COINS OF SOUTHERN INDIA
GOING TO SOUTH INDIA
AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

The appearance of this contribution to the International Numismata Orientalia has been much delayed by the failure of my sight, which has latterly proved a serious hindrance in the revision of the press, and more especially so in the re-examination and description of the coins themselves.

The difficulty of correcting proofs by means of the ear alone must be obvious, and will suffice to explain many of the typographical errors that would have been removed had the slips chanced to pass under my own eye.

In describing some of the coins here noticed, I had to trust chiefly to memory, which, notwithstanding the cheerful aid rendered by members of my own family, and by several friends, often left me in doubt and perplexity.

The plan I have followed in this essay has been to give a slight historical sketch of the principal southern rulers who issued dynastic coinages, as far as the limited means at my disposal would admit. Where the data for these were wanting or defective, I have indulged in greater detail, especially where the received history, such as it is, appeared to be inexact, or the chronology faulty or open to question. My speculations on these points may be amended and adjusted as the progress of the South Indian Archæological Survey brings more comprehensive evidence to light.

Residing in a distant part of this country, I have had to depend for the leading facts, in a great measure, on my own library and on my own original collection of coins. Aided by these, it has been my aim merely to give such a sketch of the monetary system of the Hindu principalities of the south, as will assist future numismatists to enter more fully into the coinage of the different dynasties. Hitherto specimens of earlier coins have rarely been met with, not because they...
were wanting, but because when found, as they are, in considerable numbers, they are at once converted into articles of modern use. When, however, the demand for them now manifested has given to them an extrinsic value, in excess of the market price of bullion, they will find their way more readily into the hands of collectors.

In conclusion, I must express my gratitude to Mr. Edward Thomas, the superintending editor of the International Numismata Orientalia, for the constant aid he has afforded me, notwithstanding his own important and absorbing pursuits, not only in the shape of frequent advice and annotations, but in the arrangement and description of the plates, which are almost entirely his work. I have also to thank General G. G. Pearse, C.B., for his liberality in placing the contents of his own valuable collection at my free disposal, and for the ready assistance he has afforded me in arranging my own specimens when I was unable to do so from the failure of my sight and on all other occasions when I consulted him; Dr. Codrington and Mr. J. Giebels for similar contributions of the early coins of Western India; and Mr. P. Ramaswami Raju, Professor of Tamil in London University, for his readings of the legends on the Pandyian coins. Nor must I omit to acknowledge the aid I have received from my old Tamil instructor, Samuel Pillay, late of the Vepery Mission, Madras.

I have interchanged frequent communications with Bishop Caldwell while in England, with Dr. Gunther of Calw in Wurtemberg, and Dr. Rost of the India Office Library, to all of whom I must now express my grateful thanks. Colonel Yule has kindly undertaken to superintend the correction of the map.

Wolfe's, Hayick N.B., November 15, 1884.

WALTER ELLIOT.
The following comparative view of the Devanagari and the Tamil alphabets exhibits the relations which the one bears to the other" (Caldwell, Devanagari Grammar, page 13).

**Vowels.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, i, u</td>
<td>a, i, u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e, o</td>
<td>e, o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, i</td>
<td>a, i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e, o</td>
<td>e, o</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consonants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gutturals, Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, h</td>
<td>a, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g, jh, ph, ng</td>
<td>g, jh, ph, ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patalas, Sanskrit</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch, chh, jh, jh, nj</td>
<td>ch, chh, jh, jh, nj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labials, Sanskrit</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p, bh, m</td>
<td>p, bh, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linguals, Sanskrit</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f, v, h</td>
<td>f, v, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sibilants and Aspirates, Sanskrit</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s (or f), ch, n, h</td>
<td>s (or f), ch, n, h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dental</th>
<th>Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t, dh, n</td>
<td>t, dh, n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Palatal</th>
<th>Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t, dh, n</td>
<td>t, dh, n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labial</th>
<th>Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p, bh, m</td>
<td>p, bh, m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lingual</th>
<th>Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>f, v, h</td>
<td>f, v, h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sibilant and Aspirate, Sanskrit</th>
<th>Tamil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s (or f), ch, n, h</td>
<td>s (or f), ch, n, h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
METHODS OF TRANSLITERATION suggested by different authorities, for the purpose of reproducing IN ROMAN TYPE, THE CRITICAL EQUIVALENTS OF THE SEMITIC ALPHABETS, with the compromise suggested for THE INTERNATIONAL NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.—(Cols. 8, 9.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sir W. Jones</th>
<th>Mr. T. Johnson</th>
<th>Mr. J. Chaldec.</th>
<th>Dr. Wright</th>
<th>Mr. Lane</th>
<th>Fermin.</th>
<th>Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th or g</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
<td>ch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
<td>kh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diacritical dots may be omitted at option, but preferentially where the original text accompanies the romanized version.

No. 4.—Arabic Lexicon. London, 1862. No. 5.—The International Numismata Orientalia—Persian, etc. No. 6.—Arabic Grammar. London, 1874–75.
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COINS OF SOUTHERN INDIA.

INTRODUCTION.

The part of India to which the following Section of the International Numismata Orientalia refers is that lying to the south of the Narbadā (Narmādā) river, and the Vindhya Mountains, now known as the Dakhan, to distinguish it from Hindustan proper, a term which, in its restricted sense, is confined to Northern India. Between them lies an extensive tract of hill and forest, the Highlands of Central India, which forms not only a geographical, but also an ethnographical boundary, separating the Aryan from the Dravidian races. The latter, although not the first occupants of the land, preceding the Aryans. They appear to have arrived by successive immigrations from their Trans-Himalayan seats, driving out or enslaving the aboriginal inhabitants, and each new swarm pushing the preceding arrivals onwards until they settled into their several localities, where they now form the Tamilian, Canarese, Telugu, and other communities. In the course of these displacements, some, unable to find a resting-place in the plains, betook themselves to the Highland region, where they have preserved their primitive character in feature, language, and manners, with little change.¹

Before being restricted to their present limits, the Dravidians, as may be inferred from scattered communities of their race still subsisting in the Sub-Himalayan region, the Rāmajahal Hills, etc., appear to have been spread over Northern India, whence they were ejected by another race of intruders from the north-west. The Aryans, after establishing themselves in the Punjab, eventually became the predominant power in the Gangetic valley, but they do not appear to have crossed the Vindhyan barrier in force, or to have gained a permanent footing in the Dakhan.² This did not hinder them from maintaining a friendly intercourse with their southern neighbours. When the traditionary Agastya, overcoming the obstacles interposed by the mountainous regions, penetrated into the south,³ he found a people enjoying advanced institutions

¹ They are comprehended under the general Sanscrit term of Daksānapathajāmāsas, of whom a list is given in Wilson's Sanscrit Dictionary, sub voce, p. 396. The only one of these now found existing, under the names therein given, as an independent clan, are the Savanas, who occupy a district to the west of Ganjam. To these may be added the Khonda, Gonds, Bils, Sontals, and other rule tribes.
² The Sanscrit word Dakshina (vulgo dakhan) signifies right as distinguished from left. The Aryans, coming from the west, applied this term to the country on their right hand, which thus became synonymous with south. In like manner the country before (plevra) then became pārda, and, parā—east, and the inhabitants pārphās or easterns. Another word for east, prāditā, supplied the Greeks with the name of Parsi for the people of the Gangetic valley.
³ Hence the additional name or title given to him of Vindyas-Kula, Vindhyas-humbler. Wilson, Dict. p. 772.
and a literature of their own, which enabled them to appreciate the elegance of the Sanscrit language, and to profit by the Aryan learning, of which it was the depository. At the same time they imparted, not unacceptably, to their visitors, all that they knew themselves. Nor did the mutual advantage of these new relations end here. Thenceforward Sanscrit continued to be sedulously cultivated in conjunction with native literature, and in after-ages, when the existence of the former was imperilled by the wars and political convulsions that overwhelmed its original seat, it not only found an asylum in the South, but was transmitted in its most approved condition to modern times. But although the Dravidians were not the earliest settlers, and although they have not been exempt, and that in no small degree, from external influences, it is from them that the civilized part of the Dakhan derives its characteristic features in language and institutions. Among the latter may especially be noticed its monetary system, and the coins in which it is expressed. These occur in great variety in all parts of the country, according to the range of the dynasties from which they originated. But here a preliminary difficulty at once presents itself. The history of these Powers has never been written. Nor is this all. In some instances the territorial names of the countries over which they reigned have been changed and their limits altered. The sites of some of their capitals are unknown, or are only recognized by inscriptions (fortunately not rare), and by the coins found among their ruins. Even these, when discovered, are too often without legends or dates, by which they can be assigned to their proper authors. The principal and most important of the states of the Dakhan arose in the northern part of the country, the physical character of which was favourable to their territorial aggrandizement. The natural aspects of the southern districts, on the other hand, kept the people distinct from their northern neighbours, and their relations were confined in a great measure to transactions among themselves. The most remarkable feature of North Dakhan is an extensive table-land rising on the north from the Valley of the Tapši, and bounded on the west by the Sahyādri Mountains. Most of our maps exhibit well-defined ranges

1 That Dravidian literature had been highly cultivated by native students at an early period cannot be disputed. But the preference of European scholars for the study of Sanscrit has kept its merits too much in the shade, although it has not been possible to ignore them altogether. Professor Max Müller admits that there are grounds for believing that the Taittirīya or Black Yajur Veda had been studied and annotated by the non-Aryans of Dravīdā, from which he draws a gratuitous inference adverse to its antiquity (Soma Līk. 2nd ed. p. 334); and he refers to Dr. Weber's notice of a northern pandit quoting with respect the comments of Dākshinātya on Vedic subjects. According to Burnett, the science of grammar (syatkāra) was cultivated in the south from a very early period, not as derived from Sanscrit, but as communicated from a divine source, in other words, as being of indigenous origin (Aindra Gram., 1876, pp. 35, 66, 67, etc.). It has further been held that the Sanscrit phonetic system was derived (in part at least) from the south, and that the original Aryan alphabet was "superior" to the more exact and appropriate system of the south" (E. Thomas, in Preece's Essays, vol. II. p. 50; and Dynasty of the Goyas, p. 51, note), and certainly the harsh sounds of the cerebral consonants agree better with the rugged tones of the Telian dialects than with the polished utterance of the Aryan tongue. Er. Gündert has pointed out the not inconsiderable number of Dravidian roots adopted into Sanscrit, a fact persistently ignored by northern pandits (Zeit. Merg. Gesch, vol. xxii. p. 517), to which Dr. Caldwell adds his testimony in the second Edition of his Comparative Grammar, p. 454, et seq.

2 "It must never be forgotten," Burnett observes, "that under the barbarian kings of Southern India the Sanscrit literature flourished more than it perhaps had ever done before, and that not only did this foreign civilization reduce Southern India to order, but even extended thence to the Malay Archipelago." And he adds, in a note, that the Javanese civilization was derived from Kalinga, and from Southern rather than Northern India.

3 Several of the standard texts have been edited with much acceptance by southern scholars, and the most approved commentary on the Vedas were the work of the celebrated minister of Vijayaditya, the last notable Hindu kingdom of the south. Burnett's Aindra Gram. 1876, pp. 4, 16, 56, 67, etc.; Sir W. Jones on Vaiśeṣika, As. Res. vol. I. p. 229, 5th edition.
of hills on the north, the east, and the south, but they are not really continuous like those on the west. On these three sides the plateau is defined by an abrupt slope or scarp, rising occasionally into detached groups of hills and rocky ridges unconnected with each other, but, sometimes, as at Kambakkam-drúg, Nagari-nose, and other places, attaining a considerable height. The eastern limit stretches in a southerly direction as far as Venkatagiri and Tripati, whence it slopes towards the south-west until it meets the Sahyadri chain at the place where it is broken by the remarkable gap, Pálghát. These natural limits are pierced by passes called gháts, through which the roads are carried that afford access from the low country (or Páyan-gháṭ) to the upper plateau (or Bálá-gháṭ). Hence the boundary-lines have received the names of the northern, eastern, and southern gháts. It was on this elevated table-land of Kuntala, as it was originally called, that the principal Hindu kingdoms known to us were established on the subjugation of the aboriginal settlers. Here, for about fourteen or fifteen centuries, the Andhras, the Chalukyas, the Vádavas, and other dynasties ruled, until the Mahomedan conquest swept over the land, and continued in the ascendant. With the destruction of Hindu States the name of Kuntala fell into disuse, and was replaced by three principal territorial designations, viz. Mahárástrá, Karnataká, and Andhra, derived from the languages spoken by the inhabitants. A line drawn from the city of Bídá to Sadáslívgháth on the Malabar coast, curving first somewhat to the north, and then pursuing a south-west course, marks approximately the division between the Mahárástra and the Cánarese inhabitants. A second line, carried nearly due South from the same point, a little west of Nándidrúg, Adwánl, and Kolár, separates the Telugu-speaking people of Andhra from the Karnatak, a term which by a strange perversion has been transferred to the Tamil country, now known as the modern Carnatic. Of the maritime country on either side of Kuntala, the Konkan on the west has generally followed the fortunes of the dominant power above the gháts. The corresponding strip on the east side consisted of two provinces, Kálinga, reaching from the Mahánádi to the Godávari, was the first settlement of the Andhras, and Véngi, which, extending from the Godávari to Nellóre, belonged to the Pallavas, an aboriginal or very early race, subjugated by the Chalukyas, first in the table-land, and afterwards in Véngi. Both fell under the sway of the Chalukyas of Rájamahendri; and the name of Véngi, like that of Kuntala, became obsolete, while Kálinga still retains its name, although it is now rarely used. Subsequently it was added to the kingdom of Oríssá. The rest of the Dákhan, from Nellóre and the gháts to the sea, is the ancient Drávida-désam, the land of the Tamilians, the typical as well as the oldest section (certainly in Índia) of the Dravidian family. From time immemorial it has been known as the country of the Chola Chéra and Pándyan princes, dynastic titles assumed by different families which have successively risen to eminence. The northern

2 The Konkanas generally (Saápiákonk纳斯) were—i. Kérá; 2. Tula or Tálánga; 3. Góa or Góva shakeh; 4. Konk纳斯 proper; 5. Karitélah; 6. Varalattá; 7. Bárba. But the lists vary, and some show Kóva and Mouhika, as the two most southerly districts, but they are, in fact, subdivisions of Kérá.
portion, or Cholamandalam, extended from the Kavery to the Palar, to which was added by the conquest of the Karumbarnadu the district of Tonjamandalam, as it was thenceforth called. From the Kavery to Cape Comorin, Pandyamandalam, and the Chera country comprised all the territory westward to the sea; but on the dismemberment of the latter, then the most powerful of the three, in the fourth century, its eastern portions were formed into two minor states, Serahum or Chera proper, the capital of which was Talkad and Konkanadu, the chief city of which was Karur (Caroor), near the Palghat; while the western districts on the sea-coasts became known as Keralam, now constituting the kingdom of Travancore.

The following is a tabular statement of the principal dynasties of the Dakhan, the coins of which may be expected to be identified.

A.—Hindu Dynasties of South India.

I. The Andhras of Kuntala.
II. The Pallavas of Badami, Vengi, and Kanchi.
III. The Chalukyas of Keralam. 430–1189 A.D.
IV. The Chalukyas of Kuntala. 480–1150 A.D.
VI. The Chola-Chalukyas. 820–1150 A.D.
VII. The Rāṣṭras or Raṭṭas of Mānyakhēta (Malkhed). 660–972.
VIII. The Kālachuris of Kalyān. 1128–1183.
IX. The Yādavas of Devagiri (Daulatabad). 1157–1311.
X. The Yādava Hoyala-Ballâs of Dwarasamudra or Halabidu. 1047–1310.
XI. The Kakatiyas of Anumakonda, or Orugal (Worangal).
XII. The Cholas.
XIII. The Chêras of Drâvida-dēsam.
XIV. The Pandyas.
XV. The Pandyas-Cholâs.
XVI. The Konku, or Ganga Chêras.
XVII. The Yâdavas of Vijayanagar, Bijanagar, or Anagundi. 1384.
XVIII. The Yâdavas of Maisur.

This list does not include two minor dynasties, viz. the Silhâras of Kolkâpur and the Vena Reddis of Konâvâlu, of which few details were forthcoming.

---

1 The transliteration of the name causes much perplexity, owing to the peculiar sound of the Tamil letter ೌ, which has been rendered by f, r, s, ch. There being already two f's and two s's, Bache describes it as another r, formed by pressing the tip of the tongue to the extreme back of the palate, producing a sound, which, in despair, he compares avec vitula matres vocantis.

The initial is pronounced sometimes as s, sometimes as ș. Hence comes the sîra, sîla, chola, chera mandalam of different writers. The early Portuguese and Dutch voyagers always wrote Choramandla and Choramandel, as the former, like the English, often pronounces the ș hard, the modern Coromanlal is easily accounted for.—Caldwell, Comp. Gram. pp. 28, 29.
B.—The Mahomedan Kings of the Dakhan.

I. The Bāhmanies of Kabburga. 1347–1525.
II. The Adil Shāhis of Bijapur. 1489–1660.
III. The Nizam Shāhis of Ahmadnagar. 1490–1607.
IV. The Kutb Shāhis of Golconda. 1512–1671.
V. The Ismāil Shāhis of Elichpur. 1484–1568.
VI. The Berar Shāhis of Bidar. 1492–1669.
VII. The Fāruki of Kandesh. 1379–1396.

The coinage of these Mahomedan princes does not enter into the scope of our present paper.

Of all these dynasties, long enduring and widely ruling, as some of them were, we possess numismatic examples in appreciable numbers of the Andhra, Chalukya, and Bijanagar princes only in North Dakhan. Those of Drāvidas are more numerous, although examples of the earlier princes are still scanty.

Coins of the Mahomedan rulers are also rare, but are known to exist in larger numbers in private hands, and may hereafter possibly be fully illustrated.

During the last two centuries many local governors, Zamindārs, Poligars (Pālayakars), and district chiefs,1 taking advantage of the weakness of the paramount power, assumed the right of issuing money coined by themselves, and thus have flooded the country with gold, silver, and copper in endless variety, the later issues becoming more and more degraded, till all resemblance to the type from which they professed to be derived was lost.

It thus happens that of the great number of uncurren coins which are constantly discovered, very few are worth the attention of the numismatist. Specimens of value for their rarity, or the light they throw on the past, are doubtless not of unfrequent occurrence, but they seldom find their way into the cabinet of the collector. Gold and silver pieces are speedily converted into jewels. The copper, of which vast quantities are collected by itinerant peddlars, are sold as old metal, and amongst the heaps of these, which have been occasionally inspected, it requires a practised eye and much patience to select a piece worth preserving from the mass of dirt and verdigris with which they are associated.

The prizes that have occasionally rewarded such quests show what may be expected when more have been rescued from the goldsmith's crucible and the brazier's melting-pot.

1 Such were the Kājas of Sunda, the Bedar chiefs of Surapur, and Bedow or Nagur, the Rōdös of Condivir, the Poligars of the Ceded Districts (Ballari and Kadapa), the Zamindars of Ramnad and Shavangla, the Nawabs of Saranur, Ginji, and Kadapa, the Nayaks of Tanjore, Tiruchinapali, and Madura, etc.
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Of such as have hitherto escaped that fate, a few are preserved in museums, where they have excited little attention. More have passed into private hands and been dispersed. Few have been hitherto published.  

The series now to be described consists of a Collection formed in India during several years, from 1825 to 1859, supplemented by a few examples communicated by the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, and such as could be found in the British and Indian Museums, and a few in private cabinets. They are arranged in three Classes:—

A.—Coins of lead, copper, and mixed metal, found in the north of Kuntala, especially in the Delta of the Godavari and Krishna.

B.—Coins of Drávida, and the Western coast, exhibiting the progress of the normal native currency, from the prehistoric purána (described by Mr. Thomas in Vol. I. p. 52) to the artistic issues of the Vijayanagar mint.

C.—Coins of Eastern Drávida and Coromandel, imitated apparently from a northern type, intermingling, by degrees with those of Class II., and becoming gradually degraded till they exhibit the scarcely recognizable symbols of the latest native coinage.

1 Prof. H. H. Wilson, As. Res. vol. xvii. p. 559.

2 The following list contains all with which I am acquainted:—

I. A single plate in Harmsen's Numis. Or. 1823-5.

II. A single plate, No. 266 in More's Hindu Pantheon, 1828.

III. A nominal list of Col. Mackenzie's Collection, without figures or description, and comprising 55 gold coins, 33 silver, 47 copper, and a few lead types, of which a few are described in the text (Wilson's Cat. ii. cxxx. 1828).

IV. Select coins from originals and drawings in possession of the As. Soc by H. H. Wilson, with figures. As. Res. vol. xvii. 1832, plates iv. and v.


VII. Occasional notice in J. R. A. S. Bombay, from 1844 to 1870.

VIII. Occasional mention in Proceedings J. A. S. B., and

IX. In Indian Antiquity.
A.—NORTHERN DIE COINS.

SECTION I.

ANDHRAS.

The first class contains the earliest die-struck coins. They belong chiefly to the Andhra dynasty, of which both the era and the original seat are involved in much obscurity. It is certain that the princes of this race were established in the Valley of the Krishna and the Delta of the Godavari about the beginning of the Christian era, whence they extended their sway across the Table-land to the opposite coast, and as far north as Bombay and Nasik. But they are also stated to have flourished previously on the banks of the Ganges, and the evidence in support of this assertion requires to be carefully examined in virtue of its bearing on the character and description of their coins. The materials available for this purpose are:—

I. The Lists of Hindu kings found in the Purānas.

II. Statements in the works of Greek and Roman writers.

III. Contemporary historians.

IV. The Coins themselves.

I. The historical part of the Purānas professes to give the succession of all the princes who have ruled over India from the beginning. The earlier portion, relating to the avowed past, closes with the Great War, and is purely mythical. The rest purports to be a prophetic narrative of what will take place subsequent to that event. This part begins by stating that seven dynasties will reign over the kingdom of Magadha, of which the last and the longest is that of the Andhras. The fourth is the well-known race of the Mauryas, the epoch of which has been fixed by the identification of its founder Chandragupta with the Sandracottus or Sandracoptas, who was the ally of Seleucus Nicator. An approximate date is thus obtained from which to calculate the era of those that follow.

The results so obtained, as will be seen hereafter, do not synchronize with calculations based on other more reliable data, and there is also reason for discrediting the list of princes as they stand in the several Purānas on account of the irreconcilable differences they exhibit, as may be seen by the accompanying comparative tabular statement.

1 Ind. Ant. vol. iv. p. 61.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matyva Purāṇa</th>
<th>Vishnu Purāṇa</th>
<th>Vāyu Purāṇa</th>
<th>Brahmanda Purāṇa</th>
<th>Col. Wilford's List as in &quot;AR. RES. VOL. II.&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Shiva</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sisukha</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Sri Karna Deya or Sisukha 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Krishna</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Krishna 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Simalakṣa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sri Sātakṣa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sri Sātakṣa 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Purṇotsaṅga</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Purṇotsaṅga</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Purṇotsaṅga 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Śrīśrīvasaṅga</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvasaṅga</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvasaṅga 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Sīrīvatsa</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Purportaṅga Śrī Karpa 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Lambodara</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lambodara</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Lambodara 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Aplaka</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aplaka</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Aplaka 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīśrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Pulomāvi</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pulomāvi</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pulomāvi 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Goraśkhaṇḍa</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Goraśkhaṇḍa</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Goraśkhaṇḍa 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Hala</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hala</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hala 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Madhukika</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Madhukika</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Madhukika 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Purinraṭeṇa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Purinraṭeṇa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Purinraṭeṇa 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Chakoranaṃwandha</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chakoranaṃwandha</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chakoranaṃwandha 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Gauḍamita</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Gauḍamita</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Gauḍamita 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Pulomāvi or Padumī</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pulomāvi or Padumī</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pulomāvi or Padumī 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Śrīvatsa 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Pulomāvi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pulomāvi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pulomāvi 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The double names are variant readings from other MSS.

Wilford interposes another name, Vatsa, between Chakora and Sivasvati.
NOTICE OF THE ANDHRAS BY THE GREEKS AND ROMANS.

From this Table it will be seen that none of the lists agree absolutely with each other, either as to the succession of the names, or in the specified duration of the reigns. Neither do any of them establish conclusively that the dynasty contained thirty princes, nor that it lasted for the fixed period of 456 or 460 years. One name may probably have dropped out of the Matsya list, which if recovered would easily make up the full nominal tale.

Although such discrepancies and omissions in these lists militate generally against their reception as reliable authorities, it must be remembered that they relate to matters of remote antiquity, that they probably were compiled at a comparatively recent period from older documents, which have perished, and that they have suffered equally from errors of transcription, the omission, displacement, and misreading of names and figures, to which MSS. on perishable materials like bark and palm-leaves are especially liable. Nor should a fertile source of confusion be overlooked in the practice, so frequent among Indian princes, of taking different titular designations during the course of their careers and assuming corresponding vernacular titles, by one or other of which they were subsequently distinguished during and after their own lifetimes.

Allowance being made for these drawbacks, the lists exhibit a certain congruity in themselves, the average duration of reigns is nowhere in excess of probability, whilst they receive occasional confirmation from independent sources.

II. Of this nature is the support derived from the works of Classical writers. These rest mainly on the statements of Megasthenes, who, about the year 295 B.C., was sent by Seleucus Nicator as ambassador to Chandragupta, Emperor of the Prasii, at whose Court, in the City of Palibothra, he resided for a considerable time. Unfortunately his work, ὑσθεὶς, is lost, and his narrations are only known from fragments embodied in the writings of others. These have been diligently collected, and critically arranged by Schwanbach. Among them is found the oft-quoted extract, from the elder Pliny (vi. 17, 19), in which he refers to the Andhras. In describing the lower course of the Ganges, he adverts to the

---

1 Of the eighteen purānas, four only contain dynastic lists, representing all the indigenous history of which the Hindus can boast. These are:—

The Matsya.
The Vishnu.
The Bhāgavata, and
The Vāman.

In these the events of the past are told in the form of dialogue, up to the close of the Great War of the Mahābhārata. The narrator then assumes a prophetic style, and foretells the succession of princes who are to rule in the future. The date of these compositions is unknown, but the best critics consider that in their present form they cannot lay claim to high antiquity. Colonel Willard pronounced them to be modern compilations from valuable materials no longer in existence (As. Res. v. 244. Svo. ed.), in which he has been followed by Mr. Burnouf, the translator of the Bhāgavata; by Prof. Wilson, who has made the Vishnu accessible in an English Version, and by Colebrooke.

According to these authorities, the purānas date approximately, some from the ninth or tenth century, and others as late as the sixteenth or seventeenth. The Vishnu is assigned to the eleventh or twelfth century, and the author of the Bhāgavata is said to have lived at the Court of the Ballala king of Devagiri, in the thirteenth century (As. Res. v. 244. Svo. ed.). Burnouf, vol. i. pref. lii-lvii and xxvii; Wilson, pref. iii, vii and xxvii; Colebrooke's Essays. vol. i. p. 93. On the other hand, Col. Van Kennedy thinks they were written in their present form at a very early period, and hence from their great age are called purānas. Mr. E. B. Powell, Principal of the Madras University, from the internal evidence of astronomical facts, contained in the Vishnu Purānas, assigns to it an origin west of the Indus. Madras Journal Lit. and Science, vol. vii. p. 1.

2 As Res. vol. ix. p. 123; Cunningham, Bālās Tōp, pp. 92-93, also 116-119.

3 Prof. H. H. Wilson, Preface to Vishnu Purānas. vol. i. p. viii.
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Brachmanæ, a general name for many races, among whom are the Maccocalingœ... The [people called] Calinge are those nearest the sea, above or beyond whom certain others are particularized. Then he adds, "The end of that tract is the Ganges..." Returning to the river, he continues, "The last part of its course is through the country of the Gangaridæ Calinge; the country is called Parthalis. Seventy thousand infantry, a [a numeral probably omitted] thousand cavalry, and seven hundred elephants fully equipped for war serve as guards to the king... There is an island in the Ganges of great extent, inhabited by a single tribe called Medogalinga." After whom he names some others, and continues, "Whose king possesses an army of 50,000 foot, 3000 horse, and 400 elephants... but a still more powerful people are the Andaræ, who have many villages, thirty fortified and walled towns, and their king has a standing army of 100,000 infantry, 2,000 (?) cavalry, and 1000 elephants."

From these statements it would appear that the valley of the Ganges, on both sides of the river, from the frontier of Magadha to the sea, was possessed, in the third century B.C., by the Kalingæ and the Andaræ, the former consisting (apparently) of three distinct communities, inhabiting different but contiguous localities. Ptolemy, writing in the middle of the second century A.D., does not specify the Andaræ, but in his list of names in India beyond the Ganges, he makes mention of Triglypton regia, and in the notes on the map of the tract in which it is placed, he gives the duration of the day and the distance from Alexandria of Trilingam, both names being placed together on the map, as if they were one and the same people, on the east side of the river, and near the shore of the Gangetic Gulf, from which they are separated by the Cirræs (Kirátas). This is nearly the position assigned to the Andræ-Indi, in the Peutingerian Tables, except that the latter are closer to the left bank of the Ganges, and between it and the Catabeda, or Calincius River, which Ptolemy makes to intervene between the Trilinga regia and the Ganges. It may therefore be inferred that the ancient geographers considered the Andhras and Kalingas to be cognate races, and assigned to them a northern habitat, two centuries before the Christian era. This


Morgan is really the only reliable authority in ancient India who speaks from personal knowledge. At the Court of Sybaris, Satrap of Achaia, he had already become familiar with Eastern affairs, and he traversed the whole country in his journey from Philæphion to Magadha, where he had ample time and opportunity for inquiry and observation. His narrative, it is true, has been improved by Strabæ, Eutropios, Diodoros, and others, not one of whom had seen India; but Arrian is of a contrary opinion, and the indefatigable compiler Piny, in the above passage, quotes his statements without question. In defending his accuracy Schwanbach rightly distinguishes between his statements as an eye-witness and those derived from native informants. Some of these for which he has been most venerated are now proved to be well founded. The wild men who could not be brought to Saka-racottas, because they would have died of starvation, whose birds were snared, and lost their hare, are exactly the wild-children of Oush described by Col. Sleeman; and the monstrous snakes that swallowed deer were pythons, which are well known to do so (see Report Brit. Assoc. 1870, part ii. p. 115; see, too, H. H. Wilson, Notes on Ceylan, p. 25, and note to Let. Wilson's Journ. A. Soc. vol. xxii. p. 556). 2. L. B. vii. cap. ii. p. 178.


4. Segmentum, viii.
EVIDENCE OF INSCRIPTIONS.

may explain the origin of a term which occurs in several inscriptions of the seventh and eighth centuries, in which the Rájjas of Chedi are styled “Lords of Tri-Kalinga”—a name which Professor Kerr has found in the purávás, and which General Cunningham connects with the names in Pliny, pointing out that the Mahábhárata refers to the Andhras three times, on each occasion in conjunction with different peoples. The Sanscrit term Tri-Kalinga may therefore be taken as representing the three separate communities into which the Kalingas were divided. Moreover, the Dravidian equivalent of the three Kalingas is found in one of the names employed by Pliny, viz. that of the local Modu-Galingas.

The use of the name of Tri-Kalinga did not cease with the departure of the Andhra-Kalingas from Bengal. Wilford in his essay on the Kings of Magadha identified the Sri Karja Deva of a copper grant found at Benares in 1801, where he is styled “Lord of Tri-Kalinga” with the old Andhra dynasty. That document, as well as several others in which the same title occurs, has now rightly been assigned to the Hai-haya or Kulachuri dynasty of Chedi in Malwa. In the last of these, the name of Karja occurs three times. The history of the Chedi dynasty has been investigated by Prof. FitzEdward Hall, and also by General Cunningham in volume ix. of his Arch. Reports, pp. 99, et seq. The symbol on the seals attached to their grants is the quas-Buddhist emblem of elephants, pouring libations over a seated goddess, hence called Gaji Lakshmi, often found carved on the lintels of ancient temples. Coins with this device have been found in the valley of the Krishná. It also occurs on coins of the Bactrian King Azes, of much earlier date.

III. Of the third class of evidence, that of Contemporary Inscriptions, the earliest example hitherto met with is in the celebrated edicts of Asoka. The sixteenth tablet of the version at Sháhibzagaí, in the Yusufzai country, contains a list of contemporary potentates, including the names of Alexander, Antiochus, etc., followed by an enumeration of the best known Indian kingdoms, the last two being the Andhras and Puliñadas, proving that the former of these nationalities was recognized as a substantive power by the greatest monarch of his time, who reigned b.c. 269 to b.c. 235.1

3 In all these the Kalingas are classed with Northern tribes and places; as Magadha, the Mudas of Mahbóm or Midnapur, the Angas or Vangas of Eastern Bengal and Bhagalpur, to the Kiratas, who are placed next the Andhras and Trilingas in the Ptolemaic and Ptolemaic Maps. Much reliance, however, cannot be placed on the strings of names.
5 Modu = “three”. In Telugu and Galinga—initial & becoming & in the middle of a Dravidian word (Caldwell, p. 32). Tri Kalinga by an obvious ellipse may easily pass colloquially into Trilinga, a form from which native grammarians have deduced the modern name of the Telugu dialect.
6 The late F.W. Ellis in his essay on the Telugu language quotes the Andhra Dipika, a standard lexicon, which, in giving examples of the rhetorical figure tadhāra, cites among others the following:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sanscrit</th>
<th>Pañkhli</th>
<th>Dravidian or Tadhāra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trilinga</td>
<td>Teligo</td>
<td>Telangá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telangá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telangá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telangá</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the south gate of the Sānchic Tope, a memorial of an offering made by Ananda, son of Vāsishṭhā, in the reign of Śātakarṇi, has been found by Gen. Cunningham, who attributes it to a younger son of Gautamiputra.

But the most interesting of the Northern inscriptions is engraved on a rock at Girnār in Kāthiāwār, to commemorate the repair of a tank, the dam of which had been breached by a flood. Originally constructed in the time of the Mauryan kings, it was now restored by order of Rudra Dāman, the Kahatrapa (or Satrap) ruler of Gujarāt, in the year 72 (?), who is stated, *inter alia*, to have “obtained glory, because he did not destroy (the) Śātakarni, Lord of the South (Dakshināpati), on account of their relationship, although he had twice conquered him.”

The era of the so-called Śah dynasty, to which Rudra Dāman belonged, being still undetermined, the Andhra king referred to cannot be identified.

As was to be expected, inscriptions become more frequent in the Dakkan. The rocky hills around Nasik, Janār, Kārī, etc., in the Pūna district, contain numerous Buddhist cave-temples, on the walls of which the names of their founders and the particulars of their gifts are engraved, but only a few contain matter of historical interest. Those at Nasik have been carefully copied and translated, and one of the longest among them records the grant of a village by “the Great Queen Gautami,” in “the nineteenth year of her grandson, Śṛ Puḍumayi Vāsichhiputra.” She is described as “a daughter of royal sages, the mother of (the) Śātakarni Gautamiputra (who was) the destroyer of the Sākas, Yāvanas, and Palhavas, who exterminated the race [raasa] of Khagārātha, and established the glory of the Śatavahana family [kuha],” etc. She, the Great Queen, the mother of the Great King, and grandmother of the Great King, in the nineteenth year of Śṛ Puḍumayi, bestows,” etc. And this is followed by a later decree of Śṛ Puḍumayi himself, here styled “the Lord of Navanara,” in which he modifies the preceding acts of “the Lord of Dhanakṣa” (his father), at whose instance the village had originally been given.

In the same cave a further edict records the gift of two pieces of land, the one conferred by (the) Śātakarni Gautamiputra, the Lord of Dhanakakata himself, the other by his Queen Vāsिठi.1

The name of Puḍumayi is found in two other caves (Nos. 3 and 27), spelt Pulumai in No. 3, but in both he has the title of Vāsālīḍhiputra. Cave 24 furnishes another royal name, (the) Śātakarni, Śṛ Yajna Gautamiputra.2 A few more names of lesser note occur, such as that of Krishna, Rāja of (the) Śatavahana family (kuha), residing at Nasik, in No. 6, but

---

4 Rāja Gautamiputra Swami, Śṛ Yajna Śātakarni; Gautamiputra N. (Trans. G. Cong. 1874, p. 540).
ERRATA.

Some errors have crept into the Map, which was not submitted for final revision, but only the two following are mentioned.

pp. 4, 14, 37, 89, 108, etc. The province of Tondamandalam between the Eastern Ghâts and the Pâlâr river, corresponding with the modern districts of North and South Arcot, is omitted. The Tondaman, prominently inserted in Tanjore, head of the petty chief of Pudukotah, did not exist at the same early period.

p. 108. The western boundary of Cholamandalam, Kutakeri or Kotakarei, is synonymous with the modern Kodagu or Coorg.

p. 5. For ‘Kabburga’ read ‘Kalburga.’

p. 24. For ‘Gougað’ read ‘Jougað.’

p. 39. For ‘Musulipatam’ read ‘Masulipatam.’

p. 44, line 22. For ‘specimens’ read ‘coina.’

pp. 53, 57. For ‘Ala-ud-din’ read ‘Alâ-ud-din.’


pp. 91, 93. For ‘Anégundi’ read ‘Anagundi.’
A MAP OF SOUTHERN INDIA

SHOWING THE TERRITORIES OF THE ANCIENT DYNASTIES

According to the best authorities.

Omitted modern names are given in the latterpress.
without royal titles, and therefore probably a relation only of the royal house. He has been considered by many as the second in the purina list, which is obviously incorrect, as the Andhras had not then settled in the Dakhan. If he was a Śatavāhana, he would, as will afterwards appear, be one of the later, or Andhrabhritya section. The name of Mahā Hākuari, found in what is called the Chaitya Cave (No. 10), may refer to the similar name in the remarkable sculptures at Nānaghāt, now to be described. 1 At the ancient site of Junār (not without some grounds supposed to be the Tagara of Ptolemy and the Periplus), the inscriptions in the numerous caves are of little historical importance. But a cave at the head of the Nānaghāt pass, on the road leading from Junār to the Konkan, calls for more special notice. It appears to have been a work of charity, excavated as a resting-place for pilgrims ascending the pass, the vestibule being furnished with seats for the use of weary travellers. Within this, on the front wall, are sculptured six life-size figures, now much defaced, each with a name carved above it. Within is an inscription 2 of some length, but in bad preservation. It imports that certain sacred offerings were given by Vedisiri or Vedaśri, who is supposed to have constructed the cave; but his connection with the figures sculptured on the wall is not apparent, there being no complete translation of the text. 3 They seem, however, to represent the members of a single family. The title of the first is, “The auspicious Rāja Śatavāhana, the prosperous.” 4 Next are two figures, a woman and a man, superscribed “Devi Nāyankāya, the Queen,” and “Śri Śatarkarni.” Then, following them, “The Chief (vīraḥ) of the Mahārāṣṭras,” or it may be “of the great Warriors”; and then two young men, styled respectively, “kumāra (or prince) Hākuari,” and “kumāra Śatavāhana.”

In 1839 Dr. Bird opened the largest tope at Kānheri (Kennery), in the island of Salsette, and found two inscribed copper plates, which, unfortunately, have disappeared without having been critically translated. Attempts made by Dr. Stevenson and Pandit Bhagwān Lāl to restore the imperfect transcripts left by Dr. Bird have yielded results irreconcilable with each other—to the strange extent, that names read by the former cannot be traced at all by the latter. The same date, however, has been read by both, viz. the year 245, but there is nothing to show what era is referred to. 5 Prinsep thought it might be equivalent to a.d. 189; but beyond proving the dominion of the Andhras in that region, this memorial, as we now possess it, must depend for its value upon new discoveries.

A few other Andhra names have been preserved, which, though not perhaps those of reigning princes, may be worth noting for comparison with the legends of any coins that may be discovered hereafter. Such are Dāsa Kāraṇi, Chivari Kāraṇi, Naga Kanda, the nephew

3 Probably owing to the dilapidation of the tablet. Mr. Bur-
of Śrī Yadnya, Śātra Karji, (son?) of Gotamiputra, at Kāñcheri, Raja Virasena, the Abhir of Nasik, etc.

The inscriptions in several cases also record benefactions made by a member of the family of the Kshatrapa ruler of Gujarāt, which bear on the history of the Andhras by the support they give to the assertions in the Girnār record of Rudra Dāmana. They are found in five of the Nasik Caves, and in the great Chaitya Temple at Kāñchi. The donations are all made in the name of Ushavadā, the son of Dnāka, describing himself as son-in-law of Kshatrapa Nahapāna, the Kshaharata, Rāja or Ruler of Gujarāt. They consist of villages, cows, money, which he confers with a lavish hand on priests and Brahmans; in one instance the gift being that of his wife Dakshamitra, the daughter of Nahapāna. From this it would appear that Ushavadā was Governor of the subjugated province of Nasik, under his father-in-law. It may further be inferred from the munificence of his gifts, from the length of time necessary for the construction of the cave-temples bearing his name, and from a military expedition he was ordered to conduct to Mālāya, that his charge must have been extensive, and that his rule lasted for a considerable time. The tablets at Kāñchi and Junār merely give Ushavadā's name and family.

IV. The last remaining source of evidence is that derived from coins. These are found in considerable numbers in the deltas of the Krihpā and Godāvari. The most common are of lead, rudely executed, more or less coated with white oxide; others are of copper mostly alloyed. They bear a general resemblance to the type described by Prinsep and Wilson, under the name of Indo-Scythian or Barbaric Coins. Few have legible epigraphs, but some of them, which seem to be more recent, are better executed, and exhibit legends with the names of the later princes in the list.

Another type, differing remarkably from those above noticed, occurs in the Western or Maharāshtra districts. They are of a coarse alloy, and like the last mentioned perhaps exclusively to the latest names in the series.

The information gleaned from the foregoing sources of evidence may be summed up in few words. In the third century B.C. a Dravidian colony, probably the latest migration of the race, is found occupying the Lower Valley of the Ganges, and consisting of several communities, of whom the Andhras and the Kalingas were the most conspicuous. The earliest reliable

2 J.A.S.B. vol. iii. pl. xviii. p. 237, figs. 2, 3, 4, 12, and pl. xxv. p. 426, figs. 1, 2, 3, vol. iv. pl. 226, pl. xxvii. p. 694, pl. xlix. figs. 23-8; Arias Ant. p. 414, pl. xxv. figs. 27-32.  
3 The Penelopean Tables place the Anvōn Inot to the North of the Ganges, plate xiii.  
4 The normal condition of these Turanian colonies appears to have been somewhat of a Republican character. An aggregation of clans, independent as regards their internal economy, but united by a common interest. Colonel Dalton found something of the same kind among the Kol, and a more perfect example is afforded by the Kurumbar communities of Tenumbandaha in the Carnatic, described in Ellis's Treaty on Mirasi Rights.  
RESULTS DEDUCED FROM FOREGOING EVIDENCE.

mention of them by Greek writers represent the Andhras as living under a monarchical form of government, and as being the most powerful section. Native accounts assign to them a succession of thirty princes, who ruled for a period of 456 years, first in the north and then in the Dakhan, but the details given on this authority are inexact. Of their alleged supremacy in Magadha during this long period there is no proof beyond the assertion of the Pāramahānas writers; while more trustworthy evidence shows that they could not have occupied so important a position during the period stated. The probability is that they took advantage of the anarchy consequent on the disruption of the Mauryan empire after the death of Asoka, and obtained a temporary footing in the eastern portion of it, from which they were dislodged by the arrival of later intruders, and driven to seek for a resting-place in the direction previously taken by colonists of their own race, of whose prosperity in their southern settlements they could not be ignorant. As seems to have been the general practice in these early times, they moved about bodily with their slaves, their flocks and herds. Following the line of coast, the Kālīnas settled in the country north of the Godāvari, where their name is perpetuated in that of the province, as well as in that of the seaport of Kālīnagapatam; while the Andhras, after resting awhile on the shores of the Chilka Lake, in some old maps called Paśupata Andhras (and where a pergouna, entitled Andhara, still retains their name), and proceeded further south, establishing themselves on the Krishna, and made the city of Dhava, their capital. This is supposed to be the modern Dharranakota, the ruined site of which, marked by the extensive mounds characteristic of old fortifications, is seen on the Krishna a little above Amrāvatī. Hence they spread over the greater part of Kuntala, till their territories extended from sea to sea, and from the Godāvari on the north to the frontiers of Cholamandalam on the south, and Banawasi on the south-west.

A pressure powerful enough to dislodge a whole people and drive them from the region in which they had taken root must have been no common one. Such a force is found in the restless spirit which animated the Scythian hordes about two centuries before the Christian era, and made itself felt through Central Asia. After they had overrun part of Persia and Afgānistan they entered India, took possession of the Gaṅgetic Valley, and even penetrated beyond the Vindhyā Mountains.

There is thus no ground for the statement of the purānas, which assigns the Empire of Magadha to the Andhras, in succession to two shorter dynasties following the Mauryas.

---

1 The Telugu serfs or agricultural slaves are called Māla, a term of doubtful origin (Caldwell, p. 540), but it may with some probability be deduced from the aboriginal people of Māla-bhūm, now part of Mālanpur, a Gaṅgetic province, forming part of the earlier location of the Andhras. Vah. Fur. vol. ii. pp. 156, 166.
4 Inscriptions in the reign of Kanishka, the most powerful Indo-Scythian prince, have been copied at Mathura by Gen. Cunningham (supra), of the last century a. d.; and coins, evidently of the Indo-Sicthian type, have been found in considerable numbers at Jogulath, in the Pala Koucha Taluk of Ganjam. Mad. Journ. of Lit. and Science, vol. iv. p. 86, pp. 26-38. To the same cause may not improbably be assigned the introduction of several of the predatory tribes in India, as the Gājars, Rāmdūns, Bodas, Marawars, noted for their warlike qualities and readiness for the chase.
The recently discovered inscriptions of Kaniska at Mathura prove that the Scythian power had been firmly established in Magadha during the last century B.C.; and as Kaniska was followed by more than one successor of his own race, their rule must have been prolonged into the first century of our era. This view is also incompatible with the chronology of the purāonas by which the epoch of the Andhras has been commonly computed.1 According to that mode of reckoning the sum of the reigns assigned to the princes of the three preceding families,2 or 294 years, is deducted from the known date of Chandragupta, B.C. 317, and the commencement of the Andhra dynasty is thus placed in B.C. 23, and its termination, after a duration of 456 years, in A.D. 437—a conclusion irreconcilable with their recognition as an existing power by Asoka's edict, and the absence of any mention of them in the annals of the Dakhan, which have now been ascertained with tolerable accuracy from the latter part of the fifth century; on the other hand, they can only be relegated to an earlier date, antecedent to the time of Kaniska, by rejecting the purāona list altogether.

A solution of the difficulty, however, is obtained by supposing the earlier Andhras to have been contemporaries of the Mauryas and their successors of the other earlier dynasties before they obtained a footing in Magadha.4

On this theory it is possible to derive a probable date for their migration to the Dakhan, which will harmonize better with other authorities.

Assuming, as before argued, that the Andhras were contemporary with the Mauryan dynasty, and accepting the statements of the purāonas that they flourished under a succession of thirty princes for four centuries and a half,5 we obtain an average6 of fifteen years for each reign. This affords a clue by which to estimate, approximately, the time of the Andhra migration to the Dakhan.

Now, looking down the list of names in the Tabular statement, at page 8 (ante), the eye is arrested, about the middle of the column, by that of Kuntala Swáti Karaṇa, as being the only instance in this series, of a personal formed on a territorial designation—a circumstance significant of some remarkable incident in the career of the individual, which may not unfairly be taken to have reference to the establishment of his people in the province of Kuntala. Now, as he stands thirteenth in the most complete list, his era, at the average of fifteen years to a reign, would be 122 B.C., which gives 261 for the duration of their empire in the Dakhan. In another list (that of the Brahmanda) he appears in the sixteenth place, which would bring him to 77 B.C., and the Dakhan rule to 216 years A.D.

Vague as these calculations are, they afford grounds for assuming, in the absence of more reliable data, that the Andhra migration took place about a century before the Christian era, and that their power survived for two centuries after it.

---

2 Wilson, Vah. Pur. vol. iv. p. 206, where the end of the dynasty is placed A.D. 419.
3 The Indo-Scythian dynasty of Kanishka. See note 4, p. 15.
4 Princep makes a similar suggestion with reference to the Suta or Satrapa princes of Gujarát. J.A.S.B. vol. vii. p. 347.
6 The exact average is 15/2 years per reign.
THE LATER ANDIRAS OR ANDHRA-BHINTYAS.

On the events that happened during this later period in the Dakhan, history is silent. Some light is obtained from the inscriptions before described, which show that the fortunes of the dynasty culminated in the reigns of the twenty-first and twenty-second kings. Gautamiputra, the latter of these, was the most conspicuous of the later Andirhas. He offers the first example of a name taken by the reigning prince from that of his mother; a practice followed by many of his successors.

This has been considered by some to be a remnant of the Turanian law of inheritance, under which property was transmitted through females, as is the case to this day on the Malabar coast.

General Cunningham suggested that it arose from the Queen’s desire to do honour to her paribhī, by adopting the name of his gāru. This he afterwards rejected for an explanation proposed by Dr. Bühler, who attributed it to the practice of polygamy in Rājput families, where it is followed to distinguish the offspring of different mothers.¹

But none of these suggestions are satisfactory, no such customs having prevailed among the Andhras or other people of Dravidian race. With more probability it might be connected with something memorable in the character or history of the Queen-Mother herself, a view which derives support from an examination of the place in the list to which her name must be relegated. This, it will be seen, presents a somewhat unusual appearance, and, taken with the first occurrence of these metronymic, lends colour to a suspicion that there may have been some interruption to the succession, not unlike a break in the old line.

For the reigns immediately preceding the 22nd (viz. from the 18th to the 21st) are represented as having been unusually short, the 20th and 21st lasting only from one to five years and six months respectively, which, although not incompatible with the known uncertainty of human life, is also indicative of unsettled times, in connection either with hostile invasion or intestine disorders. The language of the inscriptions contains allusions which may apply to both these conditions. Rudra Daman, in the Girnar tablet, claims to have twice conquered the Andhra king, and to have been withheld from destroying him by motives of clemency and family ties. Again, the long inscription in the Nasik cave (No. 26) points to a turn in the tide of fortune, and credits Gautamiputra, not only with the expulsion of the invaders, but with dispensing the public revenues, “levied only according to law,” as if such had not been the case previously. This may have been caused by the disorders to which all states, especially those in the East, are liable under the scourge of foreign invasion, and still more if the indigenous population should take part with the invaders. The normal inhabitants of Kuntala appear to have been the people called Pallavas—a bold, hardy, warlike race, who never failed to assert their independence when a favourable occasion offered, as will appear more fully hereafter. In the inscription they are mentioned in the same breath with the Sākas and Yānavas, by whom we understand the Scythian and Indo-Scythian invaders from

¹ Stupa of Bharhut, p. 123.
Gujarat. Sākas or Sacæ is a common equivalent for Scythians, and in the Nasik caves the term occurs more than once. And Yāvas is now a general term for foreigners, but at first was more particularly applied to Greeks; and here with especial force to the conquerors of Gujarat, whose silver coins of the so-called Sāh type are evidently taken from Greek models. The expression, "he left no trace of the race of Khagarátha," has probably further reference to the expulsion of the Sākas. The tribal name of the Satrapa ruler is not mentioned, but in No. 16 (p. 335) Nahapāna is styled the Satrap King Khaharāta Nahapāna, a title approaching closely to Khagarátha Nahapāna.

In support of the other hypothesis that the old Andhra dynasty underwent a change, there is the assertion that Gautamiputra "established the glory of the Satavahana race or Kula," a name not before known in connection with the old royal family.

On the above grounds, slight and isolated as they are, we may be permitted to found a hypothesis, which may give them some coherence.

The power which gained possession of Gujarat in the century before the Christian era, pushing its advances onwards, effected a lodgment in the Dakhan, about the same time that the Andras were advancing along the valleys of the Godāvari and Krishna.

Nahapāna obtained his position in Gujarat by conquest, either on his own account, or as the delegate of some Scythian or Parthian lord paramount.

The Satrapa rule was long firmly established in Gujarat, and their hold on the Dakhan provinces was no transient one.

Ushavadātha, the governor, on the part of the first Satrapa, constructed some of the Nasik Cave-temples, and conducted a military expedition into Mālāya (Malwa) or Malabar? (No. 19, p. 328). Rudra Dāman was 5th or 6th in succession to him.

I assume that the relations between the two powers were sometimes friendly, sometimes the reverse, and that at length they ended in a serious war, in which the Andras were defeated, and a period of anarchy ensued. A bold adventurer, whether a relative, or a subject of the reigning family, took advantage of the confusion to seize on the throne. Having driven out the foreigners, and restored order in the provinces, he established the Satavahana branch of the Andhra dynasty firmly on the throne, of which it continued in possession for about 115 years, until its extinction in the person of Pulomat, the thirtieth Prince of the line. This supposed revolution may explain the origin of the term Andhrabhṛitya, or servants of the Andras, in contradistinction to the Andhrājātiya, or the true Andras. That the mother of Gautamiputra took a prominent part in the transaction seems probable. She describes herself as "Queen Gautami, the presiding genius

---

1 Nos. 14 and 3, though with some doubt in the former as connected with the name of Ushavadātha, owing to the fracture of the stone.
2 Bombay Journal, vol. vii. p. 20; Burgess, Arch. Report, pp. 120-3. There is a break in the line of Satraps between the Khagarátha, Nahapāna, and Chastana whose name stands first in the inscription of Jusum (Bombay Journ. vol. viii. p. 234) without any family designation, and the relation in which they stood to each other, whether of family or office, is not apparent.
of powers . . . the daughter of royal sages, the mother of the Śātakarnī, etc. And when in addition her name is found so remarkably associated with that of her son, and adopted by others as a household word, while no notice whatever is taken of her husband, we may conclude that there was some good cause for the distinction.

The foregoing supposes that Gautamiputra's exploits were confined to the expulsion of the Śākas from his own territory. Gen. Cunningham\(^1\) takes a much larger view of his importance, and by a calculation, founded on Asoka's celebrated edict, identified him with the famous Śāliāvāhan or Śātavāhan, the founder of the great Śāka era, from which all the chronology of Southern India is calculated. If his data are well founded, there is much to be said in favour of this view.

The practice first seen in the case of Gautamiputra is repeated in the names of several of his successors, as we learn from the cave inscriptions and from coins, by the help of which we are enabled to construct the genealogical list given on the next page:—

---

\(^1\) Cunningham, Arch. Rep. vol. v. p. 20. The Sanscrit word Saka has two meanings (Wilson's Sans. Dict.): 1st, with the first syllable short = "a prince, an era," "a country," or in the plural, "the people of a country. Sythians, Sassan"; 2nd, Saka (long), "a power, an era." These terms, with the name of the Prince Vikramaditya, which is nothing more than a title meaning "the Sun of heroes," and assumed by numerous princes, go far to account for the confusion that exists upon the subject.

Many celebrated native princes adopted eras of their own, which after flourishing for a time passed away. Such are those of the Gupta, the Balabhis, Sri Harsha, etc. A popular mnemonic verse enumerates six (J.R.A.S. vol. x. p. 128), the three last being future. Of the others, the Yudhisthirisa Saka is obsolete; that of Vikramaditya, current in Northern India, dates from a king of Ujjayani, said to have expelled the Indo-Sythians in 57 B.C., where he has the epithet of Sadas (=the of the Sythians), and afterwards to have been slain in battle with Śāliāvāhan in 78 A.D.—statements carrying contradiction on their face, for the interval of 135 years between these events is fatal to the idea of a personal collision. Śāliāvāhan is the presumed founder of the third Saka era, par excellence, in use south of the Nerbudda. It commences with the installation or abhishēka of its founder, after the supposed defeat of the Ujjaini prince above mentioned. Thus both traditions appear to have been founded on successes mentioned over the northern invaders of Hindustan.

