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MAHĀVĪRA: HIS LIFE AND TEACHINGS

I

LIFE

Modern historical research establishes beyond doubt that Mahāvīra, well known as the founder of Jainism, is not a mythical figure like so many of his predecessors in the tradition of the Tīrthaṅkaras. Pārśva or Supārśva, who too has been proved to be a historical person, was the immediate predecessor of Mahāvīra. There is, of course, no other evidence than literary to prove the authenticity of the Jain traditions regarding Mahāvīra and his predecessor Pārśva. The Jain inscriptive evidence is so far remote from the age in which they had flourished that nothing can be definitely built upon it. But as early as the 3rd century B.C., we have mention of the Nigaṇṭhas in the Seventh Pillar Edict of Aśoka as a distinct class of Indian recluses. The Nigaṇṭhas mentioned in this famous epigraph were no other than the followers of Mahāvīra. This very class of recluses has been honoured in the Hathigumpha inscription of Khāravela as the
Arhata Śramaṇas. In the inscription of Khāravela's chief queen, the same Order of recluses has been honoured as Śramaṇas who were believers in the faith of the Arhats (Arhaṃta-pasādānaṃ samaṇānam).¹ As a matter of fact, all the cave-dwellings (leṇas) on the Udayagiri and Khaṇḍagiri hills were made by Khāravela and others for the residence of the Jaina recluses.

The literary evidence on which this account of the Jaina Tīrthāṅkara is based, is twofold: (1) direct, and (2) collateral. The direct evidence is that which is furnished by the texts of the Jaina Canon, called Āgama or Siddhānta. And the collateral evidence is that which may be gathered from the texts of the Buddhist Canon. Collating these two sources of information, we can not only prepare a sketch of the life of Mahāvīra but draw a fairly vivid picture of Northern India depicting the social, political, economic, religious, and other conditions of the time in which he lived, moved, and preached. The same two sets of texts enable us also to have a clear vision of the geography of that portion of Northern India which became the scene of activities of the Jaina Tīrthāṅkara and his immediate followers. All these may be so realis-

¹ Epigraphia Indica, Vol. XIII.
tically painted before our eyes that nothing will appear to be either exaggerated or untrue.

If one wants to know who the Nirgranthas (Unfettered Ones) were, the answer comes straight from the Buddhist texts that they were the followers of a Tīrthaṅkara, named Nīgāṇṭha Nātaputta. One Sutta faithfully presents the fundamental doctrine of the Nirgranthas with a criticism from the Buddhist point of view.¹ One Sutta attributes to Nīgāṇṭha Nātaputta certain religious discipline which was in a way the cult of the earlier Nirgranthas, the followers of Pārśva.² One Sutta yields a faithful description of the uposatha as practised by the Nirgranthas.³ One Sutta correctly hints at the fact that the lay supporters of the Nirgranthas were called Sāvakas or Śrāvakas instead of Upāsakas.⁴ One Sutta accurately names the place where the demise of Nīgāṇṭha Nātaputta took place, and how immediately after his demise, his followers, the Nirgranthas, became divided into two camps.⁵ There

---

¹ Cūladukkhakkhandha Sutta, M.N., I, pp. 91 foll.
² Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha-N., I, pp. 47 foll.
³ Nīgāṇṭhūposatho, Aṅguttara-N., I, pp. 205 foll.
are several Suttas in which Nīganṭha Nātaputta is associated with five other Tīrthaṅkaras who passed as notable personalities and leaders of thought.¹ Some of the Suttas introduce to their reader some of the immediate disciples and contemporary lay followers of the Jaina Tīrthaṅkara.² There is a Sutta which vividly paints the character of the Nirgranthas as strong advocates of vegetarian diet.³ There are Suttas that furnish a catalogue of the punctilious ways of certain naked ascetics of the time,⁴—the ways which might be shown to be precisely those observed by the Jina-kalpikas among the Nirgranthas. The same set of texts introduces to us the kings and clans and classes of people who directly or indirectly supported the Nirgrantha movement in Northern India in the Tīrthaṅkara’s lifetime. These very texts precisely name the countries and places important in the early history of Jainism. The same authorities help us to form a fairly accurate idea of the distances between those countries and places, and of the roads or routes by which they might be reached

¹ Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha, I, pp. 47 foll.
⁴ Kassapasihanāda Sutta, Dīgha, I, pp. 161 foll.
by a person in course of his wanderings. Lastly, these texts of the Buddhists coupled with those of the Jains enable us to breathe very atmosphere of thought and of life in which Mahāvīra moved with his Nirgrantha followers.

Nigaṇṭha Nātaputta is the name by which Mahāvīra was and has been known to the Buddhists. It is undoubtedly the name by which he was known to his own followers as well as to his other contemporaries. The name is composed of two separate epithets, Nigaṇṭha and Nātaputta, the first of which is religious and the second secular. He was nigaṇṭha (nirgrantha) in a literal as well as in a figurative sense: outwardly unclothed and inwardly unfettered. His followers were accordingly known as Nigaṇṭhaputta (Nirgranthaputras), or simply Nigaṇṭhas (Nirgranthas). And his lay followers became known as Nigaṇṭha-sāvakā.¹

The Buddhist texts and commentaries do not definitely explain why he was called Nātaputta. With the aid of the Jain literature, however, we at once know that he was called Nātaputta because he was a scion of the Nāya, Nāta or Jñātṛ clan of Kṣatriyas. Just as the Buddha was called Sākyaputta because he was a scion of the Sakya

¹ Culla-Niddesa, p. 173.
clan, so was Mahāvīra called Nātāputta because he was a scion of the Nāta clan.

He is introduced in some of the Buddhist texts, along with five other contemporary teachers, as 'the head of an order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist, revered by the people, a man of experience who has long been a recluse, old, and well-stricken in years'. The phrase 'old and well-stricken in years' was not meant to be interpreted literally and too rigorously. It was meant to be interpreted rather loosely as signifying that Mahāvīra was senior to the Buddha in age, i.e. an elder contemporary. In point of fact, in some of the texts this phrase is omitted altogether. And all the six teachers, including Mahāvīra, predeceased the Buddha.

The early records of the Buddhists clearly attest that Mahāvīra died at Pāvā. When the news that as soon as Mahāvīra died, his followers fell quarrelling among themselves, having been divided into two camps, was conveyed to the

2 Cf. Sabhiya Sutta, Sutta Nipāta, pp. 92 foll.
4 Majjhima-Nikāya, II, 243; Pāṭika Sutta, Dīgha, III.
Buddha, it caused much alarm to him as to how his own followers would behave after his death. These very records also attest that Mahāvīra was alive even after Ajātaśatru had usurped the throne of Magadha and Devadatta had been declared by the Buddha to be a hopelessly wicked man and utterly incorrigible in his ways.

Aṅga-Magadhā, the territories of the Vṛjilicchavis, and Mallas, and the kingdom of Kāśi-Kośala are mentioned as the places which became the scene of wanderings of Mahāvīra and activities of his Nirgranthha followers in the Buddha’s lifetime. The Buddhist texts specifically mention Rājagaha (Rājagṛha), Nālandā, Vesālī (Vaiśālī), Pāvā, and Śāvatthī (Sravasti), as places where the activities of Mahāvīra and his immediate followers were concentrated. These texts clearly mention Vesālī as the place where the religion of Mahāvīra found its staunch supporters among the Licchavis.

The Nirgranthas claimed that their Master was ‘all-knowing and all-seeing and endowed with unlimited knowledge and vision’, who alone could declare that, whether he was walking or standing

---

1 Sāmagāma Sutta, Majjhima N., I.
2 Sāmaññaphala Sutta, Dīgha, I.
3 Abhayarājakumāra Sutta, M.N., I.
still, sleeping or awake, the unlimited knowledge and vision were constantly his—continuous and unperturbed.¹ The Pāli aparīsesa, occurring as it does as a predicate of that knowledge and vision, is just a synonym of the Jaina term kevala.

The teaching of Mahāvīra which commended itself to the Nirgranthas and satisfied them is characteristically represented thus: 'Whatever a person experiences, whether it is pleasant or painful or neither pleasant nor painful, is due to his karma (totality of deeds) in the past. Hence by extenuating through penance (tapasā) the effect of all past deeds and by not accumulating the effect of fresh deeds, the future gliding in rebirth is stopped; with the future gliding in rebirth stopped, the past is wiped out; with the past wiped out, ill is no more; with ill no more, painful feelings are no more; with painful feelings no more, all ill is outworn (exhausted or negated).’² Here penance (tapa) means the practice of austerities (dukkharacariyā).

Mahāvīra is correctly represented as an exponent of the doctrine of action (kiriyāvādo).³ This doc-

¹ Majjhima, I, pp. 92-93; ibid., II, p. 31; Āṅguttara, I, p. 220; ibid., IV, p. 428.
³ Āṅguttara, IV, pp. 180-181.
trine invests man with moral responsibility for all his deeds. One of the texts refers to limited knowledge (antavanta jñāṇa) as propounded by Mahāvīra: ‘The knowledge which comprehends the limited world is itself limited in its character’.¹ The Pāli antavanta jñāna is evidently the same term as the Jaina avadhiṃśaṇa.

The Nirgrantha argument of the practice of penance or austerity is clearly set forth: ‘Beatitude cannot be reached through mundane happiness. It is attainable through the mortification of the flesh. Had it been possible to reach beatitude through mundane happiness, king Srenika Bimbisāra of Magadha would certainly have attained it.’² We are told that according to Mahāvīra, the practice of penance involved three kinds of daṇḍa or self-inflicted punishment: bodily, vocal, and mental, the first kind far outweighing the last two in gravity and importance.³ A concrete example of the penance practised by the Nirgran-

¹ Aṅguttara, IV, p. 428: antavantena ṇāṇena antavanam lokam jānam passan.

² Majjhima, I, p. 93: Na sukhena sukham adhigantabham dukkhena kho sukham adhigantabham sukhena ca sukham adhigantabham abhavissa, rājā Māgadho Srenyo Bimbisāro sukham adhigaccheyya.

³ Majjhima, I, p. 372.
thas is mentioned. The Nirgranthas were once
seen engaged in remaining in an upright posture
without sitting down (ubbhaṭṭhakā āsanapaṭṭik-
khittā).\(^1\)

The ancient Buddhist texts offer a typical
description of the punctilious ways of all Indian
ascetics of the time which, as convincingly shown
by Mr. Kamta Prasad Jain,\(^2\) were also the approved
ways of the Jaina recluses who must have sought
to imitate the life of the Master. The correspon-
dence may be shown in a tabular form as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buddhist Description</th>
<th>Jaina Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. He goes naked.</td>
<td>1. This is styled as the 'highest state' of a Jaina recluse. (Jaina-Sūtras, I, p. 56.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. He is of loose habits (performing his bodily functions and eating in a standing posture, not crouching down as well-bred people do).</td>
<td>The 24th (non-bathing), 26th (non-brushing), 27th (taking meal in a standing posture) mūla-guṇas of a Jaina muni. (Mūlācāra, 31-33.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) Majjhima, I, p. 92.

Buddhist Description.

3. He licks his hands clean, etc. (after eating, and not washing them as well-bred people do).

4. When on his rounds for alms if politely requested to step aside, he passes stolidly on.

5. He refuses to accept food brought (to him before he has started on his daily round of alms).

6. He refuses food (if told it has been specially prepared for him).

7. He refuses to accept any invitation, etc.

8. He will not accept (food taken) from the mouth of the pot or pan, etc.

9-10. He will not accept food within the threshold, etc. He will not accept food placed within the sticks, etc.

II. He will not accept food placed within the pestle, etc.

Jaina Description.

3. A Jaina muni takes food in the hollows of his hand, etc. (Jaina-Sūtras, I, p. 57.)

4. Described in full in the commentary on esāṇāsamiti in the Mūlācāra.

5. The Jaina recluse is allowed to take only pure food void of 46 defilements.

6. This refers to the prohibited Auddesika food.

7. This is precisely the case with the Jaina recluses.

8. This refers to the sthāpita or nyoṣṭa doṣa.

9-10. These refer to the prāduśkara doṣa.

II. This refers to the unmiśra-āsana doṣa.
**Buddhist Description.**

12. When two persons are eating together he will not accept food...if offered to him by only one of the two.

13-14. He will not accept food from a woman suckling baby, etc. He will not accept food from a woman talking with, etc.

15. He will not accept food collected...in draught.

16. He will not accept food where a dog is standing.

17. He will not accept food where flies are swarming by.

18. He will not accept fish, nor meat, nor strong drink, nor intoxicants, etc.

19. He is a ‘one-houser’, etc.

20. He takes food only once a day or once every two days, etc.

**Jaina Description.**

12. This refers to the aniś-vara-vyaktāvyakta aniśārtha doṣa.

13-14. These refer to the dāyaka-āsana doṣas.

