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FOREWORD

The present book is the third in our new series of Research Lectures. It embodies the three lectures delivered in our Department in January 1969 by Dr. D. C. Sircar, Carmichael Professor of Ancient Indian History and Culture and Director, Centre of Advanced Study, Calcutta University. I am grateful to this scholar who kindly accepted my invitation to deliver these lectures and gave me an opportunity to publish them. These lectures deal with some problems in the history of the Kuśāṇas who occupy an important place in the ancient history of India. As foreigners they came and settled in the north-western part of this country in the early century of the Christian era. Then, they extended their sway over large areas of North India and contributed to the growth of Indian culture.

Before delivering these lectures, Dr. Sircar had the added advantage of discussing some of the problems in an international seminar on Kuśāṇa history organised in Dushambe in Tajikistan (USSR) in October 1968. I am glad that the results of these discussions have also been incorporated in these lectures. Thus I hope the scholars interested in the subject will find them very useful.

My colleagues in the Department, Dr. Shrinivas Ritti and Dr. B. R. Gopal have shouldered the burden of seeing this work through the press. Dr. A. Sundara who has recently joined this Department as Lecturer, has also helped in correcting the proofs. My Research Assissant Dr. (Miss) Leela Shantakumari has prepared the Index. The Artist Sri. R. S. Desai has prepared the cover design and the map. I thank them all.
The Pai brothers of the Prakash Printing House, Dharwar deserve our thanks for their neat job in a limited time.

Department of Ancient Indian History and Culture and Kannada Research Institute, Karnataka University, Dharwar.

P. B. Desai
Professor and Director
PREFACE

I express my thankfulness to the authorities of the Karnatak University for their kindness in inviting me to deliver a course of three lectures. I am particularly grateful to my old friend and colleague (in the Epigraphical Branch of the Archaeological Survey of India at Ootacamund), Dr. P. B. Desai, Professor and Head of the Department of Ancient Indian History and Culture, and Director of the Kannada Research Institute, who really extended the invitation to me on a few earlier occasions, and am glad that I have been able to accept the offer this time. I am also happy to note that Dr. S. H. Ritti and Dr. B. R. Gopal, who are now Readers in Prof. Desai's Department, but were once my valued Assistants when I was Government Epigraphist for India, exhibited great eagerness to bring me once to Dharwar.

On receipt of Prof. Desai's invitation in November 1968, I agreed to deliver three lectures on 'Some Problems Concerning the Kuśāṇas'. This was because I had the privilege of attending the International Conference on Kuśāṇa history and culture held at Dushanbe in Tajikistan (USSR) from the 27th September to the 5th October 1968 and raised a number of questions there. Although the standard of discussion at the conference was not as high as I expected it to be (because few participants exhibited their inclination or ability to participate), I was eager, on my return to India, to publish my own views on the problems. Thus it is some of those topics that I selected as the subject of my lectures.
When we were listening to some of the papers presented at the Dushanbe Conference by a number of archaeologists, it appeared to us that the authors' suppositions were more or less as follows:—(1) that all the Kuśāṇa kings were staunch followers of the Buddhist faith and that all of them were builders of innumerable Buddhist monasteries throughout their dominions; (2) that the empire of the Kuśāṇas was characterised by a distinct culture for which the monarchs alone were responsible; and (3) that the southern portions of the Central Asian Republics of the USSR lying to the north of the Oxus river were integral parts of the dominions of all the Kuśāṇa emperors. In our opinion, none of these views can be regarded as proved historical facts, so that they are likely to remain merely unproved guesses until further evidence is forthcoming on the points. It appears to us:—(1) that only some of the Kuśāṇa emperors had Buddhist leanings and that most of the monasteries built during their reigns were due to private enterprise; (2) that there was nothing like a uniform culture in the Kuśāṇa empire, different regions in it (e.g. Gandhāra and Mathurā) enjoying quite different cultures and that the so-called Kuśāṇa culture appears to be largely borrowed from the Scythians, Parthians, Greeks, Iranians, Indians and Chinese; and (3) that there is no evidence of Kuśāṇa rule in the Trans-Oxus region during the reigns of Kaṇiṣka I and his immediate successors including Vāsudeva I.

The problems referred to above require a thorough and careful examination. Closely allied to them is the question of the eastern boundary of the Kuśāṇa empire which was the subject of the paper presented by us at the Dushanbe Conference. In this connection, reference should also be made to another interesting question raised at the Conference by a participant who appeared to suppose that, during the Kuśāṇa age, Takṣaśilā was still a popular seat of learning, to which students of various parts of India are known to have flocked in an earlier age. It appears to us, however, that the popularity of Takṣaśilā must have
suffered in the period in question, because, as a result of the foreign occupation, the whole area watered by the Indus and its five eastern tributaries was particularly regarded by the people of the other parts of the subcontinent as an unholy land inhabited by godless people and unfit for being visited by righteous men.

Some of these problems I have discussed in my three lectures.

Department of Ancient Indian History and Culture, and Centre of Advanced Study, Calcutta University

D. C. Sircar
Professor and Director
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RELIGIOUS POLICY OF THE KUSANAS

Kujula Kadphises (Kadphises I), the first king of the Kuṣāṇa house, issued certain copper coins, the obverse of which exhibits the diademed bust of the Greek king Hermias with the Greek legends—*Basileos Sterossy Ermalou*, “[Coin] of King Hermias, the Saviour”, on the earlier issues, and *Kozoulou Kadphizou Korsolou*, “[Coin] of Kujula Kadphises, the Kuṣāṇa”, on the issues which appear to be later than the former. The reverse of these coins has the Prakrit legend written in Kharoṣṭhī characters—*Kujula-Kasasa Kuṣana-yavugasa dhrama-ṣhidasa*, “[Coin] of the Kuṣāṇa Prince Kujula Kadphises who is Steadfast in the Faith”. ¹

Another type of the same king’s copper coins has on the obverse, the king’s diademed head (supposed to be imitated from the coins of the Roman emperor Augustus) and the Greek legend—*Khoransu Zaoou Kozola-Kadaphes*, “[Coin] of Kujula Kadphises, the Kuṣāṇa Prince”, the Prakrit-Kharoṣṭhī legend on the reverse being—*Kuyula-Kaphasa Sacadhrama-ṣhitasa Kuṣanasa Yaiūasa*, [“Coin] of the Kuṣāṇa Prince Kujula Kadphises who is Steadfast in the True Faith”. ²

The epithets *Dharma-ṣhidā (Dharma-sthita)* and *Saca-dhrama-ṣhitā (Satya-dharma-sthita)* have been generally regarded by numismatists to indicate the Kuṣāṇa king’s adherence to the Buddhist faith. Another type of copper coins on which the legends cannot be read, but the obverse symbol of which is the Buddha seated in conventional attitude with an uncertain object in

right hand, has been attributed to the same king. It is thus possible to think that Kadphises I was a Buddhist. It may be noted, however, that an early inscription is known to apply the epithet *satya-dharma-sthita* to a devotee of the god Sarva or Śiva.

Kujula’s son and successor Vima Kadphises (Kadphises II) issued gold coins, the reverse side of which generally represented the god Śiva or the god’s emblem, the trident-battle-axe. Śiva is often standing, wearing necklace, with long trident in right hand and leaning against the bull. Sometimes the god has long trident-battle-axe in his right hand and the gourd and tiger-skin in left hand. The reverse legend in Prakrit and Kharoṣṭhī is—

*Maharajasa rajadrajasa sarvaloga-śvarasa Mahiśvarasa Vima-Kaṭphisasara tradarasa,* “[Coin] of Vima Kadphises, the King, the Supreme King of Kings, the Saviour who is a Mahēśvara (devotee of Mahēśvara or Śiva) and the lord of the whole

3 *Ibid.*, pp. 181-82 Some scholars think that the figure of the Buddha occurs on the coins (cf. Smith’s *Catalogue*, Plate VIII, 4) of the Śaka king Maues (c. 20 B.C.-75 A.D.) and on certain early Ujjainini issues. Others are doubtful about this. See J. N. Banerjea, *Development of Hindu Iconography*, 2nd ed., p. 112.

4 A Mathura inscription refers to a person as *satya-dharmaṁ* *māsthistasya Naṇayasya* (i.e. *Sarva-śca* (Ca)ṇḍāvir-aṭīṣṭha-rājasya) (cf. Lüders, *Mathura Inscriptions*, p. 138). The passage reminds us of *Maheśvara-Mahāsenāṭīṣṭha-rājya-vihaṇa* and of *Maheśvara-Mahāsenāṭīṣṭha-rājya* of other inscriptions (*Ep. Ind.*, Vol. XXVIII, p. 13, note 3). The three passages may suggest either that the gods Śrīva (Śiva) and Caṇḍāvīra in the first case and Maheśvara (Śiva) and Mahāśena (Skanda-Kārttikeya) in the second and third bestowed kingdoms to their devotees or that, of the three persons, the first dedicated his kingdom to the gods Śrīva and Caṇḍāvīra and the second and third, their dominions to the gods Maheśvara and Mahāśena; cf. *The Age of Imperial Unity*, ed. Majumdar, p. 161, notes 1 and 4; Sircar, *Studies in Indian Coins*, pp. 212 ff.; *Ep. Ind.*, Vol. XXX, p. 19. In either case, especially if the second interpretation of the passages is accepted, the epithet *Satya-dharma-sthita* in the first passage as well as on the coins of Kadphises I loses its Buddhistic significance.
There is little doubt that Kadphises II was a devotee of the god, Siva.

On the reverse of the coins of Kaņiška I, we have the representations of — (1) the fire-god Athsho (Persian Atash), (2) the Moon-god Mao, (3) the Sun-god Miiro, Miuro (or Mithra) or Miero (i.e. Neo-Sanskrit Mihira), (4) the mother-goddess Nana, Nanaia or Nanashao, (5) the war-god Orlagno (Persian Bahram), (6) the fire-god Pharro (Persian Farr), (7) the Sun-god Helios, (8) the wind-god Oado (Vado = Sanskrit Vata), (9) Bodd (Buddha), (10) Mozdoano (Ahura Mazda), (11) the Greek fire-god Hephastios, (12) Salene, (13) Manaobago, (14) Lrooaspo, etc. This seems to suggest that the king had a catholic religious policy and represented himself to his subjects, belonging to different religious communities all over his vast empire, as full of respect towards the deities worshipped by them. It will be seen that the Buddha was only one of the many divinities represented on the coins and named in the legends, so that their issue could hardly have been a staunch Buddhist, though he appears to have had Buddhistic leanings. By this time, the development of the Mahāyāna form of Buddhism had already started and the sage Gautama the Buddha had already become, in practice, a god ready to hear the prayers of the faithful.