The obscurity in the subject is shrouded by the ingenuity of the learned. Dr. Bhaṭ Daji, in 1862, at first came to the same conclusion as Gen. Cunningham and fixed on Gautamiputra (J.R.A.S. Bombay, vol. viii. p. 118), but finally agreed with Mr. Justice Newton (ib vol. viii. p. 238) in favour of Naḥāpāpa, deeming it improbable that an era so widely adopted could be derived from the exploits of a humble prince, whose capital was Āndhavāri (2a. p. 233). Mr. Fergusson, on the other hand, considers Gautamiputra to have been the most important sovereign of his time, but the chronological theory adopted by him placed his reign in the fourth century (J.R.A.S. n.s. vol. iv. p. 127). Afterwards in an unpublished memoir (1876) he came to the conclusion that the Šāka was founded on the abhishēka of Ākāshagāna, etc., inauguration of Kanishka, the great Indo-Sythian king, and had nothing whatever to do with a victory over Sythians. At the same time he retales the origin of the Vikramaditya era to a much later period. The latest opinion, that of Dr. Oldenberg, coincides with Mr. Fergusson's (Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 218). I am inclined to agree with Mr. Fergusson that the Šāka era had nothing to do with the Sythians, unless the Gujārāt kshatrapas are included under that designation; and I share in the doubts expressed of an actual Šāka-conquering prince of Ujjayani at that early period.

One of the Šākas set aside was that of the Śri Harsha, king of Ujjayani, a prince of great fame; but it is not probable that he would have abolished so remarkable an established era of his supposed predecessor. It is further observable that no date founded on the Samvats Šāka has hitherto been found earlier than the ninth century, or A.D. 811 (J.R.A.S. Bombay, vol. ii. p. 357). Dravidian tradition is consistent in assigning the origin of the Šāka to Śāliāvāhan, ruler of Pratiśāhāhan, which Col. Wilford (As. Res. vol. ix. and x.), on the authority of Col. Mackenzie, accepts. Others represent him as a Śāma, which may be either a Jain or a Buddhist, and in describing his advance to attack the enemies of his country (Taylor's Cat. Rais. vol. iii. p. 42), as made from the Godavari northwards. Jainas generally describe him as an author and a man of learning. The coincidence of his name, the Śāliāvāhan, with that of his race in the Nāsim temple inscriptions, go far to prove that the Southern traditions are deserving of credit, and therefore affording support to Gen. Cunningham.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Father</th>
<th>Mother</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sivaswati</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Gautami (?) the Great Queen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Satakarni</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Vaisisthī.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Gautamiputra</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puñawati, Pulomati</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Vaisisthīputra I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Sivasri Satakarni</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Maṭhari.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Satakarni, Maṭhariputra</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Gautami II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sivala Kuru</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Yajnasri Gautamiputra II.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Vaisisthī II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vidvīya Kuru</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Vijaya...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Vadasri Chandraśri.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satakarni.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaisisthīputra II.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of these names, Nos. 22, 23, 24, are obtained from Nasik Cave (26) inscription supported by coins. The 25th and 26th rest on a coin recently discovered at Kolhāpur, and an inscription at Kānheri. That of Yajnasri, the 27th, is also found on coins with the addition of the metronym Gautamiputra, confirmed by the Nasik Cave (24), in which the reading is Gautamiputra, so there must needs have been a second princess of that name. Gen. Cunningham has a coin, of which he kindly sent me a sketch, on which is the figure of an elephant, with the epigraph

Rājina Vāsiṣṭhīputasa Śri Chanda satāsa,

which introduces a third Queen-Mother, named Vāsiṣṭhī. From this it would appear these female names are more of the nature of titles, which took the place of the individual proper name of the reigning Queen.

---

2 Chandra Śrī, which is written Vada Śrī in the Radcliffe MS. of the Matāya Pur., has been supposed to be the author of the inscription at Nanaghat, which, as imperfectly read, purports to record the gift of 7000 coins by Sārachitya (or Subhamahā), probably the Queen-mother. After the invocation at the commencement, occur the words—

Kundra vāraṇa Vade (or Vendi) sīriya,

which would imply that at this time Vadasri was only a prince and had not succeeded to the throne. In the last line of the words—

Mohā rathana Andhāja Kula sādhuna

— have been read, which should remove any doubt that might exist whether the Nanaghat sculptures represent individuals of the Andhra.
CLOSE OF ANDHRA DYNASTY.

The last nine reigns from the great Queen inclusive embrace a period, according to the years assigned to each, of 116 years, to which, on Gen. Cunningham's assumption, if we add 78 A.D., the conclusion of the line is carried to 194 A.D., or deducting Gautami's own reign of twenty-eight years to 166 A.D. Calculating these nine reigns at the average of 15\(\frac{1}{2}\) years, the date of their termination would be about 213 A.D.

How that termination was brought about is unknown. The two or three centuries before and after the Christian era seem to have been a period of turbulence and change, presenting opportunities to the aboriginal races for throwing off a foreign yoke. The two most ancient indigenous peoples of whom reliable information survives, are the Ratnas in the West and the Pallavas in the East of Kuntala.

It was from them that the Chalukyas wrested that province in the fifth and sixth centuries. The Ratnas threw off their yoke in the eighth century, and established an independent dynasty at Miñakhetā, now Malkhair, which subsisted for a considerable time. At a subsequent period they rose against the Mahommedans, and still maintain their sturdy independence, not only in their own Mahārāṣṭra, but in other parts of India. The Pallavas, driven from Bēḍāmī, established themselves successively for a time at Vēngi and at Conjevaram in the South, and ultimately became fused in the mass of the population. Their name now only exists in tradition, or is preserved in some local association.

From whatever cause, and at whatever period, the Andhras took up their abode in the Dakhan, they have left memorials of their power, showing that it lasted for no inconsiderable time. The classical name of the Telugu province is Andhradēśam, and the Andhrika literature abounds in works composed in Sanscrit or in Telugu, which are still studied and highly appreciated. One of the early names of Kuntala was Karṇātaka, which, although now erroneously given to the Drāvida or Arcot province, is applied by all natives to the part of the Dakhan east of Mahārāṣṭra and north of the line of Ghāts, throughout which the Kanarese language is now spoken. The term was probably derived from Karṇa, the dynastic name or title of the Andhri princes, who, besides its employment in the form of Sātā Karṇi, had frequently the terms Karṇa or Karṇī, Sātā, Swātā, etc., varying (as Professor Wilson observes) to suit the metre, joined to their proper names.

Further, the two branches of the Godāvāri, where the river divides to form the Delta, are still distinguished by the names of the two great Queens, the Northern as the Gautami, and

1 Grammarians assign a different etymology, and derive the word from Karna, "black," in allusion to the black soil, called reṣede and cotton-soil, which abounds in many parts of the province. To this it is objected that the reṣede is not peculiar to Kuntala or even to the Dakhan. According to the Geological Survey, Tracts are found scattered throughout the valley of the Krihna, and occupying the lower plains and flats of Cumbotar, Salem, Mūrka, Tamare, Ramnad, and Timnarely. There is but little in Mysore... The great alluvial flat of Surat and Broach consists of that soil. It occupies the depression between Ahmed-Abād and Kāthiāwār, connecting the head of the Gulf of Cambay with the Eun of Cutch." (Manual of the Geography of India, ch. xviii. p. 422.) The name of Karṇa still survives in many places, as, for example, Karṇa-puri District.—Ind. Ant. vol. i. p. 206. Karṇa-gbar, an ancient city in Sugra, near Jabalpur, mentioned by Prof. Hall. Karṇa-va River, now Kyan, or Kana of the map, sometimes written Karṇava. The Kaim of Ariat.—Cun. Ancient Geog. p. 487; Cun. Arch. Rep. vol. ii. pp. 416, 463-4; ib. 1864-5, p. 453. 2 V. Fur. vol. iv. p. 201.
the Southern as the Vāśishthi channel. The Telugu term applied to the agricultural serfs (see p. 15, note 1) has before been referred to as brought by them from their native country in Bengal. It may be added that the Telugu people are known as Vadagas or Northerners by the other Dravidians, from whom they were further distinguished by their finer physical development as the tallest and handomest section of the race.

Other monuments of Andhra rule are found in their religious edifices. Professing the faith of Buddha, they signalized their devotion by the construction of Stūpas,1 of which that at Amravati, on which the name of Puḍumayi has recently been read, was probably unsurpassed in the East, and by the excavation of numerous Cave-temples, among which that at Kāli is conspicuous.

The coins of the Andhra monastary system have already been noticed with reference to the historical evidence deducible from them. They differ from those of the rest of Southern India, and have a character peculiarly their own. The prevailing metal of which they are composed is lead. A few occur of copper, and some of alloy of copper and tin, but none of gold or silver. As yet they have only been collected in the deltas of the Krishna and Godāvari, and recently a few have come from the Western Mahārāṣṭra State of Kolhāpur. The greater part of the Andhra dominion is now included in the territory of the Nizam of Hiḍurabād, where the facilities for numismatic research are small, so that it would be rash to conclude that the Andhras had no gold or silver money, although the large find of leaden pieces somewhat favours that presumption.

Professor Wilson has remarked on the prevalence of silver billon and copper in the Græco-Bactrian currency, while that of the Indo-Scythian consisted exclusively of gold and copper; the latter in large quantities. And he quotes Arrian's statement that the Indians with whom Alexander came in contact were without gold [ἀχρόισις, Arr. Ant. 347–9].

The characteristic of the Andhran coinage was the employment of lead with but a small proportion of copper.

General Pearce has called my attention to a passage in Pliny to the effect that India has neither brass nor lead,2 receiving them in exchange for precious stones and pearls, which may afford some explanation of this peculiarity.

The lead is generally very pure, a careful analysis detecting only a trace of copper, probably accidental in some specimens. One class of coins was found to consist of a kind of speculum of an alloy of lead and tin, and another of an impure lead ore, which gave them the appearance of a coarse alloy.

They are stamped with symbols of a Buddhist character. The obverse has figures of a lion or horse with the name of the sovereign, but his effigy, never. The reverse

1 Two have been demolished within my own recollection, one at Godwāna, by the Department of Public Works, about 1841, the other near Coimbatore, by the Puthur Zemindar, in 1848 (Mad. Jour.); Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 34; some others are yet standing at Botigul, Jagiğatta, etc.

2 Indus neque as neque plumbum habet, gemmisque suis ac margaritis has permutat.—Nat. Hist. xxxiv. 17, Ed. Ed.
has often the Buddhist cross or wheel to which the name of Ujjain symbol has sometimes been given.¹

The pieces vary greatly in size, they are generally round, sometimes square. Some are only struck on one side, the reverse being plain or exhibiting marks of the substance on which they had rested (wood or stone) to receive the impact of the upper die.

Many of the smaller pieces appear to have been cast in moulds, with the figure of an elephant rudely represented by three convexities, with or without legs, these in the latter case mere stumps, thick or thin, long or short. On the die-struck specimens, the elephant is sharp and symmetrical, facing mostly to the right, the trunk pendant or raised over the head.

On the larger lead pieces is a stately maneless lion, the reverse with Buddhist emblems, or plain; while on the thicker alloyed specimens from the Krishna the animal has an ample mane, is short-legged, one paw uplifted, and on the reverse a vase (the Drona) between two candelabra. It is doubtful, however, whether these latter truly belong to the Andhra dynasty. The coarse, thick, impure lead-pieces from Kolhapur form a third type. They have the effigy of a bow and arrow on the obverse, and a many-arched chaitya with other emblems on the reverse.


The monetary value of the several pieces, and the relation in which they stand to each other can only be surmised. The unit appears to be the smaller leaden kind first mentioned. It is found in the largest numbers, and though varying in weight may be taken to average about thirty-five grains. From this a scale, ascending by a process of reduplication, will be found to include the most prominent examples hitherto found, as thus:—

35 — 70 — 140 — 280 — 560.²

From the disappearance of the Andhras, about the end of the second or beginning of the third century, to the rise of the Chalukya empire in the fifth, we have no numismatic record of any important or leading power.

The country from the Mahanadi and the Narmada to the Godavari seems to have been parcelled out among many local chiefs, the forerunners of the Dora and Rachawars of modern times, who enjoyed a quasi independence.

¹ This symbol, which is associated with Ujjain, is virtue of the old Asoka letters bearing that name, has been lately identified with the original local Sun-worship of India. — Num. Chron. 1880. Article on the "Indian Swastika and its Western Counterparts." Mr. Thomas tells me it occurs also on some of our early English Sceatta, wherever it clearly refers to the Sun-parallels of Sinhalese, etc. — Rusing. Supplement, plate ii. fig. 17, and pl. xxvi. fig. 13.

² But the scale does not provide for all the varieties which range from 20 to 40 — 60 to 90 — 90 to 100 — 110 to 130 to 200 — 250 — after which the two heaviest I possess are 550-9 and 691-3. These, the only examples I possess, were found in a deserted site at the village of Chittila, in the Yerragudem Taluk of the Godavari district.
Traces of these may still be recognized in the settlements at Rutnapur, in the Ryagarh province of Nagpur, and the hill districts of Orissa and Ganjam, where a few specimens of local coinage have been met with, like those at Gowgrad in Ganjam Yellamanchali, etc.\(^1\)

With these may perhaps be associated the maned-lion coins, doubtfully attributed to the Andhras in a former paragraph. Others may be looked for, as these little-frequented districts are more fully explored.

Note.—Since the foregoing was written Dr. Bühler has sent me the proofs of an essay, in the preparation of which he assisted, on the "Discoveries"\(^2\) made by Pandit Bhagwanlal Indraji, at Soparā and Paḍana.

In the course of these investigations the Pandit, when excavating a cistern at Soparā, came upon a copper casket, in which he found a silver coin, represented in the subjoined woodcut, critically reproduced by an experienced artist from an electrotype copy of the original. The coin weighs thirty-four grains, and exhibits a strong resemblance to the pieces of the Sāh dynasty. Thus the anticipation hazarded above, that gold and silver coins of the Andhra dynasty might still be in existence, has been realized.

The obverse of the piece in question, bears the head of a king, with an inscription which Bhagwanlal reads "Yajna Śatākarnī, the king Gotamiputra,"\(^3\) thus corresponding exactly with No. 27 in the genealogical table given above. The inscription on the reverse is very imperfect. The Pandit makes some ingenious attempts to restore it which result in the doubtful tentative reading, "Yajna Śatākarnī Gotamiputra, prince of Chaturapana." This he compares with another inscription above a water cistern recently discovered at Nānaghā, read as "Chaturapana Śatākarnī (Śatākarnī) Vasathi (Vāśishṭhi)," and he suggests that this Chaturapana may be the father of Yajna Śri, for reasons which he gives at length.

Following these indications Dr. Bühler refers to the inscription, No. 11, of the Junior Series in Burgess' Reports, vol. v. pl. v. recording the gift of a fountain, which the Pandit reads, "Of the Queen of... Vāśishṭhaputra Śatākarnī, (who is) descended from the race of Kārnakṣa kings, and the daughter of the Mahakshatrapa Ru..."

From these facts Dr. Bühler deduces the following conclusions:—1st, that Vāśishṭhaputra Śatākarnī was an Andhra king, and that his queen was the daughter of a Kāshaprapa; 2nd, that Vāśishṭhaputra Śatākarnī of Kānberi (No. 11) is the same person as Chaturapana Vāśishṭhaputra Śatākarnī; 3rd, that his queen was the daughter of the Mahakshatrapa Rudra, the mother of Siriyāna, and commonly called Gotami, i.e. Gauñama; 4th, that the relationship of Rudra Dāman with the Dakshanapati was, on the above identifications, either through his son-in-law, Chaturapana, or through his grandson, Siriyāna, or at least that Siriyāna's mother was some near relation of Rudra Dāman's. According to these views the genealogical table given at p. 8 would read thus:

---

\(^1\) Madras Journal, vol. iv. n.s. p. 76.
\(^3\) Plate ii. fig. 7, p. 288.
No. 26. Chaturapasa Váśáthriputra Śátsakari = Gautami II., daughter of Maháksátrapá Ra... 
No. 27. Siriyána Gautamíputra II. =

These identifications do not appear to me altogether satisfactory; but if they are admitted, they do not invalidate the theory before given, of the revolution that brought in the Andhrabhūtya line, which may have been as well owing to the other causes there mooted, as to the Kshatrapa invasion; while the postponement of the success achieved by Rudra Dáman over his relative may be taken to have helped the catastrophe which soon after extinguished the Andhra line.

Although the last name in the pauraníca list is Pulomat, for which there is no other authority, it is possible that the name Purisadatta, lately discovered by Dr. Burgess on the Jaggayyapeta stūpa, may have been that of a subsequent pretender of the Ikshvákhu race, endeavouring to maintain or resuscitate the name of the time-honoured line (Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 258; Dr. Bühler on Inscriptions from the Jaggayyapeta stūpa).

Perhaps also to this time, and to a similar connection with the Andhra Śátsakris, may be assigned that “Háritiputa Śátsakari of the Vehúkálaquta (?) family,” whose name is preserved in the Páli inscription at Banawasi (Arch. Survey, 1881, Ins. 14, p. 100).

[Sir Walter Elliot has asked me to describe such coins in this Southern series as chance to bear Nágari or North-Indian characters.—E.T.]

**Obverse.**

Head, the king with a close-fitting helmet, possibly swathed in muslin. The symbol of the Sauras or sun-worshippers is seen in the front.

**Reverse.**

The conventional Sáh device, but the Ujain symbol of the sun appears on the left.

**Sar Chh Satadasa Ratchh Gomatí Putala**

Sírí yána satadakasa Raño Gomati-putasa

A critical difference may be detected in the style of the Hindi characters on the obverse and reverse. The former follow the forms of the square alphabet employed by the Andras, the latter partake of several of the modifications incident to the Western style of writing, to be seen on the Kshatrapa Girmár inscription (Prinsep’s Essays vol. ii. pl. xxxviii. pp. 40, 53), where the downstroke of the letters ः k, ः n, ः r, and the u in ः, are curled backwards, as in the legends of the Sáh coins proper. The formation of the ः also varies in a marked degree.

I am unable to follow Páṇḍit Bhagvánláli’s reading of Cha-tu-ra-pa-aa-sa. In the electrotype before me, there does not appear to be space enough left for four conjectural letters in sequent order; but if we could be assured of his first suggestive reading of the initial consonant, there would be much reason to identify the missing name with chažhána.

The coins of Nahapana and Chashaṭana both display numismatic peculiarities which may have important bearing upon the age and location of the new type figured above. I therefore reproduce my previously-published readings of their coins, which I have tested
anew from the electrotypes supplied to me by Mr. Newton some years ago, as also from an earlier example of the coinage of Chashta (No. B.).

**Nahapana.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>King's head to the right, with rough hair, in free Scythic fashion, bound with a fillet. Very coarsely executed.</td>
<td>Mr. Newton, late Bombay Civil Service.</td>
<td>A broad-barbed arrow, and a crude definition of a thunderbolt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legend, in imperfect Greek, with traces of the title <strong>τὰ Παννανας.</strong> The suggestion for this somewhat hazardous reconstruction is derived from the parallel legend on Scythian coins of more northern sites, which run <strong>Τυπάννοις,</strong> etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Legend, in Bactrian-Pali characters, reading from the right, commencing below the point of the spear, <strong>हनपनास.</strong> Following which, reading from the inside, but in the reverse direction, in Indian-Pali letters, <strong>हनपनास.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sir Walter Elliot has adverted, in previous pages, to the inscriptions of Nahapana found in Western India. Mr. Burgess has lately collected, in his Archaeological Reports, all the most recent data on this subject, and likewise revised the earlier and less perfect transcripts and translations, so that we now seem to have all the available epigraphic evidence before us.

It appears that there are no less than seven inscriptions extant (as Visok, Junnar, and Karle) of this king, set up, on the one part, by his son-in-law and Viceroy, Usavadatta (Rishabhadatta?), the son of Dinikā, "a Sāka" by race; and, on the other, by his daughter Dakshamitra; and also, in one case, by "Ayama of the Vatsa-gotra, prime minister to the King, the great Satrap, the Lord Nahapana." He is ordinarily styled in these documents "the Kahanarāta king, Kshatrapa Nahapana," which designation of Kshatrapa or Mahakshatrapa was adopted by Chashtana and was continued as the Dynastic title of the succeeding Sāh kings of Surashtra. The most advanced date in Nahapana's inscriptions is 46, defined simply as "in the year," as if it referred to some well-established method of reckoning. If we test these figures by the Mauryan epoch of 312 B.C., or the parallel Seleucid era of 311 B.C., we have the option of three resulting dates, under the Indian home system of omitting hundreds; viz.:

- B.C. 312 — 100 = 212 — 46 = 166 B.C.
- B.C. 312 — 200 = 112 — 46 = 66 B.C.
- B.C. 312 — 300 = 12 — 46 = 34 A.D.

The Scythian dates of Vasudeva, etc., from Mathura, gave a general return by the same process of reckoning—of B.C. 2 to 87 A.D. But I am not sure that I should not now prefer the previous century for both classes of rulers.

---

COINS OF CHASHTANA.

Chashtana.

B. Silver. Weight 34 grains. Col. Watson, Bombay Army.

Obverse.
King's head to the right, with flat (Spanish style of) cap, and well-defined features.

Legend, in Bactrian characters (?), only partially legible.

Reverse.
The sun and the moon.

Legend.

It seems that he had not as yet claimed the title of Maha “great,” prefixed to the Kshatrapa in No. C.

C. Silver. Weight 23 grains. Legends, in three different characters.

Obverse.
King's head to the right, with flat cap and well-executed profile. The secondary prototype of the Sāh Mint device.

Legend, in imperfect Greek, with apparent portions of the word—]._ Meyhaoes.

Reverse.
A chaitya, type or tumulus, composed of superimposed arches, with a demilune capital. Serpent below; above a well-defined boldly-rayed sun to the right, with a corresponding moon to the left.

Legend in Indian-Pali—

The inscriptions bearing the name of Chashtana, though not contemporaneous, may prove of considerable value in determining the system of reckoning followed by his successors, the Sāh kings of Surashtra. We are still unable to fix with absolute precision the starting date-point, but the preference apparently remains with the wide-spread Sawant Vikramaditya, 57 B.C.

1 Sayam seems to have been a favourite Scythic name. It occurs in the series of Indo-Scythic coins with Hindi legends, lately published by me, of which the following is a full list, with the associate tribal subdivisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saka</th>
<th>Ma.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

—Indian Antiquary, Bombay, 1883, p. 6. The general type of this class of coins may be seen in Prinsep’s Essays, plate xxx. figs. 16-20, and in J. B. A. S. o. a. vol. xii. plate vii. figs. 16-20.

2 Prof. Max Müller, following up Mr. Ferguson’s suggestion (J. E. A. S. vol. xii. n. a. p. 271), has lately reiterated all the arguments tending to prove that the Vikramaditya Samvat of 66-7 B.C. was an after-invention, or in other words altogether unauthentic (Note G. “The Renaissance of Sanskrit Literature”). He has been answered from India, in the terms of his own challenge, “to show that something less than 800 of the Vikramas era” can be produced in documentary evidence,—in Mr. Fies’s article in the Indian Antiquary (Nov. 1883, p. 291), where it is demonstrated that the recorded dates of 421 and 498 on the Gurjara copper plates must clearly refer to the Vikramaditya era.
NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.

It is evident from the coincident tenour of the subjoined inscriptions, not only that we must reject the personal, or quasi-regnal date of 72, in favour of a dynastic or otherwise recognized era, which follows on, in the terms of the Jaudan epigraph, to the similarly undefined year 127.

Any disturbance of these written dates, inter se, is happily disposed of, by the concurrent figured dates on these coins, which we can now read with some certainty, and which practically run on all fours with the more fully-defined lithic writing.

Inscription at Jaudan.

This work (the embankment of the Sudaraśana lake) gave way on the 4th day of the dark fortnight of the month of Mārgaṣīra of the 72nd year of Rājā Mahākṣatrapa Rudra Damān, whose name is oft repeated by the great, the grandson of Mahākṣatrapa Chāṣṭāṇa of well-accepted (prophopis) name . . . the son of . . ., etc. (Arch. Rep. 1874-5, p. 129; Indian Antiquary, 1878, p. 261.)

From the subsequent terms of the inscription, it would seem that this embankment had been previously repaired or extended by Pushya Gupta, on the part of the Great Maurya Rāja, Chandrā Gupta, and also subsequently by Tusharapa, the Yavana Rāja of Aśoka Maurya.1

The most important passage in this inscription, however, in relation to our present subject, is the reference to the Andhras, which is couched in the following terms:

"He who has obtained glory because he did not destroy Siṭakara, the lord of the Dēkhan, on account of his near relationship, though he twice really conquered him." (Ind. Ant. 1878, p. 262.)

If we could rely upon the conjectural restoration of the name of Chāṣṭāṇa on the coin at p. 25, it would throw much new light upon the family relations.

Inscription at Jaudan.

In the year 127 Bhaḍrapada, dark-half 7 of the moon, this Satra (tank) of Rājā Mahākṣatrapa Bhaḍrāvakha Śrāvani Rudra Sena, the great-grandson of the son of Rājā Mahākṣatrapa Chāṣṭāṇa; the grandson of the grandson of Rājā Khaṭrapa Śrāvani Jayadāman, the grandson of Rājā Mahākṣatrapa . . . Rudra Damān, (son of) Rājā Mahākṣatrapa Bhaḍrāvaṅka Śrāvani Rudra, etc. (Dr. Bhau Dāji, Journ. Bombay Branch Roy. As. Soc. vol. viii. p. 235.)

The subjoined list of Andhra coins, bearing legends in one of the first removes from the old lāt character of Āśoka, was compiled by me, some years ago, with a view to its final insertion in the present work of Sir Walter Elliot. If there are but few additions to the general series, there are, as far as I am aware, equally few rectifications to be made in my original decipherments.

The Āśoka Inscription at Ćāṇam, in the earliest lāt alphabet at present in evidence, prepared us for the use of a similar style of writing among a race, who passed on their way to southern conquests along the seaboard of the eastern coast, or along the traditional route of Rāma. And the near identity of the forms of the letters on the Andhra coins with

1 Pundit Bhagvṅālī, in his revised translation (p. 257) of this inscription, refers to the apparent date of 72 of this King's reign, and adds: "But it seems altogether improbable that Rudradāman should have reigned for so long a time, and it is still less probable that he should have had a still longer reign. . . . It seems therefore necessary to assume, as has been done by former translators of the inscription, that the figure of 72 refers not to the year of Rudradāman's reign, but to the era used on the Khaṭrapa coins. This explanation is confirmed by the fact that the coins of Rudradāman's son, Rudradāma, are dated between the years 102 and 117 of the same era."
THE INSCRIPTION AT UDAYAGIRI.

the fixed prototypes of B.C. 250, rather suggests for the Dynasty itself an epoch earlier than has hitherto been conceded to them by modern inquirers.

This is an era of much importance in Indian history, which seems to be farther elucidated by recent interpretations of the celebrated Aila inscription at Udayagiri\(^1\) (sun-rise hill) in Cuttack, a new and revised translation of which has been contributed to the recent Oriental Congress at Leyden, in the following outline terms:—"It is sufficient here to mention a communication of the first importance made to the Arya Section by Prof. Peterson, of Bombay, on behalf of Pradit Bhagvänálî Indrajit. The Pradit has succeeded in deciphering the well-known inscription of Udayagiri, formerly ascribed to King Aila or Vera, but which has hitherto baffled all attempts to read it. He shows that the real name of the king is Khârâvela, who ruled over Kalinga, and belonged to the Cheta family and to the Jain faith. The king gives a long account of his doings during thirty-eight years; and though some of the details still remain uncertain, it is clear that he mentions a Western (that is, Andhra) king Sâtakani, and dates the extension of certain works at the close of the 165th year of the Maurya kings."

Assuming that the latter era dates from the Abhibhek of Chandra Gupta, as accepted by the Jains, or 312 B.C., this would fix Khârâvela's public works in 147 B.C.\(^2\)

No. 1. Lead. Size, the full 9 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 220 grains.

**Obverse.**

A crudely-outlined representation of a primitive semicircular bow and broadly-barbed arrow.\(^3\)

The broad arrow is rendered in an identical form at Udayagiri.

At Sanchi the arrows vary, and have no barbs.

---

\(^1\) The first translation of this Inscription was made by Prinsep in 1837 (J.A.S.B. vol. vi. p. 1080), from eye facsimiles traced by that most accurate Palaeographer, Captain M. Kittoe. A revised translation was undertaken in 1877, by Babu Râjendra Mitra, from plaster impressions (J.A.S.B. 1877, vol. xvi. p. 165), who remarked on its general tenor as follows:—"The author of the record was one Aila, a usurper, who overthrew the dominion of an ancient king of Kalinga and, himself becoming the sovereign, repaired the city walls, etc. . . . The most important fact mentioned in the record was the overthrow, by this usurper, of King Nanda of Magadha, and this carried him back to the middle of the fourth century B.C. It was not distinctly stated which of the nine Nandas he overcame in battle; but assuming the potentate meant to be the last of the line, the time would be a few years before the invasion of India by Alexander the Great in 327 B.C." Since this was written, I have received from the Pradit himself his article on the Nâthik caves, extracted from the Bombay Gazetteer. At p. 73 he gives some further notices of this Inscription.


\(^3\) The same typical form of bow and arrow occurs repeatedly on the earlier specimens of the ancient punched or hall-marked coins. Indian Weights, Numismata Orientalia, Part I. plates, fig. 12, etc., and the accompanying Plate I.

No. 2. Lead. Size, 7 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 228 grains.

Obverse.
Device a rude strung bow and broadly-barbed arrow, ready set for use.

Legend.
रणो वासित्सी पुत्रस विद्वानय कुरस
Raño Vasiṭṭha-puttaśa Vidvāna-kurasa.

Reverse.
A conventional chaitya consisting of three layers of inverted semicircles with inner dots, surmounted by a chakra or wheel, perhaps the typical figure of the sun. To the left, a sacred tree with seven broad-spread leaves. At the foot, an oblong pedestal, in which is figured a serpent, the wavy intervals being filled in with dots.

I place this piece in the tentative list of the issue or descendants of Vāsiṭṭhi, than those bearing the name of the children of Gautami, on strictly numismatic grounds.

No. 3. Lead. Size, 9 of Mionnet's scale. Weights range from 180 grains to 196. The execution of the dies is inferior to the preceding. Numerous specimens and examples are available.

Obverse.
The usual crude bow and arrow.

Legend.
रणो गोतमी पुत्रस विद्वानय कुरस
Raño Gotami-puttaśa Vidvāna-kurasa.

Reverse.
Chaitya device as above, but the symbolic tree is attached to the main device, and rises directly from the end or outer upright line on the right of the pedestal.

Many of these coins are what is technically termed "double-struck," i.e. the dies of a successor or adverse contemporary have been repeated over the original impression, without any re-fashioning or possibly much re-softening of the metal of the piece itself.

These indications are often of much value in determining the relative priority of the conjoint rulers. In the present instance they distinctly authorize us to place the children or issue of Madāri before those of the more prolific or possibly more powerful ancestress Gautami.

The first of these coincidences is exemplified in No. 13 of the original plate iv., Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society, 1877, where the outer or natural edge of the piece retains a portion of the normal legend in the letters

तर्नो मदार पुत्रस
Raño Madāri putaśa:

while the second impress of a new die, in its false centering, overlaps the lower surface with the letters of a broken legend in the words

कुरस रण गोतमी पुत
kuraśa. Raño Gotami puta . . .

To exemplify further the custom of renewed or revised impressions upon the fully issued or so to say current coin, I may add that in one case a piece of the Gautami-putras of type No. 3 has clearly had the identical legends of the original obverse re-struck or repeated over the obvious surface of the old reverse.

1 बासित्र 'beautiful,' रेसनी 'perfumed.'
COINS OF THE ANDHRA.

It is difficult to determine by what process this was effected, as Sir W. Elliot has a very sharp and perfect specimen of coin No. 3, which shows indubitable signs of having been cast—the marks of the moulds are set irregularly at the edges, and two definite orifices have been left at the sides to receive the metal, the superfluous quantity of which still adheres to the piece.

General Pearse has lately acquired a group of five coins which seem to connect themselves in a measure with the above pieces, in the metal and general appearance of the joint issues, in the retention of the same forms of letters, and in a certain degree of the character of the devices themselves.

The new coins, however, bear strange names, and cannot strictly be classed with the Andhra series, but rather seem to belong to some potentates who succeeded to a section of the dominions of that race as they made their way onwards to the Western coast.

No. 5. Lead. Weight, 250 grains. Plate II. infra.

Obverse.
A chaitya with two rows of invented semicircles at the base, and a larger open or cupola arch above.

Legend.
रञ्र मदमा दा
Reko Madama dana.


Obverse.
Central device similar to No. 5 above.

Legend.
रञ्र वदाहा देवा दा
Reko Vadaha dana dana.

No. 4. Copper. Small Coins. Size, 4 of Mionnet's scale. Weight, 28 grains.

Obverse.
Archaic bow and arrow.

Legend.
स्वर दाभ... तथा विद्वान् बुरस

Reverse.
A sacred tree, in a four-square pedestal, or pot, with curious devices at the sides.

Device, in the first instances, similar to the above. Later examples complicate the pedestal or box below the tree into twelve squares, and enlarge the size of the $\omega$ symbol, which is transferred from the left to a more prominent position on the right of the central device.

Reverse.
Chaitya, with the sacred tree represented as growing on the apex or summit.

In one instance the ' or 'anuṣṭaṇa is repeated over the $\omega$. $\omega$ forms part of the name of Vādāṣṭ, p. 8, and in the coin a, above; it is stated, in the Vishnu Purāṇa, "to be a Veda of the Magas or Heliolaters in Śaka-dwipa." Hall's ed. vol. ii. p. 362.
In the field of one specimen, a monogram possibly composed of the letters तथा tāthā or तव tava; on the other example, a letter like a Chaldean-Pehlevi म. न (m. n).  


Obverse.  
Device similar in some respects to the reverse of No. 1, but the chaitya or tumulus in this case is solid, surmounted with the usual half-moon, while the standard tree is replaced by a couch shell, the favourite symbol of Nemi, the 22nd Jaina Tirthankara, and equally of the Hindu god Vishnu, balanced on the other side of the field by a lotus or water-lily, the type of the 21st Jaina. The conventional serpent appears at the foot, but free and clear of the main device.  

Legend.  
रानी भोसली पुत्र सिरु प्रति चषु तामासाने  
Rāni Bhosali putra Siru Chṣukātānā.  

In the last and succeeding Numismatic instances male rulers seem to have re-asserted their rights of kingship, while still in a measure recognizing the traditional law of the supremacy of maternity. So that the gradations, in this instance, seem to have followed:  
1. the Scythic female head of the camp; 2. the ruling warrior king. Ultimately, the Sāh kings—who affected patronymics—progressed into, or more probably reverted back to local Republicanism.  


Obverse.  
Small chaitya, with three inverted semicircles, and free serpent at the foot.  

Legend.  
रानी वांशिक पुत्र सिरु यासाना (No. 7 a?)  
Rāni Vāṃśikā putra Siru Yāstānā.  

1 See Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal, v. ii. plate lxi; Numismatic Orientalia, "Ancient Indian Weights," part i. plate, figs. 5, 6. Dr. Schliemann, in his work on Mycena, enlarges upon the identity of the Greek Trypilios with the Indian Swastika cross, and there is also a singular approach to this circular Indian design in many of the patterns found on his buttons or shields, the only appreciable difference consisting of the centre hole, which fills in the space between the four circles in the latter. See Nos. 428, 404, 406, 411, etc. A great variety of the forms of the Chera, pattern may be seen in vol. vii. plate lxi. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and a series of many cognate  

1 See Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. vii. plate lxi; Numismatic Orientalia, "Ancient Indian Weights," part i. plate, figs. 5, 6. Dr. Schliemann, in his work on Mycena, enlarges upon the identity of the Greek Trypilios with the Indian Swastika cross, and there is also a singular approach to this circular Indian design in many of the patterns found on his buttons or shields, the only appreciable difference consisting of the centre hole, which fills in the space between the four circles in the latter. See Nos. 428, 404, 406, 411, etc. A great variety of the forms of the Chera, pattern may be seen in vol. vii. plate lxi. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and a series of many cognate  


1 See Journal Royal Asiatic Society, n.s. vol. iii. (1868), p. 294. It may be as well to add that the occurrence of such a letter on the local coinage need not necessarily reduce the age of the pieces so inscribed to the modern limits assigned to extant Pehlevi inscriptions. The letters of these alphabets are found on very early specimens of the Pehlevi coinage.  

3 See Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. vii. plate lxi; Numismatic Orientalia, "Ancient Indian Weights," part i. plate, figs. 5, 6. Dr. Schliemann, in his work on Mycena, enlarges upon the identity of the Greek Trypilios with the Indian Swastika cross, and there is also a singular approach to this circular Indian design in many of the patterns found on his buttons or shields, the only appreciable difference consisting of the centre hole, which fills in the space between the four circles in the latter. See Nos. 428, 404, 406, 411, etc. A great variety of the forms of the Chera, pattern may be seen in vol. vii. plate lxi. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and a series of many cognate  

4 See Journal Royal Asiatic Society, n.s. vol. iii. (1868), p. 294. It may be as well to add that the occurrence of such a letter on the local coinage need not necessarily reduce the age of the pieces so inscribed to the modern limits assigned to extant Pehlevi inscriptions. The letters of these alphabets are found on very early specimens of the Pehlevi coinage.  


The conventional Ujjain symbol.
SIMILAR COINS—VARIANTS OF NO. 6.

No. 7. One coin of this class gives distinctly the letters शिवकर सीवारा, No. 24 of the Puršalk list at page 8, which reading is further confirmed by one of Mr. Sewell’s specimens, which contributes the outlines of the letters—

.. तस विसिर
   Pu tasa Sivasira.

No. 7a.

Legend.—.. .. शत पुत्र सिर बद्धतम
   Raño Varisílo-puta siri Vedantasa. Vadasri or Chaṣa, No. 28 of the Puršalk list, p. 8.

"No. 75 of Mr. Sewell’s collection reproduces, in a more definite form, the imperfect specimen of Sir W. Elliot’s plate xi. 100, and retains in legible letters the name of Pudumavi, No. 29, list, p. 8.

रन वस्त्रपूर दुःस्वम
   Raño Varisílo-puta Pupumawes.

No. 8. Lead. Size, 44 Mignonet’s scale.

OVERSE.

A well-executed figure of an elephant, to the left.

Legend.

रनी गोतमी पुत्र चिर सतकाणी
   Raño Gotami-puta siri Yaha Satakana.

REVERSE.

The usual four double rings joined by a cross. Some examples add a Swastika on the obverse field, to the right of the chaitya.


OVERSE.

A boldly sunk die bearing a well-designed figure of a horse to the left.

Legend.

रनी गोतमी पुत्र चिर चन स
   Raño Gotami-puta siri Yaha sa.

REVERSE.

The conventional Ujjain symbol.

No. 10. Copper or bronze. Size, 4. Weight (average), 35 grains.

OVERSE.

A well-outlined figure of an elephant in free form, with trunk erect; without trappings.

Legend.

सिर वसिरणि
   Siri Satakani.

REVERSE.

Four single circles joined by cross lines.
No. 11. *Variant.* The *elephant* is decorated with rich head-gear.

चतुर्वेण

_Yana Sataka._

N.B.—The forms of the letters of the legends clearly indicate that these two coins, as well as those which follow, belong to a later date than the specimens previously described.


**Obverse.**
A well-executed figure of a *horse* to the right, with a half-moon in the field above.

**Legend.**
राज म... सतवाण

_="Raja Chaitanya Sataquee._

**Reverse.**
Device indistinguishable.


**Obverse.**
Horse to right.

**Legend.**
च सिरि चत...

(Ra)jo Sire Chaitanya.

**Reverse.**
The Ujjain symbol.


**Obverse.**
A crude figure of an *elephant* to the left.

**Legend.**
सूरिया Sarivasa or Salivasa, perhaps a repetition of the name, but not necessarily indicative of the personality of the great monarch.

**Reverse.**
The Ujjain symbol.


**Legend—रुद्र हुद्द**

_Sir Resha._

The द is on one occasion given as द, and the R, if required for Rudra, has to be supplied to the existing context.
PART I. SECTION II.

COROMANDEL COAST DIE COINS.

(c) KURUMBARS. (d) PALLAVAS.

Along the Coromandel Coast, from Nellore as far South as Cuddalore and Pondicherry, a class of thin copper die-struck coins occurs, which, although not directly connected with the Andhra type, may be appropriately considered next. They are found in considerable numbers in or near dunes and sand-knolls in the vicinity of the kupama, or fishing hamlets that stand the shore, together with Roman obols, perforated Chinese coins, bits of lead and other metal, beads, fragments of charcoal, etc.

These are collected by the wives and children of the fishermen, after gales of wind or heavy rains, and purchased from them by the itinerant peddlars, called Labis and Merkayars, in exchange for useful necessaries, by whom they are sold to braziers and coppersmiths. The discovery of articles of this description in such localities indicates the existence of a considerable maritime trade in former times, probably during the first four or five centuries of the Christian era.

The Roman coins are all of the smallest value, and are generally worn smooth, but on two or three the names of Valentinian and Eudocia have been read.

Gold coins of earlier dates, found in Nellore, Madura, Cuddapah, etc.,1 may have come by land from the opposite coast, where intercourse with the West was more frequent, and Roman relics are more numerous; but these poor copper pieces could only have been dropped by mariners and traders frequenting the places where they now lie.

These of native origin are small, irregularly rounded pieces of thin copper, bearing generally the device of a bull, with occasionally some letters in the Cave-character on the obverse; and on the reverse a tree, ship, star, crab, fish, etc. But their most remarkable characteristic is the elegance and delicacy of form with which the animals are designed, indicating a considerable advance in art; and in this respect contrasting favourably, both with the Andhra money on the one side, and with the Chola and Pandyan currencies on the other.

1 Madras Journal Literature and Science, vol. xiii. p. 214; other finds have been recorded on the Malabar or Western Coast, Coimbatore, Sholapoor, etc.
In addition to these, a few specimens of another description, which apparently belong to this series, have come to my notice, but they are very rare. They are between the size of a sixpence and a shilling, rather thicker, of billon or some white metal containing tin, and bear on the obverse, some the figure of a bull, others of a horse, of the same elegant design as those of the copper. The only two I ever possessed had the reverse plain.

Colonel Frederick Clerk had three or four of the same description, with one or two letters like those on the copper. My own specimens have, unfortunately, been lost, and Colonel Clerk's were dispersed at a sale shortly before his death,¹ and I have failed in all my endeavours to trace them.

In vain do we look for some independent power with which to identify this class on sure grounds, or even with any tolerable show of probability. Nothing is known of any dynasty or paramount ruler in that part of India, anterior to the time when the Chola princes began their career of conquest about the eighth century, which made them masters of great part of the South of India, and culminated in the overthrow of the eastern Chalukya kingdom in the twelfth.

There were, it is true, two smaller states enjoying a certain independent existence in the Arcot district during the period to which these coins must be assigned, which deserve a passing notice. These were:

(a) An aboriginal or very early pastoral race, living in associated communities, under the general name of Kurumbars.

(b) A Pallava principality seated at Conjeeveram.

(c) THE KURUMBARS.

For some hundred years before the seventh century the country, from the base of the table-land to the Pálar and Pennár rivers, was occupied by a section of the pastoral race, traditionally designated as Kurumbars, of whom little is known.

Fragmentary notices of their social organization, and the ultimate fate of that part of them with which we are now concerned, may be gathered from Mr. F. W. Ellis' Essay on Land Tenures,² and from traditionary statements preserved in the McKenzie Collection of MSS. They appear to have formed a sort of Confederate State, "under chiefs of their own, each of whom resided in a fortified stronghold, having a district of greater or less extent under its jurisdiction, denominated a köṭṭam (from köṭṭai, a fort or castle), the largest of which was recognized as the head of the Union. Of the köṭṭams there were twenty-four,

¹ On Tuesday, July 29th, 1871. In Sotheby, Wilkinson, and Hodgson's Catalogue, p. 27.
² Replies to Seventeen Questions relative to Mysore Rights, and with two Appendices, etc. Folio. Madras, 1818.
each consisting of one or more nāḍus or parishes, and each nāḍu subdivided into several
nettams or townships.

They are farther stated to have been engaged in trade, and to have owned ships, and
carried on a considerable commerce by sea. Their wealth attracted the cupidity of their
neighbours, and they suffered from hostile inroads, but defended themselves with courage and
success, till at length, about the eighth or ninth century, they were attacked by an army,
under a general named Ādōṇḍai or Tondamān, the son (illegitimate according to some) or brother
of the ruler of Cholamandalam. He encountered an obstinate resistance, but after sustaining
some reverses, subdued the whole province, and incorporated it with the Chōla territories,
changing the name to Tondamandalam. The fierceness of the struggle was probably intensified
by religious hatred; the one side being Jainas, while their conquerors were votaries of Siva.
The Kurumbars were so completely exterminated, that it became necessary to replace them
by introducing an agricultural colony from a distance.

Ellis, following the accounts handed down by their enemies, and preserved in Tamil
narrative, represents them as a “pastoral, half-savage tribe,” and again as a “Nomadic
race”; whilst the native writers paint them as crafty, cruel and tyrannical. But such
characteristics, assigned on the testimony of their enemies, are incompatible with an organized
social system having territorial institutions, of which no similar examples are found in the
adjacent countries, and dwellings defended by permanently fortified castles, the ruined sites
of which are still shown. Moreover, there is reason to believe that they had some knowledge
of metallurgy, and were acquainted with the use of bronzé, implements of which metal
are occasionally found. It is also expressly stated that Ādōṇḍai transported the bronze gates
found in the capital of the Confederacy at Pural to ornament the great temple at Tanjore.

The attainment of this degree of civilization may be accounted for by the circumstance
of the Kurumbars being Jainas—a sect prevailing from a very early period in the South,
and probably also throughout other parts of India. Judging from the remains that have
survived the ravages of time, and the rage of persecution, its votaries had reached a high
degree of intellectual culture.

Their literary compositions are still esteemed, and their works of statuary and architecture
even now bear testimony to their proficiency in the higher walks of art.

The rumed sites of mines, long abandoned, in various parts of the country are believed to
be relics of Jainas skill. Such are the excavations for copper, found by Mr. V. Ball, in

---

1 P. W. Ellis, Mirasi Rights.
2 The site of Pural is now known as the Red Hills, twelve miles north of Madras, where an extensive necropolis of Kist-
vases and circles may still be seen.
3 Dr. Caldwell considers the earliest cultivators of Dravidian literature to have been Jainas (Comp. Gram. pp. 122-124-128).
Dr. Burnell also assigns a high antiquity to Jainas literature (South India Palaeography, pp. 31, 47-8. Ind. Ant. Vol. i.
p. 319).
4 e.g. The Amara Koṟa, the works of Hemachandra, the Sabannuriparasam of Kēśava, etc., etc.
5 As the colossal statues of Śrīvāna Bīlagodi, Karkal, and the smaller images of the Tīrthanākars or Saints, exquisitely carved
out of basalt . . . Such too are the numerous temples of polished black stone, with pillars elaborately sculptured or
elegantly chiselled, as if formed by a turning lathe, many of which have been appropriated by other sects, and turned into
ill-disguised Brahmanical shrines. The very Digambar idols, clothed in modern dress, have been made to do duty for Hindu
gods, as described by Dr. Stevenson and Ferguson at Pandarpur (J.R.A.S. vol. vi. p. 6; J.A.S.S. vol. xxxv. part i. pp. 186-296,
also pp. 170, 188).
Chotia Nagpur, which the rude inhabitants can only say were the work of an ancient race called Sêraka\(^1\) (quasi-Sêraka), whom Colonel Dalton states to have been Jainas.\(^2\)

The long-forgotten Kolãr gold mines, now re-opened by British capital and enterprise, are within the limits of Tondaiânu,\(^3\) and those of Wynâd may not improbably have been the work of Jainas also. The name of one of the last subscribing witnesses to the copper-plate grant in the possession of the Jews of Cochin, according to the Jewish version, is supposed to refer to the Kurumbenâdu rulers, and in the epithet attached to his name, which may be rendered “Mountain-splitter,” they find the Chieftain of Kurumbar, or Jungle-dwellers, so called, either from his mines at Tanracheri,\(^4\) or from a pass he opened through the Ghâts.

The foregoing considerations throw no light on the direct authorship of the coins in question, but they go to prove the existence of a civilized community, capable of producing exceptional specimens of skilled manufacture, about the required period, and occupying a tract which extended inland from the particular line of coast on which the coins are found.

It may be added, that the Kurumbars who form the subject of the foregoing observations are but a small section of a great tribe, which occupied a larger extent of territory, formerly designated Kurumba-bhûm—a name now obsolete—the limits of which can only be guessed from the localities in which the scattered remnants of the tribes are now found to linger. Individuals of the race have risen to eminence, and even founded Royal dynasties, as will be noticed hereafter in treating of the Yâdavas.\(^5\)

\(^1\) Proc. R. As. Soc. 1869, p. 170. \(^2\) V. Bell, Jungle Life in India, 1880, pp. 167-171; Proc. As. Soc. B.; Dalton, Ind. Eth.; and J. A. S. B. vol. xxx, pt. 2, p. 164. \(^3\) Ellis states expressly that the Upper (= Western) part of Tondai, which did not come within the scope of his essay, consisted of four of the 24 kotâmas, the extent of which is unknown, and all traces of their nâkâs lost (page xi); but in a previous page (iv) he had explained generally that they included the North-East districts of Mândâ, comprising the Pargânas of Kolâr, Bara Balapur, part of Penâkoda; and the Subah of the Sirk, the first of which contains the whole of the gold-field of the 22nd kotâam, in which is also situate the fortress of Naŭdîrâb (A. pp. iv-viii). For a long time I was led to attribute the higher works of art found in the Dakhan mainly to the genius of Buddhism, but more intimate acquaintance with Tamil records induces me to modify this view and to recognize the claims of Jainas skill. The Bâbudhas do not appear to have gained the same hold of the people of the South that they obtained over those of the North. The term Senama in Tamil writing, read as applicable exclusively to Buddhist priests, is now shown by Mr. Beal to be a title of religious teachers in other sects as well (Ind. Ant. vol. ix. pp. 122, Notes to Ellis’ Kural; see also Drs. Caldwell and Burnell, supra). Viewed in this light the origin of the Panchâlar, or five castes of skilled artisans, should be considered as an escape of Jaina artificers from destruction, by assuming a semblance to their Saivite persecutors; this agrees better with their secret forms of worship, which has no similarity to that of Buddhism (Journ. Ethnol. Soc. vol. i. n.s. pt. 16, p. 111, where the figure of the idol is certainly Jain; Taylor’s Cat. vol. iii. p. 418). The narrative of the feud between Bâbudhas and Jainas should probably be understood as between the latter and Saivas (6, p. 423). \(^4\) Dr. Gundert, Med. Journ. vol. xiii. p. 140. \(^5\) I add a figure of a piece of bronze found in Tondamandalam, near Pural, the ancient capital, as a specimen of Kurumbar skill. It appears to have been the end or crown of a standard or staff handle, and is, in the words of an expert, “a very fine object, quite a work of art.” The specimen is unique, measures 16½ inches long and weighs 5 lbs. 6½ oz.
(b) PALLAVAS.

The other State before indicated as the possible source from which the coins in question may have issued, was the Pallava principality of Kānci.

It is somewhat strange that a people which played no inconsiderable part in medieval Dakhan history should have remained comparatively unknown until very recently. No mention of them is found in the vernacular historical legends, such as they are; they do not appear in the native lists of former dynasties, nor, unless for the incidental occurrence of one or two mythical names, was Colonel Mackenzie acquainted with them. It was only in 1840 that they were brought into notice by the discovery of a copper deed, in what has been called the Cave-character, recording the grant of a village by a Rāja of Vengiāram, a place the site of which was unknown.

The plates having been found in the Kollār (Kolār) lake or swamp, inquiry was made in that part of the Musulipatam district, which led to the discovery of a group of villages near Ellore afterwards identified as the place in question. In the course of the quest three more copper āsanaṅāms of a similar description were met with, from which were obtained the names of certain princes ruling over the kingdom of Vengi, inhabited by a people of Pallava race. Further particulars derived from them were communicated to the "Indian Antiquary" by Professor Eggeling in 1874, giving an imperfect genealogical list, of what Dr. Burnell has designated "a yet nameless dynasty." Additional light was thrown on their history by the inscriptions of the earlier Chalukya princes, so many of which have been edited by Mr. Fleet, and by two more of their own copper grants published by Mr. Foulkes, all in the same periodical.

From these sources we learn that the Pallavas were a numerous and powerful race, inhabiting the middle and south Dakhan from a remote period. Little is known about them until towards the fourth century, about which time some Gujarāt Rājputs of the Chalukya family began to seek a settlement further South. Their first attempts were met by a determined and at first a successful resistance on the part of the Pallavas. Eventually they effected a lodgement to the south of the Krishna, under Pulikesi I.

In the beginning of the seventh century, not content with their success on the table-land, the Chalukya leaders pushed their advances towards the East, and descended the ghats under Kubja Vīśṇu Varāhā, the younger brother of Pulikesi. There he overran the maritime

---

3 Madras Journal Lit. and Science, vol. xi. p. 302 (1840); also vol. xii. pp. 56, 62-63 (1844); Ind. Ant. vol. iii. p. 152 (1874); Burelli, South Ind. Palaeog. pp. 13, 156, second edition (1874). All the copper plates have been edited by Mr. Fleet in the Ind. Ant. vol. v. pp. 50, 151, 176, and vol. ix. p. 101.
province of Vengi, the seat of another Pallava principality, and established himself at Rájamahendri, which became the capital of the Eastern Chalukya dynasty. A third Pallava State then comes prominently into notice, with which the Chalukya princes maintained a long desultory contest. Notwithstanding the reverses they sustained, the Pallavas did not despair, but struggled bravely against their Northern assailants, with occasional glances of success, for nearly two centuries longer. They appear at times to have obtained assistance from their Southern neighbours, the “Chôla and Pândya kings.” It is unfortunate that the little we hear of this chronic warfare is derived from one side only, and the Chalukyas, as might be expected, are not slow to magnify their own prowess.

The son, grandson, great-grandson, and great-great-grandson of Pulikesi, all claim to have defeated the Pallavas (the last three several times), and more than once to have taken the city of K上海市自己.” But these vaunts are accompanied by the somewhat qualifying admissions of the conqueror that the vanquished Pallava king had been the destruction and humiliation of his family, and on a later occasion by the boast of Vinayaditya that he had conquered the enemy of his race.

This struggle was maintained from the seventh to the middle of the eighth century. After the middle of the eighth century we hear little of the Chalukyas, whose power suffered a collapse for more than a century and a half, the cause of which seems to have likewise affected the Pallavas. But after the Chalukya restoration to power, in an inscription of Kàli Vikramá (Vikramáditya VI.), in the fifth year of his reign (1080 A.D.), we are told that “he overcame Balavarja of the Palavanya or Pala race, and sat on his throne.”

The paucity of contemporary records does not supply a full genealogical list of the dynasty, but from such as we have we obtain two or three lists, without, however, means of connecting several dynasties which may possibly have been altogether distinct. For, although all claim to belong to the Bháradvája gítra, the earliest are said to be of the Sáînákáyana race (or káta), while the latest are styled of the Panchum tribe. No date has been mentioned, nor has any coin been found with the epigraph of a name.

The best plan for the purpose of this paper will be to give the names as they stand in each grant, according to the following table:

1 When and how the Pallava became possessed of Kánchi is unknown. It was primarily a constituent part of the ancient Kurumba-hámany, and is entered by P. W. Ellis in his “Lives of the kóta or and nádas of Tondamandalam, as the second náda of the third or Icchu kóta” (Mirasi Right, Appendix, p. vi). Relics of the Jaina creed professed by the Kurumbas can yet be seen. A large slab, with a life-size figure of a Jaina Tirthankara, might be seen lying by the wayside in the suburbs some years ago, and may be there still. The allusion to the expulsion of the ancient inhabitants described as “persons offensive to religion,” in the grant of an agraharam made by Nandí Varma Pallavamalá, in honour of his successful general Udayachandra, seems to throw some light on the question. The removal of the obnoxious villagers had probably reference to their Jaina faith, and seems to show that the Pallavas had wrested this portion of the country from the Kurumbas before the conquest of Tondamandalam by the Cholás.

2 Both the Cholás and the Pallavas were worshippers of Siva, and it has been a noted shrine of the orthodox Hindus ever since.