15. This refers to the abhigāta-udgama doṣa.

16. This refers to the daśaka doṣa.

17. This refers to the prāṇi-jantu-vadha doṣa.

18. This needs no corroboration.

19. This corresponds with the vrata-pratisāṅkhyāta practice.

20. This corresponds with the sākāṃksā-āṅkāṣana-vrata.
The Nirgranthas are represented as a class of recluses (samaṇajātikā) who used to drink hot water, rejecting cold water.¹ There is no sound reason to suggest with the author of the Milinda that they did not drink cold water because they believed there were ‘souls’ in it.² They are credited with the scrupulous practice of a ‘fourfold self-restraint’ (cātuyāma-saṁvara), according to which they used to live ‘restrained as regards all water’, ‘restrained as regards all evil’, ‘washing away all evil’, and ‘suffused with the sense of evil held at bay’. It is for this reason that they were called gatatta (whose heart has gone to the summit, to the attainment of their aim), and yatatta (whose heart is subdued). Cātuyāmasaṁvara was, no doubt, a phraseology of the religion of Pārśva. But, as presented in Buddhist literature, it acquired altogether a new connotation with the followers of Mahāvīra. Some are inclined to think that by the ‘fourfold self-restraint’ the Buddhist author has simply expressed the four characteristics of a Jaina recluse: (1) that he should be free from passion

¹ Majjhima, I, p. 376: Niganṭho......sūtodaka-paṭikkhitto uṇṇodaka-paṭissevī.
and desire; (2) that he should keep himself aloof from all kinds of traffic; (3) that he should get rid of all parigrahas (ideas of possession); and (4) that he should remain absorbed in knowledge and meditation of self.\(^1\)

A correct representation of the ‘fourfold self-restraint, even in the sense in which the followers of Pārśva understood it, is not wanting in Buddhist literature. Just a fifth point, chastity, has to be added to the ‘fourfold self-restraint’ to complete the list of five great vows (pañca mahāvrataş) promulgated by Mahāvīra. The definite path prescribed by Mahāvīra for the realization of the world of absolute happiness consisted in the abstinence from the idea of killing, the idea of theft, the idea of unchastity, the idea of lying, and some such tapoguṇa or virtue of an ascetic.\(^2\) It is very interesting indeed to note that even some of the Jaina phrases are reproduced in the Buddhist text.\(^3\)

The Āṅguttara-Nikāya speaks of the Nirgranthas as a distinct Order of recluses who on the sabbath exhorted their lay disciples thus: ‘Lay aside the

---

\(^2\) Majjhima, II, pp. 35-36.
\(^3\) Ibid., II, p. 36; sake ācariyake samanuyuñjiyamānā samanuggāhiyamānā samanubhāsiyamānā.
stick as regards all creatures that exist eastwards beyond a hundred yojanas; likewise westwards, northwards, and to the south'; 'Off with all your clothes and say—I have no part in anything anywhere, and herein for me there is no attachment to anything.'

Thus they exhorted them to kindness, and the idea of apalibodha or aparigraha (non-handicap, no possession) was made clear to them.

The same Nikāya records an instance where the Nirgranthas became deeply concerned and disconsolate to brook the idea that a recluse would eat meat knowing for certain that it was specially prepared for him by killing an animal (undissakataṁ mamsam).

The evidence of Buddhist literature is sufficient to establish the contemporaneity of Mahāvīra and the Buddha. Although they had not personally met each other, there were occasions when they felt interested in knowing and discussing each other's views and position through some intermediaries. Dīrghatapasvī and Satyaka (Pāli Saccaka) among the Nirgrantha recluses, and Abhaya the Prince, Upāli the Banker, and Simha

---

1 Gradual Sayings, I, p. 186.
2 Aṅguttara, IV, p. 187.
the Licchavi General among the Jaina laity loom large among those intermediaries. Both Mahāvīra and the Buddha claimed to be scions of two ruling clans of Northern India, and both of them declared themselves as *kriyavādins* or upholders of a doctrine of action. Mahāvīra was senior in age to the Buddha; the former predeceased the latter by a few years. The synchronism between them is proved by the coincidence of certain historical facts. When they had started their career as religious teachers and reformers, Sreṇīka Bimbisāra was the powerful king of Magadha, and Aṅga became annexed to the kingdom of Magadha; the Vṛji-Licchavis of Vaiśālī and the Mallas of Kusinārā and Pāvā formed two powerful confederacies; Prasenajit was the monarch of Kośala and Kāśi became annexed to the kingdom of Kośala. It is significant that the immediate Nirgrantha disciples of Mahāvīra argued a point of his doctrine thus: 'Had it been possible to obtain bliss through worldly happiness, king Sreṇīka Bimbisāra of Magadha would certainly have obtained it'.

The Majjhima-Nikāya immortalizes the name of the Black Stone on a ridge of the Rṣigiri, where the Nirgranthas practised a difficult penance, while a Jaina Image inscription records the name of Mount Vipula and king Sreṇīka. The same
Nikāya refers to an occasion when Mahāvīra was staying at Nālandā with a large body of Nir-granthas. It also refers to an occasion when the Jaina Tīrthaṅkara remained seated with a large train of lay worshippers headed by Upāli the Banker, all hailing from a village called Bālaka. The same authority attests that women found admittance into the Nirgrantha Order along with men. The Visākhāvatthu in the Dhammapada-Commentary distinctly represents Mṛgāra the Banker of Śrāvasti, father-in-law of the Buddhist lady Viśākhā, as a staunch lay supporter of Mahāvīra.

It is not without reason that Mahāvīra has been represented in the Abhayarājakumāra-Sutta as personally interested in the welfare of Devadatta who fomented a schism within the Buddhist Order of the time.¹ As Dr. Barua suggests, Devadatta was a man with Jaina leaning. It is probably under the influence of Mahāvīra's teaching that Devadatta insisted on having the following five special rules introduced in the Buddhist Order and enforced:

'1. That a bhikkhu shall live all his life in the forest.

¹ Majjhima, I, pp. 392-393.
2. That he shall depend for his subsistence solely on doles collected outdoors;
3. That he shall wear garments made by stitching together rags picked up from dust-heaps;
4. That he shall always live under a tree and not under a roof; and
5. That he shall never eat fish or meat.¹

Such are the main outlines of the life and teachings of Mahāvīra and of the early activities of the Nirgranthas which may be drawn with the aid of Buddhist literature. Now let us see how far the narrative may be developed with the facts supplied in the canonical literature of the Jains themselves.

In the Jaina literature Mahāvīra, the last Tīrthaṅkara of the Jains, is described as a supreme personality, who was acknowledged as 'great Brāhmaṇa', 'a great guardian', 'a great guide', 'a great preacher', 'a great pilot', and 'a great recluse'.² He figures as a supremely gifted

² Uvāsaga-dasā, Lec. VII,
Kṣatriya teacher and leader of thought who gathered unto him many men and women, and was honoured and worshipped by many hundreds and thousands of śrāvakas or lay disciples. He belonged to the Kāśyapa gotra and shone forth as the moon of the clan of the Nāyas or Jñātṛs. He was the son of Kṣatriya Siddhārtha who is otherwise named Śreyāṁsa and Vasāṁsa and of Kṣatriyāṇī Triśalā, also known as Videhadattā and Priyakāriṇī of the Vasiṣṭha gotra. His mother was a sister to Cēṭaka of Videha, at whose call all the Licchavis and Mallas rallied together for the purpose of offence and defence.

His parents who belonged to the Jñātṛ Kṣatriyas were lay worshippers of Pārśva. They were pious, chaste in life, and virtuous, and cherished a very tender regard for all the six classes of living beings (cha jīva-nikāyā). Following the teaching of Pārśva, they peacefully died by the practice of slow starvation of the senses.

He was born in the town of Kuṇḍanagara, a suburb of Vaiśālī and an important seat of the Jñātṛkas. He was therefore called Vesālie (Vaiśālika),—a citizen of Vaiśāli. On the day of his birth the prisoners in Kuṇḍanagara were released.

1 Sūtrakritāṅga, I. 2. 3. 22.
Festivals kept the whole town bound in mirth and joy for ten days after which many offerings were made to the gods. But he was also known as a Videha,—the son of Videhadattā. His parents fixed his name as Varddhامāna or ‘Prosperous One’, because with his birth the wealth, fame, and merit of the family increased. His aversion to love and hatred earned for him the appellation of Śramaṇa or Recluse. The gods gave him the name of Mahāvīra for his fortitude and hardihood in patiently bearing all sorts of privation and hardship, for his strictly adhering to the rules of penance, and no less for his indifference to pleasure and pain. He was equally known as Jñātṛputra or ‘Scion of the Jñāṭṛ clan’, Sāsanahāyaka or ‘Head of the Order’, and Buddha. The Jñāṭṛkas are described as a people who were afraid of sin, who abstained from wicked deeds, did not cause harm to any living being, and did not partake of meat.

Mahāvīra was called Nirgrantha because he was outwardly unclothed and inwardly free from all worldly bonds and ties. And it is not surprising at all that he should be described in Buddhist literature as ‘the head of an Order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist, revered by the
people, a man of experience who has long been a recluse’.\(^1\)

In his thirteenth year, Mahāvīra married Vasodā, a Kṣatriya lady who belonged to the Kauḍīnīya gotra, and had by her a daughter, named Anojjā (Anavadyā) or Priyadarsanā. Anojjā was married to Yamalī, a Kṣatriya ‘who after becoming one of Mahāvīra’s followers and fellow-workers ended by opposing him’.

In his thirteenth year, he lost his parents. Afterwards with the permission of his elder brother and the authorities of the kingdom, he fulfilled his promise of going out to establish a universal religion of love and amity. After twelve years of penance and meditation he attained omniscience at the age of forty-two, and lived thereafter for thirty years to preach his religion in Northern India. The famous Ohāṇa-Sutta in the Āyārāṁga contains the following account of his soul-stirring sādhanā:

For a year and a month since he renounced the world, Mahāvīra did not leave off his robe. Thereafter he gave up his robe and became unclothed. Even while he used robe, he used it only in winter.

\(^1\) Samghī c’eva gaṇī ca gaṇācariyo ca ṇāto yasassī titthakaro sādhusammato bahujanassa rattaṅnū cirapabbajito.
More than four months many living beings gathered on his body, crawled about it, and caused pain. Then he meditated, walking with his eye fixed on a square space before him of the length of a man. Many people who were shocked at the sight struck him and cried. He shunned the company of the female sex and of all householders. Asked, he gave no reply. He did not even answer those who salute him. He was beaten with sticks and struck by sinful people. He wandered about disregarding all slights, not being attracted by any worldly amusement.

For more than a couple of years he went without using cold water. He realized singleness, guarded his body, obtained intuition and became calm. He carefully avoided doing injury to the meanest form of life. He did not use what was expressly prepared for him. He used to eat only clean food. He did not use another man’s robe, nor did he eat out of another man’s vessel. He observed moderation in eating and drinking. He neither rubbed his eyes nor scratched his body.

He sometimes took shelter in workshops, sometimes in manufactories, sometimes in garden houses, sometimes in a cemetery, in deserted houses, or at the foot of a tree. In such places he sought for shelter for thirteen years.
He meditated day and night, undisturbed, unperturbed, exerting himself strenuously. He never cared for sleep for the sake of pleasure. He waked up himself and slept only a little, free from cares and desires. Waking up again, he lay down exerting himself, going outside for once in a night, he walked about for an hour.

In his resting places, crawling or flying animals attacked him. Bad people, the guard of the village, or lance-bearers attacked him. Well-controlled, he bore all dreadful calamities and different kinds of feelings, and he wandered about, speaking but little. Ill treated, he engaged himself in his meditations, free from resentment. He endured all hardships in calmness. Well-guarded, he bore the pains caused by grass, cold, heat, flies and gnats.

He travelled in the pathless country of Rādha,—in Vajrābhūmi and Svabhārbhūmi, where he used miserable beds and seats. The rude natives of the place attacked him and set dogs to bite him. But he never used the stick to keep off the dogs. He endured the abusive language of the rustics, being perfectly enlightened. The inhabitants of the place caused him all sorts of torture, and disturbed him in his religious postures. Abandoning the care of his body, he bore pain, free from desire.
He abstained from indulgence of the flesh, though never attacked by diseases. Whether wounded or not, he did not desire medical treatment. In the cold season he meditated in the shade. In summer he exposed himself to the heat. He lived on rough food: rice, pounded jujube, and jujube. Using these three kinds of food, he sustained himself eight months. Sometimes he did not drink for half a month or even for a month. Sometimes he did not drink for more than two months, or even six months. Sometimes he ate only the sixth meal, or the eighth, the tenth, the twelfth. Sometimes he ate stale food. He committed no sin himself, nor did he induce others to do so, nor did he consent to the sin of others.

He meditated persevering in some posture, without the smallest motion. He meditated in mental concentration on the things above, below, beside. He meditated free from sin and desire, not attached to sounds and colours, and never acted carelessly.

Thus, as hero at the head of a battle, he bore all hardships, and remaining undisturbed, proceeded on the road to deliverance. Understanding the truth and restraining the impulses for the purification of the soul, he finally liberated.¹

¹ Ācārāṅga-Sūtra, I, 8. 9; Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp. 79–87.
Mahāvīra renounced the world at the age of thirty. It would seem that he joined the Order of Pārśva of which his parents were lay supporters. In the Ācārāṅga, the members of this Order are simply referred to as Śramaṇas or Recluses. It would further seem that the recluses of Pārśva's Order used to wear robes. Mahāvīra remained with them for more than a year, after which he turned a naked ascetic.

The Bhagavatī-Sūtra says that when Mahāvīra was spending the second year of his ascetic life in a weaver's shed in Nālandā, a suburb of Rājagṛha, Maśkariputra Gośāla who was then wandering about in the country showing pictures to the people at large happened to arrive and put up there. One day, observing the extraordinary respect shown to Mahāvīra by Vijaya, a rich householder of Rājagṛha, Gośāla approached the venerable ascetic and asked to be admitted as his disciple, but the latter declined his request. His prayer was not granted on two successive occasions, even though the Master was entreated by the rich householders Ānanda and Sudarśana. In the meanwhile Mahāvīra went to the settlement of Kollāga, at some distance from Nālandā, where he was hospitably greeted by the Brahmin Bahula.
Gośāla sought him in vain in the city of Rājagṛha and its suburbs. He returned to the weaver’s shed where he gave away his clothes, vessels, shoes, and pictures to a Brahmin of the place, shaved off his hair and beard, and in despair departed in search of the Master. On his way he passed Kollāga, where he met a large crowd applauding Bahula’s liberality towards Mahāvīra. He continued his search and met the Master at last in Paṇiyabhūmi, where again he begged to be received as a disciple. This time his prayer was granted, and both the Master and the disciple lived together for six years in Paṇiyabhūmi, practising asceticism. Thereafter they travelled from Paṇiyabhūmi to Kūrmagrāma, and from Kūrmagrāma to Siddhārthagrāma. While at Kūrmagrāma, they met ascetic Vesayana who remained seated with upraised arms and upturned face in the glare of the sun, while his body was swarming with lice. Gośāla enquired whether he was a sage or a bed of lice. Vesayana, being angry, attempted to strike Gośāla with his supernormal powers. Mahāvīra explained to him the severe ascetic discipline by which such powers could be obtained.