An inscription from Surkh Kotal near Baglan on the Kunduz river in Northern Afghanistan speaks of the repairs to a temple called Kaneshko Oanindo Bago laggo (the sanctuary of the Victorious Kaņiška), in the year 31 of the Kaņiška era. This was a Fire temple of the Iranian type and not a Buddhist religious establishment. Kaņiška I, whose name is apparently

5 Ibid., pp. 183 ff.
9 See Journ. As., 1958, pp. 368 ff.
associated with the temple, thus does not appear to have been a staunch Buddhist.

According to Buddhist tradition, king Kaṇiṣka was a devout Buddhist and a great patron of Buddhism, who convened the Fourth Buddhist Council probably in Kashmir.¹⁰ "The stories told about Kaṇiṣka's conversion and his subsequent zeal for Buddhism have so much resemblance to the Aśoka legends that it is difficult to decide how far they are traditions of actual fact and how far merely echoes of older traditions."¹¹ It is also not easy to determine whether the Buddhist Kaṇiṣka was the first king of that name or one of his successors bearing the same name.

According to Hiuen-tsang, the Buddhist king Kaṇiṣka of Gandhāra built at the capital of the country, called Puruṣapura (Peshāwar), a magnificent stūpa 400 feet high with a superstructure of gilt-copper discs, its base being in five stages and 150 feet in height.¹² Kaṇiṣka also built a vihāra near the stūpa.¹³ In the 11th century, Al-Bīrūnī speaks of the Kanika-caitya (Kaṇiṣka-caitya) at Purushāvar (Peshāwar).¹⁴ According to the Ghoshravan inscription,¹⁵ Viradeva, son of the Brāhmaṇa Indragupta of Nāgarāhāra (Jalālībād), had studied at the feet of Açārya Sarvajñaśānti at the Kaṇiṣka-mahāvihāra, no doubt at Puruṣapura, before he came to the Yaśovamapura-vihāra (at Ghoshravan near Biharsarif in the Patna District, Bihar) and was honoured by king Devapāla (c. 810-50 A. D.). In any case, the founder of the Kaṇiṣka stūpa and monastery at Peshāwar may have been a devout Buddhist though it is uncertain whether he

---

¹⁰ Smith, op. cit., pp. 283 ff.
¹¹ Ibid., p. 280.
¹³ Ibid., p. 208.
¹⁵ Mahāreya, Gaṇḍālahamillā, pp. 46 ff.
has to be identified with Kaṇiṣka I or one of his namesakes among his successors.

The Rājatarāṅgini speaks of the three Turuṣka kings of Kashmir named Huṣka, Juṣka and Kaṇiṣka (usually identified with Huviṣka, Vāsiṣka or Vajeska, and Kaṇiṣka II of the Ara inscription of the Kaṇiṣka year 41)\(^{16}\) and represents them as having Buddhist leanings.\(^{17}\) "Then there was, in this land, three kings called Huṣka, Juṣka and Kaṇiṣka, who built three towns named after them (i.e. Huṣkapura, Juṣkapura and Kaṇiṣkapura). That wise king Juṣka, who built Juṣkapura with its vihāra was also the founder of Jayasvāmipura (apparently named after the god Jayasvāmin, a form of Viṣṇu).\(^{18}\) These kings who were given to acts of piety, though descended from the Turuṣka race, built at Suṣkaletra\(^{19}\) and other places māṭhas, caitvas and similar structures. During the powerful reign of these kings, the land of Kashmir was, to a great extent, in the possession of the

---

\(^{16}\) Kalhana, the author of the Rājatarāṅgini, is believed to represent Huṣka, Juṣka and Kaṇiṣka as having ruled simultaneously (Stein, Kalhana’s Rājatarāṅgini, Vol. I, Intro., p. 76). The rule of Kaṇiṣka II (year 41) falls within Huviṣka’s reign period (years 28-60), while the Sanchi inscription of Vāsiṣka (years 24-28), dated year 28 Hemaṇa I Divara 5 (i.e. Mārgāśiśa-Padi 5) is later than the Mathura inscription of Huviṣka, dated 28, first day of the month of Gurniya (Bṛhadrapada-Āśvina) since the year seems to have started after the Phālguna fullmoon (i.e. with Pūrṇimānta Caitra). See Sel. Ins., Vol. I, 1965 ed., pp. 150 ff. (cf. p. 152, note 8).

\(^{17}\) I. 168 ff.

\(^{18}\) III. 350; V. 449; cf. III. 453-54. Stein, in his translation of the Rājatarangini, regards Jayasvāmin correctly as Viṣṇu at one place (p. 102) but as the Sun-god elsewhere (p. 232), because, in the latter case, the god is called Jayasvāmivirocana. The name Virocana, however, is also applied to Viṣṇu according to Monier-Williams’ Sanskrit-English Dictionary.

\(^{19}\) Suṣkaletra (modern Haklitre in the Doonsoo Pargana) and Vistātra (modern Vithvutur near Vernag, the source of the Vistāru) were famous for the numerous Buddhist monasteries built by Añoka (Rājatarāṅgini, I. 102). The Dharmarāṇyavihāra at the latter place (ibid, I. 103) is supposed to have been pre-Añoka.
Buddhas who, by practising the law of religious mendicancy (praṇavajya), had acquired great renown. At that time, one hundred and fifty years had passed in this terrestrial world since the blessed Śākyasiṃha (the Buddha) had acquired great renown. And a Bodhisattva lived then in this country as the sole lord of the land, namely, the glorious Nāgarjuna who resided at Śaḍarhadvāna.\textsuperscript{20}

Nothing is clearly known about the religious inclinations of Vāsiṣṭha from his inscriptions. We have as yet discovered no coins of this king. If, however, his identification with Juṣṭa of the Rājatarāṅgiṇī is accepted, the king had probably both Vaiśṇavite and Buddhistic leanings. This is in keeping with the representation of diverse deities on the reverse of the coins of Kaṇiṣṭha I and the erection, by the same king, of a vihāra or caitya at Peshāwar and an Iranian Fire temple at Surkh Kotal. Kalhaṇa’s reference to the predominance of the Buddhists in the time of the Turuṣka (Kuśāṇa) kings may be true, because the Saka-Kuśāṇa age witnessed the rise and development of the Mahāyāna form of Buddhism, and it is well known that the growth of a new doctrine or sect is often characterised by great popular enthusiasm. The Buddhist tradition that the Fourth Buddhist Council was held in the Kashmir region under the patronage of king Kaṇiṣṭha cannot be dissociated from the Rājatarāṅgiṇī tradition regarding the influence of the Buddhists in the area.

Like the coins of Kaṇiṣṭha, the reverse of Huviṣka’s coins also exhibits a variety of divinities— (1) Athsho (Greek Ἡπαθίστος and Indian Viśvakarman), (2) the goddess of abundance Ardoksho, (3) the Moon-god Monaobago or Mao,

\textsuperscript{20} Stein, \textit{op. cit.}, pp. 30-31. The tradition that the Kuśāṇa kings flourished 150 years after the Buddha’s death, (i.e. in the latter half of the fourth century B. C.), is of course wrong by several centuries.
(4) the Sun-god Helios, Anio, Miire or Mioro, (5) the goddess Nanashao, (6) the goddess Oanao or Oaninda, (7) the god Oesh (Viṣṇa, i.e. Śiva), (8) the war-god Shaoreoro (Persian Shahrewar, Greek Ares), (9) Herakles, (10) the fire-god Pharro (Persian Farr), (11) the four-armed god Ooshna (Viṣṇu), (12) Gaṇeṣa (Śiva), (13) Ommo (Umā), (14) Skando-Komaro (Skanda-Kumāra), (15) Bizago (Viṣākha), (16) Maasena (Mahāsena), (17) Nana and Oesho together, (18) Rom, (19) Oron, (20) Sarapho, (21) Lrooraspo (22) Oakhirsho, (23) Araikhsho, etc.21 This shows that, like Kaṇīṣka, Huviṣka also had leanings towards most of the religious faiths prevalent among the peoples of his vast empire.

A Mathurā inscription,22 however, speaks of the vihāra of Mahārāja Rājātirāja Devaputra Huviṣka. Another Mathurā inscription23 of Huviṣka’s reign (year 51) mentions the Mahārāja-Devaputra-vihāra which may be the same monastery. A person installed the relics of the Buddha in a vihāra about 30 miles to the west of Kabul with the idea that the principal share of his religious merit would go to Mahārāja Rājātirāja Huviṣka.24 This is, of course, of no special significance, because another Mathurā inscription,25 recording the creation of a permanent endowment for running a puṇya-śāla for catering free food to one hundred Brāhmaṇas per month, says that the religious merit accruing to the pious act would go to Devaputra Śahi Huviṣka and to those

22 Lüders, Mathura Inscriptions, p. 68, No. 62.
24 Ibid., pp. 58-59.
25 Ibid., pp. 151 ff. Another Mathurā inscription (pp. 138 ff.) refers to some pious activity for increasing the strength of Mahārāja Rājātirāja Devaputra Huviṣk of the work cannot be determined owing to the damaged epigraph.
who loved him. This suggests that Huviška’s relations with the Brāhmaṇists were quite cordial.

Cunningham noticed a nicolo seal of a Kuśāṇa ruler whom he identified with Huviška on account of the similarity of the head-dress and garment. He says about the device, “a Kuśāṇa chief standing in a respectful pose with folded hands before the four-armed god Viṣṇu; the god carries in his four hands a wheel (shown exactly like a cart-wheel), a mace, a ring-like object and a globular thing; there is an inscription in illegible characters by the side of the god.” The inscription, written in the Tocharian language and a cursive modification of the Greek script, has been deciphered by Ghirshman as containing the names of the gods Mihira (the Iranian Sun-god), Viṣṇu and Śiva.

The Kuśāṇa king Vāsudeva bears the Vaiṣṇavite name of Vāsudeva-Kṛṣṇa; but the reverse of some of his coins bears the representation of the goddesses, Nana and Ordoksha, though the Indian god Śiva (Oesho) is found on a large number of them. There is no evidence of Vāsudēva’s Buddhist leanings.

