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PREFACE

The second volume of the *History of Freedom Movement in India* deals with India's reaction to the British impact during the nineteenth century. This impact was primarily political, its agents were imbued with the spirit of modernism, in contrast with the medieval attitudes prevalent in India. They treated politics in the architectonic sense as a factor which pervades and directs all activities of society. Thus, the British impact on Indian life was both wide in sweep and deeply penetrating. It had both positive and negative effects.

The confrontation with the West forced India to make a critical examination of its traditions—values and ideas, customs and institutions—and to repudiate or remould such among them as appeared unreasonable or unwholesome. This was the destructive aspect of the impact. On the other hand, the challenge called forth a response from the unfathomable depths of the Indian consciousness which amounted to a reassertion of the uniqueness of the basic principles of Indian life—principles which for thousands of years had inspired the conduct of the individual and society, which constituted the ethos of Indian culture, its individuality and continuity through the ages.

In order to rediscover and resuscitate this ethos and build a new India, political independence was a pre-condition. Such was the regenerative role of foreign domination.

The movements of social and religious reform, of intellectual assimilation and literary expression, of economic and political change, were all manifestations of the transformation which was taking place as a result of the ideological and practical thrust of modernism. During the first half of the nineteenth century, when the dawn of modernism was just breaking on the horizon, old India was profoundly disturbed by the prospect, for it looked upon the onset of the Western imperialism as a menace.
to its age-long culture—its economy, polity and religion. In order to avoid this fatality, the old order reacted violently but ineffectually. The earlier uprisings were isolated and uncoordinated, and they culminated in the tragic Revolt of 1857.

Meanwhile, a new India was taking shape. On the basis of the individuality and continuity of Indian culture, the structure of national unity was being built. Cultural integration, accompanied by political particularism, had been characteristic of Indian history so far. The effort now was to strengthen cultural harmony and to effect a permanent and organic political integration.

The second half of the nineteenth century was the formative period for the evolution of Indian nationalism. In the beginning, the movement was weak and unsure of itself. It affected the middle class strata of society, it spoke in many voices, it failed to discriminate between political and religious interests and objectives. It tended to cling to the coat-tails of the British empire. In its naiveté and inexperience, it accepted, at their face value, diplomatic assurances and liberal promises of political reform and failed to realise that imperial interests were incompatible with national aspirations. Thus, the organ of national will which had thus been forged was, in its early stages, confused in its aims and mimetic in its methods for achieving those aims.

Meanwhile, socio-economic developments were on their way to prepare the ground for the final stage in the struggle for independence. The misery of the masses was on the increase. The middle classes were growing in strength and influence. They were becoming more and more conscious of the ills from which India suffered and were slowly realising the futility of the methods so far followed in removing them. They found the most convincing evidence of the hopeless condition of the masses in the series of grievous famines which inflicted untold suffering upon them during the nineteenth century.
On the other hand, the movements of religious and social reform and of renaissance in literature and art were manifestations of the rising spirit of nationalism, of the dawning of a new day which promised the end of the night of dependence and misery.

Unfortunately, the rulers of India appeared to be oblivious as much to the distress of the people as they were unwilling to recognise the existence of Indian nationalism. In the words of Sir John Strachey, "there is not, and never was an India, or even any country of India." Inevitably, this indifference of the rulers became the main cause of conflict between the people of India and its alien Government. Up to the end of the nineteenth century, the conflict manifested itself largely in the form of dissent and protest by means of speeches and writings and occasionally through agitation, excited meetings, resolutions and petitions. The game of politics was played in accordance with what were known as 'constitutional rules'. The object was to appeal to the good sense of the powers that be and cause them as little annoyance as possible.

The last quarter of that century was the time of undisputed hegemony of Britain in international affairs and of a great resurgence of imperialism. Of the two sections of the empire, while the white one was graduating for dominion-status which implied equality with the mother-country, the other section, inhabited by coloured races and peoples of different cultures, was being developed as the necessary infra-structure for the support of the grandeur and glory of Britain. Under Curzon's regime, imperial pretensions reached their zenith in India, evoking the inevitable reaction and ushering in the era of militant nationalism.

With the turn of the century, the story of the movement for freedom enters upon a new stage from where it was carried into the twentieth century and to its fulfilment. This will be the subject of the third volume.

In writing this history, the emphasis has not been so
much on the discovery of new facts as upon the interpretation of the known ones. Interpretation is in its very nature a somewhat subjective enterprise. Interpretation must undoubtedly be based upon facts which constitute the raw material of historical narration, but the selection and arrangement of facts and their evaluation depends upon the choice, judgment and interest of the historian. And no two historians ever agree in their choice of facts or in their approach to the problems of history. As pointed out by E. H. Carr, "the belief in a hard core of historical facts existing objectively and independently of the interpretation of the historian is a preposterous fallacy." There is much force in the warning of Charles A. Beard that "history as it actually was, as distinguished, of course, from particular facts of history, is not known or knowable, no matter how zealously is pursued the ideal of the effort for objective truth."

I am well aware that there is no finality in the writing of history and like Sir George Clark, the Chief Editor of the New Cambridge Modern History, I expect my work to be superseded again and again. I, however, hope that I have presented in these volumes a valid and consistent view of the exciting events which form a fascinatingly instructive chapter in the history of human evolution.

In my task, I have received valuable aid and assistance from friends and colleagues. I am greatly indebted to Dr. K. K. Datta, Prof. Muhammad Habib, Dr. Nihar Ranjan Ray, Dr. Bisheshwar Prasad and Prof. K. A. Nilakanta Sastri, who have read the manuscript and made useful suggestions for its improvement. I wish to acknowledge gratefully the help they have rendered ungrudgingly.

My colleagues Dr. V. G. Dighe, Dr. R. K. Parmu and Dr. B. M. Bhatia have given to the task the best of their energy and talent. In the composition of the chapters on economic conditions, Dr. Bhatia has made a valuable contribution. No less valuable was the contribution of Dr. Dighe and Dr. Parmu who not only supplied a great
deal of material for the rest of the volume, but also verified the references, scrutinised the facts, and revised the script. Dr. Dighe also prepared the index. To them ample thanks are due.

Nor would it be right to omit the name of Shri Y. M. Mulay, Librarian, National Library, Calcutta, Shri K. D. Bhargava and Shri S. Roy, Director and Deputy Director respectively, of the National Archives of India, New Delhi, and their officers from the list of those to whom the author is beholden for their co-operation.

My Personal Secretary, Shri B. R. Ajmani, is responsible for the extremely laborious and highly exacting task of preparing the typescript.

To all of them are due my thanks. But none of them is responsible for the contents of the history. For what it says and how it says it, I alone am answerable.

New Delhi,
May 2, 1967,
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CHAPTER ONE

RESISTANCE AND INSURRECTIONS

I. DISCONTENT AGAINST BRITISH RULE

With the victory of Plassey set in the strong tide of British conquest of India. In 1764, the Emperor of Delhi was defeated at the battle of Buxar and, in 1765, he gave legal recognition to the transfer of power by the grant of the Diwani of the provinces of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa. In 1772, Warren Hastings assumed direct authority over these territories and began to lay the foundations of the system of British administration. There were then a number of loosely organised political powers and many semi-autonomous feudal states in India. Most of them acknowledged the formal suzerainty of the Mughal Emperor, whose authority, however, was fast dwindling to extinction.

These principalities and estates were engaged in the suicidal pursuit of waging perennial feuds against one another. The principalities were comparatively large; some of them, for example, the kingdom of the Marathas, dominated a large part of India. But none of them was politically stable or financially solvent. They were riddled with internal conflicts, party dissensions and personal jealousies. In fact, they vied with each other in helping the Company. Malcolm points out: “These chiefs, impelled by a short-sighted policy, thus sacrificed their permanent independence, for the attainment of momentary objects of hatred and ambition.”¹ In spite of their imposing facades and high sounding claims they were feeble structures which were easily overthrown. They continued to exist only so long as they did not come into collision with the forces of the East India Company. In war they received short shrift. Every campaign exhibited their military inferiority, and in almost every battle they suffered defeat. Thus it was that the great principalities of Mysore, Hyderabad, Oudh and the territories of the Marathas, the Jats and the Sikhs passed under British rule.

But these conquests, however extensive, did not secure universal dominion and peace among the people. The subjugation of the chiefs of the principalities did not mean the elimination of the hydra-headed feudal aristocracy, which was loath to

¹ Malcolm, J., Sketch of the Political History of India, p. 33
surrender authority and which hated the new-fangled administrative arrangements of the foreign ruler. The very weakness of the indigenous governments rendered the task of the British conquerors an exhausting and long-drawn struggle which made a heavy drain upon the revenues of the Company. Thus it happened that after each stage of conquest followed by the establishment of the machinery of administration, ugly political reactions made their appearance. The chieftains and zamindars whose loyalty to their own Indian overlords was vacillating, were not disposed to submit easily to the foreign rulers, especially when they tended to extinguish their authority over their tenants, imposed upon them almost insupportable financial burdens and subjected them to an alien system of judicial administration, which "effected a revolution in the state of society, by raising the lower orders into an independence of their superiors." \(^1\)

Thus, during the century following Plassey and the outbreak of the great Revolt of 1857, a series of uprisings and disturbances marked the expansion of British rule in India. Each region became after annexation a scene of resistance and revolt, in which landholders and peasants were involved and in which the disbanded soldiers of the landlords, the ministers of religion and the dismissed dependents participated. But the disturbances were not confined to the annexed territories only. The sepoys of the armies of the Company also broke out in mutiny, from time to time, in protest against the treatment meted out to them. It is interesting that while the new order was extending its sway over India and a new class was emerging in society, the different sections of the old order were making brave, though vain, efforts to save themselves from extinction.

II. DISTURBANCES IN BENGAL AND EAST INDIA

Revolt of Mir Qasim

The history of British rule begins with the acquisition of the provinces of Bengal, Bihar and Orissa, and the earliest manifestations of the spirit of defiance naturally occurred in this region. The first challenge came from Mir Qasim who was raised to the office of Nawab Nazim of Bengal when Mir Jafar was dethroned in 1760. His qualifications for the post, accord-

\(^1\) *Ibid.*, p. 466
ing to Warren Hastings, were, "his timidity, the little inclination he had ever shown for war."

But Mir Qasim belied the estimate of Warren Hastings. He showed an unexpected spirit of independence and deep concern for the welfare of his subjects. He refused to submit to the unlawful and extravagant demands of the English merchants for internal trade without payment of duties, the acceptance of which caused the impoverishment of the Indian merchants and zamindars and the ruin of the country. He was forced to desperation by the Council in Calcutta. In the struggle which ensued, Mir Qasim secured the support of Nawab Shuja-ud-Daulah of Oudh and Emperor Shah Alam, and together they set up a united front against the enemy. But the allied troops were no match for the trained forces of the Company. They were ignominiously defeated at Buxar (1764), and Mir Qasim had to live the life of a wanderer till his death in 1777.

The collapse of the Nawab's power, however, did not mean an end to opposition. All over the districts of Bengal, the districts of Bihar south of the Ganga and the districts of Chhota Nagpur, there were frequent outbreaks of rebellion and disorder. These disturbances were mainly due to the subversion of the traditional political order, and the harsh land revenue policy of the Company. Moreover, interference with the country's traditional customs and the unfamiliar judicial system established by the British, added greatly to popular discontent.

In north Bengal in the districts of Rangpur and Dinajpur heavy assessments, exactions of farmers of revenue, and other oppressive measures led to open defiance of authority in 1783, and force had to be employed to quell the rising.

In West Bengal the harsh treatment of the Rajas of Birbhum and Bishnupur, famine, enhancement of rents combined to cause widespread discontent. The unruly elements took advantage of the prevailing conditions and in 1789 started a campaign of marauding and banditry, causing a breakdown of government. Eventually order was restored, but the effects of disturbances continued to be felt for a long time.

Risings of the Hill Tribes

In the vast region of hills and forests inhabited by hillmen and aboriginal tribes, there were numerous petty rajas, some of whom claimed descent from Rajput families, and some traced their lineage to ancient times, previous to the advent of the
Turkish conquerors in Bengal. It was a difficult task to establish here an administrative system based upon rigid Bengal Regulations. The attempt led to resentment and defiance.

**Chuar Risings**

There was almost continuous disorder in the tracts inhabited by the aboriginal tribes. From western Midnapur across southern Bihar, Chhota Nagpur and Orissa, there were repeated risings among the Chuars of the Jungle Mahals, the Hos of Singhbhum, the Kols and Mundas of Chhota Nagpur, the Bhumij of Manbhum, and the Santhals of Rajmahal hills. In Orissa the Khonds, and in Assam the Khasis, created serious disturbances.

Famine, depredations of wild animals, increase in assessments, harsh realisations of revenue, all contributed to economic distress and social discontent. Disbanded soldiers, ruined peasants and uprooted artisans, formed roving bands of freebooters, who marched through villages and plundered and terrorised them. As a result, clashes were inevitable, and serious outbreaks occurred.

The Chuars or the Bhumij inhabited the Midnapur district of Bengal which then included the Mahals of Manbhum and Barabhum. The district of Midnapur had passed into British hands in 1760 and the Mahals in 1765. But the zamindars were refractory. The Raja of Dhalbhum, Jagannath Dhal, led the resistance (1768) and followed a scorched-earth policy. He gathered the Chuars under his banner. The Rajas of Kailapal, Dholka and Barabhum joined the revolt and the zamindars of Nawabganj and Jharia withheld the revenue. The disturbed conditions continued for nearly thirty years and then quieted of their own.

But, in 1832, Ganga Narayan led the tribes to a fresh revolt. He attacked government offices in Barabazar and took possession of Barabhum. Then rallying the Hos of Singhbhum, he created a situation so serious as to require military operations. The deaths of the leaders in the fight did not discourage the rebels and they continued to resist till Kolhan was occupied by government forces.

The Hos of Chhota Nagpur and Singhbhum had also old scores to settle. In 1773 the Rajas of Porahat, Kharaswan and Sarakela had been compelled to enter into engagements concerning the rebel refugees. But in 1820 the Raja of Porahat had accepted the position of a feudatory of the British to humble
Kharaswan and Saraikela and subdue the independence-loving Ho tribesmen. He asked for British aid and the British forces entered Kolhan and moved to Chaibasa. But the Hos resisted fiercely and for two years (1820-22) ravaged Dhalbhum and Bamanghati and penetrated into Chhota Nagpur. They continued to fight until they were forced to submit in 1827. But peace was not even then completely restored. In 1831, there were insurrections in Chhota Nagpur, Singhbhum, Manbhum and the tribal areas, when the Mundas of Chhota Nagpur rose and the Hos joined them.

Kol Risings

The Kols rebelled (1831-32) because their villages were being transferred from Kol headmen (Mundas) to foreign farmers—Sikhs and Muslims. The upheaval started in Ranchi and spread to Singhbhum, Hazaribagh, Palamau and western parts of Manbhum. Extensive military operations had to be undertaken before it was quelled.

Santhal Risings

The Santahls had migrated from Hazaribagh and Manbhum into the region of Rajmahal hills, and by 1836 had occupied more than four hundred villages in Damanikoh. They were a simple folk. The heavy demands of rents compelled them to depend upon money-lenders and they easily fell a prey to their sharp practices. The revenue officials, too, treated them harshly. The railway authorities who employed them did not pay them their wages and, what is more, insulted their women. These provocations led to bitter resentment. Further fuel was provided by a religious enthusiast who claimed divine revelation, and proclaimed that the country was to be taken away from the Sahibs because of the prevalence of falsehood and corruption among the officials, the extortion of the Mahajans and the oppression of the police. The grievances accumulated and, in 1855, the Santahls rebelled. But bows and arrows were no match for guns, and they were subdued. It was felt that a special administrative system was needed for them and a separate district of Santhal Parganas was formed.

Rising of Orissa Zamindars

Orissa had been annexed by the Company in 1803. But the chiefs had not become reconciled. The Raja of Khurda, an
important landholder, rebelled in 1804, but he was obliged to surrender. Then, the Paiks, the landed militia, rose against the revenue collector and the police. Their leader Jagabandhu attacked Banpur, looted the government treasury and burnt buildings in Khurda. The government officers fled and for a time all traces of British rule were wiped out. By the end of the year 1817, the British had succeeded in reoccupying only Khurda, but Puri continued defiance. Ultimately, however, order was restored. Martial law was proclaimed and the rebellion was suppressed. After a long pursuit, Jagabandhu surrendered at last in 1825, but owing to his influential position, he was pensioned off and allowed to reside in Cuttack.¹

**Khond Risings**

The Khonds occupied the Khondmals, the hilly tract lying to the south-west of Angul and surrounded by the tributary state of Baud and the Ganjam district. In 1815, the Government of Madras arrested Dhananjay Bhary, Raja of Gumsur, and confiscated the estate of Khurda. Then, in 1835, they took over the administration from the Raja who fled to the hills and sought the support of the Khonds. In 1836, the Khonds rose under Dora Bisayi, but the reinforced British forces crushed the resistance. In 1846, the Khonds again rebelled and their leader, Chakra Bisayi, threw the country into ferment. From Baud Khondmals, the rebellion spread to the low country of Gumsur. The Raja of Angul and other chiefs who were in sympathy with the rebels, were forced to remove Bisayi from their estates. But the Government remained suspicious and deposed the Raja of Angul and his estate was annexed. Chakra Bisayi now went into the hills and remained quiet for six years. In 1854, however, he again started to give trouble to the Government. In order to deny him the protection of the Khonds, the Raja of Baud was deposed and Chakra Bisayi forced to leave the Khondmals. He became a fugitive, wandered from place to place and eventually disappeared.

The revolt was suppressed after many years of fighting. In 1855, another rising took place which ended with the transfer of the Khondmals from the jurisdiction of Madras to that of Cuttack. The Raja was arrested and sent to Hazaribagh as a prisoner.

Risings in Assam

On the north-eastern borders of Bengal was the ancient kingdom of the Ahoms. In 1824, on the outbreak of the first Burmese war, a British force marched through their territory to expel the Burmans. The princes and the nobility of the old Ahom court had been given to understand that the British would, on the conclusion of the Burmese war, withdraw and restore their government under British protection subject to the payment of tribute. But the pledges were not kept. On the other hand, arrangements for revenue collection and administration were begun, and the powers and privileges of the Assamese Court were taken away. The result was discontent among the Ahom nobility.

In 1828, the Assamese proclaimed Gomdhar Konwar of the Ahom royal family as the king and proposed, with the co-operation of the Ahom nobility, to march to Rangpur. Among the leaders of the enterprise were Dhananjay Bargohain, an ex-minister of the Ahom government, and other functionaries of the state. The attempt proved premature; it was suppressed in October 1828. Gomdhar surrendered and was sentenced to seven years' imprisonment.

A second revolt was planned in 1830. Letters were sent to the chiefs of border tribes—Khamtis, Singphos, Moamarias, Manipuris, Nagas, Khasis and Garos—for combined action against the common enemy. Rupchand Konar was set up as the Raja. Peali Barphukan, Jeuram Dhulia Barua and other scions of the nobility joined together and with a small force marched towards Rangpur, but their plans were previously known to the British. They were all apprehended and convicted of treason. Peali Barphukan and Jeuram were sentenced to death and the rest to banishment for 14 years. Their property was confiscated. In 1833 amends were made and upper Assam was handed over to Sir Maharaja Purandar Singh Narendra, and a part of the kingdom was restored to the Assamese Raja.

Khali Risings

Tirat Singh ruled over a state in the western Khasi hills, then known as Kahima Khatsawphra, and today recognised as the Nongkhlaio state. He was a good and trustful ruler. The British who then possessed Kamrup on the one side of the Khali hills and Sylhet on the other, desired to build a road joining Assam and Sylhet which could be used for transport of troops.
to Burma. David Scott, the British representative, persuaded Tirat Singh to let him live in Nongkhlao and to allow him to build the road. A large number of troops and their followers were inducted into the state on pretence of road-building. The Khasis became alarmed.

The rumours of the British intention to impose taxes strengthened their suspicions. In 1829, Tirat Singh, at the head of a band of followers, attacked the British party at Nongkhlao. Other chiefs joined, and a long guerilla war ensued.

The Khasis with support from the Garos, the Khamptis and the Singphos threatened the north-east frontier. The tribal fighters under their brave king fought so valiantly as to gain the praise of even their foes. Then the British tried to win over Tirat Singh, but he refused to treat unless the territory was restored to him unconditionally. The unequal war could not, however, be sustained for long, and Tirat Singh, the intrepid warrior, was obliged to surrender and was transported to Dacca. He was offered the restoration of his status provided he would submit to British rule and carry out its behests. But Tirat Singh refused to comply. His answer was, "better the death of a free commoner than the life of a slave king."

He died in exile in 1834. This was followed by a general pacification of the country.

III. Nepali Schemes

The plots which were hatched in different parts of India against the British received encouragement from the neighbouring countries, especially Nepal. The British had begun taking interest in the affairs of Nepal from the time the country was united under the Gurkha rulers. By 1814, the latter had expanded to the frontier of the British dominions in northern India, and then a war ensued in which the Nepalese army was defeated and the treaty of Sagauli was signed (28th November, 1816).

The humiliating defeat which obliged the Nepal Darbar to receive a British envoy at Kathmandu rankled in the minds of the Gurkhas. While they maintained minimum relations with the British envoy in their country they schemed to take revenge upon the British. But to gain success it was necessary to seek the aid of Indian princes. So they sent agents to the chiefs whom they considered disaffected towards the British.
In India there was much dissatisfaction with the rulers and, therefore, a natural inclination to welcome the friendly Nepalese offers. The British Government soon became aware of the existence of plots. The British Resident at Hyderabad, on the basis of the evidence recorded in Madras, pointed out "that a confederacy does exist having for its object the fulfilment of schemes intended to be injurious in some way or other to our interests cannot, I think, admit of a doubt." Maddock, Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, stated:

"The state of Ava may also be declared to be in a position of hostility, the Goorkah has long been endeavouring to injure us, the Rajah of Jodhpore or rather the party which rules his state, has set our authority at defiance, the Rajah of Satara is almost our open enemy, the Gaikwar is a most ill-disposed ally, and a powerful party at Hyderabad in the South of India is plotting against us."

In the circumstances, it is not surprising that the Nepal Darbar should have tried to exploit the general feeling of discontent against the British to their own advantage. They deputed messengers to carry letters and messages to the Court of Maharajah Ranjit Singh of the Panjáb, to Udaipur through Bunsraj—whose baggage was searched at Ghazipur and seven letters discovered, to Appa Sahib Bhonsle at Jodhpur, to the Shahpur Maharajah, to Jaipur, to the Rajas of Assam who had made the temple of Kamakhya the rendezvous and asylum for the emissaries of Nepal and Burma, to Panna in Bundelkhand and to the kings of Burma and Ava. They sent a messenger to Herat where the Persians were conducting a campaign. Thomas wrote from Banaras on 14th February, 1839:

"The Nepal Durbar appears engaged in intrigue more busily than ever. Gosains, Pandits, Harkaras, all have their tasks assigned them, and the natives of Nepal are pouring down to the plains in a continuous stream. Last month, some 500 came to Benares, on pretences of pilgrimage, trade, or service, and I suspect a greater number visited Patna. The police declare that in no former year have the Nepalese been so numerous."

These plans which continued to be pursued till the rise of Rana Jang Bahadur to power produced no results. The British

---

1 Foreign Secret Consultation, No. 11, dated 12th June, 1839
2 Ibid
3 Thomas, H. H. to Torrens, H., Officiating Secretary to Government of India, Foreign Secret Consultation, No. 16, dated 14th February, 1839
Resident at Kathmandu and the officers in India had full knowledge of them. Their intelligence branch had assured the Government that in the absence of any possibility of concerted action on the part of the princes, and generally among the Hindus and Muslims, there was no serious danger to the British rule in India.

IV. MOVEMENTS AMONG THE MUSLIMS

Among the Muslims of Bengal there was naturally deep discontent and hostility towards the British. Their upper classes were affected by the overthrow of the Nizamat (the Mughal proconsulship), the anglicisation of the administration and the substitution of British in place of Muslim officers, and the large transfers of landed estates from Muslim proprietorship. The Muslim middle classes suffered from the disbandment of the Nawab's forces, the abolition of the rural police which deprived them of a large source of livelihood, and the resumption of lands which provided sustenance to the learned. The Muslim lower classes were thrown out of employment by the destruction of the textile industry, the tyranny of British and Hindu agents (gomashtas), and the British indigo planters.

Muslim religious sentiment was shocked by the anti-Muslim ways of the foreigners and the activities of the Christian missionaries and over-zealous government officials.

Thus political, economic and religious factors continued to promote unrest among the Muslims. It took many forms.

A somewhat unusual source of trouble for the British rulers was the movement of the Muslim faqirs. The leader of the band of faqirs was one Majnun Shah, who in 1776-77 created disturbances in different parts of Bengal. Their headquarters were in the Nepal Tarai, particularly in Makwanpur, south of Kathmandu. Their principal centres of operation in Bengal were Madarganj and Mahasthan in the Bogra district, where a fort was built. Their bands wandered about the country levying contributions on the zamindars and ryots, and defying the authority of the Government. The villagers gave them shelter and supplied them with provisions. On the death of Majnun Shah, in 1787, his son Chiragh Ali Shah, and other followers, marched through the northern districts of Bengal in 1788-90. They operated in concert with other freedom fighters like Bhawani Pathak and Devi Chaudhurani, a woman leader. They
attacked English factories and seized, besides goods and cash, arms and ammunition. Soon they acquired much strength and their numbers increased. Pathans, Rajputs and disbanded sepoys joined their ranks. From 1793 to 1800 they were at war, skirmishing against the Company's troops and menacing the collection of revenue. But they could not defy a well-organised government indefinitely, and when the British entered into a treaty with the Maharajah of Nepal and promulgated a series of Regulations for the better administration of Bengal, they were gradually brought under control. However, for many years their influence continued, and as late as 1810 Lord Minto acknowledged: "In truth the Sirdars or Captains of the band were esteemed and even called the Hakim or ruling power, while the Government did not possess either authority or influence enough to obtain from the people the smallest aid towards their own protection."  

A similar defiance of authority came later from Tipu, the leader of the Pagal Panthi sect. His father Karam Shah had settled in Susang Pargana in about 1775, and preached the doctrines of truthfulness, equality and fraternity. Among his followers were both Hindus and Muslims, Garos and Hajongs.

On the death of Karam Shah in 1813, his son Tipu collected an armed following and set the tenants against the zamindars. In 1825, he attacked the zamindar of Sherpur and the stronghold of Gar Jariya, his headquarters. He began to hold a court, and appointed a judge, a magistrate and a collector, to carry on administration. For some years, he exercised independent authority, but by 1831 the plains, and, by 1833, the hilly tract, were cleared of Tipu's followers. He died in Jind in 1852.

Yet another anti-British movement in which religious sentiment dominated was the one led by the Faraizis. The sect was founded by Haji Shariatullah of Faridpur in Eastern Bengal (1781-1840), who preached a programme of radical reform in religious practices. He desired to purify Islam of its latter day accretions, and advocated far-reaching social and political changes. He was opposed to the exploitation of the peasantry by the zamindars. He wanted to expel the British and restore Muslim rule in Bengal. His son Muhammad Mohsin, popularly known as Dadu Mian, led a campaign for non-payment of taxes. He established village courts under old and experienced peasants  

1 Ghosh, J. M., Sanyasi and Fakir Raiders in Bengal, (Calcutta, 1930), p. 10
to decide disputes, and helped the tenants to resist the exactions of the zamindars. His activities continued intermittently between 1838 and 1857.

The Faraizi movement was strengthened by the appearance and collaboration of the followers of Syed Ahmad Shahid, a disciple of the School of Waliullah of Delhi, and the leader of the Mujahids in Bengal. He wanted to establish the Tariqa-i-Muhammadiyah, a purified system of Islamic faith, as practised in the early years of Islamic history. His disciples, Mir Mithan Ali alias Titu Nizam and Wilayat Ali and Inayat Ali, worked in Bengal. Titu Nizam led a campaign against the oppressive zamindars who happened to be largely Hindu. Titu was crushed by a military expedition in 1831. But the Faraizis continued to fight against the landlords till the indigo troubles broke out in 1859-60. The Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal reported in 1857: "It will thus be seen that hardly a single district under the Government of Bengal has escaped either actual danger or the serious apprehension of danger."

V. Revolt of the Sanyasis

But the most remarkable among the early rebellions was that of the sanyasis. They were the followers of the great Indian religious philosopher Sri Sankaracharya (8th century), the exponent of the doctrine of absolute monism (Advaita Vada). Sankaracharya's disciples were divided into ten orders, and the rebel sanyasis belonged to the order of the Giris among them. It is impossible to say when they took to the military profession. But they are first heard of as a fighting order in the times of Akbar. According to Farquhar, Madhusudan Saraswati began the enrolment of Kshatriyas in the sanyasi order. Armed Nagas and Giri sanyasis fought in the armies of the eighteenth century. For instance, a contingent of armed Gosains formed part of the army of the Nawab of Oudh when he marched to Panipat in aid of Ahmad Shah Abdali against the Marathas. At the battle of Buxar, five thousand sanyasis, under the command of Gosain Himmat Giri, fought for Mir Qasim to drive out the British from Bengal. Sanyasis were also employed in the armies of the Maratha sardars, Holkar and Sindia, and the Rajas of Jaipur.

The intervention of the sanyasis in Bengal affairs followed the establishment of British rule there. The early years of the
Company's administration formed a period of extreme distress for the people. The zamindars, peasants and artisans were ruined as a result of the land revenue policies of the British, and the severity with which they collected the revenue. Then, the famine of 1770 plunged Bengal into chaos and misery. In these conditions, lawlessness and defiance of authority were inevitable. The President and Council of Fort William in Bengal informed the Court of Directors in 1773 that bands of sanyasis and faqirs, with their ranks swollen by crowds of starving peasants, came down "upon the harvest fields of Lower Bengal, burning, plundering, ravaging, in bodies of fifty thousand men."¹

The steps taken to prevent their activities in Bengal and the restrictions imposed upon the pilgrims to visit the holy places, were the main causes of the Sanyasi rebellion. As early as 1763, the sanyasis had begun raiding factories. Then, in large bands, they came into conflict with the British forces. They swooped down upon a district, exacted contributions from the inhabitants, and then rapidly scattered. Battalions of sepoys were sent to pursue them, but they could not be easily brought into open encounter. Moreover, the Company's sepoys were lukewarm in their loyalty on several occasions and the government troops met with disaster.

Gradually, the activities of the sanyasis ceased. They found it more and more difficult to carry armed raids into Bengal. Their attention, moreover, was diverted to affairs in other parts of India, to the internecine conflicts in the Deccan and to Bihar and Rajasthan. During the second quarter of the nineteenth century, after a stormy career of nearly half a century, they disappeared from the scene, leaving behind only memories of their bold and reckless adventures.

**VI. OUTBREAKS IN MADRAS PRESIDENCY**

What happened in Bengal had its echoes in other parts of India, for the same factors came into operation wherever the British imposed their dominion, although the expression of dissent and disaffection varied according to local conditions.

¹Letter from the President and Council (Secret Deptt.) to the Court of Directors, dated 15th January 1773, para 13; Hunter, W. W. *Annals of Rural Bengal*, (London, 1868), pp. 70-71
In the Madras Presidency, the British introduced the Ryotwari system of revenue collection whereby the cultivator was brought into direct contact with the government and the intermediaries were left with no opportunity to intercept a part of the profits. According to R. C. Dutt, "the Company had as good a grip over the cultivators as a slave-owner over his slaves, and could take away all that was not needed to keep them alive."¹ So far as the ryot was concerned, he had no permanent title to the soil and his rent was liable to vary every year in accordance with his harvest. The uncertainty under which he laboured reduced him to a state in which no improvement in cultivation was possible. Some poligars, however, retained their estates in the general settlement, and it was from them mainly that the Government had to face opposition.

Revolt of the Raja of Vizianagaram

The first case was that of the Raja of Vizianagaram, an extensive zamindari in Chicacole district. During the disturbed period of the war between the French and the English, the Raja seized the territory round Vizagapatam, Kasimkota, Chicacole and Parlakimedi. When the East India Company obtained the grant of Northern Sarkars in 1765, it demanded a Peshkash of three lacs of rupees from the Raja. Later the demand was enhanced to three times the figure, and the Raja was also asked to disband his large army. These demands were not complied with by the Raja and his estate was sequestrated. Supported by his troops, the Raja raised the banner of revolt, but was killed in battle in 1794. Then wisely the Company made a conciliatory gesture. They reduced the Peshkash and installed the deceased Raja's son in the estate, which was reduced in extent.

The poligars of Tinnevelly, Panjalamkurichi, Sivagiri and Ramnad also manifested symptoms of uneasiness and recalcitrance. In 1801, there was an outbreak in the southern Palayams—Dindigul and Malabar. The rebels captured the forts of Palamcott and Tuticorin. But the revolt was suppressed, the poligar strongholds were destroyed and the rebels disarmed. Then followed unsuccessful risings among the poligars of the Ceded Districts (1801-05); of North Arcot (1803-05); and of Parlakimedi (1813-14).

¹Dutt, R. C., Economic History of India Under Early British Rule, (London, 1936), p. 362
In 1830-34, Birbhadra Raja and Jagannath Raja of Vizagapatam were in a state of rebellion. The zamindar of Gumsur, in Ganjam district, and Narsimha Reddi, poligar of Karnul, too, revolted in 1835-37 and 1846-47.

In 1796, Kerala Varma Raja (the "pyche" Raja) of Wynaad created commotion which spread throughout the province and subsided only after his death in 1805.

**Diwan Velu Tampi's Rising**

In 1805, a new treaty of subsidiary alliance was imposed on Travancore. The Darbar was dissatisfied and relations with the British Government were strained. The subsidy was not paid and fell in arrears. A quarrel between the Diwan, Velu Tampi, and the Resident, caused a rising among the Nair battalion of the state. The British troops, however, quelled the revolt and the Raja was forced to surrender. The Diwan committed suicide.

**Dhondji Wagh's Rising**

In Mysore, the fall of Seringapatam in 1799 was followed by the release of Tipu Sultan's political prisoners, among whom was Dhondji Wagh. He gathered round him the discontented elements. Some Qiladars joined him and contributions were levied in the districts round Bednur. When the British forces defeated his partisans at Shimoga and Shikarpur, Dhondji escaped into the Maratha territory, where Arthur Wellesley pursued him. Dhondji died fighting bravely against heavy odds, on September 10, 1800.

In 1831, the ryots in Mysore, dissatisfied with the neglect of the Raja and the oppression of the zamindars, were forced into rebellion. British troops were employed to restore peace.

In Coorg, Raja Vira Raja became hostile to the Company's government. He was removed from the gaddi by means of a proclamation issued by General Fraser, on 15th March 1834.

**VII. Outbreaks in the Deccan**

**Raja Mahipat Ram's Rising**

In the Deccan, the independent status of the Nizam of Hyderabad was changed into that of a subordinate ally of the British by the treaty of 1800 with Nizam Ali Khan. But the
loss was not relished by influential elements in the State. Sikandar Jah, who succeeded Nizam Ali Khan in 1803, was opposed to the treaty and refrained from assisting the British in the war with the Marathas. Raja Mahipat Ram, the Governor of Berar and a confidant of the Nizam, supported the anti-British party. The Qiladars of Daulatabad, Dharur and Badnapur manifested hostility towards the British forces. The Nizam and his officers were accused of "jealousy, distrust and aversion," and of clandestine correspondence with Holkar and Sindhia. The troops of the East India Company stationed in the state were disaffected and some of the noblemen, e.g., Raja Rao Rambha Nimbalkar and Nur-ul-Umara, were suspected of sympathy with their cause. Both were banished.

The British Resident, Sydenham, persuaded the pro-British Prime Minister, Mir Alam, to remove Mahipat Ram and counteract his influence upon the Nizam. This led to the revolt of the Raja in 1808, and his elimination from political affairs. Even then Sikandar Jah's animosity did not abate. From 1808, however, the State's affairs came under the influence of Chandu Lal who was completely devoted to the British cause, and the Nizam ceased to take any interest in public affairs. He died in 1820 and was succeeded by his son Nasir-ud-Daulah. His brother Mubariz-ud-Daulah, a spirited and independent young man, became the centre of anti-British activities.

*Mubariz-ud-Daulah's Rising*

About this time, Syed Ahmad Bareilvi's movement of Muslim reform and holy war was spreading all over India. Mubariz-ud-Daulah became its vigorous supporter in the Deccan. The British Resident at Hyderabad who kept a close watch over these proceedings, secured from the Nizam orders for the internment of Mubariz-ud-Daulah in the fort of Golkonda. A commission was appointed to try him. They found him and his associates guilty of conspiracy to overthrow British rule with the help of the princes of India, of strengthening the fort of Udgir, of collecting arms and ammunition, and of entertaining schemes to raise the standard of *Jihad* under the leadership of Mubariz-ud-Daulah, who was given the title of *Rais-al-Muslimin*. In 1840, as a result of the enquiry, Mubariz-ud-Daulah was ordered to be detained as a state prisoner in the Golkonda fort, and his associates were placed in custody. The
rebels, who had defied British power throughout his life, died a state prisoner, in 1854.

Apart from hostile demonstrations from highly placed individuals, there were numerous outbreaks involving smaller chiefs and landlords. Among them were Dharmaji Pratap Rao, who led the Banjaras of Bhir in 1818, the Hatkar Naiks—Nawsaji and Hansaji—whose rebellions continued for many years, Veerappa, a zamindar of Raichur district, who took the fort of Kopbal and defied the Nizam’s authority, and the Deshmukhs of Udgir (Bidar district), who in 1820 refused to pay the revenues due to the Nizam.

Ramosi Risings

In Western India, in the Maratha country, after the final defeat of the Peshwa in 1818, the restoration of order was not easy. The new administration pressed heavily against the peasantry, and the hill-tribes that had been left to themselves under Indian rule felt the pressure of the long arm of British administration and broke out in resistance. Among them were Umaji Naik and his supporter Bapu Trimbakji Sawant, who carried on their activities during 1827-28 in the hills around the fort of Torna; but they were apprehended and tried. Then there was Dadaji Daulatrao Ghorpade, who tried to organise a rising in the interests of Kolhapur, but his attempts too were foiled (1829).

In this connection, a noteworthy incident was the insurrection of Nimbaji or Narsappa (Narsinghrao Dattatraya Petkar), who worked for the restoration of the deposed Raja Pratap Singh of Satara. He engaged two Arab condottieri, Salim bin Abood (Koharan) and Talib bin Ali, to collect Arab and Rohilla troops. They then marched from Deodurg (Raichur) to Badami, captured the fort and hoisted the flag of the Raja of Satara. But the insurrection was subdued after a prolonged campaign in 1841.

Gadkari Revolt

A rising took place in Kolhapur in 1844. On the death of Shahaja in 1837, Shivaji IV, who was a minor, was raised to the gaddi, and Daji Krishna Pandit was appointed minister in charge of the affairs of the state. He became unpopular because of the changes which he introduced in the system of land revenue collection. He intended to take possession of the forts in order
to dismantle them, to disband the Gadkaris or the hereditary servants attached to forts, who enjoyed the sympathies of the other classes, and to place their lands under the supervision of mamlatdars. This provided a cause for revolt. The Gadkaris occupied the forts of Samangarh and Bhudargarh. Much blood and treasure of the British was expended to suppress the insurgents and to restore peace.

In the same year, Sawantwadi, which was already seething with discontent, was thrown into turmoil because of the rising under the leadership of Phond Sawant, a Sardar of the state. He took Anna Sahib, the minor son of the ruler, and a number of Sardars and Desais with him and captured some forts. When the British troops drove him out of these forts, he escaped to Goa with his followers and kept the state in ferment. Then the Portuguese authorities were prevailed upon to surrender the leading Sardars and Desais to the British. But they refused to hand over Phond Sawant and Anna Sahib. The surrendered men were tried for treason and sentenced to various terms of imprisonment.

VIII. Risings in Gujarat and Saurashtra

In Gujarat and Saurashtra the discontent took a similar form. When Captain Outram visited this region in 1835, he found the chiefs in revolt. In his report, he wrote: "The chief strength of the rebel chiefs, the source of their confidence in opposing us and the instigators to feuds, are foreign mercenaries who live by the brawls and warfare they themselves excite. They are chiefly Muckranees, Scindhis, Seedies and Gossais and some Arabs." And he added: "The ease and impunity with which the natives of Gujerat find they can insult and plunder British officers must if not speedily checked, bring the European sway in this part of India to the low ebb of the mere physical power of a handful of British against millions of disaffected natives, no longer standing in awe of our power."

Waghera Rising

The report referred to above, deals with the disturbances which occurred in Cutch from 1815 to 1832. Besides, there was
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the revolt of the Wagheras of Okha Mandal who resisted the imposition of British rule from its inception. The mulkgiri levies of the Gaekwar of Baroda and the support which the British Government gave to him to enforce his exactions, were largely responsible for their disaffection. In Surat there was a long tradition of opposition. The citizens resisted the introduction of disagreeable measures, like the salt tax and standard weights and measures. They closed their shops, boycotted Government servants, prepared petitions to which thousands of signatures were affixed, till the Government was forced to cancel the unpopular measures.

Bhil Risings

The Bhils, an aboriginal tribe living in Khandesh and in the hilly territory in the Aurangabad district, controlled the mountain passes between the north and the Deccan. They professed deep attachment to the Rajput chiefs and showed much loyalty to them.

The closing years of the eighteenth century were a period of great distress to them, for their region was devastated by Maratha wars, Pindari depredations, misgovernment and famine. On top of all this, came the occupation of their country by the British in 1818. The ferment caused by the revolt lasted for nearly thirty years, but ultimately died down as a result of severe military operations combined with judicious conciliatory measures.

Koli Risings

The Kolis, the neighbours of the Bhils, and living in the hilly country traversed by the Sahyadris, were by nature a turbulent people. They used to be employed to garrison the forts. But, when the forts were dismantled by the British, they lost employment. There was consequently much discontent among them which led to risings in 1828, 1839 and 1844-48. But, by 1850, the Government succeeded in subduing them.

IX. Unrest in Rajputana

Rajputana had long suffered from disunity and rivalry among its more than a score of independent princes. Their mutual jealousies and rival claims against one another had brought humiliation and loss of independence upon them. In the 18th
century, Rajputana was laid under tribute by the Marathas, whose campaigns for its realisation inflicted great misery upon the country. Although British intervention saved the princes from Maratha oppression, they had, in fact, only exchanged masters. Subordination to British authority may have been then, in some ways, better than Maratha rapacity, but it could not soothe the wounded self-respect of the Rajput princes, because of the loss of autonomy. In addition, the introduction of a new system of administration, the abolition of some of their old and cherished customs, the high-handedness of some of the princes assured of British support, the establishment of British cantonments which became a standing reminder of subordination, and the apprehension that their tradition and religion stood in danger of extirpation, caused widespread dissatisfaction.

Maharaja Man Singh of Jodhpur was the centre of anti-British agitation. But he became so disgusted with his helplessness that he preferred to renounce his gaddi. The attempts of Jootha Ram and Maharao Kishen Singh of Kotah, and the conspiracies of the jagirdars of Mewar and Marwar, all go to indicate how excited Rajputana was during the first half of the nineteenth century. Popular approval of the spirit of rebellion found expression in the bardic poems of Kaviraj Bankidas and in the writings of Mahakavi Suryamal Mishra.

X. DISTURBANCES IN UTTAR PRADESH

Northern India, between Bihar and the Panjab, came under British control and protection between 1765 and 1805. In the earlier period, the Nawabs of Oudh had administered a great part of the Doab under British direction, but over the rest of the region the Marathas were supreme. After the Third Maratha War, the Marathas were excluded from the Doab and Bundelkhand, and the area under the Nawabs was also reduced. By 1856, direct British rule was established over the entire region.

The interference and direction of the British was irksome to the Nawabs of Oudh and caused continuous bickerings between the two. Many of the ills from which Oudh suffered were due mainly to the unnatural system of government, by which responsibility for administration lay on the shoulders of the Nawab while the real power was in the hands of the British.
The inevitable result was the ultimate annexation of Oudh in 1856, and during the intervening period, a plethora of distressing incidents.

Rising in Gorakhpur

The first of these incidents was provoked by Col. Hannay, an officer of the Company. In 1778, the Nawab of Oudh took him into his service and gave him the administration of the districts of Gorakhpur, Bahraich and Basti. Hannay ruled his charge with a heavy hand and enriched himself. He leased the right of collecting revenues to contractors who rack-rented and pillaged the villages. Revenue demand was enforced with the greatest severity. Within less than three years, the country which was in a flourishing condition, was reduced to misery and desolation. Driven to desperation, the people rose against the oppressor. The zamindars inhabiting the country east of the Ghagra river took up arms, seized the forts of Gorakhpur, Belma and Domariaganj, and cut off the communications. Warren Hastings, who had his own reasons to believe the allegations against the Begums of Oudh and Maharaja Chait Singh of Banaras, was persuaded by Col. Hannay that they were accomplices in the outbreak. The British enforced measures of suppression so severely that the whole tract was laid waste.

Rising of Wazir Ali

In the scheme of the Company's diplomats, Oudh was the subordinate ally and was to act as a buffer between the Company's eastern provinces and the country powers to the west. Wazir Ali refused to toe the line and pay the increased subsidy. He was deposed in 1799. He was suspected of working against British interests and organising a league of Rajput and Maratha chiefs and Muslim princes, the more important being the Sindhia of Gwalior and Zaman Shah of Kabul. The Nawabs of Murshidabad and Dacca were also involved in the plot. When Wazir Ali was ordered to leave Lucknow and to proceed to Calcutta, there was an insurrection. Attacked by British forces, Wazir Ali fled, but was captured and exiled to Vellore.

Rising in the Rohilla Country

In Rohilkhand, which was transferred to the dominion of the Company by the Nawab of Oudh in 1801, signs of popular discontent manifested themselves early. The Rohilla chiefs
who lost their status and were treated as equals of their retainers, found the situation humiliating. As usual, the main cause of discontent was the new system of administration of revenue and justice. The measure which immediately incited rebellion was the imposition of a police tax for the maintenance of chowkidars in the town of Bareilly under Regulation XVI of 1814. The people’s protests went unheeded and a Maulavi who was revered by them was manhandled. This drove the mob into fury and they broke all restraints. As the forces at the disposal of the magistrate proved ineffective, troops had to be called to disperse the rebels.

Daya Ram of Hathras

The enhancements of revenue in the Aligarh district had caused great distress among the petty zamindars. They could not pay the assessed amounts and their estates were sold out for arrears. The disbanded soldiery and refractory land-holders combined to produce a state of lawlessness and disorder. Gangs of Mewatis and Badhiks aggravated trouble. Daya Ram, a taluqdar of Hathras, who owned a number of villages in the district and lived in a fortress protected by high walls and a deep moat, was called upon to dismantle the fort and to disband the troops. To enforce the order, a whole division was sent. Against the most powerful assemblage of artillery hitherto witnessed in India, the defence could not be sustained long and the fort fell to the British; but Daya Ram escaped. Ultimately, he submitted on the offer of a pension.

This summary survey shows that dissatisfaction and rebellion were incipient throughout the period, and came into the open, from time to time, in different parts of the country. Identical factors were operating all over the British dominion in India, the chief among them being the introduction of a new system of land-revenue administration which reduced the authority of the landowners and laid extremely heavy burdens upon the land. This system brought about a revolution in the social and economic order and naturally aroused opposition from both zamindars and peasants whose rights were affected and the age-old economy was disturbed. But though the causes were similar, the manifestation of opposition to the Government was conditioned by the situation in the region. The revolts were local or sectional. They lacked coordination and unity and, therefore, were easily suppressed.
XI. THE WALIULLAHI MOVEMENT

During this period, the movement which offered the most serious challenge to the British supremacy was the preaching of Jihad (holy war) by a section of the Muslims. The leader of the movement was Syed Ahmad of Rae Bareli, a district in Uttar Pradesh. He was born in a family of noted divines who traced their descent from the Prophet. Syed Ahmad was intensely nervous by temperament and saw visions which summoned him to dedicate his life to the service of religion.

His naturally ardent mind was influenced during its formative period by the revivalist atmosphere of the school founded by Waliullah (1703–62) which, after his death, was presided over by his son Abdul Aziz (1746–1823), who proclaimed by a decree (fatwa), in 1803, that India had ceased to be Dar-ul-Islam.

In this puritanical and revivalist atmosphere, the tendencies and inclinations of Syed Ahmad reached their fullest development. He was, however, not a mere visionary longing for the ineffable experience of the state of union with the Divine; his soul thirsted or action. He desired to walk in the footsteps of the Prophet, to revive the ways of Muhammad (Tariqa-i-Muhammadiya). He aspired to regenerate the fallen community by means of a threefold programme—the exaltation of the word of God, the revival of the spirit of faith in word and deed, and the practice of holy war. As a man of action, he laid the greatest emphasis upon practice. Of the five pillars of religion—prayer, confession, charity, fasting and pilgrimage—he considered the last to be the most meritorious form of the worship of God.

By 1808, Syed Ahmad’s education was complete. So he returned home and married. Then he set out in the quest of his mission and came to Tonk where Amir Khan held independent sway. He served in his army, fought in his wars, and acquired the needed training in military tactics and strategy. However, in 1817, when Amir Khan accepted British vassalage, he retired from his service.

On return from Tonk, he first went on a tour of the western districts of Uttar Pradesh. He visited the important towns and villages in Meerut, Muzaffarnagar and Saharanpur districts. A second tour was made of the eastern districts—Allahabad, Banaras, Kanpur and Lucknow, and a third of Rohilkhand.

In all the places he visited, Syed Ahmad was enthusiastically received and lavishly entertained. People attended his sermons in large numbers. He preached the doctrines of Islam according
to the teachings of the Quran, and laid special emphasis upon two points: first, the avoidance of Shirk, i.e., association of another with the one God, or attributing to any creature the attributes of God, which was the direst sin. He urged that a true Musalman should not believe that angels, spirits, spiritual guides, teachers, prophets and saints could mediate between man and God, remove man's difficulties, or fulfil his wishes. No Musalman should seek their favour or fear their wrath, for they were as helpless as any other creatures. In the second place, he called upon the Muslims to abjure all innovations (bidat), and to follow strictly the code of conduct of the Prophet (Sunnat) in all matters—trivial as well as important. The simplicity of Syed Ahmad's life, his burning zeal and utter sincerity, his selflessness and humility, made a deep impression upon all those who came in contact with him. So, the field he ploughed seemed to promise a rich harvest. Numerous disciples took a solemn vow to follow him.

But before Jihad was undertaken, he made up his mind to perform the pilgrimage to Mecca. In July 1821, he started from Rae Bareli for Calcutta. He was accompanied by 800 followers when he arrived at Medina in May 1822. When he left Rae Bareli he had hardly any money with him, yet his voyage cost seventy to eighty thousand rupees, all contributed by his admirers and disciples. He returned home after an absence of over two years (29th April 1824).

What Syed Ahmad learnt in Arabia was not the creed of Abdul Wahhab, but the story of the humiliation of the Muslim peoples, and the rapidly growing domination of the Western powers in Eastern countries. This realisation steeled the heart of a somewhat dreamy idealist into a fiery crusader with a clear and unalterable resolve to fight the enemies of Islam in order to recover the lands the Muslims had once ruled. On arrival in India, he immediately set upon organising his movement. He founded "a system by which they (his followers) effected one of the greatest revivals known to Indian history, and which has kept alive the spirit of revolt against the British rule during fifty years."  

The preparation for Jihad consisted in (1) raising a band of fighters adequate in numbers and equipment to offer reasonable chances of resistance to the opponents, (2) selecting a
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leader possessing the necessary qualifications for commanding the forces, and (3) choosing a territory under Muslim rule to guarantee the security of the band of warriors.

The first two conditions were fulfilled by enrolling several hundred Indian Muslims and by selecting Syed Ahmad as the Imam. The third condition could not be satisfied within the borders of India. The north-west frontier region was, therefore, selected as the base of operations. Among the frontier tribes there was much fanaticism of which their Mullahs could make ample use. It was also known that the propaganda of Abdul Wahhab of Arabia had made some headway in this region.

The first step then was to arrange the migration (hijrat) of the band of the faithful from India (Dar-ul-Harb) to this frontier region (Dar-ul-Islam). Five to six hundred men with some women and children furnished with a purse of Rs. 5,000 headed for the north-west, bent on their fateful enterprise. On 17th January 1826, the party started from Rae Bareli under the supreme command of Syed Ahmad, who was aided by a council of advisers, among whom Maulavis Muhammad Ismail and Abdul Hai—two near relatives of Shah Abdul Aziz—were prominent. Gwallor was the first important halting station. Here they were met by the Maharaja, and here the host was formed into five military divisions—centre, vanguard, right wing, left wing, and camp followers. From Gwallor they marched to Tonk, then to Ajmer, and crossing Rajputana arrived in Sind. At Hyderabad (Sind), the band of zealots was in the midst of their co-religionists and expected that the Amirs of Sind would join them. Disappointed by their attitude, they moved on to Shikarpur where Syed Ahmad summoned the chiefs and the Ulama to follow him in the Jihad. Their response was equally discouraging. Then marching across the desert and hilly tracts of Baluchistan, the party moved through the Bolan Pass to Quetta. From Quetta they travelled to Kandahar, Ghazni and Kabul, and ultimately reached Peshawar by the 20th of November 1826. They had spent nearly ten months on the journey and covered approximately three thousand miles.

It was an amazing feat; but the more surprising part of it is that the British Government which must have been aware of the plans and aims of Syed Ahmad, looked on unconcernedly while the little army marched through their territories. Was non-interference due to the knowledge that the target of the
holy war was going to be Maharaja Ranjit Singh's Sikh State and not British India? The British did not mind if Ranjit Singh was threatened from the north-west, as in that case the attack would divert his attention from the Sind and Sutlej regions which he coveted. Soon they occupied Peshawar which greatly enhanced their prestige, but for the headquarters of the Jihad they chose the town of Charsadda. From here a proclamation of holy war was issued against the Sikhs. The tribes of the frontier were invited to join the ranks of the Indian crusaders. The tribes responded with alacrity, but their chiefs exhibited much hesitation and little warmth.

In any case, Syed Ahmad was acknowledged to be the Imam, the leader of the host. He was designated Imam Mahdi, Imam Humam, Amir-ul-Muslimin, and Khalifa. His pious and saintly life earned for him the status of Wali. With his position thus recognised, he made an effort to reform the wild tribes and to organise them as a religio-political force. They were required to pay the Ushr (tithe) and Zakat (alms), to refer their disputes to the Qazis appointed by the Imam, and to obey his orders. Their tribal marriage customs were declared unlawful and marriages were arranged between Indian emigrants and Pathan girls. The old vendettas, rivalries and jealousies which led to perennial internecine wars among them, were condemned as they weakened the Islamic brotherhood.

Unfortunately for the cause, the attempts at coalescence failed, the projects of reform irked the tribes, and the Mullas were antagonised because the rule about tithes deprived them of their personal perquisites. The Khans and Sardars of the tribes were, on the whole, an intensely selfish lot. They were venal and easily corruptible. For centuries, they had been used to rape, violence and rude tribal ways. Appeals to religious sentiments had little effect upon them, although their retainers, who were poor, superstitious and fanatical, were ever ready to listen to their priests and join in a war which promised religious merit and loot.

Notwithstanding such discouragement, the fires of holy war once lighted were kept burning for half a century. In its first phase, the direct object of attack was the Sikh kingdom of the Panjab. There were many skirmishes between the two sides, the most important being the battle of Balakot in 1831, where the partisans of the holy war suffered a terrible defeat. Syed Ahmad and Shah Muhammad Ismail lost their lives.
The disappearance of the Imam was a stunning blow. But thanks to the organisation which Syed Ahmad had created in India, the party on the Frontier was not allowed to wither away. Before he left for the Frontier, Syed Ahmad had established a network of centres and agents to prepare the Indian Muslims for Jihad and to contribute funds and recruits for the band which was then engaged in fighting on the Frontier. Missions were established in Hyderabad (Deccan), Madras, Bengal, Bombay and in the province now known as Uttar Pradesh. The headquarters of the movement were at Patna. Agents were appointed for the collection of money and for the maintenance of communications. The contributions and recruits arrived at the headquarters and were despatched to the Frontier. Cash was carried by agents and hundis were forwarded to the Sahukars (usually Hindu Kirars) in the border towns. Small groups of recruits travelled across Uttar Pradesh and the Panjab. There were stations on the way, e.g., Thanesar and Rawalpindi, to help the travellers and move provisions and equipment.

Muslims from various parts of India raised funds and sent volunteers, but the Lower Provinces were the most highly organised for these purposes. Almost every village had a preacher, and the village mosque was the place of meeting for the faithful where they gathered to listen to the sermon, which combined a discourse on the need of religious reform and the duty of Jihad. The spirit of holy war was kept up both at home and on the Frontier.

Maulavi Muhammad Qasim Panipati, one of the closest associates of the Syed, came to Sithana and worked with the tribal chief, Syed Akbar Shah, who was a devotee of Syed Ahmad. He wrote letters to all the centres assuring them that the news of the leader's death was not correct. Maulavis Wilayat Ali and Inayat Ali, who were the leaders of the Patna group, were convinced that the Syed was not dead and they proceeded to the Frontier to resume the war.

The death of Ranjit Singh and the first Anglo-Sikh War (1845) made the British dominant in the Panjab. The crusaders now turned the holy war against them. In the encounters which took place, they often suffered defeat, but did not surrender. The Government now opened operations against them on two fronts. They created a special police department to watch their activities in India and to destroy the centres in India from
where funds and men flowed to the Frontier. At the same time, armed expeditions with regular troops were despatched to annihilate the warriors on the Frontier.

Between 1850 and 1863, twenty expeditions were sent in which 60,000 troops were engaged. Driven out of Sithana in 1858, they made Malka their chief centre, and soon after recovered Sithana.

In 1863, a large force under General Sir Neville Chamberlain advanced to punish them, but the tribes cooped it up in the Ambeyla pass for many weeks, inflicting heavy casualties. The Panjab had to be denuded of troops in order to extricate the frontier force from disaster. Ultimately, British diplomacy succeeded where their arms had failed. The tribal coalition was dissolved and Malka was burnt by the British army. But the irrepressible fighters for religion rose again. Military measures having failed to subdue the crusaders, the campaign of police action, followed by judicial prosecutions, was speeded up.

By now Maulavis Wilayat Ali and Inayat Ali were dead, and their brother Yahya Ali was directing the operations at Patna. He was zealously supported by Maulavis Farhat Ali and Ahmadullah. They employed all means at their disposal in the vigorous pursuit of the movement. Books and pamphlets were published to propagate religious reform and Jihad. A building with elaborate arrangements for protection and concealment was prepared at Sadiqpur in Patna. An organisation with widespread ramifications was established in the rural areas. Settlements were founded in different centres with staffs of preachers, tax-gatherers and managers to collect contributions in cash and kind. All along the road from Patna to the Frontier, there were stations to receive and despatch funds and men.

The Government met the challenge by a multi-pronged attack upon the organisation. In 1863-64 some of the most effective workers, including Yahya Ali, Muhammad Jafar, a disciple of Wilayat Ali, and Muhammad Shafi, a contractor, were brought before the court in Ambala and condemned to long sentences of imprisonment; some were sent to the Andaman Islands.

In 1865, the first prosecution was launched at Patna. Among those against whom proceedings for waging war against the Queen were started was Ahmadullah, brother of Yahya Ali. They were all sentenced to transportation for life. This was followed by trials at Malda and Raj Mahal in Bengal in
1870, where a number of Mujahids were given life sentences. The second trial at Patna in 1871 broke the back of the movement, when five leading Maulavis were sentenced to transportation.

The Government fortified its position further by propaganda. In order to rally the wavering, religious decrees (Fatwa) were obtained against the movement. The Mufti of Mecca was induced to indict them. In India, the Shias opposed the advocacy of Jihad; the Ulama of the northern province held that Jihad was uncalled for. The Ulama of Calcutta proclaimed that India was Dar-ul-Islam where rebellion was unlawful. The Muhammadan Literary Society of Calcutta held a debate and issued a pamphlet arguing that a holy war against the British was unlawful. Such leading Muslim scholars as Maulavi Karamat Ali of Jaunpur and Maulavi Abdul Latif of Calcutta supported this view.

The result of these measures was that the party was broken up and, after 1884, little was heard of it although they persisted in the Frontier till long afterwards. Certain features of Syed Ahmad's movement, however, call for notice. It demonstrated the possibility of organising a rebellion against the Government with the support of propertyless classes under the leadership of scholars and theologians (Ulama), and of prosecuting it with vigour in the face of police vigilance and military precautions for more than half a century. The eventual failure of the movement proved that no amount of religious fervour, of exalted convictions, of austere resolution, dare-devilry, sacrifice and devotion were adequate; even the consummate ability to organise, and the possession of considerable military skill could not overthrow a powerful empire founded upon modern social forces of nationalism, science and technology.

The ideas which inspired Syed Ahmad and his followers were in many ways outmoded. They desired to revive the ways of life which prevailed among the Arabs of the seventh century. They wholly ignored the lessons of history, the relativity of institutions and the influence of time and place on human affairs. They asserted the absoluteness, not only of the fundamental truths of morality and religion, but also of social and economic systems, manners and customs which are in constant flux. This complete disregard of the geographical, economic, social and political conditions of the nineteenth century was bound to end in disappointment and discomfiture. Syed Ahmad's
attempt to impose his own views of law and morals on the tribal people of the Frontier antagonised them and converted the Jihad into an internecine feud. The total disregard of the vast majority of Indians in schemes of political reconstruction isolated them and foredoomed their efforts to failure.

Syed Ahmad's movement failed, but it left a trail of far-reaching consequences. It gave a strong impetus to separatist tendencies in Indian society. Syed Ahmad's emphasis upon rejection of all customs and habits, whether good or bad, important or trivial (Bidat-i-Hasana or Bidat-i-Su), acquired through residence in India in contact with the Hindus, and reversion to purely Arab traditions of the Prophet's time, were sure to widen the gulf between the two communities. From this movement a current of thought has continued to flow which has strengthened isolationist tendencies and exclusiveness.

The revivalist propaganda among the Muslims received impetus from the movement. A new phenomenon made its appearance in Indian history. The political leadership of the men of sword and crowned heads, ceased with the occupation of Delhi by the British and the men of pen and priests began to play that role. With this transfer, politics tended to be dominated by religious dogma. Yet, the memory of Syed Ahmad's movement kept alive the desire for freedom among the Muslims. The maulavis lent their powerful aid in all subsequent struggles against British rule, e.g., the Revolt of 1857.

XII. SEPOY MUTINIES

Agrarian discontent and wounded religious sentiments aggravated the resentment caused by alien domination. They were the exciting causes of outbreaks among the rural classes—peasants, landlords, tribal chiefs and their retainers. They operated in the case of the Indian sepoys, too, who served in the Company's armies and fought for their masters to fasten the yoke of slavery on the necks of their own countrymen. Their effect was aggravated by the worsening terms of service in the Company's army.

The first mutiny of the Indian sepoys occurred in 1764, when a whole battalion from Munro's forces opposing Mir Qasim at Patna broke ranks to join the army of the Nawab. They were, however, overtaken by the troops loyal to the Company's salt
and then court-martialled. Twenty-four were tied to the guns and blown away.

The mutiny of Vellore in 1806 was a more serious affair. The reorganisation of the army in 1796 had enhanced the privileges of the British officers, but weakened discipline. Many of them had taken advantage of the benefits of pension to retire and return to England. The army was left with officers who did not know their men intimately, and felt little attachment to their battalions.

By 1803, the exciting wars waged by the Company against Tipu Sultan (d. 1799) and the Marathas, had ended in establishing the supremacy of the British in India. The officers, inspired with a new zeal, were entertaining schemes of military improvements. They forced new rules of behaviour and new fashions of dress and drill. The sepoy was ordered to shave his beard, to remove the caste mark from his forehead and earrings from his ears and wear a stiff round hat with a flat top, a leather cockade and a standing feather, instead of his turban. The leather of the cockade was made from the skin of either the unclean pig or the sacred cow.

These new-fangled ways, enforced without concern about the feelings of the sepoys, naturally created all kinds of apprehensions in their minds, and gave a sharp edge to their suspicions. The long-standing grievances assumed a new urgency and magnitude. The English officers' rudeness was more resented, the disrespect shown to Indian officers by British soldiers cut more to the quick, ordinary words and actions carried more sinister implications, and service at vast distances from home appeared more burdensome. A spirit of unrest and of strained feelings lay over the Karnatak and the Deccan.

The powder-keg was ready. It was set ablaze as the lighted match was applied by the sons of Tipu Sultan who were living in the fort of Vellore under British surveillance. Early in May 1806, a battalion rebelled, but was put down and discipline vindicated by flogging the ringleaders. There was a lull, then the storm broke out afresh on the 10th of July. The Indian soldiers rose at the dead of night, slaughtered the sentries, killed the main-guard, shot some of the officers, and hoisted over the ramparts the tiger-striped standard of Mysore amidst cries of "Din! Din!" Then the sepoys forgot all discipline, an unruly mob joined them and massacre and loot drowned the original purpose of overthrowing British domination.
When the news of the rising reached the British at Arcot, a contingent under Gillespie marched to the fort. The walls were scaled, gates forced open, and the mounted cavalry streamed in. The Indian troops which were a disorderly crowd had no capacity to resist and were cut down in hundreds. Some escaped over the walls, many surrendered and begged for mercy. The mutiny was crushed. The storm passed away as rapidly as it had risen.

Not long after Vellore the discontent in the Bengal Army led to an outbreak in 1824. Here, too, changes in the regimental arrangements and the concentration of authority with the higher commanders were creating a wide gulf between the men and their immediate officers. The exigencies of the Burmese war compelled the Government to lay irksome duties upon the Indian sepoys. But the one which he resented most, both on the ground of religion and convenience, was to march over the frontiers of Hindustan and to cross the seas. To fight in a country wholly different in climate, with a people whose language, race and customs were utterly strange to him, was highly distasteful.

When, for lack of transport, the sepoys were required to carry his own baggage, the proverbial last straw had been provided. The 47th Native Infantry refused to proceed to Burma without a guarantee of a hundred per cent increase in the allowance. The Commander-in-Chief who was a martinet, determined to crush the mutiny. He marched to Barrackpur with two European regiments and a battery of European artillery, called the 47th to the parade ground and ordered them to march or ground their arms. They did neither. Then hell-fire was let loose upon them. Those who survived were court-martialled and hanged from the gallows. The name of the 47th was struck off the army list.

Subsequently, other regiments in the Bengal Army, too, showed signs of disaffection. The terrible disasters of the first Afghan War had shattered the prestige of the British. Tales of a speedy British debacle and evacuation of the country circulated in the bazaars. The sepoys were in no mood to obey orders which they considered unreasonable. Unfortunately, the Government thought fit at this moment to annoy them! During the wars with the Amirs of Sind, they were given a double allowance in addition to salary. After the conquest, Sind became a part of the dominion and the allowances for active service in a
foreign country were stopped. The retrenchment exasperated the sepoys and they decided to defy the orders.

In February 1844, the 34th Regiment at Ferozepur refused to march into Sind. The 7th Bengal Cavalry and the 64th Regiment followed suit. The mutinous troops had to be marched back, their ringleaders were punished; the 64th was deprived of its colours, and the 34th was disbanded. The Bengal garrisons were replaced with contingents from the Madras and Bombay Commands. The discipline of the Bengal Army, however, was badly shaken.

The fires of the Sind trouble had hardly died down when turmoil broke out in Bihar. Patna had been the centre of the movement for Jihad. The maulavis were now endeavouring to induce the Muslim troops to desert the Company’s service and join the holy war. The British forces were being withdrawn from the eastern cantonments and concentrated near the north-western frontier for the impending war against the Sikhs.

The news was circulated in the army and added to their excitement. Then secret confabulations took place and the sepoys were assured of service under the King of Delhi if they deserted the Company’s service. A report of what was going on was made to the British commanding officer and through him to the Government. The offenders were brought to book and paid the penalty for their indiscretion. The Governor of Bengal issued a proclamation assuring the people that the Government had no intention of interfering with anyone’s religion. The victories of the British army over the Sikhs restored prestige, and the excitement died down.

The scene next shifted to the Panjab, where the Bengal Army had won laurels in the war against the Sikhs. But the sepoys were chagrined to find that the conquest brought them, instead of any gains, actual loss of allowances. The regiments thus affected took counsel together, sent messengers from station to station, and resolved to resist orders. The 22nd Regiment at Rawalpindi refused to receive their pay and the regiments at Wazirabad and Jhelum intended to follow suit. Sir Charles Napier, the Commander-in-Chief, toured that region and found unmistakable signs of a confederation of many regiments determined not to serve in the Panjab except on higher pay. Mutiny was in the air. It broke out in the open at Wazirabad and then at Govindgarh where the 66th Regiment was posted. But prompt and ruthless measures by Napier
succeeded in subduing the outburst. High scales of compensation for allowances were sanctioned to reduce discontent, and Gurkhas were recruited to replace the Brahmans from the Doab.

The first century of British rule in India was thus a period of mounting distress and unhappiness. The old ruling classes felt that zest had gone out of their life. The opportunities for high achievement and heroic adventure, which constituted the joy of life, were denied to them.

The prospect before the Indian was indeed dreary. In his own home he was relegated to a servile position. He had to dwell apart in the humble outhouse where he shivered in cold, while his alien lord and master lived in the warm and ample comfort of the palaces he had erected. Uncertain of his present and doubtful of his future, he cringed before the conqueror from afar who decided his fate and shaped his ends. Deprived of participation in the framing of policies which determined his destiny and excluded from all positions of authority and command, he was politically humbled and morally dwarfed. Never before in history had he been reduced to inferiority so galling.

He strove hard to break the chains that bound him, and the revolts and mutinies of these hundred years are a proof of his yearning for liberation. But his failures demonstrated the inadequacies of his endeavours. There was no chance of success for such isolated efforts which were motivated by limited medieval concepts of social welfare and public good. The Revolt of 1857 in which these efforts culminated was the last despairing struggle of the medieval order. But it differed from them as it comprehended all the impulses and aims of its precursors, and included almost the whole of India in its sweep.
CHAPTER TWO

THE REVOLT OF 1857

I. NATURE OF EAST INDIA COMPANY'S RULE

By the time Dalhousie relinquished the reins of office, the British dominion in India had reached its natural limits. From the west to the east, it extended from the Indus to the Irrawaddy, and from the north to the south from the Himalayas to the Indian Ocean. Over these vast conquered territories the British imperial genius built up a system of government which served a dual purpose. On the one hand, it ended the anarchy which prevailed in the country in the eighteenth century, established peace and security of life and property, and created conditions for the political unification of the Indian peoples. On the other hand, the British secured for themselves an empire unequalled in extent, wealth and resources which gave to their small island-kingdom of Britain the hegemony of the world. The adventure which had commenced in the sixteenth century, under the stress of mercantilist forces for the achievement of wealth and power, had at last culminated in success unparalleled in history.

This extraordinary phenomenon had three phases. In its first phase, the East India Company's activities were confined to trade; in the second phase, the Company entered into armed conflict with its European rivals, established its trade monopoly and acquired political influence in India.

In the third phase, which began with the battle of Plassey, the Company combined commerce with conquest and in both achieved success beyond its dreams. It gained a vast territory endowed with abundant natural resources and inhabited by teeming millions of patient, hardworking and docile peasants and artisans. The revenues extorted from Bengal furnished not only the sinews for further conquests, but also the fluid capital for investment in the lucrative overseas trade. Thus it happened that with a modest capital subscribed by its shareholders and with a minimum cost in men, the English East India Company brought under its sway the greater part of India.

When the Company shed its commercial character, the profits from trade were thrown open to all Englishmen. But the Company compensated itself by further expansion of its dominions. Throughout this long period, the profit motive had
been an important factor in the conflict with the European powers and the wars with the reckless and thriftless Indian princes. Besides, the lure of dominion was irresistible, and the ease with which it could be acquired acted as a spur to bring more and more of the fertile Indian territories under its rule. The desire to increase revenues, however, was matched by the solicitude, sometimes bordering on folly, for economy.

The aggressive wars waged by Lords Hastings, Ellenborough and Dalhousie, the annexations made on the plea of mismanagement by the Indian rulers and the appropriation of territories by refusal to recognise the adoption of heirs, were prompted by the desire for dominion, Disraeli recognised that the English policy was "to increase the revenue of our dominions by increasing our dominions themselves." Metcalfe explained the policy in these words: "Any acquisition of territory in the centre of India would contract the extent of frontier to be defended, or approximately the connections between the forces of Bengal and those of the other Presidencies, or give a surplus of revenue available for the payment of a military force, without the chance of involving us in any embarrassment beyond those to which we are already exposed. So far, therefore, from contemplating an increase of territory as an evil to be avoided, we ought to desire it, wherever it can be justly obtained, as the source of safety and power." Dalhousie, the chief architect of the policy of 'Lapse,' had himself written on the 30th August, 1848: "I cannot conceive it possible for any one to dispute the policy of taking advantage of every just opportunity which presents itself for consolidating the territories that already belong to us, by taking possession of States that may lapse in the midst of them; for thus getting rid of these petty intervening principalities, which may be made a means of annoyance." Thus he "proclaimed the principle of extinguishing the native rulers on every opportunity that offered."

To themselves the British justified the annexations on the ground of intolerable corruption and misrule by the Indian princes, and the terrible misery and oppression of the down-
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1 Disraeli's Speech in the House of Commons, delivered on July 27th, 1857, vide Hansard's Parliamentary Debates, Vol. CXLVII, column 449
4 Sir Charles Wood's letter to Lord Elgin, 17th October 1862 (India Office Library—MS, Vol. XI)
trodden masses. But the truth is best told in the inimitable words of Bernard Shaw: “Every Englishman is born with a certain miraculous power that makes him master of the world. When he wants a thing, he never tells himself that he wants it. He waits patiently until there comes into his mind, no one knows how, a burning conviction that it is his moral and religious duty to conquer those who have got the thing he wants. Then he becomes irresistible. Like the aristocrat, he does what pleases him and grabs what he covets; like the shopkeeper, he pursues his purpose with the industry and steadfastness that comes from strong religious conviction and deep sense of moral responsibility. He is never at a loss for an effective moral attitude. . . . There is nothing so bad or so good that you will not find an Englishman doing it; but you will never find an Englishman in the wrong. He fights you on patriotic principles; he robs you on business principles; he enslaves you on imperial principles; he bullies you on manly principles; he supports his King on loyal principles and cuts off his King’s head on republican principles. His watchword is always Duty; and he never forgets that the nation which lets its duty get on the opposite side to its interest is lost.”

The annexations eventually augmented the revenues of the Company, but immediately the expenses were also increased and the Directors became apprehensive of their dividends and, therefore, enjoined measures of economy. In adopting them, little attention was paid to the consequences. The measures included the stoppage or reduction of the pensions of the Indian chiefs, the glaring examples being the reduction of the annuity of Rani Jindan Kaur, the dowager queen of Maharaja Ranjit Singh, from £15,000 to £1,200; the termination of the pensions of Nana Sahib, the adopted son of Peshwa Baji Rao II; and of Lakshmi Bai, the Rani of Jhansi; and the abolition of the titular sovereignty of the houses of Karnataka and Tanjore.

Savings were also effected and government revenues increased by resuming the jagirs and inams of the old families who had rendered services to the Indian rulers.

Economies were effected even with respect to measures of social welfare. In 1813, a mere pittance of £10,000 was sanctioned for education. But no allotment was made for sanitation, medical relief, famine relief, and the like, and the allotment for education remained unspent for several years.

But the worst economy was made in the army expenditure. India had been conquered with the help of Indian soldiers, and law and order was being largely maintained by Indian troops. Indians had fought in Iran, Afghanistan, Sind and Burma, and there had been a proposal to send them to fight in Crimea too. They had given ample proof of their loyalty, bravery, and discipline in every field of battle. They were true to their salt, faithful to their masters, and devoted to their officers. But in the eyes of the Company, their chief recommendation was that they were cheap, that they were moved by mercenary considerations and, therefore, no political danger was apprehended from them.

The cost of maintenance of an Indian sepoy was one-third that of his British comrade. This economic factor was responsible for the fact that, in 1856, out of a total of about 300,000 troops serving under the Company, only twenty-four Royal regiments, numbering in all 23,000 men, and an equal number recruited in India were Europeans.\(^1\) Obviously this was giving too large a hostage to fortune. The dilemma which presented itself to British statesmen was how to reconcile a large military establishment for the security and expansion of the empire with the claims of sound public finance, of commercial profits and investments. Governors-General swayed from one horn of the dilemma to the other. In either case considerations of economy were the decisive factor.

On the civil side, the phenomenon was similar. The number of British officers was small, but they held all the posts of authority, of direction and control. Their salaries were the highest in the world. They worked through a large army of Indian subordinates whose wages were miserably low, and whose status was branded with inferiority.

An empire whose dominant interests were economic, was not altogether a new phenomenon in history. But Englishmen were so wrapped in self-righteous complacency that they never gave any serious thought to the human implications of their empire. Some of them did certainly talk of the distant goal of self-government for India, but the actions of most throughout their two centuries of connection with India, betrayed little real desire to reach that goal. Some indeed felt perturbed by the

unnatural character of the situation, but even they could not conceive of an issue other than an unlimited continuance of British rule.

**Lull before the Storm**

When Dalhousie's term came to an end, Canning was selected to fill the post. He was a first-class classical scholar who had won a prize at Oxford for writing a Latin poem on Caractacus, the British chieftain, who defied the might of the Roman empire. At the farewell dinner given in his honour by the Directors of the Company, he made a remarkable speech in reply to the toast, which is a mirror of the confusions and perplexities the ruling class of England was subject to in those times. He started in the usual vein of the self-assured proconsul who was thrilled with a spectacle unequalled in world's history—"that of a hundred and fifty millions of people submitting in peace and contentment, in a country teeming with wealth, to the government of strangers and aliens." But as he proceeded, doubts seemed to assail him. Self-assurance seemed to ebb. The future appeared dark. He became grave and restrained in his utterance. He said: "I know not what course events may take. I hope and pray that we may not reach the extremity of war." Then he continued grimly, "we must not forget that in the sky of India, serene as it is, a small cloud may arise, at first no bigger than a man's hand, but which, growing larger and larger, may at last threaten to burst, and overwhelm us with ruin." And towards the end he threatened, "If, in spite of us, it should become necessary to strike a blow, we can strike with a clear conscience. With blows so dealt the struggle must be short and the issue not doubtful."

One wonders whether, while he studied his Indian dossier, Canning reflected upon the nature of the empire and whether it occurred to him to institute a comparison between the British empire in India and the two empires which had kept Britain in thrall—the Roman empire which represented the rule of a power exercising its authority over a territory at a distance from the homeland, and the Norman empire where the conquerors settled down in the lands they conquered.

There is no record of Canning's musings on the fate of empires. It may, however, be presumed that he had become aware of the universal discontent which prevailed in India and

---

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, pp. 277-78
threatened to break out in an ugly shape. The dangerous situation which was developing in India was inherent in the nature of the empire. Although the British in India could not behave like the Normans in England, for the conditions were wholly different, there is no reason why they should not have taken a leaf out of the book of imperial Rome and instead of treating India as the milch cow to be exploited for England's good, shown some consideration for the economic and political needs of her people. But, unfortunately, these considerations were disregarded and the baleful harvest of hatred and hostility had to be gathered in the summer of 1857.

II. CHARACTER OF THE UPEHEVAL

According to the British historians, the outbreak of 1857 was a mutiny. The fashion, in fact, was originally set by the Government of the day, for the then Secretary of State for India, Earl Stanley, while reporting the events of 1857 to the Parliament used the term 'Mutiny.' Most English writers on the subject followed his lead. Thus it was that Charles Ball, G. W. Forrest, T. R. Holmes, M. Innes, J. W. Kaye, G. F. Macmunn, G. B. Malleson, C. T. Metcalfe, Earl Roberts, and others used the term 'Mutiny' to describe the upheaval.

All the same, the term is misleading. There is no doubt that the army was abundantly involved in the revolt. It is equally true that the drive was supplied by the Bengal Army, although there were signs of disaffection in some regiments in the other Presidencies too. But the outbreak was not confined to the army. Nor was it a mutiny in the ordinary sense of the term, that is, a defiance of the established pattern of deference and of obedience to constituted authority. Its causes were deeper than those involved in usual breaches of military discipline.

The real character of the upheaval was, in fact, recognised by Disraeli. While speaking in the House of Commons on July 27, 1857, he controverted the opinion of the Government, and declared that the movement was a "national revolt," and not a "military mutiny." Again, in his speech delivered at Aylesbury on 30th of September, 1857, he urged: "I believe it is now also the universal conviction that the description originally given of these unfortunate and extraordinary movements in India was

not authorized by the circumstances of the case. Day by day, we have seen that which was at first characterised as a slight and accidental occurrence, is in fact one of those great events which form epochs in the history of mankind, and which can only be accounted for by considerations demanding the deepest attention from statesmen and nations.”

Ellenborough who became the President of the Board of Control in 1858, censuring Lord Canning on his proclamation of confiscation of talukdaris in Oudh, wrote: “We must admit that, under the circumstances, the hostilities which have been carried on in Oudh have rather the character of legitimate war than that of rebellion.”

Justin McCarthy, after a close study of the subject, wrote: "The fact was that throughout the greater part of the north and north-west of the great Indian Peninsula there was a rebellion of the native races against English power. It was not by any means a merely military mutiny. It was a combination of military grievances, national hatred and religious fanaticism against the English occupation of India. The native princes and the native soldiers were in it. The Mohammedan and the Hindoo forgot their old religious antipathies to join against the Christian.”

Charles Ball traces the development of events in these words: "At length the torrent overflowed the banks and saturated the moral soil of India. It was then expected that those waves would overwhelm and destroy the entire European element, and that, when the torrent of rebellion should again confine itself within bounds, patriotic India, freed from its alien rulers, would bow only to the independent sceptre of a native prince. . . . The movement, now, assumed a more important aspect, it became the rebellion of a whole people.”

The opinion of two independent French writers was: "The hour of Indian vespers is soon going to strike. Discontent has invaded all classes of the Indian population; they are going to make common cause with the sepoys."
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Major Harriott, the Judge Advocate-General at the trial of Bahadur Shah, after a thorough study of the documents produced at the trial came to this conclusion: "The conspiracy from the very commencement was not confined to the sepoys and did not even originate with them, but had its ramifications throughout the palace and city."¹

Vincent Smith is constrained to admit that, "discontent and unrest were widely prevalent among the civil population, and in several places the population rose before the sepoys at those stations mutinied."²

Even Canning revised his opinion when he wrote to the Secretary of State for India that he had no doubt that the "rebellion" had been fomented "by Brahmans on religious pretences and by others for political motives"; and according to Kaye, "he soon ceased to speak of the mutiny and called it 'rebellion'—a 'revolt.'"³

It is thus inappropriate to give the designation 'mutiny' to the events of 1857. But is it appropriate to call them 'the national war of independence'?

It has to be admitted that the war against the British was not inspired by any sentiment of nationalism, for in 1857 India was not yet politically a nation. It is a fact that the Hindus and Muslims co-operated, but the leaders and the followers of the two communities were moved by personal loyalties rather than by loyalty to a common motherland. Nonetheless, the upheaval of 1857 was a war for the liberation of India from the yoke of the foreigner. For the foreigner had given mortal offence to the dignity and self-respect of the ruling class, the class which had exercised social influence and carried the burden of administration; and he had antagonised the masses by his oppressive land revenue policy and by his economic measures which ruined their arts and crafts.

Harvey, Commissioner of Agra, wrote on the 17th November, 1857: "In the first outburst and continuance, the insurrection of 1857 was neither exclusively Muhammedan nor of Hindoo contriving; that there have always existed causes sufficient to account for it; that circumstances and opportunity, and insidious mendacity, roused Mussalman troops . . . in order to avenge what was designated as indignity offered to their religion; and

¹ Proceedings of the Trial of Bahadur Shah (Calcutta, 1895), p. 145
² The Oxford History of India (1920 edition), p. 722
that some mysterious Hindoo prophecy largely circulated . . . induced the already disaffected of that creed to embark on the mutiny and rebellion which followed."1

Here is also the testimony of Trevelyan. Says he: "High and low, rich and poor, had only one idea of improving their political condition. The upper classes lived upon the prospect of regaining their former pre-eminence; and the lower upon that of having the avenues to wealth and distinction reopened to them by the re-establishment of a native government."2

On the whole, the rising of 1857 was an attempt—the last attempt of the medieval order—to halt the process of dissolution and to recover its lost status. It is true that the order was decadent. In any case it had failed to maintain the vigour of its political organisation and to stem the tide of foreign aggression, although in the middle of the nineteenth century its prestige outside the Presidency towns was still high. The solvent of western domination had not yet produced any radical change in the Indian social system, and the upper classes were still regarded as the leaders of the people, and the representatives of the interests of the Indians.

The uprising of 1857 was a general movement of the traditional elite of the Muslims and the Hindus—princes, landholders, soldiers, scholars and theologians (pandits and maulavis). The Emperor of Delhi, the King of Oudh, some Nawabs and Rajas, talukdars and zamindars, the soldiers—Pathans (Walaytis), Mughals, Rajputs and Brahmans of northern India—and the maulavis who were members of this order, comprised the main body of the rebels. The class composition of the insurgents reflects the geographical disposition of the movement, and sheds light upon the motives of the participants. There is little doubt that practically all those who belonged to this order were disaffected although some of them abstained from active participation because of their peculiar circumstances.

The chiefs and landlords constituted the leadership of this rebellious host; the regular and irregular troops of the East India Company and of the princes its fighting arm; and their dependents and peasants the camp followers. They had common traditions and common grievances. They sympathised with one another in their misfortunes. The loss of territory and political

---

1 Narrative of Events Attending the Outbreak of Disturbances in Agra District in 1857-58, p. 3
2 Trevelyan, C., On the Education of the People of India, p. 199
power by the chiefs affected them all. If the higher section was deprived of the titles of authority—their estates, army commands and civil offices—the others had lost avenues of employment and positions of influence and profit. The learned—scholars, theologians and poets—and craftsmen and artists were left without patronage. Many of those whose hereditary occupation was fighting, were rendered jobless, and many were obliged to drift into the army of the East India Company.

The charge-sheets drawn up by the leaders of the movement against the British Government bear this out. Bahadur Shah, in the manifesto1 which was issued on 25th August, 1857, says: "It is well known to all, that in this age the people of Hindostan, both Hindoos and Mohammedans, are being ruined under the tyranny and oppression of the infidel and treacherous English"; and then he goes on to explain the five main heads of accusations as follows:

I. Concerning Zamindars: He accuses the British Government of imposing exorbitant Jamas (land revenue), of disgracing them by putting up their estates to public auction on account of arrears of rent, and ruining them by costly litigation entailing expense on stamps, court fees and dilatory proceedings.

II. Concerning Merchants: He denounces the infidel and treacherous British Government for monopolising the trade of all the fine and valuable merchandise, such as indigo, cloth, and other articles of shipping, leaving only the trade of trifles to the people, and even there taking a share in the profits by levying customs, stamp fees, taxes, tolls and subscriptions.

III. Concerning Public Servants: He points out that natives employed in the civil and military services have little respect, low pay, and no manner of influence; and the posts of dignity and emolument in both the departments are exclusively bestowed on Englishmen.

IV. Concerning Artisans: He relates how the English by the introduction of articles manufactured in England had thrown the weavers, the cotton-dressers, the carpenters, the blacksmiths, the shoemakers, etc., out of employ; and by engrossing their occupations reduced to beggary every description of native artisan.

V. Concerning Pandits, Maulavis, and other learned persons:

---

1 This was the famous Ishtihar first issued in Azamgarh and subsequently published in the Delhi Gazette on the 29th September, 1857: Ball, op. cit., Vol. II, pp. 630ff.
He asserts that the Pandits and Maulavis are the guardians of the Hindu and Muhammedan religions respectively, and Europeans the enemies of both, and, therefore, they are bound to take their share in the holy war.

This proclamation draws attention to the political and economic evils of the British rule and shows that the sufferings of the upper classes—landlords, higher grades of merchants, civil and military officials and Hindu and Muslim learned men—were the main cause of the Revolt.

Nana Sahib addressed letters\(^1\) to the Emperor of France which corroborate the charges enumerated by Bahadur Shah. Among the iniquities of the English Government, he mentions: “the annexation of the Maratha dominions by falsehood and deceit; the discontinuance of the pensions promised to Baji Rao II and his heirs; the overthrow of the Indian rulers by stratagem and political machinations; the establishment of courts which ruined propertied men by the heavy expenses of litigation and the promulgation of laws contrary to their sacred codes and offensive to their religious sentiments; the levy of heavy taxes on the proprietors of land and of custom duties on the products of their fields; the arbitrary proceedings by which more than 200 princes became victims of their manoeuvres; the violation of treaties and promises made to the Raja of Nagpur, the plunder of his palace and the sale of his precious articles by auction; the ignominy heaped upon the Emperor of Delhi, and the ruling chiefs of the Deccan, and Sind; the dethroning of Dalip Singh, the minor successor of Maharaja Ranjit Singh; the deposition of the King of Oudh in violation of the treaties and engagements; the dishonouring of women and the destruction of temples and mosques; the interference with the Hindu customs of Adoption and Sati; the resumption of endowments made for the support of temples and charitable establishments; and, above all, the plan to corrupt the religious rites and customs of the Indians; so that the sepoys cried out with one voice, ‘it is through us that the English have conquered all the countries in Hindustan, for what

\(^{1}\) Three of these letters were sent through the Governors of Chandernagar, and one directly to “His Majesty Napoleon Bahadur, King of Kings and Lord of Lords.” They were all signed by Durga Prasad and Bhagwan Prasad, agents of Nana Sahib, and were despatched to France on the 28th of April and the 31st of May, 1858. One of these letters begins with these words: “Victims of the iniquities of the English Government which has no compunction in breaking its words, we intended to take refuge in Chandernagar.” These letters are now with Archives du Ministere des Affaires Etrangeres, Paris; their photosstats have been obtained by the National Archives of India.
have their soldiers done? Is it in order to lose our religion and our rites that we sacrificed our lives and our existence? We shall continue to fight till all our strength is totally exhausted and so long as a single individual remains alive.'" Nana Sahib sums up the tale of woe in one sentence: "The acts of injustice and perjury of the English Government blaze on all sides like the rays of the sun."

Birjis Qadr who was raised to the throne of Oudh by the rebels on 5th July, 1857, under the regency of his mother Hazrat Mahal, published a proclamation in justification of the revolt against the British. It runs thus: "All Hindus and Mussalmans know that four things are held dear by every human being: (1) religion and faith; (2) honour and esteem; (3) life of self and relations; (4) property. These four were protected under the rule of the Indians, under whose government no one interfered with religion; everyone followed his own faith and everyone's honour was protected in accordance with his own concern. Men of high class (ashraf) whether Muslims belonging to Sayyid, Shaikh, Mughal or Pathan families, or Brahman, Kshatriya, Vaish and Kayastha among the Hindus, were held in esteem and honour in accordance with their position. No mean person (paji), for example, sweeper (churha), leather-worker (chamar), carder (dhanuk) or Pasi (village watchman) could claim equality with them. But no one belonging to either high class or low class was put in danger of his life, nor was anyone's property seized for any crime or offence," and added:

"But the English are the enemies of these four things. They want that the Hindus and Mussalmans should lose their religion, and that all should become Christian. Thousands have already been converted in their regime and others are changing their religion. They have brought the honour of the high classes on a level with that of the lower people—sweepers and leather-workers. In fact, the English show preference to the lower castes over the higher classes. On the complaint of a sweeper or a leather-worker, they seize the person of even a Nawab and a Raja and disgrace him. Wherever they go they hang men of high classes, and kill their wives and children. Their soldiers dishonour women. They dig up their houses, seize their property, and leave nothing."

1 The exact words are: "Les actes d' injustice et de perjure du gouvt Anglais brillent partout comme les rayons du soleil."

2 Foreign Secret Consultation, No. 68-69, 25th June, 1858
The three documents emanating from the three most prominent leaders of the movement make it plain that the grievances of the army alone were not responsible for the events of 1857, but there was general discontent among the upper classes. The movement was not a communal outbreak limited to a single community, nor did it derive its moving force from religious and ritualistic considerations alone. It was broadly a political movement which aimed at the elimination of foreign rule from India. It was the desperate attempt of the old order forcibly deprived of its power to reassert itself.

Alexander Duff, the Scottish missionary, a friend of Outram, Henry Lawrence and Charles Trevelyan, and one of the founders of the Calcutta University, had very good means of ascertaining the facts, for he was in India during the period of the revolt. His testimony regarding the character of the revolt is valuable. In a letter to Dr. Tweedie, Convener of the Free Church, of Scotland's Foreign Mission Committee, dated October 6, 1857, he wrote: "I feel more than ever persuaded of the reality of the conviction which I entertained from the very first, that this monster rebellion has been mainly of a political, and but very subordinately of a religious character."¹

III. THE INDICTMENT OF THE BRITISH

The indictment of the British by the leaders of the great rebellion is supported in every one of its charges by history. Take the case of the Mughal Emperor. Since 1803, he had been living under British protection. Previously his claims to honour and precedence were recognised. He used to address the Governor-General as 'beloved son,' 'faithful servant,' and the seals of the Governors-General bore the inscription 'humble servant' (Fidawi). Gradually a change occurred. Amherst made it plain to the King, "Your Kingship is but nominal, it is merely out of courtesy that you are addressed as King." The British Resident at the Court refused to stand in his presence when presenting Nazr. Auckland asked Bahadur Shah to abandon his claims and rights. He stopped the offering of Nazr, the privilege of granting robes of honour and holding Darbars. The Diwan-i-Khas and the Diwan-i-Am were closed. He was pressed to give up residence in the Red Fort, to abjure the title of King and to abandon his prerogative to name his successor. Dalhousie

¹ Duff, A., The Indian Rebellion (London, 1858), pp. 132-33
went further in the show of discourteisies. Russell says: "The position of the King was one of the most intolerable misery long ere the revolt broke out. His palace was in reality a house of bondage; he knew that the few wretched prerogatives which were left to him, as if in mockery of the departed power they represented, would be taken away from his successors; that they would be deprived of even the right to live in their own palace, and would be exiled to some place outside the walls. We denied permission to his royal relatives to enter our service; we condemned them to a degrading existence, in poverty and debt, inside the purliew of their palace, and then we reproached them with their laziness, meanness, and sensuality. We shut the gates of military preferment upon them—we closed upon them the paths of every pursuit—we took from them every object of honourable ambition and then our papers and our mess-rooms teemed with invectives against the lazy, slothful, and sensuous princes of his house."

It must be realised that although the occupant of the Red Fort was a mere puppet, still he enjoyed much respect, so much so that such semi-independent rulers as the Nizam, the Nawab of Bengal, the Peshwa, the Maratha Sardars—Sindia and Holkar—and the Rajput Rajas, were eager to render homage and present Nazr to him, and to receive titles and robes of honour from him. Although he was powerless and resourceless, he was still regarded with respect as the heir of the mighty Emperors of the Mughal dynasty, Timur, Babar, Akbar and Shah Jahan. There is, therefore, little occasion for surprise that both Muslims and Hindus, princes and people, felt resentful at the humiliation of the reigning monarch, and abhorrence at the idea of the extinction of the dynasty.

It was then a piece of gross impropriety and ingratitude on the part of the holders of the Diwani of Bengal that they should have used their office and its revenues for aggrandisement and conquest and for contumacious ill-treatment of their lawful sovereign. And what is one to say about their faithlessness? In 1803, the Marquis of Wellesley gave the assurance to the Emperor Shah Alam: "Your Majesty may be assured that every demonstration of respect and every degree of attention which can contribute to the ease and comfort of Your Majesty and the Royal Family will be manifested on the part of the British

Government, and that adequate provision will be made on the part of the British Government for the support of Your Majesty, your family and household.¹

Lake who forwarded Wellesley's letter wrote: "I am cordially disposed to render Your Majesty every demonstration of my loyalty and attachment and I consider it to be a distinguished honour, as it is a peculiar privilege, to execute Your Majesty's commands."²

The conduct of the Governors-General shows that all this was rather insincere talk and that, in fact, the British wanted to use the name and prestige of the Emperor to find legal justification for the titles which they had acquired by force. Besides, they wanted to avoid international complications which an open claim to dominion would have aroused with the Government of France. But subsequently when they found that their own force was an adequate basis for authority they repudiated the old pledges. The Directors pronounced this policy in one of their despatches in these words: "We conceive that our power in India is at this day of a character too substantial to require that we should resort to the hazardous expedient of endeavouring to add to its stability by borrowing from the King of Delhi any portion of authority which we are competent to exercise in our own name."³

The case of Oudh is equally deplorable. Transactions of the East India Company with Oudh since the days of Warren Hastings constitute a painful chapter in the history of the relations between the two powers. Ever since the battle of Buxar (1764), the Nawabs of Oudh were kept under pressure by the Company. Gradually their powers were reduced while their responsibilities remained unchanged. In 1768, Nawab Shuja-ud-Daulah was obliged to limit his forces to 35,000 men. He was forbidden to equip and drill more than 10,000 of the infantry men like the English troops. Later he was also prevented from entering into correspondence with any state without the Company’s knowledge, and was thus relegated to a subordinate position. In 1798, Saadat Ali Khan was required to pay seventy-six lakhs of rupees a year, for the maintenance of British troops.

Nawab Saadat Ali Khan was so depressed by his helplessness

¹ Wellesley to Shah Alam, 27th July 1803; Martin, Wellesley's Correspondence, Vol. III, p. 233
² Spear, Percival, Twilight of the Mughals, (Cambridge, 1951), p. 35
³ Political Despatch from the Court of Directors, dated the 4th September, 1811, para 199; Spear, op. cit., p. 44
that he threatened to abdicate. But Wellesley made it clear to him that that would mean the end of his dynasty. Saadat Ali Khan acquiesced and devoted the later years of his rule to the improvement of his people's condition. He replenished the treasury and so well managed the affairs of his state that Bishop Heber who travelled through Oudh found the country "as populous and well-cultivated as most of the Company's territories." According to Irwin, "there was probably a good deal more wealth in Oudh at that time than in our own surrounding districts, taxation being lighter, and being expended inside the province."

Ghaziuddin Haidar, Saadat Ali's successor, who was induced by Lord Hastings to assume the title of the King in pursuit of British designs to denigrate the Emperor of Delhi, was obliged to loan three and a half million rupees, to provide financial help to the Company in its war against Nepal. He proved to be an incompetent ruler, and his son Nasiruddin was worse.

In 1837, on the death of Nasiruddin, a fresh agreement was imposed on his successor Muhammad Ali Shah, which sought to revise the terms of the treaty of 1801. Under Amjad Ali, who came next, things went from bad to worse. Sir Henry Lawrence himself gives the reason. He says, "It is the system that is defective, not the tools with which it has been worked. We have tried every variety of interference. We have interfered directly, and we have interfered indirectly, by omission as well as by commission, but it has invariably failed.

"One great error has been our interference in trifles, while we stood aloof when important questions were at issue. Another crying evil has been the want of any recognized system of policy in our negotiations with the Lucknow Court." Regarding the system he wrote, "If ever there was a device for insuring mal-government, it is that of a Native Ruler and Minister, both relying on foreign bayonets, and directed by a British Resident."

Then he advised: "Let the management of the province be assumed under some such rules as those which were laid down by Lord W. Bentinck (in his report to the Court of Directors in July 1831). Let the administration of the country, as far as possible, be native. Let not a rupee come into the Company's

---

1 Quoted by Irwin, H. C., The Garden of India (London, 1880), p. 113
2 Ibid., p. 114
3 Ibid., p. 134
4 See Basu, Major B. D., Rise of the Christian Power in India (Calcutta, 1931), p. 946
coffers. Let Oudh be at last governed, not for one man, the
King, but for him and his people."

But the advice was disregarded by Dalhousie who had made
up his mind to annex Oudh. The dethronement of Wajid Ali
Shah sent a wave of resentment and anger throughout the
country, for whatever the faults of the Nawab might have been
no Indian looked with approval upon the transfer of the fairest
province of India to the hands of the foreign rulers. Princes,
taluqdars, officials, dependents and the fighting classes of Oudh—
Hindu and Muslim—all were filled with hatred and dismay.
Trust in British pledges was completely shattered.

Kaye's judgment on the annexation is worthy of note. He
wrote: "But that the measure itself made a very bad impression
on the minds of the people of India, is not to be doubted ...
because the humanity of the act was soiled by the profit which
we derived from it; and to the comprehension of the multitude
it appeared that the good of the people, which we had vaunted
whilst serving ourselves, was nothing more than a pretext and
a sham."

The Kingdom of Nawab Wajid Ali Shah was annexed on
the 13th February, 1856, on the plea that "the British Govern-
ment would be guilty in the sight of God and man, if it were
any longer to aid in sustaining by its countenance an adminis-
tration fraught with sufferings to millions."

Oudh was constituted into a Chief Commissionership.
Lawrence who was appointed the first Chief Commissioner
mixed freely with all sorts of people and tried to fathom their
feelings. He doubted their loyalty to the British Government.
He wrote on the 2nd May, 1857: "I have no reason to doubt
the fidelity of the artillery, though much has been done to
disgust many of the native officers. ... As far as I have ascer-
tained, the bad feeling as yet, is chiefly among the Hindu
Sepoys; ... the Mohammedans would soon become the most
energetic and violent mutineers." He added: "Until we treat
natives, and especially native soldiers, as having much the same
feelings, the same ambitions, the same perception of ability and

1 Irwin, op. cit., p. 134
2 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 110
3 Lord Dalhousie's proclamation issued on 13th February, 1856:
Forrest, G. W., Selections from the Letters, Despatches and other State
Papers, of the Government of India, 1857-58 (Calcutta, 1893), Vol. II,
p. 1
4 Foreign Secret Consultation, No. 564, 18th December, 1857
imbecility as ourselves we shall never be safe."\(^1\) The smouldering fire for revenge was kindled when on the 3rd May, 1857, before the Sepoys in Meerut had risen, the 7th Oudh Regiment became mutinous. The Sepoys refused to bite the new cartridge, and threw down their arms and fled. Henry Lawrence was convinced that the English would have to strike anew for their Indian empire.

The Panjab happened to be a frontier province and therefore the object of special attention. In the thirties events in Western Asia had created a new situation for the British empire. Russia had defeated Iran, then dictated the Treaty of Turkmanchay (1828), occupied the Caucasus region and increased its hold in the Caspian area. In about two years Palmerston took alarm and raised the bogy which continued to haunt the minds of British statesmen throughout the nineteenth century and after. To prevent Russian expansion towards India became the main concern of British foreign policy, and to create buffers between the Russian and British empires the great task of diplomacy.

Encouraged by Russia, Iran sought to compensate her losses by reviving her claims against Afghanistan. Her intention in the region bordering on India disturbed the peace of mind of British rulers of India.

The Panjab, which was in the throes of civil commotion after Ranjit Singh's death, now became a source of greater concern to the British. Their manoeuvres provoked the Sikhs to war, and ultimately, led to the annexation of the Panjab. Fifty thousand square miles of productive land and four million sturdy peasants passed under British administration.

To the defeat was added humiliation. Dalip Singh, the deposed prince, was converted to Christianity and exiled to England. The properties of the Lahore Durbar were auctioned, the Koh-i-Noor was sent to England to adorn the British crown. Lord Dalhousie visited the Golden Temple at Amritsar and walked through its sacred precincts with shoes on.

The annexation of Nagpur is another glaring example of high-handedness. Regarding it Dalhousie stated his reason in a minute thus: "I cannot bring my judgement to admit that a kind and generous sentiment should outweigh just and prudent policy."\(^2\) But what lay behind the policy was made clear by Disraeli in his speech before the Parliament, when he quoted

---
\(^1\) Ibid.
\(^2\) Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 78
the Governor-General's own minute. He said: "The incorporation of Nagpur would give to us a territory which comprises 80,000 square miles, producing an annual revenue of forty lacs of rupees and containing more than 4,000,000 of people. . . . It would completely surround with British territory the dominions of His Highness the Nizam."¹ Dalhousie was so much attracted by the cotton-growing capacity of the Berar country, that he brushed aside all the cautious counsels of Col. Low and William Mansel, about the evil consequences of disregarding people's feelings and considerations of justice, and signed the death warrant of the Maratha State of Nagpur.

The conquest of Sind was a piece of cynical villainy, a foul aggression. Its perpetrator, Napier, confessed: "We have no right to seize Sind, yet we shall do so, and a very advantageous, useful, humane piece of rascality it will be."² False charges were trumped up against the Amirs based upon forged documents, and the muskets of the British troops rolled back in blood the mass of the gaily turbaned and gorgeously attired Baluchis who fought valiantly, but with medieval weapons—swords and spears. Behind this facade of banter and dare-devilry, however, there was a serious objective which Palmerston proclaimed, viz., "to keep the eventual meeting of Cossack and Sikh as far away from India as possible."³

A number of other princely houses were deprived of their territories or pensions by being denied their customary right to adopt heirs. Thus the houses of Satara, Raichur, Naldrug, Karnatak, Tanjore, Jhansi, Karauli and Sambhalpur, etc., were also deprived of their possessions.

Harsh Treatment of the Landed Gentry

Next to the princely order came the class of the nobility and gentry—taluqdas, zamindars and higher government functionaries. Against them a war of extermination was waged; "it was the policy of the times to recognise nothing between the prince and the peasant."⁴ The destruction of the class was partly the result of the policy of extinction of the great principalities. The overthrow of the Indian administration and the substitution of civil and military establishments on the British model and

¹ Disraeli's speech, dated July 27, 1857, Hansard's Parliamentary Debates, op. cit., column 454
⁴ Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 111
composed of British personnel, naturally involved the displacement of the Indian governing class.

The nature of the land revenue administration established by the Company contributed towards the same end. In the Permanent Settlement areas, the old families were displaced by a new type of land-owner. In the ryotwari regions the landlords disappeared almost completely. In the territories brought under the British dominion following the Maratha wars, and the cessions of the Nawab of Oudh, "many able English statesmen, especially in Upper India, had no tolerance for any one who might properly be described as a Native Gentleman. They had large sympathies and a comprehensive humanity, but still they could not embrace any other idea of the Native Gentry of India than that of an institution to be righteously obliterated."

*North-Western Provinces and Oudh*

In the Upper Provinces, for instance, there were three classes who possessed proprietary rights: (1) the zamin-dars, (2) the taluqdars, and (3) the rent-free tenure holders. The settlements made with them were in the beginning based on no definite principles; nevertheless, it was assumed that the state was entitled to the entire net assets of land. In 1822, fifth-sixths of the gross rental was prescribed as the standard land revenue. It was reduced to two-thirds of the rental in 1833. To T. C. Robertson, the Lieutenant-Governor of the North-Western Provinces, the operation of the settlement of 1833-42, appeared to be "of a decidedly levelling character, and calculated so to flatten the whole surface of society as eventually to leave little of distinguishable eminence between the ruling power and the cultivators of the soil. It is a fearful experiment, that of trying to govern without the aid of any intermediate agency of indigenous growth; yet it is, what it appears to me, that our measures, now in progress, have a direct tendency to bring about."

In 1855, the assessment was scaled down to 50 per cent by the Saharanpore rules. This rate was extended to Oudh, the Central Provinces, the Panjab and later to Madras and Bombay. But the relief came so late that it had little effect upon the events of 1857.

The exorbitant assessment was realised by exceedingly harsh
methods. The result may be described in the words of Kaye: "Under the system, which we introduced, men who had been proprietors of vast tracts of country as far as the eye could reach, shrivelled into tenants of mud huts and possessors only of a few cooking pots."1

So far as the taluqdar of Oudh were concerned, they possessed undoubted manorial rights. They formed the old landed aristocracy holding proprietary rights in the soil. At the time of the annexation of Oudh in 1856, two-thirds of Oudh was owned by them. But then the British Government looked upon them as only "middlemen employed to collect revenue."2 The Settlement Officers' theory was that "the Talukdar was little better than an upstart and an impostor. . . . To oust a Talukdar was held by some young Settlement Officers to be as great an achievement as to shoot a tiger; and it was done. . . . There was something thorough in it that wrung an unwilling admiration even from those who least approved. It was a grand levelling system, reducing everything to first principles and a delving Adam."3

And according to Holmes, "The settlement officers, however, inspired by the famous Robert Mertins Bird, were full of the idea of promoting the greatest happiness of the greatest number; they branded the taluqdars as a set of worthless drones; and they determined accordingly to deprive them of the privilege of settling for every foot of land to which they could not show a proprietary title precise enough to satisfy an English lawyer."4 Kaye observes: "The great besom of the Settlement swept out the remnant of landed gentry from their baronial possessions, and a race of peasant-proprietors were recognised as the legitimate inheritors of the soil."5

For example, Maharajah Man Singh, an owner of 577 villages, paying £20,000 annually to Government as land revenue, was dispossessed of all but six villages, and his revenue was reduced from £20,000 to £300. Another taluqdar lost 266 out of his 378 villages, and another 155 out of 204.6

The second process by which this class was affected was the

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 114
2 Moral and Material Progress and Condition of India, 1872-73, p. 23
3 Ibid., p. 116
4 Holmes, op. cit., p. 25
5 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 114
6 Statement Exhibiting the Moral and Material Progress and Condition of India, 1872-73, p. 23
resumption of lands held on rent-free tenures. Most of the holdings were inherited from those who had rendered meritorious services to the State. It is possible some were acquired unrighteously during the disturbed times on the decline of the Mughal empire. A wholesale enquiry was ordered. What followed may be stated in the words of Kaye: "So the resumption officer was let loose upon the land. Titles were called for; proofs of validity were to be established, to the satisfaction of the Government functionary. ... It was an awful thing after so many years of undisturbed possessions, to be called upon to establish proofs, when the only proof was actual incumbency. A reign of terror then commenced, ... That what ensued may properly be described as wholesale confiscation is not to be doubted."

Many of those affected were members of the learned profession—the Ulama, who had received grants in aid for maintenance and for religious services as divines and scholars. The sequestration of their rights and means of livelihood was a prominent factor in producing bitterness among them and thus driving them into hostility. It is well known that the Maulavis took a leading part in arousing the people to revolt against the British Government.

The land revenue system did not spare the smaller men. In fact, the petty zamindars were much more numerous; they belonged to many castes—Rajput, Brahman, Jat, Gujar, etc. The attack upon vested rights hit them hard, and through them the sepoys. For the sepoys came from the families of petty landholders, whose income was inadequate to cover the expenses of the family. They adopted the profession of arms to eke out a living.

Discontent of the Peasantry

Nor was the peasantry happy. The official narrative of the events of the great uprising states 2: "In the Trans-Gangetic pargunnahs the causes which acted to excite the disturbances were different. Religion had little or nothing to do with it. The villages in these pargunnahs were owned at the cession by large
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1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 123
2 Communication from F. Thompson, Esquire, officiating Magistrate of Allahabad, to E. C. Bayley, officiating Commissioner, dated the 9th November, 1858: Narratives of Events Attending the Outbreak of Disturbances and the Restoration of Authority in the Allahabad District, in 1858, p. 9
Thakoor families in large talookahs. The old Zamindars, habitually extravagant, because by habit, livers on plunder, became ruined by their extravagance, and were sold up by our rule and by our laws. The cultivators and poorer classes still continued to look upon them with greater regard than the purchaser at auction, however long the latter may have been in possession of the property. The ex-zamindar and his family were still the most influential residents of the village. In most instances they received a kind of tribute from the poorer inhabitants, and helped them in return.

"The auction purchaser, on the other hand, was generally a resident of the city, and never visited his village, except for the hateful purpose of collecting his rents, or enforcing his decrees. The people therefore naturally sided with the Zamindars, to whom the outbreak seemed a grand opportunity of recovering their position. They first set to work to destroy and plunder everything European, and took forcible possession of their old estates."

In the ryotwari regions agricultural conditions were equally bad. In the Bombay Presidency the mirasdars who were hereditary owners of plots which they held subject to a fixed land tax and who could not be dispossessed so long as they paid the tax, were extinguished.

In 1824-28, Pringle fixed 55 per cent of the total produce as the Government demand. The result was that the cultivators abandoned their farms and large tracts went out of cultivation. In 1835 the mistake was partially rectified. Yet, according to the evidence of Sir George Clerk before the Parliamentary Committee of 1852, the character of the population in ryotwari regions was that of the paupers.\(^1\) The revenue from land had nearly doubled between 1817 and 1835, from £863,000 to £1,535,000.

In the Madras Presidency conditions were no better. In 1820 operations for Ryotwari Settlement were begun, and in 1827 completed. The result in the words of Romesh Dutt was: "For thirty years the Province of Madras became a scene of oppression and agricultural distress unparalleled even in India in that age.\(^2\)

The subsequent settlements made little improvement in the

---

1 Dutt, Romesh, op. cit., p. 59
2 Ibid., p. 68
state of affairs. Bourdillon, in 1852-53, found the cultivator living from hand to mouth, in perpetual debt to the Chetty (money-lender).

If Bombay and Madras failed to rise in revolt in the manner in which the northern provinces did, the reason was not that they were less disaffected, but because the Ryotwari Settlement had denuded them of necessary leadership—the mirasdars of Bombay and the poligars and zamindars of Madras having been eliminated on a large scale.

While it is on the whole true that disaffection against British rule was universal, it is a fact that in some parts of India amidst some classes the feeling was more intense than in others. This difference was mainly due to the character of the land revenue administration. In the regions where the zamindari system with periodical assessment prevailed and the pressure of revision of the government levy weighed heavily, the desire to overthrow British authority was accentuated by economic grievances. In other zamindari regions where the assessment of revenue was permanent, comparatively lighter, and more easily payable, the people were not goaded into frenzied activity.

In northern India, Bengal, the land of experiments of land administration, had been initially a terrible sufferer, but after the evil consequences of the early heavy assessment of the Permanent Settlement had worked themselves out, the generations of landholders beginning from the thirties of the nineteenth century entered an era of increasing prosperity, and in 1857 the earnings from their estates kept them on the whole contented. The young men who belonged to these families went to English schools and colleges and in those early days did not find it too difficult to find employment. They became absorbed in government services or independent professions.

The new landlords belonged to a variety of castes and had no traditions of administration or war. They accepted the foreign government and preferred their orderly methods and well-regulated ways to the arbitrary and autocratic administration of their predecessors. They were naturally averse to the revival of the feudalist regime of the Nawabs. They had no sympathy with the rebels. The Hindu Patriot of Calcutta expressed their point of view in these words:

"The people of these provinces both by habit and education are the least likely to swell the ranks of a rebellious soldiery or afford the least countenance or protection to the disturbers of
national tranquillity. The Bengalee never aspired to the glory of leading armies to battle or the martyrdom of the forlorn life. Their pursuits and their triumphs are entirely civil. A strong and versatile intellect enables them to think deeply and to think foresightedly. They are aware that the British rule is the best suited to their quiet and intellectual tastes: that under it they might achieve the greatest amount of prosperity compatible with their position as a conquered race. They are in hopes that by lawful and constitutional appeals to the good sense and justice of the English people sitting by representatives in a sovereign Council or Parliament, they, when the fitting moment arrives, will rise yet further on the side of equality with their foreign rulers and divide with them the honour and responsibility of administering the affairs of the largest and the most well-established empire in Asia. They feel, above all other portions of the Indian community, how far the country is thrown backward by the present disturbances."

The Central Provinces

Parts of the territories which constitute the Central Provinces fell into British hands after the overthrow of the Maratha kingdom in 1818. Immediately operations were started for the settlement of land-revenue. The main features of the settlement were that the share of the government was fixed high and the period of revision was short. The class of landholders known as the Malquzars were recognised as proprietors and the rights of sale and mortgage were added to their previous privileges.

The severity of realisation of atrociously high rents had the usual consequences. In Hoshangabad and Seoni districts the assessment was raised from £2,277 p.a. to £13,877 in 1825. As the collection of such a sum proved impossible it was reduced to £6,192 which was nearly three times the amount levied under the Marathas. The story was similar in Narsinghpur, Damoh, Sagar and Narbada territories. It was repeated in the case of Nagpur after its annexation in 1853.

Torture, cruelty, loss of the value of property, desolation, maladministration were reported from the districts and disaffection was widespread. Its bitter fruits were gathered in the events of 1857.

1 The Hindu Patriot, June 4, 1857
Panjab

Land settlement was undertaken in the Panjab after the final annexation in 1849. By then the experience of hardships caused by heavy assessments elsewhere had furnished a warning; hence the settlements in the Panjab were carried out with great circumspection. The surveys of lands, the valuation of crops and the assessments were conducted with the help of the local accountants and representatives of the village communities. The levy in 1856 was about 25 per cent less than that charged under the Sikh Government. The result according to the Report for 1857-58 was: "The agricultural classes were comfortable and quiet; none were pinched in circumstances; none were looking forward for change."

The incidence of revenue was light and that was a factor in the comparative calm which prevailed in the Panjab during the Revolt.

Use of Torture

Elliot, Norton and Stokes reported in 1855 that torture was practised for the realisation of the government revenue. The use of torture for the collection of revenue and in police cases, which largely affected the common people, was a serious charge against the Company’s Government. The matter was raised in the House of Commons on the 11th July, 1854, by Mr. Blackett on a motion for the appointment of a Commission of Enquiry. He pointed out that the assessments were extremely heavy so that “the unhappy ryots were ground down beneath the monstrous exactions,” that their greatest condemnation was that “it could not be carried out without the employment of means that converted it into an engine of the most intolerable oppression,” and that the state of the people under the system “was perfectly appalling—prostrate physically and mentally, pressed down by debt, by destitution—exhibiting a dead level of squalid pauperism, misery and starvation.” Mr. Danby Seymour alleged that “the great object of the Madras Government was to get 10s. a year out of a man who had only 8s. This was not always an easy task, and tortures, similar in their character to those which were applied in the beginning of the last
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1 Foreign Misc. Series, S. No. 157 (365), para 37
2 Dutt, Romesh, op. cit., p. 74
3 Hansard’s Parliamentary Debates, Vol. CXXXV, column 49
4 Ibid.
5 Ibid., column 50
century, were resorted to for the purpose of extracting the required amount.” According to John Bright, “the land was not unfertile, but so heavily taxed that there was scarcely any profit from working it” and he cited the evidence of Englishmen—Collectors and officials—to prove that torture was employed in collecting revenue. Mr. Otway speaking in the debate quoted Mr. Theobald, a Calcutta barrister, who had written to him that, “almost every vice and abuse flourishes in India. Your discovery of the practice of torture is no news to me. I believe it is practised in every lock-up house in Calcutta. In the Mofussil I had personal proof of it not long ago.”

The motion was defeated by five votes. Then the Earl of Albemarle presented a petition for the redress of the grievances of the people of India, in the House, on the 16th July, 1855, and referred to the report of the Commissioners for the investigation of alleged cases of torture in the Madras Presidency, which described the nature of the tortures prevailing in revenue matters and in police cases, and arrived at the conclusion that “personal violence practised by the native revenue and police officials generally prevails throughout this Presidency, both in the collection of revenue and in police cases.”

Commander Martin, an independent French observer, expressed the view that the responsibility for the atrocities which had thrown many English families into mourning in 1857 should be attributed to the Company itself. He asked, “Is it not to revenge themselves for the severities of the agents (of the Company), that the insurgents have committed the dreadful cruelties which have raised against them the indignation of entire Europe? Hardly one year before the outbreak of the revolt, an enquiry ordered by the Government revealed, in fact, the existence of a regular and horrible system of torture practised on Indians to which even women were subjected. After this enquiry England, the country of Howard and Wilberforce, was obliged to admit that atrocious tortures of unparalleled indecency had been used in the Indian possessions by the employees of the Company.”

---

1 Ibid., column 61
2 Ibid., column 79
3 Ibid., column 69
5 Martin, Ch. Le Commandant, La Puissance Militaire des Anglais dans l’Inde et l’insurrection des Cipayes, (1859), p. 447
Exclusion of Indians from Administration

There were many other causes of hostility and discontent among the upper classes. Syed Ahmad Khan considered the complete exclusion of Indians from any influence in the activities of the Government as the most important. He wrote: "It is universally accepted that for good and proper government and stability, it is essential that the subjects should have influence in the government of the country. The administrators are made aware of the merits and defects of their policies only by the people before the evil results reach the stage when remedy becomes impossible. ... And this cannot be achieved so long as the subjects have no say in the Government which belongs to a foreign people, and which differs in religion, traditions, manners, customs, disposition and habits from our people. ... There was no justification for giving no access to the people of India in the Legislative Council. ... Their exclusion not only did this harm that the Government could not find out the real shortcomings of the laws and regulations, which were promulgated, ... but the worst consequence was that the Government failed to know the real aims, objects and intentions of their subjects, and the people misunderstood all the proposals of the Government." ¹

IV. ILL-TREATMENT OF THE INDIANS

Another cause was the change of British attitude towards the Indians. This change was the result of England’s success in the Napoleonic wars, growth of prosperity proceeding from the Industrial Revolution, spread of religious movements like Methodism and Evangelicalism, and improvement in social manners and moral standards. All these combined to stimulate Englishman’s sense of superiority over others and aggravated his national pride.

The treatment of the native races of India by European officials, according to Ball, was "such as no people of spirit would submit to it for an hour." ² He quotes the testimony of an Indian writer, who observed: "The great majority of English officers, both civil and military, are guilty of using bad language to their subordinates, dependents, servants, to the sepoys, and to the

¹ Risalah Asbab-e-Baghawat-i-Hind, 1858. English translation by Graham and Colvin (Benares, 1873), pp. 12-13; Dr. Siddiqui’s edition, pp. 115-16
² Ball, op. cit., Vol. II. p. 636
people of the country in general.”¹ He found that a gulf yawned between the rulers and the ruled which “became daily yet more wide and impracticable. This result was, moreover, sustained by the hauteur, and insolence of tone and manner, assumed by the civil and military servants of the Company in their dealings with even educated and wealthy natives, which naturally prevented any approach to cordiality or confidence on either side.”²

Lord Stanley, the Secretary of State for India, speaking to the young cadets at Addiscombe College on the 10th December, 1858, referred to “the overweening and offensive assumption of superiority”³ by the Europeans in India.

As early as 1818, Munro had written to the Governor-General Lord Hastings: “Foreign conquerors have treated the natives with violence, and oftener with great cruelty, but none has treated them with so much scorn as we; none has stigmatized the whole people as unworthy of trust, as incapable of honesty, and as fit to be employed only where we cannot do without them. It seems to be not only ungenerous, but impolitic to debase the character of a people fallen under our dominion.”⁴

And again: “The main evil of our system is the degraded state in which we hold the natives. We suppose them to be superstitious, ignorant, prone to falsehood and corrupt. In our well-meaning zeal for their welfare, we shudder at the idea of committing to men so depraved any share in the administration of their country. We exclude them from every situation of trust and emolument. We confine them to the lowest offices with scarcely a bare subsistence, and even these are left in their hands from bare necessity, because Europeans are utterly incapable of filling them. We treat them as an inferior race of beings. ... We reduce them to this abject state and then look upon them with disdain as men unworthy of high station.”⁵

The Marquis of Clanricarde, while discussing in the House of Lords in February 1857, the system under which the Govern-

¹ Ibid.
² Ibid.
³ Ibid., p. 637
⁴ Gleig, The Life of Major General Sir Thomas Munro, Vol. II, pp. 85-86
⁵ Quoted in The Mutinies, the Government and the People by a Hindu, Kissory Chand Mittra (Calcutta, 1858), p. 37
ment of India was administered, stated: "The truth was, that
the whole system by which India was governed tended to de-
grade the natives, and to render them cunning, sordid and
decadent."1

**British Contempt of Hindu Religion and Customs**

British contempt for the Indian people extended to their
religion and culture also. The Christian missionaries were
most vociferous in decrying Hinduism in all its aspects—philoso-
phical and popular—and many officers, both civil and military,
considered it their duty to spread the gospel of Jesus in order
to save the pagans of India from perdition; all this, perhaps,
because of their woeful ignorance of Indian religious systems.
In fact, William Bentinck, while expressing his own opinion on
Abbe Dubois' work, remarked: "The result of my own obser-
vation during my residence in India is that the Europeans
generally know little or nothing of the customs and manners
of the Hindus. We are all acquainted with some prominent
marks and facts, which all who run may read; but their manner
of thinking, their domestic habits and ceremonies, in which
circumstances a knowledge of the people consists, is, I fear, in
great part wanting to us. We understand very imperfectly
their language. ... We cannot see them in their houses and
with their families."2

Michael Edwardes points out: "In the eighteenth century,
intercourse between Indians and English was between equals,
between country powers. But as the century closed, the ten-
sions that were to lead to the Mutiny of 1857 appeared on the
surface. As the English became conscious of their powers they
became more aloof and inaccessible, and that necessary con-
comitant of an imperial government, contempt for an inferior
and conquered people, shows more and more as a characteristic
of the ruling class."3 The British lived in almost complete
isolation from the people over whom they ruled. They paid
no heed to Napier's advice, namely, "give them share in all
things until we blend with them and become one nation."4

The political and economic grievances of the various sections
of the people were the main cause of the upheaval. The threat
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3 See Russell, W. H., My Indian Mutiny Diary, edited by Michael
4 The Mutinies, the Government and the People, op. cit., p. 38
to religion was an additional and powerful source of perturbation, for the Hindus regarded religion as the mainspring of their life, the foundation of their being. Thrown out of his religion the Hindu or the Muslim was anchorless, rudderless, and without support. Nothing could be more frightening to him than a plunge from known and familiar surroundings into an unknown and unfamiliar world. The very idea was revolting.

**Propaganda of Christian Missions**

Syed Ahmad Khan pointed out that the social measures of the Government, the activities of the missionaries, and the statements of government officials, combined to create the impression that the rulers intended the conversion of the people of India to Christianity.

The propaganda of the Christian missions was on the increase since the permission to settle in India in 1813 was granted to them. Their preachers were seen in the market places and at the fairs often with police escort. So wrote Sir Syed: "The missionaries too had introduced a new mode of preaching the Gospel. Religious tracts containing questions and answers now began to be printed and distributed among the people. . . . Of their own accord they used to frequent Mohammedan mosques and Hindu temples, as well as fairs, for the purpose of preaching, to which no one dared object for fear of the authorities. In certain districts, moreover, they were even allowed a chapraasi or policeman from the thanah (police office) to attend them. These persons did not content themselves with merely preaching the Gospel, but used to allude to pious men and sacred places of other religions in a highly disrespectful manner, which gave much offence and pain to their hearers, and served to sow in the hearts of the people the seeds of disaffection to the Government."

Among the measures regarded offensive were the abolition of Sati, remarriage of Hindu widows, recognition of the title of a convert to Christianity to ancestral property, enforcement of a common mess for prisoners belonging to different castes, non-observance of caste distinctions on railways, recruitment of sepoys on the condition that they would serve across the seas, assumption of the management of temples like the shrine of
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1 Risalah Asbab-e-Bhagwat-i-Hind (English translation), p. 18 et seq., Dr. Siddiqui's edition, pp. 115-18
their respective standards of living, there was absolutely no excuse for the uncertainties and vagaries which affected their pay and allowance after every war. Already Sir Henry Lawrence had pointed this out, when he wrote: "Of all the wants of the Army, perhaps the greatest want is a simple pay code, unmistakably showing the pay of every rank, in each branch, under all circumstances, ... There ought to be no doubt in the matter. At present there is great doubt ... though there are volumes of Pay and Audit Regulations."\(^1\)

The withdrawal of allowances after the conquest and annexation of a province and then posting the same troops in those very territories on reduced salaries, was naturally a very irritating measure. For the poor sepoy received Rs. 7 a month as salary, out of which he spent Rs. 3.8 on food and another Rs. 2 to 2.8 on other necessaries of life, and with difficulty saved a rupee or a rupee-and-a-half. To the poorly paid sepoys the main attraction of service at a distance from his home was the prospect of a little additional income which he could remit to his family; but this was denied to him.

To this ever-present source of simmering discontent during peace time has to be added the shock administered to the religious scruples of the Brahman and Rajput soldiers by the ill-conceived and inconsiderate decision to send them outside India to fight in Afghanistan and Burma in violation of their contract. To live among Muslims and to take food and water from them was totally repugnant to their ancient customs, and to cross the seas tantamount to abjuration of their Dharma. Either step involved loss of caste, the sheet-anchor of their social existence.

His financial anxieties and religious provocations thus inclined the sepoy to believe the stories circulated regarding British intentions to convert Indians to Christianity. Sir Henry Lawrence reported to Lord Canning that a Jamadar of the Oudh artillery who was a man of good character, was convinced that "for ten years past Government has been engaged in measures for the forcible or rather fraudulent conversion of all the natives."\(^2\)

What is more, the treatment meted out by 'white' officers—commissioned and non-commissioned—was deeply resented.
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Sitaram, a Subahdar in the Company's Army, who remained loyal even when his son joined the revolt, is a witness. Says he: "But many of them (European sergeants) could not express themselves, or make the men understand their meaning, and these sort of men had recourse to low abuse, and were in the habit of striking the sepoys, and cuffing them about. Numerous complaints were made to the Adjutant, but he nearly always took the part of the sergeant against the sepoys, and very little or no redress at all was obtained."

The behaviour of commissioned officers was no better. They regarded the sepoys as an inferior creature. "He is sworn at. He is treated roughly. He is spoken of as a 'nigger'. . . . The younger men seem to regard it as an excellent joke, and as an evidence of spirit and as a praiseworthy sense of superiority over the sepoys to treat him as an inferior animal." 

The testimony of Lieutenant Colonel William Hunter bears this out. Concerning the discourtesy of European non-commissioned officers, he points out that the system in vogue "always keeps them (Indian officers) in the background, and subjects them to the authority, not only of the youngest and most inexperienced European Subaltern in the regiment, but, what appears a strange anomaly, also to the authority, and not unfrequently to the vulgar and unmerited rebukes of the European non-commissioned officers."

Under the system, it was not possible to foster good relations between the officers and their men. In fact, the two lived in two different worlds. The European officer kept himself aloof from the Indian sepoys and Indian officers. Outside of the official duties there was little social intercourse between them. After the reorganisation of the army in 1824 the Officer Commanding knew his men less and less. The increase of facilities for intercourse with Europe which the introduction of the steamboat created, greatly changed the outlook and the interests of the European officer. His devotion to his regiment, to his men and Indian mistresses, slackened as the opportunities to associate with the men and women of his own race increased. The utilisation of the services of army officers for civilian work in the survey, public works, and political
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departments, also affected the efficiency of the army. Discipline deteriorated and the men's respect for the officers declined.

The situation was made worse by the lax discipline of the European officers. They were greedy, improvident, extravagant, ready to denounce, and even disobey the Government on questions of pay and allowances. Such behaviour excited contempt and set a bad example for the sepoys. The word of the officer had lost its value, and the sepoy placed little trust in his promises. The instances of breach of faith were numerous. In fact, according to a retired officer of the Bengal Army "almost all the mutinies of India, whether in Bengal or elsewhere, have been more or less produced, or at least have had in some sort the initiative, from ourselves. There has usually been some departure from contract, some disregard of the feelings, health or convenience of the native soldiers."\(^1\) The illustrations are Java, Vellore, Barrackpur, the troubles of 1843 and 1844 in the Bengal and Madras armies, and the mutiny in the Panjub (1849-50).

**Composition of the Company's Armed Forces**

So far as the armed forces were concerned, the stations under the Bengal Command were all affected. Among the armed forces of the Company which were organised in three commands, the Bengal Command was by far the largest. Its jurisdiction extended over a vast area, from the Bay of Bengal to the borders of Afghanistan. The whole area was placed in charge of a Commander-in-Chief under whom there were seven divisional commands. Under each division there were several first and second class brigade areas commanded by brigadiers.

The Bengal Command consisted of 1,10,000 infantry, ten to twelve thousand cavalry and thirty thousand European troops of all arms. The composition of the Indian troops was one-eighth to one-tenth Muslim and the rest Hindu.\(^2\)

Of the divisional areas, Bengal was one and it had three main cantonments round Calcutta and three small stations on the fringes to keep a watch over Assam and the eastern hill chiefs. The main cantonments of Barrackpur, Berhampur and
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Dum Dum had five Indian infantry regiments between them, a corps of irregular cavalry, an Indian battery and a rifle depot guarded by a small detachment. The minor stations at Chittagong, Dacca, and Jalpaiguri possessed altogether six companies of Indian infantry.

Bihar constituted the second divisional area. Its headquarters were at Dinapur. It controlled seven cantonments and disposed of five infantry and two irregular cavalry regiments, a European battalion, and one European artillery battalion. The North-Western Province formed two commands—Kanpur and Meerut—and possessed more than twenty cantonments. At least ten regiments were stationed in them with a considerable number of irregular cavalry, some Sikh troops, and a few artillery battalions together with ordnance depots.

Further west the concentration of troops increased precipitously. The area was divided into three commands—Sirhind, Lahore and Peshawar—with about twenty military stations. The number of Indian troops was more than thirty infantry regiments, a considerable strength of cavalry and artillery, altogether about 65,000 strong. Most of the European troops were detailed in the Panjub. Except for four battalions with a few European batteries stationed between Calcutta and Delhi, the bulk of the European force—over 15,000 men—was in the Panjub. From Meerut to Peshawar, there were more than nine regiments of European infantry with several troops of horse artillery and field batteries.

In Central India and Rajputana there were large Indian contingents of the Company's troops, some irregular cavalry and Indian field batteries, and troops of the Indian chiefs. There were about a dozen cantonments in the region. Gwalior was the largest station. It had a contingent of 8,000 troops, two cavalry regiments, ten infantry battalions, four companies of artillery. Jabalpur, Mhow and Nagod had one regiment each, stations with two infantry regiments each with cavalry and artillery. Jabalpur, Mhow and Nagod had one regiment each, and Deoli, Beawar, Erinpura and Kherwara had smaller units. Ajmer had an ammunition depot.

So far as communication and transport of the Bengal army was concerned, in the absence of railways, waterways constituted the main channel. The Ganga, the Jamuna and the Indus and their navigable tributaries were most important for
northern India and therefore cantonments and arsenals were established along their courses.

In the composition of the Bengal army the upper class Hindus and Muslims preponderated. But this was not so in the Bombay and Madras armies. Under the Bombay command which included Sind, there were 23 cantonments and they accommodated 26,000 troops, besides some Baluch regiments. One-third of the troops consisted of northern Hindus and Muslims, one-third of the Marathas and the rest of the lower castes, Mahars chiefly. No upper caste Marathas, Brahmans, Prabhus or Saraswats were recruited.

The Madras army was recruited locally, and contained sepoys from many tribes and castes. As there was no warrior class in Madras and the Brahmans did not take to soldiering, the Madras army was largely composed of Hindus of the lower castes and Muslims, mostly north Indians. In Madras and Bombay their composition was one-third Muhammedan and two-thirds Hindu.\(^1\)

The Greased Cartridge

The part played by the greased cartridges in bringing about the revolt is unduly exaggerated. Major Bontein, commanding the depot of musketry at Dum Dum, testified that even though the Indian soldiers were greatly agitated about the greased cartridges, when he paraded them, “at least two-thirds of the detachment immediately stepped to the front, including all the native commissioned officers. In a manner perfectly respectful they very distinctly stated their objections to the present method of preparing cartridges for the new rifled musket.”\(^2\) General Low, Member of the Supreme Council, writing about the Irregular Infantry of Oudh, stated: “It appears to me, that probably the main body of this regiment in refusing to bite these cartridges, did so refuse, not from any feeling of disloyalty or disaffection towards the Government or their officers, but from an unfeigned and sincere dread... that the act of biting them would involve a serious injury to their caste and to their future respectability of character.”\(^3\)

But the greased cartridge was only one among the numerous

\(^1\) Sutherland, op. cit., p. 7
\(^3\) Ibid., p. 4 (Introduction) fn.
grievances of the sepoy. Its introduction added to his apprehensions and aggravated his excitement. But the fact is that in the conditions prevailing then, "the normal state of the Bengal Army was Mutiny." How could it be otherwise? The Indian sepoy was a pure mercenary. He joined the army partly because of his caste traditions and partly because the Indian armies which had provided him with his living in the past, had ceased to exist and the Company offered him regular pay and pension, and a profession to which he was accustomed. Victories of the Company's Army gave him a feeling of self-importance, and in the early days he was treated on terms of equality which tended to evoke a sense of personal loyalty and camaraderie. With changes in the system the situation changed for the worse, and estrangement grew between the European and the Indian.

The pride of the Indian was wounded. Royal families were humiliated. The nobility was divested of influence. The people lay prostrate before the might of the foreigner. The ancient seats of power were in ruins, the ancient ways and manners all in eclipse. New men of another race, alien in religion, language, culture, lorded over the sons of the soil and heaped contempt upon them. Is it surprising that both the civilian and the soldier were exasperated and ready to take up arms against the foreign ruler? The country was ripe for rebellion.

Foreign rule by its very nature is repugnant to a conquered people. The British were aware of it. Sir John Shore had realised it when he wrote, "Whatever may be the benefit of the British system of government, the evil of foreign domination more than counterbalances all those benefits." Macaulay had said, "The heaviest of all yokes was the yoke of the stranger." John Stuart Mill, the philosopher, had postulated: "The government of a people by itself has a meaning and a reality, but such a thing as government by one people over another does not exist. One people may keep another as a warren or preserve for its own use, a place to make money in, a human cattle farm, to be worked for the profit of its inhabitants; but if the good of the governed is the proper business of a government, it is utterly impossible that a people should directly attend to it."1

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. I, p. 242
VI. Progress of the Revolt

So the explosion occurred and it convulsed the whole country. Its shock was felt in every region. Such a widespread political upheaval was a new phenomenon in Indian history. In the past, conquerors had come, dynasties had toppled over, but only the surface of life had been disturbed. The political change ensuing from a conquest was often localised. If the Panjab was rocked, the rest of the country remained quiet, as happened during the invasions of Nadir Shah and Ahmad Shah Abdali. The British conquered Bengal but the overthrow of Sirajud Daulah hardly caused a ripple in Lucknow, Delhi, Lahore, Hyderabad, Poona or Madras. The risings of the Jats in the Doab or of the Sikhs in the Panjab remained without an echo elsewhere. The Maratha fight against Aurangzeb was a lone struggle against a mighty empire whose echoes were but faintly heard outside Maharashtra. The risings and outbreaks during the first half of the nineteenth century remained isolated episodes.

But far different was the character of the uprising of 1857. Now the greater part of the country was directly or indirectly involved in the defiance of British authority. The excitement was general and extended all over the country. In some parts the rebellion took the form of an open and bloody war, involving millions of men and thousands of soldiers, in other parts there were armed risings in different places and in still other civil disturbances occurred. But there was no part of India which did not cause anxiety to the rulers, and where there was no apprehension of revolt.

Northern India from Bengal to the Panjab was in open rebellion. In other provinces, although the peculiar conditions of the respective regions prevented the discontent from breaking out into a general rising, nevertheless, disquiet prevailed. The only class which did not support the rebellion was the new middle class which had received western education; but their number was small and they were largely confined to the Presidency towns. About them Trevelyan writes: "Instead of thinking of cutting the throats of the English, they were aspiring to sit with them on the grand jury or on the bench of magistrates. Instead of speculating on Panjab or Nepalese politics, they were discussing the advantages of printing and free discussion, in
oratorical English speeches at debating societies which they had established among themselves."

Under the circumstances, educated Bengal did not respond to the call of revolt. But the army which consisted of upper India sepoys rebelled. On the 29th March, 1857, at Barrackpur, which is 16 miles from Calcutta, Mangal Pande broke the lines and called upon his comrades to strike a blow for their religion. In the succeeding weeks and months there were repeated apprehensions of outbreak at Calcutta and the Europeans were in a state of prolonged panic. Outside Calcutta there were risings and alarm in many places, for example, Birbhum, Bankura, Jessore, Krishnagar, Malda, Dacca, Faridpur, Bakarganj, Tipperah, Sylhet, Chittagong, Khasi and Jaintia Hills.

Assam

In Assam since 1833 events had moved fast. Purandar Singh, the last King of Assam, was forced to surrender his dominions. Under the British administration which was clamped upon them, the people groaned under oppression. Then Diwan Maniram Dutta in 1853 memorialised the Government pointing out: "In the Shastras it is written, that rulers ought to practise righteousness and govern their subjects with justice while studying their welfare. These are not now done, but the very contrary; and for such sins and negligences, due rewards will be meted out even in a frontier state," and demanded the restoration of the former native administration. But the appeal was turned down both by the local officials and the Governor-General.

Maniram was in Calcutta when the Revolt of 1857 broke out. Angered by the repulses he had received, he resolved to throw in his lot with the rebels. He addressed letters to the members of the old nobility and they resolved to rise on the eighth day of the moon in 1857, and place Kandarpesvar Singh, grandson of the last King of Assam, on the throne.

But the plot was divulged through the carelessness of a messenger and the Government took immediate steps to crush it. Kandarpesvar Singh was captured in his palace, and Maniram arrested in Calcutta. Assam was combed out for patriots. Maniram and Piali Barua were tried and hanged together on February 26, 1858. The others were sentenced to various terms of imprisonment and the attempt of the Assamese nobility to regain their independence was crushed.

---

1 Trevelyon, C., op. cit., p. 199
Orissa

In Orissa conditions were different. After its annexation by the British in 1803, two-thirds of the Oriya Zamindars were completely wiped out and replaced by Bengali Zamindars. The cultivating class was also hard hit, and among them the Paiks who had formed the peasant militia of the Orissa rulers, were subject to extortion and suppression by the underlings of the Company’s Government. For decades, Orissa had been in turmoil as the chiefs of Goomsur, Baud and Angul defied the suzerainty of the British. The Khonds, an aboriginal tribe, who inhabited the region were another aggrieved factor.

In the year 1857, the dissatisfied elements joined hands and trouble began. The princes of Sambalpur, Surendra Sahi and Ujjal Sahi, who had been held as prisoners in the Hazaribagh jail, were now rescued by the rebellious sepoys and took the lead. The flag of rebellion was hoisted and the authority of the British Government challenged. The whole country in the neighbourhood of Sambalpur passed temporarily into the hands of the insurgents, and it was not till 1862 that Surendra Sahi surrendered and was deported. Even then the landlords and ryots petitioned the Government to restore him to the gaddi.

In the Zamindaris of Parlakimedi, the Savaras, an aboriginal tribe of Ganjam, led by Radha Krishna Dandasena rose in rebellion. There was revolt of the Kutiya Khonds too.

Chhota Nagpur

In Chhota Nagpur, then a part of Bengal, there were risings in the districts of Palamau, Hazaribagh, Ranchi, Singhbhum, Manbhum, and Sambalpur. The situation became so tense that the British officers had an “arduous task of repelling attack, of checking petty risings, of suppressing pretenders to power, of hunting down armed freebooters, of recovering places which had been surprised, and of avenging the injuries.”