While at Siddhārthagrāma, Gośāla uprooted a sesamum shrub and threw it away. The shrub,
owing to a lucky fall of rain, came to life again. From this he jumped to the conclusion that all plants were capable of reanimation, nay, he drew the further conclusion that ‘not only plants, but in fact all living beings were capable of reanimation’. Such generalization of the theory of reanimation apparently not finding favour with Mahāvīra, Gośāla thenceforward severed his connection with him and followed a course of asceticism for six months, which enabled him to acquire supernormal powers. He then proclaimed himself a Jina, and became the head of a sect, called the Ājīvika.¹

Gośāla figures in the early tradition of Buddhism as an independent leader of thought, who too was highly revered by the people of Northern India as ‘the head of an Order, of a following, the teacher of a school, well-known and of repute as a sophist ... a man of experience who has long been a recluse’. There is no suggestion ever made as to his personal relation with Mahāvīra. But there is sufficient reason to believe that the Nirgranthas and the Ājīvikas were two allied sects, having many points in common between them. In the immediate background of the religions of both

¹ Uvāsaga-dasāo, Tr. by Höernle, App. I.
the teachers, were the teachings of Pārśva. The Eight Mahānimittas of the Ājīvika Canon were extracts made from the Ten Pūrvas representing the literary authority of the sect of Pārśva.¹ Pārśva was honoured by both the sects as the last but one Tīrthaṅkara, while they were sharply divided in their claim for the position of the last Tīrthaṅkara. The Nirgranthas, of course, legitimately claimed that Mahāvīra was the rightful successor of Pārśva in the tradition of the Tīrthaṅkaras.

It is remarkable that the Ācārāṅga account of Mahāvīra’s sādhanā does not bring in Gośāla to form an episode in it. The same holds true of the account in the Kalpa-Sūtra which agrees substantially with that in the Ācārāṅga. Further, the names of the places where Mahāvīra is said to have spent the rainy seasons during the first twelve years of his asceticism differ from those mentioned in the Bhagavatī-Sūtra. The Kalpa-Sūtra offers the following account of Mahāvīra’s earnest efforts prior to his Kevaliship:

When the moon was in conjunction with the asterism Uttaraphālgunī, Mahāvīra, after fasting two days and a half without drinking water and

¹ Barua, The Ājīvikas, pp. 28, 41 foll.
putting on a divine robe, left the house and passed into the state of houselessness. For more than a year he wore clothes. Thereafter he walked about naked, and accepted alms. For more than twelve years he neglected his body and took no care of it. With equanimity he bore, underwent, and suffered all pleasant or unpleasant occurrences. He stayed the first rainy season in Asthigrama, three rainy seasons in Campa and Pratigamap, and eight in Vaisali and Vanjagrahama.

He remained circumspect in his walking, speaking, begging, accepting anything, carrying his drinking vessel, and obeying the calls of nature. He remained circumspect in his thoughts, words, and acts. He guarded his thoughts, words, acts, senses, and chastity. He moved without wrath, pride, deceit, and greed. He remained calm, tranquil, composed, liberated, free from temptations, without egoism and without property. In short, he had cut off all earthly ties, and was not stained by any worldliness. Like water in a vessel, he was unattached in the midst of sins. His course was unobstructed like that of life. Like the firmament he wanted no support. Like the wind he knew no obstacles. His heart was pure like the water in autumn. He remained unsoiled like a lotus-leaf. His senses were well
protected like those of a tortoise. Like a rhinoceros he lived single and alone. He was free like a bird, always walking like the fabulous bird Bhāruṇḍa, valorous like an elephant, strong like a bull, difficult to attack like a lion, steady and firm like Mt. Mandara, deep like the ocean, mild like the moon, effulgent like the sun, pure like gold, patient like the earth, and shining in splendour like a well-kindled fire.

He lived, except in the rainy season, all the eight months of summer and winter, in villages only a single night and in towns only five nights. He was indifferent alike to the smell of ordure and the sweet scent of sandal, to straw and jewel, dirt and gold, pleasure and pain, this world or the world beyond, to life and death. He exerted himself for the stoppage of the defilement of karma.

With supreme knowledge and faith and conduct he meditated on himself for twelve years. During the thirteenth year, in the second month of summer, in the fourth fortnight, the light fortnight of the month of Vaiśākha, on its tenth day, outside the town Jṛmbhikagrama on the bank of the river Rjupālī, not far from an old shrine, in the field of the householder Śyāmāka under a sal tree and the asterism Uttarakṛṣṇaguni, he reached the
highest knowledge and intuition, called Kevala, which is infinite, supreme, unobstructed, unimpeded, complete, and full. He was then lost in deep meditation in a squatting position with joined heels, exposing himself to the heat of the sun, after fasting two days and a half even without drinking water.

Thus at the age of forty-two he had become a Jina and Arhat,—a Kevalin, omniscient, all-seeing, and all-knowing. He knew and saw all conditions of the world of the gods, men, and demons: whence they come, whither they go, where they are born as men or animals, gods or infernal beings, according to their deeds.¹

During the thirty years of his career as Teacher, he spent four rainy seasons in Vaiśāli and Vāṇījagráma, fourteen in Rāja-grha and Nālandā, six in Mithilā, two in Bhadrikā, one in Ālābhikā, one in Praṇitabhūmi, one in Śrāvasti, and one in the town of Pāvā which was his last rainy season. In the fourth month of that rainy season, in the seventh fortnight, in the dark fortnight of Kārttika, on its fifteenth day, in the last watch of the night in the town of Pāvā, in king Hastipāla’s office of the writers, the venerable Ascetic died, went off,

quitted the world, cutting asunder the ties of birth, decay, and death.¹

The Kalpa-Sūtra list is so worded as to suggest an idea of succession as regards the places where Mahāvīra spent forty-two rainy seasons since he had renounced the life of a householder. The idea of succession is suggested by the two expressions: ‘first rainy season in Asthigrāma’ and ‘last rainy season in Pāpā or Pāvā’. Taken in order, the places stand in the list as follows:—

1. Asthigrāma—first rainy season.
2. Campā and Pṛṣṭicampā—next three rainy seasons.
3. Vaiśāli and Vāṇijagrāma—next twelve rainy seasons.
4. Rājagrha and Nālandā—next fourteen rainy seasons.
5. Mithilā—next six rainy seasons.
7. Ālabhikā—next one rainy season.
8. Pañkitabhūmi—next one rainy season.
9. Šrāvasti—next one rainy season.
10. Pāpā—last rainy season.

According to the commentary on the Kalpa-Sūtra, Asthigrāma was formerly called Vardha-

¹ Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, p. 264.
māna. It would perhaps be more correct to say that Asthigrāma was the earlier name of Vardhamāna (modern Burdwan). But none need be surprised if Asthigrāma was the same place as Hatthigāma (Hastigrāma) which lay on the high road from Vaiśālī to Pāvā.¹

Campā was the capital of Āṅga, which, after many vicissitudes of fortune in its war with Magadha, was conquered in Mahāvīra’s time by Śreṇika Bimbisāra and permanently annexed to Magadha. Āṅga of the Sanskrit Epics comprised modern district of Bhagalpur and Monghyr and extended northwards up to the river Kosi. Its capital Campā was situated on the river of the same name and the Ganges, at a distance of 60 yojanas. Its actual site is probably marked by two villages of Campānagara and Campāpura near Bhagalpur.

Prśticampā must have been a place not far from Campā. One of the Pāli Jātakas mentions a town, known by the name of Kāla-Campā and situated in the kingdom of Āṅga.

Vaiśālī (modern Besarh in the Muzaffarpur District of Bihar) was the chief seat of government

¹ Most probably Pāvā is the same as Kasia situated on the little Gandak river to the east of the district of Gorakhpur. It is considered as one of the sacred places of the Jains. Its ancient name was Pāpā or Appāpurī.
of the Vṛji-Licchavis in Mahāvīra's time, and Vāṇijagrāma was a centre of trade in the suburb of Vaiśāli.

Rājagṛha (modern Rājgīr) was the capital of Magadha in Mahāvīra's time. It was guarded by five hills, called Isigili, Vebhāra, Pāṇḍava, Vepulla, and Gijjhakūṭa. The Mahābhārata gives the names of the five hills as: Vipula, Vaibhāra, Varāha, Vṛṣabha, and Rṣi.

Nālandā, which is described in the Kalpa-Sūtra as a suburb (bāhirika) of Rājagṛha, was situated on the high road from Rājagṛha to Vaiśāli, at a distance of one yojana from Rājagṛha. It is identified with modern Bargaon, 7 miles to the north-west of Rājgīr in the district of Patna.

Mithilā, which was the capital of once prosperous kingdom of Videha, stood as the chief seat of government of the Videhas. It is identified by tradition with modern Janakapura, a small town within the Nepal border, north of which the Muzaffarpur and Darbhanga districts meet.

---

1 Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p. 12.
2 Law, Some Kṣatriya Tribes of Ancient India, p. 38.
3 Mahābhārata, Sabhāparva, Chap. 21, Ślokas 1–3.
4 Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p. 31.
Videha may be identified with Tirabhukti (modern Tirhut).\(^1\)

Bhadrika, which is the same name as the Pāli Bhaddiya, was an important place in the kingdom of Aṅga.\(^2\)

Ālabhika, which is the same name as the Pāli Ālavī, is identified by Cunningham and Höernle with Newal or Nawal in Unao District in U.P., and by Nandalal Dey with Aviwa, 27 miles northeast of Étwah.\(^3\)

Paṇītabhūmi, which is the same name as the Ardha-Māgadhi Paṇiyabhūmi, was a place in Vajrabhūmi, a division of the pathless country of Rāḍha.\(^4\)

Śrāvasti, which is correctly identified with Saheth-Maheth on the south bank of the river Rāpti, was the flourishing capital of the kingdom of Kośala in Mahāvīra’s time. It was situated on the high road from Vaiśālī, Pāvā, and Kapilavastu to Kauśāmbī, Ujjayini, and Pratisthāna.\(^5\)

Pāpā, which is the same name as the Pāli Pāvā, was one of the chief seats of government of the

---

\(^1\) Law, Geography of Early Buddhism, p. 30.

\(^2\) Dhammapada Commentary, I, p. 384.

\(^3\) Law, Geography, p. 24.

\(^4\) Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, p. 264, f.n. 4; also p. 84.

\(^5\) Law, Geography, p. 5, f.n. 2.
Mallas. It was in Mahāvīra’s time one of the halting stations on the high road from Vaiśālī to Kuśinārā and Kapilavastu.¹

What Mahāvīra did after having attained the position of a Kevalin, where did he go, to whom did he proclaim his religious experience, and by whom was he first accepted as the Jina, are the interesting points that still remain unknown to us. The Bhagavatī-Śūtra would have us believe that extraordinary respect was shown by certain rich householders even long prior to his Jinahood, while the Ācārāṅga and the Kalpa-Śūtra narrate the account of his sādhanā in such a manner as to suggest that he had to make superhuman efforts before he could aspire to obtain the coveted position of a Kevalin. According to the Kalpa-Śūtra account, after leaving his home and passing into the houseless state of a recluse, he stayed with the followers of Pārśva for one year and a month at Asthigrāma. After that time, he went to Campā and Pṛṣṭicampā, and thereafter he returned to Vaiśālī and Vāṇijagrāma, near which he attained Jinahood in the thirteenth year of his career as an ascetic. The Ācārāṅga says that, during this period, he travelled once in the country of Rādhā,

¹ Law, Geography, p. 15.
where he was rudely treated by its inhabitants. The Bhagavatī-Sūtra associates Nālandā, Rāja-
grha, Paṇiyabhūmi, Siddhārthagrāma, and Kūrma-
grāma with his early wanderings. There is reason
to believe that these places were visited by him
after his attainment of Jīnahood.

The Uvāsaga-dasāo mentions Vāṇijagrāma,
Campā, Bārāṇasī, Ālabhi (Pāli Āḷavi), Kampilya-
pura, Polāsapura, Rājagṛha, and Śrāvasti as the
places that were visited by the Venerable Ascetic
Mahāvīra. The town of Campā had near it the
shrine of Pūṛṇabhadra; Vāṇijagrāma, the shrine
called Dvipalāsa; Bārāṇasī, the Kośṭhaka shrine;
Ālabhī, the garden called Saṅkhavana; Kampilya-
pura, the garden called Sahaśrāmravana; Polāsa-
pura, a garden known by the name of Sahaśrāmrava-
na; Rājagṛha, the shrine called Gunasila; and
Śrāvasti, the Kośṭhaka shrine.

In Vāṇijagrāma, the great lay disciples of
Mahāvīra and lay supporters of his Order were
Ānanda and his wife Sivanandā; in Campā,
Kāmadeva and his wife Bhadrā; in Bārāṇasī,
Cūlanipriya and his wife Śyāmā, Sūradeva and
his wife Dhanyā; in Ālabhī, Cullasataka and his
wife Bahulā; in Kampilyapura, Kundakolita and
his wife Puṣyā; in Polāsapura, Sardalapurta and
his wife Agnimitrā; in Rājagṛha, Mahasataka;
and in Śravastī, Nandinipriya and his wife Āśvinī, Salatipriya and his wife Phālguni.

