controlling the tribesmen, who camped in their thousands in this area. So far the country had been open and easy, but, on descending from Dehbid, we entered a maze of low hills followed by a series of defiles. We camped near the tomb of Cyrus the Great and then threaded our way through the "Stone Cut" passage along the historical Polvar River. As this was known to be impassable for our wagons, a party marched to the east via Kadirabad and the Tang-i-Kamin, which was finally adopted as the main route.

We halted at Persepolis to rest the column, and also
to give all ranks a chance of seeing its wonderful ruins, and on November 11 we entered Shiraz. We were welcomed with much ceremony by Farman Farma, Kawam-ul-Mulk, and the notables, and had completed a march of one thousand miles through the heart of Persia.

1 A British N.C.O. remarked that whoever said that these monuments belonged to the stone age was undoubtedly right! An Indian complained that it was no show place, but "stones, only stones."
CHAPTER LXXXVII

THE RESTORATION OF ORDER IN SOUTHERN PERSIA, 1917

Shiraz and the Stream of Rukni and that sweet-scented breeze
Disparage not, for 'tis the mole on the cheek of the Seven Climates;
It differs from the water of Khizir which is situated in darkness,
While the source of our water is the Allah ho Akbar.—HAFIZ.

The Origin of the Swedish Gendarmerie.—In 1910 the main Bushire–Shiraz–Isfahan caravan route was closed owing to the constant presence of raiding parties which defied the weakness of the central authority. Shiraz itself was the scene of disgraceful riots, in which Jews were robbed and killed, the disturbances having been organized by Solat-u-Dola with the view of discrediting Kawam-ul-Mulk, who was trying to maintain some kind of order in the city and neighbourhood. The British Government, impelled by the steadily increasing losses to British trade, insisted that a small force of British troops should be sent into the country, with a view to its pacification, in the autumn of 1911, and to the Central India Horse this thankless task was assigned. Meanwhile the British had supported the wish of the Persian Government to create a gendarmerie under Swedish officers, although, in view of the fact that in the north a Cossack brigade trained by Russian officers had been in existence for nearly a generation, it would have been fitting to entrust this task to British officers. The mistake then made cost us very dear.
The Swedish Officers — their Policy and Failure. — The Swedish officers, twenty in number, who reached Persia in August 1911, were confronted by many difficulties. They had no knowledge of the country, the people, or the language; nor were they accustomed to deal with Moslems. The Russian Government, jealous for the Cossack Brigade, regarded the creation of the new force with no friendly eye. The Persian Government, although anxious for its success, was not helpful in any useful way and was most irregular as regards payments. The British Legation, on the contrary, helped and supported the force with advances of money and in many other ways, realizing that, unless it succeeded in restoring order, Persia might well break up into a number of robber-swept provinces.

At first the wrong class of man was enlisted, but gradually better men joined and steady progress was effected. The Swedes drilled their men well but, off parade, saw little of them. They allowed the Persian officers to pay them, which resulted in much embezzlement. They considered themselves to be mainly instructors, but, outside these limits, achieved success in the North, dealing admirably with the Bakhtiaris in Teheran, as mentioned in Chapter LXXXIV., thereby raising the prestige of the force considerably.

In Fars they were not successful. They did not realize that they were confronted with a very serious problem. Colonel Hjalmarsen proved this by only asking for 1500 men to be posted on the route and 1800 to form a mobile column, whereas twice that force was needed. Their officers, too, tried to use their men in the field before they were fully trained, with disastrous results, the actual position being defined in an encounter with Kashgais near Shiraz, when the gendarmes behaved badly, surrendered without striking a blow, and were disarmed.

The fundamental error of the Swedes was that they built posts, large and small, along the route to be protected, and garrisoned them with large or small bodies of troops. The smallest posts, situated about four or five miles apart, being held by only eight men, offered a tempting bait to the tribesmen, who frequently captured them and seized the coveted rifles without loss. The men, who were never moved to the large centres for training, had little idea of discipline. They blackmailed the caravans (when any passed) and were very
little better than the local levies whom they superseded, though they cost the Persian Government large sums of money. It cannot be too clearly understood that the only method of keeping a route safe in Persia is to surprise the raiding tribes with well-trained mobile columns. Curiously enough, Persians considered that this method was hardly “cricket,” and Farman Farma often expressed his opinion that we ought not to punish tribesmen except when meeting or following up raiding bands. Needless to say, as the distances were great and the raiders extremely mobile, we should have worn out men and horses without achieving any satisfactory results, had we merely proceeded to the scene of a robbery and followed up the tracks; whereas, as soon as the tribesmen, and more especially their chiefs, realised that raiding, sooner or later, resulted in receiving severe punishment, the game became less attractive.

_Taking over the Gendarmerie, November 1916._—When the column reached Shiraz, the gendarmerie force, numbering 3000, was spread from the borders of Fars to Shiraz and from that centre to Kazerun, a total distance of 300 miles. There were no Swedish officers in charge. The problem that lay before me was one of extreme difficulty. To begin with, I had been starved as regards officers and equipment. Actually, apart from the column itself, which could not spare an officer except on an emergency, I had my staff officer and a Political Assistant to administer the column and the two growing forces at Kerman and Bandar Abbas, besides organizing the force in Fars with the assistance of two temporary officers, who had preceded the column to Shiraz. The Persian Government at that time was not unfriendly, but it had not agreed to my taking over the force, and consequently Farman Farma could not authorize me to do so. Many of the Persian officers were pro-German. They had seized O’Connor and the British colony only a year previously, had subsequently driven Kawam from Shiraz, and had been a

1 The following quotation from the report of the Mesopotamia Commission bears out my point:—“Major-General Maurice being asked: ‘Have you heard suggestions that General Sykes is being starved as regards officers and equipment?’ replied: ‘Yes; I hope things are satisfactory now, but there is no question he was starved, and it came to our notice and we pressed the India Office very strongly.’” I would state that the India Office supported me in every way throughout, and that when I was placed under the Commander-in-Chief in India instead of under the Foreign Department, matters speedily improved. At the same time I was severely handicapped by the dual control exercised by the Government of India and the Legation at Teheran. It is laid down that “No man can serve two masters,” but I had sometimes to try and serve three.
law unto themselves. They had also, at the beginning of the year, drawn up and signed an agreement with Solat in the following terms:

1. In every matter that concerns Persia, and especially in the war against the Russians and the English, we promise mutual aid and support.
2. At the right time we and our party will rise and fight the two states.
3. Our friends and enemies will be common to both parties.

To take over and administer the gendarmerie seemed to be beyond my power without an adequate staff; it must also be recollected that, if they refused to be taken over, they possessed field-guns, machine-guns, and considerable numbers. On the other hand, if I did not take them over and pay them, they would speedily break up and join our enemies or, at best, become mere brigands and rob the supplies on which the life of Shiraz depended. Another serious difficulty was that the caravan route could not be left unguarded while the units were being reorganized and trained; and, finally, owing to the scarcity of foodstuffs, I had to buy wheat outside Fars, a cruel commitment in addition to my other difficulties. However, the boldest course is frequently the wisest and, accordingly, the day after our arrival, I assembled the Persian officers and explained to them that they were being absorbed into the South Persia Rifles, a force that was being raised for the Shah’s Government and was Persian in its allegiance. So far as I could hear, the majority, both officers and men, were attracted by the prospects of regular pay and realized that we were acting in the interests of Persia; but a large minority, including the most active elements, was hostile to the change, as promising to put an end to the anarchy from which they had profited.

To an energetic Canadian I entrusted the reorganization of the gendarmerie, with the idea of converting it into the Fars Brigade. At first our efforts were concentrated on paying, rationing, and clothing the men, who were in rags and half-starved. On our march across Fars we had gone into matters at each centre, and were able to deal with the situation in that section, so far as the bare necessities were concerned, while a British officer was despatched to Kazerun to ascertain its needs.

The Question of Communications.—As a help to understanding the actual condition of Southern Persia, it is desirable to
give a description of the state of its communications. These were merely tracks made by the caravan animals, the sole "engineers" in Persia. Wheeled traffic was possible only in a few sections, and even there at the risk of constant breakdowns. From Bandar Abbas there are two main routes running inland, that by the Tang-i-Zindan, which we followed in the march to Kerman, and another farther to the west, by the Tang-i-Zagh and Gakum (Tarum) to Sirjan. The latter route was almost without supplies, mainly because the Arab tribesmen had carried off the live-stock of the villagers, who had in some cases deserted their homes; but it was safer in respect of floods, and Sirjan was the best point to serve as our "Clapham Junction." Except in a sandy area just behind Bandar Abbas, the Tang-i-Zagh and a few other sections, the country was suitable for cars. Two of these indispensable means of rapid transport reached us from Quetta, having been driven across the desert for hundreds of miles to Bam and so to Kerman—a feat of which the drivers might well be proud. We then gradually prepared routes practicable for cars in every direction. From Kerman we opened up to Yezd, Bam, and Sirjan, to the lastmentioned centre over a difficult pass. From Sirjan we made the road passable southwards to Hajibad, about twenty-five miles north of the Tang-i-Zagh, and westwards to Niriz, where there was a very difficult pass, with a descent of 1200 feet, which Subadar-Major Ali Dost, an officer of a Pioneer regiment, prepared for the cars in the most wonderful manner. Finally, from Shiraz, roads were opened to Niriz, to Khanah Zinian on the Bushire route, and northwards to Isfahan. On this northern section, by means of a causeway, we avoided the construction of two bridges over the Polvar River. Motoring in Persia involved many risks, especially at the outset, but we opened up altogether more than 1000 miles of main routes, and nothing that we did appealed so much to the peasants and caravan owners. The Khans, too, were longing for the day when they could buy cars and visit their estates in comfort. Unfortunately the Tang-i-Zagh, which may be regarded as the neck of the bottle, was never pierced. Labour companies and skilled blasters were not available until the autumn of 1918, and after the armistice the work was stopped. The crux consisted of some 400 feet of blasting, the rest of the work being well within the capacity of Persian labourers working under European engineers. We made a metalled road only across the six miles
of sand near the coast, and elsewhere we cut tracks over
passes, blew up rocks, cleared away stones and built or
mended bridges; but we did not construct roads properly
so called, the cost of which would have been colossal, with
lack of material, absence of water for binding, and scarcity
of labour and supplies. Touring cars ran easily over the
hard surface, but motor lorries would soon have made deep
ruts. In other words, the routes in Southern Persia were
suitable for a certain amount of light motor traffic, but not
for the heavier motor lorries.

*The Rebellion at Kazerun.*—We had hardly taken over the
gendarmerie and the British officer had only just returned
from Kazerun when we were confronted with a most difficult
situation. In the first place, we obtained proofs of a wide-
spread plot engineered against us by Solat, together with
many officers of the gendarmerie and Kawam’s chief officer.
We arrested the three Persian officers, who were ringleaders,
and the Consul induced Kawam to dismiss his dangerous
adviser; but the plot was not scotched.

Some years previously Nasir Diwan, a headman of
Kazerun, had led a rising against the gendarmerie. On the
present occasion this individual, instigated by Solat, as we
afterwards ascertained, treacherously attacked the garrison of
the South Persia Rifles, which he stripped and turned out.
At the same time he seized and imprisoned the Governor.
He was in constant communication with the rebel tribesmen
who lived behind Bushire, a fact which made the position
distinctly serious. At first the outbreak appeared to be an
incident without much political importance, and it was hoped
that prompt action might save the situation; indeed, the
extent of the rebellion was not grasped until fresh facts came
to light.

Between Shiraz and Kazerun there are two most diffi-
cult passes. That of the “Old Woman” is crossed at an
elevation of 7400 feet, and there is a descent of 3000 feet in
four miles, and then the “Pass of the Daughter,” which is
even steeper, has to be ascended. The winter had set in and
some snow had fallen. It was decided to send out a force,
consisting of the bulk of the column and a detachment of the
South Persia Rifles, to push through to Kazerun if there was
no opposition, but to act with caution if the thickly wooded
pass were held in force. I had crossed this section of the
country twice and knew that, with the tiny force available, it was impossible to force it, if the mountaineers had joined Nasir Diwan. Actually the opposition was stronger than was anticipated, the range being held by hundreds of riflemen, and most fortunately the advance was held up before the column became involved in the hills. It was soon realized that a large force was needed to deal with the situation, and so, after some skirmishing, in which there were a few casualties on both sides, orders were given to withdraw to Shiraz. This was the only occasion on which the column was unsuccessful during its three years in Persia.

The result of the rebellion was that the South Persia Rifles guarded the road to a point a few miles beyond Khanéh Zinian, and no farther, the country between that village and the neighbourhood of Bushire being in rebellion against the Persian Government. Until the Kashgai chief, who was the mainspring of the rebellion, could be defeated and crushed, there was little hope of permanently reopening the caravan route between Bushire and Shiraz.

The German Prisoners.—It has already been mentioned that the Germans and Austrians who fled from Kerman were arrested by Kawam. When Farman Farma reached Shiraz, he found them in the Fort which commanded the palace, and guarded by Kawam’s Arabs, in whom, to put it mildly, he did not repose implicit confidence. His Highness was determined that we should assume responsibility for the prisoners, and we searched for a house which could be guarded. But we could not find one, and in the end he took them over, after receiving express instructions on the subject from Teheran. Through their servants and by other means the prisoners intrigued with our enemies, and it was finally arranged that they should be taken northwards as far as the boundary of Fars and handed over to the Russians. There was a certain risk involved in breaking up my little force in order to supply an escort strong enough to resist attempts at rescue which would otherwise have been made, but the result of removing the prisoners from Shiraz was excellent.

Among the papers which fell into our hands was a sketch drawn by a German officer, in which the Persian was shown as being descended from a pig, a fox, a hyena, a hare and a vulture; the carrion-eater laid an egg and a Persian was born! Zugmayer’s diary was also a wonder-tale. The
capture of Teheran by the Turks, the entry of Scandinavia into the war on the side of the Central Powers, the repeated defeat of the column by Bakhtiaris and other tribesmen, and finally my capture near Dehbid, were all set down with naive credulity. The document caused us considerable amusement.

The Official Recognition of the South Persia Rifles, March 1917.—The winter passed without any attack from Kazerun, and the position was improved early in January by the receipt of a telegram from Vusugh-u-Dola, the Prime Minister, thanking me for my efforts to restore law and order. On March 21 the South Persia Rifles were officially recognized by the Persian Government. This act naturally helped matters considerably, and Farman Farma, at a reception, made an excellent speech to the assembled Persian officers, pointing out the identity of the interests of the two Powers, and referring to the ancient friendship existing between them.

The Arrival of Reinforcements, April 1917.—I had reported that my position would be very dangerous when the nomads returned to the uplands in the spring, unless my force were strengthened. Troops were despatched, and in April Colonel E. F. Orton and Lieutenant-Colonel G. P. Grant arrived in a car which had made the wonderful journey from Quetta. It had been let down the pass near Niriz, before the route had been made, by the combined effort of an infantry regiment and many strong drag-ropes. Later, the reinforcements marched in. Including a squadron of Burma Mounted Rifles left at Gakum, my command was increased by three squadrons and the 16th Rajputs under Lieut.-Colonel G. R. Vanrenen. The relief experienced can hardly be expressed in words. We had been working for terribly long hours, and yet could not feel that the work was properly done. We also had anxiety about the accounts, which we had no time to supervise adequately. In the end they worked out satisfactorily. The fact that my staff was increased from one to eight, and later to twelve members, and that they were all fully employed from morning to evening, speaks for itself.

The Organization of the South Persia Rifles.—It is impossible to give more than an outline of the organization of the South Persia Rifles. We were bound to give as much pay as the Swedes had settled in the case of the gendarmerie, and there were numerous customs, perquisites, and abuses which required delicate handling. From the start,
the men were paid in the presence of a British officer and, in spite of hostile intrigue, they began to like their officers and to appreciate British fairness. The force was expensive, from the fact that its two headquarters were far inland, and from the dearness of local supplies owing to locusts, drought, and anarchy. Moreover, the exchange was most unfavourable to us. During the decade before the war, a kran was worth fourpence; but, owing to the flooding of Northern Persia with paper roubles, the rise in the value of silver, the curtailment of minting, and the restrictions to trade, it became worth eightpence and thereby doubled our expenditure in Indian currency.

The South Persia Rifles were organized with the view of ultimately attaining to the strength of 11,000 men, but the highest figure actually reached was 8000. The force was divided into brigades in the Fars and Kerman provinces, with a regiment at Bandar Abbas. The Fars brigade had the heavier task, as it had to deal with the very powerful Kashgais, the powerful Arabs and other minor tribes, such as the raiding Lashanis, Chahar Rahis, and Tutakis. To it were therefore given two regiments of cavalry as against one for Kerman, but in both brigades there were to be three battalions of infantry, one mountain battery of four guns, one section of Field Artillery, one Machine-Gun Section, and one Field Company of Engineers. The way in which young British officers and non-commissioned officers set to work, the initiative, patience, and tact they displayed in the face of constant hostility and intrigue, made me feel very proud of my countrymen, who during the Great War built up a force which, in spite of vicissitudes, had made good, and represented a valuable asset to Persia.¹

The Kashgais.—Among the most important questions that confronted us were our relations with the Kashgais, the Khamseh, and other nomad tribes. Chief among them were the Kashgais, who are of Turkoman origin and retain the Turkish language. Nowadays the tribe is about 130,000 strong. The Kashgais move farther than any other tribe in their annual migration from their Kishlak, or winter quarters, to their Yilak, or summer quarters, their winter migration extending to Gelahadar near the Persian Gulf, and their summer movement reaching more than 200 miles northwards to the vicinity of Kumishah where they are in touch with the

¹ The force was disbanded in 1921.
Bakhtiaris. Several of the districts into which Fars is divided were entirely in the hands of the Kashgais, who thereby controlled a population of 100,000 villagers. In theory each family in the tribe provides a fighting man, but actually, owing mainly to supply difficulties, not more than 5000 Kashgais have ever kept the field. They possessed 25,000 Mauser rifles and had large quantities of ammunition when they fought us. The leading tribes are Darashuri, Kashkuli, Farsimadan, Shishbuluki, Safi Khani, and Gallazan Oghri. Their physique is fine, and their bravery was unquestionable.

Our relations with Solat were generally strained. A reference to the Blue Books\(^1\) of the decade before the war will show that he was a typical nomad—treacherous, vindictive, suspicious, avaricious, and in some ways childish. He was opposed to our presence in Fars; for he knew that his position as "Uncrowned King" would be destroyed if we succeeded in restoring the authority of the Persian Government, and that the source of the greater part of his wealth, which he amassed by sending out bands to rob and by blackmailing landowners, would be cut off. He had an invincible repugnance to paying any revenue, although he collected it in full. Consequently he was immensely rich. With him our policy was to be as friendly as possible, and to try by every means to wean him from his habits of robbery and spoliation; above all, to avoid a break with him.

The arrival of reinforcements and the capture of Baghdad had influenced the local situation favourably for the time being. Solat was therefore inclined to come to terms with us and, as we desired nothing better, we arranged to visit him near Khaneh Zinin. He was unwilling to enter Shiraz, for that would oblige him to visit Farman Farma, whom he entirely mistrusted. When we met, he struck me as a fine-looking man of about forty, with a weak chin and distinctly arrogant. For a nomad chief he displayed considerable knowledge of events, and he had clearly profited by his intercourse with English officials and civilians in past years. We discussed various questions, but, in the nomad manner, he declined to make any reply at the first meeting. He occupied a large double-fly tent during the day, but, from fear of assassination, never slept two nights running in the

\(^{1}\) In Persia, No. 4 (1912), there is a most illuminating despatch on the subject from Acting-Consul Knox (No. 333).
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same part of his camp. Finally, after much discussion, he sealed an agreement to the effect that he would be loyal to the Persian Government, that he would pay revenue, and that he would restrain his tribesmen from robbery. We, on our side, agreed, on behalf of Farman Farma, that, if he carried out his part of the agreement, he would continue to be recognized as Ilkhan.

This meeting was satisfactory, as it kept Solat from open hostility to us during the summer of 1917, when we were weak and were busy organizing not only the South Persia Rifles, but also lines of communication, as well as building posts and opening up routes for wheeled traffic. Solat certainly restrained his tribesmen to some extent from robbing on the high roads and left us free to deal with other offending tribes. We realized fully that the agreement was not a final settlement with him, and that he was thoroughly untrustworthy. We were also aware that it was to our interest to avoid hostilities with the Kashgai tribe, perhaps the most powerful in Persia.

The Khamseh Tribes.—Second only in importance to the Kashgais were the Khamseh or "Five" tribes, which graze over a huge area of country to the east of that occupied by the Kashgais. The tribesmen migrate to the vicinity of Bandar Abbas and Lar in the winter, and move northwards to the neighbourhood of Niriz and Dehbid for the summer. The five tribes are termed Arabs, Ainálú, Báhárlu, Báséri, and Nafar. The Arabs, who form more than one half of the tribe, and are subdivided into the two branches of Sheibani and Jabbareh, emigrated originally from Najd and Oman, but the other four divisions are mainly of Turkish descent. The common language is Arabic with a mixture of Persian, Turkish, and Luri. The tribe is 70,000 strong, and can muster 3000 men in the field when required. It is not as well armed as the Kashgai tribe, possessing a variety of arms without much ammunition. The Khamseh are born raiders, and the Baharlus, in particular, have regularly robbed on the routes leading inland from Bandar Abbas and on that running from Kerman to Yezd, as already mentioned in Chapter LXXXVI.

At the time of our arrival at Shiraz, Kawam was about to start on an expedition to restore order in Laristan, Sabah, Fasa, and Kuhistan, of which districts he was governor, in addition to being the chief of the Khamseh. Two hundred
men of the gendarmerie were at Fasa, and, as he wished to be as strong as possible, it was necessary to pay and equip this body without delay and to send it with him. He was most successful in this expedition, punishing with some severity the Baharlus who refused to obey him, and generally restoring order and collecting revenue. Our personal relations with Kawam, whose father and grandfather I had known, were good. We liked him and understood his point of view and found him reasonable. He was also a pleasant shooting companion.

The Change in Persian Policy, June 1917.—The Cabinet of Vusugh-u-Dola, which had continued the policy of "benevolent neutrality" towards Great Britain, fell in June. A new Cabinet, under H.H. Ala-u-Saltana, succeeded it. The Prime Minister was personally friendly to Great Britain, but the Cabinet as a whole was unfriendly. To give a single example, the South Persia Rifles, as we have seen, had been recognized by the Persian Government, but the new Cabinet refused this recognition. This attitude was unwarrantable and added materially to my difficulties.

Operations against Robber Tribes in 1917.—During the training of the South Persia Rifles the duty of attacking the raiding tribes fell mainly on the Indian troops, although good work was done by the Kerman brigade and the Persian garrison at Abadeh. The policy generally followed was to station at important centres garrisons strong enough to punish tribes that were guilty of raiding, and every effort was made to compile a complete and accurate list of all robberies and of the guilty parties. This soon proved that there were certain robber tribes who mainly lived by brigandage, and that other tribes occasionally sent out raiding parties. We determined to concentrate our efforts on the "professionals," hoping, and as it proved rightly, that the "amateurs" would take warning. Without giving some examples, it was impossible to make the tribesmen understand that raiding and looting must cease. The gendarmerie had, generally speaking, been an immobile force, unable to attack at a distance from the road. Moreover, robbing was the custom of the country and the nomads were crusted old Tories, resenting the idea of a new order. Persia had been brought to misery and poverty by the insecurity of life and property, and the nomads were the perpetrators of outrage after outrage.
which had materially reduced the population in numbers and wealth. To give an instance, when I first knew Sirjan about twenty years ago, some thousands of good camels were owned in the district, but all were looted, and during the Great War not even ten remained; the sheep, too, were only one-fifth of the former number. The same process had been going on almost everywhere in Southern Persia, since, after the death of Nasir-u-Din, the nomad tribes began to lose all fear of the Government. Were this process to be continued for a decade or two, the Persian villager, a patient, successful farmer, the backbone of the country, would tend to disappear, and the whole land would be given over to the flocks of the tribesmen. In Fars the Governor-General had been powerless to resist the Kashgais under Solat, and, year by year, the power for evil of this chief increased, while his imitators multiplied. The landowners received demands for money and supplies, and, if they did not submit to this blackmail, their villages were looted. A few of the Persian grandees realized these facts, but the Government, during the many years I had known Persia, had not attempted to deal with this difficult but fundamental problem.¹

Among the professional robbers were the Kurshulis, who had broken away from the Kashgais, and who, instead of travelling from the lowlands to the uplands according to the season, moved only a few miles. Their headman had sent out a party which raided 600 sheep from the vicinity of Dehbid, and he refused to restore them. Probably the raid was intended as a challenge to the garrison of Indian troops; for the nomads were then contemptuous of the fighting qualities of the “thin-legged” Indians. To give the salutary lesson, a march of over thirty miles was made from Dehbid—the distance being much greater than had been anticipated. The troops found the enemy expecting them, and, although tired out and hungry, fought an action of several hours’ duration and attacked the Kurshuli fort over the open plain. The fort was held until the advancing troops arrived within three hundred yards, whereupon, overawed by the fixing of bayonets, the tribesmen fled to the hills, suffering heavily in their retreat from the fire of parties placed on the flanks for the purpose. This proved a severe lesson,

¹ In Persia, No. 1 (1913), enclosure in No. 291, there is an excellent report on the lawless conditions prevailing in Fars in 1912.
for the Kurshulis lost twenty-three killed and many wounded, against one killed and two wounded on the British side. The capture of 200 cattle was also a sufficient guarantee that the 600 sheep would be restored, as they ultimately were. But this feat of arms, which brought much credit to Lieut.-Colonel V. B. P. Williams and his officers and men, produced a still greater moral effect, inasmuch as the distance marched, the small size of the column, and the strong position of the Kurshulis, who were expecting an attack and had received help from the neighbouring tribes, were all known. From this date a new era commenced, and the caravan road, which had been deserted for perhaps two years, again suddenly became alive with caravans, whose owners, at any rate, appreciated the new order.

In the autumn combined operations were undertaken in conjunction with the Kerman brigade, which, under Farran, was rapidly becoming a useful force. The first tribe singled out for punishment was that of the Lashani, the most notorious robbers in Southern Persia, whose misdeeds I had regularly reported when Consul at Kerman. The Persian Government had instructed the Governor-General to punish the tribe in the spring, but this was beyond his power. In the autumn, the cup of their iniquity overflowed when they attacked a party of Persian officers near Anar. Farran despatched a squadron of his brigade with a mountain-gun to the spot, the column moving off on a march of 150 miles at three hours' notice. Under a gallant young officer, Captain D. N. Carr, the newly trained troops gave a good account of themselves, attacking the robbers, on whom they inflicted many casualties, and recovering much looted property, including a post cart. Subsequently Farran brought up a squadron of the Burma Mounted Rifles, a second squadron of S.P.R. cavalry, a platoon of infantry, and a second gun, and prepared to co-operate with the Fars column.

The scheme of operations was well conceived and reflected much credit on Orton and Grant. Two columns from Fars simultaneously invaded the Lashani country, one from Kawamabad (to the north of Shiraz), and the other from Niriz. The Kawamabad column, under Rothwell, marched eastwards through Arsinjan to Tasht, the first Lashani village, which was found empty, as were other villages on the following day. Fortunately the Lashani
decided to stand at Khoja Jamali, a village in the hills, and our troops were able to inflict some thirty casualties. This column then united with a weaker force under Bruce and marched to Niriz. Although but few casualties were inflicted, the crops were to some extent destroyed, as also were some of the forts, and the Lashanis received a well-merited lesson, which they remembered.

Orton took command of the united columns at Niriz, and marched north into a country which no Persian troops had ever entered. He passed through valleys inhabited by "amateur" robbers, whom he let off with a warning. He also warned the Lab Mohamedi Arabs, who were suspected of raiding, but against whom there were no convincing proofs. At Herat-i-Khurra, the villagers complained bitterly that, whereas until seven years ago the Arabs had camped three or four miles from the villages, they had since gradually crept closer, until they had begun to claim rights on both the water and the land, and had even gone so far as to occupy two small hamlets. This is but a single instance of the general process of encroachment already mentioned. From Herat-i-Khurra, Orton continued his march to Mervas, where he was met by Farran, whose column had rooted out a nest of brigands at Javazin. He afterwards punished robbers in the Shahr-i-Babek district, where he captured an almost impregnable stronghold.

Turning towards home, Orton made a forced march westwards against a section of the Chahar Rahis, who had recently committed several robberies. Williams, with the mounted troops, surprised three villages, in which he inflicted a few casualties, and recovered a large quantity of stolen sheep and other property. The chief fort of the Chahar Rahis was demolished, and the headman, who was captured, gave security that he would not rob again, and was released with a severe warning. These operations constituted definite progress in the restoration of law and order. Hitherto, the robber-tribes had been a law to themselves, and the sight of small columns marching through their rugged hills and dealing out justice with complete success, was bewildering, and most salutary.

For the contingents of South Persia Rifles it was an immense advantage to learn from veterans how to march, how to camp, and how to fight and, but for the Persian
Government, the restoration of order in Southern Persia would have been accomplished rapidly. But, as long as practically every governor is a robber and cares nothing whatever for the welfare of his subjects, the increased security mainly meant larger profits to the governing class, which is sucking the life-blood of Persia. By the end of 1917 robbery on the main road had ceased and security in South Persia was greater than at any period during the previous decade. But alas! this satisfactory state of affairs merely represented the lull before the storm.
CHAPTER LXXXVIII

THE COLLAPSE OF RUSSIA AND GENERAL DUNSTERVILLE'S MISSION

I begin

To treade an endlesse trace, withouten guyde
Or good direction how to enter in,
Or how to issue forth in waies untryde,
In perils strange, in labours long and wide.

The Faerie Queene, Book VI. Canto 1.

The Successful Russian Spring Campaign, 1917.—The saddest year in the annals of Russia opened with encouraging success in Western Persia. The Turks, at the end of 1916, were, as already mentioned, holding Hamadan and facing the Russians at Kazvin. During the winter, however, the position in Mesopotamia entirely changed. Instead of weak, ill-equipped columns, handicapped by exceptionally unfavourable climatic conditions and failing before Kut, we had the pleasant picture of overwhelming forces under the inspiring leadership of General Sir Stanley Maude, who recaptured Kut in February, and thereby brilliantly restored British prestige. In the following month the surrender of Baghdad was hailed as a great victory by our friends and as a "deplorable event" by the enemy.

The success at Kut reacted strongly on the situation in Persia. The position of the Turks in Western Persia became untenable pari passu with the British advance in Mesopotamia, and the Russians were soon pursuing the 13th Corps down the historical route, driving it from centre after centre. On the day Baghdad was occupied, the Turks had evacuated Kermanshah and, after a stout defence of the Paitak pass, they reached Kasr-i-Shirin on March 31, worn out and suffering from hunger, but not wholly demoralized.
Meanwhile the British had tried, but in vain, to cut off the fleeing Turks. A force of two brigades, which was despatched from Baghdad, reached the Jabal Hamrin on March 25, but found the range occupied in great force by the 18th Corps, which did not retire until the 13th Corps was in safety. The Russians then found the way open and, on April 2, a squadron of Cossacks met the British at Kizil Robat, but marched back the same night. There was no question of maintaining contact, but the British helped their allies to establish themselves firmly on the Diala River.

**Disintegration of the Russian Troops in Persia.** — The month of March 1917, which is memorable for the capture of Baghdad, also saw the abdication of the Tsar and the issue of the notorious Army Order¹ No. I., which marked the beginning of the break-up of the Russian Empire. The troops who had fought so stubbornly for more than two years became demoralized and lost to military honour and discipline. In June 1917 I was an unhappy spectator of the new order. The Cossacks at Isfahan, who had been a fine body of troops in the previous year, had ceased to mount guard or to salute their officers, whom they had even turned out of their quarters. Some of them took to highway robbery and others lay about drunk, so long as wine or spirits were procurable. Patrolling ceased and the country round Isfahan was overrun by hordes of robbers.

Before the autumn the Russian troops in Persia were everywhere demoralized, and the position of the officers was pitiable, everything that they stood for having crumbled away. By the terms of the Treaty of Brest-Litovsk, signed on March 3, 1918, it was laid down that Persia should be evacuated by Russian and Turkish troops. In the winter of 1917-18, the movement had already begun. The Russians, before leaving the trenches, sold munitions and equipment to the Turks, and then marched north, plundering and pulling down houses as they went, in order to secure food and fuel. A friend at Tabriz wrote: “The Russian soldiers wandered through here in their thousands, sold their rifles, ammunition, stores, horses, and, in fact, anything that would fetch money. Horses went for a few shillings, but

¹ This order informed the soldiers that, as they possessed political rights equal to those of their officers, they need no longer salute them. It was issued by the Soviet, or Council of Soldiers and Workmen.
fodder has been at such a terribly high price that beasts were dear as gifts.” Western and North-western Persia, indeed, paid a heavy price for the helplessness of its Government. Famine conditions prevailed throughout the country, which had been denuded of supplies and live-

stock.

The Russian Collapse as a Serious Menace to the Indian Empire.—While Russia was fighting on the side of the Allies her army stretched southwards from the European front across the Caucasus and North-west Persia, until, in 1917, its extreme left flank almost touched the right flank of the British army in Mesopotamia. In other words, Russian troops prevented Germany, Austria, or Turkey from approaching the frontiers of India. Before the Great War Germany, in pursuance of her far-reaching scheme of world domination, had obtained control of that potent instrument the Baghdad Railway, and would undoubtedly have carried her influence and commerce to the Persian Gulf; for British statesmen had yielded to her demands. This southern line of advance was barred, for the time being at any rate, by the capture of Baghdad; but the German leaders may well have been content, as they saw almost within their grasp a northern and already completed route, which ran across the Black Sea to Batum, Tiflis, and Baku on the Caspian Sea. Farther east, and in continuation, the Central Asian line passed through Askabad, Merv (the junction for Kushk, within easy striking distance of Herat), and past Bokhara and Samarqand to Tashkent, the administrative centre of the Russian possessions in Central Asia. One hundred and ten thousand German and Austrian prisoners were interned in Russian Turkestan—I saw hundreds of them in Tashkent in 1915—and, when through communication had once been established, it would have been comparatively easy to reorganize these trained veterans into a formidable force and march on Kabul, inviting the Afghans to share the rich plunder of India.

For the British Empire the situation was serious. Amir Habibulla Khan, in face of the strongest pressure, moral and religious, had maintained the neutrality of Afghanistan and had pertinently replied to the leader of the German Mission at Kabul that German armies must appear on the scene before he could venture to repudiate his solemn engagements with Great Britain; and now it seemed at least possible that
enemy divisions might actually arrive on the frontiers of Afghanistan and overwhelm our ally.

Armenia, Georgia, and the Republic of Azerbaijan.—When the disintegration of the Russian Empire began, the Franco-British Military Mission in the Caucasus hoped to be able to buttress up the line of defence by means of a Russian army specially detailed to advance southwards, with the assistance of the Armenians of Van, the Assyrians in Urumia, and the Kurdish Chief of the Shekak tribe, who held the intervening mountains. But gradually it was realized that matters had gone too far for this scheme to be successful, and that definite action must be taken by the British if the sound elements were to be kept together. The Armenians and Georgians decided to claim their independence, and a third state came into being under the title of the republic of Azerbaijan, with Baku as its capital.

Origin of the Dunsterville Mission, January 1918.—It was impossible to despatch large bodies of British troops to support the Georgians or Armenians, as Baghdad was some 800 miles distant from Baku, and for most of the way the lines of communication were represented by a mere rough track, or, in parts, a metalled track in bad repair, stretching across famine-stricken North-west Persia. The authorities therefore decided to despatch a Military Mission to Tiflis for the purpose of reorganizing the various sound elements in the country and holding it against the Turks. It was known that the Turks were not showing the vigour that they had displayed during the previous two years, and it was hoped that the Georgians and Armenians would fight valiantly in defence of their homes. But this the Armenians, at any rate, did not do. The revolution had broken the heart of the people; they were ready to be helped, but they were not ready to die for their hearths. The despatch of the Mission was as big a gamble as any in the war. It failed in its original purpose, yet it was justified by results, as will be shown. Its leader was Major-General L. C. Dunsterville, the original of Kipling’s “Stalky,” a man of fine physique, great energy, and commanding personality, who possessed an invaluable knowledge of the Russians and their language and a keen sense of humour.

The Dash across Persia to Enzeli, February 1918.—The original scheme was to select a nucleus of 150 officers and
300 N.C.O.'s, to be chosen from every front, six officers, for instance, being supplied by the South Persia Rifles. Time was of great importance, and accordingly, before the members of his Mission were assembled, Dunsterville started off from Baghdad to cross Persia with an advance party consisting of twelve officers in four touring cars, carrying their effects, rations, ammunition, and specie in thirty-six Ford vans.

The immediate objective of the Mission was Enzeli, the Persian port on the Caspian, and the journey lay through country of extraordinary variety. To Khanikin, 94 miles to the north-east, the route was easy, for it ran over the level plain with its hard clay soil, and the weather was fortunately dry. From Khanikin, on the Persian frontier, to Hamadan is 240 miles. The route steadily rises, range after range being crossed at right angles. After passing Kermanshah it reaches Hamadan at an altitude of 6280 feet. Along this section cars could pass under favourable weather conditions, and in the course of the year the road was lightly metalled. The third section, from Hamadan to Enzeli, is 267 miles, over a metalled road constructed some years ago by a Russian Road Company; but it was much cut up by use in the war. As far as Kazvin it runs across the dry, bare Iranian plateau; then, after rising across the Elburz Range, it descends into the dense forests and muggy atmosphere of malarious Gilan.

So much for the road, but there was the local situation to consider. Between the Persian frontier and Kermanshah there was the risk of attack by Kurds and Sinjabis, but that was slight for a force that would loom large in the eyes of the tribesmen, apart from the fact that robbers usually stay at home in midwinter and that they would have no warning of its passage. There was nothing, then, to prevent the Mission from crossing the country except the Jangalis, who played quite an important part on the Persian stage.

*Kuchik Khan and the Jangalis.—* I first heard of the Jangalis and their leader Kuchik Khan when at Teheran, in 1917. This man had been a servant, and afterwards an agent, of Sipahdar. He took part in the march on Teheran in 1909 as a leader of one hundred men, subsequently returning to Gilan, where he took up the profitable pursuit of seizing wealthy Persians and holding them to ransom. At that time Amin-u-Dola, a leading grandee, was his prisoner. He founded a brotherhood, termed *Ehtahdd-ul-Islam* or "Union
of Islam," the members of which swore to drive all foreign aggressors from the sacred soil of Persia, and not to shave their heads or trim their beards until this task was accomplished. The term Jangali, or "Jungle dweller," was bestowed on the party in contempt. His followers numbered perhaps 3000 men, and his popularity and influence were extraordinary. Kuchik Khan was undoubtedly inspired by patriotic feelings. He would frankly state that he could not lay claim to be the "best of the good," but thought that he might be classed among the "worst of the good." He had no hesitation in branding the Persian Government as being the worst of the bad. He observed his plighted word in dealing with the British, whom he impressed favourably. Kuchik's task was greatly facilitated by the passage of the demoralized Russians, who sold rifles, ammunition, and equipment in return for food. Moreover, he was in touch with the enemy and had the active assistance of a German officer and of several Austrian instructors, well supplied with machine guns. The Persian Government was almost powerless in face of the movement, which, but for action inspired by Great Britain, would probably have overthrown the monarchy.

To return to the adventures of the Mission, beyond Hamadan the road was blocked by the transport of the Russian troops, who were marching along in disorder. They displayed no active hostility towards our officers, but clearly showed that they no longer regarded the British as allies: "We have made peace with Germany and you only want to prolong the war," was their parrot-like refrain. Opposition from Kuchik Khan had been anticipated, but only a few warriors loaded with weapons and ammunition were seen, and the Mission was welcomed at Resht by the British Consul and bank manager. From the capital of Gilan, the road ran round the lagoon to Kazian, the business quarter which has grown up on the opposite side of the lagoon to Enzeli. For twenty miles it passes through dense jungle, but there was no opposition, and the adventurers reached the chief Persian port of the Caspian Sea without incident.

The Retirement to Hamadan.—On this occasion the attempt to reach Baku was doomed to failure. The Russian Bolsheviks at Enzeli, working at the time in cooperation with Kuchik Khan, and undoubtedly influenced by German and Turkish agents, were in complete control of
the port, shipping, telegraphs, and petrol. They were fully aware of the objects of the Mission, and had received orders from the Bolshevik Government at Baku to prevent its onward progress. They hesitated to arrest the Mission, although they were quite strong enough to do so, for they had a wholesome regard for the armoured car; so they asked for Red Guards to be despatched from Baku, who arrived on the scene just too late. The Jangalis were also unready to take risks, and tried to induce the Russians to pull the chestnuts out of the fire. Had the real weakness of the Mission been realized, as it would have been in a few days' time, it would undoubtedly have been attacked both by Red Guards and by Jangalis in overwhelming numbers; and so, under the circumstances, Dunsterville's decision to return to Hamadan and await a better chance was unavoidable. By sheer good fortune he escaped in safety, though with some loss of prestige. It would appear, in the light of the facts as subsequently ascertained, that the Mission was courting almost certain failure and probable disaster by pushing through to Enzelli. Dunsterville, however, felt that the whole enterprise was a gamble, and that, by tackling the difficult situation in person, he might possibly win through.

During the ensuing winter his position was unpleasant. The stigma of failure reacted on the local situation in almost every way, and the deep snow cut off Hamadan from Baghdad. On the other hand, it was unlikely that any hostile action would be undertaken by the Turkish forces far away to the west until the winter passed, and British reinforcements could then be sent up. The internal situation was more serious. Kuchik Khan was regarded as the hero of Persia. He had the support of his countrymen, of the Germans, and of the Turks, and, had he marched on Teheran, the city would have fallen to him like a ripe apple; but he and his followers lacked the necessary qualities, and when, in the end, he decided to march, it was too late.

Generals Baratov and Bicherakov.—When established at Hamadan, Dunsterville was brought into close relations with Generals Baratov and Bicherakov. The former, as already mentioned, had played a big part in keeping the Turks at bay in Persia. At this period he was watching the rapid disintegration of his force, and, as he had no funds, financial assistance was tendered by the British.
on the other hand, was able to keep his command of 1200 men almost intact, entirely through his own personality, but probably he too had many anxious hours. By March the last of Baratov’s men had left, and, as Bicherakov’s Cossacks became restless, their commander was anxious to follow the rest of the army back to Russia. If he had carried out his intention at that time, the Jangalis would almost certainly have occupied Kazvin, while the Turks would probably have joined hands with them for a march on Teheran. Fortunately Dunsterville was able to persuade the gallant Russian to keep his troops in Persia until a British force arrived on the scene, and Bicherakov also agreed that he would attack Kuchik Khan when asked to do so.

Protection of Dunsterville’s Lines of Communication.—Meanwhile General Sir William Marshall was taking action to protect the lines of communication. This was effected in April, by two operations brilliantly executed. The first was an attack on the Sinjabis, who lived to the north of the Kasr-i-Shirin-Kermanshah route, under a chief who was in German pay. The tribesmen suffered very severe losses in men and also in stock, aeroplanes turning the defeat into a rout. The second operation was of still greater importance and aimed at turning the Turks out of the Kara Tappa and Kifri areas farther to the northward of Khanikin and Kasr-i-Shirin. It was carried out with considerable success, in spite of great difficulties of terrain. These operations were of material assistance indirectly, and at the same time Dunsterville was directly strengthened through the arrival of the first aeroplane, which produced a great moral effect, together with a squadron of the 14th Hussars, thirty men of the Hampshires, and four armoured cars.