The participants in these troubles were the aboriginal tribes and landowners. The aim of the rebellious troops and disaffected zamindars was to join their forces with those of Babu Kunwar Singh. The Kols of Singhbhum led by the Raja of Porahat, and the Chero and Khairwar tribes of Palamau rose against the British and carried on guerilla warfare for months.

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. IV, p. 304
Northern India

In the vast plain which stretches from the border of Bengal to the Panjáb the revolt was widespread. Even those who were inclined to belittle its range and compass, were constrained to admit that in this region at least the rising assumed the form of a popular movement. In Oudh, Rohilkhand, Bundelkhand, the Allahabad, Agra, Meerut divisions and western Bihar, “the great bulk of the people rose against British rule,” and “the risings of the people and the Sipahis were almost simultaneous in point of time.”

Duff judged its nature correctly when he wrote in his letter to Dr. Tweedie on 10th December, 1857: “That it is a rebellion, and a rebellion, too, of no recent or mushroom growth, every fresh revelation tends more and more to confirm—a rebellion which has been able to array the Hindu and Mohammedan in an unnatural confederacy, a rebellion which is now manifestly nurtured and sustained by the whole population of Oudh, and, directly or indirectly, sympathised with and assisted by well-nigh half that of the neighbouring provinces.”

Bihar

In the eastern part of this region, viz., Bihar, the first signs of rebellion appeared at Rohini in the Santhal Pargana where Indian troops attacked their officers. Soon the whole of Bihar, which had been simmering with discontent, was in open rebellion. In the northern districts across the Ganga from Purnea in the east to Champaran everywhere the smouldering embers started to burst into flames. The districts of Shahabad, Patna and Gaya were the main centres where the revolt was at its hottest. Babu Kunwar Singh of Jagdishpur and after his death his brother Amar Singh commanded the rebel forces. For a time British authority was supplanted in parts of Bihar.

The insurrection began in the sepoy army, but soon spread among the civil population too. A British army officer wrote, “At first, apparently, a mere military mutiny, it speedily changed its character and became a national insurrection. The Rajpoot villages in Bihar, those in the districts of Benares, Azimgarh, Goruckpore, in the entire Doab, comprising the divisions of Allahabad, Cawnpore, Meerut and Agra, in the provinces of Rohilkhand and Oudh, shook off our rule and declared war.

1 Ibid., Vol. V. p. 293
2 Duff, A., op. cit., p. 220
against us.” Samuells, Commissioner of the Patna Division, reported to the Bengal Government that the “movement in Shahabad assumed all the dignity of a national revolt.” Tayler had informed the Government that “the people of the districts to the west of Chupra are in open revolt.”

The Hindus and Muslims of Bihar fought shoulder to shoulder. They had co-operated against the Government in 1845-46. When the outbreak of 1857 occurred they maintained their common front. This unity did not quite suit the tastes of the rulers and they liked to think of it as a Muslim conspiracy which utilised Hindu grievances. But there is no doubt that in Bihar as well as other places in the country, the people of the two religious communities were up in arms. In the case of Bihar this was confirmed by Tayler, Commissioner of Patna, who had already suggested that the old police force should be disbanded and a new force raised in which “no Rajpoots, Brahmans or Mahomedans are to be admitted.” In view of the general character of the revolt in Bihar, the whole of northern and southern Bihar was placed under martial law.

Both the Maulavis and the Pandits encouraged the rebels. In the Patna division the Muslim leaders like Pir Ali fomented trouble. Both Rajput and Muslim zamindars joined their ranks. The Maulavis of Sadikpur, the heirs of Namdar Khan, the zamindar of Narhat, and the Hindu and Muslim landholders of Nawada, Jahanabad, Rajgir, Amarthu, Amana, etc., acted in concert.

The outstanding leader of Bihar was the aged Babu Kunwar Singh of Jagdishpur, in the Shahabad district. He was the central figure of the movement. His courage, organising skill and brilliant strategy impressed even his enemies. All the groups, those led by Pir Ali, Yusuf Ali, Imadud Din and others, and the partisans of Delhi, namely, Ali Karim, Waris Ali, etc., co-operated with him. When the troops rebelled at Dinapur they shouted slogans in favour of the Padshah of Delhi and proclaimed Kunwar Singh their Commander. Kunwar Singh operated from Bihar and extended his operations to Rewa, Banda and Kalpi, and aided Nana Sahib in the fight against the

---

2 Samuells, S.A., Brief Narrative of Events connected with the Removal of Tayler; Datta, ibid., p. 10
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British in the Kanpur district. From Kanpur he proceeded to Lucknow and then returned to Bihar, where he died after a gallant fight in April 1858.

**Uttar Pradesh**

In Uttar Pradesh (then known as the North-Western Provinces and Oudh) the spirit of rebellion was most fierce. This was the homeland of the sepoys, the region which constituted the heart of the Mughal empire. Here were the holy places of the Hindus and their famous centres of ancient and medieval culture—Delhi, Agra, Lucknow, Mathura, Ajodhya, Prayag and Banaras, whose names evoked memories of an unforgettable past. With them were associated the ardent aspirations and the bright achievements of the Indian people. The Red Fort of Delhi stood as the symbol of India’s bygone power and glory.

Each one of the eight divisions of Uttar Pradesh was seriously perturbed and in many areas British rule ceased to function. Restlessness and agitation were widespread, but the outbreak in Meerut on May 10, 1857, was the signal for a general uprising all over the province. In some places where there were no troops of the Company the civil populace took the lead; in other places the Indian sepoy regiments rebelled first and were immediately followed by the civilians.

Among the civilians the leaders came from the class of landholders. In the western parts, Gujars, Jats and Ranghars, etc., were prominent; but Rajputs—Chauhans, Bais, Bachgotis, Gairs, Panwars, etc., who were scattered throughout the country—were the main support of the movement. The Rohillas in Rohilkhand and the Muslim zamindars all over the province joined and, in fact, constituted the spearhead of the revolt. Maulavis and Pandits played an important role in rousing the people both in the towns and in the countryside as they did in Bihar.

Everywhere the bands of rebels in considerable numbers defied British authority. Apart from the sepoy army, the numerous soldiers in the employ of the princes and chiefs and the retainers of landholders joined in the rebellion. Metcalfe estimated a force between 40,000 and 60,000 in Delhi during the siege. In Kanpur some 58,000 followed the flag of Nana Sahib; among them about 20,000 were Sepoys. Khan Bahadur Khan commanded a force of several thousand Rajputs and Rohillas. In Fyzabad district Rana Beni Madho Singh of Shankarpur had a personal following of 15,000, and there were nearly 85,000
rebels fighting against the British. In the Gorakhpur division 51,000 men led by Gajadhar Singh defied the Government from the jungles of the Tarai. The Nazim of Gorakhpur, Muhammad Hasan Khan, had a force of ten to twelve thousand men of whom half were sepoys. It would not be an exaggeration if the total number of active fighters was reckoned at over half a million men, which is indeed a very large number.

It is true that not all the landlords joined the revolt and that quite a number remained loyal to the British or sat on the fence. At the same time, there is no doubt that a considerable number of them threw themselves into the struggle. There were examples of Mir Muhammad Hasan of Gorakhpur and of Mehdni Hasan of Sultanpur, whose activities inspired many disaffected chiefs, both Hindu and Muslim, to join the movement. Much disturbance was caused particularly in Bahraich, Gonda, Churda and Chandausi, etc., etc. In Banda, “there was not a village that had not more or less committed itself.”

Delhi

The tocsin of revolt having been sounded at Meerut, on the 10th May 1857, the rebel troops marched to Delhi and appealed to Bahadur Shah to resume his lawful position as the sovereign and Emperor of India. In spite of the terrible risks involved, he overcame his early reluctance and accepted the crown of thorns. The gesture had an electric effect. The pretensions of the Company were exposed. In law and morals, the Company stood condemned as a usurper, a rebel against the sovereign who had granted to it the office of tax-collector, i.e., the Diwani of Bengal. The Company was now condemned as the repudiator of its allegiance and the perpetrator of violence against its master. A wave of defiance of British rule and of determination to end it, spread from place to place and in every direction. Its effects were felt in every cantonment where there were Indian sepoys, as well as in many towns and villages all over India.

In the central region of northern India the insurrection became a mass movement. The whole of Uttar Pradesh including Oudh, many districts in Bihar, Chhota Nagpur, Central India and Malwa, Bundelkhand and the Central Provinces, were

---

1 Narrative of Events in Banda, dated the 11th September 1857, by F. O. Mayne, Magistrate of Banda
up in arms. War and violence prevailed everywhere. Passion and fury were let loose, and gruesome atrocities were committed. Delhi was the centre towards which all eyes in this region turned. The assumption of authority by Bahadur Shah furnished a rallying point to all those who endeavoured to exterminate British dominion. The first impulse of the rebel sepoy regiments was to march to Delhi, and the rebel leaders proclaimed themselves regents or deputies of the Mughal Emperor.

The events of the siege of Delhi from May 11th to September 20th when the city fell into British hands, constitute a mixed story of heroism and treachery, of mounting difficulties of civil administration and military operations, of divided counsels among the chief advisers, and of rock-like firmness of some of the leaders. Amidst them all flits the figure of Bahadur Shah, solicitous for unity and order in the city and anxious for vigorous measures to raise the siege. A council was organised to discharge governmental functions and to take decisions on all civil and military matters. It consisted of the representatives of the army and the civilian authority.

In the beginning the Mughal princes were in command, but when early in July, Bakht Khan arrived from Bareilly, he was entrusted with the chief command. But the fight was between two unequal sides—one well-organised and with daily increasing resources; the other confined within narrow limits, led by inexperienced administrators and sepoys, and with means of warfare fast depleting. In spite of all these handicaps, Delhi put up a stout resistance and made repeated attacks on the investing force. It converted the besiegers themselves at one time into the besieged. But at last large reinforcements from the Panjab turned the scales and Delhi surrendered.

The fate of the surrounding districts was linked with Delhi. In the district of Gurgaon the chiefs and the people had thrown in their lot with the Emperor. Hissar and Rohtak were in revolt in sympathy with Delhi, and shared its fortunes.

Revolts outside Delhi

From Delhi the flames of rebellion spread in widening circles to the whole country. At first they enveloped the districts in the neighbourhood of the capital. On the 11th and 12th May there were risings in Sardhana and Baghpat. Roorkee was freed on the 13th May, in Bulandshahr a Mughal governor was appointed on the 26th May. Muzaffarnagar was in revolt on
May 14th, Aligarh on May 20th, and Saharanpur on June 30th. Thus most of the westernmost divisions of the Province were in the throes of rebellion. In Rohilkhand the revolt started in May, and Khan Bahadur Khan took over the administration on the 31st May. The outbreak in Bareilly was followed by risings in Rampur, Muradabad, Amroha, Bijnore, Badayun, and Shahjahanpur. In Farrukhabad the sepoys accepted the rule of Nawab Tafazzul Husain Khan, and Fatehgarh and Sitapur also recognised his authority.

Agra

The Agra division consisted of the districts of Agra, Mathura, Mainpuri and Etawah. Agra was the capital of the Province and the Lieutenant-Governor resided there. Signs of the storm appeared as soon as the news from Delhi arrived. The incidents in Aligarh and Bulandshahr led to revolt in Mainpuri on May 23rd and in Etawah on the 20th, and Agra soon fell into the hands of the rebels. Bharatpur troops mutinied early in July. The rebels defeated the British forces at Sassiah, near Agra, on 8th July and forced the Lieutenant-Governor and the British residents in Agra to take refuge in the fort. Hathras, Mathura and the neighbourhood were in open hostility before the end of May.

The Allahabad division was early affected, and here both sides were guilty of some of the most reprehensible deeds. In the beginning of June there was a rising in the city of Allahabad. Soon, however, when Maulavi Liaqat Ali took over the command the whole countryside was in flames. "This man, a weaver by caste, and by trade a schoolmaster, had gained some respect in his village by his excessive sanctity; and on the spread of the rebellion, the Muhammadan zamindars of the pargannah Chail, ready to follow any leader, placed this man at their head, and marched to the city, proclaiming him Governor of the district, in the name of King of Delhi." Fatehpur, Banda and Hamirpur soon joined the revolt.

Kanpur

But Kanpur which was the headquarters of a military division of the Company’s army became the scene of revolting deeds of

1 Appendix to Narrative of Events in Allahabad—dated the 7th December 1858, by H. D. Willock, Joint Magistrate of Shahjahanpore, p. 13
blood-curdling virulence. There was excitement among the sepoys since 14th May, and they were noticed sending away their families to their villages. The outbreak was expected on 24th May, the day of the Id festival. Actually it occurred on the 4th of June, when the rebels seized the treasury, opened the gaol, took possession of the magazine and the public offices, and started to march towards Delhi. Nana Sahib was chosen leader, and Azimullah was his chief adviser. They had gone as far as Kalyanpur when the programme was altered and the return to Kanpur was ordered. The rebels attacked Wheeler's camp and obliged the British troops to surrender after three weeks' siege. Nana Sahib was proclaimed the Peshwa.

Jhansi

Bundelkhand had been a part of the Maratha dominion. It included Jhansi and Banda—both under Maratha princes. In 1854, Gangadhar Rao of Jhansi died without an heir, and Dalhousie in contravention of the treaty of 1817 annexed the principality. The widowed Rani, Lakshmi Bai, remonstrated without effect. Feelings were further embittered when the grants to the temples were stopped. Frustration led to desperation. On June 4, the sepoys incited by Lachman Rao, a Brahman in the service of the Rani, Began to hurl defiance at their officers, and committed violence and murder. The Rani was proclaimed the head of the State. She provided a spirited lead to the rebels and fought heroically against the British forces, meeting her death on the battlefield.

The Nawab of Banda, a scion of the Peshwa's house, threw in his lot with the revolutionaries and lost his estate.

Banaras

Banaras was the easternmost division of the North-Western Provinces. It was not only the sacred place of pilgrimage for the Hindus and the seat of the Maharajah, but it was also the residence of some members of the royal family of Delhi. Early in May the British officials mooted plans to retreat to Chunar but as tranquillity was not disturbed the plans were dropped. But on 21st of May insurrection broke out. On 4th of June the sepoys were disarmed, and this led immediately to a mutiny. Martial law was proclaimed, but the rural districts were abandoned to the rebels. "A great movement from within was beginning to make itself felt upon the surface of rural society."

---
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Azamgarh was already in flames. In Jaunpur an outbreak took place on 5th June and the Sikh Regiment from Ludhiana took part in it. The sepoys in Gorakhpur refused to obey orders on June 6th. On the following day the prisoners attempted to force open the jail gates, and the sepoys planned to capture the treasury. But soon after the arrival of the Gurkhas their plans were frustrated and they had to surrender arms. But the district remained in turmoil, for Ghazipur was in revolt, and the sepoys at Singahi had broken out. Early in August the situation worsened and the British were forced to abandon Gorakhpur. Then as a result of the whirlwind campaign of Kunwar Singh, Azamgarh had also to be evacuated again.

Oudh and Siege of Lucknow

Oudh after annexation was most unhappy due to the rapacity of the British officers. The charge against them was that they "had turned the stately palaces of Lakhnao into stalls and kennels, that delicate women, the daughters or the companions of kings, had been sent adrift, homeless and helpless, that treasure-houses had been violently broken open and despoiled, that the private property of the royal family had been sent to the hammer, and that other vile things had been done very humiliating to the king's people, but far more disgraceful to our own." 1

Canning's efforts to institute an enquiry into the allegations were rendered nugatory by his representative at Lucknow. Thereupon discontent deepened.

The deposition and exile of Wajid Ali Shah caused profound resentment. Nor were men wanting who possessed the capacity to exploit the situation. Among them the foremost was Maulavi Ahmad Ullah Shah, who in the winter of 1856-57, toured the northern provinces and roused the people for a holy war. He is reported to have addressed large meetings in Lucknow, and other districts of Oudh. Nana Sahib and Azimullah also visited Lucknow.

On the 30th of April there was a show of disobedience to other districts of Oudh. Nana Sahib and Azimullah also visited were on the verge of mutiny, but were disarmed. The news from Delhi gave a warning to the British and they immediately took necessary precautions. In the last week of May the storm

1 Ibid., Vol. I, p. 297
burst, and on the 30th started the insurrection of the sipahis. The flames engulfed Lucknow and soon spread to Sitapur (3rd June), Muhammadi (4th June), Lakhimpur Kheri (4th June), Faizabad (8th June), Bahrain (9th June), Sultanpur (9th June), and Gonda (10th June). Thus, before the end of the month there was hardly a district in Oudh which was not in the hands of the insurgents.

In Lucknow Birjis Qadr, the eleven-year-old son of Wajid Ali Shah, was declared Wali under the regency of his mother Hazrat Mahal. The administration was conducted by a committee consisting of Hindu and Muslim advisers. They found that the British had fortified the Residency where they awaited the arrival of a relieving force. The revolutionaries’ strategy consisted in preventing such forces from entering Lucknow and starving the besieged to surrender. The struggle for the Residency which commenced in the middle of June 1857 continued with varying fortune till March 21, 1858, when at last the British troops occupied Lucknow. Maulavi Ahmad Ullah Shah continued to fight bravely till the very end. Hazrat Mahal riding on an elephant encouraged her army by her presence on the field of battle. When Lucknow was lost, Maulavi Ahmad Ullah Shah started guerilla warfare, marching from place to place, sometimes fighting in Rohilkhand and then appearing in Oudh. When, however, he had established himself at Muhammadi, he was treacherously killed by the Raja of Powain. Begum Hazrat Mahal refused to surrender, and fighting her pursuers escaped into Nepal.

In Fyzabad, which was then the most important town of Oudh after Lucknow, there was a garrison consisting of the 22nd Regiment of the native infantry, a squadron of 15th irregular cavalry and a horse battery of native artillery. The garrison threw off its allegiance. It was joined by revolutionaries from Azamgarh and Banaras and supported by the talukdars. Maulavi Ahmad Ullah Shah supplied the inspiration to the movement. The rebels fought with great courage against heavy odds, but after the fall of Lucknow their resistance died down.

In short, when the revolt commenced the British Government was almost paralysed. The entire Doab repudiated its authority. But unfortunately lack of co-operation among the leaders of the revolt and their inability to organise a single system of administration for the whole of the province, deprived them of the fruits of their revolutionary zeal.
Outside the Gangetic valley and towards the west there were various centres of trouble for the British.

The Panjab

The outbreak in Meerut on 10th May, the march of the sepoys to Delhi on the 11th and the assumption of independent authority by Bahadur Shah, created an immediate and stupendous crisis in the affairs of the Panjab. The Panjab had been annexed to the British dominion only recently and it was difficult to foretell how the defeated Sikh Sardars and disbanded Sikh soldiers would react. The attitude of the Afghans, too, was of vital concern to the British. Peshawar and the frontier territory which Maharaja Ranjit Singh had seized from the Afghans had passed under British rule on the overthrow of the Sikh kingdom. The Afghans might be tempted to take advantage of British embarrassment to try to regain the lost territory. The embers of the Jihad movement which Syed Ahmad of Rae Bareli had started were still aglow in the frontier and it was easy to fan them into a raging fire. Besides, there were turbulent elements among the tribes, for instance, the Ranghars on the western and the hillmen in the eastern parts of the province. The people of Hissar, Rewari and Gurgaon were hostile.

In the Upper Provinces the spearhead of the revolt were the Hindustani sepoy regiments. In the Panjab also there was a large concentration of them. These were all crack troops which had won renown in many a battle. But they were suspected of sympathising with the plans of insurrection which were brewing.

Thus the situation in the Panjab was most critical. But the Sikh chiefs of the cis-Sutlej states were staunch in their support of the British, and the Muslims of the western districts also extended help. Maharaja Gulab Singh of Kashmir had been "cajoled into active allegiance," and the fate of the British in the Panjab was at his mercy. The old Sikh nobility had been stunned by its total defeat and was in a state of rapid decay. There were no great landlords in the Panjab, because "neither the Muhammadan empire, nor the Pathan conquerors, nor the later Sikh rulers, ever allowed the local chiefs such power as belonged to the Taluqdar of Oudh or the Zamindars of Bengal; consequently, they never grew into landlords."¹

¹Baden-Powell, B. H., The Land Systems of British India, Vol. II, p. 617
disbanded Sikh soldiery was leaderless and their appeals to the Panjab chiefs for support went unheeded. The years of peace had been utilised by a corps of able British officers to turn the hostility of the people into an appreciation of British rule. They had also taken the precaution to disarm the population.

So far as the Afghans were concerned, their ruler Dost Muhammad was won over by gold. To him "love of English money was stronger than hatred of the English race."1

Then although the number of Hindustani sepoys was considerable, the European infantry, cavalry and artillery was proportionately large. Of the total number of 59,656 soldiers stationed in the province, the Hindustanis or Purbias (chiefly regulars) were 35,900, the Panjabis (irregulars) 13,430 and the Europeans 10,326. There was in addition a military police force of about 9,000. Among the Hindustani regulars there were some Panjabis. Most of the European corps were massed either in Simla and Ambala or in the Peshawar valley.

But the factor which helped the British most was the lack of a definite aim and unity of counsel among the Indian revolutionaries. The British officers knew from intercepted correspondence "that the whole mine of revolt had been laid with deep and wary cunning."2 So immediately on receipt of the information of the rising at Meerut on the 10th May they acted with energy and resolution. On the morning of the following day the entire Indian army, consisting of two infantry regiments and two squadrons of light cavalry, was ordered to the parade ground and disarmed. Lahore was saved and an example was set.

On the other hand, the appeal of Taj-ud-din,3 a rebel leader of the Panjab, to Emperor Bahadur Shah on 29th May, 1857, evoked no response. He wrote a letter describing the conditions in the Panjab after 10th May and pointed out that all the Hindustani troops were eager to join his forces, that the Panjab Chiefs were hesitant, and the hot weather made it difficult for the Europeans to undergo the rigours of a campaign. He was sure that if an army of five or six thousand troops was despatched under a capable commander, the whole of the Panjab, including Peshawar, could be cleared of the British.

The bold manoeuvre of the British officers at Lahore was

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 316
2 Cooper, E., The Crisis in the Panjab from the 10th of May until the Fall of Delhi (London, 1858), p. 7
3 Foreign Secret Consultation, Nos. 1—3, 18th December, 1857
immediately followed in all other military stations—Mian Mir, Phillaur, Gobindgarh, Ferozepur, Jullundar, Kangra, Multan, Peshawar, Naushera, Rawalpindi, Jhelum, Sialkot, Amritsar, and Gurdaspur. With the exception of the regiment at Khelat-i-Ghilzai Hindustani troops at all other stations were disarmed and disbanded. The order of surrender of arms under the threat of the rifles of the British infantry and the guns of their artillery was irresistible.

But it was far from a walk-over. There was an *emeute* in the 9th Irregular Cavalry, a regiment which had won renown in Afghanistan. It was regarded so reliable as to be despatched for service against Delhi. But soon it was found to be in sympathy with the Indian cause and was ordered back to the Panjab. When it reached Kalabagh it rebelled. The leader Vazir Khan was "the oldest and most distinguished Risaldar in the Regiment." The rebels were overtaken opposite Jhang and the gallant Risaldar and his men were all slain.

At Mian Mir the Indian cavalry refused to surrender their saddles and on the morrow of disarmament went off to Ferozepur accompanied by 1,400 sepoys of the disarmed regiments. They fought with the Europeans and killed one hundred of them.

The disarming of the sepoys at Mian Mir sent a thrill of fear amidst the Hindustani troops in the Panjab and they all became disaffected. Many of them found themselves helpless. But some resisted. They left their barracks with the intention ultimately of reaching Delhi. But Delhi was far away; they were pursued and destroyed. Brutal punishment was inflicted on the 26th Native Infantry. Two hundred and eighty-two of its men were captured in flight, and conveyed to Ajnala where it was decided that "they should all die." "Ten by ten the sepoys were called forth. Their names having been taken down in succession, they were pinioned, linked together, and marched to execution, a firing-party being in readiness." Thus two hundred and thirty-seven marched to death, many in the most strict calmness, some singing and dancing. The remaining forty-five refused to come out of the bastion in which they had been imprisoned. When however the doors were forced open, "they were nearly all dead."

The Hindustani army ceased to exist as a fighting force. Their place was taken by an equal number of newly recruited
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1 Cooper, op. cit., p. 161
2 Ibid., p. 162
regiments of the Sikhs, Afridis, Khataks and Mohmands. But the Panjab’s troubles were not confined to the army. In Kulu hills Raja Pratap Singh and his brother Vir Singh headed a rising but they were arrested, tried and executed. The sepoys of the disbande regiments, the 14th Native Infantry of Jhelum, the 46th of Sialkot and the 26th of Mian Mir, who had escaped to the Jammu hills had resolved upon fight. They crossed the Ravi and entered the town of Madhopur, the headquarters of the Bari Doab Canal, but were driven out by the Sikh guard. In Sialkot the sepoys occupied the station and spread out into the country, but the Europeans escaped by “the mercy of God, who turned aside the mutineers’ bullets.”¹

In September the Khurrals, Khattis, Huttoos, Fatawans and other Muslim clans, twenty to thirty thousand strong, living in an area of 3,000 sq. miles of the Multan district, broke out into insurrection. They had obtained arms from Bahawalpur and Ferozepur. They surprised the police posts, disarmed them, cut off communications between Multan and Lahore, and levied contributions. The military police sent against them were defeated and even the irregular cavalry was forced to take refuge in a Sarai at Chichawatni. Then the insurgents retired into the deserts of Gogair, where they were surrounded by British troops and cut down.²

Sind

Sind became involved because the sad memories of the way the Amirs had been displaced by the English, caused dissatisfaction among the people. Shahzada Pir Muhammad, a scion of the ruling family of Herat and a pensioner detained at Shikarpur, Imam Bakhsh another chief and Alif Khan a pensioner, joined together in an attempt to overthrow British rule in the province, but they failed.

Rajasthan

In the eighteenth century conditions in Rajputana were confused. The period was one of decay and of jealousies and rivalries among the chiefs of the leading clans. There were four important ruling principalities, besides numerous minor states and jagirs.

¹ Foreign Secret Consultation, Nos. 79-80, 28th May, 1857
Gular, Rupnagar, Salumbar and others came to his support. They defeated the army sent by the Maharaja of Jodhpur and then a number of them marched towards Delhi to lay their grievances before the Emperor. On the way they were forced to give fight at Narnul and were defeated. But the fall of Delhi in September completely disheartened them.

Kotah happened to be the most revolutionary anti-British centre in Rajasthan. Here the Political Agent Major Burton was murdered. Among the officers Jai Dayal and his brother Har Dayal took the lead of the movement. The Residency was surrounded and the Maharao was made a virtual prisoner. This state of affairs lasted for several months until General Roberts, at the head of a British army of five thousand five hundred strong reinforced by a Karauli contingent, bore down upon the rebels and defeated them with heavy losses; their leaders were mercilessly dealt with; fines were imposed on traders and artisans suspected of sympathy with the movement, and Jai Dayal was blown off from the mouth of a gun.

But although active rebellion was crushed, many Rajputs continued to give aid to the rebels. Tatya Tope received shelter in Rajasthan and the chiefs of Salumbar and Kotharia helped the refugees.

Central India

The Central India Agency consisted of a number of large and small States sprawling between Bihar and Chhota Nagpur on the one side and Rajputana on the other. Uttar Pradesh lay to its north. It was thus surrounded by territories in the throes of insurrection. In the Agency the main centres of Indian troops were Gwalior, Indore, Bhopal and Mhow. When the news of the rebellion reached these places the Indian soldiers rose in support of their comrades in spite of the unfavourable attitude of the ruling chiefs, and most of the districts were in great commotion. There were outbreaks in Saugor, Jabalpur, Narsinghpur, Hoshangabad, Nowgong, Nagpur, etc. There the Indian troops rebelled and were aided by some chiefs like the Raja of Banpur and the Gond Raja Shakar Shah.

In Gwalior the Maharajah was loyal. He had been highly impressed with the might of the British Raj during his recent visit to Calcutta. But the Sepoy regiments in Gwalior tied by blood and caste relationship with the rebels, sympathised with them. Their numbers exceeded eight thousand. When they
received the news of Jhansi massacre on the 14th June they broke into open rebellion. But their success was short-lived as the British regained control of the situation and the Maharajah was reinstated.

The chiefs and nobles of the Bhopal royal family were not well-disposed towards their ruler, Sikandar Begam. Naturally the outbreak of revolt in the adjoining British territories came like a windfall to them. They took the fullest advantage of it, and sided with the disaffected rebels, and on several occasions induced the “Vilayaties”, viz., the Pathans and the State troops, to rise and declare a religious war against the British.

Colonel Durand, Agent to the Governor-General, summed up his review of the insurrection in Central India, on 13 August 1857, in these words: “The means of coercion at our disposal are extremely inadequate to restoration of order, and to the stay of anarchy wherever that exists. The Gwalior contingent has wholly gone from our colours and is now with its well-equipped artillery, in Scindhia’s hands, and, of course, at his disposal. It may be against us; it can never ask (sic) for us. The Malwa contingent has lost all its cavalry, a body of 800 good horse, and the infantry so misbehaved at Indore that it is impossible not to hold the whole body in suspicion…. The Bhopal contingent, after its disgraceful and treacherous behaviour at Indore, is now in open mutiny at Sehore. The Bheel Corps is in course of reassembling. … At Nagode … there is not a gun, there is not a sabre, there is not a musket which can be called in aid of the maintenance of order and British supremacy.”

This brief narrative shows that the whole of northern India from the Himalayas to the Narbada and from Calcutta to Peshawar was in revolutionary furore. Its intensity differed from region to region, but no part was wholly free from disaffection.

SOUTHERN INDIA

Maharashtra

In the Deccan and Southern India, too, there were many centres of trouble. Outbreaks took place in Central India at Indore, Mandasor, Mhow, Dhar, Amjhera, etc., and caused great anxiety to the Government, because the region was contiguous with Maharashtra where there was much ‘brooding discontent’. Loss of independence, disappearance of Peshwa’s rule and

1Foreign Secret Consultation, Nos. 87—89, 29th January, 1858
degradation of Poona which had been the centre of Maratha power, rankled in the minds of the people. The historic families which had played a prominent part in the affairs of the old state were specially affected. The confiscation of numerous estates at the instance of the Inam Commission, the ban on adoption on the failure of natural heirs to the owners of landed estates, and the close relations between the families of Nana Sahib and the Patwardhan chiefs, had created an explosive situation.

Pratap Singh, the young prince whom Elphinstone had raised to the gaddi of Satara, turned out to be a prince of independent character, and became suspect in the eyes of the British officers. In 1839, he was deposed and sent into exile. His appeals for justice were rejected, and on the death of his successor in 1848, the State was annexed to the Bombay Presidency. The Southern Maratha country, as a result of the activities of the Inam Commission, and Kolhapur, because of the heavy indemnity imposed for the rising of 1844, were smarting under a sense of frustration and grievance. A little incident could instigate these elements into rebellion out of sheer 'audacity of despair.' This is what actually happened.

The Indian troops in Asirgarh, Burhanpur, Aurangabad, Belgaum, Kolhapur and Bombay, and the discontented Muslims plotted a revolt. In Satara Rango Bapuji Gupte, an old and faithful servant of the house of Shivaji, collected Ramoshi, Mang and Koli recruits and gained some adherents among the Maratha Sardars to oust the new rulers and restore Shahu, the son of Pratap Singh, to the gaddi. Promises of support were obtained from Nana Ramoshi of Kundal, Kashi Raja, Daulat Rao Hari Pawar of Karad, Tatya Fadnis of Kolhapur, and others. Shivaram Kulkarni was the moving spirit, and Sitaram, son of Rango Bapuji, was entrusted with general supervision. Man Singh, a Rajput soldier, was chosen as a messenger to obtain support of the sepoy regiments. Unfortunately, the plot was betrayed and the conspirators were put to death.

In Kolhapur, Belgaum and Dharwar there was much discontent. The Indian sepoy regiments became greatly excited at the news of Nana Sahib's rising in Kanpur. The outbreak took place on July 31st. Twice, some sepoys attempted to take the town of Kolhapur, but failed. A second attempt in December succeeded in so far as the city was occupied and the gates closed. But the British forces blew up one of the gates and captured the town and the Raja's palace. The Raja's brother
was sent as a prisoner to Sind. In Belgaum a Munshi incited the revolt, but before the rising could take place the British officers received information and suppressed it.

In Bombay there were three Indian regiments. They were planning to revolt on the occasion of the Muharram. But Forjett, the Deputy Commissioner of Police, was alert and his measures nipped the conspiracy in the bud.

The chief of Nargund, whose fears had been roused by the activities of the Inam Commission, took up arms and drew upon himself the wrath of the rulers. The Desais of Sawantwadi defied the Government from their forest fastnesses, but were ultimately subdued.

Lack of concerted action on the part of the people and the tact and vigilance of the British officers prevented the conflagration from being widespread. The outbreak in the Southern Maratha country remained a minor episode, confined mainly to a few chiefs, landholders and some north Indian sepoys. The Marathas and the Mahars had little in common with the Purbiya soldier and did not respond to the call.

The mercantile community and the small English educated class in Bombay, had no sympathy for the revolutionary cause.

Rising Hyderabad and Madras

In the Nizam's territories the Muslim population was greatly perturbed because of its sympathy with the Mughal ruler of Delhi. The Maulavis were preaching a holy war and as a consequence the Rohilla troops and a large number of their sympathisers rose and marched on the British Residency. But the young Nizam and his old Prime Minister Salar Jang remained loyal to their masters and the rebels were crushed.

In the Presidency of Madras, the discontent was not less acute, but leadership was lacking. The Indian troops were composed of the lower classes who had little sympathy for the wrongs committed against the practices of the higher castes. Then the establishment of the Ryotwari system had eliminated the class which bore the traditions of governing. The products of the western system of education were antipathetic to a movement which they regarded as reactionary.

Nonetheless, the news of the restoration of the Mughal rule in Delhi excited the people in the South. The Muslim sepoys were thrilled. The attitude of the Muslim civilians was minatory. Kurnool, Cuddapah and Malabar were centres of considerable
Muslim population. Arcot, Vellore and Trichinopoly had been under the rule of the Nawabs of Karnatak, and the memory of their rule which had been recently extinguished was still fresh in their minds. There were discontented groups in Hyderabad and in Mysore too.

The rebels were active all over Madras. A Brahmin Sadhu, for instance, was found in the camp of the 13th Regiment of Native Infantry announcing the end of British rule and calling upon the Hindus and Musalmans to unite. There were many sepoys of the Bengal command in various parts of the Presidency whose object was “to tamper with our sepoys and excite mistrust and dislike towards our Government by false and malevolent rumours,” states the official report.¹

In September 1857 the situation was alarming. But the Government of Madras took adequate measures to suppress the excitement and to maintain order. Commissions were issued under Act XIV of 1857 for the summary trial of offences against the state, court-martials were established for acts of indiscipline committed by the sepoys, and fresh police forces were recruited in all centres. Thus all sporadic outbreaks were subdued. Although the state of feelings caused a great deal of anxiety to the Government, the spread of violence on a large scale was prevented.

VII. A Review

A study of the Indian situation, as a whole, leaves no doubt that the Revolt was very widespread, that both the sepoys and the civilians were involved in it and together they endeavoured to overthrow the alien rule. Contrary to the belief and against the hopes and expectations of the rulers, the Muslims and Hindus made a common cause. Although in some places there were communal differences, yet, on the whole, the two fought side by side, and fully supported each other. Bahadur Shah forbade cow slaughter in Delhi at the festival of Baqr-Id, and Khan Bahadur Khan foiled the efforts of the British to incite the Hindus against the Muslims in Rohilkhand. Bahadur Shah was recognised as the rightful Emperor of India by most of the rebel leaders. The government set up by the rebels consisted of both

¹ Judicial Consultations, 3rd September 1857, extract from the Minute No. 1081A. (Proceedings Volume of the Military Department 1857 in the Madras Record Office)
Hindus and Muslims. Separate courts of Hindu judges were set up to try the cases of the Hindus, and those of Qazis for the Muslims. Where Jihad or holy war was declared, it was specifically stated that it was against the Christian rulers.

The causes which were responsible for the Revolt were many. Commandant Ch. Martin observes: "Excellent for conquering this immense empire, the system followed up to now found itself incapable of withstanding the first shock, because it did not have in the country itself the strong auxiliaries upon whom it could rely, so that one party could fight against the other. Incapable of maintaining itself, the Company has been impotent to repress the rising without the support of the mother country, because, persisting in its time-honoured isolation, it neglected to propagate any fruitful idea, or sow any germ of Western civilization in the countries which had submitted to it. Unconcerned about the welfare, the morals, the life of its innumerable subjects, callous about every other thing except matters relating to exports and imports, monopolies, salaries, profits and dividends, it confined itself to the exploiting of India in place of civilizing it. It sought rather to render its inhabitants incapable of self-government, than to initiate them into the knowledge and the skills which normally inspire those who have the desire to emancipate themselves. This policy may have been clever but surely it was not generous. It is, therefore, just that the Company of India has been reproached for its bad faith, its ambition, its selfishness, and its extortions of all kinds."¹

Such a rule exercised for a century over the Indian peoples was bound to lead to disastrous consequences in which the whole country was involved. Alexander Duff rightly stated: "Everyone who is not positively and hopelessly blind knows that in hundreds and thousands of places where, through precautionary and other measures and special overrulings of Providence, no actual outbreak occurred, there was much discontent, much display of reasonable and rebellious feeling, and much real dread and apprehension on the part of the authorities."²

The Revolt of 1857 shattered the delusion which the British rulers in their smugness had been hugging to their bosom. The movement made clear to them that although it was possible to impose obedience upon a subject people by might, it was impossible to evoke their loyalty by force.

¹ Martin, Ch. Le Commandant, op. cit., pp. 445-46
² Duff, A., op. cit., p. 280
The widespread nature of the great rebellion naturally raises the question of co-ordination and planning. There seems to be a strong presumption that the Indian regiments had some awareness of the impending explosion. Such suspicious events as the distribution of chapattis or red lotus flowers point to this conclusion. But the contacts of men like Azimullah Khan, the chief adviser of Nana Sahib, of Maulavi Ahmadullah Shah, who played an important role in Oudh, of the famous Maulavi Fazal-i-Haq Khairabadi and many other Maulavis, indicate that there were efforts to concert a general movement. Although the story of communications with Iran and Russia seems far-fetched, there is, by and large, sufficient evidence that Bahadur Shah was in correspondence with a number of Indian princes and chiefs and also with the Indian army personnel. There were rumours that the army would rise and strike at all stations on one fixed day, and that the 31st of May was chosen in this connection—one of the hottest days of the year in northern India, and therefore most suited for rebellion against the foreign rulers in the country. Apparently Bahadur Shah was taken by surprise when the sepoys broke into revolt at Meerut three weeks before the appointed day and he naturally hesitated to join a premature rising.

That there was some planning is indicated by the co-operation among the leaders on various occasions. For instance, in the Kanpur campaign, Shahzada Firoz, the Gwalior troops, Babu Kunwar Singh and others, supported Nana Sahib. Bahadur Shah invited the Rajas, Nawabs and Chiefs of Rajasthan, Panjab and Northern India, to rally round his standard, but the response was poor. The newspapers in Urdu specially, support the theory of co-ordination. Their circulation in the different parts of India helped dissemination of the news of the events in Delhi and other centres.

Although old Bahadur Shah who sat upon the throne was Emperor only in name, yet at this fateful crisis in history the magic of his name had some influence. The old quarrels which had plagued the country in the last hundred years were temporarily forgotten, homage and loyalty were renewed and pledges of obedience were reaffirmed. Birjis Qadr, the son of Wajid Ali Shah, whose ancestor had repudiated the Emperor's allegiance and assumed the title of King, made a declaration on accession to office as Wali, that he would implicitly obey all orders from Delhi.
Khan Bahadur Khan, a grandson of Hafiz Rahmat Khan, who had carved out an independent principality in the Doab, on assuming the control of administration of Rohilkhand, was recognised as the Viceroy of the Emperor of Delhi. He possessed great military sagacity and knew the British military might and had a plan to meet them and beat them. This is proved by the following general order stated to have been issued by him: “Do not attempt to meet the regular columns of the infidels because they are superior to you in discipline and bundobust, and have big guns; but watch their movements, guard all the ghauts on the rivers, intercept their communications, stop their supplies, cut up their daks and posts, and keep constantly hanging about their camps; give them no rest.”

Nana Sahib, the son of Peshwa Baji Rao II, assumed authority when the armies revolted in Kanpur, and marched towards Delhi for “they expected great things from the restored sovereignty of the Mughal.” Before the revolt actually started Nana accompanied by his adviser Azimullah Khan had visited Delhi and Lucknow under conditions which raised the suspicions of the British officers. Nawab Ali Bahadur of Banda who was an active supporter of Nana Sahib, in a letter addressed to the Raja of Shahgarh, wrote: “The Emperor’s rule has, by divine mercy, been established.” Sitaram Bawa in his statement before Mr. H. B. Deveraux, Judicial Commissioner of Mysore, stated: “Nana Sahib and Man Singh communicated with the King of Delhi and it was agreed that the Padshahi should be for the Mussalmans and Diwangiri for the Hindoos.” These statements show that Nana was endeavouring to work in concert with the Emperor.

The chiefs at Patna, Allahabad, Lucknow, Kanpur, Farrukhabad, Bareilly, etc., looked up to Delhi for recognition of their titles, and Delhi made efforts to secure co-ordination of all the forces—the Indian sepoy regiments as well as the Indian princes and the aristocracy. The Emperor addressed instructions to the troops, and received petitions from many stations in northern India—Rajputana, Malwa, Central Provinces, N.W.P. & Oudh, and Bihar. Personal letters were also sent to the Rajas of Patiala and Gwalior, to the Rajas in Rajasthan, to Maharaja

---
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4 Ibid., Vol. I, p. 374
Gulab Singh of Kashmir, and to other lesser Hindu and Muslim chiefs. Some of them professed allegiance, but many made excuses or refrained from direct action, because, according to their calculations, the chances of success of the rebellion were small, while in the event of failure there was the certainty of ruin.

In Madras the rebel troops raised the shouts of 'long live the Padshah of Delhi.' In Hyderabad and in the Panjab the Indian regiments were disarmed but many of the disbanded troops marched towards Delhi. In Rajputana, Central India, and in Maharashtra, the assumption of Peshwa's title by Nana Sahib gladden the hearts of the chiefs.

Nevertheless, it has to be recognised that the planning, if any, was of an exiguous character. The fact is that no leader of requisite organising ability arose to guide the movement. The rebels were brave and capable of heroic sacrifices, but they were an undisciplined lot. There was no proper plan of campaign, no real understanding of the enormity of the task, no appreciation of the strategic needs, no regular supply of funds and ammunitions of war. Neither the organisation of government nor of its fighting arm measured up to the requirements of the situation. There were many rebellions, but no overall scheme of which they could become subsidiary parts united to achieve the common goal.

The great rebellion ran its wayward course for nearly two years, during which it was crowded with incidents both glorious and vile. If there were acts of sublime valour and supreme dedication, of reckless defiance, of heroic preference of death to surrender, equally were there acts of dastardly brutality, treachery, incompetence, cowardice and folly. Among the leading men and women there were some who showed firmness of purpose, loyalty to the cause, statesmanship in dealing with difficult situations, resource in foiling the plans of their adversaries, and skill in the conduct of warlike operations. At the same time, it has to be admitted that there were many who had joined the movement out of fear or calculation of personal advantage, and were ready to betray their comrades. The
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behaviour of such people brought nothing but shame and disgrace to the fair name of their country.

While it is best to cast a veil of oblivion over the latter, history should not forget the spirited men and women who gave their all in the cause of redeeming their country from the yoke of the alien rulers. The royal house of Delhi gave to the cause a representative not unworthy of its noble traditions. Though young in age—for he was in his twenties when the revolt broke out—Prince Firoz Shah was a born leader of men. He raised the standard of independence at Mandasor and kept the British troops engaged in Central India. Driven out he appeared in Uttar Pradesh and campaigned in Rohilkhand and Oudh. Defeated he joined his forces with those of Tatyap Tope in Rajputana and battling against heavy odds and evading his pursuers, he escaped into the jungle of Sironj. The revolt had by now fizzled out and the proclamation of Queen Victoria promising amnesty to those who submitted, had been widely advertised. Although in dire circumstances, he refused to barter self-respect, personal liberty, or the claims of his house for a life in durance vile and humiliating. He had been an intrepid fighter, and his faith in the destiny of his country remained unshaken till the end. He died in exile.²

In Rohilkhand Khan Bahadur Khan, the grandson of Hafiz Rahmat Khan, who had reached the age of seventy, assumed the office of Viceroy under the Emperor of Delhi and governed the Hindu and Muslim population with statesmanlike wisdom, so that all efforts of the enemy to create discord between the two communities were frustrated. He defended Rohilkhand with great skill, defeating four columns of British troops which had converged upon Bareilly before he was forced to retreat into the forests of the Tarai. He was captured by treachery, tried and hanged. He died the death of a patriot undaunted and defiant till the end.

In Oudh a brave woman, Hazrat Mahal, the consort of Wajid Ali Shah, played a memorable part. She exercised sup-

¹For atrocities committed by the British troops on Indian population, and by Indian sepoys on British people only a few of many references are mentioned here: Kaye, A History of the Sepoy War in India, Vol. II, pp. 294, 368, 373 f.n.; Russell, My Diary in India in the Year 1858-59, Vol. I, pp. 221-2, Vol. II, pp. 42-3; Edward Thompson, The Other Side of the Medal, p. 56; Foreign Political Consultation, No. 280, 30 December, 1859 (Suppl.).

²Foreign Deptt. Political Proceedings, B. 39-42, January 1879, Foreign Secret Consultation, No. 122, 30 April, 1858
reme power on behalf of her eleven year old son, Birjis Qadr. She became the soul of the insurrectionary movement here. Not only did she organise the machinery of administration and choose the right men to discharge the governmental functions, but she also directed the attack on the Residency. Forced to withdraw from Lucknow, she proceeded to Shahjahanpur to support Maulavi Ahmadullah Shah; but failed in the attempt to capture the city she took refuge in the forests of the Nepal frontier. She played for high stakes and lost. She suffered defeat but did not surrender honour. She refused the pension offered to her and chose to die unmourned in a foreign land.

Kunwar Singh, the lion of Bihar, was gifted by nature with high qualities of generalship. A true Rajput lord, open-hearted and chivalrous, he was the idol of his tenantry, and an inveterate foe of the British Government. The rising of the sepoys at Rohini on the 12th of June was like a bugle call to this veteran of eighty years. He overthrew British authority in Shahabad district and established his own government. When he could not retain control on account of the pressure of the enemy, he led his band of soldiers to the hills of Rohtas and threatened British communications. He marched through the jungles of Mirzapur to Rewa hoping to attach its Raja to his cause. Failing in this he proceeded to Banda, then to Kalpi to make with Nana Sahib a joint attack on Kanpur. The plan did not succeed and he went to Lucknow where he was received with great honour. Then he marched to Azamgarh, defeated the British forces and occupied the town. On the arrival of large British reinforcements he vacated the city and crossing the Ganga near Ghazipur re-entered Bihar. His last exploit was to inflict a severe defeat upon the enemy near his home town of Jagdishpur. The British losses were heavy. The British Commander and a number of other officers lost their lives and some of their guns were captured. But the wounded lion was not destined to survive long the triumph which cheered his last days. Worn out by his extraordinary exertions and weakened by the severe wounds received in the last battle, he died on 26th April, 1858. The fight was continued under the leadership of his brother Amar Singh till December 1859.

Tatya Tope whose proper name was Ramchandra Panduranga, was another indomitable fighter. He had inherited the tradition of the original guerilla tactics of the Marathas and he gave a superb demonstration of his talents in the unequal fight which
he carried on for two years against very heavy odds. With lightning swiftness he would move from place to place stoking the fires of rebellion, outmanoeuvering and routing his opponents, avoiding pitched battles, escaping after defeat and eluding his adversaries in pursuit. He kept large British forces engaged in chasing him across Central India into Rajputana, backwards and forwards. Defeat could not depress his ardour nor fear of ruin and destruction diminish his loyalty to the cause. His end was sad. He was betrayed by his own friend. A British court which had no jurisdiction over him as he was not a British subject, tried and condemned him. Brave man that he was, he put the noose round his neck with his own hands and with unfaltering steps ascended the gallows.

In Bundelkhand the leader of the rebels was Rani Lakshmi Bai of Jhansi whose memory has justly gathered around it a rich saga of romantic tales, and whose deeds of valour are celebrated in many a village bard’s songs. “The young, energetic, proud, unbeseeming, uncompromising Ranee” was forced into rebellion by the callousness, and uncalled for suspicions of the British authorities. Their unjustified hostility roused her ire and when the British army advanced and laid siege to Jhansi she offered a most heroic resistance. “The battle raged furiously from street to street, house to house and from room to room and the defenders fought like tigers.” Every man was hacked to pieces before the citadel passed into the hands of the attackers. But the Rani escaped and moved to Kalpi. When Kalpi was also lost, she and her companion—Tatya Tope—made the imaginative and bold resolve to capture Gwalior, an idea “as original and as daring as that which prompted the memorable seizure of Arcot.”1 Gwalior fell into their hands but could not be held long, for the British columns were concentrating upon it from different directions. The Rani rode out with the band of her troops to defend the road leading from Kotah ki Sarai to Gwalior. The account of the encounter and the heroic death of Lakshmi Bai may best be told in the words of Malleson, the British official historian of the Revolt. He writes: “Clad in the attire of a man and mounted on horseback, the Rani of Jhansi might have been seen animating her troops throughout the day. When inch by inch the British troops pressed through the defile, and when reaching its summit Smith ordered the Hussars to

1 Holmes, op. cit., p. 517
charge, the Rani of Jhansi boldly fronted the British horsemen. When her comrades failed her, her horse, in spite of her efforts, carried her along with the others. With them she might have escaped but that her horse, crossing the canal near the cantonment, stumbled and fell. A Hussar close upon her track, ignorant of her sex and her rank, cut her down. She fell to rise no more. That night her devoted followers, determined that the British should not boast that they had captured her even dead, burned the body." The story of Lakshmi Bai's valour will never be forgotten.

Besides there were many others whose names stand out for acts both of daring and defiance, for organisation of resistance, and instigation of revolt. Such were Bakht Khan who was the commander-in-chief of the forces in Delhi and who organised the council of administration; Ahmadullah Shah who was recognised even by his enemies as "a man of great abilities, of undaunted courage, and of stern determination, and by far the best soldier among the rebels." Maulavi Liaqat Ali of Allahabad, though a man of humble origin, ruled the city in the name of the King of Delhi.

The two central figures were Emperor Bahadur Shah and Nana Sahib. They were heirs of the two famous ruling houses in Indian history. The ancestors of Bahadur Shah had ruled an empire those renown had reverberated throughout the world for two centuries. Nana Sahib belonged to the line of the Peshwas who had carried the flag of the Maratha Raj from Kaveri to Attock. Unfortunately, around these names much controversy has raged.

Bahadur Shah and Nana Sahib were not cast in a heroic mould, and circumstances rather than choice thrust them into an unsought-for role.

Bahadur Shah was over eighty years of age when he was obliged to assume the leadership of the movement. He had throughout his life been practically a recluse in his palace in the Red Fort of Delhi where since his succession to the throne he had held his levees. He was dependent upon the British who gave him an annual pension to defray his personal expenses. He had little experience of state affairs, for he was a King without a kingdom. But he was not without talent. He was a

1 Kaye and Malleson, op. cit., Vol. V, pp. 154-5
poet of considerable merit in both Hindi and Urdu and a patron of poets and literary men.

It is amazing that at his age and with his background he should have accepted a position whose cares and responsibilities even much younger men would have found difficult to discharge. But it stands to his credit that once he had launched upon the dangerous career, he never looked back. What is even more astonishing is that he exerted himself to the uttermost in holding together his people belonging to different faiths, in maintaining order in the besieged city of Delhi, in sustaining the morale of his subjects, and in encouraging his forces to continue the fight till the bitter end. But the odds were overwhelmingly against him and his end was sad. His sons were shot in his presence and he spent his last lonely years with the Queen in exile in Burma, far from home.

Nana Sahib was the adopted son of the last Peshwa Baji Rao II, who, after becoming a tributary of the British, found his chains galling. Nana lived as an independent nobleman given to social pursuits, amusements and entertainments. When confronted with the dilemma presented by the uprising, he chose reluctantly to throw in his lot with the insurgents. But although recognised as their political head, the real authority lay in other hands. Driven by defeats to the Nepal border he refused to surrender and defiantly declared: "There will be war between me and you as long as I have life, whether I be killed or imprisoned or hanged. And whatever I do will be done with the sword only." Thereafter he disappeared for ever in the jungles of Nepal.

The revolt failed. The leaders paid with their lives; their liberty and their property were forfeited as the penalty of failure. Biased historians have, unfortunately, charged them with atrocious and inhuman deeds. None of them seems either to have

---

1 (A) This is substantiated, particularly as regards Nana Sahib's alleged share in the atrocities at Kanpur by the personal narrative of Mrs. Hortestet an English lady—her son-in-law, a high British army officer happened to be one of the victims at Sati-Chaura Ghat, Cawnpore. She states that she was rescued with 103 others from destruction at the hands of the rebels by Nana Sahib. She says: "It was the first time that I saw this person. Anyone who says anything regarding him does so as he likes. But in this massacre which occurred I do not consider him at all guilty. He appeared to me a young man of thirty, open-faced, simple-hearted, and good-natured, and it is undoubtedly that if he had been obeyed no killing and looting would have taken place."

1 Sarguzashti Mrs. Hortestet, Khanam Inglish dar Balau Hindustan (manuscript in Persian—translation from original in Persian in
designed or ordered the massacres which sully the chronicles of this rising, their main object being the overthrow of foreign domination. Most of the shameful acts were perpetrated either by sepoys who were maddened by religious fanaticism and stricken by terror, or by ruffians and criminals who had been let out of jails and who lusted for blood and loot. At the same time, Indians who behaved in a praiseworthy and humane manner were not wanting.  

It is painful to refer to atrocities—no less inhuman—committed by the military officers commanding the Army of the Company. The incredible levity with which large-scale executions—in many cases without even a pretence of trial—were ordered; punishments inflicted with humiliation and degradation of the victims and the complete disregard of ordinary human feelings; villages destroyed wholesale; constitute a woe-ful story of moral bankruptcy and human insensibility, the less excusable because their agents were not illiterate, disorganised and indisciplined riff-raff, but trained officers of a well-organised government, who took pride in the superiority of their religion and civilisation.

The failure of the Revolt was almost a foregone conclusion. It was actuated by pure negations. It was not inspired by any positive creative idea; it did not entertain either the vision of a higher social order or of a higher political system. It was a transient intoxication and not a settled permanent transformation of the will of the people. As it was an almost spontaneous episodic outburst, there was no stable well-ordered organisation behind the movement as a whole. It lacked plan, programme and funds. The only thing which united the rebels was the


(B) Similarly, the innocence of the Rani of Jhansi was established on 20th August 1889, by Martin, an Englishman, when he wrote to her adopted son, Damodar Rao: “Your mother was unjustly and cruelly dealt with—and no one knows her true case as I do. (She) took no part whatever in the massacre of the European residents of Jhansi in June, 1857…”. Parasnis, Jhansi Sastanthchy Maharaní Lakshmi Bái, p. 125; Sen, op. cit., pp. 279-280.

1 J. W. Bramly, Magistrate of Alligarr, wrote on the 17th of November, 1858: “The escapes of some of the residents . . . were truly marvellous; and where fiendish barbarism was rampant, some individual natives behaved nobly.” (Narrative of Events—1857-58, Vol. I, p. 254)
desire to eliminate foreign rule. But the rebels were so steeped in the medieval ways of feeling and thinking that the whole affair assumed in their minds a purely personal aspect. Elimination of foreign rule was equated with the physical destruction of the foreign personnel, independence identified with the restoration of the personal rule of those deprived of their rights and privileges by the foreigners. There was no understanding of the character of the enemy's political organisation, no realisation that the extermination of the individuals was not tantamount to the break-up of the system. It was dimly perceived that Hindu-Muslim co-operation was necessary, but it was not realised that the nation was an organic unity, that no mere temporary co-operation of independent units was enough, and that a fusion of communities into a higher political organism alone could guarantee success against a modern power.

The cause for which they fought cannot be regarded unjust, but its ethos was inadequate. There was little discipline among the rebels, and their loyalties were fragile. Intellectually, too, they were no match for their adversary, whose military technique was based upon science, and whose processes of reasoning and action were more in accord with logical and rational principles. In strategy and tactics the British forces were far superior to the Indian, and the British commanders were well-trained men and many of them possessed extensive experience of war. These forces worked under the orders of a highly organised Government in India which was backed by ample resources in men and money of the British people and the British Government. So long as the central organs of the Company's administration continued to function, the loss of peripheral territories could not be fatal, and so long as reinforcements could be supplied from across the seas, the citadel of British rule in India was safe from the storms that blew all round. The Revolt of 1857 was the last attempt of an effete order to recover its departed glory. With its demise the ground was cleared for the new forces to operate and for a new society to spring into existence.
CHAPTER THREE

THE INDIAN MIDDLE CLASS

I. CHANGING SOCIAL PATTERN

The early European traders in India were the representatives of a new civilisation. The aims and methods of their economic enterprise, the organisation of their commercial undertakings and their practices were different from those of the Indians and quite unfamiliar. They came from European countries whose social and political background was different. In morals and customs, religion and culture, intellectual attitudes and outlook, they offered a wide contrast to things Indian. The advent of European merchants and missionaries and their contact with Indians started a process of social change which gathered momentum as years passed and as the dimensions of trade and intercourse between the West and the East increased. A new phase of this process began with the conquest of Bengal by the British in the middle of the 18th century. The impact of the West on Indian politics, social life, economy and culture became deeper and more pervasive.

While the change had begun before the battle of Plassey, its pace, at first, was slow. From 1757 to 1833, the direction of change became clearly marked and its pace accelerated. The main features of the new society took shape and new ideas and emotions began to ferment in the minds of the people. During this period, the different regions of India began to draw closer together and the old hierarchy of social groups began to disintegrate. The caste system tended to relax, and the traditional village economy lost its time-honoured characteristics of self-sufficiency and isolation.

Bengal was the first province to experience the change. Later, other parts of India, as they came under British rule, underwent similar transformation, until, at last, the whole country became united under one political order. This unity provided one of the necessary conditions for the growth of national consciousness. Another was the social transformation brought about by British rule. Out of the old classes into which Indian society was divided new groups emerged, which although differing in wealth, education, profession and occupation, possessed some common characteristics constituting them into
one social class. This class developed new aspirations and ambitions, and new conceptions of individual, social and political conduct. This new class is designated the middle class, although it differed in its origin, structure and philosophy from the middle class or the bourgeoisie of the West, as also from the intermediate class of medieval India.

In one respect, however, the Indian middle class bore a close resemblance to its counterpart in the West. The European middle class was responsible for the termination of the regime of the feudal lords, the overthrow of the absolute despotism of kings and the authority of the church. It developed the principles of individual liberty, free competition, and capitalist enterprise. In India the emergent middle class failed to play the role of the bourgeoisie of Europe in the economic field, but in the political field the role of the two classes was comparable. The credit for spreading national consciousness among the masses of the people, organising the national liberation movement and ultimately emancipating the country from foreign rule must go to this class.

II. ORIGIN OF THE INDIAN MIDDLE CLASS

The growth of the modern middle class in India was the result of a twofold process—the destruction of the old ruling class on the one hand, and the rise of the new groups of landholders, businessmen and intellectuals on the other. The destruction of the old upper class was the direct result of the British conquest; the formation of the business class, a by-product of European business enterprise; and the growth of the landholding and intellectual groups was due to the establishment of British administration and introduction of Western education.

The modern Indian middle class owed its birth to European enterprise in India. Appropriately, it made its appearance first in the newly founded cities of Madras, Bombay and Calcutta, and in the towns which became the subsidiary centres of British commercial activity and administration. The Presidency towns were in every way new. They were not merely centres of commerce and industry. They possessed municipal organisations on the English model which regulated the activity of their citizens and provided for their essential needs. They were western in their public and economic activities. Their Indian inhabitants were influenced by these activities in their thought
and outlook, occupation and education. They came to combine in their ways and manners a duality of culture, economy, and attitude. An Indian middle class of the urban areas became differentiated from the rural masses in standards of belief and conduct.

Among the cities which grew under the fostering care of the East India Company, the role of Calcutta was the most important. Calcutta became the home of merchants, bankers, technicians; the centre of industry and the principal market for inland and overseas trade. From Calcutta spread among the people of Bengal the ideas, methods and the customs and institutions of Europe.

Calcutta offered shelter to the people of the surrounding areas at a time when Bengal was disturbed by political dissensions, wars of succession, and the Maratha invasions. The British settlement attracted seekers of employment, and some of the leading Indian residents induced their fellow-countrymen to come here. Lakshmikant Majumdar and then Maharajah Naba Krishna Deb invited a number of Brahmans to settle here. Traders came from Satgaon, Halishahr and Bator, and agents, brokers and middlemen as well as artisans, traders, technicians and labourers thronged to the city in search of work and profit. Among merchants, the Seths and Baisakhs were the early arrivals from Bator. They opened a market for cloth at Sutanuti.

As a result, Calcutta grew fast. At the time the Company purchased its rent rights, the population was less than ten thousand; by 1756, it was about a lakh and a quarter. In the beginning, there were no pucca houses; in 1756 there were about five hundred distributed among many streets and lanes. The ground rent had risen from Rs. 300 a year to over Rs. 16,000 and the total revenue from Rs. 900 to nearly Rs. 50,000.2

European Trade and Rise of the Middle Class

The growth of the Indian middle class in Bengal was closely related to the commercial and political activities of the English
in Calcutta. Its character was moulded by the peculiar functions it was called upon to perform. Its development and structure depended upon the changes in the aims and methods of the Company's activities. In order to understand the evolution of the class, it is necessary to describe briefly, the history of the Company, and the main stages through which its business progressed.

The first stage of the activity of the Company was purely commercial and it ended with the battle of Plassey in 1757. On the conquest of Bengal the mercantile concern rapidly changed into an administrative body. The transition occupied the period of nearly thirty years from the battle of Plassey to the recall of Warren Hastings in 1785. The third period saw the erection of the permanent structure of British administration in India and ended with the closing of the commercial activities of the Company in 1833. The last stage came to an end in 1858, as a result of the uprising of 1857.

During the early period when the Company was engaged in building up its trade, it was obliged to seek the assistance and co-operation of Indians, and consequent upon the expansion of business more and more Indians were brought into the orbit of its influence. They served in various capacities as contractors and dadni merchants, agents or banians, money-changers or shroffs, auxiliaries, servants, etc. Their association with the British merchants was necessitated in this stage of commercial relations, because the Company adopted the "putting out" system for procuring Indian goods.

The system was not unknown in India. But the East India Company had to modify the procedure to suit Indian conditions. The Company had to work through intermediaries, viz., the dadni merchants, to contact the producers. As the English merchants were foreigners and unfamiliar with Indian conditions of manufacture and did not know the language of the people, the employment of Indians as intermediaries was inevitable. A dadni merchant received 10 to 70 per cent of the value of the goods to be supplied in advance from the Company or the individual purchaser (the servant of the Company who was allowed to augment his exiguous emoluments with the profits of private trade or the free merchant adventurer). He, in turn, advanced earnest money to weavers and other producers on entering into contract with them for the supply of the products. The goods were collected at depots (aurangs) and stored
in godowns (kothas) where they were scrutinised for quality and quantity and then accepted or rejected. The approved goods were then transferred to the warehouse in Calcutta for export.

The dadri merchants employed dallals or agents, who in turn might employ sub-agents. They were required to visit the villages of weavers and producers, to supervise the collections, to inspect the supplies and to settle the prices. The Indian merchants and their agents had thus ample opportunities of making money. In the first place, they had their legitimate commission from 2 to 4 per cent on the value of the goods. In addition they exploited their position to make profits from either side. On the one hand, the artisans were at their mercy on account of their indigence and lack of bargaining power; on the other, the European merchants played into their hands because of their ignorance and mutual rivalry. In their ruthless methods, shrewd dealings and love of profit, the dadri merchants turned out to be Indian counterparts of the early merchant-adventurers in the West. They came to constitute the core of the rising middle class in this country. They had a substantial amount of business even before the Company acquired political power. In 1751-52, for instance, contracts worth Rs. 1,058,756 were given and goods worth Rs. 352,054 were purchased for ready money. Both kinds of transactions were largely put through dadri merchants.

Another source of profit to the middlemen in India was the foreign trade which grew steadily. The imports into India increased from an average of £253,000 per annum in 1667-74 to £447,710 per annum in 1674-81.¹ The decennial value of these imports rose steeply after 1708. Taking 1707—1717 as the base =100, the index of the value of imports rose to 150 in the next decade, 153 in the third, 185 in the fourth and 219 in the fifth decade.

During the same period—1707 to 1757—the value of exports from India was doubled, the index rising from 100 in 1708 to 193 in 1757.

All this meant a great increase in the demand on the part of the Company and other European merchants, for the services of Indian merchants. This demand could not all be met by the existing business houses. Many new families, some of whom had no previous experience in business or past tradition, entered

¹ Balkrishna, Commercial Relations between India and England, 1601-1757, p. 296
the field to take advantage of the opportunities thrown open by the opening of European commerce. These merchants came to constitute the first and, for the time being, the preponderant element in the new middle class.

A second group which entered into the composition of the class was that of money-changers and cashiers or Sarrafs (shroffs). They constituted an indispensable wing of the indigenous business community. The foreign as well as Indian merchants who engaged in trade were obliged to deal in many currencies. The foreigners had to purchase Indian goods largely in exchange for species or bullion imported from their home country. Thus much silver and some gold and many types of coins came into the Indian market, and some agency was necessary to evaluate them and exchange them with the standard currency. Besides, the internal monetary situation in India was, for the trader, one of great confusion, there being no less than 900 types of coins circulating in the country. Testing, weighing and assaying of the coins were technical processes which were the job of the money-changers. How complicated the business was appears from the list of the coins then in use. For instance, among Indian coins there were Bengal sicca rupees, Madras Arcot rupees, Bombay rupees—all of different specifications and weights—, Bengal gold mohur, Madras star and three-Swami pagodas, and coins of gold and silver minted in the reigns of different emperors and Sonaut rupees. Then there were European currencies,—the Dutch rix dollar, the Spanish dollar, the German crown, the Dutch florin, the French livre, the Portuguese milreis, etc. Evidently, the scope for the business of money-changing and gain was wide. But the sarraf (shroff) made it still wider by adding to it the business of banking and lending. This group like the merchant class also benefited from the increase in trade. The demand for their service increased greatly, till the time the European agency houses came to be established, and many members of this group became masters of large fortunes.

Another constituent of the class was a group of businessmen who obtained monopolies of inland trade in some commodities from the Company. Among them were dealers in furs, vermillion, fire-works, etc., and sellers of tobacco, bhang, old iron, dammer, oakum, etc. Some were holders of licenses for distilling arrack and others engaged in ship caulking and chest-mending.
The technicians came next. There was a section of Indians who took part in the improved productive processes introduced by the Company. In 1678, the Company brought dyers to improve the colour of raw silk; with them came also throwsters and weavers. Later, improvements were introduced in the cotton, indigo and sugar industries and in the cultivation and manufacturing processes. The repairing of ships, the survey and charting of the Hugli river, and other activities created opportunities for learning and exercising new techniques. Some Indians took charge of technical jobs as engineers, overseers, supervisors, managers, inspectors, deputies and assistants.

Quite a number of Indians acted as interpreters. Many Indians functioned as brokers and *banians* doing duty as middlemen of the individual European officer and householder.

Lastly, there was the section of those who performed different administrative duties, such as rent-collection, farming of taxes and of land revenue, for the gross revenue of Calcutta for 1757 already exceeded a lac of rupees. Among those closely associated with the affairs of the Company were *vakils*, who acted as the Company’s representatives to negotiate with the officers of the Indian Governments. There were also *diwans*; advisers in the *Zamindari Kutchery* (mixed court); the “black zamindar,” or the Indian Assistant of the English Collector.

The middle class which was coming into prominence during the first half of the eighteenth century thus comprised a number of groups performing various functions in connection with commerce and administration of the European Companies, of which the English East India Company had become the most important.

It is obviously difficult to estimate the numerical strength of the social groups that formed the emerging middle class. But traders, merchants, and commercial agents of the European traders appear to have formed the most numerous element of this class. The statistics of trade furnish a rough basis for estimating the growth of the class. They do not give the number of persons actually working with and for the Company, but indicate the trends of development. It seems legitimate to infer from them that with the increase of export and import of goods and related business and administrative activities, there was a parallel increase in the number of Indians engaged in the transactions,
The figures for the Indian and Eastern goods sold in England show that, from the year 1708 to 1757, their export had been doubled. Among the capital goods, the textiles formed the most important item. Other commodities were tea, coffee, Bengal raw silk, saltpetre, pepper, indigo, sugar, jute, etc.

England paid for these exports by sending to India specie and merchandise, ordinarily in the proportion of three to one. For instance, in the decade 1708—17, merchandise worth £966,253 and specie worth £3,360,122 were imported into India. In the decade 1748—57, the figure had risen to £2,531,245 and to £5,644,245. The total increase of merchandise during the first half of the 18th century (from 1708 to 1757) was 160 per cent and of specie about 68 per cent.

The trade of the East India Company was supplemented by the trade of the private English merchants, adventurers and interlopers, and by the trade of the other European nations—the Portuguese, the Dutch, the French and the Danes. Besides, Asian peoples like the Afghans, Central Asians, Iranians, Arabs and Armenians, swelled its volume.

The overseas trade gave a stimulus to internal trade and industry. As a result, a considerable coastal trade sprang up, and the overland trade of Bengal which was carried by road and river was further extended. Thus many streams of commerce combined together and the effect was to add to the strength of the mercantile class.

This commercial development was not only responsible for the expansion of the Indian middle class, but, what is more, the contact of the members of this class with western institutions and methods led to the emergence of new attitudes of mind. In business, the joint-stock form of organisation in which the shareholders are united by purely secular ties and not held together by communal, caste or family relationship, was an altogether new experience. The western accounting and business methods, and improved techniques of production were also impressive.

In the field of administration as in that of commerce and industry, Calcutta had become the main centre of British enterprise. It possessed an institutional organisation of the western type. The British settlement was administered by a President and Council with a paraphernalia of committees and sub-committees. In 1726, the Corporation of Calcutta was established consisting of a mayor and nine aldermen. A Mayor’s Court was set up for various administrative and judicial functions.
Boards were appointed to discharge certain duties. The Zamin-
dar’s Kutchery decided cases of Indian inhabitants, and the
Zamindar (the English Collector) performed administrative,
fiscal and judicial functions with the assistance of Indian
subordinates.

These developments influenced the minds of the Indians who
came immediately in touch with the British, whether in the
domain of commerce or of administration. It was natural that
the new class should first emerge in Calcutta. But, as trade
and administration spread out from Calcutta into the interior,
groups with similar occupations and similar attitudes appeared
and began to form a distinct social and economic class in the
country.

Growth of Calcutta

The growth of Calcutta itself gives an indication of their
position. At the time Siraj-ud-Dowlah captured the city (1756),
Calcutta was a large town with inhabitants estimated at a
hundred and twenty-five thousand. The old village of thatched
huts had developed into a maze of streets, lanes and by-lanes,
adorned with well-built brick houses and well-laid-out gardens.
Many of them belonged to the rich members of the new class.

III. Caste Composition and Social Attitude of the New Class

From the social point of view, the most notable change which
distinguished the new class from its traditional counterpart was
the beginning of separation of the economic from the purely
social functions of the caste. The new middle class was no
longer confined to the old Vaishya caste and the old business
community. Members of all sorts of castes were entering upon
trade and service. Among the merchants, bankers, agents and
officials were found Brahmans—the highest caste—and also
many Kayasthas and Vaidyas; from the lower castes
there were Subarnabaniks, Sadgops and others, even a washer-
man.\(^1\) A new social mobility thus shook the caste-ridden
organisation of Indian society, at least in the urban areas,
although the hard crust of commensality and connubium resisted
the breach for a long time.

\(^1\) It is interesting to note, however, that this development was not
welcomed by those who adhered to the old ways. The Seths of Mur-
shidabad, who were Jains, refused to take men of other castes into
partnership.
In the provision of amenities, in the ways of living, noticeable changes began to occur. Indians living in the cities in close proximity with the Europeans began to favour residences and garden-houses of European style. Their domestic architecture, interior furnishings and household articles showed western influence. The increasing use of cutlery ware, pistols, spectacles, tables and chairs, tea-pots, plates, etc., at the time, testifies to the acquisition of new tastes.

The Indian *nouveau riche* imitated the example of the foreigners whom they assisted and served. So the Indian manners of the old merchant class disappeared, and instead of the humble, fearful merchant of the middle ages, who lived under constant dread of the king and his officials and was therefore obliged to hide his substance, his successor made an ostentatious display of his wealth. He rode in palanquins, maintained phaetons, buggys, and was waited upon by numerous lackeys. He took delight in entertaining—especially European guests—in his mansion and in parading his importance before them. He had few scruples in business. For him, the end—amassing of wealth—justified all means. He had shaken off the traditional restraints on his occupation and acquired the agility to transfer his activity to any business which promised large and speedy profit.

Reared and fostered thus in a new environment, these men became naturally jealous of their new status. They were partial to the conditions which helped them to enrich themselves, to enjoy their wealth and to feel their importance. They gradually lost their attachment to the old order under which they had lived and which, in contrast with the new system, appeared archaic and outmoded. As a result, when the Company became embroiled in hostilities with the Mughal Government, they gave their support to the foreign Company whose peaceful and well-regulated administration they preferred to the personal rule of the Mughal autocrats. Patriotistic sentiment was still a long way off and considerations of immediate private gain ruled their minds.

British impact upon Bengal in those early days exhibited tendencies which characterised British rule throughout its history,—the encouragement and advancement of the few and the neglect of the many. While it promoted revolutionary social and intellectual trends among the middle class and the intellectuals, it took little care of the economic and political
development of the country as a whole, which alone could uplift the masses and sustain a smooth and full transition from medieval to modern life.

IV. Changes in Madras and Bombay

The changes which were taking place in Bengal were occurring simultaneously in Madras and Bombay also, although on a somewhat lesser scale.

In spite of the fact that the settlement of Madras preceded Calcutta by half a century and that its situation on the open sea-coast and on the cross-roads from the east to the west and from the south to the north, offered many advantages, the city failed to rival Calcutta as a centre of Western influence.

One of the reasons was that the political situation in this region was not so favourable. Not till the end of the century were the British able to impose their rule here. Unlike Bengal, most of the hinterland of Madras remained under the control of the Indian rulers till almost the end of the 18th century. By then, the aims and methods of the East India Company had been greatly modified. Madras, therefore, escaped the rank anarchy and confusion of the unregulated imperialism which prevailed in Bengal during the years immediately following the acquisition of the Diwani. In the second place, the social milieu in which they worked was also not altogether the same as they had found in Bengal. No doubt, Madras was as new a town as Calcutta, but while Calcutta offered opportunities to non-commercial castes to enter trade, the people who flocked to Madras were more rigidly bound by tradition and less susceptible to social change. The feuds between the right-hand and the left-hand castes, and the resentment felt at the introduction of the Brahmans into the East India Company’s business transactions, show a much greater social inflexibility than that prevalent in the north.

In spite, however, of the differing circumstances and political conditions, the quality and direction of change that Madras went through was similar to that of Bengal. The rivalry of factories established by European merchants—Portuguese, Dutch, Dane, French and the British—and the development of European commerce led to similar social results that Bengal had experienced.

During the century following the building of Fort St. George
in Madras, the British were engaged in expanding commerce. They used the same business methods as in Bengal. In matters of trade and administration of acquired lands, their requirements were similar. They were obliged to seek the help of Indians both in carrying on trade and in administering the towns and the villages. Out of these needs grew a dependent class of Indians of substance and position, similar to the middle class of Bengal.

The Company employed dadni merchants to purchase goods for export from India and to sell goods imported from England. In Madras they instituted the office of the Chief Merchant who, together with other merchants and agents, undertook to supply their investment and to dispose of the specie and merchandise received from England. They received advances from the Company and paid them to weavers, dyers and printers who were commissioned to provide the goods. A number of other merchants were associated with them, for besides the trade of the East India Company as such, there was very considerable private trade of the servants of the Company and of many interlopers and adventurers, who came to Madras. The ordinary Indian merchant who acted as an agent for the trade of the Company and its servants was known as Dubash—one who possessed knowledge of two languages. From among the merchants, some were selected as farmers who were given leases of betel and tobacco monopoly, or who collected rents of land belonging to the Company, or who held licenses, for example, for making arrack.\footnote{When in 1734 the Company acquired and settled Chintadri Pettah with weavers, Chintambi Mudaliar and Venula Narayan Chetty advanced funds to help the settlers. Similar instances of Indian families rising in wealth as a result of co-operation with the Europeans in trade and industry are numerous all over the country.}

The Dubashes played a prominent part in the early history of Madras. Originally starting as interpreters between the Europeans and the Indians they gradually began to participate in business, and became brokers. As commercial middlemen, they acquired a position of influence and esteem.

A considerable number of Indians served the Company in official posts. There were three principal officers of the town: (1) the headman or Adhikari who maintained order, collected revenue, acted as a magistrate and tried cases at the Choultry; (2) the accountant or Kanakakkappillai who assisted the headman; and (3) the chief watchman, Pedda Nayak, who watched and
controlled the streets and festivals, arrested thieves and brought them to trial.

Some Indians were employed as envoys or Vakils at the courts of princes and chiefs. Some others found employment or place in the administration and the institutions set up by the British. When a court of judicature was established in 1689, the Governor became the Judge Advocate and he was assisted by four Judges, one of whom was an Indian. Similarly, in the Corporation of Madras there were three Hindu aldermen. Another important service was filled when Indian sepoys were enrolled and were placed under the command of Indian officers.

Thus, the Madras Presidency offered opportunities for Indians belonging to traditionally mercantile classes and others to accumulate wealth and exercise influence. Although conditions were different from Bengal, the increase of British trade in the south-eastern region stimulated the growth of the middle class. A comparison of the figures of trade of Bengal and of Madras shows that before the establishment of British rule in India, Madras was even more important than Bengal:¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Bengal</th>
<th>Madras</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1708-1717</td>
<td>£933,139</td>
<td>£1,936,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1718-1727</td>
<td>£1,558,692</td>
<td>£1,863,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1728-1737</td>
<td>£1,574,794</td>
<td>£2,150,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1738-1747</td>
<td>£2,346,386</td>
<td>£2,495,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1748-1757</td>
<td>£2,662,454</td>
<td>£3,123,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>£9,074,465</strong></td>
<td><strong>£11,569,901</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Economic Development and the New Class in Bombay**

The island of Bombay which the Portuguese had received from Bahadur Shah of Gujarat in 1534 was ceded to the British in 1661 and transferred to the Company in 1668, "to be held in free and common socage," at a farm rent of £10 payable on the 30th September each year.

The town made rapid progress under the British. From a small settlement it grew into a cosmopolitan port city. From about ten thousand in 1668, the population rose to sixty thousand in 1675 and a hundred and fifty thousand in 1701. Even more important than the quantitative increase was the qualitative change. At the time of its transfer the population of the island consisted of the original inhabitants (Kolis and Bhandaris).

¹Balkrishna, *op. cit.*, p. 317. The conditions changed after 1757. The British trade increased more rapidly in Bengal than in Madras so that influences that led to the development of the middle class were much stronger in Bengal than in the South.
some pure Portuguese, a number of Portuguese half-castes known as Topazes, Indian Christians (mainly converts from lower classes), Kunbis, Dheds, Mahars, and the immigrant Hindus, Muslims and one or two Parsis.

As a result of the encouragement to trade given by the English, a new class of weavers, artisans and merchants came to settle in the island in considerable numbers. The immigrants were not all humble folk. Bania merchants came from Gujarat, and Banjaras, goldsmiths, ironsmiths and artisans migrated from the neighbouring territories. Of special importance was the influx of the Parsis.

Thus, to the inhabitants, possibly pre-Dravidian, were added people not only from the immediate hinterland, but also from northern and southern coastal territories, people of the Dravidian stock as well as Marathas and Gujaratis. Besides these, there were Abyssinians, Arabs and Madagascar slaves. Hindu, Muslim, Parsi and Christian religions were all well represented. Among the commercial castes of India, Gujarati, Bhatia and Parsi merchants played a great role in the social and economic development of the city.¹

Milburn's list of the Indian mercantile community in Bombay mentions 16 Parsi, 15 Hindu, 4 Musalmans, merchants, two Parsi Ch'in agents and six Parsi shipbuilders in the beginning of the nineteenth century. Among the shipowners he gives the names of nine Parsis and one Muslim.

In the eighteenth century, the trade of Bombay rapidly increased. Between the decades 1708-17 and 1748-57, the quantity of goods imported was doubled in worth from nearly one million to two million pounds sterling. Bombay became the home of a flourishing mercantile class. Its members belonged to different castes and religions, but their economic interests were common.

The rise of Bombay, however, followed a pattern different from that of Madras and Calcutta. The latter two were not only ports of internal and external trade, but also large centres of indigenous industry. The products of the region were collected in their warehouses. Bombay, on the other hand, was just a port of transit, which received goods and sent them on their onward journey. It did not manufacture any commodities.

¹ A list of communities is given in a statement of the Bombay Custom Master in 1759. It includes Brahmans—Rigvedi, Gujarati, Yajurvedi and Shenvis; Prabhus, Banians; craftsmen—goldsmiths, coppersmiths, ironsmiths, etc.; Panch Kalshis; Bandaris; Christians, Parsis and Muslims; besides many lower castes.
Then, Madras and Calcutta had a more or less homogeneous population, while in Bombay, a large portion of the population consisted of immigrants and, therefore, represented various races and castes.

*Urbanisation and Change in Traditional Society*

The growth of the three cities, viz., Calcutta, Madras and Bombay, proceeded on similar lines. Besides their internal development, a process of linking up of the three Presidencies was also started. As coastal and overseas trade expanded, Indian businessmen became increasingly involved in it. Bengali, Madrasi, Parse and Gujarati merchants entered into contact with one another, and a consciousness of identity of interests began to emerge.

The development of these centres of commerce was not merely a formal change; it was symptomatic of the new forces which had come into play as a result of the contact of the East with the West. The new cities were not only active centres of the growing commerce between Europe and India, but also examples of a new mode of trade, a new socio-economic organisation and new ways of living and modes of business. Indian merchants co-operated with foreign businessmen and enriched themselves through the new opportunities that were thus thrown up. On the other hand, those who failed to change with the times and stuck to their old ways, were gradually eliminated and their place was taken by new entrants to trade, industry, the professions and administration of the country. In the traditional Hindu society, the profession and occupation of a person had mostly been determined by the caste in which he was born; in the new conditions, caste bonds began to loosen themselves so far as the choice of career was concerned. Many people of non-trading castes entered the field of commerce.

V. DECLINE OF THE OLD ARISTOCRACY AND COMMERCIAL CLASSES

The next phase in the evolution of the middle class was of crucial importance. It began with the battle of Plassey in 1757. The British conquest strengthened the new social trends and caused a revolution not only in the Indian economy but also in the Indian polity.

The Mughal government of Bengal was overthrown and deprived of all authority and patronage. The ruling classes
which depended on state employment lost their privileges and
status and Indian society was thus shorn of its elite.

The political functions of the landholders were taken away
from them. They were excluded from judicial, political and
administrative responsibilities. All centres of political power
were annihilated and the Indian polity was torn up from its
roots.

The disappearance of the politically oriented upper layer of
society affected the three-class structure of Indian society. The
Indian political upper class was replaced by the foreigner—the
British ruling oligarchy. The old commercial class was partly
merged in the new commercial class which lived on India's trade
with Europe and formed the nucleus of the new middle class.
The peasantry and the artisans were depressed and, with the
unskilled worker, continued to belong to the lowest class—the
labouring poor. The Indian population, thus, came to consist of
two classes—the small middle class composed of the various
elements described above, and the labouring masses who formed
the great bulk of the population. The first gradually became a
substantial class made up of men of competence; the second, the
rural and urban working class suffered increasing impoverish-
ment. The middle class benefited, but the condition of the
people as a whole deteriorated. These contrary developments
affected the social ways and customs and moral and mental
attitudes.

The effect of the conquest on agriculture was that while some
of the external forms of land tenure were maintained, the new
system completely changed the character and spirit of the old
institutions. The old landed aristocracy of zamindars, jagirdars,
taluqdar and others was in essence an organisation based on
service, although it is true that in the eighteenth century its
nature was obscured. The new landlordism was a purely
business concern in which the profit motive rather than service
governed the agrarian relations. The zamindar became a
rentier, pure and simple.

The revolution in the domains of trade and industry was that
all large-scale business, especially that concerned with foreign
trade, tended to pass into the hands of the foreigners. Independent
Indian merchants, bankers and financiers were eliminated. But
a large group of medium-sized businessmen was formed, con-
sisting of agents, sub-contractors and auxiliaries, besides
traders. The decline of the old domestic industry and the
competition of the foreigner affected the fortunes of the upper layer of the Indian trading community.

The Vicissitudes of the Trading Class

There were three divisions of the trade of Bengal—inland, coastal and overseas or European and Far-Eastern. Up to 1757, the inland trade was almost exclusively in the hands of the Indian dealers. Indian merchants bought the goods imported overland or from across the seas and sold them in Bengal. They also exported Bengal goods to different parts of India and countries beyond. They helped the European companies and merchants in the procurement of Indian commodities for export.

The coastal and overseas trade of India had, by this time, largely fallen under the control of the foreigners, who had established their command on the high seas and driven out the Arab and other sea-traders from the Indian waters. They had also established their factories in most of the sea-ports on the western and eastern coasts of India. But, up to 1757, the Europeans were confined to the two divisions of trade—coastal and overseas. They had obtained from the Indian rulers important concessions in the matter of custom duties. For instance, the certificates (dastaks) of the Company protected their goods from inspection by Indian custom officers and from payment of duties. The trade had thus become lucrative and expanded every year.

After 1757, suddenly the position of the English East India Company was transformed. It became the master of the country with which it had so far only traded.

The wine of victory was heady and the prospect of easy and rapid wealth extremely attractive. So the Company’s servants, in defiance of all treaties and agreements, contemptuously snapped their fingers in the face of the Nawab and callously disregarding the interests of the people, forced themselves into the inland trade.

Clive wrote to the Court of Directors in September 1765: "It is no wonder that the lust of riches should readily embrace the preferred means of its gratification; or that the instruments of your power should avail themselves of their authority, and proceed even to extortion, in those cases where simple corruption could not keep pace with their Rapacity." Said Verelst,

1 Letter of Clive to the Court, 13 September, 1765. Third Report Select Committee, H. C., 1772-3, Appendix 73, p. 391a
"An unbounded thirst after riches seems to have possessed the whole body of our servants to that degree, that they have lost all sight of justice to the country government and of their duty to the country."

The representations of Mir Jafar and the protests of Mir Qasim were in vain. Mir Qasim complained: "In every pargana, every village and every factory they (the agents of the British) buy and sell salt, betelnut, ghee, rice, straw, bamboos, fish, greeneries, ginger, sugar, tobacco, opium and many other things." The English had opened more than four hundred factories and their agents interfered not only with trade but also molested the zamindars and oppressed the cultivators and artisans. They forced them to buy at higher prices and sell at 30 to 40 per cent below the market rates.

Although Warren Hastings and Vansittart were convinced that the complaints of the Nawab were true, the majority of the Council allowed avariciousness to override justice and rejected them. Mir Qasim's response was to abolish the duties levied on Indian merchants in order to equalise their burden with that of the English merchants. This measure of protection of the interests of his subjects cost him his throne. His successors had no option but to confirm a privilege which was fatal to the business of the Indian merchants and harmful to the finances of the Indian government.

The Directors of the Company realised the iniquity of private trade and Clive endeavoured to canalise it through a Society. The Society had to be wound up on the peremptory orders of the Directors, but the trade could not be stopped, because the seniormost servants of the Company were deeply involved in it. The example of the English was imitated by other Europeans, who were collusively aided by the Company's servants as a quid pro quo for their services in remitting the English servants' profits home. Between them they brought the Indian merchants to the brink of ruin.

Thus, after 1757, the English overseas trade became inextricably mixed with the inland trade, and the public trade of the Company with the private trade of its servants. The development was detrimental to the cause of the Company as well as that of the independent Indian merchants. But the private

---

1 Verelst, H., View of Bengal, Appendix, pp. 128-9
2 Mir Qasim's letter to the President, quoted in N. K. Sinha, Economic History of Bengal, Vol. I, p. 69
European merchants were enriched and their Indian agents flourished. Among these were the gomashtas of the Company, and the banians of the individual businessmen.

The proliferation of the middlemen in trade was due to the fact that the Company had changed its methods of procuring its investment. Already, in 1753, the East India Company had abandoned the old method of trade. The officials of the East India Company and the dadni merchants had both found that the Indian merchants were too independent and too exacting and disinclined to comply with their contracts; the Indian merchants regarded the terms of trade unsatisfactory, the commissions inadequate and the English, unlike the Dutch and the French, overbearing and grasping. In 1749, some of these merchants had refused to supply the investment without a large advance. They were accused of collusion in fixing the prices of piece-goods, of carrying on their private trade unmindful of what happened to the trade of the Company, and also of supplying goods to the Dutch who were their commercial rivals.

So, in 1753, the independent dadni merchant was replaced by the gomashta, who was employed as a paid agent to render exclusive service to the Company. The investments were made through him. He purchased goods at the Aurangs for ready money, and sorted and classified them at the Kothas before despatch to the warehouse at Calcutta.

Besides the newly appointed gomashtas, there was another group of businessmen, known as the banians, who were largely employed by the Company's servants and independent European merchants to assist them in their private trade and household affairs. They transacted all sorts of business.

The banian was interpreter, head book-keeper, head secretary, head broker, the supplier of cash, and, in general, also secret keeper. Through him all household servants were employed and kept under discipline. He conducted all the trade of his master. In short, he possessed singly many more powers over his master than could in the country be assumed by a steward, money-lender and mistress all together, and further served very conveniently, sometimes in public discussion, to further such acts or proceedings as his master dared not avow.

The position was so lucrative that members of the best Hindu families took service under the Europeans and even paid money to obtain an appointment, which conferred upon the fortunate man a number of advantages— influence with the Europeans,
high status in his own society, and opportunities to carry on trade free of custom duties, under cover of the master's dastak.

The dadni merchant who lost the patronage of the English Company continued to supply the investment of the other European traders, e.g., the French, the Dutch, and the Americans till the War of Independence, and made up his losses by other means, e.g., by developing the internal trade of the country, by speculating on the Company's bonds and investing in the loans floated by it at high rates of interest.

Before 1757, the private trade of the servants was restricted by the regulations enforced by the Nawabs. The freedom from customs applied only to the goods imported or exported by the Company and did not affect either the internal commerce of the country or the goods bought and sold by private merchants. After 1757, the gomashtas and banians had an almost unlimited field opened up for their operations as agents of the English merchants who claimed and exercised full freedom for all trade—for both the goods of the Company as well as those of the private trader. The dastak or the permit which protected the goods of the Company from inspection and payment of customs was freely used for every kind of transaction.

Every servant of the Company from the President to the junior-most writer considered himself entitled to the dastak and their Indian agents joined in the loot. Their trade enjoyed the protection of the master's name although carried on with their own capital. Sometimes the privilege of the dastak was treated as a vendible commodity priced from Rs. 25 to Rs. 200. The abuse of the dastak enormously extended private trade and greatly stimulated the expansion of the Indian share in the commercial activity of Bengal. Though the status of the Indian was that of a subsidiary, yet, in many cases, it was productive of rich rewards.

The growth and change in the structure of India's trade had many consequences. One was the wars with Hyder Ali and Tipu Sultan who were provoked by the growing domination of the British on the Malabar and the Coromandel coasts. Another was the growth of European shipping and the native ship-building industry in India. Bombay was the pioneer of the industry which owed its rise to the initiative and enterprise of the Parsis, Lavji Wadia (1735) being the first ship-builder. A large part of the country's fleet was built in Surat, Daman and Bombay. Bengal entered the field later, about 1760. The first ship in
Bengal was launched in 1769. The American war provided a fresh stimulus and the Nonesuch of 500 tons burthen slid down into the Hughli in 1781. Between 1781 and 1803, 56 ships and 92 snows were constructed. India-built ships of a thousand and thirteen hundred tons were sailing on the high seas before the century was out.

The ship-building industry in Bengal was monopolised by European merchants and the transport of goods of the country trade naturally fell into their hands. In the supply of exports to West Asian countries and China, Europeans obtained the dominant position. Indians were ousted to a large extent from this lucrative branch of trade.

Another result was that the three regions of India—Bengal, the eastern coastal and the western coastal territories—were brought into close relationship. In 1790, free trade was established between the Indian ports, drawing them together economically.

Although the coastal trade between Bengal and the west coast received a setback, the trade with the Coromandel coast increased. Madras became an important centre not only for exports to Burma and Malaya but also for the growing commerce with the East Indies and China. However, the beneficiaries of the increase were the European traders and not Indians.

These developments had a deleterious effect on Bengal’s economy. The character of the Company’s commercial undertakings changed. Instead of financing the exports of Indian commodities largely by bullion brought from England, it began to purchase the ‘investment’ by utilising the revenues of the Subah of Bengal. The extent of the amount thus utilised is estimated at one to one and a half million pounds per annum. In the course of a few years, the expansion of British dominion in India swallowed up the surplus of revenue and then the Company had to float loans in order to make up the investments. This loan continued to grow from year to year.

A consequence of this was the diminution of the exports of specie to India. According to the economic theories then prevalent, export of specie was regarded as an unmitigated evil, and now that an alternative means for carrying on trade was found, it was no longer necessary to send specie to India, except when required under special conditions. In 1756-57, the value
of bullion sent to India was almost £800,000. In the next year,
it was halved and by 1781, it reached the low figure of £15,000.

Bengal was not only deprived of its inflow of silver, the
process was reversed and its own treasury was depleted by
exports to China. If in the period preceding Plassey “the
farmer was easy, the artisan encouraged, the merchant enriched
and the prince satisfied,”1 according to Verelst, the picture
changed completely during the dark days of “unregulated im-
perialism”, (1757 to 1785).

Decline of Indigenous Banking

Another consequence of the change in the structure of
India’s foreign trade was the decline of the Indian banker and
money-changer class. In the old economic structure of the
country, they formed a useful and essential link. They remitted
the revenues from the interior of the country to the centre;
they issued hundis or letters of credit, which facilitated the
movement of trade; they lent money to those needing it—both
the government and the zamindars. The money-changers
affected the much-needed exchange in currencies and served
as bill-brokers. The most important among the bankers of
Bengal in the eighteenth century was the house of the Jagat
Seths, who controlled the currency policy of the Nawabs.

The East India Company, which was forced by trade condi-
tions in India to import large quantities of bullion every year
and to convert it into Indian currency, were anxious to avoid
the payment of batta or exchange discount to the shroffs, and
so they endeavoured to obtain permission to mint standard
coins. They were able to do so in Madras early, but in Bengal
the privilege was secured only in 1756. The question of cur-
rency, however, lost its urgency after 1763, as the English had,
by overthrowing Mir Qasim, obtained complete authority over
the administration, and with his deposition, the Jagat Seths’
control over currency was removed.

Warren Hastings’ measures, however, to improve the situa-
tion by abolishing all mints except the one at Calcutta and
thus to secure uniformity of coinage, misfired; for this single
mint was unable to satisfy the requirements of the interior,
and the landholders and farmers were obliged to acquire the
standard currency by payment of an exorbitant batta on other

1Verelst, H., Letter to Court, 5 April, 1769
currencies. Then each district had its own peculiar problem regarding coins in use there. As late as 1787 it was reported by the Collectors that "almost every district and pergunnah throughout the provinces has a separate currency." The shroffs took advantage of the situation. They obtained control over the specie of the country, spread the net of their agencies in every district and acquired great influence in the economy of the province, which was strengthened by the undoubted services which they rendered to the zamindars in the payment of revenues, punctually, in sicca rupees. For this service, they charged interest on the money paid to the Government on behalf of the zamindar. In case of failure on the part of the zamindar to reimburse the loan on the stipulated date, he had to pay a premium.

Another attempt of Hastings for stabilising inland exchange and facilitating remittance of revenues took the form of establishment of the General Bank in 1773 under the management of Huzurimal and Diwanchand. The scheme was opposed by the Collectors whose income was affected and the Bank was closed on the orders of the Directors in 1775.

The shroffs, however, were not quite a suitable agency for the remittance of revenues from the interior. Indian bankers, after the eclipse of the Jagat Seths, were also unable to discharge this function adequately. For one thing, the decline of general trade in Bengal affected the business of banking and, secondly, the European merchants began to start their own concerns during Hastings' regime. In 1770, Messrs Alexander & Co. established the Bank of Hindustan. The Company's servants helped them with funds. As they began to lose their private trade, they either resigned their posts and engaged in agency business, or placed their savings at the disposal of their friends to do so. The multiplication of European banking houses and agencies dealt a blow to the business of the Indian bankers. The private banks secured what Warren Hastings' Regulations had failed to achieve.  

Thus, in the history of the growth of the middle class in India, the period 1757-1785 may be described as one of simultaneous growth and decay. Some of the old groups lost their traditional occupations and went down in the economic scale;

---

1 Sinha, J. C., Economic Annals of Bengal, p. 142
2 Sinha, H., Early Europeans Banking in India (Macmillan, London, 1927), pp. 84, 165, 174-75
others seized the opportunity and rose high on the social and economic ladder. The old mercantile class had ceased to function as contractors for the East India Company, and its members were soon to become mere trade-agents for collecting the Company’s cargoes. The Indian’s inland trade had been disorganised, for the Company had appropriated the monopoly of salt and opium and Clive had acquired from Mir Jafar the sole right of manufacturing saltpetre in Bihar. When indigo became a staple article of export, the European appropriated almost exclusively the contracts. The Indian middle class had by now scarcely any share in the large coastal trade. Its main activities, apart from the work as agents, were to deal in bonds and loans of the Company and in money-changing. When, in 1769, the Company abolished the system of trade through Indian intermediaries the opportunities in commerce were further curtailed. The result, to quote Verelst, was that “the substantial men have declined risking their property in trade.” Fortunately, however, a new avenue for the employment of their capital opened up, and that was investment in land.

VI. CHANGES IN AGRARIAN ECONOMY AND RISE OF LANDED MIDDLE CLASS

It is not necessary to repeat the story of the changes in the agrarian economy of Bengal as a result of the development of British land revenue administration. But in order to bring out the social consequences, which were revolutionary in their bearing, the main facts of the change may be noted.

The British first became acquainted with the Indian system of land administration when they acquired the zamindari rights of the three villages—Calcutta, Govindpur and Sutanuti. Later, they acquired more villages. In 1757, the district of Twenty-four Parganas was placed in their possession and they collected its revenue through an English zamindar, named Frankland. In 1759, in their anxiety to realise the maximum revenue from the district, they started to sell the farms of estates by public auction. This indeed was an innovation of far-reaching consequences. Verelst pointed out that it was regarded by Indians as “an act of oppression and contrary to the customs of Hindustan.” Sale by public auction threw the lands into the hands of speculators who rack-rented the cultivators and practised various kinds of frauds. The old zamindars were not trusted; so new
experiments were tried to ascertain the correct amount of rent collected by them from their tenants. Direct administration by the Company's own servants failed to elicit the information, while the process of survey and examination of records was dilatory. Farming, therefore, seemed to provide an easy solution. But the farmers were the servants and banians of the Company who were only interested in their profits. They became super zamindars and thus effected a breach in the medieval organisation of landed property. A new social element gained entry into the preserves of the old zamindar class.

What had happened in the limited territory of one district soon spread to the whole of Bengal. In 1760, Mir Qasim ceded the three districts of Burdwan, Midnapur and Chittagong on condition that the old zamindars and Ijareddars would not be displaced. Nevertheless, in Burdwan the British Resident introduced revenue farming by public auction with disastrous effects. Few of the older zamindars or even men of substance and character cared to bid and, as a result, clerks and accountants of the Revenue Department became farmers of the most profitable estates. Verelst called them "rapacious wretches." Among them were many servants of the Company, e.g., Johnston, Howell, Hay and Bolts.

The example set by the Company was imitated by Mir Qasim in the territories under his rule. His pecuniary difficulties which were largely the effect of the avidity of the English high officials for presents and compensations, and the private trade of the Company's servants, forced him to recuperate his losses by exacting as much as possible from the land. He dispensed with the intermediaries, appointed Amils and let them loose upon the poor ryots. Qanungos and their office records were disregarded and assessment became a loot. Mir Qasim's forced impecuniosity initiated a vicious process which ended in transforming the rural economy of Bengal.

The British who succeeded him not only continued but actually increased the demand and the severity of collection. In 1764, they farmed out lands on a triennial basis and allowed men without fortune or character to become bidders at the sale. Says Verelst, "Numberless harpies were let loose to plunder, whom the spoil of a miserable people enabled to complete their first year's payment." In the second sale only two-fifths of the lands were sold. But they were held in different names by European officers and their banians.
This led to the oppression of the cultivator. The oppression increased after the Company acquired the Diwani of Bengal. The Company was obsessed with the idea of the great wealth of the country which it wanted to appropriate for the enrichment of its share-holders and servants, and for the benefit of England. Its officers in India had no trust in the honesty or loyalty of the officials of the old regime. Neither the Zamindars and Ijare-dars nor the Qanungos, Amils and Chowdharies were regarded as worthy of reliance. Avarice and distrust were the Company’s prime considerations.

But in the initial stages, the services of the old officials could not be dispensed with. The Indian officials also felt that they could retain their offices only on condition that they satisfied their new masters. So, for the realisation of its exorbitant demands, the Company first tried the Amils, whom it vested with the authority to appoint subordinates and to coerce the landholders to pay the heavy assessments. Supervisors were appointed over the Amils in 1769 and the government officials were asked to relinquish the farms. The root-cause of the trouble, namely, the exorbitance of demand, was not touched; for whereas Alivardi Khan’s total demand—land revenue plus the cesses—was Rs. 1,76,81,466 in 1755, and his actual realisations in all probability much less, the net collections of the Company in the year of the great famine (1770-1) amounted to Rs. 1,94,61,864.  

Under the impression that the malady from which Bengal suffered was caused by the short-term annual settlements, and by the agency of collection, Warren Hastings decided upon a quinquennial settlement in 1772. According to Charles Grant, “Under the idea that there were hidden resources in the country, and in view of uniting increase of revenue with ease to the people, it was determined to lease the lands of Bengal on farm for five years, and that their utmost value might be ascertained, these farms were put up to public auction. Calcutta banians, money-dealers and adventurers were among the highest bidders.”

1 The famine with its woeful tale of death and devastation had far-reaching consequences. Immediately, nearly one-third of the population perished. The mortality among cultivators and artisans—weavers specially—was extremely high, possibly fifty per cent. About a third of the area of Bengal reverted to jungle inhabited by wild beasts. This sudden contraction of labour, land and industry was bound to affect the economy of Bengal. Yet, the calamity was not allowed to diminish the revenue of the Company.

2 Grant, Charles, State of Society in Asia, p. 14
The machinery of revenue collection was also changed. The Amils had been withdrawn in 1770, and the Supervisors, who were later designated Collectors, had taken up the work with the assistance of Diwans. In 1773, the Collectors were replaced by Provincial Councils which were helped by agents.

Warren Hastings' decision affected the various types of tenure holders differently. Among the actual cultivators were peasants of three types—resident cultivators who cultivated their own lands (Khud Kasht) for which they paid rent to the landlord, and (2) cultivators who resided in one village and cultivated lands taken on rent in another village (Paikasht). Then there were tenants who cultivated lands on contract (Khalar).

The lands either belonged to the State, Khalsa, and were under the immediate superintendence of the Government; or were jagirs, i.e. assignments of revenue in lieu of salary or maintenance allowance, and paying a percentage of collections to Government; or zamindaris, hereditary estates which paid a tribute; or taluqa-estates carved out of zamindaris and placed under the subordination of jagirdars and zamindars. The last consisted of two varieties—one known as Huzuri, which paid their revenue directly to the central authority, and the second, Mazkuri which remained under the jurisdiction of the original zamindars. Then there were a large number of estates of charitable character, granted for religious or cultural services, the la khiraj, that is, exempt from all burdens.

The farming system disturbed the relations that had existed between the Government, the intermediaries and the ryots. The zamindars, taluqdar, and speculators all became farmers. In the case of zamindars who retained the farm of their estates, many were unable to fulfill the terms of agreement. Their farms were handed over to Sazawals (agents) who were men of low position and who treated both the zamindars and the tenants with great harshness. The complaints of Rani Bhowani of Rajshahi against the farmers, Dulal Roy and Amrit Singh, and of the Purnea estate against its farmers Huzuri Mal and Madan Datta and their agents, furnish illustrations of such treatment. There were failures in many estates because of the high pitch of assessments, and remissions had to be sanctioned in a number of them.

Farming tended to make the taluqdar independent of the zamindars. In fact, many zamindars became sub-renters of the farmers of their own estates. Lands under farmers were freed
from the limitations on transfer, for the Government treated these transactions as the private affair of the farmer. Thus, independent estates proliferated and landed property became a marketable commodity.

The situation worked for the benefit of the men in the Company's service and their "banians." The collectors like Thackray of Sylhet, Bateman of Monghyr, and others obtained farms of the estates in their districts sometimes under fictitious names and sometimes under those of their "black agents."

The farms became the source of much illegal gratification, both for Englishmen and Indians. Barwell made nearly 100,000 rupees out of manipulations of the salt farm at Dakhin Shahbazpur. Other members of the Committee of Circuit profited similarly. Among Indians, Kantu Babu (Warren Hastings' "banian") received Rs. 40,432, Santiram Sinha (Middleton's Diwan) Rs. 47,000, Bhowani Mitra (Graham's Diwan) Rs. 34,000, from Raja Ramkrisha of Rajshahi, adopted son of Rani Bhowani as durbar kharch. It is estimated that the total amount received by the officers who were responsible for the settlements reached the figure of Rs. 42,00,000.¹

The farmers, on the excuse of inability to meet the heavy demands, secured remissions which the Company's officers were only too willing to grant, as they were collusive beneficiaries of these agreements. Some farmers actually functioned as such, but quite a number had only lent their name for a consideration. Some banians stood as securities for zamindars and when any of them defaulted, the surety became the farmer and the zamindar his under-farmer.

So far as the ryots were concerned, their misery exceeded all consideration and sympathy for them. The farmers would not tolerate anything that affected their gains. Their commissions (amilnamas) gave them authority to recall the zamindari pattas and issue new pattas, and to reduce the zamindar to the status of a functionless dignitary entitled merely to a maintenance allowance (mushahira). The Qamungos who maintained the records of rights and accounts pertaining to payments and outstanding, receipts and demands, and registers of property and its transfer, were the most reliable source of information and agency of control of the Government over the lands. They lost

¹ Warren Hastings netted a lakh from the Rani and another sixteen lakhs and seventy-five thousands from the Nawabs of Oudh, and the Raja of Banaras, and Vansittart three lakhs.
their usefulness and employment under the new arrangements and the check which they had exercised on the landlords was eliminated. The Patwars, released from the supervision of the Qanungs, found opportunities of collusion with the agents of the farmers to make money and oppress the peasants. The agents and petty officials of the zamindars coerced them, transferring the pressure upon them from the rulers.

Threatened with the ruin of rural economy by farming and apprehending the collapse of the main source of the Government's revenue, Warren Hastings appointed an Amini Commission in 1776 to make enquiries and suggest remedies. On its advice, the farming method was abandoned and the zamindari plan was resuscitated. But, by this time, much mischief had been done and the revival of the traditional institution of zamindar was a hopeless undertaking. There were many reasons for it.

In the first place, the institution had already been shorn of its age-long essential features which had given it life and prestige. The zamindar was no longer responsible for the security of life and property, nor for the settlement of disputes and protection of the rights of the villagers. He was ceasing to be a cohering factor of rural society. His functions were narrowing down to the business of collecting his dues from the cultivators and paying the assessed revenue of the Government. The villagers no longer looked upon him as their patron anxious to promote their welfare, participating in their joys and sorrows, organising their fairs and festivals and attending to their cultural and educational activities.

Secondly, his status was greatly lowered by the transfer of political authority into the hands of the foreigners who derived their power not from Indian society, but from alien sources. The introduction of farming had shaken the system to the very roots. The farmers lording over him; his dependents transferred their allegiance to the new masters. The taluqdar paid the revenues direct to the Government.

In the third place, the heavy assessments of the Company had broken his back. Many zamindaris and taluqdaris unable to meet the demands were partitioned and passed into the hands of the farmers belonging to the class of banians, land revenue officials and money-lenders. Their impoverishment struck a blow to their utility, influence and credit.

Then the frequent changes in the land revenue administra-
tive machinery, methods of assessment and collection and especially the new rulers' attitude towards property in land as a purely private concern justiciable in the law courts, had an unsettling effect. Mortgages, sales and transfers became common incidents and landed property became a commodity subject to the forces of supply and demand.

Lastly, the traditional upper class lost its monopoly of landownership. Land ceased to be the reward for knightly service or for learning and piety or for repeating verses to win Divine blessings. Title deeds were no more written with shining sword, but obtained prosaically by filthy lucre.

The zamindari system devised in 1777 was merely a shadow of the ancient institution. Under the system, the farmers were withdrawn and the lands were settled with the zamindars as far as possible. New sanads were given to them in which the conditions to tenure were laid down. One of the conditions was that, in case of arrears, portions of land were liable to sale for the recovery of governmental dues. It was provided that if there was mismanagement, the Government would appoint agents (sazawals) to collect the revenue. The assessments were made on the basis of the average of the collections of the three preceding years. Thus, no relief was given from the over-assessments of the past, and the zamindars were forced to depend upon money-lenders to pay punctually the instalments of revenue on the dates fixed by the Government. They had to mortgage or farm their lands to raise the money. The merchants, in spite of the unhappy condition of the zamindar's finances, agreed to advance loans because their trade in every part of the country having shrunk, they had no other means of employing their money.

From 1777 to 1785, the hereditary landholders were recognised in principle and settlements were made with them annually with the understanding that they would be regarded as permanent occupants of lands as long as they fulfilled their engagements to the Government.

The last change in the administration which Warren Hastings made was to wind up the Provincial Councils in 1781, and to restore the Collectors. A Committee of Revenue consisting of five members was appointed with Calcutta as its headquarters to supervise the settlements. The immediate result of its deliberations was to enhance the assessment by Rs. 26,00,000. At the end of the period, it was found that the expectations of the
Government were not realised. The land revenue system was proving a bane to the country. The extortionate demands of revenue, revised from year to year, and the harsh conditions insisting upon punctual payment were ruining the landholders and subjecting their estates to a crushing burden of indebtedness.

Cornwallis: Permanent Settlement and Other Reforms

Cornwallis was called upon to tackle the intricate and perplexing problem. He addressed himself to the task of reform, in order to restore the credit of the Company by economy and expansion of trade, and to establish a regular system of administration based upon integrity and efficiency of the services. While preserving the interests of England, he had to provide a clean administration for the Indian subjects under British rule. He realised that the prestige and stability of the British dominion depended as much upon its armed forces as upon the efficiency and purity of its civil agents.

His measures affected three important fields of activity—land revenue, general administration, and trade. The reasons which led Cornwallis to decide upon adopting the policy of the Permanent Settlement were both economic and political. One of the economic considerations was that the Company's mercantile undertakings depended upon an assured revenue from lands, for the purchase of the investments was no longer possible in the old way on the basis of the import of bullion and merchandise. Secondly, the only way in which the surplus revenue of India could be remitted to England was through exports. Sir John Shore had pointed out: "The Company are merchants as well as sovereigns of the country. In the former capacity they engross its trade, whilst in the latter they appropriate the revenues. The remittances to Europe of revenues are made in commodities of the country which are purchased by them."

But the stability of revenue depended upon stopping frequent changes in the assessment of revenue and the method of collection; in other words, upon the fixity of land-ownership and its obligations. Bengal had suffered grievously from the experiments in land revenue administration and the changes in the trade of the Company and the private merchants. Bengal sorely needed respite. Cornwallis was convinced that agriculture

---

in Bengal must flourish before its commerce could become extensive.

In order to secure that object, two things were necessary. The first was to find a new class of Indians who could be interested in acquiring lands from which the old zamindars had been ousted; and, secondly, to offer adequate inducements to them to invest their funds in land. The new class was already there. It consisted of Indian businessmen who had accumulated considerable capital by trade, speculation and other ways, which, because of recession in Indian business, could not be profitably employed. The Permanent Settlement opened a new avenue for investment of their capital. Cornwallis wrote to the Directors that as the Government was liquidating its debts, he expected that in the absence of other means of utilising their released capital, it “will be applied to the purchase of landed property as soon as the tenure is declared to be secure.”

He expected that the knowledge that land revenue was unalterably fixed would not only attract the floating capital, but would also act as a spur to the improvement of agriculture, and greater production would follow which would benefit British commerce.

The political advantages of creating a class of landlords with absolute proprietary rights over land were considerable. It was expected that a class of wealthy Indians would come into existence which would be loyal to their British rulers and which would have no political ambitions. Cornwallis hoped, “when the landholders find themselves in the possession of profitable estates, the merchants and manufacturers in the enjoyment of a lucrative commerce, and all descriptions of people protected in the free exercise of their religion, both the numerous race of the long oppressed Hindus, and their oppressors the Muhammadans, will equally deprecate the change of a Government under which they have acquired and under which they hope to enjoy, these inestimable advantages.”

But the consequences turned out not altogether in accord with expectations. There were several reasons for it. In the first place, the pressing need of the Company for the maximum realisation of revenue compelled Cornwallis to fix the assessment at an exorbitant figure. Secondly, he prescribed methods

---

1 Cornwallis to Court of Directors, 6 March 1793
2 Minute of 11th February 1793; Quoted by Aspinall, A., Cornwallis in Bengal, pp. 172-73
3 1720 revenue demand Rs. 14,091,336
   1790 revenue demand Rs. 25,928,208
   1793 revenue demand Rs. 26,800,989
of realisation which were rigid and oppressive. Thirdly, the rule of primogeniture was abrogated and the tendency towards fragmentation of property was accentuated. Lastly, the essential character of property rights in land was changed, by making the ownership absolute and by conferring the power of distraint and sale of tenants’ property on the landlords.

The administrative measures adopted by Cornwallis were conceived in a spirit of complete distrust of the Indian. He wrote, “although we hope to render our subjects the happiest people in India, I should by no means propose to admit the natives to any participation in framing Regulations.”\(^1\) In the system which he founded, the British were entrusted with the framing of all economic and administrative policies and also placed in positions of authority to execute them. He told the Court of Directors, “It must be admitted that without a large and well-regulated body of Europeans, our hold of these valuable dominions must be very insecure.”\(^2\) This policy was applied to the army as well as to the civil services.

On the conquest of Bengal, most of the higher posts were transferred to the British servants of the East India Company. Gradually, the appointments held by Indians in the transitional period from 1765 to 1786 were taken away and finally Cornwallis shut the door upon Indians to all such posts and even a number of subordinate posts.

Cornwallis’ system was based on two assumptions; in the first place on his belief in the superiority of the British over the Asiatics, and then in its corollary that, in order to give efficient government to the people, everything should be made as English as possible. He was also apprehensive of the ever present risk to the empire from appointing Indians to responsible posts. He declared that the people of Bengal were “ill calculated for these important trusts.”\(^3\)

It was, however, impossible to fill the subordinate services with Europeans, on financial grounds, even apart from other reasons. The type of administration established by the British, entailing the transfer of all judicial and police functions and many other activities from the landlords to government functionaries required a large increase in all the subordinate branches of administration—land revenue, judiciary, police,

---

\(^1\) Aspinall, A., op. cit., p. 172; Minute of 11th February 1793
\(^2\) Hunter, W. W., Lord Cornwallis, Rulers of India Series, p. 89
\(^3\) Aspinall, A., op. cit., p. 169
public works, etc.—for such offices as Amins, Munsifs, Thane-
dars, Tehsildars, and others with a maximum salary of Rs. 250
p.m. Numerous Indians were employed in the lower
appointments of Diwan, Naib Diwan, Gomashtha, Head-Writer,
Serishtadar, Munshi, Moharrir, Jamadar and peon on salaries
ranging from Rs. 3-8 per mensem to Rs. 100.

A semi-government employment was constituted of the
Indian pleaders who received permission to appear before the
law courts and whose fees were fixed by the Government.

The effect of this policy upon the sons of the country was
depressing. The old avenues of employment for the upper
classes were closed, and the opportunities of acquiring experience
in methods of higher administration and in the formulation of
broad policies of the Government were denied. This had a
dwarfing effect upon the character and outlook of the middle
class and hindered the growth of responsible leadership. Aspin-
nall, writing on the administration of Cornwallis, remarks: "The
Cornwallis system, therefore, was calculated to debase rather
than uplift, the people fallen under the Company's dominion."1

The policy followed in the commercial sector had similar
results. Several systems had been tried, but none proved entirely
satisfactory. The Indian agencies of dadni merchants, gomash-
tas and dalals had led to abuses, which were sought to be recti-
fied by establishing a Board of Trade with European merchants.
This was replaced by a system of contracts to the English chiefs
of factories. This too failed. In 1787, Cornwallis introduced the
Agency system, and two years later made it universal. The
Agency Houses were established by Englishmen, and were joined
by a number of the Company's servants—some retired from
their jobs in order to become directors or managers in these
houses. A considerable proportion of the funds of these Houses
came from the income of the Company's servants, who invested
their savings in them. The Indians had no place in it. In support
of the new system it was alleged that Indians were either un-
willing or financially unable to participate in the investment,
which was not true.

In 1788, when private trade of the Company's servants was
terminated, 1300 servants were deprived of it. The Agency
Houses benefited by this measure, as a group of their serious
competitors was eliminated thereby.

1 Aspinall, A., op. cit. p. 174
From 1789, the number of the Agency Houses increased and their activities multiplied. They controlled the country trade, financed the manufacture of indigo and sugar, cornered Government contracts, speculated in public securities and undertook the business of banking and insurance. They managed the homeward private trade of the officers of ships, negotiated bills and respondentia on foreign companies. They set up their offices in London which collected their remittances and supplied them with funds. They obtained a special hold upon the country trade.

The immediate effect of the introduction of the new system was to exclude Indians from the Company's export business. The gomashtas, dalals and paikars were thrown out of employment and Indian names disappeared from the Investment Lists. Some idea of their unemployment may be formed from the fact that one hundred agents who were working in Dacca in 1789, lost their occupation. As the Agency system grew and developed and gathered under its control most commercial and banking functions, this avenue of advancement was closed to Indians.

The rising middle class escaped the effects of these restrictive measures, because other European traders continued their patronage, for the Indian agents were cheaper than the English Agency Houses, and far more accommodating. Their jealousy of the East India Company too helped these agents.

The Indians made up their losses in other ways too. For one thing, the absolute volume and worth of trade continued to increase, although the nature of exports from India began to change. Procurement of raw silk became a great British national project. Piece-goods began to give place to raw cotton, raw silk, sugar, indigo, hemp, flax, and other raw materials. Wrought silk was prohibited entrance in England under severe penalties, and in its place indigo became the chief article for the Company's investment.

The British free merchants, who were now entering Indian trade on a considerable scale, challenged the monopoly of the East India Company. The free merchants could afford to purchase Indian goods at higher prices, because they could dispose of on the continent of Europe with greater ease than was the case with the Company. Most of their goods were despatched direct to European ports, like Copenhagen, Ostend and Leghorn, and in cheaper foreign ships. It is, therefore, not surprising
that, about 1790, the private exports from Dacca amounted to Rs. 14 lakhs (current) while those of the Company were worth only seven lakhs. During this period, private trade made great strides. Between 1781 and 1790, the share of the Company in the export trade of India had come down to 14 per cent of the total, while in imports it was about 18 per cent. On the other hand, private exports had gone up from 10 per cent to 43 per cent.

The facts of the growth of trade are interesting. In 1776-77, the value of the East India Company's exports to India was £626,893 (goods, stores and bullion). In 1785-86, it rose to £1,253,482 (goods, stores and bullion); and in 1793-94 to £1,346,104. The total exports to India from Europe (1781-1791) were worth £2,393,610, of which the share of the Company amounted to £346,070, or 14 per cent. The total imports from India during the same period had the sale value of £7,331,669, out of which the Company accounted for £1,962,095 or about 27 per cent.¹

The growth of foreign coastal and overland trade stimulated the development of the Indian merchant class. The increase in the quantity of goods for import and export greatly added to the demand and supply of goods and to the quantum of their distribution in the interior. As Europeans were not permitted—except government officials—to reside in the interior, most of this business came into the hands of Indians.

Changes in the pattern of trade were paralleled in the related fields of banking and credit. The Indian bankers and shroffs had a flourishing business in the early years of the Company (till 1788), because English trade was dependent upon specie brought from England and upon the use of a varied assortment of currencies. The Company had tried hard to obtain the Mughal Government's sanction to mint its own money in order to reduce the variety of coins, but it was only after 1757 that it could start minting on its own behalf. After 1757, the stoppage of import of bullion, the drain of specie from Bengal in the interests of the China trade, the aid to meet the deficits of Madras and Bombay Presidencies, and the growing demand of the Company's servants for purchase of articles of export created problems of currency payment and monetary circulation. In the attempt to solve them, experiments were tried, but without

¹ Tripathi, Amlesh, Trade and Finance in the Bengal Presidency, 1793—1833, (1950), pp. 29-30
Gold was used for coins in 1786, but was abandoned three years later. All mints were closed and only one was allowed to function, but its circulation was limited and the districts had to find their own currencies. These opportunities were exploited by the shroffs to obtain a monopoly of currency and exchange, and establish their agencies in all districts. They constituted a group which rendered useful service to the zamindars, who found difficulties in realising their rents in cash and paying their revenue in time.

Cornwallis reduced their influence as well. He took measures to end the confusion which had given opportunities to them to flourish. He opened mints at a number of towns and reformed the currency. The ratio of gold and silver was fixed at sixteen to one and bimetallism was given a trial. The cowrie—the traditional money of the lowest denomination—was replaced by copper coins, partly in the interests of currency and partly to promote copper imports from England, to the benefit of British trade and shipping. These reforms dealt a heavy blow to the business of the indigenous bankers, and transferred much of it to the Agency Houses and the new banks which came into existence.

The competition between indigenous bankers and the new banks, like the Bengal Bank and the General Bank, was on unequal terms. The latter enjoyed the patronage and support of the Government. They scored over the Indian banks because they knew how to issue and manage paper currency, a function essentially European and not well understood by the Indians. Then again, the foreign trade and much of the internal trade of the country had passed into the hands of the foreigners, and consequently the indigenous banks lost much of their custom.

But the shrinkage of banking business was partly made up by the change in the method of providing the Company's investment. For some time after Plassey the needs of investment were met by the revenues of Bengal. But as administrative expenses increased and the demands of wars grew, revenues were found unequal to meet the expenditure. The Company's official trade had then to be financed by loans. Other charges had also to be met by the issue of treasury certificates and bonds. In the conditions prevailing then, loans could only be raised at high rates of interest. Twelve per cent was not unusual. The commission on bonds and certificates ranged from 18 in Bengal to 30 to 40 per cent in Madras and Bombay. This
gave a chance to Indian businessmen ousted from the foreign and coastal trade and the business of exchange to invest their funds in the Company’s loans and bonds.

The “Reporter on the External Commerce of Bengal” stated: “the formerly timid Hindu now lends money at respondentia on distant voyages, engage in speculations to various parts of the world, and as an underwriter in the different insurance offices, erects indigo works in various parts of Bengal, and is just as well acquainted with the principles of British laws, respecting commerce as the generality of European merchants.” Cornwallis found that the Indian businessmen were the largest holders of the public securities.

The changes in the system of administration and commercial business thus, brought into existence a class of subordinates who served the European masters, both in administration and in the economic field. Quite a large group of servicemen grew up who possessed familiarity with Western administrative methods and ways of transacting public business. They assisted in the administration of laws and regulations and inwardly marked the contrast between modern and medieval principles of government. The difference between the civilisation and manners of the rulers and their own aroused in their minds a consciousness of the diversities of nationality and race. Some of them had accumulated sufficient means and others had made use of their official positions to acquire immovable property—houses and gardens in Calcutta and other towns, and lands in the countryside. They continued also to participate in internal trade, business or services.

The system of administration organised by Cornwallis to discharge the dual function of the East India Company continued in force without any major changes for forty years. The Board of Trade, with commercial Residents in the districts, remained to operate commercial affairs under the direction of the Supreme Council till 1835. Even after 1813, when the Company’s monopoly of the India trade ceased and trade diminished, the only modification was in the number of Residents, which was reduced. The working of the machinery for general administration—revenue, police and justice—was slightly recast. The rigid separation of executive and judicial authorities was relaxed and the Collector’s powers were considerably increased, so that he

---

1 Tripathi, op. cit., p. 136
as against this, they found opportunities for investing their funds in the bonds and loans of the Company and in advancing money to the foreign merchants. They were, however, relegated to a subordinate position and became middlemen, brokers and factotums for the British and European firms. When, in 1792, Cornwallis paid off the debts of the Company to the tune of 1.2 million sterling, this large sum became available for investment in lands and estates. The Permanent Settlement proclaimed in 1793 came just in time to the rescue of the merchants and speculators.

In order to gauge the strength of the middle class at this stage of its growth, it is necessary to consider some facts of Indian trade and finance during this period. The increase in British trade with India in the years between the two Charter Acts (1793 and 1813) over the previous quarter of a century (1766/67 to 1792/93) is shown by the following figures:

**Period 1766-67 to 1792-93**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
<th>m. per annum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exports from England</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports into England</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Period 1793-94 to 1809-10**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>£</th>
<th>m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exports from England</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports into England</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The volume of trade was nearly doubled in the period 1793 to 1810 over the previous period. During the first period, an average of twenty-seven ships was yearly employed to carry goods, but forty-nine in the second period. From Bengal alone exports rose from £1.5 m. in 1796 to £4.2 m. in 1806, and imports from £3.8 m. to £3.15 m. during the same period.

How the inward and outward trade of the Company compared with the trade of the private merchants—British and foreign—is indicated for the years 1802 to 1806 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>East India Company</th>
<th>£</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London Free Merchants</td>
<td>2,360,653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign, European</td>
<td>3,065,287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>1,354,062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Asia</td>
<td>946,431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-British Asia</td>
<td>4,090,645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>15,839,630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exports from India</th>
<th>£</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>£10,610,224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£4,601,391</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£1,471,771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£3,027,233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£4,175,855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£5,493,922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>22,105,396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Figures have been worked out from Statistical Appendix in Economic History of England up to 1800, by Ashley. Also see Appendix to Report of Select Committee on East India Affairs, 1830, Vol. II, p. 788.
The share of the East India Company in the Indian imports was thus about one-fourth, and in the exports from India less than one-fifth.

During these years (1802-1806), the exports of British Settlements to all parts of the world increased from £3,834,532 to £9,099,841, and imports into the Settlements from all parts of the world from £7,511,878 to £9,313,737.¹

The foreign trade of Calcutta port alone registered a rise of two hundred and thirty per cent in exports and of three hundred and thirty per cent in imports between 1796-97 and 1813-14. The private traders' remittances from Calcutta to London show an increase from S.R. 50,79,310 in 1796-97 to S.R. 1,21,42,283 in 1814-15.² Their imports did not grow at the same rate, the figures being S.R. 50,60,535 merchandise and bullion in 1796-99, and 53,76,775 (merchandise only) in 1813-14.³

Although the profits of this expanding trade were largely earned by the British and other foreign merchants, they benefited the merchant class of India too, so that it grew in numbers and wealth. Among Indian businessmen, those who took advantage of the financial transactions of the Government and employed their money in bonds and securities, made considerable gains. The wars compelled the Company to float loans at increasing interest, rising to 12 per cent. Then the discount rates fluctuated from time to time.

The changes in the policy of the Government concerning the loans also affected the Indian investors. In order to favour the Agency Houses, the Company decided that not only the principal but also the interest on the Indian debt could be drawn in England. The result was that the loans tended to pass into their hands, and to reduce the share of the Indians. Even then, in 1801, Indians held paper worth S.R. 1,89,45,000 while the Europeans held worth S.R. 6,69,20,000.⁴

In the year Cornwallis entered upon office, the Indian debt stood at the figure of about £8 million. It tended to drop down till the Mysore War sent it up again, for in 1792 it shot up to £9.1 m. The end of the war brought it back to £7.9 m. But

¹ Milburn, op. cit., Vol. II, p. 180
² Ibid., p. 152
³ The figure for 1813-14 was S. R. 3,54,97,888 but that appears to be an abnormal year. Therefore the figure quoted is for the year 1814-15.
⁴ Select Committee Report on East India Affairs (1833) Part II, Vol. II Appendix. The figure for 1796-99 is from Bengal Commercial Reports, 1796-1801.
⁵ Tripathi, A. Trade and Finance in the Bengal Presidency, p. 81
Wellesley’s expansionist policy forced it up rapidly. In 1798, it was over £11 million and in 1799 nearly £13 million. By 1801, it had risen to £18.5 million and on April 30, 1804, it stood at £27 million.¹

The rise and fall of the debt had repercussions on the economic life of the country. The first considerable fall occurred in 1792 and coincided, as stated above, with the promulgation of the Permanent Settlement. Its subsequent changes created situations in which either the new landholders were tempted to sell their lands for loans which yielded higher profits or when interest on loan compared unfavourably with revenue from land, to redeem the loans and reinvest the funds in land. The effect of such proceedings was to render landed property a marketable commodity, a phenomenon of great import for the social life of India.

Emergence of the New Zamindar Class in Bengal

The consequences of the Permanent Settlement began to manifest themselves in the years immediately following its adoption. The eighteenth century was a period of rising prices of agricultural products. For instance, the price of first quality rice had risen in fifty years (1728-76) from one maund ten seers a rupee to sixteen seers, of coarse rice from four maunds fifteen seers to thirty-two seers, of wheat from three maunds to thirty-two seers and of ghee from ten and a half seers to three seers.²

So far as the population of Bengal was concerned, the damage done by the famine of 1770 had been made up and, from 1793, population was on the increase. The land-worker ratio had begun to turn against the cultivator—a trend that continued ever after. These two factors—the rise in prices and the growth of population—should have pushed up the prices of land. Yet, strangely enough, the contrary happened. In 1795-96, the price of land was equal to 15 years’ purchase; in 1796-97, it fell to 12 to 13 years’ purchase, and in 1797-98, it fell to nine and a half years’ purchase.³ The explanation is that the exorbitant rates of revenue had made lands unprofitable. The speculators bought them cheap from the old zamindars who were unable to pay the Government’s revenue and were forced to sell them at a loss.

The new purchasers in their turn could not meet the Govern-

¹ Select Committee Report on East India Affairs, 1833, Vol. I. p. 42
² Sixth Report, Select Committee of the House of Commons, 1782-83, Appendix 15; See B. B. Misra, The Indian Middle Classes, p. 93.
³ Governor-General in Council to Court (Revenue), 23 Sept. 1798
ment's demand punctually and their lands were auctioned. Among those unable to pay were the biggest zamindars—the Rajas of Nadia, Rajshahi, Dinapur, Bishnupur, and Kosijura. Some of the estates were reduced considerably in value and some were dismembered.

There was a general outcry against the excessive demand which was realised ruthlessly. In the early stages, the zamindars were insulted, placed in confinement and otherwise ill-treated. Later, imprisonment for non-payment of revenue was given up.

In 1796-97, the land sold was assessed for a revenue of over Rs. 14 lakhs. In the next year, land bearing revenue of nearly Rs. 23 lakhs was sold. It is calculated “that one-third to one-half of the whole landed property of Bengal was sold by the rigour of the sale.”\(^1\) Buchanan found in his travels that new landholders belonging to the class of merchants, agents and Government officials, were displacing the old zamindars.

Among the new men, the agents of the landholders were an interesting group. Their appearance was the result of a new need. The zamindars, finding that they could not easily realise the rents from the tenants, but that the demand of the Government had to be met under pain of forfeiture and sale, divided the estates into lots or *patnis* and sold them to persons who were to pay the revenue fixed by the owner for the lot. The lot-holder or *patnidar* in his turn divided his lot into smaller portions which he sold to under-holders, or *dar-patnidars*, and there were sub-agents below them.

In this manner, a large class of middlemen was established, who largely belonged to Calcutta, because the sales were held only in Calcutta and without sufficient notice and oftener than once in a quarter.

The fragmentation of estates proceeded apace. In a hundred years, many of the larger ones had been broken up and by 1872 the total had reached the figure of 1,54,200.\(^2\) Among the numerous zamindars, a very small percentage consisted of very rich men who owned more than 20,000 acres of land. About 10 per cent of the zamindars were men of competence, but nearly 90 per cent were small proprietors.

What distinguished them from the medieval landlords was the fact that their interest in their property was purely economic

---

\(^1\) Hunter, *Bengal Ms. Records*, Introduction

\(^2\) Misra, B. B., *op. cit.*, p. 131
The proprietors acquired absolute rights over the lands in their possession. They extinguished practically all the rights of the tenant, and were given power to realise rent without recourse to the law courts. Many of them became absentee landlords, who preferred to live in luxury in their Calcutta mansions rather than share with the villagers their joys and sorrows. They engaged in trade or money-lending instead of ploughing their profits back in improving agriculture. They began to imitate the manners and ways of living of Englishmen and appreciated the value of English education which opened the way for Government service to their sons and relations and facilitated intercourse with the British officials and businessmen. There was a distinct departure from the old traditions. Society was on the move. Status was giving place to contract. The landlords might have even utilised their wealth to establish industries, but lack of the spirit of enterprise and the fear of risking capital on unfamiliar undertakings on the one hand, and the discouraging inhibitory policies of the English rulers on the other, prevented the growth of an industrialist class of entrepreneurs from among them.

The zamindars of Bengal constituted the main body of the middle class in that province. With the passage of time, as the crushing pressure of land revenue slowly lifted, increasing surpluses began to accrue. The prices of village produce continued to rise, the population grew, and the value of land increased. The Permanent Settlement, which was an unsettling measure in the beginning, then became a stabilising factor.

Unfortunately, the consequences for the peasantry were bad and their economic condition sadly deteriorated. Besides, the ruination of industry as a result of the protectionist policy of the British Government and the rise of capitalist mechanised industry in England, threw the artisans and craftsmen—especially the large numbers of spinners and weavers—out of employment. They increased the burden on land and swelled the number of landless labourers.

---

1 Among these the most important was the fiscal policy. At first it was the transit duties on inland trade which not only prevented the growth of new industries but also dealt a heavy blow to the existing industries. After 1848, when England adopted free trade, the policy of Laissez faire was forced upon India in spite of the fact that England at that time was a developed and India an underdeveloped country. Industries could not flourish under such an anti-national and doctrinaire policy.
Middle Class at the Beginning of XIX Century

By 1813, the main features of the new India had emerged. A small oligarchy of foreigners enjoying the monopoly of power, wealth and prestige and exercising all the responsibilities of government, but loath to share power and responsibility with the inhabitants of the country, dominated the social scene. The strength and stability of the ruling oligarchy depended positively upon the vigour and force of the British people and negatively upon the disunity and supineness of the inhabitants of India. The Englishmen in India, whatever their status at home, were a closed aristocracy—aloof, efficient in the maintenance of peace and order, but naturally more concerned with the promotion of the interests of their own country than those of their subjects. Indian society consisted of the vast and fast-growing mass of the people living in the hundreds of thousands of India’s villages. Their standard of living was abysmally low; poverty, disease, ignorance and superstition were the doleful features of their lives. Under British rule, their numbers multiplied but their economic condition deteriorated.

In between the foreign rulers and the Indian masses came the new middle class which was composed of the landed gentry, the businessmen and the professional groups. Though they did not make an organic whole in the beginning, there was a great deal in common between the landed gentry and the intelligentsia—indeed independent professionals or Government servants. Many landowners were also engaged in business. They were a middle class in the sense that they stood between the ruling oligarchy and the Indian masses. They were a new class insofar as they were not rigidly stereotyped into castes, although the old upper classes predominated among them. They possessed a mobility which permitted of change of occupation and avocation. In the early days, their numbers were small, but they continued to grow and to coalesce. In the different regions of India, the relative strength of the different groups and of the class as a whole was not the same. But their influence upon society was out of all proportion to their numerical strength. The spearhead of the class was the educated section.

VII. GROWTH OF NEW MIDDLE CLASS (1813—33)

The two Charter Acts of 1813 and 1833 marked the final victory of the private trader over the Company’s monopoly. By
the first of these Acts, the Company was deprived of its Indian trade and by the second, all its commercial activities were terminated.

During this period, the character of Indian foreign trade changed completely. In the first place, the effect of opening the trade to all was an enormous growth in its quantity and value. Another change was that, from an exporter of cotton textiles, silks and other manufactured goods, India became an exporter of raw materials and agricultural products. In 1799-1800, India exported 6,587 maunds of cotton, in 1829-30 the quantity had risen to 134,933 maunds. Similarly, 35,509 maunds of indigo were sent abroad in 1799-1800, but in 1829-30, the figure rose to 135,399 maunds. At the same time, India's import of cotton cloth showed an increase from 818,308 yards in 1815 to 52,179,844 yards in 1831. With the change in the structure of trade, the functions of the Indian middleman also changed. He lost his share of the foreign trade which passed almost entirely into the hands of Europeans.

A similar calamity befell the Indian banker. The European banks, which had originally been set up for financing foreign inland trade, had refused to confine their activities to that particular field. In their anxiety to increase their profits they had begun to compete with the indigenous bankers in the remittance business as well as in financing inland trade. Their attempt met with little success in the beginning, and as late as 1791, Cornwallis and his Board questioned the utility of European banks in the presence of indigenous institutions. But the competition between the two was unequal. The European banks enjoyed state patronage and had much larger funds at their disposal. In times of crisis, the Government rushed to their aid. For instance, in 1791, when a general banking failure was feared, the Government advanced loans to them. They had also begun to enjoy the patronage of foreign merchants, who now preferred to deal with them rather than with Indian bankers.

The result was that, by 1813, the European banks were not only well entrenched in the foreign trade, but were also extending their business to the financing of inland trade, the remittance of funds and the support of Government loans. The Indian bankers were losing ground simultaneously.

1 The Bengal Bank came into existence in 1784 and the General Bank started business in 1781.
2 Sinha H., op. cit., p. 134
The final blow to indigenous banking was dealt by the reform of currency. In 1815, the Company started minting silver rupees and, by 1835, this standard coin was adopted throughout the British territories. The days of money-changing, running of private mints, and charging commission (batta) on a variety of coins were over, and the shroff had lost that function. A few years earlier, the Indian bankers had an avenue of profitable investments in the loans of the Company. For example, during the Mysore War of 1790-91 and during the Maratha War of 1802-3, they had purchased Government paper and become the principal creditors of the Company. But, after 1813, although the debt increased by £17.3 million between 1815-16 and 1828-29, the share of the Indians fell sharply.

These developments affected the fortunes of the commercial and financial classes adversely. Some of them were forced to turn to trade in agricultural produce, others to money-lending in the villages. But some saved themselves either by undertaking banking transactions in Indian territories outside British rule or by finding a place in trade and industry, or by investing their funds in landed property.¹

In trade, fresh opportunities had opened out, for with freedom of commerce, trade expanded in volume; for example, the imports of Calcutta alone rose from S. Rs. 1.25 crores in 1813-14 to S. Rs. 3 crores in 1828-29. The import of cotton cloth went up from £109,480 in 1814 to £1,621,650 in 1828. Besides, there were other commodities of import, e.g., beer and spirits, iron bars and bolts, earthen ware, glass, leather, saddlery, machinery, hardware, cutlery, drugs and medicines, tin, pewter-ware, guns and pistols.²

Although the wholesale import trade was practically monopolised by European merchants, the distribution of these goods in the interior fell into the hands of Indians largely. This was some compensation for their exclusion from foreign trade, and both Indian merchants of the presidency towns as well as the trading class in the interior, gained.

Notwithstanding setbacks in some sectors, the class engaged in trade and business continued to prosper on the whole.

The landed middle class also proliferated during the period.

¹ Bird, R. M., Evidence before Select Committee, H. C.; Fourth Report from Select Committee Outer Territories, pp. 32-43
² Select Committee, (1833) Evidence, Vol. II, Part II, Mr. Crawford’s evidence, p. 511
The old zamindar class had been largely squeezed out as a result of the almost intolerable burden of assessments, and merchants, government servants, banians and gomashtas had taken their place. The latter were an urban class, with urban interests and outlook. For them, land was a form of profitable investment and not a vocation. Luckily, agrarian conditions began to improve soon. About 1820, land values began to rise and the Regulations of 1821 further improved the situation. Sales for non-payment of revenue began to fall, but tenancies increased, greatly adding to the number of intermediaries— who were again, like the new zamindars, city people.

The resumption of la khiraj (rent-free) lands which had provided sustenance to a large number of scholars and priests and their transfer into the hands of urban purchasers, added to the strength of the land-owning class, although it brought much distress to the class that represented religion and learning. As a consequence, both traditional education and morals suffered.

The change in the rural sector in Bengal was not confined to its actual limits. The neighbouring province of Orissa, which then formed part of Bengal, was similarly affected. Lands in Orissa were purchased by businessmen of Calcutta, and a great part of the cultivated area of the province passed into the hands of absentee landlords whose only interest was to earn the maximum return on their investment.¹

A radical change was thus taking place in the composition of agrarian society. The Friend of India pointed it out in 1839 in these words: "The native Collector has not only become the Lord of the soil, but he has been changed over and over, till every link of connection between the upper and the under classes of the agricultural community has been loosened, if not dissevered. The small proprietor with his rights and immunity and his feeling of independence is gone; we have now the big Zamindar and the Serfs."²

Rise of the Rural Capitalist in Madras and Bombay

In the Madras and Bombay Presidencies, a zamindar class failed to establish itself in strength because of the system of ryotwari settlement. But, in these territories, a new middleman's class made its appearance. Here, the settlements had

¹Famine Commission (1867) Report on Bengal, Bihar and Orissa, para 48
²The Friend of India, 17 January 1839, p. 34
parcelled out lands in small areas to petty ryots (peasants) who
did no possess means of effecting improvements, and who were
unable to withstand fluctuations in their fortunes arising from
the vagaries of nature. The need for an agency which could
advance credit was inescapable and brought the enterprising
Marwari money-lender into the villages of the Deccan districts
of Bombay. In the Madras Presidency, the need was supplied
by the Brahman money-lender.