These lay disciples of the Venerable Ascetic and lay supporters of the recluses of his Order, both male and female, are all mentioned as persons of opulence and influence, and as those noted for their piety and devotion. The typical description of each of them, as given in the Uvāsaga-dasāo, may enable the reader to form an idea of his worldly prosperity. Ānanda of Vāṇijagrāma, for instance, is described as a householder who 'possessed a treasure of four kror measures of gold deposited in a safe place, a capital of four kror measures of gold put out on interest, a well-stocked estate of the value of four kror measures of gold, and four herds, each herd consisting of ten thousand heads of cattle'. He 'was a person whom many kings and princes and merchants made it a point to refer to, and to consult, on many affairs and matters needing advice, . . . . in short, on all sorts of business. He was also the main pillar, as it were, of his own family, their authority, support, mainstay, and guide. In short, he was a cause of prosperity to whatever business he was concerned with'. Each of them had a Posaha-house (hall for practice of upavasatha or religious observances). Ānanda, for instance, had
his Posaha-house in the Kollāga suburb of Vāṇija-grāma, in the midst of his people of the Nāta or Jñātṛ clan.¹

This is no exaggeration. The Pāli Upāli-Sutta ² introduces to us the rich householder Upāli of Balakagrāma, near Nālandā, who was a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a liberal supporter of the recluses of his Order, both male and female. We are indeed told that a very large number of inhabitants of Balakagrāma, headed by Upāli, became lay disciples of Mahāvīra. The Banker Mṛgāra or Mṛgadhara of Śrāvasti, father-in-law of the Buddhist lady Visākhā, is mentioned as a lay disciple of Mahāvīra and a lay supporter of the Nirgrantha recluses. Besides Upāli and Mṛgāra, the Buddhist texts mention, as we noted, Prince Abhaya, son of king Sreṇīka Bimbisāra of Magadha, and Simha, a Licchavi general, among the lay disciples of the Jaina Tirthankara.

The Jaina Bhagavatī-Śūtra speaks of two other rich householders among the lay disciples of Mahāvīra, namely, Vijaya and Sudarsana, of whom the former was a citizen of Rājagṛha.³

¹ Uvāsaga-dasāo, Lec. I, Höernle’s Translation.
The Ugras and Bhogas are 'repeatedly mentioned in several of the professedly oldest sacred books as being among the most prominent of the earliest converts'.

In so old a Buddhist text as the Majjhima-Nikāya, we have mention of a great many (sambāhula) Nirgrantha recluses who, following the instruction and example of the Tīrthaṅkara, practised a rigorous form of penance on a ridge of Mt. Rṣigiri, near Rājagṛha. The same work introduces to us two Nirgrantha recluses, Dīrghatapasvī and Satyaka of Agnivesyāyana gotra, and to one Wanderer (parivrājaka) who was much influenced by the teaching and personality of Mahāvīra. The Buddha is said to have met Dīrghatapasvī at Nālandā and Satyaka at Vaiśālī in the land of the Vṛji-Licchavis.

According to the Kalpa-Sūtra, Mahāvīra in his lifetime had an excellent community of fourteen thousand recluses with Indrabhūti at their head, thirty-six thousand female recluses with Candana at their head, one hundred and fifty-

---

1 Uvāsaga-dasāo, Introduction, p. xiv.
2 Majjhima, I, p. 92.
3 Ibid., I, p. 371.
4 Ibid., I, p. 237.
5 Ibid., II, pp. 1, 29.
nine thousand lay disciples with Śaṅkhaśatāka at their head, and three hundred and eighteen thousand female lay disciples with Sulasā and Revatī at their head. There were 'three hundred sages who knew the fourteen Pūrvas, who though no Jinas came very near them, . . . ; thirteen hundred sages who were possessed of the Avadhiknowledge and superior qualities; seven hundred Kevalins . . . ; seven hundred who could transform themselves . . . ; five hundred sages of mighty intellect . . . ; four hundred professors who were never vanquished in disputes . . . ; seven hundred male and fourteen hundred female disciples who reached perfection . . . ; and eight hundred sages in their last birth'.

Among the immediate followers of Mahāvīra, eleven became distinguished as gaṇadharas guiding and instructing nine separate groups of Nirgrantha recluses, placed under them. The eldest monk Indrabhūti, the middle-aged monk Agnibhūti, and the youngest monk Vāyubhūti, all of the Gautama gotra, instructed 500 recluses each. The Venerable Ārya Vyakta of the Bhāradvāja gotra and the Venerable Ārya Sudharman of the Agnivesyāyana gotra instructed 500 recluses each.

---

1 Kalpa-Sūtra, 134; Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp. 265-266.
The Venerable Maṇḍikaputra of the Vaśiṣṭha gotra and the Venerable Mauryaputra of the Kāśyapa gotra instructed 250 recluses each. The Venerable Akampita of the Gautama gotra and the Venerable Acalabhrāṭr of the Hāritāyana gotra instructed together 300 recluses each. Similarly the Venerable Metārya and Prabhāsa, both of the Kauṇḍinya gotra, instructed together 300 recluses each. All the eleven gaṇadharas died at Rājaṇīha after fasting a month without even drinking water. Indrabhūti and Ārya Sudharman died after the demise of Mahāvīra. The Nirgrantha recluses trace their spiritual descent from Ārya Sudharman, because the remaining gaṇadharas left no descendants.\(^1\)

What was the form of his first declaration about himself which aroused confidence in so many hundreds and thousands of his followers who had gathered round his personality and impelled them to follow his example in their own life? And what was the special attraction of religious life which was held out to the householders, both men and women, who came to form a large body of lay disciples?

The answer to the first question comes from several Buddhist texts, and the answer to the

\(^1\) Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, pp. 286-287.
second has been given in Lecture I of the Uvāsagadasāñ. According to the Buddhist texts, the form of his first declaration or address was: 'I am all-knowing and all-seeing, and possessed of an infinite knowledge. Whether, I am walking or standing still, whether I sleep or remain awake, the supreme knowledge and intuition are present with me,—constantly and continuously. There are, O Nirgranthas, some sinful acts you have done in the past, which you must now wear out by this acute form of austerity. Now that here you will be living restrained in regard to your acts, speech, and thought, it will work as the non-doing of karma for future. Thus by the exhaustion of the force of past deeds through penance and the non-accumulation of new acts, (you are assured) of the stoppage of the future course of rebirth, from such stoppage, of the destruction of the effect of karma, from that, of the destruction of pain, from that, of the destruction of mental feelings, and from that, of the complete wearing out of all kinds of pain.'

The gift of supernormal vision was vouchsafed even to a householder,—to one who resided within his own house but conformed himself to the rule

---

1 Majjhima, I, pp. 92-93.
of religious life as laid down by the great teacher. Gautama Indrabhūti was taken to task by the Master when he sought to claim a difference in degree in this respect between a recluse and a lay disciple.¹ The gift of supernormal vision was no monopoly of any Order or caste or sex. In this matter, Mahāvīra made no distinction between men and men, or between men and women. He had not enjoined one set of rules for male recluses and another for female, one set of rules for male lay disciples and another for female. When he wandered about in the country, he was accompanied by male as well as female recluses.

The Jain texts clearly give us to understand that the Master had not only instructed his followers to observe penances and live restrained in all ways but keenly watched how they had been progressing. He also encouraged them in the study of the Pūrvas and in developing their power of reasoning and arguing. The Buddhist records themselves attest that there were some able and powerful disputants among the Nir-grantha recluses² and lay disciples.³

¹ Uvāsaga-dasāo, Lec. I.
³ Ibid., I, pp. 374-375.
The Jain tradition of Tīrthaṅkaras was built up by the noble career of certain Kṣatriya teachers. In this tradition, the rôle played by Mahāvīra was that of the very last reformer. His immediate predecessor was Pārśva or Pārśvanātha who too has been proved to be ‘an historical personage’. He ‘lived a hundred years, and died 250 years before Mahāvīra’.¹ According to the Kalpa-Sūtra, ‘the Arhat Pārśva, the people’s favourite, lived thirty years as a householder, . . . . full seventy years as a Śramaṇa, and a hundred years on the whole’.² And he died on the summit of Mt. Sammēta (modern Paresnath hill in the Hazaribagh District).

As for his popularity and great influence, we are told that he ‘had an excellent community of sixteen thousand śramaṇas . . . ., (and) thirty-eight thousand nuns’.³ The religious Order founded by him continued to exist till the time when Mahāvīra saw the light of the day. The followers of Pārśva, referred to as Śramaṇas in the Jain books, used to wear clothes. The Pūrvas were their sacred books. The catuyāmasaṁvara or ‘fourfold restraint’ was regarded as a distinctive feature of

¹ Chimanlal J. Shah, Jainism in Northern India, p. 12.
² Kalpa-Sūtra, 168.
³ Ibid., 166.
their discipline. The parents of Mahāvīra were lay worshippers of Pārśva. The influence of his teachings on the life of Mahāvīra’s parents is described in the Ācārāṅga thus: ‘Mahāvīra’s parents were worshippers of Pārśva and followers of the Śramaṇas. During many years they were followers of the Śramaṇas and for the sake of protecting the six classes of lives they observed, blamed, repented, confessed, and did penance according to their sins. On a bed of kuṣa grass they rejected all food, and their bodies dried up by the last mortification of the flesh, which is to end in death. Thus they died . . . and, leaving their bodies, were born as gods in Adbhuta Kalpa (better, Acyuta Kalpa).’

The existence of Pārśva’s Order in Mahāvīra’s time is further proved by the reported disputes between the followers of Pārśva and those of Mahāvīra. The Bhagavatī-Śūtra describes one of such disputes which arose between Kālāvesiyaputta, a follower of Pārśva, and a disciple of Mahāvīra. The dispute, of course, ended when the former ‘changed the law of the four vows for the law of the five vows enjoining compulsory confession’.

---

1 Jaina-Sūtras, I, p. 194.
2 Chimanlal J. Shah, Jainism in Northern India, p. 10.
The Uttarādhyaayana-Sūtra records the following conversation between Keśī, a follower of Pārśva, and Gautama, a chief disciple of Mahāvīra:

'When the four precepts promulgated by the great sage Pārśva are held equally binding upon our two Orders, what is the cause of difference between us?' Gautama replies: 'Wisdom recognizes the truth of the law and the ascertainment of true things. The first saints were simple but slow of understanding, the last saints prevaricating and slow of understanding, those between the two simple and wise; hence there are two forms of the law. The first could but with difficulty understand the precepts of the law, and the last could only with difficulty observe them, but those between them easily understood and observed them.'

The historical importance of the dialogue lies not only in the contrast sharply drawn between the two Orders but in the necessity felt for amalgamating them into one Order. In the Rāyapaseṇi, Keśī figures as a disciple of Mahāvīra.

It may be thought thus: 'The religious Order founded by Pārśva enjoyed the reputation of a high and rigid standard of conduct, verging upon

---

1 Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 122-123.
the stoic or ascetic. He made four moral precepts binding upon his followers, precepts which were later enforced by Mahāvīra and Buddha." ¹

As a reformer of Pārśva's religion, Mahāvīra added a fifth, namely, the vow of chastity to the list of four-vows enjoined by his predecessor. Secondly, he restricted the practice of religious suicide by slow starvation only to those among his disciples who followed his personal example.

And as a happy result of the amalgamation of the two Orders, the oldest known Jain literature came to consist of the fourteen Pūrvas and the twelve Aṅgas. The Pūrvas, as it appears, formed the scriptural basis of the Upāṅgas and other books of the Jain Canon. Similarly Pārśva's doctrine of six classes of living beings served as the basis of Mahāvīra's doctrine of six leśyās.

When Pārśva lived Kāśi was probably the most powerful kingdom in Northern India. The Buddhist Jātakas relate stories of repeated wars between Kāśi and Kośala, which ended ultimately in the establishment of the supremacy of the latter kingdom. Both the Jaina and Buddhist sacred books point to a time when there arose

---

¹ A History of Pre-Buddhist Indian Philosophy, p. 380; Das Gupta, History of Indian Philosophy, Vol. I, p. 169.
four powerful monarchies in Northern India, and the rest of the country was divided into the principalities of several oligarchical or republican clans. Magadha, Kośala, Vatsa, and Avantī were the four powerful kingdoms, where reigned Śrenīka Bimbisāra, Prasenajit, Udayana, and Canda-pradyot respectively. Among the republican clans of Kṣatriyas, the two most powerful were the Vṛji-Licchavis and the Mallas, who formed two separate confederacies in Mahāvīra's time. The Jñātrikas of Kuṇḍagrāma and the Videhans of Videha were included in the confederacy of the Vṛji-Licchavis with Vaiśāli as their chief seat of government. It is among these confederate Kṣatriyas that Mahāvīra was born and found strong supporters of his religion.

The Uvāsaga-dasāo refers to that early period of Mahāvīra's career as a teacher when there seems to have been an alliance of Kāśi-Kośala, Aṅga, Ālavī, Videha, Vaiśāli, Pāvā, and Kuśinārā against the growing power of Magadha. But, in spite of such an alliance, Aṅga was conquered by Śrenīka Bimbisāra and permanently annexed to Magadha. Kuṇika-Ajātaśatru, son and successor of Śrenīka Bimbisāra, is represented throughout the Jain literature as the king of Campā, that is, of Aṅga. The fact perhaps is that Kuṇika-Ajātaśatru was
appointed to govern Aṅga as a viceroy, and while he was the viceroy of Aṅga, he picked up a quarrel with the Licchavis of Vaiśālī. The Pāli scholiast Buddhaghosa says that the first quarrel arose on account of the arbitrary action of the Licchavis in violation of the term of a treaty by which half the mineral products of a mine was to be received by them, and the other half by Ajātaśatru.¹

The Bhagavatī-Sūtra, however, suggests that some sixteen years before Mahāvīra’s demise, a quarrel arose between Kuṇika and his brother or half-brother Vaihalya over the succession to the throne of their father. In this quarrel, the Licchavis lent their support to Vaihalya’s claim. The result was that Kuṇika had to fight a battle with the Licchavis who alloyed themselves with the Mallas and others.² Kuṇika must have suffered a defeat, although he succeeded in usurping the throne of Magadha. The alliance of the Licchavis and the Mallas existed till the demise of Mahāvīra. The Licchavis and the Mallas were indeed the two peoples to whom the rise of Mahāvīra was an object of national pride. Accordingly we read in the Kalpa-Sūtra that when Mahāvīra died at Pāvā,

¹ Law, Some Kṣatriya Tribes of Ancient India, p. 113.
² Barua, The Ājīvikas, p. 28.
the eighteen confederate kings of Kāśi and Kośala, the nine Mallakis and the nine Licchavis' instituted an illumination.¹

The people of Aṅga-Magadha were equally proud to think that their country was hallowed by the presence of so great a teacher and guide as Mahāvīra. According to the Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, king Śreṇīka Bimbisāra of Magadha paid the following glowing tribute to Mahāvīra when he met him at Rājagṛha: 'You have made the best use of human birth, you have made a true Jina, . . . you are a protector (of mankind at large) and of your relations, for you have entered the path of the best Jinas'. Even we are told that forthwith the king, 'together with his wives, servants, and relations, became a staunch believer in the law, with a pure mind'.²

The Jain works represent Cetaka, maternal uncle of Mahāvīra, as the most powerful king of Videha at whose call all the allied powers of the time combined, either for the purpose of offence or of defence. He had seven daughters, the eldest of whom was married to king Udayana of Vatsa,

¹ Kalpa-Sūtra, p. 128.
² Uttarādhyayana, XX, V. 58; Chimanlal J. Shah, Jainism, p. 117.
and the youngest to king Śreṇika Bimbisāra of Magadha. One joined the religious Order of Mahāvīra and the other four ‘were married in one or the other royal family of Eastern India’. There may be some truth in the suggestion made by Mr. Chimanlal J. Shah that these princesses were instrumental in the propagation of Jainism in Northern India.¹

The Kalpa-Sūtra definitely records that Mahāvīra ‘lived thirty years as a householder, more than full twelve years in a state inferior to perfection, something less than thirty years as a Kevalin, forty-two years as a (recluse), and seventy-two years on the whole’. That at the age of seventy-two, in the town of Pāvā, and in king Hastipāla’s office of the writers, Mahāvīra died, freed from all pains.