The evidence at our disposal, would thus show that Kadphises I may have been a Buddhist or a Śaiva and Kaniśka I (or II) and Huviška may have had leanings towards Buddhism as well as to some other religious faiths; but Kadphises II was a devotee of Śiva, and nothing is known about the Buddhist leanings of Vāsudēva, while Vāsiśka may have had both Vaiṣṇava and Buddhist leanings as we have seen. Under these circumstances, it is difficult to think that the Kuśāṇa kings were all


27 Les Chionites Hepthalites, pp. 55-58, fig. 55; Pl. VII. 1. Ghirshman takes the devotee to be a Hūṣa chief, though the seal appears to be earlier than the advent of the Hūṣas in India.

staunch followers of Buddhism. It is equally difficult to attribute, to Kusāṇa inspiration, most pre-Muslim Buddhist establishments discovered in or in the neighbourhood of the territory ruled by the Kusāṇas from the foundation of the house by Kadphises I in the first half of the first century A.D. down to the time of Ardashir Babagan (226-41 A.D.), the founder of the Sassanian dynasty of Iran, who subjugated the Kusāṇa king. It appears that the Kusāṇas followed a catholic religious policy and patronised different faiths, even though they may have had special leanings towards particular creeds like Buddhism. There can be little doubt that the Buddhist subjects of the Kusāṇa emperors were responsible for most of the Buddhist religious establishments raised during their age in different parts of their empire.

It may be argued that Kaṇiṣka's catholic religious policy turned into a staunch devotion to Buddhism later in his old age, and attention may be drawn to the case of king Harṣavarman Śilāditya (606-47 A.D.) of Kanauj, who is represented as a Paramamahēśvara (devout worshipper of Mahēśvara or Śiva) in his inscriptions dated 627 and 630 A.D., though the Chinese pilgrim Huien-tsang, who travelled in India from 629 to 643 A.D., speaks of the said king's staunch devotion to the Buddha. Although this may not be improbable, we cannot possibly ignore the case of the great Mughul emperor Akbar (1556-1605 A.D.) whose "conduct at different times justified Christians, Hindus, Jains and Parsees in severally claiming him as one of themselves."
Socio-Religious Life of the North-West Under Foreign Occupation

As indicated by the *Manusmṛti* and the geographical sections in the Purāṇas, the name Udīcyā or Uttarāpatha was applied, in the early centuries of the Christian era, to the land beyond the Eastern Punjab, extending as far as the Oxus valley and originally also including the Himalayan region. The Brāhmaṇas of this land are mentioned in the *Satapatha Brāhmaṇa* as engaged, under the leadership of SvaidāyanaSaunaka, in a dispute with the Kuru-Paṇcāla Brāhmaṇa Uddālaka Āruṇi and as defeating the latter. According to the *Kauṭitaki Brāhmaṇa*, the speech of the Udīcyas, i.e. the people of Udīcyā, which was similar to that of the Kuru-Paṇcālas, was celebrated for its purity and, therefore, the Brāhmaṇas of other parts of the country used to go to Udīcyā for purposes of study. This has to be read with the evidence of the Buddhist literature, according to which, the city of Takṣaśilā (near modern Rawalpindi in West Pakistan) in the Gandhāra country in Udīcyā or Uttarāpatha was a great seat of learning and a famous resort of students of different parts of the country.

The Jātaka stories represent Takṣaśilā as a famous university of pre-Buddhistic times. In the Buddha's days, princes and other eminent men received their training at the place. King

---

2 See Sircar, op. cit., pp. 23 ff., for a list of the Udīcyā peoples.
4 VII. 6.
5 Rhys Davids, *Buddhist India*, pp. 8, 28, 203.
Prasenajit of Kosala, the Licchavi chief, Mahāli, and the Mallan prince, Bandhula, are said to have been classmates at Takṣaśilā, to which students also flocked from countries like Lāṭa, Kuru-Deśa, Magadha, Śivi-Deśa, etc. Among the Takṣaśilā students, mention may also be made of Jivaka of Magadha, Aṅgulimāla of Kosala, Dharmapāla of Avanti and Yaśodatta of the Malla country. The three Vedas and the eighteen vidyās were taught at Takṣaśilā, the sciences (vidyās) including archery, swordsmanship, elephant-craft (hasti-śāstra), magic (e.g. ālambana-mantra for charming snakes and nidhyuddharaṇa-mantra for recovering buried treasure), etc. The students also learnt the science of rituals (mantra), though, in this subject, Vārāṇasi probably had a greater reputation, at least at one stage, because students are said to have gone from Takṣaśilā to Vārāṇasi for learning the mantras.  

Some Udācy peoples, however, may have been regarded as of bad repute even in the early age. The Atharvaveda mentions certain distant peoples to whom fever had to be banished. Here the following groups are referred to: (1) Mūjavat, Mahāvṛṣa and Balhika; (2) Mūjavat and Balhika; (3) Mahāvṛṣa and Mūjavat; and (4) Gandhāri, Mūjavat, Āṅga and Magadha. Of these, according to later evidence, the Balhikas lived in Northern Afghanistan, the Gandhāris (Gandhāras) in the Rawalpindi and Peshawar Districts of West Pakistan, the Āṅgas in East Bihar and the Magadhas in South Bihar. Most scholars think that, of the Gandhāri, Mūjavat, Āṅga and Magadha mentioned in the same breath, "the latter two tribes are apparently the eastern limit of

---

7 V. 22.5, 7, 8 and 14; cf. Baudhāyana Śrautasūtra, ii.5.
the poet's knowledge, the two former, the northern." But the Magadhas are mentioned in the Vedic literature generally as a people of little repute, and the Māgadhā (a man from the land of Magadha) is included in the list of victims of the Puruṣamedha sacrifice. It is thus not altogether impossible that the other three peoples, mentioned along with them, had also an amount of disrepute attached to their names, though it is difficult to be satisfied on this point, especially in view of the evidence of the Buddhist literature.

Besides what has been said above about the learned Brāhmaṇas of Takṣāśila, it has to be noticed that the prestige of the Udīcya Brāhmaṇas is generally recognised in the Pāli literature. Thus Fick says, "of one of the Brāhmaṇas, hitherto described and conforming to the Brahmanical ideal, it is said with emphasis that he belongs to the North, or is of Northern extraction, i. e. is an Udicca (Udīcya) Brāhmaṇa." Reference is made to the great social prestige attached to the Udīcya Brāhmaṇas settled in Kāśi and Magadha. This must, however, be regarded as the echo of an earlier age, because the Pāli Buddhist works were really composed considerably after the days of the Buddha, in the sixth and fifth centuries B.C., when

---

8 Vedic Index, Vol. I, p. 219, note 2. Bloomfield, however, thinks that the name Mahāvṛṣa has been chosen for its sound and sense (as 'of mighty strength' to resist the disease) than for its geographical position (Hymns of the Atharvaveda, p. 446).

9 Vājasaneyī Samsāhitā, XXX. 5. 22; Tatātriya Brāhmaṇa, III. 4.1.1.

10 Whereas there is reference to "a bad Brāhmaṇa living in Magadha", we have also rarely mention of respectable Brāhmaṇas living in the country. See Vedic Index, Vol. II. p. 116.

11 The Social Organisation in North-East India, trans. S. K. Maitra, pp. 212-13. Fick locates Udīcya in the Kuru-Pañcāla region immediately to the west and north-west of the Kāśi-Magadha areas. But the geographical name Udīcya can hardly be interpreted in that way. The migration of the Udīcya Brāhmaṇas to the Kāśi-Magadha territory may have been due to the foreign occupation of Uttarāpatha to which we are referring below.
Uttarāpatha had already been tasting foreign occupation and was gradually being regarded as an impure land.

The foreign occupation of parts of Udicya or Uttarāpatha began at least as early as the middle of the sixth century B. C. when the Achaemenian emperor Cyrus (558-530 B. C.), conquered Afghanistan and the land of certain tribes, lying to the west of the Indus in the valley of the Kabul river. The territories of Gandhāra and Sindhu (the Punjab region), on both banks of the Indus, were included in the Achaemenian empire during the early years of the reign of Darius I (522-486 B.C.). The empire of the Achaemenians passed to the Macedonian monarch, Alexander the great, who defeated and killed Darius III (335-330 B. C.) and conquered wide areas of the Punjab and Sind. Alexander left the region in 324 B.C., after having founded a number of cities garrisoned by Greek troops in the occupied parts of India; but they were subjugated by Candragupta Maurya (c. 324-300 B.C.), to whom Alexander’s general Seleucus, after having probably been defeated at the hands of the Maurya a few years after he had consolidated his position in Western Asia and made Babylon his capital in 311 B.C., surrendered the four territories of Aria (Herat), Arachosia (Kandahar), Paropamisadae (Kabul) and Gedrosia (Baluchistan) in exchange for 500 elephants. The story seems to be supported by the fact that recently some

12 According to Arrian, “the district west of the river Indus as far as the river Cophen (Kabul) is inhabited by the Astacenarios (of the Puṣkalāvati or Charsada area sometimes regarded as Western Gandhāra) and Assacenarios (of Swat and Buner), Indian tribes. These were in ancient times subject to the Assyrians, afterwards to the Medes, and finally they submitted to the Persians and paid tribute to Cyrus, the son of Cambyses, as ruler of their land.” See Chinnock, Arrian’s Anabasis, p. 339.

13. According to the Rāmāyaṇa (VII. 113. 11; 114. 11), Gandhāra lay on both sides of the Indus and had Takṣasilā and Puṣkalāvati as capital cities.
inscriptions of Aśoka were discovered at a place near old Kandahar in Afghanistan.\textsuperscript{14}

The Mauryas ruled over West Pakistan and Afghanistan (excluding Bactria in Northern Afghanistan) for nearly a century. But, thereafter, Afghanistan and West Pakistan were ruled by the Bactrian Greeks (Yavana), Scythians (Saka), Parthians (Pahlava), Kuṣāṇas, Hūṇas, Turks, etc., till the early medieval period. This foreign contact, lasting for several centuries, considerably modified the culture and social prestige of Uttarāpatha.

That some of the foreign settlers of Uttarāpatha had social systems different from those of the rest of India is indicated by the Majjhimanikāya\textsuperscript{15} and the inscriptions of Aśoka. The former speaks of the land of the Yavanas (Greeks of Uttarāpatha) and Kambojas (Iranian settlers of Uttarāpatha) as having two classes of peoples, e.g., Ārya (Patrician) and Dāsa (Plebian); that is to say, the population in those countries was not divided into the four social grades, viz. Brāhmaṇa, Kṣatriya, Vaiśya and Śūdra.\textsuperscript{16} The same thing is said about the Yavanas in the Aśokan inscriptions,\textsuperscript{17} according to which there were no Brāhmaṇas and Śramaṇas in the Yavana land as there were in the other parts of the Maurya empire. Another interesting fact is that, in the inscriptions\textsuperscript{18} of Aśoka, mention is made of the Gandhāras along with the Yavanas and Kambojas. It has to be noticed that while, on the one hand, the foreign settlers were regarded, by Manu and other authorities, as a part of the Indian


\textsuperscript{15} P. T. S. ed., Vol. II, p. 149.