Thus there arose a class of rural capitalists consisting of
zamindars, land-holders and money-lenders who profited from
the needs of the cultivator. This class helped to swell the
demand for English education which opened up avenues of
gainful employment. As Ellenborough put it, "English means
rupees."

VIII. CHARTER ACT OF 1833 AND GROWTH OF MIDDLE CLASS

The Charter Act of 1833 divested the East India Company
of its commercial functions. It became henceforth the agent
of the British Crown to conduct the administration of the British
dominion in India. The change, however, had no effect either
upon the character of the administration or the structure of
Indian society. The trends of development remained the same,
only their pace was accelerated. The social change, which by
1833 had become firmly established, was powerfully advanced
by the rapid growth of trade, by the results of investment of
British capital in plantations, railways and other Indian enter-
prises, and by the demands of an ever-expanding administra-
tion.

The foreign trade of India made a great leap forward during
these years. The exports of India to England rose from £12.60
million in 1840 to £27.96 million in 1860, while Indian imports
from England advanced from £5.832 million to £24.28 million
during the same period. Of the Indian exports in 1860, raw
cotton accounted for £5.64 million, i.e., one-fourth of the total
exports, opium for £9.05 millions or one-third, and grain for
£3.59 million or about one-eighth.

In this trade, the direct share of Indians was small but not
negligible. Some Indians were engaged in the export trade in
agricultural produce either independently or as sub-agents of
the European exporters. Opium was one of the principal pro-
ducts in the trade of which they had a significant share. The
following figures for the period 7th December 1846 to 12th July 1847 attest to this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Europeans and Americans</td>
<td>5,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengalees but probably on European account</td>
<td>5,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bengalees probably on native account</td>
<td>1,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaris, Mughals and Parsis</td>
<td>7,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jews</td>
<td>2,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>21,639</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It will be seen that Marwaris, Mughals and Parsis had nearly one-third of the total trade to themselves. Next to Parsis and Marwaris, Bengalees formed the most numerous group in the export trade.¹

The increase of imports of English goods necessitated the establishment of an agency of distribution. In the Presidency towns and at leading military stations where European customers were available, the stockists of English goods were mostly Europeans. But in Bombay, Parsis and Gujaratis, and in Calcutta Parsis and Bengalis, acquired a limited share in the business. In the interior, however, the distribution trade came to be held by Indians. The Indian merchants who invested in this new enterprise soon rose in their social status. R. M. Bird testified to the wealth of the trading class in the North-Western Provinces in the following words:

“There is a great deal of accumulated capital in the hands of the natives in many parts of the North-Western Provinces; they speculate in many articles. Mirzapore is a notoriously wealthy place and so is Benaras. And as to Agra, I never saw a stronger symptom of wealthy, thriving conditions of a town than there are at Agra; but they do not apply their capital in any of those places to manufactures to any extent.” In answer to further question, Mr. Bird mentioned the house of Mutty Ram of Banaras and the Shabs of Lucknow to be possessing more than four million pounds each.²

Indians also obtained a small share in European commercial and banking firms. For instance, Dwarkanath Tagore became a Director of the Union Bank along with Mr. Longeville Clarke.

¹ The Friend of India., 29th July 1847, p. 467
² Fourth Report from the Select Committee on Indian Territories (1853), pp. 42-43
Similarly in 1840, Mr. T. A. Curtis, Chairman of the East India Steam Navigation Company, London, was invited by European and Indian merchants of Calcutta to float a shipping Company in India for starting a service between India and England.\footnote{The English and the Military Gazette, August 27, 1840}

By 1833, England was industrially the most advanced country of the world. The Industrial Revolution which came to England before other countries, had been an important factor in her success in the Napoleonic wars. It had provided the impulse for the expansion of the empire all over the globe, and it had made England so rich as to enable it to invest its capital in all the continents. The era of export of manufactured goods and of capital had begun.

\textit{Growth of European Industrial Enterprises}

English capital had entered the manufacturing industry in India as early as the last quarter of the 18th century. For instance, with the increase of the demand for indigo in England and other European markets, its production was started with English capital in 1779. Between 1780 and 1802, the Company spent large sums of money on the purchase of indigo, and advances to the tune of one million pounds were made.\footnote{Knowles, Economic Development of Overseas Empire, p. 294} This was followed by attempts at the manufacture of cotton textiles, which, however, proved short-lived.\footnote{Dr. Wallick’s letter dated 12 February : Report of Select Committee (1834), Vol. II, Part II, Appendix 20, p. 665} Attempts were also made in Bengal to manufacture printing and writing paper with the help of European machinery and European techniques.\footnote{Wallick, ibid.}

But, till 1833, there was no planned investment nor any direction for such a development. The desire for investment of capital and settlement of Europeans in India found expression in the evidence given by some witnesses, including commercial and industrial associations in England, before the Select Committee of Parliament which enquired into the affairs of the East India Company at the time of the renewal of the Charter of 1833. It was urged that, apart from financial gain, investment and settlement would increase the economic and political hold of England over India.\footnote{Evidence before the Select Committee, Vol. II, Part I; also Appendix B for Sir Charles Metcalfe’s Minute, 19th February 1829, and Minute of W. Bentinck, 30th May, 1829}
Now the national policy was clearly defined. India was to be shaped to serve as a colony of the British empire. It was assigned the task of producing raw materials to feed British industries and satisfy British requirements, and of absorbing British manufactured consumer goods. The investment of British capital in manufactures which might compete with British imports would be banned, and capital would, therefore, flow into such enterprises as plantations (indigo, tea, coffee), railways, shipping, banking and large-scale commerce.

The Company helped to give effect to this policy. Every facility was afforded to Europeans to acquire and hold lands, to recruit labour and develop the plantations. Dr. Wallick had led the way as a coffee planter in 1822, and the European coffee planters were granted the privilege of holding land in their own name in 1824.¹

The other enterprise was growing of tea. The uncertainties of supplies of tea to Britain from China led Englishmen to explore the possibilities of tea cultivation in India. As early as 1832, Dr. Wallick observed: "if we take all these occurring circumstances into consideration, we may surely entertain sanguine hopes, that under a well directed management, the tea plant may at no distant period be made an object of extensive cultivation in the Hon’ble East India Company’s dominions and that we shall not long continue dependent on the will and caprice of a despotic nation for the supply of one of the greatest comforts and luxuries of civilized life."² In 1834, the Court sanctioned the appointment of a Committee to consider and submit plans for the introduction of tea culture in India. In 1838, the first Indian tea was marketed. Progress thereafter was rapid. In 1850, there were 1,876 acres under cultivation with an annual output of 216,000 pounds; in 1859, the figures had risen to 7,599 acres and 1,205,689 pounds respectively. This rapid progress of the industry increased the competition for land in Assam among planters and raised the price of land.

Raw cotton was another commodity the cultivation of which in India received a great deal of encouragement. But private

¹ Bengal Government Resolution, 7th May 1824. Also Dr. Wallick’s letter to St. George Tucker, Select Committee Report (1833), Appendix 20, p. 665
² Dr. Wallick’s observations dated London, February 3, 1832, drawn up at the desire of Rt. Hon’ble Charles Grant, President, Board of Control for India Affairs on the possibilities of cultivation of tea plant for commercial purposes in the mountainous part of Hindustan
English capital did not interest itself in cotton plantation. The duty of extension of the area under this crop was left to the Company, as the encouragement of cotton cultivation fitted admirably into Britain’s plans for establishing a colonial economy in India. As early as 1829, the attention of the Court of Directors had been “directed in a special manner to this subject (of improving the quality of cotton grown in India) and look to India for the means of rendering Great Britain independent of foreign countries for a considerable portion of raw material upon which her most valuable manufactures depend.”

Both England and India undoubtedly benefited from the increase in the cultivation of cotton. As the Bombay Governor, Sir John Malcolm, put it: “Cotton is the staple produce of some of our most valuable districts, to the improvement of which we must look in a great degree for any addition to the agricultural resources of our possessions and consequently to any increase to the public revenue.”

The policy of state encouragement to cotton cultivation continued throughout the rest of the period of the Company’s administration in India and even thereafter. The Civil War in America in 1862 which stopped supplies of raw cotton from that country to England, gave further impetus to this policy. The exports of raw cotton from India rose rapidly during the War and brought unlooked for, though short-lived, prosperity to the cultivator in the cotton-growing tract.

Both the cultivation and collection of cotton from the interior remained in Indian hands. This helped to bring into existence a body of middlemen, more particularly in the Deccan districts of the Bombay Presidency, in the Central Provinces and in Berar, who specialised in financing the cultivation of cotton and in its trade. People with small capital entered into the cotton business and made fortunes for themselves both from the cultivation and from trade.

The silk industry in Bengal was declining from the closing years of the eighteenth century, but as a result of Italian enterprise, more particularly that of Mr. Mutto, silk culture was developed successfully in the Bombay-Deccan during the twenties of the last century. This again attracted “natives of

---

1 Despatch of Court of Directors to Bombay, dated 18th February, 1829
2 General Minute dated 30th November, 1830, on his Administration of Bombay Presidency
capital" who came forward "to support speculations which they perceive must be attended with success."

The extension of the cultivation of cotton, indigo, opium, tea and coffee also benefited the zamindar and the small trader. This was the reason why such enlightened leaders of public opinion in India as Ram Mohan Roy and Dwarkanath Tagore supported the enterprise. "I have found," said Tagore, "that the cultivation of indigo and the residence of Europeans, have considerably benefited the community at large: the Zamindars becoming wealthy and prosperous; the ryots materially improving in their condition, and possessing many more comforts than the generality of my countrymen, where indigo cultivation and manufacture are not carried on. . . ." Similarly, Ram Mohan Roy testified that in his travels in Bengal, he had found "the natives residing in the neighbourhood of indigo plantations evidently better clothed and better conditioned than those who lived at a distance from such stations. . . . On the whole, they (the planters) have performed more good to the generality of the natives of this country than any other class of Europeans whether in or out of service."

IX. ADMINISTRATION AND THE GROWTH OF THE INDIAN MIDDLE CLASS (1833-58)

The growing commerce was not the only factor that helped in the emergence of the Indian middle class. The British judicial and administrative system and English education played an equally important role in adding to its numbers and in giving it cohesion.

Cornwallis' policy of exclusion of Indians from all posts of trust and responsibility had restricted the field of employment of Indians only to subordinate and low-paid offices in the civil administration of the country.

But to administer the country exclusively through Europeans was not only exceedingly costly but also inexpedient. In Banaras and in some of the adjoining districts, therefore, the offices of Qanungo and Naib Tehsildar were retained and they were allowed to be held by Indian officials. Similarly, in the

1 Ibid., para 198
2 Tripathi, Amlesh, op. cit., p. 234
3 Select Committee of the H. C. Report, 1832, Appendix V, Conduct of Europeans in India
Madras and Bombay Presidencies, revenue officers at the pargana level continued to be Indians. The post of Sadr Amin was created in 1803 and the power of Indian munsifs was increased the same year. Lord Hastings put these officials on the regular pay-roll of the Government and raised their emoluments. The post of the sub-judge was created under Bentinck. Similarly, in the North-Western Provinces, Indians came to be appointed as deputy collectors in the revenue department in his regime.

The policy of discrimination against Indians in the matter of employment was formally given up in 1833, when the new Charter in one of its clauses declared that colour, caste, religion and place of birth of a person would not be a bar to his appointment in future to any office of the state. While this principle remained a pious wish, so far as the covenanted services were concerned, the financial difficulties of the Company obliged Bentinck to increase the number of Indians in subordinate services. In 1828, there were in all 1,197 Indian officials in the employ of the Government of India. In 1849-50, their number had risen to 2,813.

Besides numbers, there was an improvement both in the salaries and status of the Indian officials. In 1828, no Indian official employed in the judicial or revenue department drew more than Rs. 250/- p.m. Bentinck fixed the salary scale of the Sadr Amin at Rs. 250 to Rs. 500, that of the Principal Sadr Amin at Rs. 500 to Rs. 600. In 1843, provision was made, for the first time, for the appointment of non-covenanted officers as deputy magistrates. In 1849, no less than 493 officers were being paid more than £240 per annum or Rs. 300/- per month. (Exchange rate from 1835 to 1849 was Rs. 15= £1.)

The system of education was geared to the needs of the administration. The Vakeels who practised in the Sadr Diwani Adalat as well as those who practised at the district level were drawn from among the students of the Calcutta Madrasa and the Banaras Sanskrit College. Later, the knowledge of Persian and Sanskrit was no longer considered necessary for the practice of law at the civil courts. What was needed most was a knowledge of the new Regulations issued by the Company and of the English language in which these Regulations were mostly framed. It was provided in 1826, therefore, that native students educated in any of the public institutions, who held certificates in the prescribed form, certifying their qualification as to
proficiency in the Hindu and Mohammedan law, and in the Regulations of the British Government, might be admitted to practise as Vakeels in any city or Zila court. Education “in any of the public institutions thus opened the door to the natives not only to public employment but also to practise law which, till then, had been regarded as the special preserve of the students of the two colleges imparting education in oriental languages and Hindu and Muslim law.”

For twenty years, the provision of the Charter of 1833 remained a dead letter. Then, in the debate on the India Bill of 1853, in the British Parliament, the question of the employment of Indians in the service of the Company was raised by Blackett and John Bright. Blackett deplored the fact that in spite of the clear understanding given at the time of the passing of the Charter Act of 1833, Indians had been deliberately excluded from the covenanted service. Both of them quoted Mr. Cameron who had been a member of the Executive Council and was Chairman of the Law Commission, who had said in 1848: “the present Charter Act is regarded by the natives of India as a mockery.” Both of them cited the case of Dr. Chukerburty who was one of the four Indians sent by Dwarkanath Tagore to England in 1844 for higher studies. Dr. Chukerburty had attained high distinction in England in medicine and Mr. Cameron and Sir E. Ryan had addressed a letter to the Court of Directors requesting them to nominate Dr. Chukerburty to a post in the covenanted medical service. The Court of Directors evaded giving a reply to the application to appoint Dr. Chukerburty to the covenanted service and simply informed those who had recommended his case that he had been appointed to a situation of equal, if not superior, value in the uncovenanted service.

The situation, it was said, called for immediate attention, not only because it was unjust to Indians but because it had explosive possibilities for England. As Colonel Sykes pointed out in 1849: “I state honestly and sincerely my conviction that it is most dangerous with reference to our power and even financial prosperity, in India, by our constant appropriations and resumptions of Inams and rent-free lands to lead the people at large to fear that we are really only anxious to make a government

---

2 Ibid.
of officials on the one hand and a nation of serts on the other."

In spite of these protests from enlightened Englishmen, the policy of excluding Indians from high office continued even after the Queen’s Proclamation of 1858. "It is a paradox," wrote a correspondent of the Hindu Patriot in 1860, "that you (i.e. England) teach the Indian European history and philosophy but deny him a share in the Government of his country." England was reminded by the same correspondent, of the fate of the Roman Empire and also of the Mutiny and was asked to rectify its mistakes in this matter.

The exclusion of Indians from high civil and military offices was based on the distrust of Indians by the Government. The following comment of the Friend of India on the Civil Service Bill of 1861 typifies the attitude of the Government and the European officers to the Indians’ demand for higher posts:

"We have fought for the increase in the salaries of all respectable natives at present in office, and for the presence of a native Judge in each High Court. But until, by accepting Christianity, the natives of India proved that they possess the moral qualification for high office, it will be possible for a headstrong ruler like Sir Charles Trevelyan to create a class more dangerous to the State than the Sepoy Army and more hated by their own countrymen than the police and amlah now are ... let the native gentleman who has wealth and ability enjoy honour, pay and position but never let him be entrusted with power."

This distrust and the denial of a rightful share to the Indians who had become as qualified by their education and training to hold high offices under the Government as the Europeans, was an important factor in cementing the bonds of unity among the peoples of the different parts of the country and bringing them together, as also in sharpening nationalist feeling.

Middle Class in Northern India

The development of the middle class in northern India followed a different pattern from that in the port towns of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras which were the scenes of the earliest commercial activity of the Europeans in this country.

1 Ibid.
2 Letter signed D.M.D. in the Hindu Patriot, dated 7th January 1860
3 The Friend of India, July 25, 1861, p. 815
For a long time after the British conquest, the areas now comprising Uttar Pradesh and Delhi could not participate in or share the developing foreign trade of the country. But a rich commercial class existed in these areas before the British came and cities like Mirzapur, Agra, Lucknow and Delhi were not only flourishing commercial centres but were also seats of political power and influence. A rich and influential middle class comprising traders and merchants (who engaged themselves in inland trade), bankers, administrators, courtiers and educators flourished in these cities. The monopolisation of inland trade by the servants of the East India Company after the battle of Plassey and later the annexation of Agra by the British in 1803, dealt a heavy blow to the fortunes of the commercial classes in northern India. Bombay and Calcutta became the new centres of trade and commerce and the inland commercial centres along the banks of the Ganga and the Jamuna lost their former importance. The merchants thus deprived of their former calling, turned to land-holding, money-lending and commercial speculation.¹ Lending of money to government and taking assignment of lands in return had been a traditional occupation with the commercial classes in these areas. This could not be done any more in the British territories. The merchants thereon turned to the financing of the rulers and the nobility of the Indian States and made fortunes from money-lending and from assignment of lands in the territories of the Nizam² and other princes. In addition, the mercantile houses of Agra and Delhi engaged actively in trade in sugar, cotton, drugs, metals and a great variety of other articles.³ The houses of Moti Ram and the Shahs of Lucknow and Srikrishan Gurdyala of Delhi remained famous for their wealth till the Revolt of 1857. The possessions of Moti Ram were put in 1853 at

¹ See Evidence of R. M. Bird before Select Committee on Indian Affairs vide Fourth Report from the Select Committee on Indian Territories.
² Ibid.
³ Ibid.

Q. Mr. Bankes: What is the nature of trade from which they derive these large fortunes?
A. Mr. Bird: "Formerly there was a great deal of lending money to the governments and taking assignments of land in return; that, of course, is not in the British territory. But there are many houses still making large sums of money in that way in what are called the foreign territories; in the Nizam's and other territories. That is the chief way in which money is made; by lending money at high interest to the government and getting assignment of land in return. But at Agra I think the trade has been in sugar, cotton, drugs and metals and a great variety of different articles."
£4 million,1 while the Gurwalas of Delhi were regarded by the British rulers of the time as the Rothschilds of northern India.

As in Bengal, some of the large banking and mercantile firms of U.P. after being ousted from commerce by the competition of European banks and mercantile companies, turned to land and became large land-owners in the Indian States. Others like the Gurwalas of Delhi were punished for their help to the Mughal Emperor during the Revolt and were liquidated by the British.2 Still others turned to money-lending and petty shopkeeping. The new middle class that had arisen in the Presidency towns did not emerge in U.P. during this period.

The progress of Western education in Agra and Oudh was also slow. The zamindars of Oudh did not take to English education for a considerable time even after the Revolt. The commercial classes were likewise slow in taking advantages of the meagre educational facilities provided by the Government. In 1860-61, in the North-Western Provinces there was one Government high school with 127 scholars as against 45 with 5,309 scholars in Bengal, 21 with 1,714 scholars in the Panjab, 11 with 2,027 scholars in Madras, and 2 with 618 scholars in Bombay.3 There were five Government colleges in North-Western Provinces in 1861-62, but the official report on education for the year points out that “the designation of College is, however, scarcely appropriate, as they partake more of the character of schools in regard to constitution, courses of study, etc. etc.”4 Regarded both from the point of view of commerce and higher education, conditions were not propitious.

Among the factors which promoted the feeling of homogeneity among the groups of the new middle class in the different regions of India and helped to create national consciousness, law was one. For the first time in Indian history, identical laws and regulations were made for the whole of India. When the codes of civil and criminal laws and laws of procedure were drawn up and applied in all the provinces and to all the communities, all Indians felt bound together in a

---

1 Ibid.
2 Seth Ramjidass Gurwala had given two big loans to the Mughal Emperor for fighting against the British in 1857, besides giving 14 boatloads of foodgrains. But when the British authorities approached him for a similar loan, he refused. His house was ransacked and his property confiscated by the British after the defeat of the Revolt.
4 Ibid., p. 80
common system. In the administration of these laws, Indians participated not only because the cases of the litigants were governed by them, but also because Indian officers shared in their application and Indian lawyers assisted the courts in their interpretation. Clients, lawyers and judges administering identical laws in identical ways were bound to develop an identity of interests and attitudes. It is no wonder then that the lawyer class formed the spearhead of the political movement in India.

While law, administration, education, the press and literature were agencies of national integration, the development of the means of communication provided the indispensable instrument for its success on an all-India scale.

Railways and National Unity

There was much in common between the middle classes of Bengal, Bombay and Madras. But so long as they could not communicate with one another easily and speedily, there could arise no common patriotic sentiment, no common public opinion and no unity of action among them. The development of the railways which made this communication and intercourse between the people of different parts of the country possible may, therefore, be said to mark an important stage in the evolution and development of national consciousness.

The construction of railways in India, which began in 1853, came in the wake of the railway boom in Europe, Canada and the U.S.A. By the thirties of the nineteenth century, England was exporting capital to other countries, mainly to the United States and Canada and largely for the construction of railways. These investments not only provided profitable employment for the funds which otherwise might have remained idle, but also threw open fresh markets for British technical skill and for British industry. The construction of railways enabled England to draw foodstuffs and agricultural raw materials, like cotton, cheaper and in larger quantities and market her manufactured products more easily in the U.S.A. and the Canadian markets.

In the case of India, there was yet another advantage to be gained from the construction of railways. As was pointed out in his famous Minute on the subject by Lord Dalhousie in 1853 and emphasised by the events of the Revolt of 1857, railways were necessary for the speedy movement of troops to deal with
any civil disturbance or affront to the political authority of the British Government. The construction of railways in this country was entrusted to private companies incorporated in England under contracts which, besides other concessions, carried a government guarantee of a 5 per cent return on capital. In 1848-49, the guaranteed capital amounted to Rs. 9 lakhs only; it rose to Rs. 1.3 crores in 1851-52, and Rs. 34.96 crores in 1860-61. There was a rapid increase thereafter. The first line was constructed in the country in 1853. In 1853-54, there were only 21 miles of railway line open to the traffic. This increased to 839 miles in 1860-61 and 4,265 in 1869-70. By 1872, all the trunk lines connecting the three Presidency towns had been constructed and a direct inland link had been provided between the urban population of the three Presidencies. The construction of trunk lines powerfully affected the process of national unification and the network of the subsidiary and feeder lines that came to be built over the next thirty years completed that process. By the end of the century, there were 23,763 miles of railway lines open to traffic in the country.
CHAPTER FOUR

THE NEW EDUCATION

I. IMPACT OF WESTERN CULTURE

With the disappearance of the politically powerful upper class, the new middle class came to the front. In the beginning, the different sections of the class naturally looked upon themselves as beneficiaries of British rule. The wealth of the merchants, the revenue of the landed gentry, the emoluments of the government servants and the earnings of the professionals were derived from sources which largely owed their origin to the British and they therefore felt obliged to their benefactors. But as time passed and the rulers showed little inclination to follow in the footsteps of the former conquerors of India who had settled down in the country, made it their permanent home, mingled with its society and adapted its culture, disillusionment gradually set in.

The social consequences of the British conquest were, on the one hand, the extinction of the upper ruling class and, on the other, the emasculation of the Brahman or the old intellectual class. This, as has been pointed out earlier, was the result partly of the drying up of the sources of patronage—the courts of kings, governors, chiefs and zamindars, and partly of the resumption by the Company of old rent-free lands granted for the support of religious and learned scholars. Having lost the customary means of living, they were obliged to seek occupation and employment wherever they could find it. In the words of S. K. De, "the axe was laid at the root of ancient learning and ancient culture: the influence which produced the sublime in Hindu civilisation vanished, the influence which produced the superstitious and the ridiculous in it increased."

The economic consequences of the conquest also reinforced the factors of change. In the agrarian sphere, a new type of relationship between the landlord and the peasant was established and the character of property relations in land was transformed. The use of money and competition were extended in agrarian transactions.

In the field of industry, the destruction of the traditional

1 De, S. K., History of Bengali Literature in the 19th Century (Calcutta University, 1919), p. 31
manufactures and the conversion of India into a market for the exchange of factory-made goods of England with India's raw materials, led to the establishment of the typical form of colonial economy with its social concomitants.

These changes stimulated the demand for primary products, because the population grew and imports and 'home charges' had to be paid for. The tempo of distributive commerce and the total quantum of trade, internal and external, increased and in turn contributed to the strength of the middle class.

The combined economic and political change laid the foundations for the unity of the Indian peoples. The different regions of India were brought nearer to one another by the extension of the means of communication—roads, railways, post and telegraph. They affected the interlinking of the new social groups in different regions and tended to the formation of an organic whole.

Thus the West impinged upon the ancient East with shattering force in the spheres of practical life—political and economic. At the same time, the impact in the intellectual and moral spheres was no less galvanic. The long-cherished value systems—religious, ethical, aesthetic, were challenged and India reacted on all these fronts. The response was of three types: the conservatives justified the old ways, the liberals undertook to reform them, and the radicals opted for their rejection. But whatever the point of view, the method of meeting the challenge and the weapons used in the controversy were the same, namely, the critical and rational apparatus largely borrowed from the West. Thus, an underlying intellectual unity was promoted.

The impact produced, on the one hand, the awareness of a gulf between the Indian and the non-Indian, and, on the other, the consciousness of belonging to a community different in nature from the medieval order, which identified the individual with a kinship or religious group. The impact created the feeling of political unity which transcended caste and creed, and attached itself to the notion of a common people possessing a common home. The idea of a people distinct from other peoples and of a territorial community comprehending all groups and communities thus emerged.

Naturally, in the beginning, the form of this consciousness was somewhat amorphous and its content vague. But with the passage of time, its lineament became more sharply defined and its essence more distinctly crystallised.
II. Traditional View of Knowledge

In the development of the national consciousness, the past played a strange though fascinating role. The past was long and full of vicissitudes. The question was which part of the past should India treat as living and valuable. The choice could not be altogether free and was determined by many factors—traditional ways of thinking, influence of the West, and the pressing demands of new life. In the decision were involved both unconscious and semi-conscious impulses and deliberate selection. The structure of national consciousness was woven from the warp and woof of the past and the present.

How the national consciousness arose, developed its peculiar individuality, found expression in literature and art, social and religious reform, and in new forms of associations; how it was propagated through education, press and propaganda, and how it led to the formulation of fresh social norms and political ideals, are themes with which the following chapters deal.

Among the cultural factors which made the most profound impression upon the Indian mind and fostered the development of new attitudes towards the problems of life—intellectual, aesthetic, social, moral and religious, a high place has to be given to the spread of Western knowledge. In order to understand the full significance of this impact, one has to remember that, in the first place, Western knowledge as it arrived in India at the end of the 18th century, differed profoundly in character from the traditional Indian knowledge as it existed then. Secondly, the approach to knowledge and the purpose of the search for knowledge were not the same in the two systems. Thirdly, while Western knowledge was the function of an open society and was not confined to any particular class, knowledge in the East was limited to closed groups.

It is not necessary to enter into an elaborate examination of these divergencies. It may, however, be recalled that modern Western knowledge is essentially scientific, objective, critical, non-authoritarian, acquired by intellectual and rational processes. On the other hand, the ancient wisdom of the East was based fundamentally on intuition, on subjective insight, on meditation culminating in illumination and certainty. According to this wisdom, knowledge is of two kinds—the higher and the lower. In comparison with the higher knowledge which is concerned with the Supreme Reality, the lower knowledge is of inferior value, because its object is the changeful and the
transient. The aim of the higher knowledge is the knowledge of the conscious self which is the subject and only secondarily the object of consciousness. Occupied with that which transcends time, space and causality, it cannot spare much attention for what is phenomenal. The result was that although India made some advances in the natural sciences like mathematics, astronomy, medicine, and produced original treatises on logic, grammar, rhetoric, law, philosophy, etc., experimental studies and empirical sciences were not adequately cultivated.

Traditional knowledge was regarded as an integrated totality. The difficulty of its study was increased by the fact that a knowledge of the Sanskrit language was a pre-condition; and the learning of the Sanskrit language with its rich vocabulary and complex grammar was a laborious process. Thus the conditions for acquiring knowledge made it inevitable that its votaries should form a small and select group like the mandarins of China. It was not necessary for them to work for their livelihood; for it was the duty of the government or society to support them. Though poor in worldly acquisitions, they were rich in spirit and highly honoured by their society. Most, but not all of them, were Brahmanas and some belonged to other castes among the Hindus. They constituted the learned class, although aspirants for and adepts in the higher knowledge could belong to any caste or group.

The learned Muslims shared some of the characteristics of the Brahman mind. For the Muslims too, there were two kinds of knowledge—divine (marijat) and worldly (ilm). The first was inspired knowledge (laduni), instinctive, evocative. It was achieved through a process of hard inward discipline which was marked by a number of stages of spiritual development. This discipline involved the suppression of desires, mastery over the senses, and the renunciation of material and worldly goods. Not many could fulfil these conditions. Therefore the number of genuine devotees to higher knowledge was small.

The status of the other knowledge was lower, but the Muslim scholars attached considerable importance to it. In the early stages, they had devoted a great deal of attention to the study of Greek thought and had made original contributions to different branches of science. Their interest in the study of the empirical and the temporal was much more lively than that of the Hindus. They had gone beyond the Hindus in the mathematical and biological sciences and in technical inventions.
They had given much attention to historical investigation. In fact, they had left behind even the Greeks and the Romans in the fields of historiography, biography and geography. They were the teachers of Europe in the natural sciences.

In mystic insight and transcendental philosophy, the Hindu mind was more original and more profound and its output was more abundant, but its concern with the knowledge of the natural and the phenomenal was much more limited. The fact of the matter, however, is that both the Hindus and the Muslims had, long before the nineteenth century, reached a stage when all advance in natural knowledge had become arrested. In the sciences—medicine, mathematics, astronomy, chemistry, etc.—, the Hindu knowledge had become completely stereotyped. So far as the Muslims were concerned, their thinkers, discoverers and writers of standard treatises were almost all non-Indian Muslims who had lived before the fourteenth century. In India they produced only commentators and scholiasts or compilers of compendiums.

Apparently, the Turkish domination of the Caliphate and later the conquest and sack of Baghdad by the Mongols in the east and the expansion of Christian principalities in the Iberian Peninsula, gave a fatal blow to the development of the sciences among the Islamic countries. The later Muslim States—the Safavides of Iran and the Mughals of India, though far-famed for their wealth and glory, made no contribution to the advancement of these sciences.

The Hindu and Muslim learned circles moved largely within their own orbits and so, with a few exceptions, they did not exchange their knowledge and thus failed to fertilize one another's mind. Then the eighteenth century was a period of political chaos and intellectual decline. In those times of trouble, when war and violence were universal, it was not possible for the sciences to flourish. However, when peace was established by the British conquest, the primary condition for the growth of knowledge was secured. The conquerors had brought with them a new type of knowledge which fell on fertile soil.

III. EARLY ACTIVITIES OF CHRISTIAN MISSIONS

In the period preceding the battle of Plassey, there were two main agencies of intellectual change. Indians came under the
influence of European merchants in commercial undertakings and in administrative work. The ways of living and transacting business of the Europeans impressed the Indian mind, and some Indians acquired knowledge of the English language in their company. But their number was limited. This was due to the fact that the Englishmen who came out to India in these early times found it more useful to learn the languages of the country, especially Persian, the language of the rulers, with whom they had to deal and from whom they had to obtain permits and other favours.

The Christian missionaries who were eager to spread Christianity in India went out among Indians to preach the Gospel and opened schools for the education of Indian children in a Christian atmosphere. Christian missionary activity in India had a long history going back to the first century A.D. But in modern times, it was resumed with the advent of the Portuguese on the Malabar coast. The Roman Catholic enterprise suffered a collapse with the rise of the Protestant states of Europe as colonial powers in the 18th century.

At the time the work of the Roman Catholic missions became moribund, the Protestant states of Europe began to take interest in spreading the Christian faith according to their beliefs. In the Protestant countries of Europe, there had come into existence a number of societies, sects and denominations which showed much zeal in evangelical work.

The wave of religious revival in Protestant Europe induced Frederick William IV, the King of Denmark, to undertake the responsibility of sending missions abroad. He invited two Pietist ministers of Germany, namely, Ziegenbalg and Plutschau, to proceed to India. Ziegenbalg arrived in India in 1706 and established at Tranquebar the Danish Tamil Mission. He learnt the Tamil language, imported a press for printing Tamil books and published a translation of the Bible. He also founded a school for Indian and half-caste Eurasian children.

The Protestant mission had some success inasmuch as it converted a considerable number of persons from the lower classes—Shudras (Thavar) and Pariahs—created an Indian pastorate and opened mission stations in Madras (Fort St. George) and Cuddalore (Fort St. David).

*Christian Missions in the Educational Field*

The work of the missionaries—both Roman Catholic and Protestant, in the south was not significant from the point of
view of spreading Westernism in India. Both in the matter of conversion to Christianity and of education, missionary activity was, by and large, confined to the lower classes among the Hindus, while the influential upper class was scarcely affected. Nobili’s peculiar methods of claiming to be a Brahman and revealer of the fifth Veda, had little influence on the Brahmans. The new middle class had not yet come into existence.

In Bengal, however, the situation was different. By the middle of the 18th century, there was a group of Indians interested in the new ways of the English residents living in their midst. When, in 1757, Bengal came under British domination and, a few years later, the British administration was organised, rapid developments took place. New social groups engaged in different pursuits but dependent on the rulers, came into existence.

In the early stages, the East India Company did not take any interest in evangelical activity. Although the Charter of 1698 enjoined upon the chaplains in their factories “to study the vernacular language, the better to enable them to instruct the Gentooas that shall be the servants or slaves of the same Company, or of their agents, in the Protestant religion,”¹ the Company was so engrossed in making profits that the injunction was not heeded.

After Plassey, Protestant missionary activity began in earnest in Bengal. Kiernander, of the Danish Mission, arrived in Calcutta in 1758 and was allowed by Clive to start his mission. But his work was largely confined to the English and the half-castes, known as “Portuguese,” although he converted one Hindu of note, Ganesh Das of Delhi who was Persian interpreter and translator at the Supreme Court of Calcutta.

The chaplains of the Company also started missionary work in India before the arrival of the English Church missionaries. They were the products of the British universities, and consequently took interest in educational matters. For instance, David Brown (died 1812) became the Provost of the Fort William College. He also opened a boarding school for young Hindus of Calcutta. Henry Martyn (died 1812) served in Calcutta, Dinapur and Kanpur. He translated the New Testament into Urdu, Persian and Arabic, and started schools. Daniel Corrie who was posted to Agra and then to Banaras, induced Raja Jay

¹ Richter, J., A History of Missions in India, translated by S. H. Moore (1908), p. 129
Narayan Ghosal to open a school which was the pioneer in English education in Uttar Pradesh.

The next important group of missionaries consisted of William Carey and his two colleagues. Carey came to Calcutta in 1793, at a time when the religious policy of the Company towards the missions had assumed a distinctly hostile attitude. The warning of the Portuguese failure was before them and they had come to realise that "rebellion, civil war, and universal unrest would certainly accompany every attempt to promote missionary enterprise and, above all, that the conversion of a high-caste native soldier would inevitably mean the disbanding of the army and the overthrow of British rule in India."1

Other reasons, according to Richter, for the change were the abandonment of Christian morality by the English officials like Warren Hastings and Philip Francis, the commendation of Eastern religion and philosophy by the Orientalists, and the prohibition by the Company of the residence of Europeans who were not in their service or did not hold a passport from them in their territories.

Carey had, therefore, to undergo many hardships, but in 1798, John Marshman and William Ward joined him and they all settled down at Serampur which was then a Danish colony, twenty miles north of Calcutta. Their joint endeavours had a considerable share in moulding the mind of new Bengal. In the awakening of Bengal, which has been compared in some aspects with the Renaissance of Europe, the contribution of the Serampur missionaries was not negligible.

Carey who had an insatiable appetite for learning and an unusual interest in scientific knowledge, applied himself to obtain mastery over the Indian languages like Sanskrit, Bengali, Marathi, Hindi, etc. He translated the New Testament into Bengali and soon acquired the reputation of being a competent linguist. He was appointed a teacher of Bengali in the Fort William College started by Wellesley in 1801 for training candidates for the Indian civil and military services. This appointment gave a great impetus to his literary and scientific activity. He produced a grammar and a dictionary of Sanskrit, and prepared a new edition of Valmiki's Ramayana, and other old Sanskrit works. Besides, he became an enthusiastic student of the Indian flora.

1 Chaplain Fisher's description of the general feeling in India in 1812, Richter, op. cit., p. 131
One of Carey’s outstanding services to the emergence of modern Bengali was laying the foundations of modern Bengali prose. Before Carey, Bengali possessed a rich treasury of poetry, but its quality had sadly deteriorated in the 18th century, while its prose had nothing of value. Carey wrote a grammar of Bengali which though not the first—for Halhed was his precursor, was the first really scientific treatise on the subject. Then he prepared a dictionary of about 80,000 Bengali words. These two works helped in determining the form of Bengali prose writing. His own writings, besides the grammar and dictionary, included a number of textbooks, e.g., Kathopakathana (dialogues) and Itihasa Mala (stories).

Carey was not only himself an author, but he gathered a band of writers round him, like Mrityunjaya, Ram Ram Basu, Rajib Lochan, Chandi Charan, Mohan Prasad Thakur, and others.

These Pandits and Munshis were among the pioneers of the literary renaissance in Bengal. But Carey’s sphere of activity was not confined to literature alone. He was a zealot for reform, for many shameful evils prevailed in popular Hinduism which cried out for eradication. The education of boys and girls owed a great stimulus to him.

Carey’s two colleagues—Marshman and Ward, supported him with enthusiasm. Marshman was a teacher and he and his wife started schools for boys and girls, which became centres of modern education. Mrs. Hannah Marshman founded the first girls school in Serampur, and another in Calcutta. The Baptist Female School Society, established by the Serampur missionaries, organised a chain of schools for girls in Calcutta, Dacca, Chittagong and other towns.

Ward was a printer and he was responsible for the first press, which prepared the types for Bengali letters. He established a large printing house, with a paper-mill and a type foundry in Serampur. The press not only printed books prepared by Serampur missionaries and teachers of the Fort William College, but also the productions of scholars like Colebrooke and scientists like Roxburgh. The printing press made it possible to undertake the publication of newspapers and journals in Bengali and English from Serampur.

Thus, in several ways, the first batch of Serampur missionaries made a considerable effort to introduce India to the thought of the West. Their successors were John Clark
Marshman (1794—1877), the author of a book on Indian history, and translator of a treatise on astronomy and geography; Felix Carey (1786—1822), son of William Carey, prepared the first Bengali encyclopaedia containing chapters on anatomy, medicine and chemistry, and a glossary of technical terms; William Yates (1792—1845), who wrote in Bengali treatises on natural philosophy and natural history and an introduction to the Bengali language in two volumes.

Many other missionaries contributed to the growing corpus of Western knowledge in Bengali—translations of English books and works on scientific subjects. Whatever the standard of their literary achievement, the fact remains that they gave a powerful stimulus to Indian thinking and helped the Indian mind to break the shackles of medievalism.

IV. New Era in Missionary Enterprise—Introduction of English Education

In 1813, a new Charter was granted to the East India Company which marks a fresh era in the history of missionary enterprise in India. Powerful friends of the missions, like Charles Grant and William Wilberforce, succeeded in persuading Parliament to include a clause in the Charter whereby "facilities shall be afforded by law to persons desirous of going to, or remaining in, India for the purpose of accomplishing those benevolent designs," namely, the introduction of useful knowledge and religious and moral improvement. It also provided for the establishment of an Episcopal See in Calcutta to be maintained from the territorial revenues of India.

Charles Grant, who had served the Company in India in important positions and amassed a fortune, was for some years the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the East India Company. He had prepared an indictment of the people and civilisation of India in 1792, which was published in 1797 and circulated widely when the Charter was under consideration. Supported by Sir John Shore (Lord Teignmouth) and other influential members of the Clapham Sect and promoted by the powerful advocacy of Wilberforce of the anti-slavery fame, the English Missionary Societies secured permission to pursue their activities all over the British territories without let or hindrance. Immediately, many missionaries and ministers were sent out to India and they established stations at a large number of
places in the north and the south. Societies from Europe and America joined the British Missions in the work of expanding education.

The most noted of the churchmen who came out after the Charter of 1813, was Alexander Duff (1806—1878). With him the second stage of missionary work in India began, and he made a deeper mark upon it than any other missionary. Equally important was his contribution to the westernisation of Bengal. When he arrived in India in 1830, Duff found missionary work in a low state. The only candidates for baptism were “poor, down-trodden individuals belonging to the lowest castes,” and the Indian Christian community was despised by their neighbours. The great question before Duff was, “is there then no possible way of getting into touch with the influential classes, the upper castes of India?” The answer which suggested itself to him was that education offered a possible way, and that the English language alone could be the reliable means for conveying the Christian culture of the West to the Indians.1 Said he, “the English language, I repeat it, is the lever which, as the instrument of conveying the entire range of knowledge, is destined to move all Hindustan.”2 The knowledge of English, therefore, would be absolutely necessary for the Indians. Would the upper classes of India which had hitherto given the lead in matters intellectual, “hold themselves coldly aloof from English?” The answer was ‘no’. The old upper classes might scorn the foreign tongue, but the new middle class was impatient to avail itself of the opportunities which the new order offered them. English promised to be the talisman which could open new vistas of wealth and influence, of material gain, and, therefore, of advancement in social status and personal dignity.

Duff made up his mind that the new line of missionary work was to bring the youth of India under Christian influence by means of schools and colleges. He hoped, through them, to gain entrance into the higher circles of Indian society, to disseminate a general knowledge of Christianity among the Indian people and to make converts of young men belonging to the best Indian families.

Duff met Ram Mohan Roy. The representative of the West,

---

1 Richter, J., op. cit., p. 177
2 Speech of Alexander Duff at the General Assembly, 25th May 1835, see Sen, P., Western Influence in Bengali Literature, (Calcutta University, 1932), p. 75
zealous for the conversion of the pagans of India through English education, met the outstanding Indian of the time, the harbinger of a new age, who believed with equal ardour that the spread of modern knowledge was necessary to restore the vanished greatness of his motherland. Although the purposes of the two were poles apart—the first stood for the destruction of values India had cherished during thousands of years, and the other desired to purify and perpetuate the ancient faith by removing the dust and corruption of the ages, the two agreed to co-operate for their immediate objective, namely, the advancement of Western learning. Duff opened his school where teaching of the Bible occupied an important place in the programme, in 1830.

Alexander Duff was undoubtedly a remarkable personality whose influence upon the Government and Indian society was of a far-reaching character. He was a strong advocate of English education. He organised a network of mission schools where Western knowledge was imparted along with the teaching of the Christian religion. He succeeded in converting a number of Indians belonging to the most noted families of Calcutta—Mukerjis, Banerjis, Chakravartis, Ghoshes, Mazumdars, Dutts, Sarkars, Naths, Gangulis, etc. Among them, some rose to prominence in Bengal, e.g., Krishna Mohan Banerjea, Gopinath Nundy, Mohesh Chunder Ghose, Ananda Chunder Mazumdar, and Lal Behari Dey.

Duff's support of English education was an important factor in persuading Bentinck to issue his famous Resolution of March 7, 1835, which announced the new policy of the Government in favour of English education and its decision to use the funds sanctioned by the Directors of the Company to propagate European science and literature and to foster English culture.

His example influenced also the policy of the missions in India. All over the country colleges supported by missionary societies sprang up to teach Western literature and Western sciences. In Bombay, the Wilson College (1832), in Madras, the Christian College (1837), in Nagpur, the Hislop College (1844), in Agra, the St. John's College (1853) and in Masulipatam, the Noble College (1841), were all established on the lines of Duff's "General Assembly's Institution" (1830), which was later (in 1908) amalgamated with the Scottish Churches College, Calcutta.

An estimate of the missionary work done by the middle of the
nineteenth century must take into account its dual character—constructive and destructive. On the constructive side, the important items are education, literary work, social reform, and medical relief.

So far as education is concerned, it should be remembered that the modern elementary school system was almost entirely supported by the Christian Missions, that half the number of pupils of the high schools was in their institutions, and that a large number of colleges was maintained by them. The education of women and the provision of hostels for their residence were largely their concern.

Then the creation of a prose style and a prose literature owe a great deal to the missionaries. In the modern Indian languages, there were not many which had developed a prose style adequate for the expression of serious subjects. The missionaries were pioneers in the field. Among the creators of prose were the translators of the Bible into the Indian languages—Carey, Brown, Newlin, Skinner, Bailey, Grundert, etc. They produced grammars and dictionaries and thus determined the form and content of the languages. Their literary enterprise included not only religious tracts and books, but also textbooks for schools, translation of works like Bunyan's *Pilgrim's Progress*, and books descriptive of Indian religions, castes, myths, etc.

In the educational sphere, the opening of schools, colleges and hostels for Indian women was of special importance, for women's education had been almost completely neglected in medieval India. Considering the influence which they exercise in the home and in society, the value of their education far exceeds that which is indicated by their numbers. Educated women have played an ever-increasing part in the public life of the country, and taken their fair share in the struggle for independence. The first stages of their awakening were undoubtedly due to the efforts of the Christian missions.

If from this positive role, attention is turned to the other side of the missionary work, it will be found to have been equally effective in producing results, but in a totally different way. This was the evangelical side, including the preaching of the tenets and principles of the Christian religion and delivering polemical onslaughts against Indian religions. Even in education, the dual object was never lost sight of. For it was believed that "every teacher, whether Hindu, Muslim or Christian, when
teaching science and mathematics, is breaking to pieces with a rod of iron the earthenware vessels of Hinduism.”¹

The Protestant missionaries of the latter half of the 18th and the first half of the 19th century were the product of an age of revolution in religion, starting from Pietism and Moravianism and proceeding through Methodism and Evangelicalism. It was an age of revivalism and of emotional exuberance. It believed in progress through Christian morality and virtue, in the reformation of society and improvement of the world through Christian principles. It is said of the members of the Clapham Sect that “schools, prison discipline, savings banks, tracts, village libraries, district visitings, and church buildings, each for a time rivalled their cosmopolitan projects. Every human interest had its guardian, every region of the globe its representative.”²

Revivalism is strong meat, and the Protestant missionaries who came out to India were fed on it. They had imbibed the fierce intolerance of the stern reformers of the Christian faith. They believed with Wilberforce that India was a “darkened land, a benighted and degraded region,” and shared his “horror at the abysmal depths of Indian paganism.” They were, therefore, unrestrained in their denunciation of the Hindu, Muslim and other religions of India. Their speeches and writings were filled with abuses against Indian religions and morality, manners, customs, festivals, ceremonial and ways of living. They spared neither the Hindus nor the Muslims. In fact, if they found Islam less open to criticism as a religion, they made up for it by bitter hostility to their politics. After all, the British had wrested the dominion of India from the Muslims whom they considered their potential enemies. The only difference, then, between the two was that the Hindus were considered more cunning and mild, and the Muslims more arrogant and bold.

V. GOVERNMENT’S ATTITUDE TOWARDS EDUCATION

The educational activities of the missionaries, notwithstanding their objectionable features, fulfilled a need which was increasingly felt by the new classes arising in India. They found that both on grounds of utility and social prestige, a knowledge

²Overton, J. H., The Evangelical Revival in the 18th Century (Epochs of Church History), p. 148
of the English language was necessary. In their commercial activities, they had to deal with English merchants and to be able to speak to them in their language was naturally advantageous. Then in the services, whether in the private firms of the foreigners or in the Company’s administration, an English-knowing Indian had better chances of advancement and favour than one who was ignorant of English. Knowledge of English had also acquired a value of its own, for it made it easy for an Indian to move about in the society of Englishmen, and thus to enjoy a certain distinction among people of his own class. For Englishmen, it was a great convenience if they could carry on business with the Indian merchants, agents and subordinates in their own language.

At first, some Indians learnt English through their contacts with Englishmen. Then, private schools maintained by Englishmen taught English. The deserters from the Company’s army, chaplains and English merchants taught many Indians. Missionary schools were established quite early and provided instruction in English. The more the language spread, the more did the demand for it increase. When the Company became the ruler of the Bengal province, great impetus was given to the study of English. English officers who had to carry the burden of the administration had to learn Persian, but they found it irksome and preferred to have in service English-knowing Indians. Thus on both sides, there was an urge to spread the use of the English language.

As their knowledge of the English language increased, Indians began to appreciate the value of English literature and science. To utilitarian considerations was added intellectual curiosity which further strengthened the desire to learn English.

The use of Persian was in any case doomed. It had ceased to be the language of the rulers. It was not the language of the ruled, nor was it the language of religion. For the Muslims, it was Arabic, and for the Hindus Sanskrit. It is true that, for hundreds of years, Persian had been cultivated by the educated Muslims and many educated Hindus, but under the new dispensation, the years of its utility were numbered.

Already, in the early years of British rule, the view that English should become the language of Government was being ventilated. Men like Charles Grant advocated this view, because they believed that the only way to redeem the ‘benighted’ Indians was to communicate the light and knowledge
of the West to them, and the medium through which this could be done best was the English language. Grant observed: "... our Eastern dependencies. They are our own, we have possessed them long; many Englishmen reside among the Natives, our language is not unknown there, and it is practicable to diffuse it more widely. ... The first communication and the instrument of introducing the rest, must be the English language; ... To introduce the language of the conquerors, seems to be an obvious means of assimilating a conquered people to them."1

There were other reasons too. The officials argued that it was absurd to employ the jargon of a conquered people in matters of revenue and political administration, to forego an easy channel of communication between the subjects and the rulers and to deprive them of direct approach to the highest officials, ignorant of their language.

Finally, knowledge of Western literature and science would not only dissolve their mental bondage, but also restore the use of reason in all affairs and improve the capability of the people to exploit properly the advantages of soil, climate and situation and increase the comforts and conveniences of life.

Nor had Grant any fear that expansion of education would lead to the extinction of the British empire. For he thought that Western education would bring about better understanding between the rulers and the ruled, would secure the gratitude of the Indian people, and lead to greater extension of British commerce in India.

But so far as the Court of Directors of the Company were concerned, none of these arguments appealed to them. They remained apprehensive of the effects of education upon the stability of their rule. They also thought that if the English Government was doing nothing for the education of the people of England, it was too early for the Company to incur any expense on the education of its Indian subjects. Thus, from 1765 to 1813, the Company took no steps for the encouragement of general education. The Company, however, did feel that with the transfer of power from the Indian rulers, the duty to perform some of the functions of their predecessors devolved upon them, e.g., the patronage of the learned and the endowment of higher learning in madrasas and tols. This was more

---

necessary because the administration of law and justice required the services of learned men who studied Hindu and Muslim systems of law.

Accordingly, the Calcutta Madrasa was founded by Warren Hastings in 1780. His object was "to conciliate the Muhammedans of Calcutta, . . . to qualify the sons of Muhammedan gentlemen for responsible and lucrative offices in the State, even at that date largely monopolised by the Hindus, and to produce competent officers for the Courts of Justice."1

Then followed the establishment of the Banaras Sanskrit College in 1791 by Jonathan Duncan, the Resident. Its objects were similar to those of the Calcutta Madrasa, viz., to conciliate the Hindus. Wellesley's Fort William College, which was opened in 1801, was intended for the instruction of the English civil servants of the Company, but its staff was utilised to prepare textbooks, grammars and dictionaries in Indian languages and to translate books from other languages. Its main service was to contribute to the development of Indian literature, especially prose.

The opening of the Calcutta Madrasa and the Banaras Sanskrit College, the researches undertaken by the English scholars interested in Indian classical languages, e.g., Wilkins, William Jones, Colebrooke and others, and the growing enthusiasm for education in England could not but affect the views of the administrators in India. In 1811, Lord Minto recorded a minute drawing attention to the progressive decay of science and literature among the Indian people, which he traced "to the want of that encouragement which was formerly afforded to it by princes, chieftains, and opulent individuals under the native governments"2 and deplored that the English Government had failed to extend its fostering care to the literature of the Hindus.

By 1813, public opinion in England was sufficiently roused to include a clause in the Charter providing that "a sum of not less than one lakh of rupees (£10,000) in each year shall be set apart and applied to the revival and improvement of literature, and the encouragement of the learned natives of India, and for the introduction and promotion of a knowledge of the sciences among the inhabitants of the British territories in India."3

1 Howell, A., _Education in British India_, (Calcutta 1872), p. 1
2 Minute by Lord Minto, dated March 6, 1811, see Basu, A. N., _Indian Education in Parliamentary Papers_, Part I (1832), (Bombay, 1952), p. 144
3 Letter of Court of Directors, Sept. 6, 1813; ibid., p. 148
The clause, however, remained almost a dead letter till 1823. The sum of £10,000 was not spent in full in any year from 1813 to 1823. Then the officials of the Company became involved in controversies regarding the aims, objects and methods of education. Finally, Bentinck, on the advice of Macaulay, made up his mind and ended the controversy by adopting the Resolution of 7th March, 1835.

VI. WORK OF NON-OFFICIAL AGENCIES

The intervening period, in spite of the indifference and even unwillingness of the Company to promote general education, was not barren of results. A modest amount of educational work, which has already been described, stands to the credit of the missionaries.

Besides the missionaries, the Indians themselves were becoming alive to the need for modern education. Although their opportunities and resources were limited, they were fortunate in receiving the powerful support of official and non-official Englishmen in making provision for Western education for Indian boys and girls.

One of the earliest fruits of this co-operation was the opening of the Hindu College or Vidyalaya in 1817. Among the strongest supporters of Western education in India were Ram Mohan Roy and David Hare, a watchmaker and jeweller by profession, who had settled down in Calcutta to devote his life to the promotion of education, social reform and liberal institutions.

David Hare was keen on establishing a college for the teaching of the English language and literature and European sciences, and, notwithstanding his secular outlook and disregard for Sanskrit, he obtained the support of a number of prominent Hindus and of Sir Edward Hyde East, the Chief Justice of the Calcutta Supreme Court, to organise a Committee and collect funds for the College. Ram Mohan Roy chose to stand aloof from the Committee as he was disliked by the orthodox section for his progressive views on Hindu religion and social reform.

The College which thus came into existence was intended exclusively for Hindu students. It soon grew in numbers and had 436 students on the rolls by 1828. Meanwhile, its management fell into incompetent hands, and the General Committee intervened and appointed a Visitor. Ultimately, the Government took it over and merged it in the Presidency College in 1855.
Ram Mohan Roy, who had unbounded enthusiasm for English education, had opened an English School at his own expense as early as 1816-17, where his own son Ram Prasad and Debendra Nath Tagore, son of his friend Maharshi Dwarkanath Tagore, were educated. Besides the School, he also built the Vedanta College where Hindu philosophy and learning were studied in the light of modern thought.

During the early days of the Hindu College, its students acquired notoriety for their somewhat exuberant and open defiance of Hindu orthodoxy in matters of doctrine and belief, as well as of the rules of eating and drinking. The wind of change had begun to blow.

Another non-official agency which did educational work was the Calcutta School Society which was formed in 1819 to establish schools for elementary education and to train teachers. In 1823 it received pecuniary aid from Government.

The success of these private endeavours to spread modern education showed that the demand for education was rapidly growing. The Company's Government which had been apathetic so far, was at last obliged to give its attention to the problem.

VII. TRIUMPH OF ENGLISH EDUCATION

In 1823, Adam, who was holding temporary charge of the post of Governor-General, appointed a General Committee of Public Instruction consisting of ten members, including H. T. Prinsep and H. H. Wilson, and placed the grant of one lakh of rupees a year together with the accumulated arrears and the appropriations sanctioned for the existing institutions, at its disposal.

The Committee was confronted with two problems. In the first place, it had to decide upon the character of education to be imparted, and, secondly, to determine who should be the recipients of this education. The question was whether teaching should be confined to the traditional learning of the Hindus and the Muslims, enshrined in the Sanskrit and Arabic

---

1 The following list of contributions made to the Education Department for public instruction in 1826 and published in the Harkaru of December 1826 throws light on private endeavours to spread education in Bengal:

1. Raja Baidya Nath Ray, Rs. 50,000
2. Raja Shiva Chandar and Harish Chandar Ray, Rs. 40,000
3. Babu Gur Parshad Bose, Rs. 10,000
languages, or be extended to the Western sciences and Western language and literature; and, secondly, whether education should be given to the upper class alone or whether the masses also should be included in the scheme.

The Committee was dominated by the Orientalists who were strongly of opinion that considering "the still vigorous prejudices of both Mahomedans and Hindoos, and the want of available instruments for any beneficial purpose of greater extent, we conceive that it is undoubtedly necessary to make it the business of Government institutions intended for those classes respectively to teach we hope (not long exclusively), Mahomedan and Hindoo literature and science."\(^1\)

Opposed to them were the Court of Directors acting under their mentor, James Mill, the pupil of Bentham, the Christian missionaries like Bishop Heber and Alexander Duff, and the advanced section of the Hindu community led by Ram Mohan Roy, who addressed a strongly worded letter to Lord Amherst, opposing the opening of a Sanskrit college at Calcutta and deprecating the teaching of Sanskrit learning. After pointing out that "no improvement can be expected from inducing young men to consume a dozen of years of the most valuable period of their lives, in acquiring the niceties of the Baikaran (Vyakaranai) or Sanskrit grammar,"\(^2\) or from the speculations of Vedanta, which will not fit the youth to be better members of society, or from Mimansa or Nyaya, Ram Mohan Roy added, "but as the improvement of the native population is the object of the Government, it will consequently promote a more liberal and enlightened system of instruction, embracing Mathematics, Natural Philosophy, Chemistry, Anatomy, with other useful sciences, which may be accomplished with the sum proposed by employing a few gentlemen of talent and learning educated in Europe and providing a college furnished with the necessary books, instruments and other apparatus."\(^3\)

This powerful opposition was strengthened by the arrival of Macaulay in India in 1834 as the new legislative member of the Council of the Governor-General. He was appointed President of the Council of Education and his influence and authority turned the scales in favour of English education. On

---
\(^1\) Reply of the Committee to the Court of Directors, 18 August 1824, see Howell, A., op. cit., p. 17
\(^3\) Ibid., p. 327
February 2, 1835, he submitted his famous Minute to the Governor-General, Lord William Bentinck. The weight of its argument and the impressive style of its balanced sentences led Bentinck to approve the policy recommended by Macaulay, more so, as Macaulay marshalled well some facts which lent their support to this course. For instance, that Indians were unmistakably in favour of English education, and were willing to pay to learn English, but required to be paid to be taught Sanskrit and Arabic; that a number of young men had presented a petition to the Committee drawing attention to their miserable condition, for, in spite of a long and elaborate course of study at the Sanskrit College, they had little prospect of bettering their condition. While 31,000 English books published by the School Book Society were sold out in course of two years, so far as Arabic and Sanskrit books were concerned, not enough were sold in three years to meet even their storage charges for two months, to say nothing of the printing expenses.¹

Bentinck agreed that the funds at the disposal of the Government should be utilised in giving instruction in useful knowledge and thereby, he committed himself to the view that the aim of educating the rising class of Indians was to spread Western knowledge which would help create a class of persons who would be “Indians in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect,” or, in plain language, Indians with a modern mind.

The decision was in accord with Indian opinion which was duly impressed by the proved superiority of their Western conquerors both in the arts of war and peace, and in the methods of administration and of industry. A sure and sound instinct counselled them that the way to progress and power lay through the adoption of a new outlook upon life and the assimilation of new ways of thinking and behaviour. Ram Mohan Roy was the most distinguished interpreter of the aspirations of the new class, but even many of the orthodox and conservative Indians felt the need in the same way and lent their assistance to the schemes for the propagation of Western education and knowledge.

The spread of English education among Indians met also the requirements of the British administration. The employment of a large number of Indians in the subordinate services was

¹Trevelyan, C. E., On the Education of the People of India (London, 1838), p. 9
an administrative necessity. Their training in Western ways of thinking facilitated the functioning of an essentially Western administrative machinery. It also ensured considerable relief to the superior British officials from the onerous task of learning the language of the subject people. Moreover, it was expected that the taste for Western literature and science would grow among the educated Indians and their appreciation of Western knowledge would create sentiments of friendliness and gratitude towards those who bestowed the gift of modern knowledge upon them. The fear that education would lead to a demand for independence was dismissed as exaggerated and unreal.

The reasons for the introduction of English education were clear. Elphinstone wrote: “The dangers to which we are exposed from the sensitive character of the religion of the natives; and the slippery foundation of our Government, owing to the total separation between us and our subjects, require the adoption of some measures to counteract them; and the only one is to remove their prejudice, and to communicate our own principles and opinions by the diffusion of a rational education.”

Sir John Malcolm, Governor of Bombay, wrote in 1828: “One of the chief objects I expect from diffusing education among the natives of India, is our increased power of associating them in every part of our administration. This I deem essential on grounds of economy, of improvement and of security.”

The Court of Directors, in their letter dated 5th September, 1827, stated the object of English education in these words: “Adverting to the daily increasing demand for the employment of natives in the business of the country, and in important departments of the Government, the first object of improved education should be to prepare a body of individuals for discharging public duties. It may, we trust, be expected that the intended course of education will not only produce a higher degree of intellectual fitness, but that it will contribute to raise the moral character of those who partake of its advantages, and supply you with servants to whose probity you may, with increased confidence, commit offices of trust.”

Again, in their letter of 29th September, 1830, the Court of

1 Elphinstone’s Minute, dated December 13, 1823, see Basu, A. N., op. cit., p. 209
2 Ibid., p. 223
3 Court of Directors letter to the Governor-General in Council of Bengal, dated 5th September, 1827; ibid., p. 160
Directors repeated their views of education, "as being calculated to raise up a class of persons qualified, by their intelligence and morality, for high employments in the civil administration of India."  

Thus, by 1835, the intellectual ferment among Indians, the promptings of administrative convenience, the urge of Christian missionaries and of liberally inclined individuals among officials and non-officials, and the popularity of English schools started by them, induced the Governor-General to approve the main proposal in Macaulay's minute.

But, although Bentinck made his choice in favour of European literature and science and for the appropriation of funds for the purpose of English education, he did not accept the recommendation to abolish the institutions for Oriental learning. He continued Government aid to the Calcutta Madrasa and the Calcutta and Banares Sanskrit Colleges, but terminated the system of paying stipends to Oriental scholars and of spending funds on printing Oriental works.

Auckland continued the printing of Oriental works. His minute of November 24, 1839, ended the controversy between the protagonists of Oriental and Western learning, by guaranteeing the continuation of the existing institutions of Oriental learning and assuring the preparation and publication of books in Oriental languages within the limits of sanctioned funds, while reaffirming the decision of Bentinck to promote education in Western learning.

Two other connected problems were subjects of great controversy during this period. One related to the medium of education and the other to the diffusion of education among the masses. Decisions on both these subjects had cultural, social and political consequences of a far-reaching character.

So far as the question of the medium of instruction was concerned there were three alternatives. One school of thought considered that all high school and university education should be imparted through the English language. The second believed in making the classical languages of India—Sanskrit, Arabic and Persian, the media for teaching Western branches of knowledge. The third school regarded the spoken languages—Bengali, Hindi, Urdu, etc.—as appropriate for this purpose.

Of the first school, Charles Grant was the pioneer. He held that in point of ultimate advantage, the employment of the

---

English language was superior; not only was English the key to a world of new ideas, but its acquisition was expected to undermine and subvert the fabric of error that was Hinduism. Above all, the teaching of the language of the conquerors was the obvious means of assimilating the conquered people to them. However, it was Macaulay's advocacy which convinced the Government to opt for English. He condemned the spoken languages as utterly lacking in literary and scientific information and, therefore, wholly unsuitable for higher education. About Sanskrit and Arabic, his views found expression in the pithy, but ignorant and contemptuous sentence, "a single shelf of a good European library was worth the whole native literature of India and Arabia."1 After eulogising the English language, he recommended the use of English because "in India, English is the language spoken by the ruling class. It is spoken by the higher class of natives at the seats of Government. It is likely to become the language of commerce throughout the seas of the East."2

Sir Charles Trevelyan, who was a member of the Committee of Public Instruction and brother-in-law of Macaulay, advanced two other reasons for the adoption of English. He held that the effect of English education would be to enrich the Indian languages, to unify them and thus hasten the cultural unity of India. He said, "saturated from the source, recast in the same mould, with a common science, a common standard of taste, a common nomenclature, the national languages, as well as the national character, will be consolidated, the scientific and literary acquisitions of each portion of the community will be at once thrown into a common stock for the general good; and we shall leave a united and enlightened nation, where we found a people broken up into sections, distracted by the system of caste, even in the bosom of each separate society, and depressed by literary system, devised much more with a view to check the progress, than to promote the advance of the human mind."3

He hoped that English education would make Indians enthusiastic supporters of British rule. "Educated in the same way, interested in the same objects, engaged in the same pursuits with ourselves, they become more English than Hindus,

2 Ibid., p. 110
3 Trevelyan, C. E., op. cit., p. 125
just as the Roman provincials became more Roman than Gauls or Italians.”

While the first part of the forecast has been largely justified by events, the second has turned out somewhat differently from what was expected by Trevelyon. On the whole, however, the prediction of Macaulay and Trevelyon has proved amazingly accurate. But it will be straining the truth to say that the Indian has become a copy of the Englishman in every respect except the colour of his skin, or that the educated Indian’s loyalty to British rule has been fortified by his English education. In fact, the contrary has proved to be true.

The Orientalists who urged the use of Sanskrit and Arabic were, unfortunately, advocating a lost cause, for these languages, however rich in literature and philosophy, and however revered for their sacred contents, were practically ruled out. They were not spoken languages of any considerable group of Indians; their knowledge was confined to a very small number, and they required prolonged labour for attaining proficiency in them, for which neither the rulers nor the ruled were prepared.

Neglect of Indian Languages

The use of the spoken languages for imparting Western knowledge was advocated in several quarters. Some of the members of the General Committee, like Wilson and Shakespeare, were in favour of it. Malcolm, Munro and Elphinstone supported it. The Vernacular Seminary in Calcutta, established by some influential Indian citizens, pressed for the cultivation of Indian languages even after Bentinck had decided in favour of English. The feasibility of teaching the syllabus of secondary schools through Indian languages and the beneficial effects on the development of Indian literature were pointed out. There was the actual example of Bombay, where the Indian languages were being used as media of instruction in most of the secondary schools.

While recognising that teaching through Indian languages was likely to be more economical and more advantageous from the point of view of the employment of Indian masters, Auckland refused to accept the suggestion, on the ground that “native youths will not come to our schools to be instructed in vernacular composition.”

1 Ibid., p. 190
2 Auckland’s Minute, dated 24th November, 1839, para 27 (Sharp, H., op. cit., p. 163)
The question of the medium of instruction was fought out in Bombay between Sir Erskine Perry, Judge of the High Court, President of the Board of Education and an Anglicist on the one side, and Col. Jervis, Jagannath Shankar Shet and the Indian members of the Board on the other, in 1847. Jagannath Shankar Shet in his minute submitted to the Board on 1st May, 1847, stated: "If our object is to diffuse knowledge and improve the minds of the natives of India as a people, it is my opinion that it must be done by imparting that knowledge to them in their own language. By what other channel can we ever hope to extend the advantages of education generally to our females? I repeat, I am far from wishing to discourage the study of English, but I believe it to be beyond the reach of the masses of the people." It was also made clear that the Indian spoken languages possessed advantages superior to English as the media of communicating useful knowledge. The question was referred to the Government which issued orders making English the exclusive medium of instruction at the collegiate stage, but allowing the mother-tongue to remain as the medium at the secondary stage. After 1833, the Governor-General's powers of superintendence and control over the presidencies of Bombay and Madras were enhanced and they were used to bring the Bombay system into line with that of Bengal.

The cause of the spoken languages thus received a set-back, and English was recognised as the medium of higher education. It has been held that English provided educated Indians, speaking different mother-tongues, with a common language which they could use for a common purpose, and thus an instrument of inter-communication between all parts of India was furnished. Also, that English education fostered common attitudes of mind and a similar outlook upon the problems of life and thus English helped in the development of Indian unity and in strengthening the consciousness of Indian nationality. Whether the same desirable results could not have been achieved by combining the teaching of English with the use of the mother-tongue as a medium of instruction still remains a moot point. In any case, it was never seriously considered. The language problem was, by and large, approached from the point of view of utility which, on the one hand, meant the convenience of the

---

1 Richey, J. A., Selections from Educational Records, Part II, 1840-1859 (Calcutta, 1922), p. 17
administrators and, on the other, the securing of recruits for the services.

It was the convenience of administration which determined the Government to concentrate on secondary and higher education and to neglect the education of the people as a whole. The Government of the East India Company, as we have seen already, was interested, in the early days of its rule, in the supply of scholars possessing a knowledge of Hindu and Muslim law; so, the Orientalist view dominated the educational policy. Then there was a shift, and the object came to be to secure educated subordinates for the newly created posts in the judicial, revenue and police departments. It was also considered politic to satisfy and conciliate the upper classes who had been deprived of their traditional employment and hoped to qualify for service through education. It was expected that the leaven of culture and education would spread from the educated higher classes to the masses.

Under the influence of such theories and on the ground of lack of adequate funds, the Company rejected the plans for mass education made by Elphinstone and Munro. Elphinstone, in his minute of 1823, had proposed an extension of school education "to hold out some encouragement to the lower order of natives to avail themselves of the means of instruction thus (by increased schools) afforded to them." Munro had urged: "If we resolve to educate the people, if we persevere in our design, and if we do not limit the schools to Tahsildaries but increase their number so as to allow them for smaller districts, I am confident that success will ultimately attend our endeavours."

The refusal to undertake direct responsibility for the general education of the people was unfortunate. It put the clock back. The decision had an adverse effect upon the indigenous system of education. Adam in his reports had asserted, on the basis of facts collected by him, that there was a large number of pathshalas and maktabs in Bengal in the early decades of the 19th century. In fact, there was almost in every village some kind of arrangement for the learning of the three R's. Governor-

1 Elphinstone's minute, dated December 13, 1823; Basu, A. N., op. cit., p. 197.
2 Munro, T., Minute, dated 10th March, 1826; Sharp, H., op. cit., p. 76
3 Sir Philip Hartog writing over a century later threw doubts upon Adam's conclusions:—Hartog, Sir Philip: Some Aspects of Indian Education—Past and Present, (Oxford University Press, 1939), pp. 80, et. seq.
General Lord Hastings wrote in 1815: "That the native governments were not inattentive to the important object of public education, is evinced by the numerous grants of rent-free land, and of pecuniary allowances for the endowment of public seminaries and the education of particular descriptions of pupils. But, in the general disregard of established institutions which appears to have marked the steps of the British Government hitherto, their appropriation has been lost sight of, and the funds have through an inattention been converted into private property by native individuals."¹

In the second place, as the Government refused to take care of the education of the people which could only be imparted through their spoken languages, there was no urge to pay attention to the development of these languages, especially when it was decided by Bentinck and confirmed by Metcalfe and Auckland that English would be the medium of instruction in secondary and collegiate education. The measures taken by Hardinge to promote elementary education did not meet with success.

*Progress of English Education*

The period after 1835 saw rapid developments on the lines adopted. English spread fast. Between 1835 and 1838, the number of institutions under the control of the Education Committee increased rapidly and the number of pupils nearly doubled.

Sir Charles Trevelyan noted: "The tide had set in strongly in favour of English education. ... More applications were received for the establishments of schools than would be complied with; there were more candidates for admission to many of those which were established than could be accommodated."² Two hundred Indians in Calcutta, according to the *Samachar Darpan*, were able to use English with as much facility as their mother-tongue. J. Sutherland, Sir John Shore, Holt Mackenzie and others bore testimony to its popularity. The *Missionary Register* noted the growing demand for it in the three Presidencies. A petition was submitted to Parliament by four thousand Indians requesting the Government to make English compulsory for civil services. The Select Committee of the House of Commons suggested in 1832 that proficiency in English may be required as a qualification for service.

The Charter of 1833 contained a clause which declared that

¹ Minute by Lord Moira, dated October 2, 1815: Sharp, H., *op. cit.*, p. 26
² Trevelyan, C., *op. cit.*, pp. 81-82
the natives of India should be eligible for every office, irrespective of religion, birth, descent or colour.

Bentinck who realised that the employment of Indians was absolutely indispensable to save the Company from bankruptcy, and to run the administration through men who possessed a knowledge of the character and customs of the governed, threw open a few appointments of Deputy Collectors carrying salaries up to Rs. 500/- to educated Indians. In 1836, Lord Auckland and his Council decided that, in judicial and revenue cases, proceedings should be conducted in the languages of the people instead of Persian, and by the Act XXIX of 1837, the use of Persian was dispensed with. In 1844, English was declared as a necessary qualification for the services, and orders were issued to prepare returns of meritorious students and circulate them among the heads of Government offices for filling up posts. This naturally gave great stimulus to English education.

The progress of education among the middle classes, for whom alone Government accepted the responsibility, was noteworthy in Bengal, and equally so in other parts of India under British rule.

**Education in Bombay**

In the Bombay Presidency, English education had followed a different course from that of Bengal. The territory comprising the Presidency came largely under British dominion after the last Maratha war of 1818. Previously, in the Bombay island, schools had begun to function for the education of Eurasian and Christian children. But, after the occupation of the Maratha country, the problem of Indian education faced the rulers. Mountstuart Elphinstone realised the need of education for the improvement of the moral and material condition of the people. He, therefore, ordered a survey which revealed that the situation was deplorable. The question then was how to make a start and how to introduce Western knowledge among the people.

Elphinstone was of the opinion that (1) as the demand for education through the English language was confined to the city of Bombay only, Indian languages should be utilised to spread Western learning; (2) that a well-planned system of primary education should be established, for it was more useful than the expansion of English education; and (3) that adequate provision should be made for higher Oriental learning.
The first step was to open a Sanskrit College at Poona in 1821 to appease the sentiment of the higher classes, who had been deprived of patronage by the disappearance of the Peshwa's Durbar. This was followed in 1822 by the formation of the Bombay Native School and Book Society for directing the development of education. In 1827, its name was changed to Bombay Native Education Society. The Society rendered notable service by preparing textbooks in modern Indian languages, training teachers and opening schools for teaching English. The result of its activities was that four District English Schools were started at Bombay, Thana, Panvel and Poona under the management of European Headmasters and about 115 primary schools were opened in the different districts where such subjects as History of England and India, Geography, Arithmetic, Algebra, Geometry, Trigonometry, Astronomy and Natural Philosophy were taught through the medium of the pupil's mother-tongue.

Besides the Native Education Society, Government itself conducted two colleges. One was the Sanskrit College of Poona, which was remodelled in 1837 when admission was thrown open to students of all castes. The second was the Elphinstone Institute at Bombay which was built with funds partly raised by the people of Bombay and partly given by the Directors of the Company in 1834. The College aimed at training Indians for employment in the civil services. The District English Schools served as feeders to the Institute.

In 1840, a Board of Education consisting of seven members, of whom three were Indians, was constituted for the management of all educational institutions and the Native Education Society was wound up. The Board, presided over by Sir Erskine Perry, Chief Justice of the Bombay High Court, reopened the question of English education. The policy it adopted was to combine the study of the Indian and English languages in schools but to retain English as the medium of higher education. It decided to employ its funds to start good English schools in every district town and in other towns where demand justified. In pursuance of the policy favoured by Perry and pressed from Bengal, the experiment of popularising Western learning through Indian languages came to an end and Bombay fell in line with Bengal in the matter of imparting higher education through English. Nevertheless, the Bombay Board of Education continued to maintain a large number of schools (216 in 1853) teaching
several thousand pupils (12,000 in 1853) through their mother-tongue.

Missionary enterprise also contributed to the propagation of English education in Bombay. The missions opened schools for teaching English in a number of towns—Poona, Ahmednagar, Surat and Rajkot, and established the Wilson College at Bombay, which had about four hundred students in 1852. In the early years, the Parsee community was the foremost in the pursuit of English education, as is shown by the statements made before the Select Committee of the House of Commons by witnesses. They testified: “Nearly the whole of the Parsees speak English (sic). They have invariably educated their children in English, and many of them speak it as fluently as Europeans.”

Education in Madras Presidency

In the Madras Presidency, of the three agencies—the missionary, the Government and the Indian public for the spread of Western education—the Christian missions were the first in the field. The Protestant missionaries and the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge had opened schools at different stations—Madras, Cuddalore, Tanjore, Trichinopoly, in which instruction was given to Indians. These schools received small grants from the East India Company.

In the second decade of the nineteenth century, the missionaries began to establish schools for teaching English all over the Presidency, as also Anglo-Vernacular schools of an elementary grade but teaching English. By 1838, according to Trevelyon, “English is no novelty; it is in great request; thousands already know it.” The scope of missionary activity was so wide that in 1854 “the education of the country was entirely in the hands of the missionary societies, except for three Government institutions and a few native establish-

Apart from these schools, the missionaries also established seminaries which were intermediate between schools and

1 Parliamentary Papers, 1831-2, Col. IX, Appendix 85, 311; McCully, B. T., English Education and the Origins of Indian Nationalism, (New York, 1940), p. 61
2 Trevelyon, C.E., op. cit., p. 179
3 Arbuthnot, P. J., Selections from the Records of the Madras Government, p. 127; McCully, B. T., op. cit., p. 124
colleges and where instruction was given in English literature and European sciences, but special attention was paid to Christian theology and scriptures. They were intended as nurseries of Indian catechists, teachers and missionaries. In respect of higher education their contribution was the General Assembly’s Institution founded by Anderson at Madras (later known as Madras Christian College). Other institutions were brought into existence by the Scottish Established Church and by the London Missionary Society.

The second agency, namely, the Company’s Government, took up the matter during the governorship of Sir Thomas Munro. He found that although there were numerous indigenous schools in the Presidency, their standards were very rudimentary; for lack of patronage and funds they were in a state of decline. Brahmans were in charge of the Vedic pathashalas and they generally held endowments granted by the zamindars or former governments. The Brahman community enjoyed the privileges of education to a great extent, for although their population was only one-twentieth of the Hindu population, out of every four pupils receiving instruction one was a Brahman.\(^1\) In 1826, Munro instituted the Board of Public Instruction for opening two principal schools in each collectorate and one inferior school in each taluk. An enquiry which he had instituted showed that there was one indigenous school for every five hundred males in the Presidency, and that on—third of the population of children of school-going age was receiving instruction in indigenous schools.\(^2\) Munro’s views regarding aims and methods were to encourage education of the masses through their mother-tongue and thus to erect the structure of schools on the basis of indigenous institutions. Unfortunately, his scheme did not meet with success and, in 1836, the schools were abolished, and in the place of Munro’s Board a Committee of Native Education was formed.

A fresh start was made in accordance with the policy laid down by Bentinck and supported by the Court of Directors. It was decided that the most effective form of education was that of the higher classes through a limited number of schools of a high grade. Munro’s idea of educating the masses was abandoned. In 1845, a Council of Education was constituted to

---

\(^1\) Satthianadhan, S., *History of Education in the Madras Presidency* (Madras, 1894), p. 3  
\(^2\) *Selections from the Records of the Government of Madras*, No. II, Appendix E
organise and superintend public examination of candidates for appointment to the public services. The Council was directed to employ its funds to maintain a college, called the Madras University, established in 1841, and a number of district and private schools.

The plan of the Council was supported by a memorial signed by nearly seventy thousand Indians. The College followed the curriculum of the Hindu College of Calcutta which included the Western sciences, philosophy and history. In 1854, there were over five hundred students on its rolls. Its alumni distinguished themselves in service and in public work. Plans to open four superior provincial schools at Trichinopoly, Masulipatam, Bellary and Calicut, on each in the region of each Dravidian language, did not materialise. It was only in 1853-54 that two English schools were opened, one at Cuddalore and the other at Rajahmundry.

The third agency, viz., the Indian public, began its efforts in 1842 by opening the Pachaiyappa’s College at Madras. The funds for the College were derived from a charitable bequest, made available by a wealthy Hindu, Pachaiyappa. The trustees also established branch schools at Conjeevaram and Chidambaram.

Education in Northern India

In the newly annexed provinces of northern India, the progress of education was slow. The conditions which favoured its spread in Bengal were to a large extent absent in the North-Western Provinces and Oudh. Calcutta was the centre of an expanding foreign trade and had a large commercial community of Englishmen. There were considerable opportunities of service in administration and business. Indians were eager to acquire Western knowledge, and several agencies vied with one another to supply the demand.

None of these conditions obtained outside Bengal. In fact, the minds of the people were on the whole prejudiced against English education, because it was associated with Christian missionary activity and schools were suspected as agencies of conversion. In Bihar, there was little enthusiasm for English and, therefore, very poor response to the efforts to establish schools. According to a minute of the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, dated 19th November 1858, the office of the Inspector-General of Schools at Patna was called Shaitan-ka-Daftarkhana, ‘the devil’s office.’
In the North-Western Provinces, the control of education remained in the hands of the General Committee of Public Instruction at Calcutta, till 1843, although the Province was separated from the Presidency of Bengal seven years earlier. Hence, the claims of English education were pushed forward irrespective of the little demand for it. In the Sanskrit College of Banaras, English classes were opened. Colleges had been started at Agra in 1824, at Delhi in 1824-25, and at Bareilly in 1827, and English had been introduced in them even before 1835. A chain of fourteen English schools was established from Gorakhpur to Ajmer.

But when Thomason became the Lieutenant-Governor of the N.W. Provinces in 1843, he decided to make a departure from this policy. He found the pupils of the schools apathetic to English education. So he closed eight of them and undertook to establish colleges at important centres, namely, Agra, Banaras and Delhi, and schools at Bareilly, Ajmer and Sagar. But even these colleges and schools failed to evoke any large response. The Lieutenant-Governor noted: "that so few of the higher classes, or of the superior native officers in the different branches of administration, have as yet seen sufficient prospects of benefit, to induce them to send their children into the Anglo-Vernacular Colleges under this Government." 1 Instead, pupils from the lower ranks of society filled the schools and colleges.

Thomason favoured the indigenous system of education and wanted to improve it. The chief obstacle in doing so was lack of funds. He, therefore, levied a rate for the support of primary schools by voluntary agreement with the zamindars and paid an equal amount from the Government treasury. It was then proposed to open in each Tehsil (sub-division), a Government village-school to teach Hindi, Urdu, accounts, mensuration, history, geography, geometry and other subjects through the medium of the mother-tongue. An elaborate system of inspection and direction was devised which received the approval of Dalhousie, the then Governor-General, and of the Court of Directors, and served as a model for the constitution of departments of education in the other provinces.

But "even as late as the eve of Mutiny one reads that English was a dead language hardly ever spoken except within

---

1 McCully, op. cit., p. 100
college walls,\footnote{Ibid., p. 95} and the effort of the Government to educate was confined to modest limits.

The missionaries supplemented the work of Government by opening schools where the Government had failed to do so. The American Presbyterian Board started schools in which English literature, the sciences and other subjects were taught together with the Bible at Ludhiana, Saharanpur, Jullundur, Ambala, Lahore and Farrukhabad. They took over the Government School at Allahabad and raised it to the status of a College. Other missionary societies did the same at other stations. The Church Missionary Society established the St. John’s College at Agra. The result was that, by 1854, there were twenty-two missionary institutions with an enrolment of some seventeen hundred and fifty students, nearly equal to the number of pupils in all the government institutions.

It is interesting to find that the indigenous system of the Panjub comprised three sets of schools for the three communities living there. The Hindus were taught Hindi, the Muslims learnt the Quran in Arabic besides Persian literature, and the Sikhs studied the Guru Granth Sahib in Gurmukhi. There were similar schools for girls where the teachers were women. When the British annexed the Panjub, an English school was started at Amritsar and the missionaries opened schools in several important towns.

VIII. Wood’s Despatch of 1854

The despatch of the President of the Board of Control of 1854 set the coping stone on this somewhat ramshackle educational structure. The despatch was based upon the enquiry which the Select Committee of the House of Commons had held into the educational development in India. It reiterated that the object of education was to spread moral and material blessings, to secure a higher degree of intellectual fitness and the supply of public servants of probity and reliability, to rouse a desire to develop the resources of the country and increase wealth and commerce, and, “at the same time, to secure to us a large and more certain supply of many articles necessary for our manufactures and extensively consumed by all classes of our population, as well as an almost inexhaustible demand for the produce of British labour.”\footnote{Wood’s Education Despatch, 19th July, 1854}
Wood finally closed the controversy about the character of education in these words: "We must emphatically declare that the education which we desire to see extended in India is that which has for its object the diffusion of the improved arts, science, philosophy and literature of Europe; in short of European knowledge."

On the question of the language of instruction, the Despatch stated: "We look, therefore, to the English language and to the vernacular languages of India together as the media for the diffusion of European knowledge." In actual practice it meant the use of the English language for the higher classes and that of the Indian languages for the great mass of the people.

So far as the expansion of education was concerned, the Despatch led to (1) the establishment, in 1857, of three Universities at Calcutta, Bombay and Madras on the model of the London University, which was an examining body; (2) the multiplication of high schools of both Vernacular and Anglo-Vernacular type; and (3) the expansion of primary education through indigenous schools in accordance with Thomason’s plan for the North-Western Provinces.

It made provision for the proper execution of its proposals and for the efficient working of the scheme of school education through the establishment of Departments of Education in each province under a Director assisted by an adequate inspecting staff. Wood, however, refused to take the responsibility of finding adequate funds for the scheme and shifted part of this burden to the shoulders of the people and private agencies. For this purpose, a system of grants-in-aid was prescribed on the lines of the English system, whose immediate beneficiaries, to a large extent, were the mission schools.

Trends in Indian Education

The expansion of education from 1813 to 1858 had been remarkable. The grant sanctioned in 1813 was ten thousand pounds, or one lakh of rupees; the expenditure had risen in 1856-57 to over two hundred thousand pounds, or twenty lakhs of rupees, for the five provinces of Bengal, Madras, Bombay, North-Western Provinces and the Panjab. The lion’s share was enjoyed by Bengal, which received over ninety-four thousand pounds or nearly half of the total expenditure on

1 Ibid.
2 Ibid.
education. As a result of this expansion, there were in 1854 about 180 English institutions in India with 30,000 pupils in attendance.

The educational system thus established during the four decades was characterised by features which greatly influenced the evolution of the Indian people. The most important of these features were: (1) the concentration of educational effort on the upper sections of society, and the neglect of the masses; (2) the choice of the English language as the medium of higher education in schools as well as colleges, (3) the acceptance of Western knowledge as the principal content of education; and (4) the wholly academic character of education and the neglect of practical and technical training.

It is true that almost all declarations on educational policy stated that the moral and material improvement of the country was the aim of education. There is, however, little doubt that the immediate objects of the agencies concerned were varied. The Government wanted to prepare recruits for the subordinate services. The missionaries looked upon education as a means by which to approach the Indian society and to carry the Gospel to them. The eagerness of the Indians for English education was based largely upon utilitarian interests; for them 'English meant rupees.' The demands of administration and the paucity of funds, were undoubtedly responsible for confining education to a small section of the people. Nevertheless, the general attitude of neglect of the humbler classes also influenced the mind of the policy-makers. If, in rural society, the cultivator remained unprotected from the high-handedness of the land-holder or the money-lender, and in the towns the artisans and labourers received little attention, their numbers and their poverty were regarded as sufficient excuses to condemn them to life long ignorance and illiteracy.

Defects of the New Education

Thus, the Indian middle class which constituted the elite of society, benefited from the spread of education. For a long time, the educated class found use for its talents limited to two fields, viz., the professions and government services. Among the independent professions were law, medicine and teaching. In the services, although there was much talk of making no distinction of caste, colour or creed, and the Charter of 1833 included a
clause assuring equality of opportunity, the highest appointments to which Indians could aspire were those of subordinate judges and deputy collectors. The covenanted service was beyond their wildest dreams.

The abysmal ignorance of the vast masses weighed heavily upon society. It acted as a tremendous drag upon progress, social, economic and political. It is unfortunate that the price paid for the new education of the few was the destruction of the indigenous system which, however rudimentary, had spread literacy among the many. To step out of medievalism, it was necessary to imbibe modern knowledge, and India has to be grateful for the gift. But the ignorance in which the masses remained enveloped, severely restricted the effect of modernisation.

The use of English as the medium of higher knowledge raises questions which are hard to answer. English replaced Persian in official work, i.e. one foreign language was substituted for another, for the apparent reason that none of the spoken languages had an all-India status. Urdu was widely known, both in the North and in the cities of the Deccan and the South, but it possessed little prose literature. The dialects of Hindi, especially Braj Bhasha, were cultivated over a wide area, but no standard language of business, administration or prose style had yet been evolved. The other spoken languages were in more or less the same stage of development and some of the advanced ones were peripheral. Sanskrit was highly developed, but it was not spoken in any region; it was confined to an extremely small circle of the learned. The practical difficulties of transmitting Western knowledge through the medium of Sanskrit were insuperable. The British rulers argued that if Persian could be the state language learnt by the different communities interested in administration, why could not English, the language of the new rulers, take its place, particularly when it enjoyed superiority over all the languages of India in being the treasure-house of modern knowledge; and many Indians valued it for this reason and preferred it to their own classical language.

Under the circumstances, no alternative appeared feasible to the new rulers. The consequences of the decision have been a mixture of good and evil. There is little doubt that the knowledge of English, acquired by Indians in every part of the country, facilitated intercommunication and expedited the
process of integration. It is equally clear that the consciousness of nationality—a new concept for Indian society, was strengthened by the impact of the literature of the West. The example of Europeans who in their activities in war and in peace, were guided by the interests of their country and who strove to promote the success and glory of their motherland, was infectious. The study of English literature and history, full of patriotic sentiments and deeds, produced a deep impression on the mind of the educated Indian.

The administrative and economic unity which British rule imposed upon India, powerfully stimulated the consciousness of unity, and the English language and learning quickened its maturity.

Yet, the dominance of English retarded the development of the Indian languages. The educated Indians who read, wrote and spoke English, neglected for a long time their own mother-tongue. It is true that the literature of power, which largely depends upon the gifts of Nature, did appear in some quantity in all the languages, but the literature of information—science, philosophy, history, was poorly served. While Indian education had always been predominantly academic, the replacement of one unspoken language (Sanskrit, Arabic or Persian) by another (English) tended to accentuate the verbal and theoretical attitude of the mind. English education had, therefore, an ambivalent effect—wishful identification but psychological differentiation between the intelligentsia and the illiterate masses. This ambivalence characterised the behaviour of the individual at home and outside, and has been not a little responsible for the shortcomings in national integration. A loss to intellectual integrity is inevitable when one language is used in public and another in private. Similarly, national integrity must suffer when the language used for vital purposes is not the language of the people, for then the sense of identification becomes dimmed.

So far as stress upon the education of the upper classes is concerned, it was part of the whole socio-economic structure of British administration in India. Till the great Revolt of 1857, the aim of British policy had been to rally the upper classes; hence the land-holders were pampered at the expense of the cultivators, and anxiety was shown to devise measures to satisfy the ambitions of the classes ousted from power and employment.
It was in tune with this attitude that importance was almost exclusively attached to English and to the education of the privileged few. The great bulk of the population was left to grope in the darkness of ignorance, and to shiver in the cold traditions of a bygone age. It is interesting to note that the new educated class consisted largely of the old Hindu upper class. In Bengal, the Brahmans and Kayasthas formed the majority; in Bombay, the Brahmans, Kayasthas and Parsees; and in Madras, the Brahmans alone. The Muslim upper class remained, on the whole, aloof.

**Causes of Muslim Backwardness**

In 1845, out of a total of 17,350\(^1\) scholars receiving instruction in institutions maintained at public expense in British India, no less than 13,699 were Hindus. Muslims numbered 1,636, Christians 236, and 1,789 scholars belonged to other faiths. Presidency-wise, there were 8,138 students in schools and colleges in Bombay, 7,036 in the Lower Provinces and 2,186 in the North-Western Provinces.\(^2\) In 1856-57, among the British Provinces, the maximum amount was being spent in Bengal as is shown in the following table\(^3\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditure on Education</th>
<th>Expenditure on Education as percentage of total revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bengal</td>
<td>£11,202,641</td>
<td>£94,322</td>
<td>.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras</td>
<td>£4,718,036</td>
<td>£34,222</td>
<td>.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombay</td>
<td>£4,600,478</td>
<td>£35,243</td>
<td>.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. W. P.</td>
<td>£2,724,141</td>
<td>£33,660</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panjab</td>
<td>£1,057,987</td>
<td>£14,487</td>
<td>1.369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expenditure on education (in absolute figures) in Bengal was thus about three times that of the Bombay or Madras Presidency. The following statistics of the scholars reading in the schools of Bengal in 1861 shows that the Bengali Hindu was the primary beneficiary of Western education.

---

\(^1\)This figure does not include those reading in Madras High School where 133 Hindus, 2 Muslims and 21 Christian students were on the rolls that year.

\(^2\)Return of the number and caste of the scholars in the Educational Establishments, maintained at the public expense, to House of Commons: Parliamentary Papers 48(20) of 1847-48

\(^3\)The Friend of India, 7th February 1861, p. 144
Entrance Examination Results of Calcutta University, 1861:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>No. of candidates</th>
<th>Christians</th>
<th>Muslims</th>
<th>Hindus</th>
<th>Parsees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower Provinces</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bihar</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-Western Provinces</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panjab</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceylon</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>869</strong></td>
<td><strong>59</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td><strong>722</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the B.A. examination of the same University, in the same year, 39 candidates appeared of whom 13 passed. Of the successful candidates, 11 were Hindus, 1 Christian and 1 Muslim.²

The Hindus and the Parsees, more particularly in the Presidency towns, easily took to English education when the opportunity offered itself. But the Muslims, more particularly in the interior, remained generally apathetic. In Bihar and Oudh, the Muslim land-holders who possessed the means to educate their children, showed a special distaste for English education. In 1867, for instance, 260 Talukdars were present at the Viceroy’s Durbar at Lucknow, but only 70 children from the Talukdar families were attending schools in 1869.³ English schools were not at all popular among the Muslims. Even in Bengal, they were far behind the Hindus in availing themselves of English education. In 1860, there were only two educational institutions of the Muslims in Calcutta—the Collingah Madrasa and the Taltallah Library Society. These institutions compared unfavourably with the Hindu schools and colleges in respect of the number of scholars and the standard of instruction. The Muslim leaders attributed this backwardness to two causes, viz., (1) “Muslim bigotry which prevented them from getting English education and (2) Government apathy.”⁴ In Bombay and Madras also, the largest beneficiaries of English education were the Parsees and the Hindus, more particularly the Brahmins, Kayasthas, Saraswats and the trading castes among them. Till 1860, the educated section of the Indian middle class was preponderantly Hindu and the Muslims formed only a microscopically small part of it.

---

¹ Ibid., 31st January, 1861, p. 119
² Ibid.
³ Ibid., September 16, 1869, p. 1084
⁴ Ibid., 11th July 1869, p. 158
The middle class went to Westernised schools, imbibed Western ideas and learnt to use a common language—English. Conditioned by identical economic and political forces, their education helped them to recognise their common citizenship. With the passage of time, this educated class, conscious of a common nationality, grew in numbers and influence. It had already become aware of its rights and it soon began to organise itself to press its demands upon the rulers.
CHAPTER FIVE

THE PRESS AND PUBLIC OPINION

I. BEGINNINGS OF JOURNALISM IN INDIA

While in the different regions of the British dominion in India, groups with new interests and new ways of living were appearing and a new system of education was moulding their minds into modern ways of thinking and feeling, the country was also becoming familiar with Western means and devices which helped to propagate them. In course of time, these new groups came together and, as a result, Indian public opinion began to be shaped. This opinion found expression in the modern organised manner through the Press, through political associations, through memorials and representations addressed to the Government and through court proceedings to vindicate public rights. Hardly six decades had passed since Plassey, and a great part of India was still in the grip of the ancient regime, when new currents of thought began to flow. Among the new devices, the printing press was the most important, and among the means, the development of communications—railways, roads, waterways, post and telegraph.

The credit for introducing the printing press in India belongs to the Portuguese, who imported two presses in 1550. They were used for printing religious books. Other presses were established in the south. In the seventeenth century, a Kapol Bania, Bhimji Parekh, imported a press in Bombay. The English East India Company installed a press in 1674 in Bombay. During the eighteenth century, presses came to be established in Madras, Calcutta, Hoogly, Bombay and one or two up-country places.

With the establishment of printing presses, books, pamphlets and journals began to be printed. Private individuals and missionary societies realised their usefulness and started their own presses.

In 1766, William Bolts announced his intention to publish an independent paper, which, however, failed to appear. Fourteen years later, in 1780, J. A. Hicky brought out his weekly journal, the *Bengal Gazette*, also called the *Calcutta General Advertiser*, and better known as *Hicky’s Gazette*. Hicky annoyed the Government by his very low attacks on some members of the Euro-
pean community and high officials like Warren Hastings and Elijah Impey. The first step against him was to deny him the services of the postal department; then his press was seized, with which ended his journalistic career. Other papers followed. They were usually the mouthpiece of Englishmen dissatisfied with the Government. The comments on Government's policies and measures offended the men in power, and sometimes the news they published, particularly concerning the army, was considered discreet and contrary to the interests of the administration. The result was that the relations of the Government with these early papers became strained. A number of them had to face the wrath of the Government and some of the editors were summarily externed from India—for instance, William Duane, Charles Maclean and later, James Silk Buckingham.

Not satisfied with these measures, Wellesley imposed the strictest censorship on the newspapers, and till the time of Lord Hastings, the conditions for a free Press remained unfavourable. In 1818, Hastings abolished the department of 'Censor of Newspapers,' but issued the following press regulations:

"The editors of newspapers are prohibited from publishing any matter coming under the following heads: First—Animadversions on the measures and proceedings of the Honourable Court of Directors, or other public authorities in England connected with the Government of India; or disquisitions on political transactions of the local administration; or offensive remarks levelled at the public conduct of the Members of the Council; or of the Judges of the Supreme Court; or of the Lord Bishop of Calcutta.

"Second—Discussions having a tendency to create alarm or suspicion among the native population, of any intended interference with their religious opinions or observances.

"Third—The republication, from English or other newspapers, of passages coming under any of the above heads, or otherwise calculated to affect the British power or reputation in India.

"Fourth—Private scandal and personal remarks on individuals tending to excite dissension in society."

It is apparent from the restrictions that Lord Hastings was fully aware of the fact that the total withdrawal of control on the Press would not meet with the approval of the Court of Directors. He made it clear in his minutes that he was impressed by the importance of fostering in the administration a responsible attitude towards public opinion.
The Court of Directors disapproved of the Governor-General’s action and wanted him to revert to the old practice of pre-censorship. The Board of Control, however, never forwarded the despatch.

In the same year, James Silk Buckingham founded the Calcutta Journal which created a stir by the brilliance and vivacity of its articles and the fearless exposure of official lapses. The paper became popular not only in the European community, but also among Indians. It had perhaps the largest sale among its contemporaries. Its outspoken criticism caused great annoyance to Adam, Bayley and other members of Lord Hastings’ Council. As soon as Hastings retired and Adam took charge of the administration, he required every printer of a newspaper to take out a licence. When that measure failed to curb Buckingham’s activities, he was served with a notice to leave India.

The incidents of the fight for freedom of the Press between an over-sensitive, autocratic Government and the editors of newspapers inclined to look upon its measures with suspicion and to criticise men in authority, need not be described in detail. For one thing, the English newspapers of those days had little of interest for Indians. Their circulation was also extremely limited, and the number of Indians who read them was very small.

Yet, the idea of using the Press for the education of the public was infectious. The conditions appeared favourable. Lord Hastings was a supporter of literary development. He believed in the usefulness of the Press. The handicaps which had prevented journalistic enterprise were disappearing and then, a section of Indians had come into existence which felt the need of educating their countrymen.

**Birth of the Indian Press**

The missionaries were developing a prose style in Bengali which made possible discussion of serious topics. In 1816, Gangadhar Bhattacharya and Harchandra Roy launched a paper from Calcutta and named it the Bengal Gazette. Unfortunately, this pioneer attempt proved short-lived.

The real initiative for the creation of an Indian-language Press came from the missionaries of Serampur. In 1818, the Digdarshan, the first monthly magazine in Bengali, was started with much trepidation. It confined itself to literary, scientific and historical subjects. It used both English and Bengali. But
it lasted only three years. The Samachar Darpan, a Bengali weekly, also came out in 1818 under the editorial charge of Dr. Marshman, assisted by Bengali writers. The paper was published every Saturday from 1818 to 1841, then it stopped and reappeared in 1851, to disappear soon after. It contained articles on a variety of subjects which opened up a new world before its readers; intelligence of European and American affairs, Indian commerce, scientific matters—balloon, steam-boat, plants—and biography. But its chief feature was discussion of the Hindu and Christian religions.

In the same year (1818), the Serampur Mission also issued a paper in English by the name of the Friend of India. The missionary ventures encouraged others to publish newspapers. Among those who took advantage of the new opportunities, mention may be made of James Silk Buckingham and Messrs Tara Chand Dutt and Bhowani Charan Bandyopadhyaya. The latter edited the Sambad Kaumudi, a Bengali weekly. Its first issue was published on 4th December, 1821. Ram Mohan Roy was closely associated with the Kaumudi and wrote regularly for it. He made it the mouthpiece of social and religious reform of the Hindus. His articles condemning the custom of widow-burning were published in it. They created much uproar in the Hindu community and the paper suffered a setback. The Calcutta Journal, in its issue of 14th February, 1823, wrote: “The paper which was considered so fraught with danger and likely to explode over all India like a spark thrown into a barrel of gunpowder, has long since fallen to the ground for want of support; chiefly we understand because it offended the native community, by opposing some of their customs, and particularly the burning of Hindoo widows.”1 The Sambad Kaumudi continued with breaks for about ten years. Its fate shows how hard was the path of reform in the first half of the nineteenth century.

Besides the Sambad Kaumudi, Ram Mohan Roy started another journal to defend Hinduism against the attacks of the Christian missionaries. It was called the Brahmanical magazine, or Brahman Sevadhi. It published articles both in Bengali and English. There appeared only three issues of the magazine and then it ceased. In these issues, Ram Mohan Roy dealt with the charges of the missionaries against Hindu and Muslim religions.

---

1 Bandyopadhyaya, Brajendranath, Bangla Samayik Patra (Bangiya Sahitya Parishad, Calcutta, 1948), p. 18, footnote 4
and condemned their activities. He objected to their open preaching in the streets and converting the poor by holding out various kinds of temptations to them. He wrote with great indignation that the missionaries, taking unfair advantage of their membership of the ruling class, carried on evangelical activities among the subject people who stood in great awe of their conquerors. There were two Persian newspapers, namely, the Jame Jahan Numa and Miratul Akhbar which were also sponsored by Ram Mohan Roy. In all these papers, mainly religious questions were discussed.

The radical reformatory character of Ram Mohan Roy's papers roused opposition among the conservative, tradition-loving people, and the exchange of argument between English missionaries and the Indian defenders of Hinduism created alarm in the minds of the rulers. Some members of the Governor-General's Council wrote minutes advocating strong action against the Press. They were afraid that public feelings were being disturbed and they were anxious lest resentment among various sections take an ugly shape. The progressive papers were a special cause of anxiety, and drew the particular attention of the Government. William Butterworth Bayley, in his minute of 10th October, 1822, stated: "The liberty of the press, however essential to the nature of a free state, is not in my judgment, consistent with the character of our institutions in this country or with the extraordinary nature of our dominance in India." Bayley was of the opinion that it was neither wise nor politic to allow Indian subjects "unrestrained liberty of discussing and publishing in the native languages speculations or strictures on the conduct, character and the public acts of their English rulers or on the comparative merits of the several religious systems professed by the various opinions which composed the population of India."²

Accordingly, in 1823, John Adam, as officiating Governor-General, took the opportunity to promulgate a Regulation which restricted the freedom of the Press. It was ordained that no newspaper, pamphlet or book, concerning public news and containing criticism of Government measures and proceedings, could be published without a licence. To obtain the licence an affidavit had to be submitted, giving the names of the printer,  

¹Barns, Margarita, The Indian Press, (London, George Allen & Unwin, 1940), p. 106  
²Ibid.
publisher and proprietor and supplying information about the intended publication. The licence was liable to revocation, and an unlicensed publication carried the penalty of Rs. 400 as fine. Thus, the printing of books and papers and the use of printing presses, except with the permission of the Government, was declared a punishable offence.

*Ram Mohan Roy on the Need of a Free Press*

Ram Mohan Roy protested against the measure and submitted a memorial to the Supreme Court urging the rejection of the Regulation. He pointed out that the Regulation would not only obstruct diffusion of knowledge, but preclude the people of the country from making the Government acquainted with the errors and injustices committed by its officers. He wrote: "Every good Ruler, who is convinced of the imperfection of human nature, and reverences the Eternal Governor of the world, must be conscious of the great liability to error in managing the affairs of a vast empire; and therefore he will be anxious to afford every individual the readiest means of bringing to his notice whatever may require his interference. To secure this important object, the unrestrained liberty of publication is the only effectual means that can be employed."1

The Supreme Court rejected the petition. So Ram Mohan Roy addressed an appeal to the King-in-Council. He drew the attention of the Council to the painful situation created by the Government of India. He said: "The local executive authorities have suddenly assumed the power of legislation in matters of the highest moment, and abolished legal privileges of long standing, without the least pretence that we have ever abused them, and made an invasion on our civil rights such as is unprecedented in the history of British Rule in Bengal, by a measure which either indicates a total disregard of the civil rights and privileges of your Majesty's faithful subjects, or an intention to encourage a cruel and unfounded suspicion of our attachment to the existing Government."2

This closely reasoned appeal too met with the same fate. The Privy Council refused to intervene. The appeal, nonetheless, is an interesting document. About it, Miss Collet remarks: "It may be regarded as the *Areopagitica* of Indian history. Alike in diction and argument, it forms a noble landmark in the

---

progress of English culture in the East." It sets the lines along which India's political agitation was to be conducted throughout the nineteenth century.

II. THE INDIAN PRESS AND ITS RESTRICTED GROWTH

The failure to obtain a reversal of the policy of the Government had little effect upon the growth of the Press. The Indian public had become conscious of the value of newspapers and, despite restrictions which lasted till 1835, many newspapers edited by Indians and Europeans, came into existence. Bandyopadhyaya has given a list of nearly thirty Bengali papers which were licensed during this period. Some were monthlies, but most of them were weeklies.

Among the papers published by the orthodox section of the Hindu community, the Samachar Chandrika, edited by Bhowani Charan Bandyopadhyaya, a former co-editor of the Sambad Kaumudi, came out in 1822 to oppose the movement started by Ram Mohan Roy against the burning of the widows. The paper supported the conservative Dharma Sabha. It continued, with some vicissitudes, till 1857, but its influence declined after the Sabha was wound up. The second was the Samvad Purna Chandrodaya, which continued for many years. It started as a literary and political monthly journal, but later became a weekly, then a tri-weekly and ultimately a daily. Iswar Chandra Gupta, an eminent litterateur and poet, edited the weekly Sambad Prabhakar, which became a daily afterwards. The paper expressed conservative views regarding the status and position of women in Indian society. It enjoyed literary support from a number of well-known persons e.g. Radha Kanta Deb, Prasanna Kumar Tagore, Jay Gopal Tarkalankar, Bankim Chandra Chatterji, the novelist, and Din Bandhu Mitra, the author of the Nildarpan, in their early career, were among its contributors.

A number of other papers, similarly oriented, were brought out, but proved short-lived.

Among the liberal journals, apart from the Sambad Kaumudi, was the Bengal Herald. Its first number came out in 1829. It contained articles in four languages—English, Persian, Hindi and Bengali. Among its supporters were R. M. Martin, Dwarkanath Tagore, Prasanna Kumar Tagore, Ram Mohan Roy and

---

1 Sophia D. Collet, Life and Letters of Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Calcutta, (3rd edition, 1962) p. 177
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others. Under the patronage of the promoters of the *Herald* was also issued the *Banga Dut* in 1830.

The *Gyananveshan* was another important liberal weekly devoted to religion and science. Robert Montgomery Martin and Nil Ratan De were its joint editors. It attracted the adverse attention of the Government and received warnings for expressing unfavourable opinions regarding its orders.

The *Gyananveshan* was the organ of the Young Bengal, a group consisting largely of the alumni of the Hindu college and the pupils of Derozio. They also published a paper in English called the *Enquirer*. The two papers were the leaders of the renaissance in Bengal.

The *Vigyan Sevadhi*, a monthly, published translations of scientific articles. H. H. Wilson, the orientalist, was a promoter of the journal *Pashvavali*, a scientific magazine, which dealt with natural history.

**Persian Newspapers**

Calcutta was the venue for papers in other languages too. In Persian, which was then the official language and was widely understood by the upper classes, a number of papers were issued. The *Miratul Akhbar* of Ram Mohan Roy came out in 1822. In announcing its policy, it drew attention to the freedom and security which prevailed in Calcutta, the equality of rights which the laws had established among the high and the low, and explained the desirability of establishing a paper with the object of educating the public, reforming society and conveying the feelings and sentiments of the people to the Government for the redress of their grievances.

The second Persian paper was the *Jame Jahan Numa*. It was edited by Munshi Sada Sukh and published by Messrs William Piers Hopkins & Co. For some years, it enjoyed the patronage of the East India Company, but then lost it for reasons not known. An Urdu supplement, also entitled *Jame Jahan Numa*, was issued with the Persian edition. It contained, besides news and articles, translations of books from English and Persian and poems. There were also a number of other Persian papers, namely, *Shamsul Akhbar* founded by Mani Ram Thakur and Mathur Mohan (1823), *Serampur Akhbar* of the Christian mission of Serampur (1826), *Aina-e-Sikandar* (1831), *Mahi Alam Afroz* (1833) edited by M. Wahajuddin, *Sultanul Akhbar* (1835) published by Rajab Ali of Lucknow and *Mihr-i-Munir* (1841), a
tri-weekly. Some of the Persian newspapers were severe critics of the Government and did not hesitate to denounce persons and actions which appeared to them deserving of censure.

Some instances of this early expression of the indignation of Indians against Englishmen in authority deserve notice. The Mahi Alam Afroz reported the case of an English officer who had gone out for a hunt and unintentionally shot a woman. He was released by the magistrate without even a warning. The paper commented: "The magistrate exercised racial partiality and released the murderer, who was a rich man, without ascribing offence or default to him for causing the death of an innocent person." The Sultanul Akhbar complained of the petty officials of the courts and offices, who caused much annoyance and trouble by their corrupt practices, but the English officers paid no heed to any representations against them.

The Sultanul Akhbar compared conditions in the British dominion and Oudh. It pointed out that land-holders under British rule were in distress, that in the city of Calcutta there were more murders than in the whole realm of Oudh, and that bribery was rampant in every British court. The state of Indian feeling towards their rulers was also testified by its comments on the news of the hanging of Karim Khan, the murderer of William Frazer, Resident of Delhi, in 1835. Nawab Shamsuddin of Loharu was also implicated in the crime, but on the day he was hanged, not a person—man, woman or child, rich or poor—came to witness the hanging; and in this way the citizens of Delhi expressed their sympathy with the Nawab, and abhorrence at his punishment.

Hindi Newspapers

Some Bengali papers attempted to print in Hindi news and articles along with those in Bengali. But the first all-Hindi newspaper was issued in 1826, under the title Udant Martand. It was published by Pandit Jugal Kishore Shukla of Kanpur and a subordinate official in the Sadr Diwani Adalat. The paper lived for a year and a half, but was wound up for lack of support.

By the end of 1830, there were in circulation, in Calcutta alone, three Bengali dailies, one tri-weekly, two bi-weeklies, seven weeklies and one monthly. In addition, there were thirteen newspapers in the English language. The English-
edited papers were subscribed to by the European community, but a small number of Indians was also among their readers. Some of these journals led a stormy life because they not only criticised the Government's measures but also published personal attacks upon Government officials. The cases of Hicky and James Silk Buckingham illustrate the conflict between the Government and the Press critics.

Liberty of the Press Restored

Buckingham's paper, the Calcutta Journal, was not only an exponent of independent opinion, but a fearless critic of official actions. The journal reproduced comments from Indian papers and published the lists of contents of some of them. Buckingham enjoyed the friendship of Ram Mohan Roy. Indian newspapers were considerably influenced by his example.

The controversy among officials and the feelings of exasperation roused by Bentinck's measures of economy made the Government hesitate, in spite of the liberal inclinations of the Governor-General, to take a decisive step. On February 6, 1835, a petition signed by a number of Calcutta journalists, which included more Indians, was submitted to the Governor-General-in-Council praying for the abrogation of the Regulation of 1823, and the rules made thereunder. Bentinck acknowledged that the state of law relating to the Press was unsatisfactory and promised to alter it. But, before he could do so, he retired and Metcalfe took over charge.

The regime of Bentinck marked a new turn in Indian affairs. It saw the beginnings of the investment of British capital in agriculture and industry, and the development of India as a supplier of raw materials to England and purchaser of manufactured goods from her. In administration, there was an increase in the employment of Indians in the civil services. Social reforms like the abolition of the practice of Sati were effected. English education was rapidly spreading and the result was an unusual outburst of activity which stimulated discussion and led to the foundation of many newspapers. Old values were being reassessed. Old social customs and religious beliefs came under examination and the form and extent of literary expression underwent a change.

In 1835, Sir Charles Metcalfe took the bold step to free the Press, which brought down upon him the displeasure of the Court of Directors. Apparently, there were two groups among
the Government officials. One group felt, to use the words of Sir Thomas Munro, that "a free Press, and the dominion of strangers, are things which are quite incompatible, and which cannot long exist together."¹ According to it "a free Press was the natural concomitant of free institutions. It was on the other hand from its very nature antagonistic to despotic rule, and above all, to foreign domination."²

The other group did not take such a pessimistic view. Among them was Sir Charles Trevelyan who had himself contributed articles to the Press under the pseudonym 'Indophilus,' and attested to the beneficial effect of public opinion on the officers of the Government. He held that, in the absence of a representative assembly, there was no other medium through which abuses could be brought to light and the feelings of the community ascertained.

Metcalf brushed aside doubts regarding the advisability of removing restrictions upon the Indian Press, declaring, "If India could be preserved as a part of the British Empire only by keeping its inhabitants in a state of ignorance, our domination would be a curse to the country, and ought to cease."³

The period of freedom thus inaugurated greatly stimulated the growth of public opinion and the Press. A large number of papers saw the light of the day, although many of them died after a brief existence. Among these papers were dailies, bi-weeklies, tri-weeklies, monthlies and quarterlies. In these early days, their circulation was meagre, but their influence much wider than that indicated by the number of their subscribers.

Between 1835 and 1857, more than a hundred papers were started. Their contents covered almost every field of thought and action—religion, morals, customs, literature, science, world affairs, history, economy and administration.

If the essence of the democratic process is discussion and persuasion by argument, then India was fast learning the art. It was inevitable that, under the conditions existing in the first half of the nineteenth century, this process should have been confined to the new middle class, and that Bengal should have given the lead to the whole of India in the field of journalism as well as in that of education and literature.

¹ Quoted by M. Barns, op. cit., p. 251, from the Minute of Lord Elphinstone, Governor of Bombay, dated 24th June, 1857
² Ibid
³ Ibid, p. 222
Press in the Bombay Presidency

The newspaper Press started in Bombay later than in Calcutta. The first English newspaper, the weekly Bombay Herald, came out in 1789, and was followed by the Bombay Courier a year after. The Bombay Gazette, which became the Government paper, appeared in 1791, and was amalgamated with the Herald in 1792. The English language papers naturally published matters of interest to Englishmen, like reports of debates in the British Parliament, accounts of events in England and in the continental countries, the plans of Indian rulers and social news of the European residents. The papers were also used by Government for record purposes, and for publishing its notifications. They were, at the same time, a vehicle of comment on the British administration of the day by those who were outside the privileged circle of the Company's higher officers. Their criticism had some effect upon the measures of Government.

The Indian-owned Press made its debut in Bombay in 1812 with the Gujarati Samachar Press established by Fardoonjji Marzaban. In 1822, the first Gujarati newspaper, the Bombay Samachar, was published from this press. It became a daily in 1837. The attitude of the Bombay Government towards the Press was as unsympathetic as that of Bengal, particularly Elphinstone was in favour of decided and vigorous measures of control. The Bombay Gazette excited the wrath of the Government by publishing comments on the proceedings of the Supreme Court, so that its editor, C. J. Fair, was deported. In 1825, the Regulation ordering the proprietors, editors and printers to register their names was passed.

Nevertheless, newspapers continued to multiply. The Parsees established two papers of their own to educate their community. The question of their calendar was agitating them. One party, the 'Kadimis,' supported Dastur Mulla Firoz and held that the Parsees of India were following a calendar which was incorrect by one month. The opposite party, the 'Shahinshahis' adhered to the current calendar. Discussions on this subject were carried on in the columns of these papers.

In 1830, Naoroji Dorabji Chandaru started a Gujarati weekly, the Mumbai Vartaman. It became a bi-weekly after thirteen months. In 1831, Pestroji Maneckji Motivala brought out the weekly Jam-e-Jamshed in Gujarati; after sometime it became a daily.
An interesting addition to the Bombay Press was the publication in 1851 of the Rast Goftar, a fortnightly newspaper, under the editorship of Dadabhai Naoroji and the financial support of Khurshedji Cama. Dadabhai Kavasji founded the Akhbar-e-Saudagar in the following year.

The first Marathi newspaper was the Bombay Durpan started by Bal Shastri Jambhekar in 1832. Its prospectus proclaimed: “Stimulated by a desire to encourage amongst their countrymen the pursuit of English literature, and to open a field for free and public discussion on points connected with the prosperity of the country and the happiness of its inhabitants, a few natives, resident in Bombay, intend to publish a newspaper entitled the Bombay Durpan. . . The publication is undertaken chiefly with the object of promoting among the Natives the study of European literature and the diffusion of European knowledge; the sphere of its usefulness will be extended by having two columns in each page, one English and the other Muratee.” The paper tried to live up to its promise. In 1840, however, when it closed down, it announced: “To encourage a taste for newspapers in Marathee and spread liberal sentiments in matters of religion and politics that might promote the improvement of our countrymen, were the causes which led to the publication of this paper.”

In the year 1840, Bal Shastri started the Digdarshan, a monthly journal to discuss educational, cultural and scientific subjects. About the same time, his colleague, Bhau Mahajan, began editing the Prabhakar. In 1842, the missionaries brought out the Dnandodaya. The first paper to be published from Poona was the Dnyan Prakash in 1849.

Press in the Madras Presidency

In the Madras Presidency, the first English paper to appear was the Madras Courier in 1785. It received official recognition and published Government notifications. Two years later, Boyd, its editor, severed his connection with the paper and founded the Harkaru. Then, in 1797, the weekly Madras Gazette was established, and the Indian Herald, an unauthorised paper, came out shortly afterwards. The Government of Madras manifested the same kind of suspicious attitude towards the Press as in other Presidencies. Licensing and censorship were used as the instruments of control, and intransigent editors were deported.
The progress of the Press was slow in Madras. The number of papers in the Indian languages was small till 1858. By 1877, there were twenty-six papers in circulation.

A number of newspapers in Urdu and Persian were also published from Madras, namely, the Azal al Akhbar, Taseer al Akhbar, Aftabi Alamtab, Jama al Akhbar.

Northern—India’s Newspapers

The northern provinces came under the dominion of the British later and it was only after the second decade of the nineteenth century that newspapers began to appear. Kanpur had become an important British military centre. The Cawnpore Advertiser was established in 1822 and the Omnibus in 1828. The Universal Magazine came out from Meerut in 1835. In 1833, an English weekly, the Delhi Gazette, made its appearance, followed by the Delhi Advertiser in 1838, which changed its name to the Indian Times in 1856. Banaras produced the Recorder.

There were quite a number of newspapers in Persian and Urdu in this region. Among the Persian-language papers were the Zubdat-ul-Akbar (1823), Mehri-Munir (1841), Siraj-ul-Akhbar (1841), and Ahsan-ul-Akbar (1844), etc. Among the Urdu papers, mention may be made of the Agra Akhbar, Delhi Akhbar, Sayyad ul Akhbar, Sadiq ul Akhbar, Karim ul Akhbar, Asad ul Akhbar, Banaras Akhbar, Aftab-i-Hind, Koh-i-Noor, etc.

In fact, by 1848, there were nearly a dozen and a half presses in the North-West Provinces and the Panjab, and with the exception of two, all published newspapers.

Among the Persian newspapers, the Siraj-ul-Akhbar was a sort of court gazette of the King of Delhi. The Zubdat-ul-Akhbar, whose proprietor and editor was Munshi Wajid Ali Khan, was a responsible and well-informed journal, but had conservative leanings, although its views were expressed in cautious and restrained language.

Of the other Indian-language papers, the Koh-i-Noor of the Panjab started by Munshi Har Sukh Rai, was important. The Bagh-o-Bahar of Banaras contained articles on medicine, history, astronomy and other subjects. Munshi Sadasukh Lal was responsible for two papers, one in Urdu (Nurul Akhbar) and the other Hindi (Buddhee Prakash). Both were ably edited.

Mention may also be made of two monthlies, Qiran us Sadain
and *Fuwad un Nazrin*, published from the Matla-ul-Ulum press of Delhi. Their columns were devoted to the European sciences.

The role which the Press played had two sides. On the one hand, it tried to influence the policies and measures of Government and, on the other, to enlighten and educate the people.

III. THE PRESS AND GOVERNMENT

In its first days, the Indian Press refrained from criticising the administration. But, gradually, the effects of the policies of Cornwallis and his immediate successors began to manifest themselves and the deprivation of the patronage which the Indians had enjoyed under their own rulers, began to weigh heavily on them. The harsh land-revenue policies along with sweeping resumption of lands held on rent-free tenures, caused wide-spread discontent. To top all, the events on the Indian frontiers, like the siege of Herat by the Iranian forces, the hostilities against Nepal and Burma, and the secret missions of the Nepal Durbar to the courts of the Indian princes, added to the general disquiet.

The discontent was reflected in the Press, especially in the Indian-language papers, more particularly those in Urdu and Persian. The *Jame-Jahan Numa* set the pace for frank but temperate criticism of the Government. The columns of the *Reformer* were filled with strictures upon the Government’s actions. The activities of this publication were described in 1845 thus: “In politics the *Reformer* at first assumed a tone of rancorous and undiscriminating violence towards the British Government, out-doing the wildest flights to which ultra Radicalism has ever soared in these lands. A nondescript species of native oligarchy and republicanism combined was the panacea proposed for remedying all the ills of India.”

On the whole, however, the Indian Press was moderate in tone and deferential to the Government. But there were occasions when its equanimity was disturbed and it indulged in plain-speaking. One such occasion was when the Government proposed to amend the law ordaining the forfeiture of the property of a Hindu converted to Christianity. The Hindus presented a strongly worded memorial to the Government in 1845 which, however, had no effect. There was a lot of stir, and the Indian newspapers were dubbed as “exceedingly scurrilous, and
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very prone to abuse the Government and all in authority. They published articles of libellous tendency, at times almost exciting rebellion."\(^1\)

In spite of such alarmist and exaggerated views, the fact remains that in the first half of the nineteenth century, the political influence of the Indian Press was limited. The Government knew that the circulation of newspapers in Calcutta was small and, outside the town, smaller still. The landlords were quite indifferent, so much so that they would not spare a rupee and a half per month to buy a paper and pay its postage, although they were lavish in gifts to Brahmans and in superstitious observances; the masses were oblivious of all political matters. The administration felt that there was no consensus of Indian public opinion and that therefore "there is very little fear of the co-operation of natives of all religions castes and classes."\(^2\)

Nonetheless, the influence of the Press on the opinion of Indian society was growing. The *Friend of India* in its issue of 8th November 1838, remarked, "Its (of the Indian Press) influence has become a powerful instrument of civilization. When a nation has been asleep for ages, the first stage of improvement consists in breaking its slumbers and the Press appears more likely to produce the effect at the present than at any preceding time."

This influence was built up even during the period of restrictions because the Indian newspapers discussed problems which deeply affected the interests of the people. But they were not political or administrative problems, because in the early years of British rule, the Indian middle class was not prepared to challenge British supremacy. They were extremely cautious in commenting upon governmental actions and policies. But problems of religion, social customs, and education were discussed with great zeal, and their pros and cons freely canvassed. Besides, comments on happenings in the different parts of India created an awareness which was sharpened by contrast with the news of the countries in Asia and Europe, especially Britain.

In the post-Bentinck period, the Indian Press became more outspoken, particularly the Persian newspapers. About the
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Jame-Jahan Numa, the Friend of India complained that it formed an exception to the general fidelity, mildness and tolerance of the Indian Press. This paper had a wide circulation in the mofussil towns and was subscribed to by most of the Indian chiefs. Writing in 1840, the Friend of India contrasted the cordiality and loyalty of the Hindu Press with the intransigence of the Persian papers, "in which the most outrageous attacks on the present rulers of the country have been so predominant."

About the Bombay Press in 1850, the Friend of India remarked, "It is another sign of the times also that English journalists are beginning to entertain a suspicion that Indian editors are nearly as well acquainted with Indian affairs as they are themselves, and that it may be worthwhile now and then to quote their opinion." Evidently, the Indian Press in general was becoming more influential with the passage of time and its writings were characterised by greater sobriety and reason. William Digby, reviewing the history of the Press in the first half of the nineteenth century, stated that the Indian newspapers had "acquired the confidence of the people with whom they are brought into contact, and what appears in the columns of these journals may be looked upon as an expression of feeling which has behind it a considerable force." He added: "Indirectly, its effects are mighty; actually it is an infant in whom there is uncommonly great promise."

On Indian society, the impact of the Press was far deeper. It threw light upon the abuses, which like a canker, were eating into its vitals. By doing so, it roused the conscience of the people and helped in remedying the baneful effects of social evils and individual misdemeanours.

During the Revolt of 1857-58, the newspapers of the Presidency towns edited by the products of the new education remained unaffected, for they were convinced that English institutions were necessary for the uplift of the people. But the Urdu and Persian newspapers, especially of Delhi and Panjab, played an important role in the dissemination of anti-British feelings and in rousing the people against the Government. At the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 13th June 1857, Lord Canning, among other things, remarked, "I doubt whether it is fully understood or known to what an audacious

1 The Friend of India, December 20, 1840
2 Ibid., September 19, 1850
3 The Calcutta Review, 1877, pp. 364, 365
extent sedition has been poured into the hearts of the native population of India within the last few weeks under the guise of intelligence supplied to them by the native newspapers. It has been done sedulously, cleverly, artfully."1

1 *Ibid.*, April 1908, pp. 208-209
CHAPTER SIX

SOCIAL REFORM

I. IMPACT OF MODERN CULTURE

In the preceding chapters, the effects of the administrative and economic measures of the British Government on the political, economic and social institutions of India have been narrated. One of the consequences of these changes was the emergence of the middle class. How this class imbibed the modern outlook through the various agencies of education—schools and colleges imparting Western knowledge, cultural societies, books, newspapers and journals, and direct contact with Europeans, missionaries, merchants, officials, etc., has also been explained.

These two types of influences, institutional and ideological, stemmed from certain attitudes and convictions entertained by the ruling class—the civil and military officers, the Governors and Governors-General, the Directors of the East India Company, the Ministers presiding over the Board of Control, the Cabinet, the Parliament and the English public interested in the affairs of the Indian dominion. These attitudes naturally projected themselves into the affairs of the Government and determined the spirit and character of its policies and measures.

These attitudes were primarily based upon an understanding of the imperial interests which were the prime movers of all the activities of the British rulers in India and the main source of inspiration in all their dealings throughout the period of their rule. But, apart from this overriding consideration, different individuals and groups entertained different views about Indian civilisation, about Indian character, about the duty of the rulers towards the ruled, and about governmental policies and measures.

While reference will be made to the variety of opinion in appropriate contexts, it is necessary to consider the general trend of thought among those responsible for determining the policies of Government.

The conquest of India was a consequence of the mercantilist principles applied to trade in the eighteenth century. Mercantilism aimed at the growth of national power and the expansion of trade by means of war, if necessary. Having conquered India in the interests of trade, it naturally followed that India should
be exploited for the benefit of the British economy. The one dominating purpose of British rule in India, therefore, was the promotion of the imperial interests.

In the initial stage, that is, in the years immediately following the conquest of Bengal, the imperial interests were equated with the private and personal gain of the Company’s servants, even to the detriment of the Company’s profits. Free rein was given to unrestrained acquisition of wealth, which produced chaos in India and threatened to corrupt public life in England.

Thanks to Burke this short-sighted and anarchic imperialism began to be replaced by a more responsible and restrained imperialism, which drew attention to the long-term interests of England. This phase coincided with the transition from mercantilism to industrialism, from protection to free trade, from aristocratic to bourgeois government and from moral laxity and religious apathy of the eighteenth century to moral reform and religious enthusiasm. During this phase, the principal aim of the British rulers was to advance the economic interests of Britain by evolving a stable machinery of administration and moulding the Indian economy to subserve British objectives. Charles Grant, who from 1794 to 1823 was one of the principal men in authority in the counsels of the East India Company, defined the principle of economic imperialism in these words: “the dependent territory must fall under that system of regulation, which the paramount state in a combined view of its general interests, sees best on the whole.”

Contemporaneously, the imperialist temper manifested itself through expansionism. Wars with Indian princes were provoked and vast areas were annexed. But it was realised that if India was to yield larger profits, produce in greater quantities raw materials for export and absorb in an increasing measure the manufactured goods of England, it was necessary to adopt measures of material and moral progress of the country, to organise the land-revenue system, the administration of justice, education, etc.; in short, to establish an orderly system of administration.

In the next phase, the monopolistic commerce of the Company was completely wound up and the regime of laissez faire was inaugurated. But laissez faire was applied only in cases where it was of advantage to England’s economy. It remained in
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abeyance where state intervention was regarded necessary. For instance, while customs duties on English imports into India were reduced, heavy duties on Indian manufactures entering England were retained. Private enterprise in industry was not interfered with; nevertheless, the Government took active steps to encourage the production of raw materials needed by England.

During the Anglo-American war (1812-14), the East India Company extended the production and improved the quality of raw cotton grown in India. Later, it took the initiative in establishing tea plantations in Assam and then handing them over to private businessmen. Similarly, the State guaranteed 5 per cent dividend to encourage the investment of private capital in the railways. Thus, Indian interests were sacrificed under both systems. Under mercantilism, British industry was protected against Indian competition, and under laissez faire, Indian industry was denied protection against British manufactures.

This phase lasted till the great Revolt of 1857, and coincided with the triumph of Liberalism in England. In India, the imperial interests of England were advanced by extending the frontiers of the British dominion to the natural boundaries of the country in the north-west and to Burma in the east, and by reducing the areas under Indian rulers.

In the economic sphere, the period was marked by two types of development. In the first place, India provided a profitable base for the investment of British capital, mainly in the railways and the plantations. Secondly, the colonial system of economy was established by which India became a producer and supplier of primary commodities and a market for the manufactured goods of Britain.

The imperialism of this phase was characterised by enlightened self-interest. Bentinck introduced far-reaching social reforms, fostered westernisation by deciding to promote English education, and sought to satisfy Indians by recruiting them to the subordinate civil services. He laid the foundations of better government by codifying the laws and removing some glaring differences in the administration of justice for Indians and Englishmen.

Dalhousie undertook a programme whose twofold object was the economic development of India and the strengthening of the foundations of British rule. Public works were started on a large scale, including the building of roads, canals and irrigation works. Railways were opened to bring supplies of raw materials
from the interior to the ports, to distribute British goods in the interior of the country and to facilitate the movement of troops. A fresh impetus was given to educational expansion by Wood's Despatch.

By 1858, the old India was politically dead and the lineaments of a new India throbbing with fresh vitality had made their appearance. It is necessary to understand the spirit that animated this new society and to trace the growth of the organs through which it fulfilled its functions. Two sets of factors moulded this development—the impact of an alien civilisation and the momentum of India's own inner life.

The impact of the West did not operate in a normal, peaceful manner, but was consequential upon military conquest, which determined the relations between the bearers of the two cultures. Inherent in the conquest was pride of empire and sense of superiority, on the part of the conquerors, and resentment and resistance, or acquiescence, on the part of the conquered. It produced also a feeling of inferiority and a longing for self-assertion. Under the circumstances, normal human relations were not possible between the two. The insolent claim to a monopoly of wisdom in devising measures for the people's welfare and an intolerable arrogance of behaviour towards the inhabitants of the country evoked in them prejudice, dissimulation and extraordinary suspicion.

These conditions were not propitious for a smooth, harmonious and well-integrated social evolution. The West and the East met in India, unfortunately, in conditions of violence and conflict, and the process of modernisation and eventual emancipation became warped.

The long and sordid story of the perennial assertion of imperialist superiority and the Indian reaction against it would be unbelievable but for the evidence of indubitable facts.

The epigram of Abbe Dubois, "where every prospect pleaseth, and man alone is vile," describes succinctly the imperial attitude, which was almost universal among the British ruling class. Persons of all types of thought, conservative, liberal, Christian, humanitarian, officials, merchants, politicians, members of the professional classes, in fact, all sections of the British people, with some commendable exceptions, entertained views about the people of India, their religious and social customs, morals and culture, which ranged from patronisingly appreciative to grossly denunciatory.
In the earliest period of British rule, however, some officials did express admiration for Indian philosophy and literature. Warren Hastings, for instance, in the introductory foreword to Wilkins' translation of the Bhagavad Gita wrote:

"I hesitate not to pronounce the Geeta a performance of great originality; of a sublimity of conception, reasoning, and diction, almost unequalled; and a single exception, among all the known religions of mankind, of a theology accurately corresponding with that of the Christian dispensation, and most powerfully illustrating its fundamental doctrines."\(^1\)

But even in the days of Warren Hastings, as pointed out by him, the widely prevalent attitude among Englishmen regarded Indians "as creatures scarce elevated above the degree of savage life."\(^2\) Among the contemporaries of Warren Hastings, however, was the eminent but conservative philosopher, Burke. He held in veneration the historical process and believed in the relativity of institutions. He showed a sympathetic understanding of the Indian polity and its laws, and appreciated the high value of Indian culture. His followers shared his respect for Indian culture and Indian institutions, but they were few in number and did not exercise much influence over Indian affairs.

Opinions similar to those of the conservative school were entertained by the Orientalists like Charles Wilkins and Sir William Jones, the founder of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, who translated Kalidas's Shakuntala, Manusamhita, Hitopadesha and other works into English, and had a good opinion of the merits of the Sanskrit language. He admired the "wonderful structure, more perfect than the Greek, more copious than the Latin, and more exquisitely refined than either,"\(^3\) of Sanskrit.

Besides the Orientalists, there were many officials who studied the literature of India, but few among them agreed with Munro that if there was an exchange of cultures between the two countries, Great Britain would greatly benefit.

II. Attitude of British Administrators

As against those who had a favourable opinion of Indian culture, there was a vastly larger number who were its detractors.

\(^1\) The Bhagavad-Gita or Dialogues of Krishna and Arjuna in eighteen lectures with notes, translated from the original in the Sanskreet or ancient language of Brahmans, by Charles Wilkins (London, 1775); The Calcutta Review, Vol. III, 1845, p. 234
\(^2\) Ibid.
\(^3\) Ibid., p. 264
Among them were imperialist statesmen, Benthamite liberals, Christian missionaries and their lay supporters, and humanitarians.

From Cornwallis to Canning, there was hardly any Governor-General whose opinion about Indians was favourable. Cornwallis's estimate of Indian character was so low, that he shut completely the doors of responsible offices upon them. Wellesley wanted to project the image of a mighty empire, which outshone in splendour and glory that of the Mughals and the Romans. He felt little compunction in destroying the independence of indigenous principalities on the ground that the British order was superior to the despotism and inefficiency of the Indian princes.

Minto and Hastings both aimed at strengthening the empire. Both undertook military expeditions to extend it, and endeavoured to ameliorate the condition of the "suffering humanity" of India.

Bentinck, Metcalfe and Macaulay were followers of Bentham and ardent advocates of a rapid transformation of India, which they regarded as a land of superstition and despotism. Bentinck, the reformer, was dissatisfied with the British system of administration and endeavoured to improve it, but he was dissatisfied even more with the Indian people. According to him the country was "cursed from one end to the other by the vice, the ignorance, the oppression, the despotism, the barbarous and cruel customs that have been the growth of ages under every description of Asiatic misrule."¹

Macaulay's contemptuous estimate of Indian literature is well known. He had the frankness to confess that he looked upon Indians as "a race debased by three thousand years of despotism and priest-craft and sunk in slavery and superstition."

The Liberals, inspired by their utilitarian principles, strove to purge Indian society of its evil customs—burning of widows, sacrifice of infants, slavery, and cruel rites like the Charak Puja. They believed that Western education would bring about the moral regeneration of India and would, in the words of Sir Charles Trevelyan, "shake Hindooism and Mohammedanism to their centre and firmly establish our language and learning and ultimately our religion in India."² They relaxed the censorship of the Press and encouraged the liberty of expression. They

¹Bentinck MSS, General Department Minutes, pp. 249-250, 14th October, 1833; quoted by Bearce, G. D., op. cit., p. 162
²Bearce, G. D. Ibid., p. 161
tried to mould the institutions of administration in accordance with Benthamite philosophy and to establish peace, order and good government. Nevertheless, it remains true that they had a mighty contempt for Indian culture, and Indian social and political institutions and were impatient to westernise India as rapidly as possible. With Ellenborough the liberal interlude of peace and reform ended, and there was a period of renewed military adventures and extension of the empire, which lasted till Dalhousie completed this task.

Ellenborough was a Tory imperialist who considered his paramount task to be to protect the British empire against the menace of Russia, which was then rapidly advancing into Central Asia. He was a follower of Palmerston, who sought to keep the Cossacks and the Sikhs as far away from the British frontiers as possible. Both intended that India should play its prescribed role in the grand strategy of imperial defence. For Ellenborough, every other matter was subordinate to this main objective. He had no use for the reforms advocated by the liberals. He was against education of the Indians. In his conversation with Dwarkanath Tagore, he had said:

"You know that if these gentlemen who wish to educate the natives of India were to succeed to the utmost extent of their desire, we should not remain in this country three months." if people were educated, admitted to civil services, given civil administration, and also possessed a free Press, railways and telegraphs to enable them to communicate and co-operate, they would not submit to the British Government. This was what frightened Ellenborough.

Dalhousie was an outstanding imperialist, but he was more moderate and more far-sighted than Ellenborough. He did not care less than Ellenborough for the power and glory of the empire, as is evinced by his policy of annexations and his measures to consolidate the empire and develop the economy of India. But he had little regard for the feelings of the Indian people and no love for the country.

**Attitude of the Liberals**

Such uncomplimentary views were shared by the Liberal philosophers upon whom the mantle of Jeremy Bentham had fallen. James Mill, who published his voluminous *History of*...
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India in 1817, and who exercised great influence over the affairs of the Company from 1819 till 1836, deviated considerably from the principles of liberty, equality and representative government as applied to the problems of India. It is true that in attacking the monopoly of the East India Company, in advocating the policy of free trade, in criticising the administration of India as 'little removed from the despotism of barbarians,' in recommending measures of reform and, above all, in emphasising the utility of modern education and especially of European science, he was giving vent to his liberal sentiments. But Mill's assessment of Indian society and culture was so depreciatory that he could not think of a fitter form of rule for the Indian people than "a simple form of arbitrary government tempered by European honour and European intelligence." He considered Indian society as rude, even inferior to the medieval European society, priest-ridden and superstitious. According to him, Hindu law was characterised by vagueness, redundancy and confusion. Hindu religion was a mass of horrible penances, useless and harmful ceremonies, and Hindu learning wholly devoid of rational thought.

John Stuart Mill, unlike his father, possessed a less dogmatic and more plastic mind. He diverged from the philosophy of Bentham in important ways and differed from James Mill in his evaluation of Indian institutions and culture. He disagreed with Macaulay's estimate of Indian learning and questioned the propriety of teaching morals and useful knowledge merely with the utilitarian object of securing recruits for the services, and not for its own sake, or imparting knowledge through the medium of English and not the language of the people. He held that no community had the right to force its civilisation on another. His proposals for the economic development of India were more in consonance with Liberalism than those of his father. He favoured the removal of legal disabilities of Indians based upon colour prejudice and regarded it as the moral duty of Britain to prepare India for modern institutions and eventually for self-government. He was shocked by the excesses and brutalities perpetrated in 1858 and distrusted the new imperialism expounded by Dilke in Greater Britain or by Gobineau in his Essai sur l'inégalité des races humaines. He believed in the superiority of intellect, of knowledge, and enlightened institutions, and not in the superiority of colour or race.
Genuine although his desire was for progress, John Stuart Mill could not rise above some of the ideas prevalent among his contemporaries, for instance, the superiority of the Western civilisation over the Indian, the unfitness of the Indians for self-government, the decadence of Indian society. Like his father, he too believed that the best constitution for India was a vigorous despotism, but qualified by knowledge and responsibility.

**Missionary View of Indian Society**

But the most clamourous detractors of Hinduism and Islam were the Christian missionaries and their allies—the Claphamites and humanitarians. Charles Grant, who, after retirement from India, became an influential member of the Court of Directors and a strong supporter of the Clapham Sect, held the opinion that the propagation of Christianity in India would serve Britain’s imperial interests—security of the empire, prosperity of the East India Company and attachment of Indians to the empire. He advocated the introduction of Western education as the most powerful means of strengthening the empire. He wrote:

"By planting our language, our knowledge, our opinions, and our religion in our Asiatic territories, we shall put a great work beyond the reach of contingencies."

His views about India were extremely unfavourable. About the Hindu society, he stated: "They exhibit human nature in a very degraded, humiliating state." And "the Mohammedans who are mixed with them, may, in regard to manners and morals, often be comprehended under the same observation." According to him the Bengalis "want truth, honesty and good faith in an extreme," and that "frauds, deceptions, evasions, and procrastinations in every line of life, in all professions, perpetually occur and forgeries are often resorted to with little scruple." Upon the Hindu religion he poured the utmost ridicule, exhausting all the terms of abuse. This is his description: "idolatry with all its rabble of impure deities, its monsters of wood and stone, its false principles and corrupt practices, its delusive
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1 Grant, C., Observations on the State of Society among the Asiatic Subjects of Great Britain, Particularly with Respect to Morals etc. etc. (compiled, 1792)
2 Ibid., p. 20
3 Ibid.
4 Ibid.
hopes and fears, its ridiculous ceremonies and degrading superstitions, its lying legends and fraudulent impositions.”

