INDIGENOUS

STATES OF NORTHERN INDIA

( Circa 200 B. C. to 320 A. D. )

62725

With a Foreword
By
PROFESSOR R. C. MAJUMDAR

BELA LAHIRI, M. A., D. LITT.
READER IN HISTORY
JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
1974
PRINTED IN INDIA
Published by Sri Sibendranath Kanjilal, B.Sc., Dip. Print (Manchester),
Superintendent, Calcutta University Press, 48 Hazra Road, Calcutta-19
AND
Printed by Sri Kalidas Munshi at The Pooran Press,
21, Balaram Ghose Street, Calcutta-4

Price Rs. 50·00
INDIGENOUS STATES OF NORTHERN INDIA
(Circa 200 B.C. to 320 A.D.)
Thesis approved for the Degree of Doctor of Literature in the University of Calcutta
FOREWORD

This book deals with the political history of Northern India during the period of half a millennium that intervened between the fall of the Maurya and the rise of the Gupta Empire. This is usually referred to as the Dark Age, for compared with our knowledge of the two Empires our knowledge of the history of the period is very meagre. It witnessed the rise of a number of small independent principalities all over Northern India and constant streams of invasions by foreigners like the Greeks, the Śakas, the Parthians and the Kushānas, none of whom, except the last, has left a fair number of epigraphic records. The literary references, too, are not only meagre but very obscure and do not help us very much in reconstructing their history. The main source of information about them is quite a large number of coins which enable us to locate a number of independent states with the names of their rulers, but do not throw much light on their relations with one another nor their chronology. It is therefore a very difficult task to reconstruct the political history of Northern India from 200 B. C. to 320 A. D. It is therefore gratifying to note that Dr. (Mrs.) Bela Lahiri has undertaken this arduous task, and, so far as I can judge, has done it remarkably well. She has studied all the available sources of information and various theories based upon different interpretations of the source materials, and presented a picture of the political condition of Northern India which may justly be regarded as a pioneer’s work, for though there are many articles and small books dealing with individual States, as well as short account of them in some historical works, this is the first comprehensive study of the whole of Northern India during that somewhat obscure period. Her discussion of the history of the Śuṅga Dynasty is very critical and thorough, and the history of the individual States that follows is as complete as the available materials permit. This scholarly work fills up a gap in our knowledge of ancient Indian history and students of that subject should welcome it as supplying a long-felt need.

R. C. Majumdar
To the sacred memory of my parents whose blessings were a constant source of my inspiration.
PREFACE

The attention of writers on the early history of India has been generally drawn by the interesting story of the successive rule of the Greeks, Scythians, Parthians and Kushānas in the north-western parts of the Indian subcontinent and the adjoining areas in the period between the fall of the Mauryas and the rise of the Guptas, i.e. c. 200 B.C. to 320 A.D. The history of the indigenous ruling families and clans of Northern India, which flourished in the same age and played no small part in contemporary politics, has usually been neglected. We have made here an attempt to reconstruct the history of the Indigenous States of Northern India during the period in question from a critical study of the available data, specially the literary, epigraphic and numismatic records.

Besides an Introduction, our work has four parts which are followed by the Conclusion and Appendix.

In the Introduction, we have dealt in a small compass with the historical background of the period with reference to the main trends of Indian history, the growth of the Magadhan empire, the causes of its gradual decay, the series of foreign invasions and the re-assertion of the spirit of local autonomy with the decline of that empire. We have also made an evaluation of the different source materials that help us in reconstructing the history of the indigenous states of the period.

In Part I, which is divided into three chapters, we have discussed how the Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas failed to hold intact the empire inherited from the Mauryas and how this led to the growth of a number of semi-autonomous and independent states. In Chapter I the problems connected with Pushyamitra have been discussed with particular reference to the circumstances that led to his sudden rise to power. Chapter II deals with the Later Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas as well as the subsequent history of Magadha. Attention may be drawn in this connection to the points raised with regard to the question of the capital of the Later Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas and the extent of their dominions. Chapter III deals with the autonomous towns and cities which took the earliest opportunity to declare independence of the imperial authority.

In Part II we have discussed the history of the monarchical states that grew up in Madhyadeśa with the decline of the Śuṅgas. The-
question of the so-called 'Miras' of different localities has been thoroughly discussed and it has been shown from a critical and comparative study of their coins that they were not scions of the Śuṅga family nor did they belong to a single dynasty. An attempt has been made to arrange the numerous local rulers of Northern India in an approximate chronological order, based on the typological study of their coins.

Part III deals with the rise and fall of the various tribal oligarchical states of the Punjab region. We have gathered all available information about the various tribes and discussed the questions of their antiquity, identification and location, the different forms of their government, and their relation with the contemporary foreign powers. We have offered our suggestions with regard to a number of points, e.g., the attribution of the so-called 'Mahādeva' coins hitherto included in the list of the monetary issues of the Audumbaras; the light thrown on the original territory of the Kulūtas by the Taxila find of their coins;* and the location of the Vṛishṇis as suggested by their unique silver piece.

Part IV presents detailed account of the tribal states of the Rajasthan region as well as the Nāgas of Padmāvatī and other centres. We have tried to follow the course of migration of some of the tribes from the Punjab area to Rajasthan. With regard to the Nāgas, we have thoroughly examined the theories of Jayaswal and offered in many cases different interpretations of the facts observed by him. In fixing the chronology of the Nāga rulers, we have followed a method different from that in H. V. Trivedi's work on the subject.

The Conclusion contains a summary of our study of the history of the indigenous states as well as a discussion of the cultural importance of the period.

The Appendix gives a topographical list of the relevant inscriptions indicating their bearing on our subject.

It has to be admitted that the material at our disposal are often inadequate and it is not possible to come to a definite conclusion on a number of points. Some of our views, therefore, must necessarily remain hypothetical for the present. We have to depend mainly on coins which are, in many cases, ill-preserved or known only from bad illustrations, the number of available coins of some rulers or states often being

* Whitehead's remark about the metal of the unique round coin of Kulūta Virayaśas misled us (cf. p. 229, n. 7 below). It is actually of copper, as stated by Cunningham and Allan.
very few. We have, therefore, tried not to be dogmatic in our interpretation of the evidence of the 'monumental records'. and it is up to the readers to judge the merit of our endeavour.

The present work was submitted to the University of Calcutta as the D.Litt. thesis as early as 1962 and was sent to the press through the University in 1964, after its approval for that degree in 1963. But it is unfortunate that its printing has taken such a long time for unavoidable reasons. Naturally, therefore, some publications have come out in the mean time, on a few topics covered by this comprehensive thesis, and it has not always been possible to take note of them all during the printing stage. We now crave the indulgence of readers for such unavoidable omissions. We may also in this connection express our deep regret for various printing mistakes which may have escaped our notice.

I am grateful to Professor S. K. Saraswati under whom I worked in the earlier stage of my researchs as a Government of India Research Fellow in Humanities at the library of the Asiatic Society. I am also thankful to Dr. D. C. Sircar, who kindly permitted me to use his office library for studying epigraphical materials while he was the Government Epigraphist for India at Ootacamund, and went through some portion of the MS. of my work and offered some suggestions for its improvement. My thanks are due to Dr. S. Bandyopadhyay, who, in collaboration with Sm. Kalyani Das, assisted me in preparing the Index.

Professor R. C. Majumdar has put me under eternal debt of gratitude by writing the Foreword of this book inspite of his advanced age and various pre-occupations. Above all, the unstinted help and advice of my husband, Dr. A. N. Lahiri, have provided a constant source of inspiration. His reasoned criticisms, although sharp and not always so pleasant, have been ultimately helpful.

Department of History
Jadavpur University
1974

Bela Lahiri
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INTRODUCTION

India is a single geographical unit; but never before modern times it was possible to bring the entire country under one sway due to its vastness and diversities, mainly geographical and ethnological. Yet with the gradual spread of the socio-religious ideology of the conquering Aryans into a greater part of the country, a loose bond of cultural unity slowly grew up and, even in the early historical period, political thinkers began to dream of the entire country under one sway. And a number of empires covering wide areas of the country actually sprang up in ancient times.

But due to the operation of diverse factors, no empire, however great, was destined to live long; and, during the interval between the fall of one empire and the rise of another, the country was divided into a number of states fighting with one another for supremacy. A faithful record of these petty states that sprang out of the ruins of comparatively big empires is not usually available. Consequently, various gaps are noticed in the chronological sequence of the history of ancient India, and these gaps, the so-called 'dark ages,' cover a greater part of India’s early history than what is recorded about the imperial powers.

Thus, out of the sixteen Mahājanapadas of the time of the Buddha, there arose in Northern India four great states, viz. Avanti, Vatsa, Kośala and Magadha, which again coalesced into one mighty monarchy—that of Magadha—under the vigorous imperialistic policy followed by the Nandas and the Mauryas. And the first nearest approach to the realisation of the ideal of Indian unity was attained under the Maurya monarch Aśoka.

We get a fairly accurate idea of the extent of Aśoka's empire from the distribution of his Edicts as well as from their internal evidence.¹ His empire embraced practically the whole of India.

¹ For the distribution of Aśoka's Edicts see D. C. Sircar, Inscriptions of Aśoka, pp. 26-30; add Ep. Ind., XXXIV, pp. 1 ff. for the Graeco-Aramaic inscription from Shar-i-Kuna (near Kandahar, Afghanistan). The internal evidence consists in the mention of the independent border states termed as Pratyanihas in Rock edict II, and Antas in Rock Edict XIII.
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including parts of Afghanistan and Baluchistan and the former North-West Frontier Province in the west and Bengal in the east, and from the Nepalese Tarai in the north to the borders of the Tamil states of the Far South. This southern boundary is marked roughly by the five copies of Aśoka’s Minor Rock Edicts discovered in the Chitaldrug District of Mysore and the Kurnool District of Andhra Pradesh. But Aśoka’s spiritual influence extended far beyond, even over the Tamil states of the Far South and Ceylon, as well as over the Yavana kingdoms of the Mediterranean region (cf. Rock Edict XIII). It was thus for the first time in the history of India that the sway of a historical emperor was obeyed throughout the length and breadth of India—excepting only the few small states of Southern India and probably Assam in the east. Even these outlying provinces would probably also have come under Aśoka’s rule, had not the Kaliṅga war brought in a change in his policy and put an end to further military exploits. The Kaliṅga conquest, which revealed the manifold evils of war and thus brought the conqueror directly under the influence of the Buddhist doctrine of ahiṅsā, changed the course of Indian history, so much so that soon after the death of Aśoka the mighty empire that he had built gradually declined. In course of a few decades, the final blow was struck by Pushyamitra who, by killing his master Bṛihadratha, extinguished the last vestiges of the imperial Maurya house.

Of course, forces both from within and from without were operative in undermining the foundation of the Maurya empire and no single cause can probably be adduced for it. Of the internal agencies, dissenion in the imperial family, court intrigues and maladministration by the provincial officers must be placed first. It is evident from the accounts found in the Buddhist and the Puranic sources about the successors of Aśoka

---

2 For the extent of Aśoka’s empire see PHAI*, pp. 307 ff.
3 Cf. Brahmagiri, Siddapura and Jatāṅga-Rāmeśvara Edicts in the Chitaldrug District of Mysore, and Yerṭagudi and Rājula-Maṇḍagiri Edicts in the Kurnool District of Andhra Pradesh.
4 H. P. Sastrī ascribes the main cause of the downfall of the Maurya empire to a Brahmanical reaction promoted by Pushyamitra (JASB 1910, pp. 259-60); for contrary views see PHAI*, pp. 354 ff.
5 See CHI, p. 511 and PHAI*, pp. 349 ff.
that none of them was able to hold intact the vast empire bequeathed by him, and that the dismemberment of the empire set in soon after his death. Members of the imperial family snatched away parts of the empire and set up independent kingdoms. Thus, Jalauka, a son of Asoka, is known from Kalhana’s Rājatarāṅgini to have ruled in Kashmir, while Virasena, one of Asoka’s successors, is mentioned by Taranātha as setting himself up as an independent ruler in Gandhāra. It is not unlikely that Sophagasenus (Subhāgasena), ‘King of the Indians,’ with whom Antiochus III of Syria met on equal terms and ‘renewed his friendship’ about 206 B.C., was a scion of the house of Virasena.

From the Mālavikāgnimitra, we know that the Maurya court was divided into two parties, one headed by the Maurya Minister who set up his partisan Yajñasena in Vidarbha as an independent ruler, and another headed by the Maurya General Pushyanimitra who ultimately succeeded in usurping the Maurya throne itself and making his son the viceroy of Vidisā.

The evils of official maladministration in the provinces, which were responsible for their ultimate secession from the empire can be noticed even during the time of Asoka from his Kaliṅga Edict I. The Dīvyāvadāna informs us that Taxila revolted twice—once during the reign of Bindusāra and again during the time of Asoka himself—due to ministerial oppression.

Again, the policy of Dharma-vijaya, pursued by Asoka after his conquest of Kaliṅga and advocated by him for his successors as well (cf. Rock Edict XIII and Pillar Edict VII), was bound to undermine the military efficiency of the empire. Of course, it is true that Asoka did not disband the army altogether, which is evident from his message to the border people (cf. Kaliṅga Edict II) that “the king will forgive them in respect of any offence that is pardonable” and to the forest folk that he had power to punish them in spite of his repentance so that “they may be ashamed of their crimes

7 Cf. CHI, p. 512.
8 Mālavikāgnimitra (Act I, 6-9), pp. 15-17.
and may not be killed” (Rock Edict XIII). Such veiled threats would be meaningless, had not Aśoka maintained his regular army and kept it in readiness for possible troubles.10

But inaction gradually impaired the army's power of resistance to foreign invasions which were then threatening the northwestern frontiers. The Maurya army which, under Chandragupta, repulsed the mighty forces of Seleucus, was now unable to combat the Greeks from Bactria, who not only invaded Madhyamikā, the Pañchāla country, Mathurā and Sāketa, but also besieged the Maurya capital Pāṭaliputra and held it for some time.

Moreover, the personal weakness of Aśoka's successors who failed to check the disintegrating forces, brought about the speedy decline of the Maurya empire. When India needed strong rulers like Chandragupta, she got only weaklings like Śalīśūka and Bṛhadratha whose descriptions in the Yugasparśa11 and the Harshacharita12 respectively do not speak well of their personal qualities. The ease with which the Maurya general Pushyamitra disposed of his master Bṛhadratha during a parade of his army, as narrated by Bāṇa, shows how the later Mauryas had lost personal contacts with their army.

The importance of the Yavana invasion of the country in bringing about the downfall of the Maurya empire cannot be minimised. The internal weakness of the imperial administration invited foreign invasion which, in its turn, helped Pushyamitra to a great extent in usurping the Maurya throne, as we shall see below (Ch. I, Sec. 2).

The expedition of the Seleucid emperor Antiochus III to the border-land of the Indian subcontinent, which took place about 206 B. C., though it had no direct bearing on the mainland, revealed the feebleness of the resistance that a properly equipped army was now likely to encounter in an invasion of the country.13

A large-scale Yavana invasion of the Maurya empire actually

---

11 Cf. JBORS, XIV, p. 401.
13 Cf. CHI, p. 444.
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took place about two decades later, of which a valuable account is contained in the *Yugapurāṇa* section of the *Gārgīsamhitā*. From it, we learn that the Greeks first seized the country of Pañchala and the cities of Mathurā and Sāketa, capitals respectively of the Śūrasena and Kośala *janapadas* of the empire. Then the invaders proceeded towards the Maurya capital Pāñjaliputra which was occupied by them for some time. As a result, there was chaos everywhere and the people were being cruelly oppressed by the Yavanas.

The *Yugapurāṇa* narrates this event immediately after the account of Śāliśuka who was, according to the *Viṣṇupurāṇa,* fourth in descent from Aśoka and third in ascent from the last Maurya king Bṛhadratha, and is thus likely to have flourished about 200 B. C. Patañjali, in his *Mahābhāshya*, apparently refers to this Greek invasion when he illustrates the use of the Imperfect Tense (*Laṅga*), by *arunāḍa Yavanaḥ Sāketam, arunāḍa Yavano Madhyamikā* (the Yavana was besieging Sāketa, the Yavana was besieging Madhyamikā)—as events well-known to the people, which could have been witnessed by the speaker. In another place, he uses the Present Tense (*Laṅga*) with reference to a [horse-]*sacrifice performed by Pushyamitra, in which he acted as a priest—*iha Pushyamitrāṁ yājayāmah* (here we perform the [horse-]*sacrifice of Pushyamitra*)—to denote an action which has begun but not finished. From these two illustrations it is usually believed that Patañjali was a contemporary of Pushyamitra, and that the Greek invasion took place not long before the horse-sacrifice performed by Pushyamitra and within the living memory of Patañjali. According to the *Yugapurāṇa*, it occurred shortly after the reign of Śāliśuka. Thus

---

14 For text, trans., etc. see Ch. I, Sec. 2 below.
16 Cf. *AIU*, p. 106. In the *Yugapurāṇa*, a section in 6 lines immediately before the reference to the Yavanas describes Śāliśuka (see *JBORS*, XIV, p. 401); also *EHIT*, p. 228, n. 1.
18 Ibid. (on Pāṇini, III, ii, 123, vār. 1), p. 123.
18a See R. G. Bhandarkar, *M. Ant.* 1872, p. 300 and H. C. Raychaudhuri, *PHAI*, pp. 378-79; and cf. L. de la Vallée Poussin, *L’Inde aux temps des Mauryas*, p. 200, where he contends that these are but older stock-phrases which Patañjali merely utilised as illustrations. But the manner in which Patañjali refers to these
the Greek invasion is most likely to have taken place during
the reign of Bhiradhratha. It should not probably be dated after
the accession of a usurper (i.e. Pushyamitra) in 184 B.C., as
supposed by Tarn,19 for, as we shall see below, the Greek
invasion itself seems to have been mainly responsible for afford-
ing an opportunity to Pushyamitra in usurping the Maurya
throne. It is also difficult to believe that the Yavana invasion
of the country and occupation of the capital took place at a
time when Pushyamitra was firmly established on the imperial
throne. The invasion mentioned by the Tugapurśaṇa and Patañjali's
Mahābhāṣya may, therefore, be dated immediately before the
usurpation of power by Pushyamitra, i.e. sometime about 186
B.C. For, the Tugapurśaṇa also states that the Greeks could not
stay in Madhyadeśa for long and that they had to retire due
to a civil war in their own land—evidently referring to the
interneceine struggle between Eu克拉ides and Demetrius.20
There is no doubt that this civil war helped Pushyamitra to a
great extent in his success against the Greeks and in acquiring
popularity as a Sanāpāti by which title he was known even after
his accession to the imperial throne.

The leader of the Yavana invasion was very probably
Demetrius who ruled from about 200 B.C. to 180 B.C.,21 and who
is probably also mentioned in the Tugapurśaṇa as Dhamamīta.
Demetrius's association with India is proved by classical
writers.22 Some coins of Indian fabric bearing this royal name
are often believed to have been issued by Demetrius.23

...
Kālidāsa’s Mālavikāgnimitra refers to a conflict between the forces of the Yavanas and those of Pushyamitra on the banks of the Sindhu. But, as the Śuṅga army is stated to have been led by his grandson Vasumitra, the conflict seems to have taken place late in Pushyamitra’s life, while the one referred to in the Yugapurāṇa probably took place before his accession to power. It is, however, possible that the Yavana forces, mentioned in the Mālavikāgnimitra were those of Menander who might have been a younger contemporary of Pushyamitra (c. 185 B.C. to 150 B.C.). The coins of Menander are found extensively—from Kabul in the west to Mathurā in the east—and he is mentioned in a Relic Casket inscription discovered at Bajaur. His name is cherished in Indian tradition, notably in the Milindapañha, as a scholar and patron of Buddhism.

Therā is also an interesting reference in Strabo to the Greek penetration into Eastern India, corroborating the accounts of the Indian traditions. “Of the eastern parts of India, then”, says Strabo, “there have become known to us all these parts which lie this side of the Hypanis of which an account has been added by those, who, after Alexander, advanced beyond the Hypanis, as far as the Ganges and Palibothra.” Strabo also alludes to some Greek incursions towards the western parts of India. “They (i.e. the chiefs of the Bactrians) got possession not only of Patalene (the Sindhu delta), but also of the kingdoms of Saraostos (Saurāshṭra), and Sigerdis (probably Sāgaradvipa meaning Cutch), which constitute the remainder of the coast.” But virtual Greek rule in India did never extend beyond the Jamuna in the east. Greek expeditions towards Madhyadesa or the Lower Indus Valley were in the nature of seasonal raids and had no permanent results. For, as Whitehead has observed, the Greeks coined money wherever they ruled, and no remarkable hoard of Greek coins has yet been discovered either.

25 For his coins see CIC, pp. 148-160, and for their distribution see GBJ, p. 260 For the inscription, see SI, p. 102.
26 Strabo, Geogr. xv. 1. 27 (C 698) ; trans. by H. L. Jones, Vol. VII, p. 47.
27 Strabo, Geogr. xi, 516 ; trans. by McCrindle, Ancient India, pp. 100 f ; cf. CHI, p. 542.
28 NC 1940, p. 92.
to the east of the Jamunā or in the Lower Indus Valley. The coins of Menander and Apollodotus circulating at Barygaza, as reported in the *Periplus of the Erythrean Sea*, might have been brought there in course of trade.29

Moreover, the accession of Pushyamitra to the Maurya throne put a halt to the further advance of the Yavanas towards Madhyadeśa. From the *Dīṇḍāsārṇa* story of the alleged persecution of Buddhism by Pushyamitra on the way of his march from Paṭaliputra to Śākala in the Eastern Punjab,30 it is evident that Pushyamitra freed the entire Madhyadeśa from foreign domination. The performance of two horse-sacrifices by him, as known from literary and epigraphic sources,31 entitles him to some substantial achievements to his credit.

The empire of the Śuṅgas, however, was not so extensive as that of the Mauryas. And during the time of Pushyamitra’s successors, their authority over the gradually diminishing empire was more vague than real. For, coins and inscriptions testify to the emergence of numerous independent states throughout Northern India during the time of the Later Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas. This shows that the spirit of local autonomy reasserted itself, thus launching India into one of the dark periods of its history.

The antiquity of the non-monarchical form of government in India has been discussed by various authorities and the existence of republican states before the rise of the Mauryas is now recognised.32 Pāṇini, who is assigned by scholars to about 500 B. C.,33 mentions a number of republican states which he calls *Saṅghas* and seems to divide them into two classes: (1) the *āyuḍha-jīvin saṅghas*, and (2) other *saṅghas*.34 The Buddhist texts also refer to a number of oligarchic clans which existed during the time of the Buddha.35 Alexander’s historians refer to the existence of many aristocratic and oligarchic states in the Punjab and the Sind region.36 Kauṭilya devotes a whole

30 Cf. *Dīṇḍāsārṇa*, pp. 433-34. For the story see Ch. I, Sec. 5 below.
31 See Ch. I, Sec. 8 below.
33 See *AIU*, p. 269.
34 Cf. *HP*, p. 30 and *CLAI*, Ch. III, 2, pp. 221-23.
36 Ibid., Ch. III, 4, pp. 245-49; *PHAI*, pp. 245 ff.
chapter on the *Saṅghas* or corporations and divides them into two classes, viz. *vārta-lastr-ōpajīvin* and *rāja-lastr-ōpajīvin,* the former comparable to the *āyudha-jīvin* of Pāṇini. The list of such states as given by Kaṭūṭiya shows that Northern India was studded with these democratic states during his time.

With the establishment of a strong centralised monarchy under the Mauryas, however, all the smaller states, particularly those around Magadha, seem to have been merged into the Maurya empire. But autonomous institutions, farther away from the capital survived, their continued existence being fostered by geographical factors. Such states, e.g. in the mountainous regions of the Punjab and the deserts of Rajasthan reasserted themselves with the weakening of the imperial hold under the successors of Pushyamitra.

Coins show that the states that grew up during this period flourished mainly in three regions—the Eastern Punjab, Eastern Rajasthan and the Gangetic valley. It was but natural that the states of the first two regions developed different forms of non-monarchical constitution, designated as *janapada* or *gana* in their coin-legends, while the last-named region, where the rise of Magadhan imperialism made a clean sweep of all republican ideas, became the seat of several independent monarchical states. The history of Northern India from the fall of the Mauryas to the rise of the Guptas is but the history of these small states.

The downfall of the Magadhan empire was also the signal to a series of foreign invasions. The history of the indigenous states was greatly moulded by the establishment of foreign supremacy in North-Western India successively by the Bactrian Greeks, the Scythians, the Parthians and the Kushānas.

We have already referred to the *Yavana* invasion of Madhyadeśa and the subsequent retirement of the invaders during the reign of Pushyamitra. Later Indo-Greek princes were ruling in the north-western parts of India contemporaneously with the Later Śuṅgas and maintained diplomatic relations with them. The Besnagar–Garuḍa pillar inscription** informs us that Heliodorus was sent from Taxila as an ambassador by the

\*37 See Kanglō, *The Kaṭūṭiya Arthāśāstra*, Ch. XI, 4-5 (p. 245).
\*38 *SI*, p. 90.
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Indo-Greek ruler Antialcidas to the court of Bhāgabhadra of Vidiśā, who appears to have belonged to the Śuṅga family.

Numismatic and epigraphic evidences show that Greek rule in North-Western India was superseded by that of the Scythians. The Scythian invaders of India are also mentioned in the Yudapurāṇa. The names of the Śaka rulers, as known from coins and inscriptions, show a strong admixture of Iranian, Parthian and Scythian elements, which indicate that the Śakas, before their entry into India, lived for a long time in Iran under Parthian suzerainty and imbibed strong Irano-Parthian influence.

The possible reference to sakastāna in the Mathurā lion capital inscription, coupled with the description of the Indus delta as "Indo-Scythia" in the Periplus, indicates the way through which the Śakas entered India. It was from their base in Indo-Scythia that the Śakas advanced in two directions—northwards up the Indus Valley and eastwards towards Ujjayānī and Mathurā.

The earliest Śaka king whose name is known from coins and inscriptions is Maues. His coin-types, imitated from those of some Yavana princes show how the dominions of Kapiśā and of Gandhāra (which included both Taxila and Pushkalandvatī) passed from the sway of the Yavanas to that of the Śakas. The Taxila copper plate inscription of Moga of the year 78 partially corroborates it. But the credit of terminating Greek rule from the Eastern Punjab must be due to Azes I who is known to have restrueck the coins of Hippostratus and Apollodotus II as also to have continued the type "Athena Promachos", characteristic of Menander’s successors in the said area.

Coins and inscriptions bearing the names of the Śaka satraps of the Mathurā region show that the Śakas extended their sway as far as the Jamunā in the east by ousting the indigenous ruling families of Mathurā and the adjoining areas.

---

39 Cf. JBO, XIV, p. 404.
40 ST, p. 112.
41 Cf. CHI, pp. 558-59, 570.
42 Sircar, ST, p. 120. There is some controversy over the era in which the inscription is dated. Rapson (CHI, p. 570) and Tarn (GBI, p. 501) date it about 72 B.C. and 77 B.C. respectively, while Sircar dates it about 21 A.D.
43 Cf. CHI, pp. 554, 571-72.
The association of the name of Azes with the era of 58 B.C. in several inscriptions from N. W. India\(^4^4\) indicates that, by the middle of the first century B.C., the Śakas were in possession of that region. Mathurā was probably occupied by them sometime later—towards the close of the first century B.C. or the beginning of the first century A.D.\(^4^5\)

Śaka rule in North-Western India was supplanted by that of the Parthians during the first quarter of the first century A.D. This is particularly clear from the coins of the Strategos Aśpavarman. While his coin-types (which were a continuation of those of the Śakas) indicate that he succeeded to their dominions,\(^4^6\) his joint-coins, first with Azes II and then with Gondophares\(^4^7\), prove that the transfer of power was from the Śakas to the Parthians. If the Takht-i-Bahi inscription of the year 103—which corresponds to the 26th regnal year of Gondophares\(^4^8\)—be dated in the era of 58 B.C., then Gondophares' rule in North-Western India must have begun by 19 A.D. This date is also consistent with the tradition of a Parthian named Phraotes ruling at Taxila in 43-44 A.D., probably as governor of Gondophares.\(^4^9\) Gondophares is again identified with the king Gudnaifar of the Christian tradition who is said to have been converted, along with his brother Gad, by the apostle St. Thomas and therefore lived in the first century A.D.\(^5^0\) The contemporaneity of king Gudnaifar and Gondophares may support this identification. Gondophares, as his name implies, was undoubtedly of Parthian extraction.\(^5^1\)

The Parthian provinces of north-western India were probably ruled over by military governors called strategoi and the joint-coins of these strategoi indicate the succession of their suzerains. The allegiance of these governors to their suzerains was

\(^{44}\) Kalawān copper-plate inscription, Year 134 (SI, p. 127), Taxila silver-scroll inscription of a Kushāṇa king, Year 136 (ibid., p. 129).

\(^{45}\) For the date of Śaka occupation of Mathurā see Ch. VI, Sec. 2 below.

\(^{46}\) Cf. CHI, p. 577.

\(^{47}\) Cf. PMC, p. 130, Pl. XII. 317 (Azes and Aśpavarman) and p. 150, Pl. XV. 35 (Gondophares and Aśpavarman).

\(^{48}\) SI, p. 121.

\(^{49}\) PHAI\(^a\), p. 451.

\(^{50}\) Ibid., p. 452.

\(^{51}\) Cf. his monolingual Attic drachm (Gardner, BMC, Pl. XXXII. 10) on which the royal bust has remarkable Parthian affinity.
becoming very nominal even towards the latter part of the reign of Gondophares, and his weak successors could not hold their dominions very long.

The Taxila hoard of twenty-one small silver coins, containing issues of two Parthian governors, and of Pacoares and Wema Kadphises illustrates the passing away of the Gandhāra region from the Parthian to the Kushāṇa supremacy. The Panjtār inscription of the year 122 mentioning a Kushāṇa, and the Taxila silver scroll inscription of the year 136 mentioning one Mahārāja Rājāṭirāja Devaḍutta Kushāṇa—if referable to the era of 58 B.C.—prove that by A.D. 65, Kushāṇa chiefs were ruling in the Peshawar region and that by 78 A.D., Kushāṇa supremacy was firmly established in the Taxila region so as to enable the Kushāṇa ruler to assume the supreme title of Mahārāja Rājāṭirāja Devaḍutta.

But though Śaka-Parthian suzerainty ended in North-Western India, the rule of the Śaka satraps did not end and they continued to play a part in Indian politics under the suzerainty of the Kushāṇas. That the Lower Indus Valley continued to be ruled over by the Parthian chiefs in the first century A.D. is proved by the Periplus which describes them as turbulent Parthian princes "who are constantly driving each other out". The Nasik and Junagarh inscriptions of the Western Satraps of the Mālava-Saurāṣṭra region show that they extended their sway upto the Godāvari in the south at the cost of the Śatavāhanas in the second century A.D. The Śaka era derived its name, according to Rapson, from its long use by the Śaka satraps for about two centuries and not because its founder was a Śaka. Kushāṇa inscriptions are found at Mathura, Kosam, 

---

52 Cf. CHI, p. 580.
53 ASR 1912-13, pp. 49-51.
54 Cf. CHI, p. 581.
55 SL, p. 126.
56 Ibid., p. 129.
57 Cf. CHI, p. 585.
58 SL, pp. 160 and 169.
59 CHI, p. 585.
60 SL, Nos, 49, 50, 52, 54 and 57.
Sarnāth in the east, Sañchi in the south, Sul Vihār near the Bahawalpur frontier, Mañjikāla in Rawalpindi, and Wardak near Kabul and Surkh-Kotal near Kandahar in Afghanistan in the west. Kushāṇa coins are found up to the Ghazipur and Gorakhpur regions, at Vaiśāli, in the Buxar hoard, and in the Kumrāhār excavations of 1912-13. Discovery of Kushāṇa coins in Bengal and Orissa may not indicate subjugation of those territories; but the tradition of the Chinese and Tibetan writers that Kañishka led successful expeditions against Sāketa and Pāṭaliputra coupled with the discovery of his coins in the Bihar region—which are of copper and signify long use—as well as the use of the Śaka era in the Kailvan inscription of year 1087 show that his dominions might have included Magadha proper. According to the Chinese tradition, Kipin or Kapiśa was ruled by the Kushāṇas and, as the last Buddhist council is said to have been summoned by Kañishka in Kashmir, that country was most probably included within Kañishka's empire. The Kashmir chronicle refers to the rule of Hushka, Jhushka and Kañishka who are apparently Kushāṇa. Thus, the Kushāṇa empire, at its widest, extended from parts of Afghanistan, Kapiśa, Gandhāra and Kashmir in the north-west to Varanasi or even Pāṭaliputra in the east, including probably Rajasthan, Sind, Kathiawar and Central India. The Kushāṇa empire, therefore, engulfed a great portion of Northern India and the independent career of most of the indigenous states of the Punjab, Rajasthan and Madhyadeśa ceased.

62 SI, Nos. 37, 38 and 39.
63 Ibid., Nos. 44 and 45.
64 Ibid., No. 48.
65 Ibid., No. 41.
66 Ibid., No. 43.
67 Ibid., No. 55.
68 PHAI, p. 473; JNSI, XII, pp. 121 ff., and XIII, pp. 144 ff.
69 Ep. Ind., XIV, p. 142; Ind. Ant., XXXII, p. 382; CJII, II, pp. lxxi and lxxv; Cf. PHAI, p. 473, and n. 5.
70 Ep. Ind., XXXXI, pp. 229 ff.
71 For the extent of Kañishka's dominions see PHAI, pp. 473 ff. and AIU, pp. 141 ff.
Kaṇishka I, during whose reign the Kushāṇa empire reached the zenith of its power, was the greatest ruler of the dynasty. But from the time of Vasudeva (Years 67-98, A.D. 145-176), the empire was on the decline. The paucity of his coins and inscriptions and their comparatively limited distribution indicate the diminished area of his empire. Probably about this time, the Śaka kshatrapas and mahākshatrapas who were the actual governors of the Kushāṇa dominions, became virtually independent. About the middle of the second century A.D., the dominions of the Great Satrap Rudradāman included the Lower Indus Valley and he was ruling virtually independently. The Gangetic Valley might have remained under the sphere of Kushāṇa influence, though not under the direct control of the Kushāṇas, down to Vasudeva’s reign.

Though Kushāṇa power decayed in the Gangetic Valley from the time of Vasudeva, Kushāṇa supremacy lingered sometime more in North-Western India. It is known from Chinese sources that, up to about the middle of the third century A.D., the Kushāṇa empire embraced the Punjab, the former N.-W. Frontier Province and Afghanistan. The Paikuli inscription of the Sassanians and some Sassanian coins probably indicate subsequent Sassanian suzerainty in parts of Indo-Scythia and N.-W. India. The Daivaputra-Śāhi-Śāhānuṭāhi, who made obeisance to Samudragupta was no doubt the successor of the Great Kushāṇas in the Punjab region. He has been identified by V.A. Smith with Grumbates.

**SOURCES**

The sources of history for the period from the fall of the Mauryas to the rise of the Guptas are not copious. They may be classified into two broad divisions, viz. literary and archaeological.

1 **LITERARY SOURCES**

The literary texts are of various categories, and their value

---

72 The Junagarh inscription of Rudradāman I, Year 72 (=150 A.D.) testifies to it: see SI, p. 169.
73 AIU, p. 152.
74 Ibid., pp. 152-53.
75 JRAI 1897, p. 32.
76 Respective reference to every texts are given in their proper places.
depends upon the nature of the texts. They may be classified under the following heads:—

(a) Brahmanical works like the Epics and the Purāṇas

The Mahābhārata mentions a number of tribes, viz. the Mālavas, Śibis, Rājanyas, Andhaka-Vṛishṇis, Audumbaras, Trīgartas, Vaiyāmakas, Kulūtas and Kulindas or Kuṃindas, and several kingdoms like Paṇḍhara and Kauśāṁbī. The Harivaṃśa refers to ‘the audhṛīja senānī of the Kāsyapa lineage’, who restored the horse-sacrifice in the Kali Age, referring probably to Pushyamitra. The lists of the dynasties of the Kali Age, contained in the Purāṇas, constitute our main source of information about several dynasties, such as the Śuṅgas and the Kāṇyas. Besides, they refer to the Nāga houses of several centres, the Meghas of [South] Kośala, i.e. the Maghas of Kauśāṁbī, and several other tribes.

But much of the value of the information gathered from the above texts are impaired by textual corruptions and occasional interpolations. Most of them, in their present form, were written down at a late date, so that the authenticity of their information is very doubtful. Nevertheless, we cannot reject their evidence wholesale, because much of them are undoubtedly old and valuable. As H. C. Raychaudhuri has pointed out, “the epigraphic or numismatic records of the Śatavāhanas, Ābhīras, Vakāṭakas, Nāgas, Guptas and many other dynasties fully bear out the observation of Dr. Smith that ‘modern European writers have been inclined to disparage unduly the authority of the Purānic lists, but closer study finds in them much genuine and valuable historical tradition’.”

When corroborated by different literary texts or by archaeological evidences, they are of great help to us.

(b) Brahmancial works to which an approximate date can be assigned, e.g. the grammatical works of Pāṇini (about 500 B.C.), Patañjali (second century B.C.), Arthaśāstra of Kauṭilya (assignable to the period, third century B.C. to 100 A.D.). Information gathered from these sources, although very meagre, are of greater value than the evidences of the

77 PHAI*, pp. 6-7.
78 For the date of Pāṇini, see AIU, p. 269; for Patañjali, see p. 5 above; and for Kauṭilya see PHAI*, p. 9.
Épics and the Purāṇas, as such information comes from persons assignable to a known epoch.

Pāṇini mentions a number of republican states, termed as saṅghas, which he seems to divide into two classes, as mentioned above. Patañjali's references to the Yavana invasion of Saketa and Madhyamikā and the [horse-]sacrifice of Pushyamitra, in which he acted as a priest, have contributed much in determining the dates of the Yavana invasion of Madhyadeśa and Pushyamitra's accession to power. He also refers to several important cities and towns of the second century B.C. Kautilya devotes a whole chapter (Ch. XI) to the discussion of republican states (saṅgha-vṛittam) which, as already noted, he divided into two groups.

(c) The Buddhist and Jaina texts.—The evidence of the Buddhist Jātakas referring to a number of republican tribes are more valuable than that of the Divyāvadāna which records the story of the persecution of Buddhism by Pushyamitra. Of the Jaina sources, the Therāvalī of Merutuṅga refers to Pushyamitra and gives the chronology of his dynasty. But they cannot be utilised without other corroborative evidence.

(d) Other literary works like the Mālavikāgnimitra of Kalidāsa, Harshacharita of Bāṇa, Mālatimādhava of Bhavabhūti and Brihatasamhitā of Varāhamihira.—Although information gathered from these works are derived from incidental references, they are of some value to us.

The Mālavikāgnimitra gives us information about Pushyamitra's horse-sacrifice, the conflict of Pushyamitra's forces with the Yavanas on the bank of the Sindhu and Agnimitra's rule at Vidiśa as a viceroy of Pushyamitra. Bāṇa's Harshacharita corroborates the Puranic accounts as to the rise of Pushyamitra, the murder of the last Śuṅga monarch by Vasudeva Kāṇva and the rule of the Nāga king Nāgasena at Pādmāvatī. The Mālatimādhava, the scene of which is laid in the city of Pādmāvatī, helps us in identifying the Nāga capital. From the Brihatasamhitā, we can roughly locate some of the important tribes of the period.

(e) Last of all, we may refer to the foreign works of the Classical writers. Of them, Alexander's historians speak of a number of monarchical and republican states in North-Western India on the eve of Alexander's invasion, some of
which maintained their existence even up to our period. The
description of the Periplus of the Erythrean Sea (first century A.D.)\textsuperscript{78a}
and of Ptolemy (second century A.D.) are also sometimes helpful.

II ARCHAEOLOGICAL SOURCES

Archaeological sources are undoubtedly more important
than literary evidence. They consist of epigraphical, numismatic
and monumental evidences.

(a) Inscriptions\textsuperscript{79} supply the most valuable archaeological
evidence, though they are a few in number.

The only inscription referring to Pushyamitra is the
Ayodhya inscription of Dhanadeva. The two Besnagar Garuda
pillar inscriptions refer to Bhāgabhada and Bhāgavata, who
are supposed to be Later Śuṅga princes, of whom the latter is
also mentioned in the Barli fragmentary inscription. The
dynastic name Śuṅga is mentioned in the Bhārhatu inscription
of the Śuṅga age. Some later rulers of the Magadha region
with mitra-ending names are known from inscriptions, e.g.
Indragnimitra and Brahmamitra from the Bodh-Gaya inscrip-
tions, Brīhaspatimitra from the Hāthigumpha inscription, and
Ārya-Viśākhamitra from the Kailvan inscription.

Of the local dynasties of Madhyadeśa, the Ayodhya line of
Dhanadeva is mentioned in his Ayodhya inscription. The
Sārnāth inscription refers to king Aśvaghoṣa of the Kauśāmbī
region. The Pabhosa inscription mentions Brīhaspatimitra and
Ūḍāka, two kings of Kauśāmbī. From several Kauśāmbī records
we know the names of some Mitra rulers of that area, viz.
Jyeshṭhamitra, Rājamitra, Śivamitra and Varuṇamitra. Two
other Mitra rulers of the same area, viz. Dāmamitra and
Devimitra are also known from the Mūsānagar brick inscrip-
tions. The line of the Magha rulers of the Rewa-Kauśāmbī
region are known mainly from their dated records found at
Gīnja, Bāndhogarh and Kauśāmbī. A line of Ahichchhatrā rulers
is mentioned in the Pabhosa inscriptions, while Dhruvamitra,
another ruler of that region, is referred to in a Rāmnagar
inscription. Three inscriptions from Ganeshrā and Mathurā
speak of kings Gomitra, Śūryamitra and Vishnumitra of the

\textsuperscript{78a} For assigning the Periplus to the 3rd century, see IHQ, XXXVIII, pp. 89 ff.
\textsuperscript{79} A list of relevant inscriptions is given in the Appendix below.
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Mathurā area, while the Morā brick inscription of queen Vasamata refers to another king of that area. Two local kings, viz. Virasena and Śilavarman, are known from the Jānkhāt and Jagatgrām inscriptions respectively.

Of the tribal states of the Punjab region, only the Vaudheyas are mentioned in inscriptions. The Bijayagarh inscription speaks of a Vaudheya Mahārāja Mahāsamāpati, while the Junāgarh inscription of Rudradāman refers to the military power of the Vaudheyas who are also mentioned in the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta as one of the border tribes paying homage to him.

Amongst the Rajasthan tribes, the Ārjunāyanas and Mālavas are mentioned in the Allahabad inscription of Samudragupta along with the Vaudheyas. The conflict of the Mālavas with the Śakas is referred to in a Nasik inscription of Nahapāna's time. The Nāndsā yūpa inscription records the achievement of a Mālava chief and gives the names of his forefathers. The Maukharis of Bādvā are known only from their dated records found in that place. The Shorkot inscription of Year 83 locates the site of Śibipura which may have been the habitat of the Śbis during the time of Alexander's invasion. The Barli inscription refers to an inhabitant of Madhyamikā, the later capital of the Śbis, while a Chitorgarh inscription helps us to locate Madhyamikā, mentioned as Madhyamā. The Ghoshunāḍi-Hātthibāḍa inscriptions speak of Sarvatāta, a local king of the Nāgarī region.

Some Vākāṭaka charters inform us that king Bhavanāga belonged to the Bhāraśīva family, which probably indicates that the Bhāraśivas were of Nāga extraction. The Pawaya Manibhadra image inscription refers to the Nāga king Śivanandī and also marks the site of the Nāga capital Padmāvati. Another Nāga king named Maheśvaranāga is known from a Lahore record. The names of some of the Āryāvarta kings defeated by Samudragupta, as known from his Allahabad pillar inscription, indicate that they were probably of Nāga lineage.

(b) Coins constitute the main source of our information about the history of the local and tribal states of this period.

79a See the plates illustrating some important coins at the end of this book.
When studied critically, coins reveal important facts, often unknown from other sources.

The study of coins may be made from various aspects. First of all, the legends on the coins should be studied in their proper perspective since they are really "a class of inscriptions on metal." They often supply us with the names of the issuing authorities as well as the nature of the constitution of the states concerned, such as janapada or gaṇa. Again, numismatic epigraphy, although more rigid than the writing on stones, sometimes gives us a valuable clue regarding the chronology of the issuers of the coins.

Secondly, the objective study of the coins themselves, i.e. the technique of their manufacture, their shape, metal and types, also may yield valuable clues to their chronology. For, it is generally noticed that in the course of evolution and due to foreign influence, later coins became finer in fabric and shape than the earlier ones. We may, therefore, place the issuers of the cast pieces of rude fabric and of square shape earlier than the rulers issuing struck coins of finer execution and of round shape. The degree of foreign influence noticed in the shape, fabric, weight-standard, devices and the use of silver as a coining metal also gives us valuable clue to the dates of the coins concerned. The inter-relation of coin-types suggests proximity of time and/or place amongst rulers or dynasties.

Lastly, a close study of the provenance of coins may be of great help to us in determining the habitat of a tribe. Thus, the discovery of the coins with the legend, Majhamikāya Śibi-janapadasa from Tambāvatī Nāgarī near Chitor enabled us to locate the site of Madhyamikā, the later capital of the Śibis. Likewise, the discovery of the coins with the legend Kosabhi from modern Kosam near Allahabad removed doubts as to the identification of Kauśāmbī with Kosam. Cunningham's locations of the habitats of various tribes from the provenance of their coins, which are generally in copper and consequently not likely to have travelled far, are still, therefore, to be generally accepted.

80 OHI, p. xvii.
(c) Monuments and other Archaeological Evidences. The study of structural remains as well as various objects of art, unearthed from archaeological excavations, also yields valuable results. "Careful registration of the stratification of the ruins on ancient sites, that is to say, of the exact order in which the remains of one period follow those of another, often gives valuable proof of date." A comparative study of various objects of art and architecture enables us to draw in outline the chronological sequence of the rulers during whose times they were made.

Archaeological excavations, conducted in various ancient sites, have opened up a rich store of information about the history and culture of our past. They have helped us to identify many ancient sites and to supplement and corroborate information gathered from other sources.

81 Ibid.
PART I
FALL OF THE MAGADHAN EMPIRE

CHAPTER I
PUSHYAMITRA AND CONNECTED PROBLEMS

1. Sources

For Pushyamitra’s reign, we have mainly to depend on literary sources. None of the known coins can be definitely attributed to him while the only known epigraphical notice is in the Ayodhya inscription of Dhanadeva¹, in which Pushyamitra is called a senapati and ‘the performer of two horse-sacrifices’ (dvir = asvamedha-yājin). This inscription also gives us the correct form of the name of the Maurya general, which is Pushyamitra and not Pushpamitra as found in some of the literary texts.

Of the literary works, the Purāṇas are our chief source of information. They are supplemented and in some cases corroborated by the Harshacharita of Bāṇa. Kālidāsa’s Mālavikāgnimitra also helps us in deducing a few historical facts of Pushyamitra’s time. The grammarian Patañjali, in his commentary on Pāṇini’s Ashtādhyāyi gives us a few glimpses of Pushyamitra and his time. The concluding pages of Book XXIX of the Divyavadāna record the tradition about Pushyamitra’s alleged persecution of Buddhism. Merutūṅga, a Jaina author of the 14th century, also refers to Pushyamitra.

2. How Pushyamitra came to power

The Purāṇas contain a statement about the rise of Pushyamitra. Thus the Matsyapurāṇa² says:

“Pushyamitras = tu senāṁr = udbhṛitya sa Brhadhratham
Karishyati sa vai rājyam shaṭtrimśati samā nripaḥ II”

[Translation: Pushyamitra the commander-in-chief, will uproot Brhadhratha and rule for 36 years.]

¹ SI, p. 96.
² DKA, p. 31 and n. 6 (Text), p. 70 (Trans.); karishyati sa occurs only in jMatsya MS in place of kārayishyati which occurs in other MSS.
The Bhāgavata-purāṇa adds a few more details and says:

"Hatvā Brīhadrathaṁ Mauryaṁ tasya sanāpatīḥ kalau
Pushpamitrasya tu Śuṅg-āhvaḥ svayaṁ rājaṁ karishyati "

[Translation: Having killed Maurya Brīhadratha, his commander-in-chief Pushpamitra, styled Śuṅga, will himself rule as king in the Kali age.]

It is thus clear that Pushyamitra Śuṅga, the Maurya general, overthrew his master Brīhadratha and usurped the latter's powers. But the Purāṇas do not state the circumstances under which such an ignoble act on the part of Pushyamitra was possible. For a better understanding of the situation, we have to look to other sources and the Yugapurāṇa throws some welcome light on this point. As we have already seen in the Introduction, the former text speaks of a Greek invasion of Madhyadeśa, which seems to have taken place during the reign of Brīhadratha, when Pushyamitra was his general. This invasion revealed the weakness of the Maurya king who was unable to protect his subjects therefrom, and thereby gave his powerful general an opportunity to kill his master and seize powers. The Greek invasion is referred to in the Yugapurāṇa as follows:

1 “Tataḥ Sāketam-aṅkramaṇa Pañcālaṁ-Mathurāṁ
tathā
2 Yavanā dushta-vikrāntāḥ prāpsyanti Kusumadhvajam
3 Tataḥ Pushpapure pṛapte kardame prathite hite
4 ākulā vishayaḥ sarve bhavishyanti na saṁśayaḥ
5 śastra-dru(bhū)ma-mahāyuddham tad-bhavishyati
paśchimam

3 Bhāgavata-purāṇa, Part 12, p. 6 (Text); the Vishṇupurāṇa also says tataḥ Pushpamitrāḥ senāpatiḥ svāminah hatō rājaṁ karishyati (DKA, p. 31, n. 1).
4 Five MSS of the Yugapurāṇa are known: (1) MS of H. Kern (now lost): cf. the Brīhatāsmāhīṭṭa of Varāhamihira, Bib. Ind., 1864-65, Introduction, pp. 32-40; (2) MS of the Asiatic Society, Calcutta: cf. JBORS, XIV, pp. 400 ff., notes; (3) MS of Benares Sanskrit College: cf. ibid.; (4) MS of the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris: cf. JBORS, XV, pp. 129 ff.; and (5) MS of D. R. Mankad: cf. JUPHS, XX, pp. 32 ff. The text and translation of the lines quoted here are taken from Dr D. C. Sircar's paper, "The Account of the Yavanas in the Yugapurāṇa", JRAS 1963, pp. 7-20. This seems to be the most exhaustive and critical study of the question.
6 Dhamamita-tayā vriddhā janam bhoksha(kshya)nti
    nirbhayāḥ  
7 Yavanā(ḥ*) kshāpayishyanti Nagare paṁcha
    pārthivā(n*)  
8 Madhyadeśe na sthāsyanti Yavanā yuddha-durmadāḥ  
9 teshāṁ = anonya-saṁbhāvād = bhavishyati na saṁsayaḥ  
10 ātma-chakṛ = otthitaṁ ghorāṁ yuddhāṁ parama-
    dārumāṁ  
11 Tato yuga-vaśat = teshāṁ Yavanānāṁ parikshaye  
12 Sa(Sā)kete sapta rājāno bhavishyanti maha-balāḥ  

[Translation: Then, having occupied [the city of] Sāketa, [the
country of] Pañchāla and [the city of] Mathura⁵, the viciously
valiant Yavanas will reach (or seize) Kusumadhvaja. Then,
when the stretched out mud (i.e., mud-wall) at Pushpapura
will be reached (or occupied), all the provinces [of the Maurya
empire having its capital at Pushpapura] will be undoubtedly
in [complete] disorder. Then, there will be subsequently a
great war (or battle) in which a large number of weapons
will be used.—Prospering under the protection of Dhamamita
(Demetrius)⁶, the Yavanas will eat up (i.e. oppress) the people
[and] will burn [alive] five rulers at Nagarā (i.e. Pāṭaliputra).
The Yavanas, fierce in fight, will not stay in Madhyadeśa. As a
result of strong mutual [ill] feelings [developing] amongst them
there will no doubt be an extremely terrible [and] fierce war
arising in their own circle (i.e. realm). Then, after the disappear-
ance of the said Yavanas resulting under the [evil] influence
of the [Kali] age, there will be seven mighty kings at Sāketa.]

From the above account it is apparent that the Yavanas not
only captured Pāṭaliputra but actually occupied it after reducing
some important localities of the Maurya empire, viz. the
Pañchāla country, Mathurā and Sāketa. The capital being
occupied by the invaders, was cut off from the rest of the

---

⁵ We have here adopted the reading Pañchālā māthurāṁ of Kern’s MS in
place of Pañchālā māthūrā of other MSS, which makes the Pañchālas and Māthuras
joint-invaders of Sāketa with the Yavanas. For, apart from being grammatically
incorrect, the latter reading involves some other defects, as pointed out by Dr
Sircar, op. cit., p. 10.

⁶ Jayaswal (Jbors, XIV, pp. 417 f.), Tarn (GBI, pp. 454-55) and Sircar
(op. cit. p. 13) believe that Dhamamita or Dharmamita is the Indianised form of the
name of Demetrius, the supposed leader of the Yavana invasion.
country, and all the provinces were in complete disorder. Brihadratha, the feeble representative of the Maurya house, seems to have taken refuge in a safer place and continued to carry on the struggle with the Greeks for sometime. This seems to be suggested by the reference to Mahāyuddha in 1. 5 and also to the oppression perpetrated by the invaders on the people in 11. 6-7.

Fortunately for India, the Yavana occupation of Madhyadeśa was not of long duration. The invaders had to leave the country to fight a fierce battle in their own realm (i.e. Bactria). This apparently refers to the struggle between Eucratides and Demetrius⁷, as a result of which most of the Greek garrisons that were in occupation of strategic points in India appear to have been withdrawn.

If we read Bāṇa's account of the murder of Brihadratha against the above background, the circumstances leading to the rise of Pushyamitra become more intelligible. The Harshacharita refers to the incident in the following words⁸:

"Pratijñā-durbalaṁ cha bala-darśana-vyapadesa-darśit-āsēsha-sainyaḥ senānir-anāryo Mauryaṁ Brihadrathāṁ pipesha Pushpamitraḥ svāminam".

Bühler translates the passage as follows: "And reviewing the whole army under the pretext of showing him his forces, the mean general Pushpamitra crushed his master Brihadratha, the Maurya, who was weak of purpose."

The term pratijñā-durbala, as it occurs in some MSS⁹ is quite significant. Bühler renders it as 'weak in purpose', while Smith translates it as 'one who is weak in keeping his coronation oath.'¹⁰ Dr Sircar's translation, viz. 'one who is [too] weak to keep his promise' is more literal and suitable to the context,

---

⁸ Cf. Bühler, Ind. Ant., II, p. 363 (Text and Trans.).
⁹ Pratijñā⁸ occurs in the MS consulted by Bühler; pratijñā⁸ is the reading in most of the other MSS. Cf. Harshacharita of Bāṇa (with commentary of Raṅganātha) —Ed. by S. K. Pillai, Trivandrum, 1958, p. 301. It seems, as suggested by Dr Sircar (op. cit., pp. 18-19), that the commentators who had apparently no knowledge of the nature of Brihadratha's pratijñā substituted it for pratijñā which would be easily intelligible to the readers.
¹⁰ EHI⁴, p. 208, n. 1.
as we shall see below. The word bala here signifies ‘strength’, not ‘forces’, as put by Bühler.

The allusion to the parading of a huge army presupposes the existence of an enemy in the background and the Yuga purāṇa account of the Greek occupation of Pāṭaliputra reveals the identity of the enemy. It is apparently with regard to this enemy that Bṛihadṛatha seems to have made a promise (pratiṃṇā)—to drive him away from the country—but could not keep it afterwards, as he was considered to be too formidable from the result of the mahā-yuddha alluded to in the Yuga purāṇa (1.5). Thus, the Pratiṃṇā-durbala king, who could not protect his subjects from the oppression of the invaders, appears to have lost respect of the people, including the military personnel. At this critical moment, Pushyamitra probably tried to persuade the king to fight the enemy, by demonstrating the strength of his forces in a grand military parade.

In the above context, we may render Bāṇa’s passage in the following words:

“And, as he was parading the entire [Maurya] army [before Bṛihadṛatha] on the pretext of exhibiting its strength [in order to convince the king of the prowess of his forces], the ignoble general Pushpamitra crushed his master Bṛihadṛatha, the Maurya, who was [too] weak to keep his promise [to fight or repulse the Yavanas or to save or rescue his subjects from the oppression of the Yavanas].”

H. P. Sastri, however, gave a different colour to the picture. According to him, Pushyamitra returned to Pāṭaliputra after a successful campaign against the Greeks, ‘who advanced year after year to the very heart of the Maurya empire.’ He was given a fitting reception by King Bṛihadṛatha at a camp outside the city where a review was held of a large army. In the midst of the festivities, Bṛihadṛatha was killed by an arrow and thus Pushyamitra became the master of the situation. He remained with the army at Pāṭaliputra and made his son king of Vidiśā.

---

11 The expression bala-darianu-vyapadeśa-darśitūtesha-sainyāḥ appears to be explicit enough to indicate that the parade of the huge Maurya army was held for some specific purpose and not as an ordinary peace-time routine work. It is quite likely that it was done with a view to exhibit the inherent might and strength of the Maurya army against the background of a threatened or actual invasion.
13 JASB 1910, p. 260. Italics are ours.

O.P. 182—4
Sastri’s reconstruction of the course of events, however, ignores some broad points that can be deduced from the above-quoted passage of Bāṇa. Firstly, the military parade was evidently not arranged by Bṛihadratha ‘as a fitting reception’ to Pushyamitra, but by the latter in order to exhibit the strength of his forces (bala-dārśana-vyapadeśa) to the former. Secondly, the army parade is not likely to have been held after a successful campaign against the Greeks. Such an act on the part of Pushyamitra appears to have been necessary only because the Greeks were still holding the capital, and not defeated, as conjectured by Sastri.

The turn of events discussed above immensely favoured Pushyamitra. In the first place, the Greek invasion which shook the very foundation of the Maurya empire gave him the opportunity to make a bid for power by liquidating Bṛihadratha who proved himself a failure. Then, the civil war amongst the Greeks drew most of their soldiers from all parts of Madhyadeśa to the north-west and made it easier for Pushyamitra to cope with the retreating enemy and consolidate his power. Ultimately, as we shall see, he was successful in freeing from Yavana domination the whole of Madhyadeśa and eastern Uttarāpatha as far as Śākala in the north-west. The Indo-Greek rule was henceforth confined to the north-western parts of India and it was probably sometime after the death of Pushyamitra that Menander recovered Śākala and made it his capital, as known from the Milindapañha.

3. Pushyamitra did not adopt the Royal Title

It is curious that Pushyamitra does not appear to have adopted any royal title after the usurpation of the Maurya throne. The Purāṇas say that he ruled for 36 years, but refer to him as a senāni. Kālidāsa, in his Mālavikāgnimitra, calls his son Agnimitra a rājan, but gives Pushyamitra the epithet of senāpati. Bāṇa’s Harshacharita also styles him only a senāni. Even Dhanadeva, the sixth descendant of Pushyamitra, though referring to the latter as ‘a performer of two horse-sacrifices’ (dōir = aivamedha-yājin), confers on him no greater title than senāpati. It is only in the Divyāvadāna that Pushyamitra is styled a rājan.14

14 Divyāvadāna, p. 434.
The Buddhist writer Tāranātha also refers to him as the ‘Brāhmaṇa king’. And it is apparent from Patañjali’s reference to Pushyamitra-sabhā along with Chandragupta-sabhā as illustrations of samāsa between the name of a king and his court that Pushyamitra actually ruled as a king. It is also clear that he could not have performed two horse-sacrifices unless he was a king.

Wilson thought that, although Pushyamitra dispossessed his master of his crown, he refrained from appropriating it to himself, and remained content with his military status, whilst he invested his son with the title of king, and performed the horse-sacrifice on his behalf. This is not correct, for it is evident from the Mālaviyāgnimitra that Pushyamitra celebrated the Alvamedha-yajña for himself.

In most of the MSS of the Matsya, Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas the expression kārayishyati vai rājyaḥ occurs with reference to Pushyamitra. From this, Jayaswal comes to the conclusion that Pushyamitra did not rule himself but through others (kārayishyati), thus pretending to establish a ‘kingless constitutional government’ (vairājaḥ), and that is why Pushyamitra styled himself not a rāja but a senāpati only. But the above Puranic passage has variant readings and nothing can be built on it. For, the j Matsya, Vishṇu and Bhāgavata Purāṇas read karishyati in place of kārayishyati.

R. C. Majumdar suggests that, in the last days of the Maurya empire, Pushyamitra was the de facto, though not the de jure, king of Magadha. In his opinion, Pushyamitra and his sons ruled the different provinces of the empire, owing a nominal allegiance to the Maurya king. Even during Brhadratha’s time, he made his son a ‘king’ in Vidiśā while he himself retained the title of senāpati till, in an opportune moment, he killed his

15 Schiefner, Tāranātha’s Geschichte des Buddhismus in Indien, Ch XVI (quoted by Jayaswal, JBORS, IV, p. 258).
19 JBORS, XV, pp. 583-84.
20 For j Matsya, see Pargiter, DKA, p. 31, n. 6; for Vishṇu, see ibid., n. 1; for Bhāgavata, see Bhāgavatapurāṇa, ed. by Swami Dhananjaya Das, Bk. 12, p. 6. The last text expressly states: Pushpamitraś t ’Saṅghāvah savayān rājyaḥ karishyati.
master, and, after celebrating an Alvamedha sacrifice, assumed the
title and dignity of an imperial autocrat, and hence the curious
anomaly of titles noticed in the Mālavikāgnimitra. 21

But, as we have seen, Pushyamitra is not known to have ever
adopted a royal title and even after the performance of two
horse-sacrifices he is designated only a senāpati by his descendant
Dhanadeva. Moreover, the horse-sacrifice alluded to in the
Mālavikāgnimitra was not probably his first Alvamedha after which
he is supposed to have assumed the royal title. It appears to be
his second Alvamedha-yajña, as we shall see below.

We do not know why Pushyamitra actually did not adopt
any royal title even after his assumption of full regal powers.
Apparently, the murder of the Maurya monarch was an act of
treachery on his part, for which Bāña contemptuously called
him anārya, i.e. ignoble; so Pushyamitra may have wanted to
atone for his crime by endeavouring to give a different colour
to the whole show. He probably refrained from appropriating
the royal title for himself in order to give the people to
understand that he had no hankering for it, but that his aim
was only to save them from foreign domination and misrule.
Consequently, he may not have cared to change his title of
senāpati by which he was so popularly known. 22

4. The Lineage of Pushyamitra

The dynasty founded by Pushyamitra is designated Śuṅga
by the Purāṇas. H. P. Sastri regards the Śuṅgas as Āchāryas or
teachers of the Sāmaveda on the evidence of the Lāṭyāyanadrautasūtra.
He draws our attention to No. 25 of the Bibliotheca Sanscrita
series containing several works on the Gotras and Pravaras of
Brāhmaṇas and to Leaf VII of its introduction wherein we have
the following: “Pravaras Nos. 4 and 5 are pronounced by persons
who were born of Śuṅga, a descendant of Bharadvāja, by a woman
married in the family of Kata, a descendant of Viśvāmitra. So
the Ṛsis of the families of both Bharadvāja and Viśvāmitra
appear in the Pravara, and those who pronounced this Pravara

21 IHQ, I, p. 94.
22 Cf. the title āyuga, as applied to Kadphises I on his coins (PMC, Pl.
XVII. 8) even after his assumption of full royal powers. Cf. also the title
Mahāmaṇḍaleśvara applied to Bijjala and others even after the assumption of the
full royal style (Bomb. Gazetteer, II, ii, p. 474 ff.).
cannot intermarry either with Bharadvāja or Viśvāmitra." The Śuṅgas were therefore Bhāhmaṇas with the Pravaras of both the Bharadvāja and Vaiśvāmitra families. Jayaswal has also pointed out that Śuṅga was a Dvāmushyāyaṇa (Dvigrapha) or Niyoga-born Brāhmaṇa of the Bharadvāja and Vaiśvāmitra families. But the Puranic account about the lineage of Pushyamitra is not corroborated by any other source. That a Śuṅga dynasty ruled in Northern India about the first century B.C. is indicated by the Bhāhrut Gateway inscription "of the time of the Śuṅgas." But no particular Śuṅga sovereign is mentioned in it. In Bāṇa's Harshacharita, the appellation Śuṅga is used with reference to Debabhūti, the last king of the line of Pushyamitra according to the Purāṇas, and not to Pushyamitra, the founder of the dynasty. But their connection rests entirely on Puranic evidence. The designation Śuṅga is nowhere else definitely associated with the name of Pushyamitra. On the other hand, we have divergent traditions on the point.

The Divyāvadāna represents Pushyamitra as the last member of the Maurya dynasty. The Mālavikāgīnimitra calls Agnimitra, the son of Pushyamitra, a Baimbika:

"Dākshiṇyam nāma bimbosṭhi Baimbikānāṁ kula-vratāṁ
Tan-me dirgh-ākshi ye prāṇas-te tvad-āśā-nibandhanāṁ"

H. C. Raychaudhuri identifies the Baimbikas with the Baimbakayāḥ of the Baudhāyanasvautasūtra, who belonged to the Kaśyapa gotra. The Bhavisyaparāsa section of the Harivamśa seems to refer to Pushyamitra as follows:

"Tvayā vṛttam krutam cha = iva vājimeḍham paramāptaḥ
Kshatriyā nāharishyanti yāvad = bhūmīr = dharishyati"

(v. 35)

23 JASB 1912, p. 287.
24 JBORS, XIV, p. 25 and n. 2.
25 SI, p. 89.
26 Bāṇa's Harshacharita, Trans. by Cowell and Thomas, p. 193.
27 Divyāvadāna, p. 433.
30 Bk. III, Ch. 2, vv. 35 and 39-40.
Upātta-yajño deveshu Brāhmaṇesh = āpapatsyate
Tejasā vyāhattaṁ tejas = tejasy = ev = āvatishṭhate
t (v. 39)
Audbhijjo bhavitā kaśchit senāṁḥ Kāśyapo dvijaḥ
Aśvamedham Kali-yuge punaḥ prayat = āharisyati
t (v. 40)

Jayaswal has identified this ‘twice-born’ senāṁi of the Kāśyapa lineage with Senāpati Pushyamitra\(^{31}\) who is known from other evidences to have performed two horse-sacrifices.

We can leave out the Divyāvaladāna statement, as it is evident that the Buddhist text has made a confusion between Brīhadratha, the last representative of the Maurya line, and Pushyamitra, the general who brought an end to the dynasty by killing the former.

As to the term Baimbika occurring in the Mālavikāgnimitra in connection with Agnimitra, J. C. Ghosh\(^{32}\) suggests that it was sometimes understood in the sense of “a man who is assiduous in his attention to ladies, a gallant, a lover”. Moreover, it is pointed out that the term occurring in the Baudhāyanaśrautasūtra is Baimbaki, not Baimbika, and that they may not be identical. Even if they are taken as identical, the text has variant readings, and the context in which it occurs may not refer to a gotra-name at all, Baimbika as derived from Bimba signifying only ‘a son of a Bimba, a low-caste.’ On the basis of Agnimitra’s epithet Baimbika, D. C. Sircar suggests that Bimba was the name of an ancestor (father or grandfather) of Pushyamitra.\(^{33}\) Dr Sircar draws our attention to the expression kula-vrata used elsewhere by Kalidāsa\(^{34}\) and suggests that Baimbika was certainly the name of the family to which Agnimitra claims to have belonged.

If we accept Jayaswal’s identification of the “upstart Brāhmaṇa senāṁi of the Kāśyapa lineage” with Pushyamitra, it becomes difficult to reconcile it with the statement of the Purāṇas which calls him a Śuṅga, apparently belonging to the Bhāradvāja gotra. Jayaswal suggests that Pushyamitra was a Śuṅga but either his gotra was forgotten or he was a gotra-less Brāhmaṇa.\(^{35}\) But this is not much convincing.

H. C. Raychaudhuri\(^{36}\) thinks that the Purāṇas might have.tasks.

---

31 JBORS, XIV, p. 25.
32 Ibid., XXIII, pp. 355-59.
34 Cf. Śakuntalā, Act VII, v. 20; Raghuvamśa, Canto III, v. 70.
35 JBORS, XIV, p. 25 and n. 2.
36 Ind. Cult., VI, p. 411.
included under the name Śuṅga two distinct groups of kings, viz. princes of the line of Pushyamitra who were Baimbikas and belonged to the Kāśyapa gotra and the real Śuṅgas who succeeded this line and are referred to by Bāṇa and the Bhārhat inscription of Dhanabhūti. But inspite of their divergences, all the Purāṇas agree in asserting that there were ten Śuṅga kings, making the line continuous from Pushyamitra to Devabhūti.

J. C. Ghosh has tried to explain the position in a different way. He points out that the family name Śuṅga was actually a combination, called Śuṅga-Śaśiśi in Āpastamba’s Pravara-kāṇḍa, which was formed by Śuṅga of the Bhāradvāja gotra and Śaśiśi of the Kata group of the Vaiśvāmitra gotra. The Baudhāyanastraūttra too refers to Śaśiśireya as a gotra of the Kata group of the Vaiśvāmitra family. But, according to the Mātsya-parāṇa, Śauṅga-Śaśiśireya is a Dvāmushyāṇa of the Bhāradvāja and Kāśyapa gotras. Now, men of the Dvāmushyāṇa gotra generally passed by the name of their father’s gotra, but they could also go by the name of the other gotra of the combination. So Pushyamitra, if he belonged to this Śauṅga-Śaśiśireya combination, could be referred to as of Kāśyapa lineage although, by general usage, he should have been regarded as of the Bhāradvāja gotra.

Another alternative suggestion made by J. C. Ghosh is that the Śuṅgas are not to be taken as Brāhmaṇas but as Kshatriyas, for, according to the vārtika on Pāṇini, IV, 1, 168, read with IV, 1, 170 and II, 4, 62, the forms Śauṅgaḥ in the singular and Śuṅgāḥ in the plural can be supported, if the Śuṅgas are assumed to be Kshatriyas. The word dvija in the above-quoted passage of the Harivaiṁśa is taken by him literally, to signify also a Kshatriya. And as the Pravara (not gotra) of the Purohita has been prescribed for a Kshatriya in the Āstraūttras, Pushyamitra Śuṅga, if he was a Kshatriya, might have taken the Pravara of his Kāśyapa initiator.

37 JBORS, XXIII, pp. 361 ff.
38 Gotrapravaranivandha Kadambam, p. 309.
39 Bib. Ind., III, p. 447.
40 Ch. 196, vv. 51-53 and Ch. 199, vv. 11-14.
41 IHQ, XV, pp. 629 ff.
But this view is not acceptable, for it is known from several sources that Pushyamitra was a Brāhmaṇa, not a Kshatriya. Tāranātha refers to him first as ‘the royal purohita’ and later on clearly as ‘the Brāhmaṇa king’.\(^{43}\) Patañjali in his comment on Pāṇini’s rule about rājya gives Brāhmaṇa-rājya as an example.\(^{44}\) As he is known to be a contemporary of Pushyamitra, his omission of Kshatriya-rājya and the extraordinary mention of Brāhmaṇa-rājya are significant.\(^{45}\)

Moreover, the above Harivamsa passage clearly shows that the word dvija there can only signify a Brāhmaṇa, not a Kshatriya. For, in connection with the horse-sacrifice, it is said that, after its performance by King Janamejaya, no other Alvamedha would be performed in future by the Kshatriyas.\(^{46}\) Then it is stated that it would be revived by the Brāhmaṇas and that an upstart Brāhmaṇa (dvija) senāni of the Kāśyapa lineage would re-establish it in the Kali age.\(^{47}\) The Brāhmaṇa senāni who revived the Alvamedha is almost certainly Senāpati Pushyamitra who is known to have performed two horse-sacrifices. The term audbhija (literally, ‘plant-born’) is also significant, for Śuṅga, the family-designation ascribed to Pushyamitra by the Purāṇas, is the name of the fig-tree or hog-plum.\(^{48}\) That the family of the so-called senāni of the Harivamsa really became an imperial dynasty is indicated by the next verse in which it is said that one of his descendants performed a Rājasūya sacrifice.\(^{49}\)

But if Pushyamitra was really a Śuṅga Brāhmaṇa of the Bhāradvāja gotra, why he is called a Kāśyapa in the Harivamsa is a difficult problem. The evidence of the texts on the Gotras and Pravaras is divergent and does not help us to solve the problem

---

42 Schiefner’s trans. of Tāranātha, Ch. XVI.
43 On Pāṇini, VI, 2, 130.
44 According to Jayaswal, the 100th sloka of the last chapter of the Mānava-dharmasūtra, which states that a Vedavīt deserves senāpatya, rājya, daṇḍa-netrītā, and sarva-lokāḥ dīhi-patya, seems to have a significant reference to a Brāhmaṇa sovereign who could be no other than Senāpati Pushyamitra (JBORS, IV, pp. 258-59).
45 Harivamsa, Bk. III, Ch. 2, v. 35 (as quoted above).
46 Ibid., vv. 39-40.
finally. But it is not improbable that the particular Śuṅga house to which Pushyamitra belonged, may have originated from a combination of the Śuṅga family of the Bhāradvāja gotra and another family belonging to the Kāśyapa gotra. The names of the Dvāmushyāyaṇa gotras, as quoted in the old texts, may not be the same through all ages; there might have been later additions and Pushyamitra might have belonged to one of them. Thus, the evidence of the Purāṇas may be reconciled with that of the Harivānśa. There seems to be no justification in rejecting the Puranic view about the family-name of Pushyamitra as Śuṅga.

5. Was Pushyamitra a persecutor of Buddhism?

Pushyamitra is alleged in Buddhist traditions to have been a staunch Brahmanist and a cruel persecutor of Buddhism. The Divyāvadāna story about Pushyamitra runs as follows⁴⁹: Pushyamitra, bent on rivalling Aśoka in power and fame, began to destroy the monasteries built by Aśoka. He proceeded at first to destroy the Kukkuṭārāma-vihāra, situated near Paṭaliputra; but it was saved by a miracle. Then he directed his destructive campaigns towards the north-west and, reaching Śakala, declared hundred Dināras for the head of each Buddhist monk. He proceeded further towards the north-west and reached the kingdom of Koshṭhaka that was ruled by a Yaksha called Daṁshṭrāṇivāsin who was a great devotee of Buddhism. This Yaksha took upon himself to defend the cause of Buddhism and, with the help of another Yaksha called Kṛimisha, crushed Pushyamitra along with his army.

The Āryamaṇḍusūrāmakalpa practically echoes this tradition, while speaking of the anti-Buddhist exploits of a ruler named Gomimukhya who rose to power just after the fall of the Mauryas. The context and the description of the activities of Gomimukhya clearly indicate that the person concerned was none other than Pushyamitra. The following is the narrative, as given by Jayaswal⁵⁰:

"In the Low Age (yugādhame) there will be king, the chief Gomin (Gomimukhya, S.; 'Gomin by name', T.) 'destroyer of

⁴⁹ Divyāvadāna, pp. 433-34.
⁵⁰ See IHI, pp. 18-19; for text, see p. 38.
my religion' (530). Having seized the East and the gate of Kashmir, he the fool, the wicked, will destroy monasteries with relics, and kill monks of good conduct. He will die in the North (532-33), being killed along with his officers (ṣa-rāṣṭrā) and his animal relations [patsu-bāṇḍhavāḥ] by the fall of a mountain rock (534). He was destined to a dreadful suffering in hell (535-537).

Tāranātha also records a Buddhist tradition that Pushyamitra burnt a number of monasteries from Madhyadeśa as far as Jālandhara.51

These Buddhist stories have led some scholars to hold that Pushyamitra was a persecutor of Buddhism; but this view is disputed by others. The murder of the Maurya monarch Bṛhadratha and the performance of the horse-sacrifices by Pushyamitra are regarded by Smith as "an early stage in the Brahmanical reaction which was fully developed five centuries later in the time of Sāmudragupta and his successors."52 H. P. Sastri also sees the hands of the Brāhmaṇaṣ in the great dynastic revolution.53 But H. C. Raychaudhuri54 criticises this view and holds that the murder of Bṛhadratha by Pushyamitra could not have been actuated by any Brahmanical reaction, as Brahmanism is not known to have suffered under the Mauryas. He points out that Aśoka always mentioned the Brāhmaṇaṣ before the Śramaṇaṣ in his Edicts and that the appointment of the Brāhmaṇa Pushyamitra as the Maurya general shows that the Brāhmaṇaṣ were given high place of honour in the court of the Maurya. According to him, "the Buddhist remains at Bhārhat erected 'during the soverignty of of the Śuṅgas' do not bear out the theory which represents them as the leaders of a militant Brahmanism."

But it cannot be denied that the privileged position of the Brāhmaṇaṣ in Law and Society prior to Aśoka's reign was to some extent impaired by the policy of equality followed by Aśoka with regard to all religious sects.55 Thus Brahmanism

51 Schiefner's trans. of Tāranātha, p. 81, as quoted by Smith in EHI, p. 213 and n. 1.
52 EHI, p. 213.
53 JASB 1910, pp. 259-60.
54 PHAI, pp. 354-66.
55 N. N. Ghosh has cited instances from Brahmanic and Dharmasūtra literatures to show the privileged position of the Brāhmaṇaṣ prior to Aśoka's reign,
seems to have indirectly suffered under Aśoka and his successors. The Bharhut monuments which were erected during the time of the Later Śuṅgas do not appear to reflect the religious policy pursued by Pushyamitra whose successors might have been more tolerant towards Buddhism.

Of course, the tradition of Pushyamitra’s persecution of Buddhism is known only from Buddhist writers who are likely to be biased about a Brāhmaṇa ruler, and the amount of truth in this tradition is difficult to ascertain. But in the absence of any contrary evidence, one has to admit that these traditional stories about Pushyamitra were not without any foundation. Whether the murder of Brāhadratha and the alleged persecution of the Buddhists were actuated by a Brahmanical reaction promoted and championed by Pushyamitra or by some political motives, we do not know. But it is only natural that Brahmanism would flourish under Pushyamitra who was himself a Brāhmaṇa and the performer of Vedic sacrifices like the Aśvamedha. The Buddhist tradition, however, remembers him as a non-Buddhist monarch who extended his sway from Paṭali-putra in the east to Sakala in the west and aspired to rival Aśoka in power and fame, but in a perverted way.

6. **Original Home of Pushyamitra and the Extent of his Dominions**

Neither the Purāṇas nor the Harshacharita of Bāna gives us any idea about the original home of Pushyamitra or his seat of power; nor do we know from them what portions of the derelict Maurya empire he actually usurped and ultimately managed to hold. It is therefore necessary to find out if other sources throw any light on these points.

Some scholars** hold that Pushyamitra was a native of Vidiśā, while Taran†† goes a step further and assumes that Pushyamitra was originally a “hereditary king” of Vidiśā. The root of this misconception lies in a certain expression occurring in a passage in Act V of Kalidāsa’s Mālavikāngīmitra, where

---

and he is of opinion that Aśoka in his reforming zeal to introduce somāta in every respect, unconsciously and without any intention, mortally offended the Brāhmaṇas (PIHC 1943, pp. 109 ff.).

56 Cunningham, NC 1870, p. 226; Rapon, CHI, pp. 522-23; and R. P. Chanda, IHQ, V, p. 306.

57 GBJ, p. 176, n. 1.
Pushyamitra writes a letter to his son Agnimitra at Vidiśā inviting him to attend the horse-sacrifice to be performed by the former. The passage in question, as occurs in all the authentic versions of the text, runs thus:

“Svasti. Vajña-śaraṇāt senāpatiḥ Pushpamitro Vaidiśastham putram=āyushmantam Agnimitraṁ snehāt parishvajya anudar-śayati.”

But in a corrupt MS Vaidiśastham putram is substituted by Vaidiśas = tatrayam. Thus, while Vaidiśastham putram means “son residing at Vidiśā” and refers to Agnimitra, the component parts of the variant reading Vaidiśas = tatrayam refer respectively to Pushyamitra and Agnimitra. The latter expression seems to be a copyists’ mistake for Vaidiśastham which is more appropriate in the context. Cunningham and his followers appear to have given undue importance to a highly doubtful expression and come to the conclusion that Pushyamitra hailed from Vidiśā.

Vidiśā, however, played a great part in the politics of the Śuṅga period and was certainly ruled by princes of Śuṅga extraction. Vidiśā’s importance during the Śuṅga period was acknowledged by foreign rulers and a Greek emissary named Heliodorus was actually sent from Taxila by the Indo-Greek king Antialcidas to the Vidiśā court. Yet we cannot say with any amount of certainty that Vidiśā was the original home of Pushyamitra and Agnimitra only on the evidence of such facts and a certain doubtful expression occurring in a corrupt MS of the Mālavikāgnimitra.

While, therefore, the question of Vidiśā being the home of Pushyamitra is in dispute, Tarn’s assumption that it was his “hereditary kingdom” seems to be far-fetched. Had he originally been a “king”, one wonders why he is always called a senāpati, and never a rājan.


59 Cf. the edition of Kasinath Pandurang Parab (Bombay, 1907), p. 103. In the MS E of S. P. Pandit’s edition, the reading is Vaidiśyam (p. 153, n. 2) ; but all the other MSS read, Vaidiśastham.

60 Cf. the Puranic passage, Śuṅgānāh tu kulaśānte, etc., DKA, p. 49. The Besnagar inscriptions of Bhāgabhadrā and Bhāgavata probably also indicate that a Śuṅga family ruled at Vidiśā (see SL, p. 90 and ASR 1913-14, p. 190).

61 Cf. the Besnagar Pillar inscription of Heliodorus, SL, p. 90.
Although the Divyāvadāna misrepresents Pushyamitra by making him the last member of the Maurya dynasty, there can be no doubt that it refers to Pushyamitra, the Maurya general who brought an end to the Maurya rule. From that text it is clear that Pushyamitra’s seat of power was Pāṭaliputra, for his alleged anti-Buddhist campaigns started from that city and he returned there on his initial failure to destroy the Kukkuṭārāmavihāra situated near Pāṭaliputra. It seems natural also that Pushyamitra who usurped the Maurya throne should continue to rule from the Maurya capital itself.

Dhanadeva, the king of Kośala claims in his Ayodhya inscription to be the sixth in descent from Senāpati Pushyamitra, the performer of two Aīvamedhas. If Dhanadeva was not a direct descendant of Pushyamitra (cf. the difference in the name-ending), he might have descended from the latter’s daughter’s side. In that case, it is not unlikely that Dhanadeva’s forefather, probably a son-in-law of Pushyamitra, was the governor of Kośala under his suzerainty. Thus Kośala seems to have been included in the dominions of Pushyamitra. And as the local dynastic coinages of Kauśambi, Mathurā and Pañcāla did not start before the latter half of the second century B.C., these kingdoms are also likely to have been included within Pushyamitra’s empire and ruled by his governors.

The Mālavikāgnimitra makes it evident that to the south, Pushyamitra extended his command over territories as far as the Narmadā and even up to the Wardha. For, the drama informs us that one Viraseṇa, a brother-in-law of his son Agnimitra, was appointed as an Antapāla in a fort on the banks of the Narmadā. Agnimitra’s wars with the adjoining state of Vidarbha, as alluded to in the drama, resulted in the acknowledgement of the suzerainty of the house of Pushyamitra by the rulers of Vidarbha. Thāt Pushyamitra’s territories included Vidiśā in the west is also evident from the drama.

The Mālavikāgnimitra, again, refers to some skirmishes that

---

62 See Chs. V, VI and VII below.
63 “Attu devie vaṁśavaro bhādā Viraseṇo ṇāma So bhaṇipā antapāladduge ṇammadā-kule ṭhāvido,” according to MS G (stated to be “a very correct MS, almost free from error”) of Mālavikāgnimitra, Act I, 6 (S. P. Pandit’s edition, p. 13); and for the variant reading ‘Mandakini’ in place of Narmadā, see ibid., p. 166.
64 Mālavikāgnimitra (Act I, 7-8 and Act V, 13-14), pp. 15-17 and 151-52.
took place between a Yavana cavalry and Pushyamitra's forces which were being led by his grandson Vāsumitra, while the sacrificial horse was roaming on the dakṣiṇa bank of the Sindhu. This probably indicates that Pushyamitra's suzerainty was recognised as far as that river, for, otherwise his sacrificial horse would not perhaps have gone unchallenged up to that point. Wilson identified this Sindhu with the well-known river of that name in the Punjab, but Cunningham objected to this view on the grounds that the Sindhu of the Punjab has no dakṣiṇa, i.e. south bank, and that Pushyamitra and Agnimitra were 'rulers of Vidiṣā', hence Pushyamitra's forces could not be expected to reach as far as the Indus.

But both the objections of Cunningham can be waived aside. First, as to the Indus having a south bank, it is not unlikely, as shown by R. C. Majumdar, that in olden days it might have followed a different course, so as to have a south bank. It is moreover pointed out by him that dakṣiṇa in Sanskrit does not always mean 'south', it also means 'right' as opposed to 'left'. The existence of Greek settlements on the right bank of the Indus is attested by numismatic evidence while, on the other hand, it is disputable if the Greeks had any stronghold on the banks of the Sindhu near Narwar, with which Cunningham sought to identify the river in question.

Then, Cunningham's supposition that Pushyamitra's dominions were confined only within the limits of Vidiṣā is not corroborated by any evidence. On the contrary, we have some indications that his kingdom extended from Pātaliputra in the east to Vidiṣā in the west, including Kośala (and probably also Kauśāmibi, Mathura and Pañchāla), and the upper Deccan as far as the Narmadā and the Wardha in the south. So it would not be too much to hold that the arms of Pushyamitra reached as far as the Indus in the Punjab, specially when there are also Buddhist traditions to that effect. For, the sort of persecution of the Buddhists by Pushyamitra, as alleged by the author of the Divyāvadāna and Tāranātha, would not have been possible.

67 NC 1870, pp. 226-27.
68 IHQ, I, pp. 216-17.
69 See above, Sec. 5.
if his sphere of influence did not extend as far as Śākala, i.e. modern Sialkot or Jalandhara in the eastern Punjab.

According to the Divyāvadāna story, Pushyamitra in his pursuit of anti-Buddhist campaigns marched much beyond Śākala in the north-west, but ultimately met his doom when he reached the kingdom of Koshṭhaka, then ruled by a Yaksha. As we have seen, the Mañjuśrimitālakālpa also describes similar anti-Buddhist exploits of one Gomimukhya (probably referring thereby to Pushyamitra) who rose to power just after the fall of the Mauryas and “seized the East and the Gate of Kashmir” but met his death in the North. It is not unlikely that these Buddhist legends are founded on facts. All that they indicate is that Pushyamitra probably made a vain attempt at extending his suzerainty as far as the frontiers of Kashmir and probably came in contact with some alien (Indo-Greek) ruler who ultimately put him to death.

7. Pushyamitra’s relations with Foreign and Indigenous Powers

We have already seen that the Yavana invasion referred to in the Yuga-purāṇa and the Mahābhāṣya of Pataṅjali probably took place during the reign of Bhūdrāratha, when Pushyamitra was serving as the general of the Maurya sovereign, and that his occupation of the Maurya throne was itself a sequel to the foreign invasion. 70 This was therefore the first conflict of Pushyamitra with the Yavanas. If so, the encounter of his forces with the Greeks on the banks of the Sindh, as alluded to in the Mālavikāgnimitra, will have to be regarded as a later one. For, as the drama depicts, it occurred at a time when Pushyamitra was securely established on the Maurya throne and was sufficiently advanced in age so as to have a grandson mature enough to take charge of the sacrificial horse.

If the story of Pushyamitra’s persecution of Buddhism recorded in the Divyāvadāna is to be believed, another conflict with the Yavanas took place towards the end of his career, in which Pushyamitra probably lost his life. P. C. Bagchi 71 identifies the Yaksha Kṛimisha of that story with Demetrius and the other Yaksha Daṁshṭrāṇivāsin with Menander and locates the site of

---

70 See above, Sec. 2 and Introduction (pp. 5-6) for the probable date of the Yavana invasion.
71 IHQ, XXII, pp. 81 ff.
Koshṭhaka (identified with ancient Sthūlakoshṭha) at Birkot in the Swat Valley. But his presumptions lead to some difficulties, the principal of which are that Demetrius' campaign towards Pātaliputra is assumed to have been undertaken after the death of Pushyamitra and that Menander is made a senior contemporary of Demetrius. But we have seen that Demetrius' Indian campaigns are most likely to have taken place even before the rise of Pushyamitra to power and therefore Kṛimisha cannot be identified with Demetrius. As to Menander, he might have been a younger contemporary of Pushyamitra and, as we know that he was the only Indo-Greek ruler whose name is cherished by the Buddhists, he may possibly be identified with the so-called Yaksha Dāvishṭrāṇivāsin although there is no similarity between the two names. It is possible that Pushyamitra who struggled for power with the Greeks, ended his life in a fight with Menander who is known to have made his capital at Śakala, the very place where Pushyamitra is alleged to have made his anti-Buddhist declaration.

In the opinion of some scholars, another enemy threatened Pushyamitra's dominions from Kaliṅga. In the Ḥāṭhigumpha inscription of the Cheta king Khāravela of Kaliṅga, it is said that the king made several expeditions towards Northern India, in one of which he compelled the Magadhan king Bṛhaspatimitra to bow down at his feet. This Bṛhaspatimitra is identified by the above scholars with Pushyamitra on the ground that Bṛhaspati is the regent of the asterism Pushya. But Bṛhaspatimitra's identification with Pushyamitra on the above ground is unconvincing. Moreover, the Ḥāṭhigumpha inscription is dated about the end of the first century B.C. by modern epigraphists, whereas Pushyamitra reigned in the first half of the second century B.C., so that Pushyamitra and Khāravela could not have been contemporaries.

73 ŚI, p. 206.
74 R. C. Majumdar doubted the reading Bahasatimita (Ind. Ant., XLVIII, p. 189). Cf. also Allan, BMC (AI), p. xcviii. The reading, however, is now accepted as correct: see Sircar, ŚI, p. 290.
75 Cf. R. P. Chanda, IHQ, V, pp. 595-97; H. C. Raychaudhuri, PHAI, p. 373-74; and D. C. Sircar, ŚI, p. 209, n. 11.
76 E. g., D. C. Sircar, ŚI, p. 206, n. 1.
As already indicated above (p. 37), the Malavikāgnimitra informs us that during Pushyamitra’s reign, Agnimitra, his son and viceroy at Vidiśā, waged a successful war with the adjoining state of Vidarbha resulting in the acknowledgement of the suzerainty of the house of Pushyamitra by the Vidarbha rulers.

8. Horse-sacrifices of Pushyamitra

We have already referred to the Harivamśa passage which speaks of the revival of the Aṣvamedha-yaṃṇa in the Kali Age by a Brāhmaṇa senāṇi of the Kāśyapa lineage who is most probably to be identified with Pushyamitra, since he is known to have performed two horse-sacrifices from the Ayodhya inscription of Dhanadeva. We have also seen that the horse-sacrifice in which Patañjali presided as priest probably took place later than the Greek invasion of Sāketa and Madhyamikā.77 In that case, it seems likely that it was Pushyamitra’s first Aṣvamedha which marked his ascendancy to power by killing Brhamadatta as well as his first success over the Greeks. And as the victory over the Yavanas on the banks of the Sindhu, as alluded to in the Malavikāgnimitra, appears to be a later event, the horse-sacrifice which followed it may be regarded as the second one performed by Pushyamitra. Thus, it seems that both his Aṣvamedhas followed his victory over the Greeks.

The statement of the Harivamśa that Aṣvamedha was not performed in the historical period till its revival by the Brāhmaṇa senāṇi stands confirmed.78 The import of this revival after its long abeyance, and at a time when feelings ran high against animal sacrifice during the reign of Aśoka and his successors, cannot be underestimated.79 It was only fitting for a monarch like Pushyamitra who twice repelled foreign intruders and brought states like Vidarbha into subordination, to have performed the Aṣvamedha. It amounted to the establishment of his claim to the rank of the emperor of Northern India. We must therefore admit that Pushyamitra was no insignificant ruler and that the glories of Magadha lingered a few years more under him.

77 See Introduction, p. 5 above.

O.P. 182—6
9. Administrative System of Pushyamitra

Nothing is known about the system of administration under the Brāhmaṇa ruler. But it is possible that it was more or less the continuation of the Maurya administrative system with minor changes. The provinces were probably still governed by members of the royal family. The Mālavikāgnimitra informs us that the province of Vidiśa was ruled by Agnimitra as a goptīn, i.e. viceroy, of his father Pushyamitra. Kośala too seems to be one of the viceroyalties under Pushyamitra, which was governed by one of his near relatives, since Dhanadeva, king of Kośala, claims in his Ayodhyā inscription to be a descendant of Pushyamitra. The provincial viceroys under Pushyamitra, however, seem to have enjoyed a greater degree of independence. Agnimitra bore the title of rājan, in place of the title of kumāra assumed by the provincial governors, who were princes of the royal family, during the time of Aśoka.

Patañjali refers to the Sabhā of Pushyamitra; but it is not clear what is actually meant by it—a Council of Ministers or an Assembly. Since we know from the Mālavikāgnimitra that a viceregal prince like Agnimitra was assisted by a Council of Ministers (Amāṭya-parishad or Mantri-parishad) the Council appears to be an important element in the central government as well.

80 From a comparative study of the Arthashastra and the Manuadharmaśāstra depicting the administrative and political conditions of the times of the Mauryas and the Śuṅgas, Jayaswal concludes that the Śuṅga government was a sort of feudal monarchy: see JhORS, IV, pp. 263-64.
82 Cf. the two Separate Kaliṅga Edicts of Aśoka. For the status of Agnimitra as a de facto independent king see Ch. II, Sec. 2 below. The local coinage of Kośala or Ayodhyā, which was also ruled by a near relative of Pushyamitra, indicates its semi-independent status (see Ch. V, Sec. 2 below).
84 Mālavikāgnimitra (Act V, 14), pp. 151-32. Even if we assume that the Mālavikāgnimitra was written in the Gupta period and hence may not reflect the social and political condition of the Śuṅga times, still we may hold with a reasonable amount of certainty that the amāṭya-parishad, as mentioned in the drama, really existed in the Śuṅga period, since it is known to have played an important part in the Maurya administration (cf. Inscriptions of Aśoka), and since the Government of the Śuṅgas, so far as is known, was mainly a continuation of that of their predecessors.
10. Question of the Eight Sons of Pushyaimitra

Basing his arguments on two Puranic passages, Jayaswal held that Pushyaimitra had eight sons who ruled simultaneously as his provincial governors during his life-time.85 One of them occurs in most MSS of the Matsya, Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas which state that Pushyaimitra made others rule (kārayishyati vai rājyam).86 The other passage which reads Pushyaimitra-sutāl = ch = āșṭau bhavishyanti samā nṛpāḥ, indicating that Pushyaimitra had eight sons, occurs in some Vāyu MSS.87 Jayaswal even gives the names of the eight sons. Three of them were Sujyeshṭha, Agnimitra and Dhanadeva (taking the expression Pushyaimitrasya shashṭhena in the Ayodhyā inscription to mean 'the sixth son of Pushyaimitra), while the other five are picked up from amongst the so-called Mitra rulers of Northern India.88

But the Puranic passages, on which Jayaswal relies, are apparently incorrect. For, the j Matsya, Vishṇu and Bhāgavata Purāṇas clearly state that Pushyaimitra ruled himself (sūyān rājyaṁ karishyati).89 Then, in the Vāyu-purāṇa passage quoted by Jayaswal, 'singulairs have obviously been wrongly converted into plurals through misapplying ashṭau to sutā instead of to samā', while the Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa has Agnimitro nṛpās=ch=āșṭau bhavishyati samā nṛpaḥ, 'where the first nṛpās should no doubt be sutā'.90 This simply means, 'his son Agnimitra will be king eight years.' The Vishṇu and Bhāgavata Purāṇas also mention Agnimitra after Pushyaimitra without referring to reign-periods.

So, there is actually no mention of the eight sons of Pushyaimitra in the Purāṇas. And the expression Pushyaimitrasya shashṭhena of the Ayodhyā inscription should be interpreted as 'the sixth [descendant] of Pushyaimitra', according to modern views91, and not as 'the sixth [son] of Pushyaimitra', as taken by Jayaswal. His other identifications are also far-fetched and do not stand on any solid ground. Of the eight names

85 JBORS, X, pp. 205-06; ibid., XIII, pp. 247-48; and ibid., XV, pp. 583-84.
86 DKA, p. 31.
87 Ibid., p. 31, n. 10.
88 See n. 85 above and JBORS, XX, pp. 291 ff.
89 See n. 20 above.
90 DKA, p. 31, n. 10.
suggested by him, only one, viz. Agnimitra, is known to be a son of Pushyamitra.  

11. Chronology of Pushyamitra

Chandragupta Maurya is generally believed to have ascended the throne one year after Alexander's death, i.e. about 322 B.C. The Purāṇas are unanimous in assigning 187 years to the Mauryas. Thus, the approximate date when Pushyamitra came to power is 185 B.C.

Pushyamitra ruled for 36 years according to the Matsyapurāṇa, and 60 years according to the Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas. But the 60-year rule of Pushyamitra is inconsistent with the total duration of 112 years' rule by the ten members of the dynasty, given unanimously by all the Purāṇas, since the aggregate of reigns, following that calculation, would be 149 years and 142 years according to Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas respectively. If we accept 36 years for Pushyamitra's reign, as given by the Matsyapurāṇa the aggregate would be just 112 years.

Merutūṅga in his Theravālī says that the Mauryas ruled for 108 years and Pushyamitra for 30 years.  

R. C. Majumdar

---

93 Alexander's death took place in 323 B.C. : see GHI, p. 386. For the date of Chandragupta's accession in c. 322 B.C., cf. V. A. Smith, OHI, p. 73 and EHI, pp. 122-23; F. W. Thomas, CHI, p 471; and for other views see H. C. Raychaudhuri, PHAI, p. 295, n. 3.

"The Jaină date 313 B.C. for Chandrāgupta's accession if it is based on a correct tradition, may refer to his acquisition of Avanti in Malwa, as the chronological datum is found in a verse where the Maurya king finds mention in a list of successors of Pālaka, king of Avanti. Cf. I.H.Q., 1929, p. 402'.—H. C. Raychaudhuri, op cit.

94 DKA, p. 28 (Matsyapurāṇa) and p. 30 (Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas).
95 Ibid., p. 31; see also the table in Ch. 2 below.
96 See the table in Ch. 2 below showing the reign periods of the ten Śuṅga rulers, according to different Purāṇas. It is only the ā̄gaṃ Mātya lists that give us the aggregate of 112 years.
97 "satīḥ pālagaṇaṇo paṇavaṇṇasayaṁ tu hoti nandāṇa | aṣṭhaṇayāṃ muryaṇaṁ tisaṁ chia pūsamittassa " (v. 2)  

[Translation: Sixty are (the years) of king Pālaka, but one hundred and fifty-five are (the years) of the Nandas; one hundred and eight those of the Mauryas, and thirty those of Pussamitta (Pushyamitra).”—Bühler, Ind. Ant., II, p. 362 (Text) and p. 363 (Trans.)]
thinks that the 137 years of Maurya rule is split up into two periods—the last 30 years being assigned to Pushyamitra as he was the *de facto*, if not *de jure*, king of Magadha at that time. The period of 36 years assigned to him by the *Matsyapurāṇa* is according to him, the number of years Pushyamitra actually ruled after murdering Bṛihadratha. And the period of 60 years as given by the *Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa* Purāṇas, includes his rule as king *de facto* as well as king *de jure*.98
CHAPTER II

THE LATER ŚUŃGAS, KĀṆVAS, AND THE LATER HISTORY OF MAGADHA

1. Sources

Our sources of information about the successors of Pushyamitra are very few. Besides the literary sources like the Purāṇas, Bāṇa's Harshacharita and Kālidāsa's Mālavikāgnimitra, there are a few epigraphical notices, e.g. the two Besnagar Garuḍa pillar inscriptions—one of them referring to the 14th year of King Kāśīputra Bhāgabhadrā¹, and the other to the 12th year of Bhāgavata² who is probably also mentioned in the Barli inscription³—and the Bhārhatu Gateway inscription 'of the regime of the Śuṅgas'.⁴ But information gleaned from these sources being very meagre does not help us much to verify or supplement the statements of the Purāṇas. Several coins are attributed by Jayaswal to the Later Śuṅga and Kāṇva princes, but the attribution is doubtful. We have, therefore, to fall back mainly upon the Purāṇas which unfortunately give variant lists of the successors of Pushyamitra.