The Raising of Levies.—Dunsterville was playing his game of bluff with even less than the proverbial corporal’s guard; for the Kashqais were investing my force at Shiraz by the end of May, and rumours of disaster, which influenced the Persian Government, were widely spread at Teheran. In spite of these disadvantages, by the sheer force of his personality, by starting road-making to help the famine-stricken population, and by making the acquaintance of the leading men of the country, he was able not only to hold his own, but even to impose himself on his enemies. A useful step was the formation of levies. Colonel R. L. Kennion,
the able Consul at Kermanshah, had already raised a force of Kurds, who patrolled the road as far as the Turkish frontier, and Dunsterville now created similar forces at Hamadan, and later at Kazvin. He expected little of them, and they did not rise above his expectations; but, in his position, with practically no fighting force, it all helped the game of bluff. The Turks, who had two weak divisions near Lake Urumia and held a line as far south as Sakiz and Senna, were watched by a small outpost at Bijar which created a British party in the district; and similar work was done at Zenjan with equal success. In both cases there was bitter opposition to face, and the young officers in charge deserved much credit. It must, however, be confessed that the Turks displayed little or no initiative at this period.

Arrival of Reinforcements and the Campaign against the Jangalis.—Not long after Dunsterville’s retreat, the Jangalis seized the bank at Resht, arresting the manager and the British Consul, and in March they were preparing to occupy Kazvin, where they would have been welcomed, and would have been only ninety miles from the capital; but Bicherakov suddenly marched north and forestalled them just in time. The Persian Government, then represented by the Bakhtiari Samsam-u-Saltana, who was distinctly anti-Entente in sentiment, tried to force Bicherakov to leave Persia; but the latter, who knew how to deal with the situation, explained that he was leaving as quickly as possible and was merely delayed by the presence of Kuchik Khan’s force!

In June a column consisting of the 14th Hussars, a battery of R.F.A., a thousand rifles of the Hampshires, and the 1/2nd Gurkhas, was despatched from Baghdad, the infantry and baggage being carried in 500 Ford motor-vans. At last, therefore, Bicherakov was allowed to attack the Jangalis, who held a naturally strong position at Manjil on the Safid Rud, familiar to travellers to Teheran. A few rounds of shrapnel created a panic, and the Jangalis, who lost heavily, streamed back home. Kuchik Khan was able to restore their moral to some extent by explaining that they had been beaten by the war-like Russians, and not by the British, who were, to use a famous epithet, “contemptible.” The result was that when Bicherakov quitted Persia for the Caucasus, and Dunsterville, who had followed in his footsteps, was left to hold the road with his small force, the Jangalis, under
German advice, decided to make a great effort to test the accuracy of their leader's words. On July 20 their main force attacked the British detachment of 450 rifles, 2 guns, and 2 armoured cars which was encamped outside Resht, while a strong body assaulted the consulate and occupied the town. The Jangalis were defeated with heavy losses, the consulate was gallantly relieved, and the enemy were finally bombed out of the bazars. As a result of this success Kuchik Khan made overtures for peace, which were accepted, and became a British contractor for the supply of rice, well content to make money until the course of events should give him a fresh opportunity of fishing in troubled waters.

Gallant Attempt to defend Baku.—Dunsterville was now about to undertake the most exciting part of his great adventure. The Bolshevist Government at Baku had been overthrown, and its place taken by a new body styled the Central-Caspian Dictatorship. The operations at Baku do not strictly belong to a history of Persia, but a summary of the chief events may not perhaps be out of place.

The new government asked for help, and Dunsterville, who had meanwhile gained control of the Enzeli-Kazian port, immediately responded by the despatch of a small detachment, which he gradually reinforced as troops became available. He secured three ships with sufficient tonnage to convey his entire force, in the event, always possible, of an evacuation, and early in August moved his headquarters to Baku. The military position was almost desperate from the start. The Turks, meeting with no strong opposition, had driven in front of them the Baku force, mainly composed of Armenians, and Bicherakov, who had been given command of the Red Army, had withdrawn his own troops in the direction of Derbent, a fatal move, so far as Dunsterville's chances of success were concerned. The enemy were investing Baku at short range, and were able to bombard the town or harbour at will; but fortunately they possessed no heavy guns. The one idea of the garrison was that the British should take over the fighting, and at the same time act as universal providers. Supplies were scarce, and procurable only at famine rates, and, as the numbers of the British troops in Baku never exceeded a total of 1200, it was clear that, unless the local forces fought bravely, the
town must inevitably fall. Indeed, the large Turkish force ought to have taken it without an investment.

On September 14, when the Turks attacked in force, the Baku troops showed their habitual cowardice, and, although the small British force displayed its traditional valour—its casualties in this last fight aggregated 20 per cent of its strength—an evacuation was unavoidable. Thanks to good Staff work, and to lack of enterprise on the part of the enemy, the withdrawal was safely accomplished and Enzeli harbour was reached without further incident. Thus ended an episode the heroism of which can best be appreciated by those who have served in Persia during the Great War.

During the early autumn additional British troops were moved into North-west Persia, to prevent the threatened Turkish advance from Azerbaijan, the plan being to hold the line of Senna–Bijar–Zenjan and Enzeli. Actually the threat came to nothing, the enemy withdrawing all along the line. In March 1921 this force was still keeping open the main route from Baghdad and defending Persia from the Bolshevists, but its withdrawal in the spring of 1921 was duly carried out.

*Flight of the Assyrian Christians from Urumia.*—In Chapter LXXXV. reference was made to the fortunes of the Assyrians. The collapse of Russia left them in a very dangerous position, for they ran a serious risk of being exterminated by their hereditary foes. Attacked by Turks, Persians, and Kurds, they had defended themselves for three months with success, although the odds were much against them. By this time the British detachment at Bijar was not much more than one hundred miles distant from the Assyrians, and it was arranged that a party of the latter should pass through the weak Turkish lines and receive help in the form of munitions and money. A British airman flew to Urumia, where, after escaping a furious fusillade, he was nearly kissed to death by the grateful Christians. He bore a message from Dunsterville to Aga Petros, their General, and subsequently a body of the Christians broke through the Turkish lines and met the British party. But false rumours of a disaster reached the main body of tribesmen, who, collecting their families and flocks, fled panic-stricken to Bijar, pursued by Persians, Turks, and Kurds. Every effort was made by the British
to aid these unfortunate people, but many fell on the way
by the sword, from famine and fatigue, before the tribe,
reduced to 50,000 (which was probably less than half its
original number), was received by the British authorities. This
exodus eclipsed in dramatic interest the flight of the Torgut
Mongols from the Volga to the Ili, so vividly described by
De Quincey. The Assyrians are now thoroughly reorganized;
the educational and sanitary work, and the restoration of their
moral through kindness combined with firmness, constitute
a notable humanitarian achievement, which is fully appreciated
by the refugees.

Military Mission under Major-General Sir Wilfrid Malleson.
— In addition to Dunsterville’s, the Government of India
despatched a second mission to Transcaspia. The general
menace to India has been pointed out earlier in this chapter,
and Malleson’s mission was intended to prevent the Bolshevists
from obtaining control of the western section of the Central
Asian line and the port of Krasnovodsk.

In the summer of 1918 a small force of Indian troops,
to which I contributed several officers and N.C.O.’s, was
despatched to Meshed, charged in the first instance to occupy
the section of the East Persia Cordon vacated by Russia. It
is to be noted that the railway which had been constructed
to Nushki a few years before the war, was continued westwards
and reached Dalbandin in 1917 and the Persian frontier in
the spring of 1918. From the railhead a service of motor
lorries was organized to Birjand and Meshed, a distance
exceeding 400 miles. Fortunately this route presented no
great natural obstacles, and it was incessantly improved until
now it is a valuable Persian possession.

The Indian troops had not been long at Meshed when
the Bolshevist Government at Askabad was overthrown. The
Russians and Turkoman who termed themselves Menshevists, or “Lesser,” in opposition to the Bolshevists, or
“More” party, appealed to Great Britain for support in the
task of expelling the common enemy, and, in return, agreed
to hand over Krasnovodsk to us. Without British aid they
would undoubtedly have been overwhelmed by Bolshevist
forces despatched from Tashkent. In spite of the extra-
ordinary length of the lines of communication from Quetta,
which exceeded 1100 miles, a favourable reply was given to
the appeal.
Major-General Sir Wilfrid Malleson was appointed Chief of the Military Mission to be employed upon this further desperate venture. It lies outside the proper scope of this work, but I am devoting a few lines to the salient facts. The Turkoman were not trustworthy allies, being unable to resist temptations to loot. The real burden, therefore, of every engagement fell on the handful of British and Indian troops, and nobly did they bear it. Owing to the desert nature of the level steppe the combatants were tied to the railway, and there was no great variety of tactics, each force, when strong enough to do so, attempting to march round and cut off its opponents.

In August and September three actions were fought at Kakha, a station close to the Persian border with which I am familiar. The Bolshevist attacks were repulsed with heavy loss, the third action destroying their moral. The fall of Baku resulted in the despatch of further British reinforcements, and in October there was a forward movement against Dushak, from which important centre the enemy was driven out. Our allies, Russians and Turkoman alike, started looting, and when the Bolshevist counter-attack developed our troops were unsupported and in danger of being overwhelmed. But their valour saved the situation, and so impressed the Bolshevists that they ultimately retired to Charjui, the bridgehead on the Oxus. In the spring of 1919 the mission was withdrawn to Meshed, and in the autumn of 1920 it returned to India.

The British Navy in the Caspian Sea.—To complete the record of British enterprise on the Northern frontiers of Persia, reference must be made to the work of Commodore D. T. Norris. This officer, with a small party of junior officers and naval ratings, reached Enzeli in the summer of 1918 and set to work to arm some steamers. After the evacuation of Baku he moved to Krasnovodsk, and was warmly welcomed by the Mensheviks. He created a base at this port and continued to arm merchantmen, so that, by the end of October 1918, he had four vessels equipped and ready for action. He had meanwhile opened up relations with General Bicherakov, who induced the Centro-Caspian Flotilla, which had been an untrustworthy ally to Dunsterville, to unite with the British. The combined flotillas appeared off Baku on November 17, and took possession of the derelict dock-
yards, the Turks evacuating the city, which was re-occupied by British troops. Not long after this, the Centro-Caspian Flotilla, which had become a focus of Bolshevist intrigue and a source of menace, tried to escape but was forced to surrender to the British.

Norris, reinforced by some coastal motor boats, an air unit, and more equipped merchantmen, set to work to dominate the Caspian. In the spring of 1919, when the thaw released the Bolshevist flotilla at Astrakhan, the greater part of the enemy ships moved to Alexandrovsk. There Norris appeared on May 21, and his flotilla, strongly supported by aircraft, attacked the thirty enemy war-vessels, fourteen of which were destroyed. The Bolshevist navy was definitely defeated and, until the withdrawal of the British in August and the handing over of the flotilla to the Russians, whose task Norris made still easier by destroying the enemy base on Ashurada Island, British supremacy on the Caspian was unchallenged and a brilliant page of British naval achievement was written. Incidentally, the hoisting of the White Ensign recalls Anthony Jenkinson, who "sette vppe the redde crosse of S. George, in our flagges . . . which I suppose was neuer seene in the Caspian sea before."
CHAPTER LXXXIX

THE INVESTMENT OF SHIRAZ BY THE KASHGAIS
AND THEIR FINAL DEFEAT

O, wild the tribes that dwell in those defiles;
Freedom their God, and Strife their only law!

*Lermontoff, Ismail Bey* (translated by J. Baddeley).

**Hostility towards the British in South Persia.**—The hostility of the Persian Government and of the "Democrats" was first noticeable after the fall of Vusugh-u-Dola's Cabinet in June 1917. During the autumn and winter it increased in virulence, and both the officers and men of the South Persia Rifles began to be affected by it, as was, indeed, only natural. The leaders of the anti-British propaganda were Mukbar-u-Saltana, who shortly afterwards became Minister of the Interior, and his instrument, Fakhr-u-Saltana, a red-hot "Democrat," who was believed to be in German pay. The following is an example of its effects. The Shiraz-Isfahan route, which had been safe for about six months, was suddenly raided by the Meshedi Jan Khani Arabs, who attacked a patrol of the South Persia Rifles and looted several caravans. A squadron of the Burma Mounted Rifles immediately made a forced march from Shiraz, severely punished the tribe, and recovered several of the looted camels. It is interesting to note that the Arabs had previously released all the camels, except those carrying supplies for the South Persia Rifles. A few months later, Meshedi Jan Khan acknowledged to Colonel Grant that the tribesmen had, in his absence, been led astray by Fakhr-u-Saltana, who gave them orders on behalf of the Persian Government to attack and harass the British in every possible way. Another form of hostility
shown by the Persian Government was to threaten with severe pains and penalties all who made contracts for the sale of supplies to the British. Finally, the Persian Foreign Office Agent at Shiraz was instructed to draw up claims for compensation to the robber-tribes who had been punished.

Exchange of Notes between the British and Persian Governments.—In March, ten days before the curtain was raised on the last act of the Great War in France, the British Government presented a note at Teheran. After referring to recent utterances of His Majesty’s Government, in which the independence of Persia was laid down as their permanent policy, the note asked for friendly action and the guarding of the frontiers of Persia against the return of enemy agents. The formal recognition of the South Persia Rifles until the termination of the war was also called for, on the understanding that the two Governments would then discuss the formation of a uniform force for the whole of Persia. Finally, it was laid down that, until the end of the war, British troops would protect the frontiers of Azerbaijan. In return for a friendly attitude, liberal financial assistance was promised, together with the suspension of the obnoxious agreement of 1907.

The Persian Government replied in April, at a moment when, to the mere onlooker, who saw the British army reeling backwards before overwhelming numbers, Germany appeared to have victory within her grasp. Their note declared that the despatch of British troops to Persia made attempts to preserve Persian neutrality utterly futile. It went on to denounce the South Persia Rifles as a foreign force and a menace to Persian independence and integrity, and, in conclusion, expressed the hope that the British Government would leave Persia alone and permit her to commence reforms. Characteristically enough, the only proposal that was not rejected was the one relating to financial assistance.

The note of the British Government and the reply of Persia were, by instructions from Teheran, made public in Shiraz and elsewhere in Persia. The results were far-reaching. Our friends were discouraged and our enemies elated, while the disaffection in the South Persia Rifles began to cause the most serious anxiety. It is believed that the note was presented on the understanding that it would be supported by the presence of a brigade of British troops at Hamadan;
but a division was the minimum force that would have sufficed to overawe our many enemies and encourage our friends. As matters actually were, until the new crops were harvested, it was impossible to keep a large force of troops in the country, apart from the serious deficiency in transport.

Desertions from the South Persia Rifles.—Upon hearing the reply of the Persian Government, I warned the authorities that desertions from the South Persia Rifles might occur on a large scale and, unfortunately, I was a true prophet. Before a week passed, a junior officer and ten sowars deserted from Abadeh, and twelve other men deserted while on the road near Dehbid, carrying off their horses and arms in each case. The Abadeh deserters left behind a document, which indicated that the desertion was political. They were pursued by a British officer, Captain Winter, with a troop of men whose loyalty was dubious and two of whom deserted on the road. Six of the runaways, however, were captured near Isfahan, and I ordered them to be executed, the sentence being carried out by Lieut.-Colonel T. W. Haig, the British Consul-General, whose constant support was invaluable. When news of these desertions arrived, a double company of 16th Rajputs was despatched from Shiraz to Abadeh, to give sorely needed support to the isolated British officers and non-commissioned officers, and their presence prevented a disaster.

Punitive Measures against Tribes on the Lines of Communication.—Our Intelligence department was well organized, and before long we realized that we should be confronted by a confederacy of the tribes of Southern Persia, headed by the Kashgais, and that they would attack us late in May, by which date there would be good grazing in the neighbourhood of the city. We hoped that Kawam would be able to keep some, at least, of the Arab tribes neutral, but we knew that the Labu Mohamedis, of whose guilt as raiders we now possessed proofs, had agreed to join our enemies, as also had their neighbours, the Chakhakis, who had been caught red-handed by myself when touring. To the north, sections of the Chahar Rahis, who had escaped punishment in the previous year, had made raid after raid. It seemed likely that a sharp blow, struck in the neighbourhood of Niriz, would save the small garrison at that important centre from being attacked by overwhelming numbers when the Kashgais
opened hostilities, and would discourage the Arab tribes generally from joining them.

The first step taken was the despatch of the Burma Mounted Rifles to Niriz, to meet some reinforcements. The united force in a series of rapid marches under Lieut.-Colonel Grant surprised each of the tribes mentioned above and inflicted condign punishment. Incidentally much looted property was recovered and documentary evidence of the guilt of the Labu Mohamedis secured. During this expedition, which was both well conceived and well executed, 421 miles were covered by the mounted troops in 19 days. These remarkably rapid movements, generally made by night, enabled the column to surprise the enemy, while the equally remarkable Intelligence work, conducted by Subadar-Major Ali Dost, a splendid type of the Indian Army, enabled the operations to be carried out with certainty in an unknown and unsurveyed region. As I had anticipated, neither the tribes punished in this expedition nor their neighbours joined Solat, so that the results were excellent.

The Position in May 1918.—Every effort had been made to avoid a conflict with the Chief of the powerful Kashgai tribe, supported by the Kazerunis under Nasir Diwan, as well as contingents from the tribes of Dashtistan, and Dashti and malcontents of the Arab tribes. The retreat of the Entente forces in France may have encouraged our enemies in Teheran to greater exertions; in any case, there is no doubt that Solat was supported by some members of the Cabinet, if not by the Persian Government as a whole. His decision to throw down the gauntlet, the proclamation he issued,1 the unsatisfactory tenor of telegrams issued by Samsam-u-Saltana, the Bakhtiar Premier, and the secret letters of Solat to his supporters, many of which I read, all indicate his belief that he was obeying his Government in attacking the British and the South Persia Rifles. Nor must the powerful influence of Wassmuss be forgotten.

The formidable forces at the disposal of Solat consisted at the outset of 4,500 Kashgais, the picked warriors of the tribe, and 1,500 Kazerunis, and this number was reinforced by contingents from Dashti, Dashtistan, and elsewhere, and

---

1 An extract from this proclamation, dated May 22, runs: "I give notice to all that the army of the South Persia Rifles, that is unauthorized by the Persian Government, has caused all the Kashgais, the inhabitants of Kazerun, the Dashtias, Dashtistanis, and others to take action for the defence of Islam in accordance with the orders of the Persian Government."
reached fully 7000 to 8000 fighting men, a figure at which it remained until his inability to defeat us became apparent. The tribesmen were well armed with Mauser rifles, had plenty of ammunition, and fought very bravely, their mobility and invisibility in the hills being remarkable. The British position was stronger than at any previous time, the available troops at Shiraz aggregating, so far as mere numbers were concerned, 2200. Of these, however, one-third were recruits with less than six months' service, while the mountain battery consisted entirely of young soldiers, the veterans having returned to India. There were 200 Indian troops at Abadeh (including a platoon at Debbid). The South Persia Rifles slightly outnumbered the Indian troops at Shiraz and, owing to propaganda and the proclaimed hostility of the Persian Government to their existence, finally became a danger to the safety of the British. The officers and men at the out-stations mutinied or surrendered, but Williams and his gallant band of British officers and N.C.O.'s, by their fearless attitude and ceaseless vigilance, prevented an open mutiny at Shiraz. Bodies of Indian troops had, however, to be posted in the commanding positions of the Persian lines, which involved a dangerous dispersal of force, and, even with this support, the officers slept in the crater of a volcano.

Kawam had collected 2000 Arabs in and about Shiraz. They hated the Kashgais, disliked the British and were ready to attack the beaten side, if they did not take a hand in the game before the winner was known. They added seriously to our embarrassments, besides constituting a heavy drain on supplies of all kinds.

Finally we come to Farman Farma and Shiraz. The position of His Highness was one of the utmost difficulty, caught between the Cabinet at Teheran and our demands on the spot, and perhaps he alone could have made it tenable. He certainly could not see eye to eye with us in many questions, but, influenced to some extent by his sons, he generally took the right course in the end. The inhabitants of Shiraz were being excited against the British by the mullas, some of whom preached a jihad or Holy War. Threats of assassination

---

1 The force consisted of 1 squadron 15th Bengal Lancers under Major S. M. Bruce; 3 squadrons Burma Mounted Rifles (the men were mostly Punjabis) under Lieut.-Colonel H. R. Dyer; the 38th Mountain Battery under Captain C. R. Willis; 16th Rajputa under Lieut.-Colonel G. R. Vansrenen; and 124th Baluchis under Lieut.-Colonel B. F. R. Holbrooke.
were freely uttered, and placards were frequently found in the bazars containing exhortations of which the following lines are a specimen:

O People of Shiraz!
O careless, sleeping Nation!
O ignorant Folks!
O irreligious, dishonest and lazy Ones!

Do not be humbler than women!
Put the traitors to death!
Shoot the enemies at home!
Shoot all foreign foemen!

Supply Difficulties.—The harvest was being reaped and the supply question was serious. The stocks of forage were very low, the resources of the country being exhausted, and so bulky was the chopped straw and so narrow were the lanes in the city that, even when it could be purchased, the time and labour needed to load it on donkeys and deliver it were so great that supply could not usually keep pace with consumption. Indeed, so grave was this shortage of forage that, more than once, we discussed the unpleasant likelihood of being obliged to send away the mounted troops, on which we mainly depended, or of destroying their horses. Fortunately there were plenty of rations for the troops, and Lieut.-Colonel R. T. McEnery showed great capacity in improvising mills and in other ways.

The Cantonment at Shiraz.—We occupied a belt of walled gardens to the north-west of Shiraz, which gave protection from all but distant rifle-fire, and, in anticipation of trouble, we had dug wells and had gradually strengthened the position by means of towers and the opening up of internal communications. Between this cantonment and the city there was open ground, perhaps half a mile wide. There was also a gap of perhaps a quarter of a mile between it and the garden quarter. The consulate and telegraph office were situated in the cantonment, but the residence of the bank officials, which lay outside, had to be evacuated. The lines of the South Persia Rifles lay half a mile to the south, close to the city.

Declaration of Hostilities by Solat-u-Dola.—On May 10, a party of Durrashuri Kashgais raided some transport belonging to the South Persia Rifles at the outpost of Khaneh Zinian, twenty-six miles to the west of Shiraz. The raiders were
promptly followed up and seized, whereupon their Kalantar
threatened to attack the post unless they were released.
This provocative demand not being complied with, the
Kashgais surrounded the caravanserai and opened fire on it,
but Captain A. W. Will gallantly sallied out and drove them
off for the time. A force of South Persia Rifles, followed by
a detachment of Indian troops, was sent out and cleared the
neighbourhood of Khaneh Zinian, inflicting a few casualties
and capturing some tribesmen and sheep. They then re-
turned to Shiraz, leaving the post of Khaneh Zinian, with its
very strong building, garrisoned by the South Persia Rifles.
Solat entered upon a heated correspondence over this incident
with Farman Farma, who tried to gain the support of the
Cabinet. To some extent their official telegrams were
satisfactory, but the messages sent by Samsam-u-Saltana to
Solat were distinctly calculated to encourage him. Our
object was to prolong the negotiations until Grant's column
had marched in; and we achieved it, for Solat's actual
declaration of hostilities was received on May 23, the very
day on which Grant reached Shiraz.

A force under Major-General J. A. Douglas, which was
stationed at Bushire, was increased during the summer, but,
owing to the climate and the very difficult nature of the
country, we were informed that we could expect no help
from that quarter until October at the earliest; a small body,
consisting of two squadrons of Cavalry, a section of Mountain
Artillery and 400 Infantry, was sent up to Sirjan early in
July and helped to keep Kerman quiet. It was a case of
our crushing Solat or being annihilated by the Kashgais, as
we well knew when we accepted his challenge.

The Action of Deh Shaykh, May 25.—The upper part of
the valley in which Shiraz is situated is quite level for six
miles, but the ranges meet at the caravanserai of Chinar
Rahdar, where the track enters a hilly district crossing spur
after spur to Deh Shaykh, a hamlet sixteen miles from
Shiraz. The main route continues westwards to Khaneh
Zinian and Kazerun, but a minor track runs southwards to
a small district known as Khaneh Khabis. Here Solat
had pitched his camp on the Kara Agach River with 4,500
of his tribesmen around him, while there were 1,500
Kazerunis investing Khaneh Zinian. Solat's plan probably
was to induce the British to march direct to relieve Khaneh
Zinian. He would then be able to throw himself across their line of retirement from Khaneh Khabis and envelop the column by co-operating with the Kazerunis.

Fighting to a finish had been forced on us, and the sooner the challenge was taken up the better. Accordingly, no rest was given to the column which marched in on May 23, and on the following day, with its numbers increased to 1600, it marched out under Orton to attack the Kashgais. Camping for the night at Chinar Rahdar, it moved forward rapidly at dawn, and, upon approaching Deh Shaykh, encountered severe opposition. Orton had seen through Solat’s plan of drawing him westwards to Khaneh Zinian, and, to defeat it, swung gradually southwards towards the Kashgai camp. In the centre of the field of action rose the steep hill of Kuh-i-Pahan, which ran southwards at an elevation of 8000 feet and was held by small bodies of the enemy. The hill, whose summit is 2500 feet above Deh Shaykh, was occupied by the veterans of the 124th Baluchis, and the column slowly advanced towards the Sagavi Pass and adjoining heights which bounded the valley of the Kara Agach. Bruce, who met a soldier’s death in the engagement, advanced to the west of Kuh-i-Pahan with his squadron, and the main body to the east of it.

The Kashgais, we subsequently heard, anticipated an easy victory over the despised “Hindi,” and had somewhat prematurely divided up the spoils, even quarrelling as to who should have the superb gun mules. Their numbers were nearly three times those of the British, they possessed a machine-gun which caused us some casualties, and they fired an enormous quantity of ammunition. They made some ugly rushes, especially on the left flank, but the fine work of the battery and the fact that Lewis guns guarded the flanks saved the situation, by stopping the rushes of the enemy and by keeping them at a distance and thereby lessening the accuracy of their fire. The widely spread column moved very slowly towards the range. Dyer with two squadrons occupied a section of it and then halted to allow the main body to come up, while he sent back for ammunition, of which he had run out. The Kashgais, observing the halt and the cessation of fire, climbed the range in great force, in the belief that the British had retired. But the gallant Dyer, when his ammunition gave out, merely withdrew his men from the sky-line, and ordered
them to lie down and fix bayonets. When the enemy climbed the reverse side of the range they dismounted and led their horses over the crest, whereupon Dyer's men suddenly sprang to their feet and, charging them with their bayonets, killed thirty-six.

Through the heat of the long summer day the tired, thirsty men advanced until the enemy showed signs of discouragement and began to hold the ground less tenaciously. They had tried their best, again and again, to break into the column, but had failed, losing their bravest men by scores. The objective was Solat's camp, with its large white tents, which was first shelled from a distance and finally occupied after sunset, when the Kashghais disappeared in headlong flight amid clouds of dust. Thus ended the action of Deh Shaykh. The Kashghais, whose losses were 250 killed and 450 wounded, as against 18 killed and 33 wounded on our side, suffered a serious blow, not only in their heavy casualties, but still more, perhaps, in the passing of the widely accepted belief that they were invincible. The prestige of the Indian troops who had overcome them was correspondingly raised. All ranks, from Orton down to the over-tired recruit, deserved much credit; the hearty congratulations of the Commander-in-Chief in India, which were received, were fully appreciated.

Orton sent in a message at night reporting the good news, but asking me to send out the remaining two guns with an escort of infantry, in case the Kashghais returned to the attack in the morning. Although the despatch of our last reserve conveyed the impression at Shiraz that we were in difficulties—no Persian would credit our victory for some days—and afforded the enemy party a wonderful chance of attacking the almost undefended cantonment, I decided to send out the reinforcement, as ultimate success or defeat depended on the column, and on the column alone. But I warned Orton of the dangerous state of affairs at Shiraz.

The Mutiny at Khaneh Zinian.—While the column was fighting the Kashghais, treachery was at work only a few miles off, at Khaneh Zinian. Will had reported, on May 23, that he could hold out as long as was necessary, and it was hoped to relieve him the day after the victory. But this was fated not to be. Solat had sent a letter to the Persian officers of the outpost to the effect that he had been ordered by the Government to expel the British, and that this had already
been successfully accomplished at Shiraz, where they had been killed or captured. This document brought a pre-arranged plot to a head and the garrison mutinied. Will and Sergeant Comber were treacherously shot, the door was opened to the Kazerunis, the rifles, ammunition, and stores were looted; and, finally, the mutineers were stripped and turned out.

Upon reaching Deh Shaykh, Orton heard the details of this mutiny from the officers and men of the garrison, most of whom returned to Shiraz. They were all tried by court-martial and three officers, with eleven of the most guilty among the N.C.O.'s and men, were executed publicly.

The Action of Ahmadabad, June 16.—A few days after the return of the column to Shiraz it appeared that the defeat of the Kashgais was considered by the hostile Shirazis to be balanced by the capture of Khaneh Zinian. Reinforcements for the enemy poured in from Dashti, Dashtistan, and elsewhere, and before very long Solat was back at Khaneh Khabis. The Kazerunis and other riflemen gradually occupied the extensive walled gardens to the north-west of the cantonment and cut the irrigation channels, thereby not only depriving Shiraz of water, but also stopping the flour mills. Money was terribly scarce, but we were fortunate in having a very capable manager of the Imperial Bank of Persia in Mr. M. Ferguson, whose many years' experience of Shiraz enabled him to help us in many ways. Throughout, the scarcity of supplies caused us grave anxiety. We were also unfortunate in losing some valuable lives by cholera, although, in our case, inoculation undoubtedly lessened the severity of the scourge. The South Persia Rifles were perhaps our chief anxiety: we gradually dismissed officers and some men, but we were determined to maintain the force.

The loss of Khaneh Zinian and our subsequent concentration into the perimeter of walled gardens brought the enemy far closer to the city. The Kazerunis, as already stated, occupied the garden quarter, and the Kashgais the low hills bounding the valley to the south. The plots and intrigues in Shiraz became more dangerous, and we found out that the Kashgais intended to attack us, simultaneously with the Shirazis, on June 17. We determined to upset this plot by sallying out in force and attacking our formidable enemies. To the south-west of Shiraz there is a chain of walled villages about a mile apart, ending in Ahmadabad, and it was
decided to march out to Ahmadabad and then to retire slowly, in the expectation that the Kashgais would come down from the hills in large numbers and afford us an opportunity of hitting them hard. The troops marched off at dawn and inflicted considerable punishment on the Kazerunis, the bulk of whom retreated to the steep range of Kuh-i-Barfi. Beyond Ahmadabad, too, the Indians drove the enemy off a low range with some casualties, and the caravanserai at Chinar Rahdar, which was full of armed men, was shelled with good results.

At noon the retirement began and, as anticipated, there was a rush of Kashgai horsemen. The fire opened on them was very hot, and a large number galloped off to the gardens on the north side of the area, and especially to Bagh-i-Janat. Orton, with his invariably good judgment, had posted strong detachments between the cantonment and this garden, the foremost of which, under Vanrenen, was at a reservoir of water and had already proved its value. He was now able to pour a rapid fire into the garden with excellent effect.

The Burma Mounted Rifles, in their retirement, took a line which passed within range of these gardens, and while they were occupied with beating off a fine charge by 300 Kashgai horsemen, they were heavily fired on from the flank. Dyer and one of his officers were seriously wounded, and there were several casualties among the rank and file; but Holbrooke at once moved up some of his Baluchis, and the Burma Mounted Rifles were able to continue the retirement. Although the Mounted Rifles suffered nineteen casualties, the bold charge of the Kashgais gave the guns an ideal target, of which the fullest use was made; and both Dyer and his brother officer happily recovered. We calculated that the enemy losses were 200 killed and 300 wounded, but we afterwards heard that they were considerably higher, and that the tribe also lost a large number of good horses, which they valued as much as their men. The troops returned to the cantonment thoroughly pleased with the results of the action. Solat wrote to his partisans in the city that his losses consisted of one groom, whereas the Indians had been killed by hundreds; and so gullible is the Persian that this account was, at first, accepted as strictly true.

The Seizure of Key-points in Shiraz, June 17.—Our information was very accurate and the expected rising took place,
but Solat was unable to support it, being engaged in consoling his discouraged tribesmen. Attacks were made on Indians and members of the South Persia Rifles; the bazars were shut; the pestilential mullas issued written orders that it was lawful to kill every one who had dealings with the British; and bands of men and boys paraded the streets with fanatical cries. The question arose of seizing three buildings, the key-positions of Shiraz, and we realized that, unless we soon did so, we should be heavily sniped from them. We debated anxiously on this subject, and, as I knew that the Shirazis always considered that these buildings dominated the city, I decided to risk the danger of dividing up the force still further and ordered them to be seized without delay. It was midnight when this decision was taken, and by 2 A.M. the posts were held by Indian troops and the South Persia Rifles, whose attitude had changed since they realised the prowess of the column and the hostility of the townpeople towards themselves. In the morning the Shirazis awoke to see the key-positions occupied by our detachments; the disturbances ceased; the bazars were reopened, and the feeling began to spread that the British were actually beating the Kashgais.

The Appointment of a New Ilkhan and the Dispersal of the Enemy.—When I came to Shiraz and realized the probability of being forced to fight the Kashgais, I took care to make friends with Solat’s elder brother and rival, Sirdar Ehtesham. He had twice before been Ilkhan, but his younger brother had shown himself the abler man, and he had retired from the post. From the beginning of hostilities I had urged Farman Farma to dismiss Solat and appoint his brother, but the astute old Prince was unwilling to take the risk of offending Teheran. Fortunately, however, the enemy cut the telegraph line on June 19, and I was able to secure Farman Farma’s consent to the change, pointing out that, with the line cut, he was free to act in the true interests of his Government. This fundamental question once decided, Kawam, influenced no doubt by the two heavy blows struck against his hated rivals, felt it safe to join us. Farman Farma used his great diplomatic talents and Mohamed Khan Kashkuli, a bitter enemy of Solat, came in with 600 followers; and very soon afterwards Ali Khan left his half-brother Solat and joined his full brother Sirdar Ehtesham.
On June 29 an attack was made on a hill situated about a mile from the perimeter and overlooking the garden quarter, which we shelled. Some thirty casualties were inflicted on the Kazerunis, as against none suffered, and it was noticed that their moral had deteriorated. Shortly afterwards these riflemen, who had been promised a generous share of the British treasure and munitions and of the wealth of Shiraz, but had nothing to show in return for some hundreds of casualties, began to break away. The Kashgais, too, who had been promised a still larger amount of loot, complained that they had merely suffered very heavily, both in men and horses, in fighting the British. Moreover, they were losing thousands of their sheep by keeping them in the lowlands, where the grazing was exhausted and where an epidemic had broken out. There were constant quarrels over looted crops between the Kashgais and the Kazerunis, and blood was shed. The Kazerunis then dispersed simultaneously with the defection of other allies and of the majority of the Kashgais themselves. The rot had set in.

The Action at Chinar Rahdar, July 7 and 8.—It was now necessary to strike a blow against Solat’s remaining force and to arrange with the new Ilkhani a plan for pursuing Solat, the time-honoured custom being for the deposed man’s followers to desert him and for the new holder of the post to loot his estates. In pursuance of this custom, it was agreed that Orton should attack and drive Solat from his camp a few miles to the south of Deh Shaykh. The Kashgais who had joined the new Ilkhani were to cross the Siakh range to the south and cut off the retreating Solat, while Kawam sent some of his Arabs to attack the enemy camp. Solat’s men fought gamely, attacking the British perimeter camp at Chinar Rahdar by night, and retreating slowly on the following morning. Our Arab allies came up late on the main range to the left of the British, and indulged in some long-range firing. Sirdar Ehtesham was too late at Siakh, but his followers killed two or three stragglers. When Orton reached the Sagavi pass and looked across the valley, there was neither sign nor sound of the enemy. The Kashgais had fled headlong to Firuzabad.

The Pursuit of Solat - u - Dola. — After the flight of Solat, Kawam and Sirdar Ehtesham agreed to follow him up and attack him at Firuzabad, his chief centre. We sent a section
of mountain-guns and two machine-guns from the South Persia Rifles, and supplied a certain quantity of arms and ammunition. The column was composed of 800 Arabs, 650 Kashgais, and 450 Kashkulis under Mohamed Ali Khan Kawam, assisted by Ali Dost, was the Commander-in-Chief. He kept the force together, and driving pickets of Solat in front of it, entered the Firuzabad valley. There the Kashgais made a short stand, but their moral had deteriorated and during the night they fled. Solat, with only a handful of men faithful to him, rode off weeping like a child.

The Defence of Abadeh—An Heroic Episode.—Next to Shiraz, Abadeh was the main centre of the South Persia Rifles in Fars, the garrison consisting of some six hundred men, and, as already mentioned, I had despatched a very small force of Indian troops with instructions to take over the mud fort and the charge of all spare ammunition and supplies. The little town was deeply influenced by the neighbouring tribesmen, among whom was Mohamed Ali Khan Kashgai, who, under the instructions of Solat, collected a force six hundred strong and issued a proclamation that the Persian Government had ordered him to drive out "infidels and foreigners." He was not anxious to attack, however, hoping to gain his ends by easier means.

A second figure on the stage was Solat-u-Saltana, brother of Sirdar Ehtesham, who, for some time, tried to prevent hostilities. Unfortunately, the arrival of a specie caravan from Isfahan, intended for the bank at Shiraz, made him forget everything except his love of money, and he joined the enemy. On June 18, a forged letter was produced by Mohamed Ali Khan to the effect that all the British at Shiraz had been killed, and this document brought his men up to fighting point. He attacked Abadeh, and thereupon the South Persia Rifles mutinied, killing any of their number who were believed to hold pro-British sentiments. The handful of British officers and N.C.O.'s were fortunately able to join the Indian detachment; but for the first four days the strain was intense, as there were constant attacks or alarms.

On July 2 the enemy succeeded in diverting all the irrigation water into a single channel, which was directed against the mud walls of the fort. Captain Gwynne Griffith, R.E., very gallantly ran out under a heavy fire, followed by Sergeant L. Barnes, and, while attempting to mend the break,
was mortally wounded. Major W. A. K. Fraser, of the Central India Horse, who was in command, bravely came to the rescue and the break was repaired. To add to the difficulties of the British, cholera broke out among the Rajputs; but it was kept within bounds, and there were only three deaths. With the enemy the mortality was severe, among the first victims being Mohamed Ali Khan, whose death was followed by the dispersal of most of his men. But the mutineers fought desperately and, among other things, dug two mines, both of which were completed to within a yard of our counter-saps. On the night of July 16-17 the mutineers fled, and in the morning Abadeh was relieved. The garrison had made a very fine defence, certainly killing a number of the enemy equivalent to their own strength.

The Relief of Abadeh.—The day of the action at Chinar Rahdar a messenger from Abadeh reached Shiraz with a letter from Fraser, reporting the situation and asking for immediate help. Within thirty-six hours of the return of the victorious troops, an Indian column with a squadron and two platoons of the South Persia Rifles under Williams marched off north from Shiraz. The heat was intense and there were cases of sunstroke, but Williams pressed on and reached Abadeh after marching the 180 miles in 169 hours—a magnificent performance in the heat of July. The enemy by a mere chance obtained news of the approach of the column and was thus able to escape all retribution. It is of interest to note that Williams’s march helped the situation at Isfahan, which was threatened by the predatory Bakhtiaris. Haig handled a difficult and dangerous situation with courage and skill. Moreover, he had done his best to relieve Abadeh, and some days after Williams’s arrival a Russian officer with 100 Persian Cossacks, a mountain-gun and two machine-guns, appeared on the scene ready to help if necessary. Fortunately Williams only had to thank him for the trouble he had taken.

The Final Defeat of the Kashgais, October 1918.—Sirdar Ehtesham had no easy task in establishing his position at Firuzabad, partly, no doubt, because he was the British nominee, and partly owing to ceaseless tribal intrigue. We watched the situation carefully and were not surprised when one day a messenger brought the news that Solat had collected a following of 1500 men, mainly composed of tribesmen who had not fought us, and was besieging the new Ilkhani. Orton
marched out with the column, and moved swiftly southwards. The Firuzabad valley lies to the south of a long and narrow defile, and the Kashgais hoped to trap the British in its windings. But the column crossed the hills by a difficult track, and, although positions were held by the enemy, the Indian veterans would not be denied and rushed them, inflicting casualties in every case. Dyer, who was forming the advance guard, descended into the valley through some scrub and occupied the deserted village of Deh Barm without his presence being detected. He then sent his scouts ahead, and they soon came galloping back, pursued by 600 Kashgais. Dyer took full advantage of this exceptional chance for using his eight Lewis guns and his rifle fire, and in a few minutes 103 men were left dead on the plain, the wounded being carried off.

This sudden blow ended the struggle with the Kashgais, and Solat, his power finally broken, again fled weeping. His misfortunes excited little pity, as a ballad in dialect, which was much sung in Shiraz, proves:

Thou knowest not how drunk Thou art, brother dear;
Vainly art Thou fighting with these and those,\(^1\) brother dear;
Thou thinkest Thou art capable and clever, brother dear;
Thou art useless and empty-headed, brother dear;
Thy friends have loaded up their baggage and started off;
Thou art left at the stage, helpless and lame, brother dear.

Thou hast no pity for the poor in thy heart;
Thy heart is harder than stone;
Thy brain is full of the spirit of ignorance, day and night;
Useless, ignorant, mad art Thou, brother dear.

The Fine Behaviour of the South Persia Rifles.—In September we had increased the contingent of the South Persia Rifles at Firuzabad by 25 cavalry and 100 infantry, and the little detachment, under the command of a brave and determined Persian officer, Mohamed Taki Khan, behaved very well. The Kashgais who had joined Sirdar Ehtesham were untrustworthy, and ultimately the new Ilkhani depended entirely on the South Persia Rifles. The siege lasted for several days, the enemy occupying buildings only twenty yards distant from the walled house of the Sirdar, and the defence beat off all attacks, inflicting forty casualties,

\(^1\) *I.e.* the British.
as against one killed and three wounded. Such behaviour, in circumstances of isolation and with no certainty of relief, proved, without doubt, that the South Persia Rifles had a future.

The Great Influenza Epidemic.—The fight with the Kashgais had hardly been won when victors and vanquished alike were prostrated by the awful 1918 scourge of influenza, which in Fars assumed its most virulent form. At first we did not realize that the disease was destined to slay one-fifth of the population, striking down the troops in Shiraz and at Firuzabad with equal severity. Thanks to Colonel H. Burden’s foresight, there was an abundance of medical comforts, and the medical and combatant officers devoted themselves to saving their men, often at the cost of their own lives. Shiraz lost 10,000 out of its 50,000 inhabitants. Hideous to relate, the Persian authorities made a “corner” in shrouds, and we were too busy looking after our own men to do anything for the townspeople, who, in despair, crawled by hundreds to die in the mosques. Our losses were heartbreaking, more than six hundred British and Indians falling victims to the pandemic scourge, the most terrible on record. Farman Farma just pulled through, and when we visited him after his recovery he explained in his curious French that half Shiraz was dead. He put it, “Le demi-monde de Chiraz est mort.”

When the news of the Armistice reached us, a salute was fired with difficulty, and the troops, who were unarmed, rose painfully from the ground to cheer. Yet success, with all it signified, was a sovereign tonic, and the column gradually regained the superb moral that had led it from victory to victory.