The oldest of these is a prakrit or pali composition, the characters of which are ancient and very rude.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oldest Grant</th>
<th>Sālankāyana Kula</th>
<th>Three Grants</th>
<th>Mr. Foulkes' Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Maharāja Vijaya Skanda Varmā.</td>
<td>II. Chanda Varmā.</td>
<td>IV. V. VI. Skanda Varmā I.</td>
<td>VII. Simha Vindhana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vijaya Skanda Varmā, the Tavaraja or Heir Apparent.</td>
<td>Vijaya Nandi Varmā.</td>
<td>Vira Varmā.</td>
<td>Mahendra Varmā I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. : Attirvarma, of the family of King Kandara.</td>
<td>Sunha Varmā I.</td>
<td>Sunha Varmā I.</td>
<td>Mahendra Varmā II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vahngọga Varmā.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Parameshvara Varmā I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skanda Varmā III.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Narasimha Varmā II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunha Varmā I.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paramesvara Varmā II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nandi Varmā.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nandi Varmā.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the next we have the names of Chanda Varmā and Vijaya Nandi Varmā, who are stated to belong to the Sālankāyana kula. A third ancient grant is made by Rāja Atti Varmā, of the family of King Kandara, of whom we have no mention in any other place, unless he is the person referred to in the inscribed rock at Śiśum-kupam.²

The third column contains the genealogy as given in three copper deeds in vol. v. pp. 50-3, 154, and in vol. viii. p. 167.

The last has been edited by Mr. Foulkes, and differs only in containing two additional names.

The same gentleman has published a seventh⁶ set of plates, the genealogy in which differs considerably from the previous documents, but purports to be issued likewise by the ruler of Kānchi for the same lands, and while continuing to use the same gōra, states the family to belong to the Panchum race (or kula). The occasion of the grant was a reward.

---

¹ This is the first of the Bhadravaja gōra.
² Is he the same as Vijaya Varmā, the son of Skanda Varmā, in the grant at vol. viii. p. 172?
³ The last two names in this grant are taken from Mr. Foulkes' grant in Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 167.
⁴ Nandi Pota Varmā, about 710 A.D. (Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 21; and Fleet, p. 29). This gives us a date for the Kānchi king. Nandi P. V. was killed about 710 A.D., he was the eighth of the line; reckoning 15 years for a reign brings Simha Vindhana to the beginning of the seventh century.
⁶ The instrument itself relates to the same gift as the preceding, both having been subsequently confirmed by Hājendra Chola. Of the authenticity of this last we offer no observation, remembering how prone grantees have been found to strengthen their titles by spurious exhibits, and the possession of the Agraharam having been confirmed by the sovereign of a different dynasty.
his dependent Udayachandra for his success in putting down certain feuds among Pallava chieftains fomented by foreign allies. Both deeds were confirmed by Ko Panakēsari Varmā, the Tamil title of Rajendra Chola, who flourished in the eleventh century (1064-1113). Knowing as we do the date of Rajendra Chela, we are able to form some idea of the period during which the Rāṇchi Pallavas retained their power. Probably we shall not be far wrong in assuming that they were able to hold their own during the period of Raṭṭa ascendency in Kuntala, which lasted from the middle of the eighth till towards the latter part of the tenth century.

The preceding notices all refer to their more eastern localities, but they are found also to have flourished in the west of Kuntala. As early as the fifth century, Mrigēśvarmā, a king of the Kadamba family, of Banawasi, is called a "fire of destruction" for the Pallavas, and his son Ravi Varmā is said to have driven the Pallavas out of Palāśikā, the modern Hulsi. In a Maisur copper-plate grant of the eighth century, a Chēra or Koṅgu king makes a grant, at the request of a certain Rāja of Nīrindrā, for the endowment of a Jaina temple erected by his wife, the grand-daughter of the Pallavādhikaraṇā. These examples, and more might be cited, show the extensive range of the Pallava race. Tradition carries them still further back, and ascribes to a king, called Trīlōčana Pallava, the frustration of the first attempts of the Chalukyas upon the Dakhan.

It is probable that the term Pallavas is the prākrit form of Pahlava, a name applied to a race of people often mentioned by Sanscrit writers, and generally rendered Persian, apparently on no very exact grounds.

What then has become of them? The Raṭṭas, the other predominating people of Kuntala, survive in the Mahāraṭṭas; but we can only suppose that the Pallavas, who held an equally important place among the early inhabitants of Kuntala, have been absorbed in the existing population. Some traces of them are still to be found in Drāvida, where three castes claim to be connected with them, Veḷḷālas, Kāḷaras, and Paḷḷis.

To the west of Tranquebar, near Mayavaram, a village called Pallavarayampetta still exists.

Pallavarājā is one of the thirty-two gōtras of the true pure Tamil-speaking Veḷḷālas of Madura, Tanjore, and Arcot. It is borne by the Chola Veḷḷālas inhabiting the valley of the Kāvār in Tanjore, who lay claim to the first rank. According to a Tamil MS., called the Dina Chara, they found their claim on the assertion that all their women are of royal

---

1 Ind. Ant. vol. vi. p. 24
2 Idem. p. 30
3 Ind. Ant. vol. ii. p. 181. Which of the Pallava chieftains is here alluded to is not clear, nor can we say precisely when Nīrindrā is Mr. Rice mentions a principality of that name in Maisur, but there is a remarkable hill fort of the same name in the middle of the extensive plain east of Dharwād, a noted stronghold, the owner of which joined in the rebellion of 1558, and murdered the Assistant Collector, Mr. Mansen, for which he was executed and his estate confiscated.

5 See Büttling's and Roth's Lexicon, sub voce. In the Vis. Pur. at p. 187 of vol. ii. note 6, Pahlava and Pallava are given as variants of Pahlavas, which occurs among a list of Northern tribes (p. 184, note 5). See, too, vol. iii. pp. 294–5, note 1, where they are associated not only with Northern races, but also with Drāvidas, Kirātās, and others. See also Manu x. 44; Mui's Sanscrit Texts, vol. i. pp. 97, 177, 180 (1855), and vol. ii. p. 208 (1856). The officer of Rūtra Dāma, in the Girra inscription, who repaired the tank, was a Pahlava.
DISAPPEARANCE OF THE PALLAVA NATION.

race, and all their men of sacerdotal descent. This saying has a curious bearing on the peculiar Turanian law of descent still prevailing in South Malabar, where property is conveyed through the mother, of noble or Nair descent, to her female offspring born to a Nambūrī Brāhman, as is even the case with the royal family now reigning in Travancore.

This practice lends colour to the supposed connection of the Veḷḷālas with the predatory classes mentioned in the proverb, and it is further remarkable that they held the first place among the Tamil agriculturists, just as the Reḍdis do among the Telugus, and the Kunbis among the martial Mahārājas, all pointing to a Turanian origin. Ellis, it may further be observed, refers to three sections of the Veḷḷālas, one of which he designated Talava Veḷḷālas, who had been brought from the Malabar Coast to repeople Tondainādu. The remaining two castes are, it is true, held in inferior estimation, but there is a common proverb to the effect that "The Kallars, Maravans, and the honourable Agumudiyans, rising slowly, slowly became by degrees the Veḷḷālas." Such an assumption would doubtless be scouted by the aristocratic Veḷḷālas of the present day, but it derives some support from the foregoing proverb.

The above explanation, however, will only account for a very small portion of a people so widely distributed as the Pallavas. With regard to the Kallars, it is remarkable that the Tondaimar Rāja Bahādur of Pudukottai, the faithful ally of the British in the Carnatic Wars, and chief of the Kallar tribe, has the title of Pallava Rāja, which he probably inherits from one of the many Pallava chieftains, of whom we have seen frequent mention, and one of whom may have been seated at the not distant site of Mamaliparam, now known as the Seven Pagodas; in the immediate vicinity of which I found the ruined Portico of Sālvankapuram, at which is the remarkable incised rock, with the name of Attirana Chanda Pallava in two different characters, who may have been the Atirana of the Copper Deed before mentioned.

Can it be that this tribe, which belongs to what have been called the predatory classes, represents a portion of the Pallavas? Their bold, indomitable, and martial habits accord well with the characteristics of that ancient people.

Until very recent times they exercised a formidable control over the peaceable inhabitants of the Carnatic, from whom they exacted payments for forbearance, under the name of mēṅkaṭal fees, in return for which they placed one of their followers as guardian or caste privileges, or in disputes for the possession of land, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kallars</td>
<td>Maravans</td>
<td>This is said to be quoted on public occasions in asserting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>caste privileges, or in disputes for the possession of land, as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Kallar and Maravar are the well-known predatory classes; the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>former being the synonym for thief. Agumudiyans, though now a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>class of artisans, are probably descended from the ancient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>landholders of the country; the word Agum being the Tamil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for land, equivalent to Northern Rhoniya. According to native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>grammarians the root of the word signifies also, &quot;the mind, the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>soul, the will,&quot; and the occupation of the caste is said to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;attendance in kings' palaces (see Routh's Dict. p. 2) and pagodas.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>According to Mr. Nelson they are intimately connected with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maravars and intermarry with them (Nelson's Madura, pp. 43-4). They</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>are thus enumerated among the Royal guards of the Rāja in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kērēḷa Ulupattī.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 See Mr. Rice's paper on Mahāvīra dynasty in Ind. Ant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>vol. x. p. 35.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Sālvankapuram, literally, &quot;Hamlet of the Silavas&quot; — name of a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>one numerous people, some of whom are still to be found in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South-East Ghīda (Voy. Pur. vol. ii. note 1, pp. 120-4).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
watchman in each village, who was responsible for the protection of the place from plunder and robbery. Such a systematic levy of black-mail being incompatible with the existence of a settled Government, ceased on the establishment of the British power, when many of the chief Ménkávaláyarks received pensions, payable during good behaviour. If this surmise be admissible, the similar tribes of the Maravars, Bedars, Ramuses, etc., may be included in the same category; all of whom still flourish under their petty chiefs, commonly called Poligars (patanjakádacar), some of whom were even petty princes, as the Rájas of Bednúr and Shorápur. The only other way in which they could be absorbed was by the rise of the Lingáyat sect in the twelfth century, which, levelling all distinctions of caste, united the bulk of the Súdras of the Dakhan under the new faith, including even Pariáhs, many of whom were principal supporters of Basava, the founder of the new creed.

One of its leading sections bears the name of the Panchum Lingáyats, which, it will be remembered, is the name of the family (or kula) of the Kánchí Rája, the author of the seventh Pallava grant.

But the Lingáyats are noted for their peaceable and industrious habits.

Having considered these two powers, to which it was thought the coins might be assigned, the arguments in favour of the authorship of the Kurumbors rest, as before stated, on their higher knowledge of art, and the limited extent bordering their country in which the coins are found.

On the other hand, the seals of the two Pallava grants, published by Mr. Foulkes have the figure of a bull, the one recumbent, the other standing, like that borne on the coins, but no similar specimens have been found commensurate with the wide extent of the Pallava rule in other parts of the country. As stated above, many of the coins exhibit short and imperfect legends in the Cave character, which occasionally passes into a form peculiar to the south. None of these, which have been carefully analysed by Mr. Thomas, afford certain results. One name only has a somewhat recognizable form resembling Kadamba, but the Kadambas never, as far as we know, obtained a footing on the east coast.

1 [Sir W. Elliot's facsimile legends, Nos. 82, 84, of plate x. vol. ii. of the Madras Journal, read clearly, in Devanagari, अत्यन्त टका. There might be a doubt about the value of the second letter and its counterpart, the penultimate, which might subsidize on 6 or 3; but the compound नम्ब सुम दक is indubitable. The coins themselves will be given, in prototype, in the subjoined Plate.—E.T.]
PART II. SECTION i.

ORIGIN OF METRICAL SYSTEM.

Long before the appearance of the die-coinage introduced by the Andhras, the necessity felt in all early stages of civilized society for avoiding the inconvenience of barter led to the adoption in India, as elsewhere, of a metallic currency, the earliest known form of which is represented by the irregularly-shaped pieces of silver, stamped with rude symbols, which are found in all parts of the country. At what time and by what people they were first employed is unknown, but they probably came into use gradually. They were regarded as prehistoric by the older Indian writers, and may therefore be presumed to have been found in circulation when the Aryans entered Hindustan.

They have been discovered among the ashes of the men who constructed the primitive tombs known as the pāṇḍu kuṭās (or kistvaens) of the South, and unearthed from the ruins of buried cities in excavating the head-waters of the Ganges Canal.

In all parts, from the Sundarbans of the Ganges to the frontiers of Afghānīstān, they turn up from time to time. Yet they have no recognized name in any of the Vernacular Dialects. They appear, however, to have been known to the earlier Sanscrit writers under the designation of āvara, a term which itself signifies ancient.

Of this use of the word, Burnouf has collected many examples, and the same term occurs in Manu, who wrote some centuries B.C., where he defines the relative proportions of the several weights then in common use.


3 J.A.S.B. vol. iii. p. 44.


6 Introduction à l’Histoire de Buddhism.

7 Institutes, Haughton’s Translation, chap. viii. sect. 131-136.

8 In the absence of a recognized native name for these pieces, numismatists have had recourse to sundry arbitrary terms, as ch’apa, punch-coins, salakās, domios, not always very appropriate. The word exuding, adapted from the Anglo-Saxon old=old, represents exactly the Sanscrit purdina, and might be adopted with advantage. A similar term occurs in that “pure well of English undefiled,” the Authorized Version of the Bible, where the value of the Jewish vine is expressed in silverlings, which were probably uncoined pieces of silver of given value like those under consideration (Isaiah vii. 20).
It is by a preliminary investigation of the ancient weights of Southern India, that an insight may possibly be obtained into the origin of this very primitive description of money. Such an enquiry, owing to the changes arising from lapse of time, and still more from the wars and revolutions that have swept over the plains of India during thirty centuries, is not unattended with difficulty. But extant traces of the past may still be detected in places less exposed to external interference.

Such a favoured region is found in the South-Western extremity of the peninsula, where the province of Malabar, lying between the mountain barrier of the Sahyadri range, with the primeval forests that clothe their base on one side, and the sea on the other, occupies a narrow strip, which has been signally exempt from foreign rule. Hence the people retain much of their aboriginal character, and have preserved institutions brought by the earlier colonists from their Northern home. These, abhorrent as some of them are to the great body of Hindus, still flourish unchanged, although no longer observed in their original seats. Such is the practice of polyandry, with all the peculiar rights of succession and inheritance dependent on it, as well as the social observances to which it has given rise.

Not the less, however, has the silent influence of Aryan science and philosophy leavened the literature of the province, and hence the popular treatises on Arithmetic in other Southern dialects have been framed on the model of the "Lilavati," the standard Sanscrit work on Mathematics; so that, while many of the weights retain their old vernacular names, they are arranged according to the formulae and rules of Bhaskaracharya (the author of the Lilavati), who is supposed to have lived about the eleventh or twelfth century A.D. It cannot be supposed that native works on such a subject did not exist at an earlier period, but they have gradually become obsolete and fallen into disuse. We have been fortunate enough to meet with one such treatise, through the kindness of Dr. Gundert, who quotes it among the authorities used in his admirable Malayalam Dictionary. It is entitled Kayyakku Saram, and is written in good Malayalam, with less than the usual infusion of Sanscrit; but the work has become extremely rare, and a perfect copy is seldom if ever to be met with. It differs materially from the Ganita Sastram, the popular treatise now in use, which contains a greater number of Sanscrit words and phrases, and is framed on a more scientific system, the rules being more exact, especially those relating to fractions and the higher numbers. Of the six books or chapters into which the Kayyakku Saram is divided, the

---

1 Malabarum, quae regio natura sua et sita ab hostili impetu et expugnatione semper tuta mansit, antiquissime, rario, et in necnon, qui imperio sui subjecta, eb marces et fructus suis undiqueque terrarum expeditas ab eis semper maximae frequenter habuit, etc. Gildemeister, Script. Arab. p. 48 (1839).

2 "A trait once widely prevalent in the Himalayas and Tibet. Even the civilized tribe of the Newars, who, by the way, have a recorded tradition uniting them with the Malabar Nairs—a name, they say, identical with Nayyar or Newar, who were once polyandrites."—E. H. Hodgson's Essays, vol. ii. pp. 129-30, and 144; P. H. Ellis, Law Book of the Hindus, in Trans. Med. Lit. Soc. 1827, 4to. p. 17; and Essay on Malayalam, Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 256.

3 Weber, Hist. of Indian Literature.

4 Of the author Nilakantha, or of his era, nothing is known, but his work must be of high antiquity. It consists of six books or chapters; the first treats of fractions, literally "what is below one"; second, of decimals, "what is above one"; third, Idangali, a measure of capacity; fourth, Kilam, measures of length; fifth, Kañjana, of weights; sixth, Kilam of time.
fifth has the title of kalanyu, and treats of the weights of gems, gold, pearls, etc., for ascertainning which it gives the following formula:

1 sen-mangi (or grain of rice in the husk) = 1 visa tukkam.
4 nel (grains of rice in the husk) = 1 kunri (or ratt).
2 kunri (or ratt) = 1 manyadi.
2 manyadi = 1 papatukkam.
10 papatukkam = 1 kalanyu.

All of these, except the pendent, are the names of well-known vegetable products, the first being the staple cereal of the province. The other three are the seeds of common trees or shrubs.

3. Deferring the further consideration of the second—the kunri or ratti—for the present, the third, or manyadi, claims the first notice, as being the earliest used as a measure of weight. It is the seed of the Adenanthera pavonina, a tree common in most parts of India and the Eastern Archipelago.

The native name has been derived from many “a boat,” and del “with which one plays,” and is said to have been taken from the form of the beans; and from their common use by children as playthings, and by the women who string them for necklaces. They are of a bright scarlet colour, hard, durable, and tolerably uniform in size and weight. When ground, the meal is sometimes used as an article of food.

As a weight it is specially employed by goldsmiths and jewellers, and is commonly reckoned about 4 grains, but in reality it is somewhat more, or about 4$\frac{1}{2}$ to 5 grains.

Of a convenient size, and at all times available, they would offer a ready expedient for determining the required proportion of a piece of silver bullion; and taken in conjunction with the first series of simple numbers, the result would be $10 \times 4\frac{1}{2}$ or $5 \times 10 = 45$ or 50 grains, a weight which was afterwards identified with the kalanyu. This appears to have been the first and simplest application of the manyadi; but as business increased, when a more artificial system was introduced, and tables were framed, from imaginary infinitesimal units, regulared by sub-multiples of two, its value was reduced by one-half, and its place usurped by its representative the copper papa. From the modern tables of weights it has disap-

---

1 In Ceylon the Adanthea is said by Mr. Rhys Davids to be equal to the weight of 24 sarra seeds, or equivalent to 86 grains and a fraction (Part i. p. 12).
3 A parcel of 50 manyadi, beans, taken at random and weighed in delicate scales, gave an average of 4.23 grains. Three different parcels of ten each, selected by the eye for superior size, averaged 5.02 grains, and 5.03, a single bean taken as the heaviest of several by the scales = 5.205 grains.
peared altogether, and is now relegated to the exclusive use of the diamond merchant. But its influence may still be detected in the gradations of the metric scale, the unit of which, as stated above, is the unsam or sixteenth of anything, and hence is the foundation of the one-sixteenth (¼) or ètsa system of computation prevalent throughout India to this day.  

4. The kalanjus of 10 manjájsis, which we take to be the other normal unit of weight, is the name of a prickly climbing species of Cassipavia, very generally distributed both in the East and West Indies. The smooth, grey, hard, nearly spherical seeds are to the native youth what marbles are to our schoolboys. Strung like beads to form necklaces, they are worn as amulets by the women in Egypt, and floated by the waves to the west coast of Scotland, they are known as Molucca beans. Besides serving for weights, they are valued highly for their therapeutic qualities. The few seeds I possess seem to have been gathered before they were quite ripe. They weigh about 40 grs. each, one only reached 42 grs. Mature seeds would probably average from 45 to 50 grs.

It was on these two seminal units, the manjáji and kalanjus, that the normal metrical system of the South appears to have been founded, smaller and more delicate weights not being required in the rude transactions of earlier times.

2. The kunri or ratt, as has been observed, did not come into use till a later period. "

Rhode, who carefully notes the economic uses of every plant included in the Hortus Malabaricus, does not allude to its employment as a weight at all.

It was probably introduced into the tables from the Lilavati as part of the more advanced system derived from Sanscrit treatises. It is unnecessary to dilate further in this place on its use as a measure of weight, because the subject has been so fully discussed by the

---

1 These artificial manjáji weights are now carefully made of brass, in the form of an octahedron, the primitive crystal of the diamond. A set of them given to me by a Mârwâri friend, one of the first dealers in diamonds and precious stones in the South, yielded the following results when tested by a set of delicate scales and weights:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grs.</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12'64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6'36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3'33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2'79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1'39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fractional weights were flat triangular pieces; that for \( \frac{1}{2} \) = 3 grains; for \( \frac{3}{4} \) = 2 grains.

The intermediate pieces have been lost.

2 Thus, taking the unsam or sixteenth of a grain, we obtain—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>manjáji</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>=10'385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>=9'367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>=8'400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>=7'434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>=6'468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>=5'480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>=4'476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>=3'468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>=2'468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>=1'385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weight of the undivided grain = 1 kalanjus = 1 unsam = 1'385.

3 General Cunningham assigns a somewhat higher value to the rice-corn, which he makes = 0'3585, which appears somewhat high, but the result obtained from the lower estimate, both for the manjáji and kalanjus would allow for a somewhat higher average (Num. Chron. 1873, p. 117).


5 Drury's Useful Plants, p. 244.

6 The seeds are intensively bitter, and are prescribed with advantage in intermittent fevers. The native name is difficult to transliterate, owing to the peculiar cerebral letter in the second syllable. It may be written kalanjus, or karanji, or kalanji, according to the system followed by Gundert, Caldwell, or Ellis. Rhode has kalanti and carehchi for the Malayalam names, and Gundert kalanjus for the weight ("7=2 silver or 10 gold fanams").

7 In the Hindi, the name is nata careha, and in Dakhini gochaka, probably from the Telugu go-chhakis.
EDITOR in his introductory Essay; but it affords an opportunity for stating some of the results obtained in some recent trials, which help to throw light on the original use of the manjidi. The inconvenience attending the use of seeds, no two of which were exactly equal, in process of time led to the substitution of metal equivalents, the first of which we may assume to have been the copper representative of the manjidi, under the name of pena. This, under the more familiar name of fanam, became the foundation of the Southern monetary system, and continued current down to modern times.

The origin of the word pena is not very clear. It is claimed as an original root both by Sanscrit and Dravidian grammarians, but in all likelihood it pertains more justly to the latter. From what has been stated, it may be inferred that the metric system is based on the manjidi or pena, and its multiple the kalauu. It may therefore be reasonably inferred that the edling or purina is simply a silver kalauu.

In all the countries with which we are best acquainted, the metal first used for monetary purposes was silver; to which India (except in the case of the Andhras) forms no exception.

The proportion of bullion to be given as a medium of exchange was adjusted by weight.

In course of time, to obviate constant recourse to the scales, the use of uniform pieces, which may therefore be taken as the maximum.

At the present day the employment of the actual seeds for the purposes of weighing is confined to village goldsmiths and petty jewellers. Merchants dealing in precious stones employ standard artificial weights, neatly made of brass, like our apothecary and troy weights. They are called emerald weights, but are used for all kinds of gems, except the diamond. A set of these was likewise given to me by my Mārāṣṭrī friend. They retain the name of reti, and consist of square pieces, the value of each being indicated by impressed dots. These tested by delicate scales yield the following results: proving the gem-reti to be double the normal seed-reti, and to be in fact an approximation to the manjidi.

Great

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>50 reti piece with 5 dots</th>
<th>65 05</th>
<th>3 261</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>67 40</td>
<td>3 379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>33 75</td>
<td>3 375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ELLiot

Smaller pieces:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 rati piece with 5 dots</th>
<th>16 80</th>
<th>3 360</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10 05</td>
<td>3 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 04</td>
<td>3 04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smallest or fractional pieces:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1/2 rati piece with 1 dot</th>
<th>1 65</th>
<th>1 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the above it is seen that the rati of the dealer in precious stones is in reality a double rati, and differs from the manjidi of the diamond merchant in being about a grain lighter. From this it follows that the normal weight of the seminal rati, accepted by the people of the country best qualified to determine, is 1 68 gr. = half the weight of the emerald rati.

Dr. Caldwell includes pena in his list of words, "the common property of Sanscrit and the Dravidian tongues." The root pena = to make, to work, to produce, is undoubtedly a primitive Dravidian element, but pena = money, is deduced by Dravidian grammarians from the Sanscrit root pana = to do business, a subtle distinction. Comparative Grammar, p. 483.

Gen. xxi. 22; liii. 21; Ex. xxx. 13; Job xxvii. 15; Jer. xxvii. 9, 10; Zech. xii. 12; Madden's Jewish Coinsage, 1-8; Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, (First Series) vol. ii. p. 10, woodcut 78; vol. iii. pp. 237-9; Smith's Dictionary, Greek and Roman Aniq., 9-11. Argumenta Nummorum, pp. 112, 408.

The old Tamil name for scales is veliskol, hence the proverb, "veranai sandai ku ponal veliskol adi pattu varum," i.e. "the hungry (lit. empty) dog going to market will be beaten with the steelyard" (Roths. Dict. vol. iv. p. 235). The veliskol, however, was not a steelyard, probably so called, but scales dependent from a beam. The Egyptians, according to Wilkinson, were equally ignorant of the steelyard, using scales for weighing bullion, as represented in the paintings. Manners and Customs, vol. ii. p. 19, woodcut 78, and vol. iii. p. 222, woodcut 374.
certified by an authoritative mark, suggested itself. Such pieces taken from a bar or plate, trimmed and cut to the required standard weight, received the impress of a symbol, guaranteeing their acceptance. The oldest Indian examples of the cilding are of all shapes, oblong, angular, square, or nearly round, with punch-marks on one or both sides, the older signs often worn away by attrition; in almost all cases the earlier ones partially or wholly effaced by others subsequently super-impressed upon them.¹

Other specimens, which are more circular, and thicker, with sharper attestations, are probably of later date. All weigh about 50 grains troy.

A parcel of forty-three very old-looking pieces, part of a large find in Nagar (Nugger or Bodnore), a province of Malsur, weighed 2025.5 grains, giving an average of 47.1, but the heaviest was 50 grains, the lightest only 37.75.

Seventy-eight others, from all parts of the South, and of every variety of shape, weighed collectively 3720 grains, with an average of 47.69. Of these, twenty of the broadest, thinnest, most diversely-shaped, averaged 46.7; while five, quite round, thicker, and of smaller diameter, gave 49.5, and the heaviest specimen that could be found reached 54 grains.²

The symbols on all these were much the same; exhibiting figures of the elephant, ox, dog,—of fish,—of foliage,—of the sun, stars, and of sundry nondescript types. Prinsep specifies and figures some from Colonel Stacy's collection, such as the human figure, the swastika, chaitya, tree and rail, which have not been detected in our specimens. No inscriptions or written characters have been met with, nor any mark to identify them with known dynasties or royal races.

The elephant was the type of the Chêra or Konga dynasties, but it was also adopted by the Andhras, and on one of Col. Stacy's pieces it is represented with up-raised trunk (figure 26), as in the lead coins of that race.³ The seal on the copper sâkana of Vishnu Gópa Varnaś, one of the Pallava kings, has some resemblance to the dog-stamp, but much reliance cannot be placed on such rough workmanship.⁴ A find in the Konkan displayed pieces, on which an animal, perhaps a lion, had been impressed in the centre, and above all the others. This was the ensign of the Kadamba kings; its introduction in this form may be connected with the rise of their power; it appears also to mark the transition period, like the more perfect gold coins of the same dynasty to be next noticed.

By whatever name the cilding was known in other parts of India, it probably in the

¹ Frontispiece plate, figures 4, 8; Mad. Journ. Lit. and Science, vol. iii. n.s. pp. 227-9, and plates vii, viii, figs. 13, 18, 22, 27, 35; Prinsep's Essays, Thomas's ed. pp. 74, 209-211.
² This inequality of weight is easily accounted for by the rude scales and careless manipulation witnessed at the present day, without invalidating the general average of 50 grains.
³ The tiny scales of the village sâkana or modern goldsmith, with his army of rod (rañikha) and black (brishnâla) ratn seeds, sprinkled by a few copper abhi gonâ, show small promise of accuracy; while the wicker scales of the banyan or bazaar dealer, weighing from the ends of a rod suspended by a rope from a beam in the roof, with sets of rude brass and iron weights, supplemented by water-rolled pebbles, inspire his customers with so little confidence that they often insist on shifting the article on to the other scale before closing the bargain (Jerris, Weights and Measures of Konkan, pp. 39-42; Heyne, Tracts on India, pp. 77 and 81). When Mr. Brown applied to the Travancore Dewan for specimens of the weights in use to enable him to frame a standard, the reply was that "the same nominal measure being different in different times in the same place, and continually changing," the Sirkar could not furnish him with examples.
TRANSITION FROM THE SILVER ELDING TO DIE COINS.

South may have taken the designation of the weight kalanju, which it represented; for the base of the outer wall of the great temples at Tanjore, Kanchi (Conjeeveram) and other places is covered with inscriptions, recording gifts of land, cows, money for lamps, meat offerings and other pious purposes; the latter generally specified simply in kalanju, or in kalanju of gold, which may refer either to weights or coins, but more probably the former.

Before quitting the subject of the silver eldings, it may be asked where the supply of that metal was obtained to meet the circulation of so great an extent of country. Gold, iron, and copper were found in many parts of India, but no silver so far as I know. The only lead and silver mines specified by Medlicott and Blanford are those of Burma.1

The silver for the eldings must therefore have been imported from abroad, and there is reason to believe that a considerable commerce was carried on by the people of the Coromandel and Malabar coasts with foreign countries at a very early period. Traces of the trading stations on the East coast have already been adverted to (p. 35).

Several coins, assigned provisionally to the Kurambars (?) or Pallavas (?), bear the figure of a ship, and some of the symbols on the eldings themselves seem intended for marine animals.

The oldest specimens of gold punch coins hitherto discovered (and to be noticed hereafter) were found imbedded in the sand of the island of Ramree, the result of some ancient wreck. The evil reputation of the pirates on the west coast, according to Ptolemy, testifies to the skill and daring of a sea-faring race.2 Nor does the record of their naval habits rest on foreign evidence alone. A curious poem discovered by Dr. Gundert, "certainly the oldest specimen of Malayalim composition he had seen," turns entirely on maritime adventure, and "is replete with details of trade and ship-building."

Kalanju continue to be mentioned in inscriptions till the seventh and eighth centuries, and perhaps later, but probably as weights only, for the pagoda is only known in Tamil under the name of kavarun, which, as derived from the Chalukya dynasty, could not have been established before the fifth or sixth century. Before that they were probably uvarun or mithkai.5 Later the Canarese name seems to have been gadhyadun, a term likewise used in Telugu.

---

1 Manual of the Geology of India, part ii. p. 708. Small quantities have also been met with, associated with lead, in Kala and Manbhum, and at Dacca in the Sonal pargana. Ridgington found some copper ore containing silver in the proportion of 50 oz. to the ton, but the ore was very irregularly disseminated, and in very small quantities through the rock, and some samples contained no silver at all (Blanford, Journ. Soc. of Arts, 11th April, 1873, p. 387).

2 Gundert, Pol. Ind., p. 511. The name of Haricincn (Haricincn) is also inscribed in the same inscription. Geogr. viii. i. p. 205 (or M. 3174).

3 And on a previous page (MS. 146) he had noted between the Gulf of Broach (Berypas) and Linyrnn — the coast about Honkwar (Balitpana) and Mangalore (Mandagara) as being that of the Pirates του βυαντιων πειρατων.

4 The word pagoda is of European origin, and unknown to every native dialect. It has superseded all the other designations in English, and is applied equally to the gold coins and a Hindu temple. At Madras, where it is originated, it is supposed to be of Portuguese origin, and to have arisen from the first navigators and merchants being told in their reply to their inquiry what was the building with the lofty papam or Poojiam, or whose was the image on the coin, that they were those of the goddess (Bogavadi), the tutelary deity of Madras. Such at least is the tradition (Moor's Hindu Pantheon).

Every township has its Grama Devi or Village Goddess, being some form of Kali or Durgi, to whom an annual bloody sacrifice is offered. At Madras she is worshipped under the designation of Yagumma or Yağtatt (Journ. Eth. Soc. vol. i. n.s. 1858, p. 96).

The sway of that powerful family was at first confined to the Karnataka and Northern districts of the Dakhan, and some time must be allowed for its extension to its southern limits under the later sovereigns, after the restoration of the dynasty in the tenth century in the person of Tailapa Déva.

As in the Malabar, so in Tinnevelly, these primitive weights still retain their hold on popular usage, and the names may also be traced in old Tamil writings, although the things themselves have now been displaced by more modern innovations.

In the Telugu treatises the normal system disappears altogether, and gives place to the ónì or sixteenth mode of reckoning. And the same may be said of Canarese.

---

1 The following is a tabular statement of the Tinnevelly weights:

1 pakka pappam (seed of Phascolus radiatus, L.) = 1 kuni, mitta, or rati.
2 kunri = 1 manjìdi.
20 manjìdi = 1 kalunju.
This makes the kalunju between 60 and 70 grains.

2 An old Tamil palm-leaf MS. has the following table:

2 pilaru (or split peas = half rati) = 1 kunri or rati.
2 kunri = 1 manjìdi.
5 manjìdi = 1 kal.
4 kal = 1 kalunju.
2 kalunju = 1 kuni.
4 kuni = 1 pala (vulgo poliam).  
100 pala = 1 tula or tulirat.

The manjìdi retains its original form of five or six grains, but the quarter kalunju is raised to 23 or 29 grains; and, consequently, the kalunju itself is raised to 190 or 230 grains.

The kuni, which is the smallest of copper coins, and serves the same purpose as the Bengal kunri (kowre), here becomes a measure of large capacity as a constituent of the pala and the tula still in use for heavy articles, and equivalent to nine or ten pounds.

The above formula seems to be an attempt to reconcile the primitive standard of the South with that introduced from the North, as it is found in the Amara, thus:

5 gufì = 1 adya-macha.
16 adya-m = 1 karha or adaka.
4 karha = 1 pala.
100 pala = 1 tula.
EARLIEST INDIGENOUS COINS.

(a) GOLD. (b) SILVER. (c) COPPER.

(a) GOLD COINAGE.

It is a somewhat difficult matter to trace the origin and progress of the gold coinage, and its relation to the metrical system just described, owing to the rarer occurrence of examples; for although hoards are often discovered, they at once find their way into the crucible to be converted into ornaments.

The oldest specimens I have seen are spereules quite plain and smooth, save for a single very minute punch-mark too small to be identified—by the impress of which they have been slightly flattened. They weigh about 52 grains; evidently derived from the kulanju, their original name being pon, which simply means gold in Tamil, becoming hon in Canarese, and the origin of the Mahommedan hana. They appear to have been in use for a great length of time, and probably constituted a considerable portion of the vast treasures transported to Delhi by the armies of Ala-ud-din and his successor in the fourteenth century. These, besides what were recoin'd in the royal mint, were distributed with such a lavish hand among the nobles and followers of the Court, as related by their own historians, that examples were still to be seen at Delhi long afterwards. For Tavernier, who visited India in the seventeenth century, has figured this and some other early types of Southern coins, under the title of pagods, "which are current in the territories of the king of

---

1 The name given to these coins in the old Canarese dialect is geippa, meaning a globula or little ball, whence the form pon with a numeral is employed in old accounts as the sign for expressing pagodas.

Several of these were procured in the Sunda pargana in 1828, and were sent to Dharwar, whence some were transmitted to the Madras Central Museum.


Golconda, the king of Visapur, the great Rája of Carnatica, the Rája of Velouche (Vellore?) and at the Diamond mines.¹

A unique specimen of this primitive form, with a deep punch-mark, but of a later date, because stamped on the reverse, was obtained by Mr. Gibbs from the Western provinces of the Bombay Presidency during the famine of 1878-9. It weighs 64½ grains, and has a single punch-mark of large size, covering nearly the whole of the obverse, giving it a cup shape. The punch-mark represents an animal, apparently a monkey (Hanuman?). The convex reverse has also an animal in relief, which seems to be a lion looking backwards (?)—the symbol of the Kadamba dynasty.

Of an earlier date than this, and apparently the second step in advance from the primitive form, is that of very thin almost circular pieces, nearly an inch and a half in diameter, weighing about 66 grains, noticed on p. 51. The very few examples that have been met with, all of which were found beyond the limits of India proper, are recognized by the figure of a boar in the centre, as well as by the legend, to belong to the Chalukya dynasty. The letters, which are few in number, are large and rude, have been impressed singly round the periphery by means of separate punches, and correspond with characters of the fifth or sixth century.

These were succeeded by flat, round, thicker pieces of superior workmanship, which have received the name of padma-lankas, from having what is called a lotus in the centre, a favourite emblem amongst the Jainas, who were then the most influential sect in the South, and were distinguished for their skill in elegant art. The central figure is surrounded by punch-marks, generally four in number, struck afterwards. This form, as will be noticed, was imitated by the Chalukyas in supersession of the thin plates above described, the figure of the boar being substituted for that of the lotus. All these were of heavier weight than the pon or han, which, under the name of varaka or pagoda, afterwards became general. The normal weight, as in the oldest pieces first mentioned, was from fifty to fifty-two grains, whereas these are from fifty-five to sixty-five, or even seventy grains. The reason of this I am unable to explain, unless it be owing to the ruder and less accurate processes of earlier times. The gradual improvement exhibited in the later pieces is probably due, as above observed, to the skill of the Jainas.

The small number of specimens extant do not allow us to trace the steps by which the use of the punch gradually gave way to the exclusive employment of a matrix or die. The die at first was of the simplest form, and appears to have been a reversal of the superior action of the punch by striking the gold plate upon the single symbol placed below, and then adding the additional symbols by the old-fashioned process around the central device.

¹ To this he adds representations of eight of these pagoda, four of half pagoda, and five of smaller pieces of gold called faisas (fanams), which are of different value. There are some, whereof six go to a crown; others from ten to fifteen, and some are very base metal. This is the money that passes all along the coast of Coromandel, from Cape Comorin as far as Bengal, and they have very little other than that, besides the peshas (pains) of copper and the shulls (cowries) which pass for small money. —Tavernier's Six Voyages, part ii. pp. 4–5.
leaving the other side or reverse plain, except where it shows the grain of the anvil or basis on which it rested. The force of the blows has in many instances given the upper side a concave surface. This, although accidental, appears to have led to the use of cup-shaped dies at a later period, as exhibited in the Ráma-tanka medals.

The superior execution of the padma-tankas ruled for a considerable time with many variations, until the adoption of the double die led to the final and complete disuse of the punch.

The earliest design on the reverse is that of an elegant floral or arabesque pattern, whence perhaps the name of p'álihán—flowery pagoda, was derived. It appears to have been very generally adopted, for it is found on the Chalukya, Chéra, etc., coins. The oldest example of this device with which I am acquainted is that figured in the Madras Journal, vol. iii. x.s. pl. viii. fig. 30, from an example in the Madras Government Museum.

The object on the obverse appears to be a seat or couch on which is a small globular body, and above it three others placed horizontally, the whole within what may be termed some kind of edifice.

The origin and authorship of this coin we have been quite unable to discover, but it and some others of a similar character call for fuller notice.

The first to be mentioned, because probably the oldest, the reverse being plain, are the silver coins found at Sultnápúr, near Wai, in the Sátrá district, which Dr. Codrington has so well described in the Bombay Journal, vol. xii. p. 400. Out of the fifty-two pieces found, fifty bore on the obverse a curious device, which may be described as three bosses or elevations, the biggest surrounded by a larger circle from which pass two lines connecting it with the smaller ones. They are of three sizes, which appear to be whole, half and quarter pieces, weighing respectively from 99 to 165 grains, from 45 to 58 grains, and from 21 to 23 grains, in which we may trace an approximation to the double, single, and half kalanjī.

Another of the symbols is likened by Dr. Codrington to one of the figures selected from punch coins published by Mr. Thomas in Prinsep’s Essays, and repeated by him in this work (Vol. i. p. 62).

The image on the third is indistinct, but has been compared to a quatrefoil. The latter weigh from 108 to 110 grains respectively.

In 1877 three gold pieces were found near Ahmadnagar, which appear to belong to this same class. Dr. Codrington was good enough to send me casts taken from wax impressions of them, but they are too indistinct for accurate description. Traces of figures similar to those on the silver pieces can be detected. The reverse is plain, showing only the marks of the base on which it was struck. Their weight was not stated.

Next we have a coin which is only known from the figure (2) published by Tavernier in his list of pagods before mentioned. It is a gold piece with four transverse horizontal bars, two and two, in contact with each other, and between the pairs a considerable space in which

1 Vol. i. p. 211.
are four smaller vertical bars curved at the bottom, and some indistinct marks above and below the transverse bars. The reverse is not figured, and may therefore be considered to have been plain.

The last to be noticed is a gold pagoda, with perfect obverse and reverse, specimens of which, still extant, are by no means very rare, and may probably be of later date. It has been figured by Marsden. The curious figure on the obverse may be described as a heart-shaped symbol, from the upper lobes of which two pointed lines rise (often truncated by the margin), and between them a dot; sometimes to the left of these are another dot and a curved line. The figure on the reverse resembles a flat dish in which are two rounded forms like bulls. Marsden calls the piece a "Hūn of Vijayapūra, Bijapūr, or Vissapoor," and states, "it exhibits emblems, the signification of which is entirely unknown." This he seems to have done on the authority of Tavernier, who represents it in the plate before mentioned, as figs. 3 and 4, under the designation of the "king of Vasapoor's pagod." He hesitates, however, rightly, to assign it to the Adil-Shāhi dynasty, which dates only from the end of the fifteenth century (1489 A.D.), and conjectures it to have been struck by some Hindu prince who reigned there at an earlier period; a suggestion which received support from the appearance of Persian characters on two specimens in Dr. Codrington's possession, one of which has the letter (ṣim) and the other (ṣim) impressed on the heart-shaped symbol. I conjecture, therefore, that Yussuf Adil Shāh, finding these pieces in circulation in a part of his newly-conquered territory, continued their issue, with the simple addition of the letters above mentioned, until he could establish an orthodox Moslem type of his own.

The Madras Museum possesses one with apparently some indistinct marks in the centre, and there is a similar one in the British Museum.

It is not easy to discover what is typified by the remarkable symbols on the several pieces just mentioned. They form a class altogether singular, and differing from any other description of money I have ever seen, but as they undoubtedly belong to the Western side of India, where the Jaina faith has so long flourished, they may not improbably be connected with that remarkable people.

Gradually the gold coinage came to exhibit more definite devices, with the legends in Hāla-kannāda (or old Canarese) and Nāgari characters. The coins themselves became narrower and thicker, but without any alteration of the standard weight, and this continued to be the prevailing character down to 1833—thus more nearly corresponding with the original simple type first mentioned. They were also coined in halves under various names, as pratāpa, madhā, etc., having the same device as the full-sized piece. This is likewise repeated on the gold fanams of ½ pagoda of the same period. Other fanams of later date have a character of their own, and cannot be assigned to any particular mint. They are of the standard weight of five grains, and will be more fully noticed in connection with the Dravidian coinage.

1 Edition of 1923, pl. xlviii. fig. mixvii.
SILVER.

From the extensive range of the silver *cistling* as the prevailing medium of exchange, we might naturally look for an abundant succession of silver die-coins when the former passed out of use. But such is not the case. Antique die-struck pieces of silver are rarely to be met with. The absence of all mention of silver money is conspicuous in the narratives of the plunder of the Dakhan. Ferishta indeed expressly states that in A.D. 1310-11, Malik KâfUR, on his return to Delhi, presented his sovereign Alâ-ud-din with the plunder he had collected, including 96,000 maunds of gold alone, adding: "It is remarkable that silver is not mentioned as having been taken during this expedition to the Carnatic, and there is reason to conclude that silver was not used as a coin in that country at all in those days. No person wore bracelets, chains, or rings of any other metal than gold; while all the plate in the houses of the great and in the temples was of beaten gold." In fact, the currency continued to be mainly of gold until the Mahommedans came to be permanently established in the South. Their preference for the Rupee led to the introduction of a silver currency, without, however, displacing the gold previously in circulation.

Nevertheless, it would be rash to conclude from such negative evidence that silver was never coined in early times, for I have five specimens of Chola coins, of the Râja Râja type, struck in the eleventh century, which, as well as the pieces found at Sultánpâr (page 55), are undoubtedly silver. It is also probable that the Kurumbar coins, alluded to at page 36, although I was unable to test them, are of the same metal. Early Chola coins have also been found of impure silver, and the Mackenzie Catalogue contains a few items, the description of which is too vague to allow of their identification. In addition to these instances, I may add that I possess a few small pieces, found in Malabar which appear to be those mentioned by Dr. Dellen as current in the seventeenth century, on the Western coast under the name of târê. Thus, describing the daily wages of labour, he says: "To every one of these natives you pay 8 târês per diem, which amounts to half a fanam. The fanam is a small piece of gold worth 16 târês, and the târê is a small silver coin worth a halfpenny. Each native has not above 4 târês a day when he keeps guard in a house" (i.e. home or domestic labour), "but when he travels he has double pay." My five specimens weigh 1 grain and 7/8 (17) each, and have an erect formed figure on the

---

1 Briggs's Ferishta, vol. i. p. 37.
2 The diversity of the maund throughout India makes it difficult to estimate the value of this plunder; but taking the maund at 36, a medium between the Dakhan maund of 25 lbs. and the Ain-Akhuri of 34 lbs., we get the sum of 2,860,000, or nearly three millions sterling; and this, be it remembered, was the spoil of only one of the four expeditions conducted by the same leader.
3 "No. 11. Ancient Hindu coins; two found, with the figure of Hanumân." (Codon Raja Raja types, see above). "No. 12. Ditto, ditto; three found—uncertain. "No. 13. Nirmaha Dina's half rupees; four found." "No. 20. Old half rupees Bijanagar; four found; Rajaram's stamp in the Nagari character." Cat. vol. ii. App. pp. cxxviii-xiv.
obverse, with the anomalous symbol of the gold farans, to be noticed afterwards, on the reverse. They have long ceased to be current, and the very name tārē is scarcely known to the present inhabitants, but may be recognized in the Malayālim and Canarese torum “A copper (??) coin half a pice, or ½ fanam” (Gundāt. Mal. Dict. p. 445). Another small silver piece, received with the former, weighs 2 grains and $\frac{1}{10}$, and has a rude standing figure on the obverse, with the hexagonal diagram, formed by two triangles interlaced, on the reverse. I have also seen a few others in the Dakhan, of no great antiquity, weighing from three to three and a half grains.

The substitution of silver money increased with the intercourse of European traders. Single and double fanaams were coined at the different factories of the English, French, and Danish settlements; the French distinguished by the fleur-de-lis, and afterwards by a cock; the Danish by the cipher of King Christian. The same practice was adopted in Mysur after the fall of Seringapatam, and the Travancore State issues large numbers of silver chakrams to the present day.

In the English factory at Madras, in 1811, a silver pagoda coin was introduced about the size of a half-crown, having a rude representation of a goddess on the obverse, and the gate-tower, or goparam of a temple, on the reverse, with the monetary designation of the piece in English, Tamil, and Telugu characters. At the same time the authorities issued a large supply of single and double, half and quarter rupees, and silver fanaams of an improved form, in pieces of one, two, three, four, five fanaams; the silver rupee of 186 grains being convertible at the rate of 350 Rs. for 100 pagodas of account.

(c) COPPER.

The oldest form of a copper coin that has been observed is a round ingot or sphere, somewhat depressed by the impact of an obscure sign above, with an occasional mark of the anvil or support beneath. The few before me weigh from 16 to 59 and 61 grains, and still smaller pieces from gra. 2½ to 4, and 7½, having apparently some reference to the double silver tārē, mentioned in the preceding section. Flat, square, and perfectly smooth pieces also occur, two weighing 10½ and 34½ grains. These examples are too limited in number to authorize us to pronounce on the relation they bear either to the unit of the system, or to each other; but they appear to exhibit an affinity to the kālānys.


2 See note 4, p. 51 note.

3 I regret that I did not pay more attention to these when I had the opportunity, for though not uncommon they are unattractive to the collector.

4 See also pl. viii., vol. i., s.n. Madras Journal, figs. 1, 3, and 6. 
The smallest denomination of the copper currency was the kāsu, a true Dravidian word, common to the Tamil, Telugu, Canarese, and Malayālim dialects. Although latterly it was used chiefly as a term of account, it has also the general significance of money, wealth, and likewise of coin, as pon-kāsu = gold coin, sēlla-kāsu = silver coin, semba- (or red) kāsu = copper coin; and as well as of particular coins, e.g. anai- (or elephant) kāsu applied to the pagoda of the Chōra or Kōṅgu dynasty, stenched with the figure of that animal; sānār-kāsu, the Venetian ducat or Sequin,¹ the large numbers of which on the Malabar coast testify to the once extensive commerce with Italy by way of the Red Sea. The kāsu represents the cowrie of Bengal, eighty of which make a pāpy, and is in fact a copper cowrie; eighty kās in like manner constituting a fānam or pāna.² The kāsu has been identified by Mr. Ellis with the Sanscrit karahā, and they are probably both derived from the same original source; for according to the law-books "a karaha or eighty ratis (rakṣitas) of copper is called a pānya or karaha pāna." Afterwards it came to be restricted to "a weight of gold or silver equal to 180 grains troy,"³ and it is in this view it is to be understood in the Andhra inscriptions at Nasik. But that it was originally a smaller copper coin seems clear, for in the legend of Purṇa quoted by Burnouf in the Introduction to the History of Indian Buddhism, Vāsavadattā, in the passage where the silver money is quoted in ārānas and the gold in awarnas, speaks of the karaha paṇī as of the smallest value; as if, according to Burnouf, it responded to the expression, "Not worth a sou," just as the people at Madras now use the exactly similar saying, "Not worth a cash."

In addition to the changes in value attaching to the same names from lapse of time, local usage, and their reference as measures of weight to different metals, they also come to have a special meaning as terms of account. Until the introduction of the Rupee Standard, the public accounts of the Madras Presidency were kept in pagodas. According to this formula,

80 cash .......... = 1 fanam. 42 fanams .......... = 1 star pagoda.

This was founded on the exchange and relative value of the cash to the silver fanam, according to which twelve and a half of the latter went to the rupee, and three and a half rupees to the pagoda, giving forty-two fanams for the latter. The rate of exchange for the pagoda varied in the bazaar from thirty-five to forty-five silver fanams, native merchants adopting the larger or forty-five fanams in their accounts. Besides the earlier silver coinage of the East India Company before 1837, they issued copper pieces made at Birmingham so early as the end of

¹ So called from the figure of the Dogs standing in front of St. Mark's Cross, in which the native imagination sees the sānār or toddy drawer preparing to climb the palm-tree.
² The use of the cowrie shell as a medium of exchange has long been known in Bengal; but that they were well known in Brāhīdāśāram is proved by their Tamil name kōvai (cowrie). The popular name of cowrie has been derived from the Persian word shehrābāh (شیراهبدو) = ass or mule trappings, which are ornamented with strings of these shells. But more probably it is the Tamil name kōvai for the sōbra or vati plant, and is still used in Tīnnevelly, as we see it in the table of weights in Note 1, p. 59. The Arabic name, according to Ibrāhīm Baṭūta, who makes mention of their export from the Maldives, is sāvra (سُفر) whence they still come to Bengal in large quantities, as proved by their fluctuating value in the market. In 1740 a rupee in Bengal exchanged for 2,400 cowries; in 1749 for 6,000.—Sir H. Elliot, Stamps Glossary, p. 373, and Vol. I. Part I. I.N.O. p. 38.
last century in the form of one, five, ten, and twenty cash pieces, the last weighing 180 grains.\(^1\) Assuming the \textit{karsha pa\(\text{a}\)} of copper to be of the same weight as that stated above, on the authority of the lexicographers, to be that of the \textit{karsha pa\(\text{a}\)} of gold and silver or 180 grains, this twenty-cash piece would be its representative in copper.\(^2\)

The conclusion to be drawn from the foregoing details is that the monetary system of India (certainly of Southern India) is of indigenous origin, based on rude seminal and testaceous exponents of value, which have been exchanged for definite metallic counters, regulated by artificial skill, their original names, and the numerous changes and variations in which exhibit a certain affinity, indicative of their common origin; but, as Prinsep observes, "the discrepancies are common throughout, the simple word being all that can be identified as having survived the changes of system."

An endless variety of copper coins, not falling under any of the foregoing descriptions, is now met with in the bazaar throughout India, derived from the right assumed by every petty Rája and local chief to issue money in his own territory.

The process of deterioration had made some progress in the latter days of the Vijayanagar dynasty, for when Cesar Frederick visited Vijayanagar in the year 1567, only three years after the battle of Talikota, he states that in travelling thence to Ankola on his way back to Goa during the Monsoon he suffered much inconvenience from the rain. "Another trouble we had," he continues, "as bad as this was, that when we came into a new governor's country, as every day we did, although they were all tributary to the King of Bezeneger, yet every one of them stamped a several coin of copper, so that the money that we took this day would not serve the next."

The country, therefore, was probably in a state of anarchy and confusion; but the change of the ruling power was too recent to give rise to such a diversity of coins, if the practice had not existed for some time previously. Such a licence in a country which has undergone so many revolutions, and where the same inducement to convert the copper to other purposes, as in the case of the precious metals, does not exist, has left an endless variety of form and device belonging to every age. These it would answer no useful purpose to attempt to classify and describe.

One denomination, however, has a larger circulation, especially in the Dakhan, under the name of \textit{sháhi pa\(\text{a}\)}. They are irregular-shaped pieces of thick copper, weighing about 150 grains.\(^4\) The oldest are quite smooth, but others, more recent, coined in the Nizam's dominions, have occasionally two or three Persian letters. In the English territory they are being gradually superseded by the issues of the regular mint, but are still numerous in the Haidarabád country.

---

\(^1\) Prinsep's \textit{Useful Tables}, p. 52.

\(^2\) In the shell or cowrie system the \textit{bahan} of sixteen \textit{pa\(\text{a}\)} seems to have taken the place of the \textit{karsha pa\(\text{a}\)}; at least Wilson in the Glossary gives it as a synonym of \textit{bahan}. \textit{Vide Gloss. P. 243.}


\(^4\) One hundred pieces selected gave an average of 141.54 grs., several being above 150 grs., some less. This is less than the weight of the piece which Heyne (\textit{Tracts on India}, p. 81) recommends, under the name of the \textit{Masulipatam} \\\textit{sa\(\text{d}\)}, to be adopted as the standard weight of the country. He states it weighs nearly half an ounce.
PART II. SECTION iii.

SOUTH-WESTERN COINS.

Anciently the country at the extreme south of the peninsula (Drávida-désam) consisted of three leading States, one or other of which was generally in the ascendant. Such appears to have been the position of Chéra before the fourth century, when its dominions comprehended that part of the Western coast known as Kérala, extending from Cape Comorin as far north as Gokernam and Goa; but which in its more restricted sense was confined to the country south of the Chandragiri river, including the districts of Kuva and Mushika, which, although often enumerated among the seven Konkans, are likewise also considered as subordinate divisions of Kérala proper. See Introduction, p. 3, note 2.

The population of this tract is very remarkable, consisting chiefly of two leading classes: A tribe of Turanian warriors,—the Nairs, who are supposed to have been led from the Himálayas by the mythical hero Parasu Ráma, and a tribe of Bráhmans called Nambúris, exercising a predominating influence, which they still retain, over the fortunes of the province.

Parasu Ráma is represented among the Avataras of Víshnu as a warrior wielding a battle-axe, still recognizable perhaps in the national weapon, or Ayudha-katti, of his followers the Nairs. Under the Chéra kings Kérala was administered by Viceroyals, who had the title of Perumál, or Chérumán Perumál, deputed from the capital of Dalavanpura (Tálkád), and residing at Kodungalúr or Oranganore. According to the Kérala Upátti they appear to have been assisted, and perhaps in some degree controlled, by the Nambúris and the Nair chieftains, until the middle or end of the fourth century. About this time the two latter threw off the Chéra yoke, proclaimed their independence, and partitioned the territory amongst themselves. This, according to F. W. Ellis, whose accuracy is well known, occurred in the year 389 a.d., and being supported by other testimony, may be accepted with confidence.\footnote{1 Mr. Brian Hodgson informs me that the Nairs of Nepál retain the tradition of the Náyars, or more commonly Nairs, having migrated from their clan, and claim kindred with them.}

None of these obtained a paramount influence, nor have we any account of a dynastic power that has left its mark on the early coinage of the province. But according to the
somewhat vague traditions which have reached us, the country seems to have been divided into seventeen or eighteen districts (or nāgās), the heads of which were either Brāhmans, as the Nambūri chief of Tirumānachéri, or Kahatriyas, of whom five, called Köcil Rājas (from köcil a palace), are noted, viz.