Some nine centuries elapsed since his demise when the council of Valabhi under the presidency of Devarddhi met to make a final redaction of the words of Mahāvīra as handed down by an oral tradition, and caused the same to be written in books. These sacred books of the Jain Āgama or Siddhānta, all worded in Ardha-Māgadhī, represent the oldest known literary monument in

¹ Jainism in Northern India, pp. 88 foll.
Prākrit, other than Pāli. The impetus given by the Master to the development of the current speech of the people at large continued to act, and his later followers greatly helped in the literary development of all the main Prākrit dialects of mediæval India.

The Jains place the event of Mahāvīra’s Nirvāṇa (demise) in B.C. 527, while the Buddhists of Ceylon fix B.C. 544 or 543 as the date of Buddha’s Mahāparinirvāṇa. These two dates, one proposed for the demise of Mahāvīra and the other for that of the Buddha, cannot be harmonized with the historical facts connected with the life of the two great teachers of India. Two things may be taken as certain: (1) that Mahāvīra predeceased the Buddha by 5 or 6, 7 or 8, or even 14 or 15 years; and (2) that Mahāvīra passed as a Jina before the Buddha. The authenticity of B.C. 544 or 543 as the date of Buddha’s demise has been questioned by modern scholars who propose either 486 or 484 as the correct date. The figure 544 or 543 is accounted for as the date of accession of Śrenīka Bimbisāra. Similarly the figure 527 is accounted for as the date of attainment of Jinahood by Mahāvīra. Accepting this date for Mahāvīra’s Kevaliship, one has to compute the date of his birth as B.C. 570, and that of his demise as B.C. 498 (or 500 in round numbers).
Mahāvīra was one of the great teachers of mankind. He was indeed one of those teachers through whom the problem of the perfection of man came to be recognized as the highest problem before progressive humanity. All the rules of religious life which he had enjoined, were intended to be a practical aid to the attainment of perfection of the self. He did not preach to others what he had not practised himself. The goal set before mankind was the blissfulness of the entire being which could not be bought by the wealth and pomp and power of the world. This happy state is to be attained through patience, forbearance, self-denial, forgiveness, humanity, compassion, and consideration, in short, sufferings and sacrifice, love and kindness. If he died, he died to live as an eternal personality. Before we close this short account of his life, it may repay our labours to briefly recount the impress of his personality on the life and civilization of India of his time.

*Ahimsā* or non-harming is the very first principle of higher life that he inculcated to his disciples and followers. The visible effect of *ahimsā* was sought to be proved by a practical demonstration. It was sought to be shown how even such brute creation as the beasts and birds, reptiles and
fishes, happily responded to the non-harming and compassionate attitude or disposition of men. Already in Mahāvīra’s time, the righteous king of India made it a point of duty to vouchsafe the lawful protection to all forms of life within the compound of a religious establishment (dhammika-rakkhāvaraṇa-guttī).¹ This very principle of non-harming had a salutary effect on man’s habitual diet. Those who came under the influence of Mahāvīra’s personality and teachings gave up the eating of meat and fishes for good, and adhered to vegetarian diet. This change in diet went to improve the art of preparation of vegetable dishes.

The same principle served to mitigate the rigour and ruthlessness of the criminal justice of ancient India. The ancient laws were considerably modified and humanized. Compassion for the suffering fellow-beings is just the other side of non-harming. The principle of compassion was at the back of many philanthropic and humanitarian deeds and institutions which he encouraged. There must have been something very special and most forceful which appealed to the heart of the people of the trading community who realizing the utter worthlessness of earthly good came

¹ Majjhima, II, p. 101, etc.
forward to forsake all things for the good and happiness of others.

Chastity, sexual and moral, is a virtue alike for individuals and nations to develop. And this he taught alike to individuals and nations that came under his influence.

Salvation was preached as the birthright of men, and it was assured to all without distinction of caste or creed or sex.

The *Kriyāvāda* or doctrine of action which he taught went to make men conscious of their responsibility for all their acts, mental, vocal or bodily. The same also awakened the consciousness that salvation was not a gift of favour but an attainment within human possibility.

Thus he dispensed with the idea of hereditary priesthood. It is for all persons to decide for themselves whether they will live as householders or turn monks according to their choice and fitness.

The *Syādvāda* forming the basis of Jain metaphysics and dialectics is still a doctrine, which is very imperfectly understood. But certain it is that this doctrine was formulated as a scheme of thought in which there is room for consideration of all points of view, and of all ideals. This was brought forward at a most critical period of Indian
life when many conflicting dogmas were adumbrated without leading to certitude. The *Svādvāda* stands out as an intellectual idea of that harmony among men which is based upon mutual understanding. In literature it has served as a basis of encyclopædic knowledge in which many of his votaries have excelled.

Jainism spread all over India since Mahāvīra's demise. The Chronicles of Ceylon attest that it spread also in Ceylon. The places hallowed by the dust of his feet became sacred as places of pilgrimage to the millions of his votaries. Those very places became sites of the Jain shrines, the architecture, sculpture, and painting of which are still the most precious objects of admiration to all.
II

TEACHINGS

The teachings of Mahāvīra have come down to us as a living tradition which grew up and took a complete literary form through ten centuries from his demise. This tradition was up to a certain date known as fourteen Pūrvas. The fourteen Pūrvas themselves presuppose the existence of an earlier ten that had embodied the religious tradition of Pārśva and formed, as we are led to think by a legend in the Bhagavatī-Sūtra, a common basis of the Jaina and Ājīvika Canons. The gradual loss of those Pūrvas may be accounted for by the rise of the sacred books of the Jaina Canon,—the Siddhānta or Āgama by way of compilations from time to time. The process of compilation passed through a few stages till it was stopped with the preparation of a final redaction of the Canon at the council of Valabhi, under the presidency of Ārya Devarddhi, in 454 (or 467) A.D.\(^1\) The casual references to the books representing various divisions of the said Canon seem to suggest a stratification of the Aṅgas, the Upāṅgas,

\(^1\) Jaina-Sūtras, Part I, Introd., p. xxxvii.
and the rest and a chronology of their gradual development. The process of growth is comparable to that of an embryo in the womb, the various parts and limbs manifesting themselves, one after the other, till the organism reaches its full-fledged form.

There was obviously a stage when the twelve Aṅgas were known and acknowledged as the only authoritative sacred books of Jainism. The twelfth Aṅga, called Drṣṭivāda, has been lost, as the tradition of the Jaina Church persistently informs us. But even the loss of this Aṅga may be accounted for by the rise of other books on its basis. So, looked at from this point of view, nothing has been lost.

The Sūtrakṛtāṅga expressly refers only to the twelve Aṅgas as 'the Canon of the Gānis, which has been taught, produced, and declared by the Śramaṇas, the Nirgranthas, viz. the Ācārāṅga (all down to) the Drṣṭivāda'.¹ These are indeed the sacred texts that were venerated as maiṭṭhāna (mātrṣṭhāna, matrices),² precisely as the Buddhists viewed the five Nikāyas as mātukā or matrices of their Canon.³ Professor Jacobi misses the real

¹ Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, i. 27.
² Ibid., I, 9. 24.
³ For this meaning of mātukā, see D. L. Barua’s note, I.C., Vol. I, pp. 107 foll.
import of the word maɪṭhāna when he inclines to equate it with māyāsthāna. The twelve Aṅgas were the matrices or fundamental bases of the Jaina Siddhānta.

Even with regard to the Aṅgas, it may be shown that Ardha-Māgadhī, the literary idiom developed in them, is younger than Pāli of the Buddhist Canon preserved in Ceylon. In the same connection, one may think with Professor Jacobi that ‘the first book of the Ācārāṅga and that of the Sūtrakṛtāṅga-Sūtra may be reckoned among the most ancient parts of the Siddhānta’, or that the Vaitāliya metre used in the Pāli Dhammapada represents ‘an older stage in the development of the Vaitāliya’ than that in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga. Even one may cite instances of parallelism between Jainism and Buddhism, and of influence of the latter on the former. When we see that Mahāvīra is honoured with such epithets as Buddha, Tathāgata, Sugata, and Sambuddha, we cannot help feeling that these are borrowed. Just take them away, and you see that Jina, Arhat, Tīrthaṅkara, Vīra, Mahāvīra, Vaiśālika, Nirgrantha, the

1 Jaina-Sūtras, Pt. II, p. 304, f.n. 3.
2 Āyāramga, edited by Jacobi, Introd.
great Brāhmaṇa, the great Śramaṇa, and the rest of usual titles of Vardhamāna come into prominence as Jaina specials.¹

The text of the Aṅgas and that of some of the Upāṅgas do not fail, notwithstanding their later accretions, to suggest that very atmosphere of society, religion, and thought in which it was possible for Jainism and Buddhism to arise and make a wide appeal to the classes of people to whom they were addressed. Sīlāṅka and other Jaina commentators have assiduously tried, no doubt, to clear up allusions in these ancient Jaina texts to the views, beliefs, and practices by means of systems and doctrines of the Sāṅkhya, the Vedānta or the Buddhist Śūnyavāda philosophy. But similar allusions to those very views, beliefs, and practices in the text of the Pāli Canon suggest at once a much earlier stage of Indian religious thought, which was only imperfectly understood by the Jaina scholiasts. Thus even in dealing with the teachings of Mahāvīra, the safer means of distinguishing between things earlier and later in the Jaina Aṅgas consists in the side-lights that may be gathered from the Pāli Nikāyas, but not from the guesses of the Jaina scholiasts who flourished

¹ Ibid., pp. xix-xx.
Now let us see how the Tīrthaṅkara has been described in the Jaina Sūtrakṛtāṅga, and in what light his personality and teachings were viewed by his immediate disciples. He is the glorious man who lived before their eyes. He is the great sage who possessed infinite knowledge and infinite faith. He is the highest and the wisest in the whole world. He is the omniscient sage Kāśyapa who proclaimed the highest law of the Jinas. His knowledge is inexhaustible like the water of the sea. He is limitless and pure like the great ocean. He is passionless, unfettered, and brilliant like Sakra, the king of the gods. By his vigour he is the most vigorous. He stands in the centre of the earth like Mt. Sudarsana, the best of all mountains. He is seen in a pure light like that of the sun. He is noble, glorious, full of faith, knowledge, and virtue. After having taught the highest law he practised the highest contemplation which is the purest of pure, thoroughly white as it were like mother-of-pearl and moon. Having annihilated all his karman by his knowledge, virtue, and faith, he reached the unsurpassable, highest perfection, which is a state with a beginning but without an end. He is the best of teachers who have taught Nirvāṇa. He is the hero who grants protection to all, and whose perfection is infinite.
He had mastered all philosophical systems, and practised control as long as he lived. All that is the best in nature and the highest in the world serves as a point of comparison, and yet he remains incomparable and unsurpassed.¹

The ‘distant end’² or ultimate object of Jainism, as taught by Mahāvīra, is Nirvāṇa which ‘consists in peace’.³ Nirvāṇa is just another name of mokṣa or liberation, mukti or deliverance. The liberation is not ‘anything unreal, but the best thing’. This is no false assertion to declare that there is such a thing as liberation, which can be realized by man in the highest condition of aloofness and transcendentality of himself.⁴ As Gautama, a disciple of Mahāvīra, explained to Keśi, a disciple of Pārśva, ‘There is a safe place in view of all, but difficult of approach, where there is no old age, nor death, no pain nor disease’. ‘It is what is called Nirvāṇa, or freedom from pain, or perfection, which is in view of all; it is the safe, happy, and quiet place which the great sages reach. This is the eternal place, in view of all, but difficult of approach.’⁵ If it is liberation,

² Ibid., I, 8. 18; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 299.
³ Ibid., I, 11. 11.
⁴ Ibid., I, 10. 12.
⁵ Uttarādhyayana, XXIII, 81–84; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 128.
liberation from what? The reply given is—from a state of bondage brought on by karman. If it is deliverance, deliverance from what? To this, the reply is—from old age, disease, death, in short, all that constitutes a painful existence for the self.