The Position at Kerman.—Throughout the investment, the position at Kerman constituted one of our chief anxieties. Farran had no British troops in the town, but, thanks to his efforts and those of Mr. C. P. Skrine, the consul, the province remained quiet and the brigade loyal, so that when communications were reopened, after having been interrupted for nearly six weeks, we received the intensely gratifying report that the Kerman province and Bandar Abbas had escaped the storm which had raged in Fars.

The Opening of the Bushire–Shiraz Route.—In this chapter reference has already been made to the force at Bushire. When my column was besieged at Shiraz, the garrison,
consisting of a squadron of cavalry, two sections of mountain artillery, and a battalion of infantry, under Major-General Douglas, was increased by two battalions, and the arrival of these troops undoubtedly kept a certain number of the neighbouring tribesmen from joining Solat. During the summer no advance was attempted, but the base was organized. The terrible influenza epidemic broke out at the beginning of October, but the type was mild and the mortality among the troops was only 2 per cent as against 18 per cent at Shiraz; at the same time all the men who were attacked were left very weak, and were unfit for hard work until they had fully recovered. The mortality in the surrounding district was much higher, and lessened the chance of any serious opposition.

The force engaged in the operation of opening up the route included no fewer than 20,000 fighting men and followers, and the large amount of supplies that were consequently required formed one of the chief anxieties of the expedition. The great difficulty of transport was lessened by the construction of a light railway to Borazjun, 37 miles from Bushire, to which the line ran across the level plain. Thence over slightly broken ground carts plied to Daliki, situated at the foot of the tangled mass of mountains.

Towards the end of October the troops started upon the trying work of road-making, as it was deemed necessary to cut routes passable for camels before advancing on Kazerun. There was no organized opposition, but the Kamarij Pass was gaily held by 100 to 150 riflemen, and there was a certain amount of sniping, besides occasional attacks on patrols and pickets. When Kamarij was reached, Kazerun, the objective of the expedition, was only twenty miles distant. The Shiraz column under Orton had by now recovered from the epidemic, and, crossing the two passes of the "Old Woman" and the "Daughter" in mid-winter, it occupied Mian Kotal on the same day—January 27—that Kazerun was entered. Our old enemy Nasir Diwan had fled, deserted by most of his followers, and the recently arrived Deputy-Governor, accompanied by the notables, welcomed Brigadier-General A. M. S. Elsmie and the troops. These operations, which entailed constant hard work on all ranks, successfully completed the restoration of law and order and the reopening of the caravan route.
The British continued their beneficent activities, and by
March 1919, when the troops were ordered to withdraw,
a well-graded camel track, along which a car could travel,
had been constructed to Shiraz over one of the most difficult
tracts of country in Asia. It is to be hoped that these roads,
which are such a boon to all classes, will be kept in repair;
but Persia has a long way to travel before a capable Public
Works Department can be created.

The Result.—It is difficult for me to estimate the exact
value of the services rendered by the valour of the officers
and men of the force which I commanded; nor must the
good work of the Bushire force be forgotten. From one
point of view, as was explained in articles in The Times,¹
my column guarded the way to India. Its action put an
end to the German-led bands which were destroying the
little civilization that still existed in Southern Persia, and it
was a contributory cause of the failure of the German Mission
to the Court of the Amir. To look at the reverse of the medal,
had we been defeated—and defeat was very near at times—
the result in India and in Persia might well have been serious.
The Panjab, as we now know, was seething with the spirit
that spells rebellion, and, in June 1918, a second Kut might
have produced that outbreak of fanaticism which was so
much feared in the spring of 1916.

In Persia there was the possibility that the Government,
which was secretly hostile, might declare war upon us, whereas,
when our victory was known, the Shah was encouraged to
dismiss the hostile Cabinet and fill its place with a friendly
one. Finally, when the British Government made the Agree-
ment with Persia, Fars and Kerman were tranquil, the routes
were open, and the tribesmen were obedient to Teheran, in a
country where for at least a decade the orders of the Persian
Government had been mocked at. To this extent, at least,
we may justly claim to have wrought well. We may also
claim to have helped the peasant to keep his place on his
native soil; and perhaps my most pleasing recollection of
Persia is a memory of peasants ploughing the land in a village
deserted a generation ago owing to nomad oppression, and of
hearing from a fine old greybeard the words, "This is your
work." May Persia reap where we have sown!

¹ February 26 and 27, 1919.
CHAPTER XC

PERSIA AFTER THE GREAT WAR

Truth justifies herself, and as she dwells
With Hope, who would not follow where she leads?

Wordsworth, *The Recluse.*

The Persian Delegation to the Peace Conference.—Since the Armistice much has happened to affect Persia, and, although it is impossible to pass historical judgment on current events, it seems desirable to record them. I also propose to give a brief summary of the present economic position.

The first matter of importance was the arrival in Paris of a Delegation to submit the claims of Persia to the Peace Conference. These claims were published in a brochure which was divided into three parts, dealing respectively with Political, Juridical, and Economic Independence; with Right to Territorial Restorations; and with Right to Reparations. First on the list in Part I. was the Anglo-Russian Agreement of 1907, the abrogation of which was rightly demanded, and has been conceded so far as Great Britain is concerned. But other demands, for instance the withdrawal of the consular guards and the abolition of consular courts, can only be termed unpractical visions, in view of the risks that are constantly run by European subjects living in Persia. In the Blue Books there are reports of ill-treatment of Europeans by robbers, of the risks they run of being killed by stray shots during the chronic disorders, and of many other disagreeable incidents, all of which are recorded as constituting nothing abnormal. And this state of affairs still continues.
When I was living at Meshed with my family, for a period of seven months shot fell frequently into the consulate garden, sometimes passing through the trees above our heads or burying themselves in the ground close by. As there is no general improvement to record, it is fantastic to talk of withdrawing consular guards. Equally unjust would it be to allow Europeans to be tried by Persian Courts. Even as lately as 1917, the Governor-General of Isfahan was in league with the robbers in the neighbourhood. He made a double profit by letting out the various routes to them in return for a daily payment, and by taking a percentage on the sale of the looted goods! Again, a few years ago in Khorasan, a local governor, a prince of high degree, maintained a band of robbers as a private speculation. Unfortunately for him, the gang attempted to rob a caravan with which a consular escort of Indian troops happened to be travelling, and the robbers were attacked and captured. When I gave the Governor-General proofs of the connexion of the governor with the robbers, his only remark was, "Sahib, you know that this is our custom." As a sequel to an inquiry, my Russian colleague was given a present, and refused to allow the guilty ruler to be dismissed. Clearly, until Persia sets her house in order and reforms herself, the right of trying cases in which Europeans are concerned cannot be conceded.

We now come to Part II. Oblivious of the fact that chronic anarchy and corruption reign within her own borders, Persia demanded that her boundaries should be restored to the spaciousness of ancient days, when the Oxus was the eastern limit of the Empire. In other words, to the east she claimed Transcaspia, Merv, and Khiva. To the northwest she claimed the Caucasus up to Derbent, including Erivan, the chief centre of the Armenians, and Baku. On the west she wished to annex Asia Minor to the Euphrates, thereby including the entire province of Kurdistan and the important centres of Diarbeikr and Mosul.

The claims for Reparations, Part III., stand on a different footing. As I have already shown, the Turkish and Russian armies advancing and retreating in Western and Northwestern Persia, the disbanded Russian troops, and the fleeing Assyrians all looted foodstuffs and stock, besides inflicting loss of life. Indeed Western Persia suffered from famine in 1918, partly owing to this cause. On the other hand
there is no doubt that the Russian armies saved Persia from Turkish domination, while, in a lesser degree, the restoration of order in Southern Persia, the huge sums spent by the belligerents, and the great improvements in communications have made Southern, Eastern, and Central Persia more prosperous than before.

It is to be regretted that the Delegation could not be allowed to lay its case before the Peace Conference, since the refusal gave the impression that its members were being slighted, but even more regrettable is the utter lack of practical statesmanship that inspired the fantastic claims put forward by the Persian representatives. Persia, however, was not penalized for the small sense of proportion shown by her Government, as her case was laid before the Supreme Council by Firuz Mirza, Nusrat-u-Dola, who came to Europe as Foreign Minister of a new Cabinet, and had a most sympathetic hearing.

The Anglo-Persian Agreement, 1919.—Shortly before the Armistice, Sir Charles Marling left Persia and was succeeded as Minister by Sir Percy Cox, who has a record which inspires the greatest confidence in Persia and Mesopotamia. For nine months negotiations were carried on between Great Britain and Persia which culminated in the following Agreements:

No. 1. Agreement between the Governments of Great Britain and Persia

Preamble: In virtue of the close ties of friendship which have existed between the two Governments in the past, and in the conviction that it is in the essential and mutual interests of both in future that these ties should be cemented, and that the progress and prosperity of Persia should be promoted to the utmost, it is hereby agreed between the Persian Government on the one hand, and His Britannic Majesty’s Minister acting on behalf of his Government on the other, as follows:

1. The British Government reiterate, in the most categorical manner, the undertakings which they have repeatedly given in the past to respect absolutely the independence and integrity of Persia.

2. The British Government will supply, at the cost of the Persian Government, the services of whatever expert advisers may, after consultation between the two Governments, be considered necessary for the several departments of the Persian Administration. These advisers shall be engaged on contracts and endowed with adequate powers, the
nature of which shall be the matter of agreement between the Persian Government and the advisers.

3. The British Government will supply, at the cost of the Persian Government, such officers and such munitions and equipment of modern type as may be adjudged necessary by a joint commission of military experts, British and Persian, which shall assemble forthwith for the purpose of estimating the needs of Persia in respect of the formation of a uniform force which the Persian Government proposes to create for the establishment and preservation of order in the country and on its frontiers.

4. For the purpose of financing the reforms indicated in clauses 2 and 3 of this agreement, the British Government offer to provide or arrange a substantial loan for the Persian Government, for which adequate security shall be sought by the two Governments in consultation in the revenues of the Customs or other sources of income at the disposal of the Persian Government. Pending the completion of negotiations for such a loan the British Government will supply on account of it such funds as may be necessary for initiating the said reforms.

5. The British Government fully recognizing the urgent need which exists for the improvement of communications in Persia, with a view both to the extension of trade and the prevention of famine, are prepared to co-operate with the Persian Government for the encouragement of Anglo-Persian enterprise in this direction, both by means of railway construction and other forms of transport; subject always to the examination of the problems by experts and to agreement between the two Governments as to the particular projects which may be most necessary, practicable, and profitable.

6. The two Governments agree to the appointment forthwith of a joint Committee of experts for the examination and revision of the existing Customs Tariff with a view to its reconstruction on a basis calculated to accord with the legitimate interests of the country and to promote its prosperity.

Signed at Teheran, August 9, 1919.

The second Agreement defined the loan, which was fixed at £2,000,000 at 7 per cent, redeemable in twenty years. There were two letters, in the first of which the British Government promised co-operation in securing a revision of the treaties actually in force between the two Powers, compensation for damage suffered during the war, and any justifiable rectification of frontiers. In the second it was laid down that Great Britain would not claim from Persia the cost of maintaining British troops in Persia for the defence of her neutrality, and asked Persia, in return,
not to claim compensation for any damage done by her troops.

It seems unfortunate that this Agreement was not promptly submitted to the League of Nations, even although it had been concluded before the ratification of the Treaty of Peace. To quote Lord Grey of Fallodon: "Here was a case of helping a weak country where the League of Nations ought to have been brought into the matter, and it was a great pity that the League was not brought in." The result of this omission was to give a wrong impression to the world, especially in America and France, that we had turned Persia into a private preserve. It is so very difficult to carry through any measure in a country like Persia, that lack of sympathy from these two States may possibly wreck the Agreement. Apart from this, given an atmosphere of mutual trust, the Agreement is admirable. Persia requires a strong helping hand, and Great Britain, her only neighbour able to assist, extends it on generous terms, as she has done, time and again, in the past. In working for Persia we undoubtedly work for the peace of Asia and for peace on our frontiers. H.M. the Shah visited England in the autumn of 1919, and was warmly welcomed by all classes. More than once he referred to the Agreement in appreciative terms. He subsequently spent the winter in France and returned to Persia via Baghdad in the following spring.

To the onlooker it appeared to be essential that the Majlis should have been immediately summoned and the Agreement placed before it. This was not done, the alleged reason being the fear of Vusugh (who by the terms of the Constitution was bound to offer his resignation to the new Assembly), that he might not be re-elected. Actually, after the return of the Shah to Persia, the Cabinet of Vusugh, which had become unpopular, fell. It was replaced by one containing ministers who had espoused the German side during the Great War, and this Cabinet decided to consider the Agreement as in suspense pending ratification by the Majlis. This body has been summoned, and it remains to be seen whether it will agree to ratify the Agreement. In other words, the salvation of Persia rests in the hands of the Persians, who, it is to be hoped, will realize the many substantial advantages of the Agreement to historical Iran.
Finance and the Customs Tariff.—It is difficult to estimate the financial position of Persia at the present time. Thanks to the Great War, as already stated, enormous sums of money, largely in gold, were spent in the country by the belligerents. The exchange, too, became so favourable that the sterling debt of £4,659,000 was halved, and the debt of 35,300,000 roubles fell to almost nothing. Many classes undoubtedly made large profits, but, in spite of the presence of more money in the country, the Government are unable to collect the revenue, and have been in this condition for years. Moreover, the sums that actually reach the Treasury are frequently spent on most unworthy objects.

In 1920 a British Financial Adviser, Mr. Sydney Armitage-Smith, a Treasury official without experience of the East, was engaged by the Persian Government. He proceeded to Teheran, where he presumably gained some idea of the fundamental difficulties of the situation. To a certain extent he could occupy himself with advantage in superintending the sums of money that were being advanced to the Persian Government in connexion with the payment of the Cossack Brigade and on other accounts, but he cannot discharge his full functions until the Agreement is sanctioned by the Assembly.

1 I attach a statement of the debt taken from The Statesman’s Year-Book for 1921. With all overdue instalments paid up, the total debt of Persia as at December 31, 1920, was as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loans</th>
<th>Original Amount</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Russian 5% loan of 1900, 1902 (Roubles)</td>
<td>£32,500,000</td>
<td>£31,223,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Russian Consolidated 7% loan, 1911 (Krans)</td>
<td>60,000,030</td>
<td>£31,524,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Indian 5% loan</td>
<td>£314,281 : 16 : 4</td>
<td>£180,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Imperial Bank of Persia 5% loan of May 8, 1911</td>
<td>£1,250,000</td>
<td>£1,223,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. British Advances of 1912–14, 7%</td>
<td>£490,000</td>
<td>£490,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Russian Advances (Roubles)</td>
<td>1,891,500</td>
<td>1,575,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. British Advances, 1915–17</td>
<td>£2,17,000</td>
<td>£2,17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. &quot; &quot; 1918 (Krans)</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. &quot; &quot; 1918 (Krans)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. British Loan, August 9, 1919</td>
<td>£2,000,000</td>
<td>not yet received</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above shows the situation as it should be. The instalments of the Russian loans and advances are far in arrears; on No. 1 Roubles 2,503,208 are overdue, and on No. 2 nothing has been paid since 1914, thus leaving Krs. 35,110,948 overdue. The above amounts should therefore be added to the total debt. Coupons and interest on Nos. 3, 4, 5 are paid up to date. No arrangement has yet been made for the repayment of Nos. 7 and 8. Number 9 is a new advance which came into operation in August 1918. Number 10 is the loan concluded according to Article I. of the loan agreement, which is payable by instalments “after the British Financial Adviser shall have taken up the duties of his office at Teheran.”
A revised Customs Tariff has also been drawn up by representatives of the two Powers, and this also awaits the sanction of the same body before it can legally be brought into force.

The Anglo-Persian Military Commission.—Among the most important questions to be settled in connexion with the Anglo-Persian Agreement was the formation of a uniform force for Persia. Accordingly, a military commission, consisting of British and Persian officers, was appointed, and presented a unanimous report. The possible external and internal dangers to which Persia was exposed were summarized; the forces already in existence (the South Persia Rifles, 6000 strong; the Cossack Division, 8000 strong; and the Swedish gendarmerie, 8400 strong) were passed in review; the communications and resources of the country and its financial position were all dealt with. After describing the actual position, the Commission recommended the creation of two forces, namely, the army, under the Minister of War, and the police, under the Minister of the Interior. No other forces would be permitted. The total strength was fixed at 60,000 men; but it was recognized that Persian finances could not support such a force until the country had developed its resources, although that, again, was unlikely to happen until internal order had been restored. Consequently it was proposed to absorb the forces mentioned above, and to start with some 40,000 troops, at an estimated annual cost of about 15,000,000 tomans.

The Chief Military Adviser, with whom would be associated a Persian officer, would be British, but the Chief Staff Officer would be a Persian officer. Presumably this recommendation was designed to make it clear that the Persians would to a large extent control the force from its inception; for there is no Persian officer at present fit to hold such a post. On the other hand, with willingness to learn and accept advice, it is hoped that in time capable Persian staff officers may be trained.

In this scheme the South Persia Rifles, who have now been under British officers for five years, may be expected to play a leading rôle, and it is satisfactory to know that since the Armistice the force has distinguished itself on more than one occasion. To give the most important instances, in 1919 the various robber bands in the Isfahan province
united and occupied a large village near the city. The Bakhtiar Governor-General appealed for help, and two small columns from Shiraz and Kerman respectively, after marching considerably more than 300 miles in each case, converged on Isfahan, stormed the robbers’ position, captured the united bands and their leaders, and recovered a large quantity of loot. As a sequel to this brilliant affair, the Persian Government felt strong enough to execute Naib Husayn, who had terrorized the Kashan district for many years and had even been placed in charge of the road by the feeble administration. Again, in July 1920, Shaykh Husayn, who had long defied the Persian authorities at Bushire and had constantly raided the trade route, was attacked, and both he and his son were killed. As to the Cossack Division, it did good work at first in driving the Bolshevists from Meshed-i-Sir and Resht, but its moral had deteriorated, and finally it retired ignominiously through the British lines near Resht. As a sequel to this, the Russian officers resigned, and the disorganized force was taken over by General Dickson, whose task will be a very difficult one, in view of its unsatisfactory state.

The report of the Military Mission is in abeyance, pending the election of the new Assembly. When that body meets, strong efforts may be made to prevent the recommendations from being accepted. Should the scheme be rejected, little hope would remain of any improvement in Persia.

The Azerbaijan Republic.—In the general break-up of the Russian Empire, Baku became the capital of the Tartar state of Azerbaijan, and duly proclaimed its independence in May 1918; it had no connexion with the Persian province of the same name. General Dunsterville attempted to hold the city against the Turks, but, as related in Chapter LXXXVIII., he was obliged to evacuate it in September of the same year. Six weeks later the Turks, in their turn, withdrew, after the Armistice of October 31. Since that date the republic had been on terms of outward friendship with the victorious Powers, but even more friendly to Turkish refugees “wanted” by the Allies. In spite of this, the latter recognized the republic as a de facto Government in January 1920. A few months later a coup was struck by the Bolshevists, and Baku fell into their hands. They were very anxious to join hands with Nationalist Turkey, and the occupation of Baku was a forward step in this direction.
Perhaps they were equally anxious to secure the oil of Baku, a more valuable asset than any gold mine.

The Bolshevik Invasion of Persia.—In April 1920, fifteen ships constituting Denikin’s Caspian fleet fled from Baku to Enzeli, and as the result of some negotiations with the Persian authorities, in which the British military representative took part, it was decided that the fleet should be disarmed and interned. After the coup at Baku there was a press campaign at Teheran obviously inspired by Bolshevik money, the semi-official journal Iran, according to the correspondent of The Times, “contending that the doctrines of Bolshevism closely resemble the pure gospel of Islam and are the modern counterpart of the work begun by the Prophet Mohamed in the domain of religion.”

In May the Bolsheviks followed up Denikin’s fleet, as it was certain they would do. Upon the arrival of their squadron of thirteen ships off Enzeli, the port was bombarded. The British detachment of 500 men, which represented the last link of the very long weak chain stretching across North-west Persia from Mesopotamia to the Caspian Sea, was withdrawn to Resht, and afterwards to Kazvin. The Bolsheviks leisurely occupied Resht, and formed a Provisional Government under Kuchik Khan, that astute fisher in troubled waters. At Teheran there was a panic, and the British were immediately blamed for not defending the sacred soil of Persia. The Government protested strongly at Moscow and also to the newly formed League of Nations, but it was frankly pointed out by the French representative that, as the Anglo-Persian Agreement had not been submitted to the League, that body would appear to be incompetent to discuss the Persian problem. The Times bluntly characterized the proceedings as a “pompous farce.”

To resume, the Bolsheviks and Jangalis spread eastwards along the coast to Meshed-i-Sir. They were attacked by the Cossack Brigade, which recaptured Resht, but, as mentioned above, finally retreated in a demoralized condition to the British lines two stages south of that city. At the time of writing—March 1921—the Bolsheviks still threaten Persia, the number of their troops being 8,500 comparatively well-disciplined men in uniform with field artillery and machine-guns.

Armenia.—Nowhere has Europe failed more signally than in its half-hearted and ill-directed attempts to befriend
the Armenians. As in the days of the Sasanians, so, under Abdul Hamid, European protection irritated the rulers of this Christian people, and the sad results were massacres. I was at Constantinople and Trebizond shortly after the 1896 massacre, which was followed by a similar butchery in 1909. But these outrages on humanity were insignificant when compared with the dreadful slaughter which has been carried out during the Great War. So terrible and so successful were these continuous butcheries that at the Armistice only a percentage of the Armenian people was left. The majority had been done to death by hideous forms of torture, by outrage, by famine, by thirst, and by every means that fiends in human form could devise.

After the victory of the Allies the surviving Armenians were encouraged to hope for the formation of an Armenian State. Their claims were extensive, for they demanded not only the province of Erivan, but also six provinces of Anatolia, viz. Sivas, Erzerum, Kharput, Diarbekr, Bitlis, and Van. Unfortunately, in these six provinces the Kurds form the large majority of the population. They had murdered Armenians and taken possession of their lands, and they feared retribution if the outrages they had committed were brought up against them. Consequently the Kurds became hostile, and threatened fresh massacres; and it became clear that, in their own interests, the Armenians' claims should be modest. Actually the little state formed itself round the seat of the Patriarch at Echmiadzin and the town of Erivan, stretching northwards to include Kars and Alexandropol and southwards to the Aras, the boundary of Persia. Here the remnant might have been left to recover but for the refusal of Kemal Pasha to obey Constantinople, and the wish of the Bolshevists to join hands with him. In December 1920 the Armenians, owing to the return of King Constantine with its unfavourable reaction on the political situation in Asia Minor, were driven to despair. After maintaining an unequal struggle for some time, they were attacked by overwhelming Turkish forces and surrendered Kars and Alexandropol, together with their arms and ammunition. The Government subsequently resigned, to be succeeded by an extremist party which formed a Soviet government. Meanwhile President Wilson, to whom the question of the boundaries of the new state had been submitted, was
preparing his award, which, under the present circumstances, will constitute a mockery. It was hoped that America would undertake a small share of the burden laid on the shoulders of the victors in the Great War and help Armenia as a mandatory power, but she refused to set her hand to the plough and merely lavished food and clothes. Some day her citizens will realize that, by this refusal, they have doomed the most ancient Christian church to virtual annihilation.

*Communications and Transport.*—The bulk of Persian trade is carried by caravans of camels, mules, and donkeys. These animals were used up by thousands in the Great War, during which the mortality among camels was especially heavy. On the other hand, as already mentioned, the war gave Persia the boon of improved communications. The most important of these is the metalled road which now connects the light railway, constructed from Baghdad to the Persian frontier at Kuraitu (near Khanikin), with Kermanshah and Hamadan. At the latter city it joins the Russian road running to Kazvin, Resht, and Enzeli. From Kazvin an unmetalled track leads to Teheran. The Baghdad–Hamadan road is the great historical route of Persia, and its construction across the ranges of the Zagros is of immense value for commercial and administrative purposes, provided that it be kept in repair. Another of the routes opened up for motor transport during the war is that running from the British frontier to Meshed. Between 1916 and 1918 the Government of India constructed a railway from Nushki across the desert to Duzdab. From this terminus on the Perso-Baluch frontier a motor route, some six hundred miles in length, was opened to Birjand, Kain, and Meshed, where it met the road running to Askabad *via* Kuchan. Southern Persia has the Bushire–Shiraz and other routes mentioned in Chapters LXXXVII. and LXXXIX. Finally there is the route running from Ahwaz across the Bakhtiari Mountains to Isfahan, which, as shown in Chapter LXXXVI., was reopened by my column in 1916. Actually it was even worse than the Bushire route in pre-war days, but Messrs. Lynch built two bridges on it and effected a few other improvements which made it into a caravan route just passable by laden mules. During the war a much easier alignment across the mountains was discovered, and, in the interests of both Persia and Great Britain, it is to be hoped that it will be speedily opened up.
Railways.—Repeatedly in the last generation the question of railways in Persia has been brought forward. Reference has already been made to the Reuter Concession of 1872, which included the construction of railways. For many years Teheran was the hunting ground, though hardly a happy one, for concession-hunters, whose efforts had no greater success than the construction of a line running from Teheran to the Shrine of Shah Abdul Azim, a distance of only four miles. By 1912 the position had changed materially, and in place of concessionnaires attempting to secure agreements for private companies, the British and Russian Governments stepped in.

There is no doubt that Russia was anxious to construct a Trans-Persian line. The Tsar was interested in the scheme, as he had been in the Trans-Siberian Railway, and the military authorities were at least equally interested, hoping by means of a railway to gain access to Bandar Abbas or Chahbar. The British Government was in a difficult position. It was probably opposed to the construction of such a railway, which, in case of war, would bring Russian troops close to the frontiers of India, but yet it could not refuse to discuss the scheme. In 1912 a Société d’Études, including British, French, and Russian representatives, was formed with the avowed object of constructing a Trans-Persian railway, and it was intended, in the first instance, to build a line to Teheran from Alyat, on the Trans-Caucasus Railway to the south of Baku. Negotiations in connexion with this project were carried on until the outbreak of the Great War. In addition to this important scheme, the Russian Government, in 1913, secured a concession for the construction of a railway line from the terminus of the Russian system at Julfa to Tabriz, with certain rights for an extension to Kazvin, and a branch line to Lake Urumia, with a clause permitting navigation on the lake. As already mentioned, the line from Julfa to Tabriz was opened in 1916.

Meanwhile the British were also active, and a syndicate, which included Messrs. Greenway and Lynch, submitted to the Persian Government a proposal for the construction of railways in Southern Persia—\((a)\) from Mohamera or Khor Musa to Khorramabad or Burujird; \((b)\) from Bandar Abbas to Kerman; \((c)\) from Bandar Abbas to Shiraz; and \((d)\) from Bandar Abbas to Mohamera. Generally speaking, a monopoly
for the construction of railways in Southern Persia was asked for. The proposal, which included the right to construct ports where necessary, involved the issue by Persia of bonds secured on the railways and their earnings as well as on any other available revenues of the Government.

The line on which the syndicate intended to begin work was to start at Mohamera or in Khor Musa, an inlet of the sea only recently surveyed, which was found to run inland for many miles towards the River Karun, and to possess remarkable potentialities in the way of deep water and complete protection from storms. It was proposed to construct the railway, in the first instance, to Dizful, thereby opening up the rich plain of Arabistan, and then to rise through the hills to Khorramabad, and ultimately as far as Burujird, a town situated in the Russian sphere. Survey operations were attempted before the outbreak of the war, but the tribesmen threw too many obstacles in the way of the surveyors and prevented them from carrying out their task. The results of the Great War have entirely changed the position, and it seems that, under the new conditions, this scheme will be allowed to fall into abeyance.

There is no doubt that, if railways are constructed into the interior from the Persian Gulf, the port selected will be Bandar Abbas. The project of a line to run across the Rudbar district and the low Gishu pass to Rigan, Bam, and Kerman was examined and recommended by me many years ago, and the route was subsequently surveyed. The route from the same port to Shiraz has also been examined, but not yet surveyed, and the same remark applies to the Shiraz–Mohamera line, which, however, would hardly pay in any case, since it runs parallel to the coast rather than into the interior.

The only satisfactory solution of the problem of transport lies in the construction of railways, and here again Persia owes something to the Great War, for it was responsible for the construction of the line from Nushki to Duzdab. After the Armistice, a strong British group, which again included Sir Charles Greenway, began a survey along the line of the route through Kermanshah and Hamadan to Kazvin. Thence the survey may be continued westwards to Tabriz, northwards to Enzeli, and eastwards to Teheran. Upon its completion the Persian Government have the right of calling on the group to construct these lines, for operation
either as a Persian State Railway or as a private concern. It is of vital importance that railways should be built in Persia. Their influence would probably help the country to pass quickly from the civilization of the Middle Ages to that of the twentieth century, and would make for progress, wealth, and stability. But it is difficult to see how an investor could expect to receive a fair return for his money in such an enterprise under ordinary conditions. There would undoubtedly be considerable imports, but both exports and passenger traffic would be small. In India the perennial rivers have been tapped for irrigation schemes with complete success, and the means of subsistence thereby materially augmented, but very little can be done in this direction in Persia. In other words, the country seems of necessity limited to a scanty population, mainly from lack of a sufficient rainfall. The one thing that would alter the situation would be the discovery of minerals that could be profitably worked and exported. Apart from this, I cannot see how railways can be built without a subsidy or concession of some kind, and this important fact, as in the case of the earlier scheme, has undoubtedly been considered. Her friends earnestly hope that within the next decade Persia may be crossed by a railway system linking her to Europe on the one hand and to India on the other; for it is not too much to say that, until the problem of transport has been solved by the construction of railways, there will be little material progress.

Motor Transport.—I would here refer to the strong support given in the press to the idea of carrying goods to the interior of Persia in motor lorries. Supplies were carried in this manner by the military authorities during the war, owing to necessity and not on commercial lines. In the case of Dunsterville's Mission, petrol was obtainable at Enzeli, owing to the proximity of the Baku oil-fields, but, to speak generally, the motor lorry can be used only for passengers, for posts, and for light valuable goods. Most of the tracks are unsuitable for heavy traffic, or would soon become so, and the weight of petrol that would have to be sent up country and stored would prevent the scheme from paying. A simple calculation of the weight of petrol required per mile would readily prove this. The difficulties connected with repairs, which would constitute a heavy item, and with securing efficient drivers, would also be very great.
Ports.—The chief ports of the Persian Gulf are Bandar Abbas and Bushire; and at the mouth of the Karun River is the port of Mohamera. Bandar Abbas is an open roadstead, protected from heavy seas by the islands of Hormuz and Kishm. Ships anchor some two miles out, and communication with the shore is made by means of local craft, which are both inefficient and expensive. At Naiband, three miles to the east, a wooden pier was built for the use of the South Persia Rifles, and a metalled road has been made in the cantonment. Good drinking water has also been found. But, if a railway be constructed into the interior from Bandar Abbas, it is probable that a port would be created some miles to the west, at a point where ships can lie 500 yards off shore. All developments in South Persia will have this neighbourhood for its base, in spite of its trying climate, as from it five relatively easy routes lead into the interior.

At Bushire large sums of money have been spent by the British military authorities on improving landing conditions, and, as the route to Shiraz has been much improved, there is every reason to hope for considerable progress at this port. Ships have to lie three or four miles off shore, and no railway will ever be constructed up the Kotal, but the country is richer than that behind Bandar Abbas.

Mohamera is situated at the mouth of the Karun River, on its right bank. Since the opening to trade of the Karun, the importance of the town has gradually increased, more especially owing to the establishment of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company in the Karun Valley. Mohamera lies only a few miles below Basra, and it is possible that it may suffer from proximity to that great port, but, even so, it will always retain commercial importance, and it serves districts that are potentially rich.

On the Caspian Sea the chief port is Enzeli, at its southwest corner. It stands on the western of two sandy spits, opposite Gazian on the eastern spit. Between the two is the entrance to the Murdab or lagoon, which is 200 yards wide, but is made difficult by a bar. Enzeli has recently declined in favour of Gazian, which has wharves and landing-stages and is connected with Resht by a metalled road. Even before the Great War, Gazian was a Russian settlement with steamship offices, merchants’ warehouses, and hotel. Bandar
Gaz, at the south-east corner of the Caspian, is the best port of all, as the lagoon affords no hindrance to vessels, and the entrance to it has been buoyed by the Russian Government in connexion with the naval station on Ashurada Island. The trade is considerable, but much less than that of Enzeli. I retain unpleasant recollections of risks run in disembarking and embarking at Bandar Abbas, Bushire, and Enzeli, and am of opinion that nowhere do Persian maladministration and inefficiency strike the traveller more forcibly than at her utterly neglected ports.

The Indo-European Telegraph Department during the Great War.—Before referring to the question of commerce, I am giving a short account of the leading British interests. In Chapter LXXX. I described the formation of the fine system of telegraphs that Persia has obtained through British enterprise, owing to her position on the road between Europe and India. During the Great War the duties of the department were carried out under extraordinary difficulties, which few can appreciate as I can. The officials showed remarkable courage, zeal, and intelligence, whether it was a case of outwitting the Turks at Fao and delivering an important message, whether instruments had to be concealed from parties of raiding Germans, or supplies had to be purchased and laid out for my column, or valuable information had to be obtained. Much risk and danger were incurred, the officials being imprisoned at Shiraz, attacked at Chahbar, and frequently living for months under grave apprehension of violence. They also had to vacate their posts at Isfahan, Yezd, Kerman, and elsewhere with their families, and undertake journeys through disturbed provinces. In spite of these adverse conditions the administration report shows that during the war the increase in cable was 16 per cent, in line 38 per cent, and in wire 28 per cent. In all ranks, from the directors down to the humble gholams, who patrolled the line and were frequently stripped and beaten, the Indo-European Telegraph Department deserves well of its country and has a fine record to its credit.

The Imperial Bank of Persia.—Reports presented by commercial enterprises are frequently dull reading, but those of the Imperial Bank during the war were of extreme interest. In the account I have given of the various operations on Persian soil, it requires little imagination to realize the dangers and
difficulties incurred by the intrepid members of the staff, from the evacuation of Tabriz in January 1915 to the investment of Shiraz in the summer of 1918. I hardly think that banking has ever been carried on under circumstances of such peril and difficulty, nor perhaps has it ever rendered greater services. Persian silver money is extremely bulky, and it was thanks to the bankers alone that it was procurable in the very large quantities required for the British and Russian forces, not to mention the Persians who supported us. Moreover, the bank officials were valued advisers on political and intelligence matters, and frequently undertook the work of Consuls or Political Officers in addition to their own heavy duties.

The Anglo-Persian Oil Company.—The latest and most important commercial enterprise in Persia is that of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company, whose history is a romance of commerce. There is a belt of oil-bearing country running down from the famous oil-fields at Baku, through the Caucasus and Azerbaijan, and on both sides of the Turco-Persian frontier to the Persian Gulf. The existence of oil in abundant quantities has now been proved at many centres, especially near the Persian Gulf; but, until twenty years ago, the only known indications of its presence were a few primitive hand wells which had been worked by the natives for many centuries past.

In 1901 the Persian Government granted to Mr. D'Arcey, an Australian, a concession, by the terms of which the Persian Government received £20,000 in cash, paid-up shares of similar value, and 16 per cent of the annual net profits. The concession gave the exclusive right to bore for and to work oil throughout Persia except in the provinces of Azerbaijan, Gilan, Mazanderan, Astrabad, and Khorasan, during a period of sixty years from 1901. After a certain amount of geological examination, drilling was started at a point near Kasr-i-Shirin on the Turkish frontier. Apart from the necessity of paying Turkish customs, the choice of locality could hardly have been worse from a geographical or business point of view, since it involved great expense in the transport of the heavy boring plant, and also the difficulty and prohibitive cost of running a pipe line for some 300 miles to the Persian Gulf, across a hilly country inhabited by tribes of a most predatory type. Oil was at length struck in large quantities, and
only then, apparently, did it dawn upon the advisers of the concessionnaire that some £300,000 of good English money had been wasted owing to lack of knowledge of the local conditions.

D'Arcy thereupon enlisted the interest of the Burma Oil Company and a new syndicate was formed, which began prospecting in the Bakhtiari country, where the presence of an oil spring had been reported at least twenty years earlier, and test boring was eventually started on the site of the present Maydan-i-Naftun or "Plain of Naphtha." For a long time there was no success, and orders were on the point of being issued to close down the work when a "gusher" of oil was struck and saved the situation. Much credit is due to the early pioneers, who not only suffered from a very trying climate without proper houses or food, but had also to endure patiently the truculent insolence of the tribesmen. The Bakhtiari put many difficulties in the way of pioneer operations, and, notwithstanding that they were receiving a handsome subsidy to provide protection for the Europeans, treated all complaints with indifference. The manager was repeatedly threatened by his Bakhtiari guard; and not until a small escort of Indian troops appeared on the scene, and the Bakhtiari chiefs were subsidized by further payments in cash and shares, was there any security for the British community.

There was at one time a great risk of the enterprise falling into foreign hands, but Lord Selborne and Mr. E. G. Pretyman, realizing its Imperial importance, were largely instrumental in keeping it in British hands. Later, in 1909, the Anglo-Persian Oil Company was formed, and Lord Strathcona became the first chairman. It was constituted originally with a capital of £2,000,000. Developments rapidly followed. A pipe-line was laid running from the wells to Wais on the Karun River, and thence through Ahwaz to the island of Abadan, situated in the Shatt-al-Arab, a few miles below Mohamera. On this island a refinery was established capable of dealing with large quantities of the crude oil.

A new chapter in the history of the enterprise was opened when the Admiralty were induced to consider the question of providing some of the further capital required for the full development of the undertaking, with the view of securing supplies of liquid fuel for the British Navy. A commission was sent out to investigate and report on the question, and made a
most favourable report, with the result that, in 1914, the British Government agreed to invest £2,000,000 in the Company.

During the Great War the Company rendered important services to the State. Liquid fuel was supplied to ships serving in Eastern waters and in the Mediterranean, while effectual aid was given in the matter of river transport in Mesopotamia. Large quantities of the same fuel and of petrol and kerosene were also furnished to other theatres of the war, particularly in Mesopotamia, where, but for these supplies, it would have been impossible to carry the campaign through to a successful conclusion, owing to the scarcity of tonnage and the consequent impracticability of bringing these vital adjuncts of war from more distant centres.

Since the declaration of peace there have been further considerable developments. The authorized capital of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company has been increased to £20,000,000, and its operations in the production, refining, transport, and sale of oil have assumed a world-wide character. The British Government's investment in the Company now stands at £5,000,000, but the market value of their holding is believed to be many times the amount of the sum actually invested.

From this brief account it will be seen that what at first seemed likely to prove a disastrous enterprise has now, by the energy, foresight, and technical knowledge of those who have been responsible for the management of the company, been developed into a concern of great Imperial importance, which may be expected in the future not only to add largely to the revenues of Persia, but also to become a considerable source of income to the British nation.

Commerce.—In pre-war days there was considerable trade rivalry in Persia between Russia and Great Britain. The position of the former Power was by far the more favourable. The Northern Provinces are the richest and most populous and are conterminous with the Russian Empire, the Moslem populations on both sides of the frontier living the same lives and having the same requirements. Russia showed considerable skill in taking advantage of these conditions. She discriminated by her tariff in favour of raw materials grown in Persia, cotton, for example, which was imported in large quantities to Moscow, paying about one-sixth of the dues levied on the American product. The cotton was converted
into calico, and traders received a subsidy on each bale they sold in Persia. More than this, a Persian who ordered Russian goods merely made a deposit and paid for them, bale by bale, if he liked, as he took delivery, being charged interest at 8 per cent on the balance not taken over. Whether this system was profitable to the Russian Treasury it is difficult to say, but it was ideally suitable to the Persian merchant, who possesses very little capital. The demand for Persian cotton, wool, carpets, dried fruits, wheat, and barley gradually built up a big export trade, until the exports from Persia to Russia nearly equalled the imports, thus placing the trade on a thoroughly sound footing.

The trade with Great Britain was less satisfactory, as it consisted almost entirely of imports. Persian products had slight value in the market of Bombay. The cotton was short in staple, and the hides, owing to the dry climate, were of inferior quality. Wool, opium, pistachio nuts, carpets, and occasionally wheat, were the only exports. When Consul at Kerman I found that its carpets reached Constantinople, their chief market, by the long and costly overland route via Tabriz, because the trade was in the hands of the merchants of that city. After some time I succeeded in impressing upon their obtuse minds that the carpets would reach the market at one-half the cost if exported via Bandar Abbas, and by this means I added to the exports from that port. Generally speaking, there is no proper flow both ways, and unless workable minerals are discovered, Anglo-Persian commerce will never be placed on a really sound basis. Oil is handled separately and, as an export, does not materially help matters, although, of course, it swells the Persian returns.

Among the results of the present misrule of Russia by Soviet government is a suspension of trade with Persia. For the time being, therefore, Anglo-Indian trade is prospering, there being a keen demand especially for cotton goods and clothing. This state of affairs is likely to continue for some years to come, and should allow British subjects time to study the country carefully and to investigate its resources. This cannot be done quickly, as past failures have shown. Persia suffers a good deal from travellers who see the enormous empty spaces and dream of them as covered with wheat, whereas the scanty rainfall limits the quantity of crops that can be grown. And experience under similar conditions in
British Baluchistan proves the difficulty of making irrigation dams that will pay. I recently read articles recommending the establishment of sugar refineries in Persia, for the reason that the soil is well adapted for the cultivation of the sugar beet. The difficulty is that the supply of water is limited, and it is almost all required for growing the staple crops. There are districts, it is true, where water and land are available, but here, very often, communications are bad and labour and transport are scarce. Russian intrigue was no doubt partly responsible for the failure of the sugar refinery opened at Teheran under Belgian auspices some twenty-five years ago, but the main cause was fear lest the scanty grain crops raised in the neighbourhood of Teheran should be reduced in favour of the sugar beet, and this fear was well founded.

_The Present Situation._—It is difficult to write definitely about a situation which is so changeable as that in Persia, but yet a few facts may be discerned by those who can read the signs of the times. The return to power of many Persians who joined our enemies, the tightening of our purse-strings, the loosening of Bolshevist purse-strings and their propaganda, are all factors in the situation. Persia, as ever, will accept money freely from Great Britain or any other power and little else except protection in an emergency. She is not grateful for the retention at Kazvin of 3600 British troops, which have saved her so far from a Bolshevist advance on the capital, and, if the Bolshevists remained inactive for a few weeks, she would prefer to see the British march back to Bagdad. So proud of his barren country is the son of Iran that he believes it to be impossible for a stranger to avoid coveting it, and, until we prove the sincerity of our professions by evacuation, he remains in his heart suspicious of us. In Persia, according to a saying, it is usual to hate a good official while in office and to seek to trace him with a lantern and beg him to return—when it is too late. In other words, Persians may not appreciate the sincere and disinterested attitude of the British until they are left alone to face their difficulties, and then—lanterns will be lighted.

We have shown our readiness to help Persia, but will the Assembly accept our aid and ratify the Agreement?
Perhaps it may, but much more than this is needed to make it a success. Persians are past masters in engaging in interminable discussions barren of result, and I fear that Persia may not face the facts and may decline to allow any control, however necessary it may be to save her very existence as a Power. Great Britain by the terms of the Agreement offered to help Persia, but this offer did not include paying for a brigade of British troops and the South Persia Rifles for an indefinite period. Even if it were desirable to do so, why should the crushing load of the British taxpayer be increased by several millions a year? In other words, we cannot afford to continue these costly commitments.