1. Ayarūr (or Ayatūr köcil) ... } Near Chēttuva.
2. Shārkara ... ... ... } Near Chēttuva.
3. Cury or Parāppūr, near Beypūr. Its prince is called the Veypūr Rāja.
4. Paḻinīṭṭeḍam (Western Palace). The dynasty of Koḻungalūr, now Oranganore or Mangāṭṭu.

5. Māṭattinkil, also Māṭaṭṭal (Lower Palace). Probably the dynasty of Karunagapaḷḷi.

Title now assumed by the Rāja of Cochin; and an inferior class usually called Sāmantus, of whom eight are specified, viz. Kölaṭṭiri, Travancore, Calicut, Valluva, Karumba, Nedungadu, Ōna, and Vimbala.

In course of time all the above-mentioned merged into four independent States, which became the ruling powers in the land, two in the South and two in the North. They were called Saurāpsy, and the Rājas of three of them were further distinguished as Sāmantas, a term now restricted to the offspring of a Nair princess and a Nambūri father.

The first, Vēṅḍaḷa, now Travancore, comprises the two most southerly konkans, Kuva and Mushika, and a part of Kērāḷa proper. It is still an independent kingdom of considerable extent, having been enlarged by conquest in the last century. The other, Perimpadappu, now Cochin, is also independent, but smaller, and is included in Kērāḷa proper. It had been considerably reduced by the encroachment of the Zamorin, when the Portuguese came to its aid and restored its power. The Rāja also takes the title of Māṭattinkil or Māṭaṭṭal, which belonged to one of the five Kahatriya chiefs, whom he probably represents.

The rest of Kērāḷa, to its northern boundary the Chandragūrī river, was, in the main, divided between the two northern states, Neṭiyyirippu or Neṭuviarippu, now Calicut, and Kolāṭṭiri. The chiefs of the former were distinguished for their military prowess. The first, one of the Sāmantas, called Sāmudri, whence the Portuguese term of Zamorin, is said to have been invested with a sword by the last Chëruman, who at the same time presented a shield to the head of the Arngotta family in Waluwanḍa, and enjoined them to live in peace, an injunction the Zamorin soon forgot. This chief is also called Tāmāṭtri, with the proud addition of Kunn-ala-kōsāṭṭiṛi=Lord of the hills and waves. He built the city of Calicut, and encouraged the resort of Arab merchants, whereby he shared the two meanings; the first applicable to chiefs in general, as where it first occurs above; the second as will be found in the next paragraph, to the issue of a particular marriage.
in the profits of the western commerce, and succeeded in humbling Cochin, until the arrival of the Portuguese diverted the course of trade from Calicut to Goa and Cochin. The other, Kólaṭṭiri, was better known under its Portuguese form of Cólástri, a name sometimes erroneously confounded with the eastern district of Kálastri. The chief of this state is generally termed by Portuguese writers King of Cannanore, from whom they received permission to construct a fort and establish a factory. It was taken by the Dutch 1664 A.D., and later passed into the hands of the British. In the town of Cannanore a merchant’s family rose to eminence about three hundred years ago, and acquired considerable power, which they still retain. They bear the title of Ali Rájas, the head of which, according to the female law of inheritance adopted by the sect, is styled the Bibi of Cannanore. All of these probably exercised the right of coining money in their own name, and the Rájas of Travancore and Cochin continue to do so, but I have not met with any early productions of their mints.

The commerce of the West introduced large quantities of Venetian sequins before mentioned as sínáp-kásus. From their long-continued currency they came to be considered by the people as of native origin, and have still a limited circulation. The remaining portion of Kérâla from the Chandragiri river, which is also the boundary of the Tulu and Malayálam dialects northward, was not appropriated by the chiefs who expelled the Perumál, but was probably held by similar petty landholders. Ultimately it fell under the dominion of the Kadamba dynasty.
PART II. SECTION iv.

DYNASTIES AND COINS OF KUNTALA.

1. KADAMBA DYNASTY.

The Kadamba kingdom is the earliest of which we have any reliable knowledge in South-western Kuntala. It arose, probably, about the fourth or fifth century in the Forest of Sunda, and comprehended likewise the greater part of Tulava below the Ghâts, and the North-western portion of Maisur. Its capital was the city of Banawâsî (in the district of Sunda, Suitla or Suda), which appears to have been a place of importance at a very early period, as it is mentioned by Ptolemy.

The population of Sunda consists mainly of two classes, one a peculiar sect of Brâhmans called Haigas, the other, a class corresponding to the military tribes of the table-land, and known by the name of Halepâiks, but now engaged in extracting the târî (toddy) juice from palm trees. The former, unlike the generality of the twice-born castes, are agriculturists. They dwell apart, engaged in the cultivation of the cardamom, the betel-vine, and areca-palm, in secluded spots called kâns, rarely invaded by the axe, and into which the rays of the sun with difficulty penetrate. Their account of themselves is that they were brought from a place called Ahi Chhatra¹ (in Rohilkhand) by a prince named Mayûra Varmâ. But this statement requires confirmation. It was probably devised by the Haigas themselves to give importance to their sect. They use the Canarese language, and are votaries of Siva, belonging to the Saîrâ sect. Who this Mayûra Varmâ was we have no certain knowledge; but the general voice of the country recognizes him as the founder of the Kadamba family. Other traditions declare that the first of the race was born under a kadamba-tree² from a divine personage, who, under the names of the three-eyed and four-armed Mayûra or Jayanta of Trîlochana, of Trinetra, which are all epithets of Siva, is associated with the worship of that deity. But Mayûra was a Jaina³ as were also his successors, and the mass

² Kadamba is the Malayalam name of a well-known tree—the Naucas Kadamba. Gundert in his Malayalam Dictionary (p. 192) gives this signification, but adds two others which are not admissible. Mr. Rice states the name is that of a species of palm from which toddy is extracted. Of this there is no sufficient proof. The word is not in Rhede (Hert. Mal.), who gives the figure of another species, Naucas Purpurea, with a different native name.
³ Buchanan's Journeys, vol. iii. p. 213.
of the people long professed the same faith, which still survives in many places. It is easy to imagine that an alien tribe like the Haiga should desire to associate their arrival with a name so honoured as that of the founder of the celebrated dynasty. But it is not likely that he led their migration. The arrival of the Haiga probably took place before his time; this, owing to the early relations of Kṣara with Northern India, may well have been the case. We must therefore be content to accept the simple belief that Mayūra was the first of his family, and that he and his successors raised the kingdom to a degree of power and importance that enabled it to oppose the advancing progress of the Chalukyas at a later date, on something like equal terms. This we learn from the Aihole inscription of Kiścivarman Chalkuya I., and of his brother Mangaliṣa at Badami. The first states that that powerful king was the “night of death to the Āḷaśas, the Mauryas, and the Kadambas,” and again, that “straightway the Kadamba-tree, which was the confederacy of the mighty Kadambas, was broken to pieces by him.”

A further confirmation, Mr. Fleet observes, is found in the inscription at Aḍur near Hāŋgaḷ, in the heart of the Kadamba territory, by the Chalkuya king conferring a grant of land on a Jaina temple near that town.

But the subjugation of their country does not seem to have then been complete, for in the minority which followed Kiścivarman’s death, Mangaliṣa, who seems to have been equally powerful by sea as by land, is represented as laying siege to Banawasi itself, supported by his ships on the western coast, after having worsted a hostile fleet, perhaps the allies of the Kadamba king. These events must have occurred about the middle of the sixth century, after which it is doubtful whether the Kadambas continued to be an independent power; for about this time three minor Kadamba States are found to be existing at Banawasi, Goa, and Halsi, exchanging their sovereign title for that of Mahāsāṅgīlaśvara. In this subordinate capacity they are mentioned on several occasions acting as faithful and loyal feudatories of the Chalukya kings, and this will account for the somewhat strange assumption by the Halsi branch of the Chalkuya style and titles (Mānyavasā-patra, Hāriti-patra, meditating on the seven mothers of the sage Mahāśeṇa), which can hardly have been accidental, and is more likely to have been a mark of favour and condescension conferred by a superior.

In the eleventh century Kali Vicrama (Vicramaditya VI.), who had been viceroys, under his father, of Banawasi, received material assistance from Jayakesi, the feudatory Kadamba chief of Goa, to whom he had given his daughter in marriage, in quelling the rebellion of his younger brother. These events indicate the subordinate position into which this

---

1 Ind. Ant. vol. VIII. p. 243.
2 Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 68.
3 In the copper deeds translated by Mr. Fleet the grantees have no royal titles, but are styled simply Raja and Mahā Raja, a conventional form in general use. The same may also be observed in another Kadamba śrama, that of Gopajyāmihind- 
Bhuvanaikavira-Udayādityadēva (A.D. 1075), at Ujjīgavē in Malvar (Ind. Ant. vol. iv. p. 205-10), who in the face of his 
depressed status to his sovereign Bhuvanaikamall Chalkuya, not only omits the style of a subject (Mahāsāṅgīlaśvara), but as-
sumes some of the titles of an independent sovereign; and 
further, uses the epithet of Bhuvaṇakavira, a near approxima-
tion to the title of his royal master. The subordinate title is 
found in most of the other Kadamba inscriptions at Banḍāgār, 
Hāŋgaḷ, Ujjīgavē, etc., all of which refer to Mayūra Varmā 
as their founder.

ELLiot
great family had declined, although it still continued to flourish long after the loss of its sovereign power.

The palmy days of Kadamba independence were probably during the fifth and sixth centuries, of which unhappily we have no record; but we may assume it to have been a period of prosperity and advance, favourable to that growth in art and civilization, for which the Jainas were conspicuous. It is to this period that I ascribe the origin of the padma-tanks mentioned in a previous section. We have nothing of an earlier date of which we can speak with any confidence, unless it be a hoard of seldings found in the Konkan with the figure of a lion (?) superimposed on the earlier punch-marks. The symbols on the best known padma-tanks are, what is called a lotus (padma) in the centre, round which are four punch-marks, two resembling letters supposed to represent the word Śrī, opposite each other. On the third a pankha shell (Turbinella rapa), and opposite it a weapon, frequently a bow, the emblem of the Chera dynasty. There is nothing to show by what particular ruler they were issued. They can only be assumed to have belonged to a Jain dynasty, which the Kadambas are known to have been. Other coins of the same type can with more certainty be referred to the Kadambas as being impressed with the figure of a lion looking backwards, which is the undoubted cognizance of the race.

Another of their insignia was the monkey god Hanumān, and both these, it will be remembered, are exhibited by Mr. Gibbs' spheroidal piece before mentioned. Next, by an easy transition, we find the padma or lotus surrounded by four punch-struck lions, with floral reverse, and then the central symbol superseded by a swastika, with the same accompaniments, and that again by a lion with four smaller symbols interposed between the smaller lions, making eight in all, the reverse being plain.³

The next step in the Kadamba coinage is the introduction of the die, as exhibited in the discovery of a deposit at Hewli, in which the lion fills the whole obverse, with a floral device on the reverse, surrounded by what have been called Telugu letters, but which appear to be ornamental signs (?).⁴ After this the Kadamba coins assume a more modern aspect. Gold pieces of the twelfth century with the names of Jayakasi and Sivachittra are described but not figured in Bombay Journal, vol. x. Proceedings, pp. xxiv, liii, and the Bombay Asiatic Society is supposed to possess specimens of them. According to inscriptions of the same date they are called nishkas, a term not before met with as applied to any specific coin.

Allusion was made in the previous section to the rude coins of the Chalukyas. These examples are very curious and deserve fuller notice. Not only were they not discovered in the Chalukya territory, but they have not hitherto been found within the limits of India proper. The only authentic instances of their occurrence have been on the shore of

---

² Gleanings, pl. ii. figs. 34, 35, 36; Mad. Jour. iii. x.s.
³ Bomb. Journ. vol. ii. p. 63, pl. xii.; Gleanings, p. 335, pl. i. fig. 37.
⁴ Most of the preceding are described on the faith of others, a few only being found in my own collection.
the island of Ramri, though others have been brought from the kingdom of Siam. Yet of their origin there can be no question, for they bear the undoubted impress of the Chalukya boar, as well as the name Chalukya, in large, rude Hāja-kamaḍa characters of the fifth or sixth century, each one impressed by a separate punch. Their occurrence in such distant localities may be explained by the description given of the exploits of Mangaliśa Chalukya, during a reign which lasted from A.D. 567–610, among which was the final overthrow of the Kadamba independence. He is also stated to have carried on expeditions by sea, and to have especially achieved the conquest of Revatidvīpa, a place Mr. Watken, following Colonel Wilford, suggests may have been Sumatra. Prof. Wilson is of opinion that it may have been an island off the coast of Mālabar. But we know of no such place, and the discovery of the coins on the coast of Arakan and in Siam, is in favour of a more distant locality. If the above surmise is correct, we may suppose them to have been coined by Mangaliśa or some one of his predecessors before the siege of Banavāsa, when the conqueror, struck by the beauty of the padma-takas, adopted them as a model, in substitution of the ruder type which formerly prevailed in the Chalukya mint. The exact copy of the Kadamba type in two of the earliest Chalukya coins I possess, in which the figure of a boar is simply substituted for the lotus, while all the other characters are retained, seems to establish the correctness of this explanation. The genealogies of these later Kadamba chiefs are now pretty well known, but of the royal successors of Mayūra Varmā we have no reliable list; that in the inscription on stone at Kargudari, professing to deduce the names from Mayūra Varmā, being evidently imperfect on the face of it, while the Hāla line, as taken from Mr. Fleet's copper plates, does not correspond with any of the others.

1 J.A.S. vol. xvi. p. 240., pl. iii. fig. 6.
2 In the abstract of the copper-plate inscription found at Miraj (J.R.A.S. vol. ii. p. 923; vol. vi. p. 346) Mr. Watken observes, "A singular circumstance is mentioned respecting a certain Rāja of this dynasty having sent an army in ships across the sea, and having conquered an island called Revatidvīpa. A very great inconsiderable area, from the most ancient times, between the Coromandel coast and the eastern or Malay islands, into which the Hindū religion was introduced, together with the Sanskrit language. It therefore seems very probable that this alludes to some conquest made over Sumatra, Java, or some one of these islands." The fact is further confirmed by the inscription on stone in the Mārī temple at Alōle (Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 243, and vol. vi. pp. 71, 72), translated by Mr. Fleet, where we find the following mention of Mangaliśa, "And again, when he wished quickly to capture Revatidvīpa, straightway his mighty army—which abounded in splendid banners, and which had beset the ramparts—being reflected in the water of the ocean, was as if it were the army of Varuṇa that had come at his command."
The following are these genealogical lists such as we have them:—First, that at Kargadari, Journal Royal Asiatic Society, vol. iv. p. 35, also Ind. Ant. vol. x. p. 249.

In this inscription Mahâmañjâlâôvara Tailapa II. bears date, thirty-third year of the king = A.D. 1108-9. Now as he is only the sixteenth in the above list from Mayûra Varmâ, and allowing fifteen years to each, it carries us back to 860 A.D., which manifestly cannot refer to Mayûra the founder. And even supposing the list to represent generations, which is hardly admissible, the usual calculation of three to a century would take us back to the middle of the sixth century, still leaving us short of the probable era of the first Kadamba.

The Halsi plates give these names, but have no date. Mrigesha claims to be residing at Vaijayanti (Banawasi), and his son Ravivarmâ's allusion to the recovery of Pallâskâ or Halsi apparently from Chandradanga, the Pallava lord of Kâñchi, may assist in fixing his date.


---

1 These three last names, *ācār* Fleet.
2. CHALUKYA EMPIRE.

WESTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY.

The similarity found to exist between the padma-tanka of Banawási and the early, though not the earliest, Chalukya coins, bring us to the consideration of the influence exercised by the latter dynasty on the coinage of Southern India, an influence neither inconsiderable nor transient, for it still exists, and has descended to the present time.

But first we will say a few words regarding such of their coins as have come down to us. These have been figured in my Gleanings, figures 1 to 5 inclusive (Mad. Jour. iv. N.S.). Figures 1 and 2 are those already noticed for their similarity to the padma-tanka. It needs but a glance to see how exact the imitations have been—an imitation by which they superseded the older and ruder specimens described in the Kadamba section. No. 3, copied from Moor's Hindu Pantheon, pl. 104, fig. 13, was found in Tipú Sultán's repository at the taking of Seringapatam. The obverse represents a well-formed boar, on the reverse is the floral design only found on older coins. The two next are of ruder workmanship, and show considerable deterioration from the preceding examples, so much so, that I hesitated whether to assign them rather to the Vijayanagar era. The boar on the coins of the latter, of which a considerable number in copper have been obtained, as also the seals on some of their kásmams, have the addition of a sword in front of or over the back of the animal, and the absence of this characteristic on the two coins above mentioned inclines me to leave them among the Chalukya relics. From the extensive circulation of the Chalukya money bearing the figure of this animal, and its adoption by the succeeding dynasty of Vijayanagar, the name of the pieces in most of the vernacular dialects has come to be that of varaka or boar piece, even when the figure of the animal gave place to that of a deity, or some other symbol, as happened after the change in the Vijayanagar dynasty from the Kuruba to the Narsinga line.

The influence above adverted to, as still perceptible on the gold currency of the present day, is explained by the wide extent and long duration of the Chalukya power. Their history, though still by no means exhausted, has been so largely worked out by Mr. Fleet and others, that it is only necessary here to give a list of the two leading families, with a few of the most prominent incidents in their history, to assist in the identification of the coins.1 Both lines descend from the same common ancestor—that Pulikósi who first effected a permanent lodgement in the Dakhan. Their inscriptions contain the following high-sounding titles, viz.:—Chalukya kula (tribe); Mánavýasa gotra (lineage); Háriti putra (descended from); deriving the white umbrella and other ensigns of royalty from Kauśíki; preserved by the

Chchána, the Práshná, the Pásháras, and the Chalukyas—is invested with a certain show of probability as indicating that the Brahmans had recourse to the aid of the aboriginal mountaineers when their differences with their Ksháriya compatriots became irreconcilable. See Col. Tod's graphic description in the Annals of Rájasthán, vol. i., also Jour. Eth. Soc. London, 1866, vol. i. N.S. p. 138.
seven mothers; worshipping Svámi Maháséna; who acquired the insignia of the peacock's tail (mañyára pinch'ha) and the spear (kunña) through the excellent favour of Kárttikéya; the (carna ha lánchana) boar seal from the favour of Bhágaván Naráyaña. The other ensigns of royalty above referred to are the conch shell (pákhá); the noubat (pákha maháasabda); the banner of the sharp sword (pádlī), and the pratejakkä (sort of drum); the sign or standard (?) (pada) of the Gámpá and the Yamuná; the throne (sihmáasana); and the golden sceptre (kanaka danjam).
Several of these symbols appear on the seals attached to their copper deeds, and might perhaps occur on their coins.

The earliest record of this family that has yet been found is in the Kaira copper-plates above mentioned, which record a grant made by Síri Vijaya Rája Sarvva, son of Buddha Varmá Rája, and grandson of Jaya Simha, in a.d. 472, who must have lived, at least, thirty or forty years earlier. They were then a powerful family in Gujarát, with a mythical tradition of having previously reigned in Oudh, and distinguished by most of the same titles (Mánavaya-gótra, Hárüti-putra, etc.), which appear in their later grants. No reliable mention of their settlement in Kuntala is found until, after some unsuccessful attempts, Pulikési I. crossed the Narbáda and took Vátápi (or Bádámí). These events probably occurred at the end of the fifth or beginning of the sixth century of our era, because Pulikési I. was the son of Ramárága, Buddha Varmá's brother, and his cousin Vijaya's grant is dated a.d. 472. The legend published in the Madras Journal, on the faith of a copper-plate inscription of Bája-rája II., the Chola-Chalukya, of the eastern Chalukya branch, dated Saka 944, which ascribes the first invasion of Kuntala to an earlier Chalukya prince, has been critically examined and refuted by Mr. Fleet. A similar legend, evidently based on the same tale, was found by Col. Mackenzie in the annals of the Kákatiya family of Worangal, a notice of which is given in the introduction to the catalogue by Prof. Wilson, affording another instance of the tendency of history to repeat itself. Pulikési I. may therefore be considered to be the true founder of the Dakhan family, and to have established his capital at Vátápi, identified by Mr. Fleet as Bádámí. Of his two grandsons, Pulikési II., surnamed Sátyásraya, continued the line, hence distinguished as the Western, on the table-land, while his younger brother Kubja Vinyaúvvardhana I., founded the eastern branch (of which a more particular notice will be given afterwards), below the Gháts at Rájamahendri in the province of Vengi, in the seventh century. The fortunes of the western house suffered a temporary obscurity, the date of which has not been accurately ascertained, but it must have been subsequent to Kárttivarmá II., for a grant by him is still extant, bearing the date of a.d. 758.

No record remains of the exact time or of the circumstances which reversed the hitherto successful career of the Chalukya house; but two historical events which occurred about this

---

1 Ind. Ant. vol. vii. pp. 111, 246.
2 Grant of Vijaya-Raja, Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 246.
3 Pulikesi
4 Vikramadevi, "; viii. 214.
5 Vikramadevi, "; viii. 12.
6 Kairas, J.R.A.S., i. n.s. p. 270. The meaning of the
7 Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 214.
8 Vol. iv. n.s. p. 78.
9 Vol. i. p. xxvi.
10 Ind. Ant. vol. viii. p. 23.
time may have contributed to bring about that result. In the Rajatarangini there is an account of the military expedition of the Kashmirian king Lalitaditya, who with his victorious army is said to have swept over the whole of Southern India, and returned to his capital content with this proof of his prowess. I at one time thought that this event might have shaken the throne of the Chalukyas. But plausible as this explanation appears to be, it cannot be accepted as certain, being unsupported by concurrent testimony. It rests on the single authority of Kalhana, Pandit, author of the Tarangini, and is by some, among whom I may include Dr. Bühler, who has paid considerable attention to this epoch, supposed to be altogether legendary. There is, however, abundant evidence to show that the prostration of the Chalukya empire was due to the rise of the Raṣṭa state of Mānyakheta, which maintained its superiority under a succession of warlike princes for nearly two centuries, from the middle of the eighth to the middle of the tenth. The military prowess of one of these, Govinda III., rivalled the exploits of Lalitaditya. Between the years 785 and 810 A.D. he carried his victorious arms from the Tungabhadrā to the Vindhyā mountains. Powerful as the Raṭṭas were, they were unable to retain their hold of these conquests, and were humbled and driven back to their old limits. The circumstances under which this took place are equally obscure. We only know that Taila II. is mentioned in several inscriptions as having defeated Karkara the last Raṭṭa king, and recovered the throne of his ancestors in A.D. 973. He reigned twenty-four years and transmitted the throne to twelve of his successors, who ruled with more or less splendour at their capital of Kalyana until the succession of Taila III. (A.D. 1150). About this time his chief military officer (danda-nāyaka), named Bijjala, of the Kalachuri family, set aside his master, usurped the throne, and... with his two sons, exercised the rights of sovereignty over a portion of the country.

The falling fortunes of the Chalukya house encouraged attacks from other quarters, and the invasions of the Yadavas on the west, and the Kākatiyas on the east, helped to precipitate their downfall. A show of independence was maintained by the feeble efforts of one or two of Taila's successors, until about 1189 A.D. the remnant of their dominions fell under the sway of the Yadavas.

The influence of their characteristic symbol, the boar, survives to the present time, not only in the vernacular name of the pagoda, but in the social habits of the people, who in some places employ weights and measures certified by the figure of a boar marked upon them, and use stamps or seals, similarly authorized, for marking the heaps of grain where the revenue is collected in kind, on the metayer system (Madras Journal, vol. iv. n.s. pp. 98-99).

The succession of the princes of this, undoubtedly the most important, dynasty of the Dakhan, is shown in the Genealogy appended on the following page.

1 The exact date of Lalitaditya has been determined by Gen. Cunningham and Dr. Bühler, who have shown his reign to have lasted thirty-six years seven months and eleven days, or from 723 to 769 A.D. Now, as Kirtivarma's grant, above referred to, was conferred in Mālaṇa, it is quite possible that if Lalitaditya's, 710 A.D., Dhruva, 770 A.D., Govinda III., 785-810 A.D.
3. RAṬṬA OR RĀṢṬRĀKUṬA DYNASTY OF MĀṆYAKHĒTA.

This seems to be the proper place to notice the dynasties just mentioned (the Kalachuris and the Yādavas) that arose upon the ruins of the Chalukya state, and to trace their influence on the coinage of the country. But first, I will return to the Raṭṭas, whose temporary occupation of Kuntala has been already adverted to.

In common with several other dynasties, they claim to be of Yādava descent, and to be lords of the city of Lattalāra. As we have before seen, they formed, with the Pallavas, one of the most important sections of the earlier inhabitants of the Dakhan, and, like them, offered a strenuous resistance to the settlement of the Chalukyas. Their range extended from Ėḷurā, in the north, to the Krishṇa in the south, embracing the whole of Western Kuntala. The first seat of their power appears to have been at Ėḷurā, where an inscription of Dantidurgā is still extant, and where his uncle Krishṇa constructed a magnificent temple on the hill at Ėḷāpura, another form, according to Prof. Bhandarkar, of Ėḷurā. A succession of warlike princes greatly extended their dominions, till Amoghavasā, after the battle of Vingavalli, in the ninth century, established himself at Māṇyakhaṭa (now Mālkhed), considerably further south, in the centre of the Nizam's dominions, which thenceforward became the capital.

We have little doubt that they are represented by the modern Mahraṭṭa (Maha-Raṭṭa) nation, and must have occupied a space extending much beyond the limits of the present Mahārāṣṭra. If their identification with the Reḍḍiś of Eastern Telengana, as proposed by some commentators, be accepted, the conclusiveness of which however is doubtful, they will be found to occupy a much larger area than that at first supposed. For a considerable Reḍḍi principality was established on the fall of Worṅgul at Kondaviḍ, and still later, the powerful Zamindars of Venkatagiri are found to be descendants of the same stock.

As appears by their inscriptions, Raṭṭa chieftains were long settled at Saundatti, Parasgaḍ, Belgaum, and other places in the Southern Mahraṭṭa country. Their name is sometimes found perpetuated in that of places, as Raṭṭihali, a taluk south of Dhārwār; and, in the

---

1 No city of the name has been found, but it occurred to me, on first reading the name, that it might have come from Lat-alārā, a forced reading it is true. But seeing that the Raṣṭrākūṭa princes were rulers of Latā, and that Ėḷurā was the first capital of the family, the supposition seems not without a show of probability.

2 The province of Latā lay to the south of Gujerāt. How far it extended in that direction is not clear, but probably it reached to the confines of Kuntala and the Koḍkan, and formed part of the Raṣṭrākūṭa dominions, whence they derived the title of Latesvara. Lassen considers it to be the Laktik or Lari of Plutarch.

3 Arch. Survey Western India, by Burgess and Bhavakālī Inukhāji, 1891, No. 10, p. 96.

4 Ind. Ant. vol. xii. p. 223.

5 Mackenzie, Cat. vol. i. p. cxxvi.

WHENCE THE NAME RÁŚHTRAKÚṬA? 75

Koṅgudōsa Charitra, the first prince of the Chéra dynasty in the far south is said to be of the “Rāṭṭi” kula. He and his seven successors are named in the Maisur inscriptions, p. xli.

The Ráśhtrakúṭas have been distinguished from the Raṭṭas as a northern or Rájpara race, we doubt if on sufficient grounds. The probability is that the Raṭṭas flourished under rulers of their own in Western, as the Pallavas did in Eastern, Kuntala, and that their power was broken by the earlier invasions of the Chalukyas, who, under Jayasimha, overcame Indra the son of Krishṇa (names occurring again in their later genealogies), their then chief, and although we have no specific mention of them subsequent to this event, they were probably reduced to subjection as the Chalukya conquest proceeded. The desire to magnify their origin may have led to the substitution of the Sanskrit term Mahá Ráśtra for the simpler form of Raṭṭa, both which designations are found as synonyms in the Saundatti inscriptions, and in an inscription obtained by me at Sirūr, near Dharwār, Amoghavarsha-Nripatunga is distinctly said to be “born in the lineage of the Raṭṭas.” (I. A. vol. xii. p. 220). Dr. Burnell more distinctly refers to the Sanskritizing tendency of official scribes, when recording grants in that language, giving, among other illustrations, that of “Ráśha from Raṭṭa = Reḍdi.” A further point of similarity is found in the habits and pursuits of the two races; the Mahraṭṭa Kunbi and the Telugu Reḍḍi being equally conspicuous for their agricultural industry and skill. For the genealogy of this family we are indebted chiefly to the researches of Dr. Bühler supplemented by those of Mr. Fleet.

3 S. Ind. Pasch. 2nd ed. Introd. p. x.
4 The equivalent term for “Rāṭṭi or Reḍḍi” in the Tamil dialect according to Rev. Wm. Taylor is “Veḷḷaṇa,” the most esteemed of Tamil agriculturists. — Madr. Journal, vol. xiv. p. 8, note.
NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.

The inscriptions from which the above genealogical list has been prepared¹ show that seventeen or eighteen princes (for there is some confusion as to the succession of the later names) ruled in the land,² occupying a period of 312 years, and giving an average of 18½ per reign. Some of these must have been much within that proportion; for the inscription at Śirūr, before mentioned, is dated in the fifty-second year of Amoghavarsha I.³

¹ Ind. Ant. vol. xi, xii. ² Ind. Ant. vol. xii p. 555. ³ Ind. Ant. vol. xii p. 216.
COINS OF THE RÁSHTRAKÜTAS.

None of the coins of this State have come under my notice. Bhau Daji¹ has figured certain silver coins found in the Nasik district, which General Cunningham² ascribes to a Krishna Rája of the fourth century. But the epigraph has been very imperfectly read. The execution is superior to that of any Dakhani coin of that age with which I am acquainted, and they seem to be nearly allied to those of the Sih dynasty of Gujarát. The only clue we can give to what their coins may have represented, is the figure of a four-armed deity (? Śiva), confirming the Khárepánt³ grants, as shown in the annexed woodcut. Similar to this are the figures in relief, but they are not very distinct, on the seals of several copper grants such as those of Damidurga, I. A. vol. xi. pp. 110-13; of Govinda III., in which the heads of the snakes form a canopy over the god, ib. 126; of Prabhatavaraha, xii. 11; of Dhrusa III., ib. 179.

From the above indications, the inference is, that this family were worshippers of Śiva. But it is remarkable that Amoghavarasha's inscription mentions that he "had the sign, or mark, or banner of Garuḍa," which indicates a tendency to Vaishnaveism.

4. THE KALACHURIS OF KALYAN.

The founders of the first of the minor states before mentioned belong to a distinguished race, of whom an incidental notice will be found at p. 11 ante, as having been considered by Col. Wilford⁴ to be the representatives of the Haihaya tribe. They became celebrated as Kings of Chedi in Bandelkhand, and were the founders of Kálanjarapura (Kálinjar), from which most of the principal families claim to be derived. They are widely, though sparsely, distributed, and are found in Jabalpur in Ságör, Ratnapír in Beráir, etc., etc.

The branch now to be considered were chiefs (Mahámañjalesávares) of Taddevádi in North Maisur, and probably had charge of the neighbouring districts of Hángal, Banawási, Nonambavádi, etc. The head of the family in the twelfth century was Permadá. He was succeeded by his son Bijjala, who in addition held high military command at Kályán under the Chalukya king. We know not how his disloyalty to his sovereign arose, but it is certain that he drove Tailapa III. from his capital about the middle of the twelfth century. He did not, however, immediately declare his independence. Following his sovereign to the south, we find him still using the subordinate style and designation, but in an inscription at Annigeri before 1162 a.d., which was about the date of Tailapa's death, he threw off the mask and proclaimed himself supreme. Among his titles he uses those of "Tribhuvanamalla," and "Lord of Kálanjarapura,"⁵ with the

standard of the golden bull, the damaruka drum, etc. Little is known of the events of his reign. He had four sons, each of whom we find grants within very few years of each other. In fact, their usurped authority was never consolidated.

The son of Tailapa, Someesvara IV., continued to make head against them in the south for twenty years after his father's death, and finally succeeded in obtaining a precarious hold on the dominions of his family about 1182 A.D., the year at which we lose sight of the Kalachuri rule. His success was greatly promoted by the dissensions which prevailed at Kalyâna on the introduction of a new religious sect called Lingayâts, which sprang up at this time. The Kalachuris were said to have been Jainas, and are so represented in the Basava-purâna, the sacred book of the Lingayâts, although in their insignia is included the banner of a golden bull, which is also found on the seal of a grant of Singhana Déva, and, with the phallic-like representation of Mahâdeva, heads the inscription on a stone tablet at Balagami. The new creed was a form of Saivism, known as the Jangama or Vira Saiva sect. Between them and the old sect a mortal enmity existed. Some account of the new religion will be found in the Jour. Roy. As. Soc. It is sufficient to state here that Basava, its founder, an Arâdiya Brâhman, was the minister or Dewan of Bijjala, whose power he undermined, and compassed his death, an event of which conflicting accounts are given. The exact date of Bijjala is unknown, but he is supposed to have reigned about eleven years. He was succeeded by his eldest son Someesvara, who ruled for eight more, after which his three younger brothers, in all of whose names inscriptions are extant, struggled to maintain the falling fortunes of their house for a short time longer. The latest record of Singhana, the youngest, is dated 1185 A.D., which would show the duration of the Kalachuri rule to have lasted only about thirty years.

Short as is this term, I have met with two coins, a pagoda with a standing figure advancing to the left, and on the reverse the words Sovi Murâri Râya Déva, and two or three fanams with the same device, which I refer to Bijjala's eldest son. As stated above, the seal on a copper grant of Singhana has the figure of a bull couchant, which may therefore have been also used on their coins. A genealogical list of the family follows:

| Jõguna or Krishna. | | Siyâdeva, married Chârua II. of the Sindis of Eranbaraga. |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Permâdi (a.d. 1118) | Bijjala (a.d. 1156-1167). |
|                    | Śômeswara (a.d. 1167-1177). |
|                    | Sâkamâ (a.d. 1175-1180). |
|                    | Āhavaṇâla (a.d. 1175-1185). |
|                    | Singhana (a.d. 1185). |

1 The damara or damara is a small double drum shaped like a sand-glass, a division like the sandal (parsha Mathâ goldë), the right to carry which is conferred by the sovereign on men of rank. It is represented on the seal of Vishnuvardhana Chalukya VII., pl. ii. fig. 1. Num. Gl. Madr. Journ. n.s. vol. iv.
4 Inscriptions recording grants made by him are extant at Ingleswar and Kukkanur in the neighbourhood of the Nizam's territory, and extending southwards into the western parts of Dharwâr. My coins were from the Satara district.
5 Int. Ant. vol. iv. p. 274.
5. THE YADAVAS OF DEVAGIRI.

Another of the minor States before mentioned was that of the Yadavas of Devagiri, who drove the Kalachuris out of Kalyân, and gained possession of the northern Chalukya districts. Its principal station was at Devagiri, the modern Daulatabad, a remarkable fortified hill of great strength, a few miles south of Élurá.

At this time the head of the family was named Bhillama, who does not appear to have descended from distinguished ancestors, but is simply stated to be of the Yada (rana) stock. No mention of him is found in the few inscriptions that have been copied, but one recently met with gives the names of his father Mallugi, and his grandfather Singhaṇa. After him we have nine successors, but only seven generations. The duration of the family as rulers did not exceed 124 years (or from 1187 to 1311 A.D.), when it was subverted by the arms of 'Alá-ud-dín Muhammad Sháh, the Mahommedan Emperor of Delhi.¹

Coins of this family are rare. Their device was a golden garud, a bird sacred to Vishnú. On a recent copper-plate edited by Mr. Floth the seal has the form of the god either seated or kneeling. If the latter, it may be meant for the semi-human form of Garud. I have also two or three pagodas, representing Garud, half man half bird, but cannot assign them with certainty to this dynasty. The use of the designation Deváratipur-vañadhiswara would seem to connect them with the family next to be considered, although no other proof of affinity appears. The following is a genealogical list.

```
Singhaṇa I.
Mallugi.
Bhillama (A.D. 1187-1191).
Jaiting I. (A.D. 1191-1209).
Singhaṇa II. (A.D. 1209-1247).
Jaiting II.
```

```
Krishna (A.D. 1247-1260).
Ramachandra (A.D. 1271-1309).
```

```
Sankar (A.D. 1309-1311).
```

```
Bhillama.
```

Mahdiéra (A.D. 1260-1271).
Amana.

A daughter (married to Harapala), whose tragic end at the hands of Klid-ud-dín Maudlik (Sháh Khalji) (A.D. 1317, the son of Alá-ud-dín), the King of Delhi, is described by the Mahommedan historian.

¹ J.E.A.S. vol. iv. pp. 28-31; Brigg's Ferishta, vol. i. p. 365; Thomas's Pathan Kings of Delhi, pp. 156, 188.
6. YADAYAS OF DVARASAMUDRA COMMONLY CALLED HOYSAלA BALLALAS.

The other family deducing their descent from Yadu just mentioned, rose to celebrity at Dváraýatipura, now called Dvárasamudra and Halabída in Maisur. Now as the dynasty we have last been considering included among their titles that of Dváraýatipur-varadhisvara, we may fairly conjecture that they were an offshoot from this family, since according to the well-known practice of other great houses, such epithets were always derived from some place of note, the earliest seat of the race. Be this as it may, it had not the effect of producing relations of amity between them. The obscurity of their origin is veiled under a legend of a native of Shásaýkapura, named Sala, having protected a Jaina priest from the attack of a tiger, which animal, thenceforward, became their cognizance, and is represented on their seals. The exploit is also perpetuated in a group of statuary on the roof immediately in front of the tower, on a temple in the taluk of Rattihalli in the Dhárwág district, and repeated with variations on two other temples in the same taluk. They are of considerable merit and are the only instances I have met with of free sculpture. The figure of the man is bold, and well shaped, but the animal more resembles the mythological sirja or yāḥ.1 He is the reputed ancestor of Vinayáditya, the first of the family of whom we have authentic mention, who was a feudatory (Mahámanjaléswara) of the Kalyán Chalokyas. From him is deduced the following genealogy:

Vinayáditya (A.D. 1047-1076).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eryanga.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballála I (A.D. 1065).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishnuvardhana (A.D. 1117-1137).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasimha I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballála II. or Vira Ballála (A.D. 1191-1211).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasimha II. (A.D. 1228.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somevvara (A.D. 1252).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narasimha III. (A.D. 1254-1286.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballála III. (A.D. 1310.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Udayalnya.  

From the name of Vinayáditya’s grandson, which was likewise borne by others of his descendants, they are sometimes styled Hoyasala Ballálas. Although the family had been growing in importance for some time previously, as appears from Vishnuvardhana, his grandfather, having taken Talkáj, the capital of the Kęngu Chéras, seizing part of their territory, and having added the country north of Dváraýati as far as the Tungabhadrá to his possessions, it was not till the close of the twelfth century that the second Ballála (or Vira Ballála) assumed regal titles. He seems to have been a warlike prince, and boasts that he had “despoiled the warrior race of Kalachuri,” and overcome Jaitrasimha (probably

1. ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 179.
the second prince of the Dévagiri line. As this was coincident with the fall of the Kalachuris, and as previous to that time all the members of the family bore the subordinate title, we may conclude that this event encouraged them first to declare their independence. Their power now rapidly increased and overspread the greater part of Kuntala. The tide of success however was turned in the reign of Śiṅghaṇḍa, Jaitugī's successor.

On the death of Ballāja about A.D. 1211, the Hoysalas were driven back within their former limits of the Tungabhadrā river. Narasimha then appears to have assisted the Chola in his contests with the Pandya king, obtaining considerable influence to the eastward, and residing much at Vikramapūr in the Chola country.

Nothing of importance is recorded of the three following princes, the last of whom, Ballāja III., was crushed (1310 A.D.) by the generals of 'Allō-ud-dīn, who, having completed the conquest of Woraṇgal in the previous year, captured Dvārasamudra, and made Ballāja a prisoner. He was afterwards liberated, and allowed to make a show of sovereignty at Belur until the final destruction of Dvārasamudra in A.D. 1329. After this, they retired to Tonnūr, in the north of Seringapatam, where they maintained an independent position with the modest style of Danda-udnyagas, equivalent to the European title of General.

Copper coins issued by them are still extant, having on the obverse the figure of an elephant facing to the right, surmounted by the Canarese Śrī, and a legend in the same characters on the reverse.

I have not succeeded in meeting with any Hoysala coins, but the seal on the ring of a set of copper plates dated A.D. 1252, recording a grant by Someswara, in which he is called "Vira Soma," "during his residence in his favourite city of Vikramapūr in the district of Kulakani-nad in Chola-dēsam," etc., which I saw in the office of the Chief Commissioner of Maisur, bears the figure of a tiger, which, as above mentioned, was adopted as the cognizance of the family, as represented in the accompanying woodcut, and some such symbol will probably be found on their coins. This people continued to profess the Jain religion till the time of Vishnupardhana. He was converted to Saivism by Ramanuja Charya, who had fled from the court of Tanjore to escape the persecutions of Kerikala Chola.

Note.—Since the above was written my friend Gen. Pease has made me acquainted with a gold coin in his collection, of which the following is a description, and which will be represented in our plates.

The coins are of extreme rarity, two only being known, his own obtained in 1882, and a second in the Museum at Bangalore. The obverse has a maned lion advancing to
right, above his back and loins a smaller lion rampant, both facing what the General calls an altar or *stambha*. In front of the smaller lion is a sun. On the reverse three lines in old Canarese characters, reading on the one Śrī Nonambavādi Donā, and on the other Śrī Talakadoo Donā, the latter proving the date of the coin to be subsequent to the time of Vishnūvardhana, the conqueror of Talkād. The Mackenzie Collection is also said to have contained a copper coin of the same Vishnūvardhana, on which was a figure of Ramanuja.6

7. KAKATIYA OR GANAPATI DYNASTY OF WORANGL.

The decay of the Chalukya empire favoured the rise of another small dynasty at Orugallu or Worangal, but the original seat was at Anumakonda, in its immediate neighbourhood, where the family had previously been settled. The materials for their history are few, viz. an inscription on stone at Anumakonda, three versions of which have been published.5 I have also an unpublished inscription on stone, from the Mangaligiri taluk in Guntur.4

The first individual of this line of whom we have an authentic record was a chief named Próla, whose origin is not very clear. He is called the son of Tribhuvanamalla in the inscription at Anumakonda, but this is a mere title assumed by several kings, and we must therefore consider Próla as the founder of the family. He is said to have professed the Jain faith, and is styled a Mahánaṇḍaleśvara, from which it is inferred that he was a feudatory of the Chalukya king. During the troubles that arose soon after the accession of Taila III, Próla openly rebelled and made captive his royal master. He appears, however, to have returned to his allegiance, released the king "through devotion by his goodwill,"? and acted against his enemies. He incarcerated one named Gówindaraja for a time, replacing him by a chief named Udaya; quelled the outbreak of another named Günçah of Mantrakonda, and drove back a third called Jaggadéva, who had advanced to attack Anumakonda. Taila, however, did not long survive, and the death of Próla, which took place about the same time, probably 1160 a.d., threw upon Rúdra, his son and successor, the further prosecution of the war. He followed up his father's successes with vigour, subduing Bhima, Rája of Vardhamánanagari, and his ally Chódójaya, who, perhaps, was the Chola-Chalukya king of Vengi, or his general. Bhima was driven from

---

1 Nonambavādi, the seat of the Kalachuris of Kalyan (see p. 77), is a district in Chitaldurg division, south of the Tungabhadra, in the kingdom of Mävar. I can find no such word as *Deha* for a pagoda in any Dravidian Dictionary.
3 On a pillar opposite the temple of Vīradasa Swāmī in the Malkapūram Agrahāram in Mangaligiri taluk.
4 Chalukyas, Hoyasalas, and Kalingas.
5 Mackenzie's Cat. vol. i. p. cxvii.
6 Ind. Ant. vol. xi. p. 17.
PROGRESS OF EVENTS IN WORANGAL.

his territory to the forests, probably of the Eastern Ghāts, and then with other rebel chiefs submitted to Rūḍra's authority. During these successes, which are more fully detailed in the Anumakoḍḍa inscription, he enlarged and consolidated the Kākatiya dominions. Several additional particulars are found in two vernacular histories, summarized in Taylor's Catalogue. Wilson also briefly notices them, but I refrain from quoting them because they rest on no sufficient evidence. We have no further account of Rūḍra's proceedings, nor of the date of his death. He was succeeded by his brother Mahādēva, of whom still less is known, although some legends of his acts are embodied in the local traditions. After him came his son Ganapati, said by some to have been his nephew, and the son of Rūḍra; but the Mangalagiri inscription expressly calls him the son of Mahādēva. Prof. Wilson states that the inscriptions of this prince in the Mackenzie Collection range from 1233–1261 A.D., but few authentic records of his doings remain, although traditionary statements are not wanting. He is said to have been a zealous votary of Śiva, and to have persecuted the Jainas, displacing the hereditary village accountants or karṇaṇa (karpams), and substituting in their stead Niyoḍi Brahmans, who still retain possession of the office. That he was a prince of vigour and ability is established by the fact that from him the family is called the Ganapati as well as the Kākatiya dynasty. He died, about 1257 A.D., without male issue, leaving an only daughter, married to a scion of the Chalukya house. Rūḍraṇa Dévi, the widow of Ganapati, then assumed the direction of affairs. There is an inscription dated s.s. 1191 = 1269 A.D. in the twelfth year of her reign, which would place her accession in 1257 A.D.; so that the date of her husband's death, above mentioned, may be accepted with confidence. She is said to have held the regency for twenty-eight years, and then to have made over the government to her grandson Pratāpa Rūḍra. The early part of his reign appears to have been prosperous, and marked by successes over his neighbours, but these were obscured by the disasters of its close. The tide of Mahommedan conquest had now reached Telingana. We learn from Ferishta that an expedition against Worangal was despatched from Bengal in 1308 A.D., which proving unsuccessful, Malik Kāfūr was sent against the place with a second army by way of Dévagiri in 1309 A.D. Advancing rapidly, he besieged the city before Pratāpa Rūḍra's allies could come to his assistance. The king capitulated, purchasing peace by the payment of a large sum, and the surrender of his horses, elephants and jewels, at the same time engaging to pay an annual tribute for the future. This he appears to have done in good faith, but the other Hindu chiefs having withheld their tribute, Malik Kāfūr proceeded for the fourth time to the Dakhan in 1312 A.D. to enforce their
submission. He marched from Dévagiri and ravaged the greater part of Mahárástra and Carnatic, but spared Telingana. No particular change occurred till 1322 A.D., when, on the accession of Gheïas-ud-din Tughlak, Pratápa Rádra attempted to free himself from the Delhi yoke. An army under the king’s son was despatched to Worangal, but after a protracted siege he was compelled to retire with great loss. In the following year a second expedition proved more successful. Pratápa again offered terms similar to those accepted by Malik Káfur, but they were rejected. The city was taken with great slaughter, and the Rája and his family, with all his treasures, were sent to Delhi. At the same time the name of the city was changed to Sultánpúr, which, however, it did not long retain.¹

Of the future fortunes of Worangal few authentic particulars have been recorded. Pratápa Rádra is said to have been allowed by the Sultán of Delhi to return to his capital, where he died, probably before or about 1325 A.D.² He was succeeded by his son Virabhádra. During the troubles which prevailed in Mahommed Tughlak’s reign, the people of the Dakhan on several occasions rose against their Mahommedan conquerors. It was during this period that the kingdom of Vijayanagar was founded,³ offering an asylum to refugees from the subverted Carnatic and Telingana States. Worangal was more than once the scene of successful insurrection, the last recorded occurring in 1344 A.D. Subsequent to this no certain information regarding its history is forthcoming. Pratápa Rádra’s son is said to have retired to Kondavíj, and the family disappears from history. Ultimately Worangal passed under the dominion of the Bhamani kings, on the dismemberment of whose territory it fell to the Imád Sháhi rulers of the Golconda principality.⁴

The following is a genealogical list of the Kákatiya line: —

| Bhevaneaka mala, or |
| Trihuvanka mala, or |
| Kákti Prolaya or Púla (a.d. 1110-1160). |
| Pratápa Rádra (1160 ?) |
| Mahárástra (7 1223). |
| Umkka or Múmaka = Víra Déva, or Virabhádara. |
| Pratápa Rádra (a.d. 1285-1323). |
| Virabhádara.⁵ |

Although the line of succession above given is tolerably correct, the dates are ten-

² Mackenzie’s Cat. vol. i. p. cxxiii.  
tative only, there being nothing to mark the duration of each individual's reign. The Mackenzie Collection contains a number of dated inscriptions which Professor Wilson gives in the introduction to the Catalogue, but the results obtained from them are so unsatisfactory that I am inclined to think they have been incorrectly copied from the originals. There are two dates, however, which may be accepted with confidence; that of Tailapa Deva's succession to his brother in 1150 A.D., and that of Ruda's inscription at Anumakonda, 1162 A.D. The death of Taila, that of Prola, and the accession of Ruda must all have occurred within a space of twelve years, and probably, as already stated, about 1160 A.D.; for Prola's rebellion and repentance, followed by his exertions to put down the king's enemies, in the midst of which he seems to have died, must have occupied a considerable time. Although the exact date of his accession is nowhere recorded, tradition assigns him a long reign of fifty years, which, according to the year we have assumed as that of his death, would place it in 1110 A.D. Ruda is also credited with a long reign, but, as already stated, we have no date of his death. Nor is any date in the line met with on which we can rely, until that mentioned before of the twelfth year of Rudramadevi,1 which is supported by a second inscription of the same year. From these we obtain the date of Ganapati's death, and the succession of his widow. The devolution of her authority in the year 1235 A.D. on her grandson rests on tradition only, but is not improbable. The dates of the closing scenes of Pratapha Ruda's reign are related by the Mahomedan historians.

The duration of this small dynasty would be about 215 years, giving to each of the seven princes an average reign of 30-5 years—a result in excess of probability. Yet, except with regard to the commencement of Prola's era, which is uncertain, and the fact that two of the princes, viz. Ganapati and his grandson Pratapha, succeeded, if not as minors, at a very early age, the duration assigned to the dynasty is within the limits of possibility, and does not lack the support of contemporary records.

I have not had the opportunity of meeting with many coins of this family, but have made some notes of a few that I have seen, as well as of seals of their grants. Their cognizance appears to have been a bull couchant, which is seen on several of the seals, between two candelabra, with an umbrella above, and on each side a chowrie. One seal had a four-armed figure seated under the umbrella, instead of which on another was a bell, and on a third is the appearance of a snake (?) or undulating line under the bull. A copper coin, now lost, had on the obverse a bull couchant, and on the reverse a legend, not very clear, in a somewhat old form of Nagari, of which the following is a tentative reading, Srimat... Ka... Kakati... Pratapha Ra... ya... (Q. variya) Kataka... Saka... 2... I also had a large handsome gold coin, with a well-executed bull couchant on the obverse, which must have belonged to this dynasty, and I have still one or two gold fanams with a sitting bull from Rewaja in the Northern Circars.

1 Sewell's List of Antiq. vol. i. p. 67, 1882.
8. EASTERN CHALUKYA DYNASTY.

Having now disposed of the main branch of the great Chalukya empire and the short-lived states which sprang out of its ruins, we turn now to the other branch of the family distinguished from it as the Eastern Chalukya. It was founded, as above stated, by Kubja Vaghuvardhana, brother of Pulikesi II., who, fixing the seat of his power at Rajamahendri circa 695 A.D., ruled over Vengi and the greater part of Kalinga. He was followed by fifteen successors, as shown by the accompanying genealogical list, who reigned till about 920 A.D.

About this time an intruder named Talapa or Tārapa pushed aside Vijayāditya, the rightful monarch, and a period of revolutionary changes and disputed successions prevailed for about twenty-five or thirty years. This was followed by an interregnum of about the same duration, after which a prince named Danārava, whose descent is not clear, restored order and reigned for three years, leaving the throne to his son Kiritivarmā. He was succeeded by his brother, Vimalāditya, the last of the line. The unsettled state of affairs above described appears to have attracted the attention of Rāja Narēndra, the then reigning Chola king, who, being unsuccessful in a contest with Someswara I., the Chalukya prince of Kalyān, now turned his attention to Vengi-dēsam. The Rajamahendri kingdom fell an easy prey to the invader, and became incorporated with the empire of the Cholas, of which it continued to form a part until they were expelled by the Kākatiyas of Warangal at the end of the thirteenth century.

I have not met with any coins of this dynasty, although I was in charge of the provinces which formed their dominions for five years. A few small gold fanams of the Chola period were the only result of my enquiries.

The following is the genealogy, slightly modified from that published by me in the Madras Journal: It is still imperfect, but will doubtless be corrected hereafter as fuller details are obtained.

1 This name taken from the Chola śāstra, I take to be another appellation of the great Rajendra Chola, who had many titles. Burnell gives him those of "Vira Coḷa, Kuḷottunga Coḷa (i), Rajarajendra (Rājaśēkara) Kuḷottunga Coḷa." The first name, however, I believe to be that of Vira Īrava Coḷa. Kākatiya Coḷa (ii), Trikāvavana Chakravarti, Saptam or seventh Vaghuvardhana, who succeeded his brother Rāja Rāja as Viceroy of Vengi-dēsam.

GENEALOGY OF THE EASTERN CHALUKYA BRANCH.

Pulikosi I.

- Kirtivarman.
- Maogallasa.

Pulikosi II.

- Vishnurathana I. or Satyavarma I. (Western Line)
  - Kubja Vishnurathana (A.D. 605, 18 years).

- Eastern Line.
  - Jayasimha I. (63 years)
    - Indra.
      - Vishnurathana II. (9 years)
        - Yuvrajya Mangi.
          - Son or brother (25 years)

- Jayasimha II. (12 years)
  - Vishnurathana III. (37 years)
    - Kokkili (sepoon f.) (6 months)
      - Vijaykirti I. Bhatraka I. (18 years)
      - Vishnurathana IV. (25 years)
      - Narandra Mrija Raja or Vijaykirti I. (48 years)

- Kali Vishnurathana V. (2 years 6 months)
  - Guasaka Vijaykirti III. (44 years)
    - Yuvrajya Vikramaditya I.
      - Chakravyuha Bhima I. (30 years)

      - Kolabghosa I. Vijaykirti IV.
        - Amma Raja I. (7 years)
          - Vijayaditya II. or Kandalagashita.
            - A minor, dehorned by
              - Tjapa or Tjampa (1 month)
                - Malla Nripati or Yuddha Malla (7 years)
                  - Bhima Sema II. (8 months)
                    - Bhima Nripati III. (16 years)
                      - Vijaykirti VI.
                        - Vishnurathana VI.

                - Amma Raja II.
                  - Interregnum

- Kirtivarman.

- Vimaladitya.

Daughter = Raja Narendra Chola.
These last details are derived from a very fine copper-plate śāhanam, in possession of the karapam of Chéllur, of Vira Déva Chola, surnamed Kalottanga Chola, Tribhuvana Chakravarti, and Saptama, or seventh Vishnuvardhana, of Rájamahendri, dated s.s. 1001, conferring the village of Kalérú for maintaining two mantapams at Pithápur and Drukáharamm, and a tank at Chélúr, supplemented by a smaller copper-plate. He had just been appointed to the Viceroyalty of Vengi, in succession to his brother Rája Rája, whose presence was required at Tanjore, after having held the office for one year only. His inscriptions range from s.s. 1001 to s.s. 1067=A.D. 1079 and 1135.

My only copy of the first, and most interesting document, is with Mr. Fleet in India, and I can only refer to it from the incidental notices in the Madras Journal above quoted, assisted by my memory, which will account for any inaccuracies that may have crept in. The particulars differ in some respects from those given by Burnell at page 22, and again at page 40 of his Palaeography of Southern India.

9. LAST GREAT HINDU KINGDOM OF VIJAYANAGAR.

The increasing power and importance of the Chola state during the eleventh and twelfth centuries would seem to point to it as that in which we might expect to find the next important class of coins, but such is not the case. The inscriptions of Someswara Déva Chalukya I. and his two sons show, it is true, that the Chola kings were engaged in frequent warfare with them during the latter half of the eleventh century. This is also confirmed by the narrative in the Vikramanka Charitra, proving that the Chola power was then growing in importance. But further hostilities were checked by the long and prosperous reign of Káli Vikrama (Vikramaditya VI.), and afterwards the predominating influence of the Mahommedans prevented any further hostilities on that side. This, however, did not hinder them from advancing along the coast, and from occupying the Rájamahendri kingdom in the early part of the eleventh century, when their power was at its highest, as will be seen when we come to treat of the Dravidian coinage.