If Nirvāṇa or Mokṣa is a real state of sukha or bliss, how can it be reached? The opinion that ‘Pleasant things are produced from pleasant things’, ‘Mokṣa, a pleasant thing, is arrived at through a comfortable life, another pleasant thing’ is opposed and proved to be futile. The precedents cited from the tradition of such ancient and renowned Rṣis as Asita, Devala, Dvaipāyana, and Parāśara, who were generally believed to have reached perfection, notwithstanding the fact that they had eaten seeds and drunk water are considered no valid proof in support of the above proposition.¹ Even the Buddhist mode of life appeared to be too comfortable to be compatible with the right path to salvation as promulgated by the great Teacher.² So the proposition had to be rather reversed in formulating the path set out for the Nirgranthas:

---
¹ Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 3. 4, 4–6 ; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 269.
² Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 269, f.n. 3.
TEACHINGS

Na sukhena sukham adhigantabbam,
dukkhena sukhams adhigantabbam.¹

What is the dukkha or painful and difficult path whereby the disciples of the Jina were called to seek sukha or infinite bliss,—the liberation, the deliverance, the beatitude, the salvation, the Nirvāṇa? On this head we are informed in the Pāli Sutta that by the dukkha or painful and difficult path was meant dukkarakārikā or rigorous practice of penances. Samvarā² or practice of self-restraint with regard to the body, speech, and mind was just the other aspect of dukkarakārikā or tapas. The practice of austerities or penances was to be resorted to as a means of wearing out and ultimately destroying the effects of sinful deeds (pāpakamma) committed in former existences (pubbe), and that of the threefold self-restraint, as a means of not giving effect to a new karman. Thus from the undoing of the effects of old karman by means of penances (purānānāṁ kammānāṁ tapasā vyantibhāvā) and the non-doing of such acts as are likely to produce a new karman effect (navānāṁ kammānāṁ akaraṇā) there follows, as

¹ Majjhima-Nikāya, I, Cūladukkhakkhandha-Sutta.
² The term is supplied, as it does not actually occur in the text, but is clearly implied.
a result, the non-gliding of the self in the course of samsāra in future (āyatim anavassavo). The sequel of this is the destruction of karman (kammakkhayo), the sequel of that is the destruction of the painful physical condition of the self (dukkhakkhayo), the sequel of that is the destruction of the painful mental condition of the self (vandanākkhayo), and from that ultimately results a complete wearing out of all pain, bodily or mental (sabbam dukkham nijjīnṇam bhavissati).¹

Thus here we have a somewhat clumsy but, upon the whole, a correct and faithful reproduction of the words of Mahāvīra as represented by his disciples to those of the Buddha. The historical importance of this Buddhist statement of Mahāvīra’s teachings is that it points to a very early formulation of the main ideas of Jainism. Its importance lies also in the fact that it sets forth the whole chain of reasoning by which the terms of the Jaina thought were interlinked, the sequence ending in nirjarā or mokṣa. It serves also to unveil the plan of thought in which the chain of reasoning was sought to be developed by arranging the terms broadly under two heads: positive and negative, or negative and positive.

¹ Majjhima-Nikāya, I, 93.
The books of the Jaina Canon clearly show that the terminology and exposition of the Jaina thought had developed by stages. The system, when it had reached its final shape in the Canon itself, came to be represented by the following terms and groups of terms:

On its logical or dialectical side it came to be described as a doctrine of nayás,¹ and on its pragmatic or practical side, as Kriyāvāda.²

The three spheres of the self to which it was required to administer came to be represented by these three terms: jñāna or sphere of knowledge and intuition, darśana or sphere of faith and devotion, and cāritra or sphere of conduct and behaviour.³

The main system of Jainism came to be represented as navatattva or doctrine of nine terms.⁴

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
1. & \text{jīva} \\
2. & \text{ajīva} \\
3. & \text{bandha} \\
4. & \text{puṇya} \\
5. & \text{pāpa} \\
6. & \text{āśrava} \\
7. & \text{samvara} \\
8. & \text{karmakṣaya} \\
9. & \text{mokṣa}.
\end{array}
\]

---

¹ Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII, 24.
² Sūtrakṛtāṇga, I, 12. 21.
³ Ibid., I, 6. 14.
As corollaries to these terms, it came to include two other groups of terms.¹

1. The six astikāyas or terms comprehending and characterizing the world of existence:
   (1) dharma, (2) adharma, (3) kāla, (4) ākāśa, and (5) ātīma.

2. The three terms of dravya, guṇa, and paryāya comprehending and characterizing the six astikāyas.

The doctrine of nayās is just what is termed syādvāda in the post-canonical works, and the nayās are no other than the seven modes of syādvāda (saptabhaṅginyāya). The canonical texts just mention the nayās without fixing up their number, four or seven.

The term kriyā or Kriyāvāda, and the three terms relating to the three aspects of the Jaina faith may be shown to have formed a part of the oldest known nomenclature of Jainism.

The terms representing the main system and the terms forming corollaries to them are indiscriminately set out together with other terms of a similar import in a passage in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga presenting certain important articles of faith:

‘Do not maintain that the world does not exist, maintain that it exists.

¹ Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XXVIII, 5–7.
Do not maintain that jīva and ajīva do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that dharma and adharma do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that bondage and liberation do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that virtue (puṇya) and pāpa (vice) do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that āśrava and the stoppage of āśrava (samvara) do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that the experiencing of the effect, and the annihilation of karman do not exist, but that they exist.

Do not maintain that activity and non-activity do not exist, but that they exist.

* * * * *

Do not maintain that there is no such thing as perfection and non-perfection, but that there is such a thing.

Do not maintain that there is no place exclusively reserved for those who attain to perfection, but that there is such.¹

The articles of faith formulated from an implied syādvāda point of view read:

'He (a Jaina monk) should not believe that (this world) is without beginning or without end,

eternal or not eternal, according to the argumentation (of others).

From these alternatives you cannot arrive at truth; from these alternatives you are certainly led to error.'

Similarly, 'One should not say: that there will be an end of beings who (know and) teach the truth; nor that all beings are not alike, nor that they shall be in (perpetual) bondage, or (that the prophets are eternal).'

Thus the main points of Jainism as taught by its founder and interpreted by its later exponents may be envisaged. Here our immediate task is to see what light may be actually thrown on those points from the text of the Aṅgas considered in the light of the evidence of the Pāli Nikāyas.

1. Syādvāda.—This consists of certain nayās which, according to Sir R. G. Bhandarkar, are 'points of view or principles with reference to which certain judgments are arrived at or arrangements made.' The number of nayās came to be finally fixed as seven, while the canonical texts are reticent about their number. The term syādvāda itself is met with in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga.

---

1 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, 5; Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 405–409.
2 Bhandarkar, Report, p. 112.
One cannot fail to perceive the logical necessity of its formulation in the teachings of Mahāvīra. Both Mahāvīra and Buddha had to reckon with the sceptical position of Sañjaya suspending judgments where an assertion of truth appeared to be opposed by a counter-assertion, each, according to a verbal interpretation, excluding the other. If the question was mooted like this: Is the world eternal or not eternal? The Master’s advice to his disciples was neither to side with those who maintained that the world is eternal nor with those who maintained that it is not eternal. The reason assigned for this was that from neither of these alternatives they could arrive at truth, or that proceeding (exclusively) from either they would only be led to error. To avoid exclusiveness in judgments about all matters of fact is the chief thing aimed at in the above advice. Thus in deciding all such questions, the admirable way was one of syādvāda: ‘The world is eternal as far as that part is concerned which is the substratum of the idea (sāmānya) “world”; it is not eternal as far as its ever-changing state is meant.’¹ The syād mode was the real way of escape from the position of the dogmatist and

¹ Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 405, f.n. 1.
that of the sceptic, from both of which Mahāvīra recoiled.

2. *Kriyāvāda*—Jainism was launched forth by its founder as a *Kriyāvāda*,¹ which, according to the Pāli Nikāya usage, is the same term as *Karmavāda* or doctrine of action. The Pāli Nikāyas refer to an ancient householder teacher of India as the first expounder of the doctrine of action.² The Jaina Sūtrakṛṭāṅga, too, speaks of other types of *Kriyāvāda* then current in India.³ Buddhism, too, was promulgated as a form of *Kriyāvāda* or *Karmavāda*. Further in the teaching of Mahāvīra, *Kriyāvāda* of Jainism is sharply distinguished from *akriyāvāda* (doctrine of non-action), *ajñānavāda* (scepticism), and *vinayavāda* (formalism), precisely as in the word of the Buddha, *kriyāvāda* of Buddhism is distinguished from *satkāyadrṣṭi* involving various types of *akriyā*, *vicikitsā* (scepticism), and *sīlavāta-parāmarśa* (formalism).⁴ Thus to arrive at a correct understanding of the doctrinal significance of *kriyāvāda* of Jainism it is necessary not only to see how it has been distinguished from *akriyāvāda*, *ajñāna-

---

¹ Sūtrakṛṭāṅga, I, 12. 21.
² Majjhima-N., I, p. 483.
³ Sūtrakṛṭāṅga, I, 6. 27; I, 10. 17.
⁴ Khuddakapāṭha, p. 5.
vāda, and vinayavāda, but also from other types of kriyāvāda.

As typical instances of akriyāvāda, the Pāli Nikāyas mention the doctrines and views of four teachers, all of whom are associated with Mahāvīra as his notable contemporaries and as great leaders of Indian thought of that age. These are the very doctrines and views that find mention in the Jaina Sūtrakṛtāṅga as types of akriyāvāda. The four teachers, according to Buddhist tradition, are Pūraṇa Kāśyapa, Maśkari Gośāla, Kakudha Kātyāyana, and Ajita Keśakambali.¹ The first of them is represented as a teacher who advocated a theory of chance to account for all actions of beings, while in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga similar views are interpreted as a theory of the passivity of souls (niṣkriyāvāda). The second man is introduced as a dangerous fatalist both in the Pāli Nikāyas and in the Jaina Aṅgas. The third man plays the rôle of an eternalist seeking to account for all phenomena, all happenings in the world by a mechanical combination and separation of seven factors in reality, the number being six as given in the Jaina Aṅgas. The fourth man appears as a veritable atheist denying the possibility of

individual existence after death and the efficacy of all funeral rites performed for the benefit of the deceased person.\(^1\)

The types of akriyāvāda mentioned in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga are as follows:

(1) There are these five gross elements: earth, water, fire, wind, and air. From them arises an intelligent principle called ātman. On the dissolution of the five elements living beings cease to exist.

Everybody, fool or sage, has an individual soul. These souls exist as long as the body exists, but after death they are no more. There are no souls which are born again.

There is neither virtue nor vice, there is no world beyond. On the dissolution of the body the individual ceases to be.

There are individual souls. They experience pleasure and pain, and at death they lose their state of life.\(^2\)

(2) When a man acts or causes another to act, it is not his soul (ātman) which acts or causes to act.\(^3\)

---

1 Jacobi, Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 236–239.
2 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i. i. 7, 8, 12; I, i. 2. 1; I, i. i. 18. Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 236–239.
3 Ibid., I, i. i. 13.
(3) There are five elements and the soul is a sixth substance. These six substances are imperishable and eternal by their very nature.\footnote{\textit{Sūtrakṛtāṅga}, I, i. i. 15-16.}

(4) Pleasure, pain, and final beatitude are not caused by the souls themselves, but the individual souls experience them. It is the lot assigned to them by destiny.

Some beings have motion, others not. It depends on certain conditions whether they are in the one state or in the other.\footnote{\textit{Ibid.}, I, i. 2. 2, 3; I, i. 4. 8.}

(5) The world has been created or is governed by the gods.

It has been created or is governed by Brahman.

It has been created or is governed by Īśvara.

It has been created by Svayambhū.

It is produced from the primeval egg, and Brahman created the things.

It is produced from chaos.\footnote{\textit{Ibid.}, I, i. 3. 5-8; \textit{Jaina-Sūtras}, Part II.}

(6) The world is boundless and eternal, it exists from eternity and does not perish.

It is limited, but eternal. The knowledge of the highest being is unlimited. It is limited in every way.\footnote{\textit{Ibid.}, I, i. i. 17.}
(7) Just as the earth, though it is but one pile, presents many forms, so ātman, the self, appears under various forms as the universe.\footnote{Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i. i. 9.}

All these views are again reduced to just four main types that correspond to those associated in the Pāli Nikāyas with four leading thinkers of the time, viz. atheism, like that of Ajita; eternalism, like that of Kātyāyana; absolutism, like that of Kāśyapa; and fatalism, like that of Gōśāla.

The first type is represented thus:

The ātman is a living individual, a biological entity. The whole self lives and lasts as long as the body, it does not outlast the destruction of the body. With the body ends life. When it has been consumed by fire, only dove-coloured bones remain, and the four bearers return with the hearse to their village. Therefore, there is and exists no soul apart from the body, those who believe it speak the truth.

The second type stands as follows:

The five substances with the soul as the sixth are not created, directly or indirectly. They are without beginning and end. They always produce effects through an intermixture, and are independent of a directing cause or everything else. They
are eternal. What is, does not perish. From nothing, nothing comes.

The third type teaches:

All things have the ātman, self or ego for their cause and object, they are produced by the self, they are manifested by the self, they are intimately connected with the self, they are bound up in the self. As, for instance, a water bubble is produced in water, grows in water, is not separate from water, but is bound up in water, so as to all things and the self.

The fourth type teaches:

One man admits action, another man does not admit action. Both men are alike, their case is the same, because they are actuated by the same force, i.e. by fate. It is their destiny that all beings, movable or immovable, come to have a body, to undergo the vicissitudes of life, and to experience pleasure and pain.

Each of these four types stands as an example of akriyāvāda inasmuch as it fails to inspire moral and pious action, or to make an individual responsible for an action and its consequences.¹

The ajñānavāda, vinayavāda, and other types of kriyāvāda are nowhere clearly described. We are

¹ Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, i. 15–34; Jaina-Sūtras, II, pp. 339–347.
simply told that the upholders of the first cannot lead to knowledge, that they cannot reach the truth by themselves, still less teach it to other men.\footnote{Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i. 2. 17.} In the Pāli Nikāyas, they are described as prevaricators in their judgments and statements. Whenever they are confronted with two alternatives in thought, appearing to be equally tenable and untenable, they refrain from giving their own judgments and begin to point to the need of seeking peace by avoiding both the alternatives. According to the Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, the ‘inefficiency of knowledge’ is the real upshot of ajñānavāda.\footnote{Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra, XVIII, 23.} In the Sūtrakṛtāṅga, the upholders of ajñānavāda are represented as those thinkers who pretending to be clever, reason incoherently, and do not get beyond the confusion of their ideas.\footnote{Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i2. 2.}

The Vinayavāda may be supposed to have been the same doctrine as what is called Sīlabbata-parāmāsā in Pāli. The sīlabbata-parāmāsā is a view of those who maintain that the purity of oneself may be reached through the observance of certain moral precepts (sīlena) or by means
of keeping certain vows (vatena) as prescribed. According to the Sūtrakṛtāṅga, the upholders of vinayavāda are those who assert: 'The goal of religious life is realized by confirmation to the rules of discipline.'