Will Persia become a convert to Bolshevikist propaganda? It is difficult to answer this question. We read of proposals emanating from Moscow, by the terms of which the Bolsheviks cancel all debts owed by Persia, and all railway, road, and land concessions. Compensation, too, is promised for damage due to the Bolshevikist invasion. Other terms are tantamount to a recognition of the Soviet principle in Persia; and unlimited consular representation, or, in other words, unlimited opportunities for propaganda are demanded. The Cossack coup d'état announced at the time of going to press has brought in a Cabinet which intends to reject both the British and Bolshevik proposals, and to create a force under foreign officers for the defence of the country after the departure of the British troops. Will this new Cabinet, based on the discredited Cossack Division, be strong enough to defend Persia against the Bolsheviks? I doubt it. In my opinion she may burn her fingers in the hot seething cauldron of Russian Communism and will then bitterly repent. It cannot be too often repeated that the percentage of roughs and robbers in the country is very high, and that a call to loot may prove irresistible, in which case her experience is likely to be terribly severe. On the other hand, the Bolsheviks, whose aim is India, may try to secure the friendship of Persia, but their subordinates will hardly refrain from looting.

And will Persia be able to stand alone if we take away the British troops and disband the South Persia Rifles? A reference to this history proves clearly that she has been kept going since the grant of a Constitution, for which she was not ready, almost entirely by British support on which each Prime Minister has leaned. It does not necessarily follow
that she will break up, but, unless she sets her own house in order, year by year, the population of both villages and towns will alike decrease, and the entire country will suffer from nomadization, towards which it is now moving. British interests will suffer more severely than in the past when the Kashgais, for example, realize that they have nothing more to fear from the British. No doubt efforts will be made to protect our subjects and trade, but such efforts are likely to prove ineffectual. Altogether the outlook is dark.

An Appeal.—My task is now ended, and for the future I shall mainly watch and record events in Persia. To me it is clear that, unless the upper classes reform themselves and renounce their present privileges, as was done in another Asiatic country, Japan, there can be no real progress. The Turkish proverb runs, “A fish putrefies from the head,” and unless the Persian grandees cease to spoil their own countrymen and to add village to village with the proceeds of spoliation, unless they dismiss their hordes of idle servants and themselves work honestly for Persia, they are doomed, and justly doomed, and their country will be involved with them; for the middle and lower classes are not competent to take the lead and save Persia by themselves. Europe in the Middle Ages was ground down by robber barons as Persia is to-day, and yet surely, though slowly, it progressed towards light and liberty; and why should not Persia do as much? But the time is short.

I have set down the facts as they are, and in so doing may offend prominent figures on the Persian stage. But centuries ago wise old Matthew Paris wrote: “The case of historical writers is hard; for if they tell the truth, they provoke man, and if they write what is false, they offend God.”

I refuse to abandon hope, however uncertain the situation may now appear, and I appeal to Persia to realize the truth as here set down by an old and true friend, and, in the new era that is now dawning, to play a part worthy of her splendid past.
FINAL ESSAY

Where the word of a King is, there is power; and who may say unto him, What doest thou?—Ecclesiastes viii. 4.


The Potsdam Agreement of 1911.—The Anglo-Russian Agreement of 1907 is dealt with at some length in this work. It was naturally distasteful to Germany, whose ruler, as history has proved, exercised a sinister influence over the weakling Tsar. Anglo-Russian relations in Persia were none too good after the signature of the Agreement, Russia setting to work to annex her zone, while Great Britain, without much success, used her influence to maintain Persian independence. In Asia the victory of Japan over Russia had kindled hopes of liberty and reform, with which the British sympathized, whereas Russia was entirely for monarchical despotism.

The Tsar, anxious as he was to maintain the Triple Entente, yet wished to recover German support. Influenced by these views and by the stronger personality of the Kaiser, when he visited Potsdam in 1910, negotiations were opened which culminated in the Potsdam Agreement. By its terms Russia engaged herself to support the Baghdad railway, while Germany equally bound herself to support Russian interests in Persia. At that time Russia was deep in railway projects, which included a Teheran-Khanikin line, and her earnestness is proved by the German engagement to construct a branch line from the Baghdad

2 The text is given in Schulthe, Europäischer Geschichtskalender, 1911, pp. 498-9.
railway to the Persian frontier at Khanikin to serve as a link between the two systems. A further stipulation, which constituted a menace to Great Britain, was the Agreement that if Russia failed to commence the construction of the Teheran-Khanikin railway within two years of the completion of the linking line, Germany should be free to apply for the concession.

When the Treaty was published in 1911, Great Britain and France protested strongly against it, pointing out that it threatened to nullify the Entente, with the result that it finally became a dead letter. It certainly did no credit to the political acumen of the Tsar and, temporarily at any rate, weakened the Entente.

_Fresh Light on German War Activities in Persia._—During the decade that has elapsed since the Great War, fresh light has been shed on German activities and policy in Persia, which supplements the account I have given above.¹

We learn a great deal about the formation of the Missions at conferences held at Berlin, where Niedermayer, the leader of the Mission to Persia and Afghanistan, and Zugmayer, who was in charge of German activities in South Persia, consulted with Zimmermann, of the German Foreign Office, with the Persian Takizada, with Sven Hedin, the Swedish traveller, and with other experts. The personnel consisted of 200 propagandists, furnished with boxes of money which included English and Turkish gold to the value of £60,000, and Persian _krans_. Paper-money, printed in Persian characters, was also supplied, but could not be negotiated. Munitions were provided, and sets of wireless apparatus. The scheme was to organize a line of stations across Irak, Persia and Afghanistan towards India. As soon as this preparatory work was completed, a Mission composed of German instructors was to join the propagandists and train troops, who would assist in the general offensive against India.

The Mission met with difficulties, even at Constantinople, where Enver Pasha insisted on its members being gazetted Turkish officers, and Zugmayer, whose journal is deeply interesting, complains that the Turks were not at all anxious to

---

¹ *Vide* li. 442 et seq. and the following works:

_Mit Feldmarshall Von der Gola Pasha in Mesopotamien und Persien,* by Lt.-Col. Hans von Kiesling. _Dieterich, Leipzig, 1923._

_La Politique orientale de l'Allemagne en Perse._ This valuable work appeared as the June number, 1927, of _La Revue du Monde Musulman* (vol. lxxv).  

_Unter der Glutsonne Iran,* by Oskar von Niedermayer. _Munich, 1928._
help it. The munitions and wireless sets excited the suspicions of the Rumanian customs' officials and were confiscated, so that a member of the Mission had to be deputed to pass future consignments by bribery. At Aleppo there was much trouble with the members of the Mission, owing to Niedermayer insisting upon its organization on military lines, but early in 1915 it reached Baghdad, where the Turkish military authorities wished to utilize its services to fight the British. Escaping from this entanglement with difficulty, it was met with hostility by the Turkish official in charge of the Persian frontier, who was fighting the Sinjabis, and refused to be responsible for its safety. However, once across the frontier, the support of the Swedish gendarmerie officers, who had sold their honour for German gold,¹ procured the Mission a good reception and the only solid support it ever gained.

Niedermayer, in his book, explains that he quickly realized the impossibility of fighting his way across the Perso-Afghan frontier. He entered the Lut near Nain, to the north-west of Yezd, and, at Tabas, divided his party into three. The duty of one, consisting mainly of sick men and camels, was to bear to the north and attract the Russian patrols that were reported to be guarding the Kain-Birjand route. A second, composed of camels carrying boxes of stones, was directed to bear to the south, to draw the attention of the British. Niedermayer himself marched due east with lightly laden mules, supported by a supply column of camels that could be abandoned in case of need.

An independent party under Wagner, which had reached Kain ahead of Niedermayer, was driven off by Russian Cossacks,² with the loss of their baggage and money. Niedermayer despatched guides to bring them in and refitted the German leaders, but the incident had demoralized their Persian levies, the majority of whom he was obliged to dismiss. After the delay caused by this set-back, thanks to his skilfully laid plans and rapid movements, Niedermayer, successfully avoiding the patrols, crossed the Afghan frontier and reached Herat on 24th August 1915. His passage of the desert in the heat of summer was a fine performance.

Towards the end of 1915, Von der Goltz was appointed to the command of all the German and Turkish troops in Iraq and

¹ To quote from Zugmayer's diary of 13/3/15: "The gendarmerie is always entirely with us and paid by Germany."
² Vide ii. 449.
Persia. The instructions given to the veteran Field-Marshal, as regards Persia, were “to direct the enterprises undertaken to drive out the Russian and English forces which penetrated into Persia by violence. . . . The formation of an army by the co-ordination of the military forces of the Persian Government.”

Nizam-u-Saltana, Governor of Kermanshah, was bought by monthly payments of £4,000 and was appointed “Chief-of-the National Movement for the Deliverance of Persia.” Furthermore, he was to direct the German and Turkish troops that were fighting the Russians and British with the aid of a German staff. Nizam on his part agreed to raise 4,000 troops immediately.

Of greater importance than the treaty with Nizam was the secret treaty negotiated with Mustaafi-ul-Mamalik, President of the Council, by the terms of which the full support of Persia was promised to the Germans. It was also promised that her army should be mobilized and placed under the orders of Von der Goltz.

The Field-Marshal reached Kermanshah in January 1916 with a large staff and a force of Turkish troops. He was disgusted to find only some 2,500 men of the gendarmerie and perhaps 2,000 recruits, scattered about at various centres, whereas he had been assured that 35,000 Persians were fighting for Germany. Moreover the tribes had not risen, as Nizam had guaranteed they would. Finally his Turkish troops were regarded with suspicion.

Colonel Bopp and his instructors set to work to train the recruits, but, being practically unprovided with arms and equipment, they created a bad impression, and they treated Turks and Persians alike with such arrogance and contempt that they made little progress. Von der Goltz accurately summed up the situation in February 1916: “Anarchy in Persia. Nothing to be done. Dust, Cupidity, and Cowardice. Vast expenditure and no return.” A few days after this report was written, the Russians swept the Germans out of Kermanshah and destroyed their equipment factories.

To turn to the fortunes of the other Missions, Griesinger, whose diary has been published, was a member of Zugmayer’s party, which hoped to organize a serious attack on British Baluchistan with the help of the tribesmen of Persian Baluchi-

1 The instructions given to Von der Goltz and the treaty with Nizam are given in La Politique orientale, etc., pp. 98-101.
2 German Intrigues in Persia. Hodder and Stoughton, 1918.
stan. He gives an interesting account of German activities at Isfahan and Kerman, referring to Persians, Bengalis and his own comrades in language which proved his unfitness for such a difficult task. After a residence of seven months at Kerman he proceeded to Bam in February 1916 and met Dr. Biach, the failure of whose mission to Baluchistan led to the entry: "Our beautiful dreams of invading English territory in conjunction with the 'brave' Baluchis all vanished in smoke." Later we read: "Now we are really in an evil mousetrap," and so the event proved.

Before quitting this subject, a reference must be made to the enormous production of propagandist literature, large quantities of which I seized at Kerman. It appears that twenty-eight leaflets were published in Persian, Turkish, Arabic and Hindustani for Asiatic propaganda. A list was seized showing the number of centres with paid agents and the large quantity of literature despatched to each, which proved that German propaganda was conducted more ably and on a much larger scale than that of the British. This, at any rate, was the opinion of Niedermayer.

The Rise of Riza Khan to the Throne.—Dynasties in Persia have usually been founded by successful soldiers, but Riza Khan was an officer of the defeated Cossack Division. After the ignominious retreat of this force before the Bolshevists to the safety of the British lines, it was encamped near Kazvin, and a British officer was appointed to restore its moral. He was evidently successful, for when Sayyid Zia-u-Din opened up relations with the officers of the force and suggested that they should march on Teheran, Riza Khan led 3000 Persian Cossacks to the capital in February 1921 and seized the Government, as mentioned above. A British officer gives the following description of Riza Khan, whom he met at Kazvin in 1920: "One of the most distinguished and handsome Persians I have ever seen. His manner was reticent, but when I offered to show him round my mechanical transport lines he was in his element. He fired question after question at me for over an hour, and I was amazed to find how quickly he grasped the most difficult points."

Riza, appointed Minister of War, immediately displayed considerable strength of character, together with much jealousy

1 La Politique orientale, etc., pp. 110-119. 6
2 ii. 525. 7 ii. 539.
4 Checkmate, by F. A. C. Forbes Leith, p. 22.
of foreign interference. To ensure the devotion of the troops, he insisted on certain branches of the Ministry of Finance being transferred to the Ministry of War, and thereby secured money for his officers and men. After securing his position, he got rid of the Sayyid, and the latter's successors were the nominees of this dominating personality until, in 1923, he became Prime Minister himself.

His relations with Sultan Ahmad are not difficult to conceive. The Shah made long stays in Europe and, upon his return, became a mere nonentity. Foreign observers noted that, even at public ceremonies, the grandees turned their backs on their monarch in haste to bow to the rising Minister. In 1923 the Shah, who was apparently in fear of his life, left Persia finally for Europe, where he died in 1930.

In March 1924 Persia, deeply influenced by the example of Turkey, evinced a strong sentiment in favour of a republic, with Riza as its first President. The Press became violently anti-monarchical, and telegrams advocating a republic poured in from the provinces, together with reports of enthusiastic demonstrations. Riza Khan, impressed by the apparent strength of the movement, consented to the proposal, which seemed likely to be carried in the Majlis. However, at this juncture, the Turkish Grand National Assembly not only abolished the Caliphate, but disestablished the Moslem religion. Persia was not prepared to follow this lead, and, the Mujtahids successfully using their influence with the merchants of Teheran, whose trade at the New Year (21st March) had suffered seriously from the political excitement, the movement suddenly collapsed. Riza adroitly changed his policy, and, advocating the views of the Mujtahids, proclaimed that the establishment of a republic in Persia would be contrary to the Shia religion.

His influence had apparently suffered no serious eclipse, for in February 1925 he demanded, and was granted, dictatorial powers by the Majlis. The feeling in favour of the strong ruler found further expression in the deposition of the absentee Shah in October 1925. A Constituent Assembly was then convened, which elected Riza Khan to be Shah in December 1925. Thus with due pomp and circumstance the humble peasant of Mazanderan ascended the throne of Cyrus the Great and Shah Abbas and assumed the title of Shah Riza Pahlavi.¹

¹ For the meaning of this word, vide i. 466.
H.I.M. SHAH RIZA, PAHLAVI.
The Policy of Shah Riza.—The new ruler from the beginning determined to assert his authority over every province of Persia, to disarm the tribes and to establish law and order. Like Mustafa Kemal, although resolute in his determination to secure the complete independence of his country, he was ready to use European ideas and inventions.

Like his great predecessors, he has based his power mainly on his army. Re-enlisting the officers and men of the South Persia Rifles and of the Cossack Division, he has organized a national army 40,000 strong, which is better trained and better equipped than any force commanded by Persians in modern times. One of the most difficult problems was that presented by Shaykh Khazal, who ruled over the Muhaisin Arabs—from Ahwaz to the mouth of the Shatt-al-Arab. He had been practically independent for many years, although recognizing the sovereignty of the Shah, especially in his dealings with British representatives. He had invariably afforded his powerful support to the Anglo-Persian Oil Company, and, as a neutral, rendered many friendly services to the British during the Great War.

Riza was determined to enforce the authority of the Government, whereas the Shaykh, frightened by rumours that it was intended to cancel the various grants made to him under the Kajar dynasty, foolishly denounced the new policy. In the upshot he was arrested in 1925, and was taken to Teheran, where he has apparently been well treated.

After the removal of the Shaykh there was grave discontent in Khuzistan, where the oppression and corruption of the Persian officials, who had taken over the administration of the country, drove the peasants to revolt. The province had enjoyed practical autonomy for generations, and was only separated by the Shatt-al-Arab from Irak, which was rejoicing in its deliverance from the Turk. The revolt was suppressed, and Riza, who inquired into its causes, dismissed the Governor.

In Luristan there was a rising among the predatory tribesmen, mainly due to their resentment at the construction of a road through their country, which was intended to be a preliminary to a railway. The Persian troops suffered severe losses, but, finally, an arrangement was come to. The Luristan question is one of the most difficult to solve and is likely to remain so. Efforts are being made to create a settled population along the road by building villages and offering inducements
of various kinds, but so far this policy has not met with success. The work of disarming the tribes was nominally completed in 1925. The Kashgais submitted and surrendered many of their rifles. However, four years later they rose in rebellion, and troops were hurried south from every centre. The tribesmen demanded the return of their chief Solah-u-Dola, the abolition of the military governorships, of conscription, and of European dress. They also stipulated for a reduction in taxation.

The Shah displayed his usual customary vigour, and terms were finally arranged, but, as in the case of the Lurs and indeed of all the nomad tribes, the new order will be hated and the question will require ceaseless vigilance, combined with firmness, tact and honest dealing.

Persia is under military government. For example, the whole of Southern Persia is controlled by the general of the southern army, whose headquarters are at Shiraz. The Mullahs, like the nomad chiefs, have lost much of their power for evil, and, so far have changes gone, that Government offices have been opened for the registration of civil marriages. Turkey has been followed in these innovations and also in the Shah’s insistence on the adoption of modern headgear in the form of the Pahlavi peaked hat, which is apparently detested. The use of motor transport is increasing at an amazing rate. Indeed, even the poor are beginning to travel, with the result that there is a wider outlook than in pre-war days. Whether the new state of affairs will result in greater content among the peasants is doubtful, but the motor is likely to have a greater influence on the future of Persia than any other invention.

The Annulment of the Anglo-Persian Agreement, 1921.—When the second edition of this work was published, the fate of the Anglo-Persian Agreement had not been settled,¹ but the omens were inauspicious, and, as the months passed, the feeling against the Agreement increased. Sipahdar brought the matter to an issue by fixing February 20th, 1921, as the date for the new Majlis to meet. On the following day Riza Khan seized the Government and arrested the members of Sipahdar’s Cabinet. On February 26th the new Cabinet announced the annulment of the Agreement, the very day on which the Perso-Russian Agreement was signed at Moscow. Nothing could have been more marked than this rebuff. The

¹ ii. 520 et seq.
Majlis confirmed the decision of the Cabinet and displayed marked hostility to the British during the debate. Lord Curzon, the author of the Agreement, felt the failure of his policy acutely, and, to quote his biographer, "sang a mournful requiem over his perished hopes."

I have shown above that Persians regarded the Anglo-Russian Agreement as tantamount to the division of Persia between the rival powers. There was certainly some reason for this belief, which was strengthened in 1915 by the Anglo-Russian understanding, granting Russia a free hand in her zone; and as a quid pro quo for consenting to Russia dealing with Constantinople as she wished, the neutral zone in Persia was added to the British zone. Yet looking back on our policy for the last forty years, one cannot fail to be impressed by the unselfish efforts of the British Government to prevent Persia from falling into a state of hopeless anarchy, from which Great Britain undoubtedly saved her time and again. The situation after the Great War was similar to that which caused the British such acute anxiety after the second Afghan War. In both cases it was saved by the appearance of a strong man, Abdur Rahman in Afghanistan and Shah Riza in Persia, who proved to be the saviours of their countries.

The Second American Financial Mission, 1922-25.—The failure of Mr. Morgan Shuster in 1911 is referred to in Chapter LXXXIV. Eleven years later the situation was entirely changed. Persia had annulled the Anglo-Persian Agreement in February 1921, and, by the treaty signed in the same month with Russia, all debts due by Persia to Russia were cancelled. The British, although temporarily disappointed at the turn of events, were ready to welcome the new Financial Adviser, Dr. Millspaugh, who gradually took stock of the difficult situation.

The American Adviser accomplished much sound work with the support of the Shah. To quote from his book: "Revenues were increased, economies were effected, the credit of the Government was improved, and the principle that budgets must be balanced and that a nation must increase its taxes to provide for increased expenditure have been given Parliamentary approval."

Some months before the expiry of his contract the Persian

---

1 The Life of Lord Curzon, by the Earl of Ronaldshay, vol. iii. p. 223.
2 ii. 413.
Government decided to reduce Dr. Millspaugh’s powers. They insisted that in the event of disagreement between him and the Minister of Finance, the dispute should be referred to the Council of Ministers or to the Majlis. Millspaugh demanded that, as in the case of his previous contract, the right of reference should be to the Majlis and to the Majlis alone. The Persian Government refused to yield, and decided to engage other financial advisers, Germans and Swiss, whose powers would be strictly limited.

Persia and Russia.—The Bolshevist invasion of the Caspian provinces is briefly referred to above.¹ Later information² proves that, as in the reign of Peter the Great, an attempt was made to occupy Gilan and Mazanderan and to separate these provinces from Persia. After landing at Enzeli and occupying Resht in May 1920, the garrison of Persian Cossacks was surrounded and disarmed, while the police joined the invaders. Supplies were commandeered and shipped to Baku, the landlords were imprisoned and fined, and even the mosques were not spared. By July the Bolshevists had occupied the entire province of Gilan, while the British, in pursuance of orders not to attack the invaders, destroyed the Manjil bridge and retired to the vicinity of Kazvin.

The Bolshevists, while threatening Teheran on this line, attempted to march on the capital by the shorter but more difficult route through Mazanderan. Their movements created a panic at the capital, and the Shah thought of retreating to Isfahan. This threat was, however, never carried out, the fact being that the Bolshevists revelled in the supplies of fertile Mazanderan and were loath to cross the rugged mountains and desert plains that protected Teheran.

The Cossack division, as recorded above, advanced into Gilan, reoccupied Resht and attacked Enzeli. Indeed, it seemed likely that they would expel the invaders. However, the latter, having received reinforcements, made a sudden night-attack and defeated the Persians, who fled panic-stricken to the safety of the British lines.

In spite of this decisive success, the Bolshevist leaders finally reported that they had made no way with the people, who resented their attacks on the Koran and the unveiling of women. They summed up the situation by laying down that Persia, having no

¹ ii. 526.
industrial population, was not suitable for Bolshevist propaganda. It was, in consequence, decided to abandon the occupation of Gilan and to make friends with Persia.

Professor Toynbee, in his admirable *Survey of International Affairs*, points out that, after the success of the Bolshevist Revolution, Soviet Russia "anxiously scanned the international horizon for any breaches in the hostile cordon. . . . One of these fields was Germany, another China, and a third the Islamic World." ¹

At the Armistice the position of Great Britain, with troops in South, North and North-east Persia, and also in the Caucasus, lent colour to the insinuations of her rivals that Lord Curzon was forcing the Anglo-Persian Agreement on an unwilling country. There was also, perhaps, the failure to gauge the strength of the nationalist movement, alike in Persia and Turkey, with the result that both these countries naturally considered that Great Britain, which had severed Irak, Palestine, Syria and Arabia from the Ottoman dominions, was the enemy. Consequently there is small cause for wonder that Persia and Turkey turned to Russia.

The immediate result of Soviet activities were five treaties "linking Turkey, Persia and Afghanistan with Soviet Russia, the only missing link being a direct treaty between Turkey and Persia." The treaty which Russia concluded with Persia in 1921 was a remarkable document. It repudiated and published what Czardom and its Western allies had done. It renounced all debts due to the Czarist Government and handed over to the Persian nation the *Banque a’Escompte*, various roads, the Julfa-Tabriz railway, the quays and steamers on Lake Urumia, the island of Ashurada and the port of Gazian, opposite Enzeli. Equally it renounced rights under the Capitulations. The only exception to a complete surrender was the question of the fishing industries of the Persian shore of the Caspian, which question was later settled by agreement.

It was stipulated in the above treaty that a Customs’ Convention should be negotiated. This, however, in view of the economic views held at Moscow, proved to be a difficult task. Indeed, relations became strained to such an extent that, in 1926, an embargo was placed on exports from Persia to Russia, a measure which caused heavy loss, alike to Persian agriculturists and traders.

¹ 1928, p. 358.
In 1927 a provisional arrangement was come to, by the terms of which Persian exports to Russia were restricted to a maximum annual value of fifty million roubles, and Soviet imports to 90 per cent. of Persian exports. In January 1928 ratifications of the above treaties were exchanged, and shortly afterwards the port of Enzeli was—at long last—handed back to Persia. It was renamed Pahlavi, in honour of the Shah.

In the period covered by these negotiations it is clear that the friendly feelings once entertained by Persia towards Russia have changed. This is partly due to a clearer recognition that the Soviet is distinctly an aggressive Government, whereas Great Britain has given proofs of her goodwill. Moreover, apart from the question of religion, there is a gulf set between Persia, with its determination to adopt European civilization, and Soviet Russia, which has deliberately repudiated that civilization.

**Persian Relations with Turkey.**—I have already shown how deeply Persia has been influenced by the Turkish movement towards European civilization. But she will never forget that Turkey has harried and held her most fertile provinces, and there is also the strong feeling of the Shias against the Sunnis. These feelings have frequently been stirred by frontier raids, and, although Persia now has Irak for her neighbour along most of her frontier, there still remain the turbulent Kurds, divided between the three states.

In 1926 a treaty of perpetual peace was negotiated between Persia and Turkey, and it was arranged that, after the signature, negotiations for customs and postal services should be undertaken. Good relations were, however, strained by Turkish operations against the Kurds, which had "Turkification by force" in view. The result was that the frontier was kept in a disturbed state, complaints being made by both sides. A crisis was reached in October 1927 when the Turks believed that a body of their troops, which had been captured by Kurds on Turkish territory, were being held as prisoners in Persia. This produced a threat of rupture of relations, but explanations were offered and negotiations have dragged on interminably. The Kurdish question may continue to be a source of ill-feeling between the two Powers for many years to come.  

---

1. It had been seized in 1920. *Vide* ii. 526.
2. According to the latest information, the instruments of ratification of the peace treaty were exchanged at Teheran in July 1930.
Persia and Afghanistan.—Before the Great War, Afghanistan watched the troubles in Persia, and the Amir hoped that he might seize Khorasan, which had been a province of the founder of the dynasty. Persia, on the other hand, looked down on Afghanistan as a barbarous country, and showed it whenever there was correspondence between representatives of the two Governments. However, the situation changed after the Great War when the three Islamic States united in their determination to "westernize" their subjects. In 1923 a treaty was negotiated with Afghanistan, which is much on the same lines as that with Turkey.

King Amanullah visited both Mustafa Kemal and Shah Riza on his return to Afghanistan from his visit to Europe in 1929. It is to be feared that their success in "westernizing" their subjects encouraged Amanullah to drive his more primitive and fanatical subjects along the same narrow path. Mustafa Kemal abolished the fez, Riza substituted the Pahlavi hat for the becoming kulla, and Punch's cartoon of a frantic Afghan stamping on a "bowler" aptly illustrated the cause of Amanullah's downfall.

Persia and Ira—At first sight it would have been reasonable to suppose that Persia would have welcomed the substitution of Great Britain as Mandatory Power for Sunni Turkey, the hereditary foe, but this was far from being the case. In Ira more than half the population belongs to the Shia sect, mainly grouped round the four sacred cities of Kerbela, Najaf, Samarra and Kazimain. The Mujahids, whose power in the religious affairs of Persia was dominant,¹ and who are mainly of Persian descent, have made these cities into centres of fanaticism. They were hostile to the Turks, but more hostile to the British, realizing that their influence and privileges would diminish under the law and order of the Mandatory Power.

In the revolt of 1920 the Mujahids took an active part, and again, in 1922, a leading divine of Kazimain displayed intense hostility to the Anglo-Ira Treaty, going so far as to issue a fatwa that those who failed to fight against the new order should be denied burial in Moslem graveyards. Later, in the same year, participation in the elections was forbidden, under threat of dire penalties, with the result that the bellicose Mujahid was deported to Aden as a stage on the pilgrimage to
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Mecca. As a protest against the action of the British, nine of the leading divines left Irak for Persia, where their appeals to the fanaticism of their fellow-countrymen created strong feeling against the Mandatory Power. Time, however, as so often, proved to be the healer. The Mujtahids heard with disgust that Irak was unmoved at their departure. They also realized that the longer they remained in exile the more their pockets would suffer. Accordingly they longed to return to Irak, and the British, who regretted the decrease in the number of money-spending pilgrims, were ready to be lenient. Finally, in 1923, the Mujtahids, after having agreed to take no further part in politics, returned home. The holy firebrand of Kazimain retired to the sacred city of Meshed.

We now come to questions between the two Governments. Fortunately, the boundary between the two countries had been delimited, and the actual pillars had been erected just before the outbreak of the Great War. There were, however, various frontier incidents, chief among them being a revolt among the Persian Kurds, headed by the irrepressible Salar-u-Dola. After some fighting the Kajar Pretender, who is no hero, was driven across the Persian frontier into Irak, where he was arrested. By a friendly arrangement Persia pays the Salar's debts and an allowance, in return for which he lives at Haifa under police supervision.

The question of the Shatt-al-Arab was of greater importance than frontier raids. It is governed by the Treaty of Erzerum, to which effect had been given by the Boundary Commission of 1914. The frontier of the waterway upstream from a point two miles below Fao was fixed at low-water line on the Persian bank. In other words, the entire waterway was given to Irak. The result was that the Karun, with its ports of Mohamera and Ahwaz, was only accessible through the territorial waters of Irak. This undoubted hardship is, of course, mitigated for Persia by the possession of numerous ports on the Persian Gulf, whereas the Shatt-al-Arab constitutes the sole line of access between Irak and the Persian Gulf.

The Persian Minister of Finance visited Abadan in 1928, and insisted on the removal of the Irak Customs Office from the island to the Customs vessel moored a few yards distant on the Irak side. He also objected to foreign warships mooring
alongside the jetty without special permission, but this objection, which was ungracious in view of the fact that the protection against piracy is maintained by the British gunboats at British expense, was finally waived.

The question that aroused the deepest feeling in Persia, except perhaps the exodus of the Mujtahids, was the status of the large number of Irak residents who were Persians by descent. In 1924 persons desirous of renouncing Irak nationality were called upon to do so at rather short notice. The question thus raised affected thousands, many of whom were unaware of the announcement and equally unable to pay the fee. To add to the complication, the Persian Government claimed for those nationals whose status was established the privilege of Capitulations at a time when they were denouncing them in Persia, and declared that, until they were granted, Persia did not intend to recognize Irak. To this stern pronouncement the Irak Government replied that, under Turkish rule, Persians had enjoyed no capitulatory privileges in Irak, and again, that Persia had expressed no intention of granting Irak subjects similar privileges in Persia. Finally, it was pointed out that the number of Persian subjects in Irak was so great that capitulatory privileges would seriously endanger the work of administration and of justice.

The case for Irak appears to have been overwhelmingly strong, but Persian pride held the field until, in 1929, the British applied to the League of Nations for its approval to terminate the Anglo-Iraki Judiciary Agreement, by which certain privileges were enjoyed by European foreigners. Persia realized that the bottom was knocked out of her case, with the result that negotiations were opened which led to the despatch of a Mission from King Feisal to congratulate the Shah on the third anniversary of his coronation. At a banquet given in honour of the Envoy, the formal recognition of Irak was announced, which constitutes a valuable contribution to peace and progress in the Middle East.

The Abolition of the Capitulations, 1928.—No better example of the change in the relations between the East and the West can be found than the successful insistence by Asiatic Powers on the abolition of the system under which Europeans could not be tried by native courts. The first breach in the system was the denunciation of the Capitulations by Turkey in 1914, followed by their abolition by mutual agreement in the Peace
Settlement at Lausanne. China also abolished Capitulations with Germany, Austria and Hungary in the Treaty of Versailles.

The Soviet Government, as mentioned above, renounced capitulatory privileges in 1921. This renunciation was of special importance to Persia, in view of the fact that the Treaty of Turkomanchái, concluded between Persia and Russia in 1828, imposed the very system which it was now sought to abolish. It was evident that, without a complete reorganization of the law and judiciary, Persian Courts would not be competent to try Europeans. The Government had already taken up the question of law, and in 1927 the New Judicial Regulations, based mainly on French law, were formally inaugurated by the Shah. His Majesty used this important occasion to command the Prime Minister publicly to secure the abolition of the Capitulations. The sequel to these instructions was the notification to the Powers that the Capitulations would be abolished in 1928—just a century after the Treaty of Turkomanchái. In the negotiations that followed, certain safeguards for foreign residents were insisted upon. The Persian Government, possibly realizing that, at first, their judges would be inefficient, showed a reasonable readiness to meet the views of the foreign powers, provided that the principle of the abolition was accepted. Among these provisions was one referring compulsorily to arbitration any lawsuit between a Persian and a foreigner, provided that either party demanded it. Other provisions provided against foreign subjects being arrested or confined in Persian prisons. France, whose subjects in Persia are few, hastened to agree to the abolition, and Great Britain, whose attitude had been sympathetic throughout, finally gave her consent.

At the time of writing, reliable informants state that the judges are frequently ignorant of the new laws which they administer and give verdicts which contravene them. It is obvious that competent judges cannot be created by a resolution of the Majlis, and it is to be hoped that, in time, the laws will be efficiently and honestly administered—but the date is, I fear, far distant.

The Customs Tariff of 1928.—I have dealt with Customs questions and more especially with the tariff that was drawn up in 1903. Owing to preponderating Russian influence at
the time, it was framed in favour of the Russian products of petroleum and sugar and severely penalized such British imports as tea.  

Persia determined to draw up a new Customs’ tariff, which came into force on the same day as the abolition of the Capitulations. By its terms heavy maximum scales were to be applicable to all countries, except those to which more favourable terms would be conceded by new treaties.

Persia granted the minimum tariff to the powers who had negotiated treaties with her, but reserved the right to increase these rates if the contracting power increased her tariff against Persian products. The treaty provided for the abrogation of all existing treaties which limited the rights of Persia to complete financial autonomy, and this note pervades the document. Great Britain and other Powers duly signed this treaty.

The Trans-Persian Railway.—I have dealt at some length with various railway schemes which were proposed to the Persian Government after the Great War. The Shah decided against a Teheran–Hamadan–Khanikin alignment that would join up with the Irak railway system, because he wanted a line that would start from a port on the open Persian Gulf and be constructed across Persia to the Caspian Sea. Consequently he selected the port of Khor Musa, an undeveloped and uninhabited inlet of the Persian Gulf, and gave a contract for a railway line to be constructed for about 150 miles to Dizful. In the north he concluded a contract for a shorter length of line to be constructed from Bandar Gaz at the extreme southeast corner of the Caspian, to run parallel to the coast before attempting to scale the lofty Elburz range.

The first sod of this great enterprise was cut in the autumn of 1927, and work was started from both ports by a German-American syndicate, with a small British and French participation. In November 1929 the northern section from Bandar Gaz to Sari was opened for traffic by the Shah and is working. In January 1930 the section from Bandar Shapur, as the port in Khor Musa has been appropriately named, to Dizful was also opened by His Majesty. Owing to floods following heavy rains, the royal train “was twice derailed and finally the engine caught fire.” On May Day the syndicate declined to proceed with their operations because the Persian Government
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owed arrears of £600,000, and the Persian reply was to denounce the contract.

This pause will, at any rate, give time for reflection before continuing the gigantic task. To give some details, Bandar Shapur will have to be created on land reclaimed from the tidal mud-flats at a cost of millions. Again, north of Dizful, the projected line in its ascent to the Iranian Plateau crosses range after range of parallel mountains to Burujird at 356 miles from the coast, passing through a district which is inhabited by a few thousand predatory nomads. From Burujird to Teheran is 374 miles, thus making the distance to the capital a total of some 730 miles. It is to be noted that, owing to physical difficulties, the main caravan route running from Bushire via Shiraz, Isfahan, Kashan and Kum to Teheran is left throughout many miles to the East. The northern section measures only 300 miles, but one-third of it will pass through the Elburz range and involve 25 miles of tunnels. The cost of this grandiose scheme may well reach £50,000,000, and in view of the scanty population, the large desert areas, the absence of exports and the poverty and backward state of Persia, I hold the view that the railway, even if completed, could not possibly pay working expenses, much less show a profit. As an alternative, I would recommend that the available money should be spent on the construction and upkeep of roads.

Persia and Aviation.—Among the new forces at work in Persia is aviation. Some two years ago Junkers, a German firm, opened up services to Baku in the north, to Baghdad in the west, to Meshed eastwards, and southwards to Bushire. The first year only 300 Persian passengers ventured to fly, but in the second there were over 3000, and each year will undoubtedly show a steady increase both in passengers and goods. Persia with its dry climate, its amazing visibility and its great distances is an ideal country for aviation. Apart from this aspect of the question, law and order will certainly be strengthened by the advent of the aeroplane, while Persians will be able to travel far and wide as never before.

The Air Route to India.—Among the important questions to be settled by Persia with Great Britain was that of the Persian section of the through air-route to India. This service passed from Cairo through Baghdad and thence across Irak to the head of the Persian Gulf. From that point it headed for British Baluchistan and Karachi. The most convenient align-
ment followed the Persian coast, passing Bushire, Bandar Abbas and Jask. From Jask eastwards the Indo-European telegraph line follows the coast, which obviously would be of great value to the aviators.

Pioneer flights had been carried out from Cairo to Karachi soon after the Armistice, and Persia had not only acceded to the International Air Convention of 1919, but had signed a provisional agreement in 1925, granting the British a right of way along the coast as desired. By its terms Persia gained an unsubsidized air-service; she also became the owner of all aerodromes which the British might construct.

However, determined at all costs to carry through the abolition of the Capitulations, Persia resolved to utilize this concession as a means for bringing pressure on the British Government, and, in pursuance of this policy, she suddenly announced that an airway along her southern coast would not be permitted, but that an alignment across Central Persia might be favourably considered. Finally, however, in 1928, when the Capitulations had been abolished, an Agreement was concluded with Imperial Airways, by the terms of which Persia withdrew her objections to the coastal route. In the spring of 1929 the through service was inaugurated, and, by an arrangement to meet the Junkers Air Service at Baghdad and Bushire, forged for Persia fresh and valuable connexion with the outer world.

The Financial Situation.—By the terms of a bill submitted to the Majlis in March 1930, a gold standard is to take the place of the existing silver currency. By its provisions the unit will be a gold ryal divisible into 100 dinars. There will be two gold coins, one a pahlavi having the same fine gold content as the English sovereign. The second gold coin will be a half-pahlavi.

There will also be four silver, three nickel and two copper coins to complete the currency, the silver unit being the ryal, a token coin, which will be exchangeable at 20 ryll to the pahlavi. The date of this important change is not yet fixed, but in terms of the Act it should take place during 1931. It is doubtful if the gold pahlavi will be in free circulation, but it is proposed to maintain the equilibrium of the currency by offering exchange on a gold standard country, say on London, at a fixed rate, presumably in the neighbourhood of £1 for 20 ryals.
The Persian Government recognize the difficulties attendant upon a change from a silver to a gold basis, and are reported to be accumulating foreign balances with which to stabilize their exchange. The task is doubtless a difficult one, and will call for careful management by currency experts, who should be entirely free from political influences.

In connexion with the above change, the Persian Government deemed it essential to issue their own bank notes, which was the exclusive right of the Imperial Bank of Persia, and they accordingly invited the Bank to negotiate on the matter. As a result of these negotiations the Bank, in consideration of £200,000 being paid by the Persian Government on 20th March 1931 (when the withdrawal of the notes issued by the bank is to commence), agreed to relinquish their rights to issue notes. The Persian Government on their side have agreed to cancel the obligation of the Bank to pay royalty on its profits. It is understood that the Persian Government consider that the Imperial Bank of Persia has met their wishes in a satisfactory manner. Finally, the financial situation has been helped by Great Britain reducing her claims against Persia from 4½ millions to 2 millions sterling and by agreeing to accept repayment in the shape of 25 annuities of £180,000. The Persian Government accepted this liberal offer some five years ago, but the Agreement has not as yet been ratified by the Majlis.

The importance of the Anglo-Persian Oil Company has been dealt with at some length in this work,¹ and it is noteworthy that the Shah recently inspected several centres of the industry and especially the great refinery at Abadan. His Majesty expressed satisfaction with everything he saw, and, in view of the great prosperity now enjoyed by the company, it is clear that the handsome royalty paid to Persia constitutes the anchor-sheet of her finance.

The Position in 1930.—Persia, as I have shown, is fast changing—perhaps too fast. In any case the process is a difficult one. The old Governors, rapacious as they were, generally acted through the local officials, who, at any rate, acquired wealth and developed estates around their own homes. To-day these scions of well-known families are replaced by military officers from Teheran, who are frequently changed and have little sympathy for the people they rule. On the
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contrary, they generally adopt an attitude of contempt for all provincials, and any money they may extort is spent at Teheran or in Europe.

Again, taxation is heavier and is collected to the full, whereas under the old order it was usual to have a deal with the collector, who was generally amenable to flattery if accompanied by a gift. Fresh taxation has also been imposed in the shape of a graduated income tax of 3 per cent. to 4 per cent. on the net profits of all companies, whether or no their head offices are established in Persia. Likewise professional men, merchants, and traders generally are required to obtain licences, and for this purpose are graded into classes. The cumulative effect of this new taxation will certainly involve a rise in the cost of living.

To turn to another question, in the Shah's attitude towards Mission schools we have a clear indication of the royal policy. At first it was decided to insist on the Government curriculum, which included teaching the Shar or Sacred Law. To this the Missionaries were bound to object, but explanations were offered, and it was finally decided that neither the Christian nor the Moslem religion should be taught during school hours. Since this agreement was come to, the Mission schools have been officially recognized, and the Shah has expressed his approval of their work.

It is interesting to note that the Church Missionary Society has opened a school at Isfahan on English Public School lines, with boarding-houses. The training of character is badly needed in Persia, and this the Public School system will supply to her great benefit. The Government is also sending one hundred students annually to Europe to study for six years, while efforts are being made to improve education in Persia itself.

To look outside Persia, her recent election to the Council of the League of Nations will increase her prestige in the world and will enable her to play an increasingly important rôle in the Middle East. Such a compliment, due in part to a recognition of her progress during recent years, will certainly and rightly increase the national pride of Persia and will strengthen the position of the Shah.

Again, the undoubted renascence of national feeling which I have referred to above will certainly be fostered by the International Exhibition of Persian Art that will shortly be
held in London, an exhibition that will prove to the world how brightly her genius has burned down the ages and to what a remarkable degree it has influenced Europe.