In the fourteenth century the foundations were laid for the last great Hindu dynasty, which exercised an important influence on the history of Southern India, both in its political and numismatic aspect. This was the kingdom of Vijayanagar. Like the minor states we have lately been reviewing, its founder boasts of no ancestral lineage, but declares himself simply to be of Yádu race, an origin which deserves fuller consideration.

We have before adverted to the ethnological importance of two great predominating

classes in the early population of India, the pastoral, and predatory or martial.\textsuperscript{1} The former exist under many different names, their best known title in the north being that of Ahíras. They are widely distributed, and held an important position from the earliest times. Sir Henry Elliot describes their wide distribution from the north-west provinces\textsuperscript{2} towards Bengal. In the Vishnú-puráña they are included as Ahíras\textsuperscript{3} among the people inhabiting the west; and their names occur on two or three other occasions in the enumeration of countries and peoples, from which Prof. H. Wilson takes the opportunity of identifying them with the Abíris, whom Ptolemy places above Patalene on the Delta of the Indus, and also of referring to the mention of them in the Mahábhárata and Rámâyána. They are further specified in the same puráña as the dynasty\textsuperscript{4} immediately following the Andhras. Sir H. Elliot again refers to them as the Ahír princes who were at one time "Rájas of Nepál, about the beginning of the Christian era, and as perhaps connected with the 'Pála,' or shepherd dynasty, which ruled in Bengal from the ninth to the latter part of the eleventh century."\textsuperscript{5} Nor were they confined to Upper India only, for Sir Henry further points out that the name of Aœerghur, which Ferishta says is derived from Asa Aheer, shows that they held an important place in the Dakhan also, where they are best known by their Dravidian name of Kurumbars or Kurubas, with various synonyms in the different dialects.

In early days the Kurumbars occupied a no less conspicuous position in the south than the Ahíras did in the north. The greater part of Drávida then bore the name of Kurumbabhúm,\textsuperscript{6} which extended across the whole peninsula from the Coromandel to the Malabar coast. Occasion has already been taken to notice the eastern portion of it, which on its conquest by the Cholas received the name of Tondaimandalam. Until late years the principality of Kurumbénádu existed in North Malabar near Calicut under its own chiefs, the last of whom, according to Buchanan, became extinct in A.D. 1778-79.\textsuperscript{7}

When the great officers of Kérála threw off the yoke of the Chérás in the fourth century, the Kurumbar Rája is found among the Sámanitas or minor chiefs who declared their independence. At the present day the name still lingers in the Kurumbar táluq of Malabar, and in a class of predial (Wilson's Glossary, s.r.) slaves in the same district; and although the territorial name in its full extent is lost, the people are found scattered throughout the country in many places.\textsuperscript{8} All these, whether Ahírs, Kurumbars, or by whatever name they are called, are sections of a great pastoral race, formerly holding an important place in the political constitution

\textsuperscript{1} Journ. Éth. Soc. 1869, vol. i. p. 112.
\textsuperscript{3} Vol. ii. p. 168, note 4, and p. 185, note 2.
\textsuperscript{5} Madr. Journ. vol. viii. p. 319, etc.
\textsuperscript{6} Buchanan's Journeys, vol. ii. p. 499.
\textsuperscript{7} They are found both in the mountains and in the plains; in the former they tend the timber, at which they are very expert; and in the latter they pasture their flocks, leading a semi-nomad life, in the waste land and jungles, and wearing the black blanket (kumbi) in general use. Occasionally they engage in agriculture, and are distinguished for their probity and love of truth, so that their word is accepted without hesitation. These are Arcot and the Tamil provinces generally speak the Canarese language amongst themselves, and may be seen frequenting the market of Madras with their buttermilk and dairy produce. In some parts of Mysor a Kurumbar is required to turn the first furrow for the farmer in spring, indicative of their former hold on the soil; and on the Nilgiri plateau the proud Toda, who exacts a tribute in token of superiority from all the other cultivating classes.
of India, of which they have been deprived by subsequent events. Mythologically they are
classed as belonging to the Yādu rāma, descended from Yādu, the progenitor of the
Yādavas, who were essentially a race of herdsmen. Hence many of the indigenous dynasties
have thought it no discredit to deduce their origin from the Yādu rāma, and in doing so
may be considered to be derived from the pastoral stock. This, as we have seen, was the
case with the Dēvagiri and Dvārasamudra families. It was also that of the Rātjas or
Rāṣṭrakūṭas of Mānyakhēta, and is now seen to be the origin attributed by themselves
to the house of Vijayanagar, an origin also claimed for the present dynasty of Maisur.
However uncertain the source of the other families may be, the correctness of that assigned
to the earliest Vijayanagar dynasty cannot be questioned, for it has always been known as
the Karuba line.

The political state of the South of India in the fourteenth century offered opportunities
favorable to the rise of a new power. The raids of Māhāmud of Ghazni and 'Alā-ud-dīn
Ghiljī effectually broke down the older Hindu dynasties and established the authority of the
Muhammadan empire of Delhi in the northern Dakhān. Its further extension to the south
was checked by the revolt of its officers, who, throwing off their allegiance to Delhi,
established their independence. In course of time the Bāhmāni state of Kalburga, the most
important of these, in like manner fell to pieces; and this, as well as the subdivision of its
territory into four minor prinicpalities, contributed to divert the attention of the Muhammadans
from pushing their advances further south at that time. It was in this unsettled period that
the kingdom of Vijayanagar arose, and had a lengthened career, which, if its princes had
avoided interference in the quarrels of their Musalman neighbours, might have continued
to flourish longer than it did. Early in the fourteenth century an adventurer from the
south named Sangama, crossing the Kāverī, possessed himself of Seringapatam, and with
his five sons gradually obtained possession

2. They have also been called by some the Nāspatī dynasty, which, with similar names, as the Gajapati, Aswagati, Chahapati,
etc., applied to other princes, seems to be a modern practice for which there does not appear to be any good authority.
p. 372.
4. In the inscriptions translated by Colebrooke the five brothers stand as follows, Literally, Kampa, Baktaraya, “who was
sovereign of the earth,” Marupa and Madapa. Their early
title of Mahāmahāyâdavâra is equivalent to that of Count or
Palentine in the middle ages applied to the head of a principality,
but not necessarily implying submission as a feudatory to a higher
power. They have also the title of Tâgiga (vīd) (Jour. Roy.
As. Soc. vol. xii. p. 340-1), Odys or Udyak yâdgu Voduyagu—
a lord, a chief, a ruler—a title borne by some Hindu tribes, and
still employed by the sovereigns of Maisur and Kerg (Coorg)
RISE OF THE VIJAYANAGAR STATE.

Harhara, and the third, Bukka, are mentioned in inscriptions under the modest title of Mahâmañdaleśwara, but Bukka was the first who assumed regal style and titles.¹ This is shown by the way in which his name is mentioned as compared with those of his four brothers. Assisted by his celebrated minister Mâdhava, also called Vidyanârâya, he fixed his capital near the ancient town of Hânegundâ, giving to it first the name of Hôsapâtaṇa, "new city," afterwards of Hastinâvati, perhaps the Sanskrit equivalent of the Canarese Hânegundâ,² and finally, as his power extended, that of Vijayanâgara, "the city of victory," which became its permanent designation, sometimes exchanged for Vidyanâgara, "the city of learning," in honour of his great minister. The date of Bukka's assumption of royalty and the foundation of the city have not as yet been accurately ascertained, but may safely be placed in the period between the third and fifth decades of the fourteenth century. His oldest inscription with which I am acquainted is the copper-plate³ from Harhara (s.s. 1276 = A.D. 1354), with the seal of a god, before the family adopted the eardha or Bear avtar of the Chalukyas; and in an inscription on stone at Banawâsi, the minister is said to rule the Banawâsi province under Bukkarâya in A.D. 1388 (s.s. 1290). This was probably near the end of his reign, which lasted, Wilson states, according to some accounts, thirty-four years, and if so, would agree with the date (A.D. 1334) assigned for its commencement by Mr. Ravenshaw.⁴ It is evident therefore that the chronology and order of succession of the dynasty have yet to be cleared up.

It will be sufficient now to give a nominal list only of the ruling princes as far as they can be ascertained, none of the coins struck by them having dates. Before doing so, however, a few remarks may be offered on the character of the minister Mâdhava, to whom much of the celebrity enjoyed by the Kuruba dynasty is due. Of his early history we know comparatively little. He is popularly stated to have aided Bukka both by his counsel and the wealth of which he is reputed to have been possessed. He is known to have been a profound scholar, and, notwithstanding the cares of state, to have written, or caused to be written, voluminous commentaries on the Vedas. In these it is stated that he was assisted by his brother Sâyaṇa, regarding whom great differences of opinion prevail. Despite the declarations to the contrary recorded in the commentaries, southern Pàñcâs maintain that Mâdhava and Sâyaṇa were one and the same person, and further, that he professed the Vedantist doctrines of Sankarâchârya, and ended his days at Sringeri as the head, or Jagadgûru of the Sâmâra matha sect, which post he held for some years, dying in 1386, aged between 80 and 90.

These assertions are scarcely reconcilable with facts derived from other sources. The inscription on stone at Banawâsi, before mentioned, edited by Fleet, the authority of which has never been questioned, states precisely that, in A.D. 1368 the Mâdhopradhana (or

² From the word for elephant, des, Canarese = Sanskrit asvat.
⁴ As. Res. vol. xx. p. 7; also pp. 15, 19.
Prime Minister, Madhavaksa, was ruling the Banawasi Twelve Thousand under Bukkara. This is supported by a copper inscription obtained by Le Grand Jacob in the Souther Konkan, where it is said that in A.D. 1391 the Prime Minister Madhava, still holding under Harishara the government of Jayantipur (or Banawasi), led an expedition against Goa, expelled the Moslem garrison, and annexed it to the Vijayanagar state.

This contemporary record makes it very improbable that he could have become an ascetic, and ended his days in a matham at the time stated (A.D. 1386). We may rather conclude that he held his high office of Prime Minister to the last. So much for his history. As regards his opinions, he appears to have had a mind of a high order. His love of letters is proved by his study of the ancient literature of his country, and his cultivation of it, by the important works written by him, or under his superintendence.

Among his administrative reforms was the improvement of the mint, and the introduction of the Nagari alphabet in the public records and on the legends of the coins. These, with the vardha avata adopted on the coins and on the state seal, differing only from those of the Chalukya dynasty by the addition of a sword in front of or above the animal, convince a leaning towards Vaishnavas rather than Saiva opinions.

The age was one of religious excitement. The teaching of Sanka, Ramanuja, and Madhavacharya; the doctrines of the Vedanta, Advaita and Dvaita schools; the creed of the Jnana or Lingayata, etc., led to interminable discussions, all urging their respective tenets with a zeal only surpassed by their persecution of the Jainas and other sects, and especially their ejection of the Buddhists, in which Sanka and his followers were conspicuous. We would fain believe that the tolerant spirit of the wise and learned minister stood aloof from the extremes of bigotry, and that he lent his influence rather to compose differences, and to revive the old simple Vedica theology.

The large number of inscriptions on stone and copper extant should have furnished a reliable narrative of the fortunes of this dynasty, and certainly a trustworthy chronological list of its rulers, had they been critically examined. In the absence of such a work, we can only state the line approximately.

The principal lists available are those published by Professor Wilson, founded mainly, it would appear, on inscriptions of fifteen princes, contained in the Mackenzie Collection, and compared with what he calls the "Chronological statement most generally received," printed in the above-mentioned Collection, and in the Asiatic Researches, vol. xx.; and a list prepared by Colonel Mackenzie himself for the use of Mr. A. D. Campbell, and published in the preface to his Telugu Grammar. From these it would appear that the original Kuruba family was superseded about 1490-1500 A.D., by Narasinga or Vira Narasimha, represented as of

---

2 See Wilson on Religious Sects of the Hindus, As. Res. vol. xvii. These essays have been republished in vols. i. and ii. of Wilson's collected works, by Trubner, in 1861-2.
5 Vol. i. p. 293; id, pp. 291-2.
Tuluva extraction, from whom the line has been called the Narsisings dynasty. He and his immediate successors, especially Krishnaraya, appear to have been men of enterprise and ability, and to have extended their dominions considerably to the south, adding to them the whole of Drávida-déśam, and placing their lieutenants in Trichinopoly, Tanjore, Madura, Mysuru, etc. Rámá Rája is said by some to have been the relative and minister of Sadasiva, the fourth from Narasimha, and to have been the de facto ruler himself. Ultimately he is represented as setting aside his principal altogether. However this may have been, Rámá Rája provoked the enmity of his Muhammadan neighbours in the Dakhan. He was defeated and slain in the fatal battle of Talikota, A.D. 1564. His brother Trimala, after a futile attempt to resume his authority at Vijayanagar, then removed to Penakonda, a strong position about a 100 miles south of Anegundi, where, and at Chandragiri, and occasionally at Vellore, his successors resided for some years with greatly diminished power.

After this it is doubtful whether this the third line did not become extinct, but those who claimed to succeed (whoever they might be) were expelled by the Muhammadan King of Golconda, in A.D. 1646, some taking refuge in Anegundi, calling themselves Poliyars only, while others of the family sought an asylum in Bednur. In A.D. 1801, on the cession of that part of Tipu (Tippoo) Sultan's dominions to the British Government, the family in Anegundi, being found in a state of destitution, received a pension from the East India Company. The list of names thus derived, but for the accuracy of which I can in no wise vouch, is given tentatively as follows: to which I further append that prepared by Dr. Burnell, apparently from other sources, and published in his Palaeography, pp. 54-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kuruva Line</th>
<th>Narasimha Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakká Ráya</td>
<td>A.D. 1334—1368-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harshá ...</td>
<td>1376—1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deśa Ráya*</td>
<td>A.D. 1400—1424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Víjaya* ...</td>
<td>1424—1443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mańkárjina*</td>
<td>1443—1468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virupaksha</td>
<td>1472—1488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nárasisinha</td>
<td>A.D. 1488—1508-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna Ráya</td>
<td>1508—1530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aachya Ráya</td>
<td>1530—1542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadasiva</td>
<td>1542—??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ráma Rája</td>
<td>A.D. 1559—1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimala</td>
<td>1564—1571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serangya I</td>
<td>1572—1586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkatespati</td>
<td>1586—1593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinárka</td>
<td>1605—1626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serangya II</td>
<td>1618—1628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vankaye</td>
<td>1628—1634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rámađáva</td>
<td>1635—1643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anegundi Vén-</td>
<td>1643—1648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kutapatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

but having been expelled in A.D. 1646, the line virtually ceased.

1. In the inscription of his son Krishnaraya, dated a.s. 1434 = A.D. 1614, edited by Fleet, in the Bombay Journ. vol. xii. pp. 391-3, he is called Naras, Nristha, and Sri Narasishna, descended from "Tisara, famous among the princes of Tuluva." But Perishta repeatedly refers to him as the Telinga Raja. The recent destruction of Wocagal, with the events which followed, doubtless sent many to seek their fortunes in a new country. In the same grant Krishnaraya is called his son by Naguláderi, by some said to have been a concubine.

2. Wilkes's History of Mysore, vol. i. p. 15. Inscriptions of Virupaksha, the last of the Kuruvas, extend from 1473 to 1478 A.D.

3. Perishta makes him son-in-law of Sree (Sadasiva). According to the Persian account, a powerful minister, named Timuri, took advantage of the minority of Sadasiva's grandson, whom he poisoned in his attainning manhood, and setting up another minor, administered the affairs of the kingdom till his death, on which his son Ráma, who had married Sadasiva's daughter, openly usurped the throne. Budge's Periakarta, vol. iii. p. 80-1; MacKenzie Cat. vol. i. p. 294.

4. After the battle Bijapura was taken and sacked by the conquerors, a blow from which it never recovered. Its wealth and prosperity have been described in glowing terms by several travellers. One of them, Abd-ar-Razak, ambassador from Shah Rohk in 1442, says, "The city is such that the pupil of the eye has never seen a place like it, and the ear of intelligence has never been informed that there existed anything to equal it in the world." His accounts are confirmed by the Venetian traveller Nicolò Conti, who visited it in the early part of the fifteenth century (Hakluyt Soc., India in the Fifteenth Century, p. 23; &c. p. 6), and by Caesar Frederick, who saw it three years after its fall.


8. Burgess and Fleet, Inscriptions, p. 22. Date of his installation, 1490 A.D.
List according to Burnell.

Sangama, of the Yadava family and Lunar race.

- Hariyappa (1320-1359)
- Bukka I. (1360-1376), m. Gaurambikā
- Harlara (1379-1401)
- Bukka II. (1401-1416) m. Tippāmha.

- Dēvakā, Virādha, or Virabhāgpati (1418-1434)
  - married Pudenduru and Mahānha,
  - Vijaya (1434-1445), and others,
  - Pranadh Deva (1454-1477),
  - Mālakājna (1481-1487),
  - Rāmakundu (1487),
  - Virūphaka (1488-1490), Narmadha (1490-1508).

(Virānandīmha)

- Acūtā (1514-1533)

Saddāyina (made an alliance with Viceroy J. de Castro in 1540).

This Saddāyina succeeded as a child: “Thirty years was this Kingdom governed by three brethren which were Tyrants, the which keeping the rightfull king in prison, it was their use every yeere once to show him to the people, and they at their pleasures ruled as they listed. These brethren were three captains belonging to the father of the king they kept in prison, which when he died, left his sonne very young, and then they tooke the governement to themselves” (C. Frederick, in “Purchas His Pilgrimes,” vol. ii. p. 1704; cf. Ceuto, Dec. vii. 5, 6, f. 930).

Virappa Naik

- Rānakūta (killed in 1665)
- Timma (Tirumala Bōmma).
  - Transferred the seat of government to Pennakopada
  - In 1667; Purchas, vol. ii. p. 1305.
- Bugast (see in Purchas, he was killed in 1665. According to Ceuto, Dec. vii. 2, 8, his name was Venkatakīya.)

- Rangākūta (1672-1685)


The history of Feriahta, who wrote during the end of the sixteenth and the beginning of the seventeenth century, throws considerable light on the events we have just been considering. He resided at the court of Ahmadnagar with his father, in the reign of Martuza Husain Nizām Shāh. During the troubled times which followed the death of that prince he repaired to Bijapār, and found an asylum with Ibrahim 'Adil Shāh II., A.D. 1579-1626, and must, therefore, have been familiar with the events he describes.

According to his narrative, the 'Rājas of Vijayanāgar early came into unwise and

1 Feriahta, who was born in the end of the sixteenth century, completed his history in 1609 at Bijapār.
2 Briggs’s Feriahta, vol. i. pp. 21, 22.
unprovoked collisions with the Bâhmani kings and their successors, but the facts as related by him for the possession of Moodgul appear to be exaggerated, and the name of Krishna given to the Bijanagar king nowhere occurs in the generally received lists. It is found, however, in that of Dr. Burnett. Other cases of ill-judged interference on the part of the Hindus are mentioned, one of which, in 1529 A.D., was attended with partial success, but generally the Muhammadan historian shows them to have been worsted. An interesting summary is given of the events ending in the battle of Talikota, and of those that forced Râma Râja’s successor, here called Venkatsadri, to seek for refuge in Penakonda and Chandragiri, together with the steps taken by ‘Ali ‘Adil Shâh to follow up the victory.

The adoption of the boar symbol of the Chalukyas by Mâdava, with the use of the Nâgari alphabet, should have appeared more prominently on the new coinage; but I have only met with it on the copper money, in which the boar is distinguished from that of the Chalukyas by the addition of a sword. This, however, does not apply to the gold coinage, in which I have met with no instance of the employment of the same symbol, but only with mythological forms of their deities: in all, the legends are Nâgari. The employment of this character was continued, so far as our present knowledge extends, by the princes of the three successive sections of the dynasty. But the coin figured by Wilson from the MacKenzie Collection implies that the practice of the first prince of the Narasinda line forms an exception. As described it represents on the obverse the Narasinga Avatar, while the legend on the reverse exhibits, in Canarese characters, the name Narasimha. In the absence of other examples, it cannot now be determined how long this innovation lasted, but it would appear from the readings given below that it did not continue. Probably it owed its appearance to the foreign extraction of Narasinda, derived, according to some accounts, from the Malabar coast, but, according to all the Muhammadan historians, from the Telugu country. The Kuppâlur inscription describes him as the son of Isvara, and a coin bearing this name may be explained in this connection. It represents the figures of Râma and Shâla, seated, with Hanuman, and, on the reverse, the word Isvara, in Canarese, as will be noticed when treating of the Râma-tanka medals further on.

There are, besides these, copper coins having the boar and sword on the obverse and Canarese legends on the reverse, which must be attributed to the same period.

In a paper on the pagoda or surîha coins in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. ii. mention is made of a gold coin in the Madras Government Museum, weighing fifty-one or fifty-two grains, bearing the figure of Hanumân seated, on the reverse, and an imperfect legend on the reverse, in which the name “Bukka” is said to have been read. For this reason it has been assigned to the founder of the Kuruba line. The description given is very short. The figure exhibits none of the characteristics of the monkey.

2 Jf. v. iii. pp. 48-60.
3 Jf. p. 50.
4 Jf. p. 141.
5 Jf. p. 50.
6 Jf. v. iii. p. 595, pl. iv. fig. 90.
deity, and the character of the letters in which the single word “Bukka” is read is not stated. As represented in fig. 9, plate ii. it looks like Canarese, or Telugu.

The same paper contains a notice of two coins taken from Moor’s Hindu Pantheon, of which the Museum does not appear to possess examples. They are represented in plate i. figs. 10, 10a. The obverse is described as exhibiting a “two-headed bird, like the Russian national ensign, but holding a small elephant in each beak and in each claw.” On the reverse, Sāri Pratāpa Dēsa Rāya, as read by Dr. Burgess (but only, it would appear by the context, from Moor’s figure, and not from the coin), which he attributes to the third Kuruba prince. Before, however, accepting this assignment, it is proper to examine the matter a little further.

Gold coins with this device are by no means rare. Moor figures four; Wilson gives representations also of four; and I myself possess seven. Among all these are two types: one with a two-headed inessential bird advancing to the left; the other exactly resembling the spread eagle of the Russian and Austrian arms. Wilson suggests the name on the legend to be Sāri Virā, which is a friend (Mr. Fleet), well known for his skill in palaeography, reads Sāri Pratāpa Chātura Rāya. Mr. Thomas reads Sāri Pratāpa Chatuta Rāya, which he thinks may stand for Achatutya, and be identified with Achyuta, the third name in the second or Narasinga family.

It is difficult, amongst such varying statements, to decide on the paterinity of these coins. Dr. Bidie figures a second exemplar as 10 among his gold coins, but refers to it as a copper piece in plate ii. It has the reverse of what appears to be a trisula, or trident. I have a number of copper pieces (upwards of thirty), all of them with the double-headed bird, some with Nāgari legends on the reverse, others with Telugu or Canarese, much worn, and none of them intelligently read. One has apparently a figure seated on an animal on the reverse; others are quite plain, and many show signs of considerable antiquity. For a long time I attributed these coins to Kṛiśna Rāya, the greatest prince of this dynasty, who, in the copper-plate inscription at Kuppadur, in the Raṇibennur, already referred to at p. 93, is described as “a very Gāndabhārunda to the herds of elephants that are his foes.” Under the diverse readings of the reverse, this identification will not stand. The conclusion to which I now come is that the symbol is not indicative of a particular dynasty, but may have been assumed by any prince in token of his military prowess. I am strengthened in this view by the fact of the figure of Garuḍa having been adopted as the cognizance of several of the dynasties of which I have treated above, viz. the Yādavas of Dēvagiri, the Rāṣṭras or Rāśītrakūṭas, the Sīlāhāras of Kolhpūr, and being, moreover, a favourite emblem of all votaries of the Vaiṣṇava creed.3

---

1 Plato 104, p. 434.
3 Moor and Wilson likewise suppose the bird to represent Garuḍa, and Col. Yule also considers the strange relations of Marco Polo regarding the Gyrphus to have had a similar origin. Whosoever derived, it is undoubtedly the monster popularly known in South Indian mythology under the name of gānda bhīrunda. In the Tamil dictionary it appears as gānda or kōndā bhīrunda pahāri, meaning “a double-headed monstrous bird.” Brown, in his Telugu dictionary, under the word gānda, gives a not well-authenticated meaning “a lump of flesh”; and the Malayalam word, according to Dr. Gundert, signifies “the
FURTHER OBSERVATIONS ON THE COINAGE.

It is remarkable that no coin of Krishna Raya himself has yet, as far as I am aware, been found. A representation of the youthful Krishna on a very well-executed coin, I believe would be found to pertain to him, but neither does this hold good, when the legend is read. The fame of his style and superscription (viz. Sri pratap Krishna Raya) has caused it to be largely assumed by subsequent usurpers of the royal prerogative, who asserted their independence on the fall of the empire.

My collection contains a considerable number of coins unread before I left India, which, as now interpreted, appear to belong to this dynasty. Several of them have figures of Shiva and Parvati seated, and others exhibit the semblance of Vishnu standing under an archway, or canopy. Being unable, from loss of sight, to describe these and some others more minutely, Mr. Thomas has kindly offered to decipher them for me, and I now append the conclusions to which he has arrived in his own words, as shown in the subjoined note.¹

¹ "Temple of the elephant." Dr. Ross considers that gopuśa may be a southern corruption of Garudā, and khāruṇḍa or khāruṇḍa a tāṭhāra form meaning "terrible."

Eastern romance contains many allusions to a monstrous two-headed bird holding elephants in its beak and in its claws, the elephant in the former sometimes replaced by the sarula, or fabulous lion. We are all familiar with the part it plays as the Roa in the story of Sinbad the Sailor, and his adventures with the gigantic egg, and also with the bird, as told in Lane's Arabian Nights, where both its figure and its attack on Sinbad's ship are vividly portrayed (vol. iii. pp. 90, 92; also vol. ii. p. 50, note). It appears to be the 'temple of the Arabs, the simuraq of the Persians, the bar yunche of the Talmud, the gryphs of the Greeks, and the griffi, or griffon, of European heraldry.

The reading of the name on this class of coins has hitherto remained indeterminate. Prof. Wilson hesitatingly suggested वीर फिरा (As. Res. vol. xrvii. p. 596). Dr. Bidie, under the inspiration of Mr. J. Burgess, prefers DASA RAYA—a reading I cannot concur in. A peculiarity is noticeable in these legends in the frequent prolongation of the final a in Protap, which implies a short a before the apparently opening eh, and authorizes the identification of the name with that of Ashtyala, No. 8 of Wilson's list of inscription designsations, in the As. Res. vol. xx. p. 7, or that of the eighth king after Bhrāk. See pp. 93, 94, and.

No. 2. General Pearse.

OVERSEHR.
Siva and Parvati.

Sri Pratap Sadā Sīnd Rāja

No. 3a.—Marden, MXXIV. J.A.S. Bengal, 1883, pl. ii. fig. 12. Sir W. Elliot. Two coins.

OVERSEHR.
Siva and Parvati.

Sri Kāvār Ārya


OVERSEHR.
Siva and Parvati.

Sri Kavasa Ārya

The copper coins of this king with the four obverse read

Chalām (Chalan) Trivāma taka (for Timāva)

Marden read Danwar, but Mr. Sewell, more correctly, gives Danvāra. The initial letter is a near approach to the modern t, a counterpart of which we have in the titles of Lankewara, Danwar, etc.
From the above identifications it appears that representations of Śiva and Parvati found especial favour with several of the princes of the line, which, with reference to their religious proclivities and to the Vaishnava names of several, is somewhat remarkable. The same device is found on the coins of the Rājas of Ikkeri and Bednur, who were worshippers of Śiva, and with whom they have hitherto been supposed to have originated.

The not uncommon coins called Venkatapatapi hāna, having the effigy of Vishnu, standing under an archway, probably originated with the later Chandragiri princes, three of whom bore that appellation. Which of them first struck the pieces now bearing the above name it is difficult to say; not improbably it was retained by all three. They are well executed, and continued in circulation to a late period, especially on the east coast and in the province of Arcot. In Rāidrug (Rāyadurga), a district of Bellary, Major Sir Thomas Munro states that they were the only gold coins current at the end of the last and beginning of the present century, although not accepted in the neighbouring districts. This is accounted for by the fact that on the dissolution of the Vijayanagar government, the then military commander, or Dālitaraḍi, of the place, made himself master of Penakonda, from which he was ejected by the king of Bījāpur, and was then established at Rāidrug, paying a considerable tribute, in addition to stated military service, to the Adil Shāhī dynasty, and afterwards to Aurangzeb.

The Nāgari legend on the copper coins with the figure of the boar is, according to Mr. Thomas, Chalām (current) Tirumala taka (for tanka), which he therefore assigns to Tirumala, the brother of Rāma Rāja; that in the Canarese character is read by my friend before mentioned, aisakha māsa with the numeral 1, followed by another, perhaps a fraction (?), above it, apparently having reference to the exchangeable value of the piece. A number of these coins were figured in the Numismatic Gleanings, where the epigraph was read as Śālaka or Chalām Tirumāl Rājya, and the Canarese legend on another, fig. 11, as Śrīman Rāma, with the numeral 15 above it.

No. 5.
Dancing figure of Krishna—Sir W. Elliot; two specimens.

Sri Chāka Dāsa Rāja.
"Chāka Dāsa Mahārāja" is mentioned in Mr. Ravenhaw's Inscription, No. 7 of a. 1442 (An. Res. vol. xx. p. 29), as of the "tribe of Cakṣapa... strong as the Garuda Bhurunda." He is supposed to be the Chāk da, No. 116 of the Pedigree list, p. 19. But the device on this coin seems to remove it from the Vijayanagar list proper.

No. 7.—Mardan, No. Maxx. and Maxxx. As. Res. vol. xvii.
No. 88. Journ. Asiatic Soc. of Bengal, 1883, No. 126 and 128; Dr. Bidie's paper.

Dūrga seated.

Sri Pratap Dāsa Rāja.
Sir W. Elliot considers that these coins represent a class of later date, and of a different locality.

No. 8.—Dr. Bidie gives an engraving of a coin (pl. i. fig. 11) with an obverse of Siva and Parvati, as in No. 1 of this list, with a reverse which reads clearly

Sri Pratap Dāsa Rāja.

This piece he places under a series otherwise supposed to belong to Dāsa Rāja, or the next in succession to Hārshara. The Nāgari transcript in the Ravenhaw inscription, No. 2, p. 39, adheres to the Dāsa Rāja.—E. T. J.

1 Letter to Bd. of Rev. 28th January, 1896.

2 Dālāvādi is the title of a military commander, or minister of state, and is still borne in that sense by the ministers of Makur and Travancore.


4 The word Sāhāla before Trowan may be the family name of the third dynasty, and may perhaps also have some connection with the second dynasty (Ind. Ant. vol. xix. p. 155). The old family of Sāhāla or Sāhūla was of some distinction, and flourished for several centuries at Karwāṭ and Karwāṭi Nagara. See amts., p. 43, note. Also Mad. Journ. Litt. and Sci. vol. iv. n.s. pl. i. figs. 12-17, pp. 91 and 98-99.
To this era I would assign the large cup-shaped gold medals, the form of which may have been taken from the concave padma-tanka before described, as struck at Banavasi, of which Madhava was so long governor. These medals, with elaborate representations of Rama and Sita sitting in state surrounded by numerous attendants, among whom Hanuman is conspicuous, are known as Rama-tanka, and are greatly prized by Vaishnava Brâhmans as objects of household worship at the present day, so much so, that they are now often manufactured for that purpose. Flat pieces, with a similar representation of Rama and his court on the obverse and a Nagari reverse, weighing from 167 to 180 grains and upwards, are occasionally met with. I have only seen them in the British Museum. Both these appear to be medals. In connection with the above I may advert to the coin mentioned before with that of Narasimha, the founder of this second dynasty, in which Rama and Sita are represented seated on the obverse with Hanuman, and the words Sri Isvara on the reverse. They weigh 58 grains. In addition to these I have two spherical specimens, somewhat resembling Mr. Gibbs' coin described at page 33, but only weighing from 18 to 18½ grains.

They have on the concave side what appears to be Hanuman holding a flower, and on the convex reverse apparently one or two figures seated, but much worn and indistinct. The cabinet of the late Col. Guthrie contained two gold pieces, of which he kindly gave me casts, connected apparently with this class of medals. The larger exhibited on the obverse, Rama and Sita seated in profile with Hanuman before them, and behind them a figure holding a chhatra or umbrella. On the reverse were four erect figures supporting a canopy (?) and in the exergue two indistinct letters. The other is similar, but smaller. The obverse is the same, but the three figures on the reverse appear to be moving to the right. The casts are too indistinct to allow of a more minute description. Having been purchased, their origin is not known. The whole collection is believed to have gone to Berlin.²

The characters impressed on the gold coins of the Vijayanagar dynasty survived its decay, and continued to be exhibited in a class of pagodas, mostly associated with the figure of Durga and the legend of Krișna Râya, struck by numerous local chiefs and princelings who, after the fall of the empire, established themselves on its ruins as noticed before. Some account of these will be found in the concluding section of Part III.

NOTE.—After the foregoing was in type, Dr. E. Hultsch's paper on a copper grant of Ranga II., s.s. 1566 (≈ A.D. 1644), appeared in the June number of the Indian Antiquary, vol. xiii. p. 153, which throws some further light on the succession of the later princes, subsequent to the Narasimha line, after their supersession by the descendants of Rama Râya.

In this paper the learned Doctor refers to a grant of Sadâiva in his possession, received from

¹ Rama-tanka occur in different sizes, the largest ought to weigh four telas or 720 grains, perhaps a double Rama-tanka, and is very rare; the next, 360 grains; the third, 180 grains. These appear to be the normal weights, which have been reckoned according to the standard telâ of 1850 = 102 grains, but the bazaar telâ of twelve masâkas is somewhat less, and varies in different places.
² The same subject is likewise engraved on seals, of which I have a good specimen cut in a piece of lapis lazuli.
Dr. Burgess, bearing the date of s.s. 1478 (= A.D. 1556-7), which does not appear to have been published. This grant gives the genealogy the same as that in Mr. Fleet's Kuppéapur inscription of Krishna Ráya, with the additional names of Rángu, Achyuta, his son Venkata, and his nephew Sadásiva. Then the substantive grant of Rángu II. goes on to show the genealogy of Ráma, who married the sister (?) of Sadásiva, and eventually usurped the throne. This Ráma is shown to be derived from a family of subsidiary princes styled Rájas of Karnata. He and his brother Tirumala are said to have been the sons of Rángu, who was the son of Ráma, and he the son of Bukka, "protector of Saluva Nýśintha (of Vijayanagar?)," whatever this may mean. But this Bukka has no connexion with the founder of the Kuruba line. The succession of the remaining Karnata princes, which is somewhat confused, concludes the paper. The grant is dated A.D. 1644, only two years before the taking of Chandragiri by Abdullah Kút Sháh, of Gokonda, which extinguished the monarchy.

The following are the names of the reigning Karnata kings, as taken from the grant of Rángu II. mentioned above, showing their descent, but omitting the names of those who did not reign, and being a succession of six princes from 1564-1644, which gives an average reign of 13-2 years each.

According to an inscription given by Rice in the Mysore Inscriptions, they are called "Lords of Aravítípara" (p. 254). Grant of Sri Rángu Rája at Davaballi, dated s.s. 1506 = A.D. 1584.
10. THE VEMA REDDIS OF KONDAVIḍ.

A family of this name attained to some distinction after the fall of Worangal. I had obtained some inscriptions concerning them, in the Krishṇa division of the Northern Circars which, with the rest of my collection, having been lent to Mr. Fleet, are now in India. I am, therefore, only able to give a very meagre account of the family. My chief reliance is on a copy of an inscription\(^1\) from the pagoda of Amareśwara, at Amarāvatī, near the Krishṇa in Guntūr, dated s.s. 1283 = A.D. 1361, recording the dedication of a temple to Amareśwara, which was re-established by Ala Vēmā Reḍḍi in the year 1361 A.D., some twenty or thirty years after the fall of Worangal; and on some local records, which cannot be accepted with much confidence.

In these it is stated that the family first came from Anumakoṇḍa, the original seat of the Kākatiyas, where a wealthy farmer named Pernāl, Perumāl, or Prolaya Reḍḍi, resided. He had five sons, with whom he established himself at Kondaḍi, a place of great strength, and extended his possessions over the neighbouring country. He was succeeded by his son Allanda Reḍḍi, and he by his brother Dodaya Reḍḍi. The latter had three sons, of whom the youngest, Allanda Bhūpāti, succeeded him. His son was Vēmā Reḍḍi, the only possessor of the name found in the manuscript, and if the family took their designation from him, he must have been a personage of some importance. But the only authentic mention of the name is in the above inscription. He was followed by his brother Virabhadrā, in whose time Kondaḍi is said to have been taken by Krishṇa Rāya of Vijayanagar. Other accounts, however, attribute the fall of Kondaḍi to the Muhammadan ruler of Golconda. But this must be a mistake, for Krishṇa Rāya reigned from 1508-9 to 1530 A.D. It is quite impossible to reconcile this with the date of the inscription above mentioned, which is not only clear in the manuscript copy which I possess, but agrees with Professor H. H. Wilson's mention of it in the Introduction of the Mackenzie Catalogue; and is further irreconcilable with the Professor's statement that "the number of descents is uniformly stated at seven, and this is apparently correct,"\(^3\) and on the previous page that "they sunk under the ascendency of the Vijayanagar dynasty, after an independent reign of about a century."\(^4\) I can find, however, only the names of six princes, which are shown in the accompanying genealogical table. It is probable, therefore, that Vēmā Reḍḍi either had at least six or seven successors of whom no mention is made, or rather, which is more probable, that we must attribute the establishment of the Kondaḍi principality to the Vēmā Reḍḍi of the inscription, or his immediate progenitors, before the middle of the fourteenth century. This agrees with the final subjugation of Worangal about the same period, when some of the fugitives from that place are said to have found a refuge at Kondaḍi, where, in virtue of their common origin, they would be favourably received.

\(^1\) Given to me by Colonel Mackenzie's employé, Cavally V. Lutchamiah.
\(^2\) Mackenzie Cat. vol. i. p. cxxv.
\(^3\) ib. cxxiv.
NUMISMATA ORIENTALIA.

I have seen few, if any coins, as far as I know, belonging to this family; but I have three copper pieces bearing a bull couchant with a lingam over the back, which may perhaps be attributed to it; for they adopted the cognizance of the Worangal Kâkatiyas, namely, that of a bull (or Nandi) couchant. I have a facsimile of a figure of this animal, which I saw on the ring connecting the plates of one of their copper grants. It is neatly modelled, and represents a recumbent bull covered with trappings, having a small lingam and yoni, the emblem of Mahâdeva, or Siva, in front of its bended knees.

Vêna Reôlî Genealogy.


Permâl, Perunalla, or Prōlaya Reôlî = Annamamba.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Allanda} & \quad \text{Pedda Cota} & \quad \text{Anna Prala} & \quad \text{Dodaaya} & \quad \text{Penn Cota} \\
& \quad \text{Reôlî} & \quad \text{Reôlî} & \quad \text{Reôlî} & \quad \text{Reôlî} \\
& & \quad \text{Pola Bhôpati} & \quad \text{Cota Bhôpati} & \quad \text{Allada Bhôpati} \\
& & & & \\
\text{Vêna Vîbhunda, or} & \quad \text{Virabhâdra} & \quad \text{Dodaaya} & \quad \text{Anaya} & \quad \text{Reôlî} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Another List from Taylor’s Catalogue Raisonné Or. MSS. Vol. III. p. 513.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Reigns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potaiya Vêna Reôlî</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cômni Vêna Reôlî</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anupota Vêna Reôlî</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Râja Vêna Reôlî</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dherma Vêna Reôlî</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumara Giri Reôlî</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. SILÂHÂRAS.

Before leaving the table-land it may be worth while, here, to notice another small state in Western Kuntala, although I have no acquaintance with their coins. It is that of the Silâhâras of Kolhâpur, connected with which are branches below the Ghâts in the Konkan. They never attained to great eminence, but an interest attaches to one of their titles as deriving their origin from Tagara, a place known to the Greek geographers, the identification of which has given rise to much ingenious speculation.
THE SILÁHÁRA DYNASTY.

The family claim to belong to the Vidyádhara Jímutaváhana kula, distinguished by the ensign of a golden Garuḍa, with the style and title of a Mahámañjálaśvara, and the distinction of the noutat (śve mahasabdaś). The several divisions of the family have been fully treated by Paññit Bhagvánlál in the thirteenth volume of the Bombay Journal.

A Kolápur copper grant has the impression of a Garuḍa on the seal, while that of a grant from the Konkan bears a sitting figure, probably Garuḍa, with the hands joined in the attitude of devotion. The following are the genealogies of the two principal families:


Jatya I.

Nayavarman or Nagimma.

Chandakaja.

Jatya II.


Marinja (a.d. 1058)


Vijayaditya, Vijayáka (a.d. 1143-51).

Bhujadéva II. (a.d. 1109, 1131)


Kapurí I.

Palaśaki.

Kapurí II. (a.d. 880-877).

Vatpavanna.

Zunza (a.d. 916).

Goggi.

Vajjadéva I.

Aparákṣita.

Vajjadéva II. Arikarṣa (a.d. 1017).

Chhitarka (a.d. 1026).

Nágárjuna. Mummunāi.

(A.d. 1066).

Aparákṣita (a.d. 1187).
12. WODEIYAR DYNASTY OF MAISUR.

The only State of importance which established itself permanently after the fall of Vijayanagar in south Kuntala was that of Maisur. When the greater part of Southern India was annexed to that empire by the Narasinha dynasty in the sixteenth century, the charge of the south-western districts was entrusted to a viceroy located at Seringapatam, who collected the revenue, or tribute, from a number of local chiefs, or Wodeiyars, as they were called, according to Wilkes thirty-three in number. As the paramount authority declined, these chiefs, or Polgars, assumed a more independent position, and even adopted, when they dared, the title of Rāja. Tradition relates that among these were two brothers named Vijaya and Krishna, who are said to have constructed the fort of Maisur, in the district of Hadana, early in the sixteenth century. One of their successors, named Chāma Rāja, went so far as to withhold his tribute and set the viceroy at defiance. It was, however, paid with more or less regularity by his successors till early in the seventeenth century. Rāj Wodeiyar, the then chief of Maisur, who appears to have been a man of capacity and energy, and had great influence with the viceroy, assumed possession of the whole province on the death of that functionary. The importance of the family as an independent power may be held to have begun with this Rāj Wodeiyar. He was followed by eight successors:

| Rāj Wodeiyar | 1578—1617. |
| Chāma Rāja IV | 1617—1637. |
| Immaḍī Rāja | 1637—1638. |
| Kanṭhirāva Narasa Rāja | 1638—1659. |
| Kempa Déva Rāja | 1659—1672. |
| Chikka Déva | 1672—1704. |
| Kanṭhirāva Rāja II. (“Mūkarasu,” or the dumb) | 1704—1714. |
| Doḍḍa Krishna Rāja | 1714—1731. |
| Chāma Rāja V | 1731—1733. |

with the last of whom the line seems to have ceased in 1733 A.D., during a period of anarchy caused by disputed successions which enabled Haidar ʿAli to seize upon the throne. He died in 1782, and on the death of his son Tipú, who was slain in 1799, the old line was restored in the person of Krishna Rāja Wodeiyar, a child of five years old. After a long reign of sixty-nine years, he was succeeded by his adopted son, the present Rāja, in 1868.

1 History of Mysore, vol. i. p. 20.  
2 Ibid.  
3 Ibid.  
4 Ibid.
I have heard that gold coins of a Châma Râja have been found, but this is doubtful. The name occurs five times in the lists, three antecedent to Râj Wodeyar, and two subsequent to him, the former of these last two being probably the prince referred to. A Râja of the same name is said, with what truth I know not, to have struck the copper coins bearing a series of Canarese numerals, from one to upwards of thirty. The reverse exhibits three or four pairs of double lines crossed by similar pairs at right angles, the square interstices either plain or containing a dot or small symbol. One of my smallest pieces has a six-pointed star in the central square. My specimens extend from one to thirty-two, but these of the British Museum go still further. They are of different sizes, weighing from thirty to fifty grains, or even more.¹

The princes of this dynasty seem to have inherited the cognizance of the elephant from the Koôngus and the Chéras, for it appears on numerous copper coins which are still current in the form of the one paisa or small elephant cash, and even Tipâ, notwithstanding his love of innovation and contempt of everything Hindu, continued to use it on his copper coins, many of them large and handsome, which are still frequently met with.² But according to Buchanan the Maisur princes never coined pagodas, and the first authentic record of a gold coinage is that of Kanthirâva Narasa Râja, who, in the middle of the seventeenth century, issued the gold fanams bearing his name, which became the standard denomination of the currency.³ This fanam bears the image of the Narasinga avatâra, and varies in weight from six to eight grains, but in the Calcutta mint tables it is entered at 5½ grains, and containing fifty-eight per cent. of pure gold.⁴ Ten of these formed a nominal pagoda of account, in which the revenue of the northern provinces was kept, as appears by Sir Thomas Munro’s Report on the settlement of the Ceded Districts for 1806-7.⁵ But although no gold pagodas were struck by the Maisur princes, they were issued by the Râjas of Ikkervi, who were votaries of Śiva under the form of Aghoreswara. The first of these, Sadasiva Nâyak,⁶ struck pagodas with the figures of Śiva and Parvati, and his own name in Nâgaravi on the reverse, a device which was used by his successors until their expulsion by Haidar, who still continued their issue in the same form, merely substituting his own initial T on the reverse. The Bedar Polygar of Chitaldrug coined Durga pagodas in the same fashion and

¹ This design of a chequered reverse is common, and does not appear to be peculiar to Maisur, if indeed it is rightly attributed to Châma Râja at all. The figures on the obverse of some of the other varieties are very various, comprising Hanumana, Durga, an elephant, horse, peacock, padma or lotus, sanâkâ shell, bell, etc., which cannot be identified with any special family or locality. I believe them to be quite modern, and therefore devoid of historical importance. Marsden describes a similar reverse on the gold fanams of Tanjore and Madura. I have never met with such. The numerous examples which have come in my way have been all of copper.

² Marsden’s Num. Or. vol. ii. pl. xlvii.
⁴ Prinsep’s Useful Tables, p. 40.
⁵ See his Report, dated 18th April, 1806.

Sadasiva Nâyak ... ... ... ... A.D. 1559-75
Bhadrayya or Bhdrappa ... ... ... ... 1675-84
Doddâ Sankana ... ... ... ... 1684-91
Chikka Sankana ... ... ... ... 1681-92
Siddhappa ... ... ... ... 1692-93
Yenkatappa ... ... ... ... 1693-1695
Bhdrappa ... ... ... ... 1625-48
Sivappa Nâyak ... ... ... ... 1648-70
Bhdrappa ... ... ... ... 1670-80
Hucha (Mad) Somasek’hosa ... ... ... ... 1680-95

According to the Mysore Gazetteer.
character as those so generally employed by the Polygars and Zamindãrs of the upper Carnatic and the valley of the Krishãna. These supplied a sufficient circulating medium not only in Maisur but in the neighbouring provinces. On the fall of Tipú, the Maisur Government, having found it convenient to coin pagodas of the same value as the Kanghirãya kân, previously only employed in accounts, issued them from the mints at Maisur and Nagara, at the same time restoring the old Ikkeri. This, as already stated, had been adopted by Haidar under the name of the Bahãdãri pagoda, until its supersession by the Sultãni kân of Tipú. The revised pagoda was called the new Ikkeri, which eventually merged into the Krishãna Rája sarãha or pagoda now in use.

The restored dynasty also coined silver nanãm, double and single, with the figure of Hala Krishãna, the youthful form of that god, and replaced the traditional elephant of their copper pieces by the mythological lion or sarãba.

I do not here propose to notice the coinage of the Muhammãdan usurpation. They have been described and figured in Moor’s Account of Little’s Detachment and Marsden’s Numismata Orientalia, plates xlv. and xlvi., and would form a fitting sequel to a description of the coins of the Muhammãdan kings of the Dakhan from the Bãhmanis downward.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinnamjai</th>
<th>A.D. 1685—97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baavappa</td>
<td>1697—1713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somasek’hara</td>
<td>1711—39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buû Baavappa</td>
<td>1733—54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chakkâ Baavappa</td>
<td>1754—77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somasek’hara Viramjaji (Queen-regent)</td>
<td>1757—63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the Koladê Raja Pattam, No. 1920, in Taylor’s Cat. Ras. vol. iii. p. 650.

| Cholrava Nãyak pattam | — |
| Sadûva Nãyak | — |
| Doddâ Sancara vijaya | A.D. 1511 |
| Chikkâ Sancara pattam | 1546—59 |
| Rana Nãyak | 1555—68 |
| Veentapatipe Nãyak pattam | 1565—83 |
| Virabhadrâ Nãyak pattam | 1590—1630 |
| Sivrapupa Nãyak pattam | — |
| Chikkâ Veentapatipe Nãyak | — |

| Bhadrappa Nãyak pattam | — |
| Sómâ sec’hara Nãyak pattam | — |
| Hari Banava Nãyak pattam | — |
| Sómâ sec’hara Nãyak pattam | — |
| Banavapa Nãyak pattam | — |
| Sómâ sec’hara Nãyak pattam | — |
| A.D. 1758 |


* This would be a desirable contribution to the I.N.O. if coins could be found, as I doubt not they will be now as times are more settled. I endeavoured, when in the Southern Mahratta country, to make a collection of these, and although in charge of a considerable portion of the 'Adil Sháhi territory, failed in procuring more than a few insignificant copper pieces. I have, however, a tolerable collection of their seals, copied from impressions on their seals.
PART III. SECTION i.

COINS OF DRÁVIDA.

We come now to consider the moneys of the Dravidian dynasties, the relations of which lay more often with each other than with those of their northern or western neighbours. That they were early recognized as distinct powers appears from the mention of them in Asoka’s edict, where they appear as the Coḍas¹ (Chōla), Paṇḍyaś, . . . . and the prince of the Kērarjus. But beyond this, the political history of Drávida is very imperfect; the native writings, which are numerous, are altogether untrustworthy, and the attempts to found historical narratives based on them are consequently unsuccessful. The large collections, made with so much care by Colonel Mackenzie, have never been fully analyzed. A Catalogue Raisonné of his MSS., prepared for the Madras Government by the Rev. William Taylor, and printed in the Journal of the Madras Literary Society, affords some fragmentary notices,² and more extended portions of them, which have been published from time to time without the means of verification from other sources, have yielded no certain results.

Such are the sketches of the Paṇḍyanas by Professor Wilson in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. iii. p. 199; such, also, those of the Chēras by Professor Dowson in vol. viii. p. 1; and the incidental notices by Dr. Burnell in his South Indian Paleography have supplied a few facts. The late F. W. Ellis would probably have thrown much light on these, as well as on most other subjects of Dravidian literature, had he lived to utilize his materials; but the large and valuable collections he had made perished on the occurrence of his untimely death. The latest reliable work, by competent authority, bearing on the subject—Dr. Caldwell’s History of Tinnevelly³—shows how much remains still to be accomplished. Professor H. Wilson alludes to the obscurity in which the whole subject is involved, observing that, although a large number of inscriptions is still extant,⁴ they are either without dates, or have only those of the reign of the donor, whose name is given with

¹ Dr. Führer’s late trans. of Asoka’s edict; Khalid version, p. 12, in Zeit. Morg. Ges. for January, 1883.
² Mr. Taylor afterwards published a fuller catalogue of them, and of MSS. in the College Library at Madras, in three volumes, 1867–68, but they have added little more to our knowledge.
³ Caldwell’s History of Tinnevelly, p. 27.
perplexing variations. To which, moreover, it may be added, that many more, as yet unnoticed, still remain to be transcribed. It is to these contemporary records that we must look for more certain data, and meantime, I can only throw together a few general statements to illustrate as far as possible the coins that have come to hand.

Of the three predominant states before mentioned, the most northerly—Cholamandalam, the country of the Cholas—lay between the Pennar on the north and the Vellar on the south; the sea on the east, and Kutakeri on the west; but afterwards, by the conquest of Toondamandalam, its northern limit was pushed to the Eastern Ghats, and to Sri Harikotah on the coast. From the Vellar to Cape Comorin in the south, and from the eastern sea to an ill-defined line separating it from the Chera territory on the west, constituted the boundaries of the Pandyans state. All the country to the west of the latter, and both sides of the Sahyadri hills as far as the sea, including Kerala, formed the most ancient Chera limits, but when it was dismembered, and Kerala became independent, they were restricted to Koyimbatore (Coimbatore) and the southern parts of Mysore and Salem, after which, the name of the Chera dynasty was merged in that of the Konkan rulers of Konig-desa. These, which are the received boundaries, varied from time to time, and, as Dr. Caldwell remarks, "shifted," as one or other was in the ascendant.

Each of the three had its particular symbol, or standard, that of the Cholas being a tiger, of the Pandyans a fish, and of the Cheras a bow, to which the Konkan rulers added that of an elephant, still retaining the bow. These emblems are represented on the most ancient coins, that of the predominant power of the time holding the middle place, with those of the other two on either side. The earlier coins bear representations of these figures, but about the eleventh century a remarkable change occurs, by which the currency became largely composed of a new type, described by James Prinsep under the name of the Ceylon series, having a rude human figure, standing on the obverse, and seated on the reverse. Previous to this change, the oldest Chola coins which I have seen exhibit their own device of a tiger between the bow and fish, and the legend in an old form of Nagari, showing them to have been then in the ascendant; but in the later examples, which are very numerous, the tiger is exchanged for the Ceylon type, with the name of the king in more modern Nagari, under the arm of the seated figure. In like manner the earlier Pandyans have the impress of the fish symbol only, and the epigraph in old Nagari; but after the change above mentioned, the fish is seen under the arm of the sitting figure on the reverse, or of larger size, in various combinations, occupying the whole field, the legend in Tamil character, while the standing figure on the obverse remains unaltered. The change did not affect the Western money till a recent date, but an approach to it was, in some rare cases, observable.

How this change from the more ancient forms arose is hard to discover. I was long

---

1 Of the two rivers of this name, that referred to is the most southerly, which falls into the sea to the south of Point Calamere.
2 Mack. Cat. vol. i. pp. lxvii, lxxxii, xcli; Taylor's Hist.
4 History of Tinneville, p. 24.
under the impression that it was introduced from Ceylon during the successful invasions, and protracted occupation, of the northern part of the island by the Tamils, which took place, according to Turnour, in the tenth century. But Mr. Rhys Davids' statements do not coincide with this view. Admitting that a more ancient coinage might have once existed, but of which no examples have come to light, he finds that the earliest specimens known, date from the middle of the twelfth to the end of the thirteenth century. All these exhibit the same character to which, as before stated, Prinaep has given the name of the Ceylon type, a designation which it is convenient still to retain. The device on the obverse is a rude standing figure, which has been described by some as that of Hanumant, or of a rākshasa according to others, but which in reality is a degraded figure of the king, by whom, as I think and shall explain hereafter, the coin was originally struck. It has the left arm upraised, holding what seems to be a flower, and below the elbow four or five flattened globules or pellets, the right hanging down, and under it a weapon, or sceptre. The drapery is represented by a straight line on each side of the legs, and another line between the latter, making with the legs, five parallel lines. On the reverse, a similar rude sitting figure, with a legend or characteristic symbol under the left arm, the right hanging down over the knee, with fingers extended.

Nothing similar to this is found in any Indian coinage before the eleventh century, when it came into general use in Drāvida only, at the time the Chola-Pāṇḍya dynasty were masters of the whole of it. Before this, the relations of the Cholas and Pāṇḍyas, while still separate, with the Singhalese, had become very complicated. The Mahawanso states that from the eleventh century the island was under the control of the Selieana (Cholians), who held the administration in their own hands till they were expelled by Vījaya Bāhu. His nephew, Parākrama Bāhu the Great, not only completed the humiliation of the Tamils, but, resolving to punish Kulaekhara, the Pāṇḍya king, "for the countenance and aid he had always afforded to all invader of Ceylon," he sent an army under his minister to the mainland, who defeated Kulaekhara and his Cholian allies, and seated his son, Vīra Pāṇḍya, on the throne. Here, then, we have a period of revolution and conflicting authority coinciding with the change in the character of the coinage.