2. Śuṅga Kings in the Puranic Lists

The five Purāṇaś which give us the lists of the Śuṅga kings are Matsya, Vāyu, Brahmāṇḍa, Vishṇu and Bhāgavata. Not only do the different Purāṇaś vary with regard to the names of the Śuṅga kings or the periods of their reigns, but different MSS of the same Purāṇa often give us divergent lists.

---

¹ ST, p. 90.
² JBBras, XXIII, p. 144; and ASR 1913-14, p. 190.
³ JBRS, XXXVII, pp. 34 ff.
⁴ ST, p. 89.
The following is a comparative table of the Śuṅga rulers (with approximate dates) as given by different Purāṇas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mātṣya</th>
<th>Vāyu</th>
<th>Brahmāda</th>
<th>Vīṣṇu</th>
<th>Bhāgavata</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(10 kings: 112 years)</td>
<td>(10 Śuṅgas: 112 years)</td>
<td>(10 Śuṅgas: 112 years)</td>
<td>(10 Śuṅgas: 112 years)</td>
<td>(10 Śuṅgas: more than 100 years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Pushyamitra (36 years)</td>
<td>Pushyamitra (60 years)</td>
<td>Pushyamitra (60 years)</td>
<td>Pushpamitra</td>
<td>Pushpamitra 185-149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ...</td>
<td>Agnimitra (8 years)</td>
<td>Agnimitra (8 years)</td>
<td>Agnimitra</td>
<td>Agnimitra 157-149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Vasujyesṭha (7 years)</td>
<td>Sujyeshṭha (7 years)</td>
<td>Sujyeshṭha (7 years)</td>
<td>Sujyeshṭha or Jyesṭha —k MS</td>
<td>Sujyeshṭha 149-142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Vasumitra (or Sumitra —d MS) (10 years)</td>
<td>Vasumitra (10 years)</td>
<td>Vasumitra (10 years)</td>
<td>Vasumitra</td>
<td>Vasumitra 142-132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Antaka (2 years) (or 7 years —aⁿ MSS) (10 years)</td>
<td>Andhraka (2 years)</td>
<td>Bhdraka (2 years)</td>
<td>Aṛdraka (or Odruka —bh MS)</td>
<td>Bhdraka 132-130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pulindaka (3 years) (or Mulindaka —e MS) (3 years)</td>
<td>Pulindaka (3 years)</td>
<td>Pulindaka</td>
<td>Pulinda</td>
<td>Pulinda 130-127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. ... (Vomegha—dsfgim MSS: 3 years)</td>
<td>Ghoshā (3 years)</td>
<td>Ghoshā (3 years)</td>
<td>Ghoshavasu</td>
<td>Ghoshā 127-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Vajramitra (9 years)</td>
<td>Vajramitra (14 years —MS)</td>
<td>Vajramitra (7 years)</td>
<td>Vajramitra</td>
<td>Vajramitra 124-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Samābhāga (32 years)</td>
<td>Bhāgavata (32 years)</td>
<td>Bhāgavata</td>
<td>Bhāgavata</td>
<td>Bhāgavata 115-83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Devabhūmi (10 years)</td>
<td>Devabhūmi (10 years)</td>
<td>Devabhūmi</td>
<td>Devabhūti</td>
<td>Devabhūti 83-73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

112 years—dsfgim MSS; 114 years —αⁿ MSS; 109 years —other MSS.

It is to be noted from the above table that the *Vishnu* and *Bhāgavata* Purāṇas give only a list of the kings without their reign periods, while the *Matsya, Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa* Purāṇas record the names of the Śuṅgas together with the duration of their reigns. Most copies of the *Matsya-purāṇa* do not mention the seventh king Ghosha, while Agnimitra, the second king, is not mentioned in any MS of that Purāṇa. The individual reign periods, as given in the *Matsya, Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa* Purāṇas generally agree, except in the cases of Pushyamitra (No. 1) and Vajramitra (No. 8). But all the Purāṇas agree as to the total number of kings, which is ten, and the total duration of their reign periods, which is 112 years. The actual total, however, according to the aggregate of reigns differ in the different Purāṇas.

Now, if we examine the above table, we find that it is only according to the dfẓjm *Matsya* lists, which include the 3 years' reign of the seventh Śuṅga king (given as Yomegha) but excludes (like other *Matsya* MSS) the reign of Agnimitra (which, according to the *Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa* Purāṇas, was of 8 years' duration), that the actual total of the reign-periods exactly corresponds with the total duration of the dynasty (given almost unanimously as 112 years). On the other hand, if we add the 8 years' reign of Agnimitra, the total of reigns becomes 120 years. Jagannath seeks to rectify this chronological anomaly in the Puranic lists by excluding the reigns of the fifth, sixth and seventh Śuṅga princes, viz. Andhraka, Pulindaka and Ghosha, which together also amounts to 8 years. According to him, Andhraka and Pulindaka were actually Āndhra kings who ruled at Paṭaliputra after the murder of the fourth Śuṅga king Vasumitra, and Ghosha was but identical with the Paṇḍhala ruler (Bhadraghosha) whose copper coins have been discovered. Jagannath thinks that these three names have erroneously crept into the Puranic texts. But this view cannot be accepted, since it makes the number of Śuṅga kings only 'seven' instead of 'ten', as given unanimously by all the Purāṇas.

---

6 The reign period of the fifth Śuṅga king as given by most of the Purāṇas agree, except in a'nr *Matsya*. See the table above.
7 *Compr. Hist. Ind.*, II, p. 101,
As Pargiter has shown, the *Matsyapurāṇa*, although based on the same original source from which other Purāṇas have also derived their material, has a character of its own, distinct from that of *Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa*, and is earlier than the two (*Vishnū* and *Bhāgavata* being still later than *Vāyu* and *Brahmāṇḍa*). Hence textual corruptions are less likely to have crept into its MSS. The omission of Agnimitra's name from all the *Matsya* MSS appears to be quite significant and may not be due to accumulated errors, since it is only according to *Matsya* lists (which alone exclude the reign of Agnimitra) that we get the actual total of 112 years for the dynasty.

Agnimitra was undoubtedly the son of Pushyamitra (as also vouchsafed by the *Mālavikāgnimitra*), but the above considerations raise some doubts as to his succession to the imperial throne of Pāṭaliputra and no light is shed by any other source on this point. The *Mālavikāgnimitra* which informs us that Agnimitra ruled as a viceroy or *gopṭrin* at Vidiśā during his father's lifetime does not take us to the period when his father died and, therefore, is of no help to us with regard to the question of his succession. It is, however, evident from the above text that although a viceroy, Agnimitra ruled practically independently at Vidiśā. Kālidāsa styles him a ṛājan and we find him conducting warfare with the neighbouring state of Vidarbha without consulting his father, just like an independent king. The state of Vidarbha was established 'not long ago' (*achīr = ādhishṭhitā-ṛājya*) by the Maurya Minister who made his brother-in-law Yajñasena its ruler. Now, Mādhavasena, a cousin of Yajñasena, sought the help of Agnimitra against the ministerial party and was captured by the forces of Yajñasena while he was crossing the borders of Vidarbha. When Agnimitra demanded the release of Mādhavasena, Yajñasena suggested, as a preliminary condition, the release of his brother-in-law, the Maurya Minister who had been formerly imprisoned by Agnimitra. This enraged Agnimitra who sent an army to reduce the haughty ruler of Vidarbha, as a result of which the state of Vidarbha was divided between

---

10 Ibid., Act V, 20.

O.P. 182–7
the two cousins, the Wardha forming the boundary line between their kingdoms. Both the cousins presumably acknowledged the suzerainty of the house of Pushyamitra.

It appears that Agnimitra’s rule did not extend much beyond Vidiśā, and was contemporaneous with that of his father. Most probably he did not survive his father to succeed him on the imperial throne; that is why the Matsya lists omit his reign. But since he ruled at Vidiśā like an independent king, he is included in the lists given by other Purāṇas; and even the Matsya MSS, although they do not mention Agnimitra by name, give the total number of kings as ‘ten’, thereby showing that he is actually considered as one of the Śuṅga kings. His reign-period is not separately counted by the Matsya MSS, probably because it converged with that of his father at Pāṭaliputra.

To Agnimitra Śuṅga is often attributed some copper coins found in Ahichchhatrā and Kauśāmbī regions, bearing the name of Agnimitra. This attribution is highly untenable from a critical numismatic point of view, because the Agnimitra coins of Ahichchhatrā and Kauśāmbī belong to two distinct series of purely local issues, from which the coins bearing the name of Agnimitra cannot be singled out, and because these coins are much later in date than the time of Agnimitra Śuṅga. Moreover, it is doubtful if he had any sway over the Ahichchhatrā and Kauśāmbī regions and if he ever issued any coin at all; for Vidiśā, the seat of Agnimitra’s power, has not so far produced any coin bearing the name of Agnimitra. Jayaswal has attributed another queer coin (?) to Agnimitra. But in the

11 Ibid., Act I, 6-8 and Act V, 13-14.
12 The Yuga-purāṇa mentions a ruler of Bhadrapāka named Agnimitra (variantly Agnivaiśya) who lost his life for a beautiful girl in a battle with the Brāhmaṇas: see JBORS, XIV, p. 406 (text) and pp. 412-13 (transl.). But the context does not help us in identifying this Agnimitra with his Śuṅga namesake.
13 See for the Ahichchhatrā coins BMC(AI), p. 200, PI. XXVIII. 8, for the Kauśāmbī coins ibid., p 153, PI. XX. 5; and for the attribution Jayaswal, JBORS, III, p. 479, n. 15, ibid., X, p. 206 and ibid., XX, p. 295. Cf. also Ch. V below.
14 For detailed discussion on this point see Ch. IV below.
15 Jayaswal has published this unique die-struck square copper piece from the collection of the late Puran Chand Nahar (JBORS, XX, p 9):
absence of a definitely known Śuṅga coinage, the attribution of this coin of unknown provenance, which is supposed to give the issuer's name in a hitherto unknown and peculiar way, is highly problematical.

The third name in the list of Śuṅga kings is Jyeshṭha, Sujyeshṭha or Vasujyeshṭha. He is said to be a son of Agnimitra in the Bhāgavataapurāṇa. All the Purāṇas assign him a reign of 7 years. Jayaswal identified Sujyeshṭha with Jyeshṭhamitra of Kauśambi coins on the grounds that Sujyeshṭha is also called Jyeshṭha in the k Vishṇu MS and that Jyeshṭhamitra of the said coins is also connected with an Agnimitra. But this identification is untenable, since none of the local coins can be attributed to the Śuṅgas, as shown in Ch. IV below. A coin, recently published, has been attributed to Śuṣyeshṭha; but we cannot be sure of this attribution until a definitely assignable Śuṅga coinage comes to light.

Sujyeshṭha was succeeded, according to the Purāṇas, by Vasumitra or Sumitra who is known to be a son of Agnimitra from the Mālavikāgnimitra which also informs us that he guarded the sacrificial horse let loose by his grandfather Pushyamitra and that he defeated a Yavana force on the banks of the Sindhu. The Purāṇas unanimously assign him a reign of 10 years. His fondness for the stage brought about his doom and the Harshacharita which calls him Sumitra refers to his tragic end in the following way:

Rev. "figure of Agni + mittasa" (in Brāhma). The name Agnimitra is made up, according to Jayaswal, of (the figure of) Agni and (the letters) mittasa.

16 JBORS, III, p. 147, n. 15; and ibid., X, p. 206.
18 JNSI, XVII, Part I, p. 59, Pl. V. 2. The coin is a rectangular die-struck copper piece having some similarity in fabric and style with the queer Agnimitra coin referred to in n. 15 above:

Obv. Male figure (King?) on a prancing horse preceded by a person with water-pot (?) above, Sujeyṭha (in Brāhma) with a symbol on either side.
Rev. In a raised circular area, a peculiarly dressed standing figure (Lakṣmaṇa?) being anointed by an elephant on either side.

19 The attribution of some coins with doubtful legends to this Sumitra by Jayaswal (JBORS, XX, pp. 7 and 301) is unwarranted.
"Atidayita - lāṣasya cha śailūṣha - madhyam = adhyāṣya mūrdhānam = asi-lataya mṛiṇālam = iv = ālunād = Agnimitrāt-majasya Sumitrasya Mitrādevāḥ."

[Translation: When seated amidst the actors, the head of Sumitra, who was the son of Agnimitra and was over-fond of dance-dramas, was cut off with a creeper-like sword, like a lotus stalk, by Mitrādeva.21]

According to V. A. Smith,22 "Mitrādeva, the slayer of Prince Sumitra, probably belonged to the same powerful family, which is known to history as that of the Kānvās or Kānvāyanas." There is, however, no evidence that this Mitrādeva belonged to the Kāṇva family. On the other hand, his name may suggest some connection with the Early Śuṅgas, three out of four of whom bore names ending in 'mitra'. It is also interesting to note that the later rulers of Magadha, some of whom are known from epigraphic sources (see Sec. 5 below), had similar mitra-ending names. We are not sure, at the present state of our knowledge, if this Mitrādeva was a scion of the Śuṅga family and was, in any way, responsible for the establishment of the so-called Later Mitra dynasty of Magadha after the murder of the fourth Śuṅga king Vasumitra. It seems likely, however, that some sort of palace intrigues which started at this time ultimately brought about confusion and consequent decline of the Śuṅga power; for, it is perhaps significant that the rule of the next three princes are very short, amounting in all only 8 years.23 The seat of the Śuṅga power also appears to have been transferred after the murder of Vasumitra from Pāṭaliputra to some place in Central India (see Sec. 4 below).

21 Harshacharita—Ed. by A. A. Führer, p. 269 (Text). The translation is kindly made by Dr D. C. Sircar. Mitrādeva is replaced by Mūladeva in some MSS: cf. the two editions of the Harshacharita—of A. A. Führer, p. 269, n. 3 and of S. K. Pillai, p. 301. Jagannath identifies this Mūladeva (Compr. Hist. Ind., II, pp. 100-101, n. 5) with Mūladeva of Ayodhyā coins (BMC, AI, p. 130, Pl. XVI, 11), but it is highly doubtful if Mūladeva of Ayodhyā ever had any connection with Pāṭaliputra.

22 EHI*, p. 215.

23 Cf. the theory of Jagannath (Compr. Hist. Ind., II, p. 101) that these three names are extraneous to the Śuṅga family, which, as we have seen above (p. 48), cannot be supported.
According to the *Matsya* and *Vāyu* Purāṇas, the fifth Śuṅga king Antaka or Andhraka was the son of Vasumitra. Different Purāṇas give different names of this king, of which "Andhraka seems most probable" to Pargiter.\(^{24}\) Jayaswal,\(^ {25}\) however, thinks that the original from which all the other names were derived was 'Odraka' and that this name is most probably given as 'Udāka' in the Pabhosā' cave inscription which is dated in his tenth regnal year. The inscription states that a cave was constructed by Āśāḍhasena of Adhichchhatrā, the son of Gopāli Vahidari and the maternal uncle of king Brīhaspatimitra, son of Gopāli, in the tenth year of Udāka. Jayaswal identified this Brīhaspatimitra with Pushyamitra and Udāka with Odraka (actually 'Odraka' according to bh *Vishnu*), the fifth Śuṅga king, and maintained that Odraka was the paramount Śuṅga sovereign, while the family of Āśāḍhasena was feudatory to the Śuṅgas.\(^ {26}\) But the identification of Brīhaspatimitra with Pushyamitra on the ground that Brīhaspati is the regent of the asterism Pushyā is not acceptable.\(^ {27}\) Moreover, the date of the Pabhosā inscription is about the end of the first century B.C.\(^ {28}\); so Brīhaspatimitra and Udāka cannot be identified respectively with Pushyamitra and Andhraka who flourished in the second century B.C. N. N. Ghosh suggests that the inscription represents Āśāḍhasena as the maternal uncle of the former king (Brīhaspatimitra) and refers to Udāka as the then king of the territory wherein the cave was excavated.\(^ {29}\) There is thus no connection of this inscription with the fifth Śuṅga king.

---

24 *DKA*, p. 31, n. 18.
26 *JBORS*, III, pp. 474-77. As the fifth Śuṅga king is given a reign of 2 years by most of the Purāṇas (only a'n Matsya giving 7 years), Jayaswal thinks that he had actually a reign period of 2+7 (i.e. 9) years and that the Pabhosā inscription was dated in his last (?), i.e. 10th year.
27 E. J. Rapson (*CHI*, p. 537, n. 1), R. P. Chanda (*IHQ*, V, pp. 496-97), B. M. Barua (ibid., VI, p. 23) and D. C. Sircar (*SI*, p. 97, n. 1) have shown the absurdity of the identification of Pushyamitra with Brīhaspatimitra, if Udāka is taken as the fifth Śuṅga king. Cf. also Allan, *BMC* (*AI*), p. xcvi and Raychaudhuri, *PHAI*, pp. 373 f.
29 *EHK*, p. 44. Barua (*IHQ*, VI, p. 23), however, points out that "in the
Some scholars\(^3\)\(^0\), on the other hand, identify the fifth Śuṅga with king Bhāgabhadra mentioned in the Besnagar Gurucā pillar inscription of his fourteenth regnal year. The inscription states that a Greek ambassador named Heliodorus, an inhabitant of Taxila and a convert to Bhagavatīsm, came from the Greek king Antialcidas to the court of Kāśīputra Bhāgabhadra, while the latter was prospering in his fourteenth year. Thus Bhāgabhadra who had a fairly long reign of at least fourteen years and who was powerful enough to command the presence of an ambassador from the Greek king Antialcidas, must have been a king of some importance. But, most of the Purāṇas assign a period of only 'two' years to the fifth Śuṅga king whose name is not even handed down correctly.\(^3\)\(^1\) The identification of Bhāgabhadra with the fifth Śuṅga king is therefore practically untenable.

Nothing in particular is known of the next two rulers, Pulindaka and Ghoshavasu.\(^3\)\(^2\) Pulindaka was the son of Bhadraka, Antaka or Andhraka according to the Bhāgavata, Mātṛya and Vāyu Purāṇas, while Ghoshā is said to be a son of Pulindaka in the Vāyu purāṇa. Pulindaka and Ghoshavasu are each assigned a reign of three years. Some of the Mātṛya MSS omit Ghoshavasu, while others call him Yomegha. Jagannath, however, excludes Andhraka, Pulindaka and Ghoshavasu (or the fifth, sixth and seventh kings) from the Śuṅga dynasty, taking Andhraka and Pulindaka as Andhra princes ruling at Pāṭaliputra and Ghoshavasu as identical with the Pañcāla king Bhadraka.

absence of the word rājīlo preceding Udākasa, it is difficult to say at once whether Udāka is the personal name of a king or the local name of the place where the cave was excavated."


\(^3\)\(^1\) a'n Mātṛya alone assigns him a reign of 7 years: DKA, p. 31, notes 18 and 19; also see table above. None of the variant names given by the Purāṇas, except perhaps Bhadra and Bhadraka, has any similarity with the name Bhāgabhadra of the Besnagar inscription.

\(^3\)\(^2\) Some coins are attributed by Jayaswal to Pulindaka and Ghoshavasu. The coins of Mūladeva of Ayodhyā are ascribed to Pulindaka (JBORS, XX, p. 304). Ghoshavasu is first identified with Bhadraghosa of Pañcāla coins (Ibid., III, p. 479, n. 15; cf. also PHAI\(^*\), p. 392 and Compr. Hist. Ind., II, p. 131), then with Dharaghosa of Audumbara coins (JBORS, XX, p. 290). But these fanciful identifications are not tenable.
ghosha. But as we have seen above (p. 48), this view is difficult to accept.

The eighth Śuṅga king who is known to all the Purāṇas as Vajramitra\(^{33}\) is described by the Bhāgavatapurāṇa as a son of Ghosha. He is ascribed a reign of 9 years by the Mātya and 7 years by the Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas, while most of the Vāyu MSS are silent in this respect (only the eVāyu assigning him a reign of 14 years).

The ninth Śuṅga king was Bhāgavata or Samabhāga, whose relationship with Vajramitra is not given in any of the Purāṇas. He is generally identified with Bhāgavata of another Besnagar Garuḍa Pillar inscription of his twelfth regnal year\(^{34}\). D. C. Sircar has, again, identified him with king Bhāgavata of the Barli (Ajmer District) fragmentary stone inscription.\(^{35}\) The long thirty-two years' reign of the ninth Śuṅga prince Bhāgavata indicates that he was capable enough to establish peace and prosperity for a time before the downfall of the Śuṅga dynasty.

To the last Śuṅga king Devabhūti or Devabhūmi,\(^{36}\) a son of Bhāgavata or Samabhāga according to all the Purāṇas, is assigned a reign of 10 years. He appears to have been a pleasure-seeking youth who fell a victim to a conspiracy hatched by his

---

\(^{33}\) Jayaswal identified Vajramitra first with Indramitra of Pañchāla coins (\textit{JBORS}, III, p. 472, n. 15), and then with Ajamitra of Audumbara coins (ibid., XX, pp. 296 and 303). But these identifications are also farfetched and unacceptable.

\(^{34}\) Jayaswal (\textit{JBORS}, III, pp. 479-80, n. 15) and Bhandarkar (\textit{JBBRAS}, XXIII, p. 106) at first identified the ninth Śuṅga king Bhāgavata with Bhāgabhadra of the Besnagar inscription of his Year 14, but after the discovery of this inscription, Bhandarkar (\textit{ASR}, 1913-14, p. 190) identified the ninth Śuṅga king with this Bhāgavata. Cf. also H. C. Raychaudhuri, \textit{PHAT}, pp. 393 f.

\(^{35}\) \textit{JBRs}, XXXVII, p. 36. Some silver coins (\textit{BMC, AI}, p. 123, Pl. XIV, 16), so far attributed to the Audumbaras (but which should actually be assigned to the Vemakis, as shown in Ch. IX, Sec. 1 below) were ascribed by Jayaswal to the ninth Śuṅga king Bhāgavata because of the wrong reading of the legend as Bhāgavata Mahādeva rājarāja. But, as pointed out by S. K. Chakrabortty, (\textit{NS}, XLVI, p. N 73), the proper reading of the legend should be bhāgavato (not Bhāgavata), referring thereby to the ‘god’ (bhagavān) Mahādeva (not a king called Bhāgavata). Cf. also \textit{AIU}, p. 161, n. 4.

\(^{36}\) The coins of Devamitra of Ayodhyā are ascribed to him by Jayaswal (\textit{JBORS}, III, p 479, n. 15), but this is not acceptable.
minister Vasudeva Kāṇva, which fact has been referred to in the following Puranic passage:

Amātyyo Vasudevas = tu bālyāḍ = vyasanāinīṁ nṛipam
Devabhūmim = athi = otsādyā Śuṅgāṁ tu bhavitā nṛipaḥ

[Translation: Then amātya Vasudeva will become king after having extirpated the Śuṅga king Devabhūmi who was a debauch from his boyhood.]37

Rāṇa in his Harshacharita corroborates the Puranic account with a few more details of Devabhūti's inglorious end:38

"Ati-strī - saṅgam = anaṅga - paravaśaṁ Śaṅgam = amātyo Vasudevo Devabhūtimiṁ svadāśi-duhitra devi-vyaṅjanayā vigata-jīvitam = akāratayat."

[Translation: In a frenzy of passion, the over-libidinous Śaṅga Devabhūti was, at the instance of his amātya (minister?) Vasudeva, reft of his life by a daughter of his own slave woman disguised as his queen.]

The line of the Śuṅgas thus came to a violent and ignominous end. But even after the murder of Devabhūti by Vasudeva Kāṇva, the Śuṅga power was not totally extinct. This is evident from the following Puranic passage:

Kanvāyanam ath = oddhṛitya Suṣarmānāṁ prasāhya tasmā
Śuṅgānāṁ ch = āpi yach = chhishtāṁ kshapayītvā balam tada
tāṁ
Sindhuko ārya = Andhra-jātiyaḥ prāpsyat = imaṁ vasundharāṁ

[Translation: Then, after having extirpated Kanvāyaṇa Suṣarmāṇa by force and thereafter having also exterminated whatever remained of the Śuṅgas' power, Sindhuka of the Andhra race will verily obtain this earth.]39

This shows that some princes of the Śuṅga family continued to rule contemporaneously with the Kāṇvas till both the powers were overthrown by the Andhras.

37 DKA, p. 33 and p. 34, n. 6 (text, according to n Malysa). [The translation has kindly been made by Dr D. C. Sircar]. The variant reading of the second line, Devabhūmim tath = oṭpāya Śuṅgeshu bhavitā nṛipaḥ, meaning that Vasudeva Kāṇva 'will become king among the Śuṅgas', has created much fanciful speculations about the Śuṅgas after the murder of Devabhūti, and is apparently due to scribal error.


39 DKA, p. 36 (text, according to the Vāyu-purāṇa). [The translation is by Dr D. C. Sircar.] We prefer the reading ath = oddhṛitya to tato bhṛityāḥ which makes Sindhuka (variantly Śišuka) and his fellow-tribesmen 'the servants of Suṣarmāṇa'.

The theory advocated by R. G. Bhandarkar⁴⁰ that the Kāṇvas ruled contemporaneously with the imperial Śuṅgas, like the Peshwas with the rulers of Śivājī's house in modern times, not uprooting the dynasty of their masters but reducing them to the character of nominal sovereigns, cannot be maintained in view of the definite statement of the Purāṇas that Vasudeva Kāṇva became king by murdering the last Śuṅga monarch Devabhūti or Devabhūmi.

3. The Kāṇvas

According to all the Purāṇas, the Śuṅgas ruled for 112 years. Thus, if Pushyamitra came to power about 185 B.C., the murder of the last Śuṅga king Devabhūti or Devabhūmi and the consequent establishment of Kāṇva power took place sometime about 73 B.C.

From the Matsyapurāṇa⁴¹ we learn that the Kāṇvāyanas were Brāhmaṇas. The dynasty consisted of four kings, viz. Vasudeva, his son Bhūmimitra, his son Nārayaṇa and his son Śūṣarman, who reigned for 9, 14, 12 and 10 years respectively.⁴² The total duration of the rule of the dynasty is given as 45 years by all the Purāṇas, which number also agrees with the aggregate of reigns given variously by the different Purāṇas.

Our information about the Kāṇvas, derived solely from the Puranic statements, is very meagre. No inscription or coin of any Kāṇva king has yet been discovered. Certain coins of the Pañchāla fabric bearing the name of one Bhūmimitra⁴³ are ascribed by some scholars to the second Kāṇva king of that name.⁴⁴ But the identification of Bhūmimitra of the Pañchāla coins with Būmimitra Kāṇva cannot be accepted. For, the issuers of the distinctive series of Pañchāla coins must have belonged to one dynasty, and no particular ruler of the group

---

⁴¹ DKA, p. 35 (text) and p. 71 (trans.).
⁴² According to the Matsyapurāṇa; the Brahmapurāṇa gives the reign periods as 5, 24, 12, and 4 respectively: see DKA, p. 34, notes 10, 15 and 21.
⁴⁴ Jayaswal, JBORS, III, p. 479, n. 15; ibid., XX, p. 308; and Raychaudhuri, PHAI, p. 398.
can be singled out. The names of other kings, as found on the Pañchāla coins, have no connection with any other ruler of the Kāṇva dynasty. Moreover, the Kāṇva kings are not known to have ever ruled in Pañchāla.

The Kāṇva rule, as the Purāṇas say, was brought to an end by Andhra Simuka (variantly Sindhuka or Śiṣuka) along with whatever remained of the Śuṅga power (see p. 56 above).

A ruler named Sarvatāta is known from the Ghosāṇḍī stone inscription of about the end of the first century B.C. to have been a devotee of Vāsudeva and Sañkarshaṇa and a performer of the horse-sacrifice. J. C Ghosh seeks to identify this ruler with one of the Kāṇva kings, viz. Suṣarman, as he finds traces of the first and last letters sa and na of the word after Sarvatāta, which, in his opinion, is an epithet of the king. But his identification of the patronymic Gājāyana with the Gādāyana or Godāyana of the Kāṇvāyana sakā, found in the text books on the Gātras and Pravastras, is highly doubtful. Moreover, we know practically nothing about the actual extent of Kāṇva dominions, so that it would be presumptuous to hold that the Kāṇvas ruled over Nāgarī in the Chitorgarh District of Rajasthan, where the inscription was found. It is more probable that Sarvatāta was a local ruler of the Chitorgarh region.


We have seen that Pushyamitra ruled from Paṭaliputra, and most probably held territories up to Śakala in the north-west and the Wardha in the south. But there is very little evidence to determine the actual extent of the dominions of Pushyamitra’s successors or their seat of power. For, there is nothing to show that Magadha continued to be held by the Later Śuṅgas.

Ayodhyā was ruled even during the second half of the first century B.C. by a prince named Dhanadeva, who claimed descent from Pushyamitra; but we are not sure if the Ayodhyā rulers still acknowledged the suzerainty of the Later Śuṅgas. On the contrary, the viceroy of Ayodhyā who evidently did not belong to the main Śuṅga line appears to have taken the first

45 SI, p. 91.
46 IHQ, IX, p. 795.
47 Cf, D, C. Sircar, SI, p. 92, n, 1,
opportunity to waive aside his allegiance to the house of Pushyamitra very soon after his death. The local coinage of Ayodhyā which started about the second half of the second century B.C. indicates that the Ayodhyā rulers were practically independent and none of the kings known from coins can be identified with any of the Śuṅga rulers whose names are given in the Purāṇas.

The local coinage of Kauśāṃbi also shows that an independent dynasty was founded there about the latter part of the second century B.C. Although attempts have often been made to identify Agnimitra and Jyeshṭhamitra of the Kauśāṃbi coins with Agnimitra and Sujyeshṭha respectively of the Śuṅga dynasty, these identifications are highly untenable. There is no evidence to show that the Kauśāṃbi rulers belonged to the Śuṅga family or that the Later Śuṅgas ruled there. Some Kauśāṃbi coins reveal the existence of a king named Agarāja who may probably be identified with Āgaraju, the father of Dhanabhūti of the Bhārhut inscription. The absence of the royal title before the name of Āgaraju of the inscription may be explained by the fact that it was incised at a time when his father king Viśvadeva was still ruling. A clay sealing of Dhanabhūti has also been discovered in Kauśāṃbi. He seems to be identical with the Dhanabhūti of the Bhārhut inscription, for neither the seal nor the inscription describes him as 'king'. It thus appears that the family of king Viśvadeva ruled somewhere in the Kauśāṃbi region, quite adjacent to Bhārhut. The mention of the Śuṅga rule in the Bhārhut epigraph is usually interpreted as signifying that Viśvadeva and his successors who are supposed to be the local rulers of Bhārhut were feudatories of the Later Śuṅgas. If, however, the princes belonging to

48 See BMC(AI), pp. lxxxvii ff. and 129 ff. for Ayodhyā coins, and Ch. V, Sec. 2 below for the local history of Ayodhyā.
49 See BMC (AII), pp. xciv ff. and 145 ff. for Kauśāṃbi coins and Ch. V, Sec. 1 (A) below for the history of Kauśāṃbi.
50 See p. 50 and n. 13 (Agnimitra) and p. 51 and notes 16-17 (Jyeshṭhamitra) above.
52 SI, p. 89.
53 JNSI, XXVI, p. 5 and ibid., XXVII, p. 188.
54 E. J. Rapson, CHI, I, pp. 523-24, followed by other scholars.
the family of king Viśvadeva were actually rulers of Kauśāmbī, it would follow that the Kauśāmbī kings acknowledged the supremacy of the Śuṅgas. But the inscription simply states that a certain gateway was constructed by Dhanabhūti, son of Āgaraju, and grandson of king Viśvadeva, ‘in the kingdom of the Śuṅgas’ (Ṣuganāṁ raje, i.e. Śuṅgānāṁ rājye). The donor Dhanabhūti in usual course refers to the ruling authority of the country where he makes the benefactions, and this does not signify that his family owed the allegiance of the Śuṅgas. We have thus no definite evidence to show that the kingdom of Kauśāmbī was included within the dominions of the Later Śuṅgas.

The Pañchāla rulers, most of whom bear mitra-ending names as known from coins, are very often identified with the Śuṅgas, and Agnimitra and Bhadraghoshara of the Pañchāla coins are regarded as identical with Agnimitra and Ghosha or Ghosha-vasu respectively of the Śuṅga dynasty. But it will be seen below (Ch. IV) that none of the Pañchāla rulers can be identified with any of the Śuṅgas, and in the absence of proper evidence, it is highly doubtful if Pañchāla was ever included within the Śuṅga dominions.

Nor is there anything to show that the Mathurā rulers belonged to the Śuṅga family or that they owed any allegiance to the Later Śuṅgas. The dynastic issues that were in circulation from the latter half of the second century B.C. indicate that all the four kingdoms of Ayodhyā, Kauśāmbī, Pañchāla and Mathurā had slipped out of the control of the successors of Pushyamitra and were practically independent.

Vidīśa, however, seems to have been ruled by the Śuṅgas from the beginning. Agnimitra, a viceroy of his father Pushyamitra, ruled there practically independently. Since the Purāṇas also mention the Śuṅgas as one of the ruling dynasties of

55 Cf. the Pabhosā inscription of Āshādhasena, prince of Adhichchhatrā, who dates his record in the tenth regnal year of Udāka, the local king of Kauśāmbī, where the donation is made. This does not indicate that Āshādhasena was a feudatory of Udāka.

56 See p. 50 and n. 13, and p. 54, n. 32 above for the supposed identifications of Agnimitra and Bhadraghoshara of the Pañchāla coins with the Śuṅga kings, and Ch. IV below for the question of the identification of the Pañchāla Mitras with the Śuṅgas.
Vidiśā, it probably continued to be ruled by the Śuṅga princes after Agnimitra.

There can be no doubt that the Bhārhat area, i.e. the Satna-Rewa region of the Madhya Pradesh acknowledged the Śuṅga overlordship in the first century B.C. and if Bhāgavata of the Besnagar inscription as well as that of the Barli (Ajmer District) fragmentary inscription can be identified with the ninth Śuṅga king of the same name, it may be held that the Later Śuṅga dominions extended as far as the Ajmer area in Eastern Rajasthan.

The utmost that can be said with some amount of certainty is, therefore, that the successors of Pushyamitra exercised their sway over the northern parts of Madhya Pradesh including Eastern Malwa, and probably parts of Eastern Rajasthan. There is no means to determine if the local ruling families of the kingdoms of Ayodhya, Kausāmbi, Pañcchāla and Mathurā belonged to the Śuṅga stock or if they ever acknowledged some sort of vague supremacy of the Later Śuṅgas. The view that “the end of the nominal suzerainty of the Śuṅgas was probably brought about by a joint invasion of the rulers of Mathurā and Pañcchāla, aided by Greek forces, either mercenary troops, or led by a Greek King” sometime after the death of Pushyamitra rests upon a different interpretation of a certain passage in the Yugapurāṇa section of the Gārgisāṃhitā which, however, involves some difficulties. Again, the theory that the Śuṅga empire after Pushyamitra was divided into several independent Śuṅga kingdoms like Magadha, Ayodhya, Kausāmbi, Pañcchāla and Mathurā with their

57 Cf. the Puranic passage, Śuṅgānam tu kulasyānte Śiṣunanddir=bhavishyatī in connection with the dynasties of Vidiśā, DKA, p. 49.
58 According to R. P. Chanda, the Bhārhat inscription indicates that during the Later Śuṅga period the Śuṅga empire had no recognised head, but was transformed into a sort of loose federation of principalities ruled by princes of the Śuṅga family (IHQ, V, pp. 608 ff.). He suggests that Bhāgabhadrā and Bhāgavata might have belonged to an offshoot of the Śuṅga family ruling at Vidiśā, while the main line ruled at Pāṇḍaliputra (ibid., p. 611).
59 R. C. Majumdar, JNSI, XXII, p. 53.
60 For the Yugapurāṇa passage in question see p. 22 above. As noted in p. 25, n. 5 above, the expression Pañcchāla Mathurāḥ of Kern’s MS is replaced by Pañcchāla Mathurāḥ in other MSS, which makes the Pañcchālas and Māthuras joint invaders of Sāketa along with the Yavanas. But D. C. Sircar has shown that the reading of Kern’s MS is more reliable (JRAS, 1963, p. 10).
headquarters at Vidiśā⁶¹ is based on the assumption that the contemporary local rulers of these places, most of whom had mitra-ending names, belonged to the Śuṅga family. But we have got no evidence in support of this view.⁶²

About the capital of the Later Śuṅgas we have got very little knowledge. It is highly doubtful if Paṭaliputra continued to be the seat of their power when it is questionable if Magadha itself was included within their territory. Moreover, had the Later Śuṅga dominions extended as far as Ajmer, it seems unlikely that they could have held their sway over that region from distant Paṭaliputra, when the intermediate kingdoms of Ayodhya, Kauśāmibi, Paṇḍhāla and Mathurā were practically independent. Again, it is most likely that after the murder of Devabhūti Śuṅga by Vasudeva Kāṇva, the Later Śuṅga capital continued to be held either by the Kāṇvas themselves or by the so-called ‘remnants of the Śuṅgas’ who ruled contemporaneously with the Kāṇvas. We know that both the powers were in their turn overthrown by the Andhras. Now, as the Andhras were a Deccan power, and as we have no reliable evidence of the Andhra rule at Paṭaliputra, it seems unlikely that they could have ousted either the Kāṇvas or the Śuṅgas who survived Devabhūti, had either of them ruled at Paṭaliputra. Thus, Paṭaliputra does not appear to have been the capital of Devabhūti and his immediate predecessors. The same may be said about Ayodhya, Kauśāmibi,⁶³ Ahichchhatrā or Mathurā. That the Śuṅga capital had to be shifted from Paṭaliputra very soon after Pushyamitra’s rule appears probable, but when, where and why we do not know. The murder of the fourth king Vasumitra might have been the occasion when the Śuṅgas were ousted from Paṭaliputra. Mitrādeva, the assassinator of Vasumitra, probably became the founder of a new ‘Mitra’ dynasty at Paṭaliputra, since a number of rulers with mitra-ending names are known to us from epigraphic records.⁶⁴

---

⁶¹ K D. Bajpai, JNSI, XXVI, pp. 1 ff.
⁶² The question if the so-called Mitras can be identified with the Śuṅgas has already been discussed by the present author in JNSI, XX, pp. 123 ff. The views expressed there have since been somewhat revised: cf. Ch. IV below.
⁶³ K. D. Bajpai who supposes that Pushyamitra shifted his capital to Kauśāmibi ‘which continued to be an important centre of the Śuṅgas’ (JNSI, XXVI, p. 1) has not given any evidence in favour of his view.
⁶⁴ See Sec. 5 below.
According to some scholars, Vidiśā was the capital of Pushyamitra's successors. But this supposition is mainly based on the interpretation of a certain expression occurring in a corrupt MS of the Mālavikāgñimitra, and on the identifications of Bhāgabhadra and Bhāgavata of the Besnagar inscriptions with the fifth and the ninth Śuṅga kings respectively as noted above. Even if Bhāgavata could be identified with the ninth Śuṅga monarch, it is highly doubtful if Bhāgabhadra was identical with the fifth prince of the Śuṅga line. Had Vidiśā been the capital of the last Śuṅga Devabhāti, the Kāṇvas who ousted him would have also ruled there. But the Purāṇas do not mention the Kāṇvas amongst the dynasties of Vidiśā. On the other hand, one Śīśunandi, who cannot be identified with any of the known Kāṇva kings, is said to have ruled at Vidiśā after the end of the Śuṅga rule there. Thus, although Vidiśā might have been ruled by the Śuṅga princes, we are not sure if it was the 'capital' of the Later Śuṅgas.

The only undoubted evidence of Later Śuṅga rule is at Bhārhut in the northern part of Madhya Pradesh and we have to seek for their capital in this region. It is not at all unlikely that Bhārhut itself was the centre of the Later Śuṅga power. It is possible that during the period of anarchy and confusion that followed the murder of Vasumitra, his successors shifted their capital to Bhārhut, and the rule of four of them ended within a short period of only 17 years. Bhāgabhadra might have been the contemporary Śuṅga ruler of Vidiśā, who, taking advantage of the weakness of the kings of the main Śuṅga line, ruled there quite independently. Since he did not belong to the main line, he is not mentioned in any of the Purāṇas, although he might have been a Śuṅga prince of a collateral branch. In fact, the power and prestige of Bhāgabhadra who ruled at least for fourteen years grew much more in importance than that of the insignificant princes of the main Śuṅga line ruling at Bhārhut. Vidiśā, a great centre of Bhagavatism, even

65 Cunningham, CAI, pp. 79-80; and Raychaudhuri, Ind. Cult., VI, pp. 409 ff.
66 See pp. 35-36 above.
67 Cf. n. 57 above.
68 See the table in p. 47 above.
invited foreign ambassadors like the Greek Heliodorus. The ninth Śuṅga king Bhāgavata who ruled for long 32 years seems to have revived to some extent the power of the Śuṅgas and brought Vidiśā under his own control sometime after Bhāgabhadrā's rule. Bhāgavata's successor Devabhūti was murdered by Vasudeva Kāṇva who brought about the end of the main Śuṅga line. But as indicated by the Purāṇas, the Śuṅgas were not altogether wiped out; some members of the family appear to have maintained their not so glorious existence at Vidiśā, while the Kāṇvas probably began to rule from Bāhrhut, the former seat of the Later Śuṅgas. It is perhaps these in significant and unnamed successors of Devabhūti at Vidiśā, who have been termed 'the remnants of the Śuṅgas' by the Purāṇas. The Andhras, then a rising power in the Deccan, whose earliest known territories extended over the northern part of Maharashtra, were not slow in ousting the so-called 'remnants of the Śuṅgas', and the Kāṇvas respectively from the neighbouring regions of Vidiśā and Bāhrhut. Śiśūnandī who is described by the Purāṇas as the successor of the Śuṅgas at Vidiśā was probably an Andhra governor.

As to the capital and extent of the dominions of the Kāṇvas, we are more in darkness, for not a single document of the Kāṇva kings has yet been discovered anywhere. If the Śuṅgas could not have ruled from Pāṭaliputra, Ayodhya, Kauśāmbi, Ahichchhatrā or Mathurā, it is still more doubtful if the Kāṇvas could have ruled from any of these places. None of the local rulers of these places known either from epigraphs or from coins can be identified with any of the Kāṇya kings.

Assuming that Vidiśā was the capital of the Later Śuṅgas, H. C. Raychaudhuri suggested that the Kāṇvas also continued to rule there, as the Kānvāyana mayor of the palace gained power by ousting the last Śuṅga king Devabhūti. According to him,

69 Cf. the Besnagar inscription of Heliodorus of the fourteenth year of Bhāgabhadrā, SI, p. 90.
70 Some recently discovered coins probably reveal the names of some local rulers of Vidiśā (JNSI, XXII, p. 132 and ibid., XXV, p. 104). See Ch. III, Sec. 4 below for the attribution of the coins.
71 Some coins discovered at Kauśāmbi are said to bear the legend kauṇavaya (?) and are attributed to the Kāṇvas (JNSI, XXVI, p. 2, n. 3), but we have great doubt about the reading.
72 Ind. Cult., VI, p. 412,
the epithet *prañata-rāmantāh* as applied to the Kāñvas in the Purāṇas⁷³, shows that they extended their sway over certain neighbouring regions. Identifying Bhumimitra of the Pañchāla coins with the second Kāñva king of that name he presumed that one possible direction towards which the Kāñva power spread itself was Ahichchhatrā in the north. And, since the Andhras are called ‘servants of Suśarman’ in some of the Purāṇas⁷⁴ and since the earliest Andhra inscriptions are found in the Nasik region,⁷⁸ another direction of the expansion of the Kāñva power is taken to be towards the south, extending over the earliest Andhra territories. But as we have seen, there is no evidence of the Kāñva rule at Vidiśā, nor can Bhumimitra of the Pañchāla coins be identified with the second Kāñva king of that name. Again, there are variant readings of the Puranic passage in question and we have accepted the version of the Vāyupurāṇa, which makes a better sense, and according to which there is no mention of the Andhras as ‘servants of Suśarman’.⁷⁶

Since the Kāñvas were ousted by the Andhras who were a Deccan power, we have to seek for the seat of the Kāñva power somewhere in the southern region of Madhyadeśa and the northern part of the Deccan, near the earliest known territories of the Andhras. If Bhārlut was the capital of the Later Śuṅgas as suggested above, it might as well have continued to be the stronghold of the Kāñvas till they were overthrown by the Andhras.

The early Andhra coins of ‘Western Malwa fabric’ with the legend, *Rāni Siri Sātasa*⁷⁷, and an inscription on the southern gateway of Stūpa I of Sāñchi, mentioning king Śatakarni⁷⁸, may suggest the early extension of Andhra (Sātavāhana) power

---

⁷³ ḐKA, p. 35.
⁷⁴ Ibid., p. 38, according to the Matyapurāṇa.
⁷⁵ Cf. the Nasik cave inscriptions of the time of Kṛishṇa (SI, p. 183), and Nānāghāt cave figure-label inscriptions of the time of Śatakarni I (ibid., p. 194).
⁷⁶ See p. 56 and n. 39 above.
⁷⁷ He is generally identified with king Śatakarni I, see BMC (Andhra), pp. xcii and p. 1, Pt. I, 1-2.
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over that region, most probably after the extirpation of the Kāṇvas and the ‘remains of the Śuṅgas.’

5. The Later History of Magadha

The history of Magadha after Pushyamitra is shrouded in obscurity. Assuming that Pātaliputra continued to be the capital of the Later Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas, Jayaswal held that the Andhras, who overthrew them, also ruled there, for about 50 years, after which Magadha passed into the hands of the Lichchhavis.79 As to the Later Śuṅgas and Kāṇvas, we have already seen that nothing is known about their rule in Magadha. Nor is there any reliable evidence of Andhra80 or Lichchhavi rule there towards the beginning of the Christian era. On the other hand, the little light that epigraphic sources throw on the history of Magadha during this period leads to the conclusion that it was ruled by some of the so-called Mitras in the first century B.C. The absence of a characteristic type of inscribed coinage of Magadha does not necessarily indicate that there was no Mitra dynasty in Magadha.81 The Śuṅgas, the predecessors of the Mitras of Magadha, are not also known to have issued any inscribed coinage, and the ‘Mitras’ might have continued the tradition of the Śuṅgas. Two of the Mitra rulers of Magadha, viz. Indrāgnimitra and Brahmamitra, are known from the Bodh Gaya dedicatory inscriptions of their respective queens, Kuraṅgi and Nāgadevi,82 while one Brāhhaspatimitra is known

79 HI, pp. 112 ff. Jayaswal’s supposition is based on a statement in the Nepal inscription of Lichchhavi Jayadeva II dated in Harsha Year 155 (= 758 A.D.) that his ancestor Supushpa was born in the city of Pushapura (i.e. Pātaliputra) 23 generations before Jayadeva I (c. 330 to 355 A.D.), thus placing Supushpa about the beginning of the Christian era.

80 Smith thinks that the Andhras might have held Magadha as a dependency, as the Andhra coins have much affinities with the coinages of the North; but he also admits that there is little evidence supporting such a conjecture (EHI, p. 216). Again, B. Bhattacharya has cited the authority of the Kaliyugavirittānta, a section of the Bhasīshyottara-purāṇa, showing that Magadha was being ruled by king Chaṇḍārai Śatakarni and his son Pulomā, on the eve of the rise of the Guptas (JBOŘ, XXX, pp. 1 ff.). But R. C. Majumdar (IHQ, XX, pp. 345 ff.) has challenged the authenticity of the Purāṇa text, as quoted by Bhattacharya.

81 The author’s previous view (JNSI, XX, pp. 125 f.) is now modified.

82 ASR 1907-08, pp. 40 and 225; ibid., 1908-09, p. 147; and IHQ VI, pp. 1 ff,
from the Hāthigumpha inscription of Khāravela to be the contemporary ruler of Magadha. The name-ending of these three rulers suggests that they belonged to the same dynasty. We do not know when this dynasty began to rule in Magadha. It is not improbable that this Mitra dynasty was founded by Mitra-deva, the assassinator of the fourth Śuṅga king Vasumitra (see pp. 51-52 above). The connection of Mitra-deva and his successors with the Śuṅgas is not known.

Indrāgnimitra and Brahmamitra are usually identified with Indramitra of the Pañcāla coins (some of which have also been found at Pātaliputra) and Brahmamitra of the Mathurā coins respectively. But these identifications are doubtful. For, there is no reason why the same name should be written as ‘Indramitra’ on the coins and ‘Indrāgnimitra’ in the inscription. Again, from mere identity of name, we cannot be sure if Brahmamitra of the Bodh Gayā inscription was the same person as his namesake of the Mathurā coins. It is no doubt probable for a queen of a different kingdom to dedicate gifts in a distant place of pilgrimage like Bodh Gayā; but, in that case, the inscription should probably have mentioned the name of the reigning king of the particular country where the donation was made. But, since both Indrāgnimitra and Brahmamitra are mentioned as kings only and since no other king is referred to, it is more likely that both of them were rulers of the country where the inscriptions are found.

There is no means to determine the chronology of these two kings. As the inscriptions of both Kuraṅgi and Nāgadevi seem to be almost contemporaneous documents, B. M. Barna
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83 SI, p. 206.
84 Marshall, ASR 1907-08, pp. 40 and 225; T. Bloch, ibid., 1908-09, p. 147; Rapson, CHI, pp. 525-26; and Raychaudhuri, PHAI, p. 392.
85 For Indramitra’s Pañcāla coins see BMC (AI), p. 203, Pl. XXIX. 2; for his coins found at Pātaliputra see ASR 1912-13, pp. 84-85, Nos. 6, 10, 19 and 20.
86 BMC (AI), p. 173, Pl. XXV. 12.
87 Cf. Allan, op. cit., p. cxiii.
88 Cf. the Pabhosā cave inscription of Āshāduhasena, No. 2 (SI, p. 98). As the cave was constructed within the kingdom of Kausāmbi, the donor Āshāduhasena who was a prince of Adhichchhatrā referred to the regnal year of Üdāka, the contemporary king of Kausāmbi. Similarly, Dhanabhūti in his Bhārahut inscription refers to the Śuṅgas (who were the ruling authority of the Bhārahut area), since his grandfather Viśvadeva was probably a king of Kausāmbi.
suggests that Indrāgnimitra might have preceded Brahmamitra: for, the former's queen Kurūgl is depicted as an elderly lady, the mother of living sons (jivaputra), and honoured in fifteen rail-post inscriptions with the epithet Ayā (Āryā, i.e. noble lady or matron), while Brahmamitra's queen Nāgadevi was not yet a mother but only a wife (pajāvatī). Barua thinks that the donations were made by Kuraṅgl rather when she became a queen-dowager to King Brahmamitra than when she remained in her full glory as the queen of King Indrāgnimitra. But nothing can be said definitely on that point. Now, Khāravela's contemporary Bṛhaspatimitra seems to have flourished about the second half of the first century B.C. And as the Bodh Gayā inscriptions are generally considered to be somewhat earlier than the Hāthigumpha inscription of Khāravela, Indrāgnimitra and Brahmamitra can be placed about the first half of the first century B.C.

This Bṛhaspatimitra is again usually identified with king Gopālputra Bṛhaspatimitra mentioned in the Pabhosā Cave inscription (No. 1) and Bṛhaspatimitra of the Kauśāmbi coins. The identification of Bṛhaspatimitra of the Pabhosā cave inscription with his namesake of the Kauśāmbi coins is quite possible, and there is also no chronological difficulty in identifying him with the Magadhā king of that name, contemporary of Khāravela. In that case, Bṛhaspatimitra would figure as a mighty king having his sway over Magadha as well as a part of Kauśāmbi. The achievements of Khārvela, the contemporary

89 IHQ, VI, p. 20.
90 Cf. R. P. Chanda, MASI, I, pp. 14-15; B. M. Barua, IHQ, VI, p. 21; and N. G. Majumdar, MS, I, pp. 271-72 and 275-77. Majumder is of opinion that the Bodh Gayā and Bhāhrut inscriptions are of about the same period, and dates them about 100 to 75 B.C., while he fixes the dates of the Sāfchi inscription of Śatakarṇi (II) on the south gate of Stūpa I and the Hāthigumpha inscription about 50 B.C.
91 Cf. Jayaswal, JBORS, III, pp. 473 ff.; B. M. Barua, IHQ, VI, p. 23; and D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 97, n. 3. For his suggested identification with Pushyamitra see p. 40 above.
92 He is identified with Bṛhaspatimitra II of the Kauśāmbi coins by Allan in BMC(Al), pp. xcvi and 151, Pl. XX. 2. Some Pañchāla coins bearing the name of one Bṛhaspatimitra are noticed by V. A Smith (IMC, p. 185), but we do not know if he can be identified with his namesake of the Kauśāmbi coins.
king of Kaliṅga who claims to have compelled Bṛihaspatimitra to bow down at his feet becomes, therefore, all the more conspicuous. Since Bṛihaspatimitra is explicitly called a king of Magadha (Māgadhain cha rājānāh), there can be no doubt that he belonged to a Mitra family of Magadha itself.\footnote{93} Whether his power extended as far as Kauśāmbi (and Pañchāla) cannot, however, be definitely determined until further evidence is forthcoming.

The next epigraphical notice that we get for the Magadha region is the Kailvan (Patna District) inscription of Ārya Viśākhamitra, in the year 108 (of the Śaka era) corresponding to A.D. 186.\footnote{94} There is thus a gap of about two centuries after Bṛihaspatimitra, and we do not know if his successors continued to hold Magadha during that period.\footnote{95} As already noted, some coins of the Pañchāla type bearing the name of Indramitra have been found in the Pāṭaliputra excavations. They might have been brought there in course of commercial transactions, for it is difficult to hold from the discovery of a few stray coins that Pāṭaliputra came under the sway of the Mitra rulers of distant Pañchāla. The discovery of a clay seal with the inscription Mokhalinam in the Gayā District\footnote{96} may indicate that the Gayā region came under some Maukhari chiefs at a certain period, but the exact date is not known nor do we know if these Maukhari chiefs were in any way connected with the Maukhari of Baḍvā in the former Kotah State of Rajasthan where four yūpa inscriptions dated in the third century A.D. have been found.\footnote{97}

\footnote{93}{K. D. Bajpai thinks that the Mitras known from epigraphs of the Magadha region were actually rulers of Kauśāmbi and not of Magadha, major part of which, according to him, was under the sway of the Kauśāmbi kings: \textit{JNSI}, XXVI, p. 2. But, as we have seen, they were actually rulers of Magadha, not of Kauśāmbi, Pañchāla or Mathurā.}

\footnote{94}{\textit{Ep. Ind.}, XXXI, pp. 229 ff.}

\footnote{95}{A sealing of one Agnimitra is found in the Kauśāṇa strata of the Vaiśālī excavations in 1958-59 (\textit{IAR}, 1958-59, p 12). If this Agnimitra was a ruling prince, he cannot be identified with Agnimitra-Śuṅga, as the seal was found in the post-Śuṅga strata. We do not know if he is to be identified with any of the Agnimitras of the Pañchāla and Kauśāmbi coins, or if he belonged to the Mitra dynasty of Magadha.}

\footnote{96}{See \textit{CII}, III, p. 14; \textit{and PHAI}, p. 400 and n. 2.}

\footnote{97}{\textit{Ep. Ind.}, XXIII, pp. 42 ff. and ibid.; XXIV, pp. 251 ff.}
The discovery of a number of Kushāṇa copper coins from the Bihar region⁹⁸ may not prove its inclusion within the Kushāṇa empire. But the Chinese and Tibetan traditions referring to Kaṇīshka’s successful expedition towards Pāṭaliputra⁹⁹, coupled with the traditions regarding the rule of the Muruṇḍas of Śaka nationality in the Bihar region about the second century A.D. as known from Ptolemy¹⁰⁰, and the spread of the Kaṇīshka era in that area as suggested by the Kailvan inscription of Ārya Viśākhāmitra, may provide some ground to hold that Kushāṇa power extended up to Pāṭaliputra in the east, at least for some time. The ‘Maroundai’, a foreign people whose country is referred to in Ptolemy’s Geography are the same as the ‘Muruṇḍas’ of Indian history and tradition; they seem to have occupied an extensive territory, probably the whole of upper Bihar including upper Bengal as far as the head of the delta. They might have been originally viceroys under the Kushāṇa suzerains but seem to have taken the earliest opportunity of carving out an independent principality with the decline of the imperial supremacy of their masters. Two such governors, viz., Vanaspara and Kharapallāṇa are known from inscriptions to have been in charge of the eastern provinces of the Kushāṇa empire.¹⁰¹ While narrating the dynasties of the 3rd century A.D., the Purāṇas refer to one Viśvasphāṇi as the ruler of the Māghadhas.¹⁰² The name suggests that he was of foreign origin. According to some scholars,¹⁰³ the Kushāṇas were in possession of Magadha right up to the close of the 3rd century A.D. But, even if Kushāṇa power extended up to Pāṭaliputra, their rule could not have been of
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⁹⁸ PHAI⁴, p. 473; JNSI, XII, pp. 121 ff.; and ibid., XIII, pp. 144 ff. See also introduction, p. 13 above.
⁹⁹ Ep. Ind., XIV, p. 142; Ind. Ant., XXXII, p. 382; CII, II, pp. lxxii and lxxv; Cf. PHAI⁵, p. 473, and n. 5.
¹⁰⁰ Ind. Cult, I, pp. 386 ff.; R. C. Majumdar, The Classical Accounts of India, pp. 377 and 381, n. 87; and BMC (Gupta), p. xxi. Cf. also the expression Śaka-Muruṇḍa in the Allahabad inscription of Samudragupta (SI, p. 258 and n. 2). According to Sten Konow, however, Śaka-Muruṇḍa here signifies ‘Śaka chief’.
¹⁰¹ Cf. Sarnāth Buddhist image inscriptions, SI, pp. 132, n. 4 and p. 133. See also AIU, p. 141.
¹⁰² DKA, p. 52 (according to Viṣyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas).
¹⁰³ Smith, EHI⁴, pp. 291-92; Allan, BMC (Gupta), pp. xvi, xxvi; and R. D. Banerji, Age of the Imperial Guptas, p. 2.
long duration and must have terminated by 186 A.D. when Magadha was probably re-occupied by the indigenous dynasty and was ruled by Árya Viśākhāmitra as an independent king. His connection with the earlier Mitras of Magadha can only be conjectured. No other ruler of this dynasty is so far known.

The fragmentary passage in the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta reading daṇḍai=rgrāhayat=aiva Kotakulajah Pushpāhvaye krīḍatā had led Jayaswal to think that the Kota family was ruling at Pāṭaliputra till the rise of the Guptas. But actually the passage means that the person who was playing at Pushpāhvaya, i.e. Pushpapura or Pāṭaliputra, when the scion of the Kota family was captured by the army of Samudragupta was Samudragupta himself; hence the question of a member of the Kota family ruling at Pāṭaliputra at that time does not arise. On the other hand, the importance attached to the Lichchhavi alliance by the Guptas on their coins and inscriptions probably suggests Lichchhavi occupation of Magadha immediately before the rise of the Guptas, although there is no direct evidence to prove it. With the rise of the Guptas, however, the dark chapter in the history of Magadha ended, and its glory was revived after more than three centuries.

104 SI, p. 256, 1, 14; cf. ibid., n. 2.
105 HI, pp. 112 ff.
106 Cf. “the rude copper coins with Śiva and bull on the obverse, and the monogram reading Kota”, which “are common in the Delhi bazaar and in the Eastern Panjab” (IMC, pp. 258, and 264, No. 1). These coins are, however, comparable to the coins of Toramāna, hence they appear to be of a somewhat later date.
107 Cf. the legend Lichchhavayāḥ on the so-called Chandragupta-Kumāradevī type of Gupta gold coins, BMC (Gupta), Pl. III. 1-5, and the expression Lichchhavī-dauhitra, as applied to Samudragupta in the Allahabad pillar inscription, SI, p. 259, 1. 29. See also EHI', pp. 292, 295 and 296.
CHAPTER III
RISE OF PETTY STATES IN VARIOUS LOCALITIES:
AUTONOMOUS TOWNS AND CITIES

The gradual decline of the Magadhan empire after the Mauryas was followed by the rise of various petty states throughout Northern India. Coins issued by these states constitute the main source of our information for their history, supplemented sometimes with a few inscriptions. These states may be classified under three broad heads, according to the nature of the legends on their coins, which are of three categories:

1. Coins bearing names of important cities, probably issued by some autonomous city corporations;
2. The dynastic issues of monarchical states in Madhyadesa, bearing names of rulers; and
3. The tribal coins of jānapada or gaṇa states of the Punjab and Rajasthan areas, issued in the names of particular tribes, sometimes with those of the tribal chiefs added to them.

We shall first deal with the important towns, the names of which we get from some of the earliest inscribed coins of this period. They are: Vārāṇasī, Kauśāmbī, Vidiśa and Erakiṇa, Bhāgila (?) and Kurara, Ujjainī, Tripūrī and Mahishmatī. The dates of the coins bearing the names of the cities, as suggested by scholars, range from about the end of the third to the beginning of the first century B.C. Now, considering the cases of Ayodhya and Kauśāmbi, where the 'city-issues' were followed by the 'dynastic issues', it may probably be generally held that coins bearing names of particular cities were a little earlier than those bearing names of rulers. And as the fashion of inscribing coins with the ruler's name generally came into vogue after the advent of the Indo-Greeks\(^1\) and as some of the local inscribed coins of Taxila bearing the legend Hiraṇasame were imitated by the Greek ruler Agathocles\(^2\) about the second quarter of the second century B.C., the earliest of the local inscribed
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1 Cf. *AIU*, p. 159.
2 Cf. *PMC*, p. 18, Pl. II, 51 and 52; also *BMC* (*AI*), p. cxxxii.
coins may be taken to have been issued not long before the beginning of the second century B.C.

It is significant that almost all the cities, the names of which we get from coins of this period, were situated on river banks—in the belt of land between the Ganges and the Narmada. The prosperity of the cities naturally depended upon trade and commerce. After the fall of the Mauryas, when foreign supremacy was established in the North-West, trade and commerce with the Kabul valley became increasingly difficult. But, by sea, commerce with the outside world still continued through the Indus delta, and the ports on the west coast were connected with Pāṭaliputra, the former imperial capital, through Ujjayini, a great emporium of the period. And, along the line that connected Pāṭaliputra with Ujjayini, lay the cities of Varāṇasi, Kauśāmbī and Erakiṇa, while the cities of Māhishmati, Gonarda Ujjayini, Vidiśā, Kauśāmbī, Sāketa, Śrāvastī and others were connected by a route from Pratishṭhāna to Vaiśālī.

It appears that with the weakening of the imperial power, important mint-towns of the empire, which formerly produced punch-marked coins with apparent local variations but bearing a common imperial stamp, now took upon themselves to strike independent coinages of their own. Since some of these coins bore the names of the respective places (i.e. former mint-towns), it would be natural to hold that the places concerned gained some sort of local autonomy during the period. And as the approximate date of some of these coins falls during the reign period of Pushyamitra whose sway extended over Madhyadeśa, it is probable that he did not interfere with the autonomy of these city corporations and the issue of their respective coinages.

Patañjali who is supposed to be a contemporary of Pushyamitra, mentions the names of important towns situated in Madhyadeśa and on its borders during his time. They are: Pāṭaliputra, Kāśi or Varāṇasi, Sāketa, Kauśāmbī, Kāṇyakubja (Kanauj), Saṃkāśya (Sankisa in the Etah District), Śrughna (Sugh on the old Jamunā), Mathura, Ahichchhatrā, Hastināpura, Madhyamikā, Ujjayini, Māhishmati and others. Of these, coins

3 Cf. CHI, pp. 516-17.
4 For the roads from Pratishṭhāna to Vaiśālī see Pāṭayaṇa of the Suttanipīṭa, vv. 1011-13 in Sircar, GAMÍ, p. 206.
5 See JBBRAŚ, XXVII, pp. 38 ff.
bearing the names of the cities of Vārāṇasi, Kauśāmbi, Mahishmati, Ujjayini and Madhyamikā are known. The earliest uninscribed cast coins of Ayodhya are also of the nature of city-coins. Of Kanauj, Mathurā and Ahichchatra also local coins are known, although they do not bear their names. The earliest local inscribed coins of Taxila, probably issued by trade guilds, may also be mentioned in this connection. The nature of the legends Kāḍasa, Vaṭāsvaka, Upagoda and Upātikya, as read on some early coins, is not yet ascertained. It is, therefore, very difficult to say if these legends refer to names of cities, rulers or tribes.

1. Ayodhya, Sāketa and Śrāvasti.

The three great cities of Ayodhya, Sāketa and Śrāvasti were included in the ancient kingdom of Kośala, corresponding roughly to modern Oudh. Kośala was bounded on the east by the Sadānirā, on the south by the Sarpikā or Syandikā (Sai) river, on the west probably by the Gunti and on the north by the Nepal hills. H. C. Raychaudhuri refers to other Kośalas which are distinguished from Kośala proper.

The city of Ayodhya now lies in the Fyzabad District. The antiquity and importance of the city go back to the age of the Rāmāyaṇa when it was the capital of the kingdom of Kośala. According to the epic tradition, it was situated on the banks of the river Sarajū (modern Ghagra or Gogra) and covered twelve yojanas. Rhys Davids points out that Ayodhya had sunk to the level of an unimportant town during the time of the Buddha, although the kingdom of Kośala was one of the sixteen great states of the time. Both Sāketa and Śrāvasti were then included

6 These are paralleled in the Kaviśre nagaradevatā coin of Eucretides I (PMC, p. 26, PI. III. 131) and the Pakhalavanati-devatā coin of the Indo-Scythians (CHI, p. 557, PI. VI. 10), which bear the names and figures of the respective city-deities of Kapiśa and Pushkalavati.

7 BMC(Al), p. 145, PI. XIX. 14; ibid., p. 264, PI. XXXIX. 2; ibid., p. 263, PI. XXXV. 18; and ibid., p. 263, PI. XXXV. 19.

8 Rāmāyaṇa, II. 49, 11-12 and 50. 1; VII. 104. 15 (Cf. PHAI, pp. 77, 99 and n.l).

9 Cf. PHAI, p. 78 and notes 2-3; Mahābhārata, II. 30. 2-3, 31. 12-13 and 20. 28.

10 Rāmāyaṇa, I. 55, 7; Cf. PHAI, p. 78, n. 4 and pp. 99-100. The figure, however, seems to be a legendary exaggeration.

11 BJ, p. 25.
amongst the six great cities of India. Sāketa, identified with the ruins at Sujan Kọt on the Sai river in the Unao District, is often supposed to be identical with Ayōdhyā; but Rhys Davids points out that both the cities existed in the days of the Buddha, and were probably adjoining to each other like London and Westminster. Śrāvasti, identified with modern Set-Mahet was situated on the borders of the present Gonda and Bahraich Districts on the south bank of the Achiravatī or Rāptī. It was the capital of Kośala at the time of the Buddha and the residence of Prasenajit, the Buddha’s contemporary on the throne of Kośala.

As already noted, some early types of uninscribed cast coins are known to have been found at Ayōdhyā. Because of the occurrence of a steel-yard in Type 3 of these coins, comparable with the steel-yard on some of the local coins of Taxila, Allan regards these Ayōdhyā pieces as local coins of the city, as distinct from the dynastic issues which followed them. Since these coins are uninscribed, their date may be conjectured to be about the end of the third or the beginning of the second century B.C.

Of Sāketa, no coins are known, but the existence and importance of the city towards the beginning of the second century B.C. is indicated by its siege by the Yavanas, as referred to in the Yugapuruṇa and the Mahābhāṣya of Patañjali. From the site of Śrāvasti have been discovered some coins which are probably inscribed, though the legend is illegible. We thus see that Ayōdhyā, Sāketa and Śrāvasti were all in a flourishing state during the second century B.C.

12 Ibid., pp. 28-29.
13 Ibid., p. 28.
14 AGI, p. 469 and PHAI*, p. 100.
15 BI, pp. 2 and 29.
16 Four types of copper coins are known; three types are round [BMC(Al), p. 129, Pl. XVI, 6, 7 and 10] and one type is square (ibid. p. xxxviii and JASB 1890, Pl. XVII, 16 B).
18 Allan dates these coins about the third century B.C. (ibid., p. lxxxvi).
19 For the Yugapuruṇa passage see Ch. I, pp. 22-23 and n. 5 above; and for Patañjali’s reference to Sāketa, see Mahābhāṣya, (on Pāṇini, I, 3, 25: var. 1), Vol. I, p. 281, and (ibid., III, ii, 111, var. 2), Vol. II, p. 119.
2. **Vārāṇasī.**

Vārāṇasī is well-known as one of the most important cities of ancient India, and is said to have extended about twelve leagues.\(^{21}\) Its celebrity dates from the Later Vedic age down to the age of the *Mahājanapadas*, when it was the capital of the kingdom of Kāśī, one of the most powerful of the sixteen *Mahājanapadas*. The rivalry between Kāśī and Kośala resulted in the incorporation of the former into the latter and ultimately into the Maugadhan empire. Several Kāśī monarchs are mentioned in the *Jātakas* and the Brahmanic literature.\(^{22}\) Patañjali mentions the city sometimes as Kāśī and sometimes as Vārāṇasī.\(^{23}\)

The site of Vārāṇasī as well as Sārnāth near it have yielded a type of uninscribed cast coins which, though also unearthed during the excavations at Pātaliputra, Besnagar, Rajgir and Taxila, are chiefly found about Vārāṇasī.\(^{24}\) S. Singh Roy has published two coins collected by him in 1944 from the ruins of Rajghat near Vārāṇasī, which are said to bear the legend *Vārāṇasī* in characters of the first century B.C.\(^{25}\) The second coin is supposed to give also the name of the issuer, which is not distinct.

These coins as well as the discovery, during the 1940 excavations at Rajghat, of a sealing inscribed in Gupta characters with *Vārāṇasī-ahishṭhān-ādhikaraṇasya*, i.e., '[the seal] of the city-administration of Vārāṇasī', have proved that the site of ancient Vārāṇasī is represented by Rajghat, situated on the north-eastern outskirts of the modern city of Vārāṇasī.\(^{26}\) Further excavations in 1957-58 have brought to light six periods of human occupation, ranging in date from the 6th-5th centuries B.C.,
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21 *BI*, p. 25; *PHAI*, p. 96 and notes 2-3.
22 *PHAI*, pp. 74-77 and 97-98.
24 Var. i of uninscribed cast coins in *BMC(AI)*, pp. lxxvii and 88, Pl. XI. 8; also *JNSI*, XIII, pp. 110-11.
25 *JNSI*, XII, pp. 134-35, Pl. XI. 5-6. The legends are not clear in the plates.
26 *IAR* 1957-58, p. 50. The city derived its name from its situation between the rivers Varaṇā and Asī (*BI*, p. 25).
to the 17th century A.D. In the deposits of Period II (2nd century B.C. to 1st century A.D.), were found five terracotta seals bearing the name of ‘Revatimita’ in letters of the 2nd-1st centuries B.C. and one of ‘Pushamita’ or ‘Agamita’ in characters of the 1st century A.D., as well as some uninscribed cast copper coins. Another seal, bearing the device of a couchant humped bull and the inscription pushkarana or pushkarata in characters of the 2nd-3rd centuries A.D., and some round copper coins were found from the dig of Period III (2nd to 4th century A.D.). It is difficult to determine if the seals belonged to rulers or officers in charge of the city-administration.

3. Kauśāmbī

Kauśāmbī was the capital of the ancient kingdom of Vatsa, one of the sixteen Mahājanapadas of the Buddha’s time. According to the epic tradition, this famous city was founded by a Chedi prince and the Purāṇa states that, when the city of Hastināputra was washed away by the Ganges, Nichakshu, the great-great-grandson of Janamejaya, abandoned it, and removed his residence to Kauśāmbī. The Buddha’s contemporary in Vatsa was king Udayana, the hero of the Svāptaṇavasavadattā. The phrases Nir-Vāraṇasī and Nish-Kauśāmbī appear several times in the Mahābhārata of Patañjali in the sense of one who has gone out of Vāraṇasī or Kauśāmbī. Kauśāmbī was the most important mart for both goods and merchants coming to Kośala and Magadha from the south and west.

Cunningham’s identification of the site of Kauśāmbī with modern Kosam on the Jumna, some 30 miles south-west of Allahabad, is now generally accepted. This identification has been confirmed by the discovery of four coins from this site with

27 IAR 1957-58, p. 50.
28 Rāmāyaṇa, I. 32. 3-6 and Mahābhārata, I. 63. 31 (Cf. PHAI, p. 131 and n. 6).
29 PHAI, pp. 131 and 133; and BI, p. 2.
the inscription Kosa[n]bi. It has also yielded a great variety of uninscribed cast coins with a distinct type of the locality. As the general types of the coins inscribed with the place-name are those of the early cast uninscribed pieces, they may be of about the same period and may roughly be ascribed to the early part of the second century B.C. The variety and number of coins found at Kausambī testify to its importance as a commercial centre.

"The remains at Kosam, include those of a vast fortress with earthen ramparts and bastions, four miles in circuit, with an average height of 30 to 35 feet above the general level of the country". Recent excavations at the site of Kausambī since 1953-54, have revealed the flourishing condition of the city and the continued occupation of the site from the sixth century B.C. to the sixth century A.D. At a distance of two or three miles to the north-west of Kosam, stands the holy hill of Pabhosa, the famous shadow-cave described by Huen Tsang.

4. Vidiśa and Erak intra

Vidiśa and Erak intra or Eran were two important cities of the ancient kingdom of Daśārha or Ākara, i.e. Eastern Malwa. Of them, Vidiśa was the capital of Ākara and is represented by the modern village of Besnagar near Bhilsa in Madhya Pradesh.

33 ASR 1924-25, p. 131, Pl. XXXVIIIId. 3; BMC(AI), pp. xcvi-ix; and JNSI, IX, pp. 9-10, Pl. III. 1. The coins are of the following types: Obv. Elephant to left on pedestal, with triangle-headed standard, six-armed whorl, Ujjain symbol and wavy line on four sides, legend above, Kosambi; and Rev. Tree in railing, Ujjain symbol, six-peaked hill, tank with 4 fishes, etc. For other coins of different types, said to bear the legends, Kosambi, Kosambiya, or Kasubikanam, see JNSI, XXIV, p. 140, Pl. II. 9-10 and ibid., XXV, p. 20, Pl. I. 2.


35 Allan seems to date these coins about the third century B.C. [BMC(AI), p. xcvi].

36 IGI, XV, p. 407; cf. CHI, p. 524.


38 CHI, p. 524.

39 Cf CAMI, p. 34, n. 2 and p. 91.
Some twenty-one coins in the cabinet of Mohant Ghasidas Memorial Museum of Raipur (purchased from a coin-collector of Vidiśā) are stated to bear on the obverse the symbol of an eight-spoked wheel and on the reverse the legend *Vedisa* (Skt. Vaidiśa) or *Veddasa* in Brāhmi characters of about the early second century B.C. The legend apparently refers to the city of Vaidiśa or Vidiśā, thus indicating that Vidiśā was one of the flourishing cities of the early post-Mauryan period.

The importance of Vidiśā—political as well as cultural—rose to its height during the Śuṅga period. Since the time of Agnimitra’s rule there, it continued to be a stronghold of the Śuṅga power till its final extinction by the Andhras. During the Later Śuṅga period, the rising glory of Vidiśā overshadowed the splendour of the former imperial capital Pātaliputra. Inscriptions discovered at Besnagar and Ghosinḍī proclaim the early rise of Bhagavatism in these regions. The fame of Vidiśā spread far and wide, so much so that it attracted foreign ambassadors like Heliodorus from the court of the Indo-Greek king Antialcidas of North-Western India. Although Bhagavatism had no patron like Asoka to make missionary propaganda, it claimed this notable Yavana-dīta amongst one of its early converts.

Vidiśā was also renowned as a great centre of fine arts. “It was the ivory-workers of Vidiśā,” says Foucher, “who carved, in the immediate vicinity of their town, one of the monumental gates of Sānchī.” Amongst the benefactors of the stūpa of Sānchī, are represented the inhabitants of Māhismati, Ujjayini, and Vidiśā. Notable persons from Vidiśā also figure amongst the donors of the Bhārhatu railings. The coins bearing the

---

40 See *JNSI*, XXIII, p. 307. Cf. the ‘wheel’ symbol with that on Nāga coins.
41 Vediśa, i.e. Vaidiśa, meaning ‘the city of Vidiśa’ (not an inhabitant of Vidiśa’) occurs in Sānchī and other inscriptions (cf. *Ep.Ind.*, II, p. 102). Vaidiśa’ is also found in the Mālavikāgnimitra (cf. *Vaidiśastham putram etc.* in Act V, 15, as quoted at p. 36 above) and in the copper-plate inscription of the Kalachuri king Buddhārya, issued from Vaidiśanagara (V. V. Mirashi, *CII*, IV, Pt. I, pp. 47 ff.).
42 Cf. *PHAI*, p. 397.
name of the city of Vidiśā testify to its commercial prosperity and its importance as a mint-town.

The Puranic chronology with regard to the dynasties of Vidiśā is somewhat confused. The Nāgas, who are known to have come to power in the Mathurā-Padmāvatī region about the second half of the second century A.D. are enumerated as pre-Śuṅga kings of Vidiśā. After the end of the Śuṅga domination, Vidiśā is said to have passed under the rule of Śiśunandi, his younger brother Nandiyaśas, and three other princes of his line. It is also said that Śiśuka, the daughter's son of Nandiyaśas became king in Purikā.

Even though the order of succession of the dynasties of Vidiśā as stated in the Purāṇas is somewhat faulty, it is possible that the enumeration of the dynasties as such is based on facts. It is known from another Puranic passage that the Andhras put an end to the "remains of the Śuṅgas' power," thereby referring most probably to the unknown successors of Devabhūti, who continued to hold their precarious existence at Vidiśā after the murder of the last Śuṅga king, as stated above. Śiśunandi might have been a governor appointed by the Andhras after their conquest of Vidiśā. It is curious that Śiśuka is also the name of the first Andhra king, according to some of the Purāṇas. "It is not improbable," says Raychaudhuri, "that the two Śiśukas are identical, and that after overthrowing the Śuṅgas, Śiśuka (Simuka of the Inscriptions) annexed Purikā but placed Vidiśā under his maternal relations." The Nāgas most probably came to power at Vidiśā after putting an end to Andhra rule there.

Some coins recently discovered from Vidiśā have brought to light names of some rulers, none of whom can, however, be identified with any of the Vidiśā princes, as known from the Purāṇas. The coins are of various types and do not show any

45 See Ch. XIII, Sec. 4 below.
47 See p. 64 above.
48 Cf. PHAI, p. 330.
49 See JNSI, XXII, p. 132, Pl. VI, 22-23, and ibid., XXV, p. 104, Pl. II. 5. The names are read as Śīvagupta and Sakhadeva.
distinctive local feature, as discerned on the coinages of Ayodhya, Kausambi, etc. It is, therefore, difficult to ascertain to whom these coins belonged—to the local rulers of Vidiśa or to different princes of neighbouring regions.

"Eran is an old decayed city on the south bank of the Bina river, sixteen miles above its junction with the Betwa, fifty miles to the north-east of Bhilsa, and forty-five miles west-north-west from Sāgar." 50 It is mentioned in the Sāñchi inscriptions as Erakaṇa. 51 Its importance is shown by the inscriptions including those of Samudragupta, Budhagupta and Toramāna found at the site. The old form of the name ‘Airikīṇa’ or ‘Erikiṇa’ is preserved in the inscriptions of Samudragupta and Toramāna. 52 These two inscriptions refer to Airikīṇa or Erikiṇa as a pradēsa or a vishaya. 53 The political as well as the commercial importance of Eran is proved by the distinct series of coins ascribed to it.

The coinage of Eran, as pointed out by Cunningham, offers specimens of the four different classes of the old Indian money—punch-marked, cast, die-struck and inscribed. 54 Rapson traces in the coinage of Eran the development of the punch-marked system into the type system. 55 A series of fine punch-marked copper coins are ascribed to Eran. 56 The earliest Indian inscribed coin with the name of its issuer ‘Dharmapāla’ was found at Eran. 57 The discovery of three coins inscribed with the

50 CAI, p. 99.
51 MS, I, p. 314, No. 145.
52 SI, pp. 262 and 396.
53 Jayaswal knows two other villages named Eran in E. Malwa, within 20 miles from Bhilsa, which fact shows, in his opinion, that Eran was the name of a territory. He thinks that the place derived its name from its founder Airaka, the name of a Nāga in the Mahābhārata, and suggests some connection of the Airakinases with the Nāga dynasties ruling in Vidiśa (near Eran) and other places (Ind. Ant., LXI, pp. 159-60).
54 CAI, p. 99.
55 IC, p. 11.
56 BMC(AI), pp. 140-44, Pl. XVIII. 16.
57 Ibid., p. 140, Pl. XVIII. 6. Allan dates the coin about the third century B.C. (ibid., pp. xci, clxiv). But coins bearing issuers’ names cannot be placed so early (cf. AIU, p. 159).
place-name *Erakanya*, in characters of about the second century B.C., suggests comparison with other city-coins of this period.

5. **Bhāgīla (?) and Kurara**

Sometime ago, five inscribed coins were discovered near about the banks of the Narmada, some five miles north of the village Jamunia in the Hosangabad District of the Madhya Pradesh. The legend on the coins, read as *Bhāgilāya*, is supposed to bear the name of a city. The coins are dated about the third-second centuries B.C.

The location of the city is not definitely determined. But as the coins were found in the same region where several other coins with the legend *Kurara* are said to have been found, and as Kurara is mentioned in some Sāñchi inscriptions as a place-name, both Bhāgīla and Kurara are located near about Sāñchi. We do not, however, know of any city of the name of Bhāgila from any other source. If the readings of the coin-legends are correct, and if 'Bhāgila' actually denotes a city, then both Bhāgila and Kurara would be included among the cities that flourished about the second century B.C.

6. **Ujjayini**

Ujjayini was one of the most famous cities of ancient India. It is situated on the Šiprā river, 16 miles to the north of Indore, and 120 miles nearly due west from Bhilsa, and much of its importance was derived from its selection by the Hindu astronomers as their first meridian or starting point for the measurement of longitude. It was the capital of Western Malwa or the ancient kingdom of Avanti, which was one of the sixteen *Mahājanapadas* of the Buddha’s time. The Buddha’s
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58 Two coins are square and die-struck [CASS, X, pp. 80-81, Pl. XVIX. 16-17 and ibid., XIV, p. 149, Pl. XXXI. 17-18: cf. BMC(AI), p. xci]. The third coin is round and cast (JRAI 1900, p. 108, Pl. 7).
59 JNSI, XVI, pp. 9 ff., Pl. II. 13-17.
60 Ibid., p. 12.
61 MS, I, pp. 313 ff. Nos. 136, 167, 225, 227, 231, 235, et passim. For the controversy over the actual forms of the place-names, if they are Bhāgila or Bhāgilā and Kurara or Kurarā—see JNSI, XIV, p. 13, n. 1.
62 CAJ, p. 94; also Schoff’s Periplus, p. 188.
contemporary in Avanti was king Chaṇḍa Pradyota Mahāsenā, the father of Vāsavadatta. When Aśoka was the governor of Malwa, he resided at Ujjayinī and it was one of the viceregal seats of Aśoka’s empire. It is referred to by Patañjali, while many of its inhabitants are represented amongst the benefactors of the Sāñchī stūpa. Ozene is mentioned by the author of the Periplus (about 80 A.D.) as having formerly been the capital of the country, and Ptolemy in the second century mentions it as the capital of Tiastenes, i.e., the great Satrap Chashṭana of coins and inscriptions.

Ujjayinī was a great centre of trade and commerce in ancient India, and through this city passed the trade between the west and Pāṭaliputra, during the post-Maurya period. This is also implied by the great number and variety of coins discovered from this site from time to time. The inscribed coins of Ujjayinī preserve the name of the city in Prakrit, Ujeniya in characters of the first half of the second century B.C. The coins of Ujjayinī bear the figure of the celebrated deity Mahākāla (Śiva), whose temple was situated in the Mahākāla forest to the north of the city. “The figure on the coins seems to carry a club in one hand, and a water vessel in the other, both of which symbols are characteristic of Śiva.”

63 BJ, p. 2 and PHAI”, p. 204.
64 CAI, p. 95 and Aśoka’s Kaliṅga Edict I.
66 For the reference to Ozene in the Periplus see Schoff’s translation, p. 42, and for Schoff’s suggested date (c. 60 A.D.) see ibid., pp. 7 ff. R. C. Majumdar in IHQ, 1962, pp. 89-97, accepts the third-century date of the Periplus, originally suggested in 1861 by Marcel-Toussaint Reinaud and recently upheld by Jacqueline Pirenne in JA 1961, pp. 441-459. David W. MacDowall criticises Pirenne’s view and suggests a date about 120-30 A. D. (NC 1964, pp. 271-280). D. C. Sircar holds that the Periplus was written about 80 A.D. (JBR, XLIX, pp. 28-33).
67 See R. C. Majumdar, The Classical Accounts of India, p. 373; cf. also CAI, p. 95.
69 BMC(Al), pp. cxxi and 262, Pl. XXXVII, 15.
70 CAI, p. 98.
Ujjayini is renowned as the capital of the great Vikramaditya, associated with the foundation of the era of 58 B.C. The story of a conflict between the Sakas and the indigenous rulers for the possession of the city is possibly preserved in the Jaina story of Kālaka. But it is doubtful if any king named Vikramaditya really ruled from Ujjayini in the first century B.C. It is certain that the monarch who finally crushed the Saka power in this region was the Gupta emperor, Chandragupta II Vikramaditya. Indian tradition seems to have made a confusion between the supposed founder of the era and the famous patron of Kalidasa, who flourished more than 400 years later.

Recent excavations of the northern outskirts of the city of Ujjain have revealed the remains of a fortified citadel and a continuity of occupation on the site from a date prior to 600 B.C. to the beginning of Muslim rule in Malwa in the 14th century, divided into four successive periods, of which Period III is rather long and dates from the times of the Śuṅgas to those of the Paramāras. The discovery of a large number of unfinished beads of agate and chalcedony contained in a lidded pot on the mud-floor of a house of Period III, associated with materials for manufacturing beads, confirms the statement of the Periplus that Ozene (Ujjayini) was a great emporium from which place semi-precious stones were exported to the West, via Broach on the Narmadā estuary. Moreover, the discovery of the manufactory of iron and bone objects, a large number of coins and a terracotta coin-mould, bearing the name and effigy of the Roman Emperor Augustus Hadrianus in the deposits of Period III, all go to show that Ujjayini was a great centre of trade and commerce during that period. It seems, however, strange that no remains of the Western Satraps or the Guptas, who are known to have ruled at, or were associated with, Ujjayini in the early centuries of the Christian era are found in the excavated sites.

7. Tripuri

The coins with the inscription Tripuri in characters of the
early second century B.C. reveal that Tripūrī was also one of the cities that flourished during the post-Maurya period. It is identified with modern Tewar, a few miles away from the banks of the Narmada, and 8 miles from Jabalpur on the road to Bheraghat. According to the Puranic tradition, the city derived its name from the fact that the three asuras known as Tripurāsura made it their capital and built three strong forts (Tri-pūrī) here. It was also the capital of the Kalachuris in the medieval period.

The coins with the legend Tripuri have been discovered from the site of the city as well as far away in the Hoshangabad District and Western India. This indicates its political and commercial importance during the period. Other coins, also found at Tripuri, reveal the history of this city during different periods. The punch-marked coins, the early cast copper coins, the inscribed city-coins, the coins of the Andhras, the Kushānas and the Western Satraps and those of the medieval dynasties are all found here. The excavations conducted at Tripuri by the Saugar University since December 1951, are expected to throw more light on the history of this important ancient city.

8. Māhishmati

Māhishmati is a town of great antiquity. In the earliest times, it was the capital of a powerful kingdom which included the country of Anūpa, located on the east of the Gulf of Cambay, north of the Narmadā and south of Saurāshtra. But later on, it came under Avanti which roughly corresponded to the Ujjain region, together with a part of the Narmadā valley from Māndhātā to Māheśvar and certain adjoining districts. Avanti was divided into two parts by the Vindhya, the northern part having its capital at Ujjayinī and the southern at Māhissati or
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74 BMC(AI), pp. cxI and 239, Pl. XXXV. 14.
75 Ibid. p. cxII.
76 JNSI, XVI, p. 55. The ‘Traipuras’, i.e. the people of Tripuri are mentioned in the Puranic lists of Peoples: GAMI, p. 34 and n. 5.
77 JNSI, XIII, pp. 40 ff and XVI, pp. 65 ff.
78 Pargiter, Mārk. P., p. 344, n.; also JRAS 1910, p. 867.
79 See JRAS 1910, pp. 447 and 867.
80 PHAI, p. 144.
Māhishmati. The Mahāgovindaśuttanta mentions Māhissati as the capital of the Avantis; but the Mahābhārata distinguishes between the kingdoms of Avanti and Māhishmati.

Buddhist works like the Dipavaniśa and the Mahāvaniśa refer to a country called the Mahisha country, Mahisharāṣṭra (Mahisaraṭṭha) or Mahishamanaḍala where Moggaliputta Tissa sent one of his Buddhist missions in the time of Aśoka. The name ‘Mahisha’ seems to be derived from that of a people who are probably referred to as Māhishakas in the Mahābhārata and the Purāṇas. The Mahishamaṇḍala or the Mahishaka country is identified by some scholars with Mysore and some with the country of the Māhishmakas, i.e. the janapada, of which the capital was Māhishmati. The Mahābhārata and the Purāṇas class the Māhishakas amongst the races of the south. Now, Rājaśekhara, in his five-fold division of India, locates Dakṣiṇāpatha beyond Māhishmati, which thus seems to be situated on the border of Dakṣiṇāpatha and may or may not be included within it. D. C. Sircar suggests that there might have been more than one settlement of the Mahisha people in different parts of the country and that Māhishmati might be one of them.

The Hariyavanśa attributes the foundation of Māhishmati in one place to Muchukunda, but in another place to Mahishmati who is said to be the fourth in descent from Haihaya, a scion of

81 Ibid., pp. 144-45.
82 Ibid., p. 145.
83 Dipavaniśa, 8. 1, 2 and 5; Mahāvaniśa, 12. 3, 29; see JRAS 1910, p. 425.
84 Bhishmaparva, ix. 366; Mark. P., p. 333 and n.; and GAMI, p. 36 and n. 1.
85 IGI, XVIII, pp. 162, 169, 253, 261; Wilson, Vishnupurāṇa, trans., Vol. II, p. 178, n. 6; Ind.Ant., III, p. 273 (see JRAS 1910, p. 429 and notes); also D. C. Sircar, GAMI, pp. 191-92. D. C. Sircar shows that the Hebbata grant of Kadamba Vishnuvarman I, Regnal year 5, indicates that the northern part of Mysore was known in ancient times as the Mahisha country.
86 Pargiter, Mark. P., p. 333, n.; Fleet, JRAS 1910, p. 441. Cunningham in 1854 said, “this country is not known; it may be Maheswara on the Narbada” (Bhilsa Topes, p. 117).
87 Janapadāḥ dakṣiṇāḥ in Bhishmaparva, IX 366 and janapadā dakṣiṇāpatha-vācināḥ in the Purāṇas; see JRAS 1910, p. 440; Pargiter, Mark. P., pp. 331-33; and GAMI, pp. 29-30.
89 GAMI, p. 192.
the Yadu family. The dynasty was overthrown during the time of Arjuna Kārtavīrya who was ninth in descent from Haihaya.

Patanjali’s reference to Māhishmati shows that the city existed in a flourishing condition in the second century B.C. The importance of the city lay in the fact that the lines of communication between Pratishṭāna and Ujjayinī passed through this city, as known from the Suttaniṭā. It is mentioned in the Śānchi inscriptions as ‘Māhisati’ and is known from the Barwani copper-plate grant of (Gupta) year 167 (= 486 A.D.) and the Māndhata plates of the Paramāra king Devapāla of V. S. 1282 (= 1224 A.D.), both issued from Māhishmati. It is also mentioned in an inscription of V. S. 1856 (= 1798 A.D.), which is found in the wall of a building above the Ahalyā Bai Ghat at Māheśvar.

The identification of Māhishmati is, however, a point of dispute amongst scholars. Some identify it with Māheśvar and some with Māndhata, both situated on the Narmadā, in the Nimar district of Madhya Pradesh. The reference to the city as Māhishmati, in the Māheśvar inscription of V. S. 1856 shows that it came to be identified with Māheśvar by about 1800 A.D. This identification is stated as an already established point by Wilford in 1807 and by the compilers of the Imperial Gazetteer of India. Kielhorn also, while editing the Māndhata plates of Devapāla in 1907-08 accepted this identification. The local people themselves believe that Māheśvar is the same as old Māhishmati. Support for this view is found in the Suttaniṭā

90 Harivadha, xcv. 5218 ff., xxxiii 1846-47 and 1843-44; cf. Mark. P., pp. 333, n. and 371 n. The Purāṇas also style the first dynasty of Māhishmati as Haihaya (Matya, 43, 8-29, Vayu 94, 5-26); cf. PHAI, p. 145 and n. 6.
92 Mahābhāṣya (on Pañjini, III. 1. 26, vār. 10), Vol. II, p. 35.
93 The Pārāyaṇa in the Suttaniṭā, v. 1011; see GAMi, p. 206.
94 MS, I, pp. 321 ff., Nos. 213, 251-54, 256, 274-76 and 413.
96 Ibid., IX, pp. 103 ff.
97 Ind. Ant., IV, p. 347.
98 Asiatic Researches, IX, p. 105.
99 IGI, XVII, p. 9; and XXI, p. 118.
passage which places Māhishmatī on the way from Pratishṭhāna to Ujjainī.\(^{100}\) Patañjali’s reference to the city also indicates that it was situated at a place which can be covered in one day’s journey from Ujjainī. All these suit well with the position of Māheśvar.

But Pargiter has drawn attention to two passages—one in the Raghuvamśa and the other in the Harivamśa, to show that this identification is not correct.\(^{101}\) The Raghuvamśa passage indicates, according to him, that Māhishmatī was situated on an island in the river Narmadā and the palace looked out on the rushing stream. According to the Harivamśa, it was situated on the Narmadā at a place where the Vindhya and the Riksha mountain (the Sātpurā range) contract the valley. Pargiter thinks that these descriptions agree only with the rocky island and town of Māndhātā, containing the famous shrine of Omkāranātha (Śiva), and not with Māheśvar. So he identifies Māhishmatī with Māndhātā and suggests that the Brāhmaṇas of Māheśvar might have claimed the ancient glory of Māhishmatī for their town on the strength of a similarity in the names, and, in the absence of any counter-claimant, succeeded in appropriating it.\(^{102}\) Fleet is also in favour of this identification and thinks that the territory belonging to Māhishmatī lay on both sides of the Narmadā, and extended on the west far enough to include Māheśvar.\(^{103}\) H. C. Raychaudhuri has, however, pointed out that “Māndhātā lay to the south of the Pāriyātra Mts. (W. Vindhyas), whereas Māhishmatī lay between the Vindhya and the Riksha—to the north of the Vindhya and to the south of the Riksha, according to the commentator of Nilakaṇṭha.”\(^{104}\)

In the light of recent archaeological discoveries also, we have probably to reconsider if the ancient city of Māhishmatī is to be located at Māndhātā or at Māheśvar. Excavations conducted on the sites of Māheśvar and Navda Toli on both sides of the Narmadā, have revealed there one of the largest

\(^{100}\) Cf. also Journ. Or. Inst., Baroda, X, pp. 306-7 for the identification of Māhishmatī with Māheśvar.


\(^{102}\) JRAI 1910, pp. 868-69.

\(^{103}\) Ibid., pp. 445 ff.

\(^{104}\) Harivamśa, II. 38. 7-19; PHAI, p. 145, n. 2.
Chalcolithic settlements in Central India, followed by the remains of three historical periods. One remarkable find is a coin bearing the same symbols as those on four other coins having the legend Māhisatī [?] in characters of the early second century B.C., identified as the coins of the city of Māhishmatī. The provenance of the two coins in the British Museum is not known; but Allan remarks that “the general style recalls the inscribed coins of Ujjayini.” The third coin was found with some Ujjain coins along the bed of the Śīrā at Ujjain and the fourth one was picked up from Māheśvar itself. The coin discovered in course of the excavations at Navda Toli may provide the fifth known specimen, as suggested by the similarity of type and fabric of this coin with those of the four other inscribed coins mentioned above. But we cannot be definite on this point, as the coin is unfortunately broken at the bottom, so that the legend, if there was any, is cut off thereby. The discovery of a well-preserved specimen with complete legend in a stratified condition will be of great value in settling the dispute about the identification of the city of Māhishmatī.


The famous city of Taxila or Takshaśilā was the capital of Eastern Gandhāra, while that of Western Gandhāra was the celebrated city of Pushkalavatī, identified with modern Charssadda, 17 miles north-east of Peshawar. Taxila is identified with modern Shah-Dheri (20 miles north-west of Rawalpindi), where the three main sites Bhīr Mound, Sirkap and Sirkap represent three stages in the history of the city.

In the Vedic age, the inhabitants of Gandhāra were looked upon as a despised people; but, later on, Gandhāra became famous as a resort of scholars of all classes. Pāṇini was a

---

106 BMC(Al), p. 279, No. 3 Pl. XLV. 11 and No. 4 (Allan reads the legend as Mahāśrīnasa); JNSI, XIII, p. 74, No. 1 Pl. V. 6. These three coins are identified as the city-coins of Māhishmatī in JNSI, XV, pp. 70 ff. For two other coins found at Māheśvar and Navda Toli see ibid., XVII, pp. 94 ff., Pl. VIII, 5-7.
107 BMC(Al), pp. cliv, 187.
108 PHAI*, pp. 56-60.
109 CAI, p. 60; BMC(Al), p. cxxv.
110 PHAI*, p. 60.
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native of Gandhāra and Kauṭilya also perhaps hailed from Takshaśilā.\textsuperscript{111} Gandhāra was included among the Sixteen Great States of the time of the Buddha, and Pukkusāti was the contemporary ruler there.\textsuperscript{112} In the sixth and fifth centuries B.C., it came under the sway of the Achaemenids and formed one of their richest satrapies. Afterwards, it was included in the Maurya empire and was one of its important viceroyalties with its capital at Taxila.

Thousands of coins, both uninscribed and inscribed, have been discovered from the site of Taxila.\textsuperscript{113} The inscribed coins of the place are of three classes. Coins of Class I bear the legend Negamā (in Brāhmī) on the obverse and five different legends on the reverse—(i) Dojaka (variantly in Brāhmī or Kharoshṭhī), (ii) Rālimasa (in Brāhmī), (iii) Atakatakā (in Brāhmī), (iv) illegible, and (v) Kadare-nakame (in Kharoshṭhī). Class II has the legend Panghanakame (in Kharoshṭhī), while Class III coins bear two variant legends in Kharoshṭhī—(i) Hirānasane and (ii) Doṣanasa.

The word Negama (Skt. Naigamaḥ) has been explained differently by different scholars. According to D. R. Bhandarkar, Naigama denoted a kind of democracy, which was confined to a town, and the names, such as Dojaka, Talimata (Rālimasa) Atakatakā, etc. on the reverse of the so-called Negamā coins signified that “they were really the civic coins struck by the peoples of these cities.”\textsuperscript{114} Most of the numismatists, however, do not accept this explanation. Allan takes the word, Negama as signifying ‘trade-guild’ and the ‘Negamā’ coins as the mercantile money-tokens issued by the various trade guilds of Taxila.\textsuperscript{115} From expressions like nagara-naigama-janaśāda and grāma-naigama-naigama, Allan thinks that nigama was an area larger than a town, perhaps a market-district.\textsuperscript{116} The various reverse legends in the nominative suggest, in Allan’s opinion, a comparison with town-names like Ujeni, Tripuri, etc., and probably indicate that the concerned coins were the issues of different market quarters.

\textsuperscript{111} Ibid., p. 62.
\textsuperscript{112} Ibid., p. 146.
\textsuperscript{113} BMC(Ad), pp. cxxv ff. and 214 ff.
\textsuperscript{114} Cf. CL, 1921, p. 6; also ibid., 1918, p. 176.
\textsuperscript{115} BMC (AI), p. cxxvi.
\textsuperscript{116} Ibid., p. cxxx and notes 3-4.
or market districts with the names of Dojakā, Rālimasa, Atakatakā, etc. Hiraṇasama (Hiraṇyāśrama) and Dośanasa are also regarded by Allan as names of districts, the local authorities of which issued coins in the country of Taxila with some system of co-ordination from a central authority.