William Wilberforce, the leader of the anti-slavery movement, was a close associate of Charles Grant, and a powerful member of Parliament. He was instrumental in inducing Parliament to incorporate provisions about education and the entry of missionaries in the Charter of 1813. He believed that the purpose of the Empire was to redeem the heathens of India from the darkness in which they dwelt. In his appeals to the public and the Parliament, he depicted the state of Indian society and religion in the most sombre colours. He declared in Parliament: “Our religion is sublime, pure and beneficent. Theirs is mean, licentious and cruel,” and further stated, “all that he heard, all that he read, in the interval (1793—1813) had intensified his horror at the abysmal depths of Indian paganism.”

The missionaries, while engaged in spreading the Christian faith, held aloft the imperial flag and propped up the British trade. They denounced worship, ritual and beliefs of the Hindus, the caste system and the treatment of women.

Carey, for instance, wrote: “I suppose that no people can have more completely surrendered their reason than the Hindoos.” Ward described Indian women “as miserable, uneducated, mere animals kept for burden or for slaughter.”

It is no necessary to pile up examples of the vituperative remarks of the missionaries. But Alexander Duff, who was one of the most remarkable propagandists of Christianity sent out from England and who made a deep mark on missionary work in India, is so important that one or two quotations from his writings are reproduced to illustrate the attitude of the later generation of missionaries. He described the Indian people as a “multiple of heathens, the most licentious and depraved under the sun,” and expressed the opinion that “in this country there is a gigantic system of error to be rejected ere a system of truth can be embraced.” In his book, *India and Indian Missions*, he wrote: “Of all the systems of false religion ever fabricated by the perverse ingenuity of fallen men, Hinduism is surely the
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2 *Hansard, XXVI*, 831-32, 22 June 1813
3 Bearce, G. D., *op. cit.*, p. 83
5 Smith, George, *Life of Alexander Duff*, p. 125
most stupendous.  

For him, oriental learning was "worthless metaphysical subtlety and refinement, like that of the schoolmen of the Middle Ages in Europe."

According to F. J. Shore, who had served in India in various capacities—Sessions Judge, Civil Commissioner and Agent—the Englishman's estimate of Indians was that they "were a low, degraded people who possessed few good qualities and whose institutions, customs and government were bad." Englishmen hated the natives and behaved in a supercilious, arrogant and insolent manner. There are numerous examples of such behaviour, which show that even Indians of the highest status like Ram Mohan Roy were not immune from such treatment. He believed, "we and ours, on the contrary, were everything that was excellent."

In this wholesale condemnation, voices were occasionally heard which were less strident. Bishop Heber's was one such voice. He considered Hindu worship not worse than Greek paganism, and Hindu caste no more despotic than the Roman Catholic Church. He regarded the Hindus as "constitutionally kind-hearted, industrious, sober and peaceable, at the same time that they shew themselves on proper occasions, a manly and courageous people." He did not subscribe to the theory of white superiority, and encouraged marriages between Europeans and Indians. He tried to dissuade his fellow missionaries from using language which hurt the national pride of Indians or vilified their objects of worship. Yet, as to their literature, he too was convinced that it involved "a laborious study of Sanscrit and all the useless, and worse than useless, literature of their ancestors."

Of these attitudes, those of the higher officials were little known to the Indian public except indirectly through the insolent behaviour of some of them, while those of the missionaries were openly inflicted upon the people. For the missionaries preached and rubbed in their views in schools, societies and open markets, and poured out incessant propaganda by means of books, pamphlets and the Press. Their polemics were barbed.
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1 N. S. Bose, The Indian Awakening and Bengal (Calcutta, 1960), p. 87
2 Bearce, G. D., op. cit., p. 227
with language of extreme discourtesy and their assertive methods not only aroused anger and dismay among Indians, they caused a great deal of anxiety to the rulers in the highest quarters. Charles Wood, Secretary of State for India, wrote in a letter to Earl Canning: “As to the missionaries, they are mischievous enough. I only do not wish unnecessarily to provoke them; for they can get up a cry here (England), which people unacquainted with India think very fine and harmless.”

But the missionaries were fortified by the sympathy and in many cases open partiality of both civil and military officials. It is not surprising that there was general apprehension among the Hindus and Muslims regarding the intentions of the Government on the question of conversion.

III. INDIAN RESPONSE TO WESTERN CHALLENGE

The assumption of superiority in its direct and indirect manifestations was a challenge to India. It made almost impossible the growth of relations of mutual respect and regard between the two races. The Indian was by tradition not politically conscious nor racially minded; the Englishman, on the contrary, was strongly political-minded and keenly conscious of his national interests and racial superiority. Their cultural differences accentuated discord between them. The attack of the West forced the Indian to meet its challenge. His response took several forms.

Response of the Old Order

For the section which represented the old order, the political and religious aspects of British rule were the most important. It accused the West of dispossessing the Indian princes of their lands, power and independence and of threatening the destruction of the people’s ancestral faith. Hence, the numerous but vain attempts of this order to overthrow British authority culminating in the great Revolt of 1857. Among these attempts, one of the most dangerous to the British empire was the so-called Wahhabi movement and its ramifications.

Response of the Middle Classes

Quite different were the reactions of the new middle class, among whom there were many groups—conservatives, liberals and radicals. The conservatives were opposed to any change in

the Hindu religion and social customs, for they looked upon them as hallowed by ancient tradition and enjoined by sacred books. The attacks of the missionaries and others only confirmed them in their resolve to preserve them intact. They argued that their religious practices were divinely ordained and possessed deep spiritual significance, however ugly their features might appear outwardly. Once change was started, there was no knowing where it would end. Any tinkering with the established custom, therefore, threatened the whole fabric of faith. The conservatives combated their Christian adversaries and resisted all attempts of the reformers. The fiercer the assault of the West, the stronger the reaction on the part of the conservatives, and the greater their success with their countrymen, who looked upon them as defenders of their heritage and love for their motherland. The conservatives made a distinct contribution to the growth of Indian nationalism.

The Conservatives

Conservative in matters of religion, most of the modern educated leaders of the group were quite progressive in matters educational, political and economic. The most outstanding among them was Radha Kanta Deb, son of Gopi Mohan Deb and great-grandson of Naba Krishna Deb, the well-known Munshi of Clive. He was born in 1784 and was educated in Cunningham's Calcutta Academy. He knew five languages, Bengali, Persian, Arabic, Sanskrit and English, and acquired fame as a writer and scholar. He had wide intellectual interests and was devoted to Sanskrit. He compiled in Sanskrit an encyclopaedic lexicon which he named Shabda Kalpadruma. It consisted of seven parts and a supplement, and took him forty years to complete. He also wrote a number of textbooks for schools and made translations from English and Persian.

He was a member of a number of learned societies; Vice-President of the Agricultural and Horticultural Society of India, corresponding member of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, and member of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. Besides, he was a member of the Hindu College Council, and of the Calcutta School Society. In 1823, he founded the 'Gaudiya Samaj' to promote education and propagate knowledge. In 1830, he established the 'Dharma Sabha' to organise a campaign against the abolition of the Sati custom. A petition signed by Maharajah Sri Girish Chunder, Radha Madhab Bonnerji, Kashi-
nath Bonnerji and others, was presented to the Governor-
General Lord William Bentinck, on January 18, 1830, protesting
against the Government's interference with the religious cus-
toms of the Hindus. It was asserted that usage and precept were
equally sacred for them and none but Brahman pandits had the
authority to interpret the sacred texts. Bentinck rejected the
petition on both religious and humanitarian grounds. The
Dharma Sabha then made an appeal to the King-in-Council
where it met the same fate.

In 1838, when the Government was resuming la khiraj
(rent-free) lands, the Zamindary Association was brought into
existence to safeguard the rights of the tenure holders. In 1851,
Radha Kanta was elected President of the British India Associa-
tion which was formed to represent the grievances of the
country to the British Parliament.

Radha Kanta was one of the foremost public men in Bengal.
He took a leading part in all the educational activities of the
province. He was one of the founders of the Hindu College and
was at the helm of its affairs for over thirty years, from 1817
until 1850. He opened a Sanskrit College in 1851, and the Hindu
Metropolitan College in 1853, after he had resigned from the
Managing Committee of the Hindu College on account of differ-
ences with its English chairman. He also helped in establish-
ing Bengali Pathashalas, and strongly supported technical edu-
cation. He was an advocate of women’s education and gave un-
stinted support to schemes for spreading education among them,
whether undertaken by the Government, the missionaries, or
other agencies.

In all his activities, Radha Kanta was moved by two ideas—
preservation of the Hindu religion and advancement of the wel-
fare of the Indian people. In the pursuit of the first object, he
acted as a conservative Hindu. Under his lead, the Hindu
College Committee took the decision to confine its admissions
to Hindus alone, to exclude Ram Mohan Roy from membership
of the Committee, to disallow William Adam, a follower of Ram
Mohan Roy, from obtaining a teaching post in the College, to
dismiss Derozio, whose influence was responsible for spreading
free thought among the students. He was greatly agitated when
a professor was converted to Christianity and was followed by
a student. Both had to leave the College. But the affair led
to strained relations between Drinkwater Beadon, the Chairman,
and Radha Kanta Deb, and ultimately the latter resigned.
These incidents show the depth of Radha Kanta Deb's attachment to Hinduism. He felt every conversion as a blow to the Hindu faith and did his best to prevent defections from it. In 1851, he organised the Patitoddhār Sabha (Society for the Reclamation of the Fallen) to readmit converts from Christianity into Hinduism. The Friend of India, the leading English weekly of that time, announced the formation of the Society as “one of the most important events that has occurred in the present century.”

Radha Kanta died in 1867. His legacy was the revival of self-respect in the Hindu community and of pride in its ancient culture.

The Radicals

The second group consisted of the first generation of the English-educated young men, among whom the alumni of the Hindu College were prominent. They were known as Young or New Bengal. They had imbied the rationalist thought of Europe, had studied philosophers like Locke, Hume, Reid, Stewart, Brown and Bentham, social thinkers like Tom Paine, Voltaire and Adam Smith, and scientists like Dewey and Newton. They were well read in English historians like Robertson and Gibbon, as well as romantic poets and prose writers. Apart from what they learnt from books, their minds were moulded by the teaching of their young and brilliant professor of English, Henry Derozio.

Derozio was of mixed parentage. On the father's side, he was a Portuguese and on the mother's side, an India. But he had completely identified himself with India. He was undoubtedly a prodigy. At the early age of fourteen, he had completed his school education under Drummond, and in 1823, he became a clerk in an English firm in Bhagalpore. On returning to Calcutta in 1827, he took up journalism and literature. He helped in editing a number of journals—the India Gazette, the Calcutta Literary Gazette, the Bengal Annual and the Kaleidoscope. He published a volume of poems in 1827, and was appointed an assistant master in the senior department of the Hindu College in the same year.

After one year, he was promoted to the post of lecturer in English literature and history. As a teacher, he earned the admiration, loyalty and devotion of his pupils. His lectures were so attractive that not only students of his own class
attended them but also students of the senior classes in the college. Apart from lecturing, he met groups of students before and after college hours for discussion and exchange of ideas. The students became so fond of these meetings that a regular society known as the ‘Academic Association’ was formed. Here all questions relating to poetry and literature, philosophy and ethics, religion and politics, were freely discussed. The weekly meetings were sometimes attended by eminent persons like David Hare, Sir Edwin Ryan of the Supreme Court and Dr. Mill, Principal of the Bishop College. He also gave weekly lectures on morals and literature at David Hare’s School.

Derozio was one of those rare teachers whose disinterested attachment to knowledge, love of truth, and hatred of evil produces a profound impression upon those who come in contact with them. Like Socrates, he pursued what was right with single-minded devotion, and like Socrates, he had the gift to inspire young men with his own enthusiasm in search of truth. Like Socrates, too, he was accused of misguiding the youth and like Socrates, he suffered. When he was dismissed, he wrote a letter to Horace Hayman Wilson in justification of his conduct. He stated that in teaching philosophy, he followed the method of Bacon, presenting all the arguments for and against any proposition that was under consideration, e.g., in discussing the existence of God, he explained both Hume’s arguments against the thesis as expressed in his dialogue between Cleanthes and Philo, and Dugald Stewart’s and Reid’s refutation of these doubts. His critical approach had the effect of liberating the minds of his young pupils, and he marked with pleasure the expanding of their intellect like the petals of a flower, and the loosening of the spell that bound their mental energies. They had learnt to value truth above everything.

Above all, he communicated his burning love of India to the youthful pupils who came into contact with him. His conversation and poems, such as,

“My Country! in thy day of glory past
A beauteous halo circled round thy brow,”

filled their minds with generous sentiments and elevated thoughts.

Many of the pupils of Derozio were drawn from that section of the middle class which was not affluent. They could not pay their college fees, but were enabled to pursue their studies with financial help from the college or from charitably minded
Prosperous men like Raja Ram Mohan Roy and David Hare. But they were young men of ability and of elevated character. They made a mark upon their times. Under the leadership of Derozio, they brought out journals like the Parthenon (or Athenium) and the Bengal Spectator, which became the mouthpiece of the group known as 'New Bengal'. The writers in these journals were outspoken in their criticism of Hindu religion and social customs and fearless in declaring their convictions. Nor did they spare the Government.

This spirit of free enquiry and free thinking, which was fed by the rationalism of the eighteenth-century European thought and brought face to face with the superstitions, the cruel and irrational practices and the general laxity of morals of the Indian society, caused a revulsion which sometimes found expression in extravagant forms. Some of these young men protested against orthodoxy by flouting the taboos against eating and drinking. Some, not satisfied with denouncing caste, idolatry, sati, attitude towards women and other social evils, went so far in their youthful exuberance as to repudiate Hinduism itself. Some turned Christians, some Deists, and some rejected all religions.

Their attack upon religion roused the consternation of the orthodox. But it served a useful purpose, for it led the orthodox to examine their beliefs and the principles on which they were based. The result was a revolution of thought.

Apart from this, it must be put to the credit of these youthful zealots that by their integrity, dignified conduct and conscientiousness coupled with intellectual ability, they enhanced the self-respect and elevated the moral stature of their society. They were men of honour in whom the nationalist sentiment—the love of India—first manifested itself.

Derozio’s poetry, which recalled India’s glorious past and lamented its ignominious present, touched the deepest chords in their hearts. While feeling actually the shame and degradation of contemporary society, they strove in their different ways to uplift the people and restore their pristine greatness. Some of them resorted to journalism, some rendered service through social work, some attained eminence as men of letters, some contributed to the advancement of science, and some gained reputation as good, efficient and upright administrators. Among these radical makers of New Bengal may be named Krishna Mohan Bandyopadhyaya, Rasik Krishna Mallik, Radha-
nath Shikdar, Dakshina Ranjan Mukhopadhyaya, Pearey Chand Mitra, Ramtanu Lahiri and others.

IV. WORK OF RAJA RAM MOHAN ROY

Between the ideologies of the conservatives and the radicals of Bengal, Ram Mohan Roy pursued the middle course. The formative period of his life had been spent in the time of trouble and turmoil, when Bengal was passing through an economic and political revolution. On his precociously thoughtful and observant mind, the great contrasts between a decadent Eastern culture and the dynamic and progressive West made a tremendous impression.

The religion of the higher class Hindus in the eighteenth century had strayed far from its ancient moorings. Few studied the Upanishads and the Darsanas, and even they not only tolerated, but actively participated in Pauranic beliefs and ritual. Higher monotheism was almost forgotten and polytheism and idol worship prevailed. Among the millions of divinities, the three, Brahma, Vishnu and Mahesha and their consorts, were regarded as the principal ones. Then there was belief in the Avataras and in local gods and goddesses. There were numerous sects based on the cult of different deities. Some of the rites, for example, those connected with the left-handed Tantric cults, were grossly immoral. The fear of evil spirits and ghosts was wide-spread; they had to be propitiated, their favour won and their wrath averted. The influence of the planets on human affairs was blindly recognised and the astrologer was consulted at the start of every activity. Festivals and pilgrimages played a conspicuous part in life. The lower classes were sunk in deep superstition. Animate and inanimate objects, like serpents, monkeys, plants, rivers, rocks and stones, apart from gods and goddesses, were worshipped. Cruel rites like the Charak Puja and other gross forms of adoration were observed.

Caste was firmly entrenched and social inequality was considered divinely ordained. Bengali Brahmans exercised the privileges of ‘Kulinism’ or multiplicity of wives. Women’s position in society was not high. Burning of widows, throwing of children into rivers, and human beings getting crushed under the wheels of Jagannath’s car, were deemed acts of merit. In the words of Tagore: “The history of India had
been standing stagnant for a long time, giving up in weariness of spirit all independent seeking of truth, all adventures of life, and initiation of intelligent operations for its internal and external cleaning; venerating its own deterioration, it had ceased from attempting any readjustment with the changing times. One by one almost all the lights of its life had become dimmed through poverty of health and poverty of knowledge."

Ram Mohan Roy, who undertook the stupendous task of transforming these conditions, was born at Radhanagar in 1774, of Brahman parents. His father was a land-holder. His father, grandfather and great-grandfather—the first recipients of the title of Ray Rayán had all served under the Nawabs of Bengal. About his early life, exact information is not available. In all likelihood, he was educated first at home and in the local school where he learnt Sanskrit, Persian and Bengali; then he went to Patna and Banaras for studying Persian, Arabic and Sanskrit. When about sixteen, he left his home in search of truth, which he was unable to find at home, and travelled in northern India and the Himalayas. It is probable that during these wanderings, he studied the Buddhist and Lamaist doctrines. He had already obtained the knowledge of Tantrism from his Sanskrit teacher at Radhanagar, and had learnt Islamic theology and mysticism from his Arabic and Persian teachers. He was well-equipped in the comparative knowledge of these religions. But Islamic studies appear to have profoundly influenced his mind. He "seems to have prepared himself for his polemical career from the logic of the Arabians which he regards as superior to every other." He was impressed by the philosophy of the Mutazilah and is known to have been fond of reciting the verses of Hafiz and Jalaluddin Rumi.

About the time of the death of his father in 1803, he entered the service of the Company and two years later, became Diwan to Digby, with whom he stayed till 1814. Digby became his friend and taught him English. In the ten years he spent with Digby, he made a deep study of Western thought and literature and acquired a style of writing which Bentham praised as "a style which, but for the name of a Hindu, I should certainly

1 Modern Review, September 1928, p. 338
2 About the date of his birth, there is a difference of opinion. According to some, it is 1772, according to others, 1774.
3 The Abbe Gregoire in a French pamphlet. See The Father of Modern India, Ram Mohan Roy centenary commemoration volume, Part II, p. 29
have ascribed to the pen of a superiorly educated and instructed Englishman,"¹ and, in fact, a style superior to that of James Mill. In 1814, on Digby’s return to England, he came to settle down in Calcutta. Here he started his marvellous career of public service and reform. His energy was amazing and his interests universal. He had already made up his mind regarding the great problems of religion, society and ethics, and he flung himself into the battle with what he regarded as the forces of darkness and irrationality, for the triumph of reasoned faith.

In Calcutta, he came into contact with the missionaries of Serampur. Their criticism of Hinduism, with which he agreed so far as its prevailing beliefs and practices were concerned, induced him to study the Christian religion. He made a searching enquiry into Christian scriptures and the theology evolved by the Churches, and for this purpose learnt Greek, Latin and Hebrew, and read the works of Christian theologians. He came to admire the teachings of Jesus Christ, but rejected the dogmatism and supernaturalism of the Bible. Not content merely with the defence of Hinduism, he made a counter-attack upon the belief in miracles, the triune nature of the divinity and the immaculate conception, and forced his missionary antagonists to defend themselves against such illogicalities. He maintained that Hinduism was not inferior to Christianity as the following extract from his letter to the editor of the Bengal Harkaru shows:

“If by the Ray of Intelligence which the Christian says we are indebted to the English, he means the introduction of useful mechanical arts, I am ready to express my assent and also my gratitude; but with respect to Science, Literature, or Religion, I do not acknowledge that we are placed under any obligation. For by a reference to History it may be proved that the World was indebted to our ancestors for the first dawn of knowledge, which sprung up in the East, and thanks to the Goddess of Wisdom, we have still a philosophical and copious language of our own, which distinguishes us from other nations who cannot express scientific or abstract ideas without borrowing the language of foreigners.”² Thus, in a vital sector of thought and culture, he demonstrated the hollowness of Western superiority.

¹ Jeremy Bentham to Ram Mohan Roy; ibid., p. 33
and restored the self-confidence of the Hindus, who had been badly shaken by the onslaughts of the missionaries and their allies. The dream that by Western education and Christian teachings, India would be won over to Christianity, began to fade.

While defending Hindu religion in its original and purer form he, nevertheless, made a vigorous assault upon the thick walls of corruption and inhuman practices which had risen high round the citadel of faith during centuries of neglect and decay, and which reformers like Kabir, Nanak, Dadu and others had valiantly tried to pull down. He had discovered to his utter sorrow that "the Hindu society with caste, polygamy, 'Kulinism', 'Suttee', infanticide, and other evils was rotten to its core. Morality was at a very low ebb. Men 'spent their time in vice and idleness, and in social broils and party quarrels,' and "ignorance and superstition reigned supreme over the length and breadth of the country. There was darkness over the land, and no man knew when it would be dispelled."¹

In the fifteen years which he spent in Calcutta, his intense activities created an extraordinary ferment in the society, which in combination with the radical and conservative movements, brought about a vast change in the attitudes of the middle class, especially its educated section. The medieval outlook of static traditionalism was replaced by a new dynamism in thought and action. Ram Mohan Roy's all-round movement was largely responsible for the change. There was hardly any aspect of national life which he did not influence.

His Religious Ideas

The basic problem which confronted him was to reconcile the objective, scientific and rationalist thought of the eighteenth century Western thinkers with the intuitive, personal, directly experienced vision of truth regarded as higher knowledge by the East. The empiricism of the West led to Hume's scepticism and scientific abstractionism which repudiated the claim of the concrete universal. It limited knowledge to the sensuous and the particular. Medieval Indian philosophy, on the other hand, tended to empty the mind of all content and take refuge in an abstract idealism, a mysticism based upon the pure negative, which denied differentiation and the particular. The solution

¹ Ibid., Vol. I, p. vii
of the problem had important corollaries for social organisation and for education.

In his Persian pamphlet Tuḥfatul Muwahhidin (a gift to the Unitarians) published in 1803-4, he wrote:

"In every matter it is necessary that in discriminating between right and wrong (good and evil), reason with the help of the principles of knowledge should be resorted to, because the gift of the boon of knowledge by the Generous Originator (God) cannot be considered to be useless."1

In the introduction to the translation of Kena Upanishad after referring to the conflict between tradition and reason, he concludes: "The best method perhaps is, neither to give ourselves up exclusively to the guidance of the one or the other, but by a proper use of the lights furnished by both, endeavour to improve our intellectual and moral facilities."2

Again in the preface to the translation of Isa Upanishad, he solicits his reader "to examine their (of the Scriptures) purport, without neglecting the proper and moderate use of reason; and to attend strictly to their directions."3 He shows that there is great difference between customary religion and divine faith, because while the first is the fruit of vulgar caprice, the second proceeds from "spiritual authority and correct reasoning." The first is blind and open to logical fallacies—agreement of conclusion with the proposition, ignoring the difference between the possible and impossible, combination of contradictories, proof by reference to tradition, or preference without the preferred. The second derives from the fact that "each individual without the instruction and guidance of anyone simply by insight into, and deep observation of, the mysteries of nature ... has an innate faculty in him by which he can infer that there exists a Being Who (with His Wisdom) governs the whole universe."4

Ram Mohan Roy was not a systematic philosopher, and he did not examine the epistemological and logical implications of the synthesis he proposed between spiritual insight and intellectual ratiocination; nevertheless, he blazed a trail which most Indian thinkers have followed since his time. While the radicals

1 Ram Mohan Roy: Tuḥfatul Muwahhidin, 1950, p. 13
2 The English Works of Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Vol. I., p. 50
3 Ibid., p. 100
4 The English Works of Raja Ram Mohan Roy, published by the Panini Office, Allahabad; (1906) with an English translation of Tuḥfatul Muwahhidin, p. 948
went too far in their iconoclastic zeal against Indian tradition, and the conservatives refused to consider the need of its modification in obedience to the demands of modern knowledge, Ram Mohan Roy indicated a solution which, while it preserved the distinctive contribution of Indian culture and reaffirmed its ancient freedom of spirit, sought to reconcile with it the rationalist outlook of the West.

Ram Mohan Roy’s solution reasserted the autonomy of the self, so far as the inner life of man is concerned, in accordance with the religious conviction and spiritual insight of Hinduism and Islam. But, he amplified this autonomy by extending its empire over the objective universe as taught by the philosophy of the West. Thus he raised the dignity of the individual inwardly and outwardly, and vindicated the authority of the self. It logically followed that the autonomous will would embody itself in autonomous institutions—a free society and a free state.

This postulate of freedom was applied to all departments of national life—religion, morals, social system, politics. Although Ram Mohan Roy sought the roots of this freedom in Hindu sacred books, the Upanishads chiefly, he unhesitatingly borrowed from other religions whatever supported his point of view. In fact, on religious and moral issues, his sympathies were cosmopolitan and his outlook universal. He believed in the truth of all great religions. So, from Islam he took its uncompromising monotheism, its fierce hostility to idolatry, its principle of social equality, its theory of the relation between God and His attributes, and many minor traits affecting manners and ways of living. In Christianity, he admired its ethics and regarded the teachings of Jesus Christ with the greatest reverence.

In his search for truth, he wrote the Tuhfatul Muwahhidin1 which draws inspiration from Islamic thought, and the Precepts of Jesus which brings together the ethical principles of Christian religion. His translations—the abridgement of the Vedanta, the Kena, Isa, Katha, Mandukya and Mundaka Upanishads—aimed at a resuscitation of the pure religion of the Hindus. He taught men to respect all faiths and to revile none, to see the different religions as the scattering of the white light of the radiant sun of truth into multi-coloured rays. Thus, he out-

---

1 Apparently, he had written another treatise on religion in Persian entitled Manazaratul Adyan.
lined the features of the fundamental unity of India, based upon mutual respect among the communities and understanding among all faiths.

Paying tribute to Ram Mohan Roy at his centenary celebrations, Rabindranath Tagore said: "Ram Mohan Roy came at the dawn of the modern age in our history, when there was little consciousness of distinction between Indian and alien. Yet, in those early days, he realized that the challenge of his age was the great challenge of unity. He discovered in the expanse of his generous heart illumined by the light of knowledge, plenty of room for all communities—Hindus, Muslims and Christians. In fact, his was the heart of India, for in him shone forth the truth of India. He considered him alone worthy of the name of Indian, who had respect for men of every faith, who accepted them all."\(^1\)

It is unfortunate that political circumstances and cultural developments arrested the growth of this movement for unification, and separatist tendencies gained currency in the country.

In the field of religion, Ram Mohan Roy's achievement was twofold—to separate the essentials of religion from non-essentials and to present a positive and rationally sound system of ethico-religious thought. He cut down the jungle of noxious weeds and poisonous plants, which were choking the life of Hindu society. He brought to bear upon the constructive part of his task all the resources of sweet reasonableness, but he pursued his destructive programme with outspoken fearlessness. With irresistible logic, but without rancour or abuse, he denounced the irrational customs, modes of worship, rites and ceremonies of the Hindus. He looked upon idolatry as a type of worship "which destroys the texture of society."\(^2\) and with the help of the Vedic texts and Upanishads, showed that although ritual was permissible, it was not obligatory; that commands and prohibitions and rules of conduct in contravention of universal rational principles were void. He took from Hinduism the great principle that man is in essence divine, Atma vai Brahma, and that man's life is a discipline through which he can rise from the depth of the sub-human to the heights of the super-human. He asked the Hindus to reaffirm

---

\(^1\) Commemoration Volume, Rabindranath Tagore's Presidential Address on Ram Mohan Roy, Part ii, p. 227
\(^2\) The English Works of Raja Ram Mohan Roy, Vol. I, p. 6
the old creed of the unity of God as taught in the Vedic literature, to replace the ritual, which had lost its meaning, with conduct which brought man in true relationship with the Supreme Being by following the sacred teachings and practising the threefold formula of study (Sravana), reflection (Manana) and meditation (nidihyasana). He laid emphasis upon the love of all mankind, irrespective of colour, race or creed, and upon the service of man as the highest rule of life. He recommended the ancient way of disciplining the mind which gives man mastery over evil passions and selfish impulses.

If Ram Mohan Roy did not spare the Hindus, he was equally bold in rejecting in Christianity and Islam what appeared to him as not being in conformity with reason. He admired the teachings of Jesus Christ and held in high esteem the doctrine of the Cross—acceptance of vicarious suffering for the sake of mankind; but he refused to accept the dogmas of the divinity of Jesus Christ, of the trinity, of the angels and the miracles. He repudiated the exclusiveness of Islam, which divided humanity into two categories—the ‘blest,’ who would enter paradise just because of their affirmation of faith in the unity of God, and the prophethood of Mohammed, irrespective of their moral conduct, and the ‘cursed’, who would be consigned to hell, however good and righteous, because they did not repeat the sacred formula (Kalima) and did not recognise Mohammed as the ‘Seal of the Prophets’ (last of the Prophets).

He refused to identify the divine attributes with gods, goddesses, angels, or super-human beings.

**Ideas of Social Reform**

In the field of social practice, Ram Mohan Roy’s freedom-loving mind desired to rid Hindu society of irrational observances and evil customs. One of the worst features of medieval society was its treatment of women. They were denied the right of property, condemned to either life-long widowhood or to cremation on the pyre of the dead husband, and exposed to suffer the cruel consequences of polygamy. Deprived of education, immured in the zenana and treated as dependants and inferiors, their lot was hard and scarcely better than that of slaves. Ram Mohan Roy advocated the complete reversal of this position so that women could lead a life of dignity and freedom. He wanted to change the law of property in their favour and to abolish the barbarous and inhuman practice of
Sati. He pleaded for the remarriage of widows and the prohibition of polygamous marriages. Above all, he pressed for their education.

The other crying evil of the Hindu society was the caste system which had been responsible for many misfortunes in the past and which prevented the growth of unity and solidarity among the Indian people. Caste enforced a water-tight division of society, constituting a hierarchy of higher and lower strata among these divisions. It was founded upon the irrational and unpredictable phenomenon of birth. It reduced the social organism to its lowest denomination—a purely biological function. Its rigid differentiation allowed only a loose and feeble integration. Hence Hindu society had shown, throughout its history, a low capacity for resistance to external attacks, although it enjoyed the longevity of a primitive organism.

Ram Mohan Roy realised that a democratic society characterised by liberty, equality and fraternity was possible of achievement only if caste was eliminated. He wrote: "The distinction of castes, introducing innumerable divisions and subdivisions among them (Hindus) has entirely deprived them of patriotic feeling," and added, "we have been subjected to such insults for about nine centuries, and the cause of degradation has been... our division into castes which has been the source of want of unity among us."

In order to remove all discrimination of caste and race, he proposed the adoption of the Saiva form of marriage prescribed in the Mahanirvana Tantra.

His Political Ideas

Religious and social reforms occupied much of his time and energy, but did not exhaust the activity of Ram Mohan Roy. The application of the principle of freedom to individual and collective behaviour required logically its extension to politics. Naturally, Ram Mohan Roy's love of freedom encompassed India as well as the entire world. So far as his own people were concerned, he worked for their uplift in every

---

1 The Father of Modern India, Commemoration Volume, Part II, p. 75

2 The pertinent verse is बयोबन्ध विनांरोज्य न विक्रमते. There is no question about Varna, caste or age: महानिर्वाणतंत्र, ix, 279. If there be any children of this marriage they have no rights of inheritance in preference to children born of Brahma marriage, but they can claim maintenance.
department of life and all his efforts for reform were pointed towards the goal of independence. Mr. Arnot, who was the secretary of Raja Ram Mohan Roy in England, has recorded the Raja's opinion that India would be free in forty years, and in the meanwhile, under British tutelage, India would attain the level of the civilised and free countries of the world. In protesting against the Jury Act of 1827, which had introduced religious distinctions in the judicial system, he wrote:

"Were India to share one-fourth of the knowledge and energy of that country (Ireland), she would prove from her remote situation, her riches and her vast population, either useful and profitable as a willing province, an ally of the British empire, or troublesome and annoying as a determined enemy."  

He explained to Victor Jacquemont: "India requires many more years of English domination so that she might not have many things to lose, while she is reclaiming her political independence."  

As a Benthamite in his political leanings and a keen observer of the British methods of political agitation, he showed the way of conducting political campaigns for redressing wrongs. His first agitational movement was directed against Adam's Press Ordinance of 1823, which severely curtailed the freedom of the Press. He drafted a memorial for submission to the Government which has been compared with Milton's Areopagitica. In 1827, he protested against the Jury Act which discriminated against the Hindus and Muslims on religious grounds, and sent a petition to the British Parliament for its annulment. Three years later, he raised his voice against the ruinous process of resumption of lands granted for charitable purposes. While in England, he submitted a memorandum setting forth the grievances of the people, the shortcomings of the administration of the Company and suggestions for their removal. Thus, Ram Mohan Roy was the pioneer of the constitutional method of agitation, which India's political leaders continued to employ for nearly a century.

Ram Mohan Roy was aware that the situation in India was paradoxical. He believed intensely in freedom for India and for other countries, but he was weighed down by the consciousness of the weaknesses and ills of Indian society. He put his

1 *Ibid.*, Part II, p. 34
2 *Ibid.*, footnote
faith in the power of the rulers, and hoped that under the tutelage of a liberal, progressive and reformed England, India would gain in moral stature and public spirit and become fit for independence.

The political reforms which Ram Mohan Roy proposed were all intended to promote this end. He was not in favour of an immediate demand for freedom, but he claimed that the government of the Company should be organised on more rational principles. In this constitutional government, the rule of law ought to be supreme, and civil liberties and individual rights guaranteed. Among them must be included the right of life and liberty, freedom of expression of opinions, and of religious worship. Along with them, he recognised the absolute ownership of property by the individual.

So far as the law-making organ in such a government was concerned, Ram Mohan Roy was a believer in the separation of powers. But he saw insuperable difficulties in creating an Indian legislature. It could not be a representative body of Indians, as there was no possibility of electing Indian representatives; it was not desirable to institute a legislative assembly or council, for it would be dominated by officials; nor was it proper to entrust legislation to the Government of the Company, a trading concern interested in business and profits, incompatible with the welfare of the people.

Under the circumstances, the least harmful course was to entrust legislative authority to the King-in-Parliament. But it was necessary to provide checks on the legislative power, more especially because, between the makers of laws and the subjects, there were differences created by race, civilisation and geographical distance. The remedy consisted of a free Press which could voice public feeling frankly and fearlessly, a commission of enquiry consisting of representatives of judicial and revenue departments to examine the provisions of proposed laws, and a scrutiny by the aristocracy of wealth and intellect—zamindars and merchants. Thus, the laws would originate from the government in India, would be scrutinised by officials and eminent Indians, and enacted by Parliament.

The judicial system of the Company was in low repute under the Company’s rule and came in for a great deal of criticism from all sides. It was quite alien to the traditions of the country; it was inefficient, dilatory and corrupt. Ram Mohan Roy’s suggestions for its reform were comprehensive—separation of
the judicial functions from the executive, appointment of competent and honest judges knowing the language of the people, employment of Indians on high salaries, removal of the causes of forgery and perjury, institution of the jury system, revival of remodelled panchayats and provision for the superintendence of court proceedings by the people.

So far as the executive organ of the Government was concerned, Ram Mohan Roy was not prepared to transfer it from the Company to the Crown. In the first place, direct rule from a distance of many thousand miles, with undeveloped means of communication, was a hazardous venture. Then it was not desirable that the legislative authority should be in charge of the executive functions. But some further safeguards were necessary against the arbitrariness of officials, for instance, the legal responsibility of the officials to be enforced through the judges.

Regarding the functions of the State, Ram Mohan Roy's attitude was empirical. He was a believer in the right of private property and held that land belonged to the individual, and not to the State. He preferred the Zamindari system to Ryotwari, for he was in favour of a strong middle class. But, while he desired the Permanent Settlement to be extended, he wanted to secure similar fixity of rents for the cultivators. He advocated economy in public expenditure by the reduction of the military budget and the home charges.

Contrary to the doctrine of laissez faire, he was anxious that the State should promote social welfare positively, by providing for the education of the people, and negatively, by legislating against social evils, e.g., Sati.

As a statesman deeply concerned about national integration, he approached the question of Hindu-Muslim relations in an unprejudiced and fraternal spirit. Regarding the conquest of India by the Muslims, he wrote: "Musalmans from Ghaznee and Ghore, invaded the country and finding it divided among hundreds of petty princes, detested by their respective subjects, conquered them all successively, and introduced their own tyrannical system of government." While disapproving of the personal and arbitrary character of Muslim rule and deploiring its many lapses into lawlessness and tyranny, he recognised "that under their former Muhammadan Rulers, the natives of this country enjoyed every political privilege in common with Muslams, being eligible to the highest offices in the state,
entrusted with the command of armies and to the government of provinces and often chosen as advisers to their Princes, without disqualification or degrading distinction on account of their religion or the place of their birth. They used to receive free grants of land, exempted from any payment of revenue, and besides the highest salaries allowed under the Government, they enjoyed, free of charge, large tracts of country attached to certain offices of trust and dignity, while natives of learning and talent were rewarded with numerous situations of honour and emolument.\(^1\) If, however, he preferred the rule of the East India Company to the Muslim rule his reason was that, "notwithstanding the loss of political rank and power, they (Indians) considered themselves much happier in the enjoyment of civil and religious liberty than were their ancestors."\(^2\) This judgment of Muslim rule was reinforced by his admiration for their monotheistic religion and egalitarian social system.

His Internationalism

Ram Mohan Roy's politics were far from parochial. He was passionately devoted to freedom, whether in relation to his own people or the peoples of other lands. For him, humanity was one family and the happiness or sorrow of one nation constituted the happiness or sorrow of the rest of the world. For instance, when the freedom of the Neapolitans was crushed by the Austrians, he was so grieved as to deny himself the pleasure of joining a party given by a friend. When he heard of the establishment of constitutional government in Spain, he gave a public dinner in the Town Hall. So great was his enthusiasm on receiving the news of the French Revolution of 1830 that, in spite of an excruciating pain, he insisted upon visiting the French frigate flying the tricolour and lying in the Table Bay. In England, when the Reform Bill controversy was raging and its fate was hanging in the balance, he vowed that in case the Bill was defeated, he would renounce his connections with Great Britain. In a letter addressed to the Minister of Foreign Affairs of France, he stated; "All mankind are one great family of which numerous nations and tribes existing are only various branches."\(^3\) In the same letter, he outlined the plan of a Congress of all nations to which differences between nations—whether political or commercial—would be submitted for settlement.

\(^1\) Commemoration Volume, Part II, p. 81

\(^2\) Ibid.

\(^3\) Ibid., p. 117
It was only possible for a man of universal sympathies, profound interest in human destiny and far-sighted vision, to formulate a plan of world organisation, a hundred years in advance of the League of Nations. It is true that Ram Mohan Roy's dream of 'profound peace and friendly feelings preserved from generation to generation' remains unfulfilled, but it is the one and only hope of mankind against the threat of impending doom.

The Brahmo Samaj

Ram Mohan Roy was not content with speaking and writing only. In order to ensure permanency for his religious and social reforms, he planned to organise a society which would meet regularly and conduct worship in congregation in accordance with the principles enunciated in the Hindu scriptures—recitation and hearing of sacred texts and hymns, exposition of and reflection on, the great truths of religion, contemplation of divine goodness and power, and meditation in order to obtain control over the baser elements of the mind and to acquire nearness to the Supreme Spirit, which holds sway over the universe.

The first experiment in this direction was the founding of the Atmiya Sabha in 1816. The membership of this society was open to the followers of all religions. In its gatherings, hymns were recited from the Vedas, and religious songs were sung. The singing was to the accompaniment of instrumental music by a Musalman, named Ghulam Abbas. Learned scholars were invited to deliver sermons. By 1828, the stage of experimentation had come to an end and the foundations of the Brahmo Samaj were laid. The trust deed by which the Samaj was incorporated and the property of the donors—Ram Mohan Roy, Dwarkanath Tagore, Prasanna Kumar Tagore, Kalinath Roy, Ram Chandra Vidyavagish—transferred to the three trustees of the society, sets forth the objects of the Samaj and the terms of the trust. These provisions constitute a revolution in Hindu religious history comparable with the extraordinary explosions of the Bhakti movement of the sixteenth century. The object of the society was "the worship and adoration of the Eternal, Unsearchable and Immutable Being who is the Author and Preserver of the Universe." No graven image, statue or sculpture, carving, painting, picture, portrait or the likeness of anything could be admitted within the premises, and no sacrifice
involving deprivation of life, no eating and drinking, no slight or abuse of other faiths, was to be tolerated.

The tenets of the Brahmo Samaj, its mode of worship and its divine service, were of a character which appealed only to the intellectual elite of Bengal. Its severe intellectuality, somewhat chary of the element of emotional exaltation, attracted the highly educated and the well-to-do, but did not evoke much response from the less sophisticated section of the middle class, and none from the uneducated masses. Although not a popular movement, its influence was pervasive, because its followers were leading men of Bengal, enjoying high status in society.

Ram Mohan Roy spent the last three years of his life in Europe, mostly in England, where he continued his religious and political work. He was welcomed by different sections of society in England with the respect due to his eminence as a great Indian leader. His death in 1833 in Bristol was a great misfortune, for it cut short a career of wonderful achievements from which India expected much.

The Brahmo Samaj movement suffered by the loss of his inspiring leadership and a period of depression and dissensions followed. Dwarkanath Tagore, who managed its affairs, was unable to give all the attention that it needed. Then his son Debendranath Tagore, who as a boy had attracted Ram Mohan Roy's notice and as a young man had shown interest in public activities as a member of such bodies as the Society for the Acquisition of General Knowledge and the Tattvabodhini Sabha, joined the Brahmo Samaj in 1843 and infused it with a new life. He established its branches in the districts of Bengal, appointed preachers in important towns and started the Tattvabodhini Patrika as the organ of the Samaj under the editorship of Akshay Kumar Datta.

Schism in the Samaj

After the death of Ram Mohan Roy, the Christian missionaries led by Alexander Duff redoubled their efforts to denigrate Hinduism in the eyes of the educated Indians and mounted a campaign of conversion. The challenge was taken up by the Samaj and the Patrika. In order to remove the charge of intellectual aridity levelled against the Brahmo religion, Raj Narayan Bose introduced devotional fervour in worship. To vindicate the doctrines and principles of the Samaj, articles and books were published in their support, and to define the ritual, the sacraments were fixed. But the controversy with the Christians
started an inner schism in the Samaj on the question of the infallibility of the Vedas. Debendranath, who was in favour of retaining the basic character of the Samaj as determined by Ram Mohan Roy, differed from the more radical spirits, A. K. Dutt and others, and gave to its ritual a form in greater agreement with the orthodox Hindu practice.

The young radicals found in Keshab Chandra Sen, who became a member of the Samaj in 1858, a new leader, who was in sympathy with their demand for social and religious reforms. They went much farther than the moderate programme accepted by the Samaj. In 1866 the schism came, and Debendranath Tagore's section of the society called the Adi Brahmo Samaj was separated from Keshab Chandra Sen's group, which assumed the name of the Brahmo Samaj of India, or the New Convention (Nava Vidhana).

V. MODERNISATION OF THE INDIAN MIND AND BEGINNING OF POLITICAL ACTIVITY

The groups of conservatives, radicals and liberals, each in their own way, helped to modernise the mind of the Indian people. They dealt with the problems presented by the conflict of traditional Indian ways of thought and action with Western culture, in accordance with their own points of view, but the process led to self-criticism and self-examination, and, therefore, to the consciousness of India's individuality as distinguished from that of the Western nations. The knowledge of this difference carried with it the realisation of the independence of the religio-ethical core of Indian ideology and its contingency upon the free mind of the Indian society.

Thus, while the new middle class intelligentsia was principally concerned with the fundamental problems of men's destiny here and hereafter, it was inevitably involved in the social and political problems of the country. There were differences of outlook in their approach to the solution of social problems, but so far as the political problems were concerned, the differences were not very marked.

The radicals were the most ardent and the most outspoken among them. They were consistent and thoroughgoing in their advocacy of political, social and religious reforms. They were inexorable in their opposition to reactionary conservatism—Indian and British. They believed in the Benthamite principle of freedom. They had a large, secular outlook and a pre-
ference for democratic ways. In economic policies, they favoured laissez faire and opposed the mercantilist methods of trade. They admired the French Revolution of July 1830, and longed for a similar revolution in India. Their minds were already thinking in terms of India as a whole, and their hearts were filled with patriotic sentiments. India was, for the radical poet, Kashi Prasad Ghosh, "the land of the Gods," "my native land," and he dreamed of India's ascent to the region of "blest liberty."

The activities of the radicals were extensive. They started a number of societies to propagate their views. The 'Academic Association,' already mentioned, was one of them. Then in 1838, a 'Society for the Acquisition of General Knowledge' was started under the presidency of Tara Chand Chakravarty; Ram Gopal Ghosh, Pearey Chand Mitra and Ramtanu Lahiri were the other office-bearers of the Society. In its meetings, papers were read on many subjects, including politics. One of the papers, namely, "the present state of the East India Company's Criminal Judicature and Police," created quite an uproar, because of the conflict between Principal Richardson who protested against some remarks in the paper and the chairman Tara Chand Chakravarty, who overruled the protest. The Theophilanthropic Society was another. It was established by Kishori Chand Mitra in 1843.¹

Other means employed by the radicals for the achievement of their aims was the publication of journals and newspapers. In addition to those mentioned elsewhere, there were the Parthenon, Gyananveshan, Bengal Spectator, Hindu Pioneer, Enquirer and the Quill. Their articles powerfully contributed to the spread of political consciousness in Bengal.

The provisions of the Charter Act of 1833 and the liberal policy of Bentinck appear to have justified the faith of Ram Mohan Roy in the efficacy of constitutional agitation and the good intentions of the rulers. But a reaction set in after Bentinck. Gradually, the politically conscious groups began to be disillusioned. Their criticism of the measures of the Government became sharper and the call to self-reliance louder. Voices were raised for the abolition of the political power of the East India Company, for the creation of an Indian legislature, and for the reform of the judiciary. Demands for the spread of education and establishment of technical schools, the protection of civil liberties, the defence of the rights of the peasants against

¹ The Calcutta Review, October-December, 1844
the encroachments of the land-owners, the Indianisation of the higher grades of the services, became more insistent. The Government was reminded of its duties towards the subjects whose happiness depended upon its activities. The natural rights of the people were emphasised, as also the dependence of the Government upon the will of the people. It was argued that the authority of the Government was derived from the people and that, therefore, the people had a right to participate in the functioning of the Government through a representative legislature.

Akshay Kumar Dutt, who edited the Tattvabodhini Patrika, the organ of the radicals, was inspired with intense love for the country. His mind was steeped in Western philosophy and literature. He held advanced views on state activity. According to him, the Government was the agent of society and its right to tax was contingent upon safeguarding the life, honour and property of the individuals, and improving society physically, morally and spiritually. It was the business of the Government to remove poverty, to provide free and compulsory education from the age of two to fifteen, and to reform social customs and institutions—marriage, family, etc.

Dutt was an unsparing critic of the Government. His opinion was that, under the Company’s rule, crime had increased, the health of the people had deteriorated, religion was undermined, prices had risen, and the masses were plunged in misery. The cause of the sufferings of the people was dependence upon a foreign government.

The disciples of Ram Mohan Roy were an influential group in society. The most prominent among them were members of the Tagore family (Dwarkanath, his sons Debendranath and Prasanna Kumar), the Mitra brothers (Pearey Chand and Kishori Chand), the two editors of the Hindu Patriot (Girish Chandra Ghose and Harish Chandra Mukherjee), and Ram Gopal Ghosh. Many others worked with them in the political field.

They agreed, on the whole, with the ideas of Ram Mohan Roy, although there were differences on some matters, e.g. the constitution of a legislative body in India, or the settlement of Europeans in the country. But in their methods of work, they faithfully followed the leader. They organised meetings, and submitted petitions and memorials for the removal of the grievances of the people.

In their activities, they received the support of the conser-
vative group also. For instance, Radha Kanta Deb, Ram Kamal Sen and Bhabani Charan Bandyopadhyaya took part in the work of the societies and helped with their pen and tongue to rouse public interest in national affairs.

Among the Bengali papers which propagated the radical and liberal views, were the Sambad Kaumudi, Gyananveshan, Sambad Prabhakar, Bengal Spectator, Tattvabodhini Patrika and a number of others.

Among English-language papers, the Reformer, the Hindu Intelligencer and the Bengal Recorder (1848), rendered invaluable service to the cause.

VI. SOCIAL REFORM IN WESTERN INDIA

Western India under the Marathas had a different political history from that of Bengal. In the early decades of the eighteenth century, the Peshwas had extended their domination not only over the land of Maharashtra but over a great part of Central India also. Subsequently, they intervened in the affairs of Delhi and the Doab and at one time even penetrated into the Panjab. But, in 1761, the Maratha empire received a setback and the Peshwa’s hold over the chiefs was weakened. Intermittent strife led to the intervention of the British and, by 1818, the territories ruled by the Peshwas were annexed by the British, and the autonomous Maratha chiefs had accepted the suzerainty of the East India Company. Thus, three-quarters of a century after the conquest of Bengal, the Maratha territories passed under British rule.

But a hundred years of Peshwa government had not made any palpable change in the condition of the people. Economically, Maharashtra was poorer than Bengal. Both in industry and agriculture, it was backward and its trade, external and internal, was extremely limited. In spite of the fact that there was homogeneity among rulers and subjects, the society was hide-bound and stagnant. There was no movement in thought, no progress in beliefs and institutions. Superstition held people in thrall and caste maintained its rigid control. The weakness of society and the state was amply demonstrated in the struggle for existence against the British.

The impact of British conquest on Maharashtra was less revolutionary. Politically, the domination of the higher ruling class, largely Brahmans, was destroyed. In the economy of the region, the establishment of the Ryotwari system of land
revenue administration prevented the growth of the land-holding upper-middle class. The poverty of the soil discouraged trade and the only considerable product which helped in the development of industry was cotton. Education grew slowly and Western influence did not penetrate in depth a society where the middle class was weak.

In 1818, the way was cleared for the play of Western influence on the people. The British system of administration was established over the conquered territory, inquiries into the revenue resources of the country were started, courts of law were set up and a code of Regulations was drawn up for their guidance. Inquiry was also held into the state of education; and the Bombay Native School and Book Society was established in 1822 under the chairmanship of the Governor. Elphinstone, who became the new Governor of the Presidency of Bombay (1819—1827), knew that change was inevitable under the new rulers, but was anxious that there should be no sudden break with the past and advised his assistants to respect the sentiments of the people under their charge.

Elphinstone's cautious approach and the general conservative atmosphere in the Maratha country made social advance a slow process. The new education introduced by the British rulers and the activities of the missionaries, however, could not fail to rouse men's minds and make them question their age-long institutions. The new generation of Maratha scholars was much impressed with the rational outlook of the West, its advance in physical sciences, industrial technique and political and administrative organisation. The study of physics, chemistry, geography, history of England, anatomy, etc., gave to the educated new standards of judgement. The inequities of society, its cultural stagnation and moral laxity, could not escape their notice. Christian missionaries, in their zeal to propagate Christianity, attacked the practices of Hinduism and the English-educated were forced to turn their attention to examine their old religious ideas, social customs and institutions. They turned the light of reason on their social life and attempted the removal of inequalities and the reform of religion and manners.

The Moderate Reformers

Jagannath Shankar Shet, Bal Shastri Jambhekar, Vishnu Shastri Bapat and Krishna Shastri Chaplunkar were some of
the pioneers of the new reform movement. Bal Shastri's forefathers were learned priests, who used to recite the Puranas in rich families. Bal Shastri was born about the end of 1812. Under his father's care, he learned quickly to read and write Marathi and Sanskrit. In 1825, the young boy was taken to Bombay by his relatives for further education and sent to the newly started English school. Extremely keen and studious, he mastered his subjects quickly and carried away all the prizes. By the time he was seventeen years of age, he had not only cultivated Marathi and Sanskrit, but learnt English, Gujarati, Bengali and Persian as well. His remarkable progress at school brought him the Native Assistantship of the School Book Society in 1830, and through his employers he was introduced to the most advanced circle of European scholars in Bombay. His wide reading and his association with Western scholars broadened his outlook and roused his social conscience. He translated books on morals and general knowledge for students. Later, he ventured on a more ambitious and useful enterprise, and started a weekly in Marathi called the Bombay Darpan, the first issue of which appeared on 12th November, 1832. This was followed by the Digdarshan in 1840.

In the first issue of the Darpan, Bal Shastri wrote: "In the country from which our Rulers came the mighty power of that wonderful engine, the Press, had been in operation chasing away the mists of error and ignorance which clouded men's minds and shedding over them the light of knowledge. . . . In all countries where the periodical publications are circulated and read, the people have derived essential benefits. They have been the instruments of promoting and encouraging literature and science, by their means public morals have been purifed—and while subjects have been taught obedience, rulers have been restrained from exercising power in an absolute and arbitrary manner." He continued in the same article: "Although the period which has elapsed since this part of India has come under the sway of the British has been short, yet it may be said that the gloom of ignorance which has long prevailed over it, is beginning to vanish, and the day is not far distant when we shall be able to vie with our Eastern Brethren in all the qualities in which they excel us at present." The object of
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starting the *Digdarshan*, he stated, was “to improve the mind and to aid the diffusion of useful knowledge.”

Bal Shastri was the first product of the liberal education of the West in Maharashtra. He died when he was barely 34. His career ended too soon for his genius to mature. But, during this short spell, he tried to popularise Western learning. He took up the cause of social reform and advocated widow-remarriage, female education and admission of converts to Hinduism. But Bal Shastri and his group did not cut themselves off from their moorings. They proposed such changes as were in the nature of readjustment of practices to religious injunctions long forgotten under the pressure of custom.

**The Radicals**

Some of the rebels in the newly educated camp were not satisfied with the type of modest reforms advocated by the Shastri school. They felt that the old Hindu tradition had outlived its utility. The interpretation of old authorities to suit modern needs, they looked upon as casuistry. They were impressed with the ethical teachings of Christianity. Since the universe was the handiwork of God, Krishna, Buddha, Christ and Mohammed were all interpreters of the same work. They, therefore, concluded that there should be one universal religion for mankind, based on the ethical teachings of all religions. Ram Balkrishna Jayakar, Dadoba Panduranga Tar-khad and his brother, Atmaram Panduranga, took the lead in founding in Bombay, about 1850, the *Paramahamsa Mandali*, an association based on the concepts of the unity of God and the universal brotherhood of man. The *Mandali* was interested in the moral improvement of the individual and condemned outworn customs and rites; it insisted on charity, love of truth, righteousness, toleration as the guiding principles of conduct. Though they took their inspiration from the work of Christian missionaries, they tried to spread their message through the language of the Maratha saints. But the members were afraid to face social obloquy and worked in secret. The movement never developed an independent philosophical basis and imitated too much the ritual of Christianity. The founders lacked the high moral character and devotion of the missionaries, and roused public suspicion. In 1860, the Association was dissolved.
A more robust champion of social reform in Maharashtra was Gopal Hari Deshmukh who became famous by the pen-name of ‘Lokahitawadi.’ Born in 1823, in a petty jagirdar’s family, he grew up in Poona under the pall of a decadent atmosphere. The old prosperity of the city had departed and the jagirdars and Brahmans had been particularly badly hit. The eternal topic of discussion among the upper classes was whether British rule would last for ever or the Peshwa’s good times would return. A strong fatalistic attitude had gripped society and made it inert. It was left to ‘Lokahitawadi’ to put an end to these futile fancies and infantile dreams, to tell the people to be self-reliant and seek the new learning, to cultivate a rational outlook and to understand the significance of British conquest.

Gopal Hari was a product of the new learning of the West. He was a bright student of the new Government School at Poona and acquired proficiency in the English language and history. He studied Law while serving in the office of the Agent to the Deccan Chiefs and Sardars and became a Munsif in 1852. From 1856 to 1861, he worked as Assistant Commissioner on the Inam Commission. Later on, he worked as Judge at Ahmedabad and Nasik. He was invited to the Delhi Durbar in 1876, was appointed a Fellow of the University in 1878 and, in 1880, was made a member of the Governor’s Council. In 1884, for about a year, he worked as Dewan of Ratlam State. He died in 1892.

From 1848, Gopal Hari began contributing articles to a weekly journal, the Prabhakar, on social and political problems of the day, called ‘Shatapatren’ or the ‘Hundred Epistles.’ His object was to rouse his people from their slumber. He exhorted them to turn to the new learning and solve the country’s problems. His articles were provocative and were widely read. In 1877, he wrote a book entitled Jatibheda, condemning caste. In 1878, he published Gitatattva and Subhashita to popularise the teachings of the Gita. In 1880, he brought out Swadhyaya and Ashvalayana Grihya-Sutra to explain the religious rites of the Hindus in a critical way. In Gramarachana, the village organisation, he discussed the condition of the villages. His interest in history and historical research is evinced by his books—Historical Tales and Miscellaneous Information (three Vols.), History of Rajasthan, History of Gujarat, History of Saurashtra and History of Ceylon. At the end of the
History of Gujarat is an appendix comparing British rule with native rule and discussing their comparative merits.

'Lokahitawadi' was an active advocate of social reform. He was closely connected with the Prarthana Samaj, the Arya Samaj and the Theosophical Movement in Western India. He supported the cause of women and advocated female education. Two prominent papers of Maharashtra, the Dnyan Prakash and Indu Prakash and Hitecchu of Gujarat owed much to his encouragement, as also the Deccan Vernacular Translation Society and its sister organisation in Gujarat. He was one of the founder-members of the orphanage at Pandharapur.

'Lokahitawadi' was the first reformer in Maharashtra to challenge the old authorities and the old traditions, and to accept whole-heartedly the new basic concepts and the new loyalties the changing times called for. His solicitude for public welfare, his far-reaching vision, his disinterestedness and his love of truth, mark him out as the true pioneer of the social reform movement in Maharashtra. 'Lokahitawadi' deplored the ignorance, the supineness and the selfishness of the upper classes and castigated them in no uncertain terms in his essays.

He was among the few English-educated persons who understood the significance of the modern age and the basic ideas sustaining it. He was the first to give his mind to the understanding of the causes of the Marathas' defeat and their social decay. He indicated the direction in which society should move, if it were to progress. He analysed the causes of British success. According to him, humanitarianism and social service were the two driving forces which sustained it, and he pleaded for their acceptance in India. The heavy burden of the past irked him. Old loyalties, he felt, were harmful; old classes made no contribution to social wealth, and old learning was useless. In his opinion, a reorganisation of Indian society, based on rational principles and on Western social values, was the pre-requisite of progress. But in India, social and economic life and morals were dominated by religion and, therefore, his efforts were directed to divorcing religion from temporal activities. "Here people have strange notions: everything, all social customs and practices are identified with religion; devotion of God is religion and wearing of clothes is also religion."

1 Shatapatren edited by S. R. Tikekar (1940), p. 71
If he accepted the British rule, it was because it was based on the principles of democratic government, in which he saw the salvation of the country. But his admiration for British rule did not blind him to the defects in its working. He held it responsible for the increasing drunkenness among the people. Likewise, he criticised it for the levy of burdensome taxes and for partiality to Europeans in the administration of justice.

In discussing the political aspirations of the Indian people, he was much in advance of his times. "The rich and the poor of this country should join in making a representation to the Queen that the present administration shows itself indifferent to the welfare of the people. There is great inequality between the English and the Indian. To remove the inequality, there should be set up a Parliament in India. Every town and district should be represented therein by two members. These should be selected by the entire people for their wisdom. This will remove British prejudice against the people of the country and lead to all-round progress in government. People will realize the difference between autocratic rule and responsible government. All will come to know that in a democracy, the poor and the rich, the low-born and the high-born should be treated alike. Members should be selected irrespective of caste. As Brahmans are arrogant and haughty, their representation should be limited to a small number."

"When the people become wise, they will ask for an assembly on the pattern of the English Parliament, and the English will then remain in this country as mere traders.

"If they attempt repression or pass laws to which the people are opposed, the people will declare themselves independent as the Americans did, and tell the English to quit. Of course this may take two hundred years, but there is no doubt of the ultimate end."

The political future that he envisaged was not the daydreaming of a visionary, but the result of much study and reflection. "The less Government the better for the people." "Government," he told, "was autocratic or paternal in a backward country, but in a civilized state it was the servant of the people."

---
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Our social decline and decay he ascribed to our neglect of the sciences and learning. "We carry on with the same grinding mill as in the days of Vyasa and the same plough as in the days of the Pandavas." He deplored the laziness of the Brahman class. "The Bhuts (priests) are utterly useless. They thrive on charity and yet this is regarded as meritorious in our society. This is waste of wealth. We are thereby supporting empty-headed pedants and encouraging ignorance. Better that these men are taught some useful avocation like tailoring or carpentry. They can then support themselves honourably."

"Sanskrit learning," according to him, "is useless and confusing. It is involved in sophistry. It makes a man idle and devoted to fruitless pursuits. It does not contain knowledge essential for the modern age." He asked his countrymen "to welcome the learning by means of which the English had triumphed over their Indian opponents."

He attacked traditional religious beliefs and customs. He told men to apply the criterion of reason and social utility to social behaviour. Under the old Hindu social system, the individual had been completely smothered under his social obligations. 'Lokahitawadi' wanted the individual to be free to decide for himself, free to follow his own reason and will in his actions.

The problem of the growing poverty of the country engaged his attention. "The poverty in the country is becoming acute and it is becoming increasingly difficult for the people to get work. The ruin of industry and commerce of this country appears to be the cause of this phenomenon. England and other Western countries supply goods to this country and the people buy them because they are cheap. Could these people make a resolve to buy only Indian goods to the exclusion of foreign goods, to use umbrellas locally made, the people of the country would get work and would be happy. Indians should sell only manufactured articles, refusing to part with raw materials. At present our people are buying foreign articles and starving our own artisans. The English sell in our market glass-ware, cloth, cutlery, watches, machines. Our artisans should learn to manufacture these articles and we should export what remains after home consumption. Our exports should go to countries besides

---
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England. Thus shall we support our industries and multiply jobs for all.”¹ 'Lokahitawadi' thus, in a way, was the precursor of Ranade and Joshi who started the Swadeshi Movement in Maharashtra.

In one of his writings, he set down his creed as follows:

1. All should devoutly worship God.
2. All ceremonies except those connected with initiation, marriage and death should be abolished. Ceremonials and prayers should be performed in one's own language.
3. Every person should have liberty to act, speak and write according to what he thinks.
4. Men and women should have equal rights in social and religious functions.
5. Morality is higher than performance of ritual.
6. No person is to be treated with contempt. Pride of caste is unbecoming. All men are to be treated with charity. Do good to all.
7. Love of the motherland and good of the country should always be borne in mind.
8. The rights of the people are higher than those of the Government.
9. The rules laid down by the Government and rules suggested by reason should be observed.
10. Everybody should strive for the growth of learning.
11. Truth should be the abiding principle of conduct.²

Revolt against Casteism

During the ascendancy of the Peshwas, the Brahman community had come to occupy the predominant position in religious and social affairs and the administration of the country. The peasants and the artisans were looked down upon with contempt. 'Kunbat, plough-drivers, bone-chewers' were some of the opprobrious epithets used for them. The Brahmans would neither eat nor drink with them, nor would they allow them to mix freely in their social functions. Sanskrit learning was a preserve of the Brahmans; they likewise disapproved of the Maratha children going to the new schools.

Naturally, hostility to Brahman claims of social pre-eminence was widespread in Maharashtra in the early days of British rule. Jotiba Phule, who organised a powerful non-Brahman

¹ Ibid., pp. 356-357
² Ibid., p. 213
movement to assail this claim, was born in 1828 in a Mali family. His birth in a low caste was a great obstacle in the way of his proper education; then at the age of fifteen he was able to undertake it by the kindness and help of a Christian missionary. But the Brahmans did everything to humiliate him on social occasions. Touched to the quick, Jotiba turned to the writings of Prof. Wilson and Sir William Jones on Hinduism to study the superior claims of the Brahmans. His reading, his personal experience and association with Christian missionaries, made him critical of the prevailing Hindu religion and customs.

At the age of 21, he started a school for girls despite opposition from the public. In 1854, he opened a school for the 'Untouchables.' The helpless and miserable plight of Hindu widows moved him, and he started a private orphanage to help widows who were in trouble.

But his life's mission was to challenge Brahman supremacy. This he did by advocating education among the masses, writing critical works—Sarvajanik Satyadharma Pustak and Gulamgiri, and by founding the Satyashodhak Samaj to carry forward his anti-Brahman activities in an organised manner.

Phule's writings are not critical and the theories he propounds have little basis in fact. But his work is the anguished cry of the suppressed classes trying to get back their own after centuries. For this, Phule went to the ridiculous length of suggesting that English or Scotch missionaries, who knew the Marathi language, should be induced to settle in villages, keep an eye on village Kulkarnis and other Brahman officials, and make an annual report to the Government. His main work was to rouse the masses and lead them in an organised resistance to the unreasonable claims of the priestly class. The idea of social revolt a century ago was an act of desperation and required great courage. Herein lies Phule's greatness. An extract from the Report of the Satyashodhak Samaj makes clear his object. "For thousands of years with the help of their books, the Brahmans have declared the masses as low born and are exploiting them. To liberate them from the thraldom of the sacerdotal authority and make them conscious of their rights by educating them, the Satyashodhak Samaj was founded on 24th September 1873."

Jotiba Phule might have been inspired by violent dislike of the Brahman priesthood. But his work does not suffer thereby. He gave to the individual the dignity which the caste system denied him. "As human beings are all creatures of the same
Divine Being, why," he asked, "should one caste deem itself superior to others?" His was the first attempt to bring solidarity to the Hindu social organisation which was split into groups by caste. He made no distinction between the non-Brahman and the Untouchable. The cause of the poor was so dear to him that when he met the Duke of York, he presented himself in a loin-cloth as the true representative of the poverty-stricken Indian peasant.

Reform in the Parsee Community

The ferment in western India due to British impact was not confined to the Marathas. In fact, the Parsees who had been in longer and closer contact with the British in their commercial undertakings, were the first to feel it. Being free from inhibitions of caste and food, they served the new comers in their houses as well as in the market place. The Parsee became the Englishman's servant as well as his Banian. He acted as the middleman between the European and the Indian, and later as their commission agent in western and eastern trade. As a result of their contact with the British, the Parsees began learning the English language at an early stage. The classrooms or the Elphinstone Institute in its early days were full of Parsee students. They also thronged to the schools and colleges started by the missionaries.

The progress of English education among the community was reflected in the social reform movement and in the political interest developed by the community. The first newspaper started in Bombay, the Bombay Samachar, was a Parsee venture.

Under the Presidentship of Dadabhai Naoroji, in 1848, was inaugurated the Students Literary and Scientific Society. At its fortnightly meetings, papers were read on literary, scientific and social subjects. The Society had two vernacular branches; Dadabhai was the Chairman of the Gujarati branch and published the debates of the Society in the Dnyan Prasarak. Social questions were hotly debated at the meetings.

Dadabhai, with his Parsee friends, also started a number of schools for teaching girls, which was a novel undertaking in those days.

As a result of their long stay in India, the Parsees had accepted many Hindu customs, such as, offering cocoanuts at Holi and offering cups of oil to Hanuman. Under new influences, they wanted to get rid of Hindu encrustations and started
a search for a purer form of Zoroastrianism. The Rahnunai Mazdavasnan Sabha or Religious Reform Association, which was set up in 1851, had for its object the restoration of Zoroastrian religion to its pristine purity. In the pursuit of this object, the study of the Zend Avesta was encouraged as also research in the religious writings.

Social Reform Among the Gujaratis

The Bhatia community of Gujaratis showed little interest in the new education and the new ideas emanating from it, but could not escape being engulfed in the rising tide of reform. They were Vaishnavas, followers of Vallabhacharya, and held their ‘Maharajas’ or spiritual heads in great reverence. These ‘Maharajas’ wielded great powers over their followers, for they could impose upon them punishment extending from denial of admission to the presence of the idol to ex-communication. They claimed to be the veritable incarnation of Lord Krishna and held great authority over the private life of their followers. Their seats had become hotbeds of licentiousness and debauchery, and their followers became impatient of their exactions. As Malabari put it:

The Vaishnava Maharaja “is a spurious character—He lords it over a seraglio of intellectual ladies whose husbands are men of highly liberal sentiment... The Maharaja has a first-rate taxing imagination.” Then he describes the various kinds of fees and imposts—for sight, touch, washing the feet, occupying the same room, even for receiving his kick and lash and performing Rasa Krida with him.1

Karsondas Mulji, a student of the Elphinstone Institute and a member of the Literary and Scientific Society, took it upon himself to show the Maharajas in their true light. He first contributed articles on social reform to the Rast Goftar, but then started his own paper, the Satya Prakash. He exposed their “shamelessness, subtlety, immodesty, rascality and deceit.” Karsondas was dragged to the court of law for defamation. The Chief Justice conceded the libel, but accepted the plea of justification. The Judges and the public were fervent in their appreciation of Karsondas’ courage and spirit. His example was infectious and encouraged others to come forward and take up the cause of social reform among the Gujaratis.

1 Malabari, B. M., Gujarat and the Gujaratis (1889), pp. 121-22
Thus, during the four decades that followed the end of the Peshwa's rule in Maharashtra, a new civilisation was taking shape. The old social order was losing its hold. A new economy was slowly transforming the country. Railway lines were being laid and the first cotton mill had been started in Bombay in 1854. The effects of these changes were to show themselves in the years to come.

In any society, the rising new class accepts readily new ideas from a foreign civilisation. But, before the ideas get currency, this class must be prepared to face opposition from the traditionalists and overcome it. The traditionalists were so well entrenched in Maharashtra during the period under discussion (1818-1857) that they thought lightly of the threat from the Western-educated. It was only after the holocaust of 1857, when the old political order went up in a blaze, that issues were joined between the progressive elements and the orthodox school in Maratha society.
CHAPTER SEVEN

ECONOMIC BACKGROUND OF INDIAN NATIONALISM

I. BRITISH IMPACT ON INDIAN ECONOMY

The period of fifty years following the Revolt of 1857 was characterised by the rapid growth of national consciousness. Several factors contributed to this development. Among these one of the important factors was the "differential diffusion of modernism" in Indian economy. While, on the one hand, some sectors of the Indian static economy, like trade, transport and communications, were undergoing transformation, its main division—agriculture—remained stagnant. As population continued to grow and pressure on land to increase, the Indian masses were exposed to mounting distress, which became acute during periods of scarcity and famine.

The affliction which the countryside suffered adversely affected internal trade and its repercussions were felt among businessmen, bankers and the professional classes like lawyers. The rural discontent communicated itself to the politically conscious urban groups who gave voice to it and urged upon Government changes in its economic policies and administrative methods.

Trade and industry which occupied a comparatively low place in the economy of India did make some progress. But the pace of advance was slow and the development lop-sided. The British invested capital mainly in railways and plantations. Their principal considerations in the case of the railways were military need and the strengthening of the colonial economy. The plantation industries were largely in monopoly of the Europeans who reaped most of the profits. Large-scale manufactures with the exception of cotton textiles, received little stimulus and therefore their growth was insignificant. This is borne out by the fact that by the end of the nineteenth century the percentage of the people residing in cities of more than 100,000 population was only 2.33. In urbanisation India was nearly 75 years behind the U.S.A.

The growth of internal and external trade was the result of collaboration between the British and the Indian merchants and of the development of the commercial organisation which stimu-
lated capitalist production, e.g., cotton processing. The increase of foreign trade accentuated the colonial form of India’s economy. There was a considerable rise in the imports of machine-made goods of the United Kingdom and the export of raw materials, including a considerable quantity of food-grains, abroad.

The Indian participants in this trade profited from these transactions. They constituted the capitalist class which grew in affluence and influence. It aspired to develop industries and invest its capital in developing the resources of the country. British imperial interests obstructed its ambitions and made it question the bona fides of the rulers. Its sympathies for the downtrodden masses provoked its indignation against the system responsible for such widespread misery.

The technological changes which were introduced by the British brought about the emergence of a national economy, the unity of India in one economic system. The basis of the change was the development of modern means of communication and transport. The railways and the telegraph not only revolutionised the activities of the urban commercial centres but also led to the transformation of the rural economy. In the Indian village, barter gave way to exchange through the medium of money, subsistence-farming regressed in favour of production for the market, and the centuries-old self-sufficiency yielded ground to the system of dependence on the wider country-wide and world-wide markets, both for the purchase of consumer goods and the sale of the village produce.

In ordinary circumstances, these changes should have benefited the country and enriched the people. But they failed to do so for two reasons—first, it is the common experience of underdeveloped countries that the sudden introduction of modern and unfamiliar techniques, methods and economic organisation, produces some disturbances in the initial stage because of maladjustment of the old pattern of life to the new situation; secondly, the leading feature of a colonial economy is exploitation of the subject people.

The new economic organisation introduced by the British in India was not regenerative in nature. The benefits of the new developments were reaped by the foreigners—rulers, industrialists, and investors, as well as Indians belonging to the richer classes who participated in the development of foreign and internal trade, moneylending, and administration of the country. The peasant, the artisan, the landless labourer and the
labourer in the urban areas were little affected by the prosperity wrought by rising prices and growing trade and industry. They actually suffered as a result of these developments. This is evident from the disconcerting increase in the frequency of famines in the country after 1860, the heavy mortality caused by starvation and disease among the rural masses, and increase in rural indebtedness all over the country. The prices of foodgrains rose faster than wages and the population increased while the avenues of employment remained stationary. Modern sectors of industry and trade grew in size but were increasingly dominated by foreign capital. The administration of the country improved in efficiency, but came to be almost entirely manned by the foreigners who occupied all the superior posts in all branches of government. The burden of taxation grew heavier though the national income showed little increase. These conflicting trends in the Indian economy caused acute distress among the poorer sections of the population, fostered discontent among the middle classes, especially the educated, and fomented political unrest.

II. STRUCTURE OF INDIAN ECONOMY

The edifice of the new economy was raised on the structural bases laid by the East India Company. During the Company’s regime far-reaching changes had occurred both in the rural and urban sectors of the Indian economy. The opening up of the cash crops. As a result of this development, a new class of rural capitalist to the village in search of land and valuable commercial crops. As a result of this development, a new class of rural capitalists and landlords which derived its income from the rent of land and the interest on loans to the cultivator, had arisen in the country.

1 National income estimates of India for the period before 1892 are mere conjectures. In the absence of a dependable series of annual estimates it is difficult to say with any degree of confidence whether the per capita income was increasing, decreasing or was stationary during the period. Even for the period after 1892 for which the series of annual national income accounts have been constructed by Blyn of U.S.A. and Subramaniam of India, there is no unanimity among scholars whether per capita income was stationary, rising or falling. See Kuznets, S., etc.: Economic Growth; Brazil, India, and Japan, articles by Daniel Thorner on Long-term Trends in Output in India, and Kingley Davis on Social and Demographic Aspects of Economic Development in India.

2 Vide Hunter’s Report on Bengal Famine of 1866. Contrasting the conditions during the famine of 1770 with those in that of 1866 in Bengal, Hunter wrote: “The blessed difference between the present and
The Company had introduced three different types of land-tenure in the different parts of their dominions. But irrespective of the system of land-tenure established, the agrarian relations in every part of the country had been revolutionised and by the end of the Company’s rule an unproductive and for the most part extortionate class of middle men had emerged in the countryside. The new class lived on interest on agricultural debts and rent from agricultural land, but did little to improve agriculture or to increase production. Under the Company’s rule, the cultivator failed to get any relief from the oppression of the landlord and the moneylender.¹

The changes wrought by the Company in the urban sector were even more revolutionary. In the first place the indigenous artisan class and the rural mercantile community were ruined by the destruction of Indian handicrafts and the extinction of India’s export trade in manufactures. Flourishing centres of trade and industry lost their former wealth and importance and were turned into small towns or villages as a result of the loss of employment by the people and consequent depopulation.² Their place was taken by new cities like Calcutta, Bombay and Madras which, besides being headquarters of government, were seats of European Settlement and commerce. The life in these cities centred round the activities of the European servants of the Company and the foreign traders, for though a numerical minority, the Europeans formed the dominant element in the population and the economy of these cities. As in other parts of the colonial world, the first phase of imperialism in India was characterised by the

former famines in Lower Bengal is that a class of rural capitalists existed to feed them. Instead of the cultivator dying of starvation and his land going out of tillage for want of seed, moneylenders were anxious to advance food. landlords were willing to remit rents on consideration of obtaining a share of crops at the harvest time.”

In the ryotwari areas of Bombay Presidency, the Panjab and Madras where the ownership rights were conferred on the cultivator, the lands passed into the hands of the moneylender. According to the Deccan Riots Commission “the growth of the small capitalist class engaged in money-lending” in the period 1850-1858 was an important feature of the rural economy of the Deccan. (Report, para 46). In the North Western Provinces Colonel Baird Smith noted in 1861 “the gradual growth of moneyed classes and the progressive tendency of these classes to seek investment in lands.” (Report of Famine in N.W.P. (1861), Part II, Para 48.)

¹Thompson, Six Lectures on the Condition and Resources of India, p. 70
²Tucker, Henry St. George, Memorials of the Indian Government being a Selection from Papers of St. George Tucker, p. 494
exploitation of the country by the trade capital of the mother country.\(^1\)

The East India Company did little to develop the resources of the country. The public works, especially roads and irrigation canals, were neglected. The handicrafts of the country had been ruined. The soil of India, as was pointed out by Thompson, lay under a curse and was fast deteriorating in productivity on account of the high revenue rates, the oppression of the cultivators by the landlords and the neglect of works of agricultural improvement. "The Company," in the words of Jenks,\(^2\) "treated its domain as an oriental despot, his estate to be exploited not improved, an important economic difference being that the European landlord was an absentee." The chief economic results of the first century of British rule in India had been the ruin of cotton manufactures on account of Manchester's competition, a diversion of labour from industry to agriculture and within agriculture itself from raising of foodcrops to the cultivation of commercial crops. The necessity to pay land tax in cash had begun to force the ryot to market a larger proportion of his yearly crop in order to procure silver to pay his revenue.

The Indian economy in 1858 lacked the basic elements of progress. Agricultural development was held up because the cultivator lacked the resources for investment in the improvement of the soil and the purchase of modern equipment. He had to depend upon the moneylender for credit to pay the land revenue and sometimes even for his subsistence. The commercial classes lacked both the spirit of enterprise\(^3\) and the resources for starting big industrial concerns. They confined their activities to local trade, agency work, brokerage, shopkeeping and indigenous banking. The government at first ruthlessly exploited the country for the benefit of England; later it adopted the laissez faire policy—a new version of mercantilism, which hindered industrial development and promoted

---

\(^1\)Venkata Subbiah, *Structural basis of Indian Economy*, Chapter IV; Compare Boeke, J. H., *Economies and Economic Policies of Dualistic Societies* (1953) p. 195. Commenting on the growth of Indonesian dualistic economy Prof. Boeke writes, "In the beginning it was the trade capital of the mother country, then its industrial capital and finally, recently capital invested in the colony itself." The pattern of development in India was not far different.

\(^2\)Jenks, L. H., *Migration of British Capital to 1875*, p. 209

\(^3\)There were some exceptional cases like that of Dwarkanath Tagore who started a colliery and toyed with the idea of starting a modern sugar refinery in the thirties of the last century.
colonialism. Thus, if an opportunity arose, as indeed it did later, because of the development of foreign markets and the growth of foreign trade, of "a break-through and a take-off," India could not avail of it because of the structural drawbacks and limitations\(^1\) of her economy, and the employment of political power to obstruct industrial development.

III. ECONOMIC CHANGES IN THE LATTER HALF OF THE CENTURY

The transfer of government from the East India Company to the Crown by the Act of 1858 did not produce any marked change in the administration of India or in the economic aims and policies of the rulers. Nevertheless during the half century following the transfer of power to the Crown, momentous developments occurred in the country in the economic sphere. The dominant feature of the change was the modernisation of some sectors of Indian economy. This, in turn, promoted the processes of social change, which had a powerful impact on the Indian outlook. The nationalist sentiment, in a large measure, owed its rapid growth during this period to two factors—the deteriorating economic condition of the masses and the dissatisfaction of the middle classes. In order to understand, therefore, the emergence and spread of political consciousness in India and its peculiar characteristics, it is necessary to survey the economic scene as it developed in the first half century of the rule of the British Crown.

The outstanding feature of the economic change was that while production of wealth increased slowly, the population also continued to grow and the distribution of wealth became uneven. The few were enriched, but the vast majority sank further into poverty and misery. The policies of the government were, on the whole, unhelpful for economic development and, in fact, were mostly injurious to the cause of increase in production and national income. Their effect was to stimulate commerce but to retard industrialisation, to promote the interests of middlemen and foreign investors but to cause deterioration in the condition of the primary producers. British

\(^1\) As Pillay, P. N. (Economic Conditions in India, p. 35) points out: "the true cause for the tardy development of Indian Industries lies in India's unpreparedness to meet the industrial revolution of the West; in the early days she had no industries to speak of; and after the revolution her isolated craftsmen found it impossible to complete with the mass production of machinery in Great Britain."
industrial imperialism treated India as a colony and gave her economy the characteristic colonial structure. It created the vicious circle which is a familiar feature of all colonial systems. On the one hand, it immobilised a predominantly non-capitalistic agrarian system whose low productivity was incapable of yielding the necessary surplus for investment in industry; on the other, it prevented the development of modern large-scale industry. The backward pre-capitalist industry was unable to produce adequate surplus for capital formation for the modernisation of agriculture and for the industrial growth which would offer alternate occupation to India's increasing population, and would reduce pressure on land. As a consequence while the middle class expanded in numbers and wealth, the masses continued to live in penury and distress. The activities of the former in promoting religious and social reform, education, politics, arts and literature multiplied, while the masses remained neglected, submerged in ignorance, enfeebled by disease and oppressed by want.

Throughout the period (1858—1905) more than ninety per cent of India's population was living in villages. During this time the population increased by about 50 per cent, but the development of manufacturing industry and increase of employment in non-agricultural occupations were not commensurate with the general increase in numbers. In consequence the entire burden of this growth fell upon agriculture pursued according to medieval techniques. The resulting situation is described by Vera Anstey in these words: "In the West it is usually held that agriculture by itself is not able to support a population of more than some 250 persons per square mile, whereas in India large rural districts have an average density of over 600 persons per square mile."

Nearly three-fifths of the population of the country was crowded in one-fifth of the area. The concentration on land was aggravated by the decay of village industries which compelled many rural workers from occupational castes to abandon their traditional occupations and take to cultivation. The inevitable result was that agriculture was rendered unprofitable, the average acreage of land available to the cultivator diminished and a considerable proportion of Indians was

---
1 Population rose from 206 millions in 1872 to 315.1 millions in 1911.
2 Anstey, V., The Economic Development of India, p. 40
3 Gyan Chand, India's Teeming Millions, pp. 90-91
obliged to lead a sub-standard existence. The famines which devastated India during this half century bear eloquent testimony to the canker which was eating into the vitals of the country.

IV. AGRICULTURE AND THE CONDITION OF AGRICULTURISTS

It looks paradoxical that at a time when a number of factors favoured the growth of agricultural prosperity, the condition of the agriculturist was worsening. For instance, the total area under cultivation increased from 187.75 million acres in 1891-92 to 199.71 million acres in 1901-02 and to 223 million acres in 1910-11. More cultivable waste land was brought under the plough and greater facilities for irrigation—wells and canals, were developed. This could be and was interpreted by some as reflecting increase in prosperity. But the increase was inadequate in relation to the growth of population. What is even worse, it was not distributed equitably between food and other crops. Thus although the cropped area increased by twelve million acres in the ten years ending 1901-02, the increase under food crops was only 2.7 million acres. The percentage of area under food crops actually registered a fall of 3 per cent in twenty years, 1891 to 1911. The following table brings out how food crops were being displaced by non-food crops:

**Table**

Percentage of the area under crops to total gross cultivated area in British India (excluding Burma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quinquennium</th>
<th>1890-91 to 1894-95</th>
<th>1895-96 to 1899-1900</th>
<th>1900-01 to 1904-05</th>
<th>1905-06 to 1909-10</th>
<th>1910-11</th>
<th>1911-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foodgrains</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oilsseeds</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jute</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The all-India figures, however, do not tell the whole story. In some provinces the substitution of food crops by cash crops assumed serious proportions. In Northern and Eastern Bengal,

---

industrial imperialism treated India as a colony and gave her economy the characteristic colonial structure. It created the vicious circle which is a familiar feature of all colonial systems. On the one hand, it immobilised a predominantly non-capitalist agrarian system whose low productivity was incapable of yielding the necessary surplus for investment in industry; on the other, it prevented the development of modern large-scale industry. The backward pre-capitalist industry was unable to produce adequate surplus for capital formation for the modernisation of agriculture and for the industrial growth which would offer alternate occupation to India’s increasing population, and would reduce pressure on land. As a consequence while the middle class expanded in numbers and wealth, the masses continued to live in penury and distress. The activities of the former in promoting religious and social reform, education, politics, arts and literature multiplied, while the masses remained neglected, submerged in ignorance, enfeebled by disease and oppressed by want.

Throughout the period (1858—1905) more than ninety per cent of India’s population was living in villages. During this time the population increased by about 50 per cent,¹ but the development of manufacturing industry and increase of employment in non-agricultural occupations were not commensurate with the general increase in numbers. In consequence the entire burden of this growth fell upon agriculture pursued according to medieval techniques. The resulting situation is described by Vera Anstey in these words: “In the West it is usually held that agriculture by itself is not able to support a population of more than some 250 persons per square mile, whereas in India large rural districts have an average density of over 600 persons per square mile.”²

Nearly three-fifths of the population of the country was crowded in one-fifth of the area.³ The concentration on land was aggravated by the decay of village industries which compelled many rural workers from occupational castes to abandon their traditional occupations and take to cultivation. The inevitable result was that agriculture was rendered unprofitable, the average acreage of land available to the cultivator diminished and a considerable proportion of Indians was

¹ Population rose from 206 millions in 1872 to 315.1 millions in 1911.
² Anstey, V., The Economic Development of India, p. 40
³ Gyan Chand, India’s Teeming Millions, pp. 90-91
obliged to lead a sub-standard existence. The famines which devastated India during this half century bear eloquent testimony to the canker which was eating into the vitals of the country.

IV. AGRICULTURE AND THE CONDITION OF AGRICULTURISTS

It looks paradoxical that at a time when a number of factors favoured the growth of agricultural prosperity, the condition of the agriculturist was worsening. For instance, the total area under cultivation increased from 187.75 million acres in 1891-92 to 199.71 million acres in 1901-02 and to 223 million acres in 1910-11. More cultivable waste land was brought under the plough and greater facilities for irrigation—wells and canals, were developed. This could be and was interpreted by some as reflecting increase in prosperity. But the increase was inadequate in relation to the growth of population. What is even worse, it was not distributed equitably between food and other crops. Thus although the cropped area increased by twelve million acres in the ten years ending 1901-02, the increase under food crops was only 2.7 million acres. The percentage of area under food crops actually registered a fall of 3 per cent in twenty years, 1891 to 1911. The following table\(^1\) brings out how food crops were being displaced by non-food crops:

**TABLE**

PERCENTAGE OF THE AREA UNDER CROS TO TOTAL GROSS CULTIVATED AREA IN BRITISH INDIA (EXCLUDING BURMA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quinquennium</th>
<th>1890-91 to 1894-95</th>
<th>1895-96 to 1899-1900</th>
<th>1900-01 to 1904-05</th>
<th>1905-06 to 1909-10</th>
<th>1910-11</th>
<th>1911-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foodgrains</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oilseeds</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jute</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The all-India figures, however, do not tell the whole story. In some provinces the substitution of food crops by cash crops assumed serious proportions. In Northern and Eastern Bengal,

\(^1\) Datta, K. L., *Report on the Enquiry into the Rise of Prices in India* (1914), p. 64
for instance, the percentage of area under foodgrains fell from 71.48 in the quinquennium 1890-91—1894-95 to 67.7 in 1911-12, while under jute it rose from 11.28 to 14.1 during the same period. In South Madras, the area under food crops declined from 82.78 to 76.8 per cent while that under oilseeds rose from 6.71 to 10.6 per cent and under cotton from 4.8 to 7.0 per cent. Similarly in Sind the area under cotton rose from 3.06 per cent in the quinquennium ending 1894-95 to 10.3 per cent in 1911-12 and in Berar from 33.06 per cent to 46.1 per cent.¹ The increase in the demand and production of commercial crops no doubt promoted the prosperity of the peasant in the areas specialising in these crops, but this happened at the expense of increasing shortage of food in the country and rise in prices of foodgrains. The landless labour class which was growing in numbers, in consequence suffered rather than gained from this development.

Agricultural production and incomes did not show any substantial increase for another reason. Except in the canal colonies in the Panjab and other irrigated tracts of the country, much of the new area brought under cultivation consisted of village wastes and other generally inferior soils. The productivity of land in these areas was lower than that of the land already under cultivation. This together with the backward techniques of production and small size of the holdings kept down yields per acre.²

Statistics of food production show that the average produce remained constant throughout the period ending 1905-6, that is around 72 million tons a year. Out of this gross produce a certain percentage was exported abroad. Meanwhile the number of consumers continued to show an upward trend. Whether this implied a diminution in food consumption per capita is not quite certain. According to W. W. Hunter, "Throughout large tracts the struggle for life is harder than it was when the country passed into our hands." He adds: "The sad result seems to be that whether we give over the land to a proprietary class as in Bengal, or keep it in our hands as in Southern India, the struggle for life grows harder to large sections of the people."³

¹Ibid., p. 65
³Hunter, W. W., The India of the Queen (1903), p. 99
⁴Ibid., p. 147
His estimate was, "more food is raised from the land than ever was raised before; but the population has increased at even a more rapid rate than the food supply."

The extent of the evil may be thus stated: two-fifths of the people of British India enjoy a prosperity unknown under native rule; other two-fifths earn a fair but diminishing subsistence; but the remaining fifth, or forty millions, go through life on insufficient food."

Agricultural improvements were, of course, attempted by the Government. Between 1870 and 1880, some experimental farms to demonstrate the use of modern methods and appliances were started. On the recommendation of the Famine Commission of 1880, an Agricultural Department was set up, but it concerned itself in the first few years with the collection of agricultural statistics. In 1889, Dr. Voelcker, an agricultural chemist, visited India and after extensive enquiries submitted a valuable report. In 1901 an Inspector General of Agriculture was appointed to co-ordinate the work of the agricultural departments of the provinces. The generosity of an American philanthropist, Mr. Phipps, enabled the Government to establish the Pusa Research Institute. Some schools and colleges were opened for agricultural education. These measures, however, failed to bring about any appreciable change in methods of production or in agricultural yields. Much of the research was of a "classificatory" nature and the results, if any, did not reach the cultivator.

The growth of the means of transport and communications was yet another factor that should have helped in the prosperity of the agriculturist. The markets were extended, better prices were obtained abroad and within the country there was a tendency towards equalisation of prices.

That the railway system failed to stimulate any significant rate of economic growth is explained by Helen Lamb in these words: "Though the state had to bear the risks of Indian railway development, the burden amounting to 50 million pounds by 1900 when the railways became paying propositions, the planning of India's railway network was in no way related to any all-round, integrated development. India suffered some

---

1 Ibid., p. 147
2 Ibid., p. 151
3 Moral And Material Progress during 1901-2, p. 329
of the disadvantages without reaping the valuable benefits of state responsibility for railway development. The railways were not unplanned; rather they were planned with British ends in view,” which according to R. M. Stevenson, the advocate and architect of India’s railways, were two. “The first consideration is as a military measure for the better security with less outlay, of the entire territory, the second is a commercial point of view, in which the chief object is to provide the means of conveyance from the interior to the nearest shipping ports of the rich and varied productions of the country, and to transmit back manufactured goods of Great Britain, salt, etc., in exchange.”

Another favourable factor which could have helped Indian economy was the general rise in world prices, which began in 1861 and continued throughout the nineteenth century. From 1891 prices began to rise rapidly and after 1905 the rise turned into a spurt. According to the calculations of K. L. Datta, there was a progressive rise in the general price level during the period covering his enquiry, viz., 1890 to 1912. Taking quinquennial periods, the index numbers for all India showed an increase in rupee prices of 8 per cent for the quinquennium 1900-04, and 31 per cent for the quinquennium 1905-09. The rise was especially marked in foodgrains. Datta attributed the rise to internal and external factors. According to him, among the first were the comparative shortages in the production of foodstuffs, the growth of population and the increased

---

1 Kuznets, S., Moore, W. E. and Spengler, J.J., op. cit., pp. 476-77
2 Price of agricultural products:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Food (60 items)</th>
<th>Raw produce (29 items)</th>
<th>All Prices (100 items)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1868-70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taking some of the principal foodgrains the variations in prices during the period of 40 years from 1865 to 1905 were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rice (Rs.)</th>
<th>Wheat (Rs.)</th>
<th>Gram (Rs.)</th>
<th>Bajra (Rs.)</th>
<th>Ragi (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Joshi, G. V., Speeches and Writings p. 600)

demand for food products, non-food crops and raw materials within India, as also by foreign countries, cheaper transport and increasing circulation of rupees and banking facilities. Among the world factors, the increased supply of gold, the development of credit, the destruction caused by wars and the diversion of labour and capital to unproductive warlike preparations were important.¹

But apart from the short-lived prosperity brought to the peasant of Bombay, Deccan and Berar by the cotton boom of the sixties, the rise of prices failed to improve the lot of the Indian peasant.² The explanation for this is to be found partly in the low yields³ and small size of holdings, which rendered the marketable surplus with an average peasant household extremely small, and partly in the taxation policy of the Government and the unjust system of distribution.

Land Revenue

There were two main systems of land revenue administration, the one in which a middleman intervened between the cultivator and the Government as a landlord, known as the Zamindari system, and the other in which Government dealt directly with the cultivator known as the Ryotwari. A variation of the Zamindari system was the Mahalwari where the village body as a whole or a group of zamindars was treated in a body as the owners of land.

The area under the Zamindari system was 48 per cent, of which 29 per cent was temporarily settled for terms of twenty to thirty years, and 19 per cent was permanently settled. With the exception of the permanently settled area the incidence of land revenue was so high as to leave little surplus for investments in improvements.

In the permanently settled districts of Bengal, the rent amounted to a little more than 11 per cent of the gross produce of the land; in the temporarily settled Gujarat, the land revenue was 20 per cent of the produce, or nearly double of the Bengal rates. In Northern India 50 per cent of the rental was paid as

¹ Ibid., p. 6
² See Joshi, G. V., op. cit., Article on Prices and Prosperity, pp. 339-381
³ Nanavati, Sir M., and Anjaria, J. E., The Indian Rural Problem, p. 23
land revenue, but the share of the landholder was reduced by cesses (8 per cent of the rental) plus allowances for the services of village watchman and headman.\(^1\) In the Panjab the cesses amounted to 12\(\frac{1}{2}\) per cent of the rental. In the Central Provinces, although 40 to 50 per cent of rent was fixed as the share of the Government, in actual practice the demand worked out from 50 to 79 per cent.

The area under the Ryotwari system accounted for 52 per cent of the cultivated land and the conditions in territories where Ryotwari prevailed were far worse, e.g., in Bombay and Madras. The enhancements of revenue made in the revised settlement of 1866 in the Bombay Presidency were so large as to amount to 'rackrenting in the worst sense of the term.' In fact there was no surplus produce left, after paying the cost of cultivation and the assessment of Government.\(^2\)

In Madras a new land revenue settlement was made which, according to Dutt, increased the tax burden. Says he, "Judging the State demand in relation to the total produce of the Province, and to the prices of that produce, it was undoubtedly a heavier taxation on the people in 1875 than it was in 1860."\(^3\) No less an authority than the then Under Secretary of State for India, Sir James Mallet, himself admitted: "In practice the rates levied have often absorbed the whole rental, and not infrequently, I suspect, encroached on profits also."\(^4\)

In the area where assessment rates were based on landlord's rent, the general trend during the half century following the transfer of the Indian Government to the Crown was that the landlords raised the rents of their lands, and the Government used the opportunity, afforded by the periodic revision of settlements in the temporarily assessed areas, for revising the rates of revenue upwards.

These periodic settlements produced periodic depressions, for the farmer in order to escape enhancement neglected to cultivate his lands for one or two years before the commencement of the next settlement operations.

Nevertheless the land revenue collections increased by fifty

---

\(^1\) Dutt, Romesh, *The Economic History of India in the Victorian Age*, (1906 edition), p. 469
\(^2\) Ibid., p. 332
\(^3\) Ibid., p. 319
\(^4\) Ibid., p. 322
per cent from 1860-61 to 1904-05.\textsuperscript{1} Some increase was due to expansion of area under cultivation during the period, but not all. The benefit from the rise in prices was reaped mostly by the Government, and hardly reached the cultivator.

The land tenures and the land revenue systems lay at the root of the agricultural backwardness and poverty of the peasant. In the words of Nanavati and Anjaria: "The tenure system based on the Permanent Settlement has led to a number of evils such as absentee landlordism, rack-renting, economic serfdom of tenants, etc.; nor is the area under the other tenures immune from these evils. Further, the absence of any single system of clearly recognised principles makes the revenue system also iniquitous and arbitrary. At the same time, the system of tenancy that has evolved out of the helplessness of the poorer agriculturists has been further pauperising them and is resulting in a reckless use of land."\textsuperscript{2}

The small size of an average holding was another important cause which prevented the agriculturist from reaping benefit

\textsuperscript{1} The following table shows the growth of land revenue receipts from 1860-61 to 1904-05:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Receipts Rs. crores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860-61</td>
<td>18.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870-71</td>
<td>21.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880-81</td>
<td>21.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890-91</td>
<td>24.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-01</td>
<td>26.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904-05</td>
<td>28.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The figures exclude the increase due to irrigation from the head "Land Revenue" after 1877-78, and therefore do not tell the full story of the increase. The figures are taken from various issues of Statistical Abstract of British India.

The rise in rents:

\textit{Bengal}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rs. crores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1793</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase from 1858 to 1879:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N.W.P.</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oudh</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Provinces</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panjab</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orissa</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombay</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mysore</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berar</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{Vide} Sir John Caird's Report on the Condition of India, p. 39

\textsuperscript{2} Nanavati and Anjaria, op. cit., p. 42
from the rise of prices and increase of foreign demand for agricultural produce. In the absence of adequate statistics it is difficult to trace accurately the continuous decline in the size of holdings during the nineteenth century, but the case of Bombay Deccan appears to be illustrative of what was happening in the whole country. Harold Mann estimated that the average size of the holdings in the Deccan decreased from 40 acres in 1771 to $17\frac{1}{2}$ acres in 1818, 17 acres in 1820—40, and to 7 acres in 1915. Of these holdings 60 per cent were less than 5 acres each, and were thus of uneconomic size.¹

Brij Narain has pointed out: “It will be seen that all over the Punjab (and the case of the Punjab is not exceptional) excepting districts where much new land has been brought under cultivation on account of canal irrigation, the average size of the holding, small as it is, tends to decrease with the growth of numbers.”²

Even a more pernicious feature of these holdings than subdivision was that they were fragmented into strips scattered over a wide area. On an average each holding was subdivided into 5 to 8 strips. This increased cost of cultivation in relation to the yield, caused much wastage of time and labour of the cultivator, and accentuated the uneconomic character of cultivation.

The evil of division and fragmentation of holdings may be traced back to the Hindu and Muslim laws of inheritance. But the growth of population and the decline of indigenous industry aggravated the mischief and accelerated the process.

The gradual decrease of area held by peasant proprietors and the growth of the number of tenants paying cash and grain rents to middlemen,³ the replacement of customary by competitive rent, the arbitrary ejectment of tenants by landlords, were other factors which account for the miserable condition of the agricultural population.

According to Thorner, “The decisive factor in Indian agriculture during the twentieth century, and probably in the last quarter of the nineteenth century as well, has been the chronic

¹ Mann, H., Land and Labour in a Deccan Village (Pimbla Saudagar), Vol. I, pp. 44-46
² Brij Narain, Indian Economic Life (1929), p. 35
³ According to Brij Narain, out of 29.7 million acres under cultivation in the Panjab in 1927, 16.5 million were held by tenants paying rent in cash and grain. In Uttar Pradesh in 1920, 21.9 million acres out of 28.5 million and in Oudh 8.4 million out of 10 million were cash and grain rented lands.
extreme shortage of capital of the great bulk of the cultivating peasantry.”

Food Supply

The emergence of food shortages was an important feature of Indian economy in the latter half of the nineteenth century. This was caused partly by the failure of total cultivated area to increase proportionately to the increase in population and partly by the substitution of cash crops for food crops.

From 1892-93 to 1904-05, while the total area cropped increased by 17.4 million acres, or 8 per cent, the area under food-crops increased by 5.39 million acres or 3 per cent and the area under non-food crops by 12 million acres or 29 per cent. As the population increased during the same period by about 15 millions, requiring an additional acreage of 10.5 millions, it is apparent that the increase of 5.39 million acres met the needs of only half the population added.\(^2\)

The shift in agricultural production was not fortuitous. It was caused partly by the increase in the demand for raw materials from India in the world markets and partly as a result of the policy of Government. While in the case of manufacturing industry the Indian Government remained wedded to the principle of *laissez faire* till 1919, in agriculture it actively promoted the cultivation of the crops which were either of special value to England, (e.g., cotton and jute), or which earned foreign exchange for India, such as tea and cinchona.

Following the American Civil War, a Cotton Commissioner was appointed in the Central Provinces and Berar and active steps were taken to extend the cultivation of cotton in the North-Western Provinces\(^3\) (Uttar Pradesh). The question of extension of Permanent Settlement, and development of means of irrigation for encouraging the cultivation of cotton were seriously considered in 1862. The cultivation of indigo was encouraged by the East India Company, and advances were given for poppy cultivation in Malwa, which had the effect of reducing supplies of foodgrains from that area.\(^4\) Between

---

2. See Joshi, G. V., *Speeches and Writings*, pp. 607-8
4. Letter from Dr. McGill of United Presbyterian Church to the Secretary of State, dated 17th May, 1870. *Vide Despatches (Famines) from the Secretary of State to India Government, 1869-1905* (unprinted Despatches)
1893 and 1906 the index of the average output per annum of food crops fell from 100 to 96, that of commercial crops rose from 100 to 105; the average production of food came down from 73.9 million tons to 71.5 million tons in the same period, so that food available per capita diminished from 587 lbs. to 560 lbs. per annum.\footnote{Kuznets, S., etc., op. cit., pp. 121-123} Unfortunately, in spite of the famines and shortage of food supplies, the Government did little to increase food production, although it continued to promote actively the growth of the crops which had a demand in the foreign markets.

\textit{Indebtedness}

The land system introduced by the British in India was based on the Western concept of property and proprietary rights. The distribution of incomes in the agricultural economy which it effected was unjust, inequitable and oppressive. The result was impoverishment and indebtedness of the masses.

The Revenue and Survey Settlements, the record of rights, the judicial system and the civil courts had made land a valuable and freely transferable asset. When competition for land grew with the growth of population and shrinking of employment in other occupations, land values increased\footnote{In the Panjab, one acre of land cost six times the land revenue in 1849; in 1938-39 the same cost 283 times (vide Nanavati and Anjaria, \textit{Indian Rural Problem}, p. 203). An enquiry in Kanpur in Uttar Pradesh brought out the interesting information that the price of land (average for all qualities, arable and waste) in 1840-50 was Rs. 3.5 per acre, in 1850-60 Rs. 7.5 per acre and in 1860-70 Rs. 9.5 per acre. Vide Halsey's Report in the \textit{Indian Economist}, 21-8-1875, p. 2} and the landholder acquired valuable security against which he could borrow easily. The credit he acquired was utilised improvidently by him. The urban capitalist class finding other channels of investment nearly closed, turned its attention to the acquisition of landed property by purchase or by advancing loans against the security of land and produce, and on failure to realise them redeeming them through land transfers.

Thus heavy and perpetual indebtedness obliged cultivators to alienate their property into the hands of either prosperous landholders and peasants or urban and local money-lenders. This led to a rapid rise of functionless intermediaries, and usurious middlemen on the one hand, and an increase of rent farmers, tenants-at-will, and landless labourers on the other. All over the country, under the Zamindari, Mahalwari and
Ryotwari systems, the contrast between the rich and the poor was accentuated and the tenants who cultivated about 75 per cent of the cultivated area in India, were left with a bare subsistence.

Broadly speaking, rural indebtedness was the result of the complete transition from a feudal, patriarchal to a modern and commercial society. It involved a change from a system of barter and payment in kind to money economy, from custom to competition, from dispersion and isolation to concentration and centralisation. It was the result of the extinction of such salutary customs as Damdupat, and the breakdown of the village community which protected the cultivator from the clutches of the local money-lender, and conserved its stereotype social relations and ancient forms of property. The addition to agrarian population aggravated the evil. These circumstances ushered in the tendency to the transfer of property from the traditional cultivating families to new propertied classes.

Agricultural indebtedness, of course, is not a phenomenon peculiar to India. It is, in fact, universal. For agriculture is an industry and like any other industry it needs credit. But in the case of India what rendered indebtedness a problem of special importance, was the fact that a considerable proportion of the debt was of an unproductive nature. The farmer in India did not borrow as his counterpart in the advanced countries did, for purchase of seeds, fertilisers, livestock, implements, machinery, land, or for making permanent improvements in land like digging of wells, etc. He generally resorted to money-lender’s credit for paying land revenue, pulling himself and his family through lean years and for expenditure on some social functions like marriages, births, deaths, etc. The normal yearly

1 This was an ancient custom in India according to which the total amount payable by a borrower to his creditor should under no circumstance exceed double the amount of the principal.

2 Not only the total population was increasing but what is more the proportion of population depending on agriculture increased rapidly. This may be seen from the following figures:
1891—61 p. c. of population agricultural
1901—66 p. c. of population agricultural
1911—71 p. c. of population agricultural
1921—73 p. c. of population agricultural

3 According to Darling, the moneylender turned into farmer in the Panjab was the only progressive element in its agricultural economy. “He is the only basis in the otherwise wilderness,” and “if an experiment is being tried, it is ten to one that there is a Kirar in the background”; Darling, The Punjab Peasant, p. 101
income of the farmer was so small as to provide a bare subsistence, with the result that a loan raised once could not be repaid out of the crop of the following years. Debt became a perpetual feature of the peasant’s life. The Banking Enquiry Committee found that in Bombay most of the rural debt was incurred for unproductive purposes. In the United Provinces, only 30 per cent of the debt was “due to the needs of the cultivator’s industry.” Of the remainder, 34 per cent was due to poverty and 36 per cent to social, religious and legal customs. In the Central Provinces 26 per cent was incurred for non-productive purposes. In the Panjab “much has also been borrowed to tide its 3 million cultivators over seasons of poor harvests, to marry its sons and its daughters and to burn or bury its dead.”

Under these conditions debt scarcely served the purpose of more than keeping the cultivator’s head above water. It left no room for investment in improvements.

Darling writing about the Panjab remarked that “by 1880 the unequal fight between the peasant proprietor and the money-lender had ended in a crushing victory for the latter. For the next thirty years the moneylender was at his zenith and multiplied and prospered exceedingly, so much so that the number of bankers and moneylenders (including their dependants) increased from 53,263 in 1868 to 193,890 in 1911.”

About the spread of indebtedness at the time of the enquiries of the Famine Commission of 1880 in the different parts of India official reports from all provinces spoke of the existence of high degree of indebtedness among the peasantry. Mr. Nichols observed that in the Central Provinces “the tenants were practically speaking entirely dependent on their landlords for advances not only for seed grains but for food grains.” In Bengal, Mr. Skrine found seventy-five per cent of the entire agricultural population of Nadia district in the clutches of the moneylender. In Bombay, Mr. Peile calculated that 75 per cent of the ryots were in debt, of whom 50 per cent were hopelessly involved. Enquiries in the Madras Presidency revealed that 57 per cent of the ryots in Kistna district and 80 per cent in

1 Darling, M., ibid., pp. 19 and 20
2 Ibid., p. 173
3 Nichols, Report on conditions of cultivators in Chhatisgarh, Damoh and Bhandara districts; Appendix to Famine Commission Report (1880)
4 Skrine’s Report, Famine Commission Report (1880)
5 Peile’s Report, ibid.
6 Famine Commission Report (1880), Appendix III, p. 309
Vellore were in debt. In Berar, Major Szczepanski noted that while those with some capital thrrove, the others were entirely dependent on Sahukars.¹

In Bihar, the Collector of Shahabad reported that 50 per cent of the cultivators were in debt for grain lent by their landlord and 40 per cent were in debt for either grain or money to the Mahajan.²

Another enquiry conducted eight years later under the orders of Lord Dufferin supported these conclusions. The evidence recorded showed that “in all parts of India there is a numerous population which lives from hand to mouth, is always in debt, owing to reckless expenditure on marriages and other ceremonies and in consequence of this indebtedness and of the fact that their creditors, the middlemen, intercept a large proportion of the profits of agriculture, does not save and has little or nothing to fall back upon in bad seasons.”³

The increase of indebtedness throughout the nineteenth century was continuous. Good seasons and bumper crops, bad seasons and scarcity, high or low prices seemed to have no effect upon the process. K. L. Datta writing in 1914 when prices were high, commented: “In a good year, although he (cultivator) has means to pay off a large portion of his debt, he does not repay what he conveniently can, and indulges some other extravagance; while in a bad year, he has not the wherewithal to live, much less to clear his debt, and it becomes hopeless for him to free himself from the burden.”⁴

The result was that “the great majority of Indian ryots are born in debt, die in debt, and bequeath debt.”⁵

The most noticeable social effect of the growth of indebtedness was the expropriation of the agriculturist and the acquisition of land by the moneylender. If the moneylender was an agriculturist his holding was expanded; if, on the other hand, he was a non-agriculturist, he became an absentee landlord and profesor, and the ousted peasant went to swell the ranks of tenants and labourers. Between 1866 and 1895 land alienations

¹ Major Szczepanski’s note, ibid., p. 296
³ Resolution No. 96-4/6-59, dated 19th October, 1888; vide Famine Proceedings. December 1888
⁵ Take Madras. In 1853, J. Bourdillon the Collector wrote that the ryot was always in poverty and generally in debt. Seventy-five years later, T. Austin, another Collector stated, “for the average ryot, there is no surplus even in a prosperous year.”
assumed tremendous proportions. The following table gives the story of the Panjab.\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acres Sold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1866–74</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875–80</td>
<td>93,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880–85</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885–90</td>
<td>310,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890–95</td>
<td>338,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thorburn who made an intensive enquiry in selected circles in the Panjab, discovered that out of 742 families 566 were heavily involved or practically ruined, and that 53 per cent of the total acreage had been alienated in the ratio of two-thirds to the moneylenders and one-third to the bigger landlords. Out of 474 villages surveyed by him 126 were hopelessly involved in debt, 210 were seriously involved and in 138 the burden was comparatively lighter.\(^2\)

According to the *Moral and Material Progress* Report of 1901-02, in the Panjab the area cultivated by owners had decreased since 1891-92 both relatively to the total area of the province and absolutely, and the decline was mainly due to the alienation of land to non-agricultural classes; in the Central Provinces the burden of indebtedness had increased; in Madras indebtedness had grown enormously in size.

In Bombay, according to G. V. Joshi two-thirds of the occupancy tenants had lost their tenancy rights and had been reduced to the position of tenants-at-will by 1894.\(^3\)

How the debt continued to grow may be gathered from the figures relating to the percentage of persons free from debt. In 1901 Baroda State in Gujarat had 40 per cent of landowners free from debt; in 1907 in Faridpur, Bengal, the percentage was 55, in 1919 in Mysore and Panjab percentages were 37 and 17. In 1930, Gujarat showed a percentage of 22, and Panjab of 13.\(^4\)

Such a phenomenon had not only economic consequences, for it brought about widespread misery, but it raised serious political issues. As E.D. Maclagan pointed out, “the expropriation problems represented one phase only of the general question of peasant indebtedness. It was the phase which had the most direct influence on political conditions and which called for the

---

\(^1\) Nanavati and Anjaria, op. cit., pp. 33 and 34

\(^2\) Thorburn's Enquiry in the state of rural indebtedness in the Panjab. The Enquiry was made on the order of the Lt. Governor Sir Dennis Fitzpatrick, see Asiatic Quarterly Review, July 1901, pp. 65-66 and 73.

\(^3\) Joshi, G. V., op. cit., p. 351

\(^4\) Darling, M. L., op. cit., p. 5
most urgent remedy." It therefore attracted Government's attention and led to the passing of legislative measures.

Charles Rivaz, introducing the Punjab Land Alienation Bill in the Governor-General's Council in 1899, stated that the measure was directed against the political danger arising from the rapid transfer of land from and consequent expropriation of the sturdy landholders of the Panjab "who furnish the flower of the Native Army of India, and who look forward, amid all hardships and glories of a military career, to spend their declining years on their ancestral holdings."

In the Panjab, the remedy unfortunately led to communal repercussions, because the lands were largely cultivated by the Muslim peasants and the money-lenders were mostly Hindus. The situation was similar in Bengal, especially in the eastern districts. Both later became the bases of Hindu-Muslim conflict and principal components of Pakistan. Whatever else may or may not have contributed to the forces which brought about the partition of India, there is no denying the fact that the dichotomy of Hindu Zamindar and money-lender on the one hand and Muslim peasant and debtor on the other, was an important factor.

Among the remedial measures adopted to counteract the growth of indebtedness and of land alienation were, (a) regulation of the money-lending business, (b) restraint on the transfer of agricultural property into the hands of the non-agriculturists thereby putting limitations on the agriculturist's credit, and (c) amendment of the contract and debt laws in order to make the relation of creditor and debtor more equitable. As the land revenue system was ultimately responsible for a large proportion of indebtedness of the proprietor as well as of the tenant, its reform was a necessary condition for improvement. This, however, was not attempted.

All that was done was to pass a few legislative measures to protect the debtor against his creditor. The earliest measure to give relief to the ryots from indebtedness and exploitation by money-lender was the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act of 1879. The Act empowered the courts to examine the history and nature of debts and refuse to allow unreasonable rates of interest, to protect the debtor from arrest and from sale of

---

1 E. Macalayan's Foreword to Darling's *Punjab Peasant*, p. viii
2 Speech of the mover of the bill, Sir Charles Rivaz. *Proceedings of the Governor-General's Council* dated 27th September, 1899
land unless it was definitely pledged, and to allow the appointment of debt conciliators. The amendments of the Act in subsequent years sought to provide further safeguards. But the results were so disappointing that the Banking Enquiry Committee recommended its repeal.

In 1901 the Punjab Land Alienation Act was placed on the Statute Book, which forbade the sale of the land of an agriculturist in execution of a moneylender’s decree or to transfer possession for more than 20 years, and otherwise strengthened the cultivator’s legal position in the matter of eviction from his land, attachment of his property, and the liability of his heirs for the debts. Subsequently the Bundelkhand Land Alienation Act of 1903, the North-West Frontier Province Land Alienation Act of 1904, and the Central Provinces Land Alienation Act of 1916 were passed on the lines of the Punjab Act.

These measures failed to achieve their object, for moneylenders found loopholes which they fully utilised. In the Panjab the bigger landlords found the opportunity to extend their estates at the expense of the small cultivators. This is borne out by the increase in the total area mortgaged by agricultural tribes, and in the amount of mortgage money after the passing of the Land Alienation Act. In the four years from 1902 to 1906 the total area mortgaged was 1,89,810 acres and the mortgage rate Rs. 62 per acre; in 1906–11, the acreage was 2,89,608 and the rate 83. The pressure of debt on the small proprietors may be gauged by taking into consideration the debt burden of the cultivator as a multiple of the land revenue paid by him. In the case of the Panjab the multiple remained 27 even after the Act, which shows how heavily the cultivator was involved. The condition of the rent-paying tenant was equally bad. Mr. Thorburn found that the smallest holders were the most hopelessly involved. Unfortunately, as already stated, the number of small-sized holdings was very large.

In the zamindari areas, rack-renting of the cultivator was a greater evil than indebtedness, responsible for the poverty of the peasant. In Bengal for instance, sub-infeudation and rack-renting were rampant. Sub-infeudation created a hierarchy of greedy intermediaries, and the powers vested in the Zamindars by law opened the flood-gates of oppression. Nothing was done by Government to limit the power of the Zamindar on the one hand, and protect the tenant on the other, till 1859. In that year the Bengal Rent Act was passed which attempted to afford pro-
tection against vexatious enhancement of rent and arbitrary ejectment. The protection, however, was limited to a small section who were given occupancy rights. The Act, therefore, proved ineffective, so far as the large majority of cultivators was concerned. Agrarian discontent increased and Government passed another Tenancy Act in 1885, for helping the non-occupancy cultivators. Even this failed to restrain the enhancement of rents and to mitigate the suffering of the cultivators. Subletting and transfers of land progressively increased, the number of cultivators possessing occupancy rights diminished and that of landless labourers multiplied.

The Bengal Tenancy Act of 1859 was applied to all the provinces, and Tenancy and Rent Acts were passed in the Central Provinces in 1883, in the Panjab in 1887, and in the North-Western Province and Oudh in 1886. The object of these measures was to regulate agrarian relations, to prevent indiscriminate ejectment of tenants, to control rents and ensure fair compensation to the lessees for improvements effected by them. Unfortunately the peasantry was unable to derive much benefit from them. "The magnitude of the problem which confronted them (the Government) was so great that it was difficult for them to get down to essentials," was the verdict of the Bengal Commission on Agriculture,¹ concerning the palliatives applied by Government.

Apart from legislative action the Government tried to meet the agrarian problem by extending irrigation facilities to protect the cultivator from the vagaries of the monsoon, organising co-operative societies to save him from victimisation by the money-lender, providing aid for relief and for improvement of agricultural methods, and reforming the marketing system for the disposal of produce. But the nature and scale of governmental effort was inadequate to produce any significant change in the condition of the great mass of population, who remained submerged in debt, want and penury.

Famines and Economic Distress

This is only too amply proved by the mournful tale of frequent famines which played havoc in the country during the nineteenth century. In a span of forty-nine years, that is, from

¹ Report, p. 27
1860 to 1908, twenty were famine years. The major famines like those of Orissa and Bengal (1866), N.W.P. and Rajputana (1868-69), Bombay and Madras (1876-77), N.W.P., Panjab and Kashmir (1878-79), N.W.P., Madras, Bombay and Central Provinces (1896-97), and Bombay, Central Provinces, Berar, Panjab and Ajmer (1899-1900), caused heavy mortality. In Orissa and Bengal 1.3 million lives were lost in 1866-67. The famine of 1876-77 was responsible for the loss of nearly 5 million lives, that of 1896-97 for 4.5 millions and of 1899-1900, 1.25 million.

What was the cause of the bewildering rapidity and frequency of famines after 1860? Obviously the natural cause, the failure of rains, cannot adequately account for it. For in a large country like India, local deficiencies and excesses of rainfall are normal, but a general countrywide failure is rare. Normally the insufficiency of produce in one part is compensated by the yield of the other parts and rarely does an overall shortfall occur.

It is not then because of the lack of food, or that of its improper distribution that famines with their myriad victims visited the country. The root of the trouble was the unavailability of food to the poorer classes, because of their poverty and the rise of prices, especially during times when crops failed locally and employment was dislocated. The fact was that even in normal times 30 to 40 per cent of the population suffered from daily insufficiency of food for want of purchasing capa-

---

1 The major famines during this period were as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Area Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860-61</td>
<td>N.W.P., Panjab, Rajputana and Kutch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866-67</td>
<td>Orissa, Bengal, Bihar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868-69</td>
<td>N.W.P., Rajputana, Panjab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873-74</td>
<td>Bengal, Bihar, Bundelkhand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876-77</td>
<td>Madras, Bombay, Mysore, Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877-78</td>
<td>N.W.P., Kashmir, Panjab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888-89</td>
<td>Ganjam (Madras), Orissa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890-92</td>
<td>Panjab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896-97</td>
<td>N.W.P., Oudh, Bengal, Madras, C.P., Bombay, Panjab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899-1900</td>
<td>and several Indian Princely States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906-07</td>
<td>C.P., Berar, Bombay, Panjab and Ajmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907-08</td>
<td>Bombay, North Bihar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U.P., C.P., Madras, Bengal and Bombay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Besides these, there were local scarcities in Deccan (1862), Bengal (1876), Deccan (1879-80), N.W.P. (1880), Bengal (1884-85), C.P. (1886-87), Garhwal, Ajmer, Marwar, C.P., Bombay and Panjab (1890-92), Bombay (1905-06), and North Bihar (1906-07).

2 These are official figures of deaths which are generally regarded as under-estimates. The number of deaths in 1899-1900, for instance, was estimated by William Digby at 3.25 millions, cf., Prosperous British India, p. 130
city. This was testified by experienced officers of the Government like C. A. Elliot and W. W. Hunter.

Dufferin ordered an enquiry into these matters. The report was, however, kept a closely guarded secret from the public, till William Digby obtained access to the papers through Mr. Bradlaugh, M.P., and made use of the information contained in them in his book, *Prosperous British India*. The demand of the Indian National Congress that the papers be published was not conceded for fear that “carefully selected extracts from the report would certainly be used to our detriment and would figure in controversy for years.” The enquiry revealed the existence of indebtedness and rack-renting of the peasantry on a countrywide scale and the prevalence of extreme poverty and destitution among a substantial portion of the lower classes of the agricultural population.

The detailed enquiries of the Provincial Governments and officers into farm and family budgets confirmed these conclusions. A few of them may be quoted:

“Over the greater part of the continent the small cultivators and labourers live from hand to mouth.”

“The condition of the Pariahs who formed 25 per cent of the population of the Presidency was generally miserable.” (Madras Government)

“Forty per cent of the total population of 15.3 millions consisting of labourers, artisans and petty landholders in Bihar can earn a very scanty subsistence, and take only one meal instead of two.” (Government of Bengal)

“There is very little between the poorer classes of the people and semi-starvation.” (Commission of Allahabad)

“The poorer classes are not fed or clad. They hardly subsist on coarse rice and spinach curry without oil. As a rule they have not more than one meal a day.” (Orissa, the Civil Surgeon of Puri)

“The difference between a good and bad year was that in the first the people found half their food in roots and herbs, in a bad year three-fourths of the whole.” (Chamba in the Panjhab).

Mr. Crooke, a District Officer, made an estimate of the income

---

1 Madras Christian College Magazine, October 1887
2 Hunter, W. W., op. cit., Adjustment of food supply, pp. 133-166
3 Telegram to the Secretary of State, dated December 13, 1902
4 Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce (FAMINE) Proceedings, December 1888, pp. 1-19
and expenditure of a farm of five acres of average fertility and arrived at the following conclusions:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kharif</td>
<td>129-8</td>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>75-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabi</td>
<td>84-8</td>
<td>Cultivation expenses</td>
<td>93-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Profit</td>
<td>45-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>214-0</strong></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>214-0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the profit of Rs. 45/- the cultivator had to provide food, clothing, shelter and other needs of the family. The expenditure on food was Rs. 43/- and on clothing Rs. 8-/. This left a deficit of Rs. 6/- which had to be met by borrowing. Wrote Mr. Crooke, “This indeed is the result of several attempts which I made from time to time to strike a balance sheet of a man of this class.”

The conclusion that the distress and mortality in famines was due to poverty is reinforced if the per capita income of the period is considered. Several estimates relating to years from 1868 to 1900 have been made by officials and non-officials. Mr. V. V. Bhatt has recently made an ingenious attempt to take the average of these estimates for a particular year or a number of closely connected years and calculated the paddy prices corresponding with the averages. He comes to the conclusion that the per capita income in India was falling in the second half of the last century and that the statistics give evidence of “aborted economic growth.”

---

1 Ibid.
2 Bhatt, V. V., Aspects of Economic Change and Policy in India, p. 19:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Estimated by</th>
<th>Per Capita Income (Rs.)</th>
<th>Price of Paddy (Average of Madras &amp; U.P. prices) Rs. per md.</th>
<th>Per Capita Income in terms of Paddy (Mounds)</th>
<th>Per Capita Income (at 1873 Prices)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>Digby</td>
<td>30-0</td>
<td>20-0</td>
<td>1-10-0</td>
<td>15-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867-68</td>
<td>Dadabhai Naoroji</td>
<td>20-0</td>
<td>25-0</td>
<td>1-10-0</td>
<td>15-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>Atkinson</td>
<td>30-5</td>
<td>22-5</td>
<td>25-0</td>
<td>15-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>Digby</td>
<td>27-0</td>
<td>25-0</td>
<td>1-10-0</td>
<td>15-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>Baring &amp; Barbour</td>
<td>39-5</td>
<td>18-0</td>
<td>2-6-0</td>
<td>12-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Atkinson</td>
<td>30-0</td>
<td>29-0</td>
<td>2-6-0</td>
<td>12-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>Curzon</td>
<td>30-0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sion.  He writes: "In retrospect, the net effect of British rule was
to change drastically the social fabric of Indian agriculture, but
to leave virtually unaffected the basic process of production and
the level of technique. The upper strata of the new agrarian
society benefited handsomely. The position of the cultivators
deteriorated. Capital needed for the development of agriculture
was siphoned off, and the level of total output tended toward
stagnation."  

The famines had important consequences. They affected the
rate of increase of population, e.g., the increase in the Bombay
Presidency was 2.05 per cent from 1872 to 1889, in Madras 1.35
per cent during the same period, in Mysore the population de-
creased by 17.19 per cent in ten years, and in Cochin by 14 per
cent in 6 years. It was not so much the number of deaths by
starvation that affected the population as the wide-spread under-
nourishment, the consequent emasculation and epidemics which
accompanied the famines. Famines were the natural though a
cruel way to keep a balance between population and food supply.
But for the frequent famines, population and food problems
would have assumed serious proportions even in the nineteenth
century.

Famines retarded agricultural progress. The methods of
cultivation remained stereotyped, the desire to improve was
frustrated and the losses in livestock hampered recovery.

In the later famines, especially from 1895 to 1900, besides the
weakening of the health of the people and the lowering of their
moral stamina, the immediate effects were the reduction of the
double cropped area and the displacement of the industrial and
export crops by food grains—a healthy trend so far as the food
problem was concerned.

It is abundantly clear that the condition of the poor classes
which constituted the great majority of the inhabitants of India
was most unsatisfactory. It is difficult to say whether during
the last half of the nineteenth century it remained stationary or
deteriorated, but there is convincing evidence to prove that the
wretchedness and misery of the millions of these unfortunate

1 Daniel Throner: Long-run Trends in Output in India: Economic
Growth: Brazil, India, Japan, edited by Simon Kuznets, etc. (Duke
2 Ibid., p. 127
3 D. R. Gadgil, The Industrial Evolution of India in Recent Times,
pp. 26-28, 95 and 96
human beings were deep and extensive. But even in their perennial distress their docility, patience and capacity to endure suffering were so great, that unless oppression and hunger became intolerable they did not rise in protest to demonstrate their dissatisfaction. That they felt obliged to do so from time to time, shows that the situation exceeded the limits of forbearance.

The first rising occurred in Bengal in 1858-60, when the indigo cultivators broke out against the tyranny of the planters. Then riots occurred in Patna in 1873. In 1874 came the riots of the peasants in some of the districts of the Deccan—Poona and Ahmednagar. Complaints of oppression against rack-renting were carried to the Government by the ryots of Barrackpur and Paikpara in 1878-79. These were small and isolated incidents compared to the magnitude of the problem and the extent of the suffering. Even such incidents became rare after the Indian National Congress came into existence. The peasant found in the Congress a forum for voicing his grievances and an organised body to champion his cause.

V. COMMERCIAL REVOLUTION (1858-1905)

Railways and Telegraph

The impetus to India’s economic development came from a revolution in the means of communication and transport which provided powerful stimulus to her internal and external trade. The first contract for laying the railway line in India was given in 1848, but the pace of development in the beginning was painfully slow. The first 21 miles of railway line were laid in 1853 and by 1858 only 288 miles of line had been opened for traffic. After the Revolt of 1857 the extension of the railways was vigorously pushed forward for political, military and imperial reasons.1 There was pressure from Lancashire which wanted India to be covered with railway lines in order to bring raw cotton to port towns for export to England.² Moreover England

---

1 Macpherson, W. J., Investments in Indian Railways, 1845-1875: Economic History Review, second series, 1955-56 (Vol. VIII), pp. 177-86

2 According to Macpherson the G.I.P. Railway in 1849 was sanctioned under pressure from promoters, Chambers of Commerce, Members of Parliament and Select Committee because the line passed through cotton-rich districts of Bombay. Similar pressure was exerted in the construction of the B.B.C.I.R., in 1850. "The cotton motive was also
was at this time exporting large quantities of capital to the rest of the world\(^1\) and the railways were the principal form of investment till 1875.\(^2\) Even so, India was supplied capital for the railways on ruinous terms. The railways were constructed till 1869 by private British Companies under a Government guarantee of a return of 5 per cent on investment. The Government had no control over expenditure or appointments in the railways and the Companies had no incentive for economy because a five per cent return on capital was guaranteed to them. The total guaranteed capital raised by the Railway Companies for the purpose of construction in India rose from Rs. 42 lakhs in 1848-49 to Rs. 83.42 crores in 1868-69.\(^3\) During the same period the railway mileage open for traffic rose from nil in 1848-49 to 4016 miles in 1868-69. In 1862, 7.2 million persons were carried by the railways; this number rose to 16, millions in 1869.

Remarkable as this progress was, the Government was not satisfied with it. Moreover, the guarantee system was proving evident in the Great Southern Railway. During the American Civil War the concern of British businessmen about Indian cotton output reached its peak. The 1500 miles of Indian railways opened in 1861 were only beginning to penetrate the cotton regions and the Government was entreated to authorize new companies. The Secretary of State was inundated with memorials from Manchester and Glasgow.\(^4\)

\(^1\) The following table gives Foreign Investment of United Kingdom in single years (5 years intervals):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Net Investment £ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1852</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>1255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Net Investment £ Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>1,576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>2,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>2,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>3,568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Economic Elements in Pax Britannica by Albert Imlah, p. 180)


\(^3\) The total paid up capital of Indian railways on 31st December 1869, was £84.72 million and the total interest payment on that capital amounted to £29.8 million or 35 per cent of the capital.
financially burdensome. But the Companies after having enjoyed the privilege of guarantee, were not willing to make investments in India without a guarantee. The result was that the Government had to start constructing railways on its own account from 1869. This change in policy, however, coincided with a period of great financial strain for the Government. The famines in the Panjub and Rajputana in 1868-69, in Bengal in 1873, in Bombay and Madras Presidencies in 1876 put a heavy strain on the Indian treasury. The depreciation of the exchange rate of the Indian rupee due to the fall in the international value of silver which began in 1872 and continued till 1893 when mints were closed to the free coinage of rupee, further added to the financial difficulties. The Secretary of State by his successive limits on the Government of India's power to borrow in the London Market bound its hands. In spite of the handicaps and the increase of expenditure the Government persisted, and the result was that the railway mileage open for traffic increased in the ten years from 4,265 miles in 1869-70 to 8,212 miles in 1878-79. But the pace of progress was unsatisfactory from the point of view of British trade in India, and disappointing from the point of view of Government's famine policy. The Famine Commission of 1880 had recommended that if major famines were to be averted another 10,000 miles of railways must be immediately added to the existing mileage. Since this could not be done by the State from its own resources, the system of guaranteed returns to private companies was revived with slight modifications. The return guaranteed under the new system was 3½ per cent instead of 5 per cent as in the older system. This second reversal of the policy had immediate effect: there was a rapid extension of railways in the country after 1880. In the eleven years from 1878-79 to 1889-90, the railway mileage was doubled from 8,212 to 16,404. It rose further to 23,763 miles in 1899-1900 and to 28,604 miles in 1905-6. As against 16 million passengers carried in 1869, the Indian railways carried 271 million in 1906. Similarly railway earnings had risen to £247.5 million in 1906 and instead of incurring

1 Select Committee Report on Public Works, 1876
2 Of this £157.55 millions were on the State account and the rest on the Companies' account. The capital outlay of Guaranteed and Subsidised Railway Companies was £94.64 millions in 1899-1900. The Government began to purchase Guaranteed railways after that and the capital outlay of these Companies fell to £57.49 millions in 1906-07 and £40.42 millions in 1911-12.
a loss, the railways had begun to yield a net return of about 6 per cent on the capital outlay.¹

Meanwhile spectacular progress had been achieved in the spread of telegraph lines in India as will be seen from the following table²:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mileage of lines</th>
<th>Total No. of Offices</th>
<th>Total No. of telegrams (in thousands)</th>
<th>Total Receipts (in lakhs of rupees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860-61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870-71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880-81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890-91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the period of 40 years from 1870-71 to 1910-11 the number of telegraph offices in the country increased by more than 14 times and the total number of telegrams more than 22 times from 5,77,000 in 1870-71 to 13,090,000 in 1910-11. At the same time, there was a great expansion of the postal facilities in the country. The number of letters, newspapers and parcels received in various post-offices for delivery rose from 47.14 millions in 1882 to 158.6 millions in 1880-81, 312 millions in 1889-90 and 645.13 millions in 1905-06.

All this expansion in the means of internal communication and transport promoted the economic unity of this vast subcontinent. The result was that disparity in the prices of commodities in different regions of the country became a thing of the past.³ The effects of drought in one part of the country were no longer confined to that part alone and came to have, instead, countrywide repercussions. Poverty and distress came to be more equally distributed. At the same time contact and communication between the peoples of different regions became

¹ The net returns on capital outlay were 5.54 per cent in 1903, 6 per cent in 1904, 6.07 per cent in 1905 and 5.96 per cent in 1906.
³ Brij Narain, India's Economic Life, Past & Present, p. 109. Also Baird Smith, Report on the Effect of Famine in N.W.P. (1860) on Manchester trade. According to Smith, the means of transport at the time were so miserable "that while in one bazar the famine price of Rs. 4/12/- per maund might be ruling in another not thirty miles off, the price would be but Rs. 1/8/- for the same quantity."
easier and speedier which made possible the development of community of thought and sentiment.

The expansion of the railways and telegraph in India was accompanied by two significant developments in sea transport. The first was the opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 and the second the revolution in Indian shipping by the substitution of steam vessels for sailing vessels in the sea-borne trade of India. The opening of the Suez Canal reduced the distance of the voyage between India and Europe by more than 3,000 miles and the period of voyage by 35 days. At the same time, steam vessels increased the turnover and brought about heavy reduction in the cargo freight. In 1872, the freight per ton of agricultural produce between Calcutta and Liverpool was 55 shillings; in 1882 this had fallen to 27 shillings.¹ This substantial decline in transport cost enabled bulky agricultural produce of India to compete effectively in the European markets with the produce of Russia, Canada, and the U.S.A.—the principal suppliers of wheat, cotton and some other agricultural products to the markets of the British Isles and the other European countries.

Unfortunately the expansion of transport facilities was so organised as to serve the imperial interests of Britain to the disregard of the needs of Indian economic development. The lay-out of the railway lines and the prescribing of freights favoured British trade—exports from India and imports into India, and worked against the inland trade and healthy industrialisation of India.

For instance, the railways were not suitably located for interchange of products between industrial centres and markets. The freight rate of shipping hides was 50 per cent less on hauls to ports than hauls between interior towns. Nor was any transport manufacturing industry allowed to be set up in the country and the stimulus for industrialisation was decried.²

**Foreign Trade**

The revolution in the means of transport was reflected in the dramatic increase in the volume of India’s sea-borne trade. In 1860-61, the total value of India’s exports, excluding treasure, was Rs. 32.97 crores; by 1906-07 this had risen to Rs. 177 crores.

---

¹ Joshi, G. V., *op. cit.*, p. 628
² Davis, K., *The Population of India and Pakistan*, Part VI, Section 22
There was a sudden increase in the English demand for raw cotton from India in 1862 on account of the cessation of supplies from the U.S.A. during the American Civil War, with the result that the value of Indian exports rose from Rs. 32.97 crores in 1860-61 to Rs. 65.63 crores in 1863-64 and Rs. 68 crores in 1864-65. In 1865-66, exports stood at Rs. 65.49 crores. With the restoration of peace in America, Lancashire’s demand for Indian cotton fell off and India’s exports declined to Rs. 41.86 crores in 1866-67, but again rose to Rs. 53 crores in 1868-69. The opening of the Suez Canal in 1869 and the reduction in freight rates on cargo in the seventies stimulated the country’s exports which began to rise rapidly after 1880. In 1878-79, the total value of exports was Rs. 60.94 crores; it rose to Rs. 97 crores in 1888-89, Rs. 112.5 crores in 1898-99 and Rs. 177 crores in 1906-07.

The increase in imports was even more impressive. The imports which stood at the paltry figure of Rs. 22.32 crores in 1861-62, rose to Rs. 32.93 crores in 1869-70. After the opening of the Suez Canal these shot up to Rs. 53.11 crores in 1880-81, Rs. 71.97 crores in 1890-91 and Rs. 127 crores in 1906-07.

What were the consequences of this phenomenal growth in India’s foreign trade? The British rulers regarded it as an unmistakable sign of the growing prosperity of the country. They pointed to the extended opportunity of supply of India’s agricultural produce in the European markets and the consequent increase of agricultural income and inflow of specie into the country. They also held that the Indian consumer was benefited by the technological advance in England which enabled him to obtain the products of British manufacturing industries at much cheaper prices than what he would have to pay for the same goods produced in India.

Commercial Policy

But the truth is that the dynamics of the Indian foreign trade were not moulded by the factors which determine the economic relations of countries freely entering into international trade on the basis of their natural resources and production, as the classical economists believed, but by the pressure of the needs and requirements of the ruling imperialist power.

1 This was a famine year and imports were consequently lower than average in the decade.
The Government of India, on the one hand, subsidised the development of the railways from public funds, and, on the other, relentlessly applied the principles of free trade to India's commercial relations with the other countries. In 1864, Sir Charles Trevelyan clearly stated the Government's commercial policy in the following words: "Our object should be to remove, as far as possible, every obstruction to the freedom of trade, as was done in the analogous case at home."¹ In England, however, free trade had been adopted because it was to the advantage of England. Industrially, she was ahead of the rest of the world and she wanted cheap food for her population and raw materials for the factories. On the contrary, India was an agricultural country exporting foodstuffs and raw materials and importing manufactured goods. The real object of the Indian Government in adopting the free trade policy was not to help increase the production of India but to promote British exports. "The great embarrassment of the trade of India," said Sir Charles Trevelyan, "has been the want of imports to meet the vast quantity of exportable produce which the country is capable of sending forth. If we desire to relieve the trade of India and to give free scope to its further extension, we should give all possible encouragement to her imports."² This was an extraordinary argument in favour of free trade for India.

The fact is that the commercial policy of the Government of India was dictated by the textile interests of England, which viewed with concern the growth of cotton mill industry in India. Manchester and Lancashire brought pressure to bear on the Indian Government, through the British Parliament, to do everything in its power to stifle the growth of that industry.³ Thus the British Parliament through a Resolution told the Government of India "that in the opinion of this House the duties now levied upon cotton manufactures imported into India,

¹ Financial Statement of Sir E. Baring for 1882-83, para 233
² Ibid.
³ As Buchanan points out: "The influence of Manchester capitalists is written large in Indian tariff history. They have been as anxious to preserve the Indian market for the benefit of British manufacturers, merchants, bankers and shippers as American capitalists have been to preserve the American market for themselves. To the demands of this influential group in British politics all governments have yielded."—Development of Capitalistic Enterprise in India (Macmillan, New York, 1934), p. 465. Similarly Lord Curzon in the course of a letter to the Times, London, dated June 2, 1908) acknowledged publicly that "ever since India was ordered to abolish her customs tariff in 1875, it has been in the main in response to Lancashire pressure that the successive readjustments of this policy have been introduced."
being protective in their nature, are contrary to sound commercial policy and ought to be repealed without delay as soon as the financial condition of India will admit."

India was then passing through one of the worst famines that she had experienced in her recorded history and her finances were in a critical condition. The Government proposed to levy an import duty of 5 per cent on cotton manufactures purely for the purpose of raising revenue. The proposal was violently opposed by Lancashire, because in its view, the duty would have protective effect on the cotton mill industry of India. The Government of India was forced to drop the duties not because of any extraordinary devotion to the principles of free trade or for the benefit of the economy of this country, but solely in the interest of British textile industry.

Even after the duty was abolished, Lancashire was not satisfied and demanded complete removal of all duties on all varieties of cotton goods. The Secretary of State in a despatch on the subject urged: "It is difficult to overstate the evil of permitting an industry so large as the cotton manufactures in India is certain to become, to grow up under the influence of a system which a wide experience has proved to be unsound and which is opposed to the deliberate policy of England; nor can I view without serious apprehension, unless it should be the result of natural causes, the gradual contraction of a trade which constitutes one of the strongest bonds of material union between England and India." The abolition of all cotton duties in 1879, therefore, was due to strong imperialist pressure. It was openly acknowledged by the authorities that "India, by the extent and favourable conditions of its territory is capable of producing almost every article required for the use of man." If, therefore, the supremacy of English goods in the Indian markets was to be maintained, India must be prevented from developing competitive industries.

The free trade policy was carried to a ludicrous limit in 1896 when a "countervailing duty" of 3½ per cent was levied on all mill-made cloth in India as an offset against the revenue duty at the same rate levied on imported cotton goods in the same year. The duty was imposed on India because it helped

1 House of Commons Resolution, dated 11th July, 1877
2 Financial Statement, Government of India, 1878-79 (No. 1249 dated 13th March 1879), para 199
3 Government of India Resolution (Financial) No. 1911 dated 18th March 1879, para 55
the manufacturers of England to exploit the Indian market to their benefit.

The expansion of the means of communication and transport and the adoption of free trade policy did help to increase the volume of the country’s foreign trade, but did not increase her prosperity. This is not difficult to explain. India at that time exported raw materials and foodstuffs which were produced by an unprogressive agriculture. She imported, on the other hand, such simple consumer goods as cotton textiles, woollens and silks, which with a moderate amount of capital and skill, could be produced from the raw materials she exported to other countries. If such industries had been allowed to be established, the result would have been a temporary decrease and not an increase of foreign trade. Britain, however, wanted to use foreign trade as the principal means of promoting her aims of economic imperialism. The increase in the volume of foreign trade of the country was, therefore, more a consequence of the growing hold of imperialism on Indian economy and the increasing exploitation of the resources and markets of this country for the benefit of the British manufacturer and investor, than a sign of India’s economic health.