To conclude, Persia is now ruled by a resolute and patriotic Shah, and her many friends will wish His Majesty a long life in which to continue his beneficent activities.
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Abadan (island), ii. 440
Abadch, ii. 207, 467, 480, 501, 503; defence and relief of, 512-513
Abaga Khan, II-Khan, ii. 55; accession of, 100; campaign of, 101-102; battle of Abulustin, 102; battle of Hims, 103; intercourse with Europe, 103; 107
Abasquin, i. 27
Abbas I., the Great, i. 343; ii. 156, 170; career and reign of, 172 et seq.; accession, 172-173; makes peace with the Turks, 173; the Urgum invasion, 174; temporary abdication, 174-175; campaigns against Turkey, 177-179; Sir Robert Sherley's mission, 179-180; character and achievements, 180-183, 189, 195, 204, 208, 209, 217, 255
Abbas II., Shah, ii. 212, 218
Abbas, uncle of Mohamed, i. 553
Abbas, sister of Haroun-al-Rashid, ii. 2, 3
Abbas Efendi, head of the Bahai sect, ii. 343
Abbasid Dynasty, i. 526, 553, 555; early period of, 558-563
Abbas Mirza, Persian heir-apparent, ii. 312, 313, 314, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321; campaign in Khorasan, 323-324; death of, 325
Abbas Mirza, son of Sulayman, ii. 214
Abbott, Major James, ii. 354 footnote, 356
Abdal, the, of Herat, ii. 221-224
Abd-al-Rahman, chooses Othman for the Caliphate, i. 530-531
Abdera, i. 150
Abdul Azim, Shah (Shrine), ii. 357, 447, 529
Abdul Baha, head of the Bahai religion, ii. 343
Abdulla, Khor (inlet), ii. 432
Abdulla, surnamed Mamun, q.v.
Abdulla (son of Merwan II.), i. 556
Abdulla (son of Amir Kasghan), ii. 118, 119
Abdulla (youngest son of Shiba and father of Mohamed), i. 508
Abdulla (uncle of Abul Abbas), i. 556
Abdulla II. (Uzbek monarch), ii. 174
Abdulla, Abul Abbas, i. 555; proclaimed first Abbasid Caliph, 556; reign and death, 559-560
Abdulla, Mir, Ghiztai, ii. 221, 234
Abdulla Behbchani, Sayyid, Tcheban deputy, ii. 408
Abdulla bin Maymun al-Kadddah, secret society of, ii. 36-37
Abdulla Ibn Muavia, rebellion of, i. 554
Abdulla Ibn Zobuyr, i. 539, 540; rebellion of, 547; 548
Abdulla Kopru (Turkish leader), ii. 253
Abdul Latif, son of Uluh Beg, ii. 138
Abdul Malik the Caliph, i. 548, 549; death of, 550; 551, 553
Abdul Malik, Samadin Prince, ii. 20, 21, 26
Abdul Malik (successor of Mansur II.), ii. 22
Abdul Mustaf, i. 507; Abrah's march on Mecca, 508-509
Abdur Rahman, early convert to Islam, i. 512
Abdur Rassak, envoy from Shah Rukh, i. 4 footnote; ii. 185
Abgarus, Chief of Garhoene, i. 381, 384
Abi-Diz, River, account of, i. 40; 41, 45
Abi-Gargar, i. 41, 404
Abivard, district in Khorasan, ii. 29, 248
Abraha ( Abyssinian Viceroy), i. 455; march on Mecca and death, 508, 509
Abraham, Patriarch, i. 506
Abraham of Crete, i. 247 footnote, 254 footnote, 273
Abrocosas (Sarasp of Syria), i. 222
Abu Ali (Momein ibn Ilias), ruler of Kerman, ii. 24
Abu Ali bin Sina, vide Avicenna
Abu Ali Hasan bin Isak, known as the Nizam-ul-Mulk, q.v.
Abu Bekr, i. 492; adheres to Mohamed, 512, 515; 520, 521, 523, 525; elected Caliph, 526, 527; 528; death of, 529, 543
Abubekr, Atebeg, ii. 55
Abu Ishak, known as the Caliph Motasim, q.v.
Abu Jafar, Mansur, vide Mansur
Abu Kalinjar, Muzaffarid Prince, ii. 31
Abul Fatheh Khan, Zand, ii. 282
Abul Fayz Khan, Amir of Bokhara, ii. 263
Abul Hasan, Mahallati, known as the Sirdar, ii. 337
Abul Hasan Khan, Haji Mirza, Persian envoy, ii. 308
Abulisin, battle of, ii. 102
Akbar Khan, son of Dost Mohamed, ii. 334
Akhal Oasis, i. 307
Akkad, i. 38, 52; meaning of, 461; early history, 61 et seq. i. 93, 280
Ak-Kuyunlu, or "White Sheep" Dynasty, ii. 94.
Akstafa River, ii. 318
Aksum, city of, i. 508
Ala (Governor of the Bahrain Province), i. 499
Alafrah, son of Gyskhatu, ii. 114
Ala Kapi ("Lofty Gate"), at Isfahan, ii. 199-200
Ala Kush-Tekin, chief of the White Tartars, ii. 74
Alamut, chief fortress of the Assassins, ii. 38, 39, 48, 95
Alamut, or Aifwand, Prince of the Ak-Kuyunlu Dynasty, ii. 159
Alani, the, i. 379, 383, 407; ii. 89
Alaric, i. 429
Ala-u-Din, the "World-Burner," ii. 48
Ala-u-Din Juwayni (historian), ii. 145
Ala-u-Din Mohamed, Shah of Khwarazm, ii. 53, 54, 55; pursuit and death of, 78
Ala-u-Dola, nephew of Ulugh Beg, ii. 138, 408
Ala-u-Saltana, Prime Minister, ii. 430
Albania, province in the Caucasus, i. 22, 343, 345, 436, 484
Albigenses, the, i. 406
Albinus, Roman general, i. 384.
Al-biruni (historian), i. 404, 405; ii. 23, 24, footnote, 55-60
Al-Duruzi, Vizier of Hakim Bismillah, ii. 37
Aleppo, i. 480; ii. 91, 98, 102, 111, 179
Alexander the Great, i. 22, 28, 42, 62, 159, 182, 194, 211, 224, 233, 234, 235, 237; quarrel with his father, Philip of Macedon, 238, 239; extraordinary fame of, 239; myths concerning, 240; youth and accession of, 241; recognised by Hecatas, 241, 242; destruction of Thebes, 242; campaigns of, 244 et seq.; battle of the Granicus, 246-247; surrender of Sardes, 247-248; campaign in Caria, Lycia, Pamphylia, Pisidia and Phrygia, 248; battle of Issus, 249-250; siege and capture of Tyre, 252-253; annexation of Egypt, 253-254; battle of Arbela, 255-258; capture of Babylon and Susa, 258-259; occupation of Persepolis and Pasargadae, 259-260; capture of Ecbatana, 261; pursuit and death of Darius Codomannus, 261-262; conquest of Hycania, Parthia, and Aria, 264, 265; annexation of Sistan and march up the Helmand, 266-267; crosses Hindu Kush and annexes Bactria, 267; captures Bessus, 268; advance to Sir Dara, 268; first Macedonian reverse, 268; capture of the Sogdian Rock, 269; invasion of India, 269-270; battle with Porus, 271-272; limit of conquest, 273-274; expedition to the Indian Ocean, 275-276; march from the Indus to Susa, 276-277; voyage to Babylon, 278-279; death, 279-280; character and achievements, 280, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286; destruction of his family, 288; 293, 296, 302, 303, 313, 314, 326, 332, 344, 355, 366, 371, 381, 388, 392, 420, 421, 423, 480, 483, 501, 543, 546; ii. 78, 88, 120, 216
Alexander, son of Amyntas, King of Macedon, i. 190, 206, 236
Alexander, King (son of Roxana), i. 285, 288
Alexander (Sattrap of Persia), ii. 311
Alexander, Tzar, ii. 305
Alexander Balas (the Pretender), i. 329
Alexandria, i. 253-254, 325, 361; besieged by Omar, 530; 531
Alexandria (now Kandahar), i. 267
Alexandria (ad Caucasum), i. 267, 270
Alexandria (modern Gulashkird), i. 278, 303
Alexandria (on the Hari Rud), i. 303
Alexandria Eschat (the modern Khojand), i. 268
Alexandrovsk, ii. 498
Alexis, Emperor, ii. 212
Alexius, Emperor, ii. 44
Alfred the Great, i. 174
Al-Ghazali (theologian), ii. 33; career of, 65
Al Hurr, Arab chief, i. 540, 541
Ali, Caliph (son of Abu Talib), i. 512, 518, 531; elected Caliph, 532; war against Muavia, 532-533; arbitration, 534; last years of, and assassination, 534; character, 535, 538, 543, 544, 545, 552, 554, 561; ii. 14, 114
Ali (general in Amin's army), ii. 4
Ali, Khaja, ancestor of the Safavi Dynasty, ii. 156
Ali Asghar, son of Husayn, ii. 541
Ali Aghar Khan, Grand Vizier, ii. 378-379
Ali bin Buwayha, ii. 24
Ali Dost, Subadar-Major, ii. 473, 502
Ali Khan, Chief of the Sarbandi, ii. 362
Alkhanoff, Russian officer, ii. 359
Ali Kuli Khan (Bakhtiari chief), ii. 418
Ali Kuli Khan, vide Adil Shah
Ali Mohamed, Sayyid, founder of the Babi religion, ii. 341 et seq.
Ali of Oude, Vizier, ii. 299
All Riza, vide Riza, Imam
Ali-Katif, district behind Bahrein, ii. 527
Ali-Kunduri, Vizier of Toghril, ii. 32
Allah ho Akbar Range, ii. 248
Allat, Arabian goddess, i. 506
Allis, i. 491
Al-Muttabl (brother of Hashim), i. 507
Alp Aralan, Seljuk, ii. 32, 33; reign of, 32
Alptigin, chief of Ghazna, ii. 22, 26
Alta Mountain, i. 454
Altitigin, Khan of Samarcand, ii. 34
Alum Khan, Mir, Arab chief, ii. 276
Al-Uzza, Goddess of Venus, ii. 506
Alvand, Mount, i. 16, 120
Al-Yakubi (Arab historian), ii. 542
Alyat, ii. 529
Amadaai (the Medes), i. 117
Amadans, ancient name of Hamsadn, 9.v.
*Amanic Gate, i. 250
Aman Ulla Khan, Ghiltai, ii. 226, 227, 231, 235; death of, 239
Amara, ii. 442
Amdrians (or Mardians), i. 53
Amassia, King of Egypt, i. 145, 157, 158, 215
*Amazia, Temple of, i. 89
Aménophis III., King of Egypt, i. 83
Aménophis IV., King of Egypt, i. 83
America, Financial Mission (1911), ii. 423-424
Amestris, Persian Queen, i. 175, 212, 217, 219
Amphisia, city on the Euphrates, i. 491
Amida (the modern Diarbekr), i. 417; bishop of, 433: capture of, 430
Amin, son of Zobaya; reign and death of, ii. 4
Amina (mother of Mohamed), i. 508
Aminia, i. 44
Amin-u-Dola, ii. 489
Amin-u-Sultan, Grand Visier, ii. 395
Amir Bahadur Jang, ii. 406, 409
Amirabad, Kurdish stronghold in Khorasan, ii. 324
Amir-i-Nasam, widi Taki Khan, Mirza
Amirkut, ii. 267
Amman Kasir, possibly the Memnon of the Greeks, i. 54
Amman-budus, King of Babylon, i. 74
Ammon, i. 158; oasis of, 254
Amorium, Greek fortress, ii. 12
Ampe, city at the mouth of the Tigris, i. 189
Amr (Moslem general), i. 529, 533, 534, 541, 549
*Amur-ul-Lais, Saffar, career of, ii. 19, 20, 21
Amu Daria (the Orux), River, i. 23
Amul, ii. 126
Amyntas, Macedonian monarch, i. 190, 236, 239
Amyrtaeus, King of Egypt, i. 215
Amyrtaeus (grandson), i. 228
Aazhita or Anazita (Goddess of Fecundity), i. 230, 313
Anar, ii. 460
Anastasius, Emperor, i. 443, 444
Anatolia, i. 129; ii. 527
Anau, site in Transcaspia, i. 57, 58
Ancyr, battle of, i. 299-300; 309
Andalusia, i. 7
Anderab, town in Afghan Turkestan, i. 268
Andragoras (Ssatrap of Parthia), i. 308
Andronicus the Elder, embassy to Ghazan Khan, ii. 112
Andros, i. 205
Anglo-Persian Military Commission, ii. 524-525
Anglo-Persian Oil Company, ii. 440; protection of pipe-line, 440 et seq.; development of, 514-515
Anglo-Russian Agreement (1907), ii. 410 et seq. (1919), 520-522
Angora, ii. 119; battles of, 130
Anjuman, the, ii. 408-409, 410
Anselm (Dominican Friar), ii. 92, 93
Anshan or Anzan, district of, i. 53, 67, 69, 70, 141, 142
An-shih, Chinese name for Parthia, i. 339
Antalcidas, Peace of, i. 227, 228, 229
Antigonus, i. 285, 286; struggle with Eumenes, 286-287; rise to power, 287; defeats Ptolemy, 290; 291, 294
Antigonus (nephew of Hyrcanus), i. 357
Antigonus Doson of Macedon, i. 301
Antigonus Gonatas, son of Demetrius, i. 295, 296, 298
Antioch, i. 291, 292, 329, 330, 354, 355, 357, 381, 395, 400, 401, 402; seized by Shapur I., 402; 418, 440; captured by Noshirwan, 451; sacked by the Persians, 482; captured by Mohammed, 520; ii. 34; captured by Crusaders, 441, 97
Antioch-in-Persia, i. 303
Antiochus I. (Soter), son of Seleucus, i. 291; accession of, 295; defeats the Gauls, 396; death of, 297-298
Antiochus II. (Theos), reign of, i. 298
Antiochus III. (the Great), i. 309; early career, 310-312; campaign against Araxes III., 312-313; march through Bactria, the Panjab, and Kerman, 313; Egyptian campaign, 315-316; relations with Rome, 317-318; battle of Magnesia, 319-320; death, 321; 324, 325, 326, 334-335
Antiochus IV. (Epiphanes), i. 325; Eastern campaigns and death, 326; persecution of the Jews, 326-327; 328, 335, 345
Antiochus (son of Alexander the Pretender), i. 329
Antiochus Hierax, Seleucid Prince, i. 300, 301
Antiochus Sidetes, Seleucid Prince, i. 330, 331
Antipater, King of Egypt, i. 249, 285, 286, 288, 292
Antonius, Marcus, Roman Emperor, i. 380
Antony, Mark: early career, i. 355-356; battle of Philippi, 356; 357; Peace of Brundisium, 358; expedition against Parthia, 359; 360; campaigns in Armenia, 361, 362; 363, 366, 372, 410, 479
Anu-banini (Semitic monarch), i. 68
Anushirwan, widi Noshirwan
Anushigist, founder of the Dynasty of Khwarazm Shahs, ii. 34; 49
Anwari (poet), ii. 51, 52, 66
Anzan, district of, widi Anshan
Anzan-Susanka, i. 50
Aorinos, i. 267, 270
Apama, daughter of Seleucus, i. 297
Apama, daughter of Spitamenes, i. 286, 302
Apaneia, i. 293, 304, 357, 451, 456; sacked by the Persians, 482
Apavarta range, i. 310
Aphetae, harbour in Greece, i. 201
Artaxerxes I. (Longimanus), King, i. 138; accession of, 213-214; 216, 217, 218, 191, 192.
Artaxerxes II. (Mnemon), King, i. 56, 183, 220, 221, 223; Egyptian campaign, 227 et seq.; expedition against the Cadusians, 229; last days of, 229-230; 308, 388
Artaxerxes III.: accession of, i. 230-231; reconquest of Egypt, 231-233; murder of, 232
Artaxerxes (Boy-King of Persia), i. 489
Artaxerxes (Vassal King of Persia), vide Ardenhir
Artaxias, King of Armenia, i. 373, 374, 375.
Artemision, i. 200; naval engagement off, 201; 202
Artogeraessa, fortress of, i. 424
Aravad, i. 84
Aryans, the, i. 95; original home of, 96; Iranian branch of, 97; arrival in Persia, 98; religion, 99-101; 102; belief in a future life, 111; invasion of Greece, 148; 168, 235, 307, 335, 501; Afghanistan and, ii. 217
Aryanem-Vaejo, lost home of the Aryans, i. 97, 98
Aranzeh, province of, i. 431, 457, 476, 477
Assak (Kuchan), i. 307
Asad, son of Saman, i. 19
Asad ibn Abdulla (Governor of Khorasan), ii. 19
Asafulla Khan, Abdali, ii. 221
Asaf-U-Dola, Persian Vizier, ii. 318
Askl, legendary founder of Parthian Dynasty, i. 207 footnote
Askanian (Parthian), Dynasty, i. 308, 302
Ashraf, son of Mir Abdulla, ii. 215, 226; accession and reign of, 218 et seq.; victory over the Turks, 239-240, 241; death of, 243, 244
Ashraf, city of, i. 196
Ashurada (island), ii. 212; Russian naval station at, 344; 498, 533
Asia, the Roman province of, i. 336
Asia Minor: Greek colonies in, i. 148-149; conquest of, by the Persians, 149; 166, 186; intercourse with Hellas, 187; 189, 190, 191, 211; Greek raids on, 213; 215, 219, 221, 226, 227, 228, 231, 245, 248, 289, 291; annexed by Lysimachus, 291; 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 300, 311, 317, 321, 322, 324, 328, 402, 429, 489; rebellions in, ii. 5, 41, 102
Askab, i. 57; ii. 29 footnote, 78, 487, 496
Alanduz, battle of, ii. 313
Asoka, i. 290, 313
Asopus, River, i. 207
Aspaciae, the, i. 310
Aspicures, Iberian King, i. 424
Assad, Sirdar, ii. 256 footnote
Assassins, the, ii. 36, 38-40; methods of, 47-48, 92; dynasty at its zenith, 94, 95; extermination of, 95, 96; Assen clan, ancestors of the Turks, i. 454
Assur, city of, i. 75, 82, 83, 93, 117
Assurbanipal (Assyrian monarch), i. 67, 70, 83, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 122, 124, 130
Assur-rimnisheshu, King of Assyria, i. 83
Assyria, i. 79, 80, 81; rise of, 82-83; Old Empire, 81, 84; Aramaean immigration, 84; Middle Kingdom, 84-85; New Kingdom, 85; first battle with Elam, 86-87; campaigns against Elam, 87-88, 89; capture of Babylon, 88; conquest of Egypt, 88-89; battle of Tullis, 89-90; second and third Elamite campaigns, 91-92; capture of Susa, 92, 93; fall of Elam, 91-94; decline and fall, 115 et seq.; verdict of history, 125, 126 et seq.; 128, 129, 131, 161, 162, 173, 176, 181, 185, 196, 246; Christians' flight from Urumia, ii. 495-496
Assyrian Church, i. 440
Astatene, district of, i. 308
Astara, i. 3
Astrabad, i. 9, 10, 14, 31, 38, 98, 263, 265, 305, 310; ii. 125, 126, 174, 253, 423, 433
Astrakhan: sacked by Tamerlane, ii. 128; dynasty, 209; 498
Astyages, King of Media, i. 122; defeated by Cyrus the Great, 131-132; 140-141, 143; 150, 212
Atabeg-i-Aram (Ali Aghar Khan), assassination of, ii. 406-407, 408, 429
Atabegs, the, ii. 54, 55, 91
Aта Malik, (historian), ii. 94
Athanasius, i. 430
Athens, i. 187, 189, 190; expedition against, 191; 198; capture of, 202; 206-207, 211, 213, 214, 216, 217, 218, 227, 229, 230, 231, 237, 259, 280, 297, 341, 381
Athos, Mount, i. 199
Athurias (Ancient Assyria), i. 163, 255
Atossa, daughter of Cyrus the Great, i. 195
Atossa (daughter of Artaxerxes II.), i. 195; 230, 231
Atrekh, River, i. 2, 305, 306, 307; ii. 174
Atrina, Elamite Pretender, i. 160, 177 footnote
Atropatene (Azerbaijan), i. 297, 298, 340, 360, 364, 374, 395
Atia, son of Kutb-u-Din Mohamed, ii. 48, 49, 52, 53
Attalus, Macedonian general, i. 245
Attalus of Macedonia, i. 238
Attalus of Pergamus, career of, i. 300-301; 312, 315, 336
Attar (Farid-u-Din), poet, career of, ii. 67, 68; 146 footnote
Attica, i. 187, 191, 198, 200, 202, 203, 205, 207, 211, 216
Attic, i. 271; ii. 260
Auckland, Lord, ii. 329, 333
Augustine, Saint, i. 406
Augustus, Emperor (Octavian), i. 356, 357, 358, 362, 363, 373, 374, 382, 395, 433
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Bakhtiari Mountains, i. 21, 40, 44; ii. 227
Bakhtiaris, the: Nadir's expedition against, ii. 256-257; 417, 418; march on Teheran, 419; end of rule, 429-430; 440, 451, 461
Bakhtris (Bactrians), the, i. 98
Bakir Khan, frontier of Tabriz, ii. 416
Baku, i. 27; fire phenomenon at, 110; 344; ii. 3, 159, 160; occupied by Peter the Great, 233; 254; 418, 487, 488, 491; attempt to defend, 494-495; 497; capital of Azerbaijan, 525; 529
Balas, Sasanian King, i. 441
Balkans, the, i. 242
Balkatgin, ruler of Ghazni, ii. 26
Balch, i. 97, 98, 105, 108, 265, 267, 394, 401, 437, 455, 501, 512, 546, 550, 562; ii. 17, 19, 27, 50, 77, 79, 123, 174; expedition by Riza Kuli Mirdas, 258
Ball, Charles James, i. 151 footnote
Ballard, General, ii. 150 footnote
Balsora (Basra), i. 25
Baluch, Mohamed Taki Khan, ii. 252
Baluchistan, i. 1, 4, 5, 8, 12, 14, 16, 28, 31, 32, 98, 163, 277; ii. 21, 308; Perso-Baluch Boundary Commission, 363, 364
Bam, ii. 16 footnote; 287, 288, 449, 473
Bamiian, ii. 81, 82
Bampur, ii. 454
Bampur, River, i. 12, 24, 277
Bandar Abbas, i. 31, 303; ii. 193, 194, 197; Mahmud's attack on, 235; proposed port at, ii. 412, 434, 447, 450; recruiting at, 453, 456, 457, 471, 473, 479, 529, 530, 532, 533
Bandar Gaz, ii. 532-533
Band-i-Amir (River Kur), i. 260; ii. 25
Band-i-Kaisar, at Shuster, ii. 404
Band-i-Kir (on the River Karun), ii. 40, 45
Basanat, district of, i. 298
Baratov (Cossack general), ii. 452, 461, 491-492
Baraz Rud, tributary of the Tigris, i. 485
Barbad, court poet, ii. 58
Barbaro, Josafa, ii. 29, 472; iii. 142, 153
Barr, i. 531
Bardiya (the Smerdis of the Greeks), i. 112, 156; death of, 157, 158, 159, 161, 178; ii. 4
Barhebraeus (historian), ii. 145
Barkiyark, Seljuk ruler, ii. 40, 47
Barlas, Haji, of Kesh, ii. 119, 120
Barmak (High Priest), i. 562
Barmecides, the, i. 562; downfall of, ii. 2-3; 36
Barnes, Surgeon L., ii. 512
Barrett, Major-General Arthur, ii. 440, 441
Barisan, Persian Sarasp, i. 266
Barzive, widow of Memnon, ii. 284
Bar-Soma, Archbishop of Nisibis, i. 439, 487
Bartam Bahadur, grandfather of Chingiz Khan, ii. 72
Basaeri (tribe), ii. 479
Bashakird, district of, i. 51
Basha-Shushinak, King of Elam, i. 54, 74
Basa, i. 433; foundation of, 408, 499, 505, 531, 532, 533, 534, 545, 560, 561, 562; ii. 8; Qan' revolt, 16; stormed by Sulayman, 19; Karim Khan's expedition, 281; 432; capture of (1914), 440; 441, 532
Basra, Caecilius, Roman general, i. 357
Bazi, or sanctuary; the first, ii. 401-402; British Legation, 402
Batu (Mongol general), ii. 70, 92, 93, 142
Batun, i. 337, 444; ii. 487
Bayan Seldus, noble of Transoxiana, ii. 116
Bayazid, son of Sulayman, ii. 166
Bayazid L., Sultan of Turkey, ii. 119; Tamarlane's campaign against, 129-130, 134, 139
Baybars, of Egypt, and Hayton, King of Armenia, i. 101; 102, 103
Baydu, Mongol leader, ii. 91-92, 96, 109
Bayenderi, "White Sheep" Dynasty
Baykand, city in Transoxiana, i. 550
Bazh, birthplace of Firdausi, ii. 60
Bazi, Babylonian Dynasty of, i. 80
Bazman, mountain, i. 16
Bens, River, i. 273; ii. 119
Beazley, Dr. Charles Raymond, ii. 7, 7 footnote, 42, 43, 71, 361, 361
Beduins, the, i. 450, 527, 532; campaign between North and South, 547
Beechey, Sir William (English painter), ii. 309 footnote
Begi Jan, ruler of Bokhara, ii. 292
Begijit, Amir, Mongol noble, ii. 120
Behhechan, i. 259
Behbud Khan, Persian nobleman, ii. 182
Behistan, i. 40; rock inscriptions at, 176-178, 307, 335, 371, 372, 376, 381; ii. 67
Behzad (painter), ii. 139
Beirut, i. 84
Bekovitch, Count, ii. 354
Belgian Customs Administration, ii. 376-377
Belik, River, i. 349, 419
Beliasarius, i. 445, 446, 450, 451
Bell, Gertrude, i. 371
Bellew, Dr., ii. 217, 362
Bel-Marduk, Babylonian god, i. 196
Belshazzar, son of Nabonidus, i. 150
Beni Asad, the, i. 527
Bendi Haniza, the, i. 527
Bendi Kainucass, Jewish tribe, defeated by Mohamed, i. 516-517
Bendi Koricita, Jewish tribe, massacred by Mohamed, i. 518
Bendi Nazir, Jewish tribe, expelled by Mohamed, i. 517
Bendi Sad tribe, i. 509-510
Ben Isfahan, village of, ii. 227
Ben Tasy, the, ii. 527
Beni Temim, the, i. 527
Benjamen of Tudela, ii. 111
Berchenie, daughter of Ptolemy, i. 298, 299
Berchenie, wife of Ptolemy, i. 293
Berhoca (Aleppo), i. 480
Berosas (Babylonian priest), ii. 62, 153, 298
Berovski, Polish officer, ii. 325
Cambyses, King, i. 112, 140; accession of, 156, 157; Egyptian campaign and suicide of, 157-159; 169, 178, 233; ii. 4
"Camel, Battle of the," i. 533
Campbell, Sir John, ii. 327
Campos (at Trebizond), i. 226
Canton, ii. 38, 9
Canace, fortress of, i. 479, 484, 486
Canoe of Good Hope, rounding of, and its effect on history, ii. 184-185
Cappadocia, i. 128, 144, 145, 163, 167, 196, 250, 286, 290, 297, 301, 322, 338, 378, 383, 388, 402, 480; invasion of, 482, 485
Capri, i. 375
Caracalla, Emperor, i. 385, 386
Carcass, Emperor, i. 127
Cardaces, the, i. 251
Carduchi, the, i. 225, 226
Caria, i. 163, 189, 228, 238, 248
Carmania, i. 98, 140, 156, 267, 303
Carmat, Hamdan (Isma'ili missionary), ii. 18
Carmathian, the (religious sect), ii. 19
Carmel, Mount, i. 127
Carpets, Persian manufacture of, ii. 203-206
Carpini, John de Plano, mission to the Mongols, ii. 72, 92, 93, 104, 133
Carr, Captain D. N., ii. 482
Carr, Dr., ii. 469
Carrhæ, i. 255, 289; battle of, 349 et seq.; 386, 409, 418, 445
Carthage, i. 186, 198; invasion of Sicily, 205, 253, 279, 314, 318, 336, 531
Carus, Emperor, campaign of, i. 407-408
Caspián Gates, i. 116, 261, 262, 309, 312, 313, 364
Caspián Provinces, i. 233; evacuated by Russia, ii. 253
Caspián Sea, i. 2, 3, 8, 15, 21, 22; description of, 25-28; 110, 115, 156, 274, 307, 328, 336, 344, 366, 435, 436, 444; ii. 79, 83; British Navy in, 497-498
Casandane (wife of Cyrus the Great), i. 153, 154
Cassander, i. 234; footnote, 287, 288, 289, 291, 292
Cassius, Longinus, Roman general, i. 351, 352, 356, 357, 376
Cassius, Avidius, campaign of, i. 383
Castilian Embassy to Tamerlane, ii. 131-133
Cathayan Empire, ii. 49, 73
Catherine the Great, ii. 232, 292, 294; death of, 204, 311
Caucasus, i. 3, 35, 165, 383, 435, 441, 444, 450; ii. 81, 128
Cecropia, battle of, i. 214
Chabrias (Athenian general), i. 228, 229
Chaeloneca, battle of, i. 237-238, 241; defeat of Mithridates VI., 340
Chagatay, son of Chengiz Khan, ii. 76, 78, 87, 118, 119
Chahar Aimak nomads, ii. 217
Chahar Bagh (Four Gardens), at Isfahan, ii. 200
Chahbar, i. 277; proposed Russian port at, ii. 412, 454, 533
Chahhakia, the, ii. 501
Chal Kurru, ii. 107
"Chains, Battle of the," i. 491, 500
Chakir Beg, ii. 29, 30, 31
Chalcedon, Church Council at, i. 438; fall of, 482, 485
Chaldaea, i. 46-47, 75; invasion of Babylonia, 81; 84, 85, 92, 93, 162
Chaldiran, village near Khoi, ii. 162
Chancellor, Richard, expedition of, ii. 166-167
Chandragupta (Sandrocottus), i. 290
Chang Kien (geographer), ii. 104
Charax, Parthian city, i. 322
Chardin, Sir John, ii. 69, 195, 198, 200, 201, 203, 209, 213
Charikar, i. 267
Charju, i. 23; ii. 263, 497
Charlemagne, King, embassy to Haroun-al-Rashid, ii. 1-2, 42
Charles I. (of England), ii. 107
Charles II. (of England), ii. 212 footnote; adoption of Persian dress, 215
Charles VII., Emperor, ii. 164
Charles XII. (of Sweden), i. 421; ii. 90
Chashma-i-Sabz, Lake, i. 430
Chaucer, i. 330; ii. 28
Chau Ju-Kua, ii. 7 footnote
Chebe, Mongol general, ii. 78, 79, 83
Chedorlama, King of Elam, i. 75-76
Chehel Sutun, the, ii. 200-201
Chenab, River, i. 273, 274, 275
Chengiz Khan, i. 521; ii. 53, 55, 72; river of, 73-74; hostilities against Khwarazm, 75-76; campaigns of, 76 et seq.; returns to Tartary, 83; death and character of, 85; 86, 87, 88, 93, 95, 118, 119, 122, 129, 134, 142, 145
Chess, game of, introduced into Persia, i. 459
Chester, Mithraic temple at, i. 389
Chikishliar, i. 27
Chillianwala, i. 271
China, i. 169, 333; nomadic raids, 334; early intercourse with Parthia, 338-339; relations with Persia, 387, 388; connection with Persia under the Sasanian Dynasty, 447-448; embassy from Haroun-al-Rashid, ii. 21; advent of Islam, 7, 8; 47; embassy from Shah Rukh, 136
Chinar Rahdar, action at, ii. 511
Chinaran, ii. 162
Chinnock, E. J., i. 15 footnote, 30 footnote
Chintimur, Mongol governor, ii. 94
Chinvat, Bridge of (Bridge of the Separation), i. 111
Chionites, or Huns, q.v.
Chios, i. 249, 319
Chiroli, Sir Valentine, ii. 375
Chispeh, or Teispes, son of Achaemenes, i. 142
Choaspes River (modern Kerkha), i. 40
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Comentiolaus, Roman general, i. 477
Comisene (Kumis), province of, i. 305
Commagene, province of, i. 388
Connock, English merchant, ii. 189, 190
Connolly, Captain, ii. 357
Conrad of Montferrat (titular king of Jerusalem), ii. 38
Constantia, i. 477
Constantine, Emperor, i. 389, 412, 414, 425; ii. 42
Constantinople, i. 167, 412, 416, 439, 440, 457, 477, 480, 481, 482, 483; besieged by the Avars, 485, 486; ii. 43, 44; captured by the Turks, 141; 439
Constantius, Emperor, i. 413, battle of Singara, 413; 415, 416; death of, 418
Constitution, origin of constitutional movement, ii. 394 et seq.; granting of a constitution, 401 et seq.; National Assembly, 402-405; see Administration
Corbulon, Roman general, i. 377, 378, 379
Corcyra (Corfu), i. 301, 314
Corinthe, ii. 104; footnote
Corduene, i. 344
Corfu, i. 198
Corinth, i. 188, 200, 238, 336
Cornelia (wife of Pompey), i. 354
Coron, plain of, i. 294
Corvo, John de Monte, Franciscan missionary, ii. 108
Cost, i. 298
Cosmas Indicopleustes (Egyptian monk), i. 299
Cossacks, the, i. 279
Cossia, i. 50
Coste, P., ii. 198; footnote, 201
Cotton, Sir Dodmore, ii. 180; embassy to Shah Abbas, 195-197
Cowell, Prof., ii. 64; footnote, 147
Cox, Sir Percy, ii. 446, 455, 520
Crassus, Marcus Licinius, i. 342; appointed to Syria, 346-347; invasion of Parthia, 347-348; battle of Carrhae, 349 et seq.; death, 351; 352, 355, 359, 361, 363, 366, 367, 401
Craterus, i. 259, 269, 272, 275, 286, 288, 313
Creasy, Sir Edward, i. 204, 258
Crespo, Nicolo, Duke of the Archipelago, ii. 141
Creswell, K. A. C., i. 469; footnote; ii. 153
Cretan, i. 198, 221
Crimes, i. 129
Croesus, King, i. 144, 145, 146; date of, 147; 149, 154, 187, 210
Cronus or Saturn, i. 389
Crooke, J., ii. 201; footnote
Crouther, English merchant, ii. 189
Crusades, the, ii. 37, 41; origin of, 42-43; First Crusade, 44; capture of Nicaea and Antioch, 45; storming of Jerusalem, 46-45
Ctesias of Cnidus, i. 122, 125, 140, 174; footnote
Ctesiphon, city of, i. 359, 366, 382, 384, 395, 400; besieged by Odenathus, 403;
Dancing Dervishes, ii. 148
Danube, i. 166, 167, 168, 188, 242, 380, 409, 457, 481
Dara (posthumous son of Bahman), i. 391
Dara, Parthian city of, i. 310
Dara, Roman fortress at, i. 444; 445; 450; 451, 454, 456, 476; 479; captured by Khusru Parviz, 481
Darashiri (tribe), ii. 478
Darband (or Derbent), i. 435; ii. 83; Darband in Central Asia, 132
D'Arcy, Mr., ii. 534-535
Dardanelles, i. 237
Dareium, classical form of Parthian city of Dara, q.v.
Daria Begi (Governor-General of the Gulf Ports), ii. 453
Daria-i-Mahalu, Lake, i. 24
Daria-i-Niriz, Lake, i. 24
Darius I, King, i. 28, 56, 100, 105, 138; genealogy of, 143; 159; accession of, 160; 161; as administrator, 167; institutes satrapies, 161-165; Royal Road constructed, 165; Scythian campaign, 165 et seq.; annexes Thrace, 168; Indian campaign, 168-169; 176, 178; palace of, 183, 187, 188, 189, 193; death and character of, 193-194; 195, 210, 224, 233, 302, 307, 392, 397, 406, 458, 480; ii. 78
Darius II, King (Darius Nothus), i. 218, 219
Darius III (Codomannus), accession of, i. 233; 240, 245, 248, 250; defeat of, at battle of Issus, 251, 252, 255; defeat of, at battle of Arbela, 256-258; 261; pursuit and death of, 261-263; 264, 266, 284, 321, 355, 490, 501
Darius (son of Artaxerxes II.), i. 230
Darius (son of Xerxes), i. 212, 213
Darmsteter, James, i. 121
Darragaz, district of, i. 58, 97; ii. 247, 264, 265, 321, 428, 429
Dar-ul-Fanun, Persian college, ii. 394
Dahti, ii. 502, 508
Dahtistan, ii. 502, 508
Datis, Persian general, i. 190
"Dauid Ali Khan," ii. 447
Dayaukku (Delokes), i. 118, 121
Daylam, district of, ii. 2. 24
Daylamite Dynasty, vide Buwayhid
Daylamites, the, i. 536; ii. 21
Daz tribe, i. 307
Dead Sea, i. 19, 24, 519
de Cancy, Joseph, ii. 103 footnote
Definitive Treaty, the, ii. 309-310; modification of, 321; 328
de Goeje, Professor, i. 392 footnote; ii. 11 footnote
Dehbid, ii. 479, 481, 501, 503
Deh Salmi, ii. 449
Deh Shaykh, action at, ii. 505-507
Delokes, King, i. 119-121, 122; Kei Kobad identified with, 137
Delamain, Brigadier-General W. S., ii. 440
de Langley, Geoffrey, ii. 112
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Delhi, ii. 38, 128, 258, 259-260; surrender to Nadir, 261; massacre at, 261
Delos, i. 190, 213, 216, 319, 340
Delphi, oracle of, i. 315; 296
Deluge, legend of the, i. 59-60; Sumerian legend, 65
Demavand, Mount, i. 7, 15, 17, 33; the Iranian Paradise, 112; 118, 119, 135, 265, 321
Demetrias, city of Magnesia, i. 318
Demetrius Poliorcetes, i. 289; raid on Babylon, 289; 290; career and death, 291-293; 295, 314, 420
Demetrius II. (son of Demetrius Soter), i. 329, 330, 333, 332
Demetrius Soter (son of Seleucus IV.), i. 327, 328, 329
Demetrios the Fair, i. 315; footnote
de Meynard, Barbier, i. 392 footnote; ii. 146
de Montfort, Simon, i. 406
de Morgan, i. 33; 38; footnote, 41; footnote, 49, 55, 55; 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 62, 63, 68, 74, 76, 79, 80, 97, 99, 147
Demosthenes, i. 237, 242
Deniker, Joseph, i. 96
Denikin, General, ii. 526
de'On, Chevalier, ii. 232
Derbent, i. 27, 442, 450, 451, 454, 501; ii. 131; captured by Peter the Great, 232-233; ceded to Russia, 314
de Sacy, L., i. 467
de Silva y Figueroa, Don Garcia, i. 190
Diala (river), ii. 486
Diarbekr (province), ii. 527
Diarbekr (Amida), i. 40, 117; ii. 116, 139, 165, 178
Dias, Bartholomew, ii. 184
Dickson, General, ii. 525
Dietz, Dr., ii. 206; footnote
Diucaflayo, Marcel, i. 44-51, 55, 56, 179, 253
Dihistan, district of, i. 307; captured by Yazid, 551
Dilfar Pasu, the, ii. 25, 105
Dilum, Land of, i. 25
Diocteian, Emperor, i. 409, 419, 423
Diodorus Siculus, i. 177, 261
Diodotus of Bactria, i. 298, 308, 311
Diodotus of Syria, i. 329; assumes name of Tryphon, 329, 330
Dırafsh-i-Karwauym, historical standard of Persia, i. 496
Diu, ii. 185
Div-i-Sufid, i. 137
Diyala, River, i. 151
Dizabul (Turkish Khan), i. 456
Dizful, i. 40, 45
D'Ohoossan, Mouradji, ii. 70; footnote, 71, 72, 73, 144
Dokus Khatun, wife of Hulagu Khan, ii. 99
Dolatabad, ii. 438
Dolatshah (historian), ii. 57, 125
Dolguruki, Prince, ii. 346, 347
Doriana, the, i. 149