The majority of the coins of Taxila is, however, uninscribed. Inspite of the variety of types and legends, the coinage of Taxila forms a homogeneous group, distinct from coins of other cities, such as those of Ujjayini. "The copper coinage of Taxila seems to have been a short-lived one, beginning late in the third century B.C. when Taxila was under the Maurya governors, and ending with the Greek conquest before the middle of the second century B.C."¹¹⁷ Some of the local coins of Taxila were copied by the Greek rulers, Pantaleon and Agathocles.¹¹⁸ The Greek conquest put an end to the independent career of Taxila. Henceforward it became the seat of successive foreign dynasties, viz. the Indo-Greek, Indo-Scythian, Indo-Parthian and Kushāṇa.

¹¹⁷ Ibid., p. cxxxix.
¹¹⁸ Ibid., pp. cxxvii and cxxxi-ii.
PART II
MONARCHICAL STATES OF MADHYADEśA
CHAPTER IV
THE PROBLEM OF THE 'MITRAS'

Coins bearing the names of rulers, issued from about the latter half of the second century B.C., reveal the existence of some monarchical states in Madhyadeśa, such as those at Ayodhyā, Kauśāmbī, Ahichchhatrā and Mathurā, which were respectively the capitals of the ancient janapadas of Kośala, Vatsa, Pañcāla and Śūrasena. Kanaūj, Almora and Jagatgrām also seem to have been the seats of some local rulers about this period.

It is curious that many of the rulers known from the coins of this age, not only of the said localities but also belonging to such tribes as the Audumbaras, Kulūtas and Uddehikas, bore names ending in mitra. According to some scholars, all these rulers belonged to a single dynasty which they identify with the Śuṅga family, since some of the Śuṅga kings, known from the Purāṇas and other sources, also had the same name-ending and since the so-called Mitra coins are roughly assignable to the Śuṅga period.

Carleyle and Rivett-Carnac1 were the first to identify the 'Mitrás' (then mainly known from the Pañcāla series of coins) with the Śuṅgas. Assuming that the Purāṇas do not give us correctly the names of the Śuṅga kings and that they also bore alternative names, Jayaswal sought to identify them with some of the 'Mitrás' whose names he picked up conveniently from the various series of local coins.2 And from amongst the other 'Mitrás' who cannot be identified with any of the 'ten Śuṅgas', Jayaswal discovered the eight sons of Pushyamitra, of whom he found mention in the Purāṇas.3 But Jayaswal’s identifications are unscientific and far-fetched and are therefore untenable.

---
1 JASB, XLIX, pp. 21 ff. and 87 ff.
2 JBORS, III, pp. 473 ff. and XX, pp. 291 ff.
3 See p. 43 above. Jayaswal assigns other unidentifiable 'Mitra' coins likewise to the relatives of Pushyamitra. See ibid., X, pp. 205-06; XIII, pp. 247-48; XV, pp. 583-84 and XX, pp. 291 ff.
H. C. Raychaudhuri, who is in favour of some of Jayaswal's identifications, thinks that the unidentifiable names may be of those Śuṅgas who survived the usurpation of Vasudeva Kāṇya.4 But there are numerous other 'Mitras' who flourished before the Kāṇvas and who cannot be accounted for.

Cunningham objected to the identification of the 'Mitras' with the Śuṅgas on the grounds that none of the names of the 'Mitras' excepting that of Agnimitra is found in the Puranic lists of Śuṅga kings and that the Later Śuṅgas were supposed to have their seat of power at Vidiśā, while the so-called Mitra coins were then known to have come chiefly from Pañchāla.5 At the same time, he also pointed out the probability of the Puranic lists being erroneous and incomplete, since the name of Dhanabhāti of the Bhār hut inscription, whom he took to be a Śuṅga prince, does not occur in the Purāṇas. But we have no indication in that inscription that Dhanabhāti was himself a Śuṅga, for he refers to the Śuṅgas as the rulers of that particular region. Therefore, the question of the Puranic lists being erroneous does not arise here.

The main points of Cunningham's objections are, however, still valid. As to the identity of names, it may moreover be pointed out that although Agnimitra is the only name of the Puranic lists that occurs on coins, even the Agnimitra issues are not found at Vidiśā where Agnimitra Śuṅga is known to have ruled. And it is evident from the comparative study made below of the coins of the Agnimitras of Kauśāmbī and Pañchāla, that none of them can be identified with Agnimitra Śuṅga who flourished much earlier than either of them.6

Secondly, even though the Mitra coins are not now confined to the Pañchāla area alone, and are known to have come from a much wider region in Northern India, they are found neither

---

4 PHAI, pp. 391-92.
5 CAI, pp. 79-89. Cf. also V. Smith, IMC, pp. 144-45 and J. Allan, BMC(Al), p. CXX.
6 See Chs. V and VII below for the date of Agnimitras of coins. Even Carleyle and Rivett-Carnac place Agnimitra of the Pañchāla series later than some of the Mitras of that place and regard him as 'one of the most recent of these kings' (JASB, XLIX, p. 88).
from Vidiśā (which is usually supposed to be the capital of the Later Śuṅgas) nor from the Bhārhut region (which is known to have been ruled by them).

Besides, it may be pointed out that those Mitra coins which are assigned to the Śuṅgas do not come from any particular place like Ayodhya, Kausāmbī, Mathurā or Pañchālā, so that we may identify that place as the centre of the Later Śuṅga power. Names have often been suitably picked up from different series of coins and taken as those of the Śuṅga kings. But it is very difficult to think that different Śuṅga kings ruled from different places as their capitals. The greatest obstacle in the way of assigning all these different series of the so-called Mitra coins to the Śuṅgas is that they are too diverse and distinctly local in character to have any connecting link between them; hence they cannot be attributed to a single dynasty, Śuṅgan or otherwise. We may compare in this connection the various issues of the Andhra Empire, the types and symbols of which have been classified by Rapson into (1) local, (2) dynastic or (3) personal.⁷ The coins of one province of the Andhra Empire varied greatly from those of another⁸; yet as Rapson has shown, “underlying all this variety there are to be recognised certain constantly recurring types, such as the ‘Caitya’ and the ‘Ujjain symbol’, which may almost be regarded as permanent features in the coinages of the Andhra Empire.”⁹ Of these two symbols, while the so-called Caitya (or the Mountain) symbol is widely prevalent on the coins of the Śatavāhanas as well as their feudatories, the Ujjain symbol which is conspicuously absent on the feudatory issues appears “to be the distinctive emblem of the Śatavāhanas—the Andhras proper as opposed to the Andhrabhṛtyas.”¹⁰ But, as we shall see below, no such

⁸ For the coinages of the different provinces of the Andhra Empire see ibid., pp. lxx ff.
⁹ Ibid., p. clxvi. The same is the case with the earlier punch-marked coins, numerous local varieties of which bear two almost constant symbols, viz. the ‘sun’ and the ‘six-armed symbol’, which, according to Allan, were the emblems of some imperial authority, presumably that of the Mauryas: see BMC(Al), pp. xxi and lxxi-ii.
¹⁰ BMC (Andhra), pp. clxvii-iii.
common dynastic insignia is discernible amongst the various local and tribal issues bearing mitra-ending names.  

Coins of Pañchāla are a distinctive series, bearing on the obverse, three characteristic symbols with the king's name in a square incuse, and on the reverse, generally the figure of a deity whose name forms a component part of the issuer's name. Thirteen, out of the twenty-four early rulers of Pañchāla known from coins, had mitra-ending names.  

The characteristic types of Kauśāmbī are, all through, a bull on the obverse, and a tree-in-railing with the king's name on the reverse, together with other minor symbols. Out of the twenty-two early rulers of the locality represented by coins, sixteen had names ending in mitra.  

The 'Mitra' coins of Ayodhyā are distinguished by their fabric and their reverse types which are either a 'cock on post' and a palm-tree or an elaborate nandipada in a framework, although their obverse type is the bull as that of the Kauśāmbī coins. Five, out of the sixteen rulers of Ayodhyā, bore mitra-ending names.  

Coins of Mathurā have also distinctive types. 'Lakshmī standing between several symbols on either side and holding a lotus in her up-lifted right hand' forms the obverse type, while  

---

11 The bull, tree-in-railing and 'Vādīśa cross' (i.e. the Ujjain symbol) occurring on some local and tribal coins are taken by Jayaswal (JBOFS; XX, pp. 295 ff.) to be the Śungra emblems; but since none of these three symbols is seen on the most important series of 'Mitra' coins, i.e. those of Pañchāla; ascribed to the Śungras, this assumption is arbitrary and untenable.  
12 BMCAI, pp. cxvi-cxvii and 192 ff.  
13 They are: Agnimitra, Ásvamitra (?), Bhānumitra, Bṛihatsvātimitra or Bṛihaspatimitra, Bhūmimitra, Dhruvamitra, Indramitra, Jayamitra, Pālguṇimitra, Prajāpatimitra, Śūryamitra, Varuṇamitra and Vishṇumitra.  
14 BMCAI, pp. xciv and 148 ff.  
15 These are Agnimitra, Bṛihatsvātimitra or Bṛihaspatimitra I and II, Īśvaramitra, Jyeshṭhamitra, Prajāpatimitra, Praushṭhamitra, Priyamitra, Rādhamitra, Rājamitra, Rājanimitra, Rāmamitra, Sarpamitra, Satamitra, Suramitra and Varuṇamitra. Two other Mitra rulers of this region, viz., Dāmamitra and Devimitra are known from inscriptions, as noted below.  
16 BMCAI, pp. lxxxviii-ix and 129 ff. The figure of bull which is too common a device on Indian coins may not indicate any connection between the rulers of Ayodhyā and Kauśāmbī.  
17 They are Áyumitra, Devamitra, Saṅghamitra, Satyamitra and Vijayamitra.
the reverse depicts three elephants with riders. The names of five, out of the twelve known early rulers of Mathurā, end in mitra.

Coins ascribed to Kanauj are, however, closely interconnected by symbols with two other series of coins which bear the appellation of ‘Udhehaki’ or ‘Sudavapa’ for their issuer’s name. Thus the so-called ‘Ujjain symbol’ appears on all the three series, while the tree-in-railing occurs on the Kanauj and Udhehika coins and the triangle-headed-standard, which finds its place on the obverse of the Kanauj and ‘Sudavapa’ coins, is countermarked on the reverse of the ‘Udhehika’ pieces. But, inspite of the symbolical inter-connections, coins ascribed to Kanauj are distinctive in their fabric and shape, while the square ‘Sudavapa’ coins are distinguished from similar ‘Udhehika’ pieces by their finer fabric and more neatly incised lettering of the legends. The Kanauj and ‘Sudavapa’ series supply the names of three and two ‘Mitra’ rulers respectively, while one such is known from the ‘Udhehika’ coins.

Even some distant Punjab tribes, such as the Audumbaras and the Kulūtas, had rulers with mitra-ending names. Four of them are known from the Audumbara coins, while two are known from the Kulūta coins discovered at Taxila. The ‘Mitra’ coins of the Audumbaras depict on the reverse the figure of ‘Kārṭtikeya standing with a spear’ and on the obverse ‘an elephant, usually with rider’. The coin-types of the Kulūta ‘Mitra’ rulers, consist of groups of symbols, both on the obverse and on the reverse, of which the more important ones are the mountain symbol, nandipada and svastika.

We thus find that no common and evident stamp of imperial

18 BMC(Al), pp. cix and 169 ff.
19 These are Brahmanitra, Drīḍhamitra, Gomitra, Sūryamitra and Vishnumitra.
20 Cf. BMC(Al), p. 147, Pl. XIX. 12 (Kanauj) and JNSI, III, p. 47, Pl. IV-A. 1-2 (Udhehika and Sudavapa).
21 They are: Brahmanitra, Gomitra and Sūryamitra of Kanauj; Dhruvamitra and Sūryamitra of the ‘Sudavapa’ series and Sūryamitra of the ‘Udhehika’ coins.
22 They are Āryamitra, Bhānumitra, Mahābbhūtimitra and Mahimitra.
23 The Kulūta chiefs are Satyamitra (?) and Vijayamitra.
24 BMC(Al), pp. lxxxvi, 125-28 and 287.
authority is discernible on these distinctive series of coins and hence they cannot be regarded as the different local issues of the rulers of a single imperial dynasty. No individual ruler can be separated from the coinage of a particular locality and connected with another of a different place. There are, of course, some twelve identical names which occur on two or more series of coins. We give below a comparative list of the characteristic coin-types of the Mitra rulers of different localities\(^{26}\) having common names.\(^{27}\)

1. AGIMITA (Agnimitra)

- **Obverse**
  - Kauśāmbī: *(JNSI, IV, p. 142, Pl. XII. 20.)*
  - Pañchāla: *(BMC (AI), p. 200, Pl. XXVIII. 8.)*
  - Bull to r. before nandipada-topped three-peaked hill above railing (S 2).
  - In a sqr. incuse, three Pañchāla symbols (S 37, S 43, S 56). Legend below: *Agimita.*

- **Revers**
  - Tree-in-railing in centre; wavy line between two straight lines on l.; *nandi-pada* with a triangle-headed standard above on r.
  - Deity (Agni) on platform between two pillars.

These distinctive coin-types indicate that the two Agnimitras belonged to two different dynasties. Moreover, a comparative study of the characters of the coins of the two series shows that Agnimitra of Kauśāmbī was somewhat earlier in date than his Pañchāla namesake. None of them can, however, be identified with Agnimitra Śuṅga (cf. p. 50 above). Another Agnimitra, known from a Vaiśāli sealing might have belonged to the Mitra family of Magadha (cf. p. 69, n. 95 above). The idea that ‘Indrāgnimitra’ of the Bodh-Gayā inscription combines the names of ‘Indra(mitra)’ and ‘Agnimitra’, meaning thereby ‘Agnimitra, son of Indramitra’, as proposed by K. D. Bajpai *(JNSI, XXVI, p. 10), appears to be absurd.

---

26 For a list of the coin-types of all the Mitra rulers of different localities see the author’s paper, “The Coin-types of Kings with Mitra-ending Names”, published as *Numismatic Notes and Monographs*, No. 7 (1959) by the Numismatic Society of India. The additional names known since its publication have been incorporated here.

The important symbols referred to henceforward are given serially in a Plate at the end; ‘S’ will stand for Symbol number in the Plate.

27 The name ‘Āyumitra’ on some Ayodhya coins of ‘Cock-and-Bull’ type *(IMG, I, p. 150, Nos. 17-21 : No. 17 Pl. XIX. 17)* was at first read by E. J. Rapson.
2. BHĀNUMITA (Bhānumitra)

Audumbara:
(1) **BMC (AI)**, p. 128, Pl. XLIII. 2.

**Obverse**
Male figure to l. with spear in r. hand. Kharoshthi legend illegible.

**Reverse**
Elephant to l. with rider. Brāhmi legend above—Bhānumita.

(2) **BMC (AI)**, p. 127, Pl. XV. 21.

**Obverse**
Elephant to l. Kh. legend above: Raśa Bhānumitrasa.

**Reverse**

Pañchāla:
**BMC (AI)**, p. 195, Pl. XXVII, 15-16.

In a sqd incuse, the three Pañchāla symbols. Legend below: B h ā n u-
mitrasa.

Sun between two pillars on railed pedestal.

Bhānumitra of the characteristic mono-scriptual Pañchāla coins cannot be identified with Bhānumitra of the bi-scriptual Audumbara issues, even though his type No. 2 has on it the third Pañchāla symbol which, in combination with other different symbols, does not signify any connection with Pañchāla.

3. BRAHMAMITA (Brahmamitra)

Kanauj:
**BMC (AI)**, p. 147, Pl. XIX, 11.

**Obverse**
Ujjain symbol (S 28), tree-in-railing (S 12) and triangle-headed standard (S 3). Legend below: Brahmatmata.

**Reverse**
Triśulā within railing.

Mathurā:
**BMC (AI)**, p. 173, Pl. XXV. 12.

**Obverse**
Lakshmi facing with lotus in hand, S 46 on l., S 28 (Ujjain symbol) and S 43 on r. Legend above: Brahmatmata.

**Reverse**
Three elephants with riders.

Since the Ujjain symbol, which seems to be the dynastic emblem of the Mitras of Mathurā, as distinguished from that (S 44)

---

as Suyamitra, i.e. 'Sūryamitra' (*IC*, Pl. IV. 3) and then as Āyyamitra, i.e. 'Āryamitra' (*JRAS* 1900, p. 100), which name is also read by Allan on some British Museum coins of Ayodhya (*BMC, AI*, pp. 137-38, Nos. 59-68, No. 60 Pl. XVII. 18). But, from an examination of the specimens in the Indian Museum, we agree with the reading Āyumitra as given by V. A. Smith (*IMC*, p. 145). The name 'Āryamitra' is, however, found on some bi-scriptual coins coming from the Punjab and usually attributed to the Audumbaras (*BMC, AI*, pp. 125-26, Nos. 26-30, No. 26 Pl. XV. 13). But since we do not accept the reading 'Āryamitra' or 'Sūryamitra' on the above-mentioned Ayodhya coins, we do not consider the Āyumitra issues in the list of common names.
of the Dattas of that place (cf. the coin-types of the Mathurā rulers in *BMC, AI*, pp. 170 ff.), also appears on the coins of Brahmmamitra of Kanauj, which are of about the same date, and since Mathurā is not far separated from Kanauj, Brahmma-mitrās of the two places might have been identical. But it is doubtful if he can be identified with his namesake of the Bodh-Gayā railing inscription (cf. p. 67 above).

4a. BAHASATIMITA (Bṛihatsvātimitra or Bṛihaspatimitra I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obverse</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauśāmbi:</td>
<td>Horse r. before Ujjain symbol (?) in a sqr. with tree-in-railing behind,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>BMC(AI)</em>, p. 150,</td>
<td><em>nandipada</em> (S. 33) above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl. XX. 1.</td>
<td>Ujjain symbol (S 27) above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legend above: [Baha-]</td>
<td><em>satim[i]tasu.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cast coin is distinguished by its type and fabric from the die-struck coins bearing the same name (Bahasatimita II) and is more allied to the early uninscribed coins of Kauśāmbi (cf. *BMC, AI*, p. xcvi). Bahasatimita I is identified with his namesake of the Mora brick inscription, whose daughter was married to a king of Mathurā (*BMC, AI*, p. xcviii).

4b. BAHASATIMITA (Bṛihatsvātimitra or Bṛihaspatimitra II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obverse</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kauśāmbi:</td>
<td>Bull r. before <em>nandipada-</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>BMC(AI)</em>, p. 151,</td>
<td>topped three-peaked hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pl. XX. 2.</td>
<td>above railing (S 2), Ujjain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>symbol (S 28) above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pañchāla:</td>
<td>Tree-in-railing in centre;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>IMC, I</em>, p. 185.</td>
<td>triangle-headed standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in railing over <em>nandipada</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>on l., wavy line on r.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| | Legend below: Baha-
| | satim[i]tasu. |

The coin is only noticed, not described.

Since the coin from Pañchāla is not described, it is difficult to determine if it is a coin of Bahasatimita of Kauśāmbi or of a different ruler of Pañchāla. Bahasatimita II of Kauśāmbi coins may well be identified with his namesake of the Pabhōśā inscription (cf. *BMC, AI*, p. xcviii). As to his identification with the king of Magadha of that name, referred to in the Hāthigumpha inscription of Kharavela, nothing can be definitely said at present (cf. pp. 68-69 above).
5. DHUVRAMITA (Dhruvamitra)

Pañchāla:

In a sqr. incuse, the three Pañchāla symbols. Legend below: Dhruvamitarasa.

Sudavapa:

Ujjain symbol (S 28) to top 1.; elephant to 1. below. Legend on two sides: Sudavapa Dhruvamitarasa.

Trident between two pillars within railing.

Meandering river with fishes and other symbols.

Dhruvamitra of Pañchāla is identifiable with the ruler of the same name known from a Ramnagar inscription (JASB 1909, pp. 271 f.), but his coins are sharply distinguished by type, shape, etc. from those of Dhruvamitra bearing the appellation ‘Sudavapa’, the significance of which is not known.

6. GOMITA (Gomitra)

Kanauj:

Tree-in-railing (S 12), Ujjain Symbol (S 28), and triangle-headed standard (S 3). Legend below: Gomita.

Tree-in-railing (?), other symbols illegible. Traces of Brāhmi legend below, beginning with Gomi—. An overstruck coin (?).

Mathurā:

Female figure (Lakshmi) with lotus in r. hand; S 46 on 1., S 28 (Ujjain symbol) with S 43 above on r., S 43 (river with fishes) below, Legend above: Gomita.

Three elephants with riders.

Gomitra of Mathurā is identifiable with the ruler of the same name mentioned in the Ganeshra brick inscription (ASR 1911-12, p. 129). Again, for reasons adduced in the case of Brahmanda, the two Gomitras of Mathurā and Kanauj may also be identified.

Some other coins of the Mathurā series bearing the name of Gomitra are assigned on various numismatic grounds to one or more rulers, different from the Gomitra discussed above (see BMC, AI, p. 169, Pl. XXIV. 21 and Pl. XXV.3).
7. Prajāpatimitra

Obverse
Kauśāmbi: Bull to r. before S 2, as on other Kauśāmbi coins; Ujjain symbol (S 28) above.

Reverse
Tree-in-railing in centre, Ujjain symbol (S 28) on l., another indistinct symbol on r. Legend around: Prajā[pa]timi-[trasa].

Pañchāla:
Pañchāla symbol No. 1 (S 37) only. Legend in two lines: Prajāpatimitrasa.

Deity (Prajāpati) under an arched temple, third Pañchāla symbol (S 36) in field on l.

It is difficult to determine if the two kings of the two different series of coins were identical; they appear, however, of about the same period.

8. Satyamita (Satyamitra)

Obverse
Ayodhyā: Bull to l. before standard on l. Legend below: Satyamitasa.

Kauśāmbi:
Bull to r., other symbols illegible.

Reverse
Cock to r. before palm-tree on r. over a wavy line.

Tree-in-railing in the centre, Ujjain symbol (S 28) on r.; the symbol on l. is indistinct. Legend below: —tamita—

Kulūṭa:
Four symbols: nandipada-standard-in-railing (S 49), circlet surrounded by 4 nandipadas (S 34), lotus (S 50) and taurine (S 35), Kh. legend: [Sa]čhamitasa(?)

Three symbols: six-peaked hill surmounted by staff (S 51), svastiṅka (S 29), triangle-headed standard (S 3), Br. legend: ——

The actual name of the Kauśāmbi ruler is however doubtful. The name, since it reads-tamita, was probably ‘Satamitra’, not ‘Satyamitra’, which would give [Sa]*čhamita, as in the case of the Kulūṭa ruler. Besides, the very ‘Mitra’ series of Ayodhyya coinage (to which belongs the issues of Satyamitra) is of a much later date than the coins of [Sa]tamita of Kauśāmbi (see Ch. V below). Hence the two rulers of Ayodhyya and Kauśāmbi cannot be identified. Neither of them again could have anything to do with the doubtful Satyamitra of the Kulūṭa series of coins, discovered in far-off Taxila.
9. SŪYAMITA (Sūryamitra)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obverse</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kanauj:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMC(AI), p. 147, Pl. XIX. 12.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangle-headed standard (S 3), tree-in-railing (S 12) and Ujjain symbol (S 28). Legend below: Sūyamitasa.</td>
<td>Illegible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Mathurā:**  |
| Lakshmi facing with lotus in r. hand between S. 46 on I. and S 28 (Ujjain symbol) and S 43 on r. Legend above: Sūyamitasa. | Three elephants with riders. |

| **Pañchāla:**  |
| In a sqr. incuse, the three Pañchāla symbols. Legend below: Sūyamitasa. | Sun over S 48 between pillars on railing. |

| **Sudavapa:**  |
| S 3 (triangle-headed-standard), S 17, and S 28 (Ujjain symbol). Legend on two sides: Sudavapa-Sūyamitasa. | A meandering river with fishes, star and six-peaked hill; S 17 below. |

| **Uddehika:**  |
| Tree-in-railing (S 12), tank with two fishes and another indistinct symbol. Two-line legend above: Udeha-Sūyamitasa. | Elephant to l.; traces of other symbols; another symbol countermarked on the top l. field. |

Sūryamitra of the Mathurā coins can well be identified on palaeographic grounds with Rājañ Gopālputra Sūryamitrā of an unpublished inscription in the collection of Sri H. P. Poddar of Calcutta. The angular form of the letter ma, as seen on the coins of the Pañchāla-Sūryamitra, indicates that he flourished later than his namesake of the Mathurā coins. For reasons stated in the cases of Brahmamitra and Gomitra, Sūryamitrās of Kanauj and Mathurā also may well be regarded as identical. Since ‘Uddehika’ was the name of an ancient tribe, ‘Udehaki Sūyamita’ must have belonged to that particular tribe, and cannot therefore be identified with any of the other Sūryamitrās, inspite of the occurrence of certain common symbols, seen on the coins of the Kanauj, Sudavapa and Uddehika series. And, so long as the significance of the term ‘Sudavapa’ is not definitely known, ‘Sudavapa Sūyamita’ should also be distinguished from his other namesakes.
10. VARUṆAMITA (Varuṇamitra)

**Obverse**

Kauśāmbī

*JNSI*, IV, p. 141

Pl. XII. 16.

Bull to r. before a blurred symbol (S 27).

**Reverse**

Tree-in-railing in centre; wavy line between two straight lines on l.; traces of what looks like an Ujjain symbol. Legend below: *Varuṇamitra*.[sa].

Pañchāla:

*JNSI*, V, p. 17,

Pl. II-B (3 coins).

The three Pañchāla symbols. Legend below: *Varuṇamitrasa*.

Illegible.

Varuṇamitra of the Kauśāmbī coins can be identified with his namesake of a Kauśāmbī inscription (*Ind. Cult.*, I, p. 694). Varuṇamitra of the Pañchāla coins appears to be a later ruler (see Ch. VII, Sec. I below).

11. VISHṆUMITA (Vishṇumitra)

**Obverse**

Mathurā;

*BMC* (*AI*), p. 175,

Pl. XXV. 15-16.

Lakshmi facing between S. 46 on l. and S. 28 on r.

Legend above: *Vishṇumitrasa*,

Pañchāla:

*BMC* (*AI*), p. 202,

Pl. XXIX. 8.

In a sqr. incuse, the three Pañchāla symbols. Legend below: *Vishṇumitrasa*.

Deity (Vishṇu) within pillars on pedestal,

Vishṇumitra of Mathurā coins can be identified with the ruler of the same name known from a Mathurā epigraph (*IQH*, II, p. 441). Vishṇumitra of Pañchāla coins seems to be later in date than the Mathurā ruler of the same name (see Ch. VII, Sec. I below).

12. VIJAYAMITA (Vijayamitra)

**Obverse**

Ayodhya:

(1) *BMC* (*AI*),

p. 139,

Pl. XVIII. 1.

Bull to l. before standard.

Legend below: *Vijayamitrasa*.

(2) *BMC* (*AI*),

p. 139,

Pl. XVIII. 5 (rev.) and 3 (obv.).

Bull on pedestal.

Elaborate *nandipada* (S 53).

Legend below: *Vijaya*...

Kulūta:

*Taxila*, II, p. 820,

No. 252, Plate in Vol. III.

Nandipada-standard-in-railing (S 49), circle surrounded by four *nandipadas* (S 34), and taurine.

Kh. legend: *Rāja Kulutasa Vijayamitasa*.

Six-peaked hill surmounted by a staff (S 51), *svastika* (S 29) and triangle-headed standard (S 3). Br. legend: *Rāja Kulutasa Vijayamitrasa*.
Since Vijayamitra, whose coins are discovered in distant Taxila, is called a ‘Kulūta’ chief, it is not possible to identify him with the Ayodhyā ruler of that name. Moreover, their coins are also of distinctly different types. We know of another Vijayamitra from the Bajaur Relic casket inscription of the reign of Menander; but since he is not called a ‘Kulūta’, it is not likely that he was identical with Vijayamitra of Kulūta coins, though he can be identified with Vijayamitra, the father of Indravarmā (who was apparently the father of the *strategos* Aspavarmā), known from some Indo-Scythian coins (see Ch. IX, Sec. II below).

We thus find that mere identity of names can scarcely be considered as a sure sign of the identity of the persons concerned. The conservatism of Indian coins is well-known; and the local type of a particular coin very often decides its attribution to a particular local dynasty or tribe. Thus, although a coin of Brahmamitra was found at Rairh along with the Uddelhika coins, it is evident from the coin-type in question that Brahmamitra belonged to the Mathurā group of rulers and not to the Uddelhika clan. Similarly, the discovery of the coin of Indramitra of the Pañchāla series at Pañaliputra does not necessarily prove that he belonged to the ‘Mitra’ family of Magadha.

That the rulers represented by the different series of local coins belonged actually to different local dynasties is also corroborated by a few inscriptions that are available for this period. Thus the Pabhosā inscription of Āshādhahasena gives us the names of a line of rulers at Ahichchhatrā, and Dhruvamitra of the Pañchāla coins is probably referred to in a Rāmnagar coping stone inscription of Bahaśatimita (II) of the Kauśāmbī coins, who can be identified with his namesake mentioned in the Pabhosā inscription belonged to another line of rulers at Kauśāmbī. Some Kauśāmbī records also

29 *ER*, pp. 51-52.
30 *ASR* 1912-13, p. 84, No. 19.
31 *SI*, p. 98. The inscription (No. II) gives the genealogy as Vaṅgapāla, king of Adhichchhatrā, his son king Bhāgavata and his son Āshādhahasena.
32 *JASB* 1909, pp. 271-2.
mention Varuṇamitra\textsuperscript{33}, Jyeshṭhamitra\textsuperscript{34} and Rājamitra\textsuperscript{35}, known from the coins of that locality. A fragmentary Kosam inscription refers to another Kausāmbi king named Śivamitra\textsuperscript{36}, not represented by coins. Devīmitra and Damamitra are known from two brick inscriptions from the Kanpur region.\textsuperscript{37} The Ayodhya inscription of Dhanadeva\textsuperscript{38} who is known from some Ayodhya coins, corroborates the existence of a dynasty at Ayodhya, which was probably succeeded by the 'Mitrás' of that place, as indicated by coins. Some records reveal the existence of a different line of rulers at Mathurā also. Vishṇumitra of the Mathurā coins is mentioned in a Mathurā epigraph.\textsuperscript{39} Gomitra of the same series is known from a Ganeshrā inscription, while another unnamed Mathurā king is referred to in the Morā brick inscriptions of his queen Yaśamatā.\textsuperscript{40} An unpublished short inscription from Mathurā, now in the collection of Sri H. P. Poddar of Calcutta, refers to King Śūryamitra, evidently of the Mathurā region. Although the 'Mitrás' of Magadha are not represented by any distinctive coinage, they are known from several inscriptions of that area.\textsuperscript{41}

From whatever we know of the extent of the empire of at least the first Śuṅga monarch, most of the local kingdoms of Madhyadeśa seem to have been included within it. It is not impossible, therefore, that the local ruling houses originated with Pushyamitra's provincial governors\textsuperscript{42}, some of whom might have been either members of his family (as in Vidiśā) or matrimonially connected with it (as Dhanadeva's family at

\textsuperscript{33} \textit{Ind. Cult.}, I, pp. 694-5.
\textsuperscript{34} \textit{Amrita Bazar Patrika}, July 11, 1936, p. 5; cf. \textit{PHAI*}, p. 393, n 1.
\textsuperscript{35} \textit{A.R. Ep.}, 1957-58, No. B 564.
\textsuperscript{36} \textit{ASR} 1913-14, pp. 262-69.
\textsuperscript{37} \textit{Ep. Ind.}, XXX, pp. 118 ff; ibid., XXXIII, pp. 99 f.
\textsuperscript{38} \textit{SI}, p. 96.
\textsuperscript{39} \textit{IH}, II, pp. 441 ff.
\textsuperscript{40} \textit{ASR}, 1911-12, pp. 128 ff.
\textsuperscript{41} See pp. 66 ff. above.
\textsuperscript{42} Accepting Jayaswal's identification of Ūdāka of the Pabhosā inscription (in whose tenth regnal year it is dated) with the fifth Śuṅga king, Rapson suggests that some of the feudatories of the Śuṅgas are to be traced in the various local dynasties of Northern India (\textit{CHI}, pp. 524-26). Even though Ūdāka cannot be identified with the fifth Śuṅga king (see p. 53 above), it is not impossible that some of these local dynasties were originally feudatories of the Śuṅgas.
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Ayodhyā). Since the local coinages probably started about the latter part of the second century B.C., these provincial governors seem to have gradually waived aside their allegiance to Pushyamitra's successors and become practically independent. And we are to seek the families of these provincial governors in the so-called Mitras who were the only rulers of concern, known from epigraphic and numismatic sources, to have ruled in different parts of Northern India in the centuries immediately preceding the Christian era. But, just as all the known Śuṅga kings did not bear mitra-ending names, so also any prince who happens to bear this name-ending may not belong to the Śuṅga family. For, Dhanadeva of Ayodhyā, who claims descent from Pushyamitra Śuṅga, bore a different name-ending, whereas the 'Miras' of that place (who flourished at a much later period) do not appear to have any connection whatsoever with the Śuṅgas.

While, therefore, some of the local dynasties of Northern India, to which the so-called Mitras belonged, might have owed their origin to the Śuṅgas, none of them can be identified with the imperial line mentioned in the Purāṇas, nor can we hold that all the 'Miras' of the various localities belonged to a single family; for it is quite unlikely that the 'Mitra' rulers belonging to the distant Punjab tribes, such as the Audumbaras and the Kulūtas, could have been connected with the 'Miras' of Madhyadesa.

We do not know why so many rulers of widely scattered areas of Northern India chose to bear the name-ending mitra. It is, however, interesting to note that the first portion of a large number of their names alludes to a deity, e.g. Agni or Vishu, or to a nakshatra, such as Jyeshṭhā or Phāḷgūṇī. The deity-names like Śiva, Sūrya, Vishu, etc. may refer to the different Brahmanical cults then prevailing. It is perhaps not unlikely that

43 The name-ending of Dhanadeva may suggest that he was not a direct descendant of Pushyamitra, but that his family was matrimonially connected with him. Some of the local ruling families were similarly interconnected by matrimonial ties. In this way, the royal house at Kauśāmbī was connected with those at Ahichchatrā and Mathurā (cf. the Pabhośā inscription No. 1 and the Morā brick inscription of Yaśāmatā).

44 This suggestion was made by Professor Jean Filliozat in his report of the examination of my D.Litt. thesis.
these numerous petty chiefs, who were but followers of the Brahmanical faith, adopted the name-ending *mitra* from that of Pushyamitra Śuṅga, the most illustrious upholder of Brahmanism and the “reviver of the *Āśvamedha* in the Kali Age.”\(^{45}\) It became apparently the fashion for the Hindu rulers of the period to assume names ending with *mitra*, possibly to denote that they were ‘mitras’ (i.e. friends or patrons) of the deities or *nakṣatras* whose names they bore.

45 Cf. the *Harivamśa* passage, *Āśvamedha Kali-yuge puṇah praty-āharisyati* (v. 40), as quoted in p. 30 above; for the horse-sacrifices of Pushyamitra see p. 41 above.
CHAPTER V

KAUŚĀMBĪ AND AYODHYĀ

The history of various local dynasties of our period may be reconstructed mainly from a study of their numismatic issues. While dealing with the dynastic coinages, we are confronted with the difficulty of placing a number of rulers in a particular locality and within a comparatively short period of time. Numismatic epigraphy, being more rigid than the writing of inscriptions on other materials, does not always help us to determine the sequence of rulers within a period of about a century. We must therefore rely chiefly on the study of the coins themselves—their types, shapes, fabric, symbols, etc., since such objective studies have yielded satisfactory results in determining the chronology of innumerable foreign rulers of North-Western India of about the same period.

I. KAUŚĀMBĪ

The coinage ascribable to the kingdom of Kauśāmbī or Vatsa may be broadly grouped into four distinct classes. Class I consists of the early round cast pieces, the majority of which are uninscribed. These may be termed as ‘City coins’, since some of the inscribed pieces bear the name of the city of Kauśāmbī, as already referred to.¹ The uninscribed cast pieces of Kauśāmbī are characterised by the figure of a ‘lanky bull’ (or sometimes that of Gaja-Lakshmi, or an ‘elephant’) and some symbols on the obverse, and the tree-in-railing, Ujjain symbol, svastika, etc. on the reverse. The inscribed cast pieces generally bear the figure of an ‘elephant’ on the obverse and the tree-in-railing, Ujjain symbol, ‘triangle-headed standard’, etc. on the reverse.

¹ See p. 78 and n. 33 above; for the cast uninscribed coins see BMC(Al), pp 148-49, Pl. XX. 13. Some other coins with the legends Nagama (JNSI, XXIV, p. 20; ibid., XXVIII, p. 208) and Gadākana (ibid., XXV, p. 19; ibid., XXVII, p. 84; and ibid., XXVIII, pp. 45 and 153) have recently been discovered from Kauśāmbī. These coins, however, bear no similarity with the early cast pieces of Class I including the ‘City coins’ and might have been the issues of different trade-guilds of Kauśāmbī.
Class II comprises the die-struck coins of a large number of rulers, and the main devices which prevail throughout the series are a ‘bull’ (or sometimes a ‘lion’, ‘horse’ or a rude male figure) and a tree-in-railing and other symbols.  

Class III represents the coins of Dhanadeva and their somewhat degenerate copies with the ‘bull’ (sometimes an ‘elephant’) and the tree-in-railing devices and are distinct from those of Class II in style and fabric.

Class IV comprises the issues of the Maghas, which also bear the devices of the ‘bull’ and the tree-in-railing. The characteristic types of all classes of Kausāmbī coins are therefore found to be the ‘bull’ and the tree-in-railing.

The approximate date when Kausāmbī became independent may be determined from a study of its coinage. The cast pieces of Class I, most of which are uninscribed, were undoubtedly earlier than the struck coins bearing names of rulers, and were the first independent coinage of Kausāmbī. Closely connected with the coins bearing the name of the city of Kausāmbī are two other inscribed pieces with names of two rulers, Bahasatimita and Sudeva.

A Bahasatimita is also known from the struck coins of Class II. From the very marked differences of type, fabric as well as the epigraphy of the coin-legends, Bahasatimita of the cast pieces has been distinguished from Bahasatimita of the struck coins.

In the Morā brick inscription of Yaśamatā, who was the wife of a king (apparently of Mathurā), she is said to be the daughter of one Br̥ihāsvātimitra (Br̥ihatsvātimitra). The Pabhosā cave inscription of Āshādhasena also mentions one Bahasatimitra (Br̥ihatsvātimitra or Br̥ihaspatimitra), of whom he was the maternal uncle. From palaeographic considerations, the Morā
brick inscription is ascribed to a date somewhat earlier than that of the Pabhosā epigraph. Bṛihāsvātimita of the Morā brick inscription must have therefore been earlier than Bahasatimittra of the Pabhosā inscription and the former may very well be identified with Bahasatimita (I) of the early cast coins of Kauśāmbī, while there is no difficulty in identifying the latter with Bahasatimita (II) of the struck coins of Class II. Now, since the Pabhosā inscription cannot be assigned to a date much earlier than the second half of the first century B.C., the Morā inscription may be dated about the beginning of that century. Therefore Bṛihāsvātimita who must have flourished somewhat earlier than his daughter Yaśamatā, during whose time the Morā bricks were inscribed, may be placed about the end of the second century B.C.

Again, Sudeva whose coins exhibit the figure of an ‘elephant’ on the obverse, as on the ‘City issues’ of Kauśāmbī, seems to have come earlier than Bahasatimita I whose coins bear the figure of a ‘horse’ on the obverse in addition to that of the common emblem ‘elephant’ on the reverse. Sudeva being the earliest known ruler and a near predecessor of Bahasatimita, the independent dynasty of Kauśāmbī was probably founded about the middle of the second century B.C. Of course, the ‘City issues’ started before that time, probably from about the beginning of that century, while the uninscribed coins might have been issued a little earlier, about the end of the third century B.C.

It is thus possible that Kauśāmbī acquired the position of an autonomous city even during the time of the later Mauryas when it first began to issue its independent local uninscribed coinage. Pushyamitra, who is not so far known to have issued any coinage in his name, probably allowed the local currency to continue everywhere. Thus, he did not interfere with the ‘City coins’ of Kauśāmbī, which was in all probability included within his empire. As in Ayodhyā, he might have installed a viceroy
at Kauśāmēī who either belonged to his family or was matrimonially related to it. That the provincial viceroys of Pushyamitra enjoyed a great degree of autonomy is suggested by the case of his son Agnimitra who is known to have ruled practically like an independent king at Vidiśā. During the time of his successors, these viceroys probably threw out the semblance of Śuṅga authority over them and began to issue coins with their own names like independent rulers. Thus, if Bahasatimita I of the cast coins, who was one of the earliest known members of the local dynasty, is identified with Brīhāśvātimita of the Morā brick inscription, the ruling family of Kauśāmēī could not have come into existence much earlier than the middle of the second century B.C.

Recent excavations at Kauśāmēī have brought to light coins of the Mitra kings from Period III of the defences, the beginning of which is dated from associated pottery, etc. as c. 200 B.C.11 And it is interesting to note that beside the structures of the defences belonging to Period III have been unearthed the remains of a typical syena-chiti (eagle-altar) along with some human skulls and a large number of human and animal bones, reminiscent of purushamedha (human sacrifice) as described in the Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa (vii. 5. 2. 1 seq.).12 Now, since “the ceremony (purushamedha) was to be performed by a Brāhmaṇa or a Rājanya, and was expected to obtain for the sacrificer universal pre-eminence and every blessing which the Horse-sacrifice might have failed to secure”13, we may perhaps hazard a guess that the founder of the so-called Mitra dynasty of Kauśāmēī might have performed it to commemorate the establishment of his political authority.

The duration of the dynasty of rulers represented by the struck coins of Class II was roughly about two centuries—from the second half of the second century B.C. to the latter part

---

of the first century A. D., i. e. till the time of Kañishka. For, the finds of coins and inscriptions of the Kushāṇa monarch in this region indicate that Kauśāmbi came under the sway of the Kushāṇas during the time of Kañishka. While the Sarnath inscription of Kañishka’s Year 3 shows that his dominions extended up to Vāraṇaśi in the east, three other inscriptions found at Kosam itself leave no room for doubt that Kauśāmbi was included within his empire. Moreover, the use of Kañishka’s reckoning by the Maghas who were the successors of the Kushāṇas in the Kauśāmbi region also presupposes the extension of Kushāṇa authority over this area before Year 51, the first known date of the Maghas, as recorded in the Bāndhogāṛ inscription of Bhīmasena. The local coinage of Kauśāmbi seems to have stopped at least during the time of Kañishka. And since the coins of Class II belong to a continuous series, all the rulers represented by them must have flourished earlier than the time of Kañishka. The Dhanadeva group of rulers represented by the struck coins of Class III probably established themselves sometime after Kañishka, when the Kushāṇa power was on the decline; but before long they were ousted by the Maghas whose dated records show that they occupied Kauśāmbi by the middle of the second century A. D.

A. Early Rulers

About 26 rulers are so far known from the coins of Classes I and II, and some inscriptions of that period, and more names may still be added to the list with fresh discoveries. The difficulty is to accommodate so many rulers within a comparatively short period of about two centuries only. If we hold that these rulers came in succession to one another, it follows that most of them had a very short reign, the average period of each king being less than eight years. But some of them are known to have ruled for a considerably longer period, e. g.

14 SI, p. 132.
16 Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 177.
17 The earliest known date of the records of the Maghas at Kauśāmbi is Year 81 of Bhadramagha, i. e. 151 A. D.
Rājamitra who ruled for 26 years\textsuperscript{18} or Aśvaghosha who ruled for at least 40 years.\textsuperscript{19} It is not therefore unlikely that some of these kings ruled contemporaneously with one another in adjoining districts.\textsuperscript{20} The region of Kauśāmbī was wide enough in extent to have been divided into several parts and ruled by several collateral lines with a limited area of jurisdiction under each.\textsuperscript{21}

The rulers so far known from the struck coins of Class II are: Agarāja,\textsuperscript{22} Agimita (Agumitira)\textsuperscript{23}, Aśvaghosha\textsuperscript{24}, Bauhāsitimita (Bṛihatsvātimitra or Bṛhhaspatimitra II),\textsuperscript{25} Isaramita (Īśvaramitira)\textsuperscript{26}, Jethabhūtī (Jyesṭhabhūtī)\textsuperscript{27}, Jethahamita (Jyesṭhamitira)\textsuperscript{28}, Pavata (Parvata)\textsuperscript{29}, Prajāpatimita (Prajāpatimitra)\textsuperscript{30}, Poṭhamita (Prauṣṭhamitira)\textsuperscript{31}, Prayamita (Priyamitra)\textsuperscript{32}, Rādamita (Rādhamitira)\textsuperscript{33}, Rājamita (Rājamitra)\textsuperscript{34}, Rajanimitra (Rajanimitra)\textsuperscript{35}, Rāmamita (Rāmamitra)\textsuperscript{36} Sapamita (Sarpa-

\textsuperscript{19} Cf. the Sarnath inscription of Aśvaghosha of Year 40: J. P. H. Vogel, Ep. Ind., VIII, p. 171. For the discussion of the year see p. 114-15 below.
\textsuperscript{20} Cf. AIU, p. 162.
\textsuperscript{21} Cf. the case of about thirty contemporary Indo-Greek rulers who ruled in the North-Western India and the Punjab region for a still shorter period of about a century (from about the middle of the second century B. C. to the middle of the first century B. C.).
\textsuperscript{22} JNSI, IV, p. 15, Pl. I, 17; ibid., p. 138, Pl. XII, 10-11; ibid., XIII, p. 198, Pl. VIII, 21; and ibid., XXII, p. 131, Pl. VI, 21.
\textsuperscript{23} BMC (Al), p. 153, Pl. XX, 5; JNSI, IV, p. 142, Pl. XII, 19-20.
\textsuperscript{24} BMC (Al), p. 150, Pl. XX, 6.
\textsuperscript{25} Ibid., p. 151, Pl. XX, 2.
\textsuperscript{26} JNSI, XV, p. 44, Pl. II, 5-6.
\textsuperscript{27} Ibid., XXII, p. 131, Pl. VI, 20. The name of the ruler is given here as Jyesṭha-aguta; but the illustration shows Jetha-bhata, i.e. Jyesṭhabhūtī, not Jetha-gata (Jyesṭha-aguta), as read by K. D. Bajpai.
\textsuperscript{28} BMC (Al), p. 154, Pl. XX, 7-9.
\textsuperscript{29} Ibid., p. 150, Pl. XX, 10. A new type of Parvata's coins has been published in JNSI, XXIV, p. 137, Pl. III, 2.
\textsuperscript{30} JNSI, IV, p. 7, Pl. I, 6 and p. 140, Pl. XII, 12-14.
\textsuperscript{31} Ibid., IV, p. 133, Pl. XII, 1; Ibid., VIII, p. 11, Pl. I, 7.
\textsuperscript{32} Ibid., XXII, p. 131, Pl. VI, 19.
\textsuperscript{33} Ibid., IV, p. 4, Pl. I, 2; and p. 141, Pl. XII, 15.
\textsuperscript{34} Ibid., IV, p. 8, Pl. I, 6-9, and p. 140, Pl. XII, 14.
\textsuperscript{35} Ibid., IV, p. 10, Pl. I, 10.
\textsuperscript{36} Ibid., XXII, p. 131, Pl. VI, 18.
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mitra\textsuperscript{37}, Satamita (\textit{Śatamitra})\textsuperscript{38}, Suramita (\textit{Suramitra})\textsuperscript{39}, Varuṇamita (\textit{Varuṇamitra})\textsuperscript{40}, and Vavaghosha (?) or Śivaghosha.\textsuperscript{41}

From the few epigraphic records of this period also, we know the names of several local rulers of Kauśāmbī who may very well be identified with some of the kings known from coins. Thus, the Bhārḥut Gateway inscription\textsuperscript{42} reveals to us the names of three generations of rulers, viz., Gārgiputra Viśvadeva, Gauptiputra Āgaraju and Vatsiputra Dhanabhūti, of whom Āgaraju is generally identified with Agarakā of the Kauśāmbī coins.\textsuperscript{43} The line of Dhanabhūti, therefore, appears to have been ruling somewhere in Southern Kauśāmbī near Bhārḥut. No coin of either Viśvadeva or Dhanabhūti, however, is so far reported to have come from Kauśāmbī. But a clay sealing of Dhanabhūti has been discovered there.\textsuperscript{44}

The Sarnath pillar of Aśoka bears an inscription dated in the 40th year of king Aśvaghosha.\textsuperscript{45} The characters of the inscription are very similar to those on the coins of Aśvaghosha and the two rulers may easily be identified. The date of the inscription, if referred to the Śaka era according to Vogel's suggestion, would fall in the reign of Huvishka, the dates of whose inscriptions range from Year 28 to Year 60 of Kaṇishka's reckoning. But since there is evidence of the extension of the Kusāna sway over the Kauśāmbī area during the time of Kaṇiška, and since the early struck coins of Kauśāmbī were of a continuous series, Aśvaghosha of those coins cannot be placed later than the beginning of Kaṇiška's reign, when all local

\textsuperscript{37} Ibid., IV, p. 135, Pl. XII, 7-8.
\textsuperscript{38} Ibid., IV, p. 134, Pl. XII, 6. The Sanskritised form of the name of the ruler would be Śatamitra, not Satyamitra, since Satyamitra would be Sachamita in Prakrit.
\textsuperscript{39} \textit{JNSI}, IV, p. 5, Pl. I. 3 and ibid., XI, p. 10, Pl. III. 2.
\textsuperscript{40} Ibid. IV, p. 6, Pl. I. 5, and p. 141, Pl. XII. 16; ibid., VIII, p. 17, Pl. 1B. 2.
\textsuperscript{41} Ibid., IV, p. 2, Pl. I. 1. Altekar read the name as Vavaghosha, but Burns suggested Śivaghosha (\textit{JNSI}, VII, pp. 101-102). It is difficult to determine which reading is correct, since the illustration is not clear.
\textsuperscript{42} SI, p. 89.
\textsuperscript{43} Cf. \textit{JBRs}, XXX, p. 205.
\textsuperscript{44} \textit{JNSI}, XXVII, p. 188; also cf. p. 59 above.
\textsuperscript{45} J. P. H. Vogel, \textit{Ep. Ind.}, VIII, p. 171.
coinages seem to have stopped for a time. The date, again, cannot refer itself to the Vikrama era of 58 B.C., so early use of which is not known in this region. The only other alternative, therefore, is to refer the Year 40 of the epigraph to the regnal year of the king, although such a long reign in this disturbed period seems to be unusual. However, if we accept the Year 40 as the regnal year of king Aśvaghośa, there arises no difficulty in identifying him with his numismatic namesake, since the writings on both the inscription and the coins concerned appear to belong to the first century A.D.

As already noted, Brīhāsvātimita of the Morā brick inscription may be identified with Bahasatimita (I) of the cast coins, while Bahasatimitra of the Pabhosā records appears to be the same as Bahasatimita (II) of the struck coins. It is, however, difficult to determine if the latter can be identified with the Magadhan king of that name, mentioned in the Hāthigumpha inscription.46

Two other rulers of the Kauśāmbī region named Dāmanitra47 and Devimitra48, so far not known from coins, are however mentioned in some brick inscriptions found at Musānagar in Kanpur District. Jyeshṭhamitra of coins may probably be identified with Jyeshṭhamitra of a Kauśāmbī inscription referred to by H. C. Raychaudhuri.49 Rājamitra of coins may be identified with king Rājamitra of an inscription in the Allahabad Municipal Museum dated in his 26th regnal year.50 Another king named Śivamitra, not hitherto represented by coins, is referred to in a stone-slab inscription from Kauśāmbī dated in his 12th regnal year.51 The Pabhosā inscription possibly refers to another

46 Cf. pp. 68-69 and 99 above; also SI, p. 209, l. 12.
48 Ibid., XXX, pp. 118 ff. Altekar wrongly read the name as Devamitra whom he identified with Devamitra of two coins found at Kauśāmbī by Cunningham (CASR, X, p. 4). But as these coins are yet unpublished, we do not know if they belonged to a king of Kauśāmbī or to Devamitra of Ayodhyā, who flourished at a later time. The correct form of the name in the inscription is, however, Devimitra: cf. Sircar, Ep. Ind., XXX, p. 99.
49 The Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 11, 1936, p. 5 as noticed in PHAlt, p. 393, n. 1.
51 ASR 1913-14, pp. 262 ff.
king of Kauśāmbī, Údāka, in whose tenth regnal year the record is dated. Varuṇamitra, known from some recently discovered coins of Kauśāmbī, may be identified with king Gaupūṭiputra Varuṇamitra referred to in a fragmentary inscription of his son Kohaḍīputra... (whose personal name is lost), and ascribed to the same period as that of the Pabhosā epigraphs (i.e. the latter part of the first century B.C.) or somewhat later.

Besides the coins and inscriptions, some clay sealings unearthed from Rājghat in Vārāṇasi also reveal the names of a number of rulers some of whom may probably be identified with kings known from coins. They bear the names of 'Yuvarāja Magu' (?), Jeṭhadata (Jyeshṭhadatta), Jyeshṭhamitra, Aśvaghosha, a few Magha rulers and others. Of them Jyeshṭhamitra and Aśvaghosha were probably the same as their namesakes of the Kauśāmbī coins; for it is not unlikely that Vārāṇasi was included within the sphere of Kauśāmbī rulers.

It is very difficult to arrange so many rulers in their proper chronological positions without any clue other than the evidence of their coins. We shall try here to find out connecting links between rulers, as revealed by the typological interconnections of their coins. We have already noted that the earliest known members of the local dynasty of Kauśāmbī were Sudeva and Bahasatimita I, since their coins are closely connected with the early uninscribed cast pieces of Kauśāmbī. They were followed by rulers represented by the struck coins of Class II. The relationship between these two groups of rulers who are known from two different classes of coins cannot be ascertained.

Of the rulers represented by the struck coins, Aśvaghosha and Parvata are considered to be the earliest by Allah who seems to place them about the second half of the second century B.C. But, Aśvaghosha of the coins who is most probably to be identified with Aśvaghosha of the Sarnath pillar inscription, cannot be placed so early. For, the coin-legend as well as the inscription suggests a date not earlier than the first century A.D. On the other hand, the coin of Vavaghosha

52 AI, pp. 97-98, No. 1; see also p. 53 and n. 29 above.
53 Ind. Cult., I, pp. 694 f.
54 JNSI, XXIII, pp. 411-12.
KAUŚĀMBĪ AND AYODHYĀ

(or Śivaghosha), recently discovered from Kauśāmbī, exhibits the square incuse, characteristic of the early struck coins of India, as well as somewhat earlier from of letterings in the coin-legend. He therefore may be placed earliest amongst the kings so far represented by struck coins, and may be assigned to a date about the beginning of the first century B.C.

Vavaghosha (or Śivaghosha) is connected by symbols with Praushṭhamitra and Jyeshṭhamitra; for, besides the 'tree-in-railing' (S 11), common to all Kauśāmbī coins, the so-called Ujjain symbol (S 27) and the taurine symbol (S 35) appear on the coins of all the three rulers. Again, the svastika (S 31 or 30) which occurs on the coins of both Vavaghosha (or Śivaghosha) and Jyeshṭhamitra, suggests that the two may not have been far separated in time. Jyeshṭhamitra also seems to have been connected by type with Jyeshṭhabhūti whose coins have recently been published; for, only these two Kauśāmbī kings have used the device of a standing male figure on the obverse of their coins. Jyeshṭhabhūti might have been ruling contemporaneously with Jyeshṭhamitra in some other part of Kauśāmbī.

Coins of kings Praushṭhamitra and Jyeshṭhamitra are again connected with those of Agnimitra and Bṛhaspatimitra II by their style, execution and the combination of symbols. Moreover, some coins of all these four rulers are countermarked by the same symbol, viz. the nandipada-tribula (S 32). Again, the bull on the coins of these rulers is depicted as facing the left as that on the coins of Vavaghosha (or Śivaghosha), whereas it is seen as facing the right on those of the other rulers. Coins of Jyeshṭhamitra, Agnimitra and Bṛhaspatimi-

56 Cf. JNSI, IV, p. 2, Pl. I. 1 (Vavaghosha or Śivaghosha) and ibid., p. 144, Pl. XII, 23 (Jyeshṭhamitra).
57 Cf. BMC(AI), p. 154, Pl. XX, 1 (Jyeshṭhamitra) and JNSI, XXII, p. 131, Pl. VI. 20 (Jyeshṭhabhūti). There is, however, some difference in the two representations of the device.
58 Cf. JNSI, VIII, p. 11, Pl I. 7 (Praushṭhamitra); ibid., IV, p. 144, Pl. XII. 23 (Jyeshṭhamitra); BMC(AI), p. 153, Pl. XX 5 (Agnimitra); and ibid., p. 152, Pl. XXI. 18 (Bṛhaspatimitra II).
59 BMC(AI), p. 154, Pl. XX, 9 (left) and JNSI, IV, p. 144, Pl. XII. 23 (right).
60 BMC(AI), p. 153, Pl. XXVIII, 15 (left) and ibid., Pl. XX, 5 (right).
mitra II\textsuperscript{61}, however, exhibit both the varieites, viz. 'bull to left' and 'bull to right'. It is, therefore, not impossible that these three rulers came in between those who issued only 'bull to left' coins (i.e. Vavaghosha or Śivaghosha and Praushṭhamitra) and the others who struck only 'bull to right' coins.

The style and fabric of the coins of Agnimitra and Bṛhaspatimitra II exhibit a close connection between the two. Agnimitra might have preceded Bṛhaspatimitra who appears to have been succeeded by Üdaka, according to the evidence of the Pabhosā inscription (No. 1) as will be seen below. Since this inscription (assignable to the second half of the first century B.C.) refers to Bṛhaspatimitra probably as the former king, he may roughly be placed about 50 B.C. The Pabhosā inscriptions also inform us that Bṛhaspatimitra was the sister's son of Āśaṭhasena, and the great-grandson of king Vaigapāla of Ahichchhatrā. The name of a Bṛhaspatimitra also occurs on some coins found at Ahichchhatrā along with other coins of the Pañchāla series\textsuperscript{62}, which are approximately of the same date. It is, therefore, not impossible that Bṛhaspatimitra of the Kauśambi coins was identical with his namesake of the Pañchāla coins, and inherited both the kingdoms of Kauśambi and Pañchāla. But Agnimitra of the Kauśambi coins who was probably a predecessor of Bṛhaspatimitra, cannot be identified with Agnimitra of the Pañchāla series\textsuperscript{63}, because the latter seems to have flourished (as will be seen below, Ch. VII) at a much later time. Nor can he be identified with Agnimitra Śuṅga who ruled about the middle of the second century B.C.

If Bṛhaspatimitra mentioned in the Hāthigumphā inscription was actually identical with this Bṛhaspatimitra, we have to hold that his territories included also Magadha proper. In that case, he should be taken as a great king of his time, being the ruler of Pañchāla, Kauśambi and Magadha. We may here refer to the coins of Bṛhaspatimitra, countermarked with the nandipada symbol. As this nandipada also occurs in the Hāthigumphā inscription of Khāravela who claims to have defeated Bṛhaspatimitra, Jayaswal held that it was Khāravela who countermarked

61 Ibid., p. 152, No. 24 (left) and ibid., p. 151, Pl. XX. 2 (right).
62 See IMC, p. 185. The coin is not published.
the coins of Bṛihaspatimitra after defeating him. But it may be pointed out that not only the coins of Bṛihaspatimitra but also of three other rulers, viz. Praus śthamitra, Jyes śthamitra and Agnimitra, are countermarked with the same symbol. Moreover, the identification of Bṛihaspatimitra of these coins with his namesake mentioned in the Hāthisékhā inscription is by no means certain. Had he really been the same as the Bṛihaspatimitra of Magadhā, we would expect some of his coins there. But curiously enough, while coins of some other Mitra rulers (of Pañchāla) were found in the Pātaliputra excavations, no coin of Bṛihaspatimitra has been discovered there. Even if we take the two as identical, we have to assume that Khāravela ruled for some time at Kauśāmbī; otherwise there was no need for him to countermark the Kauśāmbī series of Bṛihaspatimitra’s coins. But we do not find any indication in the Hāthisékhā inscription to warrant such a presumption.

On the other hand, the occurrence of the counterstriking symbol nandipada (S 32) as a component of the obverse device of a recently published coin of the new Kauśāmbī king Rāmamitra appears to be significant. It is not unlikely that the nandipada symbol was the personal emblem of this ruler (who alone is known to have used it), and that on his authority the coins of Praus śthamitra, Jyes śthamitra, Agnimitra and Bṛihaspatimitra were counterstruck with the nandipada. In any case, this symbol seems to connect Rāmamitra chronologically with the above four rulers.

Bṛihaspatimitra appears to have been succeeded by Ūdāka; for, though Āśādhahasena, in his pabhosā inscription (No. 1), gives his relationship with King Bṛihaspatimitra, he dates the record in the tenth year of Ūdāka. This may indicate, as suggested by N. N. Ghosh, that while Ūdāka is mentioned as the reigning king of the time, Bṛihaspatimitra is probably referred to as the former sovereign with whom Āśādhahasena was related. There is, however, no indication in the inscription of any relationship existing between Bṛihaspatimitra and Ūdāka. It is also difficult to determine in what relationship

---

64 Cf. JBO, III, p. 428, and ibid., XXI, p. 192.
65 See ASR 1912-13, p. 84, Nos. 6, 10, 19 and 29.
66 See EHK, pp. 43-44.
Údāka stood with Rāmanītra who also appears to be a successor of Bṛhaspatimitra. Possibly both Rāmanītra and Údāka were ruling somewhat contemporaneously in different parts of the kingdom of Kauśāmbī. No coin of Údāka is, however, known.

Agarāja of the Kauśāmbī coins is connected with the Bṛhaspatimitra group of rulers (viz. Praushṭhamitra, Jyeshṭhamitra, Agnimitra and Bṛhaspatimitra), as his coins also exhibit both ‘bull to left’ and ‘bull to right’ types. The taurine and Ujjain symbols (S 35 and S 27) on his coins also connect him with Jyeshṭhamitra and others. If he was the same as Āgarāju of the Bhārhatu Gateway inscription, he may be placed about the middle of the first century B.C., as the epigraph of his son Dhanabhūti is assigned to the latter part of that century. Agarāja (or Āgarāju) thus becomes more or less contemporary with Bṛhaspatimitra. It is possible that while Agarāja was ruling in the southern portion of Kauśāmbī along with the Bhārhatu area, Bṛhaspatimitra and others were ruling in the northern part of Kauśāmbī. From the Bhārhatu inscription, we know that his father was king Viśvadeva and his son was Dhanabhūti. But no coin of either Viśvadeva or Dhanabhūti has been found in the Kauśāmbī region.

Varuṇamitra, identified with king Gauptiputra Varuṇamitra of a fragmentary Kosam inscription, was probably one of the immediate successors of Bṛhaspatimitra as that epigraph is assignable to a date slightly later than that of the Pabhosā inscription referring to Bṛhaspatimitra. A recently discovered coin of Varuṇamitra, countermarked with the symbol of nandipada-and-triangle-headed-standard (S 7) also affords some connection between Varuṇamitra and the Bṛhaspatimitra group of rulers (some of whose coins are countermarked with the nandipada symbol). A Varuṇamitra is known from the Paṇchāla series of coins as well; but he seems to have flourished much later (as we shall see below, Ch. VII, Sec. I). Altekar suggests some

---

67 For ‘bull to l.’ see JNSI, IV, p. 15, Pl. I. 17 (the reading Śuṅgarāja is corrected in ibid., p. 137); and for ‘bull to r.’, ibid., p. 138, Pl. XII. 10.

68 Ibid., VIII, p. 17, Pl. 1-B.2. Some coins of Bṛhaspatimitra are also countermarked with ‘triangle-headed-standard-in-railing’ (S 4); cf. BMC (AI), pp. xcvi and 152, Pl. XX. 4.

69 JNSI, V, p. 17, Pl II. B; cf. p. 103 above.
connection between Gauptiputra Āgaraju and Gauptiputra Varuṇamitra, as they both bear the same metronymic. But we do not know if the identity of metronymic is sufficient to warrant such a supposition.

Parvata and Aśvaghosha, though they issued round coins as usual, also struck some square pieces of thin fabric, which fact connects them together. The round coin of Parvata, again, has close resemblance with similar pieces of Bṛihaspatimitra II. Parvata appears therefore to be nearer to Bṛihaspatimitra than Aśvaghosha. If this Aśvaghosha was identical with Rājan Aśvaghosha of the Sārnāth pillar inscription, he may be placed about the beginning of the first century A.D.

Īśvaramitra, one of the recently known kings, also issued both round and square coins, and may probably be connected with Parvata and Aśvaghosha in this respect. It is curious that he alone of all the early Kauśāmbi rulers used the title rājan on his square coins. Rādhamitra and Priyamitra, also known from some recently discovered coins, struck square pieces and might have flourished in the same age.

Nothing can be said about Suramitra, Sarpamitra and Satamitra until better specimens of their coins with clearer legends are forthcoming. Satamitra, however, cannot be identified with Satyamitra of the Ayodhyā coins, who was much later in date. These three rulers may be assigned to a date about the first century B.C. or A.D. They might have been ruling contemporaneously with the Aśvaghosa group of rulers in some other part of Kauśāmbi.

Rājamitra, Prajāpatimitra and Rajanīmitra are connected with one another by the arrangement of their coin-legends, written in a circular way along the margin, instead of in

---

70 Ibid., IV, pp. 6-7.
71 IMC, p. 155, Pl. XX. 4 (Parvata) and JBORS, XX, p. 8, Pl. II. 5 (Aśvaghosha: the coin is said to be of alloyed silver).
72 BMC (AI), p. 150, Pl. XX. 10 (Parvata) and ibid., Pl. XX. 6 (Aśvaghosha).
73 Cf. IMC, p. 155, Pl. XX. 4 (Parvata) and BMC(AI), p. 151, Pl. XX. 2 (Bṛihaspatimitra).
74 JNSI, XX, p. 44, Pl. II. 5 (round) and Pl. II. 6 (square).
75 For the coin of Satyamitra of Ayodhya see BMC(AI), p. 135, Pl. XVII. 10. For his date see below, Sec. II (Ayodhya). Cf. also p. 101 above.
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straight lines, as was the custom hitherto prevailing. Of them, Rājamitra alone also wrote his legend in a straight line\textsuperscript{76}, which fact indicates that he was probably the first to introduce the new fashion of inscribing the legend in a circular way. He, therefore, may be placed first amongst the three rulers. Again, the form of the tree device (S 15) on Rajanīmitra’s coins resembles closely that on the coins of Dhanadeva\textsuperscript{77} and Nava\textsuperscript{78}, which are of a later date. So Rajanīmitra seems to be the latest of the trio. Prajāpatimitra thus comes in between Rājamitra and Rajanīmitra. We cannot, however, say if this Prajāpatimitra was identical with his namesake of the Pañchāla coins.\textsuperscript{79}

King Śivamitra, known only from a mutilated inscription from Kosam, was perhaps one of the latest of the early rulers of Kauśāmbi, as “the characters of the inscription are of the early Kushāṇa type and its language is a mixture of Sanskrit and Prakrit.”\textsuperscript{80} As the inscription is dated in his twelfth regnal year, Śivamitra must have ruled at least for twelve years—probably towards the middle of the first century A. D.

The Musanagar brick inscriptions record the performance of horse-sacrifices by two other rulers, viz. Devīmitra and Dānamitra, who are also not known from coins. Of them, the former is assigned to the close of the first century B.C. or the beginning of the first century A. D., while the latter is placed in the first half of the first century A. D.\textsuperscript{81} These two kings seem to have ruled in the Kanpur area, contemporaneously with some of the Kauśāmbi rulers.

The early rulers of the Kauśāmbi region may thus be arranged chronologically in the following groups:—

1. Sudeva
2. Bṛhaspatimitra I

\textsuperscript{76} Ibid., p. 8, Pl. I. 8 and p. 140, Pl. XII. 14.
\textsuperscript{77} \textit{BMC(Al)}, p. 153, Pl. XX. 12.
\textsuperscript{78} Ibid., p. 154, Pl. XXI. 4.
\textsuperscript{80} R. D. Banerji, \textit{ASR} 1913-14, p. 263.
\textsuperscript{81} D. C. Sircar, \textit{Ej. Ind.}, XXXIII, p. 100.
3. Vavaghosha or Śivaghosha
4. Praushṭhamitra
5. Jyeshṭhamitra
6. Jyeshṭhabhūti
7. Agnimitra
8. Bṛhaspatimitra II
9. Agarāja or Āgaraju
10. Rāmamitra
11. Ūdāka (known from inscription)
12. Varuṇamitra
13. Parvata
14. Aśvaghosha
15. Īśvaramitra
16. Rādhamitra
17. Priyamitra
18. Devimitra (known from inscription)
19. Dāmamitra (known from inscription)

- about the first half of the first century B.C.
- about the second half of the first century B.C.
- about the first half of the first century A.D.
- about the closing years of the first century B.C. or the beginning of the first century A.D.
- about the middle of the first century A.D.

There are some other coins of the early Kaūsāmbī type with doubtful legends, such as, Mahārājasa, Mahāvaruṇḍa, Nāvikasa,

82 JNSI, XXII, p. 256, Pl. X. 13. See also ibid. p. 257, Pl. X. 14 for another indistinct coin of doubtful reading, rāja Āyya or Āyu.
83 JASB 1873, p. 109.
84 JNSI, IV, p. 136, Pl. XII. 9 and VIII, p. 11, Pl. I. 8. For the seal with the legend Nāvikasa, see JUPHS, XII, p. 84. As no royal title is attached to the name, it is doubtful if he can be identified with Nāvika of the coins.
Siṅhasa, 85 Hastīpāla, 86 etc. Until better specimens with clearer legends and symbols are forthcoming, the correct names and the chronological positions of the rulers represented by these coins cannot be determined.

B. The Later Rulers and the Maghas of the Kauśāmbī-Rewa Region

1. The Later Rulers (the Dhanadeva Group)

The local coinage of Kauśāmbī seems to have stopped only for the duration of Kaṇishka’s reign. This shows that the Kūṣaṇa hold on Kauśāmbī was not much effective and that it soon passed into the hands of the indigenous rulers. 87 The coins of Dhanadeva and their somewhat degenerate copies with the names of Nava, Mūlahasta (?), Viṣṇuśrī (?) and others are to be assigned to the early centuries of the Christian era. 88 These coins form a group by themselves and are distinct from the early struck coins of Kauśāmbī. We find, however, a chronological link between these two series of coins in that the form of the tree-device on the coins of Rajanimitra (probably the latest member of the earlier dynasty) closely resembles that on Dhanadeva’s coins, as already seen. The coins of Nava and others are merely copied from those of Dhanadeva. These rulers apparently followed Dhanadeva at Kauśāmbī and their rule seems to have covered the early decades of the second century A. D.

This Dhanadeva may probably be identified with Rājan Dhanadeva, a large number of whose sealings were discovered at

85 JRAS 1903, p. 307, Pl. fig. 16.
86 First read as Ḥathipara, i.e. Hastināpura (JNSI, XXIV, p. 20, Pl. II. 1), then as Hastīpāla, a ruler (ibid., XXV, p. 99) and identified with Hastīpāla of a clay sealing (ibid., XXVIII, p. 44, Pl. II. 11).
87 The discovery of Vāsudeva’s coin at Kauśāmbī does not necessarily indicate his rule in that area. No inscription of Vāsudeva has yet been discovered beyond Mahurā. It is possible that, although Kūṣaṇa rule was extinct at Kauśāmbī, Kūṣaṇa coins were in use there, side by side with the local coins (cf. N. P. Chakravarti, Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 157).
88 See BMC (AI), p. 153, Pl. XX, 12 (Dhanadeva); p. 154, Pl. XXI, 12 (Nava); p. 156, Pl. XXI. 9 (Mūlahasta?) and p. 157, No. 65 (Viṣṇuśrī?) and p. xcvi for the date. Allan reads rāja before the name of Dhanadeva, but we do not see the title there. This Dhandeva cannot be identified with his namesake of the Ayodhya coins, as the latter flourished much earlier.
Rajghat near Varanasi, for, both the coins and the sealings seem to be of about the same date. Another sealing having similar devices as those of Dhanadeva reveals the name of one Rajan Abhaya, who is not so far known from any other source.

An inscription in the Allahabad Municipal Museum assigned to the first part of the second century A.D. and dated in the 23rd regnal year of a certain king, commemorates some sacrifices of his minister Sivadatta; but, as the name of that king is unfortunately lost, we have no means to determine who he was.

Altekar places Nava in the third or fourth century A.D., i.e. after the Magha kings, as his coin-type is very similar to that of the Maghas. But this similarity is actually due to the fact that it was the Maghas who succeeded the Nava group of rulers whose type they copied. The form of the tree (S 15) on the coins of Rajanimitra, on those of Dhanadeva and the Nava group of rulers as well as on that of Bhimasena, the earliest known king of the Magha dynasty forms a connecting link, whereas this continuity is broken if Nava and others are placed after the Maghas, for the form of the tree on the coins of the later rulers of the Magha dynasty is slightly different from that on Bhimasena’s coins. This chronological order is also supported by the stratigraphical evidence of coins discovered in the Kausambi excavations. Out of the nine sub-periods found there, Mitra and Kushana coins appear first in Sub-period V where coins of Rajanimitra are found along with an inscribed seal of Kanishka bearing the legend: Mahārāja rājātirāja desavutra Kanishkasya prayoge. From Sub-period VI have been found coins of Kanishka, Huvishka, Vasudeva, Nava and the Maghas, while Sub-period VII has

---

89 JNSI, XXIII, p. 412, No. 9.
90 Ibid., No. 8.
91 Ep. Ind., XXIV, pp. 245 ff.
92 JFR, I, p. 160. It may also be noted that some Rajghat sealings with the legend Navasasa have similar devices as are found on Magha seals (JNSI, XXIII, p. 412, No. 7). We do not know if this Nava was identical with Nava of coins.
93 Cf. JNSI, IV, p. 10, Pl. I. 10 (Rajanimitra); BMC (AI), p. 153, Pl. XX, 12 (Dhanadeva); ibid., p. 154, Pl. XXI. 4 (Nava); ibid., p. 156, Pl. XXI, 9 (Mulasaha?); and JNSI, VIII, p. 15, Pl. IB (Bhimaesna).
94 Cf. for example, Bhimavarn’s coin, JNSI, II, p. 108, Pl. IX, 9.
95 See Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 175.
yielded coins of the Maghas only. It is therefore evident that the Nava group of rulers came in between the Kushāṇas and the Maghas at Kausāmbī.

2. The Maghas

From the Purāṇas we know that nine very powerful kings of the ‘Megha’ dynasty ruled in Kośala.96 These ‘Meghas’ are identified with the rulers who are known from several dated inscriptions found in the Rewa District and at Kausāmbī, as well as from some seals in the Bhīṭā excavations and a large number of coins from Fatehpur and territories adjoining Kausāmbī; for the names of majority of the rulers end in ‘magha.’

The Purāṇas make the ‘Meghas’ the lords of Kośala. The context in which it is mentioned (along with Mekalā and certain states of the Deccan) shows that Southern Kośala is intended. But it is to be noted that no Magha records are found in South Kośala, i.e. the Raipur-Bilaspur region of Madhya Pradesh. It is, however, likely that in the height of their power the Magha dominions might have extended upto Bilaspur in the south from Fatehpur in the north, including Baghelkhand.

The following Magha kings are so far known from coins and inscriptions:—

1. Vaśisṭhīputra Bhimasena: known from the Bāndhogarh inscription of Year 51,97 the Gīṇā inscription of Year 52,98 a Bhīṭā seal,99 and a few coins.100

96 **DKA**, p. 51 (text) and p. 73 (trans.). Jayaswal thinks that the ‘Meghas’ might have been the descendants of the Chedis of Orissa and Kaliṅga, i.e., the family of Kaṅravela, who are called Mahāmeghas in their imperial days: **HI**, p. 87.
97 See **Ep. Ind.**, XXXI, p. 177.
98 **CASR**, XXI, p. 119.
99 **ASR** 1911-12, p. 50, No. 27. He may also be identified with Rājan Bhimasaṇa of the Rājghat seal: **JNSI**, XXIII, p. 411, No. 6.
100 **JNSI**, VIII, p. 15, Pl. IB. 1. Another coin attributed to Bhimavarman (ibid., p. 10, Pl. I. 5) is really ascribable to Bhimasena, since the devices have great similarity with those on the known coin of Bhimasena, but not with those of Bhimavarman. Moreover, the title Rājan, as found on this coin, appears on the coin of Bhimasena alone, not on those of any other Magha ruler.
2. Kautsi.putra Praushṭhaśri, son of Bhimasena: known from Bāndhogarh inscriptions of Years 86, 87 and 88\(^\text{101}\) and from some coins.\(^\text{102}\)

3. Kausikīputra Bhadradeva, son of Praushṭhaśri: known from the Bāndhogarh inscription of Year 90 (he may probably be identified with Bhaṭṭadeva of another undated Bāndhogarh inscription.)\(^\text{103}\)

4. Bhadramagha: known from several Kauśāmbī inscriptions with Years 81,\(^\text{104}\) 83,\(^\text{105}\) 86, 87,\(^\text{106}\) and from coins.\(^\text{107}\)

5. Gautamīputra Śivamagha: known from a Bāndhogarh inscription,\(^\text{108}\) and a Kauśāmbī inscription,\(^\text{109}\) in both of which the date is lost. He is also known from a Bhīṭā seal and\(^\text{110}\) coins.\(^\text{111}\)

6. Vaiśravaṇa: known from a Kauśāmbī inscription of Year 107,\(^\text{112}\) two undated records from Bāndhogarh\(^\text{113}\) and from coins.\(^\text{114}\)

7. Bhīmavarman: known from two Kauśāmbī inscriptions of Years 130\(^\text{115}\) and 139\(^\text{116}\) and from coins.\(^\text{117}\)

\(^{101}\) Ep. Ind., XXX, pp. 166 ff., Nos. II-VI, VIII-X (Year 86), No. XVII (Year 87), and No. VII (Year 88).

\(^{102}\) ASR 1911-12, p. 65, Nos. 43-71; also JNSI, IV, pp. 136-37, Pl. XII, 2-5, where the legend on four coins are probably wrongly read as Pushvatsriya[Il] for Praushṭhaśriya[Il] (cf. AIIU, p. 75, n. 1).

\(^{103}\) Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 182, No. XI and p. 183, No. XIII. For the identification of the two cf. 119, p. 176.

\(^{104}\) Ep. Ind., XXIV, pp. 253 ff.


\(^{107}\) BMC(Al), p. 159, No. 71 and No. 72 Pl. XXI. 14; JNSI, II, p. 105, Pl. IX. 1-2.

\(^{108}\) Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 184, No. XIV.

\(^{109}\) Ibid., XVIII, p. 150, No. II.

\(^{110}\) ASR 1911-12, p. 51, Nos. 26 and 28.

\(^{111}\) BMC(Al), pp. 156-57, No. 59-61, and No. 64 Pl. XXI. 13; JNSI, II, pp. 105-06, Pl. IX. 3-5.

\(^{112}\) Ep. Ind., XXIV, p. 146.

\(^{113}\) Ibid., XXXI, pp. 185-86, Nos. XVIII-XIX.


\(^{115}\) Ind. Cult., III, pp. 177 ff.

\(^{116}\) CII, III, p. 266.

Besides these seven rulers, coins reveal the names of Sata-
magha, Vijayamagha and several others, who were probably
later members of the dynasty.

Some sealings from Rajghat, of exactly same types as those
of the Maghas reveal the names of Krishnasena, Harisena, Rājan
Bhīmasena, Mahāsenājati Rudramagha and Rājan Kautsīputra
Śivamagha. Of them Rājan Bhīmasena may be identified
with Rājan Vāśishṭhiputra Śri Bhīmasena of the Bhiṭa seal,
which is of the same type. Rājan Kautsīputra Śivamagha is
apparently differentiated from Rājan Gautamīputra Śivamagha
of the Bhiṭā seal by his metronymic. Although Kautsīputra
Śivamagha and Mahāsenāpati Rudramagha were of the Magha
family, there is no clue to determine their dynastic positions.
Krishnasena and Harisena, whose seals bear close similarity to
those of Bhīmasena, might also have belonged to the same
family as that of the latter, which is suggested by their name-
endings as well. The absence of the royal title before their
names, however, may indicate that they were the predecessors of
Bhīmasena who was the first independent ruler of the dynasty
and was the first to assume the title Rājan.

The Magha inscriptions, dated as they are, help us to
determine the approximate reign periods and order of succes-
sion of almost all the early rulers, excepting Śivamagha, none
of whose inscriptions preserve the date. The identification of
the era in which the Magha records are dated has been a point
of much discussion amongst scholars. Cunningham, Fleet, and D. R. Sahni identified it with the Gupta era, as the
characters of the inscriptions are of the early Gupta type, and
took the Maghas as Gupta feudatories. Jayaswal on the
other hand, took the Maghas as feudatories of the Vākṣṭakas
and thought that the Magha records were dated in the Kala-

118 Ibid., IV, p. 10, Pl. I. 11 (Satamagha); ibid., p. 11, Pl. I. 12-13, and ibid.,
XI, p. 13, Pl. III. 6 (Vijayamagha). For others see below.
119 Ibid., XXIII, pp. 411-12.
120 CASR, XXI, p. 119.
121 CI, III, pp. 266 ff.
122 Ep. Ind., XVIII, pp. 159 ff.
123 HI, pp. 108-09 and 229-30. N. G. Majumdar (Ep. Ind., XXIV, p. 146),
Krishnadeva (ibid., p. 253) and A. Ghosh (Ind. Cult., III, p. 179) are also in favour
of the Kalachuri-Chedi era.
churi-Chedi era of 248 A. D., which, according to him, marked the foundation of the Vākāṭaka power.

Modern scholars\textsuperscript{124} have, however, pointed out that there is not always much difference between Kushāṇa and early Gupta characters and that there is nothing in the palaeography of these inscriptions which compels us to place them in the Gupta period and stands in the way of assigning them to the Kushāṇa period. Marshall's dating of Śivamagha's Bhūta seal in the second or third century A. D.\textsuperscript{125} led Sten Konow to refer the era to the Kanishka reckoning.\textsuperscript{126} Moreover, from the particular mode of dating and the language of the inscriptions which is Prakrit mixed with Sanskrit, G. Chatterji also comes to the conclusion that all these records are dated in the Śaka era.\textsuperscript{127} It is interesting to note in this connection that Cunningham, while noticing the Giṅjā inscription of Bhimasena of Year 52, admits that although the characters of the inscription are of the earliest Gupta forms, 'the style of reckoning the date agrees so exactly with that used by the Indo-Scythian princes, Kanishka, Huvishka, and Vāsudeva, that I feel constrained to assign the record to the earlier period.\textsuperscript{128}

The most serious objection against referring the dates to the Chedi or the Gupta era, as pointed out by Altekar, is the contemporaneity of some of these rulers with the Imperial Guptas, which it renders inevitable.\textsuperscript{129} It is most unlikely that a conqueror like Samudragupta should allow a feudatory family ruling at Kauśāmī in the heart of his dominions, to issue its own coinage. If the Maghas were Gupta feudatories, their records would have mentioned the names of their Gupta overlords; for, the early Gupta feudatories, even in the distant parts of the empire, generally referred to the Gupta emperors in their records. The Śaka era was introduced in the locality by the Kushāṇas, and the Maghas who used this era, were

\textsuperscript{124} Marshall, ASR 1911-12, p. 417; Sten Konow, Ep. Ind., XXIII, p. 247; and Motichandra, JNSI, II, pp. 95 ff.
\textsuperscript{125} ASR 1911-12, p. 50.
\textsuperscript{126} Ep. Ind., XXIII, p. 247.
\textsuperscript{127} Yha Com. Vol., pp. 101 ff.; cf. also AIU, p. 175 in favour of Śaka era.
\textsuperscript{128} CASR, XXI, p. 120.
\textsuperscript{129} NHIP, VI, p. 41, n. 2.
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amongst the powers that rose after the decline of the Kushānas.

The earliest records of the Maghas are found at Gīnjā and Bāndhogarh in the Rewa District of Madhya Pradesh. Over a score of these inscriptions were found in rock-cut caverns in Bāndhogarh. The main group of inscriptions introduces three generations of kings of whom very little or practically nothing was known so far. They are Mahārāja Vāśishṭhiputra Bhīmasena (Year 51), his son Mahārāja Kauśiliputra Praushṭhaśrī (Years 86, 87, 88) and his son Mahārāja Kauśikiputra Bhāṭṭadeva or Bhaṭṭadeva (Year 90). These inscriptions record donations of several cave-dwellings and amenities like wells, gardens and maṇḍapas, near these dwellings. 130 Mahārāja Bhīmasena of the Bāndhogarh epigraph is identified with Rājan Vāśishṭhiputra Bhīmasena of a Bhīṭa seal, the metronymic being the same. 131 He is also known from the Gīnjā hill inscription, dated in the Year 52 (A. D. 130). Recently a coin of Bhīmasena has come to light.

The next known date after Bhīmasena’s Year 52 is Year 81 of Bhadravamga, known from a Kauśāmbi record. For the Years 86 and 87, there are records both for Praushṭhaśrī at Bāndhogarh and for Bhadravamga at Kauśāmbi. For Sīvamagha we have got one record from Bāndhogarh and one from Kauśāmbi, the date portion of both of which is unfortunately lost. He is also known from his Bhīṭa seals and Patehpūr and Kauśāmbi coins.

Altekar identifies Bhadravamga of the Kauśāmbi inscriptions with Bhāṭṭadeva or Bhāṭṭadeva of the Bāndhogarh inscriptions. 132 Thus, he holds that Bhīmasena was ruling in the jungles of Baghelkhand and Rewa, where the Kushānas did not penetrate and that Kauśāmbi could not have been under his sway as the Kushānas were then in the zenith of their power.

---

130 Ancient India, No. 5, p 52; also Ep. Ind., XXXI, pp. 167 ff.
131 A. Ghosh distinguishes Bhīmasena of the seal from Bhīmasena of the inscription because of the difference in titles: Ind. Cult., III, p. 179. But Rājan and Mahārāja in private records probably did not make any difference in the status of a ruler. For contrary view cf. Motichandra, JNSI, II, p. 99, Altekar, NHIP, VI, p. 42, and Sircear, AIU, p. 176, all of whom have taken both Rājan Bhīmasena and Mahārāja Bhīmasena as identical. He is most probably to be identified with Rājan Bhīmasena of the Raighat seals.
132 JJRI, I, p. 150; also NHIP, VI, p. 43, n. 1.
He was succeeded by his son Praushțhaśrī and it was to the credit of Bhadramagha (alias Bhadradeva), the heir-apparent, that Kauśâmbī was annexed to the Magha kingdom and therefore Bhadramgaha was allowed to rule at Kauśâmbī as an independent ruler even during the lifetime of his father Praushṭhaśrī. Magha, the foreign minister of Praushṭhaśrī (known from Bāndhogarh inscriptions) was the guiding spirit in the foreign policy of Bhadramagha who took advantage of the decaying power of the Kushāṇas under Vāsudeva I and managed to extend the kingdom beyond Kauśâmbī by his own valour, more probably by diplomacy. From 168 A.D., after the death of his father, his inscriptions begin to appear at Bāndhaghar also (Year 90 of Bhadradeva or Bhaṭṭadeva). According to Altekar, Praushṭhaśrī started issuing coins towards the end of his rule; but, the regular Magha coinage was started by Bhadramagha who determined the type which was to continue for generations. Again, Altekar holds that Bhadramagha was succeeded by Śivamagha who ruled just before Vaiśravaṇa.

But it is difficult to maintain Altekar's presumptions. First, the assumption that Bhīmasena had nothing to do with Kauśâmbī may not be totally correct. For, in view of the conservatism of Indian coins, the discovery of Bhīmasena's coins with the types of Nava of Kauśâmbī suggests some connection of Bhīmasena with the Kauśâmbī region. As we have seen from the stratification of coins in the Kauśâmbī excavations, the immediate successors of the Kushāṇas at Kauśâmbī were the Nava group of rulers, and not the Maghas. Kauśâmbī therefore seems to have been recovered from the Kushāṇas by the rulers of the dynasty of Dhanadeva and Nava, and not by the Maghas. It is, however, possible that while Dhanadeva and others were ruling at Kauśâmbī proper, Bhīmasena was ruling at Bāndhogarh along with some adjoining portion of the kingdom of Kauśâmbī, as is indicated by his Kauśâmbī type of coins.

Secondly, the placing of Śivamagha in between Bhadramagha and Vaiśravaṇa does not seem to be plausible. For, the gap of 17 years between the last date of Bhadramagha (i.e. Year 90 of Bhadradeva or Bhaṭṭadeva as identified with Bhadramagha.

133 Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 181, Nos. VIII-IX.
by Altekar) and the first date of Vaiśravaṇa (i.e. Year 107) can be easily divided between the closing years of the former and the early years of the latter. On the other hand, the gap of 29 years between the Year 52 of Bhīmasena and Year 81 of Bhadramagha cannot be so easily explained. It may here be pointed out that while we get the seals of Bhīmasena and Śivamagha and a coin of Prauṣṭhaśrī at Bhīṭā, no coin or seal of Bhadramagha is found there. If Bhadramagha was a predecessor of Śivamagha, it is strange that he is not represented in the Bhīṭā finds, when two of his predecessors (i.e. Bhīmasena and Prauṣṭhaśrī) as well as his supposed successor (Śivamagha) are represented there. Moreover, the Bhīṭā seals of Bhīmasena and Śivamagha are strikingly similar to each other, only the symbols are transposed.\(^ {134} \) From the stratification as well as from the similarity of the seals, therefore, it seems that the two rulers were not far separated in time and both of them flourished before the end of Vāsudeva’s reign. For no Kushāṇa coins later than those of Huvishka are found in the ruins at Bhīṭā, while only one coin-mould for Vāsudeva’s gold coins was recovered.\(^ {135} \) It is therefore more likely that Śivamagha was the immediate successor of Bhīmasena and the predecessor of Bhadramagha and his undated inscriptions account for the gap of 29 years.\(^ {136} \)

Then, if Bhīmasena was succeeded by Śivamagha, it was Śivamagha, not Bhadramagha, whose inscription first appears at Kauśāṃbl and whose coin-type was used by the later Maghas of Kauśāṃbl. The credit of annexing Kauśāṃbl proper to the Magha dominions (probably from the hands of the Nava group of rulers and not from the Kushāṇas), then goes to Śivamagha, not to Bhadramagha.

Lastly, the identification of Bhadramagha with Bhadrađeva or Bhāṭađeva is unwarranted.\(^ {137} \) There is no reason why the dynastic appellation magha, invariably found in the former’s Kauśāṃbl inscriptions as well as on his coins should be omitted

\(^ {134} \) Cf. *ASR* 1911-12, p. 51, Pl. XVIII, 26 (Śivamagha) and 27 (Bhīmasena).
\(^ {135} \) Cf. ibid., pp. 62-65.
changed in the Bāndhogarh inscription to 'deva'. The assumption that Bhadramagha was the son and successor of Prasūṭhaśrī rests on uncertain grounds and is hence difficult to accept.

In the light of our above observations, the early history of the Maghas may now be reconstructed. The inferior title rājan on his Bhīṭā (as well as Rajghat) seal as against the higher title mahārāja used in his inscriptions, may suggest (if the two terms really signify any difference in the status of the ruler) that Bhīmasena probably started his career as a feudatory, and later on gained the independent status of a king. Now, the Years 51-52 (129-30 A.D.) of Bhīmasena would make him a contemporary of the Kushāṇa emperor Huvishka (Years 28-60, i.e. 106-138 A.D.) on the one hand, and the Śatavāhana emperor Gautamiṣṭhāpatī Śatākarnī (c. 106 to 130 A.D.) on the other.138 While the use of the Śaka era in the Magha records suggests that Bhīmasena was originally a feudatory of the Kushāṇas,139 the close similarity of the symbols, viz. the pile of balls and the bow and arrow on Bhīmasena's Bhīṭā seal with those on some Audhra coins is also remarkable.140 It may again be recalled that the Purāṇas make the 'Meghas' (i.e. Maghas) lords of (South) Kośala; and, according to the tradition recorded by Hiuen Tsang, Gautamiṣṭhāpatī Śatākarnī held sway over South Kośala141 which is, however, conspicuous by its absence in the list of countries forming parts of Gautamiṣṭhāpa's empire.142

Whoever might have been his overlord, Bhīmasena appears to have attained the status of an independent king towards the end of his reign, with his headquarters at Bāndhogarh. He might have also snatched away some portion of Kauśāmbī from the Kushāṇas during the latter part of the reign of

138 For the dates of Huvishka and Gautamiṣṭhāpatī Śatākarnī, see AJU, pp. 150 and 202 respectively.
139 Cf. Sircar, AJU, p. 175.
140 Probably because of this similarity Marshall wrongly calls Bhīmasena "a new addition to the Vāsishṭhiputra line of Andhra rulers": cf. ASR 1911-12, p. 51. This similarity may also signify the proximity of the early territory of Bhīmasena to that of the Śatavāhanas.
141 AJU, p. 204.
Huvishka, while the major part of it was probably recovered by Dhanadeva.

As we have seen, Śivamagha was probably the successor of Bhīmasena, but we do not know how the two were related. Śivamagha’s records show that his dominions included both Bāndhogarh and Kauśāmbī proper. He also introduced the characteristic type of Magha coins. As the last known date of Bhīmasena is Year 52 (130 A. D.) and the next known date is Year 81 (159 A. D.) of Bhadramagha, Śivamagha who ruled between them may roughly be assigned a reign of 20 years—from about 135 to 155 A D.

Śivamagha’s death might have been followed by a civil war in the royal family, as contemporary records of Praushṭhaśrī at Bāndhogarh and of Bhadramagha at Kauśāmbī are known to us. Kautśiputra Praushṭhaśrī, son of Bhīmasena, probably managed to seize his paternal dominions at Bāndhogarh where ten of his inscriptions of Years 86, 87 and 88 appear. Out of the ten records, eight are dated in the Year 86, which was marked by a great activity when many of the cave-dwellings were excavated. Some coins of this king have been found at Bhīta along with the seals of Bhīmasena and Śivamagha. No inscription of this king is found at Kauśāmbī, although probably some of his coins are found there. On the other hand, while we get the records of Praushṭhaśrī for the Years 86 and 87 at Bāndhogarh, those of Bhadramagha for the same years are found at Kauśāmbī. From this it is apparent that Praushṭhaśrī and Bhadramagha ruled contemporaneously and independently at Bāndhogarh and Kauśāmbī respectively. Praushṭhaśrī’s rule at Bāndhogarh must have ended by the Year 90 when he was succeeded by his son Bhadradeva who is identical with Bhaṭṭadeva (Bhaḍadeva) of another undated

143 It has been doubted if Bhīmasena and his successors Praushṭhaśrī and Bhadradeva of the Bāndhogarh line had actually any direct relationship with Śivamagha and other Magha rulers of Kauśāmbī: cf. N. P. Chakravarti, Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 176. It is, however, possible that Śivamagha was a younger step-brother of Bhīmasena or an elder step-brother of Praushṭhaśrī.

144 ASR 1911-12, p. 65, Nos. 43-71.
145 JNSI, IV, pp. 135-37, Pl. XII. 2-5; cf. AIU, p. 175, n. 1 for the reading of the name.
Bândhogarh inscription. The position of Mahârâaja (?) Vaśish-
thiputra Chitrasena is not certain. But he seems to have been
quite close in time with Praushṭhaśrî, as the apparently identical
donor Phalgu appears in his Bândhogarh inscription as well
as in Poṭhasiri’s (Praushṭhaśrî’s) record of Year 87 found at
the same place. It is possible that Chitrasena ruled for a very
short time and came in between Praushṭhaśrî and Bhadra-
deva. Bhadramagha’s relationship either with Praushṭhaśrî or with
Śivamagha is not known, but he appears to have been the
successor of the latter at Kauśāmbî, while Praushṭhaśrî, son of
Bhimaśena, probably rebelled against Bhadramagha’s authority
and established himself independently at Bândhogarh. There
is, however, no evidence of Bhadramagha’s rule at Bândhogarh.
We get the maximum number of inscriptions for Bhadramagha’s
reign dated in the Years 81, 83, 86 and 87 (=165 A.D.)—all
from Kauśāmbî. He is also represented by his Fatehpur and
Kauśāmbî coins. As the next known date is Year 107 (=185
A.D.) for Vaiśravana of a Kauśāmbî inscription, Bhadramagha
may be assigned a reign of about 25 years (c. 155 to 180
A. D.) and he was probably succeeded by Vaiśravana at
Kauśāmbî.

Vaiśravana seems also to have succeeded Bhadrađeva or
Bhaṭṭadeva at Bândhogarh, where two of his undated inscrip-
tions are found. While one of these inscriptions gives no royal
title to him, the other calls him a rājan as against the higher
title mahârâja applied to his name in his Kauśāmbî inscription.
If the title rājan actually denoted a lower position than that of
a mahârâja at that time, it is possible that his rule at Bândho-
garh was in the capacity of a viceroy, probably under the
suzerainty of Bhadramagha at Kauśāmbî. Subsequently,
however, he succeeded Bhadramagha as the mahārāja of Kauśāmbei. His relationship with Bhadramagha is not known. He is called the son of Mahāsenāpati Bhadrabālā in his Bāndhogarī inscriptions, but this Bhadrabālā cannot be identified either with Bhadramagha or with Bhradradeva. According to N. P. Chakravarti, the title Mahāsenāpati, as applied to the name of Bhadrabālā, might have been a title of nobility, and is not necessarily to be taken in the literal sense of the Commander-in-chief of the Army. It is, however, doubtful whether a person having nothing to do with the army enjoyed the title. Vaiśravaṇa is also represented by coins discovered at Fatehpur and Kauśāmbei.

For twenty-three years between the Year 107 of Vaiśravaṇa and the Year 130 (208 A. D.) of Bhimavarman of a Kauśāmbei inscription, we get no dated record. But the Fatehpur hoard, which contains coins of Śivamagha, Bhadramagha, Vaiśravaṇa and Bhimavarman, seems to indicate that no other king ruled between any two of these four kings. Vaiśravaṇa’s rule therefore extended probably from about 180 A. D. to 200 A. D. for twenty years, when he was succeeded by Bhimavarman. The last known date of Bhimavarman is Year 139 (217 A.D.) as found on a Kauśāmbei inscription. We get no inscription of Bhimavarman or any of his successors at Bāndhogarh which probably slipped out of their control after Vaiśravaṇa. Bhimavarman’s coins are known from Kauśāmbei as well as from Fatehpur. His rule might have ended about 220-225 A.D., and after Bhimavarman no inscription of the dynasty is found at Kauśāmbei also.

150 Cf. AIU, p. 176. For the identification of Bhadrabālā with Bhadramagha see Mirashi, Ep. Ind., XXVI, p. 299, Motichandra, JNSI, II, p. 102, and Altekar, NHIP, VI, p. 45. N. P. Chakravarti suggests that Bhadrabālā was the originator of the Bāndhogarī line and was succeeded by rightasena (Ep. Ind., XXXI, p. 176). But this suggestion is unconvincing.

151 Ep. Ind., XXIII, p. 52, n. 8 and ibid., XXXI, p. 171.

152 Cf. JNSI, II, pp. 95 ff.

153 While Fleet (CIL III, p. 266) dates this inscription in the Gupta era, A. Ghosh dates the inscription of Year 130 in the Kalachuri-Chedi era and thus postulates the existence of two Bhimavarmans, separated by about a century (Ind. Cult., III, pp. 177 ff.). But as all the Magha inscriptions are now held to be dated in the Saka era, this view is untenable.
Besides the above seven kings, viz. Bhīmasena, Śivamagha, Praushṭhaśrī, Bhadradeva, Bhadramagha, Vaiśravaṇa and Bhima-varman known from their inscriptions and coins, the names of Satamagha and Vijayamagha are known from coins alone. Some other coins on which the reading of the legend is doubtful probably also reveal the names of a few more kings, such as Puramagha (?), Yajñamagha (?) Śaṅkumagha (?) and Jayamagha (?). It is thus possible that there were more than nine kings in the Magha dynasty. As the succession of the seven kings from Bhimasena to Bhīmavarman is more or less determined by their dated inscriptions, the other rulers represented by their coins alone, were probably later than Bhīmavarman. For the Magha power seems to have declined after him, and we do not find any more dated record. If we allow roughly a period of 50 years for the successors of Bhīmavarman, the termination of the rule of the dynasty would be about 270-275 A.D. In any case, the Maghas do not appear to have been in power after the end of the third century A.D.