\textsuperscript{16} The Bhūridatta Jātaka (No. 543), which may be assigned to the early centuries of the Christian era, suggests that only an ignoble custom suits the Kamboja people.


\textsuperscript{18} Ibid., p. 22 (R. E. V); cf. Yavana-Kamboja (p. 36, R. E. XIII).
social organisation, on the other, differences of their appearances, etc., were often emphasised. Thus, the Yavanas were represented in Patañjali's Mahābhāṣya19 as 'pure Śūdra', and in Gautama's Dharmasūtra20 as sprung from the union of the Kṣatriya male and Śūdra female, while the Manusmṛti21 mentions them in the list of degraded Kṣatriyas. At the same time, a well-known story in the Harivamsa22 and the Purāṇas represents the Yavanas as distinct, even among the foreigners settled in India, because they had a fully shaven head like the Kambhojas, while the Sakas, it is said, shaved only half of their head.23

Another effect of the foreign occupation of Uttarāpatha was that the people of the other parts of India began to regard the said region as an unholy land inhabited by irreligious peoples. This appears to be due to the admixture of blood between the Indians and the foreigners that must have occurred in Uttarāpatha, and also to the general popularity of Buddhism among many of the foreign settlers.

According to Kauṭilya's Arthasāstra,24 contemptuous expressions, such as 'He is a bad Brāhmaṇa', should be punished by fines, and the same rules should apply to calumnies in respect of learning, of the professions of bards, artisans and

19 On Pāṇini, II. 4.10.
20 IV. 21.
21 X. 42-43.
22 I. 14. 15 ff.; cf. Vāyu Purāṇa, 88, 139 ff.—ardhāh śakāṇāḥ śirasā mantraḥ kśṛvā vyaśaḥ ayatār
Yavanānāḥ śīrāḥ sarvāḥ Kambhojānāḥ tathā aṁ ca
Pāradā mukta-keśa ca Pahlavāḥ śmasru-dhāriṇāḥ, etc.
Some Problems Concerning the Kusānas

musicians, and of nationalities, such as ‘He is a Prājjuṇakā’, ‘He is a Gāndhāra’, etc. From this, there is no doubt that the people of Gandhāra were looked upon with contempt in the age to which Kauṭilya’s statement has to be referred.

Chapters 44-45 of the Karṇa-parvan of the Mahābhārata describe the bad character and customs of the people of the land called Pañcanada (‘the country of the Five Rivers’) watered by the Indus and its five eastern tributaries, viz. the Satadru, Vipāśa, Irāvatī, Candrabhāgā and Vītastā. The people of the land are mentioned generally as Vāhika or Āraṭṭa (44.7 and 31-32), though, at one place (44.44), the two names are applied to the people and their country respectively. The Āraṭṭas are once even called a Vāhika people (44.37). The Jārtikas (modern Jats) are also mentioned as a Vāhika people (44.10) and likewise, the

25 The Prājjuṇakas may be the same as the Prārjunas known from the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta (Select Inscriptions, 1965 ed., p. 265, text line 22). Shamasastro mentions Prāṇaka (probably a mistake for Prārjunaka) as a variant reading found in the Munich manuscript. R. G. Basak’s Bengali translation recognizes Prāg-Hūnakā (the eastern Hūnas) in its place (Vol. I, p. 245), though the Arthasastra, even in its present form, cannot be so late as the fifth century A. D. when the Hūnas entered into India.

26 It is strange indeed that, on the strength of the above passage in the Arthasastra, Buddha Prakash observes, “Kauṭilya prescribes a fine for a person who slanders or vilifies Gandhāra. No richer encomium could possibly be paid to the Indus region in ancient times” (Political and Social Movements in Ancient Punjab, pp. 87-83.)

27 The Arthasastra may have been composed about the close of the fourth century B. C., but contains later additions, so that the work as we have it now may be assigned to a date about the third century A. D.

28 Cf. Pañcāṇāṃ Sindhu-Ṣaśāṇāṃ nādināṃ yeṁntarā sthitāḥ ||
    tān =dharma-bāhyān = aśūcin = Bāhikān = parivargyar || (44.7)
    pañcā-nadyo vahanty = etā yatra pilūvanāny = utā ||
    Satadru = ca Vīpāśa ca āriyā = Airavatī tathā ||
    Candrabhāgā Vītastā ca Sindhuṣaṭṭhā bahir = gireḥ ||
    Āraṭṭā nāma te devā naṣṭa-dharmā na tān = vrajera || (44.31-32)
Gandhāras and Madrakas (45·8). In one case (45·36), the Madras (Madrakas) are distinguished from the Vāhikas apparently as the worst amongst the latter. In the same context, one stanza (44·46) mentions the Prasṭhalas, Madras, Gandhāras, Āraṭṭas, Khasas, Vatsītis, Sindhus and Sauviras together. It is said that the Vāhikas are irreligious and impure and should be avoided (44·7); the habits of the Vāhikas, called Jārtika, are mentioned as abominable (44·10); one should not stay, it is said, for a moment in the Vāhika country (44·22); the Āraṭṭa country is likewise irreligious, and one should not visit it (44·32); the Vāhikas are a people who have lost their religion (44·34); the Vāhikas, called Āraṭṭa, should be avoided by the learned men (44·37); an Ārya should not live in the land of the Vāhikas, called Āraṭṭa, even for two days (44·40); the Vāhikas, who are descendants of the Plīcāras of the Vipāśā, named Vahi and Hika, are not the creation of Prajīpati and do not know the proper religion (44·41); the religion of Pañcanada is decried by Pitāmaha (45·19 and 21); the Vāhikas are the dirt of the earth and the Madra women are the dirt of womanhood (45·13); they upon the Āraṭṭas of Pañcanada (45·29); etc.

Some of the obnoxious habits and customs of the people of Pañcanada may be mentioned here. They used to eat beef with garlic (44·11; cf. 44·26); their women, intoxicated and naked, danced on the road (44·12); these women had little idea about chastity and sang indelicate songs (44·13); the people of Pañcanada used to take away the clothes of the visitors to their country and chased them on the road (44·21); they used to drink

29 This is according to the Māthara-Kauṇḍinya nyāya; cf. Brāhmaṇa bhojyantām, Māthara-Kauṇḍinayo pariveṇātātām (IHQ, Vol. XXII, p. 314, note 21).

30 Cf. also 40.34—

\[ \text{Vāsāṁhyātāṁ satyamānī striyo và madya-mahītāḥ} \]

\[ \text{maṁhūnē satyamānī api yajñā-kāma-varūṣām ca tūḥ} \]
various kinds of liquor (44-27) and eat the meat of animals including asses and camels (44-28); they used to eat from uncleaned wooden and earthen plates (44-35); they drank the milk of sheep, camels and asses (46-36); they were devoid of the Vedas, sacrifices, etc. (44-45); in Pañcanaṇa, a Brāhmaṇa could be first a Kṣatriya, then a Vaiśya, then a Śūdra, then a barber, then again a Brāhmaṇa, and finally, a slave (45-6-7); the Brāhmaṇas of the Vāhikas, called Gandhāra and Madraka, had sexual relations with (i.e. married) members of their own families (45-8); in the Āraṇṭa land, one’s sister’s son and not one’s own son inherited one’s property (45-13); peoples of the land extending from Matsya to Kuru-Pañcāla and from Naimiṣa to Cedi, i.e. the Kurus, Pañcālas, Śīlvas, Matsyas, Naimiṣas, Kosalas, Kāśis, Aṅgas, Kaliṅgas and Magahās, knew the true religion, but not the wretched Madras and Pañcanaṇadas (45-14-16); just as the Easterners, the Southerners and the Sūrāṭras were respectively slaves, outcastes and mixed breed, the Vāhikas were thieves (45-28); the nature of the Āraṇṭas of Pañcanaṇa was characterised by ingratitude, robbery, addiction to liquors, incest, abusing others, slaughter of cows, etc. (45.29); the Vāhikas might be made to understand a thing by beating, but the Madrakas were totally incapable of understanding anything (45.36); etc.

31 *Kuravaḥ saha Pañcūlaḥ Sālva Matsyaḥ sa-Naimiṣaḥ 1  
Kosalah Kūlayo-āgāś ca Kaliṅga Magahās tathā 2  
Cedayaś ca mahābhāga dharmah jānanti śāśvatam 3  
ā Mātisyebhyah Kuru-Pañcūla-daśya 4  
ā Naimiṣaḥ Āritye Ceyo ye viśīṣṭaḥ 5  
adharmah purāṇam upajīvantistanto 6  
Madrāsānte Pañcanaṇadas ca jihmān 7  

32 Cf. *iyatiṣaḥ ca Magahāḥ prekṣitaḥ tathā ca Kosalāḥ 1  
ardh-oktāḥ Kuru-Pañcūlaḥ Sālvaḥ kṛṣṇ-anuśasanāḥ 2  
Parvatīyāḥ ca viśamā yathā aīva śīvayas tathā 3  
sarvaḥ Yavanā rōjan śūrāḥ ca aīva viśīṣṭaḥ 4  
Mlecchāḥ sva-sahājā-nayatā nām-anuktā itare janāḥ 5  
Pratirathās ca Vāhikā na ca kecana Madrakāḥ 1  (45.34-36)
In the seventh century A.D., the Chinese pilgrim Hiuen-tsang says that, from Lampāka (Laghman in Afghanistan) to Rājapura (Rajauri in Kashmir), the people were coarse and plain in personal appearance and had rude and violent disposition, that they spoke vulgar dialects and exhibited scant courtesy and little fairness, and that they did not belong to India proper, but were inferior people of the frontier.\(^ {33} \)

In this connection, it is interesting to note that the land of Kurukṣetra in the Ambala-Karnal region, lying to the east of the Śatadru valley in Pañcanada, was regarded as a particularly holy land in the later Vedic texts,\(^ {34} \) while both the Manusmṛti\(^ {35} \) and Mahābhārata\(^ {36} \) mention the tract between the Sarasvatī and Dṛṣṭadvatī rivers in Kurukṣetra as the holiest in the whole country. The Manusmṛti\(^ {37} \) calls it Brahmāvarta which was even superior, in holiness, to the holy land of Brahmārṣi-deśa comprising Kurukṣetra, Matsya (Jaipur-Alwar-Bharatpur region), Pañcāla (having its capitals in the Bareiley and Farrukhabad Districts of U. P.) and Sūrasenaka (Mathura region). It is well known that Udicya or Uttarāpatha was located beyond Pṛthūdakā\(^ {38} \) (Pehoa in the Karnal District), or Vinaśana,\(^ {39} \)

---

35 II. 17-18—Sarasvatī-Dṛṣṭadvatīyor deva-nādyor yaṁ maṁtaram\| tam deva-nīrmitah deśam Brahmāvartaṁ pracakṣate ||
36 III. 83. 204-05—dakṣiṇena Sarasaṣtvāya uttareṇa Dṛṣṭadvatīṁ||
37 II. 19 The same four peoples are again mentioned in the Manusmṛti (VII. 193) as the best soldiers in the country, probably because they had some success in fighting against the foreigners.
38 See Rājaśekhara’s *Kavyamimāṁsā*, G.O.S. ed., p. 94.
the latter name literally meaning ‘disappearance’ and indicating the place where the holy Sarasvati lost itself in the sands of the desert. This Vīnaśana-tīrtha lies in the Sirhind region of the Patiala District. It appears that the holy character of Kurukṣetra did not suffer much down to the early centuries of the Christian era when the Manusmṛti and the latter sections of the Mahābhārata are supposed to have been composed; but the despised foreigners were not far away. According to the Mahābhārata, the Sarasvatī disappeared out of repugnance for the Śūdras and Ābhīras who apparently inhabited the Patiala District. Of the said two tribes, the Ābhīras are usually regarded by scholars to have been foreigners who entered into India in the train of the Ṣakas.