The legend on the earliest of these Singhalese pieces is Lankeywara=Lord of Ceylon, a term which, in its Pāli form, Lankisara, Mr. Rhys Davids observes, was only applied to three kings before the reign of Parākrama the Great. Of these, the first two lived before
the fourth century a.d., "in whose time coins were unknown in Ceylon." The third was Vijaya Bāhu I. (1071 A.D.), and "it is not known that any were struck in his reign." The use of the epithet he supposes to have been applied, not to him individually, but in its general sense, as to all kings of the island. He then states that the Singhalese form, Lankaswara, came into use in Parākrama's reign, and in his opinion, therefore, that the coin bearing it belongs to that prince. He further observes that "he conquered the south of India, and thence introduced the art of coining into Ceylon;" adding that "he is the only king of Ceylon who struck several coins,"¹ though his successor continued the use of the same title, with the addition of Kalinga. Thus, in Mr. Rhys Davids' opinion, the Singhalese obtained the type in question from India, instead of having been the means of introducing it into that country. Whence, then, could it have originated? From whatever source derived, it made its appearance in India in the same degraded form as we now see it. Rude as it was on its first appearance, it made little change during a considerable period, some of the later specimens being, if anything, rather an improvement on their predecessors, although, eventually, they became so altered as to be scarcely recognizable. Notwithstanding Mr. Rhys Davids' high authority, I am disposed to believe that stamped coins must have existed in Ceylon before the time of Vijaya Bāhu, for I cannot imagine that a people who had reached a comparatively high state of civilization could carry on the ordinary transactions of daily life without having recourse to a monetary system already in use among the people around them.

Frequent intercourse was carried on between the Buddhists of the north and those of Ceylon during five or six centuries before the period in question, and, doubtless, they must have brought-stamped money with them, an art which a people so far advanced as the Singhalese would not be slow to imitate.² They would thus become acquainted with the elegant coinage of the Guptas,³ which I have always believed to have formed the prototype of the coins in question.

Without pursuing the subject further at present I will notice such particulars as I can find regarding each of the three states above-mentioned, beginning with Chōra.

PART III. SECTION ii.

DYNASTIES OF DRÁVIDA.

1. CHÉRA AND KOṆGU DYNASTIES.

The Chéra power seems to have been at the highest before the Christian era, when it is found under the name of Kérala in Asoka's edict, and has been recognized by Professor H. Wilson in the Carei, and in the Caruma Regia Cerberothri of Ptolemy, terms which, making allowance for inaccuracies of sound and transcription, may be held to represent the city of Karúr, the capital of Chérapati, or Lord of Chéra. Up to the separation of Kérala, described in a former section (p. 61 ante), but little is known of its history. The severance of so large a portion of territory must have seriously affected its influence as an independent state, and, as we have seen, the importance of the Chéras as a substantive power waned from that period, the western portion being split into numerous principalities, while the eastern continued, with diminished influence, under a different name. Among the dynastic lists collected by Colonel Mackenzie, a series of twenty Chéra kings, purporting to have reigned in the Kali-yuga, is found; but they seem to be so apocryphal that I have not thought it necessary to transcribe them, and this I do the more readily because I have never heard of the existence of any Chéra coins; nor, when we consider the early date at which the dynasty was subverted, does it appear probable that any improvement on the edifying had taken place. But, if any piece should be discovered hereafter, it would probably bear the impress of the bow, still found as an adjunct on later Dravidian coins.

The obscurity in which the Chéra dynasty is involved continues to be felt in tracing the fortunes of the eastern remnant of its territory, which, under the name of KoṆgu-déss, comprised the western portion of Muisur as far as Nandidrúg, together with Koyimbatur (Coimbatore) and Salem. Here a new dynasty arose, for the history of which the authority hitheerto followed, with too much confidence, is a native chronicle called the KoṆgu-déss Charithra (or Rájakal), of which an abstract has been published by Professor Dowson, and a

1 Mack. Cat. vol. i. p. xxxii.
4 To this Dr. Burnell adds Tandainod, of which there is no proof. It was too far removed from the seat of their authority, and continued more probably in the possession of the independent Kurumbaras, until its subjugation by a Chola conqueror.
fuller translation given by the Rev. William Taylor.¹ It professes to give a succession of twenty-eight princes, according to which the first seven were said to belong to the Reḍḍi or Raṭṭa race, of whom nothing more is known than that their capital is said to have been at Skandapūra, a site not identified.² A careful examination of the contents of this work, however, will show that it is not to be trusted as an authority, although it quotes inscriptions in support of its statements; for these, instead of adding strength to the narrative, only show its weakness. A grant conferred by the fifth prince on a Jaina (priest?) is dated a.s. 4—long before that era was known in the south; and the seventh is said to have been converted to Saivism by Sankara in a.s. 100, centuries before that teacher was born. After the seventh prince a change in the succession occurs, which appears to mark the introduction of another dynasty, whether following the preceding immediately, or at an interval greater or less, is not stated, but resting on better established grounds.

Quitting, therefore, this guide, we turn to a series of inscriptions emanating from princes of this latter race. The first of these was Koṅgani Varmā, whose capital, according to the Chronicle, still continued to be Skandapūra, wherever that was; but the true seat of their power was Talavanpura, or Dalavanpura, now Talkād. From him the line became known as the Koṅgani dynasty. They originally professed the Jaina religion; were of the Kāṇvāyaṇasa gōtra, and Jāhnavi kula. From the latter circumstance, they are sometimes called the Ganga dynasty, Jāhnavi being another name of the sacred river. As before stated, they took for their cognizance an elephant, in addition to the Chēra bow, the latter being that by which they continue to be recognized by their Chola and Pāṇḍyan neighbours. Koṅgani Varmā was followed by some twenty successors, according to the Rājakal, fifteen of whom are found in inscriptions published in the Indian Antiquary. But even these records are not free from contradictions and discrepancies, which seriously damage the authenticity of some of them. The earliest published, under the names of the Merkara and Nagamangala copper plates,³ attracted considerable attention, and were accepted by Dr. Burnell on palaeographic grounds. A third copper plate, bearing a strong family resemblance to the other two, was obtained by me from Tanjāvūr (Tanjore), an impression of which led Dr. Burnell to reject it at once as a forgery. It was subsequently edited by Mr. Fleet⁴ from the original, when he not only confirmed Dr. Burnell's verdict, but was led to throw doubts on the authenticity of the other two, founded on the discrepancy of the dates, and on the fact that the engraving of all three purported to be the work of one and the same individual. The genealogy in the Merkara and Nagamangala plates agree as far as they go. The date of the former plates, in the reign of Koṅgani III., is given as 388, of what era is not stated; while Prithuvi Koṅgani

² Lassen places it at the Gajalasti pass in Salem, but others have supposed it to be identical with the modern Udipi on the coast. If it is the site of the ancient Chēra family, it may be found in the vicinity of Karur, near Pulikōl, and may not be identical with Kurur itself, the Karura of Ptolemy (Arsēmōs Cheōlemon Kαρυβίδης). According to the Imperial Gazetteer, Kurur has likewise borne the names of Vanji and Garagharpur.
³ Ind. Ant. vol. i. p. 360; vol. ii. p. 105.
⁴ Ib. vol. viii. p. 212.
(the sixth of the name), in the Nagamangala plates, sanction, in s.s. 698, the fiftieth year of his reign, the grant of a village to a Jaina temple at the request of a dependent, whose wife was the daughter of a Pallava chief (see ante, p. 42).

Supposing the year 388 to refer to the Saka era, as proposed by Professor Bhandarkar, the interval between these two grants would be 310 years. This apportioned among the six intervening princes, would give an average of 51.4 years, a supposition not to be thought of. But as the year 388 may refer to some other era, it is possible that a solution of the difficulty may hereafter be found. Again, if Mr. Fleet's supposition is correct, that the Viswavarma, who executed the Merkara plates, is the same individual as the engraver of the Nagamangala plates, our confidence in both is destroyed. But it may be observed that Viswavarma was originally an epithet of any great god, and ultimately was restricted to the personification of the creative power. As such, he became the especial deity of all classes of artificers, so that the name, in these instances, may have had a general, rather than an individual application, which would also account for its use in the undoubtedly spurious Tanjore grant: this, however, is a very forced solution of the difficulty.

Four more copper grants have been published by Mr. Rice in the Antiquary, two of which were found in the village of Mallohali, near Bangalore. One of these has the usual elephant seal, on the other it is missing. They refer to grants of land, one in the twenty-ninth year, or Jaya samvatsara, of Kōngani Rāja (II.), the son of a Kadamba mother, and the donor of the Merkara plates; the other by his son Avinita, Śrimat Kōngani Vṛddhārāja, or Durvvinīta in Vijaya, the thirty-fifth year of his reign. Their genealogy is given in detail and agrees with all the inscriptions, which makes the former, who is the second of the name (or, if we include Mārasimha Sātyavākya Kōngani Varmā, the third), the sixth in succession to the founder. The date assigned to the first grant by Mr. Rice is s.s. 376, computed by him from a comparison of the cycle year Parābha, corresponding with the assumed s.s. year 388 of the Merkara plates, and, as Jaya is twelve years earlier than Parābhava, he thus gets s.s. 376 as the corresponding Saka year of that (Jaya) cycle year. But then comes the difficulty of his son's grant being placed in the year of the cycle preceding that of his father, and in the thirty-fifth year of his reign. To escape from this dilemma, he is therefore obliged to place Avinita's grant in the Vijaya of the following cycle, which corresponds with s.s. 435, placing fifty-nine years between them, and involves the further difficulty of assigning reigns of unusual length to Kōngani and his son. To account for the long reign of the former, he infers, from an incidental expression in the second deed, that he was crowned in his infancy; and for that of his son, that he was the same person as the Datta Avinita, called also Avanita Mahādhārāja Bhadatta, the mastrī or minister, of the king, who, having obtained the village, the subject of the Merkara charter, gave it, etc., etc., and thus was contemporary and ruling jointly with his father. It is remarkable, however, that this person is nowhere

1 Dowson's Classical Dictionary, s.s.

2 Ind. Ant. vol. i. p. 365.
called the son of Kongani III. in the Merkara grant, nor is a son mentioned at all, but the
prefix Dutta, attached to his name, may imply that he was an adopted son. In the
Mallolaji grants his son is distinctly called Avinita, and Srimat Kongani Vridhharaja, with
the surname of Durvinita, implying that he was an unjust or tyrannical prince. All these
circumstances considered, together with the uncertainty of the era, and the careless notation
of the date pointed out in the Indian Antiquary, vol. i. p. 363, leave an impression of doubt as
to the amount of credence to be accorded to these documents, although the documents
themselves have the appearance of being genuine.

Of the two remaining grants, one having the elephant seal, was produced in support
of a claim, before the court at Harihar. It relates to a gift of land made by Raja Mall
d lord of Kolalapura (Kolar), to one Rama Deva of the Yaraka caste, for service rendered
to his wife and her attendants. The date has not been clearly ascertained owing to the
ambiguity of the characters in which it has been expressed, but it corresponds with the cycle
year Sudharana, and which Mr. Rice conjectures may be s.s. 272. This Raja Mall's name
does not appear in any of the other inscriptions, but his father's name, Vishnu Gopa, is found
in all the lists. The last plate of the set, the seal of which is missing, was found among
the old records in the Commissioner's office. It is dated in the third year of Kongani
Maharaja, the son of Kongani Maharaja (III.), deduced in regular succession from the
founder. The description given in the grant is somewhat obscure, but seems to make him
the same person as the Avinita, surnamed Kongani Vridhharaja (IV.) and Durvinita, of the
Mallolaji grant. The date is not given, and the rest of the grant is imperfect.

Turn we now to the stone inscriptions, the first of which is that obtained by me at
Lakemwar, and edited by M. Fleet, in which Marasimhadewa, who had also the titles
of Ganga-Kandarpa and Satyavakya Konguni Varm during the Saka year 699. The stone
on which it is inscribed is a large and fair slab in the Jaina temple, and in itself is free from suspicion; but below it, and on the same stone, follow two other inscriptions, the first of which being undated, and as derived from a family of no great note,

need not be noticed now. The third, however, and the last on the stone, which records
a benefaction to the Jaina temple, on the occasion of its repair by Vikramaditya Chaluksa II.
(whose genealogy is correctly given), in the Saka year 656, involves the difficulty of a more
ancient record coming in succession to the later deed. The only possible explanation that
occurs to me is that the older grants might have been transferred to this stone at a later
period, for the unification of the titles On the other hand, a second grant of the same prince
(Marasimhadewa), in the same year, is found on another stone in the temple, and this,
on the above hypothesis, should have been added in succession if there was room for it.

1 Ind. Ant. vol. v. p. 146.
2 Koju-dasa Raja, J.R.A.S. vol. viii. p. 4. The terms Avinita and Durvinita are nearly synonymous; the first meaning
unmannired or mannerless, the second has the more intensified
signification of evil mannered.
3 A nomad caste of this name is still found wandering over the
plains of Southern India, earning a subsistence by catching birds
and weaving mats.
Mārasimha, whose name occurs nowhere else, is called the younger brother of Hari Varmā, which places him near the beginning of the line, and makes the settlement of his date of the greater importance. He is further distinguished in the inscription by the epithets Ganga-Kandarpa, and Satyavākya Kōnguni Varmā (i.e. the cupid of the Ganges, and the truth-speaking Kōnguni Varmā). The last appellation is that of the author of the three inscriptions on stone, found by Mr. Kittel in different villages of the Kōṇāgu (Coorg) district, which will now be noticed. The first of these conveys an endowment to the Jain temple at Pergga by Satyavākya Kōnguni Varmā (so read). The date of the grant is somewhat doubtfully read by Mr. Kittel as s.s. 780. Mr. Fleet, finding the name Satyavākya to be the same as that in the Lakmēśvar inscription, inclines to the opinion that both were made by the same person, whom he therefore identifies with Mārasimha; and to get over the otherwise irreconcilable difference of date, which involves a space of 120 years, for reasons stated, reads that at Pergga as s.s. 900. And it is remarkable that both these dates correspond with the Iśvara somavatsara of their respective cycles. The second inscription procured by Mr. Kittel relates to a Jain grant of a Satyavākya Kōnguni Varmā in s.s. 809, and there seems no reason to cast any suspicion on the accuracy of this date. But if Mr. Kittel’s reading is the right one, the interval between the two grants would be twenty-nine years, and might easily refer to the same person. The last grant is a deed of investiture by a Satyavākya Kōnguni Varmā, fixing the quit-rent of certain lands in kind and money, but being undated it requires no further notice. All three have one or all of the following titles: lord of Kōḷḷāpūra or Kōvalḷāpūra, protector of Nandagiri, Rāchanalla, Permannāḍi, which it will be remembered were also borne by Rāja Malla of the Bangalore copper plate.

Having thus examined all the inscriptions hitherto published, together with the native Chronicle, there is found to be a considerable agreement, both as to the names and the order of succession. Neither of them, however, afford reliable chronological data to determine either the beginning or end of the dynasty. We are thus driven to seek for its place in history from such indirect mention of it as can be found elsewhere. The most important notice is the statement in the documents relating to the Vādavas of Dvārasanandra that Vishnāvardhana, the fourth prince, captured and burned Talkāḍ, and annexed the Ganga territory. The name of the Kōṇgu king is not mentioned, but as it is given as a simple fact, unaccompanied by the usual laudatory ascription of victories over surrounding potentates, it may be received as correct. This would place the extinction of the dynasty between the second and third decade of the twelfth century. Now, seeing that there were twenty-one Kōṇgu kings, according to the Rājakal, although fifteen or sixteen only are found in the inscriptions, at twenty years to a reign we obtain a period of four centuries (420 years) for the duration of the dynasty, or at an average of fifteen years, three centuries (315 years).

1 Ind. Ant. vol. vii. pp. 102-3
The former of these would place the rise of the family about the beginning of the eighth century, and the latter to the beginning of the ninth, an approximation to the date of the Lakmeshwar grant, for Hari Varmá’s brother, Márasinha, is the fourth in the list, and his inscription is dated s.s. 890 (=938 A.D.). A further confirmation is obtained by taking the period between Márasinha, A.D. 968, and the close of the dynasty in A.D. 1125, which embraces 157 years. This distributed among his eleven successors, according to inscriptions, would give an average of 14½ to a reign. This conclusion differs so entirely from the views hitherto entertained, which rest chiefly on the Kōngu-dēsa Charitra, that I offer it with some diffidence.

Mr. Foulkes, in his sketch of the dynasty published in the Manual of the Salem Collectorate,1 gives the date of the first Kōngani Varmá as s.s. 111 (=A.D. 189), and its extinction by the Cholas about s.s. 800 (=A.D. 878), being a period of 69 years, and giving an average of nearly 33 years (32½) to a reign, after which they held it for 126 years.2 Of this Chola conquest I have been unable to find any proof; while of that of the Hoysala Ballāḷas the evidence is clear and consistent. How the Chola supremacy ended, or how the Ganga power revived, as it clearly must have done during the century that intervened before its so-called second extinction by Vishnuvardhana, we are not told. It is for those who hold that view to explain the difficulty. Granting, however, these statements to be correct, it must have become once more very powerful, as Vishnuvardhana seems to have considered its destruction one of the most glorious acts of his reign.3 In addition to this it must be noted that the Chola ruler, so far from subduing the Kōngu country, was aided by Narasimha II. of the Hoysala Ballāḷas in his conquest of the Pāṇḍyaan kingdom;4 as a result of which Somesvara, his son, obtained a portion of the conquered territory, where he frequently resided at the town of Vikramapura.5

The cognizance adopted by the Kōngani dynasty, in addition to the bow of the Chēras, was an elephant, and was taken with much propriety from that noble animal, the principal haunts of which in the south are the hills and forests of Kōngu-dēsa. The only coins on which it appears in gold are those known as anai kāsu, anai mōli or gajapati kāsu, which are found both in the form of hāns and fānams, and are not uncommon on the Malabar coast. They have an elephant caparisoned on the reverse, and what I have called the floral reverse, seen on many of the earliest pagodas and fānams, with sometimes a Canarese letter above the elephant’s back, of the meaning of which I am not aware, but conjecture it may be the first letter of the king’s name, or of the cycle year in which

---

1 Vol. i. p. 37.
2 Jb. p. 39.
3 Inscription at Belor (Yelavāra) dated s.s. 1019 (=A.D. 1117). “Eating by the might of his arms first acquired the wealth of the Hoysala kingdom, taking up the circle of the points of the compass, he gained possession of Talakāḷa, and of Ganga fāya, being the first to rule them” (i.e. in supersession of the ancient race). “This Vishnu Bōpāla, the raiser of the fortunes of the Yadu race. The Lakshmi of victories gaining great increase by the power of his arms, he burnt the capital city of the Gangoas, this powerful king Vishnu, called Bhūjicchala Ganga, possessor of the city of Talavanapura (Dulaivanapura), subedar of the Kōnkan country, gained possession of Kojālapura,” with the titles Bhūja-balā, Vera Ganga, and Vikramaganga, importing his conquests over the Gangoas—Rice, Mysore Inscriptions, pp. 262, 263; also inscription at Siddiguru, ib. p. 310.
4 MS inscription at Harinar.
5 Compare Sulōkanā at Bangalore; Rice, Mysore Inscriptions, p. 332.
it was issued. Copper coins are often met with of considerable variety, and have a character specially their own. They are small and irregularly shaped, without a raised edge, weighing from 50 to 55 grains, but one was as much as 68. Another of only 23 grains I take to be a half. The symbols on the obverse are very various, and neatly executed, that in the centre, sometimes an elephant, sometimes a weapon, etc., is generally, though not always, flanked by a bow; and some other forms difficult to name, and which, owing to my blindness, I will not now attempt to describe. Some thirty years ago I had a large collection of these, from which a selection was depicted and partly engraved for my Gleanings, but I left India re infecta. They will be found represented in the plates. From their simple, not to say rude forms, I at first attributed them to some earlier Chêra dynasty, of whom no clear record survives; but seeing that they are die-struck, the outcome of an art of which there are no ascertained specimens anterior to the fifth or sixth century, I hesitate to assign to them a date earlier than the Kongu age.

I may add that I have one coin with the Ceylon sitting figure on what I here take to be the obverse, and the usual Kongu altar on the reverse, but it would be unsafe to assign to this dynasty the adoption of an innovation which was recent at the time of its fall.

**GENEALOGIES.**

**ACCORDING TO INSCRIPTIONS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Śrīmat Koṅgani Varmā Dharma Mahādhīrāja I. or Mādhava I.</th>
<th>Śrīmbha Mādhava Mahādhīrāja II.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Śrīmad Hari Varmā Mahādhīrāja</td>
<td>Mārasimha Ganga Kandepa-Satiyavalkya Koṅgani Varmā II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Śrīmān Vaiṣṇu Gopa Mahādhīrāja</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Śrīmān Mādhava Mahādhīrāja III.</td>
<td>Rāja Malla (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(married a Kedandha princess, sister of Krisṇa-Varmā).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Śrīmān Koṅgani Mahādhīrāja III.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avindita Pūrvvinta Koṅgani Vṛddhákṛja IV.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mūrkhāra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Śrī Vīkrama</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dūṭ Vīkrama</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vilanda Rāja Sri Vālīkhākiya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nava Kāma (the same as Koṅgani Mahārāja V., surnamed Śimēshvāra).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son, name not given.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prithuvi Koṅgani Mahārāja VI., a Bhima Kopa and Rāja Kesari.
ACCORDING TO THE KÔNGU-DESA CHARITRA.

Srîmat Kôngani Varma Dharma Mahâthirâya I.
Srîmâ Môdhava Mahâthirâya I.
Srîmat Hari Varmâ 1 Mahâthirâya
Vishnu Bopa 2 Mahâthirâya

Môdhava Mahâthirâya II. (adopted son)
Krisna Varmâ Mahâthirâya (son)
dughter

Râja Govindarâya 3 Nandi Varmâ
Sîva Ramarâya, Sîva Mahârâya


Prithuvi Kôngani, Mahâthirâya IV.
Sîva Mahârâya

Satya Vâcarâya

Gunaâluttamarâya

Râja Malla Dèvarâya II.

Gânda Dèva Mahârâya

Râja Malla Dèvarâya I., Sîva Mahârâya

Vijayaśivârâya

Prithuvi Kôngani, Mahâthirâya II.

Dhrûvanîhâya

Mûshkarâya, 3 or Brahmarshirâya

Tîr Vîchârâya 4

Bëh Vîchârâya, or Gajapati

Kôngani Mahâthirâya, Bhurivirasarâya II.

1 Hari Varmâ changed the capital to Dalavanpura.
2 Vishnu-bopa embraced the Vaishnava religion, and having no child of his own, he adopted a son named Mulhava, who succeeded him. Subsequently a son was born to him, named Krishna Varmâ, after which there appears to have been a disputed succession, ending in Dindicura, a pretender, being set aside in favour of Kôngani Mahâthirâya II. or III. (see Mallohaaji plate), the son of Krishna Varmâ's younger sister.
3 Mûshkarâ and his father Dhrûvanîa were wise and warlike.
4 Trîvramâ, the Sîr Vîkrama of the Nagamangala plate.
5 Râja Govinda Raya changed to Saivism.
2. PÁNDYAN DYNASTY.

The historical obscurity of Dravidian history, already noticed, is equally felt in dealing with the Páṇḍyans. For nearly two thousand years the position of their country kept them much secluded from contact with the rest of India. The mild and inoffensive character of the Jaina religion which prevailed there from the earliest times was favourable to the cultivation of letters and the arts. The many compositions of the former, afterwards leavened by the Vedic literature of the first northern missionaries, unfortunately contain no notice of passing events, and the traditionary writings of a later date supply nothing to make up for the deficiency. The quiet tenor of the Jaina period was first broken by the theological disputes of the ninth to the twelfth centuries, about which time a further light breaks in from the Sinhalese annals. It is by a comparison of these with native traditions, and such help as can be obtained from inscriptions, and other casual notices of contemporary events, that we must endeavour to elucidate the changes that have taken place in the development of the coinage.

It has already been observed that two essentially distinct types are found, the first of which bears the distinguishing Páṇḍyan figure of the fish only, with ancient Nágarí letters. The only specimens that are known, and all of gold, are of this type, and very rare. One is in my own possession, weighing 58.8 grains; another has been figured by Prof. H. Wilson1 from the Mackenzie Collection, and a third is found in Moor's Hindu Pantheon.2 This kind, undoubtedly the oldest, probably belongs to that period when the capital of the Páṇḍyans was at Kólkói or Körkói (Kólkóy), long the seat of the pearl fishery, and the emporium of the trade of the south, the ancient site of which, still retaining the same name, was discovered by Dr. Caldwell3 near the modern village of Máramangalam. How long it continued to be the capital is uncertain, and it was perhaps not till the seat of government was removed to Madura that the elegant coins above mentioned were struck. The characters on the reverse, from their

1 As. Res. vol. xvi.
3 Plate 104, figs. 9 and 11. Two coins are represented, one of which resembles mine.
greater resemblance to Nāgari than to Tamil, favour the supposition that the dies were cut by Jaina artists after the alphabet had been modified by Aryan intercourse, and the establishment of the Madura Sangam or College, placed by Dr. Caldwell in the eighth or ninth century. But there is no reason why they should not be dated a century or two earlier, or on the other hand, that they may not have been struck as late as the tenth century. After this the character of the coinage underwent the complete change by the introduction of what in the previous section has been called the Ceylon type. This I suppose to have been owing to the intercourse between Ceylon and Madura, our accounts of which are derived exclusively from Singhalese writers. The inhabitants of the latter, called Sollema, settling in the island in considerable numbers, provoked the enmity of the natives, which led to their expulsion, and to invasions of Madura in retaliation, two of which are recorded to have taken place, the last only being very successful. But it is remarkable that no mention whatever is made of them by Tamil writers. That these invasions actually occurred, the character of the Ceylon histories do not allow us to doubt, but it may be questioned whether they, especially the last, were of so decisive and important a character as asserted. If the Singhalese chronology is undisputed, they must have taken place about the twelfth century. But this, as will be seen, is open to question.

Previous to this change, the kingdom of Madura had fallen under the dominion of the Cholas, whose power had been carried to its highest point by Rajendra Chola, between 1064 and 1113 A.D. The few coins I possess of his time all belong to the old type of that dynasty, exhibiting their own symbol of the tiger between the Pāṇḍyan fish and the Chéra bow, with the legend in old Nāgari. It was not until the time of Rāja Rāja that the so-called Ceylon type appeared. It then at once came into general use. Coins with the name of Rāja Rāja flooded the country; those of copper are still numerous, and examples in silver, though rare, and one or two in gold, have also been met with. From that time they constituted the prevailing character of the Dravidian currency. We may, therefore, safely conclude that the change took place somewhere about the eleventh or twelfth century, and that the names of the kings on the coins of that type now found with Tamil legends, the letters of which, it may be observed, differ little from those now in use, are also subsequent to that time.

I have given Rajendra Chola’s date on data furnished by the Chalukya inscriptions, but the establishment of its accuracy is so important that I will mention some additional evidence derived from Tamil records. Dr. Caldwell has found inscriptions of his in every part of Tinnevelly. Two of these near Cape Comorin, are dated in the fourth and fifth years of his reign. They are confirmed by the inscription at Gangondaram quoted by me in the Madras Journal, vol. xiii. pp. 37–44 (1844). All these take credit for a so-called victory (?)

---

1 I may also observe that the Madura chronicles, such as they are, are significantly silent as to the Chola conquest, showing their unwillingness to record any facts tending to the disparagement of their own country.

2 At Kóär, in South Travancore; Hist. of Tinnevelly, p. 23.
DISCREPANCIES IN THE PÂNDYAN LISTS.

121

The PÂñâya dynasty. These are
recorded in nominal lists containing names varying in number from thirty to seventy-seven,
of which five have been published by Professor H. Wilson, and two by Mr. Nelson. Almost
all these begin and end with the same names (viz. Kula kâra, and Kâna or Sundara Pânâya), and it is manifestly impossible that they can be accepted as correct. Professor
Wilson indeed says that “the conflicting statements they contain are not likely to afford
much satisfactory information” 3 and Bishop Caldwell adds that “such lists, until confirmed
by inscriptions, are of no conceivable historical value.” 4 Even then, the light they afford is
very doubtful, so many of the inscriptions being without dates. Moreover, the brief legends
on the coins, as before stated, and the names likewise, are Tamil, while the names in the lists
are Sanscrit. Nevertheless, Dr. Caldwell cites one instance in which the name on a coin is
found in the lists, and, as I have three or four more besides, I have appended these lists to
this section for purposes of comparison.

It is more difficult, amid such discordant elements, to assign the Chola conquest to its
proper place among them. Tradition associates Rajendra Chola with Sundara or Kâna
Pânâya, the last name in the lists, whom he reinstated in his hereditary dominions, making
him his vice-regent, and giving him his sister in marriage. But Rajendra’s conquest of
Madura having taken place before the change in the coinage, it is clear that the individual so
distinguished could not have been the last of a line of princes, all of whom adopted that
change. Still, I cannot reject a tradition so generally received as a myth, but prefer to
associate it with an earlier Sundara. It has occurred to me that the name Sundara, which is
found on many of the coins, with or without addition, may be a dynastic title, like Sáta kâra
of the Andhras, derived from Sundara, the husband of Mûña, the tutelary goddess of
Madura. His name stands third in all the lists published by Wilson, except the third, which
it heads, and is conjoined with that of Mûña in the second. It is repeated several times
in Mr. Nelson’s shorter list, and recurs in inscriptions and on coins, with nothing to mark
the particular ruler referred to. Dr. Caldwell himself doubts “whether Rajendra gained the
sovereignty of the Pânâya country by conquest or by voluntary cession,” 5 and certainly the
traditionary statements import the conditions on which Rajendra reinstated the Sundara,
whom he admitted into the closest family relations, to have been liberal and lasting. This

---

4 Hist. of Tin. p. 27.
5 Ib. p. 28.

ELLIOT
would enable that Sundara to transmit his dignity to his descendants, and when the Chola power began to wane, would encourage them to throw off their dependence on it, as they appear to have done. It accounts, likewise, for the way in which their names are written in some of the stone inscriptions, as Sundara Pândya-Chola. These inscriptions boast undisguisedly of their victories over the Cholas, and the inscription on one coin has reference to the capture of Kâñchi by a Pândyan prince.

There is nothing to show at what period the superiority of the Cholas became seriously impaired. It is known from the recorded interference of the Hoysula Ballâla princes, Ballâla II. and Narasimha II., in disputes between the Cholas and the Pândyans about this time (see p. 81 ante), that the authority of the former was by no means unquestioned, and it was probably further shaken by the Singhalese invasion, and by the appearance in the political horizon of a new competitor for power in Drâvida, who bears the name of Kulasek'hara, but whose proper name has not been ascertained. Nor do we at present know the circumstances under which he attained that power and influence which the events of the period show him to have possessed. Dr. Caldwell has seen many of his inscriptions in Tinnevelly, and the celebrity to which he attained may serve to account for the place his name holds at the head of all the lists except the two shorter ones. Parâkrama Bâhu, 1153-1186 A.D., according to the Mahawanso, sent an army to attack him for the assistance he had given to previous invaders of Ceylon; but another account states that the Singhalese monarch was invited by the then king of Madura to repel an enemy named Kulasek'hara, who was preparing to attack him. Parâkrama Bâhu accordingly sent his general, Lankâpura-danda-nâtha, with an army to his assistance, ordering him to establish the rightful king upon the throne. Kulasek'hara seems to have espoused the cause of one Sundara, who is called the Panda king. This would imply that a dispute was now pending for the throne of Madura, between two competitors, the pretensions of one being backed by the Singhalese, and those of the other by Kulasek'hara. After several battles, Lankâpura claims to have succeeded in placing the other claimant, named Vira Pândya, on the throne. The latter version receives support from the statements of two or three Muhammadan historians; but according to the dates given by them the chronology presents considerable difficulties. The most reliable of these writers is Amîr

1 Inscription at Kâlavîr (Kâlivîr) (Hist. of Tim. p. 22), a practice which Caldwell observes was very common in subsequent reigns. One instance is given in which Rajendra himself is said to have assumed the name of Rajendra-Chola-Pândyan (Hist. of Tim. p. 28) and probably others will be found.
2 Extracts from an inscription on the rock at Thirupparan-kurram, near Madura (Nelson's Mad. pp. 26-7). "Who terrified the flags bearing respectively the emblems of the furious tiger and the strong bow, and compelled them to hide themselves. . . . . . . Who destroyed the power of the tiger-flag in the country surrounded by the Kavery river; and made the fish-flag of the Pândya kingdom, which is bounded by Cape Kumâri, to fly everywhere; and marched and spread abroad his forces, furnished with high couraged horses and elephants; set Tanjore and Udrâ on fire. . . . . . . . Who in his anger drove the Chola from his dominions into a barren place; took away his crown of pure gold . . . anointed himself with all proper ceremonies in the maha nartana, which was the Cholas anointing hall at Ayrârâsâ." The coin referred to in the text is of the true Ceylon type with the fish crossed, and crescent, and the legend Kacchi Tâtanagam Pôrvâsa, meaning the "Conqueror giving king," referring to the taking of Kâñchi and its restoration, but affording no key to the name of the king.
3 Hist. of Tim. p. 30.
5 Ellys Davids, from native statements, purporting to be derived from the Mahawanso.
Khusru, who died in 1325 A.D. In describing Malik Kafur's campaigns in the Dakhan, he says that two claimants for the throne of Madura, the one named Bir Pandyja and the other Sundar Pandyja, who had hitherto been on friendly terms, were now in opposition to each other. On learning this, the Muhammadan general attacked and plundered Mathra (Madura), "the dwelling place of the brother of the Rāi Sundar Pandyja," who forsook it and fled. Another historian, 'Abdu-llah Wassaf, gives a somewhat different account of the same event. He states that Sundar Pandi, the Dewar or ruler of Ma'bar, who had three brothers, each ruling an independent country, died in A.H. 692 (1292-3 A.D.). Afterwards, he says that "enmity prevailed between the two brothers, Sundar Pandi and Tira Pandi, after the murder of their father."  

In a third place he describes Kales Dewar as a prosperous and powerful ruler of Ma'bar for upwards of forty years. He then states that he had two sons, Sundar Pandi and Tira Pandi, the latter of whom, though the younger, and illegitimate, was declared by his father to be his successor. The elder, Sundar, enraged at this succession, killed his father 1310 A.D., and seized the crown. But the son of Kales Dewar's daughter, Manar Barmul, taking the part of Tira Pandi, he was ultimately successful, and Sundar Pandi fled for protection to Delhi, where he not only found an asylum, but 'Ala-ud-din ordered his general Malik Kafur to afford him assistance, which appears to have been the cause of the expedition of that general against Madura above mentioned. All these narratives appear to refer to the same historical event, viz. a disputed succession between the two sons of the king of Madura, Sundar and Vira Pandyja, in which an individual named Kulasek'kara, who perhaps may be the same person as the Kales Dewar of Wassaf, plays a conspicuous part. Memorials of him have been found in the shape of copper sarasams, the seals of which have the fish symbol in the centre, flanked by the tiger and the bow, as represented in the annexed woodcut, showing that he had assumed the paramount position of the Cholas, or in other words, of the whole of Dravida. The copper plates to which the seals above described were attached were translated by Dr. Caldwell, and purport to be issued in the "13th year, 4364th day of the lord of the earth, Sri Kochchadei Varmá, emperor of the three worlds, Sri Kulasek'kara Deva," etc., etc. If this is the year of the Kaliga, it would correspond with 1263 A.D. Should this date be accepted, it brings his era near to that of the Muhammadan writers, and as his reign is said by Wassaf to have been

---

1 Sir H. Elliot's Historians, vol. iii. pp. 88, 91.  
2 Jh. pp. 32, 34.  
3 Jh. pp. 49, 50.  
4 Jh. pp. 62-4. See also p. 81, ante.  
5 Nelson in his Manual of Madura characterizes the period from 1100 A.D. to 1324 A.D. as "one of numberless invasions and constant internecine strife," during which the ancient race of the Pandyjas came to an end, and those who assumed the same in a later period he styles "Pseudo-Pandyjas" (Part v. p. 111).  
6 Amir Khusru wrote the life of 'Ala-ud-din, and is said to have been his contemporary, and to have participated in some of the events he describes; whilst 'Abdu-llah Wassaf, who published four volumes of his history in A.D. 1300, and a fifth in 1328, appears to have been introduced by the Wazir Rashid-ud-din, who was his friend, to the Persian court, and to have presented his history to the Tartar king Uijanta. Rashid-ud-din also composed a history of his times; and, quoting from Al Biruni, gives nearly the same account as that of Wassaf above noticed. Elliot's Hist. vol. i. pp. 69-70. See also Colonel Yule's paper on "Rashid-ud-din's Geographical Notice of India," J.R.A.S. vol. iv. n.s. pp. 345, et seq.  
7 Ind. Ant. vol. vi. p. 142.
a long one, it is so far confirmatory of their narratives; but then comes the difficulty of the earlier Ceylon date, for it is not probable that two such contentions for a precisely similar object between two brothers of the same names should have taken place so soon after each other, although the dates differ so materially as the middle of the twelfth, the middle of the thirteenth, and beginning of the fourteenth centuries. Perhaps an explanation may be found in assuming, as Dr. Caldwell has suggested, that some confusion has taken place in the Singhalese records.  

I have a drawing and facsimile of the seal of another ściwanam, which, to the best of my recollection, was deposited with the preceding at Truppuvanam, and referred to the grant therein mentioned by the chief of Madacolam, a feudatory of Kulasek'har. This seal differs somewhat from the above marginal woodcut in having the tiger and the fish placed upright, opposite each other, in the middle of the field, with the bow transversely below them: round it a legend, which has been read doubtfully as Pándya Narendra Varmânaha samasta lókásrayaha—The Pándya Narendra Varmá, lord of the whole world. Two inscriptions deposited in the University of Leyden were exhibited during the meeting of the International Oriental Congress in September 1883, the seals of which lead, presumably, to the supposition that they were granted by the same ruler, the Kulasek'har above mentioned. One of them is described to me by General Pearse as an exceptionally fine specimen, consisting of twenty-one plates of unusually large size. On the seal, of which he had a photograph, the disposition of the figures resembles that of the Madacolam grant just mentioned, a representation of which will be found at the end of this section, but it is more than double the size, and has the addition of a tall lamp on either side, behind the tiger and the fish. The smaller ściwanam consists of three plates, and has a seal more nearly resembling my drawing. We may expect that the translation of these will throw much light on this vexed question.

Before quitting this subject, I must advert to the Sondar Bandi mentioned by Marco Polo as ruler of the south in 1292 A.D. He sailed with the embassy from the Mongol emperor of China to the Tartar king of Persia in 1292 A.D. The voyage was tedious and difficult, and when they reached the coast of India, the south-west monsoon had set in. This obliged them to put into the port of Kayal, which had succeeded Kórkói as the emporium of the south. The information gathered by him regarding that country does not appear to have been committed to paper at the time, but was dictated by him some years later in Genoa, to a fellow-prisoner whilst he was in confinement after the battle of Curzola in 1298 A.D. The historical part of his testimony is very short, merely stating that the great province of Ma'bar (Drávida) is ruled by five kings who are own brothers, of whom the one at this end is a crowned king named Sondar Bandi Davar. In

---

1 Hist. of Tin. p. 30.  
2 Since the above was written a notice of these seals has appeared in the Ind. Ant. vol. xiii. p. 69.  
3 Yule's Marco Polo. vol. i. pp. lxi. liii. lixiv.  
another place he states the king of Kayal to have been the eldest of the five brothers, and named Ashar, an inexact form of the true name, whatever it may have been. This hardly coincides with his former statement that Sonder Bandi was one of the five brothers who reigned over this end of the province. This is probably an incorrect version of the old tradition that Drávida was first settled by four brothers, named Kola, Chola, Pándya and Chéra. His use of the name Sonder Bandi does not enable us to apply it to any particular individual of that name. If not connected with the dynastic use of the term, it may have had reference to the disputed succession adverted to above, in which a Sundara bore a conspicuous part. It is remarkable, however, that Marco makes no allusion whatever to a contention of such surpassing interest to the whole province. His silence, therefore, may be taken to imply that it took place after his departure, and this adds strength to the doubt cast on the accuracy of the Singhalase Chronicles.

In conclusion, I will now notice some of the more remarkable specimens of the coinage of this dynasty of which I was able to make a considerable collection. Gold coins, as before stated, are very rare. The only addition to those mentioned in the beginning of the section is a gold piece, very recently obtained by General Pearse in London, which he has described to me as remarkable from exhibiting what he calls a crocodile above two fishes, upright, in the centre of the field, below them a third fish placed horizontally. On the reverse three lines of bold archaic Nāgarī.

Copper coins are met with in considerable numbers, but I cannot assign to any of them a date beyond the tenth or eleventh century, because they all exhibit the Ceylon type, and have legends exclusively in Tamil, differing little from the letters in present use. Most of them, however, exhibit the figure of the fish (mīn, Sans.) in various combinations, which, as before stated, is the special cognizance of the Pāṇḍya line. From this the sovereigns took the title of Minnancū = the fish one, and from this also the tutelary deity worshipped in the great temple at Madura is called Minndēthi, whose union with the god Sundara has already been noticed. One of these which had the name of Kulasek'hara, has been lost; but I have an exact drawing of it. This goes to prove that although he heads the native lists, he was subsequent to the eleventh century. Kulasek'hara is an epithet rather than a proper name, and means head ornament or crown of the race. It occurs in three of the inscriptions noted by Mr. Sewell in his Collection of Antiquities, viz. one of Tribhuvana Chakravarti Kulasek'hara Dévar, in a.d. 1402, and two of Kulasek'hara Perumal Dévar, dated a.d. 1636, and 1650, all in Tinnevelly.

I have also a coin of Malaya kētu, the Malaya dhōja, second in the lists, who is called

1 p. 305, note 2, p. 310.
2 A species of carp or barbel found in the larger rivers, the Tamil name of which is kēpal: the Barbus tor of Buchanan, the mahasee or English sportsmen; and also celebrated in the heraldry of northern India.
3 Popularly, but incorrectly, as I am informed by Dr. Caldwel, the name of the goddess is often written Minndētachi or fish-eyed, having reference, perhaps to other names of Dévi, as Kambikachi or tuxton-eyed, Visāndākachi or large-eyed. The true meaning of Minndēti is fish ruler, not ruler, formed from the Tamil root ḍ to rule.
4 p. 306.
the son of Kulasek'ha\textsuperscript{1}. It exhibits the figure of a mountain and a crescent, and below the word \textit{kela}, synonymous with the Sanscrit \textit{dheuja}, a standard. Malaya is the name of a well-known mountain in the Madura district, sometimes called the southern Meru. To these may be added a coin of \textit{Vira P\text{\textdier}rya}, fourth and fifth in the lists. The reverse of this has the two fish with crozier between; a lamp on either side, and the name \textit{Vira P\text{\textdier}rya} above. According to Dr. Caldwell there was more than one of the name.\textsuperscript{2} The coin read by him as Samara Kolāhala, who occupies from the fortieth to the forty-second places in the lists, is remarkable as indicating a change in the religion. I have several varieties of it, suggesting either a long reign of the above prince, or that there was more than one of the name. The most conspicuous exhibits a human figure of Garuḍa on the back of the fish, with the adjuncts of the \textit{saṅkha} and \textit{chakra}, all attributes of Viśṇu; and the meaning of the legend, "din of war," seems to intimate that the change from Saivite tenet\textsuperscript{3} had been brought about by violence. Another has Garuḍa on the obverse without the fish, and on the reverse two fish facing each other, with a crozier between them. No legend. A third has on the reverse two fish parallel to each other, with or without a candelabra on either side, and the legend round the margin. A fourth has only the word \textit{Kolāhala} between the fish on the reverse. The earliest form of religion in the south had probably been that of the Jainas. The Saivite doctrines of the great reformer Sankarachārya met with a favourable reception at Tanjore,\textsuperscript{4} which led to the extermination of the Buddhist sectaries who had obtained a footing from Ceylon.\textsuperscript{5} The Chola conquest and the marriage of Sundara P\text{\textdier}rya with the sister of Rajendra, led to the conversion of that prince, and the extension of the Saiva doctrines into Madura under the guidance of G\textit{ñana} Sambandha, the religious teacher of the queen Sundara persecuted his late Jaina co-religionists with relentless cruelty. 8000 of whom are said to have been impaled by him.\textsuperscript{5} Confirmatory of these executions sculptured representations are still to be seen on the walls of a neighbouring temple at Trivatār in the Arcot district. Still later the doctrines of the Vaishnava sect superseded those of Sankara at Madura, as shown by the coins of Samara Kolāhala above mentioned, but found no favour at Tanjore, and their author, Rāmānuja, fled to Dwārasamudra, where he converted the Jaina king Viṣṇuvardhana.\textsuperscript{6} An anthropoid figure of Garuda appears on another coin holding a bow (?), and above a \textit{saṅkha} shell (?). On the reverse the name \textit{Bhuvaṅika vīraṁ}, a name not found in any of the lists. One coin bears the name of \textit{kīna}, and has the appearance of being older than the rest. On the obverse is the Ceylon standing figure, and on the reverse is a sitting figure, perhaps having reference to Sundara’s early attachment to the Jaina

\textsuperscript{1} Nelson’s Mad. part iii. p. 7.
\textsuperscript{2} Hist. of Tin. p. 27.
\textsuperscript{3} Caldwell’s Comp. Gram. p. 138.
\textsuperscript{4} Few traces exist of the hold the Buddhists had in the south notwithstanding the support it must have received from its proximity to Ceylon. We know from Hiouen Thang that when he was at Kānci, about the year 639 A.D., a number of Buddhist monks came there to avoid the political troubles in their own country (Cun. Ane. Geo. p. 548), and that it flourished for a time is proved by the monument at Negapatam (Ind. Ant. vol. vii. p. 224), and the tradition that it was persecuted to extermination by Maṇiṅka-rāṣṭrīya in the reign of one of the earlier Cholas is generally received.
\textsuperscript{5} History of Tinnevelly, p. 32.
\textsuperscript{6} Ibid. p. 20.
NOTICES OF PÁNDYAN COINS.

faith.\(^1\) Another type has on the obverse two fish with crozier between, and on the reverse the name *Sundara Pándya*.

A somewhat curious specimen has on the obverse a horse galloping to the proper left, with three small symbols above, which I cannot recognize. On the reverse two fishes looking outwards, between them a crozier, and above it a small bull seated between two cowries. I am unable to explain the meaning of these devices, but according to native Pándits they have been referred to the story of Arimardhana Pándya, fifty-fourth or sixty-first in the lists, and his minister Mágikka-váságar, as told in the *Sthala Mahatmya*, which will be found in Taylor’s Hist. Mss.,\(^2\) but with what amount of truth or likelihood I am not prepared to say.

A unique specimen deserves mention from its bearing on General Pearse’s description of his recently acquired gold coin, although the Ceylon type shows it to be much more recent. The reverse has the figure of a scorpion and the word *ketu* (= *dhauja*), under it a crocodile, and below all two fish. This may refer to a name like *Makara ketu*, could such be found. There is a coin with the Ceylon type on both sides, with the addition on the obverse of two fish and a crozier, on the reverse under the arm letters which appear to read *Terumatai*, and may refer to a Náyak of Madura. Another of those with the Ceylon type on both sides has on the reverse under the arm, the crozier and a fish. Another coin has the recumbent bull, and the word *ketu*, and the standing figure on the obverse, but as there is no fish it is doubtful whether a Pándyan origin can be assigned to it.

\(^1\) This close approximation of the Ceylon type to Rajendra Chola does not agree with the traditional statements of the near relationship between him and Kava.

\(^2\) See also his Cat. McK. Mss. in Cat. Rais. vol. ii. pp. 156-158; Madura Man. part iii. pp. 33-36.
### Lists of the Pandyan Kings Referred to at Page Thirty-Four

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>No 1 Vamsavali</th>
<th>No 2</th>
<th>Vol.</th>
<th>No. 3 Mad. Pura</th>
<th>No. 4 Mad. Pura</th>
<th>No. 5 Halasya</th>
<th>Nelson's List</th>
<th>Nelson's Short List</th>
<th>Nāsik Dynasty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kulasekhara</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Kulasekhara</td>
<td>Kulasekhara</td>
<td>Vīvavutha, 1530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Malaya Dhvaja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Malaya Dhvaja</td>
<td>Malaya Dhvaja</td>
<td>Karpura Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sundara</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sundara</td>
<td>Sundara</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>Karpura Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Abhisekha</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Abhisekha</td>
<td>Abhisekha</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Vikrama</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Vikrama</td>
<td>Vikrama</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rāja Sekhara</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Sekhara</td>
<td>Rāja Sekhara</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Kulottunga</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Kulottunga</td>
<td>Kulottunga</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Rāja Gambera</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Gambera</td>
<td>Rāja Gambera</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sunda Sundara</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Sunda Sundara</td>
<td>Sunda Sundara</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>Varaguna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>Vira</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Chitra Guna</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Arinardhana</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Rāja Rāja</td>
<td>Kumāra Sundara P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Lists of the Pandyan Kings Referred to at Page Thirty-Four (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Viravuddanda</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jayungonda</td>
<td>Bhirama Parāraka</td>
<td>Satrunjayā P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Viraparakrama</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pratapa Parāraka</td>
<td>Pratapa Parāraka</td>
<td>Ehimaratā P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Pratapa Martanda</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pratapa Martanda</td>
<td>Pratapa Martanda</td>
<td>Pratapa Martanda P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Vikramakārya</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vikrama Kaudika</td>
<td>Vikrama Kaudika</td>
<td>Vikrama Kaudika P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Samara Sekhara</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uyadhi Kōlhaba</td>
<td>Uyadhi Kōlhaba</td>
<td>Uyadhi Kōlhaba P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Kēlētala</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samara Kōlhaba</td>
<td>Samara Kōlhaba</td>
<td>Samara Kōlhaba P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Antala Vikrama</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Atula Kirtti</td>
<td>Atula Kirtti</td>
<td>Atula Kirtti P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Vamsa Sekhara</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vṛtra Kūtra</td>
<td>Vṛtra Kūtra</td>
<td>Vṛtra Kūtra P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Pratapa Sura</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yatula Sura</td>
<td>Yatula Sura</td>
<td>Yatula Sura P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Vamsatilājyā</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yatula Kirtti</td>
<td>Yatula Kirtti</td>
<td>Yatula Kirtti P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Nīpa Marundar</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pratapa Sura</td>
<td>Pratapa Sura</td>
<td>Pratapa Sura P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chōla Vamsattara</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Kula Churamani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Rājā Churamani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Vamsa Siromani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Pāṇḍya Gambhirā</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Kērulața</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Vamsa Vīlhabhana</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Kulēa</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Chōla Churamani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Chōla Churamani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Kulēa II</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Arimardana</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Śek'hara Nātha</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Vīrā Bahū</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Vērāma Bāgū</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Durandā</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Kārṇya</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Sarvasāsana</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Šāchātum</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Nāgakta</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Chēralaṭeytēta</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Vēṭēya Vīṁsa</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Vuṟuma</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Rājā Churamani</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Kēlēa</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Vēṭēya Vīṁsa</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Ārūnṇya</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Vērūnma</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Ārūnṇya</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Ārūnṇya</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja</td>
<td>Vamsa Dhawja P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. CHOLA DYNASTY.

It remains to notice the succession of the Cholas. That they, as well as the Pāṇḍyans, occupied their present position on the coast of Coromandel (Shoramandalam) before the Christian era, is shown by Asoka’s edict as before stated, as well as by Ptolemy. But they appear to have been the most important state in Drāvīḍa from having given their name to the whole of the eastern coast, and from the practice of the Singhalas’ historian using the term Sollas for the country, and that of Sollas for the inhabitants, in their relations with the mainland, although their intercourse was more frequent with Madura and the Pāṇḍyans. Of their early political status we have no certain information. Their first capital was at Uraiyūr (Warriore = the city of habitation), called also Kōrī, the oldest part of the modern Trichinopoly (Tirisirappāli). This Wilson supposes may be the Orthoura ("Ophoura") of Ptolemy. On the destruction of this place by some catastrophe (natural or political?), the capital was transferred about the seventh century to Malaikurram, the modern Kumbakonam, which still retains traces of its former celebrity. The seat of government was at one time (about the tenth or eleventh century?) at Gangondaram (Gangaiakanpatūr, Gangānādāpāram, GangaiKondu Solapurū), one mile from the southern Great Trunk Road, and about five miles north of the Kolililam (Coloroon), where a magnificent temple and other remains bear testimony to its former importance. This name appears to be connected with that of the Sundara Pāṇḍyan, the brother-in-law of Rajendra, and his vice-regent in the south, whose name, according to Dr. Caldwell, was also GangaiKopā Chola, or GangaiKondan. Finally, the seat of power was fixed at Tanjévūr (Tanjore). Of the causes which led to these removals no information has as yet been obtained, but they were perhaps connected with dynastic changes in the succession.

The lists of princes are more numerous, more uncertain, and more incomplete than those of the Pāṇḍyans. Prof. Dowson has collected ten or twelve of these in his paper on the Chēra dynasty, no two of which correspond, although two or three familiar names recur in all. They are moreover inextricably confused by the practice so frequent in this dynasty...

---

1 Ellis, Mirasi Right, App. p. ii.: Mack. Cat. vol. i. p. lxxiv.
2 Tumour’s Mahawaro, App. pp. lxxiv, lxxv.
4 Mack. Cat. vol. i. lxxi.
of using titles instead of the proper designations of individuals,¹ and sometimes two or three changes of this kind are found arising out of events in the history of the same person. Dr. Burnell, who had special opportunities in Tanjore for becoming acquainted with their history, has given the results of his inquiries in a note at p. 40 of his Palaeography. These are deduced from inscriptions, mostly without dates. More accurate chronological details are derived from the Chola-Chalukya inscriptions in the Telugu country, which are invariably dated. A very full copper exemplar² of one of these, dated s.a. 1001, gives the following particulars:—

Rāja Rāja Narendra, called also Rajendra Chola, P.A.D. 1023 or 30—1064.

Vikrama Déva Kulottunga Chola.

Rāja Rāja.

Vira Déva Chola, or
Kulottunga Chola, or
Saptama Vahibvardenha.³

whose inscriptions, as Viceroy of the Rājamahendri or Vengi province are found from s.a. 1001—
A.D. 1079 to A.D. 1135. From this Burnell’s statement differs considerably, and is as follows:—

Kerkala Chola (? A.D. 960).
Rājaraja Chola, after Narendra (40 or 41 years) (A.D. 1023-1064).
Vira Chola, after Kulottunga Chola I., or
Rājaraja Chola (Rāja rāja),
Koppaputari Varam (49 years) (A.D. 1064-1113).
Vikrama Chola (15 years) (A.D. 1113-1128).

Kulottunga Chola II. (A.D. 1128), ruled over the whole Tamil country for at least 30 years (Cald. p. 185).

Vikrama Déva,⁴ reigning in A.D. 1235.

These discrepancies will doubtless be cleared up hereafter; at present, we may conclude with tolerable certainty that the Chola power was in the ascendant from early in the eleventh to the middle of the thirteenth century, and extended from the Godāvari to Cape Comorin. During the latter half of this period the Pândyans appear to have recovered much of their independence, and the affairs of the two states are greatly intermixed.

After the middle of the thirteenth century the Hoyaśa Ballāla dynasty under Soma or Vira Somesvara and Vira Narasimha exercised a considerable control over the Chola and Pândya states, interfering, according to their own accounts, as the allies of the Cholas.³ The

¹ This note is entered by Wilson, Mad. Cat. ed. i. p. 115; Caldwell, Hist. of Tanjore, p. 32; Burnell, South Ind. Paleography, 2nd ed. pp. 40, 45.
² See ante, p. 88.
³ Saptama, i.e. seventh Vahibvardenha, the name being repeated so many times in the eastern, from Kuba Vahibvardenha the founder, in like manner as that of Vikrama Chola was in the western Chalukya branch. He succeeded his brother as Viceroy of Vengi, who only held the office for one year.
⁴ Conf. Burnell’s Paleography, p. 22. Also Dr. Burgess’s list, see additional voza, p. 135.
⁵ Copper grant of Somesvara (Hoyaśa) Bangalore, A.D. 1250, and subsequent inscriptions. See Mysore Inscriptions, p. 322, and note, p. 134.
confusion of this period is intensified by the Muhammadan raids for the subjugation of Ma'bar, or the south, under the generals of 'Alá-ud-din, and after them of Muhammed bin Tughlak Sháh, which ended only with his death in A.D. 1350.