The Bandhogarh cave-dwellings which, as observed by N. P. Chakravarti, are the earliest rock-cut caves dedicated to Śaiva worship, may indicate the Saivite leanings of the Magha rulers, as also suggested by the figure of bull on their coins and seals. The excavations of the so-called Ghoshitārāma monastery at Kauśāmbī, however, shows that the Maghas were not intolerant towards Buddhism; for, out of the sixteen successive phases of structural activity found there, the maximum growth took place in the eleventh phase, during the rule of the Maghas, particularly of Bhadramagha whose name was found inscribed on a jar.

Besides the seals of Bhīmasena and Śivamagha, the excavations at Bhīta have yielded a few other interesting seals revealing the names of some otherwise unknown rulers. One of

154 Ibid., VIII, p. 8, Pl. I, 2-3 (Puramagha ?); ibid., p. 9, Pl. I, 4 (Yajñamagha ?); AIU, p. 175 (Śaṅkumagha ?); and BMC (AI), pp. 158-59, Nos. 68-70, JNSI, XI, p. 12, Pl. III, 4 and ibid., XII, p. 83, Pl. V, 43, 45 (Jayamagha ?). We do not know if Jayamagha and Vijayamagha are identical or two different kings; the reading, Jayamagha, may be a part of the fragmentary legend—Vijayamagha.

156 IAR 1955-56, p. 20.
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the seals bears the following legend in characters of about the third century A.D.:

"Śrī-Vindhyā-be(ve)dhanamahārājasya Mahēśvaramahāsen-
ātisīrṣṭarājyasvīrishadhvajasvā Gautamiputrasvā,"

translated by Marshall as: "Of the illustrious Mahārāja Gautami-
putra Vṛishadhvaja, the penetrator of the Vindhyas, who had
made over his kingdom to the Great Lord Kārttikeya."

D. C. Sircar, however, regards Vindhyavedhana as the (pro-
per) name of the king who "received his kingdom through
Mahēśvara and Mahāsena and had the bull as his banner",
thus taking Vṛishadhvaja as the epithet of the king. The seal
bears in field "pale of balls with a post on each side, a wavy
line below, and the sun and the crescent above—symbols typi-
cally characteristic of the coins of the Andhra and Kshatrāpa
dynasties. This shows that Mahārāja Vindhyavedhana or
Vṛishadhvaja, the issuer of the seal, though a ruler of the Kau-
śambī area, might have had some connection with the South.
His name or epithet Vindhyavedhana, i.e. 'the penetrator of the
Vindhyas' as well as the South Indian style of the palaeography
of his seal also suggests this. It is not known if this king found-
ed a new dynasty at Kauśambī after the extinction of the
Maghas.

Another Bhītā seal of about the fourth century A. D. reveals
the name of Mahārāja Saṃkarasimha who might have been a
successor of Vindhyavedhana Vṛishadhvaja. From other
Bhītā seals of about the same period, we know the names of
Mahādevī Rudramati and Mahāśvapati Mahādaṇḍanāyaka Vīṇu-
rakshita, who were most probably associated with the Kauśambī
kings.

157 ASR 1911-12, p. 50, No. 25.
158 AIU, p. 177.
159 ASR 1911-12, pp. 50-51, Pl. XVIII. 25.
160 Jayaswal's identification of Gautamiputra Vindhyavedhana Vṛishadhvaja
with Vākṣaka Gautamiputra, son of Pravaraśena I (HI, pp. 228-229) is not
acceptable.
161 AIU, p. 177.
162 Ibid.; for the seal of Rudramati, see ASR 1911-12, p. 52, No. 30. It is not
known if Achyutavarman and Māṇyāditya of the Raṅghat sealings (JNS, XXIII, p.
412, Nos. 13-14) were connected with the Kauśambī region.
One Śrī Rudra, known from a coin found at Kauśāmbī\(^{163}\), has been identified with Rudradeva who was one of the rulers of Āryavarta violently extirpated by Samudragupta, as known from his Allahabad pillar inscription. The type of king Rudra’s coin has, however, no similarity with the Kauśāmbī series of coins. It is therefore doubtful if Rudra actually ruled at Kauśāmbī.

II. AYODHYĀ

The dynastic issues of Ayodhyā fall into two very distinct classes: “one of square cast coins showing no trace of foreign influence, and one of round struck pieces which have types rather than symbols.”\(^{164}\) The square cast coins (Class II of BMC) generally bear on the obverse the figure of a bull or rarely an elephant before a symbol (S 5, not always distinct) and on the reverse, a group of several symbols (S 13, 19, 26, 28, 29, 34—mostly five), in various combinations. Besides these almost common types, some of the kings represented by coins of Class II also struck a few other personal types. The rulers, as known from coins of Class II\(^{165}\), are:

(1) Dhanadeva
(2) Māladeva
(3) Poṭhadeva (Prausṭhādeva)
(4) Vāyudeva
(5) Viśākhadeva
(6) Jyeṭhadata (Jyesṭhadatta)
(7) Naradate (Naradatta) and
(8) Śivadata (Śivadatta)

Of these rulers, only one, viz. Dhanadeva is mentioned in an Ayodhyā inscription which, on palaeographical grounds, is assigned to the end of the first century B.C.\(^{166}\) In this inscription, Dhanadeva, son of Phalgudeva is called the king of Kośala, and sixth in descent from Senāpati Pushyamitra, the performer of two Alvamedha sacrifices. And as Pushyamitra’s reign terminated about the middle of the second century B.C., his sixth


\(^{164}\) BMC(Al), p. lxxxviii.

\(^{165}\) A terracotta sealing with the legend Baladattā[sa] in characters of the second-first centuries B.C. was found in the excavation at Śrīvastī (Māhet); see LAR 1958-59, pp. 47 ff. We do not know if this Baladatta was a ruling king of Ayodhyā.

\(^{166}\) Cf. SI, p. 96 and AIU, p. 173.
descendant may very well be placed towards the end of the first century B.C. Dhanadeva of our coins also, as suggested by the epigraphy of his coin-legend, may be referred to the same period. We have, therefore, no difficulty in identifying the two Dhandevas. There is thus a fixed point for us to start with.

Now, Vāyudeva seems to be particularly connected with Dhanadeva by one type of coin, the obverse of which shows 'a bull standing before a symbol (S 5)' and the reverse, a standing figure (Lakṣmī?) between two uncertain symbols (S 13 and S 26?). Vāyudeva may not, therefore, be far separated in time from Dhanadeva.

Again, according to V.A. Smith, coins of Dhanadeva and Viśākhadeva are nearly of the same period and "either prince may be regarded as the predecessor of the other." One of the types of Viśākhadeva exhibits 'Lakṣmī standing between two elephants anointing her' on the obverse, and seven symbols (S 10, 13, 19, 26, 28, 29 and 40) on the reverse. The standing figure of Lakṣmī closely resembles the figure on the coins of Dhanadeva (mentioned above) but the only difference lies in the fact that the symbols on either side of Lakṣmī as seen on Dhanadeva's coins are replaced by elephants on the coins of Viśākhadeva.

Viśākhadeva is, again, connected with another ruler Śivadatta who also issued coins of the 'Gaja-Lakṣmī' type. But unlike the coins of Viśākhadeva, Śivadatta's coins depict Lakṣmī as seated (not standing). Viśākhadeva is thus connected by type with Dhanadeva on the one hand, and Śivadatta on the other, and comes in between them. And Vāyudeva, who is connected with Dhanadeva alone, might have been his near predecessor.

167 Cf. AIU, p. 174. This Dhanadeva cannot be identified with Dhanadeva of Kauśāmbi coins, who flourished much later, as we have seen above p. 124, n. 88.
168 Cf. BMC(AI), p. 131, Pl. XVII. 8 (Vāyudeva) and p. 132, Pl. XVI. 17 (Dhanadeva).
169 IMG, p. 144.
171 Ibid., p. 133, Pl. XLIII. 5.
Mūladeva, as known from his Bull-type coins alone\(^{172}\), seems to have preceded all the above four princes who continued the type and made it a common device for the coins of their successors as well. Poṭhadeva (Praushṭhadeva)\(^{178}\) who is not known to have used the ‘Bull’ type, common to the Ayodhyā rulers, might have been a predecessor of Mūladeva who probably introduced it.

Of the Dattas, Śivadatta\(^{174}\) appears to be the earliest, as he is connected by the Gaja-Lakshmi type with Viṣākhadeva. That he was also not far removed in time from Dhanadeva, the immediate predecessor of Viṣākhadeva, is suggested by the fact that some coins of Dhanadeva and Śivadatta are very similar in style and fabric.\(^{178}\) Since both Naradatta and Jyeshṭhadatta are known from their coins of the common Ayodhyā type alone\(^{170}\), it is not easy to determine which of them preceded the other.

From the above study of their coins, we may arrange the rulers represented by the square cast coins in the following order\(^{177}\):

1. Praushṭhadeva
2. Mūladeva

\(^{172}\) Ibid., p. 130, Pl. XVI. 1]. We do not know if this Mūladeva is identifiable with Mūladeva, the murderer of Vasumitra Śunga, according to some MSS. of the Harshacharita (see infra, Ch. II).

\(^{173}\) He is known only from his elephant-type coin: BMC (AI), p. 135, Pl. XLIII. 7.

\(^{174}\) The identity of this Śivadatta with his namesake of the Almorā coins [BMC (AI), p. 129, Pl. XIV. 7] is doubtful (see Ch. VII below).

\(^{175}\) Cf. BMC (AI), p. 133, Pl. XLIII. 4 (Dhanadeva) and Pl. XLIII. 5 (Śivadatta). Because of their striking similarity, these coins are wrongly described as of the same type and attributed to the same king, viz. Śivadatta, but coin No. 4 as seen on the plate is actually of a different type and is to be attributed to Dhanadeva: cf. JNSI, XIII, p. 197.

\(^{176}\) BMC (AI), p. 134, Pl. XLIII. 6 (Naradatta) and JNSI, VIII, p. 14, Pl. I. 14 (Jyeshṭhadatta). This Jyeshṭhadatta does not seem to have issued the two round dies struck coins of different types with purely Sanskrit legend—Jyeshṭhadattasya—found from Bārānt and Indore Khera, though assigned (perhaps wrongly) by Allan to the end of the second century B. C. [see BMC (AI), p. cliii, p. 279, No. 2, Pl. XLV, 10; and IMC, p. 205, No. 3, Pl. XXIII, 7.] Jethadatta of the Rajghat seal (JNSI, XXIII, p. 411, No. 2) was probably somewhat earlier than Jethadatta of the Ayodhya coins.

\(^{177}\) This list may probably supply us with the missing links between Pushyamitra and Dhanadeva, sixth in descent from the former, in the following order: (1)
(3) Vāyudeva
(4) Dhanadeva
(5) Viśakhadeva
(6) Śivadatta
(7) Naradatta
(8) Jyeshṭhadatta

If the line of Dhanadeva originated during the time of Pushyamitra, from whom it claims descent, then it probably began to rule from about the middle of the second century B.C. And if Dhanadeva, who was the sixth in descent from Pushyamitra, is placed towards the end of the first century B.C., his four successors, as known from coins, may roughly be assigned a period of about a century. The end of the rule of the princes represented by the square cast coins of Ayodhyā may therefore be dated about the latter part of the first century A.D. Now, some inscriptions dated in the Kapishka era are found in Set Mahet near Ayodhyā, which fact indicates that Ayodhyā formed part of Kapishka’s dominions. It is thus quite likely that the early ruling dynasty of Ayodhyā was brought to an end by Kapishka himself.

Absence of any dated inscription of the time of Huvishka or his successors in the Ayodhyā area indicates that the hold of the rulers who came after Kapishka was weakened over that region. It was probably during this period (about the middle of the second century A.D.) that the indigenous rulers of Ayodhyā, as known from the round die-struck coins (Class III of BMC), asserted their independence. Very distinct from the squarish cast pieces of the earlier rulers, these die-struck coins bear a bull on the obverse and a cock or a nandipada on the reverse, and represent the following rulers:

1. Ājavarman 
2. Āyumitra 
3. Devamitra 
4. Kamūdasena 
5. Mādhavavārmān 
6. Saṅghamitra 
7. Satyamitra 
8. Vijayamitra

A daughter of Pushyamitra (?), (2) Prauṣṭhadeva, (3) Mūladeva, (4) Vāyudeva, (5) Phalgudeva (known from inscription only), and (6) Dhanadeva.

178 These rulers are, however, assigned to the second century B.C. by both Cunningham (CAI, pp. 90-91) and Allan [BMC (AI), p. Ixxxix], but that date seems to be too early and cannot be accepted.

179 SI, p. 140.

180 Cf. AJI, p. 162; see also p. 141 for the extent of Kanishka’s empire.
KAUSHAMBI AND AYODHYA

Of these rulers, Kumudasena\textsuperscript{181}, Ajavarman\textsuperscript{182} and Madhavavarman\textsuperscript{183} seem to be the earliest, as their coins exhibit a square incuse, characteristic of the earliest struck coins of India.\textsuperscript{184} Moreover, on their coins the object (S 6) in front of the bull is similar to that (S 5) on the previous series of cast coins, which is replaced by a ceremonial standard (S 45) on the coins of other (evidently later) rulers of the die-struck series. Of all the Ayodhya rulers Kumudasena alone used the title rājan on his coins. We do not know why his successors did not follow him in this respect.

Of the other rulers (that is, the Mitras), Saṅghamitra\textsuperscript{185} and Vijayamitra\textsuperscript{186} are connected with Kumudasena, Ajavarman and Madhavavarman by a common reverse type, viz. 'an elaborate nandipada in a framework' (S 24 and S 24A).\textsuperscript{187} Of these two Mitra rulers again, Vijayamitra\textsuperscript{188} alone used another reverse type, viz. 'the cock on post and tree',\textsuperscript{189} which became the characteristic reverse device for other Mitra rulers who therefore appear to have followed him. Saṅghamitra who did not use that reverse type was probably the earliest of the Mitra rulers of Ayodhya.

\textsuperscript{181} BMC (AI), p. 137, Pl. XVII, 17.
\textsuperscript{182} IMC, p. 150, No. 16 Pl. XIX, 16.
\textsuperscript{183} JASB 1880, p. 138, Pl. XVI, 5.
\textsuperscript{184} Cf. Rapson, JRAI 1903, p. 287.
\textsuperscript{185} BMC (AI), p. 138, Pl. XVII, 21.
\textsuperscript{186} Ibid., p. 139, Pl. XVIII. 3 (obv.) and 5 (rev.).
\textsuperscript{187} Cf. the rev. symbol of Almorā coins (S 25): ibid., p. 120, Pl. XIV. 8.
\textsuperscript{188} Ibid., p. 138, Pl. XVII. 22. This Vijayamitra cannot possibly be identified with the Kulūta chief of that name known from coins found at Taxila (see Taxila, II, p. 820, Nos. 252-53; cf. also pp. 103-04 above). It is perhaps not unlikely that Vijayamitra of an Allahabad Museum sealing (JNSI, XXVIII, pp. 207-08, Pl. VI. 6), bearing the figure of a 'bull', characteristic of the Ayodhya coins, and the legend Vijayamitraja in characters of about the first-second centuries A.D., is identical with Vijayamitra of the Ayodhya coins.
\textsuperscript{189} Smith (IMC, p. 151, Nos. 29, 31-32) describes the symbol as 'cock on top of post', while, according to Allan [BMC (AI), p. lxix] it is 'a bird, usually called a cock but probably a hauka' (although called in the Catalogue 'a cock'). J.N. Banerjea thinks that Smith's description is correct and that the device is 'just a numismatic characterisation of the kukkanadhoja, one of the earliest plastic representations of which was found at Lālā Bhagat near Kanpur.'—JNSI, XXII, p. 43, DHI, pp. 105-06 and 141. K. D. Bajpai, however, thinks that it is a peacock (JNSI, XXV, p. 109).
An approximate idea of the chronological sequence of the Mitra rulers from Vijayamitra downwards may be formed with the help of a comparative study of the letter ma on their coin-legends. Vijayamitra used the early round form of ma 190 together with another type of a little later period 191 used also by Devamitra. 192 A third form of ma of a still later date was used by Satyamitra whose coins also exhibit a fourth type of the letter which was almost of the Gupta period and certainly the latest. 193 Finally, Āyumitra's position was evidently the last in the chronological sequence as the fourth and the latest type of ma is seen on his coins. 195

The chronological position of the rulers represented by the round die-struck coins of Class III may therefore be as follows:

(1) Kumudasena  
(2) Ajavarman  
(3) Mādhavavarman  
(4) Saṅghamitra  
(5) Vijayamitra  
(6) Devamitra  
(7) Satyamitra  
(8) Āyumitra

Taking an average of twenty years for each king's reign, we may allot a little more than a century and a half for the reign of the above eight rulers. And as it seems likely that Kumudasena, the earliest of them, began his reign sometime about 150 A. D., the latest, viz. Āyumitra possibly ended his rule towards the closing years of the third or the beginning

---

190 *BMC* (AI), p. 139, Pl. XVIII. 5.  
191 Ibid., Pl. XVIII. 1.  
192 *IMG*, p. 151, No. 28 Pl. XIX. 18; see also *JNSI*, XXV, p. 108, Pl. II. 6.  
193 *BMC* (AI), p. 136, Pl. XVII. 13. This Satyamitra cannot be identified with Śatamitra of the Kauśāmbi coins, as we have seen above, p. 121; nor can he be the same as the doubtful Satyamitra of the Kulūtas: cf. p. 101 above.  
194 Ibid., p. 136, Pl. XVII. 12.  
195 Ibid., p. 137, Pl. XVII. 18. The legend on the coin is *Āyamitasa* as read by V. A. Smith (*IMG*, I, p. 150, No. 17 Pl. XIX. 17), not *Āyamitasa* as given by I. Allan; cf. also p. 97, n. 27 above.
of the fourth century A. D., when the Guptas were rising. It is therefore probable that the ruling dynasty of Ayodhyā was overthrown by one of the early Gupta princes, for the Purāṇas inform us that Sāketa (adjoining Ayodhyā) was included in the Gupta empire about the time of its foundation.\footnote{DKA, p. 53 (text) and p. 73 (trans.). O.P. 182—19}
CHAPTER VI
KĀNYAKUBJA AND MATHURA

I. KĀNYAKUBJA

Kānyakubja, Kanyākubja, etc. (mod. Kanauj) is situated in the Farrukhabad District of Uttar Pradesh. The name Kānyakubja, like the city itself, is ancient. 2 It is mentioned in the list of holy places or 'tirthas' in the Mahābhārata. 3 The Rāmāyaṇa 4 knows the city, as the reference to the crippled (kubja) maidens (kanyā), the daughters of Kuśanābha, indicates. Patañjali in his Mahābhāṣya uses the word Kānyakubja in the sense of a woman born at Kānyakubja, 4 which shows that the city existed as early as the second century B.C. Fa Hien visited the place in the beginning of the fifth century A.D., while Hiuen Tsang informs us that it was once known as 'Kusumapura' or 'Flower-town', a name also applied to Pāṭaliputra. 5 The antiquity as well as the cultural continuity of the city was revealed also by a limited excavation in 1955-56 at the mound at Kanauj. 6 The excavated site, through its 40 feet thick deposit, has brought to light four cultural periods dating from about 1000 B.C. to the late medieval times.

The coins so far attributed to Kanauj reveal the names of three rulers, Brahmamitra, Sūryamitra and Vishṇudeva. Of them, only the coin of Vishṇudeva is known to have come from Kanauj, while those of Brahmamitra and Sūryamitra are ascribed to this locality because of their general similarity with that of Vishṇudeva. 7 Coins of Brahmamitra 8 and Sūryamitra 9 exhibit on the obverse (above the issuer's name) three symbols,
viz., tree-within-railing (S 12), Ujjain symbol (S 27), and triangle-headed standard (S 3), arranged in different order on the coins of the two kings. The reverse symbols of none of these coins are, however, clear. Brahmanitra's coins have a nandipada-standard-in-railing and another indistinct symbol while that of Sūryamitra is quite illegible.

Another unassigned coin published by Smith\(^{10}\) is of the same type and fabric as those of Brahmanitra and Sūryamitra and apparently belongs to the same series. The coin is evidently an overstruck one. The original obverse (described as 'reverse' by Smith), though now practically obliterated, seems to include the tree-in-railing symbol with the name of the issuer, Gomi[ta], below in a square incuse. The original reverse is completely erased by the overstruck device which consists of the three usual symbols seen on the obverse of the coins of Brahmanitra and Sūryamitra with the name of the overstriker, [Go?]mita, below them in an incuse square. We do not know who Gomitra of the original coin was, though it is possible that he was a member of the family of Brahmanitra and Sūryamitra, since one of his obverse symbols seems to be the tree-in-railing. The overstriker, undoubtedly a different person from Gomitra of the original coin, probably belonged to the same group, as his obverse symbols indicate, though we are not sure of his name. It thus appears that there were two more Mitra kings connected with the Kanauj area, besides Brahmanitra and Sūryamitra. One of them might have been Gomitra, while the name of the other is not clear. On palaeographic grounds, Gomitra's coin may be assigned a date about the latter part of the second century B.C. The square incuse on his coin also suggests that he was an early ruler. Coins of Brahmanitra and Sūryamitra are assignable to the first century B.C.\(^{11}\)

The coin of Vishnudeva\(^{12}\) is of different type. Besides the general fabric, the only common symbol that connects his coin with those of the Mitras is the tree-within-railing (S 12). The other two obverse symbols on Vishnudeva's coin are somewhat unusual—one is 'a hare in the crescent moon' (S 9) found on

\(^{10}\) *IMC*, p. 205, No. 2 Pl. XXIII. 6.
\(^{12}\) Ibid., p. 147, Pl. XIX. 13.
a rare variety of silver punch-marked coins, and the other is a caduceus-like sign (S 23), a variety of which is also seen on punch-marked coins. The reverse of the coin depicts a horse to left before a jūpa.

Another coin bearing exactly the same devices as that of Vīshṇudeva both on the obverse and on the reverse reveals the name of one Śuṅgavarman. K.D. Bajpai makes him a ruler of Kauśāmbi, since his coin is alleged to have come from Kauśāmbi. He thinks that there was no separate dynasty at Kanauj, but that the Mitras as well as Vīshṇudeva and Śuṅgavarman were all rulers of Kauśāmbi, and that Kanauj was included in the kingdom of Mathurā or Pañchāla.

But this view can in no way be supported on numismatic grounds. First, the rulers associated with the Kanauj region could not have belonged to the Kauśāmbi line, because none of their names occurs on coins of Kauśāmbi. Then, typologically the two series are entirely different. Of course, there are some interconnection of symbols, e.g. the Ujjain symbol (S 27), the tree-in-railing (S 12) and the triangle-headed standard (S 8), which are found regularly on the Mitra coins of Kanauj, also appear in one or other form on some Kauśāmbi coins. But these are very common Indian symbols which occur on various other series of coins. Again, the caduceus-like symbol (S 23) seen on the coins of Vīshṇudeva and Śuṅgavarman also occurs on some early uninscribed cast coins of Kauśāmbi (but not on the issues of any Kauśāmbi ruler). On the other hand, 'Bull', the most characteristic device on all classes of Kauśāmbi coins is conspicuously absent on those of Kanauj. Thus, the fact that

13 Cf. ibid., pp. xxviii, xciii, and p. 41, Pl. II. 17 (hare-on-moon) ; p. xxxiv, Pl. V. 1 and Pl. X. 7 (caduceus-like symbol).
14 JNSI, XXVII, pp. 188-189, Pl. III. 5. Cf. also ibid., XXV, p. 243, Pl. I. 8, which probably belongs to this ruler.
15 Ibid., XXVI, p. 3.
16 Ibid., XXVII, p. 189.
17 It is very difficult to follow Bajpai's self-contradictory statements. If the rulers associated with Kanauj belonged to the Kauśāmbi line, as suggested by him, how are we to hold that Kanauj was included within Mathurā or Pañchāla? Instead, it would have been logical to suggest that Kanauj was included within the kingdom of Kauśāmbi. But Bajpai himself points out that there is no evidence to show that the Kauśāmbi rulers extended their sway over Kanauj.
some of the symbols on the two series are common may not necessarily signify any connection of the Kanauj rulers with Kaśāmbi. The characteristic series of Pañchāla coins has the least similarity with that of Kanauj.

It is, however, noteworthy that the names of all the three Mitras of Kanauj area, i.e., Brahmamitra, Gomitra and Śūryamitra also occur in the Mathurā series of coins. Moreover, although the coins of Kanauj and Mathurā are distinct by their types and fabrics, the occurrence of the Ujjain symbol on both the Mitra series appears to be significant. For, as we shall see below (pp. 155-56), the Ujjain symbol (S 28) was probably the dynastic emblem of the Mitras of Mathurā as distinguished from the Dattas who had S 44 as their distinctive mark. In view of the fact that Kanauj is not far from Mathurā, it is possible that the three Mitra kings connected with Kanauj actually belonged to the family of the Mitras of Mathurā and extended their sway towards Kanauj. This seems all the more probable, since the find-spots of these coins are not known and since they bear little similarly with the only coin known to have come from Kanauj proper (i.e. the coin of Vishṇudeva). It is not unlikely that the territory for which these coins were issued, lay somewhere in between Mathurā and Kanauj and not in Kanauj proper. Thus, of the three obverse symbols of these coins, the Ujjain symbol seems to connect them with the Mitra coins of Mathurā, while the tree-symbol, also found on Vishṇudeva’s coin, associates them with Kanauj.

If Brahmamitra, Gomitra and Śūryamitra actually belonged to the Mitra family of Mathurā and were identical with their Mathurā namesakes, ruling over Kanauj (or some place towards that direction), we have then to place Gomitra first in the chronological sequence, since he was also the earliest amongst the Mathurā Mitras (as we shall see below, p. 155). This is also supported by the date of Gomitra’s Kanauj coin.

The name of one Śūryamitra occurs also on some coins found from Rairh in Jaipur District, bearing (for the issuer’s name) the appellation—‘Udehaki’ or ‘Sudavapa.’ And it is remarkable

18 Cf. BMC (AJ), p. 170, Pl. XXV. 1 (Gomitra); p. 174, Pl. XXV. 17 (Śūryamitra); and p. 173, Pl. XXV. 12 (Brahmamitra).
that all the three symbols found on the obverse of the Kanauj coins of Śūryamitra are also seen on one or other of these coins. Although the distance between Kanauj and the Uddehika country is the same as that between Kanauj and Mathurā, we are not sure if Śūryamitra of the Kanauj (and/or Mathurā) coins can be identified with his namesake of the Uddehika and/or ‘Sudavapa’ coins, as the significance of these appellations is a point of dispute. It seems far less possible that Śūryamitra of the distinct series of Pañchāla coins was identical with any of these Śūryamitrás.

Even if the Mitras did not belong to Kanauj proper, Vishṇudeva, whose coin is known to have come from Kanauj and which is distinct from any other series, is very likely to have belonged to a local dynasty. And Śuṅgavarman whose coin bears exactly the same devices (both on the obverse and on the reverse) as those on Vishṇudeva’s coin must have been a member of the same family. He can in no way be regarded as a ruler of Kausāmbi.

Śuṅgavarman was apparently earlier in date than Vishṇudeva, since the characters of his coin-legend are of a somewhat earlier form than those of the latter. Śuṅgavarman may be assigned a date about the second half of the second century B.C., while Vishṇudeva’s date may be taken to be the first century B.C. According to Smith, the figure of horse on Vishṇudeva’s coin “can apparently mean nothing else than that Vishṇudeva, whoever he was, claimed the glory of having performed the Āsvalāyana sacrifice, entitling him to take rank as Lord Paramount of Northern India.” The device is no doubt somewhat similar to that on Samudragupta’s Āsvalāyana type coins, but it is doubtful if it was really meant for commemorating an Āsvalāyana sacrifice, since Śuṅgavarman’s coin also exhibits the same device. Although ‘Horse’ is not a very common device on the local coins, it is also found on some early Kau-

20 AIU, p. 159, n. 3.
22 Cf. also Bajpai (JNSI, XXVII, p. 189) who assigns a date about the early part or the middle of the second century B.C. to Śuṅgavarman’s coin. Vishṇudeva’s coin is placed about the first century B.C. by Allan (BMC, AI, p. xciii).
23 JRAS 1893, p. 97.
śāmbī coins as well as on those of Mathurā, Pañchāla and Vārāņasī. If the device was really meant for 'horse-sacrifice', it is difficult to hold that it signified Vishṇudeva's claim for Lord Paramountcy of Northern India, in view of the existence of many other independent contemporary rulers known from their coins. It is possible that there were some other rulers between Śūngavarman and Vishṇudeva, since they appear to be separated by a considerable gap of time. It is not unlikely that Śūngavarman founded the dynasty of Kāanyakubja about the latter part of the second century B.C., but it was not probably long in power after the first century B.C., since no ruler after Vishṇudeva is so far known. The line of Śūngavarman thus seems to have ruled at Kāanyakubja almost contemporaneously with some of the early Mitras of Mathurā, who might have extended their sway towards some region between Mathurā and Kanauj, as indicated by the Mitra coins connected with the Kanauj area.

II. MATHURĀ

Mathurā, headquarters of the present Mathurā District of Uttar Pradesh, was the capital of the ancient Śūrasenas. It is situated on the right bank of the Jamunā, about 270 miles in a straight line north-west of Kauśāmbī. Modern Mathurā, however, is not exactly on the ancient site. It has moved to the north owing to the encroachment of the river.

According to the Mahābhārata and the Purāṇas, the ruling family of Mathurā belonged to the Yadava clan. The Greek writers refer to the Sourasenoi and their cities Methora and Cleisobora. Mathurā must have been included in the Maurya empire, when Megasthenes wrote about the Śūrasenas. After the Mauryas, it was probably occupied for a short time by the Bactrian Greeks, as the siege of Mathurā by the Vavaunus is

---

26 For the date of the Mathurā rulers see below, Sec. II.
28 Cf. PHAI, p. 138.
29 Ibid.
30 Ibid., p. 143.
referred to in the *Yugaparâṇa*.\(^{31}\) Patañjali speaks of the *kârśhâpanâs* of Mathurâ in his *Mahâbhâśya*.\(^{32}\) Pushyamitra presumably freed Mathurâ from Yavana domination and included it within his empire; but we do not know whether it formed a part of the dominions of the Later Śûngas.

During the first century B.C. Mathurâ was ruled by a local dynasty of princes. Coins of Mathurâ reveal the names of at least thirteen such rulers. Of them, the name of six end in *mitra*: Brahmamita (*Brahmamitra*),\(^{33}\) Daś̄hamita (*Dṛś̄hamitra*),\(^{34}\) Gomita (*Gomitra*),\(^{35}\) Satamita (*Satamitra*),\(^{36}\) Sûyamita (*Sûryamitra*),\(^{37}\) and Vishûmita (*Vishûmitra*).\(^{38}\) Of the rest, six rulers have their names ending in *datta*: Bhavadata (*Bhavadatta*),\(^{39}\) Kâmadata (*Kâmadatta*),\(^{40}\) Purushadatta (*Purushadatta*),\(^{41}\) Râmadeata (*Râmâdatta*),\(^{42}\) Šeshadata (*Śeshadatta*),\(^{43}\) and Uttamadatta (*Uttamadatta*).\(^{44}\) The thirteenth king’s name is Balabhûti.\(^{45}\)

---

31 See Ch. I, p. 22 above.
33 *BMC(Al)*, p. 173, Pl. XXV. 14.
34 Ibid., p. 174, Pl. XLIII. 16.
36 *JNSI*, XXVIII, p. 42, Pl. II. 7. The name ‘Satamita’ can only be Satamitra in Sanskrit, not Satyamitra, as suggested by Bajpai. The Prakrit form of Satyamitra would be ‘Sachamita’: cf. p. 101 above, where, however, the name of Satamitra was not included as his coin was not known at that time. It is not possible to identify Satamitra of Mathurâ with his namesake of Kauśâmbi, for the latter is assigned a date about the end of the first century B.C. (see p. 121 above), while the former probably flourished during the earlier part of that century as we shall see below.
37 *BMC(Al)*, p. 174, Pl. XXV. 17.
38 Ibid., p. 175, Pl. XXV. 16.
39 *IMA*, I, p. 193, No. 1; see also *BMC(Al)*, p. cxi.
40 *BMC(Al)*, p. 182, Pl. XXIV. 18.
41 Ibid., p. 176, Pl. XXIV. 1.
42 Ibid., p. 179, Pl. XXIV. 5.
43 Ibid., p. 174, Pl. XLIII. 18. For the corrected reading of the name see ibid., pp. cx-cxi and for more coins of this ruler see *Ancient India*, Nos. 10-11, p. 13, Pl. LIX. 11 and *JNSI*, XXV, p. 241, No. 3 Pl. I. 3.
44 *BMC(Al)*, p. 177, Pl. XXIV. 15-16.
45 Ibid., p. 178, Pl. XXV. 22-24. According to Allan (ibid., p. cix), the two other coins bearing the name of Balabhûti (ibid., p. 178, Pl. XLIII. 19-20), which are typologically connected with the coin of a Gomitra (ibid., p. 169, Pl. XXV. 3), may belong to another Balabhûti of a different dynasty, since they are not of the regular Mathurâ type.
The coin-types of these local Hindu rulers are singularly uniform. The obverse shows the figure of Lakshmī (sometimes wrongly described as Kṛishṇa), holding a lotus in her uplifted right hand, between several symbols on either side. The reverse usually depicts three elephants with riders. The latter type is crudely represented and degenerates until it is almost irrecognisable. The Hindu rulers appear to have been succeeded by the Śaka Satraps of Mathurā, whose coins form a different series.

Of the Hindu rulers represented by their coins, only three are mentioned in the inscriptions of the time. At Ganeshrā, a village some three miles west of Mathurā, were found twenty-four bricks and brickbats with fragmentary inscriptions, the readings of which may be restored as—Gomitāmachena Kohaḍena kārītam. The epigraphs are classed with the Morā brick inscriptions of Yāsamatā and referred to a date about the closing years of the second century B.C. The palaeography of the legend on Gomitra's coins also suggests a similar date; hence the two Gomitas seem to be identical.

A Mathurā inscription mentions king Vishṇumitra who was the son of Dharmamitra and the father of princess Indragnibhadṛā. This Vishṇumitra may probably be identified with Vishṇumitra of Mathurā coins. For, the inscription is dated by N. G. Majumdar in the first century B.C., which may also be the date of his coins. We do not know if his father was also a king, as the portion of the inscription preceding his father's name is damaged. No coin with similar name has been discovered yet.

A short unpublished inscription on a small fragmentary

46 Cf. BMC(AI), p. cviii, and n. 3.
47 For its progressive degeneration see ibid., Pl. XLIV. 8-12.
48 Another unnamed king, whose queen was Yāsamatā, is known from the latter's Morā inscription (ASR 1911-12, p. 127). Brahmamitra whose queen Nāgadevi dedicated several railing pillars at Bodhgayā (ibid., 1907-08, p. 40) cannot, however, be identified with his namesake of the Mathurā coins as we have seen (Ch. II, Sec. 5, p. 67 above); cf. also Allan, BMC(AI), p. cxiii.
49 ASR 1911-12, p. 129.
50 N. G. Majumdar, MS, I, p. 271; ASR 1911-12, p. 128.
51 IHQ, II, pp. 441 ff. and JBR, XXX, pp. 204-05.
52 IHQ, II, p. 445.
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decorated frieze in the collection of Sri H. P. Poddar of Calcutta reveals the name of one Rājan Gopālíputra Sūryamitra. The frieze is of red sandstone, characteristic of Mathurā, and was actually recovered from that place along with a number of similar pieces. The inscription is in the early Brāhma characters of about the latter part of the second century B.C. It reads: Rāhño Gopālyāputrasa Suyamitrasa piṭhama dena [1*] Kāśiputrena Yaṭakena kāritam [1*] Thus, the inscription records the gift of a piṭha by Gopālíputra Sūryamitra who was evidently a king of Mathurā. This Sūryamitra may very well be identified with his namesake of the Mathurā coins54, since the characters of the coin-legend appear to be similar to those of the inscription.

Another Mathurā epigraph mentions the name of one Vātsīputra Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti55, not known from coins. Cunningham recognises in this name Dhanabhūti II, son of Vādhapāla and grandson of Dhanabhūti I of the Bhārhubhūta inscriptions.56 But Hultzsch points out that the word putrasa, which in that case should stand between Vādhapālasa and Dhanabhūtisa, is wanting.57 Although we have no sufficient ground to connect Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti with the family of Dhanabhūti of the Bhārhubhūta inscriptions, it is not altogether unlikely that he was the same as prince Vādhapāla, the son of king Dhanabhūti mentioned in another Bhārhubhūta inscription. B.C. Law thinks that “in the absence of any reference to the dominions of any other ruler or dynasty, it may be legitimate to assume that Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti and his predecessors were local rulers of Mathurā and that, prior to the Kushāṇa rule.”58 No coin of any king of this line has, however, been discovered at Mathurā, although we get the coins of Āgaraju or Agarāja (Aṅgāradyut),

53 The usual form of the metronymic should be ‘Gopālíputra’ : cf. ‘Gopālíputra Bahasatimitra’ in the Pabhosā inscription, No. 1, Si, p. 97.
54 As to his identification with other known Sūryamitrás see p. 102 above.
56 The Stūpa of Bhārhubhūta, p. 16. For the Bhārhubhūta inscriptions of Vādhapāla and Dhanabhūti see ibid., p. 142, Pl. LVI. 54 (Lüder’s List, No. 869 : Ep. Ind., X, Appendix, p. 88) and Si, p. 89 respectively.
the father of Dhanabhūti, at Kauśāmbi. It therefore appears unlikely that the territory of the line of Dhanabhūti, which included some portion of the southern Kauśāmbi area near Bhārhatu\^{59} extended as far as Mathurā. The Mathurā epigraph of Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti, being of dedicatory nature, does not necessarily indicate his rule at Mathurā.\^{60}

From the differences in the coins bearing the name Gomitra, Allan thinks it probable that there were more than one king of that name.\^{61} The square coins with four symbols (S 22, S 35, S 16, S 14\_1) and the legend Rāṇāya (?) Gomitasa on the obverse, and 'tree within railing' on the reverse are ascribed by him to a different and earlier Gomitra, while the coins of the regular Mathurā type are assigned to a later Gomitra.\^{62} We agree with Allan that the coins of the former type cannot be attributed to Gomitra of the latter type of coins, as none of the symbols is common to both. Although the name of the king on the former type of coins cannot be definitely read on any of the illustrated specimens, the type and fabric and the square shape of his coins indicate that he was earlier than the rulers who struck the regular Mathurā type coins of round shape, amongst whom, again, Gomitra (II ?) seems to be the earliest, since he alone issued coins of both square and round shapes.\^{63}

Now, of the four symbols appearing on the coins of Gomitra (II), viz. (1) the conventional tree (S 46), (2) the Ujjain symbol (S 28), (3) the second Paṁchāla symbol (S 43), and (4) the river with fishes (S 10), the first one (S 46) is common to the coins of all the Hindu rulers and all the Satraps of Mathurā excepting Rājuvula. This symbol, therefore, appears to have some local significance. The second one (S 28) is found on the coins of the Mitras alone and is replaced by a śa-like symbol (S 44) on those of the Dattas. So it might have been the dynastic emblem

\^{59} Cf. pp. 59 and 114 above.

\^{60} We do not know if Dhanabhūti of the Mathurā inscription had any connection with Balabhūti of the Mathurā coins.

\^{61} BMC(AF), pp. cvii-ix.

\^{62} Ibid., p. 169, Nos. 1-4 Pl. XXIV. 20-21 (Gomitra I) ; p. 171, Pl. XXV. 5-6 (Gomitra II). According to Allan, again, some other coins bearing the name of Gomitra (Ibid., p. 169, No. 5 and pp. 171-72, Nos. 18-25) may not be the issues of any of these two Gomitras.

\^{63} Ibid., p. 170, Pl. XXV. 1 (Sqr.) ; p. 171, Pl. XXV. 5 (Rd.).
of the Mitras of Mathurā, as distinguished from the śa-like symbol which was probably the distinctive mark of the Dattas.

Again, out of the four symbols seen on Gomitra's coins, the first three (S 46, S 28, S 43) appear on the coins of Sūryamitra\(^{64}\) and Brahmamitra\(^{65}\), while only the first two (S 46, S 28) occur on those of Dṛīḍhamitra\(^{66}\) and Vishnūmitra.\(^{67}\) The former two rulers thus seem to be more closely connected by types with Gomitra than the latter two. Of Sūryamitra and Brahmamitra, again, the former may be earlier, for the representation of the reverse type on his coins is more distinct than on those of the latter.

As we have seen, the names Gomitra, Sūryamitra and Brahmamitra are common to both the Mathurā and the Kanaūj series of coins. It is also significant that the Ujjain symbol which was possibly the dynastic emblem of the Mitras of Mathurā, also appears on the coins of the Kanaūj Mitras and seems to form a connecting link between the two series. It is thus not unlikely that Gomitra, Sūryamitra and Brahmamitra of Mathurā were identical with their Kanaūj namesakes. They might have extended their sway from Mathurā towards Kanaūj, which are not separated by a very long distance. If so, they appear to have introduced a distinct type in the annexed territory, and the new type was connected by symbols with the coins of both Kanaūj and Mathurā.

There is no clue to determine who amongst Dṛīḍhamitra, Vishnūmitra and Satamitra was the earlier; one of them, however, seems to be succeeded by the Dattas.

Of the Dattas, Purushadatta alone, like his predecessors, viz. the Mitras, does not bear any royal title on his coins;\(^{68}\) and, while Uttamadatta and Rāmadatta have it on some of their coins, the rest invariably add the title rājan to their names. It, therefore, appears that Purushadatta was the earliest amongst the Dattas, and was followed by Uttamadatta and Rāmadatta, who were, again, succeeded by the others.

---

64 Ibid., p. 174, Pl. XXV. 17. For other Sūryamitras see p. 102 above.
65 Ibid., p. 173, Pl. XXV. 12.
66 Ibid., p. 174, Pl. XLIII. 16.
67 Ibid., p. 175, Pl. XXV. 16. As to whether he can be identified with Vishnūmitra of Pañcāla coins see p. 103 above.
68 Ibid., p. 176, Pl. XXIV. 1.
According to Allan, there might have been two Uttamadattas and two Rāmadattas, differentiated by the presence or absence of the royal title.\textsuperscript{69} But as all the coins of Uttamadatta\textsuperscript{70}, with or without the regal title, have the same reverse type, viz. 'elephant to right,' instead of the usual 'three elephants with riders,' they were probably the issues of one and the same ruler. The coins of Rāmadatta with the royal title have, however, some distinguishing features from those without it.\textsuperscript{71} In the first place, the former have the square incuse, characteristic of the early struck coins of India, whereas the coins with his name alone do not bear that incuse square. The letters on the former coins are smaller and more neatly incised than those on the latter pieces. The obverse of the former coins also bears two additional symbols, viz., a star (S 42) and a bull in miniature (S 8). But, in spite of these differences, there are also some connecting links between the two series. The square incuse also occurs on some coins of Rāmadatta without the royal title,\textsuperscript{72} while some other coins with the royal title bear neither the two additional symbols nor the incuse impression.\textsuperscript{73} It is thus not unlikely that there was only one ruler bearing the name of Rāmadatta, who issued both the series successively.

It is, however, curious that both Uttamadatta and Rāmadatta issued two series of coins, first without the royal title and then with it. If one succeeded the other, it is difficult to explain why the latter ruler should not assume the royal title from the very beginning of his reign, already adopted by his predecessor. It would appear, therefore, that Uttamadatta and Rāmadatta ruled contemporaneously in adjoining districts and that both of them adopted the royal title almost at the same time.\textsuperscript{74} The introduction of a new reverse type by Uttamadatta

\textsuperscript{69} Ibid., p. cx.
\textsuperscript{70} Cf. ibid., p. 177, Pl. XXIV. 15 (without royal title), and IMC, p. 193, Pl. XXII. 11 (with title rājan).
\textsuperscript{71} Cf. BMC(AI) p. 179, Pl. XXIV. 5-6 (without royal title) and p. 181, Pl. XXIV, 9-10 (with title rājan).
\textsuperscript{72} Ibid., p. 180, Pl. XXIV. 12.
\textsuperscript{73} Ibid., Pl. XXIV. 13.
\textsuperscript{74} Alternatively, we may hold that there were two Rāmadattas but one Uttamadatta, and that Rāmadatta I was succeeded by Uttamadatta (the first king to adopt the royal title) who in his turn was followed by Rāmadatta II (entitled as rājan from the beginning).
may also indicate that he did not belong to the main line and that he was a member of a collateral branch of the Dattas. Of the other Dattas, Bhavadatta alone used the reverse type of Uttamadatta and therefore seems to have belonged to his line.

The coins of Rāmadatta are more abundant than the issues of any other Hindu ruler of Mathurā, and exhibit many varieties. This shows that he was an important ruler and enjoyed a comparatively long reign. Rāmadatta seems to have been succeeded by Kāmadatta, whose coin also bears the two additional symbols (S 42, S 8), found on the later die-struck issues of Rāmadatta. Śeshadatta thus comes after Rāmadatta.

Balabhūtī appears to be the latest of the Hindu kings of Mathurā, as suggested by his different name-ending as well as by the absence, on his coins, of the śa-like symbol characteristic of the Dattas. Since he bears, on his coins, the title rājan, he could not have preceded the Datta group of rulers, the earliest of whom is not known to have adopted it.

Some other coins with the curious legend, Mahārājasa Apalatsa (‘of Mahārāja Aparānta’?) are attributed by Allan to a king of Mathurā as they are characteristically of the regular Mathurā type. They come from U. P. and Rajasthan and hence cannot be associated with the country of Aparānta (i.e. Northern Końkan). The title Mahārāja is, however, unusual for the known Mathurā rulers, although the star-symbol (S 55) on the coins of Aparānta seems to connect him with Rāmadatta and Kāmadatta, whose coins also bear that symbol.

---

75 IMC, p. 193; JRAS 1900, p. 113, Pl. Fig. 13.
76 BMC(AI), p. 182, Pl. XXIV. 18.
77 Ibid., pp. cxi and 174, Pl. XLIII. 18.
78 Ibid., p. 178, Nos. 55-57, Pl. XXV. 22-24. According to Allan (ibid., p. cix), the two other coins bearing the name of Balabhūtī (Nos. 58-59 Pl. XLIII. 19-20), which are typologically connected with the coin of a Gomitra (ibid., p. 169, No. 5 Pl XXV. 3), may belong to another Balabhūtī of a different dynasty, since they are not of the regular Mathurā type.
79 Ibid., pp. lxxxi-ii, cx and 182, Pl. XXIX. 24.
The Hindu rulers of Mathurā may, thus, be arranged in the following order:

Main Line

1. Gomitra
2. Sūryamitra
3. Brahmanamitra
4. Drīḍhamitra
5. Vishṇumitra
6. Purushadatta
7. Rājā Rāmadatta
8. Rājā Uttamadatta
9. Rājā Kāmadatta
10. Rājā Bhavadatta
11. Rājā Śeshadatta
12. Rājā Balabhūti
13. Mahārāja Aparānta (?)

Collateral Line (?)

The chronology of the Hindu rulers as well as the Śaka Satraps of Mathurā is beset with some difficulties. Allan is of opinion that the coins issued by the Hindu princes covered the period from the end of the third to the middle of the first century B.C. But D. C. Sircar does not think that they are so early, and assigns them to the first century B.C. This is also supported to some extent by epigraphical evidence; for, the date of the Gauneshrā brick inscriptions of Gomitra is not earlier than the closing years of the second century B.C., and Gomitra was undoubtedly the earliest of the Hindu rulers of Mathurā, as the square shape of some of his coins and the clearer representation of the reverse type of ‘three elephants’ would show. The Mitras of Mathurā, therefore, seem to have come to power about the latter half of the second century B.C., after the termination of Pushyamitra Śuṅga’s rule.

The view, however, that the rule of the Mitras covered the first century B.C. and preceded the Śakas, while the Dattas ‘may have been the vassals of the Kushāṇas and flourished about the second century A.D., after the extirpation of the Śakas at Mathurā’, is not supported by the study of their coins. For, the coins of the Hindu rulers—both the Mitras and

80 Ibid., p. cx.
81 AIU, p. 171.
82 Ibid.
the Dattas—form a homogenous series, leaving no room for the different series of Satrapal coins to come in between. The Dattas seem to have ruled immediately after the Mitras and not after the Šaka Satraps. 83

The Šaka Satraps are generally assumed to be the successors of the Hindu rulers of Mathurā. Of them, again, Hāgāna, Hāgamāsha, Śivaghoša and Śivadatta are supposed to be earlier than Rājuvula and Šoḍāsa. 84 And taking the year of the Āmohini votive tablet inscription of Šoḍāsa as 42 of the era of 58 B.C., Šoḍāsa’s rule as Mahākshatrāpa is placed about 17-16 B.C. 85 Accordingly, the date of the Šaka occupation of Mathurā is assigned to the middle of the first century B.C.

On the basis of this calculation, Powell-Price holds that there is hardly any room for an Indian dynasty of local kings at Mathurā at this time. According to him, Menander and his successors included Mathurā in their dominions at least upto 100 B.C.; Rājula is taken to rule at Mathurā about 60 B.C., before whom some allowance of time is given for the rule of the Šaka Satraps Hāgāna and Hāgamāsha. He takes the Hindu princes, viz. Gomitra and Brahmamitra as lords of Pañcāla, not as local kings of Mathurā. Consequently, he concludes that “on the withdrawal of the Greeks from Mathurā—about 100 B.C. —and the arrival of the Sakas, there was time for the extension of Pañcāla power there—but not for the rise of a local dynasty permanent enough to establish a mint of their own and issue coins.” 86

But this view is not acceptable, as the local coins of Mathurā are entirely different from those of Pañcāla and form a characteristic series of their own. And, curiously enough, the names of Gomitra and Brahmamitra whom Powell-Price takes as Pañcāla rulers, do not occur in the Pañcāla series of

83 It is significant that in the excavations of Hastināpur, Rupār and Purān Qila, Mathurā coins come from a level lower than that yielding Kushāna coins: see NNM 8 (NSI), pp. 7-8 and 33. This probably also shows that the Mathurā rulers preceded the Kushānas; for, had they been the vassals of the latter, we would find their coins associated with Kushāna coins and in the same level.
85 Ibid., p. cxvi and Ranson, CHI, pp. 575-76.
86 JUPHS, XVI, pp. 223-24.
coins at all. The names of two other princes, viz. Suryamitra and Vishṇumitra are, however, common to both the series. But, from a comparative study of their coins, both Suryamitra and Vishṇumitra of Pañchala appear to be somewhat later than their Mathura namesakes. Moreover, Powell-Price overlooks the existence of as many as six Dattas and one Balabhūti, who ruled after the Mitras.

Then, besides a few coins of Menander and Apollodotus, procured by Tod at Mathura, and 96 base hemidrachms of Stratton, as said by Cunningham to have been discovered there along with 87 coins of Rājuvula, there is hardly any other evidence to show that the Indo-Greeks, specially Menander and his successors, extended their territories as far as Mathura, after the rule of Pushyanmitra Śuṅga who seems to have included Mathura in his dominions.

Lastly, the year of the Āmohini votive tablet inscription of Śoḍāsa's time is not 42, but 72, so that Śaḍāsa’s rule is brought down thirty years later, that is in 15 A.D. And as pointed out by J. N. Banerjea, the rule of Hāgāna and Hāgamāsha is to be placed later than that of Rājuvula and Śoḍāsa, and not before it. This is evident from a study of their coins, as we shall see below. We shall also see that neither Śoḍāsa’s nor his father's rule as Mahākṣatrapa at Mathura was of long duration. And since Śoḍāsa is known to be a Mahākṣatrapa in 15 A.D., the date of the Saka occupation of Mathura could not have been much earlier than the beginning years of the first century A.D.

Thus, from the termination of the rule of Pushyanmitra

---


88 Transactions of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1824, p 313.

89 BMC(AI), p. cxxv; and CAI, p. 86. The Strato who issued these coins is now considered to be a late ruler of the Sialkot area, distinct from his second century B.C. namesake who ruled in the region west of the Indus: see A. N. Lahiri, IHQ, XXXV, pp. 166 ff., and CIGC, pp. 181-84 and 267; A. Simonetta, East and West, VIII, p. 66, n. 14, and G. K. Jenkins, JNSI XXI, p. 32. Apparently, the coins of Strato II were the prototypes of Rājuvula's Greek type coins, hence they are found together (also in the Punjab); Strato II's coins do not, therefore, indicate his rule in Mathura.

90 Cf. SI, p. 118 and n. 3.

91 See PIHC 1948 (Delhi), ; cf. also D. C. Sircar, AIU, pp. 134-35.
(middle of the second century B.C.) to the Śaka occupation of Mathurā (about the beginning of the first century A.D.) there is more than a century for about a dozen Hindu princes to rule at Mathurā. This period need not be considered insufficient in view of the political situation of the time, as well as the probability of more than one king ruling contemporaneously in contiguous areas. In Kaśāmbī also, a large number of rulers flourished in a comparatively short period of time. Even the reigns of the ten Suṅgas who were the most important kings of the time, did not cover more than 112 years.

As already noted, the Hindu kings of Mathurā were supplanted by the Śakas towards the beginning of the first century A.D. The Śaka Satraps belonged to two distinct groups, as distinguished by the reverse types of their coins—Rājuvula and Śoḍāsa forming one group, and Hagāna, Hagāmāsha, Śivadatta and Śivaghosha forming the other.

Rājuvula whose name is sometimes written as Rājūla on coins and inscriptions92, is known from the Mathurā Lion Capital inscription of his chief queen93 as well as from the Morā well inscription of his son whose name is lost.94 His coin-types are imitated from those of the later Indo-Greek prince Strato II as well as the Śaka king Maues on the one hand, and from those of the local kings of Mathurā on the other. They fall into three classes, according to their prototype. Coins of Classes I and II95, which are copied from those of

92 It is ‘Rājuvula’ in his coins of Class I (‘Rajuvula’ in Kharoshṭhī) and Class III (Class II of BMCAI) as well as in the coins of his son Śoḍāsa (giving the patronymic), and in the Morā inscription of his unnamed son. It is ‘Rājula’ (‘Rajula’ in Kharosṭhī) in his coins of Class II (Class III of BMCAI) and in the Mathurā Lion Capital inscription. Due to the sporadic occurrence of a hook (denoting anusvāra) below the Kharosṭhī letter rā, his name is also taken as Raṁjuvula (i.e. Raṣjuvula); but as the hook is not regular in its occurrence, Allan doubts about the nasal in the first syllable and takes the name as Rājuvula only: cf. BMCAI, pp. cxxiii-iv.
93 Si, pp. 112 ff.
95 These are drachms of lighter weight and of very base metal: BMCAI, pp. cxv and 185, Pl. XXVI. 7; cf. also the prototype, PMC, p. 50, Pl. V. 361 (Strato II). Coins of similar types but in copper are also known: BMCAI, p. 186, Nos. 110-12.
Strato II bear, like their Indo-Greek prototypes, Greek legend on the obverse and Prakrit legend written in Kharoshthi on the reverse, Apratihatachakrasa chhatrapasa Rajuwula. The obverse bears the well-executed bust of Rājuvula with typical Śaka features, while the reverse shows the figure of the thundering Athena, as represented on Indo-Greek coins. These are his commonest issues which are found over a wide area, comprising the eastern Punjab and the western parts of Uttar Pradesh.

His coins of Class II, which show Heracles on the obverse and a lion on the reverse, are barbarous imitations of the fine pieces of similar types of his Śaka predecessor Maues. They are bilingual like those of Class I and have a shorter Kharoshthi legend, Mahākhatapasa apratichakrasa Rajulas. These coins also come form the Punjab and some were found at Sirkap in Taxila.

His coins of Class III, which are the rarest of his issues, are copied from the local types and bear the Bhāhmī legend, Mahākhatapasa Rājuvulas. Like their Hindu prototypes, they depict on the obverse Lakshmi standing with lotus in uplifted right hand, although the symbols on either side are changed (S 20, S 18). The local reverse type, viz. 'three elephants with riders' is also substituted by 'Abhisheka of Lakshmi' (another characteristic Hindu motif).

All these show that Rājuvula ruled at first as a Kshatrapa in parts of the eastern Punjab and western Uttar Pradesh, sometime after Strato II, and then installed himself as a Mahākshatrapa, probably in some parts of the dominions of Maues. Both as Kshatrapa and as Mahākshatrapa, he calls himself apratihatachakra (one whose chakra is irressistible) and there is no mention of an overlord in the records of his time. He, therefore, appears to have ruled semi-independently, owing only a nominal

96 BMC(II), p. cxv.
97 Ibid., p. 187, Pl. XLIII. 21 (these are lead coins of Class III of BMC(II) ; cf. also the prototype, BMC (Greek and Scythic Kings), Pl. XVI. 5 (Maues).
99 Ibid., p. 187, Pl. XXVI. 12-13 (lead coins of Class II of BMC,II).
100 Cf. the uninscribed cast coins of Kausāmbi, BMC(II), p. 149, Pl. XX. 15, and the coins of some early rulers of Ayodhya, ibid., p. 131, Pl. XVI. 14 (Viśākhadeva). It is therefore not likely, as suggested by Allan (ibid., p. cxv), that this type was adopted by Rājuvula from the rare coins of Azīlīses bearing this device (PMC, Pl. XIII. 332).
suzerainty to the then Śaka emperor. The scarcity of his Mathurā-type coins, in which he is styled as Mahākshatrapa, suggests that he occupied Mathurā only late in his life and that for a short while. As he imitated the later Indo-Greek coins besides those of Mathurā, he appears to be the first Śaka chief in whose time Mathurā came under the Śaka rule.

It is known from coins and inscriptions that Śoḍāsa was the son of Rājovula. Śoḍāsa’s coins, which are only of the local Hindu type and similar to his father’s Mathurā issues, exhibit three different legends in Brāhmi:

1. Mahākhatapasa putasa Khatapasa Śoḍāsasa,
2. Rājovulaputasa Khatapasa Śoḍāsasa,
3. Mahākhatapasa Śoḍāsasa.

These legends perhaps indicate that Śoḍāsa at first ruled as a Kshatrapa when his father was a Mahākshatrapa, and then after the latter’s death, succeeded him as the Mahākshatrapa. The Mathurā Lion Capital inscription represents him as a Kshatrapa and his father as a Mahākshatrapa, and gives his name (in Kharoshṭhī) as Śuḍisa. In the Āmohini votive tablet inscription, he is styled as Mahakshatrapa, and given the additional epithet of svāmin, also found in two other Mathurā epigraphs of his time. The title svāmin, common with the Western Satraps, is also borne by the unnamed son of Rājovula, as known from the Mathurā well inscription, though it is not found associated with the name of Rājovula, nor is it seen on the coins of Śoḍāsa. The scarcity of Śoḍāsa’s coins with the title Mahākshatrapa, like those of his father bearing the same title, suggests that he too ruled for a short time as Mahākshatrapa.

101 If Rājovula ruled towards the close of the first century B.C. and the beginning of the first century A.D., his overlord seems, in all probability, to be a Śaka emperor—either Azilises or Azes II.
103 Ibid., p. 190, Pl. XXVI. 16.
104 Ibid., p. 191, Pl. XXVI. 18.
105 Ibid., p. 191, Pl. XLIII. 15.
106 SI, p. 118.
108 Cf. IMG, p. 125, No. 16; and SI, pp. 166 and 170.
The facts that Śoḍāsa copied only the Mathurā-type coins of his father and that his coins are absent in the Punjab finds also indicate that his dominions were confined in the Mathurā area alone.  

A coin of the same type as that of Śoḍāsa reveals the name of Kshatrāpa Toraṇāḍāsa or Bharanaṇāḍāsa, also the son of a Mahākshatrāpa. He might have been the unnamed son of Rājuvula or a son of Śoḍāsa. Another Kshatrāpa, Ghaṭāka by name, is known from a fragmentary inscription from Ganeshrā near Mathurā. He belonged to the well-known Kshaharāta family, to which Nahapāna belonged. Ghaṭāka’s relation with the other Satraps of the locality is not known.

The coins of Hagāna, Hagāmāsha, Śivadatta, and Śivaghosha are distinguished by the reverse type which depicts the figure of a horse instead of ‘Abhisheka of Lakshmi’, and also by the obverse symbol (S 41) on which Lakshmī stands. The names of the two rulers of the Hagāna-Hagāmāsha group, viz. Śivaghosha and Śivadatta, suggest that they flourished at a time when the process of Hinduisation of the Sakas was complete, and that they were probably the latest of the Satraps; hence this group of Satraps could not have preceded the Rājuvula-Śoḍāsa group. Again, the so-called ‘conventional tree’ (S 46), which is the most characteristic of the Mathurā symbols, and which occurs on the coins of Śoḍāsa as well as on those of Hagāna, Hagāmāsha, Śivaghosha and Śivadatta, seems to form a connecting link between the two groups of Satraps, if Rājuvula and Śoḍāsa are taken to be

110 Ibid., pp. cxv-vi.
111 Ibid., p. cxii.
112 ASR 1911-12, p. 128.
113 BMC(Al), p. 184, Pl. XXVI. 6. Hagāna’s coins are so far known to have been issued jointly with his brother Hagāmāsha. For a variety of this joint issue see JNSI, XXV, pp. 102-3, Pl. II. 4.
115 Ibid., p. 183, Pl. XXV. 26.
116 Ibid., p. cxii; IMC, p. 194, No. 1.
117 We may compare in this connection the Hinduismed name of the Kushāna king Vāsudeva as against those of his predecessors Kanishka, Huvishka and Vāśishka.
earlier; but this link is broken, if Rājuvula who alone did not use that symbol is placed later than the Satraps of the other group.

Śaka rule at Mathurā seems to have been terminated by the Kushāgas, presumably by Kaṇishka, as a large number of coins and inscriptions of the Kushāga monarchs, starting from Kaṇishka, are found there.\(^{118}\) The archaeological remains of the Kushāga period at Mathurā suggest that it was a very important centre, nay, probably the eastern capital of the Kushāga empire and ruled by the Kushāga emperors themselves. It is not known when Mathurā slipped out of Kushāga control, but it was included in the Kushāga empire down to at least the reign of Vāsudeva, but probably not after 200 A.D., when it seems to have passed to the Nāgas.\(^{119}\)

Epigraphical and monumental evidences show that Mathurā was a great centre of the Nāga cult during the Kushāga and post-Kushāga periods.\(^{120}\) From the Purāṇas also, we know that seven Nāga princes ruled at Mathurā.\(^{121}\)

Some post-Kushāga coins with the names of Śiśucharāndāta and Vīrasena are attributed to Mathurā, probably from the consideration of provenance. Śiśucharāndāta (Śiśuchandradatta ?) is known from a unique rectangular coin bearing the legend Rājñō Śiśucharāndātasa in incuse on the obverse, and 'elephant to right' on the reverse.\(^{122}\) The only affinity that the coin bears with the known coins of the early rulers of Mathurā is the type 'elephant' which was previously used by Uttamadatta and Bhavadatta. But since "in its general character—fabric, shape, size, and epigraphy—it seems to be not far removed from the coins of Vīrasena",\(^{123}\) Śiśuchandradatta seems to be connected more with Vīrasena in time, than with the earlier Dattas of Mathurā. And, as Vīrasena's coins are chiefly found

---

118 Cf. PHAI, p. 473 and AIU, pp. 135 and 141.
119 Cf. NHIP, VI, p. 14 and AIU, p. 151. Vāsudeva is the last Kushāga king whose inscription is found at Mathurā (see SI, p. 156).
121 DKA, p. 53 (Text) and p. 73 (Trans.).
122 BMG (AT), p. 280, Pl. XLV, 13.
123 JRAS 1900, p. 115.
at Mathurā, Śīsuchandradatta's coin is also assigned to that place.\textsuperscript{124} We do not know if Śīsuchandradatta belonged to the Nāga family of Mathurā. His name, however, does not suggest anything, nor has his coin any similarity with the known Nāga coins.

Three types of coins bearing the name of Vīrasena are known, and it has been doubted if they can be attributed to one and the same ruler. The square coins of Type 1 with the legend Vīrasena in shallow incuse on the obverse and probably a 'bull' on the reverse, have no similarity with the Mathurā coins and are supposed by Smith to belong to an earlier Vīrasena.\textsuperscript{125} The coins of Type 2 which are connected with those of Type 1 by their square shape alone, bear the legend Vīrasena and 'tree-in-railing' between two nandipadas on the obverse and a rude standing figure of Lakshmi holding in right hand, stalk of flower growing beside her, on the reverse.\textsuperscript{126} The reverse design has some affinity with the local obverse type of Mathurā, although there is no similarity in style. While these coins are very common at Mathurā, they are also found at Kanauj and over an area to the south and east of Mathurā.\textsuperscript{127} The issuer of these coins is identified with Svāmi Vīrasena of Jankhat (Farrukhabad District) inscription which is dated in his 13th regnal year.\textsuperscript{128} Smith makes this Vīrasena a ruler of the Central Doab, between the Ganges and the Jamna.\textsuperscript{129} Coins of Type 3 bearing the circular legend, Mahārāja-Sri-Vīrasena, on the obverse, has two varieties, according to the shape and the reverse design—the round coins of Variety A depicting a couchant bull to left in a dotted circle\textsuperscript{130}, and the square coins of Variety B showing a trident-axe.\textsuperscript{131} These were found along with the Nāga coins at Padmāvatī and

\textsuperscript{124} Cunningham, \textit{CAI}, p. 89; Rapson, \textit{JNAS} 1900, pp. 114-16; and Smith, \textit{IMC}, p. 191.
\textsuperscript{125} \textit{IMC}, pp. 191-92 and 197, Pl. XXII, 14.
\textsuperscript{126} \textit{BMC(Al)}, p. 261, Pl. XLV, 16.
\textsuperscript{127} Ibid., p. clv.
\textsuperscript{129} \textit{IMC}, p. 191.
\textsuperscript{130} \textit{JNSII}, XVII, pt. ii, p. 49, Pl. VII, 8.
\textsuperscript{131} Ibid., Pl. VII, 9.
their types, fabric and size show close affinities with the Nāga coins.\textsuperscript{132} There can be no doubt that the issuer of these coins belonged to the Nāga family. Besides the square shape of Variety B, coins of Type 3 have no connecting link with the coins of the other two types; and we are not sure if Type 1 or 2 or both of them can be assigned to the issuer of the coins of Type 3. If the coins of all the three types were the issues of one and the same king, we have to hold that Virasena belonged to the Nāga family of Mathurā, referred to in the Purāṇas, and that he ruled over a wide area including Mathurā, Kanauj and Padmāvati.

Jayaswal holds that Virasena was the second ruler of the Nāga dynasty of Kāntipurī (identified by him with Kantit between Mirzapur and Vindhyāchal) founded by king Nava (identified with Nava of the Kauśambī coins), that he reconquered Padmāvati and Mathurā from the Kushānas and established two branch-lines at those places, while the main line (i.e. the Bāraśivas), continued to rule at Kāntipurī.\textsuperscript{133} But even if Virasena of the Mathurā coins can be identified with the homonymous king of the Padmāvati coins, there is no evidence to show that he ruled at Kāntipurī. Moreover, from the epigraphy of his coin-legends and his Jankhat inscription, he is assigned a date, “later than the second century, very possibly to the latter part of the third century A.D.”\textsuperscript{134} Thus, Virasena who probably flourished a little earlier than the rise of the Guptas, does not seem to be the founder of any of the Nāga houses of Padmāvati or Mathurā; for, as stated in the Purāṇas, there were at least seven generations of Nāga princes at Mathurā, before Samudragupta.\textsuperscript{135}

It is, however, curious that no other ruler of the (Nāga ?) dynasty of Virasena at Mathurā is known from coins or inscriptions. We do not know if the rulers of the Sena-ending names, whose coins have recently been found at Padmāvati,\textsuperscript{136} were in

\textsuperscript{132} Ibid., p. 50.
\textsuperscript{133} \textit{HI}, pp. 18-23 and 35.
\textsuperscript{134} Pargiter, \textit{Ep. Ind.}, XI, p. 86.
\textsuperscript{135} Since the Purāṇas describe the dominions of the Guptas as comprising only Prayāga, Sāketa and Magadhā (\textit{DKA}, p. 53), they seem to depict the condition before the conquests of Samudragupta.
\textsuperscript{136} E.g., Sabalasena, Amitasena and others: \textit{JNSI}, XVII, pp. 53 ff.
any way connected with Vīrasena. Their coins, however, show no affinity with any of the coins of Vīrasena. Some coins of the Pādmapatī Nāgas are also found at Mathurā. Thus, Ganaṅpatināga's coins are said be more common at Mathurā than at Pādmapatī.\footnote{137} And it is not improbable that Ganaṅpati was ruling at Mathurā, while Nāgasena was ruling at Pādmapatī (as known from the Harshacharita),\footnote{138} during the time of Samudragupta who claims to have extirpated both the rulers in his Allahabad pillar inscription.

\footnote{137} NHIP, VI, p. 37.
\footnote{138} Cf. Ibid., p. 39 and n. 1; for Harshacharita see trans. by Cowell and Thomas, p. 192.
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CHAPTER VII

PAŃCHĀLA, ALMORĀ AND JAGATGRĀM

I. PAŃCHĀLA

The Pańchālas are a very ancient people and are generally associated with the Kūrus in the Mahābhārata. Their country is said to have come to be known as Pańchāla, as it was the home of five Vedic tribes who merged into one nationality with the Kṛivas as the original predominant element in their unity.¹ Pańchāla roughly corresponds to the Bareilly, Budaun, Farrukhabad and the adjoining districts of Rohilkhand and the Central Doab in Uttar Pradesh.² The Mahābhārata, the Jātakas and the Dīvyāvadāna refer to the division of Pańchāla into two parts—northern and southern, of which Ahichchhatrā and Kāmpilya were respectively the capitals.³ Ahichchhatrā, Adhichchhatrā or Chhatrāvati is identified with modern Rāmnagar near Aonāla in the Bareilly District and Kāmpilya with Kāmīl on the Ganges between Budaun and Farrukhabad.⁴ Ahichchhatrā, meaning ‘serpent umbrella,’ derives its name from the local legend of its founder Ādirāja, over whose head a Nāga is said to have formed a canopy, when he was asleep.⁵ The earliest inscription referring to the place calls it Adhichchhatrā.⁶ The ruins of Ahichchhatrā are found about seven miles to the north of Aonāla and about half a mile to the north-east of the village of Rāmnagar which is even now known to the Jains as Ahichhhatrā. Recent excavations at the site have brought to light a brick fortification and a continuity of culture dating from a period earlier than 600 B.C. down to 1100 A.D.⁷

Pańchāla was one of the Sixteen Mahājanapadas during the time of the Buddha. Later on it was amalgamated into the Maurya empire. The Yugapurāṇa alludes to the Yavana

¹ PHAI, p.71 and MASI, No.67, p.1.
² PHAI, p.70.
³ Ibid., pp. 134-35.
⁴ Ibid., pp. 135 (Ahichchhatrā) and 71 (Kāmpilya).
⁷ Ancient India, No. 1, pp. 37-38.
invasion of Pañchālā along with Sāketa and Mathura. 8 It is not known for certain if it formed part of the dominions of Pushyamitra Śuṅga. Numismatic evidence, however, reveals the existence of an independent dynasty in Northern Pañchālā during the time of the Later Śuṅgas and the post-Śuṅga period covering about four centuries. The fact that the names of most of the Pañchālā rulers end in mitra, as in the case of some of the Śuṅga kings has led some scholars to hold that the Pañchālā Mitras were identical with the Śuṅgas. But the difficulties in identifying the Pañchālā Mitras with the Śuṅgas have been discussed above. 9 That Pañchālā—or, to be precise, Northern Pañchālā—attained prominence under the so-called Mitras is also evident from the fact that their coins are associated with the first brick-built structures in the Ahichchhatrā excavations. 10

Cunningham termed these rulers ‘kings of Northern Pañchālā’, as he found their coins chiefly at Ahichchhatrā and the adjoining areas. 11 Smith, however, found these common in Eastern Oudh and in the Basti District, and, accordingly, preferred to call their issuers ‘lords of Pañchālā and Kośala’. 12 But though the territories of some of the Pañchālā rulers might have included parts of Kośala, it could not have been permanently included within the kingdom of Pañchālā, as we know that Ayodhyā was also ruled by a local dynasty of princes during this period.

The coins attributed to Pañchālā ‘forms one of the longest and most uniform series of ancient Indian coins’. 13 They are characterised by the presence, on the obverse, of three constant symbols (S 37, S 43, S 36) with the king’s name below—all within a square incuse. The reverses of the Pañchālā coins are more remarkable and ‘are of special interest to the student of Hindu iconography, as we have nothing similar elsewhere of so early a date.’ 14 The reverse very often depicts

---

8 See Ch. I, p. 22 above.
9 See Ch. IV above for the detailed discussion of the question.
10 Ancient India, No. 1, p.38,
11 CAI, p.79,
12 IMC, pp.184-85,
13 BMC (AI), p.cxvi.
14 Ibid., p.cxvii.
a deity—or sometimes his attribute—whose name forms as a rule a component of the issuer’s name.

The rulers so far represented on the Pañcāla series of coins are: Agimitra (Agnimitra),¹⁵ Anamitra,¹⁶ Bhadraghoshā,¹⁷ Bhānumitra,¹⁸ Bhūmimitra,¹⁹ Bahasatimitra (Bṛhaspatimitra),²⁰ Damagata (Dāmagupta),²¹ Dhruvamitra,²² Indramitra (Indramitra),²³ Jayagupta,²⁴ Jayamitra,²⁵ Phagunimitra (Phālgunimitra),²⁶ Prajāpatimitra,²⁷ Rudagoshā (Rudrago-
sha),²⁸ Rudragupta,²⁹ Śivanandistṛ,³⁰ Suyamitra (Śūryamitra),³¹ Śrī-Nandi,³² Vagapala, (Vaṅgapāla),³³ Varuṇamitra,³⁴ Vasusena,³⁵ Vishnūmitra,³⁶ Viśvapāla(?),³⁷ Yajñapāla,³⁸ and Yugasena.³⁹

¹⁵ Ibid., pp. 200-201, Nos. 59-80, No. 60 Pl. XXVIII.8. Eight coins of Agnimitra were found at Sahet Mahet, JNSI, XIII, p.140.
¹⁶ JNSI, XXVIII, p. 43, Pl. II.8. A recently discovered coin has been attributed to a new ruler named Aśvamitra (ibid., XXIV, p.12, Pl. 1.6), but the plate is too indistinct to decipher anything from it.
¹⁸ Ibid., pp 195-97, Nos. 27-41, No. 28 Pl. XXVII.16. A small coin (JASB, 1880, p.26, Pl. III.8) attributed to one Anumitra appears to belong to Bhānumitra, since the reverse device is exactly the same as that on Bhānumitra’s smaller coins.
²⁰ Cf. IMC, p. 185, Bṛhaspatimitra’s name is mentioned, but his coin-type is not described.
²¹ JNSI, XV, p. 43, Pl. II. 7 and ibid., XXIV, p. 14, note 2.
²² BMC (AI), p. 199, Nos. 53-58, No. 53 Pl. XXVII.5.
²³ Ibid., p. 203, No. 95 Pl. XXIX. 2.
²⁴ Ibid., p. 202, Nos. 87-88, No. 88 Pl. XXVII.3.
²⁵ Ibid., p. 203, Nos. 89-94, No. 90 Pl. XXVIII. 17; JNSI, III, p. 81 (a new variety in the Lucknow Museum).
²⁷ JNSI, III, p. 80, Pl. Figs. 1-2.
²⁸ Ibid., XXIV, p. 9, Pl. I. 1.
²⁹ BMC (AI), p. 192, Nos. 2-6, No. 2 Pl. XXVII.1.
³⁰ JNSI, XV, pp. 42-43, Pl. II, 3-4 (3 coins).
³¹ BMC (AI), p. 193, Nos. 7-13, No. 9 Pl. XXVII. 8.
³² JNSI, XXIV, p. 22, Pl. II. 4.
³³ Ibid., IV, p. 19, Pl. I. 20; and ibid., XXIV, p. 10, Pl. I. 2-4a.
³⁴ Ibid., V, p. 17, Pl. II. B (3 coins); ibid., XXV, p. 243, Pl. I. 7-7a.
³⁵ Ibid., II, p. 115, Pl. XA. 6.
³⁶ BMC (AI), p. 202, Nos. 81-86, No. 81 Pl. XXIX. 6. The legend on the coin (JNSI, III, p. 81) read as Viśvāmitra is probably Viśnūmitra.
³⁷ BMC (AI), p. 192, No. 1 Pl. XXVII. 4. This coin is attributed to Vaṅgapāla by Bajpai (JNSI, XXIV, p. 12, note 2), but the legend seems to be neither Viśvapāla nor Vaṅgapāla, but something else.
³⁸ JNSI, IV, p. 17, Pl. I. 18.
³⁹ BMC (AI), p. 279, Nos. 5-7, No. 5 Pl.XLV. 12 (read as Puṅga[ṇa]sena); JNSI, V, p. 19, Pl. II. C, and ibid., XXIV, p. 11, Pl. I. 5.
They can be arranged into six different groups according to their name-endings:—

The Ghosha-ending names: Bhadragosha and Rudragosha.

The Guptia-ending names: Dāmagupta, Rudragupta and Jayagupta.

The Mitra-ending names: Agnimitra, Anamitra, Bhānu-
imitra, Bhūmimitra, Brīhaspatimitra, Dhruvimitra, Indramitra, Jayamitra, Phālgunimitra, Prajāpatimitra, Sūryamitra, Varuna-
imitra and Vishnimitra.

The Nandi-ending names: Śivanandi-Sri and Śri-Nandi.

The Pāla-ending names: Vaṅgapāla, Viśvapāla (?) and Yajñapāla.

The Sena-ending names: Vasusena and Yugasena.

This arrangement, however, does not necessarily indicate that the rulers belonged to different dynasties and succeeded one another in chronological order according to their respective name-endings. For, a study of their coins would show that kings having different name-endings were interconnected by types and symbols and the coins of all of them excepting probably those of Śivanandi-Sri and Śri-Nandi appear to have belonged to the same series. Even if some rulers having same name-endings followed one another, it was only coincidental.

Out of these 26 rulers known from coins, only three (?) are mentioned in contemporary inscriptions. The Pabhosā cave inscription (No. 2) reveals the existence of a line of rulers at Ahichchhatrā, represented by king Saunakāyaṇiputra Vaṅgapāla, his son king Traivarṇiputra Bhāgavata, and his son Gopālikā-
Vaihidāriputra Åśāḍhasena, the last-named prince being the donor of the caves. Of these rulers, Vaṅgapāla is probably to be identified with Vaṅgapāla of our coins. But no coin

40 Cf. JNSI, XXIV, pp. 12-13; the alphabetical arrangement is ours. We have not, however, followed Bajpai's chronological order.
41 One Śivarāṇanandi is known from a seal (JNSI, XXVIII, p. 205), but we do not know if he was a king since there is no royal title before his name.
42 Indramitra, Varuṇamitra and Vishnimitra cannot probably be identified with Īindrāṅmimitra of the Bodhgayā inscription of his queen (ASR, 1908-9, p. 147), Varuṇamitra of a Kausāmibhi inscription (Ind. Cult., I, pp. 694 ff.) and Vishnimitra of a Mathurā epigraph (IHQ, II, pp. 441 ff.) respectively.
43 SI, p. 98.
44 The reading of the ivory seal from Kausāmibhi, attributed to Vaṅgapāla (JNSI,
is known of Bhāgavata or Āśādhasena. Another Pabhosā cave inscription (No. 1) informs us that Āśādhasena was the maternal uncle of king Gopāliputra Bhṛhaspatimitra who is identified with Bhṛhaspatimitra (II) of the Kauśāmbī coins.\textsuperscript{45} Again, in view of the relationship existing between the royal houses of Kauśāmbī and Panḍāla, as known from the Pabhosā inscription (No. 1), it is possible that Bhṛhaspatimitra (II) of the Kauśāmbī coins was also identical with his namesake of the Pañchāla issues. Another inscription from Rāmnagar refers to one Dhruvamitra who has been identified by R. D. Banerji with Dhruvamitra of the Pañchāla coins;\textsuperscript{46} but, as there is no royal title before his name, we are not sure if he was a king.

An overstruck piece has recently been discovered and described as a coin of Vaṅgapāla counterstruck by Dāmagupta and this has been taken to indicate that Vaṅgapāla was defeated by Dāmagupta.\textsuperscript{47} But a careful study of the coin shows that it originally belonged to Dāmagupta and was overstruck by Vaṅgapāla. For, as the illustration of the counterstruck coin shows, on one side the chakra which is known to be the reverse type of Dāmagupta’s coin\textsuperscript{48} is partially obliterated by a square incuse containing the entire obverse device of Vaṅgapāla’s coin, viz., the three usual Pañchāla symbols with the name of Vaṅgapāla below. On the other side, the reverse symbol of Vaṅgapāla’s coins (S 16)\textsuperscript{49} is overstruck and hence comes out prominently over the faint traces of the three Pañchāla symbols along with the name of Dāmagupta below, i.e., the obverse device of the coin of Dāmagupta.

\textsuperscript{45} SI, p. 97 and BMC (AI), pp. xcvi-viii.
\textsuperscript{46} JASB, 1909, pp. 271-72.
\textsuperscript{47} See JNSI, II, p. 116, Pl. X-A. 5, and for correct reading of the legend see ibid., p. 79, n. 1.
\textsuperscript{48} Cf. ibid., XV, pp. 43-44, Pl. II. 7.
\textsuperscript{49} Cf. JNSI, XXIV, p. 10, Pl. I. 2.
All this suggests that it was Vaṅgapālā who overstruck Dāmagupta’s coin, not vice versa. For, had Dāmagupta been the overstriker, Vaṅgapālā’s devices would not have appeared so intact and more prominently than those of the former. Anyway, from the evidence of the coin it is clear that Dāmagupta and Vaṅgapālā were not far removed in time from each other, and the former was probably a predecessor of the latter.

King Vaṅgapālā was apparently succeeded by his son Bhāgavata, since he was also a king, as known from the Pabhosa inscription (No. 2), though his coins are not yet discovered. It is not known if Bhāgavata’s son Āśādhasena actually succeeded his father, as he is neither given the title rājan in his inscriptions nor are his coins known. But he might well have been a king at a later date. The rule of Brīhaspatimitra (the sister’s son of Āśādhasena and a king of Kauśāmbī) at Pañchāla is, however, somewhat doubtful, as we do not know the type of the coins with the name of Brīshapatimitra discovered at Ahichchhatra, along with those of some other known Pañchāla rulers.50

Now, the reverse symbol of Vaṅgapālā’s coins (S 16), which is also found on a smaller piece of Dāmagupta51 is conspicuous by its presence on those of three other rulers, viz. Visvāpālā (?),52 Phālgunimitra53 and Bhadraghosha,54 thus providing a clue as to their relative chronological position. It seems that these three kings came after Dāmagupta and Vaṅgapālā. Of them again, Phālgunimitra and Bhadraghosha appear to be connected by their reverse type which is a goddess standing on lotus with lotus is hand. The goddess on Phālgunimitra’s coins is taken to be the personification of the nakṣattra Phālguni, although the figure bears no similarity with the descriptions of Pūrvaphālguni and Uttaraphālguni as given by Hemādri.55 She is represented as holding a lotus-bud in

50 His coins are noticed in IMC, p. 185, but they are still unpublished. Since he is one of the ten kings represented by 16 coins of the find, his issues do not seem to have been copious.
51 Referred to in JNSI, XXIV, p. 14, n. 2.
52 BMC (AI), pp. cxix and 192, Pl. XXVII. 4.
53 Ibid., p. 194, Pl. XXVII. 11.
54 Ibid., p. 197, Pl. XXVIII, 1.
55 Cf. Ibid., p. cxviii and DHP, p. 137.
her right hand and her hair is shown in five flames. The deity on the coins of Bhadrargosha is identified with Bhadra, the consort of Kuvera, in allusion to the king's name. 56 A star (S 42) and S 16 are associated with the goddess on the coins of both Bhadrargosha and Phâlgunimitra. A svastika (S 31) is moreover found on Bhadrargosha's coin. As we have already seen above, Bhadrargosha cannot be identified with the Šuṅga king Ghosha or Ghoshavasu. The reverse of Rudragosha's coin is blurred, the characters of the legend however indicate that he was one of the earlier rulers and might have been a predecessor of Bhadrargosha.

Bhadrargosha is again connected with Bhânumitra and Sûryamitra, since on some of the coins of all these three princes, the second Pañchâla symbol (S 43) is counterstruck by the third one (S 36). 57 The significance of this peculiar counterstricking is not known; but it suggests that the three rulers were related in point of time. The reverses of the coins of Bhânumitra and Sûryamitra also provide a connecting link between the two, by representing the same deity in allusion to their names. Both depict the sun-god Bhânu or Sûrya as a rayed disc; on Bhânumitra's coins, it stands only on a railed pedestal between two pillars, while on Sûryamitra's coins it stands over a triangle-headed object (S 48) between two pillars in railing. 58 The latter symbol (S 48) is "very likely the summary representation of the fire-altar", which is essential in sacrifices according to the Satapatha Brâhmaṇa. 59 The name Bhânumitra is also seen on some Audumbara coins; and it is significant that the third Pañchâla symbol (S 36) occurs on some of them. 60 But as the country of the Audumbaras (Gurdaspur and Hoshiarpur Districts) is far separated from the Pañchâla country, and as the bilingual Audumbara coins are completely different from the Pañchâla series, it is somewhat doubtful if the two Bhânumitrás can be identical. The

56 BMC (AI), p. cxvii and JNSI, III, p. 82.
57 Cf. IMC, p. 187, No. 2 Pl. XXII, 2 (Bhadrargosha); CAI, p. 82, Pl. VII. 7 (Bhânumitra); and JASB 1880, p. 24, Pl. III. 3 (Sûryamitra).
58 Cf. the reverses of BMC (AI), p. 196, Pl. XXVII. 15 ; Bhânumitra), and ibid., p. 199, Pl. XXVII. 8 (Sûryamitra).
59 Satapatha Brâhmaṇa, VII. 4. 1. 10; Cf. DHIP, p. 139.
name Sūryamitra is found to be very common at that time, since it occurs also on the coins of the Kanauj, Mathurā, Uddehika and Sudavapa series. It does not seem probable that Sūryamitra of the distinct series of Pañchāla coins was identical with any of his namesakes of the other series of coins.⁶¹

Bhānumitra and Sūryamitra are again connected with Agnimitra by another counterpart marking symbol, viz. a female figure, which obliterates all the three Pañchāla symbols of their coins.⁶⁵ This probably indicates that Bhānumitra and Sūryamitra were followed by Agnimitra. This Agnimitra cannot be identified with Agnimitra of the Kauśāmbi coins, who, as we have seen, was probably a predecessor of Bṛhaspatimitra; nor can he be identified with Agnimitra Śuṅga who flourished much earlier.⁶⁶

The reverse deity on Agnimitra’s coins bears a striking similarity with that on Bhānumitra’s,⁶⁴ although they are respectively identified with Agni and Bhūmi in allusion to the particular king’s name. The god on Agnimitra’s coins stands facing on a railed platform between two pillars and his hair is represented by five flames. Mme Bazin Foucher, however ‘finds in him the representation of Ādi Naga, the presiding deity of Ahichchhatra’.⁶⁷ Cunningham describes the deity on Bhānumitra’s coins as Bhūmi or the earth personified.⁶⁶ Allah, however, shows that the figure being male, should not be identified with the earth-goddess Bhūmi, but probably with ‘the king of the nāgas representing the earth’; for, he observes, that the deity has his hair represented by five snakes (nāgas) and also holds a snake in his hand.⁶⁷ Agnimitra has another variety of smaller coins, on which the deity (apparently the

---

⁶¹ Cf. BMC (AI), p. 147, Pl. XIX, 12 (Kanauj); ibid., p. 174, Pl. XXV, 17 (Mathurā); ibid., p. 240, Pl. XXXV, 17 (Uddehika) and JNSI, III, p. 47, Pl. IV-A.2 (Sudavapa). Cf. also p. 102 above.

⁶² Cf. INC, p. 137, No. 2 Pl. XXII, 3 (Bhānumitra); 7ASB 1860, p. 87, Pl. VII, 6A. C (Sūryamitra); and BMC(AI), p. 201, Pl. XLVI, 16 (Agnimitra).


⁶⁴ Cf. the reverses of ibid., p. 200, Pl. XXVIII, 8 (Agnimitra) and ibid., p. 198, Pl. XXVIII, 6 (Bhānumitra).

⁶⁵ Cf. DHI, p. 147.

⁶⁶ CAI, p. 83.

⁶⁷ BMC(AI), p. cxviii.

O, p. 182—23
same) is depicted as standing on lotus. Anyway, the similarity of the reverse devices of the coins of Agnimitra and Bhūmimitra seems to connect them chronologically.

Agnimitra is again connected with Indramitra by a particular type of coins of which the first two Pañchāla symbols (S 37, S 43) are replaced by a new one (S 47). The coins of these two rulers are also associated in several hoards; coins of Agnimitra and Indramitra are said to be most common in the Kośala region. It is possible, therefore, that the extension of the powers of the Pañchāla princes towards Kośala was effected during the time of Agnimitra and Indramitra. The Pātaliputra excavations have also yielded a few coins of Bhūmimitra and Indramitra, which may not, however, signify the rule of the Pañchāla kings in Pātaliputra.

The reverse device of Indramitra’s coins is a deity, presumably Indra, represented either as standing, facing, on a pedestal or as standing inside a domed shrine. The first type was copied by Vishṇumitra, while the latter was copied by Vishṇumitra, Prajāpatimitra and Jayagupta. Of the three princes, again, Vishṇumitra is more closely connected with Indramitra, as he uses both the types of the latter’s coins. Moreover, these two rulers alone are associated by the issue of some silver-alloyed coins. There is a marked similarity between the representations of the deities on pedestal on the coins of Indramitra and Vishṇumitra, although they are to

---

68 Ibid., p. 201, Pl. XXVIII. 11.; that, the deity stands on lotus is not noted by Allan, while this fact is noticed by Carleyle and Rivett-Carnac in JASB 1880, p. 88, Pl. VIII. 13-15.
69 Cf. JNSI, III, p. 81, Pl. Fig. 6 (Agnimitra) and ibid., Figs. 4-5 (Indramitra).
71 ASR 1912-13, p. 84.
72 BMC(AI), p. 204, Pl. XXIX. 1.
73 Ibid., Pl. XXIX. 3.
75 JNSI, III, p. 81: the name is possibly Vishṇumitra, not Viśvāmitra, as given there.
76 JNSI, III, p. 80, Pl. Figs. 1-2.
78 JNSI, V, pp. 153-54 (Vishṇumitra) and ibid., XXIV, p. 16, n.4 (Indramitra).
79 Cf. BMC(AI), p. 204, Pl. XXIX. 1 (Indramitra) and ibid., p. 202, Pl. XXIX.
8 (Vishṇumitra).
be identified with Indra and Viṣṇu respectively, in allusion to the kings' names. Both Cunningham and Coomaraswamy described the god on Viṣṇumitra's coins as having four arms.  

But Allan does not think that the deity is represented as four-armed. "His robes hanging down," he says, "give this effect, which is found in other representations of deities with uplifted arm, e.g. Agni and Indra, also. Four arms would come from the shoulders and not from the elbows."  

J. N. Banerjea, however, points out that the figure may be four-armed, as arms coming out from elbows are not unknown in ancient Indian sculptures. The object in the deity's upper left hand is the chakra held by the rim, but that on the right, taken by Allan as trident, is not distinct.

The deity on Prajāpatimitra's coins depicted as standing in an archway, 'must be taken to represent Prajāpati on the analogy of other Pañchāla coins.' But the depiction is so crude that nothing can be made out from it. We do not know if this Prajāpatimitra was identical with Prajāpatimitra of the Kauśāmbi coins, who seems to have flourished about the same period.

Jayamitra seems to be associated with Indramitra and Viṣṇumitra, as the deity on the former's coins also stands on a platform. The platform on which the deity stands on Jayamitra's coins has, however, a pillar on either side, the one to the right being crowned by a spear-head, and the other to the left by two cross-bars. Jayamitra's coins are, again, smaller in size like those of the Indramitra group of rulers, whom he might have succeeded. Jayagupta is connected with Prajāpatimitra by his reverse type and probably with Rudragupta by the name-ending.

The reverse devices of the coins of Rudragupta and Dhruvamitra are similar. It is either a trident or a battle-axe.

---

80 Cunningham, CAI, p. 94; Coomaraswamy, Eastern Art, 1928, p. 35.
81 BMC(Al), p. cxix.
82 DHI, p. 130.
83 JNSI, III, p. 80.
84 Ibid., IV, p. 140, Pl. XII. 12, Cf. pp. 101 and 122 above.
86 Ibid., p. 192, Pl. XXVII. 1.
87 Ibid., p. 199, Pl. XXVII. 5. It is possibly a trident-battle-axe which may also refer to Śiva.
between two pillars on basement. Both the trident and the battle-
axe are attributes of Rudra-Śiva, also known as Dhruva, hence
the devices apply to both Dhruvamitra and Rudragupta. Coins
of these two rulers were also found associated at Rohtayya and
Ramnagar. They cannot be placed in between any of the
rulers mentioned above, as all of them are interconnected by
their coin types. Nor can they be placed before Dāmagupta and
Vangapāla, since the palaeography of the coin-legends of
Dhruvamitra and Rudragupta suggests a later date for them.
They may, therefore, be taken as succeeding Jayamitra and
others. It is doubtful if this Dhruvamitra may be identified with
Sudavapa Dhruvamitra of the Rairh coins. Another ruler,
Yajñapāla, seems to be connected with Dhruvamitra and Rudra-
gupta by his reverse type, which, although not so distinct, shows
some similarity with their reverse device. It has been sug-
gested that the reverse device of Yajñapāla represents ‘a sacrificial
altar, flame, sacrificer and yūpa’, indicating the performance of a
yajña or sacrifice, in allusion to the king’s name.

The reverse types of the coins of Varuṇamitra, Vasusena,
Yugasena, Anamitra, Śivanandi-Śri and Śri-Nandi do not exhi-
bit any deity or its emblem as is usual on the Pañchāla coins.
These rulers therefore seem to have flourished at a later period,
when the practice of exhibiting a deity (or its emblem) in
allusion to the king’s name was not probably followed so
serupulously. The reverse of Varuṇamitra’s coin shows ‘two
trees side by side’, while that of Vasusena exhibits a horse.
This Varuṇamitra seems to be much later than his Kauśambī
namesake, as is indicated by a comparison of the coin-legends

89 JNSI, III, p. 48, Pl. IV-A, 3.
90 Ibid., IV, p. 17, Pl. I, 18.
91 Ibid., XXIV, p. 151.
92 Ibid., XXV, p. 243, Pl. I, 7, 7a.
93 B. P. Bhatt suggests that Vasusena wanted to publicise his name by connect-
ing it with that of Vasumitra, through the depiction of the ‘horse with bent neck
and upturned tail’, representing the Ashamedha, the successful performance of which
by Ruhyanmitra was greatly due to his grandson Vasumitra (ibid., XXIV, p. 151).
But this idea is most imaginative.
of the two Varuṇamitrás. Yugasena is connected with Vausena only by his name-ending.

Anamitra, Śivanandi-Śri and Śri-Nandi, again, appear to be the latest of the above-mentioned six known rulers, since both the obverse and the reverse of their coins are different from the usual Pañchāla issues. None of the three characteristic Pañchāla symbols appears on the obverse of their coins, although the reverse of Śri-Nandi’s coin shows the first Pañchāla symbol (S 37). The obverse of Anamitra’s coin bears a symbol similar to that found on some issues of Aṅgimitra and Indramitra (S 47), while the reverse has a symbol like Brāhmaṇya, which may be taken to be a variant of the second Pañchāla symbol (S 48). Thus he is somewhat connected with the so-called Mitra group of rulers.

Śivanandi-Śri and Śri-Nandi are connected by their name-ending and the honorific Śri, as well as by the fact that the obverse of their coins bears only the royal name. The reverse of Śivanandi-Śri’s coin bears a tree-in-railing like that of Yugasena, while that of Śri-Nandi shows the first Pañchāla symbol (S 37).

This Śr-Nandi has been identified with Nandi who was defeated by Samudragupta, as stated in his Allahabad prasasti. But since Achyuta, who has been identified with the ruler of the same name, whose coins have been unearthed from the post-Kushāṇa stratum at Aḥichhhatrā, is also known to have been defeated by Samudragupta from the same inscription, it is not likely that both Nandi and Achyuta ruled at Aḥichhhatrā. In fact, the coins of both Śivanandi-Śri and Śri-Nandi are so different from the usual Pañchāla issues that there arises some doubt as to their attribution to Pañchāla at all. Even if they are taken to be rulers of the Pañchāla region, they might have held sway over some other portion of Pañchāla (Kāmpīlya?) and not in Aḥichchhatrā proper, where king Achyuta was presumably ruling during the time of Samudragupta.

94 The date of Varuṇamitra of Kausāmbi is known from his Kausāmbi inscription: cf. pp. 116 and 103 above.
95 Cf. R. R. Tripathi, JNSI, XXIV, p. 22.
96 Ancient India, No. 1, p. 39 and IMC, p. 186.
With regard to the coins of Achyuta Allan states that, "the epigraphy (of the coin legend) points to this (the Gupta) period and the module of the coin and its reverse type, a wheel, suggests that it is not remote in time or place from the coins of the Nāga dynasty, one of whom, Gaṇapatināga, shared the fate of Achyuta."98 It is quite possible that Achyuta belonged to the Nāga family.

Two types of coins are known of Achyuta—both having on the reverse a wheel of eight spokes. The obverse of type 1 depicts a bust to right and the legend Achyu on either side of it,99 while that of Type 2 bears the legend A-chyu only in bold characters.100 The bust on Type 1 is taken to be imitated from a Roman denarius by M. Drouin who holds that the 'bust' type coins were issued much earlier than the 'name' type coins, and thus he distinguishes two kings of the same name.101 But, as Smith observes, the two types having identical reverse and found together at Ahichchhatrā should be attributed to the same king.102 It has also been pointed out by Allan that, although unusual on copper, the bust need not be taken to have been copied directly from the Roman coins, as it was a familiar feature on the silver coins of the Śaka Satraps of Western India.103

The chakra symbol on the reverse of Achyuta's coins is significant. While this device occurs on the coins of some Nāga kings of Padmāvatī, viz. Vyāghranāga, Vasunāga, Brīhaspatināga, Vibhunāga, Devanāga and Gaṇapatināga, suggesting Achyuta's connection with the Nāga family, it also bears an allusion to the king's name, as it represents 'probably the sudarśanachakra of the god Achyuta (Vishṇu)."104 This feature seems to connect the coins of Achyuta with the earlier series of Pañchāla coins. We do not know of any other ruler of the dynasty to which Achyuta belonged.105 As already noted, he was overthrown by Samudragupta.

98 BMC(Al), p. lxxx.
99 IMG, p. 188, No. 1 Pl. XXII. 8.
100 BMC(Al), pp. 117, Pl. XIV. 1.
101 Revue Numismatique 1898, p. 141.
102 IMG, p. 186.
103 BMC(Al), p. lxxx.
104 Cf. AIU, p. 173. Cf. also the wheel symbol on Dāmagupta's coins.
105 There is another somewhat similar coin of uncertain attribution bearing on
The above study of coins suggests the following chronological sequence of the Pañchāla rulers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names of Rulers</th>
<th>Facts suggesting connection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Dāmagupta</td>
<td>(a) No. 2 counterstruck a coin of No. 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Vaṅgapāla</td>
<td>(b) Chronological sequence of Nos. 2, 3 and 4 is known from inscription.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bhāgavata</td>
<td>(c) Nos. 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 are connected by S 16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Āśādkhasena</td>
<td>(d) Common name-ending seems to connect Nos. 7 and 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Viśvapāla (?)</td>
<td>(e) The counterstriking of the third Pañchāla symbol over the second one connects Nos. 8, 9 and 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Phālgunimitra</td>
<td>(f) Nos. 9 and 10 are connected by the reverse device.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rudraghosa</td>
<td>(g) A counterstruck female figure connects Nos. 9, 10 and 11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Bhadraghosa</td>
<td>(h) Nos. 11 and 12 are connected by the reverse device.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Bhānumitra</td>
<td>(i) S 47 connects Nos. 11 and 13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Sāryamitra</td>
<td>(j) Nos. 13 and 14 are alone known to have issued silver-alloyed coins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Agnimitra</td>
<td>(k) Nos. 13, 14 and 15 are connected by the device of the ‘deity on a platform’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Bhūmimitra</td>
<td>(l) The device ‘deity in an archway’ connects Nos. 13, 14, 16 and 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Indramitra</td>
<td>(m) Nos. 17 and 18 are connected by the common name-ending.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Vishṇumitra</td>
<td>(n) The reverse device connects Nos. 18 and 19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Jayamitra</td>
<td>(o) The reverse device of No. 20 is similar to that of Nos. 18 and 19.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Prajāpatimitra</td>
<td>(p) Absence of any deity or its emblem on the reverse connects Nos. 21-26.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Jayagupta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Rudragupta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the obverse the syllable Prī and a sixteen-spoked wheel on the reverse: *BMC(Al)*, p. 119, Pl. XIV. 6. Allan thinks that the coin “is later than the aṇu coins and may quite well be Hūṇa” (see ibid, p. lxxx).
19 Dhruvamitra  
(q) Nos. 21-23 were the last to use the three Pañchāla symbols on the obverse and thereby come earlier than Nos. 24-27, who break the tradition.