'Some say that perfection is reached by abstaining from the seasoner of food (salt), others by the use of cold water (by ablutions), others again by (tending) a fire.'

The types of kriyāvāda that do not come up to the standard of Jainism are two in number:

(1) The soul of him who is pure will become free from bad karman on reaching beatitude, but in that state it will again become defiled through pleasant excitement or hatred. As clear water which is free from defilement becomes again defiled, so will be the soul.

(2) If a man with the intention of killing a baby hurts a gourd, mistaking it for a baby, he will be guilty of murder. If, on the other hand, a man with the intention of roasting a gourd roasts a baby, mistaking him for a gourd, he will not be guilty of murder. This is the view of the Buddhist with whom intention is the criterion of the moral guilt or innocence in man's action.

---

1 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 12. 4.
2 Ibid., I, 7. 12.
3 Ibid., I, 1. 3. 11, 12.
4 Ibid., II, 6. 26, 27.
The main formula of the *kriyāvāda* of Mahāvīra reads:

*Sayamkaḍaṇ ca dukkham, nāṃnākaḍam.*

'The painful condition of the self is brought about by one's own action, it is not brought about by any other cause (fate, creator, chance, or the like).'

The same is stated thus in the Pāli Nikāyas:

*Sukha-dukkham sayamkatam*

in contradistinction to

*Sukha-dukkham paramkatam.*

'Pleasure and pain are brought about by one's own action.'

'Individually a man is born, individually he dies, individually he falls (from this state of existence), individually he rises (to another). His passions, consciousness, intellect, perceptions, and impressions belong to the individual exclusively. Here, indeed, the bonds of relationship are not able to help nor save one.'

'All living beings owe their present form of existence to their own karman; timid, wicked, suffering latent misery, they err about (in the

---

1 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 12. II.
3 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, 1. 41; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 349.
circle of births), subject to birth, old age, and death.'

'The sinners cannot annihilate works by new works; the pious annihilate their works by abstention from works.'

'Pleasant things are not produced from pleasant things.'

'He who intends (to kill) a living being but does not do it by his body, and he who unknowingly kills one, both are affected by that through a slight contact (with it) only, but the demerit (in their case) is not fully developed.'

'He who knows himself and the world; who knows where (the creatures) go, and whence they will not return; who knows what is eternal and what is transient; birth and death, and the future existences of men.'

'He who knows the tortures of beings below (in hell); who knows the influx of sin and its stoppage; who knows misery and its annihilation,—he is entitled to expound the kriyāvāda.'

The texts cited above suffice to indicate that the Jaina doctrine of nine terms (navatattva)

---

1 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 2. 3. 18; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 260.
2 Ibid., I, 12. 15.  
3 Implied in ibid., I, 3. 4. 6.
4 Ibid., I, 1. 2. 25.  
5 Ibid., I, 12. 20. 21.
developed from the necessity for a systematic exposition of kriyāvāda, which is in its essential feature only a theory of soul and karma. According to this theory, there are as many souls as living individuals, and karma consists of acts, intentional and unintentional, that produce effects on the nature of soul. Thus soul is not passive in the sense that it remains untouched or unaffected by what a person does for the sake of some interests but is susceptible to the influences of karma. The effects or impressions of individual acts or efforts on soul are called lesā or leśyās. The lesā is, according to the Sūtrakṛtāṅga, a term signifying ‘colour’.¹ The classification of living beings in terms of six colours may be traced in Pārśva’s doctrine of six jīvanikāyas.² The Ājīvika expression, chaḷābhijātiyo, as explained by Buddhaghosha, implies the same method of classification of men in terms of six colours.³ The Mahābhārata expression, jīva-ṣaṭ-varṇāḥ, hardly leaves room for doubt that the lesā is a term to denote colour. The Buddhist idea of contamination of mind by the influx of impuri-

¹ Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 6. 13; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 196 f.n.
² Ācārānga, II, 15. 16.
³ Barua, A History of Pre-Buddhistic Indian Philosophy, pp. 309 and 318.
ties from outside, illustrated by the simile of a piece of cloth dyed blue, red, yellow, or the like \(^1\) would seem to have some bearing on the Jaina doctrine of six leśyās which is merely hinted at, here and there, in the Sūtrakṛtāṅga,\(^2\) and fully explained in the Uttarādhyayana.\(^3\) The Jaina religious efforts are directed towards the acquisition of pure leśyā.\(^4\)

3. Jñāna, Darśana, Chāritra.—Knowledge, Faith, and Virtue are the three terms that signify the comprehensiveness of Jainism as taught by Mahāvīra. The Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra adds Austerities as a fourth to the usual earlier list of three terms.\(^5\) Knowledge is characterized as right knowledge, Faith, as right faith, and Virtue, as right conduct. These three constitute the path to beatitude, to liberation, to Nirvāṇa.

The Uttarādhyayana-Sūtra speaks of five kinds of knowledge: (1) śruta, or that which is derived from the study of sacred books; (2) abhinibodhika, or that which is derived from one’s experience,

\(^1\) Barua, Cittaviśuddhiprakaraṇa and its Pāli Basis, in Indian Culture.

\(^2\) Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 4. 21, where a Jaina saint is described as a person ‘whose soul is in a pure condition (leśyā)’.

\(^3\) Uttarādhyayana, XXXIV.

\(^4\) Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 10. 15.

\(^5\) Jaina-Sūtras, II, 152.
thought or understanding; (3) *avadhi*, or that which is co-extensive with the object; (4) *manah-parāyaṇa*, or knowledge of the thoughts of other people; and (5) *kevala*, or the highest, unlimited knowledge. The first kind of knowledge corresponds to what the Buddhists call *sutamaya paññā*; the second kind, to what they call *cintāmaya paññā*; the third kind, to what they call *vilokana*; the fourth kind, to what they call *ceto-pariyā-nāṇa*; and the fifth kind, to what they call *sabbānūtā* or omniscience consisting in three faculties: of reviewing and recalling to mind all past existences with details, of perceiving the destiny of other beings according to their deeds, and of being conscious of the final destruction of sins.

The *Avadhi-jñāna* is rather knowledge which is co-extensive with the object rather than knowledge which is supernatural. The Kalpa-Sūtra, for instance, says: he viewed the whole Jambudvīpa with his knowledge called *avadhi*.¹ Here *avadhi* means that which is limited by the object, that which is just sufficient to survey the field of observation.

The *manah-parāyaṇa-jñāna* is defined in the Ācārāṅga-Sūtra as a knowledge of the thoughts of

¹ Kalpa-Sūtra, 15; Jaina-Sūtras, I, p. 223.
all sentient beings.¹ And the *kevala-jñāna* is
defined in the same text as omniscience enabling a
person to comprehend all objects, to know all
conditions of the world of gods, men, and demons:
whence they come, where they go, where they are
born, etc.²

Knowledge, as represented in the Āṅgas and
other texts of the Canon, is rather religious vision,
intention or wisdom than knowledge in a meta-
physical sense.

The *samyak darśana* or right faith consists in an
insight into the meaning of truths as proclaimed
and taught, a mental perception of the excellence
of the system as propounded, a personal convic-
tion as to the greatness and goodness of the teacher,
and a ready acceptance of certain articles of faith
for one’s guidance. *Samyak darśana* is intended
to remove all doubt and scepticism from one’s
mind,³ and to establish or re-establish faith. It is
such a form of faith as is likely to inspire action
by opening a new vista of life and its perfection.
Right faith on the one hand, and inaction, vacilla-
tion, on the other, are mutually incompatible.⁴

---
³ Uttarādhyayana, XXXII, 2.
⁴ *Ibid.*, XXVIII, 16–28. Note the nine kinds of obstruc-
tion to right faith in *ibid.*, XXXIII, 5. 6.
The articles of the Jaina faith are all formulated with a view to producing a mental disposition and outlook which is different from that which is likely to be produced by the various types of *akriyāvāda, ajñānavāda,* and *vinayavāda.*

The excellence of faith depends on the following points: that one has no doubts about the truth of the tenets, that one has no preference for the tenets of others, that one does not doubt the saving qualities of one's own faith, that one is not shaken in the right belief, that one praises the pious, that one encourages the weak fellows, that one supports and loves the confessors of the law, and that one endeavours to exalt one's own faith.

A man of knowledge is a man of faith, and a man of faith is a man of action. Virtue consists in right conduct. But there is no right conduct without right belief, and no right belief without the right perception of truth. The quintessence of right conduct is the purity of morals which is achieved by the threefold restraint, the restraint of the body, the restraint of speech, the restraint of mind. The first step to virtue lies in the avoid-

---

1 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, 5: the Lecture called Freedom from Error.
2 Uttarādhayayana-Sūtra, XXVIII.
3 *Ibid.*, XXVIII, 28. 29. 4 Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i. 2. 27.
ance of sins. There are various ways of committing sins, directly and indirectly, by bodily acts, by the word of mouth and even by thoughts.\(^1\) Thus to avoid sins one must guard oneself by the samitis and guptis. Not to kill anything, to live according to the rules of conduct and without greed, to take care of the highest good, to control oneself always in walking, in sitting and lying down, and in the matter of food and drink, to leave off pride, wrath, deceit, and greed, to possess the samitis, to be protected by the five samvaras, and to reach perfection by remaining unfettered among the fettered,—these are, in short, the cardinal principles of chāritra as taught by Mahāvīra.\(^2\)

4. **Doctrine of nine terms.**—Right knowledge, faith, and conduct, the three essential points in Mahāvīra’s teachings, constitute the path of Jainism which leads to the destruction of karma and to perfection.\(^3\) Destruction means, on the one hand, the exhaustion of accumulated effects of action in the past, and it means, on the other, the stoppage of the future rise of such effects. Perfection (siddhi) consists in the consciousness of one’s

---

\(^1\) Sūtrakṛtāṅga, I, i. 2. 26: Three ways of committing sins: by one’s own activity; by commission; by approval of the deed.


\(^3\) *Ibid.*, I, 2. i. 21, 22.
liberation, and liberation means the freedom of soul from its bondage. The doctrine of nine terms is formulated with a view to explaining systematically these two points. Of the nine terms, some are to explain how the bondage of soul arises by way of effects of *karma* upon it, and some to explain how those effects are got rid of and the liberation of soul is obtained.

The first pair of terms consists of *jīva* and *ajīva*. These two terms comprehend the world of existence as known and experienced. The *jīva* signifies all that has life, and the *ajīva* signifies the things without life. The world of life is represented by the six classes of living things and beings, the first three of which are immovable and the last three movable. The earth lives, water lives, and plants are immovable beings, while the movable beings are the fire lives, wind lives, and those with an organic body. The living things are either subtile or gross, and the living beings are either those still belonging to *samsāra* or those whose souls are perfected. Through the gradation of living things and beings one can trace the evolution of the senses, the lowest form of beings being provided with only one sense, the sense of touch.¹

¹ Uttarādhyayana, XXXVI; Śūtrakṛtāṅga, I, 7. 1.
The study of the category of jīva is important because it is in connection or inter-connection with the six classes of beings that the process of karma sets in and the nature of man's conduct is determined. The Jina taught: 'Know and understand that they all desire happiness; by hurting these beings men do harm to their own souls, and will again and again be born as one of them. Every being born high or low in the scale of the living creation, among movable and immovable beings, will meet with its death. Whatever sins the evil doer commits in every birth, for them he must die.'

The things without life are either formed or formless. The formed are compound things and atoms constituting the world of matter. The world of the formless is represented by the first four of the astikāyas, viz. dharma, adharma, space, and time. Dharma and adharma explain motion and absence of motion respectively. Space explains how things and beings appear mutually distinct, and time explains their duration of existence. Thus the category of ajīva helps us in completing our study of the world of life and of existence.

---

1 Sūtrakṛtānga, I, 7. 2, 3; Jaina-Sūtras, II, p. 292.
2 Uttarādhyayana, XXXVI.
The third term or category is *bandha* or bondage of soul. Bondage is the subjection of soul to the laws of birth and death, old age and decay, pleasure and pain, and other vicissitudes of life brought about by the effect of *karma*. The soul which is the fifth of the five *astikāyas* represents the principle of intelligence. ‘The characteristic of soul is knowledge, faith, conduct, austerities, energy, and realization of its developments.’

The categories of merit (*puṇya*) and demerit (*pāpa*) comprehend all acts or deeds, pious and sinful, which keep the soul bound to the circle of births and deaths.

*Aśrava* is that which causes the soul to be affected by sins, and *samvara* is the principle of self-control by which the influx of sins is checked or stopped. The category of *samvara* comprehends the whole sphere of right conduct.

*Nirjarā* consists in the wearing out of accumulated effects of *karma* on the soul by the practice of austerities, and *mokṣa* which logically follows from *nirjarā* signifies the final deliverance of the soul from the bondage of *karma*, the bondage of sin. Siddhi or perfection is just the other aspect of liberation.

---

1 Uttarādhyayana, XXVIII, 11.  
2 Ibid., XXXI, 3.
5. _A gloomy view of the world._—The world as painted in the words of Mahāvīra presents a gloomy picture. It represents that state of existence of the soul in which it has to undergo repeated births and deaths and all their concomitant experiences. It is _samsāra_ or course of life and death which appears like a boundless flood of water with its dangerous current. Earth, water, fire, and air, all contain lives. The senses and mental faculties become manifest in varying degrees in various forms of beings. Man finds himself in an awful situation where he has to work under the influence of affection, passion, and attachment. The world presents a constant scene of quarrel and strife, death and carnage, and of all mad pursuits of life, the ultimate end of which is utter disappointment. For the sake of food and drink, lodging and comfort, woman and wealth, a man is involved in various works that lead the soul from sin to sin. Attached to the agreeable sounds and colours, tastes and smells, touches and perceptions, in short, to all seductive pleasures of the senses, the living beings suffer and find no escape from pain. The path to these pleasures is the path to birth, disease, decay, and death. A reflection on these conditions of the world as one daily sees them all around leads a man away from
them to seek a safe island, to seek peace, to seek liberation, deliverance, and perfection.