40 IX. 37. 1—Sādr-Ābhīran prati dvēṣād-vatram naṣṭa Sarasvati.

The Chinese got interesting information about the Yue-chi from Chang K'ien who returned to China from Central Asia in 126 B. C. At that time, the Yue-chi had their capital at Kien-she to the north of the Oxus and had made themselves master of Ta-hia which had its capital at Lan-shi to the south of that river in the present Badakhshan (Afghanistan). As we have seen elsewhere, according to Fan Ye's narration of the events of the period 25-125 A. D., the capital of the Yue-chi was the old Ta-hia capital Lan-shi which remained their stronghold down to the fifth century A. D. "In the period of the *Hou Han-shu*, the Yue-chi had settled down in the old Ta-hia country and now represented the whole Ta-hia empire, i. e., as M. Chavannes puts it, henceforward they are the Ta-hia." This is supposed to have taken place between 126 B. C. and 25 A. D.¹ The suggestion, however, that the entire Yue-chi clan was then settled in the land to the south of the Oxus or that the Yue-chis settled on both banks of the Oxus were called Ta-hia is doubtful.

According to the *Shi-ki*, "Ta-hia is situated more than 2,000 li south-west of Ta-wan (Ferghana) and south of the Wei water (Oxus) ... The people have no supreme ruler, but the various towns appoint minor chiefs. The soldiers there are weak and fear warfare; they are skilled in trading and marketing."² It is these people who appear to have been subjugated by the Yue-chi.

---

According to the *Hou Han-shu*, as we have elsewhere seen, the Yue-chi "transferred themselves to Ta-hia and divided that kingdom into five hi-hou, viz. (1) Hiu-mi (capital—Ho-mo), (2) Shuang-mi (capital—Shuang-mi), (3) Kuei-shuang (capital—Hu-tsaol), (4) Hi-tun (capital—Po-mao) and (4) Kao-fu (capital—Kao-fu)." This seems to suggest that the entire Yue-chi people settled in Ta-hia to the south of the Oxus. But the *Chien-Han-shu* refers to the conquest of Ta-hia by the Yue-chi and then to the five hi-hou and thus seems to suggest that the five principalities originally owed allegiance to the Yue-chi king of Kien-she.4

We have referred elsewhere to the locations of the five states as suggested by Marquart: (1) Hiu-mi=Wakhan in North-Western Afghanistan; (2) Shuang-mi=Chitral; (3) Kuei-shuang=between Chitral and the Panjshir valley in Afghanistan; (4) Hi-tun=Parwan on the Panjshir; and (5) Kao-fu=Kabul.5 Marquart also identified Ta-hia with Tu-ho-lo (Tukhāra) about which the *T'ang-shu* says, "it is to the west of the Tsung-ling (Pamir) and to the south of the river Wu-hu (Oxus). It is the old territory of the Ta-hia."6 It will be seen that all the five territories lay to the south of the Oxus. In the first half of the first century A.D., K’in-Tsiu-K’io (Kujula Kadphises or Kadphises I) of the hi-hou of Kuei-shuang (Kuṣāṇa) subdued the four other hi-hou and became king. He invaded An-si (Par-thia) and seized the territory of Kao-fu (Kabul). Moreover, he triumphed over Pu-ta (near Kabul) and Ki-pin (Kafiristan and the adjoining eastern

---

5 Sten Konow, *loc. cit* A later writer regards Kao-fu to be a mistake for Tu-mi.
area) and entirely possessed those kingdoms.”

This description seems to suggest that the founder of the Kuśāna kingdom did not rule over any tract to the north of the Oxus.

The Hou Han-shu goes on: “K’iu-tsiu-k’io died when he was more than eighty years old. His son Yen-kao-chen (Wema Kadphises or Kadphises II) became king in his stead. He again conquered Tien-chu and appointed a general there for administration. From this moment the Yue-chi became extremely powerful. In all the kingdoms they were spoken of as king of Kuei-shuang; but the Han stuck to their old designation and called them Ta Yue-chi.”

It may be noticed that nothing has been said here about the expansion of the Kuśāna kingdom under Kadphises II beyond the Oxus in the north. Tien-chu (Sanskrit Sindhu) seems to indicate the Western Punjab or the Sind-Punjab region. The capital of both Kadphises I and Kadphises II may have been at Lan-shi in Badakshan.

The next important Kuśāna king Kaṇīśka I seems to have been an adventurer like Ikhtiyār-uddīn Muḥammad bin Bakhtīyar Khaljī who conquered wide areas of Bihar and Bengal when Muiz-uddīn Muḥammad bin Sām and his generals were laying the foundation of the Turkish Muslim empire in North India. The earliest inscriptions of Kaṇīśka, dated in his 3rd regnal year, have been found in different parts of U. P. and he seems to have succeeded gradually in extending his political influence over Western India including Rajasthan, Gujarat and Northern Maharashtra and also over West Pakistan and Afghanistan. Traditions recorded by Hiuen-tsang represent him as a king of Gandhāra having its capital at Puruṣapura (Peshāwar), his power reaching the neighbouring states and his influence extending to distant regions, as we have seen elsewhere. This shows that he

---

7 Sten Konow, op. cit., p. lxii.
8 Loc. cit.
ruled over Gandhāra and other territories, though even kings of
distant countries were his subordinate allies. The Surkh Kotal
(Northern Afghanistan) inscription of year 31 of the Kaṇiṣka era
referring to Kaṇiṣka’s temple, suggests the inclusion of Ta-hia
in Kaṇiṣka’s empire. There is no proof that he ruled over any
territory to the north of the Oxus.

“As he kept order by military rule over a wide territory
reaching to the east of the T’sung-ling (Pamir), a tributary state
of China to the west of the Yellow river, through fear of the
king’s power, sent him a prince or a few princes as hostage;”9
“when Kaṇiṣka was reigning, the fear of his name spread to
many regions so far even as to the outlying vassals of China to
the west of the Yellow river. One of these vassal States being in
fear sent a hostage to the court of king Kaṇiṣka, the hostage
being apparently a son of the ruler of the State.”10 The above
statements of Hiuen-tsang do not show that Kaṇiṣka’s dominions
included any Trans-Oxus territory.

Kaṇiṣka himself admits, according to a tradition, that he
failed to subjugate the peoples of the countries to the north.
Before his death, Kaṇiṣka is stated to have said, “I have
subjugated three regions; all men have taken refuge with me; the
region of the north alone has not come in to make its
submission.”11 This seems to go against the possibility of the
expansion of Kaṇiṣka’s power to the north of the Oxus.

---

9 Watters, op. cit., p. 124; Beal, Buddhist Records of the Western World,
op. cit., p. 119 — “Then the tribes who occupy the territory to the west of the
river (Yellow river) sent hostages to him.” The hostages were kept in ‘India’
(Indus region) in winter, in Kāpiṣa in summer, and in Gandhāra in autumn
and spring. It is possible that king Kaṇiṣka also had seasonal residences in
those territories.

10 Watters, op. cit., p. 292; Beal, op. cit., pp. 207-208. The winter
residence of the hostage or hostages was in Cinabhuṭṭa in the Punjab.

There is another fact which suggests that Kaṇişka’s empire extended in the north to the southern edge of the T’sung-ling range or Jagdumbash Pamir, i.e. “the meridional range or ranges which buttress the Pamir on the east and divide it from the Tarim basin.”  

It is stated that, about the year 90 A.D., the Yue-chi king (no doubt Kaṇişka I) sent a force of 70,000 horsemen under his general Si, across the T’sung-ling, to attack the Chinese general Pan-chao who had subjugated Khotan, Kashgar, Kucha and Karashahr. “The army of Si probably advanced by the Tashkurgahan pass, some fourteen thousand feet high, and was so shattered by its sufferings during the passage of the mountains that, when it emerged into the plain below, either that of Kashgar or Yarkand, it fell an easy prey to Pan-chao and was totally defeated.”

That Afghanistan continued to be in the empire of the immediate successors of Kaṇişka is suggested by the Wardak (near Kabul) vase inscription of Huviṣka, though no inscriptions of Vāsiṣka, Kaṇişka II and Vāsudeva have as yet been discovered in that area. Thus the belief regarding the inclusion of certain Trans-Oxus regions in the empire of the Kuṣāṇas of Kaṇişka’s house is no better than an unproved guess.

The Book of the Laws of Countries of Bardesanes (second half of the second century A.D.) refers to the “Bactrians who are called Qushiani (i.e. Kuṣāṇa).”  

This Bactria, the territory of the Kuṣāṇas, is described by Ptolemy (middle of the same century) as follows: “Bactriane (Bactria) is bounded on the west by Margiane (Merv) along the side already described; on the north and east by Sogdiane, along the rest of the course of the river Oxus; and on the south by the rest of Areia (Herat)

---

12 Stein, Ancient Khotan, p. 27.
13 см th, op. cit., p. 269.
14 B. N. Mukherjee, op. cit., p. 22.
extending from the extreme point towards Margiane—the position of which is 109° 39' and by the Paropanisadai (region of the Hindukush) along the parallel thence prolonged, through where the range of Paropanisus diverges towards the sources of the Oxus which lie in 119° 30'—39°." The above description of the boundaries of Bactria does not include any part of the Trans-Oxus territory in it.

