

## INDIRA GANDHI NATIONAL CENTRE FOR THE ARTS Janpath, New Delhi -110 001, www.ignca.gov.in

## **Documentation format for Archaeological / Heritage Sites / Monuments**

Serial No.: 0R / PRI- 24

SECTION I: IDENTIFICATION					
1. Name					
Present Past Other Name (if any): 2. Location	: Old Gopinatha Temple, D : :	Danda Mukundapur, Dist - Puri			
<b>LONGITUDE</b> 85 <sup>0</sup> 49' 54" E	<b>LATITUDE</b> 20 <sup>0</sup> 04' 52" N	ELEVATION 67 ft			
Address  Approach		Danda Mukundapur ur Via: Pipili District; Puri PIN: 752104  the right side Bhubaneswar- t a distance 4.5 kms south of			
3. Property Type					
Buildings  Palace Fort Citadel Govt. building Railway station Defence building Industrial building	Religious structures  ✓ Temple     Mosque     Minar     Church     Monastery     Stupa     Tomb, Mausoleum	Other Structures  Wall Gateway Pillar Others (specify)			
Other public buildings		Note:			
Residential building  Engineering structure  Bridge Tunnel Other engineering structure	Open space and related structures Public square	The available portion of the old Gopinatha temple shows that it was a <i>rekha</i> temple and now it exists only up to the <i>veranda</i> portion.			

4. Property Use	
	ABANDONED / IN USE: Abandoned
Present	: Non- living and dilapidated temple and the presiding deity was installed in the new Gopinatha temple locally known as Jaya Gopalji temple by the king of experiments of the second sec
Past	princely state of Athgarh in 1912 AD.  Could not be ascertained.
5. Age (Source)	
PERIOD YEARS Precise date of Construction Completion Subsequent changes Destruction / Demolition	<ul> <li>Suryavamsi Gajapati rule</li> <li>16<sup>th</sup> century A.D.</li> <li>1560-1568 A.D.</li> <li></li> <li></li> <li>Now the superstructure of the of the temple is missing</li> </ul>
6. Ownership / Level of Protection	
Public : Archaeological Survey of	SINGLE /MULTIPLE : Multiple : No claimant.
India / State Archaeology Department / Any Other (Please specify)	
Private Any other (Please specify) Name	: : :
Address Phone	: :
7. Association	
WITH EVENTS In History	: Tradition maintains that Raja Mukunda Deva, the las Hindu King (Suryavamsi Gajapati) of Orissa built thi temple.
In Rituals	:
In Building Construction Any other (specify)  • WITH PERSONS	: :
Patron Architect / Engineer Any other (specify)	: : :
8. Statement of Significance	
Architectural Archaeological Historical Religious Any other (specify)	<ul> <li>∴ Architectural</li> <li>∴ Archaeological</li> <li>∴</li> <li>∴ On architectural ground , loose fragments and local tradition</li> <li>the tample can be assigned to the third questor of the 16</li> </ul>
	the temple can be assigned to the third quarter of the 16 century A.D.

## **SECTION II: DESCRIPTION**

9. Physical Description and Decorative Features				
<ul> <li>Context</li> <li>Urban / Rural Setting</li> <li>Surroundings</li> </ul>	:	* Drawings (Attach extra sheet if	necessary): No Rural setting	
Ü		Stand in Isolation Integral part of a Landscape / Street / Complex :	Complex	
<ul> <li>Quantification</li> </ul>				
Area Dimension ( L x B x H)	:	12.15 mtrs x 11.80 mtrs x 7.05 mtrs	s (existing height) (m / cm/ feet / inch)	
Number of Blocks Number of Storys	:	One, consists of a <i>vimana</i> only. N.A.		
<ul> <li>Spatial Organization</li> </ul>				
Orientation Plan	:	Facing towards east The temple is square on plan platform of 1.20 mtrs high.	that stands over a	
Allocation of Spaces	:	12.15 mtrs (L) x 11.80 mtrs (W)		
ARCHITECTURAL STYLE  • Ornamentation				
Exteriors	:	Devoid of any decoration except the on the architrave of the entrance.	ne <i>Nabagraha</i> panel	
Interiors	:	Plain		
Movable Collections * Any Other (specify)	:	Architectural fragements, projecting The temple is <i>pancharatha panchangabada</i> in elevation.		
10. Construction Technology				
Structural System	:	The available portion of the temple rekha vimana of impoverished Kalii		
Building Techniques Material of Construction	:	Ashler dry masonry Khandolite stone.	ngan order.	
11. Condition Assessment				
In General Good / Fair	:	Dilapidated condition		
Sign of Deterioration Serious Deterioration Danger of Disappearance				

## In Brief (Attach extra sheet if necessary):

Due to continuous negligence wild creepers engulfed the entire structure and cracks are also noticed on the western wall of the temple.

12. Threats to	the Property	
	<i>v</i>	Natural Negligence Vandalism Urban Pressures
x		x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
	<u>SEC</u>	ΓΙΟΝ III: REFERENCES
13. Reference	Sources (published a	nd unpublished textual details)
1. R. P. Moh 2. 3. 4.	apatra, 1986, <i>Archaeo</i>	logy in Orissa, Vol I, Delhi
14. Photograp	ohs: 08 nos	
		(attach list as in page 6, in case of multiple photographs)
Photo Referer CAPTION	nce :	
15. Audio / Vid	deo	
Reference DESCRIPTION	; I	(related to rituals / performance / festivals etc.)
16. Maps/Plan	s/Drawings	
17. Listers		
NAME:	Jaya Shankar Naik	NAME:
18. Reviewers	<b>)</b>	
NAME:	Dr. S. Pradhan	NAME:

**COMMENTS:** 

**COMMENTS**