The British statistician, A. L. Bowley, noted in 1893: “India and our colonies have commercially some points in common. Both have been useful as regular markets for our special manufactures, cotton and machinery, and both, without injury to

---

1 As one of the leading British economists of modern times, Alfred Marshall, has pointed out: “Mere volume of trade shows nothing.... A country’s foreign trade is likely to be increased by a rapid advance in those industries which are already ahead of similar industries in other countries because such an advance increases her power of exporting at a profit. But her foreign trade is likely to be checked by an advance in those industries in which she is relatively weak; because such an advance would reduce her need of imports.” This statement aptly explains the Indian situation with this modification that increase in foreign trade in India’s case did not result “from rapid advance in industries in other countries” but from rapid expansion of means of transport. It is doubtful whether there was any increase even in the aggregate agricultural income of the country, as a result of the development of export trade. On the point that the “importance of foreign trade in national economy declines with the development of the economy” which has been called Sombart Law after the name of Werner Sombart, a German scholar; see K. K. Deutsch and A. Eckstein: “National Industrialisation and the Declining share of International Economic Sector, 1890-1959”, World Politics, 13 (1961), pp. 267-99.

2 Economic Imperialism,” says Prof. Kochner, "has a meaning only when the interests belong to the sphere of trade, industry or investment; when these interests are in the hands of discernible groups of Capitalists who put the dependency to their own use, when they form an essential part of the economic interests to which the Home government must pay attention."—The Concept of Economic Imperialism in Economic History Review, Vol. II, Second Series (1949), p. 10
our trade, have availed themselves of the permission tardily granted in the middle of the century to trade with other countries.\footnote{Bowley, A. L., England's Foreign Trade (London, 1893), p. 70}

India's trade with other countries thus came to occupy an increasingly important place in the imperial economy of Britain after 1860. Up to 1870 or 1875, Britain had invested her capital chiefly in loans to European Governments. But after 1875 there was a radical change. Capital began to flow more and more to the Empire countries and to the United States. British investors sold off their Russian and other government bonds and bought railway stocks.\footnote{Cairn Cross, A. K., Home & Foreign Investments, p. 188} Railways were built in countries which were Britain's chief suppliers of foodstuffs, cotton and other raw materials. They increased the supplies of these goods from the debtor countries to Britain, with the result that by the end of the nineteenth century, she had already developed a balance of payment deficit with these countries. But that was not all. "This Indian safety-valve brought advantages from other points of view as well. It was partly through her Indian connections that Britain was able to survive the blows of tariff barriers. The Indian market was kept open to British goods and Indian exports overcame the tariffs for her."\footnote{Ibid.} The specific case of jute explains the process. From 1887—91 to 1909—13 the total exports of British jute goods declined from an average of 250 million yards to 170 million yards, because the "Indian goods had conquered in the U.S.A. and Australia and sold even in the high tariff south American markets."\footnote{Ibid., p. 65} This conquest of Britain's foreign markets by Indian jute goods was no handicap to Britain, for India contributed out of its export of jute and jute manufactures to the U.S.A. about £10 millions annually to Britain's dollar settlement, "something Dundee by its own admission could not have done because of the obstacles of tariff."\footnote{Select Committee Report (1833), Finance And Accounts—Trade, Vol. II, pt. II, p. 577, Mr. Mackillop's Evidence}

Before 1858, India faced a critical balance of payment situation.\footnote{Saul, op. cit.} Her handicrafts had been destroyed and she had begun to import large quantities of British manufactures. The prices of the few articles of exports like indigo were falling in the
British market while the Opium wars had dealt a heavy blow to the trade in one of the principal foreign exchange earning product of India. Thus the balance of payment situation constituted the greatest single obstacle to the growth of British imports into India. The development of jute, cotton, and tea industries and the introduction of steam transport, however, solved this problem.

VI. THE ECONOMIC DRAIN

Although the imports increased phenomenally, India was able to maintain continuously a favourable balance of trade after 1862. In the forty-three years from April 1862 to March 1905, the excess of exports of merchandise over imports was Rs. 1,288.23 crores, which gives an annual average of Rs. 29.3 crores. Against this India imported gold and silver worth Rs. 508.81 crores. The remainder, Rs. 780.42 crores, was used for the discharge of the country's obligations arising from her political and economic connections with Great Britain and must be regarded as "unrequited" exports.

The Indian economists and leaders of public opinion in India like Dadabhai Naoroji and Romesh Chandra Dutt called this payment the "tribute" extracted by England from India and ascribed the responsibility for the increasing impoverishment of the country to this "annual drain of wealth from India."

A part of this drain represented "Home Charges" which consisted of annual payments made by India to England to cover interest on public debt, payment of interest and annuities on guaranteed and subsidised railways, interest charges on loans raised for the construction of other public works, payment of furloughs and pensions of civil and military officers on leave or retired from Indian Service, cost of the Secretary of State’s establishment in England and price of stores supplied to the various departments of the Government in India. The other part was made up by payment for "invisible" items of imports such as services of foreign banking, insurance and

1 From 1855-56 to 1861-62 India had a net import surplus amounting to Rs. 22.53 crores. The large part of this deficit, viz. Rs. 20.5 crores, was incurred in the four years 1856-57 to 1859-60 which may be regarded directly the result of the Revolt of 1857.

2 Dadabhai Naoroji, Poverty and Un-British Rule (London, 1901), pp. 33-56

shipping companies, profits of foreign enterprises such as tea plantations, jute manufacture and mining, and private remittances from India by the British officers and businessmen.

The net annual export surplus of India between 1835 and 1871 was £4.1 millions.\(^1\) It rose to Rs. 21.03 crores,\(^2\) in 1871-72, but fell thereafter remaining around Rs. 16 to 18 crores till 1890-91. It shot up after that and was Rs. 27.3 crores in 1891-92, Rs. 30.28 crores in 1892-93 and Rs. 34 crores in 1894-95. In 1903-04 the figure was £24.9 millions (Rs. 37 crores). The "Home Charges" had gone up in the same way over the period. In 1884-85, these charges amounted to £11.4 millions, in 1888-89 £14.8 millions, in 1901-02 £17.4 millions and in 1904-05 £19.4 millions.

The annual payment of these charges during the days of colonialism had been a subject of long and acrimonious debate between the Indian and British writers. On the British side it has been argued that these payments represented interest charges and dividends on the capital that Britain invested in this country.\(^3\) Even such a stalwart among the Indian national leaders at the turn of the century as M. G. Ranade at one time supported that point of view. Addressing the Industrial Conference he said,\(^4\) "There are people who think that as long as we have a heavy tribute to pay to England which takes away nearly 20 crores of our surplus exports, we are doomed and can do nothing to help ourselves. This is however hardly a fair or manly position to take up." He urged the industrialists not "to divert and waste your energies in the fruitless discussions of this question of tribute, which had better be left to political congresses." As against this, numerous Englishmen, both

---

\(^1\) Moral and Material Progress Report, 1872-73, p. 107; According to a Minute of Sir Richard Temple dated 14th Sept. 1872, the value of merchandise exported from England was £1012 millions and of the imports £383 millions leaving a balance of £429 millions. Out of this the net receipt of treasure by India during the period was £275 millions. That leaves a balance of £154 millions, which divided by 37 gives the annual average of £4.1 millions. Dadabhai Naoroji arrives at a figure of £5.25 million per annum for this period after taking into consideration the profits on trade and the net opium revenue not accounted for in the trade returns.—cf. Poverty and Un-British Rule, op. cit., pp. 33-34.

\(^2\) Approximately £21 millions at the then rupee-sterling rate of exchange of Rs. 10 = £1

\(^3\) The best representatives of this view are Theodore Morison, The Economic Transition in India, Chapter viii, and Vera Anstey, Economic Development of India, Appendix G.

\(^4\) Ranade M. G., Essays on Indian Economics, (1906)
official and non-official, took a contrary stand on the subject. The Financial Statement of 1878-79 had the following to say in the matter:

“But there is a special feature in the economic conditions of India which renders this a matter (of securing a large and favourable outlet for the surplus produce of the country) of yet more pressing and even of vital importance. This is the fact that her connection with England and the financial result of that connection compel her to send to Europe every year about 20 million sterling worth of her products without receiving in return a direct commercial equivalent. It is this excess of exports over imports which, in the language of economists, is described as tribute. From these causes the trade of India is in an abnormal position, preventing her from receiving in the shape of imported merchandise and treasure the full commercial benefit which otherwise would spring from her vast material resources.” Ramsay MacDonald similarly wrote. “And these dead charges under a foreign government are doubly serious, for they are not only drawn from Indian production but are withdrawn from India itself. . . . It withdraws from the production stream a very considerable amount of fertilizing water, and means impoverishment.” Further he points out “prosperity cannot be widespread if the exported tribute is heavy.”

A well-known American writer, Leyland Jenks, makes the following remarks on the subject: “The burdens that it was found convenient to charge to India seem preposterous. The cost of the Mutiny, the price of the transfer of the Company’s rights to the Crown, the expense of simultaneous wars against China and Abyssinia, every governmental item in London, that remotely related to India down to the fees of the charwoman in India House and expenses of the ships that sailed but did not participate in hostilities, and the cost of Indian regiments for six months training at home before they sailed—all were charged to the account of the unrepresented ryot.” The Sultan

1 For the opinions of a number of British officials in India on the subject see Dadabhai Naoroji, op. cit., pp. 38-59
2 Financial Statement Resolution, No. 1911, dated 18th March 1878, para 52
3 Ramsay MacDonald, The Government of India, p. 148
4 Ibid., p. 149
5 Jenks, L. H., op. cit., pp. 323-34. See also Buchanan’s Testimony Before Welby Commission, 1895, vol. IV, p. 149
of Turkey visited London in 1868 in state and his official ball was arranged at the India Office and the bill charged to India. Examples of similar charges unjustly paid from the Indian exchequer could be easily multiplied.

A close associate of Mahatma Gandhi, J. C. Kumarappa, had, though not in so great detail, the same to say about the iniquities of Indian debt to England and the annual interest charges thereon: "The unproductive debts were mainly incurred by the British in conquering India itself and in financing wars of imperial interests in Asia and Africa, leaving India to pay the Bill. . . . As these enormous debts were incurred in quarrels not her own and in pursuit of interests not identical with hers, it is hardly fair to saddle India with these charges."

The debate on "Home Charges" and "Tribute" has thus been conducted mostly on the ethical plane; what is, however, more important to know is the effect of these payments on Indian economy. The question of "unrequited exports" was discussed seriously for the first time by economists after World War I in connection with the charging of reparations from Germany.²

J. M. Keynes (later Lord Keynes) comments as under on the subject: "It cannot be overlooked in passing that in its results on a country's surplus productivity, a lowering of the standard of life (caused by payment of tribute) acts both ways. Moreover, we are without experience of the psychology of a white race under conditions little short of servitude. It is, however, generally supposed that if the whole of man's surplus production is taken from him, his efficiency and his industry are diminished. The entrepreneur and the inventor, the trader and the shopkeeper will not save, the labourer will not toil, if the fruits of their industry are set aside not for the benefit of their children, their old age, their pride or their position, but for the enjoyment of the foreign conqueror." What was true of the white race was equally so for the people of India. However, India not being an independent country the "continuous drain of wealth" from it and its deleterious effects on her economy

¹Kumarappa, J. C., Public Finance and Our Poverty (Ahmedabad, 1941), pp. 25-30
³Keynes, op. cit., p. 193, fn.
failed to attract attention of any European economist. Recently, however, economists have discussed this question in relation to the development of underdeveloped economies. Harry G. Johnson, for example, applying Harrod-Domar mode of economic growth to international trade has put forward a mathematical formula to measure the effects of “Unrequited Exports” on economic progress.¹ According to this formula, if the current rate of savings in a country is constantly diminished by the existence of a continuous export surplus the rate of economic development is retarded to that extent. In his own words,² “If the proportional export surplus is falling over time, the equilibrium rate of growth rises over time, and if the rate of growth of exports exceeds the equilibrium rate of growth, the latter is falling over time.”³ Whatever opinion one might hold, then, on the justness or otherwise of “home charges,” there can hardly be any question of the adverse effect of these payments on the growth of India’s economy. The export surplus reduced the country’s power to save and invest, and, therefore, held up the country’s economic progress.

In that sense there was, as was alleged by Dadabhai Naoroji⁴ and R. C. Dutt, a direct relation between the payment of tribute and increasing incidence of famines in the country. Dadabhai emphasised the low rate of capital formation and retardation of industrial progress as direct consequences of the drain. Dutt went a step further. He linked the drain with the exportation of foodgrains and consequent scarcity and high prices of food in the country. “It is instructive, if somewhat painful, to watch how this process works,” he wrote. “The annual economic drain to Great Britain is met directly from the revenues of India. A great part of the revenues of India is derived from the soil in the shape of the Land Revenue. The Land Revenue is realized, generally, from cultivators in southern India, and from landlords in northern India, who in their turn exact rents from their tenants. Cultivators pay their revenue or their rents by selling a large portion of the produce of their fields, keeping an insufficient stock for their own use.

²Ibid. p. 122
³This formulation is directly derived from and is implicit in Sir Roy Harrod’s mode of Economic Growth, vide Towards a Dynamic Economics (London, 1948).
⁴Dadabhai Naoroji, Poverty and Un-British Rule in India, (Indian edition, 1962), pp. 30-50
Exporting merchants have their agents all over the country to buy what the cultivators are compelled to sell; and railways rapidly transport these purchases to sea-ports whence they are exported to Europe. India presents a busy scene to the winter globe-trotter when these transactions take place in every large town and market; but under the cheering appearance of a brisk grain trade lies concealed the fact that the homes and villages of a cultivating nation are denuded of their food to a fatal extent, in order to meet that annual tribute which England demands from India.”

India had thus to pay dearly for the foreign capital she imported and the foreign rule she suffered. The “drain” reduced her capacity to save and invest and thereby held up her industrial progress. Besides by forcing the country to export foodgrains in part payment of the tribute, it disturbed the precarious balance between food-supply and population in the country. This, in turn, was responsible for the rise of prices of foodgrains in India and increase in the frequency of famines and scarcities during the second half of the nineteenth century.

Terms of Trade

India was enabled to make these payments and still import some quantities of gold and silver annually because of the favourable prices that she obtained for her produce. The “terms of trade” remained in India’s favour from 1861 to 1914. In the world as a whole, the terms of trade had begun to move against the agricultural and in favour of the manufacturing countries after 1876, a trend which according to a UNO publication has continued to dominate the international economic scene ever since. In the case of India, however, the terms of trade remained favourable to her at least up to the beginning of the First World War as will be seen from the table on the next page.

---

1 Dutt, R. C., Economic History in the Victorian Age (2nd edition, 1906), pp. 348-49
2 This was the principal ground of attack on “drain” by Dadabhai Naoroji. See his Evidence before Welby Commission, vide Speeches and Writings.
3 Silver Prices in India, Journal of Royal Statistical Society (1893). Atkinson admitted that one of the reasons why exports of foodgrains could not be banned from the country even during famine was that it would create difficulties with regard to payment of “Home Charges.”
4 K. L. Datta, Report on Rise of Prices (1914), Chapter V
5 Relative Price of Agricultural and Manufactured Commodities (UNO, 1948)
### TABLE

**INDIA'S NET BARTER TERMS OF TRADE**

(Base 1872 = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Barter Terms of Trade (Export Price Index)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Barter Terms of Trade</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Barter Terms of Trade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>1897</td>
<td>144.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>127.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1863</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td></td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>129.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1901</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>131.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>117.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>1886</td>
<td>116.2</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>111.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>113.2</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>132.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1890</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>142.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>134.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>116.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>124.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>131.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>1914</td>
<td>140.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several reasons account for this. In the first instance, out of 28 articles on which the export price index was based, 7 were foodgrains and as the prices of foodgrains were rising in India throughout this period, export prices rose more sharply than import prices, turning the terms of trade in favour of India. Another was that India was a borrowing country and in consequence had to make heavy annual payments of interest and other charges which helped to keep up the prices of her produce in foreign markets. According to Colin Clark, "a period of active international lending on the part of industrial countries

---

1 The figures have been worked out from Official Index number of Prices of exports (28 articles) and imports (11 articles) published in Index Number of Prices in India, 1861-1931.
is associated with terms of trade beneficial to primary producers
and vice versa." 1 From 1875 to 1914, England was investing
large sums of money in India and other countries and this heavy
export of capital2 resulted in depressing that country's terms
of trade in favour of agricultural countries with which she
traded.

The nature and composition of exports, the annual drain of
wealth and the free trade policy of the Government prevented
foreign trade from becoming a catalytic agent for economic
development in India. As Kindleberger has pointed out3:
"exports lead to growth, but they need not. If they are to do
so, there must be capital formation, technical change, realloc-
ation of resources." In the case of India none of these conditions
was satisfied. The "drain" kept down the rate of capital for-
mation, while concentration on agricultural products in the
export trade prevented reallocation of labour and capital be-
 tween agriculture and industry. Technology in agriculture as
also in industry, with the exception of the modern mill indus-
try, remained primitive. In the peculiar conditions in which
India was placed in the nineteenth century, less rather than
more trade might have proved beneficial for the country's eco-
nomic development.4

The revolutionary increase in the foreign trade of India had
strong distributional effect on the country's economy. The
growth of trade enriched the classes participating in it. While
shipping, banking, insurance and the export and import trade
were almost wholly in the hands of foreign merchants and foreign
companies, Indians acted as collecting and distributing agents
for the merchandise of the export and import houses. The
grain collector and the commission agent, the broker and the

1 Clark, Colin, Conditions of Economic Progress, p. 466
2 Terms of Trade would have turned much more against England,
had she made all her foreign investments during this period by export-
ing capital. Actually, however, a large part of her foreign investment
was made out of her current earnings from abroad. This helped to
keep down her demand for foreign products and prevented terms of
trade turning heavily against her. See Cairn Cross, A. K., Home and
Foreign Investment (London) and Imlah, Economic Elements in Pax
Britannica.
3 Kindleberger, Foreign Trade and the National Economy. (Yale
4 "The impact of foreign trade on growth is then indeterminate
over a wide range. Trade can stimulate growth when the demand is
right abroad and the supply is right at home. It can inhibit it when
the demand is wrong abroad and the supply is wrong at home. In
the two intermediate cases we do not know."—Kindleberger, op. cit.,
p. 211. In the case of India, demand was "wrong abroad" and the
supply "wrong at home."
dealer in agricultural produce, the banker and village money-lender, the landlord, the shopkeeper and the distributor of imported goods, all benefited from the increase in foreign trade and grew rich. The commercial classes, therefore, grew wealthier and stronger and so did the rural capitalist and the landlord. But the producer, both in agriculture and industry, failed to benefit from trade. All the available evidence suggests that the agriculturist and the artisan were further impoverished as a result of which they frequently suffered hardship and famine.

VII. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

The deliberate neglect of industrial development in the nineteenth century constitutes the saddest chapter in the story of relations between India and Great Britain. The old industry of India which had given gainful employment to a considerable section of the Indian population in the past and had been for centuries attracting the gold and silver hoards of the world, lay in ruins. No new industry was created to take the place of handicrafts, nor was any effort made as in Japan, to blend the old handicraft system into a new industrial order.

The new machine industry of the West was not allowed to grow as a result of self-interest of the ruling groups of England. A twofold injury was done to the Indian economy. The diversity of occupations which alone could cope with the explosion of population was rigidly restricted, the growth of capitalist industry which earns higher and quicker profits was aborted, and the regime of penury economy of the middle ages was unduly extended. Trade which is the fly-wheel of the economic machinery, moved faster, but in the absence of industrialisation only served to accentuate the worst features of a colonial system.

The Famine Commission of 1880 summed up the economic situation in India in the latter half of the nineteenth century in a single sentence. It wrote: "At the root of much of the poverty of the people of India, and all the risks to which they are exposed in the seasons of scarcity, lies the unfortunate circumstance that agriculture forms almost the sole occupation of the mass of the population, and no remedy for the present evil can be complete which does not include introduction of a diversity of occupations, through which the surplus population may be drawn from agricultural pursuits, and led to find the
means of subsistence in manufacture or some such employment.” The development of commerce in India did not lead, as it did in Europe, to industrialisation. The foreign capital flowed into railways, plantations, mining, banking, insurance and public debt, but with the exception of tea plantations, mining and jute mills, it did not stimulate development of modern industry in India. Kingsley Davis in discussing the causes of slow progress of industrialisation in India in contrast with the more rapid development in Japan and Germany says:

“India, on the other hand, was in many ways an economically vassal state. England's policy towards her, while always mixed with other motives and interests, was the policy of an industrial nation towards an agricultural colony.”

Then he mentions the causes of Government of India's failure in promoting industrialisation: its preference for foreign enterprise in exploiting natural resources against national interest, and foreign entrepreneurs for use of Indian raw materials over their producers; the Government’s policy in the purchase of stores for the army, the civic utilities, the railways, through British rather than Indian firms; the manipulation of currency regulation and banking practice in a way prejudicial to Indian interests.

Before 1858 such industrial enterprises as iron works, indigo plantation, paper manufacture and sericulture had received the attention of individual entrepreneurs, but curiously enough after the Queen’s Proclamation of 1858 that “it is our earnest desire to stimulate the peaceful industry of India,” the development of manufactures in India was woefully neglected both by the foreign investors and by the Government. The result was that with the growth of population and decay of Indian handicrafts, more and more people turned to agriculture for livelihood, and rural unemployment increased. While Europe, the United States of America and Japan industrialised themselves and showed a radical shift of the labour force from agriculture to industry in the second half of the nineteenth century, India went through a process of “de-industrialization.”

---

1 Famine Commission Report, Part II, Chapter VI, p. 175
2 Jenks, op. cit., p. 225
3 Davis, K., The Population of India and Pakistan, p. 217
4 Daniel Thorner, De-Industrialization in India, 1881-1931, First International Conference of Economic History Contributions (Stockholm, 1960)
can be seen from the changes in the occupational distribution of population from 1881 to 1911 from the following table:\footnote{Ibid., pp. 224-25}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1881</th>
<th>1881</th>
<th>1891</th>
<th>1901</th>
<th>1911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working force</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Males)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing and General</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Manufacturing, Mining, Construction and Trade</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Transport and other services</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of the working force employed in the second category of employment declined from 18 in 1881 to 15 in 1901. This, in itself, is an eloquent commentary on the extent of "industrialisation" in the country during the period. It must be remembered that this head includes, besides manufacturing and mining, trade and construction. The commercial activity, as has been shown above, was on the increase during this period and the growth of urbanisation must have stimulated some increase in the construction activity also. This must have resulted in increase in employment in the commercial and urban construction sectors of the economy. If, therefore, an allowance for increase in employment under these two heads is made, the decrease under manufacturing and mining, would appear to be even more depressing.

It is true the mill industries started during this period, and two of them, 	extit{viz}, cotton textile and jute, made rapid progress; and so did mining. By 1905, a daily average of 1,96,369 persons was employed in cotton textile mills, and 1,33,162 in jute mills. Among the industries of minor importance, mention may be made of woollen mills, paper mills, arms and ammunition factories, breweries, cotton ginning and pressing factories, indigo factories, jute presses, rice mills, saw mills, sugar factories, silk filatures, iron and brass foundries, and tile factories. The total factory labour in 1905 was 1.92 million
persons out of a total population of about 300 millions or less than 1 per cent of the total population. The impact of industrialisation in India up to 1905 on employment was thus insignificant.

Industrial development in India was pioneered by foreign capital and enterprise. The plantation industries—indigo, tea and coffee—were all started by foreigners and though a limited amount of Indian capital was invested in these fields, they remained predominantly owned and managed by foreigners. The same was the case with the jute manufacture, the first mill of the industry having been started in 1855 by a Scot, George Acland. Very little Indian capital entered this industry up to the end of the nineteenth century. Mining was also exclusively in foreign hands. Monopolisation of all these industries by the foreign capitalists left little scope for Indian capital in the industrial field. Cotton textile was the only major industry in the hands of the Indian capitalist.

In 1905 there were 1494 joint stock companies registered in India with a paid-up capital of £26.78 millions (Rs. 48 crores). Even if it is assumed that all the capital in the companies registered in India belonged to Indians—an assumption of doubtful validity—the total Indian capital invested in factory industries and mining was £14.7 millions only. This may be compared with about £350 millions of British capital invested in commerce and industry, in India at the time. The modern industry offered, as in the case of labour, a very limited scope for employment to the Indian capital.

In indigo, tea and coffee plantations, in coal, gold and manganese mining, and in jute manufacture, the management and executive control were largely in European hands; Indians filled only junior clerical and other posts in these industries. Even in the cotton textile industry where 99 per cent of the capital was Indian, in the early stages of the development of the industry nearly all mill managers and heads of departments were Lancashire men.¹ In 1895, 42.4 per cent of the managers, mechanical engineers and carding, spinning, and weaving masters in the Bombay mills were Europeans.² When importing foreign techniques, it is of course quite natural for a country to import foreign technicians in the early stages of

---

² Rutnagur, Bombay Industries: the Cotton Mills, p. 294
industrial development. Even independent countries like Japan and Germany followed this procedure in the early stages of industrialisation. But whereas in those countries, their nationals learnt the new arts and techniques quickly and took over the management of the industries from foreigners after a short time, in India the process was inordinately delayed.¹

The industries that developed in the country were either export-oriented or were developed to meet the requirements of foreign enterprise in India. Thus, indigo, tea, coffee, and jute manufactures owed their development to the demand for these products in England and other European markets. Coal mining was developed directly as a result of the spread of railways. The railways also gave rise to the locomotive shops and small engineering enterprises. But the machine industry as such did not develop and the country remained dependent on foreign suppliers even for very simple machines till after the Great War. Even the iron and steel industry in which India had great natural advantages, was not allowed to be developed till the turn of the century when on account of the rise of the Belgian steel industry and rapid increase in the imports of Belgian steel in India in the closing years of the nineteenth century, there was a sudden shift in the Government policy. In 1882 Jamshedjee Tata had offered to take over the Government-owned Warora coal mine with a view to manufacturing iron on a large scale. The proposal was turned down.² In 1900 Mr. Tata was encouraged both by the Secretary of State, George Hamilton, and the Viceroy Lord Curzon to go ahead with his scheme of manufacturing iron and steel. The first iron was, however, not smelted by the Tata Iron and Steel Company till 1911, and the first steel not made till 1912.³

The cotton textile industry was thus the only industry developed in the country before 1905, that competed directly with British imports into India. India had several advantages in this industry over Manchester. Firstly, India had large

¹ Even as late as 1921 for India as a whole 34.6 per cent of the mill managers were Europeans. In the case of higher posts carrying a salary of Rs. 1000/- or more per mensem in business enterprises in India, 97 per cent were in the hands of foreigners even in 1947. — See "Commerce", Bombay, July 6, 1963.
² Despatch to India from Secretary of State (C.P.W.D.) Civil Works No. 63, dated 16 November 1882.
³ J. N. Tata died before his plans for manufacture of iron and steel were realised, but his sons and successors carried his scheme to fruition, thereby laying the foundation of the only basic industry that India had before the Great War. See Lovat Fraser, Iron and Steel in India.
supplies of raw cotton produced domestically while England had to import cotton from abroad. Secondly, the Indian cotton industry had a large and ever-growing domestic market for its goods. Thirdly, India was traditionally the home of cotton manufactures and the cotton industry was not an untreaded and unbeaten path involving any large financial risks for the Indian capitalists. Finally, the country had the advantage of cheap labour. These advantages provided strong inducement to Indian capitalists to start cotton textile mills in India. But as the industry progressed, most of the disadvantages from which the English producer suffered in his competition with the Indian producer, were mitigated by the heavy reduction in freight rates on cargo between England and India, and by the free trade policy forced on India by Lancashire interests. It was estimated by A. L. Bowley that the difference in the selling price of English and Indian yarns in 1893 in the Indian market was only 4d per lb.1 Even so, cotton textile remained a prosperous manufacturing industry and high dividends were earned by the weaving and spinning mills in India even in the initial stages of the development of this industry.2

The development of each one of the major industries in India before 1905 can thus be explained in terms of economic factors.3 What cannot, however, be explained similarly is the fact of stunted economic growth of the country.4 One has to go to sociological and political factors to explain the tardy growth of industries, in fact the "de-industrialization" of the country during a period which was full of opportunities for rapid industrial advance.5

Two factors have been held as responsible—social organisation and state policy.

---

1 Bowley, A. L., *England’s Foreign Trade*, London 1893, p. 93. The cost of English yarn was 8½d. per lb. and of Indian yarn 7½d. per lb.
2 According to one authority (Parliamentary papers, 1888, Command 5382, p. 116) in the eighties some mills returned all their capital in the first four years. Similarly the first mill in Bombay paid no dividend in the first two years but then paid back half the capital in one year.
5 As Schumpeter points out: "Economic growth is not an autonomous phenomenon that can be satisfactorily analysed in purely economic terms."—vide Schumpeter, *Theoretical Problems: The Task of Economic History*, *Journal of Economic History Supplement*, VII, 1947, p. 3.
The growth of European commerce in India brought into existence and promoted the development of a strong commercial class which was both acquisitive and accumulating in its economic behaviour, just like its counterpart in Europe. But whereas the city merchant in England and other European countries turned from commerce to industrial production when inventions began to occur, the commercial classes in India remained confined to commercial pursuits, moneylending and acquiring real estate and house property. It was not so much the dearth of capital as the lack of opportunity and entrepreneurial leadership that was responsible for the industrial backwardness of the country. As Buchanan says, “within the Indian community proper conditions were not favourable for the emergence of industrial leaders, partly because of the peculiar way in which the factory system went to India, as compared to its development in England. In occidental countries two principal groups were ready to institute factories; the merchants and the master craftsmen. . . . . the craftsmen of India have not taken a similar part. The Indian merchants were familiar with trade and they possessed capital, on which they were always eager to earn profits. They did not however know much about the administration of labour.”

The western writers in their attempts to explain the backwardness and slow development of industrialisation in India lay part of the blame on the Indian social organisation. According to Vera Anstey otherworldly religious attitude, rigid traditionalism and conservatism, caste system, isolation from intercourse with foreign peoples, and absence of political security retarded the process of economic change and continue to do even now. Kingsley Davis is of opinion that “the combination of caste, familism and Hinduism was a formidable block to modernism.”

The argument is hardly convincing. Admittedly, when a society changes from one form of organisation to another, a certain amount of resistance from traditionally-minded elements is inevitable. But ultimately the society willingly or unwillingly undergoes the process of change in compliance with the economic and political forces operating within it. In fact, it is nearer the truth to hold that economic conditions determine the form of social organisation than its opposite.

1 Buchanan, D. H., op. cit., pp. 145-46
2 Davis, Kingsley; op. cit., p. 216
European nations, for instance, emerged from medievalism to modernism in spite of the opposition of powerful ecclesiastical forces, in spite of the medieval ascetic and otherworldly outlook, in spite of uneconomic usury laws and price-fixing regulations, in spite of the church's support to the suppression of ways similar to the European in the Middle Ages. Both were irrational practices like worship of relics, pilgrimages to the graves of saints, etc.

Indian society in the eighteenth century was in a number of ways similar to the European in the Middle Ages. Both were societas sociatarum, society of societies, groups of constituent groups. If under the stress of sociological and economic factors one developed—to the regret of some modern thinkers, into societies of atomic individuals, there is little reason to hold that the other would not do so under similar circumstances. The opportunity for the play of natural human motive for economic betterment has in the long run triumphed over the obstructive forces of religion and tradition in bringing about social change.

The Indian caste system was an evil in so far as it hindered social solidarity and democratic equality, but it is an exaggeration to hold it responsible for economic backwardness. For one thing, a considerable proportion of the inhabitants of India—one fourth—were not Hindus, and yet they were as backward as the Hindus. Then again, in spite of inelasticity, it was not so rigid as has been imagined. Change of occupation and change of status are well known phenomena. For example, the Brahmins who ought to be all engaged in priestly functions according to the sacred books, follow in large numbers occupations like agriculture, trade, domestic service and others. The same is true of the Kshatriyas, Vaishyas and Shudras.

It is difficult to understand why caste should prevent the village-weaver from undertaking skilled labour in a textile mill, or a village-smith from doing so in an iron foundry. The Vaishya bankers and traders are not forbidden by religion from accumulating wealth or investing it in profitable business in industry.

By far the most important factor that explains industrial backwardness of India was, the attitude of the state towards industrial development. The commercial, fiscal and monetary policies of the government were formulated with an eye on extra-Indian and British imperial interests. It was the interest of Lancashire mill industry that dictated the commercial and
fiscal policy of India till after the first Great War when fiscal autonomy was granted to India. The country was forced to abolish the revenue duty on imported cotton cloth in 1878 under pressure from the Secretary of State even when India's finances were in a critical condition, because of the famines that came in quick succession in the 1870's. Later when revenue considerations led to reimposition of import duty on British cotton cloth in India, a countervailing excise duty on Indian mill-made cloth was levied to offset the advantage that the custom duty might confer on the Indian manufacturer.

A contrast is often drawn between the rapid industrial development in Japan after 1868 and the disappointingly slow growth of manufacturing industries in India during the same period. The most important factor in the development of the former was the benevolent attitude of the State and, in the latter, the apathy and neglect of the Government towards economic development. In India, the action of the State in the industrial field was confined to organising industrial exhibitions or sending specimens of Indian products to various exhibitions held in European countries, and dissemination of industrial and commercial information.1 Even such proposals as were put forward by the Industries Department of Madras for encouragement of local arts and industries were frowned upon by the Secretary of State on the ground that they were against the spirit of laissez faire which was the accepted policy of the State in the matter of industrial development.2 In any assessment of the causes of industrial backwardness of India before the First World War, therefore, the political status of the country and the economic policies followed by the ruling authority must be given the first place.

1 As the Industrial Commission pointed out, till the World War I, government action was limited to "a very imperfect provision of technical and industrial education and the collection and dissemination of commercial and industrial information." Report, p. 68

2 Despatch, Revenue, No. 50 from Secretary of State of India dated July 29, 1909. The real reason for the Secretary of State's attitude was the opposition of the European commercial interests in Madras who viewed the activities of Mr. Chatterton, the Director of Industrial and Technical Enquiries in Madras with great alarm. They regarded the establishment of the Industries Department under Mr. Chatterton, as "a serious menace to private enterprise and an unwarrantable intervention on the part of the State in matters beyond the sphere of government."—See Report of the Industrial Commission, para 107.
VIII. The Indian Reaction

The controversies raised in connection with these economic affairs had two important consequences. Public opinion hardened into two opposite camps. Indians on the whole became ranged on one side. Thus the awareness that Indian interests were opposed to those of the British rulers began to spread. Indian publicists in all provinces began to unite in criticising what they regarded as injurious governmental policies.

Secondly, as throughout the period the Government paid no heed to the representations, arguments, and resolutions of the public bodies and refused to show any regard to Indian opinion, the Indian political movement painfully realised that the redress of economic grievances was not possible without a radical change in the system of Government. In consequence the demand for political rights and responsible government grew in the country. During the nineteenth century the view of the British ruling circles was that the phrase 'Indian public opinion' was a misnomer, for according to them there was no such thing as the Indian public or Indian nationality. India was a geographical expression, a congeries of religious groups, races, castes, etc. which had no organic social coherence or political unity. So late as 1906, Lord Morley, the philosopher Secretary of State for India, wrote:

"Not one whit more than you (Lord Minto) do I think it desirable or possible, or even conceivable, to adapt English political institutions to the nations who inhabit India. Assuredly not in your day or mine."

There were three well marked stages in the evolution of political opinion in India in the nineteenth century. In the first stage, the educated middle class looked upon British rule as a divine dispensation. To them peace and order and rule of law established by the British were blessings which India had not known for over a century and as they were the indispensable conditions of security of life and property, as well as of welfare and progress, they constituted the most adequate justification of British rule. New opportunities for education, social and moral reform and new vistas of national uplift had opened out and the creators of such opportunities were regarded as the agents of the Divine Will which had chosen them for the regeneration of an ancient people.

1 Morley, Recollections, II, pp. 172-73
In the next stage, the first impression of the benefits of peace and order disappeared and it began to be taken for granted as something normal. Also the administration of magistrates and judges and of an alien system of law bedimmed the original admiration. Familiarity with the culture, scientific knowledge and practical aspects of British administration which had dazzled the Indians’ eyes abated the freshness of impact and made judgement sober. Agrarian discontent occasionally breaking out in riots and political imbroglios like the abandoning of the Ilbert Bill aided in the process of disillusionment. But till 1885 criticism and agitation were combined with a profound sense of loyalty.

The foundation of the Indian National Congress established political agitation on an all-India basis. In the early period its faith in the Government for the removal of the ills from which the people suffered remained unaltered. When this was shattered it transferred the trust from the agency in India to the principals in England. Deputation of Indians visited England, British public men and members of Parliament considered sympathetic to Indian causes, were approached and their co-operation enlisted. The press was influenced and societies to propagate the Indian point of view were started in England. This phase lasted till 1905.

**Indian National Congress and Economic Discontent**

Rural distress and poverty in the countryside attracted the attention of the Indian National Congress from the very inception of that organisation. The Congress at its second annual session viewed with the “deepest sympathy” and “grave apprehension, the increasing poverty of vast numbers of population in India.” A resolution on poverty later became an annual feature of the Congress sessions. It was a focal point of attack on the British rule of India. After a century and a quarter of its rule over the country, Britain had brought it to a point where over 40 per cent of the population had, even in normal years, inadequate supplies of foodgrains to feed itself. The proof of the existence of a chronic state of poverty and starvation, if a proof were needed, was provided by famines which came in quick succession after the Revolt of 1857. The Indian national leaders attributed the abominable poverty of the Indian masses to a variety of causes among which the land revenue policy of the Government, the “Drain” of wealth from India and the
failure of the Government to help in developing Indian resources and industries were the most important.

The Congress attacked the land revenue system as the root cause of the poverty of the agriculturists in the non-permanently settled areas. The demand for the introduction of Permanent Settlement, in the temporarily settled areas became a national demand.

R. C. Dutt in his open letters to Lord Curzon in 1901 held the Ryotwari system and over-assessment of the ryot as principally responsible for poverty and famine. By selecting land revenue system as the focal point for their attack on the Government, the Congress leaders showed a rare foresight, for nothing could have helped more in enlisting mass support to the national struggle in a preponderantly agricultural country like India, than the attack on the alien land system and the oppressive land tax.

The causes of the poverty of the masses were, however, extremely complex and although emphasis was mainly laid on two of them—viz., the land revenue system and the drain to England, the entire economic policy of imperialism was involved in it. Lord Curzon tried to shift the responsibility for the miserable condition of the peasants on the Indian leaders and complained that “the Government had not been so fortunate as to receive from their critics” co-operation in limiting the rents which the tenants paid to the landlords, while they demanded the permanent fixity of the share of the Government in the amount of revenue assessed on the landlord.¹

Public Finance

However, land revenue was not an isolated problem. The issue really concerned the entire fiscal policy and public finance. The leaders of public opinion in India, in fact, showed concern with all the three aspects of public finance, (1) public expenditure, (2) taxation and specially its incidence on the weaker section of society, and (3) the burden of public debt, and its genesis and liability.

Public Expenditure

The controversy over economy in public expenditure was first raised by Trevelyan, who as Governor of Madras in 1860, wrote

¹ Government of India, Land Revenue Policy Resolution, para 9
three minutes on the subject. These minutes touched off a debate between Wilson and Trevelyan and led to the emergence of two different schools of thought.

Indian opinion remained solidly in favour of the viewpoint expressed by Trevelyan, while the financial policy of the Government continued to be based on the foundations laid by Wilson who was the first Finance Member in the Viceroy's Executive Council.

Adopting Wilson's policy, the Government made no attempt for economy in expenditure which continued to increase rapidly after the Revolt. It rose from Rs. 26.93 crores in 1850-51 to Rs. 46.92 crores in 1860-61, Rs. 82 crores in 1890-91 and Rs. 101.47 crores in 1904-5.

Increase in public expenditure need not necessarily cause complaint or alarm. But, in India, under the imperialist regime, public expenditure was plagued by two special evils. In the first place, the larger portion of the expenditure was incurred on the army, the civil administration and on the interest charges on loans raised for unproductive purposes. Secondly, the beneficiaries of public expenditure—the Army personnel, the Civil Servants, the suppliers of army and other Government stores and the receivers of interest on Government bonds and securities—were almost all foreigners. The public expenditure of India thus helped to increase the income of the members of the ruling nation and not of the country from which taxes were raised to finance the expenditure.

Then the income so obtained was invested in railways and irrigation works. But it was realised from the very outset that the construction of rail roads in India was being pushed forward not primarily to increase the productive capacity of India, but for imperial reasons. On the other hand, the irrigation works remained comparatively neglected until after the country had built railways to almost the saturation point. Public expenditure, therefore, was a sore point with the nationalist opinion in India and, next to the unrepresentative character of the Indian Government, provided the chief target of attack on British rule in India.

Dadabhai Naoroji1 who was India's chief exponent on eco-

---

1 A volume containing principal writings and speeches was published by Natesan & co., Madras. The second edition of this book has been used in writing this portion and all the page references are to that edition.
ECONOMIC BACKGROUND OF INDIAN NATIONALISM

Economic questions made public expenditure in India as one of the main objects of complaint against British rule in India.

In his memorandum to the Select Committee on East India Finance in 1897, Dadabhai Naoroji asked: "Does India, even at the present day produce enough to supply, without hardship or privation, both its ordinary wants as a nation, and its extraordinary peculiar wants, to remit to a foreign distant country, a portion of its produce as the natural economical result of foreign rule? I say that India does not produce enough even for the ordinary necessary wants of its children, much less for all their social and peculiar political wants..." It was not the amount of expenditure which he objected to, for he agreed with the Duke of Devonshire and Sir William Hunter that India was insufficiently administered, and that the poverty of the country made even the moderate amount of state expenditure a crushing burden. He said, "And is it not a great condemnation of the present British administration of Indian expenditure that the people of India cannot make any wealth—worse than that, they must die off by millions, and be underfed by scores of millions, produce a wretched produce, and of that even somebody else must deprive them of a portion."

Among the chief causes of high expenditure was the fact that both military and civil services in India were preponderantly manned by Europeans who were paid salaries which were out of all proportions to the rates at which Indians of equal qualifications and competence were available. As Sir William Hunter observed in 1880: "India cannot afford to pay for that (Administrative) Labour at the English rates, which are the highest in the world for political service. But she can afford to pay for it at her own native rates which are perhaps the lowest in the world for such employment."

The employment of Europeans in India was open to yet another objection. A part of their salaries when they were in employment and the whole of their pensions after retirement were remitted annually to England. This, according to Dadabhai Naoroji and others, constituted an annual drain of wealth from India to England. "In the case of former foreign conquests," Dadabhai stated before the Select Committee on East

---

1 Dadabhai Naoroji, Speeches and Writings, p. 164
2 Dadabhai Naoroji’s Memorandum to Welby Commission, October 1895, vide Speeches and Writings, op. cit., pp. 284-85
3 Ibid., p. 311
4 England’s Work in India, pp. 118-19
India Finance, "the invaders either retired with their plunder and booty or became the rulers of the country. . . . When the invaders became the rulers of the country, they settled down in it, and whatever was the condition of their rule, according to the character of the sovereign of the day, there was at least no material or moral drain in the country. . . . With the English the case is peculiar. . . . The former rulers were like butchers hacking here and there, but the English with their scientific scalpel cut to the very heart and yet lo! there is no wound to be seen and soon the plaster of high talk of civilisation, progress, and what not, covers up the wound! The English rulers stand sentinel at the front door of India, challenging the whole world, that they do and shall protect India against all comers and themselves carry away by the back-door the very treasure they stand sentinel to protect. . . . In short, had England deliberately intended to design the best means of taking away India's wealth in quite a continuous drain, without scandalising the world she could not have hit upon a more effectual plan than the present lines of policy."1

Earlier in the same memorandum he stated: "The English rulers boast, and justly so, that they have introduced education and western civilization into India; but on the other hand they act as if no such thing had taken place, and as if all the boast was pure moonshine. Either they have educated or they have not. If they deserve the boast it is a strange self-condemnation that after half a century or more of such efforts, they have not yet prepared a sufficient number of men fit for the service of their own country. Take even the Education Department itself. We are made B.A.s, and M.A.s, M.D.s, etc., with the strange result that we are not yet considered fit to teach our countrymen. We must yet have forced upon us even in that department, as in every other, every European that can be squeezed in."2

Another serious charge against the Government was that while large sums of money were squandered on imperialistic wars on India's borders, the allocation of funds for such beneficent activities as education, agriculture and industry was niggardly.

The result was that both the amount of public expenditure and the manner of incurring it, had the effect of "depriving

1 Speecnes and Writings, op. cit., Appendix D, pp. 286-87
2 Ibid., pp. 197-98
the people (of India) of Wealth, Work and Wisdom”; and making them “degradingly deteriorated and debased, crushing out of them their very manhood.”

Taxation

To meet this bill of extravagant expenditure it was inevitable that the Government should have had recourse to an inordinately high and oppressive system of taxation, which was so devised as to press heavily on the poorer sections of India’s population. To this charge some of the administrators themselves pleaded guilty. Thus Lord Salisbury as the Secretary of State wrote: “It is not in itself a thrifty policy to draw the mass of revenue from the rural districts where capital is scarce, sparing the town where it is often redundant and runs to waste ... as India must be bled, the lancet should be directed to those parts where the blood is congested, or at least sufficient, not to those which are already feeble from the want of it.”

Similarly Sir Auckland Colvin who was Finance Member of the Viceroy’s Executive Council said in the Legislative Council: “One great blot on our administration not only still unremoved but aggravated by the course of events in recent years is that the classes in this country who derive the greatest security from the State are those who contribute the least towards it.”

It was, however, no fault of the richer and middle classes that the tax system in India was regressive in its effect. For, the pressure of Lancashire and political considerations led the Government to abolish all custom duties after 1878 and follow a free trade policy, so that the Government of India was precluded by superior orders from resorting to this form of indirect taxation of the richer classes.

Direct taxes, were, therefore, the only means available to reach the pockets of the rich. But after a brief experiment in the 1860’s the income tax was withdrawn and was not reintroduced till 1886. Railways had not yet become a paying concern and irrigation was not well developed. Under the circumstances land revenue, salt tax, excise duties (on alcohol, hemp, opium, etc.) and stamps were the principal sources of revenue. The incidence of all these taxes fell on the rural classes,

---

1 Ibid., p. 385
2 Minute, dated 26th April, 1875
3 Speech on Income Tax Bill in the Legislative Council, January 4, 1886
especially on the poorer sections. The Indian tax system was thus gravely unjust to the poorer classes. It produced serious discontent and formed the main plank of political agitation in India. Rationalisation of land revenue system, introduction of Permanent Settlement in the Ryotwari areas as a means of limiting the continuous increase of burdens on the ryots, reduction of salt duty and excises and raising of exemption limit for the purposes of income tax were the recurrent theme of the resolutions of the Indian National Congress. Thus the Congress called on the Government in 1888 that the taxable minimum of income tax be raised to Rs. 1000. At the same session, the Congress put on record "its disapproval of the recent enhancement of the Salt Tax, as involving a perceptible increase to the burthens of the poorer classes, as also the partial absorption, in a time of peace and plenty of the only Financial Reserve of the Empire." Similarly, in the matter of land revenue the Congress at the fifth annual session (1889) called on the Government to introduce Permanent Settlement. In 1902, the Congress blamed the high duty on salt for the "prevalence and spread of many diseases (which) are now traced to the insufficiency of salt consumed by the Indian masses" and demanded that the duty be reduced "by at least the amount of its enhancement in 1888." The same year it demanded the repeal of the cotton excise duty which had been imposed in 1895 because "apart from its tendency to arrest the free growth of the weaving industry, (it) continues to operate as a great injustice to the manufacturers, and imposes serious hardship on the masses of the people who consume the coarser indigenous products." In a comprehensive resolution which was moved by G. K. Gokhale, the Congress demanded at its twentieth session in December 1904 that the revenue surpluses accruing as the result of currency and exchange measures should be utilised in (a) reducing the burden of taxation especially by (i) "a further reduction in the salt duty; (ii) a reduction in the land revenue demand of the state in those provinces where the agriculturists have had a series of calamitous years and (iii) the abolition of the excise duties on cotton goods," and (b) "that

1 Resolution No. VI, passed at the Fourth Congress, vide Annie Besant, *How India Wrought for Freedom*, p. 72
2 Resolution No. XV, ibid., p. 74
3 Resolution No. VII, ibid., p. 93
4 Resolution No. XIII, ibid., p. 369
5 Resolution No. XVI, ibid., p. 370
till such reduction is effected, the Congress urges that part of the surpluses be devoted to purposes which would directly benefit the people, such as the promotion of scientific agriculture and industrial education, and increased facilities for medical relief, and that the rest be employed in assisting local and municipal boards.\footnote{Resolution VIII, ibid., pp. 409-10}

As for the overall incidence of taxation the leaders of the Indian National Congress considered the tax burden in India to be crushing and unbearable. According to the estimates of the national income made by Dadabhai Naoroji, the taxes collected in India in 1871 amounted to £50 millions out of the total national income of £340 millions or about 15 per cent. This worked out to a per capita tax of 6s. out of an annual income of 40s. It is true that compared with some other countries this amount was much less than what the people of those countries had to pay. For example, according to Lord Mayo, the per capita per annum tax amounted to 7s-9d in Turkey, 12s-2d in Russia, 18s-5d in Spain, 19s-7d in Australia and 17s in Italy.\footnote{Speech in Legislative Council, March 3, 1871} But the comparison was both fallacious and misleading. As Dadabhai Naoroji pointed out\footnote{Ibid., pp. 52-53}, in order to determine whether a particular tax burden was “crushing” or not, we must consider the income of the tax payer. Thus whereas an Indian paid 15 per cent\footnote{This included land revenue which was rightly regarded by the nationalists as a tax. The Government estimate of tax burden of 1s-10d per head excluded land revenue and opium,} of his meagre income to the Government in taxation, an Englishman parted with only 8 per cent of his much higher income of £30 per annum. Moreover to pay a tax of even £2-10s out of an income of £30 per annum was much less a burden than to pay 6s out of an annual income of 40s, which did not suffice even for the purchase of the bare necessaries of life.

The injury and injustice in the case of India were aggravated by the fact that a large part of the Government revenue instead of “fertilising and enriching” the country by increasing its production, was drained away to England in the form of Home Charges and profits on English investments in India. But for the existence of the drain, the productive powers of India would have steadily increased with the passage of time and the tax
burden, even if it remained the same in absolute amount, would have decreased relatively to the income. But with the national income remaining stationary and the taxation mounting steadily, the burden became simply crushing.

The Indian case on high public expenditure and consequent heavy burden of taxation and drain may be summed up in the words of Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya, who addressing the eleventh Congress at Poona said: "Educated Indians, representing the cultured intelligence of the country, have been praying for an enquiry, a full and fair enquiry, into the administration of this country during the last 40 years. We have impeached that administration on almost every conceivable ground. We charge the Government of England, with having saddled us with an unnecessarily costly expenditure on the Civil Service of India; we charge them with having forced upon us a crushingly heavy military expenditure; we charge them with indulging in a great waste of India's money beyond the borders of India; we charge them with want of fairness in their dealing with India in the matter of Home Charges; nay more, we charge them with being responsible, by reason of their neglect to adequately perform their duty towards India, for the loss of millions of lives which are lost in every decade from starvation, largely the result of over-taxation and inefficient administration."¹

Public Debt

The public debt of India was another sore point with the Indian nationalist. The debt burden of the country had increased from Rs. 94.56 crores in 1860-61 to Rs. 312 crores in 1901-2. This entailed a heavy burden on the Indian taxpayer and along with the high level of military and civil expenditure, it was responsible for the heavy taxation of the masses. But it is not the financial aspect so much as its moral aspect that aroused the greatest opposition. Most of the public debt of India had arisen out of Britain's wars of conquest in the East.² The cost of the wars that the East India Company waged in India, the cost of the Revolt of 1857, the payment of share capital to the shareholders of the Company at the time of the transfer of the Indian Government to the British Crown, the cost of the Afghan and Burma

¹ Annie Besant, op. cit., p. 213
² See Kumarappa, J. C., Public Finance and Our Poverty (Ahmedabad, 1941). pp. 29-30
wars\(^1\) and similar other items of expenditure were all charged to India's account and were met by raising loans mostly in England.

Many fair-minded Englishmen recognised the injustice of these payments and protested against them. Mr. Fawcett, for example, moved in the House of Commons in 1880 that "in view of the declarations which have been officially made that the Afghan War has been undertaken in the interests of England and India, this House is of the opinion that it is unjust to defray from the revenues of India the whole of expenditure incurred in the renewal of hostilities with Afghanistan." Lord Northbrook similarly pointed out with reference to the charging of the expenses of the Abyssinian War to the Indian exchequer: "The whole of the ordinary expenses in the Abyssinian expedition were paid by India, only the extraordinary expenses being paid by the Home Government, the argument used being that India would have to pay her troops in the ordinary way, and she ought not to seek to make a profit out of the affair. But how did the Home Government treat the Indian Government when troops were sent out during the Mutiny? Did they say that 'we don't want to make any profit out of it'? Not a bit of it. Every single man sent out was paid for by India during the whole time, though only temporary use was made of them, including the cost of their drilling and training as recruits until they were sent out."\(^2\)

Notwithstanding such protests by individuals, the major political parties in England followed an identical policy towards India. As Gladstone candidly confessed: "I have heard with great satisfaction the assurance of the Hon'ble gentlemen opposite that they are disposed to forward in every way the grant of funds to us to be used as we best think for the maintenance of what I have upon former occasions described as a National and Imperial policy. Certainly an adequate sense of our obligations to our Indian Empire has never yet been claimed by any party in this country as its exclusive inheritance: In my opinion he will be guilty of a moral offence and gross political folly who should endeavour to claim on behalf of his own political party any superiority in that respect over those to whom

---

\(^1\) After a good deal of protest and discussion in the Parliament and outside, England agreed to pay £5 million towards the cost of the Afghan War.—See Dadabhai Naoroji, *Speeches and Writings*, p. 348

\(^2\) Speech, House of Lords, 15th May, 1895, *vide Debates (Lords)*, Vol. XII, p. 874
he is habitually opposed. It is an Imperial policy in which we are all engaged."

Under the circumstances it was futile to expect a just settlement of the financial issues between England and India. The Indian leadership pointed out the injustice involved in saddling India with all the charges and debts which in all fairness and justice, should have been paid for by the imperial power, and warned the rulers of the dangerous consequences of such a policy. Even as early as 1867 Dadabhai Naoroji told the British: "No prophet is required to foretell the ultimate result of a struggle between a discontented two hundred millions and a hundred thousand foreign bayonets. A drop of water is insignificant, but an avalanche may sometimes carry everything before it. The race is not always to the swift. A disaffected nation may fall a hundred times, and may rise again; but one or two reverses to a foreigner cannot but be fatal. Every failure of the Natives, adding more burdens, will make them the more impatient to throw off the foreign yoke."

About thirty years later, in his memorandum to the Welby Commission he angrily remarked: "The Indians must provide every farthing for the supremacy of the minority of the dominant class and should not have the slightest voice in the spending of that every farthing, and find every solemn pledge given for equality of British citizenship flagrantly broken to the heart in letter and in spirit. And why? Is it because as Lord Salisbury says, they have the Government and have the rifles; or as Mr. Gladstone said about India itself, the law and argument of force, which we possess or apply? This Commission has the duty, at least so far as a fair apportionment of charges is concerned, to redress this great wrong." "Do the British Indian authorities," he went on to ask, "really think that the Indians are only like African savages, or mere children, that, even after two thousand of years of civilisation, when the Britons were only barbarians, after the education they have received at the blessed British hands, producing, as Lord Dufferin said, 'Native gentlemen of great attainments and intelligence', they do not see and understand these deplorable circumstances of their true position of degradation and economic destruction? Or do these

1 Hansard, Vol. 297, p. 859
2 Paper read before the East India Association of London on 2nd May, 1867, vide Poverty and Un-British Rule, p. 303
3 Vide Speeches and Writings, op. cit., pp. 356-57
authorities not care, even if the Indians did understand, as long as they can mislead the British people into the belief that all is right and beneficent in British India when it is really not the case.”

IX. Currency Question

Closely connected with the high level of taxation and the Home Charges was the currency question which also considerably agitated the Indian mind. As a result of international developments in the monetary field, the gold value of silver began to decline from 1873. Since there was a free mintage of silver rupee at the time and the rupee was the standard money of India, the fall in the value of silver led to a decline in the value of rupee in terms of sterling. The rupee-sterling exchange rate fell from 1s-10½d in 1874 to 1s-5d in 1891. This created a number of problems for the Government. The foreign Civil Servants and military personnel in India demanded compensatory allowances to make up for the loss incurred by them due to the fall in exchange rate, in remitting part of their salaries to England. The burden of the Home Charges in terms of rupees rose with every fall in the rate. This not only increased financial difficulties of the Government of India but the continuous fall in exchange made even budgeting a speculative exercise in foreign exchange. The solution was sought in persuading other countries to adopt bimetallism and four international conferences were held for the purpose. No agreement could, however, be reached at these conferences because of the insistence of England to stick to the gold standard. After the failure of the Brussels Conference in 1892, the Government of India appointed a committee under the chairmanship of Lord Herschel to go into the question of falling exchange rate of the rupee and to suggest remedies. The Herschel Committee recommended that mints should be closed to the public for mintage of silver rupees; an artificial scarcity of silver rupees should be created with a view to raising the value of the rupee to 1s-4d; that the Government of India should undertake to sell rupees but not buy at that rate; that a new committee or

1 Ibid., p. 357
2 The Finance Member, Sir David Barbour, remarked that the Indian Budget had become a “Gamble in Exchange.”—See Herschel Committee Report (1892).
commission should be appointed to decide about the permanent monetary standard that India might adopt, after the rupee-sterling exchange rate had risen to 1s-4d. These recommendations were accepted and the mints were closed in 1893. By 1898 the desired objective had been achieved and the value of the Indian rupee had risen to 1s-4d. The Government in accordance with the Herschel Committee recommendations, appointed another Currency Commission under Sir Henry Fowler. This commission recommended the adoption of the Gold Standard. However, for reasons into which it is not necessary to enter here, what India came to have as a result was a Gold Exchange Standard and not gold currency standard, which the Fowler Commission had recommended.

The Congress stand on these developments in the monetary field was twofold: (1) it opposed the payment of exchange-depreciation compensatory allowances to the European officials, and (ii) it expressed itself against the loss of free status of the Indian rupee as a result of linking it to the sterling which was a foreign currency. At its ninth session held in December 1893, it passed two resolutions on the subject. Resolution XIV placed on record "the deep regret of the Congress at the recent hasty legislation of the Government of India closing the Indian mints against the private coinage of silver, whereby the people of this country have been subjected to further indirect taxation of a burdensome and indefinite character, and some of the most important trade and industries, notably the mill industry, have been seriously disorganised and injured." Resolution XV placed on record the emphatic protest of the Congress against the exchange compensation allowance granted to the undomiciled European and Eurasian employees of Government, involving an annual expenditure of over a crore of rupees, and to the banks to the extent of £131,000 at a time when the financial situation of the country was far from satisfactory and the country was threatened with additional taxation.

In 1899, a resolution was passed opposing the introduction of the gold standard in place of the silver standard, and in 1901 the resolution condemning the closure of mints was reiterated.

However, the currency reforms contributed materially to the improvement of the financial position of the Government, because of a substantial fall in the interest and other annual

---

1 Annie Besant, *op. cit.*, p. 180
2 Resolution No. IV, *ibid.*, p. 304
charges payable by India to England. The Congress, however, continued to oppose the 1893 reforms on the ground that by artificially raising the value of the rupee by 30 per cent, "it indirectly enhances all taxation to that extent, and which, whilst giving the Government large surpluses from year to year, affects most injuriously the interests of the agriculturists and other producers of this country."\(^1\) The question continued to be discussed and formed a subject of controversy among economists and publicists even after the Great War.

**X. Conclusion**

The fifty years of direct rule of India by the British Crown from 1858 to 1905 were marked by the extension of the means of communication and transport, large increase in India's foreign trade and modernisation of Indian's economy. India was drawn into the vortex of international trade and had come to be counted as an important trading unit. Foundations were also laid of modern industry and mining in India. The jute and cotton textile industries, coal mining, manganese and mica industries made considerable headway. Even a project for starting a modern steel plant by the Tatas was on the anvil when the agitation for Swadeshi was started by the Congress. The trading classes, the zamindars and the money-lenders considerably improved their position as a result of these economic changes. Prices rose and so did profits, with the result that Indian capitalism began developing the European type of business organisation. There was a great increase in the number and capital of joint stock companies.

But amidst this progress, the country went through a series of calamities and millions died for sheer want of food. The financial position of the Government for the greater part of this period, remained unsatisfactory, with the result that it had to resort to even heavier taxation and borrowing. The economic distress caused by oppressive taxation, the decline of Indian handicrafts and the lack of employment opportunities for the Indians, because of the dominance of the Europeans in civil and military services, caused great discontent.

There were many factors which aroused opposition to British rule, but economic discontent and distress were the most

---

\(^1\) Resolution VI, Eighteenth Congress, vide Annie Besant, op. cit., p. 366
important among them. The British colonial economy in India furthered British interests but strangulated the growth of industrialisation. At the same time the spread of western education, the impact of modern political thought, modern science and technology and the growth of the middle class prepared the ground and provided the agency of political revolution. The ideas of liberty, equality and of “no taxation without representation” learnt from the English radicals, could not go well together with the establishment of a colonial economy. Indian nationalism which was born as a result of the British impact on the Indian society, was provided a dynamic urge by the mass poverty which sustained and nurtured discontent.

At the root of this poverty, this crushing burden of taxation, this wasteful expenditure and drain of wealth, was the fact that India was not a free and sovereign state. “The fact is,” said D. N. Wacha in his Presidential Address to the Seventeenth Congress, “India is not free to choose its own administrative agency. Were it free, is there the slightest doubt that the entire administrative agency would be indigenous, living and spending their monies in the country?”

The analysis of the economy of India leads inevitably to the conclusion that basically the poverty of India was the consequence of foreign rule—the system of administration introduced by the Britain in India. It followed that no improvement in the economic conditions of the people could be expected without a radical change in the character of the Government. It was necessary, therefore, to agitate for a change in government, for the introduction of a representative and democratic system, for the transfer of political power from the British to Indian hands. Important and urgent as the economic questions were, their solution depended upon the attainment of self-rule by India.

---

1 Ibid., p. 336
CHAPTER EIGHT

TRENDS OF MUSLIM POLITICAL THOUGHT
(1857—1905)

I. MUSLIM REACTION TO THE REVOLT OF 1857

The Revolt of 1857 struck a heavy blow at the aspirations of the upper classes of Indians. It extinguished all their ambitions for the recovery of their lost power and dominion. The Muslims who became the special target of British hatred after the Revolt naturally suffered most from its consequences. Their leading families in the areas where the Revolt had raged most fiercely were uprooted, many lost their lands and property and their bread-winners became paupers. The young men faced a bleak future as the doors of Government patronage were shut upon them. Darkness enveloped the community and a destiny boding nothing but ill threatened them.

According to Sir Alfred Lyall, after the Revolt of 1857, “the English turned fiercely on the Mahomedans as upon their real enemies and most dangerous rivals; so that the failure of the revolt was much more disastrous to them (Muslims) than to the Hindus. The Mahomedans lost almost all their remaining prestige of traditionary superiority over Hindus; they forfeited for the time the confidence of their foreign rulers; and it is from this period that must be dated the loss of their numerical majority in the higher subordinate ranks of the civil and military services.”

The Ulama

In these circumstances, there were only two alternatives before them. Either to face boldly their misfortune, cast out the moral weaknesses which paralysed their will, build up a clean, God-fearing and upright society on the basis of the teachings of the holy Quran, and in co-operation with their countrymen of other faiths, evolve a political order which would guarantee free exercise of faith, equal opportunities of welfare and advancement, and a self-respecting dignified life for men of all creeds, all races and all colours.

Or, to surrender the dream of independence for all time, accept the rule of the alien masters and endeavour to enlist their

goodwill to obtain Government patronage—a share in the services and in the positions of influence like the municipal councils, legislative bodies, and in other places.

The first alternative was adopted largely by the Ulama—the custodians of traditional learning and ideals. The second was followed by the Muslim leaders educated on modern lines in the schools and colleges established to propagate the Western arts and sciences.

The school of the Ulama which advocated religious reform and political freedom traced its affiliation to Shah Wali Ullah who had inspired the leaders of the so-called Wahhabi movement, and the many divines who had joined the Revolt of 1857.

The Ulama represented the interests of the Muslim masses about whom Lyall's opinion was: "It would, I believe, be much nearer the truth to say that the inconsiderate and uneducated mass of them are against us." Many among them came from the humble working classes. Their primary object was to purify the religious practices of the people, to remove the accumulation of superstitions and un-Islamic elements from their midst, and to persuade them to lead a life in accord with the injunctions of the Quran and the Hadith—the teachings and example of the Prophet. More than this, they felt that so long as India remained subject to foreign rule, it would not be possible to carry out these reforms. According to them, the politico-religious issue could only be resolved after the removal of British domination, which threatened to destroy Islam politically as well as culturally. They were, therefore, prepared to make a common cause with the non-Muslim inhabitants of the country and to throw themselves whole-heartedly into the struggle for national freedom. They believed that once India was free, their religious and cultural freedom would be secure.

Their opposition to Government was, therefore, spontaneous and irrevocable. Hatred of alien rule, which had dispossessed them of influence in the State, naturally created a revulsion in their minds against foreign learning and culture. They realised that English education would shake the Muslims' faith in Islam and turn many into apostates.

On the other hand, the rival group which competed with them for gaining influence over the community, consisted of men who were greatly impressed by the political institutions, military

\footnote{Lyall, A. C., op. cit. p. 246}
power, civilisation and culture of the West. They regarded British dominion in India unshakable and invincible, and their history had taught them how, in the struggle against the British, the independent Muslim rulers had miserably failed. They were convinced that it was as a result of the mistakes committed in 1857 that the Muslim upper classes had been ruined.

They saw that the Hindus generally, and the Bengalees especially, had utilised fully the existing opportunities for their advancement. They had achieved remarkable progress, acquired riches through land-ownership and commerce, and monopolised the services. By their unreserved devotion to Western education, they had won the favour of the rulers. On the other hand, the Muslims had kept aloof, nursing their grievances, and were left behind. Of this disparity, W. W. Hunter wrote: "Our system of public instruction, which has awakened the Hindus from the sleep of centuries, and quickened their inert masses with some of the noble impulses of a nation, is opposed to the traditions, unsuited to the requirements, and hateful to the religion of the Mussalmans."

The Muslims had opposed English education from the very start. They had expressed their disapproval officially when they submitted a memorial signed by 8,000 Muslims to Lord William Bentinck on his signing the order of 7 March, 1835, protesting against the utilisation of the Government grant exclusively on English education. Their chief objection to English education was that it weakened the faith of young Indian students in their religion and also opened the way for the propagation of Christianity among them.

It is true that some lone voices were raised against this anti-Western bias. Shah Abdul Aziz (1746—1824) had himself made a declaration in favour of acquiring Western knowledge. Some Muslim young men had braved the frowns of the conservative elements in the community when they joined the English schools and colleges at Calcutta; even the Calcutta Madrasa opened classes for the teaching of English. In Delhi, the College which was opened in 1828, gave instruction in Western knowledge and began the movement for translating Western sciences into the Urdu language. As a result, from Calcutta to Delhi, small numbers of Muslim youth were educated under the Western system during the first half of the nineteenth century. But the community as a whole stood aloof.

---

*Hunter, W. W., Indian Musalmans, (London, 1876), p. 177*
In the political field also efforts were made to end the estrangement and suspicion which existed against the ruling classes. The Muhammedan Literary Society of Calcutta which was founded in 1863 and of which Khan Bahadur Nawab Abdul Latif Khan was the Secretary, combated the propaganda of the Wali Ullahi group—the so-called Wahhabis—for Jihad. Maulavi Karamat Ali of Jaunpur, too, issued a pronouncement denouncing holy war against the rulers. Fatwas were also obtained from the Muftis of Mecca denying the obligation to fight against the Queen of Britain.

II. SIR SYED AHMAD KHAN: CAREER

But the most effective movement in favour of English education and for co-operation with the British Government was initiated and successfully led by Syed Ahmad Khan, who was destined to play a conspicuous role in the political resurgence of the Indian Musalmans.

Syed Ahmad Khan was born in 1817. On both sides he traced his descent from parents who belonged to aristocratic and religiously devoted Muslim families. On his father’s side, the family had since Aurangzeb’s days, been in the service of the empire, was closely connected with the Mughal Court and was steeped in its culture. His maternal grandfather, Khwaja Fariduddin Ahmad, was the scion of a prosperous family of Iranian merchants. He had received his education in Lucknow and specialised in mathematics and astronomy. He served first as the superintendent of the Calcutta Madrasa and later as British envoy at the courts of the Kings of Iran and Burma (Ava). Twice he was appointed chief minister of Emperor Akbar Shah II (1806—1837).

Syed Ahmad Khan had thus the benefit of spending his early years in surroundings where he could imbibe traits of both medieval and modern culture. But his education had been neither systematic nor continuous. It gave him somewhat incomplete knowledge of Islamic subjects. In later life, however, he acquired by his own exertions acquaintance with Western thought and became highly impressed with the progress made by the West in the physical sciences.

When he was about 22 years of age, his father died and he was suddenly faced with the problem of livelihood. The Mughal Court could not offer any prospect of service with adequate
emoluments according to his tastes. He, therefore, started learning judicial work and in 1839 became a clerk in the office of the Commissioner of Agra; by 1857, he had risen to the rank of Sadr Amin. He was in Bijnor when the Revolt of 1857 broke out. Twelve years later, he visited England, where he stayed for about a year and a half. In 1876, he retired from Government service and settled down in Aligarh. He died in 1898 at the ripe old age of 81.

Syed Ahmad Khan lived a long and strenuous life which was singularly devoted to the cause of the Muslims. In his early years, he had lived in the midst of wretchedness in a society which was in the last stages of demoralisation and degredation. Its political authority and influence were dead, its nobility was corrupt and decadent, its masses were sinking fast into poverty. Apathy, ignorance and superstition were widespread. Religion had come to be a matter of mere external forms and rites, devoid of conviction and faith. Morals were low, lives insincere, hypocritical and unprincipled. Debauchery and sensual gratification were rampant. All higher values were at a discount.

When Syed Ahmad Khan reached the prime of life, a calamity befell the community which appeared to spell its doom. The events of 1857 and the following years spread ruin and disaster all round. A great many of the members of the prosperous upper class were destroyed, and the entire community became an object of hatred and suspicion to the rulers. For a proud and sensitive Musalman of strong feelings and deep convictions, these happenings were soul-searing. Escapist thoughts of emigration came to his mind, but he soon realised that it would be extremely cowardly on his part to abandon his suffering brethren in his native country while he himself found safety and comfort in a foreign land. He resolved to stay where he was and fight. He stuck to his resolve through more than half a century of storm and stress with unparalleled patience, courage and devotion. Before he died, he had the satisfaction of seeing that the dark clouds which at one time hung threateningly over the community, had at last dispersed and a revolution had been effected in its affairs which betokened a bright future.
III. SYED AHMAD KHAN’S IDEAS OF SOCIAL AND RELIGIOUS REFORMS

The task which confronted Syed Ahmad Khan was an uphill one. It was no less than the social, economic and political amelioration of the Muslim community. It must, however, be remembered that Syed Ahmad Khan belonged to the upper class. The ruination of this class had been catastrophic and had affected him directly. He had felt it as a personal loss. The slow and almost unnoticed impoverishment of the lower classes did not, however, touch him. He showed little awareness of the vast misery which enveloped them. All his efforts, therefore, were directed to the uplift of the class which had, in the past, enjoyed influence and power and whose glorious deeds filled the pages of history and constituted the proud heritage of the community. His approach to the solution of the problem was, therefore, different from that of the Ulama.

Syed Ahmad Khan and his associates had witnessed the futility of the attempts to drive out the British from India. To them, “independence” was not practical politics. The only alternative was to accomplish the betterment of the community under British dispensation. This required the removal of the feelings of mutual suspicion and hatred between the Muslims and the British; to convince the British that the Muslims were not the inveterate enemies of their rule provided it did not interfere with their religious beliefs and practices; and that their religion did not enjoin hostility towards the Christian people who exercised power over them.

For this purpose, a re-interpretation of religion was necessary. It was also necessary for three other reasons. Firstly, the Christian missionaries had been merciless critics of Islam and had held up the religion to contumely and scorn. Their propaganda through books and pamphlets and public preaching and debates was reinforced through schools and educational institutions and was proving effective. The danger was redoubled because the missionaries belonged to the ruling class and had many sympathisers and active supporters among the officials. The situation was disturbing in the extreme, and it was, therefore, necessary to defend the faith.

Secondly, the moral and social laxity and religious indifference of the community, while giving to its rivals abundant grounds for attack, made it hard for its protagonists to defend it. To vindicate Islam, a fresh interpretation on modern lines
was imperative to restore self-respect; what was more, the
superstitious accretions and irrational incrustations had to be
cleared away.

Thirdly, the spread of Western ideas and expansion of
Western education threatened to subvert the very basis of the
Islamic faith. The challenge of modern science had to be met.

Syed Ahmad Khan was not fully equipped with the philosop-
phical and theological scholarship needed for the battle, but he
possessed tremendous courage and a burning zeal. He took
upon himself the triple task of religious re-interpretation, social
reform and education. But he did not lose sight of the fact that
they were also the necessary and preliminary conditions for the
rehabilitation of the community, economically and politically.

He was convinced that the only way of achieving satisfactory
results in his polemics with the Christian missionaries and
writers was to employ the weapons of debate of the West against
them. If they attacked Islam in the name of reason and science,
he should reply to them in the same coin. So, he sought to prove
that the teachings of the Quran were wholly in accord with
reason and nature. His line of argument reversed the approach
of the Ulama. The principles on which he relied were:

(1) The teachings of the Quran are divinely inspired and
eternal; therefore, faultless and binding in both word and
meaning. No deviation from them is permissible.

(2) The Hadith comprise the sayings of the Prophet reported
by narrators (rawi), whose character and credibility as wit-
tnesses needed investigation. Hence they were subject to criti-
icism and acceptable only after scrutiny.

(3) The authority of the Hadith was obligatory only in
matters of religion, but was permissive in worldly affairs.

(4) The will of man is free.

These principles made him a bold and revolutionary inter-
preter of the doctrines of Islam. He applied them to such
concepts as the unity of God, soul, freedom of the will, prede-
estination, prophecy, revelation, miracles, ascent of the Prophet
to heaven (Miraj), angles, satan, future world (alam-i-ghaib),
the dream world (alam-i-mathal), life after death, eternity of
the Quran, etc., etc. On all these questions his attitude was
rational. For example, he held that the proof of God’s existence
was inherent in the manifestations of the universe, although
man’s limited intellect was unable to know the nature and
reality of the Supreme Being. According to him, we only
know that there is a soul, but cannot comprehend its real nature. He regarded prophethood as the perfection of man, the Prophet being a person gifted by God with the qualities and nature of prophethood. All men are capable of receiving God’s message and inspiration, but they differ in their receptive capacity. The validity of inspiration must be judged by the standard of nature.

Syed Ahmad Khan rejected the possibility of miracles which violated the laws of nature. He held that ‘angels’ and ‘satan’ were not independent beings like men and animals, but good and evil powers, attitudes and tendencies within man. He also denied the application of the categories of space and time to God, angels, dreams, etc. According to him, paradise and hell were concepts beyond human understanding. Regarding the *Quran* his view was that it is the word of God and therefore eternal both in meaning and sound. An interesting conclusion, which he drew from the naturalness of Islam, was that no one who believed in God could be condemned as an infidel (*kafir*) or a heretic (*mulhid*). In fact it was doubtful if even an atheist could be regarded an unbeliever, for although one might deny the injunctions of Islam by word of mouth, in actual practice he was as much bound by them as any true Muslim, for God’s commands are the laws of nature, and cannot be infringed.

On social, cultural and political matters, Syed Ahmad Khan’s point of view was equally independent. The most controversial among the problems were those related to slavery, polygamy, *jihad*, interest, and treatment of captives of war. His exposition made out that the Islamic view of these problems was both rational and in conformity with natural laws. He pointed out that Islam had laid down such liberal conditions for the treatment of slaves as to alter the very character of slavery; polygamy was allowed but only in rare circumstances; holy war was not justified against non-Muslims except when Islam was attacked; not every type of interest, but only the usury of pre-Islamic times was prohibited; men captured in war were not to be executed, nor women made slaves. Regarding the pious Caliphate, his view was that it ended with Imam Hasan on the expiry of thirty years after the death of the Prophet. It followed that the Sultan of Turkey had no justification to claim the title of Caliph, and that loyalty to the British rulers was obligatory.¹

Syed Ahmad Khan was anxious to bridge the gulf which separated the Muslims from their Christian rulers. He, therefore, abstained from attacking the Christians. On the other hand, he laid emphasis upon their common points and recalled the services which Islam had rendered to Christianity. He advised the Muslims to abandon their prejudices against the Christians in regard to social reactions, particularly so far as inter-dining was concerned.

His position as a reformer was so advanced that he greatly annoyed the conservative elements in the community. He incurred specially the wrath of the traditionalists, who issued numerous decrees declaring him a heretic; abuses were hurled upon him in newspapers, books and speeches, and even his life was threatened. But nothing could daunt him, nothing could move him from the path which he had chosen. Ultimately, most of his scoffers and antagonists were silenced. And although his bold adventure in Quranic interpretation was not followed even in his own institution, his basic attitude regarding Islam, namely, its identity with reason and nature, was tacitly accepted by the Western-educated, modernised Muslims.

The most significant implication of his theology, so far as Indian society was concerned, was the repudiation of the view held by some narrow-minded theologians that the Hindus were infidels (kaﬁrs). The enunciation of the principle that the laws of Islam were identical with the laws of nature and that all human beings were bound to obey them, led to the logical conclusion that the differences between those who avowed faith in the Quran and the others who did not, was merely verbal and not real. Again, in so far as man was free to choose between good and evil, a Muslim was as much liable to go wrong as a non-Muslim and, in fact, both would have to answer for their deeds before the tribunal of God without the intercession of Prophets and Redeemers. Thus, Syed Ahmad Khan’s liberalism opened wide the gates for social accommodation and co-ordination between Muslims, Hindus and Christians.

It is not surprising that in his writings and speeches, Syed Ahmad Khan laid stress upon the unity of the Hindus and the Muslims. In the speech which he delivered at Patna on January 27, 1883, he said: "Now both of us live on the air of India, drink the holy waters of the Ganga and Jumna. We both feed upon the products of the Indian soil. We are together in life and death; living in India both of us have changed our blood, the
colour of our bodies has become the same, our features have become similar; the Musalmans have adopted numerous Hindu customs; the Hindus have accepted many Muslim traits of conduct; we became so fused that we developed the new language of Urdu, which was neither our language nor that of the Hindus. Therefore, if we except that part of our lives which belongs to God, then undoubtedly, in consideration of the fact that we both belong to the same country, we are a nation, and the progress and welfare of the country, and of both of us, depend on our unity, mutual sympathy, and love, while our mutual disagreement, obstinacy and opposition and ill-feeling are sure to destroy us.” Further, he compared Hindus and Muslims to the two eyes of a beautiful bride whose face would be disfigured if either one or the other was injured.¹

Addressing the Hindus of the Panjab, he complained why he was not regarded as a Hindu, and said, “you have used the term Hindu for yourselves. This is not correct. For, in my opinion, the word Hindu does not denote a particular religion, but, on the contrary, every one who lives in India has the right to call himself a Hindu. I am, therefore, sorry that although I live in India, you do not consider me a Hindu.”

In one of his last articles, he wrote: “In our opinion, just as the difference of religion which exists between the Musalmans and the Hindus ought not to prevent social dealings, mutual affection, love and sympathy between them, so also differences on political questions ought not to prevent social dealings, mutual affection and love and sympathy.” He added, “Undoubtedly, just by ignoring difference of religion we desire that there should be established between the Hindus and the Musalmans friendship, affection, unity and sympathy, in the same way, by ignoring political differences also, we desire that in social dealings there should be mutual friendship, affection, sympathy and brotherhood among them.”²

Syed Ahmad Khan was a believer in Hindu-Muslim political co-operation. It is a travesty of truth to regard him as the author of the theory that the Hindus and the Muslims were two separate nations. In fact, he was a supporter of Hindu-Muslim unity. For him there was no religious barrier in the way of

¹ Majmua Lecturehai Sir Syed (Urdu), Munshi Sirajuddin edition, Balali Press, 1892, pp. 117-121
² Dated March 1897 to April 1898, vide Akhiri Nazamin, op. cit., pp. 56, 57
this unity, no objection on the grounds of conscience. His differences with the Congress were based on considerations of political expediency alone. Such differences existed among the Hindus also, e.g., the land-holding class and the educated middle class.

**Syed Ahmad Khan as an Educationist**

Syed Ahmad Khan had inaugurated a revolution in Muslim thought. Of no less importance, in fact, of greater consequence, was his endeavour to reform the Muslims individually and collectively. He wanted to provide institutional foundations for his religious ideas and, therefore, he prepared a scheme of Muslim education which would satisfy their religious, cultural and material needs. Like the conservative members of the community, he too was dissatisfied with the secular education imparted in the institutions maintained by Government, which tended to weaken the faith of the pupils in Islam; for while it destroyed the old traditions in which the training of character was emphasised, it did not provide any new moral principles of conduct. But, so far as intellectual culture was concerned, Syed Ahmad Khan was not satisfied either with the traditional system of Muslim *Madrasas*, or the modern teaching given in the colleges and universities established by Government. About the *Madrasas* he wrote: "The Muslims have started in these days a number of institutions of old learning at Jaunpore, Aligarh, Kanpur, Saharanpur, Deoband, Delhi, and Lahore, but I say, in all sincerity, that they are utterly useless and wholly futile."1

The curriculum of the *Madrasas* consisted of theology, language, logic, natural sciences, mathematics, astronomy and medicine. Syed Ahmad Khan's opinion was: "now the worthlessness of the Islamic sciences stands exposed and it has been abundantly clear that they do not comprise any useful knowledge, and this is the reason for their degradation and misery."2

At the same time, Syed Ahmad Khan regarded the higher education imparted by the universities of India wholly inadequate. What the universities did was, according to him, to give a smattering of knowledge and to produce a multitude of graduates holding B.A. and M.A. degrees, but few scholars equipped with real learning.

---

He enunciated the aims of education thus: (1) the strengthening of faith which required the knowledge of religious truths, and the reconciliation of reason and tradition; (2) the training of character through establishing residential institutions and promoting healthy activities; and (3) the teaching of modern sciences up to the highest stage and evoking a rational outlook among students.

The measures adopted by Syed Ahmad Khan for the achievement of these aims consisted of opening schools, founding scientific societies, and organising Muhammadan educational conferences. The scheme of education which he proposed for the community, contemplated three grades of institutions, viz., (1) the highest grade which was represented by the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College for pupils above the age of 18; it was composed of three sections—English, Urdu, Arabic and Persian; (2) the middle grade, consisting of secondary schools for children between the ages of eleven and eighteen where the medium of instruction was the Urdu language; and (3) the primary grade of elementary schools (maktabs) for those between six and eleven years of age. In all these three types, religious education was to be compulsory.

Under this scheme, the Aligarh Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental School was opened in 1874; it was raised to the status of a college in 1878. This venture served both a notice and a challenge to the Hindus and the British rulers that the Muslims in India were no more apathetic to the new education and its advantages. Syed Ahmad Khan's ambition was to make the college a model of which the Oxford and Cambridge Universities were the prototype. And as these universities had become the main sources for the supply of officers and administrators for the Government of the United Kingdom, he wanted the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College to play the same role in India. In the second place, he desired that the college should become the propagator of the highest knowledge of the West among the Indian people through Indian languages. The Urdu section of the college was expected to teach students up to the standard of the English colleges, and the Arabic section to produce scholars able to transmit the religious and cultural inheritance of Islam to future generations.

But the College failed to reach up to the expectations of Syed Ahmad Khan. The English section was unable to fulfil one of its roles, viz., the propagation of Western knowledge through
Urdu. Nevertheless, the College became the centre of what is
known as the "Aligarh Movement," and made a tremendous
impact on the life and thought of the Muslim community.

The foundation of the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College
marks a turning-point in the life of Syed Ahmad Khan. He
became so deeply concerned in its welfare and progress that
all other interests were subordinated to it. Higher English
education became for him the panacea for all the social and poli-
tical ills of India. He fiercely attacked the idea of the univer-
sities of the Panjab and Allahabad, when he heard that they
were intended to be seminaries of Oriental learning. He con-
demned the efforts of the community to raise funds for the
establishment of primary schools. He deprecated political agi-
tation for gaining political privileges, for he regarded higher
education as a better means of securing them. As the success
of the College depended on the goodwill and co-operation of the
community on the one hand, and the favour and support of the
Government on the other, he felt that the only way to secure
both was by eschewing everything that offended the community
or the Government.

The task was extraordinarily difficult. The Muslim com-
community was then divided into factions on both religious and political
questions. The conservative Ulama, among whom were mem-
ers of the Deoband School, and scholars like Nawab Abdul
Latif Khan of Calcutta, Nawab Siddiq Hasan Khan of Bhopal,
Nawab Rasul Yar Khan of Hyderabad, and Nawab Imdad Ali
of North-West Provinces & Oudh (Uttar Pradesh), were oppos-
ed to his radical leanings and rational interpretations, and looked
upon him as a materialist (dahriya), if not a heretic. His sup-
porters, like the erudite Syed Amir Ali, who called himself a
Mutazilite, the tactful Syed Mehdi Ali (Muhsin-ul-Mulk), who
later collaborated with him in all his schemes, and Maulavi
Chiragh Ali, who helped him with his facile pen, did not enjoy,
because of their liberal views, that popularity among the Muslim
masses, which the Ulama and their allies did.

To appease the Muslim opposition, Syed Ahmad Khan stopped
the publication of the Journal Tahdhibul Akhalq (Social
Reform), in 1878, and thereby removed a great cause of annoy-
ance to them. Discretion triumphed over missionary zeal.

Syed Ahmad Khan and Politics

Reform of Islam as a religion was a risky venture, but revival
of Muslim influence and rehabilitation of Muslims in the new conditions of India were necessary and much more worth striving for. In the past, power had conferred wealth; in the modern context education opened the way to competence; and competence led to positions of power.

For Syed Ahmad Khan, economics and politics were thus inter-related and inter-dependent. He found that the Muslims as a community had economically sunk to a very low level; it was imperative that they should co-operate with the Government and rebuild their economy with its help. What was true in the economic sphere, was equally true in the political sphere as well. Both economic advancement and political status depended on Governmental favour. Herein lay the secret of Syed Ahmad Khan's anxiety to co-operate with the British rulers.

Before the days of the Indian National Congress, Syed Ahmad Khan had been a strong advocate of the association of all Indians in the councils of the Government through their chosen representatives and also in the administration of district and local boards through their elected members. He had opposed the idea of special favours for the Muslims and had instead advised them to rely upon self-help. In his pamphlet, "Causes of the Indian Mutiny" (1863), he had stated that "the original cause of the outbreak was the non-admission of a native as a member into the Legislative Council. I believe that this Rebellion owes its origin to one great cause to which all others are but secondary branches, so to speak, of the parent stem. I do not found my belief on any speculative grounds or any favourite theory of my own. For centuries many able and thoughtful men have concurred in the views I am about to express.

"Most men, I believe, agree in thinking that it is highly conducive to the welfare and prosperity of Government, indeed it is essential to its stability, that the people should have a voice in its councils. It is from the voice of the people only that Government can learn whether its projects are likely to be well received. The voice of the people can alone check errors in the bud, and warn us of the dangers before they burst upon, and destroy us."

He added: "There is no reason, however, why the natives of the country should be excluded from the Legislative Councils, and here it is that you come upon the one great root of all this evil. Here is the origin of all the troubles that have befallen Hindustan. . . . I do not wish to enter here into the
question as to how the ignorant and uneducated nations of Hindustan could be allowed to share in the deliberations of the Legislative Council; or as to how they should be selected to form an assembly like the English Parliament. They are knotty points. All I wish to prove here is that such a step is not only advisable, but absolutely necessary, and that the disturbances are due to the neglect of such a measure."

In 1866, when he founded the British India Association, he exhorted Indians to try and secure proper representation of their interests in the Legislative Council. He told them that it would be folly and cowardice on their part if, out of fear of the Government or the District officials, they refrained from demanding their proper representation. He was in favour of co-operation with the Hindus for securing better conditions. In fact, he went so far as to advise the Muslims that "if the giving up of cow-slaughter will establish amity and friendliness among Hindus and Musalmans, then please do not sacrifice cows which is a thousand times better."

On the question of a common Indian nationality, he expressed very clear views. In his Patna speech, dated the 27th of January, 1883, he said: "Please remember that the Hindu and Muslim are religious terms. In fact, all the inhabitants of India whether Hindu, Muslim or Christian, are by virtue of the fact of their residence one nation. . . . The time is past when merely on the ground of religion the inhabitants of one country could be regarded as members of two nations." 

In his speech made at Gurdaspur on the 27th of January, 1884 he affirmed his faith in the unity of the two communities. He addressed the audience thus: "We (Hindus and Muslims) should try to become one heart and soul and act in unison; if united, we can support each other. If not, the effect of one against the other would tend to the destruction and downfall of both."

He further said: "Hindu and Mahomedan brethren, do you people any country other than Hindustan? Do you not inhabit the same land? Are you not burned and buried on the same soil? Do you not tread the same ground and live upon the same soil? Remember that the words Hindu and Mahomedan are only meant for religious distinction—otherwise all persons,

---
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whether Hindu or Mahomedan, even the Christians who reside in this country, are all in this particular respect belonging to one and the same nation. Then all these different sects can only be described as one nation; they must each and all unite for the good of the country which is common to all.”

IV. SYED AHMAD KHAN AND THE ALIGARH MOVEMENT

From 1885, however, Syed Ahmad Khan’s liberalism started definitely to recede. Unfortunately, he began to feel that it was necessary to walk even more warily in politics than in matters of religion. In matters of religion he had to respect the susceptibilities of the Muslims and to win over the hesitant elements among them. In political matters, it was necessary to turn the antipathy of the rulers into sympathy and support.

The main trouble was that the Muslim world was divided in its political aims. The Ulama, who commanded the Muslim majority, wanted to have no truck with British culture or government. Then they were greatly perturbed by the recent events in the Islamic world. In 1878, the Ottoman Empire had been humiliated by the Russians, and the Caliphate was shorn of much of its territory and prestige. For the Indian Muslims, who looked upon the Sultan of Turkey as their Khalifa, this was adding insult to injury. About the same time, another Muslim State, Egypt, was fast losing its independent status and passing under British sway. The deposition of the Khedive and the defeat of Arabi Pasha in 1881 were blows which had repercussions all over the Islamic world.

Again, the rivalry of Great Britain and Russia had brought about the Afghan War, the capture of Kabul by General Roberts and the inclusion of Afghanistan in the British sphere of influence. In Iran, the British were virtually masters in the south along the coast of the Persian Gulf, while the Russians dominated the north.

As a result of these developments, sentiments of shame and reproach against themselves and of anger against the imperialist powers of Europe, especially Great Britain, were sweeping the Muslim countries. They had found in Syed Jamal-al-Din Afghani, a spokesman and leader, who by his learning, eloquence, indefatigable energy and unselfish devotion, roused the
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Muslims to the realisation of the grave danger of impending extinction, both culturally and politically. He became the pioneer of the Pan-Islamic movement.

His writings and speeches were avidly read in all Muslim circles in India, and when he visited this country for the third time in 1879-80, he was welcomed as a hero and worshipped by the Muslim youth as a Messiah. His stay in India for over a year strengthened the forces of opposition to Syed Ahmad Khan, for Afghan bitterly attacked his religious and political ideas. He characterised Syed Ahmad Khan's interpretation of the Qur'an as a piece of ignorant and vulgar exposition, "whose object is to destroy the beliefs of the Musalmans, to serve the purpose of the others, and to plot their (Muslims) admission into their religion."  

Jamal-al-Din Afghani was a lover of political freedom and equality and a determined foe of Western imperialism. He desired to establish the independence of the Asian peoples, and to revive their past glories. His exhortations crystallised the sympathy, which the adversity of the Muslim countries had awakened in the minds of the Indian Muslims. A consciousness of their solidarity began to take form, and along with it an awareness of the larger unity of the world of Islam. But the objectives of Jamal-al-Din offered a striking contrast to the political views of Syed Ahmad Khan, who had come to regard self-government for India as utterly impracticable and inadvisable, and believed in the Anglo-Muslim alliance—the cornerstone of the Aligarh Movement—as the only means of Muslim security and solidarity.

Syed Ahmad Khan's problems, therefore, were formidable. But, by 1885, already countervailing factors had begun working in his favour. In the first place, the opportunity for Muslim rapprochement with the English had come. In Europe, the dismemberment of Turkey by Russia had been thwarted by Great Britain, and British policy towards Afghanistan was undergoing a favourable change. The fear of Russian advance towards Central Asia was obilging Great Britain to move with greater circumspection in her dealings with the Muslim countries. The Durbar at Rawalpindi to welcome Amir Abdur Rahman Khan was a pointer.

At home, the British attitude towards the Muslims had

---

changed. The old antipathy was giving place to a new policy of befriending the community. It is difficult to point to any particular date for the change. It seems to have begun with the publication of W. W. Hunter’s *Indian Musalmans* in 1871. He drew the attention of the Government to Muslim grievances, to their complaints of British “want of sympathy, want of magnanimity, mean malversation of funds, and great public wrongs spread over a century.” He was the first “able and somewhat impassionate advocate of the Musalmans.” Other British advocates of change were Nassau Lees, ex-Principal of the Calcutta Madrasa, Beck and Morison of the Muhammedan Anglo-Oriental College, Aligarh, and W. S. Blunt. Blunt goaded them to assert themselves. He said, “I told them, if the Mohammedans only knew their power they would not be neglected and ill-treated by the Government as they now were. In England we were perpetually scared at the idea of a Mohammedan rising in India, and any word uttered by a Mohammedan was paid more attention to than that of twenty Hindus. But, if they sat still, thanking Providence for all the favours which were denied them, the English public would be only too happy to leave them as they were.”

In 1885, Randolph Churchill, then Secretary of State for India, visited this country and it was surmised that he confirmed the pro-Muslim trend of the Government. In any case, by 1888, the trend was clear. Sir Auckland Colvin was pointing out to Hume the dangerous possibilities of the Congress politics.3

Dufferin was alarmed. In his reply to the farewell address which the Muslims presented to him, he flattered them in these words: “Descended as you are from those who formerly occupied such a commanding position in India, you are exceptionally able to understand the responsibility attaching to those who rule.”

To promote this policy, Lord Northbrook had donated Rs. 10,000 for scholarships at Aligarh. John Strachey had recommended to his countrymen to help the College generously. W. W. Hunter, the Chairman of the Education Commission of 1882, had held the first meeting of the Commission at Aligarh as a compliment to its importance, and accepted the special

---
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claims of the Muslims in education. Alfred Lyall praised the founders of the Aligarh College for rendering a great service to the Government. Auckland Colvin held the College in special regard for inculcating loyalty to the Government.

The agitation in favour of the Ilbert Bill in 1883 had roused the passions of the British community in India against the Hindus and helped in changing their attitude towards the Muslims.

Another factor was the unfortunate growth of tension between the Hindus and the Muslims at this time. The causes of the tension were many—social, political and economic. Some of them affected only the upper classes, some the masses, and the others both; it was competition for the loaves and fishes of government service which brought into clash the interests of the educated Hindus and Muslims. Throughout the first three quarters of the 19th century, the Hindus had manifested greater eagerness in the race for office and more active participation in the administration of the British. English education made greater progress among them, while the Muslims stood aloof, with the result that public employment and success in the independent professions became to a greater degree the possession of the Hindus. Also, the conduct of the newspaper press was largely in the hands of the Hindus and political agitation was, more or less, their monopoly. As pointed out by the Government of India in 1893, “the effect of the exclusion from public and private employment, from which the Muhammandans have suffered, has naturally been to embitter their minds against the Hindus, and reflections on their past state of supremacy contribute to keep this feeling active.”

Then the meeting of the Indian National Congress in December, 1885, seems to have upset Syed Ahmad Khan. His mind conjured up prospects of a gloomy future for his community in case the Congress succeeded in persuading the Government to accept its demands, among which that for Indian representation in the Councils was the most important. His reason for opposing the Congress and dissuading the Muslim community from joining it was not without substance. In a system of pure and simple elections, he was afraid the Muslims, who were in a minority, would have small chance of

---
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securing seats in the Councils. In the nineteenth century, this
fear was not groundless. In Europe, the minorities based on
race, religion and language were everywhere in conflict with
the majorities. They were responsible for irredentist move-
ments which aspired to unify the minorities with their larger
kindred groups under neighbouring States and to separate
them from the majority groups among whom they lived. For
instance, the Italian-speaking minorities in Austrian Tyrol and
Trieste desired to join Italy, and the German-speaking groups
in Slav countries wanted union with Germany. The racial
minorities were similarly agitated. The Slavs within the
Austrian and Turkish empires hankered after union with the
great Slav people of Russia.

But, perhaps, the example of the Ottoman empire was most
appropriate, for here within the borders of the Muslim empire
were a number of non-Muslim minorities, especially the Arme-
nians and the Greeks, who entertained some real and some
imaginary grievances which supplied the excuse to the Chris-
tian powers of Europe to intervene as protectors.

In India, some Muslims belonging to the old aristocratic
families were not quite reconciled to the idea of living as
equals with Hindus in a country over which men of their faith
had ruled for over six centuries. Their claim for special treat-
ment by the Government was partly based on what they called
their political importance as inheritors of traditions of
dominance.

The masses were mainly affected by the economic conditions.
For example, the interests of the Hindu and Muslim landlords
were in conflict with those of their tenants. The Hindu money-
lenders and the Muslim borrowers were opposed to each other.
Edge was provided to this economic conflict by the decline of
arts and crafts, mostly practised by the Muslims.

V. COMMUNAL POLITICS

The revivalist movements which spread in the nineteenth
century exacerbated mutual suspicions and fears. Among the
Muslims, the School of Shah Waliullah of Delhi and the School
established by his pupils at Deoband, as also the reform move-
ment begun by Syed Ahmad Khan were active in restoring the
pristine purity and the religious fervour of the Muslim com-
munity. The revivalist movement laid stress upon the elimi-
nation of those elements from the practices of the Muslims which had been acquired through Indian contacts. Among the Hindus, the Dharma Sabha of Radha Kanta Deb of Calcutta, which had been started in the twenties of the nineteenth century to defend Hinduism from the attacks of the liberal reformers and the Christian missionaries, was active. It gathered momentum, and literary geniuses, like Bankim Chander Chatterji, used their powerful pen to popularise and strengthen it. Swami Dayananda Saraswati laid the foundation of the Arya Samaj in Bombay in 1875, but its influence spread to northern India. He called the Hindus 'back to the Vedas,' and endeavoured to readmit the Hindus, who had changed their faith, into the fold.

Great activity was shown in propagating and promoting respect for the doctrines and observances of Hinduism as a reaction against the spread of religious indifference which was the effect of Western education. The movement was not confined to the upper classes alone. It affected many castes which were regarded inferior. As they strove to rise in the scale of status in the Hindu community, they became more strict in their regard for the observances of Hinduism. The Hindu revival inculcated among them respect for the past and its traditions.

Thus, there were parallel movements of the two communities, which had common aims and employed similar methods. Unfortunately, there was no co-operation between them to strengthen their liberalism and toleration. Instead, they became mutually suspicious. By their nature, the movements emphasised negation and protest and were aggressive and assertive. Both developed a mood of self-righteousness, an attitude of superiority over the other, and a feeling of 'holier than thou.' The two communities were already developing a narrow self-centred mentality in matters relating to their material welfare, which was accentuated by the discriminatory treatment of the Government. The consciousness of their exclusive self-interest was waxing. It was, therefore, not surprising that the religious revival should have sharpened communal feelings, especially as the economic conditions in the country offered little scope for the solution of the acute problem of unemployment.

The situation required far-sightedness and restraint. The permanent interests of the communities were identical and their immediate wants and deprivations were the result of their common dependence and subjection. But each, in its impatience
to better its own lot, pursued policies which widened the gulf between them. Their mutual recriminations and accusations delivered their destinies into the hands of the third party which reaped a twofold reward from their dissensions. The hold of the British on India became firmer and British rule found a much-needed justification.

The Hindu resurgence manifested itself in a new emphasis on old doctrines and observances, and a new enthusiasm for an Indian culture purified of foreign elements. Among such activities, those which accentuated Hindu-Muslim differences were: (1) cow-protection, (2) Hindi-Urdu controversy, and (3) assertion of religious privileges on the occasion of festivals and holy days, especially when owing to the nature of the Hindu and Muslim calendars, their dates coincided.

What helped in aggravating the bitterness of the relations was the fact that, in pre-British India, in some parts one community predominated over the other, and in other parts the other community was in power ensuring some balance. After the British conquest, both became equally subject to British rule; and although vis-a-vis the British both were equally inferior, between themselves each felt proud of its past, and was keen on asserting its superiority. In pre-British days, if the ruler was tolerant, the two communities lived together in peace; but if the ruler was bigoted and tyrannical, resentment of the aggrieved party had little opportunity for manifestation.

The problem of cow-protection arose at first in the Panjab, where under the Sikh rule the killing of cows was strictly prohibited and the offender was liable to the death penalty. After the annexation, the prohibition was abolished. The Hindus and the Sikhs felt resentful at this, but the Muslims were pleased that a valuable right was conceded. When the Arya Samaj was established, cow-protection societies were organised in many places in almost all the provinces. Itinerant preachers toured the country, cattle pounds were opened and an agitation for the prohibition of cow-killing was started. A number of Hindu rajas and zamindars supported the movement. Among the Sikh, the Namdharis of Bhaini (Ludhiana) took the lead. Among the Muslims, too, there were sympathisers. In Uttar Pradesh, they supported prohibition; for, the protection of the cow was not merely a religious question, it had for an agrarian people economic justification too.

Maulana Abdul Hai of Firangi Mahal, Lucknow, a very emi-
nent theologian of India, and three other Ulama, gave the following judgement (fatwa) which made it clear that by giving up cow-sacrifice no sin was committed and no defect caused in the performance of the rite of sacrifice:

"It is necessary for us the Muslims that we should abstain from giving pain. Holding that iniquity and violence towards human beings is improper (the Muslims) ought to make the utmost effort to prevent people from being guilty of such action. Cow-killing is not at all a religious injunction of Islam, hence it is of the highest value to abstain from such a futile action."

The signatories of the judgement were: (1) Abul Hasanat Muhammad Abdul Hai, (2) Muhammad Abdul Wahhab, (3) Abul Haya Muhammad Abdul Hamid, and (4) Qazi Sayyid Muhammad Hasan.¹

Unfortunately, overzeal on the one side and irritation on the other led to ugly demonstrations and collisions. In 1881, a riot took place in Multan and then for many years, peace continued to be disturbed from time to time in the different parts of the country. In 1893, there were riots in Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Bihar and Bombay. Both the Government and the Muslims alleged that the Hindus were responsible for the incidents and the losses of life and property. Apart from the question of the responsibility for the riots, it is necessary to know how far they were preventable. It is difficult to be certain, but in an office note dated 21 July 1871, it was stated:

"I altogether disbelieve the conclusion of the Lieutenant-Governor and the other high authorities that no blame attaches either to the Police or the Magistrate for not taking special precautions. The object seems to be to show that nobody is to blame for anything. Having myself had a great deal of experience in former times of disturbances of this kind in Rohilkhand, I assert that it is absurd to say that authorities had no means of becoming cognizant of what was threatening."²

But it was the Hindi-Urdu controversy which gave a severe blow to Syed Ahmad Khan's desire for communal unity. In the Government circles, many officers were biased against the Muslim community and they looked with disfavour upon many aspects of Muslim culture. Early in the nineteenth century,
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efforts were made in the Fort William College to develop the prose of the modern Indian languages like Bengali, Marathi and Urdu. As all these languages were rich in poetical literature, the task was easy. But it occurred to the authorities of the College that Urdu, which was written in Persian characters, was greatly influenced by Persian in its vocabulary and versification, and was mainly used in towns, could not be regarded as the language of the people. They, therefore, set about evolving a prose style free from Persian elements. Thus, Hindi prose came into existence. This Hindi had no poetry and its prose was a form of Urdu in which Sanskrit words had taken the place of Persian words. At first a little awkward, it soon acquired facility of expression both in prose and poetry, and it became the language of literature.

After 1857, a fresh impetus was given to Hindi. A number of British officers became interested in its development. They wrote its grammars and encouraged the Hindi writers. S. C. Bailey advised the Government that “Hindi could be and should be gradually introduced into the courts and offices,” because “Persian characters can be altered with much greater facility than Nagri, and hence it affords greater temptation to fraudulent tampering with documents.”

In Bihar, Sir George Campbell, the Lieutenant-Governor (1871—74), attempted to exclude Persian characters from the courts and schools of the province. It was urged that the Biharis were, “poor, voiceless and down-trodden, and so long as their vernacular (that is Hindi in the Nagri or Kaithi characters) is not introduced in the courts, they will continue to be the victims of oppression by the amlah and the police, the zamindar and the indigo planter.”

The move was considered by the Muslims as a threat, because the amlah of the courts, the police and the staff of the zamindar and the planter, were mostly Muslims who knew only Urdu. Their livelihood was at stake. In 1867, a memorial was submitted to the Government requesting that Hindi in Devanagari script should be introduced in the courts to replace Urdu in the Persian script. Syed Ahmad Khan, who was then posted as a judicial officer, was deeply perturbed. To him, the demand represented not only the repudiation of the mixed culture of
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Hindustan, which had been created by the united efforts of the Hindus and the Muslims, but a rejection of the elements which, for over a thousand years, had made India their home. Apart, therefore, from its economic effects for a large class of low-paid Muslims, the measure foreboded a deep rift which sought to cleave society and culture into two irreconcilable divisions.

In 1872, Hindi was made the court language of nine districts in the Central Provinces (Madhya Pradesh) instead of Urdu, and the measure increased communal tension.

Thus, already before 1885, the communal waters had been muddied with suspicion and discord. There were, then, extreme separatists among the Muslims, who counselled a complete break with the Hindus and the closest alliance with the British rulers. Among them, the most outstanding personality was Syed Amir Ali, who had established the National Mohammedan Association in 1877, to impart political training to Muslim young men, a subject in which he was interested even when he was a student in England. He had then urged that unless the political training of the Indian Muslims ran on parallel lines with that of the Hindus, they were certain to be submerged in the rising tide of the new nationalism. Syed Ahmad Khan had then refused to support the venture of Syed Amir Ali.

But the inauguration of the Indian National Congress in 1885, brought all the pent-up doubts and apprehensions of Syed Ahmad Khan to the surface. It is likely that the process was considerably accelerated by the English staff of the Muhammedan Anglo-Oriental College, Aligarh, particularly by Theodore Beck, who was appointed Principal of the College in 1886. Beck played upon the fears of Syed Ahmad Khan and employed all his persuasive powers to instil in the youth of the College, feelings of hatred towards the Hindus and loyalty for the British Government. While paying tribute to the Aligarh College, he said, "The students bred in this College, trained in the cricket and football fields, would be ready to render to the Government and to our beloved sovereign such assistance as would prove that the Mohammedans of India are ready to face the bullets and bayonets in defence of the empire."1 He requested Syed Ahmad Khan to hand over the virtual editorship of the Aligarh Institute Gazette to him, and used its columns

---

1 Aligarh Institute Gazette, July-December 1893
to denounce the national movement and its Bengali sponsors. Officially, Syed Ahmad Khan remained the editor of the *Gazette*. He was, therefore, held responsible for the articles and became a target of the attacks of the Bengali press.

In 1886, Syed Ahmad Khan organised the Muhammedan (later Muslim) Educational Conference which, in fact, was a political body. Its aim was to organise the Muslims all over the country by establishing its branches in all the cities and towns, to report on the educational needs of the community and to investigate their agricultural, commercial and industrial requirements. The Conference succeeded in evoking a feeling of solidarity in the community and the consciousness of a Muslim society.

In 1887, he launched a violent campaign against the Congress. He warned all Indians, the Muslims in particular, of the dangers involved in its activities. But his opposition was based on political considerations only and did not affect his social relations with the Hindu community. In order to understand his attitude, it is necessary to point out that Syed Ahmad Khan was an aristocrat steeped in the traditions of the medieval feudal class; that on political matters his mind was medieval. For instance, he believed, like all medievalists, that power and not wealth was fundamental, that the warrior and the administrator were more important than the merchant and the broker. He was, therefore, wholly engrossed in schemes for the rehabilitation and amelioration of the upper-class Muslims and paid no attention to the well-being of the masses. For him, the main problem was to secure the goodwill and sympathy of the rulers who were the dispensers of favours and, opposition to whom led to ruin as happened in 1857—a memory which never ceased to haunt him. He, therefore, considered the policy of offering loyal co-operation the best for the Muslims. It did not occur to him that the real problem of India was that of the grinding poverty of its vast and increasing population, and it was quite beyond his mental horizon to envisage that the remedy of this fundamental socio-economic malady could not be provided by a foreign government, however capable, powerful and well-meaning it might be.

But he was not alone in this predicament. Most of the land-owning class, too, shared these views. Syed Ahmad Khan argued that independence was no more than a wild dream, for the British rule was too powerful to be shaken easily. There-
fore, it was bad tactics to annoy the Government by exposing its mistakes and spreading disaffection. Theodore Beck, who was at once the confidant and adviser of Syed Ahmad Khan, makes this clear in a letter he wrote to Badruddin Tyabji on May 7, 1888:

“Our chief objection to the National Congress is one more fundamental than any objection to any specific proposal. We believe that its methods—holding public meetings, showing the ills of the people and circulating pamphlets like the one printed at the end of the Congress report, etc.—will sooner or later cause a mutiny among the inhabitants of these provinces and the Punjab. If this be joined with a Frontier War, it will be a disastrous affair.” And he added:

“In the first place, the whole Mahommedan community of Upper India is distressingly poor. If they are led to believe, as they are already inclined to do, that this is due to the British Government, they will be ready to rise. They feel passionately the loss of their glory. The old Imperial buildings of Delhi and Agra are a living sign of their degradation. The older people of Delhi remember the last Emperor of the House of Timour. And to this their religious fanaticism, which is not dead. The cry of Jehad is heard now and again. And add, moreover, that the people are really excitable and love a fight, as we saw at Delhi and Etawah—and we have the gravest reasons that if this kind of agitation spreads, the whole of Upper India may one day be aflame. Personally I should dislike this for two causes: first, because I have no desire to have my throat cut, and secondly, because the cause I have given my life to, would be hopelessly ruined, and the Mohammedans would fall perhaps never to rise again. We, therefore, do not like agitation of any sort.”

In another letter, he explains his views—which were also the views of Syed Ahmad Khan—regarding the future of India. He writes: “The one thing of importance in India is the stability of the Government; for then we have every reason to expect the gradual progress of education and prosperity. I want to see the people enjoying a share in the Government of the country, but not by means of a representative system, which I—
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believe unadapted to India, but by means of occupying high positions in the bureaucracy.”

Syed Ahmad Khan was greatly fortified in his opinions by the support which he received. Both Sir Auckland Colvin and Lord Dufferin had come to the same conclusion regarding the Congress as Syed Ahmad Khan. Most of the Taluqdars of Oudh, with the important exception of Raja Rampal Singh, were with him. Few leaders of this class, Hindu or Muslim, in northern India—Uttar Pradesh, Panjab, Sind—had joined the Congress. The Government which showed some interest in the initial stages, soon became indifferent and the British community was, on the whole, hostile.

Syed Ahmad Khan sought to collect the opponents of the Congress in the United India Patriotic Association which was founded in 1888. But it did not last long. In 1893, a number of communal disturbances occurred in many places including Bombay, and in the same year Tilak started the Ganapati Festival in Poona. Beck took advantage of the situation to found the Muhammadan Defence Association of which he and Syed Mahmud became the Secretaries. In his inaugural address, he pointed out the defects of the Patriotic Association, the desirability of establishing a purely Muslim body, and the need of a political organisation to fight the Congress, which according to him, aimed at the transfer of power into the hands of Hindu groups and the Hindu revivalists, who threatened the very existence of the Muslims. He explained: “With the press pouring out a stream of political articles, our young educated Mahomedans will be drawn into the current to support or oppose the measures proposed. I think it would be a mistake to leave them without guidance.”

Addressing the London Muslim Association in 1895, Beck impressed upon them the impossibility of Hindu-Muslim unity, and, therefore, of a democratic system of government, because such a system would make the Muslims slaves of the Hindu majority for all time. He warned them not to repeat the mistake of 1857, and not to join the seditious Indian National Congress led by Dadabhai Naoroji and Bengali politicians. For if they did so, they would lose their posts, their independence and their status.

It is regrettable that Syed Ahmad Khan adopted the views
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of Beck and continued till his death to oppose every Congress resolution. His activities during the last 15 years of his life under the influence of Beck were so unexpected that even his close friends were surprised. Some of them ceased to co-operate with him. Samiullah Khan and his friends resigned from the trusteeship of the College, partly on account of Beck's increasing influence over the college affairs. Shibli Numani retired from the College, because he completely differed from the political views of Syed Ahmad Khan. Other trustees were so worried that they intended to appeal to the community through a series of articles in the press to intervene and save the institution, but his death made them desist. His last days were unhappy—a domestic calamity, the breakdown of his son's mind, the troubles in the College due to defalcation of funds, and public events, e.g., Sir Antony Macdonnell's orders permitting the employment of Hindi in courts, were shocks which shattered his health.

**Syed Ahmad Khan: Estimate of His Character**

In 1898, the grand old man passed away. Judged by any standards, he was a great man. The tribute paid to him by an intimate friend and quoted by C. F. Andrews, befittingly describes his greatness. He said: "In Sir Syed Ahmad I saw the grandeur, the lion-like strength, the high ideals, the passionate enthusiasm of a great mind. No Musalman, whom I ever met, impressed me more by the force and dignity of his character and his commanding intellectual greatness than Sir Syed Ahmad. Wherever he went, he naturally took the lead. His personality demanded it, and men instinctively followed him. His very presence and appearance were commanding. He was a born leader of men." By his magnificent lead, he rescued the Muslim community from wallowing in the slough of despond. He turned their mind from irrational devotion to outworn and obscurantist learning to modern education, which enabled them to play their rightful part in the affairs of their country. He converted the suspicion and hostility of the rulers into confidence and friendship.

That he should put the community before the country was unfortunate. A possible explanation of his attitude is that, with the exception of a small intellectual class, the country as
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a whole was still medieval in its outlook. Religion was the main
care the great masses of people, both Hindu and Muslim.
Both had among them dreamers, who saw visions of a golden
past undefiled by foreign contacts. They wished to resurrect
this past, little realising that it was impossible to reverse the
stream of history. Both subscribed to the view that Caesar
was identical with God and that there should be no discrimina-
tion between the duties that man owed to either. A stereo-
typed, non-capitalistic agrarian economy ordered society. Life
for the many was hard, poor and nasty, and employment for
the elite difficult to obtain. The habits of economic inter-
dependence and partnership between the communities were
weak and occupational isolation was the rule.

In the political sphere, Indian knowledge and experience
was confined to British thought and practice. Britain was a
democratic and homogeneous country without any permanent
religious, racial, or linguistic minorities. The problems of
Scotland, Ireland, and Wales hardly affected the picture. The
British majorities and minorities were purely political and
quite unstable and alterable. In India, the situation was differ-
ent; the Hindu majority did not, unfortunately, realise that
national unity and democratic government could only be
secured by creating in the minorities the feeling that their
religion and culture were safe and protected from interference.
The minorities felt that a permanent religious majority must
also be a permanent political majority; and in spite of the ex-
perience of modern governments, could not believe in the
possibility of religious and cultural freedom in a society where
the majority belonged to another faith. The hang-over of the
past was not yet dissipated.

The concept of majority was based upon number. The only
significant number known to them was contained in the figures
of the Census, which gave separate counts of the religious com-
unities. This number appeared fixed for all times.

There was no experience of number as applied to political
parties, to groups formed on the basis of political and economic
programmes, which were as changeable as the weather. There
was little realisation of the fact that not religion but material
interests, were the prime movers of modern government.

This confusion of religious and political numbers was res-
ponsible for lack of mutual understanding; and one community
blamed the other.
Syed Ahmad Khan gave hope and confidence to the upper-class Muslims, and created a directive centre for their political guidance. Although the movement he started swung the educated Muslims of India behind the lead of Aligarh and relegated their rivals to the background, the goals he set before the community were narrow and short-sighted, which made the accomplishment of the necessary task of communal conciliation and harmony more difficult.

Syed Ahmad Khan had begun his career as a social and religious reformer. He had realised that the downfall of the Muslims in India was due to the moral and spiritual decline of the Muslim elite. He therefore addressed himself to the task of Islamic reform. He took his stand upon reason because the Muslims had become a prey to superstition and tradition. To rescue them from torpor, it was necessary to condemn irrational beliefs and practices and to abandon the blind pursuit of tradition. Minds had to be freed from the shackles of old and outworn conceptions.

He rightly argued that the instrument of emancipating the Muslim mind was modern education, its rational approach and scientific method. So he founded the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College and the Scientific Society to achieve his object. But education of the modern type was needed not only to bring about a change in the mentality of the community, but also for a more immediate and practical purpose, namely, to train Muslim young men for the State services.

Unfortunately, the first objective met with serious opposition from the conservative elements in the Muslim community, and Syed Ahmad Khan was obliged to abandon the grand design, viz., the propagation of a rational interpretation of Islam, and to concentrate all his energies on the achievement of his practical aims, namely, the rehabilitation of the community in the favour of the Government so as to retrieve the economic condition of the Muslim upper classes.

Aligarh failed to become the centre of reascent Islam. It did not produce a Ghazali, a Waliullah, a Jamal-al-Din or a Rashid Raza. In the religious teachings of the College, tradition asserted its supremacy; but tradition and Western sciences, philosophies and literatures, ill-assorted with one another. Hence, in the minds of students, there was intellectual dichotomy. No reconciliation took place between dogma and reason.
Concern with the temporal welfare of the community obliged Aligarh to depend upon the Government, and to model itself upon English institutions. But in the pursuit of English education, both the Hindus and the Muslims neglected their own past. Not only did they become strangers to their own culture, they also lost touch with one another’s culture which was calamitous. Although the veneer of Western culture seemed to bring them near one another and made them look superficially alike, in the deeper concerns of their life they drifted apart. Western education had a strangely paradoxical effect; it produced intellectual similarity and emotional disparity.

The spirit of exclusiveness and isolation created a gulf between the minds such as had not existed in the past and which the common knowledge of Western thought was unable to bridge.

The educational system of India made understanding between the communities difficult. The emphasis upon English as the medium of instruction and of Western branches of knowledge as subjects of study in the secondary schools and universities, made the knowledge simultaneously of the two Indian classical languages, Sanskrit and Arabic, beyond the reach of students, and this prevented a proper understanding between them based upon knowledge of one another’s basic ideologies. The Hindus and Muslims educated under the Western system knew a great deal more of Western philosophies, sciences and literatures than they did of their own or of their neighbours.

VI. ALIGARH MOVEMENT AFTER SYED AHMAD KHAN

After the death of Syed Ahmad Khan, the reins of authority fell into the hands of Muhsin-ul-Mulk. But, on the Hindi-Urdu issue, he incurred the displeasure of Antony Macdonnell, the Lieutenant-Governor of the North-Western Provinces, with the result that political activity at Aligarh remained in abeyance till the Partition of Bengal opened a new chapter in the history of India.

During his term of office, Theodore Morison, the successor of Beck, guided the Aligarh Movement. He exerted his influence to keep the Muslims away from all political agitation. He told the Muslims, “this is just the time at which the upper classes, who have been treated with exceptional favour, should
show that they are not ungrateful”, and threatened that “if the behaviour of the leaders of the community gives colour to the accusations (of disloyalty), would it be strange if the Government withdrew its favour from the Musalmans, if Government disbelieved in their friendliness to the English in recent years, in their hostility to the Hindus and the pursuit of their own interests?”

The Aligarh Movement, according to its leader, had two aims—one religious, and the other political. In religious matters, Syed Ahmad Khan was a liberal rationalist. In politics, he failed to show far-sightedness. He did not visualise the goal of the community in a free India and hence thought of the future in terms of official favours and loyalty to the rulers. Among those who followed him there were some eminent scholars and some learned politicians. Prominent among the former were Chiragh Ali, Nazir Ahmad, Zaka Ullah and Altaf Husain Hali; and among the latter Muhsin-ul-Mulk and Vaqar-ul-Mulk, both retired officials of Hyderabad.

**Syed Ameer Ali**

Outside the circle of Syed Ahmad Khan, but equally concerned with him in the fate of the Muslim community was Syed Ameer Ali of Calcutta. He looked upon himself as a *Mutazila* and did more to mould the mind of educated Muslims in India and abroad than the rest of Syed Ahmad Khan’s followers taken together. But, in politics, he was more narrowly communal and rigidly separatist than any other leader of the Aligarh Movement. He was uncompromisingly opposed to the idea of the political unity and common citizenship of the Hindus and Muslims. He wrote, “It is only people who are ignorant of the situation, who do not understand the situation, that talk of common citizenship. Any attempt to drive the smaller into the bigger camp will only lead to discord and strife. . . . Do you think it possible to attain that end by driving them in common to the hustings?” He tried to obtain the support of Syed Ahmad Khan to his scheme to start a purely communal movement for the exclusive benefit of the Muslims, but received no encouragement from him. Then he founded the Central Muhammadan Association in 1877 to rouse the sense of responsibility
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in the community. In 1902, he published *The Spirit of Islam*, which presents Islam as a progressive, rational and democratic religion. He wrote also *A Critical Examination of the Life and Teachings of Muhammad, A Handbook of Muhammedan Law, A Short History of the Saracens, The Ethics of Islam, and Personal Law of the Muhammadans;* etc., besides numerous articles, to draw the attention of the Muslim youth to their glorious heritage and to remove misunderstandings in the West.

His attitude was to suppress the supernatural and miraculous elements in Islam and to prove that the sacred laws were in conformity with the ideals of the twentieth century. He did not accept the decisions of the four great Imams on traditional law as unalterable. He regarded the provisions of the *Shariat* capable of modification in accordance with modern requirements, and affirmed the right of private judgement.

Ameer Ali, on retirement, settled in England to propagate his views among the British Conservatives, both through the press and by means of personal interviews. In 1910, he opened the London Branch of the All-India Muslim League.

VII. THE ULAMA AND DEOBAND MOVEMENT

Syed Ahmad Khan's views were challenged by two sections of Muslims, the Ulama who were the bearers of traditional learning, and the nationalist Muslims.

While Syed Ahmad Khan became interested in the politics of the Muslims after the Revolt of 1857, the Ulama had been seized of them much earlier. The passing away of the Mughal Empire and the rise of British dominion in its place was a terrible shock to them. Of the two pillars on which Islam seemed to rest, *viz.*, faith and power, the latter had been wrecked. The question was whether the edifice of faith could remain standing without the support of the State.

The answer of the Ulama was that they could resuscitate Muslim political power. So, in his school, Shah Wali Ullah of Delhi undertook a dual task. Firstly, to clean the moral springs of life, to lift the dead-weight of legalistic dogma and to bring the Muslims back to the pure teachings of the *Quran* and the shining example of the Prophet. In the second place, he tried to find a solution for the practical problem which the British conquest had created. But it was his son, Shah Abdul Aziz, who, on the fall of Delhi in 1803, issued a decree (*fatwa*)
declaring that India was the land of war (Dar-ul Harb). The decree made it incumbent on the Muslims either to declare a holy war (jihad) against the Christian conquerors or to migrate from India to Muslim lands.

Syed Ahmad (of Bareilly) took the lead of the movement and he was supported by the relatives and pupils of Abdul Aziz. These brave people, whom the British misnamed "Wahhabis," carried on their campaign from the hilly, inhospitable terrain of the north-west frontier and continued to defy the Government till 1914.

In 1857, some of their followers joined the Revolt against the British. But the suppression of the Revolt posed for them the question which Shah Wali Ullah had to face earlier. In the mid-nineteenth century, after the collapse of the Revolt of 1857, however, the solution of the holy war, or Jihad, was unthinkable.

A group of Ulama, who had actively participated in the Revolt and had organised a mass movement against British rule with its centre at Shamli in the Muzaffarnagar district of Uttar Pradesh, evaded the wrath of the Government, and established a school at Deoband in the Saharanpur district, to train religious leaders for the community. Prominent among them were Muhammad Qasim Nanautavi (1837–1880) and Rashid Ahmad Gangohi (1828–1905), both followers of Haji Imadullah who migrated to Mecca in 1857.

The School of Deoband was opened in 1867 with the following objects:

(1) to exalt the word of God, unaffected by any temptation, patronage, pressure and favour;

(2) to extend contacts with the Muslims in order to organise them for leading their lives according to the original Islamic principles;

(3) to regard any co-operation with the Government or the nobility as injurious to the interests of the School;

(4) to follow firmly and strictly the teachings of Shah Wali Ullah;

(5) to avoid aristocratic and despotic ways and to work through co-operation and mutual consultation to set an example of democratic and republican methods in administration.

In education, the School followed the curriculum prescribed by the Dars-i-Nizami, which concentrated on the traditional sciences. The School was wholly independent in curricula,
finances and administration, and its graduates had no openings in the departments of the Government. It was a poor man’s school and its teachers and pupils lived hard lives in the most economaical conditions. They wanted to keep their faith bright and did not care for worldly success. English education, Western culture and Western domination over Eastern lands were hateful to them, and they longed to free Asian countries in order to bring about the moral and religious regeneration of the Muslim community.

Though the School was immediately concerned with the problems of education and character, the questions of ‘society and State’ were as important for them as those of ‘belief and practice’ of the individual. The happenings in India and the world of Islam naturally demanded their attention. The Indigo Riots of 1859-60, the Deccan Riots of 1876, the famines, and the deteriorating condition of the peasants and village artisans directly affected them. The political activity which started in Bengal and spread all over India, and especially the agitation provoked by the Ilbert Bill in 1883, had aroused widespread anti-British feelings. Discontent in India was growing.

At the same time, events overseas were spreading dismay among the Muslims all over the world. The expansion of Western imperialism over Egypt, Turkey, West Asia, North Africa, Iran, had its repercussions in India. Syed Jamal-al-Din Afghani’s visit had stirred up the young scholars of the Muslim seminaries. His call to free Asia from the yoke of Western culture and political supremacy found an echo in many hearts.

The Deoband School was in agreement with Jamal-al-Din Afghani’s views. Therefore, when in 1885 the Indian National Congress was founded to unite the people of India for political purposes, the School responded positively to the challenge. Rashid Ahmad Gangohi, who was the head of the institution in succession to Muhammad Qasim, defined the attitude of the School. He held that, in accordance with the decree of Shah Abdul Aziz, India was Dar-ul Harb and, therefore, it was incumbent upon the Muslims to drive the British out of the country.

On the question of co-operation in this task with the Hindus, Rashid Ahmad Gangohi gave the opinion that, for the fulfilment of national aims in temporal affairs, it was permissible accord-
ing to the *Shariat* for the Muslims to enter into agreement with the Hindus. Hence, he advised the community to co-operate with the Congress in its activities, though he himself did not join it because he believed in the complete independence of India, unlike the Congress of those days. The decision of the learned head of the Deoband School was an unambiguous declaration that the concept of nationality based upon the unity of all religious groups did not contravene any Islamic principle.

The decision created a gulf between Deoband and Aligarh. The pro-British attitude of Syed Ahmad Khan on the revolt of Arabi Pasha in 1881, Jamal-al-Din Afghani’s condemnation of Syed Ahmad Khan’s religious and political views, and lastly the support which Syed Ahmad Khan gave to the Western powers in the Turko-Greek war of 1897 against the Turks, widened the gulf between the two principal centres of Muslim opinion in India. In spite of the attempts of Muhsin-ul-Mulk, it was not possible to bring the two together; so that the Deobandi *Ulama*, even in times of grave communal dissensions, continued to uphold the cause of national solidarity and Indian independence.

**Shibli Numani**

Among the supporters of the Deoband School was Shibli Numani (1857-1914), a profound scholar of Persian and Arabic, and a prolific writer in Urdu—both prose and poetry. In 1883, he joined the staff of the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College at the invitation of Syed Ahmad Khan, and although he continued to serve till 1898, the year of Syed Ahmad Khan’s death, he early developed differences with his chief. He could not agree with Syed Ahmad Khan either in his theology or politics. Shibli was a rigid follower of Imam Abu Hanifa and did not approve of the Syed’s non-adherent (*ghair muqallid*) attitude towards the four schools of jurisprudence. Starting as a follower of Mataridi, he came under the influence of Ghazali and Razi, and ended by becoming a devotee of Ibn Taimiya. He therefore, rejected Syed Ahmad Khan’s rationalisation.

On the question of education, Shibli held a position midway between the school of Deoband and the school of Syed Ahmad Khan. He was in favour of reforming the traditional Islamic system of education by cutting down its verbal and formal studies and including the English language and the European sciences. He founded the Nadwatal Ulama and Darul Ulum
in Lucknow in 1894—1896, where he tried to give effect to his educational ideas. At first, he met with the determined opposition of the traditionalist Ulama, but ultimately succeeded in convincing them of the desirability of changing the old courses and introducing the teaching of English.

In political matters, he held that Islam was a liberal religion, which promoted progress and civilisation. It upheld the dignity of man, asserted human equality, championed the rights of women and favoured democratic forms of government. It taught tolerance and prohibited war, except in the defence of faith. It forbade the propagation of religion by force. Islam did not believe in the polarisation of life between temporal and eternal and rejected asceticism and monasticism.

Shibli was a democrat, an anti-imperialist, and a pan-Islamist. Naturally, he could not see eye to eye with Syed Ahmad Khan. These differences were deepened by their divergent views on Indian questions. He deplored Syed Ahmad Khan's attitude of loyalty to the British and opposition to the Congress. He thought he was too much under the influence of the English staff of the College. Syed Sulaiman Nadvi, the pupil and biographer of Shibli, says:

"The English professors had created the conviction in Sir Syed's mind that opposition to the Congress and friendship for the British were in the true interests of the college and the Musalmans. He had been so charmed by their magic that his own opinions had been submerged and now whatever he saw he saw with the eyes of Mr. Beck and the English staff, and whatever he heard he heard with their ears."

Shibli admired the Congress for its high idealism, and for its solicitude for the welfare and advancement of the Indian people. So far as the Muslims were concerned, he realised that as a minority, they had a dual status. They possessed a distinctive religion and culture, their part in the history of India had been glorious, they belonged by faith to a universal society. At the same time, the Muslims were citizens of India and they owed loyalty to their motherland. They shared with the other communities the deprivations which British rule imposed, and the aspirations for the future which all Indians entertained. He knew that it was not possible to revive the medieval Muslim
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rule, but he was convinced that the Muslims could, jointly with
the Hindus, create a state in which both could live honourably
and happily, and without any reproach from their conscience or
any violation of their sacred laws.

VIII. NATIONALIST MUSLIMS

Another Muslim school, which supported the Congress views, consisted of the educated Muslims, most of whom
belonged to the Bombay and Madras Presidencies. Their
outlook was nationalist in the modern sense of the term. They
supported the political advancement of India along democratic
lines, and they were deeply devoted to the cause of Hindu-
Muslim unity. For them, there was no conflict between their
faith in Islam and their love for India.

Badruddin Tyabji

Badruddin Tyabji (1844—1906) was, in the early days of
the Congress, one of the foremost nationalist leaders. He
belonged to an Arab family, which came to India and settled
down in Bombay. In early life, he was educated in a Muslim
Madrasa, and then in the Elphinstone Institute at Bombay.
He began to take a lively interest in politics when, in 1878 Lord
Lytton promulgated the Vernacular Press Act and suggested
to the Secretary of State for India (Lord Salisbury) to exclude
Indians from the Convenanted Service. He reacted vehement-
ly against these measures. The Ilbert Bill controversy of 1883
brought him to fight for the Indian cause. He was then rapidly
climbing upwards in his profession as a barrister. He had been
already appointed an additional member of the Legislative
Council of Bombay, where by his eloquence and advocacy he
gained great popularity among the people. On the 31st of
January 1885, the Bombay Presidency Association was estab-
lished, and he gave expression to his political opinions in the
following words:

"It is, I think, with the nations as with individuals that with
the growth of political life new aspirations arise, and those
aspirations require an organisation to give them due expres-
sion and the organisation in its turn watches, regulates, deve-
lops, and directs national aspirations." He went on to say, "We
have awakened to a sense of our political rights, and the distinc-
tions of race, colour and creed, which have so long and
unfavourably divided us, have at last disappeared under the softening and enlightening influence of education.”

Along with Kashinath Trimbak Telang and Pherozeshahe Mehta, Badruddin Tyabji was the recognised leader of all public movements in Bombay. As Sir H. P. Mody points out, “the brilliant triumvirate were carrying on a ceaseless campaign for reforms in every branch of the administration. From various platforms and through diverse organisations they laboured to promote the public good in every sphere of public life.”

When the Indian National Congress met in Bombay in December 1885, Tyabji not only supported the Congress but took pains to repudiate the charge of the London Times that the Muslims of Bombay had kept aloof. In a speech at the Bombay Presidency Association, he said, “I assure you of my perfect sympathy with the movement, and the sympathies of my co-religionists at large. The English Times, in writing about the movement, mis-stated that the Muhammadan community refrained from having anything to do with it. This I deny.” Although it is a fact that, for some reasons, Tyabji was unable to attend the session, Rahmatullah Sayani and Abdullah Dharamsi, two equally influential Muslim leaders of Bombay, were present. And Tyabji reaffirmed his views thus: “The Muhammadans have their Anjuman-e-Islam to represent to Government the wants of the community, and to urge them to adopt measures for its general improvement, but I deny that they are not one with their countrymen of other creeds and persuasions in the movement for the political improvement of their country.”

Feelers were thrown out by the Governor of Bombay, Lord Reay, to dissuade the Muslims from supporting the Congress. Lord Dufferin, who had already held a very satisfactory interview with Syed Ahmad Khan, personally tried to influence Badruddin Tyabji also. The Viceroy met Tyabji, presented to him a group photograph of himself and family, and professed great admiration and friendship for the Muslims, whom as British Ambassador in Turkey, he had come to love. But the effort of the Viceroy had no effect. Badruddin confessed, “I am
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much afraid of Donees bringing presents.”

Syed Ameer Ali also tried to win him over. As Secretary of the Muhammedan Association of Calcutta, he invited him to join the proposed Muhammedan political conference. Tyabji declined the invitation saying: “you are no doubt aware that I have always been of opinion that in regard to political questions at large, the Muhammedans should make a common cause with their fellow countrymen of other creeds and persuasions, and I cannot help deprecating any disunion on such questions between ourselves and the Hindus and Parsees. On this ground I have highly regretted the abstention of the Mussalmans of Calcutta from the National Congress held both in Bombay and Calcutta. If therefore, the proposed Muhammedan Conference is started simply as a rival to the National Congress, I should entirely oppose it, as it seems to me that the proper course is to join the Congress and take part in its deliberations, from our peculiar circumstances.”

In a subsequent letter, too, Badruddin Tyabji reiterated his political views in these words: “My own views are that in regard to general political questions affecting India as a whole, it is the duty of all educated and public-spirited citizens to work together, irrespective of their caste, colour or creed.”

In 1887, the Indian National Congress held its session in Madras and elected Badruddin Tyabji as President, and he paid no attention either to the displeasure of the Government or the frowns of Syed Ahmad Khan and Syed Ameer Ali. In his presidential address, he laid stress on the desirability of all communities of India joining together “in their efforts to obtain those great general reforms, those great rights which are for the common benefit of us all, and which I feel assured have only to be earnestly and unanimously pressed upon.” He refuted the charge that the Congress was merely a crowd of people. He challenged any person who made that assertion, stating, “come with me into this hall and look around you, and tell me where you could wish to see a better representation of the aristocracy, not of birth and of wealth, but of intellect, education, and position, than you see gathered within the walls of this hall.”

For several years after 1887, Tyabji was a decisive factor in the deliberations of the Congress. On the one hand, he attempted
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to convince the Muslims of India that, in matters of religion, they were free to act as they pleased and that the Congress would not interfere. But so far as national activities were concerned, the Indian Muslims should consider themselves as Indians and for all national advance—better government, better treatment of Indians, less taxes, better educational arrangements for all the communities—they should struggle together as one people to achieve their end. At the same time, he tried to dispel the fears of the Muslim community regarding the grant of representative institutions which would result in the preponderance of the Hindus, which might endanger the interests of Muslims by making laws and regulations affecting Muslim sacrifices on the occasions of Id and Muharram and Muslim ceremonies. He wrote to Muslim leaders of the North that it was the duty of all educated and public-spirited citizens, to work together irrespective of caste, colour or creed, and called upon all enlightened Muslims to do what they could individually and jointly to ameliorate the conditions of the people. As long as he lived, he remained a devout Muslim, but at the same time a devoted, loyal and fearless leader of the Indian National Congress.

In 1905, the Partition of Bengal opened a new phase in the relations between the Hindu and Muslim communities. Till then, Muslim political thought was divided between two schools. On the one hand, the upper class Muslims of northern India largely tended to gravitate towards the Aligarh Movement and the views of Syed Ahmad Khan. On the other hand, the School of Deoband and the Ulama, in general, held the leadership of those who were not trained in the institutions of Western education. The nationalist Muslims shared the liberal attitude of Syed Ahmad Khan towards the West, but differed from him on the problems of political reform, the ideas of representative democratic government, and methods of democratic agitation. But on the eve of the Partition of Bengal, it was difficult to say which school would ultimately triumph in the competition for leadership of the Muslim community.
CHAPTER NINE

RELIGIOUS AND SOCIAL REFORM MOVEMENTS

I. CHALLENGE OF THE WEST

The events following Plassey and culminating in the Revolt of 1857 had stirred India to its depths. The destruction of Indian polity, the transformation of its economy and the attacks upon its social system and culture, threatened to reduce this ancient people not only to political subservience for ever, but also to the status of an economic colony and a cultural province of the West. Against this political dependence and intellectual slavery, there arose a protest which gathered momentum as time passed.

The challenge of the West to the basic ideas and institutions of the East was totally unprecedented. As the overwhelming domination of the authority of England in the political field appeared unshakable and efforts to dislodge it proved futile, attention naturally turned to the cultural and social aspects of Western domination.

In the first decades of the nineteenth century, Western impact had started the process of self-criticism and reform of religion. In the second half of the century, the process acquired greater momentum. The challenge of Western Christianity had aroused both the Hindus and the Musalmans to set their houses in order. Both realised that age had dimmed the brightness of their original mission, defiled the pristine purity of their faith, and cluttered religion with blind tradition, lazy acquiescence to evil customs, puerile rites, irrational practices and cruel superstitions. Both Hinduism and Islam sought to rid themselves of these evils in order that the stream of spiritual life should flow clear and strong, bearing the individual and society towards the goal of freedom and happiness.

The spiritual awakening and the yearning for purification naturally directed men’s mind towards the times of yore when their faith had just sprung from its sources, lustrous and undefiled. Reform in such a case signified nothing more than a return to the origins, and the main effort of many reformers took the form of revival. But as the revival of the past is an impossibility, and as the past has inevitably to be a construction of the mind that thinks of it, the reformers, according to their
personal predilections, put forth different pictures of the original faith and prescribed different methods of making it live again.

Reform or revival necessitated rejection of elements which were considered incompatible with the original faith. In this process some reformers applied the pruning shears more freely than others. They also differed in their interpretations and adaptations of the old doctrines and practices to the present conditions.

Thus a number of schools of thought arose both among the Hindus and the Muslims. Notwithstanding their obvious differences, they showed a similar trend, viz., a vivid consciousness of the need for religious reconstruction and moral reform and a keenness to unite all those professing the same faith. They agreed that their political failure and resulting misfortune were due to the moral decline and social deficiency which had resulted from neglect of true religion.

But the most interesting consequence of these religious movements was to foster a rational outlook. It was inevitable that discussions of religious doctrines and polemics between the followers of different religious sects should have an unsettling effect upon the mind. Even the attitude of those conservatives who continued to stick firmly to tradition, was changed from uncritical acceptance to justification by reason. Whatever else the religious debates may or may not have done, they certainly promoted the individualism which is the basis of modern secular thought. Individualism combined with political and economic factors provided a powerful incentive to the growth of nationalism. It is from this point of view, that a discussion of the religious movements becomes relevant to the history of the struggle for freedom.

Among the reformers, Hindus as well as Muslims, there were many groups forming a gamut from those who were essentially conservative and advocated as little change as possible to those who hovered on the brink of repudiation of all traditions. All had come directly or indirectly under the influence of Western thought, including even those who were brought up under traditional influences, for they too were affected by the spirit of the times. In the advanced liberal group were such stalwarts as Ram Mohan Roy, Debendranath Tagore Keshab Chandra Sen, M. G. Ranade, Iswara Chandra Vidyasagar, Raj Narayan Bose among the Hindus; and Syed Ahmad Khan, Ameer Ali and Muhammad Iqbal among the Muslims.
The group more firmly devoted to ancient tradition consisted of Dayananda Saraswati, Ramakrishna Paramahansa, Vivekananda and Bankim Chandra Chatterji among the Hindus, and the promulgators of such sects as Ahl-i-Hadith and Ahl-i-Quran, and the Qadianis among the Muslims.

In this chapter it is proposed to continue the story of the Hindu religious movements from the previous chapter. It was pointed out there that this movement was divided into three streams. In the second half of the nineteenth century the three streams continued to flow, but there were changes in their relative strength. For a number of years the popularity of the Brahmo Samaj continued to grow, then internal schisms and splits weakened it and it ceased to appeal to the popular mind; eventually its following became confined to a small group.

But the liberalism of Ram Mohan Roy crossing the boundaries of Bengal appeared in other regions and furnished the leaven for the movements of reform there.

Radicalism did not assume the shape of an organised system, but it had many adherents among Westernised individuals and its influence was felt in the forms of literature, as well as in social and political activities.

The conservative outlook, on the other hand, increased its sway over the mind of the middle classes as the years passed—specially after 1870, and a number of powerful revivalist religious movements appeared in the country. Although these movements were conservative in the sense that they upheld the ancient traditions of the Hindu religion, there were differences among them regarding the content of the traditions. There were also different degrees of rejection and of approval of old dogmas, rites and religious texts. But almost all of them repudiated the crass superstitions and irrational practices which had grown up during the middle ages.