20 Yajñāpāla  
(r) Nos. 22 and 23 are connected by their name-ending.

21 Varuṇamitra  
(s) The reverse device (tree-in-railing) connects Nos. 23 and 25.

22 Vasusena  
(t) Nos. 25 and 26 are connected by the obverse type, by their name-ending and their honerific ‘Śri’, which is unusual on these coins.

23 Yugasena  
(u) No. 27 is connected with Nos. 25 and 26 by the obverse type, which contains only the name of the king, while the reverse chakra connects him with Dāmagupta of the earlier group.

24 Anamitra

25 Śivanaudi-Śrī

26 Śrī-Nandi

27 Achyuta

The reverse devices of the Pañchāla coins form a very interesting subject for the students of religious history. But scholars do not agree as to their significance. The reverse devices of the Pañchāla coins, however, fall into three main categories:

(1) The anthropomorphic forms of deities with reference to the respective king’s name, as on the coins of Agni-mitra, Bhadra-ghosha, Bhūmi-mitra, Indra-mitra, Jaya-gupta, Jayāmitra, Phalguni-mitra, Prajāpati-mitra, and Vishṇu-mitra.

(2) Symbolic representation of the deities concerned, e.g. Bhānu or Śūrya represented by a globe or a rayed disc on the issues of Bhānu-mitra and Śūrya-mitra; Rudra and Dhrūva (i.e. Śiva) by a trident or a battle-axe on those of Rudra-gupta and Dhrūva-mitra; the alleged representation of a yajñā or sacrifice on that of Yajñā-pāla; and the (Sudartana-)chakra or wheel on those of Achyuta (and Dāmagupta ?).¹⁰⁶

(3) Devices other than deities (or their emblems) which have no apparent connection with the king’s name, such as,

¹⁰⁶ We do not know if the name of Dāma-gupta has any connection with his reverse device, which is also a chakra.
a symbol like Brāhma ya on the coin of Anamitra, tree-in-railing on those of Śivanandi-Śri and Yugasena, the first Pañchāla symbol (S 37) on that of Śrī-Nandi, S 16 on those of Vaṅgapala, ‘two trees side by side’ on those of Varunamitra, and a ‘spirited horse’ on that of Vasusena. The reverse devices of the coins of Rudraghosha and Viśvapala (?) are illegible.

Allan suggested that the reverse deity whose name forms a component of the king’s name was the patron deity of the concerned king. Thus, Agni was the favourite got of Agnimitra, Indra that of Indra-mitra, Vishnu that of Vishnusmitra and so on. But B.P. Bhatt criticises this view on the ground that it is not possible to think that the kings frequently changed their names in order to suit their new cult allegiance. While agreeing with Allan that the reverse deity has some connection with the name of the king of the obverse, he thinks that the representations of the reverse devices are an attempt on the king’s part to portray or give a visual impression of his name. But this explanation does not seem to be quite convincing either. For, the reverse representation of the deity is so crude that it gives no idea to the common people about its identity and consequent association with the king’s name. Anyway, it appears more reasonable to think that the deity depicted on the reverse signifies the cult-allegiance of the king. We have also similar instances in other series of Indian coins. In the case of the coins of the Greek kings of Bactria and India we find that each king depicted on the reverse of his standard silver coins his favourite deity, which was, strictly speaking, his ‘canting type’. Thus, Zeus in seen on the coins of Diodotus I and his son Diodotus II as their ‘personal’ god, Herakles on those of Euthydemus I, his son Demetrius I and his grandson (?) Euthydemus II (although the representations are different), the Dioskouroi on those of Eucratides

107 BMC(AI), p. cxvii.
108 JNSI, XXIV, pp. 149 ff.
109 See CIGC, p. 112, Pl. XIII. 4 (Diodotus I) and ibid., p. 113, Pl. XIII. 8 (Diodotus II).
110 Ibid., p. 131, Pl. XVIII. 2 (Euthydemus I); ibid., p. 107, Pl. XI. 10 (Demetrius I); and ibid., p. 133, Pl. XIX. 1 (Euthydemus II). Hirakles is represented as seated on a rock on the coins of Euthydemus I, but he is depicted as standing and crowning himself on those of Demetrius I and as standing and crowned on the issues of
Athena on those of Menander I (Soter) and the Stratos\textsuperscript{112}, and so on. Usually the name of the deity had no connection with that of the king, but sometimes the favourite deity, as seen on the coins of a particular king bore allusion (as in the case of the Pañchāla coins) to the king's name, e.g. Apollo on those of Apollodotus I and Apollodotus II,\textsuperscript{113} and Artemis on those of Artemidorus.\textsuperscript{114} The later coins also sometimes reflect the personal cult-devotion of the king concerned. Thus, the figure of Śiva standing with his bull on the issues of the Kushāṇa rulers Vema Kadphises and Vasudeva I signify their inclination towards Śaivism.\textsuperscript{115} Amongst the Gupta rulers, Kumāragupta I depicted Kumāra (Kārṭtikeya) on some of his gold coins in allusion to his own name.\textsuperscript{116}

The Pañchāla rulers, in like manner, might have been personally inclined towards some particular deity. That did not necessitate the changing of the name of a king according to that of the deity of his choice, but, on the contrary, the king's name had probably something to do with the selection of his personal god or goddess.

It is to be noted that the deities (or their respective emblems) depicted on the reverse of the Pañchāla coins are all of the Brahmanical pantheon, which shows that the Pañchāla rulers were mainly followers of Brahmanism. But the representation

---

Euthydemos II. A second Demetrius is distinguished from Demetrius I by his 'canting type', viz. 'Athena with shield and spear' (ibid., p. 109, Pl. XIII. 1) in place of 'Heraclès crowning himself'.

\textsuperscript{111} Ibid., p. 124, Pl. XVI. 5. A second Eu克拉tides is also distinguished by his personal type, which is 'Apollo with bow and arrow' (ibid., p. 129, Pl. XVII. 9) instead of the Dioskuroi.

\textsuperscript{112} Ibid., p. 149, Pl. XXIV. 2. A different reverse type, viz. 'Winged Nike' on some coins bearing the name Menander Dikaios distinguishes him from Menander I Sotar (cf. ibid., p. 161, Pl. XXVI. 8). For the respective coins of the three Stratos see ibid., p. 181, Pl. XXXII. 4 (Strato I), p. 183, Pl. XXXII. 8 (Strato II), and p. 184, Pl. XXXIII. 12 (Strato III).

\textsuperscript{113} Ibid., p. 94, Pl. VII. 6 (Apollodotus I) and ibid., p. 96, Pl. VII. 14 (Apollodotus II). The representation of Apollo on coins of these two kings is however slightly different.

\textsuperscript{114} Ibid., p. 104, Pl. X.8.

\textsuperscript{115} PMC, I, p. 183, Pl. XVII. 31 (Vema Kadphises) and ibid., p. 208, Pl. XIX. 209 (Vāsudeva I).

of different deities on different kings' coins may indicate the early rise of various sects within the Brahmanical fold. At least we have here interesting allusions to the emergence of three of the major Brahmanical cults, viz. those of Sūrya, Vishnu and Śiva. Of course, we know from other evidences also that the religious history of the time is particularly marked by the rise of Vaishnavism and Śaivism. The female deities depicted on some of the Pañchāla coins may represent the cult of Śakti.

Allan is of opinion that the dynasty of Pañchāla covered the period from about 200 B.C. to the end of the first century B.C. Smith, judging from the script of the legends, thinks that the date of the Pañchāla coins might range between 100 B.C. and 100 A.D., while D.C. Sircar assigns them to the three centuries following the middle of the first century B.C.

Now, the Pabhosā inscriptions of Āśāḍhasena are generally assigned to the second half of the first century B.C. So his grand-father king Vaṅgapāla might have flourished about the first half of the first century B.C., and Dāmagupta who overstuck Vaṅgapāla’s coin probably ruled somewhat earlier. Therefore, the local dynasty of Pañchāla seems to have been founded about the closing years of the second century B.C. or the beginning years of the first century B.C. There is no definite evidence to show that Pañchāla was included within the Kushāṇa empire, although that is possible and Kushāṇa coins are found at Ahichchhatrā. But since the local coinage of Pañchāla, which was a most uniform and continuous series, seems to cover even the reign-periods of Kaṇishka and Huvishka, it is somewhat doubtful if the Kushāṇas had any hold over the Pañchāla area. During the post-Kushāṇa period, about the end

117 Cf. Vidya Prakash’s paper, ‘Reverse Device of Pañchāla-Coinage’, read in the Seminar on ‘Local Coins of Northern India upto 300 A.D.’ (to be published by the Hindu University, Varanasi). Vidya Prakash, however, refers to the five major Brahmanical cults without indicating how they are represented on the Pañchāla coins.
118 BMC(Al), p. cxvii.
119 IMC, p. 185.
120 AIU, p. 172.
121 See Ancient India, No. 1, p. 39.
of the third century A.D., Pañchāla however seems to have been ruled by some princes of the Nāga family and it is not unlikely that the so-called Mitra dynasty was overthrown by the Nāgas. Anyway, allowing an average of about fifteen years for each reign the rulers so far known (at least 25 in number, excluding Śivanandi-Śrī and Śrī-Nandi) from Dāmagupta to Achyuta may have covered a period of more than three centuries and a half. Thus, calculating backwards from Achyuta, the last ruler of Pañchāla and a contemporary of Samudragupta, the beginning of the rule of the early dynasty of Pañchāla cannot be dated much earlier than the first century B.C.

II. ALMORĀ

Almorā is a hill district of the Kumaon Division of Uttar Pradesh. Coins of this area are distinguished from all other Indian issues by their style, fabric, size and types. "They appear to be of some alloy of silver and are heavier than any other Indian coins."

Three specimens are known to have been 'found together in Almorah in the Himalaya mountains', representing the issues of three kings, viz. Haridatta, Śivadatta and Śivapālita. The obverse of the coins of Haridatta and Śivadatta bears a circle in the centre, joined with a curved line (S 21); on the margin is a stag or a bull before a symbol described as tree-in-railing (S 38) and the name of the king in large Brāhmi characters surrounding the flan of the coin. On the obverse of Śivapālita's coin, a rude figure (human or divine) takes the place of the central symbol which also finds its place at one side; the rest of the devices are the same as those on the coins of Haridatta and Śivadatta (S 38 being replaced by S 39). The reverse type of all the three coins 'appears to be an altar surmounted by [a triangle-headed standard] with an elaborate nandipada symbol on its face' (S 25).

"The only other coin known of this series," as Allan says, "is one in the possession of Captain R. F. C. Martin, R.E. The

122 IC, p. 10.
123 BMC (AI), p. lxxx.
124 Ibid., p. 120, Pl. XIV. 9.
125 Ibid., Pl. XIV. 7.
126 Ibid., Pl. XIV. 8.
types are similar to those of Śivadatta. The name cannot be read with certainty but the consonants appear to be M-g-bh-t-sa. 127 There are two other unassigned coins in the British Museum, which have similar symbols (differently arranged) on the obverse and reverse, but they differ so much in style, weight and size that Allan doubts their connection with the Almorā coins. 128 Three more coins with the name of Śivadatta, are again reported to have been found from Srāvasti (Set-Mahet); but they are of much lighter weight and of copper, with a silver coating visible on them. 129

Different scholars have connected the Almorā coins with different series, due to the inter-connection of their symbols. Thus, Rapson recognises in the animal on the obverse of Almora coins a ‘stag’ as seen on the Kuṇinda coins, and, accordingly, attributes them to a branch of the Kuṇindas. 130 The so called tree symbol (S 38 or S 39), again, has some similarity with one of the three symbols characteristic of Pañchāla coins (S 37). This has led Powell-Price who, however, takes all the Almorā symbols as Buddhistic, to think that there was a group of Indian Buddhist kings ruling at Pañchāla, Almorā, the country of the Kuṇindas, and other places during this period. 131 But there is no reason to connect the Almorā symbols with Buddhism, and consequently Powell-Price’s supposition seems to be far-fetched.

The complex nandipada on the reverse of the Almorā coins has, again, some similarity with its counterpart (S 24) on the coins of some rulers of the later dynasty of Ayodhya. 132 This fact, coupled with the discovery of the aforesaid Almorā type of silver-coated copper coins with the name of Śivadatta at Sravasti, has led some scholars to identify Śivadatta of the Almorā coins with the king of the same name of the Ayodhya series, and to hold that the Ayodhya rulers ‘passed to Almora

127 Ibid., p. lxxxi.
128 Ibid., pp. lxxxi and 282, No. 20 Pl. XLV, 20, and No. 21.
129 JPSI, XIII, p. 142, Pl. VII. 6.
130 IG, p. 11 and CHI, p. 529. It may also be pointed out that the symbol (S 38) before the stag on the Almorā coins is exactly the same as that found associated with the stag on the Kuṇinda coins.
131 JUPHS, IV, p. 10.
132 Cf. BMC(AI), p. lxxxix and Pl. XVII, 17 (Kumudasena). This symbol was used by Kumudasena, Ajavarman, Mādhavavarman, Saṅghamitra and Vijayamitra.
by the way of Pañchāla. But though the coins of the two Śivadattas are of about the same period, there are several difficulties in accepting the suppositions. Firstly, the elaborate nandipada of the Almorā coins is not exactly identical with the nandipada of the Ayodhyā coins. Secondly, even if we assume some inter-connection between the two somewhat similar symbols, it is significant that the nandipada symbol is found on none of the coins of Śivadatta of Ayodhyā or, in the matter, no one coin of any other ruler of the earlier dynasty to which Śivadatta belonged. Lastly, the Almorā-type coins with the name of a Śivadatta, discovered at Śrāvasti (Set-Mahet), are far smaller in size and lighter in weight and, being of copper coated with silver, may not be the genuine issues of Śivadatta of Almorā. It is, therefore, difficult to accept the identification of the Śivadattas of Almorā and Ayodhyā.

The coin with the name of 'M-g-bh-t-sa', as referred to by Allan, is interesting. It apparently ends in -bhūti, and may tentatively be restored as Mrigabhūti. The name reminds us of the famous Kuṇinda king Amoghabhūti; and in view of the facts that the Almorā coins are connected with the Kuṇinda coins by the common occurrence of the figure of stag and Symbol No. 88 on them, and that the Kuṇinda coins are also found in the neighboring Garhwal District of the Kumaon region, Rapson's suggestion that the issuers of the Almorā coins belonged to a branch of the Kuṇindas seems plausible. The device of 'stag' on the obverse of the Almorā coins appears also to bear some allusion to the name of Mrigabhūti, who may thus be regarded as the founder of the dynasty. Since his coin-type is similar to those of Śivadatta and Haridatta, he seems to have been followed by these two rulers who were again succeeded by Śivapālita.

---

133 Cf. P. L. Gupta, *JNSI*, XIII, p. 142. He takes the Almorā coins to be the local coins of Śrāvasti in his paper read in the Seminar on Local coins, held at Varanasi in December, 1966.

134 Cf. Powell-Price, *JUPHS*, IV, p. 10 and S. C. Kala, *JNSI*, VIII, pp. 36-37. From the provenance of their coins Powell-Price comes to the conclusion that 'the Kuṇindas ruled over the lower Himalayas including the present Kumaon and some portion of the plains.' Parigiter also states that the territories of the Kuṇindas 'extended further east along the southern slopes of the Himalayas as far as Nepal' (*Mark. P.*, p. 316); cf. also *CHI*, p. 529 and Ch. IX, Sec. 3 (The Kuṇindas) below for the extent of the Kuṇinda territory.
The date of the Almorā coins are given variously by different scholars. Rapson places them between the first century B.C. and the second century A.D.,\(^{135}\) Allan assigns them to the latter half of the second or first half of the century B.C.,\(^{136}\) while D. C. Sircar thinks that they may belong to the beginning of the first century A.D.\(^{137}\) Now, as the dynasty was most probably overthrown by the Kushāṇa king Kaṇishka,\(^{138}\) and as at least four kings are known to have ruled before that, the Almorā princes may be placed between the closing years of the first century B.C. and the third quarter of the first century A.D.

III. YUGAŚAILA (JAGATGRĀM)

Recent excavations at Jagatgrām, a village on the left bank of the Yamunā, three miles from the site of the Kālsī Edicts of Asoka, in the Dehra Dun District of Uttar Pradesh, have brought to light the sites of four Āsvamedhas, performed by an otherwise unknown king named Śilavarman.\(^{139}\) Some of the bricks used in the construction of the svēna-chīti (eagle alter) connected with the āsvamedha-yajña bear inscriptions referring to the king. These inscriptions fall into two groups, as restored by T. N. Ramachandran:\(^{140}\)

1. Nṛipate = r Vārshagaṇyasya Poṇa-shashṭhasya dhīmata[h/∗]
   Chaturthasya = āśvamedhasya chity = oyaṁ Śilavarrman[a[h/]∗]
   [Translation : This is the altar of the fourth horse-sacrifice performed by king Śilavarman, who was of the Vārshagaṇya gotra and was sixth in descent from Poṇa or of Poṇa (vaṁśa ?) ]

2. Siddham : Oṁ Yugeśvarasya = āśvamedhe Yugaśaila-
   mahipate [h/∗]
   Ishṭakā Vārshagaṇyasya uṇipate = ś Śilavarrman[a[h/∗]
   [Translation : This brick is from (belongs to) the āśvamedha of (performed by) Śilavarman, king of Yugaśaila and a yugeśvara or lord of lustrum].

---

135 IC, p. 10.
136 BMC(AI), p. lxxx.
137 AEU, p. 161, n. 3.
139 JOR, XXI, pp. 1 ff.; ibid., XXII, p. 100; and IAR 1953-54, pp. 10 f.
140 IAR 1953-54, p. 11.
As pointed out by Ramachandran, Vṛṣhagaṇa is the 69th gotra mentioned by Pāṇini in his Ṭṣṭādhyāyi and king Śilavarman belonged to that gotra. Poṇa is taken by him as the name of the progenitor of the family of Śilavarman, from whom he claimed to be the sixth in descent. He also suggests alternatively that the expression Poṇashatihā may mean that Śilavarman was the sixth Poṇa of the Dynasty,—five other earlier kings having borne the same name.\textsuperscript{141} D. C. Sircar takes Poṇa in the former sense and makes him ‘the founder of Śilavarman’s family.’\textsuperscript{142} The real significance of the name Poṇa—whether it refers to the founder of Śilavarman’s family or anything else—awaits further light.

Śilavarman is called the lord of Yugasaila which thus appears to be his capital. It is possible that the modern village of Jagatgrām where the inscribed bricks were found represents the site of Yugasaila.

The term yugeśvara or yugeśa as applied to Śilavarman is noteworthy. According to Ramachandran, it means ‘lord of a lustrum’ and implies purification by sacrifice. He points out that the Bhārataśāhṭhita refers to twelve yugeśas beginning with Vīṣṇu and ending with Bhaga, and suggests that Śilavarman ranked himself with these yugeśas by virtue of his Āśvamedha sacrifices. The king’s performance of Āśvamedha at least four times is compared by him with the Greek or Roman lustrum which was performed periodically (once in five years) for the purification of a nation.\textsuperscript{143} He does not think that the Āśvamedhas were performed to declare Śilavarman’s supremacy over other kings (sārvabhaumata), since nothing is known about him from any other source.\textsuperscript{144} D. C. Sircar, however, interprets the term Yugeśvara or Yugeśa as implying ‘the king of the country called Yuga.’\textsuperscript{145}

The inscriptions are assigned to the third century A. D.\textsuperscript{146} So the dynasty to which king Śilavarman belonged probably

\textsuperscript{141} JOR, XXII, p. 100.
\textsuperscript{142} He has kindly communicated this interpretation in a letter dated 19-9-61.
\textsuperscript{143} JOR, XXII, p. 100 and IAR 1953-54, p. 11.
\textsuperscript{144} JOR, XXI, p. 90. Ramachandran has shown that Āśvamedha was ‘not only purificatory where sins were committed but was also elevatory where non-Aryans and persons other than brāhmaṇas and kshatriyas were concerned.’
\textsuperscript{145} This is also communicated in the letter referred to in note 142 above.
\textsuperscript{146} JOR, XXI, p. 1; IAR 1953-54, p. 11.
came to power during the post-Kushâna period. Ramachandran suggests that king Śilavarman might have belonged to the Yaudheya tribe, since some coins—enlisted under Class III in Allan’s British Museum Catalogue—come also from the Dehra Dun District.\textsuperscript{147} We have no means to confirm this suggestion, but it may be noted in this connection that a chief named Bhānu-varman is known from a coin found elsewhere in association with the Yaudheya coins of Class III.\textsuperscript{148} In the absence of the tribal name on his coins, we cannot be sure if he was actually a Yaudheya chief. In any case, it is not unlikely that he belonged to the family of Śilavarman.

\textsuperscript{147} \textit{JOR}, XXI, p. 30. For Yaudheya coins of Class III, see \textit{BMC(AI)}, p. 270, and for their discovery in the Dehra Dun District, see \textit{JNSI}, II, pp. 109 ff.

\textsuperscript{148} \textit{BMC(AI)}, pp. cl and 275, Pl. XL, 15 (enlisted under Class IV of Yaudheya coins).

O. P. 182–25
PART III

TRIBAL STATES OF THE PUNJAB REGION

NATURE OF THE PUNJAB STATES

While Madhyadesa became the seat of a number of monarchical states, the hilly tracts of the Punjab and the adjoining areas became the cradle of republican states during our period. Literary texts throw some light on the existence of a few of these republican states. Epigraphical evidence in this respect is very meagre. By far the most valuable information is afforded by coins issued by these tribal republcs. The legends on these coins often refer to these states as gaṇa or janapada.

The terms gaṇa, janapada as well as nigama, which occur in the coin-legends are known from Pāṇini, Kātyāyana, Kauṭilyya and the Mahābhārata, and their implications are discussed by various scholars who generally recognise them as forms of political organisations or saṅghas. It has been pointed out that saṅgha as distinguished from monarchy by Pāṇini and others denoted a republic, and that though gaṇa was often used as a synonym for saṅgha, it was also used in a specific sense to denote a particular kind and the best-known form of saṅgha or republic. But K.M. Shembavnekar holds that Pāṇini does not attach any political character to the term saṅgha and that, even if it is used in the sense of a political body, it does not signify a republican form of government. He takes saṅgha as denoting a 'League' or a 'Confederacy' of tribes or states and concludes that "the various political saṅghas known to Pāṇini were monarchical clans or states joined together by bonds of confederation and designated by their tribal names, such as Yaudheyas, Mālavas, etc."

It has, however, been clearly shown from Pāṇini's sūtras by scholars like D.R. Bhandarkar, K.P. Jayaswal and R.C. Majumdar that the word saṅgha is also used by the grammarian

1 Cf. D.R. Bhandarkar, Carmichael Lectures, 1918, pp. 140 ff.; K.P. Jayaswal, HP, Ch. IV; and R.C. Majumdar, CLAI, Ch. III. For Pāṇini and Kātyāyana, see HP, Ch. V, for Kauṭilyya, ibid., Ch. VII, and for the Mahābhārata, ibid., Ch. XIV.


3 ABORI, XXV, pp. 137 ff.
in the sense of a political corporation signifying a gaṇa. R.C. Majumdar has, moreover, drawn our attention to a passage in the AśvāMatrix Šatakā which clearly distinguishes between the countries ruled by kings and those ruled by gaṇas—where the term gaṇa cannot but signify a non-monarchical form of government. Even if there is any scope for doubt about the implication of the terms saṅgha and gaṇa in the early Paninian literature, the character of saṅgha and gaṇa as non-monarchical form of popular government seems to be sufficiently demonstrated by Sections 81 (v. 25) and 107 (vv. 6 ff.) respectively of the Śautīparvan of the Mahābhārata, the bearing of which upon the republican states of ancient India was pointed out by Jayaswal and R.C. Majumdar. That the gaṇas were independent political corporations is also corroborated by the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta, which distinguishes the tribal states of the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas, Vaudheyas and others from the kingdoms, as well as by the coins struck in the names of the gaṇas of the Mālavas and Vaudheyas.

Now, gaṇa, as understood by Jayaswal, meant ‘number’ and “was the assembly or parliament, so-called because of the ‘number’ or numbering of the members present. Gaṇa-rājya, consequently, denoted government by an assembly or parliament”. As R.C. Majumdar has shown, the Mahābhārata passage (Śautīparvan, Ch. 107, vv. 23-26) suggesting the formation of something like a small cabinet by the gaṇa-leaders (gaṇa-mukhya), which alone would deal with matters requiring secret deliberation, probably refers to a cabinet system of government existing in the gaṇas. He has also shown from a passage in Kauṭilya’s Arthaśāstra that “the saṅgha-mukhya was to pursue that course of action which was approved by the members of the saṅgha” i.e. the general assembly of the corporation. It thus appears that

---


5 Cf. CLAI, pp. 223-24.


7 Cf. CLAI, p. 230.


9 CLAI, p. 265.

10 Ibid., pp. 251-52.
sāṅgha-gaṇa in the political sense was a tribal oligarchy (or aristocracy) in which sovereignty was vested in a smaller body of gaṇa-mukhyas (cabinet?) who were usually of the Kshatriya order and who were probably responsible to the general assembly of the sāṅgha or gaṇa.\(^{11}\)

In some of the gaṇas, the tribal chief or chiefs probably assumed royal title. Thus the coin-legends of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas, Kuṇindas, Vaimakis and probably also the Vṛishṇis refer to their respective tribal chief as rājan or mahārāja. This may not necessarily indicate that these tribes had monarchical constitution in the true sense of the term. For the Bijaygarh inscription of a Yaudheya Mahārāja Mahāsenāpati who was elected as such by the Yaudheya gaṇa (Yaudheya-gaṇa-purashkṛitah)\(^{12}\) testifies to the fact that the tribal chief of a gaṇa or a republic may also be entitled Mahārāja and that he was often elected by the gaṇa. In the case of such states sovereignty was really vested not in one individual, nor in a limited number of persons, but in the tribe or gaṇa as a whole. The Kshatriya leaders of such states, having inherited monarchical traditions, claimed and enjoyed the privilege of royal coronation and royal title.\(^{13}\) Kauṭilya undoubtedly refers to this sort of republic or sāṅgha, when he uses the term rāja-śabd-opajīvinaḥ with regard to the Lichchhavīs, Vṛijis, Madras and other tribes.\(^{14}\) The Audumbaras, Kulūtas, Kuṇindas, Vaimakis and Vṛishṇis also might have had this sort of gaṇa, although the word gaṇa does not occur in their coin-legends, as in the case of the Yaudheyas or the Mālavas. For, these tribes are known from literary texts as republican peoples. They may be termed as rājaśābdaḥ republics of Kauṭilya or 'King Consul' republics, as called by Jayaswal.\(^{15}\)

In their technical sense, jānapada and nīgama respectively mean 'the country' or 'the whole area of a kingdom' composed of villages and towns (minus the capital), and 'association of the

---

12 CH, III, p. 251.
14 Arthaśāstra of Kauṭilya, ed. by R. Shama Sastry, 1919 (Mysore), Ch. XI.
15 Cf. HP, Part I, p. 57 and p. 82 and n. 1.
city-merchants. In contradistinction to the expression gaṇa, these terms do not actually signify the form of the government, but since we find coins issued in the names of the janapadas (i.e. the countries of the particular tribes), such as those of the Agratyas (Agāchas), Rājanyas, Trigartas, Vaimakis and Śibis, or nigamas (i.e. market-towns), such as those of the Taxila region, and not in the names of respective rulers, we may probably hold that the concerned janapadas and nigamas enjoyed some sort of collective sovereignty and hence constituted political bodies, in which actual government rested, and which consisted of representative members. These may be termed as jānapada and naigama states, having a sort of representative system of democracy, the former relating to a country and the latter to a town. That the term jānapada actually stood for a democratic form of government appears to be supported by the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa passage (VII. 3. 14), which ascribes a Vairājya constitution to some of the janapadas of the Himalayan region, viz. the Uttara Kurus, the Uttara Madras, etc. "To this word (Vairājya)," says M. Haug, "two meanings can be given: 1) without king; 2) a very distinguished king. In this passage we must take it in the first meaning; for here are the Janapadāḥ, i.e., people in opposition to the king mentioned as abhishikta i.e., anointed, whilst in all other passages of this chapter, we find instead of them, the rājānaḥ or kings." The actual constitution is not, however, indicated by the term jānapada, and, as pointed out by U.N. Ghoshal, it may well represent a gaṇa type of government. The case of the Vaimakis may probably be cited here as an example. While the earlier coins of the Vaimakis were issued in the name of the ‘Vemaki jānapada’, their later issues were struck in the name of ‘Rājan Vaimaki Rudravarman’ who was apparently the tribal chief. The Vemaki

16 Ibid., Part II, pp. 62 and 78.
17 For the Negamā coins of Taxila, see BMC(Al), pp. cxxv ff. and 214 ff. and Ch. III above. The other city coins are probably also of this nature.
21 Ind. Cult., XII, p. 63.
janapada, therefore, seems to have had a rāja-labdin type of gaṇa government.

The Punjab republics may be classified into three main groups, according to their coin-legends:—
1. States which are referred to as gaṇa in their coin-legends, such as the Vaudheya gaṇa.
2. The so-called rāja-labdin type of states whose coin-legends refer to the respective tribes as well as their chiefs entitled rājan or mahārāja, such as those of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas, Kuṇindas, and Vaimakis. The Madras, who are known only from the Allahaab add pillar inscription of Samudragupta, also belonged to this group according to Kautilya.
3. The states referred to as janapadas in their coin-legends, viz. those of the Agratyas (Agāchas), Rājanyas, Trigartas, and Vaimakis (of the earlier period).

D.C. Sircar thinks that coins bearing the issuers' names became popular in India after the advent of the Indo-Greeks, hence most of these tribal issues "should preferably be assigned to a date later than the early decades of the second century B.C."22 This date is, however, also supported by what we know about the contemporary history of the Punjab. For, as we have seen above (Ch. I), Pushyamitra most probably pushed his conquests upto the Indus beyond Śākala, where Menander is known to have ruled, presumably after the former. The Punjab states, therefore, are not likely to have risen to power before the end of Menander's rule, sometime about the third quarter of the second century B.C.23

As a result of long association with the neighbouring foreign powers, the tribal states of the Punjab reveal a good deal of foreign influence on their coinages. The degree of such influence affords us a clue to their chronology. The Kharoshṭhī script was in general use in the north-western parts of India, while Brāhmī was used in other parts of the country. The Punjab states, viz., the Audumbara, Kulūta, Kuṇinda, Rājanya, Trigarta, Vemaki and Vrishṇi republics, being situated on the border of the two areas where these two ancient alphabets prevailed, used both

22 AiU, p. 159.
23 Tarn places Menander about 160-145 B.C. (GBI, pp. 133-34), while Narain ascribes his date to about 155-30 B.C. (IG, p. 77).
of them in their coin-legends. As pointed out by Rapson, in the bi-scriptual coins, while the Brāhmī legend remained all through complete, the Kharoshṭhī legend gradually tended to be shortened and finally vanished altogether.\(^{24}\) For instance, on the early issues of the Kulūta and the Kuṇinda, both the Kharoshṭhī and the Brāhmī legends are full;\(^{25}\) but on a coin of Kaulūta Virayaśas (ascribed to about 100 A.D.) only raña of the Kharoshṭhī legend is seen,\(^{26}\) while on the Chhatreśvara type of the Kuṇinda coins (of about the second or third century A.D.) the Kharoshṭhī legend is altogether omitted.\(^{27}\) This shows that by the end of the second century A.D. Kharoshṭhī fell completely into disuse.\(^{28}\)

Foreign influence is also noticed in the use of silver as a coining metal by the Punjab states. For, the few silver coins known of the indigenous states were issued by the Audumbara, Kulūta, Kuṇinda, Vemaki, Vṛishṇi and Vaudheya republics, which were situated in the eastern Punjab on the border of the Indo-Greek dominions. Allan's remark on the silver coins of the Kuṇindas that they "represent an attempt of an Indian ruler to issue a native silver coinage which would compete in the market with the later Indo-Greek silver"\(^{29}\) is applicable to all the silver coins of the Punjab republics. These were presumably issued in the same general period, towards the end of Indo-Greek rule, i.e. in the first century B.C. This is also supported by the discovery, at Jwālāmukhi near Kangra, of five silver coins of Audumbara Dharaghosha, Kuṇinda Amoghabhūti and Vaimaki Rudravarman in association with twenty-seven silver coins of the later Indo-Greek prince Apollodotus II.\(^{30}\)

Not only the script and metal, but also the weight-standard and even the devices were often adopted from the foreign coins.

---

24 *JRAS* 1900, p. 538.
25 Cf. *Taxila*, II, p. 820, Nos. 252-57 (Kulūta) and *BMC(AI)*, p. 159, Pl. XXII, 1 (Kuṇinda).
26 *BMC(AI)*, p. 158, Pl. XVI, 4.
27 Ibid., p. 167, Pl. XXIII, 12.
28 It may also be pointed out that the Kharoshṭhī legend disappears from the coins of the Kushāṇa kings from Kanishka downwards.
29 *BMC(AI)*, pp. cii-liii.
Thus all the silver coins of the tribal states were struck on the 20-rati or 36-grain standard of the bilingual Indo-Greek drachm.31 The Viśvāmitra type of Audumbara Dharaghosha is a close copy of the Herakles type of Lysias and Theophilus.32


32 Ibid., p. lxxxiv; cf. the types of Dharaghosha, ibid., p. 124, Pl. XIV, 14, and Lysias, *PMC*, p. 30, Pl. III. 150 and Theophilus, ibid., p. 87, Pl. IX. VIII.
CHAPTER VIII

THE YAUDHEYA GANA

The forms Yodheya and Yaudheya are derived from Yodha and signify 'a warrior.' The Yaudheyas claimed descent from Yaudheya, a son of Yudhishthira by his wife Devikā, daughter of Govasana of the Śaibya tribe.

The Yaudheyas, as their name signifies, were noted for their martial qualities. They are mentioned along with the Trigartas and others amongst the Āyudhajīvin Saṅghas in Pāṇini and the commentary. In the Mahābhārata they appear together with the other known Punjab tribes, viz. the Ambashṭhas, Madrakas and Trigartas, as well as the Mālavas and Śibis who were probably then dwelling in the Punjab. According to the Harivaṃśa and the Purāṇas, they were descended from Uśānara. Alexander's historians mention a great republican territory on the Beas which was "exceedingly fertile and the inhabitants were good agriculturists, brave in war and living under an excellent system of internal government; for the multitude was governed by the aristocracy, who exercised their authority with justice and moderation." Jayaswal identifies this unnamed state with the Yaudheya republic on the evidence of the find-spots of the Yaudheya coins. According to Cunningham, the Yaudheyas were the Sambraco of Curtius, Sabagrae of Orosius, and Adraistoe of Arrian. Varāhamihira puts them in the northern division of India along with the Rājanyas, Trigartas, Ārjunāyanas and other peoples of the north.

Cunningham identifies the Yaudheyas with the modern

1 AIU, p. 165.
2 CASR, XIV, p. 140.
4 Cf. Q. JAMS, XXV, p. 114.
5 Ibid.
7 HP, Part I, p. 67.
8 CASR, XIV, p. 142.
9 Brihatsanhitā, XIV. 28 and XVI. 22, Kern's edn., pp. 92 and 103.
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Johiyas who occupy both banks of the Sutlej along the Bahawalpur frontier, called Johiya-bar after them, and believes that Mount Judh of the Salt Range may have derived its name from them. He also thinks that in ancient times their territory must have extended much further to the north and east, as their coins are found in the eastern Punjab, and all over the country between the Sutlej and the Jumna rivers. Two large hoards of Vaudheya coins were discovered at Sonpath (Sonpat) between Delhi and Karnal. Behat, an ancient site near Saharanpur, yielded large number of their coins along with some Kupinda pieces. A hoard of 164 Vaudheya coins was discovered in 1986 in the Dehra Dun District. Vaudheya coin-moulds were found at the Khokra-kot mound in Rohtak and at Sunet in Ludhiana, which mark two great mint-sites of the Vaudheyas. Many other finds are also recorded.

The evidence of the provenance of coins regarding the location of the Vaudheyas is supported by epigraphical sources. For, the Junagarh inscription of Rudradaman, which refers to the military power of the Vaudheyas and their encounter with the great Saka Satrap Rudradaman, the Bijaygarh inscription of a Mahārāja Mahāsenāpati who was elected leader of the Vaudheya republic, and the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta referring to the Vaudheyas as one of the frontier tribes, in association with the Mālavas and the Ārjunāyanas—all go to testify to the extension of the Vaudheya territory towards the south and the east.

The find-spots of coins and coin-moulds coupled with the evidence of inscriptions “seem to indicate that the Vaudheya territory comprised an area that may be roughly defined as being

---

10 CASR, XIV, p. 140 and CAI, pp. 75-76.
11 CAI, p. 76. For another hoard from Sonpath see JNSI, XXIV, p. 188
12 FASB 1834, Pl. XVIII; ibid., 1885, Pl. XXXIV; Prinsep's Essays, Pls. IV. and XIV.

For another big hoard from Jaijaivanti near Rohtak see JNSI, XXIV, p. 138.
15 See BMC(AI), p. cli.
16 SI, p. 172, 1. 12.
18 SI, p. 258, 1. 22.
bounded on the west by a line from Bhawalpur along the Satlej and the Beas up to Kangra, on the north-east by a straight line drawn from Kangra to Shaharanpur, on the east by a line drawn from Shaharanpur via Panipath and Sonipath to Bharatpur, and on the south by a line drawn from Bhawalpur via Suratgarh, Bhatner and Sirsa, to Bharatpur. The area thus indicated shows that the Yaudheyas were the most powerful of the Punjab tribes. But we shall see that they did not occupy that area at one and the same time.

The coinage of the Yaudheyas has been classified by Allan into three main groups—Classes I, II and V of BMC(Al) forming one group, Classes III and IV forming another, and Class VI forming the third. Class I consists of a series of small coins of copper and potin, which are generally uninscribed, except for one variety bearing the legend Mahārājasa in Brāhma. These coins are attributed to the Yaudheyas as they are connected by their metal, fabric and provenance with the coins of Class II bearing the name of the tribe. The obverse of the coins of Class II depicts a bull standing before a yūpa or a sacrificial post (S 64), with the marginal legend, Yaudheyanāṁ Bahudhaṅake (i.e. 'Bahudhanaṅaka of the Yaudheyas' or 'at Bahudhanaṅaka of the Yaudheyas'), while the reverse shows an elephant to right with a nandipada above and a flying pennon behind it. The discovery of clay-moulds of these coins at Rohtak has laid aside all doubts as to the correct reading of the legend which was earlier given differently by different scholars. A coin of this class picked up at Baghaura bears the legend Yaudheyānāṁ only. Another small

19 CLAI, p. 271.
20 BMC(Al), pp. cli-iii.
21 Ibid., pp. 265-66, Nos. 1-15.; for the coin with the legend Mahārājasa see ibid., p. 266, Pl. XXXIX. 10.
22 Ibid., p. cxlvii.
23 Ibid., pp. 267-70, No. 16 46.
24 The reading was earlier given as Kṛipudhanāba by Rodgers (PMC, i, Part III, p. 136, n. 2), Bhūpadhanāba by Smith (IMC, p. 131, n. 1), Bhūmidhanāba by Cunningham (CASR, XIV, p. 141) and Bahudhaṅake by Rapson (JRAI 1900, p. 107, n. 4); Rapson's reading has been proved to be the correct one. Jayaswal read the legends of the coin-moulds (JBOSS, XXII, pp. 59 ff.).
25 JNS, XIII, pp. 101-02. Naurangabad near Rohtak which has yielded some coins and clay-moulds, one of which bears the device of a bull and the legend,
square copper coin with a bull to right has also this legend and constituted the Class V of \textit{BMC(AI)}.\textsuperscript{26}

Class III consists of a unique silver coin and an extensive series of copper coins of the same type, closely connected in style and type with the Kuṅinda coins.\textsuperscript{27} On the obverse of the silver coin is found the figure of six-headed Kārttikeya and on the reverse that of a goddess, identified as Shashṭhī, also called Devasena, the consort of Skanda or Kārttikeya, according to the \textit{Mahābhārata}.\textsuperscript{28} The goddess stands facing on a lotus between a six-tiered mountain surmounted by nandipada, and tree-in-railing. The Brāhmi legend on the obverse reads \textit{Bhagavatāḥ Svāmino Brahmanyasya} (or \textit{Brahmanyasa}) \textit{Taudheyasya} (or \textit{Taudheyasa}).\textsuperscript{29} The legend on the silver piece has enabled us to attribute the extensive series of copper coins of the same type without the name of the tribe. The legend on these coins is \textit{Bhagavatāḥ Svāmino Brahmanyadevasya} (or \textit{devasa}) \textit{Kumārasya} (or \textit{Kumārasya}).\textsuperscript{30} To the already existing varieties of this type have been added a number of other varieties from the Dehra Dun hoard.\textsuperscript{31} A coin of the same module as that of the coins of Class III and found

\textit{Taudheyān[ām]}, was probably another mint-town of this period (cf. \textit{JNSI}, XXVII, p. 86). A terra-cotta seal with the legend, \textit{Taudheyā janapada} has also been discovered from Naurangabad (see ibid., XXVIII, p. 200).

\textsuperscript{26} \textit{BMC(AI)}, p. 275, Pl XLIV. 27.

\textsuperscript{27} Ibid., pp. cxlix and pp. 270-75, Nos. 47-79. For the silver coin see ibid., Pl. XXXIX. 21.

\textsuperscript{28} According to Allan, the goddess is Lakshmi [see \textit{BMC(AI)}, p. cxlix], and according to V.S. Agrawala, she is Devasena or Shashthi (\textit{JNSI}, V, p. 29). On some coins she appears to be six-headed (cf. \textit{IMC}, p. 181, No. 8 Pl. XXI. 15 and \textit{JNSI}, II, p. 110, Pl. X. 8-9), but J.N. Banerjea thinks that she is not actually six-headed but her aureole round the head gives this illusion (see \textit{DHI}, p. 141). R.C. Agrawala refers to some copper coins in the Gurukul Museum at Jhajjar (Rohtak District) depicting six-headed Skanda in an unusual way. He also points out that the custom of substituting more heads is known in Mathurā sculptures after the second century A.D. (\textit{JNSI}, XXVIII, pp. 200 ff.). Again, Deenabandhu Pandey points out that the six-headed goddess on the reverse which is a combined form of six Krittikās is associated with Kārttikeya in the form of Brhmanyadeva or Kumāra, and is later on identified with Lakshmi, Shashthi and Devasena (ibid., XXIX, pp. 5 ff.).

\textsuperscript{29} Allan's reading of the legend as \textit{Bhāgavatāḥ svāmino Brahmanyā} (sa or sya)-\textit{Taudheyā} is corrected by J.N. Banerjea in \textit{JNSI}, XII, pp. 160 ff.

\textsuperscript{30} J.N. Banerjea has likewise corrected the legend on copper coins (see ibid.).

\textsuperscript{31} See \textit{JNSI}, II, p. 109 ff. On one variety of the coins of Class III (var. h) the word \textit{darma} appears above the deer (\textit{BMC, AI}, p. 275, Pl. XL. 12), the significance of which has been discussed below (p. 207).
along with them constitutes the Class IV of BMC(AI). It has an incomplete legend, Bhānuva[rmasa ?] between a mountain and a svastika above and a snake below.\textsuperscript{32} The reverse has a trident and a standard, each in a railing.

Class VI consists of a series of well-executed round copper coins with distinct Kushāṇa influence in style and type.\textsuperscript{33} The obverse bears the figure of Kārttikeya with his peacock and the reverse that of Lakshmi standing in an attitude recalling that of Helios, Mithra or Mao on the Kushāṇa coins. The legend on these coins is Yaudheyaganṇasya jaya, with the addition of doi or tri at the end of the legend on two varieties.\textsuperscript{34}

The three groups of Yaudheya coins belong to three different periods of their history, "Classes 1, 2, and 5 [i.e. Group I] of the late second and first centuries B.C. indicating a period of independence, from the fall of the Mauryas to the coming of the Kushāṇs. Classes 3-4 [i.e. Group II] belong to the later second century A.D., and the poor state of the currency probably reflects the disastrous effects of Rudradāman's victory and the war with the Śakas. The fine coins of Class 6 [i.e. Group III], which ...... reveals strong Kushāṇ influence, show the tribe well established again in the third and early fourth centuries A.D. Their currency came to an end with the Gupta conquest."\textsuperscript{35}

The literary references to the Yaudheyas testify to the existence of the tribe as early as the fifth century B.C. (the time of Pāṇini). Their political career, however, seems to have begun about the latter half of the second century B.C., after the rule of Menander in the eastern Punjab, when the first period of their history begins. The legend of the earliest Yaudheya coins (Class II) indicates that the Yaudheya republic had its headquarters at Bahudhāṇyaka during that time. In the Mahābhārata the country of Rohitaka is divided into Maru and Bahudhāṇyaka, the latter of which is also mentioned as a territory conquered by

\textsuperscript{32} BMC(AI), pp. cl and 275, Pl. XL. 15. 25 more coins with this legend have been discovered : cf. JNSI, XVIII, pp. 46-47. For a suggested attribution of these coins see p. 193 above.

\textsuperscript{33} Ibid., p. cl and 276 ff., Nos. 82-105.

\textsuperscript{34} Ibid., p. 277, Pl. XL. 5 (doi) and 278, Pl. XL. 7 (tri).

\textsuperscript{35} Ibid., pp. ciii-iii.
Nakula in the west. 36 Bahudhānyaka signifies ‘rich in corn’ and as it is contrasted with Maru, it appears to be the name of an unusually fertile part of the Punjab in the possession of the Yaudheyas and may be located at Rohtak where the coin-moulds of the Bahudhānyaka mint were found. The Yaudheyas territory of this period seems also to have included Behat in Saharanpur where Captain Cautley found a large number of coins of this class. The absence of the name Bahudhānyaka on the coin from Baghaula in the Gurgaon District and on the square piece of Class V shows that there were probably other mints of the Yaudheyas apart from Bahudhānyaka. We may conclude then that the Yaudheyas in the second and first centuries B.C. occupied the southern portion of the Punjab comprising Gurgaon, Rohtak, and Karnal, and the adjoining territories including Saharanpur.

The second stage of their history begins with the advent of the Kushāṇas in the first century A.D. and it was a period of hard struggle with the foreigners. Since the vast tract of land lying from Kabul in the north-west to Banaras in the east lay under Kushāṇa suzerainty during the reign of Kanishka I, it is highly probable that the Yaudheyas (whose territory lay in the eastern Punjab) had to obey the supremacy of the Kushāṇas, at least of Kanishka.

Whatever might have been the position of the Yaudheyas during the early Kushāṇa rule, they soon appear to have emerged as a mighty power, and, by the middle of the second century A.D., we see them fighting with the great Šaka Satrap Rudradāman who describes them as loath to submit, “rendered proud as they were, by having manifested their title of heroes among all kshatriyas.” 37 This shows that the Yaudheyas survived the onslaughts of the Kushāṇas, and grew in power, so much so that they were regarded as the best of the kshatriyas in the second century A.D.

Their encounter with Rudradāman probably also indicates that the pressure of Kushāṇa power in the north drove them southwards so that their southern boundary extended upto

36 Cf. JBORS, XXII, pp. 59 ff.
the borders of Rudradāman's dominions. The evidence of the southward expansion of the Yaudheya territory is borne also by the second century inscription from Bijaygarh, two miles to the south-west of Bayana in the Bharatpur District, which refers to a Mahārāja Mahāsenāpati, elected leader of the Yaudheya gana.

That the Yaudheyas were in possession of their ancient stronghold of Rohtak at least up to the second century A.D. is suggested by archaeological evidence. For, an examination of some surface finds by K.N. Dikshit "indicated that Khokra-kot (Rohtak) was in occupation up to the Kushāna period when it was partially abandoned, only the southern part where Rohtak now stands, remaining populated."38 Thus, a large portion of northern Rajasthan, the eastern Punjab and the adjoining areas of U.P., i.e. the vast tract extending from Bahawalpur to Bijaygarh in Bharatpur District, including Rohtak and Saharanpur, probably lay under the Yaudheya republic in the early centuries of the Christian era.

But inspite of their resistance, they had ultimately to submit to the Šaka Satrap, as the Junāgarh inscription shows. Their encounter with the Šakas is also reflected on their coinage of this period (Class III of BMC, AI). For, the title svāmi as applied to Brhmānyadeva on these coins is characteristic of the Western Satraps. Again, the word darma occurring on a variety of these coins (var. b) seems to stand for dharma, the Indianised form of drachm which was the standard silver currency of the Western Satraps who imitated the coins of the Indo-Greeks. The term darma, here applied to a copper coin as it is, appears to have been used in the broader sense of a coin.39 The disastrous effects of Rudradāman's victory over the Yaudheyas is probably reflected on the poor state of the contemporary coins, which are of very rough workmanship and have very fragmentary legends,40 and which indicate that the Yaudheyas were passing through a critical political condition. In their times of difficulty,

38 TTCAl, p. 17. That Rohtak continued to be the stronghold of the Yaudheyas even in the third-fourth centuries is suggested by two large finds of Yaudheya coins of Class VI with the legend Yaudheyana-ganasya javah from Jaijaiwanti and Sonpahar near Rohtak: cf. JNSt, XXIV, p. 138.
40 BMC (AI), pp. cl and cliii.
the Yaudheyas seem to have evoked the help of their presiding deity Brahmanyadeva or Kārttikeya, in whose name their coins of this period were issued, and to whom they probably dedicated their state.

Brahmanyadeva or Kārttikeya, ‘the presiding deity of Heroism and War’, seems to have had a special appeal to the war-like tribe of the Yaudheyas. And Rohitaka (i.e. Rohtak) was the specially favoured residence of god Kārttikeya, according to the Mahābhārata which calls its people Mattamayūrakas, apparently identical with the Yaudheyas of Bahudhānyaka (modern Rohtak). 43 The Yaudheya coins of Class VI also bear the figure of Kārttikeya.

Their southward expansion having received a severe set-back at the success of Rudradāman, the Yaudheyas probably retired in the north in the hilly regions of the Himalayas, as indicated from the Dehra Dun hoard of 164 copper coins of this period bearing the name of Brahmanyadeva. To the north they became the southern neighbours of the Kuṇindas of the Beas valley and the Garhwal District. This is also indicated by the close similarity of the Yaudheya coins of this period with the Kuṇinda coins bearing the name of Amoghabhūti. 44

After their defeat in the hands of Rudradāman, the Yaudheyas waited only for an opportunity to re-assert their power, which was not long to come. The death of Rudradaman’s son and successor Dāmajada I was followed by a civil war in the Šaka kingdom 45 which greatly undermined the power and prestige of the Šakas. The Kushāṇa empire also showed signs of gradual decay after the death of Vāsudeva I about 180 A.D. 44 No coins or inscriptions of his successors are found anywhere to the east of the Sutlej, which fact shows that they lost sovereignty over this area. On the other hand, Yaudheya coins of the third and fourth centuries A.D. [Class VI of BMC(II)] are found all over the territory from the Sutlej to the Jumna. It appears, therefore, that the Yaudheyas took advantage of the weakness of

42 Cf. the ‘mountain symbol’ and the ‘tree-in-railling’ on the Yaudheya coins of Class III [BMC(II)], p. 270, PII, XXXIX.21] and those on the Kuṇinda coins bearing the name of Amoghabhūti (ibid., p. 159, PII. XXII.1).
43 NHIP, VI, pp. 48-49; also AIU, p. 166.
44 Cf. AIU, p. 151.
the Śaka and Kushāṇa powers about the end of the second century A.D. and gradually extended their territories towards the Sutlej in the north-west.

Their head-quarters at this period appear to be at Sunet near Ludhiana. For, A.F.R. Hoernle discovered 'in and near the village of Sonait' (i.e. Sunet) three negative clay-seals or moulds for the Yaudheya coins of the post-Kushāṇa period [Class VI of BMC(AI)], and one very large clay-seal with the inscription—

\textit{Yaudheyānāḥ jaya-maṇtra-dhāraṇām} ['(the votive tablet) of the Yaudheyas who know how to device victory'] in characters of about the third century A.D.\textsuperscript{45} After Hoernle's discovery, 38 coin-moulds of the same nature were found at Sunet.\textsuperscript{46} Soon afterwards, another 41 moulds of identical description, and also coming from Sunet, were received by the Indian Museum.\textsuperscript{47} Thus, at least 82 coin-moulds of this type have so far been discovered—all from Sunet. It is apparent therefore that just as Rohtak was the mint-town for the Yaudheyas of Bahudhāṇyaka, Sunet marks the site of another mint-town of the Yaudheyas of a later period. And as these moulds are for coins generally assigned to the third and fourth centuries A.D., Sunet was probably the head-quarters of the Yaudheyas at that time. The antiquity of Sunet goes back much further. V.S. Agrawala traces the history of Sunet up to about 500 B.C. He thinks that the modern village of Sunet was formerly known as Saunetra and it probably marks the location of an ancient city, founded by Sunetra who is mentioned by Pāṇini and who, according to the \textit{Mahābhārata}, was one of the three sons of Dhṛitra-rāṣṭra (I).\textsuperscript{48}

The re-assertion of the powers of the Yaudheyas after hard struggle with the foreigners, viz. the Śakas and the Kushāṇas, greatly increased their prestige in the post-Kushāṇa period. Already regarded as the best of the Kshatriyas, they were now believed to be in possession of a ‘victory-charm’, as implied by the

\textsuperscript{45} \textit{PASB} 1884, pp. 137 ff. Jai Prakash, however, thinks that \textit{maṇtra-dhāra} refers to the ‘\textit{maṇtriparishad}’, and interprets the legend as ‘[seal] of the upholders of the ‘maṇtra’ (or the secret) of victory of the Yaudheyas’; \textit{JNSI, XXVII}, p. 137.

\textsuperscript{46} \textit{Current Science}, 1941, pp. 65 ff.

\textsuperscript{47} \textit{Ibid}, p. 66.

\textsuperscript{48} \textit{JNSI, IV}, pp. 47 ff.
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above-mentioned clay-seal from Ludhiana, bearing the legend: 
Yaudheyānāṁ jaya-mahāra-dharānāṁ. The legend of the Yaudheya 
coins of the post-Kushāṇa period also proclaims the victory of 
their republic: Yaudheya-gaṇasya jayaḥ. That these coins replaced 
the Kushāṇa issues and were current in the same districts is 
suggested by the distinct Kushāṇa influence on their style, type 
and metrology. The figure of Kārttikeya, the presiding diety of 
the Yaudheyas, again, occupies the obverse of these coins.

As already noted, on two varieties of the Yaudheya coins of 
Class VI the word dvi or trī appears at the end of the legend. It is 
accepted by scholars that these words stand respectively for 
dvitiya and tritiya, indicating thereby the second and third sections 
of the Yaudheya tribe, which appears therefore to have been 
consisted of three sections; but their identification is still a 
matter of surmise.

Cunningham observes that the Yaudheyas were a powerful 
nation, without any king, but under the command of three 
military leaders, which suggests the probability that they were 
divided into 'three tribes', each led by its own chief. The 
present-day Johiyas are also divided into three tribes, viz. 
Langavira (Lakvira), Madhvira (Madhera) and Adamvira 
(Admera). The similarity of the name Adamvira with Audumbara 
tempts us to identify the Adamviras with the ancient 
Audumaras, the northern neighbours of the Yaudheyas. If 
we accept this identification, we have to assume that the Audumbaras 
whose coinage of the post-Kushāṇa period is not known, 
might have coalesced with the Yaudheyas, and thus were one of 
the three sections of the Yaudheya confederation, referred to 
in the coin-legends. The other two sections cannot, however, be 
identified.

Again, Altekar holds that the Yaudheyas received valuable 
support and co-operation in their fight for independence from two

49 Jai Prakash also interprets the legend Yaudheya-gaṇasya jayaḥ in a different 
way. According to him, it does not proclaim the victory of the Yaudheya republic, 
but is simply a benedictory formula signifying 'Let the Yaudheyas be victorious': 
JNSI, XXVII. p. 136.
50 Cf. Cunningham, CASR, XIV, pp. 141-42; Jayaswal, HP, Part I, p. 150; 
51 CASR, XIV, p. 142.
52 AIU, p. 167; cf. also ibid., p. 162,n.
other tribes, viz. the Kuṇindas and the Ārjunāyanas, who respectively lived to the north-east and the south-east of their territory, and who regained independence in the post-Kushāṇa period along with the Yaudheyas. And as Kuṇinda coins later than the third century A.D. and Ārjunāyana coins of the post-Kushāṇa period are not known, Altekar thinks that these two tribal states merged with the Yaudhaya gana which thus became a confederation of three republics. If this suggestion is accepted, the words divi and tri on the Yaudhaya coins would appear to refer to the Ārjunāyanas and the Kuṇindas as the second and third members of the Yaudhaya confederacy. But the Kuṇindas whose coins of the second or third century A.D. are known, seem to have regained their independence in the post-Kushāṇa period as a separate political entity. It is, therefore, not likely that later on they merged with the Yaudheyas of their own accord; on the contrary, they might have been overpowered and their territory annexed by their more powerful neighbours, the Yaudheyas. This may account for the absence of their coins for the period later than the third century A.D., as well as for the fact that they are not mentioned amongst the north-western frontier tribes in the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta. Again, the mention of the Ārjunāyanas side by side with the Yaudheyas in the same inscription indicates that the Ārjunāyanas did not lose their political independence to the Yaudheyas. We do not know why the Ārjunāyanas did not strike coins during this period, but it may be pointed out that the Madras and many other tribes who are also mentioned in the Allahabad pillar inscription are not known to have issued any coin at all.

Altekar has also pointed out that according to the Mahābhārata (Śāntiparvan, Ch. 21), the Yaudheyas had three centres, viz., Rohitaka, Marubhūmi and Śirishika. If so, it is not unlikely that the words divi and tri on the Yaudhaya coins refer to these centres, and we may try to identify them. Rohitaka seems in all probability to be Rohtak where Yaudhaya coin-moulds of the Bahudhānyaka mint were found. As we have already seen, some other coins of the same period (of Classes I and V) are known,
which do not bear the name Bahudhānayaka, and this fact indicates that there were probably other centres of the Yaudheyas apart from Bahudhānayaka (Rohtak or Rohitaka). Marubhūmi probably represents the adjacent parts of the deserts of northern Rajasthan (N. Bikaner and Bahawalpur region?) that might have been included in the Yaudhya territory. Sirishika is difficult to locate, but it is tempting to identify it with Sunet (near Ludhiana) which is also a prolific site for Yaudhya coin-moulds. Since, however, the find-spots of the coins marked dvi and tri are not duly noted, we cannot be sure of these identifications at present.\(^{55}\) It is also remarkable that the coins marked dvi have a kalasa, and those marked tri have a śaṅkha, by the side of the goddess on the reverse as their distinctive symbols, while those without these words bear no such symbol. It thus appears probable that the three series of coins—represent the issues of three different administrative units of the Yaudhya republic. But all these must remain conjectural for the time being, and the true interpretation of the terms dvi and tri should await further light.

On the basis of the find-spots of their coins, it has been held that the Yaudheyas were the largest republican tribe in ancient India and that they were chiefly responsible for the downfall of the Kushāṇa empire.\(^{56}\) But this view may be only partially true; for from the above survey of the Yaudhya history, we have seen that the Yaudheyas did not possess the wide region, suggested by the provenance of their coins and inscriptions, at one and the same time. Again, the decline and downfall of a vast empire like that of the Kushāṇas must have had some internal causes and cannot be ascribed mainly to a single outside agency like the Yaudheyas.\(^{57}\). On the other hand, it is natural to think that the Yaudheyas, like many other contemporary tribes, took advantage of the internal weakness of the Kushāṇa empire, and hastened the process of its disintegration by re-asserting their power.

The Allahabad pillar inscription shows that the Yaudheyas enjoyed their regained independence at least upto the time of

---

\(^{55}\) Out of the 54 coins coming from the Rohtak region with the legend Yaudheyaganaṣa jayab, 29 bear the letter dvi and 4, tri: JNSI, XXIV, p. 138.

\(^{56}\) Cf. Altekar, JUPHS, XVI, pp. 52 ff.

\(^{57}\) Cf. AIU, p. 168 and n. 1.
Samudragupta, and retained in their possession the territory between the Sutlej and the Jumna—to the north of the dominions of the Mālavas and the Ārjunāyanas on the western border of the Gupta empire. The inscription also informs us that the Yaudheyas along with the other tribes became tributary to the Gupta empire, probably indicating thereby that they did not lose their internal autonomy. They might have continued their existence as a semi-independent power down to the middle of the fifth century A.D., when they appear to have been ‘engulfed in the Hūṇa avalanche.’ A later reference to the Yaudheyas is made in the Brīhatsaṁhitā, a work of the sixth century A.D.

We may conclude by saying a few words about the Yaudheya constitution, of which we get a few glimpses from literary and archaeological evidences. We get the earliest reference to the Yaudheyas in Pāṇini who enlists them amongst the āyudhaśnjīva saṅghas, as we have seen above. Jayaswal explains this expression as ‘denoting those republics which considered military art as the vital principle of their constitution’. Their name also implies that the Yaudheyas were a martial tribe, and according to Pāṇini, they had a saṅgha or republican form of government. As already noted, Alexander’s historians refer to ‘a great republic on the Beas’, whose inhabitants were brave in war and good agriculturists. From Strabo, again, we know that, although the actual government of that republic was vested in an aristocracy, their parliament consisted of 5000 representatives. If Jayaswal’s identification of this unnamed republic with the Yaudheya gaṇa is correct, then the statement of Pāṇini that the warlike tribe of the Yaudheyas had a republican form of government is corroborated by Strabo.

The Purāṇas, however, ascribe a monarchical constitution to the Yaudheyas. On the face of it, the Puranic statement is contrary to the tradition about the Yaudheya constitution. But it is possible, as suggested by Jayaswal, that the Purāṇas have here referred to the origin of the Yaudheyas when they had probably a monarchical form of government. During the historical period, however, we see them as a republican tribe. According to Allan,

58 HP, Part I, p. 37.
59 Strabo, XV. 37; cf. HP, Part I, p. 67 and n. 2.
60 Cf. HP, Part I, p. 74.
61 Ibid.
a coin of Class I with the legend Mahārājasa 'shows that the Vaudheyas had a monarchical constitution until a fairly late date.' But the occurrence of the word mahārājasa on it may not signify what Allan has supposed. For, on the evidence of the Bijaygarh inscription we know that even the 'elected leader of the Vaudheyas gaṇa' was called a Mahārāja. That the Vaudheyas had a republican form of government is sufficiently corroborated by the expression gaṇa occurring in the above-mentioned inscription as well as in the legend of their coins (Class VI) of the post-Kushāṇa period, which reads—Yaudheya-gaṇasya jaya[h*].

We may here refer to the Vaudheya coins bearing the name of their tutelary deity Brahmanyadeva [Class III of BMC(Al)] which reveal the existence of an interesting type of theocracy in ancient India. Smith thought that these coins were issued by a Vaudheya chief called Brahmanyadeva. But, as Jayaswal has shown, the name Brahmanyadeva refers to Kārttikeya, 'the presiding deity of Heroism and War,' 'La Liberte' of the Vaudheyas. In this type of theocracy, the state is dedicated to the presiding deity who is regarded as the ruler of the state. The Brahmanya deva coins of the Vaudheyas are paralleled by the 'Mahādeva' coin of the Vaimakis, and the 'Chhatresvara' coins of the Kuṇindas, who, in like manner, seem to have dedicated their states to their respective tutelary deities Mahādeva and Chhatresvara, both signifying Śiva. The Bhitā seal of Gauta-

---

62 BMC(Al), pp. cxlvi and 266, Pl. XXXIX. 10.
63 Cf. Yaudheya=gaṇa-purāshākṛitasya mahārāja-mahāśeṣopateḥ, etc., CII, II, p. 252. Cf. the Lichchhavī constitution which, although a gaṇa-rājya, provided for the assumption of the title rājan by the rulers (HP, p. 51). As we shall see below, some of the other contemporary tribal chiefs of the Punjab, viz. those of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas, Kuṇindas and Vaimakis also followed the practice of assuming royal titles. It is interesting to note that the Vaudheya chief referred to in the Bijaygarh inscription is not only a Mahārāja but also a Mahāśeṣopati, probably indicating thereby the military character of the Vaudheya constitution, which seems to have combined the characteristics of the saṃghas termed as āyudhajioin in Pāṇini and as īstra=opajioin by Kauṭilya, as well as those of the rājasabd=opajioin republics of Kauṭilya.
64 IMc, p. 165.
65 HP, Part I, p. 150.
66 Cf. S. K. Chakrabortty, NS, XLVI, p. N 73; for the coin see BMC(Al), p. 123, Pl XIV. 16; for its attribution to the Vaimakis, see Ch. IX, Sec 1 below.
miputra Vindhyavedhana Vrishadhvaja ‘who had made over his kingdom to the great Lord Kārttikeya’ (according to Marshall’s interpretation),\(^8\) probably also indicates such a state of affairs.

---

\(^8\) ASR 1911-12, pp. 50-51, No. 25. See also Ch. V (Kausāmbi) Sec. 1B above.
CHAPTER IX
THE AUDUMBARAS, KULÛTAS, KUÑINDAS, MADRAS AND VRISHNIS

1. THE AUDUMBARAS

The Audumbaras are known from coins as well as from literary texts. The Gōṇapātha on Pāṇini mentions them along with the Rājanyas and locates them near the people of Jālandhara.\(^1\) They are mentioned in the earlier list of the Punjab republics in the Sabhāparvan of the Mahābhārata (Ch. LI\(^2\))\(^3\). The Mārkandeyaapurāṇa couples them with the Kapiṣthalas,\(^3\) while the Vīshṇupurāṇa mentions them along with the Trigartas and Kulindas.\(^4\) Varāhāmihiira also refers to them twice along with the Kapiṣthalas.\(^5\) "They represented one of the six sections of the ancient Śalva tribe, the others being the Tilakhalas, Madrakāras (or Bhadrakāras, probably related with the Madras), Yugandharas, Bhūliugas and Śaradāṇḍas."\(^6\) The 'Odeonbares' of Pliny, who are located in Kutch\(^7\) cannot be the Audumbaras of our coins, although it is not unlikely that a section of the tribe migrated there. Donors of the Audumbara tribe are mentioned in the Sānchī inscriptions.\(^8\)

---

3 See CASR, XIV, p. 116. Cunningham refers to Ward's Hindus, Vol. III, p. 9. But in Pargiter's Mārkandeyaapurāṇa, p. 355, the Udumbaras are coupled with some peoples of the Madhyadesa. Pargiter, however, places these Udumbaras in Kutch: cf. also n. 7 below. The Kapiṣthalas are identified with the 'Kambhistholi' of Arrian by Cunningham who thinks that their name still survives in 'Kaithal' to the south of Ambala: CAI, p. 66.
4 See CASR, p. 116.
6 Cf. GAMI, p. 21, n. 3, and AIU, p. 161, n. 4.
7 Pliny, Nat. Hist. V. 17: cf. BMC(AI), p. lxxxvii. The 'Mambaros' of the Periplus (see CASR, XIV, p. 117) and the 'Odomoeroc' of Ptolemy (see NS, XLVI, p. N. 70) probably refer to the Kutch branch of the Audumbaras. Jayaswal thinks that their descendants are found in the modern community of Gujarati Brahmans of the Audumbara Caste': HP, Part I, p. 161.
Literary references point to a location of the Audumbaras supported by the find-spots of their coins which come from Pathankot, Jwalamukhi, Irippal and Hoshiarpur, i.e. from a well-defined area in the north-eastern Punjab. The country of the Audumbaras, therefore, seems to have included the western part of the modern Kangra District, and the Gurdaspur and Hoshiarpur Districts, ‘that is to say, the valley of the Beas, or perhaps the wider region between the upper Sutlej and the Ravi.’

Cunningham thinks that ‘the name of Odumbara, or Audumbara, is derived from the Udumbara fig tree (Ficus glomerate).’ He also points out that, according to Pāṇini, any country where the Udumbara tree flourishes may be called Audumbara and that is true of the Nurpur (or rather Gurdaspur) District in the Punjab, which abounds in fig-trees. In his opinion, the old name of the district which is variously given as Dahmeri or Dahmberoi, of which the capital was Pathankot, is derived from Audumbara. Rapson, however, expresses doubts about the identification of the name Udumbara with the later Damari or Dalmari. Some connection is suggested between the Adamvira or Admera section of the Johiya Rajputs and the ancient Audumbaras.

The Audumbara coins are grouped by Allan into three classes—(1) a series of square copper coins, (2) a few rare silver coins, and (3) a group of round copper and billon pieces. The first two classes bear the name of the tribe, while the third does not bear it.

10 CAI, p. 66.
11 CASR, XIV, p. 116. N. Dey also located the country of the Udumbaras in ‘the district of Nurpur (or rather Gurdaspur)’: GDAMI, p. 13. D. C. Sircar suggests that ‘the Udumbara tree had some sort of totemic value with the Audumbaras as the Kadamba tree had with the Kadambas’.
12 CASR, XIV, p. 116.
13 JRAS 1900, p. 540. He, however, suggests some connection of the name Dahmari with the form Dāmara occurring in the Brhatasthāpikā, XIV, 30 (ibid., p. 533).
15 BMC (AI), p. lxxxiii.

O. P. 182—28
The coins of Class I are distinctly Indian in type and style, and may be regarded as the earliest. They bear on the obverse a tree-in-railing with the forepart of an elephant to left over a wavy line, and on the reverse a domed and a pillared building beside which stands a trident-battle-axe. The tree on the obverse has been identified by Cunningham with the Udumbara tree; but, as the tree-device is quite common on early Indian coins, Allan doubts Cunningham’s identification. The building on the reverse has been variously described as a granary, a mote-hall and a two-storied stūpa by Smith, Jayaswal and Allan respectively. Cunningham and R. D. Banerji have, however, described it as a temple, and S. V. Sohoni has pointed out that such temples are common in the Kulu and the Beas valleys and that the presence of the trident-battle-axe indicates the Saivite nature of the temple.

The coins bear identical but bисcriptual legends, written in Kharoshṭhī on the obverse and Brāhmī on the reverse. They represent the issues of Dharaghosha, Rudradāsa and Śivadāsa. The respective legends, as restored by R. D. Banerji from fragmentary inscriptions of different specimens are as follows:—

1. **Mahadevasa raṇa Dharaghoshasa Oduḥbarisa.**
2. **Mahadevasa raṇa Rudradasasa Oduḥbarisa.**
3. **Mahadevasa raṇa Śivadasasa Oduḥbarisa.**

16 We have here followed the order given by Allan as ‘obverse’ and ‘reverse’. We feel, however, that the side bearing the Brāhmī legend should be regarded as ‘obverse’ and that bearing the Kharoshṭhī legend as ‘reverse’.
17 *GASR*, XLIV, p. 117; and *CAI*, p. 68.
18 *BMC* (*AI*), p. lxxxiii.
21 *JNSI*, IV, pp. 55 ff.
22 Cf. note 16 above.
23 See *BMC* (*AI*), p. 125, Pl. XIV. 15 (Dharaghosha), ibid., p. I22, Pl. XV. 2 (Rudradāsa), and ibid., Pl. XV. 1 (Śivadāsa).
To this list of Audumbara rulers Allan adds the name of a Mahādeva, by restoring the fragmentary legends of some coins as Mahādevasa raṇa Odu[m]barisa.\(^{25}\) While admitting that the coins with this legend may really be specimens of other rulers with incomplete legends, he thinks that the silver coins bearing the name of Mahādeva establishes the existence of an Audumbara ruler of that name.\(^{26}\) But ‘Mahādeva’, as it is used before the royal title in all the four cases, is apparently meant for a royal epithet and refers to the ‘god’ Mahādeva (not an Audumbara chief), indicating ‘Mahādeva’, i.e. ‘a devotee of god Mahādeva’. Had ‘Mahādeva’, in the legend under discussion stood for the name of a ruler, we would expect it, on the analogy of the coin-legends of other rulers of this series of coins, to occur between raṇa and Odu[m]barisa. The legend signifies ‘[Coin] of the king so-and-so, the Audumbari, [the devotee] of [the god] Mahādeva’.\(^{27}\) The existence of a fourth Audumbara ruler called Mahādeva is not, therefore, established by the copper coins under discussion, which are, however, Audumbara issues no doubt, but without the name of their striker or strikers. Moreover, as we shall see below, the attribution of the silver coins with the name of Mahādeva to the Audumbaras, as made by Cunningham and Allan, is also doubtful.

Two types of silver coins have been attributed to the Audumbaras, one bearing the name of Dharaghosha and the other that of Mahādeva. The silver coins of Dharaghosha depict on the obverse the standing figure of a sage, labelled as Viśpamitra (i.e. Viśvāmitra) and on the reverse a tree-in-railing on the right and a trident-battle-axe on the left.\(^{28}\) The legend, Mahādevasa raṇa Dharaghosasa Odu[m]barisa, occurs on the obverse in Kharoshṭhī and on the reverse in Brāhmī,

---

26 Ibid., p.lxxxiii.
27 This view of ours has also been expressed in a paper entitled “The Attribution of the Mahādeva Coins” read at the XXVI International Congress of Orientalists held in New Delhi in 1964: see Proc., XXVI Int. Cong. Ori., p. 112 (List of Papers). Cf. also AIU, p. 161, n. 4 and Ajaya Mitra Shastri in JNSI, XXVI, pp. 158-59 for a similar view.
exactly as on the copper coins, and thus connects the two series. The copper and the silver coins of Dharagholsha are also connected by the trident-battle-axe and the tree devices. The silver coins issued in the name of Mahādeva bear on the obverse the figure of a bull and on the reverse that of an elephant, and are strikingly similar in type and style to the silver coin of Vaimaki Rudravarman.29 The legend on these coins is—Bhāgavata Mahādevasa rajaraṇa and occurs in Kharoshṭhī on the obverse and in Brāhmī on the reverse. The tribal name (Audumbara) is absent on these coins, but their attribution is based on the name Mahādeva, which is also supposed to occur on the copper coins bearing the tribal name, the type elephant with a trident in front, and general similarity to the silver piece of Dharaghosha.30

But the grounds on which the ‘Mahādeva’ coins are attributed to the Audumbaras are rather unconvincing. Firstly, as we have seen, the word ‘Mahādeva’ on some copper coins with incomplete legends does not refer to a new king, but is used as a regal epithet referring to the god Mahādeva. Secondly, while the coins of other Audumbara rulers depict only the forepart of an elephant on the obverse and the trident on the reverse, those of ‘Mahādeva’ bear the full figure of the elephant along with the trident on the same side and show in this respect a very striking resemblance with the same device on the coins of Vaimaki Rudravarman. Thirdly, as to the similarity between the Mahādeva coins and those of Dharaghosha, it may be pointed out that a general similarity of the sort is noticed in all the indigenous silver issues of this period. Moreover, the type of Mahādeva’s silver coin is entirely different from that of Dharaghosha, and is remarkably identical in every detail with that of Vaimaki Rudravarman. Again, while Dharaghosha’s silver and copper issues bear identical legends on the obverse (in Kharoshṭhī) and on the reverse (in Brāhmī), the silver pieces of Mahādeva have entirely a different legend from that on the copper coins of

29 Cf. ibid., p. 123, Pl. XIV. 16 (Mahādeva) and ibid., p. 125, Pl. XIV. 13 (Rudravarman).
30 Cf ibid., p. lxxxv.
the supposed Mahādeva. And it is significant that the tree (Udumbarara?) which is invariably found on the Audumbara coins is absent on the Mahādeva issues. Thus, it appears more logical to attribute the Mahādeva coins to the Vaimakis rather than to the Audumbaras, if at all the absence of the tribal designation on them can be ignored.\(^{31}\)

The copper and the silver coins seem to be somewhat contemporary issues, as the name of Dharaghosha occurs in both the series. And since Rudradāsa and Śivadāsa are represented by their copper pieces alone, they probably preceded Dharaghosha who appears to have introduced the silver currency. On grounds of palaeography, of both the Brāhmī and Kharoshṭhī legends, Cunningham, R. D. Banerji and Allan assigned these coins to the first century B.C.\(^{32}\) This is also supported by their association with other datable foreign coins in some finds. A silver coin of Dharaghosha was found along with some coins of the Indo-Greek king Apollodotus Philopator at Jwalamukhi,\(^{33}\) while the Pathankot pieces were found in company with the coins of Zoilus II, Vonones and Gondophares as well as of Kaṇishka and Huvishka.\(^{34}\) The Audumbara rulers are, therefore, to be assigned to a period between the later Indo-Greeks on the one hand and the Parthian and Kushāṇa rulers on the other, i.e. a date about the first century B.C. Allan dated the silver coin of Dharaghosha about the middle of the first century B.C.\(^{35}\) Śivadāsa and Rudradāsa may, therefore, be placed in the first half of that century.

---

31 Ajaya Mitra Shastri, while holding the same view (that Mahādeva was not an Audumbara chief), does not however indicate to whom these coins may be attributed: cf. JNSI, XXVI, pp. 159 ff. and ibid., XXVII, pp. 87 ff. According to Jai Prakash, on the other hand, the Mahādeva coins were issued by Dharaghosha to commemorate his victory over the Indo-Greeks and are comparable to the 'Brahmanyadeva coins' of the Yaudheyas: ibid., XXVII pp. 129-30.

32 Cunningham, CAI, p. 67; R. D. Banerji, NS, XXIII, p. 249; and Allan, BMC (AI) p. lxxxiv.

33 CAI, p. 67.

34 BMC (AI), p. lxxxiv.

The round copper coins of Class III ‘are not in type or style particularly closely connected with the coins bearing the name Odumbari’ (i.e. Classes I and II). They are later than the other two classes of coins, and dated by Allan about the first century B.C. or A.D. On the obverse of these coins appears the figure of a warrior (probably Kārttikeya), standing with a spear (showing a shaft on some coins), while on the reverse are depicted an elephant, sometimes with a rider, and a tree in front (rarely visible). These coins also bear identical bispertual legends—Kharoshṭhī on the obverse and Brāhmī on the reverse. Four rulers entitled rājan are represented in this series. They are—Āryamitra (Ajamita), Bhānumitra, Mahābhūtimitra and Mahīmitra.

The attribution of these coins to the Audumbaras is due to Cunningham, and is based on their provenance. They came from the Punjab, notably from the Hoshiarpur District. But this attribution is doubted by R. D. Banerji as they do not bear the tribal name. S. K. Chakraborty, however, maintains the attribution of Cunningham. According to him, a change in the tribal character of the Audumbara constitution might have led to the omission of the tribal name from the coin-legends, while an emphasis was put on the names of their chiefs. It is not impossible that the tribal oligarchy of the Audumbaras was gradually transformed into a monarchy or followed by a new dynasty of rulers with mitra-ending names, who discarded the practice of putting the name of the tribe on the coins and struck them in their own names alone. But these coins retained some local characteristics and are connected with the earlier Audumbara series by the presence of bispertual legends on them, the figure of the elephant,

36 Cf. ibid., p. lxxvi.
37 Ibid.
38 Ibid., p. 125, Pl. XV, 13 (Āryamitra), ibid., p. 128, Pl. XLIII. 2 (Bhānumitra), ibid., p. 287, No. 30A (Mahābhūtimitra), and ibid., p. 126. Pl. XV, 17-18 (Mahīmitra).
40 N.S., XXIII, p. 248.
41 Ibid., XLVI, p. N. 74.
the tree, and the axe-head sometimes attached to the spear held by the warrior figure.  

Of the four rulers represented by the coins of Class III, Mahūmitra alone is not known to have used the type ‘elephant-with-rider’. Āryamitra’s coins, however, represent the animal both with and without the rider. Åryamitra, therefore, may be placed between Mahūmitra and the other two rulers who use only the ‘elephant-with-rider’ type. There is no clue to determine who between Bhānumitra and Mahābhūtimitra preceded the other.

The name-ending mitra of the rulers represented by the coins of Class III is interesting in so far as we know that many of the rulers of several dynasties of Madhyadeśa had similar names. Moreover, one of the types of Bhānumitra’s coins bears on the reverse the third Pañčāla symbol (S 36) as well as the symbol (S 48) on which the sun symbol of the coins of Pañčāla Sūryamitra is placed. Å A coin of Pañčāla Bhānumitra seems also to have been found along with a specimen of Bhānumitra of this series. Å Inspite of these associations it is difficult to identify the two Bhānumitrás, since the coins under discussion form a different series and are distinguished from the Pañčāla coins in their types as well as in their use of the Kharoṣṭhī legends. Even though the territory for which these coins were issued is not definitely known, the use of the Kharoṣṭhī script as well as their provenance suggests that it was somewhere in the eastern Punjab, in or adjoining the Audumbara country.

We may in this connection refer to Jayaswal’s views about the connection of the Audumbara rulers with the Ṣuṅgās.

---

43 Ibid., p. 126, Pl. XLIII. 1 (with rider) and ibid., p. 125, Pl. XV. 13. (without rider).
44 Cf. Ibid., p. 127, Pl. XV. 21 (Bhānumitra) and ibid., p. 193, Pl. XXVII. 8 (Sūryamitra).
45 Cunningham enlists a coin of Pañčāla Bhānumitra along with that of Bhānumitra of this series (see *CAI*, p. 70, Pl. IV. 12-13). All these coins are said to have been procured by him, “in the northern Punjab beyond Lahore” (ibid., p. 66).
46 *JRAI* 1935 p. 790.
He takes the epithet Bhagavata on the silver coins of Mahādeva as the name of the issuer and Mahādeva as his epithet and identifies him with the ninth Śuṅga king Bhagavata. According to him, both Āryamitra and Bhānumitra of the coins of Class III belonged to the Śuṅga dynasty, the former being identified with the eighth Śuṅga king Vajramitra. He thinks that the figure of Viśvāmitra, the traditional progenitor of the Śuṅgas, on the silver coins of Dharaghosha indicated the Śuṅga lineage of the Audumbara rulers. He also points out the connection between the names Udumbara and Śuṅga, both of which signify the ‘fig tree’. But all his identifications are fanciful, and none of the Audumbara rulers, who were merely tribal chiefs, can be identified with any of the Śuṅga kings. Moreover, as we have seen, the connection of ‘Bhagavata Mahādeva’ or the ‘Miras’ with the dynasty of Audumbara Dharaghosha, on which Jayaswal’s suppositions are based, is uncertain.

The use of the figure of Viśvāmitra on Dharaghosha’s coins is interesting, and may have some reference to the Rigvedic association of the sage with the Beas valley. In one of the hymns of the third maṇḍala of the Rigveda, which is traditionally attributed to him, Viśvāmitra praises the rivers Vipās (Beas) and Sutudri (Sutlej). It is said that once when the Bharatas, engaged in a raid, came to the rivers from the east, they found them in high flood, but Viśvāmitra by his prayers induced the waters to subside.47 Viśvāmitra is thus specially connected with the Beas valley, and the Audumbars who dwelt there might have claimed descent from the sage and commemorated him on their coins. It may be noted here that, according to the Harivarṣa (XXVII. 1466), ‘certain descendants of Viśvāmitra were called Audumbaras’.48 J. N.


48 See Mark. P., p. 355, n. K. K. Dasgupta tries to establish the connection of the Audumbars with the sage Viśvāmitra on the evidence of a story about the birth of Viśvāmitra as found in the Kālikāpurāṇa, which narrates that the mother of Viśvāmitra embraced a fig-tree (audumbara) in order to have a child: The Audumbaras, pp. 6-7.
Banerjea, however, is of the opinion that the figure of Viśvāmitra stands for Śiva. 49

J. C. Ghosh points out that Viśvāmitra is depicted on the coins of Dharaghosha as a Brāhmaṇa with a yajñopavīta, and that some Brāhmaṇas of the Vaiśvāmitra family are known from inscriptions to bear the surname ghosha. He therefore takes Dharaghosha and his dynasty as Brāhmaṇas of the Audumbari gotra of the Vaiśvāmitra family. According to him, the members of the dynasty of Dharaghosha might have been the feudatories of the Kāṇvas, and, as both the Śuṅgas and the Kāṇvas were Brāhmaṇas, Dharaghosha’s family might have sprung from among their community. 50 But even if Dharaghosha was a Brāhmaṇa, there is no evidence to show that his family was connected in any way with the Śuṅgas or the Kāṇvas.

The Audumbaras were an enterprising people, having prosperous trade and commerce, and members of their community even made donations at the distant Sāñchi stūpas near Bhilsa. 51 The material prosperity of this people is explained by the situation of their kingdom at the junction of the plains and mountains—on the great trade-route to Taxila from the Indo-Gangetic valley, via Rohitaka (Rohtak), Agrodaka (Agroha) and Śākala (Sialkot). 52 As shown by Cunningham, Pathankot which was one of the principal towns of the Audumbara country, “naturally became the great emporium between the two rich valleys of Kangra and Chamba in the hills, and the two great cities of Lahor and Jālandhar in the plains.” 53 The political career of the people seems to have been brought to an end either by the Parthians or by the Kushāṇas in the first century A. D.; for Audumbara coins of a later period are not known.

49 JNSI, XXII, p. 43.
50 Ind. Cult., I, pp. 75 ff.
51 Cf. n. 8 above.
53 CASR, XIV, p. 116.
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2. The Kulūtas

The Kulūtas are an ancient people and are mentioned in early literary texts. The name Kulūta seems to occur in the Mahābhārata in various forms. In one śloka of the Bhīshma-parvan (Ch. VIII. 52) they are mentioned as Ulūta, in association with the peoples of Kāśmira, Gandhāra, Abhīsāra, etc. In another śloka of the same parvan (Ch. VIII. 64) the Kulūtas are probably mentioned as Kulatthas and are included amongst the Mlechchha peoples like the Yavanas, Chinas, Kāmbojas, Hūnas, Pārasikas, etc. The Karpāparvan (Ch. XII. 475 and 485) also refers to a country called Kulūta. The Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa notices the Kulaṭas (i.e. Kulūtas) amongst the peoples of the north-east, viz. the Kāśmiras, Abhīsāras, Daradas, Taṅganas, etc. The Viṣṇupurāṇa has Utūla (variantly Ulūta or Kulūta) in association with the Gandhāras, Abhīsāras, and others. The Bhāhatsamhitā of Varāhamihira twice refers to the Kulūtas—once (xiv, 22) in the North-West Division along with the Tukhāras, Talas, Hālas, Madras, etc. and again (xiv, 29) in the North-East Division in association with the Kiṇas, Kāśmiras, Abhīsāras, Daradas, Taṅganas, Dāmaras, Audumbaras?, Chinas, Kauṇindas, etc. It is apparent that the Mahābhārata and the Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa refer to the Kulūtas of the North-East Division of the Bhāhatsamhitā. The Mudrā-rākshasa mentions them as Kaulūtas in two passages and, as in the Mahābhārata, calls them Mlechchhas along with the Kāśmiras, Pārasikas, Chinas, Hūnas, etc. The Kudambari contains a reference to a daughter of the king of the Kulūtas. 

54 Cf. JRAS 1900, pp. 531-32.
56 Ibid., p. 382. For reference to the Kulūtas in other Purāṇas, see GAMI, p. 27 and n. 1.
57 Wilson's trans. of the Viṣṇupurāṇa, p. 191 and n. 86. He adds that 'the Rāmāyaṇa (Kishkindhyākṣaṇa, XLIII. 8) has Kolikas or Kaulūtas amongst western tribes'; but their identification with the Kulūtas is doubtful; cf. JRAS 1900, p. 532.
58 JRAS 1900, p. 533.
59 Ibid., pp. 535-36.
60 Ibid. p. 536.
Tsang places the K’iu-lu-to (Kulūta) country 700 li or 117 miles to the north-east of Jālandhara. 61 In the Chambā copper-plate inscription of Somavarmadeva and Āsaṭadeva (middle of the 11th century) the Kulūtas are mentioned along with their neighbouring peoples, the Trigartas, and the Kīras who are elsewhere found in association with them. 62 This inscription is thus of great importance in confirming the evidence of the literary texts.

The accounts of Hiuen Tsang led Cunningham to identify the Kulūta country with the modern Kulu valley in the Kangra District. 63 Rapson supports this identification and adds that the "Kulūtas of the Chamba Copper-Plate, of Hiouen Thsang, of Varāhamihira’s N. E. Division, and of the Muḍrārākhasa are almost certainly, as is shown by the common association of names, the ancient inhabitants of the Kullu valley." 64 According to him, the provenance of the unique silver coin of Kaulūta Virayaśas, which was procured by Cunningham ‘in the northern Punjab beyond Lahore’ (i.e. the north-eastern Punjab), along with some coins of the Audumbaras and the Kuṇindas 65 (who are mentioned by Varāhamihira in association with the Kulūtas) also confirms this location of the Kulūta country. He thinks that the Kulūtas were the ‘eastern neighbours of the Udumbaras’. 66 The similarity of the silver coins of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas and the Kuṇindas seems also to suggest that their territories were not far separated from one another. The Kulūta country may probably be placed between those of the Audumbaras and the Kuṇindas, so far as the evidence of the silver coin goes.

But the discovery of eleven copper coins of a homogenous series bearing the names of Vijayamitra, Virayaśas, and probably two other rulers of the Kulūtas, during the excavations at Taxila, 67 throws some fresh light on the Kulūtas and their

---

61 Cf. AGI, pp. 162-63.
62 Ind. Ant., XVII, pp. 7 ff.; cf. also JRAS 1900, p. 537.
63 AGI, p. 163.
64 JRAS 1900, pp. 538-39.
65 CAI, p. 66.
66 CHI, p. 529.
67 Taxila, I, pp. 171 and 213; ibid., II, pp. 787, 793 and 820, Nos. 252-57. The coins are discussed below.
territory. Since the name Virayaśas is also found on a coin of the Taxila find, and since there is symbolical inter-connection between his silver coin and the copper piece bearing his name (as noted below), we may hold that the Kulūta family represented by the Taxila copper pieces was identical with that of Virayaśas of the silver coin.

The Taxila issues representing several rulers of the Kulūtas, being of 'copper', are not expected to travel far from their place of origin and may, therefore, indicate that the district of their circulation was not far from Taxila. Virayaśas whose coins alone are found both at Taxila and in the north-eastern Punjab (along with the Audumbara coins) was the latest of the rulers represented by the Taxila pieces, as we shall see below. It may possibly be suggested, therefore, that the family of Virayaśas originally began to rule somewhere near Taxila in the north-western Punjab, and that during the time of Virayaśas it moved for some reason to the Kulu valley which appears to have derived its name from the Kulūtas. We may here recall that Varāhamihira refers to two peoples of this name, one in the North-West Division and the other in the North-East Division, the latter of which is identified with the Kulūtas of the Kulu valley. It is not unlikely that Varāhamihira's Kulūtas of the North-West Division refer to a section of the tribe who remained in its original home even after the migration of its other section under Virayaśas. The association of the Kulūtas with the Milchekhas in the Mahābhārata and the Mudrārākshasa may also suggest that they originally hailed from an area in the north-western Punjab where many foreign peoples or Milchekhas are known to have ruled. Even if the Kulūtas were themselves of foreign origin, their names and their coin-types show that they had become thoroughly Indianised by the time they struck their coins.68

The Kulūta coinage may be grouped under two classes—one of square copper coins discovered at Taxila, and the other represented by the unique silver piece of Virayaśas. The coins of Class I are of purely Indian types, consisting of groups

68 Cf. JRAS 1900, p. 538.
of symbols on either side. The symbols on the obverse are the six-tiered mountain surmounted by standard (S 51), Svastika (S 29) and triangle-headed standard (S 3), while those on the reverse are the nandipada-standard-in-railing (S 49) or tree-in-railing, circlet surrounded by four nandipadas (S 34), conventionalised lotus (S 50) and taurine (S 35)—arranged in different orders on the coins of different rulers. They bear bispertual legends, Brāhma on the obverse and Kharoshṭhī on the reverse. The Kharoshṭhī legends, like their Brāhma counterparts, occur in complete form on these coins. Four rulers, viz. Ārya (?), Satyamitra (?), Vijayamitra and Virayašas, seem to be represented by the following sets of legends, as restored by Allan:

1. Obv....Āryasya...( in Brāhma )
   Rev. Kharoshṭhī legend effaced.
2. Obv....ya...(in Br.)
   Rev...[Sa]chamitasa (? ) ( in Kh.)
3. Obv. Rājña Kulūtasa Vijayamitrasya ( in Br.)
   Rev. [Raḥa] Kulutasa Vijayamitasa ( in Kh.)
   Rev. [Ra]ṅa Kulutasa Viraya[ṭasa] ( in Kh.)

"The copper pieces, like the silver coin, are exceptionally heavy."

The round silver coin of Virayašas has purely Indian devices, although its fabric and denomination betray foreign influence. It bears on the obverse a wheel of twelve spokes surrounded by pellets with two miniature symbols—a nandipada at the top and triangle-headed standard (upside down) below, and circular Brāhma legend: (VII) Rājña Kolūtasya (II) Virayašasya. The reverse is made up of a ten-tiered mountain

69 Taxila, II, p. 820, No. 256 (? Ārya), No. 255 (? Satyamitra), Nos. 252-53, 257 (Vijayamitra), and No. 254 (Virayašas). See Marshall’s remarks for the reading of the legends by Allan.
70 Ibid., p. 841.
71 BMC(A I), p. 158, Pl. XVI. 4. Cunningham (CAI, p. 70, Pl. IV. 14) and Allan describe the coin as of copper, but from the reference of Whitehead to the coin in Taxila, II, p. 841 we know that it is of silver.
72 Cunningham read it as Kōputasya (or Kōptanasya): CAI, p. 70, Pl. IV. 14. Bergney corrected it as Kolūtasya: JRAI 1900, p. 415. As to the form Kolūta for Kaulūta, see Rapson, JRAI 1900, p. 429 and n.
with a wavy line below ( S 52 ), a nandipada ( S 53 ) above, a nāga symbol ( S 54 ) on right and a svastika ( S 29 ) on left. The Kharoshṭhī legend is confined only to raña ra to the right, and śa to the left, of the mountain symbol. The coin is a 40-rati drachm of the light-weight Indian standard of the Indo-Greeks ( 75.7 grains ), although coins of this denomination are not known to have been struck by them or their foreign successors. The silver coin is connected with the copper series by mountain, nandipada and svastika symbols—although all of different forms.

According to Rapson, the silver coin of Vīdayāsas may belong to the first or second century A.D. Allan also comes to about the same conclusion and points out that the Brahmi legend "is practically Sanskrit, which shows [ that ] the coin is not early; the survival of the Prakrit raña in Kharoshṭhī on the reverse shows that it cannot be very late. A date round about A. D. 100 seems to be indicated." D. C. Sircar, on the other hand, assigns Vīdayāsas to "about the latter half of the third century A. D." But the evidence of the Taxila find shows that he cannot be placed so late. For, according to Marshall, "the copper pieces may be a few decades earlier [ than the silver coin of Vīdayāsas ], since stylistically they appear to be somewhat older than the silver one, but their findspot points to their having been buried in the early Kusāṇa period, and there cannot in any case be much difference in date." The earlier date of the Taxila pieces is also borne out by the fact that they have full Kharoshṭhī legends, while the silver coin has only the word raña in Kharoshṭhī. Since they were buried during the period of Kadphises II ( whose reign is generally believed to have terminated about 78 A. D. ) and Soter Megas, the copper coins are assigned to a date about the beginning of the first century A. D. by both Marshall and Whitehead. And the occurrence

74 JRAS 1900, p. 537 and CHI, p. 529.
75 BMC (AI), p. c.
76 AIU, p. 161, n. 2.
77 Cf. Taxila, II, p. 787.
78 Cf. ibid., I, p. 213 ( Marshall's view ) and ibid., II, p. 841 ( Whitehead's note ).
of a copper piece of Virayaśas bearing full Kharoshṭhī legend in this series suggests that he cannot be far separated in time from other rulers of the group. Virayaśas cannot, therefore, be placed later than the closing years of the first century A.D. Moreover, his copper coin with full Kharoshṭhī legend shows that he is not likely to have flourished in the latter half of the third century A.D., when Kharoshṭhī ceased to be used in coin legends.  

The silver coin of Virayaśas with curtailed Kharoshṭhī legend which is later than the copper pieces indicates that he was the latest of the rulers represented by the Taxila coins. Of the three other rulers, Ārya (?) alone uses a tree-in-railing in place of the nandipāda-standard-in-railing occurring on the reverse of the coins of other kings (including Virayaśas). He therefore appears to be the earliest of the rulers represented by the Taxila coins. Of Satyamitra (?) and Vijayamitra, again, the former was probably the earlier, as the Kharoshṭhī legend on his coin 'is in a more cursive style' than those on the coins of Vijayamitra and Virayaśas. The rulers represented by the Taxila coins may therefore be arranged in the following order:

1. Ārya (?)
2. Satyamitra (?)
3. Vijayamitra
4. Virayaśas

If Virayaśas is assigned to a date about the end of the first century A.D., Vijayamitra who appears to be his near predecessor might have flourished about the middle or the third quarter of that century.

The Kaulāta Vijayamitra has been identified with Vijayaṃitra, the successor of Vīryakamitra, of the relic casket inscription from Bajaur in the former North-Western Frontier Province. The latter is again identified with another Vijayamitra, known from some coins of the purely Indo-Scythian type of his son Indravarman, who is again identified with the

---

79 Cf. Rapson, Jras 1900, p. 538.
80 Cf. Taxila, II, p. 841, note on No. 255 (Satyamitra). This Satyamitra cannot be identified with Satyamitra of the Ayodhya coins (BMC (AI), p. 135, Pl. XVII, 11) : see p. 101 and 144 above.
81 Cf. SI, p. 103 and n. 2.
father of Aśpavarman, the *strategos* of Azes II and Gondophares. From these identifications, it follows that the Kaulūta Vijaya-
mitra was the same as the successor of Viryakamitra as well as his namesake of the Indo-Scythian coins. But even though it is possible to identify Vijayamitra of the Bajaur inscription with the father of Indravarman (since both of them seem to have flourished about the middle of the first century B. C., and belonged to feudatory families), it is difficult to identify any of them with Kaulūta Vijayamitra, for various reasons. Firstly, Vijayamitra of the Kulūta family, as we have seen, appears to have ruled about the middle of the first century A.D., i.e. about a century later than his two namesakes. Secondly, the Kulūta coins are so widely different in types, style and fabric from those of the Indo-Scythic series that they cannot be ascribed to the members of the same family. Thirdly, the tribal designation (*Kaulūta*) which invariably occurs on the silver as well as the copper pieces of the Kulūtas is conspicuously absent from the Bajaur inscription as well as from the legends on the Indo-Scythian coins attributed to the satrapal family of Vijayamitra. Lastly, excepting the name of Vijayamitra, no other name is common to the family of Kaulūta Vijayamitra, on the one hand, and that of the Indo-Scythian satraps, on the other.