It is generally assumed by historians that, after the death of the Kuṣāṇa king Vāsudeva (whose latest date is year 98 of the Kañṣika era probably corresponding to 176 A. D.), the Indian empire of the Kuṣāṇas fell into decay. But the Wei-liao says that, during the time of the three kingdoms (221-77 A. D., but referring to the period before 239 A. D.), "'Ki-pin, Ta-hia, Kao-fu and Tien-chu were all subject to the Great Yue-chi." Since Tien-chu seems to mean the Indus region, the statement probably suggests that, about the first half of the third century A. D., the Kuṣāṇa empire was confined to West Pakistan and Afghanistan. In the 3rd and 4th centuries A. D., the Kuṣāṇas became vassals of the Sassanians of Iran. On some coins of the Sassanian king Hormazd II (301-10 A. D.), he assumes the title Kuṣāṇa Malkā (King of the Kuṣāṇas) or Kuṣāṇ Malkān Malkā (King of the Kuṣāṇa kings.) This has to be read along with the tradition that Ardashir I (226-41 A. D.) conquered Balkh, Khurasan and Kabul and advanced as far as Sirhind in Patiala beyond the Satlaj. Certain coins of the Sassanian type and

15 Mc. Criddle’s Ancient India as described by Ptolemy, ed. Mujamdar-Sastri, p. 267.
17 Paruck, Sassanian coins, pp. 89, 281-82. Coins with similar titles are attributed to most of the Sassanian kings (Chatterjee, The Age of the Kuṣāṇas, pp. 111 ff.).
18 Ibid., pp. 71, 79-81. Ardashir is generally believed to have established his supremacy over Bactria (MacGovern, The Early Empires of Central Asia, p 401; Sykes, History of Persia. Vol. I, p 394).
fabric, bearing legends in the Nāgari (really, late Brāhmi), Pahlavi and modified Greek alphabets are attributed to Sassanian rulers flourishing in the Indus valley.  

The Naqsh-i-Rustam inscription of Shāpūr I is supposed by some scholars to have been drafted in 262 A.D., while others think that it was finally revised at a later date. The epigraph speaks of Sassanian occupation of the Kuṣāṇa territory (called Kwoshnhshtr = Kushānsahr and, in Greek, Kouseneon e'nnos) which is said to have been bounded by Mrgw (Merv), Hryw (Herat), Skstn (Sakstan, Seistan), Twarn (located in Baluchistan), Mkwrn (Makran near Baluchistan) and P'trn (located in Baluchistan), Hndstn ('India', i.e. the valley of the Indus) Pskkbwr or Paskibouron (identified with Peshawar) and the frontiers of K'sh (identified with Kashgarh), Swgd (Sogdiana) and Sh'hsst'n. (identified with Tashkent). In our opinion, even if these boundaries of the Kuṣāṇa empire in the second half of the third century A.D. are accepted, they do not suggest the inclusion of any part of the Trans-Oxus country within the Kuṣāṇa territory.

According to the Chinese annals, during the reign of the Wai dynasty (386-556 A.D.), about 430 A.D., Ki-to-lo (Kidāra), a prince of the Great Yue-chi clan, separated himself from the main body and established the little Yue-chi kingdom to the south of

---

19 Paruck, op. cit., pp. 98, 270-71; Rapson, Indian Coins, p, 30. See also B. Chatterjee, op. cit., pp. 107 ff.
the Hindukush with Po-lu-sha (Peshawar) as his capital. The cause of this revolution appears to be the continued domination of the Oxus valley by the Sassanians and the settlement in the Trans-Oxus country, by the Huns who troubled the Sassanians from c. 420 to 557 A.D. In the struggle with the Huns, the Sassanian king Firūz I [459-84 A.D.] lost his life.

About 455 A.D., the Huns invaded the Gupta empire in India, but were driven out by Skandagupta (455-67 A.D.). But even about the beginning of the fifth century A.D., Kālidāsa, in his Rāghuvamśa [IV. 67] locates the Hūṇas on the bank of the Vahkṣu [Oxus].

The capital of the Huns, probably at Herat in Afghanistan, was visited by the Chinese pilgrim Song-Yun in 519 A.D. and he says that the Huns received tribute from forty neighbouring countries. In 520 A.D., Song-Yun visited Kan-to-lo (Gandhāra) which was then under a Hun chief. We know that the Hūṇa kings Toramāna and Mihirakula ruled over the whole tract from Kashmir in the north to Malwa in the south about the first quarter of the sixth century A.D. After the defeat of Mihirakula at the hands of Yasodharman of Mandasor and Bālāditya of the Gupta dynasty of Magadha, the Hūṇa king's

21 Alfred Von Gutschmid, Shahis von Kabul, p. 196. The Paikuli inscription is said to speak of the Kushān Shāh, the kings of Surāṣṭra and Avanti and twelve Saka kings of the interior who had been to Ctesiphon to swear allegiance to Narses (293-303 A.D.). Cf. JNSI, Vol. I, p. 63; Vol. V, p. 41.
24 Smith, E., Hist. Ind., p 335.
dominions were confined to the Kashmir region.\textsuperscript{27} The Hun kingdom in Afghanistan was destroyed by a combined onslaught of the Sassanian king Khusrū I Anoshirvan (531–79 A.D.) and the Turks who had displaced the Huns from the Trans-Oxus territory and settled there. The Sassanian kings Bahram V (590–91 A.D.) and Bistam (592–96 A.D.) sought refuge with the Turks and lost their lives amongst them.\textsuperscript{28} About 630 A.D., Hiuen-tsang found the whole region from Turfan to Merv and from Lake Issykkul to the Hindukush under the control of the Khan of the Western Turks.

The above discussion will show that the Kuṣāṇa monarchs from Kadphises I to Vāsudeva I, who flourished in the first and second centuries A.D., ruled over more or less extensive dominions, but that their successors became vassals, first of the Sassanians in the first half of the 3rd century A.D., then of the Huns from the first half of the fifth century A.D. and finally of the Turks from about the latter half of the sixth century A.D.\textsuperscript{29} Small chiefs of Kuṣāṇa extraction may have been flourishing in various parts of Afghanistan and West Pakistan and the neighbouring areas;\textsuperscript{30} but their contribution to the culture of the region concerned must be regarded as negligible. Even if the ruler of the kingdom of Kāpiṣa or Kasiristan, called a Kṣatriya by Hiuen-tsang, was a scion of the Kuṣāṇa clan, he also had nothing to do with the territories to the north of the Oxus.

\textsuperscript{27} Select Inscriptions, op. cit., p. 419 (Mandasor inscription of Yaso-\dharman, verse 6); also Watters, op. cit., pp. 288 ff.
\textsuperscript{30} Cf. Chavanues speaking of Badakshan remaining a Yue-chi stronghold as late as the fifth century A.D. (\textit{T'oung Pao}, II, viii. p. 187).
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We have reports regarding the discovery of Kuśāṇa coins in the southern part of the Central Asian Republics of the USSR lying to the north of the Oxus. Coins, however, are often seen to have travelled far away from their land of issue for various reasons, and that is why Eastern India is not definitely included in the Kuśāṇa empire by scholars, even though many hundreds of the Kuśāṇa copper coins have been found in that region.\(^{32}\) The discovery of a hoard of Kuśāṇa gold coins at Dabra Dammo in Abyssinia,\(^{32}\) apparently indicates trade relations between India and Abyssinia in the early centuries of the Christian era and certainly not the inclusion of parts of Africa in the Kuśāṇa empire.

The discovery of Kuśāṇa coins in the Trans-Oxus regions and in Eastern India apparently has similar implications. In the east, the inscriptions of Kaṇiṣṭha I have been discovered in Uttar Pradesh at Set-Maheṭ (on the borders between the Gonda and Bahraich Districts), Kosam (Allahabad District) and Sarnath (Varanasi District).\(^{33}\) As regards Kaṇiṣṭha’s relations with Bihar, there is a tradition: according to this, Kaṇiṣṭha advanced against Soked (Sāketa near Ayodhya, Faizabad District, U. P.) and Pāṭaliputra (near Patna, Bihar) in Eastern India and took away the Buddhist scholar and poet Āvaghoṣa from Pāṭaliputra.\(^{34}\) The king of that city, who was the suzerain of Eastern

---

31 See below.
33 Select Inscriptions, op. cit., pp. 135 ff., 144 ff.
34 H. C. Raychaudhuri, Pol. Hist. Anc. Ind., 1938 ed., p. 395. According to the Chinese translation of Kumārila’s Kalpanāmanḍitikā, composed shortly after the reign of Kaṇiṣṭha, “In the family of the Kiu-sha (Kuśāṇa), there was a king called Chen-t’an Kia-nī-ch’u (Candana or Candra Kaṇiṣṭha). He conquered Tung-Tien-chu and pacified the country. His power spread near his good fortune was complete. He set out to return to his kingdom. The route passed through ‘bread, flat land’. Tung T’ien-chu is regarded by some scholars as a part of Eastern India (Sten Konow, op. cit., p. 154v.)”
India, being defeated by the Yue-chi, offered to pay 9 lakh pieces of gold; but he was unable to collect the huge sum and gave, instead, the Buddha’s alms-bowl and also Aśvaghoṣa and a miraculous cock.\textsuperscript{35}

The Early Licchavis of Nepal used a reckoning which is now supposed to be identical with the Kaṇiśka or Šaka era of 78 A. D.\textsuperscript{36} The use of the era in Bihar has been supposed to be indicated by the recently published Kailvan inscription\textsuperscript{37} dated in the year 108. Some coins issued by the Kuśāṇas have also been discovered in Bihar and the neighbouring regions.\textsuperscript{38} The question is therefore whether Bihar and its neighbourhood formed a part of Kaṇiśka’s empire. Scholars are not unanimous on this point, one group regarding it possible and another group doubting the possibility.\textsuperscript{39}

Those scholars who doubt the possibility of Bihar’s inclusion in Kaṇiśka’s empire may argue that the possible spread of Kaṇiśka’s era in Bihar and Nepal does not presuppose the spread of Kuśāṇa rule in those territories. The use of the Scytho-Parthian era of 58 B. C. of East Iran (later called Vikrama-saṃvat or the era of king Vikramāditya) seems to have been carried to the Indus valley by the Scythians and Parthians, from there to Rajasthan by the Mālavas, and from there to Uttar Pradesh by the Maukhari;\textsuperscript{40} the use of the Kaṇiśka era, in the same way, may have been carried to Bihar by the Mitras of Ahicchatra or Kauśāmbī. A branch of the Mitras, which

\textsuperscript{35} See \textit{Comp. Hist. Ind.}, Vol. II, ed. Sastri. p. 237; cf. \textit{Journ. As.}, 1936, pp. 61-121. As we have seen, the Chinese annalysts say that Kaṇiśka subdued the east, south and west, but that the north remained unconquered.