II. THE BRAHMO SAMAJ

Ram Mohan Roy was the first fruit of the new plant which grew as a result of the dissemination of Western culture in the Indian soil. His approach in religious matters was largely intellectual. The Society (Brahmo Samaj) which he organised was based upon his severely rational attitude. Again, his discovery and exaltation of the principle of monotheism was the
outcome of an individual mind which had the courage to defy the contemporary beliefs of the Hindu community. Thus his Brahmoism could only attract minds of an intellectual cast. His deistic theology, rational ethics, liberal attitude in social, economic and political matters, thorough-going repudiation of medievalism in thought and practice, and differentiation between secular and religious concerns was strong meat not easy to digest.

In its practical aspects, Brahmo worship consisted in congregational study, contemplation and meditation without the colourful appurtenances usual in the ritual and ceremonial of churches for holding the attention of the worshippers. In the dry and rarefied atmosphere of its hall of prayer, it was not easy for the puritanic faith to flourish.

The death of Ram Mohan Roy was followed by a decade of inaction and regression. Then, in 1843, Debendranath Tagore, (son of Dwarkanath Tagore, the saintly collaborator of Ram Mohan Roy) was initiated into the Samaj. He undertook the reorganisation of the Samaj. He opened a school known as the Tattvabodhini Pathshala to train Brahmo missionaries, established the Tattvabodhini Sabha for philosophical and religious discussions, and started the Tattvabodhini Patrika, under the editorship of A. K. Dutt, to propagate Brahmoism. He drew up the rules of membership and regulated the appointment of the ministers. A Brahmo Covenant, consisting of vows for the members enjoining love and worship of one God and performance of deeds loved by Him, was drawn up and a liturgy of theistic prayer and adoration was introduced; a treatise on religion based on Hindu texts (Brahmo Dharma) and a prayer book were compiled. The Samaj, thus rejuvenated, made much progress. Its missionaries visited different parts of India and established its branches from the Panjab to East Bengal.

Thus from 1843 to 1857, there was much progress in the religious movement started by Ram Mohan Roy. Then, in 1857, Keshab Chandra Sen joined the Samaj and threw himself with all the fervour of his ardent nature into its work. By this time Brahmoism had travelled far from its original stand. The intellectualism and individualism of Ram Mohan Roy had not been abandoned, but other sectors of the mind and ranges of human experience which he had neglected, were brought to the fore and his religion was humanised. The ideas of prayer and
communion with God, of consecration of life, of loving devotion to God and service of man according to His will, and of search for His light, inspiration and blessing, became parts of the faith and imparted to it the emotional content which it had lacked.

However, the spirit of enquiry, reform and change once roused, could not remain satisfied with the Covenant and the doctrines of Debendranath. He had started with the dogma of the infallibility of the Vedas, but soon discovered its unsoundness and fell back on the rationalism of Ram Mohan Roy. Nature and intuition remained the two sources of religious knowledge. Debendranath rejected not only the belief in the divinity of the Vedas, but also in the Hindu doctrines of Karma and transmigration. The basis of Brahmoism henceforth would rest on "the human heart illumined by spiritual knowledge born of self-realization." But so keen was his sense of national dignity that throughout his life Debendranath persistently refused to receive any favours from the British Government or even to enter into any manner of association with the representatives of the alien authority in his country. In the words of Rajnarayan Bose: "Debendra Babu is usually unwilling to be acquainted with the Europeans because he cannot agree with them on the Indian affairs. It is easy to get recognition in England and India by endorsing their views, but Debendra Babu is not anxious to get the recognition from the British. The Principal of the Krishnanagar College, Mr. Lobb, once wrote: 'The proud old man does not condescend to accept the praise of Europeans.'"

On the other hand, Keshab Chandra Sen's ebullient mind eagerly sought to explore new ideas and to undertake fresh experiments, so that he soon found himself unable to remain within the fold of Hinduism. In 1860, he founded the Sangat Sabha (the Friendly Association), where enquiries were held into the validity of the Hindu rites like the 'Durga Puja,' and the Hindu institutions like the caste. It was decided to discontinue their observance. In 1861, the Calcutta College was established to impart English education, and the Indian Mirror was started to give publicity to the activities of the mission.

In 1864, Keshab Chandra undertook a tour of India—the first important attempt in modern India to bring about ideological changes.

---
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unity in India. As a result of his mission, the Veda Samaj was established in Madras and the Prarthana Samaj in Maharashtra. Meanwhile, Keshab's radicalism was pushing the Samaj so fast that soon a clash occurred between him and Debendranath on questions relating to Hindu customs and Hindu religious attitudes. It led, in 1865, to Keshab's withdrawal from the parent body and the establishment of a new association. The objects of this association were to admit all the Brahmos of India, men and women, in one body, and to establish the principles of Brahmô religion on a universal basis, gleaned from the teachings of all religions. Significantly, it chose for itself the name of 'Bharatavarshiya Brahma Samaj,' that is, the Brahma Samaj of India. Debendranath's society came to be known as the 'Adi Brahma Samaj,' or the 'Original Brahma Samaj.'

The first schism in the Samaj was followed by a second a few years later. From the commencement, the new Samaj was under the complete spell of Keshab Chandra, whose enthusiasm and genius attracted the youth of Bengal. But his was a restless spirit. In 1870, he visited England and returned with redoubled enthusiasm for social reform and social welfare work. In 1872, he persuaded the Government to place on the statute book the Brahma Marriage Act, which legalised the form of marriage according to Brahma rites. This constituted a distinct break with Hinduism.

In the meantime, Keshab had been developing new ideas. On the one hand he was greatly attracted by Christianity; on the other, he was gripped by the devotional practices of the Vaishnavites. The concept of Avatara—God incarnating Himself as man, in both these religions, led him to add revelation as a source of religion, besides nature and intuition. He began also to give more and more time to prayer, adoration of God and Kirtana. The feeling that he was a specially inspired messenger of God gradually took possession of his mind. Keshab, who had ruled the Samaj as an authoritarian patriarch, now began to speak of his deeds as God-inspired. This aroused opposition among a section of his followers. In 1878, the marriage of his daughter to the young Maharaja of Cooch-Behar—when both the bride and the bridegroom were under-age, in accordance with Hindu rites and in violation of the Brahma Marriage Act of 1872, infuriated his opponents, and they seceded from the Samaj in a body.
The secessionists formed a new organisation under the name of 'Sadharana Brahma Samaj,' whose membership was open to only those who completely refrained from idolatrous practices, repudiated the caste system, supported the emancipation of women, followed Brahma rites in all domestic ceremonies, and adhered to the new creed formulated by the society.

The young Brahmos who founded the Sadharana Brahma Samaj took a prominent part in political movements. Under Sibnath Sastri's leadership, they "proclaimed their faith in independence, forswore service under the alien Government, but promised to work in a peaceful way in view of the circumstances of the country." They also worked for the universal liberation of all peoples "under the banner of democratic republicanism."1 Surendra Nath Banerjea, who later rose to the position of the uncrowned king of Bengal, was the most prominent among them.

For Keshab Chandra, this schism was an occasion of sore trial, but he came out of it with a fresh resolve to revitalise the Samaj with deeper spiritual intent and greater reforming zeal. He promulgated his new mission which he called the Nava Vidhana or the 'New Dispensation'. Its most marked features were: (1) emphasis on the mystic aspect of religion; and (2) an attempt to combine Christian and Hindu ideals and practices. He organised a band of twelve disciples who were declared as God-appointed 'apostles,' and an order of men and women who were placed under strict vows.

On the one hand, he avowed that "Christ was a model man, a model Theist in so far as he attained to that high degree of communion in which the soul is lost in Divinity," and looked upon him as a prophet; on the other, he came under the influence of Ramakrishna Paramahansa, from whom he learnt to regard God as Mother, the sweet and tender benefactor of man and of the whole creation.

Keshab Chandra desired his 'New Dispensation' to be "the harmony of all scriptures and prophets and dispensations." It also endeavoured to convert outward facts and characters into facts of consciousness, so that man might realise the objective divinity of God in his own heart, not merely as a person, but also as a character. His last years were devoted to the development of the doctrines, ritual, and organisation of his new
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church. In the field of religion, his was the most outstanding attempt to bring about deliberately a fusion of the ideals of the Christian West with those of Hindu India. After his death in 1884, no leader of his grand stature arose in any of the three Samajas. But Keshab’s eclectic religion failed to evoke any considerable response among his educated countrymen and the Brahma Samaj ceased to expand. In 1911, there were 183 Brahma Samajas in India with a total membership of 5504.

Though small in numbers the Brahma Samajists were spread all over the country. For the first time, a modern religious movement propagated by the representatives of the middle class intelligentsia had sought to unite followers all over India together. The Samaj may not have achieved striking success numerically, but its influence on the social reform movements was considerable. In rousing the sentiment of patriotism the Samaj played a prominent role.

Bipin Chandra Pal assessed the contribution of the Brahma Samaj in the evolution of nationalism in these words: “The Brahma Samaj, under Keshab Chandra Sen, had proclaimed a new gospel of personal freedom and social equality, which reacted very powerfully upon the infant national consciousness and the new political life and aspirations of young Bengal... The old paralysing sense of superiority of their political masters over them was visibly replaced by a new self-confidence in our educated countrymen.”

Among the prominent leaders of the social reform movement was Raj Narayan Bose (1826—99), a broad-minded scholar who was well acquainted with Persian language and literature. He wrote The Science of Religion which has a unique interest for the Brahmos. “It is, in short, their theology,” wrote the Brahma Public Opinion. He was a bitter critic of Keshab Chandra Sen and his disciples who wanted to set him up as an incarnation of God. He laid stress on the essential identity of Brahmoism and Hinduism; only he held that in Brahmaism the Hindu faith had reached its highest development.

He founded the Society for the Promotion of National Feeling whose objects were the physical improvement of youth through Indian gymnastics, the development of Hindu music, medicine, Sanskrit language, and ancient learning through

schools, and the replacement of the foreign usages, manners and language by Indian forms. In order to popularise his movement of Indianisation, he started the ‘Hindu Mela,’ in 1867, and the National Society.

Iswar Chandra Vidyasagar (1820–1891), was more an educationist than a religious reformer. He was a profound Sanskrit scholar who became a professor in the Sanskrit College, Calcutta in 1850 and a year later, Principal. He served also as a Special Inspector of Schools. He resigned from Government service in 1858, but continued to advise informally the Government on educational matters.

He accelerated the process of secularisation initiated by Ram Mohan Roy by introducing the study of English in the Sanskrit College in order to promote the modern outlook. He wrote to the Council of Education:

“For certain reasons . . . we are obliged to continue the teaching of the Vedanta and Sankhya in the Sanskrit College. That the Vedanta and Sankhya are false systems of philosophy is no more a matter of dispute. These systems, false as they are, command unbounded reverence from the Hindus. While teaching these in the Sanskrit course, we should oppose them by sound philosophy in the English course to counteract their influence.”

For the modernisation of society in Bengal he advocated a number of social reforms. The evils which he attacked were polygamy, child marriage, and prohibition of widow remarriage. It was due to his efforts, supported by those of other influential men, that the Legislature passed the Act of 1856 legalising the marriage of Hindu widows. In his advocacy of reform he did not reject authority, but rather relied upon the one which was most suitable for modern conditions. For this purpose he selected the Parashar Samhita.

Unfortunately, the other social reforms in which he was interested, viz., that of polygamy and child marriage, did not succeed, in spite of his powerful support through pamphlets like Bahu-vivaha and Balya-vivaher Dosh.

His championship of the women's emancipation led to his co-operation with Drinkwater Bethune and the establishment of the Bethune College for Women, and other schools.

---

III. Prarthana Samaj

The Brahma Samaj was the first missionary movement in modern India and Keshab Chandra the first missionary to tour the country for propagating the new faith. When he visited Bombay in 1864, the ground had already been prepared to receive his message. An educated middle class of the same type as that of Bengal had arisen in the Presidency. It was absorbing Western ideas and receiving English education. It had felt the need of religious and social reform and resented proselytisation by Christian missionaries. Societies to combat the spread of Christianity were formed like the 'Paramahansa Sabha.'

In 1867, as a result of Keshab Chandra Sen's visit, the 'Prarthana Samaj' (Prayer Society) was established. Its main tenets were theistic and its object social reform. When such eminent men as M. G. Ranade and R. G. Bhandarkar joined the Samaj, it gained in stature and strength, and its activities expanded. A newspaper, Subodh Patrika, was started to spread the teachings of the Society and a night school was opened to impart education to the working classes. The Samaj started missionary work in 1882. Pandita Ramabai, a talented Maratha lady, gave much help in founding the 'Arya Mahila Samaj' (Arya Women's Association). Soon a number of men of light and learning joined the society and gave a great impetus to the work of social reform and social welfare.

The chief architect of the Samaj was Mahadev Govind Ranade (1842–1901), a man of the highest intellectual stature in the India of the nineteenth century. A brilliant student, an inspiring teacher, an upright and talented judicial officer, Ranade rose to the highest position in the judiciary of India. He had very broad interests and there was no important aspect of national life to which he did not apply his mind. But above every other matter, he devoted his energies to religious and social reform. For forty long years he was engaged with unremitting zeal in removing the evil practices and superstitious notions which had been responsible for the pitiable condition of the Indian society.

Ranade's approach to the problems of religion and society was rational, but he was not a blind follower of Western ways or an uncritical admirer of Western thought. He took pride in belonging to the Hindu faith and regarded himself a devout
lover of the Bhagavata Dharma. He had freed his mind from all narrowness and from beliefs which could not be justified by reason. He condemned the isolationism and exclusiveness of the Hindus and asked them that they should embrace the whole of mankind with open arms. He exhorted them to give up asceticism and contempt of the world, to exert themselves to fulfil their duties in society, to abandon the complacency which possession engenders, and to strive for progress and development.

Hinduism was the chosen faith of Ranade, but it was a Hinduism purified of the excrescences and reinterpreted in the light of modern theistic philosophy. Ranade rejected the mystic pantheistic exposition of Hindu Vedantic philosophy by Sankara and approved the theistic interpretation of Ramanuja, the Vaishnavas, and the medieval Maratha Saints. He said: "They have attained to a higher and truer conception of Theism than any of the other prevailing systems." He looked upon the 'Bhakti Movement' as truly theistic and not at all idolatrous.

He recognised that religious truth did not possess the validity of logical demonstration but of intuitive certitude, just as faith in the truths of science was based on the belief in the continuity and uniformity of natural laws and not on logical deductions. The way in which he argued in favour of Theism suggests that he had studied European philosophers on the problem, but it is doubtful if he had fully grasped the subtleties of their cosmological, teleological and ontological arguments, proofs and refutations. His views regarding theism are set out in the thirty-nine articles which he drew up under the title, A Theist's Confession of Faith. They may be summarised in a few fundamental principles as follows:

(1) God is the absolute object of worship. He is Lord, Father, Judge and moral Governor. "God is One and without a second and not many persons, not a triad, nor a duality of persons. Neither is He self-absorbed Brahma resting in the world of matter and spirit." There are no other gods besides Him and idol worship is folly. He has no incarnations, but He reveals Himself in nature, man and history.

(2) "The universe is God's creation and is real. It is not mere appearance. The existence, motion and life of matter is

---
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from God." But the problems of the origin of the world, man and of the relation between God, man, and the universe, are mysterious and insoluble.

(3) Man's nature is spiritual. His soul is not material. It is immortal. It is neither identical with God, nor an emanation of Him. The souls are many and every one of them is endowed with powers of reasoning, willing and discriminating between right and wrong. They are free and responsible for their conduct. Man's life here is one of discipline, trial and preparation for a future state of existence. But the nature of future life is unknown. Only there can be no eternal punishment for the sins of a finite life. There is no original sin and no one is predestined to heaven or hell.

Man's relation with God involves progressive development of man's conception of the divine and its realisation. In this process prayer, communion, fellowship of good and pious men, repentance and purification are essential. Congregational worship in temples, ceremonies to solemnise the great landmarks in life are helpful. But asceticism, adoration of 'Gurus,' beliefs in redeemers and miracles, are wholly unnecessary.

Above all, obedience to conscience is paramount over all considerations of expediency. The only limits to this obedience are considerations of morality and of equal rights of other men. Under the moral law, all men and all women are equal and it is the supreme duty of man to love man and love God, with devout sincerity and reverent faith. The end towards which the moral process leads man is to attain freedom from the trammels and lusts of the body.

From these religious tenets followed Ranade's ideas of social reform. Since man is essentially divine and all men are equal, it is natural to conclude that human society which is the consequence of the God-implanted social instinct in man, is equally divine. Hence progress of the individual and society is moral progress, which implies the removal of those customs, institutions and modes of behaviour which obstruct this progress, and deny the divine nature of man.

If Ranade was proud of the ancient Vedic religion as he understood and explained it in his speeches and writings, he was equally convinced that the superstitious practices, irrational and inhuman customs from which Hindu society suffered in

---
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modern days, were the “alienations from the old standards for which you cannot hold the old law-givers responsible. They are the work of human hands, concessions made to weakness, abuses substituted for the old healthier regulations.”

But he had sensed that the argument from history was susceptible of misuse by his opponents. He, therefore, placed the idea of reform on firmer grounds, and justified it by reason. He affirmed that “reform is really the work of liberation—liberation from the restraints imposed upon an essentially superior religion, law and polity, institutions and customs, by our surrender to the pressure of mere brute force for selfish advancement.” In his address on “Revival and Reform,” he stated that “it is not the outward form but the inward form, the thought and the idea which determines the outward form that has to be changed, if real reformation is desired.” The root ideas which required change were isolationism, submission to outward force rather than to the voice of conscience, observation of differences between man and man based on heredity, passive acquiescence in evil or wrong-doing, and a general indifference to secular well-being, almost bordering on fatalism.

Then he points out the responsibility of the individual to his own conscience in these words:

“Great and wise men in the past or in the present, have a claim on our regards. But they must not come between us and our God—the Divine principle seated within everyone of us, high or low. It is this sense of self-respect, or rather of respect to the God in us, which has to be cultivated, and it is a tender plant which takes years and years to cultivate. But we have the capacity, and we owe it as a duty to ourselves to undertake the task.”

The weakness of the appeal to the past which he had at one time supported was realized by him and in a passage full of bitter sarcasm, he lashed out against the revivalists who were advocating a return to the old institutions and customs. He asked: “What shall we revive? Shall we revive the old habits of our people when the most sacred of our castes indulged in all the abominations, as we now understand them, of animal food and intoxicating drink? . . . Shall we revive the twelve
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forms of sons, or eight forms of marriage, which included capture, and recognised mixed and illegitimate intercourse? Shall we revive the hecatombs of animals sacrificed from year's end to year's end, in which even human-beings were not spared as propitiatory offerings to God? Shall we revive the Shakti worship of the left hand, with its indecencies and practical debaucheries? Shall we revive the Sati, and infanticide customs, or the flinging of living men into the rivers, or over rocks, or hook-swinging, or the crushing beneath the Jagannath Car?"  

In this connection, the pertinent question was which particular period of the past should be revived. Society is a living organism in which the process of change is continuous. Usages change, institutions, laws, customs, religious concepts and beliefs have all undergone modification. "The dead and the buried or burnt are dead, buried, and burnt once for all, and the dead past cannot, therefore, be revived." Only the old has to be reshaped into a new organism, and that is social reform.

(Among the reforms which he persistently advocated, the most important were the establishment of the equality of men which involved the abolition of caste and the recognition of inter-caste marriages; the prohibition of child marriage, the legalisation of the marriage of widows, the repudiation of the seclusion of women, and the promotion of women's education; the rejection of all irrational and cruel customs and all practices which degraded human beings. In short, social reform was the purification and improvement of the individual and the recasting of the family, village, tribe and nation into new moulds. The philosophy of religion and society which Ranade propounded was deeply steeped in Western ideology. But apart from the actual concepts of theism, human equality, social freedom, anti-asceticism and activism which he adopted from the West, he owed a far more precious debt to the West. in as much as his intellectual approach to the problems of the individual and society was affected by it. (His attitude was objective and critical, and his method largely historical and, where necessary, deductive. His striving for reforms was based upon his faith in the idea of progress. But progress, according to him, did not mean merely an improvement in the material conditions of life, nor even in knowledge, but rather in the moral and spiritual conditions. Nor did he believe that pro-
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gress was an advance in a straight and continuous line towards some ideal of human betterment.) For example, there were a number of periods in the past of India which were materially and morally more glorious than the present. That which comes later in time is not necessarily superior to the anterior. Nor is a stagnant or retrogressive period in a country's history, a forerunner of permanent and irretrievable decline. Progress for him was the onward movement of social life in which man operated as a free agent.

Applying these principles to Indian history, Ranade found that it was a story of ups and downs, of peace and order followed by disruption and chaos, due to inner moral decay and violent external intervention. In every case, God secures His benevolent purpose through the conscience of man, and every major event takes place according to the providence of God. For instance, about the Muslim rule over India he says:

"It cannot be easily assumed that in God's Providence, such vast multitudes as those who inhabit India were placed for centuries together under influences and restraints of alien domination, unless such influences and restraints were calculated to do lasting service in the building up of the strength and character of the people in directions in which the Indian races were most deficient."[1]

"It will be seen from this that so far from suffering from decay and corruption the native races gathered strength by reason of the Mahomedan rule when it was directed by the wise counsel of those Mahomedan and Hindu statesmen who sought the weal of the country by a policy of toleration and equality. Since the time of Ashoka, the element of strength born of union was wanting in the old Hindu dynasties who succumbed so easily to the Mahomedan invaders."[2]

After enumerating "a hundred other ways the Mahomedan domination helped to refine the tastes and manners of the Hindus,"[3] he points out that "more lasting benefits have however accrued by this contact in the higher tone it has given to the religion and thoughts of the people."[4]

He concludes: "If the lessons of the past have value, one thing is quite clear, viz., that in this vast country no progress
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is possible unless both Hindus and Mahomedans join hands together, and are determined to follow the lead of the men who flourished in Akbar's time and were his chief advisers and councillors, and sedulously avoid the mistakes which were committed by his great-grandson Aurangzeb."

(The future of India which took shape in the grand vision of Ranade, was that of a great nation proud of its past, marching on towards a bright future under the providence of God, united firmly in the pursuit of the ideals of equality and liberty, purified of social evils and inspired by the voice of conscience and morality.)

IV. VEDA SAMAJ

The movement of reform which had commenced in Bengal, was carried by Keshab Chandra Sen to Maharashtra and Tamil Nad. In 1864, he paid a visit to Madras and by his eloquence persuaded the people to establish the Veda Samaj. Under its auspices, weekly prayer meetings were held and a newspaper to publicise its doctrines was started. Among the first leaders, the most prominent were two respected members of the Madras Bar—V. Rajagopal Charlu and P. Subrayala Chetti, and a retired judge and Telugu author Visvanath Mudaliar.

But the real founder of the organisation was the young K. Sridharalu Naidu, a poor man of great vision, promise and enthusiasm. He travelled to Calcutta, studied the Brahmo movement and on returning to Madras, changed the Veda Samaj into the Brahmo Samaj of southern India in 1871. He introduced a new, bolder and more spiritual confession of faith in place of the older covenant, translated the books of Brahmo Dharma into Tamil and Telugu, and undertook missionary tours to propagate the faith. Unfortunately, he died in an accident in 1874.

His tragic death was followed by dissensions within the society. Some members remained true to the faith of Sridharalu Naidu, who was a disciple of Keshab Chandra, but the majority formed a society of their own on the lines of the Sadharana Brahmo Samaj. The dispute, however, weakened the movement and reduced its popularity.

Ram Mohan Roy and Mahadev Govind Ranade were two mighty spirits who appeared in the nineteenth century and
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summoned India to shed her fears and weaknesses and forge ahead in the fulfilment of her destiny. They were both far in advance of their times, and though their countrymen did not pay immediate heed to their message, they blazed the trail which unmistakably points to the goal towards which India is moving inevitably, though faltering.

Keshab Chandra Sen’s Theism had developed into a mystic emotional eclecticism beyond the pale of Hinduism. Of the three branches of the Brahma Samaj, the ‘Adi Samaj’ declined as it became, more or less, indistinguishable from Hinduism. The ‘Nava Vidhan’ of Keshab Chandra Sen suffered from the founder’s excessive individualism and extravagant views. Only the ‘Sadharana Samaj’ continued to maintain its position and to make steady progress. Its missionaries, service organisations and newspapers served it well.

Ranade taught a religion in which intellectualism dominated although the claims of the heart were not neglected. But its severe puritanism and condemnation of some of the most cherished, although out of date Hindu traditions, gave the opportunity to the more conservative religious leaders to take advantage of the growing discontent against Western domination to popularise movements of revivalism which appealed to the people’s sense of pride in the achievements of their predecessors in their days of freedom. Both in Bengal and Maharashtra the radical and liberal movements received a setback.

V. REVIVALIST MOVEMENT IN BENGAL

Round about 1870 in Bengal and 1880 in Maharashtra, revivalism began to replace in popularity the creed of the Brahma Samaj and the Parthana Samaj, and a new note of assertive Hinduism began to sound above the voice of rationalism, which had reverberated in the land for nearly forty years.

Many factors combined to promote this tendency. In Bengal the orthodox section of the Hindu middle class under the lead of the learned Radha Kanta Deb of Sobha Bazar had founded the Dharma Sabha in 1830, in opposition to Ram Mohan Roy’s liberal Brahma Sabha. But the movement was unable to make much progress because of the stir made by the radicals of young Bengal and by the reformers like the saintly Dwarkanath Tagore and his son, Debendranath. The two continued
to dominate the scene for nearly half a century. The social reform movement was ably supported by Akshay Kumar Datta (1820—86), Iswar Chandra Vidyasagar (1820—91), Ramtanu Lahiri (1813—1898), Raj Narayan Bose (1826—99) and others whose co-operation greatly enhanced the reputation of the Brahma society.

But in the decades following the Revolt of 1857, a change occurred in the political atmosphere of the country. The play of the new factors began to modify social attitudes. The influence of radicalism in religious thought and urgency of social reform began to recede, and conservative tendencies were accentuated. During the seventies the change became marked.

The two movements which swayed more and more the mind of Bengal after 1858, were those of nationalism and romanticism. Both were the product of common urges—the feeling of individual self-assertion and of pride in the past of the race, resentment against the haughtiness and oppression of the ruling class, sympathy for the growing misery and poverty of the rural population, and yearning for liberty and equality. These urges combined to stimulate the desire for political emancipation, without which even social reform on a large scale seemed impossible.

The feeling of pride in the past was initially aroused by religious movements. It was fed by historical studies and the discoveries of the archaeologists and indologists. Ancient literature, philosophy, science, law, arts and monuments which had been buried in oblivion were raised to life, and they enormously enhanced the reputation of India in the world and the self-respect of the people in their own estimation.

The result was a revulsion of feeling against Western culture and an eagerness to repudiate Western superiority. The movement, popularly known as neo-Hinduism, had a number of adherents. But it was divided into two distinct schools of thought—the one totally opposed to all reform, and the other admitting the need for change in particulars but not in the main substance. The pioneer of the first was Sasadhar Tarka Chudamani and of the second, Bankim Chandra Chatterji.

Sasadhar was an exponent of the orthodox Pauranic ritualism and ceremonialism. He defended the popular ceremonies, social customs and institutions of the Hindus by attempting to show that they were based on profound scientific laws. About him Tagore wrote in his Reminiscences, "Pandit Sasadhar's
school ... carried to absurd length their attempt to justify even current superstitions by pseudo-scientific explanation.”

Among the leaders of neo-Hinduism who were nearer in their views to Sasadhar Chudamani were Krishna Prasanna Sen, Nabin Chandra Sen and Hemchandra Bandyopadhyaya. Krishna Prasanna Sen did not function on an intellectual plane and his approach to religious discussion was a crude one. Nabin Chandra Sen, essentially a poet, had his own views regarding the existing social institutions. He believed in monism but found nothing wrong in idolatry. He argued that the age-old social customs such as the caste-system, child-marriage and marriage within one's own caste, need not be without their good points. He vehemently criticised the secular Western education system as it was being gradually introduced in Bengal and he forcefully pleaded that the purpose of education should be the development and preservation of religious values.

Like Nabin Chandra, Hemchandra Bandyopadhyaya was a conservative Hindu. In 1857, he wrote a long biographical essay on Sri Krishna which was later published in the book form. In his Brahmo Theism in India, Hemchandra explained the educated Hindu should not embrace Brahmoism.

Bankim Chandra Chatterji (1838—94)

The most influential pioneer of the movement in Bengal was Bankim Chandra Chatterji. He represented the general awakening which was taking place in the old traditional sects in the nineteenth century. Before him the defence of conservative Hinduism had been undertaken by the Dharma Sabha under the leadership of Radha Kanta Deb (1784—1867). But there were similar developments in other parts of India among the Madhvas, Sri Vaishnavas, Saiva Siddhantas, Lingayats and Smartas of the South, the followers of Chaitanya in Bengal and the Vaishnavas of central India. They held conferences, encouraged religious studies, published literature in defence of their doctrines, established educational institutions and engaged in organising religious activities.

Bankim Chandra combined in his person nationalist fervour with religious devotion. English education and the study of the philosophical systems of Kant, Fichte, Bentham, Mill and Spencer had aroused his critical faculties. Auguste Comte's
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Positivism deeply influenced his mind. He became a strong advocate of the study of Western sciences. But neither the Utilitarianism of the Benthamite school, nor the evolutionary Hedonism of Spencer, nor the godless Positivism of Comte satisfied him.

(His intellect found satisfaction in the study of Hindu philosophy and religion.) But the methodology of Western philosophy shaped his approach towards religion and he strove to apply a scientific, critical and rational method to the great problems of life and those facing the society. (His aim was to develop independence of outlook, to overthrow the domination of Western thought, and to speak to the masses in the language which they understood.

His philosophical interests led him to become the defender of Hinduism. He was provoked into religious polemics by the attacks of the Christian missionaries. He found that neither the obscurantists like Sasadhar, nor the radicals like the Brahmos, could adequately meet the challenge of modernism. The controversy brought from his pen, Letters on Hinduism addressed to Yogesh Chandra Ghosh. Hinduism revived in its purity was the answer to the problem which pestered Hindu society. Sasadhar's pseudo-scientific justifications were as out of place as Keshab's extravagant eclecticism. As Bankim Chandra grew older the sense of his exalted mission gripped him. He came more and more to believe that the solution of India's problem lay in the revival of Hinduism—the mystical and intuitive religion of the Gita reinterpreted for the new India. He incorporated his ideas in the two volumes of Dharmatattva (principles of religion) and Krishnacharitra (Life and character of Krishna).

Religion for him was the instrument for the moral and political regeneration of society. "Religion cannot be separated from Utilitarianism," he said. In his approach to the problems of social reform in India he differed from other progressive reformers. He did not approve of piecemeal acts of reform, and believed that religious and moral regeneration alone could effectively remodel society. It was no use attacking social customs and practices which bound society even more than the sacred books. What was needed was the fullest development of the personality of the individual. This harmonious develop-
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ment was Anusilan dharma (the religion of discipline), which was based upon a fourfold ascending order of human affections—love for the self (Atma priti), love for family (Swajan priti), love for country (Swadesh priti), and love for humanity (Jagat priti).

The highest affection—love for humanity, was devotion to God. Love for the country was our highest duty to man, which was higher than love of self or family. Bankim took for his motto: "Patriotism is religion and religion is love for India." The scripture of this religion was the Bhagavadgita which taught selfless service and action without attachment to its fruits.

In Krishna Charitra, he discussed not only the religious teachings of Krishna but also their relevance for the advancement of Hindu society. He wrote: "He (Krishna) never sought to be a social reformer. His objective was to bring about the moral and political regeneration of the society, spread religion and establish a kingdom based on religion. If this can be achieved, society will be reformed on its own, social reformation is impossible without this... We create trouble by approaching the problems of the society independently. Religious advancement is also the root of political advancement. Then, everyone must endeavour for the advancement of religion. If this is done, no independent effort will be required for the reformation of the society."

According to Bankim, Krishna was the ideal man, the perfection of human personality, "the wisest and the greatest of the Hindus". God was not the abstract, unqualified, Absolute of the Vedantists. As taught by Krishna, God was a person, possessed of qualities, loving and compassionate. Krishna was the supreme teacher and the greatest exemplar of this religion.

In Dharmatattva, Krishna is described in these words: "He who by the strength of his arm subdued the wicked, by the power of his wisdom unified India, by the power of his knowledge proclaimed a unique selfless religion, Him, I salute... Who in the land whose strength was the Vedas, at a time when the Vedas were strong, said, 'Dharma is not in Vedas—Dharma is what conduces to the well-being of man,' whether he be God or man, I salute him. He, who contains within himself alone Buddha, Christ, Mohammad, and Ramchandra; who is the
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source of all strength, of all virtue, of all religious truth, of all love, whether he be God or not, I salute him."1

The Hinduism which Bankim taught embraced the whole of man's life. It was a synthesis of enjoyment and renunciation, a principle of action without the expectation of rewards. It provided the moral foundations upon which Hindu society and state were to be built. But in his all-absorbing concern with Hinduism he tended to lose sight of the multiple nature of the Indian society, and to concentrate attention upon the moral and political regeneration of the Hindu community exclusively.

Bhudev Chandra Mukhopadhyaya, like Bankim Chandra, was a defender of neo-Hinduism. Among the champions of the movement Bhudev occupied a position much closer to Bankim than Sasadhar. Like Bankim, he was well-versed in Western philosophy. Bhudev is full of references to Comte. In his Samajik Prabandha, he mentioned and supported the Positivist principle of "Live for the sake of others." Again, as in the case of Bankim, the study of Western philosophy served to enhance his respect for Hinduism. Bhudev believed that his own religion was the most inclusive and universal. He also believed that the universal character of Hinduism was the main source of its vitality. In one place he said:

"Why is the Sanatana Hinduism of the Indians undecaying, immortal and complete in all conditions?

Because it is the wide, all-embracing, all-merciful religion, open to all kinds of Sadhana and to all kinds of seekers."

Bhudev's emphasis on the universal aspects of the Hindu faith made his attitude towards other religions one of tolerance. In his autobiography he wrote: "Hinduism is inclusive in its aim, so it does not hate any religion. Therefore no articles in the Education Gazette may contain an attack against the Brahmos, the Muhammadans, the Christians and others. ..."2 Bhudev was in complete agreement with Bankim not only on the broader purpose of religion but on the exact definition of it. To him, the main purpose of religion was to preserve mankind and achieve its well-being. In Samajik Prabandha he wrote:

"One should be religious because religion preserves. ...
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Religion preserves and protects, it may not be the source of instant pleasure.”

Such an agreement was possible because Bhudev, like Bankim Chandra, visualised the moral and material regeneration of the society through the spread of education, eradication of poverty and enhancement of wealth. In Samajik Prabandha he spelt out these measures.

Ramakrishna Paramahansa (1836—86)

In contrast with Bankim the career of Ramakrishna Paramahansa, the saint of Dakshineshwar, was something of a phenomenon. Ramakrishna was born in 1836, and died in 1886, at the age of 50. This “poor, illiterate, shrunken, unpolished, diseased, half-idolatrous, friendless Hindu devotee,” stirred Bengal to its depths. Not only was he the centre of light and gentle piety to the common people who thronged to the temple of Dakshineshwar where he lived and worshipped, he helped them to bear their sufferings and sorrows with fortitude and to attain inner contentment and happiness. He was, at the same time, a powerful magnet for sophisticated middle class Westernised men, who were attracted by his utter humility, humanity, and spiritual integrity. So, men like Narendra Nath Datta (afterwards Vivekananda), a graduate of the Calcutta University, and Keshab Chandra Sen and others came to him either to stay with him and dedicate their lives in order to spread his gospel or to receive the inspiration which transformed their outlook.

Ramakrishna was a god-intoxicated mystic who saw in all forms of worship the adoration of one Supreme Being, in all religious quests the search ‘for the same God towards whom all are directing their steps, though along different paths.’ Deep rooted in the spiritual heritage of his race, drawing inspiration from the Vedanta and the Upanishads, and regarding all religions as different paths leading to the same goal, he endeavoured to share with all communities their mystic experiences. He was initiated into Islam by a Muslim Sufi, and had the Bible read out to him; he venerated the Sikh gurus, and in his trances had vision of the Christ and the Buddha besides Kali the Divine Mother, and Krishna. As Vivekananda described him, “outside he was a Bhakta (devotee), but inwardly a Jnanin (an
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enlightened soul)." His ecstatic experiences brought him nearness to God and recognition of the divine in man. His religious devotion taught him to renounce all that stood in the way of self-realisation and to acquire compassion and love for humanity. In the service of man, he found communion with living, loving, suffering humanity. He prayed, "Oh Mother, make me the servant of the Pariah," and he swept his house with his own long hair. He taught "not mercy, but service, service for man must be regarded as God." When he lay on his death-bed stricken by a fatal disease, people came to see and hear him in crowds, and nothing could restrain him from speaking to them. To all expostulations he replied: "I do not care. I will give up twenty thousand such bodies to help one man. It is glorious to help even one man."  

Vivekananda

The humanism of Ramakrishna found a powerful advocate in his beloved disciple, Vivekananda. Vivekananda was born in 1863 and educated in Calcutta. He possessed an impressive figure, an athletic body and a handsome face. He had a keen intellect which had been moulded in the philosophy of the West. He had read carefully the works of Descartes, Hume, Kant, Fichte, Spinoza, Hegel, Schopenhauer, Comte, Darwin and Mill, and had developed a critical and analytical mind. He had been attracted by the teachings of the 'Brahmo Samaj,' but his scientific studies destroyed his faith in theism. Then he came into contact with Ramakrishna when he was hardly twenty years of age. The meeting was a turning-point in his life. He became an ardent and devoted follower of the saint. At the time of the death of the Master, Vivekananda was barely twenty-four. He vowed to devote his life to the propagation of Ramakrishna's message; he renounced the world, took to the life of a wanderer and retired to the Himalayan forests. His austere discipline extending over six years gave him the poise, the peace, and the certainty which characterised his mission through life. His grand tour round India as an itinerant mendicant acquainted him with the vast misery and
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suffering of the common people, and led him to exclaim: "The only God in whom I believe, the sum total of all souls, and above all, my God the wicked, my God the afflicted, my God the poor of all races." Here was a strange phenomenon. Vivekananda the rationalist had found in the teachings and practices of Ramakrishna based on the ancient Indian religion of Vedanta, a faith which fully satisfied all the cravings of his intellect and completely quenched the thirst of his soul. They re-established his pride in the greatness of Indian tradition which had been rudely shaken by Western thought, but his pilgrimage brought vividly home to him the wretchedness and squalor, both moral and material, in which the India of his day was sunk.

With a storm raging in his breast and a soul on fire he made up his mind to vindicate India before the world, but what is more, to find a solution for India's ills. In 1893, he went to America and attended the World Parliament of Religions at Chicago. His address breathed the spirit of India's universality and broad-mindedness, and captivated the hearts of his audience. He told them: "As different streams mingle their waters in the ocean, so different paths which men take all lead to the Lord." The New York Herald reported: "Vivekananda is undoubtedly the greatest figure in the Parliament of Religions. After hearing him we feel how foolish it is to send missionaries to this learned nation." Another journal describing its impressions wrote: "He is an orator by divine right, and his strong, intelligent face in its picturesque setting of yellow and orange was hardly less interesting than those earnest words and the rich, rhythmical utterance he gave them."

He stayed in America lecturing, establishing "Vedanta Societies" and making disciples. The substance of his addresses was that "no religion on earth preaches the dignity of humanity in such a lofty strain as Hinduism."

From the United States he proceeded to England. Although as an Indian patriot he deeply resented the rule of foreigners, as a lover of mankind he entertained no ill-will towards the people of Great Britain. He said, "There is none among you—
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who loves the English people more than I do now.”

He found in England “great freedom with great law-abidingness,” and the English “a nation of heroes, the true Kshatriyas.” From England he proceeded on a tour of the continent and visited France, Switzerland and Germany.

After four years stay abroad Vivekananda returned to India, landing at Colombo on January 15, 1897. His journey from Cape Comorin to Calcutta was a triumphal procession, for he was the first Indian who had questioned the superiority of the West and instead of apologising for his religion and defending it against the attacks of its critics, boldly asserted its spiritual pre-eminence and incomparable greatness. He was the hero who had faced the critics and detractors in their own homeland and elicited from them admiration, even their homage. India felt greatly elated by this achievement and it helped in changing its mood from one of self-abasement to that of self-esteem.

The few remaining years, which were vouchsafed to Vivekananda, he spent in intense activity to organise the Mission, to propagate his faith and to uplift the country. He established two principal centres, one at Belur near Calcutta, and the other at Mayavati near Almora, where young men who joined the Ramakrishna Mission were trained as Sannyasis (renouncers of worldly life) for religious and social welfare work. Thus an ancient and venerable institution came to be utilised for national service. The monks of the Mission like the Sadhus of yore, lived a life of dedication and asceticism, but unlike them engaged in the active service of society, alleviating suffering, working among the famine-stricken, providing medical aid to the sick and the victims of plague, cholera and leprosy, and looking after orphans. Schools were opened and philanthropic centres were established, as also monasteries.

Although Vivekananda was not in favour of political agitation, the building up of a strong, brave, dynamic nation was dear to his heart. Religion, he regarded as the keynote of the music of national life. He desired “in all fields of activity to awaken that austere devotion of the spirit which arouses heroism.” He thus combined “a burning love of the Absolute and the irresistible appeal of suffering humanity.”

Yet he condemned the domination of pharisaical religiosity in matters relating to social organisation and social affairs, and
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for this reason opposed caste, sectarianism, untouchability and all inequalities. In his eyes all men were the sons of the same God and bearers of the same divine nature. His cry was “come, all ye that are poor and destitute, fallen and downtrodden: We are one in the name of Ramakrishna.”¹ In his sympathy for the poor he was prepared to go to the farthest lengths. He said:

“Let us throw away all this paraphernalia of worship—blowing the conch and ringing the bell, and waving the lights before the Image. . . . Let us throw away all pride of learning and study of the Sastras and all Sadhanas for the attainment of personal Mukti, and going from village to village devote our lives to the service of the poor . . . to serve the poor and the distressed.”²

He challenged the educated in these words: “So long as the millions live in hunger and ignorance, I hold every man a traitor, who having been educated at their expense, pays not the least heed to them.”³ And he wrote, “The only hope of India is from the masses. The upper classes are physically and morally dead.”

He declared: “If the Brahmin has more aptitude for learning on the ground of heredity than the Pariah, spend no more money on the Brahmin’s education, but spend all on the Pariah. Give to the weak, for there all the gift is needed.”⁴

Weakness, cowardice and laziness among Indians roused his passionate anger. The constant refrain of his speeches was, “Above all be strong, be manly.” He said: “Anything that makes you weak physically, intellectually and spiritually reject as poison, there is no life in it, it cannot be true. Truth is strengthening. Truth is purity, truth is all-knowledge.”⁵ He believed in “a religion which will give us faith in ourselves, a national self-respect, and the power to feed and educate the poor and relieve the misery around.”⁶ He wanted mere contemplative idleness and passivity in religion to be abandoned, and asserted: “Who cares for your Ramakrishna? Who cares for your Bhakti and Mukti? Who cares what your Scriptures say? I will go into a thousand hells cheerfully, if I can rouse my
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countrymen immersed in Tamas (inertia), to stand on their own feet and be men inspired with the spirit of Karma Yoga, (worship through action).”¹ He wished “in all fields of activity to awaken that austere elevation of spirit which arouses heroism.”²

Vivekananda’s love of liberty shines through his words and deeds. Said he:

“Liberty in thought and action is the only condition of life, growth and well-being: where it does not exist, the man, the race and the nation must go down.”³

In a passionately patriotic and nobly evocative speech he apostrophised his country thus:

“O Bharat, wilt thou rely upon this institution of the others, this mimicry, this anxiety to win the other’s approbation, this imbecile slavishness, this hateful, abominable hard-heartedness, to win high authority? Wilt thou, with the aid of this shameful cowardice, achieve the independence which only the heroes deserve? Do not forget, your society is the veriest shadow of the great illusion, do not forget the lowly, the poor, the ignorant, the currier, the sweeper, are your blood, are your brethren. O, ye brave one, take courage, be proud that you are an Indian, and proudly proclaim I am Indian—every Indian is my brother. ... The soil of India is my highest heaven. India’s good is my good.”⁴

He proclaimed his political faith in these words: “Believe, believe, the decree has gone, the fiat of the Lord has gone—India must rise, the mass, the poor are to be made happy, and rejoice that you are the chosen instruments in His hands.”⁵

VI. REVIVALIST MOVEMENT IN MAHARASHTRA

In Maharashtra the revivalist movement arose as a reaction against the rationalism of the social reformers. The Maratha society was still steeped in traditional ways when Bengali intelligentsia was adopting modernism, for the Maratha homeland, the Bombay Deccan, had passed under British rule only in 1818, i.e., sixty years after the conquest of Bengal. Unlike Bengal the Marathas showed little interest in trade or business,
and because of their Ryotwari tenure, no land-holding class emerged amidst them. But as the British depended upon the people for the administration of the intricate land-revenue system and for other clerical assistance, to fill the subordinate posts in the districts Marathas largely belonging to the Brahman caste, came to be appointed. They came into contact with the British and gained experience of Western methods. They found the knowledge of English useful and a small group among them became educated according to the Western system. To this group belonged men like Bal Sastri Jambhekar, Lokahitawadi Deshmukh and Jotiba Phule—the early critics of the Hindu social system.

The appeal of these reformers reached a very small class. For, the progress of education was slow and the middle class was weak. The Bombay University was established in 1857, but even after twenty years the number of matriculates was only 1,100, of graduates 179, and of law graduates 53. In 1880, there were only 8 colleges, of which 6 were in Bombay city. The number of High schools was 48 and that of middle schools 177.

Those educated in these institutions were mostly poor boys who aspired to low-paid jobs. They could hardly rise above their old social prejudices and orthodox views. Social reformers like Ranade, Bhandarkar, Agarkar and Chandavarkar had thus to appeal to men against their centuries-old habits of thought.

After 1870, new forces began to operate which made the task of reformers still more unpopular. The conviction founded upon the frequency of famines, that the country's poverty was increasing, created prejudice and distrust against the foreign government and its culture, and the discovery of India's ancient past strengthened self-esteem and pride in the country's destiny and disparaged the value of Western culture. Revulsion against foreign rule weakened the urge for religious and social reform, and politics began to assume a dominating influence over minds.

Vishnu Sastri Chiplunkar (died 1882) wrote in an essay in his journal *Nibandhamala*, "British conquest put an end to our

---

1 "State of the Country," essay contributed to the *Nibandhamala*, Nos. 77-83. On account of its strong tone and severe criticism of British rule, the essay was suppressed in 1910. When the Congress came to power in 1937, it was republished, and a student's edition was printed in 1945.
independence. The loss of freedom means the loss of everything that we hold precious. Our old kingdoms, our wealth, our learning all have now declined." He bemoaned the general backwardness of agriculture, industry, commerce and the arts, and declared:

"But the greatest evil of foreign rule is our moral degradation resulting from the loss of freedom. Our countrymen have attained the stage of a slave who accepts his slavery and loses his self-respect and pride. ... Our character is completely demoralised."

He held that neither religion, nor social customs like child-marriage or caste, had anything to do with India's decline as alleged by the social reformers. Foreign rule alone was responsible for all the ills. Against this powerful attack, Ranade's appeal to rationalism could not succeed. When, therefore, the opposition was joined by a champion of orthodoxy so outstandingly able, valiant and vigorous as Bal Gangadhar Tilak, social reform had little chance.

Tilak came from a family which had been in the service of the Peshwas and his mind was moulded in an atmosphere where the memories of Maharashtra's glorious past were fresh. The orthodoxy of the family, the study of Sanskrit and the deep interest in ancient history developed in him a reverence for the past. His love for Hindu ideals and institutions and his pride in Hindu religion and philosophy made him a critic of Western culture and a champion of India's superiority. When, therefore, Ranade began to organise the social reform movement, Tilak came out in open opposition. His reason for the opposition was not that he was against reform. He wrote: "Who does not want social reform and progress? Though both parties are agreed on the ultimate goal, their ideas of the content of reforms and means of attaining them are different."

Social reform in the then existing condition of India, he thought, was merely destructive, for it relaxed social bonds without putting anything in their place. He believed in educating public opinion on social problems rather than having recourse to legislation at the hands of a foreign government. He looked upon the foreign government as the greatest obstacle in the way of India's progress. Therefore, he regarded the immediate problem to be that of organising resistance to foreign rule, rather than that of religious or social reconstruction. He rejected the idea that it was necessary to abolish caste or
establish equality of rights between women and men, before attaining self-government. He did not subscribe to the view that social reform must precede the achievement of political power. Although he was not averse to social change, he did not want to tie it up with political advance. In fact, according to him, the social reform movement was likely to create divisions among people and this might retard progress towards independence.

Tilak desired to rally the Hindu masses under one political flag, because he had realised the importance of mass action for political purposes. He, therefore, introduced the public festival of Ganesh and the celebration of Sivaji’s anniversary to revive in the people’s mind the glorious achievements of the past. He also endeavoured to convince the educated Hindus that Hindu religion was not merely a contemplative, mystical, other-worldly faith, but that it called upon its followers to live a life of action. As the Bhagavadgita is read by most Hindus for edification, he wrote an extensive commentary on it in proof of his views.

This religious appeal greatly stirred the people of Maharashtra and strengthened the revivalist movement in other parts of India, but unfortunately what was the gain of one community proved to be a source of alarm and apprehension to the other.

VII. THE ARYA SAMAJ

The temper which produced the movements of religious and social reform among the Hindus of Bengal and Maharashtra, as also among the Muslims of northern India, the Parsis of western India and others, was in fact characteristic of the whole of India. There had been a stirring of the spirit in all parts of the country and among all sections of the people. What happened in Bengal and Maharashtra was repeated in Gujarat. In 1824, in the petty state of Morvi, in Kathiawar, was born a child who grew into a reformer of an unusual type and of a different grain. Mul Shankar, the son of a Samavedi Brahmana, who became famous under the name of Dayananda, was a child of a stern and rebellious mood. He came into conflict with his father when he was barely fourteen years of age for rejecting idol worship: he renounced his home in order to escape the entanglement of marriage and to seek to pacify the turmoil and commotion of his soul. For 15 years he led the life of a wandering scholar in search of the knowledge which removed all
doubts. His search took him into the hidden glades of the mountain ranges of the Himalayas, the Vindhyas and the Aravalis, and across the valleys and along the banks of the Ganga, Jamuna and Narbada rivers. He acquired a mastery of the Sanskrit language, its grammar, philosophy and religious literature. Then at Mathura he spent two and a half years as a disciple of the blind Virajananda, a profound master of ancient learning, an erudite teacher and a rational thinker, who hated idol worship, superstitious practices, and polytheistic ideas. He taught Dayananda the philosophic interpretation of the Vedas and then charged him with the mission to purge Hinduism of all its ugly accretions and aberrations.

In 1863, began his apostolic career which ended only with his death in 1883. These twenty years were a period of the most strenuous labour—touring over India, holding polemical discussions, preaching his gospel, organising the ‘Arya Samaj,’ writing books and propagating social reform.

Dayananda was no ordinary person. His intellectual gifts were of a high order; in Sanskrit learning few surpassed him. He was an impressive speaker and a keen and ready-witted debater, who could overwhelm his opponents with a wealth of subtle arguments. At the same time, he was a man of strong, unflinching, almost dour character, a born leader, determined and self-assured to the extent of overbearingness.

He had a clear and precise mind in which there was nothing shadowy, vague or mystical. With Calvinistic explicitness he defined the articles of his faith which admitted of no compromise. He possessed the rugged individuality of a Martin Luther and made individual reason the arbiter in the solution of all religious problems. His selection of the authoritative divine scriptures from among the multitude of Hindu sacred books was based upon his personal convictions. (Again his choice of the dogmas and doctrines concerning the unity of God, the rejection of the plurality of the Hindu gods and the doctrines of metempsychosis and law of action (Karma), the relations of men, nature and God, were the result of a process of his own analysis and ratiocination, in which he was not guided by tradition or history.

He believed that the Hindu religion and the Vedas on which it was based were eternal, unalterable, infallible, divine. The Vedic religion alone was true and universal. He held that the Aryans were the chosen people, the Vedas the chosen gospel,
and India the chosen land. All other religions were imperfect and it was the duty of the ‘Arya Samaj’ to convert the followers of other religions to the Hindu faith.

He provided the ‘Arya Samaj’ with a code of social conduct and moral values. In this code there was no room for caste based on birth, inequality among men, and inequality of man and woman. His slogan was “Back to the Vedas,” so far as spiritual and ethical life was concerned. But he was in favour of Western education, teaching of sciences and the betterment of the material conditions of society.

The ‘Arya Samaj’ which he founded in Bombay in 1875 made rapid progress. Its branches were founded in the greater part of northern India, in the Panjab, Uttar Pradesh and Rajasthan especially. It largely appealed to the middle and lower middle class Hindus. Although it helped to spread ideas of reform in society, its crusade against caste did not make much headway. Its greatest contribution was to evoke a sense of pride in India’s past and to stimulate a militant enthusiasm for the propagation of the Aryan faith. To promote these objects the ‘Arya Samaj’ endowed and established educational institutions—colleges of higher learning, schools for secondary education, institutions for the education of women, and institutions to impart education according to ancient Indian methods.

Some of the activities of the ‘Arya Samaj’ were controversial. Dayananda was the first Hindu reformer who turned over from defence to attack, from protecting the Hindu faith from the assaults of the Christian and the Muslim critics to fighting them on their own ground in order to oblige them to defend their position. His work, the Satyarth Prakash, raised a polemic against all the other religions. Inevitably this sharpened communal differences and accentuated mutual antipathies.

Another plank in the programme of the ‘Arya Samaj’ which led to serious troubles was the protection of the cow. In 1882, a cow protection association was formed, preachers were appointed for propaganda, and funds were collected to save cows from slaughter. The cause had much to commend itself as the cow is a great economic asset and its preservation has enlisted the deep sentimental attachment of the Hindus. Unfortunately, some over-zealous agents of the Samaj carried on their activities in an aggressive manner, and although many non-Hindus, including a number of Muslims, sympathised with the movement, the hypersensitive Muslim theologians and their followers among
the poor classes took offence. The result was that serious riots occurred between Hindus and Muslims, which strengthened the growing ill-will between the two communities.

Apart from this, the movement inaugurated by Dayananda made an endeavour to awaken the spirit of self-reliance and strengthen the sense of self-respect among Indians. The tribute which an English missionary paid to Dayananda's ideals is of value as it comes from an unexpected source not too well disposed towards the 'Arya Samaj'. Said Dr. Griswold:

"It is evident from all this that Pandit Dayanand Sarasvati was a man of large views. He was a dreamer of splendid dreams. He had a vision of India purged of her superstitions, filled with the fruits of Science, worshipping one God, fitted for self-rule, having a place in the sisterhood of nations and restored to her ancient glory."

VIII. The Theosophical Movement

Another religious movement which was both more conservative and more mystical than the Arya Samaj, was launched in India at this time. It became popular among the educated. This was the Theosophical Society founded by Madame Blavatsky and Col. Olcott in New York in the same year in which Swami Dayananda established the Arya Samaj in Bombay, viz., 1875. The founders of the Society arrived in India in January 1879, and established the headquarters of the Society in Adyar, Madras, in December 1882. Soon its branches spread all over India. In 1888, Mrs. Annie Besant joined the Society in England. Her adherence to the Society proved an asset of the greatest value. She was already well known in the circles of radical thinkers and politicians like Bernard Shaw, W. T. Stead, A. P. Smnnett, Bradlaugh and the Trade Unionists. The next five years she spent in study and teaching. She visited the U.S.A. in 1893, and attended the Parliament of Religions at Chicago. Then she decided to settle in India, because India and the Indians came nearer to her than her own fellow countrymen. She declared: "In heart I am one with you, and to you by my past I belong." In November 1893 she landed at Tuticorin, and from that moment she took India by storm, receiving attention and applause wherever she went. By her dynamic personality and extraordinary eloquence she soon drew to the Society many

1 Indian Evangelical Review, January 1892, quoted by J. N. Farquhar, op. cit., p. 112
educated Indians who accepted her as their teacher and guide. Some called her an *Avatara*, an incarnation of God. She infused great vigour in the activities of the Society. She toured throughout India giving lectures in defence of traditional Hinduism, founding educational centres, propagating her theosophical views through numerous books and pamphlets, and developing the doctrines of the Society.

The beliefs of the Theosophical Society were a strange mixture of religion, philosophy and occultism. Its religious teachings consisted of four fundamental points: (1) the unity of God, (2) the threefold emanation of God, (3) the hierarchy of beings consisting of spiritual intelligences or gods and angels, human spirits, and sub-human intelligences, (4) universal brotherhood, subject to the recognition of varying degrees of man's development.

Philosophically, the Society supports the school of idealism, asserts the primacy of consciousness, and believes that human thought is of the same nature as divine thought. Thought is capable of mastering man's lower nature and his physical surroundings. The spirit is eternal and immortal and reincarnates from one body to another, gathering life-experience, climbing upwards until it masters all that the world has to teach and nothing more is left to learn. Then it is beyond birth and death, "fitted for immortality."

The science of Theosophy is 'occult science,' which comprises a body of facts discovered by recognised experts. These facts include the constitution of the universe and of man and the laws of action and reaction, evolution and human perfection.

This occult science unlike the ordinary science is not based upon hypothesis, experiment and inference, but on the intuitions of clairvoyant adepts by mediumistic methods which constitute the infra-structure of Theosophy.

Many educated middle class Hindus were attracted to the Society. Some were carried away by the spectacle of an eminent white woman discoursing eloquently on Hinduism and justifying what Christian missionaries and European writers had denounced as superstition or perversity. It provided a much needed salve to their conscience and a shield against the shafts of their own reformers. The occultism of the Society appeared to prove the truth of the mysterious phenomena of yogic lore, and the support of scientists, like Sir William Crooke, lent credit to these claims.
Many intellectuals found genuine satisfaction in the universalism which Theosophy taught. For it held that all religions were true and all men brothers. In an atmosphere surcharged with proud assertions of the white man’s racial superiority and nauseating denunciations of Hindu religion, the praise bestowed by a renowned European, like Mrs. Besant and her associates, was bound to evoke a favourable response. Her statement, "the India to which I belong in faith and heart is . . . a civilization in which spiritual knowledge was accounted highest title to honour, and in which the people reverenced and sought after spiritual truth . . . the India I would give my life to help in building is an India learned in the ancient philosophy, pulsing with the ancient religion—an India to which all other lands should look for spiritual life," went straight to the heart of the educated people. But the work of the Society which won unstinted approval of the Hindu community related to the education of the youth of India. Its most successful venture in this direction was the opening of the Central Hindu College at Banaras in 1898, where the teaching of the Hindu religion formed part of general education. The College tried to combine the best features of an English public school with the hoary traditions of teacher-pupil relation of ancient India.

The Society opened schools for boys, for women, for the depressed classes and also participated in the Boy Scout organisation. The Society opposed child marriage, advocated abolition of caste, the uplift of the outcastes, and the amelioration of the condition of widows. It denounced race and colour prejudices. As early as 1903, Mrs. Besant avowed her political faith in these words:

"India must be governed on the basis of Indian feelings, Indian traditions, Indian thoughts and Indian ideas."  

The Theosophical Society was a powerful factor in the awakening of pride and self-respect of Indians.

IX. IMPACT ON SOCIETY

In Ramakrishna, Vivekananda and Dayananda, the best of Hinduism was blended with the modern spirit of humanism which was flooding India of the nineteenth century. A new

1 Mrs. A. Besant’s announcement made in an article contributed by her to the Amrita Bazar Patrika; West, Geoffrey, Life of Annie Besant (London, 1929), p. 206.
2 Quoted by West, ibid., p. 221
inspiration was abroad and under its urge the medieval moulds, already weakened, began to break down. The individual realised the significance of the self as well as a new responsibility towards society. The circumference of his society which had been limited to the family, the caste and the tribe, began to broaden out, transcending the old limits and identifying the individual with larger wholes. Even before the appearance of these reformers, the concept of territorial community embracing all the inhabitants of the country, irrespective of race or creed, was emerging in the minds of the educated, but differentiation between religion and politics which is the essential basis of a secular society, was still confined to the few.

Social change is at the same time a change in ideals as well as a change in practical life. The peculiar nature of the British impact upon India as a whole was that while it transformed the intellectual outlook of the educated middle class by providing the modern intellectual environment, which affected some aspects of practical life, it left the economic condition of the people as a whole and specially of the masses inhabiting the villages stagnant, with the result that their habits and customs remained almost static. The reform movements which began with Raja Ram Mohan Roy affected Indian educated class, but made little impression upon the masses who continued to think and live in the traditional ways. The administrative changes which transformed the condition of the villages did not lead to the development of a new economic system; agriculture and industry remained medieval in technique and modes of production, and hence medievalism lingered on and capitalism and industrialism had a stunted growth. In the circumstances, it was inevitable that social organisation should remain static.

The progress of urbanisation, which is the sign of economic evolution, was slow and the population remained overwhelmingly rural. From 1881 to 1911, that is during thirty years, the percentage of the urban population did not exceed ten. The result was that no radical departure from tradition was effected in the villages, and even the urban population including the middle classes, with the exception of a small group, continued to follow in the old grooves.

The caste as an institution was linked with occupation and economic activity. So long as economic activity continued in the old ruts its structure remained unaltered. In fact, the pressure of caste and custom was so pervasive that even the followers
of the reformed sects were unable to overthrow their yoke. For instance, the Brahmó Samaj rejected idol worship, but the family of Tagores who led the Samaj continued to celebrate the idolatrous Durga festival every year with great éclat. The Arya Samaj condemned the classification of the Hindus on the basis of birth, yet few Arya Samajists had the courage to marry outside their caste. The situation was ethically unhealthy, for it promoted a dichotomy in moral standards—one for the home and the other for the public.

The Hindu and Muslim reform movements tended to consolidate the two communities in two all-India groups comprising and uniting the multiplicity of smaller regional and cultural sections which existed independently in the middle ages. This process of internal communal integration made a marked advance during the nineteenth century. The other process of political integration of the communities into a national unity advanced pari passu. Although the economic backwardness of the country, the medieval outlook of the masses, and the colossal neglect of their education, prevented the equally rapid development of the sentiment of nationalism, many among the educated communities thought, felt and acted alike. They realised that in a country of many races, languages, cultures and religions, a free Indian polity could only be built on the foundations of secularism. But the highly emotional and romantic religious upsurge of the second half of the nineteenth century directed minds into religious channels which slackened the vigour of the secular national movement.

The growth of the religious reform movement was equally vigorous in Hinduism as in Islam. In both communities protestant and counter-protestant or liberal and revivalist ideas spread. These parallel developments were inspired by identical motives. In the first place, the aim was the recovery of the community’s past greatness. History reminded them that their ancestors had built vast empires, made glorious contributions to the advancement of culture, and played an unforgettable role in world affairs. In the second place, compared to their past, their present appeared humiliating and shameful. They had lost their independence, the pride and pomp of their states had been trampled into dust by alien races, their industry was destroyed, their people impoverished, their upper classes reduced to helpless beggary for the small favours of their masters, their moral stature stunted and spirit dwarfed. Consequently they
desired to bury as quickly as possible the hateful present and to emerge into a new and more spacious way of living.

Inevitably the continuity of cultural and religious life, reading of tendentious Indian history and discontent with the actual present compelled them to envisage the future in terms of the past, with the result that the hue of communal outlook was sickled over with the pale cast of historical thought. In the absence of opportunities of co-operation in economic activities, complete exclusion from politics with its lessons of compromise, and the perpetuation of the medieval isolationism of social groups especially in the rural areas, the evolution of common secular interests which might bind the communities together, remained tardy.

In such conditions, communalism had a free and luxurious growth, especially because it received copious nourishment from the rulers. As a result, the Hindus began to think and speak of Hindu nationalism and the Muslims of Islamic nationalism, and thus the foundations of the two-nation theory were laid. It did not strike the protagonists of the theory that the term Hindu or Muslim nationalism is self-contradictory. Nation is a purely territorial, secular and political concept and religion, race, and language have no necessary relevance to it. But in the nineteenth century the Indian Muslims had before them the example of countries ruled by medievally minded Musalmans. The Ottoman empire held its sway over the Arab lands. Iran was governed by the autocratic Qajars. Malaya and Indonesia were under British and Dutch rule. The breezes of modernism were just beginning to stir their hearts, but nationalism still lay dormant under the weight of international Pan-Islamism. It was not difficult, therefore, to utilise the cultural and religious differences between the Hindus and Musalmans for imperialist political purposes. The lure of office and patronage was stronger than the appeal of nascent nationalism which summoned Indians to suffering and sacrifice, but promised no rewards in the immediate future. In spite, however, of the powerful pull of communal forces, nationalism continued to grow throughout the latter half of the nineteenth century.
CHAPTER TEN

EDUCATION AND THE INDIAN PRESS

I. Education (1858—1905)

Among the factors which combined to bring about the transformation of the Indian society and the development of nationalism in India, the impact of the economic and political forces was primary. With them cultural and ideological factors co-operated, and the two together gave a powerful impetus to the nationalist movement. Religious and social reforms were inaugurated early in the nineteenth century and they continued with great vigour throughout the second half of the century. They effected a basic change in the intellectual attitudes, the medieval worldview was metamorphosed, and the approach to the fundamental problems of man as an individual and social being, of nature and God, were greatly modified.

The study of India’s past, ancient and medieval, and the revival of Sanskrit learning helped to dispel the feeling of abasement and humiliation, which the loss of independence had engendered. But it was English education which played a great part in fostering and extending the sentiment of unity and patriotism.

British rule was responsible for two profound changes in Indian society. In the first place, it caused the emergence of a middle class, and secondly, it superseded the medieval outlook of the people belonging to this new class. Obviously, the vast majority of the people, especially those living in the villages, remained culturally and intellectually backward, enclosed within the narrow walls of tradition, because, although the medieval, organic, village system had broken down, it was not replaced by a modern industrial economy. Religious and social reform and education had mighty potentialities, but social change of a total nature could not be achieved without a revolution in the practical conditions of the life of the people as a whole. The great pressure of this medieval mass was a drag upon the life of the middle class, which intellectually lived in the nineteenth century, but emotionally and culturally continued to function in accordance with ancient custom.

The problem of education had attracted the attention of the middle class early. Indians of all shades of opinion had learnt
the value of modern education and had co-operated in founding schools and colleges where western curricula were taught and the English language was cultivated. But their resources in men capable of teaching and funds for maintaining the institutions were limited, and therefore they welcomed Christian missionary and unofficial European enterprise for the spread of education.

The attitude of the Government was extremely hesitant. They did nothing for the dissemination of education till 1813, and then provided only a paltry sum for its expenses. Even that was not fully utilised, as they were unable to make up their mind, firstly, whether to participate in education or not and, secondly, whether they should support a reformed indigenous system in the lower and higher grades or introduce modern education through the medium of English. When this controversy was set at rest by Bentinck's decision, doubts still lingered. The important consideration was that of the consequences of education to the British Empire. The debate between those who believed that education would lead to the break-up of the empire, and the others who contended that it would strengthen its foundation, continued throughout the nineteenth century.

As a result, till 1853, education marked time, and the Government's effort remained miserably inadequate. In 1855, for the whole of India with a population of nearly 200 millions, the total number of educational institutions managed, aided or recognised by the Government, was 1,474 with only 67,569 pupils, with a budget allotment of less than one per cent of the total revenue.

The situation was somewhat redeemed by the Christian missions, who maintained 1,628 schools, imparting instruction to 64,000 pupils. The Indian contribution was quantitatively insignificant; it included the maintenance of some institutions of higher learning and a few schools, besides, of course, the old indigenous primary institutions.

Wood's Despatch of 1854 marks the beginning of a new era in education. It is a document which reflects the conflicts and hesitations which had been perplexing the minds of the rulers for over fifty years. While it opens with a somewhat grandiloquent assertion of the Government's recognition of education as "one of our most sacred duties to be the means, as far as in us lies, of conferring upon the natives of India those vast moral and material blessings which flow from the general diffusion of
useful knowledge," it goes on to argue in favour of the withdrawal of the Government from the assumption of direct responsibility for the education of its subjects in these words: "We look forward to the time when any general system of education entirely provided by Government may be discontinued."  

In the second place, the authors of the Despatch profess their great concern for educating in "useful and practical knowledge . . . the great mass of the people, who are utterly incapable of obtaining any education worthy of the name by their own unaided efforts, and we desire to see the active measures of Government more specially directed, for the future, to this object, for the attainment of which we are ready to sanction a considerable increase of expenditure."  

This brave resolve was translated into actual practice by placing indigenous primary education under the system of grants-in-aid. But the promise of increase of expenditure for primary education was so stingly fulfilled as to result in painfully slow progress.

(Throughout this period, the contrast between word and deed remained glaring. Apparently, the concern about mass education was part of the ruler's expressed anxiety for the general amelioration of the condition of the masses. Unfortunately, no tangible effects of this concern appeared. On the contrary, education of the middle classes, particularly, received considerable attention and made rapid progress during the period.

Regarding the objectives of education, Wood rejected the alarmist view of those who saw danger to the British Empire in the spread of Western knowledge in India. He said: "I do not believe, however, that we should endanger that empire by educating the natives of India. I believe, on the contrary, that if the great body of the natives were educated and enlightened, but still more if bound to us by ties of a common faith, we should increase rather than relax our hold upon the Indian Empire."  

For the nineteenth century, Wood's forecast was justified, as the Indian intellectuals, even when strongly critical of the Government's policies and measures, continued to regard India's continuance within the British Empire as necessary and bene-

2 Ibid., para 62
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ficial. The change in this attitude was not the result of education, but of the practical experience of the irremediable evils of foreign rule and the development of the sense of self-respect and national dignity among Indians.

The second objective of Wood was to supply the personnel required for the public services and professions. He wrote: "We have always been of opinion that the spread of education in India will produce a greater efficiency in all branches of administration by enabling you to obtain the services of intelligent and trustworthy persons in every department of Government." In order to encourage education, preference was shown to those who were trained in English schools. Thus, English education became the main gateway to government services and success in life, and the utilitarian aim of education overshadowed its liberal and academic ends.

The instruments of the Government for the realisation of the objectives of education were two. The first was the Departments of Education with Directors and Inspectors whose function was to control and direct all education; although they were not required to undertake the expansion of education, they had to look after the maintenance of institutions founded by Government. The second was the system of grants-in-aid introduced by these departments for the effective control of educational institutions. Equipped with these departments, the Government hoped to implement the policies enunciated in the Despatch.

Education after 1857

The history of educational expansion during the second half of the nineteenth century has a direct bearing upon the development of the nationalist movement in this country. In its first phase, which lasted from 1854 to 1882, significant developments took place. There was considerable expansion of secondary and higher education, a disappointingly slow growth of primary education, provincialisation of education, increase of the Indian share in expansion and discouragement of missionary enterprise.

As finance is the decisive factor in educational advance, it was inevitable that changes in the system of financial administration should have exercised a great deal of influence upon the speed and character of the advance. From 1854 to 1870, the

1 Despatch of 1854, para 72
finances of the Government of India were completely centralised. Then, Mayo started the process of decentralisation and transferred educational expenditure to the Provinces. In 1882, the system of quinquennial contract of grants by the Central Government to the Provincial administrations was introduced. Then, to meet the shortage of funds for education two expedients were devised. One was to authorise the provinces to levy local fund cesses in villages (Bengal did not avail of this authority), and to require the municipalities to contribute in urban areas. Secondly, the grant-in-aid system compelled the public to raise funds for the maintenance of schools and the pupils to pay fees. Even so, these sources of income were not sufficient to meet the needs, because of the general poverty of the people.

Unfortunately, the main sufferer from the financial shortage remained the primary section of education. There were two kinds of such schools—the old type indigenous schools and the modern type schools maintained by governmental and other agencies. Among the latter, the missionary schools were the most important. The Despatch of 1854 was welcomed by the missionaries as a charter for the expansion of their educational activity. The Revolt of 1857, however, gave a great blow to their hopes. The Government felt that Christian propaganda, which had offended both Hindus and Muslims, was largely responsible for the rising. Ellenborough, as President of the Board of Control, had warned the Government of India that the education imparted in missionary schools was a danger “not to our success in education alone, but to the peace of the Empire,” and concluded: “I feel satisfied that at the present moment no measure could be adopted more calculated to tranquillize the minds of the natives, and to restore to us their confidence, than that of withholding the aid of Government from schools with which missionaries are connected.”

The distrust of the missionaries had a restricting effect on their sector of primary education. But the Government’s own enterprise in this line was rather exiguous. The Earl of Derby, the Secretary of State for India, while reviewing the results of the Despatch of 1854 in 1859, “drew the attention of Government to the continued neglect of the education of the mass of people in their own vernaculars. Acknowledging the failure of the grant-in-aid to encourage such education, he directed the
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1 Quoted in the Report of the Director of Public Instruction, Bombay, 1857-58, p. 11
levy of cesses on the land for village schools.\footnote{The Annals of Indian Administration in the year 1868-69, edited by Dr. George Smith, Vol. XIV, (Serampore, 1870), p. 368} But Stanley's despatch of 1859\footnote{Parliamentary Papers, 1859, Section II, Vol. XXIV, Part I (1867), p. 11} threw cold water on the policy of promoting primary education through grants-in-aid. Grants-in-aid were to be utilised primarily on secondary and higher institutions rather than on schools for the masses. Thus, popular education was discouraged and indigenous schools which might have supplied a broad base for the educational pyramid and catered to the needs of the masses were, in this way, deprived of encouragement. In some provinces, they were completely written off; in others, attempts were made to incorporate them in the official system.

No wonder then that Holwell, Under-Secretary in the Home Department, Government of India, complained in 1866 “that the statistical tables show that the lower classes do not in all provinces receive the proportionate share of the very large annual increase of expenditure on education since 1854. Still less do they receive the larger share which it would appear to be one main object of the Despatch to divert to them.”\footnote{The Annals of Indian Administration in the year 1866-67, edited by Dr. George Smith, Vol. XII, Part I, p. 312}

The fact is that notwithstanding repeated assertions to the contrary, primary education remained the Cinderella of the Government's affections. Consequently, indigenous schools virtually disappeared, in spite of the fact that the disadvantages of mass illiteracy were obvious, for no improvement in agricultural methods, rural co-operation, strengthening the legal position of the cultivator or raising his standard of life, could take place without spreading general literacy among the village people. The old agricultural system with its medieval organisation and technique continued. In 1868, Lord Lawrence observed: “Among all the sources of difficulty in our administration, and of possible danger to the stability of our Government, there are few so serious as the ignorance of the people.”\footnote{Home Department (Education) Proceedings, November 1903, Nos. 42-47}
the total expenditure of about Rs. 70 lakhs. The Hunter Commission of 1882-83, realised the importance of primary education and the financial responsibility of the State for its expansion, when it declared that "the relation of the State to secondary is different from its relation to primary education, in that the means of primary education may be provided without regard to local co-operation, while it is ordinarily expedient to provide the means of secondary education only when adequate local co-operation is forthcoming."1

The result was that, in a population of nearly twenty crores in the nine provinces of British India, the number of pupils in primary schools was only 21 lakhs, or about one per cent, and the Government of India was obliged to confess, that "primary education, especially the Vernacular instruction of the masses, has not yet ... been placed upon a satisfactory basis, or received an adequate share of attention."2

Primary education had lagged behind partly because the State failed to act up to its own decisions, partly because the vast masses of India were so poor as to be incapable of sharing the expenses of its expansion, and partly because there was no incentive for the villagers to transfer their children from their parental occupation, with work on the farms, to receive instruction in schools.

On the other hand, the progress of secondary education during the first phase of educational expansion in the nineteenth century was much more satisfactory. The secondary schools were largely concerned with English education because this education opened out better and larger avenues for livelihood. And it is noteworthy to find the people manifesting keenness to acquire English education. In 1872, Sir William Muir, Lieutenant-Governor of North-Western Provinces, testified to the growing desire among the people in his Province to learn English in these words:

"There are now, what there never were before, spontaneous endeavours on the part of the Native community to acquire English learning."3 Sir Richard Temple, Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, too, discerned "a healthy ambition among the Natives

1 Report of the Indian Education Commission, Calcutta, 1883, para 283, p. 254
2 Government of India (Home—Education) Despatch to the Secretary of State for India, No. 1, dated 6 February 1882
3 Statement Exhibiting the Moral and Material Progress and Condition of India, during the year 1871-72, p. 118
of Bengal to raise themselves by education." He added, "Almost every Bengalee youth who can afford the means aspires to English education as one of the main objects of his life; and there is a desire for learning English even among the poorer classes."  

The Government was interested in the expansion of secondary education, because its own requirements were increasing and the supply of English-knowing Indians had to be improved in order to meet the need. The Director of Public Instruction, Bengal, stated in 1865, "The liberal education of those classes of the community who, from their station in society, have the control of the education of the poorer classes, is still the most important object which can engage the attention of Government."  

The Government adopted what is known as the "downward filtration" theory for educational advance. It was felt that "the necessary condition to the growth of a desire for education among any section of the people is the prevalence of education among the class next above them, so that they can become acquainted with it as an existing fact and can appreciate its advantages."  

Impetus to English Education  

Thus, a combination of incentives, particularly the desire for Western knowledge on the part of the middle class and the requirements of the Government for a larger number of English-knowing Indians, led to a remarkable growth of secondary and collegiate education during this period. In 1855, there were in the country 169 secondary schools with 18,335 pupils; in 1882, the number of Government schools alone swelled to 1,363 with 44,605 pupils.  

The Indian contribution, too, was not unworthy. In 1854, the number of schools maintained by Indians was small, but in 1881-82, it had grown to 1,341—that is almost equal to the number of Government schools, and nearly twice as large as that of the missionary schools, viz., 757.  

This increase of the Indian share in educational enterprise

---

1 Statement Exhibiting the Moral and Material Progress and Condition of India during the year 1874-75, p. 72.  
2 The Educational System of British India; vide Home Department (Education) Proceedings, February 1882, Nos. 31-71  
3 Letter from A. Croft, Director of Public Instruction, Bengal, to Rev. J. Johnstone, dated 28 June 1881: Home Department (Education) Proceedings, February 1882, Nos. 31-71
was due to the policy of the Government enunciated in the Despatch of 1854, viz., withdrawal of the Government from direct participation in the advance of secondary and higher education.

Hindus, who had become conscious of the value of Western education, were ready to make sacrifices to foster it. Only the Muslims were still backward and suspicious, although Syed Ahmad Khan had already launched a campaign to counter their opposition, and to convert their views in favour of Western education.

Collegiate education during the latter half of the nineteenth century made no less rapid progress. Three examining and affiliating universities were founded in 1857. Their function was conceived in almost the same terms as that of the Department of Education which came to be established in pursuance of the recommendations of the Despatch of 1854, namely, to direct and supervise education. The Government avoided undertaking direct responsibility for maintaining secondary schools and colleges. But the prevailing circumstances compelled it to shoulder the obligations for some of them. In 1857, there were 13 general colleges of learning, three colleges of medicine, and one college of civil engineering run by the Government. There were also 9 missionary colleges, and one college, namely, the Hindu Vidyalaya at Calcutta, which was managed by a mixed committee of Indians and Englishmen till 1854. Between the three universities, in their first year of the Matriculation examination, 219 candidates were declared successful.

By 1882, there were 72 English and Oriental colleges in British India and several in the Indian States. Of these, 59 were Arts colleges teaching 5,399 pupils. In 1881-82, the number of candidates who appeared at the Matriculation examination had gone up to 7,429, of whom 2,778 passed. Among the new colleges, the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College at Aligarh deserves special mention. It was the herald of a new consciousness among the Muslims and a product of the revivalist movement which created a stir in the seventies. Besides the Anglo-Oriental College at Aligarh, there were four other colleges managed through private effort, namely, the Canning College of Lucknow, endowed by the land-holders of Oudh, the Pachaiyappa College maintained from a pious Hindu’s bequest, the Vizianagaram College established by the Maharajah of Vizianagaram, and the Tinnevelly College founded in 1861.
The number of students who passed the F.A., B.A. and M.A. examinations from 1857 to 1871 and between 1872 and 1882, gives an idea of the progress of English education during this period. During the first period, there were 46 English Arts colleges, and during the second 72; 2,666 students passed the F.A., 859 the B.A., and 151 the M.A. examinations in the first period; and in the second period, 5,969 passed the F.A. examination, 2,434 the B.A. and 385 the M.A.

The advance in secondary and collegiate education was directly related to the renaissance which was making headway in these decades. The relation was reciprocal and cumulative and a factor of the greatest importance in the political awakening which culminated in the formation of the Indian National Congress in 1885. In 1885-86, there were about 4 lacs of pupils in secondary schools, of whom nearly 265,000, were receiving purely English education.1 It is true that the number of English-knowing Indians compared to the total population in 1881 was like a drop in a bucket of water, yet their influence was far greater than their numbers indicated. Not only did they occupy all posts which constituted the base of administration through whom policies were executed and the people subjected to Government orders; they also exercised through such professions as those of the lawyer, doctor, engineer, teacher and others, influence over great numbers of men. Then, there were journalists and writers whose thoughts and opinions were propagated widely. But it did not suit the purposes of the Government to recognise their importance.

With the arrival of Ripon in 1880 the pace of education was accelerated. As Lord President of the Council in the Liberal Government of Gladstone, Ripon had been responsible for the passage of the Educational Acts of 1868—70. Among the problems which naturally attracted his attention as Governor-General of India was that of education. His main interest in educational expansion stemmed not merely from his general liberal outlook in politics, but from his desire to guarantee the proper functioning of the scheme of Local Self-Government, whose success depended upon a wide expansion of mass education.

Nearly a quarter of a century had elapsed since Wood had desired "active measures to be directed towards conveying
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1 Croft, Sir A., Director of Public Instruction, Review of Education in India in 1886, p. 4
useful and practical knowledge, suited to every position in life to the great mass of the people who were utterly incapable of obtaining any education worthy of the name by their own unaided efforts." He had also directed that the "indigenous schools should, by wise encouragement, be made capable of imparting correct elementary knowledge to the great mass of the people." But, there still was sad neglect of this branch of education and the indigenous schools were fast vanishing.

Apart from the non-fulfilment of expectations for the expansion of mass education, there were other reasons too which suggested an all-India enquiry into the working of the educational system. The Christian missions in England had become dissatisfied with the whole policy of the Government towards their enterprise. Wood had laid stress upon imparting a good secular education, ignoring religious instruction, and this policy had begun to be scrupulously implemented since 1858.

Another consideration which weighed with Ripon for the proposed enquiry was the political danger of developing a "small class of highly cultivated natives addressing themselves to ignorant and uncultivated masses."

Thus, a variety of reasons led the Government of India in 1882 to appoint the Indian Education Commission with Sir W. W. Hunter as Chairman and 20 other members, among whom eight were Indians, to assist him. The Commission was asked to enquire how far effect had been given to the principles of the Despatch of 1854 and to suggest measures calculated to carry out further the policy laid down therein. After a detailed enquiry into all aspects of education, the Commission submitted its report in 1883.

The finding of the Commission was that the system of education prescribed by the Despatch of 1854 was essentially sound and did not call for any radical modification. Its recommendations were intended, therefore, to support and strengthen the principles underlying the Despatch. It was urged that "if ever education is to be adequate, it must be national in a wider sense than is implied in mere state management, and must be managed, in a great measure, by the people themselves." Accordingly, the Commission reiterated the desirability of the State's withdrawal, stating that "Government should not only
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1 Ripon's letter to Hartington, June 3, 1881, quoted by Lucien Wolf, Life of the First Marquess of Ripon, Vol. II, p. 114
curtail the expansion of its institutions, but should also withdraw from direct enterprise as soon as a suitable agency, public or private, became available to carry on its work."¹ This policy was to apply specially to collegiate and secondary education. But so far as primary education was concerned, it advocated that "strenuous efforts of the State should now be directed in a still larger measure than heretofore,"² and that primary education be extended to the backward aboriginal races. The Commission advised that, as in England, the control of primary education in India should be made over to the district and municipal boards. But, at the same time, it failed to recommend that, like England, primary education should be made compulsory in this country also. Concerning the indigenous schools, it desired the Government to encourage and improve them so as to serve modern requirements.

So far as the financing of primary education was concerned, local funds were held to be its main support; and Provincial Governments were merely to supplement local budgets by means of grants-in-aid at the rate of half of the local assets or one-third of the total expenditure. These recommendations fell so far short of the requirements that the good intentions of the Commission became nugatory.

The twenty years following the Report were once more a period of very rapid expansion of collegiate and secondary education compared to that in the three preceding decades. So far as collegiate education was concerned, the number of institutions (first and second grade, both Arts and Professional) had risen from 72 to 191, of which 145 were Arts colleges and 46 Professional colleges—which means that the institutions had nearly trebled since 1882. The total number of scholars receiving education in the six provinces of British India in 1881-82 was 5,403³; the figures for 1901-02 were 23,009,⁴ or more than four times. In 1881-82, there were 5 Indian as against 18 missionary colleges. In 1901-02, there were 168 Arts colleges, of which 136 were in British India and 32 in Indian States. Of these 42 were managed by Indians and 37 by missionaries.

¹ For a full discussion, see ibid., pp. 452-56
² Ibid., p. 112
³ Croft, Sir Alfred, Review on Education in India in 1886 with special reference to the Report of the Education Commission, (Calcutta, 1888), p. 31; Syed Mahmood, A History of English Education in India, 1781-1893, Aligarh, 1895, p. 120
⁴ Quinquennial Review of the Progress of Education in India, 1897-1902, Vol. II, General Table
Obviously, by 1902, Indians had surpassed the missionaries in their enterprise for the extension of higher education.

In secondary education, too, the progress was equally marked. The number of institutions had increased from 3,916 to 5,124, and of scholars from 214,077 to 590,129. Most of these schools were financed by private Indian agencies and were staffed by Indians.

By the end of the century, the condition of primary education, however, remained deplorable. The actual number of children at school in 1901-02, was 3 millions out of a population of 18 million boys of school-going age. The Government spent hardly more on primary education in 1901-02, than it did in 1881-82. The figures for the earlier year were Rs. 16.77 lakhs and for the latter, 16.92 lakhs. On the other hand, the burden of expansion, if any, fell upon additional taxation levied by the local bodies; their contribution rose from Rs. 24.9 lakhs in 1881-82, to Rs. 46.1 lakhs in 1901-02. Even so, in 1901-02, literacy was 10 per cent among the male population and 7 per cent among females; three out of four villages were without a school.

After one hundred and fifty years of British rule, the condition of the masses of India, both economically and educationally, was grievously backward. The Government not only showed no anxiety to introduce compulsory primary education, it transferred this expensive item of education to impecunious local bodies—district boards and municipalities—without increasing its own grants, and also neglected the indigenous schools. Colossal ignorance and grinding poverty were the twin ills which pestered the people throughout this period. Under these conditions, they were inevitably bound to remain steeped in superstition, hide-bound by tradition, unenterprising, averse to adopting modern techniques of agriculture, sunk in misery and ill-health, and indifferent to improvement.

Relatively speaking, the education of the middle class was more ample. Though it is difficult to estimate their numbers, the fact that secondary and higher education registered greater progress quantitatively and the activity of the middle class in all departments of national life became more abundant with the passage of years, is an indication that their sector of education was advancing at good speed.

Educational progress during the latter half of the nineteenth century was not uniform among all the communities of India. So far as the Hindus were concerned, education was confined to
a large extent to the middle class. Even its upper layers took less advantage of the opportunities than the others. For their benefit, Government made special arrangements which, on the one hand, isolated them from the main stream of the educated middle class and, secondly, aimed at fostering their loyalty towards their foreign masters.

In pursuance of this policy, institutions were established where only children of the chiefs, the aristocracy, and the landlords were admitted. Among these were:

(1) The Mayo College at Ajmer,
(2) The Rajkumar College at Rajkot, and
(3) The Daly College at Indore.

It was really the middle class, and specially the poorer sections among the middle class, which flocked to English schools. The reason was obvious. The upper section belonged to the landlord class which was on the whole well off and its scions did not feel so strongly the urge to enter independent professions or Government service, and, therefore, did not take to collegiate education in large numbers. On the other hand, those belonging to the less fortunate sections were more eager to improve their lot in life and thronged to the schools and colleges. It was not altogether a rare sight to find a young scholar reading at night under the light of a street-lamp. Unlike the English universities, which were regarded as seminaries of intellectual culture and refinement of manners for the aristocratic youth, the institutions of learning in India were considered as merely training places for needy job-hunters. Nor were they looked upon as seats of advanced knowledge or as promoters of scholarship and research.

Of the total number of the literates in India, 60 per cent belonged to less than 20 per cent of the population; most of them came from twenty castes, predominantly the Brahmans, Vaisyas and Kayasthas. These three castes formed less than 10 per cent of the Hindu inhabitants of India, but accounted for 36 per cent of the literates. Taking males and females together, only 11 castes constituting 14 per cent of the population possessed 50 per cent literates. These figures show how education was confined to a very narrow circle among the Hindus. It must, however, be recognised that, unlike pre-British times,
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1 Davis, Kingsley, The Population of India & Pakistan, p. 156; Davis bases his conclusions on the General Report of the Census of India, 1891.
there was hardly any community now which did not pride itself in possessing a certain number of literates. Education had made an inroad upon caste, but it was not more than a very slight and superficial dent. The rigours of caste were only slightly relaxed.

Government's Encouragement to Muslim Education

So far as Muslims were concerned, their progress was disappointing. The Government’s disfavour and the community’s antipathy towards the rulers and their religion and culture accounted for much of their backwardness in education. This was specially the case as regards secondary and higher education. In 1871-72, the percentage of Muslim population in the six larger provinces of India was 22.8, while the percentage of Muslim pupils among the total number of scholars at school was only 14.5. In the two provinces of North-Western Provinces and Oudh, they were in excess of their ratio, but in the other four, below the average. This fact, together with the change in the attitude of the rulers towards the Muslims, of which Hunter’s book the *Indian Musalmans*, published in 1872, was symptomatic was responsible for the Resolution of the Government dated 7th August, 1871, announcing greater encouragement to the education of the community. Within a year, on 13th June, 1872, another Resolution reviewing the progress made in the provinces since 1871 was adopted, and it was decided “to give to Muhammadans their full share of high-class intellectual training and of sound knowledge useful to them in life, combined but not clashing with the Oriental erudition which belongs to their race and country.”

At the end of the decade (1872-82), the percentage of Muslims in colleges (English) was 3.65 per cent of the total number of students and 9.2 per cent in English High and Middle Schools. Considering the fact that the population of the Muslims in India then was 22.8 per cent of the total, these figures show that the state of affairs was far from satisfactory. The Hunter Commission, while acknowledging the “special encouragement to any class in itself an evil,” and exhorting the Muslims to resort to self-help and self-sacrifice, recommended “that the special encouragement of Muhammadan education be regarded as a legitimate charge on Local, on Municipal, and on Provincial Funds.” Further, it urged that indigenous Muhammadan
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1 Syed Mahmood, *op. cit.*, pp. 153-4
3 Ibid., pp. 505-06
schools be encouraged, that special standards for Muhammadan schools be prescribed, that Hindustani be the principal medium for imparting instruction to Muhammadans in Primary and Middle schools and special provision be made for its teaching, that special scholarships and freeships be established, that Muslim endowments be utilised to open English schools where Muslim teachers should be employed, that Muslim inspecting officers be appointed, etc. etc., for the promotion of Muhammadan education.\(^1\) Dufferin’s Government expressed its willingness to give special consideration to the education of Muslims and accepted most of the recommendations of the Commission.

The result was that, by 1891-92, the percentage of Muslim students in Arts colleges (English) rose to 5.9, in professional colleges to 7.5, and in secondary schools to 14.0\(^2\) while their population remained in the vicinity of 21.8 per cent. In short, so far as general literacy among Muslims was concerned, its percentage in 1886-87 was identical with the proportion of their population to the total, \textit{viz.}, 22.5.\(^3\) It was in the higher grades of education that disparity between Hindus and Muslims remained marked.

By 1903, the all-round position had somewhat receded. In a total population of 22.6 per cent, the percentage of Muslim pupils had stood at 18.8. This was not a serious deviation; it was in the higher stages that backwardness of Muslims was most apparent. The percentage of the Muslim pupils in public primary schools was 19.7, in secondary schools 14.4, in arts colleges 7.3, and in professional colleges 6.4. It was only in special education that their numbers were proportionately larger than those of the Hindus, \textit{viz.}, 37 per cent of the total.\(^4\)

It is somewhat doubtful whether the special encouragement shown to the Muslims had any real impact upon the expansion of education among them. The chief inducement for education was the prospect of appointments in Government offices, but the Government’s favourable attitude could only open up the subordinate services where recruitment depended upon the discretion of the officers. For most of them, university education was hardly necessary; and consequently Muslim young men were not strongly attracted by higher education.

\(^1\) Ibid.
\(^2\) Syed Mahmood, op. cit., p. 177
\(^3\) Croft, Sir, A., \textit{Review on Education} (1888), op. cit., p. 5
\(^4\) Quinquennial Report of the progress of education (1897-1902), para 1123
On the contrary, as the numbers of graduates and college-educated young men grew, the supply began to outrun the demand and competition for posts became keener. Considerations of merit began to be diluted and demands for the fixation of the Muslim community's share in the services on the basis of their importance grew louder. The effect of the concession to the demand was twofold. The Muslims ceased to enter into open competition with the Hindus, and, therefore, to strive for higher standards, and the favourable treatment of the Muslims made the Hindus feel embittered.

II. **English Education and the National Movement**

A review of the progress of Western education in the nineteenth century reveals how far-reaching were its effects in transforming the Indian mind. What the revival of Greek learning had done to usher in the modern age of Europe, that the spread of Western thought did in India. Religious and social reformation, romanticism, enlightenment or rationalism, historicism in outlook and patriotism as a principle of social motivation, were powerful impulses due to the study of European—more specially English—literature, philosophy and science. However, if the change in India was not as profound as that in the West, the reason was that the material conditions of life, particularly of the masses, remained, more or less, static, and the stimulus of free political activity which characterises societies where there is an organic relation between the rulers and the ruled, was absent.

While, therefore, it is historically true that Western education made a definite contribution to the evolution of a modern society and the unification of the peoples of India, it has to be recognised that the process of education suffered from serious defects, which were reflected in individual and collective conduct.

The almost exclusive emphasis upon literary and theoretical knowledge tended to accentuate the traditional bias towards book-learning and unrealism, which classical Oriental learning had fostered. The neglect of Indian languages, which were contemptuously dubbed as *Vernacular* s, and their consequent backwardness as vehicles of modern thought, deprived those who did not know English—and their numbers were huge—of the opportunity of emerging from the medieval world in which
they dwelt. What was worse, the gulf between the educated and the uneducated was widened.

The adoption of English as the medium of instruction in the secondary and higher classes led to another undesirable result. Before the British became rulers of India, many Hindus used to study Persian and some Arabic also. So also, many Muslims read and wrote in Indian languages and some learnt Sanskrit too. But, after English had become the language of learning, it became difficult for the Hindus to acquire Persian or Arabic and equally so for the Muslims to study Sanskrit in addition to English. This growing lack of the knowledge of each other's classical literature was one of the factors responsible for the distance which began to separate them. It is, however, true that the community of knowledge of Western thought and of the English language helped to overcome the gap and undo the damage to a certain extent.

It is difficult to assess the total psychological effects of teaching through a foreign language, but undue emphasis upon memory and a stunting of originality in thinking were apparent.

When Curzon surveyed the field of education in India and the results which had followed from it during the preceding half-century, he came to the conclusion which indicated grave suspicion of its benefits. He said:

"There exists a powerful school of opinion which does not hide its conviction that the experiment (of English education in India) was a mistake, and that its result has been a disaster. ... They think that it has given birth to a tone of mind and to a type of character that is ill-regulated, averse from discipline, discontented, and in some cases actually disloyal."

Although he did not wholly agree with this opinion he was apprehensive of the fact that Indian education had largely passed into Indian hands and was outside state control, and that Indians were becoming more and more critical of the Government or, according to official vocabulary, 'seditious.' He thought that the two were inter-connected phenomena. He, therefore, endeavoured to discover ways and means to reverse these tendencies. So, on what appeared to be justifiable grounds, namely, the low standards of education, he made up his mind to intervene. In the speech he made at the Educational
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Conference at Simla, he indicated the defects of primary, secondary and university education. About the last he said:

“We found courses of study and a system of tests which were lowering the quality, while steadily increasing the volume, of human output, students driven like sheep from lecture-room to lecture-room and examination to examination, text-books badly chosen, degrees pursued for their commercial value, the Senates with overswollen numbers, selected on almost every principle but that of educational fitness, the Syndicates devoid of statutory powers—a huge system of active but often misdirected effort, over which, like some evil phantom, seemed to hover the monstrous and maleficent spirit of Cram.”

He summarised the whole system in these words: “But of real living, the life of the intellect, the character, the soul, I fear that the glimpses that were obtainable were rare and dim.”

In spite of its evident exaggeration, the indictment did not lack substance. But the remedy which Curzon proposed for improving the quality of education tended to curtail its quantity. To quote his own words, “it is quality, not quantity, that we should have in view.” This brought Curzon into open conflict with Indian opinion, which was dissatisfied with the meagre quantity of education—10 per cent literates—and considered its expansion on a large scale as vital to the interests of the country, and became suspicious of the motives of the Viceroy.

The Raleigh Education Commission, which was appointed by Curzon to report on the system of university education, recommended the reorganisation of university government, enlargement of the functions of the university from merely examining to teaching, stricter control over the affiliated colleges and more stringent conditions for grant of affiliation.

The Indian Universities Act of 1904 gave effect to these recommendations and vested the Government with powers of making, modifying and rejecting the Regulations of the university.

Gokhale, a very responsible and moderate public man and an outstanding educationist, gave expression to Indian dissatisfaction and disappointment in the speeches which he made in the Imperial Legislative Council. He drew the attention of
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the Assembly, to "the undoubted hostility of the educated classes of this country to the measure," and explaining the existence of discontented Bachelors of Arts pouring out of the universities, he made it clear that the provisions of the Act for the reconstitution of the governing bodies of the University "will operate to the prejudice of indigenous enterprise in the field of higher education," and added, "while the good they may do is at least problematical, the injury that they will do is both certain and clear."

He alluded to the great hopes of salutary reforms in the University which Curzon's speeches had kindled, and complained: "it was, however, not long before the new-born hope that had thus gladdened our hearts was chilled to death, and we found that, instead of the measure we were looking for, we were to have only a perpetuation of this narrow, bigoted and expensive rule of experts."

The clash between Curzon and educated India was the consummation of the process of education, which had begun with the foundation of the Hindu College and continued during the nineteenth century. Whatever the handicaps—quantitative and qualitative—which detracted from the usefulness of education, it had justified itself by its fruits, for education is essentially the emancipation of the mind, and an emancipated mind cannot be kept for ever imprisoned in the dungeons of foreign despotism. Curzon, the arch-advocate of British imperialism, attempted to exorcise the Frankenstein which his predecessors had called into being, doubtfully and hesitantly and with expectations of different results. Some Englishmen had early foreseen the consequences, but were wise and humane enough to welcome them; and others equally prescient saw in the educational expansion only portents of doom, but all their attempts to direct and subdue education to purposes alien to its nature were bound to fail. Curzon's successors had to taste the bitter fruit of the policies which he had sown.

III. THE INDIAN PRESS (1860—1900)

The history of the development of the Press in India up to 1857 has been dealt with in a previous chapter. The period
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1 Gokhale's Speeches, 2nd edition, 1916, p. 265
2 Ibid., pp. 262-3
3 Ibid., p. 304
following the Revolt was one of great importance in the growth of the Press. During the first half of the 19th century, it passed through what may be considered to be its infancy. Many papers appeared, but most had an ephemeral existence; their circulation was meagre and in size they were mostly four-page weeklies.

In the meantime, English education was spreading, which was a sign of the expansion of the middle class. The number of schools and colleges was increasing and three universities had begun to function, which grew into five by 1887. Society was stirred by the movements of religious and social reform and men were deeply agitated by debates between the reformers and the traditionalists. In political life, Bengal had escaped the horrors of the Revolt and its consequences. But the changed attitude of Government officials, the pressure of increasing economic distress in the countryside, of which the frequent famines were an indication, and the exclusion of Indians from any part in the conduct of government were factors which added to the growing discontent of the people. Indians were showing increasing interest in the exciting events which were happening abroad—movements of unification and liberation in Germany and Italy, Home Rule agitation in Ireland, expansion of Western domination in Africa, occupation of Egypt and Sudan by the British, and the division of Africa among European powers. On the Asian continent, China as well as southeastern, western and central Asian countries were all menaced by the march of Western imperialism.

Circumstances were thus conspiring to stimulate the desire for information which could only be satisfied by newspapers and journals. In the absence of any constitutional machinery for the ventilation of Indian opinion concerning the measures and policies of the Government and of political organisations for the expression of public feelings, the Press was the only means of voicing people's demands and complaints. Thus, the Press played a very important role by furnishing the instruments of propaganda.

But, from the very start, it was faced with tremendous difficulties. Criticism was hotly resented by the ruling class and, with the exception of some liberal-minded Governors-General, like Metcalfe before 1857 and Ripon after 1857, most of them regarded the Indian Press as a nuisance, if not a positive source of anxiety. They agreed with Sir George Campbell that a free
press was inconsistent with a despotic form of government, even if it was a paternal despotism.\footnote{Calcutta Review, 1911, p. 144} Jefferson’s opinion that if the choice were between a government without newspapers or newspapers without a government, he would opt for the latter, was anathema to them.

**English Newspapers**

The appearance of English newspapers set the pace. In 1861, the *Times of India* was established after the amalgamation of the *Standard*, the *Telegraph* and the *Courier* with the *Bombay Times*. It justified itself by pointing out the importance of Bombay as a port and the capital city of India in its first issue. It said: “Imperial in its resources whether for commerce or for war and the natural emporium and capital of Asia—there is a future before Bombay that the most sanguine cannot adequately forecast. While the city is imperial, its press has hitherto been provincial, and in announcing ourselves as the *Times of India* we are simply endeavouring to keep up with the march of events.”

The *Pioneer* was started from Allahabad in 1865. It was regarded as the mouthpiece of the official mind and an organ of the Anglo-Indian community. The *Civil and Military Gazette*, established in Simla in 1872 and transferred to Lahore in 1876, was the advocate of the interests of the services, military and civil. Rudyard Kipling, who later rose to fame, spent his early days as Assistant Editor of the *Civil and Military Gazette* and the *Pioneer*. In 1875, Robert Knight bought the *Friend of India* and founded the *Statesman* with the help of twenty-four English merchants of Calcutta. The *Madras Mail* and the *Madras Standard* served the Anglo-Indian community in the Presidency. The *Standard*, which was started in 1877, passed into Indian hands in 1892, and became an independent, radical and vigorous advocate of the Indian point of view.

**Indian-owned English Papers**

But these were Anglo-Indian journals. Much more significant was the development of the English-language newspapers owned and edited by Indians. Probably the first important one was the *Hindu Patriot* which was edited by Girish Chandra Ghosh during the first two years of its career. In 1855, Harish
Chandra Mukherji assumed its editorial charge. He was fearless in exposing the tyranny of the planters and in bringing relief to the harassed peasants. On his death in 1861, Kristodas Pal became its editor. He was a typical product of English education. He admired British rule and professed the philosophy of British liberalism. Under his influence, the Hindu Patriot came to represent the interests of the upper middle class of the landlords of Bengal.

As a counterblast to the Patriot, Girish Chandra Ghosh started the Bengalee in 1868, to voice the wants and views of the ryots. Surendra Nath Banerjea, who had been a contributor to the paper, acquired it in 1879 and made it the leading paper of India—a fearless critic of the Government and unwearied advocate of Indian claims.

In 1861, the Indian Mirror, another paper of progressive views, came out under the editorship of Man Mohan Ghosh, with the financial assistance of Debendranath Tagore. With him was associated Keshab Chandra Sen. He edited the paper for some time. The paper attained great popularity under Narendra Nath Sen. The Ghosh brothers—Sisir Kumar, Basant Kumar and Hemant Kumar—started the Amrita Bazar Patrika as a Bengali journal in 1868. It moved to Calcutta in 1872 and in 1878 became an English paper to circumvent the provisions of the Vernacular Press Act. The Patrika was outspoken in its criticism of Government measures. The Reis and Rayyot was launched by Shambhu Chandra Mukherji in 1882. It was conservative in social matters.

Madras was unlucky in its early ventures. The Crescent, the organ of the Native Association, the Native Public Opinion sponsored by Sir T. Madhava Rao, Diwan Bahadur Raghunath Rao and others, and the Madrasee, were all short-lived. But the need for an organ of public opinion was imperative and so in 1878, the Hindu was founded. It was soon established in the esteem of the public under the distinguished direction of G. Subramania Iyer. The Madras Standard started in 1877 as an Anglo-Indian paper, but passed in 1892 into the hands of G. Pillai, who followed a radical line. K. Natarajan established the Indian Social Reformer, which became a staunch protagonist of social reform.

In the Bombay Presidency, business and commercial pursuits brought the European and Indian merchants—Parsis, Bohras, Gujaratis—close together and the Times of India represented
their joint venture and gave expression to their views and needs. It was conservative in its outlook and a staunch supporter of Government policies. Bombay also attempted to run a nationalist English newspaper. Dadabhai Naoroji brought out the Voice of India, a monthly, in 1882, which ultimately merged with Behramji M. Malabari’s Indian Spectator. Pherozeshah Mehta, in 1886, is said to have tried to publish the Advocate of India, whose fate remains obscure. English education remained confined to Bombay and a few district towns whose needs, it would appear, were met by bilingual papers like Indu Prakash, Dnyan Prakash, Sudharak, the Native Opinion and others. About the turn of the century, there were 11 Marathi-English and 13 Gujarati-English papers. The Mahratta, started by Tilak as an English organ in 1881, was influential, but it never attained to the popularity of the Kesari.

In the United Provinces (Uttar Pradesh), the first nationalist paper in the English language, the Indian Herald, was started by Pandit Ajodhya Nath in 1879 and held its own against the powerful Anglo-Indian organ, the Pioneer, for upwards of three years. In 1890, the Pandit took up another paper called the Indian Union. In the Punjab, the Tribune, sponsored by Sardar Dayal Singh Majithia, made its appearance in 1881.

**Development of the Indian Language Press**

The Indian languages’ Press had been exercising much influence even before 1857, and Urdu papers, in particular, had played an important role during the Revolt. After 1857, the progress was rapid in spite of the opposition which they encountered from the rulers. The Government was particularly apprehensive of the influence of these papers. Their actual circulation was not large, but that was not a true measure of the extent of their influence as each paper was read by many readers. Again, these papers directly affected the opinion of a class of readers who were not sophisticated by English education in schools and who responded immediately to whatever the papers said. It is also a fact that their comments were sharper and rankled more bitterly than those of the contemporary English newspapers.

Two phases may be distinguished in the development of the Indian Press. The first phase extends from the Great Revolt to the Viceroyalty of Lytton, viz., from 1857 to 1880, and the second covers the years from Ripon to Curzon—1880 to 1905. During the first phase, the Indian Press was as much concerned
with social and religious affairs as with politics, if not more. In politics, its attitude towards the British Government was one of deference and loyalty, not unmixed with criticism which could become harsh on occasions. But, on the whole, the British connection was regarded as beneficial, in fact, providential. There was a great deal of trust in the good intentions of Britain and a genuine admiration for the liberal and democratic principles, which inspired British culture and the British parliamentary system.

During the second phase, politics assumed greater importance and social reform lost its pre-eminence. Relations between educated Indians, especially the Hindus, and the British officials and non-officials deteriorated. The policies of Government were judged with greater severity, and suspicions regarding British intentions towards India's political progress hardened. Although the idea of severing ties with Britain and becoming independent did not appear above the surface, the humiliation of dependence began to cause searching of hearts and furtive utterances.

In 1857, Canning had sought to control the newspapers by the Press Act. But it failed to achieve its object and then Lawrence decided to establish an official news agency to answer the criticism of the Indian Press. The scheme could not be implemented and was abandoned. However, an Act to regulate the printing presses and newspapers and for the registration of books was passed in 1867.

The activities of the so-called Wahhabis had been causing great alarm, and to meet it, the infamous Section 124A was added to the Indian Penal Code in 1870. It aimed at punishing writers and speakers, who were accused of sedition. During Northbrook's viceroyalty relations between the Government and the Press went from bad to worse.

The Indian Press had, by this time, acquired considerable strength. In Bengal, in 1875, there were 56 language papers. Twenty of them were well-established and the combined circulation of all reached the figure of 30,000.1 Among these papers, the following were important:

The Som Prakash was started by Dwarkanath Vidyabhushan in 1858. It enjoyed the patronage of the great scholar and social reformer, Iswara Chandra Vidyasagar. After Vidyabhushan, its noted editor was Sibnath Sastri, a leader of the Brahma Samaj.

---

1 The Bengalee, January 29, 1876
The paper was held in great esteem as an organ of social, moral and political liberalism. The *Amrita Bazar Patrika* was founded by Sisir Kumar Ghosh in 1868 and was conducted in Bengali till the passing of the Vernacular Press Act in 1873. Its place was filled by the *Ananda Bazar Patrika*. It was an independent paper which expressed its views frankly and fearlessly. The *Sulabh Samachar* was the first cheap paper which cost one pice a copy. It was launched by the Indian Reform Association in 1876, under the direction of Keshab Chandra Sen. The *Sadharani* was issued with the blessings of Bankim Chandra Chatterji.

Besides these weeklies, there were published a number of important monthlies and fortnightlies which served to spread enlightenment. They were mainly concerned with literary, scientific and religious subjects. Among them were: the *Rahasya Sandarbha* (1863), organ of the Calcutta School Book Society and the Vernacular Literature Society; the *Siksha Darpan* (1864), which was replaced by the *Education Gazette* in 1868; the *Banga Darshan* (1872) edited by Bankim Chandra Chatterji; the *Bharati* (1877), which was produced by Dwijendranath Tagore and helped by Rabindranath Tagore and other writers of note.

The growth of the influence of the Press was not regarded with favour by the Government. The Bengal Administration Report of 1875 wrote:

"Blame is constantly attributed to the British Government and administration. Impractical suggestions are frequently made, a disposition to find fault with everything that is done, and to be pleased with nothing, is sometimes manifested; an increasing jealousy is evinced regarding the bearing and demeanour of British people towards the natives; there is a tendency to form inflated aspirations out of visionary ideas without due regard to the sobering influences of deep knowledge and practical thought." It went on to add: "A very general desire is gaining ground among the natives to assert their rights according to the declared principles of British rule and to urge their just claims to a full share in all the best things which the public services and government organization can afford. ... An increasing pride finds expression among them in respect to the achievements of the Hindoo mind which is yet a sure concomitant of national improvement. In short there is a sort of intellectual restlessness and mental fermentation going on."1

1 Quoted by the *Bengalee*, January 29, 1876
In Western India, a similar development was taking place. In 1871, there were 29 Marathi and 26 Gujarati papers in the Presidency, and 3 Urdu and 1 Persian. Among the Marathi papers, the Indu Prakash (1862), the Native Opinion (1864), and the Deenabandhu (1877) were influential. The Indu Prakash, a bilingual paper, was the mouthpiece of progressive writers and reformers and, among its contributors and supporters were Ranade, Bhandarkar, Telang, Chandavarkar, and Visnu Sastri Pandit. The Deenabandhu was the organ of the Satyashodhak Samaj established by Phule, a radical social reformer, and edited by Krishna Rao Bhalekar. The paper was a champion of the backward classes whose ignorance, poverty and ill-health received its special attention. It was not in favour of political reform which, it thought, would benefit the upper classes at the cost of the lower. The Native Opinion was a bilingual weekly. Its editor, Vishwanath Narayan Mandalik, was a conservative who challenged some of the reform policies of the Indu Prakash school. Bombay, like Bengal, owned a cheap Marathi weekly, Dnyan Chakshu of Poona, whose annual subscription was only one rupee and two annas.

Other influential papers of Bombay were the Rast Gostar and Guzerat Mitra, which contained “terrific leaders,” but at the same time, inculcated a sound sense in a graphic manner. Of the press of the Bombay Presidency Digby wrote:

“The energetic Anglicized Parsee population of Bombay, and general martial character of the inhabitants of the states under the direct rule or protection of the Presidency Governor, introduce us to vernacular newspapers whose stamp is altogether different to the publication of the Bengali, and yet, properly treated, they are not one whit more dangerous to the ruling powers, than is the softer speech of the editors of the Eastern India Patrika and Prakash.”

The Bombay Administration Report of 1875-76, commenting on the Marathi Press, pointed out its concern at the advocacy of constitutional rights for Indians, and complained: “Other proceedings have been condemned freely such as the enlargement of the powers of the Secretary of State and of the Indian Executive, the rumoured restriction of the liberty of the Press and the attempts of Manchester to procure the repeal of the import

1 Digby W., The Native Papers of India and Ceylon, Calcutta Review, LXV (1877), p. 373
duty on cotton goods." In 1877-78 the Report noted that, while the tone of the Bombay papers was moderate and showed signs of reflection, that of Poona was constantly hostile to the Government. It said: "The general purport of their writing was that the English by tyranny and fraud had reached the acme of prosperity and the duty of the oppressed people was to rise and expel them from the patrimony of the Hindu."

In the Madras Presidency, if the progress of the Indian papers written in English was slow, the story of the Indian-language papers was rather disappointing. The Christian missionaries dominated the Press, but their papers were largely devoted to religious propaganda. Next to them came Muslim journals, which accounted for as many as one-third of the number. Lord Hobart, Governor from 1872 to 1875, gave much encouragement to Muslim papers. About them it is said that they were dull, containing "not a line of original matter."

In Upper India which consisted of the present Uttar Pradesh, Panjab, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, and Berar, journalism was growing vigorously on lines similar to those of Bengal and Bombay. Among Indian language papers, Urdu predominated. These papers manifested all the characteristics of a showy loyalty, which was largely due to fear of the British Raj or the desire to win the patronage of Government officials. Among these were the Koh-i-Noor started about 1850, and Akhbar-i-Am issued in 1870.

The position of the Indian Press during this phase of development, to quote William Digby, was as follows: In 1875, there were 374 Vernacular and Anglo-Vernacular newspapers in circulation, as against 147 English papers—102 were published in Bengal, 86 in Bombay, 65 in the North-Western Provinces (Uttar Pradesh), 58 in Madras, and 63 in Panjab, Sind, the Central Provinces (Madhya Pradesh) and Rajasthan combined.

The Indian-language newspapers had altogether a much larger circulation, and the number of their readers was increasing. As Roper Lethbridge pointed out, "if a single copy reaches a village or even a large collection of villages, its contents will soon become known to nearly every man residing in the neighbourhood."

---

1 Bombay Administration Report, 1875-76, pp. 315-16
2 Bombay Administration Report, 1877-78, pp. 425-26
3 Digby, W., Calcutta Review, LXV (1877), p. 362
4 McCully, T. B., English Education and the Origin of Indian Nationalism, p. 325
IV. The Vernacular Press Act of 1878

The Government of India, like all despotic governments, was very apprehensive and suspicious of the growing influence of the Indian Press, and the British bureaucracy and non-official Anglo-Indians were quick to take umbrage at any strong criticism and to counsel drastic measures for curbing the Press, even though the papers loudly protested their loyalty. In 1873, Sir George Campbell, Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, suggested to the Government of India the propriety of passing a law to punish summarily and severely, without the formality of a long prosecution, those who wrote and published mischievous and seditious libels on the Government. In 1875, Malhar Rao Gaikwad, Maharaja of Baroda, was tried and deposed. The Indian Press was much excited and the Indu Prakash of Bombay went so far as to question the suzerainty of the British Government over the Indian States. This irritated the ruling circles and the cry to suppress seditious writings of the Indian-language papers was raised. Lord Salisbury, Secretary of State for India, inclined to the view of the Pall Mall Gazette that "various articles in the Native Press are not only calculated to bring the Government into contempt, but palliate, if they do not absolutely justify as a duty, the assassination of British officers," and, therefore, suggested "the prosecution of the papers under the Indian Penal Code." The legal advisers of the Government found that prosecution under the existing laws was of doubtful success in convicting the accused.

Lord Lytton then took up the matter, and came to the conclusion, "that provision should be made for taking security from the proprietors of the Native Press." The Indian papers naturally condemned the proposal, and even the London Times did not approve of it.²

Bengal's Lieutenant-Governor pressed for legislation on the ground that there was "a growing tendency to criticise the works of Government and the intentions and motives of public officers in a spirit which was distinctly disloyal and sometimes even seditious." Upon this, the Government of India decided to act and on March 14, 1878, Lord Lytton hustled the Council into passing the Vernacular Press Act, which empowered magistrates to require the editors of Indian newspapers either to give

---

1 Quoted by Barns, op. cit., p. 279
2 Bengalee, April 15, 1876, quotes the Times
3 Ibid., 18 August 1877
a bond not to publish objectionable matter or to submit the proof sheets before publication for scrutiny.

The Indian Press remonstrated and questioned the need and propriety of the measure, and again affirmed its loyalty. Meetings of protest were held in which the Act was denounced. A petition was presented to the Parliament inviting its intervention. The Liberal Party, under the lead of Gladstone, condemned the Act. At last, on the transfer of the Government in England from the Conservative to the Liberal Party and the nomination of Lord Ripon as Governor-General of India, a re-examination of the Act was undertaken. Lord Ripon, after scrutiny, found that there was little justification for the law. He wrote to the Secretary of State:

"This is a great satisfaction to me, and I hope now that in a very short time the Indian Statute Book will be cleansed from that wretched piece of legislation. ... I did not expect to get the matter settled so easily, as the good majority of the Local Authorities consulted were in favour of some amendment of the Penal Code. The fact is that the Indian official regards the Press as an evil, necessary perhaps, but to be kept within as narrow limits as possible; he has no real feelings of the benefits of free discussion."\(^1\)

On his advice, the Act was repealed on January 19, 1882, and a new chapter began in the history of the Press.

**The Press and the National Movement**

The result of the ill-conceived measure of Lytton had been to raise a storm of dissent and disapprobation, to intensify suspicions of British intentions and to strengthen faith in the efficacy of agitation. In the beginning of the second phase, for a while, gratitude for Government's conciliatory attitude kept the Press 'from harsh comments,' but soon fresh provocations were held out and new issues appeared which revived bitterness and opposition. The Ilbert Bill of 1883, the Age of Consent Bill of 1891, and the Council Reform Act of 1892, were some of the measures which created heart-burning and dissatisfaction. The Hindu-Muslim riots which broke out in 1893 and which were attributed by one community as due to the pro-Muslim attitude of the officials and by the other as the effect of undue interference in the exercise of their rights, led to further

---

\(^1\) Ripon to Hartington, Secretary of State for India, 29th October 1881; quoted by Lucien Wolfe, *Life of the First Marquess of Ripon* (John Murray, 1921), Vol. II. pp. 113 & 114
estrangement between the Government and the people. Famines of great severity, accompanied with the loss of many lives throughout the latter half of the nineteenth century, and the outbreak of bubonic plague in 1896, were additional factors in worsening the situation. Curzon's imperious regime added fuel to the fire.

A new trend, or rather the accentuation of an old trend, now became prominent in the writings of the Press. Interest in religious and social reform which was so far dominant, ceased to monopolise attention. Interest in politics occupied more and more the notice and consideration of the Press. There were several factors responsible for this change. In the first place, the religious reform movements among both the Hindus and Muslims were laying greater emphasis on the revival of the ancient power and glory of the community and, consequently, there was less discussion of social reform. Secondly, there was the pressure of world events, for Europe was rocked by movements of national resurgence; and, thirdly, internal strains were becoming intensified in the different sectors of national life. In the absence of representative institutions, the Press became "the Parliament in permanent session." Its columns were open to debates on the measures and politics of the Government. It conveyed to the Government what the Indian people thought of their executive and legislative acts, not failing to praise the measures which were promoted by benevolent intentions or were calculated to promote Indian interests.

At the same time, the Press strongly assisted in mobilising public opinion, convening provincial and national conferences, organising political movements, building up public institutions and fighting out public controversies. There was no issue of foreign policy or internal administration which escaped its vigilant stewardship; no grievance affecting India's honour or economic welfare and aiming at securing participation in government was left undiscussed; and every political claim was persistently and vigorously pressed without fear of the frowns of the powers that be. Thus, during the second phase of its history, the Press became an important political institution. Its influence extended over people living even in the remote villages, for whom it became the principal means of political education.

For the Government, it was an agency which made them acquainted with the wants and grievances, the views and aspirations of the people. It gave expression to public feelings
relating to governmental measures and policies, and the Indian apprehensions lest the country’s interests be sacrificed for Britain’s benefit. It clearly indicated that the state of political dependence was inconsistent with national self-respect.

The Indian newspapers were, in the nature of things, an activity of the educated middle-class. They were owned, managed and edited by the members of this class. Through them, the middle class acquired influence over the whole of the country and in fact began legitimately to lay claim to represent all India—a claim which the rulers strongly resented and thoroughly deprecated. Unfortunately, the facts were against them, and their denial led, before the end of the period, to a violent change in the temper and disposition of the Press and to a loud demand for independence from British rule—a demand which had been heard sotto voce during the nineteenth century.

The Press at the end of the XIX Century

A survey of the Indian newspapers during the last quarter of the nineteenth century makes it clear that the India of this period did not contemplate a severance of its connection with Britain. It regarded British sovereignty over India as of real benefit, for it promoted India’s solidarity and advanced its material and cultural interests. But, at the same time, a great many papers were dissatisfied with the administration of the Indian Government. They criticised the Government’s foreign policy which led to expensive wars, inflation of expenditure, higher taxes and starvation of works of social and material welfare like education, health, irrigation, etc. The exclusion of Indians from the higher services and the employment of expensive British personnel, the galling racial discrimination between Indians and Europeans, and the total denial of the Indians’ claim to a share in policy-making and legislation which implied complete lack of confidence in their intellectual capacity and moral integrity, were subjects of vehement and sustained disapprobation.

A problem which caused continuing distrust against the Government, and also increased embitterment between the Indian communities, was the growing Hindu-Muslim tension. The newspapers were sharply divided in their attitude with regard to this problem. The papers owned and edited by the Hindus blamed either the Muslims for their intransigence and lack of consideration for Hindu feelings or the British officials for supporting the Muslims in their communal claims in pursuit of the
Government's policy of divide and rule. The Muslims, partly out of a desire to protect what they regarded as their legitimate rights, partly in order to vindicate the importance of their community, and partly also because they feared pecuniary loss, blamed the Hindus for their assertiveness. In any case, the tension became more vexatious and more painful as time passed. Although it began on trivial matters of ritual and ceremonial, it developed into a political controversy of a formidable order. Unfortunately, the efforts made by the saner elements in the two communities foundered on the rocks of short-sightedness within the communities themselves and of unhelpfulness on the part of the Government and obstructiveness of some officials.

The last quarter of the nineteenth century thus saw the remarkable growth of the effectiveness of the Indian Press and its increasing influence among the Indian people. In every province, Indian-owned English papers supplied news and views to the English-educated class of the province, and brought the educated classes in all the provinces of India into a single community which shared common thoughts and emotions. The Indian-language papers spread the same ideas and sentiments among much wider sections of the people. The two together moulded the country into a national unity.

Of all the provinces of India, Bengal was in the van of progress. In 1881, the number of its papers was 76 (23 in Bengali), but in 1905, it had grown to 111 (65 Bengali). Of the English papers, during the period, the outstanding in importance and influence was the Bengalee, edited by Surendra Nath Banerjea; and then came the Amrita Bazar Patrika, the Hindu Patriot and the Indian Mirror. In 1903, another English paper joined their ranks, namely, the New India of Bipin Chandra Pal.

To the list of important Bengali weekly papers of the period from 1857 to 1880, were added Sanjivani (1883), Bangabasi (1890), Hitabadi (1891), and Basumati (1896). A daily Bengali paper, Dainik, came out in 1883.

In the Bombay Presidency, there were 77 newspapers at the end of 1878-79. By the end of the century, their number had risen to 178; among them were English, Anglo-Marathi, Anglo-Gujarati and Marathi-Gujarati journals. The papers of note were the Indian Spectator, the Poona Herald, and the Mahratta, in English; the Kesari in Marathi, and the Kaiser-i-Hind and Bombay Samachar in Gujarati. The Kesari, in its first issue, said: "It would be the watchman keeping every executive officer
in wholesome fear of public opinion and that no injustice would remain unexposed." It lived up to its promise and brought a revolution in Marathi journalism.

In the North-Western Provinces and Oudh (Uttar Pradesh), the number of papers published in 1884 was 95. Among them, 76 were in Urdu, 12 in Hindi and 5 in Hindi-Urdu. In 1900, the number rose to 109, of which 70 were in Urdu, 32 in Hindi and 2 in Hindi-Urdu. It is noteworthy that Hindi journalism was rapidly advancing while that in Urdu was stagnant.

The reports from Madras do not indicate any intense journalistic enterprise, but there is no reason to doubt that the progress there was similar to that in the other provinces. In 1882, the Swadeshmritram was established by G. Subramania Iyer and soon became the leading Tamil paper which supported all progressive causes. Among Telugu papers, Vivekavardhini was a champion of social reform, and Andhra Prakashika dealt with general affairs including politics. In 1900, the number of newspapers and journals in the South stood at 161; 52 were Tamil, 32 Telugu, 17 Kannada, 27 Malayalam and 31 Hindustani. The leading amongst them, besides the three mentioned above, were Deshabhiman, Lokopakari, Vikata Duta, Prapancho Mitram, Kerala Patrika and Manorama.

The attitude of the Government towards journalistic activity continued to be one of suspicion and displeasure. At first, the Government did not take the new trend seriously. The report on the Press for 1885 considered the tone and style of the writings in the Indian papers objectionable, but nevertheless of little importance, in as much as they followed the fashions and manners characteristic of contemporary Bengali literary activity, which preferred hyperbole and exaggeration to moderation. Whatever the manner of expression, there was no lack of faith in the motives of the Government nor any eagerness for independence. The real trouble, according to the reporters, was want of information concerning the Government intentions and the remedy was to supply necessary facts and explanations. Northbrook had proposed a scheme in this connection which, however, did not materialise.

But soon the mood of tolerance changed. In 1887, the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bengal was complaining of the rancorous tone of the Press. He said, "In fact this analysis of the main currents of thought in the native press resolved itself almost exclusively into a recital of hostile and denunciatory
criticism on the action and motives of Government.” Again in 1902 the complaint was repeated thus: “Destructive criticism often in a carping and captious spirit and not seldom inspired with bitterness and rancour, is still unfortunately the line which most commends itself even to the ablest writers.”

The Press in the Bombay Presidency exhibited the same proclivities as the Press in Bengal and drew similar reactions from the Government. In 1880, the Administration Report on the Indian Press recorded, “the general tone of the Native Press towards Government was, as usual, loyal throughout the year.” But then a new note was sounded. Papers like the Kesari, the Mahratta, the Kal entered the field and they heralded the coming of a new age. The Kesari and Mahratta had enlisted the support of such doughty patriots and brilliant writers as Chipulkar, Agarkar, Tilak, Apte, Khadilkar, Kelkar and others. They regarded journalism not as a profession but a mission for propagating the gospel of nationalism. Tilak, the relentless foe of the British Government, was the master-mind behind the two papers from 1889 to 1920.

The Kal, edited by Shivaram Mahadev Paranjape, was the third member of the trio which strongly propagated nationalist views. They grew so much in popular favour that other papers like the Indu Prakash and Dnyan Prakash were overshadowed. They changed the attitude of society. The cause of social reform received a set-back and the reformers were obliged to fight a losing battle against the advance of orthodoxy and politics.

Both the Kesari and Kal had to suffer heavily for their independence and radicalism in political demands. The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, in forwarding the report of the year 1893 on the Indian Press, commented: “A wave of religious revivalism with its inevitable embitterment of social distinctions has passed over Hindu society. Furious denunciations unsupported by a particle of evidence and a wild incontinence of language have characterised the least respectable organs of Hindu opinion, and attacks upon rival religious bodies have been transferred to the British Government which has endeavoured to uphold the law with impartiality.”

1 Ware Edgar, J., Chief Secretary to Government of Bengal to the Secretary, Home Department, Government of India, 25 Nov. 1887: Home Department, Public Proceedings, March 1888, Nos. 401-08
2 H. L. Stephenson, Chief Secretary, Government of Bengal, to Secretary, Home Deptt., Govt. of India, dated 29 April 1903: Home Department, Public, Part B. Progs. No. 282, May 1903
3 Political Department (Bombay), No. 4314 of July 16, 1894
In 1897, the Bombay Presidency was in the throes of a crisis. Widespread famine and outbreak of pestilence convulsed the Presidency. In an atmosphere surcharged with suspicions, the officer on plague duty in Poona was murdered. The Anglo-Indian Press was wild with rage and raised th alarm of British dominion in danger. The Government was thrown into a panic. The city of Poona was placed under punitive police and searches for hidden arms were carried out. Tilak was prosecuted for incitement to violence and spread of disaffection against the Government, and sentenced to 18 months' imprisonment. Section 124A of the Penal Code was redrafted and made more stringent. The Government of Bombay, in its letter to the Government of India, observed: "The most unsatisfactory feature in the situation is the increase in the circulation of the newspapers which are most violent in their tone. Thus the Gurakhi—twice convicted... has a daily circulation of 5,000 copies. Similarly the issues of the Kesari have risen from 12,000 to 13,000 while the Kal, which is the most malignant and audacious of all the newspapers published in this Presidency, found subscribers for 2500 copies."

It goes on to complain of want of maturity in the Press and accuses it of deriving its inspiration entirely from the Congress.

The Bombay Report on the Press in 1903 classified Indian journals under the following categories:

"(1) Marathi newspapers which are chiefly in the hands of Chitpavan Brahmans and are animated by a spirit of hostility to British rule.

(2) Newspapers which support the Congress propaganda and voice the aspirations of "Young India" to increased political rights.

(3) (a) Newspapers which are moderate and fairly loyal in their tone and take a sober and non-partisan view of the questions they discuss; and

(b) papers which consistently support the trend of British policy and are thoroughly loyal in their tone.

(4) Publications which do not come under any of the above categories and are generally of an innocuous character."

The report had, however, to acknowledge that the newspapers
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1 Jenkins, J. L., Acting Secretary, Government of Bombay, to the Secretary, Home Deptt., Government of India: Political Department, August 23, 1901, No. 5963
in the hands of the Brahmans—Kesari, Kal, etc., were very influential and had a very wide circulation.

The North-Western Provinces (U.P.) Press took its cue from the Bengal and Bombay journals, but was moderate in its expression. "Among the Vernacular papers, the Oudh Akhbar, the Hindi Hindustan of Raja Rampal Singh and the Aligarh Institute Gazette, and among the English papers the Hindustan Review and Kayastha Samachar and the Advocate were conducted with intelligence. The Hindustan Review and Kayastha Samachar were two of the best got-up papers. The tone of the Citizen continued to be the same, generally finding fault with the Government."\(^1\)

"The Coronation Durbar was discussed at length but no journal was enthusiastic about it and the Citizen called it 'an imperial superfluity'.

"The Advocate praised the work of the Congress and said it stood for all liberal ideas in the country. The Anglo-Indian policy of treating India as a conquered country was condemned in no uncertain terms. The extension of Curzon's Viceroyalty was not welcomed by the Press. The exclusion of natives from the higher posts in the military was called 'Imperialism with vengeance.'\(^2\)

The tone of the Madras Press was temperate. The Hindu and the Madras Standard gave the lead, expressing their views in a moderate manner. The Indian-language Press was likewise generally mild in its criticisms.

---

\(^1\) Memorandum on the Press in the United Provinces during 1903; Home Deptt., Public (Conf.) Progs., Part B, June 1904, No. 7

\(^2\) Ibid
CHAPTER ELEVEN

IMPERIALISM AND ITS RECORD

I. The Paradox of British Rule

Political consciousness was awakened in India with the growth of the middle class. Already, in the early decades of the nineteenth century, the new class had begun taking interest in public affairs. The Indian Press, both English and Vernacular, had given a strong impetus to the movement. The number of newspapers and their readers was rapidly increasing, which attested to the growing interest of the people in politics.

With the advance of education, this interest grew and spread in ever-widening circles. From 1858 to 1885, the movement was local and confined to the provinces, but identical problems were agitating the people's mind. As means of communication developed and contacts between the provinces increased, the political movement crossed the provincial boundaries and assumed a national character.

It has been generally observed that the growth of interest in politics, the formation of public opinion and the organisation of the means of its expression, are stimulated by divergence of objectives and clash of opinions. In free, democratic countries, this clash occurs within society between political groups and parties representing different interests and holding different views on public questions. In dependencies where the rulers and subjects belong to different nationalities the growth of political consciousness and political activity lead the subject people to demand the liberalisation of administration and a share in power. Such a demand rouses suspicions in the foreign government and inevitably a conflict ensues, which develops into a struggle for power between the rulers and the ruled.

In India, there were two periods in this struggle for power. In the first period, it was the fight of the conquered medieval India for liberation from the yoke of the conqueror. This period ended in 1858. Then the second period began in a greatly changed situation. The conquerors had established a regular system of government, and going beyond the sphere of law and order, had begun taking interest in the problems affecting people's welfare—education, social reform, development of transport and communications, agriculture, sanitation, etc. Foreign
rule covered its essential nature under the garb of a beneficent dispensation, as an agency of reform and progress. As such it received the acquiescence of the educated Indians, who considered peace and order established by the British as a great deliverance from the anarchy of the 18th century. Acquiescence ripened into acceptance, which though not quite spontaneous and unreserved and though based on calculations of gain, was nevertheless genuine.

British rule could not, however, transcend its imperialist character. Imperial needs had given birth to it and guided its policies. In the beginning, a short-sighted view of these interests was taken and the conquered country was exploited for immediate gain—in particular during the early decades after the transference of the Diwani of Bengal. It was soon realised that such a myopic view was harmful to the rulers themselves. The permanent interests of the empire demanded that an orderly and efficient system of administration should be established, peace should be maintained, the resources of the country so developed as to fulfil the economic needs of the home country, educational facilities so provided as to train at least a section of the people to understand the ways of the foreign rulers and to assist them in the work of administration. By enlightened government only could the empire be morally justified and the consent of the governed won.

It was in pursuit of this long-term view that measures calculated to establish an efficient government were taken. Hobson, an uncompromising critic of imperialism, has listed them as follows:

"We have established a wider and more permanent internal peace than India had ever known from the days of Alexander the Great. We have raised the standard of justice by fair and equal administration of laws; we have regulated and probably reduced the burden of taxation, checking the corruption and tyranny of native princes and their publicans. For the instruction of the people we have introduced a public system of schools and colleges, as well as a great quasi-public missionary establishment, teaching not only the Christian religion but many industrial arts. Roads, railways, and a network of canals have facilitated communication and transport, and an extensive system of scientific irrigation has improved the productiveness of the soil; the mining of coal, gold, and other minerals has been greatly developed; in Bombay and elsewhere cotton mills with
modern machinery have been set up and the organisation of other machine industries is helping to find employment for the population of large cities. Tea, coffee, indigo, jute, tobacco, and other important crops have been introduced into Indian agriculture. We are gradually breaking down many of the religious and social superstitions which sin against humanity and retard progress, and even the deeply rooted caste system is modified wherever British influence is felt."

One need not belittle Hobson's praise of the beneficent measures pursued by British imperialism in India. Yet the question remains—what after all, was the total result of the work of the "intelligent, well-educated and honourable body of men" belonging to the Civil Service, of the application of "disinterested and thoughtful energy" by the Government officers, and of the display of statesmanship by the Governors-General and Viceroy's in guiding the affairs of India?

For, notwithstanding the benefits conferred by British imperialism—some of which created the necessary conditions for Indian unity, national consciousness and, ultimately, independence, it must be recognised that imperialism by its very nature was incompatible with democracy and self-government and little disposed to self-liquidation. The basis of imperialism is force and its instrument is military power. Imperialism and militarism support each other. They flourish at the expense of the races under their subjection, especially when the races are different.

II. PREDATORY NATURE OF IMPERIALISM

The role of imperialism in Asia and Africa in the nineteenth century was ruthless and harmful. Nor was it altogether a blessing to the nation which practised it. It made Britain rich and powerful, but it also stimulated rivalries and jealousies among the competing nations of Europe and provoked international wars. It affected the domestic policies of the imperialist European states. As rivalries increased, the apprehensions of war necessitated military preparations on an ever-mounting scale. Preparations for war diverted budgetary allotments

Cobden and George Cornwallis Lewis. Even Disraeli, at one stage, regarded the colonies as millstones round the neck of England.

Although some thoughtful people questioned the usefulness of the colonial empire, and expected that ultimately its members would drift away, the Reformers resolved to avoid the mistakes of King George III and his advisers, Bute and North, and began taking steps to conciliate the colonies by making them self-governing.

Then, from 1868, a new phase of imperialism was inaugurated so far as the white colonies were concerned. The idea of the new Empire was first mooted by Sir Charles Dilke in his *Greater Britain* (1868). Disraeli took it up with his characteristic zeal.\(^1\)

Sir John Seeley wrote his influential book, *The Expansion of England* in 1883, and in it the concept of ‘Little England’ was ridiculed as a chimera. J. A. Froude in his *Ocean* drew attention to the new Englands which were vigorously growing up and flourishing across the seas. But the most ardent imperialist was Joseph Chamberlain,\(^2\) a great believer in the British Empire as well as the British race which, according to him, was “the greatest of governing races that the world has ever seen.”

This new imperialism aimed at binding the colonies together as partners in a great commonwealth of self-governing units, “a galaxy of free States.”

By the end of the nineteenth century, and before the first decade of the twentieth century was over, the dream of the Reformers had become a reality. In 1867, the British North American Act had conferred on Canada the status of a dominion. Five years later, Cape Colony received responsible government. In 1890, Western Australia and, in 1893, Natal had responsible systems of government. In 1900, Australia became a federal union under the Australian Commonwealth Act, and in 1904, after a gruesome war in South Africa, the Transvaal and Orange Free State were admitted into the circle of self-governing States within the British Empire.

In contrast, the principles of government which were applied to the non-white empire—the colonies of exploitation—were
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\(^1\) *The Life of Benjamin Disraeli*, by F. G. Monyfanny and C. E. Buckle, Vol. II, pp. 534-45

altogether different. During the first half of the nineteenth century, England had ruled India through the agency of the East India Company. From time to time, Parliament asserted its responsibility; otherwise the Company was allowed to do very much as it chose. Burke’s impeachment of Warren Hastings was the first such occasion. But its effect was hardly commensurate with the expenditure of eloquence by the prosecutor. The Parliamentary enquiries, every twenty years before the renewal of the Charter, were in reality trials of strength between the supporters and opponents of the Company among the different sections of the British merchants and industrialists, who were jealous of one another and were interested in exploiting India for their own profit. But the Board of Control established by Pitt’s India Act, with the President as its head, left almost all matters except high politics in the hands of the Company’s Directors.

The rule of the Company lasted nearly one hundred years. Then in 1858, in spite of the opposition of John Stuart Mill, the responsibility for the Government of India was transferred from the Company to the Crown.

With the eclipse of the East India Company a new phase of industrial imperialism began. British capital was exported to India and invested in plantations and railways. The investment of capital constituted, in Hobson’s phraseology, ‘the tap-root of imperialism.’ Thus it happened that contrary to Schumpeter’s thesis that irrational factors supply the motive for imperialism, rational business considerations of economic profit and calculation provided the main force behind British imperialism in India. Knowles points out that “the English are not an assimilating race; their motives of expansion are almost wholly economic.”1 Imperial control supplied security to the capitalists both in the matter of export and import trade and also to their investments in the dependent country.

To the basic economic motive, however, were added others—power, pride and prestige. For instance, the East India Company justified its rule on the ground that its mission was to civilise and uplift the barbarians of India.

Most Englishmen felt quite satisfied with the results of their rule and indeed took great pride in its achievements. Their satisfaction was based on the one-sided consideration of the
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undoubted benefits conferred on India which Hobson has listed. But there was the other side of the medal which was ignored, but of which public men like Cobden were aware. Writers like Hobson laid it bare.

This aspect of imperialism cancelled most of the benefits claimed by its apologists and admirers. This face of imperialism presented ugly features—economic exploitation, impoverishment of the masses, dwarfing of the moral stature and dignity of the subject people. Imperialist Britain treated dependent India as a satellite, whose main function was to sweat and labour for the master, to subserve its economy and to enhance the glory and prestige of the Empire.

Thus imperialism manifested itself as a mixture of good and evil, of progress and stagnation. Up to 1858, the prevailing feeling among the educated Indians was that the good and the progressive elements preponderated over the evil ones. In spite of the failure of the Government to fulfil its liberal promises of equal opportunities of service, in spite of the corruption and dilatoriness of the judiciary, the ruinous severity of demands on the peasantry, harshness of the officials in enforcing rules, neglect of the education of the masses and, above all, the exclusiveness and arrogance of Englishmen, the middle class intelligentsia accepted British rule on the whole, as a promoter of the people's welfare and therefore deserving of their loyalty.

III. INCOMPETENCE OF IMPERIALIST RULE

While the imperial spirit was riding on the high tide, its capability to rule the vast numbers under its domination was fast ebbing. In India the Revolt of 1857 had shaken and frightened the British. They reacted by seeking to tighten the reins of government, both in England and India. The results in the long run were contrary to what was expected. In the subordinate part—the Government in India—the centralisation of authority was emphasised. The British element in the army was strengthened, the powers of the Governor-General over the administration in India were increased, and the jurisdiction of his authority extended. In order to bolster the authority of the central executive and to prevent encroachment by any rival, it was declared that the Legislative Councils, established by the Act of 1861, were mere legislative committees of the Government and did not contain germs of responsible institutions. The
Governor-General was invested with powers to legislate in a summary manner for what were named as Scheduled Districts. The overwhelming superiority of the British section of the army was guaranteed with the implementation of the recommendations of the Peel Commission. The Arms Act of 1878, debarring Indians from carrying arms, made the assurance of domination doubly sure.

In the paramount part of the Government in England, an overall concentration of authority was effected. The role of supervision and control of the Secretary of State for India in Indian affairs was increased and a proportional diminution in the powers of the Governor-General vis-a-vis the Home Government was brought about. By the Act of 1858, the dual control of the President of the Board of Control and the Directors of the Company was abolished and all authority was centred in the Secretary of State. The internal check in the Home Government was thus removed and the Secretary of State’s functioning made more effective. Then the creation of the India Council, consisting of retired officials possessing knowledge and experience of India, provided the local knowledge in dealing with Indian affairs. The financial powers acquired by the Secretary of State enabled him to scrutinise and control the expenditure of the Government of India. The final seal upon these arrangements was fixed by the Royal Titles Act of 1877 which clearly announced the subordination of the Governor-General and his Council to the supreme authority of the Secretary of State.