As the Taxila coins were buried during the period of Kadphises II, it appears that the Kulūtas were driven from

---

82 Cf. 1944, pp. 99 ff.; and *AIU*, p. 115, n. 1.
83 Since Vijayamitra was separated by a period of about half a century from Viryakamitra (cf. N. G. Majumder, *Ep. Ind.*, XXIV, p 2 and D. C. Sircar, *AIU*, p. 115, n. 1), a feudatory of Menander I (who flourished about the second half of the second century B. C.), he may be placed about the middle of the first century B. C. Again, since Aśpavarman was a *strategos* of Azes II and Gondophares (c. 19-45 A. D.), his grandfather Vijayamitra may be assigned to a date about the middle of the first century B. C. While Vijayamitra's predecessor served under Menander I, the family of Aśpavarman served under the Indo-Scythians and the Indo-Parthians.
84 There is another Vijayamitra represented by the Ayodhya coins (*BMC* (*AI*), p. 133, Pl. XVII, 22), who, however, flourished much later than Kaulūta Vijayamitra: see pp. 103 and 143 above. Cf. also the name-ending *mitra* of some of the rulers ascribed to the Audumbaras: see above Sec. 1.
85 Cf. *Taxila*, III, Pl. 243, 252 (Vijayamitra of the Kulūtas) and *PMC*, p. 150, Pl. XV, 35 (Aśpavarman with Gondophares).
their original home near Taxila by the pressure of Kushāṇa conquests about the latter part of the first century A.D., when Virayaśas probably shifted his capital to the later and better-known settlement of the Kulūtas, the Kulu valley. But since the coin of no other Kulūta ruler is found in this region, it seems that Virayaśas could not hold long against the Kushāṇa onslaughts, before which most of the Punjab states succumbed. He appears, therefore, to be the last independent ruler of the Kulūtas.86 Later literary references to the Kulūtas, however, show that they survived as a people, but not as a political power.

3. THE KUṆINDAS

The KuṆindas are mentioned in early Hindu literature generally as ‘Kulindas’ and rarely as ‘KuṆindas’. The legends on KuṆinda coins, however, give the name as ‘KuṆinda’. The Mahābhārata refers to them three times as ‘Kulinda’.87 In the Sabhāparvan (xxv, 996) they are said to be the first nation conquered by Arjuna in his northward march from Indraprastha. Cunningham shows that the Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa mentions them as ‘Kaulinda’.88 The Vāyu and the Vishnu Purāṇas refer to them as ‘Kulinda’,89 which form of the tribe’s name seems to be known to Ptolemy who gives the name ‘Kulindrine’ to the country in which the Beas, Sutlej and Jumna rise.90 Both the forms ‘KuṆinda’ and ‘Kulinda’ were known to Varāhamihira,

86 A later ruler of the Kulūta area, Viz. Mahārāja Čandaśvarahāstin, son of Mahārāja Ívarahāstin, is known from the Salri (Mandi State) inscription of about the first half of the fourth century A. D. (Ep Ind., XXXV. pp. 66 ff).
88 CASR, XIV, p. 129 and CAI, p. 71. The Märk P. has Kāliṅga, which Pargiter considers to be a misreading for Kulindas, as occurs in the Vāyu-purāṇa: cf. Märk. P., p. 316, and n.
89 For Vāyu-purāṇa see Märk. P., p. 316, n. and for Vishnu-purāṇa see Wilson’s trans., p. 193 and n. 130. Wilson adds that the Kulindas were ‘one of the tribes in the west or north-west subdued by Arjuna’. For other Purāṇas see GAMI, p. 24 and n. 3. It may be added that the Purāṇas call the KuṆindas, ‘people who dwell along the Śatadru’, i.e. Sutlej.
90 Cf. CASR, XIV, p. 129 and BMC(Al), p. civ. The Sutlej, however, does not rise in this region, but further east, to the north-west of Nepal:

O.P. 182—30
who refers to them several times. He places the ‘Kauṇindas’ in the North-Eastern Division along with the Kāṃśiras, Abhisāras, Kulūtas and Sairindhas (xiv. 30), and also refers to a king of the Kauṇindas (xiv. 33). "In another place he marks their position still more definitely as being to the east of the Madras". The leaders of the gana of the Kaulindas (Kaulīndān gaṇapūrīgavān) are again mentioned by him (iv. 24). Cunningham thinks that the Chinese pilgrim Hiuen Tsang described the Kauṇinda country under the name ‘Śrughna’. The capital of Śrughna is identified by him with Sugh near Buriya, on the west bank of the Jumna and on the high road leading from Ambala to Sissawa and Saharanpur, as most of the Kauṇinda coins he procured came from this region.

The literary references about the location of the Kauṇindas are supported by the findspots of their coins. Cunningham identifies the Kauṇindas with the Kunets of the present day, "who form the bulk of the population in the valleys of the Bias, the Satlej and the Tons Rivers", and thus locates the Kauṇinda country in the hill districts on both sides of the Satlej. He thinks that both the forms of their name, Kulinda and Kauṇinda, are preserved in Kulu on the Beas and in Kunawar on the Satlej, respectively. But Jayaswal and Grierson doubt the identification of the Kunets or Kanets of the Simla hills with the ancient Kauṇindas. The Kauṇinda coins are, however, found over a wide area—at Tappa Mewa in Hamirpur in the Kangra District of the Punjab, at Sunet near Ludhiana, at Jwalamukhi, at Kaṅgal and Behat near Saharanpur, and mainly between Ambala and Saharanpur. From this distribution of their coins Allan suggests that "the Kauṇindas occupied a narrow strip of land at the foot of the Siwalik hills between the

91 Cf. CASR, XIV, p. 129 and JRAS 1900, p. 533.
92 CASR, XIV, p. 129 and BMC (AI), p. civ and n. 2.
93 CASR, XIV, p. 129 and CAI, p. 71.
94 Cf. HP, Part I, p. 82, n. 1.
95 CASR, XIV, p. 134.
96 Ibid., pp. 129 30 and CAI, pp. 70-71.
97 Jayaswal, HP, Part I, p. 82, n. 1; and Grierson, Linguistic Surv. of India, Vol. IX, p. 6, n. (cf. HP, Part I, p 217).
98 Cf. BMC (AI), p. ciii and notes.
Jumna and the Sutlej and the territory between the upper courses of the Beas and Sutlej.\textsuperscript{99}

According to Pargiter, “the Kulindas extended further east along the southern slopes of the Himalayas as far as Nepal”. He shows on the evidence of the \textit{Mahābhārata} (Sabhāparvan, li. 1858-9), that the territory of the Kuṇindas also included the hills north of the Mandāra, which he identifies with the Almorā hills (as distinct from the better-known Mandāra of the Bhagalpur District) and that ‘the name appears to have comprised a considerable body of hill tribes, for ‘all the countries of Kulinda’ are spoken of (Vanapravan, clxxvii. 12350).\textsuperscript{100} Powell-Price also conjectured that the Kuṇindas ruled over the lower Himalayas including the present Kumaon and some parts of the plains, from the discovery of several Kuṇinda coins at Śrīnagar in Garhwal.\textsuperscript{101} This surmise has been confirmed by a further discovery of these coins from the villages of Sumari, Bahttisera and Dewalgarh, all within a radius of 10 miles and quite near to the town of Śrīnagar.\textsuperscript{102} All these finds go to show that the territory of the Kuṇindas included some portion of the Kumaon hills as well.

The coinage of the Kuṇindas may be grouped into two broad classes. Class I comprises both silver and copper coins bearing the name of one Mahārāja Amoghabhūti, while Class II consists of copper issues alone, struck in the name of Chhatreśvara or Śiva, the national god of the Kuṇindas.\textsuperscript{103}

The coins of Class I, both silver and copper, depict identical types. The obverse bears on the left a deer to right with three minor symbols (S 38, S 40, S 55) around, and a female figure (? Lakshmi) standing (sometimes on lotus) facing, holding flower in uplifted right hand. The reverse is composed of six symbols: in the centre a six-tiered mount-in (S 51) with a \textit{nandipada} (S 33) above, a wavy line below, a

\textsuperscript{99} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{100} \textit{Mark}, P., p. 316, n.
\textsuperscript{101} \textit{JUPHS}, IV, p. 10. Powell-Price refers to the discovery of several Kuṇinda coins by Prayag Dayal at Śrīnagar.
\textsuperscript{102} \textit{JNSL}, VIII, pp. 36 ff.
\textsuperscript{103} \textit{BMC} (AI), pp. ci-cii; also cf. \textit{AIU}, p. 161, n. 1.
tree-in-railing (S 13) to right, and a svastika (S 29) above a triangle-headed object (S 48) to left.

The silver coins are the 20-rati drachms of the light-weight Indian standard of the Indo-Greeks.\textsuperscript{104} They bear legends in both Brāhmī and Kharoshṭhī:\textsuperscript{105}

Obv. Rājñāḥ Kuṇḍindasya (or\textsuperscript{0}sa) Amoghabhutiṣya (or\textsuperscript{0}sa) Mahārājasya (or\textsuperscript{0}sa) (in Br).

Rev. Rāṇa Kuṇindasa Amoghabhutisa Maharajasa (in Kh.).

The copper coins are of three varieties—(a) one of 'neat fabric with good legends' (which are approximately of the size of silver coins),\textsuperscript{106} (b) another of 'coarse fabric and very incomplete legends' (which are of various sizes and denominations)\textsuperscript{107} and (c) the third 'of a larger diameter' which are rudely made.\textsuperscript{108} All these coins bear on the obverse the same Brāhmī legend as on the silver issues, while the reverse Kharoshṭhī legend is replaced by a border of dots.\textsuperscript{109}

The coins of Class II are large pieces of copper, and their module is suggested by the Kushāṇa copper coins.\textsuperscript{110} They depict on the obverse a male figure (Śiva) standing facing, holding trident-axe in right hand and having a flower or star over his left shoulder. The reverse devices consist of a deer to left with some minor symbols (S 40, and three others) around, a wavy line below, a tree-in-railing (S 13), six tiered mountain (S 51) and triangle-headed standard (S 3)—arranged in different order in different varieties. The deer and the main reverse symbols recall those on the coins of Class I and thus connect the two series. The coins of Class II, like the copper pieces of Class I, bear Brāhmī legend on the obverse and a border of dots in place of the Kharoshṭhī legend on the reverse. The legend (in Brāhmī), reads:

\textit{Bhagavata[ḥ] Chatreśvara mahātmanaḥ.}\textsuperscript{111}

\textsuperscript{104} Ibid., pp. ci and 159, Pl. XXII. 1.
\textsuperscript{105} The reading Kuṇīda was first made by Cunningham: cf. \textit{CAI}, p. 72.
\textsuperscript{106} \textit{BMC (AI)}, p. 162, Pl. XXIII. 1.
\textsuperscript{107} Ibid., p. 163, Pl. XXII. 10.
\textsuperscript{108} Ibid., pp. cii and 288, Pl. XL. 14.
\textsuperscript{109} Cunningham says that 'some of the copper coins are inscribed on both sides': \textit{CASR}, X1V, p. 138. No such coin is recorded in the \textit{BMC (AI)}; cf. p. ci.
\textsuperscript{110} Cf. \textit{IC}, p. 12, and \textit{BMC (AI)}, pp. ciii and 167, Pl. XXIII, 12.
\textsuperscript{111} Allan reads Bhāgavata for Bhagavataḥ. For the correct reading see \textit{AIU}, p. 161, n. 1.
The animal on the coins of Amoghabhūti has been variously described by different scholars. Swiney designated it as an antelope-goat or a hill-goat,\textsuperscript{112} while Theobald was of opinion that it is a mule of a deer and an yak and that on some coins the animal has the features of a deer.\textsuperscript{113} A. C. Banerji suggested from the semi-circular horns, tufted tail, and form of the knee-joints of the fore-legs that it is an ox, buffalo or cow.\textsuperscript{114} Scholars like Cunningham, Rapson, Smith, Allan and J. N. Banerjea, however, take it as deer.\textsuperscript{115}

The goddess standing by the animal with a lotus in her right hand is identified as Śrī-Lakshmi. If S. V. Venkatesvara is right in finding that 'in the latest Vedic texts we have the goddess Śrī represented as a golden antelope adorned with garlands of silver and gold', then, says J. N. Banerjea, 'we find here both human and animal forms of this goddess.'\textsuperscript{116} He also points out that the Mahāmāyūri (verse 82) refers to the Yaksha Ushṭrapāda as being the special object of worship in the land of the Kuṇindas, and that if the animal depicted on the Kuṇinda coins is taken to represent this Yaksha, the female figure by its side may stand for Lakshmi.\textsuperscript{117}

The name Amoghabhūti is also a matter of different interpretations. Smith takes it as the name of a king of the Kuṇindas; but as the coins bearing that name vary much in execution, he thinks that they probably extended over a considerable period and that the name of Amoghabhūti continued on the coinage long after his decease.\textsuperscript{118} But Jayaswal believes that 'Amoghabhūti was not the name of any particular king, but an official title meaning 'of unfailing prosperity', and that the same appellation appeared for centuries.\textsuperscript{119} Allan, on the other

\textsuperscript{112} \textit{JASB} 1834, pp. 435 f.  
\textsuperscript{113} Ibid., 1886, pp. 163-65. In \textit{PASB} 1893, p. 174, however, he calls it a buffalo.  
\textsuperscript{114} \textit{Jbors}, XX, p. 171.  
\textsuperscript{115} Cunningham, \textit{CAI}, p. 72, Pl. V. 1 ; Rapson, \textit{IC}, Pl. III. 9 ; Smith, \textit{IMC}, p. 167, No. 1 and n. 1 ; Allan, \textit{BMC(Al)}, p. 159, Pl. XXII. 1 ; and J. N. Banerjea, \textit{DHI}, p. 134.  
\textsuperscript{116} \textit{DHI}, p. 134. See also \textit{JNSI}, XXII, p. 45.  
\textsuperscript{117} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{118} \textit{IMC}, p. 161.  
\textsuperscript{119} \textit{HP}, Part I, p. 82, n. 1.
hand, holds that the silver coins as well as the copper pieces of finer fabric (Var. a) may represent the currency of one reign. The copper coins of coarser fabric (Var. b), which have given rise to doubts might have been, according to him, the ordinary coinage of the reign. He also suggests the probability that they were imitations made by early Kushāṇa invaders like the imitations of the coins of Hemarāṣṭra.  

The use of two royal titles rājana and mahārāja on Amoghabhūti's coins appears ridiculous to P. L. Gupta, who suggests that rājana is misinscribed for the word rājaṇa and refers to the tribe Rājanya who might have formed an alliance with the Kuṇindas. Smith has, however, rendered the legend—Rājana Kuṇindaṣya Amogha-bhūtisya mahārājaṣya—as 'Of Mahārāja Amoghabhūti, Rāja of the Kuṇindas', while Allan translates it as 'Of king Amoghabhūti the Kuṇinda king'. Smith's translation seems to be a better one and there is no reason to take the word rājana as a misreading for rājaṇa, as supposed by P. L. Gupta. It is, again, pointed out by Allan that while the Brāhmi legend runs continuously round the coin, the Kharoshṭhī legend is split up into two parts, mahārājaṣa being written independently in the exergue and the remainder running along the margin. The latter arrangement is noteworthy and suggests, as shown by Allan, 'that some importance is given to the word mahārāja by giving it the place occupied by the king's name on Greek and Śaka coins. It appears that the adoption of the title mahārāja (Great King), like the arrangement of the Kharoshṭhī legend, as well as the introduction of a silver currency in the 20-rati drachm standard, was all due to the influence of the contemporary Indo-Greek princes.

According to Rapson and Allan, there was a gap of about three centuries between the coins of Amoghabhūti and those issued in the name of god Chhatreśvara. Both the scholars place the Amoghabhūti coins in the first century B.C., while the

120 BMC(AI), p. cii.
121 IHQ, XXVII, p. 204.
122 IMC, p. 161. Jai Prakash, however, interprets the legend as 'Of Amoghabhūti, the Mahārāja (or Chief), of the Kuṇinda Kings' and suggests that all members of the tribal assembly of the Kuṇindas were called rājās, while the President was called Mahārāja. Amoghabhūti was thus the Mahārāja or President of the Kuṇinda chiefs: JNSI, XXVII, p. 126.
123 BMC(AI), p. cii.
Chhatreśvara pieces are assigned to the third or fourth century A. D. by Rapson,\textsuperscript{124} and to the end of the second or the third century A. D. by Allan.\textsuperscript{125} D. C. Sircar, however, thinks that the coins of Amoghabhūti may also belong to the same period as that of the Chhatreśvara issues, i. e. the second or third century A. D.\textsuperscript{126} But there are apparent difficulties on the way of accepting this view. Firstly, the silver coins of Amoghabhūti found at Jvalamukhi along with those of Audumbara Dharaghosha and the later Indo-Greek ruler Apollodotus II seem to suggest that they were all of about the same period, i.e. the first century B. C.\textsuperscript{127} Secondly, the silver coins of Amoghabhūti with full Kharoshṭhī legend do not seem to be issued after the decline of the Kushānas in the third century A. D., since Kharoshṭhī legends on coins appear to have fallen into disuse after the early Kushāna rulers of the Kadphises group. A date about the end of the first century B. C. or the beginning of the first century A. D. may probably be assigned to Amoghabhūti.

If we accept an interval of about two centuries between the Amoghabhūti and the Chhatreśvara coins, we may hold that the Kuṇindas had to obey the supremacy of the Kushānas in the intermediate period, like all the other Punjab states, to regain independence only after the decline of the Kushāna power in the third century A. D. During the post-Kushāna period the Kuṇindas seem to have dedicated their state to their national god Chhatreśvara-Śiva in the same way as their southern neighbours the Vaudheyas did to their presiding deity Brahmaṇyadeva or Kārttikeya. But the relative scarcity of the later Kuṇinda coins suggests that the revival of the Kuṇinda power was only short-lived. It is not unlikely that the Kuṇindas were ultimately overpowered by the Vaudheyas. The later literary references to them show that, like the Kulūtas, they too were recognised as a people, if not as a political body.

\textsuperscript{124} \textit{IC}, p. 12, 50.
\textsuperscript{125} \textit{BMC(\textit{AT})}, p. ciii.
\textsuperscript{126} \textit{AIU}, p. 161, n. 1.
\textsuperscript{127} Cf. \textit{CAI}, p. 67.
4. THE MADRAS

No coin of the Madras has so far been discovered. They are, however, known as an ancient people from literary texts, and their political existence during the post-Kushāṇa period is testified to by the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta.

The Madras were divided into two sections—northern and southern. The northern or 'Uttara' Madras lived beyond the Himalayas (probably in Kashmir), as known from the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa.128 The southern Madras or the Madras proper are located in the Central Punjab, in Sialkot and the adjoining areas between the Ravi and the Chenab.129 "But according to some, it (the Madra country) once extended from the Beas to the Chenab and even to the Jhelum."130 The Madra capital was at Śākala or Sāgala-nagara, identified with modern Sialkot. The city is mentioned in the Mahābhārata and several Jātakas.131

The antiquity of the Madras goes back to the period of the Vedic literature which refers to their country as the home of orthodoxy.132 Although politically not of much importance, the Madra country was once a centre of learning. In later times, however, it became notorious for outlandish customs.133

The early post-Vedic works ascribe a monarchical constitution to the Madras.134 The Madra royal house is also referred to in the epic.135 According to the Purāṇas, the Madras were descended from one of the septs of the family of Anu, son of Yayāti.136 Alexander also found a Paurava king (Porus II) ruling in the city of Śākala, who fled in fear to the country of Gangaridae and whose kingdom was annexed by him.137

128 VIII, 14 ; cf. HP, Part I, p. 92 ; and PHAI, p. 64.
129 PHAI, p. 64.
130 JASB 1922, p. 257.
131 Mahābhārata, II. 32. 14 ; Kāliṅgalodhi Jātaka, No. 479 ; and Kusa Jātaka, No. 531 ; cf. PHAI, p. 64, and n. 6, and p. 65 and n. 1.
132 HP, Part I, p. 188.
133 PHAI, p. 65.
134 Ibid.
135 Cf. Aśvapati and his daughter Sāvitrī : PHAI, p. 65, n. 6. The Madra kingdom is mentioned in the Bhishmaparvan (Ch. IX) of the Mahābhārata. Mādrī, the mother of Nakula and Sahadeva, was a Madra princess.
137 CHI, P. 370 and n 4.
Madra is not included in the list of the Sixteen Mahājanapadas, although it is mentioned by Pāṇini amongst saṅghas other than the āyudhajīvin. Kauṭilya refers to it as a rāja-sabdin saṅgha. The Sabhāparvan (Ch. LII) of the Mahābhārata as well as the Mārkandeyapurāṇa mentions the Madras along with the Yaudheyas, Trigartas, Rājanyas and other Punjab tribes.

During the time of Alexander's invasion, the Madra country probably formed the easternmost part of Gandhāra, mentioned as 'Gandarīs' by Alexander's historians. After the departure of Alexander, it was presumably included in the Maurya empire. And from the fall of the Mauryas, it seems to have come successively under the domination of foreign dynasties. It is sometimes believed that Demetrius I made his capital at Saṅgala or Sagala (i.e. Śākala) which he named Euthydemia or Euthymedia after his father. Pushyamitra Śuṅga appears to have pushed his conquests as far as Śākala and occupied it for a short while, for, it was there that he is alleged to have made his anti-Buddhist declaration. Afterwards, Śākala became the capital of Menander, as known from the Milinda qaṇḍa, and was successively occupied by the princes of Scythian, Parthian and Kushāṇa origin.

In the second century A. D., Ptolemy refers to the Pandououoi, identified by McCrindle with the Pāṇḍavas, who were in immediate possession of the Śākala region. The Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta mentions the Madras as one of the autonomous frontier tribes along with the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas, Yaudheyas and others. It therefore appears that after periods of subjugation, the Madras emerged as an independent power only after the decline of the Kushāṇas in the Punjab area.

Whatever may have been the constitution of the Madras in

---

139 Arthaśāstra, Ch. XI : cf. HP, Part I, p. 57.
140 HP, Part I, p. 155 ; and Mārk. P., pp. 315 and 379. For the other Purāṇas, see GAMI, p. 29.
141 PHAI, p. 250 and n. 3.
142 Cf. JASB 1922, p. 257 ; CHI, p. 446 and GBI, p. 247.
143 Cf. JASB 1922, p. 262.
144 Ibid., p. 265.
145 SI, p. 258, l. 22.
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earlier times, they appear to have a tribal republic like that of the Vaudheyas and others with whom they are associated and who are differentiated in the inscription from the frontier kings and countries.\textsuperscript{146} The Madras at this period probably migrated a little downwards and thus became the northern neighbours of the Vaudheyas.\textsuperscript{147}

We find a later reference to the Madra country in Varāhamihira’s \textit{Bṛihatsamhitā} which places it to the west of the Kuṇinda country and makes it the chief district of the Northern Division of India.\textsuperscript{148} The Chinese pilgrim Hiuen Tsang visited the country and passed through its capital Saṅgala or Śākala (She-kie-lo) in the seventh century.\textsuperscript{149}

5. THE \textit{VṛISHNĪS}

\textit{Vṛishni} is well known in ancient literature as the name of a people. Pāṇini speaks of the Andhaka-Vṛishni league.\textsuperscript{150} Kauṭilya also mentions the \textit{Vṛishni saṅgha}.\textsuperscript{151} In the Purāṇas, the \textit{Vṛishnis} are represented as one of the sub-clans of the Sātvatas who were again one of the various septs into which the Yādava family was divided.\textsuperscript{152}

A unique coin procured by Cunningham along with the coins of the Audumbaras is the sole numismatic remain of the \textit{Vṛishnis}, that we possess.\textsuperscript{153} The coin is a round silver piece with bispensual legends. On its obverse is a sort of \textit{nandipada}-standard-in-railing (S. 56) with an animal—half lion and half elephant—in front, and circular Brāhmañ legend apparently reading—\textit{Vṛishni (i) r- (ā) jānāgaṇasya trātarsa}. The reverse has in pellet border an elaborate \textit{chakra} of twelve spokes with slightly truncated circular Kharoshṭhī legend reading—\textit{Vṛishnirājaṇa (gaṇasa) tra (tarasa)}.

\textsuperscript{146} Cf. \textit{JASB} 1922, p. 265.
\textsuperscript{147} Cf. \textit{HP}, Part I, p. 151.
\textsuperscript{149} Cf. \textit{AGI}, pp. 206 ff.
\textsuperscript{150} Pāṇini, IV. 1. 114 and VI. 2. 34 : cf. \textit{HP}, Part I, p. 39 and \textit{PHAI\textsuperscript{I}}, p. 140.
\textsuperscript{151} \textit{Arthasastra}, I. 6, 3 : cf. \textit{HP}, Part I, p. 40 and n. 2.
\textsuperscript{152} \textit{Matsyapurāṇa}, 43-44; \textit{Vāyuapurāṇa}, 94-96; and \textit{Vishnupurāṇa}, IV. 13. 1 : cf. \textit{PHAI\textsuperscript{I}} p. 138 and notes 4-5.
\textsuperscript{153} \textit{CAI}, p. 70, Pl. IV. 15; also \textit{BMC(Al)}, p. 281, Pl. XVI. 5. The \textit{Vṛishnis} are mentioned in the Morā well inscription of a son of \textit{Mahākšatrāpa Rājūvula} (\textit{Ep. Ind. XXIV}, pp. 194 ff.).
The interpretation of the somewhat baffling legend has been a matter of dispute amongst scholars. Cunningham read it as—
Vrîshnî Râja jnâgaṇasya bhûbhârasya. He took 'Vrîshnî' as the name of a king whom he included in the list of Audumbara rulers, probably on the ground of provenance. Bergney corrected the legend as—Vrîshnî râjajñâ gaṇasya trûtârasya; and taking râjajñâ as a corruption of râjanyâ, 'belonging to the Kshatriya caste', translated the legend as: '[the coin] of him whose family are the Vrîshnî Khsatriyas [or royal race]', or 'whose royal family are the Vrîshnîs', (vrîshnî-râjanyâ-gaṇasya). He has also given two other alternative explanations of the expression râjajñâ.  
Jayaswal takes. Bergney's emendation of the word râjajñâ as râjanyâ and suggests that the coin was struck in the name of the Râjanya (i.e. the tribal chief) and Gaṇa of the Vrîshnîs (Vrîshnî-râjanyâ-gaṇasya) jointly. Allan practically adopts Bergney's reading of the Brâhma legend with only a slight change in the expression râjajñâ into râjajñâ; and from the clue supplied by the Kharoshthi version râjanâ he thinks that râjajno or râjajñâ may be an engraver's mistake for râjanyâ, on the analogy of râjño, Prâkrit raṇgo. The scribe, he thinks, was more familiar with the Kharoshthi dialect and tried his best to give the Prâkrit legend a Sanskritic appearance by transliterating the expressions râjaṇṇa and trûtârasa on the Kharoshthi side respectively by râjajño (or râjajñâ, i.e. râjanyâ) and trûtârasa on the Brâhma side. He thus translates the whole legend—Vrîshnî-râjajñagaṇasya trûtârasya—as 'of the protector of the tribe Vrîshnîrâjanyâ' or more probably 'of the protector of the Râjanya [or warrior] tribe of Vrîshnîs.'

S. K. Chakraborti, however, prefers Cunningham's reading of the first part of the legend, viz. Vrîshnîrâjâ jnâgaṇasya, 'of Jnâgaṇa, the Vrîshnî king', thus taking Jnâgaṇa as the name of the issuer.

D. C. Sircar also interprets the legend in the same way, and translates the complete legend—Vrîshnîrâjâ jnâgaṇasya trûtârasya—as '[This is the coin] of Jnâgaṇa, King of the Vrîshnîs, the Saviour.'

154 JRAS 1900, pp. 420-21.
156 BMC(AI), pp. clv-ii.
157 NS, XLVI, p. N. 87.
158 This is kindly suggested by him in a letter dated 21. 9. 61.
As to the location of the Vṛishṇis, they are connected with the Mathurā region by the Mahābhārata and the Purāṇas which state that Kṛishṇa-Vāsudeva, a scion of the Vṛishṇi family, killed Kaṁsa who tried to make himself a tyrant at Mathurā by over-powering the Yadavas. This story is also referred to by Patañjali and the Ghaṭa Jātaka, and the latter work confirms the Hindu tradition about the association of Kṛishṇa-Vāsudeva's family (i.e. the Vṛishṇis) with Mathurā. The association of the Vṛishṇis with the Mathurā region is also indicated by the Morā well inscription of Rājuvula's son (see n. 153 above).

But this location of the Vṛishṇis is not supported by their coin. For, the provenance of this unique piece (which was classed along with the Audumbara coins by Cunningham), the similarity of its reverse design (chakra) with that on the silver didrachm of Kaulūta Virayāsas, the nature of the royal epithet trātara (which is a Prākrit translation of Greek Soter), the use of silver, and, above all, the use of the Kharoshṭhī script—all these point to the Punjab region as the home of the Vṛishṇis who issued this coin. Allan locates them in the northern Punjab on the ground of its provenance. The coin probably indicates the tract of land between the upper courses of the Ravi and the Bens (the Kangra valley), bordering the territories of the Audumbaras on the one hand, and the Kūñūtas on the other.

It appears, therefore, that the Vṛishṇis who are represented by the coin under discussion, were not the same family of the tribe to which Kṛishṇa-Vāsudeva belonged, and who are referred to in the literary texts as dwelling at Mathurā. It is possible that the Vṛishṇis, like the Mālavas and the Śibis, originally lived in the Punjab and that afterwards a large section of the tribe might have migrated to the south and settled in Mathurā. H. C. Raychaudhuri points out that the Vṛishṇis are branded as Vṛtyas in the Droṇaparvan of the Mahābhārata and that this may be due to the fact that 'they represent an earlier swarm of Aryans who were pushed southwards...by the Puru-Bharatas, the

159 PHAI, pp. 140-41.
161 Cf. BMC(Atl), p. 158, Pl. XVI. 4 (Virayāsas) and ibid., p. 281, Pl. XVI. 5 (Vṛishṇī).
162 Ibid., p. clvii.
progenitors of the Kuru-Pañchālas.' He also refers in this connection to the defeat of the Sātvatas (the progenitors of the Vrīshṇis) by Bharata, as known from the Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa.\textsuperscript{163} It is not unlikely, therefore, that the Vrīshṇis were driven from the Punjab to Mathurā in early times by the Puru-Bharatas. The Vrīshṇis who issued our coin probably represent the remnants of the tribe in the Punjab.

A later migration of the Vrīshṇis from Mathurā to Dvārakā is known from the Mahābhārata and was also caused by the 'pressure from the Paurava line of Magadha (represented by Jarāsandha), and probably also from the Kūrus.'\textsuperscript{164} According to the Mahābhārata, the Arthaśāstra of Kauṭilya and the Jātakas, the Vrīshṇis were finally overthrown due to their irreverent conduct towards Brāhmaṇas.\textsuperscript{165} This statement does not, however, apply to the Vrīshṇis of our coin, who appear to have been overpowered by the Kushāṇas in the first century A. D., for the coin cannot be dated later than the first century B. C.

According to the interpretation of the legend by Bergney and others, the coin contains a reference to the gaṇa of the Vrīshṇis, while according to the other interpretation, it refers to Jūgagaṇa, the chief of the Vrīshṇi tribe. In the former case, the Vrīshṇi constitution should be taken as a gaṇa, like that of the Yaudheyas, while in the latter case, the Vrīshṇis should be classed along with the Audumbaras, Kulātas, Kuṇindas and others who seem to have belonged to the so-called rāja-labdin group of saṅghas.

\textsuperscript{163} Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa, XIII. 5. 4. 21 : cf. PHAI\textsuperscript{6}, p. 139, n. 3 and p. 142.
\textsuperscript{164} PHAI\textsuperscript{6}, p. 142 ; also GDAMI\textsuperscript{2}, p. 58 (Dvāravati).
CHAPTER X

THE AGRATYA, RĀJANYA, TRIGARTA AND VEMAKI JANAPADAS

1. The Agratya (Agācha) Janapada of Agrodaka (Agodaka)

There are some round copper coins in the British Museum, which Allan describes as ‘a puzzling group of coins’ and classifies under ‘uncertain’ ones. These coins were obtained by Rodgers at Barwalla in the Hissar District of the Punjab. They fall into two classes according to the following obverse legends written in Brāhmī:

(1) Agodaka Agācha-janapadasa, and
(2) Agācha-mitapadābhisha[ṇa].

Coins of both the classes bear on the obverse a tree-in-railing, but their reverse designs vary. Coins of Class I have either a ‘lioni-on-hill’ or ‘Lakshmī standing with lotus in hand’, while those of Class II bear a ‘bull’ or a ‘lion-on-hill’.

Fifty-one more coins, ‘mostly rectangular’, bearing the legend of Class I were later on obtained from the excavations at Agroha, a few miles west from Barwalla and situated in the same district. These coins also have a ‘tree-in-railing’ on the obverse and ‘bull, lion and in three instances composite animals’ on the reverse. Allan has also listed a square coin of Class I bearing a ‘lioun-on-hill’ on the reverse.

The legend on the coins of Class I reveals that these were the issues of a janapada, and their provenance suggests that this janapada was situated in the Hissar District. The Agroha coins were found ‘in the same square and at the same depth’ as that which yielded four coins of the Indo-Greek rulers, Antialcidas, Apollodotus, Strato and Amyntas. This fact possibly indicates that this janapada was established towards the latter part of the Indo-Greek rule in North-Western India, about the end of the second century B.C.

1 BMC(AI), pp. clxii ff. and 282 f., Nos. 22-29.
2 MASI, No. 61 (1952), p. 5.
3 BMC(AI), pp. cliii and 279, No. 1.
4 MASI, No. 61, p. 5.
As to the nature of the legend, Agodaka Agācha-janapadasa, K. N. Dikshit points out that it is analogous to that on the Śibi coins, Majhamikāya Śibi-janapadasa, i.e. [the coin] of the janapada of the Sibis [issued] from Madhyamikā.\(^5\) Just as Majhamikā or Madhyamikā refers to the locality and Śibi to the name of the tribe who dwelt there and who gave their name to the janapada, here also ‘Agodaka’ seems to refer to the place-name and ‘Agācha’ to the name of the people who lived there and after whom the janapada was named.

According to M. J. Przyluski, the name Agodaka (or Aggodaka) is the Prākrit form of ‘Agroda’ or ‘Agrodaka’, the name of a known town which was situated between Śākala (Sialkot) and Rohitaka (Rohtak), and which he identified with modern Agroha, 13 miles north-west of Hissar.\(^6\) This identification is supported by the evidence of the coins excavated from Agroha, the antiquity of which is proclaimed by ‘a series of rolling mounds of varying heights’ occupying about 650 acres of land towards the north-west of the modern village of that name.\(^7\) Taking the component ‘Agra’ of Agra+ udaka as ‘foremost’, L. D. Barnett explains the name ‘Agrodaka’ as denoting ‘place of foremost waters’ and traces the name of its modern counterpart Agroha from a Prākrit Agga-rohaya, meaning ‘foremost bank’, from Sanskrit Agra-rodhaka. He again points out that rohi also denotes ‘the bed of a stream or the stream itself’ and that rohā in the place-name Agrohā ‘may have practically meant a stream, and thus Agroha would be an almost exact equivalent of Aggodaka’.\(^8\)

Panna Lall, however, takes the component ‘Agra’ as the name of a tribe from which the name of the place Agrodaka is derived and thinks that the present-day Agrawals who regard Agroha as their original home, are the descendants of the Agra tribe.\(^9\) Tradition, however, connects the name Agroha

\(^5\) PNSI 1939, p. 9.
\(^6\) Jour, Asiatique, 1926, pp. 16 ff.
\(^7\) MASI, No. 61, p. 1.
\(^8\) BSOS, X, p. 277.
\(^9\) PNSI 1940, p. 8 (Presidential address by Panna Lall). P. L. Gupta tries to show that ‘Agra’ as the name of a tribe occurs in Pāpini (IV. 1. 132.). But the word occurring there is ‘Agrāyaṇa’, not ‘Agra’. He interprets ‘Agrodaka’ as
with 'Rājā Agra', who is believed to have lived in the ruined fort on the top of the mound at Agroha, although the fort was actually built by Dewan Nannomal who was an Agrawal by caste and was in the employ of Rājā Amar Singh of Patiala (A. D. 1765-81).  

Allan suggests that Agodaka or Aṅgodraka may represent another claimant for the identification with the Oxydrakai of the Greeks.  

11 M. J. Przyluski, however, identifies Agrodaka with Aggaḷapura, a town of the Punjab region, mentioned in the Vinayapitaka.  

12 And on this suggestion, L. D. Barnett derives the word 'Aggaḷa' from Agga (Skt. Agra) and believes that Aggalapura signifies the 'town of the Aggaḷas' and that here Aggaḷa is synonymous with Aggācha janapada. Moreover, he identifies the 'Aggaḷas' with the 'Agalasseis' who, according to Diodorus, were a Punjab tribe and dwelt in the neighbourhood of the Siboi, i.e. the Śibis of the Jhang District, and who, according to Quintus Curtius, had a great fight with Alexander's army.  

13 But since Agroha (in the Hissar District) is far separated from Jhang, the identification of the 'Agalasseis' with the 'Agāchas' seems to be doubtful. H. L. Srivastava identifies Agroha with 'Agara' of Ptolemy and 'Agrah' of Zia'-d-Dīn Baranī and Shams-i-Sirāj Aḥf.  

14 It seems plausible that (on the analogy of the coin-legend of the Śibis) the name 'Agācha' is derived from that of a tribe, but its original Sanskrit form and its identification are a point of dispute. Allan thinks that it might have been derived from

signifying 'tank of the Agras' and thinks that the existence of the ruin of a big tank at Agroha with a traditional story supports its ancient name Agrodaka (see JNSI, IV, p. 52).

10 MASI, No. 61, p. 1.

11 BMCI (41), p. clviii. The Oxydrakai are generally identified with the Kahudrakas: see McCrindle, II A, p. 350.

12 Jour. Asiatique, 1926, pp. 16 ff.


14 Q. Curtius, ix. 4: cf. McCrindle, II A, p. 232. P. L. Gupta thinks that the legends among the Agraval community of a struggle between Alexander and the Agroha people supports the identification of 'Agalasseis' with the Agroha people or the 'Agāchas' of coins (see JNSI, IV, pp. 52-53). McCrindle, however, identifies the Agalasseis with the Ārjunāyanas: see II A, p. 367.

15 MASI, No. 61, p. 1.
Sanskrit Agastya,\textsuperscript{16} while K. N. Dikshit suggests Agatya, Agastya or Aghatya as the Sanskrit original.\textsuperscript{17} L. D. Barnett traces back Agācha to a hypothetical Sanskrit Agrā-tya or Agrāt-tya, or to both, and points out that "in order to make adjectives of place in Skt. the affix-tya, is added to a considerable number of adverbs of place, including dakṣiṇa..., and paśchāt..., whence have arisen đakṣiṇātya, 'dwelling in the south', and paśchātya, 'dwelling in the west'..." According to him, both Agrātya and Agraṭtya would become in Prakrit Agāsha, or Agācheha, spelt on our coins as 'Agācha'.\textsuperscript{18} The Mahābhārata mentions a people called 'Āgreyas' who dwelt in the neighbourhood of some Punjab tribes, viz. the Bhadras, Rohitakas and Mālavaś, who were defeated by Karna during his western expedition.\textsuperscript{19} According to P. L. Gupta, the name 'Āgreya' is derived from 'Agra' and is corrupted into Prakrit 'Agācha' of our coins; he thus identifies the 'Agāchas' with the 'Āgreyas' of the Mahābhārata.\textsuperscript{20}

D. C. Sircar, like L. D. Barnett, is of opinion that Agācha is derived from Agratya meaning 'the people of Agra' (i.e. Āgreya). He derives the Prakrit form Agodakā from Sanskrit Agrodakāt, i.e. 'from Agrodaka'.\textsuperscript{21} The legend Agodakā-Agācha-janapadasa may, therefore, be Sanskritized as Agrodakāt Agratya (or Āgreya)-janapadasya, meaning '[the coin] of the janapada of the Agratyas (or the Āgreyas), [issued] from Agrodaka.'

The legend on the coins of Class II, read by Allan as Agācha-mitrpad-ābhishāyānā, calls for some explanation. It has been emended as Agācha-mitrpad-ābhishāyānā or oābhishāyānā by L. D. Barnett who shows that here the term mitrapada occurs in place of janapada, while the name of the place, viz. 'Agrodaka', is absent. He translates mitrapada as an 'allied state', and thus takes the legend to denote 'the allied state of the Aggāchas'.\textsuperscript{22} Przyluski has pointed out how the tribal

\textsuperscript{16} BMC(AI), p. clviii.
\textsuperscript{17} PNSI 1939, p. 10.
\textsuperscript{18} BSOS, X, p. 278.
\textsuperscript{19} Mahābhārata, III, 255. 20; cf. JNSI, IV, p. 52, n. 3.
\textsuperscript{20} JNSI, IV, p. 52. According to P. L. Gupta, Āgreya first became Agalja (Skt. suffix ya being changed into jja) and then Agācheha or Agācha (Pkt. eche and jja being interchangeable); ibid., pp. 53-54.
\textsuperscript{21} He kindly communicated this view in a letter dated 21. 9. 61.
\textsuperscript{22} BSOS, X, 279.
states of the Punjab often formed themselves into confederations or leagues. One such league was formed by the Śālvas, and as the Śālvas dwelt on the Jumna which flows some 70 miles due east from Barwalla, the find-spot of the Agācha coins, Barnett suggests that the Aggāchas might have been one of the members of the Śālva league. The Śālvas have, however, left no traces of their political existence. Barnett alternatively suggests that the Aggāchas might have formed league with their neighbours, the Śibis.

D. C. Sircar, however, interprets the legend in another way. He takes it as Agāchamitra-pad-ābhishāhyinām, meaning ‘[this is the coin of the people] living at the feet of [the god] Agratymitra’. He suggests that ‘Agratymitra’ which literally means ‘the friend of the Agratyas’ might refer to the tutelary deity of the Agratyas, who was probably worshipped at Agrodaka, the home or headquarters of the Agratyas. According to this interpretation, the coins of Class II may probably be compared with the ‘Brahmaṇyadeva’ coins of the Vaudheyas or the ‘Chhatreśvara’ coins of the Kuṇindas.

From the above study we may gather this much that about the latter part of the second century B. C., a Punjab tribe called Agācha (Agratya or Āgreya) established their political independence. They seem to have had a sort of republican (jānapada) government and had their headquarters at Agrodaka, identified with modern Agroha in the Hissar District of the Punjab. The name of the tribe is probably mentioned in different forms in different literary texts, like the works of Alexander’s historians, the Mahābhārata, etc. They soon disappeared from the political arena and their coinage ceased to be issued. They might have fallen a prey to the onslaughts of the Sakas or the Kushāṇas, or probably they were amalgamated.

24 BSOS, X, p. 280.
25 This interpretation is kindly communicated to me in a letter dated 25.9.61. Jai Prakash suggests that ‘Agāchamitra’ was the full name of the tribe (*JNSI*, XXVII, p. 125, n. 2). He interprets the legend on the coins of Class II (Agāchamitra-pad-ābhishāhyinām) as referring to the issues of an unknown people who called themselves either as prostrating on the feet of the Agāchamitras or as friends prostrating on the feet of the Agāchas (ibid., p. 126).
with their mighty neighbours, the Vaudheyas, whose later territories (which, as we have seen above, extended from Bahawalpur to Bharatpur) appear to have included the Hissar District.

2. The Rājanya Janapada

Earlier scholars like Cunningham and Rapson did not take the Rājanyas as a distinct tribe because of the wrong reading of the legend on the Rājanya coins as Rājña janapadasa. Cunningham suggested that the legend referred to the 'Royal Country' or "the Rājput Country, the Rajasthan of Tod, and the Rajwāra of Boileau, and that the people may perhaps be the Chatriai of Ptolemy". Smith first pointed out that the initial word is not rājña but rājaṇa (Skt. Rājanyā), which was taken by him as an equivalent for Kshatriya, the modern Rajput. Rapson accepted Smith's reading of Rājaṇa, and like him equated it with Kshatriya. But, as Jayaswal has pointed out, Rājanya is the proper name of a distinct political people, after whom their janapada or country was named.

The Rājanyas as a tribe are known from literature. They are included in the list of saṅghas other than the āyudhājīvin by Pāṇini. They are also referred to by Kātyāyana and Patañjali. The Sahāparvan (Ch.LII) of the Mahābhārata as well as the Mārkandeyapurāṇa mentions them. Even Varāhamihira includes them amongst the peoples of the north, viz. the Gandharas, Vaudheyas and others.

The Rājanya coins are of two series—one with Kharoshṭhī legend and the other with Brāhmī legend—both of identical types. They bear on the obverse the figure of a deity, identified

26 Cunningham, CASR, XIV, p. 151, and CAI, p. 89 ; Rapson, IC, p. 12, Sec. 47.
27 CASR, XIV, p. 151.
28 IMC, p. 164.
29 CHI, p. 528.
30 HP, Part I, p. 158.
31 Cf. ibid., p 39 (Pāṇini, IV. 2 53).
32 Ibid., p. 158.
33 Cf. ibid., p. 155 ; BMC(AI), p. cxxiii ; and Mārk. P., p. 380 and note.
34 Brihatsahhitā, XIV. 28 ; Ind.Ant., XXII, p. 182.
36 Ibid., p. 210, PL, XXIX, 15.
with Lakshmi, standing with a lotus in the uplifted right hand (somewhat resembling the standing figure on the Mathura coins), and on the reverse a bull standing to left within a rayed circle. The legend—either in Brähmi or in Kharoshṭhī—as occurring on the obverse reads: Rājaṇa janapadaśa ('of the Rājanya janapada'). Two other rare types with undecipherable legends are also attributed to the Rājanyas;\(^{37}\) but the attribution is doubtful.

Cunningham classed the Rājanya coins along with the Mathura series as they are occasionally procurable in that city.\(^{38}\) The similarity of types between the Rājanya and the Mathura coinages led Smith to locate the Rājanya country near about Mathura; he suggested the (former) Dholepur state as the home of the Rājanyas.\(^{39}\) Rapsone also ascribed the Rājanya coins to the same region as those of the Ārjunāyas and the kings of Mathura.\(^{40}\) But both Jayaswal and Allan located the Rājanyas in the Hoshiarpur District of the Punjab, as most of their coins came from that area.\(^{41}\) This view seems to be the more probable one, as the Rājanyas are enumerated amongst the tribes of the north along with the Vaudheyas and others in the Brīhatsaṃhitā as well. The most important evidence regarding the location of the Rājanyas in the Punjab region appears, however, to be the use of the Kharoshṭhī script on their coins, for Kharoshṭhī is not known to have been used in coin-legends in areas east of the Punjab. The presence of the Rājanya coins in Mathura is not inexplicable in view of the fact that Mathura was a great mart for trade and commerce, where coins of different places were brought. And, as Allan has pointed out, although there is some similarity between the figure of Lakshmi on the Rājanya coins and that on the Mathura coins, there is no close similarity of fabric between the two.\(^{42}\)

Allan does not think that the two series with Kharoshṭhī and Brähmi legends were contemporary, as the provenance of

---

\(^{37}\) Ibid., p. 212, Pl. XXIX, 11-12.

\(^{38}\) CAI, p. 89; Cunningham also points out that many of the coins included in his list of Mathura coins were brought for sale from the surrounding country (ibid., p. 85).

\(^{39}\) IMC, pp. 164-65.

\(^{40}\) CHI, p. 528.

\(^{41}\) Jayaswal, HP, Part I, p. 159; Allan BMCAI, p. cxxiii.

\(^{42}\) BMCAI, p. cxxiii.
both the series is the same. He dates the coins with the Kharoshthi legends about the second century B.C. and those with Brāhmi legends about the first century B.C. But D.C. Sircar assigns both the series to the latter half of the first century B.C.

As suggested by the coins of the Punjab States, viz. those of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas, Kuṇindas and Vṛishnis, the adoption of the Kharoshthi script on the indigenous issues seems to be characteristic of the first century B.C., when it was popularized after its long use by the Indo-Greek rulers on their coins. The Rājanya coins with Kharoshthi legends, therefore, do not appear to have been issued earlier than the first half of the first century B.C. And as the coins with Brāhmi legends are generally assigned to the first century B.C., the two series with Kharoshthi and Brāhmi legends may not be much separated in time and may even be contemporary issues. Instead of using the Kharoshthi and Brāhmi alphabets on the obverse and reverse of the same coin, the Rājanyas might have issued two simultaneous series of coins—one with Kharoshthi legend and another with Brāhmi legend.

It thus appears that the Rājanya janapada as a political unit came into being sometime in the first century B.C., during the declining power of the Indo-Greeks. And, since Rājanya coins of a later period are not known, it may be assumed that the Rājanyas lost their independence after a short while with the advent of the Indo-Parthians or the Kushāpas about the first century A.D.

3. THE TRIGARTA JANAPADA

The Trigarta people are known from a number of literary texts. Their earliest mention occurs in the grammar of Pāṇini who includes the Trigarta-shashṭha along with the Yaudheyas and others in the list of the āyudhajīvin saṅghas. In the Sabhāparvan (Ch. LII) of the Mahābhārata, the Traigartas are

43 Ibid.
44 AIU, p. 160, n. 5.
45 We may here cite a modern analogy. The coinage of Belgium consists of two simultaneous series, one bearing the legend in Flemish and the other in French.
mentioned in association with the Yaudheyas, Rajanyas, Madras Sibis (of the Jhang District) and other peoples of the Punjab.\(^{47}\) They are coupled with the Andumbaras and the Kulindas in the Vishnupurāṇa,\(^{48}\) while the Mārkandeya purāṇa includes them in the list of the (Himalayan) hill tribes, viz. the (Uttara) Kurus, the Khasas, the Dārvās and others.\(^{49}\) They are mentioned in the Brihatsahhitī along with the Kulūtas and the Chinas.\(^{50}\) Hiuen Tsang refers to the Trigarta country under the name of Jālandhara (She-lan-t’o-lo) whence he proceeded to the Kulūta country.\(^{51}\) The name of the Trigarta janapada occurs in the Daśakaumāracharita (7th century A. D.).\(^{52}\) Kalhaṇa in his Rājatarāṅginī refers to a rājā of Trigarta who fled before the arms of Śāṅkaravarman (9th century A. D.).\(^{53}\) Hemachandra makes Trigarta synonymous with Jālandhara.\(^{54}\) An important evidence is furnished by the Chamba copper-plate inscription (1050 A. D.) of Somavarmadeva and Āsaṭadeva, which mentions a king of Trigarta along with the Kulūta king as a friend, and presumably a neighbour of Sāhilla, the founder of the Chamba line.\(^{55}\)

Cunningham locates Trigartadeśa in the country lying between the Ravi and the Sutlej and suggests that it denoted the 'land watered by three rivers'—the Ravi, Beas and Sutlej.\(^{56}\) From the literary evidences it is apparent that Trigarta was identical with Jālandhara.

The only coin known of the Traigartas is a square copper

\(^{47}\) The Traigartas are placed in Rajasthan (Maru) in the Sabhāparvan (Ch. XXXII), where they are said to be conquered by Nakula along with the Sibis and Mālavas. Cf. HP, Part I, p. 155, and BMC(AT), p. cxl and n. 1. For other references of the Mahābhārata, see Märk. P., p. 347, note.

\(^{48}\) Cf. CASR, XIV, p. 116.

\(^{49}\) Märk. P., pp. 346-47. For other Purāṇas, see GAMI, p. 37, n. 1.

\(^{50}\) Brihatsahhitā, XIV, 25; XVI. 20; cf. BMC(AT), p. cxl.

\(^{51}\) Cf. JRAS 1900, pp. 530 and 540.

\(^{52}\) Bk. VI, p. 216 of the Bombay edition (Nirmaya Sagar Press), 1906; cf. BMC(AT), p. cxl, n. 3.

\(^{53}\) Cf. CASR, V, p. 149.


\(^{55}\) Ind. Ant., XVII, pp. 7 ff.

\(^{56}\) CASR, V, p. 148. Cunningham includes Kangra or Katoch also within the territory of the Tragartas: ibid., II, p. 16; XIV, p. 116; and AGI, p. 156.
piece bearing on the obverse three small symbols (S 57, S 58 and S 59) in the centre with the Brāhmi legend Trakata ja- above and napadasa below, and on the reverse a four-tiered mountain (?) with traces of Kharoshthi legend around. The coin is assigned by Allan to the first half of the second century B. C. on palaeographic grounds. But the traces of Kharoshthi legend on the reverse suggests a date not earlier than the first half of the first century B. C., before which period the Kharoshthi script does not appear on indigenous coins. The Trigarta janapada, like the janapada of the Rājanyas, seems to have attained political importance only for a short while after the decline of the Indo-Greeks. The rājās of Trigarta, who are mentioned long afterwards, appear to be only tributary chiefs.

4. THE VEMAKI JANAPADA

Cunningham included in his list of Audumbara coins a silver piece of king Rudravarman who calls himself a Vamaki or Vaimaki. It bears on the obverse the figure of an elephant to right with a trident-battle-axe in front and the circular Brāhmi legend: (X) Rājī[ə] Vamakisa Rudavarmasa vijayaka, and on the reverse the figure of a bull to right with lotus in front and the Kharoshthi legend in two parts: (above) Raṇa Vamakisa Rudavamasa (below) vijayaya. D. C. Sircar, however, makes a slight emendation in the legend and reads vijayata or vijayato in place of vijayaya or vijayaka. There is another square copper coin which depicts on the obverse an elephant to right with the nandipada symbol (S 33) behind and the Brāhmi legend,

58 Ibid., p. cxi.
59 Cunningham thinks that 'their early position under the Indo-Scythians was that of tributaries, similar to their later position under the Muhammedan emperors of Delhi'. According to him, the extent of the Trigarta territory depended upon the powers of their neighbours. Whenever there was a weak neighbour, the Traigartas took the opportunity to seize their stronghold of Kot-Kangra and re-assert their independence; cf. CASR, V, p. 149.
60 CAI, p. 68, Pl. IV. 6; also BMC(Al), p. 125, Pl. XIV. 13. Cf. JRAS 1900, p. 429, n. 2 regarding the form Vemaki for Vaimaki.
61 JNSI, XXIV, p. 7.
V-mak—napapasa, and on the reverse a bull to left with nandipada (S 33) and svastika (S 30) above. The legend of the coin seems to refer to a janapada called Vemaki and the coin is connected by types with the silver piece of Vaimaki Rudravarman. Vemaki appears, therefore, to be the name of the tribe to which Rudravarman belonged and after whom the janapada was named.

Rapson observed that 'a people of this name seems not to be known from any other source', while Allan suggested that the Vaimakis might be 'an otherwise unknown family of the Audumbaras'. R. C. Kar has, however, pointed out that a tribe called 'Vaiyāmaka' is mentioned in the Sabhāparvan (Ch. LII) of the Mahābhārata along with the Audumbaras and other tribes of the Himalayan region like the Dārvas, Kāshmīras, and Traigartas. He has shown again that Bhaṭṭa Utpala, the commentator of the Brīhatsahhitā also refers to a tribe named 'Vaimaka' in the North-Eastern Division of India in association with the Kāshmīras, Daradas, Darvābhīsāras and others. But the 'Vaiyāmakas' of the Mahābhārata may not be the same as the 'Vaimakis' of the Brīhatsahhitā, although the latter may be identical with the Vaimakis of our coins. D. C. Sircar shows that Vemaka is the name of a sage mentioned in the Hariwahṣa (III, I, 14-15) and Brahmāpurāṇa (13, 136-137) and that the "clan-name Vaimaki is derived not from the name Vimaki but from Vemaka through the intermediate form Vaimaka...".

The literary references suggest that the Vaimakis are to be located in the neighbourhood of the Audumbaras and the other northern tribes with whom they are associated. This is also supported by the provenance of their coins which come from the north-eastern Punjab. And it may be noted

63 JRAS 1900, p. 429, n. 2.
64 BMC(AI), p. lxxxvi.
65 Cf. IHQ, XX, p. 60.
66 Ibid., p. 61.
67 JNSI, XXIV, p. 6.
68 The coin of Rudravarman was found along with the Audumbara coins in the Northern Punjab, beyond Lahore (CAI, p. 66), while the Jānapada coin came from 'the Punjab, probably from the Hoshiarpur district: BMC(AI), p. cliv.
in this connection that Cunningham’s classification of the coin of Rudravarman with those of the Audumbaras tends to show that the territories of the Vaimakis and the Audumbaras were adjacent.

We may refer here to the silver coins bearing the name of Mahādeva which are attributed by Cunningham, Allan and others to the Audumbaras.\(^69\) We have suggested above (pp. 220-21) that these coins, being strikingly similar to the coin of Rudravarman, are rather to be attributed to the Vaimakis than to the Audumbaras. They are identical in type and fabric with the coin of Vaimaki Rudravarman, like which they also bear biscriptual legends:

Obv. (Above) Bhagavata Mahādevasa (below) rājarāja (in Brāhmi).
Rev. (Above) Bhagavata Mahādevasa (below) rajarāṇa (in Kharoshṭhī).

According to S. K. Chakrabortty, “the word bhagavata is generally applicable to gods, and the title ‘rājarāja’, ‘the king of kings’ is more applicable to a god than to the king of a small principality”. On the analogy of the ‘Brahmaṇyadeva’ coins of the Yaudheyas and the ‘Chhatreśvāra’ pieces of the Kuṇindas, he takes the legend on the silver coins as referring to god Mahādeva, and not to a ruler of that name, interpreting it as ‘In the name of the Almighty Mahādeva, the king of kings’.\(^70\) But Allan interprets Bhagavatamahādevasa as Bhāgavata Mahādevasya, ‘Mahādeva, the worshipper of Bhagavat’ (thus taking Mahādeva as the name of a ruler who was a devotee of Bhagavat or Vishṇu) and rajarāṇa as corresponding to Sanskrit rājarājānāḥ ‘represented by rājarāja on the reverse, which is a puzzling form’.\(^71\) D. C. Sircar, however, takes ‘Mahādeva’ as the name of the god and Sanskritizes the legend as bhagavatō mahādevasya rājarājasya.\(^72\)

---

69 Cunningham, CAI, p. 68, Pl. IV, 5; and BMC(AI), p. 123, Pl. XIV. 16.
70 NS, XLVI, p. N 73.
71 BMC(AI), p. lxxxv. Ajaya Mitra Shastri, while differing with Allan in holding Mahādeva to be an Audumbara chief, agrees with him in taking the word Mahādeva as the name of a ruler who was a bhāgavata, meaning ‘a devotee of Bhagavat’ i. e. Vishṇu : JNSI, XXVII, pp. 88-89. His reading of the word bhāgavata is not, however, beyond doubt, since the letter bh does not show any ś–matra on the coin.
72 AIU, p. 161, n. 4.
With these silver coins, again, may probably be associated two bronze coins having on the obverse a male figure holding a trident-battle-axe and the Brāhmi legend, Bhagavata Mahādevasa, and on the reverse a peculiar balance-like symbol (S 60). The male figure, depicted as holding a trident-battle-axe, an attribute of Śiva or Mahādeva, appears to represent the god himself, in allusion to the issuer's name. The combination of the epithet and name 'Bhagavat Mahādeva', as well as the trident-battle-axe held by the deity may connect these coins with the silver pieces of Bhagavat Mahādeva. If our suggestion about the attribution of the 'Mahādeva' coins is accepted, we may hold that the Vaimakis (like their neighbours, the Kuṇindas and Audumbaras) were worshippers of Śiva or Mahādeva, in whose name some of their silver and copper coins were issued.

Under the above circumstances we may take that the Vaimakis issued at least four series of coins:

1. Square copper coins in the name of their janapada;
2. Round silver coins in the name of their chief Rudravarma who is called rājan and Vaimakī;
3. Round silver coins in the name of their god Mahādeva entitled rājāraja; and
4. Round copper pieces also in the name of Mahādeva.

Of these, the jānapada issues which are of copper, square in shape, and bear Brāhmi legend alone, do not appear to have any foreign influence on them and may, therefore, be dated earlier than the round silver coin of Rudravarma having a distinct foreign influence in its shape, fabric, metal, denomination as well as in the use of the Kharoshṭhī script. This coin is comparable to the Elephant-Bull silver coins of the Indo-Greek king Apollodotus I. The coinage issued in the name of the god Mahādeva seems to be the latest of the Vaimaki issues, since the silver coins have for Mahādeva the title rājāraja ('king of kings'), in imitation of the Indo-Scythic rulers. The silver pieces, both of Rudravarma and of Mahādeva, being

73 JRAS 1900, p. 112, Pl. fig. 12.
74 Cf. ibid., pp. 112-13.
75 See PMC, p. 40, Pl. IV. 231 for Apollodotus's coin.
similar in style and fabric to the silver issues of Audumbara Dharaghosha, may be assigned to the same period, i.e. the second half of the first century B.C., while the jānapada coins, being somewhat earlier in date may be placed in the first half of that century.

The Vaimakis, therefore, appear to be an ancient people of the north-eastern Punjab, who acquired political power about the beginning of the first century B.C. and established a tribal jānapada in the vicinity of the Audumbara territory, like the Rājanya and Trigarta jānapadas. Their chiefs were entitled rājan like those of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas and Kuṇindas, and Rudravarman who was one such Vaimaki chief appears to have been a contemporary of Audumbara Dharaghosha. At a later stage, probably during the critical period of Indo-Scythian incursions, the Vaimakis seem to have dedicated their state to their presiding deity Mahādeva, in the same way as their neighbours, the Kuṇindas and Yaudheyas dedicated their states to their respective national gods Chhatreśvara and Brahmaṇyadeva. Their coins of a later date are not known; apparently they soon lost their independence.

76 For Dharaghosha’s date see BMC (A I), p. lxxv.
77 Allan places it in the first century B.C. : ibid., p. cliv.
PART IV

THE TRIBAL STATES OF RAJASTHAN AND MADHYA PRADESH

NATURE OF THE RAJASTHAN STATES

While dealing with the tribes of Rajasthan we are confronted with the conflicting evidences of the literary and numismatic sources as to the location of at least two of them, viz. the Mālavas and the Śibis. They are mentioned by Alexander’s historians as ‘Malloi’ and ‘Siboi’ and were amongst the most powerful tribes of the Punjab. In Ch. LII of the Sahāparvaṇ of the Mahābhārata also, they are mentioned together with the other Punjab tribes. But in Ch. XXXII of the same parvan they are placed in Rajasthan (Maru), and the provenance of their coins also corroborates this location. How then are we to reconcile this anomalous position? Are we to locate the Mālavas and the Śibis in the Punjab or in Rajasthan?

Since the extant coins of the Mālavas and the Śibis are of a date later than the period of Alexander’s invasion, it appears that both the tribes were originally the residents of the Punjab, but were later on forced by circumstances to migrate to Rajasthan. We need not assume that in such migrations all the members of the concerned tribes moved to their new settlements. It is likely that while major sections migrated to Rajasthan, at least some minor sections chose to remain in their original homes in the Punjab. Thus, the Mālavas and the Śibis, who are placed sometimes in the Punjab and sometimes in Rajasthan in literary texts, seem to represent two sections of the same people—one that remained in the Punjab and the other that migrated to Rajasthan.

One of the reasons for such tribal migrations seems to be the pressure of successive foreign invasions, beginning from the time of Alexander. The freedom-loving people of the Punjab were compelled to leave their fertile lands and seek safer places in the deserts of Rajasthan only for the sake of their liberty. By the time we find them issuing coins in the names

1 Cf. HP, Part I, p. 155.
2 Ibid., p. 154.
of their respective republics, they were settled in their new homes in Rajasthan. Those who were left in the Punjab appear to have lost their political importance, since apart from some stray literary references to them, no trace of their later political activities in the Punjab is found.

Of the Rajasthan tribes, the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas, Šibis and Uddehikas are represented by their coins, while the Maukharis of Baḍvā (Kotah District) are known only from their inscriptions of the post-Kushāṇa period. The legends on coins of these tribes often indicate the nature of their constitutions. The post-Kushāṇa coins of the Mālavas, like those of the Yaudheyas, refer to their state as a gaṇa, while an inscribed seal of about the second century B.C. discovered at Raîrh in the Jaipur District bears the name of the Mālava-janapada. Although the legend on the Ārjunāyana coins does not specify the nature of their government, it is comparable to that on the post-Kushāṇa coins of the Mālavas. The legend on the coins of the Šibis refers to the political centre of their janapada at Madhyamikā. The Uddehika coins were struck in the names of their chiefs, called 'Auddhehaki', and are comparable in this respect to the issues of the Audumbaras, Kulūtas and Kuṇindas of the Punjab region.

The coinages of the Rajasthan states, excepting that of the Mālavas, ceased after the first century B.C. or A.D. The reason seems to be that they were subjugated by the Śakas who occupied the Sind valley by the first century B.C. and spread themselves towards Mathurā and Ujjain in the first two centuries of the Christian era. The Mālavas fought hard with the Śakas and emerged as a powerful people only after the decline of the Śakas and the Kushāṇas. The Ārjunāyanas, whose later coins are not known, probably retained their existence as a semi-independent power upto the time of Samudragupta in whose Allahabad pralasti they are mentioned along with the Mālavas and the Yaudheyas amongst the frontier tribes. The Šibis and the Uddehikas are no more heard of.

---

3 As noted above (Part III: Introduction, p. 197), the case of the Mālavas also shows that a janapada might have a gaṇa form of government.
CHAPTER XI

THE MĀLAVAS

Mālava is the name of a famous tribe which played an important part in the history of ancient India. According to the Mahābhārata, the hundred sons of the Madra king Aśvapati, the father of Sāvitrī, were known as 'Mālavas' after their mother Mālavi.1 R. O. Douglas is of the opinion that 'Mālaya' is the older form of the tribal name, by which the Mālavas were known during Alexander's time. For, he points out that 'Malloï' is the proper Greek transliteration of 'Mālaya', not of 'Mālava' which would give the form 'Malluoï'.2 Accordingly, he takes the coins with the legend Mala and Mālaya to be the earliest, and suggests that 'Mala may be the name of some king named after the original founder of the tribe', and that Mālaya refers to 'the tribe of Mala'.3 D. C. Sircar thinks that 'the name of the Mālavas, like that of the Malaya mountain range, is probably derived from the Dravidian word malai, meaning hill'.4

"In ancient Indian literature and inscriptions the term Mālava is applied to various communities and territories extending from the eastern Panjab to Ujjain."5 Although the Mālavas are not mentioned by Pāṇini himself, the Kāśikā includes them among the āyuḍhajūvin saṅghas noted by Pāṇini.6 Kātyāyana as well as Patañjalī knew the Mālava-Kshudraka league.7 And

---

1 Cf. PIHC 1940, p. 98. Carleyle says that, according to local tradition the Mālava state was founded by 'Rājā Machhakanda', the son of Māndhātā, by defeating the local people who were, in his opinion, Karkoṭa Nāgas. He believes that the great similarity of the Mālava coins with those of the Nāgas is thus accounted for: CASR, VI, pp. 162 ff.
2 NS, XXXVII, pp. N. 43-44. It may also be noted that the form of the tribe's name occurring in the Nāsik cave inscription (No. 10) of the time of Nahapāna (SI, p. 162) is 'Mālaya', not Mālava.
3 Ibid., p. N. 45.
4 AIU, p. 163. But since the Mālavas were originally a northern tribe, this view does not seem to be much convincing.
5 IMG, p. 161.
7 HP, Part I, p. 35 n. and p. 70.
as already noted, in Ch. LII of the Sabhāparvan of the Mahābhārata, the Mālavas are located in the Punjab, while, in Ch. XXXII of the same parvan, they are placed in Rajasthan. The Mārkaṇḍeyapurāṇa mentions the Mālavas along with the Punjab tribes, viz. the Trigartas, Madras, Gaudhāras, Vaudheyas, Rājanyas and others. The Vishnupurāṇa seems to locate them in the Jaipur region; for, according to it, the Mālavas lived along the Pāripāṭra mountains, which have been identified by Carleye with the Pathar range around Nāgar in the Jaipur District. Varāhamihira classes the Mālavas with the peoples of the north. The most important reference to the Mālavas is, however, made by the historians of Alexander, who call them the Malloi, whom Alexander met during his retreat.

Carleye found thousands of Mālava coins at Nāgar or Karkoṭa Nāgar, a small fortified town within the territory of the Rājā of Uniyaṇa in the former Jaipur State. He also discovered there the remains of a city of high antiquity, which yielded ‘the small green old coins in some places lying as thick as shells on the sea-shore’. Of the six thousand coins discovered there only thirty-five were of outside origin, the rest being of the Nāgar mintage. Rairh in the Jaipur District has also yielded some Mālava coins as well as a lead stamp and seal of about the second century B.C., with the inscription—Mālava-janapadasa.

The Nāsik cave inscription (No.10) of the time of the Śaka Kshatrapa Nahapāna, which refers to the Mālavas as the opponents of the Uttamabhādras and their allies, the Śakas of Ujjain, indicates that they were all close neighbours, and thus

8 Ibid., p 155.
9 Mārko P., p 379; for other Purānas, see GAMI, p 37, n 1.
10 See Vishnupurāṇa, translation by Wilson, p 177; cf. HP, Part I, p 153 and n 1, also CASR, XIV, p 150.
11 Brihatatsahhitā, XIV, 27; cf. Ind. Ant., XXII, p 184. Jayaswal thinks that Varāhamihira gives this location of the Mālavas, basing on older materials; for, “Varāha-Mihira himself was living in Mālava, and to use so antiquated a datum shows that the real Mālavas had for some centuries ceased to exist” : HP, Part I, p 153.
12 Arrian’s Anabasis, VI, 4; cf. IIA, p 137.
13 CASR, VI, pp 162 ff.
14 ER, pp 49 and 54, Pl. XXVI, 1-5; and JNSI, III, pp 47 ff.
15 SI, p 162.
seems to corroborate the occupation of the Nāgar region by the Mālavas at that time. The order in which the Mālavas are mentioned in the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta\textsuperscript{16}, before the Ārjunāyanas, Vaudheyas and Madrakas (who lived in the area comprising Northern Rajasthan and the Eastern Punjab), appears also to indicate Eastern Rajasthan as the home of the Mālavas of this period. A Nāgar inscription of a later date (V.S. 1043 = 986 A.D.) calls the place Mālavanagara and describes its prosperity\textsuperscript{17}.

All these archaeological evidences thus go to show that the Mālavas of our period were occupying a limited area in Eastern Rajasthan and cannot therefore be identified either with the 'Malloi' of the Greeks who were then dwelling in the Punjab or with the better-known people of Avanti to which the Mālavas imparted their name. "The similarity of the coin-legends (of the Mālavas) to those of the Ārjunāyanas and Vaudheyas," says Allan, "suggests that these were not very remote from them and were probably their neighbours on the north while the resemblance of their coins to those of the Nāgas suggests that the latter were their neighbours on the east."\textsuperscript{18}

Rapson, however, suggested that there might have been two peoples of the name of 'Mālava'—(1) the Mālava of the north represented by the Malloi of the Greek writers, by the coins having the inscription Mālavānāh jaya[ḥ], by the Malaya of the Mudrārākshasa, and by the Mo-lo-so (Mo-lo-po) of Hiuen Tsang, and (2) the better-known Mālava of the south called Mo-lo-po by Hiuen Tsang.\textsuperscript{19} But as we have seen, the Mālava of our coins cannot be identified with the 'Malloi' of the Greeks.

It is possible that a large section of the Mālavas, if not the whole tribe, gradually retired from the Punjab before the foreign onslaughts even from the time of Alexander and settled in Eastern Rajasthan, where we find them at this period. Jayaswal thinks that the Mālavas migrated via Bhatinda in the Patiala State where they have left traces of their name in Mālwa dialect extending from Ferozepur to Bhatinda.\textsuperscript{20}

\textsuperscript{16} Ibid., p. 257.
\textsuperscript{17} Cf. AIU, p. 164, n. 2.
\textsuperscript{18} BMC(Atl), p. cviii.
\textsuperscript{19} JRAI 1900, p. 512.
\textsuperscript{20} HP, Part I, p. 152.
out by Douglas, some Mālava coins with legends to be read from right to left betray the influence of the Kharoshṭhī script which was prevalent in the north-west, and thus show that their issuers stayed in the Punjab before they migrated to Rajasthan.\footnote{21 Cf. *NS*, XXXVII, p. N. 44. For the coins, see *IMC*, p. 173-74, Nos. 57 (Pl. XX. 20), 62 (Pl. XX. 22), 63 (Pl. XX. 23), and 70. D. C. Sircar, however, thinks that these coins are not the genuine issues of the Mālavas but later imitations. (*JNS*, XXIV, p. 2 and p. 3, n. 1).}

It thus appears that there are three stages in the history of the Mālavas—the first in the Punjab, the second in the eastern Rajasthan, and the third in the north-western part of Madhya Pradesh, where they finally settled and which is known as Malwa after them.\footnote{22 Cf. *Carmichael Lectures*, 1921, p. 12; and *ABORI*, XIII, p. 218.} In the fourth century B.C. when Alexander met them, they formed a league with the Kshudrakas (or the Oxydrakai of the Greeks) and gave a very stubborn resistance to the Macedonian invader. Cunningham located the Malloi near Multan which he identified as their capital.\footnote{23 *CASR*, VI, p. 182.} McCrindle also supports Cunningham in locating them in the Multan area. “The territory of the Malloi,” he says, “was of great extent, comprehending a part of the Doab formed by the Akesines (Chenub) and the Hydraotes (Ravi), and extending, according to Arrian (*Indika*, c.iv), to the confluence of the Akesines and the Indus.”\footnote{24 *IIA*, pp. 351-52.} But Smith points out that the country of the Malloi extended ‘along both banks of the Hydraotes (Ravi), from Shorkot on the south-west to Lahore on the north-east’, including ‘the greater part of the Jhang District and the north-western portion of the Montgomery District’. He makes Malloi the western neighbours of the Oxydrakai or the Kshudrakas who were occupying, according to him, the Kangra, Gurdaspur, Hoshiarpur and Amritsar districts, and the southern neighbours of the Siboi or Śibis of the Jhang area.\footnote{25 *JRAI* 1903, pp. 699-700.} According to Quintus Curtius, the Mālavas and Kshudrakas presented to Alexander 100 talents of ‘white iron’ (*ferri candidi*), which is taken by McCrindle to be ‘steel’ of that weight.\footnote{26 Q. Curtius, IX. 8: cf. McCrindle, *IIA*, pp. 252-52, and p. 252, n. 1.}

O. P. 182—34
however, takes this ‘white iron’ to denote nickel coins. “Thus in his opinion nickel was employed for the purpose of currency by the Indian tribes Kshudrakas and Mālavas in the time of Alexander.”²⁷ But, since no extant piece of such a currency has so far been discovered, it is difficult to accept Cunningham’s interpretation.

With the extension of the Maurya power, however, the Mālavas probably came under the imperial sway; for they are not mentioned by Kauṭilya.²⁸ After the decline of the Maurya power, wave after wave of foreign invaders, beginning with the Greeks from Bactria, probably pushed a large section of the Mālavas southwards towards Rajasthan. Those who chose to remain in the Punjab seem to have lost their political autonomy; for they have left no evidence of their political activities there.²⁹

The second and the most important chapter in the history of the Mālavas, with which we are concerned here, opens in the eastern Rajasthan. Coins issued by them in the name of their republic during their stay in this area bear testimony to the intermediate stage in the course of their migration from the Punjab to the region known as Malwa in Madhya Pradesh by the end of the second century B.C.; for, although Mālama coins of a date earlier than the first century B.C. are not known, the Rairh seal with the legend Mālava-janapadasa indicates their occupation of the Jaipur area about the second century B.C.

The coins of the Mālavas ‘are among the most curious and enigmatical’ in the vast range of Indian coinages.³⁰ They resemble the Nāga coins very closely in type, size and fabric.³¹ They may be divided into two broad classes: Class I with the tribal name and Class II with short legends that ‘are so many puzzles’.³² Coins of Class I are again subdivided by

²⁷ Cf. D. R. Bhandarkar, Carmichael Lectures, 1921, p. 144.
²⁸ Cf. HP, Part I, p. 60. We hear no more of the Kshudrakas after Patañjali’s mention of them (on Pāṇini, V, 3. 52); most probably they were fully amalgamated with the Mālavas during their migration from the Punjab to the eastern Rajasthan: cf. ibid., p. 152.
²⁹ Cf. JBOBSS, XX, pp. 172-73.
³⁰ Smith, IMC, p. 161.
³¹ Cf. JRAS 1897, p. 643.
Smith into eight groups according to the reverse devices which are generally a vase, lion, bull, fantail peacock, king's head (?) and some other obscure designs. The obverse is generally occupied by the legend, sometimes accompanied by a minor symbol like the tree-in-railing. The legend in Brāhmī varies from Prākrit to Sanskrit and is sometimes continued on the reverse. The following varieties of legends are noticed on the coins of Class I: (a) Mala, (b) Malaya or Mālaya, (c) Malava or Mālava, (d) Mālava jaya, (e) Mālavanā jaya and its variants, Malavana jaya, Mālavana jaya or Malavahṇa jaya, (f) Mālavaṇām, (g) Malavaganaṇya, (h) Malava sujaya and Mālava gaṇasya jaya.

Coins of Class II, which do not bear the tribal name, closely resemble those of Class I, have generally similar types and are found in association with them. That the coins of Class II were actually issued by the Mālavas is further proved by coin No. 70 of Smith's Indian Museum Catalogue, which has a two-line legend: (1) Malava and (2) Majupa. Carllyle found about forty names which he calls the names of kings on this class of coins, while Smith reads only twenty such names. The names as noticed and arranged in an approximate chronological order by Smith are: (1) Bhapaṁyana, (2) Yama (or Maya ?), (3) (?) Majupa, (4) Mapojaya, (5) Mapaya, (6) Magajaśa, (7) Magaja, (8) Magojava, (9) Gojara, (10) Maśapa, (11) Mapaka, (12) Yama (II ?), (13) Pachha, (14) (?) Magachha, (15) Gajava, (16) Jāmaka, (17) Jamapaya, (18) Paya, (19) Maḥārāya and (20) Maraja.

The date of the Mālava coins are variously given by various scholars. Carllyle thought that they extended for a period of about five or six centuries up to the third or fourth century A.D., while Cunningham placed them between 250 B.C. and 250 A.D. Rapson, on the other hand, holds that the initial date for these coins cannot be earlier than 150 B.C., but as

33 IMC, pp. 170 ff.
34 Cf. ibid.; NS, XXXVII, pp. N. 45 ff.; and ibid., XLVI, pp. N. 79-80.
35 Cf. BMC(41), p. cvi.
36 IMC, p. 175, No. 70.
37 CASR, VI, p. 173.
38 IMC, pp. 163 and 174-77
39 CASR, VI, 174 (Carllyle), and ibid., p. 182 (Cunningham).
to the coins with the legend *Mālavānāṁ jayaḥ*, he observes that "both the character of their inscriptions, and the fact that they are in fabric somewhat similar to the coins of the Nāgas of Padmāvatī, point to a date not earlier than the 5th century A.D." But Smith does not think that any of the Mālava coins is as late as that date. "The legends of the earliest coins," he says, "are in the Brāhmī script of the second century B.C., while those of the latest may be assigned to the fourth century A.D. The cessation of the local coinage is adequately accounted for by Samudragūta’s conquest of northern India about 330 A.D." Allan agrees with Smith as to the latest limit but he is not inclined to place any of them earlier than the second century A.D., as 'the Brāhmī characters may be described as early Gupta.' D. C. Sircar is, however, of the opinion that 'some of the Mālava coins may be assigned to the first century B.C., but most of them are later.'

Now, the legends on some of the coins are in Prākrit (e.g. *Mālavaṇa jaya* and its variants), while some others are in Sanskrit (e.g. *Mālavānāṁ* or *Mālava-gaṇasya jayaḥ*). Coins with Prākrit legends are undoubtedly earlier than those with Sanskrit legends: And as Sanskrit began to appear in inscriptions about the beginning of the Christian era and asserted itself by the second century A.D., coins with Prākrit legends may be placed about the first century B.C. Moreover, as pointed out by Douglas, there are some coins on which the (Brāhmī) legends are written from right to left under the influence of the Kharos̱ṭhī script, which was prevalent in the coin-legends of the Punjab states in the first century B.C. The earliest date of the Mālava coins may, therefore, be taken as the first century B.C. As to the latest limit, Smith

40 Cf. IC, pp. 12-13 and IMC, p. 162.
41 IMC, p. 162. In a copy of the book, Smith himself corrected the date 330 to 380, i.e. the date of the extension of the powers of Chandragūpta II over that region.
42 BMCAI, p. cxi.
43 AIU, p. 165.
44 Cf. the Ghoshunḍi stone inscription of king Sarvatāta (c. 2nd half of the 1st century B.C.), Ayodhyā stone inscription of Dhanadeva (c. 1st century A.D.) and Junāgarh inscription of Rudradāman (150 A.D.); see SI, pp. 91, 96 and 169 respectively.
seems to be right in putting it about the time of Chandra Gupta II's conquests in the latter half of the fourth century A. D. Jayaswal thought that coins of Class II succeeded those of Class I and represented the power which superseded the Mālavas.⁴⁵ But S. K. Chakrabortty suggested that the Mālava coins being too tiny to provide space for the names of both the tribe and the chief, two contemporary series were struck—one with the name of the tribe and the other with the names of chiefs.⁴⁶ According to Allan's dating also, the two series become contemporary to some extent.⁴⁷ This is also evident from the language and the palaeography of the legends of coins of Class II.

There has been a good deal of discussion about the interpretation of these peculiar names on the coins of Class II. From the fact that most of the names begin with the syllable Ma, Carlleyle suggests that the Mālavas were ruled by a dynasty or dynasties of ‘Mās’ or votaries of the goddess ‘Mā’, i.e. Lākshmi.⁴⁸ Smith says, "Very odd the names are, and evidently of foreign origin".⁴⁹ But Allan has pointed out that they cannot be taken as the names of some foreign rulers, since the dates of the coins would show that they are 'too late for the Sakas and too early for the Hūṇas'.⁵⁰ Jayaswal, on the other hand, takes the first syllable of these legends (i.e. Ma) as abbreviation of Mahārāja and the rest as the names of chiefs. Thus, according to him, Ma-paya would stand for Mahārāja Paya, Ma-gaja for Mahārāja Gaja, Ma-sapa for Mahārāja Sarpa, and so on.⁵¹ But Allan is not inclined to accept this suggestion, since in none of these legends there is any trace of a genitive. Moreover, as he says, there is no instance of such a contraction.⁵² On the suggestion of D. R. Bhūndarkar, A. C. Banerji explains these legends as

⁴⁵ HP, Part I, p. 218.
⁴⁶ NS, XLVI, p. N. 81.
⁴⁷ Cf. BMC(AI), p. cvii.
⁴⁸ CASR, VI, p. 172.
⁴⁹ IMC, p. 163.
⁵⁰ BMC(AI), p. cvi.
⁵¹ HP, Part I, p. 218.
⁵² BMC(AI), p. cvii.
abbreviated forms of the full legend Mālavagaṇasya jayah. Thus, Ma-ga-ja is taken by him as an abbreviation of Mālava-gaṇasya-jayah. But all the legends cannot be explained in this way. Allan thinks that "they are not names but in most cases meaningless attempts to reproduce parts of Mālavānāṁ jayaḥ".

D. C. Sircar, however, does not regard these coins as genuine issues of the Mālavas. "When the Mālavas were", says he, "no longer in a position to issue their own coins, local goldsmiths minted the imitation coins in order to meet the requirements of the people who were used to the Mālava coinage". In other words he thinks, somewhat like Jayaswal, that the coins of Class II succeeded those of Class I and were issued by the local people after the decline of the political power of the Mālavas. But the Indian Museum coin No. 70 having a two-line legend, Mālava/Mañjana, which apparently belonged to this class, connects this series with the Mālavas and therefore coins of this class cannot be later imitations. Again, no clear-cut chronological division can be made of the coins of the two classes. As we have seen, while some coins of Class I having Prākrit legends are very early, some others with pure Sanskrit legends like Mālavagaṇasya jayah may be as late as the fourth century A. D. So far as we know, the Mālavas were immediately succeeded in this region by the Guptas, and there is hardly any time for the local people to issue imitation coins after the cessation of the power of the Mālavas. It is not conceivable that these coins were struck during the rule of the Guptas.

K. K. Das Gupta has put forward the suggestion that the peculiar legends on the coins of Class II refer to Mālava chiefs of non-Aryan origin. Although the suggestion is attractive at the very outset, the difficulty is that the names of some Mālava chiefs known from the few inscriptions of the post-Kushāṇa period so far discovered do not look like non-Aryan. The Nandasa Yupa inscriptions of Kṛita year 282 (i.e. 224 A. D.) reveal the name of Nandisoma who performed

53 Cf. ABORI, XIII, p. 224.
54 BMC(AF), p cvii.
55 JNSI, XXIV, p. 4, and ibid., XXVIII, pp. 204-5. For criticism of Dr. Sircar's views by K. K. Daugupta see ibid., XXVIII, pp. 52 ff. and ibid., XXIX, pp. 79-80.
56 PIHC 1965 (Allahabad), pp. 56-57.
Vedic sacrifices like the *Ekāhasūṭhīrātra* and who claimed descent from the famous Īkshvākū family. The names of his forefathers, as given in the inscriptions, are also of purely Sanskritic origin.\(^{57}\) Two other Mālava chiefs, viz. *Mahāsenāpati* Bhaṭṭisoma, and one whose name ends in *vardhana* and who performed seven Soma sacrifices, are also known from their respective inscriptions.\(^{58}\) The names do not show any resemblance with those on the coins of Class II and are far from being of a non-Aryan or foreign origin.

Any way, inspite of various suggestions made by different scholars, it has not yet been possible to solve satisfactorily the problem of the curious legends on the Mālava coins of Class II. The suggestion of their being imitations is plausible, but we cannot take them as later in date than the coins of Class I. It is, however, not unlikely that they were *contemporary imitations* by a sub-clan or sub-clans of the Mālavas issued from one or more obscure mints.\(^{59}\) The illiterate die-cutters of such mint or mints might have made a confusion of the legends they were to execute on the tiny dies, and hence it is not intelligible if they wanted to reproduce parts of *Mālavānāḥ jayaḥ* or *Mālavagaṇāya jayaḥ* or tried to give us the names or nicknames of their chiefs.

The Mālava coins were unusually tiny pieces, the largest weighing 40.3 grains and the smallest only 1.7 grains, which "may claim the honour of being one of the smallest coins in the world".\(^{60}\) As the coins are not found anywhere else, it is evident that they were confined to Nāgar and its immediate neighbourhood.\(^{61}\) On the other hand, very few coins of outside

---

57 See *Ep.Ind.*, XXVII, pp. 252 ff. We have, however, adopted the name of the Mālava chief as well as those of his predecessors as read by M. Venkataramayya, *IHQ*, XXIX, pp. 80 ff. Cf. pp. 273-4 below.


59 It is curious that the Kṣudrakas who are known to have formed a league with the Mālavas during Alexander’s time (4th century B.C.) and are also mentioned by Kātyāyana and Patañjali (2nd century B.C.) are no more heard of (cf. notes 7 and 28 above). We do not know if they also migrated to Rajasthan along with the Mālavas with whom they were politically united, and were responsible for issuing these enigmatic coins in imitation of the regular Mālava issues.

60 *IMC*, p. 163.

61 Ibid.
origin are found at Nāgar. These facts indicate that the Mālavas were a poor community on a low economic level, which had very little intercourse with the outside world. Their low-valued coins could only have been used in local markets.

The capital of the Mālavas in Rajasthan was Mālavanagara, identified with Karkoṭā Nāgar or only Nāgar, where Mālava coins were discovered. The Nāgar inscription of Vikrama Samvat 1043 (986 A.D.) shows that Nāgar in the Uniyāra area of the Tonk District was known even in early medieval period as Mālavanagara.\(^{62}\) Carlleyle thinks that the name ‘Karkoṭā Nāgar’ probably had some connection with the Nāgas who were the south-eastern neighbours of the Mālavas.\(^{63}\)

From the Nāsik cave inscription (No. 10) of the time of Nahapāna (about the beginning of the second century A.D.) we know that the Mālavas came into conflict with the Kshaharāta Khsatrapas of Western India by besieging the Uttamabhadras, allies of the Śakas.\(^{64}\) These Uttamabhadras are identified by Altekar with the Utsavasaṅketas mentioned in the Mahābhārata in connection with the conquests of Nakula and are located near the Pushkara forest, in the Ajmer region, close to the territory of the Mālavas.\(^{65}\) D. C. Sircar, however, suggests the identification of the Uttamabhadras with the Uttamarṇas or Uttamakas of the Purāṇas.\(^{66}\) The Nāsik inscription informs us that Nahapāna deputed his son-in-law Ushavadāta (Rishabhadatta) to crush the power of the Mālavas who fled away at the very sound of his approach, and were made prisoners of the Uttamabhadras. This shows that by the beginning of the second century A.D. the Mālavas had grown powerful enough to come into conflict with the neighbouring Śakas. The consequent defeat of the Mālavas by the Śaka Satrap seems to have put a stop to their further expansion southwards.

The death of Nahapāna was followed by the rise of the Śaka house of Chashtiṇa. And as Rṇdradāman (about 150

\(^{62}\) Cf. AIU, p. 164 and note 2.
\(^{63}\) CASR, VI, p. 166.
\(^{64}\) SL, p. 162.
\(^{66}\) GAMI, p. 34 and n.
A. D.) of that house claimed to have annexed Sindhu, Sauvira, Maru and to have inflicted a signal defeat on the Yaudheyas.\(^67\) the northern neighbours of the Mālavas, it appears likely that the Mālavas (who were then occupying the intermediate territory between those of the Yaudheyas and the Śakas) were also compelled to recognise the suzerainty of Rudradāman.

But the civil war that broke out in the Śaka kingdom soon after the death of Rudradāman's successor Dāmajada I about 175 A. D.\(^68\) seems to have given the Mālavas an opportunity to reassert their independence. That they were able to retain their hard-won independence afterwards, at least up to the time of Samudragupta's conquests, is indicated by their mention along with the Ārjunāyanas and Yaudheyas in the Allahabad pillar inscription, amongst the frontier tribes that paid tributes to the Gupta monarch.

Two inscriptions dated in the Kṛita year 282 (224 A. D.), found incised on a sacrificial pillar standing in a lake at Nāndsā in the Udaipur District bear evidence to the reassertion of the Mālava power in the post-Kushāṇa period.\(^69\) Both the inscriptions record the performance of a sacrifice called Ekashashṭhirātra by a Mālava chief whose name has been read as Nandisoma and who is called a Sogi, probably the name of a sub-clan of the Mālavas. His brilliant achievements are said to have recovered freedom and prosperity to the country of the Mālavas. Altekar thinks that the reference is to the success of the Mālava people chiefly against the Śakas. According to him, Saṅghadāman whose reign was of only about one year (222-23 A.D.) and whose death took place shortly before the erection of the Nāndsā yūpa, was probably the Śaka ruler defeated by the Mālava chief and that the Ekashashṭhirātra sacrifice was performed to commemorate the great victory alluded to in the inscriptions, against the Śaka ruler, which 'enabled him to win for himself and his country extensive wealth and prosperity.'\(^70\) The epithet

---

67 Cf. the Junāgarh inscription of Rudradāman I of Year 72: see SI, p. 172.
68 Cf. NHIP, VI, pp. 48-49, and AIU, p. 186
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sva-śakti-guṇa-guru as applied to the Mālava chief seems to refer to his great victory and reminds us of the similar expression svayamadhigata-mahākshatrapa-nāmā used by Rudradāman.71

The name of Nandisoma’s father is given as Jayasoma and those of his grandfather and great-grandfather as Bhṛiguvardhana and Jayatsena respectively. It is supposed that the village Nāndsā was founded by Nandisoma himself and that it derived its name from him, Nāndsā being a corrupt form of Nandisomapura.72 A third inscription at Nāndsā contains the name of another Mālava chief, Mahāsenāpati Bhaṭṭisoma.73 As he is also called a Sogi, he probably belonged to the family of Nandisoma; but his relationship with the latter cannot be determined.

Another yūpa inscription from Barnāla in the Jaipur District is dated two years later than the Nāndsā yūpa (Kṛita year 284 or 226 A.D.).74 It commemorates the performance of seven Soma sacrifices (saptā-soma-saṅsthā) by a king whose name ends in vadhana. Jaipur District being the area where Mālava coins and the seal with the legend Mālava-janapadasa were found, it is likely that the king referred to in this inscription also belonged to the Mālava tribe. But this chief was of Sohārtṛī gotra, was the son of a ‘king’ and himself adopted a royal title, unlike Nandisoma who called himself a Sogi and who had no regal or military title. He might have belonged to a different sub-clan of the Mālavas.

Mālava coins of the post-Kushāṇa period bearing legends like Mālava-ganasya jayaḥ also seem to refer to the victory of the Mālava republic. According to Smith’s dating, coins with the names of Mapaka, Yama (II?), Jāmaka, Paya, Mahārāya, Maraja, etc., may be assigned to this period.

We may get a rough idea about the extent of the territory occupied by the Mālavas in the post-Kushāṇa period from the find-spots of coins and inscriptions. Mālava coins of the third and fourth centuries A.D. are found in large numbers in the Jaipur area. The Nāsik cave inscription of Ushavadāta

71 Cf. the Junāgaṛ inscription of Rudradāman: SI, p. 173, l. 15.
72 M. Venkataramayya, IHQ, XXIX, p. 83.
73 Ep.Ind, XXVII, p. 266.
74 Ibid., XXVI, pp. 118 ff.
(No. 10) indicates that the Mālavas were then occupying an area adjacent to Lake Pushkara in Ajmer, i.e. the territory to its north. The Nāndsā yūpa inscriptions show that some portion of the Udaipur District was also included in the Mālava republic. Thus, the strip of land extending from Udaipur to Jaipur seems to be in the possession of the Mālavas.

Legends on some Mālava coins incidentally refer to the nature of the Mālava state as a gaṇa. In the Nāndsā inscription of Nandisoma also, the country is called Mālava-gaṇa-vishaya. This inscription shows that the Mālava-gaṇa, like the gaṇa of the Yaudheyas, was probably administered by chiefs whose office seems often to have been hereditary.⁷⁵

We may conclude by saying a few words about the association of the name of the Mālavas with the so-called Vikrama era of 58 B. C.,⁷⁶ and its bearing on the further migration of the Mālavas from Rajasthan to Madhya Pradesh in the Gupta period. The expressions, Mālavānāṁ gaṇa-sthitā,⁷⁷ Mālava-gaṇa-sthitī-vaśāt,⁷⁸ or Śrī-Mālava-gaṇ-āmnāte prālaste kṛita saṁjñāte,⁷⁹ all refer to the gaṇa of the Mālavas. These expressions were taken by Fleet as denoting ‘by (the reckoning from) the tribal constitution of the Mālavas’ or ‘from (the establishment of) the suṣeṣmacy of the tribal constitution of the Mālavas’;⁸⁰ by Kielhorn as ‘by, or according to, the reckoning of the Mālavas’;⁸¹ and by F. W. Thomas as ‘according to the tradition of the Mālava tribal constitution’⁸² All these renderings imply that the

---

⁷⁵ From the fact that neither the Mālava chief (Nandisoma) nor any of his predecessors, whose names are recorded in the Nāndsā inscriptions, bore any royal or military title, Altekar thinks that ‘the republican traditions were still strong among the Mālavas, and no regal titles were permitted to their rulers even when they had established hereditary dynasties ruling at least for three generations’ (Ep.Ind., XXVII, p. 260). The Mālava (?) chief known from the Barnāla inscription, however, probably adopted a royal title and was also the son of a ‘king’.

⁷⁶ Fleet has identified the era used by the Mālavas with the Vikrama era: CII, III, pp. 66 ff.


⁷⁸ Mānasor stone inscription of Yaśodharman Vishṇuvardhana, Year 589: ibid., p. 154, l. 21.

⁷⁹ Mānasor inscription of Naravarman, Year 461: Ind.Ant., XLII, p. 162.

⁸⁰ CII, III, pp. 87 and 158.

⁸¹ Ind. Ant., XIX, pp. 56-57.

⁸² JRAS 1914, p. 413.
era 'dates from the foundation of the tribal independence of the Mālavas.' But D. R. Bhandarkar has pointed out that the Mālavas had nothing to do with the actual foundation of the era and has taken the expressions to mean 'handed down traditionally by the Mālava tribe' or 'in accordance with the traditional usage of the Mālava tribe.' Fleet has also ultimately accepted the interpretation made by Bhandarkar. Moreover, the literary and archaeological evidences show that the Mālava republic was in existence long before the starting of the era of 58 B. C., and consequently the Mālavas cannot be held responsible for its foundation.

Now, as pointed out by D. C. Sircar, the earliest use of an era was made and popularised by the Scytho-Parthians, and the Mālavas were the earliest Indian people known to have used an era which was later on associated with their name. That the so-called Mālava or Vikrama Samvat corresponds with the ancient reckoning of the Scytho-Parthians is proved by a number of their records dated in the era of 58 B. C. It is, however, curious how the name of the Mālavas came to be associated with this system of reckoning. D. C. Sircar suggests that the Mālavas who originally lived in the Punjab where the Scytho-Parthian era was in use, probably carried it with them to Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh where they finally settled. Just as the Kushāṇa era of 78 A. D. came to be known as the Śaka era due to its long use by the Śaka Satraps of Western India and not because it was founded by a Śaka king, so also the era of 58 B. C. was probably connected with the name of the Mālavas as a result of its long use by them.

The earliest record of the Mālavas dated in this era is the Nāndsā jūpa inscription of Year 282 (224 A. D.). The era was then known as the Kṛita era. The word Kṛita is

---

83 Cf. Ibid.
84 Ind. Ant., XLII, pp. 162-63.
85 JRAS 1914, pp. 745 ff.
86 AIU, p. 125, n. 1.
87 See SI, Nos. 25, 27-28, and 32-36.
88 AIU, p. 125, n. 1. D. C. Sircar holds that the era originated with the accession of Vonones, the first independent Parthian ruler of Drangiana. For other views on the subject, see Ibid., pp. 154 ff.
explained by Fleet as ‘fully complete’. But, according to D. R. Bhandarkar, it “was invented by the people or astronomers for the purpose of reckoning years and was consequently originally known as Kṛita, which means ‘made’.” Scholars like D. C. Sircar believe that the era used by the Mālava ‘came to be known as Kṛita probably after an illustrious Mālava leader of that name, who secured the independence of his tribe from foreign yoke.” When we examine the list of inscriptions dated in the era of 58 B.C., we find that the name Kṛita was usually associated with it up to the fifth century A.D. (Year 480), about which time it was first connected with the name ‘Mālava’ (Year 461). From the eighth century to as late as the twelfth century it was called ‘the era of the Mālava lords or lord’ (Mālavēśānām or Mālav-ēśa-gata-vatsara), or simply as Mālava-kāla. They show, according to Kielhorn, that during this period, ‘this era was by poets believed to be especially used by the princes and people of Mālava’. Since about the eighth century (Year 794), however, the name ‘Vikrama’ came to be gradually associated with the Kṛita-Mālava era.
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90 CII, III, pp. 74 ff. and 253 ff.
91 Ind.Ant., XLII, p. 163.
94 See the Gaṅgdhār stone inscription of Viśavārman, CII, III. p. 75, l. 19; cf. Kielhorn’s “List of Vikrama Dates”, No. 2. The Mengthala (Sirohi District) inscription of Kṛita Year 894 is a later instance of the association of the name Kṛita with the era: see JUHS, New Series, III, pp. 1 ff.
95. See Mandasor inscription of Naravarman: Ind. Ant., XLII, p 162.
96 See the Kaṅgaswa stone inscription of Śivagaṇa, Year 795: ibid. XIX, p. 59, l. 14; cf. Kielhorn’s “List of Vikrama Dates”, No. 7.
97 See the Menālgaṅ stone pillar inscription of the Chāhamāna Prithvirāja, Year 1226: see Kielhorn’s List of the Inscriptions of Northern India, No. 155, Ep. Ind., V, App., p. 23, and cf. JASB 1886, p. 46.
100 Dhiniki (former Okhamandal State, Kathiawar) inscription of Jāikadeva, Year 794: see Bhandarkar’s List of Inscriptions of Northern India, No. 17, Ep. Ind.,
The localities where the earliest inscriptions dated in the Kṛita-Mālava era are found point incidentally to the area occupied by the Mālavas in the Gupta and the post-Gupta periods. The inscriptions are from: Nāndsā in the Udaipur District (Kṛita year 282), Barnāla in the Jaipur District (Kṛita year 284), Baḍvā in the Kotah District (Kṛita year 295), Bijaygarh in the Bharatpur District (Kṛita year 428), Gaṅgdhār in the former Jhālāwār State (Kṛita year 480), Nagari in the Udaipur District (Kṛita year 481), Mandasor (Mālava years 461, 493, 524, 589), Kaṇaswa in the Kotah District (Mālava year 795), and Gyāraspur in the former Gwalior State (Mālava year 936). It is thus seen that inscriptions dated in the Kṛita-Mālava era come from an area comprising the eastern part of Rajasthan and the adjoining portion of Madhya Pradesh. It is also apparent that by the year 461 or 403 A. D. (when the name Mālava is first associated with the era), the Mālavas had moved further southwards from Eastern Rajasthan and finally settled in Avanti (which included the modern Mandasor area), to which they imparted the name Mālava (i.e. Malwa). In the seventh century A. D. the Chinese pilgrims Huen Tsang referred to this area in Madhya Pradesh as Mo-lo-po. Their name has survived not only in a province, but also in a Brāhmaṇa community called ‘Mālavis’, now Sanskritised as ‘Mālaviya’, as pointed out by Jayaswal.  