6. **Brightness of the prospect.**—In contrast to the gloomy picture of the world as commonly known stands in bold relief the bright prospect of the religious life as lived and taught by Mahāvīra. The reassuring message which underlies all his teachings is that soul is the highest reality of life, and *nirvāṇa* is not extinction but the eternal and blissful condition of the soul in its perfection. This condition of soul is realizable in this very existence and solely by human efforts, if they are well-directed. The development and manifestation of supreme personality is the visible fruition of religious efforts leading to liberation. The life of the Master offered for imitation by his earnest disciples stands as one of the highest examples of such a personality. The heart of Jainism is not empty as Mrs. Stevenson thinks, it is only emptied of all that go to constitute selfishness, haughtiness, cruelty, wickedness, inconsideration, and such immoral propensities. These are removed so as to find the human heart filled with love, kindness, meekness, sincerity, and such other best qualities of character. The best emblem of purity, nobility, beauty, and fullness of the Jain life is the white lotus. Thus one reads in the
Sūtrakṛtāṅga, II, 1, 2, 3, 4: 'There is a lotus-pool containing much water and mud, very full and complete, answering to the idea one has of a lotus-pool, full of white lotuses, delightful, conspicuous, magnificent, and splendid. And everywhere all over the lotus-pool there grew many white lotuses, the best Nymphaeds, . . . in beautiful array, tall, brilliant, of fine colour, smell, taste, and touch. And in the very middle of this lotus-pool there grew one big white lotus, the best of Nymphaeds.'

7. General view.—According to Mahāvīra, the four precepts and self-privation are the recognized roads to the blissful state of the soul. The soul-substance is not a single all-pervading reality without a second of its kind. The soul has no beginning or end. So long it has to go round and round through the cycle of births and deaths, it has a form. If the soul is to have a form by virtue of its own, then it cannot but be dull in sentient matter devoid of all consciousness and intelligence. If it be absolutely formless, then by the virtue of its being free from all activities, bondage, and freedom would become incompatible with its own nature, and samsāra, too, would be impossible. Mahāvīra laid a great stress on the activity of souls. The individual soul has innumerable units of space. The soul is uncreated and possessed of
the quality of existence. The soul knows and sees all, desires happiness, is afraid of pain, does friendly or unfriendly actions, and enjoys the fruits of them. The which has consciousness is soul. The soul in combination with the body is the doer of all actions. One should abstain from killing beings, theft, falsehood, sensual pleasure, and spirituous liquor. Those who do not renounce these, go to hell. A person will suffer the consequences of whatever may preponderate as between an act and forbearance from it. A sage should wander about free from sins. Self should be subdued. A monk should avoid untruth, sinful speech and should not be deceitful. Nothing sinful, hurtful, and meaningless should be told by him. He should sally forth and return at the right time. He should collect alms freely given. He must conquer twenty-two troubles, e.g. hunger, thirst, cold, heat, nakedness, erratic life, women, dirt, ignorance, etc. He should not walk beyond the prescribed time, remembering the teachings of Mahāvīra. The pious obtains purity and the pure stands firmly in the law. Delusion, pride, deceit, and greed should be avoided. Monks
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1 Pañcāstikāya, p. 122.
2 Dravyasamgraha, 2, 3.
or householders who are trained in self-control and penance and who have obtained liberations by the absence of passion, go to the highest region. Those who are ignorant of the truth are subject to pain. A person of pure faith always realizes the truth. Those who have attachments for this world suffer. An ignorant man kills, tells lies, steals foreign goods, and is desirous of women and pleasures. The sinners go to the world of Asuras and to the dark place.

A monk should cast aside all fetters and all hatred. Pious ascetics get over the impassable samsāra. Stupid sinners go to hell through their superstitious beliefs. One should not permit the killing of living beings. He should not commit sins in thoughts, words, and acts. The pleasures are like a venomous snake. The pleasures are the thorn that rankles and they should be given up like poison. A true monk is one who does not care for his life, who abandons every delusion, who always practises austerities and avoids contact with wicked men and women. A monk should not take from the householder, bed, lodging, food, drink, etc. He who practises self-discipline, meditates on his soul, wise, hardy, calm, and does not hurt anybody is a true monk. He should not occupy places for sleep or rest frequented by
women. He should take up a detached lodging not frequented by women to preserve his chastity. The pious monk should abstain from ornaments. A monk should be steadfast, righteous, content, restrained, and attentive to his duties. Birth is misery. Old age is misery and so are disease and death. Nothing but misery is the samsāra, in which men suffer distress. A monk should be impartial towards all beings in the world and should be careful to speak truth. He should keep the severe vow of chastity. Mental and bodily penances should be practised. He who possesses virtuous conduct and life, who has practised the best self-control, who keeps from sinful influences, and who has destroyed karma, will obtain mukti. An ascetic will by means of his simplicity enter the path of Nirvāṇa. Those who truly believe in the subject of exertion in righteousness taught by Mahāvīra, put faith in it, give credence to it, accept it, practise it, comply with it, study it, understand it, learn it, and act up to it, have obtained perfection, enlightenment, deliverance, final beatitude, and have put an end to all miseries.

Meditation means abstaining to meditate on painful and sinful things. One should with a collected mind engage in pure meditation on the law.
There are three ways of committing sins: by one's own activity, by commission, and by approval of the dead. By purity of heart one reaches Nirvāṇa. Misery arises from wicked deeds. A very learned or a virtuous man or a Brahman or an ascetic will be severely punished for his deed when he is given to actions of deceit. A sage should always vanquish his passions. He should expound the law correctly. He should not neglect even the smallest duty. A wise man should abandon worldliness. He who abstains from cold water, and who does not eat food out of the dish of a householder, possesses right conduct. Those who are not subdued by the wicked pleasures know meditation to be their duty. A monk should not tell stories. He should not indulge in deceit, greed, pride, and wrath. He should be free from attachment. The virtuous men regard pleasures as equal to diseases. One should not kill living beings in the threefold way, being intent on his spiritual welfare and his soul, putting aside all undertakings. He should abstain from untrue speech and will not take that which is not freely given to him. Nirvāṇa consists in peace. Cruel sinners commit bad deeds and will sink into the dreadful hell which is full of darkness and sufferings. Those who are wicked, kill beings for
the sake of their own pleasures. Deceivers practise deceits in order to procure for themselves pleasures and amusement. Sinful undertakings will in the end bring miseries. Sinners acquire karma arising from passions and commit many sins. The virtuous exert themselves for liberation. A pious man should eat little, drink little, and talk little. He should also exert himself being calm, indifferent, and free from greed. A monk who vigorously practises austerities, avoids anger and pride. A wise man should renounce his interest in everything. A monk should be modest. He should know correctly the sacred texts. He should practise austerities and understand all details of the law. Wise men teach the true law. A monk should conform himself to the opinion expressed by the Jinas and wander about till he reaches final liberation.

According to the Ācārāṅga-Sūtra a wise man should not act sinfully towards the aggregate of six kinds of life nor allow others to do so. He should neither himself commit violence by various acts, nor order others to commit violence by such acts, nor consent to the violence done by somebody else. He should adopt the true faith and stand in the right place. A hero does not tolerate discontent and lust. He is not attached to the
objects of the senses and not careless. A wise man who knows the world and has cast off the idea of the world should prudently do away with the destructions to righteousness. A liberated man conquers wrath, pride, deceit, greed, and passion. He should avoid wrath, pride, deceit, greed, love, hatred, delusion, conception, birth, death, hell, animal existence, and pain. A wise man should not be inflamed by wrath. A man who exerts himself and is of a steady mind without attachment, unmoved by passion and having no worldly desires, should lead the life of an ascetic.

A monk or a nun on a begging tour should not accept alms which are impure and unacceptable. He or she should not attend any festive entertainment. Food placed on a platform or any such elevated place should not be accepted. Food placed on vegetable or animal matter, unripe wild rice, sediments of liquor, raw plants, and raw substances should not be accepted. He or she should not accept clothes which are full of living beings, but should accept clothes that are fit, strong, and lasting. A monk or a nun should not accept a bowl which a layman has bought. He or she should not accept very expensive bowl, made of tin, silver, gold, brass, mother-of-pearl, etc.
He or she must have to observe certain rules if they desire to go to a sugar-cane plantation or to a garlic field. He or she should not go to any place where there are many temptations. A monk must know and avoid five typical offences against the law of right belief: abstention from gross ill-usage of living beings, abstention from grossly lying speech, abstention from gross taking of things not given, limiting one’s own desires, keeping uposatha (sabbath), right distribution of alms, etc. Karma accumulates energy and automatically works it off without any outside intervention. Karma is latent in all actions. When the soul by means of austerities and good actions has got rid of ignorance, it attains omniscience. Karma is intimately bound up with the soul.

Mahāvīra’s great message to mankind is that birth is nothing, that caste is nothing, and that karma is everything and on the destruction of karma, the future happiness depends.

Concentration is indispensable for getting equanimity of mind and consequent spiritual illumination. We have to contemplate on blissfulness, truthfulness, honesty, chastity, contentedness, purity of body, and purity of mind. There are four ways to meditate on purity of mind: (1) love, (2) love towards the suffering world, (3) love to-
wards the happy, and (4) love towards the criminal or cruel person.

In this world of misery, disease, old age, and death, there is no other protection, refuge or help than the practice of the truth. The continual cycle of births and deaths is not ended and therefore we should make some efforts to free ourselves from it. Right knowledge, belief, and conduct, these three are the sources of happiness. There are twenty-one qualities, the majority of which must be possessed before a person is ready to undertake the higher religious life: (1) he must be earnest, (2) he must be of sound mind, (3) he must be pleasing by nature, (4) he must be popular, charitable, well-behaved, and of good moral character, (5) he must not be cruel, (6) he must be cautious and honest, (7) he will live according to some principle, (8) he will be compassionate and sympathetic, (9) he must be just and impartial, (10) he must be grateful, polite, intelligent, and of quick understanding, and (11) he must be self-controlled.

Knowledge is of five kinds: (a) mati-jñāna (knowledge acquired by sense-perceptions); (b) śruta-jñāna (knowledge acquired by reading the scriptures); (c) avadhi-jñāna (knowledge of the distant, non-sensible in time or space possessed
by divine and internal souls); (d) manahparyāya-
(jñāna (knowledge of thoughts and feelings of
others); and (e) kevala-jñāna (full or perfect
knowledge).  

Leśyā is said to be that by means of which the
soul is tinted with merit and demerit. The
leśyās arise from yoga or kashāya, namely, the
vibrations due to the activity of body, mind or
speech or the passions.

Karma is the deed of the soul. It is a material
forming a subtle bond of extremely refined karmic
matter which keeps the soul confined to its place
of origin or the natural abode of full knowledge
and everlasting peace. There are four ghātiya
or obstructive karmas: (1) knowledge-obscuring
karma; (2) faith-obscuring or perception-obscur-
ing karma; (3) that which obstructs the progress
or success of the soul; and (4) that which infatuates
or deludes the soul. All these destructive karmas
retain the soul in mundane existence.

According to Mahāvīra, this universe is eternal
and it is nothing but the sum total of substances
which have been existing from eternity and shall
remain so for ever. In this universe nothing new
is created nor is anything annihilated. The sub-
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1 Tatthvārtha-Sūtra, i. 9.
stances of this eternal universe are described as jīva and ajīva, soul and no-soul. The characteristic of living substance is attention, consciousness, and attentiveness.

Mokṣa is the essential point in the teaching of Mahāvīra which is generally understood as emancipation. It really means the attainment of the highest state of sanctification by the avoidance of pain and miseries of worldly life. Even at this stage the soul appears to be the same without the least change in its state. It is the summon bonum or the state of perfect beatitude as attained. It may also mean final deliverance or liberation from the fetters of worldly life and total annihilation or extinction of human passion.

Much importance has been given to soul (attā) and puggala or individuality and personality. Karma plays, no doubt, an important part in Jain metaphysics. The Jain ethics has for its end the liberation or mokṣa. The three jewels or three excellences are: right faith, right knowledge, and right conduct. The vows must be strictly observed by the monks. Laymen must observe them so far as their conditions admit. Then comes the tapa which is one of the most important institutions of Jainism. It is divided into internal and external tapas. The former comprises the
austerities practised by the Jains and the latter, spiritual exercises. Fasting, as we know, is the most conspicuous austerity. Jains have developed it to a kind of art and have reached a high proficiency in it.

The tenets of Jainism as a practical religion consist of the following points: longing for liberation, disregard of worldly objects, desire of the law, obedience to the co-religionists and to the Guru, confession of sins before the Guru, repenting of one's sins to oneself and also before the Guru, moral and intellectual purity of the soul, adoration of the 24 Jinas, paying reverence to the Guru, adoption of a particular posture of the body, self-denial, praises and hymns, punctuality, practice of penances, forgiveness, study and recital of sacred texts. . . . concentration of thoughts, self-control, practice of austerities, purging oneself of impurities arising from karma, mental independence, using unfrequented places, remaining away from the world, renouncing pleasure, food, passions, company, etc., conforming to the standard, doing service, fulfilling all virtues, freedom from passion, forbearance, freedom from greed, simplicity, modesty, sincerity of heart, watchfulness of the mind, speech, and body, discipline of the mind, speech, and body, possession of knowledge, faith,
and virtue, subduing the organs of sense, conquering anger, pride, deceit, greed, love, hatred, and wrong belief, stability, and freedom from \textit{karma}.\footnote{Uttar\=adh\=ayana, XXIX.}
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