\textsuperscript{36} \textit{Select Inscriptions, op. cit.}, p. 378, note 1.

\textsuperscript{37} \textit{Ep. Ind.}, Vol. XXXI, pp. 229 ff.

\textsuperscript{38} See below.


\textsuperscript{40} See Siroa. \textit{Ind. Ep.}, pp 242 ff, 251 ff.
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probably migrated to the east, is known to historians as the Mitras of Bihar.41 The Licchavīs of Bihar likewise might have carried the use of the era of Kaṇiṣṭha to their new home in Nepal. So far as the discovery of Kuśāṇa coins in Bihar is concerned, it may be argued that coins travel and may therefore be carried from one area to another by traders, soldiers, pilgrims, plunderers and others and attention may be drawn to the discovery of the coins of the Saka Satraps of Western India far away in the Akola, Amaravati, Yeotmal and Wardha Districts of Maharashtra, the Seoni and Chhindwara Districts of Madhya Pradesh and the Guntur District of Andhra Pradesh.42 It should, however, also be remembered that the coins of the Kuśāṇas have been found not only in Bihar, but also in Bengal and Orissa. We should not, therefore, speak of the inclusion of Bihar alone in the dominions of Kaṇiṣṭha or of the Kuśāṇas, but probably of Eastern India comprising Bihar, Bengal and Orissa. This makes the problem relating to the discovery of Kuśāṇa coins in Eastern India a subject of careful examination.

Sometimes it is argued that copper is a cheap metal and therefore copper coins did not usually travel far away from their place of issue. If we accept this view, the discovery of Kuśāṇa copper coins in Eastern India would then prove the inclusion of the said region in the Kuśāṇa empire. Copper coins are, however, known to have been the principal currency of some kingdoms of ancient India and the copper money had no doubt very considerable purchasing power in early times. Thus the migration of copper coins from one territory to another even in the course of trade is probable.

41 of Imperial Unity, pp. 172, 174, 214.
42 Studies in Indology, Vol. IV, p. 233; also Sircar, pp. 150 ff
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PLATE III

Kuṣāṇa Coins
PROBABLE EXTENT OF THE KUŚĀṆA EMPIRE
In any case, if the discovery of hundreds of Kuśāṇa coins in Eastern India is not regarded by historians as a definite proof of Kuśāṇa occupation of the said region, the discovery of Kuśāṇa money in the Trans-Oxus areas can scarcely be taken to prove any thing of the kind.
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NOTE:— The following abbreviations have been used here:

au.—author, ca.—capital, ch.—chief, co.—country,
di.—deity, di.—district, dy.—dynasty, f.—family,
gen.—general k.—king, mo.—mountain, n.—name,
pl.—place, pr.—prince, re.—region, ri.—river,
s. a.—same as, st.—state, tit.—title, wk.—work.

A

Ābhīras, people, 20
Abyssinia, co., 30
Achaemenian, dy., 13
Afghanistan, co., 3, 13–14, 19,
    21, 23, 25–26, 28–29
Africa, co., 30
Ahicchatra, pl., 31
Ahura Mazda, de., 3
Akbar, Mughul k., 9
Akola, di., 32
Ālambana-mantra, 11
Al-Bīrūnī, au., 4
Alexander the great,
    Macedonian k., 13
Allahabad Pillar
    Inscription, 16
Amaravati, di., 32
Ambala-Karnal, re., 19
Andhra Pradesh, st., 32
Anga, co., 11
Angas, people, 18
Angulīṃsā, n., 11
Anio, Šun god, 7
An-si, s. a. Parthia pl., 22
Ara inscription, 5
Arachosia, s. a.,
    Kandahar re., 13
Araikhsho, de., 7
Āraṭṭa, co., 17–18
Āraṭṭa, people, 16–17
Ardašīr I,
    Sassanian k., 26
Ardašīr Babagan, do., 9
Ardoksho,
    goddess of abundance, 6
Areia s. a. Herat, pl., 25
Ares, s. a. Shahrewar, de., 7
Aria, s. a. Herat, re., 13
Arrian au., 13
Arthaśāstra, wk., 15, 16
Arya, s. a. Patrician,
    People, 14, 17
Ašoka, Maurya k., 4, 5, 14
Assacenians, people, 13
Assyrians, do., 13
Astacenians, do., 13
Aśvaghoṣa, poet, 30–31
Atash, s. a. Athsho fire god, 3
Athsho, s. a.
    Viśvakarman, de., 3, 6
Atharvaveda wk., 11
Augustus, Roman k., 1
Avanti, co., 11, 28
Ayodhyā, co., 30

Buddhist council (4th), 6
Buner, pl., 13

C

Cambyses, k., 13
Candana s. a. Candra
Kaṇiṣka, k., 30
Caṇḍavira, de., 2
Candrabhāgā, ri., 16
Candragupta Maurya,
Maurya k., 13
Candrakaṇiṣṭha, k., 30
Cedi, co., 18
Central Asia, co., 21
Ch'ang K'ien, n., 21
Chen-t'ang Kia-ni-ch'ā, s. a.
Kaṇiṣṭha, Kuśāṇa k., 30
Charsada, pl., 13
Chhindwara, di., 32
Ch'ien-Han-shu, wk., 22
China, co., 21, 24
Chitrā, st., 22
Cīnabhakti, pl., 24
Cophen, s. a. Kabul, ri., 13
Ctesiphon, pl., 28
Cyrus,
Achaemenian k., 13

D

Dahra Dammo, pl., 30
Darius I, Achaemenian k., 13
Darius III, do., 13
Dīsa, s. a. Plebian, people, 14
Devapāla, k., 4
Devaputra, tit., 7
Dharmāraṇyavihāra, 5
Dharmasūtra, wk., 15
Doonsoo Pargana, dl., 5
Dṛṣadvatī, ri., 19

E
East Bihar, re., 11
East Iran, do., 31
Eastern India, do., 30 and n., 33
Eastern Punjab, do., 10
Eras
Kaṇiṣka, 3, 5, 24, 26, 31
Vikāma Saṁvat, 31
Ermaïou, s. a.
Hermaios, Greek k., 1

F
Faizabad, dl., 30
Fan Ye, au., 21
Farr, s. a.
Pharroi, fire god., 3, 7
Farrukhabad, di., 19
Ferghana, pl., 21
Firuz I, Sassanian k., 28
Fo-ho-lo, s. a. Baktra, pl., 22

G
Gandhāra co., 4, 10, 13
16 and n., 23, 24, 28
Gandhāras, people, 11, 14, 16-18
Gaṇeṣa, de., 7
Gautama, au., 15
Gautama, the Buddha, 3
Gedrosia s. a.
Baluchistan, co., 13
Ghoshravan, pl., 4
Ghoshravan inscription, 4
Gonda, dl., 30
Gujarat, st., 23
Guntur, di., 32
Gupta, dy., 28

H
Haklitre, pl., 5
Han, f., 23
Hari-Hara, de., 7
Harivamśa, wk., 15
Harṣavardhana Śīlāditya, k. of Kanauj, 9
Hasti-śāstra, 11
Helios, sun god, 3, 7
Hephaistos, s. a. Viśvakarman, Greek fire god., 3, 6
Herakles, de., 7
Herat, pl., 13, 25, 27-28
Hermaios, Greek k., 1
Hi-hou, race, 22
Himalayan region, 10
Hindukush, mo., 26, 28-29
Hi-tun, s. a. Parwan, st., 22
Hiuen-tsang, au., 4, 9, 19, 23-24, 29
Hiu-mi, st , 22
Hndstn, s. a.
Indus valley, re., 27
Ho-mo, ca., 22
Hou-Han-shu, 21-23
Hormazd II, Sassanian k., 26
Hryw, s. a. Herat, pl., 27
Hun, dy., 29
Hūṇa, f., 8
Hūṇa, people, 14, 16, 28
Huns, do., 28-29
Huṣka, s. a. Huviṣka,
Kuśāṇa k., 5
Huṣkapura, pl., 5
Hu-tsao, ca., 22
Huviṣka, Kuśāṇa k., 5, 7, 8, 25

INDEX

Jātaka stories, 10
Jats, people, 16
Jayasvāmin,
form of Vishnu, 5
Jayasvāmipura, pl., 5
Jayasvāmi Virūcana,
Sun god, 5
Jivaka, n., 11
Juṣka, s. a. Vāṣiṣṭha or Vajeṣṭa,
Kuśāṇa k., 5, 6
Juṣkapura, pl., 5

K

Kabul, pl., 7, 13, 22, 25-26
Kadphises I, Kuśāṇa k., 1, 2
8-9, 22, 23, 29
Kadphises II, do., 2-3, 8, 23
Kaiśiristan, pl., 22, 29
Kailvan Inscription, 31
Kalhanā, au., 5 n., 6, 28
Kātidāsa, do., 28
Kalningas, people, 18
Kalpanaṁaṇḍitika, wk. 30
Kambojas, people, 14, 15
Kandahar, re., 13-14
Kanauj, ca., 9
Kaneshko, s. a. Kaṇiṣṭha,
Kuśāṇa k., 3
Kanik-caitya, s. a.
Kaṇiṣṭha caitya, 4
Kaṇiṣṭha I, Kuśāṇa k., 3-5
6-9, 23-25, 30, 31-32
Kaṇiṣṭha II, do., 5, 8, 25

I

Ikhtiyar-uddin-Muhammad-
bin-Bakhtiyar Khalji, k., 23
India, s. a. Indus Valley, 27
Indragupta, n., 4
Indus, ri., 13, 16, 27
Indus Valley, 31
Iran, co., 26
Iranian fire temple, 3, 6
Irāvati, ri., 16
Issykkul, lake, 29