I must now endeavour to follow the progress of the Chola coinage during this period, as far as materials exist for the purpose. These have not yet been found of an earlier date than the tenth or eleventh century, as I had occasion to remark when adverting to the change of character which I have endeavoured to connect with the existence of relations between the mainland and Ceylon. The oldest specimens I have met with antecedent to that change are pieces of impure silver, showing the power of the Cholas to have been then paramount in Drávida, after their conquest of the Páṇḍyan kingdom, related in the previous section. Gold coins of contemporary, and of still earlier date, must doubtless have existed, but none have yet, as far as I know, been met with. A solitary specimen once fell into my hands, but I hesitated whether to assign it to a Chola or a Páṇḍyan origin. It was about the size of a sixpence, and might weigh between 50 and 60 grains. The obverse and reverse, which were exactly the same, exhibited a fish on one side to the proper right, and opposite it on the other a sitting tiger, with an implement like a sickle or elephant goad (?), over the head of each; behind the tiger, four characters like Grantham (?) which I could not read. This unique specimen has unfortunately been lost, but I have a faithful drawing of it. The oldest of the silver coins weighs 62 grains, and, like the above, the obverse and reverse are the same, displaying a tiger seated under an imperial umbrella, on each side of it a chowrie, in front of the tiger two fish, and behind it a bow, the emblems respectively of the Páṇḍyans and the Chéras, implying that the paramount authority was now vested in the Cholas. Below these is a Nágari legend, which has been read as Băchó Koń Chola, a name I cannot identify with any of those in the lists, unless it be meant for Rajendra. But this can hardly be the case, for in two others communicated to me by General Pearse, and similar in all respects to it, the name on one is distinctly Sṛi Rajendrā, that on the other is not so legible. Four other specimens of silver and one of copper have the same figures as the above on the obverse only, with the words Uṭṭam Chola in two lines on the

[1] The majority of the Nágari letters in the adapted Chola Alphabet seem to follow the main outlines of what Panini called the "Kutila" alphabet of the Bărali Inscription, though this is by no means the uniform result; but it is clearly seen in the consonants ठ, ड, and in the त, —acclimatized in Ceylon—
as well as in the text forms of थ, and ध. The र, on the other hand, in the Băngāl script of 1665 A.D., and creates a difficulty in its similarity to the र, and the possibility of the opening र of being taken for a ड. A very curious point may be noticed in the discrimination of the short and long a's, the latter of which, at certain times, was superseded elsewhere by the Sanskrit sound of o. So that all told the limited number of letters we have to account for on our coins, as applied to an unaccustomed language, do not yield such conclusive results as might be desirable.

[2] Mr. Thomas adds: There is a contrast in the tenor of Rajendra Chola's coin-superscriptions, which seems to me to remove them from the direct association above suggested by Sir Walter Elliot. The Nágari legend runs clearly—

राया बोध चोल:

Rāchá Koń Chola.—E.T.]
CHARACTERISTICS OF CHOLA COINS.

These words appear to refer to an epithet rather than a name, being generally found in combination qualifying another word, e.g. Kulottunga, Kulottama, Purushottama, etc., implying chief, or head, or ornament of a race or family. It may, however, have pertained to an individual of the Chola family, as the following instance shows. In a Tamil MS. in the Mackenzie Catalogue, entitled the Nava Chola Charitra, the author, Panditárádhyya, gives accounts of nine Chola princes named—

Kerikala. Varadherma.
Vikrama. Satyendra.
Uttunga. Manujendra.
Adivara. Vira and Uttama.

and of their attachment to the Víra Saiva or Jangama religion. It is a sectarian work of no authority, as none of these princes, although staunch professors of the Saiva creed, ever conformed to the Jangama or Víra Saiva doctrines; but the list of names appears to include those of veritable Chola princes, and the last seems to agree with that on the coin. All the foregoing, however, must be anterior to the introduction of the Ceylon type, and consequently be older than the tenth or eleventh century. After this date the character of the currency is completely changed, and these archaic forms do not again appear, but give place to the universal adoption of the new type, and so plentiful is it that large numbers are still to be found throughout the south. Copper pieces, the more perfect specimens weighing from 50 to 60 grains, bearing the name of Rája Rája, are met with every day. They are brought in numbers to be melted up by the coppersmiths, and one find within my own knowledge in Tanjore yielded upwards of 4000. One gold piece, weighing 65 grains, found its way from the Southern Maháraṭha country into Mr. Gibbs' cabinet during the late famine; and I myself obtained half a dozen or more of silver weighing 66 or 67 grains. All these had the name of Śri Rája Rája under the left arm of the sitting figure on the reverse. Smaller copper pieces, half or quarter, of the ordinary type are not uncommon, and I have varieties of the small size displaying a well-formed galloping horseman on the obverse, and on the reverse the usual sitting figure and the name Rája Rája. Another variety has the figure of Kṛishṇa as Muralidhara = the flute-player, with a similar reverse, which shows a leaning to Vaishnava opinions. Gold fanams with the Ceylon type on the obverse, and an indistinct Nágari reverse, are occasionally met with. I received from Tanjore two gold pieces, weighing about 8 grains, of later date, because they have the standing figure of the Ceylon type on the obverse. The reverse has three old Nágari letters, which may be read śraka? or dāreka? throwing no light on their origin. Mr. Rhys Davids has figured this form as No. 13 of his Ceylon coins. I was inclined, when I first obtained them, to assign them to the Cholas; as they are not infrequent in the island, their origin is but doubtful.

1 Vol. i, pp. xe and 365; Taylor's Or. MSS. vol. iii. p. 546.
The Cholas likewise struck coins during their occupation of Rājamahendri or Vengi in the Chalukya territory. Gold fanams with the fish, sometimes one, sometimes two, others with the tiger, and others again with the boar, are found near Pittapur, Wuddāda, and other old places, after heavy rains. They weigh from 6 to 7 grains each. I have likewise two examples of a larger size, one gold and one silver, weighing each alike, viz. 7.75 grains, and the device on both precisely the same. The obverse has the central tiger, surmounted by the imperial umbrella, between the bow and fish, and the reverse a Nāgāri legend, very clear on the silver specimen, which I read as Udaya Mallo.¹ I have also two copper pieces with a standing figure on the obverse, and a boar on the reverse, weighing fifty-two grains. All the above clearly refer to the Chola-Chalukya period, to which I may add the description of a coin in General Cunningham's cabinet, of which he sent me a drawing several years ago, representing on the obverse a boar under an umbrella, with the sun, moon, and four stars, and on the reverse the Ceylon sitting figure, with, as far as I can make them out, the letters Rājā Rājā under the arm.

A large number of copper coins are found, weighing from fifty to fifty-two grains, which have the Ceylon standing figure on the obverse and a bull on the reverse, with various symbols. I am inclined, though with hesitation, to attribute them to the later Chola period. Some of these have the Nāgāri letter Ṣ V in front of the animal, indicating, perhaps, the initial letter of the royal name (Vikrama?). Others have, instead of a letter, a lozenge or diamond-shaped figure, a sword, pānkhīa shell (?), or crescent (?), etc.; but without more accurate information it is needless to speculate on the import of these signs. A similar form was afterwards assumed by the Zamindārs of Rāmnād and Sivaganga, whose coins exhibit the standing figure on the obverse and an elegantly-depicted bull couchant, with the Tamil legend Setu on the reverse, indicating their title of Setupati.² Examples of it are not uncommon. I have several copper coins received from the southern districts, with the bull on the obverse, and a tripartite object on the reverse like a fleur-de-lis or the trisula of Śiva, nearly resembling which is a coin figured by Sir Arthur Phayre,³ with the note that it was struck in Arakan about the eighth century A.D. Sir Arthur gives fuller details of this type in his contribution to the I.N.O., eight varieties in silver of which are figured in Plate II, and shows that they pertain to a dynasty of Chandra princes ruling in Arakan. The intercourse which prevailed between the Coromandel coast and the opposite side of the Bay of Bengal

¹ Mr. Thomas, in describing another specimen, adds—[The edges of this piece (one of General Pursue's) are so reduced, and the forms of the letters so eccentric, that I do not think it would be advantageous to speculate on the context beyond the third letter of line one, which may be read as dās or dāsā—E.T.U.] General Pursue's cabinet contains specimens of the gold piece which his Padig read Pādikha Mallo, a version to which he adheres, but I have found no king of that name in the list as far as yet made out, the only one being an early Chalukya prince, son of Tailep, a usurper, who flourished before the Chola conquest of Vengi took place. See p. 57, note.

² Prinsep long ago figured this coin in his plate (xxxiv. fig. 13), and describes it at p. 423 (see Essays, vol. i.). The Setupati of Rāmnād were the chiefs of the Māruwar tribe, the most important of the southern predatory classes, and the principal feudatories of the Pāpāyana. The office of Setupati or guardian of the approaches to Ramasvaran, though claiming a high antiquity, appears to have been conferred or restored on the Zamindārs by Muda Krishna Nāyak, the father of Tirumala Nāyak of Madura, about A.D. 1605. Nelson's Madura, part ii., pp. 39-41; part iii. pp. 109, 110, 113.

³ Hist. of Burma, p. 47.
must have been at all times considerable. I have already adverted to the intimate relations between Drávida and Ceylon, where not only numbers settled as colonists, but many were subsidised both as mercenaries and seamen. That similar relations existed between Kalinga and the northern Circars with Arakan and Burma appears from Sir Arthur's statement of coins and medals with Hindu symbols being found in Pegu, and by the fact that the inhabitants of the opposite coast are distinguished by the name of Kíngs to this day. It is therefore by no means improbable that the Chandra dynasty, which flourished in Arakan from the eighth to the tenth century, may have owed its rise to Chola influence, then in its zenith. The appearance about the same period of an almost similar currency, distinguished by Saivite emblems, to which the Cholas were so persistently attached, lends great probability to such a surmise. The founders of the Chandra race are said to have been foreigners from some unknown locality, and the native annals point to disturbances in the country caused by Brāhma and Buddhist votaries struggling for the mastery during this very period.

Note.—Since the foregoing was written, Dr. Burgess has published in the last number of the *Indian Antiquary* (vol. xiii. pp. 58-9), a list of Chola kings sent to him by the late Dr. Burnell, who, however, said he had no faith in it, "though some of the names were no doubt real ones."

1. Kulóttunga.
2. Déva-Chóla.
3. Saśiékhara.
4. Sivalinga Chóla.
7. Rájarásananda.
8. Viramáratanánda.
12. Sundara-Chóla.
15. Bhādra-Chóla.

On this Dr. Burnell well remarks that it seems impossible to make this list correspond with the inscriptions, and the editor adds the following list (supplied perhaps by Mr. Fleet?), from inscriptions collected by me; my copies of which, as already stated, being all in India, I am unable to verify them, but as far as my recollection serves me, they do not accord with the results I then obtained:—

Rájarája Chóla I. (circa s. 910), A.D. 988.
Rájéndra Chóla I. (circa s. 930), A.D. 1008.
Rájarája II. or Naréndra Chóla (s. 944-985), A.D. 1022-1063.
Rájarásananda II., s. 955-1034, A.D. 1063-1112, whose abhíståda was performed in s. 1000, A.D. 1078.
Vikramadéva Kulóttunga Chóla, s. 1034-1049, A.D. 1112-1127.
Rájarája Chóla III. s. 1049, A.D. 1127.
Viradéva Kulóttunga Chóla, s. 1054 to perhaps 1078, A.D. 1132-1155.

1 Tennant’s Ceylon, vol. i. p. 355.
2 History of Burma, p. 31.
3 Ib. p. 46.
4 Ib. p. 31.
PART III. SECTION iii.

TRAVANCORE, COCHIN, AND KERALA.

The only remaining Dravilian state (if indeed it can be rightly included in Drávida proper), which has not been mentioned, is that of Travancore, or Vénádu as it was first called, and of it I have so slight a knowledge that I am able to say but little of its past and present currency. Shut off by its natural position from familiar intercourse with its neighbours, little is known of its history from the time that it became independent on the partition of Kérála, to the time that it was invaded by the Muhammadans. The first Muhammadan inroads took place in 1680 A.D. during the regency of Umayamma Ráni (1677-1684), but the invaders were expelled before her son attained his majority in the latter year. During the three following reigns disputes arose with the Dutch East India Company for frontier territory unwillingly ceded by the Rája, which were finally adjusted by the Treaty of Mávalikara in 1753.1

Meantime Haidar 'Alí had been casting wistful eyes on the Malabar coast, but his attempts to add Kérála to his kingdom were frustrated by the English and Dutch East India Companies, the former by the Treaty signed at Madras in 1769, the latter by the refusal to allow the passage of Maisur troops through their territory.2 In 1782 the then Rája gave effectual aid to the Rája of Cochin in repelling an invasion of the latter by the Zamorin, receiving in return certain frontier villages for the aid so rendered. After Haidar’s death in 1782, his son Tipú made renewed efforts to seize on Travancore. Though successfully resisted at first, he would in all likelihood have effected his object had not the war with the English (1789-90) humbled the ambition of the Sulṭán, and the Treaty of Seringapatam (1792) assured the safety of the Rája’s dominions.3 Since that time the Travancore state has continued in the full enjoyment of its independence.

Believing that the history and progress of a long-established Hindu mint would yield valuable data, I submitted a request to the government of Travancore for information on the subject. From the Dewán (Nánú Pillai), and more recently from His Highness the present enlightened Rája, who succeeded in 1880, I received courteous replies; but the hopes I had entertained were disappointed. The Mint, I was informed, had been destroyed by fire, and no early records of the coinage were in existence. I received from the Dewán, however, a list accompanied by fourteen specimens of the different coins of the state, to which the Rája himself

1 Shanémi Memon’s History of Travancore, p. 150.  
2 Wilks’s Mysore, vol. iii. pp. 56-66, and pp. 242-244.  
EARLY COINAGE OF TRAVANCORE.

very kindly added four old pieces, three of lead and one of iron. Failing to obtain from
other sources information regarding the origin and changes of the currency, I must now
therefore endeavour to describe them as far as the limited means at my disposal will allow. ¹

According to the general belief of the people, the oldest coin known is the rāsi (No. 1
in the Dewān’s list). They even go so far as to declare that it was struck by Parasu Rāma
when he made over the rule of Kēraḷa to Bhānu Vikrama,² the first king! Judging from its
appearance alone, it must have been subsequent to the four pieces presented to me by the
Rāja, which probably go back to the earlier years of the monarchy, and would therefore
date from a period anterior to the seventh or eighth century. The reverse of all four is
smooth, and the obverse, which is much worn, exhibits an imperfect outline of what may
have been a sanhaka shell, the ancient cognizance of the Travancore state, which it still retains.
They, as well as the rāsi, have long given place to a more modern currency, the oldest
form of which is the kāli, properly called the kāli-yugen rājaṇa fanam, or money of the
kāli-yuga, at one time current over the whole of Kēraḷa. Of this there are two varieties
bearing a slight resemblance to the rāsi. One of these is said to have been issued by the
Kolatnād, or Cherakal Rāja,³ which was afterwards imitated by the Zamorin, and called the
vira rājaṇa putiya fanam, or Zamorin’s new coin, to distinguish it from that of Kolatnād, which
then became the palayā or old fanam. Both these were accepted in the northern countries of
Kēraḷa as the general medium of exchange, but were not a legal tender in Travancore.⁴

The present circulation consists of the silver chakram, in whole and half pieces, and the copper cash in four forms—single, double, quadruple, and the double of the last, or eight
Cash, equal to the half silver chakram. Report says that chakrams of gold⁵ had once been coined;
but this, though probable, lacks confirmation. Besides these, the silver fanam is stated to
have been issued about 1868-9, equal to four chakrams.⁶ This coin is generally known as the new
velli (or silver) fanam to distinguish it from the old velli fanam, which, as appears from the
records in the Calicut Kacherī (Cutcherry), was originally coined tentatively in Bombay in
1730 a.d. It was first issued of the value of ½ of a rupee, as an experiment, when, finding that
it was readily accepted by the people of Tellichery and other towns, a new supply was ordered.
These old velli fanams had generally the numeral 5 in English or Malayālim, on one side

¹ These consist of the native “Hist. of Travancore,” by
Sangumi Menen (Madras, 1878), who held the office of Dewān
Peekatū of Travancore: “The Land of the Ferns as of Cōchin,”
by Francis Day, F.L.S., Mad. Med. Ed. (Madras, 1858); “The
Land of Charity,” by Rev. S. Mater (London, 1871); and
“Letters from Malabar,” by Jacob Cantor von Sisera (Madras,
1862).
² History of Travancore, p. 24. See also the notice by
Mr. Walhous, headed Kātiśa of Perumadu, in his Archæologi-
Notes, communicated to the Ind. Ant., in which he states
that they are still found in large numbers in Coimbatore,
both buried in the ground, and picked up after heavy rains in
the approach to fields of the principal rivers. Tradition says
that Perumadu saw them broadcast over Kēraḷa, depositing
what remained in the kēstrum on the eastern slope of the hills,
some of which are called by his name to this day and held
sacred by the hill Kāyiyan, or Mālaiyarshā—mountain kings.
He also mentions a stone circle, much dilapidated, which was
known as the rāsi hill of Perumadu. Mr. Walhous states that
the natives call them Śrāvaṇa kāṣṭ, which is an error, that
term being confined to the Vērāṇiṇi vēraṇiṇi, sometimes called
vēna kāṣṭ in Cochin (Ind. Ant. vol. iii. p. 191).
³ The Oliaste of the Portuguese. It was also called Kolāṭtī,
p. 63, note.
⁴ Hist. of Trav., pp. 82-4. In 1793 the Zamorin is said to
have made over his mist to the officers of the English East
India Company, on condition of receiving half the net profit.
⁵ Hist. of Travancore, p. 33.
⁶ Land of Charity, p. 110.
whereas the modern fanam has the word *puttu* in Tamil on it. It is not known where the small silver pieces called *tāri* (p. 58 ante) were coined, but it must have been in North Malabar, probably Calicut. The word *tārim* is found in Gundt. Dict. p. 445, meaning a small copper coin, which Buchanan states to be equal to half a *pana.*

Dr. Day has some pertinent observations on the early coinage of Malabar, in which he refers to the pieces of twisted silver wire, known as hook or fish money, termed *vīdi,* as having been introduced by the Portuguese. Although used likewise by the Dutch, it does not appear to have ever obtained a general currency. Sir Bartle Frere told me he had some specimens, found in the Konkan, which were stamped with the name of the *'Adil-Shāhi* princes of Bijapur, thus strengthening the suggestion made at p. 56 ante of the temporary adoption of the local currency by that dynasty. But it was not of indigenous origin, and probably owed its introduction to the mercantile ventures of the European and Arab traders. In some remarks of the late Dr. John Wilson on a find at Sangameswar in the Ratnagiri district, they are said to bear the Arabic name of *sā‘ir,* meaning custom or excise duty, which goes far to establish that origin. They were also known as *tāri,* from *Lār* south of the Persian Gulf, where they are said to have been invented. Travellers of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries call them *lāri.* They were long the currency of the Maldives and, though the coins there are now of the ordinary form, the name *tāri* is still retained.

The following is a description of the 14 specimens received from the Dewān.

No. 1 *rādi* (Gundt. Dict. p. 885) is a gold coin weighing grains 5½, with an obliterated form on the obverse, which may be a degraded representation of the *sunkha.* The reverse is exactly the same as that of the fanam, so common in the Carnatic under the names of *vīra-vāya,* *vāti va, vā tāri,* or plough fanam. Though seldom seen in circulation, it is still the denomination used in Northern Malabar for recording the value of lands and the ancient revenue assessed on them; but for all ordinary transactions, it has long been superseded by the ādēl fanam, five of which equal 1 *rādi.*

Nos. 2 and 3. The *anandordmen* and its half the *chīma* fanam are gold coins of comparatively recent introduction, weighing respectively grains 5½ and 3½. The obverse has what may be a degraded figure of the *sunkha* shell, but it is difficult to assign any definite form to the dots and lines on the reverse. The whole coin indeed baffles my powers of description. They appear to have been coined under the direction of the Dewān in the reign of King Rāma Rāja (1738-1798), when the finances of the country, which had been somewhat embarrased, were re-established by the levy of an additional land-tax and the reform of the coinage. It was only retained for a limited period, and has since been discontinued by Dewān Venkata Rāo.

1 Private letter from Dr. Gundert.
2 Buch. Journ. vol. ii. p. 549. It may be worth noting that *tāri* is the name of a coin used, at least in calculations, in the Two Sicilies till 1860. Its value was about half a franc; and the name is generally regarded as a Saracenic survival of *dirhem.*

Was the Malabar *tāri* and *tārim* the same in origin?

3 Land of the Pernaps. p. 574.
4 They were lost with part of his baggage in the Hâgli.
6 Hist. of Trar. p. 250-1.
DESCRIPTION OF COINS.

No. 4 kāli fanam (Gundt. Dict. p. 219). It is of gold, weighing grains 5. It resembles Nos. 2 and 3, but is equally unintelligible. A crescent-like form on all the anandaramen fanams I have seen is absent from this single specimen of the kāli now before me.

Nos. 5 and 6 need no description. They are gold and quite modern, having been struck by the last two Rājas in imitation of the British coinage with the letters R.V., and the date (on this one) 1877 in English. They weigh grains 78, and 39.

No. 7 veli fanam, silver, weighing grains 22 (Dict. p. 602). It resembles in some respects the kāli fanam, but has a floral wreath round the edge on the obverse, and on the reverse, whatever it may be, a double branch facing right and left, the whole within what appears to be a Tamil legend, probably referring to the value of the coin. It has superseded the former gold (pon) fanam, of which there were two kinds — the pālaya or old fanam, of which 4, 3, and the puthiya (or new), of which 3 went to the rupee.

No. 8 double chakram (Dict. p. 349), a silver coin weighing grains 11. On the obverse a sānkhā shell, and on the reverse two equilateral triangles interlaced, forming a six-pointed diagram (commonly known as Solomon’s seal), surrounded by a Malayālim legend. In another specimen the shell has some resemblance to the murex on No. 14.

No. 9 chakram, a small silver coin, which has been compared in size to the split half of a pea. It weighs grains 5. The device on the obverse resembles that on the kāli fanam; the reverse a few dots and lines, fancifully compared by the natives to the legs and toes of the national deity Padmanābha.

No. 10 chinna chakram. Small silver coin weighing grains 2. It resembles the double chakram in all respects.

No. 11 kāsu, vulgarly cash. The smallest copper coin in use, weighing grains 9. On the obverse the standing figure of a god, said to be Krīṣṇa, and on the reverse the hexagonal diagram.

No. 12 double kāsu, same as above in all respects, with the Malayālim numeral 2 below the standing figure. It weighs 19 grains.

No. 13, 4-cash tuttu, resembles the last two, with the Malayālim numeral 4 under the standing figure, and the addition of a floral sprig under each arm. It weighs grains 39.

No. 14, 8-cash tuttu (Dict. p. 466). On the obverse a different form of the sānkhā shell with spines like the murex? and the Malayālim legend ara (half) chakram round it. On the reverse the same diagram within a circle. It weighs grains 80.

1 I learn that the present Rāja has struck pieces like the English sovereign, with his own head on the obverse, but they do not seem to have been put into circulation.

2 Land of Charity, p. 110.

3 The unknown silver coin which I received with the tōra (supra, p. 55) I now find to be a half chakram.

4 Land of Charity, p. 109.
**LIST OF THE TRAVANCORE SOVEREIGNS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name and Title</th>
<th>Reigns A.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Śri Víra Ráma Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1335–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1375–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Kérálal Kulasek'har Perumál</td>
<td>1382–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Vénád Muštu Rája</td>
<td>1444–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Śri Víra Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1458–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Aditya Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1471–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1478–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Śri Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1503–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Śri Víra Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1504–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1528–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Udaya Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1537–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Kérálal Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1560–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Aditya Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1563–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Udaya Martanda Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1567–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Śri Víra Eravi Varmá Kulasek'har Perumál Rája</td>
<td>1594–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Śri Víra Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1604–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1606–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Uni Kérálal Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1619–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1625–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Uni Kérálal Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1631–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Aditya Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1661–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Umayammá Rání (Regent)</td>
<td>1677–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Eravi Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1684–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Uni Kérálal Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1718–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Ráma Varmá Rája</td>
<td>1724–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Vanji Martanda Varmá Kulasek'har Perumál Rája</td>
<td>1729–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Vanji Bala Ráma Varmá Kulasek'har Perumál Rája</td>
<td>1758–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Bala Ráma Varmá Kulasek'har Perumál Rája</td>
<td>1798–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Rání Gauri Lakshmi Bái</td>
<td>1811–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Rání Gauri Parvati Bái</td>
<td>1815–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Vanji Bala Ráma Varmá Kulasek'har Perumál Rája</td>
<td>1829–30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Vanji Martanda Varmá</td>
<td>1847–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Ráma Varmá</td>
<td>1860–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Ráma Varmá, the present Rája</td>
<td>1880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Trevandram Calendar for 1888.
COCHIN.

Nearly connected with Travancore is the principality of Cochin. Like the former State it dates its independence from the time that Keraja threw off the yoke of the Cheras, but was inferior to it in size and importance, having only a population of 400,000, while that of Travancore exceeds 1,500,000. Although it has been stated by the Portugese on their first arrival in 1498 A.D., that none of the native princes, except the Zamorin, were allowed to coin money, this is open to question; for, in the Viaggio di Vincenzo Maria, it is said that when he visited the coast in 1657 A.D., the privilege of coinage was confined to four states, viz. Cannanore, Calicut, Cochin, and Travancore. This appears to be more correct, as these places exactly correspond with our information of the four independent states or suarupas into which Keraja was divided.

The present Dewan, in reply to my request for information on the subject, states, that owing to the small extent of their territory, they never had a regular mint, resorting to temporary establishments when coin was required. During the Dutch occupancy they had recourse to the Factory mint on several occasions, as 1782–3, 1790–1 A.D., when a large quantity of the coins called puttans were struck. After these dates no mint operations appear to have taken place until 1800–1, when, for the first time, 9,62,673 double puttans were coined by means of the servants of the State. Between the years 1032 and 1034 M.E. = A.D. 1856 and 1858, 2,08,813 double puttans, and 2,05,832 single puttans were coined. From this it appears that the authorized currency of Cochin consists entirely of silver puttans, which, as the name (=new) denotes, are of no great antiquity. The single puttan weighs from 5? to 8 grains, the double 16 grains. Specimens of all these have been kindly furnished to me by the Dewan, of which the following is a description. First, the kudiyamani or sankhalata (=vihan sankha) puttan, silver, the oldest form, now rare, so called because the distinctive device of the state, the sankha shell, is wanting on them. The date of coinage is unknown. Weight 4 3/4 grains. The obverse has been imperfectly struck, a few dots and lines; reverse, part of the device as found on the gold rasi, rati ral or plough fanams. Second, the puttan coined at the Dutch mint, also silver, show that different dies have been used, but all have the sankha shell on the obverse, and on the reverse the same device above mentioned, which here may be compared to a horizontal letter J, with two or three rows of dots above it. The heaviest of two weighs grains 5 3/8. Third, the double silver puttans coined by the late Raja

1 Land of the Pernulis, p. 574. 2 Folio, Rome, 1670. 3 Vidi supra, pp. 52, 53.
about 1820-1, weigh 16 grains. The device on both sides is the same as that on the single puttan. The latest issue of single and double puttan took place in 1856 and 1858. The heaviest of each kind found weigh respectively 8+ 1/4 and 10+ 1/4. They have on the obverse the sankha shell, and the curious device on the reverse is replaced by the sitting figure of the god Śiva according to Dr. Day,¹ as worshipped in the Rāja's temple at Tripunathorai, with snakes on either side, a chaplet of skulls, etc., etc., as usually depicted. Others, however, state it to represent Viṣṇu, and the reverse the sankha shell. This would be more appropriate to the Vaishnava symbol of the reverse, but the forms issuing from each elbow of the god appear very distinctly to be serpents, a symbol pertaining characteristically to Śiva and not to Viṣṇu. There appears to be some confusion between the two.²

The copper money now current in Cochin consists entirely of Dutch pieces, most of which exhibit dates from 1731 to 1792 A.D.³

Canter Visscher (p. 82) describes a base coin struck at Cochin which he calls Boeserokken, an alloy of lead and tin, with the arms of the Dutch East India Company on one side, and something like a harp on the other.⁴ They are cast in a mould, and sixty of them are equal to a Cochin fanam. Some further remarks on the fanams will be found in the concluding section.

### LIST OF THE COCHIN RULERS AS FAR AS KNOWN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ruler</th>
<th>End of reign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vira Kōraḷa I.</td>
<td>1549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā I</td>
<td>1746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vira Kōraḷa II.</td>
<td>1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vira Arya</td>
<td>1697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā II.</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā III.</td>
<td>1637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā III.</td>
<td>1645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā IV.</td>
<td>1646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā V.</td>
<td>1722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā VI.</td>
<td>1742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā VII.</td>
<td>1785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viṇām Tambūrān</td>
<td>1738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā III.</td>
<td>1805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vira Kōraḷa III.</td>
<td>1809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā IV.</td>
<td>1828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā V.</td>
<td>1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā VI.</td>
<td>1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rāma Varmā VII.</td>
<td>1853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravi Varmā II.</td>
<td>1864</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Land of the Peranans, p. 577.
² Dr. Gendert observes in a private letter, "I think in the whole of Malabar attributes of Śiva and Viṣṇu are not very carefully kept distinct." I have already adverted to a similar discrepancy with regard to some of the Durgā pāydees found in the valley of the Krishna.
³ See the account of this and the earlier coinage in his Letters, p. 82.
⁴ The name (as seen, etc.) is found from the beginning of Portuguese coinage in India; and it was also a denomination of the earliest English coinage at Bombay.
⁵ Land of the Peranans, p. 575.
SECTION IV.

CONCLUDING REMARKS.

The great number and diversity of coins now found in all parts of the country have been accounted for by the right of striking money assumed by so many provincial, and even village authorities in later times. I have several small groups in my collection, to which I am unable to assign either authors or localities. An exception, however, must be made with reference to the remark at the end of sub-section 9, Part II. (page 99), regarding the assumption of the royal style and titles on the decay of the Vijayanagar empire along its eastern and southern frontier. Three principal types are conspicuous. One of these has the standing figure of Vishnu, with or without his two wives, on the obverse, and a granulated reverse, and prevails chiefly along the east coast southwards. Another, having the seated figure of Durga, with, as before stated, the Vijayanagar reverse of Śri Pratāpa Kṛishṇa, much affected by the Zamindārs of the Ceded Districts (Kadapa and Bellary), and those of the neighbouring Carnatic provinces along the valleys of the Tungabhadra and Kṛishṇa. Thirdly, the effigies of Śiva and Parvati seated, in Ikkari, Bednur, and the north-west parts of Maisur, which has been already noticed in treating of that province. But they were not confined to one locality. Sir Thomas Munro states that in the Ceded Districts alone “the currency consisted of thirty-two different kinds of pagodas, and fifteen of rupees, issued by Nawābs, Rājas, and Polygars,” giving rise to endless fluctuations of value in exchange, so that the sarafs or money-changers reaped a rich harvest, realizing sometimes as much as 12 per cent. on a single transaction.

1 It would answer no useful purpose to enter into a minute description of these, as they have no historical value, and are gradually disappearing. A few only survive as objects of curiosity.

1. The type of the first class appears to have been derived from the favour in which the Vaishnava tenets were held by the later Vijayanagar princes of Chandragiri, the chiefs of Venkatagiri, and at the sacred shrine of Tripati. It thus came to be adopted by the European factories and by the Nawābs of the Carnatic. Conspicuous examples of these are found in the Star pagoda of the English East India Company at Madras, and in the Porto Novo pagoda, believed to have been first coined by the Portuguese at Porto Novo or Feringhipet, and at

1 Letter from the Collector to the Board of Revenue, 25th January, 1865.
Arocot under the Niyarat Nawâb of the Carnatic. The hâmni of Sa‘adût Ulla Khán, of this type, who succeeded Nawâb Dâwad Khán, and died in 1731, are recognized by the Persian letters kâl (kât) on the granulated reverse, which is replaced on those of his relative Sañdar 'Ali Khán by the letter 3 (ān). On his murder in 1741, the office of Nawâb was conferred by the Nizâm on another family, that of Anwar-ud-din Khán, but his son Muhammad 'Ali, received his investiture direct from Delhi, with the, high-sounding title of Wâlañah Nawâb-ul-Hind in 1766. In 1858 I received some information regarding his coinage with extracts of the Dewân of the late Nawâb. By these it appears Wâlañah struck coins at other places besides Arocot, viz. Porto-Novo, Trichinopoly and Tiruvanum, and among the coins named are the Wâlañah, Kuraki, 'Umdat-ul-Mulki, Star and Feringhipet. Some of these I have not seen. The Kuraki is not uncommon. It has the three standing figures strongly marked, and a plain granulated reverse. It and the Star, so called from the star impressed on the granulated reverse, were probably coined at Tiruvanum, beyond the precincts of the English Factory, at which place the mint was said to be still standing in 1858. According to the Imperial Gazetteer the Madras mint was built within the walls of Fort St. George in 1723. But the Factory must have exercised the right of coining at a much earlier period, for the Madras Public Records state that consignments of bullion despatched to Fort St. George on the appointment of Sir George Winter as governor in 1661, were coined

In all these the figures are erect, but there is a smaller coin in which the figures are represented as seated, with an obscure Nagari reverse, probably belonging to the last princes of Vijañagar, as may also the pretty little coin known as the Lakshmi nadi, which the goddess is also seated. A friend at Madras was so fortunate as to purchase a sapphire ring on which the three seated queens had been beautifully and deeply cut as a seal, perhaps the signet of a Vijañagar prince or noble. It is now in the possession of Mr. Franks of the British Museum.

The Dewân states that from the mint records of Hijri 1207 = A.D. 1792, Wâlañah is shown to have coined.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arocot rupees</td>
<td>35,772</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pondicherry rupees</td>
<td>26,772</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,22,544</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accounts of Hijri 1198 = A.D. 1783 show that the annual coinage at the Porto Novo mint was 360,000 pagodas, on which the profit to the state (Sirján), including mint charges, fees (rusum), at the rate of 14½ Feringhipet pagodas per thousand, was 3,100 pagodas.

The mint records of Hijri 1198 = A.D. 1772 show that the gold coinage was—

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wâlañah pagodas</td>
<td>1,379 ½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuraki do.</td>
<td>22,654 ½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Umdat-ul-Mulki do.</td>
<td>995 ½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star do.</td>
<td>3,81,602 ½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feringhipet do.</td>
<td>15,936 ½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,21,714</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The silver coinage for the same year was—

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arocot rupees</td>
<td>7,290 12 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mint records of Hijri 1216 = A.D. 1801 show that under "Umdat-ul-Umara" there was coined in gold—

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuraki pagodas</td>
<td>17,200 2½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Star do.</td>
<td>1,21,257 4½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feringhipet do.</td>
<td>16,570</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,55,458 2½</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mint records of Hijri 1186 = A.D. 1772 show that the gold coinage was—

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wâlañah rupees</td>
<td>601 0½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiruvanum do.</td>
<td>745 2½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,648 2½</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Dewân adds that the rupees struck at Tiruvanum did not bear the name of that mint, but that of Arocot, and that the heathen mint officers, to distinguish them, added to the die a mark like that on their foreheads, probably the triple name of the Sri Vishnava sect. On the establishment of the English mint at Madras in the time of Azim-ud-Daula, the same mark retained its place in the die first used; but when this was superseded by the new die, a lower mark was substituted. A person I sent to examine the dies in the Nawâb's palace at Cheppak found two, one having the figure of Hanuman, the other three standing figures and the word वाला (Vâla which was always used by Wâlañah) on the granulated reverse. I cannot learn that coins were ever struck with them, but their existence showed that some such design had been in contemplation.

LOCAL GOLD PAGODAS OF LATER DATE.

into ‘pagodas in the Fort mint, of what denomination, however, is not stated. Also that in 1688 a proclamation was issued forbidding the introduction into the Factory of a counterfeit pagoda, fabricated at the Dutch mint of Pulicat, “of the same stamp, but not three-quarters the value of ours, which has raised great doubts and scandals upon our coin, ... to the great prejudice and discredit of our pagodas and the loss of our mint custom.” Still later, at the siege of Fort St. George in 1702, among other conditions of surrender, Nawāb Dāwud Khān demands the surrender of the Mint.

The preceding remarks appear to refer to the gold coinage, but in 1725 the attention of the Factory was attracted to the coinage of the rupee. It appears that the profits gained at the Madras mint on the coinage of silver had encouraged the issue at the native mints at Arcot, St. Thomé, and Covelong of rupees inferior in standard, but of the same nominal value, so as to divert the flow of bullion from the Company’s mint to their own. This led to stringent regulations prohibiting the export of bullion from the Factory, and to a reduction of the mint charges. In 1730 the Factory pagoda was found to have become much depreciated, and it was resolved “that a new pagoda be coined, of equal weight and fineness with the Negapatam pagoda, with the same stamp, only distinguished with the letter ‘M’ on each side the image.” From these extracts compared with the information of the Dewān, it appears that these Vaishnavī hūnas were struck at the same time by the English, the Portuguese, and perhaps the Dutch, as well as by the Nawābs of Arcot, and though bearing the same name, were not confined to the same mints. Thus the Star, Kuruki and Porto Novo were struck equally at the Factory and the Nawāb’s mints, the latter being situate, according to the Factory records, at Arcot, St. Thomé, and Covelong, but according to the Dewān at Arcot, Tiruvanamur, and Porto Novo. By St. Thomé and Covelong are probably meant the obscure village of Tiruvanamur. The Porto Novo hūnas I apprehend to have been first produced by the Europeans at that place, whence it came to be also designated as Feringhipet. When the influence of the Portuguese on the Coromandel coast was circumscribed by the Dutch and the Muhammadans, the mint appears to have passed into the hands of the Nawāb, who continued to issue hūnas under the name of Porto Novo, Feringhipet, Negapatam (where there has been a Portuguese Factory), and afterwards of Secā pagodas. Buchanan found in 1800 that at Pālgāt “the accounts were kept in Feringy or Porto Novo pagodas or varahams; pudamen commonly called vir rāga fanams and cash,” and that there was a profit in bringing Porto Novo pagodas from Dhārāpurum in Coimbatore to Pālgāt, and carrying back vir rāga fanams.

ii. The Durjā pagodas constituted the bulk of those current in the Ceded Districts at the time they were brought under British administration as mentioned above. They are known by the names of Durjā, Gurrumkonda, Harpanhalli old and new, Chitaldurgā, Dhārāpurum, Sremanore, etc., etc. They were all much alike, presenting only slight differences recognizable by the

---

1 Wheeler, Madras in the Olden Time, vol. i, p. 32.
2 lb. p. 298.
3 lb. p. 393.
5 lb. vol. iii, p. 92
6 pudamen, signifying new coinage, so called in contradistinction to the pataya and kalaya muni, or ancient coinage. (See Guat. Dict. p. 529.)
money-changers, which enabled them to assign them to the localities where they were said to have been struck. I have got thirty specimens, which I do not consider worth while to distinguish by attempting to describe them separately. All have the Nāgarī reverse except one, which is granulated. Several have Vaishnava emblems of the śankha and chakra.

iii. The third class having the figure of Śiva and Parvati, sometimes called Una Maheswara pagoda, were, as has been stated, coined at Ikkeri and afterwards at Bednar. They were current chiefly in Maisur, under which head, at p. 105, they have already been mentioned. I do not therefore, purpose to notice them further, but will leave them to be dealt with by local numismatists, who will have opportunity for determining their origin on the spot. Descriptions of them would form suitable adjuncts to the volumes of the districts to which they belong in the series of Manuals in preparation by the orders of the Indian Government.

I wish before closing this paper to add a few words on the many varieties of gold fanams. Though no longer current, they are still found in considerable numbers, many of them having curious devices, without legends, which are difficult to explain, and afford little clue to the discovery of their origin.

These small gold pieces, representing the tenth part of the kulpunj, weigh from 5 to 6 grains, and might be taken for the unit of the system, as indeed they are in the case of the Kañṭhiraṇa fanam, which were in use long before a metallic Kañṭhiraṇa pagoda was struck. They appear to have been much esteemed by the people in their small dealings, and are found in every part of the country. Those connected with the principal dynasties already discussed generally exhibit the same devices as the huns and pagodas, of which they are the multiples. Such are those of the Kalachuris, the elephants of the Singhas, the Cholas, the boar, fish, and tiger fanams of the Chola-Chalukyas, the lion or sinha from Kedara and Bellary, some with the lion looking back, the device of the Kadambas, others are so distinguished. Others with a naga serpent called sabramanya, or, according to Marsden, suburan; the Śri Vaisnava three-pronged sectarian mark, probably struck at Venkatagiri or Tripati; the Gotti fanam with the figure of a dagger identified with the Mahārājas of Tanjore; the bull, which may be related either to Rondavall, Woranag, or perhaps to the later Cholas; the seated Lakhmi fanam connected with the Lakhmi mātā or half pagoda, etc., etc. Besides these there are great numbers which have no resemblance to any other, the devices on which are unintelligible. A very common type is that which passes among the sarafs as the sir vayu, vati rol, single or double plough, etc., fanams, and deserves special notice. In the latter part of the section on Travancore and Kera coins I have assumed, on grounds therein stated, that they derive their origin from the rulers of the small principalities on the Malabar coast.
Remarks on the Gold Fanams.

Who first rose to eminence on the partition of Kérala, amongst whom the Zamorin was conspicuous. In this view I am supported by Buchanan, who states that they were struck at Calicut, the capital of that prince, a more correct explanation than that of Marsden, who attributes them to the Rája of Kodagu or Kurg (Coorg). Accepting this assignment of the origin of the gold vīr ráya fanams, a greater difficulty is found in accounting for their general distribution over all parts of Southern India, often in spots far distant from the place of their origin. But here, too, the careful observation and exact record of Buchanan comes to our aid. He says, when dealing with the money of Pálgáta and Cherakal tálaka of Malabar, that "the exchange of the payada for the pudameni or vīr ráya fanams is very variable, fluctuating between 11½ and 11¾ per cent," so that a profit of from 11 to 12 per cent. is gained by bringing payadas from the Carnatic into Malabar and carrying back vīr ráya fanams. The number of the latter still found in the eastern districts is thus explained. But it is by no means improbable that their prevalence in the eastern districts may have led to their being imitated there to facilitate local currency, which would account for the variations observed among them. Without seeking for them, but on the contrary refusing to purchase, I find that my cabinet contains between sixty and seventy, insensibly accumulated during a course of years by my collectors, or sent by persons who knew I was inquiring for coins. They weigh generally from 5 to 6 grains. The obverse sometimes presents an indistinct curved line or lines, which may possibly be the remnant of an effaced sāndha, or a sort of oblong or elongated mark, which I take to be the remains of a degraded standing figure, and the reverse a device which has defied, and still continues to defy, all attempts to give it an intelligible meaning. That it pertains especially to Malabar may be inferred from its appearance on the rási, the oldest coin there extant, as well as repeated on many of the later pieces. It exhibits a transverse bar, sometimes with the end turned up like the letter J, or simply elongated something like a crocodile or saurian; at other times with one or two dependent lines, which have given rise to the money-changer's name of single or double plough fanams. Above this is always a number of dots arranged in two or three lines over each other. Marsden has compared this symbol to a jinjál or wall piece of ordnance with its pile of shot.

These refer only to the gold fanams, but Marsden describes a silver vīr ráya or Měngalore fanam, weighing 5½ grains, having the same symbols and devices as the gold vīr ráya. I have not met with it, but have little doubt that the tārēs mentioned at p. 57, and weighing from 1 to 2 grains, are just the fractional parts of that piece. From the greater distinctness of the symbols on these, especially that of the standing figure on the obverse, an inspection of the full-sized silver fanam may throw further light on the device of the gold vīr ráya.

1 Buch. Journ. vol ii. p 310.
2 Num. Or. vol ii. p 744.
4 „ vīr ráya fanams weighed 5½ grains.
5 Lightest ... 7¾
P 10 others ... 8¾
6 Heaviest ... 9½
7 Lightest ... 8½
8 Num. Or. vol ii. p 744.
9 Jb. i. 12.
It might have been thought that the small size of the fanam would have rendered its general use inconvenient; but so far from this being the case, they were still further minimised, as appears from minute pieces, of which I have a considerable number, weighing from 1 to 2½ grains. These may be taken to represent half and quarter fanams in the same manner as the tārōs have been taken to represent fractional parts of the silver wīr rāya. Some of them are distinguished for their great purity, from which circumstance they are called Aparanajī fanams. They are found on old sites after heavy rains, and were brought to me on several occasions from Drākaharam, Vaddāda, Kalingapatam, etc., in the Rājamahendri district.

The trouble of reckoning and carrying about such small pieces might have been thought to prove adverse to their popularity, but the modern practice of Travancore shows that this is not found to be an obstacle in transacting business. Of the two coins in popular daily use there, viz. the silver chakram and the cash, the former being "small and globose is exceedingly difficult to count or handle, so much so that it slips out of the fingers and runs over the floor, and is only discovered again with difficulty. £100 sterling amounts to 23,500 chakrams, weighing 24 pounds avoirdupois, and hours would be wasted in reckoning this number of small coins. They are therefore measured or counted by means of a 'chakram board,' a small square wooden plate with a given number of holes the exact size and depth of a chakram. A small handful of coins is thrown on the board, which is then shaken gently from side to side so as to cause a single chakram to fall into each cavity, and the surplus, if any, is swept off with the hand. A glance at the board, when filled, shows that it contains the exact number of coins for which it is intended. The rapid manipulation of this simple but ingenious implement requires some practice, but the Government clerks and native merchants are exceedingly expert and exact in its performance." Although the use of this expedient does not appear to have extended beyond Malabar, its employment in that district to the present day exemplifies the partiality of the natives for such small pieces of money.

Land of Charity, p. 111, where a figure of the chakram board will be found.
APPENDIX.

RECENT DISCOVERIES OF ANCIENT RĀŚṬRAKUṬA COINS (P. 77).

I have been informed by Mr. James Campbell, since the foregoing was printed, that besides the coins found at Nasik in 1872, three other hoards have been discovered, namely, at Karēl in Sātārā; in 1882, at the village of Malgaon near the Kanduti caves, four miles east of Andheri station in Salsette; and in Bombay Island in excavating a drain in Cavel Street, Kaltadevi Road. All these were silver, similar to those above described in Nasik, weighing about 33 grains, having the head of the king on the obverse, and a bull couchant on the reverse, with the legend, as read by Professor Bhagwanlīl, "Parama Mahēśvara Mātapiṭipadaṇuḍhīyatā Śrī Krishṇarāja," meaning, "The illustrious Krishṇarāja, the great lord, meditating on the feet of his mother and father." General Cunningham's ascription of these coins to Krishṇa Rāja Rāśṭraķūṭa is thus confirmed. It is probable, as has been surmised, that he was father of that Idrā who was overcome by Jayasimha Chaluṣya on his first invasion of the Dakhun, and that his dominions extended over the western half of Kuntala and the Konkan, forming the ancient kingdom of the Rāśṭraķūṭa or Rāṭṭa Kuta kula race. On this assumption his date may be placed in the latter half of the fourth century, or from 360 to 400 A.D., and this explains why his coins have no relation to the South Indian monetary system, either as regards their value or execution, then in a very rude and primitive condition, but were formed on the elegant Greco-Parthian model which at that time characterized the circulation of his western neighbours in Gujarāṭ.
CORRECTION OF EASTERN CHALUKYA GENEALOGY (p. 87).

Since the above was printed I have found a memorandum, and copy of a translation of the Chelur copper-plates, made for Colonel McKenzie, which enable me to clear up, in some degree, the confusion found in the latter part of the genealogy of this family as given in the text. The succession, as therein stated, agrees as far as Amma Raja I. and his immediate successors. I therefore transcribe the remainder of the dynasty, from that prince, according to the translation now found. Amma Raja reigned seven years, when he was expelled by a usurper named Tadapa (other accounts say that it was the son of Amma, who was of tender years), but he only maintained himself in power one month, when he was driven out by Vikramaditya, the son of Chalukya Bhima, who only reigned for eleven months. He was dethroned by Yuddha Malla, the son of Tadapa, who reigned seven years, and he in turn was conquered by Raja Bhima, the brother of Amma, who reigned for sixteen years. His son, Amma Raja II., succeeded him, and reigned for twenty-five years, and after him his step(ere)-brother, Dana Nripa, ruled for three years. After his death there was an interregnum of twenty-seven years. Saktivarmā, the son of Dana Nripa or Danāravya, restored the monarchy and reigned for twelve years. He was succeeded by his son, Vimaladitya, who ruled seven years, and he by his son, Raja Raja Narendra, who ruled for forty years. His queen is stated to have been a princess of solar race, the daughter of a Chola named Rajendra, and their son was Rajendra Chola, "who became the head of Rāja, and ruled over Vengi, Kērala, Pandya Kuntala, and likewise occupied the throne of the Chola Rāja, where he resided." He married a princess of solar race, named Madhuranthari, the daughter of Rāja Rajendra Dēva, by whom he had several sons. One of them, named Raja Rāja, was deputed by his father to be Viceroy of Vengi, vacant on the death of his father's uncle, Vijayaditya, who had administered it for fifteen years. In this post he only remained for one year, when, disliking the duty, he returned to his parents at the Chola capital, and his brother, Vira Chola, was sent in his stead.

Notwithstanding the further light thrown by this inscription upon the Eastern Chalukya succession, it is still involved in considerable doubt. That Rajendra Chola was the next illustrious prince of the Chola line, and ruled over the greater part of Southern India from 1064–1113 A.D., seems to be certain. By a rough calculation of the number of years assigned to each of the Vengi princes in the sonanum, the period from Kubja Vashnuvardhana, or 905 A.D., would bring the close of Rāja Rāja Narendra's reign to 1058 or 1060 A.D., which tallies pretty nearly with the ascertained date of Rajendra (see pp. 120–21). But the inscription refers to previous relations existing between the Chalukya and the Chola families,
and even calls Rajendra Chola the son of Rāja Rāja Narendra. Dr. Burnell's chronology, as given in his Paleography (p. 40), agrees with this, but makes the latter to be a Chola and not a Chalukya prince. The confusion has apparently arisen from intermarriages between the lunar Chalukya princes and the solar Chola princesses. It may be inferred from the language of the *pranam* that Vijayaditya was the first viceroy appointed by Rajendra to administer the government of Vengi, and that he was a Chalukya, but I find no mention of him elsewhere. On his death, about 1077-8 a.d., Rajendra deputed his own son, Rāja Rāja, to succeed him, but he only retained the office for one year, when he was replaced by his brother, Vira Déva Chola, Kulottunga Chola or Saptama Vishnuvardhana, who appears to have been a ruler of great ability, and to have had a long tenure of power.

But here another doubt arises in the identification of Rāja Rāja, who could not be the Rāja Rāja of the Ceylon type of coins. The difficulty may be accounted for by the multiform nomenclature of these princes, who were sometimes called by one name, sometimes by another. Thus we find in the list given by Burnell, p. 131 ante (who from his situation in Tanjore had exceptional means of ascertaining the truth), that the prince whom I have considered throughout as the great Rajendra, is Vira Chola, alias Kulottunga Chola I, Rājarajendra (Rāja rāja) Koppakesari Varmā, with the date 1064-1113 a.d. (see also p. 42 ante).
DESCRIPTION OF PLATE I.

[The contents of the accompanying pl. Plate represent the reproductions, by lithography, of specimens selected from the series of all 110 copper-plates, engraved by native artists in Madras, to illustrate Sir W. Elliot's original articles on South Indian coins, published in the Madras Journal of Literature and Science. No attempt has been made to count the order in which they now appear—they simply follow the old arrangement of the 80 Plates. The selection having been mainly made with a view to preserve some of the more artistically accurate copies of the originals, in preference to a resort to modern stereotype illustrations, which do not always so clearly show the more minute details of coins of lead or copper which have suffered to suffer from age and oxidation.]

No. 1.—Primitive sphere of copper, probably the normal form. p. 58


Nos. 3, 4, 5, 6.—Silver. āṭhakās, purānas, or eddies, impressed with the symbols of various attesting authorities, whether dynastic or commercial. p. 45 and note 8, also pp. 50-51.

Nos. 7, 8.—Gold. pāda-pāñas or kāndala madras of the natives. From Banawalis. pp. 54, 56.

No. 9.—A true die-coin. 66 grains. Eight-rayed figure, chakra or Sun. Rev. plain. From South Mahārātra country. Early Chālukya (Fig. 31 of Gleanings, p. 233.)

No. 10.—58 grains. Similar to 7 and 8 Centre (?)—At either side the anchor form of the word Śrī, below a vase, above the word Viṣṇu. From Malabar. (Fig 32 of Gleanings, p. 232.)

No. 11.—54 grains. The same class as above. From Kamal. (Fig. 35 of Gleanings, p. 232.)

No. 12.—53 grains. Ditto. Centre has Krishna pîpûja, on either side the old form of the word Śrī, sun and moon above. From Tanjore. (Fig. 35 of Gleanings, p. 233.)


No. 16.—63 grains. Ditto. From Rewa p. 66.


No. 18.—60 grains. Standard floral reverse. p. 55.

Nos. 19, 20.—Like 7, 8. With bull symbol, early Chālukya. From South Mahārātra country. 55 to 58 grains. pp. 64, 66, 67, 70.

No. 21.—Early Chālukya. p. 70.

No. 22.—From Bellary. 58 grains. p. 70. (Gleanings, pp. 88-90.)

Obverse. Boar with trappings.

Reverse. Chakra.

No. 23.—Like the above, but ruder. From South Mahārātra country. p. 70. (Gleanings, pp. 88-90.)


Broken Legend, No. 25. . सत्कालाप रच

Sotakālam Rācika. p. 34.

Reverse.

Ujjain symbol. p. 22.


Obverse.

Chaitya.

Reverse.

Ujjain symbols. pp. 22-3.

No. 28.—Unique coin of Gautamiputra. See Int Art. vii. p. 276, No. 5. (p. 32 of this work.)

Legend. रच कोर्ट्सचिम निर्विच यथ तत्कालाप

Evaru Gotamiputra Śrī. yena Sotakānam. p. 32.

Nos. 29, 30.—p. 23.

Obverse.

Elephant.

Legends, No. 29.

. . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . .

No. 30 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . .

Reverse.

Ujjain symbol.

KURUMBA OR PALLAVA COINS OF THE COBOLAMEL COAST. p. 35.

No. 31.—Bull and curious device, surrounded with sun-rays.

No. 32.—Ditto, and sun.

No. 33.—Ditto, and Maltese Cross (sun).

Legend. तत्कालाप

(Evadi) mpa taka.

No. 34.—Bull and sun.

Legend. . taka

No. 35.—

No. 36.—

Reverse.

Crab.

No. 37.—

Reverse.

Fish.

No. 38.—

Reverse.

Two-masted ship like the modern coasting vessel or dhāari, steered by means of oars from the stern.
EXPLANATORY NOTE TO PLATES II. III. IV.

More than a twelvemonth has elapsed since the completion of Plate I., owing to the difficulty, caused by my loss of sight, of selecting the coins required for the Autotype process. General Pearse undertook this task, but after four or five days succumbed to illness while preparing the Catalogue. The opportune arrival, at this juncture, of Mr. Robert Sewell, Madras C.S., who kindly proffered his aid, has enabled the work to be finally completed.

The rough Catalogue, thus hastily prepared, has now been revised in the absence of the coins (left in London). The diction has been altered considerably, several coins have been omitted as irrelevant, and a very few added. In some instances the arrangement has also been altered, involving a change in the order of the numbers. The result, though not so satisfactory as could be desired, exhibits examples of the most typical varieties described in the memoir, references to which are given in the descriptions.

The whole was placed in the hands of Prof. Percy Gardner, who kindly undertook to superintend the execution of the Autotype Plates. To him, and to Mr. Fleet for his readings of some of the legends, as well as to the two gentlemen first mentioned, viz. General Pearse and Mr. Sewell, the latter of whom has conducted the Catalogue through the Press, my most grateful acknowledgments are due.

W. E.

December, 1885.
PLATE II.

ANDHRA COINS.

[To this series belong Nos. 24-30 of Plate I.]


No. 40.—Copper. From Kolhapur. Obs. Bow and arrow. Legend around reading राजा गोलमणिपुत्रसि। Rev. as in No. 39 (?). Codrington’s Collection.