XIX, App., p. 4. But this inscription is thought to be forged by Bhagawanlal Indraji. The earliest definite association of the name ‘Vikrama’ to this era is, however, found in the Dholpur stone inscription of the Chāhamāna Chaṇḍamahāsena of the Year 898, in which it is used somewhat vaguely as ‘the time called Vikrama’ : ibid., XIV, p. 95, No. 57 ; cf. Kielhorn’s “List of Vikrama Dates”, No. 10. For the gradual connection of the name ‘Vikrama’ with the era, see ibid., XX, p. 404, and cf. AIU, p. 125, n. 1, pp. 154 ff, and p. 164, n. 1.  

101 Cf. Ind. Ant., XX, pp. 401-04. 
102 For Nāndsā and Barnāla inscriptions and Mandasor inscription of Year 461 see notes 69, 74 and 95 above. See for Baḍvā inscriptions SI, pp. 92 ff, for Nagari inscription, Bhandarkar’s List, No. 5, and for Mandasor inscription of Year 524, ibid., No 7. For the rest see Kielhorn’s “List of Vikrama Dates”, Ind. Ant. XX, pp. 125 f, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 14. 
103 AGI, pp. 561 ff. 
CHAPTER XII

THE ĀRJUNĀYANAS, MAUKHARIS, ŚIBIS AND UDDEHIKAS

1. THE ĀRJUNĀYANAS

The Ārjunāyanas probably derived their name either from the Pāṇḍava prince Arjuna or from the Haihaya king of that name.¹ They are mentioned neither by Pāṇini nor by Patañjali, nor in the Mahābhārata; but the Gaṇapātha seems to have included them at a later time in a group along with the Rājanyas.² McCrindle identifies the Ārjunāyanas with the Agalasseis of Diodorus, who had a great fight with Alexander during his retreat.³ But it may be pointed out that the Agalasseis are placed by the Greek writers close to the Śibis of the Jhang area, whereas the Ārjuuāyanas known from coins are located in Rajasthan. Varāhamihira places the Ārjunāyanas in the Northern Division, always in association with the Yaudheyas.⁴ The most important evidence of the existence of the tribe as an independent political community is furnished by their coins as well as by the Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta, which couples them with the Mālavas, Yaudheyas, Madrakas and other frontier tribes.⁵

The Ārjunāyana coins are of the following two types:⁶

1. Obv. Bull to left on hill (?)
   Rev. Standing female figure (Lakshmi ?) between a sacrificial post to left and a tree-in-railing to right.

2. Obv. Elephant to right before tree-in-railing.
   Rev. Bull to right before jūpa or sacrificial post.
   Brāhmi legend: A[r]junaṇaṇāṇa jaya (i.e. Ārjunāyanaṇāṇa jayaḥ).

---

² Gaṇapātha on Pāṇini, IV, 1. 112; cf. HP, Part I, p. 154, and n. 1.
⁴ Brihatsamhitā, XIV. 25. They are mentioned in four other places: cf. Ind. Ant., XXII, pp. 173, 194.
⁵ SI, p. 357, l. 22.
⁶ Type 1: BMC (Af), p. 121, Pl. XIV, 10; Type 2: ibid., Pl. XIV, 11; cf. IMC, p. 166, No. 2 Pl. XX. 10.
While the figure (Lakshmi?) on the reverse of Type 1 closely resembles that on the Mathurā or the Rājanya coins, the object before the bull (S 65) on the reverse of Type 2 has a striking similarity with that which occurs before the bull (S 64) on the Yaudheya coins of Class 2. The object before the bull has been variously described by different scholars. Rapson describes it as a ‘sacrificial post within railing’, while Smith takes it as a ‘railing with curved object rising from it.’ Allan is not sure of the object; he describes it as a lingā (?), in connection with the Ārjunāyana coins, but takes the similar object as ‘a sacrificial post? (yūpa)’ on the Yaudheya coins. J.N. Banerjea has, however, shown that the object is really a yūpa or a sacrificial post, and finds in the device ‘bull before yūpa’—“a laconic representation of the Sūlagava sacrifice, so elaborately described in the Āsvalāyana Grihyasūtra, IV. 8 (sūtra 14 or 15).” He also points out that, according to the text just referred to, a branch of tree may sometimes serve the purpose of the yūpa, and suggest that the device ‘bull before tree in railing’ also refers to the same sacrifice.

The legend, Ā[r]junāyanāna jaya (Ārjunāyanānāṁ jayaḥ) is comparable to those on the Mālava and the Yaudheya coins, which read, Mālava-gaṇasya jayaḥ and Yaudheya-gaṇasya jayaḥ respectively—with the only difference that the word gaṇa does not occur on the Ārjunāyana coins. It may in this connection be noted that the three tribes—the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas and Yaudheyas—are mentioned together in the Allahabad praśasti of Samudragupta.

From the order in which the Ārjunāyanas are mentioned in the above inscription, Cunningham came to the conclusion that they ‘may have occupied some part of Rajputana, to the North of Malwa and to the South of Madrada or Madra.’ He also suggested that Ajudhan on the bank of the old Sutlej river
may still preserve some trace of their name.\textsuperscript{14} Cunningham, however, classed the Ārjunāyana coins with those of Mathurā as they are procurable in that city and as the standing figure of Lakshmi (?) on coins of Type I bears some similarity with that on the Mathurā coins. Smith places the Ārjunāyanas in “the region between the Mālava and Yaudheya territories, or, roughly speaking, the Bharatpur and Alwar States, west of Agra and Mathurā, the principal seat of the Northern Satraps.”\textsuperscript{15} Allan likewise locates them ‘within the triangle Delhi-Jaipur-Agra.’\textsuperscript{16}

According to Jayaswal, the Ārjunāyanas were ‘a younger political community founded about the Sūga time (200 B.C.).’\textsuperscript{17} Both Smith and Allan assign the Ārjunāyana coins about 100 B.C.\textsuperscript{18} Now, the similarity of the devices on the Ārjunāyana coins of Type 2 with those on the Yaudheya coins of Class 2 (assigned by Allan to the late second and first centuries B.C.),\textsuperscript{19} as pointed out above, suggests that the Ārjunāyana coins were of about the same period as the Yaudheya coins of Class 2, and hence may not be much later than the first century B.C. On the other hand, the legends on the Ārjunāyana coins, which are nearly Sanskrit, like those on the earlier Mālava coins, indicate that the Ārjunāyana coins are not likely to have been issued before the first century B.C. We may thus hold with D. C. Sircar that the Ārjunāyanas began to ‘grow powerful with the gradual decline of the Indo-Greek power about the middle of the first century B.C.’\textsuperscript{20}

The rarity of Ārjunāyana coins shows that the political independence of the tribe was probably not of long duration. As their territory lay close to the Mathurā Satrapy of the Šakas, and as their coins of a date later than the first century B.C. are not known, it appears that they were subdued by the Sakas of Mathurā about the first century A.D.\textsuperscript{21}

The mention of the Ārjunāyanas in the Allahabad praalasti of Śamudragupta, however, shows that they regained some

---

14 *CAI*, p. 90.
15 *JRAS* 1897, p. 886; cf. also *IMC*, p. 190.
16 *BMC (AI)*, p. lxxxi.
19 *BMC (AI)*, p. cliii.
20 *AIU*, p. 163.
21 Cf. ibid.
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political importance after the decline of the Śakas of Mathurā and the Kushānas, although they are not known to have issued any coins during the post-Kushāna period. Altekar suggests that they, like the Kuṇindas of a later time, merged with the Vaudheyas during this period, and gave up the right of coinage. But as the Ārjunāyanas are mentioned separately from the Vaudheyas in the above inscription, they do not appear to have lost their internal autonomy altogether. It may again be pointed out that the Madras, another tribe referred to in the same inscription along with the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas and Vaudheyas are not also known to have issued any coins.

2. The Maukharis of the Bādvā Area

Four jūpa inscriptions from Bādvā in the Kotah District reveal the existence of some Maukharī ruling families in the area during the post-Kushāna period. Three of these inscriptions are dated in the Kṛita year 295 (237 A.D.) and record the performance of the Trirātra sacrifice by each of the three brothers, Bālavardhāṇa, Somadeva and Balasimha, sons of Maukharī Mahāsenāpati Bala. The fourth inscription is not dated, but on palaeographical grounds, is placed about the same period (i.e. the third century A.D.). It commemorates the performance of the Aptoryāma sacrifice by Dhanutrātā, son of Hastin of the Maukharī clan.

Since the title Mahāsenāpati at that time denoted a feudatory position, Altekar holds that the Maukharī family of Bala might have owed allegiance either to the Śaka Kshatrapas of Ujjain or to the Nāgas of Padmāvatī, while D.C. Sircar suggests that they were probably feudatories of the Mālavas. The fact that these Maukharīs used the Kṛita (or Mālava) era appears to lend support to Dr. Sircar's view. Apparently, none of the sons of Bala had attained the position of his father during the time of the sacrifice performed by him. Neither Dhanutrātā nor his

22 JUPHS, XVI, p. 56.
24 Ibid., XXIV, pp. 251 ff.
25 NHIP, VI, pp. 40, 41.
26 In SI, p. 93, n. 1, D. C. Sircar suggested that Bala may have been subordinate to the Śaka king of Ujjain. But in AIU, p. 164, he thought it probable that Bala owed his allegiance to the Mālava republic.
father Hastin, known from the fourth inscription, bears any feudatory or military title like Bala. The family of Hastin seems, therefore, not to have risen to much importance as that of Bala at the time of the incision of the inscription. Both the families appear to be Kshatriyas and great patrons of Vedic sacrifices. 27

From the evidence of these inscriptions, Altekar holds that there were probably several Maukharī families settled in the Baḍvā area during the third century A.D. and that they were probably scions of one and the same stock, 28 although the records themselves do not suggest any relationship existing between the families of Bala and Hastin. It is not, however, known if these Maukharī families had any connection with the house of Vajñavarman ruling in Bihar about the fourth century A.D. 29 or the better-known Maukharī family of Kanauj (of the sixth and seventh centuries A.D.). The Gaya seal bearing the legend Mokhalīnam discovered by Cunningham 30 is the earliest Maukharī document so far known, although it bears no personal name. D. C. Sircar suggests that the Maukharīs migrated from Rajasthan to U.P. and Bihar and that it was they who carried the use of the Kṛita, Mālava or Vikrama era to those areas (PIHC 1952, pp. 371-74).

Altekar suggests that the Maukharīs whom Mayūraśarmān, the founder of the Kadamba house claimed to have defeated in his Chandravalli inscription ‘were some of the descendants of Bala’. 31 For according to him, the family of Bala was feudatory to the Śakas of Ujjain and as Mayūraśarmān came into conflict with the Śakas, ‘a portion of whose forces were under the command of their Maukharī feudatories of Central India’, the Kadamba king claimed victory over the Maukharīs as well. But this view seems to be extremely hypothetical.

3. The Śibis

The Śibis are a people of great antiquity. They seem to have claimed their descent from Śibi, one of the five sons of

28 Ep. Ind., XXIV, p. 252.
29 Cf. ibid., XXIII, p. 46.
30 Cf. CH, III, Intr., p. 14. The legend is said to be ‘in Aśoka characters’.
31 Ep. Ind., XXIII, p. 48. For the inscription, see SI, p. 449.
Uśīnara, a descendant of Anu. The are probably to be identified with the Śivas who are mentioned in the Rigveda (VII. 18. 7), along with other tribes defeated by king Sudāsa. A 'Śaibya' (king of the Śibis ?) is mentioned in the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa (VIII. 23). The Buddhist legend makes one Śibi king ideal for self-sacrifice. "The Jātakas mention a Śivi country and its cities Aritoṭhapura and Jetuttara." Patañjali in his commentary on Pāṇini (IV. 2. 2.) speaks of a place called Śivapura situated in the northern country, most probably to be identified with the capital of the Śivas mentioned in the Rigveda. The Purāṇas also refer to a Śiva (Śibi)-deśa and to the Śivapauras who are identified as the inhabitants of Śivapura. In the Sabhaparvan of the Mahābhārata, the Śibis are once (Ch. LII. 11) mentioned along with the Yaudheyas and Trigartas as paying tribute to Yudhishṭhira and placed in the Punjab, while in another place (Ch.XXXII) they are coupled with the Mālavas and the Trigartas as being conquered by Nakula and are placed in Rajasthan. Varāhamihira places the Śibis in the north along with the Mālavas and the people of Taxila (Bṛihatsahhitā, XVI. 26), and also with the Ārjunāyanas and Yaudheyas (Ibid., XVII. 19). The Śibis are mentioned by the Greek historians as 'Siboi' or 'Sobii', who are described by them as rude folks clad with the skins of wild beasts and armed with clubs.

---

32 According to the Mahābhārata, the Anukramaṇi and several Jātakas, Śibi was one of the five sons of king Uśīnara. Cf. PHAI, p. 66 and n. 9; CASR, XIV, p. 145; and Wilson’s Vishṇupurāṇa, p. 444, n. 7 According to the genealogies given by the Hariśaṭṭha and Matyapuruṣa, as pointed out by Pargiter, ‘various Panjab races claimed descent from Uśīnara, but the name Uśīnara was especially appropriated to the descendants of Śivi (Vana-P., cxxxi. 10582)’: Mārk. P., p. 377, n.

33 See Vedic Index, II, pp. 381-82; cf. PHAI, p. 253 and notes 2 and 3.

34 Cf. PHAI, p. 253, n. 3 and BMC (AI), p. cxxiv.


36 Cf. PHAI, p. 253 and notes 4 and 5; also HP, Part I, p. 68.

37 Cf. PHAI, p. 253 and n. 6. Both Kātyāyana and Patañjali mention the country of the Śibis (on Pāṇini, IV. 2. 52); cf. HP, Part I, pp. 68 and 75.

38 Cf. GAMI, p. 61 and n. 9 and p. 203.


41 See McCrindle, IIA, p. 366. It was Lassen who identified the Siboi of the Greeks with the Śibis: cf. Ep. Ind., XVI, p. 16.
The coins of the Śibis usually bear on the obverse two symbols, viz. a svastika with a taurine at each prong (S 61) and a tree rising from a small circle (S 62), and on the reverse a six-tiered mountain (S 1) with a nandipada (S 53) above and a wavy line below. The reverse symbols have some similarity with their counterparts on the Kulūta and Kuṇinda coins. The legends as occurring on the obverse appear to be of two varieties: (1) Śibi-janapadasa, and (2) Majhamikāya Śibi-janapadasa. A coin with the legend of variety 1 has on its obverse only the tree (S 62), while the svastika (S 61) occurs on the reverse in place of the three other symbols.

The purport of the legend on the Śibi coins (of variety 2) was not at first properly recognised. Carleyle read it as Majhimika-Yashi vjanapadasa and explained it fancifully. Cunningham read it as Majhimikāya Śibi-janapadasa and rendered it as “Coin of the Majhimikā (i.e. Madhyamikā) country of Śibi.” He however, took Majhimikā or Madhyamikā as the ‘middle country’ and thought that there were three divisions of the Śibi country, of which the middle one was denoted by the coins. Allan read Majhamikā (Madhyamikā) in place of Majhimikā and took it to mean ‘the Middle Country’ which, however, he distinguished from Madhyadesa. It was Kielhorn who first identified Madhyamikā (i.e. Majhamikā of coins) with a place of that name, mentioned by Patañjali. Bhandarkar also pointed out that Majhamikā or Madhyamikā of the coin-legend was in reality the name of the janapada to which the Śibi coins belonged. Jāyaswal likewise thought that the coins were struck in the

42. See BMC (AT), p. cxxiv.
43. Cf. ibid., and Pl.XVI. 4 (Kulūta) and Pl. XXII. I (Kuṇinda).
44. Cf. JNSI, IX, pp. 82 ff.; and MASi, No. 4, p. 149, Nos. 17-21.
45. Ibid., Pl. V. 6.
47. Ibid., XIV, p. 147. Cunningham at first interpreted the legend as “(Coin) of the Madhyamikayas of the country of Śibi”, thus taking Madhyamikā as the name of the people and Śibi, the name of the country: cf. ibid., VI, p. 203.
50. He thinks that Madhyamikā was the name of both the province and its principal town: cf. Carmichael Lectures, 1919, p. 173, n. 3 and ibid., 1921, p. 13.
name of 'the Country (or Nation) of the Śibis of Madhyamikā' taking Madhyamikā as the name of the capital of the Śibis.\textsuperscript{51}

Madhyamikā is known from literary as well as epigraphic sources. Patañjali refers to the siege of Madhyamikā by the Yavanaś (arunād Yavanaḥ Sāketam, arunād Yavano Madhyamikām).\textsuperscript{52} The Mahābhārata (Sabhāparvan, Ch. XXXII, Digvijaya section) refers to the Madhyamakeyas (i.e. people of Madhyamikā) along with the Dāśārṇas.\textsuperscript{53} Varāhamihira also mentions the Madhyamikas as a people of the Central Division of India.\textsuperscript{54} The Barli fragmentary inscription of the reign of king Bhāgavata records the donation of an inhabitant of Madhyamikā (Majhimikā).\textsuperscript{55} Two other fragmentary inscriptions from Chitorār (of about the first half of the sixth century A.D.) refer to the pious deeds of a governor of Daśapura and Madhyama, i.e. Madhyamikā.\textsuperscript{56} Madhyamāpurī, apparently the same as Madhyama, is also mentioned in the Kumārapālalacharitrasahagraha, in connection with the wanderings of the Chaulukya king Kumārapāla (1145-71 A.D.).\textsuperscript{57}

Kielhorn identified Majhamikā or Madhyamikā with Nagarī or Tambavati Nagarī (near Chitorār) wherefrom most of the Śibi coins procured by Carllyle came.\textsuperscript{58} The site of this ancient city is situated about eleven miles north of Chitor and on the right or east bank of the Birach or Bairish river, in Mewar or the old Udaipur State.\textsuperscript{59} The Kumārapālalacharitrasahagraha also locates Madhyamāpurī (i.e. Madhyamikā) at a distance of three krośas or about seven miles from Chitrakūṭa or Chitorār.\textsuperscript{60} The antiquity of Tambavati Nagarī is proclaimed by the discovery of

\textsuperscript{51} HP, Part I, p. 153, and n. 3. D. C. Sircar also translated the legend as 'coin of the Śibi state struck at Madhyamikā : see AIU, p. 160, n. 4.

\textsuperscript{52} See Ind. Ant., VII, pp. 266-67.

\textsuperscript{53} Cf. HP, Part I, p. 155; also Mahābhārata, Ch. VII, verses 7-8 : cf. Ep. Ind., XXXIV, p. 58.

\textsuperscript{54} Brihatsamhitā, XIV, 2 : cf. Ind. Ant., XXII, p. 183.

\textsuperscript{55} JBR, XXXVII, pp. 34 ff.

\textsuperscript{56} Ep. Ind., XXXIV, pp. 55 ff.

\textsuperscript{57} Cf. Jour. Or. Inst. (Baroda), X, pp. 150-181.

\textsuperscript{58} Cf. Kielhorn, Ind. Ant., VII, pp. 266-67, Carllyle found 7 Śibi coins at Nagarī and 2 at Chitor (CASR, VI, p. 200), while D. R. Bhandarkar obtained 4 Śibi coins from Nagarī (MASI, No. 4, p. 122). Another coin is recorded from private collection (ibid., p. 149). The coins published in JNSI, IX, pp. 82 ff. were also found 'near Chitor'.

\textsuperscript{59} CASR, VI, p. 196.

\textsuperscript{60} Cf. Jour. Or. Inst., X, p. 181.
a number of ancient punch-marked coins and five Brāhmi inscriptions from that site.  

According to Cunningham, the country of the Siboi (of the Greek writers) ‘must have comprised the great central tract lying between Lahor and Multan.’ McCrindle holds that ‘the Sibi inhabited a district between the Hydaspes and the Indus, and their capital stood at a distance of about thirty miles from the former river, and, as appears from Diodorus, above its confluence with the Akesines.’ Smith locates the country of the Śibis near Jhang, ‘probably to the north and north-east of the place.’ This location is also supported by an inscription found at Shorkot near Jhang, which mentions Śibipura, most probably to be identified with Patañjali’s Śibipura, capital of the Śibis. It has been pointed out by Vogel that the mound of Shorkot (which yielded large finds of ancient coins and some moulded bricks bearing short ancient inscriptions) marks the site of ancient Śibipura, the capital of the Śibis. According to local tradition recorded by Cunningham, ‘the original name of the place was Shivanagari or Sheopur, which was gradually contracted to Shor’, to which the word ‘kot’ (i.e. fort) was later added. The Shorkot inscription mentioning Śibipura shows that local tradition has preserved the old name nearly in its correct form. The inscription is dated in year 83 of some era, taken by Vogel to be the Gupta era, which will make the date of the inscription correspond to 402-3 A.D. This shows that even at the beginning of the fifth century A.D. Shorkot was known by its ancient name of Śibipura.

We thus see that the Śibis who issued coins from their headquarters at Madhyamikā (Nagari) near Chitor cannot be

61 Cf. CASR, VI, p. 200; JASB 1887, pp. 74 ff., and MASI, No. 4, pp. 119 ff.
62 CASR, XIV, p. 145.
63 IIA, p. 366.
64 JRAI 1903, p. 689.
67 JPHS, I, p. 174; Ep. Ind., XVI, p. 16.
68 Cf. CASR, V, 100. Cunningham states that according to the local Hindus, the fort was built by a Hindu Rājā named Shor: ibid., p. 98.
70 Ibid., p. 15.
identified with the Śibis of Śibipura (Shorkot) of the Jhang area. The same problem as in the case of the Mālavas, therefore, arises with the Śibis: while most of the literary references, supported by the Shorkot inscription of Year 83 point to the Punjab as their original home, their coins indicate the Chitorgaṛh area as the field of their political activities. It is possible that the Śibis, like the Mālavas, dwelt earlier in the Punjab with their capital at Śibipura (Shorkot) but later on gradually migrated southwards to Rajasthan where we find them issuing coins from the mint of Madhyamikā (Nagari).

Cunningham shows that 'there was apparently another Śibi to the east of the Satlej, which was the country of the famous Prince Sudatta, the hero of Wessantara Jātaka.' He identifies Jayatura or Jetuttura, capital of this Śibi country, with Chitor, taking it as identical with Jitur, Jetur or Jattaur of Muhammedan writers. He finds support for his view by the discovery of some Śibi coins from Chitor also. Altekar, however, doubts if Chitor was identical with Jetur or Jayatur or Jetuttura. On the other hand, he thinks that Jetuttura may be the same as Jyeshṭhapura of some coins of the fourth or fifth century A.D., obtained from Indore, and identifies it with Jaitapurā, a village 34 miles to the east of Nagari. But this identification leads to the supposition that Madhyamikā (Nagari) was not the Śibi capital, which is contrary to the evidence of coins. 'Jetuttara', as suggested by D.C. Sircar, 'seems to have been a locality in the Punjab.'

Allan assigns the Śibi coins to the second century B.C. But D.C. Sircar holds that the script used on the Śibi coins 'cannot be earlier than the latter half of the first century B.C.' It thus appears that the Śibis in the course of their migration from the Punjab had settled in the Chitorgaṛh area by the first century B.C. at the latest.

As already noted, Patañjali (early second century B.C.) refers to the siege of Madhyamikā by the Yavanas. There is,

71 Cf. HP, Part I, pp. 77 and 153.
72 See CAŠR, VI, p. 205, and ibid., XIV, pp. 145-46.
73 JNSI, XIV, p. 5, PI. I. 3-4 (coins), and p. 7 (identification).
74 BMCAI, p. cxxv.
75 AIU, p. 160, n. 4.
however, no means to ascertain if Madhyamikā was by that
time occupied by the Šibis. From the mention of the Šibis
along with the Madhyamakeyas in the Mahābhārata (Sabhāparvan,
Ch.XXXII, 1190), Jayaswal points out that ‘Madhyamikā had
not yet passed under the Šibis and was at the time the seat
of a separate political community.’ The Barli fragmentary
inscription of about the second half of the second century B.C.,
which refers to an inhabitant of Madhyamikā, does not also
help us to know if the Šibis had settled there at that time.

Again, three copies of an inscription have been found at
Ghosūṇḍī, Hāthibāḍā and a place between Ghosūṇḍī and Bassi
(all situated in and near Nagarī), which record the construction of
a stone enclosure around the place of worship of Saṁkarṣaṇa
and Vāsudeva, as well as the performance of Aivamedha sacrifice
by king Pārśāśriputra Sarvatāta of the Gājāyana family.
These inscriptions are assigned to about the second half of
the first century B.C. by D.C. Sircar who takes Sarvatāta as a local
ruler. Now, from the evidence of the Šibi coins it appears that
the Šibis were in occupation of the Nagarī area about the same
period. It is, however, very doubtful if king Sarvatāta can
be regarded as belonging to the Šibi clan, since the inscriptions
which otherwise give details as to his family and gotra do not
specifically say so. If king Sarvatāta was not a Šibi, are we
to hold that the Šibis of Madhyamikā came under the sway of a
different ruling family about the end of the first century B.C.?
Whatever may be the case, the absence of the Šibi coins of a
later date indicates that they ultimately lost their independence,
most probably to the Śaka Satraps of Western India, although
Madhyamikā seems to have flourished till the sixth century A.D.,
as known from Varāhamihira and the Chitorgarh inscriptions.

76 HP, Part I, p. 155.
77 See JASB 1887, pp. 74 ff.; MASI, No. 4, pp. 119 ff.; and Ep. Ind., XVI, pp.
25 ff.; and ibid., XXII, pp. 198 ff. Of the two other inscriptions found at Nagarī,
one is of about the fourth century A.D. and records the erection of a jūpa for the
celebration of a Vājaþeya sacrifice by at least three brothers whose names are lost.
The other inscription records the erection of a temple of Vīshṇu by three Bania
brothers: see MASI, No. 4, pp. 120-21.
78 SI, p. 92, n. 1. Johnston took Sarvatāta as the epithet of the king and
identified him with the Sātvāhana king Sātakarṇi I who is known to have twice
performed the Aivamedha sacrifice (see Ind. Ant., LXI, p. 204), while J. C. Ghosh took
him to be a Kāñva king and found traces of the name Susarman in the inscription
(IHQ, IX, pp. 795 ff.).
A few more Śibi settlements other than those of the Punjab and Madhyamikā are, however, known from literary sources. The *Mahābhārata* refers to a country (rāṣṭra) of the Śibis near the Yamuna, which was ruled by king Uśinara.\(^{79}\) This reference led H.C. Raychaudhuri to think that the Uśinara country which is placed in Madhyadesa by the *Aitareya Brāhmaṇa* might have been at one time the home of the Śibis.\(^{80}\) Pargiter also points out that in the *Mahābhārata* the Śibis are sometimes grouped with the Trigartas, Madras, and other Punjab nations, and sometimes with the Kurs, Śūrasenas and Matsyas, 'that is, with all the nations which surrounded Brahmāvarta', and that 'their territory was near the Jumna'. 'The Śivis or Uśinara', according to him, 'therefore appear to have possessed the country at the upper part of the Sarasvati, Drishadvati and Jumna, from Saharanpur to Pattiala'.\(^{81}\) As referred to by Cunningham, the French traveller Thevenot, in his account of the dominions of the Emperor of Delhi, mentions Śība as one of 'the most northern countries that belong to the Great Mogul'. Cunningham identifies this 'Śiba' with 'a district on the Ganges, of which the chief city, according to Terry, was Hardware (or Haridwāra), where the river Ganges, passing through or amongst large rocks, makes presently after a pretty full current.'\(^{82}\) The Śibis are also located in Śind, and according to the *Daśakumāracharita*, on the banks of the Kāverī.\(^{83}\) The latter Śibis of the south are 'probably to be identified with the Chola ruling family', as suggested by H.C. Raychaudhuri.\(^{84}\)

The Jātakas and the *Mahābhārata* give a monarchical constitution to the Śibis\(^{85}\) who are probably to be identified with the Śibis of Madhyadesa. Diodorus's reference to the Śibis of the Punjab probably indicates that they were a republican people; for, as he informs us, when Alexander encamped near their capital, 'the citizens who filled the highest offices' came forth to

---

\(^{79}\) PHAI\(^{3}\), p. 253.

\(^{80}\) Cf. ibid., pp. 65 and 253.


\(^{82}\) *AGI*, pp. 160-61.

\(^{83}\) Cf. PHAI\(^{3}\), pp. 253 and Ep. Ind., XVI, p. 16.

\(^{84}\) PHAI\(^{3}\), p. 223, n. 12; also cf. AIU, p. 160, n. 4.

\(^{85}\) For the Jātakas, cf. *HP*, Part I, p. 64, n. 5; and for the *Mahābhārata*, see PHAI\(^{3}\), p. 253.
meet him. The Śibis of Rajasthan, who are known from their coins, appear also to have had a republican form of government, since the coin-legends refer only to their janapada, not to any particular chief.

4. THE UDDEHIKAS AND SUDAVAPAS (?)

Coins with the appellations ‘Udehaki’ and ‘Sudavapa’ written before issuers’ names are rather rare. Before the discovery of one Udéhaki and twelve Sudavapa coins from excavations at Raírh in Jaipur, only two coins with the appellation “Udehaki” were known. Rapson interpreted the term Udéhaki (Odehaki) or Audéhaki as ‘(the prince) of the Udéhikas’, thereby taking Udéhika as the name of a tribe. The legend is comparable to those on the Kulāta and Kuñinda coins which apply the appellation ‘Kulāta’ or ‘Kauñinda’ to the issuer’s name.

The people known as Audéhikas, Audéhikas or Udéhikas are mentioned by Varāhamihira, and their country is located in Madhyadeśa. Al-Bīrūnī, writing in the eleventh century, states that the country of the Udéhikas lay near Bazān or Narāna, the capital of Guzerat, 112 miles to the south-west of Kanauj. According to Abu Rihan, Narāna or Bazān was called Nārāyan by the Musalmans, which Cunningham identified with Nārāyanpur, the capital of Bairāt or Vairāt, situated in the Alwar State, 10 miles north-east of Vairat itself. Cunningham took Guzerat of Al-Bīrūnī as an error for Bairāt. But D.C. Ganguly identifies Guzerat of Al-Bīrūnī with Gurjaratā, known from the Daulatpur and Khajuraho inscriptions as situated in the north-eastern parts of Rajasthan. According to him, Nārāyan in Alwar was situated in the kingdom of Gurjara.

S.K. Dikshit, on the other hand, identifies the Narāna of Al-Bīrūnī with Naraina, a town 70 miles south-west of Vairat in Sambhar District of the former Jaipur State. He thinks that

87 See BMC(AT), pp. cxli, and 240; and ER, pp. 51 ff.
88 JRAS 1900, p. 99.
89 Brihatasambhitā, XIV, 3; cf. Ind. Ant., XXII, p. 192.
92 JBORS, XXIV, p. 229.
Bazāna probably represents a corrupt form of the Sanskrit Bhādānaka, mentioned by Rājaśekhara, which is again, probably an earlier Prākrit form of Vāṣadhānām, referred to in the Purāṇas and the Mahābhārata. As Uddehika coins are found at Rairh, Dikshit identifies the capital of the Uddehikas with Bari Udai in the Gangapur Tahsil of the former Jaipur State, which is about 40 miles north-east of Rairh and about 92 miles south-east of Naraina (identified with Bazāna). D. C. Sircar, however, identifies Bazāna, the capital of Gujarat (i.e. the Gurjara country) with modern Bayāna in the Bharatpur District.

As to the meaning of the term ‘Sudavapa’, K.N. Dikshit takes it as a title or a family name, while S.K. Dikshit thinks that it is a place-name. According to V.S. Agrawala, Sudavapa has been formed by adding ‘Su’ prefix to Udavapa or Udvapa, found amongst place-names in Pāṇini, and is identical with Udavapa. Nothing, however, can be said definitely on this point until further evidence is forthcoming.

The Uddehika coins are square and of two types, one bearing the legend Udehaki alone, and the other, Udehaki Suyamitara. The obverse of both the types bears three symbols, viz. the Ujjain symbol (S 27), a tank with two fishes (S 63) and a tree-in-railing (S 12). The reverse of Type 1 has a tree-in-railing laid on its side over a bull to right, while that of type 2 has an elephant to left with traces of other symbols, countermarked with the triangle-headed-standard (S 3).

The ‘Sudavapa’ coins, which are also of square shape, bear the names of two rulers, Sūryamitra and Dhruvamitra. The obverse of Sūryamitra’s coins has a bull to left with three symbols above (S 3, S 18 and S 27) and the legend to be read from outside: (above) Sudavapa (left) Suyamitasa. The reverse depicts in all four symbols, a meandering river with fishes, with a solar

94 *AIU*, p. 159, n. 3.
95 *JNSI*, III, p. 48.
96 *ER*, p. 52.
97 *JNSI*, IV, p. 48.
98 *BMC(Al)*, p. 240, Pl. XXXV. 16.
99 Ibid., Pl. XXXV. 17; also *ER*, p. 65, Pl. XXVI. 9.
symbol or star and six-arched mountain symbol (cf. S I) above and another symbol (S 54) below.100 Sudavapa Dhruvamitra’s coins, although of several varieties, bear most of the symbols seen on ‘Sudavapa’ Sûryamitra’s coins.101

According to Rapson, the Uddehika coins belong to the third century B.C.,102 while Allan assigns them to the early second century B.C.103 D. C. Sircar thinks that they are assignable to the second half of the first century B.C.104 This seems to be in keeping with the date of other coins of similar nature, viz. those of the Audumbara, Kulûta, Kuñinda and Vemaki tribes.

The Sudavapa coins, which are similar in shape and fabric to the Uddehika pieces, are only larger and heavier105 and finer in execution. They seem also to belong to the same period. The two series are again interconnected by symbols. The Ujjain symbol occurs on both the series, while the triangle-headed-standard which appears on the obverse of the Sudavapa coins is countermarked on the reverse of the Uddehika pieces. Again, ‘the tank with two fishes’ on the obverse of the Uddehika coins finds its substitute in the ‘meandering river with fishes’ on their Sudavapa counterparts. It is thus probable that the districts of circulation of these two series of coins were very close to each other. If the Uddehika coins belong to the Bayânâ region, the place of origin of the Sudavapa coins might have been somewhere between Bayânâ and Rairh where they were found in course of excavations.

It may be noted that the name ‘Sûryamitra’ occurs on the coins of both the Uddehika and Sudavapa series. But inspite of the similarities of these coins, it is not possible to determine if the Sûryamitras of the two series were identical, since the significance of the appellation ‘Sudavapa’ is not known.

The name Sûryamitra, again, occurs on coins of Kanauj,

102 JRAS 1900, p. 100.
103 BMCAI, p. cxlii.
104 AIU, p. 159, n. 3.
105 Cf. JNSI, III, p. 47.
Mathurā and Pañchāla.\textsuperscript{106} While the Kanauj coins have some symbols (S3, S12 and S27) in common with the Uddehika or Sudavapa coins, those of Mathurā and Pañchāla have practically nothing in common with them. And inspite of the similarity of symbols between the Kanauj and Uddehika-Sudavapa pieces, the ‘Udehaki’ and ‘Sudavapa’ Sūryamitrās seem to be distinguished by their respective appellations from all the other Sūryamitrās. Similarly, ‘Sudavapa’ Dhruvamitra may also be distinguished from his Pañchāla namesake.\textsuperscript{107}

The occupation of the Mathurā region by the Śakas at the beginning of the first century A.D. probably accounts for the decline of the powers of the Uddehika-Sudavapa rulers, whose coins of a later date are not known.

\textsuperscript{106} Cf. \textit{BMC(Al)}, p. 147, Pl. XIX. 12 (Kanauj); ibid., p. 174, Pl. XXV. 17 (Mathurā); and ibid., p. 193, Pl. XXVII. 8 (Pañchāla).

\textsuperscript{107} Ibid., p. 199, Pl. XXVII. 7.
CHAPTER XIII
THE NĀGAS

1. THE NĀGA CULT AND THE NĀGAS

The Nāgas are a mysterious people around whom has grown up a number of legends and myths, which indicate their great power and culture. The Vedic, Epic, Puranic as well as Buddhist and Jaina literatures speak of such mythical Nāgas. As a real people also, they are known from early as well as medieval writers. The wide distribution of their habitations all over India is revealed not only from literary, numismatic and epigraphic records, but also from the naming after them of several localities in different parts of India (e.g. Nāgapura, Uragapura, Nāgarakhaṇḍa, Ahikshetra or Ahiṣchhatra, etc.) as well as of numerous families, including a number of ruling dynasties, having "nāga" as a part of their names.¹

The origin of the Nāgas is shrouded in obscurity and offers one of the complicated problems of the history of ancient India. According to some scholars, the Nāgas were originally serpent-worshippers, and from the cult-name were afterwards themselves known as Nāgas.² L. B. Keny, however, disputes this view and holds that although the Nāgas existed even in very early times, serpent-worship as such was not known at that time and came into vogue only in the Kushāṇa period—somewhere about the latter half of the first century A.D.³ But it has been pointed out by K. K. Gupta that snake-worship was prevalent in India even during the period of Mohenjodaro and other Indus valley cultures.⁴ Snake-worship, therefore, seems to have originated with the non-Aryan people before the advent of the Aryans in India. The Rgveda reflects a spirit of conflict between the non-Aryan snake-worshippers and the Aryans who depict the snake-god (Ahi-Vṛitra) as an evil spirit and the enemy of the Aryan god Indra. But the Aryans could not long

---

¹ Cf. AIU, p. 168.
³ JBORS, XXVIII, pp. 152 ff.
⁴ PIHC 1939, p. 224.
resist this non-Aryan influence on their religion and the snake-god was gradually absorbed into their pantheon, the difference being that while the non-Aryans associated the snake mostly with the earth and its oceans, the Aryans regarded it more as an atmospheric than a water god and soon included him amongst other atmospheric deities. The snake-god was thus associated with Agni and Rudra, and the importance given to his association with Rudra probably gave rise to 'the amalgamation of one aspect of the Nāga cult with Saivism'.

The Nāga cult had an appreciable influence on the most important religious sects of the early period, viz. Buddhism, Jainism, Bhagavatism and Saivism. In sculptures we often find the nāga or the serpent protecting or doing homage to the supreme beings of the different religions. This is but a subtle attempt at introducing the popular Nāga cult into the respective religious sects. Thus, the Buddha is depicted as being protected by the king of serpents (Nāgarāja), who ultimately became his follower, and the nāgas or snakes are very often shown as worshipping the Buddha. Similarly, the figure of Pārśvanātha, the Jaina Tirthaṅkara, is always seen as being protected by a multi-hooded serpent. Vishṇu, the divine hero of the Bhāgavatas, is sometimes depicted as lying on Anantaṁga in the midst of the vast primeval ocean, while Krīṣṇa, who is identified with Vishṇu, is said to have been protected by a nāga after his birth. Again, as J. Ph. Vogel suggests, Baladeva or Balarāma, the mythical personage regarded as the elder brother of Krīṣṇa, might have originally been a Nāga lord, since he found many Nāga statuettes in the Mathurā District being worshipped as Baladeva. But the greatest influence of the Nāga cult appears to have been cast on Saivism. The Śiva-liṅga, an object of worship for the Saivites, is depicted as being protected by a hooded nāga, and in some cases, Nāga ruling families, such as the Bhāraśivas, were great devotees of Śiva. This is but natural, since the Śiva cult, as indicated by the Paśupati-Śiva seal discovered from Mohenjo-daro, was

---

5 Cf. ibid., pp. 225-26
6 Cf. ibid., p. 226 and ASR 1908-09, p. 162.
7 *ASR* 1908-1909, p. 162.
itself of non-Aryan origin. The Nāga cult was very popular during the Kushāṇa period.9

The traditional accounts of the Nāgas would refer not only to serpents proper but also to mythical or semi-divine beings, a whole tribe or even a ruling dynasty. The people called ‘Nāgas’ seem to have been originally worshippers of Nāga and later on probably went into the folds of other religions. These totemistic snake-worshippers were generally devotees of Śiva. The Nāga ruling families like the Bhāraśivas apparently evolved out of a ‘religious hierarchy’ connected with the Nāga cult.10

According to some scholars, the earliest historical kings of Magadha, viz. Śiśunāga, Nāgadaśaka, etc. were of Nāga lineage.11 Inscriptions and coins reveal the existence of several Nāga ruling families in ancient India. Of them we are concerned here with the Bhāraśiva-Nāgas, who flourished between the second and fourth centuries A.D. The members of the Bhāraśiva family whose names end in -nāga evidently had the nāga or snake as their totem, but were so called apparently because of their reverence to Śiva. They adopted, as their coins show, Saiva emblems like the bull, trisūla, etc.

2. JAYASWAL’S THEORY ABOUT THE BHĀRAŚIVA-NĀGA EMPIRE

Jayaswal, in his History of India, c. 150-350 A.D., has made an elaborate study about the political history of the Nāgas of that period.

He has shown from the Puranic evidence that the Nāgas first began to rule at Vidiśa. According to the Purāṇas, the Vidiśa Nāgas fall into two groups, one ruling before the Śuṅgas and the other, after the Śuṅgas. Rulers of the first group are (1) Śesha Nāgarāja, (2) Bhogin (son of Śesha), (3) Rāmachandra or Sadāchandra, (4) Chandrāhāsa, (5) Dhanadharman or Dharmavarman, (6) Vaṅgara, and (7) Bhūtinanda. Jayaswal, however, makes Bhūtinanda the first ruler of the second group which is represented by—(1) Śiśunandi, (2) Nandiyaśas or Yaśonandi (younger brother of Śiśunandi), and (3-5) his three successors. The

9 Cf. ASR 1908-1909, p. 162.
11 A. Banerji-Sastri, ABORI, XVIII, p. 346.
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Purānas mention in this connection, one Śiśuka, the ‘daughter's son' of Nandiyāśas, who became king in Purikā.\textsuperscript{12}

Jayaswal ascribed the coins of the Mathurā Dattas to the Vidiśā Nāgas.\textsuperscript{13} Of the kings who flourished before the end of Śuṅga rule, Śesahaṅga is identified with Śeshadatta\textsuperscript{14} and Rāmacandra with Rāmadatta,\textsuperscript{15} while Śisunandi of the post-Śuṅgan line is identified with Śiśuchandradatta.\textsuperscript{16} The unnamed successors of Yaśonandi are identified with the other Dattas, as known from the Mathurā coins.\textsuperscript{17} He also identified king Śivanandi of the Pawaya Maṇibhadra image inscription\textsuperscript{18} with (Kshatraka) Śivadatta of the Mathurā coins\textsuperscript{19} and thought that he was one of the three unnamed successors of Yaśonandi.\textsuperscript{20} According to him, the ‘pre-Śuṅgan kings' ruled at Vidiśā, while the rulers of the re-established dynasty from the time of Bhūtinanda made their capital at Paḍmāvatī. Jayaswal believed that the coins of the Vidiśā Nāgas were found at Mathurā because Mathurā was a great centre of trade where coins from different places were brought.\textsuperscript{21}

Again, Śiśuka, the ‘daughter's son' of Yaśonandi or Nandiyāśas has been identified by Jayaswal with the Vākāṭaka king Rudrasena I who was also the daughter's son of a Nāga king, viz. Bhavanāga, as known from the Vākāṭaka records.\textsuperscript{22} From this he came to the conclusion that the Nāga line ultimately merged with the Vākāṭaka dynasty.

These early Nāgas of Vidiśā, according to Jayaswal, ruled from about 110 B.C.—78 A.D., when the Kushāṇas overthrew them. During the Kushāṇa supremacy, the Nāgas left

\textsuperscript{12} DKA, p. 49; cf HI, pp. 9-10.
\textsuperscript{13} HI, pp. 12-13.
\textsuperscript{14} BMC(Al), p. 174, Pl. XLIII. 18; also p. 152 above.
\textsuperscript{15} Ibid., p. 179, Pl. XXIV. 5; also p. 152 above.
\textsuperscript{16} Ibid., p. 280, Pl. XLV. 13; also p. 166 above.
\textsuperscript{17} For the Dattas of Mathurā, see p. 152 above.
\textsuperscript{18} ASR 1915-16, pp. 105-06.
\textsuperscript{19} BMC(Al), p. 183, Pl. XXV. 26; and p. 162 above.
\textsuperscript{20} See HI, p. 11.
\textsuperscript{21} Ibid., p. 13.
\textsuperscript{22} Ibid., pp. 15 ff. Jayaswal takes Śiśuka in its literal sense as ‘infant' and not as a name. For the Vākāṭaka inscription, see SI, p. 420.
Padmāvatī and took shelter in the jungles of Madhya Pradesh.28 After a century of Kushāṇa rule, the Nāgas re-asserted their power and began to rule from Kāntipurī, identified by him with the old fort of Kantit, situated between Mirzapur and Vindhyāchal.24 The founder of the revived Nāga dynasty of Kāntipurī was king Nava, whom Jayaswal identified with Nava of some Kauśāmbī coins.25 According to him, the dynasty derived its name (Nava Nāgāḥ of the Purāṇas) from its founder Nava.26 The second ruler of the dynasty was Virasena, identified with Svāmī-Virasena of the Jankhat (Farrukhabad District) inscription of year 13,27 and Virasena of the Mathurā coins,28 as well as the issuer of the Padmāvatī coins with the fragmentary legend ‘Va’ (or ‘Kha’).29 Thus, according to Jayaswal, Virasena reconquered Padmāvatī and Mathurā, and established two branch-lines at those places, while the main line continued to rule at Kāntipurī.30 He also finds out the names of the four successors of Virasena at Kāntipurī, from some unassigned coins of doubtful reading in the Indian Museum, namely, Hayanāga, Trayanāga, Barhinaunāga and Charājanāga.31 The seventh and last king of Kāntipurī was Bhavanāga, the well-known Bhāraśīva king of that name, who is referred to in the Vākāṭaka records. Thus, the Bhāraśīva dynasty was identified by Jayaswal with the Nāga line of Kāntipurī. That the Bhāraśīvas were a Nāga family is implied by the name-ending of Bhava-Nāga.32 After Bhavanāga, the Bhāraśīva line merged with the Vākāṭakas, by his daughter's son Rudrasena I.

The successors of Virasena in Padmāvatī were (1) Bhimanāga, (2) Skandanāga, (3) Bṛhihaspatināga, (4) Vyāghranāga, (5)

---

24 Ibid., pp. 29-30.
26 HI, pp. 18-19.
28 BMC(AII), p. 280, Pl. XLV, 15; and pp. 166 ff. above.
29 CMI, p. 23, Pl. II. 13 (Kha-); and ibid., Pl. II. 14 (Va-).
30 HI, pp. 19 ff.
31 Ibid., pp. 24-26. For the coins see IMC, pp. 205-06, Nos. 7-12. Jayaswal reads the names of Hayanāga on Nos. 7 and 11, Trayanāga on No. 10, Barhinaunāga on No. 12, and Charājanāga on Nos. 8-9.
32 See HI, p. 8.
Devanāga and (6) Gaṇapatināga, all of whom are known from the Padmāvatī series of coins. The names of the successors of Vīrasena at Mathurā are not known because they did not issue any coin. The last of them was Nāgasena who was defeated by Samudragupta as known from his Allahabad prākṣasti. Nāgasena's contemporary at Padmāvatī was Gaṇapatināga who was likewise uprooted by Samudragupta. Besides the three main Nāga houses at Kāntipurī, Padmāvatī and Mathurā, there were, according to Jayaswal, some other minor Nāga lines represented by Achyuta of Ahichchhatra, Matila of Indrapura (Indor Khera), and Nāgadatta of Śrughna (Sugh in the Ambala District), all of whom were overthrown by Samudragupta, according to the Allahabad pillar inscription.

The Nāga government is thus represented as a federation of three main monarchical lines, of which the Bhāraśivas of Kāntipurī were the imperial one, and those of Padmāvatī (Taka-vāṁśa) and Mathurā (Yudu-vāṁśa) two branch lines, with a number of gubernatorial families and a number of republics, e.g., those of the Vaudheyas, Kuṇindas and Mālavas under them. According to Jayaswal, the empire of the Bhāraśivas included Bihar, the Uttar Pradesh, Bundelkhand, the Madhya Pradesh, Malwa, Rajasthan, and the Madra republic in the eastern Punjab.

Jayaswal thus imagines a mighty Bhāraśiva-Nāga empire whose foundation is dated about 150 A.D. and whose real contribution is supposed to be the revival of the tradition of Hindu freedom and sovereignty. According to him, the Nāga emperors became the leaders of a movement for freedom from the Kushāna rule and they were helped in their campaigns by the republican communities of the Vaudheyas, Mālavas and Kuṇindas. The performance of ten Aṣvamedhas by them, as alluded to in the Vākāṭaka records, signified a repeated assertion of their imperial position in Āryāvarta and these were at the

33 See HI, p. 35. Their coins will be discussed below.
34 Ibid., p. 36. For the Allahabad pillar inscription, see SI, pp. 256 57.
35 HI, pp. 33-35.
36 Ibid., p. 55.
37 Ibid., p. 16.
38 Ibid., p. 54.
cost of the Kushāṇa empire.\textsuperscript{39} "The history of the Imperial Hindu revival is not to be dated in the fourth century with Samudragupta, not even with the Vākāṭakas nearly a century earlier, but with the Bhāraśīvas nearly a century earlier still."\textsuperscript{40}

3. JAYASWAL’S THEORY EXAMINED

But the above views of Jayaswal have been challenged by scholars as they are based on uncertain or inadequate materials.

We have actually got no coins of the Vaidiśā Nāgas referred to in the Purāṇas.\textsuperscript{41} The coins of the Dattas of Mathurā, ascribed to the Vaidiśā Nāgas by Jayaswal, belong to the distinctive Mathurā series and are never found at Vaidiśā. The name-ending ‘datta’ is also alien to the Nāgas, whereas the later rulers of undoubted Nāga origin almost always have their names ending in nāga. The coins of the Dattas of Mathurā bear no affinity in type, shape, size or legend to the well-known Nāga coins of Padmāvati. Thus, there is no justification in attributing the Mathurā coins to the so-called Nāgas of Vaidiśā.

Then, as shown by Banerji-Sastri, Jayaswal’s dating of the Vaidiśaka Nāgas about 110 B.C. to 78 A.D. is unacceptable, since the Puranic statements about the chronology of the Vaidiśā Nāgas, on which Jayaswal relies, is self-contradictory.\textsuperscript{42} For, while the kings from Śesha to Vaṅgara are stated as rulers who flourished before the end of the Śuṅga line, Rāmachandra, the second king of the line is described as a second ‘Nakhavant’, thereby making him definitely later than the Śaka Kshatrapa Nahapāna, who flourished about the beginning of the second-century A.D. Banerji-Sastri has also pointed out that the succession of the local dynasties of Vaidiśā is a continuous one and

\textsuperscript{39} Ibid., p. 5. For the passage in question see \textit{SI}, pp. 419-20. Jayaswal translates it as follows. ‘Of [the Dynasty of] the Bhāra Śivas whose royal line -owed its origin to the great satisfaction of Śiva on account of their carrying the load of the symbol of Śiva on their shoulders—the Bhāra Śivas who were anointed to sovereignty with the holy water of the Bhāgirathī which had been obtained by their valour—the Bhāra Śivas who performed their sacred bath on the completion of their Ten Āsvamedhas’: \textit{HI}, p. 7.

\textsuperscript{40} \textit{HI}, p. 4.

\textsuperscript{41} Cf. also Alter, \textit{JNSI}, V, pp. 111 ff.

\textsuperscript{42} Cf. \textit{JASB} 1939, p. 182.
is corroborated by coins and inscriptions. Vidiśā passed from the Mauryas to the Śunīgas. From them it passed to the Sātavāhanas about the first century B.C. The Andhra power was overwhelmed for a time by the conquests of the Śaka Kshatrapas of Western India, probably during the time of the Kushānas. It is possible that Nāga rule at Vidiśā was established some time before the rise of Nahapāna, and at a period when the Sātavāhana power was eclipsed for a while. After Nahapāna, Gautamiputra regained Vidiśā and the Nāgas might have ruled there as feudatories of the Sātavāhanas.

It is, however, possible to hold with Jayaswal that the Nāga dynasty first began to rule in Vidiśā. For, although we have got no coins of the so-called ‘Vaidiśaka Nāgas’ (i.e. the line of Śesha Nāgarāja) as enumerated in the Purāṇas, the coins of Vṛishabhā and some other Padmāvatī rulers are found at Vidiśā. The coins of Vṛishabhā, while belonging to the Nāga series of Padmāvatī, differ from those of the others in that the bull on his coins is represented as standing to front, closely resembling the bull-device on the potin and copper coins of the Western Kshatrapas of the second century A.D., whereas the animal is always seen in profile on the coins of all the other Nāga rulers. The device also seems to bear allusion to the king’s name, as in the case of the Pañchāla coins. Vṛishabhā might, therefore, have been the first amongst the Nāga rulers who adopted the ‘Bull’ type as their dynastic emblem.

It may be noted in this connection that the Vāyuapurāṇa calls the Vaidiśaka Nāgas ‘Vṛishas’. According to H. V. Trivedi, this may signify that the Vidiśā Nāgas were the descendants of king Vṛisha, taking the name as a dynastic appellation. If Vṛisha is identified with Vṛishabhā of coins, it may be said that Vṛishabhā was the originator of the Nāga house and that he established himself at Vidiśā and thence extended his kingdom to Padmāvatī. The close similarity of the coins of Vṛishabhā

43 Ibid., pp. 180-81.
44 See CASR, X, pp. 37 ff.; ASR 1913-14, p. 214; ibid., 1914-15, p. 88; and JNSI, XV, p. 121.
45 See DKA, p. 49 and n. 1.
46 JNSI, XV, pp. 121 f.
with those of the Western Kshatrapas of Malwa also suggests that they were his close neighbours. The date of Vṛishabha, as indicated by his coins, does not go against his being the founder of the Nāga dynasty about 150 A.D. It is not, however, possible to determine his connection with the Vidiśā Nāgas mentioned in the Purāṇas. That Vidiśā was included into the Nāga kingdom till the end of the dynasty is suggested by the discovery of the coins of Gaṇapativāga and other Nāga rulers at Vidiśā.

Jayaswal’s identification of Śiśuka, the daughter’s son of Yaśonandī, with Rudrasena I Vākāṭaka who was the daughter’s son of the Bhāraśiva king Bhavanāga, leads to the identification of Yaśonandī with Bhavanāga, which cannot be maintained. According to Jayaswal’s own view, the Nava Nāga or the Bhāraśiva dynasty to which Bhavanāga belonged, flourished after the fall of Bhūtinandī’s line, of which Yaśonandī was a member. Jayaswal’s theory of the Nāga-Vākāṭaka merger, based on this identification, is, therefore, unacceptable.

With regard to the term Nava-Nāga, Cunningham took the word Nava to mean ‘nine’. Richard Burn has also pointed out that the word Nava in the Puranic passage signifies the numerical number ‘nine’ and not ‘new’ or a name. Moreover, Nava of the Kauśāmbī coins cannot be regarded as a Nāga ruler only on the evidence of some doubtful symbols on his coins. The suffix nāga is not added to his name, nor his coins bear any affinity to the well-known Padmāvatī coins of the Nāga series. His coins are found chiefly at Kauśāmbī and bear close resemblance with the Kauśāmbī coins. Although one coin of Nava is reported to have been found at Pawaya, the type shows that it belongs to the Kauśāmbī series, and not to Padmāvatī. No coin of Nava is, however, found at Kantit (identified by Jayaswal with Kāntipuri), where Nava Nāgas are placed. Nava was, therefore, a local ruler of Kauśāmbī.

47 *JASB* 1865, p. 115.
48 *ABIA* 1933, p. 10; and *JBORS*, XXIII, pp. 269 ff.
50 *See CNKP*, pp. vi and 60.
51 For Nava’s position as a Kauśāmbī ruler, see pp. 125-26 above.
having no connection whatsoever with the Nāgas of Padmāvatī or any other place. If the Bhāraśiva dynasty was a Nāga one, there is no evidence to show that king Nava was its founder. The Vīṣṇu-purāṇa passage, on which Jayaswal bases his arguments, has given rise to the ambiguity of the meaning of the word ‘Nava’; but the Vāyu and the Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas, which place ‘Nine’ (nava) Nāgas at Padmāvatī in contradistinction to ‘Seven’ (sapa) at Mathurā, leave no room for doubt as to the significance of the term ‘Nava’ in the Puranic passages. The Nava Nāgas of the Purāṇas, therefore, did not constitute a dynasty of ‘New’ Nāgas, nor was it so called because it was founded by king Nava known to us from the Kauśāmbī coins, nor is there any evidence to show that Nava of Kauśāmbī was a Nāga ruler.

Again, the supposition that Virasena was the successor of Nava cannot be maintained in view of the wide difference of their coins, having practically nothing in common between them—those of Nava belonging to the Kauśāmbī series and those of Virasena probably to Mathurā. And even if Virasena of the Mathurā coins is identified with his namesake of the Padmāvatī coins, there is nothing to prove his rule at Kāntipurī (Kantit according to Jayaswal). Moreover, if he was identical with Svāmī Virasena of the Jankhat inscription, he is to be placed towards the latter part of the third century A.D., and hence cannot be held responsible for the foundation of any of the Nāga houses of Padmāvatī, Kāntipurī or Mathurā which seem to have come to power, as we have seen above, at least seven generations before the time of Samudragupta.

52 The Vīṣṇu-purāṇa has “nava Nāgāḥ Padmāvatyaṁ Kāntipuryāṁ Mathurāyāṁ”, while the Vāyu and Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇas give:—“Nava Nākās tu bhokṣhyaṁ purīṁ Champāvatīṁ nṛpāṁ Mathurāṁ cha purīṁ rāmyāṁ Nāgā bhokṣhyaṁ sapta vai”. The Vāyu-purāṇa reads Padmāvatīṁ in place of Champāvatīṁ. The reading Padmāvatīṁ is confirmed by the Vīṣṇu-purāṇa. See DKA, p. 53 and n. 2.

53 Cf. BMC(Al), p. 154, Pl. XXI. 4 (Nava) and ibid., p. 280, Pl. XLV. 15 (Virasena).

54 For Virasena’s coins and date, see pp. 166-69 above. Before the discovery of the Padmāvatī coins, Altekar held that Virasena (of the Mathurā coins) cannot be regarded as a Nāga ruler since none of his coins were found at Padmāvatī (JNSEE, V, pp. 123-24). The discovery of the Padmāvatī coins may, however, show that Virasena represented by those coins was a Nāga ruler; but whether he was the same as Virasena of the Mathurā coins and of the Jankhat inscription cannot be proved.
as to Nāgasena’s supposed rule at Mathurā as a successor of Virasena, it may be pointed out that a tradition in the Harshacharita mentions Padmāvatī in relation to Nāgasena, and not Mathurā (see p. 314 below).

With regard to the so-called successors of Virasena at Kāntipurī, it may be observed that the illustrations of the Indian Museum coins on which Jayaswal reads those names, are so indistinct that no confident reading can be proposed with their help, nor are their find-spots known. There is no evidence, therefore, to show that these rulers were Bhāraśīva Nāgas or that they ruled at Kāntipurī, whatever may be its location.

4. CENTRES OF NĀGA POWER

As we have seen, most of the MSS. of the Vāyu Purāṇa and the Brahmāṇḍapurāṇa place nine Nāgas at Champāvatī and seven at Mathurā. But there is no archaeological evidence to show that Champāvatī (as located by Jayaswal at Bhāgalpur in Eastern Bihar) was included in the Nāga kingdom. The e-Vāyu Purāṇa reads ‘Padmāvatī’ in place of ‘Champāvatī’ and this reading is supported by the Vishnu Purāṇa which makes Padmāvatī, Kāntipurī and Mathurā the three centres of Nāga power.

As to the identifications of Padmāvatī and Kāntipurī, the Purāṇas give us no information. The drama Mālatimādhava by Bhavabhūti which was laid in the city of Padmāvti, however, supplies us with important details as to its geographical surroundings which help us in identifying the city.

Wilson identified Padmāvatī with Padmapura, the home of Bhavabhūti, which is described by the poet himself as situated in Vidarbha (Berar); but there is no ground in favour of this identification beyond a little similarity of names. Cunningham identified it with modern Narwar in the Shivpuri District on the basis of the identification of the four rivers Sindhu, Pārā, Lavaṇā and Madhumati, as mentioned in the drama, with

56 See note 52 above.
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modern Sindh, Părvatî, Nun and Mohwar or Madhuwar respectively.\textsuperscript{58} The discovery of Nāga coins at Narwar led Cunningham to justify the identification. But although there is nothing to dispute Cunningham’s identification of the four rivers, it is M. V. Lele’s identification of the city of Pămâvatî with the modern village of Pawaya in the former Gwalior state (25 miles to the north-east of Narwar) that is now generally accepted.\textsuperscript{59} For, the details given in the Mālasiṃadhava agree more suitably with the position of this village than with that of Narwar. The archaeological remains at Pawaya attests to the existence of an ancient city from about the second century A.D. down to the late Gupta period. Amongst these remains, there is an inscribed image of Yaksha Maṇibhadra of about the first or second century A.D.; and the inscription is dated in the fourth regnal year of king Śivanandi who was probably a Nāga ruler, not known from any other source.\textsuperscript{60} The numerous Nāga coins found in the locality during the rains indicate that the city was long under the sway of the Nāga rulers. Local tradition further supports the theory that the village was called Padmâvatî and the present name of the place, which is sometimes called Padam Pawaya, still preserves the ancient name in a corrupt form. All these evidences go in favour of the identification of Padmâvatî with Padam Pawaya.

The identification of Kāntipurî, another Nāga capital according to the Vīshṇupurāṇa, is a point of dispute. As has been shown by Cunningham, this city should be looked for within some moderate distance of Mathurâ\textsuperscript{61} (as well as of Padmâvatî). He agrees with Wilford in identifying Kāntipurî with the ancient Kutwal or Kutwar on the Ahsin river, 20 miles to the north of Gwalior (in the Morena District of Madhya Pradesh). Jayaswal on the other hand, identified Kāntipurî with Kāntit between Mirzapur and Vindhya-Chal.\textsuperscript{62} But Kāntit has yielded not a single Nāga coin nor is there any other archaeological

\textsuperscript{58} CASR, II, p. 308.
\textsuperscript{59} Cf. ASR 1915-16, p. 103; and EHI, p. 300.
\textsuperscript{60} ASR 1915-16, pp. 105-06.
\textsuperscript{61} See CASR, II, p. 307 and JASB 1865, p. 116; cf. also CNKP, p. xxxvi.
\textsuperscript{62} HI, p. 29.
evidence in favour of its identification with Kāntipuri, whereas a hoard of 18659 Nāga coins representing almost all the Nāga rulers known from Padmāvatī coins has been found at Kutwar.63 Another lot of 958 coins collected from this site during the rains also represent six Nāga rulers.64 The identification of Kāntipuri with Kutwar, which is almost equidistant from Mathurā and Padmāvatī seems, therefore, to be more probable than with Kantit which is far to the east of both Mathurā and Padmāvatī. The order of enumeration in the Vishnu purāṇa as Padmāvatī-Kāntipuri-Mathurā probably suggests the relative position of the three places.

Mathurā which has also yielded a number of Nāga coins might have been one of the centres of the Nāgas, as we have seen above ( pp. 166 ff. ). It, however, appears from coins that all the three centres of Nāga power, viz. Padmāvatī, Kāntipuri and Mathurā were ruled by the same family, i.e. the Bhāraśīva Nāgas, and not by three different families, as held by Jayaswal.65 For the, coins found from these three places represent the same rulers and have the same types. Padmāvatī which has yielded the greatest number of Nāga coins representing all the known rulers, seems to be the main stronghold, and Kāntipuri and Mathurā were probably two other important cities of the Nāga kingdom.

We may get a rough idea about the extent of the Nāga kingdom from the find-spots of Nāga coins. Besides Vidiśā, Padmāvatī (Pawaya), Kutwar and Mathurā, Nāga coins are reported to have come from Narwar (Shivpuri District) and Gohad (Bhind District) near Kutwar, from a place in the Jhansi District and from Ujjain.66 As shown by Cunningham, “the kingdom of Nāgas, therefore, would have included the greater part of the present territories of Bharatpur, Dholpur, Gwalior, and Bundelkhand, and perhaps also some portions of Malwa” (Ujjain-Bhilas), thus embracing “nearly the whole of the country lying between the Jumna and the upper course of the Narbada, from the Chambal on the west to the Kayan or Cane on the

63 Cf. CMKP, pp. xxxvi and note.
64 Ibid., pp. xxxvi-vii.
65 Cf. ibid., pp. xxxvii-viii.
66 See ibid., pp. xxxviii-ix.
east". The statement of the Vākāṭaka records that the Bhāraśivas obtained the Bhāgirathī by their own valour suggests the idea that their home was away from the Ganges but that they extended their power as far as the valley of that river. There is, however, no other evidence to show that the Nāga power extended up to the Ganges (i.e. Bhāgirathī) proper—beyond the Jamunā.

Thus, Jayaswal's theory of a great Bhāraśīva-Nāga empire does not bear scrutiny, although the Nāga kingdom might have extended over a considerable portion of Central India. The Puraṇa's nowhere contain the slightest reference to such an extensive Nāga empire and other works of the period, e.g. the Mahāmāyuri, the Maṇḍjugirīnālakalpa, etc., also suggest the existence of a large number of independent states in Northern India during this period. The ten Aṭvamēdhas performed by the Bhāraśivas, as alluded to in the Vākāṭaka records, might have commemorated some victories over the Kushāṇas, but that may not necessarily signify their imperial suzerainty over other powers in Northern India. For, a number of Aṭvamēdhas are known to have been performed at that time even by rulers who had no claim to imperial achievements or conquests, while a great conqueror like Samudragupta performed only one.

Again, there is no evidence to show that the Nāga rulers of Padmāvatī organised a powerful federation to oust the Kushāṇas, nor that the republican communités like the Yaudheyas and the Mālavas recognised the overlordship of the Nāgas. A study of the indigenous coins of the post-Kushāṇa period shows that the Yaudhyas, the Mālavas, the Kuṃpadas as well as the indigenous rulers of the Ayodhyā and Kauśāmbī areas—all played their own parts in driving out the Kushāṇas from Northern India. The theory of the Nāga leadership in the

67 JASB 1965, pp. 116-17.
68 Cf. JASB 1939, pp. 179-80.
70 Pravarasena I Vākāṭaka Mahārāja performed 4, Mādhavavarman I Vishnu-kupūrdin Mahārāja performed 11, and, according to later tradition, Mayūraśarman Kadamba performed 18 Aṭvamēdhas, although none of them can be regarded as great a conqueror as Samudragupta: cf. JASB 1939, pp. 176-77.
71 Cf. Altekar, Ind. Cult., XII, pp. 119 ff. Altekar, however, holds that the brunt of the struggle against the Kushāṇas was borne by the Yaudheyas—which is also a one-sided view.
movement against the Kushāṇas is, therefore, to be abandoned
and ‘the mighty Bhāraśiva-Nāga empire remains one of the
might-have-beens of history’. 72

5. CHRONOLOGY OF THE NĀGA RULERS.

As observed by Cunningham, the chronology of the Nāgas
of Padmāvatī depends on that of their contemporaries, the early
Guptas. 73 Samudragupta claims to have forcibly uprooted the
Āryāvarta kings, 74 most of whom appear to be Nāgas. The
flourishing period of the Nāgas, therefore, preceded the rise of
the Guptas. As the Purāṇas ascribe to the Guptas only the
territories of Prayāga, Sāketa and Magadha, 75 they seem to
depict the political condition of Northern India before the
conquests of Samudragupta, when the Guptas were just beginning
to rise. Samudragupta’s contemporary Nāga kings were
Gaṇapatināga and Nāgasena. The nine successions ascribed to
Padmāvatī, therefore, should have preceded Gaṇapatināga. If
the termination of Gaṇapati’s rule is dated about 330 A.D.
(approximate date of Samudragupta’s conquests), and if we
allow an average period of 20 years for each rule, the foundation
of the Nāga dynasty cannot be dated earlier than 150 A.D. Thus,
Jayaswal’s dating of the rise of the Bhāraśivas about 150 A.D.
is not untenable.

We have seen that, according to the Purāṇas, there were
‘nine’ Nāga kings at Padmāvatī. Coins, however, reveal the
names of at least twelve rulers, besides that of Nāgasena, known
from the Harshacharita and the Allahabad Pillar inscription.
Cunningham procured from Narwar the coins of seven rulers,
viz. Bhimanāga, Bṛihaspatināga, Devanāga, Gaṇapatināga,
Skandānāga, Vasunāga and Vyāghranāga. 76 Rapson published
the coins of Prabhākaranāga. 77 In addition to the above eight
rulers, the Padmāvatī coins represent at least four more kings,

73 *JASB* 1865, p. 118.
75 See *DKA*, p. 53.
76 *JASB* 1939, pp. 115 ff. Vyāghranāga is so far known only from a solitary
specimen from Narwar.
77 *JRAS* 1900, pp. 116-17.
viz. Bhavanāga, Rivaṇāga, Vībhunāga and Vṛishabha. It is, however, possible that some of these princes ruled contemporaneously in different centres of the Nāga kingdom.

The Nāga coins are very small pieces of copper ‘closely related to some of the later Malava coins, but are rather larger and thicker.’ They generally bear on the obverse some symbols, such as the bull, peacock, trident, wheel etc., and on the reverse the name of the king along with the title Mahārāja or Mahārāja Śrī covering the whole flan of the coin in a circular way. The bull, being connected with the national cult of the Bhāraśivas, is the commonest symbol. Of the rulers represented by coins, only Bhavanāga and Gaṇapatināga are known from inscriptions. Bhavanāga has been identified with the Bhāraśiva king Bhavanāga referred to in the Vākāṭaka records, while Gaṇapatināga is identified with the king of the same name mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar inscription of Samudragupta.

The coins of Gaṇapatināga are the most numerous of the issues of all the Nāga rulers. They were struck in three or four different types and come from all the known Nāga centres, viz. Vidiśā, Padmāvati, Kāntipurī and Māthurā. His power therefore seems to have extended over a wide area, and his reign was probably fairly long. As his reign seems to have been terminated by Samudragupta about 330 A.D., his accession may be dated about the beginning of the fourth century A.D.

Bhavanāga is known from Vākāṭaka records to be the maternal grandfather of the Vākāṭaka king Rudrasena I. And since the latter’s grandson Rudrasena II was married to Chandragupta II’s daughter Prabhāvatiguptā, Rudrasena I might have been an earlier contemporary of Samudragupta. Thus, Bhavanāga, the maternal grandfather of Rudrasena I, was probably to some extent a contemporary of Samudragupta’s grandfather Ghaṭotkacha. Bhavanāga may, therefore, be assigned to the end of the third or the beginning of the fourth

78 For the coins of the Nāga Kings of Padmāvati, see H. V. Trivedi, CNKP.
79 *IMG*, p. 164.
80 See *CMf*, p. 24, Pl. II. 19; *IMG*, p. 178, Pl. XXI. 10; and *CNKP*, pp. 49-54, Pl. IV. 29-46.
81 Cf. Poona Copper-plate inscription of Prabhāvatiguptā, *SI*, p. 413.
century A.D. and was not probably separated from Gaṇapatināga by more than one or two generations. The importance of Bhavanāga's position is implied by the Vākāṭaka records, which never fail to mention his relationship with the Vākāṭaka king Rudrasena I. The adoption of the title Adhirāja as found on some of the coins of Bhavanāga may also suggest that he was a distinguished Nāga ruler. He is, therefore, to be credited with some material achievements and possibly with some of the ten Albamēdhas, known to have been performed by the Bhāraśivas.

For the succession of the other rulers of Padmāvatī, we have to depend only on the evidence of their coins. And if inter-relation of coin-types has any value in ascertaining the chronological inter-relation of the concerned rulers, we may suggest in the following way the approximate order of their succession.

As we have already seen, Vṛishabhā who issued round coins with the device of the ‘facing bull’ on the obverse was most probably the first amongst the Nāga rulers so far known from coins. H. V. Trivedi, however, reads his name as Vṛishabhava and makes him a successor of Vṛisha (Vṛishād bhavaḥ) or Vṛishanāga whose name he reads on some square coins coming from Padmāvatī and having the device of the ‘facing bull’ on both sides. Although the fragmentary legends on the coins of the so-called Vṛishanāga do not permit us to be sure of the reading given by Trivedi, it is probable that the issuer of those square coins was an early Nāga ruler. Vyāghranāga whose unique coin of the Wheel device has some affinity with those of this so-called Vṛishanāga in its square shape may also be placed early in the Nāga chronology.

The ‘Bull’ type was used by all the nāga rulers excepting Vyāghranāga, Vasūnāga and probably also Bhimanāga. Besides this almost common emblem, the Nāga princes are known to have used a number of other types, and, according to the common.

---

82 See C.N.K.P., pp. 31-37, Pl. III. 19-38.
83 See p. 302 above. For Vṛishabhā's coins see C.N.K.P., p. 1, Pl. I. 1-2; and for the reading Vṛishabhā see the author's article, in J.N.S.I., XVI., p. 279.
85 See C.M.I., p. 24, Pl. II. 22.
86 A 'Bull' type coin published by the present author in J.N.S.I., XVI., Pt. 2, sp. 52, has been doubtfully ascribed to Bhimanāga.
use of a particular type, they may be divided into three main
groups. Thus, Bhimanāga, Vasunāga, Skandanāga and
Brīhaspatināga are linked together by the use of the ‘Peacock’
type,⁸⁷ and Brīhaspatināga, Devanāga and Bhavanāga, by the
‘Trident’ type,⁸⁸ while Vibhunāga, Ravināga and Prabhākara (?), who used none of these types, may be grouped
together by their use of the so-called ‘Sickle-and-arrow’ symbol
(Battle-axe ?) on some of their coins.⁸⁹ Another common emblem
was the ‘Wheel’, which was used by some of the rulers of all
the three groups mentioned above, viz. by Vyāghranāga, Vasu-
nāga, Brīhaspatināga, Devanāga, Vibhunāga and Gaṇapatināga.⁹⁰

Now, of the two groups of rulers depicting the ‘Peacock’ and
the ‘Trident’ on their coins, Brīhaspatināga alone struck coins
with both the devices, and thus connects the two groups. And
since Bhavanāga who belonged to the group of rulers using the
‘Trident’ type, was a near predecessor of Gaṇapatināga (probably
the last member of the Nāga dynasty), we may hold that the
rulers represented by the ‘Trident’ type succeeded those who
struck coins with the ‘Peacock’ device.

Then, of the rulers who used the ‘Peacock’ type, Bhimanāga
and Vasunāga may be placed first as they are not so far known
to have issued coins with the commonest device of the ‘Bull’,
and are connected in this respect with their predecessor
Vyāghranāga. Between the two, again, Bhimanāga seems to
be the earlier one, since the legend on his coins are written in
large characters, in two straight lines across the flan of the
coin, and not in a circular way as was the usual custom.⁹¹

---

⁸⁷ CNKP, pp. 2-4, Pl. i. 3-13 (Bhimānāga); ibid., pp. 11-13, Pl. II. 1-11
(Vasunāga); ibid., pp. 6-9, Pl. I. 14-27 (Skandanāga); and Padmāvatī, p. 27, type 3c
(Bṛihaspātīnāga).

⁸⁸ CNKP, pp. 18-19, Pl. II. 24-26 (Bṛihaspātīnāga); ibid., pp. 47-48, Pl. IV.
26-28 (Devanāga); and ibid., pp. 35-36, Pl. III. 31-34 (Bhavanāga).

⁸⁹ Ibid., pp. 21-23, Pl. II. 27-35 (Vibhunāga); ibid., p. 26, Pl. III. 1-3
(Ravināga); and JNSI, XIV, pp. 77-78, Pl. V. 11-15 (Prabhākara).

⁹⁰ CMI, p. 24, Pl. II. 22 (Vyāghranāga); JASB 1865, p. 123, fig. 12 (Vasunāga);
JNSI, XIV, 79, Pl. V. 17 (Bṛihaspātīnāga); CNKP, pp. 43-47, Pl. IV. 15-25
(Devanāga); and JNSI, XVII, p. 49 (Pt. ii), Pl. VII. 7 (Vibhunāga); JASB, 1865,
p. 123 (Gaṇendrā).

⁹¹ This way of inscribing the legend in two horizontal lines may be compared
with that of some other coins found at Pawaya and published in JNSI, XVII, Pt. i,
pp. 53 ff. According to Trivedi, this similarity suggests that Bhima, who was-
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Vasunāga is again connected with Skandanaṅga by the presence of a symbol like two daggers or short spears on their coins, marking the beginning and the end of the marginal legend. As Skandanaṅga used also the ‘Bull’ type\(^2\) like others, he is to be placed later than Vasunāga who is not known to have used it. Brīhaspatināga who struck coins with all the three devices, viz. the ‘Bull’,\(^8\) the ‘Peacock’ and the ‘Trident’, may, therefore, be taken to have succeeded Skandanaṅga and have introduced the ‘Trident’ type.

Brīhaspatināga appears to have been followed by Devanāga and Bhavanāga, who also struck coins with the ‘Trident’ type. Devanāga and Bhavanāga are further connected by the fact that the ‘Trident’ or triśula, while appearing as a main type on some of their coins, also occurs as a minor symbol on the reverse of some others, dividing the beginning and the end of the marginal legend.\(^4\) Devanāga was probably earlier than Bhavanāga who is again connected by the common use of the ‘Crescent’ type with Vibhunāga of the third group of rulers,\(^5\) who used neither the ‘Peacock’ nor the ‘Trident’ type.

As we have seen, Vibhunāga, Ravināga and Prabhākara are linked together by the fact that some of their coins are characterised by the presence of a symbol like ‘Sickle-and-arrow’ (Battle-axe ?) between the first and the last letters of the marginal legend, in place of the ‘Triśula’ as appearing on the coins of Devanāga and Bhavanāga. Although the family designation ‘Nāga’ is not added to the name of Prabhākara on his coins, he may be included amongst the Nāga rulers of Padmāvatī, as his coins show marked similarity in type, size, shape, legends, etc. with the Nāga coins and are found along with them at Padmāvatī.\(^6\) The coins attributed to Prabhunāga\(^7\) probably the earliest of the Padmāvatī rulers to shift to that place, may have imitated this design from his predecessors there: CNKP, p. ix.

93 Ibid., pp. 14-17, Pl. II. 12-23.
94 Cf. JASB 1865, p. 123, No. IXa (Devanāga); and JNSI, V, p. 21, Pl. II-A, 1-2 and 5-6 (Bhavanāga).
95 CNKP, pp. 36-37, Pl. III. 35-38 (Bhavanāga); and ibid., p. 25, Pl. II. 40 (Vibhunāga).
96 Cf. JRAI 1900, p. 117; JNSI, XV, pp. 134-35; and ibid., XVII, Pt. 2, p. 52, Pl. VII. 10.
97 JNSI, XIV, pp. 77-78, Pl. V. 11-15.
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and Puṁśnāga probably belong actually to this king, since the readings on the coins in question are very doubtful and since the type is the same as that on the coins of Prabhākara who is the only Nāga ruler known to have struck coins with the 'Lion' as a device. If the coins with the Sickle-like object in the centre, which are attributed to Prabhunāga, actually form a variety of Prabhākara’s coinage, then only we may connect Prabhākara with Ravināga and Vibhunāga, whose issues also exhibit that symbol. But it is difficult to say anything definitely about these three (?) rulers until more coins with clearer legends are forthcoming.

The twelve Nāga rulers mentioned above (excepting Nāgasena whose coins are not known) may thus be arranged in the following approximate chronological order:

1. Vṛishabha (or Vṛishanāga ?)
2. Vyāghranāga
3. Bhīmanāga
4. Vasunāga
5. Skandanāga
6. Bṛhaspatināga
7. Devanāga
8. Bhavanāga
9. Vibhunāga
10. Ravināga
11. Prabhākara
12. Gaṇapatināga

It may be noted in this connection that the first six princes (excepting Vṛishabha of the Vidiśā coins) used the title Mahārāja only, while the others added Āśī after Mahārāja.

Nāgasena who was one of the kings overthrown by Samudragupta, was another Nāga ruler, although not represented by coins. The Harshacharita refers to a tradition that the confidential deliberations of Nāgasena of Nāgakula, having been divulged by a Sārikā bird, led to his destruction at Padmāvati. D. C. Sircar suggests that ‘after the overthrow of Gaṇapatināga, Samudragupta placed Nāgasena on the throne of Padmāvati

96 Padmāvati, p. 28, No. 7.
99 Harshacharita, translation by Cowell and Thomas, Ch. VI, p. 192.
as his vassal; but later Nāgasena himself was also extirpated possibly as a result of an attempt on his part to assume independence.\textsuperscript{100} As Gaṇapati’s coins are said to be more common at Mathurā than at Padmāvatī, Altekar holds that Gaṇapati might be ruling at Mathurā while Nāgasena was ruling at Padmāvatī during the time of Samudragupta.\textsuperscript{101}

Nāgadatta, another ruler extirpated by Samudragupta, was probably a Nāga prince, as his name suggests. He is not known from any other source, and we are not sure where he actually ruled.\textsuperscript{102} A Lahore copper seal of about the fourth century A.D. refers to prince Maheśvara-Nāga, son of Mahārāja Nāgabhaṭṭa;\textsuperscript{103} but the land over which the Nāga Mahārāja ruled cannot be determined. Matila who was defeated by Samudragupta is identified with Mattila whose seal has been found at Bulandsahr\textsuperscript{104} and is taken by Jayaswal to be a Nāga prince ruling at Indrapura (Indor Khera).\textsuperscript{105}

Achyuta, another king defeated by Samudragupta, is identified with Achyuta whose coins are found at Ahichchhatra. He was probably also a Nāga prince, as his coins bear close similarity as regards type, fabric, etc. with the Padmāvatī coins.\textsuperscript{106} H. C. Ray Chaudhuri thinks that Nandi, defeated by Samudragupta, was another Nāga prince.\textsuperscript{107}

We thus find that most of the rulers of Āryāvarta, uprooted by Samudragupta, were Nāga princes. But some members of the old houses continued to exist either as Gupta feudatories

\textsuperscript{100} AIU, p. 170.

\textsuperscript{101} NHIP, VI, p. 37.

\textsuperscript{102} D. C. Sircar suggests that he was an ancestor of the viceregal Dattas of Pupāravardhana (PIHC, 1944, p. 81).

\textsuperscript{103} CIH, III, p. 282. Jayaswal identifies Nāgadatta with Nāgabhaṭṭa, father of Maheśvaranāga, and suggests that they belonged to the Śrughna family of the Nāgas: HI, p. 34.

\textsuperscript{104} Fleet, Ind. Ant., XVIII, p. 289.

\textsuperscript{105} HI, p 34. But the absence of any royal title before the name of Mattila in the seal has led scholars to doubt if the seal belonged to a king. Cf. PHAI,\textsuperscript{6} p. 534

\textsuperscript{106} For Achyuta and his coins, see Ch. VII, p. 182 above. For a seal of Achyutavarman, a Mahārāja, from Rajghat, see JNSI, XXIII, p. 412.

\textsuperscript{107} See PHAI,\textsuperscript{6}, pp. 535-36.
or officers down to the decline of the Gupta empire; and the Guptas thought it prudent enough to maintain friendly relations with them. Chandragupta II was married to a Nāga princess (Kuberanāga) and a Nāga prince named Sarvanāga was a Gupta governor of the Doab under Skandagupta. Nothing is known about the family to which they belonged.
CONCLUSION

In the preceding pages we have made an attempt to trace the rise and fall of the various indigenous states which sprang up after the overthrow of the Magadhan empire. This long period between the downfall of the Mauryas and the rise of the Guptas may be divided into two sub-periods. The first one saw the gradual disappearance of the imperial tradition of Magadha, giving place to the decentralising force of local autonomy within, and inviting series of foreign invasions from without. These two forces had to contend with each other, resulting in the extension of foreign (Kushāṇa) rule over practically the whole of Northern India, and the absorption of almost all the autonomous states within the Kushāṇa empire. The second sub-period was one of revival and regeneration. The Indian powers took advantage of the decline of the Kushāṇa supremacy after Vāsudeva and succeeded in driving away the foreign rulers from Northern India and reasserting their independence.

Thus, after the overthrow of the Mauryas, the Śuṅgas made an attempt to continue the imperial tradition for some time. But the establishment of successive foreign rule in the north-western parts of India and the rise of various autonomous states throughout Northern India show that the imperial structure had already crumbled down. The earliest to defy the imperial authority seems to be some autonomous cities, mainly situated in the central belt of India, between the Ganges and the Narmāḍa. Coins reveal the names of some such cities as Vārāṇasī, Kauśāmbi, Bhāgila, Kurara, Erakṛṣṇa, Vidiśa, Tripuri, Māhishmati and Ujjayinī. Madhyadeśa, as also known from numismatic evidence, became the seat of local monarchical states of Ayodhya, Kauśāmbi, Mathurā, Kanauj, Pañchāla, Almora and others. A study of coins likewise reveals that the Punjab and Rajasthan regions gave rise to a number of tribal oligarchical states, which appear to be of three main categories: first, the gana states of the Yaudheyas and Vṛishṇis of the Punjab, and of the Mālavas of the Jaipur area; secondly, the janapadas of the Agraṭyas (of Agrodaka), Rājanyas, Trigartas and Vemakis of the eastern Punjab, and of the Śibis of Madhyamikā near Chitor; and thirdly, the tribal monarchies (?) of the Audumbaras, Kulātas,
Kuṇindas and Madras of the Punjab region, which allowed royal titles to their chiefs. Besides these, there were the tribal states of the Ārjunāyanas, Maukharis and Uddevikas of the Rajasthan area.

But the political career of most of these states was short-lived and appear to have been terminated with the advance of the Scytho-Parthians about the end of the first century B. C. or of the Kushānas in the third quarter of the first century A.D. The Kushāna empire, which extended during the time of Kanishka over practically the whole of Northern India upto at least Vārāṇasī in the east, seems to have engulfed almost all the smaller autonomous states in Northern India.

The next period, however, witnessed the gradual weakening of the Kushāna hold on Northern India from about the middle of the second century A. D, and the revival of some of the old Indian states which reasserted their autonomy. Some new powers also came into existence. Thus, the Mālava and Yaudheya gaṇas became more powerful in the post-Kushāna period, after hard struggle with the Śakas and the Kushānas. The Kuṇindas reappeared in the third century A.D. as a separate political unit, although, at a later stage, their state was probably amalgamated with that of their more powerful neighbours, the Yaudheyas. The Ārjunāyanas and the Madrakas are known to have regained some sort of autonomy. The local ruling families of Ayodhya and Kauśāmbī also reasserted their independence, while the Maghas of the Baghelkhand region soon brought Kauśāmbī under their rule. The Nāgas emerged as a new power and probably extended their sway from Vidiśā in the south to Padmāvatī in Gwalior and even as far as Mathurā and Paṇḍhāla in the north.

We, thus, find that the rise and fall of the indigenous states were greatly interconnected with the advent and disappearance of the foreign powers. And just as the growth of the indigenous states was checked by the supremacy established by the foreigners, the Indian powers were also, in their turn, responsible to some extent for the decline of the foreign dynasties. In the early period, the sturdy opposition put up by the small states of the Punjab was one of the causes of the failure of Alexander’s army to proceed beyond the Beas. During the time of Alexander’s successors also, the steady pressure of the small republican states of the Punjab, bordering the dominions of the Indo-Greeks
CONCLUSION

contributed to a great extent to the weakening of the Greek power in North-Western India. It is interesting to note that Cunningham long ago realised this fact and made a significant remark with regard to the fall of one of the latest Indo-Greek rulers. "The rule of Apollodores I suppose," he observed, "to have been brought to a close by the increasing power of the native princes, rather than by the hostility of his own countrymen."¹

The gradual disappearance of the Kushāṇa supremacy from Northern India after the first half of the second century A.D. was also due, to a considerable extent, to the rise of the indigenous powers. Although the main cause of the downfall of the Kushāṇas was their internal weakness, the contemporary indigenous powers contributed no small part in ousting them from Āryāvarta. No individual power may, however, be singled out and held responsible for the collapse of the Kushāṇa rule in the Gangetic valley. The leading part was apparently taken by the Yaudheyas, Mālavas and Nāgas. The Allahabad pillar inscription of Samudragupta shows that Northern India was already emancipated from foreign yoke when the Gupta emperor set out for conquests. The rulers of Āryāvarta defeated by Samudragupta were all Indian, the only foreign potentates who made obeisance to him being the Daivaputra-Shāhi-Shāhānushāhi (i.e. the descendant of the Kushāṇa emperors in North-Western India) and the Śaka-Muruṇḍas (or the Śaka satraps of Western India).

Politically, the period from the fall of the Mauryas to the rise of the Guptas is regarded as a 'dark' one, since it does not record the glorious achievements of a single great empire. Nevertheless, it opened a remarkable chapter in the administrative history of India. For, the period witnessed experiments with various forms of democratic government. It was, however, found that at that premature stage of national consolidation a powerful and centralised imperial government could only save India from internal dissension and foreign domination. This period thus paved the way for the foundation of the great Gupta empire.

The period is also one of immense cultural importance.²

¹ NC 1872, p. 178; also CASE, p. 296.
² Cf. AIU, Chs. XVI-XXV.
It saw the process of gradual fusion of two elements—foreign and indigenous. A new blood was added to Indian life, and the culture of the nation attained a new height in the succeeding Gupta age.

From the social point of view, the period brought about a great change. Indian society, flexible as it has always been, made an enormous attempt at absorbing foreign ways of life. Various non-Indian people who settled in India were taken into the Indian fold and given some status in the society, and the result was the creation of new sub-castes. In a word, the social structure was remodelled considerably.

The new and changing circumstances necessitated the change of religious outlook of the people, and new cults like Vaishnavism and Saivism came into being. Both these cults attracted people of non-Indian origin, including some ruling dignitaries. As we know, Heliodorus, a Greek ambassador of the Taxila king Antialcidas, became a Bhāgovata, i.e. a follower of Vaiṣṇu. The Kushāṇa king Kadphises II devoted himself to Saivism and called himself Māheśvara. The last great Kushāṇa ruler Vāsudeva too appears to have been a devotee of Śiva. Buddhism with its freedom from orthodoxy and rigid rules of social conduct had, however, a greater appeal to foreigners and tradition says that the Greek king Menander and the Kushāṇa monarch Kanishka were converted to Buddhism. The epithet Satyādharma-śūla, as adopted by Kadphises I, indicates, according to some scholars, that he too was probably a Buddhist. Not only this. The process of Indianisation of foreigners was complete with the transformation of their names. The last great Kushāṇa monarch bore the name Vāsudeva. In like manner some Scythian satraps of Mathurā adopted the names Śivaghosha and Śivadatta, while quite a few princes of the satrapsal families of Western India bore Indianised names like Rīshabhadatta, Rudradāman, Rudrasena, Viśvasimha, etc.

The artistic activities of the period likewise adjusted themselves to the process of gradual change that was taking place in the national life of India. In the north-west, indigenous artistic impulses were considerably influenced by foreign styles, and the result was what is known as the Graeco-Buddhist or Gandhāra art. In the interior of India, on the other hand, an interesting transformation took place in the artistic expression
of life, and the joys and sorrows of the common man were duly reflected on the art of various regional schools, such as that of Mathurā in the north, Sānci and Bhārhut in the centre, Karle and Bhāja in the west and Amaravati in the south-east. It was also in this age that stone came into wider use in architecture and sculpture, and the employment of this non-perishable material helps us in tracing the evolution and development of the plastic art of India.

An appreciable change is also noticed in the sphere of Indian currency. The purely indigenous punching and casting processes gradually gave place to the more sophisticated die-striking method popularised by the Greeks. And, as believed by some scholars, coins bearing issuer's name and sometimes his royal title were also made popular by the foreign rulers.

Again, this period witnessed remarkable literary activities. Patañjali, the great grammarian, flourished in this age, and his works, along with those of his predecessors Pāṇini and Kātyāyana, paved the foundation of the Classical Sanskrit of the Gupta period. This was also the age of finalisation of the epics and many of the purāṇas. The Mahābhārata, specially the Bhagavatīgitā as incorporated in it, became the gospel of Indian life and greatly moulded the national character. The neo-Brahmanical literature in the forms of the Dharmaśāstras or Smṛtis, such as the Mānavadharmāstra or the Manusmṛiti, the Yājñavalkyasmrīti, and others laid down codes of social conduct which have since formed the foundation of the Indian society.

It was also a "period of international contacts and cultural expansion". The series of foreign conquests opened up the gates of the East to the people of the West, and, as a result, Indians came in close touch with the outside world. India's trade with the Roman empire flourished considerably, and Roman gold poured into India in exchange of Indian merchandice. This influx of the yellow metal influenced the Indian currency to a great extent and the Kushāṇa monarchs of India introduced here an extensive gold coinage. It was due to this flow of gold that the Guptas in the succeeding period could maintain a gold currency, which excelled in artistic merits coins of all ages.

As a result of the gradual assimilation of the foreign elements with the indigenous ones there blossomed forth the superb
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culture of the succeeding Gupta period, aptly called the Classical Age. In order, therefore, to understand the trend that brought about the glorious achievements of the so-called Golden Age of Hinduism we have to follow the history of the period preceding it, which is so often characterised as one of the Dark Ages of India.
APPENDIX

LIST OF INSCRIPTIONS

We append below a list of relevant inscriptions indicating their bearing on our period. The inscriptions are enlisted according to their geographical distribution.

Punjab (W. Pakistan)

(1) Lahore Copper Seal inscription of Maheśvaranāga, c. 4th century A. D. (Fleet, CII, III, p. 282). It gives the name of the Nāga prince, Maheśvaranāga, son of Mahārāja Nāgabhaṭṭa.

(2) Shorkot (Jhang District) inscription of the year 83 (J. Ph. Vogel, Ep. Ind., XVI, p. 15). It marks the site of Śibipura, the capital of the Śabis of Alexander’s time.

Rajasthan

(3) Bijayagaṛh (Bharatpur District) inscription of the Yaudheyas (Fleet, CII, III, p. 251, No. 58). It refers to a Mahārāja Mahāsenāpati who was the elected chief of the Yaudheyagaṇa.

(4) Barnāla (Jaipur District) Yūpa inscription of Kṛita year 284 (Altekar, Ep. Ind., XXVI, p. 118). It records the performance of seven Soma sacrifices by a king whose name ends in - vardhana.

(5) Barli (Ajmer District) fragmentary inscription (Jayaswal, JBORS, XVI, p. 67; R. R. Haldar, Ind. Ant., LVIII, p. 229; D. C. Sircar, JBRS, XXXVII, p. 34 and XL, p. 8). It refers to a king named Bhāgavata and to Madhyamikā, the later capital of the Śabis.

(6) Nāndsā (Udaipur District) Yūpa inscription of Kṛita year 282 (A. S. Altekar, Ep. Ind., XXVII, p. 252; M. Venkataramayya, IHQ, XXIX, p. 80) records the performance of the Ekasāhṭhirātra sacrifice and other achievements of a Mālava chief, Nandisoma by name.

(8) Ghoshunidi-Hathibadā (Chitorgarh District) inscription of king Sarvatāta (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 91; D. R. Bhandarkar, Ep. Ind., XXII, p. 198). The inscription (in two versions) refers to Sarvatāta, probably a local king ruling over the territory of the Sibis.

(9) Baḍvā (Kotah District) Yūpa inscriptions:
(a) Three inscriptions of the Kṛita year 295 (A. S. Altekar, Ep. Ind., XXIII, p. 42) record the performance of the Trirātra sacrifice by three brothers, Balavardhana, Somadeva and Balasimha, sons of Mokhari Mahāsenāpati Bala.

(b) A fourth inscription (A. S. Altekar, Ep. Ind., XXIV, p. 251) records the performance of the Aptyorāma sacrifice by Dhanutrāta, son of Hastin of the Mokhari clan.

Gujarat

(10) Junāgarh (Junāgarh District) Rock inscription of Rudradāman I, Year 72 (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 169). It refers to the military glory of the Yaudheyas and testifies to their southward expansion and consequent conflict with the Śakas in the second century A.D.

Maharashtra

(11) Nasik (Nasik District) Cave inscription of the time of Nahapāna (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 160). It bears testimony to the struggle between the Mālavas on the one hand, and the Uttamabhudras and their allies, the Śakas, on the other, and proves the occupation of the Nagar region by the Mālavas.

(12) Chammak (Amaravati District) Copper-plate inscription of the Vākṣṭaka Pravarasena II, Regnal Year 18 (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 418). It refers to the Bhārasivas and to King Bhavanāga and the latter’s relationship with Vākṣṭaka Rudrasena I. Similar information is also gleaned from the Siwani (Seoni District) and the Dudia (Chhindwara District) inscriptions of the Vākṣṭakas.

Madhya Pradesh

(13) Pawaya (Shivpuri District) Maṇibhadra Image inscription (M. B. Garde, ASR 1915-16, p. 105). It refers to a Nāga king,
Śivanandi, and marks the site of Padmāvatī, the capital of the Nāgas.

(14) Besnagar (Vidiśā District) Garuḍa Pillar inscriptions:
(a) Of Year 14 of King Bhāgabhadrā (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 90).
(b) Of Year 12 of King Bhāgavata (D. R. Bhandarkar, ASR 1913-14, p. 190).

Bhāgabhadrā and Bhāgavata were most probably Śuṅga princes, and these inscriptions suggest the inclusion of Vidiśā in the Śuṅga empire. The first inscription reveals the importance of Vidiśā by the fact that a Greek ambassador named Heliodoros was sent there from the court of the Indo-Greek king Antialcidas of Taxila.

(15) Sāñchī (Raisen District) inscription of Sātakarṇi on the southern gateway of Stupa I (Marshall, Foucher and Majumdar, MS, I, p. 277; R. P. Chanda, MAŚ, I, p. 8). It indicates the transfer of power from the Śuṅgas to the Andhras in that region.

(16) Bhārhut (Satna District) inscriptions:
(a) Gateway inscription of Dhanabhūti (D.C. Sircar, SI, p. 89). It contains the only epigraphical reference to the dynastic name 'Śuṅga' and indicates Śuṅga sovereignty over that region. It also speaks of a local line of rulers: Āgarāju, the father of Dhanabhūti, is probably to be identified with Agāraja of some Kauśāmbī coins.
(b) Railing inscription of prince Vādhapāla, son of king Dhanabhūti (Lüders List, No. 869). Vādhapāla of this inscription is probably to be identified with Vādhapāla-Dhanabhūti of a Mathurā inscription (Lüders' List, No. 125).
(c) Railing inscription of Nāgarakshita, wife of Dhanabhūti (Lüder's List, No. 882).

(17) Gīnjā (Rewa District) inscription of Mahārāja Bhimasena of Year 52 (A. Cunningham, ASR, Vol. XXI, p. 119). It refers to the first king of the Magha dynasty.

(18) Bāndhogarh (Rewa District) inscriptions of the Maghas (N.P. Chakravarti, Ep. Ind., XXXI, pp. 167 ff). These inscriptions introduce five rulers of the Magha dynasty: (1) Bhimasena (Year 51); (2) his son Praushṭhaśri (10 inscriptions of years 86, 87, 88),
(3) his son Bhaṭṭadeva or Bhadradeva (one of Year 90 and another undated); (4) Śivamagha (year lost); and (5) Vaiśravaṇa (two inscriptions, years lost). These dated inscriptions indicate that the Maghas began to rule first in the Rewa region.

**Uttar Pradesh**

(19) Jagatgrām (Dehra Dun District) Brick inscriptions of King Śilavarman (T.N. Ramachandran, *JOR*, XXI, p.1; *ibid.*, XXII, p. 100). These inscriptions commemorate the performance of four *Aivamedha* sacrifices by King Śilavarman.


(21) Mathurā (Mathurā District) inscriptions :


(c) Of Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti, probably the son of Dhanabhūti of the Bhārhut inscriptions (E. Hultsch, *Ind. Ant.*., XIV, p.138).

(d) Lion Capital inscriptions of the time of Rājuvula (D. C. Sircar, *SL*, p. 112).

(e) Votive Tablet inscription of the time of Śoḍāsa, Year 72 (ibid., p.118).

(f) Stone inscription of the time of Śoḍāsa (ibid., p. 119).

Inscriptions (d) to (f) inform us about the line of Śaka rulers at Mathurā.

(22) Mora (Mathurā District) inscriptions :

(a) Well inscription of a son of Mahākṣatrāpa Rājuvula (H. Lüders, *Ep. Ind.*, XXIV, p. 194). The Vṛishṇis are mentioned in this inscription.