Dorican, Plain of, i. 199
Dorn, Bernard, i. 392; footnote
Dorylaimae, ii. 44
Dost Mohamed, the Amir, ii. 316; rise of, 328-329; 330; 333; 334; 335; second British Treaty with, 349; 352, 353; captures Herat, 360-361, 362
Douglas, Major-General J. A., ii. 505
Drangiana, i. 266, 267
Drangians, the, i. 98
Dropini, the, i. 53
Druzes, the, ii. 37-38
Dryden, lines on burning of Persepolis, i. 260
Du Cerceau, Father, ii. 200; footnote
Dujayal, River (Karun), i. 40; ii. 96
Duma, desert town, i. 491, 492; 520
Dumbineh, ii. 461
Dumbula, fort, i. 404
Dungi, King of Ur, i. 70
Dunsterville, Major-General, Mission of, ii. 435-458; 525, 531
Durand, Sir Henry, ii. 334
Durand, Sir Mortimer, ii. 63; footnote, 247
footnote, 273
Durili, battle of, i. 87
Durrani tribe, the, ii. 217, 221; footnote
Dutch, the: expeditions to the East, ii. 188, 194, 195; occupation of Kharakar, 279-280
Dyer, Brigadier-General, ii. 454
Dyer, Lieut.-Colonel H. R., ii. 503; footnote, 506, 507, 509, 514
Dyrachium, i. 315
Ea-gamul, Babylonian King, i. 78
Eannatum, King of Lagash, i. 65, 67
Eastern Church, independence: declared, i. 432
Ecbatana (the modern Hamadan), i. 28, 43, 120, 140, 143, 252; capture of, by Alexander, 261-262; 268, 279, 288, 312; renamed Epiphanes, 326; 331, 366, 367
Echmiadzin, i. 440; battle of, ii. 313
"Eclipse, Battle of the," i. 131
Edessa, i. 407, 407, 439, 451, 452, 490
Edward 1. of England: life attempted at Acre, ii. 39; correspondence with Abaga, 102; mission to Persia, 113
Edwards, E., i. 102; footnote, 103, 107, 147; ii. 65; footnote
Egypt, i. 79, 84; conquest of, 89, 145, 147, 152-153, 159, 161, 163, 164, 169, 185; rebellion in, 193; rebellion crushed, 196; 197; revolt of, 214, 215; campaigns of Artaxerxes, 227 et seq.; reconquest by Artaxerxes III., 231-234; annexed by Alexander the Great, 253-254; 285, 289, 290, 291, 297, 298; spoliation of, by Philip V. and Antiochus the Great, 316; 323, 325; evacuated by Antiochus IV., 325-326, 327, 355; and Zenobia, 404, 489; conquest by Omar, 529; lost by Ali, 555; insurrection in, i. 65; 34
Ehtesham, Sirdar, Kashgai, ii. 510, 511, 513
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Elam, i. 3, 21, 33; geography and history of, 38 et seq., 49; meaning of name, 50; Negrito aborigines, 51, 52; Semitic influences, 51 et seq.; tribes, 53; ancient language, 53-54; religion, 54-55; Susa, the ancient capital, 56-57; prehistoric period, 57-59; development and growth of, 61 et seq.; and Babylon, 73 et seq.; decay of Elamite Empire, 76; 85; war with Assyria, 86 et seq.; Sennacherib’s campaign, 87, 88; first campaign of Assurbanipal against, 89; 91; second campaign of Assurbanipal against, 92; third campaign against, 92; capture and sack of, 93; disappearance of, 93, 119, 122, 123, 133, 142; revolt of, 159, 161; 163, 190, 259, 415
Elburz Mountains, i. 3, 9, 14-15, 21, 28, 112, 136, 137, 261, 262, 264, 265, 305, 306, 308, 433, 501, 552; ii. 38, 489
El-Haia, i. 412
Elia, Nest, ii. 70 footnote, 118 footnote, 165 footnote
Elizabetpol (the mediaeval Ganja), i. 446; ii. 66
El-Katif, i. 412
Elii, province of, i. 116, 122
Ellis, A. G., ii. 131 footnote
Ellis, Sir Henry, ii. 131 footnote
Ellis, Mr., ii. 309
Elphinstone, Mountstuart, iii. 306 footnote
Els Россе, Brigadier-General A. M. S., ii. 516
Elton, John: pioneer journeys of, i. 269-270; 271; appointed Naval Constructor by Nadir Shah, 271, 272
Elwyns (Elam), i. 40, 299, 331, 345, 365
Emsa, city of (Hims), i. 529
England: Spain and, compared with Persia and Athens, i. 217; intercourse with Persia in sixteenth century, ii. 166-169; early maritime intercourse with the East, 188 et seq.; factory at Bushire, 280, 281; Afghan question, 298, 299; Anglo-Russian antagonism, 324, 325; second mission to Persia, 327; Herat, 331-332; first Afghan War, 333-335; settlement with Persia, 335-337; Persian rupture, 347, 348; Afghan alliance, 348; operations against Persia, 349-351; conclusion of peace, 351-352; telegraphic communication with India, 367-368; Customs Tariff, 378; Anglo-Russian rivalry, 379, 380
Enlil, the Sumerian god, i. 65
Enzel, ii. 313, 419, 447; Dunsterville Mission, and, 488-489; 490, 528, 530, 532, 533
Epheusus, i. 189, 248, 318, 319; Church Councils at, 439
Ephraim (also known as Yecrom), ii. 418, 430
Ephthalites, vade Huns
Epiogenes, Seleucid general, i. 311
Epirus, i. 284, 285, 288, 297, 315, 340
Erech, i. 46, 65; temple of, 68; 69, 70; sack of, 76; 91, 93
Eretria, i. 189, 190; siege and capture of, 191
Eretria, city of, i. 58, 150
Erij, Prince, i. 133
Ervan, i. 343; ii. 171, 527; Turkish campaign, 211, 212, 237; Russian campaign, 313; capture of, 319
Erakine, W., ii. 142 footnote
Erzerum, the ancient Theodosiopolis, ii. 140, 165, 179, 346; 438, 439; treaty of, 377; captured by Russians, 451; 527
Esarhaddon, King, i. 88, 89, 91; expeditions, 118, 119
Esdras, Plain of, i. 529
Esther, Book of, i. 175, 181, 195
Ethiopia, i. 197, 230
Etymaner, River, the Helmand, i. 1
Euboea, i. 190, 200, 202, 318
Eucratidas of Bactria, i. 328, 329
Eulaeus, River, i. 40
Eumenaea, Greek city in Hyrcania, i. 303
Eumenea, struggle with Antigonus, i. 286-287; 288, 336
Euripides, i. 236
Euripus, Straits of the, i. 200
Europa, Greek city near Rhages, i. 303
Eurybiades, i. 202, 205
Eurydice, wife of Philip Arrhidaeus, i. 284, 288
Eurymedon, battle of, i. 213, 320
Eusebius (historian), i. 412 footnote
Euseni, the, i. 416
Euthydemus, King of Bactria, i. 322
Evagoras, King of Salamis in Cyprus, i. 228
Evans, Sir A., i. 148
Evelyn, John, ii. 215
Exedares, Parthian King of Armenia, i. 380, 381
Ezekiel, Book of, i. 505
Ezra, Book of, i. 59, 152
Fahraj, or Pahra, i. 277
Fakhhr-u-Din, ruler of Herat, ii. 110, 116
Fakhfr-u-Dola (Buwayhid Prince), ii. 23-25
Fakhfr-ul-Mulk, the, son of the Nizam-ul-Mulk, ii. 47
Fakhfr-u-Saltana, ii. 499
Faou, i. 433; ii. 440, 533
Farhad, legendary Persian engineer, ii. 67
Farhad Pasha, ii. 173
Farid-u-Din (poet), known as Attar, q.v.
Farman Farma, ii. 379, 448, 456, 466, 468, 471, 476, 503, 505, 510
Farrahah, i. 266; ii. 222
Farrakhabad, city of, ii. 212; capture of, 226; 229
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Farran, Lieut.-Colonel G. L., ii. 459, 464, 482, 483
Farukh Shah, ii. 447
Farrukhzad-Khusr, i. 489 footnote
Fars, province of (the ancient Parce), i. 4, 5, 6, 43; 98, 116, 178, 393; 394, 461, 531, 535, 545, 553; ii. 16, 17, 21, 24; annexed by Ahmad, 25, 26, 31, 41, 54, 88, 91; revolt in, 98, 112, 116; Tamerlane's campaign, 125, 126-128, 140, 268; 436, 444, 446, 461; state of affairs (1916), 466, 471, 481
Farsimadan (tribe), ii. 478
Fasa, ii. 479, 480
Fat Ali Shah, i. 172; ii. 195, 239, 240, 277; accession of, 296; 299; embassy to India, 301, 302; 303, 305, 306, 307, 311, 313, 315, 318, 319; death and character, 326-327; 328
Fatollah Khan, son of Sirdar Payanda Khan, Barakzai, ii. 305, 306
Fatima, daughter of Mohamed, i. 518, 538, 543
Fatimids, the: defeated by Alp Arslan, ii. 32; 36; dynasty of, 37-38
Fatteh Ali Khan, Persian Visiter, ii. 215, 224
Fatteh Khan, Barakzai, ii. 315
Fax, vide Paz
Faxl, Barimece governor, ii. 2, 3
Faxl ibn Thalhah, ii. 3, 5
Ferghana, i. 550, 553; ii. 139, 149, 174, 178
Ferguson, J., ii. 185 footnote
Ferguson, M., ii. 508
Ferguson, Mrs., ii. 445
Ferguson, James, ii. 371
Feridun, i. 134-135
Ferrier, J. P., ii. 315 footnote
Ferrukh Khan, Persian envoy, ii. 397
Field, Claudia, ii. 88 footnote
Filigos of Rum Khan (Philip of Macedonia), i. 240
Finkenstein, Treaty of, ii. 302
Firaz, town of, ii. 402
Firdausi (Abul Kasim), poet, i. 133, 137, 178, 240, 391; 393, 397, 431, 434; career of, ii. 60-62, 66
Firuz, Sassanian monarch, i. 436; campaigns against the White Huna, 436-437; defeat and death, 437-438, 439; 446
Firuz, Persan general, i. 445
Firuz, brother of Zaman Shah, ii. 298
Firuz Mirza, Governor of Herat, ii. 315
Firuzabad, description of palace at, i. 469; 470
Firuzan (Persian commander), i. 500
Firuz-Shapur, i. 419
Firuz-u-Din, Prince of Herat, ii. 306
FitzGerald, Edward, i. 181, 424; ii. 63, 64
Flamininus, Roman general, i. 317
Foster, W., ii. 188 footnote
Frada, the Margian Pretender, i. 178 footnote
France: Moslem invasion of, i. 554; French mercenaries, ii. 34; intercourse with Persia, 195; embassy to Sulayman, 213; and India, 300-301; overtures to Persia and mission, 303-304
Fraser, David, i. 222 footnote
Fraser, J. B., ii. 247 footnote
Fraser, Major W. A. K., ii. 513
Fravartish, the classical Pheroites, i. 122
Frazer, Sir J. G., i. 110 footnote
Freire, Ruy, ii. 192-193
Fryer, Dr., ii. 201
Gabae, i. 140
Gabinius, i. 347, 355
Gabriel, Angel, appears to Mohamed, i. 511-512, 523, 544
Galatian, vide Gaul
Galen, ii. 60
Galerius, Emperor, i. 409, 410, 411
Gallazan Ogghi (tribe), ii. 478
Gallienus (son of Valerian), i. 401, 403
Gamasial, River, i. 40
Gambula, the, i. 89
Gandara, i. 162
Gandash (or Gaddash), Kassite leader, i. 77
Ganja (the modern Elbasqapot), i. 447; ii. 66, 90; capture of, 173; battle of, 318
Goolkhan, lake of, i. 31
Gordane, General, i. 177; military mission to Persia, ii. 304-305, 306, 307 "Garden of Death, The," i. 527
Gardner, Prof. Percy, i. 306, 369, 372
Gargaphia, spring of, i. 207
Garmir, or "Hot Country," i. 3
Gathas, the, i. 111, 230
Gaud-i-Zirreh ("Hollow of Zirreh"), i. 24
Gaumamela, battle at, i. 255
Gauhar Shad, mosque of, i. 544; ii. 154-156
Gauhar Shad Aga, wife of Shah Rukh, ii. 137, 138, 139
Gaul, i. 273; invasion of Macedonia by the Gauls, 295-296; invaded by Antiochus L. 296; 318
Gaumata (Pseudo-Smerdis), i. 159; death of, 159; 169, 177, 178, 195
Gautier, M., ii. 54 footnote
Gayet, Albert, ii. 206 footnote
Gaykhatu, brother of Arghun, ii. 108, 109
Gaza, i. 157, 253; battle of, 288; 289, 316, 524
Ganian, ii. 532
Geodosia (the modern Makran), i. 275, 276
Geodosians, the, i. 98
Geh, a village in Makran, i. 276
Geladara, ii. 477
Gelani, the, i. 415
gelo, i. 198, 206
Genesis, Book of, i. 129
Geok Bagh (Blue Garden), ii. 162
George Ill., King of England, ii. 307
George XII., of Georgia, ii. 311
Georgia, i. 83, 89, 90, 91; Tamerlane's campaign, 125; 163; Turkish invasion, 237-238; invasion by Aga Mohamed, 292-293; annexed by Russia, 311; 488
Gerali Turks, the, ii. 174
Germanians, the, vide Carmania
Germanicus, i. 374, 375
Germany, i. 388; activity in Persian Gulf, ii. 431-433; policy in Middle East, 432-443; mission to Afghanistan, 449
Gerrhis, i. 383
Ghadjavan, battle of, ii. 161
Ghalasae, the, vide Ghalasae
Ghazar Khan, 400 of Arghun, ii. 107, 108; his reign, campaigns and achievements, 110, 113, 145
Ghazna, or Ghazni, i. 546; ii. 22, 23; dynasty of, 26-27; 48, 54, 77, 79, 87, 82, 83, 101, 249
Ghias-u-Din, ii. 88, 91
Ghias-u-Din Pir Ali, Kart Prince, ii. 123
Ghilji, the, vide Ghalasae
Ghalasae, the, of Kandahar, ii. 216-221, 224, 243
Gholam Ali Khan, ii. 445
Ghor, province of, ii. 54, 116
Ghorid Dynasty, ii. 48-49, 53, 66
Ghurza Turks, ii. 28, 29, 42, 49; capture of Sultan Sanjar, 49-50; atrocities and ravages of, 51-52, 53, 66, 91
Gibbon, Edward, i. 364, 380, 390, 410, 371; ii. 31, 88, 135
Gihon, River (the Arab Jayhun), i. 22
Gil, province of, i. 3, 9, 97, 99, 116, 220, 233; ii. 173; invasion by Uljaitu, 114, 125, 127, 158, 174, 233; Russian descent on, 312-313, 439, 489
Gilan, Sea of (name for the Caspian Sea), ii. 16
Gilgamesh, or Gilgames, legend of, i. 58-59
Gilgit, i. 239
Girishk, i. 267
Gilbrin, i. 342
Goo, ii. 187
Gobi Desert, ii. 71
Gobryas, father-in-law of Darius, i. 251, 167, 177, 195
Gogiana, i. 140
Gokcha, district of, ii. 312; seized by Russia, 316, 317
Gokcha, Lake, i. 23
Goklan tribe of Turkoman, i. 2
Goldsmid, Sir Frederick, ii. 354; 360, 361, 362, 364.
Gombroon (Bandar Abbas), ii. 194, 196
Gordium, i. 248, 249
Gordius, i. 249
Gorringe, Major-General Sir George, ii. 442
Gurvases of Parthia, i. 371, 376, 377
Goths, the, i. 428
Gough, Lieut.-Colonel H., ii. 466
Gowland, W., i. 33; vide footnote
Goyyim (Northern Barbarians), i. 76
Goran, River, i. 116
Grahame, G. (British Consul-General), ii. 446
Granius, battle of the, i. 247-248, 251, 500
Grant, Lieut.-Colonel G. P., ii. 476, 482, 499, 503, 505
Gresves, John, Oxford Professor, 17th century, i. 138
Grebaidov, M., murder of, i. 321, 322
Greece (vide also Hellas): Aryan invasion, i. 148; colonies in Asia Minor, 148-149, 165, 166, 167, 168, 171, 173, 186, 187-195; military position, 195; raids on Asia Minor, 213; Manum takes field against, ii. 6; Mutasim's campaign, 12
Greenlaw, John (Archbishop John), ii. 130
Greenway, Sir Charles, ii. 529, 530
Greenwell, Canon, i. 185
Grey, Viscount, of Fallodon, ii. 414, 522
Griffiths, Captain Gwynne, R.E., ii. 512
Grote, George, i. 164, 165
Grotedefend, G. F., i. 176
Gumbates, King of the Huns, i. 416
Grundy, D. G. B., i. 386; footnote, 201; footnote, 205, 210
Gubazes, King, i. 453
Gucluk, the Kerait chief, ii. 54, 74, 75
Guder-i-Kafanu, ii. 458
Gudea, King of Lagash, i. 69-70
Gujarat, ii. 185
Gulaashkird, i. 278, 303
Gulistan, Treaty of, ii. 309, 311, 314, 315
Gulnabad, ii. 225; battle of, 225, 228
Gumesh Tappa, i. 27
Gumustiggin, Amir, ii. 34
Gundisapur, i. 405, 459
Gurg, ii. 455
Gurgan, city of, ii. 436; Yazid's campaign, 552; 556; ii. 60
Gurgan, River, i. 2, 27, 32, 97, 265, 305, 306, 370, 436, 501; ii. 125
Gurgenes, King of Iberia, i. 444
Gurgin Khan, Prince of Georgia (Vukhtang), ii. 218; murder of, 219-220
Gur Khan, ii. 53, 74
Gusnaspesh, i. 489; vide footnote
Gushtasp, King, conversion of, by Zoroaster, i. 171, 173, 176; ii. 138; ii. 14
Guti, kingdom of, i. 69, 80
Gutschmid, A. von, i. 306; footnote, 307
Gwadur, i. 277
Gyges, King of Lydia, i. 129
Gypsy dialect, i. ii. vide footnote
Habibullah, Amir, ii. 441, 487
Hadi, Caliph, ii. 562; reign of, 563
Hadhrumaut, province of, ii. 504, 528
Hadrian, Emperor, i. 380, 402
Haemus, vide Helmund, the
Haffar, channel of Karun River, i. 41
Hafir, i. 490
Hafiz, ii. 116; meeting with Tamerlane, 125-126; career of, 150-154; 285; vide footnote
Haig, Lieut.-Colonel T. W., ii. 501, 513
Hajjaj bin Yusuf, i. 548; defeat of Ibn-al-Asbath, 549; 556
Hakim Biamrillah, Fatimid ruler of Egypt, ii. 37; destroys buildings of Holy Sepulchre, 43
Hakor, ruler of Egypt, i. 228
Halicarnassus, i. 248
Halieas (in the Argive Peninsula), i. 214
Hall, River, i. 24, 277
Halima (Mohamed's foster-mother), i. 509
Heraclius, Emperor; accession of, i. 481, 482; projected flight to Carthage, 483-484; campaigns of, 483 et seq.; sacks Dastagird, 485; 486, 487, 488, 489; Mohamed's embassy to, 518; 528; death of, 530
Herat, i. 20, 28, 97, 266, 458, 531, 546, 548, 555; rising at, 562, 563; subdued by Yakub bin Lais, ii. 16; 19; 22; subdued by Alp Arslan, 33; 48, 61; captured by Mongols, 80; 83, 101; held by the Karts, 117; surrenders to Tamerlane, 123; 124, 136, 137; fall of, 174, 217, 265, 424; siege of, by Mohamed Mirza, 325; second siege of, by Mohamed Shah, 330-333; British mission to, 335; 346; change of rulers, 348-349; Persian occupation, 349; new ruler, 351-352; capture by Dost Mohamed, 360; German mission at, ii. 449
Herat-i-Khurra, ii. 483
Herbert, Sir Thomas, ii. 183 footnote, 193, 196, 198
Hercules, worship of, i. 253, 270
Hercules, illegitimate son of Alexander the Great, i. 288
Hermias, Seleucid Minister, i. 311
Hermus, River, i. 146, 320
Herodian, i. 306 footnote
Herodotus, i. 22, 26, 51, 57, 99, 100, 108, 112, 116, 119, 120, 121, 129, 139; account of Cyrus the Great, 140 et seq.; 144, 145 footnote; capture of Sardes, 146, 148, 152, 153, 161 footnote, 168, 169, 171, 175, 186 footnote; battle of Marathon, 191; 193; expedition of Xerxes, 196 et seq.; 207, 212, 213, 302, 335; ii. 104
Heyar Asp, fortress of, ii. 264
Hippocrates, i. 479, 480
Hijra, the (or "emigration" of Mohamed to Medina), i. 514-515, 516, 518
Hill, G. F., i. 163 footnote
Hillal (Arab leader), i. 496
Himalayas, the, i. 239, 335; ii. 128
Himera, battle of, i. 206
Hims, city of (the ancient Emessa), i. 529; battle of, ii. 103; 111
Himyarites, the, i. 455, 504, 510
Hind (India), country of, ii. 21
Hindu Kush, i. 15, 30, 98; crossing of, by Alexander, 267; 270, 290, 322, 328, 329, 512; ii. 82, 83, 217
Hingol, River, i. 276
Hippasus, i. 187, 188, 191
Hippocrates, ii. 60
Hira (Arab State), i. 446, 481, 491, 496, 499
Hissar, Roman envoy to Parthia, i. 354
Hisb, Dr. F., i. 339 footnote; ii. 7 footnote
Hisham, Caliph: reign of, i. 554; invasion of France, ibid.
Hissar, ii. 161
Histiaeus of Miletus, i. 188
Hit, i. 46
Hitites, invasion of Babylonia, i. 77; 84, 87
Huong-Nu, vide Huna, the
Hjalmarson, Colonel (Swedish officer), ii. 470
Hodeibia, Truce of, i. 518
Hopgarth, D. G., i. 29, 235 footnote, 239, 269
Holtbrook, Licut.-Colonel B. F. R., ii. 503
Holtich, Sir T., i. 268 footnote, 269; ii. 364
Hollandas, vide Dutch, the
Holm, Prof. P. E., i. 165, 216, 251 footnote, 300
Holstein Embassy, ii. 209-210, 269
Holwan, i. 497, 500; ii. 23
Homer, references to Memnon, i. 52; 148
Horace, i. 348, 363, 369
Hormazd, vide Hormidas IV.
Hormidas (son of Yezeeldig II.), i. 436
Hormidas I., i. 405, 406, 409
Hormidas II., i. 411
Hormidas (son of Hormidas II.), i. 411, 417, 419
Hormidas IV., i. 476; war with Rome, 476-477; assassination of, 478, 479, 487
Hormidas V., i. 489 footnote
Hormidas, Pope, i. 458
Hormuz (Persian governor), i. 490
Hormuz (son of Nosirhwan), i. 460
Hormuz, Ormus or Ormus, i. 28, 45, 387; battle of, 394-395, 536; ii. 32, 51, 106, 112; commercial importance of, 185; Portuguese expedition and occupation, 186-187, 189, 190; captured by Anglo-Persian expedition, 192-194
Hormuz, Straits of, i. 24, 35
Hormuzan (Satrap of Khuizistan), i. 499, 500
Hormuzd, god, vide Ahura Mazda
Horse, the, introduced into general use by the Kassites, i. 79
Horst, Licut., assassination of, ii. 454
Howorth, Sir Henry, ii. 70 footnote, 97, 103 footnote
Howson, W. R. (Vice-Consul), ii. 453
Hughes, Licut., assassination of, ii. 454
Hulagu Khan, i. 525; ii. 39, 83, 86, 93, 94, 95, 96; death and character, 98-99; 100, 107, 127, 145, 206
Hulvan, River, the, i. 68
Humai (sister of Bahman), i. 391
Humayun, Emperor, ii. 165-166, 218
Hungary: Mongol victory at Pesth, ii. 70; 142; 164
Huns, the, i. 334, 415, 417, 425; struggle with the White Huns, 427-440; coming of, 433-434; Bahram Gur's campaigns against, 433 et seq.; campaigns of Yezeeldig, 435; campaigns of Firus, 436 et seq.; defeat of Firus, 437-438; crushing of the White Huns, 441-448; 451; subjugation by Nosirhwan, 455-456; ii. 71
Huntingdon, Ellsworth, i. 8 footnote, 22, 26, 27
Hunza, i. 239
Hulayn, Shah Sultan, ii. 201; reign of, 214-215; 218, 220, 224, 228; fall of, 229; 231, 234
INDEX

Husayn, i. 519; invitation to Kufa, 539-540; march on Kufa, 540-541; tragedy of Kerbela, 541; 543; 544, 547; his enemies massacred, 548; tomb destroyed, ii. 14

Husayn, son of foregoing, i. 541

Husayn, brother of Mahmud of Kandahar, ii. 239, 442

Husayn Amir, grandson of Amir Kaghpan, ii. 120, 121; Tamerlane's struggle with, 122-123

Husayn, Jalayr, Amir, ii. 116

Husayn Khan (Buchakchi chief), ii. 465

Husayn Khan, Persian envoy, ii. 316, 341

Husayn Kuli Khan, ii. 282, 296; rebellion of, of 302

Husayn, Naib, ii. 523

Husayn, Shaykh, ii. 525

Husayn, Sultan (Timurid Dynasty), ii. 139

Hushang, i. 134

Huussi, the, i. 53

Huvakshatara, vide Cyaxares

Hwan (Emperor of China), i. 187

Hydaspe, the (modern River Jhelum), i. 270, 274

Hyde, Thomas, i. 176

Hydrotas, River (the modern Ravi), i. 273

Hyksos of Egypt, i. 79

Hymerus, Viceroy of Babylon, i. 335

Hyrancia, i. 25, 3; 98, 161, 162, 196, 220, 261, 262; conquest of, by Alexander, 264-266; 267, 280, 303, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309; conquest of, 309; 313, 323, 327, 332, 339, 360, 366, 367, 375, 377, 379; 553

Hyrcanian Sea (Caspian Sea), i. 22, 26

Hyrkanaus (Jewish leader), i. 357

Hystaspes (father of Darius), i. 143, 159, 161, 214

Iban, King, i. 117

Ibas, Bishop of Edessa, i. 439

Iberia, i. 345, 375, 381, 410; Roman and Persian policy, 423-425, 437, 438; rebellion in, 444, 445, 452; ii. 31

Ibn Aamir, Governor of Basra, i. 531

Ibn-al-Ashath, rebellion of, i. 549

Ibn Attash, Assassin Chief, ii. 47-48

Ibn Haukal (Moslem geographer), ii. 8

Ibn Hisham (biographer of Mohamed), i. 513

Ibn Hobay, Arab general, i. 555, 559

Ibn Isfandiyar, historian, ii. 23; footnote

Ibn Kahtaba, Abbasid general, i. 556

Ibn Khurdadhbeh (Moslem geographer), ii. 8

Ibn Khurasma, Arab general, i. 561, 562

Ibn-ul-Athir (the historian), ii. 71, 80, 90, 144

Ibn Wahab, voyages of, ii. 8

Ibrahim, Abbasid Pretender, i. 554, 555, 562; ii. 5

Ibrahim, Hajji, Grand Vizier, ii. 285-286, 305, 369, 370; downfall of, 302

Ibrahim Khan, brother of Nadir Shah, ii. 266

Ibrahim Khan of Bami, ii. 361, 362; 364

Icarians, i. 190

Iceland, pilgrims from, i. 43

Ik tribe, vide Shaban Kara

Ikrima (Arab leader), i. 528, 529

Ilak Khan, ii. 223; invades Khurasan, 27

Il-Arsalan of Khiva, ii. 53

Ilbare Khan of Khiva, ii. 264

Ilek, River, ii. 142

III, River, ii. 374

Ilias Oghlan, Khoja, ii. 120, 122

Illum, i. 247

Ilkass Mirza, rebellion of, ii. 165

II-Khans: dynasty of, ii. 94, 100-109; Ghazan Khan and his successors, 110-115; 140

Illyrians, the, i. 316, 342, 315

Iluma-ila, King of the "Sea-Country" Dynasty, i. 78

Imant, the, i. 544; ii. 18

Imertia, i. 337, 444

Imperial Bank of Persia, ii. 371-372, 533-534

Inaros, son of Pamugetheus, i. 214, 217

Indabugash, King of Elam, i. 91, 92

India: invasion of, by Darius, i. 168; invasion of, by Alexander the Great, 269 et seq.; 281, 282, 286, 347; expedition of Nishirwan, 455-456; Tamerlane's invasion of, ii. 128-129; condition of, during Nadir's reign, 258-259; invasion by Nadir, 259 et seq.; British interests in, 258-259; French designs on, 300-301; Persian embassy, 302-303; telegraphic communication with England, 367-368

Indian Ocean, i. 165, 168, 169; Alexander's voyage to, 275 et seq.; 387

Indo-European telegraph, ii. 368-369; during the War, 513

Indus, River, i. 31, 168, 169; passage of, by Alexander, 270, 275; 276; 504, 546; Moslem advance to, 551; ii. 11; crossing of, by Jalal-u-Din, 81; ii. 259

Influenza epidemic, ravages of, ii. 515

Ionia, i. 149, 163; Ionic revolt, 187, 188-189; Northern Ionia, 317

Iphicrates, Athenian general, i. 229

Ipus, battle of, i. 291-292

Irak, i. 461, 490, 498, 500, 539, 547, 549, 551, 553, 555; ii. 22, 25, 41, 89, 105, 116; Tamerlane's campaign, 126-128; 173

Irak-i-Ajam, ii. 115

Iram, vide Persia; meaning of the word, i. 5

Iranian Plateau, i. 1, 3, 5; formation of, 5-6; mountains on, 14, 21, 22; vegetation, 20; 37, 38, 84, 85, 88, 96, 115, 117, 119, 168, 259, 304, 305; ii. 68

Iran Shah, Seljuk Prince of Kerman, ii. 41, 47

Irgide, River, ii. 142

Issac, patriarch, i. 432, 506

Issiah, Book of, i. 142; ii. 125

Isha Khan of Herat, ii. 349

Isfahân, i. 1, 3, 8, 21, 42, 50, 501, 554; ii. 21, 23, 37, 35, 40, 47, 55; battle of, 89-90, 116, 125, 145, 178, 180; buildings
Jahan, Shah, of the Moghul Dynasty of India, ii. 218
Jahangir, eldest son of Tamerlane, ii. 133, 136, 158
Jahangir Khan, *Mirza*, ii. 408, 416
Jajarm, city of, i. 308
Jalalabad, ii. 259
Jalal-u-Din, ruler of the Assassins, ii. 95
Jalal-u-Din, of Khiva, ii. 55, 75, 77, 78, 79
Mongol campaign against, 81-82; 87; campaigns and death, 88-91; 91, 93, 95
Jalal-u-Din, Rumi (*Sufi* poet), ii. 147-149
Jalal-u-Din, Mahmud, Khiani Prince of Sistan, ii. 120
Jalal-u-Dola, son of Zill-u-Sultan, ii. 408
Jalayr Dynasty, ii. 115-117, 139
Jaiola, battle of, i. 497-498, 556
Jami, Shaykh of, ii. 165
Jamal, Sayyid, Teheran deputy, ii. 408
Jamal-u-Din, Sayyid, Persian revolutionary, ii. 396, 397
James, King of England, ii. 189, 192
Jami (*Persian poet*), ii. 62, 139; career of, 152-153
Jamshid, legendary monarch, i. 134, 180
Jand, province of, ii. 33, 76
Jangalis, the, ii. 489 et seq.
Jani Khan, of Bokhara, ii. 210
Japan, i. 324
Jask, ii. 8; ii. 189; battle of, 189-192
Jason (Greek actor), ii. 383
Jat, ii. 120, 122; conquered by Tamerlane, 123; 124
Jatt (or gypsies), revolt of, ii. 11
Jaubert, Mons. (French Envoy), ii. 304
Jaxartes, River (*Sir Darja*), i. 22, 23, 158; Alexander's advance to, 268-269, 275, 290, 310, 546, 550; ii. 134
Jayhan, River, in Cicilia, i. 22
Jayhun, River (*Oxus*), ii. 22
Jazirat-ul-Arab, i. 504
Jaz Mohran, Lake, i. 23, 24, 277
Jeddah, i. 512
Jenkinson, Anthony, i. 23; ii. 498; embassies to Bokhara and Persia, 166-170, 175, 177, 209, 354
Jeremiah, Book of, i. 124-125, 127
Jerusalem: entry by Antichus, i. 327, 480; sacked by Khusru Parviz, 482; 515; capitulation of, 529; Haroun-al-Rashid and the Holy Sepulchre, ii. 2-2; captured by Crusaders, 40; destruction of Holy Sepulchre, 42-43; storming of, 45
Jews: repatriation of, by Cyrus, i. 152; persecution by Antichus Epiphanes, 326-327; conquest by Titus, 379; breach with Mohmed, and his campaigns against, 516-518; harsh treatment by Mutawakkil, ii. 14
Jehum, the, i. 271, 272, 273, 275
Jirurit, district in the province of Kerman, i. 32, 140, 501; ii. 16; John, Archbishop, *vide* Greenlaw, John
INDEX

John Hyrcanus, i. 331
John, Metropolitan of Karka, i. 435
Jordan, River, i. 316, 494, 508, 528, 529
Joseph, Patriarch of Armenia, i. 435
Josephus, i. 242, 246 and footnote, 330
Josiah, King of Judah, i. 127
Jovian, Emperor, i. 415, 423, 423
Judaeas, i. 379
Judaism, Influence of Zoroastrians on, i. 112-113, 153, 389
Judas Maccabeaus, i. 327
Julii, son of Chengiz Khan, ii. 76, 79, 86, 87; house of, 126; 142
Julfa, ii. 181, 417, 529; capitulation of, 226; 235
Julia (mother of Mark Antony), i. 356
Julian, Emperor, i. 389, 401 footnote, 415, 417; the expedition of, 417 et seq.; 451
Juma, Imam, the official head of the mosque, ii. 401
Jumna, River, ii. 259
Junayd, Safavi, ii. 158
Jurgan, or Gurgan, district of, ii. 21
Jurji Zeydan (historian), i. 356 footnote
Justin, i. 306, 308, 310 footnote, 335; 368
Justianus, Emperor, i. 444, 445, 450, 451, 453, 455, 456, 457, 459
Juwayyi, Ata Malik of (historian), ii. 94
Jwen-Jwen, the, i. 454
Kabah, the, i. 506, 507; attempted destruction by Abraha, 508; rebuilding of, 511; burning of, 512
Kabul, Shih Oghlan, ii. 122
Kabul, i. 97, 267, 270, 532, 546; ii. 17, 123, 128, 143, 217, 259, 393; German mission at, 449
Kabuas (Ziyarid Dynasty), ii. 23, 25, 60
Kacha, ii. 448
Kadesiye (Cadesia), battle of, i. 495
Kadiriabad, ii. 467
Kajiristan, ii. 217
Kahbta, defeats Nasr, i. 556; 557
Kaim, the Caliph, ii. 30
Kain, province of, i. 20; ii. 412, 413, 448; Amir of, 43; Germans at, 449
Kajara, the, i. 259, 268; origin of the tribe, 277; founding of Dynasty, 389 et seq.
Kakha (near the mediaeval Abivard), ii. 29;
Bolshevist repulse at, ii. 497
Kalah, in the Malay Peninsula, ii. 8
Kala-i-Sang, ancient capital of the Kerman province, i. 117
Kala Maran, i. 307; supposed site of Parthian capital, 310, 554
Kala Shergat (the ancient Assur), ii. 85
Kala Sufid, ii. 127
Kala-i-Nadiri, fortress of, i. 2, 4; ii. 107; besieged by Tamerlane, 123-124; 212, 242; captured by Nadir Kuli, 249; 265
Kalam, Mamulke sovereign, ii. 107
Kala Zarri, ancient copper mines of, i. 34
Kalhor tribe, ii. 164
Kalkhi, city of, i. 82, 83
Kalman Nemati, Hungarian writer, i. 333 footnote
Kalposh, meadow of, i. 265; ii. 108
Kamran Mirza, Prince of Herat, ii. 306, 317-318, 328, 330, 349
Kandahar, i. 267; ii. 125, 136, 210; Ghilzais of, 216-222; 257, 258, 263
Kan Ying, Chinese traveller to Persia, i. 387
Kao Li Kush (mountain pass), ii. 467
Kaoes (oldest son of Kao Li), i. 449
Kooshan Pass, in the Hindu Kush, i. 267
Kara-bagh, province of, ii. 131, 173, 174
Karschar Khan, ancestor of Timurlane, ii. 119
Karachi, i. 42
Kara-coram, ii. 92, 93, 94, 104
Karai, a Mongol tribe, ii. 72, 291, 315
Karaindaska, King (Kasste Dynasty), i. 83
Kara, district of, ii. 24, 34
Kara Khitai Dynasty, ii. 49-50; defeat of Sultan Sanjar, 50; campaigns against Khwarazm Dynasty, 53-54; downfall of, 74-75; 91
Kara Kung, desert, i. 305
Kara Kuyunlu Dynasty, ii. 116, 117, 125, 137, 139
Kara Osman, chief of the Kara Kuyunlu, ii. 140
Kara Yusuf, Prince, ii. 125; defeated by Shah Rukh, 137; 139
Kare, i. 563
Karij Bridge, ii. 419
Karij, River, ii. 447
Karim, Abdul (historian), ii. 263
Karim Khan, Zand, ii. 195, 277, 278; reign of, 279; 280, 281, 289, 291
Karind, ii. 451
Karka, chief of Karkuk
Karkovski, general, ii. 319
Karkuk, i. 435; battle of, ii. 252-253
Karnal, battle of, ii. 250-251
Karnama, the (Sasanian Chronicle), i. 393
Kara, i. 438, 439
Karachi, town of, ii. 222
Karun, River, i. 3, 4, 21, 31, 37, 38; ii. 413, 414, 530, 532; account of, 40-41; 42, 43, 90, 259, 278, 287, 403, 499; ii. 16; opened to commerce, 170-171
Karwan, a district in Makran, i. 239
Kashaf Rud, i. 265, 306; ii. 108
Kashan, i. 3, 20; ii. 54, 89, 105, 419; surrender to the Afghans, 231-232; 255; Russians at, 448; 460
Kashgai, the: relations with, ii. 477 et seq.; investment of Shiraz, 499-516; final defeat of, 513-514
Kashgar, i. 550; ii. 34, 49, 74, 174
Kashkulii (tribe), ii. 478
Kashmir, i. 239
Kasar-i-Shirin, i. 44; ii. 485; Sasanian palace at, i. 470; ii. 211
Kassites, the, the raids into Babylonia, i. 77-78; the Kassite Dynasty, 78; 79, 99
Kava Husrava (Kı Khuaru), i. 137
Kahbout, River, i. 118, 419
Khadija, wife of Mohamed, i. 511, 512; death of, 514
Khal, ii. 81, 95, 155
Khalil, city of Elam, ii. 44, 93
Khakani (poet), ii. 66
Khakans, the, ii. 110
Khala, grandson of Amr-ul-Lai, ii. 26
Khali (Arab leader), campaign of, i. 490-492, 518, 520, 527, 528, 529, 552; ii. 2, 105
Khali (son of Said), i. 528
Khaliil Khan, Hajj, Persian envoy to India, ii. 302
Khaliil Sultan, successor to Tamerlane, ii. 116-137
Khallulush, King of Elam, i. 87
Khamsa or Khamseh tribes, ii. 445, 446; relations with, ii. 477 et seq.
Khaniz Dinan, ii. 473, 476, 504, 505, 506; meeting at, 507-508
Khanikin, ii. 11, 489, 528
Khaniko, M., ii. 352
Khamni, Prince, i. 45
Khark, Island off, ii. 195; occupation by the Dutch, 280-281
Khara, district of, i. 13
Khargird, Madrasta, ii. 155
Khajitites, the, i. 534-535, 549, 554; ii. 15
Kharkhar, province of, ii. 115, 117
Kharpur (province), ii. 527
Khartoum, i. 497
Khaybar, conquest of, by Mohamed, i. 518
Khayzaran, mother of Haroun-al-Rashid, i. 563
Khazares, the, i. 26; Kobad's campaigns, 441-444; 483, 484; defeat the Arabs, 532
Khazim, father of Musa, i. 549
Khandan, bronze implements at, i. 184, 185
Khirrit (Arab chief), i. 538
Khiva (Khwarazm), i. 22, 156, 550; ii. 46; the Shahs of, 49; 55; Tamerlane's visit to, 120, 121; conquest by Nadir, 264-265; first Russian expedition, 355-356; conquest of, 357-358
Khoi, Persian frontier town, ii. 131, 171
Khojand, i. 266, 553; ii. 76
Khoondemir (historian), i. 143
Khors, city of, ii. 215
Khorasan, province of, i. 2, 9, 12, 17, 22, 96, 98, 104, 105, 305, 404, 444, 461, 536, 546, 548, 551; ii. 429, 433, 439, 448; under Omar II, i. 534, 535; raising of the Black Standard, 555-557; 559, 561; the Veiled Prophet, 592-593; ii. 4, 6, 15, 17, 20, 21, 22, 26; seized by Mahmud, 27, 29, 30, 41, 46, 49, 69, 72; devastation of, by Mongols, 79-80; 89, 95; invasion by Borak, 101-102, 108, 110; invasion by Chaghatay Mongols, 114; 120, 123, 125, 136, 137, 140, 160, 162, 169, 174, 224; conquest by Nadir Kuli, 241; conquest by Aga Mohamed, 293; 315; Abbas Mirza's campaign, 324
Khavir (salt desert), i. 18, 19, 20, 23
Kawa, the blacksmith, i. 134-135
Kawamabad, ii. 482
Kawam-ul-Mulk, ii. 445; success and death, 455; 456, 466, 468, 469, 474, 480, 501, 503, 510, 511
Kawa Rukh, ii. 463, 464
Kayan, son of Algu, ii. 102
Kavd, grandson of Ogotay, ii. 101
Kaye, Sir J. W., ii. 298 footnote, 330, 333
Kazak, the, ii. 160
Kazan Khan, the Chagatai ruler, ii. 118
Kazir, i. 404, 447; ii. 98, 112, 445, 474; rebellion at, 474-475; 502
Kazghan, Amir, ii. 118, 120
Kazk, River, i. 40
Kazvin, i. 38, 78, 83, 95, 169, 173, 410, 418, 421, 436, 447, 451, 485, 528, 530; surrender to the Afghans, 231-232; insurrection at, 234-235
Keisan Dynasty, i. 136; ii. 16, 121, 228
Kia Kanz, identified with Caxaerae, i. 373
Kia Kanz, grandson of Kebab, ii. 24; Kebab
Nama written by, 131
Kia Khusru, Prince, i. 137-138
Kia Kobad, King, i. 136-137, 307
Keichmar, i. 133
Kela, the island emporium in the Persian Gulf, ii. 51
Kemal Pasha, ii. 527
Kennedy, J., i. 59 footnote
Kennion, Lt.-Col. R. L., i. 31 footnote; ii. 492-493
Keraites, tribe of, i. 73, 98; "vide alio Karai
Keraiunos, vide Ptolemaeus Keraiunos
Kerbela, ii. 417, 422; tragedy of, i. 541-542; 544, 547, 549, 556; ii. 178
Kerkhe, River, i. 53; ii. 442; account of, i. 40; 44, 45, 52, 56
Kerman, province of, i. 1, 4, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 20, 28, 30, 98, 109, 184, 267, 303, 311, 394, 428, 461, 501, 511, 534, 536, 548, 549, 553, 556; ii. 16, 412; histories of, 24; 25; taken by Mahomud, 27; 31, 38, 41; Maajid-e-Malik mosque, 41, 46, 47; ravages of the Ghuris, 50-51, 88-89; Kutugh Khan, 91-92, 95, 101, 105, 106, 111; Pa Minar mosque, 116, 223, 224; Lutf Ali's expedition against, 284; 157; gate of, 288; Germans at, 446, 449; in German hands, 450; political situation, 1916, 455; flight of German Mission, 456; Sir Percy Sykes's march to, 456 et seq.; 464, 465; position at, 515
Kerman, Khun, ii. 26, 68, 117, 122, 176, 427, 473; ii. 169, 421, 430; Germans at, ii. 446, 452, 453, 459, 528, 529, 530
Kerken, silver mines of, i. 34
Kesh, ii. 94, 119, 123, 124
Keurgues, Mongol governor, ii. 94
Keyes, Major T. H., mission to Makran, ii. 454
Khabe, of Egypt, i. 193, 196
Khabia, ii. 449
INDEX