No. 41.—Lead. Weight, 256 grains. From Kârâvâr. Obs. Chatyâ with two rows of inverted semicircles at the base, and a larger open or cupola-arch above. Legend, राजा मलि (?) म. Rev. The sacred tree in a square pedestal, emblems at the side. Pearse’s Collection. (p. 31, ante.)

No. 42.—Lead. Weight, 278 grains. From Kârâvâr. Obs. Central device similar to No. 41. Legend (?). Rev. similar to No. 41, with the taurus symbol. Pearse’s Collection. (p. 31.)

No. 43.—Lead. From the Krishñâ district. Obs. Elephant to right with legend below, reading राजा सतकुनसः। Rev. Ujjain symbol. (p. 28.)

No. 44.—Lead. From the same place. Obs. Horse to right, moon above. Legend reading सतकुनसा राजा। Rev. Plain.

No. 45.—Lead. From the same place. Obs. Two-masted ship. Rev. Ujjain symbol. (Madras Journal, iii. n.s. 249, fig. 74.)

No. 46.—Lead. From the same place. Obs. Maneless lion, facing right; in front a tree. Rev. chaitya (?). p. 23.

No. 47.—Lead. Weight, 692 3 grains. From the same place. Obs. Maneless lion facing left, above legend (?). The letter s alone is legible. Rev. Plain. (p. 23.)

No. 48.—A rock-crystal seal bearing the letters sâla, followed by the symbol for “2,” which Gen. Pearse refers to Shilivâhana. (See p. 19, note.)

PALLAVA COINS.

The coins which have the effigy of a maned lion, as mentioned on p. 23, were originally assigned, doubtfully, to the Pallava dynasty of Vengi (Madras Journal, iii. n.s. 237, figs. 48, 49, 50, 52). The tract of country in which they occur, and the statements of the late Dr. Burnell that "the tiger banner of Vengi is quoted in a Chela inscription of the eleventh century at Truveldalmaradur in Tanjore" (South Ind. Palaeography, 196; conf. Ind. Ant. v. 85), confirm me in the accuracy of my first impression. W.E.

No. 49.—Alloy (coarse silver ?). Obs. Lion with ample mane, facing right. Some examples have a sword above or in front of the animal. Rev. Vase on a stand, between two tall trident lampstands or standards (?), the whole within a broad circle of radiating lines.

No. 50.—Alloy. Design on both sides very similar to No. 49.

No. 51.—Gold. Whence not known. Mound’s scale, 24; weight, 72-7 grains. Obs. Lion to left, paw uplifted, within a circle around which is the legend Sri Siângânamâdam (?). Rev. Three-storied edifice or temple (?); below, a word not read. A doubtful coin.

No. 52.—Gold. Weight, 7-5 grains. From Râjâmâhendri. Obs. Lion with paw uplifted. Rev. The letter Ma with another imperfect letter under it.

No. 53.—Gold. Weight, 5-7 grains. Obs. Lion to left. Rev. The syllable La or Bi, according to the date of the alphabet.

No. 54.—Gold. Mound’s scale, 3; weight, 50-5 grains. Obs. Maneless lion to right. Rev. Apparently a three-storied building with pillars (?) below.

KURUMBAR COINS.

No. 55.—Silver (?). Mound’s scale, 4. Weight, 39½ grains. From the Arcot District. Obs. Horse facing right, with a pellet in front: above, a very doubtful inscription in characters which have been read Bûra Rûja (†). Rev. indistinct. This is one of the two coins mentioned on p. 36, supposed to have been lost. It was discovered in arranging the present series, but the other is still missing.

The remaining coins of this series are this copper, as are also Nos. 31 to 38 of Plate I, all from the sea-shore south of Madras. The obverse of all is the same, an elegantly shaped bull facing right, except No. 35, where it looks to the left. (p. 33.) W.E.

1 I am indebted to Mr. J. F. Fleet for these readings. W.E.
No. 56.—Rev. A tree. (Conf. "Gleanings," fig. 80.)
No. 57.—Rev. A tree.
No. 58.—Copper. Weight, 43-3 grains. Obs. An animal like a dog. Rev. Four dots or bosses within a circle. ("Gleanings," fig. 103.) This unique specimen may perhaps belong to the preceding or Pallava section, and the reverse suggests that it may possibly have been a weight. (Conf. Prinsep, in J.A.S.E. iv. 627-8, figs. 54, 55, 56.)

EARLIEST PUNCH AND DIE COINS.
Under this head fall the coins figured in Plate I. Figs. 1-6.
No. 59.—Gold. Weight, 58 grains. Very early punch-marked or bosses within a circle. ("Gleanings," fig. 103.) This unique specimen may perhaps belong to the preceding or Pallava section, and the reverse suggests that it may possibly have been a weight. (Conf. Prinsep, in J.A.S.E. iv. 627-8, figs. 54, 55, 56.)


No. 61.—Silver. Weight, 12-8 grains. Transition punch-coin, found with others in the Konkan, with a bull, erroneously called a lion at pp. 50 and 66, superimposed on the punch-marks. Received from Dr. Codrington.

No. 62.—Silver. From Sultānpur, near Wai. Obs. and Rev. As described at p. 55. Received from Dr. Codrington.

No. 63.—Gold. Described at length at p. 56, f.v. From zinc impressions, there being originals in the British Museum.

No. 64.—Gold. From zinc impressions sent by Dr. Codrington. (p. 55.)

No. 65.—Gold. Weight, 55-4 grains. Obs. Seated figure with umbrella, chowrie, sun and standard. Floral reverse. Gibbs' Collection. In connection with this see Tavernier's coin, and Fig. 18, Plate I. and p. 55. Origin of all three unknown.

KADAMBA COINS.
Nos. 7, 8, 10, 11, 13 to 17, of Plate I. belong to this Series. (Conf. "Gleanings." )

No. 66.—Gold. Weight, 51-5 grains. From Sunda. Obs. Indistinct figure of a lion in the centre, with four punch-marks, two being the word Syt, the third a word which has been read Bhujās (?), the fourth an abhās. Rev. Plain.

No. 67.—Gold. Weight, 58-525 grains. From Sunda. Obs. The emblem called a palana in the centre, with some punch-struck retrospectant lions round it. Rev. Scroll ornament and two indented marks. (Conf. Plate I. figs. 13, 14, 15, 16, and p. 66.)


No. 69.—Gold. Obs. Lion passant to left. Rev. Four lines in Nāgārī, Sṛt-Saptakōṭīvara-charasa-labha-cara-citra-Sūryideva, i.e. "The brave Sūryideva who has obtained boons from the feet of the holy Saptakūṭi's sons." Sūryideva, or Sūryideva, or Sūryideva, was a prince of the GContents of... contents of the original coin in the Bombay Museum.)

No. 70.—Gold. Obs. Lion looking backwars, with Canarese legend below, which has been read Silugā (?). Rev. An indistinct object in the centre surrounded by a circle of dots, and that again by an outer circle in which an ornament resembling an omega or a circular buckle alternates with a trefoil. From zinc impression of the original coin in the Bombay Museum. (See Bombay Journal, ii. 65, plate xii. fig. 2; "Gleanings", fig. 87.)

No. 71.—Gold. Obs. Lion looking to the front, and in old Nāgārī the word Pramāṇā, i.e. the cycle-year of coinage. Rev. Legend, Sṛt-Saptakōṭīvara-labha-cara-citra-Jayagōti-jijāvāda-Malvānpurādri, i.e. "The brave Jayagōti, the destroyer of the Malvas, who obtained boons from the holy Saptakūṭi's sons." Jayagōti was one of the Kaldambas of Goa. From zinc impression of the original coin in Bombay Museum. (Bombay Journal, x.; Proc. xiv. lii.)

No. 72, 73.—Gold fanams. Weight, 2-9 grains. From the Southern Mahārāṣṭra country. Obs. Lion regardant, similar to No. 71. Rev. Legend indescipherable.


No. 76.—Copper. Obs. Lion passant and regardant. See Nos. 71, 72, 73. Rev. Indistinct. Pearse's Collection.

No. 77.—Copper. From Bangalore. Obs. Lion passant, regardant. Rev. Crossed lines, with pellets in the squares. Probably of late date. Pearse's Collection.

No. 78.—Gold. Weight, 65-1 grains. Obs. Lion within a floral border (?), like that on No. 70. Rev. Hanumān, seated between two chowries, and below him an old Canarese word Nākara, referring possibly to the god Nākaraśvarā, of Bankapur. An electrotype of a similar coin has been received from Bombay.

1 See note to p. 152a.
PLATE III.

CHALUKYA COINS.

Nos. 19 to 23 of Plate I. belong to this series. (Conf. "Glean." pp. 88-90, figs. 1-5.)

No. 79.—Gold. Weight, 62½ grains. A large thin plate, having on the Obv. the figure of a boar in the centre under an umbrella, and two chowries with the taura symbol, and a lamp in front of and another behind the animal; around, impressed by separate punches, old Canarese letters, reading Śrī Chālukya Vallabha. The last word doubtful. *Rev. Plain.* Another specimen has been figured by Lieut. Latten in J.A.S.B. xvi. 749, fig. 6.

No. 80.—Gold. Weight, 56½ grains. *Obv.* Similar to the above, the separate letters reading Śrī Rāja Rāja, and a doubtful letter which has been read adra or rya (pp. 51, 54, 66, 67, 70). With these compare Nos. 19, 20, of Plate I.


No. 82.—Copper. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev. Indistinct.*

No. 83.—Copper. *Obv.* Boar facing right. *Rev.* Lion looking backwards. This perhaps refers to the conquest of Banaṇaḷi by the Chalukyas.

No. 84.—Copper. *Obv.* Boar facing left, with sun and moon above. *Rev. Indistinct.*

No. 85.—Gold fanam. Weight, 60 grains. From Kājāmāhendrī. *Obv.* Boar facing right, above him an ākṣa. *Rev.* The letters m, under which is the number 11 in decimal figures. From the locality this probably belongs to the Eastern Chalukya branch.


KALACHURI COINS.

No. 87.—Gold. Weight, 54½ grains. From the Sattārī province. *Obv.* Figure advancing to the right. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, in the second of which is the name Murārī. From the locality, and the name Murārī, this may be assigned to the second prince of the Kalachuri dynasty of Kalyāṇa. (p. 78.)

COINS OF THE YĀDAVAS OF DEVAGIRI.

No. 88.—Gold. Weight, 52½ grains. *Obv.* A figure like that of an anthropoid garuda with head covered, nose somewhat pronounced. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, which have been read Dāja Dāja Dāja, for -dāja. From Bījāpur. The cognizance of the Yādava of Dēvagiri being a garuda, I attribute this coin, though with doubt, to that family. —W.E.

No. 89.—Silver. Weight, 4½ grains. *Obv.* Figure of garuda, like the preceding. *Rev.* Apparently letters, unread. Two of these coins, found in the bend of a tank at Sartur, on the Pīma Road, eight miles from Sattārī, were sent me by Sir Alex Froude, the Resident. —W.E.


COINS OF THE YĀDAVAS OF Dväkamandura.

The only coins of this dynasty which I know (but have not seen) are those procured by Gen. Penne in Madura. —W.E.

No. 90.—Gold. Weight, 61½ grains, size 2½. *Obv.* Maned lion before an altar; above him a smaller one, with the sun in front; both lions face to the right. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, reading Śrī Tākākṣa rāja (as now read, not -dāja), i.e. "He who took the glorious Tākākṣa," namely, Viṣṇuvardhana, the fourth prince. (pp. 80, 82, note 1, 115.)

No. 91. Gold. Weight, 63 grains. *Obv.* Same as above. *Rev.* Three lines of Old Canarese, reading Śrī Nonāmabhadraś caṇḍa, i.e. "He who took the glorious Nonānabharda," (p. 82, and note 1.)

No. 92.—Copper. *Obv.* Elephant facing right, above Śrī, in Canarese. *Rev.* Legend not legible. This refers, perhaps, to the Nāyaks of Tōṇur. (p. 81.)

COINS OF THE KĀKĀTIYAS OF WOBANAL AND VĒRĀ Rēṇiś, OF KONJAVI (?).


No. 94.—Copper. *Obv.* Bull couchant to right, over his back a lingam. *Rev.* Legend in Telugu. (pp. 63, 102.)

No. 95.—Copper. *Obv.* Like the last. *Rev.* Legend in Telugu. (pp. 85, 102.)
VIJAYANAGAR COINS.

For the description of coins Nos. 96 to 106 we are indebted to Mr. Thomas, who has described them in note 1 to pp. 97-98. It is remarkable that the gold coins should be of a Sāvite character, while the symbols on the copper coins and on the seals of the dynasty, probably through the influence of the minister, are Vaishnavite. But the Kuruba princes generally bore names derived from Śiva, while those of the succeeding dynasty, except the last, were adopted from Vishnu. (p. 92.)

No. 96 (Thomas's No. 1).—Gold. Weight, 25-2 grains. Obs. Śiva and Pārvati seated. Rev. Nāgarī legend श्री प्रताप हरिश्चandra, the second king of the first or Kuruba dynasty.

No. 97 (Thomas's No. 1).—Gold. Weight, 25-4 grains. Same as the last.

No. 98. (Thomas's No. 2).—Gold. Weight, 24-2 grains. Obs. Two-headed fabulous bird called Cāyapādharaṇa walking to the left (conf. note 3, p. 96), like a heraldic spread-sage, holding elephants in each hand and each claw. Rev. (See No. 99.)

No. 99 (Thomas's No. 2).—Gold. Weight, 26-4 grains. Obs. Two-headed insessional Gauḍa-phūrṇa, with elephants above as shown. Rev. of Nos. 98 and 99, the Nāgarī legend श्री प्रतापहरिश्च राज्य Śrī Pratāp Ṣaṭāṇḍara Rāya, i.e. the third king of the Narasimha line, the ninth from Bukka.

No. 100 (Thomas's No. 3).—Gold. Weight, 52-6 grains. Obs. Same as No. 96. Rev. श्री प्रताप सदाशिव राज्य Śrī Pratāp Śaḍāśiva Rāya, i.e. the fourth of the Narasimha line, and the tenth from Bukka.

No. 101 (Thomas's No. 4).—Gold. Weight, 25-7 grains. Obs. Same as No. 96. Rev. Indistinct legend, possibly श्री कुंभ मोर्चन राज्य Śrī Kumbha Mūrca Rāya, or “Trirama Rāya,” the brother of Rāma Rāja of the third dynasty, who removed to Pennaṇḍu. (p. 93.)

No. 102 (Thomas's No. 4).—Gold. Weight, 25-8 grains. Same as above.

No. 103 (Thomas, No. 4).—Copper. Mientz's size 3, weight, 55 grains. Obs. Bear facing right, sword and sun above. Rev. Three lines of Nāgarī चलन चलन चलन Chalan (Chalan) Trirama Ṭakta (talika). (See remark on No. 101.)


No. 106 (Thomas's No. 6).—Gold. Weight, 52-7 grains. Obs. The youthful Kṛṣṇa trampling on Kaliya (the dancing Kṛṣṇa). Rev. A legend read as श्री विक्रम राज्य Śrī Vikrama Rāya. Mr. Thomas doubts whether this belongs to the Vijayanagar series (note, p. 96). I am also doubtful (see p. 97). It may belong to a Māmar sovereign named Chalukyan. (W. E.)


No. 108.—Gold. Weight, 58 grains. Obs. Rāma and Sītā seated, sun and moon above, a figure (Hanumān?) standing before them. Rev. In Old Canarese Śrī Ṣaṭāṇḍara. This coin appears to be connected with the Rāma-Tanka medals, attributed to the second dynasty. (pp. 95, 99.)


No. 110.—Gold (sphero-). Weight, 18 grains. Obs. Hanumān. Rev. Sīva and Pārvati (?), indistinct. (p. 90.)

No. 111.—Cancelled.


No. 113.—Gold. Flat. Weight, 123-4 grains. Obs. Rāma and Sītā seated, with attendants; round, and on Rev., Nāgarī legend not read. A modern Rāma-Tanka, of no value. (p. 99.)—W. E. (Pearse's Collection.)

MAISUR COINS.

No. 114 (Thomas's No. 3a).—Gold. Weight, 53 grains. Obs. Sīva and Pārvati seated. Rev. Suddasī, adopted from the Vijayanagar type by Sadāśiva, the first Nāryak of Ikkerī. (pp. 97, 105, 106, 146; conf. J.A.S.B. iii. 45, 93.)


No. 116.—Copper. Obs. A Canarese number (?). Rev. Lines crossing at right angles. (p. 105.)

No. 117.—Copper. Obs. Elephant to left, sun and moon above. Rev. Double lines crossing at right angles with a circle in the centre. In others, Rev. various, often smooth from attrition. This is the āsīs price, or “Elephant Cash,” so common in Maisūr, referred to at p. 105.
CHIRA OR KONGU COINS.


No. 119.—Gold. Weight, 68-5 grains. Obv. similar to the above, but here the animal is caparisoned.

These two coins, attributed to the Cheras, have been frequently reproduced in the south-west. I have names of the same type. The figure on the seal of the Kelagu copper-plates is exactly similar. See next number.—W. E.

No. 120.—Seal, having the figure of an elephant, attached to the copper grants of the Kongani princes. From an electrolyte impression.

Nos. 121–127.—The seven following characteristic copper coins are difficult to describe. They all have on the reverse the same symbol, which may be compared to an altar or drum-shaped object? The obverse has generally a bow, and one or more five-pointed stars or standards, in one instance together with an elephant, in others a weapon like a sacrificial boat, or axe. (p. 117.)

No. 128.—Copper. Obv. Seated figure, Ceylon type, with arrow. Rev. Bow with arrow string, and umbrella. It is doubtful if this is a true Kondu coin, but there arc examples with the Ceylon sitting figure on one side, and the true Kondu altar on the other.—W. E.

PANDIAN COINS.

No. 129.—Gold. Weight, 57 grains. Obv. Two fish under an umbrella, flanked on right by lamp and on left by chowrie, sun and moon above. Rev. legend in Naga, uncertain. (Conf. As. Res. xvii. 592–3; pl. iv. Fig. 81.)

PLATE IV.

PANDIAN COINS (continued).


No. 131.—Gold. Weight, 6-2 grains. Obv. A fish. Rev. Figure before an altar.

These two coins, Nos. 130, 131, with several others having one or two fish, or a lion, were found in Rajamahendri, and may be connected with the Chola-Chalukya period.—W. E.

No. 132.—Copper. Obv. Standing figure, Ceylon type. Rev. A mountain; below, the Tamil word Kéthu Kéthu being synonymous with akasa. I attribute this, with hesitation, to Malayadhyaja, the second name in the Parijaic lists. (pp. 123–6.)—W. E.

No. 133.—Copper. Obv. Similar standing figure. Rev. Fish on either side of sceptre or crook, flanked by lamps. Above, in Tamil letters, Vira Pádhipan, the fourth or fifth king in the lists. (p. 126.)

No. 134.—Copper. Obv. Anthropoid Garuda with Simha and chastra above. Rev. Simha Karpátha, the forty-first king in the lists. (Compare No. 137.)

No. 135.—Copper. Obv. Similar, Garuda kneeling on the fish. Rev. Simha Karpátha. (p. 126.)

No. 136.—Copper. Obv. Standing figure with two characters to right. Rev. Fish between lamps; in margin Simha Karpátha. (p. 126.)

No. 137.—Copper. Obv. Same as above. Rev. Two fish surrounded by legend Simha Karpátha.

No. 138.—Copper. Obv. Anthropoid Garuda carrying a bow, Simha above. Rev. Bhavañéka Virañ. (p. 126.)

No. 139.—Copper. Obv. Horse galloping to right, under three symbols inscrivingsensible. Rev. Fish on either side of sceptre; above, a bull to left with chowries. (p. 127.)

No. 140.—Copper. Obv. Similar standing figure. Rev. Seated figure, and legend Káma Pádhipu, the last name in the lists. (pp. 121, 126, 126–9.)

No. 141.—Copper. Obv. Sceptre between two fish under a crescent. Rev. Sundara Pádhipu, in Tamil. (p. 127.)

No. 142.—Copper. Obv. Horse galloping to right, under three symbols inscrivingsensible. Rev. Fish on either side of sceptre; above, a bull to left with chowries. (p. 127.)

No. 143. Copper. Obv. Standing figure. Rev. A crocodile to left; above, the legend ke (or se?); and a scorpion (káma ke or ákēpa?), could such a name be found?; below, two fish looking inwards. Gen. Pearson's gold coin I have not seen.—W. E. (p. 127.)

No. 144.—Copper. Obv. Standing figure, with two fish and sceptre to right. Rev. Seated figure with legend, which has been read Térumaillé? (p. 127.)
No. 145. — Copper. *Ost. Two fish crossed; in the angles a crescent and trisula. Rev. *Kaschi Vasandana Purnama, i.e. “Conjeveram-bestowing chief or prince.” (p. 122, note 2.)


No. 147. — Copper. *Ost. Two feet (or *Vishnu-pāda?) under sun and moon. Rev. Legend which, according to Dr. Calcutt, reading from another coin found at Kāyāl, is Kāyūl. (pp. 124-5.)

No. 148.— Copper. *Ost. Boar to right, under sun and moon. Rev. Sāndara Pādugāma, above two fish on each side of the sceptre. This belongs to what has been called the Chola-Chalukya period, in which the relations between the Pāṇḍya and the Cholas have not been clearly ascertained. (pp. 121, 134.)

No. 149. — Copper. *Ost. Figure, with halo round the head, seated on the fish. The right arm rests on the knee, the leg pendant, the left leg is doubled, and the left arm akimbo. This is a doubtful coin, its only connection with the Pāṇḍya being the figure of the fish.


CHOLA COINS.

No. 151. — Gold. — Coin lost; facsimile in woodcut. Weight, 50 to 60 grains. *Ost. and Rev. Exactly the same, viz. a tiger seated to the proper left, opposite it a fish, over the head of each an anisus, and behind the tiger four characters unread. (p. 132.)

No. 152. — Impure silver. Weight, 62 grains. *Ost. and Rev. The same, viz. a tiger seated under a canopy, chowrie on either side, opposite it two fish, behind it a bow, and below all the Nāgarī legend Rākō-Koṇa Chōla. (pp. 124, 182.)

No. 153. — Impure silver. Weight, 52.2 grains. *Ost. and Rev. Same as above, legend Sṛi Rājendra. (pp. 124, 132, note 2.) Furse’s Coll.

No. 154. — Impure silver. Weight, 62.6 grains. *Ost. The same group of symbols occupying the whole field. Rev. Ulama Chōla in Nāgarī. (pp. 132-3.) No. 155. — Gold. Weight, 7.2 grains. *Ost. Same as above. Rev. Yādha Malla. (p. 134, note 1.) This coin was received since the note was written.

No. 156. — Gold. Weight, 6.7 grains. *Ost. Fish and tiger seated under a crescent. Rev. Legend not legible.

No. 157. — Pale gold. Weight, 8.2 grains. *Ost. Standing figure. Rev. In old Nāgarī iraks (?) or daraks (?) under a chowrie. (Doubtful coin.) (p. 133.)

No. 158. — Copper. *Ost. Seated figure with apparently the legend Roja Roja under the arm. Rev. Boar to left, legend above the angle and gable. The letters above seem to be in Tamil and to read Vira. (pp. 133-4.)

No. 159. — Copper. *Ost. Standing figure, crescent to right of head. Rev. Boar, sun, moon, and lamp, above a scroll. (p. 134.)

No. 160. — Copper. *Ost. Standing figure. Rev. Two fish surrounded by an obscure legend, Roja Roja (?). (p. 134.)

No. 161. — Copper. *Ost. Same as above. Rev. Seated figure with fish and sceptre where the legend Roja Roja usually appears. (p. 134.)

No. 162. — Copper. *Ost. Seated figure with legend Roja Roja. Rev. A horseman between two umbrellas galloping to right. (p. 132.)

No. 163. — Copper. *Ost. Seated figure. Rev. Two horses and rider, umbrellas above. (p. 133.)

No. 164. — Copper. *Ost. Standing figure of Kṛishna as Muralidhara (the flute player). Rev. Seated figure and legend Roja Roja. (p. 133; conf. Pl. i. Fig. 12.)

No. 165. — Gold. *Ost. Standing figure, crescent in field, and fish below four dots. Rev. Seated figure and name Roja Roja. (p. 133.)

No. 166. — Copper. *Ost. Standing figure, and Rev. Same as No. 165. (p. 133.)


No. 168. — Cancelled.

No. 169. — Copper. *Ost. Same as the last. Rev. Bull to right, crescent above. (p. 134.)

No. 170. — Copper. *Ost. Same as above, with a lozenge having dots in the angles and one in the centre. Rev. Seated figure having an axe to his proper right. (p. 134.)

No. 171. — Copper. *Ost. Same as above. Rev. An elephant to left, above his head a sankha, and an illegible legend.

No. 172. — Copper. *Ost. Same as above. Rev. Bull couchant to left, with crescent in the field, below in Tamil letters. Seta. (p. 134.)
No. 173.—Copper. Obo. Standing bull facing to left, lamp in front. Rev. Kusuma Rajya, —who he was, not known.

No. 174.—Copper. Obo. Standing bull facing right. Rev. A large three-leaved symbol, or fleur-de-lis? trishula? This coin, examples of which are not infrequent, closely resembles one figured by Sir A. F. Lyall as struck in Araban about the eighth century A.D. (p. 134.)

MODERN COINS.

No. 175.—Woodcut of coin accidentally omitted. Gold. Weight, 26 grains. Obo. Lakshmi seated, with yamaka and chakra. Rev. Sri Pratàpaka Râya in Nâgari. Not very rare. The Lakshmi made of the surâs of elegant design. It appears to me to be connected with the series of Krishna coins, Nos. 166, 107, pp. 97, 114, note 1.—W. E.

No. 176.—Gold. Weight, 26 grains. Obo. Vishnu standing under an arch. Rev. Like Nâgari cast by one ignorant of the character. This coin, which should have been omitted instead of the preceding, is the Vishnu-pati Nâgala pratima, or half pagoda of the surâs, very common, often made into ornaments.—W. E.


No. 179.—Gold. Obo. Same as the last. Rev. Granulated charged with a star. (p. 143.) This is the Madras star-pagoda. This and the preceding numbers come into use on the fall of the Vijayanagar dynasty, and were adopted by the local chiefs of Nâlâ, Venkatagiri, Tirupati, etc. (pp. 99, 143.), and by the European factories. Nos. 180, 181, 182, like the above, were struck on the east coast, and by the Nâlâ of the Carnatic, others by Zambularia. (p. 143.) The same remark applies to No. 183; only the figures are seated.—W. E.

No. 180.—Gold. Obo. Vishnu and his two wives standing. Rev. Granulated, known as the Kurukshetra pagoda, struck by the Nawâbs of Arosot. (p. 144.)

No. 181.—Gold. Obo. Same as above. Rev. Granulated, with the Arabic letter in (g) struck by Safdar ‘Ali, of the family of the Arosot Nawâb. (p. 144.)

No. 182.—Gold. Obo. Same as above, but the three figures are seated. Rev. Sri Pratâpakâ Krishna.

No. 183.—Sapphire ring with same figures as on the last. (p. 144, note.)

No. 184.—Gold. (Spurious.) Obo. Vishnu and his two wives standing. Rev. Granulated, with the Arabic letters (w) Walâ, for the Nawâb Wâlîd. (p. 144, note 2.)

No. 185.—Gold. (Spurious.) Obo. Hanumân. Rev. Same as the last. (p. 144, note 2.)

No. 186.—Gold. Obo. Sura seated. Rev. Pratâpâ Krishna in Nâgari. The same coin as No. 7 in Mr. Thomas’s note, p. 38, undoubtedly belonging to one of the Ceded District Polygons. (pp. 145-6, 143, 144-5.)

No. 187.—Gold. Obo. and Rev. Same as the last.

No. 188.—Gold. Obo. Same as the last two, with Vaishnava emblems of Sûkhâ and Chakrâ. Rev. Same as above. (pp. 99, 142, note 2, 143, 144-6.)

Nos. 189, 190, 191, 192.—Gold. Viíra Viíga Panams. Obo. Transverse bar with three lines of dots above. Rev. A design not explained. (p. 146.)

No. 193.—Silver. The Malabar târi. Obo. A deity. Rev. Similar to above. (pp. 57, 147.)

No. 194.—Copper. Miconet’s scale, size 4½. Weight, 172 grains. Obo. and Rev. Like the Viíra Viíga Panam, of which it is apparently a multiple. Not common.

No. 195.—Cancelled.

No. 196.—Silver. Weight, 11½ grains. Double Chakrâ of Travancore. Obo. Sûkhâ shell. Rev. Hexagonal diagram interlaced. The Sûkhâ shell is the cognizance of the Travancore state, and the diagram is seen on many of their coins. (p. 139.)

No. 197.—Copper. A dudhâ or four laks. Weight, 39½ grains. Obo. Vishnu or Krishna with a sprig or branch under the arm, and the Mala- yânum numeral 4 in the exergue. Rev. Hexagonal diagram. (p. 139.)

N.B.—The last two Nos. (196, 197) are added as examples of Western Coast coins.
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A.
Abdur-Razak's account of the city of Peshawar, 96.
Abdullah Kuth Shah, 100.
Abdullah Wazif, notice of a disputed succession in the Pandyan state, 123.
Abhis, Abhir, the pastoral tribe of Abhir. 69.
'Adil Shahi dynasty, 98; coins of, 138.
Adapuri, or Tondaimmin, 37.
Ardur, inscription at, 68.
Apostle, early mission of, 1.
Aghorawara, 104.
Agnatic slaves, 38.
Agni kula, fire dynasties, 70.
Agrahasthana, a Tamil caste, 43.
Ahavamalaka, tale of a Chalukya king, 73, 121.
Abhir, a great pastoral tribe, 80.
Ahmadnagar, gold coin found at, 56.
Ailhole inscription, the, 65.
Aisa, inscription of king, 29.
Al Biruni referred to, 132.
Ala Vema Reddi, 101.
Ala-ud-din, his conquest and plunder of the south, 33, 57, 79, 81, 90, 133, 132.
Ali 'Adil Shah, 95.
Allada Rhoopati, Allanda Reddi, members of the Vema Reddi family, 101.
Anama Krishna, the, 37.
Amaravarasi, inscription at, 161.
Amir Khurru on a disputed Pandyan succession, 123.
Anagavathavasa of the Eeja dynasty, 74, 75, 76, 77.
Anasi lausu. Anasi miti, Aane paina, elephant stamped coins, 165, 170.
Annamala, name of an Ambura prince, 12.
Anandarama, name of a Travancore coin, 138, 139.
Andras, or Andiras, so called by Pinya, 16.
Andiras, coins of the, 7; notice of the, by the Greeks and Romans. 9; mentioned in the tablet at Shikhlgungari, 11; inscriptions relating to the, 12, 13, 14; period of their rule, 15; distribution of the, 10; migration of the, 16; Andiras-Srihity, 13, 14; Anthara-jatya, 14; close of dynasty, 21; monetary system of the, 22; list of coins, 22-34.

B.
Babu Rajaendra Mitrtra referred to, 296.
Baddami inscription, the, 65.
Bagavadi, the tutelary deity of Madras, 54.
Bahluli pagoda, 106.
Bhameh king, 84; state of Calburga, 90.
Bali Krishna, figure of, on Mauor coins, 106.
Balabhadra, 104.
Balavaraja, 60.
Ball, Vincent, on obsolete copper mints, 37, 38.
Ballalis, Hoya, 80, 91, 116, 122, 131.
Bar yuchre, the, name of a fabulous bird, 357.
Banasa, founder of the Lingayat sect, 44; Rasa-parsa, the sacred book of the Lingayats, 78.
Buddha and Jains, feud between, 36.
Bayley, Sir E. Clive, referred to, 45.
Beal, Rev. S., referred to, 36.
Bedee, the predatory tribe of, 151.
Belfor (Velapara) inscription at, 116.
Bhagwan Lhii Indrani, referred to, 120.
Bhakta, his discoveries at Nopara, etc., quoted or referred to, 23, 25, 26, 27, 103.
Bhavamakar, Prof., referred to, 74, 115.
Bhami Vikrama, early Malabar prince, 137.
Bhaskatikaraya, author of the Lilavati, 46.
Bhan Dajji, Dr., referred to, 12, 19, 28.
Bhilla, 79.
Bhills, a predatory tribe, 1.
Bilawa, Rajas of Vardhamanamguri, 52.
Bhupalana, Ganga, 116.
Bhumavamsamala, 65.
Bhuvananikavat, 147.
Bhuvana svara, 126.
Budie, Dr., referred to, 96, 97, 98, note, 75.
Bijjiya, 72, 77, 78.
Bir Pandya, 123.
Bird, Dr., opens the tops at Kayberi, 13.
Boar, the, a symbol of the Chalukya, 67, 72; adopted by the Vijayanagares, 95.
Boosrakka, a base coin so called, 142.
Bow a, the Chera emblem, 66, 109, 117, 129; with arrow, 23, 29.
Brow, Mr., on the weights of Travancore, 50.
Buchanan, Dr. Francis, on coins of Malabar, 64, 89, 105a, 138, 142.
Buddhist symbols on Ambura coins, 22.
Buddhist, persecution of the, 72, 126.
Buhler, Dr., referred to or quoted, 12a, 17, 24, 58, 73, 85.
Bukkaryaka, founder of Vijayanagara, 90, 91; double coin of, 95; a second of the same, 100.
Burges, Dr., referred to or quoted, 13a, 25, 26, 74, 93a, 96, 97, 100, 135.
Burnell, Dr. A. C., quoted or referred to, 2, 11, 37, 38, 39, note, 75, 86, 88, 94, 105, 113a, 113b, 115, 121, 125; his list of Vijayanagara kings, 84.
Burnet, M., his opinions on the Puranas, 4b, referred to, 48, 51, 58.
INDEX.

C.

Cauhainus, the seeds of a species of
cucumis, used as weights and for other
purposes, 46.

Cakara, Dr., quoted or referred to, 18,
44.

Calebrooks, Sir H. T., his opinion on the
Puranas, 9a.; inscriptions edited by,
9a.

Cand, Nicolò, the Venetian traveller, 93.

Copper coinage, described, 58 et seq.;
embossing, 60; rare coinage, 58.

Copper coins relating to the Pallavas, 59.

Cormus coins found along the
33.

Crawf., derivation of, 59.

Cunningham, General, referred to or
quoted, 9a., 11a., 15a., 16a., 17a., 18a.,
20., 24a., 25a., 45., 48a., 62a., 71a., 72a.,
76a., 124.

Curzola, battle of, 124.

D.

Dakshamitra, 14; or, Dakshamitra,
senior, daughter of Yabhuvas, 36.

Dakshamitra or Dakshamitra, geographical
description of the, 1; Dakshamrit, 74.

Dakshinkapatyanam, a term com-
prehending the Dravidian races, 1a.

Dalmati, a military title, 98.

Dalma, Colonel, referred to, 18a., 38.

Damarika, capital of, 78a.

Danda-vayyakas, title of, 72.

Dandavarts, or Damavarts, the Ratta
king, 72; inscriptions of, 74, 77.

Das Kauri, Andhra, 13.

Datru Arivitu, 113. See Arivita.

Davala, T. W. Raha, quoted or referred to,
47a., 49a., 109, 110, 122.

Davula, T. W. Raha quoted or referred to,
197a., 198., 112.

Dekker, R., quoted, 57a., 58a.

Daks, 96, 98a.

Devarji, Yudhvas, of, 79.

Dhitri Vivashika, 11.

Dumakakata, supposed site of, 10.

Dhamma, 72, 77.

Digambara ideals of duty for Hindu
people, 37.

Dippa, 14, 26.

Dissera, 16a.

Dokra, a coin, 138a.

Dokra, Edelst, 101.

Dowson, Professor, referred to, 70a., 107.

Dravid, 139.

Dravid, and Dravida-Deenam, 1, 2, 3, 4,
8, 21, 42, 66, 5, 89, 93, 109, 122, 123,

Dravidian coinage, 109; inscriptions men-
tioned in Anaya's vedita, 107, 111, 130.

Dura Paleg, 106, 145.

Durga, figure of, on gold coins, 99, 105.

Durgi, Riddhrama Dvitiya's inscription at,
64.

Dutch East India Company, 134, 142; Mint at Polaut, 145; supplanted the
Portuguese, 145.

Dyana, Nandar, 1, 113.

Drivakravatipvarasahiwara, 73, 9a.

Dynastic lists.

Andhra, according to the Puranas, 11.

Cochin, 141.

Hindu of South India, 4.

Mahomedan kings of the Dak-
ham, 6.

Pandya Kings, 124.

Travancore Sovereigns, 140.

Vijayanagar Raja's, 93, 99.

Dynasties of Dravidas, 111 et seq. See
Genealogical Lists.

E.

Earliest indigenous coins 53 et seq.

Eggeling, Prof., referred to, 12, 39.

Egyptians, use of scales by, 7;

Elding, term suggested for the earliest
silver coins, 43, 111.

Elephant cash, 105.

Elephant, tree, used as the emblazon
of various dynasties, 99; the
emblem of the Nandas, 140, 116.

Elyot, Sir H., Historians, referred to,
83, 89, 123.

Elphinstone, India, referred to, 53.

Enck, inscription of Hangur, the
Ratta king, at, 74.

Eras, earliest Indian, 19.

Erastheenes, 108.

F.

Fбраtti's Etruscan Antiquities, 32a.

Farsam, 49, et seq.; especially 146-148.

Ferguson, James, on the native eras,
19a.; referred to, 27a., 37a.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.

Pethighipas, 144, 145.
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H.


Hajus, a sect of Brahmins, 61.

Hat-haya dynasty, 11, 77.

Hatphale, 84.

Hilli, Prof., F.s. Edward, referred to, 11, 31.

Hindi branch of the Kadamba dynasty, 65.

Hastamal, an insignia of the Kadambas 66, on the Vijayanagar coins, 93, 96, 98; supposed figure of, on Chola coins, 109; on Curnatic Nawab's dies, 141.

Harikarn, 90c, 91, 92, 97a, 98a.


Harpanahalli pagodas, 145.

Harsha, king of Ujjayini, 19; his era, 19a.

Hawkes, Colocot, mentioned, 146.

Heyne's Tracts on India, referred to, 50c, 60a.

Hiveen Thang, mentioned, 125a.

Hodgeson, Reim II, quoted, 46c, 61a.

Hook money, 135.

Hoysala Ballisars, 9c; coins of, 81, 82; mentioned, 116, 122, 131.

Holoth, Dr. R., referred to, 99.

Huns, gold coins so called, 98, 106, 116, 144.

Hunter, Dr. W. W., referred to 15a.

I.

Ihe Batuta, referred to, 69a.

Ikshvakus, Adil Shabër, 11, 94.

Idagak, 40c.

Iktari, Raja of, 105; pagodas, 106.

India-Skythian coins, 14, 16a.

Iron coin of Travancore, 137.

J.

Jacob, Sir G. O. Le Grand, referred to, 92.

Jagatendrav, 92.

Jagaya-pratipada, 25.

Jainaveda, 112. See Gangas dynasty.

Jainas, the earliest cultivators of Dravidi literature, 27; evidence of their skill, 31; relics of, 49; perseverance of the 91; great philanthropist of, the 126.

Jainism, character of, 119.

Janapada or Lingyapat sect, 78, 92, 133.

Jatakan inscription, 25.

Jaun Sinha, 71.

Jaye, inscription of, 27.

Jey's Weight of Konkan, referred to, 25.

Jones, Sir W., quoted, 26.

Jodhpur, inscription at, 25.

K.

Kadam, 140.

Kadamba dynasty, 44; historical notice of, 64 et seq.: genealogical lists of the, 66, 69.

Karka, copper-plates found at, 70-1a.

Kakatiya dynasty, 72: historical notice of, 92-94: genealogical list of the, 94: coins of the, 95.

Kaladhiri dynasty, 11: family, 72: historical notice of, 77: coins and inscriptions of the, 87; genealogical list of the, 78.

Kalam, 40a.

Kalama, 47.

Kalaju, a seminal weight, 47, 48, 49, 61, 92, 146.

Kale, Dewar, 123.

Kalhama papadit, 72.

Kali fanam, 137, 139, 139.

Kali Vikrama, 40, 63, 88.

Kali-yugen aksham fanam, 137.

Kalinga, 10.

Kalingapatam, 15.

Kalniga, 14, 15.

Kajjar, Kajtars, a predatory tribe, 42, 43.

Kamakhso, 125.


Kakakuk Siram, the old treatment on arithmetical. 46.

Kanchi, 122a, 125a.

Kandara, king, 41.

Kanheri (or Kanheri), the top of, 13, 14, 20, 24.

Kanisa, inscriptions of, 15, 16.

Kapilavibhara Karava Raja, 105.

Kapithaliyana fanams, 146; 80s, 106; pagodas, 146.

Kavarajana gotha, 112.

Karkal, colonial status at, 37.

Karkara, the last Ratta king, 72.

Karli, the temple at, 12, 14, 22, 28.

Karna, a dynastic title, meaning of the word, etc., 21.

Kaur, inscription at, 122a.

Kavara, the small copper coins, 59. See Cash.

Kaval, part of 124: king of, 125.

Kediri, Raja of, 105a.

Kennedy, Colonel Vans, opinion on the Purinas, 9a.

Keralas, Sa, 62, 63, 65, 141, 146, 147; political divisions of, 91; dynasty of, 107, 108, 111, 136, 137.

Keralal Upatth, 61.

Keraukia Chali, 81.

Kerr, Professor, referred to, 11.

Kesava, an author, 37a.

Khagparathia, the race of, 16.

Khalasa, the king of Kalinga, 29.

Khalipa, plaques, 103.

Khole, 9.

Kirtivarma Chalukya I, inscription of, 65, 72c; referred to, 85.

Kirtivarma Chalukya II, 71.

Kittel, Mr., referred to 116.

Kittoe, Captain M., referred to, 26c.

Kings, 135.

Ko Panakastu Vamk, 42.

Kodaga (Coorgi), inscriptions from, 115.

Konar gold mines, 39.

Krallat, 137. See Chirakal.

Kiret, 62, 63, 137.

Kilcha, 9.

Kolbidi or Kekobdi, 119.

Kota, 56.

Konidav, Venn Ralfas of, 101.
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I.

L.

INDEX.

M.

Monetary scale of Anusha coinage, 22; system of India, of indigenous origin, 67.

Moore's Hindu Pantheon, referred to, 79, 94c, 116.

Mrigavarma Kadamba, 62, 69.

Muhammad 'Ali, 144; see Waliiah.

Muhammad bin Tughlak Shih, 132.

Muller, Dr. Ludwig, 32d.

Muller, Prof. Max, quoted, 29, 27c.

Muno, Sir T., referred to, 59, 102, 143.

Murpura Basim Nizam Shah, 91.

Mushtari, of the Koygi dynasty, 118.

Mutettii (Mutapulli), Queen of, 83c.

Muttia Kranamappa Nayaka of Madura, 124a.

N.

Nadus, divisions of Tondamandalam, 37, 38c, 92.

Naga Kanda, name of an Andhra, 13.

Nagulavarti, wife of a Vijayanagar prince, 93b.

Namangala copper-plate, the, 113, 114.

Narayana, a Satrap of Gujarath, 14, 18, 19a, 25; coins of, 26.

Nai, a tribe of Malabar, 45a, 45b.

Nambonti, Malabar Brahman, 61.

Nanchat, the sculptures at, 13.

Nanda, king of Madura, 29c.

Nandu, remotest sacred bull, 83, 102, 133.

Nandi Varkh Pallevarapa, 40; Pota Varkh, 41.

Nanak Pali, Dewan of Travancore, information received from, 136.

Narasapati dynasty, 90c.

Narasimba Ballala, 81, 116, 122, 131.

Nara sings Raja of Vijayanagar, 92; coins of, 85; his dynasty, lost of, 93.

Nasi, cave temple, inscriptions, 7, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19a, 20, 26, 29; constructors of, 19.

Native scales and weights, 48c, 49c.

Nava Chola Chitra, the, Tamil MS., 132.

Nawab Daud Khan, 144.

Negapatam, ancient edifice at, 126, 137c, 138, 143.

Nelson's, J. H., "Madura," 42c, 121a, 125a, 125b, 134c.

Nepali, Rajas of, 99.

Newton, Mr. Justice, quoted, 12c, 19c.

Maha Sekharia, author of the Kapakke Sannas, 46c.

Nirgun, Raja of, 42.

Nishkam, gold coins, 81.

Niyogi Brahman, 83.

Nordenskiold, site of, 130.

Norbb, the, as a honorary distinction, 78c, 103.

O.

Oldenberg, Dr., referred to, 15.

Orchheus, site of, 130.
INDEX.

Pudimadha, Travancore deity, 139
Puduma-paikus, gold coins, 54, 55, 66, 99.
Pugil, European origin of the term, 154.
Pulavat, 42a.
Pulavuvar, 42a.
Pallala dynasty, 89.
Pallivasalappattu, 42.
Pallava, 17, 21, geographical and historical notice of the, 39; genealogical list of the, 41; grants of the, 44; Pallavodharaj, 42, 113; Pallavavijaya, 42.
Pallalis, a caste, 42.
Paras, 47.
Punja, 51.
Punishana Suddha, 78a, 103. See Nandha.
Punishaker caste, ib., 63.
Pundrom tribe, 48, 41.
Pundhurakilaya, 133.
Pratul kyla, or kusvapatu, 45, 137a.
Prata dynasty, 107, historical notice of the, 119 et seq.; coins of the, 119, discrepancies in the genealogical lists, 121, difficulties of their later chronology, 133, further notes on their coins, 125, dynastic lists of the, 123, 129.
Pudiyon Nallurama Varna, etc., legend on a seal, 124.
Parkasana Bhagavat, the Great, 109, 110, 122.
Paras Chana, 61, 137a.
Parthasara, the one of the Agni kula, 70.
Parthel Anabharis.
Parthala, name Pinc, 10.
Pariva, figure of, on coins, 91, 105, 143.
Pariva, often referred to, 21, 22, coins belonging to, described, 81; usurpers of Kalasa-chab described by, 121; gold Pratul coins described by, 125; Chola coins belonging to, 122, 136, 144.
Pratulakunda, second capital of Vijayanagar, 88, 93, 98, 99.
Parvati, first of the Kalacharins, 77.
Parvati, Parumallu, or Prolva Reddi, first of the Woreang family, 101.
Pattakottai Table, quoted, 16, 118.
Phyra, Sir Arthur, referred to, 134.
Phulikottu, pathway pagada, 55.
Prasada of the west coast, 51.
Pliny the Elder, referred to, 9, quoted, 22.
Plutarch, 146.
Polyandry, 46.
Polypamy in Rapti families, 17.
Polygamy, 11, 114.
Pos, original name of the earliest gold coins, 53.
Porto Novo pagada, 143, 144, 145.
Powell, Professor E. R., on the origin of the Vaisnav Purana, 39.
Punan, the one of the Agni kula, 70.
Pratipadra, history of, 83 et seq.
Prati, Eastern.
Predatory tribes in India, names of, 1a, 60, 14, 42, 45, 64, 105.
Prime, James, quoted, 2, 12, 13.
78a, 40, 68a, 68b, 69, 108a, 109, 138a.
Rathiraj, quoted, 112.
Prathivari Kangayam, 112.
Pulk, founder of the Kakanayas, 82.
Polemy, his geography, 10, 51a, 54, 74a, 89, 91, 112, 139.
Puduma, coins, 143.
Pudumavi, inscription of, 17; coin of, 33.
Pulikach, 39, 70, 71.
Pulivellalar, 116.
Pulivellalar, 116.
Puliyon, last of the Satavahanas, 18, 20.
Punch-coins, 65a.
Paral, its bronze gate transported to Tanjore, 57.
Parvus, name of the earliest silver currency, 45.
Parvus, the sacred books, 7; Andhra dynasty according to the, 8; opinions on the, 89.
Puchis his Piligrimes, quoted, 94.
Prisiutikakaj, name in Jaggaya-yapasata stupa 29.
Putana Sambhava, coin, 33.
Puttan, Cockleshell new coins, 141, 142.

R.

Rahulovu Mudaliar, 141.
Raj Wodeyar of Mysore, 104.
Raja Anacchandar Pillai, 41.
Raja Bhrahul of Pudukkottah, 13.
Raja Mallu, grant of, 111, 115.
Raja Narendra, Chalukya, 88.
Raja Raja Chola, 65, 88, coin of, 120, 123, 134.
Raja Virachchel Aibhara, 11.
Raghunandini, kingdom, 88.
Rajajavanagir, the, 72.
Rajendra Chola, 41, 42, 46, 120, 121, coin of, 132.
Rama Rajas of Vijayanagar, 83, 95, 98, 138.
Ramanuja-charyya, 81, 156, coin of, 82.
Rama-kanbha, 85, 99.
Ramaswamy, the, referred to, 82.
Ranum, coins of, 124.
Ranum, a predatory tribe, 44.
Raghunath Chakravarta, 77.
Ranga II, grant of, 100.
Rashchid-sudan, referred to, 129.
Rah fiberglass dynasty, 74; probably the same as the Ratties, 73.
Rah, an old Hindu coinage, 137, 138, 147.
Rah, the, nominal weight, 48 et seq.
Rah val, 138.
Rattias, 21, rise of the, 72; geographical and historical notice of the, 74-5; inscriptions of the, 74; genealogical list of the, 77; their coins, 77.
Rathihali, group of statues at, 80.
Ravi Verma Pillava, 42.
Rveda or Eastern Teluguna, 74.
Rveda, 46, 64.
Rvedas, counterpart of, 67a.
Ric, Mr. mentioned, 42a, 43a, 64a, 106b, 113, 114, 116a.
Risa, 118.
Roman coins found on the Coromandel coast, 33.
Rost, Dr., referred to, 97a.
Rotche, Dictionary, referred to, 42a, ib., 49.
Rudra Daman of Gujaw, 92, 14, 17, 18, 19, 28, 42.
Rudra, son of Prana of Woreang, 82.
Rudranma Devi, widow of Gopinatha, of Woreang, 83.
Rupach, first introduction of, 58; when first counsel at Fort St. George, 145.
S.

S'aozset Ulla Khan, Nawab of Carnatic, 144.
Sadouche, 93; Caesar Frederick's account of, 94; grant of, 95, 100.
Safar Ali Khan, Nawab of Carnatic, 144.
Sah dynasty of Surat, 12, 27, 77.
Sah, 138; See Fish money.
Savera dons, 92, 126, 133.
Saka era, the founder of the, 19a, meaning of, 19a.
Saka, Sthatsya, 17.
Salkis, the legend of, 80. See Royasal.
Salkis, or punch, 49a.
Saktha, or punch or sikka of Pillavas, 10.
Salava dynasty, 43a, 88a.
Salivahan or Satavahan, 19. See Era.
Sallekhan, 43m.
Santu, mohe of Kureis, 62, 89.
Sanum Kallala, coins of, 126.
Sandoroth and Sanirincopets, 70.
Sangana, ancestor of the Vijayanagar dynasty, 90.
Sanghamvari, find of coins at, 138.
Sankaracharya, the doctrines of, 91, 92, 129.
Sanath, the, son of Chalukya coins 10, and Plate I. Fig. 19; on Pampysh coins, 126; the Travancore emblem, 127-9; Cochin, 141-2.
Santala, 1, 50a.
Saptakotonasa, 39.
Saptaks Raghuravars, 131.
Sambhachariya, or Subhamiya, 20m.
Sambhachariya, 12, 14, 19.
Sathavanka branch established, 18.
Sathyasivaguru, name of Pulikallu? 11.
Sahakara, 71.
Satyavakya Konniga Varnas, 114, 115.
Sundari inscriptions, 76.
Svara, a hill tribe, 16.
Swinne, said to be the "brother" (?) of Madhava, 91.
Swaith, English coin on which is the sun symbol, 23m.
Schlamm, Dr., referred to, 32a.
Schwanbach, Dr., editor of the fragments of Magana, 9.
Svettas pagoda, 145.
Srihyas hedge in Central Asia, 15; their power established in Magadh, 16.
Sreedasam era, 26.
Seemacur, Nisatter, 7, 9.
Sequesto, 59, 137a.
INDEX

Vijayanagar kingdom, general account of, 92, 109: rise of the dynasty of, 90: administrative reforms in the, 92: lists of sovereigns of, 93, 94: break-up of the, 93: coinage of the, 95: Mr. Thomas's notes on the coinage of the, 96a, 97a: signet ring (?), 144: foundation of the city of, 91: notice of, 93.

Vikrama Chola, 132.

Vikramāditya Chalukya, 114, 131a; Vikramāditya VI, 88; Vikramāditya era, 106, 17a; Vikramāditya, Princ, 19a.

Vikramanka Charitra, the, 88.

Vid Kato, 137a.

Vinayaditya Chalukya, 86.

Vinayaditya Chalukya, 86.

Vimenesa Mara, 129.

Vindhyakuta, a title of Agastya, 9a.

Vira Ballal, 89.

Vira Deva Chola, son of, 88.

Vira Narasimha of Vijaya nagar, 92.

Vira Narasimha, Hirasa, 131.


Vira Sava sect, 78. See Jangama and Lingayat.

Vira Somasa, seal of (Illustrated), 81: referred to, 121. See Somewara Ballal.

Virabhadra of Worangal, 84; Vira of Keadavadi, 101.

Virupāsana, 128, 146, 146, 147.

Virupaksha, inscriptions of, 93c.

Visalakshi, name of Minakshi Devi, 129.

Vishnu Bhūpāla of Hoyala family, 116a.

Vishnu, emblems of, on Pādiyana, 106; on Chōla, 128, 142a; on bats of Durga, 143.

Vishnu Gopa of the Kṛṣṇa dynasty, 114.

Vishnu Gopā Vasula Pāllava, 59.

Vishnu Purāṇa, various opinions on the, 14a; referred to, 99.

Vinayaditya Kadamba, grant of, 51a.

Vishnudevadana Chalukya, seal of, 78a, 116.

Vishnudevadana, grandson of Vīra- ditya, 80, 81, 82.

Vishnudevadana Hoyala, 114, 126.

Vineoher, J. Cantor, Letters, 137a, 142.

Vivakṣarmī, 113.

W.

Wala, Nawāb-ul-Hind, 14a.

Wala, Nāyā, pagoda, 144.

Walsham, M. J., Archaeological Notes, 137a.

Walsham, Mr., quoted, 57a.

Weber, Dr., quoted, 2a, 46a.

Weights, tables of, 47, 48a, 19a, 92a.

Western Chalukya dynasty, historical survey of, 70 et seq. See Chalukya.


Wilson, Loc. cit., referred to, 106a.

Wilford, Colonel, quoted, 8; his opinion of the Puranas, 59a, quoted or referred to, 11a, 19a, 29a, 67, 77.

Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, referred to, 49a.

Wilkie Myore, referred to, 93a, 104a, 136a.

Wilson, Dr. John, mentioned, 138.

Wilson, Prof. H. H., quoted, 14; his opinion of the Puranas, 39a, quoted or referred to, 10a, 11a, 12a, 14a, 22a, 20a, 45a, 50a, 60a, 67, 71, 83, 85, 89, 90a, 91, 92, 93, 96a, 97a, 97a, 101, 107, 111, 119, 121, 130, 131a.

Winter, Sir George, mentioned, 14a.

Wodeyar, a title, 90a, dynasty, historical notice of the, 156; genealogical list of the, 154; coat of the, 15b.

Wolfchildren of Oudh, 106a.

Worongal, Ganasu dynasty, 82, conquered by the Muhammadans, 59: fall of the, 101.

Y.

Yadava of Dērāgiri, 72: historical notice and genealogical list of the, 79.

Yadavas of Dvārasamudra, geographical and historical notice of the, 80-2; mentioned, 115.

Yatuo, the ancestor of the Rattas, 74; of the two Yadava dynasties, 75, 80; of the Vijayāngara dynasty, 88, 90; and of Mālavar, 90.

Yayana or Yaγγalā, the goddess of Madras, 51a.

Yajna Svāsakara, son of, 21.

Yajna, sons of, 20.

Yasa Nāyaka, sons of, 34.

Yavakula caste, 114.

Yavanas, 17.

Yuddha Malla, 124a.

Yulha Amara, 19a.

Yule, Colonel, referred to, 86a, 125a.

Yusuf 'All Shih's adoption of Hindu costume, 56.

Z.

Zamorin, the, 62, 136, 137, 141, 147.

See Samudra.
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