J

Jagdumbash Pamir, re., 25
Jalalabad, pl., 4
Jārtikas, s. a
Jats, people, 16-17
Kañiṣka-caitya, 4
Kañiṣka-Mahāvihāra, 4
Kañiṣkakapura, do., 5
Kañiṣka-stūpa, 4
Kan-to-lo, s. a.
Gandhāra, pl., 28
Kao-fu, s. a.
Kabul, ca., 22, 26
Kāpiṣa, re., 24, 29
Karashahr, pl., 25
Karnal, di., 19
Kashgar, re., 25, 27
Kashmir, do., 4-5, 19, 29
Kāśi, pl., 12,
Kāśi-Magadhā, re., 12
Kauṣāṁbī, pl., 31
Kauṣitaki Brāhmaṇa, wk., 10
Kauṭilya, au., 15-16
Kāvyamimāṃsā, wk., 19
Khasa, people, 17
Khotan, pl., 25
Khusru I Anoshirvan,
Sassanian k., 29
Khurasan, pl., 26
Kidāra, pr., 27
Kien-she, ca., 21-22
Ki-pin s. a.
Kafiristan, pl., 22, 26
Ki-to-lo, s. a. Kidāra, pr., 27
Kiu-sha, s. a. Kuśāṇa, f., 30
K'iu-Tsiu-K'io, s. a.
Kujala-Kadphises I,
Kuṣāṇa k., 22-23
Kosala, pl., 11, 18
Kozola-Kadaphes, s. a.
Kujala Kadphises,
Kuṣāṇa k., 1
Kozolou Kadphizou, s. a.
Kujala Kadphises, do., 1
K'sh, s. a. Kashgarh, pl., 27
Kucha, do., 25
Kuei-shuang, st., 22-23
Kujala, s. a. Kadphises I,
Kuṣāṇa k., 2
Kujala Kadphises, s. a.
Kadphises I, Kuṣāṇa k., 1, 22
Kumāralāṭa, au., 30
Kunduz., ri., 3
Kuru, race, 18
Kuru-dessa, ca., 11
Kurukṣetra, re., 19-20
Kuru-pāncchāla, re., 10, 12, 18
Kuśāṇ Malkā, tit., 26
Kuśāṇ Malkān Malkā, do., 26
Kushān Shāh, k., 28
Kuśāṇa, f., 1, 6, 8-9,
14, 22-23, 26-27, 29-33
Kwshnhshtr, s. a. Kuṣāṇa, 27

L

Laghman, pl., 19
Lampaka, s. a. Laghman, do., 19
Lan-shi, ca., 21-23
Lāṭa, ca., 11
Licchavi, f., 11, 31-32
Lrooaspo, de., 3, 7
Index

M

Maasena, s. a.
Mahāsena, de., 7
Macedonia, co., 13
Madhya Pradesh, st., 32
Madra, co., 17-18
Madra, s. a.
Madraka, people, 17-18
Madraka, do., 17-18
Magadha, co., 11-12, 28
Magadha, people, 12, 18
Mahābhārata, wk., 16, 19-20
Mahābhāṣya, do., 15
Mahāli, Liechavi ch., 11
Maharashtra, st., 32
Mahāsena, de., 7
Mahāvṛṣa, co., 11-12
Mahāyāna, sect, 3, 6
Mahēśvara, de., 2, 9
Majjhimanikāya, wk., 14
Makran, pl., 27
Mālava, f., 31
Malla, clan, 11
Malwa, re., 28
Manaobago, de., 3, 6
Mandasar, pl., 28
Mandasar inscription, 29
Mauces, Saka k., 2
Manu, au., 14
Manusmṛti, wk., 10, 15, 19-20
Mao, s. a. Manaobago,
Moon god, 6
Margiane, s. a. Merv, ri., 25-26
Marqurat, au., 22
Mathurā inscription, 2, 5, 7
Mathura, re., 19
Matsya, co., 18-19
Maukharī, f., 31
Maurya, dy., 13-14
Medes, people, 13
Merv, ri., 25, 27, 29
Mihira, Sun god, 3, 8
Mihirakula, Hūya ch., 28
Miiro, Sun god, 3, 7
Miioro, s. a. Miioro, do., 3
Mithra, s. a. Miioro, do., 3
Mitra, f., 31-32
Miioro, s. a. Miiro, Sun god. 3
Mkwrn, s. a. Makran, pl., 27
Mleccha, 18
Mughul, dy., 9
Monaobago, s. a.
Mao, Moon god, 6
Mozoouano, s. a.
Auhro Mazda, de., 3
Mrgw, s. a. Merv, re., 27
Muiz-Uddin Muḥammad bin
Sām, k., 23
Mūjavat, co., 11

N

Nagarahāra, s. a.
Jalālābād, pl., 4
Nāgārjuna, 6
Naimiṣa, race, 18
Nana, de., 3, 7-8
Nanaia, s. a. Nanashao, do., 3
Nanashao, do., 3, 7
Index

Naqsh-i-Rustam inscription, 27
Nares, k., 28
Nepal, co., 31-32
Nidhivuddharāṇa mantra, 11
Northern
Afghanistan, re., 11, 14, 24
Northern Maharashtra, do., 23
North-Western
Afghanistan, do., 22

O

Oado, Wind god, 3
Oakhsho, de., 7
Oana, s. a. Oaninda, do., 7
Oaninda, do., 7
Oesha, s. a.
Vṛṣa or Siva, do., 7-8
Ommo, s. a. Umā, do., 7
Ooshna, s. a. Viṣṇu, do., 7
Ordoksho, do., 8
Orissa, st., 32
Orlagno, War god, 3
Oron, de., 7
Oxus, ri., 21-30
Oxus-valley, re., 10, 26, 28, 33

P

Pahlava, s. a.
Parthians, people, 14-15
Paikuli inscription, 28
Pamir, pl., 22, 24-25
Paścāla, race, 18-19
Pancanada, re., 16-19
Pancanadas, people, 18
Pan-chao, gen., 25
Panjshir valley, 22
Pāradā, people, 15
Paramamāheśvara, tit., 9
Paropamisadae, s. a.
Kabul, pl., 13
Paropamisadai s. a.
Hindukush region, 26
Paropanisus, mo., 26
Parthia, pl., 22
Parthians, people, 14, 31
Parwan, st., 22
Paskibouron, s. a.
Peshawar, pl., 27
Pāṭaliputra, ca., 30
Patañjali, au., 15
Patiala, di., 20, 26
Patna, do., 4, 30
Patrician, people, 14
Pehoa, pl., 19
Peshawar, do., 4, 6, 11, 23, 27-28
Pharro, fire god, 3, 7
Piśācas, people, 17
Pitāmaha, 17
Plebian, people, 14
Po-lu-sha, s.a. Peshawar, ca., 28
Po-mao, do., 22
Prajāpati, 17
Prājrjuna, s.a. Prājjūṣaka, f., 16
Prājjūṣaka, people, 16
Prasenajit, Kosala k., 11
Prasthalas, people, 17
Prthūdaka, s. a. pehoa, pl., 19
P'rtū, 'do., 27
Pshkbwr, s. a. Peshawar, 'do., 27
Ptolemy, au., 25-26
Punjab, re., 13
Puqyaśāla, 7
Purāṇas, wk., 15
Puruṣamedha, sacrifice, 12
Puruṣapura, s. a.
    Peshawar, ca., 4, 23
Purushāvar, s. a.
    Peshawar, 'do., 4
Puṣkalāvati, s. a.
    Charlie, pl., 13, 22
Pu-ta, 'do., 22

Q

Qushiani, s. a. Kuṣāṇa, f., 25

R

Raghuvamśa, wk., 28
Rājapura, s. a. Rajauri, pl., 19
Rajasthan, st., 23, 31
Rājaśekhara, au., 19
Rājatarangini, wk., 5-6, 28
Rajauri, pl., 19
Rāmāyaṇa, wk., 13
Rawalpindi, pl., 10-11
Rom, de., 7

S

Śaḍarbadhana, pl., 6
Śaka, dy., 2, 28
Śaka, s. a. Scythians,
    people, 14-15, 20, 28
Śaka-Satrap, f., 32
Śākāta, pl., 30
Sakstan, 27
Śākyasimha, 6
Salene, de., 3
Śālva, race, 18
Samudragupta, Gupta k., 16
Sanchi inscription, 5
Sarapho, de., 7
Sarasvati, ri., 19-20
Sarva, s. a. Siva, de., 2
Sarvajnaśanti, preceptor, 4
Sassanian, dy., 9, 26-27
Satadru, ri., 16
Satadru valley, 19
Śatapathabrahmaṇa, wk., 10
Satlaj, ri., 26
Sauvīra, f., 17
Script:
    Brāhmi, late, 27
    Greek, 27
    Kharoṣṭhī, 1-2
    Nāgari, 27
    Pahlavi, 27
Scythians, people, 14, 31
Seistan, s. a. Sakstan, pl., 27
Seleucus, gen., 13
Seoni, dl., 32
Set-Mahet, pl., 30
Shaoreoro, war god, 7
Shahrewar, de., 7
Shāpūr I, k., 27
Shiki, wk., 21
Sh'shst'n, s. a. Tashkent, pl., 27
Index

Shuang-mi, s.a. Chitral, st., 22
Si, gen., 25
Sind, re., 13
Sindhu, f., 17
Sindhu, re, 13, 23
śiva, de., 2-3, 7-9
Sind-Punjab, re., 23
Sirhind, do., 20, 26
Sivi-deśa. co., 11
Skanda-Kārtikeya, de., 2
Skanda-Kumāra, do., 7
Skando-Komaro, s. a.
Skanda-Kumāra, do., 7
Skandagupta, Gupta k., 28
Skstn, s. a. Sakstan, pl., 27
Soked, s. a. Sāketa, do., 30
Sogdiana, do., 27
Sogdiane, do., 25
Song-yun, Chinese pilgrim, 28
South Bihar, re., 11
Sūrasenaka, pl., 19
Surāśṭras, people, 18
Surāśṭra, co., 28
Surkh Kotal, pl., 3, 6
Surkh kotal inscription, 24
Suṣkaletra, s. a. Haklitre, pl., 5
Svaidyana śaunaka, n., 10
Swgd, s. a. Sogdiana, pl., 27
Swat, do., 13

T'ang-shu, wk., 22
Tarim, basin, 25
Tashkent, pl., 27
Tashkurghan pass, 25
Ta-wan, pl., 21
Ta Yue-chi, f., 23
Tien-chu, s. a.
Sindhu, re., 23, 26
Tocharian, language, 8
Toramāna, Huśa k., 28
T'sung-ling, s. a.
Pamir, pl., 22, 24-25
Tu-ho-lo, s. a. Tukharga, re., 22
Tukharga, do., 22
Tung-T'ien-chu, re., 30
Turfan, do., 29
Turks, people, 14, 29
Turuṣka s. a. Kuṣāṇa, f., 6
Turuṣka, 5
Twarn, pl., 27

U

Uddālaka Āruṇi, n., 10
Uḍicaya, s. a.
Uttarāśtha, re., 10-13, 19
Ujjayini, coin, 2
Umā, de., 7
Uttarāpatha, dī., 10, 12-15
Uttarpradesh, st., 30-31

V

Vado, s. a. Vāta, de., 3
Vāhika, co., 16-18
Vajasaneyi-Samhitā, wk., 12
Vajeṣka, s. a.
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