These developments became possible because of the laying of a direct cable line between England and India in 1870, the opening of the Suez Canal to ships which shortened the length of the voyage between the two countries and the introduction of steam vessels, which quickened communications between the Home Government and the Government of India.

Along with this increase of authority over the Government of India, the checks upon the powers of the Secretary of State were weakened. The British Parliament showed little interest in Indian affairs and rarely interfered with the decisions of the Secretary of State. The India Council was reduced to advisory functions. It was, therefore, not out of mere facetiousness, that the Secretary of State began to be regarded as the Grand Mughal.

Although British rule over India after its transfer to the Crown gave the impression of strength and stability, and to all appearances seemed to indicate that it would last for an
indefinite period of time, a close examination of its working shows that appearances were deceptive and that the structure rested upon feeble foundations. As a political mechanism, it suffered from fatal weaknesses.

The crux of the trouble was that although the Government in India had to shoulder enormous responsibilities, its powers were limited, for the Home Government had jealously concentrated all authority in the hands of the Secretary of State who had no direct contact with the people of India and who was completely out of direct touch with their opinions, wishes and sentiments.

The Secretary of State had become an autocrat. He was of course not responsible to the Indian people either in theory or practice. But the strange thing was that he had become almost independent of responsibility even to the British Parliament.

Although the Parliament was the sovereign authority, its control of the Government both at home and in India was loose and spasmodic. It gave little attention to Indian legislation over which the Secretary of State exercised close control. It did not concern itself with Indian revenues or expenditure, and as the salary of the Secretary of State and his establishment was not a charge upon the revenues of England, it did not scrutinise their deeds. Occasionally it passed resolutions regarding Indian affairs which were shown scant courtesy by the Government, for example, on the question of the opium trade in 1889 and 1891, and on that of holding of simultaneous examinations for the civil services in 1893. Montagu and Chelmsford referring to this state of affairs declared, "we have no hesitation in saying, however, that the interest shown by Parliament in Indian affairs has not been well-sustained or well-informed."¹

The laxity of Parliamentary control led to concentration of power in the Secretary of State, who made the Government in India completely subordinate. Mayo who sought to assert his Council's authority in the matter of legislation, was told:

"That principle is that the final control and direction of the affairs of India rest with the Home Government, and not with the authorities appointed and established by the Crown, under Parliamentary enactment, in India itself."²

And further that, "The Government established in India is (from the nature of the case) subordinate to the Imperial Gov-

¹ Report on Indian Constitutional Reforms (1913), p. 20
² Secretary of State to the Government of India, 24 November 1870
ernment at home. And no Government can be subordinate, unless it is within the power of the Superior Government to order what is to be done or left undone, and to enforce on its officers, through the ordinary and constitutional means, obedience to its directions as to the use which they are to make of official position and power in furtherance of the policy which has been finally decided upon by the advisers of the Crown.

Neither can I admit that it makes any real difference in the case, if the directions issued by the Imperial Government relate to what may be termed legislative as distinguished from executive affairs.”¹

Northbrook, who was disposed to show some consideration to Indian economic interests, was informed, “the control exercised by Her Majesty’s Government over financial policy must be effective also.”² And this was justified by the constitutional provision, which in practice was a fiction, that the Secretary of State was responsible to Parliament and could not throw the responsibility “upon the distant Government of India.”

In 1894, Sir Henry Fowler, the Secretary of State, positively laid down that the principle of the united and indivisible responsibility of the British Cabinet applied to the Indian Executive Council both in administrative and legislative matters. He informed the Governor-General, “if in either case a difference had arisen, members of the Government of India are bound, after recording the opinions, if they think fit to do so, ... either to act with the Government or to place their resignations in the hands of the Viceroy.”³

The Government of India was thus shorn of all power to take final decisions in legislative, financial and administrative matters by the assertion of supreme authority by an almost irresponsible Secretary of State who had ordinarily no direct experience of Indian affairs. In India the Government was surrounded by influences which were largely reactionary and restrictive, like those of the many members of the Services and of the non-official class of Europeans—merchants, planters, industrialists, etc.—as was manifested in the Ilbert Bill controversy.

Under the circumstances, it was almost impossible for the Government of India to plan any long-term policy for dealing

¹ Ibid.
² Secretary of State to the Government of India, 31 May, 1876
³ Sir Henry Fowler to Lord Elgin, 30 November, 1894
with the new and undoubtedly complex problems of a changing India. It was immersed in the problems of the day. The Home Government, by its very constitution, was equally incapacitated from adumbrating such a policy. The authors of the Report on Constitutional Reforms (1918) were constrained to observe:

"Parliament's omission to institute regular means of reviewing the Indian administration is as much responsible as any single cause for our failure in the face of growing nationalist feeling in India, to think out and to work out a policy of continuous advance."1

IV. RACIAL ANTAGONISM

Till the Revolt of 1857 the relations between the rulers who looked upon themselves as members of a superior race, and the ruled who were conscious of their inferiority, were those of the good shepherd and his flock, of the guardian and his wards. In the words of Cotton, "although there was pride, prejudice and haughtiness, there was no bitterness or hatred in these relations."2

After the Revolt, a great change came over the attitude of Englishmen and Europeans residing in India. The stories of atrocities, greatly exaggerated and magnified by excitement and fear, were circulated among Englishmen all over the country. They reached England and roused passions of anger and revenge. Lord Elgin wrote in his Journal: "It is a terrible business, this living among inferior races. I have seldom from man or woman since I came to the East, heard a sentence which was reconcilable with the hypothesis that Christianity had ever come into the world. Detestation, contempt, ferocity, vengeance, whether Chinamen or Indians be the subject."3

G. Trevelyan, writing in 1866, exclaimed: "Then from the lowest depths of our nature emerged those sombre, ill-omened instincts, of whose very existence we had ceased to be aware. Intense compassion, intense wrath, the injured pride of a great nation—these combative propensities against which Mr. Bright has so often testified in vain—surged in upon the agitated community. It was tacitly acknowledged that mercy, charity, the dignity and sacredness of human life—those great principles
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1 Report, p. 30
which at ordinary times, are recognised as eternally true—must be put aside till our sway was restored and our name avenged.”

Trevelyan’s statement of 1866 is borne out by the writings of the Anglo-Indian press during the Revolt. The *Friend of India* wrote on September 8, 1858: “It became an unquestioned doctrine that our (British) rule had been too good for the people, that they were little better than wild beasts and that the only way to rule them was to abandon the paternal methods of the Company and rule them henceforward with a rod of iron.”

The paper while reviewing Col. Evan Bell’s book, *Letters Written During the Mutiny*, asked, “how Christian and idolator, Saxon and Asiatic, light and darkness are to co-exist.” In its advice as to how India should be governed it said: “All modes of action based on false theory are false likewise. Any relaxation of our military control, any attempt to cover the steel hand with a velvet glove must be temporarily abandoned. The Asiatic, true to his training of 3,000 years, respects only the strong, and his rulers must prove that their armed strength is irresistible.” It asked the Government to govern India as a part of the British Empire and as a conquered foreign country, the home of an inferior race.

This feeling of deadly hatred was shared for the time being by all Englishmen—officials and non-officials. “Invectives against the treacherous bloodthirsty Mussulman, ironical sneers about the ‘mild Hindoo’, were nuts alike to the civilian and the planter.” The officials, however, got over their extreme wrath gradually, and did not allow the impressions left by the events of the Revolt to influence their opinions and their conduct. But the new order of things was not as the old. “The children of the soil are no longer regarded with the lively interest, the credulous partiality of yore.”

Even though the passions roused by the Revolt abated, the attitude of the governing circles became hardened. Self-confidence was shaken. Cobden’s reaction was that “conquerors and conquered can never live together . . . with confidence and comfort.”
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2. *The Friend of India*, September 15, 1859
3. Ibid.
4. Trevelyan, G. O., op. cit., p. 262
5. Ibid., p. 261
6. Ibid., p. 260
against Indians took root in the minds of the rulers. Concern for the greater security of the empire directed policy; no longer did high officials like Munro, Elphinstone, Malcolm and Metcalfe of the past generation dream of a day when India would be an independent State. Hobson asserts: "It cannot be too clearly recognised that the old Liberal notion of our educating lower races in the arts of self-government is discredited, and only survives for platform purposes when some new step of annexation is urged upon the country." Nor did the views of writers like Beveridge, who hoped for India's eventual independence, or Carlyle, who regarded the Indian Empire as impermanent, or Dilke, who advocated self-government as an ultimate but extremely remote goal, make any difference to the policies pursued by the rulers. Efficient government and not self-government became their slogan.

This change manifested itself in the working of Government. Imperial economic and political interests came to dominate financial policies despite their evil consequences for the people of India. Demands of security dictated the encouragement of fissiparous tendencies among the people as well as the reorganisation of military forces, so that the Indian part of the army, while available for imperial objects, may not again menace British rule as it had done in 1857. The preservation of British prestige required the continuous injection of the virus of inferiority complex into the mind of the ruled, along with the maintenance of a wholesome fear of the might of the British Empire and the acceptance of the superiority of the ruling race. The Indianisation of the higher services was denied on the ground of the Indian's moral unfitness, and the introduction of the representative principle was ruled out because of communal differences and lack of homogeneity.

Even beneficial measures, which aided the growth of national solidarity and promoted national progress, were not motivated purely by reasons of India's welfare; imperial purposes dominated them in reality. Popular wishes and opinions were considered irrelevant in making governmental decisions. Preservation of peace and order, dispensation of justice and application of the rule of law were good in themselves, but they were, at the same time, basic conditions for the successful pursuit of imperial aims; the development of railways and means of communication were needed, above other consideration, to supply
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1 Hobson, J. A., op. cit., p. 122
strategic and commercial needs; agricultural improvements were carried out to meet British requirement of raw materials. Industrial development was discouraged to protect British industries from Indian competition; higher education was fostered in order to provide personnel for subordinate services, but dampers were applied to the progress of education when it was found that it promoted ideas of independence. The educated were treated with contempt—called 'a microscopic minority' which represented nobody—and were distrusted as constituting a possible challenge to British domination.

The history of the latter half of the nineteenth century is one of growing disillusionment and discontent in India. From 1858 to 1885, there were isolated protests by individuals and provincial associations, but even during this period, Indian leaders had commenced linking up the provincial movements into a broad national movement.

The metamorphosis from acquiescence to repudiation took twenty-five years. It was brought about by the play of many factors. On the one side, there was the new spirit of resurgence—the recovery by India of her pride in the past and her faith in the future—and on the other, the belief that free India had a message for humanity. This pride and belief sustained her sense of identity against forces which threatened her new-born self-hood. India had to meet a multi-pronged attack on her self-respect, on her material progress, social solidarity, political destiny, in fact the entire challenge of imperialism to her spirit and body.

It has been stated above that the result of the Revolt of 1857 was to alienate the Briton from the Indian, when exclusiveness and isolation of the rulers from the ruled attained unprecedented proportions. The factors which combined to accentuate this state of affairs were many. In the first place pride and prejudice were evoked by the imperialist idea. The British conquerors found in the success of their arms a proof of God's approbation, a testimony to their divinely ordained mission of civilising the inferior races.

The propagandists of this idea belonged to two groups. One was the group which had the religious approach, of whom Charles Grant was an early example. He was followed by William Ward for whom the British were the instruments of God to bring about a moral change in India. Richard Caldwell, who made a valuable contribution to Tamil studies, considered
that the success of the British was the proof of the divine approval of the empire, and Pope, the translator of Kural, saw the destiny of India fulfilled in identification with the paramount power. Marshman, who was commissioned to prepare a textbook of Indian history by the Calcutta University, looked on the British Empire as the benign agency of divine Providence for the extension of European supremacy throughout Asia.  

The other group constructed theories of racial superiority, which justified the domination of the white or Western or Nordic races over the darker races of Asia, Africa and other continents. Houston Chamberlain exalted the Nordic-Teutonic race and Nietzsche glorified the 'superman.' Poets and literary men popularised the mission of England and the 'white man's burden.' Tennyson sing of:

"Ever broadening England, and her throne
In our vast Orient, and one isle, one isle,
That knows not her own greatness"  

Rudyard Kipling called upon his countrymen:

"Take up the White Man's burden—
Send forth the best ye breed—
To bind your sons to exile
To serve your Captives' need;
To wait in heavy harness,
Our fluttered folk and wild—
Your new-caught, sullen peoples,
Half-devil and half-child."

The Victorian Age was the heyday of England's prosperity and glory. The Crystal Palace exhibition (1851) was the earliest demonstration of the growing wealth and expanding power of the country. In the nineteenth century, as noted above, the British empire covered a quarter of the land area of the earth. Its inhabitants constituted one-fourth of the population of the world. Seventy-one per cent of them were in India and another 14 per cent in the other Asian countries. No wonder that 45 million Englishmen pluméd themselves with pride in contemplating this unique phenomenon in world history.

The British navy ruled the waves. British industry filled the world markets with British-made goods. London was the
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1 Philips, C. H., *Historians of India, Pakistan and Ceylon* (Oxford University Press, 1961): see articles of Prof. Furber and Dr. K. A. Ballhatchet, pp. 332-354

2 Alfred, Lord Tennyson, *Idylls of the King*. To the Queen, 1872

3 Kipling, R., *White Man's Burden*, 1899, (Collected Works)
financial capital of the earth. In science and technology, Britain was ahead of every other nation. British statesmen laid down the law for foreign governments. In 1850, Palmerston ordered the blockade of Greece to obtain satisfaction for the claims of Don Pacifico, a doubtful English citizen. Again, he interfered in Germany and Italy to encourage the unification movements.

Disraeli purchased the Khedive of Egypt's shares in the Suez Canal Company and thus secured the routes of the Empire along with the right of intervention in Egypt's affairs. In 1877, he celebrated the Jubilee of Queen Victoria by proclaiming her the Empress of India. He thrust war on Afghanistan in order to bring that mountainous country within the British sphere of influence.

Disraeli's successors showed little consideration for the African chiefs and occupied their territories according to their sweet will and choice. In South Africa, the Boers had established their republics. England envied their diamond mines and gold-fields and Cecil Rhodes formed the scheme of a continuous British dominion from the Cape to Cairo. Excuses were found for declaring war against the Boers in 1899, and Natal and the Orange Free State were annexed to the Cape Colony.

The imperialist spirit was abroad and India offered a choice field for its exercise. This spirit exhibited itself in many ways—in the assertion of lordly superiority over the subject race and the assumption of a haughty exclusiveness; in persistent insulting and supercilious behaviour towards all Indians, and cruelty and ill-treatment of the poor people; in the exclusion of Indians from all places of authority and responsibility; and in the denial of their capacity for self-governing representative institutions.

The pace was set from the early days of the East India Company's rule, when the officers of the Company imitated the pomp and show of the Mughal Nawabs, their lordly style of living. The tradition continued throughout the nineteenth century, and red-coated chaparasis, retinues of obsequious servants and high living became the fashion.

British social aloofness and anti-Indian feelings were nourished by the studies of the Indian Civil Service cadets. James Mill's History of India, was the text-book for the pupils at Haileybury College, and remained long afterwards the chief source, among Englishmen, of information about India.

In the era after the Revolt, the anti-Hindu bias was overshadowed by the feeling of hostility towards the Muslims. Sir
Henry Elliot's *History of India*, which has supplied material for histories of the medieval period to generations of writers and poisoned relations between the Hindus and Muslims, rendered much mischief by strengthening British prejudices.

In his history, Mill exhausted all his sources of information and all his rhetoric in denouncing Hindu culture and Hindu character. In the history of the country, he found nothing but "rebellions, massacres, and barbarous conquests." Its politics showed "that disgusting state of weak and profligate barbarism, which is the natural condition of government among such a passive people as the Hindus." Hindu society is described as "a degrading and pernicious system of subordination." The caste is "that institution which stands a more effectual barrier against the welfare of human nature than any other institution which the workings of caprice and selfishness have ever produced." The Hindu religious organisation was "built upon the most enormous and tormenting superstition that ever harassed and degraded any portion of mankind." In moral character the Hindus and Muslims shared the same vices, "the same insincerity, mendacity and perfidy; the same indifference to the feelings of others; the same prostitution and venality are conspicuous in both."

Elliot, in his 'Original Preface,' summarised his conclusions regarding Muslim rule in India, thus: "The common people must have been plunged into the lowest depths of wretchedness and despondency. The few glimpses we have, even among the short Extracts in this single volume, of Hindus slain for disputing with Muhammadans, of general prohibitions against processions, worship, and ablutions, and of other intolerant measures, of idols mutilated, of temples razed, of forcible conversions and marriages, of proscriptions and confiscations, of murders and massacres, and of the sensuality and drunkenness of the tyrants who enjoined them, show us that this picture is not overcharged, and it is much to be regretted that we are left to draw it for ourselves from out of the mass of ordinary occurrences, recorded by writers who seem to sympathize with no virtues, and to abhor no vices. Other nations exhibit the same atrocities, but

---
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they are at least spoken of, by some, with indignation and
disgust.”

He then explains the purpose of compiling the history in
these words:

“They (the Persian histories) will make our native subjects
more sensible of the immense advantages accruing to them under
the mildness and equity of our rule. If instruction were sought
for from them, we should be spared the rash declarations res-
pecting Muhammadan India, which are frequently made by
persons not otherwise ignorant. Characters now renowned only
for the splendour of their achievements, and a succession of
victories, would, when we withdraw the veil of flattery and
divest them of rhetorical flourishes, be set forth in a truer light,
and probably be held up to the execration of mankind. We
should no longer hear bombastic Babus, enjoying under our
Government the highest degree of personal liberty, and many
more political privileges than were ever conceded to a conquered
nation, rant about patriotism, and the degradation of their pre-
sent position. If they would dive into any of the volumes men-
tioned herein, it would take these young Brutuses and Phocions
a very short time to learn, that in the days of that dark period
for whose return they sigh, even the bare utterance of their
ridiculous fantasies would have been attended, not with silence
and contempt, but with the severer discipline of molten lead or
impalement. We should be compelled to listen no more to the
clamours against resumption of rent-free tenures, when almost
every page will show that there was no tenure, whatever its
designation, which was not open to resumption in the theory of
the law, and which was not repeatedly resumed in practice.
Should any ambitious functionary entertain the desire of emulat-
ing the ‘exceedingly magnificical’ structures of his Moghal pre-
decessors, it will check his aspirations to learn, that beyond
palaces and porticos, temples, and tombs, there is little worthy of
emulation. He will find that, if we omit only three names in
the long line of Delhi Emperors, the comfort and happiness of
the people were never contemplated by them; and with the excep-
tion of a few sarais and bridges—and these only on roads travers-
sed by the imperial camps—he will see nothing in which purely
selfish considerations did not prevail. The extreme beauty and
elegance of many of their structures it is not attempted to deny;

1 Elliot and Dowson, The History of India As Told By Its Own Hist-
rians, Vol. I, Sir Henry Elliot’s, Original Preface, p. xxi
but personal vanity was the main cause of their erection, and with the small exceptions noted above, there is not one which subserves any purpose of general utility."

The dangerous consequences of this anti-Indian feeling were observed and commented upon by members of the Government and visitors to India. As early as 1860, Sir Charles Wood expressed his concern to Canning in a number of letters. On September 2, 1860, he hoped that Canning would be able to put down the antagonistic feelings of race, which had shown strong symptoms of vitality lately. On October 9, 1861, he showed apprehension at the conduct of two judges, whose partiality in deciding cases in which the Europeans and Indians were involved, he regarded as very mischievous. Addressing Elgin he wrote: "I hear that our officers are not so hospitable and kind to the natives (as they were before the Mutiny) . . . that we are sinking in the estimation of the natives as superior race, as gentlemen, and with the qualities of English gentlemen."

When Elgin had Rudd, an English soldier who murdered an Indian, executed after many trials, Wood communicated to him his pleasure at not yielding to the pressure of the English inhabitants. He regretted that "there is too much disposition among the lower Englishmen to treat the natives as an inferior race to be bullied ad libitum."

Elgin, writing to the Secretary of State, confessed in connection with Rudd's case, to "the extreme difficulty of administering equal justice between natives and Europeans."

Sir John Lawrence noted, "truly the gulf between the two classes is very wide, if indeed it is not widening. The Mutiny, and the changes since the Mutiny are the cause of this state of things. I look on this as the great danger to which our rule in India is exposed."

In another letter he said, "religion is no doubt a great cause of estrangement between the English and natives of India; and so is race, and colour, and social customs. Above all, the indivi-
dual bearing of our countrymen has a serious influence for good or for evil."1

Lord Lytton, writing about the Anglo-Indian community to Lord Salisbury, said: "In stiff reckonings and short-sightedness, however, the resemblance (with the American Southerners and Jamaican white land-owners) is certainly strong."2 Three weeks later, discussing the Fuller case, he informed the Secretary of State: "Since the Fuller case has led me to look more carefully over the recent verdicts of the Courts in cases affecting natives, I have been quite horrified by their apparent injustice. Fuller, with the approval of the High Court, was fined Rs. 30 for unintentionally killing his Syce (groom). The other day a native was transported for life for stealing 4 annas."3 A month later, he added, "the prevalent brutality towards natives of the lower orders, the crystallised official formality towards natives of the highest class, and it is really a wonder that our rule is not more unpopular than it is... I am convinced that the greatest danger we have to deal with in India is from the Whites."4

Lord Curzon in a communication to Lord George Hamilton, while showing concern about the deterioration in the standard of the civilians, draws attention to the growing aloofness of the officials. Says he, "the increase of interest in England means a corresponding diminution of moral stamina here. It is being found out by the natives themselves; they constantly complain in their newspapers that the Sahib is not what he used to be, and that the relations between the two races are not improving but going back."5 Curzon, whatever might be said about his faults, had "an overpowering detestation of injustice," and a "resolve to vindicate uprightness and punish wrong-doers."6 For this he incurred the wrath of the Anglo-Indian Press which attacked him in the most virulent manner.

To the disclosures of the highest officials may be added other testimony. Cobden relates in a letter to Bright: "Chance has thrown me in the society of some ladies who have lately returned from India, where they were accustomed to barrack life, their husbands being officers in native regiments. I find the common
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epithet applied to our fellow subjects in Hindoostan is *nigger*."

In another letter, he confesses, "I now regard the task (the reform of Indian Government) as utterly hopeless. Recent and present events are placing an impassable gulf between the races."

Trevelyan observed, "Natives almost invariably travel third class... The most wealthy Hindoos would probably go first-class if it were not for a well-founded fear of the Sahibs."

Instances of insults to and manhandling of Indians are recorded by Trevelyan and others. Trevelyan himself witnessed the scene at the Sonepur fair when a British planter flogged a group of well-dressed, well-to-do, respectable Indians with a double-thonged hunting whip. He states his views on these relations thus:

"The longer a man lives in this country the more firmly convinced does he become that the amalgamation of the conquerors and the conquered is an idea impracticable, and to use an odious word, Utopian." He further adds, "it is painful, indeed, to observe the deep pride and insolence of race which is engrained in our nature, and which yields only to the highest degree of education and enlightenment. The lower in the scale of society, the more marked become the symptoms of that baneful sentiment."

Graham in his Life of Sir Syed Ahmad Khan narrated, on the authority of Mr. Justice Mahmud, that when in company with Chief Justice Sir Charles Turner he visited the Madras Club, a member told the Chief Justice in the presence of Mr. Mahmud that "no natives were allowed in the Club," and the door was shut in his face. Respected leaders like Justice Ranade and Justice Chandavarkar were humiliated by petty British soldiers while travelling on trains.

G. F. Abbot describes his experiences in India thus: "I have seen young men (young government officials in India), who have sprung from London suburbs, treating in public aged Indian noblemen in a manner which a gentleman would not have adopted towards his valet." H. W. Nevinson found that in railways, hotels, clubs, bungalows and official chambers, Indians
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were treated with contumely, “ill manners that would appear too outrageous for belief at home.”

Dr. Charles Cuthbert Hall, President, Union Theological Seminary, is reported to have stated at a meeting of the Bar Association Club House, New York, in January 1908, “I have seen Indians of the highest intelligence and character, esteemed personal friends of mine, treated in India with positive discourtesy by Englishmen.”

Newspapers of the times are filled with reports of indignities and physical injuries inflicted by Englishmen on Indians. The British-edited Press almost invariably defended the culprits, collected funds for their defence and paid their fines. “He who stands by his own order need never fear the crowd.” was the recommendation by a leading Anglo-Indian weekly to Lord Curzon, who was described as a man utterly devoid of sympathy and without any of those British instincts which go to make a real statesman.

The Friend of India, which was the most balanced of the Anglo-Indian papers, returned to its reactionary attitude when the Ilbert Bill controversy broke out. It upheld the principle of race differentiation and advised Government “to respect every right, privilege and custom of the various races which make up the empire as far as it is possible to do without harm to the Commonwealth.”

The Indian-edited papers, on the other hand, reflected the deep resentment and despair of the people of India at the discourtesies and brutalities practised by Englishmen. A few extracts from the Bengalee may be adduced to represent Indian reaction. Its comment on the attitude of the rulers was:

“The gulf between the rulers and the ruled has widened. The governing classes no longer feel with the people as they did before; and the governed have not the old confidence in their masters.”

In a subsequent issue it wrote:

“The bureaucratic character of our government makes it little disposed to pay much heed to what it considers to be imaginary grievances of the people. It is possessed with a notion that what it does for them is invariably right and proper.
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The country is administered more in the interests of the English people than in those of the natives.”¹

“Everywhere there is a dissatisfaction with the general state of things in the minds of the natives and English officials; a feeling in short that affairs are out of joint and an equally widespread presentiment that a storm is brewing.”²

Commenting on the cold-blooded murder of two Muslim labourers and a washerman by a British soldier, it says, “To him (the Englishman) a white man is a man; but a black man is hateful beast, rendered doubly hateful by his acts in 1857.” Then it goes on to indict the British juries which exonerate British murderers in these words: “Though numberless murders have been committed by European British subjects, only two European British subjects have been hanged—Moore and Rudd. This virtual immunity enjoyed by European murderers we owe European juries who care far more for the prestige of their race than for justice.”³

Writing on the Fuller case—in which the Magistrate had fined Fuller Rs. 30 for “voluntarily causing what distinctly amounted to hurt” (euphemism for killing) and the High Court had found the sentence not especially open to objection, the Bengalee penned a slashing criticism steeped in biting sarcasm, suggesting in terms of bitterest mockery, “the raising of statues for floggers and the dedication of temples to murderers.”⁴

These extracts, which could be multiplied almost indefinitely, show how great was the gulf between the rulers and the ruled. They proved that the imperialism of the nineteenth century was basically opposed to ideals of human equality and racial cooperation. In a system of government where the rulers were not responsible to the ruled and derived their authority from sources outside the country, military force was the ultimate basis of power. The rule of Britain over India, though covered by legal disguises and civilian forms, was essentially military in nature. Under military rule, the subjects’ obedience could only be obtained by maintaining both the substance and appearance of might. It was, therefore, necessary that the superiority of the white man belonging to the ruling race, should be duly impressed upon the dark-coloured subject people. Bullying,

¹ Ibid., January 16, 1875
² Ibid., August 7, 1875
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blustering, insolence and cruelty were natural features of imperialist behaviour and ideology. Europeans, with honourable exceptions, were upholders of this imperialist dogma. Unfortunately, as Cotton pointed out in 1904, "it is a grave symptom that the official body in India has now succumbed as completely as the non-official to anti-native prejudices," and further added, "we now see a state of things in which the Indian community exists alone on the one side, while both classes of Englishmen, official as well as non-official, are united on the other." 

It was this type of relationship between the Englishman and the Indian which was more responsible for the awakening of self-consciousness, the burning realisation of humiliation and the creation of a sense of frustration, than almost any other aspect of British rule. It was felt that the tallest Indian was not safe from affront to his self-respect and honour by the meanest British soldier, commercial clerk, planter's assistant, railway ticket-collector, and the like. It naturally roused fierce resentment and as the trouble seemed irremediable, it produced a feeling of helplessness which counselled desperation and violence. Since many Indians had by now visited England, had experienced English courtesy and enjoyed British hospitality in their homes, the contrast between the Englishman in England and the Englishman in India struck them with unusual force, and the only conclusion which they could draw was that the system of imperialist rule was at the root of the evil. It was inevitable that the desire to eradicate the evil should spread.

V. INDIAN GRIEVANCES

The general psychological resentment excited by the behaviour of the members of the British community in India received substance and force from two sets of grievances. One was the economic policy of the Government, and the other was its refusal to respond to the political aspirations of the people.

The economic policies of the Government and their consequences have been described in a previous chapter. The poverty of the masses, their general misery, their sufferings during frequently recurring famines, gave rise to acute and widespread discontent.

The middle class, whose roots lay in the villages, was naturally greatly perturbed. Notwithstanding the taunts of the British
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bureaucrats charging Indian leaders with ignorance of agricultural problems, the fact is indisputable that the leaders were closer to the Indian masses and were their truer well-wishers than the foreign rulers. They braved the frowns of the Government and continued to agitate for the amelioration of their conditions.

The second set of complaints belongs to the sphere of politics. The injustices and inequities of the Government and the complete disregard of the wishes of the people affected the attitude of the Indian leaders. Already, by the end of the nineteenth century, counsels of defiance of authority and organisation of resistance were bruited about. Curzon’s scornful treatment of Indian requests, disparagement of Indian character and measures to reduce the influence of the educated classes, provided a strong impetus to the movement for freedom.

British policy concerning Indian administration was based on two convictions, namely, the paramountcy of imperial interests and the ‘unfitness’ of Indians for self-government. These convictions dictated the pursuit of a dual policy—firstly, reliance on military force which would be adequate to meet all the challenges from without or from within, and secondly, the establishment of an efficient system of administration. As military strength has to be commensurate with the strength of the opposition, the reorganisation of the army was carried out on the basis of the experience of the Revolt of 1857.

But neither overwhelming military power nor communal and class differences could guarantee perpetuation of the empire, unless its foundations were buttressed by the willing obedience of some sections of the population. Willing obedience, however, could only be evoked by good administration, by convincing the governed that the rulers really desired their welfare and intended to help them in achieving material and moral progress. Good and efficient government and progressive measures were necessary both for fulfilling imperialist objectives as well as securing contentment among the subjects.

But British rule found itself impaled on the horns of a dilemma. Imperial pressures pulled in one direction and the exigent claims of the subject people in the other. In the nineteenth century, imperialism and liberalism were in conflict, but so far as India was concerned the field remained in the occupation of the first.

British imperialism, which was imposed upon India and main-
tained by the Army and the Civil Service, was further secured by exploiting the social and religious differences among the people. Indians who aspired for liberation naturally directed their attack against the pillars which supported alien rule, and the national movement endeavoured to promote communal harmony and eliminate centrifugal tendencies, to create the necessary basis of unity for self-government.

Military Basis of British Rule

Winslow, in *The Pattern of Imperialism* states: "Militarism and imperialism clearly constitute an identical pattern of thought and behaviour." The history of the expansion of British dominion in India affords ample evidence in support of this statement. By and large, the conquest of India was a military achievement, the empire a monument to the energy, skill and the resolve of the British rulers. The army provided the drive which carried British arms across the length and breadth of the country, and the initiative in building the structure of administration. Cornwallis, a soldier, combined in himself the offices of Governor-General and Commander-in-Chief. From Clive to Dalhousie, there was almost always some rivalry between the civil and military authorities. Its echo was heard even so late as the beginning of the twentieth century when Curzon went down in his quarrel with Kitchener.

All this explains the fact that, in spite of British constitutional principles, the Government knew that its existence depended mainly upon the army. The nature of British rule demanded unremitting vigilance on the part of its agents. Lytton, writing to Cranbrook, admitted, "it is true that we English are not loved by the native subjects in India." He pointed out that "the peasantry was an inert mass, the intellectual representatives of Indian opinion sedition-mongers, many chiefs undependable and all dissatisfied by the treatment of political officers." He added, "The fact is Indian princes cannot resist any opportunity of intrigue." Even Salar Jung of Hyderabad was suspected of intriguing with Persia and the Maharajah of Kashmir with Russia. Only the Army of India was the barrier holding back the avalanche that would engulf the Empire.
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The reorganisation of the Army which was undertaken after the Revolt of 1857, amply demonstrates the fact that the British rulers based their policies upon the principle of distrust of Indians and relied upon the overwhelming force of the army so strong as to overcome all threats to British rule.

Before 1857, the Indian army consisted of two sections—European and Indian. The European section was composed of the Queen's regiments lent for service in India and the European regiments recruited in India. In 1856, the Indian army was predominantly Indian; for every European soldier there were between 7 and 9 Indians.\(^1\) The Revolt in which the Bengal army, recruited largely from the upper castes—the Rajputs and Brahmans of Oudh—played a prominent role, was taken by Government as a serious warning of the unsuitable nature of the military organisation. A Commission under General Peel was appointed to recommend necessary changes. Its report was published in 1859 and by 1862 most of its recommendations were implemented and the Indian Army was reconstituted.

The principle on which the reorganisation was effected was the maintenance of the predominance of the European elements in order to minimise danger from the Indian elements of the army. The first change was in the proportion of the two;\(^2\) the new proportion recommended was one British to two Indians in the Bengal army and two British to five Indian soldiers in the Madras and Bombay armies. In absolute terms, there were 65,000 Europeans and 140,000 Indians. Subsequently in 1885, the numbers were increased to 73,500 Europeans and 154,000 Indians, as a result of the Russian menace. In 1893, the formula adopted was two British to five Indians. This proportion was maintained throughout the nineteenth century.

The second change effected was to combine the two wings of the European army (the troops of the line and the local troops) and to make the entire European force part of the British Army.

---

1 The estimates of the number of men belonging to all arms differ. According to the "Army Book of the British Empire" compiled by Lt.-General Goodenough and Lt. Colonel Baltun, the number of Europeans was 38,000 and of Indians 346,000. M. Rutchaswamy's figures are 45,522 Europeans and 311,374 Indians: (Some Influences that made the British Administrative System, p. 127). According to the estimate of Melville, the Military Secretary, the strength in 1857 was 277,746, made up of 45,522 Europeans and 232,224 Indians: (Peel Commission Report on Indian Army Organization, Appendix II, Returns p. 21).

A third change was to exclude the Indian element from the arsenals and artillery, exception being made for such stations as were peculiarly detrimental to the health of the Europeans. Thus, the striking power of the Europeans was enormously increased and that of the Indians proportionately decreased. Some difference in the training of the two sides to the disadvantage of the Indian soldier was also effected. The object was to reduce the status of the Indian part of the army from that of the principal to that of auxiliary of the European army.

But the more important and sinister change occurred in the method of recruitment and organisation of regiments. The events of 1857 had confirmed the suspicion of the rulers and warned them against trusting the people of India. Although there were still some military officers who like Major-General Hancock, believed that the policy of trust was still the best, an overwhelming body of opinion was of the opposite view. Major-General Tucker, in the note submitted to the Peel Commission, explained their point of view. He took it for granted that “in India we have to maintain our supremacy by force of arms and conquest over various races and nationalities,” and argued, “it is opposed, therefore, to all experience and to common sense to suppose that ever under any circumstances the Natives in their inmost hearts can become reconciled to our rule as a class.” He went on to add, “when we have civilised and nationalised India, we shall have to withdraw; and until then we must remain to a certain extent on the insecure footing of which we have so recently experienced so sad a specimen.” According to him, the situation “speaks forcibly for the strong necessity which exists for so dividing and separating into distinct bodies the different nationalities or castes the rulers in our Eastern dominions may deem it safe and expedient to entertain in our armies, so as to render them as little dangerous as possible.”

The Peel Commission, therefore, suggested that the army should be composed of “different nationalities and castes and as a general rule, mixed promiscuously through each regiment.” The problem was to break the homogeneity of the Indian army by mixing companies of different localities, castes or tribes in a regiment, so that if one of them showed signs of recalcitrance, it could be isolated and disarmed without difficulty or danger.

Thus, long before the employment of the principle of

---

communal representation in politics, the experiment had been introduced in the Army. At the same time, care was taken to keep the numbers of Hindus and Muslims well balanced. The regiments were composed of a mixture of companies or troops; for instance, one cavalry regiment of 8 troops had 3 Sikh, 3 Muhammadan and 2 Hindu troops.¹ No Indian, high or low, was appointed as a commissioned officer. When Dufferin proposed that a commission for the Maharajah of Cooch Bihar be granted, the proposal was turned down as it would have set a dangerous precedent.

The policy advocated by Lord Elphinstone "Divide et impera was the old Roman motto, and it should be ours"² was accepted by Sir Charles Wood, Secretary of State from 1853 to 1866. He wrote, "prevent all having a common feeling,"³ and "avoid a fraternizing and combining among the troops."⁴ This was faithfully carried out, and an answer to the question "how far we can trust the native army, officers or men"⁵ was found. Indians were relegated to an inferior status and all positions of trust and responsibility were shut upon them.

Discrimination in Civil Service

The conditions of service on the civil side were no better. Cornwallis had doomed Indians to serve in subordinate capacities only. Bentinck admitted them to somewhat better-paid subordinate appointments. This position did not alter materially throughout the nineteenth century, in spite of the Charter Act of 1833 and Queen Victoria’s Proclamation of 1858.

The number of Indians in the higher services remained small in the nineteenth century. National leaders demanded the holding of simultaneous examinations in England and India so as to enable Indians to compete in larger numbers and to have greater chances of entering the service. But such a prospect was unpalatable to the ruling community.

The higher services, especially administrative, were organised on principles peculiar to India. They were quite unlike the higher services in England. The Indian Civil Servants were not merely a subordinate part of the Government whose function was to execute orders. They were the limbs of the Government—
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makers of policy. From among them were chosen members of the Executive Councils of the Governors and the Governors-General, of the India Council of the Secretary of State and of the legislative bodies of the Provinces and India. Some of them rose to the highest positions in the Judiciary. With the exception of the post of the Governor-General and the Governors of the Presidencies, there was no office to which they were not eligible. Their preponderance in the affairs of the State earned for it the appellation of the Bureaucracy.

The Governors-General and the Secretaries of State were equally averse to the appointment of Indians to the higher civil services. Sir Charles Wood held a low opinion of Indian character. According to him, Indians “though not deficient in learning and acuteness, were wanting in character and moral courage which enabled a man to act alone in a responsible position.”

When Northcote referred the question of Civil Service appointments to Lawrence in 1867, the latter replied: “We have conquered India by force of arms, though policy and good government have already aided us. In like manner we must hold it. The Englishman must always be in the front rank, holding the post of honour and of power, as the condition of our retaining our rule.”

Lawrence was of the opinion that “such men (Bengalees) however highly qualified to succeed in a competitive examination, have not the stuff in them which makes good rulers and administrators.”

The Duke of Argyll justifying competitive examinations for English candidates remarked: “under the competitive system, although success does not in itself ensure aptitude for rule or official ability, yet there is at least a better chance of these qualifications being secured than under family or political patronage. And since Europeans have generally those qualifications by which they have won, and still hold, the Indian Empire, the tests of competitive examinations are, on the whole, good tests as between different candidates of the English race.”

To this, Lord Northbrook replied, “public competition is not
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suited to India,”¹ and affirmed that examinations were not “the best means of obtaining natives of India for the public services,” and “nothing should be done to encourage native candidates to compete.”² Lytton said, “I have no patience with the theory of an abstract claim to Government employment on the part of the Natives.”³ Salisbury did not like the appointment of an oriental to the post of Advocate-General; although previously Indians had filled the post of High Court Judges, the English community every time noisily protested against such an appointment. According to Salisbury, the reason was the “difficulty of getting Europeans to work under them (Indians).”⁴

In a despatch from the Secretary of State, the Duke of Argyll, relating to the admission of Indians to the Civil Services of India, the suitability of examination was discussed and the opinion was expressed thus: “but this principle cannot safely be relied upon as regards the Natives of India. It is notorious that in their case mere intellectual acuteness is no indication of ruling power. In vigour, in courage, and in administrative ability some of the races of India, most backward in education, are well known to be superior to other races, which, intellectually, are much more advanced. In a competitive examination the chances of a Bengalee would probably be superior to the chances of a Pathan or a Sikh. It would, nevertheless, be a dangerous experiment to place a successful student from the colleges of Calcutta in command over any of the martial tribes of Upper India.”⁵

But the Indian claim was strong both in equity and law. Lytton writing to Cranbrook explained why the provisions of law were not fulfilled. He said:

“You point out that although the Act of 1833 gave natives liberty of admission into Government service which was theoretically almost unlimited, the intention, or at any rate, the effect of the Act was to leave it to the Executive, to place upon theoretical liberty a practical restriction equally unlimited. And this no doubt is quite true.” Then he goes on to state the case briefly as follows:—

(a) “On grounds of expediency, generosity, policy and eco-
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nomly, it is generally felt to be desirable to employ natives more largely than heretofore in the civil administration of India.

(b) To do this, moreover, we are specially bound by Parliamentary pledges, vague no doubt, but very large.

(c) Hitherto no progress has been made, or even seriously attempted, towards either the attainment of that object or the redemption of these pledges.

(d) The principal cause of the acknowledged failure to fulfil fairly the promises given, lies in the vagueness of the promises themselves."

After relating the other difficulties, e.g., the opposition of the European members of the services he argued that instead of hypocritically evading the "claims and expectations," which "never can and will be fulfilled," breaking to the heart the words of promise uttered to the ear, and employing "deliberate and transparent subterfuges for stultifying the act (of 1870) and reducing it to a dead letter," he advised, "define more carefully the promises which have been given so vaguely, and, indeed, so rashly. Cautiously circumscribe them, but then make them realities within their limits."\(^1\)

The results of this search for realities which lasted a number of years was that the statutory civil service was established. But its incumbents did not give a good account of themselves and so it was wound up.

Then in 1886 a Public Service Commission was appointed under the chairmanship of Aitchison, then Governor of the Panjab. The main recommendations of the Commission were: (1) to retain the system of admission to the Covenanted Civil Service unaltered, (2) to reduce the number of posts reserved for the members of the covenanted Civil Service, and (3) to transfer a few of them to a new service called the Provincial Civil Service, consisting of the executive, judicial, police, education, public works and other branches.

Recruitment to the executive branch would be through competition. Thus, instead of opening the doors of the Indian Civil Service, they were more firmly shut, and a service with inferior status, lower social position and smaller emoluments was devised to satisfy the ambitions of Indians. "What had been promised to the ear was broken again to the hope."

In 1893, a resolution was passed by the House of Commons

\(^1\) Lytton Papers: Lytton to Cranbrook, 21 July 1878
as a result of Dadabhai Naoroji's efforts in favour of holding simultaneous examinations in India and England for admission to the Civil Service. It was referred by the Secretary of State, Lord Kimberley, to the Government of India for comments. The Government of India argued that the material reduction in the European staff was incompatible with the safety of British rule. It said: "It was the Civil Service on whose administrative capacity and fitness for rule depended the quiet and orderly government of 220 millions of people inhabiting 943,000 square miles of territory. It was, therefore, of supreme importance to obtain the best material for the arduous duties which these officers had to perform. Upon them rested the strength (of British rule). Any weakening of their influence or deterioration in their efficiency" would bring about chaos, from which the country had been rescued by British arms. It was, therefore, axiomatic "that an adequate number of members of the Civil Service should always be Europeans," and it was apprehended that simultaneous examination would flood the service with incompetent Indians and the security of the British dominion would be in peril.¹

Curzon defended this policy in his budget speech of 1904. According to him, the Imperial Civil Service must be held by Englishmen, "for the reason that they possess, partly by heredity, partly by upbringing, and partly by education, the knowledge of the principles of Government, the habits of mind and the strength of character, which are essential for the task." Outside this corps d'élite Indians could be employed as far as possible. "But even this principle is qualified by the fact that in certain special departments, where scientific or technical knowledge is required, or where there is a call for the exercise of particular responsibility, it is necessary to maintain a strong European admixture, and sometimes even a European preponderance."²

The attitude of non-possimus adopted by the Government was highly irritating to public opinion in India. The continued hold on key posts in the executive, military and political branches by Englishmen even after a century of British rule and Western education, caused frustration and disillusionment about British promises and declarations. Imperial pride had blinded the rulers and it was not possible for them to see the complete irrelevance of their arguments.

¹ Governor-General to the Secretary of State, Home Dept. (Public), No. 62, dated November 1, 1893
The Ilbert Bill Controversy

During the course of the controversies regarding the claims of Indians for admission to the higher imperial services, an incident occurred which brought out all the racial arrogance of the ruling community. It arose out of the question of the jurisdiction of Indian judges over European British subjects. The Law member, Sir Courtney Ilbert, brought forward a bill which sought to rectify an anomaly in the Criminal Procedure Code which restricted the jurisdiction of the Indian members of the covenanted service. But in the post-Revolt era, any whisper of equality even among members of the same service and selected in the same manner, was like the proverbial red rag to the bull. Anglo-India swore by the sentiment of Lord Roberts, "I believe that no rank which we could bestow on him (the Indian) would cause him to be considered as an equal by the British officers."

So, the Anglo-Indian community determined to resist the attempt of Ripon, the liberal Viceroy of India, to take away their privilege of being tried by European magistrates and judges only. A tearing, raging propaganda was started. Meetings were held, and the bill was condemned in the strongest terms. Warnings that imperilling British liberties would create feelings of insecurity and lead to the flight of British capital were uttered.

The Bengalee Baboo was abused as 'oleaginous, wily like a snake, maker of trumpery charges, etc.' Mr. Beames, the Commissioner of Burdwan Division, addressed a letter to the Secretary to the Bengal Government in which he said, "it is galling enough to my countrymen to have natives placed on a level with themselves. ... But it is indeed the last straw when the Englishman, already placed at so great a disadvantage in the struggle for existence, is liable to be tried as a criminal by native magistrates."

To carry on the campaign, a 'Defence Organisation' was formed, newspaper columns were filled with bitter censure of Government policies, meetings were held in Calcutta and in mofussil towns, memorials were addressed to the Viceroy, to the Secretary of State and Parliament, and an agent was deputed to England to plead the cause before the English public. Retired

---

1 George Arthur: Life of Lord Kitchener, Vol. II, p. 177
2 Branson's Speech reported in the Bengalee, 3 March 1883
British officials, like Sir James Stephens and Seton Karr supported the movement.

On the other side, the Liberals, who were then in power, and their organs stood by the principle of the Bill. Bright warned that the withdrawal of the Bill would lead to "growing discontent and growing disloyalty."1

Ripon pointed out that the policy underlying the Bill was not new; it had been laid down by Parliament, was advocated by many Secretaries of State, and applied under the rules made by Lytton in 1879. On his part, he approved of the policy in these words: "I have read in a book that righteousness exalteth a nation and my study of History has led me to the conclusion that it is not by the force of her armies or by the might of her soldiery that a great empire is permanently maintained, but that it is by the righteousness of her laws, and by her respect for the principles of justice."2

But the warnings of the Liberals were in vain and no heed was given to the appeals of Indians to reason and justice. The Government had to modify the bill in order to preserve the privilege of the British community. The whole episode was discreditable to the ruling race. It was amazing that a small step in the direction of equality between Indian and European members of the service should have roused such hostility from the Anglo-Indian community and that the Government should have been forced to surrender ignominiously.

VI. REPRESENTATIVE GOVERNMENT

The most important subject of debate between the educated classes of India and the ruling power was the demand for their participation in the government of the country and the establishment of representative institutions.

Before 1857, a number of far-sighted Englishmen, who were mainly the followers of the liberal philosophy of Jeremy Bentham, and thinkers who saw no advantage to British trade from dependencies believed that, as a result of British tutelage, India would one day become a free country. They did not regard British rule in India as an unmixed blessing, and were not perturbed by the idea of India severing its ties with the Empire.

1 The Bengalee, Report of the Meeting at Willis's room on September 1, 1883.
2 Legislative Council Proceedings, 7 January 1884
After 1857, however, the number of people holding such views, especially among those in government circles diminished. Nevertheless, Liberal leaders like Cobden, Bright and Hobson continued to condemn imperialist domination. Cobden, for instance, expressed his lack of faith in the power of England to govern India at all permanently. His opinion was that the attempt to govern the hundreds of millions of Asiatics by the Crown under the control of Parliament was bound to fail, and therefore he concluded, "Hindustan must be ruled by those who live on that side of the globe. People will prefer to be ruled badly—according to our notions—by its own colour, kith and kin, than to submit to the humiliation of being better governed by a succession of transient intruders from the antipodes."

For Hobson foreign rule could only be justified if "natural growth in self-government and industry along tropical lines would be the end to which enlightened policy of civilized assistance would address itself." Neither of these tests could be applied to vindicate the claims of the British empire to hold India.

So far as the growth of self-government is concerned, Hobson found that "very few British officials any longer retain the notion that we can instruct or are successfully instructing the great populations of India in the Western arts of government. The general admission or conviction is that experiments in municipal and other government conducted under British control on British lines, are failures."

The general discontent which after 1857 was surging in all parts of India was the natural result of governing the people in an archaic and autocratic manner. Political wisdom and foresight demanded that the people be taken into confidence and the Councils be made representative of the will of the people. This demand reverberated through all the political activities of the times and grew in volume as the years passed.

The demand was so natural indeed that even some officials supported it. Sir Charles Trevelyan in his evidence before the Select Committee in 1873, said:

"I am of opinion that as in other countries where the same

2 Hobson, J. A., op. cit., p. 243
3 Ibid., p. 119
principle has been carried out, Representation must be com-
mensurate with Taxation."

He advocated that the Government should give to the people
the right of raising and spending their own money, as "it would
be a school of Self-Government for the whole of India—the
longest step yet taken towards teaching its 200,000,000 of people
to govern themselves, which is the end and object of our con-
nection with that country."

There were others, too, besides Trevelyan, who held similar
opinions, but their views were a voice in the wilderness. The
men who wielded power had quite different ends and objects in
mind, and the demand remained a dead letter till circumstances
compelled the rulers to accede to it. So, for the beginnings of
self-government India had to wait for nearly forty years.

The fact is that in the period of imperialist revival after 1861,
the idea of self-government for the non-white people had been
abandoned in the ruling circles. Canning writing to Wood about
the proposal for expanding the Imperial Legislative Council of
1853 told the Secretary of State, "as regards the natives, I should
be glad to see it done. Of course any such member must be a
nominee (of Government), any sort of constituency, native or
European, is impracticable." He advised the abolition of the
general legislative body for India and the establishment of legisla-
tive councils in each presidency. Later on, he agreed to retain
the Supreme Legislative Council and proposed to give to "non-
Anglicised natives a practical share in it."

Wood was of opinion that representative bodies were
impractical in India and any element external to the executive
government was likely to be harmful.

But eventually Wood agreed to remodel the Supreme Legisla-
tive Council and to institute Councils for the Presidencies of
Madras and Bombay. The Governor-General was empowered to
establish provincial Councils in Bengal, North-Western Provinces
and the Panjab.

The Legislative Councils were, however, merely enlarged
advisory committees of the Executive Councils for purposes of
enacting laws. As it was considered necessary to associate
Indians with the work of legislation, chiefs of the feudatory

1 Dutt, Romesh Chunder, Economic History of India in the Victorian
Age (new Indian edition, 1960), p. 276
2 Ibid., p. 277
3 Canning Papers: Canning to Wood, 30 Sept. 1859
4 Ibid., 4 February 1861
states or their ministers or representatives of land-owning classes were nominated in the early years. Sir Syed Ahmad Khan, Sir Jotindra Mohan Tagore and others were some of the nominated Indians, who later participated in the debates of the Councils.

The Councils could claim no other authority, nor could they discuss any executive measure of the Government. But even the limited authority which they possessed was circumscribed by the constitutional position of the Government of India, for both in its executive and legislative capacity the final control and direction of affairs in India rested with the Secretary of State in England.

No further step was taken towards the democratisation of the Council for nearly thirty years. A year after the Act was passed, Wood was writing to Elgin that a real representation of Indian opinion was not possible, "the only government suitable for such a state of things as exists in India is a despotism controlled from home."1

While the authorities were presenting an adamantine front to the demand for a move towards responsible Councils, events in India were so shaping that the Government was ultimately compelled to take notice of the people's wishes. The Seventies was a decade of increasing distress and disturbance. During this time, India experienced some of the worst famines of the century. Relations with the Indian chiefs were causing anxiety. British interference in their internal affairs was increasing, and instead of being treated as allies, they were being reduced to the position of subordinates. Malhar Rao Gaekawar's deposition in 1875 produced a bad impression on Indian opinion and the change in the balance of power in Europe as a result of German victories over France, and Russian expansion in the East, had its repercussions in India, as it involved the Government of India in adventures in Central Asia, a disastrous war in Afghanistan and alarms of war in the Balkans. They excited the worst fears and apprehensions among the Muslims and provoked the launching of the Pan-Islamic movement.

There was a great deal of uneasiness in India. Hume, in 1872, warned Northbrook of the seriousness of the situation, "that we have now between us and destruction nothing but the bayonets," "that the fate of the empire is trembling in the balance."

---

1 Wood Papers: Wood to Elgin, 26 August 1862
He advised the Governor-General: "I entreat Your Lordship to consider as time goes on whether a greater regard for the opinions and wishes of our subjects could not be infused into our administration."

But Hume's warnings fell on deaf ears. The English ruling class was not prepared to accept the principle of self-government for India. Lytton had declared the incompetency of Indians for responsible government. Sir Richard Temple wrote in 1880, "the educated natives are also moved by aspirations for self-government, for political power and even for representative institutions the concession of which does not at present fall within the range of practical politics."

The plea of Cotton that the educated classes were the voice and brain of the country and that the masses had learnt to transfer their allegiance to the educated classes as their natural and best representatives, and therefore, the movement had become a national one, was brushed aside. His assurance that the educated classes were loyal in that they appreciated the advantages of British rule, that they did not demand that British ascendancy should be subverted, "but they are embittered against their expulsion from power, at the deliberate neglect of assurance in their favour solemnly made and repeatedly renewed, at the contemptuous manner in which they are treated by Europeans, and at the insolence with which their legitimate aspirations are spurned and set aside," had no effect.

In 1881, Ripon suggested to Hartington, then Secretary of State for India, that it was desirable to introduce an elected element in the legislative councils, for it would promote the 'political education' of Indians, and enable the Government to ascertain the views of the public and "give them an opportunity of explaining their real intentions and removing misunderstanding." Hartington, however, turned down the suggestion.

In the meanwhile, political agitation in India was gathering momentum. Surendranath Banerjea toured the country in 1877-78, to organise an all-India protest against the lowering of the age of admission to the Indian Civil Service examination. Provincial political associations held public meetings of protest; the Indian Press protested against the Government's decision.

---

1 Northbrook Papers: Hume, A. O., to Northbrook, 1st August, 1872. (India Office Library)
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4 Ripon Papers: Ripon to Hartington, 31 December 1881
On the top of all, the Indian National Congress, which spearheaded the political movement, raised its voice to give vent to the yearnings and aspirations of Indians for a responsible system of government. A resolution passed at the first session of the Congress demanded "the reform and expansion of the Supreme and existing Local Legislative Councils by the admission of a considerable proportion of elected members as essential." Similar resolutions were repeated from year to year.

But the reaction of the bureaucratic Government was disappointing. Lord Dufferin summing up of the situation was that "already Associations after the fashion of O'Connell have sprung into existence, the caucus has been naturalized, and all the arts of Radical agitation are coming into use in India. A Celtic Parliament is not likely to prove the home of wisdom, justice or moderation, but imagine a Baboo Parliament."\(^1\) His assessment of the aims and modus operandi of the Congress was given by his Private Secretary in these words: "The little group of clever active Bengali Baboos are endeavouring with the assistance of one or two Englishmen, to get up and organise and direct a political agitation throughout all parts of India."\(^2\)

The London Times, the leading organ of the Conservative opinion in England, held that all those who knew India would recognise the absurd impracticability of the change.\(^3\)

Principal Beck of the M.A.O. College, Aligarh, the political adviser of Sir Syed Ahmad Khan, pointed out four reasons which formed insurmountable obstacles to the success of representative institutions. He thought that the representative government of the Indian National Congress conception will be pseudo-representative—representing only the English-educated class, a species of oligarchy giving complete supremacy to a class forming a minute percentage of the population. Under Beck's advice, a movement was started among the educated Muslims to oppose the demand for representative government. The argument was that India was not a nation, and that in a representative form of government, the Hindu majority would oppress the Muslim minority, and, therefore, it was in the Muslim interest that administrative power should remain in the hands of the Europeans.\(^4\)

---

1 Dufferin Papers: Dufferin to Sir F. J. Stephens, 6 March 1886
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4 A letter circulated among the Muslims of northern India, quoted in the Bengalee, 29 January 1887
Notwithstanding the formidable array of antagonists to political advancement, the Government’s officials could not completely shut their eyes to the facts that started them in the face. Even when opposing advance towards responsible government, they recognised that it was impossible to stand still. Even Dufferin, who ridiculed the idea of the demand for representative government as a “Baboo Parliament,” now wrote to Lord Northbrook that he was submitting a plan to the Secretary of State for the introduction of the elective element in the Council.¹

Then, only ten days after addressing Cross, he confessed that “it is not merely Bengalee Baboos who are raising all this clamour, but it is all educated India inclusive of the Mahomedans that are anxious to be more freely consulted in the management of their own domestic affairs.”² He added: “Of course as an autocratic power with an army of 200,000 men at our disposal, we can afford for a time to ignore their demands, but it will be only for a time.”³

He also wrote to the Master of Trinity College, Cambridge, that “India is daily becoming a more difficult country to govern. It has ceased to be an isolated territory, and is now a continental power with a strong and aggressive neighbour at its gates. On the other hand, a highly educated and, in certain respects, a very able and intelligent native class has come into existence during the last 30 years, and naturally desires to be admitted to a large share in the conduct of their own affairs, so that from a double point of view the administration has ceased to be conducted, as in the good old times in vacuum.”⁴ And again, in a letter to the Hon’ble A. Mackenzie, he wrote, “the growth of a highly educated and intelligent class of natives is rapidly creating something approaching to Home Rule agitation with its associations, caucuses and other radical paraphernalia.”⁵

Dufferin’s views concerning the Council reform were extremely sceptical. He revealed the working of his mind regarding the Indian demand for representative government in the speech he made on the 30th of November 1888 at the St. Andrew’s dinner in Calcutta. The points which he specially emphasised were:

(1) India is not a country but an empire, with a population

¹ Dufferin Papers: Dufferin to Northbrook, 11 March 1887
² Cross Papers: Dufferin to Cross, 30 March 1887
³ Ibid.
⁴ Dufferin Papers: Dufferin to the Master of Trinity College, Cambridge, 8 April 1887
⁵ Ibid., Dufferin to the Hon’ble A. Mackenzie (Toronto, Canada), 26 April 1887
“composed of a large number of distinct nationalities professing various religions, practising diverse rites, speaking different languages, separated from each other by discordant prejudices, by conflicting social usages and even antagonistic material interests.”

(2) “India’s most patent peculiarity is its division into two mighty political communities—the Hindus and the Mahomedans, and an additional host of minor nationalities, namely, the Sikhs, the Christians and the Anglo-Indians.”

(3) “India is the centre of large investments of British capital and extensive British interests—both public and private.”

(4) “India plays an important role in respect of the international relations of Great Britain.”

(5) “The overwhelming mass of the Indian people is illiterate, and even those who have acquired education possess only elementary knowledge.”

“Out of a population of 200 millions only a few thousand are qualified for taking an intelligent view of the intricate and complicated economic and political questions affecting their destiny.”

These premises by themselves suggested the question, “how could any reasonable man imagine that the British Government would be content to allow a microscopic minority to control the administration of that majestic and multiform empire for whose safety and welfare they (the British) are responsible in the eyes of God and before the face of civilization?” Dufferin’s own answer naturally was that “in the present condition of India there can be no real or effective representation of the people, with their enormous numbers, their multifarious interests, and their tassellated nationalities,” and that “in their peculiar position there can be no greater blessing to the country than existence of an external, dispassionate, and immutable authority, whose watchword is justice, and who alone possesses both the power and the will to weld the rights and status of each separate element of the empire into a peaceful, co-ordinated and harmonious unity.”

Evidently, his final conclusion was that England should never abdicate her supreme control of public affairs or delegate to a minority or to a class the duty of providing for the welfare of the diversified communities over whom she ruled.

While Dufferin exulted in the divisions and differences he

1 Speeches Delivered in India by the Marquis of Dufferin (1890), speech at St. Andrew’s Dinner in Calcutta on November 30, 1888, pp. 229-48
found among the people of India, it did not occur to him to dilate upon the British contribution to a problem whose solution was one of the fundamental duties (to borrow a phrase of Seeley) of any organic government, or again, when waxing eloquent about the beneficence of British rule, to account for the universal dislike of that rule which prevailed among Indians according to his own confession; or to mention such inconvenient facts as the contemptuous behaviour of the British towards Indians, the increasing poverty of the masses, the frequency of the ravages of famines, the complete exclusion of Indians from services carrying responsibility and high emoluments, and from all opportunities of influencing decisions regarding their own affairs.

The Council reform envisaged by Lord Dufferin was of a strictly limited type. He wanted "the assistance of responsible Indian gentlemen in the administration of the country." He was prepared "to liberalize the provincial councils," but at the same time, he indicated that the paramount control of policy would remain with the executive body. Dufferin was duly impressed by the intensity of Indian feeling on the Council question. He made proposals to the Secretary of State to concede the principle of election in the matter of membership of Indians, but asserted that "it is out of the question either for the supreme or for the subordinate Governments of India to divest themselves of any essential portion of that Imperial authority which is necessary to their very existence as the ruling power, paramount over a variety of nationalities, most of whom are in a very backward state of civilization and enlightenment."1 But, according to him, the time had come for a further step in the constitutional development "by associating with themselves (Government) in the task of administration, a considerable number of persons 'selected and elected' from the educated classes to place themselves in contact with a larger surface of Indian opinion, and thus to multiply the channels by which they would ascertain the wants and feelings of the various communities for whose welfare they were responsible."2 What Dufferin was aiming at was some expansion of the councils and greater association of Indians with Government.

2 Ibid.
Even these modest proposals the Secretary of State would not concede. Dufferin's successor, Lansdowne, however, pressed for them. The Secretary of State, Cross, replied: "It is really impossible to have a representation of not the people, but the peoples of India. The Babus would not represent the peoples of India; they would only represent themselves." He added, "I think that such a step would be fatal to our rule in India."

When at last the British Government was forced to introduce legislation in 1892, Curzon, then Under Secretary of State, made it clear that what the legislation intended to effect was to make the wishes of the upper class Indians known to Government, no real participation nor advance towards representative government being aimed at. According to him representative government was not practicable for the dumb millions of India.

The objects of the Act were extremely limited. The new councils had to function within narrow limits. The small number of additional members and their nomination by the Government, the limited scope of discussion of the budget and of interpellations, afforded little ground for political education. Indian public opinion was strongly critical of the Act. Pherozeshah Mehta, W. C. Bonnerji, Surendra Nath Banerjea, and R. C. Dutt, among the Presidents of the Indian National Congress, and the Press of India, urged the adoption of direct election and enlargement of the functions of the Council.

Lord Curzon, who brought to bear upon the Indian administration the ability of a high order and demonic energy, believed in efficient government and wore himself out to effect improvements in the working of all departments of the Government and defining their concrete policies. His conservative mind, however, did not travel beyond the idea of good and well-conducted government—even-handed justice for all subjects, purity of administration, well-being of the people in accordance with the conceptions of the rulers and championship in England of the interests of India. He said, "India who after 200 years still stands like some beautiful stranger before her captors, so defenceless, so forlorn, so little understood, so little known." But he did not recognise that good government was no substitute for self-government. He was aware that he had incurred the hostility of the educated class, which could see not even dimly

1 Cross Papers: Cross to Lansdowne, 30 June 1889
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the beginnings of self-government in the reforms. He did not regard it as wisdom or statesmanship in the interests of India to embark upon political concessions and reforms. He said, "I sympathise most deeply with the aspirations of the Indians towards greater national unity, and with their desire to play a part in the public life of the country. But I do not think that the salvation of India is to be sought on the field of politics."1

And so the inadequate and unsatisfactory Act of 1892 remained unmodified for seventeen years! Though fifty years had elapsed since the Revolt and seventy-five since Macaulay made his liberal forecast,2 India's self-government remained a far-off dream, adorable to talk about, but untranslatable into action.

**Divide and Rule**

The British rulers justified their inaction on the ground that India was not a nation and the principle of election or government by representation was not an Indian idea and would not fit Eastern traditions and the Eastern mind. Obviously, the rulers of India, during the one hundred and fifty years of their imperial sway, did not consider that they had any responsibility for the removal of these obstacles, especially when their professions were that the imperial mission was to train and civilise the backward races whom they had conquered. It is the primary function of every normal State to remove internal hindrances to good life, and to destroy obstructions which stand in the way of social harmony and solidarity. The pages of history record how States have created and fostered nations—how the Prussian State created the German nation out of the hundreds of tiny, ramshackle, independent principalities; how the small State of Piedmont created the Italian nation, and how Great Britain itself created the Canadian, Australian and South African nations out of the mosaics of politically contending and culturally dissimilar peoples and nationalities.

Granting for argument's sake that India was the abode of two large and a few small religious communities, that her people

---
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spoke many languages, that the population ranged from two hundred to three hundred fifty millions and that there were racial and cultural differences among them—which were, in fact, greatly exaggerated—was it not possible to promote among them the consciousness of a political community embracing the diversities of race, religion and culture, by following wise, beneficent policies of administration and education? What was achieved in the United States of America, Canada, and Russia, should not have been impossible in the case of India, which possessed many factors urging political unity.

The answer is that, in the other cases, the government of the country was 'organically related with the people' and strove for internal solidarity; on the other hand, in the case of India, the relations between the government and the people were based on conflicting interests. The rulers were not only different in race, religion, language, culture and traditions; they were psychologically disparate and distinct. Considerations of duty and interest might bring an Englishman to temporarily sojourn in India, but his home—physical, emotional and spiritual—was elsewhere. He could not share the hopes and aspirations, the trials and tribulations of the Indian people, nor understand their mind. He lived in their midst, but was not of them.

In such conditions, with the imperialist idea urging the supremacy of self-interest, it is not surprising that the British failed to discharge the first duty of government—the establishment of harmony and mutual confidence among the people. On the contrary, they followed policies which widened the gulf between community and community and class and class. The first principle of imperialism, namely, to exploit the differences among the people in order to vanquish them and then to make use of these differences to perpetuate its domination, was strictly adhered to.

Of course, there were differences in India. It is also a fact that originally there was no bond of patriotic sentiment which held the people in the same territory together, and that Indians allowed themselves to be used as tools for their own destruction. At first, the Hindu and Muslim princes and chiefs, and after their overthrow, the two communities, played the game of the imperialist masters. In the period immediately following the Revolt of 1857 till the Viceroyalty of Mayo, the Hindus remained the favourites of the Government. Their share in the Revolt was condoned whereas the Muslims were regarded as the chief
enemies of British rule. Then the pendulum began to swing the other way. The Hindu resurgence, which found expression in the movements of religious and social reform, in the renaissance of literature, in the revival of the memories of a glorious past, in the rising nationalism of the Hindu intelligentsia, which demanded the removal of political grievances through the press as well as organised agitation, puzzled and annoyed the authorities. Sir Richard Temple gives expression to this annoyance thus: "proud as they (Brahmin priests) are of their race and lineage, strong in the faith of their divine origin, persuaded of their own sanctity, conscious of their own intellectual superiority, they cannot but regard with indescribable sentiments the new empire which crushes prejudices, superstitions and antiquated ideas, as the Jagannath car of their own traditions, crushed its victims of yore."\(^1\)

So the Government reversed its patronage. The dangerous Muslim movement—the so-called Wahhabi movement—had been crushed. Muslim leaders like Syed Ahmad Khan, Ameer Ali and Abdul Latif Khan were exerting themselves to remove British suspicions and propagate loyalty among the Muslims. The Hindus and especially the Bengali Babus, had become the bete noire of the British officials, and antipathy against them was on the increase.

But, whether their favourites were the Hindus or the Muslims, the object was the same—namely, to keep the communities divided, and to prevent them from making common cause against the third party, the party that dominated both. How the rulers rejoiced at communal discord and how by their policies and actions, deliberate or otherwise, they helped to inflame communal feelings, is amply borne out by a reference to records.

Wood, in a letter to Elgin, says, "We have maintained our power by playing off one part against the other, and we must continue to do so. ... Do what you can, therefore, to prevent all having a common feeling."\(^2\) Then again, "I have been always very anxious to avoid any fraternizing and combining amongst the troops. It obviously is a cardinal point in India to keep races and classes so far away from each other as to obviate as far as possible all danger of this kind. Do the ordinary work of the North-Western Provinces with North-Western troops, Punjab

\(^1\) Temple, Sir R., op. cit., p. 118
\(^2\) Wood Papers: Wood to Elgin, 3 March 1862
 ditto, and then have your Punjab troops ready to beat the Eastern
with, and your Eastern troops to beat the Sikhs with, if occasion
should arise."1 In yet another letter Wood sagely remarks,
"We cannot afford in India to neglect any means of strengthening
our position. Depend upon it, the natural antagonism of
races is no inconsiderable element of our strength. If all India
was to unite against us, how long could we maintain ourselves?"2

Discussing the relations of Turkey with India and the sympa-
thies of Indian Muslims with the Ottoman Caliph, Lytton
warned Salisbury, that "there is no getting over the fact that
the British empire of India is a Mahomedan Power, and that it
tirely depends on the policy of Her Majesty's Government
whether the sentiment of our Mahomedan subjects is to be an
immense security or an immense danger to us."3

The launching of political agitation by Surendranath
Banerjea through all-India tours, and of the Indian Association
in 1877-78, and the formation of the Indian National Congress in
1885 created a flutter in the official dovecotes. Lord Reay, while
forwarding a memorial from the Anjuman-i-Islam to Dufferin,
remarked that "the Muhammedans are undoubtedly handicapped
in their competition with the Hindus; and I am anxious to see
what can be done for them, though any increase of number of
applicants for Government offices is not pleasant to contem-
plate."4 Sir Rivers Thompson, Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal,
welcomed the change in the Government's policy of extending
"a helping hand to Mahomedans in securing appointments."5
Sir W. H. Gregory most heartily approved the Resolution of
the Government of India on Muhammedan education. He wrote to
Dufferin, "I am confident that it will bear good fruits; indeed it
seems to have done so already by the complete abstention of the
Muhammedans from Brahmin and Baboo agitation. It will be
a great matter to sweeten our relations with this portion of the
Indian population, the bravest and at one time the most dan-
gerous. But they all with one voice declared that they got their
whipping in 1857, and they take it like men. They now look
to us, and to us alone, not to be subjected to Hindu domination."6
A year later, Gregory again wrote to the Governor-General,

1 Ibid., Wood to Elgin, 10 May 1862
2 Ibid., Wood to Elgin, 19 May 1862
3 Lytton Papers: Lytton to Salisbury, 23 June 1877
4 Dufferin Papers: Reay to Dufferin, 31 May 1885
5 Ibid., Sir Rivers Thompson to Dufferin, 14 January 1886
6 Ibid., Sir W. H. Gregory to Dufferin, 24 February 1886
“they (Muslims) see clearly they had best stick to us, as they would get scant favour from a Baboo or Brahmin ascendancy.”

Then next year, he congratulated Dufferin in these words, “it is a comfort to you to find how staunch you have made the Muhammedans to our Raj by your judicious treatment of them. You can well afford to smile at Baboo malignity.” Colvin, Lieutenant-Governor of the N. W. Provinces, assured Dufferin that the Muslims of his Province would be bitterly hostile to the Congress which they regard as a claim for Hindu supremacy. He regarded the theory of national movement in India as necessarily absurd, to which the Muslims would not subscribe.

Sir John Strachey confessed that “the truth plainly is that the existence of these hostile creeds side by side is one of the strongest points in our political position in India.”

George Francis Hamilton, the Secretary of State for India, wrote to Curzon, the Viceroy: “I think the real danger to our rule in India, not now but say 50 years hence, is the gradual adoption and extension of Western ideas of agitation and organisation, and, if we could break the educated Hindu party into two sections holding widely different views, we should, by such a division, strengthen our position against the subtle and continuous attack which the spread of education must make upon our system of Government.”

Such were the opinions of the high officials and they can be multiplied. So far as Dufferin himself was concerned he confided to Cross that “the Muhammedans whose goodwill I gained directly I arrived in this country, have also been very friendly and I am receiving addresses from the Muhammedan population of almost every town in India.” And Cross had already informed him in the same strain, that “this division of religious feeling is greatly to our advantage and I look for some good as the result of your Committee of Inquiry.”

When the expansion of the Legislative Councils took place in 1892, the question of selecting members arose. Lord Lansdowne in his speech in the Imperial Legislative Council explained that there was no intention of setting up bodies possessing the
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attributes of parliamentary assemblies of the European type; what was desired was “to obtain for these councils the services of members who will be in the truest sense representatives, but who will represent types and classes rather than areas and numbers.” Thus the principle of separate representation for religious communities and groups was introduced and India was treated as a conglomeration of varying interests, classes and cultures with no organic political unity—actual or potential.

Against these assumptions of the Government, a Conservative Englishman wrote in *The National Review*, a Conservative journal, that “the supposed rivalry between Musalmans and Hindus is a convenient decoy to distract attention and to defer the day of reform. I do not wish to affirm that there is no antagonism between the adherents of the two faiths; but I do most positively assert that the antagonism has been grossly exaggerated.” About the Indian National Congress, he stated, “The members of the Congress meet together as men, on the common basis of nationality, being citizens of one country, subjects of one power, amenable to one code of laws, taxed by one authority, influenced for weal or woe by one system of administration, urged by like impulses, to secure like rights and to be relieved of like burdens. If these are not sufficient causes to weld a people together into one common alliance of nationality, it is difficult to conceive what would be sufficient.”

*Alliance with the Princely Order*

As if the Hindu-Muslim differences were an insufficient guarantee for the continuance of British supremacy, to make the empire doubly secure the British imperialists provided themselves with a second string to the bow. The Muslims might fail them under certain contingencies and might follow the dangerous line of 1857 under religious provocation. This was no imaginary danger. The international interests of England might bring her into conflict with the Ottoman Caliphate and upset the Muslim equilibrium. The latter half of the nineteenth century had in fact brought Islam and England into collision in Afghanistan, Iran and Egypt, and Gladstone’s support to the Armenian Christians was a potential source of clash.

It was desirable to discover and nurse some other section of
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1 Lansdowne, Speech in the Imperial Legislative Council, March 16, 1893
2 Quoted by Pheroze Shah Mehta, Presidential Address, Calcutta, 1890; See *The Indian National Congress*, (Natesan, 1917), p. 72
the Indian people on whom reliance could be placed in times of emergency. The masses were of no use; ignorant, impoverished, their immediate concern was to make the two ends meet. The educated middle class was politically active, but decidedly unreliable, seething with discontent and seeking objects which could not be conceded by the Government.

There remained the aristocracy of princes, chiefs and big landlords. There were risks involved in winning their loyal support by concessions. According to Lytton, the princes could not resist the temptation to intrigue. Nevertheless, they knew that it was in their interest to retain the goodwill of the rulers. During the Revolt of 1857, they had proved by their behaviour that they fully understood this. Dalhousie's policy had given them a fright and Canning's first decision concerning the Taluqdars of Oudh was not reassuring. Hence, there was some wavering in their minds and anxiety about the future.

It was, in the circumstances, necessary to evolve a policy in respect of the princely order which would, on the one hand, repress their impulse to intrigue and, on the other, ensure their loyal co-operation. In order to eliminate all risks and curb all ambitious designs, while keeping the chiefs attached and bound in loyalty to their suzerain lord, the policy of what Lee-Warner calls 'subordinate union' was devised.

The policy of subordination was based on the principles of paramountcy, royal prerogative, implications of treaty rights and strategical considerations. It was applied to the external as well as the internal affairs of the States. Its object was to convert the States into an integral part of the British Empire.

After 1858, it was obvious that the States could not continue in a state of isolation and medieval backwardness when the rest of India was changing and the new political, administrative, and economic forces were bringing about a transformation of the country.

In 1857, there were more than six hundred States in existence, and their relations with the Company's Government were of varying types. Some States, like Hyderabad, claimed almost sovereign rights and were described as allies enjoying equal status with the Government. Others possessed rights less extensive, but were not subordinate to the supreme power. The largest number of them, however, had been created by the

1 Lytton Papers: Lytton to Cranbrook, 8 February 1879
British and were treated as subordinate. The rights and duties of all types of States were not only embodied in treaties, agreements and engagements concluded with them, but were also based on convention and usage.

When the Government of India passed from the Company to the Crown, the treaties, engagements and sanads, which had been concluded between the Company and the States, were all confirmed in order to remove any apprehensions and doubts among the chiefs. But, in practice, it was found impossible to fulfil them literally, and with changing circumstances and times, they were so construed as greatly to alter their original intention. The justification behind the modifications so effected was that no limit could be set on the authority of Parliament, that the Crown possessed the royal prerogatives which bound the States, that it was the responsibility of the sovereign authority to protect the States from external attacks and internal discords, and for that purpose to intervene in their internal affairs.

In so many ways accordingly, the controlling powers of the British Government continued to expand and the status of the States was relatively reduced. The obligations which thus arose were many.

In the first place came loyalty to the British Crown—allegiance to the reigning monarch of England. As the sovereign was the fountain of honour, it was his privilege to confer titles, salutes, decorations, ranks and privileges on the chiefs which, bound in duty, they accepted. The admission of a new chief in succession to his predecessor depended upon his recognition by the sovereign or his representative, the Viceroy. In terms of these rights, the British Government settled all disputes regarding succession, took charge of the States during the minority of their rulers, and in case of disorder or rebellion, deposed the chief. This is what came to be known as British 'Paramountcy.'

In their external relations, either with foreign Governments or other States, the Indian chiefs possessed no international status. Their powers had been completely transferred to the Government of India. They could not enter into any contractual agreements with foreign powers, nor maintain any official relations with other Indian States. The subjects of the Indian States were under the protection and subject to the laws of the British Government when they resided in or visited foreign countries.

With regard to the defence of the Empire, the paramount
power had indefinite claims upon the resources of the States in cases of emergency; apart from that, certain States had to maintain a fixed number of service troops under the administrative and technical command of British officers for common defence. The authority of the States in military matters was rigidly restricted and the strength of their military establishments was prescribed by the British Government.

The protection of the States being the concern of the sovereign, it followed that the means of communication—railways, telegraphs and postal system—should also be under the control of the British Government.

Lastly, the Paramount Power had so interpreted the treaties as to whittle down to a considerable extent even the internal autonomy of the States. For instance, it asserted its right to prevent the dismemberment of a State, to suppress rebellion against the lawful ruler, to prevent gross misrule, to check inhuman practices or offences against natural law or public morality, and to secure religious toleration. Then in the matter of dispensation of justice, the British Government exercised personal jurisdiction over British subjects and extra-territorial jurisdiction over all persons and things within the cantonments situated in the State’s territory.

Thus, as Salisbury desired, the princes became the deputies of the British Government for the administration of their territories. Their power of mounting a threat to the British Empire was completely destroyed.

But that was not enough. Lytton’s aim was “to secure completely and efficiently utilize the Indian aristocracy.” He suggested, “our present relations with the feudalatory States and princes might be so modified and ameliorated as to contribute largely to the consolidation and security of the Empire.” His formula was not an increase in the political power of the princes, but plenty of pandering to their sentiment, for “the farther east you go the greater becomes the importance of a bit of bunting.”

Among the buntins, the most attractive was the proclamation of the Queen which declared, “We desire no extension of our present territorial possessions.” The second was the renunciation of Dalhousie’s doctrine of lapse and the grant of sanads of adoption. The two together gave the assurance of the continuance in

---

1 Lytton Papers: Lytton to Salisbury, 11 May 1876
2 Ibid., Lytton to Salisbury, 25 May 1876
3 Ibid., Lytton to Salisbury, 11 May 1876
perpetuity of the States under their historic dynasties. Thus relieved of their principal anxiety, the princes were prepared to acquiesce in the constructive interpretation of their treaties which considerably reduced their status and authority, and yet induced them to remain faithful to the preservation of British dominion in India.

Having created so many and such strong and seemingly indestructible bastions of security, the British rulers of India became so intoxicated with their political power, and so obsessed by their racial 'superiority,' that they were unable to grasp the significance of the change which was coming over the country. Their belief in the goodness of their intentions as guardians of the dumb millions of India made them blind to the hardships which afflicted the masses, and their self-righteous pride made them throw the blame for the sufferings of Indians on their own customs and habits, which raised impassable barriers in the way of their uplift. As the masses appeared to be inert politically and incapable of offering a threat to British domination, the British felt little urge to undertake measures of real benefit for them. The princely order had been rendered harmless by emasculating its power and by cajolery and concessions.

On the other hand, the middle class—especially its spearhead, the educated section—excited mixed feelings among the rulers. It was recognised that without their co-operation, the administrative machinery could not work; their goodwill was, therefore, necessary. Then the influence which they could exercise over their uneducated countrymen might create awkward problems and, therefore, to keep them satisfied was important. However, their criticism of the Government was resented and their political demands were regarded as basically contrary to imperial interests. The reforms advocated by them spelled the end of the politico-economic domination established to subserve British aims. This tendency had to be curbed. In order to deal with them effectively, it was necessary to resort to a policy which had two strings. It was necessary to convince them by reason that what they demanded was impracticable, because of their numerical insufficiency and lack of representational capability. Further, India's autocratic political traditions and her inexperience of democratic processes and methods were regarded as wholly incompatible with a representative system of government.

It was pointed out that India was not united, nor was there
any possibility of a nationality arising, because of the existence of differences—racial, religious and cultural. Secondly, in order to justify and reinforce this argument, religious differences between the Hindus and Muslims were played up, the multiplicity of cultures and faiths which stood in the way of a political union emphasised through administrative measures. The educated Muslims were especially impressed by them, for their short-term and immediate objectives clashed with those of the Hindus. It was not on matters which had anything to do with religion as such that there was much controversy. In fact, the custodians of religion—the Muslim divines—were inclined to support the national demands; it was only in secular affairs, such as representation in legislatures, in services, and the Government’s patronage that there was a conflict.

Through the pursuit of such policies, the British endeavoured to postpone the day of reckoning. They did not realise the relevance of the old adage—“good government is no substitute for self-government,”—to the Indian situation. They equally failed to assess correctly the influence of the Middle Class which, though “a microscopic minority,” was the head and heart of the Indian people. They failed to profit by the lesson of history that no political system is immutable and that communal differences could not avert the doom which hung over the empire in India as a result of world developments. Their obstinacy, shortsightedness, and inordinate vanity made them over-confident and, therefore, incapable of reading the signs of the times and preparing for the future.

Among Englishmen, there were some clear-sighted individuals who saw that British rule could not last for ever and, therefore, some day independence was bound to be conceded; and others who were liberal in outlook but bewildered by the complexity of the Indian problem, and therefore hazy regarding the future; but the ruling class as a whole was loath to relax its hold. Even when it was becoming clear that political domination was not necessary for securing British interests, they continued to play the imperialist.

They forgot or considered irrelevant the warning of Carlyle which applied not only to France of the 18th century, but equally elsewhere, namely, that “hunger and nakedness and righteous oppression lying heavy on twenty-five million hearts: this, not the wounded vanities or contradicted philosophies of philosophical advocates, rich shopkeepers, rural noblesse, was the prime
mover in the French Revolution; as the like will be in all such revolutions, in all countries."

They also failed to take seriously Lenin, the great revolutionist of this age, who pointed out: "Politics begin where the masses are; not where there are thousands but where there are millions, that is where serious politics begin."

Hunger, nakedness and the sense of oppression were stirring desperate passions in the hearts of the hundreds of millions of human beings in India and were, at the same time, leading them to follow those who promised hopes of a better life. They also strengthened the moral fibre of those who were the harbingers of the good news. It was impossible to dam the mighty flood of discontent of one-fifth of the human race by Canute-like gestures. The dam could hold up the flood for a time by dividing its waters into separate streams, but could not permanently obstruct its onward rush.
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CHAPTER TWELVE

THE POLITICAL MOVEMENT

I. ROLE OF THE MIDDLE CLASS

The second half of the nineteenth century was a period of drift, during which the political initiative began to pass out of the hands of the country's foreign rulers. The period began with the emergence, on the one side, of the centralised British imperialist power located in London, supported by the steel-frame of the bureaucracy and the well organised army in India, seemingly unshakable and unchallengeable; on the other side, by the great mass of the Indian people—poor, illiterate, disarmed, divided—"an inert mass." Between the two was the growing Indian middle class, small in number and scattered over the whole country, but mainly concentrated in towns, which had absorbed modern ideas and developed critical attitudes on political and economic questions. In practice, however, it was surrounded by the overwhelming agrarian population of a medieval type and bound by medieval ties of kinship and caste. In customs, daily habits and emotional responses, it was pressed by the Indian masses, for it was not possible for it to sever completely the chords which bound it to tradition.

The new-found pride in the past of India had given the class self-assurance and a sense of dignity and it discovered in India's traditions some of the great values of life which provided it with an anchor which held fast. Unfortunately, not all of the past was equally precious. But which of the past deserved to be rejected and which to be cherished and how to evolve ideals of conduct out of a fusion of tradition and modernism, were problems for which no ready solution was available. Nor could they be resolved by logic alone, for their moulds had to be prepared in the conditions of actual life.

The evolution of the middle class into a group with a completely secular, democratic and nationalist outlook proceeded somewhat in an uneven manner. Despite this, the yearning for freedom natural to every human heart did agitate all Indian communities, so that whatever hesitations, doubts and apprehensions they might entertain, all desired that the government of the people should be by the people themselves, and each one should have its own share in the administration.
Such a situation was by no means unique. Political independence has co-existed and can co-exist with societies of all kinds—feudal, half-slave and half-free, superstition-ridden, polytheistic, semi-illiterate, etc. Freedom need not wait until a people had attained moral perfection, removed all social defects, and achieved universal literacy.

With all its weaknesses, the Indian middle class threw out a challenge to British authority. Circumstances helped it. The British rulers refused to become integrated with the Indian people. Their government was not organic to Indian society, it was an external and alien element lodged in the Indian body politic. As the British rejected assimilation they could continue to operate in India only so long as India itself was disorganised. But no sooner had India become aware of its national unity, it was bound to strive to eject the foreign element from its body.

Again, dominion acquired by force can continue only if it is morally justified, for everything else can be done with bayonets except to sit on them. Moral justification rests upon recognition, consent and goodwill of the governed. Spurn the will of the people and resistance will result, cultivate it by suppressing force and violence and the autonomous will is sure to emerge. Foreign rule carries within itself the seeds of its own destruction.

II. Early Political Associations

Political consciousness of Indian individuality had made itself manifest as early as the times of Ram Mohan Roy. His work was continued by both liberal and conservative groups of Indians; among whom the radicals were more active than the others. They had established in 1828 the Academic Association which, along with religious and moral questions, debated social and political matters. In 1838, they had set up the Society for the Acquisition of General Knowledge where they discussed issues like trial by jury, freedom of the press, forced labour in government departments. Then in 1842, Dwarkanath Tagore brought out George Thompson from England to organise the political movement. Thompson had taken a prominent part in organising the anti-slavery agitation in England. On arrival in Calcutta he began to hold meetings and as a result of his efforts the Bengal British India Society was founded in
1843. Its objects were to collect and disseminate information relating to the condition of the people, and the laws, institutions and resources of the country; and to employ such other means of a peaceable and lawful character as appeared necessary to secure the welfare, extend the just rights and advance the interests of all classes of the Indian subjects.

In 1838, the landed gentry of Calcutta had formed a society for the protection of their rights under the name of "The Landholders Society." Its original object was to defeat by legal means the attempt of the Government to resume rent-free lands.

The upper class landholders and the middle class radicals were thus both involved in political agitation. In 1851, they joined together to establish the British Indian Association. Its first President was Radha Kanta Deb and the first Secretary Debendranath Tagore. They desired improvements in local administration, and the system of government. They wanted to "acquaint the British public with the state of feeling in India with regard to its past and future administration." During the Parliamentary enquiry preceding the renewal of the Charter in 1853, the British Indian Association submitted for consideration a memorandum containing its proposals, among which there was a demand that Indians should be empowered to elect their representatives to the Legislative Councils.

Similar developments were taking place in other parts of India. For instance, in Bombay 'Lokahitawadi' Deshmukh pleaded for a Parliament in India where every town and district would be represented. In August 1852, Jagannath Shankar Shet, Dadabhai Naoroji, Naoroji Ferdunjee, Bhaudaji and others founded 'the Bombay Association.' Its aims were "to ascertain the wants of the people, to suggest to the authorities measures to advance public welfare, to memorialize the authorities in India and England for the removal of existing evils and the introduction of measures of general interest."

In 1853, the Association petitioned Parliament preferring specific charges of maladministration and suggesting practical reforms. These included reorganisation of the Legislative Councils, admission of Indians in higher services, and establishment of universities.

In the Madras Presidency, a similar organisation, calling itself 'the Madras Native Association,' came into existence on the eve of the renewal of the Charter in 1853. It petitioned
Parliament, bringing to its notice "the grievances and wants of the inhabitants of the Presidency." The first place among the grievances was given to taxation. The petitioners expressed "their greatest repugnance to the innovations of both the Zamindari and Ryotwari systems; the more so as they were both the instruments of injustice and oppression, but especially the Ryotwari which had reduced the agricultural classes to the deepest poverty and destitution." The petitioners complained "of the insufficiency, delay and expense of the Company's courts of Law; their chief needs were the construction of roads, bridges, irrigation works and a better provision for the education of the people. They also desired a reduction of the public expenditure and a form of local government more conducive to the happiness of the subjects and the prosperity of the country."  

Thus, even before the Government of India had passed into the hands of the Crown, the educated class had begun to organise public opinion, and to formulate complaints against the mistakes and shortcomings of the administration. They had begun to use the Western methods of political agitation, and they already knew that for the removal of their grievances they had to draw the attention of the Government, both in India and in England. 

The confrontation of the British rulers and the Indian middle class during the second half of the nineteenth century may be considered as a process with two phases. The first phase lasted till 1885 when the Indian National Congress was established. During this phase, the Indian political movement was unorganised, spasmodic and lacking in initiative. It responded to provocations when excitement rose high, but came to a halt as soon as the urgency of the immediate cause of grievance became dissipated. In this period the middle class was weak and its political activity naturally lacked plan and unity. But after 1885 it grew in strength and self-confidence. During the second phase, the Congress became the rallying centre of the political movement, agitation acquired momentum, and the attainment of responsible self-government became the principal target.
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III. Beginnings of the Nationalist Movement

It is necessary to remember that the second half of the nineteenth century was a period of great expansion of British imperialism whose consequences were far-reaching. Generally speaking, this expansion increased the burdens of India by the wars of aggression in Asia and Africa and retarded her economic and social development. The Muslims of India were specially affected by it, because the expansion was largely at the expense of the Muslim States. Their religious feelings were roused in sympathy with the misfortunes of their co-religionists abroad. This resulted in the crystallisation of the Muslim communal sentiment and promoted extra-territorial linkages.

Inside the country, the change in the attitude of the ruling class and the growth of imperialist pride, made the Indian middle class increasingly conscious of its inferior status and of its humiliating position. Hence, its resentment mounted. The intellectual section which left bitterly the social inequality, was chiefly concerned with the problems of representative government and the public services—civil and military. The business groups were exasperated by the free trade policies of Government which were in the interests of England and not of India. Their enterprise was obstructed by administrative difficulties which thwarted its growth.

So far as the masses were concerned, their economic condition was deplorable, and their standard of living most unsatisfactory. Economic distress was aggravated by frequent famines. To add to the general affliction of the people came the sudden outbreak of bubonic plague which claimed numerous victims and caused widespread alarm from 1892 onwards.

It was in such strained conditions that religious reformers appeared on the scene. Their aim was to reawaken the true spirit of religion and to remind men of their duties towards their fellow-beings. The movement of religious reform inspired the new phase of literary renaissance and greatly stimulated the growth of nationalist sentiment and sympathy for the poor and the downtrodden. Poets, novelists, essayists, journalists, historians, all made their contribution towards the development of national self-consciousness.

The writers and speakers spread among the people knowledge of the liberation movements of the West—the Home Rule movement of Ireland and the movement of Italian unification and
freedom. The Som Prakash published a series of articles in 1858 on Mazzini, Garibaldi, Cavour and William Wallace, the Scottish hero. Surendra Nath Banerjea delivered in 1875 a series of lectures on the Italian resurgence, and on the Sikh history extolling the bravery of the Sikh leaders. Others expatiated on the views of Thoreau, Tolstoy and Auguste Comte. Bankim Chandra Chatterji (1838-94) employed his incomparable genius in writing moving romances which stimulated the patriotic sentiment.

The spirit which was thus awakened stimulated practical activity. The National Society was founded of which the leading lights were Raj Narayan Bose and Naba Gopal Mitter. They started a school, a press, a newspaper and a gymnasium. They organised the 'Hindu Mela' (Festival) which became an annual function. Here lectures were delivered on Hindu superiority—past and present—and on the Bengali language and literature. Songs were sung with a refrain like Mile Sabe Bharat Santan (Let the entire race of Indians unite). Vivekananda, during his tour of India on his return from the West, sounded the clarion call of liberty in his speeches and called upon young India to arise, take courage and serve the masses.

The Muslim Ulama who guided the Muslim masses were largely hostile to British rule. The School of Deoband whose influence and reputation were widely recognised, supported all movements which tended to weaken British domination and strengthen the desire for Indian independence.

In 1851, the British Indian Association had been founded, but now attempts were made specially by Sisir Kumar Ghose (founder of the Amrita Bazar Patrika), to democratis the Association, without much success. Then Surendra Nath Banerjea and his friends Anand Mohan Bose (the first Indian wrangler in Cambridge and a barrister), Sibanath Sastri (a Brahma leader), and Krishna Mohan Banerji, founded the Indian Association in 1876. Its branches were opened in the districts of Bengal and it established links with societies outside Bengal.

Under the auspices of the Association, Surendra Nath Banerjea toured India in 1877-78, and campaigns were conducted to denounce the Vernacular Press Act of 1878, and the Licence Act, and to safeguard the rights of the tenants. Lal Mohan Ghosh was sent to England to acquaint the British electorate with the Indian problem on the eve of the general election of 1880. The Bengalee, the organ of the Association, exhorted people "to cast
off its apathy of ages and show something of that earnestness and energy so necessary for building up the nation.” It explained its programme of “holding public meetings in the principal provincial towns in which Indian questions would be discussed and Indian wants and grievances made known; tracts would be circulated widely throughout the length and breadth of the country dealing with Indian topics ... and finally the recognised friends of the Indian people ... would be brought together under the auspices of a compact and united organisation in sympathy and active correspondence with the public bodies in the country. The friends of India in the country and in England should thus form a united body and the strength of such a united organisation, it was felt, would be irresistible.”

**IV. IMPERIALISM REDIVIVUS**

The further evolution of the political movement was due to the operation of two forces—resuscitation of imperialism and the imperialist policies of Britain after 1870, and the accentuation of the longing for self-government among educated Indians. Occasionally, the British realised that it was politic to make some concession to the people's wishes by granting very cautiously and grudgingly small political advances, beginning at the lowest sphere of government, viz., local self-government in cities and districts. They were, however, trumpeted as revolutionary steps towards representative institutions and self-government. Thus, the history of the last quarter of the nineteenth century is a bleak narrative of the clashes between the rulers and the ruled, of increasing insistence on rights on the one side and their denial on the other.

During the first phase, from 1857 to 1874, the policies of England were determined by the Whigs led by Palmerston. He was anxious to vindicate British supremacy on the seas, to play a role in continental affairs as a champion of parties struggling for freedom, to contain Britain's rival Russia and to protect the Ottoman Empire from falling into the hands of the rival of Britain. In relation to India this policy meant “masterly inactivity” on the frontiers and measures to maintain peace and to develop the country to fulfil imperial objectives.

In 1874, began the spell of Tory ascendency which lasted till 1905, with two brief interludes of Liberal Government from
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1880 to 1886 and from 1892 to 1895. Disraeli was the master-
mind behind the new Tory imperialism and the inspiration
behind imperial expansion. The Governors-General, who were
the agents of his forward policy plunged India into campaigns
and wars, saddled its exiguous finances with back-breaking
burdens, starved progressive activities, neglected economic de-
development and aggravated the bitterness between the rulers
and the ruled.

The years immediately following the Revolt were devoted
largely to reshaping the administrative system, facing the
financial troubles of an over-centralised and extravagant Gov-
ernment, and tinkering with the economic distress of the people.

In 1861, laws were made affecting the legislatures, the judi-
ciary and the defence forces. The Legislative Council establish-
ed in 1853 had tended to exceed the powers entrusted to it, so
the wings of the new Councils (1861) were clipped, and they
were made to realise that they had only consultative functions.
The Indian High Courts Act of 1861, empowered the Crown to
establish High Courts and to abolish the old and cumbersome
Supreme Court and the Sadr Diwani and Faujdari Adalats.
The High Courts were given powers of superintendence over all
courts subject to their appellate jurisdiction, and of making
rules for regulating the practices and proceedings of subordi-
nate courts. Another Act of 1861 brought about big changes in
the composition of the army—increasing the strength and
tactical power of the European part of the Indian army
vis-a-vis its Indian counterpart.

The main aspects of the economic problem were the abject
poverty of the masses and the increasing pressure of taxation
upon the country. The misery of the people may be measured
by the income of the individual. According to calculations con-
ducted by the officials, the average per capita income of an
Indian was about Rs. 30 per annum.¹ At this time, however,
prices were spiralling up. The figures show that the tendency
was universal in the country. In Bengal, rice which sold in
1860 at 50 seers to a rupee, was selling in 1870 at 20.8 seers to a
rupee, in 1890 at 18.3 seers and in 1905 at 13.2 seers. The price
had nearly quadrupled in half a century, while the income per
head had risen by hardly 25 per cent.²

¹ Davis, K., The Population of India and Pakistan, (Princeton, 1951)
p. 206
² Joshi, G. V., Writings and Speeches, p. 600
The finances were thrown into chaos by saddling India with the expenses incurred during the Revolt of 1857. The famines, the extravagant governmental expenditure—specially military, and the most unfair assignment of the cost of British expeditions like the Afghan Wars of 1878-80, the Chinese War of 1839-40, the Persian expedition of 1856, and the Perak expedition of 1875, to India, made matters worse. The Government was faced with deficits year after year. Its revenues were affected by a fall in land revenue as a result of crop failures. Acute agrarian distress created problems of relief and increase of expenditure, as famines were almost continuous in one part or another of the country from 1860 onwards. The public debt was mounting. In 1870 it had reached the figure of £102 millions.

In order to meet the growing expenditure, taxes were enhanced. The income tax was raised from 1 to 2½ per cent and then to 3 per cent. The duty on salt was doubled in Madras, nearly trebled (281 per cent) in Bombay and increased by 50 per cent in the other provinces.

The policy of paying for reproductive public works out of loans was adopted, and the provinces were authorised to meet some of their charges from local rates. From 1856-57 to 1870-71, taxation had increased by more than 50 per cent.¹

Another source of economic anxiety to the Government was the fall in the price of silver, affecting the rate of exchange of the rupee and increasing the burden on the Indian exchequer. Then, the policies concerning foreign trade were detrimental to Indian interests. The revolution in the means of communication and transport had given a great impetus to India’s foreign trade, increasing its value from Rs. 1,864 lakhs in the quinquennium 1834-35 to 1838-39 to Rs. 10,697 lakhs during the quinquennium ending 1868-69 and to Rs. 11,400 lakhs in 1877.² It is doubtful whether the increase of trade indicated a proportionate increase in the prosperity of the Indian people. It does, however, show that the economy of India was wholly subordinated to that of the United Kingdom, so that the country which was the exporter of manufactured goods at one time, now became the supplier of raw materials to the world and was prevented from developing any modern industry except cotton

¹ Dutt, Romesh, The Economic History of India in the Victorian Age, (New Indian edition), p. 279
² Sundara Rajan, V., Economic History of India, p. 252; Dutt, R. C., ibid., p. 249
textile mills, which had to struggle hard against the jealousy of the British manufacturers.

V. INDIAN DISCONTENT

(1) *Indigo Disturbances*

From the very beginning of the direct rule of the Crown (1858) clashes began to take place between Indians and Englishmen. "The first of them was due to the excesses of the indigo planters. The cause of the quarrel was the oppressive and inhuman conduct of the indigo planters towards the Indian peasants. Indigo was a prized dye-stuff which formed an important item in the commerce of the East India Company. About 1780 the Company had entered directly into its cultivation. It imported planters from the West Indies and made considerable advances to them to encourage the supply. These planters were a lawless set of men. Instead of cultivating the plant themselves they employed local peasants under a system of advances. The cultivator who accepted the advance became, to all intents and purposes, a serf. The planter was a slave driver who used force and fraud to obtain the crop. Lord Macaulay's observation on the situation was "that great evils exist, that great injustice is frequently committed, that many ryots have been brought partly by the operation of the laws and partly by acts committed in defiance of the law, into a state not very far removed from that of predial slavery.""

W. E. De Latour, the Magistrate of Faridpur, when appearing as a witness before the Indigo Commission, called the system of indigo plantation "a system of bloodshed." The Indigo Commission found "that the cultivation of indigo was not profitable to the ryot on the terms (offered to him). It felt that he was deprived of his free will and bound to continue a cultivation which did not give him adequate remuneration."

Macaulay called for the punishment of the dishonest planters, but they had allies in the camp of the Government and could not be touched. At last, suffering and torture became unbearable and the peasants rose in defiance in 1859. They just refused to accept the advances to grow indigo, attacked the houses of the planters and Government offices. Europeans riding about
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the country were assaulted, their supplies were stopped, the growing indigo crops were destroyed, and the factories plundered and burnt. The peasants assembled in large numbers armed with spears, swords and bamboos. The Wahhabi Rafique Mandal espoused their cause in northern Bengal and the Biswas brothers took the leadership in central Bengal.

The middle class intellectuals supported them through the Press and platform. Harish Chandra Mukherji of the Hindu Patriot expatiated upon their misery and told harrowing stories of their sufferings. Letters were published from Jessore and Nadia which spoke of the atrocities of the planters. Songs were composed to give vent to the hatred for them and their evil ways. A play Nil Durpan (the mirror of indigo) was written by Dinbandhu Mitra which became a cause celebre. It was translated into English by Michael Madhusudan Datta under the supervision of Revd. Long against whom a suit was brought by the planters. He was sentenced to one month's imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 1,000. The trial created a mass upheaval and incited unusual excitement. Canning admitted that "it had caused him more anxiety than he had felt since the days of Delhi." As he travelled up the river he saw "both banks of the river for a whole day's voyage lined by thousands of people ... all crying to the Sahib for justice, all respectfully and orderly." He mused, "a people who can do this so intelligently cannot be dealt with too carefully."¹

The Government took prompt measures to quell the disturbances. Senior and experienced officers were placed in charge of the indigo districts and an Enquiry Commission was appointed to report on the situation. The Commission came to the conclusion that the complaints, urged by the ryots against the unprofitable nature of the cultivation and the oppressive system of advances, were true. They recommended that the coercion by planters should be stopped. The Government accepted the advice and terminated the system of intimidation. "The free agency of the ryots established itself in the minds of all."

The indigo disturbances were a reaction against a specific grievance in one part of India. They, however, illustrate the general agrarian malaise from which the country was suffering, and which was itself a part of the universal economic distress which prevailed in India.

¹ Canning Papers: Canning to Wood, 30 October 1860
(2) **Problem of the Civil Services**

After the agitation, occasioned by the indigo riots, had subsided, educated India became perturbed by the lowering of the age for admission to the Civil Service Examination.

Since the time of Cornwallis the doors to higher services had remained closed for Indians in spite of promises made from time to time. Therefore, when in 1853, the system of patronage was replaced by one of appointment after a competitive examination, a small chink was opened through which Indians could enter. How small it was may be realised when the difficulties of a young Indian of the mid-nineteenth century are taken into account. He was obliged to make a long and expensive voyage to far-off England to compete with British youth educated at British universities. The Indian suffered from a number of handicaps. The English language was not his mother tongue, the Indian institutions of higher learning were inferior to their British counterparts, and the British environment, customs and climate were completely different and very trying. Yet in years to come, some young Indians braved all the risks and obstacles and got through. In 1853, the age of admission to the examination was fixed at 23, but in 1859, it was reduced to 22, in 1866 to 21 and finally in 1876 to 19. The effect of lowering the age was to increase the obstacles for young Indian aspirants.

Meanwhile, to reconcile Indian opinion and to implement the pledge of Queen Victoria contained in the Proclamation of 1858, an Act was passed in 1870 enabling the Governor-General to nominate Indians to the Civil Service, exempting them from the competitive examination. But little was done to implement the Act till 1879, when Lytton proposed to close the Covenanted Civil Service altogether to Indians. The Governor-General held that it was much more frank and honest to close the Civil Service to Indians and to create for them a closed native service. His proposal was a flagrant departure from declarations solemnly made and was therefore formally rejected, but it was adopted in substance, for the Statutory Civil Service was established to which every year a certain number of Indians “of good family and social standing” were appointed, provided their number did not exceed one-sixth of the appointments made by the Secretary of State in that year.

Indians regarded the new service as a device to exclude the middle class educated young men, and was, therefore, strongly opposed. The comment of the *Bengalee* on the new Service was:
"We regarded the scheme as a gigantic sham ... and soon found that educated India was of our way of thinking. The people of India wanted that the competitive examinations should be held in this country. But the new rules ignore the competitive test and provide for in its place a system of nomination, which is sure to lead to no end of jobbery."

The decision to lower the age of admission to the Civil Service examination to 19 was even more strongly condemned. It furnished an opportunity to bring the intellectuals all over India on a common platform to demand the raising of the age. Surendra Nath Banerjea (1848—1925) who had successfully competed at the Civil Service examination, but was dismissed from the service on frivolous grounds, had begun his career of public service and became the life and soul of the Indian Association. He decided to challenge the Government on the retrograde measure. He made the Indian Association the centre of an all-India movement, and gave practical shape to "the conception of a united India, derived from the inspiration of Mazzini, which had taken firm possession of the minds of the leaders in Bengal."

In pursuance of this object he undertook a tour of India so as to create a strong body of public opinion, to unify educated Indians of all provinces on the basis of common political interests and to promote friendship between Hindus and Muslims. The tour took him through Uttar Pradesh (Banaras, Allahabad, Lucknow, Kanpur, Agra, Aligarh, Meerut, Delhi), Panjab (Amritsar and Lahore), Bombay Presidency (Sind, Ahmedabad, Poona) and Madras. For the first time, "India with its varied races and religions, had been brought upon the same platform for a common and united effort."

As a consequence of the agitation, a Public Service Commission presided over by Charles Aitchison was appointed by the Government in 1886. In pursuance of its recommendations the Statutory Civil Service was abolished, and the age of admission to the Civil Service was raised to 23. The public services were divided into three grades—Imperial, Provincial and Subordinate. The Imperial Service continued to be recruited in England on the basis of a competitive examination held there, the other two services were of lower grades and, ordinarily, Indians
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were appointed to them by the Provincial Governments. A few posts on the Imperial cadre, known as listed posts, were made available to the Provincial Service officers by promotion. Thus, for a long time the children of the soil were virtually kept out of their rightful place in the key services.

(3) The Vernacular Press Act

While the problems of the reform of the Council and the Indianisation of services were long-term ones, there arose a number of questions on which acute differences were engendered. This happened specially after the retirement of Northbrook and assumption of office by Lytton. The Vernacular Press was never liked by the British rulers. It was always an object of suspicion and doubt. But, in spite of Government's frowns, the Press had made considerable progress since 1858. Its tone had become increasingly critical, even "seditious" in the eyes of the Government. The papers, published in the Indian languages, were read by people who did not know English, and as they did not read the English papers which presented the Government's point of view, it was concluded that there was great risk to the stability of the Government from the free expression of views criticising the administration. So in hot haste and secrecy, Lytton obtained the Secretary of State's consent for a law to curb "the increasing violence of the Native Press, now directly provocative of rebellion," and within two hours of the receipt of the sanction from London, passed the Act of 1878. Indians protested, held meetings to condemn the measure and appealed to the British Parliament to repeal it. The agitation was continued till Ripon, in 1882, annulled the law.

(4) Other Measures of Lytton

Besides the lowering of the age of admission to the Indian Civil Service and the imposition of restrictions upon the liberty of the Press, there were other obnoxious acts of Lord Lytton. The Arms Act of 1878, which was a discriminatory law affecting only Indians, justifiably roused much indignation. So did the abolition of duties on the import of British products, especially cotton goods.

The celebration of the Jubilee of Queen Victoria's rule, and her assumption of the title of Empress at a time when the country was experiencing the horrors of a famine of
unprecedented virulence, and when expensive warlike campaigns were looming on the North-Western Frontier, manifested a calous disregard of the sentiments of the people.

So far as foreign affairs were concerned, Lytton's arrival in India coincided with a reversal of the policies pursued in the preceding twenty years. Elgin, Lawrence, Mayo and Northbrook had refrained from taking an adventurous line and had followed the policy of 'masterly inactivity.' But the new Governor-General carried out the 'Forward Policy' enunciated by his masters, provoked a war with Afghanistan and entangled the Government in endless hostilities with the tribes on the Frontier.

In short, the four years of Lord Lytton's regime (1876–80) were spoken of “as among the most unsuccessful and inglorious period of British administration in India. ... What was altogether unique and unprecedented was the feeling of suspicion, of hesitation and fear, which seemed to have suddenly come over the spirit of Government and characterised its action.”

When, in April 1880, Lytton resigned, the Bengalee recorded, “To Lord Lytton must belong the credit of having done much by his repressive measures towards stimulating the public life of this country, and for this service certainly his Lordship will be entitled to the gratitude of our country.”

In 1880, when the Liberals came into power in England, Ripon was sent out to India as Viceroy. It was hoped that he would repair the damage which the dictatorial regime of his predecessor had done. He mean well. He conciliated public opinion by repealing the odious Vernacular Press Act. The Afghan War was brought to an end, and a measure of local self-government was introduced in districts, sub-divisions of districts and municipal towns. Unfortunately, his attempt to amend the criminal law by conceding to Indian magistrates jurisdiction to try European offenders led to violent opposition on the part of the European community, and in deference to them, the proposal had to be modified.

(5) Local Self-Government

In spite of the reactionary measures of Lytton, the Indian intellectuals continued to believe in the good intentions of their rulers and in their desire to fulfil the promises of equality of
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treatment and of self-government. This feeling was strengthened by Ripon's repeal of the Vernacular Press Act, and more so by his launching the scheme of Local Self-Government.

The Presidency towns had possessed municipal government since very early times. It was extended to other towns in 1842. Then, in 1850, the Provincial Governments were empowered to allow indirect taxation in towns. Under the devolution rules of 1870, the local bodies became responsible for the management of funds devoted to education, sanitation, medical relief and public works and were authorised to levy local rates and cesses.

The financial embarrassment arising out of the Revolt of 1857 obliged the Government to consider ways of reducing its expenditure. James Wilson, the Finance Member, proposed to transfer responsibility for roads and public works to local bodies.

Lawrence passed a Resolution in 1864, by which towns were encouraged to raise revenues for meeting the cost of the town police, improvement, education and other local objects. The Resolution pompously declared, "holding the position we do in India, every view of duty and policy should induce us to leave as much as possible of the business of the country to be done by the people."

Thus, by 1870, every town of importance in India had become a municipality. Although several acts were framed to permit the election of members of boards by the rate-payers, the elective principle was held in abeyance. Official influence pervaded everywhere. By 1880, only the cities of Calcutta and Bombay had a popular element in the municipal councils. Elsewhere, although a framework of local administration and local taxation existed, control rested firmly in the hands of Government officials.

At this stage, Ripon intervened, and after consulting the Provincial Governments, issued the Resolution on Local Self-Government in 1882. Self-governing institutions which were so far confined to towns and cities, were liberalised and extended to rural areas. District Boards were established with jurisdiction over Taluqas and Tehsils, and with controlling powers. The development was considered desirable as "an instrument of political and popular education,"\(^1\) and therefore the strength of the official element was reduced to not more than one-third
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of the whole, in both urban and rural boards, and the elective principle was adopted for filling the non-official seats. In 1883-84, the Provinces passed Local Self-Government Acts to give effect to the policy laid down by the Resolution. The objective of the Resolution, it was obvious, was the development of public spirit and training in the exercise of power. The bureaucracy which was running the Government was alarmed at the liberal tendency of the Act and modified it in operation. The boards were handicapped from the start "by their narrow functions, their limited powers, the incompletely representative character," and, ultimately owing to the illiberal attitude of the bureaucracy, the experiment failed to realise the aims set by Ripon.

(6) The Ilbert Bill

The Ilbert Bill controversy during Ripon's Viceroyalty was a lesson in the exercise of political pressure. The matter was of minor importance, relating to the judicial administration. In 1857 the position was, that Indian magistrates in Presidency towns were held to be competent to act as Justices of the Peace and, therefore, to exercise jurisdiction over Europeans. This jurisdiction was swept away by the Criminal Procedure Code of 1872, and it was provided that cases of Europeans, involving punishment of three months' imprisonment or one thousand rupees fine or more, must be tried by a European magistrate or judge. In 1881-82 the Criminal Procedure Code came up for final discussion and Maharaja Jotindra Mohan Tagore gave notice of his intention to raise a discussion on the question of the powers of Indian magistrates to try Europeans. He was assured that the question would be taken up after the Code was passed.

But, before the Bill was passed, B. L. Gupta of the Bengal Civil Service invited the attention of Government to the anomalous position which limited the jurisdiction of Indian members of the Covenanted Service over the European British subjects. The Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal, Ashley Eden, recommended that Indian members of the Covenanted Service should be relieved of restrictions imposed by the new Code of Criminal Procedure. Most of the Provincial Governments agreed with the recommendation. The matter was referred to the Secretary of State, who gave his approval. The Law Member, Sir Courtney Ilbert, then prepared and introduced a bill embodying the proposal. The Ilbert Bill was an innocuous measure which sought
to remove only a procedural anomaly, a discriminatory provision against Indian magistrates.

But the European community felt outraged at this assumption of racial equality and regarded the trial of a white man by an Indian magistrate as an intolerable piece of degradation. A raging and tearing agitation was started threatening violence and mutiny.

The educated Indian community, surprised at the fury of the agitation, showed great moderation so as to avoid all embarrassment to the Governor-General. Their feelings were expressed by the Bengalee, which wrote: "A great principle is at stake. It will be decided ... whether Englishman will rule India according to the principles of justice and equality or by force."

Reverting to the subject it wrote again, "it is absurd and iniquitous to hold that this great empire ... can long be maintained by a policy based upon physical force and derives its sanction from physical force. The foundation of British supremacy must be changed.... All that talk of the supremacy of race, of the right of conquest, comes with bad grace from a nation which in repeated proclamations, in repeated Acts of Parliament, has abjured the claims of conquerors and has professed to govern this country upon the principles of justice."

The agitation had the desired effect. The bill was so modified as to give the European offenders the right of claiming, even in the most trivial cases, trial by jury, of which at least half the members must be Europeans or Americans. Thus, the special claims of the superior race were vindicated, though it rendered the administration of justice anomalous. The success of the Anglo-Indian agitation taught certain lessons to the Indians. It revealed that the imperial colossus had feet of clay.

The changes in the bill filled the Indian public with dismay. They rightly interpreted them as surrender to the agitation of the white community. For, they knew what shams the juries constituted on racial lines, would be. "The iniquitous and shameful verdicts which European juries had given in favour of European offenders in the majority of cases during the last fifty years and more was a matter of history and formed one of its blackest chapters. Judges of high character like Sir Edward Ryan and Sir Barnes Peacock, had borne testimony to
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the failure of justice in the most glaring cases of offences committed by Europeans; while among the people of India the cry has gone from town to town and village to village that an Englishman would not be punished. Even Lord Macaulay raised his powerful voice against it and said that a liberty which meant the prerogatives of a few as against the rights of the many, was not liberty but the grossest form of oppression."

VI. INDIAN RESPONSE TO THE CHALLENGE

That a small step in the direction of equality of Indians with Europeans, promised in the Queen's Proclamation, should have roused such hostility among the Whites, was most revealing. The Indian public was made grimly aware of their inferior status in their own homeland, of the contempt with which the officials looked down on them and of the long and arduous way they had to travel before they could attain the rights and privileges of free citizens. At a very early stage of the Bill, the public bodies in the land united in a representation addressed to the Supreme Council in support of the Bill. In Bombay, a public meeting was held with a similar object in view. The Indian Press gave its full support to the Government. But all was in vain. It was plain that memorials and petitions of Indians were altogether too inadequate to secure their political privileges, and it was realised that an organisation be built "to agitate constantly and place before the country those great aims and purposes which are likely to confer lasting good upon our countrymen," and carry forward the political struggle. It was felt that the time had now come to make a determined effort to secure a real and effective control in the management, not only of their local but also of their common national concerns. This, only a countrywide organisation could do by effectually mobilising public opinion and carrying the agitation to the masses.

These sentiments found ready response in Bombay and Madras journals. As the grievances were not local, it was felt that an all-India organisation would be in a better position to cope with the situation. In 1876, on the eve of the Delhi Durbar, Joshi of the Poona Sarvajanik Sabha addressed a letter to the invitees that the occasion be utilised to discuss problems of

1 The Bengalee, February 11, 1882
2 Ibid., June 26, 1884
national importance. In 1882, the suggestion for holding a national conference was repeated. "Why should we not organise a national or at any rate a provincial Congress—a meeting of delegates from different parts of the country deputed by the several public bodies to represent their views? Hitherto, our public bodies have acted without concert or co-operation, which alone can invest our public movements with a truly representative character. The time has truly come when a great National Congress meeting once a year, may cement bonds of unity among the Indian races and prepare the way for concerted action in reference to political matters among the different political bodies scattered throughout the country."

In 1883, when the agitation over the Ilbert Bill was at its height, public opinion was further inflamed by the prosecution of Surendra Nath Banerjea over an article he wrote in his newspaper. The arrogance and obstinacy of the Government called for a suitable reply. It was felt that a fund be collected for carrying on agitation both in India and in England, for raising "a national temple where all parties—zamindars, ryots, shopkeepers, may meet on a common platform for the furtherance of the national interests." One of the objectives of the fund was to organise an annual conference of representatives from various parts of the country "to diffuse public spirit and create and consolidate native public opinion."

The first national conference met in Calcutta from December 28th to 30th, 1883. The questions it discussed were representative councils, education—general and technical, separation of the judiciary from the executive, administration of criminal justice and, lastly, wider employment of Indians in the public service. It was the reply of educated India to the Ilbert Bill agitation, "a resonant blast on their golden trumpet."

In 1884, Banerjea again undertook a tour of northern India to rally political groups together and collect money for the national fund. Lord Ripon's departure was made the occasion for demonstrations all over the country, which revealed to the
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officials the depth and extent of the new force of nationalism in India.

Auckland Colvin exclaimed in astonishment, "the dry bones in the open valley have become instinct with life." In 1885, Sir Henry Harrison, the Chairman of the Calcutta Corporation, recognised that, "agents, guides, instructors and purveyors of information to the Indian nation as the educated natives already are, very little reflection ought to satisfy us that the India of the future will infallibly think and act as that section of the community, in whose hands are their schools, their presses, their courts and their public offices, may instruct them. It is clearly destined to be the voice of India and the brain of India, the masses will be its hands and will reflect its teaching. In dealing with young India, therefore, as it is sometimes called, it is the gravest mistake to suppose that we are politically (as we are obviously militarily) dealing with an insignificant section of the community; the sentiments which are now fermenting in the minds of two hundred thousand persons will flow out, nay, are flowing out, into the hearts of two hundred millions. The greatest blunder which can possibly be made is to suppose that the effect of our dealing with the educated natives can be made to begin and end with that class."1

The first Indian National Conference held in 1883 was followed by a second Conference in December 1885 which was convened by the three Associations of Calcutta—the British Indian Association representing the landed interests, the Indian Association of the middle classes, and the Central Mohammedan Association, of which Ameer Ali was the secretary. Delegates from Bengal, northern India and Bombay attended and, among other subjects, laid emphasis on the urgency of the reform of the Legislative Councils.

(2) Poona Sarvajanik Sabha

In Western India, as in Bengal, political consciousness was growing. In 1870, the Sarvajanik Sabha (People's Association) was founded to represent to the Government the wishes and needs of the people. Its membership included big land-holders, merchants, bankers, retired Government servants, lawyers, professors and some ruling chiefs of Maharashtra. Mahadev Govind Ranade who, in 1871, was posted to Poona, became its
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guide, philosopher and leader. His ideas inspired the Sabha and directed its activities. The main object of the Association was to educate public opinion, but it devoted much of its attention to the study of the problems of Indian economy, and drew the attention of the Government to the economic hardships of the people. At the same time, it petitioned the Queen for the grant of the same political privileges which were enjoyed by British citizens. The Sabha impressed upon the Government the desirability of elections for the membership of the Legislative Councils. In 1878, it started a journal, which advocated land reforms, formation of agricultural banks, spread of education, reduction of taxation and of Government's expenditure, and improvement in judicial administration.

In 1885, Pheroze Kavasji Mehta, K. T. Telang and Badruddin Tyabji formed the Bombay Presidency Association to carry on political activities systematically and to inform the Government of popular views.

(3) Mahajan Sabha

In Madras, the great organ of public awakening, the Hindu, was founded in 1878, and its supporters started in May 1884 a political association known as the 'Mahajan Sabha.' They held a provincial conference in December which was attended by delegates from Madras and other large towns in the Presidency. The Conference discussed the problems of the reform of the Legislative Council, separation of the judiciary from revenue functions, the civil services and military expenditure. Memorials were drafted and presented to the Government.

VII. GENESIS OF THE CONGRESS

Such provincial political activities had prepared the ground for the creation of a political organisation, which would embrace the whole of India and bring together into one body, the several provincial associations and politically conscious individuals scattered over the country. Conditions were ripe for the establishment of a national assembly to give expression to national demands and requirements.

At no time in history was the Government so estranged from the people and so much out of sympathy with the ruled. The educated middle class, on the other hand, had grown in size and awareness of its duties towards the motherland. It had
already given proof of its strength and independence of spirit through political activity in the provinces. It could no longer be deceived regarding the true character of the so-called Legislative Councils. As the Bengalee pointed out:

“At Calcutta three or four Rajas living in the neighbourhood are summoned periodically to meet the Governor-General and other European colleagues at the Council Boards; and Chiefs of secondary importance are in like manner invited to confer with the Governors of Madras and Bombay. As a formal renunciation of the exclusiveness of alien rule, it is well; but who takes it for a participation of power between foreign authority and native will?”

The case for associating representatives of the people with the Government had ample justification. The Indian Press which reflected public opinion demanded it, the Political Associations repeatedly brought it to the notice of the Government in India and in England, and Indian leaders voiced the people’s wishes in this regard from many a platform. English sympathisers of Indian aspirations drew the Government’s attention to its urgency.

Among them were men like Henry Cotton and Allan Octavian Hume. In supporting India’s claims, their motives were two; in the first place, they believed in the desirability of self-government for the people of India, and, secondly, they were anxious that the ties between the two countries should not be broken, and that India should not be pushed into violence to achieve self-government. Cotton wrote:

“They (educated Indians) tolerate the existence of our government as an irrevocable necessity, which has done immense service to them in the past, but which they are determined to modify until it adapts itself to changes which, under its own impulse, have come into existence.... They demand real, not nominal, equality, a voice in the government of their own country and a career in the public service.”

Allan Octavian Hume (1829—1912) had served the Government since 1846, but was “shamefully and cruelly” removed from the post of Secretary to the Government of India by Lytton in 1879 for holding independent views and expressing them fearlessly. In 1882, he retired from service. He had, early
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in his career, formed the conviction that the interests of the Indian and the British people were essentially the same and that the administration of India ought to be carried on with equal benefit to both. He had also realised that Pax Britannia had failed to solve the economic problem, that the peasantry was ravaged by famine and despair, that the then Government was dangerously out of touch with the people and that there was no recognised channel of communication between the rulers and the ruled, no constitutional means of keeping the Government informed of Indian needs and opinion. In 1872, he had warned Northbrook of the paralysis that was coming over the British dominion. He wrote:

"Your Lordship can probably hardly realise the instability of our rule. ... I am strongly impressed with the conviction that the fate of the empire is trembling in the balance and that at any moment, some tiny scarcely noticed cloud may grow and spread over the land a storm raining down anarchy and devastation."¹

In the seventies, there was a good deal of distress and dissatisfaction in India, and as Secretary to the Government, Hume received information which persuaded him that the situation was alarming. He says, "The evidence that convinced me, at the time (about fifteen months, I think, before Lord Lytton left) that we were in imminent danger of a terrible outbreak, was this. I was shown seven large volumes ... containing a vast number of entries ... all going to show that these poor men of the lowest classes were persuaded with a sense of the hopelessness of the existing state of affairs, that they were convinced that they would starve and starve and die, and that they would do something. ... They were going to do something and stand by each other, and that something meant violence."² The Deccan riots bore testimony to his warning and forebodings.

Naturally, in order to avert a disaster, Hume felt that counter-measures were essential, namely, the organisation of a national movement with three objects:

"First, the fusion into one national whole of all the different elements that constitute the population of India; second, the gradual regeneration along all lines, spiritual, moral, social, and political, of the nation thus evolved; and third, the consolidation "³

¹ A. O. Hume to Northbrook, 1 August 1872; Northbrook Collection, India Office Library, London
² Wedderburn, W., Allan Octavian Hume, pp. 80-81
of the union between England and India, by securing the modification of such of its conditions as may be unjust or injurious.  

Thus, while the Indian leaders in the different parts of India were moving towards the formation of a political body on a countrywide scale, Hume's enthusiastic support hastened its birth. On March 1, 1883, Hume addressed a letter to the Graduates of the Calcutta University in which he exhorted them to form a union to organise and to follow well-defined lines of action, and admonished them in these words: "Our little army must be sui generis in discipline and equipment, and the question simply is, how many of you will prove to possess, in addition to your high scholastic attainments, the unselfishness, moral courage self-control, and active spirit of benevolence essential in all who should enlist." He added, "every nation secures precisely as good a government as it merits. If you, the picked men, the most highly educated of the nation cannot make a resolute struggle to secure greater freedom for yourself and your country, ... then at present, at any rate, all hopes of progress are at an end."

In 1884, Hume in consultation with the Indian leaders, launched the scheme of the Indian National Union, with the three objects mentioned above. The Union's aim was defined in these terms: "to oppose, by all constitutional methods, all authorities high or low, here or in England, whose acts or omissions are opposed to the principles of the Government of India laid down by the British Parliament and endorsed by the British Sovereign."

The organisation of the Union soon extended over all India to bring Indian opinion in focus. It formulated its demands thus:

"The India Council was to be purged or entirely transformed. ... The virtual exclusion of natives from the higher posts in the judicial and other branches of the administration, the entire absence of voice in the disposal of the proceeds of taxation, the necessity of so modifying the existing legislative councils as to make them to some extent representative, were subjects on which a formal monster memorial was to be submitted to the new Parliament."
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1 Ibid., p. 47  
2 Ibid., p. 51  
3 Ibid., p. 52  
4 Ibid., p. 53  
5 Resy to Dufferin, 4 July 1835, Dufferin Papers
It would appear that Hume discussed the plan of a political conference with the Governor-General, Dufferin, and received his approval to proceed with it. Dufferin was anxious to ascertain the real wishes of the people, and thought that the Conference would be a good channel for such communication.

Hume then proceeded to England to take counsel with other well-wishers of India. Assured of their help, he returned to India, to participate in the Conference convened by the Union at Poona from December 25. As the Conference had received support from all parts of India, it assumed the name, 'Indian National Congress.' Its meeting-place was at the last moment changed from Poona to Bombay.

The arrangements for the Congress were made by the Bombay Presidency Association. Its meetings were held in the Gokuldas Tejpal Sanskrit College. The delegates—72 in number, arrived from all parts of India, and W. C. Bomerji, an eminent lawyer of Calcutta, was chosen President. Less than thirty years had passed since the Revolt when a new race of Indians, inspired with new ideals and thrilled by the vision of a new India, came together and started a movement which in sixty years attained its goal.

In 1885, with the first sitting of the Indian National Congress, the whole of India felt the throbings of a new life. It was the most remarkable event in India's history. For the first time, political unity was sponsored not by a central, indigenous or foreign government, but by a group of stout-hearted, forward-looking sons of the motherland, hailing from all parts of the country. They assembled in Bombay, took counsel together and deliberated on India's future. They threw a bold challenge to the powers that be, gave a warning to the rulers that India was no longer willing to leave her fate exclusively in the hands of the aliens, and that she was determined to shape her destiny herself. The Indian National Congress provided the lead and the country accepted its guidance. Indeed, the Congress was the expression of the hopes and aspirations of the people. It was both the anguished cry of India's humiliation and the trumpet-call for battle against thraldom. Beginning with faith in the benevolence of British rule, within a generation it had moved forward to demand self-rule.

The birth of the Indian National Congress was an unprecedented phenomenon in the political history of India. It proclaimed the advent of a new era, the era of political unity, not
imposed from above, but the expression of the deliberate will of the people. The Congress was the central organ of the new society which had evolved as a result of the economic, social and cultural changes taking shape during the hundred years since Plassey. It marked the consummation of a process which affected all Indians, individually and collectively.

But, in 1885, the future of the Congress was difficult to forecast. Like all institutions of such a type, it had to pass through rough times and through periods of indifference of the people and of disfavour of the Government, before it became the powerful instrument which challenged the might of the British empire.

It started without much fanfare. The speeches and resolutions in the first session were couched in mild terms and showed great deference towards the British rulers. The Presidential Address gently reminded the Government, “loyal to the authorities, all that the Congress demanded was widening the basis of government and giving people their proper and legitimate share in it.”

The resolution on the reforms of the Legislative Councils was the most important and was moved by K. T. Telang and seconded by S. Subramania Iyer. It asked for the admission of elected members, for the right of interpellation, for the submission of the budgets to the Councils, for the creation of Councils in the N.W.P. and Oudh and in the Panjab, and for a standing committee in the House of Commons to consider formal protests from majorities in the Councils.

(1) Congress Objectives

It is true the Congress was ushered into existence without tumultuous scenes of fervid excitement and boisterous enthusiasm which accompany a political revolution. At the first meeting, there were only seventy-two delegates, but subsequently the number increased. At the second session at Calcutta there were 434, and at the third at Madras 607. The delegates were mostly drawn from the professional classes—lawyers, teachers, publicists, editors and others. Not many businessmen attended and the land-holding gentry largely kept aloof. The Muslim community was divided, and a section under the leadership of Sir Syed Ahmad Khan actively opposed the Congress after 1886. Even then, the number of Muslim delegates increased from 2 at Bombay, to 33 at Calcutta and 81 at
Madras. In 1890, at the sixth session, there were 156 Muslims out of 702 delegates.

The sessions of the Congress in the early years were conducted in a decorous, sober and rather subdued spirit. There was no revolutionary fervour in the resolutions or the speeches. Moderation was their keynote. The presidential addresses recounted the benefits of British rule, contained assurances of India's loyalty to the Crown and reiterated India's desire to remain within the British empire.

The demands of the Congress were couched in the phraseology of importunity and prayer rather than defiance or challenge. But, from the very beginning, they were directed towards fundamental constitutional changes. The very first Congress prayed for the expansion of the Supreme and local legislatures, admission into them of a considerable proportion of elected members, and the enlargement of their functions. It was in effect a proposal for a change from an irresponsible system of government to one based on consultation with the representatives of the people. This resolution was repeated in subsequent sessions.

Although the Congress was a middle-class organisation, it interested itself in the needs of all classes. If, for the middle classes, it asked Government to extend opportunities of employment, both in the civil and military services, especially in the higher ranks, it championed the cause of the rural agricultural classes by demanding permanent settlement of land revenue paid by the landlords, and of rent paid to the landlords by their tenants. It protested against forest laws which created hardships for the poor villagers and against the salt tax which made inroads into their slender income by levy on an article indispensable for consumption.

Then, the Congress resolutions dealt with financial matters like the unfair burden of military expenditure, manipulation of foreign exchange to the detriment of the Indian economy, high expenses of administration, heavy taxation, the tariff policy and the excise duties. These last were intended to protect the interests of the Indian business classes.

The Congress laid much stress on the neglect of the education of the masses, suggested improvements in the administration of law and justice, especially recommending the separation of the judicial and executive functions, and drew attention to the defects in the laws of Local Self-Government. Although
numerically weak, and possessed of neither wealth nor power, the Congress represented the head and heart of new India. In its deliberations was reflected the ideal of India’s political, social and economic betterment, and its resolutions were inspired by India’s urge for an ampler, higher and freer life. The Congress was the symbol of new India and the living witness of her moral personality. As time passed the Congress became the embodiment of India’s political hopes and aspirations, the instrument of India’s struggle for independence.

(2) Congress Impact on Indians

The dynamic idealism of the Congress produced different reactions on the different classes of India and on the British. The remnants of the traditional feudal classes and the new land-magnates, whether Hindu or Muslim, were thrown into panic. The Congress threatened the political order which had given them birth, sustained and nurtured them, and gave them warning of an unsettled and uncertain future. Instinctively, these groups turned to their foster nurse—the British Government, and clung to it with redoubled anxiety.

So far as the business class was concerned, its needs were both immediate and permanent. As it became more and more conscious of its permanent needs and of how the British Government thwarted their fulfilment, it gravitated towards the Congress which was eager for the economic development of the country in order to remove the grinding poverty of the masses.

There remained the enormous bulk of the masses. The British officials boastfully maintained that they were the true guardians of the interests of the workers on land, the cultivators and the tenants. The facts point, however, to just the reverse, as Dadabhai Naoroji showed. The dumb millions were exploited by the money-lenders, by the landlords and by the minions of the Government. Some belated attempts were made to rescue them from the hands of the money-lenders and to give them some protection from the rapacious landlords, but the whole system of land administration and law was such as to make these attempts more or less futile.

Only dimly appreciated by the masses, because of their general ignorance, but with creditable persistence, the Congress espoused their cause and fought for the removal of their ills. Thus, it became identified with them as their real well-wisher and representative.
Discerning men recognised the significance of the Congress and saw the purpose behind its temperate words and modest claims. Slagg, a Member of Parliament, who visited India in the cold weather of 1885-86, warned his countrymen to take heed of the situation and grant Indian demands in a graceful manner. He said, "It would be folly to underrate the profound importance of the Congress. It is like the handwriting on the walls of Belshazar's palace."1 Samuel Smith, another Member of Parliament on a visit to India, echoed Slagg's words: "The time has come for an extension of the political rights of the natives and a larger introduction of the best of them into the administration of the country."2

(3) Government Reaction to Congress

How about Government's reaction? The edifice of the British empire was not like the walls of Jericho to fall down at the first blast of the Congress trumpet. During the last decades of the nineteenth century, the Empire was, in fact, at the zenith of its power and prestige. The 'Little Englanders' were in retreat and a prominent group of the Liberals had become converts to the imperialist creed. The Secretary of State was all powerful, all the strings of authority were in his hands, and the Government in India was merely an instrument for implementing and executing the decisions taken in London.

The Indian Government which had to advise the Secretary of State on political developments, showed some curiosity in the proceedings of the Congress and was mildly interested in the movement. In 1886, Dufferin invited the delegates to a reception at Calcutta. At the next session, in Madras, the Governor showed similar courtesies. Government officers were permitted to attend the sessions. By 1888, however, the attitude had completely changed.

The resolutions reiterating the demand for responsible government and the speeches criticising Government measures, especially condemning the imperialist forward policy and wars, were most unpalatable to the British rulers. What seems to have irked them even more, was the issue of pamphlets describing Indian grievances, which was obviously a part of the plan for continuous political agitation. Such a development was a

1 The Bengalae, 29 May 1886
2 Ibid., 7 August 1886
precursor of widening discontent and tension, and appeared very similar to the Home Rule movement of Ireland.

Dufferin reacted sharply to the Congress demands. He poured contempt on the devoted head of the Congress Secretary, Hume. Writing to Sir Henry Maine, he remarked, "There is a mischievous busybody of the name of Hume whom Lord Ripon rather feted and who seems to be one of the chief stimulators of the Indian Home Rule movement. He is a cleverish, a little cracked, vain, unscrupulous man ... very careless of truth." Maine agreed that Indians were unfit for representative government, and gave many reasons why he thought so. He wrote to Dufferin:

"There is the rather melancholy consideration that the ideal at which the educated natives of India are aiming, is absolutely unattainable. How can 180 millions of souls govern themselves? Responsible and representative government are terms without meaning when they are applied to such a multitude.... Though the educated natives have learned to use our democratic language, they are really trying to set up a narrow oligarchy..... I cannot bring up the total number of educated university-bred natives to more than 5,000. But any machinery which enables 5,000 men to govern 180 millions of souls, creates an aristocracy and, in this case, an aristocracy which is not powerful, nor representative, nor friendly to any social reform."  

Dufferin informed Northbrook, "I have carefully abstained from stimulating the popular desire for radical change, and from raising hopes and expectations which it might not be possible to fulfil."

The Governor-General writing to the Queen about the Bengalee radical class "who are perpetually crying out for the introduction of full-fledged constitutional institutions," described them as "this little clique. . . . their influence is so insignificant, and their numbers are so few, that they have been unable to do anything." Yet in order to humour the Indian public he stated in an address at the Jubilee Celebrations:

"Within the period we are reviewing, education has done its work and we are surrounded on all sides by native gentlemen of great attainments and intelligence, from whose hearty, loyal

1 Dufferin to Maine, 9 May 1886, Dufferin Papers
2 Maine to Dufferin, 2 June 1886, Dufferin Papers
3 Dufferin to Northbrook, 23 June 1886
4 Letters to the Queen: Dufferin to the Queen, No. 76, 26 March 1888
and honest co-operation we may hope to derive the greatest benefit. In fact, to an administration so peculiarly situated as ours, their advice, assistance, and solidarity are essential to the successful exercise of the functions. Nor do I regard with any other feelings than those of approval and goodwill their natural ambition to be more extensively associated with their English rulers in the administration of their own domestic affairs, and glad and happy should I be if during my sojourn amongst them, circumstances permitted me to extend and to place upon a wider and more logical footing the political status which was so wisely given a generation ago by Lord Halifax to such Indian gentlemen as by their influence, their acquirements and the confidence they inspired in their fellow-countrymen, were marked out as useful adjuncts to our Legislative Councils."

A month later he confessed to Secretary of State Cross, "moreover you must understand that it is not merely the Bengalee Baboos who are raising all this clamour, but it is all educated India, inclusive of the Mohammadans, that are anxious to be more freely consulted in the management of their domestic affairs." But he was emphatic in keeping British authority supreme. He said, "Of course, I entirely agree with you that what really secures the welfare of the Indian people is English justice and English administrative efficiency, and that the ascendency of both these elements must, under any circumstances, be maintained absolute and pre-eminent." He showered on the Indian National Congress such bouquets as "childish," "Eton and Harrow Debating Society," "hysterical assembly, in which the more violent and silly of their members rule the roost," "Babu Parliament," "supported by a microscopic minority."

Lansdowne, taking a more dispassionate view, expressed his opinion on the Indian National Congress in 1890 in these words: "The Government of India recognise that the Congress movement is regarded as representing what would in Europe be called the 'Advanced Liberal Party', as distinguished from the great body of Conservative opinion which exists side by side with it. They desire themselves to maintain an attitude of
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1 Dufferin: address at the Jubilee Celebrations, 16 February 1887; See Speeches delivered in India, p. 159.
2 Cross Papers: Dufferin to Cross, 20 March 1887
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neutrality in their relations with both parties, so long as these act strictly within their constitutional functions.”

During the Viceroyalty of Elgin, the Secretary of State, Lord George Hamilton, communicated to the Viceroy his delight that the Congress was steadily going down, for he was of opinion that it was a seditious body and its leaders were men of doubtful character.

Curzon, the arch proconsul of imperialism, improved upon the unbecoming jubilation of the Secretary of State and gloated over what he regarded as its approaching death. He said, "My own belief is that Congress is tottering to its fall, and one of my great ambitions while in India is to assist it to a peaceful demise.”

VIII. THE CONFLICT OF THE RULERS AND THE RULED

The truth is that the emergence of the Congress crystallised the conflict inherent in the relations of the imperialist power and the subject people. The conflict raised the moral issue: what was the justification of the British Empire in demanding the obedience of the people of India?

The British argued there was no India; in fact, what was known as India was merely a geographical expression, a territory which was the abode of a number of clans, tribes, communities, races, religious societies and cultures. They did not constitute an organic social or moral unity, and the British had as much right to rule over them as any other rulers who had preceded them. Their title was the same as that of the previous conquerors, namely, the sword. Like them, they had given peace and order. They had given more—a modern administration comprising laws, a judicial system and an efficient executive. In the absence of national unity, how could the Government be made responsible to more than a score of incoherent and discordant groups?

The strongly entrenched bureaucracy showed little understanding of the ferment in society, and were blind to the social revolution that was taking place around them. Their view of the country was that of an unchanging East where the white man carried the heavy burden of administration and kept law and order amongst warring princes and hostile tribes. They

1 Quoted by A. C. Mazumdar, Indian National Evolution, p. 82
2 Curzon to Secretary of State, 18 November 1900
relied on statistics to prove how little was the importance of the new English-educated class. How many Indians, they asked, had passed through the portals of the University; and how would this infinitesimally small class represent the wishes of the dumb masses? There was a gulf dividing these city dwellers—the lawyers, the editors and clerks, and the peasants and artisans toiling in thousands of tiny hamlets. They knew nothing of the life of the masses, of their needs and sufferings, and had no right to speak on their behalf. The Indian politicians were repeating slogans which they had learnt from English books and had no relation to facts of Indian life. With such an assessment of the situation, the bureaucracy contemptuously brushed aside the Congress proceedings as meaningless prattle. It would not accept Hume’s explanation that “the Congress intended to foster a wider altruism and a more genuine public spirit... to familiarize the country with the methods and work of representative institutions on a large scale.” Sir Auckland Colvin, the spokesman of the bureaucracy, expressed himself vehemently in the columns of the *Pioneer*:

“I have read carefully and repeatedly your tracts and I find frequent passages holding up the British Government and the English officials in India to the indignation of the people as unjust, inconsiderate and ill-informed and reckless of their actions. ...

“Of the India of today, as we know it; of India under education; of India compelled in the interests of the weaker masses to submit to impartial justice; of India brought together by road and rail; of India entering into the first class commercial markets of the world; of India of religious toleration; of India assured for terms of years of profound and unbroken peace; of India of the free Press; of India, finally taught for the first time that the end and aim of rule is the welfare of the people and not personal aggrandisement of the sovereign—I fail to find a syllable of recognition. .... For these pamphlets the critics of the Congress hold the leaders of the party responsible.”