(b) Brick inscriptions of Yaśamata, wife of a king of Mathurā and daughter of Brihatsvātimitra (*ASR*, 1311-12, p. 128).

(23) Geneshrā (Mathurā District) inscriptions of :

(a) Kohaḍa, minister of Gomitra (*ASR* 1911-12, p. 129).

(b) Kṣatrapa Ghaṭāka (ibid., p. 128).


(26) Allahabad (Allahabad District) inscriptions:


(b) Pillar inscription of Samudragupta (D. C. Sircar, *SI*, p. 254) depicts the political condition of Northern India on the eve of Samudragupta’s conquests and mentions a number of local rulers of Northern India including several Nāga princes, the tribal republics of the Mālavas, Ārjunāyanas, Vaudheyas, Maḍras, and the bordering foreign kingdoms of the Śakas and the Kushāṇas.

(27) Pabhosã (Allahabad District) Cave inscription of the tenth year of Ědāka (D. C. Sircar, *SI*, p. 97) refers to the local ruling families of Pañchāla and Kauśāmbi, and the matrimonial ties existing between them.

(28) Kauśāmbi (Allahabad District) inscriptions of:

(a) The Mitras—


(iv) Śivamitra (R. D. Banerji, *ASR* 1913-14, p. 262).

The above rulers, excepting Śivamitra, are also known from Kauśāmbi coins and these inscriptions prove the existence of a local line of rulers with mitra-ending names at Kauśāmbi.

(b) The Maghas:

(ii) Bhadramagha, Year 81 (Krishnadeva, ibid., XXIV, p. 253); Year 83 (A.R.Ep., 1952-53, Nos. B 458, 439, 494); Year 86 (D.R. Sahni, Ep. Ind., XVIII, p. 160); and Year 87 (Sten Konow, ibid., XXIII, p. 245).

(iii) Vaiśravaṇa, Year 107 (N. G. Majumdar, ibid., XXIV, p. 146).

(iv) Bhīmavarman, Year 140 (A. Ghosh, Ind. Cult., III, p. 177); and Year 189 (Fleet, CIL, III, p. 266).

The dated inscriptions of the Maghas are our main source of information about them.

(29) Sārnāth (Varanasi District) inscription of Year 40 of king Aśvaghosa (J. Ph. Vogel, Ep. Ind., VIII, p. 171), to be identified with Aśvaghosa of the Kauśāmibi coins.

(30) Ayodhyā (Fyzabad District) inscription of Dhanadeva (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 95) contains the only epigraphical notice of Pushyamitra who is referred to as Senāpati, and the performer of two Aśvamedhas. It also informs us that the line of Dhanadeva claimed descent from Pushyamitra.

Bihar


(32) Boddhayā (Gaya District) Rail Pillar inscriptions of the queens of Indrāgnimitra and Brahmamitra, who probably belonged to the line of Mitra rulers of Magadhā (ASR 1907-08, pp. 40, 225; ibid., 1908-09, p. 147; B. M. Barua, IHQ, VI, pp. 1 ff).

Orissa

(33) The Hāthigumpha (Puri District) inscription of Kāravela (D. C. Sircar, SI, p. 206) testifies to the rise of the power of the Kalingas and refers to Bṛhaspatimitra, the contemporary Mitra king of Magadhā.
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Āyumitra (Ayyamitra?) of Ayodhyā coins, 95n., 97n., 98n., 144n.
Azes, 10-11, 11n., 164n., 232, 232n.
Azilises, 163, 164n.
B

Bactria, 4, 24, 185, 266
Bactrian, Bactrians, 7, 9, 151
Bādvā, 18, 69, 278, 278n., 282
Bagchi, P. C., 39
Baghaura, 203, 206
Baghelkhand, 126, 130, 318
Bahasatimitra, Brihaspatimitra of
Hāthigumpha ins., 40, 40n., 66,
68-69, 99, 115, 118-19
Bahasatimitra, Brihaspatimitra of
Kaussambī coins, 68, 68n., 95n.,
99, 109, 109n., 110-11, 113, 115-
16, 117n., 118-20, 120n., 121,
121n., 122-23, 174, 177
Bahasatimitra, Brihaspatimitra (Gopālputra) of Pabhosā ins., 17,
53, 53n., 68, 99, 109-10, 115,
118-19, 154n., 174-75
Bahasatimitra, Brihāsvatimitra (?),
Brihatsvatimitra (?), of the
Morā ins., 99, 109-11, 113, 115
Bahasatimitra, Brihaspatimitra of
Pañchāla coins, 68n., 95n., 99,
118, 172, 172n., 173-74
Bahawalpur, Bhawalpur, 13, 202-03,
207, 211, 251
Bahrarich District, 75
Bahtiser, 235
Bahludhānaka (Bahludhānaka), 203,
205-06, 209, 211-12
Baimbika, Baimbaki, Baimbikas,
29-31
Bairat, 291
Baīrānt, 141n.
Bairish, Birach, 286
Bajaur, 7,104, 231-32
Bajpai, K. D., 62n., 69n. 79n., 97,
113n., 143n., 148, 148n., 150n.,
152n., 172n., 173n.
Bāla, Mahāsenāpati, 282, 282n., 283
Balabhūti, 152, 152n., 155n., 158,
158n., 159, 161
Baladatta, 139n.
Baladeva or Balarāma, 296
Balasimha of Baḍvā ins., 282
Balavardhana of Baḍvā ins., 282
Baluchistan, 2
Bāna, 4, 16, 21, 24, 24n., 25-26,
28-29, 29n., 31, 35-36, 46
Banaras, 206
Bāndhogarh, 17, 112, 126-27, 130-31,
133-37
Bandhuvarman, 275n
Banerjea, J. N., 143, 161, 179, 204n.,
224-25, 237, 237n., 280
Banerji, A. C., 237, 269
Banerji, R. D., 40n., 70n., 174, 218,
218n., 221, 221n., 222
Banerji-Sastri, A., 297, 301
Bareilley (Dist.), 170
Barhiṇanāga, 299
Bāri Udai, 292
Barli, 17-18, 46, 55, 61, 286, 289
Barnāla, 271n., 274, 275n., 278, 278n.
Barnett, L. D., 247-50
Baroda, 88n.
Barua, B. M., 4n., 53n., 67-68, 68n.,
110n.
Barwala, 246, 250
Barwani, 87
Barygaza, 8
Bassi, 289
Basti (Dist.), 171
Baudhāyana-śrauta-sūtra, 29-31
Bayana, 207, 292-93
Bazāna (Narāna), 291-92
Bazin Foucher, Mme., 177
Beas, 201-02, 208, 213, 217-18, 224,
233-35, 240, 244, 254, 318
Behat, 202, 206
Belgium, 253n.
Bengal, 2, 13, 70
Berar, 305
Boccaccio, 6n.
Bergney, A. V., 218n., 229n., 243,
245
INDEX

Bensagar, 9, 17, 36n., 46, 54, 54n., 55, 55n., 61, 64n., 76, 78-79
Bensagar Garuḍa Pillar Inscription, 9
Betwa, 81
Bhāḍadeva, See Bhadradeva
Bhādānaka, 292
Bhadrā, 47, 54n.
Bhadrā, 176
Bhadrabala, Mahāsenāpati, 136, 136n.
Bhadradeva (Bhāḍadeva, Bhāṭṭadeva), Kauśikīputra, Mahārāja, 127, 130-32, 134-35, 135n., 137
Bhadraghosha, 48, 54, 54n., 60, 60n., 172-73, 175-76, 176n., 183-84
Bhadrika, 47, 54, 54n.
Bhadradāras, 216
Bhadramagha, 112, 127, 130-36
Bhadrapāka, 50n.
Bhadrā, 249
Bhāgabhadra, Kāśīputra, 10, 17, 36n., 46, 54, 54n., 55n., 61n., 63-64, 64n.
Bhagalpur, 305
Bhāgavata (epithet), 204, 204n., 220, 224, 236, 257-58
Bhāgavata (Śuṅga k.), 17, 55, 55n., 61, 61n., 63, 224
Bhāgavata (of Barli ins.), 17, 46, 55, 61
Bhāgavata (of Bensagar ins.), 17, 36n., 46, 55, 61, 63, 286
Bhāgavata, Traivarṇīputra (of Pabhośā ins.), 104n., 172-75, 183
Bhāgavata-pūrāṇa, 22, 22n., 27, 27n., 43, 47n., 48-49, 51, 54-55
Bhāgavat-gītā, 321
Bhāgila, 72, 82, 82n., 317
Bhāgirathī, 301n., 308
Bhājā, 321
Bhandarkar, R. G., 5n., 57
Bhānu, 176, 184
Bhānumitra of Audumbars coins, 96n., 98, 222, 222n., 223-24
Bhānumitra of Paṇḍhāla coins, 95n., 98, 172, 172n., 173, 176, 176n., 177, 177n., 183-84, 223, 223n.
Bhānuvarman, 205
Bhapāṇyana, 267
Bharadvāja (gotra), 28-31, 33
Bharanādāsa, 165
Bhāraśīva, Bhāraśivas, 18, 168, 296-97, 299-300, 301n., 304, 308-11
Bhāraśīva-Nāga empire, 300, 308-09
Bhāraśīva-Nāgas, 297, 307
Bharata, 245
Bharatas, 224
Bharatpur (Dist.), 203, 207, 251, 278, 281, 292, 307
Bhatinda, 264
Bhatner, 203
Bhatt, B. P., 180, 185
Bhattacharyya, B., 66n.
Bhāṭṭadeva, See Bhadradeva
Bhāṭṭa Utpala, 256
Bhāṭṭisoma, Mahāsenāpati, 271, 271n., 274
Bhavabhūti, 16, 305
Bhāvadata (*datta), 152, 158-59, 166
Bhavanāga, 18, 298-99, 303, 309-12, 312n., 313, 313n., 314
Bheraghat, 85
Bhilsa, 78, 81, 81n., 82, 225
Bhimanāga, 299, 309, 311, 311n., 312, 312n., 314
Bhimasena, Mahārāja, Vaiśiṣṭhīputra, (Magha k.), 112, 125, 125n., 126n., 127, 131-32,
Bhimaspata, 290
Brahmānā, Brāhmaṇas, 27, 32n., 34, 35n., 35, 41-42, 57, 58, 111, 225, 245, 278
Brahmāṇḍa-purāṇa, 27, 43-47, 57n., 48-49, 55, 57n., 70n., 304, 304n., 305
Brahmapyadeva, 204, 204n., 207-08, 214, 221n., 239, 250, 257, 259
Brahma-purāṇa, 256
Brahmāvarta, 290
Bṛhadṛatha, 2, 4-6, 21-22, 24, 24n., 25-27, 30, 34-35, 39, 41, 45
Bṛhaspatimitra, See Bahasatimitra
Bṛhaspatināga, 182, 299, 309, 312, 312n., 313-14
Bṛihatsvātimitra, See Bahasatimitra of the Morā ins.
British Museum, 89, 98n., 188, 193, 246
Broach, 84
Budaun, 170
Buddha, the, 1, 8, 74-75, 77, 82, 90, 170, 296
Buddharāja, 79n.
Budhagupta, 81
Bühler, G., 24, 24n., 25, 44n.
Bulandsahr, 315
Bundelkhand, 300, 307
Buriya, 234
Burn, R., 114n., 303
Buxar Hoard, 13
INDEX

C

Caitya, Chaitya, 94
Calcutta, 22n., 102, 105, 154, 200n.
Cane (Kayani r.), 307
Carlyle, 92, 93n., 178n., 262n.,
263, 267, 267n., 269, 272, 285-
86, 286n.
Cautley, Captain, 206
Chakra, 174, 179, 182, 184n., 244
Chakraborty, S. K., 55n.,
207n., 210n., 214n., 222, 243, 257,
269
Chakravarti, N. P., 124n., 132n.,
134n., 135n., 136, 136n., 137
Chamba, 225, 227, 254
Chambal, 307
Champávatī, 304n., 305
Chandamahāsena, Chāhamānā, 278n.
Chanda Pradyota Mahāsenā, 83
Chanda, R. P., 35n., 40n., 54n.,
61n., 65n., 68n.
Chandāsṛi Śatakarni, 66n.
Chandēśvara Hastin, Mahārāja,
233n.
Chandragupta (Maurya k.), 4, 44,
44n.
Chandragupta II (Gupta k.), Vik-
ramaditya, 84, 268n., 269, 310,
316
Chandragupta-Kumāradevi type of
coins, 71n.
Chandragupta-sabhā, 27
Chandrāmā, 297
Chandravalli ins., 283
Charājanāga, 299, 299n.
Charsadda, 89
Chashṭana, 83, 272
Chattrēśvara (Chhatresvara) See
Chhatresvara
Chatriaioi, 251
Chatterji, G., 129
Chaucer, 6n.
Chedis of Orissa and Kalinga, 126n.

Chenab, Chenub, 240, 265
Chhatrāvatī (Ahichchhatra), 170
Chhatresvara, 214, 235, 238-39, 250,
257, 259
Chhatresvara coins, 199, 214, 257
Chhatresvara-Siva, 239
Chīnas, 226, 254
Chinese, 13-14, 70, 278
Chitaldurg Dist., 2, 2n.
Chitasena, Chitrasena, Vāishṭhi-
putra, 135, 135n.
Chi’or, 19, 286, 286n., 288, 317
Chitorgarh, 58, 286, 288-89
Chitrakūṭa, 286
Chitrasena, See Chitasena
Classical writers, 16
Cleisobora, 151
Coomaraswamy, A., 179, 179n.
Cowell, 29n., 169n., 314n.
Cowell and Thomas, 56n., 314n.
Cunningham, A., 6n., 19, 35n., 36, 38,
63n., 77, 77n., 81, 86n., 93, 115n.,
128, 142n., 154, 154n., 161, 167n.,
171, 177, 179, 197n., 201, 203,
210, 210n., 216n., 217-18, 218n.,
221, 221n., 222, 223n., 225, 227,
229n., 233-34, 236n., 237, 237n.,
242-44, 251, 251n., 252, 252n.,
254, 254n., 255, 255n., 257, 265-
67, 267n., 280-81, 283, 285, 285n.,
287, 287n., 288, 291, 303, 305-
07, 309, 319
Curtius, Q., 201
Cutch, 7

D

Dadhamita, Dṛghamitra, 96n., 152,
156, 159
Dahmani, Dahmberoi, Dahmer, 217,
217n.
Dāivaputra-Sāhi-Sāhānusāhi, 14, 319
Dakshaṇa, 38, 249
Dakshināpatha, 86

O. P., 182—46
Dākṣiṇātya, 249
Dāmagata, Dāmagupta, 172-75, 180,
182n., 183, 184n., 187-88
Damajada I, 208, 273
Dāmamitra, 95n., 105, 115, 122-23
Dāmara, 217n.
Dāmaras, 226
Damari, 217
Darñishṭrānivāsin (Yaksha), 33, 39-40
Dāṇḍakī, 253n.
Daradas, 226, 256
Darma (Dramma ?), 204n., 207
Darvābhīsāras, 256
Dārvās, 254, 256
Das, Swāmi Dhananjay, 27n., 47n.
Daśakumāra-charita, 254, 290
Daśapura, 286
Daśārṇā, 78
Daśārṇas, 286
Das Gupta, K. K., 224n., 270, 270n.
Dattas (Mathurā), 99, 155-56, 158-61, 166, 298, 298n., 301
Dattas (Puṇḍravardhana), 315n.
Daulatpur, 291
Deccan, 38, 62, 64-65, 126
Dehra Dun Dist., 191, 193, 193n.,
202, 204, 208
De la Vallée-Poussin, L., 5n.
Delhi, 71n., 202, 255, 290
Demetrius, 6, 6n., 23, 23n., 24, 39-40, 185, 185n., 241
Denarius (Roman), 182
Devabhūmi or Devabhūti, 29, 47, 55-56, 56n., 57, 62-64, 80
Devamitra, 55n., 95n., 115n., 142, 144
Devanāga, 182, 309, 312, 312n., 314
Devapāla, 87
Devaputra, 12
Devasenā, 204, 204n.
Devimitra, 17, 95n., 105, 115, 115n.,
122-23
Dewalgarh, 235
Dewan Nannomal, 248
Dey, N., 217n.
Dharmamīta, See Dharmamitra of 
Yugapurāṇa
Dhanabhūti, Vaiśāputra, of Bhārhat 
ins., 31, 59-60, 67n., 93, 114, 120, 
154, 154n., 155
Dhanabhūti (Clay seal), 59, 114
Dhanabhūi (Prince) of another 
Bhārhat ins., 154
Dhanabhūti II, Vaiśāputra Vaiḍha-
pāla, of Mathurā ins., 154-55, 
155n.
Dhanadeva of Ayodhya coins, 124n., 
139-40, 140n., 141n., 142, 142n.
Dhanadeva of Ayodhya ins., 17, 21, 
26, 28, 37, 41-42, 58, 105-06, 
106n., 139, 268n.
Dhanadeva of Kausambi coins, 109, 
112, 122, 124, 124n., 125, 131, 134
Dhanadeva, Rājan, of Rajghat seal, 
124
Dhanadharman or Dharmavarman, 
297
Dhantrātā, 282
Dharaghosa, 54n., 199-200, 200n., 
218, 218n., 219-21, 221n., 224- 
25, 239, 259, 259n.
Dharmamitra of a Mathurā ins., 153
Dharmamitra (Dhamamita) of 
Yugapurāṇa, 6, 23, 23n.
Dharmamitra, Sudavapa, 180
Dharmapāla, 81
Dharmāśtras, 321
Dharmasūtra, 34n.
Dharmavarman (Dhanadharman), 
297
Dhiniki, 277n.
Dholpur, 252, 278n., 307
Dhṛītarāṣṭra I, 209
Dhruva, 180, 184
Dhruvamitra of Ramnagar ins., 17, 
104, 174
Dhruvamitra, of Pañchāla coins, 95n., 100, 104, 172-74, 179-80, 184, 294
Dhruvamitra, Sudavapa, of Rairh coins, 96n., 100, 180, 292-94
Dikshit, K. N., 207, 247, 249, 292
Dikshit, S. K., 291-92
Dināras, 33
Diodorus, 248, 248n., 279, 279n., 287, 290, 291n.
Diodotus I, 185, 185n., 186n.
Diodotus II, 185, 185n.
Dioskuroi, 185, 186n.
Dipavāraṇa, 86, 86n.
Divyāvadāna, 3, 3n., 8, 8n., 16, 21, 26, 26n., 29, 29n., 30, 33, 33n., 37-39, 170
Doab, central, 167, 170
Douglas, R. O., 262, 265, 268
Dramma, 207
Drangiana, 276n.
Drīḍhamitra, See Daḍhamita
Drishadvati, 290
Drouin, M., 182
Dvāraka, 245
Dvārāvatī, 245n.

E

Ekashashṭhirātra, 211, 273
Epics, 16, 295
Erakanya, Erakina, Eran, Erikiṇa, 72, 78, 81, 81n., 82
Etah District, 73
Eucratides I, 6, 24, 74, 185
Eucratides II, 186n.
Euthydemia, Euthymedia, 241
Euthydemos I, 185, 185n.
Euthydemos II, 185, 185n.

F

Fa Hien, 146

Farrukhabad District, 146, 167, 170, 299
Fatehpur, 126, 130, 135-36
Ferozepur, 264
Foucher, A., 65n., 79
Führer, A. A., 52n.
Fyzabad District, 74

G

Gad, 11
Gāḍāyana, Godāyana, 58
Gadhikana, 108n.
Gaja-Lakshmi, 108, 140-41
Gajava, 267
Gājāyana, 58, 289
Gana, 9, 19, 72, 194-97, 234, 243, 245, 261, 261n., 275, 280
Ganamukhya, 195-96
Ganarājya, 195, 214n.
Gaṇapatināga, 169, 182, 300, 303, 309-11, 312n., 314-15
Gaṇapātha, 216, 279, 279n.
Gandaris, 241
Gandhāra, 3, 10, 12-13, 89-90, 226, 241, 320
Gandhāras, 251, 263
Gaṇendra, 312n.
Ganeshrā, 17, 100, 105, 153, 159, 165
Gangapur Tahsil, 292
Gangaridae, 240
Gaṅghdhar, 277n., 278
Ganges, 7, 73, 77, 167, 170, 290, 308, 317
Gangetic Valley, 9, 14, 319
Ganguli, D. C., 292
Gardner, P., 11n.
Gārīṣṭhati, See Viṣvadeva
Gārīṣṭhati, 5, 61
Garhwal District, 190, 208, 235
Garuda, 17, 46
Gaudapātra Āgaraju, See Āgaraju of Bharhut ins.

Gaudapātra Varunamitra, See Varunamitra of Kauśāmbī ins.

Gautamāputra Sātakarni, See Sātakarni

Gautamāputra Sivamagha, See Sivamagha

Gautamāputra, Vākāṭaka, 138n.

Gautamāputra Vindhyavedhana Vriśhadhvaja, Mahārāja, See Vṛisha-
dhvaja

Gaya, 69, 283

Ghagra, 74

Ghāṭajātaka, 244, 244n.

Ghāṭaka, 165

Ghāṭotkacha, 310

Ghazipur, 13

Ghosha, Ghoshavasu, 47-48, 54

Ghosh, A., 127n., 128n., 130n., 136n.

Ghosh, J.C., 30-31, 58, 225, 289n.

Ghosh, N.N., 34n., 53, 119

Ghosal, U.N., 196n., 197

Gheshitārāma, 137

Ghoshundī, 18, 58, 79, 268n., 289

Gīfjā, 17, 126, 129-30

Godāvari, 12

Gogra, 74

Gohad, 307

Gojara, 267

Gomimukhya, Gomin, 33, 39

Gomita, Gomitra of Ganesvara ins., 17, 105, 153

Gomita, Gomitra of Kanauj coins, 96n., 100, 102, 147, 149, 156

Gomita, Gomitra of Mathura coins, 96n., 100, 102, 105, 149, 149n., 152, 152n., 153, 155, 155n., 156, 158n., 159-60

Gonarda, 73

Gonda, 75

Gondophares, 11, 11n., 12, 221, 232, 232n.

Gopālikā Vaihidariputra Āśāḍhasena of Pabhosā ins., See Āśāḍhasena

Gopāliputra Brihaspatimitra, See Brihaspatimitra of Pabhosā ins.

Gopāliputra Suryamitra, Rājan, See Suryamitra of Mathurā ins.

Goptyin, 42, 49

Gorakhpur, 13

Govasana, 201

Grāma-nagara-nigama, 90

Greek coins, 7, 238

Greeks, 4, 6-7, 24, 26, 39, 41, 160, 248, 264-66, 284, 287, 321

Grierson, 234, 234n.

Griffith, R. T. H., 111n.

Gumbates, 14

GudnPahar, 11

Gujarat, 292

Gulf of Cambay, 85

Gumti, 74

Gupta era (year), 87, 128-29, 136n., 287

Gupta, K. K., 295

Gupta, P. L., 190n., 238, 247n., 248n., 249, 249n.

Guptas, 9, 14-15, 66n., 71, 84, 145, 168n., 270, 309, 316, 319, 321

Gurdaspur District, 176, 217, 217n., 265

Gurgaon District, 206

Gurjara, 291-92

Gurjarātra, 291

Gurukul Museum, 204n.

Guzerat, 291

Gwalior, 278, 306-07, 318

Gyaraspur, 277n., 278

H

Hoernle, A. F. R., 209
INDEX

Hâlas, 226
Hamirpur, 234
Haridatta, 188
Harishena, 128
Harivanîsa, 15, 29, 31-32, 32n., 33, 41, 86, 87n., 88, 88n., 107, 201, 224, 256, 284n.
Harsha Year, 66n.
Hastin, 282-83
Hastinâpur, 73, 77, 124n., 160n.
Hastipâla of Clay sealing, 124n.
Hastipâla of Kauśambi coins, 124, 124n., 174n.
Hâthibâdâ, 18, 289
Hâthigumphâ, 17, 40, 67-68, 68n., 99, 115, 118-19
Haug, M., 197
Hayanâga, 299, 299n.
Hebbata, 86
Heliodorus, 9, 36, 36n., 55, 63n., 64n., 79, 320
Helios, 205
Hemachandra, 254
Hemâdri, 175
Heracles, Herakles, 163, 185, 185n., 186n., 200
Hermaeus, 238
Himalaya, Himalayas, 188, 190n., 208, 235, 240
Hippostratus, 10
Hirañāsame (Hirañyâśrama), 72, 90-91
Hissar District, 147-48, 250-51
Hiuen Tsang, 78, 133, 146, 226-27, 234, 242, 254, 264, 278
Hosangabad District, 82, 85
Hoshiarpur District, 176, 217, 222, 252, 256n., 265
Hultzsch, E., 154
Hûnas, 226, 269
Hushka, 13
Huvishka, 114, 125, 129, 132-33, 133n., 134, 142, 165n., 187, 221
Hydaspes, 287
Hydraotis, 265
Hypanis, 7

Ikshvâku family, 271
Indrâgnimitra, Indrâgnimitra, 17, 66-68, 97, 173
Indramitra, See Indramitra
Indian Museum, 98n., 209, 270, 299, 305
Indica, 265
Indo-Greek coins, 163-64
Indo-Greeks, 72, 161, 198, 207, 221, 221n., 230, 236, 256, 318
Indo-Parthians, 232n., 253
Indore, 82, 288
Indore-Khera, 141n., 300, 315
Indo-Scythia, 10, 14
Indo-Scythian coins, 104, 231-32
Indo-Scythians, 9, 74, 232n., 255
Indo-Scythic rulers, 258
Indra, 179, 185, 295
Indrâgnimitra, See Indrâgnimitra
Indramitra, 55n., 67, 67n., 69, 95n., 97, 104, 172-73, 173n., 178, 178n., 179, 181, 183-85
Indrapura, 300, 315
Indraprastha, 233
Indravarmâ, Indravarman, 104, 231-32
Indus, 10, 38, 73, 198, 265, 287, 295
Iran, 10
Irrippal, 217
Iśvaramitra (Isaramita), 95., 113, 121, 123
Iśvaranandi, 173

J

Jabalpur, 85
Jacqueline, Pirenne, 83n.,
Jagannath, 48, 52n., 54
Jagatgram, 18, 92, 191
Johiyabar, 202
Jaijaivanti, 202n., 207n.
Jaikadeva, 277n.
Jai Prakash, 209n., 210n., 221n.,
238n., 250n.,
Jaipur, 149, 261, 263, 266, 274-75,
278, 291-92, 317
Jaitapurā, 288
Jālamāni, 253n.
Jālandhara, 34, 39, 216, 225, 227,
254
Jalauka, 3
Jāmaka, 267, 274
Jarāsandha, 245
Jātakas, 16, 76, 170, 240, 240n.,
245, 284, 284n., 290, 290n.
Jātinga Rāmesvara, 2n.
Jattaraur, 288
Jayadeva I, 66n.
Jayadeva II, 66n.
Jayagupta, 172-73, 179, 183-84
Jayamagha, 137, 137n.
Jayamitra, 95n., 172-73, 179-80,
183-84
Jayasoma, 274
Jayaswal, K. P., 8n., 23n., 27, 27n.,
29-30, 32n., 33, 40n., 42n., 43,
46, 50, 50n., 51, 51n., 53, 53n.,
54n., 55n., 57n., 66, 66n., 68n.,
81n., 92, 92n., 93, 95n., 106, 110n.,
118, 126n., 127n., 128, 138n.,
168, 194, 194n., 195, 195n., 196,
196n., 197n., 201, 203n., 210n.,
213, 216n., 218, 218n., 223-24,
234, 234n., 243, 251-52, 252n.,
263n., 266n., 269-70, 278, 282,
285, 289, 297-98, 298n., 299,
299n., 300-01, 301n., 303-09, 315,
315n.
Jayatsena, 274
Jayatur (Jetur, Jetuttura), 288
Jenkins, G. K., 161n.,
Jetabhata, *See* Jyesṭhabhūti
Jetadatta, *See* Jyesṭhadatta
Jetagata, *See* Jyesṭhagupta
Jetāmītā, *See* Jyesṭhamitra of
Kauśāmbi coins
Jetur, 288
Jetuttara, 284
Jetuttura, 288
Jhajjar, 204n.
Jhalawar, 278
Jhang District, 248, 254, 265, 279,
287-88
Jhansi, 307
Jhelum, 240
Jhushka, 13
Jitur, 288
Jīāgaṇa, 243, 245
Johiyas, 202, 210, 217
Johnston, 289n.
Jones, H. L., 7n.
Jumna (Jumunā) 77, 167, 202, 208,
233n., 234-35, 250, 290, 307
Junagarh, 12, 14n., 18, 202, 207,
268n., 273n., 274n.
Justin, 6n
Jwallāmukhī, 199, 217, 221, 239
INDEX

Jyeshṭha, 51
Jyeshṭabhūti (Jethabhata), 113, 113n., 117, 117n., 123
Jyeshṭhadat'a (Jethadata) of Ayo-
dhya coins, 139, 141, 141n., 142
Jyeshṭhadatta of Rajghat seal, 116, 141n.
Jyeshṭhagupt'a (Jethagata), 113n.
Jyeshṭhamitra of a Kauśāmbi ins., 17, 105, 115
Jyeshṭhamitra (Jethamita) of Kau-
sāmbi coins, 51, 59, 59n., 95n., 113, 115, 117, 117n., 119-20, 123
Jyeshṭhamitra of Rajghat sealing, 116
Jyeshṭhapura, 288

K

Kabul, 7, 13, 206
Kabul Valley, 73
Kadamba, Kadambas, 86n., 217n., 283
Kadamba tree, 217n.
Kādambarī, 226
Kadphises I, 28n., 320
Kadphises II, Vima or Wema, 12, 230, 232, 320
Kailvan ins. (Year 108), 13, 17, 69-70
Kaithal, 216n.
Kalachuri, Kalachuris, 79n., 85
Kalachuri-Chedi era, 128-29, 136n.
Kālaka, 84,
Kalawān, 11n.
Kalhana, 3, 254
Kali Age, 15, 22-23, 32, 41, 107
Kalidāsa, 7, 16, 21, 26, 35-36, 36n., 46, 49, 84
Kālikāpurāṇa, 224n.
Kaliṅga, 2-3, 40, 69, 126n., 233n.
Kaliṅgabodhi-Jātaka, 240n.

Kaliṅga Edict, 3, 83n.
Kaliyuga, 30
Kaliyuga-vṛittānta, 66n.
Kalsi Edicts, 191
Kāmadata, Kāmadatta, 152, 158-59
Kambisthali, 216n.
Kambojas, 226
Kāmpil, Kāmpilya, 170, 170n., 181
Kāniśa, 244
Kanaswa, 277n., 278
Kanauj, 73-74, 92, 96, 96n., 99-100, 102, 146-48, 148n., 149-51, 156, 167-68, 177, 177n., 283, 291, 293-94, 294n., 317
Kandahar, 1n., 13
Kanets, 234
Kangle, R. P., 9n.
Kangra, 199, 202, 217, 225, 234, 244, 254n., 265
Kaniśhka, 13, 13n., 14n., 70, 112, 112n., 114, 124-25, 129, 142, 142n., 165n., 166, 187, 191, 199n., 206, 221, 318, 320
Kaniśhka era (reckoning), 70, 129, 142
Kanpur, 105, 115, 122, 143n.
Kantit, 168, 299, 303-04, 306-07
Kāntipurī, 299-300, 303-04, 304n., 305-07, 310.
Kāṇvas, 8, 15, 46, 52, 56-58, 62-64, 64n., 65-66, 93, 225
Kāṇvāyanas, 52, 57
Kāṇyakubja, 73, 146, 151
Kāpiśa, 10, 13, 74
Kapiṣṭhalas, 216, 216n.
Kar, R. C., 256
Karkoṭa Nāgas, 262n., 263, 263n., 272
Karle, 321
Karma, 249
Karnal, 202, 206
Kārṣāpāṇas, 152
Kārttikeya, 96, 138, 186, 204, 204n., 205, 208, 214-15, 222, 239
Kāshmir, Kāśmīra, 3, 13, 34, 226, 240
Kāshmīras, Kāśmīras, 226, 234, 256
Kāśi, 73, 76, 76n.
Kāśiputra Bhāgabhadra, See Bhāga-
bhadra
Kāśyapa, 15, 29-33, 41
Kata, 28, 31...
Kathiawar, 13
Kaṭoḷch, 254n.
Kāṭyāyana, 194, 194n., 251, 262,
271n., 284n., 321
Kaulinda, Kuṇinda, 233
Kaulūta, 226n., 229n., 291
Kauṇḍoparatha, 253n.
Kuṇindas, 226, 234, 291
Kausāmbī (City), 92-95, 95n., 99,
101, 103-05, 106n., 108, 108n.,
110, 112, 112n., 113, 118
Kausāmbī (Country), 15, 17, 19,
37-38, 50-51, 56n., 59, 59n., 60,
60n., 61-62, 62n., 64, 64n., 67n.,
68-69, 69n., 72-74, 77, 77n., 78,
81, 108, 114, 115n., 116-17, 120-
24, 124n., 125-27, 129-38, 138n.,
144, 148-51, 151n., 152n., 155,
162, 163n., 174-75, 177, 179-80,
181n., 215n., 299, 303, 303n.,
304, 308, 317-18
Kausāmbī coins, 59, 59n., 60, 68,
68n., 69n., 109, 116-18, 140n.,
168, 299, 303-04
Kaushtaki, 253n.
Kauśikiputra Bhadradeva, Mahārāja,
See Bhadradeva, Bhaḍadeva
Kauṭilya, 8-9, 15, 15n., 16, 90, 194,
194n., 195-96, 196n., 198, 214n.,
241-42, 245, 266
Kautsīputra Praushṭhaśrī, Mahārāja,
See Praushṭhaśrī
Kautsīputra Śivamagha, Rājan, See
Śivamagha of Rajghat sealing
Kaveri, 290
Kāvyamūnāśa, 86n.
Kayan, 307
Keith, A. B., 111n., 224n.
Keny, L. B., 295
Kern, H., 22n., 23n., 61n., 201n.
Khajuraho, 291
Kharapallāna, 70
Khāravela, 40, 67-68, 99, 118-19,
126n.
Kharoshṭhī, 90, 162n., 163, 198-99,
199n., 218, 218n., 219-23, 229-
31, 236, 238, 242-44, 251-55,
256-58, 265
Khasas, 254
Khokra-kot, 202, 207
Kielhorn, F., 87, 275, 277, 277n.,
278n., 286, 286n.
Ki-pin, 13
Kīras, 226-27
K’iu-lu-to, 227
Kohadiputra (name lost) of Kau-
sāmbī ins., 116
Kolūkas (Kaulūtas ?), 226
Kolūta, 229n.
Konkon, Northern, 158
Kośala, 1, 5, 15, 37-38, 42, 42n.,
74-77, 92, 126, 133, 139, 171,
178
Kosam, 12, 19, 77-78, 105, 112, 122
Koshṭhaka, 33, 39-40
Kota (family), 71, 71n.
Kotah District, 61, 261, 278, 282
Kot-Kangra, 255n.
Krīmisha, 33-40
Krīṣṇa, 65n., 153, 296
Krīṣṇa Deva, 128n.
Krīṣṇasena, 128
Krīṣṇa-Vāsudeva, 244n.
Krīta-Mālava era, 270, 273-74, 276-
77, 277n., 278, 282-83
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kritikas, 204n.</td>
<td>212, 239, 250, 253, 261, 282, 298, 302, 308, 308n., 309, 318-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krivis, 170</td>
<td>Kuşanabha, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kshaharata, 162-72</td>
<td>Kusumadhwaja, 22-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kshatrapa, Kshatrapas, 14, 138, 163-65, 272, 298</td>
<td>Kusumapura, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuberanaga, 316</td>
<td>Kutwal, 306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukkuta-dhvaja, 143n.</td>
<td>Kutwar, 306-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kukkutārāma-vihāra, 33, 37</td>
<td>Kuvera, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulaṭas, Kulatthas, 226</td>
<td>Lahiri, A. N., 6n., 161n., 200n., 230n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulindrine, 233</td>
<td>Lahore, 18, 223n., 225, 256n., 265, 288, 315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulu, Kulu Valley, 218, 227, 233-34</td>
<td>Lakshmī, 95, 100, 102-03, 140, 153, 163, 165, 167, 204n., 205, 235, 237, 246, 252, 269, 279-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulūta coins, 96, 228, 291</td>
<td>Lakvira, Langavira, 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulūtas, 317</td>
<td>Lala Bhagat, 143n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumaon, 188, 190, 190n., 235</td>
<td>Lassen, C., 284n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumāra (title), 42</td>
<td>Lāṣyāyana-śrutasastra, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumāra (god), 186, 204n.</td>
<td>Lavanā, 305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumāragupta I, 186, 275n.</td>
<td>Law, B.C., 154, 166n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumārapāla, 286</td>
<td>Lawrence de Premierfeilt, 6n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumārapāla-cheritra-saranagraha, 286</td>
<td>Leles, M. V., 306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumrahar, 13</td>
<td>Lichchhavi, Lichchhavis, 66, 71, 71n., 196, 217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumudasena, 142-44, 189n.</td>
<td>London, 75, 224n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunawar, 234</td>
<td>Lower Indus Valley, 7-8, 12, 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunets, 234</td>
<td>Lucknow Museum, 172n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurara, 72, 82, 82n., 317</td>
<td>Lysias, 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuraṅgī, 66-68</td>
<td>Mā, 269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumnool District, 2, 2n.</td>
<td>Macdonald, G., 6n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuru, Kurus, 170, 245, 290</td>
<td>Macdonell and Keith, 224n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuṣa-jātaka, 240n.</td>
<td>MacDowall, D. W., 83n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kushāṇa era, 276</td>
<td>M. P. 182—47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mādhavasena, 49
Mādhavavarman of Ayodhya coins, 142-44, 189n.
Mādhavavarman I (Vishnu kuṇḍin), 308n.
Madhera, 210
Mādhovira, 210
Madmumati, 305
Madhuwar, 305
Madhyadeśa, 6, 8-9, 13, 16-17, 22-24, 26, 34, 65, 72-73, 92, 105-06, 194, 223, 285, 289-91, 317
Madhyamā, Madhyamāpurī, 18, 286
Mādhyanakeya, 286, 289
Madhyamikā, 4-5, 16, 18-19, 41, 73, 247, 261, 285, 285n., 286, 286n., 287-90, 317
Madhya Pradesh, 61, 63, 78, 82, 87, 126, 130, 265-66, 275-76, 278, 299-300, 306
Madra, Madradesa, 240, 240n., 241-42, 262, 280, 300
Madrakaras, 216
Madrakas, Madras, 196, 198, 201, 211, 216, 226, 234, 240-42, 253n., 263-64, 279, 282, 290, 318
Mādrī. 240n.
Māgadhā, 70
Magaja, 267, 270
Magajasa, 267
Magha coins, 131, 134
Magha inscriptions (records), 126, 128, 133, 136n.
Maghas (Meghas), 15, 109, 112, 112n., 124-26, 128-33, 137-38, 318
Magojava, 267
Mahābhārata, 15, 74n., 77n., 81n., 86, 87n., 146, 146n., 151, 170, 194, 194n., 195, 201, 204-05, 208-09, 211, 216, 226, 233-34, 240, 240n., 241, 244-45, 245n., 249, 249n., 250-51, 253, 254n., 256, 260, 262-63, 272, 272n., 279, 284, 284n., 286, 286n., 289-90, 290n., 292, 292n., 321
Mahābhāshya, 5, 5n., 6, 39, 42n., 75, 75n., 76n., 77, 77n., 83n., 87n., 146, 146n., 152
Mahābhūmitra, 96n., 222, 222n.
Mahādaṇḍanāyaka Mahāśvapatī
Vishnurakshita—See Vishnurakshita
Mahādeva (chief), 55n., 214, 219-20, 220n., 221, 221n., 224, 257, 257n.
Mahādeva (god), 219, 257-59
Mahādeva coins, 214
Mahādevī Rudramatī—See Rudramatī
Mahāgovinda-suttanta, 86
Mahājanapadas, 1, 76-77, 82, 170, 241
Mahākāla, 83
Mahākshatrāpa, 14, 160-61, 163-65
Mahākshatrāpa Rājuvula—See Rājuvula
Mahāmandalesvara, 28n.
Mahāmāyuri, 237, 308
Mahāmeghas, 126n.
Mahārāja, 12, 18, 130, 130n., 133, 135-36, 138, 158, 196, 198, 202, 207, 214, 214n., 235, 238, 238n., 269, 310, 314, 315n.
Mahārāja Amoghabhūti—See Amoghabhūti
Mahārāja Aparānta—See Aparānta
Mahārāja Chandēsvarahastin—See Chandēsvarahastin
Mahārāja Iśvarahastin—See Iśvarahastin
Mahārāja Gautamīputra Vindhyavedhana Vṛshadhvaja—See Vṛshadhvaja
Mahārāja Kauśikīputra Bhaṭṭadeva (Bhaḍeva)—See Bhadradeva
Mahārāja Kauśīputra Prausnīthṣaṅri—See Prausnīthṣaṅri
Mahārāja Mahāsenapati Yaudheya, 18, 196, 202, 207, 214n.
Mahārāja Nāgabhaṭṭa—See Nāgabhaṭṭa
Mahārāja Rājātirāja Devaputra Kushāṇa, 12
Mahārāja Saṃkarasimha—See Saṃkarasimha
Mahārāja Vāśishṭhiputra Bhīmasena—See Bhīmasena, Mahārāja
Mahārashṭra, 64
Mahārāya, 267, 274
Mahāsena, 138
Mahāsenapati, 18, 136, 196, 202, 207, 214n., 271, 274, 282
Mahāsenapati Bala—See Bala
Mahāsenapati Bhadrabāla—See Bhadrabāla
Mahāsenapati Bhaṭṭisoma—See Bhaṭṭisoma
Mahāsenapati Rudrāmagha—See Rudrāmagha
Mahāśvapati Mahādaṇḍanāyaka Vishnurakshita—See Vishnurakshita
Mahāvāniśa, 86, 86n.
Mahāvarunṛṣa, 123
Māheśvar (Mahēswara), 85, 86n., 87-88
Māheśvara (god) 138
Māheśvaranāga, 18, 315
Māhet, 139n.
Mahīmitra, 96n., 222, 222n., 223
Mahīsati—See Māhīshmatī
Mahisha, 86, 86n.
Mahishaka, Māhishakas, 86
Mahishamanḍala, 'Mahisharāṣṭra (raṭṭha), 86
Māhishmakas, 86
Māhishmat, 86
Māhishmati, Māhīsati, Māhissati, 72-74, 79, 85-87, 87n., 88-89, 89n., 279n., 317
Majhamikā, Majhimikā, 247, 285-86
Majumdar, N G., 43n., 68n., 110n., 128n., 153, 153n., 232n.
Majumdar, R. C., 6n., 8n., 27, 38, 40n., 44, 61n., 66n., 70n., 83n., 194, 194n., 195, 195n., 196n., 197n.
Majupa, 267, 270
Mala, 262, 267
Malai, 262
Māḷatīmādhava, 16, 305-06
Māḷava coins, 262n., 263, 265-71, 271n., 272, 274-75, 280-81, 310
Māḷava era, kāla, sarīvat, year, 276-78, 282-83
Māḷava-Kshudraka Leaguë, 262
Māḷavanagara, 264, 272
Māḷavi, 262
Māḷavis, 278
Malaviya, 278
Malaya, 262, 262n., 264, 267
Malloi, Malluoi, 260, 262-65
Malwa, 44n., 61, 65, 78, 81n., 82-84, 265-66, 278, 280, 300, 303, 307
Malwai dialect, 264
Mambaros, 216n.
Māṇavadharmasāstru, 32n., 42, 321
Mandākinī, 37n.
Mandāra, 234
Mandasor, 275n., 277n., 278, 278n.
Mândhātā, 85, 87-88, 262n.
Mandi State, 233n.
Maṇibhadra (Yaksha), 18, 298, 306
Maṇikīāla, 13
Mankad, D. R., 22n.
Maṇjuśrīmūlakalpa, 39, 308
Mantri-parishad, 42, 209n.
Manusmrīti, 321
Mao, 205
Mapaka, 267, 274
Mapaya, 267
Mapojava, 267
Maraja, 267-274
Marcel, Toussaint Reinaud, 83n.
Maroundai, 70
Marshall, Foucher and Majumdar, 65n.
Martin, R. E., 188
Maru, 205-06, 254n., 260, 273
Marubhūmi, 211-12
Māṣapa, 267
Mathurā coins, 67, 167, 280, 280n., 298-99
Mathurā Door-jamb ins., 164n.
Mathurā Jail Mound ins., 164n.
Mathurā Lion Capital ins., 10, 162, 162n., 164
Matila, Mattila, 300, 315, 315n.
Mettamayūrakas, 208
Matsyas, 290
Maues, 10, 162-63, 163n.
Maukhris, 18, 69, 261, 282-83, 318
Mauryas, 1, 4, 8-9, 14, 33-34, 39, 42n., 44, 44n., 72-73, 94n., 151, 205, 241, 302, 317, 319
Maya, 267
Mayūrasarman (Kadamba), 283, 308n.
McCrinkle, 7n., 241, 248n., 265, 265n., 279, 279n., 284n., 287, 291n.
Meghas (Maghas), 15, 133
Megasthenes, 151
Mekalā, 126
Menāligarh, 277n.
Menander I, 7, 8, 10, 26, 39-40, 104, 160-61, 198, 205, 232n., 241, 186, 186n., 320
Menander Dikaios, 186n.
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Mengthala, 277n.
Merutunga, 16, 21, 44
Methora, 151
Mewar, 286
Milindapaïha, 7, 26, 241
Mirashi, V. V., 79n., 136n.
Mirzapur, 168, 299, 306
Mithra, 205
Mitrás, 66, 69n., 92-93, 98, 106, 143, 149, 151, 155-56, 159-61, 171
Mitra Deva, 52, 52n., 62, 67
Mitra Shastri, Ajay, 257n.
Mlechchhas, 228
Moga, 10
Moggaliputta Tissa, 86
Mohant Ghasidas Memorial Museum, 79
Mohenjodaro, 295-96
Mohor, 306
Mo-lo-po, 264, 278
Mo-lo-so, 264
Montgomery District, 265
Morá, 18, 99, 105, 109-11, 115, 153, 153n., 162, 162n., 242n., 244
Morena District, 306
Motichandra, 130n., 132n., 136n.
Mount Judh, 202
Mrigabhûti (M-g-bh-t), 188, 190
Muchukunda, 86
Mudrárākshasa, 226, 228, 264
Mûladeva of Harshacharita, 52n., 141n.
Mûladeva of Ayodhyā coins, 54n., 139, 141, 141n., 142n.
Mûlahastra, 124-25, 125n.
Multan, 265, 288
Muruṇḍa, Muruṇḍas, 70, 70n., 319
Musanagar, 17, 115, 122
Mysore, 2, 2n., 86, 86n., 196n.

N
Nágabhaṭta, Mahārāja, 315, 315n.
Nágadasaka, 297
Nágadatta, 300, 315, 315n.
Nágadevi, 66-68, 153n.
Nágama, 108n.
Nágapura, 295
Nágar, 263-64, 271-72
Nágara, 23
Nágarája, 296
Nágarakhaṇḍa, 295
Nágara-niṣaṇa-janapada, 90
Nágari, 18, 58, 278, 278n., 286, 286n., 287-89, 289n.
Nágas, 15, 80, 166-67, 177, 262n., 264, 272, 295-301, 305-09, 315, 318-19
Nágasena, 16, 169, 300, 305, 309, 314-15
Nahapāna, 18, 165, 262n., 263, 272, 301-02
Nahar, P. C., 50n.
Nakhavant, 301
Nakula, 205, 240n., 254n., 272, 284
Nānāghāṭ, 65n.
Nandas, 1, 44n.
Nandi, 181, 315
Nandi, Śrī, 172-73, 180-81, 184-85, 188
Nandipada-standard, 147
Nandipada-triśūla, 117
Nandisoma, 270, 273-75, 275n.
Nandisomapura, 274
Nandinivasas, 80, 297-98
Nāndaśa, 18, 270, 271n., 273-75, 275n., 276, 278, 278n.
Naradatta, Naradat'a, 139, 141, 141n., 142
Narain, A. K., 8n., 198n.
Naraina, Narāna (Bazāna) 291-92
Naravarman, 275n., 277n.
Nārāyan, Nārāyaṇa, Nārāyanpur, 291
Nārāyaṇa (Kānya king), 57
Narbadā, Narmādā 37, 37n., 38, 73, 82, 84-85, 86n., 88, 307, 317
Narwar, 38, 305-07, 309
Nasik, 12, 18, 65, 65n., 133n., 262n., 263, 272, 274
Nasik Cave ins., 133n., 262n., 263, 272
Naurangabad, 203n., 204n.
Nava (Nāga king), 168, 299, 303-04
Nava of Kausāmbi coins, 122, 124-25, 125n., 126, 131, 168, 299, 303
Navda Toli, 88-89, 89n.
Nāvika, 123n.
Navva 125n.
Negama, 90
Negamā coins, 197n.
Nepal, 66n., 74, 190n., 233n., 234n.
Nepalese Tarar, 2
New Delhi, 219n.
Nichakshu, 77
Nigama, 194, 196-97
Nīke, Winged, 186n.
Nilakaṇṭha, 88
Nimar District, 87
North-Western Frontier Province, 2, 14, 231
Numismatic Society of India, 97n.
Nurpur, 217, 217n.

Odumbara, Odumbari, Odomboeroe, 216n., 217, 218n., 222
Okhamandal State, 277n.
Orissa, 13, 126n.
Orosius, 201

Pachha, 267
Pacores, 12
Padam Pawaya, 306
Padmapura, 305
Padmāvati, 16, 18, 167-69, 266, 282, 298-304, 304n., 305-12, 312n., 313, 313n., 314-15, 318
Padmāvati coins, 299, 303, 307, 309
Paikuli, 14
Pālaka, 44n.
Palibothra, 7
Paṅchāla coins, 54n., 55n., 58, 60, 67n., 68n., 69n., 118, 120-21, 149-51, 156, 223, 302
Paṅchālas, 170
Pāṇḍavas, 241
Pandey, Deenabandhu, 204n.
Pandit, Shankar Pandurang, 36n.
Pandoouou, 241
Pāṇini, 5n., 8-9, 15, 15n., 16, 21, 31-32, 32n., 42n., 75, 77n., 87n., 89, 152n., 192, 194, 194n., 201, 201n., 205, 209, 213, 214n.,
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216, 216n., 241, 241n., 242, 242n., 247n., 251, 253, 253n., 254n., 292, 321
Pawaya, 18, 298, 303, 306-7, 312n.
Paya, 267, 269, 274
Periplus (of the Erythraean Sea), 8, 10, 12, 17, 17n., 82n., 83-84, 88n., 216n.
Peshawar, 12, 89
Phagunimitra—See Phālguṇimitra
Phalgu, 135
Phalgu, 139, 142n.
Phālguṇ, 106, 175
Phālguṇimitra, 95n., 172-73, 175, 183-84
Phraotes, 11
Pillai, S. K., 24n., 52n., 56n.
Pliny, 216, 216n.
Podder, H. P., 102, 105, 154
Poona, 310n.
Porus (II), 240
Poṭhadeva—See Praushṭadeva
Poṭhamita—See Praushṭhamitra
Poṭhasiri—See Praushṭhasiri
Powell-Price, 160-61, 189, 190n., 235, 235n.
Prabhākaranāga, 309, 312, 312n., 313-14
Prabhāvaṅguptā, 310, 310n.
Prabhunāga, 313-14
Prajāpati, 101, 179
Prajāpatimitra of Kauśāmbi coins, 95n., 101, 113, 121-23, 179
Prajāpatimitra of Paṇḍiṭa coins, 95n., 101, 172-73, 179, 183-84
Prasenajit, 75
Pratisūṭhāna, 73, 73n., 87-88
Praushṭhadeva (Poṭhadeva), 139-40, 140n., 141
Praushṭhamitra (Poṭhamita), 95n., 113, 117, 117n., 119-20, 123
Praushṭhasiri (Poṭhasiri), Kaustubhputra Mahārāja, 127, 130-34, 134n., 135
Pravarasena I, 138n., 308n.

Panipat, 203
Panjiā, 12
Panna Lall, 247, 247n.
Panteleon, 91
Pārā, 305
Parab, Kasinath Pandurang, 36n.
Paramāra, Paramāras, 84, 87
Pārāśāriputra Sarvatāta—See Sarvatāta
Pārasikas, 226
Pārāyaṇa, 73n., 87n.
Pārgiter, F., 27n., 47n., 49, 49n., 53, 85n., 86n., 88, 88n., 168n., 190n., 216n., 233n., 234n., 284n., 290
Pārīpatra, Pāriyātra mts., 88, 263
Paris, 22n.
Pārśvanātha, 296
Parthians, 9, 11
Parvata, Pavata, 113, 113n., 116, 121, 121n., 123
Paśupati-Siva 296
Pa'ālene, 7
Patañjali, 5, 5n., 15, 15n., 16, 21, 27, 32, 39, 41-42, 73, 75, 75n., 76-77, 83, 87-88, 146, 152, 244, 251, 262, 266n., 271n., 279, 284, 284n., 285-88, 321
Pathankot, 217, 221, 225
Pathar Range, 263
Patiala, Pattiala, 248, 264, 290
Patna Dist., 69
Pavata—See Parvata
Prayāga, 168n., 309
Prayag Dayal, 235n.
Prinsep, James, 202n.
Prithvirāja, Chāhamāna, 277n.
Priyamitra. 95n., 121, 123
Przybuski, M. J., 225n., 247-49
Ptolemy. 17, 70, 83, 216n., 241, 248, 251
Pukkusāti, 90
Pulinda, Pulindaka. 47-48, 54, 54n.
Pulomā, 66n.
Pulomāvi, Vāśishṭiputra, 133n.
Puṁrāga, 314
Puṇgāsena, 172n.
Puramagha, 137, 137n.
Purana Qila, 160n.
Puri, B. N., 166n.
Purikā, 80, 80n., 298
Puru-Bharatas, 244-45
Purushadatta, 152, 156, 159
Purushamedha (sacrifice), 111
Purvaphālguni, 175
Pushamita, 77
Pushapura, 22
Pushkalavati, 10, 74n., 89
Pushkara forest, 272
Pushkarana, Pushkarata, 77
Pushpāhvaya, 71
Pushpamitra—See Pushyamitra
Pushpapura, 23, 66n., 71
Pushya, 40, 53
Q
Quintus Curtius, 248, 248n., 265, 265n.
R
Rādhamitra, 95n., 121, 123
Raghuvarṇa, 80n., 88, 88n.
Raiipur, 79, 126
Rainh, 104, 149, 180, 261, 263, 266, 291-93
Rājā, Rājū, 124n., 238n., 255, 263
Rājā Agra, 248
Rājā Amar Singh, 248
Rājā Machhakanda, 262n.
Rājamitra of Kauśāmbī coins, 95n., 115, 121
Rājamitra of Kauśāmbī inscription, 17, 105, 113, 113n., 115
Rājan, 26-27, 36, 42, 49, 121, 124, 126n., 128, 130, 130n., 135, 143, 157n., 175, 196, 198, 222, 238, 258
Rājan Abhaya—See Abhaya
Rājan Aśvaghosha—See Aśvaghosha of Sarnath inscription
Rājan Bhīmasena—See Bhīmasena of Rajghat seal
Rājan Bhīmasena, Vāśishṭiputra—
See Bhīmasena of a Bhīṭā seal
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Rājan Dhanadeva—See Dhanadeva of Rajghat seal
Rājan Rudravarman, Vaimaki—See Rudravarman
Rājan Śivamagha, Kautṣīputra—See Śivamagha of Rajghat sealing
Rājan Śūryamitra, Gopālputra—See Śūryamitra of Mathurā ins.
Rajanīmi'ra, 113, 121-25, 125n.
Rājanya coins, 251-53, 280 280n.
Rājanyas, 15, 197-98, 201, 216, 241, 263, 279, 317
Rājarāja, Rājarāṇa, Rājarājña, 257
Rājaśekhara, 76n., 86, 86n., 292
Rajasthan, 9, 13, 18, 58; 61, 69, 72, 158, 207, 211, 251, 254n., 260-61, 263-66, 266n., 271n., 272, 275-76, 278-79, 283-84, 288, 291, 300, 317-18
Rājasūya, sacrifice, 32
Rājatarāṅgini, 3, 3n., 254
Rājātiṇāja, 12
Rajghat, 76, 116, 125, 125n., 128, 133, 315n.
Rajigir, 76
Rājña, 251
Rajputana, 280
Rājula—See Rājuvula
Rāju'a-Mañḍagiri, 2n.
Rājuvula, Rājula, Rañjuvula, Mahākshatrapa, 155, 160-61, 161n., 162, 162n., 163, 163n., 164, 164n., 165-66, 242n., 244
Rajwara, 251
Rāmachandra (or Sadāchandra), 297-98, 301
Ramachandran, T. N., 191-92, 192n., 193
Rāmadat'a (Rāmadata), 152, 156-57, 157n., 158-59, 298
Rāmamitra, 113, 119-20, 123, 195n.
Rāmāyana, 74, 74n., 77n., 146, 226n.
Rāmnagar, 17, 100, 104, 170, 174, 180
Raṅganātha, 24n.
Rañjuvula—See Rājuvula
Rāpi, 75
Ravi, 217, 240, 244, 254, 265
Ravināga, 310, 312, 312n., 313-14
Rawalpindi, 13, 89
Raychaudhuri, H. C., 5n., 15, 29-30, 32n., 34, 40n., 44n., 53n., 54n., 57n., 63n., 64, 67n., 74, 80, 88, 93, 115, 244, 290, 315
Revatimita, 77
Rewa, 17, 126, 130
Rhys Davids, 74-75
Rigveda, 224, 284, 295
Riksha mountains, 88
Rīshabhadatta (Ushavādāta), 272, 274, 320
Rivett-Carnac, 92, 93n., 178n.
Rogers, 203n., 246
Rohilkhand, 170
Rohitaka, 205, 208, 211, 225, 247, 249
Rohtak, 202, 202n., 203, 203n., 204, 205-07, 207n., 208-09, 211-12, 212n., 225, 247
Rohtayya, 180
Rudaghosh—See Rudragosh
Rudra-Siva, 180, 184, 296
Rudra, Śrī, 139
Rudradāman (I), 14, 14n., 18, 202, 205-08, 268n., 272-73, 273n., 274, 274n., 320
Rudradāsa, 218, 218n., 219, 221

O.P. 182-48
Rudradeva of Allahabad pillar inscription, 139
Rudraghosha (Rudaghosha), 172-73, 176, 183, 185
Rudragupta, 172-73, 179-80, 183-84
Rudramagha, Mahâsenâpati, 128
Rudramati, Mahâdevi, 138, 138n.
Rudrasena (I), Vâkâtaka, 298-99, 303, 310-11
Rudrasena, Western Satrap, 320
Rudrarvarman, Vaimaki, Râjun, 197, 199, 220, 220n., 255-56, 256n., 257-59
Rupar, 160n.

S

Sabagrae, 201
Sabalasena, 168n.
Salri (inscription), 233n.
Sachâmi a (Satyamitra), 101, 114n., 152n., 229
Sadâchandra—See Ramachandra
Sadânirâ, 74
Sagala, Sagala-nagara, 240, 241
Sâgar, 81
Sâgaradvipa, 7
Sahadeva, 240n.
Saharanpur, 202-03, 206, 234, 290
Sahil'a, 254
Sahni, D. R., 43n., 127-28
Sai, Sarpikâ or Syandikâ, 74-75
Sâibya, 201, 284
Sairindhas, 234
Saka Era, 12-13, 69, 114, 129, 133, 136n., 276
Sâkala, 8, 26, 33, 35, 39-40, 58, 198, 225, 240-42, 247
Saka-Muruṇḍa, 70n., 319
Sakas, 10-11, 18, 84, 159-60, 205, 207-09, 250, 261, 263, 269, 272-73, 281-83, 294, 318
Saka Satraps (Kshatrapas), 10, 12, 159-60, 162, 182, 276, 282, 289, 301-02
Sakastâna, 10
Sâketa, 4-5, 13, 16-17, 22-23, 23n., 41, 61n., 73-75, 75n., 145, 168n., 309
Sakhadeva, 80n.
Sakti, 187
Sakuntalâ, 30n.
Sâliśûka, 4-5, 5n.
Salt Range, 202
Sâlvas, 250
Samâbhâga, 47, 55
Sâmaveda, 28
Sambhar Dist., 291
Sambraccoe, 201
Sâmkasarâsimha, Mahârâja, 138
Sâmkarsânâna, 58, 289
Sâmkâsya, 73
Sâñchî, 13, 65, 68n., 79, 79n., 81-83, 83n., 87, 216, 225, 321
Sâñghadâman, 273
Sâṅgala, 241-42
Sâṅghamitra, 95n., 142-44, 189n.
Sâṅgha-mukhyâ, 195
Sâṅghas, 8-9, 16, 194-96, 214n., 241, 245, 251
Sâṅkaravarman, 254
Sankisa 73
Sâṅkumâgha, 137, 137n.
Sapamita—See Sarpamitra
Sapta-Soma-saṅsthã, 274
Sâradaṇḍas, 216
Sarajû, 74
Sâraostes, 7
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Sarasvatī, 290
Sārikā, 314
Sarnath, 13, 17, 70n., 76, 112, 113n., 114
Sarpamitra (Sapamita), 95n., 113, 121, 123
Sarpikā—See Sai
Sarvanāga, 316
Sarvatāta, Pārāśarīputra, 18, 58, 268n., 289, 289n.
Sassanian, 14
Sastri, H. P., 2n., 25-26, 28, 34
Satadru, 233n.
Sātakarnī (I), 65, 65n., 289n.
Sātakarnī (II), 65n., 68n.
Sātakarnī, Gautamīputra, 133, 133n.
Satamagha, 128, 128n., 137
Satamita, Satamittra, of Kausāṃbī coins, 95n., 101, 114, 114n., 121, 123, 144n.
Satamita, Satamitra, of Mathurā coins, 152, 152n., 156
Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, 111, 176, 176n., 245, 245n.
Sāta, Sīri, 65
Sātvāhanas, 12, 15, 94, 133n., 302
Satlej—See Sutlej
Satna–Rewa region, 61
Satpura range, 88
Sātvatas, 242, 245
Sātyamita, Satyamitra, of Ayodhya coins, 95n., 101, 121, 121n., 142, 144, 144n., 152n., 231n.
Sātyamitra (Sachamita) of Kulūta coins, 96n., 101, 114n., 144n., 229n., 231, 231n.
Saugar University, 85
Saunakāyaṇīputra Vaṅgapāla—See Vaṅgapāla of Pabhosā ins.
Saunetra, 209
Sauniga–Saśīreya, 31
Saurāshṭra, 7, 12, 85
Sauvīra, 273
Sāvitrī, 240n., 262
Scharpe, A., 36n.
Schiefer, 3n., 27n., 34n.
Schoff, 82n., 83n.
Scythian, 9-10
Scytho-Parthain era, 276
Scytho-Parthians, 276, 318
Seleucus, 4
Senānī, 26, 30, 32, 41
Senāpati, 6, 21, 26-28, 30, 32, 32n., 36-37, 139
Senāpati Pushyamitra, 139
Seshadatta (Sesadata), 152, 158-59, 298
Sesha Nāgarāja, 297-98, 301-02
Set Mahet, 13, 75, 142, 189-90
Shah Dheri, 89
Shama Sastri, R., 196n., 245n.
Shams-i-Siraj Affīf, 248
Shar-i-kuna, 1n.
Shashthi, 204, 204n.
She-kie-lo, 242
She-lan-to-lo, 254
Shembavnekar, K.M., 194
Sheopur, 287
Shivnagari, 287
Shivpuri Dist., 305, 307
Shor, 287, 287n.
Shorkot, 18, 265, 287-88
Sialkot, 39, 161n., 225, 240, 247
Śība, 290
Śibi, 283-84
Śibi coins, 247, 285-89
Śibipura, Śivapura, 18, 284, 287-88
Śibis, Śivis, 15, 18-19, 197, 201, 244, 247-48, 250, 254, 254n., 260-61, 265, 279, 284n., 285, 287-91, 317
Śiboi, Sōbii, 248, 284, 284n., 287
Siddāpura, 2n.
Sigerdis, 7
Silavarman, 18, 191-93
Simla Hills, 234
Simonne, A., 161n.
Simuka, Sišuka, 56n., 58, 80, 298, 298n., 303
Sind, Siadh, 8, 13, 261, 290, 306
Sindhu, 7, 16, 38-39, 41, 51, 273, 305
Sindhuka, 56, 56n.
Singh Roy, S., 76
Sipra, 82, 89
Sirishika, 211-12
Sirkap, 89, 163
Sirhoi District, 277n.
Sirs, 203
Sirsawa, 234
Sirsukh, 89
Sišucandrāda, Sišuchandradatta, 166-67, 298
Sišuka—See Simuka
Sišunandi, Sišuhanandin, 61n., 63, 64, 80, 297-98
Siva, 71n., 83, 106, 179n., 186-87, 214, 225, 235-36, 258, 296-97, 301n., 320
Sivadasa, 218, 218n., 219, 221
Sivadata, Sivadatta, of an Allahabad inscription, 125
Sivada’a, Sivadatta, of Almorā coins, 141n., 188-90
Sivadata, Sivadatta, of Ayodhyā coins, 139-41, 141n., 142, 189-90
Sivadata, Sivadatta, of Mathurā coins, 160, 162, 165, 298, 320
Sivadeśa, 284
Sivagana, 277n.
Sivaghosha (or Vavaghosha) of Kauśambī coins, 114, 114n., 116-17, 117n., 118, 123
Sivaghosha of Mathurā coins, 160, 162, 165, 320
Sivagupta, 80n.
Sivāji, 57
Siva-liṅga, 296
Sivamagha, Rājan Kautṣiputra, of Rajghat sealing, 128
Sivamitra, 17, 105, 115, 122-23
Sivanandi, Śrī, of Pañchāla coins, 172-73, 180-81, 184-85, 188
Sivanandi of Pawaya inscription, 18, 298, 306
Sivapālīta, 188, 190
Sivapura—See Śibipura
Sivapauras, Śivas, 284
Siwalik hills, 234
Skanda, 204, 204n.
Skandagupta, 316
Skandanāga, 309, 312, 312n., 313-14
Smṛiśis, 321
Sobii—See Siboi
Soḍāsa, 160-62, 164
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Sohoffi, S. V., 218
Somadeva, 282
Soma sacrifice, 271, 274
Somavarmadeva, 227, 254
Sonait, 209
Sonpat Sonpath, 202, 202n., 207
Sophagadeneus, Subhāgasena, 3
Soter, 244
Soter Megas, 230
Souraseno, 151
Śravastī, 75-75, 139n., 189-90, 190n.
Śrī, Śrī-Lakshmi, 237
Srinagar, 235, 235n.
Śrī-Nandi—See Nandi, Śrī
Śrī Rudra, 139
Śrī (Siri) Sāla—See Sāta, Śrī
Śrī Śivanandi—See Śivanandi, Śrī
Srivastava, H. L., 248
Śrughna, 73, 234, 300, 315n.
Sten Konow, 70n., 129, 129n.
Sthalakosha, 40
Strabo, 7, 7n., 213, 213n.
Strategoi, Strategos, 11, 104, 232, 232n.
Strato, Stratos, 246
Strato (I), 186n.
Strato II, 161n., 162, 162n., 163, 186n.
Strato III, 186n.
St. Thomas, 11
Śūpha, Śūpas, 218, 225
Subhāgasena—See Sophagadeneus
Śudarśanachakra, 182
Śudāsa, 284
Sudatta, Prince, 288
Sudavapa coins, 291-94
Sudavapa Dharmamitra, 180
Sudavapas, 291
Sudavapa Sūryamitra—See Sūryamitra, Sudavapa
Sudeva, 109, 109n., 110, 116, 122
Sugh, 73, 234, 300
Sui Vihar, 13
Sujan Kot, 75
Śūyeshṭha, 43, 47, 51, 51n., 59
Śūlagava sacrifice, 280
Sumari, 235
Sumi:ra, 51, 51n., 52
Sunet, Sunetra, 202, 209
Śuṅgarāja, 120
Śuṅgavarman, 148, 150, 150n., 151
Supushpa, 66n.
Suramitra, 95n., 114, 121, 123
Śūrasenas, 151, 290
Suratgarh, 203
Surkh-Kotal, 13
Śūrya, 106, 176, 184, 187
Sūryamitra, Suyamitra, Ayyamitra, of Ayodhyā coins—See Āyumitra
Sūryamitra of Kanauj coins, 96n., 146-49, 149n., 150, 293
Sūryamitra, Suyamita, of Mathurā coins, 96n., 102, 105, 152-53, 156, 159-60, 161n., 293
Sūryamitra, Rājan Gopāliputra, of a Mathurā inscription, 17, 102, 154
Sūryamitra, Suyamitra, of Pañchāla coins, 95n., 102, 172-73, 176, 177, 177n., 183-84, 223, 223n., 293
Sūryamitra, Sudavapa. 96n., 102, 292-94
Sūryamitra, Udeshaki, 96n., 102, 292, 294
Susarman, 56, 56n., 57-58, 65, 289n.
Sutlej, Satlej, 202-03, 208-09, 213,
217, 224, 233, 233n., 234-35, 254, 280, 288
Suttanipāta, 73n., 87, 87n., 224
Sūyamita—See Sūryamitra of Mathurā coins
Suyamitra—See Sūryamitra of Pañcchāla coins
Śvāmi Īrāsenā—See Īrāsenā, Śvāmi
Śvapna-Vāsavadattā, 77
Śvēna-chiti—See Śvēna-chiti
Svat valley, 40
Swiney, 237
Syria, 3
Syandikā—See Sai
Śvēna-chiti, Śvēna-chiti, 111, 191

T
Tāka-vanśa, 300
Takshaśila—See Taxila
Tālas, 226
Taluqdar, A., 47n.
Tambāvatī Nāgari, 19, 286
Tānganas, 226
Tappa Mewa, 234
Tārānātha, 3, 3n., 27, 27n., 32, 32n., 34, 34n., 38
Tarn, W.W., 6, 6n., 10n., 23n, 35-36, 198n.
Taxila, 3, 9-11, 11n., 12, 36, 54, 72, 74-75, 75n., 76, 89-91, 96, 96n., 101, 103-04, 143n., 163, 197, 197n., 225, 227-28, 230-33, 284
Terry, 290
Tewar, 85
Theobald, 237
Theophilus, 200, 200n.
Therāvali, 16, 44
Thevenot, 290
Thomas, 29n., 44n., 169n., 275, 314n.
Tiastenes, 83
Tilakhalas, 216
Tipuri—See Tripuri
Tīrthankara, 296
Tod, 161, 251
Tonk Dist., 272
Tons, 234
Toramāṇa, 71n., 81, 165
Traipuras, 85n.
Traivarniputra Bhāgavata—See Bhāgavata of Pabhosā cave inscription
Tratara, 243-44
Trayanāga, 299, 299n.
Trigartadesa, 254
Trigartas, 15, 197-98, 201, 216, 227, 241, 263, 284, 290, 317
Trigarta-shashṭha, 253
Tripathi, R. R., 181n.
Tripurāsura, 85
Tripuri, Tripuri, 72, 84-85, 85n., 90, 317
Trirātra sacrifice, 282
Trivandrum, 24n.
Trivedi, H. V., 302, 310n., 311-12
Tukhāras, 226

U
Udaipur Dist., 273, 275, 278, 286
Ūdāka, 17, 53, 53n., 54n., 60n., 67n., 105n., 116, 118-20, 123
Udavapa, Udavapa, 292
Udayana, 77
Udhehika coins, 102, 104, 261, 291-94
Udhehikas, 92, 104, 261, 291-92, 318
Udehaki, 96, 102, 149, 291-92
Udehaki Sūryamitra—See Sūryamitra of Udhehika coins
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Udumbara (tree), 217, 217n., 218, 220, 224
Udumbaras, 216n., 227
Udvapa—See Udavapa
Ujëni, 90
Ulūta, Utūla, 226
Unao Dist., 75
Uniyāra, 263, 272
Uragapura, 295
Ushavatā, Ṛishabhadatta, 272. 274, 320
Uṣhrapāḍa Yāksa, 237
Uṣānara, 284, 284n., 290
Utsavasāṅketas, 272, 272n.
Uttamabhādras, 263, 272
Uttamadatta, Uttamadatta, 152, 156-57, 157n., 158-59, 166
Uttamakas, Uttamarnas, 272
Uttara-Kurus, 197, 254
Uttara-Madras, 197, 240
Utarāpatha, 26
Uttar-Phālguni, 175
Uttar Pradesh, U.P., 146, 151, 158, 163, 170, 188, 191, 207, 283, 300
Utūla—See Ulūta

V
Vādhapāla of a Bhārhut inscription. 154, 154n.
Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti, Vātsīputra—See Dhanabhūti II
Vagapala—See Vaṅgapāla of Pañchāla coins
Vaiḍīśaka Nāgas, 301-02
Vaiḍīśanagara, 79n.
Vaihīdāripūtra Gopālikā Āśāḥasena—See Āśāḥhasena
Vaimaki Rudravarman, Rājan—See Rudravarman
Vaimakis, 197-98, 214, 220-21, 256
Vairat, Vairāta, 291
Vaiśāli, 13, 69n., 73, 73n., 97
Vaiśravaṇa, 127, 131-32, 135-37
Vaiyāmakas, 15, 256
Vājapeya sacrifice, 289n.
Vajramitra, 47-48, 55, 55n., 224
Vākāṭaka Gautamīputra, 138n.
Vākāṭakas, 15, 128, 299, 301
Vanaspara, 70
Vaṅgapāla, Vaṅgapala (of Pañchāla coins), 104n., 118, 172, 172n., 173-74, 174n., 175, 180, 183, 185, 187
Vaṅgapāla, Saunakāyanaṇiputra, of Pabhosā inscription, 173
Vaṅgāra, 297, 301
Varāhamihira, 16, 22n., 201, 216, 226-28, 233, 242, 251, 263, 279, 284, 286, 289, 291
Varanā, 76n.
Vārāṇasi, 13, 72-74, 76, 76n., 112, 116, 125, 151, 151n., 187n., 190n., 317
Varuṇamita, Varuṇamitra of Kauśāmbī coins, 95n., 103, 114, 116, 120, 123, 180, 181n.
Varuṇamitra, Gauptiputra, of a Kauśāmbī inscription, 17, 105, 116, 120-21, 173n.
Varuṇamitra of Pañchāla coins, 95n., 103, 120, 172-73, 173n., 180, 184-85
Vāsavadattā, 83
Vāśishka, 165n.
Vāśīthiputra Bhīmasena, Mahāraja—See Bhīmasena of inscriptions
Vāśīthiputra Bhīmasena, Rājan—See Bhīmasena of Bhīṭā seal
Vāṣiṣṭhīputra Chitrasena—See Chitrasena, Chitrasena
Vāṣiṣṭhīputra Puḷumāvi—See Puḷumāvi
Vāsudeva (god), 58, 289
Vāsudeva Kāṇva, 16, 56, 56n., 57, 62, 64
Vāsudeva, Kushāna king, 14, 124n., 125, 129, 131-32, 165n., 166, 166n., 186, 186n., 208, 317, 320
Vasujyesṭha, 47, 51
Vasunāga, 182, 309, 311-12, 312n., 313-14
Vasusena, 151n., 172-73, 180, 180n., 184-85
Vātadhānas, 292
Vātāsvaka, 74
Vatsa, 1, 77, 92, 108
Vāṭśiputra Dhanabhūti—See Dhanabhūti of Bhārhut inscription
Vāṭśiputra Vādhapāla Dhanabhūti—See Dharabhūti of Mathurā inscription
Vavaghośha—See Sivaghošha
Vāyudeva, 139-40, 142, 142n.
Vāyupurāṇa, 27, 43-47, 47n., 48-49, 53-55, 56n., 65, 70n., 87n., 233, 233n., 241n., 242n., 302, 304, 304n., 305
Vema Kādphises, 186, 186n.
Vemakīs, 55n. 317
Venkātamayya, M., 271n., 273n., 274n.
Venkatesvara, S. V., 237
Vibhunāga, 182, 310, 312, 312n., 313, 313n., 314
Vidarbha, 3, 37, 41, 49, 305
Vidiśā coins, 314
Vidyā Prakash, 187n.
Vijayamagha, 128, 128n., 137, 137n.
Vijayamitra, Vijayamitra, of Ayo- dhāya coins, 95n., 103-04, 142-43, 143n., 144, 189, 229, 232n.
Vijayamitra of Bajaur relic casket inscription, 104, 231
Vijayamitra of Kulīta coins, 96n., 103-04, 143n., 227, 229, 229n., 231-32, 232n.
Vikramādiya, 84
Vikrama era (samvat), 114, 272, 275, 275n., 276-77, 283
Vinayapīṭaka, 248
Vindhyachal, 168, 299, 306
Vindhyas, 85, 88, 138
Vipās, 224
Vīrasena of Ma'hrā coins, 166-69, 300, 304, 304n., 305
Vīrasena, Maurya king, 3
Vīrasena, Nāga king. 167-69, 299-300, 304, 304n.
Vīrasena, Śunga relative, 37, 37n.
Vīrasena, Svāmi, of Jānkhāt inscription, 18, 167, 299, 304, 304n.
Vīrāyaśas, 199, 200n., 227-29, 229n., 230-31, 233, 244, 244n.
Vīryakamitra, 231-32, 232n.
Vīśākhadeva, 139-42, 163n.
Vīśākhāmīrā, Arya, 17, 69-71
Vishnu, 46-47, 47n., 103, 106, 179, 182, 185, 187, 192, 257, 257n., 289n., 296, 320
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Vishnudeva, 146-50, 150n., 151
Vishnumitra, of Mathurā coins, 17, 96n., 103, 105, 152-53, 156, 159, 161, 161n.
Vishnumitra of a Mathurā ins., 153, 156, 173n.
Vishnumitra of Pañchāla coins, 95n., 103, 156n., 172, 172n., 173, 173n., 178, 178n., 179-80, 183-85
Vishnurakshita, Mahāsenāpati, Mahādanānāyaka, 138
Vishnuśrī, 124, 124n.
Vishnuvardhana, Yasodharman—See Yasodharman
Vishnuvarman, 86n.
Viśvamitra, 219
Viśvadeva, Gārgīputra, 67n., 114, 120
Viśvāmitra, 28-29, 200, 219, 224, 224n., 225
Viśvāmitra of Pañchāla coins, 172, 178n.
Viśvapāla, 172, 172n., 173, 175, 183, 185
Viśvasphāṇi, 70
Viśvadeva, 59-60
Viśvasūrīṇha, 320
Viśvavarman, 277n.
Vogel, J. Ph., 110n., 113n., 114, 166n., 287, 296
Vonones, 221, 276n.
Vrātyas, 244
Vṛijis, 196
Vṛishabhā, 302-03, 310-11, 311n., 314

Vṛishabhava, 311
Vṛishanāga, 192, 311
Vṛishas, 302
Vṛishṇis, 15, 242-45, 253, 317
Vṛyāghanāga, 182, 299, 309, 309n., 311-12, 312n., 314

W

Ward, 216n.
Wardak, 13
Wardha, 38, 50, 58
Wema Kadphises—See Kadphises II, Vema
Wessantara Jātaka, 288
Westminster, 75
Western Kṣatrapas or Satraps, 12, 84-85, 164, 207, 302-03
Whitehead, 7, 8n., 229n., 230, 230n.
Wilford, 87, 306
Wilson, 5n. 27, 27n., 38, 47n., 86n., 226n., 233n., 263n., 284n., 305, 305n.

Y

Yādavas, Yaduvamśa, 87, 151, 242, 244, 300
Yajñamagha, 137, 137n.
Yajñāpāla, 172-73, 180, 184
Yajñasena, 3, 49
Yajñāvarman, 283
Yājñavalkya-smṛiti, 321
Yaksha, 33, 39, 237
Yama (I), 267
Yama (II), 274
Yamunā, 191, 290
Yaśamatā, 18, 105, 106n., 109-110, 153, 153n.
Yaśodharman Vishnuvardhana, 275n.
Yaśonandi, 297-98, 303
Yayāti, 240
Yaudheya coins, 193, 193n., 201-03, 207-10, 280, 280n.
Yaudheya Mahārāja Mahāsenāpati, 18, 196, 202, 207, 214n.
Yaudheyas, 18, 194-96, 201-03, 205-14, 221n., 239, 241-42, 245, 250-54, 257, 261, 263-64, 273, 275, 279-80, 282, 284, 300, 308, 308n., 317-19
Yavanās, 5, 5n., 8, 10, 23, 25, 39, 41, 61n., 75, 151, 226, 286, 288
Yavugā, 28n.
Yeṣṭraguḍi, 2n.
Yomegha, 47-48, 54
Yudhīṣṭhira, 201, 284
Yugandharas, 216
Yugapurāṇa, 4-5, 5n., 6-7, 10, 22, 22n., 25, 39, 59n., 61, 75, 75n., 152, 170
Yugasaila, 191-92
Yugasena, 172-73, 180, 184
Yugeśa, Yugeśvara, 191-92
Yūvarāja Magu (?), 116
Z
Zeus, 185
Ziau’-d-Din Barani, 248
Zoilus II, 221
KEY TO PLATES

N.B. Unless otherwise stated, the illustrated coins are die-struck and in copper, and bear legends in the Brāhmi script. For the illustration of symbols see Plate VI.

*The references to pages where the following coin-types have been noticed or discussed in the book are noted under each description.

PLATE I

(Almora, Ārjunāyana, Audumbara, Ayodhyā and Eran)

1. ALMORA : Šivadatta (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XIV. 7.
   Obv. S 21 in the centre; around, bull to r. before S 38, followed by legend, Sivadatasa.
   Rev. S 25.
   *[Cf. p. 188, n. 125]

2. ALMORA : Šivapālīta (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl. XIV. 8.
   Obv. Rude human figure in the centre; around, bull before S 38, and legend, Šivapālītasa.
   Rev. S 25.
   *[Cf. p. 188, n. 126]

3. ALMORA : Haridatta (rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 9.
   Obv. S 21 in the centre; around, bull before S 38 and legend Haridatasa.
   Rev. S 25.
   *[Cf. p. 188, n. 124]

4. ĀRJUNĀYANA (rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 11 (cf. IMC, p. 166, Pl. XX. 10)
   Rev. Elephant to r. before tree-in-railing.
   *[Cf. p. 279, n. 6]

O.P. 182—49
5. AUDUMBARA: Śivadāsa (sqr.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XV. 1.
   Obv. A domed and pillared building on l. and trident-battle-axe on r. Brāhmī legend, ...barasa.
   Rev. Tree-in-railing on l. and forepart of elephant on r.; wavy line below. Kharoshṭhī legend, Sivadasasa.
   *[Cf. p. 218, n. 23]

6. AUDUMBARA: Rudradāsa (rect.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XV. 2.
   Obv. As on No. 5. Brāhmī legend, ...Odubarisa.
   Rev. As on No. 5. Kharoshṭhī legend, Rudradasasa.
   *[Cf. p. 218, n. 23]

7. AUDUMBARA: Dharaghosha (AR, rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 14.
   Rev. Figure of a sage facing. Marginal Kharoshṭhī legend, (above) Mahadevasa rāja Dharaghoshasa (below) Odubarisa; and across the figure, Viśpamitra.
   *[Cf. p. 219, n. 28]

   Obv. Elephant to l.; tree on r. Brāhmī legend, Ajamitasā.
   Rev. Male figure standing to l. with spear in hand. Kharoshṭhī legend, Raṇa Ajami....
   *[Cf. p. 222, n. 38]

9. AYODHYĀ: uninscribed (cast, rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XVI.10.
   Obv. Svastika over fish.
   Rev. Taurine over steel-yard.
   *[Cf. p. 75, n. 16]

10. AYODHYĀ: Dhanadeva (cast, rect.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XVI.17.
    Obv. Bull to r. before S 5. Legend above, Dhanadevasa.
    Rev. Standing figure (Lakshmi?) between S 13 and S 26; other minor symbols above, wavy line below.
    *[Cf. p. 140, n. 168]
11. **AYODHYĀ** : Rājan Kumudasena (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XVII.17.
   
   *Obv. In incuse square, bull to l. before S 6. Legend below, Rājñā Kumudasenasa.*
   
   *Rev. S 24a.*
   
   *[Cf. p. 143, n. 181]*

12. **AYODHYĀ** : Āyumitra (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XVII.18 (cf. IMC, p. 150, Pl. XIX. 17).
   
   *Obv. Bull to l. before S 45. Legend, Ayumitasā.*
   
   *Rev. Cock or hānśa to r. before palm-tree over a wavy line.*
   
   *[Cf. p. 144, n. 195]*

   
   *Obv. Legend, Dhampālasa written negatively from r. to l. along the margin.*
   
   *Rev. Blank.*
   
   *[Cf. p. 81, n. 57]*

---

**PLATE II**

(Kanauj, Kauśāmbī, Kulūta and Kuṇinda)

1. **KANAUJ** : Brahmamitra (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XIX.11.
   
   *Obv. Three symbols, S 27, S 12 and S 3. Legend below, Brahmamitasā.*
   
   *Rev. Nandipada-standard in railing (cf. S 56).*
   
   *[Cf. p. 146, n. 8]*

2. **KANAUJ** : Vishṇudeva (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XIX.13.
   
   *Obv. Three symbols, S 12, S 9 and S 23. Legend below, Vishṇudevāsa.*
   
   *Rev. Horse to l. before yūpā (?)*.  
   
   *[Cf. p. 147, n. 12]*

3. **KAUŚĀMBĪ** : uninscribed (cast, rd.)—IMC, Pl.XX.5.
   
   *Obv. Tree-in-railing above six-peaked hill in the centre; eight-spoked wheel and nandipada symbol on l.; Ujjain symbol and svastika on r.*
   
   *Rev. So-called ‘Lanky Bull’ walking to l.; standard on l.*
   
   *[Cf. p. 78, n. 34]*
4. KAUŚĀMBĪ: Bahasatimitra I (cast, rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XX.1.
   Obv. Horse to r.; nandipada symbol, and another illegible symbol
        within a square on r. Legend above, Bahasatimitasa.
   Rev. Elephant r. on pedestal; tree-in-railing behind; and Ujjain
        symbol above l.
   *[Cf. p. 109, n. 3]

5. KAUŚĀMBĪ: Bahasatimitra II (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XX.2.
   Obv. Tree-in-railing in centre; S 4 on l.; and wavy line on r.
        Legend below, Bahasatimitasa.
   Rev. Bull to r. before S 2.
   *[Cf. p. 109, n. 4]

   Obv. Three-peaked hill, tree-in-railing and wavy line. Legend
        below, Pavatasa.
   Rev. Bull to r.l.
   *[Cf. p. 113, n. 29]

7. KAUŚĀMBĪ: Dhanadeva (rd.)—BMC(Al), Pl.XX.12.
   Obv. Within square frame, tree-in-railing and legend below,
        Dhanadevasya.
   Rev. Bull to l. before three-peaked hill; trident behind.
   *[Cf. p. 124, n. 88]

8. KAUŚĀMBĪ: Śivamagha (rd.)—Coin in C. Valdettaro’s collection.
   Obv. Lower portion of tree-in-railing and three-peaked hill. Legend
        below in large characters, [Sī] vamagha [sa].
   Rev. Bull to l. (?)
   *[Cf. p. 127, n. 111]

9. KAUŚĀMBĪ: Bhīmavarman (rd.)—Coin in C. Valdettaro’s collection.
   Obv. Parts of symbols as on No.8. Legend below in large characters,
        [Bhī] mavama [sa].
   Rev. Illegible.
   *[Cf. p. 127, n. 117]
10. KULŪTA : Virayaśas (rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XVI. 4.

Obv. 12-spoked wheel surrounded by a circle of dots. (Brāhmi) legend, (left) Rājña Kolūtasya (right) Virayaśasa, separated by two minor symbols.

Rev. S 52 surmounted by S 53; S 31 on l., and S 54 on r. Kharoshṭhī ra to r. and ūṇa to l. of hill (S 52).

*[Cf. p. 229, n. 71; also Preface, p. x, note]

11. KUṆINDA : Amoghabhūti (AR, rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXII.1.

Obv. Deer to r.; female figure in front; S 39 above back of deer, S 40 above horns of deer, and S 55 below deer. Circular Brāhmi legend, Rājña Kuṇīndasya Amoghabhūtisya Mahārājasya.


*[Cf. p. 236, n. 104]

12. KUṆINDA : Amoghabhūti (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXII.10.

Obv. & Rev. As on No. 11, but in copper and of coarse fabric, and the Kharoshṭhī legend on the reverse is replaced by a borde of dots.

*[Cf. p. 236, n. 107]

13. KUṆINDA : Chhatreśvara (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXIII.12.

Obv. Male figure (Śiva) standing facing, holding trident-battle-axe in r. hand; Marginal legend, Bhagavata Chhatreśvara Mahātmanah.

Rev. Deer to l.; S 40, S 13, S 51, S 3, wavy line and other minor symbols.

*[Cf. p. 236, n. 110]

PLATE III
(Mālava and Mathurā)

1. MĀLAVA (rd.)—IMC, Pl.XX.13.

Obv. Legend in two lines: (1) jaya. (2) Mālavān[āh].

Rev. Indistinct (not illustrated).

*[Cf. p. 267, n. 33]
2. MĀLAVA (rect.)—IMC, Pl. XX. 15.

Rev. Vase in dotted border.

   *[Cf. p. 267, n. 33]

3. MĀLAVA (rect.)—IMC, Pl. XX. 16.

   *Obv. As above.
Rev. Lion r.

   *[Cf. p. 267, n. 33]

4. MĀLAVA (rd.)—IMC, Pl. XX. 19.

   *Obv. Triśūla in centre. Legend, (above) Mālava (below) nā jaya.
Rev. Recumbent bull r. within dotted border.

   *[Cf. p. 267, n. 33]

5. MĀLAVA (rd.)—IMC, Pl. XX. 21.

   *Obv. Uncertain central device. Marginal legend, Mālava garga-
   [saya jaya].
Rev. King's head r.

   *[Cf. p. 267, n. 33]

6. MĀLAVA (rd.)—IMC, Pl. XX. 22.

   *Obv. Obscure central device. Marginal legend in large characters,
   Mālava [ga] (reversed from r. to l.).
Rev. Fantail peacock.

   *[Cf. p. 265, n. 21]

7. MĀLAVA : Magojava (rect.) — IMC, Pl. XXI. 3.

   *Obv. In incuse, legend, Magojava in large characters.
Rev. Lion r.

   *[Cf. p. 267, No. 8]


   *Obv. Legend, Gajava in incuse.
Rev. Defaced.

   *[Cf. p. 267, No. 15]
9. MATHURĀ : Gomitra II (sqr.) — BMC (AI), Pl. XXV. 1.

Obv. Lakṣmī standing facing with lotus in uplifted r. hand; S 46 on l.; S 28 above S 43 on r.; and S 10 below. Legend above, Gomitasa.

Rev. Three elephants facing with riders.
* [Cf. p. 152, n. 35]

10. MATHURĀ : Gomitra II (rd.) — BMC (AI), Pl. XXV. 5-6.

Obv. Lakṣmī as above. Legend, Gomitasa.

Rev. Three elephants with riders.
* [Cf. p. 155, notes 62 & 63]

11. MATHURĀ : Vishṇumitra (rd.) — BMC (AI), Pl. XXV. 16.

Obv. Lakṣmī as above. Legend above, Vishṇumitasa.

Rev. Three elephants with riders.
* [Cf. p. 152, n. 38]

12. MATHURĀ : Sūryamitra (rd.) — BMC (AI), Pl. XXV. 17.

Obv. Lakṣmī as above. Legend above, Sūyamitasa.

Rev. Three elephants with riders.
* [Cf. p. 152, n. 37]


Obv. In incuse, Lakṣmī standing facing; S 46 and S 42 on l.; S 44, S 43, and S 8 on r.; wavy line below. Legend above, Rājñaḥ Rāmadatas.

Rev. Very degenerate copy of the three-elephants type.
* [Cf. p. 157, n. 71]


Obv. Diademed bust of king to r. Circular legend in Greek, Basileōs Basileōn Sōteros Raiu (much blundered).

Rev. Athena to l. holding aegis in l. hand and hurling thunderbolt in r. Circular Kharoshṭhī legend, Apratihatachakrasa Chhatrapasa Rajuvulasa.

* [Cf. p. 162, n. 95]
15. MATHURĀ: Rājuvula (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXVI.12.

Obv. Lakṣmī standing facing between S 20 on l. and S 18 on r.
Marginal (Brāhmī) legend, Mahākhatapasa Rājuvulasa.
Rev. Lakṣmī being sprinkled by elephants on either side (illegible).
*Cf. p. 163, n. 99*

16. MATHURĀ: Śoḍāsa (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXVI.16.

Obv. Lakṣmī facing between S 20 on l. and S 46 on r. Marginal
(Brāhmī) legend, Mahākhatapasa putasa Khatapasa Śoḍāsasa.
Rev. Abhisheka of Lakṣmī.
*Cf. p. 164, n. 103*

17. MATHURĀ: Śīvadatta (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl.XXV.26.

Obv. Lakṣmī standing facing on S 41 between S 20 on l. and S 46
on r. Marginal (Brāhmī) legend, Khatapasa Śīvadatasa.
Rev. Horse to l.
*Cf. p. 165, n. 115*

PLATE IV

(Nāga, Pañchāla and Rājanya)

1. NĀGA: Bhavanāga (rd.)—Coin in the author’s collection.

Obv. Trident in the centre. Circular legend, Mahārāja Bhava...
Rev. Humped bull recumbent to l.
*Cf. p. 311, n. 86*

2. NĀGA: Devanāga (rd.)—Coin in the author’s collection.

Obv. Circular legend, Mahārāja Śrī Deva...
Rev. Eight-spoked wheel in a dotted circle.
*Cf. p. 312, n. 90*


Obv. Circular legend, Mahārāja Śrī Gaṇendra.
Rev. Recumbent bull to l. in a dotted circle.
*Cf. p. 311, n. 86*

4. NĀGA: Vibhunāga (rd.)—Coin in the author’s collection.

Obv. Battle-axe (or sickle and arrow) in the centre. Circular
legend, Mahārāja Śrī Vibhu-
Rev. Humped bull to l.
*Cf. p. 312, n. 89*
   Obv. Within square incuse, three Pañchāla symbols, S 37, S 43 and
   S 36, and legend below, Agimitrasa.
   Rev. Male figure (Agni) with flaming hair, standing facing on plat-
   tform between two pillars.
   *[Cf. p. 172, n. 15]

   Obv. As on No. 5.
   Rev. Agni standing on lotus.
   *[Cf. p. 178, n. 68]

7. PAÑCHĀLA : Bhadrāghosha (rd.)—BMC(A1), Pl.XXVIII.3.
   Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols as on No. 5, and legend below,
   Bhadrāghosha.
   Rev. Female deity (Bhadrā) standing facing on lotus.
   *[Cf. p. 172, n. 17]

   Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols, and legend, Bhānumitrasa.
   Rev. Sun between railed pillars.
   *[Cf. p. 172, n. 18]

   Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols, and legend, Bhūmimitrasa.
   Rev. Male figure (Bhūmi ?), very similar to that on Agnimitra’s
   coin, No. 5 above.
   *[Cf. p.172, n. 19]

10. PAÑCHĀLA : Jayamitra (rd.)—BMC(A1), Pl. XXVIII.18.
    Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols, and legend below, Jayamitrasa.
    Rev. Male figure standing facing on pedestal with pillars.
    *[Cf. p. 172, n. 25]

    Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols, and legend (below),
    Phālguṇimitrasa.
    Rev. Female deity (Phālguṇī ?) standing facing on lotus; S 17 on 1.
    and star above head.
    *[Cf. p. 172, n. 26]

O.P.182—50
12. PANCHĀLA: Sūryamitra (rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XXVII. 8.
   Obv. The three Pañchāla symbols, and legend, Suyamitraśa.
   Rev. Sun over S 48 between pillars on railing.
   *[Cf. p. 172, n. 31]

13. PAÑCHĀLA: Achyuta (rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 5.
   Obv. Legend, Achya in large characters.
   Rev. Eight-spoked wheel.
   *[Cf. p. 182, n. 100]

14. RĀJANYA (rd.)—IMC, Pl. XXI. 11.
   Obv. Standing figure with r. hand raised. (Brāhmī) legend around,
      Rājaṇa janapadasa.
   Rev. Bull to 1. within rayed circle.
   *[Cf. p. 251, n. 36]

PLATE V

(Vemaki, Vrishṇi and Yaudheya)

1. VEMAKI: Rudravarman (AR, rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 13.
   Obv. Elephant r.; trident with axe on r. Circular Brāhmī legend,
      Rajś[v] Vemakisa Rudravarmasa vijayaka.
   Rev. Bull r.; lotus in front. Marginal Kharoshṭhī legend, Raṇa
      Vemakisa Rudravarmasa vijayaya.
   *[Cf. p. 255, n. 60]

2. MAHĀDEVA: (AR, rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 16.
   Obv. Elephant 1.; trident on 1. Brāhmī legend, (above) Bhagavata
      Mahadevasa (below) Rājarāja.
   Rev. Bull to r. Illegible symbol in front. Kharoshṭhī legend, (above)
      Bhagavata Mahadevasa (below) Rajoṣaṇa.
   *[Cf. p. 220, n. 29, and p. 257, n. 69]

3. MAHĀDEVA: (AR, rd.)—BMC (AI), Pl. XIV. 17.
   Obv. Elephant and legend as on No. 2 above.
   Rev. Bull to 1. Kharoshṭhī legend as on No. 2 above.
4. VRISHNI (AR, rd.)—BMC(AL), Pl. XVI. 5.
  Rev. 12-spoked wheel. Circular Kharoshthī legend, Vṛishnī-rājaṇa- 
  gaṇasa tratarasa.
  *[Cf. p. 242, n. 153]

5. YAUDHEYA : Mahārāja (?) (rd.)—BMC(AL), Pl. XXXIX. 10.
  Obv. Three minute symbols, S 3, S 12 and solar symbol. Legend below, Mahārājasa (?).
  Rev. A symbol.
  *[Cf. p. 203, n. 21]

6. YAUDHEYA : Bahudhānyaka (rd.)—BMC(AL), Pl. XXXIX. 12.
  Obv. Bull r. before S 64 on r. Circular legend, (above) Yaudheyānam (below) Bahudhaṅka—both parts negatively written from r. to l.
  Rev. Elephant r.; nandipada above, and flying pennon behind.
  *[Cf. p. 203, n. 23]

7. YAUDHEYA : Brahmanya (AR, rd.)—BMC(AL), Pl. XXXIX. 21.
  Obv. Six-headed Kārttikeya standing facing with spear in r. hand.
  Circular legend, Bhagavataḥ svāmin Brahmanya Yaudheyasya.
  Rev. Goddess (Shasṭhi) standing facing on lotus between S 51
  surmounted by S 33 on l., and S 13 on r.; wavy line below.
  *[Cf. p. 204, n. 27]

8. YAUDHEYA : Brahmanya(deva) (rd.)—BMC(AL), Pl. XXXIX. 22.
  Obv. One-headed Kārttikeya standing facing holding spear in r.
  hand. (Restored full) legend, Bhagavataḥ savāmino Brahmanya-
  devasya Kumārasya.
  Rev. Goddess as on No. 7 above; but the symbols are transposed.
  *[Cf. p. 204, n. 27]

  Obv. Six-headed Kārttikeya standing facing holding spear in r.
  hand. Legend as on No. 8 above.
  Rev. Six-headed goddess between symbols as on No. 7 above.
  *[Cf. p. 204, n. 28]
10. YAUDHEYA: Gaṇa coin (rd.)—IMC, Pl. XXI. 18.

Obv. One-headed Kārttikeya standing facing holding spear in r. hand; peacock to l. on r. Circular legend, Yaudheya-gaṇasya jaya[h].

Rev. Female deity walking to l. with r. hand raised and l. hand on hip, all within border of dots.

* [Cf. p. 205, n. 33]

11. YAUDHEYA: Gaṇa coin (dvī) (rd.)—BMC(AI), Pl. XL. 5.

Obv. As on No. 10., with the addition of dvī at end of legend.

Rev. Deity as on No. 10 above, with the addition of flower-vase on l., and inverted nandipada on r.

* [Cf. p. 205, n. 34]


Obv. As on No. 10, with the addition of tri at end of legend.

Rev. Deity as on No. 10, with the addition of śāṅkha on l., and S 54 on r.

* [Cf. p. 205, n. 34]
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