Khorasmia (Khiva), i. 156, 162
Khorramabad, i. 44 ; ii. 127, 529
Khotan, province of, i. 447 ; ii. 75, 174
Khahyavara, vide Xerxes
Khublai, the great Khan, ii. 73, 86, 94, 101, 108, 109
Khubadanda (Mohamed Mirza), son of Tahmasp, ii. 170, 173
Khubadanda, son of Shah Abbas, i. 183
Khubadanda, Uljaitu Khan, ii. 115 ; tomb of, 153
Khubuyar Khan Abbas of Sind, ii. 263
Khumbaba, King of Elam, i. 59
Khumban-IGHL, King of Elam, i. 90, 91
Khumban-Khaldash II., King of Elam, i. 88, 92, 93
Khumban-numena, King of Elam, i. 78
Khumbastir, King of Elam, i. 59
Khumm, Pool of, i. 543
Khur, ii. 38
Khurbatia, King of Elam, i. 79
Khurrah Zad, bridge of, i. 45
Khurrzad-Khurus, i. 489 footnote
Khuf, ii. 38
Khush-Newaz, King of the White Huns, i. 436, 437
Khusru Khan, governor of Georgia, ii. 220
Khusru Khan, Vali of Ardelan, i. 291
Khusru Mirza, Persian envoy to Russia, ii. 324, 340
Khusru Parviz, i. 460, 465, 469 ; arch of, 469, 470 ; palace of, 470, 471 ; palace at Mashita, 471 ; rock sculptures on the Tak-i-Bustan, 473, 474 ; accession of, 478 ; defeat of, and restoration, 479-481 ; war with Rome, 481 ; sack of Antioch, 482-483 ; fall of Chalcedon, 482 ; flight of, 484-485 ; siege of Constantinople, 485-486 ; flight from Dastagird, 485-486 ; deposition, death, and character, 486-487 ; attitude towards Christianity, 487, 489, 490 ; ii. 58, 67
Khutan-tepi, King of Susa, i. 79
Khuza Clun, the, ii. 4
Khusistan, province of (Arabistan), i. 46-50, 53, 461 ; conquest of, 499, 500 ; annexed by Ahmad, ii. 25, 102, 112, 173
Khwarazm (Khiva, 9-2), ii. 33, 46, 91 ; the Shahs of, 49, 50 ; dynasty at its zenith, 53-54 ; 55 ; hostilities with Chengiz Khan, 75, 78 ; devastated by Abbaga, 102 ; Tamerlane's conquest of, 122 ; 142, 259
Khyber Pass, i. 270 ; ii. 253 ; 260
Kidin-Khurutush, King of Elam, i. 79
Killif, i. 268
Killj-Arslan Daud, Seljuk of Rum, ii. 44-45
Kin Dynasty, i. 49, 75, 74, 75, 87
King, L. W., i. 38 footnote, 62, 65 footnote, ’7, 76, 177, 372
Kingsmill, T. W., i. 339
Kinneir, Sir J. M., ii. 309
Kipschka, the, ii. 84, 87, 89, 119
Kirklu tribe, ii. 247
Kirkpatrick, William, ii. 51
Kirkuk, vide Karkuk
Kish, city-state of Akkad, i. 47
Kish Dynasty, i. 47, 67
Kism, Island of, i. 35 ; ii. 192, 193, 213
Kishmar, i. 104 ; cypresses of, ii. 14, 15
Kisra, vide Noshirwan
Kissia, i. 50, 52
Kissians, the, i. 164, 197, 200
Kitchener, Lord, ii. 359, 412, 413 footnote
Kiya Buzurg-Umid, chief of the Assassins, ii. 94
Kizil Alang ("Red Wall"), i. 27
Kizilbash tribes, origin of, ii. 159 ; 164, 171
Kizil Robat, ii. 486
Kizil Usun, River, i. 15, 21
Kniphofen, Baron, ii. 280
Knolles, Richard, ii. 130
Kobad (son of Firuz), i. 441 ; campaigns against the Khazars, 441, 442 ; deposition and return, 442 ; first war with Rome, 442-443 ; massacre of the Mazdakites, 443 ; second war with Rome, 444-446 ; 448, 449, 454, 462 footnote, 551
Kobad (son of Zanes), i. 449
Kobad II. (Siros), i. 488 ; death, 489
Kohik, River, of Transoxiana, ii. 143
Koioos, general of Alexander, i. 272, 273
Kolo (Turkish chief), i. 454
Kopet Dagh, i. 2
Koprates, River (the Ab-i-Dis), i. 40
Koran, the, i. 406, 506, 533-524 ; study of, ii. 7, 10
Kosovo, battle of, i. 129
Kowelt, i. 490 ; ii. 413, 421
Koyunjik, Assyrian palace, i. 180
Krasnovskia, i. 27, 283, ii. 357, 358, 496, 497
Krasovski, General, ii. 319
Krusinski, Father, ii. 200, 215 footnote, 216 footnote, 225 footnote, 235 Kuban, district of, i. 106, 107
Kuchan, district of, i. 2, 308 ; ii. 78, 79, 428
Kuchik Khan (Jangi leader), ii. 489 et seq. ; 526
Kudur-Kuku-Mal, King of Elam, i. 59
Kudur-Lagamar, vide Chedorlaomer
Kudur-Mabug, King of Elam, i. 78
Kudur-Nankhundi, King of Elam, sack of Ezech, i. 71, 87, 88
Kufa, i. 493 ; foundation of, 498, 531, 532, 533, 538 ; invitation to Husayn from, 539-540 ; Husayn's march on, 540-541 ; 545, 548, 549, 554, 555, 562 ; ii. 51 ; stormed by Sulayman, 19 ; 38
Kuhandil Khan, ruler of Kandahar, ii. 329, 331
Kuhgelu district, ii. 235
Kuh-i-Baba, i. 15, ii. 216
Kuh-i-Barfi (mountain range), ii. 509
Kuh-i-Bazman, i. 16
Kuh-i-Hezar, i. 16
Kuh-i-Lalaar, i. 16
Kuhistak, ii. 191
Kuhistan, province of, i. 12, 501, 531, 536 ; ii. 15, 38, 106, 479
Masudi, Abul Hasan Ali, Arab historian, i. 11, 392 footnote, 450, 459, 461; ii. 49
Maude, General Sir Stanley, ii. 486
Mauludghah, birthplace of Nadir Shah, ii. 264
Maurice, Emperor, i. 457, 476, 477, 479, 481, 484, 487
Maurice, Major-General, ii. 471 footnote
Mavaraunah (Transoxiana), ii. 46
Maybud, town of, ii. 116
Maydan-i-Naftun, ii. 440
Maydan-i-Shah (Royal Square) at Isfahan, ii. 198, 199
Mayo, Lord, ii. 363
Maximin, Emperor, i. 490
Mazanderan, province of, i. 3, 9, 31, 99, 116, 137, 309, 532; ii. 78, 89, 125, 136, 181, 183, 212, 257
Mazarovitch (Russian agent), ii. 316
Mazdak, i. 442; massacre of his followers, 443; execution of, 449
Mebodes, Grand Vizier, i. 449
Mecca: importance of i. 503, 505; sacred stone at, 505, 506, 507; Abrahah's march on, 508; 509, 510, 514; Mohammed's "emigration" from, 515; 516; Mohammed's attempted pilgrimage, 518; the "fulfilled pilgrimage," 519; captured by Mohammed, 519, 520; the "farewell pilgrimage" of Mohammed, 520, 527, 540, 547, 555; ii. 5; sacked and Sacred Stone moved, 18, 32, 166, 219, 220
Medes, the, i. 98, 99; religion of, 102 et seq.; first mention of, 117; language of, 121, 128; 139, 163, 171, 173, 193
Media, i. 3, 33; the rise of, 115 et seq.; Nisaea, horses, 116; Ecbatana, the capital, 120; expansion of, 122; conquest of the Persians, 122-123; Scythian invasion, 124, 125; 126 et seq.; war with Lydia, 130; Court luxury, 131 et seq.; 132, 133, 134, 143, 144, 149, 150, 160, 162, 164, 169, 175, 279, 286, 287, 289, 299, 303, 310, 312, 346, 347, 331, 345, 362, 366, 367, 379, 384, 410, 418, 498, 501
Medias, ii. 492
Marab, Muhammad al', 364, 369
Marahk, city of, ii. 364, 368
Marathia, Elamite Pretender, i. 160, 178
Marat, the, i. 265, 322
Marbod, i. 50
Mardanius, campaign in Thrace, i. 190-191, 195, 197, 205; campaign of, 205-207, 208, 209
Marduk (Babylonian deity), i. 79, 80
Marduk-Mudammunik, Prince, i. 117
Margiana (the modern Merv), i. 161, 298, 308, 351
Margianus, the, i. 98
Marhab, Jewish leader, i. 518
Marib, city of, Sabaeans capital, i. 504
Marcham, Sir Clements, ii. 131 footnote
Maring, Sir Charles, ii. 520
Marlow, Christopher, ii. 130 footnote, 169
Marmora, Sea of, i. 246
Marseilles, i. 149
Marshall, General Sir William, ii. 492
Mar Shimun, i. 415
Marvil, Charles, i. 554
Martin, Dr., ii. 206 footnote, 207 footnote
Martity, Elamite Pretender, i. 160, 178
Martyropolis, i. 477, 479
Marutha (Meropotamian bishop), i. 419
Marwa, hill of, i. 506, 518
Masjad-i-Madar-i-Sulayman (tomb of the Mother of Solomon), i. 179
Mashita, description of palace at, i. 471, 482
Masistes, brother of Xerxes, i. 212
Masistus, Persian general, i. 207
Masius, Mount, i. 417, 444
Masjed-i-Shah (Royal Mosque), ii. 199
Maskat, i. 8, 185, 186, 193, 353
Mashlama, brother of Yezid II., i. 553
Maspero, Sir G., i. 33 footnote; 43 footnote; 58 footnote; 145 footnote; 164, 165
Masrafo, Alyshani (Viceroy), i. 509
Massagetae, the, i. 445
Massilla (Marseilles), i. 317
Masud, son of Mahmud, ii. 29, 30
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mehmendoit, Afghans defeated at, ii. 241-242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehrab, King of Kabul, i. 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melkarth (Tyrian deity), i. 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memnon, legend of, i. 524-525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memnon (Greek general in Persian service), i. 245-246, 248; death of, 249, 284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis, i. 158, 215, 229, 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menchikoff, Prince, ii. 317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendesian Dynasty, i. 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menelaus, i. 292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menon (Chessalian general), i. 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merdasas (son of Khusru Parviz), i. 486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merk, W. R., ii. 216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merkites, the, a Mongol tribe, ii. 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mermnadac Dynasty, i. 129-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merodach, i. 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merv, i. 97, 98, 351, 502, 531, 546, 548, 550, 554, 555; ii. 2, 29, 33, 50, 487; destroyed by Mongols, 80-81; 129, 131, 137, 145, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mervan, ii. 483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mervdasht, i. 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merwan, the Caliph, i. 548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merwan II., i. 554; death of, 556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meshed, i. 1, 8, 10, 20, 33, 34, 261, 265, 309, 474, 544, 563; ii. 3, 32, 34, 61, 108, 131; shrine of Imam Riza, 154-155; 159, 174; Sahn-i-Kuhna, 211; the Musalla, 243; capture by Nadir Kuli, 250; 265, 315, 417, 423; bombardment of shrine by Russians, 426-427; 449, 496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meshed Jan Khan, ii. 498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meshed-i-Sir, ii. 526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesopotamia, i. 83, 84, 115, 255, 287, 289, 299, 301, 329, 331, 340, 347, 348, 366, 371, 377; conquest by Trajan, 381-382; evacuation by Hadrian, 383, 384, 385, 387; 403, 404, 405; conquest by Caraus, 408; invaded by Nareses, 409; 412, 413, 416, 423, 431; persecution under Yezdigird II., 435; 444, 445, 450, 451, 457, 461, 477, 478, 479, 480; subjuggated by Sad, 498, 533, 556, 559; ii. 5, 9, 90, 119, 140, 164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal work, Persian skill, ii. 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metasura, battle of, i. 315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melevi, or &quot;Dancing Dervishes,&quot; ii. 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer, H., i. 97 footnote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mian Kala, peninsula of, ii. 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midaa, King, wide Mita of Mushki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mihr-Nareses, Persian Vixier, i. 435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikail (Seljuk chief), ii. 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milletus, i. 144, 183; fall of, 189-190, 245, 248; 298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Militades, i. 192, 213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton, i. 498; ii. 169, 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mina, valley of, i. 506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minab, i. 31; ii. 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mingrelia, i. 337, 444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minna, Ellis H., i. 168 footnote, 370 footnote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minho, Lord, ii. 506, 507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miran, Shah, son of Tamerlane, ii. 136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirkhond (historian), i. 477; ii. 139, 145, 185 footnote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary work in Persia, ii. 394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mita of Mushki, King, i. 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitani, kingdom of, i. 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithra, or Mithras, worship of, i. 100, 230, 369; in Europe, 383-390; 404, 405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithradates (son-in-law of Darius), i. 247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithradates I., King of Parthia, i. 321, 322; conquests of, 328-329; 330; death of, 331; 335, 372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithradates II., King of Parthia, i. 335, 336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithradates, the elder, i. 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithradates (brother of Phra姆manes), i. 376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithridates, King of Pontus, i. 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithridates VI., King of Pontus, i. 325, 337; 338; the Mithridatic wars, 340 et seq.; suicide, 344; 355; ii. 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mithridatic wars, i. 340 et seq.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitylene, i. 249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moab, i. 483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modhar tribe, i. 562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modud, son of Masud, ii. 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moghan, plain of, ii. 83, 90, 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moghuls, Dynasty of the Indian, ii. 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed, prophet, i. 105, 394, 406, 481; career of, 503 et seq.; 505 footnote; ancestors of, 506-508; childhood and early manhood of, 509-511; rebuilding of the Kaaba, 511; proclaims his mission, 511-512; flight to Medina, 514-515; erects first mosque at Medina, 515; bereath with the Jews, 516; battle of Badr, 516-517; battle of Ohod, 517-518; massacre of the Beni Koreitza, 518; pilgrimages to Mecca, 517-519; the &quot;fulfilled pilgrimage,&quot; 519; capture of Mecca, 519; last campaign and death, 519-520; character, 520-522; the Koran, 523-524; 533 footnote, 543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed, son of Malik Shah, ii. 40, 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed, son of Ismail, ii. 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed, great-grandson of Abbas, i. 553, 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed (son of the Caliph Ali), known as the Hanifite, q.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed II., Sultan of Turkey, ii. 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed III., Sultan of Turkey, ii. 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamedabad, ii. 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Ali, Mulla, of Zanjan, ii. 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Ali Khan (Kazghai), ii. 467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Ali Shah; accession of, ii. 406; reign of, 406 et seq.; abdication of, 419-420; 421; defeat of, 423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Asim, Barakai, ii. 328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Husayn Khan, chief of the Kajara, ii. 178, 270, 277, 278, 279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed ibn Ilias, known as Abu Ali, q.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed ibn Kasim, Arab invader of India, i. 551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Ibrahim (historian), i. 12; ii. 31, 46-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Khan, son of Zaki Khan, ii. 296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Khudabanda, known as Uljaitu, q.v.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohamed Mahruk, relative of the Imam Riza, ii. 64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mohamed Mirza, known as Khudabanda, q.v.
Mohamed, Mirza Sayyid, of Meshed, ii. 276
Mohamed, Sayyid, Teheran deputy, ii. 408
Mohamed Shah, ii. 74, 76, 77, 259, 260
Mohamed Taki Khan Baluch, Mirza, ii.
252; 514
Mohamed Vali Khan, Persian general (known as Sipahdar-i-Azam), ii. 296-297, 417, 421, 422, 423
Mohamed Veli Mirza, son of Fath Ali Shah, ii.
314, 326, 129, 328, 329, 333, 334; death of, 337
Mohamed Yusuf Sadozai, ii. 449, 352
Mohamena, i. 41, 43, 55, 505; ii. 418, 432, 529, 530, 532
Mohanna, Mir, of Bandar Rig, ii. 280
Mokan Khan, Turk, i. 554, 556
Mokanna (the Veiled Prophet), i. 563
Molon, Satrap of Media, i. 311, 327
Moneses, i. 359
Mongolia, i. 454
Mongolistan, ii. 86
Mongols, the: invasion of, i. 558; ii. 55; treatment of Attar, 67-68; nature of the Mongol invasion, 70-71; origin of the Mongols, 71-72; invasion of Turkestan, 75; invasion of Transoxiana, 76-77; devastation of Khorasan, 79; destruction of Merv and Nishapur, 80-81; campaign against Jalal-u-Din, 81-83; devastation of western and north-western Persia, 83-84; 87, 88, 89, 90; campaigns in Asia Minor and Syria, 90; defeat in Syria, 112; literature and architecture under, 144-157
Monobazus, of Adiabene, i. 337
Monteith, Gen. W., ii. 308
Moore, Thomas (poet), ii. 25
Moravia, ii. 70
Morier, James, ii. 289 footnote, 309
Moscow, ii. 119, 126; plundered by Tamerlane, 128; 177
Moselima, the prophet, i. 523, 527
Moses, ii. 77
Moses of Chorene, i. 432
Mouli, i. 6; ii. 116, 145, 210, 438
Motamid, Caliph, accession, ii. 16-17, 20
Motassim, Caliph, ii. 10; revolt of the Jatt, 11; campaign against Greece, 12
Motazid, Abbassid Caliph, ii. 20
Mothanna (Arab leader), campaigns of, i. 492-494
Moulton, J. H., i. 202 footnote, 230
Moushegh, Armenian nobleman, i. 428
Muaffak, brother of Motamid, ii. 16; suppresses Zanj revolt, 16
Muaviah, Governor of Syria and Caliph, i. 532; war with Ali, 533-534; arbitration, 534; 535, 536, 538; death of, 539; alliance with Ziad, 545-546; appoints Yazid his successor, 547
Muayyid, Governor of Nishapur, ii. 53
Muayyid-u-Dola, Buwayhid Prince, ii. 23
Muayyid-ul-Mulk, son of the Nizam-ul-Mulk, ii. 40
Mubarik, Shahrul, ii. 431
Muhallab, i. 548; appointed Governor of Khorasan, 549; 551, 552
Muir, Sir William, i. 465, 481, 497-499, 503 footnote; 505, 511, 520, 527
Muizzi, Amir (poet), ii. 65-66
Muizz-u-Dola, Buwayhid Prince, ii. 25
Mukaddasi (Mosteem geographer), i. 45; ii.
8, 9
Mukbar-ul-Saltana, ii. 444, 445, 499
Mukhtar, agent of the Hafints, i. 548, 549
Muktadi, Caliph, ii. 40
Muktafi, Caliph, ii. 53
Multan, i. 276; 551; ii. 19, 82, 128
Mundhir, of Hireh, sacrifice of nuns, i. 446; ii. 106 footnote
Muntasir, son of Mutawakkil, ii. 15
Muraid, Ali, Zand, ii. 281, 288; reign of, 283
Muraid Mirza, Sultan, Persian Prince, ii. 341, 358
Muraid, "White Sheep" dynasty, ii. 159
Muraid IV, Sultan of Turkey, ii. 210, 211, 237, 269
Murchakhar, Afghans defeated at, ii. 242
Murghab, River, ii. 131, 160
Murray, Mr., ii. 346
Mureshed Kuli Khan, Ustajlu, ii. 172, 173
Murtaza Kuli, Kajar, ii. 290, 293
Muru (Margiana), i. 97
Musa, known as Kazim, son of Jafar-Asad
Said, ii. 18, 158
Musa, elder son of Meidi, better known as Hadi, q.v.
Musa, Queen, i. 367, 374
Musab, brother of Ibn Zahyayr, i. 548
Musa ibn Khazim, rebellion of, ii. 550
Musslah Hill, i. 120
Musandam, Cape, i. 24; ii. 186
Musil, A., Austrian traveller, ii. 206
Muslin (cousin of Husayn), i. 540
Mustafa (Turkish general), ii. 141
Mustali, ruler of Cairo, ii. 38
Mustansir (Fatimite Caliph), ii. 38
Mustarshid, Caliph, ii. 53
Mustanisir Billah, last Caliph of Baghdad, ii. 96
Mustaufi-ul-Mamalik, ii. 422
Muta, battle of, ii. 519-520; 526
Mutawakkil, Caliph, ii. 10; reign of, 14; murder of, 15; 16
Mutazila sect, the, ii. 9, 10, 14
Muzaffar Amir, ii. 116
Muzaffar Dynasty, ii. 115, 116, 125, 127, 128
Muzaffar-u-Din, Kajar Shah: financial difficulties, ii. 374; 378, 395, 398; visit to England, 399
Mycale, battle of, i. 209, 213
Mystra, i. 149, 221

Nabonidus, cylinder of, i. 142; 143, 144, 145, 150, 151, 160
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Nabopolassar (Governor of Babylon), i. 125, 126, 127.
Nabu-zi-sar, King of Babylon, i. 81, 85.
Nad Ali (Zireh), ii. 124.
Nadir Afshar, ii. 290, 297; execution of, 303.
Nadir Shah: joins Shah Tahmas, ii. 240; conquest of Khorasan, 244: campaign against the Afghans, 241-242; rise to the throne and career of, 247-274, 292, 293, 296, 352.
Nafar (tribe), ii. 479.
Nafud (desert), i. 492.
Nahavand, battle of, i. 500, 502, 536, 555, 556.
Nahavand, Mount, i. 401; ii. 407.
Nahr-ul-Kabir, Beirut, i. 84.
Nahrwan Canal, i. 486.
Nahum, Book of, i. 124, 125.
Naiband, ii. 106, 453.
Naib-ul-Saltana, ii. 395.
Naimans, the (Christian tribe), ii. 74.
Nairi, the (ancient inhabitants of Armenia), i. 315.
Najaf, i. 544; ii. 25, 153, 178.
Najm, Mir, Persian general, ii. 161.
Nakd Ali Beg, Persian envoy, ii. 180, 196.
Nakhchivan, i. 441.
Nakhshab, ii. 79.
Naki, Ali, Zend, ii. 283.
Naksh-i-Rustam, bas-reliefs at, i. 471-473.
Namat Ulla, Shah, ii. 156.
Namri (the modern Kurdistan), i. 117.
Nana, goddess, i. 70; statue of, 91, 92; restored to Earsch, 93.
Napoleon Buonaparte, on the battle of Arbela, i. 258; Indian projects, ii. 300, 304, 314.
Naram-Sin, stele of, i. 50-51, 68, 79.
Nardin, i. 265; ii. 108.
Narmashir, i. 313; ii. 51, 223, 224; Afghans expelled from, 303.
Narses, Saasanian monarch, campaign against Rome, i. 409; defeat of, 409-410; i. 423, 425.
Narses (Roman general), i. 480, 481.
Nasa, district of, vide Nisa.
Nasir I, ruler of Baluchistan, ii. 291, 361.
Nasir, Caliph, ii. 53, 54, 76, 83; Jalal-u-Din's campaign against, 88, 95.
Nasir Diwan, i. 474, 475, 502; flight of, 516.
Nasiri, town of, i. 44.
Nasir-i-Khusru, ii. 20; career of, 63, 202.
Nasir-u-Din, Kajar Shah, i. 176, 449 footnote; ii. 63 footnote, 146, 481; accession of, 339; 345, 347, 370; assassination and character, 373-374, 378, 394, 396, 397, 398, 399.
Nasir-ul-Mulk (Prime Minister), Cabinet of, ii. 409, 410.
Nasir, Governor of Khorasan, i. 554; defeat of, 556.
Nasir, son of Ahmad, ii. 19; death of, 20, 59.
Nasir II, Sumanid Prince, reign of, ii. 21, 23.
Nasrulla, Zoroastrian leader, ii. 235.
Nasrulla Khan, son of Nadir Shah, ii. 162.
Nasrulla Mirza, grandson of Nadir Shah, ii. 290.
Naus, M. (Belgium), ii. 377, 407.
Nautaka, i. 269.
Nawab Husayn Kuli Khan (Foreign Minister), ii. 422.
Naxos, i. 188, 190.
Nazir Mohammed (Usbeg monarch), ii. 212.
Nearchus, i. 25; voyage of, 42-43, 140, 275, 278, 288.
Nebuchadnezzar I, King of Assyria, i. 80, 126, 127, 128, 150, 505.
Necho II, King of Egypt, i. 127, 128.
Nectanebo, i. 229, 231, 232.
Negratis, aborigines of Susian plain, i. 50-51.
Nehemiah, i. 326.
Nejd, i. 503-504.
Nephorites, King of Egypt, i. 228.
Nero, Emperor, i. 377, 378, 379.
Nerva, Emperor, i. 380.
Nestorius, the Patriarch, i. 439.
Newell, G., ii. 372 footnote.
Nicæa, Council of, i. 430, 439; captured by Crusaders, ii. 44, 130.
Nicæa (the modern Kabul), i. 270, 273.
Nicanor, satrap of Media, i. 289.
Nicholas, Tsar, ii. 317, 322.
Nicholson, R. A., i. 446 footnote; ii. 503 footnote; 524.
Nicobar Islands, ii. 8.
Nicolas, Grand Duke, ii. 463.
Nicopolis, ii. 129.
Nidintu-Bel, i. 160, 177 footnote.
Niebuhr, Barthold G., i. 472.
Niedermayer, Captain O., ii. 449.
Niffer, i. 371.
Niger, Pescinnius, i. 384, 385.
"Night of Clangour, the," i. 495, 498.
Nile, River, i. 37, 44, 47, 158, 193, 215, 229, 285.
Nimrod, i. 82.
Nineveh, i. 52, 57, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 95, 119, 124; first siege by Cyaxares, 123; second siege and fall, 124-125; 126, 137, 164, 256, 485, 503.
Ningirzu, god of Lagaash, i. 69.
Nimmat, goddess, i. 66.
Ninni, goddess, i. 68.
Nippur, i. 62, 67, 71.
Nisa (or Nasa), i. 97; ii. 29, 78, 79, 81.
Nisaean horses, i. 116, 279, 458.
Nisaya, i. 97.
Nishapur, city of, i. 97, 306, 404, 425, 449, 531, 546, 556, 561; ii. 15, 20, 22, 29, 433; observatory at, 341, 53, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 78; destruction by Mongols, 80-81; 101, 107, 131, 174; captured by Nadir Kuli, 249.
Nisibis, i. 341, 381, 384, 386, 410, 413, 414, 415, 417; restored to Shapur, 422-423, 425, 433, 439, 440, 443, 444, 456, 477.
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Nabopolassar (Governor of Babylon), i. 125, 126, 127
Nahum, ii. 81, 85
Nadi, ii. 134
Nadir Afghans, ii. 290, 297; execution of, 303
Nadir Shah, ii. 240; conquest of Khorasan, 244; campaigns against the Afghans, 241-242; rise to the throne and career of, 247-274, 292, 293, 296, 352
Nafar (tribe), ii. 479
Nafud (desert), i. 492
Nahavand, battle of, i. 500, 502, 536, 555, 556
Nahavand, Mount, i. 40; ii. 407
Nahr-ul-Kalb, Beirut, i. 84
Nahrawan Canal, i. 486
Nachum, Book of, i. 124, 125
Nabband, ii. 106, 453
Naib-ul-Saltana, ii. 395
Naimans, the (Christian tribe), ii. 74
Nairi, the, ancient inhabitants of Armenia, i. 315
Najaf, i. 544; ii. 25, 153, 178
Najim, Mir, Persian general, ii. 161
Nakd Ali Beg, Persian envoy, ii. 180, 196
Nakhlla, ii. 441
Nakhlah, ii. 79
Nakli, Ali, Zand, ii. 283
Nakah-i-Rustam, bas-reliefs at, i. 471-473
Namat Ulla, Shah, ii. 156
Namri (the modern Kurdistan), i. 117
Nama, goddess, i. 70; statue of, 91, 92; restored to Ersch, 93
Napoleon Buonaparte, on the battle of Arbela, i. 258; Indian projects, i. 300, 304, 314
Naram-Sin, stele of, i. 50-51, 68, 79
Nardin, ii. 165; i. 108
Narmashir, i. 313; ii. 51, 223, 224; Afghans expelled from, 303
Narses, Saasanian monarch, campaign against Rome, i. 409; defeat of, 409-410; 423, 425
Narses (Roman general), i. 480, 481
Nasta, district of, vide Nisa
Nasir I., ruler of Baluchistan, ii. 291, 361
Nasir, Caliph, ii. 53, 54, 76, 83; Jalal-u-Din’s campaign against, 88, 95
Nasir Diwan, ii. 474, 475, 502; flight of, 516
Nasiri, town of, i. 44
Nasir-i-Khusru, ii. 29; career of, 63, 202
Nasir-u-Din, Kajar Shah, i. 176, 449 footnote; ii. 63; Gunbad, 146, 481; accession of, 339; 345; 347; 370; assassination and character, 373-374, 378, 394, 396, 397, 398, 399
Nasir-ul-Mulk (Prime Minister), Cabinet of, ii. 409, 410
Nasir, Governor of Khorasan, i. 554; defeat of, 556
Nasir, son of Ahmad, ii. 19; death of, 20, 59
Nasir II., Samanid Prince, reign of, ii. 21, 23

Nasrullah, Zoroastrian leader, ii. 235
Nasrullah Khan, son of Nadir Shah, ii. 162
Nasrullah Mirza, grandson of Nadir Shah, ii. 290
Naus, M. (Belgian), ii. 377, 407
Nautaka, i. 269
Nawab Husayn Kuli Khan (Foreign Minister), ii. 422
Naxos, i. 188, 190
Nazim Mohammad (Uzbek monarch), ii. 212
Nearchus, i. 25; voyage of, 42-43, 140, 275, 278, 288
Nebuchadnezzar I., King of Assyria, i. 80, 126, 127, 128, 150, 505
Necho II., King of Egypt, i. 127, 128
Nectanebo, i. 229, 231, 232
Negritos, aborigines of Susian plain, i. 50-51
Nehemiah, i. 226
Nefi, i. 503, 504
Nephthites, King of Egypt, i. 228
Nero, Emperor, i. 377, 378, 379
Nerva, Emperor, i. 380
Nestorius, the Patriarch, i. 439
Newell, G., ii. 372; footnote
Nicaea, Council of, i. 430, 439; captured by Crusaders, ii. 44, 130
Nicaea (the modern Kabul), i. 270, 273
Nicander, satrap of Media, i. 289
Nicholas, Tsar, ii. 317, 322
Nicholson, R. A., i. 446; footnote; 503; footnote; 524
Nicobar Islands, ii. 8
Nicolas, Grand Duke, ii. 463
Nicopolis, ii. 129
Nindintu-Bel, i. 160, 177; footnote
Niebuhr, Barthold G., i. 472
Niedermyer, Captain O., ii. 449
Niffer, i. 371
Niger, Pescianni, i. 384, 385
“Night of Clangour, the,” i. 495, 498
Nile, River, i. 37, 44, 47, 158, 193, 215, 229, 285
Nimrod, i. 82
Nineveh, i. 52, 57, 57, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 95, 119, 124; first siege by Cyaxares, 123; second siege and fall, 124-125; 126, 137, 164, 256, 485, 501
Ningirsu, god of Lagash, i. 69
Nimmar, goddess, i. 66
Ninni, goddess, i. 68
Nippur, i. 62, 67, 71
Niris, ii. 473, 479, 482, 501, 502
Nisa (or Nasa), i. 97; ii. 29, 78, 79, 81
Nisabarian horses, i. 116, 279, 498
Nisaya, i. 97
Nishapur, city of, i. 97, 106, 404, 425, 449, 531, 546, 556, 561; ii. 13, 20, 23, 29, 433; observatory at, 341, 53, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 78; destruction by Mongols, 80-81; 101, 107, 131, 174; captured by Nadir Kuli, 249
Nisibis, i. 341, 381, 384, 386, 410, 413, 414, 415, 417; restored to Shapur, 422-423, 425, 431, 439, 440, 443, 444, 456, 477
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by Dungi, 70; sack of Erech, 70; Sumerian civilization, 71-72; Elam and Babylon, 73 et seq.; King Chedorlaomer, 75-76; decay of Elamite Empire, 76; first dynasty of Babylon, 76; laws of Hammurabi, 76; second dynasty of Babylon, 77-78; Kassite Dynasty, 78-79; King Shutruk-Nahhunte, of Elam, 79-80; Paateh Dynasty, 80; Sea-land and Bazi Dynasties, 80; Chaldaea, 80-81; rise of Assyria, 82 et seq.; Sargon II, 85-86; first battle between Assyria and Elam, 86-87; Sennacherib, 87; Esarhaddon, 88; Asurbanipal, 89; battle of Tullis, 89; rebellion of Babylon, 91; campaigns against Elam, 91-92; capture of Susa, 92-93; fall of Elam, 93-94; Aryans of Persia, 95 et seq.; Medes, 99; Aryan myths, 99-101; religion of Medes and Persians, 102-114; Zoroaster, 103; Gushtasp, 104-105; the Avesta, 105-106;Ormuzd, Supreme God, 106-107; Zoroastrianism, 108-110; the Magi, 111; Media, 115 et seq.; Tiglath-Pileser I., 116; Shalmaneser II., 116-117; Tiglath-Pileser IV., 117; Esarhaddon's expeditions, 118-119; Delokes, 119-120; Ecbatana, 120; expansion of Media, 122; Cyaxares, 123; first siege of Nineveh, 123; Assyrian invasion, 124; second siege and fall of Nineveh, 124-125; Media and Babylonia, 126; Necho II., 127; campaigns of Cyaxares, 128; Lydia, 128; Mermnad dynasty, 129-130; invention of coinage, 130; "Battle of the Eclipse," 131; Astyages and Cyrus the Great, 132; Pishdadian Dynasty, 133-134; Jamashid and Zohak, 134; Feridun and Kawa, 135; Sam, Zal, and Rudabah, 135-136; Rustam, 137; Irandian Dynasty, 136-137; Isfandiar, 138; rise of Persia, 139 et seq.; Cyrus the Great, 140-141; tragedy of Harpagus, 141; Achaemenes, 142; Astyages defeated by Cyrus, 143; Croesus of Lydia, 144-145; Perso-Lybian campaign, 145-146; capture of Sardes, 146; Helias, 147; Aryan invasion of Greece, 148; Greek colonies in Asia Minor, 148-149; Persian conquest of, 149-150; campaigns of Cyrus, 150 et seq.; surrender of Babylon, 150-152; death of Cyrus, 153; Cambyses, 156 et seq.; Egyptian campaign, 157-158; Gaumatas, 159-160; Darius 160 et seq.; the eight rebellions, 160-161; the satrapies under Darius, 162-164; Sgyptian campaign, 165-168; Indian campaign, 168-169; customs, language, and architecture of the ancient Persians, 170-185; reign of Darius, 186 et seq.; Helias and Asia Minor, 187; Ionic revolt, 188; battle of Lade and fall of Miletus, 189; campaign of Mardonius, 190; battle of Marathon, 191-193; death of Darius, 193-194; Xerxes, 195 et seq.; revolt of Babylon, 196; the Great Expedition, 196 et seq.; Thermopylae, 199-201; Artemision, 201-202; capture of Athens, 202; battle of Salamis, 202-205; retreat of Xerxes, 205; Mardonius, 206-207; battle of Plataea, 207-209; battle of Mycale, 209; capture of Sestos, 209; assassination of Xerxes, 213; Artaxerxes, 213 et seq.; Hystaspes rebels, 214; revolt of Egypt, 214-216; Peace of Callias, 216; rebellion of Mardonius, 217; Darius Nothus, 218; Tissaphernes, 219; Tirutchemes, 218-219; Cyrus the Younger, 220 et seq.; Artaxerxes Mnemon, 221; march of Cyrus on Babylon, 221-222; battle of Cunaxa, 222-223; retreat of the Ten Thousand, 224-226; Egyptian campaigns, 227, 229; latter days of Artaxerxes Mnemon, 229-230; Artaxerxes III., 230-231; capture of Sidon, 231-232; Darius Codomannus, 233; rise of Macedonia under Philip and Alexander, 234 et seq.; Philip of Macedon, 236; battle of Chaeronea, 237-238; Alexander the Great, 239 et seq.; the Great Expedition, 244 et seq.; battle of the Granicus, 246-247; surrender of Sardes, 247-248; battle of Issus, 249-252; siege of Tyre, 252-253; invasion of Egypt, 253-254; battle of Arbela, 255-258; Persepolis and Pasargae occupied, 259-260; capture of Ecbatana, 261; death of Darius, 261-263; conquest of Hyrcania, Parthia, and Arias, 264-266; Sistan annexed, 266-267; capture of Bessus, 268; capture of the Sogdian Rock, 269; battle with Porus, 271-273; Alexander's voyage to the Indian Ocean, 275 et seq.; march from the Indus to Susa, 276-278; death and character of Alexander, 279-280; Perdiccas, 284-285; rise of Seleucus, 285-286; Antigonus, 286-287; battle of Gaza, 288-289; campaigns of Seleucus, 289; battle of Ipsus, 291; career of Demetrius Poliorcetes, 291-292; defeat of Lysimachus, 293-294; accession of Antiochus Soter, 295; Antiochus Theos, 298; invasion of Syria, 298-300; battle of Acyra, 300-301; Attalus of Per- gamus, 300-301; Iran under Macedonian rule, 302-303; rise of Parthia, 305 et seq.; Arsacid Dynasty, 307-308; Arsaces I., 308; Arsaces II., 309; Antiochus the Great, 310 et seq.; first Macedonian War, 314-315; second Macedonian War, 316-317; battle of Magnesia, 319-321; house of Seleucids, 324; battle of Pydna, 325; Antiochus Epiphanes, 326-327; Demetrius the Saviour, 327-328; Mithra- dates I., of Parthia, 329-330; Antiochus Sidetes, 330-331; Nodic peri, 333-334; Mithradates II., 335; Mithridates VI., of Pontus, 337-338; Parthia and Rome, 338; Parthia and China, 339; Mithridates VI. and Rome, 340-342
INDEX

usurpation of Shahr-Baraz, 489; Khalid's campaign, 490-492; campaigns of Mothanna, 492-494; battle of Cadesia, 495-496; capture of Maulain, 496-498; battle of Jalola, 497-498; capture of Shuster, 499-500; battle of Nahavand, 500; death of Yezdigird III, 501-502; career of Mohamed at Mecca, 503-513; importance of Mecca, 504-505; the Kaaba, 506; ancestors of Mohamed, 506-508; political situation in Arabia before and after the birth of the Prophet, 508-509; childhood and early manhood of Mohamed, 509-511; assumption of prophetic office, 512; the Hijra, 514-515; battle of Badr, 516-517; battle of Ohod, 517; battle of Mutsa, 519; capture of Mecca, 519; death and character of Mohamed, 520; the Koran, 521-524; period of the Caliphate, 525, 526; Abu Bekr and the rebellions, 526-527; accession of Omar, 529; assassination of Omar, 530; accession of Othman, 530-531; campaigns in Persia, 531-532; Ali elected Caliph, 532; "Battle of the Camel," 533; the Kharajites, 534; last years of Ali, 534-535; review of Peraia's position, 536-537; accession and abdication of Hasan, 538-539; Husayn and Kufa, 539-541; battle of Kerbela, 540; Shiism, 541-543; Omayyad Dynasty, 545 et seq.; reign of Weli, 551; campaigns of Yezid, 551-552; reign of Yezid II, 553; caliphate of Hisham, 554; rebellion of Ibn Muavia, 554-555; Abu Muslim raises the Black Standard, 554-556; battle of the Great Zab, 556; end of Moslem unity, 558; reign of Abul Abbas, 559-560; execution of Abu Muslim, 560; rebellions in Persia, 560-561; reign of Mehi, 562-563

Persia, History of, vol. ii.: Haroun-al-Rashid, 1 et seq.; Amin and Mumun, 4; Moslem exploration and geography, 7-10; revolt of the Jatt, 11; death of Motasim, 12; decay of the Caliphate, 14 et seq.; Tahiri Dynasty, 15; Saffar Dynasty, 15-16 et seq.; Yakub bin Idris, 17-18; Samanid Dynasty, 19 et seq.; Daylamite Dynasty, 24-26; Dynasty of Ghazna, 26-27; coming of the Seljuk Turks, 28 et seq.; Toghril Beg's career, 30-31; Alp Arslan, 32-34; Malik Shah, 34-35; Fatimid Dynasty, 37-38; Hasan Sabbah, 38; origin of Crusades, 42-43; early crusades, 43-44; Sultan Sanjar, 46-47; the Assassins, 47-48; Ghorid Dynasty, 48; Kara Khitai Dynasty, 49 et seq.; Ghuran Tribes, 50; death and character of Sultan Sanjar, 51-52; Khwarazm Dynasty, 53-54; the Ataegs, 54-55; Persian literature before the Mongol invasion, 57-69; Mongol invasion, 70 et seq.; career and campaigns of Chengis Khan, 73 et seq.; division of the Mongol empire, 86-87; Jalal-u-Din's
campaign in India, 88; battle of Isfahan, 89; Christian missions to the Mongols, 92-93; Hulagu Khan, 93 et seq.; sack of Baghdad, 96-98; restoration of Khans of Persia, 100 et seq.; Abaga Khan, 100; Borak's invasion of Khorasan, 101; battle of Abulistan, 102; Marco Polo's journeys, 103-107; reign of Arghun, 107-108; reign of Ghasan Khan, 110 et seq.; Uljaitu, 114-115; Abu Said, 115; the Muzaffarids, 116-117; reign, career, and campaigns of Tamerlane, 118-135; Timurid Dynasty, 136 et seq.; Black Sheep Dynasty, 139-140; White Sheep Dynasty, 140; Uzun Hasan and Venice, 140-142; Baber, 142-143; literature and architecture under the Mongols, 144-157; rise of the Safavi Dynasty, 158 et seq.; Ismail, founder of Safavi Dynasty, 159 et seq.; defeat of Baber by the Uzbegs, 161-162; Selim the Grim, 162-163; reign of Tahmasp, 164 et seq.; Suleyman the Magnificent invades Persia, 164; travels of Anthony Jenkinson, 166-169; reign of Shah Abbas the Great, 172-183; Uzbeg invasions, 174; the Sherly brothers, 175 et seq.; campaigns against Turkey, 177-179; struggles for ascendency in the Persian Gulf, 184-197; early English attempts to trade, 188 et seq.; battle of Jask, 190-191; capture of Hormuz, 191-194; Dutch and French relations with Persia, 194-195; Sir Dodmore Cotton's embassy, 195-197; architecture and art under the Safavi Dynasty, 198-207; decline of Safavi Dynasty, 208-215; Afghanistan, 216-217; career of Gurgin Khan, 218-219; Mir Vais, 219-220; the Abdalis of Herat, 221; Mahmoud's expeditions, 223 et seq.; battle of Gulnabad, 226; investment and surrender of Isfahan, 227-228; downfall of Safavi Dynasty, 229-230; reign of Mahmoud, 231 et seq.; Peter the Great and Persia, 232 et seq.; Turkish invasions, 237-238; accession and reign of Ashraf, 238, 244; will of Peter the Great, 244-246; rise and career of Nadir Shah, 247-248; Shah Tahmasp's campaign against the Turks, 250; battle of Karkuk, 250-251; victory over Topal Osman, 252; abolition of Shia doctrines, 254-255; Nadir Shah's campaigns, 257 et seq.; battle of Karnal, 259-260; Naurullah Khan, 262; rebellions in Persia, 263-264; Nadir's last campaign against Turkey, 268-269; journeys of John Elton, 269-270; Jonas Hauwuy, 270-271; death and character of Nadir Shah, 272-274; Zand Dynasty, 275-288; Ahmad Khan, 275-276; reign and career of Shah Rukh, 276 et seq.; reign of Karim Khan, 279; Khorak occupied by the Dutch, 280; English at Basking, 280-281; Zaki Khan, 281-282; Ali Murad, 283; Jafar, 283-284, accession of Lutf Ali, 284; Haji Ibrahim, 285-286; campaigns of Lutf Ali, 286 et seq.; founding of Kajar Dynasty, 289-297; Aga Mohammed Khan, 289 et seq.; death and character, 294-295; accession of Fath Ali Shah, 296-297; British and French missions, 299 et seq.; mission of Mekki Ali Khan, 299-300; Malcolm's first mission, 301; Haji Ibrahim's downfall, 302; first French mission, 303-304; Gardanne Mission, 304-305; fight for power in Afghanistan, 305-306; Malcolm's second mission, 306-307; mission of Sir Harford Jones, 307-308; Malcolm's third mission, 308; Definitive Treaty, 309-310; campaigns with Russia, 311-312; Erivan campaign, 312-313; battle of Aslandus, 313-314; Afghan campaigns, 315-316; battle of Shamkar, 318; capture of Erivan and surrender of Tabriz, 319; Treaty of Turkomanchai, 319-321; murder of Russian envoy, 321-322; aggression on Afghanistan, 323 et seq.; campaign in Khorasan, 323-324; death of Abbas Mirza, 325; death and character of Fath Ali Shah, 325-326; accession of Mohamed Shah, 327; rise of Dost Mohamed, 328-329; Burnes Mission, 329-330; second siege of Herat, 330-333; first Afghan War, 333-335; British mission to Herat, 335; rebellion of Aga Khan, 336-337; relations with Turkey, 337; death of Mohamed Shah, 337-338; accession of Nasir-u-Din, 339; the Bab, 341 et seq.; Russian naval station at Ashurada, 344-345; fall of Amir-ul-Nisam, 345-346; Russian negotiations, 346-347; breach with Great Britain, 349-351; Russian advance in Central Asia, 354-355; first Khivan expedition, 355-356; Russian activity, 356 et seq.; crumpling of the Turko-Man by Russia, 358-359; Makran boundary commission, 361; Sistan question, 361-362; telegraphs, 367-369; opening of the Karun, 370-372; Imperial Bank of Persia, 372; assassination of Nasir-u-Din, 373-374; Persian finance, 374 et seq.; machinery of government, 383 et seq.; administration of justice, 384 et seq.; taxation, 386-388; village life, 388-393; history of the constitutional movement, 394-404; accession of Mohamed Ali Shah, 406 et seq.; the Afsharids, 408; Nasir-ul-Mulk, 409; Anglo-Russian agreement (1907), 410-415; Turkish encroachments (1906-1907), 415; successful coup d'etat (1908), 415; siege of Tabriz, 416-417; capture of Tehran, 420-420; Sultan Ahmad Shah, 421; Russian ultimatum (1911), 424-426; bombardment of Meshed shrine, 426-427; Salar u Dola, 430; Germans and the Persian Gulf, 431-433; at the outbreak of the Great War, 435.
Turkey and Russia in Azerbaijan, 416-420; capture of Baara, 440; Germans and the Middle East, 442-447; Russo-British action, 448; Germans and Afghanistan, 449-450; Russo-Turkish action in Western Persia (1916), 451-452; British Mission to Southern Persia, 452 et seq.; Swedish gendarmerie, 456 et seq.; rebellion at Kazerun, 474-475; South Persia Rifles, 476 et seq.; restoration of order, 478 et seq.; Russian Spring campaign, 485; Russian collapse, 486 et seq.; Dunsterville Mission, 488 et seq.; Sir Wilfrid Malleon’s Mission, 496-497; British Navy in the Caspian Sea, 497; hostility towards British in Southern Persia, 499 et seq.; investment of Shiraz, 503 et seq.; delegation to the Peace Conference, 518-520; Anglo-Persian agreement (1919), 520-522; finance and the customs tariff, 523; Anglo-Persian military commission, 524-526; Persian Republican Movement, 527; Bolshevik invasion, 528; Armenians, 526-528; transport and communications, 528-531; ports, 532; Indo-European telegraph, 533; Imperial Bank, 533; Anglo-Persian Oil Company, 534-536; commerce since the War, 536; situation to-day, 538-540.