The answer of India was that the country was a cultural unity with a dominant ancient culture and a number of minority cultures—a situation not uncommon in history, except in regard to its scale and size. What was even more cogent was
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1 *Audi Alteram Partem*, pp. 4-5
the fact, that this cultural community was acquiring a general will which gave it the status of a nation, a consciousness of political unity. Again and again, Congress Presidents returned to the point. Said Pherozeeshah Mehta in 1890: “The members of the Congress meet together as men, on the common basis of nationality, influenced for weal or woe by one system of administration, urged by like impulses to secure like rights and to be relieved of like burdens. ... It is for this reason that the organisation has been called the Indian National Congress, and was aspiring for self-expression.” According to this view, the Indian National Congress was the manifest symbol and corporate body of this consciousness.

IX. British Policies

(1) The British remained unconvinced and continued to believe that India was merely a name, nothing more than a geographical entity. They recognised responsibility to themselves only and refused to associate or consult Indian opinion in forming their decisions on policies. Chailley, a distinguished member and publicist of the French Colonial School and a student of Oriental problems, suggests that the European imperialist powers had their own ‘native policy’ which had two objectives. The first was to supply cheap labour for European enterprise, and the second, “to prepare the subject people to accept the supremacy and the government of foreigners.”¹ The plantation industries—indigo, jute, tea, were British enterprises employing cheap Indian labour, and besides, the economy established by the British in India encouraged the production of raw materials by the cheap labour of India for supply to industrialised England.

The second objective was sought to be achieved by providing good government, and by understanding the feelings of the subjects and humouring them. In this object, according to Chailley, the British succeeded admirably. The only exception was the educated class which could not be won over. But its numbers were microscopically small and, in any case, they did not constitute more than 2 per cent of the population.

In the view of Chailley, the British Government followed ‘the Native Policy’ in its dual aspect, viz., providing good govern-

¹ See Chailley, J., Administrative Problems of British India, pp. 203ff.
ment according to their own estimate of what its characteristics ought to be, and to honour the feelings of the subjects. They thought such a policy would perpetuate their rule and induce the people to accept their supremacy for ever.

Good and efficient government was understood to mean maintenance of peace and order, prevention of internal disturbances, security of life and property, and protection from attacks by foreign invaders. The maintenance of peace and order was amply provided by the codes of law—civil and penal, civil and criminal procedure, evidence, etc., the organisation of the civil services, the law courts and the police.

But, once these primary conditions for the performance of the necessary functions of government were supplied, the British felt no need for the ameliorative functions of government. Writing about this period, Percival Spear says: "The Government of India was increasingly regarded as a white man's burden rather than as a call to creative effort or the preparation for a new era. ... With vision and hope laid aside, there remained the task of keeping order and dealing justice, of devising improving measures and exercising fostering care."¹ The maintenance of irresponsible imperialist rule in which popular trust was eroding and against which the nationalist urges were slowly gathering momentum, constituted the most significant aim of the rulers during the twenty years from Ripon's retirement to Curzon's recall.

(2) Problems of Public Welfare—Inevitably, the British were concerned more with the preservation of their rule and promotion of the imperial interests than with the solution of the fundamental issues of Indian economy, social reform and political development, and waived aside the Congress importunities and urgencies as inconvenient nuisance. For instance, for the amelioration of the condition of the vast numbers engaged in agriculture, all that they could devise during this period was to provide some relief to the cultivators in the zamindari areas of northern India by the Tenancy Acts of Bengal, Panjab and Oudh.

Later, land alienation acts were passed to give protection to the ryots against the money-lenders. But in spite of the recommendations of the Agricultural Commission, the report of Dr. Voelcker (1889-90) and the establishment of agricultural

departments and farms, "the hesitancy and even apparent reluctance of the British attempts to improve Indian agriculture was so inhibiting as to produce little change for the better."

The case of industry was much the same. There was no encouragement to the scanty and shy Indian capital for investment in industry, as the case of J. J. Tata bears out. The industries that did come into being were largely controlled by the British—textiles, tea, jute, coal and paper—or by Government, such as the railways, forests, etc. It is true that the railways developed fast, for 25,000 miles of railways had been laid by 1900. But the motives behind this admirable expansion were two—to find safe and profitable investment for British capital and to meet the strategic requirements of the imperial army. Dalhousie, in his famous minute of 1853, refers primarily to the military role of the railways,\(^1\) and points out the advantages accruing from them to British export trade of cotton to England, of products of the interior to the countries of the world, and the opening of new markets for British goods.

Compared to the railways, irrigation met with less favourable treatment, although for an agricultural country with large areas thirsting for water, the need was, if not greater, no less. The problems of health, sanitation, housing and general improvement of conditions of life, received even less attention. So, although between 1871 and 1911, the population of India increased from about 200 millions to over 300 millions, the death rate was extraordinarily high. About education, the facts have been stated in a previous chapter, but it may be repeated that between 1885 and 1901, no appreciable difference was made in the ratio of literacy.

(3) Democratisation of Government—Thus far, the record of good government. What about the progress of self-govern-

\(^1\) "A single glance cast upon the map recalling to mind the vast extent of the empire we hold, the various classes and interests it includes, the wide distances which separate the several points at which hostile attack may, at any time, be expected; the perpetual risk of such hostility appearing in quarters where it is least expected; the expenditure of time, of treasure and of life that are involved in even the ordinary routine of military movements over such a tract... will suffice to show how immeasurable are the political advantages to be derived from a system of internal communication which would admit of full intelligence of every event being transmitted to the Government under all circumstances, at a speed exceeding five-fold its present rate; and would enable the Government to bring the main bulk of its military strength to bear upon any given point, in as many days as it would now require months, and to an extent which at present is physically impossible."—Dalhousie's Minute, dated 20 April, 1853, Home Dept. (Public) Proceedings, No. 488, p. 815
ment? During the three decades since the Great Revolt, the one liberal interlude in the imperialist domination was the four-year regime of Ripon, when an attempt was made to extend local self-government, but it was defeated by the bureaucracy. The appeals of Indian leaders for employment in the higher ranks of the civil service and for admission into the Legislative Councils through the open door of election were repulsed. Even if the Government in India, under the pressure of local conditions, felt occasionally the need of making some advance, the Government in England vetoed the proposals, or some device was discovered "to humour the subjects," without making any substantial change.

The story of the participation of Indians in the Legislative Councils is a dismal one. When, in 1861, the Councils were reconstituted, they hardly deserved the name of legislatures, for they were really committees for the registration of the will of Government. For instance, the Legislative Council of the Government of India was merely the expanded Executive Council. It consisted of, besides the members of the Governor-General's Council, six to twelve additional members nominated by the Governor-General, provided that one-half of them were non-officials. Its functions were limited. It could not consider certain important matters like public revenues, debts and the military, without the previous sanction of the Governor-General, who had an absolute right of veto and the power of making ordinances. The Crown could disallow any Act, and the authority of Parliament to make laws for India could not be questioned. How narrow and limited its functions were, is demonstrated by the fact that along with the Council, an independent Law Commission worked in England which prepared drafts of legislation to be adopted by the Legislative Council. Its helplessness was shown by the abolition of duties on import of cotton goods in 1876. Lord Macdonnell described the Councils in 1888 as follows:

"The character of the Legislative Councils established by the Act of 1861 is simply this, that they are committees for the purpose of making laws, committees by means of which the executive Government obtains advice and assistance in their legislation. . . . ."

Regarding the laws they made, he said, "it would not be wrong to describe the laws made in the legislative councils as in reality the orders of Government." He further explained:
"The councils are not deliberative bodies with respect to any subject but that of the immediate legislation before them. They cannot inquire into grievances, call for information, or examine the conduct of the executive. The acts of administration cannot be impugned, nor can they be properly defended in such assemblies, except with reference to the particular measure under discussion."

Into these Councils, Indians chosen from the upper classes—chiefs, nobles, landlords, rich merchants, lawyers and loyal editors of conservative newspapers—were admitted. To call their membership a 'recognition of the Indian right to participate in the government of their own country' sounds like mockery.

For thirty years, Indians continued to press for the reform of the Councils. The Congress passed resolutions year after year for the expansion of the Councils—both in numbers and functions, and for filling their membership by election of the representatives of the people, by classes capable of exercising the right wisely and independently. It suggested that the provincial councillors might be elected by the members of Municipalities, District Boards, Chambers of Commerce and the Universities or by electorates constituted of persons possessing educational and property qualifications deemed necessary; and the members of the Legislative Council of the Governor-General to be elected by the elected members of the Provincial Councils. Political associations, like the Indian Association of Calcutta, held meetings and conferences to draw the attention of the Government to the urgency and importance of reconstituting the Councils.

The Indian Press gave expression to public opinion which looked upon the Councils as a farce perpetrated on India to beguile the people, and urged upon the Government their complete overhaul. The Chambers of Commerce echoed the demand, and so did other public bodies.

Dufferin was duly impressed by the intensity of Indian feeling on the Council question. He made proposals to the Secretary of State to concede the principle of election in the matter of membership of Indians, but asserted that "it is out of the question either for the supreme or for the subordinate Governments of India to divest themselves of any essential
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3 Report on Indian Constitutional Reforms, (1918), para 64, pp. 54-55.
portion of that Imperial authority which is necessary to their very existence as the ruling power, permanent over a variety of nationalities, most of whom are in a very backward state of civilization and enlightenment." But, according to him, time had come for a further step in the constitutional development by associating with themselves (Government) in the task of administration, a considerable number of persons 'selected and elected' from the educated classes to place themselves in contact with a larger surface of Indian opinion, and thus to multiply the channels by which they would ascertain the wants and feelings of the various communities for whose welfare they were responsible.  

Obviously, the enlargement of the Councils, extension of their functions and partial introduction of the elective principle were not intended as steps towards the grant of authority or the establishment of representative government, but as a means of providing to Government a source of information regarding Indian opinion.

While the Secretary of State and the Governor-General were discussing the details of the constitution, Mr. Bradlaugh, a friend of Indian aspirations, at the request of the Indian National Congress, introduced a bill in the House of Commons in 1890 drafted in accordance with the scheme of the Congress. This precipitated matters and the British Government hastened to introduce its own bill in the House of Lords. After a number of postponements it was moved in the House of Commons in 1892 by Curzon who was then Under-Secretary of State. The objects of the bill were stated to be, (1) concession of the privilege of (a) financial criticism in all the Councils, (b) interpellation, and (2) expansion of the numerical strength of the Councils.

Although Curzon spoke of the expansion of the functions of the Councils and of affording opportunities of participating in the work of government, all that was really meant was increasing the opportunities of the Government for acquainting itself with the wishes and feelings of a select section of upper class Indians. That no real participation in Government nor advance towards representative government was intended is clear from,
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1 Home Dept. (Public) Conf. Proceedings, Dec. 1889. Nos. 1-3, Minute of Lord Dufferin on constitutional reforms enclosed in his despatch to the Secretary of State dated 6 November 1888
2 Ibid.
the tenor of Curzon's speech in Parliament. For, he maintained that for the illiterate and voiceless millions who constituted the people of India, no system of representative government could be devised.  

After an interesting debate in which the Congress point of view was explained by a number of members of Parliament, without however convincing the Government, the bill was passed and the Indian Councils Act of 1892 was placed on the Statute Book.

The Act muted the principle of election, for the Government refused to recognise it—instead it empowered the Governor-General in Council with the sanction of the Secretary of State to make regulations as to the conditions of nomination of the additional members (whose number was raised from 12 to 16). Under these regulations, not more than ten out of sixteen additional members were nominated from among non-officials, so that the official majority might not be disturbed. Four of these were nominated on the recommendation of the non-official members of the four Provincial Councils, one on that of the Calcutta Chamber of Commerce and the remaining five by the Governor-General directly. Montagu and Chelmsford were so impressed with this grand achievement that they regarded it as "a revolution in the constitution."

The functions of the Councils were enlarged by giving to the members the right of asking questions, and discussing, but not voting upon, the budget. In his speech in the Imperial Legislative Council on 2nd February 1893, averred Lansdowne, "We are effecting a radical change in the character of these Legislatures." He defended the refusal to accept the elective principle on the ground "that in many parts of India, any system of election is entirely foreign to the feelings and habits of the people, and that, were we to have recourse to such a system, the really representative men would probably not come forward under it." The Government's aim was "to obtain for these Councils the services of Members who will be in the truest sense representative, but who will represent types and classes rather than areas and numbers."

The seeds of communal representation were thus laid in
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2 Speeches of the Marquis of Lansdowne, Vol. II, p. 515
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the Councils Act of 1892. They sprang into a thriving plant when the question of another step in constitutional development began to engage the Government's attention. The Act itself fell far short of Indian expectations. Indian public opinion was disappointed with the denial of the principle of election, with the limited scope of discussion of the budget and of interpellations, and pressed, without avail, for the revision of the Act.

X. DEBATE ON MORAL AND MATERIAL PROGRESS OF THE COUNTRY

(a) British Defence

Self-government or good government, if judged at all, must be judged by its results—the material and moral progress of the people. On this question, there has been in the past a tremendous debate between Indian national leaders and the advocates of British rule.

Among British writers, some anti-imperialists like Hobson and pro-Indian publicists like Digby, and in recent times John Strachey and Palme Dutt of the Marxist persuasion, have given their verdict against their own countrymen. On the whole, the apologists of British rule have held the opinion that under the guidance of Britain, the material prosperity of India increased although general poverty prevailed; on the other side, there was equally strong conviction that compared to pre-British times, poverty and misery had increased.

The economic conditions prevailing in India during the period under discussion have been described in a previous chapter. Without repeating the arguments, all that is necessary now is to analyse the opinions about economic conditions and assess their influence on the political movement of the time.

Typical of the views of the apologists of British rule are books written by retired officers like George Chesney, John and Richard Strachey, Richard Temple, J. D. Rees, Lovat Fraser, Percival Griffiths and others. Besides them, there are statements, memoranda and reports issued by the Government which explain its views on various economic problems. These writings reveal the mind of the British rulers of India.

The Strachey brothers, writing in 1882, drew attention to "the accomplishment of all this work (public works) and expenditure of all this money which have increased to an extent absolutely incalculable, the wealth and comfort of the people
of India." And they add, "That India has gone on, with a speed hardly surpassed in any country, steadily increasing in knowledge, in wealth, and in all the elements of progress ... these are to me facts not requiring to be argued about."

Chesney in his Indian Polity, whose first edition was published in 1868, thus delivers himself in 1894: "In material respects, India as compared with any previous state, is now extraordinarily prosperous. Weighed by every practical test, internal or external trade, the increased production and consumption of commodities, the accumulation of precious metals, above everything the growing railway traffic, the India of the present day, although still according to English standards a very poor country, is by comparison vastly more wealthy than it has ever been before."

J. D. Rees, sometime Additional Member of the Governor-General's Council, about the end of the period dealt with in this volume, after slashing to his own satisfaction the arguments of Indian critics, concludes:

"Those who, like myself, knew India upwards of thirty years ago, have seen with their own eyes, in the present century, a higher standard of comfort prevailing, better clothes, better houses, brass instead of earthen pots, and such like indications of higher incomes and improved circumstances."

Among official statements, the remarks of Lord Lawrence may be quoted. He said, "The masses of the people are incontestably more prosperous, and sua si bona norint—far more happy than under any native rulers." The report of the confidential enquiry "into the condition of the lower classes of the population" ordered by Dufferin in 1887 was quite damaging, but the Resolution on it published by the Government of India said, "in normal years the people seem to enjoy a rude plenty."

The third Decennial Moral and Material Progress Report (1891-92) asserted that the "ordinary condition of the peasantry, then, from a material standpoint, is one of sufficiency, according to a standard that is gradually and continuously rising."
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Among the statements of actual administrators or members of Government, reference may be made to the opinion of Sir Henry Fowler, the Secretary of State for India, who told the House of Commons on 15th August 1894: "The question I wish to consider is whether that Government, with all its machinery as now existing in India, has, or has not, promoted the general prosperity of the people of India; and whether India is better or worse off by being a Province of the British Crown. That is the test." His reply to the question was, "there is great poverty there, but my point is that under British rule that poverty is not increased, but diminished."1 He went on to say, "But, so far from the poverty of India being attributable to British administration, the facts show exactly the opposite."2

(b) Indian Riposte

The British rulers of India were apparently quite satisfied with their achievements, and felt annoyed with those who pointed out the flaws in the Government, or the economic consequences of British rule, and exposed the other side of the face of imperialism.

The Indian intellectuals were slow to awaken to the full recognition of the evils of foreign rule. For a long time, the memories of civil wars, anarchy and chaos of the eighteenth century continued to haunt them, and whatever deprivations they suffered, appeared in the balance to be outweighed by the establishment of peace, order and regular administration. But the negative or police functions of the Government howsoever efficiently and skilfully performed, and howsoever indispensable, cannot keep the subjects satisfied for all time. Their self-respect demands that they should not be treated merely as chattel whose wishes, aspirations and ideas are irrelevant, and of no consequence in determining the decisions of the Government. But when, apart from complete disregard of their will, their material interests are sacrificed and their ambitions thwarted, then dissatisfaction and discontent are bound to grow and the gratitude for the benefits of efficient administration to evaporate.

Soon after the Revolt of 1857, the belief began to grow that British rule, although superior to the medieval autocratic governments of the past, and, in any case, preferable to the con-
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fusion and disorder of the 18th and early 19th centuries, did not satisfy the needs of the people. So, while acknowledging the benefits of British rule, affirming the desirability of maintaining the British connection and asserting loyalty to the British crown, Indian leaders considered it necessary to draw the attention of Government to their grievances, in the hope that their liberal-minded rulers would remove them as soon as their existence was pointed out. They sincerely believed that British rule was essentially beneficent, but it suffered from some political and economic shortcomings. Under the first category, the two important defects were the absence of the popular voice in the councils of the Government and the non-employment of Indians in the higher services. Under the second category, the basic complaint was that of the poverty of India as shown by the meagre national and individual incomes, famines, indebtedness of peasants, plague, low expectation of life and high death rate, etc. Then there was the general conviction that an important factor in creating poverty was the drain of wealth from India.

(c) Dadabhai Naoroji's Criticism

The most courageous and outspoken critic of the economic policies of the Government was Dadabhai Naoroji. Born in a family of Parsi priests in 1825 and educated in the Elphinstone Institution of Bombay, he completed his studies in 1845 and, for the next ten years, acted as a teacher. In 1855, he entered business and proceeded to England to look after the affairs of the firm Cama & Co., in London. In 1874, he was Dewan to the Maharajah of Baroda, but differences with the British Resident obliged him to resign the post. He went back to England and spent the rest of his life in public service.

Dadabhai Naoroji, in a paper read before the East India Association, London, in 1867, on 'England's Duties to India,' posed the question, "Is British rule in India a benefit to India and England?" and replied, "but now (under the British) as the country is being continually bled, its vitality and vigour must get low, unless permanent improvements already made, or future development of the material resources, shall restore it to its former health."1

In these phrases, he raised the problem of Indian poverty and of the drain and their intimate connection. The detailed

1 Dadabhai Naoroji, Essays, Speeches, etc., edited by C. L. Parekh, p. 31
examination of these problems occupied the rest of his life, and as his studies progress and the enormity of foreign rule grew on his mind, his feelings of obligation for the benefits it conferred on India, faded. In 1873, he gave evidence before the Select Committee of Parliament appointed to enquire into the state of Indian finances, and in 1876, he presented the revised draft of his famous paper on the ‘Poverty of India’ to the Bombay branch of the East India Association, in which he blamed the drain for the misfortunes of India. He said:

“Owing to this one unnatural policy of British rule of ignoring India’s interests, and making it the drudge for the benefit of England, the whole rule moves in a wrong, unnatural and suicidal groove.”

About the economic aspect of British rule in India, his opinion was wholly adverse. He said:

“The romance is that there is security of life and property in India. The reality is, that there is no such thing.

“There is security of life and property in one sense or way, i.e., the people are secure from any violence from each other or from native despots. So far, there is real security of life and property, and for which India never denies her gratitude. But from England’s own grasp, there is no security of property at all, and as a consequence no security for life. India’s property is not secure. What is secure and well secure is, that England is perfectly safe and secure, and does so with perfect security, to carry away from India and to eat up in India her property at the present rate of some £30,000,000 or £40,000,000 a year.

“The reality therefore is, that the policy of English rule as it is (not as it can and should be), is an everlasting, increasing and everyday increasing foreign invasion, utterly, though gradually, destroying the country.

“The present position of English rule in India has, moreover, produced another most deplorable evil, from which the worst of old foreign invasions was free. That with the deprivation of the vital, material blood of the country to the extent of £30,000,000 or £40,000,000 a year, the whole higher ‘wisdom’ of the country is also carried away.

“I therefore venture to submit, that India does not enjoy security of her property and life, and also moreover of
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knowledge' or 'wisdom.' To millions of Indians, life is simply 'half-feeding' or starvation, or famines and disease."

He quoted Salisbury in support of his argument regarding the drain. According to Salisbury's confession, "the injury (to economy) is exaggerated in the case of India, where so much of the revenue is exported without a direct equivalent. As India must be bled the lancet should be directed to the parts where the blood is congested or at least sufficient, not to those (the agriculturist people) which are already feeble from the want of it."

While the Welby Commission was in session and Gopal Krishna Gokhale, Dinsha Edulji Wacha, Surendra Nath Banerjea and Subramania Iyer were on a visit to England to give evidence, Dadabhai utilised the opportunity to organise a "platform campaign" throughout the United Kingdom to bring to the notice of the British public the wrongs of India. The resolution which was passed at the end of the campaign at a meeting under the auspices of the London India Society on December 28, 1897, is a measure of the growth of the feeling of despair and frustration which had overtaken Dadabhai after forty years of vain effort to move the rulers from their unrighteous and un-British ways.

The resolution reads as follows:

"That of all the evils and terrible misery that India has been suffering for a century and a half, and of which the latest developments are the most deplorable, Famine and Plague, arising from ever-increasing poverty, the stupid and suicidal Frontier War and its savagery of the wholesale destruction of villages, unworthy of any people, but far more so of English civilization, the unwise and suicidal prosecutions for sedition, the absurd and ignorant cry of the disloyalty of the educated Indians and the curtailment of the liberty of the Indian Press, the despotism—and the general insufficiency and inefficiency of the Administration; of all these and many other minor evils the main cause is the unrighteous and un-British system of government which produces an unceasing and ever-increasing bleeding of the country, and which is maintained by a political hypocrisy and continuous subterfuges, unworthy

1 Dadabhai Naoroji. Essays, Speeches, Addresses, etc., edited by C. L. Parekh. pp. 484-85
2 Minute, dated 26 April 1875
of the British honour and name, and entirely in opposition to the wishes of the British nation and sovereign.

That unless the present unrighteous and un-British system of government is thoroughly reformed into a righteous and truly British system, destruction to India and disaster to the British Empire must be the inevitable result."

Repeated rebuffs and increasing tension in India led Dadabhai to this conclusion in 1898: "The authorities are openly throwing aside their mask and hypocrisy of benevolence, and the people, on the other hand, are beginning to feel that something is wrong, and a fierce struggle is in prospect." So that, it was natural that he should at least have arrived at a point where the only alternative to a peaceful evolution of the administration should be an explosion. He declared on 1st June, 1904, at a gathering of the London India Society:

"There is only one remedy to the present dishonourable, hypocritical and destructive system—a system that would break up the Empire, if not saved by a peaceful revolution. That remedy is self-government under British paramounty... When this one fundamental remedy will be accomplished, every other evil or defect of the present system... would right itself."2

At the Calcutta Congress of 1906, when passions were running high in consequence of Lord Curzon's policies culminating in the Partition of Bengal, he gave the call for Swaraj (self-government), and made a fervent appeal to the people of India to act in unity. He said:

"Be united, persevere, and achieve self-government so that the millions now perishing by poverty, famine and plague, and the scores of millions that are starving on scanty subsistence may be saved, and India may once more occupy her proud position of yore among the greatest and civilised nations of the world."3

The active career of Dadabhai Naoroji covered the second half of the nineteenth century. The development of his views and sentiments regarding imperial rule over India reflect the change which came over public opinion. In the beginning, the stress was on the benefits of British rule and its divine purpose in delivering India from utter destruction, but as years and

1 Quoted by Masani, R. P., in Dadabhai Naoroji, the Grand Old Man of India, p. 404
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decades passed, feelings deteriorated and, by the end of the century, the desire for the extinction of the existing system of government and the establishment of self-government was increasingly taking possession of the minds of the people.

Dadabhai Naoroji, the Grand Old Man, was the dominating personality of the period, in both spheres of politics and economics. His lead was generally followed. On the main lines of his denunciation of British rule, there was general agreement, namely, on the abject and crushing character of the poverty of the masses and the responsibility of the British Government for draining India of its wealth.

(d) Economic and Ethical Arguments

The Indian case regarding the evil economic consequences of the drain and its enormous quantum was repeatedly stated with a large array of figures by Indian economists (vide Chapter VII). But, apart from its economic implications, the question of drain involved political and moral controversies, and the Indian rejoinder consisted of a comprehensive and profound analysis of the purposes and justification of British rule, of the necessity of public debts, the encouragement of investment of foreign capital which instead of enriching India, led to exploitation and impoverishment through a never-ending and irreversible process of excess of exports over imports, the wholly unjustified burden of debt on account of wars and campaigns undertaken for imperial purposes, and the extravagant expenditure over the maintenance of costly civil services and excessive military forces. The Indian reply also controverted the British claim of rendering services which could not be measured in terms of money by supplying British expertise, integrity and knowledge for Indian welfare. Gokhale's evidence before the Welby Commission met this claim. He said:

"The excessive costliness of the foreign agency is not, however, its only evil. There is a moral evil which, if anything, is even greater. A kind of dwarfing or stunting of the Indian race is going on under the present system. We must live all the days of our life in an atmosphere of inferiority, and the tallest of us must bend, in order that the exigencies of the existing system, may be satisfied. The upward impulse, if I may use such an expression, which every schoolboy at Eton or Harrow may feel, that he may one day be a Gladstone, a Nelson, or a Wellington, and which may draw forth the best efforts
of which he is capable, is denied to us. The full height to which our manhood is capable of rising can never be reached by us under the present system. The moral elevation which every self-governing people feel cannot be felt by us. Our administrative and military talents must gradually disappear, owing to sheer disuse, till at last our lot, as hewers of wood and drawers of water in our own country, is stereotyped.”

The economic debate on poverty and drain, initiated by Dadabhai Naoroji, was carried on in ever-widening circles from the Press and the platform, in a myriad pamphlets, newspapers and books and in thousands of meetings, conferences and the Congress, till it became the fixed conviction of the whole of India, the all-pervasive idea which gave form to national consciousness. The actual fact of widespread poverty and the almost unanimous belief that it was the result of foreign rule, were the strongest urges of the political movement.

The ruling British classes, however, ignored the movement and held it in contempt. Lord Curzon said in 1892 in the House of Commons: “The plans and policy of the Congress party in India would leave this vast amorphous residuum (the masses) absolutely untouched” ... but as to their relation to the people of India, “the constituencies which the Congress party represented could not be described as otherwise than a minute and almost microscopic minority of the total population of India.” The argument from statistics had placed blinkers on the eyes of the rulers.

Official and non-official supporters of Curzon’s views exaggerated the differences—racial and communal, which existed in India, partly because their experience was limited to the very much smaller societies of Western Europe where the differences were less pronounced, partly because they wished to convince themselves of the moral rectitude of their imperialist stand and to discourage the subject people from making inconvenient demands. The fact is that no country in history ever started with a complete unity of social elements, nor is anywhere to be found such unity. The United Kingdom developed out of diverse elements, racial and religious, like the Angles, Saxons, Jutes, Danes, Normans, etc., and is still constituted of recognisably different groups—English, Scot, Welsh,
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Protestant and Roman Catholic. Germany and Italy were, till the middle of the nineteenth century, geographic names only. Russia is developing into a nation in the twentieth century.

The whole tendency of world development from the Middle Ages to modern times is the transition from a feudalistic society whose social bonds consisted of tribal and sectarian sentiments—of kindred, family, clan or tribe and of sectarian religion with its particular dogmas and rituals, towards communities based on factors of neighbourliness, co-operativeness in material interests and nationalism.

State power has strongly promoted this process. France was united by its monarchs who, in alliance with the towns and communes, overthrew the regime of feudal barons and consolidated the French nation. The Hohenzollerns created modern Germany out of the multiplicity of contending states. Italian unification was the achievement of the Piedmontese kings. Japan became a nation as a consequence of state efforts after the Meiji era. Turkey proceeded on the path of nationalism and modernism under the guidance of the state organised by Kamal Ataturk after the First World War.

Unfortunately in India, the rule of the British Government has been quite the reverse. Instead of promoting social solidarity, it lent its influence to the growth of fissiparous tendencies. It is true, the indirect effects of British rule and the unforeseen consequences of British administration, materially advanced the unification of the country and a certain degree of modernisation, but the deliberate policy of the Government was based on the principle of 'divide and rule.'

Thus, under greatly adverse conditions, the forces let loose by alien rule, working in conjunction with the new spirit in India, inevitably led towards the emergence of the Indian nationality. India possessed the basic conditions for national unity, viz., geographical individuality, historical continuity and a unique cultural personality. What it needed was the fostering care of the state to strengthen the national consciousness of the middle class intellectuals and to overcome the atavistic tendencies of medieval-minded groups. But for them to overcome the medieval and traditional social forces, aided as they were by governmental influence, was a task of colossal magnitude. It is not surprising, therefore, that in spite of the determination of the politically conscious class and the efforts of the Indian National Congress rifts appeared in the national movement.
XI. THE MUSLIM REACTION*

The national movement annoyed the officials, who spread fright and alarm among certain sections of the Hindus and the Muslims. Sir Syed Ahmad Khan took the lead of these sections and exhorted the Muslims to remain aloof from the Congress, and with the co-operation of the Hindu landlords of Uttar Pradesh set up a rival organisation under the name of the Indian Patriotic Association. This, however, was short-lived, and then Sir Syed and the Aligarh party sought to rally the Muslim community in support of British rule. But here too success was not outstanding.

Many leaders of the traditionist school—the Ulama, opposed Sir Syed, and a number of educated and enlightened Muslims in many parts of India, supported the Congress. Unfortunately, communal relations deteriorated after 1890 and riots took place in many towns. These gave an opportunity to the bureaucracy to taunt the Congress and question its claim that India was a homogeneous country fit to be given representative institutions. *The London Standard* wrote, "We think the policy of advancing the natives to responsible posts, of selecting men because they have satisfied some educational test, has been carried to dangerous lengths. ... We hold India as we would by the sword. We keep the peace in a country or rather in a collection of countries liable to be perpetually distracted and convulsed by internecine warfare."1

The Indian reaction was that the riots were the result of the policy of divide and rule, actively pursued by the British officials who were annoyed with the Congress demands and who encouraged the Muslims to obstruct the national movement. *The Amrita Bazar Patrika* wrote, "The people were led to believe that the Government was anxious to court the favour of the Musalman. ... The Musalmans were indirectly encouraged and patted on the back."2

The Congress endeavoured to counteract communalism and proclaimed through the President Pherozeshah Mehta, "The members of the Congress meet together as men, on the common basis of nationality, being citizens of one country."3 Badruddin
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Tyabji declared in his Presidential Address (1887): "I am utterly at a loss to understand why Musalmans should not work shoulder to shoulder with their fellow-countrymen of other races and creeds for the common benefit of all." ¹

XII. RISE OF THE EXTREMIST PARTY

Undeterred by official contumely and antagonism the political movement continued to gather strength and grew in volume. The Indian National Congress met from year to year and focussed the people's attention on the basic defects and temporary lapses of the Government. Political conferences were convened in the Provinces and repeated the resolutions of the Congress. Political associations in all parts of India held meetings and reiterated the criticism. Newspapers in English and in the Indian languages propagated the views of the nationalist leaders. Political literature poured out of the presses and flooded the country, while numerous political workers addressed audiences in large and small groups to rouse the political consciousness of the people.

Such were the disturbed conditions when disappointed at the attitude of the Government, Hume decided to appeal to the nation "so that every Indian that breathes upon the sacred soil of this our motherland may become our comrade and co-adjutor, our supporter, and if needs be our soldier, in the great war that we, like Cobden and his noble band, will wage for justice, for our liberties and rights." ² This was a resolve to step out of the limitations of the Congress methods and carry the political agitation throughout the length and breadth of the country. Meetings were held to collect funds from all classes of people, lecturers were sent out to address meetings in towns and districts and literature was distributed. Two pamphlets, A Congress Catechism and A Conversation between Maulvi Fareeduddin and Ram Bakhsh of Kambakhapur, describing the evils of landlordism and despotic government and advocating the establishment of representative government as the remedy of the evils, attracted considerable attention and produced a flutter in the dovecotes of Government.

The need for propaganda outside India, and specially in

¹ Ibid., p. 25
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England, was recognised and in 1889, the British Committee of the Indian National Congress was set up with William Wedderburn as Chairman, William Digby as Secretary, and Dadabhai Naoroji and a number of Englishmen as members. The journal *India* was founded to propagate Congress views.

The initiative taken by Hume was exploited by nationalist leaders like Tilak and Aurobindo Ghosh. They were becoming dissatisfied with the rather staid although dignified procedure of the Congress led by the highly educated and successful members of the professional classes. They were critical of the deferential and supplicatory attitude of the Congress and they pressed for more dynamic forms of political agitation. Aurobindo Ghosh exposed the inadequacies of the methods pursued so far by the Congress in a series of articles which he wrote for the *Indu Prakash* in 1893 under the title “New Lamps for Old.” He characterised the enlargement of the Legislative Councils and simultaneous examinations, as ‘conjuring tricks,’ derided the talk about “the blessings of British rule, and the inscrutable Providence which has laid us in the maternal... bosom of just and benevolent England” and warned, “the walls of the Anglo-Indian Jericho stand yet without a breach and the dark spectre of Penury draws her robe over the land in greater volume and with an ampler sweep.”

Aurobindo was thoroughly dissatisfied with the Congress. He called it “a middle class organ, selfish and disingenuous in its public action and hollow in its professions of a large and disinterested patriotism... “To play with baubles—not to deal with grave questions. It has made no attempt to be a popular body empowered by the fiat of the Indian people. The great mass of the people have not been appreciably touched. The proletariat is the real key of the situation. The right and fruitful policy is to awaken and organise the entire power of the country and thus multiply infinitely, the volume and significance (of the common man).” Bal Gangadhar Tilak provided the answer to Aurobindo’s challenge, and between the two, the placid waters of Indian politics were powerfully agitated.

Before Lansdowne departed, the forebodings of the new developments had become manifest. India was seething with discontent stirred by new fears and hopes. Terrible famines,

---

1Mukherjee, Haridas and Uma, *Sri Aurobindo’s Political Thought*, pp. 67, 68
bubonic plague and communal riots had spread alarm all around. At the same time, the flood of religious revivalist sentiment was swamping the country and a feeling of pride in the past and of self-respect was roused. Vivekananda’s triumphant tours were inspiring fresh hopes of a glorious future, and the superiority of the West over the East was fast being repudiated.

Outside India, the British Empire, after unquestioned hegemony in world affairs for over three quarters of a century, was facing new rivalries and threats. The United States of America and Germany were outstripping England in industrial development and offering stiff competition in world trade. Germany’s young and ambitious monarch, Kaiser Wilhelm II, having rejected the old pilot, Bismarck, had seized the helm of government. He was staking a claim for overseas expansion which challenged Britain. The Russian steamroller was ruthlessly moving eastwards to the Pacific sea-coast where resurgent Japan stood as a sentinel. The rise of Japan was a warning to Europe that a new wind was blowing over Asia.

Elgin came to India in 1893 and faced the new situation. New Indian leaders, who were soon to replace the old guard, had appeared upon the stage. Bal Gangadhar Tilak was the foremost among them. As a young man, he had resolved to devote his life to the service of the country. With his friends, he started a school and founded two newspapers, the Mahratta in English and the Kesari in Marathi, to teach the masses and shape public opinion. Both newspapers, by their fearless criticism of Governmental measures and policies, attained great popularity. In 1890, Tilak leaped into public notice by opposing the Age of Consent Bill, on the ground that a foreign government had no right to interfere with Hindu religious and social matters. In 1893, he sponsored the cow-protection movement, because he suspected that the Government was supporting and encouraging the Muslims.

In the same year, he reorganised the old Maharashtrian festival of Ganapati and gave it a political character by organising lectures, singing parties and processions. The festival had objects similar to those of the Hindu Mela which was started by Raj Narayan Bose and his friends in Bengal earlier, to bring the masses under the influence of politically minded educated classes, to evoke patriotism, and to teach discipline and courage,
In 1895, he inaugurated the Shivaji festival to revive the spirit of adventure and heroism and to reawaken the desire to liberate the country from foreign yoke.

There is no doubt that both festivals roused much enthusiasm and helped in propagating political ideas among the masses. According to Tilak, they had the same rousing and unifying effect as the Olympian and Pythian festivals of Greece. Perhaps, in Maharashtra, with its predominantly Hindu complexion, Tilak found it easy to rouse the masses through a festival which appealed to their religious instincts and another which recalled to the people's mind the great days of the Maratha upsurge. It is undoubted that Tilak was a staunch nationalist, as his conduct during and after the Partition agitation shows, but the criticism of liberal Hindus like Ranade and the Muslims was not without substance. The admixture of religion with politics was fraught with risks as was proved by later developments; in any case, it was liable to be misunderstood in a multi-religious society.

In his immediate object, namely, to rouse the patriotism of the people, Tilak was successful and his hold upon the mass mind was confirmed. In 1896 and 1897, famines raged in Maharashtra, and in 1897 plague broke out. They took a heavy toll of life. In June 1897, as a reprisal against high-handedness, the plague Commissioner Rand, along with Lt. Ayerest, was murdered, and the Government charged Tilak with inciting the murders by his articles. He was tried and sentenced to 18 months' rigorous imprisonment. His co-workers, the Natu brothers, were arrested and detained under an obsolete Regulation. The actual perpetrators of the act were discovered later and hanged.

The incarceration of Tilak sent a wave of resentment and condemnation against the Government throughout India. The Bengalee wrote: "He loses nothing in the estimation of his countrymen. In their eyes, he will come out of his prison without a stain. His sufferings will only have enhanced his popularity and added to his powers for serving his countrymen. Never was a more deplorable mistake committed by a government. In the attempt to put down disaffection they have produced the widest disaffection throughout the country."1

The Congress President, Sir Sankaran Nair, remarked, "The Executive could deprive Indians of liberty of person and

---

1 The Bengalee, 18 September 1897
property of its own will and pleasure, . . . but anything which checked freedom of discussion was most deplorable.\textsuperscript{1}

At this juncture, Lord Curzon arrived in India. Curzon was a brilliant product of the Oxford University and was gifted with unusual talents. With ability of a high order, he combined inexhaustible energy. Wide studies and extensive travels in Asia had prepared him for the role he wished to play. As Under-Secretary of State he had become familiar with the administrative problems of India. Thus, both natural intellectual endowment and laboriously acquired knowledge, promised a successful term of high office. Unfortunately, events turned out diametrically opposite to expectations. The reasons are not far to seek. Curzon, surveying the international scene as it presented itself at the end of the nineteenth century, saw clouds gathering on the horizon over the British Empire. He considered it his mission to scatter them. His role was that of a knight in shining armour sent out to fight and defeat any rival who dared to cast evil glances at the Empire he loved.

Enormously egotistical, his policies in India were instilled with the one idea, of strengthening the roots of the Empire. What, according to him, was wrong and caused weakness of administration, was lack of efficiency and lack of the imperialist spirit. The initiative and direction of affairs was slipping out of the hands of the Government, the screws in the administrative apparatus had become loose, the sense of paternal care, of justice, and of superior wisdom which alone justify domination over inferior races, was growing dim. It was necessary to reinvigorate the system.

Curzon did not realise that the paternalism which he wanted to restore was contradictory to India’s feeling of self-respect and desire for self-rule. This unbridgeable gulf between Curzon’s attitude and the Indian people’s claim was bound to create misunderstandings and conflicts. Whatever he did, good or bad, because it was based upon the assumption of racial superiority, was repugnant to Indian sentiment. His officialisation of the Calcutta Corporation and the Universities, his charge of untruthfulness against the educated classes, his declaration that Indians were unequal to the responsibilities of high office, his contemptuous references to the Indian National Congress, and above all, his high-handed action in forcing the

\textsuperscript{1} Presidential Address, 1897
Partition of Bengal against the will of the people, were measures which not only earned unpopularity for him personally, but irrevocably alienated a great section of the educated classes from British rule. But the Partition of Bengal opened a new phase in the struggle for national independence, and will be treated in the following volume.
CHAPTER THIRTEEN

LITERARY RENAISSANCE

Literature portrays the life of society and records its yearnings, aspirations and doubts. It is, therefore, an invaluable record of the changes through which society passes. Thus the history of the social and intellectual transformation of India during the nineteenth century may be read with advantage in the literary works produced in her different languages during this period. They provide reliable evidence of the revolution that was taking place in society.

Among the languages of India, Bengali was the first to show the consequences of the impact of the West. Gradually, all other languages became exposed to the same influences and underwent similar developments. Although it is true that all classes were affected by the establishment of British domination over India, the changes in the different strata of society were not the same. So far as the masses were concerned, considerable changes were effected by the disruption of the medieval agrarian and industrial systems which, however, did not lead to any radical change in the social customs or religious attitudes. As the masses were wholly illiterate, the intellectual currents of the time left them largely untouched. There was little variation either in the occupations or the old techniques of production; economy remained static and neither folk-ways nor folk-literature showed any great departure from traditions.

The middle class, on the other hand, was growing up in a new economic and intellectual environment. Its younger members were increasingly taking to English education and new ideas were fast pouring into their receptive minds. New sentiments which were unknown in medieval times began to germinate, and the ways of thinking and feeling began to alter. The influence of the two—the new ways of living and new education—began to modernise the middle-class society. Literature reflected the variety of its moods and opinions.

Westernisation, however, had the effect of widening the gulf between the middle classes and the masses. This was not altogether a new phenomenon. Attention has been drawn in the first volume to the differences between the aristocracy of the military and the learned classes on the one hand, and the commonalty constituted by the trader, the artisan and the cultiva-
tor, on the other. There was, nevertheless, culturally and socially, an organic unity between them in the past. Their differences were more like those of the species of the same genus. But, in the case of the new society, the distance between the middle class with their Westernised outlook and the masses, steeped in their stereotyped ways, became much wider.

I. Bengali Literature

In the development of the Bengali language and literature, this dualism is clearly marked. Before the influence of Western ideas began to operate, the Bengali literature of the learned and the well-to-do, as well as that of the common people, possessed common characteristics and dealt with common themes. Their differences in form and content were not radical.

The aristocratic type of literature was the product of writers patronised by the courts of great chiefs and landlords, the literature of the people was the work of the village bards. In the eighteenth century there were two important courtly centres of culture, one at Nadia (Krishnagar) where Raja Krishna Chandra Roy ruled, and the other at Vikrampur in Dacca where Raja Roy Ballabh held sway. The poet Bharat chandra was the protege of the former, and Jayanarayan and his niece Anandamayi of the latter. Bharatchandra attained fame with his Ananda Mangal which contains the famous story of Vidya and Sundara, and Jayanarayan became well known for his Hariteela. They were the last great poets of the traditional grand style.

Among the poets who wrote for the common people were the songsters or 'Kabiwals,' and the writers of musical dramas or 'Yatras,' religious poems or 'Kirtans,' and other genres—'Tappa,' 'Panchi,' 'Dhap,' etc. In the eighteenth century, the best known among the Kabiwals were Ram Prasad, Haru Thakur, Hare Krishna Dirghangi, Ram Basu, Nityanand Vairagi; Krishna Kamal Gosain was a great yatra-writer.

The songs gave pictures of the domestic life with its lights and shadows—the coy young Bengali wife tongue-tied before her husband, the loving mother full of tender feelings for her children, the joys and sorrows of family life, scenes of happiness on festive occasions, stories of sacrifice, resignation and suffering borne uncomplainingly, the yearning for the life beyond and the humble trust in an all-powerful Providence.
The subjects of both types of poets were similar. They were predominantly religious—Vedanta philosophy, edifying religious stories, mythological anecdotes and personalities, romantic episodes from the life of Radha and Krishna. Besides, they dealt with mundane themes too, concerning the joys and sorrows of life, vicissitudes of carnal love and mutability of fortune. The same subjects were treated by different poets of the two schools in the same stereotyped fashion. For them, men and women were bound by fate and were utterly dependent upon Divine will, any slight to a god or goddess was immediately visited by his or her wrath, and repentence was at once rewarded by the fulfilment of desire. Life was a pilgrimage, its sorrows were trials of faith, its successes bounties of God's favour. Man must suffer pains and deprivations with patience, for life is transient and only by complete surrender and self-realisation can the painful cycle of birth and death cease.

The course of human love which was strewn with the pains of separation and the pangs of misfortune, ends usually in the union of lovers. The poets of this age treat amorous incidents with a freedom which borders on lasciviousness. They hold morality in the relations of man and woman at a discount and regard intrigue, false dealings, breaches of promise, and satisfaction of illegitimate passion not evil, provided it was attended with success. So far as the individual's obligations to society were concerned, the family and caste defined their boundaries, and beyond them a vague sense of something owing to humanity was conceded. The conception of society was hierarchic and feudal. But because society was non-competitive there were few social strains and life was little ruffled by group-jealousies and strife. The supremacy of the ruling chief in mundane affairs and of the priest in matters of religion was recognised unquestioningly. The status of woman was that of a dependent who must live and die for the male. In the story of Srimanta Saudagar, Dhampati the husband of Lahana, a legitimately wedded wife, falls in love with Khullana and marries her. He expects his first wife to slave for his new consort and she dutifully obeys her lord, till under the evil influence of her maid she changes her behaviour. But the spell of the evil counsellor withers away and Lahana repents, and the old relations are restored.

The heroes and heroines of the poems are not individuals, but types and their characters are depicted as either wholly
white or wholly black, without a shade. They are either embodiments of perfect virtue or perfect vice. The same idealising tendency appears in the description of natural phenomena—the spring of Alaol is a season of enjoyment, when the flowers blossom, the cuckoos sing, the bees hum, the Malaya breeze charged with the scent of flowers softly blows, the aswatha tree displays its wealth of new leaves and the mango tree puts forth fresh foliage and tendrils. Bharatchandra repeats in more gorgeous language and more melodious verse the very same features as are described in Alaol’s picture. With slight variations other poets delineate the identical scene.

Individuality and realism are not the distinguishing characteristics of a literature in which imagination turns inwards and is concerned with either the supernatural or the ideal. In the latter part of the eighteenth century, it frequently descends to what is banal, indecent and depraved.

It is only in diction that differences exist among the courtly poets and the poets of the people. Bharatchandra and Jayanarayan are learned men and their verse is weighed down with the pressure of a ponderous Sanskrit vocabulary. When used with judgement, it lends dignity and power to the sentiments expressed, otherwise it makes the style unduly pompous and pedantic.

The years that followed the death of Bharatchandra were barren of genius. Neither among the court poets nor among the popular ones did any writer arise of the stature of Bharatchandra, Jayanarayan and Ra mPrasad. The vision seemed to grow dim and the artistry to fumble. The stream of medieval traditional poetry was becoming muddy and shrunken. Bengal had passed under the rule of the foreigner from the West, and the shock of conquest seemed to have paralysed the poetic muse.

Thus, the half century following Plassey was a period of rapid decay in Bengali literature. The successors of Bharatchandra like Kali Krishna Das, author of Kamini Kumar and Basik Chandra Roy of Jibantara continued to repeat the old themes and techniques, but without the glow and warmth of their master. Only their language was more free and their mechanism of art more advanced. They were preparing the way for a more secular literature by their stories of illicit love.

The popular poets like Ramnidhi Gupta who composed
tappas, Sridhar Kathak, Ram Basu Kabiwal, Dasarthi Roy, Krishna Kamal Goswami and Madhu Kan (writer of Dhaps) entertained audiences of common people in villages and towns.

II. THE BEGINNINGS OF BENGALI LITERATURE

But from the beginning of the nineteenth century, a new order begins to emerge and a fresh era is inaugurated in Bengali letters. While poetry which had almost exclusively occupied the field till now falls into background and continues to lose its significance and inspiration, prose which is the vehicle of information and rational thought makes its appearance and develops fast, so that within fifty years it becomes a powerful and supple instrument of expression. This new development marks the end of the medieval ways of thinking and the commencement of the modernisation of the mind of India.

Although some sort of prose was in use in Bengal before the British conquest, like the Persianised Bengali of business transactions, the archaic prose of religious works like Surya Purana, Deva Samara Tantra and Sahajiya tracts, and of treatises of law and logic, literary prose for writing works of scholarship and serious purpose, came into vogue only in the nineteenth century.

The need for such prose had been felt early when the Regulations of the Company had to be rendered into Bengali. In 1785, the Regulations for the Administration of Justice, in the Courts of Dewanee Adawlat were translated under the guidance of Jonathan Duncan; in 1791, Niel Benjamin Edmonstone supervised the translation of the Criminal Code, and in 1793, the Cornwallis Code was rendered into Bengali under the direction of Henry Pitts Foster.

However, it was after the establishment of the Fort William College that important steps for the development of modern Indian languages were taken. Wellesley's object in establishing the College was to teach the young English civilians Indian languages with other subjects—law, ethics, jurisprudence, science, etc. He wanted that they should acquire proficiency in Indian languages and demonstrate it before an assembly of Indian notables. In order to achieve this object, the College had to undertake the compilation of works in different subjects in the different languages of India for the instruction of the students. Dr. Gilchrist and William Carey were responsible
for their production—Gilchrist for Hindustani, Persian and Arabic, and Carey for Bengali and other languages. Pandits and Munshis were employed to work under their guidance.

Of the Bengali scholars who wrote the textbooks, the names of Mrityunjaya Vidyalankar, Ramram Basu, Chandicharan Munshi, Rajiva Lochana Mukhopadhyaya are well known. Among these early writers there were two different schools—one of the Pandits and the other of the Munshis. The former affected a style which was full of high-sounding Sanskrit words. The latter loaded their writings with large borrowings from Persian. But as their British mentors were inclined in favour of Sanskrit, the Sanskritists won and, for a long time, Bengali literature remained under their influence. Eventually a middle course was adopted and a compromise between common speech and learned language was effected. The artificiality of a florid, stilted and turgid diction was replaced by a natural, plastic, easy-flowing prose capable of expressing all shades of thought and sentiment.

III. NINETEENTH CENTURY BENGALI LITERATURE

The Christian missionaries were another agency in the evolution of Bengali prose. The missionaries of Serampur, among whom Carey, Marshman and Ward were the pathfinders, had many followers. Their main contribution was the preparation of grammars, dictionaries, and translations from English. Felix Carey produced the first volume of an encyclopaedia dealing with Physiology and Anatomy. Kali Mohan Banerji, an early Christian convert who had taken holy orders, edited an encyclopaedia in thirteen volumes (Vidya Kalpadruma). Biographies, histories, scientific and philosophical treatises were brought out.

Then the contribution of journals like Samachar Darpan of the Serampur Mission, Sambad Kaumudi of Ram Mohan Roy, Vividhartha Sangaraha of Rajendralal Mitra, Tattwabodhini Patrika of Debendranath Tagore, and Sambad Prabhakar of Iswar Chandra Gupta, greatly helped in developing the language.

The educational and cultural societies for propagating Western knowledge, also participated in literary work. One of them was the Calcutta School Book Society which was established in 1817 with the object of supplying cheap books to schools, and arranged for the translation and publication of
books in Bengali on different subjects—history, geography, morals, etc. Another was the Vernacular Literature Society (1851), which encouraged the publication of Bengali books by offering rewards and prizes. Among its publications were the translation of Defoe's *Robinson Crusoe*, *Paul and Virginia* of St. Pierre, Lamb's *Tales from Shakespeare*, and Elizabeth's *The Exiles of Siberia*.

The social, religious and political movements also gave impetus to the production of literature. Religious songs, philosophical treatises and polemical essays were written and published.

James Long, who with indefatigable labour prepared a descriptive catalogue of Bengali works produced in the first half of the nineteenth century, lists 1400 Bengali books and pamphlets. It shows the extent to which the cultivation of Bengali language and the sweep of Bengali literature were carried. The catalogue comprises books on such subjects as mathematics, science, medicine, law, linguistics, history, philosophy, and religious literature.

It is true that not all the works were of a high standard; in fact many were just trash. But quite a number were of considerable value and served to demonstrate the capabilities of the language. The rapid evolution of Bengali, in spite of the fact that English had become not merely the official language but also the language of school and college education, is a proof of the deep attachment of the people to their mother-tongue. If Bengali had been given the status of the official language and made the medium of higher education, how far it would have gone may be measured by the progress which the Japanese language has made since 1860.

Of the writers of this age, Ram Mohan Roy must be recognised as the precursor and the leader. Although the formation of Bengali prose had already begun, it was still in an experimental stage and was mainly used for textbooks. The credit of making it the medium of serious elevated thought goes to him. He translated the *Upanishads* and wrote tracts in defence of the Hindu faith against the attacks of the Christian missionaries, as well as in denunciation of the evil practices among his own countrymen.

Ram Mohan Roy's title to be considered the father of Bengali prose literature rests not merely upon priority in time as a writer, but on more solid considerations. His predecessors were
compiler and translators, but they had no claim to originality of matter or style. Ram Mohan Roy wrote a prose which was at once simple and lucid, and, at the same time, persuasive, orderly and suave, unruffled by the winds of emotion or passion.

While the substance of his writings consisted of matters of great importance, e.g., problems of moral and social import, he clothed them in a language which was direct, easily understood and unembellished with the arts of rhetoric. He applied the modern rational and critical approach to the ancient truths enshrined in the Indian scriptures.

Although his main work is in prose, he was equally the master of the poetical muse. He translated the Bhagavad Gita in verse and wrote religious songs for the use of his congregation. It will be no exaggeration to state that of the many sources of the stream of modern Bengali literature, which in a little more than a hundred years swelled into a mighty river, the genius of Ram Mohan Roy provided one which was pure and life-giving.

In the second half of the century, a number of constructive movements arose as a result of the combination of religious ferment in the Indian mind and the impact of the West on it. The moulds in which Indian thought was cast were provided both by European thought and Indian tradition. The wide range of Western philosophy, science and literature, as it developed from the seventeenth to the nineteenth century, provided an abundant pabulum for the Indian intellectuals who were educated in English institutions, or who, in other ways, came under its influence. They studied the rationalism of Descartes and Spinoza, the scepticism of Hume, the utilitarianism of Bentham and the Mills, the transcendentalism of Kant, the positivism of Comte, and the theories of the other contemporary European philosophers.

The Enlightenment in Europe, of which the scientific discoveries of the modern age were the foundation, greatly attracted their mind and revolutionised not only its understanding of nature, but also its approach to knowledge, as well as its attitude towards the universe, its world view.

The Enlightenment was followed by the Romantic revolution inaugurated by Rousseau. It not only influenced philosophical speculation, but profoundly affected literature in all its branches —poetry, drama, fiction, history and the social sciences.

The Romantic movement was the main influence in the
India of the nineteenth century. In Europe, it marked the eruption of feeling and sentiment as against the classic worship of arid rationality. This was its mood of rebellion. But it was riddled with contradictions. It was a protest against the present, yet an adoration of a fancied golden past. It was an appeal to nature as against the artificialities of society. It laid stress on activism as opposed to the inertia of mere intellectualism. It tended to liberate the mind from the shackles of dogma and doctrine, to free the individual from bondage to authority, yet it promoted a new admiration for the past and threw a halo of sublimity around its simplicity and heroism. It was critical of the ritual and the externalia of religion, but emphasised the value of mystic experience and of insight. It evoked tension and stress and, therefore, stimulated creativeness and originality. It fostered the claims of individuality, spontaneity and subjectivity, and, at the same time, upheld the universality of the moral order, the continuity of the historic process, the supremacy of natural law and rationality.

The flood of the modernism of the West struck India. It carried off much that was decadent, but was unable to sweep away all that was old. It did greatly change the attitude of blind acceptance of the traditional. It stimulated a dynamic approach towards the problems of man, shook the Indian's faith in what was based only on habit and custom, and urged the Indian mind to modify, refine and readjust the ancient beliefs to the conditions of modern life. It provided a powerful impulse for the revaluation of old values and for reconciling the West with the East.

Indian religious thought was the first to receive the shock of the impact. But it was soon communicated to Indian literature which underwent a complete transformation and demonstrated new attitudes in relation to God, man and nature. It adopted new themes from the West while selecting old themes from indigenous literature and cast them into new moulds. It employed the old forms of verse, but evolved new ones in imitation of those employed in English poetry. It developed a whole new literature in prose.

Bengal had avidly drunk at the fountain of English literature, read of English poetry from Shakespeare to Swinburne and of prose from Daniel Defoe to Thomas Hardy. It naturally produced works of poetry, drama, fiction and other genres soaked in English ideas and forms. And not only were the
writers influenced by English literature in their approach and attitude, in subject and content, but also in style and diction, metre and type. But they were not mere imitators of the West, for in their themes and characters, in the atmosphere of their prose and poetry, in the deeper tones vibrating in their writings and in the choice of values and ideals they were rooted in their native sol. *Ramayana, Mahabharata, Bhagavad Gita, Puranas*, poems in classical Sanskrit, Persian and Arabic and in medieval languages, tales of romance and chivalry, stories of heroic deeds of Indian history, etc., provided substance and colour to their creations. Thus, the whole scale of Indian culture comprising literature in many Indian languages, composed by men of different religions and races, breathed largely a common spirit and expressed largely a common mental attitude.

The writers were not confined to the closed section of the old scholarly class trained in the traditional ways of learning, but included members of the classes which had the opportunity to imbibe new ideas and to nurse their genius on the milk of modernism. They were mostly members of the middle class who were engaged in secular occupations, and belonged to all castes and communities—Hindu, Muslim, Christian and others. They broke the intellectual monopoly of the Pandit and the Maulavi, whose patronage by the court had been ended by the transformation of Indian politics.

The new literature reflected the currents of new feeling and thought, but it also helped to spread them in the country and implant them in the consciousness of society. While tending to disengage the Indian mind from its old moorings, it awakened the mental processes which were essential for the reception of modern values. In religion, dogma gave way before the attack of criticism; conformism before the claim of personal experience, piety and devotion; doctrinaire religiosity before moral action; otherworldliness before the ideals of social betterment and service; the deprecatory attitude towards the world which regarded it as a snare to be renounced and shunned was changed; nature began to be looked upon as a friendly power whose co-operation for human welfare needed to be sought, as also the manifestation of the divine energy which was the source of pure joy.

A new humanism pervaded this literature, in which the dignity of man received a fresh emphasis. Equality of all men and of man and woman was stressed and the emancipation of the
individual from the authority of family, caste and tribe was asserted. Above all, the consciousness of national unity emerged. The sentiment of patriotism and love of the country began to take possession of the individual will and to influence his social conduct. The humiliation of dependence upon foreigners and the aspiration for freedom created a conflict and a strain which sought relief in literary expression and artistic creation.

In poetry, drama and prose, was witnessed the spiritual transformation which came to maturity in the half century following the Great Revolt. In the period preceding it, Bengali poetry had not yet discarded its swaddling clothes, but with the publication of the verses of Iswar Chandra Gupta (1812–59), the editor of Sambad Prabhabakar, the transition became marked. Rangal Banerji (1826–87), an associate of his, composed long poems which breathe the spirit of romance, chivalry and love of the native land. His Padmini Upakhayan was based on an episode taken from Rajput history which provided a glorious instance of the heroism of the Rajput women. His Karmadevi and Shur Sundari like Padmini deliberately drew upon the devices and manners of the English poets, and appealed to the patriotic sentiment.

Hem Chandra Bandyopadhyaya (1834–1904) was a contemporary of Bihari Lal who experimented with the epic forms. He wrote the epic-poems Birbahu Kavya and Bharat Sangit, which sought to evoke Indian self-respect and appealed to young men to restore the ancient greatness, honour and freedom of India. In Asa Kanan, he sang of "the East radiant with the golden light," and of "Mother India once more sitting upon her throne, her face beaming with a fresh smile of primitive vigour. ... In joy, once more, the sons of India played on their lyre, and in joy, again, they began to tread the earth with the roar of trumpets."

Nabin Chandra Sen (1848–1909), like Hem Chandra, dreamt of the regeneration of Hindu society. His trilogy, Raivataka, Kurukshetra and Prabhas, described the career of Krishna. It discussed the causes of national degradation and indicated the remedy:

"Let there be one religion, one race, one kingdom, one law; let the doctrine of universal good be the motto of one and all."¹

¹ Sen, Nabin Chandra, Raivataka, p. 30; Das Gupta H. M., Western Influence on Nineteenth Century Bengali Poetry, pp. 78-79
But, by far the greatest literary genius among his contemporaries was Michael Madhusudan Datta (1828—73). He was educated at the Hindu College (1837—42) where he imbibed modern ideas, which involved him in conflict with his parents, renunciation of home and religion, and acceptance of the Christian faith. He had a stormy life—exile from home, unfortunate marriage and divorce, unprofitable stay in Europe, return, penury, illness and death. In his short and eventful life, there were just four years (1858—62) of creativeness, during which he produced his great masterpieces—the dramas Sarmishtha Padminavati and Krishna Kumari, the epics Tilottama, Meghanad Badh, the unfinished musical poem Brajangana and the epistolary poem Birangana. In these works, Madhusudan Datta displayed his unique power over verse forms—epic, lyric, dramatic, ballad, sonnet, and others. He introduced new metres and rhythm in poetry, like blank verse, Alexandrine, Ottava rima, and made experiments with old Bengali metres and structures of lines and stanzas. His ardent love for India’s past determined the choice of themes from the literature and history of the Indian people—Ramayana, Mahabharata, Kalidas’s poems, Vaisnava songs, Rajput chivalry and so forth. But he cast his themes in modern moulds. He was not afraid of departures from tradition in characterising the heroes of old or in questioning the validity of popularly accepted values, or stressing the need and importance of adopting modern views on life. About his drama Sarmishtha, a learned Pandit remarked “that it had sinned against all rules of the Sanskrit grammar.” In his epics, he disregarded the rules of versification of Bengali poetry and successfully revolutionised them. In his farces, he satirised the society of Bengal—its hypocrisy and corruption. In Krishna Kumari, his innovation was the introduction of tragedy against the Indian dramatic convention. About the composition of his greatest work, Meghanad Badh, he confided to a friend, “I shall not borrow Greek stories but write, rather try to write, as a Greek would have done.” In his character delineation, there is an attempt at realism, the humanisation of the ancient heroes. His Ravana, unlike the character in Valmiki’s Ramayana, is “purged of his viciousness”—a brave warrior, but equally a fond father. Meghanad is the actual hero of the poem, possessed of valour, dignity and chivalry and done to death by a deceitful Lakshmana depending for his victory upon the wiles of Maya. Rama is a fragile human figure endowed
with many gentle qualities, but also a number of weaknesses. Michael Madhusudan Datta was a poet in the tradition of the romantics of the West. Like them, he views nature as a friendly and benevolent fairy; man as man and not as an angel or devil—swept by human passions and desires and revelling in strife and struggle to attain the fullness of life which he aims at.

Of the poets who came after Madhusudan Datta in the nineteenth century, the most important was Rabindranath Tagore, but he belongs rather to the twentieth century during which his genius developed to its full height. Tagore's influence embraced the whole of India, and his fame spread to all quarters of the globe.

Bengali prose had developed entirely as a result of the Western influence. In the first half of the nineteenth century, it became the medium of expression for journalism, history and biography, essays, religious speculation, translations from English and Sanskrit, and other serious literary activity.

In the second half of the century, it developed into a robust instrument of thought through the exertions of men like Akshay Kumar Datta (1820—86), Iswar Chandra Vidyasagar (1820—91) and Bankim Chandra Chatterji (1838—94). Datta as the editor of Debendranath Tagore's *Tattwabodhini Patrika* expressed in his articles sentiments of moral elevation, religious fervour and spirit of reform. Vidyasagar, the learned Pandit and the Principal of the Sanskrit College, utilised the unsuspected resources of the Bengali language in the service of social uplift of Indian women. About their style of writing, Romesh Chandra Dutt opined:

"In Akshay Kumar's style we admire the vehemence and force of the mountain torrent in its wild and rugged beauty. Vidyasagar is the more accomplished master, ... Modern Bengali prose, as we understand it, has been shaped by these twin workers."1

But it was Bankim Chandra Chatterji who may be regarded as the most creative and the most powerful master of prose during the century. Born in 1838, he became one of the first two graduates of the Calcutta University. He was appointed a deputy collector and received Government honours. He died in 1894. His literary career began in 1864 when he published

---

1 Dutt, R. C., *Cultural Heritage of Bengal*, p. 170
his first historical novel *Durgesh Nandini* in Bengali. The work was epoch-making. It was followed at short intervals by other works of fiction, among which *Anandamath* (1882) is highly celebrated as it contains the poem *Bande Mataram*, which became the national anthem of India during the freedom struggle and has been adopted by independent India as the national hymn. During his stay in Bahrampur (1869–74), he brought together a number of men of letters—Bhudev Mukherji, Dinabandhu Mitra, Gurudas Banerji and others—who formed a society to discuss national problems, social reform, culture and literature. In 1872, he took the initiative to bring out the journal *Bangadarshan* which powerfully aided in the development of Bengali language and literature. Its object in the words of Bankim was “to disanglicize ourselves . . . and to speak to the masses in the language which they understand.”

In later life, religion occupied his mind and in *Krishnacharitra* and *Dharmatattva*, he explained his views on Hinduism and outlined what he considered to be the essential principles of the Hindu faith. The neo-Hinduism which he advocated was an endeavour to revive the past greatness of the Hindu society, for he believed that it was not in the isolated acts of social reform that the salvation of the community lay, but in reviving the spiritual ideals of the ancients.

In his novels, his debt to Shakespeare, Scott, Wilkie Collins, Bulwer Lytton and other English writers is indubitable. They are inspired by the romantic spirit—glorification of the past, rebellion against social evils and political subjection, vindication of national honour, adventure. Because he appealed to the sentiment of pride in the past, created a halo of valour and self-sacrifice round the heroes of the novels, and preached a religion whose central theme was the dynamic figure of Krishna of the *Bhagavad Gita*, Bankim became the most influential writer of modern times. According to Dr. Sukumar Sen, “he was something more than a great novelist. He was a path-finder and a path-maker.”

One of the effects of these movements of revivalism in Bengal and other parts of India, however, was to draw the exclusiveness of the Hindu community further inwards and to make it so subjective as to become almost oblivious of the existence
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1 W. Theodore de Bary, Stephen Hay, R. Weilert A. Yarrow: *Sources of Indian Tradition*, p. 709
2 Sen, Sukumar, *History of Bengali Literature*, p. 237
of the non-Hindus. The tendency to be concerned exclusively with its own affairs, to be immersed in thought about its own welfare, did undoubtedly affect adversely the development of a broad national sentiment in the country.

IV. MARATHI LITERATURE

When in the first quarter of the nineteenth century the Peshwa's kingdom passed into the hands of the British, the political, economic and social factors which had affected Bengal earlier began to operate in the Bombay Presidency. The Marathi language and literature began to be transformed on lines similar to those of Bengal.

The Marathi literature of the century preceding the British conquest, like all other medieval Indian literatures, was very largely in verse and was used for the purpose of conveying religious and moral teachings, or folk sentiments of love and heroism. In the early medieval period, the Naths, the Mahanubhavas and the Warkaris had used it to give vent to non-conformist views, to propagate the principles of the sects and to make songs of love and devotion to God. Then, from the sixteenth century onwards, a new era of literary development began. Islamic ideas influenced traditional ways of thinking and belief, while the prosperity of the Maratha landed and trading classes prepared the ground for a fresh outburst of literary genius. Ekanath was the harbinger of the movement. He was worthily followed by writers like Mukteshwar, Tukaram and Ramdas.

In the eighteenth century, there prevailed two classes of poetry—the religious and classical on the one hand, and the secular and popular on the other. Sridhar, the author of Ram Vijaya, Hari Vijaya, Pandava Pratap and Siva Lilamrita, Vaman Pandit, who wrote a number of versified religious mythological works—Yatharthha Dipika, Bhisma Pratijna, Kaliya Mardan, etc., Moropant, a voluminous writer who composed epics in imitation of the Ramayana and Mahabharata, expanded the legends of the Puranas and wrote miscellaneous poems like Kekavali. Amrit Rao, Mahipati and Raghunath Pandit were the better known poets of the first school. They had numerous followers.

To the second school belonged the singers of Lavanis or love-lyrics and makers of Pavadas or historical ballads. The
Lavanis were frankly erotic and tended to descend into coarse licentiousness. Ram Joshi was the greatest Lavani writer and is largely free from blame. These Lavanis were sung by Murlis or temple-girls dedicated to the god Khandoba, and by Gondhali or wandering minstrels staging dramatic representations in the villages.

Ballad-making began in the times of Shivaji. Agindas, at the instance of Shivaji’s mother Jijabai, composed the first known ballad which deals with the Afzal Khan episode. But it was during the regime of the Peshwas that a large number of ballads were composed. The ballads are a popular form of poetry. racy of the soil. Their language is the speech of the sturdy, unsophisticated Maratha peasant. Their imagery is taken from familiar scenes of daily life and rural surroundings. They use simple measures and reflect the feelings of the common man.

Some of the bards wrote spontaneously, others at the bidding of their patrons. Agindas, Tulsi Das and Yamaji were the protégés of Shivaji, and Anant Phandi of the last Peshwa; Ganga Haibati, Honaji, and Prabhakar were honoured and rewarded by the Peshwas and other chiefs. Naturally, they lauded their masters to the skies.

Bardic poetry continued into the early British times. The later bards sang with a nostalgia for the pomp and splendour which had vanished and were sickened by the doubts, uncertainties and apprehensions of the early days of subjection.

Of prose, there was little worthy of description as literary composition to start with. There were some adaptations of Sanskrit fable and lore, like Betal Panchaishi, Simhasan Battisi, and Panchopakhyan. With the rise of the Maratha State, however, many Bakhars and Kaifiyats, or narratives of historical episodes and lives of historical personages, came to be written. Their writers were clerks in the service of the Rajas and their ministers. They were written in a crude language with little care for exactness. The best specimens of them are Bhau Sahebanchi Bakhar, Panipatchi Bakhar, Shivacharitra of Sabhasad, and the biographies written by Chitnis.

A third form of prose is seen in letters, despatches and official records of the Maratha rulers. They are reports from diplomats accredited to the courts of Rajput rulers, Mughal governors and the Emperor of Delhi, and letters of instructions from the Peshwa and the Maratha chiefs like Sindhia, Holkar,
the Bhonsles of Nagpur, Nana Phadnavis, etc. These records are written in a language which is full of Persian words.

The literature of the pre-British period was dominated by religious themes. The secular literature consisted of lyrics and ballads, and works on practical subjects—astrology, mensuration, medicine, government. Both types used the verse form for its convenience in memorisation. Prose was crude, lacking in literary grace and highly Persianised.

New trends made their appearance from the beginning of the 19th century. The Christian missionaries were the pioneers. In 1805, Carey published the first Marathi grammar and with the help of Vaijanath, a Maratha Pandit, the first Marathi-English dictionary. In 1814, the Serampur Press issued the first printed Marathi book, Simhasan Battisi. Montstuart Elphinstone, the first Governor of the Bombay Presidency, who was a liberal-minded lover of learning and a firm believer in the promotion of knowledge and literature, founded the Bombay Native Education Society in 1820, and gave it a grant of Rs. 50,000. The Society established schools and undertook the preparation of textbooks in the local languages of the region. Captain Jervis was placed in charge of the project, and books on arithmetic, physics, history, grammar, stories, etc., were published in Marathi. English treatises on surveying, mensuration, anatomy, nosology, and materia medica were also translated.

The School Book Society, which came into existence in 1822, encouraged the production of books by offering prizes and awards for school books as well as books for adults on serious subjects. Thus, Goldsmith's History of Rome, Malcolm's Persia, Wilk's History of the Arabs, and History of the Chinese, works on physics, chemistry, ethics, history of Gujarat and Orme's Account of Hindustan, Ain-i-Akbari and Sikandar Namah were some of the titles suggested for translation.

The foundations of the new Marathi prose were thus laid. The translations, which were in fact adaptations, were made in the language of ordinary speech, avoiding florid and obscure expressions as well as purism. English terms were used where Marathi equivalents were not available.

This prose became the instrument for propagating secular, scientific knowledge. In 1831, Sara Samgraha or the compendium of sciences and arts was published; in 1832, followed a work on geography and geology; in 1833, a translation of Conversations
on Natural Philosophy; in 1835, a collection of essays on Mechanics translated from William Henry Ball's book; and in the same year a translation of lectures on Pure and Applied Mathematics. A History of England, a descriptive monograph, England Delineated and John Wilson's The Second Exposure of the Hindu Religion, were also published.

The year 1833 marked a change in the educational policy of the Government—a retrograde decision was adopted and patronage was transferred from the Indian languages to English. The Bombay Board of Education, presided over by Sir Erskine Perry, became a strong protagonist of English and discouraged expenditure on publications in Indian languages. This was a challenge to the Indian writers. They were not tardy in their response. Bal Sastri Jambhekar, Dadoba Panduranga and Parashuram Tatya Godbole took up the challenge. Jambhekar wrote numerous textbooks in Marathi.

A number of journals were started to create a taste for reading Marathi. In 1832, Bal Sastri Jambhekar had established the first Marathi newspaper, Bombay Darpan; a second one, Diddarshen was issued in 1840. Bhau Mahajan issued the Prabha-kar in 1841, to which Lokahitawadi contributed Shatapatren, a series of essays suggesting a rational outlook on social and political problems and exposing many social evils. The Dnyanodaya, brought out by the Christian missionaries, discussed the problems of the day. In 1849, the Dnyan Prakash began publication from Poona and in 1852 Krishna Sastri Chiplunkar started the paper Vicharlahari with the object of opposing missionary propaganda. These and other journals gave a great stimulus to Marathi writing.

The need for standardising the language was fulfilled by the preparation of dictionaries and grammars. Old Marathi literature was revived. The atmosphere of modernism, which was spreading through education, evoked the spirit of intellectual independence and curiosity. A number of works in English were translated and treatises on science, economics and technical subjects were written. At the same time, Sanskrit works were rendered into Marathi.

Although the achievement of the first half of the nineteenth century was not by any means outstanding, the most hopeful feature of the development, as pointed out by Ranade, was the rapid growth of literary production. Says he, "All the different channels by which further growth of Marathi literature was to
be effected, had been opened up. Great progress was made in the publication of old poetry, a good beginning was made in the matter of translations from Sanskrit and English works, and the first stage of advance made was more full of promise for the future, than of success accomplished.  

With the turn of the mid-century, original writing made its appearance. Vinayak Janardan Kirtane wrote the first dramatic piece, Thorie Madhav Rao (1861). Baba Padmanji (1831—1906), a Christian convert, described the sorrows of an Indian widow and extolled the message of Christ in a novel, Yamuna Paryatana. A number of novels followed, which breathed the romantic spirit, reflected the actual human emotions and, in an indirect way, wrestled with the social problems of the day. These early efforts, in which the weird and supernatural still played an important part, led the way to novels with a special purpose. The best exponent of this form of literature was Hari Narayan Apte (1864—1919). He drew his characters from life, analysed their mind and discussed the problems facing them. His stories dealt with the social evils like child marriage, unhappy widowhood, the joint family, the low status of women and the over-bearing attitude of men towards them. The clash of tradition and modernism is the central theme of his works. He wrote historical novels also. Apte’s influence on literary development in prose was of an enduring character.

The year 1874 forms an important landmark in the growth of Marathi literature. Uptil now the majority of the writers were busy translating either Sanskrit classics or famous works in the English language. Not only their thoughts but the form of the language they used, bore the mark of foreign origin. In 1874, Vishnu Sastri Chiplunkar burst on the Marathi reading public with his Nibandhamala and showed the great heights to which Marathi prose could reach in expressing the most abstract ideas in all fields of human knowledge—history, politics, economics, philosophy and ethics. His essays became models both of style and expression of ideas. He wrote on various topics—Marathi language, poetry, history, superstitions, art of translation, Johnson’s life, state of the country, etc. “His satire and invective, the dignity of his style, his fund of information and thorough knowledge of Sanskrit and Marathi literature thrilled his readers.” His writings liberated the educated
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1 Ranade, Growth of Marathi Literature, 1818 to 1864, in The Miscellaneous Writings (1915), p. 20
class from the chains of servitude that bound it to foreign thought. Chiplunkar was also the founder of the Kesari and the Mahratta which were to guide political thought and movement in Maharashtra for about half a century.

Chiplunkar died in 1882 at the early age of thirty-two, but his work of enriching the language was continued by Agarkar, Tilak, Shivaram Mahadeo Paranjape and a host of other writers. Agarkar edited the Kesari for seven years from its inception, and later the Sudharak for about the same period. He was a radical thinker both in social matters and politics and advocated his ideas fearlessly. Tilak concentrated on the political struggle and directed his attack mainly against the alien Government. His language reflected his personality—fearless, rugged and forceful. He also wrote the Gita Rahasya, a commentary on the Gita wherein he refuted Sankara's argument in favour of renunciation, and pleaded for performance of one's appointed task in a selfless manner. The writings of Chiplunkar, Agarkar and Tilak inspired many other writers—Shripad Krishna Kolhatkar specialised in humorous essays, Shivaram Mahadeo Paranjape in literary essays, Kelkar in critical essays, and Rajwade in historical essays.

Biography and history received attention from a number of writers. Lives of Western worthies—poets, dramatists, statesmen and sovereigns—were written as well as those of great men of Indian history. Historical works were produced by Rajwade, Vasudev Shastri Khare and Parasnis.

Poetry and drama informed by the modern spirit and modelled on Western prototypes were also composed. Krishnaji Keshav Damle, better known by his pen-name ‘Keshavsvut,’ influenced by Wordsworth and the romantics, laid stress upon the dignity of the individual, freedom, equality and humanity. His poems Tutari, Zapurza and Nava Shipai, breathe a new spirit in Marathi literature. His contemporaries—Revd. Tilak, Rendalkar, Vinayak, Tambe, Gadkari and Thomre—delineated nature in its different moods.

Among dramatists, Kirloskar's plays based on Sanskrit originals were popular. Among his followers, Deval was important. He condemned the evils of uneven marriages, and his drama Sharada was received with much acclaim.

V. URDU AND HINDI LITERATURE

In northern India, Urdu and Hindi were making rapid
advances under the urge of new conditions. But while Urdu was following the normal course of evolution, the progress of Hindi was hampered by a hesitancy in the choice of the dialect of Hindi to be selected as the basis of literary language. Of these dialects, Braj Bhasa possessed a considerable treasure of high-class literature and it appeared to the writers of the nineteenth century that the somewhat unrefined 'Khari Boli' was not a fit medium for poetical composition. For prose, however, there was no such doubt. Braj Bhasa and other dialects of Hindi were almost completely lacking in prose literature. On the other hand, since the establishment of the Fort William College, books had begun to be written in Khari Boli and the capability of the language for serious writings had become manifest.

The earliest users of this prose style were Sadasukh Lal, Lalluji Lal, Sadal Misra and Insha Allah Khan Insha. Slowly, the fashion spread, but it was after the middle of the nineteenth century that the pace was accelerated. Poetry in Hindi, however, remained involved in controversy. The result was that the development of Hindi as an independent and vigorous language really occurred in the twentieth century. But for prose, Hindi journals, historical treatises, essays and dramas prepared the ground for the subsequent evolution.

Some of the prose writers who experimented in different styles were Raja Shiva Prasad (1823—95) who wrote a history of India in three volumes and used many Persian words in his work; Raja Lakshman Singh who believed in a highly Sanskritised style, and Bharatendu Harish Chandra (1850—95) who adopted a middle course. He wrote a number of dramas following models in English.

Hindi poetry of the times was largely revivalist and composed in Braj Bhasha. But Sridhar Pathak (1859—1928), in his Ekantwasi Yogi broke with the tradition and adopted Khari Boli. He was imitated by others, so that ultimately this dialect became the main medium of Hindi poetical expression.

Urdu had not to overcome any such obstacles. Its poetry had made remarkable progress already. In fact, the writings of Ghalib (d. 1869), both poetry and prose, foreshadowed the coming modernist trends. The language of his letters, written in simple conversational style, furnished a model suited to modern expression. In his poetry, the importance attached to

1 Khari Boli, a dialect spoken in and around Delhi.
meaning and thought, the preference shown to spontaneity and originality in simile and metaphor and the avoidance of trivialities of the conventional style, pointed the way of advance.

The society that emerged after the holocaust of 1857, the disappearance of the remnants of Mughal aristocracy, the establishment of a modern administration, the changes introduced in the economic conditions, and the spread of new ideas and new education transformed the social milieu of the northern provinces. Eyes opened upon a new world, and new problems, subjects and interests attracted attention. The limitations of the gazal proved irksome, and so mathnawi and musaddas (linked poetry) received preference. Natural sights and phenomena, like the rainy season, winter and summer, flowing rivers, and mountain scenes, entered poetic imagery. Imaginary, narrative, historical, didactic and patriotic themes became common.

Under the stress of the new factors, Urdu began to develop, and a spirit of dissatisfaction with the traditional literary ideals began to show itself. Syed Ahmad Khan (1817—98), who became the pioneer of a natural prose shorn of its artificialities, desired "to dispel those illusory traditions of the past which have hindered our progress,"... and "to reconcile oriental learning with Western literature and science." Nazir Ahmad wrote, "This literature (traditional) teaches us falsehood and flattery. This literature suppresses and wipes out the real beauty of facts... excites unwholesome passions. ... Thanks to the study of English, I have gradually come to realize the defects of our literature." ¹

The foundations of the new school were laid by Muhammad Husain Azad (d. 1910) and Altaf Husain Hali (1837—1914), who founded a literary society in Lahore to promote Urdu literature. Azad composed poems in the new style and on new themes such as Sham ki Amad (Advent of Evening), Mathnawi Hubbi Watan (Love of the Motherland), Khwab-i-Amn (Dream of Peace), Dad-i-Insaf (Praise of Justice), Zamistan (Winter), etc. Hali's contribution was even greater. He was equally great as a prose writer and critic as he was as a poet. He wrote patriotic poems, poems on language and nature, poems of social reform, and didactic and elegiac poems. His poem Shikwa-i-Hind

¹ Nazir Ahmad: Lecture on Mussalmanon ki Halat-i-Talim, Agra, 1889
(the Complaint of India) is both a tribute to India’s glorious past and an outcry against the humiliations of the present. He attained undying fame by composing his master-piece, *Musaddas-i-Hali*, which is a moving elegy describing the rise and fall of Islam.

The other poets of the period who contributed to the evocation of modernism were Muhammad Ismail of Meerut (1846-1907), a poet of nature; Durga Sahaj Surur (1873—1910), a prolific writer especially of historical and religious poems; and Akbar (1846—1921), whose early career falls during this period and who is the greatest satirist of manners in Urdu.

The new Urdu prose had made its appearance in the Fort William College. Among the writers who flourished under its patronage were Mir Amman and his colleagues. They were mainly translators of Persian books into Urdu. In Delhi, Professor Ram Chandra laid the foundations of scientific prose and essay.

Later came the authors who greatly enriched Urdu literature. Among them were: Azad, who compiled the famous history of Urdu literature, namely, *Ab-i-Hayat*; Hali, the biographer of Sadi, Ghalib and Syed Ahmad Khan; Nazir Ahmad (1831—1912), a novelist and a translator of legal treatises; Shibli Numani (1837—1914), a historian, critic, philosopher and theologian; Zakaullah (1882—1910), a historian and a translator of scientific works from English and a pupil of Ram Chandra.

Among the makers of creative prose—humorists, satirists, novelists, story-writers—those who attained distinction were: Sajjad Husain (1856—1915), a master of wit and humour and the editor of the famous *Oudh Panch*; Ratan Nath Dhar Sarshar (1846—1902), the author of the fascinating extravaganza in four volumes, *Fisana-i-Azad*, which presents a picture of the society of Oudh in the early nineteenth century; and Abdul Halim Sharar (1860—1926), the creator of historical fiction and the owner of an attractively fresh style.

VI. TAMIL AND TELUGU LITERATURE

The tendencies noticed in the Aryan languages of the north repeat themselves in the Dravidian languages—Tamil, Telugu, Kannada and Malayalam. Tamil is an ancient language with an extremely rich literature. The Tamil-speaking people came
into contact with the Europeans quite early. In the fifteenth century the Portuguese arrived in India, settled down on the western and eastern coasts, married Indian women, became indianised and learnt Tamil and Malayalam. In their wake came the Christian missionaries belonging to the Society of Jesus. They created the Tamil prose, composed dictionaries and grammars. Among them Robert de Nobili (1577-1656) was the first. He was followed by Constantine Joseph Beschi in 1700. He was a great linguist, who composed works in Tamil, e.g., Tembavani on the life of Joseph and Parmarthi Guru Kathai in prose. The Protestant missionaries soon followed. Bartholomew Ziegenbalg (1683-1719) who came in 1706, established a Tamil printing press.

The missionaries were accompanied by traders and empire-builders. The Portuguese, the Dutch, the French and the English were rivals for power in India. Their mutual quarrels came to an end with the triumph of the English in the eighteenth century, who defeated both the French and the Indian rulers in the peninsula, and established their dominion.

Then the administrative machinery of the East India Company was set up, and in 1812 the College of Fort St. George was established in Madras on the model of the Fort William College of Calcutta. Here the Tamil language was taught to the European employees of the Company, a Tamil library was opened, and books in Tamil were published.

The Pandits who taught in the College were the first to imbibe the Western outlook. They produced critical editions of ancient classics, translations of English books, and helped in the compilation of grammars and dictionaries. Gradually the language for modern and original literature came into existence. Minakshi Sundaram Pillai (1815-76) who was a noted scholar and teacher of Tamil encouraged his students to write in their own language. His pupil Vedanayakam Pillai (1826-89) wrote the first novel in Tamil. Another pupil was U. V. Swaminatha Iyer (1855-1942) who is regarded as ‘the harbinger of Tamil Renaissance, the vivifier of Tamil classics, and the inspirer of generations of Tamilians including Subramania Bharati.’

An era of translations of stories and tales from English and Sanskrit set in which gave a push to Tamil reading. Then began the composition of original novels, with romantic and social themes, and dramas which were adaptations from Shakespear, Ben Jonson, Goldsmith, Sheridan and others.
Historical, social, romantic and patriotic motifs became popular in literature.

The Press too helped in the development of prose and in the propagation of modern ideas, and the spread of English education created both a taste for Western literature and a receptiveness to modern ways of thinking. A class of scholars and writers grew up who created the modern Tamil literature.

The history of Telugu shows that similar processes were at work in its literary growth as in that of the other Indian languages. The period from the middle of the eighteenth to the middle of the nineteenth century had been one of decadence. The poets cared more for 'acrobatic feats' than real poetry. Good poetry had disappeared, and artificiality and eroticism held the muse under thrall. The period that followed, viz., from 1850 to 1900, was one of transition, during which tradition and English influence were in conflict. In poetry the old style remained the ideal. Poems with themes taken from the Mahabharata and Ramayana continued to be composed. The figures of speech like double entendre (dvyaarthac) and alliteration were favoured, and measures like Avadhanam (eight feats of literary importance and composition of one hundred verses) were popular. In prose the vogue was set by Chinnaya Suri (1806-62), who wrote a rythmic and ornate style, far removed from the spoken language.

About 1880, a new movement set in the wake of social reform activity, Kandukari Vinesalingam (1848-1919) was its inaugurator. He started a journal Hasya Sanjivini to ridicule evil social customs and another Vivekvardhini to foster creative art in literature. He was the first Telugu writer who composed novels, essays, dramas, and scientific treatises. With him a new era of literature began, which marked a departure from the prevalent stilted prose of Chinnaya Suri and introduced a simple and natural style of writing.

Among those who promoted modernism in style were Guruzada Venkata Apparao (1861-1916), Gidugu Venkata Ramamurti (1868-1940), Kumaraju Venkata Lakshmanrao and Deoddharaku Kasinathuni Nagesvararaao. They were the precursors of the renaissance in Telugu literature, for they endeavoured to restore the language which was in use previous to the period of transition. They prepared the ground for the new era, of new literature (Nava Sahitya).

This brief review of the literary movements in some of the
languages of India shows the common tendencies in the development of the Indian mind all over the country. The Indian mind was ready to absorb the Western ideas and to adopt the Western attitudes and ways of expression, yet at the same time it was strongly attached to its traditional heritage. It was prepared to modify, even to reject, much of the old, but it was not prepared to repudiate the inheritance of the past in totality. Its endeavour was to preserve what was considered of permanent and abiding value in its own culture, and to assimilate from the West what was necessary for building up a new society. India's effort to reconcile the East and the West, to westernise without abandoning the essentials of the East, produced a multiplicity of systems of thought and religion. But its principal achievement was to create a common outlook and a community of ideas and sentiments, which were the precondition of the emergence of national consciousness.
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Bengalee, 452, 462; critical of British social attitudes, 489-90; outlines political programme, 529-30; comments on statutory civil service, 536; verdict on Lord Lytton's Viceroyalty, 538; comments on Ilbert Bill, 541
Bengal Gazette, first Indian newspaper, 212
Bengal Herald, liberal journal, 218
Bengali literature, 583; in pre-British times, 583-85; growth of early prose, 588-87; Ram Mohan Roy's contribution to, 588-89; impact of Western thought and culture. new attitudes, 590-91, 594; its inwardness, 595-96
Beni Madho Singh of Shankarpur, 79
Bentinck, Lord William, comments on Indian culture, 64; appointment of Indians to subordinate services, 163; resolution on English education, 181, 187, 190, 192; on employment of Indians, 198, 232; advocates change in Indian society, 235, 496
Besant, Annie, contribution to Theosophy, 424-26
Beschi, Constantine Joseph, missionary, contribution to Tamil prose, 605
Beveridge, H., 480
Bhagavadgita, 234
Bhalekar, Krishna Rao, 456
Bhandarkar, Ramkrishna Gopal, 400, 456
Bharatchandra, Bengali poet and author of Ananda Mangal, 583
Bharatendu, Harish Chandra, noted Hindi writer, 602
Bharati, 455
Bharat Sangit, 592
Bhattacharya, Gangadhar, starts first Indian paper, 214
Bhatt, V. V., economist, 304
Bhau Daji, 526
Bhau Sahebanchi Bakhar, 597
Bhils, rising, 11
Bhimji Parekh, imports first printing press, 212
Bhowani, Rani, 134
Birbahu Kavya, 592
Birbhadra, Raja, revolts, 15
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Birjis Qadr, Oudh prince, Proclamation, 46, 85, 98
Blackett, M. P., insists on inquiry into use of torture, 60; criticises exclusion of Indians from services, 164
Blavatsky, Madame, 424
Blunt, W. S., advocates Muslim cause, 366
Bolts, William, 212
Bombay Association, aims and objects, 526
Bombay Board of Education, discourages Indian language publications, 599
Bombay Courier, 223
Bombay Durpan, first Marathi newspaper, 224, 267, 599
Bombay Gazette, 223
Bombay Herald, first Bombay paper, 223
Bombay Native Education Society, its work, 199, 598
Bombay Presidency, Revolt of 1857, 93-5; progress of education, 198-200
Bombay Presidency Association, 545, 549
Bombay Samachar, first Gujarati paper, 223, 463
Bonnerji, Woomesh Chandra, 511; presides over the first Congress, 549
Bose, Anand Mohan, 529
Bose, Raj Narayan, 261; founds National Society, 529
Boyd, Hugh, editor, Madras Courier, 224
Bradlaugh, Charles, M.P., 563
Brahman Sevadhi, Ram Mohan Roy's journal, 215
Brahmo Samaj, organisation, 260; schism, 261-62; liberal influence, 393; reorganised by Debendranath Tagore, 394-95; Keshab Chandra Sen's contribution, 395-98; formation of Sadharana Brahmo Samaj and its creed, 397; contribution to national movement, 398; changing fortunes, 407, 408
Branson, Barrister, denounces Ilbert Bill, 501
Bright, John, M.P., criticises exclusion of Indians from service, 164; supports Ilbert Bill, 502, 503
Brij Narain, economist, on decreasing size of holdings in Panjab, 292
British army in India, reorganisation after the Revolt, 494-95
British attitudes, to Hindu religion and customs, 64; to Sepoys, 69, 233-34, 234-36, 478-81, 483; denial of Indian nationality, 556
British Government, attitudes, 513-17, 517-21; 522
British imperialism, changing attitude towards Indian possessions, 230-31; neglect of Indian interests, 232, 233; assertion of superiority over subject races, 483; its dilemma, 492
British India Society, 525
British Indian Association, objectives and work, 526
British policies, basic convictions, 492; policy of divide and rule, 513-17; towards princely order, 517-21; indifference to Indian political and economic advance, 558-60; defence of British rule, 565; Indian reaction, 567-68, 569
British rule, its nature, 468-70; economic motive: phase of industrial imperialism, 473-74; centralisation of authority, 474-76; objective, 480-81; expression of imperialist spirit in India, 483; military nature, 490, 493; evil effects, 572-73; encourages fissiparous tendencies in India, 574
Brown, David, Chaplain, 176, 182
Buchanan, D.H., economist, influence of Manchester capitalists on Indian tariff policy, 312, 327; on industrial backwardness of India, 330
Buckingham, James Silk, 213; journalistic activities, 214, 215, 221
Burke, Edmund, attitude to Indian people and civilisation, 234
Burton, Major, 92

Calcutta General Advertiser (Hicky’s Gazette), first Indian paper, 212
Calcutta Journal, founded by Buckingham, 214, 221
Calcutta School Book Society, promotes growth of Bengali prose, 587
Caldwell, Richard, attitude towards India, 481
Cameron, Charles Hay, comments on exclusion of Indians from services, 164
Campbell, Sir George, urges promotion of Hindi, 372, 340-51; suggests control of Press, 458
Canning, Lord, Governor-General, his apprehensions, 39; views on 1857 upheaval, 42; on Indian Press, 228-29, 454; views on legislative councils, 504, 534
Carey, Felix, missionary, prepares Bengali encyclopaedia, 179; contribution to early Bengali prose, 587
Carey, William, missionary, literary activities, 177; ushers literary renaissance in Bengal, 178, 182; on Indian society, 239; prepares books in Indian languages, 586-87; contribution to development of prose, 587; prepares Marathi grammar, 598
Caste system, denounced by Young Bengal, 256; condemned by Ram Mohan Roy, 255, 265-66, 273-75; condemned by Ranade, 404
Cawnpore Advertiser, first north-Indian paper, 225
Central Mohammedan Association, participates in National conference, 544
Chakra Bisayi, 6
Chakravarty, Tara Chand, 263
Chamberlain, Houston, propounds theory of racial superiority, 482
Chamberlain, Joseph, protagonist of imperialism, 472
Chamberlain, Sir Neville, expedition against Wahhabis, 28
Chandavarkar, Sir N. G., 456, 488
Chanderu, Naoroji Dorabji, 223
Chandi Charan Munshi, 178, 587
Charlu, V. Rajagopal, 406
Chatterji, Bankim Chandra, 218, 393, 408; views on society and religion, 410-12, 455; contribution to national movement, 529; contribution to Bengali literature, 594-95
Chesney, George, defence of British rule, 565-66
Chetti, P. Subrayala, 406
Chinnaya Suri, Telugu writer, 606
Chiplunkar, Krishnav Sastri, 599
Chiplunkar, Vishnu Sastri, condemns foreign rule, 419-20, 464; contribution to growth of Marathi prose, 600-01
Chiragh Ali, Maulavi, 361, 381
Chiragh Ali Shah, 10
Christian Missions, See Missions. Chukerburty, Dr., excluded from covenanted service, 164
Churchill, Randolph, encourages Muslim ambitions, 366
Civil and Military Gazette, 451
Civil Service, discrimination against Indians, 496; its influence in administration, 497; establishment of statutory Civil Service, 499; 1893 resolution, 500, 506; problem of age of admission, 535-36
Clark, Colin, 322-33
Cobden, Richard, on deterioration of British character, 470; on growing racialism in India, 487-88; plea for self-government for India, 503
Coffee, 160
Colvin, Sir Auckland, on taxatoxin policy of Government, 339, 367, 376; on Muslim opposition to Congress, 516; surprised at awakening in the country, 544; refutes Congress denial of British achievements, 557
Communal problem, growing tension between Hindus and Muslims, 367; special claims made by Muslims, 368; effect of revivalist movement, 368-70; controversy over cow-killing, 370-71; Hindi-Urdu controversy, 371-73; Muslim opposition to Congress, 375-76; communal riots, 515; see also Muslim community
Cornwallis, Lord, Governor-General, land revenue reform and effect, 138-41; commercial reform and effect, 141-42; low estimate of Indian character, 235, 496
Corrie, Daniel, Chaplain, 176
Cotton, Henry J., on growing racialism of British officials, 491; plea for responsible government for India, 506; advocates liberalisation of government, 546
Cotton plantation, 160-61
Cow-protection, 370-71; 423-24
Cross, Lord, Secretary of State, on representative government in India, 511; policy of divide and rule, 516
Curzon, Lord, Governor-General, 335; attempts control of higher education, 447-49, 466; on growing estrangement between Englishmen and Indians, 487, 489, 493; opposes reduction of Europeans in service, 500; views on Indian Legislative Councils, 511-12; dislike for Congress, 556; views on Council reforms, 563; criticism of Congress policies, 573; attitude to Indian problems, 580
Dadabhaji Kavasji, founds Akhbar-i-Saudagar, 224
Dadabhaji Naoroji, starts Rast Goftar, 224; on drain of India's wealth, 316, 320; condemns high public expenditure, 336-38; warning to British rulers, 344-45; edits Voice of India, 453; presses for simultaneous examinations for Civil Service, 500, 526; career and views on British rule in India, 568; on economic drain and India's growing poverty, 568-70; denounces British rule and suggests remedy, 569-72, 577
Dadaji merchants, 112
Dadoba Panduranga, see Tar-khad, Dadoba Panduranga.
Dadu Mian, 11-12
Dalhousie, Lord, Governor-General, on annexations, 36, 47-8; policy towards Nagpur, 52-3, 232-33; imperial attitude, 236; minute on railway development, 560
Dalip Singh, Maharaja of Panjab, deposition, 52
Dandasen Radha Krishna, revolts in Orissa, 76
Darling, M., on indebtedness of Panjab peasant, 296
Das, Kali Krishna, Bengali poet, 585
Datta, Akshay Kumar, 261; his radicalism, 264, 394; contribution to Bengali prose, 594
Datta, K. L., 285; on rise of prices, 288-89, 297
Datta, Michael Madhusudan, career and many sided literary activities, 593-94
Davis, Kingsley, causes of slow industrialisation in India, 325
Dayananda Saraswati, Swami, founder of Arya Samaj, 269, 393; personality and teachings, 421-23; tribute of Dr. Griswold, 424
Dayaram of Hathras, revolts, 22
Deb, Naba Krishna, Maharaja, 110
Deb, Radha Kanta, conservative leader, 218; career and views, 242-44, 265, 369, 528
Debendranath Tagore, see Tagore, Debendranath.
Deccan Agriculturist Relief Act, 299-300
Deenabandhu, organ of Satyashodhak Samaj, 456
Delhi, 1857 rising, 80; also see Bahadur Shah
Deoband school, its teaching and objectives, 383-84; response to Congress, 384-85, 529
Derby, Earl of, 234
Derozio, Henry Louis Vivian, 243; career and views, 244-46
Deshabhiman, 463
Deshmukh, Gopal Hari, (Lokahitawadi), Maratha social reformer, career and views, 269-73, 526, 599
Deval, Marathi dramatist, 601
Dey, Lal Behari, 181
Dhananjay Bhary, 6
Dharmaji Pratap Rao, 17
Dhondji Wagh, 15
Digby, William, on Indian Press, 228, 437, 456; verdict against British rule, 565, 577
Digdarshan, first Bengali monthly, 214-15, 224
Digdarshan (Marathi journal), 258
Dilke, Sir Charles, 472
Disraeli, Benjamin, views on 1857 Revolt, 40, 472; imperialist attitude, 483; revives imperial policies, 531
Disturbances, their nature, 1-2; rising of Mir Qasim, 2-3, of hill tribes in Bengal and Bihar, 3-5; of Orissa zamindars, 5-6, of Khonds, 6, of Ahoms of Assam, 7, of Khasi, 7-8, of Muslim Faqirs, 10-12, of Sanyasis, 12-3; risings in Madras Presidency, 13-5; rising of Dhodji Wagh, 15, of Mahapat Ram and Mubariz-ud-Daulah, 15-6, of Ramosis, 17, of Gakkaris, 17-8; risings in Gujarat, 18-9, in Rajputana, 19-20, in Uttar Pradesh, 20-22; Waliullahi movement, 23-30; sepoys mutinies, 30-33
Dnyanodaya, 224, 599
Dnyan Chakshu, 456
Dnyan Prakash, 224, 453, 599
Dora Bisayi, 6
Drain (Home Charges), views of Indian and foreign economists, pp. 316-21
Duane, William, 213
Duff, Alexander, missionary, view of 1857 upheaval, 47, 77; for advancing missionary work urges English education, 180-81, 189; contemptuous view of Indian society, 239-40
Dufferin, Lord, Governor-General, inquiry into the conditions of agriculturists, 303; flatters Muslims, 366, 376, 388; ridicules idea of Indian Parliament, 507; views on demand for representative government, 508-10; policy of divide and rule, 516; sharp reaction to Congress proceedings, 553, 554; favours greater association of Indians with administration, 555; view of council reforms, 562-63
Duncan, Jonathan, founds Banaras Sanskrit College, 186, 586
Durand, Col., 93
Durga Sahai Surur, Urdu writer, 604
Durbpan, see Bombay Durbpan, 224
Dutta, Maniram Diwan, hanged for conspiring against British Government, 75
Dutt, Romesh Chandra, on economic drain, 316, 320-21; on causes of India's poverty, 335; on Bengali prose, 594
Dutt, Tara Chand, 215
Dwarkanath Tagore, see Tagore, Dwarkanath
East India Company, discriminatory policy, 35-8; composition of its services, 38; treatment of the royal family, 47-9, of Oudh, 49-51, of Nagpur, 52-3, of talukdars of Uttar Pradesh, 53-6, of the peasantry, 56-8, of Indians, 62-3; method of conducting business, 111-13; volume of trade and its impact, 115; inroad in inland trade, 124-25; changing aspect of its trade, 126, 127, 157-59; currency measures, 129-30, farming of land revenue, 131-38; Cornwallis' reforms, and their effect, 138-42; development of colonial economy, 160; appointment of Indians and growth of middle class, 162-65; growing interest in education, 183-86

Economic drain, 316-21, see Drain (Home charges).

Eden, Ashley, 540.

Education, decay of old learning, 170, 174; western and eastern learning contrasted, 172-73; Muslim views, 173-74; resolution on English education, 181; colleges opened by mission, 181-82; progress of English education, 184, 197-98; General Committee of Instruction, 188, English education welcomed by Hindus, 190, supported by Court of Directors, 191; triumph of English education, 188-89, 192; controversy about medium of instruction, 192-93; neglect of Indian languages, 194-95; fosters Indian nationality, 195; neglect of popular education, 196-97; progress of English in Bombay Presidency, 198-200, in Madras Presidency, 200-02, in northern presidency, 202-04, in Punjab, 204; Wood's Despatch, 204-05; main features of new education and defects, 206-09; causes of Muslim backwardness, 209-11, 430; government policy and machi-
nery, 341-33; distrust of missionary enterprise, 434; neglect of primary education, 435-36; progress of secondary education: filtration theory, 437; progress of higher education, 437-38; progress of collegiate education, 438-39, 441, 442; its uneven expansion, 442-43; special encouragement to Muslim education, 444; merits and demerits of English education, 446-47

Edmonstone, N. B., translates criminal code, 586.

Edwardes, Michael, 64

Ekanath, Marathi poet, 596

Elgin, Lord, Governor-General, on racial antagonism, 478, 486; opposes reduction of Europeans in Civil Services, 500, 578

Ellenborough, Lord, view of the Revolt of 1857, 41; attitude towards India, 236.

Elliot, Sir Henry, evil influence of his history, 484; biased view of Muslim rule, 485-86

Elliot, C. A., on poverty of Indian agriculturists, 303.

Elphinstone, Mountstuart, emphasises need of English education, 191, 196; views on education, 198; favours Press control, 223, 266; encouragement to Marathi literature, 598

Elphinstone Institute, 199.

Elphinstone, Lord, 496.

Fair, C. J., editor, Bombay Gazette, deported, 223

Famine Commission, sums up economic situation, 301-05

Faraazi Movement, 11-12

Fardoonji Marzaban, 223

Farhat Ali, Maulavi, 28

Fariduddin Ahmad, Khwaja, Sir Syed Ahmad's maternal grandfather, 352.

Fawcett, Henry, M.P., on injustice of charging to India
expenditure of Afghan war, 343
Firoz Shah, Shahzada, 91, 98, 101
Forjett, Dy. Commr. Bombay Police, puts down conspiracy, 95
Fort St. George College, role in development of Tamil, 605.
Fort William College, role in developing Indian languages, 586
Foster, Henry Pitts, 586
Fowler, Sir Henry, recommends gold standard, 346, 477; on prosperity of India under British rule, 567
Fraser, Lovat, 565
Friend of India, 215; on influence of Press, 227; on treatment of Indians, 479; reactionary attitude, 489
Froude, J. A., 472
Fuller, B., 487, 490

Gadkari, Ram Ganesh, Marathi poet and dramatist, 601
Gadkari, rising, 17-8
Gaikwad, Malhar Rao, 458, 505
Gajadhar Singh, 80
Ganesh Das, 176
Ganga Haibati, Marathi ballad writer, 597
Ganga Narayan, 4
Ghalib, Urdu poet and writer, his influence on Urdu literature, 602-03
Ghaziuddin Haider, Nawab of Oudh, 50
Ghosh, Aurobindo, exposes inadequacies of Congress methods, 577
Ghosh, Girish Chandra, founds Patriot, 451; starts Bengalee, 452
Ghosh, Hemant Kumar, 452
Ghosh, Kashi Prasad, 263
Ghosh, Lal Mohan, 529
Ghosh, Man Mohan, 452
Ghosh, Gan Gopal, 263, 264
Ghosh, Sisir Kumar, 452
Gilchrist, Dr., prepares books in Indian languages, 586-87
Gita Rahasya, its message, 691
Gladstone, W. E., urges bipartisan understanding on imperial policy, 343-4
Godbole, Parashuram Tatyaje, 599
Gokhale, Gopal Krishna, asks for reduction of taxation, 340-41; criticises University Act of 1904, 449; on evil effects of British rule, 572-73
Gomdhar Konwar, 7
Goswami, Krishna Kamal, 586
Government of India, its subordinate position, 476-47; position of the Executive Council, 477; its incapacity to deal with new problems, 478; reorganisation, 531; its financial difficulties, 532
Graham, Col., Sir Syed Ahmad Khan's biographer, 488
Grant, Charles, Chairman Court of Directors, 179; advocates use of English, 184-85; protagonist of English education, 192-93; suggests regulation of Indian economy, 231; contemptuous view of Indian society, 238; view of British rule in India, 481
Gregory, Sir W. H., on appeasing Muslims, 515-16
Griffiths, Sir Percival, 565
Griswold, Dr., tribute to Dayananda Saraswati, 424
Grundert, 182
Gulab Singh of Kashmir, 86
Gupta, Bihari Lal, 540
Gupta, Iswar Chandra, Bengali writer, edits Sambad Prabhakar, 218, 592
Gupta, Ramnudhi, popular poet, 585
Gupte, Rango Bapuji, organises revolt in Satara, 94
Gupta, Sitaram, 94
Gurukhiti, 465
Gurwala, Shrikrishna, 166-67
Guzerat Mitra, 456
Gwalior, Raja of, 92-3
Gyananvesan, journal of Young Bengal, 219
Hali, Altaf Husain, 331; promotes new trends in Urdu literature, 603-04
Hall, Dr. Charles Cuthbert, on ill-treatment of Indians by Englishmen, 489
Hamilton, Lord George Francis, Secretary of State, view of Indian National Congress, 556; recommends policy of divide and rule, 576
Hannay, Col., oppressions in Gorakhpur, 21
Har Dayal, leader of revolt in Rajputana, 92
Hare, David, supports Western education, 187
Hare Krishna Dirghangi, Kabiwal, 583
Harriott, Major, view of 1857 Revolt, 42
Harrison, Sir Henry, emphasises importance of educated class, 544
Haru Thakur, Kabiwal, 583
Har Sukh Rai, Munshi, 225
Hartington, Secretary of State, 506
Harvey, Commr. of Agra, view of 1857 Revolt, 42
Hastings, Lord (Earl of Moira) Governor-General, on appointment of Indians in Services, 163, neglect of popular education, 197; his press regulations, 213, 235
Hastings, Warren, currency measures, 129-30; quinquennial settlements, 133-38; founds Calcutta Madrasa, 186, 213; praises the Gita, 234
Hazrat Mahal, Begam, leads revolt in Oudh, 85, 101-02
Heber, Bishop, view of Indian society, 240
Herschel, Lord, recommendations about monetary policy, 345-46
Hicky’s Gazette, first Indian paper, 212
Hicky, J. A., publishes the first Indian paper (Bengal Gazette), 212
Hill tribes, rising in Bengal and Bihar, 3-5
Hindi literature, adopts Khari, Boli for prose writing, 602
Hindu, early history, 452, 466
Hindu College, 187
Hindu Mela, activities, 529
Hindu-Muslim problem, see Communal problem
Hindu Patriot, 451, 462; exposes indigo plantation system, 534
Hindustan Review, 466
Hitabadi, 462
Hobart, Lord, encouragement to Madras Press, 457
Hobson, J. A., on nature of British rule, 470-71, 474; discredits liberal views of British Government, 480; condemns imperial rule, 503; verdict against British rule, 565
Holwell, 345
Hume, Allan Octavian, warning to Government, 505-06; career and liberal views, 546-47; on objectives of national movement, 547-48; organises Indian National Congress, 548-49; Dufferin’s hostility to his political activity, 554; suggests activating Congress propaganda, 576
Honaji, Marathi ballad writer, 597
Hunter Commission, on neglect of primary education, 436; scope of enquiry and recommendations, 440-41
Hunter, Sir William Wilson, 303; critical of high salaries to civil and military personnel, 337; Muslim opposition to English education, 351; advocates Muslim cause, 366; see also Hunter Commission
Hyde, Sir Edward, supports English education, 187
Ilbert Bill Controversy, 501-02, 540-42
Ilbert, Sir Courtney, 501, 540
Imadullah, Haji, 383
Imam Bakhsh, 69
Imad-ud-din, 78
Impey, Elijah, 213
Inayat Ali, Maulavi, 12, 27
India, English journal to propagate Congress views, 577
Indian Association, 529
Indian Councils Act of 1892, 511; its character, 564
Indian economy, changing pattern, 232-33; British impact, 278-80; its new structure, 280-83; increasing ruralisation, 283-85; deteriorating condition of the agriculturists, 285-87; rising prices, 288-89; increasing land revenue demand, 289-91; evils of subdivision and fragmentation, 292; food supply, 293-94; indebtedness of the peasantry, 294-301; famines and other consequences, 301-05; development of railways, 307-09; development of telegraphs and postal facilities, 309-10; nature of its foreign trade, 310-11; ruinous commercial policy of Government, 311-6; economic drain, 316-21; effect of growth of foreign trade, 321-24; neglect of industrial development, 324-32; occupational distribution, 326; dominance of foreign capital, 327; growing Indian discontent, 333-35; wasteful public expenditure, 335-39; oppressive taxation, 339-42; injustice of public debt, 342-45; currency problems, 345-47; need for change of government, 347-48.
Indian Herald, 224, 453
Indian Middle Class, see Middle Class in India
Indian Mirror, 452, 462
Indian National Congress, resolutions on poverty, 334-35; condemns taxation policy of Government, 340-41; stand on monetary policy, 346-47; Muslim opposition, 376; demands reform of legislative councils, 507; its national basis, 517, 527; genesis and objectives, 545-52; its early membership, 550; impact on Indian people, 552; impact on Government, 553; counteracts communalism, 575; issue of pamphlets and other propaganda, 576-77
Indian nationalism, awakening, 170-71; fostered by English education, 195, 207-03, 446; contribution of Press, 460-62; development thwarted, 513
Indian Press, see Press in India
Indian Social Reformer, 452
Indian Society, impact of Western culture, 170-71, 233; British attitudes towards, 234, 241; response of old order to Western challenge, 241; response of middle class, 241-42
Indian Spectator, 453, 462
Indigo Commission, 533; findings, 534
Indigo disturbances, 533-34
Indu Prakash, bilingual paper, its contributors, 453; its progressive views, 456; criticises Gaikwad's deposition, 458
Inshaalla Khan, Hindi writer, 602
Insurrections, see disturbances
Iyer, G. Subramania, 452, 463, 550
Iyer, U. V. Swaminatha, 605

Jagabandhu, 6
Jagannath Dhal, 4
Jagannath, Raja, 15
Jagannath Shankar Shet, urges education in Indian languages, 195, 266, 526
Jamal-al-Din Afghani, Syed, 384; pioneer of pan-Islamic movement, 364-65, 384
Jambhekar, Bal Sastri, social reformer, founds Bombay Durpan, 224; career and views, 267-68, 599
Jam-i-Jahan Numa, 216, 219, 226, 228
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Jay Dayal, leader of revolt in Rajputana, 92
Jayakar, Ram Balkrishna, 268
Jayananrayan, Bengali poet and author of Harileela, 583
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Jenks, Leyland, on injustice of Home Charges, 318
Jervis, Col., urges claims of Indian languages, 195; prepares Marathi textbooks, 598
Jindan Kaur, Maharani of Panjab, 37
Johnson, Harry G., economist, on effects of unrequited exports, 320
Jones, Sir William, high opinion of Sanskrit literature, 234
Jootha Ram, 20
Joshi, G. V., economist, 238, 289; on inadequate increase of food crops, 293, 310

Kaiser-i-Hind, 464
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Kal, 464
Kandarpswar Singh, 75
Kantu Babu, Warren Hastings' banian, 135
Karamat Ali, Maulavi, 29, 352
Karr, Seton, opposes Ibert Bill, 502
Kelkar, N. C., 464, 601
Keral Patrika, 463
Kerala Varma, Pyche Raja, revolts, 15
Kesari, its views and impact, 462-63, 464, 601
Keshav Sut, (Krishnaji Keshav Damle) Marathi poet, characteristics of his poetry, 601
Keynes, J. M., economist, on payment of tribute, 319
Khare, Vasudev Sastri, 601
Khasi risings, 7-8
Khonds, 6
Kiermander, missionary, 176
Kipling, Rudyard, 451; on white man's burden, 482
Kirloskar, Marathi dramatist, 601

Kirtane, Vinayak Janardan, 600
Knight, Robert, 451
Knowles, L.C.A., 473
Koh-i-Noor, Panjab paper, 225, 457
Kolhatkar, Shripad Krishna, 601
Krishna Kamal Gosain, Yatra-writer, 583
Kulkarni, Shivaram, 94
Kumarappa, J. C., on the iniquity of Indian debts, 319
Kunwar Singh of Bihar, leads revolt in Bihar, 77-8, 98, 102
Kurukshetra, 592
Kushal Singh, Thakur of Auwa, revolts, 91

Lahiri, Ramtanu, 247, 263
Lake, Lord, assurances to Mughal emperor, 49
Lakshmanrao, K. Venkata, Telugu writer, 606
Lakshman Singh, Raja, Hindi writer, 602
Lakshmi Bai of Jhansi, 37; head revolt in Jhansi, 83, 103
Lalluji Lal, Hindi writer, 602
Lamb, Helen, on failure of railway development in India, 287-88
Landholders' Society, 526
Lansdowne, Lord, Governor-General, on representation in Councils, 516-17; defines Government attitude to Congress, 555-56; on council reform of 1892, 564-65
Latour, W. E. De, condemns system of indigo plantation, 533
Lawrence, Sir Henry, comments on Oudh situation, 50-1; on pay-scale of the sepoys, 68
Lawrence, Sir John, Governor-General, 435, 454; on growing estrangement between Englishmen and Indians, 486-87; low opinion of Indians; insistence on British superiority, 497; on prosperity of India under British rule, 566
Legislative Councils, character of 1861 councils; object of 1892 reforms, 561-65
Lee-Warner, 518
Lees, Nassau, advocates Muslim cause, 366
Lethbridge, Roper, on Indian newspapers, 457
Lewis, George Cornewall, 472
Liaqat Ali, Maulavi, 82, 104
Literary Renaissance, 582-607
Local Self-Government, 538-40
Lokahitawadi, see Deshmukh.
Gopal Hari
London Standard, adverse comments on Indian claims, 575
Long, Rev. James, implicated in Nil Darpan prosecution, 534;
catalogues Bengali books, 588
Lyall, Sir Alfred, views on effects of Revolt on Indian Muslims,
349, 350, 366
Lyttton, Lord, Governor-General, passes Vernacular Press Act,
453; on growing estrangement between Englishmen and Indians, 487;
distrusts Indians, 493; on difficulty of admitting Indians to Civil Service, 498-
99, 506; advises appeasement of Muslims, 515, 518; on relations with feudalatory states,
520; lowers age for Civil Service examination, 535-36; other unpopular acts, 537-38; verdict
on his regime, 538
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system of indigo plantation, 533; on injustice of European juries, 542
Macdonald, Ramsay, on injustice of Home Charges, 318
Macdonnell, Lord, on character of Legislative Councils, 561-62
Maclean, Charles, 213
Madhu Kan, Bengali poet, 586
Madras Courier, 224
Madras Gazette, 224

Madras Mail, 451
Madras Native Association, states popular grievances, 526-27
Madras Presidency, effects of Revenue settlements, 57; pro-
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Madras Standard, 451, 452
Mahajan, Bhau, Marathi journ-
alist, 224, 599
Mahajan Sabha, 545
Maharashtra, echoes of Revolt of 1857, 93-5; revivalist move-
ment, 418-21
Mahi-Alam Afroz, 219-20
Mahipati, Marathi poet, 596
Mahipat Ram, Raja, 15-6
Mahmud, Mr. Justice, 488
Mahratta, 453, 462, 464
Maine, Sir Henry, adverse view of representative government
in India, 554
Majithia, Sardar Dayal Singh, sponsors Tribune, 453
Majmun Shah, rebel, 10
Majumdar, Lakshmi Kant, 110
Malabari, Behramji, 453
Malaviya, Pandit Madan Mohan, condemns high public expendi-
ture, 342
Malcolm, Sir John, on need of English education, 191
Malik Rasik Krishna, 246
Mandalik, Vishwanath Narayan, 456
Mann, Dr. Harold, on decreasing size of holdings in the Deccan,
292
Manorama, 463
Man Singh, Raja of Jodhpur, 20, 90
Marathi literature, characteristics in medieval times, 596;
growth of prose in 18th century, 597; contribution of press, 599; new trends, 599-601
Maratha Society, backwardness in 18th century, 265
Marshman, Mrs. Hannah, founds first female school, 178
Marshman, Rev. Joshua, 177; opens schools, 178; contribu-
tion to development of prose, 587

Marshman, John Clark, literary activities, 178-89; edits Sama-
char Darpan, 215; view of British empire, 482

Martin, Commandant, Ch., on the 1857 Revolt, 97

Martin, Robert Montgomery, edits Gyanavesan, 218, 219

Martin, Henry, Chaplain, 176

Mayo, Lord, Governor-General, on incidence of taxation, 341, 476

McCarthy, Justin, view of 1857 Revolt, 41

Mehta, Sir Pherozeshah, liberal leader, 511; forms Bombay
Presidency Association, 545, 555; on common nationality of
Indians, 575

Mehdi Ali, Syed, 361

Mehdi Hasan, 80

Metcalfe, Sir Charles, on annexations, 36; removes restric-
tions on Press, 221-22; advocates change in India, 235

Middle Class in India, keeps away from Revolt, 74-5; ori-
gin, 108-9; 111; role of dadan; merchant, 112, of shroffs, 113, of Banias, 114; cultivates new style of living and outlook,
116-17; growth in Madras and Bombay, 118-22; vicissi-
tudes of trading community, 124-27, 130-31; effects of farm-
ing of land revenue, 131-38, of Cornwallis' law reforms, 138-
41, of commercial reforms, 141-145, of currency reforms, 144-45; new zamindar class, 150-52; 153; growth, 153-56; administra-
tion, 162-63, role of education, 163-64; slow progress in north India, 165-67; effect of common law on outlook, 167-68; effect of railways, 168-69; importance of study of English, 180, 184; response to Western challenge, 241-42; interest in English education,
442-43; influence on Press, 461-62; role in political movement,
524; resentment against British rulers, 528

Mill, James, author and political philosopher, 189; deprecatory assessment of Indian society, 236-37; influence of his His-
tory of India, 483; biased view of Indian society, 484

Mill, John Stuart, views on India and society, 237-38

Minto, Lord, Governor-General, minute on education, 186, 235

Mir Amman, Urdu writer, 604

Mirat-ul-Akhbar, 216; policy, 219

Mir Mithan Ali (Titu Nizam), revolts, 12

Mir Qasim, revolts, 2-3, 132

Missions (Christian), role in 1857 Revolt, 65-6; early activi-
ties, 175, 179; hostility of E.I. Co., 177; work—educational and evangelical, 181-83; work in Bombay Presidency, 200, in Madras Presidency, 200-01, in Panjab, 204; attitude towards Indian society, 238-41; contribution to growth of Bengali, 586-87, to Marathi, 598, to Tamil, 605

Mitra, Bhowani, 135

Mitra, Dinabandhu, 218, 534, 595

Mitra, Kishori Chand, 263

Mitra, Pearey Chand, 247, 263, 264

Mitter, Naba Gopal, 529

Moropant, Marathi poet, 596

Moti Ram, 166

Morison, Theodore, advocates Muslim cause, 368; advice to Muslims, 380-81

Motivala, Pestonji Maneckji, publisher of Jam-e-Jamshed, 223

Mritunjaya, Vidyalankar, writer of early Bengali prose, 178, 587

Mubariz-ud-Daulah, revolts, 16

Mudalian, Vishwanath, 406
advocates restrictions on Press, 222

Muslim Community, dissatisfaction with British rule, 10; backwardness in education, 209-10, 444-45; disastrous effects of the Revolt, 349; alternative suggested by the Ulama, 350; opposition to English education, 351; implication of Syed Ahmad's theology, 357-58; change in British attitude, 365-66; growing tensions against Hindu community, 367; special claims, 366; effect of revivalist movement, 368-70; controversy over cow-killing, 370-71; Hindi-Urdu controversy, 371-73; opposition to Congress, 375-77; failure of Aliagarh movement, 379-81; nationalist Muslims, 387-89; government policy of appeasement, 515-16, 521; opposition to Congress, 550, 575

Muslim faqirs, revolt, 10

Muslim Ulama, hostility to British rule, 529

Nadvi, Syed Sulaiman, opinion of Sir Syed Ahmad, 386

Nageswarao, D. K., Telugu writer, 607

Nagpur, annexation by British, 52-3

Naidu, K. Sridharalu, 406; poet, 596

Nair, Sir Sankaran, condemns Tilak's sentence, 579-80

Nana Sahib, Peshwa, 37; charges against British government, 45-6; 79; leads revolt in Kanpur, 83, 84, 98, 99, 104-05

Napier, Sir Charles, 32-3; comments on Sind annexation, 53, 64

Nargund, chief of, revolts, 95

Narsappa Petkar, 17

Nasiruddin, Nawab of Oudh, 50

Natarajan, K., starts Indian Social Reformer, 452
National Conference, first meeting, 543; second meeting, 544
National Movement, see Political Movement
Nationalist Muslims, 387-39
Native Opinion, bilingual paper, 453, 456
Natu, Sardars, 579
Nazir Ahmad, 381, 603
Nepali schemes, 8-10
Nevinson, H. W., bad manners of English officials, 488-89
New Bengal, see Young Bengal, New India, 462
Newlin, missionary, 182
Nibandhamala, 600
Nietzsche, glorifies superman, 402
Nil Darpan, 534
Nityanand Vairagi, Kabiwal, 583
Nobili, Robert De, Christian missionary, 178, 605
Northbrook, Lord, injustice of charging expenditure of Abyssinian war to India, 343; encourages Muslims, 366, 477; considers competition unsuitable for Indians, 498
North-Western Provinces, 165-67; progress of English education, 203; growth of Press, 463
Nundy, Gopinath, 191

Olcott, Col., 424
Orissa Zamindars, rising, 5-6
Orissa, 1857 Revolt, 76
Oudh, Nawab of, treatment by British, 49-51
Oudh, 1857 Revolt, 85

Padmini Upakhyan, 592
Pal, Kristodas, editor, Hindu Patriot, 452.
Palmerston, imperialist attitude, 483, 531
Pande, Mangal, 75
Pandit, Vishnu Sastri, 456
Panjab, annexation by the British, 52; 1857 Revolt, 86-7
Panjab Land Alienation Act, 300
Panjab Mutinies, 33
Paramahansa Mandali, 268
Paranjape, Shivaram Mahadeo, 462; 601
Parasnis, Dattatraya Balwant, 601
Parsees, journalistic venture, 223
Pashavali, scientific magazine, 219
Peace, Sir Barnes, criticises juries in India, 541
Peel Commission, reorganisation of the Indian army, 495-96
Peel, General, 494
Perry, Sir Erskine, urges claims of English, 195
Persian language, its disuse as court language, 190
Phipps, Mr. 297
Phond Sawant, 18
Phule, Jotiba, Maratha social reformer, career and views, 273-75
Pillai, Minakshi Sundar, 605
Pillai, Vedanayakan, writes first Tamil novel, 605
Pir Ali, 78
Pir Muhammad, Shahzada, 89
Pioneer, 451, 453
Plutschau, Christian missionary, 175
Political movement, beginning, 262-64; role of the middle class, 524; its two phases, 527, 528; stimulated by religious leaders and writers, 527, 528; imperial revival and agitation, 530; opposition by Muslims and others, 575
Poona Herald, 462
Poona Sanskrit College, 199
Pope, Revd., G. U., view of British rule, 482
Portuguese, start printing in India, 212
Prabhakar, 224
Prabhakar, Marathi ballad writer, 597
Prarthana Samaj, 393; doctrines and objects, 400
Pratap Singh, Raja of Satara, 89; deposition and death, 94
Press in Bengal, critical attitude to Government, 455; 462
Press in Bombay, its growth by 1900, 453, 456, 462
Press in India, introduction in India, 212; nature of early papers, 213-14; first Indian paper, 214; Ram Mohan Roy’s journalistic activities, 215-16; restrictions imposed by Government, 216-17; growth, 218; Persian newspapers, 219-20; Hindi newspapers, 220-21; freedom restored by Metcalfe, 221-22; newspapers in Bombay and Madras, 223-24; in north India, 225; attitude towards Government, 226-27; impact on society, 228; comments on Revolt of 1857, 228-29; factors favouring growth, 450; growth of English papers, 451-53; enactment of Section 124 A of Penal Code, 454; influence of Indian language papers, 453; attitude towards Government, 454; strength in Bengal, 454; contribution to national movement, 460-62; general trends: critical attitude to Government, 459-60, 463-64; attitude to Hindu-Muslim differences, 461-62
Press in Madras, 457
Press, Marathi, government disapproval of its attitude, 456-57; hostility to Government, 465
Press in Uttar Pradesh, 463, 466
Press, Urdu, 457
Pringle, revenue settlements in Bombay, 57
Public Service Commission, recommendations, 499, 536
Pyche Raja, revolt 15

Raghunath Pandit, Marathi poet, 596
Rahasya Sandarbha, 455
Railways, accelerate national sentiment, 168-69; failure to stimulate economic growth, 287; their military importance, 560

Raivataka, 592
Rajputana, revolt, 39-92
Rajwade, Vishwanath Kashinath, 601
Ramabai, Pandita, 400
Ram Chandra, Prof., Urdu writer, 604
Ramdas, Marathi poet and saint, 596
Ram Joshi, Marathi poet, 597
Ramakrishna Paramahansa, 393; his religious views and humanism, 413-14
Ramamurti, Gidugu Venkata, 606
Ram Mohan Roy, see Roy, Raja Ram Mohan.
Ramosis, rising, 17
Ram Prasad, Kabiwal, 583
Ranade, Mahadeo Govind, on economic drain, 317, 392; career and views on religion and society, 400-06, 407; eclipsed by orthodoxy, 420, 456, 488; assumes leadership of Sarvajanik Sabha, 544-45; on development of Marathi literature, 599-600
Rand, W., plague commissioner in Poona, murdered, 579
Ranjit Singh, Maharaja of Panjab, 27
Rashid Ahmad Gangohi, founder member, Deoband School, 383; political views, 384
Rast Goftar, paper started by Dadabhai Naoroji, 224, 456
Ratan Nath Sarshar, Urdu writer, 604
Reay, Lord, Governor of Bombay, 515
Rees, J. D., Member, Executive Council, 565; defends British rule, 566
Reis and Rayyat, 452
Religious Reform Movements, 391-92, 407-18; effects on national integration, 428
Rendaikar, Marathi poet, 601
Representative government, its suitability for India discussed, 502-12
Revenue Settlements, in Uttar Pradesh, 54-7; in Bombay, and Madras, 57, in Central Provinces, 59, in Panjab, 60

Revolts of 1857, its character, 40-7; ill-treatment of Indians, 62-3; British contempt of Hindu religion, 64; propaganda of Christian missions, 65; alarm created by measures of Government, 66; sepoy grievances, 67-9; organisation and composition of Company's army, 70-2; greased cartridge, 72-3; its widespread nature, 74; rising, in Assam, 75, in Orissa, 76, in Chhota Nagpur, 76, in Bihar, 77-8, in Uttar Pradesh, 79-80, in Delhi, 80, in Agra, 82, in Kanpur, 82, in Jhansi, 83, in Oudh, 84-5, in Panjab, 86-9, in Sind, 89, in Rajputana, 89-92, in Central India, 92-3, in Maharashtra, 93-5, in Hyderabad, 95-6; review and personalities, 96-105, 106-07; attitude of Indian Press, 228-29.

Rhodes, Cecil, African ambitions, 483

Ripon, Lord, Governor-General, interest in Indian education, 440; on Vernacular Press Act, 459; supports Ilbert Bill, 502; plea for introduction of representative government, 506; conciliatory policy, 538; resolution on Local Self-Government, 539-40; Ilbert Bill controversy, 540-42

Rivaz, Sir Charles, Member, Governor-General's Council, states object of Panjab Land Alienation Bill, 299

Robertson, T. C., comments on revenue settlements in Uttar Pradesh, 54

Roberts, Lord, 501

Roy, Ballabh, Raja of Vikrampur, 583

Roy, Basik Chandra, poet, 585

Roy, Dasarathi, poet, 585

Roy, Krishna Chandra, Raja of Nadia, 583

Roy, Harchandra, starts first Indian paper, 214

Roy, Ram Mohan, Raja, 162; solicitude for Western learning, 180-81, 187, 188, 189, 190; propagates social reform through Kaumudi and other journals, 215-16; protests against restrictions on Press, 217, 218, 243; atmosphere at birth, 247; family and early career, 248-50; religious ideas, 250-54; ideas of social reform, 254; political ideas and aspirations, 255-60; organises Brahmo Samaj, 260-61; death, 261, 393-4; contribution to growth of Bengali literature, 588-89

Rudd, 486

Rupchand, Konar, 7

Russell, W. H., comments on British treatment of the royal family, 48

Ryan, Sir Edward, on British Juries in India, 541

Saadat Ali Khan, Nawab of Oudh, 49-50

Sadasukh Lal, Munshi, edits Hindi and Urdu papers, 225, 602

Sadharani, 455

Sajjad Husain, Urdu writer, 604

Salar Jang, Sir, Nizam's Minister, 95, 493

Salisbury, Lord, Secretary of State, on taxation policy of Government, 339, 570; suggests control of Indian Press, 458; dislikes appointment of Indians in high posts, 498; policy towards feudatory princes, 520

Samachar Chandrika, 218

Samachar Darpan, the first Bengali weekly, its contents, 215; 587

Sambad Kaumudi, 215, 587

Sambad Prabhakar, 218, 587

Sambad Purna Chandrodaya, 218

Samiullah Khan, 377
Sanjivani, 462
Santhals, 5
Sanyasis, revolt, 12-13
Sarvajanik Sabha, its foundation
and objectives, 544-45
Sastri, Sibnath, 397, 454; founder member, Indian Association,
529
Sati, 254
School Book Society (Bombay),
contribution to growth of
Marathi prose, 598
Scott, David, 8
Secretary of State for India, his
autocratic position, 475-76
Seeley, Sir John, historian, ridicules concept of Little England,
472
Sen, Keshab Chandra, social
reformer, 262, 302, 394; contribution to Brahma Samaj, 395-
97, 407, 452, 455
Sen, Krishna Prasanna, 409
Sen, Nabin Chandra, 409; writes patriotic poetry, 592
Sen, Narendra Nath, edits
Indian Mirror, 452
Sen, Ram Kamal, 265
Sepoy Army, grievances, 67-8;
organisation, composition, 70-
72; see Revolt of 1857
Sepoy Mutinies, 30-33
Serampur Akhbar, 219
Seymour, Danby, M.P., condemns Government, 60
Shah Muhammad Ismail, 26
Shamsuddin, Nawab of Loharu,
220
Shams-ul-Akhbar, 219
Shariatullah, Kazi, founder of
Faraizi movement, 11
Shaw, George Bernard, on
English character, 37
Shibli Nuni, Persian and
Arabic scholar, 377; views:
educational and political, 385-
86; 604
Shivacharitra, 597
Shiva Prasad, Raja, Hindi
writer, 602
Shore, F. J., on Englishman’s
estimate of Indians, 240
Shore, Sir John (Lord Teign-
mouth), G. G., 138
Shroffs, 113
Shujah-ud-Daulah, 49
Shukla, Pandit, Jugal Kishore,
220
Siddiqui Hasan Khan, Nawab of
Bhopal, 361
Sikandar Jah, Nizam, animosity
to the British, 16
Silk-culture, 161
Simhasana Battisi, first printed
Marathi book, 598
Sind, annexation by Britts, 53;
revolt, 89
Sinha, Santiram, 135
Siraj-ul-Akhbar, Court Gazette,
225
Slagg, M. P., 553
Smith, Samuel, M.P., advises
extension of political rights,
553
Smith, Vincent, view of 1857
Revolt, 42
Social reform, attitude of conservatives, 242, of radicals, 246, of
liberals, 250; Ram Mohan Roy’s ideas of reform, 254-55, 262;
reform movement in Maharashtra, 265-75, in Parsee community,
275-76, among Gujaratis, 276-77; Sir Syed Ahmad’s ideas, 354-57;
Brahmo Samaj, 393-99; Ranade, 400-04; controversy between Ranade and
Tilak, 420-21; impact of new ideas on society, 426-29
Society for Acquisition of General Knowledge, 525
Soma Prakash, 454-55
Sridhar Kathak, 586
Sridhar, Marathi poet, 596
Sridhar Pathak, Hindi writer, adopts ‘Khari Boll’ for Hindi
poetry, 602
Stanley, Earl, 401, 435
Statesman, 451
Stephenson, R. M., Government
objective in development of
railways, 288
Stephen, Sir James Fitzjames,
opposes Ilbert Bill, 502
Strachey, John, Socialist author, verdict against British rule, 565
Strachey, Sir John, 366; British policy on communal divisions, 516; defence of British rule, 565-66
Strachey, Richard, defence of British rule, 565-66
Subodh Patrika, mouthpiece of Prarthana Samaj, 400
Sulabh Samachar, first cheap paper, 455
Surendra Sahi, rising in Orissa, 76
Sultan-ul-Akhbar, criticises British rule, 220
Swadeshnamitram, 463
Syed Ahmad of Rae Bareli, religious divine, 12; career and activities 23-24, 27; leads Jihad, 86, 383
Syed Ahmad Khan, Sir, on causes of Revolt of 1857, 62; evil effect of Christian propaganda, 65; career, 352-53; ideas of social and religious reform, 354-57; liberal outlook; emphasises Hindu-Muslim unity, 357-58; work as educationist, 359-61; his politics, 362-64; upset by Indian National Congress, 367; perturbed over Hindi-Urdu controversy, 372-73; over politics of Indian National Congress, 373; organises Muhammedan Educational Conference, 374; opposition to Congress, 375-77; character and achievements, 377-80; his failure, 381, 386, 389, 392, 438, 505, 514; 550, 575, 603-04
Syed Ameer Ali, 361, 373; urges separatist views, 381-82, 389, 514, 544
Syed Jamal-al-Din Afghani, see Jamal-al-Din Afghani, Syed
Sykes, Col., 164

Tafazzul Husain Khan, Nawab, 82
Tagore, Debendranath, 138; re-organises Brahma Samaj, 261, 218, 261, 525
Tagore, Dwarkanath, 158, 162, 392, 384-85, 452, 526
Tagore, Dwijendranath, 455
Tagore, Prasanna Kumar, 218
Tagore, Rabindranath, tribute to Ram Mohan Roy, 253, 455, 594
Tagore, Sir Jotindra Mohan, 505
Taj-ud-din, 87
Tambe, Marathi poet, 601
Tamil literature, creation of early prose by missionaries, 604; its growth, 605-06
Tarka Chudamani, Sasadhar, defends orthodoxy in Bengal, 408-09
Tarkalankar, Jay Gopal, 218
Tarkhad, Atmaram Panduranga, 268
Tarkhad, Dadoba Panduranga, social reformer, 268, 599
Tattwabodhini Patrika, 587
 Tayler, William, Commissioner of Patna, 78
Tea, 160
Telang, Kasinath Trimbak, 545, 550, 456
Telugu literature, new trends, 606-07
Temple, Sir Richard, on popular keenness for English education, 437, 506; on Indian reaction to British rule, 514, 565
Tennyson, Alfred, Poet-laureate, on England's greatness, 482
Thackeray, 135
Thakur, Mohan Prasad, 178
Theosophical Movement, doctrines, 424-26
Thomason, James, Lt. Governor, U.P., measures to impart education, 203
Thompson, Sir A. Rivers, 515
Thompson, George, organises Bengal British India Society, 525
Thombre, Marathi poet, 601
Thorner, Daniel, American economist, effect of British rule on Indian agriculture, 304
Tilak, Bal Gangadhar, 376; champions orthodoxy, advocates political advance, 420-21; influence on Marathi Press, 464; sentenced for sedition, 465; presses for dynamic agitation, 577; his career; organises Ganapati and Shivaji festivals, 578-79; contribution to Marathi prose, 601
Tilak, Rev. Narayan Vaman, poet, 601
Times of India, beginning, 451; outlook and views, 452-53
Times (London), 507
Tipu (of Pagal Panthi sect), 11
Tirat Singh, 7-8
Titu Nizam, 12
Tope, Tatya, 92; activities in 1857 Revolt, 102-03
Torture, its use for collection of revenue, 60-1
Trevelyen, Sir Charles, advocates use of English, 193; on progress of English education, 197; advocates freedom of Press, 222; states Government commercial policy, 312; plea for representative government, 503-04
Trevelyen, G.O., view of 1857 upheaval, 43; on racial antagonism, 478, 488
Tribune, 458
Tucker, Maj. General, recommends policy of divide and rule in army, 495
Tukaram, Marathi poet and Saint, 596
Tulsidas, Marathi ballad writer, 597
Tyabji, Badruddin, nationalist Muslim, views, 387-90; forms Presidency Association, 545; pleads for Muslims joining Congress, 576
Udant Martand, first Hindi newspaper, 220
Ujjal Sahi, 76
Ulama, advocate religious reforms and political freedom, 350
Umaji Naik, 17
Urdu literature, 602; new trends, 603-04
Uttar Pradesh, revenue settlements, 54-7; 1857 Revolt, 79; 202-04
Vagar-ul-Mulk, leader of Aligarh movement, 381
Vaman Pandit, Marathi poet, 596
Vazir Khan, 88
Veda Samaj, 396, 406
Vellore Mutiny, 31-2
Velu Tampi (Diwan of Travancore), 15
Vernacular Literature Society, promotes growth of Bengali literature, 588
Vernacular Press Act of 1878, condemned by Indian Press, 458-59, 537
Vidyabhushan, Dwarkanath, 454
Vidyasagar, Iswar Chandra, 392, 454; contribution to Bengali prose, 594
Vinesalingam, Kandukuri, sets new trends in Telugu literature, 606
Virjananda Swami, 422
Vira Raja, Raja of Coorg, his revolts 15
Vir Singh, 89
Vivekananda, Swami, 393; personality and views, 414-15; rouses patriotic sentiments, 529
Vivekavardhini, Telugu paper, 460
Vizianagaram, Raja of, revolts, 14-5
Voice of India, 453
Voelcker, Dr., Agricultural Chemist, 287

Wagheras, rising, 19
Wahhabi movement, see Waliullahi movement
Wahhabi Rafique Mandal, 534
INDEX

Wajid Ali Khan, munshi, 225
Wajid Ali Shah, Nawab of Oudh, result of dethronement, 51.
Waliullahi movement, 23-30.
Wali Ullah, Shah, Muslim divine and leader, views, 382
Wallich, Nathaniel, 160
Ward, William, Christian missionary, 177; casts types for Bengali letters, 178; view of Indian society, 239, 481
Waris Ali, 78
Wazir Ali, 21
Wedderburn, William, 577
Wellesley, Lord, Governor-General, assurances to the Mughal Emperor, 48-9; imposes censorship on Press, 213, 235
Wilayat Ali, Maulavi, 12, 27
Wilberforce, William, view of Indian society, 239
Wilkins, Charles, high opinion of Sanskrit literature, 234
Wilson, H.H., 219
Winslow, E. M., 493
Wood, Sir Charles, Secretary of State, despatch on education, 204-05; view of missionary activities, 241; government policy on education, 431-33; concern over growing racialism, 486; views on reorganisation of Indian army, 496; low opinion of Indian character, 497; view of representative Government for India, 504, 505; recommends policy of divide and rule, 514-15

Yahya Ali, 23
Yamaaji, Marathi ballad writer, 597
Yates, William, Christian missionary, literary activities, 179
Young Bengal, contribution to new India, 244-46
Yusuf Ali, 78

Zakaullah, 381, 604
Ziegenbalg, Bartholomew, Christian missionary, 175; establishes Tamil printing press, 605
Zubdat-ul-Akhbar, 225
"A book that is shut is but a block"
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