"Persian Gates," i. 260

Persian Gulf, i. 4, 5, 6, 10; description of, 24-25, 28, 31, 35, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 46, 62, 67, 78, 92, 158, 217, 278, 313, 382, 387, 412, 455, 490, 504; ii. 184, 185; weakness of Persia in, 214-215; Persian authority asserted on littoral, 352-353; German activity in, ii. 431-433.

Persis (classical form of Faris), i. 286, 303.

Pertinax, Emperor, i. 384.

Peshawar, i. 270; captured by Nadir, ii. 259.

Peshin, i. 262.

Peter the Great, ii. 212 footnote; embassies to Persia, 214, 219; will of, 232, 244-246; capture of Derbent, 232-233; occupation of Rezrat and Baku, 233; treaty with Shali Tahmasp, 233-234, 237, 253, 268, 354.

Peter the Hermite, ii. 44.

Petra, i. 387, 452, 505.

Petros, Aga (Asyrian general), ii. 495.

Peucetias, Satrap of Persis, i. 286-287; death of, 288.

Peucelaots (the lower Swat valley), i. 270.

Phaleron, i. 102, 204.

Phanes of Halicarnassus, i. 157.

Pharmanes, King of Iberia, i. 375, 376, 383.

Pharnabazus, Persian Satrap, i. 227, 229.

Pharnaces (son of Mithridates VI), i. 345, 355.

Pharnaspas, father-in-law of Cyrus the Great, i. 154.

Pharsalia, battle of, i. 354; 355.

Phasis, River, i. 344, 453.

Pherecles, Seleucid governor, i. 308.

Philetaerus, founder of the state of Pergamus, i. 297.

Philip Arrhidaeus, i. 284, 285; death of, 288.

Philip of Macedon, i. 315; character and genius of, 216-217; battle of Chaeronea, 237-238; elected Captain-General of Hellas, 238; assassination of, 238, 241, 245, 288, 341, 392.

Philip III., King of Spain, ii. 189.

Philip V. of Macedon, i. 315; spoliation of Egypt, 315, 316, 317, 318, 325.

Philip, son of Cassander, i. 292.

Philippi, battle of, i. 356, 357.

Philippicus (brother-in-law of Maurice), i. 477.

Philistines, the, i. 316.

Philostratus (historian), i. 367, 370.

Phocas, i. 149.

Phocas, Roman Emperor, i. 480, 481.

Phocian, the, i. 201, 237.

Phocis, i. 202.

Phoenicia, i. 152, 157, 163, 186, 189, 197, 213, 215, 216, 217, 231, 245, 252, 253, 276, 280, 326, 343.

Phraates, King of Parthia, i. 374, 375, 376.

Phraates II., King of Parthia, i. 321, 330.

Phraates III., i. 331, 332, 334, 343.

Phraates IV., i. 359, 361, 362, 363, 366; murder of, 373-374, 375.

Phraortes, the Mede, i. 119, 122, 123, 161, 178.

Phriapatius, Parthian King, i. 321.

Phrygia, i. 129, 149, 164, 221, 231, 248, 249, 285, 291, 300, 335, 480.

Phthasaurus (son of Koba), i. 443.

Pilpay, tales of, i. 459.

Pindar, i. 242.

Piraeus, i. 340.

Pir Mohamed, son of Jahanmir, ii. 133, 136.

Piehdadian Dynasty, i. 133-134, 136.

Pigidas, i. 221, 248, 249.

Pisistratus, Athenian noble, i. 187, 188.

Pison, River, i. 23.

Pithon, Satrap of Media, i. 286-287; murder of, 288.

Plataea, i. 171, 173, 200; battle of, 207-209, 210, 211, 218.

Plato, i. 459; ii. 60.

Pliny, i. 31.

Plutarch, i. 221 footnote, 260, 261, 283; on Demetrius, 291, 292, 344, 345, 346, 349, 350, 354, 361, 367, 370.

Pollard, Professor A. F., i. 174 footnote.

Polit, Trebellius, i. 401 footnote.

Pollock, General, ii. 334, 335.

Pool, game of, i. 465, 466.

Polyxenes, i. 301, 303, 309, 325, 326.

Polyperchon, Regent of Macedon, i. 387, 388.

Polymeteus, River (the modern Zerashan), i. 268.

Polyzenidas, Seleucid admiral, i. 319, 320.

Pompey, i. 340; career in the East, 244, 245; Mithridatic war, 342 et seq.; and
Phraates III, 344; result of campaigns, 345; 346, 351; civil war with Caesar, 354-355; 388; ii. 209
Pontus, i. 297, 300, 325, 331, 335, 341, 342, 344; 345, 378
Poole, R. S., ii. 348 footnote
Porter, Sir Robert Ker, i. 177; ii. 326
Portugal, intercourse with Persia, ii. 185; expeditions against Hormus, 185-188; Persian appeal to, 213-215
Porus, King, Alexander’s battle with, i. 271-273; 420
Posidonius of Apamea, i. 304
Po-er, Chinese name of Persia, q.v.
Pottery, Persian manufacture of, ii. 203-204
Pottinger, Eldred, ii. 308, 330, 331
Pou (or Pura) (the modern Fahraj), i. 277, 278
Praisap, city of (the modern Takht-i-Sulayman), i. 326, 410
Praetor John, i. 496; ii. 73
Pretorian, E. G., ii. 535
Prezaspas, Persian nobleman, i. 112
Pritacus, Statius, Roman general, i. 384
Procopius, Roman general, i. 418
Prometheus, legend of, i. 135
Prophasia (capital of Sistan), i. 303
Propontis, i. 237
Prosopitis, Island of, i. 237
Psammеметichus, King of Egypt, i. 158, 193, 214
Psytaleia, Island of, i. 204
Pteria (Hittite capital), i. 145, 164
Ptolemis (the modern Acre), i. 112
Ptolemy, King of Egypt, i. 238, 285, 286, 287, 289, 291, 292; table of descent from, 293 footnote; 297, 298, 305, 312, 315, 317, 356
Ptolemy Epiphanes, Seleucid King, i. 316
Ptolemy Keraunos, of Egypt, i. 293; assassination of Seleucus, 294, 295; death, 295-296
Ptolemy II. (Philadelphus), i. 293, 299, 300, 317
Ptolemy III. (Euergetes), i. 299, 301, 309
Ptolemy Philometor, i. 329
Ptolemy, son of Crassus, i. 350, 351
Pugum (German merchant), i. 446
Pumpelly, Raphael, i. 53
Punic War: First, i. 314; Second, 316, 317
Punt (Somaliland), i. 25
Pura, vide Paura
Pur-Ahmadi District, ii. 438
Purlai, River, i. 276
Puran-Dukht (daughter of Khurru Parvix), i. 489 footnote
Persian (in Elburz range), i. 265
Pushi-i-Kuh, i. 44, 116
Pydna, i. 288; battle of, 325, 336, 337
Pyrenees, i. 7
Pyrrhus of Epirus, i. 292, 297, 314, 315, 316
Quetta, i. 13; ii. 473, 496
 Quintus Curtius, the historian, i. 273
Radkan, in Khorasan, ii. 32
Raf: leads rebellion in Samarcand, ii. 3; submission of, 4; 20, 21
Rajputs, the, defeated by Sabaktogin, ii. 26
Rakhsh (Rustam’s charger), i. 136
Ramazan, Moslem month of, i. 491
Ram Hormus, i. 45. 499
Rammen - Nitari III., King of Assyria, i. 117
Ramrud, in Sistan, i. 267
Ranjit Singh, ii. 305, 306 footnote, 329, 333
Raphael, battle of, i. 312, 316, 321
Rashid, Caliph, ii. 53
Rashid-ud-Din, better known as Watwat, q.v.
Rashid-ud-Din, Fazl Ulla, ii. 145
Ras Malan, i. 276
Ras-al-Hadd, i. 455, 504
Ravend, town of, i. 501
Ravandis, the, i. 560-561
Ravenstein, E. G., ii. 184 footnote
Ravi, River, i. 273, 274
Rawlinson, Canon George, i. 46, 125, 165, 306 footnote, 308, 360 footnote, 378, 392
footnote, 421, 436
Rawlinson, Sir H. C., i. 176, 177; ii. 298
footnote, 302, 303, 312, 327, 338
Rawlinson, H. G., i. 268 footnote
Raww Pindi, i. 271
Raymond, Count of Tripoli, ii. 38
Raymond of Toulouse, ii. 44
Razi-ud-Din Zazani, Khoja, ii. 91, 92
Read, Sir Hercules, i. 185
Red Sea, i. 10, 209 footnote, 388, 504, 505, 508; ii. 184
Rei, i. 97, 116, 161, 261 footnote, 393, 394, 497, 501, 502, 541, 554, 555, 561; true route, ii. 9; 21, 25, 33, 38, 40, 77, 78, 83, 84, 126
Rezina, ii. 312
Resht, ii. 311; ii. 2, 38, 407, 417, 418, 425, 528; occupied by Peter the Great, 233; Treaty of, 253
Reuter, Baron Julius de, Persian concession to, i. 370-371; 372, 437, 525; 532
Rhadamistus, King of Armenia, i. 377
Rhages (Rei), i. 16, 28, 261, 263, 303, 312, 367
Rhine, River, i. 457
Rhodes, i. 290, 316, 319, 323; ii. 210
Rhone, River, i. 317
Rica, Syrian city, ii. 5
Ridgeway, Profesor Sir W., i. 116 footnote
Rimsin, Elamite King of Larsa, i. 71, 77
Rim-Sin, King of Elam, i. 76
Riza of Nuk, Mirza, assassin of Nasir-ud-Din, ii. 397
Riza, Imam, i. 544; ii. 3; proclaimed heir-apparent, 6; death of, 6-7, 64, 131; shrine of, 153-154; 181
Riza Kuli Mirza: expedition against Balkh, ii. 248; binding of, 267-268, 269
Riza, Mirza, Persian envoy, ii. 304
Risan, in Khorasan, ii. 61
Safa, hill of, i. 506, 519
Safav'i Dynasty, ii. 140; rise of, 158-171; decline of, 208 et seq.; overthrow of, 223-230; massacre of Safav'i princes, 236
Saffar Dynasty of Sistan, i. 136; rise of, ii. 15
Saffarids, the, ii. 15
Safi, son of Abbas II., vide Sulayman, Shah
Safi Khani (tribe), ii. 478
Safid Rud, i. 21
Safi Mirza, son of Shah Abbas, ii. 182, 209, 236
Safi, Shah, reign of, ii. 209-211
Safi-u-Din, Safavi, ii. 158
Sagartia, i. 161
Sagartians, the, i. 52, 197
Sahag, King of Armenia, i. 437
Sahand, volcano of, i. 7
Said, father of Khalid, i. 528
Said Ali Khan, Chapashlu, ii. 247 footnote, 248 footnote
Said Mohamed, son of Yar Mohamed Khan, ii. 346, 349, 352
Saf (Himyarite monarch), i. 509
Sainsbury, Noel, ii. 188 footnote
St. Ives, i. 458
St. John, Knights of, expelled by Tamerlane, i. 120
Sakae, the, i. 150, 163, 192-197, 329, 334, 433
Saladin, vide Salah-u-Din
Salah Khan, captain of Nadir's guard, ii. 273
Salah-u-Din (Saladin of the Crusaders), ii. 37
Salamis, i. 202; battle of, 202-205; 206, 209, 210, 211, 217, 218, 228
Salar, Kajar Khan, rebellion of, ii. 340-341
Salar-u-Dola (brother of Mohamed Ali Shah), ii. 407; defeat of, 423; career of, 430-431
Salghar, founder of Fara Dynasty, ii. 54
Sali, Vizier of the Chagatay, ii. 118
Salih ibn Nasr, governor of Sistan, ii. 15
Salman, first Persian convert to Islam, i. 517, 536
Salmas, ii. 171, 178
Salmun Ziad, Arab Governor of Khorasan, i. 548
Salonica, ii. 234
Sam, Prince of Sistan, i. 135-136
Sam (pretender), ii. 268
Saman, founder of Samanid Dynasty, ii. 19
Samanid Dynasty: rise of, ii. 19-20; at its zenith, 21, 22; decay and downfall, 21-22; 26
Samarcand, i. 268, 269, 270, 406, 458, 546, 550; ii. 487; rebellion in, 44; captured by Harthama, 49, 19; annexed by Mahmud, 27; 34, 45, 46, 66, 74, 78, 79, 118, 119, 120, 122, 125, 128, 130; Tamerlane's tomb, 135, 136, 138, 142, 143, 152, 161
Samaria, capture of, i. 118-119; 326
Samarra, city of, i. 46, 422, 423; founding of, ii. 10-11; palace of, 14-15
Sam Mirza, vide Safi, Shah
Sammuramat, Assyrian Queen, i. 118
Samos, i. 209
Samosata, i. 164
Samson-u-Saltana (Chief of the Bakhtiaries), ii. 417, 418, 423, 493, 502
Samsl-Ramman IV., Assyrian King, i. 117
Samsu-Iluna, King of Babylonia, i. 77, 78
Sana, i. 455, 509
Sandrocottus (Chandragupta), i. 290
Sangar, ii. 76
Sanjar, Sultan, Seljuk, ii. 39, 40; at the zenith of power, 46-47; 48, 49; defeated by the Kara Khitai, 50; captured by the Ghuzz, 50-51; escape and death, 51; character of, 61-62, 53, 154
Sapor I., vide Shapur I.
Saraccas, the, i. 418, 419, 421, 446, 453, 464, 477
Sarai, ii. 178
Sarshak, i. 34; ii. 6, 53, 107; 212
Sarangians, the, i. 329
Saras River, the, i. 484
Sarbizan Pass, ii. 105
Sardanapalus, i. 125
Sardes, i. 28; American mission at, 129; 146; capture of, by Cyrus, 146, 164, 166, 168, 187, 188, 189, 191, 199, 210, 212, 213, 221, 242; surrender of, 247, 290, 292, 312, 320
Sardinia, ii. 43
Sardu, i. 532 footnote; ii. 105
Sargon I., King of Assyria, i. 67; campaign against Elam, 68
Sargon II., King of Assyria, i. 85; war with Elam, 86-87, 113; captures Samaria, 118; 120
Sarhad, i. 36, 51
Sari, ii. 552; ii. 17
Sarikamish, ii. 437, 439
Sarmatians, the, i. 408
Sarvistan, palace at, i. 469, 470
Sasan, i. 392, 393
Sasanian Dynasty: rise of, i. 391-398, 402; connexion of China and Persia, 447-448; administration, language, and architecture under, 461 et seq.; growth of the army, 463-464; the monarch and his Court, 465; art and architecture, 468-475; 543; ii. 58
Sasanian rock sculptures, i. 183
Satibarzanes, Persian Satrap, i. 265, 266
Satrapies, instituted by Darius, i. 162
Sattagydia, Persian province, i. 162
Sattar Khan, defence of Tabriz, ii. 416
Saturn, i. 380
Sauromaces, Prince of Iberia, i. 424, 425
Sava (or Sawa), ii. 41, 84, 104
Saxa, Decidius, Roman Governor of Syria, i. 357, 358
Sayf-u-Din, Ghurid Prince, ii. 48
Sayyuan, River, i. 22
Scanderen, Gulf of, ii. 104
Schiell, Father, i. 54
Schindler, Sir Houtum, i. 309
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shahr-banu (Queen), wife of Husayn, i. 542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahr-Baraz (Persian general), i. 482; defeated by Herachius, 483; surprised and defeated, 485; 486, 487, 489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahrriar, father of Yazdgird III., i. 489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahr-i-Babek (district), ii. 483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahr-i-Sabz, ii. 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahriud, i. 263, 265, 546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah Savan tribe, formation of, ii. 176, 228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shabta, ii. 441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakespear, Capt. Sir R., ii. 354, footnote, 356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakespeare, i. 356 footnote, ii. 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalmaneser I., King, i. 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalmaneser II., King of Assyria, i. 85; expeditions of, 116-117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamakha, ii. 83, 159, 224, 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamash, god of the sun, i. 388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamasherib, Babylonian Pretender, i. 196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shams-shum-ukin, King of Babylon, i. 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamkar, battle of, ii. 318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamlu tribe, ii. 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shams-u-Din, Altmash, ii. 83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shamba (Christian bishop), i. 487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapur I., Sassanian King, i. 44, 398; success and career of, 399-410; first campaign against Rome, 400-401; second campaign, 401; capture of Valerian, 401-402; contest with Odenathus, 402-403; public works, 403-404; death, 406; 409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapur the Great, i. 404; birth and early campaigns, 411-412; first war with Rome, 412-414; persecution of the Christians, 413-415; eastern campaigns and second war with Rome, 415-417; expedition of Julian, 417-421; retreat and death of Julian, 421-423; restoration of Nisibis and the five provinces, 422-423; death of, 425-426; 429, 431, 443, 469; statue of, 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapur III., i. 427, 428, 429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapur (son of Yazdgird I.), i. 431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapur, city of, i. 401; ruins of, 403-404, 447, 471, 494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharaf-ud-Din (historian), ii. 94, 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shargah, ii. 432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shash, city of, ii. 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shatt-al-Arab, River, i. 21, 41, 43, 498, 504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaur, River, i. 172, 177, 178, 179, 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shayban, son of Juji, ii. 142, 291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaybani, the (Arab tribe), i. 481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaybani Khan (Uzbek chief), ii. 139, 160, 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaybani, Mohamed, known as Shahi Beg Khan, ii. 142, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaybanid Dynasty, ii. 142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakhkhl-ul-Jabal (Old Man of the Mountain), ii. 38-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shayahul-Rais, ii. 408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheba, ii. 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell, Sir John, ii. 327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheek Tribe, ii. 438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shela, River, i. 24, 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shensi, ii. 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherkat, i. 371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schliemann, H., i. 148**
**Scilpi, i. 336**
**Scodra (the modern Scutari), i. 358**
**Scotland, Scotch and Macedonians compared, i. 281**
**Scutari, i. 358**
**Seylax (Greek admiral), i. 168-169**
**Scythians, the invasion of Media, i. 124-125, 126, 131; campaign by Darius, 165 et seq. i. 171, 210, 276, 288, 329**
**Sebastian, Roman general, i. 418**
**Secundianus, Achaemenian Prince, i. 218**
**Selborne, Lord, ii. 535**
**Seleucia, city on the Tigris, i. 290, 313, 331, 346, 348, 365, 366, 376, 382, 384, 385, 420, 429; Council of, 429, 479, 485, 496**
**Seleucia, Syrian city, i. 311, 330, 451**
**Seleucus I. (Nicator): rise of, i. 285, 286, 287; reoccupation of Babylon, 289-290; forms an empire, 289-291; 291, 292, 293; assassination of, 294, 295, 296, 298; 302**
**Seleucus II. (Callinicus), son of Laodice, i. 299; defeat of, 300; campaign against Parthia, 301, 309, 310**
**Seleucus III. (Soter), i. 301**
**Seleucus IV. (Philopator), i. 324-325, 326**
**Selim the Grim, i. 525; reign of, ii. 161-163**
**Seljuks, the, ii. 26, 27; the coming of, 28 et seq.; importance and origin of, 28-29; founding of Seljuk Dynasty, 29-30; Toghril Beg, 30-31; Seljuk Empire at its zenith, 33-34; Seljuks of Kerman, 41-42; Crusades, 42 et seq.; description of Seljuk Empire, 46 et seq.**
**Selm, son of Feridun, i. 135**
**Semiramis, i. 117, 125, 177, 276**
**Semites, the, power of, i. 501-502**
**Seneca, i. 340, footnote**
**Sennacherib, i. 25; expedition of, 41-42, 55; campaigns against Elam and Babylon, 57-88; 91, 119; and the Medes, 122, 123, 412**
**Seringapatam, fall of, ii. 299**
**Sertorius, i. 341**
**Sestos, capture of, i. 209-210, 212, 248, 319, 320**
**Severianus, Aelius, legate of Cappadocia, i. 383**
**Severus, Emperor Lucius Septimius, eastern campaigns of, i. 384-385; 386, 395**
**Severus Alexander, Roman Emperor: struggle with Ardeahir, i. 395-396; assassination, 400**
**Shabancara (or Ik) tribe, ii. 91**
**Shabdiz (horse belonging to Khusru Parviz), i. 486**
**Shaffai, sect, i. 544**
**Shahabad, ii. 419**
**Shah Abdul Azim, ii. 447**
**Shahin (Persian general), i. 482; defeat of, 484-485; 486**
**Shahnamah (Persian epic), i. 133; ii. 60 et seq.**

**2R**
Silvia, St., of Jerome, i. 42
Simonich, General, ii. 332, 333
Simurgh (fabulous egle), i. 136
Simurru, district of, i. 69
Sinatruces, King of Parthia, i. 340, 344
Sinclair, W. F., ii. 185 footnote
Sind, province of, i. 168, 169, 434, 551; ii. 21, 82, 88; Nadir’s expedition into, 262-263
Sindbad, heads a rebellion, i. 560
Sindbad the Sailor, i. 25, 498; ii. 8
Singara, battle of, i. 413, 417; ceded to Persia, 423
Sinnabha, i. 492
Sinjar, i. 413
Sinnuballut, King of Babylon, i. 76
Sinoe, i. 337
Sipahdar-i-Azam, see Mohamed Vali Khan
Sippar, i. 47, 88
Siraf, ii. 8
Sir Dar, River (Jaxartes), i. 23; Alexander’s advance to, 268-269; 334, 454; ii. 76; Russian occupation of valley, 359-357
Sirdar-i-Asad (Bakhtiari chief), ii. 418, 421, 422
Sirjan, district of, i. 278; incidents at (1916), ii. 464-465; 473
Siroes, vide Kobad II.
Sitiano, General, ii. 313, 314
Sistan, i. 1, 4, 11, 12, 21, 23, 32, 33, 36, 134
266, 267, 303, 313, 339, 468, 501, 552, 550, 562; ii. 15, 18, 20, 26, 32, 121; 412, 448, 455; Tamerlane’s campaign, 124
125; Afrfs expelled from, 303
Persian and Afghan claims, 362-363; arbitration commission, 362-363; second arbitration commission, 364.
Sivas, province of, i. 527; captured by Tamerlane, i. 129, 130
Skobieff, General, ii. 359
Skrine, C. P., ii. 515
Skrine, F. H., i. 333 footnote; ii. 22 footnote, 48 footnote
Skunkha, Scythian chief, i. 177 footnote
Smerdis, vide Bardiya
 Smyrna, ii. 130
 Socotra, ii. 186
Sogdiana, i. 298, 308
Sogdians, the, i. 121, 162, 268; capture of the Sogdian rock by Alexander, 269-270, 551
Sohrab, son of Rustam, i. 137
Solat-u-Dola (Chief of Kashgai), ii. 456, 469, 472, 474; strained relations with, 478; 479, 502; declaration of hostilities, 504 et seq.; 509, 510; pursuit of, 511-512
Solomon, King, i. 84
Solon, i. 147
Sophocles, i. 292
South Persia Rifles: organization and official recognition of, ii. 476 et seq.; 499, 500; deserts from, 501; 503, 504, 508, 512; gallant behaviour, 514-515; 524, 537, 539
INDEX

Sovar, or Su, Lake of, i. 431
Spaco (foster-mother of Cyrus the Great), i. 141, 142
Spain, and Persia compared, i. 7, 216, 342; Spanish embassy in Persia, ii. 190
Sparda (Lydia), i. 163
Sparta, i. 145, 149, 187, 188, 189, 191, 193, 198, 199, 203, 206, 208, 209, 217; Tissaphernes and, 218; Cyrus the Younger and, 220-221; 226, 227, 238, 242, 283
Spartacus, i. 341
Spitamenes, Persian general, i. 268, 269, 286, 302
Statianus, Roman general, i. 360, 362
Statira (wife of Artaxerxes II.), i. 230, 231
Statira, daughter of Darius, i. 284, 285, 302
Steele, Mr., English merchant, ii. 189, 191
Stein, Sir Aurel, ii. 206
Stevenson, W. B., ii. 42 footnote
Stileman, Bishop, ii. 227 footnote
Stoddart, Colonel, ii. 327, 333, 357
Stokes, Major C. B., ii. 424
Strabo, i. 22 footnote, 26, 50, 94, 116 footnote, 121, 305, 316, 360, 367
Strachona, Lord, ii. 535
Stratonice (daughter of Demetrius), i. 292, 296
Struchates, the, i. 199
Styrmion, i. 199
Stuart, Mrs. C. M. Villiers, ii. 204
Su, lake of, vide Sozar
Suskin, i. 209
Subutay, Mongol general, ii. 78, 79, 83
Suez, Gulf of, i. 193
Sufla, or Mystics, the, ii. 146-147
Sughda (Soghdiana), i. 97
Sulayman, voyages of, ii. 8
Sulayman, Carmathian fanatic, ii. 19
Sulayman, Calliph, i. 554, 552
Sulayman, brother of Toghit Beg, ii. 32
Sulayman, Mirza, Vizier of Khudabanda, ii. 170
Sulayman, Shah, son of Abbas II., reign of, ii. 212-213
Sulayman Aga, Pasha of Baghdad, ii. 292
Sulayman Khan, Kajar, ii. 297
Sulayman the Magnificent, invasion of Persia, ii. 164, 165, 166, 172
Sulayman Mountains, ii. 26
Sulla, Lucius, i. 338, 339; defeat of Mithridates, 340; 341
Sultanabad, Germans at, ii. 450
Sultan Ahmad Shah, ii. 421
Sultan Bulak (mountain range), ii. 451
Sultania, city of, ii. 115, 125-131; tomb of Khudabanda, 153; 164
Sultan Shah, son of Malik Kaward, ii. 41
Sultan Sheh Mahmud, of Khiva, ii. 53
Sumer, i. 37, 39; meaning of, 46; early history, 61 et seq.; 74, 80, 93, 280
Sung Dynasty, ii. 87
Sunion, Cape, i. 192
Sunni, the, ii. 436
Sunnis, the, i. 544; ii. 254
Surat, ii. 190
Suryash, god (the sun), i. 78
Sus (Susa), mounds of, i. 45
Susa, city of, i. 40, 43, 44, 45, 50, 52, 53, 54; history of, 55 et seq.; 62, 65, 71, 74, 79, 86, 87, 88, 89; revolution at, 91; capture of, by the Assyrians, 92-93, 94, 95, 164, 182, 183, 188, 212, 213, 216, 221, 227, 230, 258; capture of, by Alexander, 258, 267; Alexander's march to, 276-277, 286-287, 288, 290, 366, 415
Susa, ii. 285
Susiana, i. 50, 163, 299, 395
Susis, i. 50
Suti, the, i. 84
Swat, lower, i. 270
Swedish Gendarmerie, ii. 469 et seq.; taken by Sir Percy Sykes, 471-472
Syracuse, i. 205
Tabae, Achaemenian city, i. 326
Tabar, city in Khorasan, ii. 61
Tabari, Abu Jafr Mohamed (historian), i. 392 footnote, 437 footnote, 438, 442, 445, 446, 452, 454, 455, 461, 462, 465 footnote, 468, 478, 481, 486, 490, 501, 509; ii. 11, 12
Tabaristan (Mazanderan), i. 264, 309, 501; Yazid's campaign, 551; conquered by Moslems, 561; ii. 17, 23, 29, 38, 61
Tabas, city of, i. 307, 501; ii. 38, 106, 174
Tabriz, i. 3, 28, 361, 362; ii. 83, 84, 89, 104, 108; Ghazan Khan's buildings and endowments, 114, 116, 130, 139, 159, 163, 164, 171, 173, 174, 177, 178, 179, 211, 237; surrender to Russians, 319; riots at, 407; 410; siege of, 416-417; 418, 421, 425, 426, 437, 529, 530
Tachos, King of Egypt, i. 230
Tacitus, i. 376
Taftan (volcano), i. 7, 16
Taghib Arab, i. 491
Tagi, fortress of, i. 309
Tagizada, leader of Tabriz deputies, ii. 407, 408, 422
Tagudar Oghlu, known as Ahmad, q.v.
Tahir the Ambidextrous: campaigns of, ii. 4, 5, 6, 15; Viceroy of the East, 63; 15
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Thermopylae, battle of, i. 199-201, 241; 295, 318, 340
Theron, tyrant of Hlerma, i. 205
Theopiea, i. 200
Thespian, the, i. 201
Thessalonica, i. 234 footnote
Thessaly, i. 199, 200, 205, 206, 237, 246, 251, 318
Thos, i. 321
Thomas, Bishop of Marga, i. 487 footnote
Thomas, Dr. F. W., i. 333 footnote
Thompson, R. C., i. 50, 58, 177 footnote, 371
Thrace, i. 166, 167; annexation of, 158, 169, 186, 188; campaign of Mardonius, 160-161; 237, 289, 297, 315, 317, 319, 483
Thrastenon, *vide* Feridun
Thrasymene, battle of, i. 315
Thucydides, i. 148, 212
Tiberias, Sea of, i. 528
Tiberius, Emperor, i. 373, 374, 375, 376, 477
Tiberius, Count, i. 457
Tibet, i. 8; ii. 83
Tidai, King of the Hittites, i. 75
Tiffis, i. 22 footnote, 28; ii. 83, 89, 237, 439, 487
Tiglath-pileser I, King of Assyria, i. 83, 85, 86; expedition of, into Media, 115-116, 118, 120
Tiglath-pileser III, King, i. 84-86, 161
Tiglath-pileser IV, King, i. 85; campaigns of, i. 117; 118
Tigranes (King of Armenia), i. 336, 338, 339, 340, 342, 343, 344, 373
Tigranes (son), i. 343
Tigranes (King of Armenia), i. 383
Tigranes (Prince of Cappadocia), i. 377
Tigranocerta, i. 378
Tiles, Persian manufacturer of, ii. 201-202
Timarchus (Satrap of Media), i. 328
Timur Beg, better known as Tamerlane, q.v.
Timur Shah, Durrani Amir, ii. 287, 291, 292
Timur Shah Oghlan, of Mongolia, ii. 118
Tippu Sultan, ii. 288, 299
Tiranus, King of Armenia, i. 413
Tiridates I., of Parthia, i. 362, 375, 376, 377, 378, 381
Tiridates (nephew), i. 375
Tiridates (son of Chosroes), seizure of Armenia, i. 408-409; 412
Tiridates, *vide* Arsaces II.
Tirikan, King of the Gutri, i. 69
Tissaphernes, i. 218, 221, 224, 225, 226
Titus, i. 379
Tobacco Regié, the, ii. 372
Toba Wei Dynasty (China), i. 447

Tobit, Book of, i. 261
Tod, Major D’Arcy, ii. 309, 327, 335, 385
Togachar, son-in-law of Chengiz Khan, ii. 80, 81
Togatchar, general of Ghazan, ii. 110
Toghril, chief of the Kerais, called Wang-Khan, identified as Prester John, ii. 73
Toghril Beg, Seljuk king, ii. 29; career of, 30-32, 33, 54
Toghril III, ii. 53
Toghril, Seljuk ruler of Irak, ii. 53
Tokharie, i. 97
Tokharistan, i. 550; ii. 17, 174
Torktamish, defeat of, by Tamerlane, ii. 126; 128
Toleiha, Arab Prophet, i. 527
Tommyra, queen of the Massagetae, i. 153-154
Toura, battle of, i. 554
Townshend, Sir Charles, ii. 441, 442
Traitana, *vide* Feridun
Trajan, Emperor, i. 380; and Armenia, 380-381; conquest of Mesopotamia and Babylonia, 381-382; retreat of, 382-383, 384, 385, 395, 418, 419
Transcaucasia, military mission to, ii. 496-497
Transcncania, Russo-Turkish operations in, ii. 437
Transoxiana, ii. 21, 28, 33, 46; invaded by Mongols, 76-78; 87, 93, 101; devastated by Abaga, 102; 110; campaign against Southern Persia, 111-112; in the 14th century, 118-120, 139; Tamerlane, ruler of, 119; 143, 160, 161
Trapezus, i. 226
Trebizond, i. 3, 28, 226, 337; ii. 131, 439
Tribe-men, the, mode of life and customs, ii. 392
Tripoli, i. 530
Tripolitan, i. 327
Troad, the, i. 187
Trogus (historian), i. 310 footnote
Troizen, i. 202
"True Cross," capture of, i. 482, 483
Tryphon, *vide* Diodotus
Tsin (Chinese warrior), i. 333
Tukak, ancestor of the Seljuks, ii. 28, 29
Tukulti-Ninib, king of Assyria, i. 83
Tului, son of Chengiz Khan, ii. 76, 79; captures of Merv, 80; 81, 86, 87
Tulliz, battle of, i. 90
Tumen, Turkish chief, i. 454
Tun, ii. 38, 95, 106, 174
Tunis, i. 37
Tur, legendary prince, i. 135
Turan, i. 136
Turaniacs, the, i. 99, 105, 110, 282, 307
Turain Shah, Seljuk prince of Kerman, ii. 41
Turbat-i-Shaykh-Jam, ii. 152, 164, 165
Turfan, i. 8, 12, 13
Turghay, Amir, father of Tamerlane, ii. 120
Turkan Khatun, wife of Malik Shah, ii. 35, 39
Turkestan, i. 2, 5, 8, 268; ii. 21, 28, 29, 49, 123, 142
Turkey, encroachments on Persia (1906–1907), ii. 415
Turkoman: Persian campaign against, ii. 357-358; crushed by Russia, 359-360
Turkomanchai, Treaty of, i. 331, 312, 319-321, 371
Turks, the: coming of, i. 454; campaign of Noshirwan, 456; invasion of Persia and defeat of, 477-478; the Mamelukes, ii. 10, 11; Ghuzz Turks, 28; Alp Arslan's campaign against, 33; Kara Khitai Turks, 49; treaty of peace with Persia, 163; invasion of Persia, 173-174; Shah Abbas and his campaigns, 177 et seq.; capture of Hamadan, 210-211; Erivan campaign, 210; capture of Baghdad, 211; invasion of Georgia, 237; agreement with Russia to dismember Persia, 237; conquest of Western Persia, 238; Nadir Kuli's first campaign, 251; Shah Tahmasp's campaign, 250; Nadir Kuli's campaigns, 253 et seq.; hostilities with Persia, 316; relations with Persia, 337; Perso-Turkish boundary, 365, 415, 436; and telegraph, 367-368
Turrang Tappa ("Pheasant Mound"), i. 58
Turshiz, i. 305; ii. 15; captured by Tamerlane, 123; siege of, 324
Tur, i. 97, 265, 531; ii. 22, 29, 60, 65, 66, 79, 94, 108
Tutush, founder of the Syrian Dynasty of Sekuza, ii. 40
"Twelve Sect of the," ii. 38
Twyg, Lieut.-Colonel E. F., ii. 457
Tyre, i. 228; siege and capture by Alexander the Great, 252, 253-255, 292-293, 320, 357, 505
Tzathca, King of Lazica, i. 453
Uighurs, the, ii. 74
Uknu, River (Kerka), i. 40
Ulai (Karun), River, i. 40
Ujaitu, brother and successor of Ghazan Khan, ii. 114, 115, 153
Ullam-Buriash, Kassite King of Babylon, i. 78
Ulugh Beg, son of Shah Rukh, ii. 137; interest in astronomy, 136
Umliyash, the, Elamite tribe, i. 52
Unma, city of Sumer, i. 46, 66
Unman-Minian, King of Elam, i. 88
Ur, i. 46, 52, 54, 58, 65, 69; the conquest of Elam, 60, 70, 75, 150
Ural Mountains, i. 454; ii. 142
Uratu (Van), kingdom of, i. 85, 98, 119, 131, 335
Urban II., Pope, address at Clermont, ii. 43
Urfa, i. 381
Urganj, town of, i. 22; siege of, by the Mongols, ii. 78
Urseh of Baki, ii. 73
Urtaku, King of Elam, i. 88, 89, 91
Uruk (Erech), city of Babylonia, i. 151
Urukhegal, King of Erech, i. 69
Urumsia, ii. 488, 493; Assyrian Christians leave, 495-496
Urumsia, or Urmu, Lake of, i. 21, 23, 118, 124, 361, 484; ii. 68, 436, 437, 438, 529; battle with the Turks, 178
Urumshah, King of Kish, i. 67
Urva, vide Tus
Usb, city of, ii. 76
Ustad Sayf, leads rebellion at Herat, i. 562
Ustajil tribe, ii. 159, 163, 170
Ustuvu (the modern Kuchan), ii. 79
Uvaja, i. 50, 163
Uxia, i. 50
Uxians, the, i. 52, 259
Uzbek, the: Samarcand sacked by, ii. 138, 139; defeated by Shah Ismail, 159, 163; defeat of Baber, 160, 161, 164, 179; invasions of Persia, 173; 210; refugees, 211; 212, 217-218, 258, 263
Uzun Hasan, of the "White Sheep" Dynasty, ii. 138, 140; alliance with Venice, 141, 142, 158
Vackerata, vide Kabul
Vagabanta, town of, i. 424
Vagharshapat, Council of, i. 440
Vahan, Armenian general, i. 437, 438
Vahyazdata (the second Pseudo-Smerdis), i. 177
Vais, Mir (Ghilzai), career of, ii. 217, 222; 238, 256
Vakil-uli-Mulk, ii. 456
Vadad Mir Abbas, Governor of Jalalabad, ii. 259
Val-Arsaces, King of Armenia, i. 335, 408
Valens (brother of Valentinian II.), i. 423, 425
Valentinian II., Emperor, i. 411, 423
Valerian, Emperor, i. 44; capture by Shapur I., 401-402, 404, 409, 472
Vambari, Arminius, ii. 21, 155, 354
Venice, Uzun Hasan's alliance with, ii. 131-141
Vendictus, Publius, Roman general, i. 358, 359
Venduni, Allah, ii. 176, 178, 192; bridge of, 201-202
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Vespasian, i. 379
Village life, ii. 387-393
Virta, fortress of, i. 417
Vitellius (Governor of Syria), i. 375-376
Vitkovich, Captain, ii. 329, 330, 352
Volages I. (Partian monarch), i. 105, 370, 376, 377, 379
Volages III., invasion of Syria, i. 383; 384
Volages IV., i. 384, 385
Volages (son of Volages IV.), i. 385
Volages (brother of Firuz), i. 438
Volga, River, i. 26, 28, 279; ii. 9, 84
Volinsky, Artemi, Russian ambassador, ii. 214
Vonones I. (son of Phraates IV.), i. 374, 376
Vonones II., i. 376
Vrana, River, in Attica, i. 192
Vultures, Stele of the, i. 66
Vusagh-u-Dola, i. 476, 480, 499

Wagstaff, Major L. C., ii. 465
Wakhan, ii. 217
Wakash (Oxus), River, i. 22
Wakusa, battle of, i. 526
Walaja, Arab victory at, i. 491
Walker, Gilbert, i. 8, 19 footnote
Waring, E. S., ii. 280 footnote
Wasiit, ii. 63; captured by Tahir, 4; 17
Wassman (German agent), activities of, ii. 443-446; 502
Wathik, Caliph, ii. 19; accession of, 12-13
Watson, R. G., ii. 298 footnote
Watwat ("The Swallow"), poet, ii. 52
Way, A. S., i. 205 footnote
Wazirabad (Panjab), i. 274
Weil, Dr. Gustave, i. 503 footnote
Welid (son of Abdul Malik), i. 550; death of, 551; career of, 551
Welid II., i. 554
Wellesley, Lord, i. 298, 299
West, Sir R., i. 464
White, Dr., ii. 460
White Huns, vide Huns
"White Sheep" Dynasty, ii. 140, 160
White Wall (fortress of Memphis), i. 215, 232

Whiteway, R. S., ii. 185 footnote
Wigram, E. T. A., i. 415 footnote
Wigram, Dr. W. A., i. 370 footnote, 415 footnote, 430, 440, 487
Will, Captain A. W., ii. 505
Williams, General, i. 55
Williams, Lieut.-Colonel V. B. P., ii. 482, 483, 503, 513
Willibald, St., pilgrimage to the East, ii. 42
Willis, Captain C. R., ii. 503 footnote
Wilson, President, ii. 527
Winckler, Professor, i. 81
Windmills, early use in Persia, i. 11-12, 530
Winter, Captain, ii. 501
Wollaston, Sir A., ii. 341 footnote
Wonckhaus (German farm), ii. 431, 432
Wood, King, ii. 368

Woodroffe, Captain, ii. 271
Wustrow (German Consul), ii. 445
Xenophon, i. 48, 125 footnote, 174 footnote
Cyrus the Younger, 220, 222, 225, 258, 307 footnote
Xerxes I., ii. 181; Hall of, 182; accession of, 195, 196; crushes rebellion in Egypt, 196; revolt of Babylon, ibid.; the great expedition against Hellas, 196 et seq.; retreat of, 205, 206, 210, 211, 212; assassination of, 213, 217, 258, 335
Xerxes II., King, i. 217

Yad Arabs tribe, i. 427
Yadgar Mirza, son of Shah Rukh, ii. 138
Yahveh (tribal god of Israel), i. 113
Yahya, Mirza, successor to the Bab, ii. 343
Yahya, Prince of Daylam, ii. 2
Yahya, son of Khalid, ii. 2
Yakan Mohamed Pasha, Turkish general, ii. 268
Yaksum (son of Abrah), i. 509
Yakub, son of Uzun Hasan, ii. 140
Yakub bin Lais, Saffar, ii. 16; career of, 17; 19, 149
Yakut (Persian geographer), i. 176; ii. 5 footnote, 91, 145-146
Yakut, Governor of Fars, ii. 24
Yama (deity), vide also Jamshid, i. 103
Yamut tribe, i. 307
Yamuthal, district of, i. 52
Yarkand, ii. 49, 74
Yar Mohamed Khan, Vizier of Herat, ii. 329, 331, 335, 341, 346, 362
Yathur, Elamite district of, i. 52
Yauna, vide Ionia
Yelui Tashi, founder of the Kara Khitai Dynasty, ii. 49
Yemama, province of Arabia, i. 527
Yemen, province of, i. 455, 504, 505, 509, 509, 561
Yeprem (Armenian), see Ephraim
Yermoloff, General, embassy to Teheran, ii. 315-316, 317
Yermuk, River, i. 492, 494; battle on, 527-528
Yetha, vide Huns
Yezd, city of, i. 38, 105, 116, 224, 235, 537; ii. 1, 20, 28, 429; Germans at, 446, 450; Sir Percy Sykes' march to, 459-460; 473-533
Yezdigird I. (the Wicked), i. 424; attitude towards Christianity, 429-431; death, 431
Yezdigird II.: campaigns against Rome and the White Huns, i. 435; death of, 436; 442
Yezdigird III. (last Sasanian monarch), i. 489; Arab embassy to, 494; 497; 498, 500; murder of, 501, 531, 542
Yezdikhast, ii. 467
Yezid, Caliph, i. 539, 542; accession of, 547; death of, 547; campaigns in Gurgan and Tabaristan, 551